

Appendix-6

Documents of Seminars

Appendix -6-1

Kickoff Seminar



Mekong River Commission (MRCS)

and

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

Tentative Agenda

The Regional Kick-off Meeting on

The Study on Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin

22 June 2018 at MRCS Office, Vientiane, Lao PDR

1. Background

During the 8th top-level meeting among Japan and Mekong countries on 7th September 2016, Mr. Shinzo Abe, the Japanese Prime Minister, announced the commencement of a survey for the environmental conservation program on Mekong River Watershed. The first phase of the program focuses on the data collection survey for the development of forest cover map in the basin and recommends policy for forest preservation.

Under this Japan-Mekong Cooperation, the Mekong River Commission (MRC) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) have co-implemented the new initiative on “Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin” Project which includes 4 Member Countries (MCs) and Myanmar. The Project will implement from December 2017 to March 2019. The objectives of this Project are to: (1) understand the existing condition of forest preservation; (2) clarify the issues on forest management and preservation; and (3) propose the effective countermeasures as policy recommendations for basin management in the Mekong River. The expected outputs are; (i) the basic information for forest management are collected and reviewed; (2) the environmental impacts by climate changes and the historical changes of forest resources are studied and (iii) the best practices for basin management in the Mekong River are recommended.

During February-March 2018, the JICA Study Team (JST) with the co-ordinations from the Mekong River Commission Secretariat (MRCS) conducted the informal meetings with the Member Countries (MCs) to present and discuss the project details and work plan with the National Mekong Committees (NMCs) and relevant line agencies. Later, the Inception Report had been developed by the JST based on feedbacks and suggestions from 4 MCs. Then, the report was submitted to MRCS for further feedbacks and suggestions. MRCS disseminated the Inception Report attached with MRCS’ feedbacks and suggestions to 4 MCs for further reviewing and providing comments.



In order to implement the next step, the regional kick-off meeting is needed to present, discuss and finalize the Inception Report and present the major key findings from the informal meetings. Suggestions and feedbacks will be gathered for further improvement of the Inception Report and the implementation of the Project.

2. Objectives of the Meeting

The objectives of the regional kick-off meeting on the study on data Collection survey on the basin management and environmental conservation in Mekong River Basin are to:

- Present, discuss and finalize the Inception Report of the Project; and
- Present and discuss the major key finding from the informal meetings with NMCs and relevant national line agencies.

3. Expected Outputs

The expected outputs from this meeting are as follows:

- Inception Report of the Project will be presented, discussed and finalized. Suggestions and feedbacks will be gathered for further improvement; and
- The major key findings from the informal meetings with NMCs and relevant line agencies will be presented and discussed among 4 MCs. Feedbacks will be collected for further improvement of the implementation of the Project

4. Agenda

Time	Activities
09.00-09.30	Registration <i>Facilitated by MRCS ED Staff</i>
09.30-09.40	Welcome Address <i>By Dr. Pham Tuan Phan, Chief Executive Officer, MRC</i>
09.40-09.50	Opening Remarks <i>By Mr. Morita Takahiro, Senior Deputy Director General, Global Environment Department, JICA</i>
09.50-10.00	Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between MRC and JICA Signing
10.00-10.10	Opening Guidance: (1) Introduction and Background; (2) Objectives of the Meeting; and (3) Expected Outputs <i>By Mr. Ichikawa Shumpei, Meeting Coordinator, JICA Study Team</i>



Time	Activities
Session I: Inception Report on the Study	
10.10-10.55	Presentation on Inception Report on the Study <i>By Mr. Sasabe Keiji, Team Leader, JICA Study Team</i>
10.55-11.10	Tea and coffee break
11.10-12.00	Discussions on Inception Report on the Study <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer</i>
12.00-13.30	Lunch break
Session II: Major Key Findings from Informal Meetings	
13.30-14.30	Presentation on the major key findings from the informal meetings with NMCs and relevant national line agencies <i>By Mr. Nakamura Kazuhiro, Sub-Team Leader, JICA Study Team</i> <i>Ms. Fujimura Sahori, Sustainable Forest Management Specialist, JICA Study Team</i>
14.30-14.45	Tea and coffee break
14.45-16.00	Discussions on the major key findings from the informal meetings with NMCs and relevant national line agencies <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer</i>
16.00-16.30	Wrap-up and Next Steps <i>By Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer</i>
16.30-16.45	Fill-up the Questionnaire <i>By Mr. Sasabe Keiji, Team Leader, JICA Study Team</i>
16.45-17.00	Closing Remarks <i>By Dr. Truong Hong Tien, Director of MRCS ED</i>

Title	The Regional Kick-off Seminar on the Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin
Date / Time	22 nd June 2018 (Fri) / 9:30 ~ 17:00
Venue	MRC Conference Room, Vientiane, Lao P.D.R
Participants	【Cambodia】 4 members 【Lao PDR】 5 members 【Thailand】 5 members 【Viet Nam】 5 members 【MRCS】 14 members 【JICA】 5 members 【JICA Study Team (JST)】 4 members
Agenda and Topics	1. Welcome Address 2. Opening Remarks 3. Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) between MRC and JICA Signing 4. Opening Guidance 5. Discussion on the Inception Report of the Study 6. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Climate Change / Hydrology” 7. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Forest Cover Map” 8. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Formulation of Future Project” 9. Wrap-up and Next Steps

Main Items discussed

1. Welcome Address

Welcome Address was announced by Dr. Pham Tuan Phan, Chief Executive Officer, MRC.

2. Opening Remarks

Opening Remarks was announced by Mr. Morita Takahiro, Senior Deputy Director General, Global Environment Department, JICA.

3. Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) between MRC and JICA

Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) of the Project was signed by MRC and JICA.

4. Opening Guidance

Opening Guidance including Introduction and Background, Objectives of the Meeting and Expected Outputs was explained by Mr. Ichikawa Shumpei, Meeting Coordinator, JICA Study Team.

Main Items discussed

5. Discussion on the Inception Report of the Study

The concept of Inception Report was presented by Mr. Sasabe Keiji, Team Leader, JICA Study Team and directions and work plans were discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- All modelling task will be conducted at MRC office with TD and JST.
- Copy right of MRC property shall be taken care.
- “South China Sea” should be revised to “East Sea”
- Detailed roles and responsibilities of JICA, JST, MRCS, NMCs and line agencies (particularly the forestry departments of the 4 MCs and Myanmar) should be clear in this project- e.g. involvement of MCs experts in modelling work.
- Sea level rising and salinity intrusion at the Mekong Delta are very important issue for the climate change in Viet Nam and related ministry has many information for this issue. Mekong Delta issue is focused by another JICA project and the result of this project will be referred.
- Transboundary issues and relationship between upstream and downstream stakeholders should be considered for the model and forest cover map.
- Socio-economy has a large impact to the scenario for deforestation and mangrove reduction in Viet Nam. A total of 10 % of mangrove has been lost from 2011 to 2016 in Viet Nam. Viet Nam has available data for this issue.
- Capacity building for government staff on forest management and conservation is needed.
- Consultation and discussion with the 4 MCs are needed for understanding and identifying the issue in each country.
- Data/information sharing should be JICA to/from MRCS and MRCS to/from NMCs and Line Agencies according to PDIES.
- Carbon credit referred REDD+ will be considered as the one of the optional solution of this project.
- The terms “Business policy” should be defined clearly.
- Definition of “Watershed management” and “Basin management” should be clear.

6. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Climate Change / Hydrology”

The Major Key Findings, “Climate Change / Hydrology” was presented by Mr. Nakamura Kazuhiro, Sub-Team Leader, JICA Study Team and operation plan was discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- Three MRC’s CCAI climate change scenarios (RCP 2.6, 6.0/4.5 and 8.5) will be used and combined with deforestation data to evaluate the impacts on hydrology and hydraulics of the river basin
- Future deforestation/forest cover data for SWAT model will be prepared by JST to evaluate the negative/positive impacts on LMB. Comparing the before/after deforestation, vulnerable areas (hot spots) will be identified.

Main Items discussed

- In particularly vulnerable areas, detailed hydrological and hydraulic analysis including water level, flow regime, flood inundation area, etc. shall be conducted by using RRI Model which has been developed by The International Centre for Water Hazard and Risk Management (ICHARM).
- RRI model can be adapted to areas where availability of meteorological and hydrological data is poor.
- RRI model is free and user can get RRI Model for free from web site of ICHARM.
- Target year for the scenario shall be 2040.

7. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Forest Cover Map”

The Major Key Findings, “Forest Cover Map” was presented by Mr. Nakamura Kazuhiro, Sub-Team Leader, JICA Study Team and operation plan was discussed with following key suggestions and comments.

- MRC’s land cover data and 4 MCs’ forest cover data shall be collected and combined to make whole cover map, although there is concern that criteria and categories in each country may be different.
- In addition to using MRC’s land cover data, using ADPC (Asia Disaster Preparedness Center) land cover data including Myanmar is considered as an option to prepare the forest cover map of the whole Mekong basin.
- To identify the hot spot, not only historical trend but also forest policy and its achievement should be considered.

8. Discussion on the Major Key Findings: “Formulation of Future Projects”

The Major Key Findings, “Formulation of Future Projects” was presented by Ms. Fujimura Sahori, Sustainable Forest Management Specialist, JICA Study Team and operation plan was discussed with following key suggestion and comments.

- Future projects related to good practices for protecting forest resources will be identified and proposed based on the results of this initial study.
- Some deforestation drivers are missing so resources to identify the driver should be checked carefully. Livelihood may be most important driver.
- Deforestation drivers should be considered by each Forest classification type and area (e.g. upstream and downstream).
- Solution of the deforestation activity should be considered for both structural and non-structural measures.
- Quantitative evaluation of forest function is necessary.
- Data source for the project suggestion should be clear whether official or not.
- A ppt presentation on National Country Forestry Report shall be prepared by MCs and submitted to JST by 31 August 2018 and will be presented at the next workshop in October 2018.

9. Wrap-up and Next Steps

Main Items discussed

Wrap-up of this seminar and following next step were shared by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer, ED, MRC.

- Report of this seminar will be summarized until 6th July 2018.
- Finalization of the Inception Report will be conducted until 15th July 2018 and shared with the 4 MCs and Myanmar.
- Study tour in Japan will be conducted for 1 week on the second week of September 2018.
- Regional workshop will be held on October 2018.
- Final seminar will be held on February 2019.

Photos



Welcome Address by Dr. Pham Tuan Phan, Chief Executive Officer, MRC



Opening Remarks by Mr. Morita, Senior Deputy Director General, Global Environment Department, JICA



Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) Signing



Memorandum of Cooperation (MoC) Signing



Presentation of Inception Report by Mr. Sasabe Keiji, JST



Presentation of Major Key Finding by Mr. Nakamura, JST



Presentation of Major Key Finding by Ms. Fujimura, JST



Comments from Thailand

Photos




Comments from Lao PDR



Comments from Viet Nam



Comments from Cambodia



Wrap-up from Dr. So Nam, Chief Environment Management Officer, MRC

End of Documents

Opening Guidance

Introduction and Background

Background

- ✓ At the Mekong-Japan Summit held on September 2016, Prime Minister of Japan expressed his intention to start a study to contribute to environmental conservation, and particularly protection of forest resources, in the Mekong River Basin
- ✓ Mekong River Commission (MRC) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) have co-implemented the new initiative on "Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin" Project.



Mekong-Japan Summit in 2016

1



Opening Guidance

Introduction and Background

Background

- ✓ During February-March 2018, the JICA Study Team (JST) conducted the informal meetings with the Member Countries to discuss the project details and work plan with the National Mekong Committees (NMCs) and relevant line agencies.
- ✓ In order to implement the next step, the Kick-off Seminar is needed to finalize the Inception Report and discuss the major key findings from the informal meetings.



Meeting with MRC, JICA and JST on March 2



Opening Guidance

Objectives of the Seminar

1. Present, Discuss and Finalize the Inception Report of the Project
2. Present and Discuss the following Major Key Finding
 - Basin Environment Management Model
 - Forest Cover Map
 - Formulation of Future Project



3



Opening Guidance

Expected Outputs

- As a result of the discussion of Inception Report
⇒ Suggestions and feedbacks will be gathered for further improvement
- As a result of the discussion of the Major Key Findings
⇒ Feedbacks will be collected for further improvement of the implementation of the Project
- Relationship will be built for the future cooperation



4

Opening Guidance



Program

Session I: Inception Report on the Study

Presentation from JICA Study team
Discussion with All

Session II: Major Key Findings from Informal Meetings

Presentation from JICA Study team


- ✓ Forest Cover Map
- ✓ River Basin Management
- ✓ Formulation of Future Project

Discussion with All



Basic Concept for Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin

22nd June 2018
JICA Study Team (JST)



- ❑ Catchment area: 795,000 km²
- ❑ River length: 4,800 km
- ❑ Countries in river basin: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam, Myanmar and China
- ❑ River head: Tibetan Plateau
- ❑ River mouth: State of Ben Tre in Viet Nam
- ❑ Flow regime: 16,000 m³/s
- ❑ Forest area: 140,000 km² (1973) to 100,000km² (2009)
- ❑ Estimated population in the basin: 60 million
- ❑ General issues due to deforestation:
 - ❑ Increase of natural disasters such as flooding and drought, collapse of ecosystems and acceleration of global warming, etc.



Data source: JICA, FAO



Location Map



Cultivations on slope areas causing soil losses



Regenerating forests by the Government Programme



Discussion of private business promotion on cocoa beans (contribution to prevention of deforestation)



Contents

1. Outline of the Survey
2. Implementation Policy
3. Operation Plan



5

1. Outline of the Survey

Outline

Target country:

Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam and Myanmar

Relevant parties:

Main counterpart: Mekong River Commission (MRC), National Mekong Committees (NMC)

Relevant Agencies: organizations relevant to water resources management and forest resources management

International donors: ADB, UNDP, United States of America, etc.

Interaction partner countries: China and Myanmar

Others: private company, NGO, etc.



6

1. Outline of the Survey

Outline

Objectives:

The project objectives are to

- 1) understand the existing condition of forest preservation
- 2) clarify the issues
- 3) propose effective countermeasures as best practices/recommendations for basin management in the Mekong River.

The project shall be carried out in considerations with as below.

- collection of basic information of forest management
- environmental impacts by climate changes
- historical changes of forest resources



7

1. Outline of the Survey

Outline

Work Procedure:

Step 1 :
Preparation

- Prediction of changes of forest areas by creation of forest cover maps (from 1990's to 2010's) ⇒ **To identify the deforestation area by maps (hot spot 1)**
- Development of basin model for evaluation of impact by deforestation and climate changes quantitatively ⇒ **MRC Tool box will be utilized**
- Decisions of future scenarios based on the prediction of forest areas and latest study results of climate changes.

Step 2 :
Evaluation

- Execution of simulations under future scenarios and analysis on their results ⇒ **To identify potential vulnerable areas (flooding, drought and saline intrusion) by deforestation and climate change (hot spot 2)**
- Clarification of issues in future caused by deforestation and climate changes

Step 3 :
Recommendation

- Examinations of practical countermeasures for forest management by both hardware and software methods based on the evaluation results
- Proposal for business policies for improvement of the river basin management



8

1. Outline of the Survey

About Hot spot

Hot spot is defined as potential vulnerable areas by deforestation and/or climate change.

Hot spot 1:

Deforestation area is identified from historical land cover maps, and a certain area which can be affected environmentally and socio-economically by deforestation will be clarified as hot spot 1.

Hot spot 2:


The flow regime of Mekong River can be changed by deforestation and climate changes, which might cause increases in vulnerable areas against flooding, drought and salt injury. Those areas are defined as Hot spot 2, and will be estimated by basin management model.



9

1. Outline of the Survey

How to clarify the Hot spot ?



