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## LOCAL NAME OF FARMLANDS

| Le | Paddy field or wet low land which can be used for paddy farming |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yar | Upland |
| Kaing | Farmlands which appear in the flood land in Ayeyarwady River as the water recedes |
| Kyun | Farmland which appear on the sandbar in Ayeyarwady River as the water recedes |

## CONVERSION

| 1 basket | Paddy | 20.9 kg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 basket | Wheat | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Maize (seed) | 24.9 kg |
| 1 basket | Sorghum | 28.1 kg |
| 1 basket | Sesame | 24.5 kg |
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| 1 basket | Sultapya | 31.3 kg |
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| 1 basket | Lab Lab Bean | 31.3 kg |
| 1 basket | Lima Bean | 31.3 kg |
| 1 basket | Pigeon Pea | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Black Gram | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Green Gram | 32.7 kg |


| 1 basket | Bocate | 32.7 kg |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 basket | Soybean | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Cowpea | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Rice Bean | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Garden Pea | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Lentil | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Krishna Mung | 32.7 kg |
| 1 basket | Other Pulses | 31.7 kg |

## Fruits and Vegetables

In the Myanmar Agricultural Statistics, Viss and Number are used for fruits, and Viss for vegetables as well. 1 Viss $=1.633 \mathrm{~kg}$

## Others

| 1 pyi | 8 nohzibu |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 basket | 16 pyi |
| 1 viss | 1.64 kg |
| 1 lb (pound) | 0.453592 kg |
| 1 inch (in.) | 2.54 cm |
| 1 feet (ft.) | 30.5 cm |
| 1 acre (ac) | 0.405 ha |
| 1 hectare (ha) | 2.47 ac |

## CURRENCY (AS OF JULY 2013)

| 1 US\$ | $=$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 979.00 Myanmar Kyats |  |
| 1 US\$ | $=$ |
| 1 Kyat | $=0.118$ Japanese yen |
| 1 lakh | $=100,000$ Kyats yen |

## FISCAL YEAR

April 1st to March 31

## CHAPTER 1 BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

## (1) AGRICULTURE IN THE CENTRAL DRY ZONE

Recently the government of Myanmar has been shifting from rice production to crop diversification, though the government still places premium on rice. Consequently, production of pulses, sesame, groundnut and sunflower etc. has a tendency to increase. Especially, the share of pulses in the exporting value of agricultural products occupies 65\% in 2010/2011, and 9\% in the total exporting value in the same year. The Central Dry Zone (hereinafter called as CDZ) is known as producing area of these pulses and oil crops, which is the objective area of this survey.

However, condition of rainfall is the most serious limiting factor for farming in CDZ. Rainfall in CDZ concentrates from May to October. As shown in the isohyetal map, there exist considerable differences in the annual rainfall even in CDZ. In addition, the predominant sandy soil with low humus content and low water holding capacity is also a limiting factor for agriculture together with small rainfall in CDZ.

The heart-shaped area located at the center of the isohyetal map is the driest area even in CDZ called as the "Heart of Dry Zone". Myingyan and Nyaung Oo townships except for Magway Township, objective townships of the survey, are involved in the area with annual rainfall of 760 mm .


Isohyetal Map on the CDZ

As stated above availability of irrigation water source is the most serious issue for agriculture in CDZ. However, there are difficulties to introduce river water in the hilly area and to develop tube-wells because of deep groundwater level though it differs depending on area conditions. Under these situations, it is necessary to develop water saving agricultural technologies in CDZ.

## (2) Implementation of the Development Study (2006-2010)

Under the situation mentioned above, the Government of Myanmar requested official assistance to the Japanese Government. Based on the request, Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) dispatched a preliminary study team for the development study in the central dry zone in Myanmar on February 2005, which results in sign of Scope of Work and Minutes of Meeting of the study.

The development study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Programme in the Central Dry Zone was started on March 2006 and completed on July 2010. Target area of the study was 51 townships in 3 Regions including Sagaing, Magway and Mandalay. In the first and second year of the study, a poverty profile of the target area and action plant to mitigate the
poverty was developed. From the second year, pilot projects had been implemented until fifth year, when the final evaluation was conducted by the study team and counterpart officials. In the sixth year, the action plan for the alleviation of poverty in the central dry zone was finalized based on lessons learned from the pilot project experiences.

## (3) Request for the Technical Cooperation Project

In the train of the Development Study, JICA decided to implement technical cooperation project (hereinafter called as the Project) on water saving agriculture in CDZ to develop and extend crops and varieties suitable for CDZ's environment.

Minutes of Meeting and Record of Discussion were signed on February 29, 2012 and December 20, 2012, respectively. Main executing agencies are the Department of Agricultural Research (DAR) and Department of Agriculture (DOA) under the Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation. The Project will be based at the Agricultural Research Center under DAR located at Nyaung Oo Township in Mandalay Region.

The Project is to commence from 2013 for a period of five (5) years in order to develop proper water saving agricultural technology taking into consideration regional environment and social conditions targeting suitable crops for CDZ's natural conditions. Four long-term experts consisting of chief advisor cum arid area agriculture, integrated pest and disease control, water saving irrigation, and coordinator shall be dispatched.

### 1.2 Objective of the Survey

Objective of the study is to collect and analyze necessary information on helpful crops and varieties, issues and challenges on traditionally practiced farming methods, and baseline data through farm household survey and so on. The collected and analyzed data and information will be utilized in the forthcoming technical cooperation project under JICA, namely "the Project for Development of Water Saving Agriculture Technology in the CDZ in the Republic of the Union of Myanmar" (hereinafter referred to as "the Project"), which requires necessary information to decide suitable crops and varieties under the environment in the CDZ, and to materialize water saving agricultural technology.

The study shall be composed of the following activities;
(1) Basic Information Survey: data collection on the three townships under the Project,
(2) Farm Household Survey: information collection on agriculture of 240 sample farm households,
(3) Agricultural Production Survey: information collection on agricultural production in the three regions and one city,
(4) Distribution and Marketing Survey: information collection on current condition of distribution and marketing of key crops in the Project area, and their market needs.
(5) Subcontract survey: soil analysis and water quality analysis at DAR.

### 1.3 Study Area

The following table shows the study area and activities of the study.

Activities and Target Areas

| Survey | Target Area | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Basic <br> Information <br> Survey | - Mandalay Region (Nyaung-Oo TS, Myingyan TS) <br> - Magway Region (Magway TS) |  |
| 2. Farm <br> Household <br> Survey | - Mandalay Region (Nyaung-Oo TS, Myingyan TS) <br> - Magway Region (Magway TS) | Sample household: 240 Farm <br> Households |
| 3. Agricultural <br> Production <br> Survey | - Mandalay Region <br> - Magway Region <br> - Sagaing Region <br> - Nay Pyi Taw city | Regional and field offices of <br> agriculture related ministries <br> particularly DOA. |
| 4. Distribution <br> and Marketing <br> Survey | - Mandalay Region (Nyaung-Oo TS, Myingyan TS) <br> - Magway Region (Magway TS) <br> - Mandalay City, and Yangon City | • Local market in TSs <br> - Market in Mandalay |
| 5. Subcontract <br> survey | - Mandalay Region (Nyaung-Oo TS, Myingyan TS) <br> - Magway Region (Magway TS) | Soil analysis and water <br> quality analysis at DAR |

### 1.4 Implementing Organization

Counterpart organizations of the study are the Department of Agricultural Research (DAR) and Department of Agriculture (DOA), which are under the Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation (MOAI) and are also the counterpart organization of the Project. Note that Myanmar Agricultural Service (MAS) which is responsible in providing agricultural extension services to farmers was transferred under the DOA on February 2012.

### 1.5 First Field Survey

In the First Field Survey, data and information were collected from DOA, DAR (Yezin), WRUD, Nay Pyi Taw Council area and so on in Nay Pyi Taw, capital of Myanmar, and basic information of three townships of Myingyan, Magway, Nyaung Oo and data on agricultural production of three regions of Sagaing, Mandalay, Magway regions, and one city of Nay Pyi Taw city as well. Farm household survey of 240 samples was also conducted. Marketing survey was carried out in two regions of Mandalay and Magway and two cities of Mandalay and Yangon. Major components of the survey are as follows. .
(1) Explanation of the Study to the Agencies Concerned

The Study Team explained the objectives and plan of operation of the Study to JICA Yangon office, DAR, DOA and DAP at the end of April 2013, and asked cooperation for data and information collection at the agencies concerned in Yangon, Nay Pyi taw, region, township and village tract/village levels. The First Field Survey was generally conducted smoothly, and interview survey will be carried out continuously in the Second Field Survey.
(2) Data and Information Collection at the Objective Townships

In order to estimate magnitude of beneficial farmers of the Project in three townships composing Magway, Nyuang $U$ and Myingyan, number of administrative organizations, number of total households and farm households (including landless households), land use, agricultural production and so on were collected mainly from DOA and GAD offices at regional and township levels.

## (3) Individual Farm Household Survey

This survey was conducted using six surveyors and they were trained by interviewing farmers practically on the field ay Nay Pyi Taw area. The questionnaire was modified base on the result of trial to facilitate the survey. The actual survey commenced on April 26, 2013 to interview 80 farmers each (total 240 sample farmers) in townships of Nyaung Oo, Myingyan and Magway and was completed on May 10, 2013. Result of the Individual Farm Household Survey is shown in Chapter 6.
(4) Soil and Water Analysis

Simultaneous with data and information survey, is the conduct of soil and water samples ( 50 samples each) taken at farmlands of objective townships and were analyzed at the DAR laboratory at Yezin on sub-contract basis. The analysis was completed at the end of June 2013. Result of the Soil and Water Analysis is shown in Chapter 8.

## (5) Agricultural Production Survey

This survey was conducted in three regions of Mandalay, Magway and Sagaing, and Nay Pyi Taw Council Area to figure out the regional agricultural status. Although regional and state level's agricultural status can be known from Statistical Yearbook etc. the data and information on township level had to ask to township offices of DOA, WRUD, SLRD and LBVD and so on.
(6) Distribution and marketing Survey of Agricultural products

In order to grasp marketing mechanism and needs in market on agricultural products produced in each region, various information on marketing volume, fluctuation of prices and demand for quality such as taste, color, size, and exporting destination countries etc was collected by interviewing local buyers and merchants at local markets of Mandalay, Sagaing and Magway regions

### 1.6 Second Field Survey

The Second Field Survey was conducted from June 15 to July 12, 2013. Field survey was mainly undertaken on rainfed farms at the beginning of rainy season and supplemental data and information survey at regional and township DOA offices and DAR Farms were carried out. In addition, surveys on processing industries, market and distribution were conducted, and the results of water and soil analysis were also examined.

## CHAPTER 2 BASIC INFORMATION ON AGRICULTURE IN MYANMAR

### 2.1 Administrative Division

As of May in 2013, Myanmar's administration is as shown below. Recently administrative reorganization of township has been conducted. MIMU (Myanmar Information Management Unit) prepared the administrative map as shown in Appendix-6 in order to unify name and boundary of township. Even in the map, MIMU uses "Division" instead of "Region" that is recently used popularly in Myanmar. In this report, "Region" shall be used.

Administrative Division in Myanmar

|  | Administration Division * |
| :--- | :---: |
| State/Region | 7staes/8Regions* |
| District | 73 |
| Township | 330 |
| Sub-Township | 84 |
| Village tract | 13,623 |
| Village | 64,101 |

Source : GAD, Ministry of Home Affairs, May 2013
Note : *Number of region increased from 7 to 8 as Nay Pyi Taw has jointed into it.

### 2.2 Available Agriculture Related Statistics

The following statistics are available as of July 2013. However, it is necessary to take note that most of the statistics show are only at the national or regional levels.
(1) Statistical Yearbook 2011, CSO
(2) Myanmar Agricultural Statistics (1997/98-2009/2010), 2011 CSO
(3) Myanmar Agriculture in Brief 2012, MOAI
(4) Talking Figures: Some Statistics in Agriculture of Myanmar and Asia-Pacific Region, 2012 MOAI
(5) Myanmar Agriculture at a Glance, 2012
(6) Livestock and Fisheries Statistics (2008-2009), 2010 CSO
(7) Myanmar Census of Agriculture (2013), SLRD, MOAI

The contents on agriculture in the above-cited statistics are shown in Appendix-1.
The profile on agriculture, livestock and fisheries at the national level can be figured out from (1) to (7) but the regional level data is not shown though it depends on statistics. The Myanmar Agricultural Statistics of (2) is useful as data and information are at regional basis data on annual basis. As to the data and information about district and township levels, it is necessary to get at the Regional, District and Township Offices concerned.

### 2.3 Mandates of Agencies Concerned

(1) Department of Agriculture (DOA)

1) Production of good quality seed varieties of main crops like rice, seed corn, groundnut, sesame, sunflower, mustard, niger, pulses, culinary crops such as chili, onion, garlic, potato, vegetables
and fruits for economic development of farmers and conduct of training for farmers to produce good quality seed;
2) Organize training on advanced agricultural technologies and cultural practices of above mentioned crops in order to facilitate for application and innovation of these techniques by farmers;
3) Conduct research on scientific cultural practices and development in order to produce good quality and high yielding seeds.
(2) Department of Agricultural Research (DAR)
4) Research development of high yielding crop varieties;
5) Generation of agricultural techniques for maximizing of benefits and sustainable use of natural resource;
6) Dissemination of improved crop varieties and agronomic technologies to farmers; and
7) Development of human resources in agricultural research.
(3) Department of Agricultural Planning (DAP)
8) Assistance in adopting agriculture policies;
9) Formulation of various agricultural plans;
10) Relation with international, regional organizations and governments;
11) Strengthen cooperation and coordination among inter-agencies;
12) Development of agricultural trade and investment;
13) Reporting and compilation of agricultural statistics;
14) Conduct of related surveys;
15) Recommendations for further development of agriculture sector; and
16) Collection and dissemination of wholesale prices of agricultural commodities.
(4) Irrigation Department (ID)
17) Design formulation for new irrigation projects based upon hydrological and geological investigations and topographic survey data;
18) Planning and implementation of new irrigation projects;
19) Operation and maintenance of existing irrigation and drainage systems, flood protection embankments and polders;
20) Seasonal and temporary measures for summer paddy cultivation;
21) Technical assistance to village embankment and village irrigation works for rural development;
22) Installation of micro-hydropower generation plants along the irrigation canals;
23) Providing the on-farm water management development training for farmers' Water User Association; and
24) Conduct of training for capacity building of irrigation staff to enhance irrigation technologies.
(5) Agricultural Mechanization Department (AMD)
25) Land reclamation, land consolidation and land development works;
26) Provision of farm mechanization services for land preparation, harvesting and threshing;
27) Production and distribution of appropriate farm machineries;
28) Research and development on utilization of agricultural machinery
29) Implementation of upland reclamation in hilly regions; and
30) Dissemination of technical know-how on utilization of farm machinery to local farmers and production technologies to private industries.
(6) Settlement and Land records Department (SLRD)
31) Updating land maps and registers;
32) Land surveys and map productions;
33) Collection compilation and issuing timely and reliable crop statistics;
34) Collection and compilation of land use statistics;
35) Land administration and decision on agricultural land disputes; and
36) Conduct of agricultural socioeconomic surveys
(7) Water Resources Utilization Department (WRUD)
37) Supply irrigation water by pumping water from rivers and streams and also utilization of groundwater from feasible potential for boosting crop production;
38) Promote the socioeconomic conditions of the rural population by supplying safe drinking water from both tube wells and piped water supply reticulation systems;
39) Supply crop water as well as drinking water from spring sources by gravity flow system in the mountainous region of the border and remote areas, and examine water quality for drinking and irrigation purposes applying high technology, water analysis methods;
40) Disseminate the knowledge and practice of efficient usage of drip irrigation; and
41) Apply renewable energy, being installed Biomass Gasifier in river water pumping facilities.
(8) Myanmar Agricultural Development Bank (MADB)
42) Provision of seasonal, short, medium and long-term loans to farmers;
43) Collection of repayment of bank loans; and
44) Encouraging farmers to open deposit and saving accounts at MADB
(9) Survey Department (SD)
45) Set-up the plan for surveying, mapping and map reproduction;
46) Cooperation and coordination with international survey organizations;
47) Submission of plans and reports to the authorities concerned;
48) Procurement of necessary materials and equipments;
49) Deputation on internal and international training; and
50) Publication of maps
(10) Yezin Agricultural University (YAU)
51) Produce highly qualifies agriculturists needed for the development of the agriculture sector of the country;
52) Provide adequate technical training on modern methods of agriculture; and
53) Provide sound training to students who wish to engage in scientific farming as a means of livelihood through cooperatives or private enterprises.
(11) Department of Industrial Crops Development (DOICD)
54) Produce high- yield and qualified seeds for industrial crops such as sugarcane, cotton, jute, rubber, coffee and other industrial crops for increased production;
55) Educate industrial crop farmers with advanced agricultural techniques; and
56) Develop scientific agricultural practices through R\&D for the production of seeds for industrial crops with specific characteristics of resistance to pest, diseases and serious weather.

Source of the said is Myanmar Agriculture in Brief 2012.

### 2.4 Seasonal Zoning

In addition to seasonality such as rainy and dry seasons, seasonal zoning is also used on various agricultural statistics, comprising Pre-monsoon, Monsoon, Post-monsoon, winter and summer. Some crops such as sesame and groundnut, etc. are also named according to seasonal zoning. Monsoon season itself is divided into three periods as shown below. An example of cropping pattern, combined with seasonal zoning in Nyaung Oo township, is presented below.


| May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-monsoon |  | Monsoon |  | Post-monsoon |  | Winter |  |  | Summer |  |  |

## Seasonal Zoning in Myanmar

### 2.5 Category of Farmlands in Myanmar

The four categories of farmlands are shown in the table below.

Category of Farmlands in Myanmar

| Farmland | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- |
| Le | Paddy field or wet low land which can be used for paddy farming |
| Yar | Upland |
| Kaing | Farmlands which appear in the flood land in Ayeyarwady River as the water recedes |
| Kyun | Farmland which appear on the sandbar in Ayeyarwady River as the water recedes |

### 2.6 Classification of Farm Household

There is no concrete definition on the classification of farm household by farm size, however, the following classification were identified by the team. At the project area, it was determined that the minimum farm size to feed a family is eight to 10 acres.

Classification of Farm Household

| Classification | Farm Size (ac/farm household) |
| :--- | :---: |
| Small scale farm | Less than $2 \mathrm{ha} \doteqdot 5.00$ ac |
| Middle scale farm | 2.0 to 8 ha $\fallingdotseq 5.0$ to 20.0 ac |
| Large scale farm | Above 8 ha $\fallingdotseq 20.0$ ac |

Source: Interview survey at ID

### 2.7 Category of Crops

In Myanmar, crops are classified as shown below. As to vegetables, there are three categories as follows: Culinary crops, Kitchen crops, and Vegetables, different from Japan.

## Category of Crops

| Category | Crops |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cereal crops | Rice, wheat, maize, sorghum, etc. |
| Oil seed crops | Sesame, groundnut, mustard, sunflower, Niger, etc. |
| Pulses | Green gram, black gram (Matpe), lablab bean, pigeon pea, chick pea, <br> soybean, butter bean, sultani, sultapya, etc. |
| Industrial crops | Cotton, sugarcane, jute, rubber, tobacco, etc. |
| Vegetables | Cucumber, pumpkin, okra, eggplant, cabbage, etc. |
| Culinary crops | Potato, onion, garlic, chili, tomato, ginger, spices |
| Fruits | Mango, tamarind, jujube, banana, citrus, dragon fruit, etc. |
| Plantation crops | Tea, coffee, coconut, toddy |

### 2.8 English and Local Name of Pulses

Many kinds of pulses are cultivated in CDZ and some of them are unfamiliar to the Japanese. English and local names and botanical name are shown below for comparison.

English and Local Name of Pulses

| Pulses |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English Name | Burmese Name | Botanical Name |
| Black gram | Mat-pe | Phaseolus mungo |
| Green gram | Pedisein | Phaseolus radiates |


| Butter bean | Htaw-but-pe | Phaseolus lunatus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cow pea | Bo-cate-pe | Vigna catjang |
| Sultani | Sultani | Phaseolus lunatus |
| Sultapya | Sultapya | Phaseolus lunatus |
| Soybean | Pe-boke | Glycine soja |
| Chick pea | Ka-la-pe | Cicer arietinum |
| Cow pea | Pe-lun | Vigna catijang |
| Pigeon pea | Pe-sin-ngon | Cajanus indicus |
| Rice bean | Pe-yin | Phaseolus calcaratus |
| Duffin bean | Pe-byu-gale | Phaseolus lunatus |
| Lablab bean | Pe-gyi | Dlichos lablab |
| Garden pea | Sa-daw-pe | Pisum sativum |
| Krishna mung | Pe-nauk | Phaseolus radiates |
| Lentil | Pe-yar-zar | Lens esculenta |
| Kaffir bean | Pe-myit, pe-zaung-yar- | Psophocarpus tetragonolobus |
| Horse bean | Pe-bizat | Dolichos biflorus |
| Goa bean | Pe-sein-sar | Pachyrhizus angulatus |
| Asparagus bean | Pe-daung-she | Vigna catjang |

English and Local Name of Other Crops

| Others |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| English name | Burmese name | Botanical name |
| Groundnut | Mye-pe | Arachis hypogaea |
| Sesame | Hnan | Sesamum indicum |
| Nigar | Pan-hnan | Guizotia abyssinia |
| Jujube | Zee | Zizyphus jujube |

Source : Talking Figures: Some Statistics in Agriculture in Myanmar and Pacific Region

### 2.9 Food Consumption in Myanmar

Rice consumption per capita of Burmese could be ranked top in the world. As shown in the following table, people consume 157.8 kg (FAO 2005) per capita per year compared to $60 \mathrm{~kg} /$ capita/year of Japanese. Moreover, people also consume $15.3 \mathrm{~kg} /$ capita/year of pulses, 1.6 times of Japanese, 23kg of meats as well. However, people intake $1,350 \mathrm{Kcal}$ per capita per day from rice and rice products, which is equivalent to $57 \%$ of 2,300 Kcal.

Food Consumption in Myanmar

| Commodity | Consumption <br> (kg/capita/year) | Major commodity |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Rice | 157.8 |  |
| Pulses | 15.3 |  |
| Vegetables | 73.2 |  |
| Cooking oil | 9.3 | Groundnut oil, sunflower oil and sesame oil |


| Meats | 23.0 | Chicken pork and beef |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Fish \& marine products | 26.1 |  |
| Milk \& milk products | 22.3 |  |
| Fruits | 36.4 |  |
| Egg | 3.5 |  |

### 2.10 Data Collection at Local level

Data and information which can be collected at regional, district and township level's DOA is not always available at these offices. In some cases, it is necessary to visit GAD, SLRD, LBVD and hospitals. For example, population, number of farm household, agricultural production, climate condition, number of livestock can be collected in Sagaing and Magway regional and township offices of DOA. However, DOA regional office at Magway and DOA township office at Nyaung Oo and Myingyan do not have these kinds of data in their offices. Consequently JICA Team had to visit GAD and SLRD township offices and hospitals together with their counterpart to collect data that are not available at the regional and township offices. DOA regional, district and township offices at Magway region are deployed in the same compound. Similarly, DOA district and township offices at Nyaung Oo district are located in the same place but the regional office is in Mandalay.

On the other hand, data and information collection and discussion at DAR centers located at Myingyan, Nyaung Oo and Magway were done very successfully.

### 2.11 Deployment of Agencies Concerned

The offices concerned and Project can be divided into two: the ones which have village / village tract office, and the ones that have no tail-end offices. GAD (General Administration Department) under the Ministry of Home Affairs has offices at the village/village tract level.

Deployment of Agencies Concerned

|  | Region | District | Township | Village <br> Tract/Village |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department of Agricultural Research <br> (DAR) |  <br> 17 satellite <br> stations | - | - | - |
| Department of Agriculture (DOA) | $\circ(42$ seed <br> farms \& 55 <br> state farms) | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | - |
| Irrigation Department (ID) | $\circ$ | $\circ *$ | - | - |
| Water Resource Utilization Department <br> (WRUD) | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | - | - |
| Settlement and Land Records <br> Department (SLRD) | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | - |
| General Administration Department <br> (GAD) | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ | $\circ$ |

Source: JICA Study Team
Note. * Only in several districts such as Ayeyarwady region

### 2.12 General Information at Township and Village Level

GAD (General Administration Department) has offices at the regional, district, township and VT/Village level. This is the only agency with offices at the tail-end of the community. GAD has prepared a booklet titled "Basic Information of Village Tract" (example) covering area, population, village map, economy and society, etc. but is confidential. Contents of the booklet are attached in Appendix-9.


### 2.13 Agricultural Policies

(1) Five-year Agricultural Plan (2011/2012-2015/2016)

In the Five-Year Agricultural Plan, the following are targeted.

1) Increase of crop yields,
2) Increase of sown area, and
3) Systematic application of quality seeds, chemical fertilizers and agricultural chemicals
4) Education for applying Good Agricultural Practice
5) Execution of agricultural research and development
6) Research and education to develop human resource

As to crops, annual basis targeted sown area, harvesting area, yield and production are set up only for paddy as shown below.

Projection of Paddy Production in the Five-year Agricultural Plan

|  | $2010 / 2011$ | Five-year plan |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $2012-2013$ | $2013-2014$ | $2014-2015$ | $2015-2016$ |  |
| Sown area <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ | 20,021 | 19,236 | 19,315 | 19,368 | 19,416 | 19,463 |
| Harvesting area <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ | 19,935 | 19,216 | 19,315 | 19,368 | 19,416 | 19,463 |
| Yield (Basket/ac) | 79.25 | 75.55 | 78.31 | 79.17 | 79.97 | 80.87 |
| Production <br> (Basket) | $1,579,988$ | $1,490,270$ | $1,512,592$ | $1,533,448$ | $1,552,674$ | $1,574,048$ |

Source : Five-year Plan of Action from 2011/12 to 2015/16
(2) 20-Year Plan (2011/2012-2030/2031)

The 20-Year Agricultural Plan is composed of four units of 5-year plans, and targets only for the first 5-year plan for 2011/2012-2015/2016 are set up about four sub-sectors as shown below.

1) Paddy Production

| Numerical Target of Paddy Production |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year | Production <br> (million Basket) |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 1,540 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 1,566 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 1,578 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 1,595 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 1,604 |

2) Irrigation

Numerical Target of Irrigation Development

| Year | Dam \& Pond (places) | Beneficial Area <br> (million ac) | Irrigation Area <br> (million ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 415 | 3,393 | 5.65 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 421 | 3,394 | 5.72 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 424 | 3,352 | 5.76 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 433 | 3,385 | 5.80 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 443 | 3,667 | 5.82 |

3) Shifting to Mechanized Agriculture

Numerical Target of Mechanized Agriculture

| Year | Tractor (units) | Power Tiller (units) | Plow (million) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 11,232 | 164,054 | 12.24 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 11,552 | $467,972^{*}$ | 12.80 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 11,838 | 178,519 | 13.38 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 12,140 | 184,718 | 13.79 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 12,468 | 192,506 | 14.43 |

Note: * Number if power tiller in 2012-2013 must be wrong, but is kept in table as original.
4) Draft Cattle and Farming Tools

Numerical Target of Draft Cattle and Farming Tools

| Year | Units (1,000) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2010 / 11$ | $2015 / 16$ | Annual Increase <br> $(\%)$ |
| Draft cattle | 10,316 | 10,852 | 1.0 |
| Tractor | 11 | 12 | 1.8 |
| Power tiller | 160 | 168 | 1.0 |
| Harvester | 2 | 3 | 8.4 |
| Thresher | 42 | 45 | 1.4 |

5) Development of New Farmlands

Numerical Target of Farmland Development (ac)

| Year | Department | Private Sector | Foreign Aid | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | - |  |  |  |
| $2012 / 2013$ | - |  |  |  |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 2,800 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 528,000 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 2,800 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 528,000 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 2,800 | 5,000 | 45,000 | 528,000 |

6) Expansion of Upland

Numerical Target of Upland Development

| Year | Targeted Area (ac) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | - |
| $2012 / 2013$ | - |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 750 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 750 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 750 |

7) Agricultural Loans

Numerical Target of Agricultural Loans

| Year | Amount (million Kyat) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 386,225 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 413,920 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 433,418 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 464,753 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 496,786 |

8) Agricultural Investment

Numerical Target of Agricultural Investment

| Year | Total (million Kyat) | US\$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2011 / 2012$ | 186,692 | 14,633 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 522,039 | 61,765 |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 306,788 | 22,116 |
| $2014 / 2015$ | 194,487 | 32,164 |
| $2015 / 2016$ | 117,781 | 30,812 |

9) Quality Seeds Distribution

Numerical Target of Quality Seeds Distribution

| Crop | Unit | $2010 / 2011$ | $2015 / 2016$ | Annual <br> Increase (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | Basket | 121,517 | 181,100 | 8.3 |
| Wheat | Basket | 287 | 400 | 7.1 |
| Pulses | Basket | 2992 | 13,660 | 35.5 |
| Groundnut | Basket | 120 | 450 | 30.3 |
| Sesame | Basket | 484 | 2,550 | 30.4 |
| Sunflower | Basket | 394 | 3,000 | 50.1 |

10) Fertilizer Distribution

Numerical Target of Fertilizer Distribution

|  | Unit | $2010 / 2011$ | $2015 / 2016$ | Annual <br> Increase (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fertilizers | ton | 299 | 1,790 | 43.0 |

## CHAPTER 3 INFORMATION ON AGRICULTURE IN THE CDZ

### 3.1 Overview of CDZ

(1) Number of Township in CDZ

CDZ is located at the central part of Myanmar and covers three regions of Sagaing, Mandalay and Magway but all the area of these regions is not defined as CDZ. In the Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Programme in the Central Dry Zone by JICA, CDZ covers 54 townships when the study started but finally it was reduced to 51 townships at the first steering committee because Nay Pyi Taw, the new capital of Myanmar, covers the three townships of Tatkon, Yamethin, and Pyawbwe. It should be noted that basically most data used in this report covers all townships of each Region. When we use 51 township level data, it is clearly stated in the sentence.

> Administrative Division of CDZ

| Region | Mandalay | Sagaing | Magway | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | $4 / 7$ | $3 / 8$ | $4 / 5$ | $11 / 20$ |
| Township | $13 / 31$ | $17 / 37$ | $21 / 25$ | $51 / 93$ |

Note. Denominators mean the total in each region

## (2) Area and Population

The total acreage of CDZ is estimated at $75,169 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$. This is equivalent to $11 \%$ of the state area of $677,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$ and also mostly equal to $90 \%$ of Hokkaido Island ( $83,450 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$ ) of Japan. The total population of the 51 townships is estimated at 12.26 million according to the said Development Study by JICA, and occupies $18 \%$ of the total population of the country. Population density is 131 persons per sq.km, which is higher than 79 person per sq.km of the national average.
(3) Number of Farm household by farm Size

According to the data collected at the DOA in Nay Pyi Taw, the total number of farm household in the three regions is 1.89 million, and $58.1 \%$ of which have farm size with less than 5 ac. Assuming that minimum farm size to feed a family is 10 ac, the number of farmers with less than 5 ac account for $85.3 \%$. However, this data is different from the one collected from the regional offices of DOA/SLRD.

## Number of Farm Household by Farm Size (regional basis)

| Region | Less than 5ac |  | 5 to 10 ac |  | 10 to 20 ac |  | 20 to 50 ac |  | Above 50 ac |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) | No. of Farm Household | Acreage (ac) |
| Sagaing | 354,398 | 1,027,740 | 218,699 | 1,619,360 | 96,897 | 1,453,482 | 29,805 | 938,006 | 2,455 | 319,383 | 702,254 | 5,357,971 |
| Magway | 458,935 | 1,130,443 | 132,089 | 925,558 | 52,594 | 745,148 | 10,843 | 294,262 | 328 | 126,145 | 654,789 | 3,221,556 |
| Mandalay | 288,903 | 762,168 | 166,269 | 1,188,121 | 66,517 | 936,569 | 19,438 | 511,706 | 258 | 75,607 | 541,385 | 3,474,171 |
| Total | 1,102,236 | 2,920,351 | 517,057 | 3,733,039 | 216,008 | 3,135,199 | 60,086 | 1,743,974 | 3,041 | 521,135 | 1,898,428 | 12,053,698 |
| Proportion (\%) | 58.1 | 24.2 | 27.2 | 31.0 | 11.4 | 26.0 | 3.2 | 14.5 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

(4) Climatic Characteristics of CDZ

The hardness of climatic condition, especially annual rainfall, is the most serious limiting factor for agriculture in CDZ. Normally rainfall occurs from May to October. The condition of precipitation varies considerably depending on regions as shown in the graphs. Climatic data in the Nyaung Oo station shows the lowest


Climatic Characteristics of CDZ
annual rainfall among the three areas.
Moreover, fluctuation of the annual rainfall is the factor, which makes agricultural production unstable in CDZ in which about $70 \%$ is occupied by rainfed upland.

## (5) Soil Condition

The "Soil Types and Soil Characteristics of Myanmar", soil type and its acreage in three regions is as shown in the table. Yellow brown soil accounts for $28.3 \%$, followed by $17.8 \%$ of Red brown forest soil. These soils are classified as "Fair" in "Soil Types and Soil Characteristics of Myanmar", which is different from the results by FAO as described below. In fact, it is often observed that in CDZ that the soils in rainfed uplands are prone to erode by strong rainfall and wind, and the soils contain less humus and not fertile.

As mentioned above, FAO conducted soil survey in Kyaukpadaung, Magway and Chaunh Oo township in 1996.

Soil Condition in the 3 Regions

| Sr. No. | Soil Type | Acreage (ac) | Share <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Alluvial soils | 206,105 | 0.5 |
| 2 | Meadow \& meadow alluvial soils | 3,071,727 | 7.0 |
| 3 | Meadow carbonate soils | 256,756 | 0.6 |
| 4 | Red brown forest soils | 7,781,713 | 17.8 |
| 5 | Yellow brown forest soils | 12,325,560 | 28.3 |
| 6 | Yellow brown dry forest \& indaing soils | 2,133,848 | 4.9 |
| 7 | Light forest soils | 4,411,755 | 10.1 |
| 8 | Catena of Savanna soils on slopes \& compact soils on depressions | 4,549,738 | 10.4 |
| 9 | Chin hill complex soils | 616,910 | 1.4 |
| 10 | Northern hill complex soils | 2,782,423 | 6.4 |
| 11 | Turfy primitive soils | 564,864 | 1.3 |
| 12 | Compact soils | 1,203,405 | 2.8 |
| 13 | Red earth \& yellow earths | 398,918 | 0.9 |
| 14 | Mountainous red forest soils | 847,700 | 1.9 |
| 15 | Popa complex soils | 149,594 | 0.3 |
| 16 | Primitive crushed stone soils | 457,702 | 1.0 |
| 17 | Alphic complex soils | 515,265 | 1.2 |
| 18 | Water body | 1,332,260 | 3.1 |
|  | Total | 43,606,243 | 100.0 |

Source: Soil Types and Soil Characteristics of Myanmar, MOAI According to the results, the soil types in these three townships are classified as sandy soil (including gravel soil), sandy loam, and clayey soil though they are different depending on land form. The report also indicates that the soils in these three townships have characteristics of 1) low fertility, 2) low humus contents, 3) low water holding capacity, and 4) high evaporation. Generally, the soils contain potash and all the crops except for leguminous crops need input of Nitrogen in all type of soils. It also reports that hard pan is formulated nearly in all type of soils. Similarly, FAO report indicates that soil erosion occurs in CDZ by strong rainfall and strong wind, especially in the upland with 5 to $15 \%$ slope in Kyaukpadang and, Chaung Oo township, and higher erosion of the surface soil in Magway township.

(6) Crop production

Despite its disadvantageous conditions of small precipitation and aridity, CDZ occupies decisive position in agricultural production in the country. The graph shows the share of crops by three regions of Mandalay, Magway and Sagaing in CDZ. The crops with red color are the ones with higher share in particular. It is a fact that CDZ has higher share in pulses and oil crops, in addition to wheat, sorghum, onion and cotton. Even paddy which is the crop produced mainly in the wet land different from arid CDZ, the produce is $20.2 \%$ of paddy of the country.


Recently, Myanmar government has been shifting to diversification of agriculture although still emphasizing rice production in its policy. Along with the policy, sown area with pulses, sesame and sunflower have been expanding. Especially, the share of pulses in 2011 was $65 \%$ of the total amount of agricultural export. The area occupying important position in pulses and oil crop in the country is CDZ and it can be said that CDZ can be considered as possible model area for agricultural diversification now that the government is likely to promote crop diversification.

The following graphs as presented below shows the share of production of major crops in the three regions based on the average of for the span of 13 years. Sagaing region is producing area of many crops as compared to Magway and Mandalay regions.


Share of Crop Production in the 3 Regions

## (7) Profitability of Crops

Information on the profitability of crops was taken from the DOA in nay Pyi Taw is shown below. Profitability in value per acre of potato and onion is exceptionally high as compared to other crops, followed by groundnut and summer paddy.

On the other hand, the crops with higher profitability in percentage are onion, soybean, winter sesame and Nigar. Each regional office of DOA also examines crop profitability independently, however, the result of cost and return is different from each other because of different input on different land conditions. The detailed quantity of agricultural materials used and unit prices are not shown.

Though farm household want to increase farm income by cultivating high profitability crops, climatic and soil conditions have compelled them to cultivate groundnut, sesame and pigeon pea, etc. under the rainfed condition.

Crop Profitability

|  | Crop | Production Cost (Kyat/ac) | Yield $/ \mathrm{ac}$ | Cost (Kyat/unit) | Unit Price (Kyat/Unit) | Gross Income (Kyat/ac) | Net Profit (Kyat/ac) | Ratio of Net Profit (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon crops | Paddy | 204,250 | 73 | 2,732 | 4000 | 292,000 | 87,750 | 30.1 |
|  | Monsoon rice (nursery) | 303,500 | 100 | 3,035 | 4,000 | 400,000 | 96,500 | 24.1 |
|  | Monsoon rice (transplanting) | 246,500 | 80 | 3,081 | 4,000 | 320,000 | 73,500 | 23.0 |
|  | Monsoon rice (broadcasting) | 108,000 | 50 | 2,160 | 4,000 | 200,000 | 92,000 | 46.0 |
|  | Summer rice (diret seeding) | 159,000 | 60 | 2,650 | 4,000 | 240,000 | 81,000 | 33.8 |
|  | Maize | 220,000 | 58 | 3,793 | 6,000 | 348,000 | 128,000 | 36.8 |
|  | Wheat | 203,000 | 40 | 5,075 | 7,000 | 280,000 | 77,000 | 27.5 |
|  | Groundnut | 134,400 | 7 | 19,200 | 23,000 | 161,000 | 26,600 | 16.5 |
|  | Sesame | 171,500 | 35.00 | 4,400 | 8,000 | 280,000 | 108,500 | 38.8 |
|  | Sunflower | 161,600 | 14.28 | 11,317 | 16,278 | 232,450 | 70,850 | 30.5 |
|  | Green gram | 142,700 | 13.05 | 10,935 | 15,893 | 207,404 | 64,704 | 31.2 |
|  | Pigeon pea | 140,650 | 16.16 | 8,704 | 16,625 | 268,660 | 128,010 | 47.6 |
|  | Soybean | 127,800 | 14.97 | 8,537 | 13,000 | 194,610 | 66,810 | 34.3 |
|  | Black gram | 113,200 | 15.82 | 7,155 | 14,000 | 221,480 | 108,280 | 48.9 |
|  | Chilli (dry) | 320,500 | 180 | 1,781 | 2,300 | 414,000 | 93,500 | 22.6 |
|  | Onion | 709,500 | 2800 | 253 | 400 | 1,120,000 | 410,500 | 36.7 |
|  | Potato | 605,000 | 3500 | 173 | 400 | 1,400,000 | 795,000 | 56.8 |
| Winter crops | Paddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Summer paddy (nursery) | 275,700 | 121.00 | 2,331 | 4,000 | 484,000 | 208,300 | 43.0 |
|  | Summer paddy (transplanting) | 241,500 | 90.00 | 2,705 | 4,000 | 360,000 | 118,500 | 32.9 |
|  | Summer paddy (broadcasting) | 187,750 | 75.00 | 2,526 | 3,636 | 272,700 | 84,950 | 31.2 |
|  | Summer paddy (direct seeding) | 201,500 | 85.00 | 2,368 | 3,638 | 309,230 | 107,730 | 34.8 |
|  | Winter maize | 218,000 | 55.26 | 4,047 | 6,700 | 370,242 | 152,242 | 41.1 |
|  | Groundnut | 258,200 | 65.00 | 3,972 | 7,500 | 487,500 | 229,300 | 47.0 |
|  | Sesame (winter) | 140,960 | 12.00 | 11,747 | 25,000 | 300,000 | 159,040 | 53.0 |
|  | Sunflower | 169,660 | 30.00 | 5,655 | 9,500 | 285,000 | 115,340 | 40.5 |
|  | Niger | 82,200 | 10.00 | 8,220 | 17,000 | 170,000 | 87,800 | 51.6 |
|  | Summer Sesame | 184,000 | 15.00 | 12,267 | 20,000 | 300,000 | 116,000 | 38.7 |
|  | Green gram | 143,600 | 14.38 | 9,986 | 17,000 | 244,460 | 100,860 | 41.3 |
|  | Chick pea | 141,100 | 14.55 | 9,698 | 18,000 | 261,900 | 120,800 | 46.1 |
|  | Soybean | 126,950 | 15.08 | 8,418 | 18,000 | 271,440 | 144,490 | 53.2 |
|  | Cow pea | 116,500 | 13.10 | 8,893 | 16,000 | 209,600 | 93,100 | 44.4 |
|  | Blck gram | 143,600 | 15.63 | 9,187 | 16,500 | 257,895 | 114,295 | 44.3 |

Source. DOA, Nay Pyi Taw

## (8) Irrigation Area

The ratio of irrigation area of 51 townships in CDZ was $11 \%$ on the average according to the Development Study conducted by JICA from 2006 to 2010. The latest irrigation ratios of three regions are shown in the table below. Compared to 17.1 \% (2009/10) in the country, the ratio of Sagaing region is highest at $21.6 \%$, and lowest among three regions.

Irrigation Area of Three Regions

| $2009 / 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Net Area <br> Sown <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ | Irrigated <br> Area <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ | Multiple Crop <br> Irrigated Area <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ | Percentage <br> of Irrigated <br> Area | Percentage of <br> Multiple Crop <br> Irrigated Area |  |
|  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)=(2) /(1)$ | $(5)=(3) /(2)$ |  |
| Sagaing | 5,252 | 1,133 | 580 | 21.6 | 51.2 |  |
| Magway | 3,181 | 453 | 204 | 14.2 | 45.0 |  |
| Mandalay | 3,653 | 656 | 298 | 17.9 | 45.2 |  |
| Union | 33,716 | 5,755 | 1,532 | 17.1 | 26.6 |  |

Source. Myanmar Agricultural Statistics, (1997/98-2009/10), 2011 CSO

Kind of crops and cropping intensity in rainfed area and irrigated area where water is available by ID or

WRUD's irrigation projects are varied. Paddy is predominantly cultivated in irrigated areas. As shown in the following table, it is common for three regions that paddy is the major irrigated area.

Irrigated area by Crops in Tree Regions

| 2009/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Region | Total <br> Irrigated <br> Area | Paddy | Wheat | Maize | Groundnut | Sesame | Pulses | Sugarcane | Other <br> Food <br> Crops | Cotton | Jute | Other Non-food Crops |
| Sagaing | 1,740.07 | 1,381.58 | 65.71 | 8.77 | 27.88 | 40.74 | 84.95 | 1.97 | 125.46 | 0.52 | - | 2.49 |
| Magway | 667.20 | 518.63 | 0.45 | 2.74 | 6.37 | 25.71 | 35.95 | 0.01 | 70.99 | 0.05 | - | 6.30 |
| Mandalay | 959.80 | 677.58 | 6.58 | 10.68 | 0.93 | 75.89 | 31.27 | 0.03 | 123.60 | 26.21 | - | 7.04 |
| Union | 7,337.02 | 5,545.69 | 84.37 | 103.87 | 66.57 | 184.48 | 260.68 | 25.93 | 968.20 | 26.90 | 6.85 | 63.48 |

## (9)

As to the options for agricultural loans in rural areas, there are the institutional loans of MADB (Myanmar Agricultural Development Bank) and LFDB (Livestock and Fisheries Development bank), NGO’s micro-finance such as done by PACT, private lender and borrowing from relatives. The institutional loans have lower interest rate. However, it is generally difficult for farmers to borrow money because it requires mortgage and takes time for processing application, and also because loan ceiling is small. Also, institutional loans cannot be provided to landless households.

On the other hand, both farmers and landless people have no capacity to save money to cope with some situations such as disease, education, accident etc. It is common practice for farmers to borrow money or in kind to procure agricultural materials such as seeds and fertilizers. Thus, it is considered that borrowing money is a common practice in rural area.

As compared to the institutional loans, interest rate of private loans is higher. If borrower has mortgage such as gold and others, the rate becomes low at $3 \%$ and 5 to $10 \%$ or more in case of without mortgage. However, there are many borrowers of the private loans probably because of "easiness" for farmers and landless people if compared with institutional loans. Agricultural production in CDZ is unstable due to relying on erratic and small rainfall. Therefore, there are some farmers who borrow money repeatedly and finally lose their cultivation right. Under the condition that institutional loans are not easier to use for general borrowers, it may be said that private loans with higher interest rates may be considered as a necessary evil in rural area.

Under the situation, micro finance operation by NGOs and others bring loans with lower interest rate and without mortgage for rural people taking into consideration household economy. For example, PACT in cooperation with UNDP has been operating MFP (Micro-Finance Program) since 1997 covering 26 townships in Ayeyarwady Delta region, CDZ and Shan state for the purpose of providing micro-credit targeting small farmers, livestock farmers and traders to improve their living standard. The short-term loan with $3 \%$ interest rate for one year is provided to group-basis comprising 5 beneficiaries per group, and 4 to 10 groups formulate one center to have trainings from the PACT.

OISCA has also been providing micro-finance project since 2008 covering Yesagyo Township for the purpose of agriculture, livestock and landless people. The service for landless people is to support their small scale business such as incense stick-making, and retail shop. The period of loan for agriculture is one crop season, 6 to 9 months for livestock, and one year for landless people. The interest rate for agriculture and livestock is $2.5 \%$ per month and $2.0 \%$ for landless people under the condition of organizing borrower's group but without mortgage. The loan for landless people is basically copy of the method of Grameen Bank of Bangladesh and asks repayment with 25 times and participation in one training every two
weeks. Repayment is $100 \%$ because OISCA has carried out various agriculture-related activities in the area for long time and village chairmen who work for solving problems know OISCA's activities.

## (10) Agricultural Extension Services

The organizational chart of DOA who is responsible for agricultural extension services in the related townships is shown in Appendix-14. Township DOA office is tail-end organization for extension services deployed under regional and district offices of DOA. Extensionists are responsible for technical advice, crop situation and distribution of quality seeds etc. As 930 contact farmers are assigned in Myingyan Township, each township has contact farmers to extend agricultural technologies to individual farmers in rural community through them. Village chairman often works as contact farmer voluntarily, and there is no limitation in term. DAR also assigns contact farmers and some are also contact farmer nominated by DOA. However, number of contact farmer nominated by DOA is more than the one by DAR according to field survey.

The number of extensionists and number of villages can be compiled as shown below. Averaged number of villages per extensionist is largest in Magway Township at 14.4, and followed by 10.0 of Nyaung Oo township. Transportation means of extensionist are motorcycle in many cases. Formerly, they used bicycles, line buses and/or walk. Recently motorcycle is commonly used but the number of motorcycles is observed to be inadequate to meet the demand.

Annual budget of DOA in three townships differ as shown below. DOA in Nyaung Oo Township having 22 extensionists is allocated the largest budget among the related three township offices.

Overview of Extension Service of DOA

|  | Myingyan TS | Nyaung Oo TS | Magway TS |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of extensionist | 36 | 22 | 15 |
| No. of village | 186 | 219 | 216 |
| Village per extensionist | 5.2 | 10.0 | 14.4 |
| Budget (million Kyat) 2012/2013 | 38.3 | 65.0 | 34.0 |

Source: Calculation based on the data of DOA township offices

### 3.2 Irrigation Methods Observed in Uplands in CDZ

(1) Irrigation Source Development

In the rainfed upland where $t$ no irrigation source is developed by ID and WRUD, available water source will be tube-well with groundwater. Number of tube-well itself is not so many but it is commonly used in CDZ to irrigate crops. However, development of tube-well is dependent on the financial capacity of farmers also in addition to depth of groundwater level. In the hilly area ranging from Nyaung Oo to Magway, groundwater level is generally deeper than other areas and this will require digging of about 300 m or more, therefore tube-well cannot be found so often.

On the contrary, there are some places where tube-well can be dug by manual because of shallow groundwater level, and shallow tube-well is observed even at backyard of farm household in these areas. At the farmlands on river terrace, so called as Kaing, tube-wells are dug by hands with small investment. A tube-well with 30 to 40 m and can be dug in 2 to 4 days and about Kyat 100, 000 . This fact tells that there is considerable difference in possibility of groundwater development depending on regional conditions even in CDZ where rainfed uplands are dominant. In some villages located in the place with shallow
groundwater level, treadle pumps are observed for cultivating vegetables in the backyard.
Tube-wells Observed in Uplands in CDZ

(2) Water-saving Technologies

Torres des homes, Italian NGO, demonstrates water-saving agricultural technology at the Magway Campus of YAU (Yezin Agriculture University), which uses rice husk charcoal and PET (Polyethylene terephthalate) bottle of mineral water as materials in drip irrigation and micro-irrigation systems. These technologies have already been applied and operated by organized community people in 20 villages in Yenanchaung Township and 33 villages in Natmauk Townships.

Hydroponic Technology demonstrated in Magway Campus of YAU

| Survey date | May 9' 2013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Place | Magway campus of YAU |
| Village Tract | - |
| Township | Magway |
| Region | Magway city |
| Location | Professor /Dr. Mi Mi Aung, U Kyaw Min Tun |
| Interviewees | The hydroponic irrigation system has acreage of about 5 x 4 m. Irrigation <br> stored in a plastic tank at about 1.5 m height and irrigates the series of PET <br> bottle by gravity. Water source is from water supply. Micro-irrigation system is <br> also demonstrated in the same area using plastic pipe + micro-pipe and plastic <br> buckets. |
| Information about water-saving technologies : |  |
| Facility | The total cost is estimated at Kyat 750,000 (Kyat700,000 + Kyat 50,000 <br> (pump)). If digging tube-well, more investment is required. |
| Costs | Drip of irrigation water flows into two holes on the surface of PET bottle filled <br> with rice husk charcoal PET, where plants such as lettuce and others are planted <br> (photo). 12 hrs irrigation, 12 hrs no irrigation. The facility itself is covered by <br> meshed plastic curtain to avoid strong sunshine. <br> Irrigation methods <br> Micro-irrigation system is also applied for cucumber planted in a plastic <br> buckets with 40cm diameter to irrigate by pipe with 1.5cm diameter and 1.0 |


|  | mm plastic pipe. Irrigation is sequential different from the drip irrigation (photo). |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops irrigated Le | ce, tomato, cucumber, chili, eggpl | and mustard |
| Findings The <br>  rice <br> coop  <br> acco  <br> comb  <br>  Anot <br>  wate <br> toma  <br>  cash <br> inves  <br>  nece <br>  Com <br>  appli | The hydroponic irrigation system is a water-saving irrigation technology using rice husk charcoal. Demonstration in YAU is operating since 2012 under cooperation with Tdh, Italian NGO, and practically running in 16 villages according to YAU's Magway Campus. This system is characterized with combination of PET bottle and rice husk charcoal for drip irrigation. <br> Another one is micro-irrigation system using main pipe and micro-pipe for water-saving. These two technologies are applied for cash crops such as tomato, lettuce and others, and considered applicable for a limited area to grow cash crops with higher profitability. Though it is simple facility, some investment for tube-well + pump, water tank and meshed curtain will be necessary. <br> Compost making is also demonstrated using EM brought from Japan. It will be applicable to improve water holding capacity of sandy soils in CDZ. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Hydroponic irrigation system | Emitter and PET bottles | Cultivation bed of PETbottles (Lettuce) |
|  |  |  |
| Irrigation by micro-pipe for Ok | Micro-irrigation for cucumber | Micro-irrigation and multing for eggplant |
|  |  |  |
| Compost making using EM |  |  |

Tdh (Terres des hommes), Italian NGO, Yenaungyon Township

| Survey date | May 22, 2013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Place | Tds Office in Yenanchaung TS |
| Village name visited | San Kan Gyi, Ma Gyi KanTownship, Magway Region |
| Location of the village | Yenanchaung Township (1.5hrs from Magway) |
| Interviewees | Daw Khin Khin Aye, Area coordinator of Tdh |
| Activity of Tdh : |  |

Tdh, Italian NGO has been supporting in the sub-sector of education, livelihood improvement, water supply, and medical and sanitary affairs in Myanmar since 2005. Water-saving agriculture is one of components of the livelihood improvement, targeting improvement of living standard and provision of new technology on water-saving agriculture.

In Yenachaung office of Tdh, 11 staff are deployed to operate project and to support community people in 20 villages in Yenanchaung township and 33 village in Natmauk township.. These villages are so called remote villages and approach is difficult especially in rainy season. The reasons for selecting these villages are shortage of fresh vegetables to intake vitamin in dry season due to no irrigation system in their villages, and improvement of standard of living by cultivating cash crops. Water-saving technologies comprising hydroponic and micro-irrigation system for demonstration is in the Magway campus of YAU in Magway.

| Information about water-saving technologies : |
| :--- | :--- |
| JICA Team visited San Kan Gyi village in Ma Gyi Kan township 1.5 hrs away from Yenanchaung Township. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline \text { Facility and irrigation methods } & \begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Hydroponic irrigation using Rice Husk Charcoal \& PET bottle. } \\ \text { The facility of about } 6 \mathrm{x} 3 \mathrm{~m} \text { is larger than that of Magway Campus of } \\ \text { YAU. Rainwater collected from the roof of a temple nearby flows into } \\ \text { concrete tank with } 110,000 \text { gallon (photo), and is pumped up to plastic } \\ \text { tank with height of about } 1.5 \mathrm{~m}, \text { and irrigates crops on } 96 \text { rows (48x2) by } \\ \text { gravity (photo). At the tail end of the system, used water is collected and } \\ \text { reused. Organic cultivation is done. } \\ \text { 2. Micro-Irrigation } \\ \text { Another water saving technology operated nearby is micro-irrigation } \\ \text { using plastic bucket with } 40 \text { cm diameter planted with carrots and other }\end{array} \\ \text { crops, pipes with } 1.5 \text { cm diameter and 1.0mm pipe connected with water } \\ \text { tank. This system is not drip irrigation but sequential irrigation through } \\ 1.0 \text { mm pipe to eggplant and other crops (photo). } \\ \text { A rainwater tank with 11,000 gallon can irrigate hydroponic and } \\ \text { micro-irrigation systems for } 8 \text { months. Irrigation is done from } 6 \text { am to } 6 \\ \text { pm. . Two systems mentioned above are managed by community group }\end{array}\right\}$

|  | Rainwater tank(11,000gallon) Kyat 3.5~4.0 million <br> Water-saving irrigation system( $6 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) Kyat 1.1 million <br> 2. Example in Natmauk TS <br> Rainwater tank(11,000gallon) Kyat 2.8~3.0 million <br> Water-saving irrigation system( $6 \times 3 \mathrm{~m}$ ) Kyat 1.0 million |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crops irrigated | Hydroponic technology : Mustard, Watercress, Amaranthus, Roselle Micro-irrigation : Eggplant, carrot, tomato |
| Findings | The agriculture in the village fully relies on rainfall. For the water-saving irrigation, they use rainwater from the roof of a temple nearby and store in a concrete tank. Though these technologies will be applicable for a limited area, it is remarkable from view point of rain water harvesting for cash crops. <br> The hydroponic technology also uses rice husk charcoal. It is characterized as a drip irrigation system combined with PET bottle, rice husk charcoal and drip irrigation system by gravity. However, rice husk is procured from distant village. <br> Another is micro-irrigation system using main pipe and micro-pipe by gravity. Both systems are used for intensive cropping of leafy vegetables and carrot etc, and applicable for a limited area. Though they are simple facilities, it also requires investment for tube-well, water tank, and meshed plastic curtain if applied practically on field. The system can be practiced using rainwater collected from the roof of houses and temples but the applicable area will be limited in both cases. |
|  |  |
| Rainwater harvesting from a <br> temple's roof | Cultivation bed with PETbottles $\quad$ Water tank (2units) |
|  |  |
| Micro-irrigation system | Micro-irrigation with plactic bucket Micro-irrigation for carrot |

In addition to the above, a traditional water-saving technology using unglazed pot is observed, which is applied for Mango nursery and pumpkin placed or buried nearby plant's roots to give a small amount of water seeping from pot. Similarly to this technology, PET bottle with small hole is also used to irrigate Mango nursery.

Traditional Water-saving Technologies in the CDZ


## (3) Water Harvesting

In CDZ, it sometimes happens that strong rainfall occur during the rainy season. As the rain is very important for agriculture especially in rainfed areas, people store rainwater in farm ponds, water jar, and pits dug on lower part of farmland. In the village where is supported by Tdh, Italian NGO, rainwater collected from temple's roof is stored in a concrete tank to irrigate water-saving irrigation systems.


### 3.3 Other Countermeasures against Aridity

(1) Inter-cropping and Mixed Cropping

In CDZ, it is not always certain that the amount of rain necessary for crops falls steadily for each year. . Under the situation, individual farmers have practiced inter cropping and mixed cropping traditionally based on their experience about climatic condition, especially pattern of rainfalls by judging suitable timing of plowing and seeding observing soil moisture, which can be said their wisdom as a survival agricultural practices under the scarce rainfall and sandy soils. The idea of inter and mixed cropping systems is based on the consideration that even though one crop may be damaged, other crops will survive to generate income. Sesame, Pigeon Pea, Maize, and Groundnut are representative crops for intercropping and mixed cropping in general, and sesame is considered to be a gambling crop among farmers, which may bring higher income if rainfall is suitable but is also prone to be damaged depending on rainfall condition
according to farmers.
Under these conditions, pigeon pea is considered to be the highest drought tolerant crop. Though green gram is damaged if rain does not fall for about 15 to 20 days, pigeon pea can survive for 100 days without rainfall according to DAR. Therefore, pigeon pea is a core crop for inter cropping system in CDZ, which can be seen often in arid hilly area as close as index crop of dry areas. However, pigeon pea is not consumed in the country but exported to India, Singapore and Malaysia. Exportation of pigeon pea to India is reduced if Indian production is good.

The following figures show the patterns of inter and mixed cropping observed in Myingyan and Nyaung Oo. There are some cases that inter and mixed cropping is done on the same farm plot. As compared to Myingyan Township where various type of intercropping can be seen, intercropping of sesame and pigeon pea is practiced in Nyaung Oo according to DOA. Nyaung Oo in Magway township, intercropping is not commonly practiced, only mono-culture of groundnut or sesame.


Inter-cropping Cropping Patterns in CDZ


## (2) Relay Cropping

Relay cropping is also practiced to make use of remaining soil moisture by sowing one crop before harvesting one crop and show below is a typical example of the relay cropping of paddy and chick pea on the same farm plot.


Relay Cropping in CDZ

## (3) Application of Crop Varieties having Different Growing Period

For example, there are three varieties in sesame, black, white and brown which have different growing period, 85 to 90 days for black sesame and white sesame, and 65 days for brown sesame. If rainy season in May comes earlier, farmer chooses black sesame having higher price. However if the rain comes late, they choose brown sesame having shorter growing period but lower unit price than black sesame. Thus, farmers are able to cope with unpredictable erratic rainfall in CDZ.

Among three sesame varieties, brown has the lowest unit price. According to a farmer in Magway Township, the farm-gate price of black sesame is Kyat 45,000/basket Kyat 40,000/basket for white sesame and kyat $35,000 /$ basket for brown sesame. Therefore, when sowing brown sesame, maize or other crops are usually cultivated to supplement income.

## (4) Water-saving Canal

As of May 2013, DAR is still testing water-saving canal (WSC) technology in Magway and Myingyan Centers in order to increase soil moisture of sandy soil. As seen in the figure, WSC with $1 \times 1$ foot is made across crop rows, and DAR explains soil moisture by making WSC on farm plots compared to the farm without WSC. However, when JICA Team visited Magway Center again on July 2013, practice of this idea has not stopped because once rain comes WSC is destroyed and they have to always re-build. WSC requires more labor and is not acceptable to the farmers.


WSC:Water-saving canal

## (5) Compost

Compost is input popularly in CDZ to increase water holding capacity of the soils. In Japan, 20 tons of compost per hectare is said to be standard but compared to Japanese standard, it is observed that quantity of compost input is very low as shown table below. One of the reasons for the low volume is the limited quantity of manure that can be collected due to small population of cattle. It is important to input more compost and organic fertilizers to improve water holding capacity of soils in CDZ. YAU in Magway campus demonstrates
 Bokashi making using EM.

Example of Compost input in Nyaung Oo Township

|  | Crops | Compost input(ton/ha) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Groundnut (runner) | 4 |
| 2 | Groundnut | - |
| 3 | Early sesame | 10 |
| 4 | Green gram | 9 |
| 5 | Sorghum | 10 |
| 6 | Pigeon pea | - |
| 7 | Early sesame-late sesame | 16 |
| 8 | Early sesame + Pigeon pea | 12 |
| 9 | Early sesame + Pigeon pea-Horse gram | 2 |
| 10 | Early sesame + Pigeon pea-late sesame +Horse gram | 17 |
| 11 | Green gram + Pigeon pea | 13 |

Source: DAR, Yezin

## (6) Application of Drought Tolerant Varieties of Crops

Farmers use various kinds of crop varieties in response to land and climatic conditions. They choose suitable varieties, which may not always be varieties that DAR recommends, including varieties of groundnut and pigeon pea distributed by ACIAR (Australian Center for International Agricultural Research) in CDZ.

Application of Drought Tolerant Varieties of Crops

| Crop | At Village Survey | At DAR |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Groundnut | SP121, Kyaung Gong (LV), Japan 1, <br> Toontarni, Vietnam white, Sinpadaytha 11, <br> Sinpadaytha 6, Pin Htaung | Sinpadatha 6, Sinpadatha 7, Sinpadatha 11 |
| Sesame | Kanchi, Malthila, Aung Ban (LV), <br> Zonephyu, Manager, | Sinyadana 2, Sinyadana 4, Sinyadana 10 |
| Green gram | Local variety, Kyauksein | Yezin 11, Yezin 12 |
| Pigeon pea | Yezin, Monywa Shwedinga, Tha Htay Kan, <br> Nyaung Oo Shwedinga, Kyaukpadaung <br> Shwedinga, | Yezin 5, Yezin 6, Yezin 7 |
| Paddy | Manawthukha, Pwintphu Thukha, <br> Ayerwady Thar, | Sinthukha, Earmin, Manawhari, <br> Sinethwelatt, Hmawbi 2 <br> Pawsanhmwe, Immayebaw, Sinweyin, <br> Yadanatoe, Shwemanaw, Lonethwehmwe |
| Onion | Shwe Phalar, |  |
| Chick pea | Yezin 4, V2 |  |
| Watermelon | 855(from Taiwan) |  |


| Tomato | 909(from Taiwan), Seed from Shan State |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tobacco | Local variety, |  |

## (7) Cultivation of Crops with Less Water Requirement

There are some farmers cultivating crops with less water requirement to cope with scarce and unstable rainfall condition in CDZ such as dragon fruit, a kind of cactus, and mushroom observed in the field survey. Both crops require only small water for growing, especially the area with dragon fruit has been increasing as compared with the period of Development Study by JICA in 2006 to 2010 as seen in Nyaung Oo, nay Pyi taw and Kyaukpadaung. Today, dragon fruit is one of drought tolerant crop popularly planted in CDZ.

(8) Windbreak

In the DAR Center in Magway, windbreak tested to prevent wind erosion is by using leguminous tree planted around farm plots. Interval is about 30 ft . Soil moisture rate becomes higher by planting windbreak compared with other plots without windbreak according to the DAR Magway. A farm level, windbreak can be seen sometimes and its young leaves are cooked for soup and salad.


## (9) Mulching

Practice of mulching is not observed in CDZ. Only the farms cultivating cash crops such as tomato, mango, and watermelon apply in the limited area. Rice straw which is popularly used in Japan for mulching is available only in areas along Ayeyarwady River in the irrigated area in Sagaing region. Instead of rice straw, toddy leaves and crop residues are used in CDZ though in limited cases. Plastic sheet for mulching is still expensive for average farmers.


### 3.4 Crop and Irrigation

Many kinds of crops are cultivated in CDZ and are planted based on the availability of irrigation, drought tolerance, suitability for upland or lowland as shown in the table below. Farmers choose crops taking into consideration land condition, rainfall and irrigation conditions, etc. However, they choose in common paddy if water is available.

## Characteristics of Crops

| Crops | Irrigation is <br> indispensable | Possible relying on <br> rainfed without <br> irrigation | Suitable for <br> lowland | Suitable for <br> upland |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ |
| Summer paddy | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ |
| Pigeon pea | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Maize | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sesame (summer) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sesame (monsoon) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Groundnut (monsoon) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Groundnut (winter) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Green gram (monsoon) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Green gram (winter) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Black gram (winter only) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sorghum (monsoon) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Chick pea (winter only) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sunflower (monsoon) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Sunflower (winter) | $\times$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Cotton (pre-monsoon) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Cotton (monsoon) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Cotton (post-monsoon) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Onion (monsoon) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Onion (winter) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Potato (winter) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tomato (monsoon) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tomato (winter) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Tomato (summer) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Vegetables (all season) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |

Source: Interview survey at DOA Mandalay Regional Office

### 3.5 Variety Selection by farmers

A survey on the basis/factors for selecting crop variety was carried out in CDZ. Result of survey shows that farmers would consider crop yield, drought tolerance, growing period, etc as priority though varies depending on crops. For example, farmers give priority to color (black variety has higher price), size, growing period when choosing varieties. As for groundnut, priority is given to yield, and color and size are not determining factor with high priority. Farmers always consider how to get higher farm income by choosing varieties with higher price and suitable crop varieties to cope with scarce and fluctuating rainfall. These varieties selected by farmers are not always the same as DOA's promoted varieties, since amount of DOA's recommended varieties are not enough and not accessible for most farmers.

## Factors on Crop Variety Selection

(1) Groundnut

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance <br> to pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SP121, | 2 | - | 3 | - | 4 | - | 1 |
| Kyaung Gong <br> (LV), | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Japan 1, | - | - | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Toontarni, | 2 | - | 3 | - | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| V Vietnam white, | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 3 |
| Sinpadaytha 11, | 4 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Sinpadaytha 6, | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sinpadatha 7, | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 1 |
| Pin Htaung | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |

(2) Sesame

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kanchi, | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Malthila, | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Aung Ban <br> (LV), | - | - | 1 | - |  | - | - | - |
| Zonephyu, | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Manager, | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Sinyadana 2, | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Sinyadana 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Sinyadana <br> 10, | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |

(3) Green gram

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kyauksein | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| Yezin 11, | - | 1 | 3 | - | 4 | - | - | 2 |
| Yezin 12, | - | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 |

(4) Pigeon pea

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth <br> feet) | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yezin, | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Monywa <br> Shwedinga, | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Tha Htay Kan, | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Nyaung Oo <br> Shwedinga, | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Kyaukpadaung <br> Shwedinga, | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Yezin 5, | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |
| Yezin 6, | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - |
| Yezin 7 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 1 |

(5) Chick pea

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yezin 4 | 4 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - |
| V2 | - | - | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - |

(6) Onion

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shwe <br> Phalar, | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | 1 |

(7)

Paddy

|  | Drought <br> tolerance | Tolerance to <br> pest <br> /diseases | Growing <br> period | Good <br> taste | Size | Color | Root <br> depth <br> (feet) | Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manawthukha, | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Pwintphu <br> Thukha, | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Ayerwady Thar, | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Sinthukha, | - | 2 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Earmin, | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Manawhari, | 3 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Sinethwelatt, | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hmawbi 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Pawsanhmwe, | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Immayebaw, | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | 2 |
| Sinweyin, | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Yadanatoe, | - | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Shwemanaw, | - | - | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Lonethwehmwe, | - | - | 4 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 3 |

## CHAPTER 4 BASIC INFORMATION SURVEY

Information about administration such as population, number of household, and others at township level are shown in the booklets titled "Basic Information of the Township" prepared by GAD under Ministry of Home Affairs (refer to Appendix-9), which is stipulated as a Confidential Document. As for detailed information about agriculture, data and information are available at township DOA and SLRD. Livestock data is also available in township LBVD as well. However, there are some gaps and missing data depending on the related offices, for example sown area, harvested are, production and climatic data, etc.

### 4.1 Myingyan Township

### 4.1.1 General Administration

## (1) Administrative Division

Myingyan Township belongs to Mandalay Region composed of the following administrative division. One village tract is composed of 2.8 villages on the average. The distance from Mandalay, regional capital, is 156.8 km (98miles).

## Administrative Division of Myingyan TS

| Village Tract | Ward | Village |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 19 | 186 |

(2) Budget of the Township DOA and Organizational Structure

The organization chart is shown in Appendix-14. Annual budget of the township DOA office is allocated at Kyat 38.3 million in 2012/13.
(3) Village Map

Township map is shown in Appendix-10.
(4) Population

1) Population and Population Density

The population as of May 2013 is estimated at 270,985, of which $70.8 \%$ is in rural area and $29.2 \%$ in urban area. Population density of the township is 279 persons/km², which is bigger than $117 / \mathrm{km}{ }^{2}$ (2010) of average in three regions in CDZ.

Population in Myingyan TS

| Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79,215 | 191,770 | 270,985 |
| $29.2 \%$ | $70.8 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: GAD Township office, 2013
2) Races

The following table shows the race composition in urban area of the township. According to the data, $98 \%$ is occupied by Bamar with some ethnic minorities.

Races in Myingyan TS

| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 77,240 | 6 | 36 | 19 | 1,708 | 79,216 |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $97.73 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

3) Population by Sex

Female population accounts for $52.6 \%$ of the total population as shown below.
Population by Sex in Myingyan TS

| Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128,405 | 147,580 | 270,985 |
| $47.4 \%$ | $52.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

4) Economically Active Population

The economically active population above 18 years old is estimated at $67 \%$.

> Economically Active Population in Myingyan TS

| Economically Active <br> Population above 18 yrs | Ratio to the total |
| :---: | :---: |
| 181,839 | $67 \%$ |

5) Population Working in the Agricultural Sector

Of the population above 18 years old, $69 \%$ is working in the agricultural sector which is the basic industry of the township.

Population Working in the Agricultural Sector

| Population working in the <br> Agricultural Sector | Ratio to the <br> population above 18 <br> yrs |
| :---: | :---: |
| 186,144 | $69 \%$ |

(5) Number of Household and Average Family Members

The total household in the township is 55,094 , of which $65 \%$ is farm households who were given cultivation rights from the government, and the remaining $35 \%$ is so called landless households consisting of casual labor working in agriculture and construction, officers and carpenters. The average family member is 4.92/family.

Number of Household and Family Member in Myingyan TS

| Farm Households | Landle | useholds | Others | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { (persons/family) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-farm | Casual labor |  |  |  |
| 35,774 | 19,320 |  | 0 | 55,094 | 4.92 |
| 65\% | 35\% |  | 0\% | 100.0\% |  |

(6) Wage of Farm Labor and Non-Farm Labor

As of May 2013, wage of farm labor is Kyat 2,500/day/capita, and Kyat 3,000 day/capita for non-agriculture labor. As compared with the rate of Kyat 700 for female and Kyat 1,000 for male in the period of the Development Study by JICA from 2006 to 2010, the wage has increased at about three times.

### 4.1.2 Agricultural Profile of the Township

(1) Acreage by Land Type

The following table shows the acreage of the township by land type. Net sown area accounts for $68 \%$ of the total township area.

## Acreage by Land Type in Myingyan TS (ac)

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown <br> Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to (7) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| 241,598 | 0 | 1,739 | 164,970 | 1,027 | 1,027 | 18,777 | 54,058 |

## (2) Cultivable Area

The breakdown of the cultivable area of the township is as follows. Yar (upland) accounts for $74.4 \%$ of the total cultivable area and 14.8 \% by paddy. Kaing is farmland on river terrace and Kyun is the one on sandbank in a river.

Cultivable Area in Myingyan TS (ac)

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 164,970 | 24,386 | 122,768 | 0 | 0 | 17,816 |
| $100 \%$ | $14.78 \%$ | $74.42 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $10.80 \%$ |

(3) Irrigated Area

The ratio of irrigated area to the total cultivable area in the township is only $13.8 \%$ following to the one in Nyaung Oo Township. Main water source for irrigation is river. Irrigation water charge is Kyat 9,000/ac/year for paddy and Kyat 3,000/ac/year for field crops.

## Irrigated Area in Myingyan TS

| Paddy(ac) | Upland(ac) | Total(ac) | Ratio (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,529 | 21,200 | 22,729 | 13.8 |

(4) Crops

The top five cereal, oil and pulses crops based on sown area in 2011/2012 in Myingyan is shown in the table below. The township is characterized as upland farming area centering on sesame production. Paddy farming is done mainly in the areas of 5,336 acres along Ayeyarwady River.

