APPENDIX 6

Damaged Bridge Survey Record Managed by Western Railway

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.1	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Ferere ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18° 57′43"	Station	Grant Road Station
	E 72°48′55″	Station	-

Bridge Length	25.36m	Enon Arrangement	Number 1	
Bridge Width	19.50m	Span Anangement		
Type of Superstructu	2 Main Steel I-Girder with cross girders	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.1	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Ferere ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °57 ' 43"	Station	Grant Road Station
	E 72°48′55″		-

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Cross Beam			
- Slab			
(2) Steel Member			
- Girder	Rusting (slightly)		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	N/A		
- Cross Beam	Rusting (slightly)		
- Sway Bracing	N/A		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others	-		
2. Substructure			
- Pier	N/A		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	N/A		
- Approach Road Condition	Good		
- Obstruction	-		
- Scouring	N/A		
4.Evaluation			

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.1	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Ferere ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °57 ' 43"	Station	Grant Road Station
	E 72°48′55″		-

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.1	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Ferere ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °57 ' 43"	Grant Road	
	E 72°48′55″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
з	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.2	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Belasis ROB	Year of Construction	1893
GPS Data	N 18° 58'08"	Station	Mumbai central
	E 72°49'06"	Station	-

Bridge Length	31.50m	Shan Arrangament	Number 5	
Bridge Width	19.50m	Span Anangement	Number 5	
Type of Superstructu	Steel I-Girder (15 girders)	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment and Steel Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.2	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Belasis ROB	Year of Construction	1893
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 08"	Station	Mumbai central
	E 72°49′06″		-

General Description of Damages

Mombor	Kind of the Damage			
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.			
1. Superstructure				
(1) Concrete Member	N/A			
- Girder				
- Cross Beam				
- Slab				
(2) Steel Member				
- Girder	Rusting (slightly)			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	N/A			
- Cross Beam	Rusting (slightly)			
- Sway Bracing	N/A			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check			
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A			
(5) Others	-			
2. Substructure				
- Pier	Good			
- Abutment	Good			
- Wing Wall	N/A			
3. Miscellaneous				
- Approach Road Condition	Good			
- Obstruction	-			
- Scouring	N/A			
4.Evaluation				

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.2	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Belasis ROB	Year of Construction	1893
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 08"	Station	Mumbai central
	E 72°49′06″		-

Object Member	Steel I-Girder
Kind of Damage	Rusting
Sketch or Photo	







		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.2	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Belasis ROB	Year of Construction	1893
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 08"	Station	Mumbai central
	E 72°49′06″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.3	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahalaxmi ROB	Year of Construction	1920
GPS Data	N 18 ° 58 ' 56"	Station	Mahalaxmi
	E 72°49'27"	Station	-

Bridge Length	77.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 5	
Bridge Width	25.00m	Span Anangement	Number 5	
Type of Superstructu	Steel I-Girder (15 girders)	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment and Steel Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.3	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahalaxmi ROB	Year of Construction	1920
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 56"	Station	Mahalaxmi
	E 72°49′27″		-

General Description of Damages

Mombor	Kind of the Damage
MIGUINGI	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.
1. Superstructure	
(1) Concrete Member	N/A
- Girder	
- Cross Beam	
- Slab	
(2) Steel Member	
- Girder	Rusting
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	N/A
- Cross Beam	Rusting
- Sway Bracing	N/A
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A
(5) Others	
2. Substructure	
- Pier	Good
- Abutment	Good
- Wing Wall	N/A
3. Miscellaneous	
- Approach Road Condition	Good
- Obstruction	
- Scouring	N/A
4.Evaluation	

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.3	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahalaxmi ROB	Year of Construction	1920
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 56"	Station	Mahalaxmi
	E 72°49′27″		-

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 12:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.3	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahalaxmi ROB	Year of Construction	1920
GPS Data	N 18 °58 ' 56"	Station	Mahalaxmi
	E 72°49′27″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18° 59'51"	Station	Lower Parel
	E 72°49′53″	Station	-

Bridge Length	63.20m	Span Arrangement	Number 3	
Bridge Width	24.80m	Span Anangement		
Type of Superstructu	2 Main Steel I-Girder with cross girders	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment and Steel Pier Column	



