MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT VIETNAM

STUDY FOR ITS INTEGRATION PROJECT IN NORTHERN AREA OF VIETNAM

APPENDIX 5

- REVIEW COMMENTS TO DRAFT TCVN
- SPECIFICATIONS FOR SYSTEM CONNECTION/COOPERATION
- PROPOSED PREQUALIFICATION CRITERIA FOR PACKAGE-1 ON A D&B BASIS
- RECORDS OF WORKING GROUPS & WORKSHOP
- RELEVANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS & STANDARDS IN VIETNAM

NOVEMBER 2015

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

ORIENTAL CONSULTANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD. METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY CO., LTD. NEXCO EAST ENGINEERING CO., LTD. TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE CO., LTD. ABEAM CONSULTING LTD.



MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT VIETNAM

STUDY FOR ITS INTEGRATION PROJECT IN NORTHERN AREA OF VIETNAM

APPENDIX 5

- REVIEW COMMENTS TO DRAFT TCVN
- SPECIFICATIONS FOR SYSTEM CONNECTION/COOPERATION
- PROPOSED PREQUALIFICATION CRITERIA FOR PACKAGE-1 ON A D&B BASIS
- RECORDS OF WORKING GROUPS & WORKSHOP
- RELEVANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS & STANDARDS IN VIETNAM

NOVEMBER 2015

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

ORIENTAL CONSULTANTS GLOBAL CO., LTD. METROPOLITAN EXPRESSWAY CO., LTD. NEXCO EAST ENGINEERING CO., LTD. TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH INSTITUTE CO., LTD. ABEAM CONSULTING LTD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART 1 : REVIEW COMMENTS TO DRAFT TCVN

| 1. | General | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2. | Review Comments for SG-2: TCVN on Traffic Monitoring & Management System | 2 |
| 3. | Review Comments for SG-5: TCVN on Electronic Sign System | 8 |
| 4. | Review Comments for SG-7: TCVN on ETC System | 10 |
| 5. | Review Comments for SG-8: TCVN on Management Centers/Offices | 15 |
| PART | 2 : SPECIFICATIONS FOR SYSTEM CONNECTION/COOPERATION | |
| 1. | General | 1 |
| 2. | Materials for Reference | 1 |
| 3. 3.1 3.2 | Terminology Definitions Abbreviations | 2 2 3 |
| 4. 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | Target Connecting Interface Target Connecting Interfaces on Communication Network Two Cases of Structuring Connecting Network/Interfaces Physical Specifications of Target Connecting Interface Target Connecting Interfaces on System Architecture | 4 4 5 7 9 |
| 5. 5.1 5.2 5.3 | Specifications for Voice Communication Requirements on System Function Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface Protocol/Message Specifications | 11 11 12 13 |
| 6. 6.1 6.2 6.3 | Specifications for CCTV Monitoring Requirements on System Function Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface Protocol/Message Specifications | 14 14 15 15 |
| 7. 7.1 7.2 7.3 | Specifications for Traffic Event Data Management Requirements on System Function Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface Protocol/Message Specifications | 17 17 18 19 |
| 8. | Specifications for VMS Indication | 21 |
| 8.1 | Requirements on System Function | 21 |
| ö.∠ 8.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 22 22 |

| 9. | Specifications for Integrated Data Management | 25 |
|-----|--|----|
| 9.1 | Requirements on System Function | 25 |
| 9.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 25 |
| 9.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 26 |
| 10. | Data Dictionary | 28 |

PART 3 : PROPOSED PREQUALIFICATION CRITERIA FOR PACKAGE-1 ON A D&B BASIS

| 1. | General | 1 |
|----|--|----|
| 2. | Concept of Standard Qualification Criteria | 1 |
| 3. | Proposed Prequalification Criteria for Package-1 | .4 |

PART 4 : RECORDS OF WORKING GROUPS & WORKSHOP

| 1. | General | . 1 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2. | Technical Review WG | . 2 |
| 2.1 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (1) | . 2 |
| 2.2 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (2) | . 5 |
| 2.3 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (3) | . 9 |
| 3. | Toll Settlement System Review WG | 13 |
| 3.1 | Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (1) | 13 |
| 3.2 | Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (2) | 15 |
| 4. | Workshop | 17 |

PART 5 : RELEVANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS & STANDARDS IN VIETNAM

| 1. | List of Legal Documents | 1 |
|----|----------------------------|---|
| 2. | List of Domestic Standards | 3 |

PART 1: REVIEW COMMENTS TO DRAFT TCVN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | General | . 1 |
|----|--|-----|
| 2. | Review Comments for SG-2: TCVN on Traffic Monitoring & Management System | . 2 |
| 3. | Review Comments for SG-5: TCVN on Electronic Sign System | . 8 |
| 4. | Review Comments for SG-7: TCVN on ETC System | 10 |
| 5. | Review Comments for SG-8: TCVN on Management Centers/Offices | 15 |

1. General

The ITS Standards: TCVN is now under development in Vietnam. The Drafts of TCVN are being prepared and discussed in the organization consists of 8 Sub-Groups under DOST/ MOT as shown in the table below.

| | | Item of TCVN | Name of Leader | Draft | | |
|--------|---|---|-----------------------------|-------|--|--|
| Leader | | | Mr.Nguyen Tuan Anh | | | |
| | | | (MOT/DOST) | | | |
| Sub- | 1 | ITS System Architecture | Mr.Nguyen Dinh Khoa (ITST) | N.Y. | | |
| group | 2 | Traffic Monitoring & Control on Expressways | Mr.Pham Hong Quang (CadPro) | U.D. | | |
| | 3 | Traffic Database and Message System on Mr.Van Van Trung (MOT/ITC) N | | | | |
| | | Expressways | | | | |
| | 4 | CCTV Camera System on Expressways Mr.Chu Quang Trung (MOT/ITC) N | | N.Y. | | |
| | 5 | VMS on Expressways Mr.Nguyen Anh Tuan (ITST) | | | | |
| | 6 | Communication System on Expressways Mr.Le Thanh Tung (MOT/ITC) N | | | | |
| | 7 | ETC System on Expressways Mr.Pham Duc Long (ITD) U | | | | |
| | 8 | Management Office/Center on Expressways | Mr.Ta Tuan Anh (CadPro) | U.D. | | |

| List of Sub-c | aroups for | Developina | Draft TCVN |
|---------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | | | |

Note, N.Y.: Not yet, U.D.: Under drafting.

It is recommended to unify the configuration of table of contents and terminologies

2. Review Comments for SG-2: TCVN on Traffic Monitoring & Management System

Reviewed results of the 2nd Draft TCVN are shown on the table below.

- TCVN is not a national regulation, that's national technical standard.
- Add monitoring in title. (ie Traffic Monitoring and Management
- In Foreword, QCVN is not correct. That is TCVN. And correct process should be written.

| Article Number in TCVN | Classification of Reviewed Result | Comments | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 1 Application Scope | | | |
| 2 Reference Materials | | Refer to other SGs and ISO. | |
| 3 Terminology and | | Define the function of "Regional Main | |
| Definition | | Center" and "Road Management Office". | |
| | | Integration of 3.1 and 3.10, and add loop detector. | |
| | | 3.8, 3.9, 3.15, 3.16 shall be written on the draft national standard of ETC, SG-7. | |
| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | "3.12" should be deleted. Already have been made the provision of traffic situation by VOV. Development of its own FM Radio broadcasting equipment is not a beneficially. | |
| | | "3.13" should be included traffic control system? | |
| | | Definition of traffic information should be added. | |
| 4 Abbreviations | | | |
| 5 Figuration of TMM System | | In 5.2J), "Special Telephone Number System" is much better than "Emergency Telephone System". | |
| | | 5.2m) shall be written on the draft national standard of ETC, SG-7. | |
| | MISMATCH WITH INEXPERIENCED ROAD OPERATOR | "5.2 n)" should be deleted. In order to perform the inflow control by Ramp Metering, it is necessary to analyze the prediction of traffic situation using rich traffic data. Especially the traffic capacity (and demand) based on the actual traffic data in the target road. (That is not a value based on the design). There is no relevance to install in present situation. | |
| | MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | standard of Traffic Management Centers for Expressway, SG-8. (Significantly modified figure is going to be provided from us, because Regional Main Center integration in traffic management is most important essential of this TCVN.) | |

| 6 System Operation Procedure | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| 6.1 Procedure of | | • | a) shall be written on the draft national |
| Management | | • | In 6.1.1 a), Emergency telephone is |
| | | • | In 6.1.1 d), FM Radio should be deleted. |
| | COUNTER TO MOD OF MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | • | In 6.1.2, Figure2 should be deleted. (Significantly modified figure is going to be provided from us, because Regional Main Center integration in traffic management is most important essential of this TCVN.) |
| | | • | In 6.1.4, Change 30 minutes to 1 hour. ➢ From the placement situation of RMO, site arrival difficult in 30 minutes. (Assumed in 1 hour in SAPI) |
| 6.2 Procedure of Automatic Data Collection and Processing | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • | In 6.2.2, license plate should be deleted. Enforcement of traffic violations is not included in Road Operator and Traffic Management organization's operations. |
| | | • | In 6.2.3, it adds the "in except maintenance time." |
| 6.3 Procedure of Equipments | | • | In 6.3.2, "and power supply" should be deleted from the second line. |
| Maintenance | | • | In 6.3.2, Database Center should be changed to RMC. |
| | | • | In 6.3.3, "in accordance" should be deleted. |
| 7 Technical Requirements on Sub- systems | | • | For secure the interoperability and interconnectivity, shall add the requirement as follows, ➤ The system shall be capable of having following communication interfaces in order to ensure that hardware/software to be communicated or controllable with each hardware/software. ♦ 1) The name of implementation software ♦ 2) Transmission data format ♦ 3) Necessary information or specification of hardware/software shall be capable of being disclosed in order to secure the interoperability and interconnectivity of hardware/software. Furthermore, they shall be capable of being disclosed promptly based on the disclosing demand, including the contents that are not described as above. |
| 7.1 Digital Transmission System | | • | In 7.1.1, "at tollgate", "service office" and "for distance" should be deleted. |

| | | • | In 7.1.3 a), "Backbone" should be changed |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | to "Integration". |
| | | • | In 7 1 3 a) RMC should be added |
| | | • | $\ln 7.1.3 \text{ b}$ 1000Mbps should be changed to |
| | | • | 10Gbps. |
| | | • | In 7.1.3 c), 100 Mbps should be changed to 1Gbps. |
| | | • | In 7.1.3 b), "Boundary" should be changed to "Road section". |
| | | • | "7.1.4" and "7.1.5" should be modified.➢ Description laying two cables. |
| 7.2 CCTV Camera System for Traffic Monitoring | | • | The position (duplicates) of the PTZ camera should be considered. |
| | | _ | performed at RMC. |
| | | • | "7.2.3" should be deleted. |
| | | | architecture. (The camera for traffic conditions and incident detection should be described in another item) |
| 7.3 System for Vehicle | | • | In 7.3.1 b) and c), those formulas are |
| Detection | | | unnecessary. |
| | | | It is not a regulatory content of TCVN. |
| | MISMATCH WITH INEXPERIENCED ROAD OPERATOR | • | "7.3.2 a)" should be deleted. In order to perform the inflow control by Ramp Metering, it is necessary to analyze the prediction of traffic situation using rich traffic data. Especially the traffic capacity (and demand) based on the actual traffic data in the target road. (That is not a value based on the design). There is no relevance to install in present situation. |
| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • | In 7.3.2 d) and E), license plate should be deleted. ➢ Enforcement of traffic violations is not included in Road Operator and Traffic Management organization's operations. |
| | | • | "7.3.4" should be deleted. This provision is difficult to observe by aging of equipment. Speed measurement accuracy of high-speed driving vehicle is difficult. (A matter of time and space resolution on measurement) For increased accuracy of the high-speed range, it is necessary to improve time and space resolution. (Because the amount of communication data increases, the load on the communication line increases.) |

| | | • "7.3.5 d) including Table-1" Should be |
|---|--------------------|---|
| | | deleted. ➢ Definition of congestion (condition should be set by analyzing the actua traffic situation. ➢ It is not a regulatory content of TCVN |
| | | In 7.3.6. "Traffic Volume" should be changed |
| | | to "Traffic Condition". |
| | | Original purpose is to display the traffic |
| | | conditions. (Traffic condition doesn' |
| | | Know by Traffic Volume.) |
| | | database. |
| 7.4 System for Event | | In 7.4.1 d), "Traffic Safety Violation" should |
| Management | | be deleted. |
| | | information for driver. |
| | | ● In 7.4.2 b), "individuals" should be |
| | | changed to "road user". |
| | | In conjunction with the other items described in the target (organization of the target) |
| | | person) |
| | | In 7.4.2 c), the bulleted list should be |
| | | deleted |
| | | Order to have the flexibility to |
| | | Subsystems. |
| | | added to "only store newly created events" |
| | | Consideration to realistic operation. |
| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • In 7.4.3 a) and b), license plate should be |
| | | deleted. |
| | | included in Road Operator and Traffic |
| | | Management organization's operations. |
| 7.5 System for Vehicle | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | In 7.5.1 a), "Vehicle speed and category |
| Axle Load Control | | should be deleted. |
| | | It is not a necessary data to overload crackdown by Vehicle Ayle Load |
| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | ■ In 7.5.2. "velocity" and "The distance |
| | | between" should be deleted. |
| | | \succ It is not a necessary data to overload |
| | | crackdown by Vehicle Axle Load. |
| | | 7.5.3 Should be deleted, including Appendix B |
| | | It is not a necessary data to overload |
| | | crackdown by Vehicle Axle Load. |
| 7.6 System for Traffic Information Provision | | In 7.6.3 a), Management Authorities means RMC? |
| | | • "7.6.3 B)" should be deleted. |
| | | > The description content is unknown. (I |
| | | might be the problem of English |
| | | translation.) |

| | | • | In 7.6.3 c). "sub-buildings" should be |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | deleted. |
| | | | The description content is unknown. (It |
| | | | might be the problem of English |
| | | | translation.) |
| | | • | In 7.6.3 d), "code" should be deleted. |
| | | | That is not a useful for UI. |
| | | | In 763 e) "such" should be added front of |
| | | | "ae" |
| | | | as. > This content should not be strictly |
| | | | defined by TCVN. |
| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • | "7.6.5 b)" should be deleted. |
| | | | > Already have been made the provision |
| | | | of traffic situation by VOV. |
| | | | Development of its own FM Radio |
| | | | broadcasting equipment is not a |
| | | | beneficially. |
| | | • | "7.6.5 c)" should be deleted. |
| | | | > It is not a device for providing traffic |
| | | | information. |
| | | | It is not a device to be controlled by |
| 7.7 Outom for Troffic | | | KMU. |
| Control Notification | | | "/./.1 C)" SNOULD be deleted. |
| | | | |
| | | | "7 7 9" should be deleted |
| | | - | In order to avoid confusion the situation. |
| | | | such as a description, required the |
| | | | introduction of an integrated system. |
| 7.8 System for | | • | For bulleted list in 7.8.1, the set value should |
| Weather Notification | | | be deleted. |
| | | | It should be set as a parameter. |
| | | | la 7.9.2. "alianany ice" should be deleted |
| | | | In $7.0.2$, support the suburble detector. \sim Pood surface freeze sensor is a high |
| | | | noet |
| | | | Require road excavation for equipment |
| | | | installation |
| | | | It may not be the target of providing |
| | | | information. |
| 7.9 Communication | | • | In 7.9.2 b), "Stations and toll lanes" should |
| System | | | be changed to "toll office and toll booth". |
| | | • | In 7.9.3 a), "and event information" should |
| | | | be added to end of sentence. |
| | | | Consideration to reality. |
| | | • | In 7.9.4, "TMCC" should be changed to |
| | | | RMO, "stations" should be changed to "toll |
| | | | office", "except mobile terminal" should be |
| | | | added "terminal equipment". |
| 7.40 Overlage for | | | Consideration to reality. |
| 7.10 System for | | • | "emergency telephone" should be chariged |
| Emergency relephone | | | to "special telephone number. |

| | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | "7.10.1 a)" should be deleted. Expressways are covered as a communication area of the Mobile Telephone Network. Even if they are not covered in the current, and will in the near future be covered. (Maintenance cost is wasted.) |
|--|--|--|
| | | In 7.10.1 b), TMCC should be changed to "RMC". Consideration to reality. |
| | | "7.10.2" should be deleted. Provisions on Signboard. (To be described in this TCVN?) |
| | | "7.10.3" should be deleted. Due to the deletion of the 7.10.1 a). |
| | | In 7.10.4, TMCC should be changed to RMC. Consideration to reality. |
| 7.11 Equipments Surveillance System | | In 7.11.1, "i), j), k) and l)" should be deleted. Consideration to reality. |
| 7.12 Traffic Operation and Management Center | COUNTER TO MOD OF MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | Description should be changed. > Regard to work sharing of RMC and RMO, Consideration to reality. |
| 7.13 Toll Collection System | | This paragraph shall be written on the draft national standard of ETC, SG-7. |
| 7.14 Signal system at Expressway Entry | MISMATCH WITH INEXPERIENCED ROAD OPERATOR | "7.14" should be deleted. In order to perform the inflow control by Ramp Metering, it is necessary to analyze the prediction of traffic situation using rich traffic data. Especially the traffic capacity (and demand) based on the actual traffic data in the target road. (That is not a value based on the design). There is no relevance to install in present situation. |

3. Review Comments for SG-5: TCVN on Electronic Sign System

| Article Number in TCVN | Classification of Reviewed Result | Comments |
|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 Application Scope | | |
| 2 Reference Materials | | |
| 3 Terminology and Definition | | |
| 4 Abbreviations | | |
| 5 Figuration of TMM System | | Figure 1 A control device is necessary for RMC. Please standardize the name of the center with other TCVN. |
| 5.1 Control device at Center | | "Section Traffic Monitoring and Control Center" in the sentence is revised by "RMO" |
| | | A description of RMC is necessary for all. |
| 5.2 Digital transmission system | | 1. A description of RMC is necessary for all. |
| | | 3. Multiplexer is unnecessary and switch is necessary. |
| 5.3 VMS | | 1. A change is necessary for "RMC and RMO" in "Traffic Monitoring and Control Center". |
| 6 Technical regulation | | For secure the interoperability and interconnectivity, shall add the requirement as follows, The system shall be capable of having following communication interfaces in order to ensure that hardware/software to be communicated or controllable with each hardware/software. 1) The name of implementation software 2) Transmission data format 3) Necessary information or specification of hardware/software shall be capable of being disclosed in order to secure the interoperability and interconnectivity of hardware/software. Furthermore, they shall be capable of being disclosed on the disclosing demand, including the contents that are not described as above. |
| 6.1 General regulatio on Electronic Signs on | | 1. "must not scroll" is necessary. |
| Expressway | | 2. "traffic monitoring/ and" is unnecessary. "Center" writes "RMC and RMO" clearly. |

Reviewed results of the 2nd Draft TCVN are shown on the table below.

| | | • | 6. |
|-----------------------|--|------|--|
| | | | It is proper to delete this sentence. |
| | | | Because it cannot use a commercially available |
| | | | LED panel when we introduce this, and cost |
| | | | rises. |
| | | | We recommend the confirmation with the |
| | | | camera as alternative action. |
| 6.2 Components of | | • | 6.2.1 2 |
| VMS | | | Visibility may decrease in expression of the |
| | | | circumflex if less than dot pitches 20mm. |
| | | | We recommend 16mm or less in the SAPI. |
| | | • | 6.2.1 4 |
| | | | "Stable average operation time" recommends |
| | | | that you modify in "MTBF". |
| | | | Because MTBF of the general LED is up to |
| | | | around 50,000 hours, MTBF called 100,000 |
| | | | hours is not realistic. |
| | | • | 6.2.2 7 |
| | | | The controller side needs a female connection |
| | | | port. |
| 6.3 Colors | | • | 1. |
| | | | We recommend a Yellow not red from the |
| | | | viewpoint of visibility. But you cannot express it |
| | | | in full color when it is this statement. |
| 6.4 Brightness | | • | |
| 6.5 Size of displayed | | • | Please add standard TCN 331-05. |
| characters | | ٠ | 1. |
| | | | Because resolution is low, in the case of dot |
| | | | indication of the LED, we recommend 450 mm. |
| 6.6 Observation | | • | 2. |
| capacity | | | The distance of 250m is not realistic. Please |
| | | | refer to Appendix 4 of the SAPI report. |
| | | • | 3. |
| | | | The angle of 30 degrees in not realistic. Please |
| | | | refer to Appendix 4 of the SAPI report. |
| 6.7 Information | | • | 2. |
| communication | | | Please delete "through storage code" because it |
| | | | is indicated by dot control. |
| 6.8 Operation | | • | 2. |
| environment | | | 98% of humidity is not realistic because 95 % |
| | | | are composed with specifications as for most |
| | | | products. |
| 6.9 Power supply and | | • | 1. |
| electricity safety | | | The power supply only in a solar energy is not |
| requirement | | | realistic. |
| 6.10 Requirement of | | | |
| | | | |
| / Iesting method | | The | ese tests are test items at the laboratories. If you |
| | | stat | e it in TCVN, we recommend that you write only a |
| | | test | item clearly. It is proper to show the detailed |
| 0 Labalia a | | con | tents of the test to Appendix. |
| o Labeling | | | |
| | | | |

4. Review Comments for SG-7: TCVN on ETC System

Reviewed results of the 2nd Draft TCVN are shown on the table below.