Crop Production in Myngyan TS

| Ranking | Crop | Sown area (ac) | Harvested area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (basket) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Sesame | 51,711 | 51,711 | 276,627 |
| 2 | Pigeon pea | 25,711 | 25,711 | 319,017 |
| 3 | Sunflower | 17,919 | 17,919 | 456,218 |
| 4 | Groundnut | 16,022 | 16,022 | 800,299 |
| 5 | Chick pea | 15,788 | 15,788 | 204,139 |

Similarly, vegetable and fruits in 2011/2012 are as follows.
Vegetable and Fruits Production in Myingyan TS

| Ranking | Crop | Sown area (ac) | Harvested area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Onion | 14,907 | 14,907 | 527,068 |
| 2 | Vegetables | 6,335 | 6,335 | $9,418,564$ |
| 3 | Chili | 1,689 | 1,689 | 191,539 |
| 4 | Toddy | 1,579 | 998 | NA |

Source: DOA TS Office, Myingyan
(5) Number of Farm Household by Farm Size

The farm household with less than 5 acres accounts for $92.7 \%$ of the total. The average farm size is calculated at 4.61 acres per farm household (164,970ac $/ 38,240$ farm $=4.61 \mathrm{ac} /$ farm). It is said that in Myanmar the minimum farm size necessary to feed a family is 8 to 10 acres but farm size on the average in the township is nearly one half.

Number of Farm Household by Farm Size

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24,058 | 9,091 | 2,214 | 411 | 35,774 |
| $67.3 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $1.1 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: SLRD TS Office, Myingyan

## (6) Cropping Pattern and Climate

The relationship between climate conditions and cropping pattern in Myingyan Township can be illustrated as shown in the figure below. Farming practices starts from the beginning of May when rainfall comes. Dry spell occurs from June to July that precipitation reduces temporarily even in rainy season. In the places where groundwater can be developed for tube-well, onions and so on are cultivated. CSO's data on Statistical yearbook was used.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Myingyan TS

## (7) Livestock

In Myyingyan Township, livestock centering on cattle and buffaloes necessary for farm practices are raised as shown in the table below. Buffaloes are raised in the limited area where water is available.
$\underline{\text { Livestock in Myingyan TS }}$

| Cattle/buffaloes | Goats/sheep | pigs | Chicken | Ducks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109,177 | 52,975 | 29,787 | 558,369 | - |

## (8) Land Classification

Land in the township is classified as follows based on the data collected in the period of the Development Study in 2006 to 2010. Fertile Alluvial soils accounts for 13,000 acres and 34,000 acres by hilly area. However, recently this classification is not used according to the DOA township office.

Land Classification in Myingyan TS (1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| 2 | 130 | - | 18 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

Similarly to the land classification mentioned above, acreage by soil type in Myingyan based on the data collected in the period of the Development Study in 2006 to 2010 is shown below. In Myingyan Township, data on soil type could not be collected though soil map and acreage on regional level were collected.

$$
\text { Soil Type in Myingyan TS }(1,000 \mathrm{ac})
$$

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Meadow } \\ \text { Alluvial Soils } \\ \text { (Gleysol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Meadow } \\ \text { Carbonate Soils } \\ \text { (Gleysol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Catena of Savanna Soils on } \\ \text { slopes \& Compact Soils in } \\ \text { Depretion (Luvisol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Compact } \\ \text { Soils } \\ \text { (Vertisol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Turfy Primitive } \\ \text { Soils (Lithosol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Primitive } \\ \text { Crushed } \\ \text { Stones Soils }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Light Forest } \\ \text { Soils (Nitosol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Yellow Brown Dry } \\ \text { Forest and Indaing } \\ \text { (Xanthic Ferralsol) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Other }\end{array}$ |
| - | - | 174 | 8 | - | 4 | - | 10 | 2 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\}$

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

Catena of savanna soil composed of sandy loam and clayey soil and occupying almost all of the cultivable area is classified good/fair with pH 7.5 to 8.5, according to "Soil Type and Characteristics of Myanmar" (DOA), and suitable for paddy, cotton, vegetables, sugarcane, groundnut, sesame and pulses.
(10) Crop Varieties being promoted by DOA in Myingyan

The following varieties that the township DOA has been promoting recently are as follows;
Crop Varieties being promoted by DOA in Myingyan TS

| Crops | Varieties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Monsoon paddy | Ayeyamin, Shwebo Paw San |
| Groundnut | Sin Pada Thar |
| Green gram | Yezin-11 |
| Chick pea | Yezin-6, Yezin-8 |
| Pigeon pea | Monywa Shwedinga |

## (11) Agricultural Disasters

Drought often occurs with high frequency once every three years as compared to the damage by insect and rat that occurs once every 15 years.
(12) Issues on Agriculture

Among the agricultural issues to be solved in the township, water-related ones are most serious according to the township DOA. In addition poor soil and inadequate agricultural loans are also issues in the township.

## Issues on Agriculture in Myingyan TS

## Agricultural Issues in Myingyan Township

Water shortage, drought, unstable rainfall, soil erosion by rainfall, lack of draft cattle, inadequate service on agricultural loan

### 4.1.3 Climatic Conditions

## (1) Precipitation

The graph shows fluctuation of annual rainfall in the township from 2005 to 2012. It is know that the rainfall in 2009 was extremely scarce. However, farmers have practices farming under these severe conditions. It can be said that annual rainfall has affected farmer's living standard.


Source: DOA, Myingyan Township

## (2) Highest and Lowest temperatures

The highest temperatures occur on April, and lowest in January at $10.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Difference between highest and lowest temperature is largest also in January.


Source: DOA, Myingyan Township

### 4.1.4 Other Information

(1) Main Markets

There are three local markets in the township which are managed by municipality. In these markets, cereals, pulses, vegetable, fruits are dealt along with daily commodities.

Main Market in Myingyan TS

| Name | Location | Managing Body | Public/private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aye Mya Thida | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| San Pa | South of the city | Municipality | Public |
| Myoma | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |

## (2) Donors

It was confirmed that there were two donors that worked in the township as indicated below. IDEA provided storage of rainwater and micro-credit by PACT.

## Activities of Donors in Myinyan TS

| Name | Sector | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IDEA | Rain storage | 2011 |
| PACT Myanmar | Micro credit | 2012 |

## (3) Schools

The existing schools in the township cover from primary to high school as indicated below.
Schools in Myingyan TS

| Type | Places | Students | Teachers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary school | 178 | 22,255 | 567 |
| Secondary school | 9 | 12,916 | 1,154 |
| High school | 5 | 3,873 | 157 |

(4) Hospital

The following medical facilities exist in the township.
Hospitals in Myngyan TS

| Above 100 <br> beds | Other <br> hospitals | Clinic | Health center | Child care | Drug store |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 33 | 8 | 1 | 12 |

## (5) Electrification

Data on electrification in the township was not available.

### 4.2 Nyuang Oo Township

Information about administration such as population, number of household etc at township level are shown on the booklets titled "Basic Information of the Township" prepared by GAD under Ministry of Home Affairs (refer to Appendix-9), though is stipulated as a Confidential Document. As for detailed information about agriculture, data and information are available at township DOA and SLRD, while livestock data is also available in township LBVD as well. However, there are some gaps and missing data depending on the related offices, for example sown area, harvested are, production and climatic data, etc.

### 4.2.1 General Administration

## (1) Administrative Division

Nyaung Oo Township is located at the central part of Mandalay Region composed of the following administrative division. One village tract is composed of 3.0 villages on the average. The distance from Mandalay, regional capital, is 220.8 km (138miles)..

## Administrative Division of Nyaung Oo TS

| Village Tract | Ward | Village |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 17 | 219 |

(2) Budget and Organization of DOA Office

Annual budget allocated for the DOA township office for 2012/2013 is Kyat 65.0, which is largest in the related three townships. Organizational chart is shown in Appendix-14.
(3) Village map of the Township

Village map of Nyaung Oo Township is shown in Appendix-14.
(4) Population

1) Population and Population Density

As of may 2013, population in the township is 188,301 , of which $78.6 \%$ in rural area and $21.4 \%$ in urban area. Population density is estimated at 127 persons $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$, which is higher than 117 persons $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ of three region’s average.

Population in Nyaung Oo TS

| Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,330 | 147,971 | 188,301 |
| $21.4 \%$ | $78.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: GAD TS Office, 2013

## 2) Races

Most of population in the township is occupied by Bamar race as shown below with small number of ethnic minority like Shan race.

Races in Nyaung Oo TS

| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188,269 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 188,301 |
| $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $99.98 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

3) Population by Sex

Female population accounts for $53.9 \%$ in the township.
Population by Sex in Nyaung Oo TS

| Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 86,826 | 101,475 | 188,301 |
| $46.1 \%$ | $53.9 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

4) Economically Active Population

Economically active population above 18 years is $67 \%$ in the township.
Economically Active Population in Nyaung Oo TS

| Economically Active <br> Population above 18 yrs | Ratio to the total <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 129,951 | $69 \%$ |

5) Population Working in Agricultural Sector

Agricultural sector is the basic industry also in Nyaung Township in which $59 \%$ of the population above 18 years is working in the agricultural sector.

Population Working in Agricultural Sector

| Population working in <br> agricultural sector | Ratio to the <br> economically active <br> population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 110,933 | $59 \%$ |

(5) Number of Household and Average Family Members

Total household of the township is estimated at 37,732 , of which $73 \%$ is farmers who have cultivation rights given by the government. The remaining $27 \%$ is landless households consisting of casual labor for farm and non-farm. The average family members are 4.99 per family.

Number of Household and Average Family Members in Nyaung Oo TS

| Farm <br> Household | Landless |  | Others | Total <br> Households | Average family <br> members/family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-farm | Causal labor |  | 37,732 | 4.99 |
| $73 \%$ | 10,341 |  | 0 | $30.0 \%$ |  |

(6) Wage rate of Farm and Non-farm Labor

As of May 2013, wage rate in the township is Kyat 1,500/day/capita for farm labor, and Kyat 2,500/day/capita for non-farm labor, which are slightly lower than that of Myingyan Township. However the rate has increased at about 1.5 times as compared to the period of the Development Study by JICA in 2006 to 2010. The current wage of Kyat 1,500 per day is income level by which they can buy 2.1 to 1.1 kg of white rice based on current rice prices as of May 2003 are Kyat 700/kg to Kyat 1,700/kg.

### 4.2.2 Agricultural Profile

(1) Acreage by Land type

Acreage by land type in the township is as shown below. Net sown area occupies $58.6 \%$ of the total area of the township.

Acreage by Land Type in Nyaung Oo TS (ac)

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown <br> Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to (7) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| 280,622 | 4,588 | 7,378 | 164,478 | 0 | 66,936 | 37,242 | 0 |

## (2) Cultivable Area

Cultivable area in the township is summarized below.. Yar land (upland) accounts for $95.4 \%$ and only $0.16 \%$ for paddy field, which means that the township is typical one of arid area in CDZ. Kyun is river terrace and Kaing means farmland on sandbank in a river but not exists in this township.

Cultivable Area in Nyaung Oo TS (ac)

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 164,478 | 256 | 156,875 | 0 | 7,142 | 205 |
| $100 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $95.38 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $4.34 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ |

## (3) Irrigated Area

The ratio of irrigated area to cultivable area is only $18 \%$ in this township but it is the highest among the three township concerned, and also higher than $17.1 \%(2009 / 10)$ of the country. The main water source for irrigation is river water.

Irrigated Area in Nyaung Oo TS

| Paddy (ac) | Upland (ac) | Total (ac) | Irrigated ratio (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,184 | 26,428 | 29,612 | 18.0 |

Irrigation fee per acre per year is Kyat 6,000 for paddy and Kyat 3,000 for upland, and Kyat 9,000 in case of the summer paddy.

## (4) Crops

The top five acreages in 2011/2012 by cereal crops, oil crops and pulses in Nyaung Oo Township are presented below as follows. The township is characterized as upland area with groundnut as major crop.

Crop Production in Nyaung Oo TS

| Rank | Crop | Sown area(ac) | Harvested area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Production(basket) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Groundnut | 74,098 | 74,098 | $2,416,041$ |
| 2 | Sesame | 46,308 | 46,173 | 241,367 |
| 3 | Pigeon pea | 30,079 | 30,079 | 391,691 |
| 4 | Green gram | 29,401 | 29,401 | 367,398 |
| 5 | Sorghum | 11,068 | 11,068 | 151,168 |

The table below shows the acreages of vegetables and fruits in 2011-2012. Small area for these crops implies that the township do not have sufficient irrigation water though the ratio of irrigated area is $18 \%$ as mentioned above.

Vegetable and Fruits Production in Nyaung Oo TS

| Rank | Crop | Sown area(ac) | Harvested area (ac) | Production(viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Vegetables | 2,038 | 2,038 | $1,448,960$ |
| 2 | Toddy | 2,765 | 2,053 | $6,026,850$ |
| 3 | Onion | 636 | 636 | $2,864,000$ |
| 4 | Chili | 514 | 514 | 102,800 |

Source: DOA TS Office, Nyaung Oo
(5) Number of Farm Household by Farm Size

Number of farm household by farm size is shown in the table below. Farm household with less than 10 acres accounts for $72.8 \%$ of the total. , The average farm size in the township is estimated at 6.00 acres per farm (164,478ac /27,391farm=6.00 ac/farm).

Number of Farm Household by Farm Size in Nyaung Oo TS

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13,967 | 6,700 | 5,563 | 2,156 | 28,386 |
| $49.2 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source) SLRD TS Office, Nyaung Oo
Note. The number of farm household of 28,386 does not accord with the total farm household of 27,391.

## (6) Cropping Pattern and Climate

The relationship between climate conditions and cropping pattern in Nyaung Oo Township can be illustrated as shown in the figure below. Farming practices starts from the beginning of May when rainfall comes. Dry spell occurs from June to July when precipitation reduces drastically compared to other two townships even in rainy season. CSO's data on Statistical yearbook was used to illustrate the figure.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Nyaung Oo TS

## (7) Number of Livestock

Number of livestock in Nyaung Oo is shown in the Table below. Following cattle and water buffalo necessary for plowing, many goats are raised which is suitable in dry area (next to 120,600 of goats in Magway Township). This may be based on the reason that income from raising goats/sheep complements unstable income from crop cultivation under the condition of limited rainfall as compared to other Townships.

Number of Livestock in Nyaung Oo TS

| Cattle | Buffaloes | Goats/sheep | Pigs | Chicken | Duck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98,051 | 192 | 90,550 | 20,355 | 575,638 | $459-$ |

(8) Land Classification

According to the DOA, this type of land classification is no longer used, but the data collected during "The Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Program in the Central Dry Zone (CDZ) of the Union of Myanmar" are shown below. Type IV means "Foot-plain" which is hilly terrain with undulation. Thus, the Township is located in the land with hardships in terms of land classification.

Land Classification in Nyaung Oo TS (1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| 15 | 70 | - | 100 | 38 | - | - | - | - | - |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

## (9) Soil Type

The same as land classification, data on area by soil type in Nyaung Oo Township was collected during the said study are shown below. During the Study, soil maps and area data were only available at DOA offices at regional level but not at Township level.

Coil Type in Nyaung Oo TS (1,000ac)

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Alluvial Soils (Gleysol) | Meadow Carbonate Soils (Gleysol) | Catena of Savanna Soils on slopes \& Compact Soils in Depretion (Luvisol) | Compact Soils (Vertisol) | Turfy Primitive Soils (Lithosol) | Primitive Crushed Stones Soils | Light Forest <br> Soils (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown Dry Forest and Indaing (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Other | Total |
| 57 | 197 | 1,504 | 607 | 173 | 353 | 403 | 531 | 107 | 3,932 |

"Catena of Savanna soil" which occupies most of cultivated land is categorized as "good/fair ("fair" better than "good")" and consists of clay soil and sandy loam with PH 7.5-8.5, according to "Soil Type and Characteristics of Myanmar" (DOA). This kind of soil is suitable for rice, cotton, vegetables, sugar cane, peanut, sesame and legumes.

## (10) Crops Recommended in Nyaung Oo Township

The DOA in Nyaung Oo Township recommends the following crops:
Crops Recommended in Nyaung Oo Township

| Crop | Promoting Varieties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Monsoon paddy | Sin Thu Kha, Manwa Thu Kha |
| Summer paddy | Manaw Thu Kha, |


| Pigeon pea | Khwe Chan Shwedinga, Monywar Shwedinga |
| :--- | :--- |
| Green gram | Yezin-11, Yezin-14 |
| Groundnut | Kyaung Kon, Magway-11, Myanmar Pin Pyant |
| Sesame | Malthila, Red sesame |
| Chick pea | Karachi, ICCV-2 |

## (11) Agricultural Disaster

Drought is reported to occur once in every two years. This frequency is higher than that of Myingyan Township, and it shows severe condition of limited rainfall in this Township.

## (12) Constraints in Agriculture

The following issues are pointed out by Township DOA as constraining factor in agriculture. Issues related to water are raised also in this Township which shows seriousness of water shortage. Also, soil with low fertility and insufficient agricultural financing services are pointed out.

## Constraints in Agriculture in Nyaung Oo TS

| Issues on Agriculture |
| :--- |
| Lack in irrigation water, drought, unstable rainfall, low farm-gate price of crops, <br> inadequate agricultural loans |

### 4.2.3 Climate Condition

(1) Rainfall

Rainfall data from 2003 to 2012 collected at the Township DOA Office show that rainfall occurrences vary by year as shown in the Figure below, which shows the instability of rainfall in this township. The data shows that 2009 was the year of drought with rainfall amount equivalent to half of 10 year average ( 684.89 mm ). Also in year 2012, it was below the average. Such condition of unstable rainfall can be the reason of unstable farming income as it depends heavily on rain water, widening the income gaps (between the income of farmers who can avail tube-well and other farmers.)

## Rainfall Pattern in Nyaung Oo TS



Source: DOA Nyaung Oo Township Office

## (2) Highest and Lowest Temperature

The DOA of Nyaung Oo Township does not maintain data on the highest and lowest temperature.

### 4.2.4 Other information

(1) Major market

There are two markets in Nyaung Oo Township as indicated below. Both are public markets operated and maintained by the local authority. At these markets, not only grains, legumes, vegetable and fruits, but also daily commodities are sold.

Major Market in Nyaung Oo TS

| Name | Location | Managing body | Public/private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mani Si Thu | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| Tax free market | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |

## (2) Donor

The activities of the some donors are confirmed in Nyaung Oo Township. KOIKA is executing reforestation and environmental projects, while PACT Myanmar is implementing microcredit programs.

Activities of Donors in Nyaung Oo TS

| Name | Sector | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| KOICA | Environment (forestry) | - |
| PACT Myanmar | Micro-finance | - |

(3) Educational Facilities

Schools are available in the Township, from primary school to high school. At primary school, one teacher takes care of 27 pupils on the average, while 38 students in middle school.

Educational Facilities in Nyaung Oo TS

| Type | Places | Student | Teacher |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary school | 114 | 22,735 | 834 |
| Secondary school | 4 | 13,424 | 356 |
| High school | 5 | 4,213 | 124 |

(4) Medical Facilities

Medical facilities in the Township are as follows:
Medical Facilities in Nyaung Oo TS

| Hospital with <br> 100 beds | Other <br> Hospital | Clinic | Rural health <br> Center | Child care | Pharmacy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 35 | 2 | $5 \sim 10$ |

## (5) Electrification

Electrification rate of the Township by type is indicated in the table below. The rate is $12.4 \%$ in urban area, however only $0.41 \%$ in rural area.

Electrification Rate of Nyaung Oo TS

| Type | Electrification（\％） | Beneficial Houses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Urban | 12.36 | 7,117 |
| Rural | 0.41 | 235 |
| Total | 12.77 | 7,352 |

## 4．3 Magway Township

## 4．3．1 General Administration

（1）Administrative Division
Magway Township is located at the center of Magway Region．Administrative structure consists of divisions indicated below．One Village Tact consists of 3.5 Villages on the average．

Administrative Division of Magway TS

| VT | Ward | Village |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 15 | 216 |

（2）Organization and Budget of Township DOA
Organizational chart of DOA in Magway Township is shown in Appendix－14．Annual budget of Township DOA Office is 34.0 Million Kyat in FY 2012／2013，which is the smallest among 3 Townships．Annual budget of Nyaung Oo Township DOA is 65.0 Million Kyat．
（3）Village Location Map within the Township
Village location map within the Township is shown in Appendix－10．
（4）Population
1）Population and Population Density
Population of the Township is 278,978 as of May 2013．About $72 \%$ reside in rural area，while $28 \%$ reside in urban area．Population density is 158 people／sq．km，which is higher than 117 people／sq．km，which is average of the three（3）Regions in the CDZ．

Population and Population Density in Magway TS

| Urban Population | Rural Population | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78,162 人 | 200,816 人 | 278,978 人 |
| $28.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source ：GAD TS Office， 2013

## 2）Population by Ethnic Group

Population by ethnic group，though data is limited in urban area，is shown in the table below．The data shows $95.4 \%$ of urban population is Barmar．Though the population is limited，more than 7 ethnic groups such as Shan，Kachin are mixed in the area．

Population by Ethnic Group in Magway TS

| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 80 | 204 | 180 | 74,572 | 60 | 313 | 190 | 2,466 | 78,162 |
| $0.12 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $95.41 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

3) Population by Sex

Women account for 52.2 percent of the total population.
Population by Sex in Magway TS

| Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133,484 | 145,502 | 278,986 |
| $47.8 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Note : Total number does not match with total population
4) Population by Economic Activities

Labor force or economically active population over the age of 18 is $73 \%$.
Economically Active Population in Magway TS

| Economically Active <br> Population above 18 yrs | \% to the total <br> population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 202,747 | $72.7 \%$ |

## 5) Labor Force in Agricultural Sector

More than half, $54 \%$ of the labor forces over the age of 18 are in agricultural sector. This means, major industry also in this township is agriculture.

Labor Force in Agricultural Sector in Magway TS

| Population Working <br> in Agriculture | \% to the Total <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: |
| 140,629 | $50.4 \%$ |

(5) Number of Household and Average Number of Person per Household

Total numbers of household are 53,787. Among them, $78 \%$ are "farmers" with right to cultivate given from the Government. The remaining, (22\%) are the so-called "landless household" such as workers (agriculture, construction, etc), non-agricultural public servant, carpenters, etc.

Number of Household and Average Number of Person per Household in Magway TS

| Farm <br> Household | Landless Household |  | Others | Total <br> Household | Averaged family <br> members |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-farm | Casual labor |  | 5.19 |  |
| 41,727 | 12,060 | 0 | 53,787 |  |  |
| $78 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

(6) Agricultural and Non-Agricultural Wage

Agricultural wage in Magway Township as of May 2013 is 1,500 Kyat/day per person, while non-agricultural wage is $2,000 \mathrm{Kyat} /$ day per person, which is comparatively higher than agricultural wage. Considering the standard wage at the time of "The Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Program in the Central Dry Zone (CDZ) of the Union of Myanmar" from 2006 to 2010 were 700 Kyat for women and 1,000 Kyat for men. The present wage has reached 1.5 times higher than during the 2006-2010 periods. Since market price of rice as of May 2013 is in the range from $700 \mathrm{Kyat} / \mathrm{kg}$ for ordinal variety to $1,700 \mathrm{Kyat} / \mathrm{kg}$ for branded variety, only 1.1 to 2.1 kg of rice is affordable at dairy wage of 1,500 Kyat.

### 4.3.2 Agricultural Situation

(1) Area by Land Type

In Magway Township, area by land type is as shown below. Net sown area occupies $46 \%$ of total township area.

Land Type in Magway TS

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown <br> Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to (7) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| 436,623 | 5,600 | 0 | 201,175 | 0 | 1,978 | 174 | 227,696 |

## (2) Cultivated Area

Details of cultivated area are shown in the Table below. Yar land occupies $95.3 \%$ of total cultivated area, while paddy occupies only $2.1 \%$. This means, the Township is characterized as rain fed cultivation dominant area except paddy along Ayeyarwady River. "Kyun" and "Kaing" means cultivated land in river sandbar and in riverbed.

Cultivated Area in Magway TS (ac)

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 201,175 | 4,237 | 191,764 | 5,168 | 0 | 6 |
| $100 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | $95.32 \%$ | $2.57 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

(3) Irrigated Area

Percentage of irrigated area against total cultivated land reaches only $4.5 \%$, the lowest figure in three Townships it is much lower than $17.1 \%$ of national average (as of Oct. 2009). Irrigated area is concentrated in paddy. Irrigation water charge is $9,000 \mathrm{Kyat} / \mathrm{ac} /$ year for paddy, and 3,000 Kyat/ac/year for field crops.

Irrigated Area in Magway TS

| Paddy(ac) | Upland(ac) | Total(ac) | Ration of Irrigated <br> Area (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9,084 | 0 | 9,084 | 4.5 |

5 top crops grain, oil crops and legumes planted in Magway Township (2011-1012) are as shown in the table below. Thus, this Township can be said as a Township of field farming agriculture represented by Groundnut production.

Crop Production in Magway TS

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area (ac) | Harvested Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (basket) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Sesame | 181,081 | 180,748 | $2,676,201$ |
| 2 | Green gram | 64,930 | 64,930 | $1,044,860$ |
| 3 | Groundnut | 54,087 | 54,087 | $3,714,691$ |
| 4 | Pigeon pea | 33,750 | 33,750 | 568,687 |
| 5 | Sunflower | 15,831 | 15,831 | 386,736 |

Situation of vegetables and fruits (2011-2012) are shown in table below.
Vegetable and Fruits Production in Magway TS

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area (ac) | Harvested Area (ac) | Production (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Vegetables | 2,956 | 2,956 | $10,868,241$ |
| 2 | Onion | 2,054 | 2,054 | $13,191,873$ |
| 3 | Toddy | 1,610 | 814 | 260,480 |
| 4 | Chili | 284 | 284 | 156,839 |
| 5 | Potato | 201 | 201 | $1,126,605$ |

Source : DOA TS Office, Magway
(2) Number of Farming Household by Size

Farm household with farmland between 8 to 10 ac., which is said to be a requirement to maintain farming, occupies $78.1 \%$. An average farmland per household is 201,175ac. / 41,727 households $=4.82$ ac. per household.

Number of Farming Household by Size in Magway TS

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14,015 | 7,484 | 4,845 | 1,193 | 27,537 |
| $50.9 \%$ | $27.2 \%$ | $17.6 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source : SLTD TS Office, Nyaung Oo
Note: Sum of detail household number $(27,537)$ does not match with total number of household $(41,727)$.

## (3) Cropping Pattern and Climate

Table below shows relation between climate (rainfall amount and temperature) at rainfed field in Magway Township with its cropping pattern. Planting of crops starts in May when rainy season starts. Comparatively not as obvious as in Nyaung Oo, but phenomenon called dry spell occurs also in Magway. Climate data are quoted from Statistical Yearbook 2011 CSO.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Magway TS

## (4) Number of Livestock

Number of livestock in Magway Township is as shown in Table below. Together with cattle and water buffalo necessary for plowing, many goats are raised which is suitable in dry area (120,600 which is the largest number among three Townships). Same as in Nyaung Oo, this may be based on the reason that income of raising goats/sheep is complementing unstable crop income under limited rainfall amount.

Number of Livestock in Magway TS

| Cattle | Buffaloes | Goats/sheep | Pigs | Chicken | Ducl |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 148,909 | 698 | 120,600 | 71,288 | $2,152,294$ | 4,273 |

## (5) Land Classification

Though the classification of land in this manner is no longer used according to DOA, data collected during "The Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Program in the Central Dry Zone (CDZ) of the Union of Myanmar" are shown below. Type IV, dominant in this Township, means "Foot plain" which is hilly terrain with undulation. Thus, except the farmland along Ayeyarwady River, the Township is located in the land with hardships in terms of land classification.

Land Classification in Magway TS (1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| - | 5 | 4 | 192 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)
(6) Soil Type

The same as land classification, data on area by soil type in Magway Township as collected during "The Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Program in the Central Dry Zone (CDZ) of the Union of Myanmar, 2006-2010" are shown below. During the present Study, soil maps and area data were available at the regional level but not at the Township level at DOA Office.

Soil Type of Magway TS (1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Meadow <br> Carbonate Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Catena of Savanna Soils on <br> slopes \& Compact Soils in <br> Depretion (Luvisol) | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy Primitive <br> Soils (Lithosol) | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones Soils | Light Forest <br> Soils (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown Dry <br> Forest and Indaing <br> (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Other |
| 67 | 2 | 133 | - | - | 170 | 12 | - | 5 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source. JICA Report on Poveety Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

Cultivated land mainly consists of Primitive Crushed Stones Soil and Catena of savanna soil. The former is suitable for pasture in hilly area and categorized as "fair (better than good), though it may require soil conservation. The later is categorized as "good/fair" and consists of sandy loam and clay soil of PH 7.5-8.5, according to "Soil Type and Characteristics of Myanmar" (DOA), and suitable for rice, cotton, vegetables, sugar cane, peanut, sesame and legumes. Catena of savanna soil is better as it is suitable for many crops.

## (7) Crops Recommended in Magway Township

DOA in Magway Township recommends the following crops.

Crops Recommended in Magway Township

| Crop | Promoting Varieties |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga, Nga San |
| Groundnut | Toontani, Sin-11, Magway-11 |
| Sesame | Ba Pan, Shwe Ta Saak |
| Green gram | Yezin-1, Yezin-4, Yezin-11 |
| Black gram | Yezin-3 |
| Cotton | Chi Myin Shay War, War Gyi |
| Chick pea | Karachi |

## (8) Agricultural Disaster

Drought is reported to occur almost every year. However, according to DOA, it does not bring severe damage to agriculture.
(9) Constraints in Agriculture

The following issues are pointed out by Township DOA as constraining factor in agriculture. Issues related to water are pointed out also in this Township. Also, runoff of soil, low fertility of soil and insufficient agricultural financing services are pointed out.

Agricultural Issues in Magway TS

| Agricultural Issues |
| :---: |
| Lack in irrigation water, soil erosion, poor soil, low farm-gate prices, expensive <br> agricultural chemicals, inadequate agricultural loans |

### 4.3.3 Climate Condition

(1) Rainfall

Rainfall data of 10 years from 2003 to 2012 collected at Township DOA shows that it has been varied by year as shown in the following Figure, which shows unstable rainfall amount. The data shows that 10 year average is 948.7 mm , however, actual rainfall exceeded this average in only 4 years. It is judged that in the farming village located at inland of Ayeyarwady River; such condition of unstable rainfall can be the reason to generate unstable farming income.


Source : DOA Magway Township Office
(3) Highest and Lowest Temperature

DOA of Magway Township does not maintain data on the highest and lowest temperature.

### 4.3.4 Other information

(1) Major Market

There are three markets in Magway Township as indicated below. All are public markets operated and maintained by the local authority. At these markets, not only grains, legumes, vegetable and fruits, but also daily commodities are sold.

Major Market in Magway TS

| Name | Location | Managing Body | Public/private |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myo Ma | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| Kan Thar | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| Yanpal | Northern part of the city | Municipality | Public |

## (2) Donor

The following three donors confirmed their activities (present and past) in Magway Township. PACT Myanmar's microcredit program covers also this Township.

Donors' Activity in Magway TS

| Name | Sector | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CESVI | Livelihood improvement | - |
| PACT Myanmar | Micro finance | - |
| WFP | NA | NA |

(3) Educational Facilities

Schools are available in the Township, from primary to High school. At primary school, one teacher takes care of 24 pupils on the average, while 34 students in middle school.

Educational Facilities in Magway TS

| Type | Places | Student | Teacher |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary school | 172 | 19,111 | 788 |
| Secondary school | 10 | 6,480 | 188 |
| High school | 7 | 8,535 | 419 |

(4) Medical Facilities

Medical facilities in the Township are as follows:

Medical Facilities in Magway TS

| Hospital with <br> 100 beds | Other <br> Hospitals | Clinic | Rural Health <br> Center | Child care | Pharmacy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | NA | 49 | 1 | NA |

(5) Electrification

Data on electrification rate of the Township was not available.

## CHAPTER 5 AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTION SURVEY

### 5.1 Mandalay Region

(1) Production of Major Crops

The five (5) top grain crops, oil crops and legumes planted in Mandalay Region (defined herein as entire Region) are as shown in the table below. Rice production is mainly practiced in irrigated area in Kyaukse.

Major Crops in Mandalay Region

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area (ac) | Harvested Area <br> (ac) | Production (bkt) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Sesame | $1,174,168$ | $1,174,033$ | $6,986,948$ |
| 2 | Monsoon paddy | 620,061 | 618,390 | 483,697 |
| 3 | Pigeon pea | 490,246 | 489,835 | 6530,948 |
| 4 | Groundnut | 342,334 | 342,249 | $15,148,126$ |
| 5 | Chick pea | 213,134 | 213,134 | 3100,297 |

Situation of vegetables (2011-2012) is shown in table below. Mandalay is a major production area of Chili.
Major Vegetable in Mandalay Region

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area (ac) | Harvested Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Production (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Chili | 121,012 | 119,566 | $20,692,297$ |
| 2 | Onion | 71,831 | 71,637 | $21,779,020$ |
| 3 | Tomato | 51,824 | 51,824 | $102,129,811$ |
| 4 | Potato | 1,984 | 1,984 | $5,130,456$ |
| 5 | Carrot | 862 | 862 | $1,609,796$ |

Source : DOA Regional Office, Mandalay
(2)Number of Farming Household by Size

Farm household with farmland less than 10 ac., which is said to be a requirement to maintain farming, occupies $85.1 \%$. An average farmland per household is $3,317,508 \mathrm{ac} . / 606,595$ households $=5.47$ ac. per household.

Number of Farming Household by Size in Mandalay Region

| Less than5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 333,180 | 183,318 | 702,39 | 19,858 | 606,595 |
| $54.9 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source : DOA Regional Office, Mandalay
(3) Cropping Pattern and Climate

Table below shows relation between climate (rainfall amount and temperature) at rainfed field in Mandalay Region with its cropping pattern. Planting of crops starts in May when rainy season starts. In July, dry spell starts, reduction of rainfall amount during rainy season occurs, which makes rainfall amount in July less than half of that of May

Common in rainfed farming in any Township; farmers decide timing of plowing/seeding by judging the condition of frequency and amount of rainfall and condition of soil moisture when rainy season starts, as per their experiences in the past. When dry spell prolongs, plants lose moisture and withered by lack of rainfall necessary for early stage of growing. Thus, timing of plowing/seeding is important, however, it is difficult to do this by just plowing by cow which is still common practice. No crop planting is done over dry season where underground water resources are not available. Climate data are lifted from the Statistical Yearbook 2011 CSO.



## Cropping Pattern and Climate in Mandalay Region

(4) Land Use

A bigger area of $66.3 \%$ is cultivated in the uplands. . With the existence of irrigated area, paddy occupies 27.6\%.

Land Use in Mandalay Region (ac)

| Total <br> Cultivable <br> Area | Paddy | Upland | Kaing | Kyun | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,317,508$ | 916,828 | 2200780 | 169,064 | 0 | 30,836 |
| $100.0 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |

> Source : DOA Regional Office, Mandalay

## (5) Access to Water Recourses

Irrigated area against cultivated area is $21.7 \%$. Though not high, it is however higher than $20 \%$ in Sagaing Region and $17.9 \%$ in Magway. $88 \%$ of irrigated areas are planted to paddy, the priority crop in irrigated areas.

## Access to Water Resources in Mandalay Region

| Paddy (ac) | Upland (ac) | Total (ac) | Ratio of Irrigated Area <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 635,112 | 84,181 | 719,293 | 21.7 |

(6) Soil Condition

Soil type of Mandalay Region is as indicated in the table below, and "good" is dominant in weak acidity, alkalinity and fertility. Result of soil analysis sampled during the first field survey will be made available in next study.

## Soil Condition in Mandalay Region

|  | Land form | Soil Depth* | Texture | Soil pH | Class | Suitable crops | Acreage <br> $(1,000 a c)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Silty clay, <br> Clay | $6.0-8.0$ | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, <br> Sesame, Maize, Sugarcene | 114 |
| Meadow Carbonate Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Clay loam, <br> Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Fair | Chilli, Pelses, Sorghum, Rice, <br> Cotton | 394 |
| Yellow Brown Dry Forest <br> and Indaing (Xanthic <br> Ferralsol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, <br> Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 1,062 |
| Light Forest Soils (Nitosol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, <br> Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 806 |
| Catena of Savanna Soils on <br> slopes \& Compact Soils in <br> Depretion (Luvisol) | Undurating <br> upland plain | Thick | Sandy loam, <br> Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Good | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, <br> Sorgum, Sugarcene | 3,008 |
| Compact Soils (Vertisol) | Plain | Thick | Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, <br> Sorgum, Sugarcene | 1,214 |
| Turfy Primitive Soils <br> (Lithosol) | Hill \& Slope |  |  |  | Forest | 346 |  |
| Primitive Crushed Stones <br> Soils | Hilly | Med | Loam, Clay |  |  | Pasture | 706 |
| Others |  |  |  |  |  | 214 |  |

Source : "The Development Study on Sustainable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Program in the Central Dry Zone (CDZ) of the Union of Myanmar"' 2006 -2010

## (7) Farming Technology

Due to the existence of irrigated area and Mandalay as large place of consumptions, it may be said that intensive agriculture is being practiced as compared to the other two (2) Regions. Plowing is mainly by animals (cow/water buffalo), and use of tractor in some part. Based on information at Regional Office, water saving irrigation is not being practiced.
(8) Varieties of Crops Planted

The three (3) top varieties for each crop in Mandalay Region are as follows:
Varieties of Crops Planted in Mandalay Region

| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Manawthuka | Ayeramin | Shwethwe Yin |
| Summer paddy | Manawthuka | Shwethwe Yin | Sin Thu Kha |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga | Nga San Pac | Yezin-3 |
| Maize | Yezin-4 | CP888 |  |
| Sesame | Sin-4 | Yoe Seinn | Kanshi |
| Groundnut | SP121 | Magway-10 | Sin-6, 7,11 |
| Green Gram | Yezin-5 | Yezin-1 | Yezin-4 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | Yezin-3 | Karachi | Yezin-4 |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwe Kyar-2 | Sin Shwe Kyar-3 |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| Black gram |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| Onion | Shwe Phalar |  |  |

(9) DOA Seed Farm and DAR Research Farm

In Mandalay Region, there are Seed Farms under DOA, State Farms, Crop Research Centers and Satellite Farms under DAR.

## Seed Farm of DOA

| No. | Farm name | State/Region | Township | Total Acreage <br> (ac) | Cultivating <br> Area <br> (ac) | Not <br> Cultivating <br> Area (ac) | Crops |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | Sink Kaing | Mandalay | Sinkkaing | 98.51 | 88.11 | 10.40 | Monsoon rice+summer rice |
| 2 | Mandalay | Mandalay | Mandalay | 35.30 | 32.00 | 3.30 | Monsoon rice+summer rice |
| 3 | Ma Hlaing | Mandalay | Ma Hlaing | 251.09 | 207.64 | 43.45 | Sesame, sunflower, pulses |
| 4 | Chaung Magyi | Mandalay | Pyaw Bwe | 250.00 | 199.00 | 51.00 | Rice, green gram, sunflower |
| 5 | Kyat Mauk Taung | Mandalay | Kyaunk Pa Daung | 100.00 | 95.00 | 5.00 | Rice, green gram, sunflower |
| 6 | Saik Htain | Mandalay | Kyaunk Pa Daung | 115.68 | 80.00 | 35.68 | Groundnut, sesame, pulses |

Source. DOA, Nay Pyi Taw
State Farm of DOA

| No. | State/Region | Township | Name of Farm | Acreage (ac) | Cultivable Acreage | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Crops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mandalay | Mandalay | Nan Shoe | 4.34 | 2.00 | 1.50 | Mango, Coconut |
| 2 |  | Myitthar | Mya Nadi | 1,116.98 | 290.00 | 260.00 | Mango |
| 3 |  | Pyin Oo Lwin | Yone Win | 9.65 | 5.65 | 6.50 | Lychee, Dragon fruit, Strawberry, Flower |
| 4 |  | Pyin Oo Lwin | Doe Kwin | 45.00 | 25.00 | 8.71 | Damson, Avocado, Dragon fruit, Castor, Lettuce |
| 5 |  | Pyin Oo Lwin | Thatkant | 364.64 | 320.00 | 56.00 | Damson, Lychee, Avocado, Asparagus, Cabbage |
| 6 |  | Pyin Oo Lwin | Kyun Dine | 127.66 | 90.00 | 30.50 | Damson, Hazel nut, Ginger, Chesnut |
| 7 |  | Pyin Oo Lwin | Pway Taung | 70.00 | 67.00 | 65.50 | Damson, Pear, Asparagus, Carrot, Avocado, Jackfruit, Dragon fruit, Castor, Maize |
| 8 |  | Tharzi | Indinethar | 2,500.00 | 1,850.00 | 561.00 | Mango, Jujube, Green gram, Pigeon pea, Maize, Sunflower |
| 9 |  | Tharzi | Hlaingtat (1) | 272.72 | 238.48 | 66.00 | Mango, Jujube, Dragon fruit |
| 10 |  | Tharzi | Hlaingtat (2) | 490.00 | 280.00 | 187.00 | Mango, Jetropha, Jujube, Green gram |
| 11 |  | Tharzi | Pyi Nyaung | 500.00 | 324.50 | 51.75 | Citrus, Orange, Jujube, Pinapple, Mango, Papaya, Pigeon pea |
| 12 |  | Kyaukpadaung | Sepauk | 179.85 | 141.57 | 117.98 | Grape, Mango, Dragon fruit, Guava, Jujube, Tamarind, Jackfruit, Tea |
| 13 |  | Patheingyi | Htonebo | 228.00 | 200.00 | 198.00 | Mango, Lychee, Pomelo, Dragon fruit |
| 14 |  | Meikhtila | Meikhtila | 36.00 | 30.03 | 30.03 | Mango, Jujube, Dragon fruit, Citrus, Grape |
| 15 |  | Nyuang Oo | Nyaung Oo | 184.31 | 143.57 | 143.57 | Mango, Citrus, Dragon fruit, Damson, Jujube |
| 16 |  | Kyaukpadaung | Poppa | 32.73 | 27.00 | 27.00 | Dragon fruit, Lychee, Graprfuit, Tamarind, Makadaemia, Guava, Mango, Jackfruit, Star fruit |
| 17 |  | Tharzi | Yeasatcha | 30.00 | 24.50 | 28.50 | Green gram, Sunflower, Jetropha, Mango |

Source. DOA, Nay Pyi Taw

Number of Crop Research Center and Satellite Farms under DAR

|  | Crop Research Center | Satellite Farm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mandalay region | $2^{* *}$ | $5^{*}$ |

*: Kyaukse, Myingyan, Tatkon, Sebin, Kyauktada
**: Nyaung Oo, Myithar
DAR Satellite Farms and their Mandate Crops

|  | Satellite Farms | Region/State | Mandate Crops |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Kyaukse | Mandalay | Rice, chickpea, sunflower |
| 2 | Kyauktada | Mandalay | Rice, groundnut |
| 3 | Myingyan | Mandalay | Pigeon pea, sorghum, chick pea, groundnut |
| 4 | Tatkon | Mandalay | Maize, sunflower, groundnut, chick pea, green <br> gram |
| 5 | Sebin | Mandalay | Rice, sunflower, maize, green gram, pigeon pea |

### 5.2 Magway Region

(1) Production of Major Crops

The five (5) top grains, oil crops and legumes planted in Magway Region (defined herein as entire Region) in 2011-2012 are as shown in the table below. Rice production is mainly practiced in irrigated area in Kyaukse.

Major Crops in Magway Region

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area(ac) | Harvested Area <br> (ac) | Production(bakt) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Sesame | 1368,684 | $1,366,955$ | 151,050 |
| 2 | Monsoon paddy | 893,271 | 885,766 | $74,191,760$ |
| 3 | Green gram | 696,361 | 696,314 | $1,102,057$ |
| 4 | Sunflower | 517,769 | 517,755 | 142,627 |
| 5 | Pigeon pea | 437,017 | 436,449 | $7,699,219$ |

Situation of vegetables (2011-2012) are shown in table below in the same manner.
Vegetable Production in Magway Region

| Rank | Crop | Sown Area (ac) | Harvested Area <br> (ac) | Production (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Onion | 49,162 | 49,082 | $29,145,480$ |
| 2 | Chili | 25,928 | 28,828 | $7,802,233$ |
| 3 | Potato | 5,258 | 5,258 | $30,509,689$ |

Source : DOA Regional Office, Mandalay
(2) Number of Farm Households by Farm Size

The farm household with less than 10 ac account for $90.3 \%$ of the total. The average farm size is estimated at 4.54 ac per farm.

Number of farm households by Farm Size in Magway Region

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 458,935 | 132,089 | 52,594 | 11,171 | 654,789 |
| $70.1 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Magway

## (3) Cropping Pattern and Climate

Cropping patterns of rainfed upland and climate condition is illustrated in the figure below. In the rainfed area, farming practices start from the beginning of May when the worst rain comes, and harvested during middle December. In the area without tube-well, cropping during the dry season is not observed. CSO's climate data was used to prepare the figure.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Magway Region

## (4) Land Utilization

Out of the total cultivable area, $72.7 \%$ is upland. There is irrigated area along the Ayeyarwady River where paddy farming is practiced accounting to $19.6 \%$.

Land Utilization in Magway Region (ac)

| Total Cultivable <br> Area | Paddy | Upland | Kaing | Kyun | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,975,276$ | 582,576 | $2,164,466$ | 210,350 | 0 | 17,844 |
| $100.0 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Magway

## (5) Accessibility to Water Sources

The ratio of irrigated area to total cultivable area is estimated at $17.9 \%$, which is lowest compared to $20 \%$ of Sagaing Region and $21.7 \%$ of Mandalay Region. Of the total irrigated area, paddy field accounts for $94 \%$, implying that rice production is also given top priority in the region.

Irrigated Area in Magway Region

| Paddy(ac) | Upland(ac) | Total(ac) | Ratio of Irrigated Area <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 499,569 | 32,019 | 531,588 | 17.9 |

## (6) Soil Condition

The soil composition in Magway Region ranges from weak acid to alkarine, and soil fertility is mostly good. Stony soil is also observed in the area of 1,899,000 ac.

Soil Condition in Magway Region

|  | Land form | Soil Depth* | Texture | Soil pH | Class | Suitable crops | Acreage (1,000 ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Alluvial Soils (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Silty clay, Clay | 6.0-8.0 | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, Sesame, Maize, Sugarcene | 485 |
| Meadow Carbonate Soils (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Clay loam, Clay | 7.5-8.5 | Fair | Chilli, Pelses, Sorghum, Rice, Cotton | 647 |
| Yellow Brown Dry Forest and Indaing (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 1,422 |
| Light Forest Soils (Nitosol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 677 |
| Catena of Savanna Soils on slopes \& Compact Soils in Depretion (Luvisol) | Undurating upland plain | Thick | Sandy loam, Clay | 7.5-8.5 | Good | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, Sorgum, Sugarcene | 2,144 |
| Compact Soils (Vertisol) | Plain | Thick | Clay | 7.5-8.5 | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, Cotton, Sorgum, Sugarcene | 92 |
| Turfy Primitive Soils (Lithosol) | Hill \& Slope |  |  |  |  |  | 736 |
| Primitive Crushed Stones Soils | Hilly | Med | Loam, Clay |  |  | Pasture | 1,819 |
| Othres |  |  |  |  |  |  | 465 |

Source: JICA's Development Study in 2006-2010

## (7) Agricultural Technologies

Draft cattle are predominantly used in every farm in the upland. In some part of the area along the Ayeyarwady River, 4-wheel tractor and power tiller are used for paddy farming. In Magway Campus of YAU, hydroponic and micro-irrigation system are tested and demonstrated and operated and managed in cooperation with Tdh, Italian NGO, and these water-saving technologies are practically operated in the selected villages in Yenangyaung and Natmauk Townships.

## (8) Crop Variety

The following table shows that top-3 varieties of crop planted in Magway Region.
Crop Variety in Magway Region

| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Yadanartoe | Manawthuka | Sinthuka |
| Summer paddy | Yadanartoe | Sinthuka |  |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga |  |  |
| Maize | Suwun-3 |  |  |
| Sesame | Ya Thae Kyaw | Shweta Soak | Samonnet |
| Groundnut | Yezin-1 | Yezin-4 | Yezin-5 |
| Green Gram |  |  |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwe Kyar-2 | Than Palar |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| Black gram | Moe Nyo Gyi |  |  |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Magway

## (9) DOA's Seed Farm and DAR's Crop Research Center

In Magway Region, DOA has one Seed Farm and one State Farm, while DAR has two Crop Research Centers. The former produces improved seeds, and the latter is for adaptability test of crops in the CDZ.

DOA Seed Farm

| No. | Farm name | State/Region | Township | Total Acreage (ac) | $\qquad$ | Not <br> Cultivating Area (ac) | Crops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Pwint Phyu | Magway | Pwint Phyu | 135.47 | 124.77 | 10.70 | Monsoon rice |

Source. DOA, Nay Pyi Taw

DOA State Farm

| No. | State/Region | Township | Name of Farm | Acreage <br> (ac) | Cultivable <br> Acreage | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Crops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | Magway | Nga Phoe | Netyekan | 250.00 | 200.00 | 13.10 | Castor, Tea, Avocado, Hazel nut, Orange |

Source. DOA, Nay Pyi Taw
DAR has two Crop Research Centers in Konpontaung TS and Magway TS each. There is no Satellite Farm in Magway.

> Crop Research Center and Satellite Farm in Magway Region

|  | Crop Research Center | Satellite Farm |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Magway region | $2^{* * *}$ | - |

***: Konpontaung, Magway

### 5.3 Sagaing Region

## (1) Production of Major Crops

The following table shows the top five (5) crop including cereals, oil crops and pulses and beans in Sagaing Region. It should be noted that the "Sagaing" includes not only the CDZ area, but also other areas including irrigated area for paddy.

Major Crops in Sagaing Region

| Order | Crops | Planted Area (ac) | Harvested Area (ac) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Monson paddy | $1,780,848$ | $1,775,597$ |
| 2 | Sesame | 983,067 | 983,032 |
| 3 | Groundnut | 703,878 | 703,878 |
| 4 | Pigeon pea | 548,206 | 548,206 |
| 5 | Green gram | 543,423 | 543,423 |

Likewise, top-3 vegetables are shown in the table below (2011-12).
Vegetable Production in Sagaing Region

| Order | Crops | Planted Area (ac) | Harvested Area (ac) | Production (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Onion | 31,467 | 31,467 | $13,465,660$ |
| 2 | Potato | 7,546 | 7,546 | $3,242,008$ |
| 3 | Chili | 4,306 | 4,306 | $1,264,735$ |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Sagaing

## (2) Number of Farm Household by Land Holding Size

$91.1 \%$ of farmers hold less than 10 ac , which is the minimum scale to keep family life in the CDZ. Average land holding size is $4.66 \mathrm{ac} / \mathrm{HH}(=4,582,592 \mathrm{ac} / 983,657 \mathrm{HH})$.

Number of Farm Household by Land Holding Size in Sagaing Region

| Below 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | More Than 10 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710,837 | 184,515 | 88,305 | 983,657 |
| $72.3 \%$ | $18.8 \%$ | $9.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

[^0]
## (3) Cropping Pattern and Climate

The following diagram shows cropping pattern and climate condition (monthly rainfall and temperature) under rainfed condition in Sagaing Region. Planting starts in May when monsoon starts, and Dry Spell is observed in July. Data on climate condition is lifted from the Statistical Yearbook 2011 published by CSO.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Sagaing Region
(4) Land Use
$53.1 \%$ of cultivated area is dry field (Yar), and $37 \%$ is paddy field including irrigated area. Among three Regions in the CDZ, Sagaing is superior to agricultural production.

Land Use in Sagaing Region

| Total Cultivated <br> Area | Paddy Field <br> (Le) | Dry Land <br> (Yar) | Riverbank <br> (Kaing) | Towhead <br> (Kyun) | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4,582,592$ | $1,693,358$ | $2,435,184$ | 337,795 | 0 | 116,255 |
| $100.0 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $53.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Sagaing

## (5) Access to Water Resources

Irrigated area account for $20 \%$ of total cultivated area, and the figure is close to $21.7 \%$ in Mandalay. Paddy field account for $89.7 \%$ of irrigated area and the figure is also close to that of Mandalay (88\%). The figure indicates that paddy is considered as important crop in the irrigated area.

Irrigated Area in Sagaing Region

| Paddy Field (ac) | Dry Land (ac) | Total (ac) | Irrigated Area (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 821,153 | 94,339 | 915,492 | 20.0 |

## (6) Soil Condition

Topography in Sagaing Region varies from plain to hilly area, where soil condition also varies from acescence to alkalinity, and soil fertility is dominant in "good". This condition allows farmers to grow rice, pulses and beans, and vegetables.

Soil Condition in Sagaing Region

|  | Land form | Soil Depth* | Texture | Soil pH | Class | Suitable crops | Acreage <br> $(1,000$ ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Silty clay, <br> Clay | $6.0-8.0$ | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, <br> Cotton, Sesame, <br> Maize, Sugarcene | 113 |
| Meadow Carbonate Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Plain | Thick | Clay loam, <br> Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Fair | Chilli, Pulses, <br> Sorghum, Rice, Cotton | 687 |
| Yellow Brown Dry Forest <br> and Indaing (Xanthic <br> Ferralsol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, <br> Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 22 |
| Light Forest Soils <br> (Nitosol) | Hill \& Slope | Med | Sandy loam, <br> Clay loam | 6.5 | Fair | Forest, Orchard | 433 |
| Catena of Savanna Soils <br> on slopes \& Compact <br> Soils in Depretion <br> (Luvisol) | Undurating |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| upland plain | Thick | Sandy loam, <br> Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Good | Rice, Veg., Pulses, <br> Cotton, Sorgum, <br> Sugarcene | 1,733 |  |
| Compact Soils (Vertisol) | Plain | Thick | Clay | $7.5-8.5$ | Fair | Rice, Veg., Pulses, <br> Cotton, Sorgum, <br> Sugarcene | 925 |
| Turfy Primitive Soils <br> (Lithosol) | Hill \& Slope | Milly | Med | Loam, Clay |  |  | Pasture |

Source: "The Development Study on Suitable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Programme in the Central Dry Zone of the Union of Myanmar: 2006-2010"

## (7) Farming Technology

$20 \%$ of cultivated area is irrigated area where paddy is dominant but pulses and beans, cotton and other crops are also planted. Tube-well irrigation for mostly fruit tree is also observed in some area in dry land. Watermelon, cucumber and tomato are grown under irrigation condition with intensive farming. For land cultivation, animal use is the most common, but tractor is introduced in some area. According to Regional Office of DOA, water saving irrigation is not practiced in this area. In upland area including Ayadaw TS, drought tolerant crops such as Pigeon Pea is planted to cope against dry condition.

## (8) Crop Variety

Annual rainfall is different between South and North in Sagaing Region. In Southern area, annual rainfall is 700 to 800 mm , whereas in Northern part of the Region, annual rainfall is 1,000 to $1,300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Also, $20 \%$ of cultivated land is irrigated area in this Region. The following table shows top-3 crop variety grown in Sagaing Region.

Crop Variety in Sagaing Region

| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Shwe Bo San | Ayeraming | Sin akri-3 |
| Summer paddy | IR-744 | Shwe Thwe Yin | Sin New Yin |
| Pigeon pea | Monywa Shwe dinga | Kywe Chan Shwedinga | Nga San (red) |
| Maize | CP888 | Shwe Wah-3 |  |
| Sesame | Sinpadanar-3 | Yoe Sain | Shat Kalay |
| Groundnut | SP121 | Sinpadanar-11 | Magway-10 |
| Green Gram | Yezin-11 | Yezin-14 |  |
| Sorghum | Shweni | Waithar Li |  |
| Chickpea | Yezin-8 | Yezin-6 | Yezin-3 |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwekyar-3 | Yezin-1 | Sinshwekyar-2 |
| Soybean | Yezin-4 | Local variety |  |
| Black gram |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| Onion | Shwe Phalar |  |  |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Sagaing

## (9) DOA's Seed Farm and DAR's Satellite Farm

There are seven (7) Seed Farms under DOA in Sagaing Region, but no Satellite Farm exists. DAR has two Satellite Farms, but no Crop Research Center in this Region, where crop adaptabilities for Rice, Chick Pea and Pigeon Pea are tested and studied.

DOA Seed Farm

| No. | Farm name | State/Region | Township | Total Acreage <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Cultivating <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Not <br> Cultivating <br> Area (ac) | Crops |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Kaye Mon | Sagaing | Monywar | 306.00 | 248.00 | 58.00 | Pigeon pea, sesame sunflower |
| 2 | Chi Par | Sagaing | Shwebo | 82.00 | 72.00 | 10.00 | Monsoon rice, summar rice |
| 3 | Gway Kone | Sagaing | Khin Oo | 53.26 | 40.00 | 13.26 | Monsoon rice, summar rice |
| 4 | Kantbalu | Sagaing | Kantbalu | 400.00 | 334.00 | 66.00 | Maize, pigeon pea, green gram |
| 5 | Maye Mon | Sagaing | Kantbalu | $1,314.45$ | 800.00 | 514.45 | Maize, soybean, pulses |
| 6 | Wattoe 1 | Sagaing | Tantse | $1,862.00$ | $1,640.00$ | 222.00 | Maize, groundnut, pulses |
| 7 | Wattoe 2 | Sagaing | Tantse | $1,863.00$ | 600.00 | $1,263.00$ | Maize, groundnut, pulses |

DAR Satellite Farm

|  | Satellite Farms | Region/State | Mandate Crops |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Pangon | Sagaing | Rice, wheat, chickpea |
| 2 | Zaloke | Sagaing | Wheat, chickpea, pigeon pea, rice |

### 5.4 Nay Pyi Taw

## (1) Production of Major Crops

Nay Pyi Taw Council Area locates Southern part of the Study Area, and rich in rainfall with record of 1,000 to $1,200 \mathrm{~mm}$ per annum, quite different from typical dry area such as Nayung Oo. Irrigated area account for $28.3 \%$, the highest figure among four Regions surveyed. In recent year, land consolidation for mechanical farming is introduced in this area. Top-5 planted crops in 2011-2012 including cereals, pulses and beans, and oil crops, are shown in table below. Paddy is mainly grown in irrigated area in Kyaukse.

Major Crops in Sagaing Region

| Order | Crops | Planted Area (ac) | Harvested Area (ac) | Production (bakt) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Monsoon paddy | 163,206 | 168,109 | $13,962,863$ |
| 2 | Black gram | 67,778 | 67,767 | 130,221 |
| 3 | Green gram | 67,402 | 67,402 | $1,107,375$ |
| 4 | Sesame | 59,005 | 59,005 | 480,546 |
| 5 | Groundnut | 46,853 | 46,853 | $2,348,934$ |

Top-5 planted vegetables in 2011-2012 are also shown in table below.
Vegetable production in Saganig Region

| Order | Crops | Planted Area (ac) | Harvested Area (ac) | Production (viss) |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Chili | 5,055 | 5,055 | $1,109,271$ |
| 2 | Potato | 1,783 | 1,783 | $9,892,000$ |
| 3 | Onion | 1,491 | 1,491 | $4,520,340$ |

Source: DOA Regional Office, Sagaing

## (2) Number of Farm Household by Land Holding Size

$95.2 \%$ of farmers hold less than 10 acre, which is the minimum scale to keep family life in the CDZ. Average land holding size is $5.28 \mathrm{ac} / \mathrm{HH}(=277,400 \mathrm{ac} / 52,509 \mathrm{HH})$.

Number of Farm Household by Land Holding Size in Sagaing Region

| Below 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | More Than 10 ac | Total | Below 5 ac |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,426 | 9,578 | 2,165 | 340 | 52,509 |
| $77.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: DOA Nay Pyi Taw Council Area, Nay Pyi Taw

## (3) Cropping Pattern and Climate

The following diagram shows cropping pattern and climate condition (monthly rainfall and temperature) in Pyinmana, which is close to Ney Pyi Taw. The data shows that this area has more rainfall, and has different rainfall pattern from the Regions in CDZ. Data on climate condition is taken from the Statistical Yearbook 2011 published by CSO.



Cropping Pattern and Climate in Sagaing Region

## (4) Land Use

Different from other three Regions, paddy field is dominant in the area, which makes up $58 \%$ of cultivated land.

Land Use in Sagaing Region

| Total Cultivated <br> Area | Paddy Field <br> (Le) | Dry Land <br> (Yar) | Riverbank <br> (Kaing) | Towhead <br> (Kyun) | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 277,400 | 160,634 | 115,259 | 0 | 0 | 1,507 |
| $100.0 \%$ | $58.0 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |

[^1]
## (5) Access to Water Resources

Irrigate area makes up $28.3 \%$ of cultivated land, and the figure is the highest among four regions studied. Paddy field account for $88 \%$ of irrigated area, and paddy is considered as important crop in the irrigated area.

Irrigated Area in Sagaing Region

| Paddy Field (ac) | Dry Land (ac) | Total (ac) | Irrigated Area (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78,623 | 0 | 78,623 | 28.3 |

Source: DOA Council Area Office, Nay Pyi Taw

## (6) Farming Technology

In irrigated area, cultivator and tractor are introduced in addition to traditional animal force. Irrigation Department (ID) implements land consolidation project for mechanical farming in this area. According to DOA office in the Council Area, water saving irrigation is not introduced in this area.

## (7) Crop Variety

The following table shows top-3 crop variety grown in Ney Pyi Taw.
Crop Variety in Sagaing Region

| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Manawthuka | Sin Thu Kha | Pearl Thwe |
| Summer paddy | Shwe Thwe Yin | Manau Thuka |  |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga |  |  |
| Maize | CP-888 | 8 |  |
| Sesame | Sinyadanar-3 | Red sesame | $25 / 160$ |
| Groundnut | SP-121 | Sinpaddaythar-7 |  |
| Green Gram | Yezin-5 | Yezin-9 |  |
| Black gram | LBG-17 | Yezin-3 |  |
| Chickpea | ICCV-2 | Yezin-4 |  |
| Sunflower | Sinshwekyar-3 | Yezin hybrid-1 |  |
| Lablab bean | Shwe Yinmar | Shwe Kyun |  |

## (8) DOA's Seed Farm and DAR's Crop Research Center

Neither State Farm of DOA nor Crop Research Center of DAR exists in Nay Pyi Taw Council Area.

## CHAPTER 6 INDIVIDUAL FARM HOUSEHOLD SURVEY

### 6.1 General

Farm household survey was carried out in townships of Myingyan, Magway and Nyaung Oo for 240 farmers, 20 farmers each in the 12 villages. Contents of the questionnaire composed of basic information of each farmer, agricultural technologies, and others. Questionnaire was used for the conduct of the survey where farmers were interviewed by hired interviewers in the following villages shown below, which were selected in cooperation with DOA township offices. Out of 240 farmers, 70 farmers are the contact farmers.

Sample Villages of Individual Farm Household Survey

|  | Sample Villages |  |  |  | Sample <br> farmers | Contact <br> farmers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Name | Township | District | Region |  | 2 |
| 1 | Shwe Twin | Nyaung Oo | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 2 | Thant Sin Kyal | Nyaung Oo | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 3 | Taung Ba | Nyaung Oo | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 3 |
| 4 | Tett Ma | Nyaung Oo | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 5 | Ba lone | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 6 | Chay Say | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 9 |
| 7 | Nyaung Pin | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 5 |
| 8 | Zee Pin Tan | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 6 |
| 9 | Sai Kya | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 6 |
| 10 | Shar Pin Hla | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 7 |
| 11 | Kone Gyi | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 6 |
| 12 | Nyaung Kan | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 5 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | 240 | 70 |

### 6.2 Basic Information on Farmers

## (1) Family Members

The average family members of farm households are calculated at 5.15 persons, of which 2.51 persons are engaged in agricultural production. Most of the head of households are male-headed but three are female-headed households.

## (2) Farm Size and Cultivation Right

The averaged farm size of the 240 samples is estimated at 15.4 ac, comprising 14.4 ac of upland and 1.0 ac of paddy field, and $99 \%$ of them hold the cultivation right.

Farm Size and Cultivation Right

| Village | Ave. farm size (ac) | Upland(ac) | Paddy(ac) | Cultivation right (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shwe Twin | 9.2 | 6.6 | 2.6 | $85 \%$ |
| Thant Sin Kyal | 17.2 | 16.9 | 0.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Taung Ba | 16.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Tett Ma | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Ba lone | 16.8 | 10.5 | 6.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Chay Say | 19.6 | 19.6 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Nyaung Pan | 13.2 | 11.7 | 1.5 | $100 \%$ |
| Zee Pin Kan | 15.8 | 15.4 | 0.4 | $100 \%$ |
| Sai Kya | 18.9 | 18.3 | 0.6 | $100 \%$ |
| Shar Pin Hla | 15.3 | 15.0 | 0.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Kone Gyi | 13.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Nyaung Kan | 18.1 | 18.1 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Average | 15.4 | 14.4 | 1.0 | $99 \%$ |

## (3) Income Sources of Farmers

Some 94.2 \% of the sample farmers are dependent on agriculture, with many farmers having additional sources of income sources such as remittance, construction work and salary from the government.

Income Source of Farmers

| Agriculture | Farm <br> work | Transp- <br> ortation | Const. <br> work | Livestock | Teacher | Official | Retail | Middle- <br> eman | Carpe- <br> nter | Rem- <br> ittance | Others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $94.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

## (4) Annual Incomes

The averaged annual household income of the samples is estimated at Kyat 3.62 million per household, of which $88.4 \%$ is from agricultural production as shown below.

Average Annual Household Income

| Agriculture | Farm <br> work | Transp- <br> ortation | Const. <br> work | Livestock | Teacher | Official | Retail | Middle- <br> eman | Carpe- <br> nter | Rem- <br> ittance | Others |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $88.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

## (5) Livestock

Out of 240 respondents, 221 farms raise cattle/buffaloes, with six farmers also raising chicken in addition. The average number of cattle/buffaloes necessary for farm practices is 3.3 head per farm. A pair of cattle/buffaloes is used for ploughing, harrowing and inter-cultivation. At present, agricultural mechanization is not yet common among the sample farms.

## (6) Status of Self-sufficiency of Foods

The self-sufficiency of rice, beans and cooking oil are as shown below. However, considering that most of upland farmers do not produce paddy (cannot produce); the information about the self-sufficiency of rice is an open question. The reason for higher rate for cooking oil will be due to marketing oil crops such as sesame and groundnut at about $50 \%$ and keeping them at $50 \%$ for home consumption

Self-sufficiency of Basic Foods

| Months on <br> self-sufficiency | Rice | Pulses | Cooking oils |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 months | 1 | - | - |
| 6 months | 3 | 30 | 8 |
| 7 months |  | - | 1 |
| 8 months | - | 2 | - |
| 10 months | 1 | - |  |
| 12 months | 234 | 208 | 231 |

### 6.3 Information about Agricultural Technologies

## (1) Cropping Patterns

The representative cropping patterns in three villages are shown below. Farm production on upland starts r during the onset of the first rain on May as seen on the patterns. There is dry spell between the first rain and second one. If period of the dry spell becomes longer, crops with lower drought tolerance will wither and die eventually depending on rainfall condition. Therefore, farmers in CDZ have coped with the dry spell and scarce rainfall by introducing drought-tolerant pigeon pea as intercrop. The growing period of pigeon pea is about seven months.

| opping Patter (Shwe Twin Village, Nyaung U Township.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gор | Variety | May | June | July | August | Septenber | October | Novenber | Decenber | January | February | March | April |
| Monsoon rice |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goundnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Gram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cropping Pattem (Thant Sin Kyal Village, Nyaung U Township.)

| Gop | Variety | May | June | July | August | Septenber | October | Novenber | Decenber | January | February | March | April |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Goundnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Cropping Pattem (Taung Ba village) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gop | Variety | May | June | July | August | Septenber | October | Novenber | Decenber | January | February | March | April |
| Pigeonpea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geen Gram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cropping Patterns

## (2) Traditional Cultivation Methods

Intercropping is the most popular countermeasure against scarce rainfall and drought in CDZ. As seen in the table, various combinations of crops such as Green gram + Groundnut, Groundnut +Pigeon pea, Pigeon pea + Sesame, Pigeon pea + sorghum are practiced on farm, and pigeon pea with higher drought tolerance is included in most cases. Out of 240 samples, 161 farmers ( $67 \%$ ) are practicing intercropping for the purpose of "for more income". Intercropping is the countermeasure used to avoid risk of drought damage.

On the contrary, only $0.8 \%$ of farmers (2 households) practices mixed cropping such as Maize+ Pigeon pea + Groundnut etc, and only one farmer has to rely on cropping of Pigeon pea + sunflower. In lowland, paddy + chick pea is popular.

The rate of farms that practice rotational cropping is 59 farms ( $24.6 \%$ ) of the total samples. The reasons for the rotational cropping are 1) to maintain soil fertility, 2) to cope with small farm size and so on. There are two patterns for the rotational cropping of 2 -year rotation and 3 -year one. In the latter case, groundnut in the first year, green gram in the 2nd year and sesame in the 3rd year is introduced systematically.

The reason why farmers develop the traditional cultivation method is farmers' lessons learned from trial and error process beyond generations under the rugged environments in CDZ, in addition to DAR's efforts.

## (3) Renewal of Seeds

The results on the question on renewal of seeds of paddy, oil crops, pulses, fodder crops and vegetables are
as follows. It can be said that frequency of seed renewal for oil crops and pulses, main crops in CDZ, is very low due to higher rate for continuous use of seeds produced in their farms.

Frequency of Seed Renewal

| Frequency | Paddy | Oil crops | Pulses | Forage | Vegetables |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Never | 8 | 172 | 199 | 34 | 0 |
| Every year | 10 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| Every 2 years | 10 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| Every 3 years | 13 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

## (4) Constraints in the Agricultural Production

Many farmers raise issues about disease and insect damage along with issues on irrigation and rainfall conditions, implying that irrigation is the bottle neck of CDZ agriculture.

Constraints in the Agricultural Production

|  |  <br> insect | Expensive <br> fertilizer | Drought | Flood | Erratic <br> rainfall | Lack <br> of <br> money | Poor <br> soil | Lack <br> in <br> cattle | Water <br> shortage | Soil <br> erosion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | 190 | 77 | 162 | 6 | 166 | 49 | 22 | 4 | 78 | 3 |

For most farmers, farm inputs including fertilizers and pesticides are expensive. Following table shows current market price of fertilizers and pesticides for reference.

Market Price of Fertilizer and Pesticides

| Summar Paddy |  |  | Monsoon Paddy |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Inpout | Unit | Price | Inpout | Unit | Price |
| Urea | 50 kg | $22,887 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Urea | 50 kg | $21,558 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| TSP | 50 kg | $18,289 \mathrm{kyat}$ | TSP | 50 kg | $17,728 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| Compound Fertilizer | 50 kg | $17,094 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Compound Fertilizer | 50 kg | $17,940 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| Compost | 1 cart | $2,408 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Compost | 1 cart | $2,735 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| Insecticide | 1L or 1 kg | $10,448 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Insecticide | 1 L or 1 kg | $9,871 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| Fungicide | 1L or 1 kg | $9,160 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Fungicide | 1 L or 1 kg | $15,000 \mathrm{kyat}$ |
| Herbicide | 1L or 1 kg | $10,814 \mathrm{kyat}$ | Herbicide | 1 L or 1 kg | $11,049 \mathrm{kyat}$ |

Srouce: MarketveySurvey (August 2013)

## (5) Damages and Countermeasures

Damages by type are shown below in which insect and pest damages are much more than that of drought. Farmers have coped with insect/pest damages by spraying agricultural chemicals but they have no countermeasures against scarce rainfall and drought.

Causes of Damage on Agricultural Production

|  | Pest \& insect | Drought | Flood | Erratic <br> rainfall | Soil erosion | Others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | 191 | 169 | 6 | 96 | 2 | 0 |

## (6) Irrigation Facilities

Among 12 villages surveyed only 40 farmers (16.7\%) in two villages are equipped with systematic irrigation facilities implemented by ID. Water source for irrigation is river water in most cases. The total irrigated are of the beneficiaries are 191.0 ac, consisting of 116.5 ac for paddy and 74.5 ac for upland, which imply that objective crop for irrigation is paddy.

Irrigation Facilities

| Irrigated farmers | 40 farms $(16.7 \%)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Irrigated area | Upland $: 74.5 \mathrm{ac}$ | Paddy $: 116.5 \mathrm{ac}$ |

## (7) Management of irrigation Facilities and Water Charge

The beneficiaries of irrigation project by ID pay imposed water charge for the operation and maintenance of irrigation facilities by ID. The water charge is varied depending on village from Kyat 1,950 to Kyat 3,000/ac/year. No mutual work to manage irrigation facilities by beneficiaries is done.

There are some farmers who own tube-well dug by their investment, for which any legal permission from the governmental offices is not necessary according to farmers. Tube-well is managed individually, and there are some tube-well owners who serve irrigation water to other farmers around his tube-well by collecting water fee.

## (8) Utilization of Irrigation facilities

In the two villages where irrigation water is available by ID projects, irrigation service cannot be used year round but only for rainy season according to beneficial farmers.

## (9) Irrigation Methods

Gravity irrigation is dominantly done through main, feeder and tail-end canals in the irrigated villages. Inundated irrigation in paddy field, and cash crops by furrow irrigation method is used. Tube-wells on river side farms irrigate sesame, groundnut and others by furrow irrigation. Similarly tube-wells on rainfed upland irrigate onion, tomato, watermelon fruits such as citrus and mango, though their acreages are limited.

## (10) Irrigated Crops (top five crops)

Paddy farming is given priority in irrigation areas, followed by groundnut and onion. However, 10 villages among 12 sample villages have no irrigation water by ID or WRUD. Most of villages in CDZ rely on rainfall.

## (11) Water-saving Technologies and Countermeasures for Soil Erosion

There was no reply to the questions on the water-saving technologies, which will imply that securing water is given top priority than water-saving because they are in the places where irrigation water itself is difficult to get.