Up View





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °59 ' 51"	Station Lower Pare	
	E 72°49′53″		-

General Description of Damages

Kind of the Damage					
WIGHING	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.				
1. Superstructure					
(1) Concrete Member					
- Girder	N/A				
- Cross Beam	N/A				
- Slab	Crecking and Spalling				
(2) Steel Member					
- Girder	Rusting				
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	N/A				
- Cross Beam	Rusting				
- Sway Bracing	N/A				
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check				
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A				
(5) Others	-				
2. Substructure					
- Pier	Good				
- Abutment	Stone Masonary				
- Wing Wall	N/A				
3. Miscellaneous					
- Approach Road Condition	Good				
- Obstruction	-				
- Scouring	N/A				
4.Evaluation					

		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °59 ' 51"	Station	Lower Parel
	E 72°49′53″		-

Object Member	RC Slub
Kind of Damage	Spalling and exposed Rebar
Sketch or Photo	



		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °59 ' 51"	Station	Lower Parel
	E 72°49′53″		-

Object Member	Concrete Base
Kind of Damage	Cracking and spalling
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °59 ' 51"	Station	Lower Parel
	E 72°49′53″		-

Object Member	Cross Bream
Kind of Damage	Runsting
Sketch or Photo	



		Date & Time:	07 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	Ser.No.4	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Delise ROB	Year of Construction	1921
GPS Data	N 18 °59 ' 51"	Station	Lower Parel
	E 72°49′53″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
З	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 10:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.5	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Tilak ROB	Year of Construction	1925
GPS Data	N 19°01'13"	Station	Dadar
	E 72°50'38"	Station	-

Bridge Length	226.20m	Span Arrangement	Number 2	
Bridge Width	20.00m	Span Anangement		
Type of Superstructu	2 Main Steel I-Girder with cross girders	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment and Steel Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 10:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.5	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Tilak ROB	Year of Construction	1925
GPS Data	N 19 °01 ' 13"	Station	Dadar
	E 72°50′38″		-

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage	
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.	
1. Superstructure		
(1) Concrete Member	N/A	
- Girder		
- Cross Beam		
- Slab		
(2) Steel Member	Good	
- Girder		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)		
- Cross Beam		
- Sway Bracing		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No check	
(4) Drainage Pipe	No check	
(5) Others	-	
2. Substructure		
- Pier	N/A	
- Abutment	Good	
- Wing Wall	Good	
3. Miscellaneous		
- Approach Road Condition		
- Obstruction		
- Scouring	N/A	
4.Evaluation		

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 10:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.5	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Tilak ROB	Year of Construction	1925
GPS Data	N 19 °01 ' 13"	Station	Dadar
	E 72°50′38″		-

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 10:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.5	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Tilak ROB	Year of Construction	1925
GPS Data	N 19 °01 ' 13"	Station	Dadar
	E 72°50′38″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°02'41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50'41"	Station	-

Bridge Length	80.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 2	
Bridge Width	28.30m		Number 5	
Type of Superstructu	PC I-Shaped Girder	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side
		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °02 ' 41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50′41″		-

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage	
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.	
1. Superstructure		
(1) Concrete Member		
- Girder	Spalling	
- Cross Beam	Spalling	
- Slab	Spalling	
(2) Steel Member	N/A	
- Girder		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)		
- Cross Beam		
- Sway Bracing		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check	
(4) Drainage Pipe	None	
(5) Others		
2. Substructure		
- Pier	Cracking and Spalling	
- Abutment	Good	
- Wing Wall	N/A	
3. Miscellaneous		
- Approach Road Condition		
- Obstruction		
- Scouring	N/A	
4.Evaluation		

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °02 ' 41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50′41″		-

Object Member	PC I–Girder and RC slub
Kind of Damage	Spalling
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °02 ' 41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50′41″		-

Object Member	PC cable
Kind of Damage	Fracture
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °02 ' 41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50′41″		-

Object Member	RC Pier
Kind of Damage	Cracking and Spalling
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	Ser.No.6	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Mahim ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °02 ' 41"	Station	Mahim Junction
	E 72°50′41″	Station	-