10

1. Outline of the Survey

Expected outcome/products

- Basin models (equal to hydrological analysis model)
 - *all data sets
- Historical forest cover maps (3~4 maps, from 1990's to 2010's)
 - *GIS format
- Study reports, including best practices/recommendations for improvement of forest management in Mekong River Basins



11

1. Outline of the Survey

JICA Study Team (JST)


Position	Name
Team Leader / Basin Management 1	SASABE Keiji
Sub-Team Leader / Basin Management 2 / Climate Change / Hydrology	NAKAMURA Kazuhiro
Forest Cover Map	SATO Kei
Private Promotion and Business Partnership	KIYOTA Daisaku
Sustainable Forest Management (Mitigation and REDD+)	FUJIMURA Sahori
Support for Seminar	ICHIKAWA Shumpei



12

1. Outline of the Survey

Project Flow and Schedule



2. Implementation Policy

(1) Basic Understanding

- I. Expanding of disaster by climate change and deforestation
 - Disaster such as flooding, drought and sediment discharge causes economic damage while economic development contributes to climate change in Mekong countries
- II. Challenges for practical basin management in LMB
 - Management in Mekong basin is implemented based on the Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM)
 - MRC conducted the Council Study and predicted future conditions in Mekong River Basin, which can contribute to JICA study.
 - From now, concrete policies and proposals for practical basin management is required.
- III. Necessary for strengthening of relationship between Japan and Mekong Basin countries, Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam and Myanmar.



2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy


Forest Cover Map of the Lower Mekong Basin

- I. Utilization of existing the Forest Cover Maps
 - Compatibility, Continuity, Publicness, Trustability, Detailed analysis possibility
 - Polygon based map, Satellite image year possibility
- II. Target class type and reference years
 - Evenly period for extraction of change volume in class
 - Utilization of recent Forest Cover Map



1. Outline of the Survey

Project Flow and Schedule



2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy

Basin Environment Management Model

- MRC Tool Box is employed for this project.
- Projection of Change in Basin Environment by Climate Change
 - JST will utilize the results from CCAI (Climate Change Adaptation Initiative) and Council Study by MRC.
 - Study results of MRC considered the knowledges from AR5 (IPCC Fifth Assessment Report).
 - JST will provide Japanese study cases on climate change for reference of future study of MRC.



2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy


Forest Cover Map of the Lower Mekong Basin

- Consideration of existing class type and IPCC standard
 - Consideration of factor for deforestation and forest degradation
- III. Utilization of integrated Forest Cover Map and Cover Change Map
- Extraction of change volume of common class type in Mekong river basin
 - Integration of change volume of purpose based class from each country's map

2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy

Formulation of Countermeasures



2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy

Formulation of Countermeasures


	Forest Products trading	Disaster control technology	Carbon trading
Target	Livelihood improvement with Sustainable Forest Management	Eco-DRR	REDD+
Data collection (Japan)	Demand investigation for forest products	Estimation of deforestation hot spots/fragile areas by watershed environmental management model and forest cover map	Study on the interest level and the inhibitory factors for carbon business by questionnaire and interview
Data collection (Mekong basin)	Gathering information on good practice (literature, interview, field visit) Consideration of possibility on expansion of good practice	Existing forest policies related with disaster (Field survey of estimated hot spots and/or fragile areas Inspection of existing mountain disaster control technology)	Responses to climate change (e.g. NDC), Acceptance system of foreign private sector Investigation of precedent activity and consideration to a expansion of similar activity
Point	Social business through sustainable forest management and business of Forest products Formation on Business by Forest Products	Forest conservation and disaster risk reduction by ecosystem in areas with high vulnerability Technology transfer from Private sector to Private Sector	Mobilization of private-sector resources to deal with climate change Establishment of REDD+ to participate in National program, JCM, CSR, Voluntary Carbon Market
Assumed Project type	Company that benefit from forest product business, JICA SME support, international/domestic NGO	JICA Project, Country budget, Private sector (e.g. Dam company, Mining company)	GCF, JICA Project, Private sector, Country budget, international/domestic NGO

2. Implementation Policy

(2) Technical Policy

Formulation of Countermeasures

Approach ideas for formulation of Countermeasures



2. Implementation Policy

(3) Operational Policy

- I. Relationship with each stakeholder
 - It is important to cooperate with JICA, Mekong five countries and other stakeholders
- II. Practical Use of Seminar and workshop
 - Spreading information, exchanging opinions and building consensus are to be progressed by practical use of seminar and workshop.

Kickoff Seminar	June, 2018	Confirmation of Activities and Position of this Survey and MRC
Study Tour in Japan	September, 2018	Studying and strengthening of relationship for basin conservation and management
Workshop	October, 2018	Sharing information and challenges of prediction and evaluation of basin environment change and forest cover
Wrap Up Seminar	February, 2019	Meeting and agreement for Policy Recommendation
Seminar in Japan	March, 2019	Promotion of participating private sector and partnership in Japan

Note: Above proposed schedule might be changed depending on the progress of the study.

21



3. Operation Plan

(1) Grasp of Present Condition and Issue in the Mekong Basin

Kickoff Seminar

Date	22 nd June 2018
Place	Vientiane, Lao PDR
Participants	Participants from MRC office, NMC and other stakeholders
Contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explain of implementation plan on this Survey • Presentation of policy, challenges and approach on basin management and forest conservation • Share of policy and output on the Survey • Major key findings from the informal meetings with NMCs and relevant line agencies (as of May 2018)



22

3. Operation Plan

(1) Grasp of Present Condition and Issue in the Mekong Basin

Development of Basin Simulation Model, Projection of Change in Environment and Impact Analysis

- Through the discussions with MRC members, JST understood that MRC Tool Box has been developed for years as decision support framework (DSF). In addition, for keeping consensus of MRC member countries, update of the MRC Tool Box needs much processes and approvals.
- MRC has prepared the model with MRC Tool Box for any different purpose, for instance, evaluation of climate change (CCAI).
- JST will utilize the existing tool and results of MRC at most.



23



*ALU : Agriculture & Land Use, DIW: Drinking & Industrial Water use, PPF: Flood Protection, HPP: Hydro Power Plants, IRR: Irrigation, NAV: Navigation, CC: Climate Change. Source: MRC

24

3. Operation Plan

Utilization of MRC Output

Under developed scenarios, MRC has conducted hydrological and hydraulic simulation in LMB, which will contribute to JICA study.

Scenario	Level of Development for water-related sectors							Climate
	ALU	DIW	FPF	HPP	IRR	NAV		
M1 Early Development Scenario 2007 (Base Sc.)	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	1985-2008
M2 Definite Future Scenario 2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	1985-2008
M3 Planned Development Scenario 2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
M3 Planned Development Scenario 2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	More seasonal



24

3. Operation Plan

(1) Grasp of Present Condition and Issue in the Mekong Basin

Development of Forest Cover Map and Evaluation

- I. Collecting of necessary information and data
- II. Creation of Forest Cover Map in lower Mekong river basin
 - Extraction of classification items and indicators and examination of integration of items
 - Item integration at each country based on the result of above examination
 - Geography coordination system at each country is unified to UTM (WGS84)



25

3. Operation Plan

(1) Grasp of Present Condition and Issue in the Mekong Basin

Development of Forest Cover Map and Evaluation

- Forest cover maps is integrated and vectorized by head up digitizing
 - Forest cover map of each country after vectorization is integrated as a shapefile by year
- III. Analysis of deforestation and forest degradation
- Confirmation of effect of direct and indirect factor
 - Extraction of relation between factor and forest cover change area
 - Hotspot estimation by GIS analysis based on Geospatial data and extracted relation result



26

3. Operation Plan

(2) Analysis in Japan and Study Tour in Japan

Study Tour to Japan (Tentative)

Date	One week at middle of September 2018
Place	Relevant authorities such as ministry, research institute and local government in Japan
Participants	Some persons from MRC office, NMC, relevant authorities and other stakeholders including Director position (total: 7)
Contents	Lecture and Site Visit on Skill, Knowledge and Experience in Japan for Basin management/Conservation and Forest conservation
Schedule example	Day1: Arrival Day2~4: Kickoff and Study for water resource management, basin management and water catchment system at the eastern Japan Day5~6: Study for forest conservation at the western Japan Day7: Departure



27

3. Operation Plan

(3) Discussion on Approach against Issues

Discussion on Approach against Issues

- I. Conversion of Forest Cover Map for basin simulation
- II. Feature prediction analysis based on the historical land cover maps and study results of climate change (scenarios)
- III. Implementation of basin simulation under some future predictions
- IV. Reconfirmation of issues caused by deforestation and forest degradation
- V. Discussion for countermeasures with C/P and stakeholders



28

3. Operation Plan

(3) Discussion on Approach against Issues

Workshop (Tentative)

Date	October 2018
Place	Vientiane, Lao PDR
Participants	Participants from MRC office, relevant authorities in Japan and other stakeholders
Purpose	To share information and challenges on prediction and evaluation of basin environment change and forest cover
Contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion on the result of simulation and analyzing of forest cover map • Exchanging opinions related to the best practices recommendation



29

3. Operation Plan

(4) Study for Policy Recommendation

Survey on Best practices/Recommendation

- Verification of Business Potential and Preparation of draft business scheme
- Verification of feasibility and validity on the business scheme

For preparation of inputs to 10th Mekong-Japan Summit (Nov. 2018)

- Policy recommendations prepared by this project will be dealt with references of 10th Mekong Japan Summit.
- Through the seminars/workshops, what is to be shared for LMB at the prime summit should be discussed.



30

3. Operation Plan

(3) Discussion on Approach against Issues

Workshop (Tentative)

Date	October 2018
Place	Vientiane, Lao PDR
Participants	Participants from MRC office, relevant authorities in Japan and other stakeholders
Purpose	To share information and challenges on prediction and evaluation of basin environment change and forest cover
Contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion on the result of simulation and analyzing of forest cover map • Exchanging opinions related to the best practices recommendation



29

3. Operation Plan

(4) Study for Policy Recommendation

Wrap-Up Seminar (Tentative)

Date	End of January or Beginning of February 2019 (to be scheduled)
Place	Vientiane, Lao PDR
Participants	Participants from MRC office, NMC and other stakeholders
Purpose	To agree the Best practices/Recommendation
Contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Share of analyzing result on the basin management model simulation and forest cover map • Discussion on the feasibility and follow up method of the suggested project



31

3. Operation Plan

(5) Seminar in Japan

Seminar in Japan (Tentative)

Date	End of February or Beginning of March 2019 (to be scheduled)
Place	Japan
Participants	100 people from relevant Industry, Government, Academia and Embassies.
Purpose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To disseminate the result of the Survey to the Japanese relevant organizations • To exchange opinions on the business development
Contents	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation on the result of the Survey • Exchanging opinions on the business development in Mekong Basin



32

3. Operation Plan



(6) Technical support

■ Formulation of support commission

JICA established the technical support commission in Japan for smooth implementation of the project.

No.	Member	Organization	Description
1	Toshio KOIKE	Director of ICHARM (International Centre for Water Hazard and Risk Management)	Supports of establishment of climate change scenarios and evaluations of impacts of climate changes, etc.
2	Taikan OKI	Professor of the University of Tokyo Univ.	Supports for development of basin models and evaluations of impacts of climate changes, etc.



**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

Contact: mekong@ctii.co.jp



Major Key Findings from Informal Meetings

Formulation of future project

22 June 2018
JICA Study Team (JST)




© Presentation Design


Contents

1. Aim for formulation of future project
2. Basin Management including Forest Management
3. Driver of Deforestation and Forest degradation
4. Ideas of good practices
5. Request for Mekong Countries
6. Way forward

1. Aim for formulation of future project



2. Basin management including forest management




2. Basin management including forest management

Approach of this study

Consider basin management including view point of watershed forest management

Destination of rain falling in the forest

- Stop at trunks, branches and leaves
- Flowing on the ground into the river
- To be stored in the ground
- Become groundwater and slowly flow into the river
- Sucked up from the roots and evaporated from the leaves




© Presentation Design

4

2. Basin management including forest management

FOREST AREA CHANGE IN MEKONG COUNTRY



© Presentation Design

Source: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam: Result of interview, Myanmar; FRA2015

6


2. Basin management including forest management

Country	Forest definition	Current forest cover	Policy target	Deforestation forest degradation driver
Cambodia	Minimum area 0.5ha Minimum height 5m Minimum canopy cover <10% Rubber as National policy → F Rubber → REDD+ → NF	49.48%(2014) Cambodia Forest cover 2014	60% by 2030 National Forest Program 2009-2029	Deforestation: Conversion to the Agricultural land, Infrastructure and Mining, Forest Encroachment and Forest grabbing Forest degradation: Illegal logging, unsustainable use of NTFPs
Lao PDR	Minimum area 0.5ha Minimum DBH 10cm Minimum canopy cover <20% Rubber → F	58.0%(2015) National REDD+ Strategy 2020 of the Lao PDR	70% by 2020 Forestry Strategy to the Year 2020 of the Lao PDR	Deforestation: Conversion to the Agricultural land and Industry plantation, Development of hydropower dam, Forest fire, Mining, Development of Infrastructure Forest degradation: Unsustainable forest exportation, slash and burn and illegal logging
Thailand	Minimum area 0.5ha Minimum height 10m Minimum canopy cover <20% Rubber → NF	31.58%(2016) Result of interview	40% by 2024 Forestry Master Plan	Deforestation: Forest encroachment, Slash and burn, Conversion to the agricultural land, development of resort and Infrastructure and Mining Forest degradation: Illegal logging, Forest fire
Viet Nam	Minimum area 0.5ha Minimum height 10m Minimum canopy cover <10% Rubber → F	41.4%(2010) Viet Nam's Submission Reference levels for REDD+ Result based Payments under the UNFCCC	45% by 2020 Forestry Development Strategy 2006-2020	Forest cover is increasing by establishment of new plantations and re-designation of limestone forests but natural forests continues to be more fragmented and degraded by conversion, infrastructure development, illegal logging and forest fire.
Myanmar	Minimum area 0.5ha Minimum height 5m Minimum canopy cover <10%(10-40 Closed forest) Rubber in PPE → F Rubber out of PPE → NF	44.2%(2015) FRA 2015	51% by 2031 Forest Master Plan 2001-2031	Deforestation drivers are: Forest Conversion to the agricultural land, slash and burn and charcoal wood production (from 2006, expansion of estate and agricultural lands (Teak, Rose, teak, eucalyptus and rubber) located in the northern, cutting boundary of Myanmar is the biggest driver of deforestation.

5

2. Basin management including forest management

FOREST AREA CHANGE IN MEKONG COUNTRY



© Presentation Design

Source: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam: Result of interview, Myanmar; FRA2015


7

3. Driver of Deforestation and Forest degradation

Drivers	Cambodia	Lao , PDR	Thailand	Viet Nam	Myanmar
Conversion					
Agricultural land	X	X	X	X	X
Industrial Plantation	X	X	X	X	X
Infrastructure	X	X	X	X	X
Mining	X	X	X		X
Hydropower		X		X	X
Logging					
Illegal logging	X	X	X		X
Unsustainable logging		X		X	X
Shifting cultivation	X	X	X	X	X
Overharvesting of fuel wood	X	X	X		X
Unsustainable use of NTFPs	X			X	
Forest Fires		X	X	X	X


8

3. Driver of Deforestation and Forest degradation



9


3. Driver of Deforestation and Forest degradation



10

4. Ideas of good practices for future project


4-1. Approach to the Deforestation/forest degradation drivers



11

4. Ideas of good practices for future project

4-2. Improvement of Reforestation



- Implement efficient and effective reforestation
- Recovery of watershed conservation function
- Mitigation of flood damage

Improvement of Reforestation

5. Introduction of container seedlings

The container seedlings are cultivated in a special container. The roots are protected within a peat and therefore less susceptible to damage and environmental stresses. The container seedling results in high survival rate and growth rates.



