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## APPENDIX VII. DEPARTMENTS CONCERNED

## VII. 1 Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrgation (MOALI)

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Department of Planning /ADB (prepared on 25 September 2016), JICA Survey Team

## 2) Staffing

| Officer/staff | Number <br> (Permitted) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Officers | 8,397 |
| Staff | 106,224 |
| Total | 114,627 |

Source: Depratment of Planning

## VII. 2 Departmen of Planning (DOP)

## 1) Organization Chart



## 2) Staffing

| Year | Staff Number (Permitted) |  |  | Staff Number(Actual) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Officers | Other <br> Staffs |  | Total | Officers | Other <br> Staffs |
| 2012 | 24 | 87 | 111 | 22 | 82 | Total |
| 2013 | 52 | 111 | 163 | 40 | 76 | 104 |
| 2014 | 52 | 111 | 163 | 47 | 66 | 113 |
| 2015 | 49 | 101 | 150 | 44 | 64 | 108 |
| 2016 | 109 | 239 | 348 | 42 | 54 | 96 |

Source: Department of Planning
3) Budget

|  |  | (Million Kyats) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Current | Capital |
| $2011-2012$ |  |  |
| $2012-2013$ |  |  |
| $2013-2014$ |  |  |
| $2014-2015$ |  |  |
| $2015-2016$ |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

Source: Department of Planning

## VII. 3 Irrigation and Water Utilization Manegement Department (IWUMD)

## 1. Headquaters Level

1) Organization Chart


Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 2) Staffing

| Year | Staff Number (Permitted) |  |  | Staff Number(Actual) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Officers | Other <br> Staffs | Total | Officers | Other <br> Staffs | Total |
| 2012 | 1,019 | 20,472 | 21,491 | 817 | 12,374 | 13,191 |
| 2013 | 1,019 | 20,472 | 21,491 | 859 | 11,897 | 12,756 |
| 2014 | 1,019 | 20,472 | 21,491 | 830 | 12,653 | 13,483 |
| 2015 | 1,019 | 20,472 | 21,491 | 824 | 13,118 | 13,942 |
| 2016 | 1,564 | 20,927 | 22,491 | 1,212 | 14,150 | 15,362 |

Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 3) Budget

| Year |  | Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011-2012$ |  | Capital |
| $2012-2013$ |  |  |
| $2013-2014$ |  |  |
| $2014-2015$ |  |  |
| $2015-2016$ |  |  |

Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department
4) Experience of the Loan/Grant Project Implementation

| No | Name of Project | Amount (Million) | Implementing Agency | Funding Source | Duration (Years) | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Irrigation Development Project in Western Bago Region | 14870 yen | IWUMD \& AMD | JICA | 4 | Western Bago Region |
| 2 | Agriculture Development Support Project | US\$ 100 | IWUMD , AMD, DoA, DAR, DALMS | World Bank | 7 | Sagain Region, Madalay Region, <br> NayPyiTaw \& Babo Region |
| 3 | Development of Irrigation Schemes and Land consolidation in Myanmar | US\$ 198.96 | IWUMD \& AMD | EXIM Bank of India | 3 | Sagain Region, Madalay Region, <br> NayPyiTaw \& Babo Region, Magway Region, Mon State, Kayin State \& Yangon Region |
| 4 | Fostering Agriculture Revitalization in Myanmar | 19.504 | IWUMD, AMD, DoA, DAR, DALMS | IFAD | 6 | NayPyiTaw |
| 5 | Eastern Sttes <br> Agri-business Project | 65.2 | IWUMD , AMD, DoA, DAR, DALMS | IFAD | Negociating | Shan State, Kayin State |
| 6 | Irrigated Agriculture Inclusive Development Project | 129.45 | DoP,IWUMD, AMD,DoA | ADB \& AFD | 7 | Magway Region, Mandalay Region, <br> Sagian Region \& Kayah State |
| 7 | Mini Hydro Power Project | 31.995 Yuan | IWUMD | Government of China | 3 | Ayawaddy Region |
| 8 | Establishment of the <br> Advnced Hydrological Data <br> Acquisition System in <br> Ngamoeyeik and <br> Neighbouring Dams | 14 | IWUMD | Government of Japan | 1 | Yangon Region |
| 9 | Improving the Effectiveness of Pumped Irrigation Schemes in the dry Zone | 5 | IWUMD | LIFT | 4 | Sagain Region |
| 10 | The Rebabilitation and Upgrading of the Existing and Drainage System of Pump Irrigation Projects | Euro 3 | IWUMD | AFD | 4 | Magway Region |

Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 2. Construction Circle No. 4 (Shwebo)

1) Organization Chart

Organization Chart of Construction Circle (4)


Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 2) Staffing

| Officer/Staff | Permit | Present |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Construction Circle No.4 | 36 | 33 |
| Officer | 593 | 523 |
| Staff | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 6}$ |


| Officerl Staff | Permit | Present |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Director Office |  |  |
| Officer Sub-Total | 5 | 7 |
| Staff | 71 | 76 |
| Assitant Director Office (1) | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |
| Officer Sub-Total | 8 | 7 |
| Staff | 94 | 64 |
| Assitant Director Office (2) |  |  |
| Officer | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |
| Staff | 8 | 7 |
| Assitant Director Office (3) |  |  |
| Officer | 94 | 71 |
| Staff | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ |
| Assitant Director Office (Mechanical) | 8 | 5 |
| Officer | $\mathbf{y y}$ |  |
| Staff | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | 67 |
| Sub-Total |  |  |

Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department
Project Budget

| No. | Project Name | Estimated Cost | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Start Year to } \\ & (2010-2011) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 2011-2012 |  | 2012-2013 |  | 2013-2014 |  | 2014-2015 |  | 2015-2016 |  | 2016-2017 | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Permitted <br> Budget | Actual Cost | Permitte d Budget | Actual Cost | Permitte <br> d <br> Budget | Actual Cost | Permitte <br> d <br> Budget | Actual Cost | Permitte <br> d <br> Budget | Actual Cost | Permitte <br> d <br> Budget | Actual Cost | Permitted Budget | Permitted Budget | Actual Cost |
| 1 | Thein Yin Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Daung Myau Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Paung Nat Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Hta Man Thi Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | North Yamar Extension (left) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | North Yamar Extension (Right) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Min Myin Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Yar Za Gyo Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Manipura Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | North Yamar Water Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Si Pa Tone Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Nan Paw Law Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Water Supply for Kanbulu Mango Farm (1000) Ac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Kone Gyi Weir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | Hae Kin Weir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Distributory Canal for North Yamar Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Maintanace for Min Myin Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Myit Thar River Extension |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## 3. Maintenance Office (Shwebo District)

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 2) Staffing

Shwebo Maintenance Office

| Description | AD's Office | AE's Office | AE's Office | AE's Office | AE's Office | AE's Office | AE's Office | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shwebo | Shwebo | Wetlet | Kabo | Kantbalu | Khin Oo | Thaphanzeik |  |
| Assistant Director (AD) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Canal Revenue Assistant (CRA) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Assistant Engineer (AE) |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| Senior Sub-Assistant Engineer (SSAE) |  | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |  | 12 |
| Sub-Assistant Engineer (SAE) |  | 3 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Engineering Surveyors(ES) |  | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 1 |  | 7 |
| Assistant Engineering Surveyor (AES) |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Canal Inspector (CI) |  | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 11 |
| Assistant Canal Inspector (ACI) |  | 9 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 3 |  | 29 |
| Assistant Canal Revenue Surveyor(ACRS) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Maintanance Labour ( Permitnamce) |  | 16 | 39 | 31 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 116 |
| Work Charges |  | 23 | 18 | 13 | 37 | 8 | 33 | 132 |
| Total | 2 | 62 | 82 | 51 | 61 | 26 | 45 | 329 |

Note: Not including administrative and clerical staff. It is indicated only engineering category staff
Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

Ye-U Maintenance Office

| Description | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AD's } \\ & \text { Office } \end{aligned}$ | AE'S Office | AE's Office | AE'S Office | AE'S Office | AE'S Office | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ye-U | Ye-U | Dabayin | Kindat | Taze | Saing Pyin |  |
| Assistant Director (AD) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Canal Revenue Assistant (CRA) | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Assistant Engineer (AE) | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| Senior Sub-Assistant Engineer (SSAE) | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 |
| Sub-Assistant Engineer (SAE) | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 6 |
| Engineering Surveyors(ES) | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| Assistant Engineering Surveyor (AES) | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 11 |
| Draft Man (DM) | 6 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 |
| Canal Inspector (CI) | - | 3 | 6 | - | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| Mechanic | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| Assistant Canal Inspector (ACI) | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 18 |
| Assistant Canal Revenue Surveyor(ACRS) | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |
| Maintanance Labour (Permitnamce) | - | 5 | 6 | - | - | - | 11 |
| Work Charges | - | 12 | 12 | 4 | 4 | - | 32 |
| Total | 11 | 36 | 33 | 10 | 16 | 10 | 116 |

Note: Not including administrative and clerical staff. It is indicated only engineering category staff.
Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## 3) Budget

## Shwebo Maintenance Office

| Description | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 2016-2017(up <br> (o August) | Annual <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ordinary Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thanzeik Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OMC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Thanzeik Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OMC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SMC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Kabo O\&M cost included in SMC Cost

## Ye-U Maintenance Office

(Million Kyats)

| Description | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | 2016-2017(Million Kyats) <br> to August) | Annual <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ordinary Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Kindat Diversion Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) RMC (Kindat) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) YMC (Kabo) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Repair |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Kindat Diversion Dam |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) RMC (Kindat) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (3) YMC (Kabo) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

## VII. 4 Department of Agriculture (DOA)

## 1. Headquaters Level

## 1) Organization Chart

## ORGANIZATIONAL CHART OF DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (DOA)



Permission - Union Ministry Board Meeting (2/2015) Held on January 15, 2015

Source: Department of Agriculutre
2) Staffing

| Position | 2011-2012 |  |  | 2012-2013 |  |  | 2013-2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DOA | DIC | Total | DOA | DIC | Total | DOA | DIC | Total |
| Officers | 770 | 725 | 1495 | 895 | 569 | 1464 | 1009 | 496 | 1505 |
| Other Staffs | 6,682 | 9,235 | 15,917 | 7396 | 6433 | 13829 | 7637 | 5699 | 13336 |
| Total | 7,452 | 9,960 | 17,412 | 8291 | 7002 | 15293 | 8646 | 6195 | 14841 |
| Position | 2014-2015 |  |  | 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DOA | DIC | Total | DOAIDIC Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Officers | 1106 | 556 | 1662 | 1615 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Staffs | 9216 | 4586 | 13802 | 13334 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 10322 | 5142 | 15464 | 14949 |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 3) Budget

| Year | Current | Capital |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ |  |  |
| $2013-2014$ |  |  |
| $2014-2015$ |  |  |
| $2015-2016$ |  |  |
| $2016-2017$ |  |  |

[^1]
## 4) Experience of the Loan/Grant Project Implementation

| No | Name of Project | Funding Organization | Amount | Implementing Organization | Period | Location |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Fostering <br> Agricultural <br> Revitalisation in <br> Myanmar <br> (FARM) <br> Project | International <br> Fund for <br> Agricultural <br> Development <br> (IFAD) | Loan SDR <br> 12.15 (\$- <br> $18.726)$  | DOA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \text { Years } \\ & 2014-2015 \text { to } \\ & 2019-2020 \end{aligned}$ | Nay Pyi Taw Consil Area  <br> Tatkone, Pyinmana, <br> Layway, Oktarathiri, <br> Zayyarthiri  |
| 2 | Agricultural Development Support Project-ADSP | World Bank | From 100 million \$, DOA Agricultural Suggestions and Technical Assist (11.003) million \$ | DOA $\quad$ (Seed Division, $\quad$ Land use Division, PP Division, Extension Division) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \text { years } \\ & 2016-2017 \\ & \text { to } 2022-2023 \end{aligned}$ | Sagaing Region (Pale, Yinmarpin), Yamar(north) Dam, Mandalay Region (Sintgu) (Marle Nat Taung Dam)(Nay Pyi Taw) (Tatkone, Popathiri)(Sin Thae Dam) Bago Region (Taunggoo, <br> Yetarshae)(Swa Dam) |
| 3 | Immediate Response Mechanism-IRM | World Bank | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$ (18.044) } \\ & \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | DOA <br> Seed Division, Horti and Bio Tech Division, Extension Division | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { Year } \\ & (2016-2017) \end{aligned}$ | Sagaing Region, Magway Region, Bago Region, Ayeyarwaddy Region, Chin Stae, Rakhaine State |

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 2. District Level

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 2) Staffing

| Position | No. of Staff |
| :--- | :---: |
| Assistant Director | 1 |
| Staff Officer | 3 |
| Deputy Officer | 6 |
| Assistant Officer | 7 |
| Deputy AssistantOfficer | 7 |
| Upper Division Clerk | 2 |
| Lower Division Clerk | 2 |
| Cleaner | 1 |
| Security | 1 |
| Daily Wages | 2 |
| Total |  |

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 3) Budget

| Item / Year |  | 2011-2012 |  | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | R.G | U.M | R.G | U.M | R.G | U.M | R.G | U.M | R.G | U.M | R.G | U.M |  |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: R.G - Regional Government

> U.M - Union Ministry

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 3. Township Level

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 2) Staffing

| No. | Township (District) | Position |  |  |  |  | No. of Extension Camps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Deputy Staff Officer (DSO) | In Extension Camp (Extension Worker) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Assistant Officer (AO) | Deputy Assistant Officer (DAO) | Daily Wage Staff | Total |  |
| 1 | Kanbalu (Kanblu) | 2 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 9 |
| 2 | Kin-U (Shwebo) | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 5 |
| 3 | Shwebo (Shwebo) | 4 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 25 | 7 |
| 4 | Wetlet (Shwebo) | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 15 | 9 |
| 5 | Taze (Shwebo) | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 19 | 5 |
| 6 | Ye-U (Shwebo) | 2 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 23 | 4 |
| 7 | Tabayin (Shwebo) | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 16 | 5 |
| 8 | Budalin (Monywa) | 3 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 14 | 3 |
| 9 | Ayadaw (Monywa) | 4 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 23 | 5 |
|  | Total | 32 | 61 | 51 | 48 | 160 | 52 |

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## 3) Budget



| Item / Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U. | Total | R.G | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} . \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U. | Total | R.G | $\bar{U} .$ | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Khin U Township(Shwebo District) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item / Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Tot |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Item/Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Kanbalu Township (Kanbalu District) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item / Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{U} . \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extension | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ye U Township (Shwebo District) (Million Kyats)

| Item / Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  | 2016/17 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Tabayin TownshipItem / Year |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2014/15 | 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total |  |  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Taze Township (SItem / Year |  |  | ct) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2011/12 |  |  | 2012/13 |  |  | 2013/14 |  |  | 2014/15 |  |  | 2015/16 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Item/Year |  | 2011/2012 |  |  | 2012/2013 |  |  | 2013/2014 |  |  | 2014/2015 |  |  | 2015/2016 |  |  | 2016/2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Tota I |
|  | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Extension }}{}$ Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Ayadaw Township (Monywa District) <br> (Million Kyats)

| Item/Year |  | 2011/2012 |  |  | 2012/2013 |  |  | 2013/2014 |  |  | 2014/2015 |  |  | 2015/2016 |  |  | 2016/2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Tota | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total | R.G | U.M | Total |
| Extension | Salary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Department of Agriculutre

## VII. 5 Agricultural Mechanization Department (AMD)

1) Organization Chart (Headquater, region, District, TS level)


Source: Agricultural Mechanization Department

## 2) Staffing

| No | Station | Officer | Staff |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Operator | Mechanic | Other Staff | Total |  |
| A | Project Area | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Shwe-bo District | 1 | - | - | 7 | 7 | 8 |
| 2 | (3)Shwe-bo | 1 | 32 | 3 | 12 | 47 | 48 |
| 3 | (21)Ye-U | 1 | 25 | 4 | 9 | 38 | 39 |
| 4 | (40)Kantbalu | 1 | 19 | 9 | 12 | 40 | 41 |
| 5 | (61 )Wetlet | 1 | 18 | 1 | 11 | 30 | 31 |
| 6 | (62)Budalin | 1 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 36 | 37 |
| B | AMD Union | 357 | - | - | - | 5,728 | 6,085 |

Source: Agricultural Mechanization Department
3) Budget

| No | Station | Expenditure |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capital | Current | Total | Hiring <br> Sevices | Sale | Total |
| A | Shwe-bo District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | (3)Shwe-bo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $(21)$ Ye-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | (40)Kantbalu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | (61)Wetlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | (62)Budalin | Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | AMD Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| . No | Station | Expenditure |  |  | Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capital | Current | Total | Hiring Sevices | Sale | Total |
| A | Shwe-bo District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | (3)Shwe-bo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | (21)Ye-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | (40)Kantbalu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | (61 )Wetlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | (62)Budalin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | AMD Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2014-2015) |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |


| No | Station | Expenditure |  |  | Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capital | Current | Total | Hiring Sevices | Sale | Total |
| A | Shwe-bo District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | (3)Shwe-bo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | (21)Ye-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | (40)Kantbalu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | (61)Wetlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | (62)Budalin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | AMD Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2015-2016) |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |


| (2015-2016) |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Station | Expenditure |  |  | Income |  |  |
| No |  | Capital | Current | Total | Hiring Sevices | Sale | Total |
| A | Shwe-bo District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | (3)Shwe-bo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | (21)Ye-U |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | (40)Kantbalu |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | (61)Wetlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | (62)Budalin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B | AMD Union |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Agricultural Mechanization Department

## 4) Experience of the Loan/Grant Project Implementation

| No. | Project Title | Funding Organization | Grant/Loan Amount | Project Period | Implementation task |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Completed Project |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Non-Project Grand Aid | Japan ODA Grant | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { JPY(144.58) } \\ \text { Million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline(2012-2013)- \\ (2013-2014) \\ (2) y e a r s \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | To provide the tractors (65)units and implements for recovery of crops land affected by the flood in six project sites. |
| 2 | Irrigation Development in Bago Region (West) | $\underset{\text { Loan }}{\text { Japan ODA }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { JPY(750) } \\ \text { Million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2018-2019) \\ (5) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To support farm machinery such as Tractor (220)units, Combine Harvester (31)units and Excavator (5)units to five project site in order to provide land preparation, land consolidation and harvesting service for local farmers . |
| On-going Project |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Food Security Project for UnderPrivileged Farmer (2KR-2012) | JICA Grant | JPY(230) Million | $\begin{gathered} (2013-2014)- \\ \text { (2016-2017) } \\ (4) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To provide the mechanization services on land preparation with tractors(92) units and harvesting with combine harvester (10)Units in four project sites and Meikhtila Training Center. Counterpart fund in collected from hiring service of the machinery to get one half (FOB) price of Machinery |
| 2 | Food Security Project for UnderPrivileged Farmer (2KR-2013) | JICA Grant | JPY(230) Million | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2017-2018) \\ (4) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To provide the mechanization services on land preparation with tractor(93)units and harvesting with combine harvester (10)units in four project sites. Counterpart fund in collected from hiring service of the machinery to get one half (FOB) price of Machinery. |
| 3 | The Project for Farmland Consolidation and Agricultural Machinery Training for Agricultural Mechanization in Myanmar | KOICA Grant | USD(6) Million | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2016-2017) \\ (3) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To emerge mechanized farmland (100 ha.) <br> -To establish Agricultrual Machinery Training Center and conduct training program for farmers and AMD's staff on operation \& maintenance of farm machinery. |
| 4 | Fosterring Agricultural Revitalization in Myanmar-FARM | IFAD Loan | USD(18.7) Million (No use directly from AMD) | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2019-2020) \\ (6) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To emerge modernized farmland by consolidating in irrigated areas. |
| 5 | Agriculture Development Support Project-ADSP | World Bank Grant | USD(4) Million | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2020-2021) \\ (7) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To support farm machineries to four AMS for demonstration and conducting farmers training. <br> -To supply farm machineries and equipment as well as teaching aids in order to upgrade the Meikhtila Training Center |
| 6 | Development of Irrigation Schemes in Myanmar | Exim Bank of India Loan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USD(101.626) } \\ & \text { Million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (2014-2015)- \\ (2016-2017) \\ (3) y e a r s \end{gathered}$ | -To procure and sell farm machineries and implements to farmers by installment paying system and AMS of AMD in project areas and to upgrade the workshops |

[^2]VII. 6 Department of Rural Development (DRD) ※as of December 2016

## 1. Headquter Level

## 1) Organization Chart

> Organization Chart of Rural Development Department


## 2) Staffing

| Officer $/$ Year | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Offficer | 179 | 245 | 5,075 | 5,356 | 5,262 |
| Staff | 488 | 626 | 11,264 | 11,692 | 11,468 |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 3 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 0 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 3 0}$ |

Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 3) Budget

| No. | Budget Title | 2011-2012 <br> Municipal <br> Department | 2012-2013 <br> DRD | 2013-2014 <br> DRD | 2014-2015 <br> DRD | 2015-2016 <br> DRD |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Normal Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Capital |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Foreign Donation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Loan (Income) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Capital Cost |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Cost for Loan |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 4) Experience of the Loan/ Grant Project Implementation

| No | Project Title | Funding Organization | Grant/Loan Amount | Project Period | Implementation task |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On-going Project |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | RegionalDevelopment <br> Project <br> for <br> Reduction <br> Phaverty <br> Supply  <br> Towns Projects for <br> Town  | JICA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yen }(2,593.320) \\ & \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2013-2014 to } \\ 2016-2017 \end{gathered}$ | Townships Water Supply |
| 2 | National Community  <br> Driven Development <br> Project-NCDDP  | World Bank | US\$(400)million | September, 2015 to November, 2021 | Infrastructure |
| 3 | National Electrification <br> Plan-NEP  | World Bank | US\$(90)million | $\begin{gathered} 2016-2017 \text { to } \\ 2020-2021 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Electrification |
| 4 | Italian Contribution to Up-Scaling to National Community Driven Development Program-NCDDP | Italy Government | Euro(20) million | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2015-2016 to } \\ 2017-2018 \end{gathered}$ | Infrastructure |

Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 2. District Level

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 2) Staffing

Shwebo District

| No. | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Deputy Director | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Assistant Director ( Technical ) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Staff Officer | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Staff Officer ( Technical ) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | Senior Clerk | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 8 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Junior Engineer(3) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 10 | Junior Clerk | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 11 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 12 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | Driver (5) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 15 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 25 | 8 | 14 | 22 |


| No. | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Deputy Director | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Deputy Director ( Technical) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Assistant Director | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Director ( Technical) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Staff Officer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Staff Officer ( Technical) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | Computer Operator | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Senior Clerk | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 12 | Account (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | Heavy Machinery Operator (3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Assistant Supervisor ( Drilling) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | Junior Clerk | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 18 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 19 | Account (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 21 | Dy Assistant Supervisor ( Drilling) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | Driver (5) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 24 | 4 | 6 | 10 |

Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 3. Township Level

1) Organization Chart


Source: Depatment of Rural Development
Note: 1/ Districts are headed by Deputy Director, Assistant Director, and 2 Staff Officers. Shwebo Township is headed by Assistant Director and 2 Staff Officers. And the other townships are headed by Township is he
Staff Officers.
2/ Only Districts and Shwebo Township have this division as district roles of Staff Officers.

## Source: Depatment of Rural Development

## 2) Staffing

Shwebo Township (Shwebo District)

| No Rank |  | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Assistant Director | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Staff Officer ( Technical) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 4 | Deputy Staff Officer | 4 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| 5 | Junior Engineer (2) | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 6 | Senior Clerk | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 7 | Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Junior Engineer (3) | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 9 | Heavy Machinery Operator(3) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Junior Clerk | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16 | Security | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 26 |

Khin U Township (Shwebo District)

| No. | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer ( Technical) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | Heavy Machinery Operator(3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 11 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | 27 | 5 | 9 | 14 |

Ye U Township (Shwebo District)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer ( Technical) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | Heavy Machinery Operator(3) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |

Tabayin Township (Shwebo District)
Tabayin Township (Shwebo District)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 |  | 3 | 3 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | Heavy Machinery Operator(3) | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk | 5 |  |  | 0 |
| 9 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 |  | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 11 | Accountant (4) | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 |  |  | 0 |
| 13 | Driver (5) | 2 |  |  | 0 |
| 14 | Security | 1 |  |  | 0 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6}$ |

Wetlet Township (Shwebo District)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 7 | Junior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 8 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 9 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Driver (5) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |

Taze Township (Shwebo District)
Taze Township (Shwebo District)

| No Rank |  | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer (Technical) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Junior Clerk | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Security | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |

KanbaluTownship (Kanbalu District)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Assistant Director | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Staff Officer | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | Junior Engineer (2) | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | Junior Engineer (3) | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 6 | Heavy Machinery Operator (3) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| 8 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Account (4) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | Security | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ |

BudalinTownship (Monywa district)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Female | Total |  |
| 1 | Sfaff Officer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer (Admin/Finance) | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 3 | Deputy Staff Officer ( Project / Intern <br> -ational Relation) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Junior Engineer (2) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| 6 | Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | Junior Engineer (3) | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | Dy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 10 | Junior Engineer (4) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Accountant (4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Heavy Machinery Operator (4) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | Driver (5) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | Security | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |

AyadawTownship (Monywa District)

| No | Rank | Permit | Present Staff |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Male | Female | Total |
| 1 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Deputy Staff Officer | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 3 | Junior Engineer(2) | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Senior Clerk | 5 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| 5 | Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Junior Engineer(3) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 | Junior Clerk | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 8 | Deputy Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 9 | Junior Engineer(4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 10 | Accountant(4) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Heavy Machinery Operator(4) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Driver(5) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | Security | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ |  |  |

Source: Depatment of Rural Development


Khin-U Township (Shwebo District)
3) Budget
Shwebo Township (Shwebo District)

| Khin-U Township (Shwebo District) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Road |  |  |  | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |
| Sr | Year | Gravel (No, Mile) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Earth } \\ & \text { (No, Mile) } \end{aligned}$ | Total (No, Mile) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Concrete } \\ \text { (No, } \\ \text { Length) } \end{gathered}$ | Box Culvert (No, Length) | Wooden (No, Length) | CauseWay (No, Length) | Total (No, Length) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |  |
| 1 | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Agriculture Income Improvement Project
Ye-U Township (Shwebo District)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | lion Kyats) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr | Year | Road |  |  |  |  | Bridge |  |  |  |  |  | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |
|  |  | Asphalt (No, Mile) | Gravel (No, Mile) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Earth } \\ & \text { (No, Mile) } \end{aligned}$ | Total (No, Mile) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Concrete } \\ & \text { (No, } \\ & \text { Length) } \end{aligned}$ | Box <br> Culvert <br> (No, <br> Length) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wooden } \\ & \text { (No, } \\ & \text { Length) } \end{aligned}$ | Cause-Way (No, Length) | Total (No, Length) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |  |
| 1 | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Myanmar
Kanbalu Township (Kanbalu District)

| Kanbalu Township (Kanbalu District) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Million Kyats) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Road |  |  |  |  | Bridge |  |  |  |  | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |
| Sr | Year | Gravel (No, Mile, Furlong) | Kankar (No, Mile, Furlong) | Earth (No, Mile, Furlong) | Total (No, Mile, Furlong) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) | Cause-Way <br> (No, Length) | Box Culvert (No, Length) | Wooden (No, Length) | Total (No, Length) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |  |
| 1 | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Budalin Township (Monywa District)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | lion Kyats) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sr | Year | Asphalt (No, Mile) | Gravel (No, Mile) | Latterite (No, Mile) | Earth (No, Mile) | Total (No, Mile) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) | Concrete <br> (No, <br> Length) | Box Culvert (No, Length) | Cause-Way <br> (No, Length) | Wooden <br> (No, <br> Length) | Total (No, Length) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) | Permitted Budgets (Million Kyats) |
| 1 | 2012-2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2013-2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 2014-2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 2015-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2016-2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\footnotetext{
(Million Kyats)


|  | Bridge |
| :---: | :---: |
| Box <br> Culvert <br> (No,Length) | CauseWay <br> (No,Length) |



## VII. 7 Department of Agricultural Land Management and Statistics (DALMS)

## 1. Headquter Level

## 1) Organization Chart


2) Staffing

Source: Department of Agricultural Land Management and Satistics

| Year | Staff Number |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Officers | Other Staffs | Total |  |
| 2012 | 346 | 9,228 | 9,574 |  |
| 2013 | 355 | 10,492 | 10,847 |  |
| 2014 | 320 | 10,157 | 10,477 |  |
| 2015 | 299 | 10,672 | 10,971 |  |
| 2016 | 366 | 11,370 | 11,736 |  |

3) Budget

| Year | Permitted Budgets | Actual Expenditure |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Capital | Current | Capital |
| $2011-2012$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2012-2013$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2013-2014$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2014-2015$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2015-2016$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: Department of Agricultural Land Management and Satistics
4) Experience of the Loan/Grant Project Implementation

Not Avaliable

## 2. District Level

1) Organization Chart


Source: Department of Agricultural Land Management and Satistics

## 2) Staffing

## Shwebo district

| No. Position | Permission | Appointed |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Staff Officer |  | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Officer |  | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 2 |  |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 |  | 1 |
| 8 | Account-4 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  | 1 |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) |  | 1 |
| 12 | Typist | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator |  | 1 |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 |  | 1 |
| 15 | Office-boy |  | 1 |
| 16 | Security Guard |  | 1 |
| 17 | Labourer |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 1 |

Monywa District

| Position | Permission | Appointed |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assistant Director | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Staff Officer |  | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Assi: Staff Officer ( File Control) | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Accountant-3 |  |  |
| 7 | Accountant-4 |  | 1 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk | 1 |  |
| 9 | Junior Typist |  |  |
| 10 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer( File Control) |  |  |
| 11 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 2 | 1 |
| 12 | Surveyor-4 | 1 |  |
| 13 | Surveyor-5 | 1 |  |
| 14 | Helper | 10 |  |
| 15 | Security |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## 3) Budget

Not Avaliable

## 3. Township Level

## 1) Organization Chart



Source: Department of Agricultural Land Management and Satistics

## 2) Staffing

Shwebo Township (Shwebo District)

| No. Position | Permission | Appointed |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 |  |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 13 | 12 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 28 |
| 7 | Surveyor - 4 | 53 | 1 |
| 8 | Account-4 | 1 |  |
| 9 | Junior Clerk | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 20 |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Typist | 2 |  |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 4 | 1 |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 |  | 65 |
| 15 | Office-boy | 81 |  |
| 16 | Security Guard |  |  |
| 17 | Labourer |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

Wetlet Township (Shwebo District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | Staff Officer |  |  |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 |  | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 |  |  |
| 8 | Account-4 | 1 | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  |  |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) |  |  |
| 12 | Typist | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 |  |  |
| 15 | Office-boy |  | 10 |
| 16 | Security Guard |  |  |
| 17 | Labourer |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 1 |

Khin-U Township (Shwebo District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 |  |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 8 | 7 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 | 36 | 18 |
| 8 | Account-4 |  | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  | 1 |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | Typist | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 1 |
| 14 | Surveyor - 5 |  |  |
| 15 | Office-boy |  | 48 |
| 16 | Security Guard | 55 |  |
| 17 | Labourer |  | 1 |

Ye-U Township (Shwebo District)

| No. | Position | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 |  |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 6 | 4 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 | 26 | 17 |
| 8 | Account-4 |  | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  |  |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 |  |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) |  | 1 |
| 12 | Typist | 1 | 11 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 2 |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 | 2 |  |
| 15 | Office-boy | 1 | 39 |
| 16 | Security Guard | 43 |  |
| 17 | Labourer |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

Tabayin Township (Shwebo District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 8 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 8 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 37 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 |  | 26 |
| 8 | Account-4 |  |  |
| 9 | Junior Clerk | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 |  |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 2 |  |
| 12 | Typist | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 1 |  |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 |  |  |
| 15 | Office-boy | 56 |  |
| 16 | Security Guard |  |  |
| 17 | Labourer |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

Taze Township (Shwebo District)
Taze Township (Shwebo District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 9 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 9 | 9 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 | 37 | 27 |
| 8 | Account-4 |  | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  | 1 |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 | 1 |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) |  | 1 |
| 12 | Typist | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 2 | 1 |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | Office-boy | 57 | 55 |
| 16 | Security Guard |  | 1 |
| 17 | Labourer |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 1 |

Kanbalu Township (Kanbalu District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assiatant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Account-3 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 13 | 13 |
| 6 | Assistant Officer (Proceeding) | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Surveyor -4 | 58 | 33 |
| 8 | Account-4 |  |  |
| 9 | Junior Clerk |  |  |
| 10 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Inspection) | 1 |  |
| 11 | Dy-Assistant Officer (Proceeding) |  |  |
| 12 | Typist | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | Dy-Assistant Computer Operator | 2 |  |
| 14 | Surveyor -5 | 3 |  |
| 15 | Office-boy | 1 | 76 |
| 16 | Security Guard |  |  |
| 17 | Labourer | 83 |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

Budalin Township (Monywa District)

| No. Position |  | Permission | Appointed |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assistant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Assi: Staff Officer ( File Control) | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 10 | 10 |
| 6 | Accountant-3 | 1 |  |
| 7 | Accountant-4 |  | 1 |
| 8 | Junior Clerk |  |  |
| 9 | Junior Typist | 1 |  |
| 10 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer( File Control) |  |  |
| 11 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 1 | 35 |
| 12 | Surveyor-4 | 25 | 11 |
| 13 | Surveyor-5 | 2 | 1 |
| 14 | Helper | 1 | 61 |
| 15 | Security | 66 |  |
|  | Total |  |  |

Ayadaw Township (Monywa District)

| No. Position | Permission | Appointed |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Assistant Director |  |  |
| 2 | Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | Deputy Staff Officer | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | Assi: Staff Officer ( File Control) | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 10 | 10 |
| 6 | Accountant-3 | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | Accountant-4 |  |  |
| 8 | Junior Clerk |  | 1 |
| 9 | Junior Typist | 1 | 1 |
| 10 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer( File Control) | 1 | 11 |
| 11 | Dy Assi: Staff Officer ( Inspect ) | 46 | 1 |
| 12 | Surveyor-4 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | Surveyor-5 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | Helper | 67 | 58 |
| 15 | Security |  | 1 |
|  | Total |  | 1 |

Source: Department of Agricultural Land Management and Satistics

## 3) Budget

Not Availiable
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## APPENDIX VIII. ENVIRONEMENT <br> VIII. 1 RESULT OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY TO AFFECTED PERSONS BY KYAUNK MYAUNG JETTY IMPROVEMENT

Kyaunk Myaung Jetty is located on Kyung Myaung Town under Shwebo District, which is in due east of Shwebo Town, around 20 km away (refer to Figure 1). It has been established along the Ayeyarwady River, traveling down through almost of the land of Myanmar from north to south. About 500 thousands rice bags per year transported from the jetty to China, and 50-100 labors per day work at the jetty. However, the bridge between ships and the jetty are wooden as shown in photo below (left), which makes the works inefficient and unsafe. The water level of the Ayeyarwady River is fluctuated by rainfall, and sometimes, surrounding area is flooded in rainy season. Just near the jetty, there are some temporary shops, while there are some permanent houses. Such temporary shops are constructed every year at the beginning of dry season (see photo right).


Figure VIII.1.1 Location of Kyaunk Myaung


It is necessary to demolish such structures mentioned above for the jetty improvement works, and the occupants are requested to shift to other sites. There are 16 households who will be affected by the jetty improvement works. A socio-economic survey targeting them was implemented (refer to Table-1). Out of 16 households, 6 households reside and operate their business in the affected area, while remaining 10 households have their residents in other sites. The affected area is governmental land, which means that all of the people are illegal settlers. It is noted that 10 shops are temporary operated in dry season, and the people re-construct their structures every year, since the structures can be flooded by the Ayeyarwady River in rainy season. They have stayed for many years and their income are mainly obtained from the shop operation near jetty.

The affected persons by the jetty improvement generally get stable income, around 5 million Kyat /household annually on average, and they cannot be categorized into the poor. Almost all of the occupants are Burma, while there is only one Kayin woman who married Burma guy. She migrated
from her hometown for marriage and she does not have any difficulties to communicate in Burma language, hence, it is not necessary to pay special attention to her. Therefore, it can be said that there are no the poor, indigenous, and ethnic people whom consideration have to be taken.

During construction period, the current jetty will be closed, however, a temporary jetty will be operated nearby. Therefore, present labors for shipment at the jetty will work at the temporary jetty. The persons who are requested to shift their houses/shops also can continue their business around the temporary jetty, so that, their livelihood will not severely affected by the Component. During operation, they will re-start their business near jetty, even after their residences are moved to other sites. Due to improvement of jetty, it is expected that the area will be developed. Therefore, it can be said that the impacts on livelihood of affected persons will be not be significant.