- In Foreword, QCVN is not correct. That is TCVN. And correct process should be written.
- Unified the terminologies in all paragraphs.

| Article Number in TCVN | Classification of Reviewed Result | Comments |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1 General Provisions | | |
| 1.1 Scope | | |
| 1.2 Reference Materials | | To add the standards as follows, GETC-A07200N ETC Roadside Equipment Specification GETC-A07210N ETC On-Board Unit Specification GETC-A07220N ETC Application Interface Specification ISO/IEC 18092:2013 Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Near field communication - Interface and protocol (NFCIP-1) ISO/IEC 14443-2:2010 Identification cards - Contactless integrated circuit cards - Proximity cards - Part 2: Radio frequency power and signal interface ISO/IEC 14443-3:2011 Identification cards - Contactless integrated circuit cards - Proximity cards - Part 3: Initialization and anticollision ISO/IEC 14443-4:2008 Identification cards - Contactless integrated circuit cards - Proximity cards - Part 4: Transmission protocol ISO/IEC 18000-1:2008 Information technology - Radio frequency identification for item management - Part 1: Reference architecture and definition of parameters to be standardized ISO/IEC 18000-6:2013 Information technology - Radio frequency identification for item management - Part 6: Parameters for air interface communications at 860 MHz |
| 1.3 Terms and Definitions | | About "IC card", IC card is able to stored "account value". According to the current situation, IC card is used by passengers of Bus and will be used by passengers of all public transportation. The IC-card which is used for the public transportation, it is able to store the value. Then, realize interoperability and convenience for user, the IC card shall be used the both toll payment and public transportation. Therefore, |

| | the value shall be stored in "IC card". |
|--|--|
| | Shall add the terms and definition of as follows, "IC card reader/writer": It is the receiver and transmitter to exchange message for proximity card. "Toll office (as Operation Office)": It is located at the adjacent space of tollgate and equipped with some equipments for toll collection as in paragraph 4.3.3. "Toll Management Center": It is located in the Sectional Road Management Office (as Road Management Office) which is equipped with Toll Management Center Server to receive, compile and manage the Transaction data, Toll collection data relating to toll collection from Toll Management Server from each Tollgate |
| 1.4 Abbreviations | - |
| 2 Regulations on Toll Counting | |
| 2.1 Defining Type of Vehicle | IC-Card might be used by another type of vehicle user (driver). In the case, not necessary to record the vehicle type information in IC-Card. Then, in case of IC-Card payment, recognition of vehicle type shall be done by toll collector as same as manual tolling. |
| 2.2 Defining Mileage of Vehicle | Enrty interchange information shall be recorded OBU and IC-Card. |
| 3 Toll Collection Processing | |
| 3.1 General Processing | About account value, in case of the value is recorded on IC-Card, the value might be used for payment of toll fee, but NOT only. The card might be used for public transportation and so on. For toll collection in open method, the system shall be recorded the new account balance on OBU/IC-Card automatically after deducting toll fee. |
| 3.2 OBU/IC-Card Issuance | IC-Card might be used by another type of vehicle user (driver). In the case, not necessary to record the vehicle's information in IC-Card. Then, in case of IC-Card payment, recognition of vehicle type shall be done by toll collector as same as manual tolling. OBU/IC-Card data sets and data components in 4.5.1 and 4.5.2. |
| 3.3 Account Refilling | |
| 3.4 Toll Collection Processing at Tollgate in open method | |
| 3.4.1 Toll process at Touch & Go lane | In case of "Cases 2 a)", the vehicle type shall NOT be recorded the IC-Card according to 3.2 |

| in open method | | above. Therefore, the vehicle type is NOT the same with the recognized vehicle type by toll collector: |
|------------------------|-------|--|
| 3.4.2 Process at | | |
| ETC lane in | | |
| open method | | |
| | | |
| 3.5 Ioli Collection | | |
| Processing at | | |
| Ioligate in close | | |
| method | | |
| 3.5.1 Toll collection | • | a) Processing at entry lane, in case of "Cases 2 |
| processing at | | 1)", the vehicle type shall NOT be recorded the |
| Touch & Go lane | | IC-Card according to 3.2 above. Therefore, the |
| in close method | | vehicle type is NOT the same with the |
| | | recognized vehicle type by toll collector: |
| 3.5.2 Toll collection | • | b) Processing at exit lane in case of "Cases 2 |
| processing at | | 2)" the vehicle type shall NOT be recorded the |
| ETC lane in | | IC-Card according to 3.2 above. Therefore, the |
| | | volicio type is NOT the same with the |
| close method | | recognized vehicle type by fell collector: |
| 2.6 Clearing Center | | recognized venicle type by toll collector. |
| | | |
| Processing | | |
| | | |
| Requirements | | |
| 4.1 General | • | Reliability and Back-up system, the toll collection |
| Requirements | | system are the most important infrastructure for |
| | | collecting the toll fee. The reliability shall be high, |
| | | therefore the system shall be equipped with the |
| | | countermeasure method for system down. |
| | • | "Toll office" shall be located at adjacent place to |
| | | tollgate for management and administrate to |
| | | tollgate staffs. The system ready to connect with |
| | | toll office, toll management center as RMO. |
| | | Bank settlement center. |
| 4.2 Tollgate Structure | | |
| 4.2.1 Layout of | | |
| Lane | | |
| 4.2.2 Toll Plaza | • | For secure the safety of toll booth staff such as |
| | | toll collectors when they cross the ETC lane, |
| | | especially, the lane crossing zone and gate shall |
| | | be located at ETC lane. |
| 4.2.3 Pavement, | _ | |
| Deceleration Bar | | |
| 4.2.4 Traffic Signs | | |
| 125 Arrangement | | |
| 4.2.3 Ananyement | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Lanes | | — |
| 4.2.6 Arrangement | • | For smooth operation of toll collection, the lane |
| of Equipment on | | shall be equipped with IC-Card reader/writer |
| Electronic Toll | | which is used when the radio communication |
| Collection (ETC) | | failed. In the case, take out the IC-Card from the |
| Lanes | | OBU, and hand out to toll collector. Then, to |
| | | deduct the toll fee from the account value. |

| 4.2.7 Arrangement of Equipment on combination of Touch & Go and Electronic Toll Collection (ETC) | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| 4.3 System Equipment | | • | For secure the interoperability and interconnectivity, shall add the requirement as follows, ➤ The equipments shall be capable of having following communication interfaces in order to ensure that equipment to be communicated or controllable with each equipments. ♦ 1) The name of connector (complied standard) ♦ 2) Transmission data format ♦ 3) Necessary information or specification of equipment shall be capable of being disclosed in order to secure the interoperability and interconnectivity of equipment. Furthermore, they shall be capable of being disclosed on the disclosing demand, including the contents that are not described as above |
| 4.3.1 Road Vehicle Communication Equipment | | • | Type of vehicle information shall be recorded in OBU. |
| | | • | In case of the category of vehicle for toll fee is changed, the type of vechicle information shall be changed. Therefore, shall prepare the function as follows, All information of vehicle registration sheet should be input in OBU, however, the memory of OBU is consumed. Raod Vehicle Communication system or OBU issuing system shall be implemented rewriting function of information in OBU. |
| 4.3.2 Equipment at lane | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • | CCTV camera shall be equipped in toll booth for surveillance of activities of the driver and the toll collector. The lifetime of LED element shall be more than 50,000 hours (approx. 5.7 years). Add, the meaning of lifetime is that an attenuation of brightness to be 50%. |
| 4.3.3 Equipment in | | • | The storage of servers shall be regulated by record duration of all transaction data |
| 4.3.4 Auxiliary Equipment System | | | |
| 4.3.5 Reliability and Backup Equipment | | | |

| 4.4.6 Network System and Transmission Line | | | |
|---|------------------|------|---|
| 4.4.7 Power Supply | | | |
| 4.4 Software System | | | |
| 4 4 1 General | | | For secure the interoperability and |
| Requirements | | | For secure the interoperability and interconnectivity, shall add the requirement as follows, ➤ The software shall be capable of having following communication interfaces in order to ensure that software to be communicated or controllable with each software. ♦ 1) The name of implementation software ♦ 2) Transmission data format ♦ 3) Necessary information or specification of software shall be capable of being disclosed in order to secure the interoperability and interconnectivity of software. Furthermore, they shall be capable of being disclosed on the disclosing demand, including the contents that are not described as above. |
| 4.4.2 Processing Software at Lane | MISMATCH SAPI | WITH | Black list (Invalid data set) shall be recorded and renewed periodically. (*the list contain shall be invalidated OBU/IC-Card) Invalidation function of OBU/IC-Card which is in Black list at toll gate. |
| 4.4.3 Management Software in Center at Tollgate | MISMATCH SAPI | WITH | Black list (Invalid data set) shall be recorded and renewed periodically. (*the list contain shall be invalidated OBU/IC-Card) |
| 4.4.4 Software of Comparing/Settlem ent | MISMATCH SAPI | WITH | Black list (Invalid data set) shall be recorded and renewed periodically. (*the list contain shall be invalidated OBU/IC-Card) |
| 4.5 Transaction | | | |
| 4.6 Model of Inter- | | | |
| Tollgate Connection and Settlement | | | |
| 4.7 Requirements on | | | |
| Security, | | | |
| 5 Requirement on | | | |
| Reports | | | |
| 6 Related Requirement | | | |

5. Review Comments for SG-8: TCVN on Management Centers/Offices

Reviewed results of the 2nd Draft TCVN are shown on the table below.

• By a statement of Foreword, you revise QCVN in TCVN.

| Article Number in TCVN | Classification of Reviewed Result | Comments |
|---|--|--|
| 1 Application Scope | | |
| 2 Reference Materials | | Refer to other ISO. |
| 3 Terminology and | | • 3.7, 3.11 shall be written on the draft |
| Definition | | national standard of ETC, SG-7. |
| | | 3.9 You should add "optimum route selection" to the end of a sentence. |
| 4 Abbreviations | | |
| 5 General Regulations | | |
| 5.1 Road Management Offices | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | 5.1.2 d This description should be included in 5.2. Because you should receive various events in a lump in a Regional Main Center. |
| | | 5.1.3 This system should be utilized to manage the section on own expressway. Because the total information is gathered in Regional Main Center. |
| 5.2 Regional Main Center | | |
| 5.3 Integrated system for Traffic Monitoring and Control on Expressways | COUNTER TO MOD OF MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | 5.3.1 Figure 1 You should revise a figure to be different from Minutes of Discussions. |
| | COUNTER TO MOD OF MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | 5.3.4 You should delete Road Management Office because it is inefficient that Road Management Office exchanges data for other TMC. |
| 6 Requiprements on Design and Equipment Development at TMC | | |
| 6.1 Design Scope | | |
| 6.2 Equipment Room | | |
| 6.3 Traffic Operation | | |
| Room | | |
| 6.4 Telephone System | | 6.4.3 a) Confirmation is necessary, because "The number of trunks shall be designed to have redundancy to ensure high availability level for the communication connection to the outside." is contents influencing the carrier side. |
| 6.5 Data Transmission Network | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | 6.5.1, 6.5.2 It is not necessary to connect Road Management Office and the outside on the Internet. |

| | | | The Internet connection is necessary to provide traffic information. Because the access from the several places is non-efficiency, Regional Main Center manages it comprehensively. |
|---|--|---|---|
| 6.6 Supporting Device Systems | | • | 6.6.3 a) It is unrealistic to keep the humidity of 40~45 %. |
| 6.7 Requirements on Information Safety Security | | | |
| 7 Exchanged Information and Data among Traffic Management Centers | | | |
| 7.1 Exchange of Trattic Images Data | | • | 7.1.1 It is unnecessary to share data between Road Management Offices. The volume of moving image data is huge, and to suppress the traffic of the network. |
| | | • | 7.1.1 c) "Center" should be changed to "Regional Main Center". |
| | | • | 7.1.6 b) There is not the authority to reject a camera control request of Regional Main Center in Road Management Office. |
| 7.2 Exchange of Traffic Volume Data | | • | Confirmation of the consistency with the title is necessary. |
| 7.3 Exchange of Weather Data | | • | 7.3.4 "vehicle detector" should be changed "weather sensor". |
| 7.4 Exchange of Vehicle Detection Data | MISMATCH WITH SAPI | • | license plate should be deleted. Enforcement of traffic violations is not included in Road Operator and Traffic Management organization's operations. |
| 7.5 Exchange of Vehicle Axle Load Control Data | | | |
| 7.6 Exchange of Traffic Event Information | | • | 7.6.1 Management and supervised of all event information should be done in Regional Main Center. |
| 7.7 Exchange of Information Displayed on Electronic Traffic Signs | COUNTER TO MOD OF MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | • | 7.7.1 Regional Main Center should decide the event information and the VMS display information based on a report from Road Management Office. When there is not traffic information, you are able to decide VMS display information in Road Management Office. |
| | | • | 7.7.2 Data on list of installed electronic traffic signs should be managed in Regional Main Center. |
| | COUNTER TO MOD OF | • | 774 |

| | MOT-SAPI, MARCH 21, 2014 | A determination and rejection for the traffic information from RMC are not duties of RMO. |
|---|--------------------------|---|
| 7.8 Exchange of Toll | | |
| 7.9 Requirements on Informatin and Data Exchange Frequency among TMC | | • You should not exchange all data between Road Management Offices. It is not realistic to disclose all information to other operators. |
| | | Table 1 We recommend that you consider again in Exchange Frequency to do data management in Regional Main Center. |
| 7.10 Requirements on Communication Standards among Centers | | 7.10.1 We recommend addition of "such as" in "(SOAP/WSDL/UDDI)". Because the technology innovation of this field is rapidly. |
| | | 7.10.2 "among Traffic Management Centers" should modify it in" Regional Main Center". Because the Internet connection assumes only Regional Main Center. |
| | | For secure the interoperability and interconnectivity, shall add the requirement as follows, The system shall be capable of having following communication interfaces in order to ensure that hardware/software to be communicated or controllable with each hardware/software. 1)The name of implementation software 2) Transmission data format 3) Necessary information or specification of hardware/software shall be capable of being disclosed in order to secure the interoperability and interconnectivity of hardware/software. Furthermore, they shall be capable of being disclosed promptly based on the disclosing demand, including the contents that are not described as above. |
| 8 Requirements on Management Software System | | • 014b) |
| 8.1 Management Software System at Road Management Offices | | 8.1.1 n) This sentence shall be written on the draft national standard of ETC, SG-7. |
| 8.2 Management Software at Regional Main Center | | 8.2.1 You should include the VMS data, event information data, weather data and |

| | | information on overload. |
|--|---|--|
| | • | 8.2.1 c) This GIS system is not suitable for display of the traffic information. |
| 8.3 Software for providing traffic information through Internet | • | 8.3.2 This sentence should delete it from the viewpoint of security. |

PART 2: SPECIFICATIONS FOR SYSTEM CONNECTION/COOPERATION (BETWEEN NORTHERN REGIONAL MAIN CENTER AND ITS OF ADJOINING SECTION)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | General | 1 |
|--------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2. | Materials for Reference | 1 |
| 3. | Terminology | 2 |
| 3.1 | Definitions | 2 |
| 3.2 | Abbreviations | 3 |
| 4. 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 | Target Connecting Interface Target Connecting Interfaces on Communication Network Two Cases of Structuring Connecting Network/Interfaces Physical Specifications of Target Connecting Interface Target Connecting Interfaces on System Architecture | 4 4 5 7 9 |
| 5. | Specifications for Voice Communication | 11 |
| 5.1 | Requirements on System Functions | 11 |
| 5.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 12 |
| 5.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 13 |
| 6. | Specifications for CCTV Monitoring | 14 |
| 6.1 | Requirements on System Functions | 14 |
| 6.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 15 |
| 6.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 15 |
| 7. | Specifications for Traffic Event Data Management | 17 |
| 7.1 | Requirements on System Functions | 17 |
| 7.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 18 |
| 7.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 19 |
| 8. | Specifications for VMS Indication | 21 |
| 8.1 | Requirements on System Functions | 21 |
| 8.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 22 |
| 8.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 22 |
| 9. | Specifications for Integrated Data Management | 25 |
| 9.1 | Requirements on System Functions | 25 |
| 9.2 | Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface | 25 |
| 9.3 | Protocol/Message Specifications | 26 |
| 10. | Data Dictionary | 28 |

1. General

The Northern Regional Main Center is to be installed by the ITS Integration Project with its equipment and communication network at roadside. On the other hand, a number of ITS equipment components and communication networks are to be installed by the projects of many expressway sections with their Road Management Offices separately from the ITS Integration Project, in near future. Such separated ITS installation at respective expressway sections can cause the problems that the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data cannot be secured between their systems and the system in the Northern Regional Main Center.

In order to avoid such potential problems, this document provides the Specifications for System Connection/Cooperation, which shall be applied, for securing connection to the system in the Northern Regional Main Center to be installed by the ITS Integration Project, to the connecting networks/interfaces of the systems of ITS to be separately installed in the adjoining expressway sections.

2. Materials for Reference

- Decree No. 32/2014/ND-CP: on Management, Operation and Maintenance of Expressway Works
- Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT: Guideline some Contents on Management, Operation and Maintenance of Expressway Works
- ITU-T Y. 1541:Network performance objectives for IP-based services
- ITU-T G711: Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) of Voice Frequencies
- ITU-T G165: Echo Cancellers
- ITU-T E161Arrangements of digits, letters and symbols on telephones and other devices that can be used for gaining access to a telephone network
- ITU-T X.200, ISO/IEC 7498-1: Information Technology Open Systems Interconnection Basic Reference Model: The Basic Model (OSI Reference Model)
- IETF RFC 791: Internet Protocol (IP)
- IETF RFC 793: Transmission Control Protocol (TCP)
- IETF RFC 768: User Datagram Protocol (UDP)
- IETF RFC 894: A Standard for the Transmission of IP Datagrams over Ethernet Networks (IP over Ethernet)
- IETF REC 792: Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMP)
- IETF RFC 1157: Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)
- IETF, RFC 3261: Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
- IETF, RFC3262: Reliability of Provisional Responses in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
- IETF, RFC 3263: Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Locating SIP Servers
- IETF, RFC 3264: An Offer/Answer Model with the Session Description Protocol (SDP)
- IETF, RFC 3265: Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Specific Event Notification
- IETF, RFC 3550: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (RTP)
- IETF, RFC 4566: Session Description Protocol (SDP)
- TCVN 8068: 2009: VoIP telephone service Requirements

- ISO/IEC 14496-2: MPEG4-Part 2
- ITU-T H. 264 and ISO/IEC 14496-10: MPEG4-Part 10
- IEEE 802.3af: Power over Ethernet
- IEEE 802.3at: 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX PoE Plus
- ISO/IEC 14496: Coding of audio-visual objects.

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions

- **Connectivity:** To be capable of securing connection of the communication network between the different systems (installed in the different road sections).
- Interoperability: To be capable of sharing the data among the different systems (operated by the different road operators).
- **Compatibility:** To be capable of sharing the interchangeable devices among the different systems (provided by the different suppliers).
- Voice Communication: This is the system function which allows to send an emergency call and a request for help to the Main Centers and road management offices at an incident occurrence using telephones installed at roadsides, rest areas and tunnel sections and by administrative telephones installed at the toll management offices. It also allows instantly sending instructions to the units concerned for clearing incidents and enforcing traffic regulations.
- **CCTV Monitoring:** This is the system function which allows the road operators to capture the current situation of traffic accidents, broken-down vehicles, left obstacles, driving in the reverse direction, vandalism, natural disaster and traffic conditions on the expressways and to monitor the video image at the Main Centers and road management offices by using cameras installed at road sections where traffic can get stuck easily by incidents and at long tunnel sections.
- **Traffic Event Data Management:** This is the system function which allows the road operators to conduct traffic control, regulation and information dissemination on the expressway, in a unified/integrated form, by categorizing the results (acquired through emergency telephones, mobile radio communication, event detection, traffic analysis and weather monitoring) and by organizing them as the data of traffic events specified by the place/ time of occurrence and the priority.
- VMS Indication: This is the system function which allows road operators to provide the road users on the expressways with the information organized as traffic events by using VMS (Variable Message Sign) installed at locations short of entrances, exits, tollgates, junctions and tunnels.
- Integrated Data Management: This is the system function which allows the road operators to utilize acquired data such as traffic events, traffic volume, large vehicle ratio and measured axle loads of heavy trucks for developing inspection and budget plan of road maintenance and to check validity of toll revenue in comparison with traffic data.

3.2 Abbreviations

- BOT: Build Operate Transfer
- CCTV: Closed-circuit Television
- CSS: Changeable Speed limit Sign
- CSV: Comma-separated Values
- HPC: Hanoi People's Committee
- ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol
- IP: Internet Protocol
- ITS: Intelligent Transport Systems
- L3SW: Layer 3 Switch
- NRMC: Northern Regional Main Center
- **PSTN:** Public Switched Telephone Networks
- RMO: Road Management Office
- RTP: Real-time Transport Protocol
- **RTSP:** Real Time Streaming Protocol
- SDP: Session Description Protocol
- SFP: Small Form factor Pluggable
- SGML: Standard Generalized Markup Language
- SIP: Session Initiation Protocol
- SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol
- TCP: Transmission Control Protocol
- **UDP:** User Datagram Protocol
- UTF: UCS Transformation Format
- VEC: Vietnam Expressway Corporation
- VMS: Variable Message Sign
- VoIP: Voice over Internet Protocol
- XML: EXtensible Markup Language.