Growing Container seedlings



Looping root of the seedling which grow in the pot



After planting, root is still looping




Root of container seedling is not looping because of air pruning

Source: <http://www.pref.hokkaido.lg.jp/sr/srs/content/komutenanaesono1.pdf>

4. Ideas of good practices for future project

4-3. Prevention technology of Slopes disasters



- Prevention of sediment disaster
- Suppressing the deposition of sediment in a river
- Mitigation of flood damage

Prevention technology of Slopes disasters

6. Ground anchor construction method

It is a method of tying the stable underground rock and the surface with a cable to create tension, thereby stabilizing slopes and structures. It is effective to prevent landslides and stabilize road slopes.



Structure of Ground anchor



Construction to highway slopes



Reinforcement of sabo dam

Figure and pictures are courtesy of SE Corporation : <http://www.se-corp.com/en/Top.html>

Prevention technology of Slopes disasters



Slope greening sheet with straw



Structure of the sheet

7. Slope greening sheet

The greening sheet attaching seeds and fertilizer is installed to bare land to promote recovery of vegetation. After installing them, grasses and shrubs which are attached to the sheet as seed will cover the slope and these root preserve soils from erosion.



Before Work



After Work



After 10 month



Pictures are courtesy of Rontai Corporation : <http://www.rontai.co.jp/>

5. Requests for Mekong Countries


5.1. Country Report

Please complete the **country report** according to the format prepared by JST by 31th August.




5.2. Questionnaire

Please describe the answer on the **questionnaire** distributed.



6. Way Forward



Thank you for your attention and your kind cooperation.

Contact: mekong@ctii.co.jp
s.matsumoto@jofca.or.jp



Major Key Findings from Informal Meetings

Climate Change / Hydrology

22nd June 2018
JICA Study Team (JST)



1. MRC Tool Box

Outline



MRC Tool Box:

- Developed as Decision Support Framework (DSF) for Lower Mekong Basin (LMB)
- DSF consists several hydrological/hydraulic analysis models, etc.
- For estimation of flow regime in LMB, three models (SWAT, IQQM and ISIS) are used.
- Data set is prepared and approved by all MRC members (NMC).

1. MRC Tool Box

Summary of each model

No.	Model	Description
1	SWAT	The SWAT developed by the United States Department of Agriculture has been set-up to generate sub-basin runoff from rainfall and climate data then provide inputs to a series of basin simulation models. The scenarios of land use change and climate change can be applied with this model.
2	IQQM	The Integrated Quantity and Quality Model (IQQM) is a basin simulation model, originally developed for the Murray-Darling Basin in Australia. IQQM used output from SWAT sub-basins to route through the river system, making allowance for control structures such as dams and irrigation abstractions.
3	ISIS	The ISIS is a hydrodynamic model, developed by HR Wallingford and Halcrow, is used to simulate the river system downstream part of the basin including Great Lake and Delta. The hydrodynamic model represents the complex interactions caused by tidal influences, flow reversal in the Tonle Sap River and over-bank flow in the flood season with the varying inflows from upstream.



1. MRC Tool Box

Past Developed DSF

For different purpose, DSF was developed

- 1) For evaluation of climate change According to the Climate Change Adaptation Initiative (CCAI), DSF model (baseline: 2007) was developed.
- 2) For Council Study In "The Study on Sustainable Management and Development of the Mekong River, including Impacts by Mainstream Hydropower Projects", new DSF was developed.

,etc.




1. MRC Tool Box

Council Study

Key Objectives:

- Study **positive and negative environmental, social, and economic impacts of water resources development**
- Integrate results into the MRC knowledge base to enhance the Basin Development Planning process
- Promote capacity and ensure technology transfer to Member Countries



1. MRC Tool Box

Simulations in Council Study

Scenarios conducted in Council Study, which contribute to JICA Study

Scenario	Level of Development for water-related sectors								Climate	
	ALU	DIW	FPF	HPP	IRR	NAV	IRR	NAV		
M1	Early Development Scenario 2007 (Base Sc.)	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	1985-2008
M2	Definite Future Scenario 2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	1985-2008
M3	Planned Development Scenario 2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
M3 CC	Planned Development Scenario 2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	More seasonal




※ALU : Agriculture & Land Use, DIW: Drinking & Industrial Water use, FPF: Flood Protection, HPP: Hydro Power Plants, IRR: Irrigation, NAV: Navigation, CC: Climate Change, Source: MRC

2. Evaluation of Deforestation

Prediction of forest cover area


- Forest has an important roles for water resources management including countermeasures against natural disaster such as flood and drought, etc. in LMB and the proper forest conservation is indispensable.
- Therefore, simulations in case of serious deforestation shall be done.




2. Evaluation of Deforestation

Prediction of forest cover area

- Prepare historical forest cover map



- Occupancy of forest area in each sub-basin (SWAT Model) shall be calculated.




- Future occupancy of forest area shall be set up based on the past changes of forest area, etc. in cooperation with MRC.

Need to prepare 1) optimum, 2) medium and 3) worst scenarios.



3. Impact Assessment

How to evaluate the impacts




9

3. Impact Assessment

Examination of hydrological outputs

- Compare hydrographs and extract remarkable points. (at calculation points of SWAT)
- In areas which can become vulnerable due to deforestation will be examined with RRI Model developed by ICHARM, Japan.



http://www.icharm.pwri.go.jp/research/rri/rri_top.html



10

3. Impact Assessment

RRI Model

- ❑ Developed by ICHARM (<http://www.icharm.pwri.go.jp/>)
- ❑ Distributed hydrologic model and unsteady flow model
- ❑ River flow and inundation area can be calculated considering sea tide.
- ❑ Free distribution



http://www.icharm.pwri.go.jp/research/rri/rri_top.html

11

4. Climate Change

Climate Change

MRC has already evaluated impacts of climate change in Climate Change Adaptation Initiative (CCAI).

Model: Data set approved by MRC and all NMC

Base line: 2007

Climate change scenario:

Low (RCP 2.6), Medium (RCP 6.0/4.5) and High (RCP 8.5)

Evaluation term:

2030 (2021 - 2040), 2060 (2031 - 2070) and 2090 (2081 - 2100)

MRC's output will be utilized maximally.



12

5. Points to be considered



- Target year 2030 ? 2040 ?
- Simulation scenario (combination of climate changes and deforestation)
- Which DSF model should be employed.
,etc.

JST would like to discuss with MRC members continuously.



13



**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

Contact (open 24 hours):
mekong@ctii.co.jp



14



Major Key Findings from Informal Meetings

Forest Cover Map

22nd June 2018
JICA Study Team (JST)



Forest Cover Map – Initial Plan



- I. Collecting of existing the Forest Cover Maps from each countries
 - Compatibility
 - Continuity
 - Publicness
 - Trustability
 - Detailed analysis possibility
- II. Combining of existing the Forest Cover Map
 - Merging of cover type → Forest, Urban area, Other
- III. Extraction of cover change (Ex. 2000 to 2005)



Forest Cover Map – Collecting

Country	Organization Name
	Mekong River Commission (MRC)
Cambodia	General Directorate of Administration for Nature Conservation and Protection (GDANCP), Ministry of Environment Forestry Administration, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries
Lao PDR	Department of Forest, Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry
Viet Nam	Viet Nam Administration of Forestry, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development Forest Inspection and Planning Institute, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
Thailand	Royal Forest Department, Ministry of Natural Resource and Environment Department of National Parks, Wildlife and Plant Conservation, Ministry of Natural Resource and Environment Faculty of Forestry, Kasetsart University
Myanmar	Forestry Department, Ministry of Environmental Conservation and Forestry



Forest Cover Map – Collecting



- I. Available year of existing the Forest Cover Maps
 - 2000 – 2015 *Greatest common factor
- II. Utilized satellite imagery
 - LANDSAT satellite imagery were mostly utilized
 - SPOT satellite imagery or other satellite imagery were utilized some year and some country
- III. Classification method: Segmentation based classification
- IV. Number of land cover type
 - 6 to 22 classis (included forest type)
 - For forest: 3 to 12 classis
 - Thailand: Forest or Non-forest only





**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

Contact: mekong@ctii.co.jp

Forest Cover Map – Option



- I. Utilization of Land Cover Map which was developed by ADPC (Asia Disaster Preparedness Center)
- Available year: 2000 – 2016 (each year)
 - Cover area: Whole Mekong River Basin
 - Utilized Satellite Imagery: LANDSAT Satellite Imagery
 - Classification Type: Pixel based classification
 - * Supervised classification with deep learning
 - Utilized software: Google Earth Engine
 - Number of land cover type: 22 classes
 - * Forest: 9 classes, Non-forest: 11 classes
 - Cooperation: USAID, NASA, Spatial Informatics Group, USDA Forest Service, Google, MRC, etc.

Forest Cover Map – Option





- II. How to use the ADPC's Land Cover Map
- To merge land cover type
 - * 20 classes to 3 classes
 - To compare forest area between reference years
 - * Ex) 2000 – 2005, 2005 – 2010, 2010 – 2015
 - * To understand trend of forest cover area
 - To use for model simulation
 - * Preparation: calculation for percentage of land cover type on each sub or sub-sub basin

Forest Cover Map – Option



Merging of land cover type → 20 to 3

- Forest A
- Forest B
- Forest C
- Bushland
- Grassland
- Cropland
- Bare land
- Urban Area
- Waterbody




**Forest
Urban Area
Other**

Forest Cover Map – Option




Reference Interval Year: 4 years or 5 years



Forest Cover Map – Option



Calculation for percentage of land cover type



Wrap-Up and Next Steps



MRC-JICA Regional Kick-off Meeting on
The Study on Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental
Conservation in Mekong River Basin
22 June 2018 at MRCS Office, Vientiane, Lao PDR

Wrap-Up (1)

1. The Meeting acknowledged and agreed the structure, scope and contents of the **Draft Inception Report**, with the following key comments for the final version of the Report:

- South China Sea to East Sea
- Clearer and detailed roles and responsibilities of JICA, JST, MRCS, NIMCs and line agencies (particularly the forestry departments of the 4 MCs and Myanmar) in this project- e.g. involvement of MCs experts in modelling work
- All modelling task at MRCS TD
- Mangrove forest reduction- check the figures (VN has available data)
- Transboundary issues
- Capacity building for government staff on forest management and conservation
- Need more consultations, with the 4 MCs, but not national consultations: e.g. choosing years of forest covers, CC scenarios, and payment for ecosystem services

Wrap-Up (2)

- Data sharing ref. to PDIES: JICA to/from MRCS to/from NIMCs and to/from LAs for needed data
- Carbon credit, with ref REDD+ and Links of this project with REDD+
- Make clarification of the terms “business policy”

Wrap-Up (3)

2. Key findings from informal meeting with Mekong MCs- **CC & hydrology**

- 13 Sub scenarios of the MRC Council Study;
- Choose 2040
- JST will prepare **deforestation/forest cover data** for MRCS TD to run MRC SWAT Model and then hot spots will be identified and selected for running RRI model for impact assessment in regard to water level, discharge and inundation.
- Use the 3 MRC CCAI climate change scenarios (RCP 2.6, 6.0/4.5 and 8.5)combined with deforestation data to assess the impacts on hydrology and hydraulics of the River

Wrap – UP (4)

2.2 Forest cover maps

In addition to MRC land cover data and 4 MCs forest cover data using **ADPC land cover data** to include Myanmar to prepare forest cover maps of the Mekong basin.



Wrap – UP (5)

2.3 Formulation of future projects

- Identify **future projects** related to good practices for protecting forest resources, based on the results of this initial study.
- Each MC should prepare a ppt presentation on National Country Forestry Report submitted to JST by 31 August 2018 presented at the next workshop in October 2018.



Next steps

No.	Item	Deadline
1.	Summary report of the meeting	6 July 2018
2.	Finalization of the Inception Report and share with the 4 MCs and Myanmar	15 July 2018
3.	Study tour in Japan for 1 week	Second week of September 2018
4.	Regional workshop on Sharing information and challenges of prediction and evaluation of basin environmental changes and forest cover maps at MRCS	October 2018
5.	Final workshop at MRCS on Sharing of possible projects and programmes for promoting forest conservation and management to be included in the proposed best practices/policy recommendations	Early Feb 2019
6.	Prepare the final project report	End of March 2019



Thank you for your attention!

Appendix -6-2

Interim Workshop



Mekong River Commission (MRCS)

and

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

Tentative Agenda

The Regional Interim Workshop on

the Study on Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin

24th January 2019 at MRCS Office, Vientiane, Lao PDR

1. Background

During the 8th top-level meeting among Japan and Mekong countries on 7th September 2016, Mr. Shinso Abe, the Japanese Prime Minister, announced the commencement of a survey for the environmental conservation program on Mekong River Watershed. The first phase of the program focuses on the data collection survey for the development of forest cover map in the basin and recommends policy for forest preservation.

Under this Japan-Mekong Cooperation, the Mekong River Commission (MRC) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) have co-implemented the new initiative on “Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin” Project which includes 4 Member Countries (MCs) and Myanmar. The Project will implement from December 2017 to JulyMarch 2019. The objectives of this Project are to: (1) understand the existing condition of forest preservation; (2) clarify the issues on forest management and preservation; and (3) propose the effective countermeasures as policy recommendations for basin management in the Mekong River. The expected outputs are; (i) the basic information for forest management are collected and reviewed; (2) the environmental impacts by climate changes and the historical changes of forest resources are studied and (iii) the best practices for basin management in the Mekong River are recommended.

On 22nd June 2016, the regional kick-off seminar was held in cooperation with the Mekong River Commission Secretariat (MRCS) and the Member Countries (MCs), in order to present and discuss the project details and work plan with the National Mekong Committees (NMCs) and relevant line agencies. After the seminar, the inception report was finalized and JST commenced practical activities. To prepare the study report, the JST, with the coordination of MRCS and the respective NMCs, had conducted the interview sessions with relevant line agencies of the MCs during August to November 2018. Results from these interview sessions were used for further improvement of information gaps and development of the study report.



The Regional Interim Workshop aims to present the progress of project activities and outputs by the JST and discuss about the further activities for forest management in Lower Mekong Basin (LMB) region.

2. Objectives of the Workshop

The objectives of this Regional Interim Workshop on the Study on Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin are to:

- Report the progress of project activities especially the results from interview sessions with relevant line agencies during August to November 2018;
- Discuss the preparation of forest cover maps and hot spot (deforestation areas) and drivers for deforestation; and
- Discuss further study on hydrological/hydraulic analysis and policy recommendations with MRCs, NMCs and relevant national line agencies.

3. Expected Outputs

The expected outputs from the Workshop are as follows:

- The employment of historical forest cover maps generated by ADPC's satellite imagery (Landsat) for the Project was finalized and agreed;
- Common perceptions on deforestation drivers in each country were shared and discussed;
- Future land cover scenarios for evaluation of deforestation in LMB was discussed and agreed; and
- Methodology of estimation of vulnerable areas by future land cover areas was discussed and agreed.