Table VIII.1.1 Result of Socio-eonomic Survey to Affected Persons by J etty Improvement (5/6)

Table VIII.1.1 Result of Socio-eonomic Survey to Affected Persons by J etty Improvement (6/6)


## VIII. 2 LAYOUT OF AFFECTED AREA BY JETTY IMPROVEMENT


Agriculture Income Improvement Project
VIII. 3 SIZE AND TYPE OF PROJECT REQUIRED IEE AND EIA

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special investment project |  |  |  |
| 1. | Projects in which investment is decided by the Parliament or the government cabinet or the President | - | Any size |
| Project for developing energy sector |  |  |  |
| 2. | Hydro Power Plants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Installed capacity } \geq 1 \mathrm{MW} \text { but }<15 \mathrm{MW} \text { and } \\ \text { Reservoir volume (full supply level) }<20,000,000 \\ \text { m3 and Reservoir area (full supply level) }<400 \text { ha } \end{gathered}$ | Installed capacity $\geq 15 \mathrm{MW}$ or Reservoir volume (full supply level) $\geq 20,000,000 \mathrm{~m} 3$ or Reservoir area (full supply level) $\geq 400$ ha |
| 3. | Nuclear Power Plants | - | All sizes |
| 4. | Natural Gas or Bio Gas Power Plants | Installed capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{MW}$ but < 50 MW | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 5. | Coal-fired Power Plants | Installed capacity $\geq 1$ MW but < 10 MW | Installed capacity $\geq 10 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 6. | Power Plants from Waste Products | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 7. | Geothermal Facilities | Installed capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{MW}$ but < 50 MW | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 8. | Combined Cycle Power Plants (gas \& thermal) | Installed capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{MW}$ but $<50 \mathrm{MW}$ | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 9. | Thermal Power Plants (other than the types in items 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8) | Installed capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{MW}$ but < 50 MW | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 10. | Wind Power Plants | Installed capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{MW}$ but < 50 MW | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| 11. | Solar Power Plants | Installed capacity $\geq 50 \mathrm{MW}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 12. | Onshore Oil and Gas Seismic Surveys | All sizes |  |
| 13. | Onshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drillings | - | All sizes |
| 14. | Onshore Oil and Gas Production drilling and production activities; transportation activities including pipelines; pump stations, compressor stations and storage facilities; ancillary and support operations; and decommissioning | - | All sizes |
| 15. | Offshore Oil and Gas Seismic Surveys | All sizes | - |
| 16. | Offshore Oil and Gas Exploration Drillings | - | All sizes |
| 17. | Offshore Oil and Gas Production drilling and production activities; offshore pipeline operations, offshore transportation, compressor stations and storage facilities; ancillary and support operations; and decommissioning | - | All sizes |
| 18. | Petroleum Refineries or Natural Gas Refineries (including manufacturing of liquefied petroleum gas, motor gasoline, kerosene, diesel oil, heating oil, fuel oil, bitumen, asphalt, sulphur, and intermediate products e.g. propane/propylene mixtures, virgin naphtha, middle distillate and vacuum distillate for the petrochemical industry) | - | All sizes |

Myanmar

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19. | Natural Gas Processing Plants; Production of liquid products from natural gas (this may include methanol and petroleum liquid products such as naphtha, gasoline, kerosene, diesel fuel, waxes, and lubes) | - | All sizes |
| 20. | Natural Gas Liquefaction Plants | - | All sizes |
| 21. | Oil or Natural Gas Terminals | - | All sizes |
| 22. | Petroleum Depots or Liquid Gas Depots | Storage capacity Petroleum < 10,000 t; Liquid gas $<2,500 \mathrm{t}$ | Storage capacity Petroleum $\geq 10,000 \mathrm{t}$; Liquid gas $\geq 2,500 \mathrm{t}$ |
| 23. | Oil or Gas Transmission or Distribution Systems | $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 24. | Filling Stations (including liquefied petroleum gas and compressed natural gas) | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~m} 3$ (10,000 I) fuel storage capacity | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 25. | Petroleum-based Organic Chemicals Manufacturing | - | All sizes |
| 26. | Electrical Power Transmission Lines $\geq 115 \mathrm{kV}$ but < 230 kV | $\geq 50 \mathrm{~km}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 27. | Electrical Power Transmission Lines $\geq 230 \mathrm{kV}$ | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 28. | High Voltage ( 230 kV and 500 kV ) Transformer Substations | $\geq 4$ ha | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 29. | Plantation Industrial/Crop Production (e.g. rubber, palm oil, cocoa, coffee, tea, bananas, sugar cane) | $\geq 200$ ha but < 500 ha | $\geq 500$ ha |
| 30. | Annual Crop Production (e.g. cereals, pulses, roots, tubers, oil-bearing crops, fibre crops, vegetables, and fodder crops) | $\geq 500$ ha but < 3,000 ha | $\geq 3,000$ ha |
| 31. | Livestock Farms (e.g. cows, buffaloes, horses, goats, sheep and others) | $\geq 500$ livestock units but < 3,000 livestock units | $\geq 3,000$ livestock units |
| 32. | Farms for Poultry and Other Commercially Raised Fowl | Fowl (poultry, ducks, turkeys) $\geq 5,000$ but < 20,000 Ostriches $\geq 50$ but $<200$ Quail $\geq 25,000$ but < 100,000 | Fowl $\geq 20,000$ Ostriches $\geq 200$ Quail $\geq 100,000$ |
| 33. | Pig Farms | $\geq 2,000$ pigs but < 5,000 pigs | $\geq 5,000$ pigs |
| 34. | Inland Fish Raising and Aquaculture (in rivers, lakes, ponds; including shrimp raising) | Total water surface $\geq 1$ ha but $<25$ ha | Total water surface $\geq 25$ ha |
| 35. | Marine and Coastal Fish Raising and Aquaculture | Total water surface $\geq 1$ ha but < 100 ha | Total water surface $\geq 100$ ha |
| 36. | Oyster Raising and Pearl Production | $\geq 50$ ha but < 200 ha | $\geq 200$ ha |
| 37. | Raising and Caring for Wild Animals | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 38. | Reptile Farms | Alligators, monitor lizards or pythons < 1,000 reptiles other reptiles < 5,000 reptiles | $\geq 1,000$ alligators, monitor lizards or pythons $\geq$ 5,000 snakes or other reptiles |
| 39. | Clear-cut Logging | $<500$ ha | $\geq 500$ ha |
| 40. | Concession Forest | < 10,000 ha | $\geq 10,000$ ha |
| 41. | Irrigation Systems | $\geq 100$ ha but < 5,000 ha | $\geq 5,000$ ha |
| Good Manufacturing |  |  |  |

Agriculture Income Improvement Project

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food and Beverage Manufacturing |  |  |  |
| 42. | Meat Processing Plants (slaughter of cattle, pigs, sheep and other livestock) | $\geq 15$ t/d but < 50 t/d carcase production | $\geq 50$ t/d carcase production |
| 43. | Poultry Processing Plants (slaughter of poultry and other commercially raised fowl) | $\geq 15 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ carcase production | $\geq 50$ t/d carcase production |
| 44. | Fish Processing Plants (fish, crustaceans, gastropods, cephalopods, and bivalves; includes by-products such as fish oil and fish meals) | $\geq 15 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $75 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 75 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 45. | Food and Beverage Processing Facilities (processing of beef, pork, mutton and poultry meats, vegetable, and fruit raw materials into value-added food and non-fermented beverage products for human consumption) | $\geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 46. | Dairy Processing Plants (reception, storage, and industrial processing of raw milk and the handling and storage of processed milk and dairy products) | $\geq 200$ t/d raw milk on annual average basis | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 47. | Manufacture of Animal Feeds | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product and $<600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product or $\geq 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 48. | Vegetable Oil Production and Processing Facilities | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product and $<600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product or $\geq 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 49. | Manufacture of Starches and Starch Products | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product and $<600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product or $\geq 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 50. | Manufacture of Grain Mill Products (grain milling, rice milling, production of rice flour, vegetable milling, coffee and cocoa milling, manufacture of flour) | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product and $<600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product or $\geq 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 51. | Monosodium Glutamate (seasoning powder) Factories | $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 52. | Sugar Manufacturing Plants | $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ and $<600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 300 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ refined sugar or $\geq 600 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ if production is operating a maximum of $90 \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 53. | Alcohol, Wine and Beer Production Factories | $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<300,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$ product and | $\geq 300,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$ product or |
| 54. | Non-Alcohol Factories (soda, soft drink, mineral water production) | $\geq 20,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 55. | Ice Factories | $\geq 500 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<2,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 2,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 56. | Drinking Water Factories (for bottled refined water) | $\geq 100,000 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{d}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 57. | Tobacco Processing Plants | $\geq 1 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $15 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ product | $\geq 15$ t/d product |
| Garments, Textiles and Leather Products |  |  |  |
| 58. | Textile Manufacturing Facilities (production of yarn, fabric, garments and finished goods based on natural fibres, synthetic fibres and/or regenerated fibres) | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 59. | Pre-treatment (washing, bleaching, mercerisation) or Dyeing of Textiles or Fibres | $\geq 1 \mathrm{t}$ d but < $10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |

Agriculture Icome Improvement Project

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60. | Leather Products Manufacturing (includes synthetic leather, handbags, luggage, saddle, footwear) | $\geq 1,000$ t/a | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 61. | Tanning and Leather Finishing | < 12 t/d finished products | $\geq 12$ t/d finished products |
| Timber based Products |  |  |  |
| 62. | Sawmilling and Manufactured Wood Products | Sawmills: input $\geq 3,000 \mathrm{m3} / \mathrm{a}$ but $<50,000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{a}$ Wood products: input $\geq 1,000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{a}$ but $<15,000$ m3/a | Sawmills: input $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{a}$ Wood products: input $\geq 15,000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 63. | Board and Particle-based Products Manufacturing (board and particle-based products, plywood and glued and laminated products, board from other raw materials such as sugar cane bagasse, straw, and linen) | < $600 \mathrm{m3} / \mathrm{d}$ or < $420 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 600 \mathrm{m3} / \mathrm{d}$ or $\geq 420 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 64. | Pulp and/or Paper Mills | $\geq 20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 65. | Printing or Other Surface Treatment Facilities (using organic solvents, in particular for dressing, printing, coating, degreasing, waterproofing, sizing, painting, cleaning or impregnating) | $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ but $<150 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | $\geq 150 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ or $\geq 200 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ consumption of organic solvents |
| Chemical |  |  |  |
| 66. | Large Volume Inorganic Compounds Manufacturing and Coal Tar Distillation (includes ammonia, acids [nitric, hydrochloric, sulphuric, hydrofluoric, phosphoric acid], chlor-alkali [e.g. chlorine, caustic soda, soda ash], carbon black, and coal tar distillation [naphthalene, phenanthrene, anthracene]) | - | All sizes |
| 67. | Petroleum-based Polymers Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |
| 68 | Coal Processing Plants (processing of coal into gaseous or liquid chemicals including fuels) |  | All sizes |
| 69 | Chemical Fertilizer Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |
| 70 | Pesticide Manufacturing, Formulation, and Packaging Plants | - | All sizes |
| 71 | Oleochemicals Manufacturing Plants (production of fatty acids, _lycerine, and biodiesel using fats and oils from vegetable or animal sources) |  | All sizes |
| 72 | Pharmaceuticals and Biotechnology Manufacturing Plants | < 50 t/a | $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 73 | Other Basic Organic Chemicals Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |
| 74 | Other Basic Inorganic Chemicals Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |

Agriculture Income Improvement Project

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | Other Chemical Products Manufacturing Plants (e.g. paints, inks, varnishes, soap, detergents, perfumes, pyrotechnic products, photographic chemicals) | $\geq 5 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but < $10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 76 | Explosives Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |
| 77 | Manufacturing of Extinguishers and Other Firefighting Products | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 78 | Manufacturing of CO2 Gas and Filling and Liquefying Industrial Gas | $\geq 1,000$ t/a but < 3,000 t/a | $\geq 3,000$ t/a |
| Manufacture of Glass and Ceramics |  |  |  |
| 79 | Glass, Glass Fiber or Mineral Fiber Manufacturing Plants | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 80 | Ceramic Tile and Sanitary Ware Manufacturing Plants | $\geq 1,000$ t/a fine ceramics $\geq 10,000$ t/a ceramic tiles | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| Manufacture of Construction Materials |  |  |  |
| 81 | Cement and Lime Manufacturing Plants | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cement } \geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h} \text { but }<30 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h} \text { Lime } \geq 20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d} \text { but }< \\ 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | Cement $\geq 30 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ Lime $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 82 | Clinker Plants | All sizes | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 83 | Other Construction Supplies and Materials Production | $\geq 30,000$ t/a but < 50,000 t/a | $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 84 | Asphalt Production Plants | < 100 t/d | $\geq 100 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
|  | Minerals, Machinery and Electrical devices |  |  |
| 85 | Base Metal Smelting and Refining Plants (base metal smelting and refining of lead, zinc, copper, nickel, and aluminum) | Non-ferrous metal < 20 t/d melting capacity, except for lead and cadmium < 4 t/d melting capacity | Non-ferrous metal $\geq 20$ t/d melting capacity, except for lead and cadmium $\geq 4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ melting capacity |
| 86 | Manufacture of Pig Iron, Raw and Low Alloy Steel from Iron Ore or Scrap Metal | < 2.5 t/h | $\geq 2.5$ t/h |
| 87 | Foundries (casting ferrous [iron and steel] and nonferrous [primarily aluminum, copper, zinc, lead, tin, nickel, magnesium, and titanium] metals) | Ferrous metal < 20 t/d production capacity Nonferrous metal < 20 t/d production capacity except for lead and cadmium < 4 t/d production capacity | Ferrous metal $\geq 20$ t/d production capacity Nonferrous metal $\geq 20$ t/d production capacity except for lead and cadmium $\geq 4 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ production capacity |
| 88 | Non-ferrous Metal Melting, Smithy and Filigree | Production capacity $\geq 5 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ but $<20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | Production capacity $\geq 20 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 89 | Shipyards and Ship Building Enterprises | < 1 ha and <20,000 t lifting capacity | $\geq 1$ ha or $\geq 20,000 \mathrm{t}$ lifting capacity |
| 90 | Locomotives and Other Railway Rolling Material Manufacturing, Repairing and Assembling | - | $\geq 100$ vehicles/a |
| 91 | Metal, Plastic, Fiber and Rubber Products Manufacturing Plants (material processing operations common to multiple industries engaged in the manufacture of metal, plastic, fibre, and rubber products) | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m} 2$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 92 | Rubber and Latex Processing Plants | $\geq 2,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 93 | Vehicle Tire Manufacturing Plants | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |

Agriculture Icome Improvement Project

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94 | Semiconductors and Other Electronics Manufacturing Plants (manufacturing of semiconductors, printed circuit boards, printed wiring assemblies, screens, passive components, and magnetic devices) | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 95 | Electronic and Electric Equipment Manufacturing Plants (computers, communication equipment, consumer electronics (cooking, washing, food, warm and cooling domestic and public electronic, laboratory equipment, electric motors, electric lightning etc.) | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 96 | Batteries and Accumulators Manufacturing Plants | < 3,000 t/a | $\geq 3,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 97 | Machinery, Vehicles and Equipment Manufacturing Plants | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 98 | Motor Vehicle and Motor Bike Assembly Plants | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 99 | Motor Vehicle Accessories, Related Equipment and Engine Manufacturing Factories | $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ production area, or $\geq 6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ consumption of organic solvents | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 100 | Motor Vehicle Maintenance Workshops | Utilization area $\geq 5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 101 | Car Breaking | < 10 vehicles/d, < 50 motorbikes/d | $\geq 10$ vehicles/d $\geq 50$ motorbikes/d |
| 102 | Weapons and Ammunition Manufacturing Plants | - | All sizes |
| Waste Management |  |  |  |
| 103 | Non-Hazardous Waste Disposal Facilities | Landfills < $10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ and total capacity $<25,000 \mathrm{t}$ Others < $50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | Landfills $\geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ or total capacity $\geq 25,000 \mathrm{t}$ Others $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 104 | Non-Hazardous Waste Incinerators | $<3 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\geq 3 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| 105 | Non-Hazardous Waste Recycling, Recovery or Reuse Facilities | < $50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ | $\geq 50 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 106 | Hazardous Waste Disposal Facilities | - | All sizes |
| 107 | Hazardous Waste Recycling, Recovery or Reuse Facilities | < 10 t/d | $\geq 10 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{d}$ |
| 108 | Wastewater Treatment Plants (centralized systems) | - | All sizes |
| 109 | Wastewater and Storm Water Collection Systems | Length $\geq 1 \mathrm{~km}$ but $<10 \mathrm{~km}$ | $\geq 10 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Water supply |  |  |  |
| 110 | Groundwater Development for Industrial, Agricultural or Urban Water Supply |  | < 4,500 m3/d |
| Infrastructure and Service Development |  |  |  |
| 111 | Dams and Reservoirs |  | Dam height $<15 \mathrm{~m}$ and Reservoir area < 400 ha |
| 112 | Lake, River and Channel Land Filling which Impacts on the Public |  | Area < 50 ha |

Agriculture Income Improvement Project

| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 113 | Other Large Civil Works Construction (embankments, seawalls, offshore breakwater) |  | Length < 2 km and Area < 25 ha |
| 114 | Dredging |  | Total < 500,000 t |
| 115 | River Channel Conservation (surface water \& water volume control) |  |  |
| 116 | Shipping (operation and maintenance of ships used for the transport of bulk cargo, and goods, and ship breaking) |  | All sizes |
| 117 | Ports, Harbors, and Terminals (ports, harbors, and terminals for cargo and passengers transfer) |  | Area $<25$ ha |
| 118 | Industrial Zone Construction and Development |  | - |
| 119 | Hospitals |  | All sizes |
| 120 | Cemeteries and Crematoria (for burial, incineration and other forms) |  | All sizes |
| 121 | Tourism and Hospitality Development | total utilization area $\geq \underset{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{200,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \text { but }<500,000}$ | $\geq 80$ rooms but < 200 rooms or total utilization area is $\geq 500,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| 122 | Golf Court | 9 holes | 18 holes |
| Transportation |  |  |  |
| 123 | Railways and Tramways (construction and maintenance of rail infrastructure and operation of rolling stock) | Length < 5 km | Length $\geq 5 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 124 | Cable Cars | Length < 0.5 km | Length $\geq 0.5 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 125 | Airports and Runway Construction | Runway length $<2,100 \mathrm{~m}$ | Runway length $\geq 2,100 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| 126 | Bridges, River Bridges and Viaducts (new construction) | Length $\geq 200 \mathrm{~m}$ but $<2 \mathrm{~km}$ | Length $\geq 2 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 127 | Bridges, River Bridges and Viaducts (upgrading) | Length $\geq 300 \mathrm{~m}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| 128 | Tunnels | Length < 1 km | Length $\geq 1 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 129 | Expressways and Highways (ASEAN Highway Standard; new construction or widening) | Length $\geq 2 \mathrm{~km}$ but $<50 \mathrm{~km}$ | Length $\geq 50 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 130 | Other Roads (state, region, urban; new construction or widening) | Length $\geq 50 \mathrm{~km}$ but $<100 \mathrm{~km}$ | Length $\geq 100 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| 131 | Road Improvement (upgrading from seasonal to all weather surface, widening of shoulders) | Length $\geq 50 \mathrm{~km}$ | All activities where the Ministry requires that the Project shall undergo EIA |
| Mining |  |  |  |
| 132 | Extraction of Rock, Gravel or Sand from a River or Marine Waters | $\geq 1,000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{a}$ but $<50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 133 | Construction, Building and Ceramic Minerals Extraction (aggregates, limestone, slates, clay, gypsum, feldspar, silica sands, granite, kaolin, bentonite, marble, and quartzite) | < 200 acre and < 100,000 t/a | $\geq 200$ acre or $\geq 100,000$ t/a |
| 134 | Extraction and Refining of Industrial Minerals (barite, fluorite, phosphate, potash, salt, soda ash, asbestos) | < 200 acre and < 100,000 t/a ore | $\geq 200$ acre or $\geq 100,000$ t/a ore |

Myanmar

| Myanmar |  |  | Agriculture Icome Improvement Project |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Type of Investment Project | Size Required IEE | Size Required EIA |
| 135 | Extraction of Ferrous, Non-Ferrous Metal and Precious Metal Ore Except Gold (iron, manganese, silver, copper, tin, antimony, lead, nickel, zinc, chromium, bauxite), and Precious Stone | < 50 acre and < 50,000 t/a | $\geq 200$ acre or $\geq 50,000$ t/a |
| 136 | Refining of Metal Mineral Ore (without using hazardous chemicals) | < 50,000 t/a | $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 137 | Refining of Metal Mineral Ore (using hazardous chemicals) | < 25,000 t/a | $\geq 25,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 138 | Extraction and Refining of Gold Ore (without using hazardous chemicals) | < 20 acre | $\geq 20$ acre |
| 139 | Extraction and Refining of Gold Ore (using hazardous chemicals) | < 20 acre and < 25,000 t/a | $\geq 20$ acre or $\geq 25,000 \mathrm{t} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| 140 | Coal Mining (underground and surface) | < 100,000 t/a coal | $\geq 100,000$ t/a coal |
| 141 | Mining, including Dredging of Heavy Mineral Sands (tungsten, ilmenite, rutile, zircon, titanium, monazite) | $\geq 1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ but $<50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\geq 50,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{a}$ |

## VIII. 4 PROCEDURE OF EIA/IEE




EMP Review and Approval



EIA Investigations and Review



Source: EIA Procedure, 2015

## VIII. 5 MINUTES OF STAKEHOLDER MEETING

## (1) Village: Late Chin District/City: Shwebo

1. Venue: Chapel of village
2. Date \& Time: From 10:00 am to $12: 00 \mathrm{am}$ on 21 September 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Hideaki Hiruta Mr. Ryo Inoue, Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) Surveyor Team Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
3) Number of participants from the village: 79 (Male 36 and Female 43, Farmers 64 and non-farmers 15)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objectives and three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas - How randomly selected 12 villages for survey and project will cover Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region.
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries ( two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season - up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

- Villagers ask who will bear for the construction costs of the irrigation rehabilitation (Mr. Hiruta answers the cost will be shouldered by the Project)
- The villagers are willing to participate for the implementing of the other two project components - 1) Agricultural extension strengthening, 2) Road and bridge Improvement for their brighter future.
- The villagers agree for two conditions of project implementation but ensure that to supply the water for the other crops instead of summer paddy on the sowing time.
- Relating to the land consolidation they have prior idea for road to farm land and small canals (tertiary) but it is difficult to implement by themselves.


## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(2) Village: Htan Zin District/City: Shwebo

1. Venue: School (Primary)
2. Date \& Time: From 9:00 am to $10: 30 \mathrm{am}$ on 23 September 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Hideaki Hiruta, Mr.Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Saw Thet Khine Win (Executive Engineer) and Mr. Tay Zar Tun (Assistant Engineer)
3) Surveyor Team-Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Villagers

Number of participants: 129 (Male 95 and Female 34, Farmer 97 and Non-farmer 32)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas - How randomly selected 12 villages for survey and project will cover Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region.
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation work and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | He agrees with the project implementation because summer <br> paddy is less profitable crop. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | He agrees to implement the project because Myanmar <br> government prioritizes the agriculture than other sectors. <br> Therefor he believes that if the agricultural sector is developed, <br> the other sectors will be developed as well. |
| 3. | Women | If agriculture sector develops, village's economy will be better <br> soon. She thinks that is mutual development. |
| 4. | The old | He thinks that it is possible to improve in future for <br> agriculture. |


| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| -They want to have rad to farm land and small canal <br> (tertiary) because their farmland can only get the water by <br> farm to farm irrigation. (Mr. Hiruta responded that the <br> project will not construct any extension of existing canals. <br> The project just intends to rehabilitate existing canals). |  |  |
| 5. | Youth | He asks about drainage system because his farmland was <br> flooded two times last year. Therefore, we would like to <br> propose about improvement of drainage system. <br> The project side answered that the project will examine the <br> drainage improvement as well as irrigation canal, but at this <br> moment, it is under the discussion. |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(3) Village: Lat Pan Gyi District/City: Kin-U

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 20$ am to $11: 10 \mathrm{am}$ on 26 September 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Ryo Inoue
2) IWUMU: Mr. Aung Thu Rain Maw (Assistant Engineer)
3) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Number of participants from the village 58 (Male 48 and Female 10, Farmer 56 and Non-farmer 2)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas - How randomly selected 12 villages for survey and project will cover Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region.
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries ( two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | His farm was flooded every year because the drainage canal <br> was not in good condition. He requested to rehabilitate existing <br> drainage canal. |
| 2. | Non-farmer | He agrees with project implementation. He hopes the project <br> will help to improve their live in future. If agriculture sector is <br> improved, livelihood of non-farmers will be improved as well. |
| 3. | Women | She requests to get small irrigation canal (tertiary) to her <br> farmland. |
| 4. | The old | He has no comments. But he agrees with this project. <br> 5. Youth | | He requests to improve access road which connects the |
| :--- |
| market. |

iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? < Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(4) Village: Pyin Htaung District/City: Kin-U

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 09:30 am to $10: 45 \mathrm{am}$ on 28 September 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Hideaki Hiruta and Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Aung Thurain Maw (Assistant Engineer)
3) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Number of participants from the village: 60 (Male 43 and Female 17, Farmer 59 and non-farmer 1)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective and three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas - How randomly selected 12 villages for survey and project will cover Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region.
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries ( two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2 ) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1 ) land area decrease ( $6-10 \%$ ) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | He strongly agrees with project implementation but he worries <br> about cost of rehabilitation for irrigation canal. And he would like <br> to add one more request to rehabilitate existing drainage canal. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | He agrees with project because farm labors depend on farmers. If <br> the farmers are improved, farm labors' livelihood will be improved <br> as well. |
| 3. | Women | She would like to request to repair or rehabilitate existing drainage <br> canal which were damaged since long ago. For this year, her farm <br> was flooded three times. Mostly, two or three times per year her <br> farmlands were flooded in monsoon season. The cause is in- <br> flowing from three drainage canal (about 200ft) to one drainage <br> canal (about 80ft). Therefore, every year she faced flood problem. |


| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4. | The old | He thinks that flood issue started 5 year ago after Kindat Weir was <br> constructed. One time flood takes 5-7 days. Normally, the flood <br> occurs in July, August and September (in Monsoon season). |
| 5. | Youth | They are in rain-fed area. After Thapanzeik Dam was constructed, <br> they get the water not only from rainfall but also from irrigation <br> canal. If the rainfall is too much, they have to face flood issue but <br> they don't have good drainage canal to drain of exceeding water. |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? < Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/ No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max 10\% of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? < Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 11:00 am to $12: 30$ pm on 30 September 2016

## 3. Participants:

1) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
2) IWUMD: Mr. Thi Aye Nyein (Assistant Engineer)
3) Participants from the villages: 31 (Male 24 and Female7, Farmer15 and Nonfarmer 16)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | He wants to know if the farm road will be constructed <br> alongside with the irrigation canal for the land consolidation. <br> Answer: Yes |
| 2. | Non Farmer | - |
| 3. | Women | She wants to upgrade the access road to market because it <br> is very bad condition and therefore farm gate price of their <br> crops are lower than the other villages' ones. |
| 4. | The old | - |
| 5. | Youth | - |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < YeS/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max 10\% of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 09:30 am to 11:05 am on 03 October 2016
3. Participants
1) IWUMD: Mr. Yan Naing (Assistant Engineer)
2) Surveyor Team - Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
3) Participants from the village: 30 (Male 24 and Female 6 and Farmer 26 and Nonfarmer 4)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objectives and three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | - Only 200 acre can get the water from irrigation canal and rest <br> of 600 acre get water from drainage canal. <br> - They faced flooded in every year. Sometime it takes 18 days. |
| 2. | Non-Farmer | None |
| 3. | Women | She does not have any comments on the project. If all the <br> farmers agree, she will follow the project. |
| 4. | The Old | They would like to request one new canal bridge cross over <br> drainage canal which is located western part of their village. |
| 5. | Youth | They couldn't cultivate any crops in summer season, and <br> access road from village needs to be improved by the project. <br> They would like to request to rehabilitate Mote Soe Gyone <br> canal. |

iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

(7) Village: Indai District/City: Taze

1. Venue: Monastery ( Hman Yin) Indai
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 00$ am to $11: 00 \mathrm{am}$ on 05 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Hideaki Hiruta
2) IWUMD: Mr. Tun Tun
3) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Participants from the village: 115 (Male 71 and Female 44, Farmer 115 and Nonfarmer:0)

## 4. Contents

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | If it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season <br> for one to three years, he can only agree up to one year <br> because he wants to cultivate in the summer season. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | - |
| 3. | Women | She agrees with the project but she wants to finish the project <br> within the short time as soon as possible. |
| 4. | The old | He agrees with the project implementation and he wants to get <br> access road to his farmland |
| 5. | Youth | He agrees with the project and he also wants land <br> consolidation to be implemented in his farmland. |

iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

## (8) Village: Htan Gyi District/City: Ye-U

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 11:15 am to $12: 20$ am on 07 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Aung Naing (Assistant Engineer)
3) AMD: Mr. Ngwe Zaw Soe (Staff Officer)
4) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
5) Number of participants from the village: 67 (Male 37 and Female 30, Farmer 64 and Non-farmer 3)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | He agrees to rehabilitate and improve irrigation system. He can <br> fallow his farmland in summer season when irrigation is <br> stopped. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | He would like to propose to get access road to urban area. <br> Their village road is not in good condition. |
| 3. | Women | She is a casual labor and she agrees with implementation of <br> the project, which will increase labor cost. |
| 4. | The old | He agrees but requests to avail of sufficient water in summer <br> season upon the rehabilitation of irrigation system. |
| 5. | Youth | At present, they don't get sufficient water and they need to get <br> more water, and if possible, consider about farm road and <br> access road to market. |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(9) Village: Kone Thar District/City: Ye-U

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 09:40 am to $10: 40$ am on 10 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) IWUMD: Mr. San Lwin Oo (Executive Engineer)
2) Surveyor Team-Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
3) Number of participants from the village: 66 (Male 39 and Female 27, Farmer 66 and Non-farmer: 0)
4. Meeting Content
i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries ( two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answer the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | Lack of farm labor and high price of farm labor are very <br> problem now. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | Canal bridge was damaged which crosses over Ye U Main <br> Canal (YMC). This bridge is located on a access road to <br> market. |
| 3. | Women | High prices of chemical fertilizers and erosion of bank of her <br> farmland about 1.5 acre are very problem. |
| 4. | The old | There are two problems that they have faced in every year; 1) <br> pests and diseases 2) quality of chemical fertilizer |
| 5. | Youth | - |

iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

## (10) Village: Pauk Taw District/City: Tabayin

1. Venue: Village Administrator's residence
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 20$ am to $11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ on 12 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) IWUMD: Mr. Win Myint (Assistant Engineer)
2) DOA: Mr. Aye Min Tun (Staff Officer)
3) DALMS: Mr. Myint Oo (Staff Officer)
4) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
5) Number of participants from the village: 60 (Male 36 and Female 24 and Farmer 40 and Non-farmer: 20)

## 4. Meeting Content

## i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answer the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | They do not get sufficient amount of irrigation water, so that <br> they pump up water from the drainage canal. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | N/A |
| 3. | Women | She has no comment and she is very pleased with this project. |
| 4. | The old | About 15 farmers are suffered 2 times occurrence of flood <br> every year and they lost their nurseries. Therefore, they have to <br> plant again and again. |
| 5. | Youth | N/A |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(11) Village: Mee Kyaung Ai District/City: Tabayin

1. Venue: Monastery (Phote Htan Taw)
2. Date \& Time: From 09:00 am to $10: 20 \mathrm{am}$ on 17 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team; Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Win Myint (Assistant Engineer)
3) Surveyor Team-Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Number of participants from the village: 52 (Male 39 and Female 13, Farmer 50 and Non-farmer 2)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2 ) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | Agree with the project because he believes that he would have <br> more income after this project is implemented. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | Lack of job opportunities. And farm labor wages are also low. |
| 3. | Women | She asked about the compensation for the land losses <br> regarding the land consolidation. The project side responded <br> that no compensation for the land loss will be provided. |
| 4. | The old | He has problem with shortage of irrigation water. |
| 5. | Youth | He agrees with the project. |

iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(12) Village: Na Ga Bo District/City: Tabayin

1. Venue: School
2. Date \& Time: From 10:20 am to $11: 30 \mathrm{am}$ on 19 October 2016
3. Participant:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Win Myint
3) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, Mr. Aung Moe Naing
4) Number of participants of the village: 215 (Male 52 and Female 163, Farmer 113 and Non-farmer 102)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2 ) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Explained that compensation for 1 ) land area decrease ( $6-10 \%$ ) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | Over 800 acres of farmlands don't get sufficient amount of <br> irrigation water. |
| 2. | Non Farmer | He agrees with the project. He thinks if farmers are developed, <br> so do farm labors. |
| 3. | Women | She agrees with the project. |
| 4. | The old | Agree with the project and no comment. |
| 5. | Youth | RMC AEC DY-4 cannot supply sufficient irrigation water in <br> monsoon and summer seasons. |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max 10\% of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

## VIII. 6 MINUTE OF STAKEHOLDER MEETING IN LAND CONSOLIDATION PILOT SITES (1) Village: Late Chin District/City: Shwebo

1. Venue: Chapel of village
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 00$ am to $11: 15$ am on 24 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Myo Tint ()
3) AMD: Mr. Tun Naing Than (Staff Officer)
4) DOA: Ms. Zar Zar Min (Staff Officer)
5) DALMS: Mr. Soe Tun Aung (Staff Officer)
6) GAD: Ms. Su Su Hlaing (Dy Staff Officer)
7) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw ThuAung, Mr. AungHtay Lin, Mr. KaungHtut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
8) Number of participants from the village side: 164 (Male 127 and Female 37, Farmer 154 and non-farmer 10)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Explained briefly about the benefits of land consolidation
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender 6\% to 10\% of their farm land).
- Also presented that farmers who owned farmlands under the targeted area must stop cultivation (winter crop and summer crop) during the implementation period for the land consolidation. The implementation period will start after monsoon paddy cultivated and finish before the seeding time of next monsoon paddy. It would last about three to six months.
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the irrigation canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Village <br> Chairman | He asked that why didn't choose the other farmland apart from <br> the targeted area irrigated by SMC DY-4 MR-2. He also asked <br> that the targeted area could change to another place if the <br> other farmers are interested in this land consolidation project. <br> The project side responded that the village was selected as a <br> pilot site for land consolidation site. Therefore, it is important to <br> ask the farmers' feeling about the project. On the other hand, <br> the whole actual target area of the land consolidation covering <br> 4,000 ha (10,000 acre) has yet to be fixed at this moment. |
| 2. | Farmer (Male) | He agrees with this project and he is expecting that the other <br> farmlands of his village also to be land-consolidated. |
| 3. | Farmer <br> (Female) | N/A <br> 4. <br> The old |
| He agrees with the project and has no comment. |  |  |
| Youth | He said that there is difference between registered land area <br> and actual cultivated area. He asked, in such case, how the <br> project will precede the land consolidation. <br> The project side responded that it is needed to survey the <br> actual farmland area prior to the land consolidation. |  |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a. Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b. Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c. There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d. Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>
c) Do you accept winter and summer crop stoppage for land consolidation work? <Yes/No>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

## (2) Village: Khon Taung Gyi District/City: Shwebo

1. Venue: Monastery
2. Date \& Time: From 09:40 am to 11:00 am on 27 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Myo Tint (Staff Assistant Engineer)
3) AMD: Mr. Tun Naing Than (Staff Officer)
4) DOA: Ms. Zar Zar Min (Staff Officer)
5) DALMS: Mr. Soe Tun Aung (Staff Officer)
6) GAD: Ms. Su Su Hlaing (Dy Staff Officer)
7) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw ThuAung, Mr. AungHtay Lin, Mr. KaungHtut Win and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
8) Participants from the village side: 112 (Male 80 and Female 32, Farmer 83 and Non-farmer 29)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas(Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Explained briefly about the benefits of land consolidation
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Also presented that farmers who owned farmlands under the targeted area must stop cultivation (winter crop and summer crop) during the implementation period for the land consolidation. The implementation period will start after monsoon paddy cultivated and finish before the seeding time of next monsoon paddy. It would last about three to six months.
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | He asks how to arrange the segmented pieces of farmland <br> after the land consolidation. <br> The project side answered that it is needed to prepare the <br> replotting plan and the plan should be presented to the farmers <br> for the further discussion, and agreed upon by all the <br> beneficiary farmers. |
| 2. | Farmer (Male) | His concern is that the farmers can get their existing places <br> after land consolidation. <br> The project side answered that basically it will be arranged as <br> requested with other farmers consensus. |
| 3. | Farmer <br> (Female) | She is very pleased with land consolidation and has no <br> comment. |
| 4. | The old | N/A |
| 5. | Youth | Agree with the project. |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

3) Irrigation
e. Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? < Yes/No>
f. Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
g. There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
h. Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
4) Land consolidation
d) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
e) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>
f) Do you accept winter and summer crop stoppage for land consolidation work? <Yes/No>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(3) Village: Kan Byar (Ywa Shae) District/City: Tabayin

1. Venue: Monastery (Kan byar)
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 15$ am to $11: 20$ am on 31 October 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Win Myint
3) DOA: Mr. Aye Min Tun
4) DALMS: Mr. Myint Oo
5) GAD: Mr. Win Zaw Htwe
6) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw ThuAung, Mr. AungHtay Lin, Mr. KaungHtut Win, Mr.Aung Moe Naing
7) Participants from the village: 70 (Male 51 and Female 19, Farmer 55 and Nonfarmer 15)

## 4. Meeting Content

i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Explained briefly about the benefits of land consolidation
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Also presented that farmers who owned farmlands under the targeted area must stop cultivation (winter crop and summer crop) during the implementation period for the land consolidation. The implementation period will start after monsoon paddy cultivated and finish before the seeding time of next monsoon paddy. It would last about three to six months.
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.
ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | Asked who will bear the cost for the project. Answer: the project |
| 2. | Farmer (Male) | He wishes that his farmand to be measured before land <br> consolidation. He said that the actual farmland area is different <br> from the registered one. <br> The project side responded that it is needed to measure the <br> actual farmland area prior to the land consolidation. |
| 3. | Farmer <br> (Female) | Asked how to arrange the segmented farmlands which are less <br> than one acre. <br> The project side answered that the segmented lands will be <br> consolidated. |
| 4. | The old | Asked whether he can get his own place after the land <br> consolidation project. <br> The project side answered that it is not fixed who will get which <br> site after the land consolidation at this moment. It is planned to <br> prepare a replotting plan, which will be reviewed and shall be <br> agreed upon by the beneficiaries. |
| 5. | Youth | N/A |

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a. Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? <Yes/No>
b. Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c. There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? <Yes/No>
d. Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max $10 \%$ of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>
c) Do you accept winter and summer crop stoppage for land consolidation work? <Yes/No>
As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.
(4) Village: Tavayin District/City: Tabayin
1. Venue: Chapel
2. Date \& Time: From $10: 00$ am to $11: 20$ am on 03 November 2016
3. Participants:
1) JICA Team: Mr. Takahiro Funayama
2) IWUMD: Mr. Win Myint
3) DOA: Mr. Aye Min Tun
4) DALMS: Mr. Myint Oo
5) Surveyor Team: Mr. Kyaw Thu Aung, Mr. Aung Htay Lin, Mr. Kaung Htut Win, and Mr. Aung Moe Naing
6) Participants from the village side: 74 (Male 60 and Female 14, Farmer 63 and Non-farmer 11)

## 4. Meeting Content

## i. Project introduction

- Explained about objective of three main components of this project (irrigation rehabilitation, Road and bridge Improvement and Agricultural Extension Strengthening)
- Explained about survey areas (Shwebo irrigation scheme and related areas in Sagaing Region)
- Explained briefly about the benefits of land consolidation
- Presented about the two project conditions which have to contribute by beneficiaries (two conditions are 1) stopping irrigation for summer season up to three years 2) beneficiaries have to surrender $6 \%$ to $10 \%$ of their farm land).
- Also presented that farmers who owned farmlands under the targeted area must stop cultivation (winter crop and summer crop) during the implementation period for the land consolidation. The implementation period will start after monsoon paddy cultivated and finish before the seeding time of next monsoon paddy. It would last about three to six months.
- Explained that compensation for 1) land area decrease (6-10\%) due to the land consolidation, 2) irrigation/cultivation suspension due to the canal rehabilitation works and 3) cultivation suspension due to the land consolidation works will not be provided.
- After the explanation, some questions such as "Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years?" are asked to the participants to confirm their feelings about the Project under the conditions (See "iii. Questions to the villagers by the Project" below).
- Answered the questions related to the project asked by the participants.