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 15:10
Bridge No.	Ser.No.7	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Goregaon ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19° 10'26"	Station	Goregaon
	E 72° 50′ 56″	Station	Malad

Bridge Length	79.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 2	
Bridge Width	27.50m			
Type of Superstructu	PC I-Shaped Girder	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 15:10
Bridge No.	Ser.No.7	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Goregaon ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °10 ' 26"	Station	Goregaon
	E 72° 50′ 56″		Malad

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member	Good		
- Girder			
- Cross Beam			
- Slab			
(2) Steel Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others			
2. Substructure			
- Pier	Good		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	N/A		
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition	Bad		
- Obstruction			
- Scouring	N/A		
4.Evaluation			

		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 15:10
Bridge No.	Ser.No.7	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Goregaon ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °10 ' 26"	Station	Goregaon
	E 72°50′56″		Malad

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









		Date & Time:	08 Jun,2016 15:10
Bridge No.	Ser.No.7	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	Goregaon ROB	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19 °10 ' 26"	Station Goregaon Malad	Goregaon
	E 72°50′56″		Malad

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
З	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.8	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.5/A	Year of Construction	2011-2012
GPS Data	N 21° 42'20"	Station	Bharuch
	E 72° 57′59″	Station	Sami

Bridge Length	85.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 2
Bridge Width	27.50m		
Type of Superstructu	PSC Box Type	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.8	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.5/A	Year of Construction	2011-2012
GPS Data	N 21 °42 ' 20"	Station	Bharuch
	E 72° 57′ 59″		Sami

General Description of Damages

Mombor	Kind of the Damage
MCUIDEI	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.
1. Superstructure	
(1) Concrete Member	
- Girder	N/A
- Cross Beam	N/A
- Slab	Cracking
(2) Steel Member	N/A
- Girder	
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	
- Cross Beam	
- Sway Bracing	
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check
(4) Drainage Pipe	No Check
(5) Others	
2. Substructure	
- Pier	Good
- Abutment	N/A
- Wing Wall	N/A
3. Miscellaneous	
- Approach Road Condition	Good
- Obstruction	
- Scouring	N/A
4.Evaluation	

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.8	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.5/A	Year of Construction	2011-2012
GPS Data	N 21 °42 ' 20"	Station	Bharuch
	E 72° 57′ 59″		Sami

Object Member	PSC Box
Kind of Damage	Cracking
Sketch or Photo	



		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 12:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.8	Location (State)	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.5/A	Year of Construction	2011-2012
GPS Data	N 21 °42 ' 20"	Station	Bharuch
	E 72°57′59″	Station	Sami

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (North)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22°03'22"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73° 07′46″	Station	Daboi-Malsar

Bridge Length	35.08m	Span Arrangement	Number 1	
Bridge Width	6.35m	Span Anangement		
Type of Superstructu	PSC Box Type	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (North)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °03 ' 22"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73°07'46"		Daboi-Malsar

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage
MGUIDEI	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.
1. Superstructure	
(1) Concrete Member	
- Girder	N/A
- Cross Beam	N/A
- Slab	Repair for Cracking
(2) Steel Member	N/A
- Girder	
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	
- Cross Beam	
- Sway Bracing	
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A
(5) Others	
2. Substructure	
- Pier	No Check
- Abutment	Good
- Wing Wall	Good
3. Miscellaneous	
- Approach Road Condition	Bad
- Obstruction	
- Scouring	N/A
4.Evaluation	

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (North)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °03 ' 22"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73°07′46″		Daboi-Malsar

Object Member	Deck Slab
Kind of Damage	Repair Work for Cracking
Sketch or Photo	



		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Location (State)	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (North)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °03 ' 22"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73°07′46″	Station	Daboi-Malsar

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (South)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22°02'14"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73° 07′24″	Station	Daboi-Malsar

Bridge Length	35.08m	Span Arrangement	Number 1	
Bridge Width	6.35m	Span Analigement		
Type of Superstructu	PSC Box Type	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (South)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °02 ' 14"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73° 07 ' 24 "		Daboi-Malsar