4. Agenda

Time	Activities
09.00-09.30	Registration <i>Facilitated by MRCS ED Staff</i>
09.30-09.40	Opening Remarks <i>By Mr. Tran Minh Khoi, Director of MRCS ED and Mr. Yoshiharu YONEYAMA, Director of JICA Laos Office</i>
09.40-09.50	Opening Guidance: (1) Introduction and Background; (2) Objectives of the Workshop; and



Time	Activities
	(3) Expected Outputs <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
Session I: Interim Report on the Study	
09:50-10:45	Presentation on Interim Report on the Study 1) Outline of the Survey; 2) Preparation of Land cover maps and hotspot 1; 3) Data request; and 4) Deforestation drivers, etc. <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
10:45-11:00	Tea and coffee break
11:00-12.00	Discussions on Interim Report on the Study <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officers, MRCS ED</i>
12.00-13.30	Lunch break
Session II: Discussion for Further Studies	
13.30-14.30	Presentation on the major key findings and discussions 1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes; 2) Deforestation scenarios; 3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2; and 4) Next steps <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
14.30-14.45	Tea and coffee break
14.45-16.00	Discussions on the major key findings and proposal by JST <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officers, MRCS ED</i>
16.00-16.30	Wrap-up and Next Steps <i>By MRCS ED and the JICA Study Team</i>
16.30-16.45	Fill-up the Questionnaire <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
16.45-17.00	Closing Remarks <i>By MRCS or JICA Laos office</i>

Title	The Regional Interim Workshop on the Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin
Date / Time	24 th January 2019 (Thu) / 9:30 ~ 17:00
Venue	MRC Conference Room, Vientiane, Lao P.D.R
Participants	46 participants from Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam, Myanmar, Stakeholder, MRCS, JICA, JICA Study Team (JST)
Agenda and Topics	1. Opening Remarks 2. Session 1: Interim Report on the Study 3. Session 2: Discussion for Further Studies 4. Wrap-up and Next Steps & Closing Remarks

Main Items discussed

1. Opening Remarks

Opening Remarks was announced by Dr. An Pich Hatda, CEO, MRCS ED and Mr. Yoshiharu YONEYAMA,, Director of JICA Laos Office.

2. Interim Report on the Study

The summary of the Interim Report was presented by JST and contents were discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- Evidences of criteria to classify the hot spot 1 come from past experiences, reduction ratio of forest transition and correlation between forest area and farm area.
- Category of land cover map is changed from 21 to 4 in order to catch the trend of forest reduction. Amount of forest area wasn't analyzed in this study.
- MRC also has the own maps so differences between MRC's map and maps of project output should be clear.
- Project output should be checked consistency with MRC's data.
- Classifying natural forest and plantation is difficult because of using land cover map to compare with forest area and farm area in this project.
- Area of forest reduction is used only in case it is described in the official documents such as REDD+ report.

3. Discussion for Further Studies

The Further Studies regarding to hydrological analysis, forest reduction and private cooperation were presented by JST and opportunity for future activities was discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- Hot spot 1 shows actual area of forest reduction to grasp the trend of forest reduction and hot spot 2 shows area received impact of forest reduction and climate change indirectly.

Main Items discussed

- Deforestation scenario should be shown by JST for the future discussion.
- Amount of hydrological flow receives impact of dam ischarge.
- Precipitation data after 2008 is needed for the study.
- Hydraulic analysis by MRC needs more than 2 months.

4. Wrap-up and Next Steps & Closing Remarks

Wrap-up of this workshop was shared by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer, ED, MRC.

Photos



Open Remarks



Open Remarks



Presentation by JST



Presentation by JST

End of Documents



Session I: Interim Report on the Study


“Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin”

24th January 2019



JICA Study Team (JST)

0



1

Agenda

Today's Presentation

1. Outline of the Survey

Provide basic information, work procedure and the JST of the study

2. Progress of each Step

Report the progress of

Step 1: 1) Utilization of Satellite Images, and 2) Statistical Approach

Step 2: 1) Data request, 2) Method for finding Hot Spot 2 and
3) Future deforestation scenarios

Step 3-1: 1) Deforestation Drivers, and 2) Private Promotion and
Business Partnership



2

2

1. Outline of the Survey

Basic Information

Project Name:

Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin

Target country: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam and Myanmar

Main counterpart:

Mekong River Commission (MRC) and 4 National Mekong Committees (NMC)

Objectives:

- 1) To understand forest cover areas in **Lower Mekong Basin** (except for China)
- 2) To clarify triggers of deforestations and issues of forest management
- 3) To propose effective countermeasures and to recommend effective basin management policy focusing on forestry sector in LMB

Project period: Dec 2017 to July 2019




3

3

1. Outline of the Survey


Work Procedure



4

1. Outline of the Survey

Work Procedure



5

1. Outline of the Survey

Work Procedure

Step 3-2 *Next activity*


Analyze potentially vulnerable areas ("hot spot 2") from viewpoint of water resources management



Analyze detail negative impacts by deforestation (by RRI Model), if needed



List up effective countermeasures



Step 4 *Next activity*

Propose effective countermeasures and to recommend effective basin management policy focusing on forestry sector in LMB based on the output from Step 3



6

6

1. Outline of the Survey

JICA Study Team (JST)

Position	Name
Team Leader / Basin Management 1	SASABE Keiji
From Jan 2019 through certain circumstances...	
Team Leader Basin Management 1	HATANO Takayuki GOTO Toshihiro
Sub-Team Leader / Basin Management 2 / Climate Change / Hydrology	NAKAMURA Kazuhiro
Forest Cover Map	SATO Kei
Private Promotion and Business Partnership	KIYOTA Daisaku
Sustainable Forest Management (Mitigation and REDD+)	FUJIMURA Sahori
Support for Seminar	ICHIKAWA Shumpei



7

7

2. Interim Report

Progress of Step-1

- (1) Utilization of Satellite Images for Land Cover Maps (LCM)
- (2) Statistical Approach for understanding historical forest cover area



8

8

Progress of Step 1

(1) Utilization of Satellite Images

Situation of Forest Cover Maps

- ❑ Ideally, Preparing forest cover maps by compiling maps supplied by member countries is the best methods for the project purpose. However, there are certain difficulties for this such as lack of maps in some areas, inconsistencies in image resolutions covering the target areas and limited time for processing.
- ❑ Therefore, it is practical as well as optimum to utilize global observation data.
- ❑ ADPC (**A**sian **D**isaster **P**reparedness **C**enter in Bangkok)* can provide time series Land Cover Map (based on Landsat imagery) for free.
- ❑ JICA, MRC and NMC agreed on the utilization of satellite images prepared by ADPC to analyze the historical changes of forest cover areas in LMB at Joint Seminar on June 2018.



*ADPC website: <https://www.adpc.net/igo/>

9

9

(1) Utilization of Satellite Images


Basic Condition for Preparation of Maps

- ❑ Duration: 16 years, from 2000 to 2015
- ❑ Images resource: LANDSAT 4 to 8
- ❑ Utilization bands: RGB (Blue, Green, Red), near infrared and middle infrared band
- ❑ Land Cover Classification: (1) forest (9 class types), (2) urban area, (3) cropland, (4) rice paddy, (5) others (8 class types), (6) unknown e.g. obstacle by clouds
- ❑ Method: Utilization of indices such as NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), NDWI (Normalized Difference Water Index), etc. with machine learning (random forest algorithm) as supervised classification. It is pixel based automatic classification
- ❑ Reliability: Over 70% even without field surveys.



(1) Utilization of Satellite Images

Land Cover Maps



- ❑ Land Cover Classification: Total 21-class
 - (1) forest (9 class types)
 - (2) urban area
 - (3) cropland
 - (4) rice paddy
 - (5) others (8 class types)
 - (6) unknown

Fig- Land Cover Map by ADPC



(2) Statistical Approach


Preparation of analytic data and analysis

- ❑ Integration of class type on Land Cover Map:
21 Classes → 4 Classes
(1) Forest, (2) Agricultural Area, (3) Urban Area and (4) Other
- ❑ Provincial level approach:
Counting the area size for 4 class types at province
3 years average window function for smoothing
- ❑ Analysis:
Correlation between (1) Forest and (2) Agricultural Area for 2001 to 2014
Calculation for:
Forest change ratio between 2001 and 2014
Deforestation percentage
Extraction of hotspot province:
Forest cover ratio at province $\geq 50\%$, Deforestation $\geq 0.22\%$ / Year,
Correlation ≤ -0.7



(2) Statistical Approach

Result on Image Analysis (1)



- ❑ The map indicates decrease/increase of provincial forest area.
- ❑ By using global observation data such as satellite images, change of forest cover area can be examined even for broad study area.
- ❑ Deforestation rates and areas can be calculated.

Fig- Forest Change Ratio in LMB (from 2001 to 2014)



(2) Statistical Approach

Result on Image Analysis (3) – Province Level




Fig- Historical Changes of Forest and Agricultural Area (Farm Land and Paddy field)



2. Interim Report

Progress of Step-2


- (1) Data Request
- (2) Method for finding Hot Spot 2
- (3) Future deforestation scenarios



(1) Data Request

Collection of observed data

- One of purpose this project is "to clarify triggers of deforestations and **issues of forest management**".
- JST believes that land use conditions including forest cover area in LMB affects on flow regime of Mekong River to some extent.
- By comparing historical changes of forest cover areas and flow regime at major observation station, the impact of deforestation can be evaluated.



(1) Data Request

Collection of observed data

- JST understands the influences of river structures such as hydropower dam, weirs, etc. which can change flow regime of mainstream and tributaries of LMB. So, the relation between forest cover areas and flow regime may not appear.
- However, JST believes that it is necessary to certify the no influences of forest area changes (or not) by science-basis in an objective manner.

Request Data (at least)

1. Water level or discharge data at Major hydrological stations
2. Rainfall and evaporation data at Major hydrological stations
3. Term: 1986 to present (to fit land cover maps)
4. Information about facilities incl. dams weirs [location(coordinates), established year, storage capacity]

(2) Method for finding Hot Spot 2



JST would like to discuss about how to identify Hot Spot 2 with MRC and line agencies at this afternoon.

(3) Future Deforestation Scenarios



JST would like to discuss about the future deforestation scenarios with MRC and line agencies at this afternoon.

2. Interim Report


Progress of Step-3

- (1) Deforestation Drivers
- (2) Method for finding Hot Spot 2







(1) Deforestation Drivers

Current condition of forest in Mekong river basin



(1) Deforestation Drivers

Forest area change in Cambodia





2012: Order on the Measures to Strengthen and Increase the Effectiveness of the Management of Economic Land Concessions(ELC)
 2014: Inter-Ministerial Proclamation on the Strengthening of Economic Land Concessions Management


Cambodia Forest Cover 2014

(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers and challenges in Cambodia



Production of biomass energy(FAOSAT)



Production of Industrial Round wood(FAOSAT)

(1) Deforestation Drivers

Forest area change in Cambodia

Direct drivers of deforestation

- ✓ Logging activities (commercial logging, unauthorized logging, unsustainable harvesting)
- ✓ Unsustainable harvesting of non-timber products
- ✓ Infrastructure development
- ✓ Encroachment of forest land
- ✓ Rapid expansion of agriculture into forestlands
- ✓ Gas and Mining exploration
- ✓ Land grabbing


Plan and Action

- National Forest Programme 2010-2029
- National REDD+ Strategy 2017-2026





(1) Deforestation Drivers

Forest area change in Lao PDR



Forest area change in Lao PDR







Carbon Emission from Deforestation and forest degradation activity (FRL Report 2018 January)

Forest area of Lao PDR (FRL Report 2018 January)

(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers and challenges in Lao PDR



(1) Deforestation Drivers

Forest area change in Lao PDR

Direct drivers of deforestation

- ✓ Conversion to commercial tree plantation (6,000ha/year)
- ✓ Conversion to cash crop production (48,900ha/year)
- ✓ Pioneering shifting cultivation (57,300ha/year)
- ✓ Development activity (Infrastructure(1000-2000ha/year), mining(5,100-14,100ha/year), hydropower(13,100ha/year), etc.)
- ✓ Degradation of forest due to illegal logging (0.97 to 1.57 million m3 /year, 2002 to 2009)
- ✓ Degradation of forest from fire (pioneering shifting cultivation, and hunting)

Primary drivers	N	C	S
Agriculture expansion (pioneering, shifting, cultivation, and cash crop production)	✓	✓	
Infrastructure development (road construction, hydro-power development)		✓	
Conversion of natural forest to industrial tree plantation			✓
Illegal logging	✓	✓	✓



Draft of National REDD+ Strategy" (DOF, Feb. 2018), REDD_RPP(2010)

Plan and action

- Forestry Strategy to the year 2020 of the Lao PDR (Jul.2005)
- Revised Draft NATIONAL REDD+ STRATEGY (Feb.2018)

(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Thailand




Forest area of Thailand 2013 (RFD; Forest Land Management office)





(1) Deforestation Drivers


Deforestation drivers and challenges in Thailand



Relation between forest land and agricultural land(FAOSAT)



Production of biomass energy(FAOSAT)



Production of Industrial Round wood(FAOSAT)

(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Thailand

Direct drivers of deforestation

- ✓ Encroachment (conversions of natural forest area to commercial monoculture agriculture and other investments concerning, food and energy crops, forest plantations and tourism resorts)
- ✓ Infrastructure development
- ✓ Mining
- ✓ Forest Fire


Plan and action

- National forest policy(1985)
- Master Plan for Forest Resources Protection and Sustainable Management(2014-2024)





(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Viet Nam





(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers and challenges in Viet Nam




Relation between forest land and agricultural land(FAOSAT)



(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Viet Nam



Direct drivers of deforestation

- ✓ Expansion of agricultural land due to a demand of domestic and international market
- ✓ Unsustainable wood extraction, including illegal logging; and,
- ✓ Forest fires (incidence is decreasing).
- ✓ Coastal Erosion(Mangrove)


Plan and action

- Forestry Development Strategy 2006-2020
- National Action programme on the REDD+ by 2030 (Apr.2017)




(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Myanmar



Forest area change in Myanmar




Forest area change of Myanmar (FRL Report 2018)





(1) Deforestation Drivers


Deforestation drivers and challenges in Myanmar



Relation between forest land and agricultural land(FAOSAT)



Production of biomass energy(FAOSAT)



(1) Deforestation Drivers

Deforestation drivers in Myanmar

Direct drivers of deforestation

Sector	Direct Drivers
Forestry sector	Over exportation of forest timber (legal-illegal)
	Over harvesting of wood biomass as a source of energy
	Unstable of pioneering, shifting, cultivation
	Forest fires
	Over-grazing
	Storms
	Pests
Outside of forestry sector	Expansion of agriculture (subsistence and commercial)
	Mining
	Hydro-power development
	Infrastructure
	Urbanization and resettlement
	Development of aquaculture


Plan and action

- Forestry Master Plan (2001-2030)
- Draft NATIONAL REDD+ STRATEGY(Mar.2018)
- Myanmar Reforestation and Rehabilitation Program MRFP (2017–2026)



(1) Deforestation Drivers

Structure of Deforestation and forest degradation



(2) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Surrounding Environment of Deforestation and forest degradation

Agricultural sector remains major part of the population while GDP of the sector remain relatively small.

Population growth

Economic Development

Unstable Livelihood

Budget for the Forest Sector is small

Strong incentives for cultivation

Insufficient law enforcement

It is quite difficult to control the voraciousness of private sectors

Increasing domestic and international demand of food, energy, timber, NTFPS, Mineral resources, and etc. .



(2) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Strategy shall be taken (Draft)

Insufficient law enforcement

1. Increase budget for PES, REDD, Revitalizing forest sector like in Vietnam
2. Improve the efficiency of the forest management
ICT technologies: GIS DB, Drones, Satellite Imagery
sign up local rangers, Community Forestry
3. Focusing on remaining Natural Forests and Protect them
* Using IWRM for introducing PES as well as remained natural resources as conflict management in each basin.



(2) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Strategy shall be taken (Draft)

Unstable Livelihood

Local residents are vulnerable to external economy, especially to economic fluctuations of it.