As to countermeasures against soil erosion caused by rainfall in sandy soil areas, four farmers apply contour farming and three farmers do compost.

## (12) Crop Varieties

The top varieties by crops predominantly used in their farms are shown below.
Crop Varieties

| Crops | Varieties (Top 3) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Monsoon paddy | Manawthuka | Pearl Thwe |  |
| Summer paddy | Manawthuka | Pearl Thwe |  |
| Pigeon pea | Thetgyi | Thetyin | Shwedinga |
| Maize | CP |  |  |


| Sesame | Khanshi | Black | Red |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Groundnut | Tontarni | Kyaung Kone | Vietnam |
| Chick pea | B2 |  |  |
| Green gram | Zotkalay | Yezin-6 |  |
| Cotton | Ngwe Chi-6 |  |  |
| Onion | Kyaw Min | Shwephalar |  |

As to reasons for selecting their preferable varieties, farmers give top priority on "marketability" except for paddy and cotton, followed by "taste", "maturity", "drought tolerance", which will imply that farmers choose suitable varieties in conformity to fluctuating annual rainfall along with taking into consideration market demand and evaluation. As gleamed from their replies, it is estimated that "yield" is slightly considered in their prioritization.

Determinants of Variety Selection

| Crop | Variety | Eating quality | Marketability | Early maturity | Drought tolerant | Disease tolerant | High yield | No choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | Manawthuka | 38 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Pearl Thwe | 19 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pigeon pea | Thetgyi | 68 | 80 | 40 | 45 | 1 | - | 2 |
|  | Thetyin | 92 | 132 | 78 | 103 | 12 | 1 | 6 |
| Maize | CP | 13 | 30 | 15 | 18 | - | 1 | - |
| Sesame | Kanshi | 86 | 105 | 73 | 22 | 1 | - | 2 |
|  | Black | 49 | 64 | 39 | 37 | 2 | - | - |
|  | Red | 71 | 83 | 63 | 32 | 6 | - | - |
| Groundnut | Tontarni | 152 | 171 | 134 | 133 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Vietnam | 9 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 3 | - |
|  | Kyaung Kone | 76 | 93 | 40 | 75 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Green gram | Zotkalay | 56 | 64 | 53 | 12 | - | 1 | 3 |
|  | Yezin-6 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Sorghum | Kalar | 40 | 48 | 19 | 30 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| Chick pea | B2 | 24 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 12 | - | - |
| Onion | Kyaw Min Shwephalar | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Tobacco | Burma | 5 | 18 | 14 | 14 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Cotton | Ngwechi-6 | - | 10 | 16 | 19 | 5 | - | - |

## (13) Sown Area by Crop

The sown area of sample 240 farms can be ranked in the table below. Sesame, Groundnut, Pigeon pea is the top three crops. Although the ranking of crops in the sown area is varied depending on conditions of irrigation, soil, land slope, etc. of villager's determination factor is availability of irrigation, which is considered to be a factor for decision of crops affecting farm income and crop yields too.

Sown Area by Crop

|  | Paddy | Pigeon pea | Sesame | Maize | Groundnut | Green gram | Sorghum | Chick pea | Onion | Potato | Tomato | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sown area (ac) | 106.3 | 464.6 | $1,425.0$ | 180.5 | $1,206.0$ | 391.0 | 182.0 | 70.0 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 106.0 |
| Ranking | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 | - | - | 9 | 8 |

## (14) Harvested Area

The ratio of harvested area to the sown area of 12 sample villages in 2011/2012 is estimated at 83.3 \%. Most of farmers reply to be highest at $100 \%$ but lowest at $10 \%$ in small farms. This fact implies that harvesting is affecting seriously depending on farm conditions of slope and soil characteristics etc.

## (15) Procurement of Seeds

Results of responses shows that farmers used own seeds for succeeding production in the farms. Sorghum seeds are sourced out from DOA (former MAS) and chick pea are bought in the local markets resulting in lower crop yields in the end.

## Procurement of Seeds

| Procured <br> from | Paddy | Pigeon Pea | Sesame | Maize | Groundnu <br> t | Green <br> Gram | Sorghum | Chick Pea | Onion | Potato | Tomato | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Own seeds | 27 | 160 | 195 | 72 | 179 | 107 | 68 | 23 | 20 | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| MAS/DOA | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 20 |
| Local marke | 2 | 19 | 49 | 1 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 40 | 184 | 247 | 74 | 237 | 120 | 73 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 |

## (16) Frequency of Spraying Agri. chemicals

The frequency of spraying agricultural chemicals is 2 to 7 times per season for paddy, 1 to 8 times for oil crops and 1 to 7 times for pulses. Vegetable farmers use agricultural chemicals with more frequency than farmers cultivating cereal, oil crop and pulses.

## (17) Farming Tools

Farming tools popularly used are centering on draft cattle/buffaloes. Among 240 sample farmers, 163 farmers (67.9\%) use draft cattle. Power tiller with two wheels and 4 -wheel tractor is not yet common in their villages and CDZ. On the other hand, sprayer for controlling insects and diseases is commonly used.

## (18) Post-harvest Facilities

Some 22 farmers reply that there are warehouse that they can use, but not available for drying purposes probably because of sun-drying is popularly used in their own areas. Rice milling is mentioned as available by four farmers, and oil extracting facility is mentioned as available by 16 farmers.

### 6.4 Other Information about Farm Management

## (1) Required Acreage of Farmland and Income to Feed Family

When farmers were asked as to what they consider as minimum farm size and minimum income to feed a family using one pair of cattle/buffaloes, most of farmers indicated that 8 to 10 acres are necessary to feed a family. . Farmer's reply on rough standards on this question is shown below. Minimum requirement will be varied depending on yields of crops on upland or paddy field, irrigated or not, etc.

Required Acreage of Farmland and Income to Feed Family

| Minimum farm size per farm (ac) | Largest 32ac, smallest 1.0ac, ave. 12.0 ac |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum income (Kyat/farm household/month) | Largest 600,000, smallest 30,000, ave.142,438 |

## (2) Crop Yield

The table below shows the crop yields of the sample farmers for three years. It is observed that there is a gap between the data of Statistical Yearbook of CSO in groundnut and chick pea when compared with the results of the survey.

## Crop Yields of Sample Farmers

| Yields of 12 villages(Basket, viss/ac) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Monsoon paddy | Summaer Paddy | Pigeon pea | Maize | Sesame | Gorundnut | Green gram | Sorghum | Chick pea | Onion | Potato | Tobacco | Cotton |
| 2010 | - | 30.0 | 9.6 | 56.7 | 3.3 | 19.6 | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.8 | - | - | 332.2 | - |
| 2011 | - | - | 11.6 | 62.7 | 32.1 | 18.1 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 3.8 | - | - | 332.2 | - |
| 2012 | - | - | 9.0 | 61.0 | 3.6 | 22.7 | 3.9 | 3.6 | 3.8 | - | - | 332.2 | - |



Source: Statistical Yearbook, CSO

## (3) Production Cost of Crops

The data on production cost of representative crops based on the result of the sample farmers are as follows. Excluding chick pea and groundnut labor costs necessary for weeding, harvesting and transportation etc account for higher share compared to about $10 \%$ of agricultural chemicals. Urea is the main fertilizer they use though is considered that there are farmers who do not use expensive fertilizers. It would be better compare the cost of production prepared by DOA township offices.

Production Cost of Crops (Kyat/ac)

| Crop | Urea | TSP | Other ferti. | Agri-chemical | Labor | Seeds | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 23,476 | 12,700 | 0 | 4,084 | 74,983 | 15,780 | 131,023 |
|  | 17.9 | 9.7 | 0 | 3.1 | 57.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 12,828 | 3,440 | 0 | 6,142 | 21,760 | 4,716 | 48,887 |
|  | 26.0 | 7.0 | 0 | 12.6 | 44.5 | 9.6 | 100.0 |
| Maize | 7,007 | 0 | 3,887 | 2,585 | 23,401 | 4,518 | 41,397 |
|  | 16.9 | 0 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 56.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| Sesame | 8,457 | 5,088 | 1,047 | 4,517 | 31,383 | 7,606 | 58,098 |
|  | 14.6 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 54.0 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| Groundnut | 11,455 | 7,651 | 194 | 7,859 | 49,551 | 75,015 | 151,726 |
|  | 7.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 32.7 | 49.4 | 100.0 |
| Green gram | 5,871 | 8,737 | 1,000 | 6,042 | 28,490 | 7,898 | 58,038 |
|  | 10.1 | 15.1 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 49.1 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
| Sorghum | 9,643 | 1,235 | 955 | 2,083 | 20,825 | 10,819 | 45,560 |
|  | 21.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 45.7 | 23.7 | 100.0 |
| Chick pea | 3,282 | 0 | 0 | 2,900 | 13,769 | 19,951 | 39,902 |
|  | 8.2 | 0 | 0 | 7.3 | 34.5 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Onion | 10,667 | 10,333 | 0 | 4,667 | 26,000 | 23,000 | 74,667 |
|  | 14.3 | 13.8 | 0 | 6.3 | 34.8 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
| Tobacco | 20,000 | 15,500 | 0 | 5,838 | 97,485 | 33,324 | 172,147 |
|  | 11.6 | 1 | 0 | 3.4 | 56.6 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
| Cotton | 2,625 | 3,100 | 0 | 16,350 | 127,190 | 5,350 | 154,615 |
|  | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0 | 10.6 | 82.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 |

## (4) Net Profit of Crops

Net profit of crops is calculated based on formula [Gross income - Production Cost $=$ Net Profit]. However these figures are their estimation not based on [input $x$ unit price and yield $x$ unit price]. When estimating, reply of " $100 \%$ " was excluded but " $0 \%$ " was taken into account. Though township offices of DOA also prepare the data on crop profitability every year detail is not shown as well.

Net Profit of Crops

| Paddy | Pigeon <br> pea | Maize | Sesame | Groundnut | Green <br> gram | Sorghum | Chick <br> pea | Onion | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $56 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

## (5) Purpose of Cultivation

Cultivation purpose is considerably different depending on crops. For example, $97 \%$ of pigeon pea is for exporting due to less demand in domestic market. On the contrary, oil crops such as groundnut and sesame are for home consumption at about $60 \%$, and home consumption of sorghum mainly for cattle is also high at $80 \%$. Onion is cash crop mainly for selling.

Purpose of Cultivation

| Crop | For sale (\%) | For home use (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Paddy | 31 | 69 |
| Pigeon pea | 97 | 3 |
| Maize | 67 | 33 |
| Sesame | 60 | 40 |
| Groundnut | 61 | 39 |
| Green gram | 73 | 27 |
| Sorghum | 20 | 80 |
| Chick pea | 86 | 14 |
| Onion | 98 | 2 |
| Tobacco | 100 | 0 |

## (6) Farm Gate Price of Crops

Following table shows farm gate price of major crops. Farm gate price differs due to maturity, size, form, colors, moisture content, and oil content for example.

Farm Gate Price of Crops

|  | Paddy | Pigeon pea | Sesame | Groundnut | Green gram |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shwe Twin | 4,875 | 12,500 | 1,900 | 8,375 | 20,455 |
| That Sin Kyal | - | 12,167 | 1,800 | 7,444 | 14,500 |
| Taung Ba | - | 16,125 | 2,315 | 16,129 | 17,692 |
| Tett Ma | - | 19,467 | 2,780 | 27,853 | 25,500 |
| Ba Lone | 50,000 | 16,773 | 2,675 | 8,744 | 20,000 |
| Chay Say | - | 16,300 | 2,000 | 10,583 | 28,429 |
| Nyaung Pin | - | 14,563 | 19,500 | 12,036 | - |
| Zee Pin Tan | - | 12,638 | 21,042 | 7,464 | - |
| Sai Kya | 16,500 | 18,250 | 2,451 | 16,900 | 18,200 |
| Shar Pin Hla | 8,000 | 17,433 | 30,813 | 5,375 | 21,167 |
| Kone Gyi | - | 16,406 | 31,974 | 6,266 | - |
| Nyaung Kan | - | 17,147 | 34,200 | 6,550 | 25,714 |

## (7) Percentage of Marketing

Most farmers market their products except keeping some portion for their home consumption. The rate of marketing of their products differs crop by crop. Pigeon Pea has no demand in domestic market and most farmers sell all to local brokers for export purpose. On the contrary, sesame and groundnut is essential food for most Burmese as vegetable oil, and many sesame and groundnut growers market them only $50 \%$, and keep rests for home consumption.

## (8) Determination Factor of Selling Prices

There were 158 replies about farm-gate prices but out of them, 150 replies "always accept the prices that middlemen present". Only two farmers reply "negotiation based on current market prices, which will imply that buyer's market is predominant in the area. The reasons why farmers' weak standpoint are farmers' strong incentive to gain cash immediately after harvesting, in addition to socio-economic relation with buyers including personal debt, limited alternative for selling, and lack of bargaining power due to limited volume.

## (9) Markets

Major crops mainly for selling are distributed to local markets. There exist middlemen, traders in each township to deal with crop marketing, and farmer themselves transport crops to their warehouses. However, in some areas, where crops produce such as onion are plentiful, traders and middlemen go to villages to
purchase and transport crops.

## (10) Buyers

Local buyers are the one who buy every kind of crops in the area. However, buyers of Yangon come to purchase Pigeon pea, green gram, sesame, groundnut, maize, chick pea, tobacco etc.

## (11) Contract Farming

The sample survey, showed only two farmers under contract farming. One is cultivating onion under the condition of provision of materials and purchasing on current price.

## (12) Annual Income

On the question on income, expenditure and debt, the farmers find it difficult to answer so that the responses gathered varied considerably depending on villages, and therefore the consideration on the reliability of the data. The table shows estimated annual income of a farmer.

Annual Income

| Village | Township | Estimated income <br> (Kyat/year/household) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ba Lone | Myingyan | $3,937,500$ |
| Chay Say | Myingyan | $1,801,500$ |
| Nyaung Pin | Myingyan | $1,175,000$ |
| Zee Pin Kan | Myingyan | $3,710,000$ |
| Sai Ka | Magway | $9,726,000$ |

## (13) Agricultural Loans

Out of 120 farmers of six villages, 92 farmers (77\%) use agricultural loans, of which $79 \%$ avail of institutional loans from the government, followed by 13 farmers availing from NGO's. Interest rates are $0.75 \%$ per month for institutional loan, $1.0 \%$ for NGO's as compared to 4 to $10 \%$ for private loan. The interest rate of the private loan is different depending on availability of mortgage. However, most of farmers have not enough saving to procure seeds and fertilizers for next season, resulting in borrowing money. The farmers consider private loan with higher interest rate because it is easier to borrow from the private lenders than that of the institutional lenders.. Middlemen/traders, Poeza in local name, are mainly providing private loans. However, if crop production is not good borrowers will shoulder more debt, which is considered to be a factor causing poverty in CDZ where rainfed farming is predominant.

Type of Agricultural Loan

| Type | Reply | Interest (\%/month) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Institutional | 79 | 0.75 |
| Private | 3 | $4 \sim 8$ |
| Borrowing from relative | 6 | $2.5 \sim 10$ |
| NGO's loan | 13 | 1.0 |
| Others | 3 | 2.5 |

## (14) Promising Crops

There were 57 replies on this question. Most consider paddy as promising to cultivate followed by onion. But both crops require irrigation water to cultivate. The reasons for cropping are "more income", "requirement of market", and "securing foods".

## Promising Crops

| Crops | Reply | Crop | Reply |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Paddy | 15 | Honey melon | 1 |
| Onion | 13 | Rubber | 1 |
| Sugarcane | 6 | Tobacco | 1 |
| Cotton | 5 | Potato | 1 |
| Tomato | 4 | Maize | 1 |
| Chick pea | 3 | Wheat | 1 |
| Groundnut | 3 | Chili | 1 |
| Watermelon | 2 |  |  |

## (15) Technologies that Farmers Want to Introduce

Regarding irrigation, farmers want to introduce drip irrigation, construction of canals, agricultural mechanization, introduction of quality seeds, technologies to increase yields and technologies to prevent insect and pest damage.

## (16) Agricultural Supports from the Government that Farmer Want

As to governmental support on agriculture, farmers want irrigation project, agricultural mechanization, agricultural loans, distribution of quality seeds, distribution of cheap fertilizers, marketing (stable market), soil improvement and electrification etc. In particular, demand for irrigation and farm mechanization is higher.

## CHAPTER 7 MARKETING SURVEY

### 7.1 Selection of Target Crops

Target crops selection was conducted aiming at carrying out supply chain survey. For this purpose, selection criteria, included 1) grown in the Central Dry Zone, 2) carrying comparative advantage in the domestic market, 3) carrying comparative advantage in the international market, 4) being priority crops of the government, and 5) target experiment crops of DAR. .

## (1) Grown in the Central Dry Zone

Crops that are grown in the three Regions in the Central Dry Zone, in Sagaing, Mandalay and Magway, are regarded as basic indicator for crop selection. The following table shows crops grown in the CDZ, which includes cereals, oil crops, beans and pulses, kitchen crops, vegetables and industrial crops.

Crop Production in the CDZ $(1,000 t)$

| $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{t})$ | $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{t})$ | $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> $(1,000 \mathrm{t})$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 1 | Rice | 7,338 | 10 | Green Gram | 703 | 19 | Sugarcane | 5,219 |
| 2 | Wheat | 138 | 11 | Garden Pea | 43 | 20 | Cotton | 497 |
| 3 | Maize (Cob) | 8,478 | 12 | Pigeon Pea | 707 | 21 | Mulberry | 2 |
| 4 | Sorghum | 198 | 13 | Chick Pea | 419 | 22 | Coffee | 1 |
| 5 | Groundnut | 885 | 14 | Black Gram | 143 | 23 | Tea | 7 |
| 6 | Sesame | 749 | 15 | Chili | 54 | 24 | Jute | 0 |
| 7 | Sunflower | 467 | 16 | Onion | 1,004 | 25 | Rubber | 0 |
| 8 | Oil Palm | 0 | 17 | Garlic | 51 | 26 | Coconut | 44,127 |
| 9 | Nigar Seed | 35 | 18 | Potato | 117 |  |  |  |

Source: Myanmar Agricultural Statistics (1997/98-2009/10), 2011, CSO

## (2) Carrying Comparative Advantage in the Domestic Market

Comparative Advantage is assessed based on production share in the domestic market in Myanmar. The following table shows crops which carry comparative advantage, dominant production share, in the domestic market, and among cereals, Wheat, Maize and Sorghum are identified. Likewise, groundnut, Sesame and Sunflower have dominant production share among oil crops, whereas Green Gram, Garden Pea, Pigeon Pea, and Chick Pea have comparative advantage among pulses and beans. Sugarcane, Cotton and Mulberry are also identified as crop which carries comparative advantage in the domestic market.

Production Share of Crops in the CDZ (1,000t)

| $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> Share | $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> Share | $\#$ | Crop Name | Production <br> Share |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Rice | $20 \%$ | 10 | Green Gram | $53 \%$ | 19 | Sugarcane | $55 \%$ |
| 2 | Wheat | $79 \%$ | 11 | Garden Pea | $76 \%$ | 20 | Cotton | $96 \%$ |
| 3 | Maize (Cob) | $62 \%$ | 12 | Pigeon Pea | $93 \%$ | 21 | Mulberry | $56 \%$ |
| 4 | Sorghum | $95 \%$ | 13 | Chick Pea | $97 \%$ | 22 | Coffee | $12 \%$ |
| 5 | Groundnut | $68 \%$ | 14 | Black Gram | $8 \%$ | 23 | Tea | $8 \%$ |
| 6 | Sesame | $89 \%$ | 15 | Chili | $46 \%$ | 24 | Jute | $0 \%$ |
| 7 | Sunflower | $67 \%$ | 16 | Onion | $93 \%$ | 25 | Rubber | $0 \%$ |
| 8 | Oil Palm | $0 \%$ | 17 | Garlic | $25 \%$ | 26 | Coconut | $9 \%$ |
| 9 | Nigar Seed | $43 \%$ | 18 | Potato | $20 \%$ |  |  |  |

Source: Myanmar Agricultural Statistics (1997/98-2009/10), 2011, CSO

## (3) Carrying Comparative Advantage in the International Market

The world ranks of production value of Burmese Crops are analyzed based on the FAO statistics. Sesame Seed production is the highest in the world, whereas Pigeon Pea and Chick Pea are $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ respectively among countries in the grove. World ranking of production value of Groundnut is $6^{\text {th }}$, Garlic and Chili are $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$, and Rice is $8^{\text {th }}$ in FAO's 2011 statistics.

The World Rank of Burmese Crops (Production Value)

| Rank | Commodity | Rank | Commodity | Rank | Commodity |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 1 | Sugar crops, nes | 7 | Garlic | 15 | Cereals, nes |
| 1 | Sesame seed | 7 | Vegetables fresh nes | 15 | Peas, dry |
| 2 | Pigeon peas | 7 | Buffalo milk, whole, <br> fresh | 15 | Cottonseed |
| 3 | Mustard seed | 8 | Indigenous Geese Meat | 16 | Sunflower seed |
| 3 | Beans, dry | 8 | Chillies and peppers, dry | 16 | Millet |
| 4 | Cow peas, dry | 8 | Rice, paddy | 17 | Tea |
| 5 | Chick peas | 8 | Other bird eggs,in shell | 18 | Onions, dry |
| 5 | Arecanuts | 8 | Jute | 20 | Soybeans |
| 5 | Other Bastfibres | 10 | Indigenous Buffalo Meat | 20 | Sugar cane |
| 5 | Indigenous Duck Meat | 10 | Plantains | 21 | Fibre Crops Nes |
| 6 | Groundnuts, with shell | 11 | Natural rubber | 21 | Indigenous Chicken Meat |
| 6 | Fruit Fresh Nes | 12 | Coconuts | 23 | Indigenous Goat Meat |
| 6 | Indigenous bird meat, nes | 14 | Cotton lint | 25 | Indigenous Pig meat |

Source : FAOSTAT (2011)
The following tables shows the world ranks of production volume of Burmese crops, quoted from the same statistics above. Sesame Oil and Sesame Seed mark the world number one record, while Pigeon pea production marks the second. Likewise, production volume of Cowpea is $4^{\text {th }}$, Chick Pea is $5^{\text {th }}$, and Groundnut with shell is $6^{\text {th }}$.

The World Rank of Burmese Crops (Production Volume)

| Rank | Commodity | Rank | Commodity | Rank | Commodity |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | Sugar crops, nes | 5 | Hides Wet Salted <br> Buffaloes | 8 | Rice, paddy |
| 1 | Sesame oil | 5 | Biological Duck Meat | 9 | Goose and Guinea Fowl Meat |
| 1 | Sesame seed | 5 | Chick peas | 9 | Cheese of Skimmed Cow <br> Milk |
| 2 | Beans, dry | 5 | Groundnut oil | 10 | Indigenous Buffalo Meat |
| 2 | Pigeon peas | 5 | Duck meat | 10 | Buffalo Hide |
| 3 | Sugar crop, nes | 5 | Indigenous Duck Meat | 11 | Cotton Seed Oil |
| 3 | Indigenous bird meat, <br> nes | 6 | Groundnuts, with shell | 14 | Cotton Lint |
| 4 | Mustard seed | 6 | Fruit Fresh Nes | 15 | Cotton Seed |
| 4 | Cow peas, dry | 7 | Vegetables fresh nes | 17 | Sunflower Oil |
| 4 | Bird meat, nes | 7 | Garlic | 21 | Sugarcane |
| 5 | Other Bastfibres | 8 | Chillies and peppers, dry |  |  |
| 5 | Arecanuts | 8 | Indigenous Geese Meat |  |  |

Source: FAOSTAT (2011)

## (4) Being Priority Crops of the Government

The priority crops are identified in the Myanmar Agriculture in Brief 2012 (August 2012), and are as follows;

- Cereals: Rive and Maize
- Oil Crops: Groundnut, Sesame and Sunflower
- Pulses and Beans: Black Gram, Green Gram and Pigeon Pea
- Industrial Crops: Sugarcane and Cotton


## (5) Being Target Experiment Crops of DAR

Minutes of Meeting of The Detailed Planning Survey on The Japanese Technical Cooperation for The Project for Development of Water Saving Agriculture Technology in The Central Dry Zone in The Government of The republic of The Union of Myanmar, signed between DAP, DOA, DAR and JICA on February 29, 2012, stated that the crops and varieties for the Project will be identified based on the results of baseline study, while both side confirmed that the mandate crops of the three DAR Experiment Farms (Nyaung Oo, Magway, and Myingyan) should be considered as priority. The mandate crops of the three DAR Experiment Farms are as follows.

The Mandate Crops of the Three DAR Experiment Farms

| $\#$ | Experimental <br> Farm | Crop Name |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Myingyan | Pigeon Pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Chick Pea |
| 2 | Nyaung Oo | Pigeon Pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Green Gram |
| 3 | Magway | Pigeon Pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Cowpea, Green Gram |

Source: DAR

## (6) Target Crops of the Supply Chain Study

Based on the above criteria, candidate of target crops for the supply chain study are selected by the JICA Survey Team. Then, the target crops are finally determined based on the discussion with DOA and DAR, and are shown in the table below. It should be noted that Mango, Jujube and Tamarind are nominated as potential fruits, in addition to watermelon at the meeting with DOA and DAR.

Target Crops of the Supply Chain Study

| $\#$ | Category | Target Crops for Supply Chain Study |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Cereals | Maize |
| 2 | Oilseed Crops | Groundnut, Sesame, Sunflower |
| 3 | Pulses | Green Gram, Pigeon Pea, Chick Pea |
| 4 | Industrial Crop | Cotton |
| 5 | Fruits \& Vegetables | Onion, Watermelon |

### 7.2 Characteristics of the Target Crops

### 7.2.1 Maize

## (1) Production and Consumption

Maize has two planting season in Myanmar. Main production area of monsoon season is Shan, Bago, Magway and Nay Pyi Taw, where Maize is harvested during September and November, whereas major production areas of winter maize are Mandalay, Ayeyarwaddy, Meiktila and Kyingyan, where Maize is harvested during February

and March. It is said that quality of winter maize is better since it has less moisture than that of monsoon maize.

According to Agricultural Statistics ${ }^{1}$, the main production are of Maize is differ from type of Maize, including for feed (seed) and for human consumption (cob)2. The statistics shows that the main production area of the Seed is Shan State, which produces $46 \%$ of national production ( 1.23 million t ) in 2009-10. While the Cob is mainly produced in the CDZ including Magway, Sagaing and Mandalay, where 60\% of total
 Cob in the country ( 14.3 billion cobs) are produced.

## (2) Price Trend

According to FAOSTAT, price of Maize had been below 50,000 kyat/t level with slightly increasing tendency till year 2000. However, the price started increasing remarkably from 2001 to 2005, and then skyrockets by 1.8 times from 2006 to 2008.

During this period, price of crude oil in the international market had increased from 30US\$/ barrel in 2003 to 60US\$/barrel after 2 years. In 2008, the crude oil price
 increased to 140US\$/barrel, which in turn result in increase of fertilizers, pesticides and agricultural machineries. The price increase of crude oil was affected to the price of Maize since the Maize can be an alternative source of energy.

## (3) Trade of Maize

Maize is export goods in Myanmar. Maize for feed (Seed Maize) was exported 10,939t in 2009-10, which equivalent to $11,524,000 \mathrm{kya}$. Among them, $52 \%$ of Seed was exported to Malaysia, followed by Singapore (25\%), Hong Kong (11\%), China and Bangladesh (5\% each).

According to Ministry of Commerce, around 100 to 200
 thousand ton of Maize had been exported to Malaysia and Bangladesh till 2008, but major destination of Maize in recent years is China through border trade at Muse ${ }^{3}$. Interview survey to Myanmar Pulses, Beans \& Sesame Seeds Merchants Association also serve to add weight to the tendency, that out of 1.5 million ton of total Mize production, 65\% goes to China and rests goes to domestic market including Myanmar C.P. Livestock, PT JAPFA COMFEED INDONESIA Tbk, and local feed millers.

[^2]
### 7.2.2 Groundnut

## (1) Production and Consumption

Groundnut has a unique characteristic that, after flowering, it goes under ground to form shell. Therefore, Gourmet requires modest hardness of soil with modest water-holding capacity to grow. In this regard, sandy soil is good for Groundnut production.

Main production area of Groundnut is Sagaing, Magway and
Mandalay, and these three Regions produces $66 \%$ of national production in 2009-2010. Production of Groundnut has increased constantly, and becomes double during the recent 10years.

Among vegetable oils, Groundnut oil is the most popular among Burmese, and per capita consumption of the Groundnut Oil is 3.2 kg per annum. The rate is higher than that of Sunflower Oil ( $2.8 \mathrm{~kg} /$ capita/year) and Sesame Oil ( $2.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{capita} /$ year).

## (2) Price Trend

According to the wholesale market in Yangon, among vegetable oil including Groundnut Oil, Sesame Oil, and Palm Oil, wholesale price of Groundnut oil is the highest,
 followed by Sesame Oil and Palm Oil. The same tendency can be observed at Mandalay wholesale market.


A diagram in the right side shows wholesale price of Groundnut in different areas in the CDZ, and indicated that there are no remarkable difference between Yangon, Mandalay, Myingyan (Mandalay) and Monywa (Sagaing), except Pakokku where price of Groundnut oil is one-half of other areas. In the long run, the price dropped once in 2009 due to rapid increase in the last two years. Except this period, the price of Groundnut has grown slowly.


Wholesale Price of Groundnut Oil

## (3) Trade of Groundnut

Groundnut is an export good for Myanmar, and the amount of export is around 1,000 t per year except year 1997 and 2000. Groundnut was exported 11,000t in 1997 and 5,000t in 2000.

According to Brokers, Millers and Traders Association in Mandalay, Monywa and Myingyan, the major export destination of Groundnut is China, and a few amounts go to
 Thailand.

### 7.2.3 Sesame

## (1) Production and Consumption

Myanmar is the largest Sesame Seed and Sesame Oil in the World, and around $90 \%$ of them are produced in the CDZ. Particularly, Magway is the largest production area where produced is about 335,000 t in 2009-10, which equivalent to $40 \%$ of the national total production.

Among the CDZ, Aunglan Township in Magway Region is famous for producing excellent quality of Black Sesame. It is said that the
 origin of the variety is Japan, and major export destination of the variety is also Japan. On the other hand, main production area of White Sesame, which has high demand in China, is Kyaukse, and Kyaukse is price maker in the country.

## (2) Price Trend

Price of Sesame differs according to its colors, and Black is the most expensive, followed by White and Brown. Growing period of Black seed is the longest (85-90 days), whereas that of Brown is the shortest (75 days). Sesame production provides farmers high income, but it is high risk crop in the CDZ where rainfall is not stable year.. Therefore, Sesame is called as "Gamble Crop" among farmers. Among three varieties, farmers tend to prefer Brown since it can mitigate risk of drought.

Price of Sesame drops during July and August, since Monsoon Sesame in Magway and Myingyan are harvested and marketed during this period. On the other hand, Sesame price increases from September due to increase of demand from abroad including China. Price leader of Sesame in the world is Japan, whereas domestic price are influenced by Production of Kyaukse for White Sesame and Magway for Black Sesame.



## (3) Trade of Sesame

According to Ministry of Commerce, World's trading amount of Sesame is estimated at 800,000 ton per annum, and share of Myanmar's products account for $15 \%$ of the World trade. Annual export amount of Sesame is around 120,000 ton, and major destination is China ${ }^{4}$. According to FAO Statistics, export amount of Sesame fall apart year by year, due to unstable rainfall in the CDZ.

In recent years, Japanese customers are strict in chemical residuals, and strict inspection before export is required. When chemical residuals, which contained more than safe standard, are found in Sesame, it is rejected by Japanese customers and returned back to Yangon port. Inspection companies such as SGS and the government laboratory including PTAC, Post-Harvest Technology Application Center under the Ministry of Commerce, cannot inspect all items for the chemical residuals, hence samples are sent to Thailand for further analysis.

According to the inspection company, SGS, Sesame produced in Myanmar meet international standard including oil content (48\%), but mixture of color is one of problems. Till 1997, rate of mixture was around $10 \%$, but it increases to $30 \%$ in recent years. China does not care about color mixture since they use Sesame for oil extraction, but other countries including Indonesia tend to prefer single color.

### 7.2.4 Sunflower

## (1) Production and Consumption

Sunflower production increases year by year. Main production areas are Ayeyarwady, Magway, Sagaing, and Mandalay, where $86 \%$ of National total production are produced. Production share of the CDZ is $61 \%$ in 2009-10. Sunflower is mostly combusted for Sunflower Oil and farmers are basically extracting the oil at home, while some portion of the production is used for snacks with funny packages which are sold supermarket, small storehouse. .


[^3]
## (2) Price Trend

Price of Sunflower tend to increase during the winter season (January and February), and lower during the monsoon season (July to October). In Myingyan (Mandalay), Sunflower under mix cropping with Onion is marketed from May to June, and demand from Oil Millers increases from September. When we compare wholesale price of Sunflower at Mandalay, Monywa, and Sungban, the price at Mandalay is the highest followed by Monywa, and the Aungban (Shan State).


## (3) Trade of Sunflower

Sunflower is import goods in Myanmar. Sunflower Seed produced in Myanmar has small grain and suitable for oil extraction, while imported Sunflower Seed from China has large grain and good for snacks. Sunflower Seed production plays important role in Myanmar since it can be an import substitutes of palm oil. Per capita consumption of Groundnut Oil and Sesame Oil increases 1.5 times and 1.4 times respectively during the past 20 years, whereas that of Sunflower Oil increases 3.5 times in the same period. Consumers prefer Sunflower Oil than Palm Oil which is imported to fill shortage of vegetable oil supply.




### 7.2.5 Green Gram

## (1) Production and Consumption

Green Gram, or Green Mung Bean, is called as Pedesein among Burmese, and quite popular since it is material of bean sprouts. Small size is suitable for soup and salad has high demand in the country. In 2009-10, 8,000,000t of Green Gram was produced from 2,500,000 acre of farmland. Main production area of Green Gram is the three Regions in the CDZ, in addition to Bago, Yangon, and


## Ayayarwaddy.

Quality of Green Gram is better in the Lower Myanmar than the Upper Myanmar, where Green Gram is harvested during monsoon season, which result in higher moisture content. Therefore, introduction of mechanical dryer is recommended to decrease moisture contents, which is main cause of turning moldy, rot and getting worms.

## (2) Price Trend

Price of Green Gram decreases from January to June, and increases from July to December. The reason is that harvest season of Green Gram in surrounding area of Mandalay starts January till June, whereas export to China and other foreign countries starts from July till December. In 2011, the highest price is 1,419 US\$/t (FOB-Yangon) in April and the lowest price is 749 US\$/t (FOB-Yangon) in December.

## (3) Trade of Green Gram

Share of Green Gram in whole pulses and beans export in Myanmar is around 25\%. Export volume of Green Gram in 2009-2010 is 326,000 ton, and $50 \%$ of the amount is exported to India, followed by Singapore (17\%), Malaysia (8\%), China (8\%), and rests include Indonesia, the Philippines, Thai, UAE, Vietnam, Japan and Taiwan. Green Gram is used for bean sprouts and spring rain (bean-starch vermicelli) in Japan.

Export Volume of Green Gram

| $\#$ | YEAR | EXPORT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $2007 / 2008$ | AMOUNT (TON) | VALUE (MILLION USD) |
| 2 | $2008 / 2009$ | 329,088 | 198,763 |
| 3 | $2009 / 2010$ | 316,867 | 172,777 |

Source: Ministry of Commerce
Export volume of Green Gram increased in 2009. According to the Crop Exchange Center in Monywa, the reason was that China and India compete in procurement and China won due to high demand for medicinal use which can provide higher prices. Since then, India increases domestic supply and price of Green Gram in Indian market decreases; hence, India is no longer attractive market for Myanmar exporters.

### 7.2.6 Pigeon Pea

## (1) Production and Consumption

Pigeon Pea or Toorwhole is called as Pesingon in Myanmar, and its production volume is second in the world, followed by India. According to the Ministry of Commerce, Pigeon Pea production was 460,000 ton in 2009-2010 from 1,500,000 acre of farmland in Myanmar. Out of these volumes, $93 \%$ was produced from the three


Regions in the CDZ. Production area of Pigeon Pea is shown in the diagram below.
Pigeon Pea has no demand in the domestic market. Most of production goes to India, where Pigeon Pea has high demand and is used for lentil soup. To use Pigeon Pea for lentil soup, processing procedure includes pealing and splitting. It is said that Pigeon Pea is difficult to cook when it is over mature.

## (2) Price Trend

Pigeon Pea has different colors, and Red, which is called as Lemon in Myanmar, commands the highest price. , White has the lower price in the domestic market. In Mandalay area, White Pigeon Pea is harvested from January to March, and price of the beans decreases during the period. While the price increases from May to December due to Export season to India.

On the other hand, harvest season of Red Pigeon Pea is from January to April, and export season to India is from June to December, which results in supply shortage in domestic market. Quality of Green Gram in Myanmar is higher than that in India and other
 countries, resulting in higher price of Myanmar products in the international market ${ }^{5}$.

Price Leader of Pigeon Pea is India, since it produces 3 million tons in a year, and considered as World's highest producer of Pigeon Pea. Therefore, market trend of Pigeon Pea depend on Indian's production, which makes it difficult for Myanmar farmers and traders to develop production and trade strategy of the beans.

## (3) Trade of Pigeon Pea

Export volume of Pigeon Pea is 300,000 ton per annum in Myanmar, which account for $15 \%$ of the World's trading volume of the beans. Around $80 \%$ of the export volume is marketed to India, followed by Singapore (10\%), Malaysia (4\%), UAE and Middle East.

Around three to four years ago, East African countries including Kenya, Tanzania and Mozambique started planting Pigeon Pea under Contract Farming with Indian companies, and break into the world market from 2 to 3 years ago. At the beginning, production volume of these countries were 50,000 ton per annum, but the countries produced 200,000t/year in 2012, which became competitors against Myanmar which exported 300,000 t/year in the same year. Export price of Pigeon Pea in the East African countries is below 50 to 100US $\$ / t$, while that of Myanmar is over $50-100$ US $\$ / t$. However, it is said that quality of Pigeon Pea, particularly Red (=Lemon) is higher than the competitors, and Myanmar has comparative advantage in producing the variety.

[^4]Export Volume of Pigeon Pea

| $\#$ | YEAR | EXPORT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2007 / 2008$ | AMOUNT (TON) | VALUE (MILLION USD) |
| 2 | $2008 / 2009$ | 269,900 | 137,260 |
| 3 | $2009 / 2010$ | 472,200 | 240,370 |

Source: Ministry of Commerce

### 7.2.7 Chick Pea

## (1) Production and Consumption

According to the Agricultural Statistics, total production volume of Chick Pea is 434,000 ton in 2009-2010, $96 \%$ of which is produced in the CDZ. Major production areas of Chick pea in the CDZ are Myingyan, Mandalay, Pakokku and Magway. Chick Pea has several varieties, but major varieties produced in the surrounding area of Mandalay are White-Large, Split Chick Pea, and Yellow-Large. Chick Pea has high domestic demand after processing, including splitting and milling, and is fried, use as
 soup, and other local food consumption.

## (2) Price Trend

Price of Chick Pea depends on demand and supply situation, and higher in August and lower in March to April, and December in the domestic market. Price of the White-Large becomes highest in August, while lowest in December. The reason why the price is lowest in December is that the demands from other countries are less in this season, and market transaction aiming at export reaches a peak in August.

On the other hand, price of Split Chick Pea is lowest in March due to oversupply in domestic market, whereas the price goes highest in August because of less volume in the market. In March, price of Yellow-Large becomes lowest, while the price reaches its peak in June since wholesalers and traders tend to keep their stock in the storage until export season of August.

## (3) Trade of Chick Pea

Myanmar is exporting country of Chick Pea. Export volume of Chick Pea in 2009/2010 is 46,173 tons (135,607,000kyat), with $56 \%$ of the volume going to India. The rests were exported to Pakistan (20\%), Singapore (10\%), Malaysia (4\%), and UAE (3\%).

### 7.2.8 Cotton

## (1) Production and Consumption

Production of Cotton in 2009-2010 is 514,000 with $97 \%$ of the volume produced from the CDZ. Among the three Regions in the CDZ, Magway produces the highest volume, followed by Mandalay and Sagaing. In the agricultural statistics, Cotton production is divided into three types, including Long Staple, Wagyi (Short Staple), Mahlaing 5/6. Production volume of Long Staple is the highest and accounting for $93 \%$ of total production, followed by Mahlaing 5/6 (4\%) and Wagyi (3\%).


Myingyan is famous for the production of Cotton, and produces well-ventilated, smooth and soft cotton wears such as Lungi and shirt. The following table shows production volume of Long Staple Cotton in Myingyan Township. Planted area became double and production volume increased by 5.7 times from 2003/2004 to 2009/2010, but both figures decreased by a half in recent years.


According to DICD in Myingyan, the farmers stopped planting Cotton because it is no longer profitable, and instead changed to Sugarcane, for example, to earn more income. The government forced the planting of Cotton from 2007 to 2011, but after relaxing economy policy, farmers can now select crops based on their own interest. Unit price of Cotton is $1,000 \mathrm{kyat} /$ viss until 2012, and profitability in terms of B/C was below 1.7, which is lower than other crops including sugarcane. However, due to growing tendency of garment sector in the domestic market, demand for Cotton increases which resulted in the increases in procurement price of the raw material. The DICD forecasts that production of Cotton will increases in 2013.

## (2) Trade of Cotton

According to DICD Myingyan, until 2001, Cotton had been exported by the Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation (MOAI) by getting export license, but from 2001 to 2012, only the Ministry of Commerce could export Cotton. After 2012, Cotton was exported by private firms due to privatization policy of trade. Cotton is exported to Thailand (50\%), India (30\%), Malaysia (10\%) and China (10\%). Cotton is exported to Thailand, India, and Malaysia by ship from Yangon port, whereas export to China is by land transportation via Muse, at a China border town.

### 7.2.9 Onion

## (1) Production and Consumption

Production volume of Onion is 1,092 thousand tons in 2009-2010, and $92 \%$ of the total volume is produced in the CDZ. Among the three Regions in the CDZ, Magway produces the highest volume followed by Mandalay and Sagaing. Onion has two cropping season, during the monsoon and winter season, with $97 \%$ of production concentrated during the winter season. In monsoon season, only a production of Onion is limited in Magway and Sagaing.




## (2) Price Trend

Wholesale price of Onion start to decrease from March due to new inflow of Winter Onion in domestic market, and the lowest price record in April and May. During this period, Winter Onion from Monywa, Myitthar, Myingyan, Myinmu and Shwebo floods the domestic market. However, Winter Onion is usually not for export due to its higher moisture content.

Price of Onion increases from May, and reach its peak during December and January. According to DAP’s price information; wholesale price of Onion is higher in the huge consumption areas including Yangon and Mandalay, whereas the price is lower in production areas including Myingyan and Pakokku.

## (3) Trade of Onion

According to Myanmar Agricultural Statistics, export volume of Onion is 2,660t and export value is 3,176 thousand kyat in 2009-2010. Major export destinations are Malaysia (90\%), followed by Vietnam (5\%) and Indonesia (4\%).

On the other hand, according to Myanmar Onion, Garlic and Culinary Production and Exporting Association, export of Onion to China usually pass through China-Myanmar border, where illegal trades
 were also observed. However, it is said that after elimination of export tax on agricultural products, illegal trade at the border has been reduced.

Onion trade with India is a sort of barter trade, as when Myanmar is hit by drought, India exports Onion to Myanmar, and when India hit by flood and heavy rains, Myanmar export to India like in year 2013.

### 7.2.10 Watermelon

## (1) Production and Consumption

Around $90 \%$ of Watermelon produced in Myanmar is Taiwan variety, which has green stripe, with round and large shape. Watermelon is mainly produced at the river side of Chindwin and Irrawaddy Rivers, including Monywa, Magway, Myingyan and Mandalay. From these production areas, Watermelon is marketed to nearby consumption areas including local and regional markets, while a part of products is exported to China through border town


Muse.
Demands of Watermelon at the domestic markets drop during winter season, but there are few demands from hotels and restaurants in the large cities. In China, demand for Watermelon reaches its peak during New Year ( $1^{\text {st }}$ week of February). The price is at its highest in the China market. There is a high demand on Seedless variety in China. Production period of the China-targeted seedless variety in the Upper Myanmar including Mandalay is from August to October, where harvest season is from October to December.

In the Lower Myanmar including surrounding areas of Yangon, Watermelon is planted during November to January and harvested in January and February. Major varieties in the Lower Myanmar are OPV164, OPV168, and OPV855, whereas that in the Upper Myanmar is Seedless variety.

## (2) Trade of Watermelon

Watermelon is exported to China and Thailand through the border trade. Export volume of Watermelon in 2009-2010 is 1,533 thousand tons, but the volume increase to 28,900 thousand tons in 2010/2011 (until August). From the surrounding area of Mandalay, around $90 \%$ of production volume goes to China via Muse by land transportation. Watermelon exported from Myanmar goes to not only Yunnan, but also to the border towns of Mongol and Russia.

### 7.3 Supply Chain of the Selected Crops

To conduct supply chain of the selected crops, starting point of the chain was identified based on the Agricultural Statistics. Major production area among three Regions is determined as a starting point of the market chain. The result is shown in table below. The crops that have no significant difference among three Regions, including Groundnut and Chick Pea for example, will be surveyed in all Regions. Watermelon is surveyed in Mandalay and Sagaing based on recommendation from DOA. Maize supply chain is surveyed not only at Crop Exchange Centers (CECs) but also at CP Group which has established the largest supply chain of livestock products including feed production.

Major Survey Area of the Selected Crops

| Region | Target Crops |
| :---: | :--- |
| Mandalay | Groundnut, Chick Pea, Pigeon Pea, Onion, Cotton, Watermelon |
| Sagaing | Maize, Sunflower, Groundnut, Chick Pea, Pigeon Pea, Watermelon |
| Magway | Sesame, Groundnut, Green Gram, Pigeon Pea |

### 7.3.1 Maize

Major supply chain of Maize is summarized in the diagram below. Farmers bring Maize to nearby Township by tractor or vehicle to sell product to Collector or Broker in the TS. Then, the collector or Broker brings the Maize to the Crop Exchange Center in the Regional center or nearby large city to transact with Millers, Processors, Traders, and Exporters. According to the Farm Household Survey conducted by the JICA Study Team, Maize producers sell around $70 \%$ of total production volume, and remaining volume are kept for their own-consumption.


Crop Exchange Center (CEC) is established in major cities in whole countries. In the CDZ, the CECs exist in Monywa (Sagaing), Myingyan (Mandalay), Mandalay, and Magway. The Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association or The Chamber of Commerce operate the CEC to provide transaction place of oil seeds, pulses and beans, and cereals such as Maize to members including brokers, millers, traders, and exporters. Usually, transaction at the CEC is conducted based on a sample, and when negotiation is successfully concluded, middleman in production place (or seller) send product to buyers. According to CEC Mandalay, 70 to $80 \%$ of transacted amount of Maize is exported to China and Thailand, and the rests is marketed domestically including feed producers.

The largest buyer of Maize in domestic market is CP Group. The CP Group covers whole supply chain of livestock products including feed production, livestock breeding, processing of livestock products, and retailing at own shops, and vertically integrates the supply chain for effective management of their agri-business. The CP Group also produces Maize Seed under contract farming with farmers.

Under contract farming, farmers can select seeds from several varieties that the firm supplies, and sell back $100 \%$ of product to the same firm. The CP Group has production base in Shan, Bago, Magway and Nay Pyi Taw. After harvest, farmers bring Maize Seed to nearby processing plant within 3 to 4 hours, so that the firm can ensure good germination rate. Therefore, the firm constructed the seed processing plant at production site, and established strict check system at the time of receiving product from contracted farmers. The processing plant is operated at Thante and Aythaya, and production volume of each plant is 4,60t and $1,000 \mathrm{t}$ in 2013. Major export destinations of the Maize Seed are China, Vietnam, Cambodia and Laos. The seed is exported through exporters.

On the other hand, the Group also produces concentrated feed from Maize and other materials, and established feed processing plant at Yangon (production capacity is 540t/day), Mandalay (200t/day), Taunggyi (200t/day) and Kyaukme (150t/day). The feed is for poultry, swain, goat and cow, and is developed by mixing Maize with rice bran, fish flour and soy flour. The firm sells the concentrated feed at Northern States, Mandalay, and Shan.

### 7.3.2 Oil Crops

## (1) Sesame

Oil crop is quite important agricultural product for Burmese after Rice. According to the farm household survey (JICA 2013), Sesame farmers sell $60 \%$ of total product to local traders, and keep $40 \%$ for their home consumption. After sundry and removing seed cover at their field, farmers bring Sesame to nearby Township and sell to Collector, or the Collector come to village to collect the harvested Sesame. Then, Collectors bring the Sesame to Crop Exchange Center for further transaction and negotiation with Brokers, Millers, and Exporters. Usually, handling fee of Collector and Broker is $1 \%$ of selling price. For example, if
farm gate price of Sesame is $29,700 \mathrm{kyat} /$ basket, wholesale price was $30,000 \mathrm{lyat} /$ basket.


Supply Chain of Sesame

As of June 2013, Sesame Oil produced by local processor is sold at local market at price of $43,000 \mathrm{kyat} / \mathrm{viss}$. Also, Oil Cake, a by-product of Sesame Oil, is transacted at the CEC, in addition to selling to local feed processors. Wholesale price of Sesame Seed at CEC is around 35,000 kyat/basket in June 2013, and marketed to Traders and Exporters from Mandalay and Yangon. FOB price (June 2013) of White Sesame is 2,200US\$/t and Black Sesame is 2,300US\$/t, according to Ministry of Commerce.

Sesame is usually exported as raw seed, and oil is extracted by the importing country. However, Korean companies conduct primary process in Myanmar and import Sesame as roasted powder. Korean Government charges import tax on raw sesame, but the tax is exempted if the import goods are processed. The following diagram shows the procedure of the primary processing of roasted sesame powder.


Basically, supply chain of Groundnut is almost the same as Sesame. However, according to CEC in Yangon, Groundnut is transacted whole year round, while Sesame’s transaction period is seasonal. Groundnut from the CDZ is traded during April and September.

Farmers harvest Groundnut with branch and leaves, and dry at their backyard after removing branch and leaves. According to the farm household survey (JICA 2013), farmers keep $40 \%$ of harvested volume and sell $60 \%$ of product to local traders. Usually, farmers bring their product by their own animal cart or vehicle, and sell to Local Collector. Then, Collectors or Brokers bring sample to the CEC and negotiate with middleman from outside of TS.

There are many local oil millers of Groundnut at TS level and Region level. For example, Triple Nine Great Integrity Trading Co., Ltd. is local miller in Myingyan which produce Groundnut Oil using raw material procured from CEC Myingyan. The firm sells their product at Mandalay and Yangon through their own commercial channel.

## (3) Sunflower

According to CEC Monywa, transaction of Sunflower at the CEC is quite few, and most farmers produce Sunflower for their home consumption and extract oil at their home. If there is a transaction at the CEC, all products come from the CDZ, including Ayardaw and Butalin in Sagaing Region (December). Only in rare case that Sunflower comes from Kalay in Sagaing Region in August.

It is said that Sunflower from the CDZ is good for oil production. Most of farmers extract oil using the traditional way, so that bad smell in oil is detected which reduces the quality of the oil. However, Sunflower Oil is more popular among local people than Palm Oil due to health reason.

### 7.3.3 Pulses and Beans

Basically, supply chain of pulses and beans is almost same with that of oil crops. After keeping some amount of product for home consumption, farmers sell their produce to local traders at nearby Township. Thereon, local traders including collectors and brokers bring sample to the CEC and sell to millers and traders.

At present, pulses and beans need to be processed (cleaned, pealed, sorted, and split) when exported to India, Singapore, Malaysia and Pakistan. However, buyers from China do not require the processing procedure. Therefore, most processing plants are established at Yangon. The following diagram shows typical supply chain of pulses and beans.


Supply Chain of Pulses and Beans

## (1) Green Gram

Main production area of Green Gram in the CDZ is Magway at least till 2010. However, according to CEC Magway, farmers in Magway tend to give up Green Gram production due to price decrease in China market. Green Gram has been profitable crops for farmers, and is quite popular to farmers since it can provide cash within short period. However, as far as the profitability concerned, it is no longer priority for farmers if the
decreasing trend in the China market continues.
According to the farm household survey (JICA 2013), farmers in the CDZ market 70\% of product and keep remaining for own-consumption. Farmers dry Green Gram at their backyard after harvest, and wait until cover of beans split. The farmers sell the beans at nearby TS and transaction to broader market is basically conducted at the CEC. At Yangon CEC, transaction of Green Gram is held whole year round and the inflow from the CDZ are usually between May to November. However, it is said that some traders do not use the CEC and buy directly from farmers or local traders to reduce transaction cost.

## (2) Pigeon Pea

There is no demand for Pigeon Pea in Myanmar and supply chain of the beans extends mainly abroad, especially to India. According to the farm household survey (JICA 2013), farmers in the CDZ market 97\% of product. . At the CEC, transaction is made by local sellers and buyers from Yangon for export purposes.

According to New Golden Gate (1991) Co., Ltd., a private processing and trading company located in Yangon, Red and Small variety of Pigeon Pea inflows from Mandalay, Monywa and Magway during September to November, whereas Yellow and Large variety inflows from Shan State. $90 \%$ of Pigeon Pea is exported to India. The firm also indicated that export to India reduced by 30 to $50 \%$ in 2012 due to good harvest of Green Gram in India. Transaction becomes difficult and considered a gamble the same as when the East African countries enter into the market two years ago.

## (3) Chick Pea

Farm household survey of JICA Study Team indicated that Chick Pea producers sell $86 \%$ of their production, and the rate is higher than that of Green Gram. Since Chick Pea has high demand in domestic market, processing is conducted not only in Yangon, but also in local areas. There are around 20 processing factories in Monywa in Sagaing Region. Thanlar Mon, a private firm of Chick Pea processing, sells processed Chick Pea to Yangon and Mandalay after drying, pealing, sorting, splitting and packing. The factory procures raw material through transaction at the CEC Monywa, and bring their products to buyers' storage by their own truck.

On the other hand, New Golden Gate (1991) Co., Ltd. in Yangon procures Chick Pea from CEC in Yangon and Mandalay and export to India (80\%), in addition to Pakistan and Bangladesh.

### 7.3.4 Cotton

Supply chain survey of cotton started from Myingyan, which is known as production place of good garment. According to the Department of Industrial Crop Development (DICD)-Myingyan, there is one (1) state ginning factory owned by the Ministry of Industry, and four (4) private ginning factories in Myingyan Township. The private factories undertake contract farming with farmers. Farmers sell their product to collectors in TS and collectors bring Cotton to the ginning factories. In case of the state factory, the Government truck goes around the villages to collect product from farmers. Since Cotton production in Myingyan is minimal in 2012, the state owned ginning factory cannot operate, though expected to operate again from October or November since Cotton production in 2013 is expected to increase.

Cotton produced in the ginning factories are pressed and packed in cotton bale ( $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ), which has $100 \mathrm{viss} / \mathrm{bale}$ weight or $1 \mathrm{t} / 6 \mathrm{bale}$. The cotton bale goes to 11 spinning factories owned by the Ministry of Industry (to Myittha factory from Myingayn), or to Meiktila factory owned by the Military. Part of the product is sold to Traders from Yangon and Mandalay, who export the raw cotton to China, Thailand, India,
and Malaysia.
There are many small scale garment industries in Myingyan, but most of them procure textile thread and cotton cloth from nearby market. Also, there are many home-made Lungi producers in Pakokku in Magway. They plant Cotton in their backyard and spin thread by themselves. The cottage industry provides cash earning opportunity to villagers in the area.

It is said that CMP (Cutting, Making and Packing) business was introduced to garment sector in Myanmar from around 1994. The system is a sort of consignment manufacturing, and garment factory in Myanmar import raw material from abroad and produce final product to sell the counterparty. The supply chain is concluded at the downstream industries, and no connection with the upstream industries. Main stream of supply chain of Cotton is as follows.


### 7.3.5 Onion

In the CDZ, Onion is produced in the river bank areas of Chindwin and Irrawaddy, including Magway, Myingyan, Pakokku, and Nyaung Oo, and is marketed to other Regions by traders in production areas, traders from other Regions and Exporters. Around 70\% of Onion in the CDZ is marketed to Yangon, and rests goes to local market and Regional market in the Upper Myanmar. In Mandalay, Onion is imported from Myingayn and Monywa, in addition to Myittha and Meiktila. Supply chain of Onion is shown in the following diagram.


## Onion Supply Chain

### 7.3.6 Watermelon

Watermelon is produced in river bank area which is characterized as silt loam areas applying fallow irrigation method. Production area of Watermelon in Myanmar includes Monywa, Magway, Myingyan, and Mandalay, mostly river bank area of Chindwin and Irrawaddy River. Farmers sell their product to collectors in nearby TS, or sell at the roadside by themselves. Watermelon is also transacted at wholesale market in
each Region and transported to Mandalay and Yangon in addition to local markets. As of May 2013, retail price at roadside or TS market is 60 to $80 \mathrm{kyat} / \mathrm{kg}$, while wholesale price at Regional market is $70 \sim 100$ kyat/kg, and wholesale price at Yangon and Mandalay is at $250 \sim 320 \mathrm{kyat} / \mathrm{kg}$.

From Mandalay, Watermelon is transported to Muse and exported to China. From Monywa, land transportation takes around 20 hours in some cases. Market transaction at Muse market reaches peak at 8:00am and wholesale price at the border is $300 \mathrm{kyat} / \mathrm{kg}$ in 2013 winter. Supply chain of Watermelon is shown in the diagram below.


### 7.4 Market Needs of the Target Crops

Information on determinant factors of transaction volume and prices are discussed in this section. This information was basically obtained at the CECs in each Region and major City, operated by Broker, Miller and Traders Association, or Chamber of Commerce and Industries. The former is an organization that consisted of local traders including brokers and millers, and Traders and Exporters from large city including Yangon and Mandalay.

The CECs that the JICA Study Team visited are Yangon, Mandalay, Monywa (Sagaing), Myingyan (Mandalay), and Magway. Also the Team visited Post-Harvest Technology Application Center (PTAC) under Ministry of Commerce, SGS (private inspection company for agricultural commodities), and processing factories of oil crops and pulses and beans, to obtain practical information on current crop transaction.

After obtaining the information on determinants of trading volume and prices, the Study Team visited DAR on July 6, 2013 to discuss and identify actions to be taken to the market needs.

### 7.4.1 Maize

Maize is used for animal feed and human consumption in Myanmar. According to traders and processors at the CECs, major determinants of price and trading volume of Maize are size, color, moisture content, and protein content. The following table shows market requirement on the determinant factors and ideal actions to be taken.

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | - Bigger is better | - Determinants of size are 1) Genetic factor, and 2) farming practice. <br> 1) Yezin Hybrid-6, $-10,-11$ and CP888 are larger variety. <br> 2) Proper irrigation, fertilizer management, weed management, spacing ( 2.5 feet ) is required. |
| Color | - Dark yellow color is better (Myingyan). <br> - Major buyer of maize is Myanmar C.P. Livestock Co., Ltd, which prefers bright grain. | - Orange color contains much Carotene (vitamin A) <br> - Determinant of color is genetic factor. Yezin Hybrid-6, -10, -11 have Orange color |
| Moisture Content | - Lower moisture content is better. Maize from Shan has higher moisture content (17-18\%) than that from Myingyan (14.5\%). High moisture content is weak in fungus, heavy to carry, and rotten during transportation. Mechanical dryer is used in Shan, while sundry is practiced in the CDZ. <br> - For export quality in Yangon, moisture content should be less than $14 \%$. | - Post harvest management is important. Mechanical Dryer, Corn Sheller, Harvester, Storage to harvest and dry within short period, before rain occurs.. <br> - High moisture causes Aflatoxin, Fungus, etc. |
| Protein Content | - Higher protein contents better. | - Determinants of protein content are 1) Genetic factor, and 2) proper farming practice. <br> - Fertilizer management is important. Nitrogen increases protein content a little. |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.2 Groundnut

Main usages of Groundnut are edible oil, and other food stuff including snacks and salad. According to traders and processors at the CECs, major determinants of price and trading volume of Groundnut are size, color, variety, moisture content, and oil content. The following table shows market requirement on the determinant factors and ideal actions to be taken.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Groundnut]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | variety is red and longer ball shape. For oil extraction, Spanish variety is better. <br> - Two varieties; 3 months variety and 6 months variety | - Spanish type, SP121 for example, has White and Pink colors. Farmer prefers Spanish type since it is traditional variety, drought tolerant, and higher oil content (48-50\%). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | - Groundnut from Magway is bad quality (higher rate of broken beans) because good one is exported. | - Sandy soil is good for producing Groundnut since it has to go into the soil after flowering. |
| Moisture Content | - 12 to $13 \%$ of moisture content is good quality. If moisture content is high, color changes to yellow. | - 8 to $9 \%$ of moisture content is better for storage (max 6 months). <br> - To keep longer period, cold storage with $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is required. <br> - High moisture causes Aflatoxin. <br> - Moisture content affect germination rate |
| Oil Content | - Monsoon groundnut has the highest price because it has high oil contents. More than $42 \%$ of oil content is good product. <br> - For summer groundnut, more than $36 \%$ of oil content is good. | - Main determinant of oil content is genetic factor. <br> - Spanish variety has $48-50 \%$ of oil content, while Japanese variety has $42-44 \%$ of oil. <br> - Keeping in storage without temperature control decreases 4-6\% of oil content |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.3 Sesame

Sesame in Myanmar is traded worldwide.. Therefore, quality requirement to Myanmar's Sesame is quite high. Major determinants of price and trading volume of Sesame are size, color, moisture content, oil content, acidity content, and chemical residuals. The following table shows market requirement on the determinant factors and ideal actions to be taken.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Sesame]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | Brown, Red, White, and Yellow. In Yangon CEC, 50\% is Scientific (for Japan, China, Taiwan), 30\% is White (for China), and $15 \%$ is Red. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | - "Aunglam Sesame" (Magway) is the best due to weather condition, soil, good farming practice. <br> - Magway's sesame is good since it is drought tolerant variety. But Kyaukse’s sesame is better since they use Korean variety which is similar to African seed (color is Pearl White) | - Magway is the main production area of Sesame. |
| Moisture <br> Content | - Moisture content: less than $12 \%$ is better (Myingyan). Higher moisture content has bad smell, and change color to white. Package (plastic bag) also should be dried. <br> - less than 8\% (Yangon) | - 5-6\% of moisture content is good for storage (max 2 years under $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ condition) <br> - High moisture content after harvesting causes fungus and insect damages |
| Oil Content | - For oil contents, more than $40 \%$ is good, and price difference by oil contents is 1000 to $2000 \mathrm{~K} /$ basket (=15viss). <br> - For oil extraction, more than $48 \%$ of oil content is better. (Magway) <br> - Oil content: Black (50-58\%), White (52-53\%), Red (48-50\%). Irrigated Sesame has less oil, while rainfed Sesame has rich oil. | - Determinants of oil content are genetic factor and suitable water supply. 44 to $46 \%$ of oil content is the best. <br> 1) Black: Sinyadana-2, $-3 \rightarrow 42-43 \%$ <br> 2) White: Sinyadana-4, -8. -10 $\rightarrow 44-46 \%$ <br> 3) Red: Nani (local variety) $\rightarrow 41-42 \%$ <br> - Irrigation increases size of Sesame seeds. Sprinkler irrigation is better than flow type irrigation. Adequate rain, not too much rain, is also important factor. |
| Acidity | - Japan's inspection is severe. Japanese inspector use litmus paper to check acidity. Acidity content should be $0.5-2.0 \%$. After rain, acidity should be checked since rain sometime contains acid. China does not check acidity content. (Magway) <br> - Only Japanese standard, more than $3 \%$ is not acceptable. Japan use litmus paper for the test. (Yangon) | - Higher moisture content increases acidity rate. Basically, Sesame in Central Dry Zone has lower acidity content. <br> - Post harvest management is important. Piling on the ground with layer style increase acidity rate, and standing style is better for acidity control. After harvest, it is better to keep under shade for 7 to 10days for drying. |
| Chemical residual | - Chemical residual: Only Japanese standard; Inspection is conducted in Thailand to acquire certification |  |
| Others | - Color, oil contents, freshness, cleanliness, flavor, and smell are main check point of procurement. <br> - Sesame with dust and dirt makes price cheaper. |  |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.4 Sunflower

Even though trading volume of Sunflower is not large, production increases since it is an import substitute of Palm Oil. Main usage of Sunflower in the CDZ is edible oil. According to traders and processors at the CECs, major determinants of Sunflower are size and oil content. The following table shows market requirement on the determinant factors and ideal actions to be taken.

| Check Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | - Quality of Myanmar product is low, China is higher and size is larger | - Main determinant of size is variety. <br> - Confectionary type has bigger and longer seeds, while oil extraction type has smaller shape. The former is longer term variety and the latter is shorter term variety. Traditionally, Myanmar produces short-term variety for oil, and confectionary type is imported from China. |
| Oil Content | - Sunflower oil is mostly for self consumption. Farmers extract oil by themselves. <br> - Oil extracting skill is not good, because smells remain in the oil. Removing smells from oil is subject to be tackle. | - Determinant is variety (genetic factor). <br> - Yezin Hybrid-1 is rich in oil content, and is also short-term variety (80days), disease tolerant, high yield (55-60baskey/acre). <br> - Sinshwekya-2 and -3 are open pollinated variety for edible oil production purpose. Usually production period of oil type variety is 80 to 85 days, and $48-52 \%$ of oil content is good seed. |
| Others | - Sunflower is not profitable and production is very little. <br> - The most popular cooking oil is Sesame, followed by Groundnut and Sunflower. | - Less than 4-5\% of moisture content is good for storage (max 1 year). |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.5 Green Gram

Demand on Green Gram is high both at the domestic and international markets. In the CDZ, Green Gram is planted during monsoon period which result in higher moisture content. High moisture content causes many problems including fungus and insect damages. Major determinants of Green Gram are size, color, and moisture content. The following table shows market requirement on the determinant factors and ideal actions to be taken.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Green Gram]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size | - Size of CDZ product which goes to India is smaller, whereas that of Delta which goes to China is larger. <br> - Big size (Yezin-14 and -11; hybrid variety) is for export to China and India, while small size (local variety) is for domestic consumption. <br> - Large size goes to China and Taiwan, while small size goes to Indonesia. <br> - Small size (2000k/viss) is more expensive than large size ( $1500 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) Burmese prefer young, small and soft bean sprouts which is good for soup and salad. | - Main determinant of size is genetic factor. Impact of fertilizer management and irrigation is quite small. <br> - Yezin-9 and MES-1 has bigger size ( $6 \times 6 \mathrm{~g}$ ), whereas Yezin-6 is smaller $(4 \times 4 \mathrm{~g})$. <br> - Smaller size in basket has more weight. |
| Color | - There are two colors, Gold and Green, and Gold is the best quality with less warm. | Main determinant of color is genetic factor. Yezin-1 and -14 has yellow-green color, the best color. Yezin-1 is dominant variety since |


|  | - Bright/ light green is better, whereas dark/ brown/ yellow is worse. <br> - Over-matured bean's color turns to yellow. Maturity depends on rain, moisture, and sunshine. Cold storage is required to keep good quality beans. If it rains during harvest season, quality becomes worse. | it has been distributed 10 years ago, while Yezin-14 is new variety, distributed 3 years ago. <br> - Main production areas of Yezin-1 and -14 are Bago and Yangon, where Green Gram is planted after paddy in late monsoon. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moisture Content | - Less than $10 \%$ in winter season, and less than $15-16 \%$ in rain season. | - Less than $10 \%$ is good for storing longer period (1 to 2 years under $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ condition). Cold storage is required to keep longer period. |
| Others | - Productivity in Delta is higher than CDZ <br> - In 2009, China and India competed in buying Green Gram, but demand in China was higher due to medicinal use and could be bought at higher price. China is major buyer of the beans. <br> - Farmers used to plant after sesame, but no production now since 2 years ago because Green Gram is not profitable. China's buying price is cheap. | - Magway is the main production area which has sandy soil, under rainfed condition. <br> - Green Gram is not profitable since 2011, since production in India increased which resulted in price decrease in the Indian market. <br> - But Green Gram can make money within 2 months, most farmer plant it with Pigeon Pea under mix cropping condition. <br> - Since Green Gram is shorter type variety, it cannot survive if Dry Spell is longer. Therefore, Green Gram is good to plant in riverbank area, and is difficult to grow in CDZ's climate condition. |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.6 Pigeon Pea

Market of Pigeon Pea is India, and to meet requirement of Indian market is necessary. According to the CECs, main determinants of trade volume and price of Pigeon Pea are size, color, cleanness, and freshness.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Pigeon Pea]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Size/ Color | - Color (red, orange, and white) and Size (large, medium, and small) is main determinant of price. <br> - Small and red is the most expensive ( $1100 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), and next is medium and Red (1075k/viss). The cheapest is large and white (950k/viss). (Monywa) <br> - Red has higher price ( $1035 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), whereas White has lower price 1000k/viss. (Myingyan) <br> - Blight Red makes higher price than Dark Red. Price difference is $75 \mathrm{~K} /$ viss. (Magway) <br> - Large and White is the best quality since 10 years, but small and yellow becomes best this year due to high demand in India. (Yangon) | - Main determinant of size is genetic factor. <br> - Smaller size gets higher price since it can easily be processed, including pealing, decollating, and cooking. <br> - Red has higher price and more profitable. |
| Location | - Production in Sagaing is one third of national total. <br> - Pigeon Pea from CDZ is the best quality. Customer prefers small size which is easy to peel and cook. |  |


| Variety | • Variety from CDZ (ICCV2, ICCV3) is good <br> and price is higher, while that from Shan is <br> lower since moisture content is high and many <br> warms | • "Pigeon Pea Small (in local <br> name)" is local variety, and <br> "Monywa Shwedinga" is <br> improved variety, originally <br> came from India. Both varieties <br> have Red color. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Others | • Buyer from Yangon buys from local trader with <br> $10 \%$ commission fee. <br> • Freshness, cleanness, and no warm are also <br> important indicators. | Most Pigeon Pea is exported to <br> India for e.g.lentil soup and <br> curry. In Myanmar, it has a little <br> demand for medicinal use. |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.7 Chick Pea

Main determinants of trade and price of Chick Pea are size and color. In addition, according to the CEC, market needs and requirement on size and color is as follows.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Chick Pea]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^5]
### 7.4.8 Cotton

Cotton has two major varieties, including Long Staple and Short Staple, with the former as major variety in Myanmar. Determinants on trade and price of Cotton are the variety, color, cleanness, and moisture content. Market needs and requirement are also obtained from DICD office in Myingyan Township, Triple Nine Great Integrity Trading Co., Ltd., and ginning factory in Myingyan under Ministry of Industry.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Cotton]

| Check <br> Point | Market Needs/ Requirement | Ideal actions to be taken |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variety | - There are four (4) types; 1) long one (1st class), 2) short one (middle class), 3) yellow and 4) summer yellow (low class). <br> - The long staple is more expensive and is for export since it is soft and smooth, good for traditional clothes in Indonesia and Malaysia for example. <br> - While short staple is hard, and good for jeans. | - Major varieties of long staple are "Ngwe-Chi 6" and "Line-66". "Ngwe-Chi 6" is very popular and yield is higher ( 1,000 viss/acre), but very weak against pest. Whereas "Line-66" is suitable variety for CDZ and can resist pest, but yield is lower (400-500 viss/acre). <br> - Major varieties of short staple are "Malaing-5" and "Malaing-6". |
| Color | - White is better. | - Genetically, most variety has white color, and color is matter of post harvest handling. Best growing season is planting in May, grow in monsoon season, and harvest in September. |
| Cleanness | - Dust and dirt reduce quality of cotton. | - Also, cleanness is matter of post harvest handling. Improvement of ginning machine is necessary. |
| Location | - Cotton seed from Myingyan is famous. Its usage is animal feed. | - In general, CDZ is not good for growing cotton since cotton needs plenty of water. |
| Moisture Content | - Humidity is one of indicator since moisture content reduces quality of cotton. Moisture content can be checked by hand. Less than $7.0 \%$ is better. | - Moisture content is a matter of post harvest handling. It is closely related to its growing stage. After bearing cotton on the tree, all cottons have to be harvested before rain, and time management is quite important. |
| Others | - From cotton tree, $60 \%$ of cotton is for soft cotton (cotton yarn, medical use, etc.), whereas $40 \%$ is for cottonseed which in turn use for $38 \%$ for cotton cake (feed for cow), 125 for cotton oil, and $50 \%$ for feed for fish (CP). <br> - The government's quality specification is as follows; <br> - Length: 28.6-30.2mm <br> - Smoothness: 3.8-4.2 microneyar <br> - $\quad$ Strength $7.8-8.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{mg}$ <br> - Ripened Ratio: 0.97 - 1.00 <br> - Yield 37\% - 39\% (from veil, residual is cotton seed) <br> - Number: 40 - 50 (classification of spinning, less than 40 is hard, more than 50 is enough soft, still good) | - Cotton needs 5 to 6 months for growing, and harvest is done 3 times in one season. $1^{\text {st }}$ harvest is for upper parts, and after 2-3 weeks middle parts is harvested ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ harvest). The last harvest is the lower parts, and is done after the $2^{\text {nd }}$ harvest. For each harvest, it takes 2-3 days usually. |

[^6]
### 7.4.9 Onion

Information on determinants is obtained at Mandalay (Thri Marlar Market), Sagaing (Myi Thalar Market), Magway (Yan Pe Market), Yangon (Crop Exchange Center). At Yangon Crop Exchange Center, the Study Team obtained market needs from Myanmar Onion, Garlic and Culinary Production and Exporting Association. According to the traders and wholesales, determinants of Watermelon are size/ appearance, hardness, and color. The following table shows market requirement on each determinant.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Onion]

| Check Point | Market Needs/ Requirement |
| :---: | :---: |
| Size/ appearance | - Size makes price differences <br> - Small is good for fry, export to Vietnam, Thailand and Malaysia, after fried in these country, fried onion goes to EU. Middle size goes to local consumption and sometime goes to Thailand. Large size is for local consumption particularly for Restaurant <br> - Closed Peel > Broken Peel (closed peel can be kept longer and has good appearance) <br> - 1st class ( $450 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), 2nd class ( $400 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), 3rd class ( $350 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), rotten (less than 200k/viss). Big and grown from seedling can be kept for 9 months in storage, whereas small and plant from onion itself can be kept for 2 months only |
| Hardness | - Harder is better for keeping long time. Price difference between "Hard and closed peel" and "Soft and rotten" is more than 30k/viss. (Monywa) <br> - Soft skin is better than hard skin. Soft skin can be cooked more easily and color will change to light brown when fried (hard skin will change to dark brown). (Monywa) |
| Color | - light purple > dark purple (consumer prefer light color) <br> - Gold color (Shwe Phalar variety) is better than Purple one (Padauk Pyun variety). The difference of color makes price gap around $20-30 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$. |
| Location | - product from Myittha is good (looks young, sweet due to irrigation) <br> - Myittha variety is very good, but it cannot be grown in Monywa since planting season and farming method (water spray method) is different. |
| Others | - Onion from India is not good (but sometimes imported due to drought) <br> - Trader from China and Malaysia come and buy to export to China, Thailand and India. (Monywa) <br> - From CDZ, 70\% goes to Yangon, while 30\% goes to other region. <br> - Since 2012, no export tax and illegal trade at border area started to decrease. |

Source: JICA Study Team

### 7.4.10 Watermelon

Information on determinants is obtained at Sagaing (Myi Thalar Market), Nyaung Oo (Mani Sithe Market), and Yangon (Thiriminglar Market). Accordingly, the determinants on price making and trading volume are size, shape, weight, and taste. The following table shows market requirement on each determinant.