4. Target Connecting Interfaces

4.1 Target Connecting Interfaces on Communication Network

1) Hierarchical Communication Network Structure

For the integration of traffic information/control, a hierarchical communication network structure is to be established as shown in the figure below. Equipment components at roadside are required to be integrated under a Road Management Office in each road section through the Road Section Layer of communication network. In addition, the Road Management Offices are required to be integrated under the Northern regional Main Center through the Integration Layer of communication network for securing cooperation among the systems installed in the respective road sections.

There are connecting interfaces, on the Integration Layer, on the borders between the respective pairs of adjoining road sections, where systems are to be installed in the different projects. For such connecting interfaces, it is required to secure the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data.



Figure 4.1 Hierarchical Communication Network Structure

2) Target Connecting Interfaces on Optical Fiber Cable Network

ITS equipment components of the adjoining road sections including the following three sections are planned to be installed separately from the ITS Integration Project in near future; because these adjoining sections are not included in the Scope of the Project:

- Ha Noi Hai Phong
- Ha Noi Thai Nguyen
- Noi Bai Lao Cai.

Additionally, some certain parts of ITS equipment components of the following two road sections

are planned to be installed separately from the Project as the investment by BOT companies in near future; although these sections are included in the Scope of the Project:

- Phap Van–Cau Gie
- Ha Noi–Bac Giang.

ITS installation at these road sections separated from the Project can cause the problems that the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data cannot be secured between their systems and the System installed in the Project. In order to avoid such problems, the specifications at the connecting interfaces are to be defined in this document.





4.2 Two Cases of Structuring Connecting Network/Interfaces

The timing of ITS installation at these road sections discussed above can be different from the timing of implementation of the ITS Integration Project.

There can be the following two cases of structuring the connection between the road sections under the Project and the adjoining road section (or the BOT section) outside the Project:

- Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section
- Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project.

The outlines of these two cases are shown in the figure below. In both cases, the connecting interface is located between the two ring-shaped optical fiber cable networks prepared for the road sections under the Project and for the Adjoining (or BOT) Section, and the interface is to be formed by the cable joints installed in a cable chamber. In other words, the target connecting interface for system cooperation is established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining Section.



Figure 4.3 Two Cases of Structuring Connecting Network/Interface

Source: The Study Team

3) Demarcation/Requirements on Installation of Connecting Network/Interfaces

The demarcation and requirements on the installation of the connecting network/interfaces are described below.

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

- In the ITS implementation of the adjoining road section (or the BOT section), a cable chamber shall be installed in its site close against the border with the neighboring section under the ITS Integration Project, and a cable duct shall be installed for connecting the Road Management Office of the adjoining road section (or the BOT section) and the cable chamber. The optical fiber cables along in the cable duct and the cable joints in the chamber shall be installed at the same time for preparing the connecting network.
- Then, in the ITS Integration Project, another cable duct and the optical fiber cable will be installed for connecting the cable chamber and the Road Management Office of the neighboring section and the connecting network will be established.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

- In the ITS Integration Project, a cable chamber is to be installed in its site close against the border with the neighboring adjoining road section (or the BOT section), and a cable duct shall be installed for connecting a Road Management Office under the Project and the cable chamber. The optical fiber cables along in the cable duct and the cable joints in the chamber shall be installed at the same time for preparing the connecting network.
- Then, in the ITS implementation of the adjoining road section (or the BOT section), another cable duct and the optical fiber cable shall be installed for connecting the cable chamber and the Road Management Office of the section and the connecting network shall be established.

4.3 Physical Specifications of Target Connecting Interface

- 1) Optical Fiber Cable
 - The optical fiber cable to be installed shall be compatible to the ITU-T Recommendation G.652.D.
 - As for the fiber core connection method, any type connection is acceptable provided that the end to end loss of the optical fiber core shall be the acceptable quality of connecting SFP transceiver module.
 - Although the communication traffic from one road management office to the regional main center is small compared to its transmittable capacity of one optical fiber core, 4 cores are recommended to connect between the Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office taking necessary construction years of the expressway into consideration.
 - The breakdown of 4 cores is active 2 cores for upstream and downstream respectively, and another 2 cores for redundancy of the active cores. In addition to the above 4 cores, additional 4 cores are reserved for future necessity. The number of these cores is the capacity that is enough when a section of the one which is not adjoining becomes the addition. Therefore in total 8 cores are recommended to install and connect between the Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office.

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

• VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the optical fiber cable to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of communication network.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the technical specifications of the optical fiber cable shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of communication network.

2) L3SW

Following Interface should be supported:

- 1000Base-T Interface (RJ-45 connector)
- Interface for Gigabit SFP Transceiver Module for single mode optical fiber cable that is compatible for ITU-T G652.D.

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

• VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of L3SW to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of communication network.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the technical specifications of L3SW shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of communication network.

3) Transceiver

- As for the transceiver called SFP (small form-factor pluggable) transceiver module to be necessary between Layer 3 Switch (L3SW) and end of optical fiber cable to be connected to the L3SW for electric-optic conversion of transmitting data and vice versa, there is no international standard in fact. Therefore, if the L3SW to be installed in Target Road Management Office is not compatible to the one installed in Regional Main Center, the responsible organization of Regional Main Center shall install the compatible L3SW at the Target Road Management Office.
- The responsible organization of Regional Main Center shall connect with the L3SW installed in Target Road Management Office through minimum 1000Base-T or equivalent cable.

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

 VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the transceiver to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of communication network.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the technical specifications of the transceiver shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish

the connection of communication network.

4.4 Target Connecting Interfaces on System Architecture

The target connecting interface between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section aforementioned are shown as the interfaces [1] to [5] on the system architecture in the following pages.

These connecting interfaces are requisite for actualizing respectively the following functions:

• [1] for Voice Communication:

which is needed for the operator in the Road Management Office to report the results of traffic information/control to the Regional Main Center and for the operator in the Regional Main Center to manage and supervise the traffic information/control in the events of serious incidents/accidents/disasters in accordance with the Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT and the Decree No.32/2014/ND-CP,

• [2] for CCTV Monitoring:

which is needed for the operator in the Regional Main Center to monitor the situation of the traffic information/control executed by the respective Road Management Offices both in the normal state and in the events of serious incidents/accidents/disasters in accordance with the Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT and the Decree No.32/2014/ND-CP,

• [3] for Traffic Event Data Management:

which is needed for the operator in the Road Management Office to send data of the results of traffic information/control to the Regional Main Center and for the operator in the Regional Main Center to deliver the compiled data over the expressway network to the Road Management Office in accordance with the Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT and the Decree No.32/2014/ND-CP,

• [4] for VMS Indication:

which is needed for the operator in the Regional Main Center to send the guidance of traffic information in the events of serious incidents/accidents/disasters in accordance with the Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT and the Decree No.32/2014/ND-CP,

• [5] for Integrated Data Management:

which is needed for the operator in the Road Management Office to send data of the results of axle load measurement to the Regional Main Center in accordance with the Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT and the Decree No.32/2014/ND-CP.

These functions will constitute the traffic information/control and the heavy truck control based on the cooperation among the System in the Northern Regional Main Center and the systems of the Adjoining (or BOT) Sections.



Figure 4.4 Target Connecting Interfaces for Traffic Information/Control

Figure 4.5 Target Connecting Interfaces for Integrated Data Management


5. Specifications for Voice Communication

5.1 Requirements on System Functions

The System for Voice Communication shall meet the following requirements:

- The System shall be capable of sending directives simultaneously from the operator in the Northern Regional Main Center to the Road Management Offices of the Adjoining (or BOT) Sections concerned with top-priority at any time for clearing incidents and enforcing traffic regulations.
- The System shall be capable of receiving report of current traffic conditions and of incident occurrence promptly in the Northern Regional Main Center from the operators from the Adjoining (or BOT) Sections.
- The System shall be capable of switching and connect the interactive voice and emergency directives among the Regional Main Center, the Road Management Offices and the Toll Offices.
- The SIP Server of the System shall be capable of controlling Quality of Service on voice communication within ITS related communication network.
- The SIP Server of the System shall be capable of connecting directive communication from directive communication console 100% without any calling loss within ITS related communication network.
- The SIP Server of the System shall be capable of functioning as proxy server which transmits connection request to another Server for Call Control when such request receives.
- The SIP Server of the System shall be capable of securing one to many communications.
- The SIP Server of the System shall be capable of functioning as registrar which manages users, IP addresses and telephone numbers.
- The VoIP Gateway of the System shall be capable of originating call from administrative telephone in the ITS communication network to PSTN and capable of receiving incoming call from PSTN to the administrative telephone in ITS communication network.
- The VoIP Gateway of the System shall be capable of resolving telephone number and IP address, and capable of connecting to the proper telephone terminal.
- The VoIP Gateway of the System shall be capable of converting call control signal in PSTN into call control signal in IP network, and vice versa, and it shall be capable of interconnecting between administrative telephone in ITS network and telephone in PSTN.

5.2 Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface

For actualizing the function of voice communication, the target connecting interface [1] shall be established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section as shown in the system architecture below.





5.3 Protocol/Message Specifications

1) Protocol Specifications

For securing the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data required for the voice communication, the following protocols shall be established at the Target Interface [1] between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section on the integration layer of communication network.

| | Protocol Specifications & Remarks | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Physical Layer | Optical Fiber Cable: 8 core (at least) Optical fiber standard: Generic cabling for customer premises (ISO/IEC 11801) Characteristics of single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.652) Characteristics of a non-zero dispersion shifted single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.655) Ethernet (IEEE 802.3) Power over Ethernet (IEEE 802.3af) 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX POE Plus (IEEE 802.3at) IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETE PEC 791) | | |
| Data Link Layer Network Layer Transport Layer Session Layer | IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETF RFC 791) TCP: Transmission Control Protocol (IETF RFC 793) UDP: User Datagram Protocol (IETF RFC 768) ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol (IETF RFC 894) | | |
| Presentation Layer Application Layer | SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol (IETF RFC 1157) SIP: Session Initiation Protocol (IETF RFC 3261) SDP: Session Description Protocol (IETF RFC 4566) RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (IETF RFC 3550) Character code: 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets (ISO/IEC 8859, with securing upward compatibility) UTF-8 (ISO/IEC 10646) Speech codec: Pulse Code Modulation (PCM ITU-T G.711) Conjugate Structure Algebraic Code Excited Linear Prediction (CS- ACELP ITU-T G.729) | | |

 Table 5.1 Protocol Specifications at [1] for Voice Communication

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

• VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the Target Interface [1] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of interface.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the protocol specifications of the Target Interface [1] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of interface.

2) Message Specifications

For securing the interoperability of data for Voice Communication between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, the message to be exchanged through the Target Interface [1] shall comply with the protocol specifications prescribed in SIP (IETF RFC3261).

6. Specifications for CCTV Monitoring

6.1 Requirements on System Functions

The System for CCTV Monitoring shall meet the following requirements:

- The System shall be capable of recognizing incident occurrences on the road and their type by using cameras located at every 2km or less along the through lanes of expressways and controlled/monitored remotely from the Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office; the incidents shall include traffic accidents, breakdown vehicles, left obstacles, reverse driving, vandalism, flood, natural disaster, fire, road damage, and traffic congestion.
- The System shall be capable of sending live video image of the existing conditions of traffic and events on the Adjoining (or BOT) Section both to the competent Road Management Office and to the Northern Regional Main Center, as the needs arises, respectively under the control of the operators in the Office and in the Center.
- The System shall be capable of recognizing the severity of incidents through identifying types of vehicles involved (such as trucks, buses and sedans) and identifying smoke or fire by appearance.
- The System shall be capable of installing roadside equipment for the monitoring to through lanes of Expressway in continuity.
- The System shall be capable of installing roadside equipment at the bottleneck spots on Expressway where traffic flow can easily be stuck by incidents.
- The System shall be capable of controlling roadside equipment remotely from the Regional Main Center in real time and from the Road Management Office at an occurrence of incident.
- The System shall be capable of storing the needed video images, such as the video image of traffic accident occurrence.
- The camera of the System shall be capable of making images of road traffic by using black/white or colour image continuously 24 hours a day, 365 days a year excluding time for regular maintenance.
- The camera of the System shall have auto-focus function to be controlled from "CCTV Monitor Console"
- The camera of the System shall be capable of correcting brightness of captured image automatically. (This is called the iris function.)
- The network camera controller of the System shall be capable of controlling CCTV cameras functions such as zooming, panning and tilting.
- The network camera controller of the System shall be capable of recording simultaneously and give remote access of live video streams from CCTV cameras.

6.2 Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface

For actualizing the function of CCTV monitoring, the target connecting interface [2] shall be established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section as shown in the system architecture below.





6.3 Protocol/Message Specifications

1) Protocol Specifications

For securing the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data required for the CCTV monitoring, the following protocols shall be provided at the Target Interface [2], between the systems of the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, on the integration layer of communication network.

| | Protocol Specifications & Remarks | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Physical Layer | Optical Fiber Cable: 8 core (at least) Optical fiber standard: Generic cabling for customer premises (ISO/IEC 11801) Characteristics of single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.652) Characteristics of a non-zero dispersion shifted single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.655) Ethernet (IEEE 802.3) Power over Ethernet (IEEE 802.3af) 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX PoE Plus (IEEE 802.3at) | | |
| Data Link Layer Network Layer Transport Layer Session Layer | IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETF RFC 791) TCP: Transmission Control Protocol (IETF RFC 793) UDP: User Datagram Protocol (IETF RFC 768) ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol (IETF RFC 894) | | |
| Presentation Layer Application Layer | SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol (IETF RFC 1157) RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (IETF RFC 3550) RTSP: Real Time Streaming Protocol (IETF RFC 2326) SDP: Session Description Protocol (IETF RFC 4566) Character code: 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets (ISO/IEC 8859, with securing upward compatibility) UTF-8 (ISO/IEC 10646) Video Image data: Coding of audio-visual objects (ISO/IEC 14496) MPEG4-Part2 (ISO/IEC 14496-2) MPEG4-Part10 (ISO/IEC 14496-10) H.264 (ITU-T) | | |

| Table 6.1 | Protocol | Specifications | at [2] for | CCTV Monitoring |
|-----------|----------|----------------|------------|------------------------|
|-----------|----------|----------------|------------|------------------------|

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

 VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the Target Interface [2] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of interface.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the protocol specifications of the Target Interface [2] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of interface.

2) Message Specifications

For securing the interoperability of data between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, the following messages to be exchanged through the Target Interface [2] shall be generated complying with the Data Dictionary defined in Chapter 10.

| Name of Message | A Pair of Equipment Components on Both Side of Interface through Which Message is Exchanged | | Name of Included Data Sets |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| lmage Data | CCTV Control/Monitoring | Network Camera Controller | Event Image Data Set |
| Message | Console (NRMC) | (RMO) | |

 Table 6.2 List of Messages to be exchanged through [2] for CCTV Monitoring

Note, NMRC: Northern Regional Main Center, RMO: Road Management Office (of Adjoining (or BOT) Section.

7. Specifications for Traffic Event Data Management

7.1 Requirements on System Functions

The System for Traffic Event Data Management shall meet the following requirements:

- The System shall be capable of measuring number of vehicles and vehicle speed at the midway point between a pair of adjacent interchanges and at the other specific point on the expressway network.
- The System shall be capable of providing the function for sharing the traffic event data between the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section and the Northern Regional Main Center.
- The System shall be capable of generating information in the form of traffic event from the results of CCTV monitoring, event detection, traffic analysis, and weather monitoring.
- The System shall be capable of generating the traffic event including traffic accidents, reverse driving, broken-down vehicle, left obstacle, natural disaster, vandalism, construction work, bad weather, and congestion.
- The System shall be capable of generating the traffic event including traffic restriction such as closure and speed limitation.
- The System shall be capable of identifying the generated events by kilo-meter post of the road sections and date/time.
- The System shall be capable of correlating a traffic event to its causal traffic event.
- The System shall be capable of indicating the categorized events in Vietnamese and English.
- The System shall be capable of storing the categorized events as the data for every 1 minute in a database.
- The System shall be capable of storing the transmitted traffic event data and message data from the Regional Main Center.
- The System shall be capable of registering and deleting and editing plural traffic event data.
- The System shall be capable of receiving, compiling and manage the Traffic Event Data.
- The System shall be capable of dividing Bad Weather event than three phases automatically.
- The System shall be capable of dividing Traffic Congestion event than three phases automatically.
- The System shall be capable of dividing Speed Limitation event than two phases automatically.

7.2 Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface

For actualizing the function of traffic event data management, the target connecting interface [3] shall be established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section as shown in the system architecture below.



Figure 7.1 Target Connecting Interface [3] for Traffic Event Data Management

7.3 Protocol/Message Specifications

1) Protocol Specifications

For securing the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data required for the traffic event data management, the following protocols shall be provided at the Target Interface [3], between the systems of the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, on the integration layer of communication network.

| | Protocol Specifications & Remarks | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Physical Layer | Optical Fiber Cable, a core (at least) Optical fiber standard: Generic cabling for customer premises (ISO/IEC 11801) Characteristics of single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.652) Characteristics of a non-zero dispersion shifted single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.655) Ethernet (IEEE 802.3) Power over Ethernet (IEEE 802.3af) 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX PoE Plus (IEEE 802.3at) IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETE REC.791) | | |
| Data Link Layer Network Layer Transport Layer Session Layer | IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETF RFC 791) TCP: Transmission Control Protocol (IETF RFC 793) UDP: User Datagram Protocol (IETF RFC 768) ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol (IETF RFC 894) | | |
| Presentation Layer Application Layer | SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol (IETF RFC 1157) RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (IETF RFC 3550) SDP: Session Description Protocol (IETF RFC 4566) Character code: 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets (ISO/IEC 8859, with securing upward compatibility) UTF-8 (ISO/IEC 10646) Text Data: Plane text CSV (IETF RFC4180) SGML (ISO 8879) XML (W3C XML 1.1) | | |

 Table 7.1 Protocol Specifications at [3] for Traffic Event Data Management

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

• VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the Target Interface [3] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of interface.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the protocol specifications of the Target Interface [3] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of interface.

2) Message Specifications

For securing the interoperability of data between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, the following messages to be exchanged through the Target Interface [3] shall be generated complying with the Data Dictionary defined in Chapter 10.

| Name of Message | A Pair of Equipment Con Interface through Which | nponents on Both Side of Message is Exchanged | Name of Included Data Sets |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| Incident Input Message | Traffic Event Data Server (RMO) | Traffic Event Data Server (NRMC) | Incident Data Set |
| Vehicle Detection | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Server (NRMC) | Vehicle Detection Data Set; |
| Message | (RMO) | | Traffic Volume Data Set |
| Traffic Congestion Input Message | Traffic Event Data Server (RMO) | Traffic Event Data Server (NRMC) | Traffic Congestion Data Set |
| Weather Observation | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Server | Weather Monitoring Data |
| Message | (RMO) | (NRMC) | Set |
| Bad Weather Input Message | Traffic Event Data Server (RMO) | Traffic Event Data Server (NRMC) | Bad Weather Data Set |
| Construction Work | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Server | Construction Work Data Set |
| Input Message | (RMO) | (NRMC) | |
| Traffic Restriction | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Restriction Data Set |
| Input Message | (RMO) | (NRMC) | |
| Traffic Event | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Server | Traffic Event Data Set |
| Message | (NRMC) | (RMO) | |

| Table 7.2 List of Messages to be exchang | ed through [3] for Traffic | Event Data Management |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------|

Note, NMRC: Northern Regional Main Center, RMO: Road Management Office (of Adjoining (or BOT) Section.

8. Specifications for VMS Indication

8.1 Requirements on System Functions

The System for VMS Indication shall meet the following requirements:

- System shall be capable of disseminating information in the form of traffic events by using VMSs located in front of entrance gates, exit gates, junctions and other places; the traffic events shall include traffic accidents, breakdown vehicles, left obstacles, reverse driving, vandalism, bad weather, flood, natural disaster, fire, construction works, traffic congestion, and traffic restrictions.
- The System shall be capable of sending guidance of the information to be indicated on the VMSs on Adjoining (or BOT) Section to the competent Road Management Office from the Northern Regional Main Center and of controlling the VMSs directly from the Northern Regional Main Center in case of serious incidents.
- The System shall be capable of indicating information on VMSs, under the control of the competent Road Management Office, in the form of traffic events which includes traffic accidents, reverse driving, breakdown vehicles, left obstacle, natural disaster, vandalism, construction work, bad weather, flood, fire, traffic congestion, and traffic restriction.
- The VMS of the System shall be capable of indicating information in Vietnamese and English.
- The VMS of the System shall be capable of indicating textual information to the drivers to read in their vehicles at the maximum speed 120 km/h.
- The VMS of the System shall be capable of installing roadside equipment in front of entrances, exits, junctions, and tunnels on the expressways.
- The System shall be capable of receiving the guidance from the Regional Main Center to the Road Management Office, irrespective of traffic event.
- The System shall be capable of minimizing load caused by data transmission, including video image on the communication system.

8.2 Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface

For actualizing the function of VMS indication, the target connecting interface [4] shall be established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section as shown in the system architecture below.