## ii. Comments on the Project

| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Farmer | Asked how to arrange the segmented pieces of farmland after <br> the land consolidation. <br> The project side responded that replotting plan will be prepared <br> and the plan will be presented to the beneficiaries for review |


| No. | Type of person | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2. | Farmer (Male) | and agreement by all the beneficiary farmers. <br> Agree with the project but wish to surrender his farmer less <br> than 6\% if possible. |
| 3. | Farmer <br> (Female) | Agree with the project and no comment. <br> 4. The Old | | He wishes that his farmland to be measured before land |
| :--- |
| consolidation. |.

## iii. Questions to the village by the Project

1) Irrigation
a) Do you agree with the project, although it is necessary to stop irrigation water during summer season for one to three years? < Yes/No>
b) Up to how many seasons of stoppage of irrigation during summer season can you accept?
<One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never>
c) There may be an arrangement with which irrigation water is released for the sowing times of sesame or green gram so that you can cultivate these crops instead of summer paddy for one to three seasons. Do you agree with the project with this condition? < Yes/No>
d) Up to how many seasons of this arrangement can you accept? <One season/Two seasons/Three seasons/Never >
2) Land consolidation
a) Typical land consolidation usually needs minimum 6\% to max 10\% of your farmland to construct the new farm roads and small (tertiary) canals. So, still can your village agree on the land consolidation with the loss of the farmlands or percentage (6-10\%)? <Yes/No>
b) Up to how much percentage of the farmland, can your villagers surrender for the construction of farm roads and small (tertiary) canals? <10\%>
c) Do you accept winter and summer crop stoppage for land consolidation work? <Yes/No>

As a whole, the proposed project is accepted by the village, under the condition that part of farmland will be decreased and cultivation will be suspended due to irrigation canal improvement for some seasons.

## VIII. 7 MINUTE OF STAKEHOLDER MEETING ON LAND ACQUISITION

## 1. Target Road: Sb-33

(1) Venue: Lone Taw Pyae Pagoda Compound, Village Tact: Yin Mar, District/City: Shwebo
(2) Date \& Time: From 09:45 am to 12:30 pm on 25 April 2017
(3) Participants: 109 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

|  | Name | Organization |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Aung Thura Khaing | MOHA | Staff ( Clerk) |
| 2 | U Chit Win | MOHA - Yin Mar village | Village Administrator |
| 3 | Dr. A Zin Latt | Parliament of Shwebo TS | Lower House member |
| 4 | U Tay Zar Tun | IWUMD | Staff Officer |
| 5 | U Myo Lwin | DALMS | Staff Officer |
| 6 | U Soe Tun | DALMS | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 7 | U Aung Myint Zaw | DALMS | Surveyor - 4 |
| 8 | U Aung Myint Than | DRD- District | Assistant Director |
| 9 | U Win Myat Thein | DRD | A.D (township officer) |
| 10 | Daw Nyein Nyein Aye | DRD | Staff Officer ( Technology) |
| 11 | Daw May Zaw Oo | DRD | Junior Engineer -3 |
| 12 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 13 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 14 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 15 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 94 (Male:74, Female: 20)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAP in this Appendix VIII. 7

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Opening speech by Dr. A Zin Latt ( Parliament member of Shwebo Township)

She explained the road project briefly. Implementation date of the Project is not too close, since the Loan Agreement between the Government of the Myanmar and JICA has not been exchanged. It is the first opportunity of our rural people to make comments and to raise their opinion prior to project implementation. Our people should take this opportunity for their regional development
(b) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(c) Questions from JICA Project Team to the participants

Survey Team staff asked the following questions to the land owners.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement?
A-1 Yes, we agree that. (All of the participant raise their hand to show their agreement.)

Q-2 Do you agree for land loss without compensation?
A-2 We can agree the land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner-male (from Yin Mar village) - This project is for public purpose, not only for individual. Therefore, we can give not only for some portion but also for all our own land for road so as to develop our village.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee?
A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, we accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(d) Supplementary explanation by U Win Myat Thein, Township Officer, DRD Shwebo

This village is one of the project areas of the rural road improvement site with JICA agriculture income improvement project in Shwebo. We need to cooperate with the project team for successful project implementation. Road's width will be expanded from current 18 feet to 20 feet by 1 foot for both sides of the Road Sb -33. If we complete the road improvement, we can use it for a long time until our next generation.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One male villager <br> from Yin Mar village | We installed electricity line <br> with our own budget in <br> 2013. At this time, we <br> donated our land to build <br> electricity posts. We can <br> also donate our land for <br> this time (road expansion). | - | - |
| One female villager <br> from Yin Mar village | Idon't want to lose my <br> land anymore as I had <br> already donated my <br> residential land for <br> electricity line. | U Win Myat Thein <br> Township Officer <br> DRD Shwebo | In accordance with road <br> standard design, we need <br> only 2 feet for road <br> expansion. If you don't agree <br> road expansion because of <br> land loss, we will not expand <br> in-front of your house, only <br> upgrading shall be <br> implemented. |

## 2. Target Road: Sb-36

(1) Venue: Thiri Mingalar Monastery, Village Tract: Myin Chin District/City: Shwebo
(2) Date \& Time: From 09:30 am to 12:30 pm on 26 April 2017
(3) Participants: 125 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Pho Kan | MOHA - GAD | Village Administrator |
| 2 | U Win Myat Thein | DRD | Assistant Director - Shwebo Township |
| 3 | Daw Nyein Nyein Aye | DRD | Staff Officer ( Technology) |
| 4 | Daw May Zaw Oo | DRD | Junior Engineer-3 |
| 5 | U Kyaw Tun Oo | IWUMD | Canal Inspector |
| 6 | U Myo Lwin | DALMS | Staff Officer |
| 7 | U Thein Swe Oo | DALMS | Surveyor-4 |
| 8 | U Win Tun | DALMS | Surveyor-4 |
| 9 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 10 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 11 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 12 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 113 (Male:90, Female: 23)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Opening Speech and introduction by JICA Team and DRD Township Officer
(b) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(c) Supplementary explanation by U Win Myat Thein, Township Officer, DRD Shwebo
- Road will be upgraded to asphalt road with 20 feet.
- If the villagers agree and cooperate in the land acquisition for the road upgrade project, it is easier to upgrade the road, which leads to convenient transportation.
- Road will be extend by about 1 feet each for both sides upon as far as the current road is 18 feet.
(d) Question from the JICA Team to the participants

Survey Team staff asked the following question to the land owner.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally.

Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree without compensation because -
One villager -male (from Myin Chin village) - This project intend for all, not only for individual. We can donate our land up to total 24 Ft road expansion.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no , any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, we can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { U Pho Kan, Village } \\ \text { Tract Administrator }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { When the road is } \\ \text { upgraded, drainage } \\ \text { system for the road is } \\ \text { also constructed? We } \\ \text { want to include drain line } \\ \text { in each side of the road } \\ \text { to be upgraded, since we } \\ \text { used to face water flood } \\ \text { in raining season in our } \\ \text { village. }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { U Win Myat Thein, } \\ \text { Township Officer, DRD } \\ \text { Shwebo }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { The drain line is not } \\ \text { included in our design for } \\ \text { this road upgrading. }\end{array}$ |
| However, I shall consider |  |  |  |
| that case depending on |  |  |  |
| the land availability of |  |  |  |
| each side. |  |  |  |$\}$

## 3. Target Road: KU-7 Village Tract: Kan Tar Yar District/City: Khin-U

(1) Venue: Kan Thar Yar Monastery
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:05 am to 12:30 pm on 27 April 2017
(3) Participants: 91 in total including PAPs, JICA Team members and governmental staff
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Kyaw Min Tun | Regional Parliament | Parliament member |
| 2 | Daw Khin May Soe | MOHA, GAD | Staff/ Clerk |
| 3 | Daw Cho Cho Nwe | DRD | Assistant Engineer, Township |
| 4 | Daw Myo Myo | DRD | Sub Assistant Engineer |
| 5 | Daw Htay Mar Myint | DRD | Assistant Computer Operator |
| 6 | U Thiha Maung Maung | DRD | Junior Engineer -3 |
| 7 | U Zaw Lin Oo | DALMS | Surveyor-4 |
| 8 | U Zaw Moe Lwin | DALMS | Surveyor-4 |
| 9 | U Thein Win Aung | DALMS | Assistant Canal Inspector |
| 10 | U Soe Htet Nyi Nyi | DALMS | Surveyor-5 |
| 11 | U Pyae Phyo Kyaw | DALMS | Surveyor-5 |
| 12 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 13 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 14 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 15 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 76 (Male: 60, Female: 16)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction and Opening speech by U Kyaw Min Tun ( Parliament member of Regional Parliament)

This project aims at rural development, and participation from local people side is important. In our township, it is planned to upgrade three roads by JICA. This is a chance to develop our rural area and we are very lucky this time. After the implementation of this project, we can access to town easily for your education, religious and social affairs. I hope that you would understand this purpose and warmly welcome this project.
(c) Introduction of Daw Cho Cho Nwet, Township Officer, DRD Khin U.

We, DRD are very close relationship with this village, since we are one of Municipal departments. In this road upgrading project, we will do our best and cooperate with JICA. Therefore, you need to maintain this road for long life. In addition, we need to avoid crossing of animals carts on the road because it can damage to the asphalt pavement. JICA wants to know about your opinions for this project especially from land owners which have beside of the road.
(d) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(e) Questions from JICA Project Team to the participants

Project Team asked the following question to the land owner.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, we agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

## A-2 Yes, we can agree without compensation because -

Land Owner - male (from Kan Thar Yar village) - By using this upgraded road, we can promote our health care, education, transportation until our next generation.
Land Owner - male (from Ba O village) - If we won a lottery, we shall use it for daily activities and the money will be gone out within one day. However, this upgraded road can be kept for our next generation.
Land Owner - male (from Mya Kan Thar Ward) - This project is for our rural area development.
Land Owner - female (from Kan Thar Yar village) - It will be useful for our next generation.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(f) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| One villager, Kan <br> Thar Yar Village | Please construct the farm <br> road within the farmlands in <br> our village. | JICA Project Team | In our project components, land <br> consolidation project is included. |
| If your area is target for the land |  |  |  |
| consolidation project site, it will |  |  |  |
| be constructed as you requested. |  |  |  |

## 4. Target Road: KU-12

(1) Venue: Si Bok taya Monastery, Village Tract: Si Bok taya District/City: Khin-U
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:00 am to 12:30 pm on 28 April 2017
(3) Participants: 125 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Kyaw Min Tun | Regional Parliament | Parliament member |
| 2 | U Nyunt Swe | MOHA, GAD | Village Tract Administrator |
| 3 | Daw Cho Cho Nwe | DRD | AE - Township Officer |
| 4 | Daw Myo Myo | DRD | SAE |
| 5 | U Thiha Maung Maung | DRD | Junior Engineer -3 |
| 6 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 7 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 9 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 116 (Male: 95, Female: 21)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.
(4) Meeting Content
(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Opening Speech and introduction by U Kyaw Min Ttun ( Parliament member ,Regional Parliament)

This project aims at development of rural economy. Therefore, you need to participate actively from local people side. We can use this upgraded road not only for agriculture products transportation but also for ambulance, fire track \& vehicle for social affairs and rescue in emergency case. I hope that you will understand and accept the project.
(c) Opening Speech and introduction by Daw Cho Cho Nwet, Township Officer, DRD Khin U

This road is connected to Old Mu Canal IP road, and villagers can transport their agriculture inputs and products by using the road, and also for social affairs (education, health, emergency cases). After the implementation of the project, it is suggested to use animal cart wheel with rubber cover for long life road.
(d) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(e) Question from the JICA Project Team

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (99 \% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If Yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Si Bok taya village) - I can donate our land as much as you need for road expansion because this type of project is target for all people, not only for individual.
Land Owner - male (from Tha yet kan village) - By upgrading this road, people can access easily famous historical pagoda near our village.
Land Owner - male (from Gya Bo village) - This project is intend for our rural area development.
Land Owner - male (from Pay Gone village) - Everyone can access easily to famous historical pagoda.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, we can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(f) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager, Si Bo <br> taya village | If a land owner cannot provide <br> his/her land with or without <br> compensation, how shall you <br> do? | JICA Project Team | If land owner cannot provide his/her <br> land, we will not make expansion for <br> that. Only upgrading will be <br> performed. |
| One villager, Tha <br> yet kan village | How about the quality of road? | Daw Cho Cho <br> Nwet, Township <br> Officer , DRD Khin | The construction of this road shall <br> be implemented by JICA expert and <br> DRD. Therefore, we will perform in <br> accordance with international <br> standards. |

Apart from the stakeholder meeting, JICA Team visited one villager who does not accept the land acquisition of his land, even if compensation for the land loss is provided, since he does not want to cut down his tamarind trees. Following opinion and answer were exchanged:

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\begin{array}{l}\text { U Nyo Aye, Gya Bo } \\ \text { village (tamarind } \\ \text { tree owner along } \\ \text { road) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l}\text { I cannot agree road expansion in-front } \\ \text { of my land with or without } \\ \text { compensation. I don't understand why } \\ \text { they choose my way as there has } \\ \text { another old way along this road. They } \\ \text { can also use this old road for road } \\ \text { expansion. Road distance is not so } \\ \text { different between old road and new } \\ \text { road. In addition, I don't want to lose my } \\ \text { long life tamarind tree (about 55 years } \\ \text { old) }\end{array}$ | JICA Project Team | $\begin{array}{l}\text { JICA choose this road to } \\ \text { implement so as to link with } \\ \text { OMC IP road for rural } \\ \text { transportation. However, if } \\ \text { you don't agree loss of your }\end{array}$ |
| land for road expansion, we |  |  |  |
| will only upgrade without |  |  |  |
| expansion. |  |  |  |$\}$

## 5. Target Road: Tz-17

(1) Venue: Chaung Zon(S) Dhamma Hall, Village Tract: Chaung Zon (South) District/City: Taze
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:00 am to 12:30 pm on 28 April 2017
(3) Participants: 121 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Myint Tun | Lower House Parliament | Member ( Taze township) |
| 2 | U Thi Han Soe | GAD, MOHA | Township Administrator/Assistant <br> Director |
| 3 | U Chan Tun | Immigration Department | Township Officer |
| 4 | U Htay Oo | Myanmar Police Force | Township Officer (police) |
| 5 | U Kyaw Shwebo | Education Department | Assistant Director |
| 6 | U Than Aung | DALMS | Staff officer of Township |
| 7 | U Zaw Thet Naing | DRD | Staff officer of Township |
| 8 | U Wai Phyo | GAD, Chaung Zon (S) Village Tract | Village Tract Administrator |
| 9 | U Tun Naing Win | GAD, Chaung Zon (S) Village Tract | Village Tract Clerk |
| 10 | U Myo Min Oo | GAD, Fa Lan Chaing Village Tract | Village Tract Administrator |
| 11 | U Nyo Win | GAD, In Kok Ka Village Tract | Village Tract Administrator |
| 12 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 13 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 14 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 15 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 106 (Male:88, Female: 18)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by U Myint Tun (Lower House Parliament Member, Taze Township.

Under leading of State counselor, Daw Aung San Su Kyi, new government tries to get peace countrywide and economic development. For that case, we need to improve infrastructure such as transportation, telecommunication for economic development. On the other hand, we need budgets (via economic development) to implement those infrastructure improvement. Our country is under poverty and we need international development assistance, e.g. from JICA. The JICA assistant is a sign of good relationship between Myanmar and Japan. Therefore, JICA plans to start this development project in Sagaing Region with the improvement of many components such as irrigation, agriculture, rural road and bridges. Among them, Chaung Zon(S) road is one of the project sites for road improvement, and beneficiary people are very lucky. I request and suggest villagers to be unity and to participate actively for successful of this project.
(b) Introduction of U Thi Han Soe ( Township Administrator, GAD, Taze Township)

Today is the good (Mingalar) day for people in this area. Formerly, rural development was responsible for township municipal with municipal budget, which was collected tax from Township level. Nowadays, Department of Rural Development organizes rural economy development, sanitation, road and bridges, electrification etc. In our township, we plan to implement development
sectors such rural road, health, education, not only support from international organization such as JICA, Europe but also from ourselves. I hope that villager will actively participate in this project
(c) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(d) Question from the JICA Project Team

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If Yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Chaung Zon village) - This upgraded road is majorly effect for our village development.
Land Owner - male (from Chaung Zon village) - This project is mainly for all, not for individual.
Land Owner - male (from In Kok Ka village) - This project is greatly beneficial for our two villages (Chaung Zon and In Kok Ka) transportation.
Land Owner - female (from Chaung Zon village) - It is very difficult to set one stone (for road construction) in-front of our house by our own budgets. Therefore, we warmly welcome JICA project and be really appreciated.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One Villager from Chaung Zon Village | Where will you construct asphalt pavement in the target road, center or right/left side of the road? I wish to use one side of the roads for animal cart after the pavement, and I hope the pavement is applied only for one side. | U Zaw Thet Naing, Township Officer DRD Taze | JICA will upgrade to asphalt pavement based on existing macadam base. Therefore, upgraded road site will be same as current macadam pavement. |
| One villager from | As parliament member | JICA Project team | We have already submitted |


| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Chaung Zon Village | said that we want to <br> include road extension to <br> school from this road <br> (about 1 furlong) if <br> possible. | to JICA project scope. <br> However, DRD can include <br> this road part their own plan <br> by union budget or regional <br> budget. |  |

6. Target Road: KU-10 (1)
(1) Venue: Village Administrator House, Village Tract: Ma daung hla District/City: Khin U
(2) Date \& Time: From 12:00 am to 1:30 pm on 02 May 2017
(3) Participants: 32 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Win Khaing | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator |
| 2 | Daw Cho Cho New | DRD | Staff officer of Township |
| 3 | Daw Khin Moh Moh Lwin | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 4 | U Thiha Maung Maung | DRD | Junior Engineer-3 |
| 5 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 6 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 7 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 24 (Male: 16, Female: 8)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction of Daw Cho Cho Nwe (Township Officer, DRD Khin U township)
(c) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(d) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

Project Team staff ask the following questions to the land owner.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - Male (from Ma daung hla village) - This project will improve our village transportation and economic opportunity of villagers.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One farmer from | If I lose large farmland <br> Ma daung hla <br> area (more than one <br> village | JICA Project Team | According to road design, you will <br> lose one or two feet of land for <br> acre), I need to be <br> compensated. However, <br> one or two feet of land <br> loss is no problem for <br> me. |
|  |  | not very big. <br> If government can get budgets, it is <br> possible to provide compensation <br> for the land loss. If not, the road |  |
| will not be expanded, just only |  |  |  |
| upgrading of existing condition. |  |  |  |

## 7. Target Road: KU-10 (2)

(1) Venue: High School, Ma daung gyi village, Village Tract: Ma daung gyi District/City: Khin U
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:00 am to 12:30 pm on 28 April 2017
(3) Participants: 49 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Swe Lin Oo | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator |
| 2 | Daw Cho Cho New | DRD | Staff officer of Township |
| 3 | Daw Khin Moh Moh Lwin | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 4 | U Thiha Maung Maung | DRD | Junior Engineer-3 |
| 5 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 6 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 7 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 41 (Male:28 Female: 13)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team
(b) Introduction of Daw Cho Cho Nwe ( Township Officer , DRD Khin U township)

JICA plans to upgrade three roads in our township. This time is only preparatory survey, not actual implementation period. JICA will implement their project step by step systematically. JICA project team will explain you about the Project by using vinyl (banner) later. Please listen carefully to their explanation and make a question for unclear points.
(c) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(d) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree with the land loss without compensation because -

Land Owner - male (from Ma daung gyi village) - Being a farmer, I have to use this road to transport agriculture products and inputs. This upgraded road shall be effective for our agriculture business.
Land Owner - male (from Shwe Kar village) - For our village, it is very important to get enough space for road expansion. Even government cannot provide compensation for land loss, our village can pay that by ourselves.
Land Owner - female (from Ma daung gyi village) - We can donate our land for road because this road is for public use.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager from Ma <br> daung gyi village | How about irrigation <br> rehabilitation? | JICA Survey Team | Irrigation rehabilitation is the <br> one of the major components <br> for our project. We plan to |
| rehabilitate the structures of |  |  |  |
| Thapanzeik Dam Irrigation |  |  |  |
| Scheme. |  |  |  |

8. Target Road: Tb-20
(1) Venue: Dhamma Hall, Yin dwe Village Monastery, Village Tract: Yin dwe District/City: Tabayin
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:35 am to 11:45 pm on 16 May 2017
(3) Participants: 56 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Win Zaw Htwe | GAD, MOHA | Assistant Director, Township Administrator |
| 2 | U Min Aung | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Yin dwe Village Tract |
| 3 | U Myint Thein | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Let ti Village Tract |
| 4 | Daw Khin Mya Yu | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Clerk, Yin dwe Village Tract |
| 5 | Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung | DRD | Staff Officer , Township Officer |
| 6 | Daw Swe Lae Maw | DRD | Junior Engineer -3 |
| 7 | Daw Han Thu Zar Maw | DRD | Computer Operator |
| 8 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 9 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 10 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 11 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 45 (Male: 37, Female: 8)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction by U Win Zaw Htwe (Assistant Director, GAD Tabayin Township)

JICA always helps our county to improve infrastructure and it is a well-known organization by Myanmar people. They plan to improve two roads in our township, namely, Yin dwe road and Ohm Tabin road. It is very difficult to upgrade to asphalt pavement by government budgets within next ten years. JICA road standard will be higher than local. JICA requests you to use this road for transport of agricultural inputs and products. As for me, let me request to participate actively in this meeting.
(c) Introduction by Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung ( Staff Officer, DRD Tabayin Township)

We need 20fts for road expansion in accord with road standard design. With our DRD budgets, it is very difficult to plan asphalt pavement for village road. Please ask unclear points on their explanation and participate well for successful road project.
(d) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(e) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. ( $100 \%$ of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Let ti village) - This road is greatly beneficial to our village transportation, education, health and even for emergency case.
Land Owner - female (from Yin dwe village) - This road project is not only for individual, for all people. Therefore, we can donate our land as much as you want for road expansion.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(f) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager, Yin <br> dwe village | What kind of materials do <br> you use for road <br> shoulder? | Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung, <br> Staff Officer, DRD <br> Tabayin Township | In accordance with the standard <br> road design, we will use kanker <br> rock for road shoulder. |
| One villager, Let ti <br> village | What is the starting time <br> for road construction? | JICA Survey Team | In regard with implementation <br> schedule, we will construct road <br> construction in the period from <br> 2019 to 2024. However, we <br> cannot say exact year. |

9. Target Road: Tb-25 (1)
(1) Venue: Shwe Thein Taw Monestry, Village Tract: Min Swe Hnit District/City: Tabayin
(2) Date \& Time: From 10:30 am to 11:15 am on 17 May 2017
(3) Participants: 59 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Myo Naing Tun | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Min Swe Hnit Village Tract |
| 2 | U Yay Maung | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Ohn Ta Pin Village Tract |
| 3 | Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung | DRD | Staff Officer, Township Officer |
| 4 | Daw Phyo Phyo Thwe | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 5 | Daw Wah Wah Khaing | DRD | Engineer - 3 |
| 6 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 7 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 9 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 50 (Male: 41, Female: 9)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction by Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung ( Staff Officer, DRD Tabayin Township)

We held meeting here in last month for 1 mile asphalt pavement for this road. JICA plan to upgrade 4.5 mile asphalt for this road. We will discuss with JICA for another remaining parts. Thank you very much for coming here today. Please ask them openly your unclear points.
(c) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(d) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

Survey Team staff ask the following questions to the land owner.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -

Land Owner - male (from Min Swe Hnit village) - Road width have enough space for 20 ft . No one will effect as land loss. Therefore, we can agree for road expansion.
Land Owner - male (from Min Swe Hnit village) - This upgraded road will effect directly to our village development.
Land Owner - male (from Min Swe Hnit village) - I guess that no one will face land loss. Since our childhood, first construction time, the road width is about 50 fts . Therefore, we agree for road expansion.
Land Owner - female (from Min Swe Hnit village) - By using this upgrade road, we will easy to access to Tabayin town.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| One villager from Min <br> Swe Hnit Village | I request you to implement <br> this project as quickly as <br> possible. | - | - |
| One villager from Min <br> Swe Hnit Village | When is the construction work <br> implemented? | JICA Project Team | In accordance with our <br> schedule, the construction <br> of this road will be started in <br> $(2020-2021)$ fiscal year. |

10. Target Road: Tb-25 (2)
(1) Venue: Ohm Ta Pin Monestry, Village Tract: Ohm Ta Pin District/City: Tabayin
(2) Date \& Time: From 1:30 pm to 3:00 pm on 18 May 2017
(3) Participants: 58 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Win Zaw Htwe | GAD, MOHA | Assistant Director , Township Administrator |
| 2 | U Yay Maung | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Ohn Ta Pin Village <br> Tract |
| 3 | Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung | DRD | Staff Officer , Township Officer |
| 4 | Daw Phyo Phyo Thwe | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 5 | Daw Wah Wah Khaing | DRD | Engineer - 3 |
| 6 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 7 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 9 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 49 (Male:44, Female: 5)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction by U Win Zaw Htwe ( Assistant Director, Township Administrator, GAD)

JICA project plan to upgrade rural road and bridge and also irrigation rehabilitation, agriculture, mechanization. In our township, 67 miles for macadam upgrade and 6 mile for asphalt upgrade will be implemented. This project will not start in very near future because JICA take time to implement systematically. Therefore, villagers need to be patient. I suggested villagers to participate actively on this meeting.
(c) Introduction by Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung ( Staff Officer, DRD Tabayin Township)

I am very happy to come here for road upgraded project. JICA team will explain about the project detail later. Please discuss and make comments on this project and female aslo be welcome.
(d) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(e) Questions from JICA Project Team to the participants

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)

Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If Yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Ohm Ta Pin village) - This project will benefit to rural economy and transportation.
Land Owner - female (from Ohm Ta Pin village) - Since I was child, our villagers try to upgrade this road. But they could not it until now. Today, we have such kind of chance to fulfill village requirement. Therefore, we can give our land as much as you need. I am very pleased and thankful to JICA for this project.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(f) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One villager from Ohm Ta Bin village | I have known from parliament member that this road will upgrade to 2 miles asphalt in this year. Is the 2 mile interval to be upgraded included in the JICA project? | Daw Khin Mi Mi Aung , Staff Officer , DRD Tabayin | At this year, we will upgrade 1 mile asphalt (not 2 miles) by DRD budget. JICA plans to upgrade 4.5 mile for this road and hopefully will be started in 2019-2020 fiscal year. |

## 11. Target Road: YU-3

(1) Venue: Shaw Phyu Primary School, Village Tract: Shaw Phyu District/City: Ye U
(2) Date \& Time: From 1:30 pm to 2:30 pm on 19 May 2017
(3) Participants: 42 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Kyaw Naing | GAD, MOHA | Assistant Director, Township Administrator, Ye U |
| 2 | U Khin Maung Lay | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Shaw Phyu village Tract |
| 3 | Daw Khin San Win | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Clerk, Shaw Phyu Village Tract |
| 4 | U Chit Win | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Tin Tain Yan village tract |
| 5 | Daw Tin Mar Myint | DRD | Staff Officer, Township Officer, DRD Ye U |
| 6 | Daw Win Win Han | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer |
| 7 | Daw Aye Khaing Moe | DRD | Junior Engineer-3 |
| 8 | Daw Hnin Hnin Htet Lwin | DRD | Junior Engineer-2 |
| 9 | U Thein Htike Aung | DRD | Senior Clerk |
| 10 | Daw Shwe Paw | DRD | Senior Clerk |
| 11 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 12 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 13 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 14 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 28 (Male: 19 Female: 9)

See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction by U Than Lwin (Assistant Director, Township Administrator, GAD Ye U)

There are total 67 village tract in our township. We try to construct macadam and asphalt roads in our township as much as we get budget from the government. Among them, JICA chooses your road to upgrade to asphalt. JICA tries to make less environmental and social impact by the project in accordance with their policy. They came here to know your opinion and comments on this project in advance. Please try to make opinions and question for your unclear points.
(c) Introduction by Daw Win Myint ( Staff Officer, DRD Ye U Township)

We proposed total 51 roads to upgrade asphalt in our township. Among them, this road is one of our proposed roads and JICA selects this road to upgrade. We upgraded this road 1 mile to asphalt in last fiscal year and will upgrade another 0.625 mile in this year by DRD budget. For this road, it is needed to upgrade only 1.625 mile by the JICA project. Therefore, we need to discuss the matter with JICA. For my concern, that is all my information.
(d) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(e) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

JICA Project Team asked following questions to the land owners.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Shaw Phyu village) - This project intend for all, not only for individual.
Land Owner - female (from Shaw Phyu village) - I can donate my land for road.
Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(f) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager from <br> Shaw Phyu village | There are 9 pipes between <br> drains of each side of <br> road. Does the Project <br> cover improvement of the <br> pipes also? | DRD officer | The pipes are not under the <br> management of DRD. If you <br> want to replace current ones |
| to new ones, you have to pay |  |  |  |
| the cost by yourselves. |  |  |  |

It is noted that some of village elders asked about the impacts by the animal carts on the paved road to the DRD officer after the project. The DRD officer responded that DRD can construct additional new road for animal cart along the target road.
12. Target Road: Ad-5
(1) Venue: High School, Wartawma village, Village Tract: Wartawma District/City: Ayadaw
(2) Date \& Time: From 11:30 am to 1:00 pm on 22 May 2017
(3) Participants: 9 except PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Shwe Po | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Wartawma Village Tract |
| 2 | U Zaw Lin Tun | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Clerk, Wartawma Village Tract |
| 3 | U Tay Zar Tun | DRD | Staff Officer , Township Officer, DRD Ayadaw |
| 4 | Daw Aye Aye Aung | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer, DRD Ayadaw |
| 5 | Daw Su Sandi Moe | DRD | Junior Engineer-2, DRD Ayadaw |
| 6 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Consultant |
| 7 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 9 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): unknown due to no cadastral map

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team.
(b) Introduction by U Tay Zar Tun ( Staff Officer, DRD Ayadaw Township)

We also plan to upgrade 1.5 miles asphalt for this road in this budget year by DRD budget and I had been working in Ayadaw DRD for 3 years. I request you to participate in this meeting actively.
(c) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(d) Question from the JICA Project Team to the participants

JICA Team staff ask the following questions to the land owner.
Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally. (100\% of land owner are agreed.)
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If Yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Wartawma village) - This road greatly beneficial to our village.

Land Owner - female (from Wartawma village) - I prefer this project because it intend for our village development.

Land Owner - male (from Wartawma village) - I can donate as much as you need for road as my land is beside the road.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(e) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager from <br> Wartawma Village | Could you start the <br> project earlier than 2019- <br> $2020 ?$ | JICA Project | In accordance with JICA policy, we <br> shall take enough time for preparing <br> state before starting the project. That is <br> why we can start this project in 2019- <br> 2020. |
| One villager from <br> Wartawma Village | If the government do not <br> sign the loan agreement, <br> can or cannot this road <br> upgrade project <br> implement? | JICA Project | If two governments do not sign loan <br> agreement, we cannot start the project. <br> We can no say exactly until now <br> because the loan agreement has not <br> yet. |
| One villager from Ywa <br> si gon village | Can you extend this road <br> up to our village? | JICA Project | We have already submitted project site <br> to JICA. Therefore we cannot extend <br> this road up to your village. However, <br> you can request to DRD to include in <br> government budgets. |

## 13. Target Road: Ad-3

(1) Venue: Village Administration Office, Village Tract: Oak Shi Gyi District/City: Ayadaw
(2) Date \& Time: From 12:30 am to 2:00 pm on 23 May 2017
(3) Participants: 16 in total including PAPs
(a) Participants of governmental staff and the AIIP Project members

| No | Name | Organization | Position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | U Won Na | Regional Parliament | Parliament member, Sagaing Region |
| 2 | U Kyaw Soe Tun | GAD, MOHA | Village Tract Administrator, Oak Shi Gyi Village Tract |
| 3 | U Tay Zar Tun | DRD | Staff Officer, Township Officer, DRD Ayadaw |
| 4 | Daw Aye Aye Aung | DRD | Deputy Staff Officer, DRD Ayadaw |
| 5 | Daw Su Sandi Moe | DRD | Junior Engineer-2, DRD Ayadaw |
| 6 | Ms. Rie Kitao | JICA Project Team | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| 7 | U Han Soe | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 8 | U Thaw Zin Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |
| 9 | U Aung Moe Naing | JICA Project Team | Project Assistant |

(b) Number of Project Affected Persons (PAPs): 7 (Male: 7, Female: 0)

Due to lack of cadastral map in Ayadaw Township, only 7 PAPs were identified.
See "Attachment: Participant list" for all of name of PAPs.

## (4) Meeting Content

(a) Introduction and Opening speech by JICA Project Team
(b) Explanation of the Project, road expansion and land acquisition by the Project Team staff

- Overall explanation of the Project
- Location of rural road improvement site
- Implementation structure, target area, road improvement from macadam to asphalt, upgrading and land acquisition and project implementation schedule, precondition of the project, proposed grievance mechanism.
(c) Question from the JICA Project Team

Q-1 Do you agree with the road improvement, even if a part of your land is lost and compensation is provided?

A-1 Yes, We agree totally.
Q-2 If compensation is not provided for the land loss, do you agree with the road improvement? If Yes, why?

A-2 Yes, we can agree land loss without compensation because -
Land Owner - male (from Oak Shi Gyi village) - This road will directly effect to villagers economy.
Land Owner - male (from Oak Shi Gyi village) - I had donated 2 acres for road construction since last 30 years ago. I can also donate this time for road.

Q-3 Do you accept the unit price set by the Land Management Committee? If no, any suggestions?

A-3 Yes, We can accept that.
Q-4 Do you accept the proposed grievance handling mechanism? Any modification of that is needed?