1. Improve the livelihood of local residents considering sustainability as well as tolerance against external economy. Promote NTFP, stimulate NGO activities especially in local market establishment and strengthen NGOs network
2. Adding the value to their commodities
Organic farming, quality control,



(2) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Strategy shall be taken (Draft)

Increase the incentives for preserving forest

1. Increase the value of the forest
PES, Basic Research on Ecosystem and Biodiversity, Eco-tourism
2. Stimulate the incentives for preserving forest
Environmental Educations

Economic Schema

1. Induce the economic activities toward more favorable to the forest preservation.
ESG investment with certification scheme to guarantee them, Environmental Education, Ecotourism



3. Points to be considered

- Land Cover Maps (LCM) based on ADPC is acceptable ?
- Data request is acceptable ?
- Current condition of forest area in LMB is agreeable ?
- Direction of countermeasures against deforestation is reasonable ?

JST would like to discuss with MRC members continuously.



44

44

**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

**Contact every time !
mekong@ctii.co.jp**



45

45



Session II: Discussion for Further Studies

“Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin”

24th January 2019



JICA Study Team (JST)

0

Agenda



Today's Presentation

- 1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes;
- 2) Deforestation scenarios;
- 3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2; and
- 4) Next Steps.




1

1

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Field study points



Country	No.	Province	Date
Lao PDR	1	Savannakhet	05 Nov. 2018
	2	Khammuane	06 Nov. 2018
	3	Bolikhamxay	07 Nov. 2018
	4	Vientiane(Province)	08 Nov. 2018
	5	Luangprabang	21 Jan. 2019
	6	Oudomxay	22 Jan. 2019
Cambodia	7	Koh Kong	30 Nov. 2018
	8	Ratanakiri, Mondulkiri	9-11 Dec. 2018
Thailand	9	Lampang	30 Oct. 2018
	10	Chiang Rai	31 Oct. 2018
	11	Phayao	11 Nov. 2018
Viet Nam	12	Ca Mau	10 Sep. 2018
	13	Can Tho	11 Sep. 2018
	14	Bac Lieu	06 Dec. 2018
	15	Lam Dong	12 Nov. 2018
	16	Gia Lai	14 Nov. 2018
	17	Kon Tum	15 Nov. 2018

Fig- Hotspot Provinces in LMB (from 2001 to 2014)

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Deforestation due to Conversion of Forest to agricultural land





Fig- Elevation and road network in LMB

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Forest degradation due to Conversion of Natural Forest to industrial tree plantation



4

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes


Deforestation due to Conversion of Forest to agricultural land / industrial tree plantation



5

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes


Deforestation due to Conversion of Forest to agricultural land / industrial tree plantation



6

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Wood extraction



Production of Industrial Round wood in Mekong river basin (FAOSAT)

From...





Fig- Land Cover Map 2010(Mekong River Commission)

7

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes


Wood extraction



8

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes





Wood extraction



9

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes


Wood fuel and charcoal




Population without access to clean cooking (International Energy agency Energy Access Outlook 2017, UNdata)

(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Development activities and others




Forest fire spot (GISTDA <http://fire.gistda.or.th/>)



(1) Relation of deforestation drivers and actual land cover changes

Development activities and others



12

12

(2) Deforestation Scenarios

Discussion on Scenarios

1) Optimum Scenario

Ex. increase in forest areas up to planned goal of 2040 in all countries

2) Worst Scenario

Ex. decrease in forest areas up to record-low in all countries



13

13

(2) Deforestation Scenarios

Discussion on Scenarios

3) Particular rate Scenario

Ex. decrease in forest areas at upper areas of LMB




14

14


(2) Deforestation Scenarios

Reference 1

Forest Cover Area 2001



Ratio of Deforestation 2001 -2014




15

15


(2) Deforestation Scenarios

Reference 2

Correlation Ratio



Hot Spot 1




16

16

(2) Deforestation Scenarios

Reference 3

Remaining Forest



17

17

(3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2

Utilization of MRC's assets

- ❑ Since MRC has already evaluated the influences on the flow regime of Mekong River by climate changes and future land use/development, etc. in the Council Study (CS) by MRC, JST will fully utilize the outputs from CS for the project.
- ❑ However, this project focuses on forest management and JST would like to conduct sensibility analysis of deforestation by using MRC Tool Box.
- ❑ Concretely speaking, JST would like to estimate flow regimes of Mekong River under some several conditions (deforestation). JST will prepare the input data (HRU file) for SWAT model. JST would like to ask MRC to execute the calculation by using existing data set which have already used in CS.



18

18

(3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2

Expected simulation cases

Scenario		Level of Development for Water-related Sectors						Climate
		ALU	DIW	FPF	HPP	IRR	NAV	
M1	Early Development Scenario 2007(base line)	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	2007	1985-2008
M3	Planned Development Scenario 2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
1	Case 1-0	Deforestation (ideal)	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
2	Case 1-1							Low: RCP 2.6
3	Case 1-2							Medium: RCP 6.0/4.5
4	Case 1-3							High: RCP 8.5
5	Case 2-0	Deforestation (particular)	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
6	Case 2-1							Low: RCP 2.6
7	Case 2-2							Medium: RCP 6.0/4.5
8	Case 2-3							High: RCP 8.5
9	Case 3-0	Deforestation (worst)	2040	2040	2040	2040	2040	1985-2008
10	Case 3-1							Low: RCP 2.6
11	Case 3-1							Medium: RCP 6.0/4.5
12	Case 3-3							High: RCP 8.5




19

19

(3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2

Identification of Hot Spot 2

- ❑ JST defines Hotspot2 as areas can be influenced indirectly by deforestation at viewpoints of water resources management.
- ❑ JST propose to identify "Hot Spot 2" by comparing the hydrographs of baseline and that of future forest conditions.
- ❑ The number of calculation points of SWAT model is approximately 800, which covers most areas of LMB.
- ❑ Analysis shall be conducted by JST.




20

20


(3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2

How to reflect scenarios to SWAT

Sub Basin of SWAT Model



2003 land use data



2040

For instance, occupancy rate of forest cover area is 20% in SWAT model (baseline 2003).

JST set future forest cover rate considering historical forest cover maps and development plans in each member country.

If JST sets the increase rate of forest cover area as 20% in 2040, it will be reflected to existing values of forest cover areas in SWAT model.

Future forest cover rate:
 $20 \times 1.2(+20\%) = 24\%$
 *increased by 20%




21

21

(3) Methodology of estimation of hotspot 2

Additional Analysis

- From Hot Spot 2, areas which seems to be vulnerable particularly shall be selected and JST will analyze the detail impact by deforestation using RRI Model, if needed.



22

22

3. Points to be considered

- Trigger of deforestation (=drivers) is agreeable ?
- Deforestation scenario in 2040 is OK ?
- Methodology of finding "Hot Spot 2" is feasible for MRC ?
- Direction of countermeasures against deforestation is reasonable ?

JST would like to discuss with MRC members continuously.



23

23



**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

**Contact every time !
mekong@ctii.co.jp**



24

Regional Interim Workshop

Wrap-up and Next Steps

Presentation by Environmental Management
Division (ED)

Vientiane, Lao PDR

Date: 24 January 2019

Drafter and Presenter: Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer

Outline

1. Wrap-up
2. Next Steps

Wrap-up

- **Interim Study Report and the major key findings** from the individual interview sessions with NMCs and relevant line agencies were presented, discussed and acknowledged in the Workshop.

Wrap-up (Cont'd)

Next Steps



No.	Activities	Deadline
1	MRCS ED prepare and submit MoM of the regional interim workshop to MCs, Myanmar and JICA/JST.	8 Feb 2019
2	JST finalize the interim study report .	15 Feb 2019
3	JICA/JST prepare and MRC facilitate for the study tour in Japan.	April 2019
4	JICA/ JST organize the study tour in Japan .	May 2019
5	JICA/JST and MRC organize the regional workshop at MRC, Vientiane, Lao PDR.	April 2019

www.mrcmekong.org

Next Steps (cont'd)



No.	Activities	Deadline
6	JST prepare the draft final report based on feedbacks and suggestions from the first regional workshop.	March 2019
7	JICA/JST and MRC organize the wrap-up seminar in Japan.	May 2019

www.mrcmekong.org

Cambodia • Lao PDR • Thailand • Viet Nam
For sustainable development



Thank you!

www.mrcmekong.org

Appendix -6-3

Wrap-up Seminar



Mekong River Commission (MRCS)

and

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

Agenda

**The Regional Wrap-up Seminar on Reviewing the Draft Final Study Report on
Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in
Mekong River Basin**

26th July 2019 at MRCS Office, Vientiane, Lao PDR

1. Background

During the 8th top-level meeting among Japan and Mekong countries on 7th September 2016, Mr. Shinzo Abe, the Japanese Prime Minister, announced the commencement of a survey for the environmental conservation program on Mekong River Watershed. The first phase of the program focuses on the data collection survey for the development of forest cover map in the basin and recommends policy for forest preservation.

Under this Japan-Mekong Cooperation, the Mekong River Commission (MRC) and Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) have co-implemented the new initiative on “Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin” Project which includes 4 Member Countries (MCs) and Myanmar. The Project implements from December 2017 to September 2019. The objectives of this Project are to: (1) understand the existing condition of forest preservation; (2) clarify the issues on forest management and preservation; and (3) propose the effective countermeasures as policy recommendations for basin management in the Mekong River. The expected outputs are; (i) the basic information for forest management are collected and reviewed; (2) the environmental impacts by climate changes and the historical changes of forest resources are studied and (iii) the best practices for basin management in the Mekong River are recommended.

On 22nd June 2016, the regional kick-off seminar was held in cooperation with the Mekong River Commission Secretariat (MRCS) and the Member Countries (MCs), in order to present and discuss the project details and work plan with the National Mekong Committees (NMCs) and relevant line agencies. After the seminar, the inception report was finalized and JST commenced practical activities. To prepare the study report, the JST, with the coordination of MRCS and the respective NMCs, had conducted the interview sessions with relevant line agencies of the MCs during August to November 2018. Results from these interview sessions were used for further improvement of information gaps and development of the study report.



Later, on 24th January 2019, MRCS ED conducted the Regional Interim Workshop to present the progress of project activities and outputs by the JST and discuss about the further activities for forest management in Lower Mekong Basin (LMB) region. Results from the discussions and feedbacks and suggestions from the Workshop were collected for further preparation of the Draft Final Study Report of the Project.

This Regional Wrap-up Seminar aims to present and discuss the Draft Final Study Report which was prepared by the JST. Results of the discussion will be gathered for further improvement and finalization of the Study Report.

2. Objectives of the Workshop

The objectives of the Regional Wrap-up Seminar on Reviewing the Draft Final Study Report on Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin are to:

- Report the draft output of project activities;
- Present and discuss the Draft Final Study Report; and
- Discuss possible projects and programs promoting forest conservation and management in Lower Mekong Basin (LMB) region with MRCs, NMCs and relevant national line agencies.

3. Expected Outputs

The expected outputs from the Seminar are as follows:

- The Draft Final Study Report was presented and discussed. Suggestions and feedbacks were made for further improvement and finalization of the Report;
- The Project outputs were presented and discussed for better understanding; and
- Possible projects and programs promoting forest conservation and management in Lower Mekong Basin (LMB) region were discussed and lessons learnt were shared among the MRCS, NMCs and relevant line agencies.



4. Agenda

Time	Activities
09.00-09.30	Registration <i>Facilitated by MRCS ED Staff</i>
09.30-09.40	Opening Remarks <i>By Dr. So Nam, Officer-in-Charge and Chief Environmental Management Officer, MRCS ED and Mr. Kazunobu Suzuki, Director of Global Environmental Department, JICA Head Office</i>
Session I: Report on the Whole Study	
09:40-10:45	Presentation on Draft Final Study Report 1) Outline of the study; 2) Preliminary Analysis; 3) Grasp of Present Condition and Issues in Mekong Basin 4) Approach against Issues <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
10:45-11:00	Tea and coffee break
11:00-12.00	Discussions on the Draft Final Study Report <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officers, MRCS ED</i>
12.00-13.30	Lunch break
Session II: Discussion for Further Activities	
13.30-14.30	Presentation on further activities for watershed and forest management in the LMB region 1) Recommendations for watershed management; 2) Recommendation for forest preservations; <i>By the JICA Study Team</i>
14.30-14.45	Tea and coffee break
14.45-16.00	Discussions on further study and activities for watershed and forest management in the LMB region <i>Facilitated by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officers, MRCS ED</i>
16.00-16.30	Wrap-up and Next Steps <i>By MRCS ED and the JICA Study Team</i>

Title	The Regional Wrap-up Seminar on the Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin
Date / Time	26 th July 2019 (Fri) / 9:30 ~ 17:00
Venue	MRC Conference Room, Vientiane, Lao P.D.R
Participants	【Cambodia】 3 members 【Lao PDR】 4 members 【Thailand】 3 members 【Viet Nam】 3 members 【Myanmar】 1 member 【Stakeholder】 1 member 【MRCS】 7 members 【JICA】 3 members 【JICA Study Team (JST)】 6 members
Agenda and Topics	1. Opening Remarks 2. Session 1: Report on the Whole Study 3. Session 2: Discussion for Further Activities 4. Closing Remarks

Main Items discussed

1. Opening Remarks

Opening Remarks was announced by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer, MRCS ED and Mr. Kazunobu Suzuki, Director, Forestry and Nature Conservation Group, Global Environment Department, JICA.

2. Session I: Report on the Whole Study

The summary of the Draft Final Report was presented by JST and contents were discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- The word of “Tree cover” is used for forest cover because plantation and agroforestry are counted as forest.
- Impact and relation between deforestation and rainfall should be analyzed.
- Agroforestry may have opportunity for mitigation of deforestation according to Thai experience.
- Sediment data couldn’t be collected so that impact of sediment couldn’t be input to the river basin model. Analysis of relation between forest cover and sediment flow is important so that sediment data should be collected in the future.
- Classifying of natural forest and plantation is not be conducted because of difference of forest definition each country. However, that classifying is needed for deep analysis in the future.
- Existing data collected by JST should be given to MRC and MRC should continue to collect data.

Main Items discussed

- There are some areas that have few runoff volume. This data is belonged in MRC so JST will ask it to MRC.

3. Discussion for Further Activities

The Further Activities regarding to hydrological analysis, forest reduction and private cooperation were presented by JST and opportunity for future activities was discussed with the following key suggestions and comments.

- Water quality in Mekong river is getting worse by chemical fertilizer and industry waste water from city. Monitoring and identifying of source should be implemented. Establishment of monitoring points shall be requested.
- Study for sediment flow should be implemented.
- Capacity building for the river basin management will be requested.
- Relation between deforestation and hydropower installation should be payed more attention.
- Feasibility analysis for forest cover and hydrological mapping should be continued.

4. Closing Remarks

Wrap-up of this seminar was shared by Dr. So Nam, Chief Environmental Management Officer, ED, MRC.

Photos



Open Remarks by Dr. So Nam, MRC



Opening Remarks by Dr. Suzuki Kazunobu, JICA



Presentation of Whole Study by JST



Presentation of Whole Study by JST



Presentation of Whole Study by JST



Presentation of Whole Study by JST



Presentation of Further Activities by JST



Presentation of Further Activities by JST

End of Documents



Session I: Report on the Whole Study “Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin”

**Final Workshop
26th July 2019
JICA Study Team (JST)**




Location Map

Data source: JICA

Mekong River Basin
(in 5 Countries: Thailand, Cambodia, Laos, Vietnam, and Myanmar)



Composition of Mekong River Basin



- Catchment area: 795,000 km²
- River length: 4,800 km
- Headwater: Tibetan Plateau
- River mouth: State of Ben Tre in Viet Nam
- General issues due to deforestation:
Increase of natural disasters such as flooding, drought, collapse of ecosystems, acceleration of global warming and etc.