8.3 Protocol/Message Specifications

1) Protocol Specifications

For securing the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data required for the VMS Indication, the following protocols shall be provided at the Target Interface [4], between the systems of the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, on the integration layer of communication network.

| | Protocol Specifications & Remarks |
|--|--|
| Physical Layer | Optical Fiber Cable: 8 core (at least) Optical fiber standard: Generic cabling for customer premises (ISO/IEC 11801) Characteristics of single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.652) Characteristics of a non-zero dispersion shifted single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.655) Ethernet (IEEE 802.3) Power over Ethernet (IEEE 802.3af) 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX PoE Plus (IEEE 802.3at) |
| Data Link Layer Network Layer Transport Layer Session Layer | IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETF RFC 791) TCP: Transmission Control Protocol (IETF RFC 793) UDP: User Datagram Protocol (IETF RFC 768) ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol (IETF RFC 894) |
| Presentation Layer Application Layer | SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol (IETF RFC 1157) RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (IETF RFC 3550) SDP: Session Description Protocol (IETF RFC 4566) Character code: 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets (ISO/IEC 8859, with securing upward compatibility) UTF-8 (ISO/IEC 10646) Text Data: Plane text CSV (IETF RFC4180) SGML (ISO 8879) XML (W3C XML 1.1) Image Data: Binary |

| Table 6.1 Protocol Specifications at [4] for vivis indication | Table 8.1 | Protocol Specifications | at [4] for VMS Indication |
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
|---|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

 VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the Target Interface [4] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of interface.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the protocol specifications of the Target Interface [4] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of interface.

2) Message Specifications

For securing the interoperability of data between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, the following messages to be exchanged through the Target Interface [4] shall be generated complying with the Data Dictionary defined in Chapter 10.

| Name of Message | A Pair of Equipment Components on Both Side of Interface through Which Message is Exchanged | | Name of Included Data Sets |
|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| VMS Indication | VMS Center Controller | VMS Center Controller | VMS Indication Data Set |
| Message | (NRMC) | (RMO) | |
| VMS Control Input | VMS Center Controller | VMS Center Controller | VMS Control Input Data Set |
| Message | (RMO) | (NRMC) | |
| CSS Indication message | VMS Center Controller (NMRC) | VMS Center Controller (RMO) | CSS Indication Data Set |

Table 8.2 List of Messages to be exchanged through [4] for VMS Indication

Note, NMRC: Northern Regional Main Center, RMO: Road Management Office (of Adjoining (or BOT) Section.

9. Specifications for Integrated Data Management

9.1 Requirements on System Functions

The System for Integrated Data Management shall meet the following requirements:

- The System shall be capable of providing the function for integrating the data, which are generated in the Road Management Offices of the Adjoining (or BOT) Section for traffic information/control, toll collection and vehicle weighing, and the function for storing the integrated data in the Northern Regional Main Center.
- The System shall be capable of integrating the data sets of incident, traffic volume, traffic congestion, bad weather, construction work, traffic restriction, hourly toll collection and axle load management into a form of historical data records.
- The System shall be capable of compiling the recorded data corresponding to date/time and kilo-meter post of a road section.
- The System shall be capable of searching/calculating values required for checking validity of toll revenue in comparison with traffic data.

9.2 Detailed System Architecture and Target Connecting Interface

For actualizing the function of integrated data management, the target connecting interface [5] shall be established between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section as shown in the system architecture below.



Figure 9.1 Target Connecting Interface [5] for Integrated Data Management

9.3 Protocol/Message Specifications

1) Protocol Specifications

For securing the connectivity of network and the interoperability of data required for the integrated data management, the following protocols shall be provided at the Target Interface [5], between the systems of the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, on the integration layer of communication network.

| | Protocol Specifications & Remarks | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Physical Layer | Optical Fiber Cable: 8 core (at least) Optical fiber standard: Generic cabling for customer premises (ISO/IEC 11801) Characteristics of single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.652) Characteristics of a non-zero dispersion shifted single-mode optical fiber cable (ITU-T G.655) Ethernet (IEEE 802.3) Power over Ethernet (IEEE 802.3af) 10BASE-T/100BASE-TX PoE Plus (IEEE 802.3at) IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETE REC 791) | | |
| Data Link Layer Network Layer Transport Layer Session Layer | IP: Internet Protocol version 4 and 6 (IETF RFC 791) TCP: Transmission Control Protocol (IETF RFC 793) UDP: User Datagram Protocol (IETF RFC 768) ICMP: Internet Control Message Protocol (IETF RFC 894) | | |
| Presentation Layer Application Layer | SNMP: Simple Network Management Protocol (IETF RFC 1157) RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications (IETF RFC 3550) SDP: Session Description Protocol (IETF RFC 4566) Character code: 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets (ISO/IEC 8859, with securing upward compatibility) UTF-8 (ISO/IEC 10646) Text Data: Plane text CSV (IETF RFC4180) SGML (ISO 8879) XML (W3C XML 1.1) | | |

 Table 9.1 Protocol Specifications at [5] for Integrated Data Management

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

• VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the Target Interface [5] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the connection of interface.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the protocol specifications of the Target Interface [5] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the connection of interface.

2) Message Specifications

For securing the interoperability of data between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, the following messages to be exchanged through the Target Interface [5] shall be generated complying with the Data Dictionary defined in Chapter 10.

| Name of Message | A Pair of Equipment Components on Both Side of Interface through Which Message is Exchanged | | Name of Included Data Sets |
|-------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Over Loading Message | Heavy Truck Control Data Server (RMO) | Integrated Data Server (NRMC) | Axle Load Measurement Data Set; Axle Load Management Data Set |

Table 9.2 List of Messages to be exchanged through [5] for Integrated Data Management

Note, NMRC: Northern Regional Main Center, RMO: Road Management Office (of Adjoining (or BOT) Section.

10. Data Dictionary

The data sets included in the messages aforementioned, which are to be exchanged through the target connecting interface between the Northern Regional Main Center and the Road Management Office of Adjoining (or BOT) Section, shall comprise the data elements and their attributes defined in the data dictionary below.

| | Major Data Set <origin></origin> | Data Elements | Туре | Digit | Set | Update Cycle | Storage Period for Origin | Definition |
|--------------------------|---|---|----------|-------|-----|------------------|---|--|
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| [3] [3] [3] [3] | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the road section where an incident occurred (Jurisdiction of a Road Management Office) |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the lane where an incident occurred (Numbered from the median) |
| | | Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the place where an incident occurred (For information dissemination) |
| | | Beginning Kilometer Post | тхт | 6 | 1 | | | The beginning kilometer post of the place where an incident occurred |
| | Data Set | Ending Kilometer Post | TXT | 6 | 1 | When an event | 1 year | The ending kilometer post of the place where an incident occurred |
| | <i -="" server=""></i> | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | occurs | | An unique identifier of a CCTV camera |
| | | Incident Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Class of incident input referring to the video image: - 1: Traffic Accident - 2: Incident in Tunnel - 3: Reverse Driving - 4: Broken-down Vehicle - 5: Left Obstacle - 6: Natural Disaster - 7: Vandalism |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | Vehicle | Road Management Office ID | IN1* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a CCTV comera |
| | Detection | Cumulative Number of Vehicles | INT* | 4 | 1 | Even/5 | Period for Origin Definition An unique identifier of a road managem An unique identifier of the road section v occurred (Jurisdiction of a Road Manage An unique identifier of the place where an (Numbered from the median) An unique identifier of the place where an (Numbered from the median) An unique identifier of the place where an (Cori information dissemination) The beginning kilometer post of the place wo occurred The ending kilometer post of the place wo occurred Second the ending kilometer post of the place wo occurred An unique identifier of a CCTV camera Total traffic volume per day vehicle detected by vehicle detected by vehicle detected by vehicle so the total Traffic volume per day vehicle class 1: C Traffic volume per day vehicle class 4: R | Cumulative number of vehicles detected by vehicle detector |
| [3] | Data Set | Vehicle Speed | FLOAT | 5 | | minutes | Latest | Vehicle speed detected by vehicle detector (unit: km/h) |
| | <g -="" venicle<br="">Detector></g> | Vehicle Length | FLOAT | 4 | N | | | Vehicle length detected by vehicle detector (unit:: m) |
| | Delector | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| | | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a CCTV camera |
| | | Total Traffic Volume per Day | | 5 | 1 | | | I otal traffic volume per day |
| | | Traffic Volume per Dav of vehicle | FLUAT | 5 | 1 | | | Percentage of large vehicles to the total number of vehicles |
| | | class 1 | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Traffic volume per day vehicle class 1: Ordinary vehicle |
| | | class 2 | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Traffic volume per day vehicle class 2: Large vehicle |
| | | Traffic Volume per Day of vehicle class 3 | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Traffic volume per day vehicle class 3: Trailer vehicle |
| | | class 4 | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Traffic volume per day vehicle class 4: Reserved |
| | | Traffic Volume per Day of vehicle class 5 | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Traffic volume per day vehicle class 5: Reserved |
| [3] | | Total Traffic Volume per Hour | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | Total traffic volume in the latest one hour |
| | | Large Vehicle Ratio | FLOAT | 5 | 1 | | | Percentage of large vehicles to the total number of vehicles |
| | Traffic Volume | class 1 | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | Ordinary vehicle |
| [3] | Data Set <g -="" td="" traffic<=""><td>Traffic Volume per Hour of vehicle class 2</td><td>INT*</td><td>4</td><td>1</td><td>Every 5</td><td>1 year</td><td>Traffic volume in the latest one hour of vehicle class 2: Large vehicle</td></g> | Traffic Volume per Hour of vehicle class 2 | INT* | 4 | 1 | Every 5 | 1 year | Traffic volume in the latest one hour of vehicle class 2: Large vehicle |
| | Analysis Processor> | Traffic Volume per Hour of vehicle class 3 | INT* | 4 | 1 | minutes | | Traffic volume in the latest one hour of vehicle class 3: Trailer vehicle |
| | | Traffic Volume per Hour of vehicle class 4 | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest one hour of vehicle class 4: Reserved |
| | | Traffic Volume per Hour of vehicle class 5 | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest one hour of vehicle class 5: Reserved |
| | | Total Traffic Volume per 15 minutes | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Total traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes |
| | | Traffic Volume per 15 minutes of vehicle class 1 | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes of vehicle class 1: Ordinary vehicle |
| | | Traffic Volume per 15 minutes of vehicle class 2 | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes of vehicle class 2: Large vehicle |
| | | Traffic Volume per 15 minutes of vehicle class 3 | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes of vehicle class 3: Trailer vehicle |
| | | Traffic Volume per 15 minutes of vehicle class 4 | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes of vehicle class 4: Reserved |
| | | Traffic Volume per 15 minutes of vehicle class 5 | INT* | 3 | 1 | | | Traffic volume in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes of vehicle class 5: Reserved |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | | | | | | | |

Table 10.1 Dictionary of Data to be exchanged through Target Connecting Interface

| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
|-------------------|--|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----|---------------------------|--------------|---|
| | | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a CCTV camera |
| | | Cumulative Number of Vehicles | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | in the latest 3 sets of 5 minutes |
| | | Average Vehicle Speed | INT* | 4 | 1 | | 1 year | Average value of detected vehicle speed in the latest 3 sets |
| [3] | Congestion Data Set <g -="" traffic<br="">Analysis Processor></g> | Traffic Congestion Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | Every 5 minutes | | Class of traffic congestion generated referring to the results -1: Congestion on Trough Lanes 1 -2: Congestion on Trough Lanes 2 -3: Congestion on Trough Lanes 3 -4: Crowdedness on Trough Lanes -5: Congestion at Exit 1 -6: Congestion at Exit 2 |
| [3] [3] [3] | | De signing 1/1- se stan De st | DIT | 0 | 4 | | | - 7: Congestion at Exit 3 |
| | | Beginning Kilometer Post | | 6 | 1 | | | The ending kilometer post of vehicle queuing |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| [3] | | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a weather monitoring device |
| | | Precipitation | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | Accumulated precipitation during specific 5 minutes (unit: mm) |
| | | Wind Speed | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | Average, minimum, and maximum observed wind speed during specific 5 minutes (unit:: m/s) |
| | | Visibility | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | Average, minimum, and maximum observed visibility during specific 5 minutes (unit:: m) |
| [3] | Weather Monitoring | Temperature | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | Every 5 | | Average, minimum, and maximum observed temperature |
| [3] | Data Set <g -="" td="" weather<=""><td>Alarm Status of Precipitation</td><td>INT*</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>minutes</td><td>Latest</td><td>Alarm to be issued when specific level of precipitation</td></g> | Alarm Status of Precipitation | INT* | 2 | 1 | minutes | Latest | Alarm to be issued when specific level of precipitation |
| | Sensor> | Alarm Status of Wind Speed | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Alarm to be issued when specific level of wind speed |
| | | Alorm Status of Visibility | INIT* | - | | | | aforementioned is detected Alarm to be issued when specific level of visibility |
| | | | INT . | 2 | | | | aforementioned is detected Alarm to be issued when specific level of temperature |
| | | Alarm Status of Temperature | INI* | 2 | 1 | | | aforementioned is detected |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| | | Roadside Equipment ID | INI | 4 | | | | Precipitation (converted from 10 min. data) measured by rain |
| | | Precipitation | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | gauge. (unit: mm/h) |
| | Bad Weather Data Set <g -="" weather<br="">Server></g> | Wind Speed | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | (unit: m/s) |
| | | Visibility | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | Visibility (10 min. average) measured by visibility sensor (unit:: m) |
| | | Temperature | FLOAT | 2 | 1 | | | Temperature (10 min. average) measured by thermometer (unit: Celsius degree) |
| [3] | | Heavy Rain Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | When a bad weather occurs | 1 year | Specifying bad weather in traffic event category and corresponding class of heavy rain in traffic event class: - 1: Heavy Rain 1 - 2: Heavy Rain 2 - 3: Heavy Rain 3 |
| | | High Wind Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Specifying bad weather in traffic event category and corresponding class of high wind in traffic event class: - 1: High Wind 1 - 2: High Wind 2 - 3: High Wind 3 |
| | | Low Visibility Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Specifying bad weather in traffic event category and corresponding class of lowering of visibility in traffic event class: - 1: Dense Fog 1 - 2: Dense Fog 2 - 3: Dense Fog 3 |
| | | High Temperature Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Specifying bad weather in traffic event category and corresponding class of high temperature in traffic event class: - 1: High Temperature |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | ≤14 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the road section where a construction |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the lane where a construction work |
| | | Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the place where a construction work |
| | | Beginning Kilometer Post | тхт | 6 | . 1 | | | applied (For information dissemination) The beginning kilometer post of the place where a |
| | Construction | Ending Kilomator Doot | TVT | 6 | 4 | When a | 1,000-08 | construction work applied The ending kilometer post of the place where a construction |
| [3] | Work Data Set | | | 0 | 1 | construction work is | end of | work applied Status of construction work: |
| | <i -="" server=""></i> | Construction Work Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | scheduled | construction | -1: Scheduled -2: Under construction -3: Finished |
| | | Number of document | | 20 | 1 | | | Unicial number of permission document |
| | | Date/Time Begin | TXT | o ≥14 | 1 | | | The begin time (Day/month/year/hour/minutes/second) of construction work |
| | | Date/Time End | тхт | ≥14 | 1 | | | construction work The end time (Day/month/year/hour/minutes/second) of |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | >14 | 1 | | | construction work Year/month/day./hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | | Dateutrie | 14 | | | | i coamonia ruogy mooinin iliaitesiseoonio oli generalling oala set |

| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
|-----|--|--|----------|-----|---|----------------------|--------------|---|
| | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | work applied (Jurisdiction of a Road Management Office) |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the lane where a construction work |
| | | | | - | | | | applied (Numbered from the median) An unique identifier of the place where a construction work |
| | | Place ID | IN I * | 4 | 1 | | | applied (For information dissemination) |
| | | Beginning Kilometer Post | TXT | 6 | 1 | | | The beginning kilometer post of the place where a traffic restriction applied |
| | Traffic Restriction | Ending Kilometer Post | TYT | 6 | 1 | When | 1 year after | The ending kilometer post of the place where a traffic |
| [3] | Data Set | | | 0 | | an event | end of | restriction applied |
| | <i -="" server=""></i> | Construction Work Status | INIT* | 2 | 1 | 000013 | TCSUICUOIT | - 1: Scheduled |
| | | Construction work Status | | 2 | • | | | - 2: Under construction - 3: Finished |
| | | Permission Date | TXT | 8 | 1 | | | The date (Day/month/year) of permission of traffic restriction |
| | | Date/Time Begin | TXT | ≥14 | 1 | | | The begin time (Day/month/year/hour/minutes/second) of traffic restriction |
| | | Date/Time End | TYT | >14 | 1 | | | The end time (Day/month/year/hour/minutes/second) of |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | >14 | 1 | | | traffic restriction |
| | | Traffic Event Data ID | INT | 8 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the traffic event data |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the road section where a traffic event occurred (Jurisdiction of a Road Management Office) |
| | | | | | | | | An unique identifier of a segmentation of road network |
| | | Road Link ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | divided by diverging/ merging points at interchanges/ iunctions or barrier tollgates |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the lane where a traffic event occurred |
| | | | | 4 | | | | (Numbered from the median) |
| | | Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | occurred (For information dissemination) |
| | | | | | | | | An unique identifier of traffic event data category: |
| | | | | | | | | - 1. Special Event |
| | | Traffic Event Category ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | - 3: Construction Work |
| | | 3 | | | | | | - 5: Traffic Congestion |
| | | | | | | | | - 6: Traffic |
| | | | | | | | | An unique identifier of traffic event data class |
| | Traffic Event Data Set ≤G(CServer> | | | | | | | 01: Special Event 19: High Temperature 02: Traffic Accident 20: Congestion on Trough Lanes 1 |
| | | | | | | | | 03: Incident in Tunnel 21: Congestion on Trough Lanes 2 04: Barran Division 22: Congestion on Trough Lanes 2 |
| | | | | | | | | 05. Broken-down Vehicle 23: Crowdedness on Trough Lanes |
| | | | | | | | | 06: Left Obstacle 24: Congestion at Exit 1 07: Natural Disaster 25: Congestion at Exit 2 |
| | | Traffic Event Class ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | When | | 08: Vandalism 26: Congestion at Exit 3 09: Construction Work 27: Entry Closure |
| [3] | | | | | | an event | 1 year | 10: Heavy Rain 1 28: Closure 11: Heavy Rain 2 29: Exit Closure |
| | | | | | | | | 12: Heavy Rain 3 30: Lane Closure |
| | | | | | | | | 14: High Wind 2 32: Speed Limitation 2 |
| | | | | | | | | 16: Dense Fog 1 |
| | | | | | | | | 17: Dense Fog 2 18: Dense Fog 3 |
| | | Causal Traffic Event Data ID | INT | 8 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the causal traffic event data |
| | | Beginning Kilometer Post | TXT | 6 | 1 | | | event occurred |
| | | Ending Kilometer Post | TXT | 6 | 1 | | | The ending kilometer post of the place where a traffic event |
| | | Input Person | TXT | 32 | 1 | | | occurred Name of the person who input traffic event data set |
| | | Event Status | TXT | 4 | 1 | | | Status of traffic event |
| | | Video Image address | TXT | 60 | 1 | | | The network address of where the Video image file is stored |
| | | Main Center Check Status | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | - 0: Not yet approved |
| | | | - | | | | | - 1: Approved |
| | | Road Management Office Check Status | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | - 0: Not yet approved |
| | | | | | | | | - 1: Approved Status of traffic event: |
| | | Status of Traffic Event | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | - 1: Occurred and existing |
| | | | - | | | | | - 2: Removed Day/month/war/hour/minutes/cocord of the traffic event |
| | | Date/Time End | TXT | ≥14 | 1 | | | input by operator |
| | | Date/Time Road Management Office ID | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a CCTV camera |
| | - | Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the place where the traffic event |
| [2] | Event Image Data Set | Video Image ID | INT | 8 | 1 | vvnen an event is | 1 year | An unique identifier of the video image |
| | <g -="" server=""></g> | Event Video Image | IMG | var | 1 | checked | , | Video image data during time interval from 5 min before |
| | | Traffic Event Data ID | INT | 8 | 1 | | | Incluent to 10 min after incident An unique identifier of the traffic event data |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| [4] | VMS Indication | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | When | 1 month | An unique identifier of a road management office |