A-4 Yes, We accept this proposed grievance handling mechanism.
(d) Questions from the participants and answers

| Speaker | Opinion/question | Respondent | Answer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| One villager from <br> Oak Shi Gyi village | Please start the project as <br> quickly as possible | JICA Survey Team | As we have already explained <br> the project will start in 2019- <br> 2020 Fiscal Years. |
| One villager from <br> Oak Shi Gyi village | You mentioned that this <br> project is loan project. Do <br> we (villagers) need to pay <br> back this loan? | JICA Survey Team | Loan agreement is between <br> Myanmar and Japan <br> government. Therefore, the <br> villagers no need to pay back <br> loan themselves. The <br> government will pay for that. |
| One villager from <br> Oak Shi Gyi village | Our current road condition is <br> earth pavement. This <br> project includes upgrading <br> of earth to macadam and <br> macadam to asphalt both <br> step. | U Tay Zar Tun, Staff <br> Officer, DRD <br> Ayadaw | In this project, we will <br> upgrade earth to asphalt <br> directly. As you know, we <br> construct two types like as 1) <br> earth to macadam road and <br> 2) macadam to asphalt road. <br> However, we can construct <br> earth to asphalt directly in <br> technology. |
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## APPENDIX IX COST ESTIMATION

## 1. Summary of Project Cost

## 1-1. Plan A

(1) MMK Version (million kyat)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Total (millon MMK) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { JICA } \\ \text { Portion } \end{array}$ | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-5.. 2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) AMD-3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \& operators AMD-4.1 Land Consolidayion (procurement of LC machineries) AMD-4.2 Land Consolidayion (Land leveling and consolidation) DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices DOA-3.2 Improvement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equipment) DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(2) JPY Version (million JPY)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { (million JPY) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-5.. 2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement <br> IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) <br> AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) <br> AMD-3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \& operators <br> AMD-4.1 Land Consolidayion (procurement of LC machineries) <br> AMD-4.2 Land Consolidayion (Land leveling and consolidation) <br> DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff <br> DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening <br> DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices <br> DOA-3.2 Imp rovement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equipment) <br> DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) <br> DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) <br> DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

※ 1 USD = $112 \mathrm{JPY}, 1$ USD = 1,350 Kyat, 1 MMK = 0.083 JPY

## 1-2. Plan B

(1) MMK Version (million kyat)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Total (millon MMK) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) <br> IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) <br> IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) <br> IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) <br> IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System <br> IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) <br> IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) <br> IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) <br> IWUMD-5.2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) <br> IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) <br> IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) <br> IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system <br> IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery <br> IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries <br> IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement <br> DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) <br> AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) <br> AMD-3 Capacity development for AMD staff \& operators <br> DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff <br> DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening <br> DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices <br> DOA-3.2 Improvement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equipment) <br> DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) <br> DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) <br> IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) <br> AMD-4.1 Land Consolidation (procurement of LC machineries) <br> AMD-4.2 Land Consolidation (Land leveling and consolidation) <br> DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(2) JPY Version (million JPY)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { (million JPY) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Total } \\ & \text { Cost } \end{aligned}$ | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) <br> IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) <br> IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) <br> IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) <br> IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) <br> IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) <br> IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) <br> IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System <br> IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) <br> IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) <br> IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) <br> IWUMD-5.2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) <br> IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) <br> IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) <br> IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system <br> IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery <br> IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries <br> IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement <br> DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) <br> AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) <br> AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) <br> AMD-3 Capacity development for AMD staff \& operators <br> DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff <br> DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening <br> DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices <br> DOA-3.2 Improvement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equipment) <br> DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) <br> DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) <br> IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) <br> AMD-4.1 Land Consolidation (procurement of LC machineries) <br> AMD-4.2 Land Consolidation (Land leveling and consolidation) <br> DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

※ 1 USD = 112 JPY, 1 USD = 1,350 Kyat, 1 MMK = 0.083 JPY

## 1-3. Plan C

(1) MMK Version (million kyat)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (millon MMK) |  |  | Total(millon MMK) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Cost | JICA Portion | Others | Total <br> Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-5.2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) AMD-3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \& operators AMD-4.1 Land Consolidayion (procurement of LC machineries) AMD-4.2 Land Consolidayion (Land leveling and consolidation) DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices DOA-3.2 Imp rovement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equip ment) DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(2) JPY Version (million JPY)

| Breakdown of Cost | Foreign Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | Local Currency Portion (million JPY) |  |  | Total(million JPY) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Cost | JICA Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others | Total Cost | JICA <br> Portion | Others |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System(U/S) IWUMD-5.2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (AEC \& BEC) IWUMD-6.1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) IWUMD-6.2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) DRD-1 Rural road improvement <br> DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement <br> AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) AMD-2.1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procurement) AMD-2.2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre (building construction) AMD-3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \& operators AMD-4.1 Land Consolidayion (procurement of LC machineries) AMD-4.2 Land Consolidayion (Land leveling and consolidation) DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening DOA-3.1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices DOA-3.2 Improvement of camp \& TS offices (procurement of office equipment) DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) DALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Civil Works Sub Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Price Escalation <br> Physical Contingency <br> Consulting Services <br> Land Acquisition <br> Administration Cost <br> VAT <br> Import Tax <br> Interest during construction <br> Front End Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

※ 1 USD = $112 \mathrm{JPY}, 1$ USD = 1,350 Kyat, 1 MMK = 0.083 JPY
2. Implementation Schedule
(1) Overall Project (Plan A)


| Land Acquisition | 1: í: í: í | 1: 1 | i i i i i | 1: í: í: | 1: í: | 1: i : í: | 1: $1: 1$ | 1: i i i i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IWUMD-1.1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Procurement) | HHHH1H | HH\|HH1H | HHHHH\|H | H\||1H|| | H\|H|H|H | HHHHH1H | HHHHH1H | HH\|HH1H |
| IWUMD-1.2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Construction) | 11:11: $1: 11$ | - : ! ! : ! : | 1: : $1: 1\|1:\|$ | ! : $1:\|1:\|$ | U : ! : ! : \\| : | : ! : \\| : | : | | ! : : \\| : | : | | U : : \\| : | : ! |
| IWUMD-1.3 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Dredging) | 1: : : ! : : ! : | : : : : : 1 : : \| | 1: : $1: 1:\|:\|$ | 1: $1: 1\|:\| 1$ | 1111111\|| | 1 : \| 1 | | | | | H\||1||1 | 1: \| 1 | | : |
| IWUMD-1.4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam (Gate Installation) | 1\|||||||| | 1\| || || || || | 1\|||1|||: | \| |||||||| | 1\||||||| | 1 \| 1|||||| | \|||||||| | 1\||||||| |
| IWUMD-2.1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Procurement) | 1 \| | | | | | | | \| | | | | | | | | 111\||1||| | 1 1 \| 1 1 1 1 | | 11111111\| | 1 1 1 \| 1 | 1 1 | 11111111 | 111111111 |
| IWUMD-2.2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Construction) | -14 ¢ ¢ ¢ | -14 +ix | -i-iticitici | ibibilimi | Wibibimit | ibibiximi | Hininitit | 1: i: itic |
| IWUMD-2.3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir (Gate Installation) | 111111\|1| | 1 1 \| | | | || | 1 \|11||1|1 | 1 \|| ||1|| | 1\| |1| |1|| | 1\||||||1 | \||||||| | $1\|1\|\|\|\|\mid$ |
| IWUMD-3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System | HuHUH | HHUH1H | H\|HUH! | UHUHUH | H\|H|U1H | H\|H|H|H | 1u:\||:| | 1: \|: |: | |
| IWUMD-4.1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (U/S) | 1:1:1: : | 11:101: | 1 1 U U 1 1: | 1:1:1:1: | 1: :1: |  |  | 1:1:1迷 |
| IWUMD-4.2 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) | H1:141 |  | 110114 | H1H1H1 | H1H1H1 |  | H1H1Hily | MH1H1Hic |
| IWUMD-5.1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System (U/S) | $1111\|1\| 1$ | 11:1111111 | 1111111111 | 111\||1|1| | 11:\|1|1|| | 1:111\|1:| | 1: \|1: ||: || | 1: \|| || || |
| IWUMD-5.2 2 Rehabilitation of RMC Imigation System (AEC \& BEC) | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : : |
| IWUMD-6. 1 Rehabilitaion of YMC Irrigation System (U/S \& MBC) | Mitititit | 1H1111 | 11H1H1 | H1H1H\|1 | H\|H|H|H | 1\||H|| | H\|H|H|| | 111:11 |
| IWUMD-6.2 Relabilitation of YMC Irrigation System (M/S \& D/S) | H\|H1H|H | H1:\|11111 | 111111111: | 1! 11:\||11| | 11 \|1111|! | 111111111 | ! ! : \| : |: || | 11: 1: 1: ! |
| IWUMD-7 Flood monitoring \& water management system | 1: $1111 \mid$ | 1:1: $1: 11$ | 1: : : $1: 11: 1$ | 1: : : $1: 11$ | 1: : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : : | 1: 1: \| : : | : | 1:1:1: |
| IWUMD-8 Preparation Work and Quality Conrrol and Maintenance of Machinery | 1 1 1 1 \| 1 | |  | 11: 11: 11: | 1 1 1 \| 1 | 1 | | 111111111 | 11: 11: \|11 | H\| || || || | 1 11 \|1 | 11 |
| IWUMD-9 Procurement of maintenance machineries | H\|H|H|H | HHHH\|H | HHHHH1H | HHHHH1H | HHHHH1H | HHHHH1H |  | HHHHH1H |
| IWUMD-10 Canal inspection road improvement | 1:1:1:1:! | U! ! : \\| : ! : | 1:1!1:\|:1 | 1: ! ! : ! ! | 1 ! : \\| : \| : | U : : : : : : : : | U : : : : : : : | U : : U : : : : |
| IWUMD-11 Land Consolidation (Farm road and tertiary canal construction) | $11_{1+1111 \mid 1}^{111}$ | 1111\|11|11 | 111111111 | $1111\|1\| 11$ | $111111\|1\|$ | 111111111 | 1111\|1|11 | 111\|1|| |
| DRD-1 Rural road improvement | $1\\|\\|$ | H\|H|H|1 | 1\||11||11 | H\||H||| | H\||H||| | H\|H|H|1 | H\|H||H| | $1 \\|\|H\| H$ |
| DRD-2 Rural bridge improvement | H1:H1H1H | 11:1H1:11 | 1: : 1: 1: : | 1: U1: 1: 1: |  | U 1: U: U: : | 1: : U : 1: : | 1: U: U: ! |
| AMD-1.1 Maintenance workshop (equipment procurement) | -i! im | AGilicia | H:Linit | Miubiciay | Hinumay | AHA!ini |  | HiUHHIH |
| AMD-1.2 Maintenance workshop (building construction) | 1\| |1|||| | 11\|1|1||1 | 11\|1|1|1| | \| |1||||| | $11\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $11111\|1\|$ | $1\|1\| 1\|1\|$ | $111\|1\| 1 \mid$ |
| AMD-2.1 Agiculure Machineries Testing Centre (equipment procuremen | 1: \|: || || | 1: \|1:11: | 1:11:\|1: | $1 \\|\|1\| 1 \mid$ | 1: \|1: ||: | 1:1:1:1:1 | 1: :1: :1: | 1:1:1:1: |
| AMD-2.2 Agriculure Machineries Testing Centre (building onstructio | 1 1 1 1 1 |  | -1: i i i i i | -1:1uiui | Hinitiui | 1utilibit | ibilibili | H1H1H1H |
| AMD-3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \& operators | H1H1H14 | H11H1H14 | 1H1H114 | H1H1H14 | H111H11 | 1111111 | H1H1H11 | H1H1H11 |
| AMD-4.1 Land Consolidayion (procurement of LC machineries) | 1 111\||||| | 11111\||111 | 11:\|1|11| | 1 \| | \| | | | | 11111111\| | 111111111 | 1 \||1|||| | 1111111111 |
| AMD-4.2 Land Consolidayion (Land leveling and consolidation) | U H: H: U: | 11: H: \|: |: | 11: H: H: : |  | U1: H: U1: | 1: U1: U1: | 1: H: H: \|: | 1: H: : : : : |
| DOA-1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff | 11\|H1! | M!I!II | H!H!H1 | Hitiuilit | HHH1H14 | Hilinium | H1H1H1 | H1H1H1 |
| DOA-2 Agriculture extension strengthening | $111111\|1\|$ | 111111111 | 111:111! ! | 1:1H1:111 | 1:1:1:1:1: | 11: 1: 1: 1: | 1:1:1:1:1: | 1:1: : : : : |
| DOA-3,1 Improvement of camp \& TS offices | 1: $1: 111$ | 1111111 | 1: 11: 11 | 1:11: 11 | 1: \|1: ||: | 11: H1: | 1: \|: ||: || | 1: \|: | : | : |
| DOA 3.2 Improvement of camp \& 4 S offices (procurement of office equipment) | 1 H\| || || | 1111\|| 1 | 1111\|| | H\|:|H|| | 11111\|11 | $1 \\|\|1\| 1$ | $1\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid$ |
| DOA-4.1 Establishment of seed center (equipment procurement) | H\|HH1H | HH1H1H1H | HHH1H1H | H1H1H1H | H1H1H11 | 11111111 | 11H11H11 | H1: H1:111 |
| DOA-4.2 Establishment of seed center (building and storage construction) | 1: : : : : : : : | 1: $1: 1:\|:\|$ | 1: : ! : : : : : | - : : : : : : : | 1: : : : : : | : : : ! : : | 1: : : ! : : | : : : : : : : |
| ALMS-1 Land Consolidation (Updating cadastral map) | H: 1 |  | 111111 | 111: 111 |  |  |  | [1: ! ! |

(2) Overall Project (Plan B)

nan
(3) Overall Project (Plan C)


| Land Acquisition | H1THTIU | 1111111 | 1111110 | 1111U10 | 1111011 | 1111u | 1111111 | H1MTM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IWUMD－1．1 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam（Procurement） | 1：H：H：： | 1：H：U： |  | 1：1 H： 1 | 1：1H：H |  | 1 LiU：H： | 1：H1：U： |
| IWUMD－1．2 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam（Construction） | H：：＋：＋： | 1：！：！：：！！ | 1． 1 | 1．1H1H： | U1：H ： | －：：¢ ：：！ | E：：：：：！： | 1：U1： |
| IWUMD－1．3 Rehabilitaion of Kindat Diversion Dam（Dredging） | 1： $1: 11$ | 1： $1: 111$ | 1： 1 1： 1 ： | 1：H： 1 1： | 1：H： $1: 1$ | 1：H：H： | 1：1 1 ：1 ： | 1： $1: 11: 11$ |
| IWUMD－1．4 Rehabilitation of Kindat Diversion Dam（Gate Instalation） | 1：1：1：： | 1：1： $1: 11$ | 1：1：：1： | 1：1：1：1： | $1: 1: 1: 1$ | 1：1：1：1：1 | $1: 1: 1: 1: 1$ | 1：1： $1: 11: 1$ |
| IWUMD－2．1 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir（Procurement） | H1H｜H1H | H｜H｜H｜H | 11H1H11 | H｜｜H｜H｜1 | H1H｜H｜H | HHHH1H14 | ｜H｜H｜H｜｜ | HHHHH1 |
| IWUMD－2．2 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir（Construction） | HHHHHH | HHHHHH1 | HHUHH14 | HuHLHAL | H｜H｜H｜」 | HH｜LHHL | HHHHHU | HH｜H｜H |
| IWUMD－2．3 Rehabilitation of Kabo Weir（Gate Installation） | 11：1｜｜1｜1 | 1｜：｜｜｜｜1｜｜ | 1｜｜1｜｜｜｜｜ | 1｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ | 1｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ | ｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ | ｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ | ｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ |
| IWUMD－3 Rehabilitation of OMC Irrigation System | 1｜｜1｜｜11 | H1H｜H｜H1 | ｜111｜｜1｜ | $1\|1\| H\|1\|$ | ｜1H｜H｜11 | 11｜1｜H｜1 | U1H｜H｜H | $1\|1\| 1\|\mid 1$ |
| IWUMD－4．1 Rehabilitation of SMC Irrigation System（U／S） | H1H｜H1H | HHWH1H | H1H1H11 | H1HH1H | HHH1H｜H1 | HH1H1H | HH1HH1 | $1 H 1 H\|H\|$ |
| IWUMD－4．2 Rehabilitaion of SMC Irrigation System（M／S \＆D／S ） | い111111 | U111111 | 11111111 | 1111H11 | 1111111 | U111H1」 | U1H1H14 | 111H1H1 |
| IWUMD－5．1 Rehabilitation of RMC Irrigation System（U／S） | $11\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | \｜111｜H｜1 | $1\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $1\\|\\|\\|\\|$ | $1\\|\\|\\|$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid$ |
| IWUMD－5．2 Rehabilitation of RMC Irigation System（AEC \＆BEC） | $11\|1\| 1 \mid$ | $1 \\|\|1\| 1 \mid$ | $1\|1\| 1 \mid 1$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid$ | ｜｜H｜H｜ | 1｜｜1｜｜｜ | $1 \\|\|1\| 1 \mid$ | $1\|1\| 1\|\mid$ |
| IWUMD－6． 1 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System（U／S \＆MBC） | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜H1H11 | H1H｜H｜H | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜｜H｜｜H | H｜H｜H｜H |
| IWUMD－6．2 Rehabilitation of YMC Irrigation System（M／S \＆D／S） | HHHHLH | H1HH1 | HHHHH14 | H1HH14 | HHH1H14 | HHHHHH | UHH1HH1 | HLUHHLH |
| IWUMD－7 Flood monitoring \＆water management system | 11111111 | 11111111 | $1111\|1\| 1$ | $11111 \mid 11$ | $11\|1\| 1 \mid$ | $111111 \mid$ | $1111\|1\|$ | 11111111 |
| IWUMD－8 Preparation Work and Quality Control and Maintenance of Machinery | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜H｜H｜H | H｜H｜H｜H | $\\|\|H\| H \mid H$ | H｜H｜H｜ | H｜H｜H｜H | $\\|\\|\\|$ | H｜H｜H｜H |
| IWUMD－9 Procurement of maintenance machineries | HH｜H1H | 1111111 | H｜H｜H｜H | H1HH｜H1H | HHH1H1 | HH1HH1H | HH｜H｜H｜H | HH｜HHH1 |
| IWUMD－10 Canal inspection road improvement | 1111111 | H11｜11 | 1111｜11 | 111｜11 | 11｜111｜ | 1111H14 | 1111111 | 1111111 |
| IWUMD－11 Land Consolidation（Farm road and teriary canal constructio | 1111｜｜1｜1 | 11｜11｜｜11｜ | ｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜｜ | 11｜｜1｜｜11 | 11｜｜1｜｜｜ | 1｜｜｜｜｜1｜ | 1｜｜11｜｜｜ | 11｜｜｜1｜｜｜ |
| DRD－1 Rural road improvement | $1 \\| H 1 H \mid 1$ | $1 \\| H 1 H 11$ | 11｜｜1｜｜11 | $\\|\\|\\|$ | $1 \\| H 1 H$ | $1 \\| H 1 H \mid H$ | $\\|\\|\\|\\|$ | $\\|\\|\\|\\|$ |
| DRD－2 Rural bridge improvement | 1：H：H：： | 1：H：H： | 1：1H：H： | 1：1H：H11 | 1：H：H： | 1：H：：：：： | U1：H1：i： | 1：1：1：i： |
| AMD－1．1 Maintenance workshop（equipment procurement） |  | U1：H：U！！ | U1：U U U U | U1：U H： | UH：H！H：｜ | UHUEHU： |  | A：ALU：U： |
| AMD－1．2 Maintenance workshop（building construction） | 1：：：！：：： | 11：H：11： | 1： $1: 111$ | 1：！： 1 | 1：H：H： | 1：：：1 ：：： | 1： $1111: 1$ | 1：$: 1: 11: 1$ |
| AMD－2．1 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre（equipment procurement） | 1： $1: 11: 11$ | 11： $111: 1$ | 1：1：1：： 1 | 1：：：：：： | 1：H：：：： | 1：：：：：： | 1：：：：：：： | 1：1：H： $1: 1$ |
| AMD－2．2 Agriculture Machineries Testing Centre（building constuction） | －：：H：H：：： | －：H：U：！ | －：U ：U ： | －：U U U ：U | －：U U U：U | 1：：U ：1：： | －：U H：U | －：U U U ：！ |
| AMD－3 Capacity developement for AMD staff \＆operators | 1： |  | 1：H：H： | U1：U1：！ |  | U1：U1U： | UHU！U！！ | 1：U1：U1： |
| AMD－4．1 Land Consolidayion（procurement of LC machineries） | 1： 1 | 1： $1: 1111$ | 1： $1: 11$ | 1： $1: 11: 1$ | 1：H： 1 | 1： $1: 1$ | 1： $1: 1$ ： 1 | 1： $1: 1$ |
| AMD－4．2 Land Consolidayion（Land leveling and consolidation） | U：$: 1: 1:\|:\|$ | 1：：： $1: 1: 1$ |  | ：：U：：： | U ： $1: 11: \mid$ | －：：： $1: \mid$ | 1 ：：：：：： | 1： $1: 1: 1: 1$ |
| DOA－1 Capacity building for DOA extension staff |  | ITH｜IHII | HITH： | －1： 1 |  | 1－1：1位： | 1：1： 1 | 1：1：H： $1: 1$ |
| DOA－2 Agriculture extension strengthening | 11： 1 | 1－1：1：1：11 | 1：1： | 1：1：1近 | －1： | －1：1近 | 1： 1 | 1： |
| DOA－3．1 1 Improvement of camp \＆TS offices | 1： $1: 11: 11$ | 1： $1: 11: 1$ | 1： $1: 11: 11$ | 1：1：1： $1: 1$ | 1：H： $11: 1$ | 1： $1: 11: 1$ | 1：1： $1: 1: 1$ | 1：$: 1: 1: \mid 1$ |
| DOA－32 Improvement of camp \＆TS offices（roccurement of office equipment） | U：：：：：：： | 1：：：：：：： | 1：：：：：：： | ：：：：：：： | 1：：：：：： | 1：：：：：：： | 1： $1: 1: 1: 1$ | 1：：：：：：： |
| DOA－4．1 Establishment of seed center（equipment procurement） |  | 1： | －i：i：ilil | 1：i：i：ilit | －：i：：：i | －：：i ：：i | 1：Li：ilit | 1：i：i：i ：：i |
| DOA－4．2 Establishment of seed center（building and storage construction） | 1： | －：！：！： | 1：：：！ | 1！！：！！ | 1： | 1： $1: 1 / 1$ | 1： | 1：U： $1: 1$ |
| DALMS－1 Land Consolidation（Updating cadastral map） | 1： $1: 11$ | 1111 | 1： | 11111 | 11111 | 11111 | 1 | 1 |

3. Manning Schedule for the Consulting Service/ Expert
(1) Plan A

(2) Plan B


4. Cost Breakdown for the Consulting Services
(1) Plan A

※ Not including price escalation \& contingency
※ 1 USD = $112 \mathrm{JPY}, 1 \mathrm{USD}=1,350 \mathrm{Kyat}, 1 \mathrm{MMK}=0.083 \mathrm{JPY}$
(3) Plan C

|  | Unit | Qty. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Foreign Portion } \\ \hline \text { (JPY) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Local Portion } \\ \hline \text { MMK } \end{gathered}$ |  | Combined <br> Total <br> ('000) <br> JPY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & (' 000) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Rate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ (' 000) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| A Remuneration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Professional (A) | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Professional (B) | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Supporting Staffs | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal of A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B Direct Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 International Airfare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Domestic Airfare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Domestic Travel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Accommodation Allowance | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Vehicle (4WD) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Vehicle (Used 4WD) | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rental Vehicle (4WD) | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Incidental Cost | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car Fuel | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Driver Wage | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 Office Rental |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Shwebo office | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For design stage | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ye-U office | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 International Communications | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Domestic Communications | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Office Supply | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Office Furniture and Equipment | M/M |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Report Preparation | Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Topographic survey |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AT the bridge $\quad$ location |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the Rual Road | km |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the Miantenace Workshop | location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the Agiculture Machinery Testing Center | location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At Seed Center | location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the Camp building/office | location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the frontline station | location |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geological survey | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| At the bridge | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 At the emergency spillway of Kindat | lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 ELA monitoring Devices | lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 Survey equipment for SV \& DD | lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miscelaneous Expenses for Seminars etc. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal of B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$※ 1$ USD = $112 \mathrm{JPY}, \quad 1$ USD $=1,350 \mathrm{Kyat}, \quad 1$ MMK $=0.083 \mathrm{JPY}$
IX.5. Cost of Component
IX.5.1 Agriculture Development and Extension Strengthening


Table Breakdown of the Cost Estimation for Agricultural Extension Strengthening and Seed Center

| Main Items | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specifications } \\ \text { (TK=thousand Kyat) } \end{gathered}$ | $\operatorname{Cost}(\mathrm{TK})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOT of the officers, including IT-based extension system |  |  |
| Workshops for the preparation of contents, including IT-based extension system |  |  |
| Production of materials \& IT-based extension establishment (using SNS, e.g Facebook) |  |  |
| Trainings/ Workshops (plenary) |  |  |
| Training at camp site |  |  |




| Main Items | $\begin{gathered} \text { Specifications } \\ \text { (TK=thousand Kyat) } \end{gathered}$ | Cost (TK) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land acquisition |  |  |
| Construction of buildings |  |  |
| Procurement of office equipment (camp) |  |  |
| Procurement of ICT equipment (TS and district office) |  |  |


| 4. Establishment of Seed Center |
| :--- |
| Main Items  Specifications <br> (TK=thousand Kyat) Cost (TK) <br> Land acquisition    <br> Construction of buildings    <br> Procurement    |

Establishment of Seed Center (PPP)


## 5-2. Agriculture Mechanization Strengthening

(1) Maintenance Workshop Establishment


| Sr | Item | Building Area/ Q'ty | Total P.A.E. Rate for Building |  | Quotation Estimation (MMK) | Amount(MMK) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (US\$) | (MMK) |  |  |
| 1 | Maintenance Workshop at Shwebo $(60 \mathrm{~mL} \times 24 \mathrm{~mW} \times 10 \mathrm{mH})$ | m2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Maintenance Workshop at 4 Sites ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 16 \mathrm{~mW} \times 7.5 \mathrm{mH}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} 2 \\ & \mathrm{~m} 2 \text { per site } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Transformer 100kVA, at Shwebo (on-loading tap charge type) | unit |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Transformer 50kVA at 4 Sites (on-loading tap charge type) | units |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Overhead Crane Set at Shwebo ( 5 ton and 10 ton) | set |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total in MMK |  |

(2) Agriculture Machineries Testing Center (Mandalay)

| Sr . | Equipment Name | Specification | Q'ty | Unit Price (JPY) | Amount <br> (JPY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3-1 \\ 3-2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ \hline \\ 7 \\ \hline 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PTO Dynamometer <br> Axle Dynamometer (for left \& right wheels) <br> Cooling Tower <br> Tank, Booster Pump and Plumbing Pipes <br> Dynamometer Car <br> Exhaust Line \& Fuel Supply Line <br> Diesel Smoke Meter (Opacimeter) <br> Power Lit Testing Device <br> Water Proof Testing Device <br> Truck Scale <br> Diagnostic Tool Set <br> Mechanic Tool Set <br> Portable Gantry Crane <br> Office Equipment (Control Room) <br> Standby Generator | ```120kW 170kW 500litmin 30kN m Flexible Pipe & Fuel Tank 200L Resolution of opacity 0.1 % Load 60000N, Lif 5000kg, 1200mm, 30MPa, 100L/min. Load 6000kg, lift 800mm 10 ton\times2 units 3 ton PC, printer, network, copier, camera 250kVA``` | set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> set <br> unit <br> set <br> unit |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total in JPY <br> Total in MMK |  |


| Sr | Item | Building Area/ Q'ty | Total P.A.E. Rate for Building |  | Quotation/ Estimation <br> (MMK) | Amount(MMK) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (US\$) | (MMK) |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Testing Building } \\ & (52 \mathrm{~mL} \times 20 \mathrm{~mW} \times 15 \mathrm{mH}) \end{aligned}$ | m2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Overhead Crane | set |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Installation of Specified Equipment | set |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Office Building <br> ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 12 \mathrm{~mW} \times 7.5 \mathrm{mH}$ ) | m2 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Garage ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} \times 10 \mathrm{~mW}$ ) | m2 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Testing Track concrete, W=12ft | m |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Internal Road concrete, W=12ft | m |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | Water Supply and Water Tank | set |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Fence | m |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Furniture | set |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Transformer 500kVA (on-loading tap type) | unit |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total in MMK |  |

(3) Capacity Building for AMD Staff and Operators

(4) Land Leveling and Consolidation (Procurement of Machineries)

| Sr | Equipment Name | Specification | $\begin{gathered} \text { Q'ty } \\ \text { (for 2500ac/yr) } \end{gathered}$ | Unit Price (JPY) | Amount <br> (JPY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | 90 HP Tractor <br> 50 HP Tractor <br> Rotavator <br> Plough type Disc Harrow <br> Plough type Disc Harrow <br> Disc Plough <br> Rear Blade Leveler <br> Front Blade Leveler (Front Dozer) <br> Front Blade Leveler (Front Dozer) <br> Ridge Plastering Machine <br> Total Station <br> Auto Level Instrument <br> Mini Hydraulic Excavator <br> Tipper Truck <br> Self-Loading Truck | 4WD, diesel engine <br> 4WD, diesel engine <br> Attachment, for 50 HP , side gear system <br> Attachment, 6 disc for 50 HP <br> Attachment, 7 disc for 90 HP <br> Attachment, 4 disc for 90 HP <br> Attachment, for 90 HP <br> Attachment, for 90 HP <br> Attachment, for 50 HP <br> Attachment, for 90 HP <br> 28x <br> 5 ton <br> 4-5 Ton <br> 40-50 ton | units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> units <br> sets <br> sets <br> units <br> units <br> units |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total in JPY Total in MMK |  |

## 5-3. Land Consolidation

(1) Unit Cost of Land Consolidation (by tractor)

| Work Item | Quantity of Work Item (a) | Unit Price of Work Item (b) | Unit Cost $(\mathrm{c})=(\mathrm{a}) *(\mathrm{~b})$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Land Leveling \& Consolidation |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Earth Work |  |  |  | AMD | Share |
| (1) Excavation and Spreading |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.2 Irrigation Canal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Excavation and Compaction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.3 Drainage Canal Work |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Excavation and Compaction |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Canal Structure |  |  |  | IWUMD | Share |
| (1) Concrete Work |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Farm Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 Subgrade |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Spreading of Soil (by Manual) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Compaction of Soil (by Tractor) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2 Base Course |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Base Course Material (Kanker) |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) Spreading of Kanker (by Manual) |  |  |  | IWUMD | Share |
| (3) Compaction of Kanker (by Tractor) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |

(2) Schedule and Cost

| No. | 0 | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | Total | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target (annual) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target (cumulated) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Target by Fiscal Yr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMD (construction), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IWUMD (construction), Kyats (a+b+c+d) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Topographic survey and design on land consolidation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Facilitation for the land consolidation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. Tertiary canal \& Drainage construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d. Farm Road construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALMS Cost (cadastral map update), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALMS Cost (cadastral map registration), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALMS In-direct Cost (20\% of above) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total (DALMS) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost Breakdown |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMD (construction), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IWUMD (construction, design, facilitation), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DALMS (cadastral map update/ registration), Kyats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5-4. Irrigation and Drainage Improvement
(1) Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation/ Water Management and Flood Monitoring System

Upgrading of canal (Resectioning, Unsilting and lining)
OMC Irrigation System

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Sr} . \\ \mathrm{No} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Description | Length / Volume |  | Unit Price (kyat/sud) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cost } \\ & \hline \text { (Kyats) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (t) | (sud) |  |  |  |
|  | Resectioning and Unsiliting |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | OMC |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | OMC, Dy-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | OMC, Dy-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | OMC, Dy-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | OMC, Dy-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | OMC, Dy-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OMC, Dy-6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | OMC, Dy-7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | OMC, Dy-7A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | OMC, Dy-9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | OMC, Dy-9, Minor1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | OMC, Dy-9, Minor2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | OMC, Dy-9, Minor3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | OMC, Dy-9, Minor4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | OMC, ThinPayungkyin Canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | OMC, Long Shae Dy Canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | OMC, Si Thar Dy Canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | OMC, Tha Yet Kan Dy Canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | OMC, Ywa Than Dy Canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | OMC, LayHoke DY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | OMC, DO-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | OMC, DO-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | OMC, DO-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | OMC, DO-4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | OMC, DO-4A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | OMC, DO-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | OMC, DO-6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | OMC, DO-8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | OMC, DO-8A |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | OMC, DO-9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | OMC, DO-10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | OMC, DO-11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | OMC, DO-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | OMC, DO-13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | OMC, DO-14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | OMC, SSW-7 canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | OMC, Pauk Ma storage reservoir cleaning |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Other canals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |



| Rehabilitation of Kabo Wier |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. <br> No | Description | unit | Quantity | Unit Price (Kyat) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost } \\ & \text { (Kyat) } \end{aligned}$ | Remark |
| I | Replacement of spillway gate to hydraulic flap gate |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Procurement of the gate with operation device (hydraulic overturning gate: duplex stainless steel, spillway width 455 ft$)$ | LS |  |  |  | duplex stainless steel roller slide gate |
| 2 | Installation of gate and related facility construction | LS |  |  |  | including gate manufacture engineering cost |
| 3 | Renewal of concrete structure for gate installation | LS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | Rehabilitation of the Undersluice gate \& operation deck |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Replacement of gate leaf of undersluice gate (in front of head regulator of SMC) with counterweight manual operation device, $\mathrm{B} 12.19 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{H} 3.35 \mathrm{~m}$ (B 40 ft xH 11 ft , duplex stainless steel roller slide gate | nos |  |  |  | duplex stainless steel roller slide gate |
| 2 | Replacement of gate leaf of undersluice gate (in front of head regulator of YMC ) with counterweight manual operation device, B $9.14 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{B} 3.65 \mathrm{~m}$ (B $30 \mathrm{ft} \times \mathrm{B} 12 \mathrm{ft})$ duplex stainless steel roller slide gate | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Installation of gate and related facility construction | nos |  |  |  | including gate manufacture engineering cost |
| 4 | Improvement of operation deck and other rapier works | LS |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | Rehabilitation of the gate of Head Regulator |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Rehabilitation of Intake Gate for Irrigation (Head regulator of SMC) B $5.79 \mathrm{~m} \times \mathrm{B} 2.29 \mathrm{~m}$ (B19 $1 \mathrm{ft} \times \mathrm{B} 7.5 \mathrm{ft}$ ) radial gate with counterweight | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Rehabilitation of Intake Gate for water supply Irrigation (Head regulator of SMC) B $5.79 \mathrm{~m} \times$ B 2.29 m (B 19 ft x B 7.5 ft ) side gate with counterweight | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Replacement of Intake Gate (Head regulator of YMC) to hydraulic overturning gate B $5.59 \mathrm{~m} \times B 1.76 \mathrm{~m}$ (B $18.33 \mathrm{ft} \times \mathrm{B} 5.8 \mathrm{ft}$ ) duplex stainless steel | nos |  |  |  | duplex stainless steel roller slide gate |
| 4 | Installation of gate and related facility construction | nos |  |  |  | including gate manufacture engineering cost |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IV | Protection of left bank at U/S of the weir |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Raising of the right river bank at U/S of the weir | ft |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Protection of the bank at U/S of the weir | ft |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Riverbed protection at curve portion | ft |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V | Removing of sand bank at U/S right side of the weir |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Removing of sand bank at U/S right side of the weir | Sud |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Dredging of Sedimentation for RMC intake area: | sud |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI | Protection of riverbed at D/S of the weir |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Protection of riverbed at D/S of the weir | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Dredging of Sedimentation for RMC intake area: | sud |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII | Protection of right bank at D/S of the weir |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Protection of the bank at $U / S$ of the weir | ft |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Rehabilitation of canal structure
OMC Irrigation System

| Sr. <br> No <br> l | Description | unit | Quantity | Unit Price (Kyat) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \text { (Kyat) } \end{gathered}$ | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rehabilitation of Head Regulator for Branch \& DY \& minor canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Dy-1 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} 8333 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{tt}$ |
| 2 | OMC, Repairing of HVR for Dy-2 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | OMC, Repairing of HVR for Dy-3 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Dy-4 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Dy-5 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Dy-6 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OMC, Repairing of HVR for Dy-7 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Dy-9 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outtet No. 1 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outtet No. 2 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outtet No. 3 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outte No. 4 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outter No.4A | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outter No. 6 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outtet No.6A | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outtet No. 7 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | OMC, Reparing of HR for Direct Outlet No.7A | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outtet No. 8 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outter No.8A | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Direct Outte No. 9 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Thayetkan Direct Outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Shwe Phaung Oo Direct Outlet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | OMC, Repairing of HR at Shwe Thein Taw Direct Outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | OMC, Repairing of H/R at Direct Outte No. 10 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | OMC, Repairing of HR at Ywa Than Direct Outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | OMC, Repairing of HR for Kyee Kan Direct Outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outee No. 11 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outtet No. 12 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | OMC, Repairing of H/R for Direct Outtet No. 13 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | OMC, Repairing of W/R for Direct Outlet No. 14 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | OMC, Si Pote-Tara Dy Canal, Repairing of HR of Minor No. 2 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} 8333 \mathrm{KS} / \mathrm{stt}$ |
| 32 | OMC, Tha Yet Kan Dy canal, Construction of lining Work at HR of Minor No. 2 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\circ} \times 2 \times 20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \quad 10000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 33 | OMC, Tha Yet Kan Dy canal, Repairing of Shutters of Minor No. 2 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | OMC, Tha Yet Kan Dy canal, Repairing of HR for Minor No. 3 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 0^{0 \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 00 \times 66^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime} 8333 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{stt}$ |
| 35 | OMC, Tha Yet Kan Dy canal, Repairing of Brifuncation for Minor No. 3 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Mainor repair woks for Head regulator | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Instalation of the water measurement facilities (parshall flume, etc.) | nos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rehabilitation of Outlet Structure for Water course \& DO |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Construction of pipe outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Rehabilitaion of Outter Strucutre and gate instalation for water courses \& DO | nos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | Rehabilitation of Check Structure |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure (for Dy-2) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure (for Dy-3) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure (for Dy-4) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure (for Dy-6) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure (for Dy-7) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Lay Hoke Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near DO-7 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Tha Yet Kan Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Lawn Shae Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Shwe Thein Taw Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Ywa Than Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | OMC, Repairing of Check Structure near Shwe Phaung oo Canal | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | OMC, DY-1, construction of Check Structure (RD 7+000 ' RD 8+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | OMC, DY-2, reparing of Check Structure (RD 1+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | OMC, ThinPayungkyin Canal, construction of Tail Structure | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | OMC, DO-4, construction of Check Structure (RD 4+000, RD 6+000, 8+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | OMC, SSW-7 canal, construction of Check Structure (RD 6+000, RD 8+500, $15+500,19+500,21+000,23+500,25+000$ ) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | OMC, LayHtoke DY canal, construction of Check Structure (RD 12+500, $16+200,18+300,20+800$ ) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | Mainor repair woks for Check Structure | nos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |

Rehabilitation of canal structure
RMC Irrigation System


Upgrading of canal (Resectioning, Unsilting and lining) SMC Irrigation System

| Sr.No |  | Description | Length / Volume |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Unit Price } \\ & \hline \text { (kyat/sud) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cost } \\ & \hline \text { (Kyats) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (t) | (sud) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Resectioning and Unsiliting |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Shwebo Main Canal (SMC) (at essential parts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | SMC, Dy-1, Minor 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | SMC, Dy-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  | SMC, Dy-3, Minor 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | SMC, Dy-3, Minor 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  | SMC, Dy-3, Minor 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  | SMC, Dy-3, Minor 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1-B |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  | SMC, Dy-7 (From RD- $36 / 500$ to RD- 53/500) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  | SMC, Dy-7, Minor 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  | Moke So Gyone Branch Canal (MBC) (at essential parts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  | MBC, Dy-3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | MBC, Dy-3, RD 28/0 to 65/0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  | MBC, Dy-4RD 25/0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  | MBC, Dy-4, Minor 4, RD 10/0 Tail |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  | MBC, Dy-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  | MBC, Dy-5, RD 10/0 to 32/300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  | MBC, RD 55/0 to 90/0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  | MBC, WC between DY-4 and DY-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  | Hla Daw Branch Cana (HBC) (at essential parts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  | HBC, Dy-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  | HBC, Dy-1 (at essential parts) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  | HBC, Dy-1, Minor 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  | HBC, Dy-1, Minor 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  | HBC, Dy-2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  | HBC, Dy-3, Minor 6, 7, 8, Tail |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | HBC, DY-3, Minor 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  | HBC, Dy-3, RD 45/0 to Tail |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  | HBC, Dy-3, WC-12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 |  | HBC, Dy-5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 |  | HBC, DY-5, Minor 3 \& 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 |  | HBC, Dy-5, RD 0/0 to 24/0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 |  | Other canals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VI | Rehabilitation of Spill-out \& Spill-in facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | RMC, BEC, stone pitching of spill in (RD 16+400) | sq-tt |  |  |  | ( $500^{* * 34} 4^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII | Rehabilitation of Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | RMC, upstream and downstram lining of bridges (from RD $0+000$ to 204+200) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $29 \mathrm{No} * 500 * 95{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 2 | RMC, Repair and maintenance of Aung Swar bridges (RD 29+800) | nos |  |  |  | 1 No |
| 3 | RMC, Construction of bridge in Paung Ka Daung D.O (RD 36+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | RMC, Dy-1, repair and maintenance of bridges | nos |  |  |  | 3 No |
| 5 | RMC, U/S \& D/S lining of Kyat Taw Thar bridge (RD 204+200 RMC) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633^{\prime-9}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |
| 6 | RMC, USS \& D/S lining of Lae Thit Taw bridge (RD 209+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633-9^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 7 | RMC, upstram and downstram lining of Bos Gone bridge (RD 212+150) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633^{\prime-9}$ ) |
| 8 | RMC, US \& D/S lining of Lae Pyin Gwat bridge (RD 214+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633^{\prime \prime} 9^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 9 | RMC, US \& D/S lining of Tat Tae bridge (RD 225+075) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633^{\prime-9}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 10 | upstream and downstream stone pitching of Thein Win bridge | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588$-6') |
| 11 | upstream and dowmstream stone pitching of Shan Taw bridge | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 12 | RMC, US \& D/S stone pitching of in Boke bridge (RD 234+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 633^{\prime-9}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 13 | RMC, US \& D/S stone pitching of Oh Hlain bridge (RD 242+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 14 | RMC, US \& D/S stone pitching of Boke Tan bridge (RD 249+150) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 15 | RMC, US \& D/S stone pitching of Chone Ywa bridge (RD 251+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 16 | RMC, BEC, stone pithing in U/S \& D/S of cart bridge (RD 12+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 344^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 17 | Mainor repair woks for Bridge | nos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VII | Rehabilitation of Cross Drainage and drainage canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | RMC, construction of Myin Pyaing drainage canal passing bridge (RD 84+000) | nos |  |  |  | 1 No |
| 2 | RMC, stone pitching in main canal and upstram and downstram of C.D.C (RD 22 | sq-ft |  |  |  | (500*63-9") |
| 3 | RMC, stone pitching in main canal and U/S \& D/S of C.D.C (RD 257+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 4 | RMC, UIS \& D/S stone pitching of level crossing (RD 259+550) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 5 | RMC, stone pitching in main canal and U/S \& D/S of Hnaw Gyin C.D.C (RD 266 - | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 588^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 6 | RMC, Dy-1, Minor 1, repair and maintenance of drainage structure (RD14+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | RMC, Dy-2, Minor 1, repair and maintenance of drainage structure (RD 8+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | RMC, Dy-4, Minor 1 Exension of I Wun Gyi drainage structure (RD $5+570$ ) | nos |  |  |  |  |
| , | RMC, BEC, stone pithing of U/S \& D/S of drainage sturcture and main canal (R) | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 344^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 10 | RMC, BEC, stone pitching of U/S \& D/S of drainage sturcture and main canal | sq-ft |  |  |  | ( $500 \times 344^{\prime \prime} 6^{\prime \prime}$ ) |
| 11 | Mainor repair woks for Cross Drainage | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Improvement of drainage canals | lot |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Improvement of facility for reuse of drainage water | bt |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Rehabilitation of canal structure
SMC Irrigation System

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{Sr} \\ \mathrm{No} \end{array}$ | Description | unit | Quantity | Unit Price (Kyat) | Cost (Kyat) | Remark |  | IV | Rehabilitation of Fall Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | Rehabilitation of Head Regulator for Branch \& DY \& minor canal |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | SMC, Dy-1, Upgrading of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SMC, Repairing of Head Regulator of DY-7 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 01 \times 10^{\prime \prime} 0 \times 7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $8071 \mathrm{ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ | 2 | SMC, DY-2, Upgrading of fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SMC, Repairing of Head Regulato of Dy-7A | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 15^{\prime} 0 \times \times 5^{\prime \prime}$ | $5333 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ | 3 | SMC, Dy-3, Upgrading of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | SMC, Dy-1, Gate Leaf reparing of Minors outtet | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | SMC, Dy-4, Repairing of Fall Stucture | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | SMC, Dy-2, Repairing of Gate Leaf and Structure | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | SMC, Dy-5, Repariring of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SMC, Dy-3, Repairing of Head Regulator of Minors | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | SMC, Dy-3, Minor 2, Linning Extension Works of Falls \& Bridges | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SMC, Dy-4, Repairing of Gate Leaf of Minor No-1 | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | SMC, DY-3, Minor 2, Repairing of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | SMC, Dy-6, Reparing of Outtet Stuctures of Minor 1B | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | SMC, Dy-6, Repairing of Fall Stucture (RD 5+300) | nos |  |  |  |  | $35^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $1000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
| 8 | SMC, Dy-6, Reparing of Outtet Stuctures of Minor 3 | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | SMC, Dy-6, Repairing of Fall Structure (RD 22+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $35^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $1000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
| 9 | SMC, Dy-6, Repairing of stone pitching at outtet porion of Dy-6 H/R | nos |  |  |  | $100^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 35^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $4571 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ | 10 | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1, Repairing of Fall Structure (RD 6+300) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{Ks/ctt}$ |
| 10 | SMC, Dy-6, Repairing of Bifurcation Structure on Minor 1B | nos |  |  |  | $15^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $4000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ | 11 | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1, Repairing of Fall Stucture (RD 11+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{KS} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 11 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of Head Regulator of Minor 3 | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1, Repairing of Fall Stucture (RD 15+310) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 12 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of Head Regulator of Minor 4 | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \times 6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $8333 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ | 13 | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 1, Repairing of Fall Stucture(RD 20+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 13 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of Head Regulator of Minor 7 | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | SMC, Dy-6, Minor 3, Repairing of Fall Stucture (RD 2+500) | nos |  |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $3200 \mathrm{Ks/ctt}$ |
| 14 | SMC, Repairing and instalation of the gates for bifurcation | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | SMC, Dy-6A, Repairing of Fall Stuctures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | SMC, HBC, reparing Gate Leaf for Head regulator of Dy Channels | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of Fall Stucture (RD 2+650) | nos |  |  |  |  | $35^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | $10000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 15 | SMC, HBC, Dy-3, reparing bridge of HR of Minor 4 | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | SMC, Dy-7, Reparing of Fall Stucture (RD 6+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $35^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10000 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 16 | SMC, MBC, reparing Gate Leaf for Head regulator of Dy Channels | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | SMC, Dy-7, Reparing of Fall Structure (RD 10+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $35^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10000 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{cftt}$ |
| 17 | SMC, MBC, DY-3, Construction of Inlet Structure (RD 2+800) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 19 | SMC, Dy-7, Reparing of Fall Stucture (RD 36+450) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
| 18 | Mainor repair woks for Head regulator | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | SMC, Dy-7, Reparing of Fall Structure (RD 39+800) | nos |  |  |  |  | $22^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 12^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ | $6060 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
| 19 | Installation of the water measurement facilities (parshall flume, etc.) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | SMC, Dy-7, Minor 2, Repairing of Fall Structure \& ( 3 Nos; ) | nos |  |  |  |  | $33^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $10000 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{cftt}$ |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | SMC, Dy-7, Minor 3, Repairing of Fall Structure (RD 10+000) | nos |  |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 10^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $3209 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | SMC, Dy-7, Minor 7, Repairing of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| II | Rehabilitation of Outlet Structurre for Water course \& DO |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | SMC, Dy-8, Reparing of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SMC, Dy-1, installation of Gate Leaf fixing woks of main water courses | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | SMC, HBC, Reparing of Fall Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of WC-6 outlet Structure (RD 14+000) | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $7500 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ | 26 | SMC, HBC, Dy-1, Repairing of Falls Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of WC-8 outlet Structure (RD $25+300$ ) | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $7500 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ | 27 | SMC, MBC, Dy-1, Repairing of Falls Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of WC-10 outle Structure (RD 27+400) | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $7500 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ | 28 | SMC, MBC, Dy-2, Repairing of Fall Stucture | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | SMC, D-7, Repairing of WC-11 outle Stucture (RD 33+950) | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $7500 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ | 29 | SMC, MBC, Dy-3, Repairing of Fall Stucture | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SMC, Dy-7, Repairing of WC-12 outtet Stucture (RD 34+300) | nos |  |  |  | $20^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 4^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime} \times 5^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ | $7500 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ | 30 | SMC, MBC, Reparing of Fall Stucture | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Rehabiitation of Outet Structure and gate instalation for water courses \& DO | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | Mainor repair woks for Fall Stucture | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78/500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| III | Rehabilitation of Check Structure |  |  |  |  |  |  | v | Rehabilitation of Syphon and flume (canal bridge) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | SMC, Upgrading of check Structure No (1) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | SMC, Syphon No. 1 (RD 28+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 2 | SMC, Upgrading of check Structure No (2) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | SMC, Flume-No. 2 (RD 36+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 3 | SMC, Upgrading of check Structure No (3) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | SMC, Fume (RD 55+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 2361 Ks/cft |
| 4 | SMC, Upgrading of check Stucture No (4) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | SMC, Syphon No. 3 (RD 78+500) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| 5 | SMC, Upgrading of check Structure № (5) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | SMC, Syphon No.4 (RD 110+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | SMC, Upgrading of check Structure No (6) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | SMC, Syphon No.5 (RD 124+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | $2361 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{cft}$ |
| 7 | SMC, Dy-1, Repairing of Check Structures | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | SMC,MBC, exension of flume (RD 70+000) | nos |  |  |  |  |  | $2361 \mathrm{Ks} / \mathrm{ctt}$ |
| 8 | Mainor repair woks for Check Structure | nos |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | Mainor repair woks for Syphon | nos |  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Upgrading of canal (Resectioning, Unsilting and lining)

| Sr. | Description | Length / Volume |  | Unit Price | Cost | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | (t) | (sud) | (kyat/sud) | (Kyats) |  |
|  | Resectioning and Unsilting |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | YMC |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | DY (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | DY (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | DY (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | DY (4-A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | DY (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | DY (6) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | DY (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | DY (8) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | DY (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | DY (10) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | DY (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | DY (12) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | DY (13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | DY (14) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | DY (15) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | DY (15-A) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | DY (16) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | DY (17) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | DY (18) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | DY (19) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | DY (20) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | DY (21) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | DY (22) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | DY (22) Minor (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | DY (22) Minor (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | DY (23) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | DY (24) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Tail DY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Ma Ya Kan Branch Canal (MBC) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | MBC DY (1) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | MBC DY (2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | MBC DY (3) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | MBC Dy (4) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | MBC DY (5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | MBC DY (7) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | MBC DY (9) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | MBC DY (11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | MBC DY (12) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | MBC DY (13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | MBC Tail DY |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | Minor Canals |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sr. | Description | Lengt $/$ Volume |  | Unit Price | Cost | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No |  | (t) | (sart) | (kyatsuud) | (kyats) |  |
| Lining |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Lnini ${ }_{\text {Lin canal }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2{ }^{2}$ MBC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3{ }^{2}$ Dy canal and DOC canal Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Rehabilitation of canal structure

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | - Description | unit | Quantity | Unit Price (Kyat) | $\begin{gathered} \text { (Cost) } \\ \text { (Kyat) } \end{gathered}$ | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rehabilitation of Head Regulator for Branch \& DY \& minor canal |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | YMC, U/S \& D/S lining of Dy-1 HR (RD 32+734) | sq-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | YMC, U/S \& D/S lining of Dy-4 HR (RD 54+900) | sq-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | YMC, U/S \& D/S lining of Dy-4A HR (RD 59+919) | sq-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | YMC, gate leaf instalation of undersluice of cross regulator (1) \& (2) and Dy, Hf | Ls |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | YMC, US \& D/S lining of Chaungna bifurcation (RD 77+000) | sq-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | YMC, gate leaf instalation of Chaungna bifurcation | LS |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | YMC, gate leaf instalation tof HR of Dy-13 | Ls |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | YMC, DY-4, gate leaf instalation to HR of Minor 1 | LS |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | YMC, US \& D/S lining of HR of Dy-5 (RD 70+450) | sq-t |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | YMC, U/S \& D/S stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-6 (RD 88+900) | sq-tt |  |  |  | 500*80' |
| 11 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-6 gate leaf (RD $88+900$ ) | set |  |  |  | (3-00***3-6.') (1) No |
| 12 | YMC, US \& D/S stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-7 (RD 91+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500 \times 80$ |
| 13 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-7 gate leaf (RD 91+500) | set |  |  |  | (3-0.074-4-0.0) (2) No |
| 14 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-8 (RD 95+000) | sq-th |  |  |  | 500**2.5' |
| 15 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-8 gate leat (RD 95+000) | set |  |  |  | (33-3***3-3') (1) No |
| 16 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at RR of Dy-9 (RD 102+200) | sq-tt |  |  |  | 500*72' |
| 17 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-9 gate leat (RD 102+200) | set |  |  |  | (51-94**2-3 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) (2) No |
| 18 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-10 gate leaf (RD 104+000) | set |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-11 gate leaf (RD 114+000) | set |  |  |  | (4-00**4-0.0) (2) No |
| 20 | YMC, stone pitch ing in main canal at HR of Dy- 12 (RD 120+000) | sq-t |  |  |  | $50{ }^{*} 66.21$ |
| 21 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-12 gate leaf (RD 120+000) | set |  |  |  | (4.-0"4*4-0.0) (1) No |
| 22 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at $H$ R of Direct Minor 1 (RD 124+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500 * 63.84$ |
| 23 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at $H R$ of Dy-13 (RD 131+550) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500^{*} 63.84$ |
| 24 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-13 gate leaf (RD 131+550) | set |  |  |  | (3-00044-0.0) (1) No |
| 25 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at $H$ R of Dy-14 (RD 136+700) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500 * 62.85$ |
| 26 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-14 gate leaf (RD 136+700) | set |  |  |  | (66-00*4-400) (1) No |
| 27 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-15 gate leaf (RD 141+900) | set |  |  |  | (6.004*6-0.0) (1) No |
| 28 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-15A (RD 153+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500 * 58.31$ |
| 29 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-15-A gate leat (RD 153+000) | set |  |  |  | (6.0004*6-0 $0^{\circ}$ ) (1) No |
| 30 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at $H$ R of Dy-16 (RD 157+100) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500 * 58.31{ }^{1}$ |
| 31 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-16 gate leaf (RD 157+100) | set |  |  |  | (5-004*4-0.0) (1) No |
| 32 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-17 (RD 165+800) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500 * 55.76$ |
|  | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-17 gate leaf (RD 165+800) | set |  |  |  | (55.0744-0.0) (1) No |
| 34 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-18 gate leaf (RD 170+000) | set |  |  |  | (5-6-6"44-0.0) (1) No |
| 35 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy-19 (RD 176+200) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500^{*} 49.04$ |
| 36 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-19 gate leaf (RD 176+200) | set |  |  |  | (5-5-6"44040 $0^{\circ \prime}$ ) (1) No |
|  | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at $H$ R of Dy-20 (RD 186+900) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500^{* * 45.5}$ |
| 38 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-20 gate leat (RD 186+900) | set |  |  |  | (44.-6"*3-6-6) (1) No |
|  | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-21 gate leaf (RD 192+150) | set |  |  |  | (4-6-6"*3-6 $6^{\prime \prime}$ ) (1) No |
| 40 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of Dy- 22 (RD 198+100) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500^{*} 41.60^{\prime}$ |
| 41 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-22 gate leat (RD 198+100) | set |  |  |  | $\left(4^{4}-7^{* *} 3^{*} 6^{\prime \prime}\right)(1)$ No |
| 42 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of DMR-1 (RD 204+000) | sq-tt |  |  |  | $500 \times 37.88$ |
| 43 | YMC, repair and maintenance of DMR-1 gate leat (RD 204+000) | set |  |  |  | (2-50 $\left.5^{\prime 2} 2^{\prime 2}-6^{\prime \prime}\right)($ (1) No |
| 44 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-23 gate leaf (RD 211+500) | set |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Dy-24 gate leaf (RD 217+080) | set |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | YMC, stone pitching in main canal at HR of DMR-2 (RD 220+100) | sq-ft |  |  |  | $500^{*} 31.80^{\prime}$ |
| 47 | YMC, repair and maintenance of DMR-2 gate leaf (RD 220+100) | set |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | YMC, repair and maintenance of Canal tail Dy gate leaf (RD 223+000) | set |  |  |  | (5'4***3-7") (2) No |
| 49 | YMC, TDY, repair and maintenance of gate leaf at Hrof Minor 1 | set |  |  |  | (4-004*3-1") (1) No |
| 50 | YMC, Mayankan Branch Canal (MBC), U/S \& D/S lining of Dy -5 HR (RD 34+0¢ | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy -1 HR (RD $0+745$ ) | sa-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy - 2 HR (RD 5+600) | sq-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | YMC, MBC, Exension of HR at Dy-2 and 3 | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy -3 HR (RD 8+400) | sp-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy $-4 \mathrm{HR}($ RD 15 3 384) | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of DMR(1) HR (RD 41+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | YMC, MBC, gate leat instalation to HR of Dy-1, 2, 3 | LS |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | 3 YMC, MBC, Instalataion of gate leaf to $H$ R of Dy-5, 7, 9, 11 | set |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | YMC, MBC, Instalation of gate leaf to HR of direct minor(1) | set |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S ining of FB-3A (RD 42+500) | sa-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy -7 (HR RD 47+000) | sa-tt |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy -9 HR (RD 52+000) | sa-t |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of Dy -12 HR (RD 69+500) | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | 4 YMC, MBC, US \& D/S lining of DMR(2) HR (RD 91+000) | sq-ft |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | YMC, MBC, Repair and Instalation of gate leaf to HR of Dys and minors in Taya | Ls |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | 5 YMC, MBC, Dy-3, Instalation of gate leaf to HR of Direct Minor 1 | set |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | YMC, MBC, Dy-4, gate leaf instalation to HR of Minor 1 | Ls |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | Mainor repair woks for Head regulator | nos |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Installation of the water measurement faciilites (parshall flume, etc.) | nos |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sub-total |  |  |  |  |  |



Rehabilitation of canal structure
Monitoring system for Water management improvement and flood management

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 言管 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \frac{8}{2}=0 \\ 5 \end{array}\right.$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 咅 <br> 䛔 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  | $\stackrel{8}{\square}$ | \％ |  | 8 | 95 |  |  | \％ |  | － | － | 3 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 흔 } \\ & \text { 흠 } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 咹 |
| 部 2 | $\rightarrow$ | － |  |  | ம． | － |  | － | －～ |  | ， |  |  |  |  |

Rehabilitation of canal structure
Indirect Cost

| Sr． <br> No | Description | unit | Quantity | Unit Price <br> （Kyat） | Cost <br> （Kyat） | Remark |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Construction of the project office including procurement of office facilities | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Survey Work（Topographic survey，geological survey，other） | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3 | Preparation Work（Site camps，workshops in field for the works，etc） | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 4 | Qualit Control | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 5 | Mainenance of Machinery（Spare parts of machineries，etc） | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 6 | Water users association establishment | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | Other expense | LS | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

5-5. Distribution Infrastructure Improvement

(2) Rural Bridge Improvement

| District | Township | Description | Bridge Length (feet) | Cost (million kyat) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | Kanbulu-Nga Toe-Kyay Pin Act-Nga Pyaw Tine Road Bridge (1) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Kanbulu-Nga Toe-Kyay Pin Act-Nga Pyaw Tine Road Bridge (2) | 90 ft |  |
|  | Kyunhla | Phyut Chaung Bridge | 150 ft |  |
| Shwebo | Kin-U | Ywar Thit - Ywar Thar's Road (1) (Bridge) | 60 ft |  |
|  |  | Ywar Thit - Ywar Thar's Road (2) (Bridge) | 60 ft |  |
|  | Shwebo | Kyaung Pan Kan - Thike Ka Taw's Road (Bridge) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Year Made Thar to Mahar Nandar Kan's Road (Bridge) | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | Sate Kon to Htun Zin Village's Road (Bridge) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Than Pu Yar Chan Bridge | 90 ft |  |
|  |  | Sin Kut Village out line bridge | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | PaLaing - Kun Seik Bridge | 60 ft |  |
|  |  | Ywar Taw Bridge | 20 ft |  |
|  |  | Myin Si Bridge (1) | 250 ft |  |
|  |  | Thit Pin Cho Bridge ( Concrete Floor and Access Road) | 130 ft |  |
|  |  | Myin Si Bridge (2) | 50 ft |  |
|  |  | Min Pay Bridge | 160 ft |  |
|  |  | Yekyiwa Kyaungshataw Bridge | 150 ft |  |
|  |  | Minpay Bridge | 200 ft |  |
|  |  | Myinsi Bridge | 150 ft |  |
|  | Wetlet | Han Lin Village Entrance (S) Cause way Repair (Bridge) | 60 ft |  |
|  |  | Shwe Pan Kone Village (S) -Kee Canal Bridge | 110 ft |  |
|  |  | Han Lin - Sar Taung Gyi Village Entrance Bridge | 50 ft |  |
|  | Taze | Si Tone Lone Chaung Bridge | 610 ft |  |
|  |  | I Aye Inn bridge on Bay Yin - Thae Sar's Road | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | Cross Phout Chaung bridge on Nga Bat Kyi - Kyun Lae's Road | 90 ft |  |
|  |  | Si Sar village entrance bridge | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Ma Aye bridge on Taze - Toke Ta Loke's Road (Bridge) | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | Nat Kyi Sin bridge on Taze - Toke Ta Loke's Road (Bridge) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Phyut Chaung Bridge | 100 ft |  |
|  | Ye-U | Ku Za La Stream Bridge on Mon Tine Pin - Hlae Twin Road | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | Pone Paw Stream Bridge on Oak Pho - Aung Kae Zin Road | 90 ft |  |
|  | Tabayin | Tabayin-Nyaung Hla Road (On) Y war Shae (Near) Bridge Construction | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Tabayin-Nyaung Hla Bridge Construction | 20 ft |  |
|  |  | Kyun Taw (S) Ywar Lae Chaung Canal Bridge Construction | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Tabayin -Naung Hla | 60 ft |  |
|  |  | Tabayin-Naung Hla | 40 ft |  |
|  |  | Tabayin -Naung Hla | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Tabayin -Naung Hla | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | YMC-Tha Yat Kaung | 110 ft |  |
| Monywa | Budalin | Bridge on Maung Htaung Road (1) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Bridge on Maung Htaung Road (2) | 30 ft |  |
|  |  | Sae Wa Village Entrance Bridge | 80 ft |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  |


(3) Canal Inspection Road Improvement

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\omega} \\ & \dot{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | Canal Name | Station No.(ft) | Canal Length |  | Condition of Pavement |  |  | Unit Cost (million kyat/mile) | Resectioning Work | Construction Cost (million kyat) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Type of Pavement | Roadway Width | Shoulder Width |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $114+800-\mathrm{RD} 275+650$ | 30.46 | 49.03 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ayardaw Extension Canal | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 17+200$ | 3.26 | 5.24 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ayardaw Extension Canal | RD $17+200-\mathrm{RD} 83+400$ | 12.54 | 20.18 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Budalin Extension Canal | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 60+000$ | 11.36 | 18.29 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 2 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ayataw Extension Canal | RD $95+000-\mathrm{RD} 122+000$ | 5.11 | 8.23 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ayataw Extension Canal | RD $83+400-\mathrm{RD} 90+000$ | 1.25 | 2.01 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $0+000$ - RD 4 + 000 | 0.76 | 1.22 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD 4 + 000 - RD 4 + 450 | 0.09 | 0.14 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $4+450-\mathrm{RD} 8+600$ | 0.79 | 1.26 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $8+600-\mathrm{RD} 9+700$ | 0.21 | 0.34 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $9+700-\mathrm{RD} 42+000$ | 6.12 | 9.85 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Right Main Canal (RMC) | RD $50+000-\mathrm{RD} 56+000$ | 1.14 | 1.83 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sub-total | 73.09 | 117.62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Old Mu Canal (OMC) | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 70+000$ | 13.26 | 21.34 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Old Mu Canal (OMC) | RD $70+000-\mathrm{RD} 125+200$ | 10.45 | 16.82 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Old Mu Canal (OMC) | RD $125+200-$ RD $184+300$ | 11.19 | 18.01 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Old Mu Canal (OMC) | RD $184+300-\mathrm{RD} 264+000$ | 15.09 | 24.29 | Asphalt | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Thayat Kan DY | RD $1+200-\mathrm{RD} 19+200$ | 3.41 | 5.49 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-1 | RD $1+200-\mathrm{RD} 4+000$ | 0.53 | 0.85 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-4 | $\mathrm{RD} 2+000-\mathrm{RD} 3+000$ | 0.19 | 0.30 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-7 | $\mathrm{RD} 1+000-\mathrm{RD} 2+000$ | 0.19 | 0.30 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-9 | $\mathrm{RD} 2+000-\mathrm{RD} 3+800$ | 0.34 | 0.55 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DO-5 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 38+000$ | 7.20 | 11.58 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Lay Tote DY | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 19+500$ | 3.69 | 5.94 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DO-4 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 32+000$ | 6.06 | 9.75 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DO-3 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 18+000$ | 3.41 | 5.49 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sub-total | 75.01 | 120.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ye-U Main Canal (YMC) | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 131+000$ | 24.81 | 39.93 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ye-U Main Canal (YMC) | RD $131+000-\mathrm{RD} 183+000$ | 9.85 | 15.85 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ye-U Main Canal (YMC) | RD $183+000-\mathrm{RD} 223+000$ | 7.58 | 12.19 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ma Ya Kan Branch of YMC | RD $0+000$ - RD $11+400$ | 2.16 | 3.47 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ma Ya Kan Branch of YMC | RD $11+400-\mathrm{RD} 72+300$ | 11.53 | 18.56 | Asphalt | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Ma Ya Kan Branch of YMC | RD $72+300-\mathrm{RD} 95+500$ | 4.39 | 7.07 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-11 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 12+200$ | 2.31 | 3.72 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-7 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 37+900$ | 7.18 | 11.55 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-9 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 20+500$ | 3.88 | 6.25 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-11 | RD $12+200-\mathrm{RD} 25+400$ | 2.50 | 4.02 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-15 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 28+400$ | 5.38 | 8.66 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-15 A | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 15+200$ | 2.88 | 4.63 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-16 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 18+000$ | 3.41 | 5.49 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-18 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 22+000$ | 4.17 | 6.71 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-19 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 18+700$ | 3.54 | 5.70 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | T.D.Y | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 41+000$ | 7.77 | 12.50 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-21 | RD $0+000$ - RD $11+000$ | 2.08 | 3.35 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-23 | RD $0+000$ - RD $6+000$ | 1.14 | 1.83 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sub-total | 106.56 | 171.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Shwebo Main Canal (SMC) | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 143+400$ | 27.16 | 43.71 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | Mode Soe Chone Branch Canal | RD $15+000-\mathrm{RD} 90+000$ | 14.20 | 22.86 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 2 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-1 | RD $47+000-\mathrm{RD} 117+400$ | 13.33 | 21.46 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-3 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 35+400$ | 6.70 | 10.79 | Metal/Macadam | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-4 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 29+300$ | 5.55 | 8.93 | Metal/Macadam | 8 feet | 1 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-1 | RD $82+000-\mathrm{RD} 123+500$ | 7.86 | 12.65 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-3 | RD $35+000-\mathrm{RD} 47+345$ | 2.34 | 3.76 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-4 | $\mathrm{RD} 0+000-\mathrm{RD} 19+000$ | 3.60 | 5.79 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-5 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 29+000$ | 5.49 | 8.84 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-6 | $\mathrm{RD} 0+000-\mathrm{RD} 12+000$ | 2.27 | 3.66 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-6 | RD $19+000-\mathrm{RD} 53+000$ | 6.44 | 10.36 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-7 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 53+000$ | 10.04 | 16.15 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-8 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 19+100$ | 3.62 | 5.82 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-8 | RD $24+500-\mathrm{RD} 43+660$ | 3.63 | 5.84 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | MBC DY-1 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 13+800$ | 2.61 | 4.21 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | MBC DY-2 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 23+300$ | 4.41 | 7.10 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | MBC DY-3 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 20+000$ | 3.79 | 6.10 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | HBC DY-1 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 28+000$ | 5.30 | 8.53 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | HBC DY-2 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 12+000$ | 2.27 | 3.66 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | DY-2 | RD $0+000-\mathrm{RD} 21+000$ | 3.98 | 6.40 | Earth to Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  | HBC | RD $74+000-\mathrm{RD} 100+350$ | 4.99 | 8.03 | Repair of Kanker | 12 feet | 3 feet |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sub-total | 139.58 | 224.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 394.24 | 634.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## APPENDIX X. PROJECT EVALUATION

## X. 1 Comparison of Three Options

There are three options proposed by the Team, namely, plan A - C. Plan C is made based on the minimum requirement, but some of upgrading / rehabilitation may not sufficient enough for long-term service period. Plan B was made by adding factors to plan C. For irrigation and drainage rehabilitation, for example, plan $B$ is expected to increase the safety of the facilities, reduce the operation and maintenance costs etc. On the other hand, plan A is proposed as a modern systems by adding advanced facilities to plan B, however, advanced technology may not be cost-effective, so the best option is qualitatively uncertain and should be identified quantitatively.

The Team calculated economic benefits and costs by each of three plans all of which are standardized in Base 0. Plan A has maximum components so that the benefit and cost mark the largest, while the Plan C has only minimum components and the supposed benefit should be lowest. The Team considered target irrigable area, target yield, and total target length of rehabilitation which associate with volume of agro transportation within the target roads. Table X.1.1 and Table X.1.2 summarize these parameters.

Table X.1.1 Cultivated Area and Yields by the Proposed Plan

| Cops | Area Cultivated (acre) |  |  |  | Yield (ton/acre) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | Plan A | Plan B | Plan C | Current | Plan A | Plan B | Plan C |
| Shwebo Paw San | 308,024 | 313,089 | 313,089 | 313,089 | 1.17 | 1.46 | 1.46 | 1.32 |
| Ayeyarmin | 177,873 | 180,798 | 180,798 | 180,798 | 1.15 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.53 |
| Shwe Sae Yin | 223,620 | 306,413 | 305,072 | 276,093 | 1.61 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.73 |
| IR 747 | 63,148 | 86,527 | 86,148 | 77,965 | 1.73 | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.82 |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.1.2 Target Length and Volume of Transportation by the Proposed Plans

| Current | Plan | Target Length (km) |  |  | Volume of Transportation (ton) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Plan A | Plan B | Plan C | Plan A | Plan B | Plan C |
| Non Pavement | Earth | 150 | 113 | 80 | 215,064 | 185,827 | 136,772 |
| Earth | Metal/Macadam | 667 | 607 | 529 | $1,060,156$ | 982,215 | 816,295 |
| Metal/Macadam | Gravel | 52 | 52 | 44 | 81,014 | 80,655 | 71,763 |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Based on Table X.1.1 and Table X.1.2, EIRR, NPV, and B/C are calculated. Table X.1.3 summarizes the result of comparison. The result shows that, for every components, the plan B marks the highest EIRR, indicating that it can be regarded as optimal plan. It is not reasonable and unrealistic to study every options in detail because at most only one option will be realized. Thus, hereinafter, the report refers to only plan B unless there are any necessity to mention for other options.

Table X.1.3 Comparison of Three Candidate Plans

| Case | IRR | NPV $^{* 1}$, million Kyats | B/C ${ }^{* 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement |  |  |  |
| Plan A | $17.2 \%$ | 83,501 | 1.51 |
| Plan B | $20.1 \%$ | 112,963 | 1.85 |
| Plan C | $15.3 \%$ | 31,403 | 1.31 |
| Land Consolidation |  |  |  |
| Plan A | $5.6 \%$ | -1.902 | 0.60 |
| Plan B | $5.6 \%$ | -1.902 | 0.60 |
| Plan C | $5.6 \%$ | -1.902 | 0.60 |
| Distribution Infrastructure Improvement |  |  |  |
| Plan A | $19.0 \%$ | 29,775 | 1.44 |
| Plan B | $19.3 \%$ | 29,636 | 1.46 |
| Plan C | $18.2 \%$ | 22,666 | 1.39 |

Note: discount ratio of $12 \%$ was applied in calculating NPV and B/C ratio.
This comparison was made based on the project costs estimated on March. On the other hand, the result of IRR analysis in Chapter 7 refers to the project cost on June. Therefore, these results are not same each other. These cash flows are available in Appendix X-4.
Source: JICA Survey Team

## X. 2 Effect on Generating Employment

This chapter provides a supplementary explanation of Chapter 7.8: Effect on Generating Employment.

## X.2.1 Generating Employment in the Respective Stages

Table X. 2.1 summarizes expected amount of employments to be generated in each of the Project implementation stage.

Table X.2.1 Expect Generating Employment by Project Implementation

| Component | Description |  | Million Kyat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Person } \\ & \text { (no. / day) } \end{aligned}$ | per month (Person $\times$ days per month) | per year (Personxdays per year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation Rehabilitation | Irrigation Rehabilitation |  | 16,160 | 4,320 | 108,000 | 648,000 |
| Road Improvement | Case 1 (10 month of construction period) | Canal Inspection Road | 4,030 | 744 | 18,600 | 186,000 |
|  |  | Rural Road | 5,196 | 936 | 23,400 | 234,000 |
|  |  | Rural Bridge | 746.5 | 144 | 3,600 | 36,000 |
|  |  | Sub-Total | 9972.5 | 1,824 | 45,600 | 456,000 |
|  | Case 2 (6 month of construction period) | Canal Inspection Road | 4,030 | 1,240 | 31,000 | 234,000 |
|  |  | Rural Road | 5,196 | 1,560 | 39,000 | 76,000 |
|  |  | Rural Bridge | 746.5 | 240 | 6,000 | 36,000 |
|  |  | Sub-Total | 9972.5 | 3,040 | 76,000 | 456,000 |
| Total During Construction |  |  | 25,386 | Case1:6,144 | Case1:153,600 | 1,104,000 |
|  |  |  | Case2:7,360 | Case2:184,000 |  |
| Agriculture | Monsoon Paddy |  |  | 916 | 3,052 | 76,305 | 228,915*1 |
|  | Summer Paddy |  | 4,708 | 17,934 | 448,346 | 1,345,038*1 |
|  | Sesame/ Pulses |  | 9,186 | 40,828 | 1,020,700 | 3,062,100*1 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 14,810 | 61,814 | 1,545,351 | 4,636,053 |
| Processing (Rice Miller) | Permanent Labor |  | 1,642.8 | 992 | 24,800 | 297,600 |
|  | Seasonal Labor |  | 1,785.6 | 3,968 | 99,200 | 396,800 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 3,428.4 | 4,960 | 124,000 | 694,400 |
| Distribution | Permanent Labor |  | 1,127 | 773 | 19,335 | 232,024 |
|  | Seasonal Labor |  | 2,254 | 4,640 | 116,012 | 464,049 |
|  | Sub-Total |  | 3,381 | 5,414 | 135,348 | 696,073 |
| Total After Project |  |  | 21,619.4 | 72,188 | 1,804,699 | 6,026,526 |

*1 It is calculated the number of labor in respective season.
Source: JICA Survey Team

1) Project Implementation (Irrigation Rehabilitation, Road Improvement)

Table X.2.2 summarizes expected amount of employments to be generated in each of the Project implementation stage. Approximately 648,000 person-day/year (4,300 person/day, 108,000 person-day/ month) of employment opportunities are to be created to meet the construction demand. Likewise, the distribution infrastructure improvement is expected to generate additional employments; about 456,000 person-day/year, consisting of 1,800 person/day or 3,000 person/day for the construction period of 10 months and 6 months respectively.

Case1: If it is assumed that construction period is 10 month in a year, about 456,000 (744 for Canal Inspection Road, 936 persons for Rural Road and 144 for Rural Bridge) of employment to be generated. For monthly and yearly, correspond to 45,600 persons/ month and 456,000 / year of employment will be generated respectively. This case plans to implement the construction work through the year except 2 month of heavy rainy season.