Agenda

Today's Presentation

1. Outline of the Survey
Introduction of project information
2. Draft Final Report of each Step
Step 1-1) Historical Land Cover Maps and 2) Future Deforestation Scenarios
Step 2-1) Impact by deforestation on flow regime of Mekong River, 2) extraction of "Hot spot 2"
Step 3-1: Mitigations of deforestation
Step 3-2: 1) Inundation analysis at Hot spot 2, 2) recommendation of flood countermeasures, 3) Review of Council Study
Step 4-1) Review of council study and recommendations for future watershed management and 2) propose of effective forest management
3. Points to be considered



1. Outline of the Survey

Project Information

Project Name:

Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin

Target country: Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand, Viet Nam and Myanmar

Main counterpart:

Mekong River Commission (MRC) and 4 National Mekong Committees (NMC)

Objectives:


- 1) To understand forest cover areas in Lower Mekong Basin (except for China)
- 2) To clarify triggers of deforestations and issues of forest management
- 3) To propose effective countermeasures and to recommend effective basin management policy focusing on forestry sector in LMB

Project period: Dec 2017 to July 2019



1. Outline of the Survey


Work Procedure



4

1. Outline of the Survey


Work Procedure



5

1. Outline of the Survey

Work Procedure



6

2. Draft Final Report

Work Procedure

- Draft Final Report on Step-1**
- (1) Historical Land Cover Map
 - (2) Future Deforestation Scenarios

2. Draft Final Report

Work Procedure

7

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Situation of Forest Cover Maps

- Ideally, Preparing forest cover maps by compiling maps supplied by member countries is the best methods for the project purpose. However, there are certain difficulties for this such as lack of collected maps and limited time for processing.
- Therefore, it is practical as well as optimum to utilize global observation data.
- ADPC (Asian Disaster Preparedness Center in Bangkok)* can provide time series Land Cover Map (based on Landsat imagery) for free.
- JICA, MRC and NMC agreed on the utilization of satellite images prepared by ADPC to analyze the historical changes of forest cover areas in LMB at Joint Seminar on June 2018.



*ADPC website: <https://hcms-servir.adpc.net/en/landcover/>

8

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Basic Condition for Preparation of Maps

- Duration: 32 years, from 1987 to 2018
- Images resource: LANDSAT 4 to 8
- Utilization bands: RGB (Blue, Green, Red), near infrared and middle infrared band
- Land Cover Classification: (1) forest (6 class types), (2) urban area, (3) cropland, (4) rice paddy, (5) others (8 class types), (6) unknown e.g. obstacle by clouds
- Method: Utilization of indices such as NDVI (Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), NDWI (Normalized Difference Water Index), etc. with machine learning (random forest algorithm) as supervised classification. It is pixel based automatic classification
- Reliability: Over 70% even without field surveys.



9

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Situation of Forest Cover Maps

- Ideally, Preparing forest cover maps by compiling maps supplied by member countries is the best methods for the project purpose. However, there are certain difficulties for this such as lack of collected maps and limited time for processing.
- Therefore, it is practical as well as optimum to utilize global observation data.
- ADPC (Asian Disaster Preparedness Center in Bangkok)* can provide time series Land Cover Map (based on Landsat imagery) for free.
- JICA, MRC and NMC agreed on the utilization of satellite images prepared by ADPC to analyze the historical changes of forest cover areas in LMB at Joint Seminar on June 2018.




*ADPC website: <https://hcms-servir.adpc.net/en/landcover/>

8

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Land Cover Maps



Land Cover Classification: Total 18-class

- (1) forest (6 class types)
- (2) urban area
- (3) cropland
- (4) rice paddy
- (5) others (8 class types)
- (6) unknown

Fig- Land Cover Map by ADPC



10

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Preparation of analytic data and analysis


- Integration of class type on Land Cover Map: 21 Classes → 2 Classes
- (1) Forest and (2) Agricultural Area
- Provincial level approach: Counting the area size for 4 class types at province 3 years average window function for smoothing
- Analysis: Correlation between (1) Forest and (2) Agricultural Area for 1988 to 2017
Calculation for:
Tree cover residual rate between 1988 and 2017
Tree cover decreasing rate (Deforestation rate)
Extraction of hotspot province:
Forest cover ratio at province $> = 50\%$, Deforestation $> = 0.22\%$ / Year,
Correlation $< = -0.7$



11

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (1)




- The map indicates decrease/increase of provincial tree cover area.
- By using global observation data such as satellite images, change of forest cover area can be examined even for broad study area.
- Deforestation rates and areas can be calculated.

Fig- Tree Cover Residual Rate in LMB (from 1988 to 2017)

12

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (2)




- Hotspot criteria
 - ✓ Forest cover ratio at province >= 50% in 1988
 - ✓ Deforestation >= 0.22% / Year
 - ✓ Correlation <= -0.7
- * Forest area and Agricultural Area

Fig- Hotspot Provinces in LMB (from 1988 to 2017)

13

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (1)




- The map indicates decrease/increase of provincial tree cover area.
- By using global observation data such as satellite images, change of forest cover area can be examined even for broad study area.
- Deforestation rates and areas can be calculated.

Fig- Tree Cover Residual Rate in LMB (from 1988 to 2017)

12

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (2)




- Hotspot criteria
 - ✓ Forest cover ratio at province >= 50% in 1988
 - ✓ Deforestation >= 0.22% / Year
 - ✓ Correlation <= -0.7
- * Forest area and Agricultural Area

Fig- Hotspot Provinces in LMB (from 1988 to 2017)

13

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (1)



- The map indicates decrease/increase of provincial tree cover area.
- By using global observation data such as satellite images, change of forest cover area can be examined even for broad study area.
- Deforestation rates and areas can be calculated.

Fig- Tree Cover Residual Rate in LMB (from 1988 to 2017)

12

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (3) – Country Level




Fig- Historical Changes of Forest and Agricultural Area (Farm Land and Paddy field)
Note: Province area was not counted if out of Lower Mekong Basin

14

(1) Historical Land Cover Maps

Result on Image Analysis (3) – Province Level





Fig- Historical Changes of Forest and Agricultural Area (Farm Land and Paddy field)

15

(2) Future Deforestation Scenarios



- Deforestation criteria
- ✓ Regression Line
- ✓ Coefficient of Determination $> = 0.7$
- ✓ Tree Cover Rate $> = 10\%$
- * Tree Cover Area in Province

2. Draft Final Report

Draft Final Report on Step-2

- (1) Impact by deforestation on flow regime of Mekong River
- (2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

(1) Impact by Deforestation on Flow Regime Scenarios


[Scenario 1]

Based on the historical forest cover maps, future deforestation in 2040 is predicted at Step-1. Most of forest areas are expected to decrease.

[Scenario 2]

Forest cover area which will recover up to past maximum forest areas from 1987 to 2018 was prepared as ideal case (scenario 2).


(1) Impact by Deforestation on Flow Regime Changes of Forest Cover Area



(1) Impact by Deforestation on Flow Regime

Findings & Considerations (1/3)

The following figures show the runoff volume of A4, for example.



Note: the values in figures is annual average from 1980 to 2007



Figure- Distributions of Runoff Volume of A4

(1) Impact by Deforestation on Flow Regime

Findings & Considerations (3/3)

- Items from 4 to 6 (behavior of groundwater) of Scenario-2 increases. It could be said that the increase of forest cover areas contributes to recharge of ground water and result in the increase of return flow. This suggests that forest cover areas can improve the flow regime of Mekong River in dry season.
- A fluctuation of river water volume (water resources) can give both positive and negative impacts on watershed management in LMB. So, the monitoring of land cover and hydrological simulation is required periodically.



(1) Impact by Deforestation on Flow Regime

Findings & Considerations (2/3)


Table- Distributions of Water Resources in A4

Scenario	Hydrological Distribution of Water Resources (mm) *Annual from 1980 to 2007						7. Total Runoff (sum of item 3 to 6)
	1. Precipitation	2. Evaporation & Evapotranspiration	3. Surface Runoff	4. Lateral Flow	5. Percolation to shallow aquifer	6. Return Flow	
Base line	2,099.5	702.2	466.08	196.34	755.61	642.16	1,304.58
1. deforestation		↑741.4	↓485.70	↓181.47	↓721.32	↓611.71	↓1,278.88
2. recovery		↓634.0	↓455.66	↑205.03	↑815.54	↑693.44	↑1,354.13


- Evaporation & Evapotranspiration of Scenario-1 increases. JST assumed that deforestation areas are converted to agricultural lands (cropland and paddy land) in Scenario
- Evaporation from agricultural lands (especially paddy field and double cropping paddy field) might be larger than that of forest cover area in Area-4.
- By the recovery from deforestation, surface runoff of Scenario-2 decreases, which could contribute to reduce flooding.

(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Increase in Runoff volume (+% vs Baseline)



[Scenario-1 (deforestation)]




[Scenario-2 (recovery)]




(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Decrease in Runoff volume (- % vs Baseline)




[Scenario-1 (deforestation)]




[Scenario-2 (recovery)]

(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Increase in Peak Discharge (+% vs Baseline)




[Scenario-1 (deforestation)]




[Scenario-2 (recovery)]

(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Decrease in Runoff volume (- % vs Baseline)




[Scenario-1 (deforestation)]




[Scenario-2 (recovery)]

(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Decrease in Peak Discharge (- % vs Baseline)



[Scenario-1 (deforestation)]



[Scenario-2 (recovery)]

(2) Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

Extraction of "Hot spot 2"

- Though deforestation induces increase in surface flow to some extent (Scenario-1), the total runoff volume which consists of surface flow, lateral flow and return flow decrease comparing with baseline.
- Under the Scenario-1 that JST forecasts future forest cover area in 2040, it seems that there is not clear vulnerable areas against flooding and drought.


3. Draft Final Report

Draft Final Report on Step-3

- (1) Mitigations of deforestation
- (2) Review of Council Study

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Interviewed Provinces



Country	Interviewed Provinces
Cambodia	Kompong Speu , Siem Reap , Oddar Meanchey, Preah Vihear, Kampong Thom, Kratie
LAO PDR	Savannakhet , Khammouan , Bolikhamxai , Vientiane Province , Luang Prabang , Oudomxay , Luang Prabang , Attapeu , Salavan
Thailand	Khon Kaen, Chaiyaphum, Mukdahan, Udon Thani
Viet Nam	Ca mau, Lam dong, Kon Tum

Fig- Interviewed Provinces in LMB


3. Draft Final Report

Draft Final Report on Step-3

- (1) Mitigations of deforestation
- (2) Review of Council Study

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Interviewed Provinces




Country	Interviewed Provinces
Cambodia	Kompong Speu , Siem Reap , Oddar Meanchey, Preah Vihear, Kampong Thom, Kratie
LAO PDR	Savannakhet , Khammouan , Bolikhamxai , Vientiane Province , Luang Prabang , Oudomxay , Luang Prabang , Attapeu , Salavan
Thailand	Khon Kaen, Chaiyaphum, Mukdahan, Udon Thani
Viet Nam	Ca mau, Lam dong, Kon Tum

Fig- Interviewed Provinces in LMB

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Interviewed Provinces




Country	Interviewed Provinces
Cambodia	Kompong Speu , Siem Reap , Oddar Meanchey, Preah Vihear, Kampong Thom, Kratie
LAO PDR	Savannakhet , Khammouan , Bolikhamxai , Vientiane Province , Luang Prabang , Oudomxay , Luang Prabang , Attapeu , Salavan
Thailand	Khon Kaen, Chaiyaphum, Mukdahan, Udon Thani
Viet Nam	Ca mau, Lam dong, Kon Tum

Fig- Interviewed Provinces in LMB

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Driver of deforestation and forest degradation in the LMB



(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Driver of deforestation and forest degradation in the LMB

Condition	Forest	Forest degradation ¹	Forest degradation ²	Deforestation
Image				
Activity (Example)	—	-Wood extraction -Road extension for development	-Wood extraction -Unsustainable use of NTFPs -Forest fires -Shifting cultivation	-Change of land use to agricultural use and/or use for residences
	2011	2013	2015	2017

Example Image : Gia Lai Province, Viet Nam

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Issues

No.	Issue grouping	Related issues
1	Policy improvement, law enforcement, and capacity development for forest sector staff	1-1, 1-2, 2-3, 2-4, 2-5, 2-7, 2-10, 10-1, 10-6, 10-10
2	Lack of funds	2-1, 2-2, 2-3, 3-4, 5-3, 6-5, 10-4
3	Capacity improvement, resilience enhancement, and life stability of the local people	1-6, 2-6, 3-1, 3-2, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 6-3, 6-4, 6-5, 6-6, 6-7, 6-11, 6-12, 8-4, 10-1, 10-2, 10-6, 10-12
4	Enhanced forest monitoring and data accumulation	1-2, 2-4, 2-5, 2-7, 2-8, 2-10, 3-1, 5-2, 9-2, 10-7
5	Increased demand for forest resources (construction wood, fuelwood, NTFPs, etc.)	2-11, 2-12, 3-1, 3-2, 3-3, 3-4, 3-5, 4-1, 4-2, 4-3, 4-4, 10-2, 10-5
6	Immature technology for forest regeneration and forestry (forestation and processing)	6-12, 9-1, 10-4, 10-8, 10-9, 10-11, 10-12
7	Lack of sectoral arrangement	1-3, 1-4, 2-3, 2-9, 6-2, 6-8, 6-9, 6-10, 6-11, 10-1, 10-3
8	Lack of cooperation between nations	2-10, 6-11, 10-8, 10-13
9	Increased occurrence of disasters (natural disasters and pollution)	5-1, 5-2, 6-1, 6-13, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 8-1, 8-2, 8-3, 8-4, 9-1
10	Decline of biodiversity	4-1, 4-4, 8-5


(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Proposed Approach

- Procurement of Fund
- Strengthen of Monitoring in the LMB level
- Involving Private Sector
- Spread and introduction of Eco DRR
- Strengthening of forestry in the LMB
- Sustainable Energy use

(1) Mitigations of Deforestation

Relation of Issues and proposed approach



(2) Review of Council Study

MRC has examined various issues for watershed management of LMB and prepared the reports as "Council Study". Since, "Council Study" includes many useful results for watershed management of LMB, JST has reviewed and extracted important topics for our study.

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Catchment Area)

Table Catchment Area of Mekong River Basin




Country	Area (km ²)	Share
1. China	165,000	20.8%
2. Myanmar	24,000	3.0%
3. Lao PDR	202,000	25.4%
4. Thailand	184,000	23.1%
5. Cambodia	155,000	19.5%
6. Vietnam	65,000	8.2%
Upper Mekong (Total of 1 & 2)	189,000	23.8%
Lower Mekong (Total of 3 to 6)	606,000	76.2%
Whole Basin (Total of 1 to 6)	795,000	100.0%

Source: Overview of the Hydrology of the Mekong Basin, MRC, Vientiane, Laos, 2005

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Topography)




□ LBM is broadly divided into four regions, namely

- 1) Northern Highlands,
- 2) Khorat Plateau,
- 3) Tonle Sap Basin and
- 4) The Mekong Delta.

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Land use)



□ As of the land use of 2007, the forest, the paddy and the agriculture areas are dominant.