General Description of Damages

Mombor	Kind of the Damage		
MIGHINGI	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member			
- Girder	N/A		
- Cross Beam	N/A		
- Slab	Cracking		
(2) Steel Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others	-		
2. Substructure			
- Pier	No Check		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	Good		
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition	Good		
- Obstruction	-		
- Scouring	N/A		
4.Evaluation			

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Division	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (South)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °02 ' 14"	Station	Miyagam
	E 73°07'24"		Daboi-Malsar

Object Member	Deck Slab	
Kind of Damage	Cracking	
Sketch or Photo		
ROB MYG (3) NH-B Spin 1x 35.8m EAST SIDE P HAIRLINE CRACK ON BRC END DIAPHRAGM.	SC BOK GIRDER BOMM BOMM BOD 15 31 1830 ROB MYG (8) NH-8 Span 1x 35.8m WEST SIDE PSC BOX GIRDER HAIRLINE GRACK ON DECK SLAB.	
ROB MYG (S) NH-8 Span 11x 35.8m WEST SIDE P HAIRLINE GRACK ON DECK SLAB.	PSC BOX GIRDER	
70-1910 SOB MYC (6) NH-8 Span 1x 35.8m WEST BIDE HAIRLINE CRACK ON DECK SLAB.	PSO BOK GIRDER	

		Date & Time:	13 Jun,2016 09:30
Bridge No.	Ser.No.9	Location (State)	Vadodara
Bridge Name	LC No.2/X (South)	Year of Construction	2008
GPS Data	N 22 °02 ' 14"	StationMiyagamDaboi-Mals	Miyagam
	E 73°07'24"		Daboi-Malsar

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	73	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19° 19' 20"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°59'08"	Station	Naigaon

Bridge Length	1450m	Span Arrangement	Number 29
Bridge Width	6.7 m		
Type of Superstructu	PC Box Girder	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column



Up View





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	73	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°19'20"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°59 ' 08 "		Naigaon

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
Weinber	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member			
- Girder	Cracking		
- Cross Beam	N/A		
- Slab	Good		
(2) Steel Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others	-		
2. Substructure			
- Pier	No Check		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	N/A		
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition	Good		
- Obstruction	-		
- Scouring			
4.Evaluation			

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	73	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°19'20"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°59′08″		Naigaon

Object Member	PSC Box Girder
Kind of Damage	Horizontal and Inclined Cracks in Web
Sketch or Photo	



		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 11:00
Bridge No.	73	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°19'20"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°59′08″	Station	Naigaon

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See below	
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See below	

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	75	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°20' 24"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°51 ' 01 "		Natgaon

Bridge Length	550m	Span Arrangement	Number 11
Bridge Width	- m		
Type of Superstructure	PC Box Girder	Type of Substructure	RC Abutment and RC Pier Column
Overview of the Bridge	Side View		
	Up \	/iew	


Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	75	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°20' 24"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°51 ' 01 "		Natgaon

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.
1. Superstructure	
(1) Concrete Member	
- Girder	
- Cross Beam	
- Slab	
(2) Steel Member	
- Girder	
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	
- Cross Beam	
- Sway Bracing	
(3) Bearing Shoe	
(4) Drainage Pipe	
(5) Others	
2. Substructure	
- Pier	
- Abutment	
- Wing Wall	
3. Miscellaneous	
- Approach Road Condition	
- Obstruction	
- Scouring	
4.Evaluation	

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	75	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°20' 24"	Station	Bhayandar
	E 72°51′01″		Natgaon

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	
Sketch or Photo	
	1

Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	75	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1993
GPS Data	N 19°20' 24"	Station Bhayanda	Bhayandar
	E 72°51′01″	Sidlion	Natgaon

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 13:30
Bridge No.	92	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19° 31' 28"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51'02"	Station	Saphale

Bridge Length	380m	Shan Arrangement	Number 20
Bridge Width	-m	Span Analigement	
Type of Superstructu	Type: Steel I-Girder	Type of Substructure	AbutmentRC Abutment and RC Pier Column





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 13:30
Bridge No.	92	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19 °31 ' 28"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′02″		Saphale