Case 2: If it is assumed that construction period is 6 month in a year, approximately corresponds to 3,000 persons/ day (1,240 for Canal Inspection Road, 1,560 for Rural Road and 240 for Rural Bridge) of employment to be generated. For monthly and yearly, correspond to $76,000 / \mathrm{month}$ and 456,000 / year of employment will be generated. This case plans to implement the construction work except rainy season.

Table X.2.2. Expect Generating Employment by Irrigation Rehabilitation and Road Construction

| Component |  | Million Kyat | per day (No./day) | per month (Personx days per month) | per year (Personxdays per year) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation Rehabilitation |  | 16,160 | 4,320 | 108,000 | 648,000 |
| Case 1 (10 month of Construction Period) | Road Improvement | 9,226 | 1,824 | 45,600 | 456,000 |
|  | Canal Inspection Road | 4,030 | 744 | 18,600 | 186,000 |
|  | Rural Road | 5,196 | 936 | 23,400 | 234,000 |
|  | Rural Bridge | 9,972.5 | 144 | 3,600 | 36,000 |
| Case 2 <br> (6 month of construction period) | Road Improvement | 9,226 | 3,040 | 76,000 | 456,000 |
|  | Canal Inspection Road | 4,030 | 1,240 | 31,000 | 234,000 |
|  | Rural Road | 5,196 | 1,560 | 39,000 | 76,000 |
|  | Rural Bridge | 9,972.5 | 240 | 6,000 | 36,000 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## 2) Agriculture

Currently, only $58 \%$ of low land irrigable area is being cultivated during summer season due to insufficient irrigation water. The cropping intensity during the summer season can be increased from $58 \%$ to $69 \%(21,513 \mathrm{ha})$ provided that paddy is cultivated in the project area by the project. 62,370 ha under irrigated area which is increment area of $31 \%$ are cultivated for pulses. It is proposed to archive $100 \%$ in cropping intensity in summer season. About 496,000 tons of paddy production will be increased by the project implementation totally.

In relation to increased agricultural production with the Project completed, there will be a lot of employment opportunities for farm casual labors. If the current labor intensive agriculture is assumed to continue even in future, it is expected to generate new employments of $4,636,000$ person-day per year ( 62,000 person/day, $1,545,000$ person-day/month) for the farm casual labors, composed of 228,915 person-day per season for monsoon paddy, $1,345,038$ person-day per season for summer paddy, and $3,062,100$ person-day per season for such alternative crops as sesame or green gram as summer crop. Note that since increment for monsoon paddy is minimal with the Project, the newly created employment is also minimal, and visa versa. Table X.2.3 is shown the number of casual labor required to cultivate 1 acre of Paddy/ Pulses field for 1 day. The amount of employment in agriculture sector is referred the table and increment area of each products.

Table X.2.3 Basic Assumptions of Labor Cost of Agriculture

| Monsoon Paddy |  |  | Summer Paddy |  |  | Pulses/Sesame |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Activity | No. of <br> Labor <br> Force <br> to cultivate <br> 1 Acre/day | Cost (Kyat) | Activity | No. of <br> Labor <br> Force to cultivate 1 Acre/day | Cost (Kyat) | Activity | No. of <br> Labor <br> Force to cultivate <br> 1 Acre/day | Cost <br> (Kyat) |
| Unit cost(Kyat) |  | 4,000 | Unit Cost(Kyat) |  | 3,500 |  | Cost(Kyat) | 3,000 |
| Transplanting | 10 | 40,000 | Transplanting | 5 | 17,500 | Transplanting | 5 | 15,000 |
| 1st weeding | 5 | 20,000 | 1st weeding | 5 | 17,500 | 1st weeding | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd weeding | 5 | 20,000 | 2nd weeding | 5 | 17,500 | 2nd weeding | 5 | 15,000 |
| Harvesting | 10 | 40,000 | Harvesting | 10 | 35,000 | Harvesting | 10 | 30,000 |
| Total | 30 | 120,000 | Total | 25 | 87,500 | Total | 20 | 60,000 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## 3) Processing (Rice Miller)

Due to increments of the paddy production, rice millers also need additional manpower to handle the increased amount. Approximately 694,000 person-day/year (4,960 person/day, 124,000 person-day/ month) of employment are generated in this stage. As for the seasonal labor, they will work for 4
month in a year during the peak period for processing. Table X.2.4 is shown basic assumption of labor force in rice miller which has about 50 tons of milling capacity per day. If such kind of rice miller will handle the increment yield of rice ( 496,000 ton), aforementioned amount of labor force will be required.

Table X.2.4 Basic Assumptions of Labor cost for Processing (Case of 50t of Milling Capacity Rice Miller)

| Labor | No. of Labor <br> force | Unit cost <br> (Day) | Labor cot <br> (Day) | Labor cost <br> (Month) |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permanent Labors | 15 | 5,520 | 138,000 | $2,070,000$ |
| Seasonal Labors | 20 | 4,500 | 112,500 | $2,250,000$ |
| Total | 35 | 10,020 | 250,500 | $\mathbf{4 , 3 2 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
4) Distribution

As for the distribution of products, approximately 696,000 person-day per year ( 5,414 person/day, 135,348 person-day/month) of employment will be expected to occur upon the Project completed. The Seasonal labors are working for 4 month during the peak season. They are mainly working for loading and unloading the products. Mainly, there are two kinds of distribution way, one is from farm land to rice miller (Trader) another is from rice miller to retailer (or consumer). Table X.2.5 is shown of basic assumption of labor cost for distribution. After the road improvement, it assumes the small and medium scale of track will be used for distribution instead of bull cart.

Table X.2.5 Basic Assumptions of Labor cost for Distribution

| Type of Vehicle | Working Time <br> (hrs/time) | Number <br> of Labor | Unit Cost | Operator | Unit Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S \& M Truck (3 tons) | 3 | 2 | 1,200 | 1 | 1,200 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## X.2.2 Ripple Effect on Employment in Other Sectors

To simulate the ripple effect on employment in other industries, the analysis refers to an input-output analysis approach. The process of calculation is in accordance of a paper, "Coefficients For Input-Output Analysis and Computation Methods", Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications of Japan.

In Myanmar, input-output table has not frequently been updated. The Team could find only 2000-2001 version prepared by a Japanese university Team ${ }^{1}$, and therefore the Team employs it with necessary modifications. An "employment matrix", which is also a necessary tool of the analysis, has been prepared by the Team with reference to "Labor Force, Child Labor, and School-to-Work Transition Survey, 2015" conducted by ILO for Myanmar (These Tables can be referred to Chapter X.3.4).

Table X.2.6 is the result of calculation, showing number of jobs generated by a change in demand incurred by the Project based on general industrial structure in the union of Myanmar ${ }^{2}$. It is estimated that 51,392 numbers of employment will be generated thanks to 125,543 million Kyats for additional demand to be born by the Project, which is the benefit with irrigation \& drainage improvement including agriculture development and extension strengthening (Base 1 case).

The most benefitted sector is "Trade", creating 8,533 numbers of employment opportunities followed by "Transportation" (694 employed), "Livestock and Fishery" (534 employed), and "Processing \&

[^3]Manufacturing" (458 employed). As the overall effect, 51,392 numbers of employment opportunities are supposed to be created.

Table X.2.6 Ripple Effect on Employment to Other Sectors based on Input-Output Table in 2000-2001

| No | Sectors | Additional Demand, Million Kyats <br> (Based on Current Price in 2015) | Ripple Effect on Employment <br> Person |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Agricultural | 125,543 | 40,880 |
| 2 | Livestock and Fishery | 0 | 534 |
| 3 | Forestry | 0 | 21 |
| 4 | Mining | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | Processing \& Manufacturing | 0 | 458 |
| 6 | Power | 0 | 2 |
| 7 | Construction | 0 | 213 |
| 8 | Transportation | 0 | 694 |
| 9 | Communication | 0 | 30 |
| 10 | Financial Sector | 0 | 3 |
| 11 | Social and Administrative Sector | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | Rental and Other Service | 0 | 20 |
| 13 | Trade | 0 | 8,533 |
| 14 | Total | $\mathbf{1 2 5 , 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 , 3 9 2}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Reference: "Industrial Structure in Myanmar using a new Estimated Input Output Table (2000-2001)", Nan Khie Su Thwin et al (2010); "Labor Force, Child Labor and School-to-Work Transition Survey, 2015", Executive Summary, January-March 2015, ILO.

## X. 3 Tables of the Project Evaluation

## X.3.1. Basic Assumptions of the Evaluation

The applied percentage of benefit generation by year and by project component is summarized in Table X.3.1, and the basis by each of the components are shown in Table X.3.2 - Table X.3.4.

Table X.3.1 Economic Project Benefits by Year

| Components | $1^{\text {st }}$ Year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year | $4^{\text {th }}$ Year | $5^{\text {th }}$ Year | $6^{\text {th }}$ Year | $7^{\text {th }}$ Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Land Consolidation | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $40.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $80.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Distribution Infrastructure Improvement | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $42.0 \%$ | $59.0 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ |
| Components | $8^{\text {th }}$ Year | $9^{\text {th }}$ Year | $10^{\text {th }}$ Year | $11^{\text {th }}$ Year | $12^{\text {th }}$ Year | $13^{\text {th }}$ Year | After $14^{\text {th }}$ |
| Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement | $40.0 \%$ | $60.0 \%$ | $78.0 \%$ | $92.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Land Consolidation | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Distribution Infrastructure Improvement | $93.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.2 Percentage of Benefit Generation of Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement

| Particulars | $1^{\text {st }}(\mathrm{DD})$ | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{Year}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | After 11th years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 2nd Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 3rd Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 4th Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 5th Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0} \%$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{4 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.3 Percentage of Benefit Generation of Land Consolidation

| Particulars | $1^{\text {st }}$ year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | After 11th years |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 2nd Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 3rd Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 4th Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 5th Segment | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.4 Percentage of Benefit Generation of Distribution Infrastructure Improvement

| Year | $1^{\text {st }}$ year | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | After 11th years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: This percentage is in case of Plan B (the investment plan, for other plan, refer to the Appendix)
Table X.3.5 shows a list of percentage of cost disbursement over the construction periods.
Table X.3.5. List of Percentage of Cost Disbursement over the Construction Periods (Plan B)

| Components | $1^{\text {st }}$ Year | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year | $4^{\text {th }}$ Year | $5^{\text {th }}$ Year | $6^{\text {th }}$ Year | $7^{\text {th }}$ Year | $8^{\text {th }}$ year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Land Consolidation | $0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ | $39.7 \%$ | $25.2 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Distribution Infrastructure Improvement | $0 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## X.3.2. Economic Costs

Table X.3.6 summarizes the financial and economic total cost by main project component. Table X.3.7 - X.3.9 show the breakdowns of economic conversion each of total costs by main project components.

Table X.3.6. Financial and Economic Cost by Main Component, million Kyat

| Component | Financial Cost (BC + PhC) |  | Economic Cost (BC + PhC) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC | LC | Total | FC | LC | Total |
| Irrigation Improvement \& Agriculture Extension | 20,608 | 146,252 | 166,862 | 20,608 | 129,856 | 150,464 |
| Land Consolidation | 90 | 8,334 | 8,425 | 90 | 7,375 | 7,465 |
| Distribution Infrastructure Improvement | 4,298 | 109,606 | 113,906 | 4,298 | 98,217 | 102,516 |
| Program Overall | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 4 , 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 9 , 1 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 4 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 0 , 4 4 6}$ |

Note1: The project cost used in the economic evaluation excludes Tax, Interest and Subsidies (so-called, "Transfer Items") since they represent only transfer between individuals of the nation. Likewise, price escalation is excluded as long as it can be assumed that the influences of escalation are equally likely between benefits and costs.
Note2: Program Overall is the cost of all components, that is why the sum of the three is not corresponding to it.
Note3: To accomplish the yield increases, not only irrigation \& drainage improvement but also agricultural extension services need to be implemented. Therefore, the economic evaluation is performed with the total cost of these two components.
Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.7. Financial and Economic Cost of Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement with Agriculture
Development and Extension Strengthening, million Kyat

| Component | Financial Cost |  |  | Economic Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC | LC | Total | FC | LC | Total |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unskilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration Fee (10\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Cost | 19,567 | 138,436 | 158,005 | 19,567 | 123,672 | 143,239 |
| Physical Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BC+PhC | 20,608 | 146,252 | 166,862 | 20,608 | 129,856 | 150,464 |
| Price Escalation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 21,945 | 192,621 | 214,569 | 21,945 | 129,856 | 151,801 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.8 Financial and Economic Cost of Land Consolidation, million Kyat

| Component | Financial Cost |  |  | Economic Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC | LC | Total | FC | LC | Total |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unskilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration Fee (10\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Cost | 86 | 7,887.7 | 7,973.7 | 86 | 7,024 | 7,110 |
| Physical Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BC+PhC | 90 | 8,334 | 8,425 | 90 | 7,375 | 7,465 |
| Price Escalation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 98.2 | 10,793.0 | 10,891.2 | 98 | 7,375 | 7,473 |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.9 Financial and Economic Cost of Distribution Infrastructure Improvement, million Kyat

| Component | Financial Cost |  |  | Economic Cost |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | FC | LC | Total | FC | LC | Total |
| Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unskilled Labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Acquisition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant Fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Administration Fee (10\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Cost | 4,082 | 103,583 | 107,667 | 4,082 | 93,540 | 97,622 |
| Physical Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BC+PhC | 4,298 | 109,606 | 113,906 | 4,298 | 98,217 | 102,516 |
| Price Escalation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import Tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interests |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 4,594 | 145,732 | 150,325 | 4,298 | 98,217 | 102,516 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## X.3.3 Project Benefits

Project benefits are calculated and converted to economic price by using conversion factors, which are shown in Table X.3.10 - Table X.3.15

## Table X.3.10 Calculation of Economic Annual Benefits for Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement with Agricultural Extension Strengthening (Base0)

| Title | Current |  |  |  |  |  | Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monsoon |  | Summer |  | Winter |  | Monsoon |  | Summer |  | Winter |  |
|  | Shwebo <br> Paw San | Ayeyarmin | Shwe Sae Yin | IR747 | Green <br> Gram | Sesame | Shwebo <br> Paw San | Ayeyarmin | Shwe Sae Yin | IR747 | Green <br> Gram | Sesame |
| Irrigable Area, acre | 485,897 | 485,897 | 286,768 | 286.768 | - | - | 493,887 | 493,887 | 391, 221 | 391,221 | - | - |
| Area (\%) | 56.8 | 32.8 | 68.7 | 19.4 | - | - | 56.8 | 32.8 | 68.7 | 19.4 | - | - |
| Cultivated Area, acre | 308,024 | 177.873 | 223.620 | 63,148 | - | - | 313.089 | 180,798 | 305,072 | 86,148 | - | - |
| Yield, basket/acre | 56.0 | 55.0 | 77.0 | 83.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 70.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 21.0 | 11.5 |
| Total Yield, 1000 bsk | 17.249.3 | 9,783.0 | 17,218.8 | 5,241.2 | - | - | 21,916.2 | 16,271.8 | 27,456.5 | 7,753.4 | - | - |
| Financial Price, Kyats/bsk | 9,200.0 | 7,800.0 | 4,700.0 | 5,100.0 | 30,700.0 | 28,500.0 | 9,200 | 7.800 | 4,700 | 5,100 | 30,700 | 28,500 |
| Economic Price, Kyats/bsk | 8,096.0 | 6,864.0 | 4,136.0 | 4,488.0 | 27,016.0 | 25,080.0 | 8,096 | 6,864 | 4,136 | 4,488 | 27,016 | 25,080 |
| Profit Ratio, Financial (\%) | 55.6 | 64.1 | 54.1 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 67.7 | 55.6 | 64.1 | 54.1 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 67.7 |
| Profit Ratio, Economic (\%) | 54.7 | 62.9 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 63.6 | 54.7 | 62.9 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 63.6 |
| Net Financial Profit per season, million Kyats | 88,233,6 | 48,913.0 | 43,782.2 | 16,492.5 | - | - | 112,105.7 | 81,355.7 | 69,813.6 | 24,397.6 | - | - |
| Net Economic Profit per season, million Kyats | 76,388.7 | 42,237.7 | 37,317.7 | 14,442.8 | - | - | 97.056.2 | 70,252.8 | 59,505.5 | 21,365.5 | - | - |
| Difference (Plan - Current) | 20,667.4 | 28,015.1 | 22,187.8 | 6,922.7 | - | - | Net Benefit, Million Kyats |  |  |  | 77,793 |  |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.11 Calculation of Economic Annual Benefits for Irrigation \& Drainage Improvement with Agricultural Extension Strengthening (Base1)

| Title | Current |  |  |  |  |  | Planned |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monsoon |  | Summer |  | Winter |  | Monsoon |  | Summer |  | Winter |  |
|  | Shwebo <br> Paw San | Ayeyarmin | Shwe Sae Yin | IR747 | Green Gram | Sesame | Shwebo <br> Paw San | Ayeyarmin | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Shwe Sae } \\ \text { Yin } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | IR747 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Green } \\ & \text { Gram } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Sesame |
| Irrigable Area, acre | 485,897 | 485,897 | 286,768 | 286,768 | 0 | 0 | 493,887 | 493,887 | 340,782 | 340,782 | 153,105 | 153,105 |
| Area (\%) | 56.8 | 32.8 | 68.7 | 19.4 | 0 | 0 | 56.8 | 32.8 | 68.7 | 19.4 | 50 | 50 |
| Cultivated Area, acre | 308,024 | 177,873 | 223,620 | 63,148 | 0 | 0 | 313,089 | 180,798 | 265,740 | 75,042 | 76,553 | 76,553 |
| Yield, basket/acre | 56.0 | 55.0 | 77.0 | 83.0 | 12.0 | 5.0 | 70.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 21.0 | 11.5 |
| Total Yield, 1000 bsk | 17,249 | 9,783 | 17,219 | 5,241 | 0 | 0 | 21,916 | 16,272 | 23,917 | 6,754 | 1,608 | 880 |
| Financial Price, Kyats/bsk | 9,200 | 7.800 | 4.700 | 5.100 | 30.700 | 28,500 | 9,200 | 7.800 | 4,700 | 5.100 | 30.700 | 28,500 |
| Economic Price, Kyats/bsk | 8,096 | 6,864 | 4,136 | 4,488 | 27,016 | 25,080 | 8,096 | 6,864 | 4,136 | 4,488 | 27.016 | 25,080 |
| Profit Ratio, Financial (\%) | 55.6 | 64.1 | 54.1 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 67.7 | 55.6 | 64.1 | 54.1 | 61.7 | 61.7 | 67.7 |
| Profit Ratio, Economic (\%) | 54.7 | 62.9 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 63.6 | 54.7 | 62.9 | 52.4 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 63.6 |
| Net Financial Profit per season, million Kyats | 88,233.6 | 48,913.0 | 43.782 .2 | 16.492.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112,105.7 | 81,355.7 | 60,812.7 | 21,251.9 | 30.451.0 | 16.986.9 |
| Net Economic Profit per season, million Kyats | 76,388.7 | 42,237.7 | 37,317.7 | 14.442.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 97,056.2 | 70,252.8 | 51,833.6 | 18,610.7 | 26,753.4 | 14,043.2 |
| Difference (Plan - Current) | 20,667.4 | 28,015.1 | 14.515.9 | 4.167.9 | 26.753.4 | 14,043.2 | Net Benefit, Million Kyats |  |  |  | 108,162.9 |  |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.12 Calculation of Economic Annual Benefits for Land Consolidation

| Title | Current |  |  | Planned, Base0 |  |  | Planned, Base1 |  |  | Planned, Base2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Monsoon | Summer | Winter | Monsoon | Summer | Winter |
|  | Shwebo Paw San | IR747 | No Crop | Shwebo <br> Paw San | IR747 | No Crop | Ayeyarmin | IR747 | Green Gram | Ayeyarmin | Sesame | Green Gram |
| Land Consoludation Target Area, acre | 5,000.0 | 5,000.0 | 5,000.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 | 4,600.0 |
| Area (\%) | 100 | 58 | 0 | 100 | 58 | 0 | 100 | 58 | 58 | 100 | 58 | 58 |
| Cultivated Area, ac | 5,000 | 2,900 | 0 | 4,600 | 2,668 | 0 | 4,600 | 2,668 | 2,668 | 4,600 | 2,668 | 2,668 |
| Yield, bsk/ac | 56 | 83 | 0 | 66 | 90 | 0 | 65 | 90 | 14 | 65 | 12 | 14 |
| Economic Farmgate Price, Kyat/bsk | 8,096 | 4,488 | 0 | 8,096 | 4,488 | 0 | 6,864 | 4,488 | 27,016 | 6,864 | 25,080 | 27,016 |
| Economic Gross Profit per ac, Kyat/ac | 453,376 | 372,504 | 0 | 534,336 | 403,920 | 0 | 446,160 | 403,920 | 378,224 | 446,160 | 300,960 | 378,224 |
| Economic Cost per ac, Kyat/ac | 247,440 | 207,600 | 0 | 203,920 | 166,720 | 0 | 156,560 | 166,720 | 124,640 | 156,560 | 44,800 | 124,640 |
| Total Economic Gross Profit, M Kyat | 2,266.9 | 1,080.3 | 0.0 | 2,457.9 | 1,077.7 | 0.0 | 2,052.3 | 1,077.7 | 1,009.1 | 2,052.3 | 803.0 | 1,009.1 |
| Total Gross Profit Increase , M Kyat | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\square$ | 191.1 | -2.6 | 0.0 | -214.5 | -2.6 | 1,009.1 | -214.5 | -277.3 | 1,009.1 |
| Total Economic Cost , M Kyat | 1,237.2 | 602.0 | 0.0 | 938.0 | 444.8 | 0.0 | 720.2 | 444.8 | 332.5 | 720.2 | 119.5 | 332.5 |
| Total Cost Reduction, M Kyat | $\square$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | 299.2 | 157.2 | 0.0 | 517.0 | 157.2 | -332.5 | 517.0 | 482.5 | -332.5 |


| Benefit | Profit Increase | 188.5 | 792.0 | 517.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost Reduction | 456.4 | 341.7 | 667.0 |
|  | Total Benefit | 644.9 | $\mathbf{1 , 1 3 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 4 . 3}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.13 Annual Benefit Accrued by Transportation Mode and by Road Segment

| By Type of Transportation | Current Total Cost <br> (Million Kyats) | Target Total Cost <br> (Million Kyats) | Million Kyats |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal Cart (No.3-1) | 22,271 | 3,305 | 18,966 |
| Trollergyi (No.3-2) | 6,321 | 1,750 | 4,570 |
| Small and Medium Truck (No.3-3) | 3,968 | 6,805 | $-2,838$ |
| Total | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2 , 5 5 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 1 , 8 6 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 , 6 9 9}}$ |
| By Segment | Current Total Cost <br> (Million Kyats) | Target Total Cost <br> (Million Kyats) | Million Kyats |
| Plot - Farmer | 3,776 | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 3}$ | 2,214 |
| Farmer - Rural Road / Canal Road | $\mathbf{8 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 7 1}$ | 5,499 |
| Canal Road - Market | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 6}$ | 12,986 |
| Total | $\underline{\mathbf{3 2 , 5 5 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{1 1 , 8 6 1}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 0 , 6 9 9}}$ |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.14 Current and Target Unit Cost of Agro-Transportation (Kyats/km-kg)

| Unit Cost | Current Unit Cost | Target Unit Cost | Unit Cost Reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plot - Farmer (0.8km) | 2.805 | 1.161 | $59 \%$ |
| Farmer - Rural Road / Canal Road (5.46km) | 0.900 | 0.302 | $66 \%$ |
| Canal Road - Market (22.68 km) | 0.503 | 0.184 | $63 \%$ |
| Weighted Average Distance Cost | 0.641 | 0.234 | $64 \%$ |
| (Reference) Shwebo - MDL (81km) | 0.074 |  |  |
| (Reference) YGN - MDL (710 km) | 0.033 |  |  |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.15 Annual Benefit Accrued by Road Segment (Kyats/Year)

| By Cost Category | VOC | Loading | Operation | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | 12,862 | 7,997 | 9,581 | 30,441 |
| Plan | 4,743 | 1,669 | 1,524 | 7,936 |
| Difference | 8,120 | 6,328 | 8,057 | 22,505 |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Concerning the estimation of Vehicle Operation Cost (VOC), the Team applies coefficients in neighboring country (Thailand) with adjusting exchange ratio and inflation. The applied parameters are shown in Table X.3.16.

Table X.3.16 Estimated Fuel Cost, kph

| Speed Range (kph) | Motor Cycle | Samlor <br> Tuk Tuk | Taxi | Car | Medium Bus | Heavy Bus | Large <br> Truck | Medium Truck | Heavy Truck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 204.8 | 440.9 | 1,296.1 | 628.1 | 1,690.5 | 2,507.5 | 914.2 | 1,274.5 | 2,123.4 |
| 2 | 201.7 | 411.9 | 1,215.0 | 614.9 | 1,607.1 | 2,386.6 | 869.1 | 1,217.2 | 2,053.3 |
| 3 | 198.5 | 382.9 | 1,133.9 | 601.6 | 1,523.7 | 2,265.7 | 823.9 | 1,159.9 | 1,983.2 |
| 4 | 195.4 | 353.8 | 1,052.8 | 588.4 | 1,440.4 | 2,144.9 | 778.7 | 1,102.6 | 1,913.2 |
| 5 | 192.2 | 324.8 | 971.7 | 575.1 | 1,357.0 | 2,024.0 | 733.6 | 1,045.2 | 1,843.1 |
| 6 | 189.1 | 295.7 | 890.6 | 561.9 | 1,273.6 | 1,903.2 | 688.4 | 987.9 | 1,773.0 |
| 7 | 185.7 | 285.5 | 858.3 | 550.5 | 1,239.9 | 1,845.9 | 668.6 | 963.8 | 1,741.6 |
| 8 | 182.2 | 275.2 | 826.0 | 539.1 | 1,206.3 | 1,788.5 | 648.8 | 939.7 | 1,710.1 |
| 9 | 178.8 | 264.9 | 793.8 | 527.7 | 1,172.6 | 1,731.2 | 628.9 | 915.5 | 1,678.7 |
| 10 | 175.4 | 254.6 | 761.5 | 516.3 | 1,139.0 | 1,673.9 | 609.1 | 891.4 | 1,647.2 |
| 11 | 172.0 | 244.3 | 729.2 | 505.0 | 1,105.3 | 1,616.6 | 589.3 | 867.2 | 1,615.7 |
| 12 | 169.7 | 238.9 | 713.2 | 497.0 | 1,087.0 | 1,586.1 | 578.9 | 853.8 | 1,597.9 |
| 13 | 167.5 | 233.4 | 697.1 | 489.0 | 1,068.7 | 1,555.7 | 568.6 | 840.4 | 1,580.0 |
| 14 | 165.2 | 227.9 | 681.0 | 481.1 | 1,050.4 | 1,525.2 | 558.2 | 826.9 | 1,562.1 |
| 15 | 162.9 | 222.5 | 664.9 | 473.1 | 1,032.1 | 1,494.7 | 547.8 | 813.5 | 1,544.2 |
| 16 | 160.7 | 217.0 | 648.8 | 465.2 | 1,013.9 | 1,464.3 | 537.4 | 800.1 | 1,526.3 |
| 17 | 159.0 | 213.6 | 638.8 | 459.1 | 1,000.8 | 1,445.4 | 530.7 | 792.1 | 1,515.9 |
| 18 | 157.3 | 210.1 | 628.7 | 453.1 | 987.8 | 1,426.4 | 524.0 | 784.1 | 1,505.4 |
| 19 | 155.6 | 206.6 | 618.6 | 447.0 | 974.8 | 1,407.5 | 517.4 | 776.1 | 1,494.9 |
| 20 | 154.0 | 203.2 | 608.6 | 441.0 | 961.7 | 1,388.6 | 510.7 | 768.1 | 1,484.4 |
| 21 | 152.3 | 199.7 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 504.0 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 22 | 151.0 | 197.4 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 499.4 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 23 | 149.7 | 195.1 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 494.8 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 24 | 148.3 | 192.8 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 490.3 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 25 | 147.0 | 190.4 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 485.7 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 26 | 145.7 | 188.1 | 598.5 | 434.9 | 948.7 | 1,369.7 | 481.2 | 760.2 | 1,474.0 |
| 27 | 144.8 | 186.5 | 586.9 | 426.6 | 935.2 | 1,345.9 | 478.1 | 750.5 | 1,460.6 |
| 28 | 143.9 | 184.9 | 575.4 | 418.2 | 921.6 | 1,322.2 | 475.0 | 740.8 | 1,447.3 |
| 29 | 142.9 | 183.3 | 563.8 | 409.9 | 908.1 | 1,298.4 | 472.0 | 731.2 | 1,434.0 |
| 30 | 142.0 | 181.7 | 552.3 | 401.6 | 894.5 | 1,274.7 | 468.9 | 721.5 | 1,420.7 |

Source: JICA Survey Team referencing "Bangkok Urban Transport Project in Thailand" (2005) with necessary modification.
Note: Because of data limitation, the Survey Team employs VOC in neighboring country. For S\&M Truck, the Team applies "Medium Truck", while Trollergyi, the Team applies "Samlor Tuk Tuk", and for the case of bull-cart, no fuel charge is needed, however, feeding and depreciation cost have to be taken into account, so the Team applies the lowest unit cost among the list, namely; the VOC of motor cycle

Table X.3.17 Transportation Cost by vehicle and by mean

| Items |  |  | (Unit:Ks/vehicle) |  |  | (Unit:Ks/basket) |  |  | (Unit:Ks/hrs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Animal Cart | Trollergyi | Small and Medium Truck | Animal Cart | Trollergyi | Small and Medium Truck | Animal Cart | Trollergyi | Small and Medium Truck |
| NonPavement | Plot Farmer | Paddy | 2,585 | 5,510 | 5,484 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3,232 | 6,887 | 8,914 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 2,585 | 5,510 | 5,484 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3,232 | 6,886 | 8,927 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 2,585 | 5,510 | 5,483 | 6.8 | 4.4 | 1.8 | 3,231 | 6,874 | 9,080 |
|  | Farmer Rural Road / Canal Road | Paddy | 3,674 | 6,155 | 5,981 | 9.7 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 668 | 1,119 | 1,414 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 3,674 | 6,155 | 5,981 | 9.7 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 668 | 1,119 | 1,414 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 3,674 | 6,155 | 5,980 | 9.7 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 668 | 1,120 | 1,415 |
|  | Canal Road Market | Paddy | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
| Earthen | Plot Farmer | Paddy | 2,559 | 5,492 | 5,473 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 3,199 | 6,864 | 8,552 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 2,559 | 5,492 | 5,473 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 3,199 | 6,864 | 8,553 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 2,559 | 5,492 | 5,473 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 1.6 | 3,198 | 6,867 | 8,530 |
|  | Farmer Rural Road / Canal Road | Paddy | 3,492 | 6,029 | 5,903 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 635 | 1,096 | 1,342 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 3,492 | 6,029 | 5,903 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 635 | 1,096 | 1,342 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 3,492 | 6,029 | 5,904 | 7.9 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 635 | 1,096 | 1,341 |
|  | Canal Road Market | Paddy | 4,684 | 6,716 | 6,453 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 407 | 584 | 701 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 4,684 | 6,716 | 6,453 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 407 | 584 | 701 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 4,684 | 6,716 | 6,453 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 1.8 | 407 | 584 | 701 |
| Gravel | Plot - <br> Farmer | Paddy | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  | Farmer Rural Road / Canal Road | Paddy | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  | Canal Road Market | Paddy | 5,957 | 7,408 | 6,962 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 291 | 362 | 437 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 5,957 | 7,408 | 6,962 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 291 | 362 | 437 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 5,957 | 7,408 | 6,962 | 11.9 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 291 | 362 | 437 |
| Metal | Plot . <br> Farmer | Paddy | 2,524 | 5,473 | 5,454 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 3,155 | 6,837 | 9,092 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 2,524 | 5,473 | 5,454 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 3,155 | 6,822 | 9,108 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 2,523 | 5,473 | 5,456 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 3,168 | 6,792 | 8,851 |
|  | Farmer Rural Road / Canal Road | Paddy | 3,250 | 5,899 | 5,774 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 591 | 1,073 | 1,400 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 3,250 | 5,899 | 5,775 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 591 | 1,072 | 1,400 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 3,249 | 5,901 | 5,773 | 5.8 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 591 | 1,070 | 1,405 |
|  | Canal Road Market | Paddy | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A | N.A |
| Asphalt | Plot - <br> Farmer | Paddy | 2,510 | 5,464 | 5,450 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3,137 | 6,829 | 8,811 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 2,510 | 5,464 | 5,450 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3,139 | 6,809 | 8,795 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 2,511 | 5,466 | 5,451 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.1 | 3,103 | 6,660 | 8,621 |
|  | Farmer - <br> Rural Road / <br> Canal Road | Paddy | 3,155 | 5,841 | 5,740 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 574 | 1,062 | 1,351 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 3,155 | 5,841 | 5,740 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 574 | 1,062 | 1,351 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 3,155 | 5,841 | 5,740 | 5.0 | 2.8 | 1.1 | 574 | 1,060 | 1,351 |
|  | Canal Road Market | Paddy | 5,211 | 7,040 | 6,667 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 255 | 344 | 421 |
|  |  | Agri-Inputs | 5,211 | 7,040 | 6,667 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 255 | 344 | 421 |
|  |  | Pulses and Beans | 5,211 | 7,040 | 6,667 | 8.3 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 255 | 344 | 421 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

## X.3.4. Cash flows of the three Options

Table X.3.18 - Table 3.28 show annual cash flows derived from the economic analysis.
Table X.3.18 Balance Calculation of Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation (Plan A), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0.

Table X.3.19 Balance Calculation of Land Consolidation (Plan A-C), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0.

Table X.3.20 Balance Calculation of Market Distribution System Improvement (Plan A), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.21 Balance Calculation of Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation (Plan B), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0.

Table X.3.22 Balance Calculation of Market Distribution System Improvement (Base0 of Plan B), Million


Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0 .

Table X.3.23 Balance Calculation of Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation (Base0 of Plan C), Million Kyats

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EIRR <br> NPV OCC | $15.26 \%$ <br> 31402.6 <br> $12.00 \%$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C} \\ & 1.31 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Const'on Cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { O \& M } \\ \text { Cost } \end{gathered}$ | Total Cost | Benefit | Opportu nity Cost | Net Benefit | Discount Rate | Present Value | Discounte d Cost | Discounte d Benefit |
| 1 | 894 | 0 | 894 | 0 | 0 | -894 | 1 | -798 | 798 | 0 |
| 2 | 2,384 | 18 | 2,402 | 0 | 0 | -2,402 | 1 | -1,915 | 1,915 | 0 |
| 3 | 21,058 | 66 | 21,123 | 0 | 12,055 | -33,178 | 1 | -23,616 | 23,616 | 0 |
| 4 | 18,376 | 487 | 18,863 | 0 | 12,055 | -30,918 | 2 | -19,649 | 19,649 | 0 |
| 5 | 22,548 | 854 | 23,402 | 846 | 12,055 | -34,611 | 2 | -19,639 | 20,119 | 480 |
| 6 | 15,893 | 1,305 | 17,198 | 3,384 | 12,055 | -25,869 | 2 | -13,106 | 14,820 | 1,715 |
| 7 | 14,204 | 1,623 | 15,827 | 8,460 | 12,055 | -19,422 | 2 | -8,785 | 12,612 | 3,827 |
| 8 | 3,874 | 1,907 | 5,781 | 16,921 | 0 | 11,140 | 2 | 4,499 | 2,335 | 6,834 |
| 9 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 25,381 | 0 | 23,397 | 3 | 8,437 | 716 | 9,153 |
| 10 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 32,996 | 0 | 31,011 | 3 | 9,985 | 639 | 10,624 |
| 11 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 38,918 | 0 | 36,933 | 3 | 10,617 | 571 | 11,188 |
| 12 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 4 | 10,349 | 509 | 10,858 |
| 13 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 4 | 9,240 | 455 | 9,695 |
| 14 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 5 | 8,250 | 406 | 8,656 |
| 15 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 5 | 7,366 | 363 | 7,728 |
| 16 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 6 | 6,577 | 324 | 6,900 |
| 17 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 7 | 5,872 | 289 | 6,161 |
| 18 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 8 | 5,243 | 258 | 5,501 |
| 19 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 9 | 4,681 | 230 | 4,912 |
| 20 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 10 | 4,180 | 206 | 4,385 |
| 21 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 11 | 3,732 | 184 | 3,915 |
| 22 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 12 | 3,332 | 164 | 3,496 |
| 23 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 14 | 2,975 | 146 | 3,121 |
| 24 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 15 | 2,656 | 131 | 2,787 |
| 25 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 17 | 2,372 | 117 | 2,488 |
| 26 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 19 | 2,118 | 104 | 2,222 |
| 27 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 21 | 1,891 | 93 | 1,984 |
| 28 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 24 | 1,688 | 83 | 1,771 |
| 29 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 27 | 1,507 | 74 | 1,581 |
| 30 | 0 | 1,985 | 1,985 | 42,302 | 0 | 40,318 | 30 | 1,346 | 66 | 1,412 |
| Total | 99,230 | 49,921 | 149,151 | 930,648 | 60,275 | 721,222 | 270 | 31,403 | 101,992 | 133,394 |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0.

Table X.3.24 Balance Calculation of Market Distribution System Improvement (Base0 of Plan C), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team
Note: Cash flows included in the Comparative Analysis are all standardized in Base 0.