## MARKET Needs and Ideal Counter Measures [Watermelon]

| Check Point | Market Needs/ Requirement |
| :--- | :--- |
| Size | - bigger is better |
| Shape | • Round shape is better than long one. If it exported to China, shape and size <br> should be same. The difference makes price difference of 500k. |
| Weight | • Heaver is better since it may contain much water. |
| Taste | - higher sugar contents is better |
| Variety | - The best variety is "Ohnmar Danti" (red and sweet variety). <br> - Seedless (2500k/piece) > Dark Line (1600k/piece) > Monotone (1200k/piece) |
| Others | - Farmers usually sell at local market and road side, or to middleman in Monywa TS. <br> Some middleman brings water melon to Wholesale market in Muse (Chinese <br> border) and sells to Chinese middleman. Demand in China market increase in the <br> beginning of March to middle of April. (Monywa) |

Source: JICA Study Team

## CHAPTER 8 SOIL AND WATER ANALYSIS

### 8.1 Soil Analysis

Soil sample were collected at 50 points in 4 Townships including Nyaung Oo, Myingyan, Magway and Chauk. The samples are also obtained from 12 villages in the CDZ where the JICA Study Team conducted the farm household survey. Soil analysis is sub-contracted to Soil Science Section in DAR in Yezin. The soil samples are brought in DAR on May 23, 2013, and result of analysis is completed on July 5, 2013.

## Number of Samples f Soil Analysis

| Township | Number of Sample |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Soil Analysis |
| Nyaung Oo | 10 |
| Myingyan | 20 |
| Magway | 19 |
| Other Township | $1^{*}$ |
| Total | 50 |

[^7]
### 8.2 Analytical items of Soil Survey

Totally 17 items including pH, EC, Total N, Available N, Available P, Available K, Organic matter, Organic carbon, Soil texture, Soil textual class, Moisture, Exchangeable Ca, Exchangeable Na, Exchangeable Mg, Extractable Cu, CEC, Base saturation, are applied in the sub-contracted Soil Analysis.

### 8.3 Result of Analysis

1) Soil Type

For soil type, Loamy Soil is dominant, with 41 samples identified out of 50 soil samples. However, the Study Team observed that Sandy Soil is also dominant in the Study Area when the Team visited and obtained tactile impression. The sandy soil is defined as clay content is $0-15 \%$, sand content is more than $85 \%$, tactile impression is sandy, cannot feel clay, and less water and fertilizer holding capacity. The samples obtained in the Survey is only a "dot", and it is recommended to refer "Soil Types
 and Soil Characteristics of Myanmar, MOAI", developed by Land Use Division of MOAI, to grasp more broad-based soil type in the CDZ.

## 2) Soil Texture

Soil Texture analysis of the 50 samples indicated that around $80 \%$ of sample is sand and clay is quite a few. Sample number 7, 15, 22 and 33 shows less sand, and onion, tomato, and tobacco are planted in these sample area.


## 3) Soil Type by Township

When we look at soil type by Township, Loamy Sand is dominant in all Townships, but soil type varies in Myingyan Township where Sandy Loam and Sandy Clay loam are mixed. However, it should be noted that the sample shows only a "dot" of the field and does not represent all area.

## 4) pH

pH of the 50 samples is shown in the following diagram. As the result indicated, acescence ( pH 5.5 ) is less, and alkali soil (more than $\mathrm{pH} 7.0 \sim 8.0$ ) is dominant. There are eight (8) samples which show more than pH8.5, strong alkali soil. The reason why alkali soil is dominant is that the CDZ has less rainfall, similar to Northern Africa.
5) EC

As for Electric Conductivity of the sample soil, 10 samples in Nyaung Oo and 19 samples in Magway indicated that saline soil cannot be seen in these areas, whereas 2 samples in Myingyan show $0.53 \mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m}$ and $0.81 \mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m}$, which indicates existence of saline soil.


## 6) Organic Matter Content

The result of analysis indicated that organic matter content is very low in the sample soils from the Study Area. The highest is $3.2 \%$ while the lowest is $0.1 \%$. In conclusion, organic matter content is very low in the CDZ where Sandy soil is dominant, which will result in low water holding capacity in the area.

## 7) Soil Moisture Content

The samples were collected in May, before rainfall, and soil water content is $7.0 \%$ at the maximum and $1.0 \%$ at the minimum. Many samples show $3.0 \%$ of soil water content, indicating that the soil sample from the CDZ hold less water, similarly to desert sand. Less organic matter content and less soil water content might be correlated.
8) TN

Total Nitrogen content in the 50 soil samples is not even, but most sample show 0.03~0.19, ranging "very low level" to "low level" by DAR's definition. Low TN content and less organic matter content might be correlated.

### 8.4 Water Analysis





## (1) Outline of the Water Analysis

50 water samples in total were collected from the Study Area, and water analysis was conducted by Water Utilization Research Section of DAR under subcontract with JICA Study Team. The samples were obtained from tube-wells, creeks, irrigation canals, and Irrawaddy River, since it was quite difficult to find water source in the CDZ before monsoon season. The samples were brought in DAR on May 23, 2013, and analysis was concluded by July 5, 2013.

Number of Sample of Water Analysis

| Township | Number of Sample |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nyaung Oo | 13 |
| Myingyan | 3 |
| Magway | 7 |
| Other TS | $27^{* *}$ |
|  | 50 |

Note : 27 Townships are not included in the 3 target TS, but included in the CDZ.

The water samples collected from the Study Area are shown in the table below. Most samples were obtained from tube-well, since topography of the Study Area is hilly and water source from irrigation project is limited.

Water Samples by Type of Water Source

| Water Source | Number of Sample |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tube-well | 32 |  |  |
| Irrigation canal (project by ID and WRUD) | 10 |  |  |
| Farm Pond | 3 |  |  |
| Creek | 2 |  |  |
| Wadi | 2 |  |  |
| Irrawaddy River | 1 |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 50 |

## (2) Quality Standard of Irrigation Water

The following table shows quality standard of irrigation water in Myanmar. Different from Japanese standard, COD, SS, and DO are not included in the standard.

Quality Standard of Irrigation Water

| No. | Item | Unit | Usual range in irrigation water | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | pH | - | 6.0-8.5 | - |
| 2 | EC | dS/m | 0.75-3.0 | Plant growth is primarily limited by the salinity (ECw) level of the irrigation water with sodium unbalance and can further reduced yield under certain soil texture condition. |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-401 | If the calcium in the soil-water taken up by the crops is less than $2 \mathrm{me} / \mathrm{L}$, there is a strong probability that the crop yield will be reduced. |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Mg}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-60.75 | Toxic to number of crops at few-tenths to a few $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in acid soils. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-920 | Typically toxicity symptoms are leaf burn, scorch and dead tissue along the outside edges of leaves in contrast to symptoms of chloride toxicity which normally occur initially at the extreme leaf tip. |
| 6 | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-2 |  |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CO}^{=}$ | ppm | 0-3 | Carbonates are associated with the level of alkalinity. |
| 8 | $\mathrm{HCO}^{-}$ | ppm | 0-610 | Bicarbonate could increase the SAR of the soil water by precipitating calcium and magnesium. This can be corrected by frequent application of gypsum in soil surface. |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | ppm | 0-1,065 | If there is toxic ions accumulate to excessive concentrations, they cause chlorosis, bronzing and leaf turn primarily at the leaf top, leaf edges to mid-leaf are. |
| 10 | SO4 ${ }^{=}$ | ppm | 0-960 | The sulphates tend to combine with some of the calcium and aluminum compounds in the hardened cement and from calcium |


|  |  |  |  | alminates-sulphate or gypsum, which causes the <br> concrete to swell. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{++}$ | ppm | $0-5$ | Not toxic to plant in aerated soils, but can <br> contribute to soil acidification and loss of <br> essential phosphorus and molybdenum. |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Mn}^{++}$ | ppm | $0-0.2$ | Toxic to a number of crops at few-tenths to a <br> few mg/L but usually only in acids soil. |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{++}$ | ppm | $0-0.2$ | Toxic to number of plants at 0.1 to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in <br> nutrient solution. |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{++}$ | ppm | $0-2$ | Toxic to many plants at widely varying <br> concentrations, reduced toxicity at increase pH(6 <br> or above) and in fine-textured or organic soils. |
| 15 | SAR | - | $0-15$ | Sodium Absorption Ratio |

Source: DAR

Acceptable level of EC and SAR are indicated as follows.
EC's limitation for use

| Limitation for use | $\mathrm{EC}(\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| None | $\leq 0.75$ |
| Some | $0.76-1.5$ |
| Moderate | $1.51-3.00$ |
| Severe | $\leq 3.00$ |

The Sodium hazard of water based on SAR value

| SAR Value | Sodium hazard of water | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-10$ | Low | Use on sodium sensitive crops such <br> as avocados must be cautioned |
| $10-18$ | Medium | Amendments (such as Gypsum) <br> and leaching needed |
| $18-26$ | High | Generally unsuitable for continuous <br> use |
| $>26$ | Very high | Generally unsuitable for use |

## (3) Analytical Items

Totally 15 items including SAR, pH, EC, $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{HCO}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{SO} 4<\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}$, are adopted in the water quality analysis.

## (4) Result of Analysis

Among 15 analytical items of the water analysis, items which do not meet the standard are SAR, EC, HCO3, Mn and Zn . From tube-well in Nyaung Oo, 2 water samples shows over the usual range of Zn . A sample from tube-well in Yamethin Twonship also shows over the standard level of Mn.

Regarding pH , all samples are within the standard value of $6.0 \sim 8.5$, with minimum 6.47 (acescence) and maximum 7.89 (alkalinity).

As for Electric Conductivity of the sample water, a sample from tube-well in Myingyan Township shows EC3.51, meaning over the standard level of $0.75 \sim 3.0$. In addition, 6 samples over the standard level of 26, which is defined as "very high", indicate not suitable for irrigation water in general.


Result of Water Analysis

## CHAPTER 9 RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1 Efforts for the Development of Water Saving Agricultural Technologies

When considering so called water-saving agriculture", it may not be realistic to tackle this matter in a village where no irrigation water is available. As seen in the picture, it may be difficult to apply water-saving technologies such as hydroponic, micro-irrigation and drip irrigation in the extensive farmlands with gentle slope cultivating sesame, groundnut and pigeon pea and may not be economically feasible.

It is suggested that activities using hardware such as drip
 irrigation will be applied for intensive crop farming that will bring higher income. On the other hand, agricultural technologies using software will be applied for extensive farming such as pigeon pea, groundnut and sesame.

For more details, the following ideas are suggested:
> Application of drought tolerant varieties (farmers have already applied this idea)
> To plant drought tolerant pulses before sesame instead of the conventional pattern of sesame and pulses to cope with unstable rainfall condition,
> To plant dragon fruits which has strong tolerance against drought, which area have been increasing compared to the period of the Development Study by JICA in 2006 to 2010,

> Mulching is not extended yet in CDZ probably because of difficulty of rice straw as mulching material in CDZ, high price of plastic sheet for general farmers, and consumption of crop by-products for animal feed. It is suggested to use meshed plastic curtain combined with mulching using pigeon pea stem and toddy's leaves to prevent evaporation by strong sunshine.

> To extend Bokashi making using IMO (Indigenous Micro-Organism) to improve water holding capacity of the predominant sandy soil,
> To extend embankment to prevent soil erosion combine with planting leguminous trees such as ipil ipil for example,
> To extend deeper plowing by using power tiller and 4-wheel tractor to plow the soil deeper at about 30 cm combined with compost to improve water holding capacity of the soils,

$>$ To improve traditional farming tools to plow the soils deeper. Japanese Bicchu spade with 3 to 4 nails will become a sample.
$>$ Hydroponic and micro-irrigation will be applicable as a technology using hardware but only for cash crops being cultivated in small areas. And also simple drip irrigation system will be also applicable for tomato, cucumber, mango and citrus as a model. In that case, investment of about Kyat 1.0 million for tube-well and pump will be necessary.

### 9.2 Countermeasure for Soil Erosion

Sandy soil and farmland with gentle slope is often observed in CDZ. The sandy soil is easily eroded by strong rainfall. To cope with this issue, farmers have constructed embankment as seen in the picture. This embankment will become more effective by planting leguminous trees like ipil ipil to fix surface soils of farm lands. Leguminous trees can be fed to animals.


### 9.3 Rainwater Harvesting

The rainfall in CDZ can be characterized as squall-typed one, and sometimes it rains strong enough to erode surface soil of farmlands. By storing rainwater into the pits with size of about 0.5 m depth x 1.0 m length x 0.4 to 0.5 m width as seen in the photo in Yenangon township, soil moisture can be improved and also soil erosion can be prevented.


### 9.4 Water-saving by Hydroponic and Micro-Irrigation

Water-saving technologies of Hydroponic and Micro-Irrigation demonstrating and operating by Tdh, Italian NGO, in Yenangyon and Natmauk townships can be said to be a typical water-saving technology, and can be applied for cash crops cultivating in a limited acreage. It may be possible to apply this technology to cultivate watermelon and melon with higher sugar content, which may be sold named " sweet melon and watermelon in ** village in CDZ".


### 9.5 Utilization of SAP

SAP (Super Absorbent Polymer) being sold in Kyaukse Township is produced originally in Germany and imported from Thailand. The price is Kyat $16,000 / 700 \mathrm{~g}$. SAP's effectiveness is described as follows.

- SAP can absorb and hold 400 times of water of its weight (is explained that 1 to 2 g SAP put beside a plant can hold moisture for about 2 weeks),
- SAP is not harmful for crops and environment,

- SAP can supply potassium to plant,
- SAP can save cost for irrigation water,
- Yield will be increased.

At present, only one farmer (owner of agricultural material shop) is testing SAP on his farm. The issue will be the price of Kyat $16,000 / 700 \mathrm{~g}$, therefore practical use by farmers will be limited. As well as hydroponic technology, SAP may become one of alternative themes to test for the improvement of water holding capacity of the soil during the Project.

### 9.6 Improvement of Sloping Farmlands

This suggestion is generated from the idea if sloping land becomes level by terrace method as a model of soil erosion prevention, soil erosion will be considerably decreased however, if considering necessary cost, it may be difficult to implement this idea. Planting leguminous tree of ipil ipil combined with land leveling will help prevent of soil erosion.


Terrace farm and ipil ipil

### 9.7 Research on Withering Points of Crops

If soil moisture decrease gradually, plants on farm it will be difficult for plants to survive and finally may be withered. The withering point is the rate of soil moisture when withering. It is reported that initial withering point is about $\mathrm{pF3} 3$, and eternal withering point is pF 4.2 when plants will be killed. The withering point is different by plant but it is estimated to be about steady value for all plants.

It is considered that plants will be difficult to survive if dry spell becomes long, and even if they survived, yield will be decreased.

DAR has no experience about testing withering point in CDZ according to DAR. It will be worthy to research withering points of various crops during the Project. It is suggested that research about withering point of plants by using Tensio-meter and other equipment under the different soil conditions in Myingyan, Magway, Nyaung Oo townships.

### 9.8 Clearing and Use of Mesquito



It is said that Mesquito seeds were distributed with aerial application long time ago. Mequito is fast growing tree with deep roots of about 20 m into the ground and drought tolerant. The leaves of Mesquito contain high protein useful for animals. But Mesquito has sharp and dangerous thorns with several centimeters. At present Mesquito can be seen everywhere beside road and farmlands and is left without cutting and becomes harmful trees for farming.

The efforts for elimination and effective use of Mesquito are considered to be not so difficult. Small size chainsaw and weeding machine can be used to cut them and chopper (photo) will be effective to make them into chips, which will be used to improve water holding capacity of soils and to make animal feeds.


### 9.9 Improvement of Data and Information Management in DOA Offices

The suggestion may out of the water-saving technology development but it is suggested to improve document and data management technology to establish unified format compiled in one document for easier utilization for DOA staff and various donors.

At present, various documents on agricultural production and climate are kept in shelf in the form of individual files as seen in the photos. Therefore it took long time to collect necessary data for the JICA Study team. Many documents are still hand writing despite extension of computers in society. It will not be difficult to input data into computer. Some data on agricultural production are deficit depending on DOA offices.

Taking into consideration that technical cooperation by international donors and NGOs will be increased in the agricultural sector, compiling data using computers will contribute to the efficient management of sequential and precise data. Number of computers in township, district and regional offices of DOA is not sufficient to undertake this suggestion. As part of the Project, it is suggested to provide DOA with necessary computers.


### 9.10 Crop Selection Based on Market Needs

Prior to the supply chain survey, 10 crops were selected, and these crops included Maize, Sesame, Groundnut, Sunflower Green Gram, Pigeon Pea, Chick Pea, Cotton, Onion, and Watermelon. During the market needs survey, requirements of stakeholders of both domestic and international markets, including form of product, colors, oil content, and water content, which directly affect the decision making of transaction volume and price of products were collected. Details are discussed in Chapter 7. Based on the market needs, it is recommended to select crops to support during the Project.

It is expected that demand of Maize will continuously increase based on high demand on animal feed in China and surrounding countries, and production support to Maize Seed in addition to the Maize Cob (fresh Maize for food) is required. For oil crops, Sesame has high international demand while Groundnut has high demand for edible oil in domestic market. Therefore, development and to dissemination of high oil content and drought tolerant varieties of Sesame and Groundnut are necessary, in addition to dissemination of post-harvest technologies to avoid fungus and to decrease acid value. For pulses and beans, development and dissemination of drought tolerant varieties, and development of short term varieties to increase farmers choice for crops planting and early encashment, are required.

Watermelon has high demand in both domestic and international market, and can target China-Mongol and
 China-Russia border where summer season is quite short and where it is difficult to grow vegetables and fruits in the winter season. In the Central Dry Zone, sweet Watermelon can be grown under proper irrigation management. Therefore, in addition to development of high sugar content varieties, dissemination of water saving technologies is effective to expand target market.

### 9.11 Additional Discussion on Farming Method to Meet Market Needs

Countermeasures to the market needs including determinants of trading volume and price were discussed with DAR at the end of the field study. The action to be taken as mentioned in Chapter 7 basically depends on discussion with related Sections of DAR. Needless to say, DAR's mandate is research and development of seed and crop varieties in addition to soil and water analysis. Therefore, it is recommended to discuss with DOA which is good at analyzing countermeasures of farming method to meet the market needs obtained.

### 9.12 Improvement of Farm Level Seed Management

Sesame, Green Gram, Pigeon Pea and Chick Pea has several colors which makes different prices. Principal determinant of color is genetic factor, and seed selection and management is quite important to meet demand of target market. However, seed management at farm level is not relevant in general, which promote unwanted intercross. For example, Pigeon Pea is open pollination crop, which requires enough distance of each farm lot to avoid unwanted intercross. According to DAR Dry Zone Crop Research Center in Nyaung Oo, isolation distance of Pigeon Pea is at least 100 m , ideally 400 to 500 m . However, farmers usually do not have such knowledge and dissemination of proper seed management at farm level is required.


### 9.13 Response to Needs of International Market

In this study, market-in approach was taken to provide information on selection of target crops in the forthcoming technical cooperation project, in addition to providing information on environmental suitability of crops in the central dry zone. From the market side, some issues which is new to Myanmar, including chemical residual issue and property right protection, in addition to consumers' and buyers' preference, were raised.

After abolishment of import tax on fertilizers and pesticides, it is said that farmers who apply fertilizers and pesticides have increased, which in turn resulted in chemical residual problems on Burmese agricultural products including Sesame and Pulses/ Beans. Therefore, it is necessary to disseminate knowledge and information on proper pesticide management, in addition to blocking inflow of illegal pesticides and to establish inspection system of chemical residuals.

Property right issues for improved or hybrid seeds is also new issues to the government. It is said that pirated seeds inflow to the union through inland border area, which give Foreign Seed Companies pause in investing in Myanmar. To promote dissemination of improved or qualified seed, protection of property right is important, and suggestion to the government on further policy reform such as establishment of monitoring system on the property right is recommended.

These issues cannot be solved peoples in the production area, and policy level measures are necessary. In this regard, it is quite meaningful that the project makes suggestions or recommendation to counterpart organization including DOA and DAR.

### 9.14 More Choice for Farmers

Climate condition in the CDZ is not stable and farmers have to make decision on which crops or varieties to be grown based on duration of Dry Spell, timing of rain, and other environmental and economic factors. Farmers in the CDZ have made decision on a case-by-case basis based on their experiences. It is recommended that the DOA and DAR support increasing drought resilience of farmers through scientific dissemination of research outputs R\&D results of DAR, International Organizations, and NGOs and private sectors.

Also, many farmers use self-harvested seed for their crop production, since qualified seeds developed by DAR are difficult for farmers to access due to lack of amount of the seed. Also, more farmers have to choose crops and its varieties to be grown since market demand of some crops including Pigeon Pea and Cotton for example is not stable under the influence of international market. In this regards, farmers need more information on crop selection, including characteristics of variety, market needs on quality of products, marketability and profitability of crops and varieties. It is important for the project to take into consideration of increasing farmers' choice through establishing effective dissemination system of result of research activities.
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## Appendix-1 Statistical documents

(1) Statistical Yearbook 2011, CSO

This is A4-sized statistic book including CD-ROM, which is issued by CSO (Central Statistical
Organization) size)

| General item | Agriculture concerned item |
| :--- | :--- |
| Annual precipitation by region, maximum <br> temperature, minimum temperature, humidity <br> $2001-2010$ | National level: <br> Area classified by type of land 1990-2011 |
| Regional annual precipitation by month 2001-2010 | National level: <br> Land utilization of net area sown 1990-2011 |
| National population by sex and its increasing rate <br> $1990-2011$ | National level: <br> Area sown, not harvested and harvested 1990-2011 |
| Rural/ urban population by region 1990-2010 | National level: <br> Area sown by type of planting classification <br> $1990-2011$ |
| Population density by region 1990-2010 | National level: <br> Irrigable area and flood protection areas <br> $1990-2011$ |
| Value of foreign trade 1990-2011 | National level: <br> Area by type of irrigation 1990-2011 |
| Export of principal commodities 1990-2011 | National level: <br> Area of crops under irrigation 1990-2011 |
| Direction of rice export trade | National level: <br> Sown acreage, harvested acreage and production of <br> selected crops 1990-2011 |
| Average retail prices of selected commodities at | Regional: <br> Sown acreage of selected crops 2004-2011 |
| Yangon 1990-2010 | National level: <br> Sown area, harvested area and production of fruits <br> 2006-2011 |
| Consumer Price Index by region 2006-2011 | National level: <br> Sown area, harvested area and production of <br> vegetables 1990-2011 |
|  | National level: <br> Yield per harvested acre of selected crops <br> $1990-2011$ |
|  | National level: <br> Distribution of quality seeds 1990-2011 |
| National level: <br> Agricultural loans by crop 1990-2011 |  |
| National level: <br> Prices of selected crops at harvested time 1990-2011 |  |
| National level: <br> Livestock breeding 1990-2011 |  |
| National level: <br> Production of meat, milk and egg 1990-2011 |  |
|  | Nate |

In the topic of Land use data, there is an item of "Occupied Area". This Occupied Area is the dimension both fallow land and cultivating land ongoing.

Moreover, there are 2 kinds of maize. Maize (cob) is the core including seed and mainly being used for snack. Unit of Maize (cob) is figured as number. While maize (seed) is used for feed grain and mainly for exporting. Maize (seed) is figured by basket.

Myanmar Agricultural Statistics, 2011 CSO

| General item | Agriculture concerned item |
| :---: | :---: |
| Regional population 2004-2009 | National : level Area classified by type of land 1997-2010 |
| Regional population density 2004-2009 | Regional <br> Area classified by type of land 2004-2010 |
| Annual precipitation by region, maximum temperature, minimum temperature, humidity 1981, $\text { 1991, 2001, } 2009$ | National level : Land utilization of net area sown 1997-2010 |
| Regional annual precipitation by month 2009 | National level : Area sown by type of planting classification 1997-2010 |
| Annual precipitation by region 2000-2009 | National level : Irrigated area by type of irrigation 1997-2010 |
| National level:Monthly household expenditure by group 1997, 2001, 2006 | Regional : Irrigable area and flood protection areas 2004-2010 |
| By region• By rural/Urban : Monthly household expenditure by group 1997, 2001, 2006 | National level : Irrigable area and multiple cropping in irrigated area 1997-2010 |
|  | Regional : Irrigable area and multiple cropping in irrigated area 2004-2010 |
|  | National level : Area of selected crops under irrigation 1997-2010 |
|  | Regional : Area of selected crops under irrigation 2004-2010 |
|  | National level : Agricultural loan by crop 2000-2010 |
|  | National level : Area sown, harvested and cropping intensity 1997-2010 |
|  | National level : Sown acreage of selected crops 1997-2010 |
|  | Regional : Sown acreage of crops 1997-2010 |
|  | National level : Average yield per harvested acre of selected crops 1997-2010 |
|  | Regional : Average yield per harvested acre of selected crops 1997-2010 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regional : Production and utilization of paddy } \\ & 2004-2010 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Regional : Production and utilization by crops 2003-2010 |
|  | National level : Production of selected crops 1997-2010 |
|  | Regional : Production of paddy and other crops 1997-2010 |
|  | National level Sown area, harvested area and production of fruits 1997-2010 |
|  | National level Sown area, harvested area and production of vegetables 1997-2010 |
|  | Prices of selected crops at harvest time 1997-2010 |
|  | GDP and agricultural output (GDP) 1997-2010 |
|  | Export of major agricultural commodities 2004-2010 |
|  | Export destination by crop 2004-2010 |

(2) Myanmar Agriculture in Brief 2012, MOAI

| item |
| :--- |
| Basic information data of Myanmar 2010-2011 |
| Myanmar economy and agriculture |
| Crop production in Myanmar and neighboring countries |
| Main crops cultivated in Myanmar |
| Agricultural land |
| Provision of sufficient irrigation water |
| Agricultural mechanization |
| Provision of other agricultural inputs |
| Main function of MOAI |

(3) Talking Figures: Some Statistics in Agriculture of Myanmar and Asia-Pacific Region, MOAI

| item |
| :--- |
| Myanmar in brief |
| National level \& by region Average annual rainfall 2011 |
| National level Land utilization 2010-2011 |
| Water source in Myanmar |
| National level \& by region Land area, population \& density |
| National level \& by region Urban and rural population 2010-2011 |
| National level \& by region Composition of administrative bodies 2009 |
| GDP by sector 2009-2010 |
| Irrigation networks in Myanmar |
| Net sown area and irrigated are in Myanmar 2002-2011 |
| Cropping intensity in Myamar2002-2-11 |
| Sown area and production by different crop groups in Myanmar 2010-2011 |
| National level \& by region Area importance of some major crops by different Regions in Myanmar |
| By region Major soil type and Crop’s suitability |
| Major cropping pattern in Central Dry Zone |
| National level : Area (sown \& harvested) , yield and production of crops 1991-2012 |
| National level : Sown area of vegetables in Myanmar 1991-2012 |
| National level : Sown area of fruits in Myanmar 1991-2012 |
| ASEAN- Irrigated land as proportion of agricultural land 2009 |
| ASEAN-Paddy and other crops area and yield and production 2009 |

(5) Myanmar Agriculture at a Glance 2012, DAP, MOAI

| Item |
| :--- |
| MOAI organization chart |
| Regional meteorological data by station (2009, average between 2000 \& 2009) |
| National level precipitation curved line |
| National level population by sex 1998-2011 |
| Regional population by sex (2011) |
| By region,urban \& rural population (1983, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011) |
| National Economy (GDP,GDP per person) |
| The contents of Land use (1995/1996, 2000/2001, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 20 10/2011, 2011/2012) |
| National-based crop acreage by crop (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, <br> 2010/2011, 2011/2012) |
| National-based crop acreage by crop (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, <br> $2010 / 2011, ~ 2011 / 2012) ~$ |
| National-based crop yield by crop (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, <br> $2011 / 2012)$ |
| National-based production by crop, (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, <br> $2010 / 2011, ~ 2011 / 2012) ~$ |
| National-based semination area by crop, harvested area, yield, production <br> (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012) |
| National based irrigated area and cropping ratio (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, |

2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based irrigated area (breakdown)
National base agricultural machinery(1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based Seed distribution amount (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based Loan by Crops (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 200920/10, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based fertilizer distribution amount by crop (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based agricultural chemical usage for each crops by $\operatorname{MMOAI}(1995 / 1996,2000 / 2001,2005 / 2006$, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
National based agricultural processed product
National based price shift by crop (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Agricultural export (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Agricultural education
Investment in Agricultural sector
Number of Livestock(1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Meat/Egg production(1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Fisheries (1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Aquaculture pond(1995/1996, 200020/01,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Forestry production(1995/1996, 2000/2001,2005/2006, 2008/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Agricultural production index ratio among ASEAN countries
National based crop production cost breakdown and yield. (2007/2008, 2008/2009,2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
Agricultural import (2003/2004, 2004/2005, 2007/2009, 2009/2010, 2010/2011, 2011/2012)
(6) Livestock and Fisheries Statistics (2008-2009), 2010 CSO

| Main items |
| :--- |
| Population estimates by area, population density(2004-2008) |
| Annual precipitation by region, Annual average temperature, Annual average humidity 1980, 1990, 2000, <br> 2008 |
| Regional temperature by month, Precipitation, rainy day number(2008 only) |
| Average and actual precipitation by region (1998-2007) |
| National figure of livestock number by animal ((1987/1988-2008-2009) |
| National figure of cow, buffalo, goat, pig and fowls (198719/88-2008-2009) |
| National figure for the number of association keeping livestock |
| Artificial Insemination record by region (1996/1997-2002/2003) |
| State owned farm‘ s Livestock number by each livestock(1986/1987-2008/2009) |
| National meat production and meat production by meat ((1987/1988-2008-2009) |
| National meat production by producer (1987/1988-2008-2009) |
| National egg production by producer (1987/1988-2008-2009) |
| National feeding stuff request (1987/1988-2008/2009) |
| National livestock and fishery products price |
| Consumption of livestock and fishery production by region. (2006) |
| National fisheries concerned data (production, export, and its price, exporting destination) |

## (7) Myanmar Census of Agriculture 2010 May 2013 SLRD, MOAI

This is the latest census issued in May 2013 by SLRD. This census has eight (8) topic items as presented below and each theme has explanation and its statistical reason. The 8 topics are about agriculture in Myanmar and the situation in the subsector. This census is mainly national based data except for the one part including by-region

1. Overview of food accessibility situation in Myanmar
2. Distribution of agricultural lands under paddy in different regions
3. Land utilization, land types and land tenure in Myanmar
4. Sustainable irrigation development and the increase of the area under irrigation
5. Improving rural farmers' economy: combining the raising of cattle/other livestock with crop cultivation
6. Aquaculture: a potential major economic activity in Myanmar
7. Multi-economic activities of agricultural households in Myanmar
8. Gender profile of Myanmar's agricultural household s

## Appendix-2 Abstract of DAR Centre

## DAR Centre in Myingyan

| Date of research | 17 May 2013 (Fri) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Village | - |
| Village Tract | - |
| Township | Myingyan |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Place | 15 minutes by car from the central of Myingyan Township |
| Interviewee | Director Ms.Daw Tin Than (mobile) 09-4925-2991 and her staff |
| General information |  |
| Year Established | Established in 1954 <br> Pilot program has started in 1984. This farm is one of the 24 farms ( 17 centres and 8 branches) of DAR. This farm is one of the 5 branches located in Mandalay. |
| Number of staff | 17 (2013) |
| Budget (2012/13) | Kyat 41.9 million |
| Dimensions and Altitude | Dimentions:107.2 ac (cultivating acreage:80.69 ac) <br> Altitude:70m |
| Research object crop | Pigeon pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Chick pea |
| Study purpose | Development for high yield cultivar of dry zone oriented Crop, such as pigeon pea, sorghum, chick pea <br> - Research for cropping system of pigeon pea, sorghum, chick pea <br> - Distribution of good quality seed and demonstration for new production technologies for farmer |
| Water source of irrigation | 5 tube -wells are set. 3 of these are for irrigation purpose. However, the water is not suitable for irrigation because of alkaline $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{PH} 8.2-8.5)$ |
| Soil condition | PH: 6.55  <br> Sand $65.81 \%$ <br> Silt $1.44 \%$ <br> Clay $20.00 \%$ <br> Sandy loam $2.75 \%$ <br> * Regarding the soil analysis, refer to the attached <br> document of Nyaung U research farm |




Dry Zone Agricultural Research Centre, Nyaung U Farm, DAR

| Date of research | 6 May 2013 (Sat) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village name | - |
| Village Tract | - |
| Township | Nyaung U |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | About 5 minutes away from Nyaung U city centre by car |
| Interviewee | Farm manager: Ms.Daw Khin Myint Kyi |
| General info : | This farm was established as one of the 24 farms of CARI, and it <br> became Dry Zone Agriculture Research Centre in 2004. |
| Year Established | 12 staff, 11 laborers |



Dry Zone Agricultural Research Centre , DAR (Nyaung U)

Jujube(Indian date) in the field.
Farm manager \& staff discussion

DAR centre in Magway (Oil Crop Research Center)

| Research date | 22 May 2013 (Wednesday) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Village name | - |
| Village Tract | - |
| Township | Magway |
| Region | Magway |
| Location | Around 10 minutes from city centre of Magway by car |
| Interviewee | U Thein Htay Oo, Deputy dorector |
| General information: |  |
| Year Established: | Established in 1927 as one of the 7 Crop Research Centre of DAL. In 1946, the body moved to MAS. In 2010, it became Sesame Research Centre under DAR. |
| Number of Staff | 22 staff and 20 laborers (2011) |
| Budget (2012/13) | Kyat 54 million |
| Dimensions and altitude | Dimentios:100.182 ac(Incl,58.51ac for tillage), Altitude:NA |
| Research object crop | Pigeon pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Cow pea, Green gram |
| Research purpose | This pilot farm is testing special kinds of crops (Pigeon pea, Groundnut, Sesame, Cow pea, Green gram) under unfavorable condition representing Sagaing, Mandalay and Magway. |
| Water resource of irrigation | There are 2 tube-wells in the farm. One has broken pump and the other is for drinking use only. Therefore, farmers depend only on rain water. |
| Soil type | PH: 6-7.5\begin{tabular}{l} 
Sand \\
Red Clay
\end{tabular}\(\quad 26.51\) ac
Regarding
the soil analysis Oil crop research centre`s
documentation is attached. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l\|l} 
Meteorological & \begin{tabular}{l}
10 year av \\
2012: \\
conditions
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 10 year average: Rainy day: 53 days, Rainfall: 31.48 inches 2012: Rainy day: 38 days, 21.35 inches \\
\hline Service & \begin{tabular}{l}
This center aims for systematic research activities such as... * To cooperate with farmers, consumers and distributors to solve problem of local agriculture. \\
*To develop good quality variety and distribute seed for farmers and extend its technology.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Activities & \begin{tabular}{l}
1. To find out high yielding and pests and diseases resistant oil crops varieties which are suitable to the local area \\
2. To observe the technologies which can solve the agricultural problems in local area \\
3. To produce pure oil crop seeds and to produce regionally adapted other crops by breeding
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Purpose & \begin{tabular}{l}
To achieve domestic demand and supply of edible oil. \\
To increase farmer's income \\
To boost up national economy with the application of
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}$\begin{tabular}{l}  Sand \\ Red Clay \end{tabular}$$\quad 26.51$ acRegardingthe soil analysis Oil crop research centre`s documentation is attached. \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{l\|l}  Meteorological & \begin{tabular}{l} 10 year av \\ 2012: \\ conditions \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} & 10 year average: Rainy day: 53 days, Rainfall: 31.48 inches 2012: Rainy day: 38 days, 21.35 inches \\ \hline Service & \begin{tabular}{l} This center aims for systematic research activities such as... * To cooperate with farmers, consumers and distributors to solve problem of local agriculture. \\ *To develop good quality variety and distribute seed for farmers and extend its technology. \end{tabular} \\ \hline Activities & \begin{tabular}{l} 1. To find out high yielding and pests and diseases resistant oil crops varieties which are suitable to the local area \\ 2. To observe the technologies which can solve the agricultural problems in local area \\ 3. To produce pure oil crop seeds and to produce regionally adapted other crops by breeding \end{tabular} \\ \hline Purpose & \begin{tabular}{l} To achieve domestic demand and supply of edible oil. \\ To increase farmer's income \\ To boost up national economy with the application of \end{tabular} \\ \hline \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{|c|c|} \hline & advanced agricultural research result. \\ \hline Contact Farmer (CF) nominated by DAR & Currently total 10 farmers are nominated from 6 to 7 Villages of 26 townships in Magway area. They are elected based criteria such as, rich or poor, high/low concern to the activities of DAR, academic background and so on. Mostly CFs is Village chairman. There is no term limit for CF and they can continue if they wish. They are Volunteers. The tested variety`s seeds are distributed to CF Then CF will try the seeds as demonstration and test its yield. |
| Conserving water agricultural technology | 1. DAR headquarter is testing water-saving canal. It is not yet applied to farmers. <br> WSC:Water-saving canal <br> 2. Water harvesting <br> When rain occurs in CDZ, the water flows up to down and rain water is wasted. Therefore, there is a plan to construct pit between farm land or end of slope in order to save rain water. <br> According to the director XX, if you dig around 40 cm , moisture is found even in the dry season in February. Hence, will be able to keep higher moisture. <br> This technology was confirmed when the survey team visited Yenaungyon Township. As shown in the photo, a similar pit and embankment technology was found to be constructed which was implemented by UNDP in 1995. |



## Appendix-3 Village survey (14 villages)

In addition to farm household survey and data collection of regional and township office of DOA, village survey was implemented. The criteria to chose village is, (1) Conservation water agricultural technology is implemented,(2) Received aid from ACIAR, (3) Tdh is implementing conserving water agricultural technology. To select the village, the survey team seek the advice of DAR centre and DOA township office in Myingyan, Magway and Nyaung U Townships.

Village Survey

| No. | Village Name | Village Tract (VT) | Township (TS) | (Region) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Phon | Balon VT | Myingyan | Mandalay |
| 2 | Zee Pin Kan | Zee Pin Kan | Myingyan | Mandalay |
| 3 | Ywar Thar Yar | Ywar Thar Yar | Myingyan | Mandalay |
| 4 | Shwe Hlaing | Pyon | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 5 | Kaung Si | Taung Zin | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 6 | Htee Pu | Htee Pu | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 7 | Dahat See | Dahat See | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 8 | Taung Shae | Kuywar | Nyaung U | Mandaly |
| 9 | Taung Ba | Taung Ba | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 10 | Myoe Hla | Yuar Thar | Nyaung U | Mandalay |
| 11 | Si Pin Thar | Lat pan Daw | Magway | Magway |
| 12 | Myin Kin | Mal Hla Taung | Magway | Magway |
| 13 | Mingan |  | Chauk | Magway |
| 14 | San Kan Gyi | Ma Gyi Kan | Yenanchaung | Magway |

Village Survey in CDZ

| Research date | $9^{\text {th }}$ May 2013 (Thursday) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village name | Myin Kin |
| Village Tract | Mal Hla Taung |
| Township | Magway |
| Region | Magway |
| Location | About 15minutes from city centre of Magway by car |
| Interviewee | Village chairman and several villagers |
| General info of the village: |  |


| Geography | Flat terrain. In summer, 600 feet away from Irrawaddy river (located west side from the river). In the rainy season, the distance is 300 feet. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population | 1,397 people in 2013 |  |  |
| Total number of households | 332 households |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 50 households |  |  |
| Number of Landless households | 282 households (Farm labor) |  |  |
| Average households number | 4.2 people /household |  |  |
| Agricultural information: |  |  |  |
| Farmland structure | Total cultivated area: 150ac (incl 80 ac for Up-land, 70 ac for paddy field of lowland) This 80 ac for up-land is likely to be cultivated illegally. |  |  |
| Water resource of irrigation/irrigation method | {Pumping irrigation from Irrawaddy river (WRUD`s project). Furrow irrigation is implemented in farm} \\ \hline Water usage fee & \multicolumn{3}{\|l|}{Kyat 12,000/ac/season(rainy season), Kyat 15,000/ac/season(summer)} \\ \hline Ground water level & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Only 10feet} \\ \hline Tube-well & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{250 places in village. The usage of the well is for vegetable cultivation in their garden and for drinking water} \\ \hline Main crop and variety (In order with large area) & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sesame (the first year), Groundnut (the \(2^{\text {nd }}\) year), Green gram, Sunflower, vegetables (Roselle, gourd, beans, water cress, tomato, Paddy} \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{l} High revenue crop \\ ( In order with high revenue) \end{tabular} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Groundnut , Sesame , Paddy , Green gram , Sunflower} \\ \hline Planting fruit tree & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Mango for sell} \\ \hline \multirow{7}{*}{Usage (sell or own consumption)} & Crop & For sell (\%) & For Home use + seeds \\ \hline & Sesame & 99 & 1 \\ \hline & Groundnut & 99 & 1 \\ \hline & Green gram & 99 & 1 \\ \hline & Sunflower & 100 & 0 \\ \hline & Vegetables & 100 & 0 \\ \hline & Paddy & 75 & 25 \\ \hline \multirow{7}{*}{Varieties} & Crop & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Variety} \\ \hline & Sesame & Zone Phyu, black s & \\ \hline & Groundnut & Thong Thain, Vietn & \\ \hline & Green gram & Kyauk sein & \\ \hline & Sunflower & NA & \\ \hline & Vegetables & NA & \\ \hline & Paddy & Manotca, Aeyapaday & \\ \hline Sale destination & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Farmers visit San (broker company) in Magway to sell.} \\ \hline Soil & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Sandy soil: poor fertility, Loamy soil: not so poor No erosion because of the flat terrain.} \\ \hline Conserving water agricultural technology & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l} This village was introduced by DAR township and this village is WRUD`s beneficiary area. |  |  |
| Conserving water agricultural technology is not identified. |  |  |  |
| However mushroom cultivating was considered as water-conserving agriculture (implemented in CDZ development survey). |  |  |  |
| Cultivation in garden is very limited but important in efficient water use. |  |  |  |} <br>

\hline The minimum size of farmland for livelihood \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{10 ac/households} <br>
\hline The minimum living cost \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Kyat 80,000/month /household (5 member per household)} <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}



Village survey in CDZ

| Research date | 9 May 2013 (Thursday) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Village name | Si Pin Thar |  |
| Village Tract | Lat Pan Daw |  |
| Township | Magway |  |
| Region | Magway |  |
| Location | Around one (1) hour away from city centre of Magway |  |
| Interviewee | Village chairman and a few villagers. |  |
| General information of village |  |  |
| Geography | Almost flat terrain |  |
| Population | 175 households |  |
| Total number of households | 75 households |  |
| Number of farmers | 100 households (Farm labor) |  |
| Number of landless farmers | Notal cultivated area:1,500ac <br> (ncl. Upland:1,300ac Lowland(paddy field):200ac |  |
| Average number of household <br> member | 5.0 people/ household |  |
| Agricultural information | Farmers built weir in the river and transmit water from weir to water <br> course. However, the weir was damaged in 2012. At presentthe <br> damaged weir and sedimentation prevent water flow to water course. <br> Farmers obtain water with treadle pump from shallow well for their <br> garden cultivation. |  |
| Farmland structure | None ( This it not the project of ID, WRUD) |  |
| Water source of irrigation <br> /irrigation method |  |  |
| Water use fee | 40 feet (It can be dug in a day). The cost for digging is Kyat 60,000/well <br> Ground water level <br> drinking. |  |
| Tube-well | Sesame + Pigeon pea (intercropping) <br> Pigeon pea |  |
| Main crop and variety <br> (in order with large area) | Groundnut $\quad$ Paddy |  |


| High revenue crop <br> ( In order with high revenue) | Sesame | Groundnut Paddy | Pigeon pea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Planting fruit tree | Tamarind and Mango for sale |  |  |  |
| Usage (Sell, own consumption) | Crop | For sale (\%) |  | For Home use +seeds (\%) |
|  | Sesame | 75 |  | 25 (for oil \& seeds) |
|  | Groundnut | 80 |  | 20 |
|  | Pigeon pea | 90 |  | 10 |
|  | Paddy | 10 |  | 90 |
|  | Vegetable planting:2-3 times/year (roselle, gourd, chilie, tomato, onion) |  |  |  |
| Varieties | Crop | Variety |  |  |
|  | Sesame | Warkyaanet |  |  |
|  | Groundnut | Toontarni |  |  |
|  | Pigeon pea | Pwintphyu Thukha |  |  |
|  | Paddy | Monywa Shwedinga |  |  |
| Sell destination | Farmers visits U Thein Tun Win (broker) to sell their product in Magway. |  |  |  |
| Soil condition | Sandy loam and middle fertility level. Farmers use fertilizer. |  |  |  |
| Conserving water agricultural technology | Ground water level is only 40 feet (around 12 meters). Shallow well and/or treadle pump are used and it is effective to provide limited water resource. Unglazed pottery with full water is set under Mango tree and this leaking water is used as stable irrigation. <br> (Refer to photo below) |  |  |  |
| The minimum size of farmland for livelihood | 10 ac / households |  |  |  |
| The minimum living cost | Average number of households: Kyat 300,000/month/household |  |  |  |
| Remark | To use the unglazed pottery for fruit tree seedling is primitive method however this method is very suitable for locality with the view of conserving water method even when target crop and irrigated area is limited. <br> Moreover, treadle pump irrigation can be a model for intensive vegetable cultivation of conserving water agriculture with the condition of narrow ground water level and easy digging. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Treadle pump in garden for irrigation and domestic work | Mango Cultiv resource of ung | with water <br> d pottery |  | maged diversion weir and sedimentation |

Village Survey in CDZ

| Research date | 4 May 2013 ( Saturday) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village Name | Phon |
| Village Tract | Balon |
| Township | Myingyan |
| Region | Mandalay |


| Location | 30 minutes by car from city centre of Myingyan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interviewee | Village chairman |  |  |
| General information of Village : |  |  |  |
| Geography | Undulating land |  |  |
| Population | 600 people (in 2013) |  |  |
| Total number of households | 165 households |  |  |
| Number of farmers | 135 households |  |  |
| Number of landless farmer | 30 households (Farm labor) |  |  |
| Average number of households | 3.6 people/household |  |  |
| Agricultural information : |  |  |  |
| Farmland structure | Total cultivated land:700ac (Upland:620~630ac, Lowland (paddy field): <br> 70~80ac |  |  |
| Water resource of irrigation / irrigation method | Furrow irrigation is used for upland. The water comes from rain water or pumped from tube-well. For paddy field, the water is irrigated from dam. For vegetable in garden, farmers use water pot only. |  |  |
| Water use fee | NA |  |  |
| Ground water level | 100~160 feet |  |  |
| Tube-well | Irrigating upland by tube well. Investment is necessary for digging operation. <br> For digging operation: Kyat 500,000, Pump :Kyat 500,000(made in china) $=$ Total Kyat 1.0 million |  |  |
| Main crop and variety (in order with large area) | Onion Chick pea Monson Paddy(Manaw Thuka) Pigeon pea Vegetable: Cauliflower, Cabbage, Eggplant, Mustard, Tomato |  |  |
| High revenue crop ( In order with high revenue) | Onion, Chick pea, Cabbage |  |  |
| fruit tree | Tamarind and Mango for sale |  |  |
| Usage (sell, own-consumption) | Crop | For sell (\%) | For Home use +seeds (\%) |
|  | Onion | 100 | 0 |
|  | Chick pea | 99 | 1 |
|  | Pigeon pea | 100 | 0 |
|  | Paddy | 0 | 100 |
|  | Vegetable: planting by 2~3times/year (Roselle, gourd, Chili, tomato) |  |  |
| Varieties | Crop | Variety |  |
|  | Onion | Shwe Pharar |  |
|  | Chick pea | Yezin 6 |  |
|  | Pigeon pea | Monywa Shwe Din |  |
|  | Paddy | Manowtoka |  |
| Selling destination | Farmers go to Myingyan to sell to broker |  |  |
| Soil condition | Sandy and poor soil. Construction in bank to stop flow of soil.. Rotary cultivator with 2 wire (Kyat 1.9 million/car) can cultivate 10 inch depth |  |  |
| Conserving water agricultural technology | Upland depends on rainy water. Rotation irrigation in paddy field. Tube-well is individually owned and it is sold to farmer who do not use irrigation (Kyat5,000/day). No mulching cultivation here. |  |  |
| The minimum size of farmland for livelihood | $10 \mathrm{ac} /$ households |  |  |


| The minimum living cost | NA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Remark | Onion is main production. Upland depends on rain water. However one part of upland has tube-well, where onion and vegetables are cultivated. The farmer, who does not own Tube-well, pays for water fee and obtains water to irrigate his crops. However the beneficiaries are only farmers around tube-well. <br> Interviewee (farmer) input chemical fertilizer more than the recommendation of DOA. <br> Draft cow for cultivation is around Kyat 800,000, set of draft cow cost is Kyat 1.6 million. |  |
|  |  |  |
| Onion planting with Tube-well Irrigation | Farmer own culitivator. However, they use mainly draftcow. | Onion as main product |

Village Survey in CDZ

| Research date | $5^{\text {th }}$ May 2013 (Sun) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village Name | Zee Pin Kan |
| Village Tract | Zee Pin Kan |
| Township | Myingyan |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | Village chairman. GAD <br> (GAD makes docated in all village tract also participated. <br> so on. Refer to the attached document) |
| Interviewee | Undulating |
| General info of Village | 1,875 people (in 2013) |
| Geography | 426 households |
| Population | 258 households (61\% of population) |
| Total number of households | 168 households (163 Farm labor households + 5 government staff <br> households) |
| Number of farmer | 4.4 people /households |
| Number of landless farmer | Total cultivated land: 4,245ac (Upland:3,415 ac, Lowland (paddy <br> field):70~80ac) |
| Average number of households |  |

[^8]

|  | month/year) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Village Survey in CDZ




Village Survey in CDZ

| Research date | 7 May 2013 (Tuesday) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village name | Dahat See |
| Village Tract | Dahat See |
| Township | Nyaung U |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | 1hour by car from Nyaung U |
| Interviewee | Village chairman and farmers |
| General information of village |  |




Village Survey in CDZ

| Research date | 7 May 2013(Tuesday) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Village name | Htee Pu |  |
| Village Tract | Htee Pu |  |
| Township | Nyaung U |  |
| Region | Mandalay |  |
| Location | 1.5 hour by car from city centre of Nyaung U |  |
| Interviewee | Village chairman and farmers |  |
| General information in Village | Undulating |  |
| Geography | 1,065 people (in 2013) |  |
| Population | 253 households |  |
| Total number of households | 150 households |  |
| Number of farmers | 103 households (Farm labor belongs to upland work and extraction of <br> Toddy) |  |
| Number of Landless farmers | 4.2 people /households |  |
| Average number of households |  |  |
| Agricultural information | Total cultivated area: 3,000ac for Upland <br> Farmland structureWater source for irrigation is storage reservoir. Water is available from <br> June to March. This storage reservoir is rehabilitated by investment of <br> monk in 2006 and by doing yearly repair.. <br> For the tomato farmland (450 ac), famers take glass of drinking water from <br> drinking water facility (donated by UNICEF and JICA) to irrigate tomato <br> when transplanting. Tomato farmland is furrowed. |  |
| Water resource of irrigation / | Glass of water from drinking water facility is free of charge. <br> However, farmers from outside of village need to pay for water. |  |
| irrigation method | 1000 feet is necessary to be dug Tube-well. This it too costly for farmers. |  |
| Water use fee | Ground water level |  |



| storage reservoir <br> (No water before rainy season) | Plowing of tomato farmland <br> (Mt. Popa in back view) | Tomato farmland and Jujube of <br> natural growing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Village Survey in CDZ




## Village Survey in CDZ

| Date | May 6, 2013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village Name | Kyaung Pin Si |
| Village Tract | Taung Zin |
| Township | Nyaung U |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | One hour distant by car from the center of Nyaung U |
| Interviewees | Village chairman and farmers |
| General information of the village : |  |
| Land form | Farmland with gentle slope |
| Population | Above 2,000 persons (as of May 2013) |
| Total Households | 375 households |
| Number of farm household | 150 households |
| Landless household | 225 households, working in toddy juice collection and its processing, and |
| Averaged family size | $5.3 /$ household |



Village Survey in CDZ




## Village Survey in CDZ

| Date | May 20, 2013 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Village name | Taung Ba |
| Village Tract | Taung Ba |
| Township | Nyaung U |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | 30 minutes distant from the center of Nyaung U by car |
| Interviewee | Beneficiary ACIAR |
| General information of the village : |  |
| Land form | Relatively flat |




Village Survey in CDZ

| Date | May 20, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Village | Myoe Hla |
| Village Tract | Y warr Thar |
| Township | Nyaung U |
| Region | Mandalay |
| Location | About 30 minutes distant from the center of Nyaung U by car |
| Interviewee | CF of DAR/beneficiary of ACIAR |
| General information of the village |  |
| Land form | Relatively flat |
| Population | 500(as of 2013) |
| Total households | 85 |
| Number of farm households | 85 |
| Landless households | 0 |
| Averaged family members | 5.9/family |
| Information about ACIAR |  |
| The project of ACIAR was implemented for 5 years from 2005~2009. Interviewee is a beneficiary of the project. ACIAR provided only pigeon seeds and no support on water-saving technology. The varieties of pigeon pea are (1) native variety in the first year and (2)ICPL96058 in the second year. 10 farmers still cultivate ICPL96058. |  |
| Information on agriculture : |  |
| Composition of the farmlands | Total cultivable area: 100 ac. Upland:20 ac, Kyun:80 ac |
| Irrigation water source \& irrigation methods | For upland, tube-well and rainfed. In Kyun, Several tube-wells are used for furrow irrigation. |
| Water charge | None |
| Groundwater level | $60 \sim 80 \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{upland}$, |
| Tube-well | 60-80 ft in upland for which manual digging is applicable |
| Main crops and varieties in order | Groundnut, Onion, Green gram, Pigeon pea Groundnut and Onion are iintercropped. One month after planting onion, groundnut is sown. In Kyun, tomato, pumpkin, Roselle, gourd and eggplant are cultivated for selling purpose. Farmers consider sesame as risky for |


|  | cultivation. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Profitability in order | Groundnut, Onion, Green gram, Pigeon pea |  |  |
| Fruits | Jujube for selling, and Mango, Tamarind for home consumption |  |  |
| Purpose of cultivation | Crop | For sell (\%) | For Home use +seeds (\%) |
|  | Groundnut | 80 | 20 |
|  | Onion | 100 | 0 |
|  | Pigeon pea | 99 | 1 |
|  | Green gram | 99 | 1 |
| Varieties | Crop | Variety |  |
|  | Onion | Red variety |  |
|  | Groundnut | Sin-6, Sin11 |  |
|  | Pigeon pea | ICPL96058 |  |
|  | Green gram | Native variety |  |
| Buyer | Broker comes to the village to purchase products from Myingyan, Seikhphyu, Yangon, Pakokku because the village produce crop in bulk. |  |  |
| Soil | Sandy in upland with medium fertility. The soil in Kyun is much better than that of upland. They input compost. |  |  |
| Water-saving technology | None |  |  |
| Land tax | Kyat 0.5 /ac for upland, and Kyat 3/ac for Kyun for which land user is selected by lottery every year. |  |  |
| Minimum farm size to feed a family | 15 ac/family |  |  |
| Minimum income to feed a family | Kyat 150,000/family/month for 6 members |  |  |
| Findings | Alluvial soil in Kaing accounts for 80\%, and tube-well can be dug easily because of village is located nearby river. Vegetable can be cultivated using tube-wells. But no water-saving technology can be found. Broker comes to purchase products due to mass production of crops. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Kaing Land beside the river | Tube- | on river side | Furrow irrigation beside the river |

## Appendix-4 Minutes

| Subject | About activities of Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research <br> (ACIAR) in CDZ |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | 23 April 2013 16:30~17:30 |
| Place | Park royal Hotel (Yangon) |
| Persons Met | Myo Thura (Program Coordinator, ACIAR Myanmar Research Program) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants: Iriya,Kikuchi |
| Document Obtained |  |

(1) 5 area of research project

- 5 areas (rice, pulse, fisheries, livestock and extension program) research activities started when budget was provided in 2012. Rice and fisheries already started. They plan to dispatch experts for each of the five (5) areas.
- Purpose is to improve food security of small scale farmers and targeting CDZ to Irrawaddy area. Total operating cost is120 million US\$. Project term is four (4) years. AusAID is source of financial assistance.
- DAR is in charge of rice, pulse and extension program as C/P. LBVD (Livestock Breeding and Veterinary Department) is in charge of fisheries and livestock. http://aciar.gov.au/country/Myanmar (project introduction. ACIAR Website)
(2) Research project of pulse
- ACIAR plans to undertake research on pulse in CDZ. The base to be used is the Yezin Agriculture University and Magway Agriculture University, ACIAR will undertake research and develop soil/water/crop with the cooperation of universities, DAR and DOA.
- In particular, training on measurement on soil moisture will be provided to extension workers, students and farmers.
- ACIAR conducted research on pulse 10 years ago in CDZ and tried to find high drought resistant variety. The project is extension of this research.
(3) Conserving water agricultural technology
- Terre des Homma Italia (TDH) , Italian NGO, did demonstration experiment of Hydroponic System at the Yezin University in its Magway Campus. Cultivated crop is watermelon and lettuce.
- http://www.mmtimes.com/index.php/national-news/4041-hydroponics-introduced-in-dry-zone-vil lages.html (TDH`s project introduction, Article of Myanmar Times (Feb.11, 2013). According to the article, the project is implemented in Yenangyaung and Natmau township of Magway Region in cooperation with REAM which is NGO of Myanmar. Contact person of Yezin university is Dr. Mi Mi Aung.

| Subject | Introduction of inception report (DOA) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | April 25, 2013 (Thu) 10:00~11:00 |$|$| Place | Department of Agriculture (DOA), MOAI (Nay Pyi Taw) |
| :---: | :--- |
| Persons Met | U Than Kyaing (Director General, Planning, Tel: 098304320) <br> U Thi Wen (Diputy Director, Planning, Tel: 09420706983) <br> Daw Pyone Pyone Mon (Staff Officer) <br> Daw Nyein Nyein Kyaw (Deputy Staff Officer) |
| Study Team | Sanyu consultants: Mr Iriya, Mr Kikuchi |
| Document <br> Obtained | List of Experimental Field under the DOA |

(4) Inception Report

- The Study Team submitted Inception Report and presented outline of the study to DOA officials. Also the Study team submitted site survey schedule of the study and four (4) types of questioners to be used during the study.
(5) Site Survey
- DOA Accepted that the central office will issue official letter to the three (3) regional (Sagaing, Mandalay and Magway) offices and three (3) township (Myingyan, Nyaung Oo and Magway) offices concerned so that they will be informed in advance prior to the Team's visit.
- Also, the DOA officials accepted that they will inform the 3 township offices that the Study Team will send a Survey Team to conduct farm household survey for a total of 240 households from April 26 in Nyaung Oo Township. For this purpose, the DOA promised that they will ask township office to select four (4) survey sites in each township considering regional balance and requirement of the project.
(6) List of Experimental Field
- The Study Team asked DOA to provide a list of experimental field under the DOA, The DOA provided the list during the meeting.
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|}\hline \text { Subject } & \text { Introduction of inception report (DAR) } \\ \hline \text { Date } & \text { April 25, 2013 (Thu) 14:00~15:00 } \\ \hline \text { Place } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Department of Agricultural Research (DAR), MOAI (Nay Pyi Taw) } \\ \hline\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Pr. Thein Lwin (Director General) } \\ \text { Pr. Aung Kyi (Deputy Director General) } \\ \text { Dr. Tun Shwe (Head, Food Legumes Crop Section) } \\ \text { U Maung Maung Then (Director, Soil, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering } \\ \text { Division) } \\ \text { Dr. Khin Mar Htay (Assistant Research Officer, Water Utilization Research Section) } \\ \text { Dr. Aung Moe Myo Tint (Section Head, Other Cereal Crop Section) } \\ \text { Dr. Ye Tin Tin (Section Head, Planning Section) } \\ \text { U Kyaw Myaing (Water Management Section) } \\ \text { Daw Mint Thidar (Water Management Section) } \\ \text { Daw Khaing Khaing Htwe (Planning Section) } \\ \text { Daw Myint Myint San(Planning Section) } \\ \text { Daw Khin Mar Mar New (Oil Seed Crop Section) }\end{array}\right]$
quality analysis. Sample for the soil test shall be taken from 20 parts of the field and mixed in a plastic bag. While water samples for quality analysis shall be taken from the tube well, canal and creek using plastic bottle.

3) Potential Crops

- DAR accepted the Study Teams' suggestion on the priority crops for market chain survey which included cereal (maize), oil crops (sesame, sunflower, groundnut), pulses (green gram, chick pea, pigeon pea), and vegetables and fruit (onion, dragon fruits).
- Magway is famous for Groundnut and Sesame, whereas Sagaing is famous for Sesame and sunflower.
- In addition, DAR introduced that some fruits are produced in
 the CDZ including grapes (Mandalay, Meiktila), Mango (Kyaukse in Mandalay), Tamarind (Magway).

4) Others

- Soil map will be provided by Land Use Department of DOA-Yangon (Dr. Yet Tin Tin)
- DAR promised to provide Technical Report of the AICARs project which was completed in 2010 (a 4-year project from 2007).
- As for marketing issue, DAP is appropriate office to collect data and information.
- DAR' understanding of the water saving agricultural technology includes water harvesting, drip irrigation, and sprinkler irrigation.

(Sagaing), Mawlamyine, Pathein, Pyay, Taunggyi,(Shan), Thegone, Innlay, and Aungbang, Nay Pyi Taw, and Larshoe.
- The market information service was started on May 1999, under technical support by FAO, namely "Agricultural Market Information Service Project", which was completed on 2001. Prices of the MIS are wholesale buying prices for Thegone, Aungban and Innlay, and
- Monthly Price Bulletin is one of output of the project and was issued since January 2000. Commodities covered by the Bulletin are Rice, Maize, Cooking Oil, Oilseed Crops, Pulses, Culinary (Kitchen Crops), Vegetables and Fruits.

4) Others

- DAP had conducted a technical cooperation project for marketing with FAO from 1999.

| Subject | Farm Household Survey |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | April 28 (Sun), 2013 |
| Place | Thant Sin Kyae Village, Nyaung Oo TS, Mandalay Region |
| Persons Met | Upland Farmers |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Kikuchi |
| Document <br> Obtained | non |

1) 

Farmer A


- The farmer produces sesame for cash crop and groundnut for home consumption.
- The farmer brings sesame to Nyaung Oo by tractor (5 miles away from village) and sells to broker, namely Mya Sein Yaung Co, Ltd. (Emerald Green Color) which was established a few years ago. The person in charge of procurement is U Ma Than Than Nu.
- The trading company brings sesame to Mandalay for export.
- Price of sesame last year is $1,700 \mathrm{~K} /$ viss while this year is $2,000 \mathrm{~K} /$ Viss.

2) Farmer B

- The farmer plants pulses (groundnut and green gram) and sesame under rainfed condition, in a total of 32 acre farmland (sesame in 12 acre, groundnut and green gram in 20 acre). Groundnut and green gram is more drought tolerant than sesame. Yield of are groundnut and green gram (130-140 viss/acre), and sesame ( $0-450$ viss/acre). If rain comes late
 (before the end of May), yield of sesame is zero, which usually happens every 3 to 4 years.
- Price of groundnut and green gram are $1,700-1,800 \mathrm{~K} /$ viss and $700-800 \mathrm{~K} /$ viss, respectively.
- Marketing rates of his products are groundnut (80\%), green gram (100\%), and sesame (80\%). The farmer bring product to Nyaung Oo and sell them to Mya Sein Yaung Co, Ltd.
- The trader provides seed, fertilizer, and pesticide to farmers who in turn pays back the money after harvest. The trader also provides storage facility where the farmer can store their product until an appropriate price is reached that will make the farmer sell.

| Subject | Data and Information Collection at Mandalay Region |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | April 29 (Mon), 2013 10:00am~12:00am |$|$| DOA Regional Office in Mandalay Region (Mandalay) |
| :---: |
| Place |
| Persons Met |
| U Hla Myint Aung (Deputy Director) |
| Daw Khin Soe Htay (Staff Officer, Project Planning) Team |
| Daw Htay Htay Yi (Staff Officer, Marketing) |

1) Potential Crops

- Rice is not sufficient to meet domestic demand and needs further enhancement for production. Whereas pulses and oil crops has high potential which are mostly planted in upland area.
- Potential fruits in the CDZ are Mango (Nyaung Oo, Myingyan), Banana (Sagaing), Dragon Fruits, Grapes (Meiktila), and Plum.


2) Land size classification

- Officially recognized land size classification is; large size (more than 50 acre), middle size (10 to 50 acre), and small size (less than 10 acre).

3) Market Information

- Market information is taken from three (3) major markets in Mandalay including 1) crop exchange market, 2) Kain Dan market for fruits, and 3) Thairi Marlar market for vegetables.
- Marketing officer visited these three (3) market every day to get price of commodity (wholesale price) and send the data to DAP in Nay Pyi Taw by fax on a daily bases.. For this purpose, the office has own form for daily price collection. The marketing office develop annual report for market price trend for each crops obtained, but all in Burmese.
- Market price report has been prepared since 2000. It can be obtained at Nay Pyi Taw.