General Description of Damages

Mombor	Kind of the Damage
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.
1. Superstructure	
(1) Concrete Member	N/A
- Girder	
- Cross Beam	
- Slab	
(2) Steel Member	
- Girder	Good
- Virtical Girder (stringer)	N/A
- Cross Beam	N/A
- Sway Bracing	N/A
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A
(5) Others	
2. Substructure	
- Pier	Cracking
- Abutment	Good
- Wing Wall	Good Condition
3. Miscellaneous	
- Approach Road Condition	Good
- Obstruction	<u> </u>
- Scouring	No Check
4.Evaluation	

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 13:30
Bridge No.	92	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19°31′28"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′02″		Saphale

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Pier
Kind of Damage	Cracking
Sketch or Photo	







Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016 13:30
Bridge No.	92	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19 °31 ' 28"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′02″	Station	Saphale

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	93	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19°32'20"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′05″		Saphale

Bridge Length	410 m	Span Arrangement	Number 22
Bridge Width	-m		
Type of Superstructure	Type: Steel I-Girder	Type of Substructure	AbutmentRC Abutment and RC Pier Column
Overview of the Bridge	Side View		
		liou	
	Up v	new	



Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	93	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19 °32 ' 20"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51 ' 05 "		Saphale

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member			
- Girder			
- Cross Beam			
- Slab			
(2) Steel Member			
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe			
(4) Drainage Pipe			
(5) Others			
2. Substructure			
- Pier			
- Abutment			
- Wing Wall			
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition			
- Obstruction			
- Scouring			
4.Evaluation			

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	93	Division	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19°32′20"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′05″		Saphale

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	
Kind of Damage	
Sketch or Photo	









Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	09 Jun,2016
Bridge No.	93	Location (State)	Mumbai
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1963
GPS Data	N 19°32'20"	Station	Vaitama
	E 72°51′05″	Station	Saphale

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 12:20
Bridge No.	114	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22° 50′ 46″06	Station	Dahod
	E 74° 17′ 30″	Station	Dhamarda

Bridge Length	80.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 4	
Bridge Width	4.30m	Span Anangement	Nulliber 4	
Type of Superstructu	PSC I-Girder	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment	





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 12:20
Bridge No.	114	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °50 ' 46" 06	Station	Dahod
	E 74°17′30″		Dhamarda

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member	Good		
- Girder			
- Cross Beam			
- Slab			
(2) Steel Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others	-		
2. Substructure			
- Pier	No Check		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	Good		
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition	Good		
- Obstruction	-		
- Scouring	N/A		
4.Evaluation			

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 12:20
Bridge No.	114	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °50 ' 46" 06	Station	Dahod
	E 74°17′30″		Dhamarda

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Prestressed I-Girder
Kind of Damage	After Repairing CFRP, Now in Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 12:20
Bridge No.	114	Location (State)	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °50 ' 46" 06	Station	Dahod
	E 74°17′30″	Station	Dhamarda

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	129	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °51 ' 54"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°22′32″		Anas

Bridge Length	60.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 3
Bridge Width	4.30m		
Type of Superstructure	PSC I-Girder	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	129	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °51 ' 54"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°22′32″		Anas

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage		
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.		
1. Superstructure			
(1) Concrete Member	Good		
- Girder			
- Cross Beam			
- Slab			
(2) Steel Member	N/A		
- Girder			
- Virtical Girder (stringer)			
- Cross Beam			
- Sway Bracing			
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check		
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A		
(5) Others	-		
2. Substructure			
- Pier	N/A		
- Abutment	Good		
- Wing Wall	Good		
3. Miscellaneous			
- Approach Road Condition	Good		
- Obstruction	-		
- Scouring	N/A		
4.Evaluation			

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	129	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °51 ' 54"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°22′32″		Anas

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Prestressed I-Girders
Kind of Damage	After Repairing CFRP, Now in Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	129	Location (State)	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °51 ' 54"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°22′32″	Station	Anas

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	132	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °52 ' 06"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°23′35″		Anas

Bridge Length	20.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 1
Bridge Width	4.30m		
Type of Superstructure	PSC I-Girder	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	132	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °52 ' 06"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°23′35″		Anas

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage	
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.	
1. Superstructure		
(1) Concrete Member	Good	
- Girder		
- Cross Beam		
- Slab		
(2) Steel Member	N/A	
- Girder		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)		
- Cross Beam		
- Sway Bracing		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check	
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A	
(5) Others	_	
2. Substructure		
- Pier	N/A	
- Abutment	Good	
- Wing Wall	Good	
3. Miscellaneous		
- Approach Road Condition	Good	
- Obstruction	-	
- Scouring	N/A	
4.Evaluation		