## X.3.5. Results of the Evaluation

Table X.3.25 Balance Calculation of Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation (Base0), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

## Table X.3.26 Balance Calculation of Irrigation and Drainage Rehabilitation (Base1), Million Kyats

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EIRR <br> NPV <br> OCC | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 24.56 \% \\ \hline 203,331 \\ 12.00 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} B / C \\ 2.48 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Const'on Cost | O \& M Cost | Total Cost | Benefit | Opportunity Cost | Net Benefit | Discount Rate | Present Value | Discounted Cost | Discounted Benefit |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 6,019 | 0 | 6,019 | 0 | 0 | -6,019 | 1.3 | -4,798 | 4,798 | 0 |
| 3 | 33,102 | 120 | 33,223 | 0 | 12,055 | -45,277 | 1.4 | -32,228 | 32,228 | 0 |
| 4 | 27,084 | 782 | 27,866 | 0 | 12,055 | -39,921 | 1.6 | -25,370 | 25,370 | 0 |
| 5 | 31,598 | 1,324 | 32,922 | 2,163 | 12,055 | -42,813 | 1.8 | -24,293 | 25,521 | 1,227 |
| 6 | 25,579 | 1,956 | 27,535 | 8,653 | 12,055 | -30,937 | 2.0 | -15,674 | 20,057 | 4,384 |
| 7 | 22,570 | 2,468 | 25,037 | 21,633 | 12,055 | -15,460 | 2.2 | -6,993 | 16,779 | 9,785 |
| 8 | 6,019 | 2,919 | 8,938 | 43,265 | 0 | 34,328 | 2.5 | 13,864 | 3,610 | 17,474 |
| 9 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 64,898 | 0 | 61,858 | 2.8 | 22,307 | 1,096 | 23,403 |
| 10 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 84,367 | 0 | 81,328 | 3.1 | 26,185 | 979 | 27,164 |
| 11 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 99,510 | 0 | 96,471 | 3.5 | 27,733 | 874 | 28,607 |
| 12 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 3.9 | 26,983 | 780 | 27,763 |
| 13 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 4.4 | 24,092 | 697 | 24,788 |
| 14 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 4.9 | 21,510 | 622 | 22,132 |
| 15 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 5.5 | 19,206 | 555 | 19,761 |
| 16 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 6.1 | 17,148 | 496 | 17,644 |
| 17 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 6.9 | 15,311 | 443 | 15,753 |
| 18 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 7.7 | 13,670 | 395 | 14,065 |
| 19 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 8.6 | 12,206 | 353 | 12,558 |
| 20 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 9.6 | 10,898 | 315 | 11,213 |
| 21 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 10.8 | 9,730 | 281 | 10,012 |
| 22 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 12.1 | 8,688 | 251 | 8,939 |
| 23 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 13.6 | 7,757 | 224 | 7,981 |
| 24 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 15.2 | 6,926 | 200 | 7,126 |
| 25 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 17.0 | 6,184 | 179 | 6,363 |
| 26 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 19.0 | 5,521 | 160 | 5,681 |
| 27 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 21.3 | 4,930 | 143 | 5,072 |
| 28 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 23.9 | 4,401 | 127 | 4,529 |
| 29 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 26.7 | 3,930 | 114 | 4,043 |
| 30 | 0 | 3,039 | 3,039 | 108,163 | 0 | 105,124 | 30.0 | 3,509 | 101 | 3,610 |
| Total | 151,969 | 76,436 | 228,405 | 2,379,584 | 60,275 | 2,090,905 | 270.3 | 203,331 | 137,747 | 341,078 |

Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.27 Balance Calculation of Land Consolidation (Base0), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.28 Balance Calculation of Land Consolidation (Base1), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.29 Balance Calculation of Land Consolidation (Base2), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.30 Balance Calculation of Market Distribution System Improvement (Base0) , Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

## Table X.3.31 Balance Calculation of Market Distribution System Improvement (Base1), Million Kyats



Source: JICA Survey Team

## Table X.3.32 Balance Calculation of Major Three Components (Base0), Million Kyats



Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.33 Balance Calculation for All Components (Base0), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

Table X.3.34 Balance Calculation for Three Major Components (Base1), Million Kyats


Source: JICA Survey Team

## Table X.3.35 Balance Calculation for All Major Components (Base1), Million Kyats



Source: JICA Survey Team

Myanmar
X.3.6 Calculation Basis of Input-Output Analysis

Table X.3.36 Input-Output Matrix of the Union Myanmar 2000-2001
Table X.3.37 Input Coefficient Matrix of the Union Myanmar 2000-2001
Source: Industrial Structure in Myanmar using a new Estimated Input-Output Table (2000-2001), Nan Khie Su Thwin et al (2010)

(2010)

Table X.3.38 Inverse Matrix of the Union Myanmar 2000-2001

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agricultural | Livestock and Fishery | Forestry | Mining | Processing \& Manufacturing | Power | Construction | Transportation | Connmunication | Financial Sector | Social and Administrative Services | Rental and Other Services | Trade | column sum | Sensitivity of dispersion |
| 1 Agricultural | 1.080584 | 0.218729 | 0.203952 | 0.253607 | 0.697061 | 0.091239 | 0.324173 | 0.179380 | 0.090274 | 0.246513 | 0.259794 | 0.066190 | 0.110480 | 3.821976 | 2.211499 |
| 2 Livestock and Fishery | 0.014139 | 1.011052 | 0.007317 | 0.005661 | 0.015137 | 0.002162 | 0.007522 | 0.007477 | 0.002039 | 0.005381 | 0.005782 | 0.001791 | 0.002768 | 1.088229 | 0.629679 |
| 3 Forestry | 0.000571 | 0.002143 | 1.001539 | 0.001957 | 0.004918 | 0.002673 | 0.015057 | 0.003747 | 0.000764 | 0.001785 | 0.003042 | 0.002586 | 0.001425 | 1.042208 | 0.603050 |
| 4 Mining | 0.000185 | 0.000964 | 0.001010 | 1.002793 | 0.002794 | 0.094366 | 0.014185 | 0.003289 | 0.000843 | 0.001082 | 0.002410 | 0.000418 | 0.001107 | 1.125448 | 0.651215 |
| 5 Processing \& Manufacturing | 0.033166 | 0.317324 | 0.323815 | 0.414418 | 1.139238 | 0.149027 | 0.529511 | 0.291733 | 0.147507 | 0.402875 | 0.424523 | 0.105174 | 0.180405 | 4.458716 | 2.579934 |
| 6 Power | 0.000070 | 0.000693 | 0.001290 | 0.001725 | 0.001543 | 1.002817 | 0.001789 | 0.001334 | 0.004059 | 0.001065 | 0.002332 | 0.000480 | 0.000495 | 1.019692 | 0.590021 |
| 7 Construction | 0.009336 | 0.023876 | 0.022155 | 0.027748 | 0.039032 | 0.168781 | 1.048026 | 0.210351 | 0.015312 | 0.017532 | 0.111847 | 0.014019 | 0.057890 | 1.765906 | 1.021801 |
| 8 Transportation | 0.017315 | 0.095640 | 0.079843 | 0.101488 | 0.155144 | 0.071520 | 0.203187 | 1.087168 | 0.043096 | 0.062947 | 0.097874 | 0.032285 | 0.131914 | 2.179422 | 1.261072 |
| 9 Connmunication | 0.000448 | 0.002063 | 0.003780 | 0.004755 | 0.002565 | 0.005163 | 0.004014 | 0.003261 | 1.006868 | 0.002681 | 0.008925 | 0.002311 | 0.00683 | 1.053665 | 0.609679 |
| 10 Financial Sector | 0.000340 | 0.000395 | 0.000192 | 0.000243 | 0.000668 | 0.000087 | 0.000311 | 0.000172 | 0.000086 | 1.000236 | 0.000249 | 0.000062 | 0.000106 | 1.003148 | 0.580448 |
| 11 Social and Administrative Services | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 1.000000 | 0.578627 |
| 12 Rental and Other Services | 0.000093 | 0.000225 | 0.000118 | 0.000111 | 0.000207 | 0.000111 | 0.000476 | 0.000246 | 0.000036 | 0.000130 | 0.001692 | 1.001141 | 0.002479 | 1.007066 | 0.582716 |
| 13 Trade | 0.038833 | 0.093841 | 0.049034 | 0.046317 | 0.086424 | 0.046248 | 0.198219 | 0.102586 | 0.014864 | 0.053944 | 0.079862 | 0.058745 | 1.032581 | 1.901499 | 1.100259 |
| rowsum | 1.195081 | 1.766943 | 1.694045 | 1.860825 | 2.144731 | 1.634196 | 2.346471 | 1.890744 | 1.325749 | 1.796171 | 1.998334 | 1.285203 | 1.528481 |  |  |
| Power of dispersion | 0.691506 | 1.022401 | 0.980220 | 1.076724 | 1.241000 | 0.945590 | 1.357731 | 1.094036 | 0.767115 | 1.039313 | 1.156290 | 0.743654 | 0.884420 |  |  |

Source: JICA Survey Team
Table X.3.39 Labor Inducement Coefficient of the Union Myanmar 2000-2001

|  | Agricultural | 2Livestock and <br> Fishery | 3 Forestry | Mining | $\quad 5$ <br> Manufacturing | Power | 7 Construction | Transportation | Connmunication | 10 Financial Sector | 11 Social and Administrative Services | 12 Rental and Other Services | 13 Trade | column sum | Sensitivity of dispersion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Agricultural | 6.883320 | 1.393304 | 1.299174 | 1.615477 | 4.440279 | 0.581192 | 2.064982 | 1.142651 | 0.575045 | 1.570288 | 1.654888 | 0.421630 | 0.703758 | 24.345987 | 1.321110 |
| 2 Livestock and Fishery | 0.090065 | 6.440401 | 0.046609 | 0.036061 | 0.096423 | 0.013772 | 0.047915 | 0.047628 | 0.012988 | 0.034277 | 0.036831 | 0.011409 | 0.017632 | 6.932012 | 0.376159 |
| 3 Forestry | 0.003637 | 0.013651 | 6.379803 | 0.012466 | 0.031328 | 0.017027 | 0.095913 | 0.023868 | 0.004867 | 0.011370 | 0.019378 | 0.016473 | 0.009077 | 6.638859 | 0.360251 |
| 4 Mining | 0.000699 | 0.003644 | 0.003818 | 3.790558 | 0.010561 | 0.356703 | 0.053619 | 0.012432 | 0.003187 | 0.004090 | 0.009110 | 0.001580 | 0.004184 | 4.254186 | 0.230849 |
| 5 Processing \& Manufacturing | 0.077277 | 0.739365 | 0.754489 | 0.965594 | 2.654425 | 0.347233 | 1.233761 | 0.679738 | 0.343691 | 0.938699 | 0.989139 | 0.245055 | 0.420344 | 10.388808 | 0.563738 |
| 6 Power | 0.000482 | 0.004768 | 0.008875 | 0.011868 | 0.010616 | 6.899381 | 0.012308 | 0.009178 | 0.027926 | 0.007327 | 0.016044 | 0.003302 | 0.003406 | 7.015481 | 0.380688 |
| 7 Construction | 0.035944 | 0.091923 | 0.085297 | 0.106830 | 0.150273 | 0.649807 | 4.034900 | 0.809851 | 0.058951 | 0.067498 | 0.430611 | 0.053973 | 0.222877 | 6.798734 | 0.368926 |
| 8 Transportation | 0.116876 | 0.645570 | 0.538940 | 0.685044 | 1.047222 | 0.482760 | 1.371512 | 7.338384 | 0.290898 | 0.424892 | 0.660650 | 0.217924 | 0.890420 | 14.711092 | 0.798282 |
| 9 Connmunication | 0.005107 | 0.023518 | 0.043092 | 0.054207 | 0.029241 | 0.058858 | 0.045760 | 0.037175 | 11.478295 | 0.030563 | 0.101745 | 0.026345 | 0.077862 | 12.011770 | 0.651806 |
| 10 Financial Sector | 0.000629 | 0.000731 | 0.000355 | 0.000450 | 0.001236 | 0.000161 | 0.000575 | 0.000318 | 0.000159 | 1.850437 | 0.000461 | 0.000115 | 0.000196 | 1.855822 | 0.100704 |
| 11 Social and Administrative Services | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 37.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 37.000000 | 2.007767 |
| 12 Rental and Other Services | 0.003441 | 0.008325 | 0.004366 | 0.004107 | 0.007659 | 0.004107 | 0.017612 | 0.009102 | 0.001332 | 0.004810 | 0.062604 | 37.042217 | 0.091723 | 37.261405 | 2.021952 |
| 13 Trade | 1.436821 | 3.472117 | 1.814258 | 1.713729 | 3.197688 | 1.711176 | 7.334103 | 3.795682 | 0.549968 | 1.995928 | 2.954894 | 2.173565 | 38.205497 | 70.355426 | 3.817766 |
| rowsum | 8.654299 | 12.837316 | 10.979077 | 8.996389 | 11.676950 | 11.122178 | 16.312961 | 13.906009 | 13.347308 | 6.940180 | 43.936353 | 40.213589 | 40.646975 |  |  |
| Power of dispersion | 0.469617 | 0.696604 | 0.595768 | 0.488180 | 0.633638 | 0.603534 | 0.885206 | 0.754595 | 0.724278 | 0.376602 | 2.384162 | 2.182150 | 2.205667 |  |  |

Reference: Industrial Structure in Myanmar using a new Estimated Input-Output Table (2000-2001), Nan Khie Su Thwin et al (2010)
Agriculture Income Improvement Project
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## XI. 1 Objective of Analysis

A field survey for planting area and harvested area has substantial limitation of data collection and encounters difficulties with its measurement at specific points and further with appropriate data selection in numerous farmlands. In case of field survey based on existing land registration, the result may be accurate but it takes huge time and requires lots of effort into continuous observation.

To release constraint of conventional methods and raise its cost-effectiveness and its ability in observation, the remote sensing by satellites image has used for the estimation of planting area and harvested area. The sensor on the satellite gathers vegetation and water cover information on temporal and spatial scales.

This satellite imagery analysis is aimed to obtain the temporal data on irrigated areas as a baseline data, and clarify long term tendency of decreasing of irrigation through the comparative analysis between a recent year and a past year when the canal system under Thapanseik dam still had maintained its full function. For this purpose, the analysis was carried out in $2015 / 16,2013 / 14$ as recent years and 2008/09 as a past year.

## XI. 2 Target Area of Analysis



Figure XI.2.1 Target Area of Analysis

There is a spatial agricultural variation such as various cropping patterns in the target area of analysis (see Figure XI.2.1). The analysis focused on 10 townships (Ayadaw, Budalin, Kanbalu, Kin-U, Sagaing, Shwebo, Tabayin, Taze, Wetlet, and Ye-U township) which have 33,000 ha of land area as shown in Table IV.5.1. Triple cropping is dominant across the area, which is reflected by water availability from Thapanzeik dam irrigation system.

The global study of land cover (GlobCover 2009, ESA) has distinguished the rain fed cropland, irrigated cropland, and mosaic cropland / vegetation among the target area (see Figure IX.2.2). Based on GlobCover, the half of western part of the area which belongs to the lower reach of Right Main Canal (RMC) is recognized as rain-fed cropland because of the insufficient irrigation water. Likewise, in eastern part of the area covered by Shwebo Main Canal (SMC) and Old Mu canal (OMC) system, patches of rain-fed croplands are sporadically found out in the irrigated area. In the outside of the target area, the west margin consists of the upland with rain-fed croplands, while the eastern side is covered by the irrigated cropland by the neighboring canal system.


Source: GlobCover 2009 (ESA 2010) http://due.esrin.esa.int/page_globcover.php
Figure XI.2.2 Land-cover Type Classification and Project Area

## XI. 3 Landsat Image Data

## XI.3.1 Landsat 5 and Landsat 8

Among available satellites, Landsat 5 and Landsat 8 are equipped with Thematic Mapper (TM) and Operation Land Imager (OLI) respectively and applied for the analysis. Those sensors have the spatial resolution of 30 m with multi-spectral bands (seven for TM and nine for OLI respectively) and a short revisit interval (16 days).

Landsat 5 was launched in January 1984 and ended in 2013, while the data receiving of TM had stopped in 2011. For 28 years, Landsat 5 had delivered the data of Multispectral Scanner (MSS) and Thematic Mapper (TM) with eight spectral bands (1 to 8) as longest-operated earth observation satellite (see Table XI.3.1).

Landsat 8 was launched in February 2013 and carried the improved Operational Land Imager (OLI) sensor and Thermal Infra-Red Sensor (TIRS). The OLI sensor provides nine spectral bands (1 to 9), and TIRS provides two spectral bands (10 and 11) as shown in Table XI.3.1.

Table XI.3.1 Wavelength Range and Spatial Resolution of OLI, TIRS, and TM

| Band Number | Wave length range $(\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { m } )}$ | Band Number | Wave length range $(\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { m } )}$ | Resolution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OLI 1 | $0.433-0.453$ (coastal/aerosol) | N/A | - | 30 m |
| OLI 2 | $0.450-0.515(\mathrm{blue})$ | TM 1 | $0.45-0.52$ (blue) | 30 m |
| OLI 3 | $0.525-0.600$ (green) | TM 2 | $0.52-0.60$ (green) | 30 m |
| OLI 4 | $0.630-0.680$ (red) | TM 3 | $0.63-0.69(\mathrm{red})$ | 30 m |
| OLI 5 | $0.845-0.885($ NIR) | TM 4 | $0.76-0.90($ NIR) | 30 m |
| OLI 6 | $1.560-1.660($ SWIR-1) | TM 5 | $1.55-1.75($ SWIR-1) | 30 m |
| OLI 7 | $2.100-2.300($ SWIR-2) | TM 7 | $2.08-2.35($ SWIR-2) | 30 m |
| OLI 8 | $0.500-0.680($ Pan) | TM 8 | $(0.52-2.35)($ Pan) | 15 m |
| OLI 9 | $1.360-1.390($ Cirrus) | N/A | - | 30 m |
| TIRS 10 | $10.6-11.2($ LWIR-1) | TM 6 <br> (spans both) | $10.40-12.50($ LWIR) | 100 m |
| TIRS 11 | $11.5-12.5($ LWIR-2) | TM 6 <br> (spans both) |  | 100 m |

Within OLI 9 bands, the bands of the very shortest wavelengths (bands $1-4$ and 8 ) sense the visible light. The others are in parts of the invisible spectrum. The true-color view obtained from Landsat displays only half of what it senses. Six bands of OLI (band 2 to 7 ) are consistent with the TM bands (band 1 to 5 and 7). The band 1 and 9 of OLI make it possible to measure water resources ${ }^{1}$, investigate coastal zone, and improve the detection of cirrus clouds.

In the analysis, TM data have been used for 2008/09 and OLI data has been applied for 2008/09 and 2015/16 respectively.

## XI.3.2 Landsat Image Applied for the Analysis

## XI.3.2.1 Landsat Data

Although the analysis has used optical remote sensing data of Landsat TM and OLI to estimate the acreage of farmland in the area, in the regional-scale analysis, it is sometimes difficult to estimate the optimum stage of crops or paddy by these sensors because of limited supply of images. Landsat provides the images every 16 days with 30 m resolution, but they often contain clouds. It is sometimes hard to obtain multi-temporal cloud free images for identifying a detail stage of crops and paddy. In reality, the available image is solely acquired on monthly basis at the regional level.

[^4]On the other hand, plant-glowing is susceptible to changeable climate condition. The method of analysis therefore was considered as described in Chapter 5.

Landsat orbit is pre-defined by Landsat operation system with WRS; Worldwide Reference System ${ }^{2}$. In the vicinity of the area, their paths and rows run on the area, so that plural images are necessary to obtain one image of the month. In the Project area, four (4) scenes are overlapped at four directions of northwest (scene No. 4044), northeast (3044), southwest (4045) and southeast (3045) as shown in Figure XI.3.1.


Note: Scene numbers is given as a composite figure by WRS path and row, such as a data set taken at pass $13 \underline{4}$ and row $\underline{044}$ comes to 4044 of dataset. The availability of Landsat data for the analysis refers to Table XI.3.2 with the scene numbers.

For four (4) scenes in each month of target three (3) seasons, i.e. 2008/09, 2013/14 and 2015/16, data quality affected by cloud cover and cloud shadow were confirmed before pre-processing of the analysis. By verification of data quality in target years, the eight (8) timings for each year were selected. TM and OLI products were downloaded from Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center (https://eros.usgs.gov/) as shown in Table IX.3.2. The number of available scenes totaled 94 images, i.e. 32 each for 2008-09 and 2013-14 and 30 for 2015-16.

Figure XI.3.1 LANDSAT Data Sets of the Project Area

## XI.3.2.2 Data Processing

Acquired OLI and TM data are raw data and requires the calibration for proceeding the analysis. The calibration consisted of three (3) steps, i.e. 1) Radiometric Calibration, 2) Dark Subtraction, and 3) Seamless Mosaic.

## 1) Radiometric Calibration

The radiometric calibration attempts to compensate the radiometric errors from sensor's defects, variations in the scan angle, and system noise and produce an image which represents the true spectral radiance at the sensor location. It calibrates the imagery to radiance, reflectance, or brightness temperatures with available options depending on what the metadata of the imagery includes. Landsat Level-1 product, i.e. the raw data includes Metadata (MTL file) together with the image data.

[^5]Table XI.3.2 LANDSAT Data Quality and Applied Data Sets for the Analysis

| LANDSAT5_TM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{d}$ | LT5_13 |  |  |  | Mosaic sceane$\qquad$ |
|  | 4_044 | 4045 | 3_044 | 3_045 |  |
| 2008/9/16 | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| 2008/9/25 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2008/10/11 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ | 1(Oct) |
| 2008/10/18 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2008/10/27 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2008/11/3 | $\times$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2008/11/12 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ | 2(Nov) |
| 2008/11/19 | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2008/11/28 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/1/6 | O | $\triangle$ |  |  | 3(Jan) |
| 2009/1/15 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/1/22 | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |  |  | 4(jan) |
| 2009/1/31 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/2/7 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 5(Feb) |
| 2009/2/16 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/2/23 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 6(Mar) |
| 2009/3/4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/3/27 | $\times$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2009/3/20 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2009/4/12 | $\Delta$ | O |  |  | 7(Apr) |
| 2009/4/21 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2009/4/28 | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ |  |  | 8(May) |
| 2009/5/7 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2009/5/14 | $\times$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2009/5/23 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2009/5/30 | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |

Clould Cover O: sunny to scattered clouds
Clould Cover $\Delta$ : ligntly to partly cloudy

| LANDSAT8_OL |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{d}$ | LC8_13 |  |  |  | Mosaic sceanes |
|  | 4_044 | 4045 | 3_044 | 3_045 |  |
| 2013/10/9 |  |  |  | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2013/10/16 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2013/10/25 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 1(Nov) |
| 2013/11/1 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2013/11/17 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 2(Nov) |
| 2013/11/26 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2013/12/3 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2013/12/12 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 3(Dec) |
| 2013/12/19 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2013/12/28 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2014/1/4 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 4(Jan) |
| 2014/1/13 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/1/20 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2014/1/29 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |
| 2014/2/5 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 5(Feb) |
| 2014/2/14 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/2/21 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 6(Mar) |
| 2014/3/2 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/3/9 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2014/3/18 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/3/25 | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2014/4/3 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/4/10 | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |  |  | 7(Mar) |
| 2014/4/19 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2014/4/26 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2014/5/5 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2014/5/12 | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 8(Apr) |
| 2014/5/21 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2014/5/28 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |


| LANDSAT8_OLI |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{d}$ | LC8_13 |  |  |  | Mosaic sceanes |
|  | 4_044 | 4045 | 3_044 | 3_045 |  |
| 2015/10/6 | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| 2015/10/15 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | 1(Nov) |
| 2015/10/22 | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2015/10/31 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2015/11/7 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2015/11/16 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 2(Nov) |
| 2015/11/23 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2015/12/2 |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |
| 2015/12/9 | $\Delta$ | $\triangle$ |  |  | 3(Dec) |
| 2015/12/18 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2015/12/25 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/1/3 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/1/10 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 5(Jan) |
| 2016/1/19 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/1/26 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/2/4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/2/11 | $\Delta$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 6(Feb) |
| 2016/2/20 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/2/27 | $\times$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/3/7 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/3/14 | $\triangle$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/3/23 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 7(Mar) |
| 2016/3/30 | $\triangle$ | $\times$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/4/8 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 2016/4/15 | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/4/24 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | 8(May) |
| 2016/5/1 | $\Delta$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |
| 2016/5/10 |  |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |  |
| 2016/5/17 | $\times$ | $\triangle$ |  |  |  |

* Mark boulded shows the upper most layer of mosaic scenes


## 2) Dark Subtraction

Dark subtraction is to remove the effects of atmospheric scattering from an image by subtracting a pixel value that represents a background signature from each band. It is conducted by Band Minimum Subtraction to gain a minimum DN (Digital Number) value of each spectral band.

## 3) Seamless Mosaic

Seamless mosaic was conducted by overlapping four (4) images with given priority to 4044 scene which covers larger project area than the others $(4045,3044$, and 3045) as shown in Figure XI.3.2. Following the order, the individual bands were mosaicked with feathering technique to blend image boundaries with 15 pixel of distance.

Eight (8) mosaicked image were created for each year at almost one month interval from October to May. Finally, 24 periods of images were prepared for the analysis.


## XI. 4 Calculation of NDVI and NDWI

Using 24 images obtained from calibration of three-year images, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) were calculated by surface reactance of Blue, Red, Near Infrared (NIR), and Short Wave Infrared (SWIR) band.

The result of NDVI was used for the measurement of primary productivity which worked for determining the cropping area and the paddy area, while NDWI was applied to detect the water body and the submersion area before transplanting or seeding. The outlines of NDVI and NDWI are as follows.

## XI.4.1 NDVI

NDVI is sensitive to the active photosynthetic compounds and is therefore utilized for one way to measure the productivity of vegetation or greenness. NDVI is calculated by using the percent reflectance of two bands of the electromagnetic spectrum; the visible red ( $\mathrm{R}: 0.4-0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) and the near-infrared (NIR: $0.7-1.1 \mu \mathrm{~m})^{3}$. The difference between the spectral bands is divided by their sum. This calculation yields a value between -1 and 1 (Tucker and others, 1979, 1985).

As shown in Table XI.4.1, flowing formula is adopted with bands of OLI (2015/16, 20013/14) and TM (2008/2009), respectively.

$$
\mathrm{NDVI}=(\mathrm{NIR}-\mathrm{Red}) /(\mathrm{NIR}+\mathrm{Red})
$$

for Landsat 8(OLI)

$$
=(\text { band } 5 \text {-band } 4) /(\text { band } 5+\text { band } 4), \text { or }
$$

for Landsat 5(TM)

$$
=(\text { band } 4 \text {-band } 3) /(\text { band } 4+\text { band } 3)
$$

Because NDVI is a spectral measurement of the photosynthesis in a defined spatial area, the value generally increases throughout the growing season and then decreases during the plants' senescent period. In addition, NDVI can change from year to year ${ }^{4}$. The analysis of NDVI imageries in different timeframes have to be done with the carefulness. In Figure XI.4.1, monthly change of NDVI is shown as an example of verification data to check-up the crop growing process in 2015/16 season.

## XI.4.2 NDWI

The principle of NDWI is similar to NDVI. NDWI enhances the spectral reflectance of surface water bodies, which uses differences of two bands. In the analysis, Green and SWIR is applied with following formula (McFeeters, 1996) .

[^6]NDWI $\quad=($ Green-SWIR $) /$ Green+SWIR $)$,
for Landsat 8(OLI)

$$
=\text { NDWI }=(\text { band 3-band } 6) /(\text { band } 3+\text { band } 6), \text { or }
$$

for Landsat 5(TM)

$$
=\mathrm{NDWI}=(\text { band } 2 \text {-band } 5) /(\text { band } 2+\text { band } 5)
$$

NDWI offers the mean value in order to assess water bodies and the submersion of paddy, which can be used in conjunction with NDVI images to assess the context of apparent changing areas. In the changes of NDWI, the submersion appears from March after canal open as shown in Figure XI.4.2.

Table XI.4.1 Spectral Band of Landsat 8 and 5 Applied for NDVI and NDWI Calculation

| Landsat 8 | Band Name | Landsat 8 (OLI/TIRS) |  | Landsat 5 (TM) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Band Number | Wavelength ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | Band Number | Wavelength ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) |
| Operational | Coastal Aerosol | 1 | 0.433-0.453 | N/A | - |
|  | Blue | 2 | 0.45-0.515 | 1 | 0.45-0.520 |
| Land Imager (OLI) Compared to Landsat 5 Thamatic Mapper (TM) | Green | 3 | 0.525-0.600 | 2 | 0.520-0.600 |
|  | Red | 4 | 0.630-0.680 | 3 | 0.630-0.690 |
|  | Near Infrared (NIR) | 5 | 0.845-0.885 | 4 | 0.750-0.900 |
|  | SWIR 1 | 6 | 1.560-1.166 | 5 | 1.550-1.1750 |
|  | SWIR 2 | 7 | 2.100-2.300 | 7 | 2.080-2.350 |
|  | Panchromatic | 8 | 0.500-0.680 | N/A | - |
|  | Cirrus | 9 | 1.360-1.390 | N/A | - |
|  | Thermal Infrared (TIRS) 1 | 10 | 10.30-22.30 | 6(spans both) | 10.40-12.50 |
|  | Thermal Infrared (TIRS) 2 | 11 | 11.50-12.50 | 6(spans both) | 10.40-12.50 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NDVI and NDWI } \\ & \text { LANDSAT 8: } \mathrm{NDVI}(\mathrm{OLI})=(\text { Band5-Band4)/(Band5+Band4), } \\ & \text { LANDSAT } 5: \text { NDVI }(\mathrm{TM})=(\text { Band4-Band3 }) /(\text { Band4+Band3 }), \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{NDWI}(\mathrm{OLI})=(\mathrm{Ba} \\ & \mathrm{NDWI}(\mathrm{TM})=(\mathrm{Ba} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d3-Band6)/(Ba } \\ & \text { Id2-Band5)/(Ba } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d3+Band6) } \\ & \text { d2+Band5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Compares OLI spectral bands to Landsat 5/7' ${ }^{\prime}$ s TM/ETM+ band |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |




Figure XI.4.2 NDWI (Oct.2015-May.2016)

## XI. 5 Algorism for Identifying Paddy and Crops Area

In the analysis, Landsat OLI and TM images were applied to the landscape-scale interpretation. The images were acquired for three (3) years from October to May at Path of 133-134 and Row of 44-45. This area covers Thapanzeik dam irrigation system in Sagaing Region including 10 townships with the elevation which varies from 75 to 140 m . Mu river runs through the analysis area. Other surface water bodies include reservoirs, floodplain and agriculture middle of ponds.

## XI.5.1 Farmland Type Classification and Cropping Pattern

In view of land cover type classification for the area in 2015/16, approximately $91 \%$ ( $300,360 \mathrm{ha}$ ) of a total area $(328,699 \mathrm{ha})$ is occupied by farmland and remaining $9 \%(28,339 \mathrm{ha})$ is classified as non-farming area including open water and residential area, as shown in Table XI.5.1.

Table IX.5.1 Area of Farmland Type (Classified from NDVI from 2015 Oct to 216 May), ha

| District | Township | 1_Nonfarming area(1) | 2_Upland (semicultivated) | 3_Upland (cultivated area) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4_Sub Total } \\ (2+3) \end{gathered}$ | 5_Lowland (semiirrigable area) | 6_Lowland (irrigable paddy area) | 7_Sub Total $(5+6)$ | 8_Total of Farming Area (4+7) | 9_Total of Project Area (1+8) | ```% Farmland (8/9)``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 1,952 | 1,679 | 3,110 | 4,789 | 4,202 | 557 | 4,759 | 9,547 | 11,500 | 83\% |
| Monywa | Budalin | 1,153 | 2,046 | 3,155 | 5,201 | 1,471 | 247 | 1,718 | 6,918 | 8,071 | 86\% |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 2,729 | 2,318 | 5,267 | 7,585 | 11,446 | 7,492 | 18,938 | 26,524 | 29,253 | 91\% |
| Shwebpo | Khin U | 3,019 | 2,285 | 3,046 | 5,331 | 11,360 | 15,526 | 26,886 | 32,217 | 35,235 | 91\% |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 159 | 649 | 993 | 1,641 | 2,049 | 85 | 2,134 | 3,776 | 3,934 | 96\% |
| Shwebpo | Shwebo | 3,430 | 2,644 | 3,945 | 6,589 | 10,992 | 25,626 | 36,618 | 43,207 | 46,637 | 93\% |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 3,904 | 4,238 | 10,025 | 14,263 | 29,144 | 13,164 | 42,308 | 56,572 | 60,476 | 94\% |
| Shwebo | Taze | 6,999 | 5,031 | 9,611 | 14,642 | 12,248 | 8,968 | 21,215 | 35,857 | 42,856 | 84\% |
| Shwebpo | Wetlet | 1,525 | 2,267 | 4,440 | 6,707 | 18,423 | 22,185 | 40,607 | 47,315 | 48,840 | 97\% |
| Shwebo | Ye-U | 3,470 | 3,844 | 9,236 | 13,080 | 17,643 | 7,705 | 25,347 | 38,427 | 41,897 | 92\% |
|  | Total | 28,339 | 27,001 | 52,827 | 79,828 | 118,977 | 101,555 | 220,531 | 300,360 | 328,699 | 91\% |
| Note $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \\ & \\ & 5\end{aligned}$ | 1 Non-farming area (residence, woods, shrub, barren, shoalarea) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 Upland (semi-cultivated area, grassland, wetland•pond with waterweed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 Upland (cultivated area, orchard, small paddy and open woods) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 Lowland (semi-irrigable area (or temporary pumping), low range of NDVI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 Lowland (irrigable paddy area (by canal networks), high range of NDVI) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Agriculture in the Project area is dominated by double or triple cropping patterns consisting of monsoon paddy, winter crops, and summer paddy or summer crops. According to farmland type derived from Landsat OLI image showed in Figure XI.5.1, lowland (indicated as red color) which contained summer and monsoon paddy fields is intensively developed and centered upon irrigation canals. While the upland (indicated as light-green) consisting of crops and vegetables is located far from canals and is isolated from irrigation area.

In the lowland area, monsoon paddy is generally re-planted (replaced) by winter crops, summer crops, or summer paddy. In ordinary year, sowing of summer paddy commences at one (1) month later after canal-open which is annually scheduled in February. Even in seed-bed nurseries, the transplanting is completed at least by the end of April. After seeding or transplanting, it grows over for three (3) to four (4) months until the harvest in July.

Simultaneously, in the upland area, summer crops are seeded from February to April and are re-planted (re-placed) in August by monsoon rice with short drainage/dry periods.

Along with flooding plain, orchards and commercial vegetables are cultivated through seasons.


Souse: Study team, delineated from Landsat OLI (Oct2015/May16)

Taking consideration of farmland classification and related cycle of crops, the cropping pattern is simplified for the analysis (refer to Section "3.3 Agriculture in the Project Area" in Main Report). In Figure XI.5.2, cropping pattern for the analysis is shown with acquired timing of Landsat images and NDVI changes.


Figure XI.5.2 Cropping Pattern and Available Landsat Images

## XI.5.2 Procedure of Detecting Planting Area

According to the above cropping pattern and available Landsat images, the procedure to detect planting area is introduced for the respective crops as follows.

Monsoon paddy: NDVI of monsoon paddy reaches its maximum in November and abruptly decreases in December or January after its harvesting. The rice planting area is thus detected by the difference between before and after harvesting (October and February). The difference of NDVI between them indicates its planting area with the threshold; 'mean - $1 \mathrm{x} \sigma$ ' which resulted in the ground truth survey, i.e. the field survey mentioned in Chapter 6. ( $\sigma$ : Standard Deviation of the difference of NDVI)

Winter crops: Winter crops are not cultivated by canal water and are exclusively planted in flooding plain along with Mu River and in groundwater utilization area which is mainly located at the border and southern part of the Project area. Winter crops are distributed especially in February because of lower level of the distribution of other crops (monsoon/ summer paddy and summer crops). Based on the ground truth survey and histogram pattern of NDVI, threshold is obtained as ' 0.39 '.
Summer paddy: The area of summer paddy is characterized by submersion condition with irrigation water. The submersion of summer paddy starts in February together with canal-open and
continues at least until May. The end of the submersion can be recognized by closing water surface by rice canopy. Its plating area is detected by calculating NDWI in April (or May). The threshold is determined as NDWI $=-0.08$ by the ground truth survey.
Summer crops: Summer crops are planted in March to April which is same period as those of summer paddy. To detect its planted area, as first step, total area of summer crops and paddy is once obtained by image-difference of NDVI between April (or May) and February. Then, it is reduced by the area of summer paddy. Threshold is obtained as 'mean $+0.66 \mathrm{x} \sigma$ ' by verification survey in filed.
Figure XI. 5.3 shows the applied algorism for calculation of planting area of paddy and crops, which was resulted by the ground truth survey conducted in January to February 2017.


## Figure XI.5.3 Algorism for Identifying Paddy and Crop Area

Because of limited acquisition of Landsat data compared to the congested farming activity in the season, a constant value may not be applied as threshold for all the cases which covers some years and seasons. In accordance with the susceptible condition of the satellites image, the statistical approach is better to be applied with the standard deviation of the mean of the values for explaining the annual variation of farming area.
In the case of monsoon and summer crops, seasonal and annual change in NDVI occurs in large portion of the Project area. The distribution of the pixel values indicated as skews, so that the threshold (a coefficient multiply standard deviation) was empirically adjusted through a comparative works in the field survey.

## XI. 6 Field Survey

The ground truth survey was carried out in January 2017. Prior to the survey, the daft map of farmland area was prepared by NDVI statistical analysis. The map had been verified through comparative works to current status at the selected points as respective farmlands.


Figure IX.6.1 Field Survey Points and Area Landscape in Jan - Feb 2017
At each survey point, the local farmers were interviewed about the current land-use and recent farming activity, e.g. crop types and their planting/harvesting date. The number of survey points were about 70 , which covered the analyzed area and respective farmland types as shown in Figure XI.6.1.