4) Others

- Out of 28 townships in Mandalay, 13 townships are located in the CDZ.
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Central Government | Department of Agricultural Planning (DAP), MOAI | U Aung Hlaing (Deputy Director General) | Building 15, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 9567-410109 (0), } \\ & 9567-410406 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | aunghlaing7855@gmail.c om | (Meeting on April 24, 2013) Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Central Government | Department of Agriculture <br> (DOA), MOAI | U Kyaw Win (Director General) | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | Office: 9567-410007 HP: 959-8302464 Fax: $9567-410138$ | kyawwinhorti@gmail.com | Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Central Government |  | U Aye Tun (Deputy Director General) | Office: Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw Residence: Bujilding No. 5108, Room No.2, Wanathaithti Quarter, Nay Pyi Taw | Ph: 9567-401166 <br> Mobile: 959-8302461 | dydgdoa@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 16, 2013) Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Central Government |  | U Than Kyaing (Director of Project Planning, Management and Evaluation Division) | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 067-410515, 09830- } \\ & 4320 \\ & \text { Fax: 067-410146 } \end{aligned}$ | thankyaing164@gmail.co $\underline{m}$ | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) 1st Contact Person of the Study, Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Central Government |  | U Twi Whin (Deputy Director, Project Planning, Management and | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 067-410146, 067- } \\ & 410297 \end{aligned}$ |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Pyone Pyone Mon (Staff Officer) | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 067-410297 |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Nyein Nyein Kyaw (Deputy Staff Officer) | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tel: 067-410146, 067- } \\ & 410297 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Nilar Aung (Staff Officer) | Bujilding No. 15, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 067-410297 |  | Contact Person of the Study, Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Thein Lwin (Director General) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | Office: 9567-416533 <br> Mobile: 094-485-37950 <br> Fax: 9567-416535 | dgdar@moai.gov.mm theinlwindar@gmail.com | (Meeting on April 25,2013) |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Aung Kyi (Deputy Director General) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel 094-3078726 |  | (Meeting on April 25,2013) |
| Central Government |  | U Maung Maung Then (Director, Soil, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Tel 9567-416505 } \\ \text { Fax 9567-416535 } \end{array}$ | mmthein5@gmail.com | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | U Thant Lwin Oo (Director, Maize \& Other Cereal, Oil Seed Crops and Food Leaumec Division) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 9567-416554 Fax: $9567-416535$ Mobile: 95 (0) $9430-$ 38467 | thant2007@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 17, 2013) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Government | Department of Agricultural Research (DAR), MOAI | Dr. Tun Shwe (Head, Food Legumes Crop Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 9567-416531 (ext.333) <br> Res: 0943-129426 | dtshwe@gmail.com | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) Ph.D (Agronomy) (ULBP, Philippines) |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Khin Mar Htay (Assistant Research Officer, Water Utilization Deconorn cantinnl | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel 9567-416532, 0943- } \\ & 159686 \\ & \text { Fax 9567-416535 } \end{aligned}$ | khinmarhtay2007@gmail. com | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) <br> Water Quality Analysis |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Su Su Win (Section Head, Soil Science Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 9567-416531 <br> (ext.388) <br> Mob: 959-2024052 <br> Fax: 9567-416535 | susuwinmyanmar@gmail. com | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) <br> Soil Analysis |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Aung Moe Myo Tint (Section Head, Other Cerial Crop Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Dr. Ye Tin Tin (Section Head, Planning Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | U Kyaw Myaing (Water Management Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Mint Thidar (Water Management Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Khaing Khaing Htwe (Planning Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Myint Myint San (Planning Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Khin Mar Mar New (Oil Seed Crop Section) | C30, Cherry Myaing Avenue, DAR, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw |  |  | (Meeting on April 25, 2013) |
| Central Government | Irrigation Department (ID), MOAI | U Tint Zaw (Deputy Director General) | Irrigation department, Thitsar Road, Yankin P.O, Yangon | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tel: 951-578109 (o), } \\ & 959-8301935 \\ & \text { Res: 959-5007652 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | dydgid@gmail.com dydg2- | (Meeting: April 23, 2013) <br> Visited Sanyu HQ on March 2013 |
| Central Government |  | U Tint Lwin (Director, <br> Procurment Branch) | Building No. 43, Irrigation Department, Nai Pyi Taw | Tel: 095-67-410019, Mobile: 095-09-8301939 Fax: 095-67-410102 | Tlwin4.irr@gmail.com | Visited Sanyu HQ on March 2013 |
| Central Government |  | Soe Naing (Deputy Director, Mechanical) | Building No. 43, Irrigation Department, Nai Pyi Taw | Tel: 067-410507 <br> Fax: 410100 | snaingirm@gmail.com | Visited Sanyu HQ on March 2013 |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Government | Department of Industrial Crop Development (DICD), MOAI | U Zaw Win (Director of Planning) | Department of Industrial Crop <br> Development (DICD), MOAI, Nai Pyi Taw | Office: 9567-431113, <br> Residence: 9501-685390, <br> Mobile: 09-8303613, <br> Fax: 9567-431262 | zawwin1977@gmail.com dicd.moai@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | Daw Khin Khin Swe (Deputy Director of Planning) | Department of Industrial Crop Development (DICD), MOAI, Nai Pyi Taw |  | dicd.moai@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) |
| Central Government | Water Resources Utilization Department (WRUD), MOAI | U Kyi Htut Win (Director General) | No. 50, MOAI Pho Zaung Hill, Oak-ta-ra-thiri Town, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar http://www.waterresources.gov.mm | Tel: 9567-403414(res) 959-8602260 (mobile) <br> Fax: 9567-431298 | kyihtutwinpgmail.com win.kyi_htut@live.unigis.n et | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | U Htay Lwin (Firector of Planning) | No. 50, MOAI Pho Zaung Hill, Oak-ta-ra-thiri Town, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar http://www.waterresources.gov.mm | Tel: 9567-431225 (res) 959-6520903 (mobile) <br> Fax: 9567-431293 | sehtaylwin@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) |
| Central Government |  | U Khin Zaw (Director of Civil Division) | No. 50, MOAI Pho Zaung Hill, Oak-ta-ra-thiri Town, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar http://www.waterresources.gov.mm | Tel: 9567-431228 (office) 9567-414349 (res) 959-6520571 (mobile) | khinzaw6378@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 13, 2013) |
| Central Government | Mnistry of Livestock and Fisheries | U Ohn Myint (Union Minister) | Building No. 36, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 95-98300400, 9595400090, 95- <br> 9448548909 <br> Fax: 9567-408049 | ohnmyint54@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 14, 2013) |
| Mandalay Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mandalay <br> Region | DOA, Mandalay Region | U Hla Myint Aung (Deputy Director) | Mandalay Region Office, Thaikpan Street, Mandalay | Tel 095-02-78642, 65744 Fax 095-02-78656 | hlamyint.aung.123@gmail .com | (Meeting on April 29,2013) |
| Mandalay Region |  | Daw Khin Soe Htay (Staff Officer, Project Planning) | Mandalay Region Office, Thaikpan Street, Mandalay |  |  | (Meeting on April 29,2013) |
| Mandalay Region |  | Daw Htay Htay Yi (Staff Officer, Marketing) | Mandalay Region Office, Thaikpan Street, Mandalay |  |  | (Meeting on April 29,2013) Collecting Wholesale Price from |
| Mandalay Region | ID, Mandalay Region | U Kyaw Thu (Deputy Director) | No.45/B, parame Street, Between 58X59 \& 26X27 Street, Mandalay | Tel: 02-78662 <br> Mobile: 09-2059209 | kthu264@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 24,2013) |
| Mandalay Region |  | Daw Kyi (Head Officer) (BaAg-1982) | Myingyan Township Office, DOA, Mandalay Region | Tel 066-21076 |  | (Meeting on May 3,2013) |

Appendix-5 Contact Person of the Study (as of May 2013)

| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandalay Region | DOA, Myingyan TS | Daw Sandi Win (Subassistant Engineer) (Aaronomist) | Myingyan Township Office, DOA, Mandalay Region |  |  | (Meeting on May 3,2013) Marketing in Charge |
| Mandalay Region |  | U Than Swe (ex-Assistant Manager) | Myingyan Township Office, DOA, Mandalay Region | Tel 09-2033460 $(951)(066) 01914 / 22086$ | Email moai@myanmar.com | (Meeting on May 4,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Department of Industrial Crop Development (DICD), | U Tin Aung (Township Officer) | Myingyan Township Office, Department of Industrial Crop Development, Mandalay Region | Tel 09-402741250 |  | (Meeting on May 6,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Myingyan Township Office, MOAI | Daw Ni Ni Win (Deputy Supertendent) | Myingyan Township Office, Department of Industrial Crop Development, Mandalay Region | Tel 09-43082718 |  | (Meeting on May 6,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Dryzone Agricultural Research Center, DARNyaung Oo, MOAI | Daw Khin Myint Kyi (Research Officer, Farm Manager) | Nyaung oo Township, DAR, Mandalay Region | tel 09-6502910 | kmkagridar@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 7,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Livestock Breeding and | Dr. Yan Naing Soe (Director) | Livestock Breeding and Veterinary Department (LBVD), Mandalay Region Office, Mandalay | Tel: 09-8503077 | yannaingvet@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 21,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Veterinary Department (LBVD), Mandalay Region, Ministry of Livestock and | Dr. Aung Kyi Oo (Assistant Director) | Livestock Breeding and Veterinary Department (LBVD), Mandalay Region Office, Mandalay | Tel: 09-402514479 | dr.aungkyioo@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 21,2013) |
| Mandalay Region | Fisheries | Dr. Hla Hla Mon (Research Officer) | Livestock Breeding and Veterinary Department (LBVD), Mandalay Region Office, Mandalay | Tel: 09-2009625 |  | (Meeting on June 21,2013) |
| Sagaing Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sagaing <br> Region | DOA, Sagaing Region | U Thein Sin (Deputy Director) | Sagaing Region office, DOA, Monywa, Sagaing Region | Tel 09-2131842 |  | (Meeting on May 2,2013) |
| Sagaing <br> Region |  | Daw San San Myint (Staff Officer) | Sagaing Region office, DOA, Monywa, Sagaing Region | Tel 09-400453320 |  | (Meeting on May 2,2013) |
| Sagaing <br> Region |  | U Zaw Naing Win (Subassistant Officer, Marketing) | Sagaing Region office, DOA, Monywa, Sagaing Region | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel 071-22542/071- } \\ & 22801 \end{aligned}$ |  | (Meeting on May 2,2013) |
| Sagaing <br> Region |  | U Zaw Than Win (Temporally Staff) | Sagaing Region office, DOA, Monywa, Sagaing Region | Tel 09-400424074 |  | (Meeting on May 2,2013) |
| Sagaing <br> Region | Trade Promotion Department, Sagaing | U Aung Maung (Director, Sagaing Region) |  | Tel: 09-401670899 <br> Fax: 071-22924 | aungmgg.sinkyoe@gmail. com | (Meeting on June 25,2013) |
| Magway Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magway <br> Region | DOA, Magway Region Office, MOAI | U Khin Maung Lay (Deputy Director, Head of Division) | DOA Magway Region Office, Magway |  |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Magway <br> Region |  | U Aung Myint (Assistant <br> Director, Deputy Regional <br> Chinf Offinar) | DOA Magway Region Office, Magway |  |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Magway <br> Region |  | Daw Khin May Thnit (Staff Officer), DOA Magway | DOA Magway Region Office, Magway |  |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Magway <br> Region |  | Daw Than Than Swe (Staff Officer), DOA Magway | DOA Magway Region Office, Magway |  |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Magway <br> Region |  | U Oo Than (Assistant Director), DOA Magway | DOA Magway Region Office, Magway |  |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Magway <br> Region | DOA, Magway TS | U Khaing Min (Township Officer) | Magway Township Office, DOA, Magway Region | Tel 09-43026674 |  | (Meeting on May 8,2013) |
| Development Partners |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DP | JICA Myanmar Office | Yoko Yamazaki (Project Formulation Advisor, Agriculture and Rural | \#701, 7th floor, Sakura Tower, No.339, Bogyoke Aung San Road, Kyauktada Township, Yangon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 951-255473-6 } \\ & \text { Fax: 951-255477 } \\ & \text { H.P.959-4320-8596 } \end{aligned}$ | Yamazaki.Yoko@jica.go.j | (Meeting: April 22, 2013) |
| DP | USAID | Luis Guzman (Agricultural Officer) | U.S. Agency for International Development, American Embarry, 110 University Avenue, Kamayut | (95-1) 536-509 Ext 4403 | GuzmanL1@state.gov | (Meeting: May 23, 2013) |
|  |  | Ma Yin Yin Aye (Administrative Assistant to the Mission Director) | U.S. Agency for International Development, American Embarry, 110 University Avenue, Kamayut | (95-1) 536-509 Ext 4862 | AyeYY@state.gov | Contact Person by E-mail |
| DP | UNDP | U Win Htin (National Project Coordinator, CDRT Oninat | No. 8 (c), Bogyoke Museum Street, Bahan Township, Yangon, Myanmar |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { win.htin@undpaffiliates.or } \\ & \mathrm{g} \end{aligned}$ | (Meeting: May 27, 2013) |
|  |  | U Khaing Kyaw Htoo (Area Project Manager, Northern Rakhino Statal | No. 8 (c), Bogyoke Museum Street, Bahan Township, Yangon, Myanmar | Tel: (951) 557896, 546718-21 |  | (Meeting: May 27, 2013) |
| DP | AUSAid | Jillian Ray (Ms.) ( Second <br> Secretary (Development <br> Assistance)) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: + 95-1-251-810 ( Ex. } \\ & 203 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | jillian.ray@ausaid.gov.au | Contact Person at Prereminary Survey (introduced by JICA Inouesan) |
| ס | $\underline{F} \Delta$ | Bui Thi Lan <br> (Representative in <br> Mvanmar) |  |  | Buithi.Lan@fao.org | Contact Person at Prereminary Survey (introduced by JICA Inouesan) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Maung Maung Lwin <br> (Project Manager, ESFSP) |  |  | maungmaung.lwin@fao.or g | Contact Person at Prereminary Survey (introduced by JICA Inouesan) |
| DP | GIZ | Mr. Thomas Schneider (Senior Advisor,MyanmarGermany Private Sector Development Programme) | No. 35 (B)/15 New University Avenue, Bahan Township, Yangon Website: www.giz.de | M +959401555 828 | thomas.schneider@giz.de | Introduced by U Thant Zaw Soe |
| DP | KOICA | Shin, ManShik (Resident Representative) | Embassy of Republic of Korea, 97, University Avenue Road, Bahan Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-539572, 527142- <br> 4 <br> Mobile: 09-8636831 | msshin713@koica.go.kr | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
|  |  | Jong Soo SHIN, Ph.D (ODA Specialist in Agriculture) | Embassy of Republic of Korea, 97, University Avenue Road, Bahan Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-539572, 527142- <br> 4 <br> Mobile: 09-4199-5659 | ploriano@gmail.com | Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| DP/Research Institute | Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR) -AUSAid | Dr. Gamini Keerthisinghe |  |  | keerthisinghe@aciar.gov. au | Introduced by Mr. Yoshida, Coordinator of Regioonal Program in Mvanmar |
|  |  | Myo Thura (Program Coordinator, ACIAR Myanmar Research Program) | Left Office, Room 341, Inya Lake <br> Hotel, Yangon <br> Website: aciar.gv.au | Tel: 951-657703-06 <br> Mobile: 959-4211-75942 | Email: <br> myo.thura@aciar.gov.au | (Meeting: April 23, 2013) 5 research program inc. legume project in CDZ, started from 2012 for 4 years. |
| Businbess Development Service (BDS) Providers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BDS in Yangon | The Republic of the Union of Myanmar Federation of Chamber of Commerce \& Industry (UMFCCI) | U Win Aung (President) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon www.umfcci.com.mm | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tel: 951-214341-2 } \\ \text { Fax: 951-214484 } \end{array}$ | ```dagon.winaung@gmail.co m umfcci@mptmail.net.mm``` | Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Dr. Myo Thet (Secretary General) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon www.umfcci.com.mm |  | myothet.sywh@gmail.co m | Introduced by U Ye Myint (ID) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Rice Federation (MRF) | Dr. Soe Tun (Central Executive Committee (CEC) Member) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon www.myanmarricefederation.org | Mobile: 959-5041934, 8516078 Tel: 951-218266-68, $2301128-29$ | soetun@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) <br> MAPCO, <br> President of Myanmar Farmers' <br> Association (MFA) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Khin Soe (Technical Advisor) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon www.myanmarricefederation.org | Mobile: 959-8303472, <br> 5007468 <br> Tel: 951-255083-84 | khinsoe@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) <br> MAPCO |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Ms. Phyu Zin Aung (Researcher) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon www.myanmarricefederation.org | Mobile: 959-73234924 <br> Tel: 951-218266-68, 2301128-29 | phyuzinag@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) <br> MAPCO |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Fruit and Vegetable Producers and Exporters Association | U Hnin Oo (Vice President, MFVPEA) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 098-60-2484, } \\ & \text { 095-00-2717 } \end{aligned}$ | nhninsapphire@gmail.co m | (Meeting on May 22, 2013) <br> Chairman of Myanmar Fisheries <br> Federation |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Food Processors and Exporters Association (MFPEA) | Dr. Aye Kyaw (Laboratory Manager, Food Industries Development Supporting Laboratory) | No. 29, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Tel: 09-73239280 <br> Office: 951-214846 <br> Residence: 951-537312 <br> Fax: 951-214846 | ayekyawmafpea@gmail.c om | (Meeting on May 22, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Myo Thant (Vice President) | Room 1002, UMFCCI Tower, No.29, Minye Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Tel: 09-5012997 | myothan@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 30, 2013) <br> Managing Director of Myo Myint <br> Tharyar Rubber Estate (Delta |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Rubber Planters \& Producers Association (MRPPA) | U Hla Myint (Advisor) | Room 1002, UMFCCI Tower, No.29, Minye Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Ph: 951-2301582 Res: 951-584238 Fax: 951-2301582 | hmyint.mrppa@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 30, 2013) <br> Former Director of Applied Research Center for Perennial |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Dr. Maung Maung Myint (Member Firm) | Room 1002, UMFCCI Tower, No.29, Minye Swar Road, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Tel: 229018/ 229019/ 229020 <br> Fax: 220238 | lighthouse90@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 30, 2013)Director of Lighthouse Enterprises Limited (largest rubber planter/ processor) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Fertilizer, Seed | U Thadoe Hein (President) | No (33), Shwe Padauk Yeikmon, Bayint Naung Road, Kamayut Tsp, Yangon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ph: 951-538097, } \\ & 5002152 \end{aligned}$ | thadoehein@awdagroup.com | (Meeting on June 3, 2013) Managing Director of Myanmar Awba Group |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Kyaw Tin Myint (Vice President) | G/60, Padauk Street, Nayint Naung, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon | Tel: 959-73022646, 8626347 <br> Fax: 951-217093 | kyawtinmyintbyn@gmail.c om | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) Yangon Region Chamber of Commerce and Industies |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Livestock <br> Federation | Dr. Than Hla (Executive Advisor) | Bayint Naung Road, West Gyogone, Insen TS. Yangon | Tel: 01-644041 Mobile: 09-5152694 Fax: 01-644843 | thn.hla@gmail.com myanmarlivestock@gmail .com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Yangon District Livestock <br> Federation | Dr. Moe Myint (Chairman) | Room No. 195, Ground Floor, 34th Street, Kyauktada Tsp, Yangon | Phone: 951-387104 HP: 95-973115056 | drmmyint@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) <br> Managing Director of Pan Thazin Co., Ltd. |

Appendix-5 Contact Person of the Study (as of May 2013)

| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDS in Yangon | Livestock Exporter Association, Myanmar Livestock Federation | U Win Sein (Vice Chairman, CEC Member) | No.524/B, Merchant Street, Kyauktada Tsp, Yangon | Tel: 951-246559 <br> Mobile: 09-5003393 <br> (local), 959-5003393 <br> (oversea) | hoisoonco.Itd@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Fishery Products Producers \& Exporters Association | U Moe Myint Kyaw (President) | Corner of Bayint Naung Road \& Say War Yone Street, West Gyo Gone, Insen Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-644031, 644032, 09-8763283 <br> Mobile: 09-5036069 | $\underline{\text { mpea@myanmar.com.m }}$ <br> $\underline{m}$ <br> mpea2004@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Pulses, Beans \& Sesame Seeds Merchants Association | U Myint Zaw (Excutive Committee Member) | UMFCCI Tower, No-29, Room No803, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Street, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-214828, 214836 <br> Mobile: 09-5002314 <br> Fax: 951-214836 | myintzaw,limfamily@gmai I.com | (Meeting on June 5, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Dr. Myat Soe (Central Executive Committee Member, News \& Information) | UMFCCI Tower, No-29, Room No803, Min Ye Kyaw Swar Street, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-214828, 214836 <br> Mobile: 09-5008322, <br> 73335577 <br> Fax: 951-214836 | noblesky@mptmail.net.m m | (Meeting on June 5, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Hein Het San (CEC Member) | No. 92 (3rd Floor9, 94th Street, Kandawgalay, Mingalar Taung Nyunt Townshin Yanoon | Tel: 01-394280, 095148200 | aungny65@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 5, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | JETRO Yangon | Tetsu Yamaguchi (Senior Advisor) | Sedona Hotel Business Suites \#0402, No.1, Kabar Aye Pagoda Road, Yankin Township, Yangon | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Tel: 951-544051-53 } \\ \text { HP: 95-942112-3964 } \\ \text { Fax: 951-544048 } \end{array}$ | tetsu.yamaguchi125@gm ail.com | (Meeting on June 5, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Foreign Trade <br> Bank (MFTB) | Daw San San Myint (Assistant General Manager, Import Department) | 80-86, Maha Bandoola Garden Street, Yangon | Office: 095-01-382083 <br> Resident: 095-01-651181 <br> Fax: 095-01-254586 | MFTB.HOYGN@mptmail. net.mm | (Meeting on May 21, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Investment and Commercial Bank (MICB) | Kyaw Min (Manager) | No.170-176, Bo Aung Kyaw Street, Yangon, Myanmar | Tel: (95)01-371020 <br> Fax: (95)01-256871 | mgrict- <br> micbho@mofr.gov.mm | (Meeting on May 23, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Agricultural | Thein Swe (Managing Director) | No. 26/42, Pansodan Street, Kyauktada Township, Yangon, Myanmar Website: http://madb.moai.gov.mm | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tel: } 951-391016 \\ \text { HP: 09-5005313 } \\ \text { Fax: } 951-391002 \end{array}$ | madb@mptmail.net.mm | (Meeting on May 23, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Daw Khin Nan Myint (Deputy General Manager, Loans Department) | No. 26/42, Pansodan Street, Kyauktada Township, Yangon, Myanmar Website: http://madb.moai.gov.mm | Tel: 951-391234 <br> Mobile: 09-73134532 <br> Fax: 951-391343 | dkhinnanmyint@gmail.co <br> m <br> madb@mptmail.net.mm | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDS in Yangon | Myanmar Livestock and Fisheries Development Bank (Treasure Bank of Myanmar Ltd.) | Daw Than Than Nu (Deputy General Manager) | No. 653/699, Merchant Street, Pabedan Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-250642/ 9509- <br> 5185573 <br> Fax: 951-377384 | dgm.Foreignbanking@my anmalfdb.com | (Meeting on Jun 17, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Khin Ko Lay (Senior Executive Officer, Retd: Director General, Fisheries | No. 653/699, Merchant Street, Pabedan Township, Yangon | Tel: 095-01-377201 <br> Mobile: 095-09-5014285 <br> Fax: 095-01-377201 | khinkolay@gmail.com | (Meeting on Jun 17, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Maung Maung Nyunt (Senior Executive Officer, Retd: Director General, Veterinarv Department) | No. 653/699, Merchant Street, Pabedan Township, Yangon | Tel: 095-01-377201 <br> Mobile: 095-09-5008132 <br> Fax: 095-01-377201 | MLFDBank- <br> H.Q@mptmail.net.mm | (Meeting on Jun 17, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | Yangon Division Chambers of Commerce and Industries (Yangon Crop Exchange Center) <br> Address: No. B81/82, Kant Kaw, Bayint Naung Wholesale Market, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon Tel 09-8611877/ 680421/680910 <br> Fax: 683493 <br> E-mail: <br> ydccibyn@gmail.com Website: yangoncommodityexchange .com | U Ohn Saing (Chairman) | (New Golden Gate (1991) Co,. Ltd: No.46, 19th Street, Latha Tso, Yangon) | Hp: 95-95011918 Office: 951-382320, 382308 <br> Fov. 051202221 | newgoldengate1991@gm <br> ail.com <br> khitthit19@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) Chairman of Myanmar Onion, Garlic and Curlinary Production and Evnortore Anconintion |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Hoke Kyi (Board Member) | (Htun Hla Trading: C/45, Aung ThaPyay Street, Bayintnaung Wholesale Broker Center, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ph: 01-680365/ 680382/ } \\ & 683228 \\ & \text { Hp: 09-5003469/09- } \\ & 9925052 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) Managing Director of Htun Hla Trading (chili, onion, garlic) |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Dr. Myo Lwin (Board Member) | (Arkar Oo Co,. Ltd.: No.124, Wetmsutt Wun Htaunt St., Industrial Zone (4), Hlaing Tharyar Tsp, Yangon) | Ph: 951-685313/ 685039/ <br> 6850004/ 685744 <br> Mobile: 959-50-13869 | arkaroo@myanmar.com. <br> mm <br> arkarooco.Itd@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) <br> Managing Director of Arkar Oo Co., Ltd. |
| BDS in Yangon |  | Dr. Myint Oo (Board Member) | (Thein Yarzar Co., Ltd.: C62, Aung Tha Pyay Street, Bayint Naung, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon) | Mobile: 0173009924, 095128424 <br> Office: 680325/ 680965 | drmoo2005@gmail.com drmoo@myanmar.com.m | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) Managing Director of Thein Yarzar Co., Ltd. |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Zin Myo Naing (Board Member) | (U Seinn Co., Ltd.: H/70, Ahthawka Road, Bayintnaung, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon) | Tel: 01-680781/ 681947 Mobile: 09-5104116/ 0973226535 |  | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) <br> Managing Director of U Seinn Co., Ltd. |
| BDS in Yangon |  | U Kyaw Win (Board Member) | (Bright Light Co., Ltd.: No.F-44, Sein Pan Street, Bayint Naung Pwe Yone, Mayangone Tsp, Yangon) | Tel: 951-682246, 686131 <br> Hp: 959-5009577 <br> Fax: 951-682630 | brightlight.co.Itd@gmail.c <br> om | (Meeting on May 29, 2013) Director of Bright Light Co., Ltd. |
| BDS in Yangon | SGS Myanmar Limited | U Aung Kyaw Htoo (Business Manager, Agricultural/ Mineral) | 79/80, Bahosi Housing Complex, Wardan Street, Lanmadaw TS, Yangon | Mobile: 95-95130496 Office: 951-211562/ 211537/ | aung- <br> kyaw.htoo@sgs.com | (Meeting on May 30, 2013) |
| BDS in Yangon | PACT | Mr. Jason S. Meikle (Deputy Director) | No. 497, 1th Floor, Tower B, Diamond Condominium, Pyay Road, Ward 8, Kamaryut Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-501373, 501383 (ext 29) <br> Mobile: 959-420188260 | imeikle@pactworld.org | (Meeting on June 5, 2013) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BDS in <br> Mandalav | Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Mandalay (Mandalay) | U Thein Tun (Chairman) | No.(8), 86th Street between 25th and 28th street Fastern Thirihavmar | Tel 09-202-5396 |  | (Meeting on April 29 and 30, 2013) |
| BDS in Mandalav |  | U Chun (Secretary-1) | No.(8), 86th Street between 25th and 28th street Fastern Thirihavmar | Tel 09-510-0517, 09-6806517 |  | (Meeting on April 29 and 30, 2013) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BDS in } \\ \text { Mandalav } \end{gathered}$ |  | U Khin Mg Kyaw (Accountant-1) | No.(8), 86th Street between 25th and 28th street Fastern Thirihavmar |  |  | (Meeting on April 29 and 30, 2013) |
| BDS in <br> Mandalav | Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Myingyan TS | U Myo Aung (Chairman) |  | Tel 066-21669/ 092215065 |  | (Meeting on May 4, 2013) |
| BDS in <br> Mandalav |  | U Kyaw Aye (VicePresident) |  | Tel 09-2216282 |  | (Meeting on May 4, 2013) |
| BDS in Sagaing | Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Monywa (Sagaing) | U Myo Min (Chairman of Commodity Exchange Contarl | Monywa, Sagain | Tel 09-681-9921 Office 071-21804/ 28067「ог 071 200م5 | monywatrade@gmail.com tradecentermonywa@gm | (Meeting on May 1, 2013) |
| BDS in <br> Samaino |  | U Tun Tun (Secretary of MTC) | Monywa, Sagain | Tel 9509-2130445 | tuntunoosocmadan@gmai | (Meeting on May 1, 2013) |
| BDS in <br> Sacaina |  | U Palik Kyaw (Member) | Monywa, Sagain | Tel 9509-2130644 |  | (Meeting on May 1, 2013) |
| BDS in <br> Maciwav | Magway Branch, Chambars of Commerce and Industries | U Nay Lin Aung (Chairman of IMMECCLMarmav) | UMFCCI-Magway, Magway TS, Macwav Recion | Tel 09-5340870 |  | (Meeting on May 9, 2013) |
| BDS in Macwav |  | U Htein Win (Central Excutiv Committeee (CFC) | UMFCCI-Magway, Magway TS, Macwav Recion | Tel 09-5341637 |  | (Meeting on May 9, 2013) |
| BDS in Mandalay | OISCA (The Organization for Industrial, Spiritual and Cultural AdvancementInternational) | Mr. Fujii Keisuke (Director) | Agroforestory Training Center, Pakhangyi, Yesagyo Township, Magway Region www.oisca-international.org | Tel: 959-6570265, 6570695 <br> Mobile: 959-420779201 | kfujii@oisca.org | (Meeting on June 28, 2013) |
| BDS in Mandalay |  | Ms. Yuko Saito (Coordinator) | Yangon Office, Room E-5, Shwe Sabai Yeikmon, Bayint Naung Rd., Kamaryut Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-515304, <br> Mobile: 959-421138136 | ysaito@oisca.org | (Meeting on June 28, 2013) |
| Agribusiness |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | U Chit Khine (Chairman) | 339, 3rd FL, Room No.(0303), <br> Sakura Tower, Bogyoke Aung San <br> Road, Yangon <br> Website: http://www.mapco.com.mm | Office: 01-255 083, 255 084, 255 085, 255087 | info@mapco.com.mm | Chairman of RSC <br> Introduced by Ms. Okamoto (IDEJETRO) |
| Agribusiness | MYANMAR AGRIBUSINESS PUBLIC | Dr. Soe Tun (Exective Director of MAPCO) | Room No.(0303) , Sakura Tower, Bogyoke Aung San Road, Yangon Website: www.mapco.com.mm | Mobile 09-504-1934 | soetun@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) CEC member of MRF, Introduced by Ms. Okamoto (IDE- IETRO) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Yangon) | CORPORATION LIMITED (MAPCO) | U Khin Soe | Room No.(339), Level 3, Sakura Tower, Bogyoke Aung San Road, Yangon <br> Wehsite whw manco com mm | Mobile: 959-8303472, 5007468 Tel: 951-255083-84 Far. 951-255083 | khinsoe@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 28, 2013) MRF |
|  |  | Ye Min Aung (Managing Director) | 339, 3rd FL, Room No.(0303) , <br> Sakura Tower, Bogyoke Aung San <br> Road, Yangon <br> Website: http://www.mapco.com.mm | Mobile: 959-862-3431, Tel/FAx: 951-255-083-85 | md@mapco-ygn.com | Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. Yamazaki) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Rice Specializing Company (RSC) | U Chit Khine (Chairman) |  |  |  | Chairman of MAPCO <br> Introduced by Ms. Okamoto (IDEJETRO) |
|  |  | U Ye Min Aung |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mobile } 095058001 \\ & 098623431 \end{aligned}$ | E-Mail yma.mm.ygn@gmail.com | Rice <br> Introduced by Ms. Okamoto (IDEJETRO) |
|  |  | Dr. Soe Tun (Researcher, Exective Director of MAPCO) |  | Mobile 09-504-1934 | soetun@gmail.com | Introduced by Ms. Okamoto (IDEJETRO) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Rudy Dragon Group of Companies | Nay Win Tun (President) | No. 39 (A), 71/2 Mile, Pyay Road, MayangoneTownship, Yangon Website: <br> WW rubvdranمصمmanies_om | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 95-1-664158, } \\ & 660312,652662,652705 \\ & \text { Fax: 95-1-652793 } \end{aligned}$ | ygnoffice@rubydragonco mpanies.com | Mining, Grape and wineary, Sugar factory, Agriculture, Hotel, travel Agency, etc. |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Steelstone Group Company | U Than Lwin (Presindent) | 501 (B) \& F-20, Pearl Condo, Kabaraye Pagoda Road, Baha Tsp, Yangon <br> Website: www.steelstonegroup.com | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: } 95-1-551992,557448 \\ & \text { Fax: } 95-1-551992, \\ & 573514 \end{aligned}\right.$ | info@steelstonegroup.co <br> m | Infrastructure, Agriculture, Fisheries, Trade, Machinary |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) |  | U Htay Myint (President) | No. 15 Dhamma Zedi Street, Sanchaung Township, Yangon Website: <br>  | Tel: 540745, 540746, 540747 <br> Fax: 373790 |  | Palm oil, Sugarcane, Rubber, Jetrofa, Shrimp, etc. |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Yuzana Company Ltd. (Yuzna Group of Companies) | Dr. Nyi Nyi (Agriculture Department) | Head Quarter: Yuzana Center, No. 130, Shwegondal Road, Bahan Township, Yangon | Mobile: 959-8629544 <br> Office: 951-559031, <br> 951-54072/7 <br> Eov. 051-510711 | ```yzn01@yuznagroup.com.m m mr.royallink@gmail.com``` | (Meeting on May 30, 2013) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) |  | Daw Zar Chi Htay (Director) | Head Quarter: Yuzana Center, No. 130, Shwegondal Road, Bahan Township, Yangon | Office: 951- 540742/559061 Mobile: 095-009221/ 09- 73257934 Fax: 951-540741 | zhtay1981@gmail.com steepmountain 17@gmail.com | (Meeting on May 23, 2013) |
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| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agribusiness <br> (Yangon) | Myanmar Awba Group | U Thadoe Hein (Managing Director) | No (33), Shwe Padauk Yeikmon, Bayint Naung Road, Kamayut Tsp, Yangon | Tel: 951-583231, 583097, <br> 530678, 530763 <br> Fax: 951-500025 <br> Mobile: 959-5002152 | thadoehein@awdagroup.com | (Meeting on June 3, 2013) <br> Agricultural Input Trader and <br> Producer (fertilizers, pesticides, harvesticides, seeds, feeds, etc) |
| Agribusiness <br> (Yangon) | C.P. Yangon Co., Ltd. (C.P. Seeds Myanmar) | Mr. Worasit Sittivichai (Vice President, Corn Seeds Business: Myanmar Area Fields Crop Integration | No.25(B) Thukhawady Street, Yankin Township, Yangon | Mobile: 959-507-9728 | artistku52@hotmail.com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) |  | Mr. Amnat Mathong (Assistant Vice President, Marketing Project Zone II Crop Integration Business Group) | No.25(B) Thukhawady Street, Yankin Township, Yangon | Tel/Fax: 951-577407 <br> Mobile: 959-450052605 | amnat78@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | C.P. Yangon Co., Ltd. (Myanmar C.P. Livestock Co., Ltd.) | Dr. Soe Lwin (Advisor, South Area) | 135, Pyay Road, 3 1/2 Mile, Mayangone Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-651325/ 651364/ 653417/ 660546/652081 Res: 951-505781 | drsoelwin.mcpl@gmail.co <br> $\underline{m}$ | (Meeting on June 4, 2013) |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Eden Group Company Limited | U Chit Khine (Chairman) | Building No.30, Shwe Padauk Yeik Mon, Kamaryut Township, Yangon 44, Min Theddi Kyaw Swar St., Industrial Zone (2), HLTA Website: | Tel: 500980, 500981, 500982 <br> Fax: 500980, 500981, 500979 | thanhtut@myanmarededn <br> .com <br> WINMINKHINE@MPTMA <br> IL.NET.MM | Agriculture (rice trade), construction, energy, hotel, bank, etc. |
| Agribusiness (Yangon) | Capital Diamond Star Group (CDSG) | U Ko Ko Gyi (Presindent) | 256-260, Sule Pagoda Road, Kyauktada Township, Yangon Website: http://www.cdsg.com.mm/ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tel: 951-373388 } \\ \text { Fax: 951-242663 } \end{array}$ | info@cdsg.com.mm | trade (wheat, flour), retailing, agrimarketing, medicine, land development, construction, etc. |
| Agribusiness <br> (Yangon) | Ayeyarhinthar Group of Company | U Zaw Win Shine (President) | 1104/1105/1106, Ye Ta Khon Tower, 531, Lower Kyee Myin Daing Road, Kyee Myin Dain Twonship, Yangon, Hlaing Tharyer Office, Naypyidaw, Mandalay, Hinthada, Pyay etc. <br>  | Tel: 01-508070, 508071, 508232, 508233, 508234 Fax: 01508071 |  | Trading Rice, construction, mining, etc. |
| Agribusiness <br> (Yangon) | U Kyu Family Grains \& Manufacturing Co. Ltd | U Kyu (President) | No.17/19 hledan Street, Lanmadaw Township, Yangon Website: www.uqfamilyflourmill.com | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Tel: } 951-226108,211011 \\ \text { Fax: } 951-226047,227423 \end{array}\right\|$ | okgroup@okgroup.com.m $\underline{m}$ | Flour Mill, Beans and pulses trade |
| Agribusiness (Mandalay) | Mya Moe Agrochem <br> Distribution Center | U Moe Hein (Plant Pathologist) | South of Zawgyi Bridge, YangonMandalay Highway, Kyaukse, Mandalay | Tel: 09-2150792, 0991031496 | umoehein.mm@gmail.co $\underline{m}$ | (Meeting on June 21, 2013) <br> Pioneer of SAP (Super Absordent <br> Polymar) user |

Appendix-5 Contact Person of the Study (as of May 2013)

| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agribusiness (Myingyan) | Triple Nine Great Integrity Trading Co., Ltd. | U Aung Kyaw Kyaw (Managing Director) | Wholesale Center of Pulses and Raw Material of Animal Feed No 6/63. 15 Road, Myingyan, Myanmar | Tel (95)66-22576 <br> Mobile (95)9-2029969/ <br> 47125877 <br> Fax (95)66-21056 | Triplenineoilmill@gmail.co $\underline{\mathrm{m}}$ | (Meeting on May 4, 2013) <br> Oil Mill, Marketing of Pulses and <br> Animal Feed <br> A member of Broker, Miller and <br> Traders Maha Kahtaintaw |
| Agribusiness (Myingyan) |  | Ma Thandar Aung | Wholesale Center of Pulses and Raw Material of Animal Feed No 6/63. 15 Road, Myingyan, | Tel (95)66-22576 Mobile (95)9-2029969/ 47125877 | Triplenineoilmill@gmail.co $\underline{\mathrm{m}}$ | (Meeting on May 4, 2013) <br> Oil Mill, Marketing of Pulses and Animal Feed |
| Agribusiness (Magway) | Ngway Hinn Thar | U Phoe Ni (General Manager) | No 80/81, B.E.H.S (1) Road, Yan Aung Qr., Magway | Mobile: 09-5340893, 095341461 <br> Tel: 063-26071, 23896 |  | (Meeting on July 1, 2013) Producer of Roasted Sesame Powder |
| Academe |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Academe | Myanmar Agriculture, Livestock and Fisheries Akademi | Dr. Myint Thein (Chairman) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 95-1-651416 / 95-9- } \\ & 430-200-92 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Translator, Surveyor, etc. |
|  |  | U Tin Maung Shwe (Executive Member) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel: 95-1-665579 / +95- } \\ & \text { 1-665580 } \end{aligned}$ |  | Translator, Surveyor, etc. |
| Academe | Yangon Technological University (YTU) | Daw Mya Myo Oo (Rector of YTU cum Mandalay Technology University) | Insein P.O., YTU campus, Insein Township, Yangon | Tel: 95-1-651717 / 665678 | YIT.YANGON@pemail.ne <br> t | Possible to get technical support |
| Academe | Yezin Agriculture University | Dr. Tin Htut (Rector) | Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar | Tel: 95-67-416-515 / 95-67-416-517 <br> Mah | tinhtutagri@gmail.com | (Meeting on July 5, 2013) |
| Academe |  | Prof. Maung Maung Myint (Pro-Rector, Admin) | Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar | Tel: 9567-416518, Mobile: 959-43109843 | mmmyint.yau@gmail.com | (Meeting on July 5, 2013) |
| Academe |  | Dr. Nang Hseng Hom (Professor, Department of Arcioultural Datanil | Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar | Tel: 95-67-416512, <br> Mobile: 959-8357463, <br> תعممח7مص1 | nanghsenghom@gmail.co m | (Meeting on July 5, 2013) |
| Academe |  | Dr. Soe Soe Thein (Professor, Department of Aarinultura Chamintal | Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar | Tel: 9567-416512, <br> Mobile: 95-5081470 | soesoethein1@gmail.com | (Meeting on July 5, 2013) |
| Academe | Yezin Agriculture University (Magway Campus) | Dr. Mi Mi Aung | YAU-Magway Campus, Magway TS, Magway Region |  |  | (Meeting on May 9, 2013) Hydroponic System, Working with <br>  |
| Consulting Firm |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant | National Engineering \& Planning Services (NEPS) | U Cho Cho (Managing Director) | 880, Yadanar Rd, SA/KHA QR., <br> Thingangyun Township, Yangon | Tel: 95-1-562407 | neps@myanmar.com.mm | Ex ID Official |
| Consultant | National Economic and Social Advisory Council | U Tin Htut Oo (Chairman) | No.2, U Yinn Street, Kamayut Township, Yangon | Mobile: 0950-62287 | t.htut.oo@gmail.com t.htut.oo@nesac.ord | Introduced by JICA Office (Ms. <br> Yamazaki) |

Appendix-5 Contact Person of the Study (as of May 2013)

| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultant | Agribusiness and Rural Development Consultants | U Tin Htut Oo (Chief Executive Officer) | \#502 FMI Center, No.380, Bogyoke Aung San Rd, Pabedan Township, Yanann | Tel: 95-1-240374 | Thoo@ardconsult/com.m m | Former DAP Director general |
| Consultant | Sanyu Consultants Inc. (SCl) Yangon Office | Ms. Nilar Tun | c/o Irrigation department, Kanbe Road. Yankin Townshin. Yangon | Tel: 010-951-562985 Tel/Fax: 010-951-577161 | nlt.sanyu@gmail.com | Sanyu Staff |
| Consultant | Freelance Consultant | Ms. Moe Moe | No. (1048), 22nd Street, Zarga Road, South Okkalapa Townshio. Yanaon | Mobile: 0943024835 | mm.moemoe5@gmail.co | Study Team |
| Consultant | Freelance Consultant | Ms. Mar Win |  | Mobile: 09-450047092 | thimarwin1999@gmail.co | Farm Household Survey (Consolidator) |
| Consultant | Development for Environmental-Friendly Agriculture and Rural Life of Mvanmar (DFAR Mvanmar) | Ko Phyo Lin Tun (Public Relationship Officer) | No. 29, 4th Floor, Yay Kyaw Road, 9th Ward, Pazuntaung Township, Yangon <br> Website www dearmvanmar oro | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Tel 09-42172662 } \\ \text { HP 959-425013952 } \\ \text { Fax 951-392642 } \end{array}$ | PR@dearmyanmar.org | http://www.dearmyanmar.org/pr ofile.html |
| Travel Agent/ Hotels |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Travel Agent | WaaNeiZa World Wide Travels \& Tours Co.,Ltd. | Myo Zaw Shein | \#34, Manawhari Street, Dagon Township, Yangon, Myanmar Website: www.wnztravels.com | Mobile-0095-9-5085687 Office-01-223321, 0268890 | myozaw.shein@gmail.co $\underline{m}$ | CDZ Development Study, Can speak and write Japanese |
|  |  | Htet Aung (Assistant Manager) | \#34, Manawhari Street, Dagon Township, Yangon, Myanmar | Mobile: +95-9-2151499 <br> Office: +95-1-223321, 2- <br> 68890 | htetaungm@gmail.com c2aung.friends@gmail.co m | Study Team |
|  |  | Ms.Khaing Su Mon | \#34, Manawhari Street, Dagon Township, Yangon, Myanmar | Tel: +95-2-68890 <br> Mobile: +95-9-402 706 <br> 260 | waaneizatravels@gmail.c om |  |
| Hotel | Park Royal Hotel (Yangon) |  | 33 Alam Pya Phaya Road, Dagon Township, 11191,Yangon, Myanmar | $\text { \|Tel: +95 } 1250388$ | http://www.parkroyalhotels.c om/en/hotels/myanmar/yang on/parkroyal/index.html |  |
| Hotel | Central Hotel (Yangon) |  | 335-337 Bogyoke Aung San Road, Pabedan Township, Yangon | Tel: 951-241007 (20lines) <br> Fax: 951-248003 | CENTRAL.YGN@mptmail net.mm |  |
| Hotel | Excel Treasure Hotel, Yangon |  | No.520, Kaba Aye Pagoda Road, Shwe Gon Dine, Bahan Township, Yangon, Myanmar | Tel:(Hotel)+95-1- $559150(8$ lines) (Shopping Mall):559377(8 lines) | excel@myanmar.com.mm |  |
| Hotel | Junction Hotel (Nay Pyi <br> Taw) |  | Yarza Thingaha Road, 22 Hotel Zone, Dekhina Thri Nay Pyi Taw www.junctionhotelnpt.com | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tel 95(67)-422001, } \\ & 422003(\sim 6) \\ & \text { Fax: 95(67)-422002 } \end{aligned}$ | reservation@junctionhotelnp <br> t.com <br> rm@junctionhotelnpt.com | Junction Hotel is 5 minutes' walk to the Junction Shopping Mall and 30 minutes' drive from the Nay Pyi Taw Airport. |

Appendix-5 Contact Person of the Study (as of May 2013)

| Category | Organization Name | Contact Person | Adress | Tel/ Fax | E-mail | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hotel | Myint Mo Nann Hotel (Nay Pyi Taw) |  | No (1000), Yazarhtarni Road, Aung Thar Yar Quarter, Pobbathiri, Nay Pyi Taw | Tel: 067-23910/ 23997- <br> 98/ 0949264990 <br> Fax: 094-9264990 |  |  |
| Hotel | Shweingyin Mandalay | Mr. Tun (Group's General Manager, Consultant) | Conner of 30th Street \& 78th Street, Mandalay, Myanmar | Tel: : 02-73464, 73465 Mob: : 09-49324003 Fax: : +95 1252478 | shweingyinnhotel@gmail. com | (Meeting on June 20, 2013) |
| Hotel |  | U Thin @ Wong Kolo Kyan (Executive Director) | E18, Kaba AyeVilla, Kaba Pagoda Road, Mayangone Township, Yangon, Myanmar | Mobile: 959-2001911 | wkkmm123@gmail.com | (Meeting on June 25, 2013) |
| Hotel | Thante Hotel Bagan (Bagan) |  | Myo Ma Ouarter, Nyaung Oo www.thantenyu.com, www.agoda.com, www.asiatravel.com | Tel 95-61-60315/ 95-61- 61116 | nyaunguthante@mptmail.net .mm nyaunguthante@gmail.com | Website : www.thantenyu.com |
| Hotel | Magway hotel (Magway) |  | No.1/Ka, Nutmouk Road, Pwekyo Qr, Magway | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { tel 063-23343, 23523, } \\ 27598 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Taxi (Nyaung Oo) | Kaung Htat Restaurant and Taxi Service | U Kaung Htat | South of Market, Main Road, Front of Eden Motel, Nyaung Oo | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Tel 061-61026/ 09- } \\ 2043347 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Taxi 40,000k/day |
| Restaurant | Ichiban-kan (Japanese <br> Traditional Style <br> Restaurant) | Ms. Kahori Komaru | G17-18, Aung San Stadium (North Wing), Gyophyu Street, MINT T/S, Yangon | Tel: 394824, 393051 <br> Mobile: 09-4200-77600 <br> Fax: 252154 | kahori.komaru@gmail.co $\underline{m}$ |  |
| Restaurant | Furusato (Japanese Restaurant) |  | No.137, Shwe Gon Dine Road, Bahan, Yangon | Tel: 556265, 0973081914 |  | Open Daily: 11:00am-2:00pm, 5:00pm-10:00pm |

## Appendix-6 Administrative boundary in Myanmar



Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw.

Reference number: Soil Science 174/2013-2014
Date: $5^{\text {th }}$ July 2013.

To.
JICA team
Water Saving Technology

Subject: Reply letter for soil analysis results and interpretation
Reference letter: work sheet listed of soil samples sent by JICA team on $13^{\text {th }}$ May, 2013 and $23^{\text {rd }}$ May, 2013.

We are pleased to send the interpretation and soil analysis data of the soil sample sent by the project for development of water saving agriculture technology in the Central Dry Zone of Myanmar herewith attached.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Su Su Win
PhD (Soil Science)

## Section Head

## Soil Science Section

DAR, Yezin.

Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Uilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Deparment of Agricultural Research, Yezim, Nay Pyi Taw.

## Interpretation of soil analysis data of the soil sample sent by the project for development of water saving agriculture technology in the Central Dry Zone

## Results and discussion

## 1. Nyaung Oo Township

There are 10 soil samples from Nyaung Oo township. Moisture percent of the soil sample ranges from $1.0 \%$ to $7.0 \%$. Soil samples from Nyaung Oo Township explained that the soil is loamy sand except Htee Pu village. Soil textural class of Htee Pu is sandy clay loam. Soil organic matter is found to be very low to low status with moderately alkaline condition while as soil sample of Kaung Pin Si has neutral condition and soil sample taken from Taung. Shae and Myoe Hla has strongly alkaline condition. However the data of Electrical Conductivity (EC) are said to be non saline status. Percentage of total $N$ in the soil ranges from $0.04 \%$ to $0.12 \%$. Only in Dahat See village, available $\mathbf{N}$ is characterized as medium level. Available $N$ for the rest of soil sample in this township could be rated as very low to low level. Regarding available $\mathbf{P}$, the analysis result of Htee Pu is said to be high and Thant Zin Kyal and Myoe Hla can be found as medium level while as the others are characterized as low level. The rating of the available K in the soil is low except in Htee Pu and Taung Shae. They could be rated as medium and high level respectively. Very low status of Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) is observed in Shwe Dwior, Taung Ba (Sr. No. from reference sheet 2), Thant Zin Kyal, Shwe Hlaing, and Kyaung Pin Si village although low level of CEC is noted in Dahat See, Taung Ba (Sr. No. from reference sheet 49), Taung Shae and Myoe Hla. Base saturation percent ranges from $95.8 \%$ to $99.8 \%$. Among the basic cations, $\mathbf{C a}$ and $\mathbf{M g}$ content could be recognized as low to medium level. However, exchangeable Ca can be rated as high level in Htee Pu village. Exchangeable Na in Taung Ba (Sr. No. from reference sheet 49), Taung Shae and Myoe Hla is remarked as very high. Low to medium status of exchangeable Na is noted in the other villages. In connection with extractable Cu , adequate level is noted only in Htee Pu village while as it was noted as deficient level in the other village in Nyaung Oo Township.

Based on the soil analysis results of the submitted sample for Nyaung Oo Township, it would be suggested that annual application of cowdung or organic manure or green maure. Application of recommended rate of the macro nutrient fertilizers should also be advisable.

## 2. Magway Township

Total number of soil sample submitted for Magway Township is 19. Moisture percent of the soil sample ranges from $1.0 \%$ to $5.0 \%$. The soil is said to be non saline soil. The properties of soils in the villages are loamy sand with low in available $\mathbf{P}$ and $K$. Sandy soil is observed in Saikya (Sr.No. from reference sheet 28) and Saig Kya (Sr.No. from reference sheet 34 ). Available $\mathbf{N}$ is found to be very low to low status except in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 28 ). It can be rated as medium status. Total $\mathbf{N}$ content ranges from $0.03 \%$ to $0.09 \%$. There would be three groups of the village based on soil reaction. Soil reaction of Saig Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 32 ), Sharpanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 35,36 \& 37), Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 41), and Nyaung Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 46) is rated as neutral. Moderately alkaline status of soil reaction is found in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 28), Sai Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 30 \& 31), Saig Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 34), Shaypanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 38), Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 39), Mal Hla Taung, Si Pin Thar and Nung Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 48). The pH of Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 43 \& 44), Nyaung Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 45), and Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 47) can be characterized as slightly acid. Soil organic matter (SOM) in Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 44) explains as high. Medium status of SOM is found in Sharpanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 37 ), Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 43), and Nyaung Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 46). SOM of the other villages in Magway Township is found to be very low to low level. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of the villages could be noted as very low to low except in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 28) which explains as medium. Base saturation percent of the soil sample ranges from $91.0 \%$ to $99.6 \%$. All soil samples from Magway Township could be considered as non saline. Exchangeable Ca in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 28 ) is found to be high where as Ex. Ca in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 31), Saig Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 34), and Shaypanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 38 ) is noted as medium level. The rest sample is said to be low in Ex. Ca.

Exchangeable Na can be recognized as high in Kone Gyi (Sr. No. from reference sheet 43) but it can be rated as low in Shaypanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 38), Mal Hla Taung, and Nyaung Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 45). As far as Exchangeable Mg is concerned, it can be rated as low in many of the villages but it is said to be high in Saikya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 28 ) and Saig Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 34). It can also be noted as medium in Sai Kya (Sr. No. from reference sheet 31 \& 32), Sharpanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 37 ), and Shaypanla (Sr. No. from reference sheet 38 . All soil samples are deficient in Cu .

On account of low in SOM and available N, P and K, green manuring, the use of organic manures, application of macro nutrients should be practice to maintain the soil fertility status in the soil.

## 3. Myingyan Township

Moisture percentage of the 20 soil samples from Myingyan Township ranges from $2 \%$ to $7 \%$. Soil textural class can be classified as sandy loam in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 6), Chay Say (Sr. No. from reference sheet 10), Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15), and Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 17). Sandy clay loam is found in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 7) where as clay loam is observed in Ywat Thar (Sr. No. from reference sheet 22 ). The rest of the soil sample in this township explains as loamy sand. The soil reaction of Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 14, 16, \& 18), and Zee Pin Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet $20 \& 23$ ) is found to be neutral. However, the soil reaction explains as strongly alkaline in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 7), Chay Say (Sr. No. from reference sheet $10,11,12 \& 13$ ), and Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15). The pH of the rest sample can be noted as moderately alkaline. Soil Organic Matter (SOM) is observed as very low to low level except in Zee Pin Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 23) and Tapinkan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 24). The rating of SOM for these villages is medium. Electrical Conductivity (EC) of the soil samples submitted for Myingyan Township is classified as non saline except Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 6) and Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 7). The rating of EC in these villages are very slightly saline and moderately saline resceptively. The amount of total $\mathbf{N}$ observed in the soil sample ranges from $0.03 \%$ to $0.19 \%$. Available $\mathbf{N}$ is found to be very low to low but available $\mathbf{N}$ determined in Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15) and Ywat Thar (Sr. No. from reference sheet 22) is
explained as medium status. Although the high level of available $\mathbf{P}$ is observed in Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 17 \& 18) and Ywat Thar (Sr. No. from reference sheet 22), low to medium level of available $P$ is noted in the other villages. The property of the soil with available K can be categorized as low except in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 7), Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15), and Ywat Thar (Sr. No. from reference sheet 22). The rating of available $K$ in these village can be noted as medium. The Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) of the soil samples from Myingyan Township is widely ranged from very low to high. High CEC is observed only in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 7) and low CEC is noted in Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 18 \& 19). CEC tested in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 6), Chay Say (Sr. No. from reference sheet 10, 11,12, \& 13), Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15), Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 17) and Ywat Thar (Sr. No. from reference sheet 22) is noted as medium. Very low CEC is observed in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 8 \& 9), Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 14 \& 16), Zee Pin Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 20,21, 23 \& 25) and Tapinkan (Sr. No. from reference sheet 24 ). Exchangeable Ca is found to be low in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 8 \& 9), Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 14, 16 \& 19), Zee Pin Kan (Sr. No. from reference sheet $20,21,23 \& 25$ ) and Tapinkan (Sr. No. from refernce sheet 24 ). It can be rated as high in the other villages of Myingyan Township except Nyaung Pin (Sr. No. from reference sheet 17 \& 18) where there is noted as medium. Exchangeable $\mathbf{M g}$ is observed as medium and high level. Exchangeable Na is classified as low to medium level except in Va Lone and Phon. The status of Exchangeable Na in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet 6) and Phon (Sr. No. from reference sheet 15) can be noted as high although it is very high status in Va Lone ( Sr . No. from reference sheet 7). The level of Cu is deficient although it is found to be adequate in Chay Say (Sr. No. from reference sheet 13). Base saturation percentage ranges from 95.7\% 99.9\%.

The recommendation for soil fertility maintenance will be as the same as mentioned above for two townships. As far as soil salinity is concerned due to the characterization of EC as very slightly to moderately saline soil observed in Va Lone (Sr. No. from reference sheet $6 \& 7$ respectively), yield of onion grown there could be reduced because it is saltsensitive crop. Deficiency of micro nutrients should also be concerned in high pH soil as nutrient availability is greatly influenced by soil acidity.

## 4. Chauk Towinship

There is one-and-only soil sample in Chauk Township. Moisture percentage of that sample is $1.3 \%$. The property of the soil in Chauk Township is loamy sand with moderately alkaline. But it is classified as non saline soil based on Electrical Conductivity reading. Soil Organic Matter (SOM) content is low as well as available $\mathbf{N}$ is very low. Percentage of total $\mathbf{N}$ is $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$. Available $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{K}$ is also low. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC) is observed as very low and Exchangeable $\mathbf{C a}$ and $\mathbf{M g}$ are found to be low. Exchangeable Na is said to be medium. It can be classified as deficient in extractable Cu . Base saturation percentage is calculated as $97.2 \%$.

Soil analysis results describe to practice yearly application of macro nutrients along with organic manure. But awareness on the application of chemical fertilizers to the moisture deficit soil is also important.

Moisture status of soil condition must be taken into account at the time of fertilizer application in other Townships located in Central Dry Zone area. Moreover, it is very delicate issue to recommend for the additional supply of Cu to all soil sample analyzed here as the critical value of Cu for deficient and adequate is very closed.


Soil Sretion
Solt, Water Hirzatmon And Agricullure: " birouter Pabion
 Yezin Py昭ana Nyanmar
Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw
Soil Analysis Results from Nyaung Oo Township

| Sr. <br> No. | Sr. No. from Reference Sheet | Village Name ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | pH |  | EC |  | Total <br> N <br> \% | Available N |  | Available P |  | Available K |  | Organic matter \% | Organic carbon \% | rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | reaction | rating | dS/m | rating |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | Shwe Dwior | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | - 0.11 | Non Saline | 0.08 | 53 | Low | 6 | Low | 47 | Low | 0.50 | 0.29 | Very Low |
| 2 | 2 | Taung Ba | 7.7 | Moderately alkaline | 0.06 | Non Saline | 0.05 | 31 | Low | 6 | Low | 63 | Low | 0.70 | 0.41 | Low |
| 3 | 4 | Thant Zin Kyal | 7.6 | Moderately alkaline | - 0.06 | Non Saline | 0.07 | 45 | Low | 17 | Medium | 61 | Low | 0.80 | 0.47 | Low |
| 4 | 26 | Shwe Hlaing | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | 0.04 | Non Saline | 0.04 | 25 | Very Low | 7 | Low | 33 | Low | 0.10 | 0.06 | Very Low |
| 5 | 27 | Kaung Pin Si | 7.3 | Neutral | 0.02 | Non Saline | 0.05 | 29 | Very Low | 9 | Low | 16 | Low | 0.50 | 0.29 | Very Low |
| 6 | 29 | Dahat See | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | 0.07 | Non Saline | 0.04 | 64 | Medium | 5 | Low | 22 | Low | 0.50 | 0.29 | Very Low |
| 7 | 33 | Htee Pu | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | 0.37 | Non Saline | 0.12 | 27 | Very Low | 26 | High | 187 | Medium | 1.90 | 1.10 | Low |
| 8 | 49 | Taung Ba | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | 0.03 | Non <br> Saline | 0.10 | 32 | Low | 8 | Low | 101 | Low | 0.85 | 0.49 | Low |
| 9 | 50 | Taung Shae | 8.9 | Strongly alkaline | 0.24 | Non Saline | 0.10 | 56 | Low | 9 | Low | 533 | High | 0.38 | 0.22 | Very Low |
| 10 | 51 | Myoe Hla | 8.8 | Strongly alkaline | 0.06 | Non Saline | 0.10 | 27 | Very Low | 11 | Medium | 108 | Low | 0.70 | 0.41 | Low |

(PAR) Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw Soil Analysis Results from Nyaung Oo Township

| Sr. No. | Sr. No. from Reference Sheet | Village Name | Soil Texture (\%) |  |  | Soil Textural Class | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Moisture } \\ \% \end{array}$ | Exchangeable Ca |  | Exchangeable Na |  | Exchangeable Mg |  | Extractable Cu |  | CEC |  | Base Saturation \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sand | Silt | Clay |  |  | cmol <br> $(\mathrm{t}) / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol ${ }_{(+)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol <br> $(+) / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cmol} \\ & \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{kg} \end{aligned}$ | rating | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{cmol} \\ (+) / \mathrm{kg} \end{gathered}$ | rating |  |
| 1 | 1 | Shwe Dwior | 85.0 | 11.0 | 4.0 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 3.3 | Low | 0.5 | Medium | 1.2 | Medium | 0.0005 | Deficient | 5.3 | Very <br> Low | 97.1 |
| 2 | 2 | Taung Ba | 82.8 | 5.8 | 11.4 | Loamy <br> Sand | 1.0 | 2.1 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.4 | Low | 0.0004 | Deficient | 3.1 | Very <br> Low | 96.6 |
| 3 | 4 | Thant Zin Kyal | 87.4 | 4.8 | 7.8 | Loamy Sand | 5.0 | 3.0 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.9 | Medium | 0.0006 | Deficient | 4.5 | very <br> Low | 97.7 |
| 4 | 26 | Shwe Hlaing | 86.6 | 9.9 | 3.5 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 1.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 0.0006 | Deficient | 2.7 | Very <br> Low | 95.8 |
| 5 | 27 | Kaung Pin Si | 87.0 | 6.9 | 6.1 | Loamy Sand | 6.0 | 1.7 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 2.5 | Very Low | 97.7 |
| 6 | 29 | Dahat See | 85.6 | 7.9 | 6.5 | Loamy Sand | 7.0 | 5.1 | Medium | 0.4 | Medium | 1.2 | Medium | 0.0001 | Deficient | 6.8 | Low | 98.8 |
| 7 | 33 | Htee Pu | 62.3 | 14.8 | 21.9 | Sandy Clay Loam | 7.0 | 14.5 | High | 0.5 | Medium | 1.4 | Medium | 0.0007 | Adequate | 17.0 | Medium | 99.8 |
| 8 | 49 | Taung Ba | 87.7 | 4.6 | 7.7 | Loamy Sand | 2.1 | 3.0 | Low | 2.8 | Very <br> High | 0.5 | Low | 0.0001 | Deficient | 6.5 | Low | 98.7 |
| 9 | 50 | Taung Shae | 87.6 | 4.3 | 8.1 | Loamy Sand | 4.0 | 3.8 | Low | 3.0 | Very <br> High | 0.6 | Medium | 0.0002 | Deficient | 8.9 | Low | 99.2 |
| 10 | 51 | Myoe Hla | 86.5 | 4.1 | 9.4 | Loamy Sand | 2.4 | 6.1 | Medium | 2.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Very } \\ & \text { High } \end{aligned}$ | 1.2 | Medium | 0.0003 | Deficient | 10.3 | Low | 99.1 |

(DAR) Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division,
Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw
Soil Analysis Results from Magway Township

| Sr. No. | Sr. No. from Reference Sheet | Village Name | Soil Texture (\%) |  |  | Soil TexturalClass | Moisture \% | Exchangeable Ca |  | Exchangeable Na |  | Exchangeable Mg |  | Extractable Cu |  | CEC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sand | Silt | Clay |  |  | cmol $(+) / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol $(\mathrm{t}) / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol $(t+) / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cmol} \\ & (+5) / \mathrm{kg} \end{aligned}$ | rating | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cmol} \\ & (+1) / \mathrm{kg} \end{aligned}$ | rating |  |
| 1 | 28 | Saikya | 76.6 | 6.2 | 17.2 | Sandy Loam | 4.0 | 15.8 | High | 0.4 | Medium | 2.68 | High | 0.0004 | Deficient | 19.3 | Medium | 99.6 |
| 2 | 30 | Sai Kya | 88.2 | 4.0 | 7.8 | Loamy Sand | 4.0 | 1.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.38 | Low | 0.0002 | Deficient | 2.7 | Very Low | 96.8 |
| 3 | 31 | Sai Kya | 86.2 | 4.5 | 9.4 | Loamy Sand | 5.0 | 5.9 | Medium | 0.4 | Medium | 1.08 | Medium | 0.0004 | Deficient | 7.5 | Low | 99.1 |
| 4 | 32 | Saig Kya | 88.4 | 3.3 | 8.3 | Loamy Sand | 5.0 | 3.1 | Low | 0.7 | Medium | 0.81 | Medium | 0.0006 | Deficient | 4.9 | Low | 97.4 |
| 5 | 34 | Saig Kya | 79.5 | 5.5 | 15.0 | Sandy Loam | 3.0 | 5.7 | Medium | 0.4 | Medium | 2.19 | High | 0.0005 | Deficient | 8.4 | Low | 99.6 |
| 6 | 35 | Sharpanla | 83.6 | 11.7 | 4.7 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 2.2 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.50 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 3.3 | Very Low | 97.1 |
| 7 | 36 | Sharpanla | 85.5 | 5.9 | 8.6 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 3.2 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.42 | Low | 0.0001 | Deficient | 4.1 | Very Low | 98.7 |
| 8 | 37 | Sharpanla | 87.4 | 7.3 | 5.3 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 3.5 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 1.26 | Medium | 0.0003 | Deficient | 5.4 | Very Low | 98.2 |
| 9 | 38 | Shaypanla | 87.0 | 8.5 | 4.5 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 5.8 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 0.73 | Medium | 0.0002 | Deficient | 7.0 | Low | 99.3 |
| 10 | 39 | Kone Gyi | 85.0 | 7.3 | 7.7 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 2.0 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.19 | Low | 0.0001 | Deficient | 2.7 | Very Low | 98.4 |
| 11 | 40 | Mal Hla Taung | 85.5 | 9.5 | 5.0 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.6 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.03 | Low | 0.0002 | Deficient | 1.1 | Very Low | 95.7 |
| 12 | 41 | Kone Gyi | 85.8 | 7.0 | 7.2 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.7 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.03 | Low | 0.0002 | Deficient | 1.1 | Very Low | 95.2 |
| 13 | 42 | Si Pin Thar | 80.4 | 16.9 | 2.7 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 0.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.02 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 1.2 | Very Low | 96.4 |
| 14 | 43 | Kone Gyi | 77.3 | 16.3 | 6.4 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 1.8 | Low | 1.6 | High | 0.14 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 3.6 | Very Low | 99.2 |
| 15 | 44 | Kone Gyi | 86.3 | 6.7 | 7.0 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.02 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 1.2 | Very Low | 97.2 |
| 16 | 45 | Nyaung Kan | 85.3 | 9.3 | 5.4 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.8 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.02 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 1.2 | Very Low | 93.2 |
| 17 | 46 | Nyaung Kan | 86.7 | 6.0 | 7.4 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.01 | Low | 0.0005 | Deficient | 1.2 | Very Low | 91.0 |
| 18 | 47 | Nyaung Pin | 83.6 | 9.1 | 7.3 | Loamy Sand | 3.0 | 0.8 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.01 | Low | 0.0004 | Deficient | 1.3 | Very Low | 92.4 |
| 19 | 48 | Nung Kan | 84.2 | 10.3 | 5.5 | Loamy Sand | 1.0 | 0.7 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.004 | Low | 0.0003 | Deficient | 1.2 | Very Low | 92.8 |

SAR Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division,
Soil Analysis Results from Myingyan Township

| Sr . No. | Sr.No.from <br> Reference <br> Sheet | Village Name | pH |  | EC |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Total } \\ \mathrm{N} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Available N |  | Available P |  | Available K |  | Organic matter \% | Organic carbon $\%$ | rating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | reaction | rating | $\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m}$ | rating |  | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | mg/kg | rating |  |  |  |
| 1 | 6 | Va Lone | 7.8 | Moderately alkaline | 0.53 | Very Slightly Saline | 0.09 | 42 | Low | 5 | Low | 118 | Low | 0.10 | 0.06 | Very Low |
| 2 | 7 | Va Lone | 8.9 | Strongly alkaline | 0.81 | Moderately Saline | 0.06 | 56 | Low | 5 | Low | 224 | Medium | 2.00 | 1.16 | Low |
| 3 | 8. | Va Lone | 7.4 | Moderately alkaline | 0.04 | Non Saline | 0.1 | 52 | Low | 5 | Low | 61 | Low | 0.80 | 0.47 | Low |
| 4 | 9 | Va Lone | 7.4 | Moderately alkaline | 0.02 | Non Saline | 0.06 | 30 | Very Low | 14 | Medium | 37 | Low | 0.90 | 0.52 | Low |
| 5 | 10. | Chay Say | 8.5 | Strongly alkaline | 0.12. | Non Saline | 0.12 | 31 | Low | 15 | Medium | 135 | Low | 1.50 | 0.87 | Low |
| 6 | 11 | Chay Say | 8.5 | Strongly alkaline | 0.11 | Non Saline | 0.07 | 46 | Low | 8 | Low | 62 | Low | 1.10 | 0.64 | Low |
| 7 | 12 | Chay Say | 8.7 | Strongly alkaline | 0.09 | Non Saline | 0.1 | 40 | Low | 9 | Low | 68 | Low | 1.80 | 1.05 | Low |
| 8 | 13 | Chay Say | 8.5 | Strongly alkaline | 0.11 | Non Saline | 0.19 | 41 | Low | 6 | Low | 40 | Low | 0.90 | 0.52 | Low |
| 9 | 14 | Nyaung Pin | 7.2 | Neutral | 0.05 | Non Saline | 0.1 | 40 | Low | 13 | Medium | 55 | Low | 0.10 | 0.06 | Very Low |
| 10 | 15 | Phon | 8.9 | Strongly alkaline | 0.33 | Non Saline | 0.08 | 69 | Medium | 9 | Low | 199 | Medium | 1.20 | 0.70 | Low |
| 11 | 16 | Nyaung Pin | 7.1 | Neutral | 0.05 | Non Saline | 0.12 | 38 | Low | 14 | Medium | 62 | Low | 0.60 | 0.35 | Very Low |
| 12 | 17 | Nyaung Pin | 7.7 | Moderately alkaline | 0.08 | Non Saline | 0.05 | 58 | Low | 22 | High | 147 | Low | 0.90 | 0.52 | Low |
| 13 | 18 | Nyaung Pin | 7.1 | Neutral | 0.22 | Non Saline | 0.09 | 54 | Low | 30 | High | 134 | Low | 0.20 | 0.12 | Very Low |
| 14 | 19 | Nyaung Pin | 7.4 | Moderately alkaline | 0.06 | Non Saline | 0.06 | 40 | Low | 13 | Medium | 97 | Low | 0.30 | 0.17 | Very Low |
| 15 | 20 | Zee Pin Kan | 7.3 | Neutral | 0.07 | Non Saline | 0.08 | 35 | Low | 12 | Medium | 49 | Low | 0.40 | 0.23 | Very Low |
| 16 | 21 | Zee Pin Kan | 7.6 | Moderately alkaline | 0.10 | Non Saline | 0.05 | 35 | Low | 6 | Low | 102 | Low | 0.50 | 0.29 | Very Low |
| 17 | 22 | Ywat Thar | 8.1 | Moderately alkaline | 0.26 | Non Saline | 0.07 | 64 | Medium | 23 | High | 202 | Medium | 1.00 | 0.58 | Low |
| 18 | 23 | Zee Pin Kan | 7.1 | Neutral | 0.07 | Non Saline | 0.08 | 52 | Low | 11 | Medium | 45 | Low | 2.10 | 1.22 | Medium |
| 19 | 24 | Tapinkan | 7.6 | Moderately alkaline | 0.05 | Non Saline | 0.07 | 55 | Low | 12 | Medium | 27 | Low | 2.40 | 1.40 | Medium |
| 20 | 25 | Zee Pin Kan | 7.7 | Moderately alkaline | 0.03 | Non Saline | 0.03 | 41 | Low | 4 | Low | 33 | Low | 0.20 | 0.12 | Very Low |

Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw Soil Analysis Results from Myingyan Township

| Sr. <br> No. | Sr.No.from Reference Sheet | Village Name | Soil Texture (\%) |  |  | Soil Textural Class | Moisture \% | Exchangeable Ca |  | Exchangeable Na |  | Exchangeable Mg |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Extractable } \\ & \mathrm{Cu} \end{aligned}$ |  | CEC |  | Base Saturatio \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sand | Silt | Clay |  |  | cmol <br> (+)/ kg | rating | $\underset{(+) / \mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{cmol}}$ | rating | $\left\|\begin{array}{l\|} \mathrm{cmol} \\ (+) / \mathrm{kg} \end{array}\right\|$ | rating | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{cmol} \\ & (++) / \mathrm{kg} \end{aligned}$ | rating |  |
| 1 | 6 | Va Lone | 71.5 | 11.8 | 16.8 | SandyLoam | 3.0 | 11.1 | High | 1.7 | High | 3.7 | High | 0.0004 | Deficient | 16.8 | Medium | 99.2 |
| 2 | 7 | Va Lone | 48.8 | 27.4 | 23.8 | Sandy Clay Loam | 3.0 | 18.4 | High | 4.0 | Very High | 3.8 | High | 0.0006 | Deficient | 26.9 | High | 99.6 |
| 3 | 8 | Va Lone | 84.6 | 9.7 | 5.8 | Loamy Sand | 3.0 | 3.2 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 1.3 | Medium | 0.0005 | Deficient | 5.2 | Very Low | 96.8 |
| 4 | 9 | Va Lone | 83.1 | 13.3 | 3.7 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 1.8 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.6 | Medium | 0.0002 | Deficient | 2.9 | Very Low | 95.7 |
| 5 | 10 | Chay Say | 76.8 | 12.7 | 10.6 | Sandy Loam | 3.0 | 15.2 | High | 0.4 | Medium | 2.4 | High | 0.0005 | Deficient | 18.4 | Medium | 99.5 |
| 6 | 11 | Chay Say | 78.9 | 15.3 | 5.8 | Loamy Sand | 3.0 | 15.3 | High | 0.3 | Low | 1.9 | High | 0.0005 | Deficient | 17.8 | Medium | 99.6 |
| 7 | 12 | Chay Say | 82.9 | 11.1 | 7.0 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 15.0 | High | 0.3 | Low | 1.8 | High | 0.0005 | Deficient | 17.4 | Medium | 99.6 |
| 8 | 13 | Chay Say | 79.0 | 14.6 | 6.3 | Loamy Sand | 3.0 | 16.4 | High | 0.4 | Medium | 2.3 | High | 0.0008 | Adequate | 19.2 | Medium | 99.6 |
| 9 | 14 | Nyaung Pin | 86.1 | 7.9 | 6.1 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 2.6 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.6 | Medium | 0.0003 | Deficient | 3.8 | Very Low | 98.8 |
| 10 | 15 | Phon | 59.9 | 23.0 | 17.1 | Sandy Loam | 6.0 | 16.4 | High | 1.4 | High | 3.8 | High | 0.0006 | Deficient | 22.2 | Medium | 99.8 |
| 11 | 16 | Nyaung Pin | 85.2 | 10.7 | 4.1 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 2.4 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 0.7 | Medium | 0.0004 | Deficient | 3.6 | Very Low | 99.6 |
| 12 | 17 | Nyaung Pin | 73.6 | 13.4 | 13.0 | Sandy Loam | 3.0 | 8.8 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 3.3 | High | 0.0005 | Deficient | 12.9 | Medium | 99.6 |
| 13 | 18 | Nyaung Pin | 78.5 | 11.4 | 10.1 | Loamy Sand | 3.0 | 5.8 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 2.0 | Hig | 0.0005 | Deficient | 8.4 | Low | 99.5 |
| 14 | 19 | Nyaung Pin | 82.6 | 16.5 | 0.9 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 4.1 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 1.5 | Medium | 0.0003 | Deficient | 6.2 | Low | 99.6 |
| 15 | 20 | Zee Pin Kan | 85.5 | 6.5 | 8.0 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 2.3 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 1.0 | Medium | 0.0001 | Deficient | 3.8 | Very Low | 98.2 |
| 16 | 21 | Zee Pin Kan | 85.7 | 8.7 | 5.7 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 3.0 | Low | 0.5 | Medium | 1.1 | Medium | 0.0002 | Deficient | 4.8 | Very Low | 99.3 |
| 17 | 22 | Ywat Thar | 36.8 | 31.9 | 31.3 | Clay Loam | 7.0 | 15.4 | High | 0.4 | Medium | 3.3 | High | 0.0002 | Deficient | 19.7 | Medium | 99.9 |
| 18 | 23 | Zee Pin Kan | 89.0 | 2.4 | 8.6 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 2.3 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.9 | Medium | 0.0002 | Deficient | 3.8 | Very Low | 97.7 |
| 19 | 24 | Tapinkan | 85.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 | Loamy Sand | 2.0 | 2.3 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 1.0 | Medium | 0.0006 | Deficient | 3.7 | Very Low | 99.0 |
| 20 | 25 | Zee Pin Kan | 87.6 | 4.3 | 8.0 | Loamy Şand | 2.0 | 2.3 | Low | 0.3 | Low | 1.1 | Medium | 0.0003 | Deficient | 3.8 | Very Low | 99.2 |

SAR Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division, Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw
Soil Analysis Results from Chauk Township

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Sr.No. from Reference Sheet | Village Name | pH |  | EC |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total N } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Available N |  | Available P |  | Available K |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | reaction | rating | $\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m}$ | rating |  | mg/kg | rating | mg/kg | rating | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating |
| 1 | 52 | Min Kan | 7.6 | Moderately alkaline | 0.05 | Non Saline | 0.1 | 30 | Very Low | 4 | Low | 38 | Low |


| Sr.No. | Sr.No. from Reference Sheet | Village Name | Organic matter \% | Organic carbon \% | rating | Moisture \% | Soil Texture (\%) |  |  | Soil Textural Class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sand | Silt | Clay |  |
| 1 | 52 | Min Kan | 0.90 | 0.55 | Low | 1.3 | 84.1 | 10.9 | 5.0 | Loamy Sand |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Sr.No. <br> from Reference Sheet | Village Name | Exchangeable Ca |  | Exchangeable Na |  | Exchangeable Mg |  | Extractable Cu |  | CEC |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Base } \\ \text { Saturation } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+7)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+7)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating | cmol <br> ${ }_{(+)} / \mathrm{kg}$ | rating |  |
| 1 | 52 | Min Kan | 2.3 | Low | 0.4 | Medium | 0.3 | Low | 0.0005 | Deficient | 3.2 | Very Low | 97.2 |

(AR) Soil Science Section, Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division,
secin, mammar Department of Agricultural Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw

| Analytical item | Analytical method | Apparatus used |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pH | $1: 2.5 \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ soil : water | pH meter F-51 HORIBA |
| EC | $1: 2.5$ w/v soil : water | Cond meter DS-51 HORIBA |
| Total N | Kjeldahl distillation method | Gerhardt Vapodest 20s |
| Available N | Alkaline permanganate method | - |
| Available P | Olsen's method | UV Vis Spectrophotometer PD-303 UV |
| Available K | 1N Ammonium acetate extraction | Atomic Absorption Flame Emission Spectrophotometer <br> AA-6200, SHIMADZU |
| Organic matter | Tyurin's method | - |
| Soil Texture | Pipette method | - |
| Exchangeable Ca, Na, Mg and <br> Extractable Cu | 1N Ammonium acetate extraction | Atomic Absorption Flame Emission Spectrophotometer <br> AA-6200, SHIMADZU |
| Cation Exchange Capacity, CEC | Leaching method | - |

The Republic of the Union of Myanmar Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation Department of Agricultural Research Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural Engineering Division

Yezin, Naypyitaw
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The Republic of the Union of Myanmar
Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation
Department of Agricultural Research
Soil Science, Water Utilization and Agricultural
Engineering Division
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|  | Letter No.t DaKa/2013-2014/80 |
| Date । June 17, 2013 |  |

Description ॥ ॥The results of soil and water samples.