| | Data Set | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | an event | | An unique identifier of a VMS |
|-----|--|-----------------------------------|----------|-------|----|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | <g -="" c="" server=""></g> | Traffic Event Class ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | occurs | | An unique identifier of a VMS An unique identifier of the place where a traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event (including indication of "Under Repair") Name of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the traffic event (including indication of "Under Repair") Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the traffic event class An unique identifier of the place where a traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred The characters input using data input device Year/month/day/hour/minutes/second of generating data set An unique identifier of a road management office An unique identifier of a road management office An unique identifier of install location of axle load scale An unique identifier of the lane of axle load scale An unique identifier of install location of axle load scale An unique identifier of ne and section where the axle load scale installed An unique identifier of neasured axle loads of a vehicle (unit: Ton) Status of the axle load scale: - 0: Normal - 1: Suspicious at overloading - 2: Overloaded Daily serial number for a vehicle passing through the axle load scale installed An unique identifier of the lane of axle load scale (Number of heavy trucks measured Number of heavy trucks measured Number of heavy trucks measured Number of heavy trucks measured Number of heavy trucks suspicious at |
| | | Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the traffic event class An unique identifier of the place where a traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event (including indication of "Under Repair") Name of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event occurred An unique identifier of a road management office An unique identifier of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the traffic event ocal scale (Numbered from the median) Number of axles (less than or equal to 10) Measurement data of load of an axle (unit: Ton) Maximum value of measured axle loads of a vehic (unit: Ton) Status of the axle load scale: - 0: Normal - 1: Suspicious at overloading - 2: Overloaded Daily serial number for a vehicle passing through I axle load scale installed An unique identifier of the road section where the axle load scale installed An unique identifier of the road section where the axle load scale installed An unique identifier of the road scale load scale (Nu |
| | | | | - | | | | occurred (For information dissemination) |
| | | Place Name | IXI | 28 | 1 | | | Name of the place where a traffic event occurred |
| | | Traffic Event ID | INT | 8 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the traffic event (including indication of "Under Repair") |
| | | Traffic Event Name | TXT | 20 | 1 | | | Name of the traffic event occurred |
| | | Causal Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event |
| | | Causal Place Name | TXT | 28 | 1 | | | Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| [4] | | Roadside Equipment ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a VMS |
| | | Traffic Event Class ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the traffic event class |
| | | Dia 10 | IN IT? | | | | | An unique identifier of the place where a traffic event |
| | | Place ID | IN1* | 4 | 1 | | | occurred (For information dissemination) |
| | VMS Control | Place Name | TXT | 28 | 1 | When | | Name of the place where a traffic event occurred |
| | Input Data Set | Traffic Event ID | INT | 8 | 1 | an event | 1 month | An unique identifier of the traffic event (including indication of "Under Repair") |
| | | Traffic Event Name | TXT | 20 | 1 | occurs | | Name of the traffic event occurred |
| | | Causal Place ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event |
| | | | | - | | | | occurred (For information dissemination) |
| | | Causal Place Name | | 28 | 1 | | | Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred |
| [4] | | Free Text | IXI | var | 1 | | | The characters input using data input device |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | CSS Indication | Road Management Office ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | When | | An unique identifier of a road management office |
| [4] | Data Set | Roadside Equipment ID | INI* | 4 | 1 | an event | 1 month | An unique identifier of a CSS |
| | <g -="" c="" server=""></g> | Speed Limit | INT* | 3 | 1 | occurs | An unique identifier of the place where a traffic event occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where a traffic event (including indicatio "Under Repair") Name of the traffic event (including indicatio "Under Repair") Name of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic e occurred (For information dissemination) Name of the place where the causal traffic event occurred Year/month/day/hour/minutes/second of generating data An unique identifier of a road management office An unique identifier of the traffic event cass An unique identifier of the traffic event cass An unique identifier of the traffic event cass An unique identifier of the traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event occurred An unique identifier of the place where the causal traffic event occurred An unique identifier of a CSS The innit speed input using data input device Year/month/day/hour/minutes/second of generating data An unique identifier of the road section where the tasle load scale installed An unique identifier of a CSS The limit speed input using data input device Year/month/day/hour/minutes/second of generating data An unique identifier of install location of axle load s a vertice | The limit speed input using data input device |
| | - | Date/Time | Datetime | ≥14 | 1 | | | Year/month/day /hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | When overloading data | 6 months | An unique identifier of the road section where the axle load scale installed |
| | | Axle Load Scale Location ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of install location of axle load scale |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of the lane of axle load scale (Numbered from the median) |
| | | Number of Axles | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | Number of axles (less than or equal to 10) |
| | Axle Load | Axle Load | INT* | 2 | 10 | | | Measurement data of load of an axle (unit: Ton) |
| [5] | Data Set | Maximum Arda Land | 1117+ | 0 | 4 | | | Maximum value of measured axle loads of a vehicle |
| [J] | <g -axle<="" td=""><td>Maximum Axle Load</td><td>IN1^</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>(unit: Ton)</td></g> | Maximum Axle Load | IN1^ | 2 | 1 | | | (unit: Ton) |
| | Load Scale> | | | | | detected | | Status of the axle load scale: |
| | | Axle Load Status | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | - 0: Normal |
| | | | | | | | | - 1: Suspicious at overloading |
| | | | | | | | | - 2. Overloaded |
| | | Serial Number of Vehicle | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Daily serial number for a vehicle passing through the |
| | | Date/Time | Datetime | >14 | 1 | | | Vear/month/day/hour/minutes/second of generating data set |
| | | Boad Owner ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of a road owner |
| | | | | | | | | An unique identifier of the road section where the |
| | | Road Section ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | axle load scale installed |
| | | Axle Load Scale Location ID | INT* | 4 | 1 | | | An unique identifier of install location of axle load scale |
| | | Lane ID | INT* | 2 | 1 | | | (Numbered from the median) |
| | | Date/Hour of Record | TXT | 10 | 1 | | | Day/month/year/hour of the record |
| | Avle Load | Number of Heavy Trucks | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Number of heavy trucks measured |
| | Management | Number of Suspicious Trucks | INT | 5 | 1 | | | Number of heavy trucks suspicious at overloading |
| [5] | Data Set | Number of Overloaded Trucks | INT | 5 | 1 | Hourly | 1 year | Number of heavy trucks overloaded |
| | <g c-server=""></g> | Axle Load Measurement Data Set | Set | var | | | | Axle load measurement data set of vehicle passing through axle load scale |
| | | | | | 1 | | | Status of the axle load scale: |
| | | Ayle Load Status | INT* | 2 | N | | | - 0: Normal |
| | | The Load Status | 1111 | ~ | IN | | | - 1: Suspicious at overloading |
| | | | | | | | | - 2: Overloaded |
| | | Serial Number of Vehicle | INT | 5 | | | | Daily serial number for a vehicle passing through the |
| | | Data/Tima | Detetion | ~ 4 4 | | | | Axie ioau scale. (For reference to other data set) |
| | | Date/TIMe | Datetime | 214 | 1 | | 1 | rear/monu/uay/nour/minutes/second or generating data set |

Note: IMG: Image, TXT: Text, FLOAT: Floating-point complex number, INT: Integer, INT*: Short integer, I: Input, G: Generated, C: Checked, R: Recorded. Source: The Study Team

Case-1: Precedent ITS Installation in Adjoining (or BOT) Section

 VEA shall instruct the road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section to disclose the detailed design specifications of the messages, the data set and the data dictionary to be exchanged through the Target Interface [1] to [5] to the ITS Integration Project, when the specifications are requested by the Project for securing the inter-operability of data and the cooperation of systems.

Case-2: Precedent ITS Installation in Sections under the Project

• The road operator of Adjoining (or BOT) Section shall confirm the specifications of the messages

and the data dictionary to be exchanged through the Target Interface [1] to [5] shown in the detailed design of the ITS Integration Project and shall establish the inter-operability of data and the cooperation of systems.

PART 3 : PROPOSED PREQUALIFICATION CRITERIA FOR PACKAGE-1 ON A D&B BASIS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | General | 1 |
|----|--|---|
| 2. | Concept of Standard Qualification Criteria | 1 |
| 3. | Proposed Prequalification Criteria for Package-1 | 4 |

1. General

The proposed prequalification criteria for Package-1 of the Project for implementation on a design and build basis are presented below for consideration at the beginning of the procurement process of the Project.

2. Concept of Standard Prequalification Criteria

1) Composition of Prequalification Documents

The Prequalification Documents should follow the JICA Standard Prequalification Documents of the latest edition (Version 1.1 of October 2012) comprising the following Sections (refer to the following JICA website:

http://www.jica.go.jp/english/our_work/types_of_assistance/oda_loans/oda_op_info/guide/te nder/index.html):

- 1) Section I: Instructions to Applicants (ITA), which shall be used without any alteration or modification.
- 2) Section II: Prequalification Data Sheet (PDS), which supplements the ITA and shall specify particulars of the Project, the Packages and the Prequalification process in a standard manner.
- 3) Section III: Qualification Criteria and Requirements, which sets out the qualification criteria and the requirements for the Applicants to meet to become a bidder. This Section III must be tailored to suite the respective project.
- 4) Section IV: Application Forms, which shall be filled in by the Applicants. Standard forms are available in the JICA Standard Prequalification Documents.
- 5) Section V: Eligible Source Countries of Japanese ODA Loans (at present, all countries and areas are eligible source countries).

As such, the proposed qualification criteria for Package-1 of the Project, Section III in particular, are discussed hereunder.

2) Discussions on Section III: Qualification Criteria and Requirements

The standard qualification criteria set out in the JICA Standard Prequalification Documents comprise the following 4 Factors:

Factor 1. Eligibility

This criterion comprises the following 3 Sub-Factors:

Sub-Factor 1.1: Nationality,

Sub-Factor 1.2: Conflict of Interest, and

Sub-Factor 1.3: JICA Ineligibility.

As such, this criterion is quite simple and standardized for all projects. No undue changes will be allowed for this Project.

It should be noted that the qualification criteria for the eligibility (the nationality in particular) of the prime contractors for STEP projects are different from those for non-STEP projects. When the Project becomes a STEP project, the proposed criteria must be modified accordingly. For more details, refer to the following website:

http://www.jica.go.jp/english/our_work/types_of_assistance/oda_loans/step/c8h0vm000053 zae9-att/c8h0vm000056jr3z.pdf

Factor 2. Historical Contract Non-Performance

This Factor comprises the following 3 Sub-Factors: Sub-Factor 2.1: History of Non-Performing Contract, Sub-Factor 2.2: Pending Litigation, and Sub-Factor 2.3: Litigation History.

This Factor is also standardized for all projects. Only the number of years (1 or 2 years) in Sub-Factor 2.1 and the % ratio of pending litigation to the net worth (50 to 100%) in Sub-Factor 2.2 may be adjusted for each project as appropriate.

Factor 3. Financial Situation

This Factor comprises the following 2 Sub-Factors: Sub-Factor 3.1: Financial Performance, and Sub-Factor 3.2: Average Annual Construction Turnover.

In this criterion, there are standard concepts of judging the financial situation of the Applicants and no deviation from these standard concepts will be allowed.

The number of years (normally 3 to 5 years) in Sub-Factors 3.1 and 3.2 as well as the amount of the average annual construction turnover (normally 1.5 to 2 times the annual turnover of this Project) in Sub-Factor 3.2 can be adjusted to suit this Project. In case of a JV Applicants, the % ratio of the average annual construction turnover in Sub-Factor 3.2 for the leading and non-leading JV members can also be adjusted slightly to suit this Project.

Factor 4. Experience

This Factor comprises the following 2 Sub-Factors: Sub-Factor 4.1: General Construction (Installation) Experience, and Sub-Factor 4.2: Specific Construction (Installation) Experience.

This criterion must be tailored to suit the respective projects, considering the scope of the works involved, the technologies applied and other particulars of the specific project.

Sub-Factor 4.1

Sub-Factor 4.1 is to check the Applicant's experience as a construction (installation) contractor. In this Sub-Factor 4.1, the number of years (not less than 3 years, normally 5 years or more) may be adjusted to suit this Project. Considering the necessity of a fully experienced contractor for proper implementation of this important Project, a period of experience of system construction/installation of 10 years is proposed.

Sub-Factor 4.2 including Sub-Factors 4.2 (a) and 4.2(b)

Sub-Factor 4.2 is to check the Applicant's experience in contracts/projects similar to this Project.

In Sub-Factor 4.2(a), the number of similar contracts (normally 1 to 3 contracts), and the minimum size (contract amount) of the contracts/projects when needed to specify, may be

adjusted to suit this Project, and the number of years (normally 5 to 10 years) may also be adjusted to suit this Project. Experience of 2 or more similar contracts in the last 10 years is proposed, to make sure that the contractor has been continuously successful in this field. Considering that the number of similar projects undertaken in the world and the size of this Project are very limited, it is proposed that one of these contracts is US\$ 18 million or more in the contract amount.

In Sub-Factor 4.2(b), requirements for experience in specific key activities involved in this Project may be included where appropriate.

By setting the Sub-Factors 4.2(a) and 4.2(b) in a proper manner, and requiring submission of appropriate evidences of the contracts satisfactorily completed, only those contractors or manufacturers that are fully capable and adequately experienced for undertaking and completing this Project in a successful manner will pass the prequalification evaluation. The evidences may be the detailed design documents of the relevant contracts bearing the Employer's signature/seal and/or the certificates of completion of the relevant contracts (to be submitted as attachments to the Application Forms EXP-4.2(a) and EXP-4.2(b))

Sub-Factor 4.2 (a)

It should be noted that, in Sub-Factor 4.2(a), definition of the "similar contracts" will be very important for proper judgement of the Applicants' qualification. In this Package-1 of the Project, the following definition is proposed considering the critically important aspect of integration of the systems operated by multiple road operators:

"Eligible similar construction/installation" is the construction/installation of a part or the whole of "Center System for Two-way Integrated Traffic Information/Control actualized by Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination (using Traffic Event Data)." The Center System must meet the following parameters, as of the Application submission deadline:

- The center system covers 10 or more expressway sections and shares data with 2 or more other center systems operated by different road operators;
- Total length of the expressway sections under control by the 3 or more center systems above is 2,000 km at least;
- The numbers of interchanges and junctions located in the expressway sections under control by the 3 center systems above, to allow wide selection of alternative routes on the expressway network, are 120 and 40 at least respectively;
- The maximum average traffic volume for the expressway sections under control by the 3 center systems above is 4,000,000 vehicle-km/day/100 km at least; and
- The center system is connected with the roadside equipment including CCTV cameras, detectors and variable message signs (VMSs), which are installed along the expressway sections, for performing data acquisition and information dissemination.

"Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination" is defined as shown on the Attachment hereto (Section 7.5 of the Basic Design Report).

"Traffic Event Data" are defined as the data on the following events (including the correlations between specific pairs of events actually occurred):

• Incidents/Accidents;

- Bad weather;
- Construction work;
- Traffic congestion;
- Traffic restriction; and
- Special events."

Sub-Factor 4.2 (b)

For Sub-Factor 4.2(b), the following requirement regarding continuous improvements of the system for "Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination (using traffic event data)" is proposed:

"A minimum of **three (3)** contracts awarded to the Applicant in a series to make empiricalbased continuous improvements, spread over a period of **ten (10)** years or more, of the system for "Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination" (including improvements of the functions of its Center System) that was installed by the Applicant as a prime contractor (single entity or JV member). The period of 10 years or more may be from the commencement of the first contract to the completion of the last contract in the series."

Necessity of the continuous improvements for empirical-based prioritization is discussed below (details are shown in Section 7.5 of the Basic Design Report).

The integrated traffic information/control system using traffic event data must be continuously improved after installation, over a sufficiently long period (say, more than 10 years), for the empirical-based prioritization of the traffic information/control. For the continuous improvements, the road operators must properly estimate and define the coefficient configuration based on the track records of the integrated/prioritized information dissemination accumulated until the time of the subject improvement.

Only through these improvements, properly prioritized traffic information and traffic control plans can be generated promptly and efficiently after occurrence of each traffic event, and the optimal traffic information can be disseminated to the respective VDSs and the optimal traffic control plans implemented by the responsible parties accordingly throughout the expressway network without delay at a minimum cost.

It is recommendable that the Contractor who installed the original system is hired for the said continuous improvements on an ad hoc basis as and when needed for the improvement services so that the Contractor's responsibility under the original design and build/installation contract will remain intact without involvement of other contractors. Therefore, this Sub-Factor 4.2(b) is recommended as part of the qualification criteria.

3. Proposed Prequalification Criteria for Package-1

A draft of the proposed qualification criteria and requirements for the Package-1 developed in consideration of the above discussions is shown below in the form of Section III of the JICA Standard Prequalification Documents.

| | Eligibility | y and Qualification Criteria | Compliance Requirements | | | | Documentation | |
|--------------|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|---------------|---|--|
| | | | | Joint Vent | ure (existing or | Submission | | |
| No. | Factor | Requirement | Single Entity | All Parties Combined | Each Member | One Member | Requirements | |
| | 1. Eligibility | , | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | Nationality | Nationality in accordance with ITA Sub- Clause 4.3 | Must meet requirement | N/A | Must meet requirement | N/A | Forms ELI – 1.1 and 1.2, with attachments | |
| 1.2 | Conflict of Interest | No conflicts of interest, as described in ITA Sub-Clauses 4.4, 4.5 and 4.6 | Must meet requirement | N/A | Must meet requirement | N/A | Application Submission Form | |
| 1.3 | JICA Ineligibility | Not having been declared ineligible by JICA, as described in ITA Sub-Clause 4.7 | Must meet requirement | N/A | Must meet requirement | N/A | Application Submission Form Form ACK | |
| | 2. Historica | I Contract Non-Performan | се | | | | | |
| 2.1 | History of Non- Performing Contracts | Non-performance of a contract ⁽ⁱ⁾ did not occur as a result of contractor's default since 1 st January 2014. | Must meet requirement ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ | N/A | Must meet requirement (ii) | N/A | Form CON – 2 | |
| 2.2 | Pending Litigation | All pending litigation shall in total not represent more than 50 % of the Applicant's net worth of the last year and shall be treated as resolved against the Applicant. | Must meet requirement ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ | N/A | Must meet requirement ⁽ⁱ i) | N/A | Form CON – 2 | |
| 2.3 | Litigation History | No consistent history of court/arbitral award decisions against the Applicant ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ since 1 st January 2011. | Must meet requirement ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ | N/A | Must meet requirement ⁽ⁱ i) | N/A | Form CON – 2 | |
| Note: (i) | since 1^{ex} January 2011. Notes for the Applicant Non-performance, as decided by the Employer, shall include all contracts | | | | | | | |

σ

(ii) This requirement also applies to contracts executed by the Applicant as a JV member.

(iii) The Applicant shall provide accurate information on the related Application Form about any litigation or arbitration resulting from contracts completed or ongoing under its execution over the last five (5) years. A consistent history of awards against the Applicant or any member of a joint venture may result in failure of the Application.

3. Financial Situation

| 3.1 | Financial | The audited balance sheets or, if not | Must meet | N/A | Must meet | N/A | Form FIN – 3.1 |
|-----|----------------|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Performance | required by the laws of the Applicant's | requirement | | requirement | | with attachments |
| | | country, other financial statements | | | | | |
| | | acceptable to the Employer, for the last | | | | | |
| | | three (3) years (financial years 2012 to | | | | | |
| | | 2014, or financial years 2013 to 2015 in | | | | | |
| | | case the Applicant have completed the | | | | | |
| | | financial statement of Independent | | | | | |
| | | Auditor's report for financial year 2015) | | | | | |
| | | shall be submitted and must demonstrate | | | | | |
| | | the current soundness of the Applicant's | | | | | |
| | | financial position and indicate its | | | | | |
| | | prospective long-term profitability. | | | | | |
| | | As the minimum requirement, an | | | | | |
| | | Applicant's net worth calculated as the | | | | | |
| | | difference between total assets and total | | | | | |
| | | liabilities should be positive. | | | | | |
| 3.2 | Average Annual | Minimum average annual turnover of | Must meet | Must meet | Must meet | Must meet | Form FIN – 3.2 |
| | Construction | US\$ 28 million (Twenty Eight million | requirement | requirement | 25 % | 40 % (forty | |
| | Turnover | US\$), calculated as total certified | | | (twenty five | percent) of | |
| | | payments received for system | | | percent) of | the | |
| | | construction/ installation contracts in | | | the | requirement | |
| | | progress and/or completed, within the last | | | requirement | | |
| | | three (3) years (financial years 2012 to | | | | | |
| | | 2014, or for financial years 2013 to 2015 | | | | | |
| | | in case the Applicant have completed the | | | | | |
| | | financial statement of Independent | | | | | |
| | | Auditor's report for financial year 2014), | | | | | |
| | | divided by three (3) years. | | | | | |

ი

| | 4. Experien | ce | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 4.1 | General Construction Experience | Experience under system construction/ installation contracts in the role of prime contractor (single entity or JV member), subcontractor, or management contractor ⁽ⁱ⁾ for at least the last ten (10) years, starting 1 st January 2006. | Must meet requirement | N/A | Must meet requirement | N/A | Form EXP – 4.1 |
| 4.2 (a) | Specific Installation Experience | A minimum number of two (2) similar ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ contracts for construction/installation of Intelligent Transport System (ITS) (including a Center System) for expressways that have been satisfactorily designed, supplied, installed and completed with the contract amounts of US\$ 18 million ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ at least for one of them, by the Applicant as a prime contractor (single entity or JV member) (ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ between 1st January 2006 and the Application submission deadline. | Must meet requirement | Must meet requirement ^(iv) | N/A | N/A | Form EXP – 4.2 (a) |
| 4.2 (b) | Specific Key Activity Experience | A minimum of three (3) contracts awarded to the Applicant in a series to make empirical-based continuous improvements, spread over a period of ten (10) years or more ^(v) , of the system for "Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination" (including improvements of the functions of its Center System) that was installed by the Applicant as a prime contractor (single entity or JV member). | Must meet requirement | Must meet requirement | N/A | N/A | Form EXP – 4.2 (b) |
| <u>Note</u> | s for the Applicant | | | | | | |
| (i) | A management cont perform directly the responsibility and ris | ractor is a firm which takes on the role of contr construction work(s) associated with the contra sk for price, quality, and timely performance of | ract management act. Rather, it ma the work contract. | as a "general" con nages the work of | tractor of sort co other (sub) contra | uld do. It does actors while bea | not normally aring full |
| (ii) | Eligible "similar" cor | nstruction/installation is the construction/insta | llation of a part or | the whole of Cent | ter System for "Ty | vo-wav Integrate | ed Traffic |

Information/Control actualized by Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination (using traffic event data)." The Center System must meet the following

7

parameters, as of the Application submission deadline:

- The center system covers 10 or more expressway sections and shares data with 2 or more other center systems operated by different road operators;
- Total length of the expressway sections under control by the 3 or more center systems above is 2,000 km at least;
- The numbers of interchanges and junctions located in the expressway sections under control by the 3 center systems above, to allow wide selection of alternative routes on the expressway network, are 120 and 40 at least respectively;
- The maximum average traffic volume for the expressway sections under control by the 3 center systems above is 4,000,000 vehicle-km/day/100 km at least; and
- The center system is connected with the roadside equipment including CCTV cameras, detectors and variable message signs (VMSs), which are installed along the expressway sections, for performing data acquisition and information dissemination.