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	132	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °52 ' 06"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°23′35″		Anas

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Prestressed I-Girders
Kind of Damage	After Repairing CFRP, Now in Good Condition
Sketch or Photo	









Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	14 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	132	Location (State)	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °52 ' 06"	Station	Bordi
	E 74°23 ' 35 "	Station	Anas

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	R5	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22° 49' 18"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°02'38"	Station	Mangal Mahudi

Bridge Length	20.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 1	
Bridge Width	4.30m	Span Anangement		
Type of Superstructu	PSC I-Girder	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment	




Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	R5	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 18"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°02′38″		Mangal Mahudi

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage	
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.	
1. Superstructure		
(1) Concrete Member		
- Girder	Cracking	
- Cross Beam	Good	
- Slab	Cracking , Reinforcement Bar Exposed	
(2) Steel Member	N/A	
- Girder		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)		
- Cross Beam		
- Sway Bracing		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check	
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A	
(5) Others	-	
2. Substructure		
- Pier	N/A	
- Abutment	Good	
- Wing Wall	Good	
3. Miscellaneous		
- Approach Road Condition	Good	
- Obstruction	-	
- Scouring	N/A	
4.Evaluation		

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	R5	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 18"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°02'38"		Mangal Mahudi

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Prestressed I-Girders
Kind of Damage	Cracking
Sketch or Photo	









Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 10:40
Bridge No.	R5	Location (State)	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 18"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°02′38″	Station	Mangal Mahudi

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification og Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See	below
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects

Bridge Survey Record (1/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	R8	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22°49′40"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°03′20″		Mangal Mahudi

Bridge Length	20.00m	Span Arrangement	Number 1
Bridge Width	4.30m		
Type of Superstructure	PSC I-Girder	Type of Substructure	Stone Masonry Abutment





Bridge Survey Record (2/6) Right Side



Bridge Survey Record (3/6) Left Side

Bridge Survey Record (4/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	R8	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 40"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°03′20″		Mangal Mahudi

General Description of Damages

Member	Kind of the Damage	
Member	Ex. Concrete : Cracking, Spalling, etc. Steel : Cracking, Rusting, Fracture, etc.	
1. Superstructure		
(1) Concrete Member		
- Girder	Cracking	
- Cross Beam	Good	
- Slab	Cracking , Reinforcement Bar Exposed	
(2) Steel Member	N/A	
- Girder		
- Virtical Girder (stringer)		
- Cross Beam		
- Sway Bracing		
(3) Bearing Shoe	No Check	
(4) Drainage Pipe	N/A	
(5) Others	-	
2. Substructure		
- Pier	N/A	
- Abutment	Good	
- Wing Wall	Good	
3. Miscellaneous		
- Approach Road Condition	Good	
- Obstruction	-	
- Scouring	N/A	
4.Evaluation		

Bridge Survey Record (5/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 11:40
Bridge No.	R8	Division	Ratlam
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 40"	Station	Limkheda
	E 74°03′20″		Mangal Mahudi

Detailed Description of Damages

Object Member	Prestressed I-Girders
Kind of Damage	Cracking
Sketch or Photo	

Bridge Survey Record (6/6)

		Date & Time:	15 Jun,2016 11:40	
Bridge No.	R8	Location (State)	Ratlam	
Bridge Name	-	Year of Construction	1958-60	
GPS Data	N 22 °49 ' 40"	Station	Limkheda	
	E 74°03′20″	Station	Mangal Mahudi	

Evaluation index

1	Cosistency with the upper plan, verification of Indian Railway priority bridges	Yes	No
2	Application of Japanese advanced technology	See below	
3	Confirmation of other projects by Japanese ODA	Yes	No
4	Confirmation of other donor's projects and local project	Yes	No
5	Impact on the surrounding society and economy	Much	Less
6	Access to the bridge sites	Good	Not Good
7	Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects	See	below

2 Application of Japanese advanced technology

7 Collecting information from japanese companies, and estimation of project effects