The results of fifty water samples from JICA Project are submitted to you.

## JCA Project

## Copy

- Office received.

Table (1) Water Samples from JCA Project

| Sr.No. | Water <br> Sample | Township | Sr.No. | Water <br> Sample | Township |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | W-1 | Nyaung U | 26. | W-26 | Nyaung Oo |
| 2. | W-2 | Nua Htoe Gyi | 27. | W-27 | Magway |
| 3. | W-3 | Myingyan | $28 .$. | W-28 | Magway |
| 4. | W-4 | Nyaung U | 29. | W-29 | Yae Nan Chaung |
| 5. | W-5 | Myingyan | 30. | W-30 | Magway |
| 6. | W-6 | Myingyan | 31. | W-31 | Netmauk |
| 7. | W-7 | Myingyan | 32. | W-32 | Netmauk |
| 8. | W-8 | Magway | 33. | W-33 | Netmauk |
| 9. | W-9 | Magway | 34. | W-34 | Netmauk |
| 10. | W-10 | Magway | 35. | W-35 | Netmauk |
| 11. | W-11 | Sagaing | 36. | W-36 | Netmauk |
| 12. | W-12 | Myin Mu | 37. | W-37 | Netmauk |
| 13. | W-13 | Myin Mu | 38. | W-38 | Nay Pyi Taw |
| 14. | W-14 | Taung Thar | 39. | W-39 | Tat Kone |
| 15. | W-15 | Nyaung Oo | 40. | W-40 | Tat Kone |
| 16. | W-16 | Nyaung Oo | 41. | W-41 | Tat Kone |
| 17. | W-17 | Nyaung Oo | 42. | W-42 | Yamaethin |
| 18. | W-18 | Nyaung Oo | 43. | W-43 | Yamaethin |
| 19. | W-19 | Nyaung Oo | 44. | W-44 | Yamaethin |
| 20. | W-20 | Nyaung Oo | 45. | W-45 | Yamaethin |
| 21. | W-21 | Nyaung Oo | 46. | W-46 | Yamaethin |
| 22. | W-22 | Nyaung Oo | 47. | W-47 | Yamaethin |
| 23. | W-23 | Nyaung Oo | 48. | W-48 | Tat Kone |
| 24. | W-24 | Nyaung Oo | 49. | W-49 | Yamaethin |
| 25. | W-25 | Magway | 50. | W-50 | Yamaethin |

Table (2) Analytical method and apparatus used

| Sr. <br> No. | Analytical item | Analytical method | Apparatus used |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | pH | Electrometric Method | pH meter (F-51, HORIBA) |
| 2 | EC | Electrometric Method | Conductivity meter (DS-51, HORIBA) |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cl}$ | Titrimetric Method | Titrator |
| 6 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Turbidimertric Method | UV- VIS Spectrophotometer, PD - 303 UV |
| 7 | $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Ca}$, <br> $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Zn}$, <br> SAR | Spectrophotometric <br> Method | Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer <br> NovAA 400 |


| No. | Date |  | Location |  |  | Water source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Day | Month | Region | TS | Village |  |
| 1. | 2 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Maye Noe Lay | Canal |
| 2. | 3 | May | Mandalay | Nua Htoe Gyi | Kan | Tube - well |
| 3. | 4 | May | Mandalay | Myingyan | Phone | Tube - well |
| 4. | 5 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U / | Own Nae Chaung | Canal |
| 5. | 5 | May | Mandalay | Myingyan - | Ywar Thar Yar | Tube-well $/$ |
| 6. | 5 | May | Mandalay | Myingyan - | Zee Pi Kan | Tube-well |
| 7. | 6 | May | Mandalay | Myingyan - |  | Tube - well |
| 8. | 9 | May | Magway | Magway | Si Pin Thar | Tube-well |
| 9. | 9 | May | Magway | Magway | Myin Kin | Canal |
| 10. | 9 | May | Magway | Magway | Si Pin Thar | Creek |
| 11. | 16 | May | Sagaing | Sagaing | Kone | Farm pond |
| 12. | 16 | May | Sagaing | Myin Mu | Pyaw Ywar | Cannel |
| 13. | 16 | May | Sagaing | Myin Mu |  | Cannel |
| 14. | 17 | May | Mandalay | Taung thangi | Kyaw Zi | Cannel |
| 15. | 17 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | A Htet Nyit | Cannel |
| 16. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Ayerawady river water | Thu kaung Te |
| 17. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Thu kaung Te | Tube-well $¢$ |
| 18. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Thu kaung Te | Tube-well |
| 19. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Thu kaung Te | Tube - well |
| 20. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Anauk Palinn | Tube - well |
| 21. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Myae Nae lay | Tube - well |
| 22. | 18 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Wat kyi Inn | Tube - well $/$ |
| 23. | 20 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U |  | Tube-well |
| 24. | 20 | May | Mandalay | Nyaung U | Myoe Hla | Tube-well |
| 25. | 21 | May | Magway | Magway |  | Tube-well ! |
| 26. | 18 | May | Magway | Nyaung U | Thu kaung Te | Tube-well , |
| 27. | 22 | May | Magway | Magway |  | Tube-well |
| 28. | 22 | May | Magway | Magway | Down Nay | Tube-well |
| 29. | 22 | May | Magway | Yaw Nan Chaung | San Kan Gyi | Tube-well |
| 30. | 23 | May | Magway | Magway ( | Naung Pin | Tube-well |
| 31. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Ta Kun daing | Wadi |
| 32. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Inn Kone | Tube - well |
| 33. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Inn Kone | Tube-well |
| 34. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Lay Eain | Wadi) |
| 35. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Ywar Mon | Stream |
| 36. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | Naboo Kwe | Farm pond |
| 37. | 23 | May | Magway | Net Mauk | - | Farm pond |
| 38. | 23 | May | Nay Pyi Taw | Nay Pyi Taw | - | Cannel |
| 39. | 24 | May | Nay Pyi Taw | Tat Kone | Oatshit Kone | Cannel |
| 40. | 24 | May | Nay Pyi Taw | Tat Kone | Tha Htay Gone | Tube - well |
| 41. | 24 | May | Nay Pyi Taw | Tat Kone | Kyar thay eine | Tube-well |
| 42. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Aung chan thar | Tube-well |
| 43. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Kin Moon Chone | Tube-well - |
| 44. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Out Downt | Tube - well |
| 45. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Inn Zinn | Tube-well |
| 46. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Hlwe Oo | Tube-well |
| 47. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Nyaung lay Pin | Tube - well |
| 48. | 24 | May | Nay Pyi Taw | Tat Kone | Nyaung lay Pin | Cannel |
| 49. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Shwe Dar | Tube-well |
| 50. | 24 | May | Mandalay | Yamaethin | Si Pi Research Farm (DAR) | Tube-well |

Table (3) Water Sample results from JICA Project

| Sr.No. | Water Sample | SAR | pH | EC (dS/m) | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{Ca}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{M g}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{N a}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{K}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ <br> (ppm) | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{Cl}}$ | $\underset{(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{ppm}})}{\mathrm{SO}_{4}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{Fe}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{M n}}$ | Cu (ppm) | Zn (ppma) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | W-1 | 0.28 | 6.65 | 0.149 | 16.28 | 4.63 | 4.94 | 1.48 | NiI | 114.19 | 34.16 | 40.5 | 10.8500 | 0.1898 | 0.2131 | 0.0675 |
| 2. | W-2 | 0.74 | 7.87 | 0.794 | 73.57 | 39.73 | 31.98 | 4.01 | Nil | 603.90 | 40.99 | 28.5 | 5.5850 | 0.1561 | 0.1579 | 0.0522 |
| 3. | W-3 | 2.53 | 7.46 | 1.110 | 28.77 | 41.51 | 90.93 | 1.51 | Nil | 614.88 | 72.42 | 124.5 | 1.5560 | 0.1535 | 0.1437 | 0.0366 |
| 4. | W-4 | 0.23 | 7.70 | 0.192 | 19.16 | 7.13 | 4.74 | 1.44 | Nil | 109.80 | 40.99 | 28.5 | 0.5349 | 0.0299 | 0.1239 | 0.0673 |
| 5. | W-5 | 1.08 | 7.56 | 0.891 | 42.75 | 74.18 | 50.80 | 3.32 | Nil | 636.84 | 81.99 | 72.0 | 0.0664 | 0.0211 | 0.1412 | 0.0708 |
| 6. | W-6 | 2.94 | 7.01 | 1.252 | 12.32 | 27.86 | 81.88 | 31.45 | Nil | 779.58 | 75.16 | 39.0 | 1.1140 | 0.1310 | 0.0639 | 0.0212 |
| 7. | W-7 | 24.42 | 7.18 | 3.510 | 21.11 | 34.52 | 787.70 | 5.50 | Nil | 801.54 | 245.97 | 424.5 | 0.8032 | 0.1154 | 0.1095 | 0.0209 |
| 8. | W-8 | 7.92 | 7.80 | 0.728 | 13.60 | 19.22 | 15.44 | 4.67 | Nil | 439.20 | 88.82 | 27.0 | 0.2152 | 0.0611 | 0.0433 | 0.0273 |
| 9. | W-9 | 18.33 | 7.89 | 0.554 | 14.00 | 13.39 | 401.75 | 3.47 | Nil | 285.48 | 95.66 | 58.5 | Nil | 0.0489 | 0.0376 | 0.0293 |
| 10. | W-10 | 43.51 | 7.82 | 0.333 | 4.63 | 5.14 | 574.75 | 10.03 | Nil | 219.60 | 68.33 | 46.5 | 7.4030 | 0.2695 | Nil | Nil |
| 11. | W-11 | 2.79 | 7.24 | 0.530 | 59.90 | 8.40 | 87.18 | 2.44 | 1.35 | 258.00 | 81.99 | 141.0 | 0.3319 | 3.257 | Nil | 0.0158 |
| 12. | W-12 | 0.87 | 7.25 | 0.460 | 59.25 | 11.71 | 27.95 | 6.18 | 1.62 | 219.60 | 92.92 | 129.0 | 0.1569 | 0.5560 | Nil | 0.0344 |
| 13. | W-13 | 1.48 | 7.21 | 0.412 | 47.23 | 10.37 | 43.21 | 7.77 | 1.73 | 192.15 | 116.15 | 121.5 | 0.1998 | 0.6242 | Nil | 0.0414 |
| 14. | W-14 | 0.63 | 7.55 | 0.107 | 15.91 | 4.04 | 10.91 | 6.59 | Nil | 91.13 | 68.33 | 121.5 | 0.2304 | 0.5573 | Nil | 0.0458 |
| 15. | W-15 | 1.34 | 7.53 | 0.100 | 23.81 | 6.30 | 28.45 | 10.85 | Nil | 79.06 | 68.33 | 127.5 | 0.2972 | 0.4467 | Nil | $0: 0509$ |
| 16. | W-16 | 2.04 | 7.35 | 0.100 | 26.68 | 6.92 | 45.82 | 13.36 | Nil | 74.66 | 61.49 | 121.5 | 0.3567 | 0.4955 | Nil | 0.0698 |
| 17. | W-17 | 2.02 | 6.92 | 0.560 | 53.66 | 24.08 | 71.23 | 23.92 | 4.59 | 269.00 | 65.59 | 139.5 | 0.1601 | 0.5178 | Nil | 0.0609 |
| 18. | W-18 | 16.02 | 6.78 | 1.460 | 17.90 | 22.01 | 430.55 | 4.88 | 4.97 | 516.06 | 204.98 | 184.5 | 1.2300 | 0.1376 | 0.0253 | 0.1121 |
| 19. | W-19 | 8.87 | 6.62 | 0.940 | 24.78 | 21.79 | 252.00 | 4.95 | 486 | 439.20 | 109.32 | 145.5 | 0.2820 | NiI | 0.0379 | 0.1154 |
| 20. | W-20 | 3.86 | 6.59 | 1.720 | 83.35 | 18.78 | 235.23 | 15.73 | 486 | , 296.46 | 202.24 | 367.5 | 0.2387 | 0.1012 | 0.0201 | 0.1263 |

Table (3) Water Sample results from JICA Project (continued)

| Sr.No. | Water Sample | SAR | pH | $\underset{(\mathrm{dS} / \mathbf{m})}{\mathrm{EC}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathbf{M g}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{Na}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{K}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{C O}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathrm{Cl}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{SO}_{4}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{F e} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{M n}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{Cu}}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Zn}}{(\mathrm{pm})}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21. | W-21 | 16.51 | 6.52 | 1.540 | 10.28 | 35.80 | 502.00 | 3.93 | 3.83 | 655.51 | 225.47 | 243.0 | 0.2163 | 0.0581 | 0.0321 | 0.2094 |
| 22. | W-22 | 33.01 | 6.47 | 1.890 | 15.33 | 3.12 | 544.00 | 1.73 | 8.91 | 395.28 | 232.31 | 169.5 | 0.1715 | 0.0925 | 0.0211 | 0.1488 |
| 23. | W-23 | 28.47 | 6.61 | 1.230 | 10.87 | 2.24 | 395.75 | 2.53 | 3.67 | 631.35 | 229.57 | Nil | 0.2180 | 0.0702 | 0.0350 | 0.2229 |
| 24. | W-24 | 0.47 | 6.82 | 0.690 | 9.69 | 15.62 | 10.29 | 26.15 | 1.73 | 301.95 | 281.49 | 4.5 | 0.2382 | 0.0244 | 0.0284 | 0.0086 |
| 25. | W-25 | 6.88 | 6.52 | 1.250 | 14.86 | 24.73 | 187.40 | 5.76 | 2.16 | 570.96 | 215.91 | 24.0 | 0.3962 | 0.0346 | 0.0024 | 0.0189 |
| 26. | W-26 | 7.40 | 6.75 | 0.749 | 13.78 | 14.59 | 166.18 | 4.50 | 2.05 | 428.22 | 125.72 | Nil | 0.1648 | 0.0130 | 0.0295 | 0.0155 |
| 27. | W-27 | 4.41 | 7.25 | 1.090 | 33.24 | 31.84 | 148.95 | 5.45 | 2.16 | 472.14 | 226.84 | 138.0 | 0.1398 | 0.0176 | 0.0029 | 0.0298 |
| 28. | W-28 | 4.01 | 7.54 | 0.410 | 19.94 | 6.08 | 80.01 | 3.33 | 2.27 | 199.84 | 99.75 | Nil | 0.1054 | 0.0186 | 0.0267 | 0.0244 |
| 29. | W-29 | 1.99 | 7.52 | 0.680 | 79.98 | 17.60 | 75.76 | 5.58 | 1.51 | 285.48 | 239.14 | Nil | 0.1512 | 0.0157 | 0.0217 | 0.0373 |
| 30. | W-30 | 1.96 | 7.26 | 0.970 | 96.93 | 24.60 | 83.75 | 9.50 | 2.38 | 519.35 | 157.15 | 76.5 | 0.2473 | 0.0205 | 0.0165 | 0.0373 |
| 31. | W-31 | 2.42 | 7.47 | 0.560 | 33.15 | 43.03 | 89.89 | 10.50 | 3.89 | 209.72 | 168.08 | 43.5 | 0.4023 | 0.0080 | 0.0155 | 0.0473 |
| 32. | W-32 | 9.75 | 7.12 | 1.120 | 25.08 | 12.47 | 240.2 | 1.05 | 4.86 | 721.39 | 95.66 | 19.5 | 0.1230 | 0.0078 | 0.0098 | 0.0580 |
| 33. | W-33 | 6.05 | 7.24 | 0.930 | 33.09 | 25.90 | 192.13 | 1.16 | 3.29 | 623.66 | 157.15 | 30.0 | 0.1049 | Nil | 0.0222 | 0.0601 |
| 34. | W-34 | 3.94 | 7.29 | 0.895 | 41.04 | 24.52 | 129.65 | 5.10 | 4.21 | 554.49 | 151.68 | 150.0 | 0.1973 | 0.0231 | 0.0142 | 0.0673 |
| 35. | W-35 | 5.81 | 7.42 | 0.760 | 16.66 | 8.24 | 116.58 | 5.30 | 4.32 | 247.05 | 101.12 | 274.5 | 0.1769 | 0.0140 | 0.0221 | 0.0748 |
| 36. | W-36 | 1.06 | 7.87 | 0.265 | 33.75 | 4.73 | 24.91 | 3.81 | 1.13 | 171.29 | 117.52 | 142.5 | 0.5470 | 0.0668 | Nil | 0.1017 |
| 37. | W-37 | 2.82 | 7.63 | 0.305 | 23.07 | 3.27 | 54.84 | 1.65 | 1.94 | 120.78 | 68.33 | 198.0 | 0.3202 | 0.0605 | Nil | 0.0785 |
| 38. | W-38 | 3.86 | 7.74 | 0.129 | 20.59 | 1.81 | 68.15 | 2.62 | 0.65 | 88.94 | 97.02 | 145.5 | 0.3110 | 0.0608 | Nil | 0.0500 |
| 39. | W-39 | 1.27 | 7.33 | 0.464 | 25.79 | 20.27 | 35.59 | 3.02 | 2.38 | 192.15 | 101.12 | 240.0 | 0.5600 | 0.0273 | Nil | 0.1084 |
| 40. | W-40 | 2.68 | 7.18 | 0.569 | 76.70 | 16.23 | 99.23 | 2.11 | 1.19 | 373.32 | 87.46 | 150.0 | 0.2129 | 0.1614 | Nil | 0.0985 |

Table (3) Water Sample results from JCA Project (continued)

| Sr.No. | Water Sample | SAR | pH | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{EC} \\ (\mathrm{dS} / \mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ca} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M g} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{K} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{C O}_{3} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{HCO}_{3}}$ | $\underset{(\mathbf{p p m})}{\mathbf{C l}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{ppm})}{\mathrm{SO}_{4}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fe} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M n} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cu} \\ (\mathbf{p p m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Zn} \\ (\mathrm{ppm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41. | W-41 | 3.40 | 6.97 | 0.951 | 89.75 | 33.22 | 149.10 | 0.47 | 2.16 | 503.98 | 91.56 | 187.5 | 0.4060 | 0.1057 | Nil | 0.0988 |
| 42. | W-42 | 2.43 | 7.00 | 0.619 | 78.53 | 22.37 | 95.15 | 0.36 | 3.89 | 376.61 | 83.36 | 168.0 | 1.6500 | 0.5492 | Nil | 1356 |
| 43. | W-43 | 2.94 | 6.55 | 1.098 | 38.42 | 18.31 | 88.69 | 0.41 | 4.37 | 633.55 | 105.22 | 151.5 | 3.5500 | 0.1057 | 0.0068 | 0.1208 |
| 44 | W-44 | 2.24 | 6.90 | 0.632 | 43.47 | 23.04 | 73.56 | 4.01 | 2.92 | 171.29 | 95.66 | 138.0 | 0.4662 | 0.0402 | Nil | 0.1119 |
| 45. | W-4 | 7.60 | 6.51 | 1.035 | 27.54 | 17.11 | 207.00 | 0.62 | 4.97. | 468.85 | 72.42 | 135.0 | 0.5384 | 0.0359 | Nil | 0.1426 |
| 46. | W-46 | 11.95 | 6.54 | 1.345 | 31.77 | 17.39 | 338.70 | 0.63 | 4.97 | 685.15 | 122.99 | 171.0 | 0.5862 | 0.0451 | Nil | 0.1802 |
| 47. | W-47 | 4.49 | 7.56 | 0.961 | 46.15 | 24.58 | 152.28 | 0.38 | 3.78 | 579.74 | 113.42 | 139.5 | 4.8770 | 0.1822 | 0.0052 | 0.0031 |
| 48. | W-48 | 1.96 | 7.67 | 0.489 | 5.80 | 18.95 | 43.49 | 14.30 | 2.43 | 188.86 | 90.19 | 240.0 | 0.2207 | 0.0421 | 0.0024 | 0.0012 |
| 49. | W-49 | 20.97 | 7.15 | 1.940 | 11.54 | 25.91 | 564.06 | 0.70 | 9.29 | 1015.65 | 143.48 | 183.0 | 0.2345 | 0.0540 | 0.0062 | 0.0016 |
| 50. | W-50 | 5.98 | 7.17 | 1.390 | 54.98 | 28.39 | 219.80 | 0.72 | 4.32 | 73786 | 121.62 | 214.5 | 0.2338 | 0.0634 | Nil | 0.0126 |

## Suggestion and Recommendation:

Among the 50 water samples,
$\mathbf{W}_{10}, \mathbf{W}_{22}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{23}$ are very high Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR) and $\mathbf{W}_{22}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{23}$ are high amount of carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ concentration.
$\mathbf{W}_{7}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{49}$ are high Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR). $\mathbf{W}_{7}$ is severe Electrical Conductivity (EC) and high amount of bicarbonate $\left(\mathbf{H C O}_{3}\right)$ concentration. $\mathbf{W}_{49}$ is moderate Electrical Conductivity (EC) and high amount of both carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right)$ concentrations.
$\mathbf{W}_{21}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{46}$ are medium Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR) value. These water are high amount of both carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ and bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right)$ concentrations.

The source of these 7 water samples are tube well, except $W_{10}$ (Creek).
The samples are collected from Myingyan ( $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{7}}$ ), Magway ( $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ ), Nyaung Oo ( $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{2 1}}, \mathbf{2 2}, \mathbf{2 3}$ ), Yamaethin ( $\mathbf{W}_{46,49}$ ). If possible, these 7 water samples cannot be used for irrigation. Commonly, they are high SAR and high $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$. Bicarbonate could increase the SAR of the soil water by precipitating calcium and magnesium. Carbonate is associated with the level of alkality.

But, if $\mathbf{W}_{21}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{46}$ will be used for irrigation, these water samples are suitable for soil types with high infiltration rate and you should do irrigation and drainage regularly, you can use suitable irrigation practices. Salt tolerant variety must be cultivated. Moveover, frequent application of Gypsum $\left(\mathrm{CaSO}_{4} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and organic matter can be incorporated into irrigation water or soil surface to decrease SAR and bicarbonate.

Irrigated Water Quality Standard and Comments

| Sr No. | Description | Unit | Usual range in irrigation water | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | pH | - | 6.0-8.5 | - |
| 2 | EC | d ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \mathrm{m}$ | 0.75-3 | Plant growth is primarily limited by the salinity $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ level of the irrigation water with a sodium unbalance can further reduced yield under certain soil texture condition. |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ca}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-401 | If the calcium in the soil-water taken up by the crops is less than $2 \mathrm{me} / \mathrm{L}$, there is a strong probability that the crop yield will be reduced |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Mg}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-60.75 | Toxic to a number of crops at few-tenths to a few $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in acid soils. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-920 | Typically toxicity symptoms are leaf burn, scorch and dead tissue along the outside edges of leaves in contrast to symptoms of chloride toxicity which normally occur initially at the extreme leaf tip. |
| 6 | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-2 | * |
| 7 | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ppm | 0-3 | Carbonates are associated with the level of alkalinity. |
| 8 | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | ppm | 0-610 | Bicarbonate could increase the SAR of the soil water by precipitating calcium and magnesium. This can be corrected by frequent application of gypsum in soil surface. |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | ppm | 0-1065 | If there toxic ions accumulate to excessive concentrations, they cause chlorosis, bronzing and leaf burn primarily at the leaf top, leaf edges to mid-leaf area. |
| 10 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | ppm | 0-960 | The sulphates tend to combine with some of the calcium and aluminium compounds in the hardened cement and from calcium aluminate- sulphate or gypsum, which causes the concrete to swell. |
| 11 | $\mathrm{Fe}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-5 | Not toxic to plants in a aerated soils, but can contribute to soil acidification and loss of essential phosphorus and molybdenum. |
| 12 | $\mathrm{Mn}^{+}$ | ppm | 0-0.2 | Toxic to a number of crops at a few-tenths to a few $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ but usually only in acid soil. |
| 13 | $\mathrm{Cu}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-0.2 | Toxic to number of plants at 0.1 to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ in nutrient solution. |
| 14 | $\mathrm{Zn}^{++}$ | ppm | 0-2 | Toxic to many plants at widely varying concentrations, reduced toxicity at increase pH (6 or above) and in fine-textured or organic soils. |
| 15 | SAR | - | 0-15 | Sodium Adsorption Ratio ** |

*General guidelines for salinity hazard of irrigation water based upon conductivity.
( $\mathrm{d} 3 / \mathrm{m}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{mmhos} / \mathrm{cm}$ )

| Limitation for Use | Electrical Conductivity (d $\$ / \mathbf{m}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| None | $\leq 0.75$ |
| Some | $0.76-1.5$ |
| Moderate | $1.51-3.00$ |
| Severe | $\leq 3.00$ |

- Leaching required at higher range.
- Good drainage needed and sensitive plants may have difficulty at germination.


## ** $\mathrm{SAR}=$ Sodium Adsorption Ratio

At a given $S A R$, infiltration rate increases as water salinity increases.

$\mathrm{ds} / \mathrm{m}=$ decisiemen $/$ meter (equivalent to $1 \mathrm{mmho} / \mathrm{cm}=1$ millimmho/unit metre)
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}=$ milligram per litre $\approx$ parts per million (ppm)
$\mathrm{me} / \mathrm{L}=$ milliequivalent per litre $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \div$ equivalent weight $=\mathrm{me} / \mathrm{L})$

The sodium hazard of water based on SAR value

| SAR Value | Sodium hazard of water | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1-10$ | Low | Use on sodium sensitive crops such as avocados must be <br> cautioned |
| $10-18$ | Medium | Amendments (such as Gypsum) and leaching needed. |
| $18-26$ | High | Generally unsuitable for continuous use. |
| , 26 | Very high | Generally unsuitable for use. |

Relative Salt Tolerance of Various Crops at Germination

| Sr No. | Crops | $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ germination reduction <br> (EC ds/m) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Barley | 16.24 |
| 2 | Cotton | 15.50 |
| 3 | Sorghum | 13.00 |
| 4 | Sunflower | 12.30 |
| 5 | Wheat | $14.0-16.0$ |
| 6 | Alfalfa | $8.2-13.4$ |
| 7 | Tomato | 7.6 |
| 8 | Rice | 18.0 |
| 9 | Cabbage | 13.0 |
| 10 | Maize | $21.0-24.0$ |
| 11 | Lettuce | 11.4 |
| 12 | Onion | $5.6-7.5$ |
| 13 | Bean | 8.0 |

Relative Tolerance of selected crops to exchangeable sodium,

| Sr No. | Sensitive | Semi-tolerant | Tolerant |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Avacado | Carrot | Alfalfa |
| 2 | Nuts | Lettuce | Barley |
| 3 | Bean | Sugarcane | Cotton |
| 4 | Maize | Oat | Paragrass |
| 5 | Peas | Onion | Wheatgrass |
| 6 | Grapefruits | Raddish | Karnalgrass |
| 7 | Orange | Rice |  |
| 8 | Mung bean par aryu) | Rye |  |
| 9 | Groundnut | Sorghum |  |
| 10 | Cowpeas | Tomato |  |
| 11 |  | Wheat |  |

## Appendix-8 Analysis for soil of concerned DAR experiment stations

Under DAR, there are 17 experiment stations, and 7 branches located in the whole the country. The result of "Soil analysis in experiment stations", which were implemented in 16 experiment stations from 2008 to 2010, is shown below. This document includes the result of Nayung U and Myingyan, however, does not include the Magway experiment station. The 50 -soil sampling results are shown for better understanding of soil condition.

## Reference: An Assessment of Soil Test Results for Research Stations under Department of Agricultural Research (DAR)

## (1) Nyaung U Research Center

The soil analysis of submitted soil samples from Nyaung $U$ shows that the soil is loamy sand with moderately alkaline condition. Soil organic matter were found to be very low and primary macronutrients could also be characterized as low level. Insufficiency of water soluble SO4-S and DPTA extractable Zn is also observed. Not only annual application of macro-and micro-nutrients but also regular application of FYM (farm yard manure) or compost should be practiced and alternative way of green manuring with either mimosa or horse gram should be considered in this area for soil fertility maintenance.

|  | pH | SOM\% | Avai.N <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Avai. P <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Avai. K <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Water <br> soluble <br> SO4-4(ppm) | DPTA Zn <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Soil <br> texture <br> class |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 7.38 | 0.54 | 44 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 1 | Loamy |
| Standard <br> deviation | 0.35 | 0.44 | 13 | 2 | 21 | - | - | sand |

## (2) Myingyan Research Station

The soil in Myingyan, situated in dry zone are sandy loam silt with approximately neutral in soil acidity. The available N is just about to reach the maximum level but the amount of SOM is pretty low. Available p, K and water soluble SO4-S can be rated as low level. The recommendation for soil fertility maintenance will be the same as in Nyaung $U$ Research Station which is the application of organic and inorganic fertilizers and also the establishment of glyricidia, mimosa, and hoursegram for the purpose of green manuring.

|  | pH | SOM\% | Avai.N <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Avai. P <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Avai. K <br> $(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Water <br> soluble <br> SO4-4(ppm) | Soil <br> texture <br> class |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 7.18 | 0.55 | 63 | 5 | 60 | 6 | Sandy |
| Standard <br> deviation | 0.4 | 0.2 | 14 | 2 | 37 | 3 | loam |

## Appendix-9 Contents of basic information of GAD

The basic information prepared by General Administrative Office in village tract (Myingyan)

Village General Administration Office<br>Zee Pin Kan Village Tract<br>Myingyan Township<br>Subject; Basic Information of Village Tract<br>Date; 2013 April 15<br>Contents

1. Preface
2. Summary of the village tract history
3. Location
4. Area
5. Boundary
6. Name of village locating in village tract
7. Administration
(a) Village GAD office address
(b) Number of Household
(c) Population
(d) Chairman and leader of 100 households
(e) Leader of 10 households
8. Economic
(a) Retail shop
(b) Animal Husbandry
(c) Status of Agriculture
(d) Local Food Security
(e) Farm ponds
(f) Status of Growing Tree for Village Greening
9. Society
(a) Primary School
(b) Condition of School Building
(c) School Manage by Monks
(d) Number of Teacher
(e) Students per Grade
(f) Status of Teachers and Students
(g) Rooms for Learning in School
(h) Status of Application to School
(i) Health
(j) Headmaster in order to Year
(k) Number of Students Attend to University (Zee Pin Kan)
(l) Number of Students Attend to University (Kyauk Kan)
(m) Health
(n) Religion
10. Status of Human Resources
11. Member of Social Association (village level)
12. Electricity
13. Communication
14. Car and Machinery
15. General Report
16. Conclusion

## A. Administarative Organization

Divisior Mandalay
District: Myingyan
B. Organization Chart of the DOA Township Office
C. Township's Administarative Boundary Map

\author{

| Townshid Myingyan |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| A1. Number of Village Tracts: | 66 |
| A2. Number of Wards: | 19 |
| A2. Number of Villages: | 186 | <br> Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013

}

## D. Population and Household

D. 1 Population (Year: 2013)

| Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79,215 | 191,770 | 270,985 |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |  |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 3 Popualtion by Sex (Year:2013)

| Male | Female | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 128,405 | 147,580 | 270,985 |

Source. GAD TS Office:Year:2013
D. 5 Population Density (Year:2013)

D. 2 Population by race

| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 0 | 8 | 17 | 77,420 | 6 | 36 | 19 | 1,708 | 79,216 |
| $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $97.73 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.05 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $2.16 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 4 Population Working in Agriculture Sector (above 18 years old)

| Persons | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 186,144 | 69 |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 6 Number of Households (Year:2013)

| Farm <br> Households* | Landless Households | Others | Total | Average Family Size per HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Farm ${ }^{\text {Casual Labor }}$ |  |  |  |
| 38,240 | 19,320 | 0 | 57,560 | 4.71 Persons |
| 66\% | 34\% | 0\% | 100\% |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Average Family } \\ \text { Size per HH }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |
| 4.71 Persons |

Note. Number of farm household with cultivation right Source. DOA TS office:Year:2013
D. 8 Wage of Farm Work and Non-Farm Work as of May 2(D. 9 Distance from Division Captital $\qquad$ km

| (Kyat/day/person) |  |  |  | D. 10 Number of Farm household by Farm Size (2013) |  |  |  |  | Source. SLRD Note. No, of farm households on D. 6 \&D. 10 is different |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm Work | kNon-Fa | Work |  | Less than 5 ac | 5 to 10 ac | 10 to 20 ac | Above 20 ac | Total |  |
| Source:Perso | onnel Interv |  |  | 24,058 | 9,091 | 2,214 | 411 | 35,774 |  |
| E. Land Typ | pe in the | wnsh | year:20 | 2-13) |  | (unit:acre) |  |  |  |
| Total Area | Reserved Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net Sown } \\ \text { Area } \end{gathered}$ | Occcupied <br> Area | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Cultivable } \\ \text { waste } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Other wood } \\ \text { land } \end{gathered}$ | Others |  |  |
| (1) to (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |  |  |
| 241,598 | 0 | 1,739 | 164,970 | 1,027 | 1,027 | 18,777 | 54,058 |  | TS DOA Budget (2012/13) |
| ource: SLRD | Office in |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Kyat 38.3 million |

F. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Township Unit:Acre
Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Township Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 164,970 | 24,386 | 122,768 | 0 | 0 | 17,816 |
| $100 \%$ | $14.78 \%$ | $74.42 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $10.80 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

## G. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field | Upland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,529 | 21,200 | 22,729 |


| Ratio of Irrigated Are |
| :---: |
| $13.8 \quad(\%)$ |

## H. Crop Production (year: 2007-08)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monsoon paddy |  |  |  |  |
| Summar padd |  |  |  |  |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  |  |  |
| Green Gram |  | NA |  |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |  |
| Wheat |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  | NA |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2008-09)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | Yield (bskt/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 19,623 | 19,623 | 1,489,835 | 75.9 |
| Summer paddy | 3,460 | 3,460 | 310,362 | 89.7 |
| Pigeon pea | 25,695 | 23,695 | 312,965 | 13.2 |
| Maize | 5,014 | 5,014 | 378,106 | 75.4 |
| Sesame | 52,475 | 52,475 | 290,583 | 5.5 |
| Groundnut | 14,275 | 14,275 | 712,435 | 49.9 |
| Green Gram | 5,124 | 5,124 | 43,249 | 8.4 |
| Sorghum | 11,078 | 11,078 | 120,639 | 10.9 |
| Chickpea | 13,356 | 13,356 | 146,649 | 11.0 |
| Sunflower | 17,086 | 17,086 | 434,885 | 25.5 |
| Soybean | 8,145 | 8,145 | 89,269 | 0.0 |
| Wheat | 693 | 693 | 18,683 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Net <br> Sown Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion | 10,396 | 10,396 | 35,884,649 | 3,451.8 |
| Chili | 1,178 | 1,178 | 129,978 | 110.3 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 1,579 | 998 | NA | NA |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 8,880 | 8,880 | 13,325,469 | 1,501 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2009-2010)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | Yield (bskt/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 11,448 | 11,448 | 873,711 | 76.3 |
| Summar padd | 2,205 | 2,205 | 197,855 | 89.7 |
| Pigeon pea | 25,704 | 25,704 | 316,930 | 12.3 |
| Maize | 7,869 | 7,869 | 596,392 | 75.8 |
| Sesame | 54,980 | 54,980 | 308,519 | 5.6 |
| Groundnut | 14,474 | 14,474 | 722,832 | 49.9 |
| Green Gram | 10,064 | 10,064 | 89,997 | 8.9 |
| Sorghum | 14,318 | 14,318 | 158,787 | 11.1 |
| Chickpea | 15,314 | 15,314 | 177,489 | 11.6 |
| Sunflower | 16,821 | 16,821 | 427,727 | 25.4 |
| Soybean | 7,943 | 7,943 | 88,008 | 0.0 |
| Wheat | 676 | 676 | 18,235 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (biss/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Onion | 11,462 | 11,462 | 40,058,997 | 3,494.9 |
| Chili | 1,669 | 1,664 | 187,549 | 112.7 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 1,579 | 998 | NA |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 6,311 | 6,311 | 9,383,845 | 1,486.9 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2011-2012)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 5,336 | 5,336 | 409,538 | 76.8 |
| Summar padd, | 2,361 | 2,361 | 211,947 | 89.8 |
| Pigeon pea | 25,711 | 25,711 | 319,017 | 12.4 |
| Maize | 10,851 | 10,851 | 823,048 | 75.8 |
| Sesame | 51,711 | 51,711 | 276,627 | 5.3 |
| Groundnut | 16,022 | 16,022 | 800,299 | 50.0 |
| Green Gram | 8,295 | 8,295 | 74,775 | 9.0 |
| Sorghum | 14,331 | 14,331 | 160,077 | 11.2 |
| Chickpea | 15,788 | 15,788 | 204,139 | 12.9 |
| Sunflower | 17,919 | 17,919 | 456,218 | 25.5 |
| Soybean | 7,345 | 7,345 | 82,117 | 0.0 |
| Wheat | 674 | 674 | 18,178 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (biss/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Onion | 14,907 | 14,907 | 52,706,829 | 3,535.7 |
| Chili | 1,689 | 1,689 | 191,539 | 113.4 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 1,579 | 998 | NA |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetbales | 6,335 | 6,335 | 9,418,564 | 1,486.8 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2010-2011)

| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 16,917 | 16,917 | 1,291,444 | 76.3 |
| Summar padd | 3,054 | 3,054 | 274,097 | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 25,712 | 25,712 | 317,089 | 12.3 |
| Maize | 9,481 | 9,481 | 71,865 | 7.6 |
| Sesame | 53,478 | 53,478 | 260,989 | 4.9 |
| Groundnut | 14,787 | 14,787 | 73,846 | 5.0 |
| Green Gram | 8,345 | 8,345 | 79,131 | 9.5 |
| Sorghum | 14,324 | 14,324 | 159,710 | 11.1 |
| Chickpea | 15,082 | 15,082 | 194,859 | 12.9 |
| Sunflower | 16,819 | 16,819 | 427,975 | 25.4 |
| Soybean | 7,346 | 7,396 | 82,055 | 0.0 |
| Wheat | 674 | 674 | 18,171 | 27.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop |  | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (biss/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Onion | 10,816 | 10,816 | 37,935,279 | 3,507.3 |
| Chili | 1,613 | 1,613 | 183,602 | 113.8 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 1,579 | 998 | NA |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 6,302 | 6,302 | 9,369,978 | 1,486.8 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2012-213)

| Crop |  | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 4,167 | 4,167 | 321,067 | 77.0 |
| Summar paddy | 1,366 | NA | NA | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 14,591 | 14,591 | 182,388 | 12.5 |
| Maize | 11,734 | 11,739 | 884,955 | 75.4 |
| Sesame | 27,320 | 27,320 | 85,614 | 3.1 |
| Groundnut | 19,790 | 19,790 | 480,511 | 24.3 |
| Green Gram | 5,259 | 5,259 | 37,509 | 7.1 |
| Sorghum | 13,596 | 13,596 | 132,561 | 9.8 |
| Chickpea | 11,747 | 11,747 | 152,124 | 13.0 |
| Sunflower | 3,309 | 3,309 | 83,797 | 25.3 |
| Soybean | 5,671 | 5,671 | 63,515 | 0.0 |
| Wheat | 204 | 204 | 5,457 | 26.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop |  | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (biss/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Onion | 11,233 | 11,233 | 41,769,927 | 3,718.5 |
| Chili | 2,140 | 2,140 | 224,721 | 105.0 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 1,579 | 998 |  | 0.0 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 6,874 | 6,874 | 10,177,265 | 1,480.5 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon | 702 | 702 | 702,000 | 1,000.0 |

I. Cropping Patterns

J. Livestock
J. $1 \quad$ Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (2012-13)

|  | Cattle/Buffalo | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | 109,177 | 52,975 | 29,787 | 558,369 | - |

Source:LBVD TS Office
J. 2 Animal Products Production per Year (20**)

|  | Meat (viss) | Milk (viss) | Egg (piece) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | NA | NA | NA |
| Source:LBVD TS Office |  |  |  |

K. Land Classification and Soil Types in the Township
K. 1 Land Types
(1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| 2 | 130 | - | 18 | 16 | - | - | - | - | - |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

| Legend: | I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
|  | III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |  |
|  | V | Plateau | X | Bad land |

K. 2 Soil Types $\leftarrow$ Refer to the Divisional Soil Characteristic Map (DOA)
$(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial <br> Soils | Meadow <br> Carbonate <br> Soils | Catena of Savanna Soils <br> on slopes \& Compact <br> Soils in Depretion | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy <br> Primitive <br> Soils | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones | Light Forest <br> Soils (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown Dry <br> Forest and Indaing <br> (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Other |  |
| - | - | 174 | 8 | - | 4 | - | 10 | 2 | 198 |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

## L. Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Township (mainly upland crops)

1 Crop name Monsoon paddy
2 Crop name Groundnut
3 Crop name Green gram
4 Crop name Chick pea
5 Crop name Pigeon pea
6 Crop name
7 Crop name:
8 Crop name

Variety: Ayeyamin, Shwebo Paw San
Variety: Sin Pada Thar
Variety: Yezin-11
Variety Yezin-8, Yezin-6
Variety Monywa Shwedinga
Variety:
Variety: Variety:

## M IIrrigation Water Sources in the Region


M. 3 Irrigated area by groundwat $\epsilon$ NA Acres (pump irrigation)
M. 4 Irrigated area by farm ponds NA Acres
N. Irrigation Charge and Land Tax
N. 1 Irrigation fee Paddy field: 9,000 Kyat/acre/year, Upland: 3,000 Kyat/ac/year,
N. 2 Land tax Paddy field:__Kyat/acre/year, Upland:__Kyat/ac/year

## O. Frequewncy of Damage in the Township


P. Precipitation and Temperature
P.: Fluctuation of the annual rainfall in the past 10 years

| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA | NA | 22.70 | 30.74 | 30.66 | 20.88 | 15.32 | 34.75 | 34.42 |
| 20.08 | 26.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

P. 2 Rainfall by month for 10 years
P. 2 Rainfall by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 1.65 | 3.64 | 2.79 | 1.82 | 7.44 | 3.00 | 0.51 | 1.67 | 22.72 |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  | 1.65 | 2.26 | 4.33 | 5.35 | 4.96 | 8.15 | 3.61 | 0.43 |  | 30.74 |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  | 0.24 | 8.46 | 3.98 | 1.34 | 3.34 | 2.99 | 6.18 | 4.13 |  | 30.66 |  |
| 2008 | 0.79 |  |  | 0.04 | 2.75 | 2.96 | 1.74 | 2.37 | 4.21 | 6.02 |  |  | 20.88 |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  | 0.83 | 2.87 | 1.30 | 0.43 | 3.94 | 4.49 | 1.46 |  |  | 15.32 |  |
| 2010 |  |  | 0.12 | 0.08 | 1.53 | 3.70 | 3.19 | 6.69 | 6.66 | 12.08 |  |  | 0.70 | 34.75 |
| 2011 |  |  | 0.24 | 1.23 | 4.96 | 2.60 | 1.18 | 11.25 | 2.53 | 9.96 |  | 0.47 | 34.42 |  |
| 2012 | 0.14 |  | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.51 | 0.87 | 3.29 | 4.72 | 8.27 | 1.53 | 0.32 |  | 20.08 |  |

Source. DOA TS Office
P. 3 Lowest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 11.98 | 14.05 | 20.15 | 25.75 | 26.84 | 23.28 | 24.90 | 25.16 | 24.82 | 23.34 | 21.65 | 12.85 | 21.23 |
| 2011 | 10.42 | 12.82 | 22.27 | 20.20 | 22.50 | 26.30 | 25.80 | 24.20 | 23.96 | 22.78 | 19.96 | 13.39 | 20.38 |
| 2012 | 10.00 | 11.20 | 15.00 | 19.60 | 23.20 | 25.40 | 25.00 | 24.80 | 23.50 | 19.40 | 17.00 | 11.10 | 18.77 |

P. 4 Highest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 30.98 | 33.75 | 38.48 | 41.82 | 40.68 | 38.23 | 38.21 | 35.16 | 35.12 | 32.97 | 33.47 | 29.58 | 35.70 |
| 2011 | 30.15 | 33.66 | 36.79 | 38.38 | 37.66 | 36.30 | 36.79 | 34.47 | 34.85 | 32.79 | 31.97 | 30.24 | 34.50 |
| 2012 | 30.00 | 37.60 | 41.00 | 45.10 | 44.30 | 41.00 | 40.00 | 38.00 | 37.80 | 36.80 | 35.20 | 30.00 | 38.07 |

Q. Major markets in the TS

| Name | Location | Managing Body | Public or private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Aye Mya Thida | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| 2 San Pga | Southern part | Municipality | Public |
| 3 Myoma | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |

R. Dessemiration Rate of Telephone
S. Donours and NGOs Working in the TS

| Name | Sector | Project Name | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IDEA | Saving rain water | NA | 2011 |
| Pet Myanmar | Small loan | NA | 2012 |
|  |  |  | to |
|  |  |  | to |
|  |  | to |  |

Source: DOA TS Office
T. Number of Vehicles in the TS (year:20**)

|  | Number | Number per Household |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sedan | NA | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |
| Trucks | NA | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |
| Others |  |  |
| Source:***** |  |  |

U. Accessibility to Safe Water (year:20**) (Number of Households)

| Tubewe <br> ll | Shallow <br> Tubewell | Lake/Pond | Others (Remarks) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,327 | NA | NA |  |

V. Literacy Rate (above 15 years old) $\qquad$
W. Numbers of Schools in TS and Numbers of Students and Teachers in Those School (2012-13)

Type of School No. of School No. of Students No. of Teachers

| Primary | 178 | 22,255 | 567 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | 9 | 12,916 | 1,154 |
| High | 5 | 3,873 | 157 |
| Monastic Education |  |  |  |
| Source:GAD TS Offfice |  |  |  |

X. Number and Rate of Households (HH) by Electric Power Sources (2012/13)

Y. Numbers of Health Facilities (2012) Unit:Numbers

| Hospital (More <br> than 100 beds) | Other <br> Hospitals | Clinic | Rural Health <br> Center | Maternal and <br> Child Care | Pharmacy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0 | 33 | 8 | 1 | 12 |
| Source: GAD Office in TS |  |  |  |  |  |

Z. Major Diseases for Death in the Township (Top. 3 diseases) (2012-13)

| 1 | Diarrhea | Note: | ARI:Acute Respiratory Infection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Haemorrhagic fever |  | TB(Sputume+) : Tuberculosis (Sputum) |
| 3 | TB |  |  |

Source: District Hospital
AA. Number and Rate of Households by Type of Main Fuel for Cooking (20**)


| Electricity Firewood Charcoal | Charcoal <br> Briquettes | Farm Waste <br> Products | Gas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Urban |  |  |  |
| Rural | NA |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

AB. Problems in the Agriculture in the Township (mark all that apply)
Lack of irrigation w $\square$ Drougt $\sqsubset$ Low agricultural technol $\square$ Low farmgate price of crops
$\square$ High cost of agricultural chemi $\square$ Soil erosion by rain $\square$ Soil erosion by wind $\begin{aligned} & \text { Poor soil fertility }\end{aligned}$
$\sqsubset$ High cost of fertilizers $\sqsubset$ Monoculture $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lack of draft cattle } \square \text { Poor agricultural credit system }\end{aligned}$
$\sqsubset$ Inadequate production of quality $\leq$ Erratic rainfall
Other issues:

| Other i |
| :--- |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| $\frac{4}{5}$ |

AC. Agricultural Development Plan in the Township

[^9]
## 1. Basic Information Survey

## A. Administarative Organization

Divisio Mandalay District : Nyaung U

| Township Nyaung U |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| A1. Number of Village Tracts: | 74 |
| A2. Number of Wards: | 17 |
| A3. Number of Villages: | 219 |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
B. Organization Chart of the DOA Township Office
C. Township's Administarative Boundary Map

Refer to the organizational chart attached D. Population and Household
D. 1 Population (Year: 2013)

| Urban | Rural | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,330 | 147,971 | 188,301 |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |  |
| D. 3 Popualtion by Sex (Year:2013) |  |  |
| Male |  | Female |
| 86,826 | 101,475 | Total |

D. 2 Population by race

| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 188,269 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 188,301 |
| $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $99.98 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.02 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 4 Population Working in Agriculture Sector (above 18 years old)

| D. 4 Persons | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 110,933 | 59 |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 5 Population Density (Year:2013)

| 127 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | persons/kili |
| above 18 | E to the Total |
| 129,951 | 69 |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |


| Farm Households* | Landless Households | Others | Total | Average Family Size per HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Farm ${ }^{\text {Casual Labor }}$ |  |  |  |
| 27,391 | 10,341 | 0 | 37,732 | 4.99 Persons |
| 73\% | 27\% | 0\% | 100\% |  |

Note. Number of farm household with cultivation right Source. DOA TS office:Year:2013
D. 8 Wage of Farm Work and Non-Farm Work as of May $2($ D. 9 Distance from Division Captital: 220.8 k

| (Kyat/day/person) |  |  | D. 10 Number of Farm household by Farm Size (2013) |  |  |  |  |  | Source. SLRDNote. No, of farmhouseholds on D. $6 \& D$is different |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm Work | Non-Farm Work |  |  | Less than 5 ac | 5 to 10 ac | c 10 to 20 ac | Above 20 ac | Total |  |
| Source:Personnel Interview |  |  |  | 13,967 | 6,700 | 5,563 | 2,166 | 28,386 |  |
| Land Ty | pe in the | Owns | (year:20 | ) (unit:acre) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Area | Reserved Forest s | Current Fallows | Net Sown Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable waste | Other wood land | Others |  |  |
| (1) to (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | TS DOA Budget (2012/13) |  |
| 280,622 | 4,588 | 7,378 | 164,478 | 0 | 66,936 | 37,242 | 0 |  | Kyat 65 million |

## Source: SLRD Office in TS

F. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Township Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 164,478 | 256 | 156,875 | 0 | 7,142 | 205 |
| $100 \%$ | $0.16 \%$ | $95.38 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $4.34 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace
Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

Source: SLRD TS Office, DOA TS Office
G. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field <br> Pand | Upland | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| H. Crop Production (year: 2007-08) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy | 9,324 | 9,329 | 662,649 | 71.0 |
| Summar paddy | 1,655 | 1,655 | 144,035 | 87.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 26,793 | 26,793 | 361,229 | 13.5 |
| Maize | 1,175 | 1,175 | $5,651,750$ | $4,810.0$ |
| Sesame | 59,086 | 59,086 | 248,396 | 4.2 |
| Groundnut | 61,543 | 61,543 | 215,816 | 3.5 |
| Green Gram | 30,018 | 30,018 | 391,393 | 13.0 |
| Sorghum | 10,367 | 10,367 | 121,131 | 11.7 |
| Chickpea | 418 | 418 | 2,550 | 6.1 |
| Sunflower | 137 | 137 | 2,891 | 21.1 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,007 | 2,007 | 18,063 | 9.0 |
| Rice bean | 515 | 515 | 3,156 | 6.1 |
| Cotton | 3,313 | 3,313 | $5,121,407$ | $1,545.9$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop Production (year: 2008-09) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy | 10,937 | 10,937 | 779,223 | 71.2 |
| Summer paddy | 1,801 | 1,801 | 157,667 | 87.5 |
| Pigeon pea | 30,602 | 30,602 | 427,305 | 14.0 |
| Maize | 1,175 | 1,175 | $6,227,500$ | $5,300.0$ |
| Sesame | 58,791 | 58,790 | 260,662 | 4.4 |
| Groundnut | 62,680 | 62,680 | $2,268,878$ | 36.2 |
| Green Gram | 31,654 | 31,654 | 430,987 | 13.6 |
| Sorghum | 11,546 | 11,546 | 135,610 | 11.7 |
| Chickpea | 529 | 529 | 6,602 | 12.5 |
| Sunflower | 320 | 320 | 8,560 | 26.8 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,091 | 2,091 | 33,456 | 16.0 |
| Rice bean | 519 | 519 | 6,747 | 13.0 |
| Cotton | 3,416 | 3,416 | $1,008,135$ | 295.1 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 836 | 836 | $3,772,032$ | 4,512 |
| Chili | 331 | 331 | 59,580 | 180 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,766 | 2,053 | $5,720,400$ | 2,786 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 3,155 | 3,155 | $2,219,306$ | 703 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 855 | 855 | $4,275,000$ | 5,000 |
| Chili | 345 | 345 | 86,424 | 251 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,766 | 2,053 | $5,975,975$ | 2,911 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,995 | 2,995 | $2,100,045$ | 701 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2009-2010)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 10,931 | 10,930 | 819,055 | 74.9 |
| Summar padd | 1,291 | 611 | 53,631 | 87.8 |
| Pigeon pea | 31,353 | 31,353 | 444,661 | 14.2 |
| Maize | 1,225 | 1,225 | $6,479,950$ | $5,289.8$ |
| Sesame | 58,815 | 58,815 | 224,056 | 3.8 |
| Groundnut | 59,714 | 59,714 | $2,435,258$ | 40.8 |
| Green Gram | 369,423 | 36,423 | 628,560 | 17.3 |
| Sorghum | 11,630 | 11,630 | $1,384,424$ | 119.0 |
| Chickpea | 525 | 525 | 3,701 | 7.0 |
| Sunflower | 311 | 311 | 6,220 | 20.0 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,100 | 2,100 | 21,000 | 10.0 |
| Rice bean | 498 | 498 | 3,536 | 7.1 |
| Cotton | 3,317 | 3,308 | $1,020,655$ | 308.5 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 685 | 685 | 3085500 | $4,504.4$ |
| Chili | 347 | 347 | 62460 | 180.0 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,766 | 2,053 | 5975775 | $2,910.8$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 3,175 | 3,175 | 2228956 | 702.0 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2011-2012)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 3,214 | 3,214 | 236,272 | 73.5 |
| Summar padd | 818 | 818 | 62,099 | 75.9 |
| Pigeon pea | 30,079 | 30,079 | 391,691 | 13.0 |
| Maize | 1,362 | 1,362 | $7,218,600$ | $5,300.0$ |
| Sesame | 46,308 | 46,173 | 241,367 | 5.2 |
| Groundnut | 74,098 | 74,098 | $2,416,041$ | 32.6 |
| Green Gram | 29,401 | 29,401 | 367,398 | 12.5 |
| Sorghum | 11,068 | 11,068 | 151,168 | 13.7 |
| Chickpea | 540 | 590 | 6,750 | 11.4 |
| Sunflower | 1 | 1 | 7 | 7.0 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,483 | 2,483 | 39,728 | 16.0 |
| Rice bean | 183 | 183 | 2,379 | 13.0 |
| Cotton | 912 | 912 | 266,998 | 292.8 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 636 | 636 | $2,864,000$ | $4,503.1$ |
| Chili | 514 | 514 | 102,800 | 200.0 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,765 | 2,053 | $6,026,850$ | $2,935.6$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetbales | 2,038 | 2,038 | $1,448,960$ | 711.0 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2010-2011)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 10,930 | 10,928 | 819,159 | 75.0 |
| Summar paddy |  | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 32,951 | 32,981 | 500,715 | 15.2 |
| Maize | 1,300 | 1,300 | $6,890,000$ | $5,300.0$ |
| Sesame | 52,754 | 52,735 | 208,230 | 3.9 |
| Groundnut | 56,618 | 56,595 | $2,440,684$ | 43.1 |
| Green Gram | 41,599 | 41,518 | 713,973 | 17.2 |
| Sorghum | 11,080 | 10,076 | 169,452 | 16.8 |
| Chickpea | 550 | 550 | 6,875 | 12.5 |
| Sunflower | 400 | 400 | 8,000 | 20.0 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,000 | 2,000 | 32,000 | 16.0 |
| Rice bean | 370 | 370 | 3,145 | 8.5 |
| Cotton | 3,248 | 3,298 | $1,028,281$ | 311.8 |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 610 | 610 | $3,053,000$ | $5,004.9$ |
| Chili | 363 | 363 | 72,600 | 200.0 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,765 | 2,053 | $6,026,851$ | $2,935.6$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,529 | 2,529 | 1781744 | 704.5 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2012-213)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 2,405 | 2,405 | 177,369 | 73.8 |
| Summar paddy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 27,968 | 27,968 | 341,747 | 12.2 |
| Maize | 1,256 | 1,256 | $6,656,800$ | $5,300.0$ |
| Sesame | 49,844 | 44,304 | 144,362 | 3.3 |
| Groundnut | 74,092 | 74,092 | $2,803,583$ | 37.8 |
| Green Gram | 29,170 | 29,170 | 309,224 | 10.6 |
| Sorghum | 10,609 | 10,609 | 135,114 | 12.7 |
| Chickpea | 711 | 711 | 8,887 | 12.5 |
| Sunflower | 2 | 2 | 14 | 7.0 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cow pea | 2,231 | 2,231 | 35,696 | 16.0 |
| Rice bean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Cotton | 273 | 273 | 57,925 | 212.2 |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 627 | 627 | $2,824,000$ | $4,504.0$ |
| Chili | 811 | 811 | 174,520 | 215.2 |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy | 2,053 | 2,043 | $6,026,850$ | $2,950.0$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 1,674 | 1,674 | $1,205,338$ | 720.0 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

I. Cropping Patterns

J. Livestock
J. $1 \quad$ Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (2012-13)

|  | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | 98,051 | 192 | 90,550 | 20,355 | 575,638 | 459 |

Source:LBVD TS Office
J. 2 Animal Products Production per Year (20**)

|  | Meat (viss) | Milk (viss) | Egg (piece) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | NA | NA | NA |

K. Land Classification and Soil Types in the Township
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { K. } 1 \text { Land Types } & \text { (1,000 ac) }\end{array}$

| (1,000 ac) |
| :--- |
| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre)          <br> I II III IV V VI VII VIII IX X <br> 15 70 - 100 38 - - - - - |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)
Legend:

| I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
| III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |
| V | Plateau | X | Bad land |

K. 2 Soil Types $\leftarrow$ Refer to the Divisional Soil Characteristic Map (DOA) (1,000 ac

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial <br> Soils | Meadow <br> Carbonate <br> Soils | Catena of Savanna Soils <br> on slopes \& Compact <br> Soils in Depretion | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy <br> Primitive <br> Soils | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones | Light Forest <br> Soils (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown Dry <br> Forest and Indaing <br> (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Other |
| 57 | 197 | 1,504 | 607 | 173 | 353 | 403 | 531 | 107 |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)
L. Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Township (mainly upland crops)


Variety: Sin Thu Kha, Manwa Thu Kha
Variety Manaw Thu Kha
Variety Khwe Chan Shwedinga, Monywar Shwedi...............................
Variety Yezin-11, Yezin-14
.Variety Kyaung Kon, Magway-11, Myanmar Pin Pyant
Variety Malthila, Red sesame
Variety Karachi, ICCV-2
Variety:

## M Ilrigation Water Sources in the Region

M. 1 Number of farm ponds
M. 2 Irrigated area by river $\qquad$ of which, how many are innservice now NA places
of which, how many acres are irrigated by gravity? NA Acres
M. 3 Irrigated area by groundwat $\in$ NA Acres (pump irrigation)
M. 4 Irrigated area by farm ponds NA Acres
N. Irrigation Charge and Land Tax
N. 1 Irrigation fee Paddy field: 6,000 Kyat/acre/year, Upland: 3,000 Kyat/ac/yı Summer paddy: 9,000 Kyat/ac
N. 2 Land tax Paddy field: 1.5 Kyat/acre/year, Upland: 1.0 Kyat/ac/year

## 0. Frequewncy of Damage in the Township

| 1 Drought: | 1 | times every 2 | years |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Flood: | - | times every - | years |
| 3 Insect damag | - | times every - | years |
| 4 Pest damage: |  | times every | years |

P. Precipitation and Temperature
P.: Fluctuation of the annual rainfall in the past 10 years

| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. | 2012 yr. | Average |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32.05 | 28.20 | 24.44 | 27.50 | 26.26 | 26.43 | 13.49 | 32.66 | 40.30 | 18.31 | 26.96 |  |  |  |
| P.2 Rainfall by month for 10 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| 2003 |  |  |  | 0.12 | 7.02 | 4.77 | 2.87 | 3.31 | 6.03 | 7.85 |  | 0.08 | 32.05 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 0.12 | 7.45 | 2.48 | 2.29 | 1.78 | 12.73 | 0.95 | 0.40 |  | 28.20 |
| 2005 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 1.54 | 3.87 | 1.38 | 1.63 | 9.32 | 4.45 | 0.20 | 1.85 | 24.44 |
| 2006 |  |  |  | 0.59 | 1.52 | 5.03 | 2.13 | 5.75 | 8.14 | 4.22 | 0.12 |  | 27.50 |
| 2007 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.71 | 12.02 | 2.80 | 0.64 | 3.12 | 1.75 | 4.62 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 26.26 |
| 2008 | 0.71 | 0.12 |  |  | 5.45 | 2.73 | 0.24 | 4.89 | 5.03 | 7.26 |  |  | 26.43 |
| 2009 |  |  | 0.91 | 0.32 | 3.08 | 1.78 |  | 1.86 | 3.13 | 2.41 |  |  | 13.49 |
| 2010 |  |  |  | 0.20 | 1.10 | 1.15 | 0.04 | 7.42 | 4.77 | 17.11 |  | 0.87 | 32.66 |
| 2011 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.8 | 4.81 | 3.43 | 0.55 | 9.26 | 5.22 | 15.29 |  | 0.44 | 40.30 |
| 2012 |  |  | 0.16 | 1.34 | 3.39 | 2.56 | 0.40 | 1.39 | 5.60 | 3.35 | 0.12 |  | 18.31 |

Source. DOA TS Office
P. 3 Lowest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q.

| Name | Location | Managing Body | Public or private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Mani Si Thu | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| 2 Tax free market | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| 3 |  |  |  |

R. Dessemiration Rate of Telephone
S. Donours and NGOs Working in the TS

| Name | Sector | Project Name | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KOICA | Environment | NA | to |
| PACT Myanmar | Loan | NA | to |
|  |  |  | to |
|  |  |  | to |
|  |  |  | to |

Source: DOA TS Office
T. Number of Vehicles in the TS (year:20**)

|  | Number | Number per Household |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sedan | NA | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |
| Trucks | NA | \#\#\#\#\#\#\#\# |
| Others |  |  |
| Soure:***** |  |  |

U. Accessibility to Safe Water (year:20**) (Number of Households)

| Tubewe <br> ll | Shallow <br> Tubewell | Lake/Pond | Others (Remarks) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

V. Literacy Rate (above 15 years old)

W. Numbers of Schools in TS and Numbers of Students and Teachers in Those School (2013)

Type of School No. of School No. of Students No. of Teachers

| Primary | 114 | 22,735 | 834 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Middle | 4 | 13,424 | 356 |
| High | 5 | 4,213 | 125 |
| Monastic Education |  |  |  |
| Source:GAD TS Offfice |  |  |  |

X. Number and Rate of Households (HH) by Electric Power Sources (2012/13)

Unit:HH

| Unit:HH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Main <br> Power Line | Biogass | Rice Husk | Mini- <br> hydro <br> Power | Own Generato r | Battery | Candle |  | Main <br> Power <br> Line | Biogass | Rice <br> Husk | Mini- <br> hydro <br> Power | Own Generator | Battery |
| Urban | 7,117 |  |  |  | NA |  |  | Urban | 18.86\% |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Rural | 235 |  |  |  | NA |  |  | Rural | 0.62\% |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Total | 7,352 |  |  |  | NA |  |  | Total | 19.48\% |  |  | NA |  |  |

Y. Numbers of Health Facilities (2012)

| Hospital (More <br> than 100 beds) | Other <br> Hospitals | Clinic | Rural Health <br> Center | Maternal and <br> Child Care | Pharmacy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 5 | 35 | 2 | $5-10$ |
| Source: GAD Office in TS |  |  |  |  |  |

Z. Major Diseases for Death in the Township (Top. 3 diseases)

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 2 |

Note: ARI:Acute Respiratory Infection TB(Sputume + ) : Tuberculosis (Sputum)

Source: District Hospital
AA. Number and Rate of Households by Type of Main Fuel for Cooking (20**)


AB. Problems in the Agriculture in the Township
(mark all that apply)
$\square$ Lack of irrigation w $\square$ Drough $\sqsubset$ Low agricultural technol $\square$ Low farmgate price of crops
$\square$ High cost of agricultural chemi $\sqsubset$ Soil erosion by rain $\square$ Soil erosion by wind $\square$ Poor soil fertility
$\sqsubset$ High cost of fertilizers $\sqsubset$ Monoculture $\sqsubset$ Lack of draft cattle $\square$ Poor agricultural credit system
$\sqsubset$ Inadequate production of quality $\mathfrak{\square}$ Erratic rainfall
Other issues:


## AC. Agricultural Development Plan in the Township

```
1}\mathrm{ Disribution of seeds (HYY,OPV)
2.-...xtension(training)
3 Research and development
4
.4
```


## 1. Basic Information Survey

## A. Administarative Organization

Divisioı Magway District
B. Organization Chart of the DOA Township Office
C. Township's Administarative Boundary Map

| Township Magway |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| A1. Number of Village Tracts: | 61 |
| A2. Number of Wards: | 15 |
| A2. Number of Villages: | 216 |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
Refer to the organizational chart attached
Refer to the map attached

## D. Population and Household

D. 2 Population by race (urban only)
(persons)
D. 1 Population (Year: 2013)

| Urban |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 78,162 |  |  |
| 200,816 |  | Total |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |  |
| 3 Popualtion by Sex (Year:2013  <br> Male Female Total <br> 133,484 145,502 278,986 |  |  |


| Kachin | Kayah | Kayin | Chin | Bamar | Mon | Rakhine | Shan | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97 | 80 | 204 | 180 | 74,572 | 60 | 313 | 190 | 2,466 | 78,162 |
| $0.12 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $95.41 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ | $0.24 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
D. 4 Population Working in Agriculture Sector (above 18 years old)

| Persons | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 149,629 | 54 |

Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013
Note: The totals of D. 1 \& D. 3 are different
D. 5 Population Density (Year:2013)

| 158 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | persons/kin |
| D. 7 | Economically Active Population |
| above 18 | \% to the Total |
| 202,747 | 73 |
| Source. GAD TS office:Year:2013 |  |


| Farm Households* | Landless Households | Others | Total | Average Family <br> Size per HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Farm ${ }^{\text {Casual Labor }}$ |  |  |  |
| 41,727 | 12,060 | 0 | 53,787 | 5.19 Persons |
| 78\% | 22\% | 0\% | 100\% |  |

Note. Number of farm household with cultivation right
Source. SLRD TS office:Year:2013
D. 8 Wage of Farm Work and Non-Farm Work as of May 2013 D. 9 Distance from Division Captital $\qquad$ km

| (Kyat/day/person) |  | D. 10 Number of Farm household by Farm Size |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Farm Work | Non-Farm Work | Less than 5ac | 5 to 10 ac | 10 to 20 ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| 1,500 | 2,000 | 14,015 | 7,484 | 4,845 | 1,193 | 27,537 |
| Source:Personnel Interview |  | Source. SLRD TS office:Year:20 Note : The total of D. 10 \& D. 6 are different |  |  |  |  |

E. Land Type in the Township (year:2012-13) (unit:acre)

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown Area | Occupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to (7) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |

Source: SLRD Office in TS
F. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Township Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land * | Total <br> Kaing * | Total <br> Kyun * | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 201,175 | 4,237 | 191,764 | 5,168 | 0 | 6 |
| $100 \%$ | $2.11 \%$ | $95.32 \%$ | $2.57 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

Source: SLRD TS Office, DOA TS Office
G. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field | Upland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9,084 | 0 | 9,084 |
| Source:DOA TS Office |  |  |


| Ratio of Irrigated Are |
| :---: |
| $4.5 \quad(\%)$ |


| H. Crop Production (year: 2007-08) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy | 6,364 | 6,364 | 456,494 | 71.7 |
| Summar paddy | 1,345 | 1,345 | 114,123 | 84.8 |
| Pigeon pea | 33,088 | 33,088 | 554,224 | 16.8 |
| Maize (cob) | 10,989 | 10,989 | $133,409,426$ | $12,140.3$ |
| Sesame | 187,693 | 187,693 | $2,320,026$ | 12.4 |
| Groundnut | 42,886 | 42,886 | $2,491,045$ | 58.1 |
| Green Gram | 62,591 | 62,591 | 735,596 | 11.8 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 2,169 | 2,169 | 35,788 | 16.5 |
| Sunflower | 16,347 | 16,347 | 298,813 | 18.3 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 63 | 63 | 1,027 | 16.3 |
| Butter bean | 984 | 984 | 23,510 | 23.9 |
| Lablab bean | 9,780 | 9,780 | 74,356 | 7.6 |


| Crop Production (year: 2008-09) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy | 6,536 | 6,536 | 510,723 | 78.1 |
| Summer paddy | 1,428 | 1,428 | NA | NA |
| Pigeon pea | 33,112 | 33,112 | 579,460 | 17.5 |
| Maize (cob) | 7,805 | 7,805 | $76,594,315$ | $9,813.5$ |
| Sesame | 188,291 | 188,291 | $2,445,955$ | 13.0 |
| Groundnut | 45,849 | 45,849 | $2,750,568$ | 60.0 |
| Green Gram | 60,271 | 60,271 | 714,211 | 11.8 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Sunflower | 16,352 | 16,352 | 306,591 | 18.7 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 55 | 55 | 1,155 | 21.0 |
| Butter bean | 960 | 960 | 24,240 | 25.3 |
| Lablab bean | 4,791 | 4,791 | 82,345 | 17.2 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 1,881 | 1,881 | $11,474,100$ | 6,100 |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |
| Potato | 175 | 175 | 962,280 | 5,499 |
| Toddy | 1,605 | 814 | $2,945,500$ | 3,619 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  | NA |
| Vegetables | 2,406 | 2,406 |  | NA |
| Cotton | 24,953 | 24,953 | $6,225,219$ | 249 |
| Niger | 139 | 139 | 1,800 | 13 |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 1,882 | 1,882 | $12,045,007$ | 6,400 |
| Chili | 253 | 253 | 113,481 | 449 |
| Potato | 173 | 173 | 968,845 | 5,600 |
| Toddy | 1,605 | 814 | $2,645,500$ | 3,250 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,414 | 2,414 | $8,504,500$ | 3,523 |
| Cotton | 23,765 | 23,765 | $9,409,326$ | 396 |
| Niger | 141 | 141 | 2,115 | 15 |

Crop Production (year: 2009-2010)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 7,545 | 7,545 | 605,788 | 80.3 |
| Summar padds | 1,337 | 1,337 | 135,171 | 101.1 |
| Pigeon pea | 33,675 | 33,675 | 590,996 | 17.5 |
| Maize (cob) | 10,484 | 10,484 | $136,874,865$ | $13,055.6$ |
| Sesame | 188,391 | 188,391 | $2,451,347$ | 13.0 |
| Groundnut | 46,064 | 46,064 | $3,087,367$ | 67.0 |
| Green Gram | 63,553 | 65,553 | 905,630 | 13.8 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 2,177 | 2,177 | 38,009 | 17.5 |
| Sunflower | 16,501 | 16,501 | 329,026 | 19.9 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 91 | 91 | 1,779 | 19.5 |
| Butter bean | 986 | 986 | 24,926 | 25.3 |
| Lablab bean | 6,229 | 6,229 | 107,361 | 17.2 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 1,885 | 1,885 | $12,073,425$ | $6,405.0$ |
| Chili | 250 | 250 | 137,500 | 550.0 |
| Potato | 171 | 171 | 957,694 | $5,600.5$ |
| Toddy | 814 | 814 | $2,604,800$ | $3,200.0$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,890 | 2,890 | $10,472,780$ | $3,623.8$ |
| Cotton | 23,901 | 23,901 | $10,889,629$ | 455.6 |
| Niger | 152 | 152 |  | 2,288 |

## Crop Production (year: 2011-2012)

| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> $(\mathrm{ac})$ | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 7,546 | 7,447 | 629,035 | 84.5 |
| Summar padd) | 1,503 | NA | NA | NA |
| Pigeon pea | 33,750 | 33,750 | 568,687 | 16.8 |
| Maize (cob) | 11,455 | 11,455 | $139,878,513$ | $12,211.1$ |
| Sesame | 181,081 | 180,748 | $2,676,201$ | 14.8 |
| Groundnut | 54,087 | 54,087 | $3,714,691$ | 68.7 |
| Green Gram | 64,930 | 64,930 | $1,044,860$ | 16.1 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 2,395 | 2,395 | 43,176 | 18.0 |
| Sunflower | 15,831 | 15,831 | 386,736 | 24.4 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 100 | 100 | 1,956 | 19.6 |
| Butter bean | 1,306 | 1,306 | 33,068 | 25.3 |
| Lablab bean | 5,403 | 5,403 | 92,890 | 17.2 |


| Crop | Sown <br> Area <br> (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 2,054 | 2,054 | $13,191,873$ | $6,422.5$ |
| Chili | 284 | 284 | 156,839 | 552.3 |
| Potato | 201 | 201 | $1,126,605$ | $5,605.0$ |
| Toddy | 1,610 | 814 | $2,604,800$ | $3,200.0$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetbales | 2,956 | 2,956 | $10,868,251$ | $3,676.7$ |
| Cotton | 24,027 | 24,027 | $13,016,818$ | 541.8 |
| Niger | 251 | 251 | 3,845 | 15.3 |