"Integrated/Prioritized Information Dissemination" is defined as shown on the Attachment hereto (Section 7.5 of the Basic Design Report).

"Traffic Event Data" are defined as the data on the following events (including their seriousness/locations and the correlated traffic-restrictions/events):

- Incident/Accident;
- Bad weather;
- Construction work;
- Traffic congestion;
- · Traffic restriction; and
- Special event.
- (iii) For contracts under which the Applicant participated as a JV member, only the Applicant's share, by value, shall be considered to meet this requirement.
- (iv) In case of a JV, the value of contracts completed by its members shall not be aggregated to determine whether the requirement of the minimum value of a single contract has been met. Instead, each contract performed by each member shall satisfy the minimum value of a single contract as required for single entity. In determining whether the JV meets the requirement of total number of contracts, only the number of contracts completed by all members, each of value equal or more than the minimum value required, shall be aggregated.
- (v) The period of 10 years or more may be from the commencement of the first contract to the completion of the last contract in the series.

PART 4 : RECORDS OF WORKING GROUPS & WORKSHOP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | General | . 1 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 2. | Technical Review WG | . 2 |
| 2.1 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (1) | . 2 |
| 2.2 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (2) | . 5 |
| 2.3 | Minutes of Technical Review WG (3) | . 9 |
| 3. | Toll Settlement System Review WG | 13 |
| 3.1 | Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (1) | 13 |
| 3.2 | Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (2) | 15 |
| 4. | Workshop | 17 |

1. General

Twice of the Technical Review Working Group, twice of the Toll Settlement System Review Working Group and a Workshop were held for implementing the Study.

2. Technical Review WG

2.1 Minutes of Technical Review WG (1)

Date: Feb 3rd, 2015

Time: 13h30 to 15h30

Place: Meeting Room in VEA.

JICA Study Team (JST) presents brief results of the Study.

Q&A:

Mr. Long_ITD:

1. Vietnam urban expressways are complicated and different from expressways in other countries with regular traffic jams. Do you have some advices for us?

2. Currently, ETC is provided in Vietnam with 3 technologies. It's not so easy to integrate these 3 technologies. How should we do?

3. Could you consult us about heavy truck enforcement? Now, we haven't got regulations for it. Weighing scales are installed but just for statistic.

JST Ans (main content):

Firstly, we focus on handling incidents, then, we provide communication system (collection, VMS indication)

We are on preparation of proposing our ideas for heavy truck enforcement in Vietnam.

Mr. Tung_Director of VEA:

According to the ideas from Deputy Minister Truong in the meeting with DRVN, overloaded truck, once dectected, will be convoyed back to the loaded place for unloading.

Mr. Quy_VietinBank:

In the presentations of JST, there is not enough information on ETC, it's very important.

For the distribution of OBU, only bank-relating organizations can dealt with settlement contracts, according to the Circular 23, 2014.

Banks are useful in information security, wide distribution network (branches), up to remote hamlets. Banks can assist for setting up vehicle registration centers. Banks can assist for topup by sms, ibanking,...Banks can implement toll collection by the similar scheme with salary payment.

Could you share the management scheme in Japan?
Mr. Nam_BOT Hanoi – Bac Giang:

1. Hanoi – Bac Giang section is upgraded from NH to Expressway. There are many nonmotorized vehicles travelling on this section. So, in many cases, events to affect vehicles are not only "big" event, but also such event as: normal rain that is big problem for motorbike.

2. Technical vehicles, ambulance, etc need land acquisition on roadside, but, its not easy to acquire land on our section.

JST Ans (main content):

There are two ways for information: VMS/Internet. Not so many VMSs located in too long interval is not useful for them. Internet, smartphone, radio, ... are much more useful.

Mr. Tien_Director of ITC-DRVN:

In my idea, 3 priority serices mentioned are the same with 3 processes as in the slide presentation.

VITRANSS 2 Master Plan mentioned information is collected from both expressway and ramp at interchange. I think information for the ramp at the connection with expressway is much more important for drivers to decide their routs.

The RMC and the Center of Hanoi City need the connection.

JST Ans (main content): We propose information for Access road in short distance.

Mr. Tuan Anh_DOST:

Operation Scheme is very important. It decides the whole system in future. So, it need to be clear and accurate. Investor is possibly be BOT in future. Expressway management agency is VEA.

Other contents from JST presence are basicly logic. ITS standards/Regulations also structured in similar way. We hope for the update and discussion from WG members.

Roles of expressway management agency should be reviewd according to Decree 32. Also, JST shoudnt mentioned learly as "Traffic Inspector".

JST Ans (main content):

We will revise to make it clear and detailed.

Mr. Thang_PMU3

In my opinion, Decree 32 is still quite general. 02 articles regulating roles of 02 center (RMC and RMO as defined by JST) are too short.

We should arrange a meeting among all road operators of all targeted sections.

JST Ans (main content):

Other members of our team are preparing design. We may presence in next WG. We need small meeting on each issue.

Mr. Tung_Director of VEA:

ITS is still new and difficult for us. We need more advices and more comments. Other comments should be sent via email.

2.2 Minutes of Technical Review WG (2)

Date: June 25th, 2015 Time: 9:00 to 11:30 Place: Meeting Room in VEA.

Participants:

VEA: Mr. Nguyen Xuan Hung, Mr. Nguyen Viet Tuan, Ms. Tran Thuy Linh, Ms. Thu;
PMU3: Mr. Nguyen Nang The (Deputy Director), Mr. Dam Ngoc Linh, Mr. Ho Sy Son;
TEDI: Mr. Nguyen Minh Thang (Deputy Director), Mr. Nguyen Tien Luc, Mr. Huy.
JICA Study Team: Mr. Ishiguro, Mr. Ito, Ms. Hoi, Ms. Tam.

Contents:

Study Team explained the contents to be discussed in the meeting, including 3 hand-outs to be discussed in the Workshop, 2 hand-outs to be discussed internally.

Hand-out 1: Integrated Traffic Information/Control using Traffic Event Data The event of serious incident is to be considered.

For management and supervision, integration of traffic information/control over expressway network is necessary.

Two types (two methods) of integration on traffic information/control: 1-way, 2-way 1-way: monitoring only: communicating among RMOs and RMC or integration is only by telephone or in some case by email. Roadside equipment sets send information to RMOs, RMOs process by their own ways. RMC just receives and keep the monitoring data in its database. It's enough for usual conditions.

2-way: guidance provided to RMO from RMC, with prioritization, harmonization. Only few qualified staffs can control many VMSs.

This policy is consistent with basic stance: both Decision No.140/QD-TTg and the ITS Master Plan.

Hand-out 2: Important FWs for Expressway Operation using ITS

The issue has been discussed between VN side and F/F Mission already, so, we just summarize them.

Essential point: total FW of expressway operation.

Figure 3.3 page 5 shows 2 cases of Service Level Control: by PRO (Public Road Owner) or Inv (Road Investor), F/F Mission discussed this already.

In FW for incident notification to RO (Road Operator): Special number is used but we need cooperation with 113 and 115 emergency numbers.

FW for integrated data management: Not included toll collection as in figure.

Mr. Ishiguro would like to hear comments from VEA side.

He insisted that revisions have been added already in the hand-out today after F/F Mission.

Q&A

TEDI:

Mr. Hung recommends TEDI to comment and share policies, regulations, actual conditions in Vietnam.

Mr. Thang, TEDI:

Can u make clear (provide details) about the important points of integrating traffic information/control? That's the first thing to consider before considering other issues, I think.

For presenting it in the WS, you should make much clear, advantages and disadvantages of it.

Different between last and current models, after you revised your results?

You should explain more the revisions.

And how much money is different (cost difference)? What's included in the 2-way integration, or the developments following it: infrastructure, facilities... Those things should be made clear.

JST Ans:

Ms. Hoi explains unclear items.

Mr. Ishiguro explains:

Detail information shown in page 4.

In Vietnam, currently, VMSs are mostly for commercial ads or else rather than for traffic control.

We followed the draft standards to revised our results.

We may adopt Vietnamese products for VMS.

The control of the equipment is done by human.

RMC's roles are reduced. VMSs are planned to be controlled by RMC but currently, after the Decree No. 32 and Circular No. 90 were issued in 2014, we amended the roles of RMC that RMC does not take control VMS but just issue guidelines, with prioritization to the RMOs. Not big roles any more.

Maybe there is no difference, facilities is same. Software/programs will be used, then we estimate that qualified staffs are required. In case of section by section control: complicated programs, analysts need to be provided for each RMO. In the other case, only 1 integrated software is needed in RMC, no need telephone exchange. So, it's even cheaper in case of 2-way rather than 1-way. That's the difference in operation cost, facilities are almost the same.

Mr. The, PMU 3

We agree with the 2-way method.

You should not explain technically only, economically also.

Simple, stepwise implementation is suitable for Viet Nam now, in the situation of young out-ofdate expressways.

10-20 years later expressways will develop, will change a lot.

He mentions an experience in Vietnam in a project name "HDM4" which was costly and provided wrong information.

JST Ans:

2-way method, mainly operated by programs/software, needs less staffs. Software will be designed in advance in the beginning of expressway operation. Integration can be achieved.

Road Operators have different software, which causes more cost, makes it not easy to integrate. That case actually happened in Japan already. They paid much for replacing each system/facility.

Mr. Linh, PMU 3

You should add domestic consultants together with foreign consultants for optimizing the schedule; make a flexible M/M schedule.

We need to keep some backup budget in case of arising issues that need paying.

You may know that it's very difficult to prolong consultant service in Vietnam.

We need to arrange it soon to have a total picture on cost.

Positions of each M/M is not mentioned.

JST Ans:

Ms. Hoi:

In the stage of procuring, we are able to adjust the cost break-down for backup budget.

Study Team has shown each M/M in a table.

Mr. Hung:

He provides the information on the Letter from JICA to MOT on asking MOT's confirmations on: integration ITS equipment, not installing ITS equipment until the detail design of the Project, 6 targeted sections in the Project's Scope according to Report No. 247,...

He mentioned in his internal talking that Deputy Minister approved for PV-CG another project on ITS, so, there are two duplicated projects.

The by-Hanel project under MOPS standards is somewhat another project on this section.

We understand that JICA side requires the initial preparation on all sections need to follow this Project.

Next Monday, a meeting on appraising all loan projects is to be held, this Project will be mentioned.

Study Team and TEDI need to work together to make it two cost estimation results similar.

JST Ans:

Study Team discusses some understanding on the situation and think that 2 sides should work together to assist answering that Letter quickly and properly. We understand there are some discussion in Vietnam side on BOT sections (PV-CG and HN-BG), they consider that it's difficult for Government to invest one more time on those sections. It's not easy to persuade MOF.

Study Team side want the equipment for integration to be included in the Project.

Study Team reminds themselves the understandings that:

We should make clear that we already revise proposal from VN side, no more directly involvements in RMC's roles, etc.

We should compare the cost to justify that our recommend is good, make a specific figure/table on the cost.

2.3 Minutes of Technical Review WG (3)

Time: 14:30 – 18:30 Date: July 8, 2015 Place: Meeting Room in VEA.

Attendance:

VEA: Mr Nguyen Xuan Hung, Mr Nguyen Viet Tuan, Ms Tran Thuy Linh; JICA Study Team: Mr Ishiguro, Mr Ikeda, Mr Ito, 2 translators (Ms. Tam, Ms Dung).

Contents:

1. Draft Letter (Feedback to JICA)

JICA STUDY TEAM: we would like to ask DRVN to attend the meeting with JICA tomorrow, to recommend F/F Mission 2 about the next actions and JICA would like to get more information about the current situation.

VEA: MOT has assigned DOST to chair and to answer all questions from JICA. And now, DPI has been reviewing the DFR. Basically, DOST has got draft feedback, but not released the official one yet. DRVN assigned Mr Tuan – Expert and also the person in charge for this ITS Project, to attend the meeting tomorrow, only listen, receive plan and orientation of JICA, without commenting or giving out any opinion. DRVN will get the point and report MOT, because this is not an official meeting. If JICA STUDY TEAM have any questions, we will answer immediately.

DRVN has prepared a draft (not final) letter to MOT, because DRVN is trying to consult MOT, the contents in the letter, as below, may be changed:

- On the Project scope: Currently the scope is remain, no change

- On the ensuring the integration between Northern Regional Main Center and Road Management Offices (Sectional Centers): it's necessary to continuously study, give out solution to integrate ITS system by regarding of utilizing the equipment installed on sections, in order to reduce the investment cost of Project.

JICA STUDY TEAM: When will DRVN submit this letter to MOT?

VEA: On July 06th, DRVN submitted to DOST and DPI to exchange idea and comment. VEA also assigned some staffs to join the discussion with them in order to get comments and complete the letter. Maybe end of this week, DRVN can submit the letter to Vice Minister, then next week we can have feedback to JICA. Now, Mr Tuan is representative of DRVN to solve all issues related to ITS.

JICA STUDY TEAM: We heard that Vice Minister shall retire early, shalln't he? Because he has no schedule to attend our workshop and he seems not to care this Project anymore.

VEA: We have no idea of this information.

2. Discussion on 7 Handouts:

VEA: Has JICA STUDY TEAM updated all comments on DFR of this Project which DRVN sent on July 02nd?

JICA STUDY TEAM: We just updated a half. Actually in DFR, we mentioned all issues in details already. About the content No. 2, we did clarify the functions, duties, responsibilities of RMC and RMO clearly based on Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT.

VEA: Please explain the equipment control level of RMC and RMO? In case of some problems related to national security, how to solve?

DOST requests to:

- Clarify the roles between RMC and RMO in controlling equipments.

- Why does the Project pay more attention to install equipments on Hanoi – Bac Giang and Cau Gie – Ninh Binh sections? (ex. Axle load scale, lane control)

JICA STUDY TEAM: According to Vietnamese side's opinion, to measure axle load of vehicle, it's required to install scale in front of tollgate; however, there is no tollgate on some sections, resulting in difficulties in stopping and checking vehicles. Otherwise, it's possible to remove the items which were installed on some sections already.

VEA: At first, JICA STUDY TEAM proposed to installed equipments entirely on 7 sections, but now, JICA STUDY TEAM removed some items. So, JICA STUDY TEAM should explain in details the reason why so as to secure the target of Project.

VEA would like to know why the cost of Project increases. VEA notice that due to higher exchange rate; however, in the recent discussion between DPI and JICA on June 29th, we still got information of old cost (around 6.5 billion Yen). Please explain.

JICA STUDY TEAM: Actually, Vietnamese side has to request ODA loan in advance, so that JICA STUDY TEAM can give out the exactly estimated cost.

VEA: However, Vietnamese side has not finished the estimation, so we cannot issue the request. Depend on the revised estimation and content of explaining the reason for increased cost by JICA STUDY TEAM, we will make our own estimation, then report and issue request.

MOT has to explain the imbalance cost of around 2 billion Yen to MOF, so DRVN has responsibility of making clear. However, DRVN is confused of why:

- Increasing cost while ETC has been removed?
- Increasing cost while integration between RMC and RMO?

JICA STUDY TEAM: Some BOT sections need to be integrated. There is a request to install new equipments and remain existing equipments. However, BOT companies do not provide JICA STUDY TEAM any documents of items installation, so JICA STUDY TEAM has to keep and estimate full number of equipments for those sections. Anyway, we can revise and reduce the total cost of Project.

VEA: DRVN will provide JICA STUDY TEAM some detailed drawings and Technical Requirement for BOT sections. After reviewing, JICA STUDY TEAM can revise and submit report to MOT. Moreover, Mr Dũng – Vice director of DRVN has some underlines with comments from DPI (under DRVN) on DFR:

- Based on responsibilities of Regional Main Center and Road Management Offices defined in Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT, it is necessary to clarify the responsibility of Regional Main Center to expressway sections under DRVN and sections under BOT companies.

- Table 11.2 "Functional packages and other Items" to implement Project: it is required to consider toll collection/management, because Government does not apply toll collection to some expressway sections built with state budget.

· HANDOUT 1:

Article 10.7: There can be two methods for the integrated system implementation of ITS.

- In case of using Stepwise Method (1), it's necessary to have calculation software in each RMO, causing much additional cost of installation and maintenance. Otherwise, this method was invented by experts, so it cost much if any upgrading or changing. NEXCO has experienced in applying this method around 20 to 30 years ago due to low memory of computer.

- In case of using Immediate Method (2), it's only necessary to invest an expensive calculation software in RMC, and simple calculation software in RMO, therefore, it costs much lower than Method 1. It's recommended to install Method 2, but BOT sections should be prepared with one-way integration to integrate in later stage.

- In comparison between 2 methods, we can find 3 losses if using Method 1 (see in Attachment).

HANDOUT 2:

Article 9.6.3: It's possible to remove the duplicating equipments with BOT companies, so we can revise and change the cost estimation later.

From page 5 to 7, figures changed. Some equipment, illustrated in broken lines, are installed at roadside or in the RMO and managed by RMO.

VEA: How to backup the data and how long? Traditionally by CD, hardware, tape or by cloud calculation? In case of enormous volume of data, can it keep forever? JICA STUDY TEAM should refer national storage regulations to define the important level and classification of information.

JICA STUDY TEAM: Traditional backup.

VEA: we have some comments:

- In figure 9.22, it's required that firewall has strong security function to secure information. And to make clear the note "***", JICA STUDY TEAM should add more detail "installed on roadside or in RMO decided by the owner".

- In section 4 Toll Office: The number of equipments on roadside can be removed or changed. In the period of Detailed Design, we can require to change.

Article 9.6.5, JICA STUDY TEAM would like to get confirmation with Vietnamese side that which organization installs and operates the equipments on those target 7 sections?

VEA: The equipment installation and operation shall be decided by the owner (based on the results of bidding and selection).

HANDOUT 3: Proposed Consultant Selection

JICA STUDY TEAM: We present some important contents of consultation selection (see attachment)

- TOR for this Project was established in 2012 with clear contents (see attachment 3-2)

- We need to implement consultant selection before loan agreement 4 or 5 months in advance.

- Look at attachment 3-1, we can see JICA's assistance (defined by broken line) since the beginning of construction period.

VEA: Vietnamese side basically agrees with proposal, we will take consideration of which beneficial opinions for the Project.

Attachment 3-3: JICA STUDY TEAM explains the cost and gives details of M/M for consulting service (exclude Training Assistance) by the color table.

HANDOUT 4: Project Implementation Plan

JICA STUDY TEAM recommends VEA to pay much attention to the underlined sections in the handout, referring to the attached schedule for each period.

HANDOUT 5: Estimated Results of Project Costs

JICA STUDY TEAM: The values have been changed due to recalculating the estimation complying with existing condition of equipments.

HANDOUT 6: Institutional Arrangement

JICA STUDY TEAM: In case of getting approval for loan agreement in March 2016, MOT and MOF will be the direct borrower. DRVN and PMU3 will be implementing organizations (as the chart in attachment). Is this right?

VEA: The chart is wrong. DRVN has no responsibility of signing the contract, PMU3 will. Because DRVN is the Employer, PMU3 is the representative of DRVN to implement the Project, so PMU3 will sign the contract and be in charge of all duties.

Article 6.5, VEA comments that the Existing Owner is wrong, because MOT is Governmental organization and also the general owner of all sections, including VEC's section. MOT has right to transfer and assign other organizations to own, manage and operate sections by specific contracts.

• HANDOUT 7: JICA STUDY TEAM recommends proposed bidding packages, contracts and bidding methods (see attachment).

3. Toll Settlement System Review WG

3.1 Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (1)

Date: Feb 3rd, 2015 Time: 15h30 to 18h30 Place: Meeting Room in VEA.

Attendance:

VEA: Mr. Nguyen Quoc Tung, Mr. Nguyen Xuan Hung and other experts; Related departments from MOT and other related organizations; JICA Study Team: Mr. Ishiguro and other members.

Content:

JST presents brief contents such as: if balance is kept at bank, in near future, when vehicle number increases, many problems will occur.

JST proposes to combine use ETC and T&G to reduce the initial investment cost.

Q&A

Mr. Long_ITD:

1. QCVN 75 & 76, 2013 define some articles on the frequency of 5.8 (1 piece and 2 piece). I think that it still does not comply with standard. I propose JST to cooperate with ITD to confirm about it. ITD met with realistic difficulties. Or else, those 1 piece and 2 piece cannot be imported into Vietnam.

2. How is the speed of vehicle moving through tollgate? Japan requires designed 40km/h for Vietnam. Whether it is possible or not? In Vietnam now it's only 20 km/h. Driving attitude is different.

3. The comparision table of Method - 0 is not updated.

If the operators follow one standard, we can use 1 OBU/IC, if they dont, we need many.

If we use bank card, vehicle can pass through many sentions, if the IC-card is issued by 1 road operator, it can be used limitedly in one section.