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Land use)

Table Present Rainfed Agriculture Area, Irrigated Paddy Area and Forest as of 2007

Country	Rainfed Agriculture Area		Irrigated Paddy Area		Forest	
	Area (ha)	Share	Area (ha)	Share	Area (ha)	Share
Cambodia	3,719,442	(16.8%)	273,337	(9.2%)	8,303,852	(25.9%)
Lao PDR	1,925,550	(8.7%)	209,116	(7.0%)	17,379,583	(54.3%)
Thailand	13,484,104	(60.8%)	776,980	(26.1%)	4,133,540	(12.9%)
Vietnam	3,057,033	(13.8%)	1,713,130	(57.6%)	2,204,119	(6.9%)
Total	22,186,129	(100.0%)	2,972,563	(100.0%)	32,021,094	(100.0%)


Table Future Rainfed Agriculture Area, Irrigated Paddy Area and Forest as of 2040

Country	Rainfed Agriculture Area		Irrigated Paddy Area		Forest	
	Area (ha)	Share	Area (ha)	Share	Area (ha)	Share
Cambodia	6,073,999	(24.1%)	678,030	(14.2%)	5,949,295	(19.5%)
Lao PDR	2,148,168	(8.5%)	597,893	(12.6%)	18,516,307	(60.8%)
Thailand	13,391,904	(53.2%)	1,810,650	(38.0%)	4,170,693	(13.7%)
Vietnam	3,565,749	(14.2%)	1,674,915	(35.2%)	1,842,196	(6.0%)
Total	25,179,820	(100.0%)	4,761,488	(100.0%)	30,478,491	(100.0%)

Source: The MRC Study

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Hydropower dams)



- There exist 38 hydropower dams across the tributaries of the LMB.
- Two hydropower dams is under construction at mainstream of Mekong River, in Lao P.D.R

Table Number of Existing, On-going and Planned Hydropower Dam Projects in the LMB

Country	Mainstream Dam			Tributary Dam		
	Existing	On-going	Planned	Existing	On-going	Planned
Cambodia	0	0	2	2	0	0
Lao PDR	0	2	7	9	23	20
Thailand	0	0	0	0	5	0
Vietnam	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	2	9	11	38	20


Source: Greater Mekong Observatory

44

45

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Hydropower dams)



Xayaburi Dam (Lao P.D.R)



Don Sahong Dam (Lao P.D.R)



Xayaburi dam and Don Sahong dam in Lao P.D.R are under construction as of March 2019.

JICA

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Flood)

- The overflow of the Mekong River occurs almost every year and sometime, causes severe flood damages including losses of life.
- The flood inundation is confined within the limited extent along the riverine in Lao PDR and Thailand.
- In contrast, the extensive flood inundation tends to occur in Cambodia and Vietnam, especially the floodplain around Tole Sap in Cambodia and the Mekong Delta in Vietnam

Table Number of Fatalities and Value of Loss Recorded in Flood 2000 and 2011

Country	2000 Flood		2011 Flood	
	Number of Fatalities	Value of Loss (million US\$)	Number of Fatalities	Value of Loss (million US\$)
Cambodia	350	159	250	634
Vietnam	320	125	104	260
Thailand	25	30	n.a.	n.a.
Lao PDR	15	21	42	208
Total	710	335	396	1,102


Source: The MCR Study

46

47

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Flood)



- The characteristic of flood inundation upstream of Kratie (Cambodia) is much different from that of downstream of Kratie.
- This uneven distribution of the flood inundation also brings the uneven distribution of flood damages.

(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Water Quality)

- MRC monitored 4 water quality parameters at 22 stations along of the Lower Mekong mainstream and its tributary.

Table Relationship between the Monitored Concentration Values of Water Quality Parameters and Threshold Values


Parameter	Threshold Value*	Results of monitoring in 2004
COD	5.0 mg/L	Seven water quality stations recorded the rather many values of the COD concentration above the threshold value.
TOTP	0.13 mg/L	All monitoring stations recorded values of the TOTP concentration above the threshold value.
NH ₄	0.5 mg/L	All the monitored values except the value of monitored at Station No. 19 one time are below the threshold value. However, even the overtop value is 0.6mg/L exceeding by 0.1 mg/L only.
NO ₂	5.0 mg/L	All the monitored values are below 1.2mg/L and well below the threshold value.

* : Threshold value set up in the "MRC Water Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Human Health and Aquatic Life."
 *Source: The MRC Study

(2) Review of Council Study


1. Current Condition (Wetland)

- MRC defines the wetland in the LMB covers 6 land use categories;
 - seasonally inundated forest
 - seasonally inundated grassland
 - marsh, swamp, lake, pond
 - Mangrove
 - rice field and
 - aquaculture area.
- Such wetland has a variety of functions, which are indispensable to the sustainable and resilient watershed management.



(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Water Quality)



(2) Review of Council Study

1. Current Condition (Fishery Resources)

- LMB is one of the major habitats for the inland fishes in the world.
- The fishes of 189 species at least inhabit in the LMB and 80% of them (165 species) make seasonal migration.
- During the dry season, the migratory fishes stay in the deep portions in the river, while at the beginning of the wet season, they move toward the floodplains from their dry season refuges for breeding and feeding.
- The maximum distance of this movement ranges over hundreds of kilometers on the mainstream of Mekong River.



Photo- Irrawaddy Dolphin

Source:
https://idp.123rf.com/photo_20059528_%E3%82%A4%E3%83%A9%E3%83%AF%E3%82%B8%E3%82%9D%E3%82%A4%E3%83%AB%E3%82%AB.html

(2) Review of Council Study

2. Scenarios on Water Resources Development

Table Scenarios of Water Resources Development, Land Development and Climate Changes Assumed in the MRC Study

Scenario	Basic Concept	Water resources development projects assumed in the scenario	Land Use States	Climate Change
M1	(i) Early development scenario (ii) Baseline scenario	The water resources development projects completed in 2007 is remained as it is without any further development in the future.	The present land use in 2007	Not considered
M2	Definite future water resources development scenario	The ongoing and firmly committed water resources development is completed in 2020.	The future land use in 2020	Not considered
M3	Planned development scenario	The above M2 Scenario is realized in 2020, and further, all the planned water developments are completed in 2040.	The future land use in 2040	Not considered
MRC	Planned development scenario (M) affected by climate change	Same as Scenario M3	Same as Scenario M3	Considered (medium level of climate change (applying IPSL for GCM Model and RCP-4.5 for greenhouse gas emissions

Note: The MRC study further assumed a few sub-scenarios for each of the water resources development projects and the climate change in order to clarify the more sensitive impact on the LMB.

Source: The MRC Study

- MRC assessed the potential changes in the hydrology, the river morphology, the ecology and the socio-economy of the LRB affected by various scenarios of the water resources development projects, the land use and climate changes in the LMB.
- MRC set the four(4) scenarios as shown in left table.

(2) Review of Council Study

3. Impacts of Future Development

- (1) Impact of Hydropower Development
 - MRC evaluates that development of hydropower (construction of hydropower dam) much contributes to regional economy in LMB.
 - However, negative impacts on ecology and morphology on Mekong River at the same time, MRC states.
 - MRC concerns the impacts on resettlement of residents for construction of hydropower dams.
 - In addition, MRC evaluates the sediment runoff volume increase by hydropower development but the dams capture much of sediment, which can cause riverbank erosion downstream.

(2) Review of Council Study

2. Scenarios on Water Resources Development

- MRC evaluated economical impacts of four (4) scenarios with Net Present Value (NPV).

Table Net Present Value (NPV) for Water Resources Development Sectors under Development Scenarios M1, M2 and M3

Development Sector	NPV for Scenarios of M1, M2 and M3 (States in 2020)			Increment from M1 (2007) to (2007 to 2020)		M3 (2007 to 2040)
	M1 (States in 2007)	M2 (States in 2020)	M3 (States in 2040)	M2 (2007 to 2020)	M3 (2007 to 2040)	
Hydropower	9.1	72.3	160.8	63.2	151.7	
Agriculture	358.2	449.8	461.7	91.6	103.5	
Fishery	72.9	56.3	50.2	-16.6	-22.7	
Navigation	7.3	12.2	76.2	4.9	68.9	


(Unit: Million US\$)

Source: The MRC Study

(2) Review of Council Study

3. Impacts of Future Development

- Regarding the average annual TSS load of scenario M1 (baseline in 2007), the sediment supplied to downstream is estimated to be 143 million tons.
- However, under scenario M3 (2040), sediment will **ONLY** reach 4 million tons because hydropower dams developed in mainstream capture the sediment.



Source: MRC Council Study



**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

**Feel free to contact JST
mekong@ctii.co.jp**





Session II: Further Study

“Data Collection Survey on the Basin Management and Environmental Conservation in Mekong River Basin”

**Final Workshop
26th July 2019**



JICA Study Team (JST)

1

Introduction



Outline of Session II

- In the afternoon session, firstly JST will explain the recommendations and/or proposals for watershed management and forest preservations based on the study results explained and discussed in Session I.
- After presentation from JST, all participants will start discussing about the further studies for improvement of watershed management and forest reservations of LMB.
- Finally, JST will provide the schedule after this workshop including Study Tour in Japan, etc.



Step 4

1. Draft Final Report



Draft Final Report on Step-4

- (1) Recommendations for Future Watershed Management
- (2) Propose of Effective Forest Management



2

Step 4

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management



JST has examined impacts of deforestation on flow regime of Mekong River and found the deforestation reduce the river flow to some extent, but it is thought that impacts of developments in LMB such as hydropower dams is higher than deforestation. Therefore, JST decided to provide suggestions and recommendations for effective watershed management of LMB based on the Council Study released by MRC on May 2018.

In Council Study, examined are the most likely dominant impacts on the socio-economic and natural environment of the LMB associated with the four basin external forces of:

- hydropower development
- agriculture development
- wastewater generated by the domestic and industrial water development
- climate change



3

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

As the results of examination on the impacts, the following 4 topics are concluded as the major concerns of the watershed management for the LMB.

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River
2. Securing Food Security of the LMB
3. Securing Water Security of Mekong River
4. Adaptation of Climate Changes



(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River

- The hydropower development would largely contribute to the future economic growth of the LMB.

Table Net Present Value (NPV) for Water Resources Development Scenarios under Development Scenarios M1, M2 and M3

Development Sector	NPV for Scenarios of M1, M2 and M3			Increment from M1 (2007) to		
	M1 (States in 2007)	M2 (States in 2020)	M3 (States in 2040)	M2 (2007 to 2020)	M3 (2007 to 2040)	
Hydropower	9.1	72.3	160.8	63.2	151.7	
Agriculture	358.2	449.8	461.7	91.6	103.5	
Fishery	72.9	56.3	50.2	-16.6	-22.7	
Navigation	7.3	12.2	76.2	4.9	68.9	

Source: The MRC Study



(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

- However, the economic growth brought by the hydropower dam project will accompany with the extremely significant damages to the river ecology as well as river morphology.
- That is, the hydropower development would cause the fatal impacts on the irreversible resources of the aquatic lives (i.e. *Irrawaddy Dolphin*), especially the migratory inland fishes.
- The hydropower dam development would also trap large volume of the river sediment flow causing the **serious river channel erosion and coastal erosion downstream**.
- The flow regime of Mekong River would be significantly affected by the hydropower dams, depending on the design of dams. These adverse impacts by the hydropower dam projects are not counted in the above economic growth contributed by the hydropower dam projects.




(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River

- However, the economic growth brought by the hydropower dam project will accompany with the extremely significant damages to the river ecology as well as river morphology.
- That is, the hydropower development would cause the fatal impacts on the irreversible resources of the aquatic lives (i.e. *Irrawaddy Dolphin*), especially the migratory inland fishes.
- The hydropower dam development would also trap large volume of the river sediment flow causing the **serious river channel erosion and coastal erosion downstream**.
- The flow regime of Mekong River would be significantly affected by the hydropower dams, depending on the design of dams. These adverse impacts by the hydropower dam projects are not counted in the above economic growth contributed by the hydropower dam projects.

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River



Xayaburi Dam (Lao P.D.R)



Don Sahong Dam (Lao P.D.R)




As of March 2019, it is deemed that Xayaburi Dam and Don Sahong Dam are nearly completed judging from satellite images.



(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River

- Regarding the average annual TSS load of scenario M1 (baseline in 2007), the sediment supplied to downstream is estimated to be 143 million tons.
- However, under scenario M3 (2040), sediment will **ONLY** reach 4 million tons because hydropower dams developed in mainstream capture the sediment.



Source: MRC Council Study

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management


1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River

- Despite the above serious damages caused by the hydropower dam development, the drastic measures to mitigate the damages have not been invented yet.
- Hence, it is concluded that there will be no choice but to suspend implementation of the nine hydropower dams on the Mekong River mainstream, which are now under planning stage unless the acceptable mitigation measure is clearly indicated.

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

1. Securing Ecology and Morphology of Mekong River

- Regarding the average annual TSS load of scenario M1 (baseline in 2007), the sediment supplied to downstream is estimated to be 143 million tons.
- However, under scenario M3 (2040), sediment will **ONLY** reach 4 million tons because hydropower dams developed in mainstream capture the sediment.



Source: MRC Council Study

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management


2. Securing Food Security of the LMB

- The agricultural development plays as the principal role to sustain the economic value of the LMB through exporting of the agricultural commodities especially the rice products in the LMB.
- At the same time, the agricultural development sustains the food security and the livelihood of the inhabitants in the LMB through supplying of the rice as the staple food and securing of job opportunities for agriculture.
- The agricultural development may have another advantage such that it will have the marginal adverse impacts on the natural conditions of the LMB. (*Note: the agriculture chemicals and fertilizers used for the agriculture contains the potential risk of polluting the river water quality of Mekong River. However, the risk has not been confirmed in the previous relevant studies yet*)

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

2. Securing Food Security of the LMB

- Despite the important role of the agricultural development for the LMB, the agricultural development would gradually decline in the future due to the limit of the available land and labor forces for agriculture
- While the population dependent on the agriculture in the LMB will gradually increase taking the demography of the member countries of LMR into account.
- Hence, the member countries of the LMB would be required to review agricultural area expansion policies in due consideration of securing the food security of the LMB together with the agricultural economic viability and the available labor forces for the agriculture sector in the future.




(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

3. Securing Water Security of Mekong River

According to the result of water quality monitoring by MRC, the annual average concentration value of T-P of LMB was 0.058mg/L in 2000, while the value in 2014 highly increased to 0.13mg/L, which has already beyond the threshold value.

- The high concentration values of T-P monitored in LMB in 2004 suggests that the river has been somewhat polluted by the domestic/industrial wastewater and/or the agricultural chemicals.
- Moreover, the large increment of concentration values of T-P from 2000 to 2014 suggests that the pollution of river water is getting worse year by year.



(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

3. Securing Water Security of Mekong River

- MRC estimated about 82% of the inhabitant in Cambodia and 55% in Lao PDR use the raw water of the Mekong River for drinking. Considering such water use of the Mekong River and the aggravation of the river water quality, the holistic water quality management of LMB would be indispensable to promise the water security for the inhabitants in the LMB.
- MRC has already examined the impacts of the domestic/industrial wastewater on the water quality of Mekong River. However, the Study did not capture the impacts of the agricultural chemicals and fertilizer, which are largely increasing as the rice production increase.
- Accordingly, the comprehensive water quality management would need the approach to the whole major pollutant sources including the domestic/industrial wastewater as well as the agricultural chemicals and other major point/non-point pollutant sources, if any.

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

4. Adaptation of Climate Changes

- Among the three scenarios, the C3 (drier climate change) will cause the severest drought associated with the least precipitation and the largest reduction of agricultural products.
- The drought in the scenario C3 will also significantly lower the water level of the Tonle Sap depriving the habitats of aquatic life. On the other hand, the C2 (wetter climate) will increase frequency of flood occurrences, the flood peaks and the flood duration causing the severe flood damage especially in the LMB.
- The most vulnerable areas to both the drought and the flood will emerge in the floodplain in and around Tole Sap Lake in Cambodia and the Mekong Delta in Vietnam. The Mekong Delta is also suffered from the severe salinity intrusion during a dry season.