Through this comparative survey, threshold for non-farming area was determined as 0.29 for the range of NDVI (maximum change of image-difference in eight (8) month from October to May). The information of farming activity was also collected to determine aforesaid thresholds for image-differencing changes to detect planting area for respective crops.

In following sub-sections, relationship between NDVI, NDWI and field condition is described.

## XI.6.1 NDVI Changes and Cropping Cycle

During the field survey, farmers were interviewed about planted timing and its cultivated period especially of 2015/2016. Through the survey, the Team collected information about the cropping pattern in the typical cropping area as follows:

## Cropping Pattern

Survey Point (see Figure XI.6.2)
a) Monsoon paddy (shwebo-posan) $\rightarrow$ Summer crops, $\quad 2,3,7$
b) Monsoon paddy (shwebo-posan) $\quad \rightarrow$ Summer paddy, $\quad 5,6$
c) Monsoon paddy (other variety) $\quad \rightarrow$ Winter crop $\rightarrow$ Summer crop, $\quad 1,8$
d) Monsoon paddy (other variety) $\quad \rightarrow$ Summer crop 4
e) Monsoon paddy (other variety or shwebo-posan)

To confirm relationship between cropping pattern and NDVI value, changes of NDVI at survey points
was delineated in Figure XI.6.2. The temporal trend of NDVI fluctuated and had clear peaks at monsoon, winter, and summer season. They are correlated to as three (3) growing season; monsoon paddy (October to January), winter crop (January to March) and summer crop and summer paddy (March to May) in the survey area.

Besides, even in the same season, NDVI level is classified into two parts. First, in the case of monsoon paddy, there is a correlation between higher NDVI and Shwebo Powsan cultivated area, while lower NDVI in general corresponds to the early ripening paddy variety (e.g. IR 747 at point 4 ). As for winter and summer season, plots of summer crops (green gram, sesame, etc.) are identified as higher NDVI than that of paddy field.

The minimum range of NDVI (max.-min.value) calculated by survey points was about 0.3 , which is the same level as threshold (i.e.0.29) of non-farming / farming area.

Changes of NDVI (Oct 2015 - Apr 2016)


Location map


## Figure IX.6.2 NDVI Changes and Cropping Cycle

## XI.6.2 Spatial Distribution of NDVI with Multiple Peaks

In the case of monsoon paddy in 2015/16 season, northwestern part of the survey area includes lots of early-harvesting plots for coming cropping. On the other hand, at the same period, the southeastern part still remains in growing stage, i.e. one to two month before harvesting. Also in summer season, paddy and other crops were simultaneously planted, however, their growing peaks and harvesting times were different each other. In this condition, the spatial distribution of NDVI was not monotonous for all the survey area, so that it probably describes compound curves with multiple NDVI peaks. The condition also presented as various shapes of NDVI histogram in Figure XI.6.3.


Figure IX.6.3 NDVI Histogram (2015/16 and 2008/09)

Out of histograms drawn for October to May, only two month, February to March, were regarded as the normal distribution reflected by a quiet period of sowing and transplanting stage. Toward growing period, they grew into the non-normal distribution and skewed further until harvesting. Besides, the changes between different years were also huge due to climate condition and other factors.

As a result of field survey correlated with NDVI, a routine method which applies a constant threshold to NDVI data is hard to be employed for an estimation of cropping area especially over multiple years. Instead, statistical approach for image-difference (e.g. before/after harvesting) is judged to be applied for the analysis.

## XI.6.3 Spatial Distribution of NDWI and Summer Paddy

Thapanseik reservoir releases irrigation water from the beginning of February every year. After canal opening, irrigation water gradually flows down and spreads over irrigable area by the end of March. Crop planting then commences from the middle of February and in general terminates by the end of April. Regarding the summer cropping area located in downstream of canal network, the irrigation water is just once supplied to plots for seeding time and is interrupted in growing period. On the other hand, for summer paddy located nearby main canals, irrigation water is supplied continuously beyond March.

Spatial distribution of NDWI shows the range of water distribution after canal open (see Figure XI.6.4). In the field survey, higher rage of NDWI in April (indicated as "green color") was judged as correlative key to submersion area of summer paddy. The threshold was determined through cross-checking between NDWI value and actual location of paddies at survey points.


Figure IX.6.4 Spatial Distribution and Changes of NDWI (2015/16)

## XI. 7 Quantitative Evaluation of Paddy and Crops

Quantitative evaluation was done for three (3) years, i.e. 2008/09, 2013/14 and 2015/16 with following steps, 1) detection of farming area, 2) detection of planting area of respective crops and paddy 3 ) statistical analysis by administration boundary. The details of quantitative evaluation are described in following subsections.

## XI.7.1 Detection of Farming Area

Farming area was detected by NDVI and NDWI of a season. As for NDVI, the difference between October and May was calculated. The exceeding range was extracted with threshold of 0.29 . The exceeding area was once extracted as a 'temporary farmland' which may include water area such as lakes, ponds, and rivers other than farming area.

While NDWI was applied for extraction of 'water area', Applying 0.8 of threshold, higher part than threshold value was regarded as 'water area'.

Farming area is then obtained by subtracting 'water area' from 'temporary farmland' which is previously obtained from NDVI image.

In Figure XI.7.1, the spread of farming area in 2015/16 and the calculation process with histograms of NDVI and NDWI is shown.

## XI.7.2 Detection of Planting Area of Crops and Paddy

Crop planting area was calculated based on algorism determined by local farming condition of cropping pattern and the climate (see Figure XI.5.3). As described in Chapter 5, the area of monsoon paddy was estimated based on the change detection method between pre-harvest (October) and post-harvest (February). For summer paddy, planting area is regarded as higher range of NDWI which reflects a liquid water content of paddy just after sowing or transplanting. As for area of summer crops, it was clarified by comparison of NDVI values between two dates, i.e. pre-planting (February) and post-planting or glowing stage (May). Firstly, the cropping area was obtained by the difference of NDVI, and it was then subtracted by area of summer paddy. The distribution of crops


Figure IX.7.1 Farming Area in 2015/16 w/ Histogram of NDVI/NDWI and paddy in 2008/09, 2013/14, and 2015/16 are as follows.

2008/09 (refer to Table XI.7.2): 2008/09 season had normal rain fall of $1,200 \mathrm{~mm}$. Monsoon paddy was extended to 272,630 ha ( 673,683 acre) without narrow strip of floodplains of Mu River. On the other hand, 11,842 ha ( 29,263 acre) of winter crops are limitedly detected along Mu River and southern area of the Project area, depending on rainwater and river runoff in/after monsoon season. In summer season, paddy area covers 154,876 ha ( 382,706 acre) of $78 \%$ of

Thapanzeik dam irrigation area, while summer crops laid sporadically along Old Mu canal and southern area and its area is small as $11,446 \mathrm{ha}$ ( 28,284 acre).

20013/14 (refer to Table XI.7.3): This season stood for a long draught succeeded from previous year of 2012, and had water shortage as was about $60 \%$ of average rainfall, so that cropping pattern was different from normal years'. Due to insufficient rainwater in particular north part of the analysis area, monsoon paddy decreased to 249,906 ha ( 617,532 acre). It was predicted that monsoon paddy was re-planted earlier by winter crops nearby Mu River and ground water sources (see southern end of the analysis area in Figure XI.7.3). Summer paddy was also laid on limited area because of insufficient water from Thapanzeik dam. The irrigated area was 105,590 ha ( 260,919 acre). Summer crops were detected as 25,501 ha ( 63,014 acre).

2015/16(refer to Table XI.7.4): This season received sufficient rainfall more than $160 \%$ of average year's, so that monsoon paddy was extended to 266,895 ha ( 659,512 acre). At the rest of monsoon paddy, the area of winter crops was brought up to 29,659 ha ( 73,288 acre) which is centered upon Mu River. As for irrigated land in summer season, 108,822 ha ( 268,904 acre) of summer paddy were re-planted after monsoon paddy (or some winter crops). In the detached area from canals system, summer crops were cultivated in 37,048 ha ( 91,546 acre). This may be caused by insufficient irrigation supply.

In Figure XI7.2 to XI.7.4, spatial distribution of monsoon paddy, winter crops, summer crops and summer paddy are shown respectively.


Figure XI.7.2 Crop Planted Area (2008-09)



Figure XI.7.3 Crop Planted Area (2013-14)


Figure XI.7.4 Planted Areas of Crops (2015-16)

## XI.7.3 Statistical Analysis with Administration Boundary



Figure XI.7.5 Village and Township Boundary

The planting area estimated by binary change detection was classified with administration boundaries. With village tracts and townships boundary as shown in Figure XI.7.5, the area enclosed by each boundary was calculated to compare itself to the existing agriculture statistics prepared by IWUMD, MOALI.

Since the statistical information, as for the irrigation area of Thapanzeik dam, is solely available, the correlation between the analysis' and the statistics data had to be based on whole the analysis area as shown in Table XI.7.1, XI.7.2, XI.7.3 and XI.7.4.

## XI.7.3.1 Evaluation of Analysis Result

There are four (4) cropping areas distinguished by Landsat imagery in the analysis (or irrigation) area as aforesaid. However, in the existing agriculture statistics, two (2) cropping areas, i.e. winter crop and a part of monsoon paddy which is planted outside of the irrigation area is not complied.

Therefore the two (2) cropping areas of summer paddy and summer crop was compared. As a result of the comparison, the difference remained at $1 \%$ to $11 \%$ even in a variation of hydrological condition for three (3) years, i.e. $9 \%$ in normal rainfall (2008/09), $11 \%$ in dry year(2013/14) and $2 \%$ in wet year(2015/16) respectively.

Table XI.7.1 Cropping Area and Comparison B/T Analysis and Statistics

| Comparison between study and agriculture statistic (DOA) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: ha |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | 2008/2009 |  |  | 2013/2014 |  |  | 2015/2016 |  |  |
| Source | a) Study | b) DOA | a/b | a) Study | b) DOA | a/b | a) Study | b) DOA | a/b |
| Monsoon paddy | 272,630 | 197,016 | 138\% | 249,906 | 197,715 | 126\% | 266,895 | 198,296 | 135\% |
| Winter crop | 11,842 | 0 |  | 44,248 | 0 | 0 | 29,659 | 0 |  |
| Summer crop | 11,446 | 0 |  | 25,501 |  |  | 37,048 | 37,714 | 98\% |
| Summer paddy | 154,876 | 141,791 | 1090 | 105,590 | 119,242 | 89\% | 108,822 | 108,138 | 101\% |
| Comparison between study and agriculture statistic (DOA) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit: acre |  |  |
| Year | 2008/2009 |  |  | 2013/2014 |  |  | 2015/2016 |  |  |
| Source | a) Study | b) DOA | a/b | a) Study | b) DOA | a/b | a) Study | b) DOA | /b |
| Monsoon paddy | 673,683 | 486,836 | 138\% | 617,532 | 488,565 | 126\% | 659,512 | 490,001 | 135\% |
| Winter crop | 29,263 | 0 |  | 109,340 | 0 | 0 | 73,288 | 0 | - |
| Summer crop | 28,284 | 0 |  | 63,014 | 0 | 0 | 91,546 | 93,193 | 98\% |
| Summer paddy | 382,706 | 350,372 | 109\% | 260,919 | 294,654 | 89\% | 268,904 | 267,216 | 101\% |

Agriculture Income Improvement Project

| District | Township | Total of Farming Area $(2008 / 09)$ | Monsoon paddy <br> (Oct/2008) | \% in Total Farming Area | Winter crop (Feb/2009) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer crop (May/2009) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer paddy (May/2009) | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 9,097 | 8,243 | 91\% | 64 | 1\% | 179 | 2\% | 1,177 | 13\% | 9,664 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 2,075 | 2,004 | 97\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 0\% | 34 | 2\% | 2,039 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 25,523 | 23,797 | 93\% | 362 | 1\% | 1,161 | 5\% | 10,673 | 42\% | 35,994 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 32,324 | 30,557 | 95\% | 1,356 | 4\% | 2,638 | 8\% | 18,123 | 56\% | 52,673 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 3,881 | 3,401 | 88\% | 486 | 13\% | 1 | 0\% | 336 | 9\% | 4,225 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 43,264 | 42,118 | 97\% | 1,400 | 3\% | 2,811 | 6\% | 31,380 | 73\% | 77,708 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 53,858 | 52,541 | 98\% | 917 | 2\% | 1,488 | 3\% | 33,049 | 61\% | 87,995 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 34,865 | 30,605 | 88\% | 2,010 | 6\% | 1,129 | 3\% | 14,661 | 42\% | 48,406 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 46,671 | 43,849 | 94\% | 4,326 | 9\% | 918 | 2\% | 25,772 | 55\% | 74,865 |
| Shwebo | Ye-U | 37,153 | 35,516 | 96\% | 920 | 2\% | 1,119 | 3\% | 19,671 | 53\% | 57,226 |
| Total |  | 288,710 | 272,630 | 94\% | 11,842 | 4\% | 11,446 | 4\% | 154,876 | 54\% | 450,795 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 197,016 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 141,791 |  | 338,806 |
| Deference b | DOA \& Survey |  | 75,615 |  | 11,842 |  | 11,446 |  | 13,085 |  | 111,989 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 138\% |  | - |  | - |  | 109\% |  | 133\% |

Table XI.7.2 (2/2) Cropping Area in Each Township in 2008-2009 (unit: acre)

| District | Township | Total of Farming Area (2008/09) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Monsoon } \\ \text { paddy } \\ \text { (Oct/2008) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% in Total Farming Area | Winter crop (Feb/2009) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer crop (May/2009) | \% in Total Farming Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ \text { paddy } \\ \text { (May/2009) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 22,480 | 20,369 | 91\% | 159 | 1\% | 443 | 2\% | 2,909 | 13\% | 23,880 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 5,127 | 4,951 | 97\% | 1 | 0\% | 4 | 0\% | 84 | 2\% | 5,040 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 63,068 | 58,804 | 93\% | 895 | 1\% | 2,869 | 5\% | 26,375 | 42\% | 88,944 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 79,874 | 75,507 | 95\% | 3,350 | 4\% | 6,518 | 8\% | 44,782 | 56\% | 130,158 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 9,591 | 8,405 | 88\% | 1,202 | 13\% | 3 | 0\% | 830 | 9\% | 10,440 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 106,907 | 104,076 | 97\% | 3,459 | 3\% | 6,945 | 6\% | 77,541 | 73\% | 192,022 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 133,085 | 129,831 | 98\% | 2,266 | 2\% | 3,677 | 3\% | 81,666 | 61\% | 217,441 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 86,154 | 75,627 | 88\% | 4,967 | 6\% | 2,790 | 3\% | 36,229 | 42\% | 119,614 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 115,327 | 108,353 | 94\% | 10,691 | 9\% | 2,269 | 2\% | 63,683 | 55\% | 184,997 |
| Shwebo | Ye-U | 91,806 | 87,762 | 96\% | 2,274 | 2\% | 2,766 | 3\% | 48,608 | 53\% | 141,411 |
| Total |  | 713,419 | 673,683 | 94\% | 29,263 | 4\% | 28,284 | 4\% | 382,706 | 54\% | 1,113,938 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 486,836 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 350,372 |  | 837,208 |
| Deference b/t DOA \& Survey |  |  | 186,847 |  | 29,263 |  | 28,284 |  | 32,334 |  | 276,730 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 138\% |  | - |  | - |  | 109\% |  | 133\% |


| District | Township | Total of Farming Area $(2013 / 14)$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Monsoon } \\ \text { paddy } \\ \text { (Oct/2013) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% in Total Farming Area | Winter crop (Feb/2014) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer crop (May/2014) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer paddy (May/2014) | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 9,828 | 7,824 | 80\% | 1,038 | 11\% | 842 | 9\% | 568 | 6\% | 10,271 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 6,166 | 4,456 | 72\% | 249 | 4\% | 10 | 0\% | 55 | 1\% | 4,771 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 25,934 | 23,115 | 89\% | 2,845 | 11\% | 2,992 | 12\% | 7,169 | 28\% | 36,121 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 32,216 | 28,937 | 90\% | 4,186 | 13\% | 4,119 | 13\% | 13,173 | 41\% | 50,415 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 3,146 | 1,734 | 55\% | 1,439 | 46\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 0\% | 3,174 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 43,358 | 40,275 | 93\% | 5,769 | 13\% | 4,996 | 12\% | 23,824 | 55\% | 74,865 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 54,549 | 45,999 | 84\% | 5,226 | 10\% | 4,662 | 9\% | 19,890 | 36\% | 75,777 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 35,634 | 26,704 | 75\% | 10,223 | 29\% | 2,116 | 6\% | 9,529 | 27\% | 48,572 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 46,057 | 38,569 | 84\% | 8,132 | 18\% | 2,901 | 6\% | 17,153 | 37\% | 66,756 |
| Shwebo | $\mathrm{Ye}-\mathrm{U}$ | 37,106 | 32,293 | 87\% | 5,140 | 14\% | 2,862 | 8\% | 14,227 | 38\% | 54,523 |
| Total |  | 293,993 | 249,906 | 85\% | 44,248 | 15\% | 25,501 | 9\% | 105,590 | 36\% | 425,246 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 197,715 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 119,242 | 0 | 354,671 |
| Deference | t DOA/Survey |  | 52,191 |  | 44,248 |  | 25,501 |  | -13,652 |  | 70,574 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 126\% |  | - |  | - |  | 89\% |  | 120\% |

Table XI.7.3 (2/2) Cropping Area in Each Township in 2013-2014 (unit: acre)

| District | Township | Total of Farming Area (2015/16) | Monsoon paddy (Oct/2015) | \% in Total Farming Area | Winter crop (Feb/2014) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer crop (May/2016) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer paddy (May/2016) | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 24,286 | 19,334 | 80\% | 2,564 | 11\% | 2,080 | 9\% | 1,403 | 6\% | 25,382 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 15,237 | 11,011 | 72\% | 616 | 4\% | 26 | 0\% | 137 | 1\% | 11,791 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 64,084 | 57,118 | 89\% | 7,031 | 11\% | 7,393 | 12\% | 17,716 | 28\% | 89,259 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 79,607 | 71,505 | 90\% | 10,345 | 13\% | 10,177 | 13\% | 32,552 | 41\% | 124,580 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 7,774 | 4,285 | 55\% | 3,555 | 46\% | 1 | 0\% | 2 | 0\% | 7,844 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 107,139 | 99,522 | 93\% | 14,256 | 13\% | 12,346 | 12\% | 58,871 | 55\% | 184,996 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 134,794 | 113,667 | 84\% | 12,913 | 10\% | 11,521 | 9\% | 49,149 | 36\% | 187,250 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 88,053 | 65,986 | 75\% | 25,262 | 29\% | 5,229 | 6\% | 23,547 | 27\% | 120,025 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 113,808 | 95,305 | 84\% | 20,096 | 18\% | 7,169 | 6\% | 42,386 | 37\% | 164,958 |
| Shwebo | Ye-U | 91,692 | 79,799 | 87\% | 12,702 | 14\% | 7,072 | 8\% | 35,156 | 38\% | 134,729 |
| Total |  | 726,473 | 617,532 | 85\% | 109,340 | 15\% | 63,014 | 9\% | 260,919 | 36\% | 1,050,805 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 488,565 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 294,654 |  | 876,412 |
| Deference | It DOA/ Survey |  | 128,967 |  | 109,340 |  | 63,014 |  | -33,736 |  | 174,393 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 126\% |  | - |  | - |  | 89\% |  | 120\% |

Note: Total farming area is estimated by analysis of Landsat Imageries taken Oct. 2013-May. 2014
Agriculture Income Improvement Project

| District | Township | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total of } \\ \text { Farming Area } \\ (2015 / 16) \end{gathered}$ | Monsoon paddy (Oct/2015) | \% in Total Farming Area | Winter crop (Feb/2016) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer crop (May/2016) | \% in Total Farming Area | Summer paddy (May/2016) | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 9,547 | 8,345 | 87\% | 483 | 5\% | 198 | 2\% | 520 | 5\% | 9,546 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 6,918 | 5,214 | 75\% | 146 | 2\% | 33 | 0\% | 222 | 3\% | 5,615 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 26,524 | 23,376 | 88\% | 1,778 | 7\% | 2,698 | 10\% | 9,668 | 36\% | 37,520 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 32,217 | 29,889 | 93\% | 2,716 | 8\% | 2,472 | 8\% | 16,605 | 52\% | 51,682 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 3,776 | 1,911 | 51\% | 1,293 | 34\% | 11 | 0\% | 133 | 4\% | 3,348 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 43,207 | 41,536 | 96\% | 2,416 | 6\% | 10,196 | 24\% | 21,559 | 50\% | 75,707 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 56,572 | 52,731 | 93\% | 4,289 | 8\% | 5,938 | 10\% | 19,368 | 34\% | 82,326 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 35,857 | 26,616 | 74\% | 8,098 | 23\% | 2,920 | 8\% | 13,729 | 38\% | 51,363 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 47,315 | 43,406 | 92\% | 3,602 | 8\% | 11,013 | 23\% | 10,808 | 23\% | 68,829 |
| Shwebo | $\mathrm{Ye}-\mathrm{U}$ | 38,427 | 33,871 | 88\% | 4,838 | 13\% | 1,568 | 4\% | 16,209 | 42\% | 56,486 |
| Total |  | 300,360 | 266,895 | 89\% | 29,659 | 10\% | 37,048 | 12\% | 108,822 | 36\% | 442,424 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 198,296 |  | 0 |  | 37,714 |  | 108,138 | 0 | 344,149 |
| Deference Survey | b/t DOA \& |  | 68,599 |  | 29,659 |  | -666 |  | 684 |  | 98,275 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 135\% |  | - |  | 98\% |  | 101\% |  | 129\% |

## Table XI. 7.4 (2/2) Cropping Area in Each Township in 2015-2016 (unit: acre)

| District | Township | Total of Farming Area (2015/16) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Monsoon } \\ \text { paddy } \\ \text { (Oct/2015) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% in Total } \\ \text { Farming } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Winter } \\ \text { crop } \\ \text { (Feb/2016) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \% in Total } \\ \text { Farming } \\ \text { Area } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ \text { crop } \\ \text { (May/2016) } \end{gathered}$ | \% in Total Farming Area | $\begin{gathered} \text { Summer } \\ \text { paddy } \\ \text { (May/2016) } \end{gathered}$ | \% in Total Farming Area | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monywa | Ayadaw | 23,592 | 20,621 | 87\% | 1,193 | 5\% | 489 | 2\% | 1,286 | 5\% | 23,590 |
| Monywa | Budalin | 17,096 | 12,883 | 75\% | 360 | 2\% | 81 | 0\% | 549 | 3\% | 13,874 |
| Kanbalu | Kanbalu | 65,542 | 57,763 | 88\% | 4,393 | 7\% | 6,667 | 10\% | 23,891 | 36\% | 92,715 |
| Shwebo | Kin U | 79,609 | 73,858 | 93\% | 6,711 | 8\% | 6,109 | 8\% | 41,033 | 52\% | 127,712 |
| Sagaing | Sagaing | 9,330 | 4,723 | 51\% | 3,196 | 34\% | 27 | 0\% | 328 | 4\% | 8,275 |
| Shwebo | Shwebo | 106,767 | 102,639 | 96\% | 5,969 | 6\% | 25,196 | 24\% | 53,274 | 50\% | 187,079 |
| Shwebo | Tabayin | 139,791 | 130,302 | 93\% | 10,599 | 8\% | 14,673 | 10\% | 47,860 | 34\% | 203,435 |
| Shwebo | Taze | 88,605 | 65,769 | 74\% | 20,011 | 23\% | 7,216 | 8\% | 33,924 | 38\% | 126,921 |
| Shwebo | Wetlet | 116,917 | 107,258 | 92\% | 8,900 | 8\% | 27,214 | 23\% | 26,708 | 23\% | 170,081 |
| Shwebo | Ye-U | 94,955 | 83,696 | 88\% | 11,956 | 13\% | 3,874 | 4\% | 40,052 | 42\% | 139,579 |
| Total |  | 742,204 | 659,512 | 89\% | 73,288 | 10\% | 91,546 | 12\% | 268,904 | 36\% | 1,093,251 |
| Total(DOA) |  |  | 490,001 |  | 0 |  | 93,193 |  | 267,216 |  | 850,410 |
| Deference b | DOA \& Survey |  | 169,511 |  | 73,288 |  | -1,647 |  | 1,688 |  | 242,841 |
| \% of Survey/DOA's |  |  | 135\% |  | - |  | 98\% |  | 101\% |  | 129\% |

As for a comparison of monsoon paddy, about 270,000 ha ( 670,000 acre) has been cultivated in normal hydrological year. It exceeded the figure of the existing statistics and accounted for $125 \%$ to $140 \% .12,000$ to 44,000 ha ( 30,000 to 110,000 acre) of winter crop was calculated in the three (3) analysis years.

## XI.7.3.2 Planted Area of Each Village Tract

Planted area of crops and paddy was calculated by village tracts. There are more than 300 village tracts in the analysis area. In the monsoon season, the paddy field extensively spreads over every village. 85 to $94 \%$ of their farmland was recognized as the monsoon paddy ( $85 \%$ in dry year of 2013/14 and $94 \%$ of wet year of 2015/16). After the monsoon season, a second crop is planted and farmers shift to dual (or triple in part) cropping. However, due to available water source, its spatial and time-series distribution had been changed as shown in Figure XI.7.6. The annual cropping patterns with village tract based distribution can be described as follows.

## 1) $2008 / 09$ (Normal Year)

The cropping pattern in 2008/09 was a monotype. Farmers in most village tracts were engaged in dual cropping consisting of monsoon paddy and summer paddy. In the village tracts located at the end of canal system, single cropping of monsoon paddy is dominant due to insufficient irrigation water in dry season.

## 2) 2013/14 (Dry Year)

Because of dry year, cropping pattern was different from the other years. The winter crops occupied the large portion of the central strip along with Mu River and border area. The rest of the area located near canals shows the same dual cropping pattern with monsoon and summer paddy as that of 2008/09. In some area which supplement water sources such as groundwater and seepage water from rivers or their tributaries, triple cropping consisting of monsoon paddy, winter crops and summer paddy had been observed.

## 3) $\quad \mathbf{2 0 1 5 / 1 6}$ (Wet Year)

The tendency of $2015 / 16$ was the same as those of the other years. The monsoon paddy was laid widely on every village tracts and covered $89 \%$ of farmland. Besides, the four (4) types of cropping pattern were recognized.

Single cropping: Only monsoon paddy was cultivated because of in-sufficient irrigation water. It lay at the end of right main canal (RMC) and the south-western part of the project area.

Dual cropping (monsoon paddy / summer paddy): This pattern occupied majority of the area and was observed nearby old mu canal (OMC) and the upper portion of Shwebo main canal (SMC), ye-U main canal (YMC), and right main canal (RMC). It accounted for about $60 \%$ of the analysis area and has not been unchanged since 2008/09 because of the sufficient water for summer paddy until July.

Dual cropping (monsoon paddy / summer crops): This area was centered upon Wetlet township and the west part of Shwebo township, which was located at the lower reach of Shwebo main canal (SMC) to left bank of Mu River. This area correlated with the fields where irrigation water was not supplied continuously but was interrupted at the begging of canal open period, i.e. February to March.

Triple or Dual cropping (monsoon paddy / wither crops / summer paddy): This area is limited to flooding area of Mu river located in the east part of Taze and the southwest part of Ye-U and Kin-U.

Through (3) three years analysis, above mentioned changes of cropping pattern may be regarded as being induced by various condition such as the availability of water recourses, the limitation by climate changes and also facility deterioration of 17 year-old irrigation system.


Figure XI.7.6 Annual Cropping Pattern of Village Tracts in 3 Years

## XI.8. Detailed Work Procedures for the Analysis

The following table shows the detail of the procedure applied for the analysis.
Table XI.8.1 Detailed Work Procedure for Satellites Image Analysis

| No | Category | Work Items | Contents | Work Steps and Procedure | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Preparation | Preparation | Preparation for analysis tools Brush-up of work contents | (1)Brush-up of required work contents and necessary work flow <br> (2)Preparation of analysis tools and arranging analysis environment | - |
| 2-1 | Data <br>  <br> Data <br> acquisition | Landsat data | Acquisition of satellite imagery from Oct to May <br> - Landsat-8 <br> OL1/TIRS V1 <br> - Landsat-5 TM | Downloading satellite image data located at row44-45 / path133-134 from LPDAAC@USGS <br> (http://reverb.echo.nasa.gov/reverb/) <br> (1)Landsat-8 OL1(32 scenes(2013/14), <br> 32 scenes(2015/169)) <br> (2)Landsat-5 TM (32 scenes(2008/2009)) | Figure XI.3.1 LANDSAT Data <br> Sets of the Project Area |
| 2-2 | - do - | Land cover type classification | Acquisition of GlobeCover V2.3 | (1)Downloading ESA GlobeCover V.2.3 (2009, <br> 10arc: 300 m pixel) from ESA <br> (http://due.esrin.esa.int/page_globcover.php) | Figure XI.2.2 Land-cover Type Classification and Project Area |
| 2-3 | - do - | Administratio n boundary data | Acquisition of boundaries of region, state, district, township and village (GADM ver3.8) | (1) Downloading data of region, state, district, township from GADM database of Global Administrative Areas (http://www.gadm.org/country) <br> (2)Downloading data of village Tract boundary from Myanmar Information Management Unit(http://www.themimu.info/gis-resources-agency-map s) | Figure XI. 7.5 <br> Village and <br> Township Boundary |
| 2-4 | - do - | Agricultural Statistics | Data collection of cropping area since 2001/2002 | (1)Data collection of "Monsoon and Summer Crop Cultivated Area by 4 Main Canals of Thapanseik Dam" since 2001/2002 arranged in the Survey with MOALI data. <br> (2)Data collection of "Cropping Calendar" arranged in the Survey with MOALI data. <br> (3)Field verification for "Cropping area" and "Cropping pattern" with comparison between preliminary map analyzed for 2015/16 and current condition surveyed in Jan 2017. | Table XI.7.1 <br> Cropping Area and Comparison B/T Analysis and Statistics |
| 3 | Preparation of time-series of NDVI and NDWI data | NDVI and NDWI | Landsat 8: <br> NDVI(band <br> 4,5)/NDWI(band <br> 3,6) <br> Landsat <br> 5:NDVI(band <br> 4,3)/NDWI(band <br> 2,5) | (1)Checking data quality for all the Landsat data for target duration. If cloud cover is found on the area, alternative data set is to be obtained. <br> (2)Conducting 'Radiometric Calibration' for selected Landsat data <br> (3)Conducting 'Dark subtraction 'for selected Landsat data <br> (4)Mosaicking four (4) scenes in order <br> ( $4044 \rightarrow 4045 \rightarrow 3044 \rightarrow 3045$ from upper to lower) <br> (5)Calculating NDVI with band 4 (Red) and band5(NIR) of Landsat 8, and with band 3(Red) and band6(NIR) of Landsat 5 <br> (6)Calculating band3(Green) and band6(SWIR 1) of Landsat 8, and with band 2(Green) and band5(SWIR1) of Landsat 5 | Attached Maps: <br> 1. NDVI-NDWI <br> (Sep. 2008 - May <br> 2009) <br> 2. NDVI-NDWI (Oct. 2013 - May 2014) <br> 3. NDVI-NDWI (Oct. 2015 - May 2016) |
| 4-1 | Statistical analysis of NDVI and NDWI | Calculation of statistical value of NDVI and NDWI | Per-cell calculation applied for NDVI and NDWI data from Oct to May | (1)Calculating per-cell statistics for temporal NDVI data from Oct to May <br> - Calculating Minimum value for each cell <br> - Calculating Maximum value for each cell <br> - Calculating Range value for each cell <br> (2)Calculating per-cell statistics for temporal NDWI data from Oct to May <br> - Calculating Minimum value for each cell <br> - Calculating Maximum value for each cell <br> - Calculating Range value for each cell | - do - |
| 4-2 | - do - | Land cover type classification and determinatio n of farmland area. | Preparation of farmland classification map | (1) Selecting NDVI Range data from Oct 2015(end of Monsoon paddy) to May 2016(Summer paddy season) <br> (2) Classifying farmland types under analysis of NDVI's histogram to make preliminarily (farmland type) map. <br> (3)Verification of preliminary (farmland type) map through field survey compared to actual condition. | Figure XI.5.1 Farmland Type (Oct.2015-May. 2 016) |


|  |  |  |  | (4)Confirming farmland area including all the type of farmlands and determining threshold (0.29) between farmland and non-farmland. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4-3 | - do - | Determinatio n of water body area | Detection of water body in the Survey area | (1)Selecting NDWI data in Oct (end of Monsoon paddy) as wettest condition in applied duration. <br> (2)Classifying water body area under analysis of NDWI's histogram. <br> (3)Verification of water body area through field survey comparing to actual condition. <br> (4)Confirming water body area and determination threshold ( 0.8 ) between water body and land area. | - do - |
| 4-4 | - do - | Clarifying agriculture area and estimation of maximum crop glowing timing | Determination of farmland area for each year | (1)Clarifying actual farmland area for each year by subtracting water body area(refer to 3-3) from farmland area (refer to3-2). <br> (2)Verification of actual farmland area through field survey comparing to actual condition and confirming them for each year. | - do - |
| 5-1 | Cropping area detection | Detection of monsoon paddy | Analysis for image differencing before/after harvesting | (1) Calculating NDVI difference between Oct (before harvesting) and Feb(after harvesting) <br> (2) Detecting cropping area by applying threshold (mean $+1 \sigma$ ) <br> (3) Verified cropping area through the field survey and confirming a validity of threshold value and its arrearage. | Figure XI.7.2 <br> Crop Planted <br> Area (2008-09) <br> Figure XI.7.3 <br> Crop Planted <br> Area (2013-14) <br> Figure XI.7.4 <br> Crop Planted <br> Area (2015-16) |
| 5-2 | - do - | Detection of winter crop | Analysis for maximum NDVI in the year (Feb.) | (1) Selecting NDVI in Feb as being maximum glowing timing for winter crop. <br> (2) Detecting cropping area by applying threshold (0.39) to delineate its covering area exceeding threshold. <br> (3) Verifying cropping area through the field survey and confirming a validity of threshold value and obtained its acreage. | - do - |
| 5-3 | - do - | Detection of summer paddy | Analysis for NDWI (April or May) | (1) Selecting NDWI in Apr (or May) indicating submersion condition with irrigation water for summer paddy. <br> (2) Detecting cropping area by applying threshold (0.08 ) to delineate its covering area exceeding threshold. <br> (3) Verifying cropping area through the field survey and confirming a validity of threshold value and obtained its acreage. | - do - |
| 5-4 | - do - | Detection of summer paddy | Analysis for image differencing after sowing until Apr. | (1) Calculating NDVI difference between Feb (before sowing) and Apr-May(glowing stage) <br> (2) Detecting mixed cropping area with paddy and land crop by setting threshold (mean $+0.66 \times \sigma$ ) <br> (3) Calculating summer cropping area by subtracting summer paddy area form mixed cropping area. <br> (3) Verifying summer cropping area through the field survey and confirming a validity of threshold value and its acreage. | - do - |
| 6 | Statistical analysis for cropping area | Statistical processing | Processing of cropping area for each township | (1)Preparation of cross tabulation by cropping area, crop and township <br> (2)Evaluating validity of existing agriculture statistics by comparison to the analysis result | Table XI.7.2 <br> Cropping Area in <br> Each Township <br> in 2008-2009 <br> Table XI.7.3 <br> Cropping Area in <br> Each Township <br> in 2013-2014 <br> Table XI. 7.4 <br> Cropping Area in <br> Each Township <br> in 2015-2016 |
| 7 | Visualizatio n of analysis result | Preparation of GIS data set | Inputting analysis result to GIS data set | (1)Preparation of GIS data including cropping area, distribution map of highest month of cropping, administration boundary. <br> (2)Visualization of analysis result as GIS theme map | Figure XI.7.6 <br> Annual Cropping <br> Pattern of <br> Village Tracts in <br> 3 Years |


[^0]:    Source: Irrigation and Water Utilization Management Department

[^1]:    Source: Department of Agriculutre

[^2]:    Source: Agricultural Mechanization Department

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Industrial Structure in Myanmar using a new Estimated Input Output Table (2000-2001)", Kan Khine Su Thwin et al (2010)
    ${ }^{2}$ The input-output table is based on 2000-2001. It is unrealistic if one would claim that current industrial structure is not significantly different from 2000-2001, but inter-sectoral linkage generally becomes stronger as being industrialized. In this regard, the result can be interpreted as lower bound of the effect on employment.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The OLI sensor provides better Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) and radiometric performance. Band 1 and 9 allows more effective way to measure water resources. In addition, TIRS outputs thermal image which can be applied to the evapotranspiration rate measure.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Worldwide Reference System (WRS) is a global notation used in cataloging Landsat data. Landsat 8, 7, 5, and 4 follow the WRS-2. Landsat 1, 2, and 3 followed WRS-1. (https://landsat.gsfc.nasa.gov/the-worldwide-reference-system/)

[^6]:    ${ }^{3}$ To determine the density of green on a patch of land, the distinct colors (wavelengths) of visible and near-infrared sunlight reflected by the plants must be observed. As can be seen through a prism, many different wavelengths make the spectrum of sunlight. When sunlight strikes objects, certain wavelengths of this spectrum are absorbed and other wavelengths are reflected. The pigment of plant leaves, chlorophyll, strongly absorbs visible light (from 0.4 to $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) for photosynthesis. The cell structure of the leaves, on the other hand, strongly reflects near-infrared light (from 0.7 to $1.1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). The more leaves a plant has, the more these wavelengths of light are affected. ( http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/Features/MeasuringVegetation/)
    ${ }^{4}$ NDVI is changed by annual environment such as the amount of rainfall or the temperatures in the prior seasons (Prasad and others, 2008).