JST Ans (main content):

Vietnamese drivers may not have much experience on driving on real expressways, for long distance.

40km/h is design speed to process by equipment. Speed limit is also 20km/h.

Mr. Quy_Vietinbank

I agree that the balance should be stored in IC-Card. If it's saved in bank account the process is very slow, at least 10 seconds. I propose to clearify more about the balance on IC-Card. I recommend the reference of ISO 14443 A/B.

Mr. Hung_BOT Phap Van – Cau Gie

We chosed the contractor provding technology and equipment. They provided for Cau Gie – Ninh Binh. However, all ETC lanes in Cau Gie – Ninh Binh were closed. We signed the principle contract with them. Some categories have been installed: gantry, equipment...

We are sharing the Dai Xuyen tollgate with VEC, it's very difficult to seperate technology.

We are wondering that equipment components from different projects are still not compatible.

We'd like to know your experience in using RFID?

In near future, if we change the method, is it complecated?

JST Ans (main content):

Its very costly. You need to pay twice because they are quite different systems.

Mr. Long_ITD

ITD has the experience in toll collection for more than 15 years. We studied about RFID. We know that USA and Taiwan are two different management models. In Vietnam we insist on payment before allowing vehicle to pass through tollgate of DSRC, even we used IR.

BOT Phap Van – Cau Gie should ultilize available things. However, the tollgate of Dai Xuyen is not easy case, it requires more study.

Vietinbank should strongly launch maketing OBU for the customer to use.

Mr. Tuan Anh_DOST

ITS Standard on ETC had been sent to State Bank for commenting. They replied, I will send JST for reference.

3 technologies are applying in Vietnam for ETC

+ For RFID, it hasn't been integratedly operated, without the role of bank. BIDV and Viettel are implementing.

+ DSRC Passive has been implementing since 2010 untill now by Vietinbank.

In Draft Standards/Regulations, we mentioned general requirement for 3 technologies.

The users tend to use 1 OBU, 1 card.

Before, DSRC Passive 5.8 was proved to comply with MOIC requirements.

+ For DSRC Active, if necessary, we can send a letter to MOIC to confirm about the frequency.

3.2 Minutes of Toll Settlement System Review WG (2)

Date: February 05, 2015 Time: 10:00 AM – 11:00 AM Place: VietinBank Hanoi Office

Participants:

Vietinbank: Mr. Nguyen Ngoc Quy (Manager/Business Developer), Mr. Nguyen Ba Tuan (System Administrator)

JICA Study Team: Mr. Ishiguro, Mr. Honda, Ms. Dung

Contents:

- 1. Toll Settlement Processes
- 2. Card Invalidation Processes
- 3. Data Dictionary for Toll Settlement

Following are the information provided by Vietinbank:

1. Toll Settlement Processes

The settlement processes were explained by Honda in order to confirm the division of duties between road operators (or assigned units) and banks. Mr. Quy pointed out that the settlement must be handled by bank(s) due to local regulation governed by SBV. Therefore, the revenue data process must be revised as per SBV Decision 20.

2. Card Invalidation Processes

The following processes were explained by Honda in order to confirm the division of duties between road operators (or assigned units) and banks.

- IC Card Issuing
- IC Card Re-charging (Top-Up)
- IC Card Passage (Transactions)
- IC Card Invalidation
- OBU Registration
- OBU Invalidation

Mr. Tuan provided his comment on the "invalidation" process. As per stipulated the SBV Decision 20, banks must be wholly liable for any loss and shall compensate for any loss caused by the illegal use of the card. Therefore, in order to minimize the time to transmit the invalidation list to the roadside, the invalidation list should be sent directly to the toll offices, not via head-office of road operators, or it can be sent to lane servers directly.

Mr. Tuan also explained that the current their ETC can be recharged via ATM, POS, Internet and SMS. It provides convenience to the users.

3. Data Dictionary for Toll Settlement

Mr. Tuan agreed the study team explanation except for the update cycle of invalidation list. It must be daily and upon-demand basis in order to inactivate the cards immediately.

Mr Tuan also declared that currently they use only card ID for the invalidation, however, for the ETC, they may need more information as the study team suggested.

4. Others

Mr. Tuan invited the study team to their data center used for their current ETC.

4. Workshop

1) Agenda

Workshop is held on August 20th 2015.

Registration (8:00 - 8:30)

- 1. Participant Introduction (DRVN/VEA, 8:30 8:40)
- 2. Speech by MOT (Vice Minister, 8:40 8:55)
- 3. Necessity & Structured Image of ITS Integration;

Recommended Method of Integration;

Specifications for System Connection/Cooperation with Adjoining Road Section

(Study Team 9:00 – 9:40)

- 4. Q&A (9:40 10:10)
- 5. Coffee Break (10:10 10:30)

6. Outline of Basic Design Results of ITS Integration Project (Study Team, 10:30 - 11:10)

- 7. Q&A (11:10 11:50)
- 8. Closing Speech (DRVN/VEA, 11:50 12:00)

2) Attendances

18

| | WORKSHOP ON Presentation on Outline & Important Points of "ITS INTEGRATION PROJECT IN NORTHERN AREA OF VIETNAM" August 20th, 2015 Attendant list (attended guests) | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| No. | Name | Position & organizations | Ad/tel/Note | | | | | |
| 1. MO | Г | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.1 C | ept. of Science and Technol | ogy (DOST) | | | | | | |
| 1 | Ms. Bui Cam Tu | Expert _DOST | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 P | lanning and Investment Dep | t. (DPI) | | | | | | |
| 2 | Mr. Nguyễn Ngọc Hải | Expert_DPI | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 T | ransport Infrastructure Dept | . (DTI) | | | | | | |
| 3 | Mr. Nguyen Quang Huy | Expert_DTI | | | | | | |
| 1.1.3 lı | nformation Technology Cente | er (ITC) | | | | | | |
| 4 | Mr. Dang Chien Cong | ITC | | | | | | |
| 5 | Mr. Thang | ITC | | | | | | |

| 1.2 Directorate for Road of Vietnam (DRVN) | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1.2.1 V | 1.2.1 Vietnam Expressway Administration (VEA) under DRVN | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Mr. Nguyễn Quốc Tùng | Director _VEA | | | | | | |
| 7 | Mr. Vũ Anh Tuấn | Deputy Director _VEA | | | | | | |
| 8 | Mr. Nguyễn Xuân Hưng | Deputy Director _VEA | | | | | | |
| 9 | Ms. Trần Thị Thùy Linh | Expert_VEA | | | | | | |
| 10 | Ms. Trần Minh Thu | Expert_VEA | | | | | | |
| 11 | Mr. Nguyễn Việt Tuấn | Expert_VEA | | | | | | |
| 1.2.2 D | epartment of Science, Techn | ology, and Environment (DOST&E) under DRVN | | | | | | |
| 12 | Mr. Tuan | Deputy Director of DOST&E_DRVN | | | | | | |
| 1.2.3 D | epartment of Planning and Ir | ivestment (DPI) under DRVN | | | | | | |
| 13 | Mr. Hieu | Deputy Director of DPI_DRVN | | | | | | |
| 1.3 ITS | ST | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Mr. Nguyễn Quang Tuấn | Deputy Director General_ITST | | | | | | |
| 15 | Mr. Nguyen Anh Tuan | ITST | | | | | | |
| 1.4 Vie | tnam Register (VR) | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Mr. Nguyen Quang Dat | Director of Informic Center_VR | | | | | | |
| 1.5 PM | 1.5 PMU 3 under DRVN | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Mr. Lê Như Hiệp | Manager of PID 1_PMU 3 | | | | | | |
| 18 | Mr. Nguyễn Văn Giang | Expert, PID 1_PMU 3 | | | | | | |
| 19 | Mr. Hồ Sỹ Sơn | Expert, PID 1_PMU 3 | | | | | | |

| 1.6 Vie | tnam Expressway Cooporation | ו (VEC) | | | | | |
|---------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 20 | Mr. Nguyễn Như Bình | Apprasing DeptVEC | | | | | |
| 2. Mini | stry of Information and Comm | unication (MOIC) | | | | | |
| 2.1 Ra | dio Frequency Directorate (RFI | D)_MOIC | | | | | |
| 21 | Mr. Nguyễn Đình Tuấn | Official of Policy & Frequency Planning Division, RFD_MOIC | | | | | |
| 3. Min | istry of Science and Technolog | ЗУ | | | | | |
| 3.1 Vie | tnam Standard and Quality Ins | titute _VSQI, Directorate for Standards, Metrology and Quali | ty (STAMEQ) | | | | |
| 22 | Mr. Lý Văn Hùng | VSQI | | | | | |
| 3.2 Na | centech | | | | | | |
| 23 | Mr. Trần Vũ Tuấn Phan | Director_NAC BIC_Nacentech | | | | | |
| 24 | Mr. Nguyen Quoc Dat | NAC BIC_Nacentech | | | | | |
| 25 | Mr. Lê Hồng Minh | Manager of Software Technology Dept, IMET, Nacentech | | | | | |
| 26 | Mr. Quang | Software Technology Dept, IMET, Nacentech | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 4. Trar | sport Engineering Design Inco | prporated (TEDI) | | | | | |
| 27 | Mr. Nguyễn Tiến Lực | TEDI | y = = = = = = = = | | | | |
| 28 | Mr. Nguyễn Mạnh Hà | Director of a center, TEDI | | | | | |
| 5. Univ | 5. University of Transport and communication (UTC) | | | | | | |
| 29 | Mr. Nguyễn Đình Thạo 29 replace = Mr. Pham Quang Son Engineering Faculty_UTC 0973.34.3529 | | | | | | |

| 6. Natio | onal University of Civil Engine | ering (NUCE) | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 30 | Mr. Đinh Văn Hiệp | Director of Institute of Planning and Transportation Engineering (IPTE)_NUCE | | | | | | |
| 7. Post | 7. Post & Telecommunications Institute of Technology (PTIT) | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Mr. Đặng Hoài Bắc replaced by Mr. Vu Huu Tien | Vice Principal of PCIT Mr. Tien: Professor of Department of Media Design & Invention (VN: Khoa Thiet ke & Sang tao Da phuong tien) | | | | | | |
| 8. Han | oi University of Technology | | | | | | | |
| 32 | Mr. Hoàng Quang Huy | Professor, HUT | | | | | | |
| 9. ITD (| Corporation | | | | | | | |
| 33 | Mr. Pham Duc Long | Director_ITD | | | | | | |
| 34 | Mr. Ngo Phuong Thanh | Manager of Marketing Dept., representative for Northern Area_ITD | | | | | | |
| 10. VN | PT Group | | | | | | | |
| 35 | Pham Minh Duc | Deputy Director of PMU I, Network Infrastructure Corp.,_VNPT Group (Tong Cong ty Ha tang mang) | 0911.98.8999 | | | | | |
| 36 | Mr. Cuong | Deputy Director of PMU I, Network Infrastructure Corp.,_VNPT Group | 0914.98.9789 | | | | | |
| 37 | Mr. Minh | Deputy Director of PMU I, Network Infrastructure Corp.,_VNPT Group | 0904.18.8199 | | | | | |
| 11. FP ⁻ | Г | | | | | | | |
| 38 | Mr. Hoang Minh Hung | Principal Solution Consultant, Director Solution & Presales Consultant Center_FPT FTU Information Sytem Company Limited_FPT & Mitsubishi Heavy | | | | | | |

Study for ITS Integration Project in Northern Area of Vietnam Records of Working Groups & Workshop

| 12. Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 39 Mr. KOBAYASHI Ryutaro Deputy Director, Southeast Asia Division 3, JICA Southeast Asia and pacific Dept., _JICA Head Quarter | | | | | | | | |
| 40 Mr. TAKAHASHI Kunihiro JICA Head Quarter | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | 41 Ms. Tran Thi Minh Anh Senior Program Officer_JICA Vietnam Office (Can bo Chuong trinh Cao cap) | | | | | | | |
| 13. NE | XCO Central Co., Ltd (C-NEXC | ;0) | ····· | | | | | |
| 42 | Mr. Hideyasu UNO | Chief Representative, NEXCO - Central, Vietnam Office | | | | | | |
| 14. Met | tropolitan Expressway Co., Lt | d (Metropolitan Ex) | | | | | | |
| 43 | Mr. Hiroshi WARITA | Deputy Manager, International Affairs Division, Technical Consulting DeptMetropolitan Ex | | | | | | |
| 15. NE | C | | ····· | | | | | |
| 44 | Mr. Yoshitaka Fujita | Product Architect, Transportation and City Infrastructure Division_NEC Corp, Tokyo | | | | | | |
| 45 Mr. Kogusu Atsushi Management Division, Business Development Manager, NEC 12F, 52 Le Dai Hanh, Le Dai hanh Vietnam Co., Ltd. HBT Dst., HN | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | Mr. x y | NEC | | | | | | |

Study for ITS Integration Project in Northern Area of Vietnam Records of Working Groups & Workshop

| 16. TO | SHIBA | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| 47 | Mr. Takashi Yuhara | Specialist, Road Solution Dept., Solution Center_Toshiba Solution Corp., Tokyo | | | | |
| 48 | Mr. Tomohiko Amira | 16F, 519 Kim Ma, VIT Tower | | | | |
| 17. Pa | nasonic Vietnam Co., Ltd | | | | | |
| 49 Mr. Eiji Ochidai General Manager_ Infrastructure Business System Solutions Ltd. Hn; Chamvit Tower | | | | | | |
| 18. Mit | subishi Heavy Industries, L | td (Mitsu-Heavy) | | | | |
| 50 | Ms. Trinh Hong Dung | Hanoi Liason Office_Mitsu-Heavy | Ly Thuong Kiet | | | |
| 51 | Ms. My | Hanoi Liason Office_Mitsu-Heavy | 0904.76.8685 | | | |
| 19. On | nron Asia Pacific PTE Ltd (C | Omron-AP) | | | | |
| 52 | Mr. Kokumai Seiji | Sales Manager, Social Solutions Business_Omron AP Singapore | | | | |
| 53 | Mr. Sin Zee Kean | Assistant Manager, Social Solutions Business_Omron AP Singapore | | | | |
| 20. Hit | achi | | | | | |
| 54 | Mr. Atachi Nao | Sale Executive, Hitachi Asia (Vietnam) Co., Ltd_Hitachi | 5F, 23 Phan Chu Chinh, Sun Red River Bld | | | |
| 21. Ori | ental Consultants Global C | o., Ltd | | | | |
| 55 | Mr. Hitoshi Yahagi | Chief Representative, Hanoi Office, OCG Co., Ltd. | | | | |
| 56 | Ms. Chu Minh Hợi | Hanoi Office, OCG Co., Ltd. | | | | |

| 22. Be | Iden | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 57 | Ms. Nguyen Thi Van Dung | Industrial Account Manager_Belden | | | | | |
| 23. BN | P | | <u>.</u> , | | | | |
| 58 | Mr. Nguyen Hai Bang | Director, BNP JSC Vietnam | 0904.88.89.36 | | | | |
| 24. Ag | ency/Company in charge of ta | rgeted sections | | | | | |
| 24.1 H Bridge | anoi People Committee, Hano | i Department of Transport (in charge of the sections: RR3, L | ang – Hoa Lac, and Noi Bai – Ca Lo | | | | |
| 59 | Mr. Vuong Minh Hoan | HPC | | | | | |
| 25. Ne | wspapers & TV | | | | | | |
| 25.1 V | ietnam News Agency (TTXVN) | | | | | | |
| 60 | Ms. Thuy Dung | Jounalist,_Vietnam News Agency (TTXVN) | | | | | |
| 61 | Mr. Ta Quang Toan | Jounalist, Economic News Dept.,_Vietnam News Agency (TTXVN) | | | | | |
| 26. Sti | ıdy Team (ST) | | | | | | |
| 62 | Mr. Hitoshi Ishiguro | Study Team Leader_ST | | | | | |
| 63 | Mr. Takayoshi Ito | Member_ST | | | | | |
| 64 | Ms. Giang Thi Minh Tam | Member_ST | | | | | |
| 65 | Ms. Do Thuy Dung | Member_ST | | | | | |
| 27. Ot | 27. Others | | | | | | |
| 66++ | some not registed guests | | | | | | |

PART 5: RELEVANT LEGAL DOCUMENTS & STANDARDS IN VIETNAM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | List of Relevant Legal Documents | 1 |
|----|----------------------------------|---|
| 2. | List of Relevant Standards | 3 |

1. List of Relevant Legal Documents in Vietnam

- (1) Law No. 68/2006/QH11 dated 29 June 2004 (Law on Standards) (V);
- (2) Circular No. 21/2007/TT-BKHCN dated 28 September, 2007;
- (3) Document No. 3233/MOT-KHDT dated 20 May, 2008 (to PrimeMinister_CG-NB) (V);
- (4) Document No. 899/TTg-KTN dated 12 June, 2008 (from Prime Minister to MOT, MOF, MOPI, VEC_CG-NB) (V);
- (5) Decision No. 1734/QD-TTg dated 01 December 2008 (Master.Plan.of.Exp.) (V);
- (6) Notice No. 41.TB-BGTVT dated 10 February, 2009 (DSRC5.8GHz);
- (7) Decree No. 12-2009-ND-CP dated 12 February, 2009 (on FS Study) (V);
- (8) Notice No. 347.TB-BGTVT dated 23 July, 2009 (ETC-2pieceOBU);
- (9) Notice No. 480/TB-BGTVT dated 27 October, 2009 (JICA StudyStd);
- (10) Circular No. 07/2010/TT-BGTVT dated 11 February, 2010 (Legal regulation for measurement of overloaded heavy truck) (V);
- (11) Decree No. 11/2010/ND-CP dated 24 February, 2010 (road infrastructure management and protection) (V);
- (12) Decree No. 34/2010/ND-CP dated 02 April, 2010 (Processing for measured overload heavy truck) (V);
- (13) Decision No. 997/QD-BGTVT dated 15 April, 2010 (regarding the assignment of ITS development for Vietnam Road System);
- (14) Appraisal Report No. 412/KHDT dated 31 May, 2010 (package 4:HCM-LT-DG);
- (15) Circular No. 30/2010/TT-BGTVT dated 01 October, 2010 (prevention and recovery after flodd and storm in road section) (V);
- (16) Circular No. 03_2011_TT-BGTVT dated 22 February, 2011 (amending and supplementing the Circular no. 07/2010/tt-bgtvt of february 11, 2010) (V);
- (17) Letter No. 1178/BGTVT-KHDT dated 4 March, 2011 (from MOT to MPI_register long list FY 2011-2013);
- (18) Notice No. 67/TB-BGTVT dated 18, March, 2011 (Conclusions by VM NgoThinh Duc_RFID etc.);
- (19) Document No. 2503/BGTVT-KHCN dated 14 May, 2011 (by VM Duc) (V);
- (20) Circular No. 39/2011-TT-BGTVT dated 18 May, 2011 (Guideline for Decree No. 11/2010/ND-CP dated February 24, 2010) (V);
- (21) Notice No. 207/TB-BGTVT dated 7 July, 2011_(Conclusions by VM Ngo Thinh Duc at the meeting with Chief Representative of JICA about some JICA fund projects);
- (22) Letter No. 440/BGTVT-KHCN dated 19 January, 2012 (from MOT to HCM PC on ETC of Saigon) (V);
- (23) Document No. 1040/BGTVT-KHDT dated 21, February, 2012 (V);
- (24) Circular No. 03/TT-BTTTT dated 20 March, 2012 (providing the list of radio devices exempt from radio frequency use licensing and accompanying technical and operation conditions) (V);
- (25) Letter No. 6205/BGTVT-KHDT dated 31 July 2012;
- (26) Letter No. 6500/BGTVT-KHDT dated 08 August, 2012 (by MOT on SAPI);
- (27) Decision No. 1502/QD-TTg dated 11 October, 2012 (Weighing Station) (V);
- (28) Letter No. 9745/BGTVT-KHDT dated 16th November, 2012 (MOT Letter on Scope);

- (29) Notice No. 03/TB-BGTVT by MOT dated 03 January 2013;
- (30) MOT request No. 918/BGTVT-KHDT dated 29 January, 2013 (for support of Finalizing FS) (V);
- (31) Letter No. xx/BGTVT-KHDT (Request for support of Finalizing Vietnam's FS);
- (32) Notice No. 420/TB-BGTVT dated 5 July, 2013 (by MOT_on ITS);
- (33) Proposal No. 3981/TCDBVN-KHCN-MT-HTQT dated 06 September, 2013 (DRVN Proposal _ Meeting to JICA Hanoi);
- (34) Letter No. 4456/ TCDBVN-VPQLDCT dated 04 October, 2013 (DRVN to JICA_ ITS project);
- (35) Letter No. 4725/TCDBVN-VPQLĐCT dated 21 October, 2013 (to JICA);
- (36) Circular No.15/2014/TT-BCA dated 14 April, 2014 (regulating the vehicle registration) (V);
- (37) Decree No. 32/2014/ND-CP dated 22/4/2014 (management, O&M of expressway facilities) (V);
- (38) Circular No. 90/2014/TT-BGTVT dated 31/12/2014 (guidance on management, O&M of expressway facilities) (V);
- (39) Notice No. 831/TB-BGTVT dated 11 September, 2015 (Conclusions by VM Truong) (V);
- (40) Document No. 12653-BGTVT-KHDT dated 22 September, 2015 (MOT to HPC) (V);
- (41) Document No. 12706-BGTVT- DTCT dated 22 September, 2015 (MOT to BOTs) (V);