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

4. Adaptation of Climate Changes

- The climate changes, especially changes of rainfall and sea level rise will be relatively slow and highly uncertain. The climate changes will also have the transboundary influences throughout all member countries of the LMB, whereby the climate change adaptations for the member countries will closely interrelates each other. From these points of view, the plan for the climate adaptations for the LMB will need to be formulated and implemented based on the common concepts and strategies of the member countries of the LMB. Hence, highlighted is the Mekong Adaptation and Strategy Plan (MASAP), which was jointly developed by the member countries of the LMB.
- There is a need to confront the impacts of climate changes at national, regional and international levels through a coordinated way. The MASAP sets out the strategic priorities and actions at basin level through which MRC can contribute to addressing climate change risks and strengthen basin wide resilience.

(1) Recommendations for future watershed management

Future Expected Activities

For the implementation of effective watershed management in LMB, implementation of following studies are recommended.

- Study on the river sediment system in Lower Mekong Basin including improvement of the bedload monitoring; risk analysis regarding bank erosion and coastal erosion at the downstream countries, etc.
- Study on improvement of water environment plan in LMB including the improvement of water quality monitoring; the estimation of contamination loads by point source and plane source; preservations of wetland, etc.
- Study on environmental impacts by basin development in Lower Mekong Basin including re-evaluations of hydropower dam, climate change, agricultural development, etc.
- Hydro-met data collection and storage in the database of MRC to verify the simulation model based on the recent observed data; new installation of hydro-met stations in LMB, etc.

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Proposed Approach

- Procurement of Fund
- Strengthen of Monitoring in the LMB level
- Involving Private Sector
- Spread and introduction of Eco DRR
- Strengthening of forestry in the LMB
- Sustainable Energy use

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Procurement of Fund

Findings


- Each LMB country is working to develop policies and programs, strengthen enforcement, and promote field activities for sustainable forest management
- Japan and other international partner have widely supported these policy development activities.
- The funds to carry out these activities, however, are in short supply, which will make it difficult to achieve all of the activities now planned.
- Even if a policy is well developed, it cannot achieve outcomes without the budget to carry it out.

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Procurement of Fund

- ✓ Improve Forest monitoring and management system using drones and/or ICT
- ✓ Development of breeding technology for seedlings

- ✓ CSR activities



- ✓ Establishment of the Fund
- ✓ Procurement of donation fund
- ✓ Access to the External funds

- ✓ National budget
- ✓ Tax revenue
- ✓ PES

- ✓ International Partners
- ✓ Carbon Credit (GCF, JCM, VCS, etc.)
- ✓ External funds (GCF, GEF etc.)

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Procurement of Fund

Establishment of the Fund and Procurement of donation fund

Example of Japan system

Forest Fund for Greenery and water

Donation from Energy Sector other related Sectors (Forestry, Chemistry, etc.)

Investment Fund (161,000,000 USD)

Interest (3,200,000 USD/year)

Researches

Educations

Disseminations

Greenery Fund

Donation from Family, Company, Office, School, etc.

917,800 USD (1953)
19.3million USD (2018)

National Land Afforestation Promotion Organization

Plantation

Tree Maintenance

20




(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Procurement of Fund

Access to the External funds

Example of GCF

- Aim: To catalyze a flow of climate finance to invest in low-emission and climate-resilient development.
- Fund: 10.3 billion USD (Pledged)
- Fund distribution: 50:50 balance between mitigation and adaptation investments
- System:



21

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector

Findings

- The forest conservation activities conducted so far have been implemented mainly by the governments, local residents, NGOs, international partners, etc.
- Participation from the private sector has mainly focused on industrial tree plantation and a number of CSR activities.
- The activities that lead to deforestation and forest degradation, namely, development projects, illegal timber exportation, and agricultural production, are largely linked to the activities of the private sector.



22

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector

Promotion and utilization of CSR

- Establishment of a CSR contact point within forest
- Matching of CSR activities based on government strategies and plans

Support of sustainable efforts by the private sector

- Establishment of opportunities for dialogue and opinion exchanges with companies
- Clarification of forest classifications, forest boundaries, and land ownership
- Establishment of a production and transportation system for raw materials that are not required for deforestation
- Differentiation of raw materials that are not required for deforestation
- Construction of an information platform

Introduction of an award system


- Evaluation of the efforts of companies to conserve the environment and forests
- Commendation of companies that have contributed to the conservation of forests and the environment



23


(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector




(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector




(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector




(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Mobilization of the private sector




(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Strengthen of Monitoring in the LMB level



(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Strengthen of Monitoring in the LMB level



28

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Spread and introduction of Eco DRR

Findings


- Increase of soil erosion, flood, drought was pointed out
- There are differences in recognition of forest value between sectors
- In the field survey, it was confirmed at various places that the soil erosion is progressing



29

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Strengthen of Monitoring in the LMB level



28


(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Spread and introduction of Eco DRR


For the sustainable development and conservation of the LMB, it is necessary to

- ✓ Accumulating data on the Eco-DRR function of forests in the LMB
- ✓ Quantify forest functions based on scientific data
- ✓ Efficient use of forest Eco-DRR

Penetration ability



Soil conservation ability



Source: Hiroshi MURAI and Yuaku IWASAKI: Studies on the Water and Soil Conservation Function based on Forest Land (1975)

Source: Maruyama Ganzo, "Forest Hydrology" (1970, University of Practical Forestry)

30

(2) Proposal of Effective Forest Management

Spread and introduction of Eco DRR

Strengthening of the prevention of mountain disasters and soil preservation

- Expansion of forests and root forest networks by reforestation and restoration in deforested areas
- Management measures for healthy forests such as thinning for artificial forests
- Installation of structures such as erosion control dams
- Reduction of bare land areas by the introduction of early greening technology

Improvement of watershed protection

- Identification of important watershed forests in the LMB
- Conservation and restoration of important watershed forests to improve the watershed preservation function
- Appropriate forest maintenance measures such as thinning to improve the watershed preservation function
- Promotion of rainfall penetration by revegetation of bare land and bare slopes

Utilization of the damage mitigation function for disaster control

- Alleviation of floods by the establishment and maintenance of detention basins
- Mitigation of storm surges by developing coastal forests (watershed forests)
- Utilization of the air-purification function of forests by expanding green areas within urban and industrial areas



31

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Background

- Generally, there have been strong demands for land-use conversion from forest to other for "production use" since the initial phase of the economical development from local residents including indigenous people, local private sectors, local government, global private sector, central government and others in Mekong River Basin.
- It is virtually impossible to control all above stakeholders only by public sectors including foreign AID/Official Development Assistance (ODA).
- Therefore, it is expected to have more efficient cooperation and better conditions by working in cooperation/partnership with private sector.
- In addition, the importance of the activities of private sector in the region become much stronger because the sector can contribute to the sustainable development by generating job opportunities, enhancing the capacity of human resources and technology development.

32

33

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Tasks

- Collecting the information regarding the interests/plans of developing business in the Mekong River Basin mainly from Japanese-affiliated company
- Findings any business related activities, mainly focused on Japanese company including NGOs, which could contribute to diminish deforestation.
- Review surrounding business environment and its issues and explore possible measures, policies, or any which promote the activities.

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Study Method

- Target Area of the Business Activities: Area within Mekong River Basin in 5 countries initially.
- Due to no Japanese-affiliated companies has been conducting business in Mekong River Basin in Myanmar, those who have interest or have being operating in 4 countries are targeted for having interviews of this Study.
- Request letters with questionnaires for 55 organizations issued and sent.
- 41 responded and 38 were interviewed.
- Interviews had been conducted from December 2017 to July 2019.
- While conducting above, forest conditions also have been checked through interviews with public sectors, NGOs and conducting field reconnaissance.

34

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Types of the Business Targeted

- Deforestation Driver related
- Non-Timber Forest Products : NTFPS related
- Enhancing Added-Value related
- Timber Value Chain related
- Alternative Energy related
- Disaster Prevention Technology related
- Eco-Tourism related
- CSR, SDGs related
- Others

35

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Good Practices

- Kurata Pepper by branding and organic agriculture
- NGOs and Lemon Firm NGOs by creating local market, Lemon Firm market in city and connecting farmers and the market
- WWF-Cambodia Partnership with Global Retailer H&M for sustainable development goals (SDGs) by promoting the use of sustainable energy materials in the textile industry and supporting the resilience of ecosystem
- Eco-tourism is one of the method to deliver the money to the local people while there are certain difficulties.
- CSR activities started being connect with SDGs and it leads to more long-term and concrete actions. E.g. Suntory has been conducting
- Some part of the supply chain can be controlled through ESG investment using CDPs or other related reports by deciding investment/ divestment on the related enterprises.

36

37

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Findings

- Building the supply chain between the markets where there is economical disparity have positive effects on the business as far as the supplier has developed own sales channel.
- Heightening Added Value by branding and organic agriculture have positive effects on the business and securing safety and security of the products.
- Limitation of production area promotes the competitiveness of the business. On the other hand, this gives the restrictive condition of applying the same business model to other places in Mekong River basing.
- For the local residents connecting with global market by producing cash crops could bring them to very unstable economical condition due to the fluctuation of commodity price as well as serious dependency on cash.
- Because most of above residents are small scale farmer and they do not have control of the price of their crops while the middle man(s) and global market control it. Thus, with very low price of their crops, the farmer tends to expand their land while the land of the rice which is principal diet for them becomes less and less. This is one of the deforestation drivers. Also, this conditions lead many farmer in Isan went bankrupt.
- In such case, building supply chain and value chain within the local scale works much better regarding livelihood improvement and local economy. Producing organic way heighten the value of their products by giving safety and security of the foods in local market.
- So far, advanced technology for disaster prevention, construction, heightening survival rate of nursery do not fit for the market due to their cost regarding the sustainability of the business.
- CSR activities considering SDGs became to be seen and this could lead to huge impact on global market as well as local market.
- Stakeholders can control the behavior of the enterprises by their decision of investment / divestment on them with the information of CDPs reports.
- REDD+, JCM and PES scheme work as good source of fund for management of the forestry sector while there has been very little fund spend on this sector.

37

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Issues

- Generally, local residents and the market are very vulnerable toward global market due to the lack of controls of price of the crops.
- Fluctuations of governmental policy as well as the disparities of enforcement of regulations between local and central government can jeopardize or hinder sustainability of particular business model and livelihood of the local resident.
- To secure the competitiveness or advantage for particular product or crops, applying same business model to other area can be limited.
- For small to medium size enterprise, due to its limited financial capacity as well as the size of the expected risks in Mekong region enterprises mostly hesitate to expand their business
- Without having own sales channel, business by small to medium size utilizing economic disparity between the market is difficult to be sustainable.
- To build sustainable supply chain, securing traceability in each part of the chain with certification system are indispensable. On the other hand, due to the complexity of the supply chain, securing traceability is very difficult.
- As one eco-tour group succeeded, copiers start. Often, the services of the latter cases do not satisfy the actual needs of the customer due to their lack of caring of local communities, cultures and natural. There must be advantages of local people by conducting tours.
- Generally, CSR activities by Japanese firms are often conducted as social contribution which is not directory connect to their business. Thus, there are not many activities conducted as sustainable manner. Now, the conditions has been changing incorporating with increased importance of ESG investment in the global market.
- It is virtually impossible to fully control market as well as peoples behaviors only by regulatory method because the behaviors are deeply originated from the economic demands or incentives while the legal system, financial and resource are not sufficient enough. Thus any contributions from private sectors badly needed.

36

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership


Issues

- Generally, local residents and the market are very vulnerable toward global market due to the lack of controls of price of the crops.
- Fluctuations of governmental policy as well as the disparities of enforcement of regulations between local and central government can jeopardize or hinder sustainability of particular business model and livelihood of the local resident.
- To secure the competitiveness or advantage for particular product or crops, applying same business model to other area can be limited.
- For small to medium size enterprise, due to its limited financial capacity as well as the size of the expected risks in Mekong region enterprises mostly hesitate to expand their business
- Without having own sales channel, business by small to medium size utilizing economic disparity between the market is difficult to be sustainable.
- To build sustainable supply chain, securing traceability in each part of the chain with certification system are indispensable. On the other hand, due to the complexity of the supply chain, securing traceability is very difficult.
- As one eco-tour group succeeded, copiers start. Often, the services of the latter cases do not satisfy the actual needs of the customer due to their lack of caring of local communities, cultures and natural. There must be advantages of local people by conducting tours.
- Generally, CSR activities by Japanese firms are often conducted as social contribution which is not directory connect to their business. Thus, there are not many activities conducted as sustainable manner. Now, the conditions has been changing incorporating with increased importance of ESG investment in the global market.
- It is virtually impossible to fully control market as well as peoples behaviors only by regulatory method because the behaviors are deeply originated from the economic demands or incentives while the legal system, financial and resource are not sufficient enough. Thus any contributions from private sectors badly needed.

36

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Background of the Deforestation




- Population of the agricultural sector is the largest in all countries while GDP of the sector remain relatively small. Generally, cultivation area per household and the production are not big.
- For rice production, cash can only be get only in harvested time, one or two, while growing rubber tree gives more chance to get money.
- There has/had been a strong motive for natural resource exporting for each country as in initial phase of economic development.
- In deforestation areas selected for the project in Vietnam and Cambodia, rubber is the dominant crop.
- Improper alteration of natural forests could jeopardize the abundance of natural resources, biodiversity, livelihood of local residents, food safety & security, and resilience against natural disasters.
- The alteration has been going on through out the Mekong River Region.
- Due to the climate change and less sand supply (most probably), rapid coastal erosion is going on at the river mouth of the Mekong River.

39

Step 4

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership


Deforestation due to Conversion of Forest to agricultural land/industrial tree plantation



Step 4

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership


Wood extraction –before-



Step 4

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership


Deforestation due to Conversion of Forest to agricultural land/industrial tree plantation



Step 4

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Wood extraction -after-



(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Costal Erosion



(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Constrains

- 1. There is little incentives for preserving forest but strong incentive for cultivating for local residents due to their financial conditions. (Illegal logging, land-use alternation to cash crops, degradation due to improper logging.)
- 2. Budget for Forest Sector is very small.
- 3. This leads to insufficient administrative force for protecting forest especially in remote area where rich forests exist. (Low for preserving the forests are basically prepared but the enforcement of the low is weak.)
- 4. Those residents are vulnerable to external economy, especially to economic fluctuations of it.
- 5. Generally, it is quite difficult to control the voraciousness of private sectors as a whole by current administrative bodies which has lots of difficulties.

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Strategy Recommended

- 1. Adding Value or Heightening Added Value (technical assistance, training for production & quality management/control, organic farming, finding items)
- 2. Formulation and beneficial change of Supply Chain (formulation of local organic market, promote active utilization of certification system in the chain, promote ESG investment, formulation of value chain)
- 3. Enhancing or Raising the consciousness of the people regarding the importance and the value of the natural forest (environmental education, Movies, Media Mix or any)
- 4. Generating the fund for Forestry Sector (PES, REDD+, JCM or any)

(3) Private Promotion and Business Partnership

Recommendation to MRC

- Monitoring more detailed hydrological/hydraulic condition including sediment and forest conditions in Mekong River Basins focusing more on climate change and biodiversity.
- Active delivering of possessed/analyzed information to public/private sector.
- Conducting Campaign for enhancing the consciousness of forest.

2. Points to be considered



- ❑ Further studies necessary for watershed management of LMB
- ❑ Further studies necessary for forest management of LMB
- ❑ Future cooperation between MRC and JICA (to be discusses in Japan study tour.



48

3. Others



- ❑ Schedule of Japan Study Tour from 5th to 9th on August
- ❑ Submission of Draft final Report to MRC & NMCs



49

**Thank you for your attention
and your kind cooperation.**

**Feel free to contact JST
mekong@ctii.co.jp**



50