2. List of Relevant Standards in Vietnam

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on Variable Message Sign

Description method of information sign on Expressway

| TT | CODE | STANDARD NAME | | Collection | | Link | |
|----|------------------------|--|--|------------|---|---|---|
| | | Vietnamese (V) | English (E) | V | Е | V | E |
| 1 | 22TCN331-05 | Biển chỉ dẫn trên đường cao tốc | Signs of Expressway | ~ | | VN\22 TCN 331-05 Dieu le BHDB tren Duong Cao Toc.pdf | |
| 2 | QCVN41 : 2012/BGTVT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật Quốc gia về báo hiệu đường bộ | National technical regulations on Road signs | ~ | | VN\QCVN_41_2012.pdf | |
| 3 | TCVN 5729:2012 | Đường ô tô cao tốc - Yêu cầu thiết kế | Eexpressway - Specifications for design | ~ | | Related Expressway STANDARDs\TCVN5729_201 2.pdf | |

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on toll collection system

| TT | CODE | STANDARD NAME | | Collection | | Link | |
|----|---------------------|---|---------------------|------------|---|-------------------------|--|
| | | Vietnamese | English | V | Е | V | |
| 4 | TCCS 01:2008/VRA | Trạm thu phí đường bộ, thu phí một dừng sử dụng ấn chỉ mã vạch | Signs of Expressway | ~ | ~ | VN\TCCS_01_2008_VRA.pdf | |

Study for ITS Integration Project in Northern Area of Vietnam Relevant Legal Documents and Standards in Vietnam

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on weight checking system

| TT | CODE | STANDARD NAME | | Colle | ction | Link | |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|-------|-------|--|----------------------------|
| | | Vietnamese | English | V | Е | V | |
| 5 | QCVN 66:2013/BGTVT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật Quốc gia về trạm kiểm tra tải trọng xe | National Technical Regulation on Highway Weigh Station | ~ | | VN\QCVN 66-2013-BGTVT Quy chuan KTQG ve Tram KTTTX ngay 12 6.pdf | |
| 6 | DLVN 225:2010 | Cân kiểm tra quá tải xe – Quy trình thử nghiệm | Scales for checking load of vehicles - Testing procedure | ~ | | <u>VN\DLVN 225-2010 Can kiem</u> tra qua tai xe - QTTN.pdf | |
| 7 | DLVN 48:2009 | Cân kiểm tra quá tải xe – Quy trình kiểm định | Scales for checking load of vehicles - Verification procedures | ~ | | <u>VN\DLVN 48-2009 Can kiem</u> <u>tra qua tai xe - QTDKD.PDF</u> | |
| 8 | DLVN 122:2013 | Cân kiểm tra quá tải xách tay - Quy trình thử nghiệm | Portable wheel load scales – Testing procedures | ~ | | VN\DLVN+122-2013.pdf | |
| 9 | DLVN 26:2012 | Cân kiểm tra quá tải xe xách tay - Quy trình kiểm định | Portable wheel load scales – Verification procedures | ~ | | <u>VN\DLVN 26 - 2012 Can kt</u> gtai xtay-QTKD_2.pdf | EN\DLVN 146_2004_E.docx |
| 10 | DLVN 146:2004 | Cân ô tô động – Quy trình thử nghiệm | Automatic instruments for weighing road vehicles in motion - Testing procedures | ~ | r | <u>VN\dlvn 146 2004 791 2.pdf</u> | EN\DLVN 145 2004 E.docx |
| 11 | DLVN 145:2004 | Cân ô tô động – Quy trình kiểm định | Automatic instruments for weighing road vehicles in motion - Verification procedures | ~ | r | VN\dlvn_145_2004_8437.pdf | |

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on information communication system

| ТТ | CODE | STANDARD NAME | | Collec | ction | Link | |
|----|---------------------|---|--|--------|-------|--|--|
| | | Vietnamese | English | V | Е | V | |
| 12 | TCVN 8068:2009 | Dịch vụ điện thoại voip – các yêu cầu | VoIP telephone service - Requirements | ~ | | <u>VN\8068_2009.pdf</u> | |
| 13 | TCVN 8078:2009 | Thiết bị cổng thoại IP dùng cho mạng điện thoại công cộng (IP Gateway) - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Internet Protocol Gateway (IP Gateway) - Technical requirements | 1 | | VN\TCVN 8078-2009 Internet Protocol Gateway - Technical Requirements.pdf | |
| 14 | TCVN 8700:2011 | Cống, bể, hầm, hố, rãnh kỹ thuật và tủ đầu cáp viễn thông - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Duct, jointing chamber, manhole, handhole, technical gutter and cable connected box – Technical Requirements | V | | VN\Vi TCVN8700-2011.doc | |
| 15 | TCVN 9250:2012 | Trung tâm dữ liệu - Yêu cầu về hạ tầng kỹ thuật viễn thông | Data centers - Telecommunications technical infrastructure requirement | ~ | | VN\Vi_TCVN9250-2012.doc | |
| 16 | TCN 68- 254:2006 | Công trình ngoại vi Viễn thông - Quy định kỹ thuật | Telecommunication outside plants - Technical regulations | ~ | | VN\TCN 68-254-2006 (Cong trinh ngoai vi vien thong) - Unicode.doc | |
| 17 | TCVN 8238:2009 | Mạng viễn thông – Cáp thông tin kim loại dùng trong mạng điện thoại nội hạt | Telecommunication network - Metallic cables for local telephone networks | V | | <u>VN\TCVN8238 2009 902417.</u> pdf | |

Study for ITS Integration Project in Northern Area of Vietnam Relevant Legal Documents and Standards in Vietnam

ы

| 18 | TCVN 8665:2011 | Sợi quang dùng cho mạng viễn thông – Yêu cầu kỹ thuật chung | Optical fibre for telecommunication network – General technical requirements | r | VN\Vi_TCVN8665-2011.doc | |
|----|-----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 19 | TCVN 8698:2011 | Mạng viễn thông – Cáp sợi đồng thông tin CAT.5, CAT.5E – Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Telecommunication network - Cat.5 and Cat.5e communication copper cable - Technical requirements | v | VN\TCVN 8698-2011.pdf | |
| 20 | TCVN 8699:2011 | Mạng viễn thông - Ông nhựa dùng cho tuyến cáp ngầm - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Telecommunication network – Plastic ducting use for underground cable lines – Technical requirements | r | VN\Vi_TCVN8699-2011.doc | |
| 21 | QCVN 9:2010/BTTTT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về tiếp đất cho các trạm viễn thông và mạng cáp ngoại vi viễn thông | National technical regulation on earthing of telecommunication stations | v | <u>VN\qcvn_9_2010_926.pdf</u> | |
| 22 | QCVN 32:2011/BTTTT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về chống sét cho các trạm viễn thông và mạng cáp ngoại vi viễn thông | National technical regulation on lightning protection for telecommunication stations and outside cable network | r | <u>VN\qcvn32_2011_btttt_901479</u> _9226.pdf | |
| 23 | QCVN 33:2011/BTTTT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về lắp đặt mạng cáp ngoại vi viễn thông | National technical regulation on installation of outside telecommunication cable network | r | VN\QCVN 33 2011 BTTTT.pdf | |

ი

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on electro-mechanic system

| TT | CODE | STAND | ARD NAME | Colle | ction | Link | |
|----|----------------------|---|---|-------|-------|---|--|
| | | Vietnamese | English | V | Е | V | |
| 24 | 11 TCN-18- 2006 * | Quy phạm trang bị điện (Phần I) | Electrical equipment norm (Part I) | ~ | | VN\11 TCN-18-2006_Quy pham trang bi dien 1- PKTPCTH.pdf | |
| 25 | 11 TCN-19- 2006 * | Quy phạm trang bị điện (Phần II - Hệ thống đường dẫn điện) | Electrical equipment norm (Part II – Electrical path system) | ~ | | VN\11 TCN-19-2006 Quy pham trang bi dien 2- PKTPCTH.pdf | |
| 26 | 11 TCN-20- 2006 * | Quy phạm trang bị điện (Phần III - Trang bị phân phối và trạm biến áp) | Electrical equipment norm (Part III - Distribution facilities and substation) | ~ | | VN\11 TCN-20-2006 Quy pham trang bi dien 3- PKTPCTH.pdf | |
| 27 | 11 TCN-21- 2006 * | Quy phạm trang bị điện (Phần IV - Bảo vệ và tự động) | Electrical equipment norm (Part IV – Protection and Automatic) | ~ | | VN\11 TCN-21-2006 Quy pham TBD 4-PKTPCTH.pdf | |
| 28 | TCVN 4756:1989 | Quy phạm nối đất, nối không các thiết bị điện | Code of Practice of ground connection, "0" connection of electrical equipments | ~ | | VN\TCVN 4756-1989 Quy pham noi dat va noi khong thiet bi dien.pdf | |
| 29 | TCVN 5678:2012 | Thông gió - Điều hòa không khí - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Ventilating – Air conditioning – Design Standard | ~ | | <u>VN\TCVN 5687 2010 - Thông</u> gió & -HKK.pdf | |
| 30 | TCVN 3254-89 | Phòng cháy chữa cháy, các yêu cầu an toàn chung | Fire protection - General requirements | ~ | | VN\Vi TCVN3254-1989.doc | |

| 31 | TCVN 3890:2009 | Phương tiện phòng cháy và chữa cháy cho nhà và công trình – Trang bị, bố trí, kiểm tra, bảo dưỡng | Fire protection equipment for building and construction – Providing, Installation, Inspection and Maintenance | ~ | VN\32TCVN3890- 2009PhuongtienPCCCchonha vacongtrinh.pdf | |
|----|----------------------|---|---|---|---|--|
| 32 | TCVN 5738- 2000 | Hệ thống cảnh báo và phát hiện cháy - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Automatic fire alarm system - Technical regulations | ~ | <u>VN\TCVN 5738 - 2000 - He</u> thong bao chay tu dong.pdf | |
| 33 | TCVN 5739- 1993 | Thiết bị chữa cháy - Đầu nối | Fire fighting equipment - Coupling heads | ~ | VN\TCVN5739 1993 908004. doc | |
| 34 | TCVN 6379- 1998 | Thiết bị chữa cháy - Trụ nước chữa cháy - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Fire protection equipment - Fire hydrant - Technical requirements | ~ | <u>VN\TCVN 6379-1998 Tieu</u> <u>chuan Tru nuoc chua chay -</u> <u>yeu cau ky thuat.pdf</u> | |
| 35 | TCVN 5760- 1993 | Hệ thống chữa cháy. Yêu cầu chung về thiết kế, lắp đặt và sử dụng | Fire extinguishing system - General requirements for design, installation and use | ~ | <u>VN\TCVN 5760-1993 HTBC-</u> <u>CC.doc</u> | |
| 36 | TCVN 7435:2004 | Phòng cháy, chữa cháy. Bình chữa cháy xách tay và xe đẩy chữa cháy. Phần 1: Lựa chọn và bố trí | Fire protection. Portable and wheeled fire extinguishers. Part 1: Selection and Installation | ~ | VN\TCVN 7435.pdf | |
| 37 | TCVN 7278- 1:2003 | Chất chữa cháy - Chất tạo bọt chữa cháy - Phần 1: Yêu cầu kỹ thuật với chất tạo bọt chữa cháy độ nở thấp dùng phun lên bề mặt chất lỏng cháy không hòa tan được với nước | Fire extinguishing media – Foam concentrates – Part 1: Specifications for low expansion foam concentrates for top application to water – immiscible liquids | v | VN\[xaydung360.vn]TCVN 7278 - 1 - 2003 - Chat chua chay bot yeu cau thiet ke.pdf | |

ω

Study for ITS Integration Project in Northern Area of Vietnam Relevant Legal Documents and Standards in Vietnam

| 38 | TCVN 5040:1990 (ISO 6790:1986) | Thiết bị phòng cháy chữa cháy - Ký hiệu hình vẽ dùng trên sơ đồ phòng cháy - Yêu cầu kỹ thuật | Fire prevention and protection equipments – Graphical symbols used for protection schemes - Specifications | r | VN\TCVN 5040-1990.pdf | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 39 | QCVN 06:2010/BXD | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về an toàn cháy cho nhà và công trình | National technical regulation on Fire safety of Buildings | ~ | <u>VN\QCVN 06</u> 2010_BXD_29920.pdf | |
| 40 | TCXDVN 259:2001 | Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế chiếu sáng nhân tạo đường, quảng trường đô thị | Design standard on Artificial lighting for urban road, street and square | ~ | VN\Vi TCXDVN259-2001.pdf | |
| 41 | TCXDVN 333:2005 | Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế chiếu sáng nhân tạo bên ngoài các công trình công cộng và kỹ thuật hạ tầng đô thị | Design standard on Artificial outdoor lighting for public buildings and urban infrastructure | r | VN\TCXDVN 333-2005.pdf | |
| 42 | TCVN 8095- 845-2009 | Tiêu chuẩn Việt Nam - Từ vựng kỹ thuật điện quốc tế, Phần 845: Chiếu sang | National regulation – International electrotechnical vocabulary, Chapter 845: Lighting | v | <u>VN\Vi_TCVN8095-845-</u> 2009.doc | |
| 43 | QCVN 01:2008/ BCT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về an toàn điện | National technical regulation on Electrical safety | ~ | VN\QCVN 01_2008_BCT.doc | |
| 44 | QCVN QTĐ-5: 2009/BCT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về kỹ thuật điện. Tập 5: Kiểm định trang thiết bị hệ thống điện | National technical regulation on Electrical techniques Set 5: Testing, Acceptance test for Power facility | ~ | VN\QCVN QTD 05-2009 BCT Ky thuat dien.pdf | |

| 45 | QCVN QTĐ-7: 2008/BCT | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về kỹ thuật điện. Tập 7: Thi công các công trình điện | National technical regulation on Electrical techniques Set 7: Power network Installation | ~ | <u>VN\QCVN QTĐ-</u> <u>7 2008BCT 85619 QD54BC</u> <u>T4.DOC</u> | |
|----|-------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| 46 | TCVN 9206:2012 | Đặt thiết bị điện trong nhà ở và công trình công cộng - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Installation of electric equipments in dwellings and public building – design standard | ~ | VN\TCVN 9206 2012 dat- thiet-bi-dien-trong-nha-va- cong-trinh-cong-cong-tieu- chuan-thiet-ke.pdf | |
| 47 | TCVN 9207:2012 | Đặt đường dẫn điện trong nhà ở và công trình công cộng - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Installation of electrical wiring in dwellings and public building – Design standard | ~ | VN\TCVN 9207 2012 dat- duong-dan-dien-trong-nha-o- va-cong-trinh-cong-cong-tieu- chuan-thiet-ke.pdf | |
| 48 | TCVN 9208:2012 | Lắp đặt cáp và dây dẫn điện trong các công trình công nghiệp | Installation of electrical cables and wires for industrial projects | ~ | VN\TCVN_9208_2012_lap- dat-cap-va-day-dan-dien- trong-cac-cong-trinh-cong- nghiep.pdf | |
| 49 | TCVN 9358:2012 | Lắp đặt hệ thống nối đất thiết bị cho các công trình công nghiệp | Installation of equipment earthing system for industrial projects | ~ | VN\TCVN 9358 2012 lap- dat-he-thong-noi-dat-thiet-bi- cho-cac-cong-trinh-cong- nghiep-yeu-cau-chung.pdf | |
| 50 | TCVN 9631- 1:2013 | Uninterruptible Power Sysstem (UPS) – Part 1: General and safety requirements for UPS | Hệ thống điện không gián đoạn (UPS) - Phần 1: Yêu cầu chung và yêu cầu an toàn với UPS | v | VN\Vi_TCVN9631-1-2013.doc | |
| 51 | TCVN 9631- 2:2013 | Uninterruptible Power Sysstem (UPS) – Part 2: Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) requirements | Hệ thống điện không gián đoạn (UPS) - Phần 2: Yêu cầu về tương thích điện tử EMC | v | VN\Vi_TCVN9631-2-2013.doc | |

| 52 | TCVN 9631- 3:2013 | Uninterruptible Power Sysstem (UPS) – Part 3: Method of specifying the performance and test requirements | Hệ thống điện không gián đoạn (UPS) - Phần 3: Phương pháp xác định các yêu cầu tính năng thử nghiệm | 7 | | VN\Vi TCVN9631-3-2013.doc | |
|----|----------------------|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|
|----|----------------------|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|

OBJECTIVE:

Related Standards on Expressway design and construction of management center

| TT | CODE | STAND | ARD NAME | Collection | | Link | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|------------|---|--|--|
| | | Vietnamese | English | V | Е | V | |
| 53 | TCVN4054 : 2005 | Đường ô tô - Yêu cầu thiết kế (tái bản lần 3) | Highway – Specifications for Design (3rd edition) | ~ | | VN\TCVN 4054 2005 Duong o to 07-02-2006.doc | |
| 54 | TCVN2737 : 1995 | Tải trọng và tác động - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Loads and Effects – Design standard | ~ | | VN\TCVN-2737-1995.pdf | |
| 55 | 22TCN272-05 | Bài giảng Kết cấu thép theo Tiêu chuẩn 22 TCN 272- 05 và AASHTO LRFD | | v | | <u>VN\272_05_Full.pdf</u> | |
| 56 | TCVN 9362 : 2012 | Thiết kế nền nhà và công trình | Specifications for design of foundation for buildings and structures | ~ | | VN\Vi_TCVN9362-2012.doc | |
| 57 | TCVN 5574 : 2012 | Kết cấu bê tông và bê tông cốt thép - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Concrete and reinforced concrete structures - Design standard | ~ | | <u>VN\TCVN5574 2012 907349.</u> doc | |
| 58 | TCVN 5575 : 2012 | Kết cấu thép - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Steel structures - Design standard | ~ | | VN\TCVN 5575_2012.doc | |

| 59 | TCVN 4474 : 1987 | Thoát nước bên trong. Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Internal drainage – Design standard | ~ | VN\tcvn_4474- 1987_thoat_nuoc_ben_trong.d oc | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|---|--|
| 60 | TCVN 4513 : 1988 | Cấp nước bên trong. Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Internal water supply – Design standard | ~ | VN\tcvn 4513 1988 tke cap nuoc ben trong nha o.doc | |
| 61 | TCVN 7957 : 2008 | Thoát nước - mạng lưới và công trình bên ngoài. Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Drainage and sewerage – External networks and facilities - Design standard | 7 | VN\Vi_TCVN7957-2008.doc | |
| 62 | TCXDVN 33:2006 | Cấp nước - Mạng lưới đường ống và công trình - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Water supply – Distribution system and facilities - Design standard | 5 | <u>VN\33_2006.doc</u> | |
| 63 | TCVN 25 : 1991 | Đặt đường dẫn điện trong nhà ở và công trình công cộng. Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Installation of electric wire in dwellings and public buildings. Design standard | V | <u>VN\tcxd_25-</u> <u>1991 tk_dien_nha_o_va_ct_c</u> ong_cong.doc | |
| 64 | TCVN 27 : 1991 | Đặt thiết bị điện trong nhà ở và công trình công cộng. Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Electric distribution network in dwellings and public buildings. Design standard | 1 | VN\TCXD 27-1991-Dat thiet bi dien trong nha o va CT cong cong-TC thiet ke.pdf | |
| 65 | TCVN 2622 : 1995 | Phòng cháy, chống cháy cho nhà và công trình. Yêu cầu thiết kế | Fire prevention and protection for buildings and structures. Design standard | 1 | VN\tcvn 2622 1995 tk pccc cho nha o.doc | |
| 66 | TCVN 232 : 1999 | Hệ thống thông gió, điều hòa không khí và cấp lạnh | Ventilating, air- conditioning and cooling system | > | VN\Vi_TCXD232-1999.doc | |
| 67 | TCVN 9385 : 2012 | Chống sét cho công trình xây dựng - Hướng dẫn thiết kế, kiểm tra và bảo trì hệ thống | Protection of structures against lightning - Guide for design, inspection and maintenance | ~ | VN\TCVN 9385-2012.doc | |
| 68 | QCVN 01/2008/BXD | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia về Quy hoạch xây dựng | National technical regulation on Construction Planning | ~ | VN\QCXDVN 01 2008BXD_4bxd2008.doc | |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 69 | QCVN 07:2012/BXD | Quy chuẩn kỹ thuật quốc gia các công trình hạ tầng kỹ thuật đô thị | National technical regulation on Urban technical infrastructures | ~ | VN\QCVN 07 2010 BXD.pdf | |
| 70 | TCXDVN 362:2005 | Quy hoạch cây xanh sử dụng công cộng trong các đô thị - Tiêu chuẩn thiết kế | Planning on using public greenery in urban areas - Design Standard | ~ | <u>VN\tcxdvn 362 2005.doc</u> | |
| 71 | TCVN 4601:2012 | Công sở cơ quan hành chính nhà nước - Yêu cầu thiết kế | State Administrative Agencies - Design requirements | ~ | VN\TCVN 4601-2012.pdf | |
| 72 | TCVN 4319:2012 | Nhà và công trình công cộng - Nguyên tắc cơ bản để thiết kế | Public buildings – Basic rules for design | ~ | VN\Vi TCVN4319-2012.doc | |
| 73 | TCVN 9379:2012 | Kết cấu xây dựng và nền - Nguyên tắc cơ bản về tính toán | Building structures and Foundations – Basic rules for calculations | ~ | <u>VN\Vi_TCVN9379-2012.doc</u> | |