Crop Production (year: 2010-2011)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Carveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 7,545 | 7,545 | 612,805 | 81.2 |
| Summar paddy | 1,503 | 1,503 | 153,716 | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 33,684 | 33,684 | 597,891 | 17.8 |
| Maize (cob) | 11,299 | 11,299 | $151,670,005$ | $13,423.3$ |
| Sesame | 188,391 | 188,391 | $2,453,891$ | 13.0 |
| Groundnut | 46,470 | 46,470 | $3,116,899$ | 67.1 |
| Green Gram | 63,905 | 63,905 | 910,646 | 14.2 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 2,170 | 2,170 | 37,975 | 17.5 |
| Sunflower | 15,835 | 15,835 | 341,774 | 21.6 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 100 | 100 | 1,955 | 19.6 |
| Butter bean | 1,002 | 1,002 | 25,351 | 25.3 |
| Lablab bean | 5,467 | 5,467 | 94,083 | 17.2 |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 1,980 | 1,980 | $12,487,620$ | $6,306.9$ |
| Chili | 272 | 272 | 149,872 | 551.0 |
| Potato | 170 | 170 | 952,044 | $5,600.3$ |
| Toddy | 1,609 | 814 | 26,048 | 32.0 |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,954 | 2,954 | NA | NA |
| Cotton | 24,013 | 24,013 | $12,376,002$ | 515.4 |
| Niger | 150 | 150 | 2,287 | 15.2 |

Crop Production (year: 2012-213)

| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Carveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 7,546 | 7,463 | 636,743 | 85.3 |
| Summar paddy | 1,532 | NA | NA | NA |
| Pigeon pea | 33,752 | 33,752 | 568,721 | 16.8 |
| Maize (cob) | 12,161 | 12,161 | $148,377,916$ | $12,201.1$ |
| Sesame | 186,471 | 186,471 | $2,763,747$ | 14.8 |
| Groundnut | 54,301 | 52,304 | $3,584,259$ | 68.5 |
| Green Gram | 64,856 | 64,856 | $1,047,310$ | 16.1 |
| Sorghum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Chickpea | 2,297 | 2,297 | 40,243 | 17.5 |
| Sunflower | 9,647 | 9,647 | 213,466 | 22.1 |
| Soybean | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Black gram | 100 | 100 | 1,956 | 19.6 |
| Butter bean | 1,308 | 1,308 | 20,038 | 0.0 |
| Lablab bean | 5,341 | 5,341 | 93,824 | 17.6 |


| Crop | Net <br> Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield <br> (biss/ac) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Onion | 2,054 | 2,054 | $12,954,393$ | $6,306.9$ |
| Chili | 284 | 284 | 156,839 | 552.3 |
| Potato | 172 | 172 | 963,296 | $5,600.6$ |
| Toddy | 1,610 | 814 | $2,604,800$ | $3,200.0$ |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 2,972 | 2,017 | $10,615,272$ | $5,262.9$ |
| Cotton | 24,056 | 24,056 | $13,680,758$ | 568.7 |
| Niger | 251 | 251 | 3,845 | 15.3 |

I. Cropping Patterns

J. Livestock
J. 1 Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (20**)

|  | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep/Goat: | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | 148,909 | 698 | 120,600 | 71,288 | $2,152,294$ | 4,273 |

J. 2 Animal Products Production per Year (20**)

|  | Meat (viss) | Milk (viss) | Egg (piece) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | NA | NA | NA |

Source:LBVD TS Office
K. Land Classification and Soil Types in the Township
K. 1 Land Types (1,000 ac)

| $(1,000 \mathrm{ac})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | Vcreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - | 5 | 4 | 192 | - | - | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |

Source. JICA Report on Poverty Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)

| Legend: | I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
| III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |  |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |  |
| V | Plateau | X | Bad land |  |

K. 2 Soil Types $\leftarrow$ Refer to the Divisional Soil Characteristic Map (DOA) (1,000 ac)

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow Alluvial Soils | Meadow <br> Carbonate Soils | Catena of Savanna Soils on slopes \& Compact Soils in Depretion | Compact Soils (Vertisol) | Turfy Primitive Soils | Primitive Crushed Stones | Light Forest <br> Soils (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown Dry Forest and Indaing (Xanthic Ferralsol) | Other | Total |
| 67 | 2 | 133 | - | - | 170 | 12 | - | 52 | 436 |

Source. JICA Report on Poveety Reduction for CDZ, MAS (former)
L. Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Township (mainly upland crops)


## M IIrrigation Water Sources in the Region

M. 1 Number of farm ponds
M. 2 Irrigated area by river
$\qquad$
M. 3 Irrigated area by groundwat $\qquad$
M. 4 Irrigated area by farm ponds NA Acres

## N. Irrigation Charge and Land Tax

N. 1 Irrigation fee Paddy field: 9,000 Kyat/acre/year, Upland: 3,000 Kyat/ac/year,
N. 2 Land tax Paddy field:1.5 Kyat/acre/year, Upland: 2.75 Kyat/ac/year

## O. Frequewncy of Damage in the Township


P. Precipitation and Temperature
P.1 Fluctuation of the annual rainfall in the past 10 years

| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. | 2012 yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34.70 | 32.75 | 36.86 | 47.78 | 37.76 | 35.49 | 31.51 | 44.36 | 41.45 | 30.86 |

P.2 Rainfall by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 0.12 |  |  | 0.04 | 6.29 | 7.90 | 1.87 | 5.45 | 7.79 | 5.08 | 0.28 |  | 34.82 |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  | 5.05 | 3.59 | 6.79 | 5.81 | 7.52 | 2.80 | 1.19 |  | 32.75 |  |
| 2005 |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.36 | 6.66 | 5.33 | 4.58 | 7.99 | 8.45 | 0.96 | 2.49 | 36.86 |  |
| 2006 |  |  | 0.16 | 2.24 | 5.56 | 3.40 | 8.46 | 4.77 | 9.41 | 13.15 | 0.63 |  | 47.78 |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  | 0.31 | 7.92 | 9.68 | 3.72 | 6.61 | 5.03 | 3.63 | 0.86 |  | 37.76 |  |
| 2008 | 1.14 |  |  | 0.39 | 5.30 | 4.36 | 6.49 | 1.94 | 8.24 | 7.81 |  |  |  | 35.67 |
| 2009 |  |  | 0.04 | 1.34 | 4.61 | 5.40 | 5.01 | 7.56 | 4.84 | 7.21 |  |  | 36.01 |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  | 0.43 | 4.30 | 6.27 | 3.27 | 6.85 | 5.20 | 17.29 |  | 0.75 | 44.36 |  |
| 2011 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.43 | 1.97 | 3.75 | 5.41 | 4.54 | 8.86 | 10.80 | 4.62 |  | 0.71 | 41.45 |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  | 1.42 | 1.69 | 7.51 | 5.41 | 4.07 | 5.99 | 4.09 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 30.86 |  |

Source. DOA TS Office
P. 3 Lowest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Q. Major markets in the TS

| Name | Location | Managing Body | Public or private |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Myo Ma | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| 2 Kan Thar | Center of the city | Municipality | Public |
| 3 Yanpal | Nothern part of the city | Municipality | Public |
| Dessemiration Rate of Telephone | NA |  |  |

R. Dessemiration Rate of Telephone
S. Donours and NGOs Working in the TS

| Name | Sector | Project Name | Period |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CESVI | Nivelihood improvement | NA | to |
| PACT Myanmar | Loan | NA | to |
| WFP |  |  | to |
|  |  |  | to |
|  |  | to |  |

Source: DOA TS Office
T. Number of Vehicles in the TS (year:20**)

|  | Number | Number per Household |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sedan | NA | NA |
| Trucks | NA | NA |
| Others |  |  |

U. Accessibility to Safe Water (year:20**) (Number of Households)

| Tubewe <br> ll | Shallow <br> Tubewell | Lake/Pond | Others (Remarks) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA |  |  |  |

V. Literacy Rate (above 15 years old)

| $\left(\right.$ Year $\left.20^{* *}\right)$ |
| :---: |
| NA |

W. Numbers of Schools in TS and Numbers of Students and Teachers in Those School (2013)

| Type of School | No. of School | No. of Students | No. of Teachers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary | 172 | 19,111 | 788 |
| Middle | 10 | 6,480 | 188 |
| High | 7 | 8,535 | 419 |
| Monastic Education |  |  |  |

Monastic Education
Source:GAD TS Offfice
X. Number and Rate of Households (HH) by Electric Power Sources (2012/13)


|  | Main <br> Power <br> Line | Biogass | Rice Husk | Minihydro <br> Power | Own Generator | Battery |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Urban |  |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Rural |  |  |  | NA |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | NA |  |  |

Y. Numbers of Health Facilities (2012) Unit:Numbers

| Hospital (More <br> than 100 beds) | Other Hospitals | Clinic | Rural Health <br> Center | Maternal and <br> Child Care | Pharmacy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 3 | NA | 49 | 1 | NA |
| Source: GAD Office in TS |  |  |  |  |  |

Z. Major Diseases for Death in the Township (Top. 3 diseases)
12
2
3

Note: ARI:Acute Respiratory Infection TB(Sputume+) : Tuberculosis (Sputum)

Source: District Hospital
AA. Number and Rate of Households by Type of Main Fuel for Cooking ( $20 * *$ )

$\sqsubset$ Inadequate production of quality seeds $\sqsubset$ Erratic rainfall
Other issues:


## AC. Agricultural Development Plan in the Township

```
.1 Disribution of seeds (HYV, OPV)
2 Extension (training and education)
3 Development of good agricultural practice (GAP)
    -3
5
```




Township Nyaung U


Township Magway

## Appendix-11 Summary Tables of the Individual farm Household Survey

6.1 Number of Samples by Village

|  | Sample Villages |  |  |  | Sample <br> farmers | Contact farmers |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Township | District | Region |  |  |
| 1 | Shwe Twin | Nyaung U | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 2 | Thant Sin Kyal | Nyaung U | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 3 | Taung Ba | Nyaung U | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 3 |
| 4 | Tett Ma | Nyaung U | Mandalay | Mandalay | 20 | 7 |
| 5 | Ba lone | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 9 |
| 6 | Chay Say | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 5 |
| 7 | Nyaung Pin | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 6 |
| 8 | Zee Pin Tan | Myingyan | Myingyan | Mandalay | 20 | 6 |
| 9 | Sai Kya | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 7 |
| 10 | Shar Pin Hla | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 6 |
| 11 | Kone Gyi | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 6 |
| 12 | Nyaung Kan | Magway | Magway | Magway | 20 | 70 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | 240 |  |

### 6.2 Basic Information of Farmers

(1) Farm Size and Cultivation Right

| Village | Ave. farm size (ac) | Upland(ac) | Paddy(ac) | Cultivation right(\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shwe Twin | 9.2 | 6.6 | 2.6 | $85 \%$ |
| Thant Sin Kyal | 17.2 | 16.9 | 0.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Taung Ba | 16.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Tett Ma | 11.1 | 11.1 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Ba lone | 16.8 | 10.5 | 6.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Chay Say | 19.6 | 19.6 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Nyaung Pan | 13.2 | 11.7 | 1.5 | $100 \%$ |
| Zee Pin Kan | 15.8 | 15.4 | 0.4 | $100 \%$ |
| Sai Kya | 18.9 | 18.3 | 0.6 | $100 \%$ |
| Shar Pin Hla | 15.3 | 15.0 | 0.3 | $100 \%$ |
| Kone Gyi | 13.5 | 13.5 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Nyaung Kan | 18.1 | 18.1 | 0.0 | $100 \%$ |
| Average | 15.4 | 14.4 | 1.0 | $99 \%$ |

(2) Income sources of Farmers

| Agriculture | Farm <br> work | Transp- <br> ortation | Const. <br> work | Livestock | Teacher | Official | Retail | Middl- <br> eman | Carpe- <br> nter | Rem- <br> ittance | Others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $94.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $7.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $10.4 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |

(3) Annual Incomes

| Agriculture | Farm <br> work | Transp- <br> ortation | Const. <br> work | Livestock | Teacher | Official | Retail | Middl- <br> eman | Carpe- <br> nter | Rem- <br> ittance | Others |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $88.4 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |

(4) Status of Self-sufficiency of Foods

| Months on <br> self-sufficiency | Rice | Pulses | Cooking oils |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 months | 1 | - | - |
| 6 months | 3 | 30 | 8 |
| 7 months |  | - | 1 |
| 8 months | - | 2 | - |
| 10 months | 1 | - |  |
| 12 months | 234 | 208 | 231 |

### 6.3 Information about Agricultural Technologies

## (1) Cropping Patterns



| (Thant Sin Kyal Village, Nyaung U Township.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Variety | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | January | February | March | April |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Cropping Pattern (Taung Ba village) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Variety | May | June | July | August | September | October | November | December | January | February | March | April |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Green Gram |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(2) Traditional Cultivation Methods

| Township | Village | Intercropping Crops |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nyaung U | Shwe Twin | Green gram + Groundnut |
|  |  | Groundnut + Pigeon pea |
|  | Thant Sin Kyal | Green gram + Pigeon pea |
|  |  | Sesame + Pigeon pea |
|  |  | Groundnut + Pigeon pea |
|  | Taung Ba | Pigeon pea + Sesame |
|  | Tett Ma | Pigeon pea + Sesame |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Groundnut |
| Myingyan | Ba Lone | Groundnut + Pigeon pea |
|  |  | Chick pea + Sunflower |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Sesame |
|  | Chay Say | Pigeon pea + Green gram |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Cotton |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Sesame |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Maize |
|  |  | Maize + Cotton |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Groundnut |
|  | Nyaung Pan | Pigeon pea + Sesame |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Sorghum |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Groundnut |
|  | Zee Pin Kan | Pigeon pea + Groundnut |
| Magway | Sai Kya | Pigeon pea + Groundnut |
|  | Shar Pin Hla | Green gram + Sesame |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Sorghum |
|  | Kone Gyi | Green gram + Sesame |
|  |  | Pigeon pea + Sorghum |
|  |  | Groundnut + Sesame |
|  | Nyaung Kan | Groundnut + Sesame |

(3) Renewal of Seeds

| Frequency | Paddy | Oil crops | Pulses | Forage | Vegetables |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 8 | 172 | 199 | 34 | 0 |
| Every year | 10 | 23 | 16 | 2 | 0 |
| Every 2 years | 10 | 13 | 15 | 1 | 0 |
| Every 3 years | 13 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 |

(4) Limitation in the Agricultural Production

|  |  <br> insect | Expensive <br> fertilizer | Drought | Flood | Erratic <br> rainfall | Lack <br> of <br> money | Poor <br> soil | Lack <br> in <br> cattle | Water <br> shortage | Soil <br> erosion |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | 190 | 77 | 162 | 6 | 166 | 49 | 22 | 4 | 78 | 3 |

(5) Damages and Countermeasures

|  | Pest \& insect | Drought | Flood | Erratic <br> rainfall | Soil erosion | Others |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | 191 | 169 | 6 | 96 | 2 | 0 |

(6) Irrigation Facilities

| Irrigated farmers | 40 farms $(16.7 \%)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Irrigated area | Upland $: 74.5$ ac | Paddy $: 116.5$ ac |

(7) Crop Varieties

| Crops | Varieties(top 3) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Monsoon paddy | Manawthuka | Pearl Thwe |  |
| Summer paddy | Manawthuka | Pearl Thwe |  |
| Pigeon pea | Thetgyi | Thetyin | Shwedinga |
| Maize | CP |  |  |
| Sesame | Khanshi | Black | Red |
| Groundnut | Tontarni | Kyaung Kone | Vietnam |
| Chick pea | B2 |  |  |
| Green gram | Zotkalay | Yezin-6 |  |
| Cotton | Ngwe Chi-6 |  |  |
| Onion | Kyaw Min | Shwephalar |  |

(8) Reasons for selecting their preferable varieties

| Crop | Variety | Eating quality | Marketability | Early maturity | Drought tolerant | Disease tolerant | High yield | No choice |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | Manawthuka | 38 | 36 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Pearl Thwe | 19 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Pigeon pea | Thetgyi | 68 | 80 | 40 | 45 | 1 | - | 2 |
|  | Thetyin | 92 | 132 | 78 | 103 | 12 | 1 | 6 |
| Maize | CP | 13 | 30 | 15 | 18 | - | 1 | - |
| Sesame | Kanshi | 86 | 105 | 73 | 22 | 1 | - | 2 |
|  | Black | 49 | 64 | 39 | 37 | 2 | - | - |
|  | Red | 71 | 83 | 63 | 32 | 6 | - | - |
| Groundnut | Tontarni | 152 | 171 | 134 | 133 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Vietnam | 9 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 1 | 3 | - |
|  | Kyaung Kone | 76 | 93 | 40 | 75 | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| Green gram | Zotkalay | 56 | 64 | 53 | 12 | - | 1 | 3 |
|  | Yezin-6 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Sorghum | Kalar | 40 | 48 | 19 | 30 | 21 | 2 | 4 |
| Chick pea | B2 | 24 | 25 | 9 | 11 | 12 | - | - |
| Onion | Kyaw Min | 19 | 20 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
|  | Shwephalar | 19 | 19 | 1 | 18 | - | - | - |
| Tobacco | Burma | 5 | 18 | 9914 | 14 | 2 | - | 1 |

(9) Sown area by Crop

|  | Paddy | Pigeon pea | Sesame | Maize | Groundnut | Green gram | Sorghum | Chick pea | Onion | Potato | Tomato | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sown area (ac) | 106.3 | 464.6 | 1,425.0 | 180.5 | 1,206.0 | 391.0 | 182.0 | 70.0 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 106.0 |
| Ranking | 7 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 | - | - | 9 | 8 |

(10) Procurement of Seeds

| Procured <br> from | Paddy | Pigeon Pea | Sesame | Maize | Groundnu <br> t | Green <br> Gram | Sorghum | Chick Pea | Onion | Potato | Tomato | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Own seeds | 27 | 160 | 195 | 72 | 179 | 107 | 68 | 23 | 20 | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| MAS/DOA | 11 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 20 |
| Local marke | 2 | 19 | 49 | 1 | 52 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 40 | 184 | 247 | 74 | 237 | 120 | 73 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 20 |

### 6.4 Other Information about Farm Management

(1) Required Acreage of Farmland and Income to a Feed Family

| Minimum farm size per farm (ac) | Largest 32ac, smallest 1.0ac, ave. 12.0 ac |
| :--- | :--- |
| Minimum income (Kyat/farm household/month) | Largest 600,000, smallest 30,000, ave.142,438 |

(2) Crop Yield


Yields of the Statistical Yearbook


Source : Statistical Yearbook, CSO
(3) Production Cost of Crops
(Kyat/ac)

| Crop | Urea | TSP | Other ferti. | Agri-chemical | Labour | Seeds | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 23,476 | 12,700 | 0 | 4,084 | 74,983 | 15,780 | 131,023 |
|  | 17.9 | 9.7 | 0 | 3.1 | 57.2 | 12.0 | 100.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 12,828 | 3,440 | 0 | 6,142 | 21,760 | 4,716 | 48,887 |
|  | 26.0 | 7.0 | 0 | 12.6 | 44.5 | 9.6 | 100.0 |
| Maize | 7,007 | 0 | 3,887 | 2,585 | 23,401 | 4,518 | 41,397 |
|  | 16.9 | 0 | 9.4 | 6.2 | 56.5 | 10.9 | 100.0 |
| Sesame | 8,457 | 5,088 | 1,047 | 4,517 | 31,383 | 7,606 | 58,098 |
|  | 14.6 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 54.0 | 13.1 | 100.0 |
| Groundnut | 11,455 | 7,651 | 194 | 7,859 | 49,551 | 75,015 | 151,726 |
|  | 7.5 | 5.0 | 0.1 | 5.2 | 32.7 | 49.4 | 100.0 |
| Green gram | 5,871 | 8,737 | 1,000 | 6,042 | 28,490 | 7,898 | 58,038 |


|  | 10.1 | 15.1 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 49.1 | 13.6 | 100.0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sorghum | 9,643 | 1,235 | 955 | 2,083 | 20,825 | 10,819 | 45,560 |
|  | 21.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 45.7 | 23.7 | 100.0 |
| Chick pea | 3,282 | 0 | 0 | 2,900 | 13,769 | 19,951 | 39,902 |
|  | 8.2 | 0 | 0 | 7.3 | 34.5 | 50.0 | 100.0 |
| Onion | 10,667 | 10,333 | 0 | 4,667 | 26,000 | 23,000 | 74,667 |
|  | 14.3 | 13.8 | 0 | 6.3 | 34.8 | 30.8 | 100.0 |
| Tobacco | 20,000 | 15,500 | 0 | 5,838 | 97,485 | 33,324 | 172,147 |
|  | 11.6 | 1 | 0 | 3.4 | 56.6 | 19.4 | 100.0 |
| Cotton | 2,625 | 3,100 | 0 | 16,350 | 127,190 | 5,350 | 154,615 |
|  | 1.7 | 2.0 | 0 | 10.6 | 82.3 | 3.5 | 100.0 |

(4) Net Profit of Crops

| paddy | Pigeon <br> pea | Maize | Sesame | Groundnut | Green <br> gram | Sorghum | Chick <br> pea | Onion | Tobacco | Cotton |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $56 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $76 \%$ |

(5) Purpose of Cultivation

| Crop | For sale (\%) | For home use (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 31 | 69 |
| Pigeon pea | 97 | 3 |
| Maize | 67 | 33 |
| Sesame | 60 | 40 |
| Groundnut | 61 | 39 |
| Green gram | 73 | 27 |
| Sorghum | 20 | 80 |
| Chick pea | 86 | 14 |
| Onion | 98 | 2 |
| Tobacco | 100 | 0 |

(6) Annual Income

| Village | Township | Estimated income <br> (Kyat/year/household) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Ba Lone | Myingyan | $3,937,500$ |
| Chay Say | Myingyan | $1,801,500$ |
| Nyaung Pin | Myingyan | $1,175,000$ |
| Zee Pin Kan | Myingyan | $3,710,000$ |
| Sai Ka | Magway | $9,726,000$ |

(7) Agricultural Loans

| Type | Reply | Interest (\%/month) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Instituional | 79 | 0.75 |
| Private | 3 | $4 \sim 8$ |
| Borrowing from relative | 6 | $2.5 \sim 10$ |
| NGO’s loan | 13 | 1.0 |
| Others | 3 | 2.5 |

(8) Promising Crops

| Crops | Reply | Crop | Reply |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paddy | 15 | Honey melon | 1 |
| Onion | 13 | Rubber | 1 |


| Sugarcane | 6 | Tobacco | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cotton | 5 | Potato | 1 |
| Tomato | 4 | Maize | 1 |
| Chick pea | 3 | Wheat | 1 |
| Groundnut | 3 | Chili | 1 |
| Watermelon | 2 |  |  |

## Appendix-12

## 3. Agricultural Production Survey

## Region: Mandalay

A. Organization Chart of the Department of Agriculture in the Region Office $\rightarrow$ Refer to the organization chart

Was the number of staff in the DOA Division office changed after organizational change from MAS to DOA? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No
If Yes, how many staff increased or decreased? Inreased: $\qquad$ persons, Decreased:
Annual budget of the DOA's Region office: 1,500 million Kyat/year (2013), 1,370 million persons
3. Land Type in the Region (year:2013)

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to $(7)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| $7,792,843$ | $1,546,691$ | 160,231 | $3,317,508$ | 0 | $2,070,198$ | 628,330 | 69,885 |

Source: DOA's Land Use Division
C. Agricultural zoning of the Region

According to the Zoninig in Myanmar, in which zone the Region calsifiec Refer to the zoning map
In the Region, is there any zoning about upland/paddy, soil, water sources by Township basis? Refer to the soil characteristic map and acreage by land type Result of the typology studied in the Development Study of JICA $\leftarrow$ Refer to the JICA Report (map and table)
D. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Region Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land | Total <br> Kaing | Total <br> Kyun | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| $3,317,508$ | 916,828 | $2,200,780$ | 169,064 | 0 | 30,836 |
| $100 \%$ | $27.6 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace
Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

Source: Land Use Division, DOA Regional Office
E. Seed farm and experimenatl station in the Region

|  | Agent | Location (TS) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Seed farm | DOA | Sinkkaing, Mandalay, Ma Hlaing, Pyaw Bwe, Kyaunk Pa Daung (2) |
| State farm | DOA | Mandalay, Myitthar, Pyin Oo Lwin (5), Tharzi (5), Kyaunkpadaung (2), Patheingyi, <br> Meikhtila, Nyaung U, |
| Research center \& Satellite | DAR | Center: Nyaung U, Myitthar, Satellite:Kyaukse, Kyauktada, Myingyan, Sebin |

Source: DOA HQ in Nay Pyi Taw and DAR in Yezin

## F. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field | Upland | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 635,112 | 84,181 | 719,293 |

Ratio of Irrigated Area
$21.7 \quad(\%)$

Irrigable area in the region on Man $\leftarrow$ Acauire existing Mad of irrigated area in the region
Irrigated area by District/Township basis, if available (ac)

| District | Paddy Field | Upland | Main water source |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mandalay | 38,131 | 7,800 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Pyin Oo Lwin | 79,959 | 4,511 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Kyaukse | 154,389 | 59,370 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Meikhtila | 69,613 | 2,500 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Myingyan | 23,588 | 9,800 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Nyaung U | 2,386 | 200 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |
| Yamethin | 18,610 | 0 | Dam, pum station, Tube-wel |

G. Existing Irrigation Water Sources in the Region↔Acauire inventorv of irrigation facilities if available at ID
G. 1

# 1 Number of farm ponds 

| 42 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 25 | places, |
| 71,929 | Acres, |
| 2,188 | Acres |
| NA |  | | of which, how many are in service now? |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| of which, how many are in service now? |  | NA | places |
|  |  | 25 | places | of which, how many acres are irrigated by canal (gravity)? NA Acres (pump irrigation)

G. 3 Irrigated area by river water
G. 4 Irrigated area by groundwater
G. 5 Irrigated area by farm ponds

NA Acres
Source. ID provincial office
H. Irrigated crops in the Region

| $\square$ Paddy $\quad \square$ | Sesame $\quad \square$ Groundnut $\quad \square$ Pigeon pea | $\square$ Chick pea | $\square$ Onion $\quad \square$ Watermelon | $\square$ Maize $\quad \square$ Sorghum |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\square$ Chili | $\square$ Tomato $\quad \square$ Potato $\quad \square$ Other vegetables ( |  | ) |  |

$\square$ Chili $\square$ Tomato $\square$ Potato $\square$ Other vegetables (
I. Irrigation method practiced in the Region
$\square$ Canal (gravity) $\square$ Pump $\square$ Sprinkling can
$\square$ Drip irrigation $\quad$ Treadle pump
J. Utilization and management of irrigation water $\leftarrow a s k$ at ID and WRUD

How the irrigation facilities are managed?

|  | Constructed by | Management bod | Duty of water users | Management method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation cana | ■ID, $\square$ WRUD | $\square \mathrm{ID}$, $\square$ WRUD | water charge |  |
| Pump station | $\square \mathrm{ID}$, ■WRUD | $\square \mathrm{ID}$ ■WRUD | water charge |  |
| Farm pond |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

K. Water charge and Land Tax
K. 1 Water charge Paddy field
K. 2 Land Tax Paddy field $\qquad$ Kyat/ac/year,

Upland
3,000
Kyat/ac/year Kyat/ac/year
K. 3 Collection rate of water charge NA $\%\left(20^{* *}\right) \leftarrow \mathrm{ID}$ ?
K. 4 Collection rate of land tax $\frac{\text { NA }}{\%\left(21^{* *}\right)} \leftarrow$ SLRD
L. Do farmers apply any kind of water-saving technologies in the Region?No If Yes, Please tell us the technologies.
$\square$ Drip irrigation
$\square$ Mulching
$\square$ Treadle pump
$\square$ Water harvesting (
M. Is there any farmers who still apply Technologies introduced from outside (Donours etc)
N. Number of Farm Household by Farm Size (year:2013)

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 333,180 | 183,318 | 70,239 | 19,858 | 606,595 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $54.9 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  | Average Farm Size per Farm Householc |  |  | Source |

O. Precipitation and Temperature
O. 1 Fluctuation of the annual rainfall in the past 10 years

| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. | 2012 yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34.05 | 31.59 | 32.71 | 45.59 | 39.15 | 11.89 | 27.11 | 42.16 | 43.45 | 24.19 |

O. 2 Rainfall by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  | 0.16 | 0.04 | 1.16 | 7.46 | 9.95 | 3.46 | 3.83 | 7.10 | 6.82 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 40.06 |
| 2004 |  |  | 0.04 | 1.17 | 6.77 | 5.17 | 4.36 | 2.02 | 9.75 | 2.09 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 31.69 |
| 2005 |  |  | 0.44 | 1.11 | 2.44 | 3.67 | 3.10 | 4.32 | 9.31 | 4.36 | 1.89 | 2.07 | 32.71 |
| 2006 |  |  | 0.14 | 2.84 | 6.06 | 4.79 | 4.90 | 7.87 | 11.55 | 6.85 | 0.88 |  | 45.88 |
| 2007 |  | 1.14 |  | 0.66 | 9.51 | 5.57 | 3.17 | 4.72 | 4.98 | 7.88 | 2.61 | 0.01 | 40.25 |
| 2008 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1.02 | 6.28 | 5.35 | 2.20 | 2.69 | 5.58 | 8.84 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 33.07 |
| 2009 |  |  | 0.30 | 0.85 | 6.73 | 3.14 | 0.88 | 5.67 | 6.18 | 3.21 | 0.13 |  | 27.09 |
| 2010 |  |  | 0.10 | 0.24 | 3.64 | 3.58 | 3.47 | 7.28 | 6.67 | 15.50 | 0.01 | 1.70 | 42.19 |
| 2011 | 0.22 | 0.02 | 0.95 | 2.38 | 6.49 | 4.33 | 2.36 | 10.26 | 4.66 | 11.12 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 43.45 |
| 2012 | 0.07 |  | 0.21 | 1.64 | 2.19 | 3.05 | 1.99 | 3.44 | 7.57 | 3.16 | 0.67 | 0.17 | 24.16 |

O. 3 Lowest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 58.1 | 61.5 | 72.4 | 81.0 | 82.4 | 80.8 | 80.8 | 79.0 | 78.3 | 76.4 | 68.7 | 60.3 | 73.3 |
| 2011 | 59.0 | 60.6 | 71.6 | 76.8 | 78.6 | 79.5 | 79.9 | 77.9 | 78.1 | 75.9 | 67.1 | NA | NA |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

O.4 Highest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 88.9 | 93.9 | 100.6 | 107.1 | 103.8 | 97.9 | 97.5 | 93.2 | 93.9 | 91.6 | 91.0 | 82.9 | 95.2 |
| 2011 | 84.9 | 91.8 | 97.2 | 98.6 | 94.8 | 94.6 | 95.9 | 91.2 | 93.6 | 89.8 | 89.8 | NA | NA |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Summar paddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Maize |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sesame |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Groundnut |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Green Gram |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sorghum |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sunflower |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Soybean |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area | Production (biss) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Yield } \\ \text { (biss/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Onion |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chili |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Potato |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Toddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Tomato | NA |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Cucumber |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Carrot |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Okra |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Eggplant |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Watermelon |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |


| Crop Production (year: 2008-2009) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | d Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Monsoon paddy |  |  |  |  |
| Summer paddy |  |  |  |  |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  |  |  |
| Green Gram |  |  |  |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |  |
| Black gram |  |  |  |  |
| Butter bean |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area | Production (biss) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Yield } \\ \text { (biss/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |


| Crop Production (year: 2009-2010) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Crop | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Net Sown } \\ \text { Area (ac) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { d Area } \\ \text { (ac) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Production } \\ \text { (bskt) }\end{array}$ | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Yield <br>

(bskt/ac)\end{array}\right]\)

## Crop Production (year: 2011-2012)

| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 620,061 | 618,390 | 48,369,782 | 78.2 |
| Summer paddy | 168,910 | 168,910 | 16,120,180 | 95.4 |
| Pigeon pea | 490,246 | 489,835 | 6,530,948 | 13.3 |
| Maize | 29,026 | 29,026 | 1,574,901 | 54.3 |
| Sesame | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 6,986,948 | 6.0 |
| Groundnut | 342,334 | 342,249 | 15,148,126 | 44.3 |
| Green Gram | 305,802 | 305,788 | 3,101,293 | 10.1 |
| Sorghum | 140,389 | 140,220 | 1,622,683 | 11.6 |
| Chickpea | 213,134 | 213,134 | 3,100,297 | 14.5 |
| Sunflower | 196,575 | 196,575 | 4,982,820 | 25.3 |
| Soybean | 29,001 | 29,001 | 365,590 | 12.6 |
| Black gram |  |  |  |  |
| Butter bean |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion | 71,831 | 71,637 | 217,790,205 | 3,040.2 |
| Chili | 121,012 | 119,566 | 20,692,297 | 173.1 |
| Potato | 1,984 | 1,984 | 5,130,456 | 2,585.9 |
| Toddy | 36,339 | 24,264 | NA | NA |
| Tomato | 51,824 | 51,824 | 102,129,811 | 1,970.7 |
| Carrot | 862 | 862 | 1,609,796 | 1,867.5 |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon | 6,699 | 6,699 | 18,988,725 | 2,834.6 |

Crop Production (year: 2010-2011)

| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | d Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 603,105 | 603,017 | 50,091,440 | 83.07 |
| Summer paddy | 185,994 | 185,853 | 17,951,821 | 96.59 |
| Pigeon pea | 482,125 | 482,105 | 6,531,140 | 13.55 |
| Maize | 29,011 | 29,011 | 1,530,920 | 52.77 |
| Sesame | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 6,583,695 | 5.64 |
| Groundnut | 324,756 | 324,739 | 15,744,894 | 48.48 |
| Green Gram | 333,060 | 332,979 | 4,077,742 | 12.25 |
| Sorghum | 144,470 | 144,458 | 1,683,135 | 11.65 |
| Chickpea | 226,262 | 226,262 | 3,311,765 | 14.64 |
| Sunflower | 320,265 | 320,265 | 8,267,075 | 25.81 |
| Soybean | 30,654 | 30,654 | 393,857 | 12.85 |
| Black gram |  |  |  |  |
| Butter bean |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste d Area (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (biss/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Onion | 68,971 | 68,971 | 214,214,413 | 3,105.9 |
| Chili | 128,463 | 128,463 | 22,206,475 | 172.9 |
| Potato | 2,077 | 2,077 | 5,330,176 | 2,566.3 |
| Toddy | 36,317 | 24,204 |  | 0.0 |
| Tomato | 58,239 | 58,239 | 112,596,944 | 1,933.4 |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot | 841 | 841 | 1,596,021 | 1,897.8 |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon | 5,253 | 5,253 | 125,565 | 23.9 |

Crop Production (year: 2012-2013)

| Crop | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Net Sown Harveste } \\ \text { Area (ac) }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Production } \\ \text { d Area }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt) }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| (bskt/ac) |  |  |  |$]$| Monsoon paddy |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer paddy |  |  |  |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  |
| Maize |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  |  |
| Groundnut |  |  | Yield |
| Green Gram |  |  | (biss/ac) |


| Q. Farmgate Price (year: 2012) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Crop | Farmgate <br> Price | Crop | Farmgate <br> Price |
| Monsoon paddy |  | Onion |  |
| Summer paddy |  | \|hili |  |
| Pigeon pea |  | Potato |  |
| Maize |  | Toddy |  |
| Sesame |  | Carrot |  |
| Groundnut | NA | Leafy vegetables | NA |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Green Gram |  | Okra |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| $* *$ |  |  |  |
| $* * *$ |  |  |  |

R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties)

| R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Monsoon paddy | Manawthuka | Ayeramin | Shwethwe Yin |
| Summer paddy | Manawthuka | Shwethwe Yin | Sin Thu Kha |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga | Nga San Pac | Yezin-3 |
| Maize | Yezin-4 | CP888 |  |
| Sesame | Sin-4 | Yoe Seinn | Kanshi |
| Groundnut | SP121 | Magway-10 | Sin-6, 7, 11 |
| Green Gram | Yezin-5 | Yezin-1 | Yezin-4 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | Yezin-3 | Karachi | Yezin-4 |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwe Kyar-2 | Sin Shwe Kyar-3 |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| Black gram |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| Onion | Shwe Phalar |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |

## S. Cropping Patterns


T. Farm management method by farmers

| Crop | Plowing by | Harrowing by | Weeding by | Dosage of fertilizer/ac | Frequency of spraying | Harvesting by | Irrigated by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}(15-15-15$ | 3 to 5 times | Labour | Canal |
| Summer paddy | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour | Canal |
| Pigeon pea | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour | Rainfed |
| Maize | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor |  |  |  | Labour | Rainfed |
| Sesame | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour | Canal |
| Groundnut | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour |  |
| Green Gram | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour |  |
| Sorghum | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor |  |  |  | Labour |  |
| Chickpea | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pump |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pump |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pump |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U. How often do farmers in the Region renew seeds?

| Paddy | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oil crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 4 years |
| Pulses/beans | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years |
| Fodder crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 4 years |
| Vegetables | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 4 years | $\square$ Every 5 years |

V. Limiting factors on agricultural production (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Pest and insect control

- High cost of chemicals
Drought
Flood damage
Erratic rainfall
- Lack of money
$\square$ Poor soil $\quad \square$ Lack of draft cattle
Water shortage
$\square$ Soil erosion
W. What kind of disaster or damage did the Region have experienced to date? (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Pest and insect control
■ Drought
$\square$ Flood damage
$\square$ Erratic rainfall
$\square$ Soil erosion
$\square$ Others (
)

Frequency of disasters

| Disaster | Frequency | Season (month) | Years the <br> disaster | Disease \& Insect name | Crops damaged | How damaged in <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insect |  |  |  |  |  | NA |
| Drought | every 3 years |  | 2012 | - | Sesame, Groundnut |  |
| Flood |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| Soil erosion |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Disaster map $\rightarrow$ NA
Township name where disaster occurred

| Disaster |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pest |  |
| Insect |  |
| Drought | Whole TS name disaster occured |
| Flood |  |
| Soil erosion |  |
|  |  |

X. Livestock
X. 1 Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (2013)

|  | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep/Goats | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | $2,158,711$ | 69,641 | $1,326,777$ | 673,535 | $18,148,380$ | 445,209 |
| Source.LBVD |  |  |  |  |  |  |

X. 2 Animal Products Production per Year (2013)
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc}\hline & \text { Beef (viss) } & \text { Mutton (viss } & \text { Pork (viss) } & \text { Chicken (viss. Duck (viss) } & \text { Milk (viss) } & \text { Chicken Egg (No.) Duck Egg (No.) } \\ \hline \text { Production } & \# \# \# \# \# \# \# & 6,331,010 & 24,290,430 & 50,117,580 & \# \# \# \# \# \# \# & 133,768,590 & 725,934,960\end{array}\right] 15,359,530$

Source:LBVD
X. 3 Unit Price of Live Animal per Head (2013)

| Goat/Sheep | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50,000 | 62,000 | 2,500 | 3,000 |
| Source:LBVD |  |  |  |

## Y. Land Classification and Soil Types

Y. $1 \quad$ Land Types $\leftarrow$ Acquire the land classification map, if available

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| 47 | 898 | 45 | 931 | 199 | 107 | 10 | - | - | 20 |

Source. JICA Report for Poverty Reduction in CDZ, MAS (former)
Legend:

| I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
| III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |
| V | Plateau | X | Upland plateau |

Y. 2

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Meadow <br> Carbonate <br> Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Catena of <br> Savanna Soils on <br>  <br> Compact Soils in <br> Depretion <br> (Luvisol) | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy <br> Primitive <br> Soils <br> (Lithosol) | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones Soils | Light Forest <br> Soils <br> (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown <br> Dry Forest and <br> Indaing (Xanthic <br> Ferralsol) | Other |
| 114 | 394 | 3,008 | 1,214 | 346 | 706 | 806 | 1,062 | 214 |

Source. DOA Regional offfice
Z. Recommendable Countermeasures against Dry Climate and Sandy Soil

1 .... In rainfed area,tTo change varieties to drought tolerant ones

$\cdots$

Source. DOA Division office
Aa Frequewncy of Damage in the Region

| 1 Drought: | every year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Flood: | *** | times every | *** | years |
| 3 Insect damage: | *** | times every | *** | years |
| 4 Pest damage: | *** | times every | ** | years |

Ab Agricultural Development Plan in the Region
1 Seed production of sunflower and paddy by seed farm and contact farmers
2
$-\quad 3$
$-\quad 3$
$-\quad 4$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Ac Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Region (mainly upland crops)

| 1 Crop name: | Maize | Variety: Yezin-10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ Objective Townships: Myingyan district, Pyin Oo Lwin district

Ad Problems in the Agriculture in the Region (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Lack of irrigation water $\square$ Drought $\square$ Low agricultural technologyLow farmgate price of crops $\square$ Soil erosion by windPoor soil fertility
High cost of agricultural chemicals $\square$ Soil erosion by rainLack of draft cattle Poor agricultural credit systemInadequate production of quality se $\square$ Erratic rainfal
Other issues:

| 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 2 |
| 3 | 3 |
| 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 |

Ae Agricultural Development Plan in the Region $\leftarrow$ acquire document if available (mainly on upland crops) 1 To use HYV in all Townships
3 To transfer more technology
$\begin{array}{r}4 \\ -\quad 3 \\ \hline-3\end{array}$
Af
Agricultural Development Plan in the concerning TS $\leftarrow$ Acquire document if available(mainly on upland crops) $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ -3 \\ \hdashline- \\ \hdashline-\end{array}$

| 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3 | NA |
| 4 |  |
| 5 |  |

## 3. Agricultural Production Survey

## Region: Magway

A. Organization Chart of the Department of Agriculture in the Region Office $\rightarrow$ Refer to the organization chart Was the number of staff in the DOA Division office changed after organizational change from MAS to DOA? $\square$ Yes, $\begin{aligned} & \text { No }\end{aligned}$ If Yes, how many staff increased or decreased? Inreased: $\qquad$ persons, Decreased: $\qquad$ persons
Annual budget of the DOA's Region office: 112.25 million
B. Land Type in the Region (year:2013)
Land Type in the Region (year:2013)

| Total Area | Reserved <br> Forest s | Current <br> Fallows | Net Sown Area | Occcupied <br> Area | Cultivable <br> waste | Other wood <br> land | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ to (7) | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ |
| $11,075,405$ | $2,663,193$ | 0 | $2,975,276$ | 0 | 144,969 | $2,494,833$ | $2,797,134$ |

Source: DOA's Land Use Division
C. Agricultural zoning of the Region

According to the Zoninig in Myanmar, in which zone the Region calsifiec Refer to the zoning map
In the Region, is there any zoning about upland/paddy, soil, water sources by Township basis? Refer to the soil characteristic map and acreage by land type Result of the typology studied in the Development Study of JICA $\leftarrow$ Refer to the JICA Report (map and table)
D. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Region Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land | Total <br> Kaing | Total <br> Kyun | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| $2,975,276$ | 582,576 | $2,164,466$ | 210,350 | 0 | 17,884 |
| $100 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ | $72.7 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace
Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

Source: Land Use Division, DOA Regional Office
E. Seed farm and experimenatl station in the Region
Seed farm and experimenatl station in the Region

|  | Agent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Seed farm | DOA | Pwint phyu |
| State farm | DOA | Nga Phoe |
| Research center \& Satellite (TS) | DAR | Center: Magway, Kenpontaung |

DOA \& Satelite
Source: DOA
F. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field | Upland | Total | Ratio of Irrigated Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 499,569 | 32,019 | 531,588 | 17.9 (\%) |

Irrigable area in the region on Mad $\leftarrow$ Acauire existing Mad of irrigated area in the region
Irrigated area by District/Township basis, if available (ac)

| District | Paddy Field | Upland | Main water source |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gantgaw | 32,757 | 1,331 | Na |
| Pakokku | 57,136 | 9,695 | Na |
| Magway | 117,432 | 2,442 | Na |
| Minbu | 245,510 | 18,177 | Na |
| Thayet | 46,739 | 374 | Na |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

G. Existing Irrigation Water Sources in the Region $\leftarrow$ Acauire inventorv of irrigation facilities if available at ID
G. 1 Number of farm ponds NA places, of which, how many are in service now? NA places

G. 3 Irrigated area by river water 531,588 Acres, of which, how many acres are irrigated by canal (gravity)? NA
G. 4 Irrigated area by groundwater NA Acres (pump irrigation)
G. 5 Irrigated area by farm ponds $\quad$ NA Acres

Source. ID provincial office
H. Irrigated crops in the Region
$\square$ Chili
Sesame
$\square$ Groundnut
$\square$ Pigeon pea
$\square$ Chick pea
$\square$ OnionWatermelon
$\square$ Maize
$\square$
Sorghum
I. Irrigation method practiced in the Region
$\square$ Canal (gravity) $\square$ Pump
$\square$ Sprinkling can
$\square$ Drip irrigation
$\square$ Treadle pump
J. Utilization and management of irrigation water $\leftarrow a s k$ at ID and WRUD

How the irrigation facilities are managed?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Constructed by | Management bod | Duty of water users | Management method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation cana | ■ID, ■WRUD | ■ID, ■WRUD | water charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump station | $\square$ ID, ■WRUD | $\square$ ID ■WRUD | water charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm pond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

K. Water charge and Land Tax

| K. 1 | Water charge | Paddy field | 9,000 | Kyat/ac/year, | Upland | 3,000 | Kyat/ac/year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K. 2 | Land Tax | Paddy field | 1.5 | Kyat/ac/year, | Upland | 2.75 | Kyat/ac/year |
| K. 3 | Collection rat | of water ch | NA | \%(20**) | $\leftarrow \mathrm{ID}$ ? |  |  |
| K. 4 | Collection ra | of land tax | NA | \%(21**) | $\leftarrow$ SLRD |  |  |

L. Do farmers apply any kind of water-saving technologies in the Region? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No If Yes, Please tell us the technologies.
$\square$ Drip irrigation $\quad \square$ Mulching $\quad \square$ Treadle pump $\quad \square$ Water harvesting (
$\square$ Yes, $\square$ No
M. Is there any farmers who still apply Technologies introduced from outside (Donours etc) ) If Yes, What is the reasons for sustainable practice of those technoligies?

- to increase agricutural production
- to increase soil fertility
-to prevent drought by using recommended varieties
N. Number of Farm Household by Farm Size (year:2013)

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 458,935 | 132,089 | 52,594 | 11,171 | 654,789 |
| $70.1 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Source. Regional SLRD
O. Precipitation and Temperature
O. 1 Fluctuation of the annual rainfall in the past 10 years

| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. | 2012 yr. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33.79 | 35.98 | 37.59 | 41.41 | 40.69 | 33.32 | 30.92 | 41.85 | 47.29 | 27.63 |

O. 2 Rainfall by month for 10 years (inch)

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  | 0.15 | 5.97 | 7.49 | 3.40 | 4.51 | 6.01 | 6.12 | 0.12 |  | 33.77 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 0.49 | 6.74 | 6.13 | 4.59 | 4.90 | 10.15 | 2.70 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 36.18 |
| 2005 |  |  | 0.03 | 0.54 | 1.03 | 5.56 | 3.49 | 6.06 | 12.38 | 5.48 | 0.86 | 2.12 | 37.55 |
| 2006 |  |  | 0.04 | 1.67 | 4.16 | 5.05 | 6.00 | 6.56 | 9.44 | 7.90 | 0.59 |  | 41.41 |
| 2007 |  | 0.09 |  | 0.35 | 11.12 | 5.23 | 3.09 | 5.23 | 7.19 | 7.02 | 1.37 |  | 40.69 |
| 2008 | 0.73 |  | 0.04 | 0.24 | 5.57 | 4.70 | 4.21 | 5.18 | 6.16 | 6.39 | 0.10 |  | 33.32 |
| 2009 |  |  | 0.06 | 0.93 | 3.57 | 4.70 | 3.58 | 5.53 | 7.06 | 5.48 | 0.01 |  | 30.92 |
| 2010 |  |  | 0.27 | 0.40 | 3.29 | 4.76 | 3.99 | 7.16 | 4.85 | 16.06 | 0.20 | 0.92 | 41.90 |
| 2011 | 0.48 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 1.72 | 4.08 | 5.79 | 4.35 | 11.65 | 7.03 | 11.08 | 0.03 | 0.64 | 47.19 |
| 2012 | 0.03 |  | 0.25 | 0.67 | 1.90 | 5.00 | 3.38 | 4.30 | 7.55 | 3.79 | 0.66 | 0.10 | 27.63 |

O. 3 Lowest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 10.0 | 13.0 | 18.0 | 21.0 | 24.0 | 2.0 | 24.0 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 16.0 | 12.0 | 19.3 |

O. 4 Highest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 32 | 40 | 41 | 47 | 43 | 38 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 36 | 36 | 32 | 37.9 |


| P. Crop Production (year: 2007) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | Yield (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Summar paddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Pigeon pea |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Maize |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sesame |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Groundnut |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Green Gram |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sorghum |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sunflower |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Soybean |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area | Production (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chili |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Potato |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Toddy |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Tomato | NA |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Cucumber |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Carrot |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Okra |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Eggplant |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Watermelon |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |


| Crop Production (year: 2008-2009) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (bskt) | Yield <br> (bskt/ac) |
| Monsoon paddy | 874,461 | 855,941 | $68,623,766$ | 80.2 |
| Summer paddy | 151,023 | 150,996 | $14,491,452$ | 96.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 420,670 | 420,670 | $6,520,385$ | 15.5 |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  | $14,021,360$ | 10.4 |
| Groundnut | 383,763 | 383,763 | $22,812,360$ | 59.4 |
| Green Gram | 649,091 | 649,091 | $7,561,910$ | 11.6 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | 172,865 | 172,865 | $2,886,690$ | 16.7 |
| Sunflower | 502,484 | 502,484 | $1,221,595$ | 24.3 |
| Soybean | 12,659 | 12,659 | 169,884 | 13.4 |
| Black gram | 25,772 | 25,772 | 488,986 | 19.0 |
| Butter bean | 32,730 | 32,730 | 813,008 | 24.8 |
| Crop | Net Sown | Harveste | Production | Yield |
| Area (ac) | d Area | (biss) | (biss/ac) |  |
| Onion | 46,472 | 46,472 | $258,844,333$ | $5,569.9$ |
| Chili | 23,594 | 23,594 | $6,975,503$ | 295.6 |
| Potato | 4,584 | 484 | $23,597,778$ | $5,147.9$ |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |


| Crop Production (year: 2009-2010) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Monsoon paddy | 889,598 | 876,602 | 71,453,998 | 81.5 |
| Summer paddy | 132,016 | 131,396 | 1,275,177 | 9.7 |
| Pigeon pea | 428,526 | 428,526 | 661,676 | 1.5 |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame |  |  | 138,774 | 0.1 |
| Groundnut | 390,386 | 390,386 | 24,018,249 | 61.5 |
| Green Gram | 673,256 | 673,256 | 9,019,978 | 13.4 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | 194,258 | 194,258 | 32,337 | 0.2 |
| Sunflower | 537,277 | 537,277 | 12,914,869 | 24.0 |
| Soybean | 12,573 | 12,573 | 177,500 | 14.1 |
| Black gram | 26,041 | 26,041 | 477,079 | 18.3 |
| Butter bean | 32,965 | 32,965 | 856,714 | 26.0 |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (biss/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Onion | 48,567 | 48,567 | 274,828 | 5.7 |
| Chili | 25,443 | 25,443 | 745,435 | 29.3 |
| Potato | 4,797 | 4,797 | 248,066 | 51.7 |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |


| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | d Area <br> (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 892,150 | 889,586 | 73,079,600 | 82.15 |
| Summer paddy | 161,360 | 161,360 | 156,953 | 0.97 |
| Pigeon pea | 430,146 | 429,898 | 7,559,144 | 17.58 |
| Maize |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sesame |  |  | 14,011,525 | \#DIV/0! |
| Groundnut | 396,256 | 396,256 | 24,765 | 0.06 |
| Green Gram | 689,990 | 689,989 | 98,630 | 0.14 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea | 184,011 | 184,011 | 3,164,361 | 17.20 |
| Sunflower | 534,674 | 534,674 | 1,391,821 | 2.60 |
| Soybean | 12,586 | 12,586 | 189,810 | 15.08 |
| Black gram | 30,020 | 30,020 | 621,628 | 20.71 |
| Butter bean | 33,614 | 33,614 | 903,967 | 26.89 |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production <br> (biss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (biss/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Onion | 49,124 | 49,124 | 1,837,566 | 37.4 |
| Chili | 25,577 | 25,577 | 7,512,517 | 293.7 |
| Potato | 4,797 | 4,797 | 2,519,227 | 525.2 |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2012-2013)

| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area | Production (bskt) | Yield (bskt/ac) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 832,334 | 823,673 | 691,352 | 0.8 |
| Summer paddy | 115,094 | 115,094 | 115,787 | 1.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 437,034 | 437,034 | 77,876 | 0.2 |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | \#\#\#\#\#\#\# | 149,367 | 0.1 |
| Groundnut | 456,657 | 456,657 | 290,537 | 0.6 |
| Green Gram | 736,578 | 736,578 | 107,426 | 0.1 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | 212,510 | 212,503 | 393,110 | 1.8 |
| Sunflower | 437,357 | 437,357 | 12,156,004 | 27.8 |
| Soybean | 12,648 | 12,648 | 212,184 | 16.8 |
| Black gram | 33,172 | 33,172 | 730,466 | 22.0 |
| Butter bean | 33,773 | 33,773 | 920,109 | 27.2 |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harveste <br> d Area <br> (ac) | Production (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion | 49,166 | 49,166 | 29,436,706 | 598.7 |
| Chili | 26,921 | 26,291 | 8,095,680 | 307.9 |
| Potato | 5,269 | 5,269 | 30,596,095 | 5,806.8 |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |


| Crop | Farmgate Price | Crop | Farmgate Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy |  | Onion |  |
| Summer paddy |  | Chili |  |
| Pigeon pea |  | Potato |  |
| Maize |  | Toddy |  |
| Sesame |  | Carrot |  |
| Groundnut |  | Leafy vegetables | NA |
| Green Gram | NA | Eggplant |  |
| Sorghum |  | Okra |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |

R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties)

| R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Monsoon paddy | Yadanartoe | Manawthuka | Sinthuka |
| Summer paddy | Yadanartoe | Sinthuka |  |
| Pigeon pea | Shwedinga |  |  |
| Maize | Suwun-3 |  |  |
| Sesame | Ya Thae Kyaw | Shweta Soak | Samonnet |
| Groundnut | Yezin-1 | Yezin-4 | Yezin-5 |
| Green Gram |  |  |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwe Kyar-2 | Than Palar |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| Black gram | Moe Nyo Gyi |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |

S. Cropping Patterns

T. Farm management method by farmers

| Crop | Plowing by | Harrowing by | Weeding by | Dosage of fertilizer/ac | Frequency of spraying | Harvesting by | Irrigated by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | 3 to 5 times | Labour | Canal |
| Summer paddy | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | Canal |
| Pigeon pea | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | Canal |
| Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sesame | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | Canal |
| Groundnut | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | Canal |
| Green Gram | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bul/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | Canal |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Bull/Buf.and tractor | Labour | $30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}$ | - | Labour | canal |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note. Buf: Buffalo
U. How often do farmers in the Region renew seeds?

| Paddy | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Oil crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 4 years |
| Pulses/beans | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years |
| Fodder crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 4 years |
| Vegetables | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 4 years | $\square$ Every 5 years |

V. Limiting factors on agricultural production (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Pest and insect control
High cost of chemicals
$\square$ Lack of draft cattle
$\square$ Flood damage
Erratic rainfal
$\square$ Lack of money

- Poor soil
$\square$ Water shortage
Soil erosion
W. What kind of disaster or damage did the Region have experienced to date? (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Pest and insect control ■ Drought
$\square$ Flood damage
$\square$ Erratic rainfall
$\square$ Soil erosion
$\square$ Others (
)

Frequency of disasters

| Disaster | Frequency | Season (month) | Years the <br> disaster | Disease \& Insect name | Crops damaged | How damaged in <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pest |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought | every 2 years | May |  |  |  |  |
| Flood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil erosion |  |  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Disaster map $\rightarrow$ NA
Township name where disaster occurred

| Disaster | TS name disaster occured |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pest |  |
| Insect |  |
| Drought | Magwat, Chauk, Yeanungchaung, Myo Thit, Taungdwin Gyi |
| Flood |  |
| Soil erosion |  |
|  |  |

X. Livestock
X. 1 Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (2013)

|  | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep/Goats | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | $2,487,752$ | 138,978 | $2,532,875$ | - | $28,918,533$ | 261,537 |
| Source:LBVD |  |  |  |  |  |  |

X. 2 Animal Products Production per Year (2013)

|  | Beef (viss) | Mutton (viss | Pork (viss) | Chicken (viss' Duck (viss) | Milk (viss) | Chicken Egg (No.) Duck Egg (No.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | NA |  |  |  |  |  |

Production NA
X. $3 \quad$ Unit Price of Live Animal per Head (2013)

| Goat/Sheep | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NA |  |  |  |
| Source:LBVD |  |  |  |

## Y. Land Classification and Soil Types

Y. $1 \quad$ Land Types $\leftarrow$ Acquire the land classification map, if available

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
| 99 | 180 | 533 | 1648 | 146 | 214 | - | - | - | - |

Source. JICA Report for Poverty Reduction in CDZ, MAS (former)
Legend:

| I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
| III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |
| V | Plateau | X | Upland plateau |

Y. 2

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Meadow <br> Carbonate <br> Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Catena of <br> Savanna Soils on <br>  <br> Compact Soils in <br> Depretion <br> (Luvisol) | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy <br> Primitive <br> Soils <br> (Lithosol) | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones Soils | Light Forest <br> Soils <br> (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown <br> Dry Forest and <br> Indaing (Xanthic <br> Ferralsol) | Other |
| 485 | 647 | 2,144 | 92 | 736 | 1,819 | 677 | 1,422 | 465 |

Source. DOA Regional offfice
Z. Recommendable Countermeasures against Dry Climate and Sandy Soil

1 to use drought tolerant varieties
2 To improve soil quality by applying compost
3 Growing of windbreaker trees for prevention of win erosion
4
-4
$-\quad-9$
Source. DOA Division office
Aa Frequewncy of Damage in the Region

| 1 Drought: | every year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Flood: | *** | times every | ** | years |
| 3 Insect damage: | *** | times every | *** | years |
| 4 Pest damage: | *** | times every |  | years |

Ab Agricultural Development Plan in the Region
1 Seed production (HYV)
2 Extension of useful technology of farmers
3 Demonstrate and growing with Good Agriculture Practice(GAP)
-3
$-\quad 4$
$-\quad$

Ac Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Region (mainly upland crops)

| 1 Crop name: | Groundnut | Variety: Hainan | Objective Townships: Magway |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Crop name: | Green gram | Variety: | Black | Objective Townships: Magway

Ad Problems in the Agriculture in the Region (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Lack of irrigation water $\square$ Drought $\square$ Low agricultural technology $\square$ Low farmgate price of crops $\square$ High cost of agricultural chemicals $\square$ Soil erosion by rainSoil erosion by wind
Poor soil fertilityHigh cost of fertilizers $\square$ Monoculture
$\square$ Inadequate production of quality seє $\square$ Erratic rainfall
Other issues:


Ae Agricultural Development Plan in the Region $\leftarrow$ acquire document if available (mainly on upland crops)
$\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -\cdots \\ \hline\end{array}$ $\qquad$
Af
Agricultural Development Plan in the concerning TS $\leftarrow$ Acquire document if available(mainly on upland crops)

## 3. Agricultural Production Survey

## Region: Nay Pyi Taw

A. Organization Chart of the Department of Agriculture in the Region Office $\rightarrow$ Refer to the organization chart

Was the number of staff in the DOA Division office changed after organizational change from MAS to DOA? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No
If Yes, how many staff increased or decreased? Inreased: $\qquad$ persons, Decreased: $\qquad$ persons
Annual budget of the DOA's Region office: 481 million Kyat/year (2012-13),
B. Land Type in the Region (vear:2011-2012)

| Land Tvpe in the Region (vear:201-2012) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Area Reserved <br> Forest s Current <br> Fallows Net Sown Area Occcupied <br> Area <br> $(1)$ to $(7)$ $(1)$ $(2)$ $(3)$ $(4)$ <br> Cultivable     <br> waste     | Other wood <br> land | Others |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,494,184$ | 793,596 | 0 | 27,740 | 0 | 8,289 | 298,398 | 366,161 |

Source: DOA's Land Use Division
C. Agricultural zoning of the Region

According to the Zoninig in Myanmar, in which zone the Region calsified Refer to the zoning map
In the Region, is there any zoning about upland/paddy, soil, water sources by Township basis? Refer to the soil characteristic map and acreage by land type Result of the typology studied in the Development Study of JICA $\leftarrow$ Refer to the JICA Report (map and table)
D. Breakdown of the cultivated land in the Region Unit:Acre

| Total <br> Cultivated | Total <br> Paddy | Total <br> Yar Land | Total <br> Kaing | Total <br> Kyun | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)+(5)$ | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |
| 277,395 | 160,634 | 115,254 | 0 | 0 | 1,507 |
| $100 \%$ | $57.9 \%$ | $41.5 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ |

Note: Yar: upland
Kaing: Cultivable land on River terrace
Kyun: Cultivable land on river bed

> Source: Land Use Division, DOA Regional Office
E. Seed farm and experimenatl station in the Region

|  | Agent |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Seed farm | DOA |  |
| State farm | DOA | Nocation (TS) |
| Research center \& Satellite | DAR | Satellite:Tatkon |

Source: DOA HQ in Nay Pyi Taw and DAR in Yezin
F. Irrigated Area

| Paddy Field | Upland | Total |  | Ratio of Irrigated Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 78,623 |  | 28.3 | $(\%)$ |

Irrigable area in the region on Mad $\leftarrow$ Acauire existing Mad of irrigated area in the region
Irrigated area by District/Township basis, if available (ac)

| District | Paddy Field | Upland | Main water source |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tatkon | 31,280 | - | River water |
| Zayarthiri | 9,213 | - | River water |
| Pyinmana | 11,658 | - | River water |
| Lewe | 20,842 | - | River water |
| Oatayathiri | 145 | - | River water |
| Pobathiri | 1,725 | - | River water |
| Zabuthiri | 1,114 | - | River water |
| Datkhinathiri | 2,646 | - | River water |

G. Existing Irrigation Water Sources in the Region $\leftarrow$ Acauire inventorv of irrigation facilities if available at ID
G. 2 Number of pump stations
G. 3 Irrigated area by river water
G. 4 Irrigated area by groundwater

| NA | places, |
| :---: | :---: |
| NA | places, |
| 78,623 | Acres, |
| NA | Acres |
| NA |  | of which, how many are in service now? of which, how many are in service now?


| NA | places |
| :---: | :---: |
| NA | places |

G. 5 Irrigated area by farm ponds of which, how many acres are irrigated by canal (gravity)? NA Acres (pump irrigation)

Source. ID provincial office
H. Irrigated crops in the Region

- Paddy
$\square$ Sesame
$\square$ Groundnut
$\square$ Pigeon pea
$\square$ Chick pea
$\square$ OnionWatermelon
$\square$ Maize
$\square$ Sorghum
$\square$ Chili
$\square$ Tomato
$\square$ Potato
$\square$ Other vegetables (
)
I. Irrigation method practiced in the Region
$\square$ Canal (gravity) $\square$ Pump
$\square$ Sprinkling can
$\square$ Drip irrigationTreadle pump
J. Utilization and management of irrigation water $\leftarrow a s k$ at ID and WRUD

How the irrigation facilities are managed?

|  |  |  |  |  | Constructed by | Management bod | Duty of water users | Management method |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Irrigation cana | ■ID, $\square$ WRUD | ■ID, $\square$ WRUD | water charge |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump station | $\square$ ID, $\square$ WRUD | $\square$ ID $\square$ WRUD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm pond |  | $\square$ Villagers |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

K. Water charge and Land Tax

| K. 1 | Water charge | Paddy field | 1,950 | Kyat/ac/year, | Upland | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K. 2 | Land Tax | Paddy field | 6.5 | Kyat/ac/year, | Upland | 3.25 |
| K. 3 | Collection rate | of water ch | NA | \%(20**) | $\leftarrow \mathrm{ID}$ ? |  |
| K. 4 | Collection rate | of land tax | NA | \%(21**) | $\leftarrow$ SLRD |  |

L. Do farmers apply any kind of water-saving technologies in the Region? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No If Yes, Please tell us the technologies.
$\square$ Drip irrigation
Mulching
$\square$ Treadle pump
$\square$ Water harvesting (

Kyat/ac/year
Kyat/ac/year
K. 2 Land Tax Paddy field $\qquad$ /year,

Upland
Upland
M. Is there any farmers who still apply Technologies introduced from outside (Donours etc)

No
N. Number of Farm Household by Farm Size (year:2013)

| Less than 5 ac | $5-10$ ac | $10-20$ ac | Above 20 ac | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40,426 | 9,578 | 2,165 | 340 | 52,509 |
| $77.0 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Source. DOA TS, difrent from the data from DOA Nay Pyi Taw |  |  |  |  |


| Average Farm Size per Farm Householc |  | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.57 | ac | DOA, NPT |
| 5.28 | ac | DOA TS |

O. Precipitation and Temperature

| (inch) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 yr. | 2004 yr. | 2005 yr. | 2006 yr. | 2007 yr. | 2008 yr. | 2009 yr. | 2010 yr. | 2011 yr. | 2012 yr. | Average |
| 47.01 | 49.67 | 53.70 | 57.05 | 51.38 | 50.08 | 33.19 | 52.99 | 63.74 | 36.65 | 49.55 |

O. 2 Rainfall by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 0.98 | 1.69 |  | 2.37 | 4.09 | 9.48 | 2.52 | 7.78 | 9.18 | 8.98 |  |  | 47.07 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 0.48 | 9.14 | 5.87 | 10.00 | 9.93 | 7.02 | 6.40 | 0.83 |  | 49.67 |
| 2005 |  |  | 0.08 | 0.63 | 1.73 | 8.07 | 8.27 | 15.63 | 10.71 | 5.35 | 2.21 | 1.02 | 53.70 |
| 2006 |  |  |  | 5.46 | 6.90 | 5.48 | 10.35 | 12.99 | 8.62 | 5.24 | 2.01 |  | 57.05 |
| 2007 |  | 0.83 |  | 0.08 | 8.30 | 8.51 | 8.58 | 8.42 | 6.11 | 6.81 | 3.74 |  | 51.38 |
| 2008 | 0.39 |  | 0.08 | 1.18 | 6.85 | 9.73 | 12.32 | 5.43 | 2.60 | 11.38 | 0.12 |  | 50.08 |
| 2009 |  |  | 0.16 | 1.34 | 5.43 | 4.05 | 6.78 | 9.37 | 3.15 | 2.91 |  |  | 33.19 |
| 2010 | 0.04 |  | 0.12 |  | 7.40 | 7.56 | 10.35 | 10.63 | 7.17 | 9.13 |  | 0.59 | 52.99 |
| 2011 | 1.77 | 0.12 | 1.14 | 2.95 | 6.93 | 9.53 | 3.94 | 12.91 | 14.65 | 9.37 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 63.71 |
| 2012 |  |  |  | 1.22 | 1.22 | 7.99 | 10.67 | 9.06 | 3.46 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 2.52 | 36.65 |


O.4 Highest Temperature by month for 10 years
O.4 Highest Temperature by month for 10 years

| Year | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2003 | 30.6 | 33.9 | 37.2 | 38.9 | 35.6 | 31.7 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 32.8 | 33.9 | 3.9 | 32.2 |
| 2004 | 32.2 | 35.6 | 38.3 | 40.0 | 35.0 | 32.8 | 31.1 | 30.6 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 32.2 |
| 2005 | 32.8 | 37.2 | 38.3 | 38.9 | 38.3 | 32.8 | 32.2 | 30.6 | 31.7 | 34.4 | 32.2 | 28.9 |
| 2006 | 31.1 | 35.0 | 38.3 | 37.8 | 33.9 | 33.3 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 32.8 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 31.1 |
| 2007 | 32.2 | 33.3 | 37.8 | 40.0 | 34.4 | 33.3 | 30.6 | 31.1 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 31.1 | 31.7 |
| 2008 | 32.8 | 33.9 | 37.8 | 39.4 | 33.3 | 32.2 | 30.0 | 31.7 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 32.8 | 31.7 |
| 2009 | 32.2 | 36.1 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.2 | 32.8 | 31.1 | 31.7 | 33.9 | 34.4 | 35.0 | 32.2 |
| 2010 | 33.9 | 36.7 | 38.3 | 41.7 | 38.3 | 33.9 | 33.3 | 32.4 | 33.2 | 32.5 | 32.9 | 31.2 |
| 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.4 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

P. Crop Production (year: 2007)

| Crop | Net Sown Harvested Area (ac) Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Summar paddy |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Pigeon pea |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Maize |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sesame |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Groundnut | NA |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Green Gram |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sorghum |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Sunflower |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Soybean |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| *** |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Crop | Net Sown Harvested Area (ac) Area (ac) | Production (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chili |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Potato |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Toddy |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Tomato | NA |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Cucumber |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Carrot |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Okra |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Eggplant |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Watermelon |  |  | \#DIV/0! |


| Crop Production (year: 2008-2009) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Crop $\begin{array}{l}\text { Net Sown Harvested } \\ \text { Area (ac) }\end{array}$ Area (ac) |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Production <br>

(bskt)\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Yield } \\
\text { (bskt/ac) }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

Crop Production (year: 2009-2010)

| Crop | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Net Sown Harvested } \\ \text { Area (ac) }\end{array}$ | Area (ac) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Production <br>

(bskt)\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Yield } \\
\text { (bskt/ac) }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

## Crop Production (year: 2011-2012)

| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harvested <br> Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 163,206 | 163,104 | 13,962,863 | 85.6 |
| Summer paddy | 21,941 | 21,941 | 2,082,044 | 94.9 |
| Pigeon pea | 1,160 | 1,160 | 15,655 | 13.5 |
| Maize | 16,726 | 16,726 | 1,033,741 | 61.8 |
| Sesame | 59,005 | 59,005 | 480,546 | 8.1 |
| Groundnut | 46,853 | 46,853 | 2,348,934 | 50.1 |
| Green Gram | 67,402 | 67,402 | 1,107,375 | 16.4 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea | 1,686 | 1,686 | 28,576 | 16.9 |
| Sunflower | 11,027 | 11,027 | 244,387 | 22.2 |
| Soybean | 464 | 464 | 3,837 | 8.3 |
| Black gram | 67,778 | 67,767 | 1,302,218 | 19.2 |
| Lablab bean | 11,221 | 11,221 | 157,704 | 14.1 |
| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harvested <br> Area (ac) | Production (biss) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (biss/ac) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Onion | 31,467 | 31,467 | 13,465,660 | 427.9 |
| Chili | 4,306 | 4,306 | 1,264,735 | 293.7 |
| Potato | 7,546 | 7,546 | 3,242,008 | 429.6 |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 14,105 | 14,105 | 20,674,581 | 1,465.8 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |
| Source:DOA Regional Office |  |  |  |  |

Crop Production (year: 2010-2011)

| Crop | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Net Sown } \\ \text { Area (ac) }\end{array}$ | Harvested (ac) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | \(\left.\begin{array}{c}Production <br>

(bskt)\end{array} \quad $$
\begin{array}{c}\text { Yield } \\
\text { (bskt/ac) }\end{array}
$$\right]\)

Crop Production (year: 2012-2013)

| Crop | Net Sown Area (ac) | Harvested <br> Area (ac) | Production (bskt) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (bskt/ac) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | 161,899 | 161,771 | 13,834,748 | 85.5 |
| Summer paddy |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Pigeon pea | 1,097 | 1,097 | 15,227 | 13.9 |
| Maize | 16,088 | 16,088 | 1,008,895 | 62.7 |
| Sesame | 65,069 | 64,959 | 536,619 | 8.3 |
| Groundnut | 44,920 | 44,920 | 2,272,686 | 50.6 |
| Green Gram | 63,650 | 63,647 | 1,059,287 | 16.6 |
| Sorghum |  |  |  | \#DIV/0! |
| Chickpea | 4,080 | 4,072 | 75,491 | 18.5 |
| Sunflower | 3,885 | 3,885 | 92,558 | 23.8 |
| Soybean | 393 | 393 | 3,225 | 8.2 |
| Black gram | 76,232 | 76,231 | 1,465,954 | 19.2 |
| Lablab bean | 12,364 | 12,364 | 181,663 | 14.7 |
| Crop | Net Sown <br> Area (ac) | Harvested <br> Area (ac) | Production (biss) | Yield (biss/ac) |
| Onion | 1,592 | 1,592 | 5,183,560 | 3,256.0 |
| Chili | 5,567 | 5,567 | 1,854,127 | 333.1 |
| Potato | 1,929 | 1,929 | 10,942,100 | 5,672.4 |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetables | 14,685 | 14,685 | 20,240,332 | 1,378.3 |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |

Q. Farmgate Price (year: 2012)

| Crop | Farmgate Price | Crop | Farmgate Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy |  | Onion |  |
| Summer paddy |  | Chili |  |
| Pigeon pea |  | Potato |  |
| Maize |  | Toddy |  |
| Sesame |  | Carrot |  |
| Groundnut |  | Leafy vegetables |  |
| Green Gram | NA | Eggplant | NA |
| Sorghum |  | Okra |  |
| Chickpea |  |  |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |

R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties)

| R. Crop Varieties (Top 3 varieties) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crop | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Monsoon paddy | Manaw Thuka | Sin Thukha | Pearl Thwe |
| Summer paddy | Shwe Thwe Yin | Manaw Thuka | Sin New Yin |
| Pigeon pea | Shwe dinga |  |  |
| Maize | CP888 | 008 |  |
| Sesame | Sinpadanar-3 | Red seame | $25 / 160$ |
| Groundnut | SP121 | Sinpadanar-7 |  |
| Green Gram | Yezin-5 | Yezin-9 |  |
| Sorghum |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | ICCV-2 | Yezin-4 |  |
| Sunflower | Sin Shwekyar-3 | Yezin hybrid-1 |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |
| Black gram | LBG-17 | Yezin-3 |  |
| Lablab bean | Shwe Yinmar | Shwe Kyun |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |

S. Cropping Patterns


## T. Farm management method by farmers

T. Farm management method by farmers

| Crop | Plowing by | Harrowing by | Weeding by | Dosage of <br> fertilizer/ac | Frequency of <br> spraying | Harvesting by | Irrigated by |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monsoon paddy | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  | 2 to 3 times | Labour | Canal |
| Summer paddy | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour | $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ac}(15-15-$ | do | Labour |  |
| Pigeon pea | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour | $15)$ Ure 25kg/ac | - | Labour |  |
| Maize | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  |  | Labour |  |
| Sesame | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour |  |
| Groundnut | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  | - | Labour |  |
| Green Gram | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  | 5 times | Labour |  |
| Sorghum | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  |  | Labour |  |
| Chickpea | Bull and tractor | Bull and tractor | Labour |  |  | Labour |  |
| Sunflower |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soybean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chili |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carrot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eggplant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Okra |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leafy vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cucumber |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U. How often do farmers in the Region renew seeds?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Paddy | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years |  |
| Oil crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year (groundnut) | $\square$ Every 2 years | (sesame) |  |
| Pulses/beans | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 2 years | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 5 years |
| Fodder crops | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 3 years | $\square$ Every 4 years |  |
| Vegetables | $\square$ Never | $\square$ Every year | $\square$ Every 4 years | $\square$ Every 5 years |  |

V. Limiting factors on agricultural production (mark all that apply)
$\square$ Pest and insect control
$\square$ High cost of chemicals $\square$ Drought
$\square$ Flood damage
$\square$ Erratic rainfall
Lack of money
$\square$ Poor soil $\quad \square$ Lack of draft cattle
Water shortage
$\square$ Soil erosion
W. What kind of disaster or damage did the Region have experienced to date? (mark all that apply)

- Pest and insect control
$\square$ Drought
$\square$ Flood damage
$\square$ Erratic rainfall
$\square$ Soil erosion
$\square$ Others (

Frequency of disasters
Frequency of disasters

| Disaster | Frequency | Season (month) | Years the <br> disaster | Disease \& Insect name | Crops damaged | How damaged in <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pest | Paddy | Jan | 2013 | yellow mosaic |  | 10 |
| Insect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drought |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flood |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soil erosion |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Disaster map $\rightarrow$ NA
Township name where disaster occurred

| Disaster |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Pest | Lewe and Pyinmana TSs |
| Insect |  |
| Drought |  |
| Flood |  |
| Soil erosion |  |
|  |  |

## X. Livestock

X. $1 \quad$ Number of Livestock and Number of Households Raising Livestock (2012-2013)

|  | Cattle | Buffalo | Sheep/Goats | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. of Head | 228,668 | 68,341 | 14,210 | 232,443 | $2,970,101$ | 85,921 |

Source:LBVD
Animal Products Production per Year (2012-2013)
X. $2 \quad$ Animal Products Production per Year (2012-2013)

|  | Meat (viss) | Milk (viss) | Egg (piece) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production | 27,343 | 10,330 | 230,720 |
| Source:LBVD |  |  |  |

X. 3

| Unit Price of Live Animal per Head $\left(20^{* *}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goat/Sheep |  |  |  |
|  | Pig | Chicken | Duck |
| Source:LBVD | NA |  |  |

## Y. Land Classification and Soil Types

Y. $1 \quad$ Land Types $\leftarrow$ Acquire the land classification map, if available

| Acreage by Land Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source. JICA Report for Poverty Reduction in CDZ, MAS (former)
Legend:

| I | Deposit | VI | Dissected Plateau |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| II | Alluvial | VII | Plateau |
| III | Terrace | VIII | Hilly |
| IV | Footplain | IX | Mountain |
| V | Plateau | X | Upland plateau |

Y. 2 Soil Types $\rightarrow$ Refer to the soil characteristics map

| Acreage by Soil Type (unit:Acre) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Meadow <br> Alluvial Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Meadow <br> Carbonate <br> Soils <br> (Gleysol) | Catena of <br> Savanna Soils <br>  <br> Compact Soils <br> indenretion | Compact <br> Soils <br> (Vertisol) | Turfy <br> Primitive <br> Soils <br> (Lithosol) | Primitive <br> Crushed <br> Stones Soils | Light Forest <br> Soils <br> (Nitosol) | Yellow Brown <br> Dry Forest and <br> Indaing (Xanthic <br> Ferralsol) | Other |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source. DOA Regional offfice
Z. Recommendable Countermeasures against Dry Climate and Sandy Soil

1 Nothing. There is no serious dry climate in the Nay Pyi Taw Council Area
$\begin{array}{r}1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ -4 \\ \hdashline-6\end{array}$
Source. DOA Division office
Aa Frequewncy of Damage in the Region


Ab Agricultural Development Plan in the Region
1 .-. Construction of mechanized farming
2 Production of hybrid paddy
$\cdots$

5

Ac Crops and its Varities Promoting in the Region (mainly upland crops)

| 1 Crop name: | Monsoon | ariety: | Sin Thu Kha | Objective Townships: | 94\% of Nay Pyi Taw Council Sa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 Crop name: | Monsoon pa | Variety: | Sin Thwelat | Objective Townships: | do |
| 3 Crop name: | Green gram | Variety: | Sin-14 | Objective Townships: | Tatkone |
| 4 Crop name: | Black gram | Variety: | Yezin-2 | Objective Townships: | All of the eight (8) townships in Nay |
| 5 Crop name: | Groundnut | Variety: | Sin-11 | Objective Townships: | Tatkone, Lewe, Zayarthiri, Pobathiri |
| 6 Crop name: | Sunflower | Variety: | Yezin hybrid-1 | Objective Townships: | Tatkone, Lewe, Zayarthiri, Pobathiri |
| 7 Crop name: | Maize | Variety: | UH-008 | Objective Townships: | Tatkone, Lewe, Zayarthiri, Pobathiri |
| 8 Crop name: |  | Variety: |  | Objective Townships: |  |

Ad Problems in the Agriculture in the Region (mark all that apply) $\square$ Lack of irrigation water $\square$ Drought $\square$ Low agricultural technologySoil erosion by rain

Low farmgate price of crops
High cost of agricultural chemicalsMonoculture $\square$ Lack of draft catt Soil erosion by windPoor soil fertilityHigh cost of fertilizersInadequate production of quality see $\square$ Erratic rainfallOther issues:
$\qquad$
Ae

## Agricultural Development Plan in the Region $\leftarrow$ acquire document if available (mainly on upland crops)

$\frac{1}{2}$

Af
Agricultural Development Plan in the concerning TS $\leftarrow$ Acquire document if available(mainly on upland crops)


## Appendix-13 Marketing Survey

Market Needs Survey at Vegetable and Fruits Wholesale Markets in Mandalay

| Market Name | Crop Name | Origin of product | Price | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thiri Marlar Market (Mandalay) | Cabbage | Myingya (Mandalay) <br> Shwebo (Sagaing) | Mar (600K/viss) Apr-May (500K/viss) | - Appearance; freshness is important <br> - Size; bigger is better <br> - Wormholes; reduces prices by 100 kyat (primary factor; pesticide) <br> - Color; a bit dark green is better (primary factor; fertilizer) <br> - Variety; "crown" and "5-8-8" from Japan is good <br> - Too much rain rots from the bottom |
|  | Tomato | Monywa (Sagaing) <br> Shwebo (Sagain) | Mar. (800-1000K/viss) <br> Apr (1100-1300K/viss) | - Hardness; harder is better, making $200 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ price difference <br> - Color; Red, Orange, Green, makes 200 k/viss price difference <br> - Size; large size goes to restaurant, small size goes to retailers <br> - 1 basket has no discount, 10 basket with discount of $50 \mathrm{~K} /$ basket <br> - Variety; " 901 " is good |
|  | Onion | Myingyan (Mandalay) <br> Monywa (Sagaing) <br> Pakokku (Magway) <br> Myittha (Kayukse) | Mar (300-400K/viss) <br> Apr (350-450k/viss) <br> May (150-200k/viss) | - Size makes price differences <br> - Closed Peel > Broken Peel (closed peel can be kept longer and has good appearance) <br> - Color; light purple > dark purple (consumer prefer light color) <br> - Location; product from Myittha is good (looks young, sweet due to irrigation) <br> - Onion from India is not good (but sometime is imported due to drought) |
|  | Garlic | Myingya (Mandalay) <br> Monywa (Sagaing) <br> Pakokku (Magway) <br> Myittha (Kayukse) | Mar (800-1300K/viss) Apr (1000-1500K/viss) | - Closed Peel > Broken Peel (closed peel can be kept longer and has good appearance) <br> - Size and amount is one of determinant of price differences <br> - Form; Flat and Round, but no price differences <br> - Garlic from China is small but price is higher due to transportation |
|  | Carrot | Maymyo (Mandalay) | Apr (400K/viss) | - Color; same color from bottom to top makes higher price (600K/viss), while carrot which has dark color on the top commands s lower price ( $400 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) <br> - Form; straight is better <br> - Variety; hybrid variety is better |
| Kaing Den Market (Mandalay) | Onion | Monywa (Sagaing) <br> Myingyan (Mandalay) <br> Myittha (Kayukse) <br> Pakokku (Magway) | Mar-Apr (from CDZ) <br> Jun. (from Shan) <br> Dec-Jan (from Nahtoe Gyi) <br> Mar-Apr (350-450K/viss) | - Production Area; Myittha is famous for good quality (good looking, do not change color after frying, keeping yellow not change to brown), Onion from Myittha is $100 \mathrm{~K} /$ viss higher than others. <br> - Taste; Sweet one is better <br> - Color; Gold > light color > dark purple |


|  |  |  | Dec-Jan (1400K/viss) | • Peel; thin has better taste <br> • Form; flat and round is better than long one |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Grape | Yamethin (near <br> Meiktila) | Retail; 2000K/viss <br> (wholesale; 1200 K/viss) <br> Apr (4000K/viss, highest) <br> Jul (1000K/viss, lowest) | • Made in China is bigger and no seed (10,000K/kg) <br> • Form; longer (Kyonli variety) is sweeter (3000K/viss). <br> • No cold storage in the market |

Source) Market Needs Survey (April 30, 2013)

| Subject | Marketing Survey |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date | April 30 (Tue), 2013 10:00~12:00 |
| Place | Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Asso |
| Persons Met | U Thein Tun (Chairman) U Chun (Secretary-1) U Khin Mg Kyaw (Accountant-1) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document Obtained | - Brochure of the Association <br> - Mandalay Market Daily Price Sheet <br> - Rules and Regulation of the Association |
| 1) Organization <br> - The association is Non Government Organization which was established in 1935 (78 years ago). The new building was constructed in 2009 (4 years ago). The association is operated by 15 chief executive committee members with 15 permanent employees, and has 2,000 members consisting of traders, millers and brokers. |  |

- The association has 10 committees that includes 1) collection of donation, 2) social welfare, 3) regulation, 4) members and representatives, 5) problem solving, 6) media, 7) oil seed crops, 8) price recording, 9) construction, maintenance and repairing, 10) woman support.
- Major activities of the association are; provision of place for trading (crop exchange center), monitoring of transaction, solving problems among traders, and collection of donation.
- Annual member fee is 50,000 kyat/ person/ year, and admission fee is 500,000 kyat with 200,000 kyat for 2 representatives.

2) Transaction in the exchange market

- The crop exchange market is operated 6 days in a week, starting from 8am to 11am.
- Around 70 to 90 pulses are transacted in addition to oil seeds (sesame, groundnut) and cereals (maize and wheat).
- Basically, the association provide place for transaction to members. Only members can enter the transaction venue and each wholesaler can have their own table. Members include exporters, millers, and processors.

3) Market chain

- Farmers bring their products to nearby city, where brokers collect products to bring large cities including Yangon and Mandalay.
- The crop exchange market is wholesale trading center where brokers bring sample of products and negotiate with traders, millers and processors by face to face negotiation.
- Outline of transaction at the crop exchange market are summarized in the table below.

Outline of Transaction at Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association (Mandalay)

| Crop Name | Product Inflow | \% from CDZ | Export/ Import | Domestic Market | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sesame | Feb-Mar (Rakhine) <br> Mar-Apr (CDZ, Irrigated) <br> Aug-Sep (CDZ, Rainfed) | 75\% | Export <br> Black sesame to Japan | Whole country | - Black (3,500k/viss) > White (2,850K/viss) > Brown (2,400K/viss) <br> - Black seed is originally from Japan and export to Japan |
| Sunflower | Not so many transaction | - | (Imported from China) | Whole country | - Quality of Myanmar product is low, China is higher and size is larger |
| Groundnut | Nov.-Jan.(Shan) <br> Jan.-Mar. (Delta) <br> Apr.-Jun. (CDZ, Irrigated) <br> Aug-Sep (CDZ, Rainfed) | 70\% | Export (70\% of trading volume) <br> Mostly to China | Domestic Market (30\%) | - Quality is almost same among production places <br> - Spanish variety is white and round shape, whereas Japanese variety is red and longer ball shape |
| Green Gram | Mar-Apr (CDZ, Irrigated) Jun. (Delta) <br> Aug-Sep (CDZ, Rainfed) <br> Dec. (Delta) | 75\% | Export (60\%) <br> Mostly to India and China, China also buy from Delta | Domestic Market (40\%) | - Productivity in Delta is higher than CDZ <br> - Size of CDZ product which goes to India is smaller, whereas that of Delta which goes to China is larger |
| Chick Pea | Feb-Mar (CDZ) | 100\% | Very few for export | Yangon (1/3), <br> Magway (1/3), <br> Pyay (1/3) | - Variety from Pyey (Mya Kyae Mon) is larger, but volume is not so large |
| Pigeon Pea | Jan-Feb (Shan) <br> Feb-May (CDZ) | 70\% | Export (80\%) <br> Mostly to India | Domestic Market (20\%) | - Variety from CDZ (ICCV2, ICCV3) is good and price is higher, while that from Shan is lower since moisture content is high and many worms |

Source) Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Mandalay (April 30, 2013)

| Subject | Marketing Survey |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date | May 1 (Wed), 2013 10:00~12:00 |
| Place | Maha Kahtaintaw Association of Broker, Miller and Tr |
| Persons Met | U Myo Min (Chairman of Commodity Exchange Cen U Tun Tun (Secretary of MTC) <br> U Palik Kyaw (Member) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document Obtained | - Brochure of the Association <br> - Mandalay Market Daily Price Sheet |
| 1) Organization <br> - The association was established in 1991, and at present, has 560 members with 59 board members. <br> 2) Activity <br> - Main activity of the association is operating Commodity Exchange Center for members. The Commodity Exchange Center provides place for trading pulses and beans, oil seeds and cereals (maize). <br> - The association monitors daily transaction in view of fair trade and appropriate prices, conducted between traders, millers and buyers. <br> - The association also record daily commodity price. |  |

3) Trading Situation

- In the Commodity Exchange Center, around 32 to 40 kinds of commodities are transacted.
- Major pulses for international trade are; Chick Pea, Pigeon Pea, and Green Gram.

4) Other

- "SGS (Myanmar) Ltd." in Yangon is an agriculture product inspector, established in 1948 with over 70 full time employees. SGS inspects Rice, Broken Rice \& Rice Bran, Beans \& Pulses, Yellow Maize, Sugar, Oil Seeds, Rubber, Cotton, Timber and other Agricultural Products. The firms service includes 1) Supervision of Loading / Stuffing, 2) Weight determination, 3) Quality inspection, 4) Quantity inspection, 5) In Land Services (Cargo receiving and preparation at warehouses and rice mills).
Address : 79/80 bahosi Housing Complex, Wardan Street Lanmadaw Township, Yangon
Phone : +95(1)220 225, 211 537-38
Fax : +95(1)211 548-49
Email : sgs@myanmar.com.mm
Website' http://www.sgsmyanmar.com/
- Outline of transaction at the crop exchange market are summarized in the table below.

Outline of Transaction at Maha Kahtaintaw Association of Broker, Miller and Traders, Monywa (Sagaing)

| Crop Name | Product Inflow | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% from } \\ \text { CDZ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Export/ Import | Domestic Market | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sesame | Feb-Mar (CDZ*, winter) <br> May-Jun (CDZ*, premonsoon) <br> Jul-Aug (CDZ*, monsoon) <br> * CDZ (Sagaing, Mandalay) | 90\% | Export (60-70\%) via Yangon, White sesame goes to China | Mostly purchased by Oil Millers | - Color, oil content, freshness, cleanliness, flavor, and smell are main check point of procurement. <br> - For oil contents, more than $40 \%$ is good, and price difference by oil contents is 1000 to $2000 \mathrm{~K} /$ basket ( $=15 \mathrm{viss}$ ). <br> - Colors are Red, White, Black and Brown sesame, but Red is most oily and has highest demand. Next is White, and is most expensive due to international (China) demand. China prefers "White" for traditional food and medicine, while Japan prefers "Black". <br> - "Magway sesame" is the best, and next is "Aung Lam" (near Pyay) due to weather condition, soil type, and good farming practice. |
| Sunflower | Dec (Ayardaw and Butalin in Sagaing) <br> Aug (Kalay in Sagaing, but rare case) | 100\% | Imported from Germany | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \% \\ & \text { (Oil, feed, and } \\ & \text { seed) } \end{aligned}$ | - Sunflower oil is mostly for own consumption. Farmers extract oil by themselves. <br> - Oil extracting skill is not good, because smells remain in the oil. Removing smell from oil is subject to be considered. |
| Groundnut | Jul-Aug (CDZ, rainfed) Oct-Nov (CDZ, but rare case) Dec-Jan (Magway) | Sagaing 90\%, Magway 10\% | Exported indirectly to China via Mandalay | 30\% for domestic market in Monywa | - Groundnut from Magway is bad quality because good one is exported. <br> - Main usages in domestic market are oil extraction and snacks. For oil, S1 (Spanish variety) is good. For snacks, size, freshness, color (white and red, but no difference in price) is important. <br> - Special snack called "Nive Salo" |
| Green Gram | May-Jun (Chaung Oo TS, Salingyi TS in Sagaing) | 100\% | Mostly exported (90\%) indirectly to China via Mandalay | Very few (10\%) | - Big size (Yezin-14 and -11; hybrid variety) is for export to China and India, while small size (local variety) is for domestic consumption. <br> - Small size ( $2000 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) is more expensive than large size ( $1500 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) <br> - In 2009, China and India competed in buying Green Gram, but demand in China was higher due to medicinal use and can buy at higher price. Since then China is major buyer of the beans. |
| Chick Pea | Mar (Chaung Oo and Butalin TS in Sagaing) | 100\% | Export (40\%), India (75-80\%), and rests goes Pakistan, Banlgadesh | $60 \%$ is for domestic consumption | - Color (red, white, yellow) and size (bigger is better) are main determinant of price. Red (" 929 ") is most expensive ( $1000 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), and next is White ("Taiwan", 975k/viss). Cheapest is Yellow ("V2", 950 k/viss) <br> - Production in Sagaing is $46 \%$ of national total. <br> - Domestic demand is for noodle, curry and snacks |


| Pigeon Pea | Jun (CDZ; Keni TS, Sagaing) | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ to <br> India via <br> Yangon | No demand in <br> local market | • Color (red, orange, and white) and Size (large, medium, and small) is <br> main determinant of price. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| • Small and red is the most expensive (1100k/viss), and next is medium and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Red (1075k/viss). The cheapest is large and white ( $950 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Production in Sagaing is one third of national total. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Buyer of Yangon buys from local trader with 10\% commission fee. |  |  |  |  |  |

Source) Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Monywa (May 1, 2013)
Market Needs Survey at Vegetable Wholesale Markets in Sagaing

| Market Name | Crop Name | Origin of product | Price | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Myi Thalar Market (Monywa, Sagaing) | Onion | Monywa (Sagaing) | Feb-Mar: 250k/viss <br> Mar-Apr: 350k/viss (buy), <br> 380k/viss (sell) <br> May-Jun: increase <br> Oct: Export price is more than $1000 \mathrm{k} /$ viss | - Hardness: Harder is better for keeping long time. Price difference between "Hard and closed peel" and "Soft and rotten" is more than $30 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$. <br> - Color: Gold color (Shwe Phalar variety) is better than Purple (Padauk Pyun variety). The difference of color makes price gap of around 20-30k/viss. <br> - Skin (peel): Soft skin is better than hard skin. Soft skin can be cooked more easily and color will change to light brown when fried (hard skin will change to dark brown). <br> - Size: $1^{\text {st }}$ class ( $450 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), $2^{\text {nd }}$ class ( $400 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), $3^{\text {rd }}$ class ( $350 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ), rotten (less than $200 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ). Big and grow from seedling can be kept for nine (9) months in storage, whereas small and plant from onion itself can be kept for two (2) months only. <br> - Myittha variety is very good, but it cannot grow Monywa since planting season and farming method (water spray method) is different. <br> - Trader from China and Malaysia come and buy for export to China, Thailand and India. |
|  | Garlic | 1. Myanmar White from Kyee Kone, Monywa (15\%) <br> 2. Lae Nyo from Yinmarbin in Sagaing (25\%) <br> 3. Pone Taung Pone Nyar from Pakokku (60\%) <br> 4. Shan Phyu from | 1. Myanmar White: 2000k/viss <br> 2. Lae Nyo: $1600 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ <br> 3. Pone Taung Pone Nyar: $1200 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ <br> 4. Shan Phyu: 1200k/viss <br> Mar (beginning): 700k/viss Mar-Apr: 1200-2000k/viss <br> Dec: 3500k/viss | - Myanmar White is easily crashed by hand, and easy to cook and peel, has good taste and flavor, and sweet and mild taste. While Pone Taung Pone Nyar is hot and spicy, not easy to cook due to its hardness. <br> - Price of garlic in the beginning of March is the cheapest since garlic is still wet and not easy to crashed. At end of March to April, garlic is appropriate to dry and easy to crash by hand. <br> - Garlic from China and Thai can be seen in the market all year round, but quality and taste is bad. Size is bigger than Burmese variety. Chinese restaurant prefere Chinese variety. <br> - Pone Taung Pone Nyar can keep 10 months whereas Myanmar White can |


|  |  | Yawnggy in Shan State (December) |  | be kept for only eight (8) months. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Water Melon | Chaung Oo and Butalin in Sagaing Region <br> Mar-Apr: Irrigated <br> Sep: Rainfed | Road side: 500-700k/piece <br> ( $8-9 \mathrm{~kg} /$ piece) <br> Border Price: 300k/kg <br> Seedless: 2500k/piece <br> Dark line: 1600k/piece <br> Monotone: 1200k/piece | - Size: bigger is better <br> - Taste: higher sugar contents is better <br> - Shape: round is better than long one. If it is export to China, shape and size should be same. The difference makes price difference of 500 k . <br> - Weight: heaver is better since it may contain much water. <br> - Variety: the best variety is "Ohnmar Danti" (red and sweet variety). <br> - Farmers usually sell at local market and road side, or to middleman in Monywa TS. Some middleman brings water melon to Wholesale market in Muse (Chinese border) and sells to Chinese middleman. Demand in China market increase in the beginning of March to middle of April. |

Source) Market Needs Survey (May 1, 2013)

| Subject | Data and Information Collection at Sagaing Region |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | May 2 (Thu), 2013 13:00~15:00 |
| Place | DOA, Sagaing Regional Office |
| Persons Met | U Thein Sin (Deputy Director) <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Daw San San Myint (Staff Officer) <br> U Zaw Naing Win (Sub-assistant Officer, Marketing) <br> U Zaw Than Win (temporally Staff) |
|  | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
|  | - Market Price (Wholesale Price) List (12months) |
|  | - Morder Trade Statistical Data <br> - Market Price Trend in 2012, Sagaing Region (March, 2013) |

1) Marketing in Charge

- Main activity is to collect wholesale price of cereals, oil seed, pulses and selected kitchen crop (tomato) using fixed format and send it to Market Information Service (MIS) in Nay Pyi Taw every Wednesday.
- The wholesale prices are collected at 1) crop exchange center (Maha Kahtaintaw Association of Broker, Miller and Traders, Monywa), 2) Rice miller, and 3) Tomato miller. Tomato is shipped from Southern Shan State. Fruits is excluded since Sagaing has not much fruits and statistically not important for the Government.

2) Fruits

- Dragon fruits: Nyaung Oo and near Mt. Popa is famous, but mostly imported from China and Thailand.
- Mango: mostly come from Kyaukse and Mandalay. Local production is quite a few.
- Banana: Thee Hmway variety (small and slender) come from Nyaungloin Win and Ayardaw TS, while Phee Gyan variety (fat and wider) is from Kain, Butalin, and Ye Oo
 TS. Season is December to February.
- Grape: coming from Meiktila.
- Plum: coming from Lezin, Aung Thar, and Zee Kyun (all in Sagaing)
- Tamarind: only for home consumption

3) Plant Quarantine

- Sagaing TS has a border town, Tamu TS, at Myanmar-India border, and DOA has quarantine check point at the border. From the border, Ginger, Betele Nut, Turmeric, Green Gram, Pigeon Pea, and Garlic are exported.
- At the border, "Pod Borer" from Chick Pea, Maiz, Cotton and Okra, and "Helicoverpa Armigerce" for Chick Pea, Green Gram, Sunflower and Cotton are current main issues.
- DOA conducts quarantine inspection at border check points including Tar Chi Leik (Thai), Kaw Thaung (Thai), Mydwadi (Thai), Tamu (India), Kan Patti (India), and Muse (China), in addition to Mandalay Airport and Yangon Airport.

| Date | May 3 (Fri), 2013 13:00~14:30 |
| :---: | :--- |
| Place | DOA, Myingyan TS Office |
| Persons Met | Daw Kyi (Head Officer) <br> Daw Sandi Win (SAE; Sub-assistant Engineer) (Agronomist, Marketing in Charge) |
|  | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document <br> Obtained | - Questionnaire No. 1 <br> - Market Price (Wholesale Price) List (12months) <br> - Completion Report for Market Price Trend in 2012, Myingyan TS (March, 2013) |

1) Marketing Section

- Marketing section belongs to District Office of Myingyan. Once a week (Wednesday) it gathers wholesale price of selected crops, including rice, pulses, kitchen crops, oilseed crops, and cooking oil, and send the list to MIS in Nay Pyi Taw. The information sources are Crop Exchange Center in Myingyan and Wholesalers ("Pweyone").
- Fruits and vegetables are excluded from the MIS because these products are only for local consumption.
- There are two (2) local market in Myingyan, 1) Aye Mya Thidar (Green Grocery) and Municipal Market. Both markets are controlled by the Municipality. The markets close once a week, Full Moon day.

2) Products

- Major pulses produced in Myingyan are Green Gram, Chick Pea Pigeon Pea, Butter Bean, Soya been. Sesame and Groundnut are major oilseed crops. Sunflower is not popular.
- Onion is most popular crops and $90 \%$ of onion is produced under irrigation from tube well and pump. Garlic is only for home consumption.
- Some farmers in Lay Eain Tan Village (near Ayeyar Waddy River), Yathar and Phone Villages try vegetable production including Cabbage, Cauliflower, Radish, Carrot, and Chinese Coriander, and send them to Mandalay and Yangon.
- Harvest season of Cabbage, Cauliflower and Radish are November to December, while that of Carrot and Chinese Coriander are July and August.

3) Market Chain of Pulses in Myingyan

- Pulses and oilseeds are usually harvested with branch, and transported to farmer's backyard by animal cart or tractor. Then farmer remove beans from branch and dry it at their backyard. In some case, for example Groundnut is dried wider space since it takes more space. After drying, farmer removes beans from husk. Richer farmer use Dhall machine (cutter) for this work whereas poorer farmers remove manually.
- Farmers usually bring their product to the center of Myingyan to sell to brokers.
- The brokers bring sample to Commodity exchange center of Myingyan to negotiate with traders, millers and brokers from other Regions. Export goods are transported by large truck to Yangon and Mandalay.

| Subject | Market Research at Myingyan Crop Exchange Center |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | May 4 (Sat), 2013 9:00~12:30 |



- At blackboard in the Myingyan crop exchange center, demand from buyers with necessary amount and expected price are listed, and providers make decision based on the information at the board.

Outline of Transaction at Maha Kahtaintaw Association of Broker, Miller and Traders, Myingyan (Mandalay)

| Crop Name | Product Inflow | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% from } \\ \text { CDZ } \end{gathered}$ | Export/ Import | Domestic Market | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maize | Mar-Apr: Myingyan (summer) Jun-Oct: Shan <br> Nov-Feb: Myingyan (winter) <br> <Wholesale Price> <br> Mar-Apr: 415-420k/viss <br> Jun-Oct: 395-400k/viss <br> Nov-Feb: 475k/viss | 20\% from Myingyan, 80\% from Shan | Export (75\%) to China via Muse | Local (25\%) to CP (Thai company) for feed. | - Lower moisture content is better. Maize from Shan has higher moisture content (17-18\%) than that from Myingyan (14.5\%). High moisture content is prone to fungus, heavy to carry, and easily rotten during transportation. Mechanical dryer is used in Shan, while sundry is practiced in the CDZ. <br> - Color and size: dark yellow color and larger grain is better. <br> - $25 \%$ of maize is bought by Myanmar C.P Livestock Co., Ltd, which is a member firm of Thai-based agribusiness conglomerate, Charoen Pokphand Group (CP Group). <br> - The CP and farmers enter into contract for farming and marketing, and the CP provides farming instruction (skills), seed, and training (at Thailand) to farmers. Price is fixed at the time of contracting, but if price goes down within 2 weeks after the contract, it can be cancelled. If price goes above the contract price, the contract is effective. CP has office in Yangon, Mandalay, Kyaukme (north Shan), and Taunggyi (South Shan). |
| Sesame | Jun -Jul: Myingyan <br> Aug-Sep: Myingyan and other areas <br> Nov-Dec: Myingyan <br> <Price> <br> Jul: 1800k/viss <br> Dec: $2400 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ | 20\% from Myingyan, 80\% from Magway, Pakokku, Pyay, Kyauske | Export via Yangon | Mostly sell to Oil Millers in Myingyan (600 millers with 200 oil extracting machine) | - Moisture content: less than $12 \%$ is better. Higher moisture content has bad smell, and change color from white to white. Package (plastic bag) also should be dried. <br> - Sesame with dust and dirt has cheaper price. <br> - China prefers white sesame for sesame oil and medicine. |
| Groundnut | Feb-Mar: Winter Apr-May: Summer Sep-Oct: Monsoon | From many places due to lack of supply | Export <br> (15-20\%) to <br> Thailand (via Yangon) and China (via Mandalay) | Mostly (80-85\%) sell to Oil Millers in Myingyan | - 12 to $13 \%$ of moisture content is good quality. If moisture content is high, color changes to yellow. <br> - Monsoon groundnut has the highest price because it has high oil contents. More than $42 \%$ of oil content is good product. <br> - For summer groundnut, more than $36 \%$ of oil content is good. |


| Cotton | Jan-Feb: Myingyan (Summer) Jul-Aug: Magway and Pyay, if amount is not enough) <br> <Wholesale Price> Jan-Feb: 400k/viss Jul-Aug: 400k/viss (375k/viss at Magway and Pyay) | 20\% from Myingyan, 80\% from Other Region | Export (50\%) to China and Thailand | Local (50\%) to local trader from 2012 (before 2011, Ministry of Industry 1 buys) | - Cotton seed from Myingyan is famous. Its usage is animal feed. <br> - From cotton tree, $60 \%$ of cotton is for soft cotton (cotton yarn, medical use, etc.), whereas $40 \%$ is for cottonseed which in turn use for $38 \%$ for cotton cake (feed for cow), 125 for cotton oil, and $50 \%$ for feed for fish (CP). <br> - Humidity is one of indicator since moisture content reduces quality of cotton. Moisture content can be checked by hand. <br> - Dust and dirt also reduce quality of cotton. <br> - There are four (4) types; 1) long one ( $1^{\text {st }}$ class), 2 ) short one (middle class), 3) yellow and 4) summer yellow (low class). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Green Gram | Aug: Myingyan Dec-Jan: Bago, Pyay <br> <Wholesale Price> Aug: 1050k/viss Dec-Jan: 1100k/viss | 20\% from Myingyan, 80\% from Bago, Pyay | Export |  | - Color: there are two colors, Gold and Green, and Golden is the best quality with no warm. <br> - Size is also important. In Myanmar, small size is more expensive than larger size. Burmese prefer young, small and soft bean sprouts which is good for soup and salad. |
| Chick Pea | Mar: Myingyan, in addition to Magway, Monywa, Pakokku | 30\% from Myingyan, 70\% from Other Region | Not sure |  | - Color and variety is major determinant of price difference. Best variety is "V2 (white)" and next is "Taiwan (yellow)", followed by local variety "929 (Red)". "V2" and "Taiwan" is for export, whereas "929" is for local consumption only. <br> - Freshness, cleanliness, and no warm are also important indicators. |
| Pigeon Pea | Jan-Feb: Myingyan (it can keep 9 months) | 100\% | 100\% export to India via Yangon | $0 \%$, There is no demand in Myanmar | - Color: Red has higher price (1035k/viss), whereas White is lower class with price of $1000 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$. <br> - Pigeon Pea from CDZ is the best quality. Customer prefers small size which is easy to peel and cook. <br> - Freshness, cleanliness, and no warm are also important indicators. |

Source) Broker, Miller and Traders Maha Kahtaintaw Association, Myingyan (May 4, 2013)


- Cotton is procured from Pyaw Bwe, Pyinmana, Myinmu (Sagaing) and Pyay, and is for oil extraction. From 2012, private company can trade cotton, and Ministry of Industry-1 stop procuring from farmers. The cotton oil is sold at retail shop in Nay Pyi Taw and Shwebo, whereas cotton cake (residual) is sold to fishery company "Htoo Thit".
- Most profitable trading goods are Maize and Pigeon Pea. Farm gate price of Maize is $413 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$, and wholesale price in Myingyan is $415-420 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$. At the China border (Muse), Maize is sold at $500 \mathrm{k} /$ viss. Distance from Myingyan to Muse is around 400 miles. Pigeon Pea is also profitable since it is also export good.


## 3) Pulse Trade

- India started buying Pigeon Pea from Tanzania around 2-3 years ago. Tanzania's Pigeon Pea is cheaper than Myanmar's but quality is still better in Myanmar. Due to the situation, Pigeon Pea import of India

| from Myanmar decreases around 33\%. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4) Oil Cake |  |  |  |
| Oil Seed | Price of Seed | Price of Cake | Main Usage |
| Brawn Sesame | 2400k/viss | 1140k/viss | Cattle, chicken |
| Groundnut | 1750k/viss | 900k/viss | Chicken, fish |
| Niger | 1200k/viss | 600k/viss | Fish |
| Cotton | 400k/viss | 400k/viss | Fish |

## * Myanmar C.P. Livestock Co,. Ltd.

The Company is the leader and pioneer in the manufacture and distribution of livestock feed in Myanmar. The Company produces livestock feed in the forms of concentrate, powder and pellets for broilers, layers, swine. The Company has a system to randomly check the quality of raw materials and products. The Company has four branches located in Yangon, Mandalay, Kyaut Me and Taung Gyi. Myanmar C.P. Livestock Co,. Ltd. is a member firm of Thai-based agribusiness conglomerate, Charoen Pokphand Group (CP Group).
<Food Business>
Yangon;_No. 135, Pyay Road, 8 1/2 miles,
Mayangone Township, Yangon, Myanmar.
Tel: 95-1- 651324, 651325, 651364, 652081, 660546
Fax: 95-1-663710
E-mail: gm-south@mcpl.com.mm
<Feed Mill>
Yangon; PyinmabinFeedmill,
MingalardonTownship,
Yangon,Myanmar.
Tel: 95-1-600217, 600197, 700572
Fax: 660282
Mandalay; G4(A), Industrial Zone (1). Yangon-Mandalay Road, Aung Thu Kha Soap
Factory Avenue, Pyi Gyi Ta Gon Township , Mandalay , Myanmar.
Tel : 02-53269,02-53672

Source: http://myanmarcp.com/CP2011WEB/contant.html

Market Survey at Retail Markets in Nyaung Oo

| Market Name | Crop Name | Origin of product | Price | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mani Sithe <br> Market <br> (Nyaung Oo) | Eggplant | Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: 400k/viss (wholesale: 300k/viss) | - Irrigated, price is cheaper in winter, higher in summer |
|  | Tomato | Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) Dec: Nyaung Oo | Apr-May: 1500k/viss (wholesale: 1200k/viss) Dec: 150-200k/viss | - Irrigated |
|  | Okura | Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: 800k/viss (wholesale: 650k/viss) May: 200k.viss | - Irrigated |
|  | Onion | Mar-Apr: Nyaung Oo Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) Jul-Oct: Yaw TS | Mar-Apr: 200-300k/viss Jul-Oct: 800-1000k/viss | - Irrigated |
|  | Garlic | Mandalay | Low: 1800-2000k/viss High: 2000-2400k/viss | - |
|  | Potato | Taunggyi (Shan) | Apr-May: 1200k/viss (wholesale: $1000 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) Dec-Jan: 700-800k/viss | - |
|  | Carrot | All Year: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: $500 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ (Wholesale: $400 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$ ) | - Irrigated |
|  | Chili | Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: 1200k/viss (Wholesale: 1000k/viss) Dec-Jan: 300-500k/viss | - Irrigated |
|  | Coriander | Apr-May: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: 3000k/viss (Wholesale: 2500k/viss) Dec-Feb: 200-300k/viss | - Irrigated <br> - Grown in Green House from April to May |
|  | Water Cresson | All Year: Pakokku (river side) | Apr-May: 100k/band (6peice) (wholesale: 80k/band (6peice)) | - It is available whole year round |
|  | Cauliflower | Chanthargyi Village (Pakokku) | Apr-May: 350k/piece (1000k/3 pieces) Dec-Feb: 200k/piece | - Irrigated |
|  | Banana | Kyauk Padaung (near Mt. Рора) | Large: 1500k/hand Medium: $1300 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{hand}$ Small: 1000k/hand | - Green Banana is for a votive offering, and its demand is high in this area due to many Pagoda. <br> - Demand for Green Banana in Bagan (Nyaung Oo) increases during Buddhism festival (Apr, Oct, Nov). |
|  | Mango | The foot of Mt. Popa | Apr-May: 250-330k/piece June: $500 \mathrm{k} /$ piece | - Orange and apple come from China via Mandalay |
|  | Grape | Meiktila | Mar: 4000k/viss May: 2500k/viss | - Long shape has high demand |
|  | Watermelon | Katar (Mandalay) Myingyan (Mandalay) | Apr-May: 2000-2500k/piece Nov-Dec: 500-700k/piece | - In winter, there is not so much demand except hotels. |
|  | Gandan | All year: Pyin Oo Lwin | Low: $2100 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{band}$ (5 pieces) | - For a votive offering, and demand increases during |


|  | (flower) | (Mandalay) <br> Dec-Feb: Nyaung Oo | High: $2500 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{band}$ (5 pieces) | Buddhism festival (Apr, Oct, Nov). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rose (red) | All year: Pyin Oo Lwin (Mandalay) <br> Dec-Feb: Nyaung Oo | Low: $150 \mathrm{k} /$ band High: $250 \mathrm{k} /$ band | - For a votive offering, and demand increases during Buddhism festival (Apr, Oct, Nov). |
|  | Show (flower) | Pyin Oo Lwin (Mandalay) <br> Dec-Feb: Nyaung Oo | Low: $350 \mathrm{k} /$ band High: 400 k/band | - For a votive offering, and demand increases during Buddhism festival (Apr, Oct, Nov). |
|  | Sesame Oil | Nyaung oo | Apr-May: 3200k.viss Dec-Feb: 800-1000k.viss | - The oil seller buy oilseed from wholesaler and mill by 3 cows at home. |
|  | Groundnut Oil | Nyaung oo | Apr-May:3600-4000k.viss Dec-Feb: more than 5000k/viss | - The oil seller buy oilseed from wholesaler and mill by 3 cows at home. |
|  | Palm Oil | Mandalay | Apr-May:1800k/viss Dec-Feb: 800-1000k/viss | - The oil seller buy oilseed from wholesaler and mill by 3 cows at home. |

Source: Market Needs Survey (May 5, 2013)
Note: Tax for selling space $(1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~m})$ to Municipal Office is $1000 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{month}$ in the retail Market.

| Subject | Cotton Market Chain |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | May 6 (Mon), 2013 9:30~11:30 |
| Place | Department of Industrial Crop Development, Myingyan Township Office |
| Persons Met | U Tin Aung (Township Officer) <br> Daw Ni Ni Win (Deputy Supertendent) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document <br> Obtained | Brochure of Department of Industrial Crop Development, MOAI <br> - Production Record of Cotton, last 10 years |

1) Background history of Cotton Sector

- In 2006, in accordance with the decision of meeting No. 39/2006 held by government body on November 19, 2006, five (5) enterprises including Myanmar Cotton and Sericulture Enterprise, Myanmar Jute Enterprise, Myanmar Sugarcane Enterprise, Myanmar Perennial Crops Enterprise and Myanmar Farm Enterprise were reorganized into one commercial enterprise, namely Myanmar Industrial Crops development
 Enterprise (MICDE).
- However, due to the new government policy that development of high-yielding variety, seed production, and training and extension of important crop sector should be carried out by MOAI, the MICDE was again reorganized into Department of Industrial Crops Development (DICD) by the meeting No. 3/2012 held by the new government body on January 19, 2012.

2) Duty and Function of Department of Industrial Crops Development (DICD)

- To produce high-yield varieties and seeds of industrial crops including sugarcane, cotton, jute, rubber, oil palm, cashew nut and coffee.
- To utilize modern cultivation techniques concerning industrial crops and to provide training and education of the required techniques for the effective utilization of cultivation practices.
- To carry continuous research activities on improvement of good agricultural practices in order to resist disease and bad weather for producing high yield varieties and good quality seeds. (Source: Brochure of Department of Industrial Crop Development, MOAI)

3) Activities of the office

- Main activities of the Township office are 1) dissemination of advanced technology to farmers, and 2) promotion of good quality seed. For this purpose, the office work with two cotton research and seed multiplication farms under the DICD, Lunkyaw Farm (Kyauske TS) and Shwe Taung (Wantwin TS).

4) Cotton in the Region

- Myingyan is famous for cotton production. In Myanmar, top three (3) cotton producing areas are 1) Yame Thin District, 2) Kyauske District, and 3) Myingyan TS. Therefore, this office is focusing on cotton production.
- Myingyan is also famous for cotton wear including lungi and shirts. It is said that Myingyan's cotton cloth becomes smoother after washing, and good for summer season since it is quite airy. Some Burmese buy "Labyin" cloth in Myingyan for souvenir because of its good quality and cheaper in price.
- Rubber, Sugarcane and jute are famous in Tha Htone, whereas Palm oil is famous in Taninthayi Region.


5) Market Chain

- Input: cotton seed is produced at the government farms and is provided to farmers by the government. The government also provides fertilizer to farmers upon requests. The government farm is being transferred to private sector step by step, but the cotton farms are still under the government control.
- Production: Cotton production was conducted by Contract Farming. The government provided seed, fertilizer and technical instructions to farmer contractors, whereas farmers had to sell cotton product to the government with the $t$ volume equivalent to the input cost provided by the government prior to the production (seed and fertilizer). The contract is s made between individual farmers and the government. The Myingyan TS office covered 10 villages and average number of cotton farmer is 100-200 HH/village.
- Most farmers in Myingyan grow cotton under rainfed condition, except for a few farmers who practices irrigation by tube well. Yield difference between the irrigated and the rainfed are almost double, $800 \mathrm{viss} /$ acre under the irrigation and $400 \mathrm{viss} /$ acre under the rainfed condition.
- Collection: At present, most farmers sell product to brokers..Farmers directly bringing to market are very few. Around $80 \%$ of brokers are from Myingyan, while remaining $20 \%$ are from Mandalay. Before privatization, the government trucks go to village to collect products individually.
- Ginning: Ginning is carried out at both the government and private factory. The government owns (at least) six (6) ginning factory in Meiktila, Mahleing, Aung Len, Nga Zan, Tada Oo, and Monywa, where cotton seed and fiber are separated. The fiber is pressed in a cube veil $(2 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m})$ for further processing. Weight of one veil is $100 \mathrm{viss} /$ veil, and 6 veils become 1 metric ton.

- Spinning, dying, weaving and cloth making are another process and many local firms including cottage industry in Nan Myint Village in Myingyan TS conduct this process. Private factories in Mandalay and Meiktila, including C.Y.T. Industrial Ltd. (Address: G.3(a),Yaw Min Gyi St,Sanpya Industrial Zone, MANDALAY, Tel: (02)53404) for example, also produces yarn and manufacture textile.
- Cotton Seed: from cotton seed, cooking oil is extracted mostly by local oil millers, including Triple Nine Great Integrity Trading Co., Ltd.
- Export: Export of fiber is carried out by traders including international trade firm like Itochu Corporation. The cotton fiber is exported to Thailand (50\%), India (30\%), Malaysia (10\%) and China (10\%). Transportation to Thailand, India and Malaysia are by ship, whereas to China is by land via Mandalay and Muse. 80 veils can be in a 20 feet container van.
- Before 2001, MOAI could get export permission, but after 2001 until 2012, only the Ministry of Industry-1 could export cotton abroad. After 2012, the Ministry also could not export due to
privatization.

5) Price Determinant

- There are two main varieties, 1) long staple variety and 2) short staple variety. The long staple is more expensive and is for export since it is soft and smooth, good for traditional clothes in Indonesia and Malaysia for example. While short staple is hard, and good for jeans.
- As to color, whiter is better. For moisture content, less than $70 \%$ is better.
- The government's quality specification is as follows;
- Length: 28.6-30.2mm
- Smoothness: 3.8-4.2 microneyar
- $\quad$ Strength $7.8-8.5 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{mg}$
- Ripened Ratio: $0.97-1.00$
- Yield 37\% - 39\% (from veil, residual is cotton seed)
- Number: $40-50$ (classification of spinning, less than 40 is hard, more than 50 is enough soft, still good)

| Subject | Data and Information Collection at Magway Township Office of DOA |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | May 8 (Wed), 2013 9:00~11:30 |
| Place | DOA Magway Township Office |
| Persons Met | U Khaing Min (Township Officer) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document <br> Obtained | Monthly Market Price at Magway TS (for 12 months) |

1) Market Price

- Twice a month, the TS office collect wholesale market price to give advice to farmers regarding marketable crops. The wholesale market prices are collected from Broker, Miller and Traders Association in Magway. The association also operate Crop Exchange Center in this region.
- There are three local market in Magway township; Yan Pe, Yam Kin, and Myo Ma. All of them are Municipal
 market that transacts all types of commodities incdluing vegetables and fruits.

2) Profitable Crops

- Groundnut and Sesame are the most profitable crops in upland of Magway. Variety of Groundnut is "Sinpadaythar-11", developed in Yezin Agricultural University and the seed is produced at Dry Zone Agricultural Research Center in Nyaung Oo.
- Variety of Sesame is "Black Cumin". It is said that Sesame in Magway is the best, and many brokers and traders from Monywa and other areas come and buy Bagway's Sesame seed. The reason is its drought tolerant characteristics. Even though rain season comes rate up to about 48 days, the seed can
survive and can be harvested. The seed is good for sandy soil but taste of its oil is a bit bitter. Oil content is around $53 \%$ and is average among varieties of sesame. In Magway, groundnut oil is better than sesame oil due to its mild taste and price of groundnut oil is always higher than sesame around 400k/viss.

3) Other Crops

- Pigeon Pea is planted with Sesame in pre-monsoon period. Pigeon Pea is also one of dominant crop in Magway TS.
- Sunflower production depends on soil fertility and is not goon in Magway TS.
- Cotton is very few in the TS.
- Watermelon and Musk Melon are potential fruits. Main production area is Taung Dwin Gyi TS, about 51 miles away from the center of Magway.
- Maize in Magway is for human consumption. The seed is hybrid and originally came from China. The Maize is planted in upland area with pump irrigation or in lowland where moisture content in soil is high.
- Vegetables, such as Tomato, Mustard, Carrot, Radish, and watercress, are basically produced in the river bank, where irrigation by tube well and pumping water is available.

| Subject | Data and Information Collection at Magway Region Office of DOA |
| :---: | :--- |
| Date | May 8 (Wed), 2013 14:00~16:00 |
| Place | DOA Magway Region Office |
| Persons Met | U Khin Maung Lay (Deputy Director, Head of Division), DOA Magway <br> U Aung Myint (Assistant Director, Deputy Regional Chief Officer), DOA Magway <br> Daw Khin May Thnit (Staff Officer), DOA Magway <br> Daw Than Than Swe (Staff Officer), DOA Magway <br> U Oo Than (Assistant Director), DOA Magway |
|  | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
|  | • Monthly Market Price at Pakokku TS (for 12 months) <br> - Questionnaire No. 3 |

1) Market Information

- Data for MIS (Market Information Service) is collected only at Pakokku TS. There is a Crop Exchange Center in Pakokku.

2) Promising Crops

- Groundnut and Sesame are the most promising crops in
 Magway Region.
- Sesame is mostly ( $30-40 \%$ ) exported to China and Thailand, and rests are for local consumption.
- Groundnut is for domestic consumption, and supply is not enough to meet domestic demand. Therefore, palm oil is used as substitute of the groundnut oil. Oil palm is planted in Tanin Thargi Region (Southern coast area), but production is not sufficient resulting in importation from Malaysia.

| Subject | ter |
| :---: | :---: |
| Date | May 9 (Thu), 2013 16:00~17:30 |
| Place | Union of Myanmar, Mying |
| Persons Met | U Nay Lin Aung (Chairman of UMFCCI-Magway, Oil Miller and Distributor) U Htein Win (Central Excutiv Committeee (CEC) Member, Trader of Pulses and Crops) |
| Study Team | Sanyu Consultants Inc. Iriya, Kikuchi |
| Document Obtained |  |
| 1) Organization <br> - The crop exchange center is a branch office of UMFCCI in Yangon, established in 1988. At present, the organization has around 400 members including traders, millers and brokers. Main activity of the center is 1 ) quality control of transacted products, 2) to solve problems between buyers and sellers, and 3) operating crop exchange center. <br> - Quality control is carried out based on the quality control specification developed at the $4^{\text {th }}$ workshop of UFMCCI in 2010. The specification is shown in table below. <br> - The crop exchange center is basically operated every day except the week of the Water Festival. From August to February, the center is operated twice a day, from 9am to 12am, and from 3pm to 6 pm , whereas from March to July, the center operated once a day, from 9am to 12am. <br> 2) Outline of transaction at Magway Crop Exchange Center <br> - Outline of transactions of major crops are summarized in the table below. |  |
|  |  |

Outline of Transaction at the Crop Exchange Center in Magway

| Crop Name | Product Inflow | \% from CDZ | Export/ <br> Import | Domestic <br> Market | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maize | Jan-Feb: Taungdwingyi (Magway) | 100\% | ? | Mandalay, <br> Yangon | - Myanmar C.P. Livestock Co., Ltd. buys 50\% of product. <br> - CP provides qualified seeds to farmers based on Contract Farming. <br> - Production is very little. |
| Sesame | Mar-Apr (irrigated): Salin (Magway) <br> Aug-Feb: Minbu, <br> Taungdwingyi, Sinbaungwe <br> (all Magway) | 100\% | Mostly <br> China via <br> Mandalay | Red sesame is for local consumption | - Rate of production is White (60\%), Red (23\%), Black (17\%). <br> - White is for China, Black is for China, Japan and Korea, Red is for oil mill or home consumption. Black sesame demands the highest price. Farmers do not want plant Red since price gap between Black and red is around $500 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{viss}$. <br> - Japan’s inspection is severe. Japanese inspector use litmus paper to check acidity. Acidity content should be $0.5-2.0 \%$. After rain, acidity should be checked since rain sometime contains acid. China does not check acidity content. <br> - For oil extraction, more than $48 \%$ of oil content is better. <br> - Magway's sesame is good since it is drought tolerant variety. But Kyaukse's sesame is better since they use Korean variety which is similar to African seed (color is Pearl White) |
| Groundnut | Aug-Feb: Minbu, <br> Taungdwingyi, Sinbaungwe, <br> Aunglan (all Magway) | 100\% | $50 \%$ is go to <br> China via <br> Mandalay | $50 \%$ is for <br> local <br> consumption. | - Check point is size, color, impurity. <br> - More than $40 \%$ of oil content is better. <br> - Two varieties; 3 months variety and 6 months variety |
| Sunflower | April: Sagu, Salin, <br> Sinbyugyun (all Mandalay) | 100\% |  | Oil for <br> Taunggyi <br> (Shan) | - Sunflower is not profitable and production is very minimal. <br> - The most popular cooking oil is Sesame, followed by Groundnut and Sunflower. |


| Green Gram | No production from 2 years <br> ago |  | Mostly <br> China | • Farmers used to plant after sesame, but no production now since 2 <br> years ago since Green Gram is not profitable crop. China's buying price <br> is quite cheap. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pigeon Pea | Feb-Apr: Kyaukpadaung <br> (Mandalay), Natmauk, <br> Minbu, Minhla, <br> Taungdwingyi, Aunglan <br> (Magway) | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ India <br> via Yangon |  | • Color and size is important determinant of price. <br> Bright Red has higher price than Dark Red. Price difference is 75K/viss. |
| Chick Pea | Feb-Mar: West Bank of <br> Ayeyar Waddy River in <br> Magway Region | $100 \%$ | 50\% is for <br> India via <br> Yangon, <br> Sometime <br> for Brunei | 50\% is for <br> local <br> consumption | • Color is important determinant of price. <br> Yellow (Taiwan variety) commands highest price and second is Red <br> (929). Price difference between Yellow and Red is 75k/viss. White (V2) <br> is not popular. |

Source) Crop exchange Center, Magway (May 9, 2013)

Quality Control Specification for Raw Pulses (Magway Crop Exchange Center)/a

|  | Crop Name | Contamination of Dust and Stone (\%) | Contamination of Worm (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% of } \\ \text { Bad } \\ \text { Quality } \end{gathered}$ | \% of Brown Color Seed | \% of Crushed Pieces | \% of Other <br> Damages | \% of <br> Different <br> Variety | \% of Similar Variety | Moisture Content (\%) | \% of Different Color |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Black Gram (Raw) | 1.00 | 3.00 |  | - | - | - | 2.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | Black Gram (FAQ) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 3.00 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | Green Gram (Raw) | 0.10 | 1.00 | - | /b | - | 4.00 | - | 0.50 | $\begin{gathered} \hline(14-15 \% \\ ) / b \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| 4 | Green Gram (Anyarshwewah) | 0.10 | 1.00 | - | - | - | 4.00 | - | 0.50 | - | - | - |
| 5 | Green Gram <br> (Khayanshwewah) | 0.10 | 1.00 | - | - | - | 1.00 | - | 0.50 | - | - | - |


| 6 | Chick Pea | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | - | 4.00 | 0.50 | - | - | 1.00 | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Pigeon Pea | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 2.00 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.00 |
| 8 | Chick Pea (White, Large) | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 2.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - |
| 9 | Butter Bean | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| 10 | Rice Bean | 0.50 | 1.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| 11 | Lima Bean (Red and Blue) | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - |
| 12 | Bocate Bean | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - |
| 13 | Cow Pea (White) | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 1.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | - | - | - |
| 14 | Soy Bean | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 0.50 | 3.00 | 0.50 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| 15 | Kidney Bean | 0.50 | 3.00 | - | - | 0.50 | 3.50 | 0.50 | 1.00 | - | - | - |
| 16 | Garden Pea | 1.00 | 3.00 | - | - | 3.00 | 5.00 | 0.50 | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | Maize | 1.00 | 5.00 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 14.00 | 6.00 | - |

Source: UMFCCI Magway Branch Office
Note a/ The quality control specification was made based on discussion at the 4th Workshop of Wholesale and Commodity Crop Exchange Center in Magway Region in : 2010 .
b/ The content should be decided based on weather condition.

Quality Control Specification for Raw Sesame (Magway Crop Exchange Center)/a

| Variety Name | Contamination <br> of Dust and <br> Stone (\%) | Weight <br> Loss (\%) | \% of Bad <br> Quality | \% of <br> Different <br> Color | Moisture <br> Content <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Sesame (Theikpan) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 2.00 | 8.00 |
| 2 | Sesame (Byar) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 5.00 | 8.00 |
| 3 | White Sesame (Grade 1) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 5.00 | 8.00 |
| 4 | White Sesame (Grade 2) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 10.00 | 8.00 |


| 5 | Sesame (Red, Brown) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | - | 8.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: UMFCCI Magway Branch Office
Note a/ The quality control specification was made based on discussion at the 4th Workshop of Wholesale and Commodity Crop Exchange Center in Magway Region in
$: \quad 2010$.


- The center operates every day except Sunday, from 10:00 am to 1:00 pm.

2) New Competitors

- Since 2-3 years ago, Pigeon Pea and Green Gram became difficult to sell and amount of export decreases due to increase in number of new competitors, including Tanzania, Kenya and Mozambique. India invest and support these countries since costs of their land and labor are cheaper.

3) Outline of transaction at Yangon Crop Exchange Center

- Export quality is checked by SGS, using standard specification for each crop.
- For cooking oil, demand of sesame oil is high at present. Groundnut oil is most expensive while palm oil is most popular due to its cheap price. Palm oil is imported from other countries in Southeast Asia. In Myanmar, Taninthayi is production area of crude palm oil (CPO). 15-20\% of CPO goes to Refinery while the rests goes to Thailand for final products.
- Outline of transactions of major crops are summarized in the table below.

Outline of Transaction at the Crop Exchange Center in Yangon

| Crop Name | Product Inflow | \% from CDZ | Export/ Import | Domestic <br> Market | Market Needs (Determinant of Price) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maize | Mar-Apr: Delta Area <br> Jul-Aug: Pyinmana <br> Sep-Oct: North Shan <br> Dec-Jan: Shan | Non (60-65\% from Shan) | $80 \%$ goes to China from Shan | CP (Thailand), <br> Jatta <br> (Indonesia) | - Size: bigger is better <br> - Moisture content: less than $14 \%$ <br> - Color: CP prefers bright grain. CP distribute " 888 " variety |
| Sesame | Jun-Aug: Kyaukse <br> Aug-Oct: Magway | Magway <br> (70-75\%), <br> Mandalay <br> (20-25\%) | 70\% <br> (mostly China via border, rests goes to Japan, Taiwan) | $30 \%$ goes to Oil Mill | - 6 Colors: 1) Normal Black, 2) Science Black, 3) Brown, 4) Red, 5) White, 6) Yellow. In Yangon CEC, 50\% is Scientific (for Japan, China, Taiwan), $30 \%$ is White (for China), and $15 \%$ is Red. <br> - Oil content: Black (50-58\%), White (52-53\%), Red (48-50\%). Irrigated Sesame has less oil, while rainfed Sesame has rich oil. <br> - Size: more than 6.5mm (for Japan) <br> - Moisture content: less than 8\% <br> - Acidity: Only Japanese standard, more than 3\% is not acceptable. Japan use litmus paper for the test. <br> - Chemical residual: Only Japanese standard, Inspection is conducted in Thailand to acquire certification. |
| Groundnut | Whole year from Magway, Mandalay, Sagaing | 100\% | $75 \%$ (60\% to <br> China, 25\% to <br> Thailand, rests to <br> Indonesia, <br> Malaysia, <br> Vietnam) | 25\% | - Good quality goes to export, and bad quality (higher rate of broken beans) goes to local consumption <br> - Size: bigger is better <br> - Color: fine red is better |


| Green Gram | Mar-Jun: Delta Jun-Sep: CDZ Oct-Dec: Lower Myanmar | Less than 20\% <br> (55\% from <br> Lower <br> Myanmar) | Almost 100\% <br> China: 30-35\% <br> India: 30-35\% <br> Rests go to Taiwan, <br> Middle-east, <br> Southeast Asia | none | - Size: large size goes to China and Taiwan, while small size goes to Indonesia. <br> - Color: bright/ light green is better, whereas dark/ brown/ yellow is worse. <br> - Over-matured bean's color turns to yellow. Maturity depends on rain, moisture, sunshine. Cold storage is required to keep good quality beans. If it is rain during harvest season, quality becomes worst. <br> - Moisture content: less than $10 \%$ in winter season, and less than $15-16 \%$ in rain season. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pigeon Pea | Dec-Apr: CDZ <br> Mar-May: Shan | Mostly from CDZ | $100 \%$ India (85-90\%), Rests goes to Middle-east and China | 0\% | - Color (White, Yellow) and Size are important indicators. <br> - Large and White is the best quality since 10 years. While small and yellow becomes best this year due to high demand in India. |
| Chick Pea | Dec-Feb: Sagaing, <br> Mandalay | Mostly comes from Upper and Middle Myanmar | 50\% | 50\% | - Size: larger is better (the most important indicator) <br> - Color: White (V series) is better than Yellow (Taiwan) <br> - White (V2, V7, V8, V9) is for only Export to Middle-east and India. <br> - Red (929) is for domestic consumption (for Military consumption since 1-2 years ago, farmers do not want to plant anymore due to lack of price incentive). <br> - Yellow (Taiwan): export to Bangladesh from Sep. to Oct. |
| Onion | Rainy season: CDZ <br> Summer season: CDZ |  | Sometimes (not sure), by border trade (very |  | - From CDZ, 70\% goes to Yangon, whereas 30\% goes to other regions. <br> - Size: Small is good to fry, export to Vietnam, Thailand and Malaysia, after fried in these country, fried onion goes to EU. |


|  |  |  | difficult to catch <br> since many are <br> illegal trade) | • Middle size goes to local consumption and sometime goes to Thailand. <br> $\cdot$Large size is for local consumption particularly for Restaurant <br> Since 2012, no export tax and illegal trade at border area start <br> decreasing. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: Crop exchange Center, Yangon (May 29, 2013)

| Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yangon } \\ \hline \text { Thiriminglar Market } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Magway TS Yan Pe Market |  |  | Mingen TS |  |  |  |  |  | Mardalay |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name of Market Date of Survey$\qquad$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mani Sithu Market |  |  | Thiri Marlar Market (Wholesale)$30-A p r-13$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Myoma Market |  | 8-May-13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Season | Price | Oigin |  |  |  | Season | Price | Oigin | Season | Price | Oigin | Season | Price | Origi | Seasor | Price | Oig | Season | Price | origin |
| Cabbage | Jun-ul | 600-1000kpriee | Aunglan (Shan) | Ax-May | 700kriees | Aungtan (Shan) | Ma-May | 550-600) | Aungtan (Shan) | Ap-May | 500kpiece | Shan |  |  |  | Dec-Jan | 150kpiexe | Mnacalay |
|  | OtAug | 250-500kplieee | Yangon, Bago, Deta | Dec.jan | 100-150kpliees | Al mpanen (Shen). Suturbof NTT | Now-Dec | 200-250kNiss | Minbu (magnay) | Feb | 100-200kppiece | Msingyan |  |  |  | Apr-may | 500.c00kppiece | Shan |
| Tomalo | Jun | 300khiss | inle Lake | Apr | 2000kNus | Matwa (Sagaing) | Feb | 1500kNiss | Magway (Rivertank) | Apr-May | 1200kuiss | Shan (North) |  |  |  | Mar | 800-1000kNiss | Mormwa Stmebo |
|  | Ju-Sep | 470.670kNiss | mile Lake | May | 1400kNuss | Marwa (SSaging) | May | 1200 kiss | Aungtan (Shan) |  |  |  | May-Ju | 500kNiss | Patoka | Apr | 1100-1300kViss | Mormwa Stmebo |
|  | Dec.-reb | $200-330 \mathrm{KNiss}$ | Yargon Meililia Moma | Decuan | 400-600kNiss | Morwa A Aungan | Nov | 200kuss | Magnay | DecFeb | 400kNuss | Mingyan, Mancolay | Dec.Jan | 150-200kNuss | Naung $0^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
| Carrot | Mar-Jun | 1000-200kNuss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Syin ULwin } \\ & \text { (Mandalay) } \end{aligned}$ | Apr-May | 1000kNiss | Aungban (Shan), Pyin U Luin (Mandalay) | May-Jun | 500.800kNiss | Minbu Sha | Ap-May | 1000kuss | Pyin ULwin (Mandalay) | May-Jun | 500-700kNiss | Pak | may | 400-600kNiss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pyin UL Luin } \\ & \text { (Mandalay) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Deo.Feb | 1000kNus | Aungban (Shan), Pyin U Lwin (Mandalay) | Dec.jan | soakNiss | Aungban (Shan), Pyin U Lvin (Mandalay) | JanFeb | $200-300 \mathrm{k}$ Niss | Maguy (Rivertank) | DecFeb | 500-600kNiss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myingyan, } \\ & \text { Mandalay } \end{aligned}$ | Dec.Jan | 400kNus | Pakoku |  |  |  |
| Chouter | Jun | 600piese | Aungtan (Shan) |  |  |  | Mar-Apr | 800-1000kpiece | Aungtan (Shan) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mar | 80-100kpiece | Msingyan, Stmebo |
|  | un-Sep | 1000kppiexe | Aungban, Taungogi (Shan) | мay-Ju | 700kpiees | Aungban (Shan) | May | 600-700kpiece | Aungan (Shar) | Ap-May | 700kNiss | Shan (North) | May | 350kpiece | Pakoku | Apr | 250kpliece | Shen |
|  | Jan-Apr | 200-3006/piees | Yangon, Bago | Dec.jan | 200kpipee | Aunglan, Nay Pij Taw | Dec.Feb | 200-300kpipee | Mintu Magvay | DecFeb | 300kNiss | Shan (North) | Dec.Feb | 200kpiece | Patoku |  |  |  |
| Eggplant | Ap-May | 500-800kviss | Bago | May | 1200kNiss | Aungan (Shan) | Mar-Apr | 500kNiss | Maguy (Rivertank) | Apr-May | 400-500kNiss | Msingyan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun | cookviss | Bago | an | 300kkiss | Aungan, Thaz | MayJun | 400-500kNiss | Magway (Rivertank) |  |  |  | May-Jun | 400kNiss | Pakoku |  |  |  |
|  | OtJan | $700-800 \mathrm{k}$ 人iss | Deta | Decuan | 300-400kNiss | Aungan, Thezi, NPT | JanFeb | 200kNiss | Magway (Rivertank) | DecFeb | 100kuiss | Msingyen | DecJan | 300kNiss | Pakoku |  |  |  |
| Radish | Jun | 1200kNiss | Taungegi (Shan) | MayJun | 500kbande | NPT | Ap-May | 150kkanale | Yenar-gyaung |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mar | 100khandle | Msingenan, Suebo |
|  |  |  |  | Decuan | 100kkande | NPT | Dec.reb | 80-100khandle | Magnay |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ap-May | 250kmande | Msingaran, Swebo |
| Ora |  |  |  | May-un | 100kskande | NPT, Pymmana | Ap-May | 100k/10piees | Minbu |  |  |  | Apr | ${ }^{\text {c550-800kNus }}$ | Pakoka |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Nov-Dec | 3okhande | NPT, PYMmana | Jun-ot | 50knopieee | Maguy (Rivertank) |  |  |  | May | 200 k 人3s | Pakoku |  |  |  |
| Poalo | Jun | 1000kKıiss | Taumgogi; Aunglan (Shan) | may | 1000kNuss | Aungban (Shan) | Apr-May | 800kNiss | Shan | May | 1000 KNiss | Shan | An-May | 1000-12000Nuss | Taungsi (Shan) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alugep | 1000-1200kNiss | Shan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec.Feb | 700-800kuiss | Stan | Feb-Mar | 500kNiss | NPT, Pymmana | Oatiec | 300.500kNiss | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Shan, Magway } \\ \text { (Riverbank) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dec.Jan | 500.600kNiss | Pakoka | Peouan | 700-800kNus | Taungesi (Shan) |  |  |  |
| Orion | Jun | 500-550kuiss | Seikpsu (Mandalay) | Jan-Mar | 2000kNiss | Morswa Meiklia | Mar | 200-250kNiss | Maguay (Rivertank) |  |  |  | mar-Apr | 200-300kNiss | Naung $0^{\circ}$ | , | 300-450kNiss | Myingyan, Monywa, Pakokk, Mjitha |
|  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {Mar-Apr }}$ | 300kNiss | Monywa, Meiktila, Pakokku, Mandalay | May | 400.500kNiss | Maguay (Rivertank) | Apr-Ju | 600kuss | Msingyan | May | Sookriss | Pakoku | OatNov | 800.900kNiss | Msingana, Marwa Paloku, Mitith |
|  | DecFeb |  |  | May-Jun | 700kNiss | Meiklia | Ot.Dec | 500kNiss | Magavy (Rivertank) | Nov | 1000kNiss | Mingyan | ul-Ot | 200-100kNiss | Pakoka | Dec.Jan | 1400kNiss | Mingyan |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Garlic } \\ \text { (Burma White) } \end{gathered}$ | All year | 2000kNiss | Aungtan (Shan) | Janfeb | 900kNiss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aungan (Shan), } \\ & \text { Pakokas } \end{aligned}$ | Feb-Mar | 1300-1400kuiss | Shan | Ma | 500-800kNiss | Msingaz |  |  |  | mar | 800-1300kNiss | Mancolay |
|  |  |  |  | Mar-Apr | $3000-4000 \mathrm{k} \times$ iss | Aungan, Peckoku | May | 1200-2000kViss | Meikila, Shan | May | 1500-2000kNiss | Shan, M, ingyan | May | 2000-2400kNiss | Manclay | Apr | 1000-1500kNiss | Manclay, China |
|  |  |  |  | May-Jun | 2000 KNiss | Aungana, Pakokku | Oatov | 20006Kㄴss | Magway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Garlic } \\ & \text { (China White) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Janreb | 2000 k Niss | Namngkio (Shan) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mar-Apr | 4000 k Wiss | Namgrokio (Shan) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | May ${ }^{\text {an }}$ | 2500kNuss | Namy ${ }^{\text {cho (Shan) }}$ | May | 2400kNiss | Shan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chii | un | 500kNiss | Minuu (Magnay) | May | 4000kNuss | Pymma | Ma-May | 1300kNiss | Mintur Magway | мay | 1000kuiss | Myingyan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jun-0t | 500-600<kiss | Mnbu, Aunglan (Magway), Mandalay, Pyimmana | un-Ju | 2000 Kliss | Pymana, Yangon (Dedta) | JunJu | 500.600 | Magway (East Riverbank) |  |  |  | May-Jun | 1000-12000kNiss | Pakoku |  |  |  |
|  | Dec | 1000kKiss | Yangon (Delta) | Decoun | 2000kuss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pymmana Yangon } \\ & \text { (Deeta) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Dec-Jan | 500.600kuss | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Myingyan, } \\ & \text { Riverbank } \end{aligned}$ | Dec.Jan | 300.500kNiss | Paoku |  |  |  |
| Clarnoleat | Jun | 200kshande | Manclay | Mar-un | 1500kbande | Aungoan (Shan) | Apr-May | 2000 kliss | Mintu, Yenerangaung |  |  |  | May | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2500- \\ \text { 3000kbandle } \end{array}$ | Pakok |  |  |  |
|  | Jul-Sep | 550kbandle | Mancalay | Ju-Aug | 5000 kbandle | Aundan, NPT | JunJu | 400.500kNiss | Magway (Rivertank) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DecFeb | 40-80kranale | Yangon (Delta) | Decuan | 2000-3000khande | Aungtan (Shan) |  |  |  |  |  |  | DecFeb | 200.300kbande | Pakakk ( inimation) |  |  |  |
| Banana | un | 1000-1500khand | EBago, Dela | Apo-May | 1350-2000khand | kyause (Mandalay) | May | 1000khand | Magway (Rivertank) | may | 800-8006khand | Minbu | may | 1000-15000/han | qkyaukeadung |  |  |  |
|  | ot | 2000 kh and | Bago, Deta | Munaug | 2500 k hand | kyause (Mardalay) | JunSep | 400.500mand | Magavy (Rivertank) | Dec-Jan | 500-8006/hand | Minuu | Fesival Sed | 1700khand | kyaukpadung |  |  |  |
| Mango | Ap-Jun | 280 kNiss | Kyauke (Mandala) | May | ${ }^{600 k p l i e c e}$ | kyause (Mandalay) | May | 300krpiece | Mancolay | Jun | 50kpiees |  | May | 250.330Nkipee | Popa |  |  |  |
|  | Ju-Aug | 200-20064iss | Shan | Jn | $3000-400 \mathrm{k}$ pieee | kyause (Mandalay) | Jn | 150.350kpiece | Mancolay | Ju | 70-9006kpieee |  | Jun | 50akjiece | Popa |  |  |  |
| Gape | Ap-May | $340-420 \times$ ciss | Meikila | Apr | 3000kNiss | Yametrin (Manclay) | Ap-May | 2000 kuss | Meikila | Apr | 2000 kuiss | Meikila | Mar | 4000 kuiss | Meikila | Apr | 2000-4000kuiss | Meikila |
|  | Jur-ot | 105-125/k/iss | Meiklia | May-un | 2500kNuss | Yametiin (Manclay) | Jun-uu | 1200kNiss | Meikila | Jun | 1200kNiss | Meikila | мay | 2500kNus | Meikila | Ј | 1000kNiss | Meiklia |
| Watermelon | JunSep | 2500-2800kpieog | Meiklia | A0 | sookjipee | Morowa (Sagaing) | Feb-Mar | 300kpiece | Magnay |  |  |  | Ap-May | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \\ & 2500 \mathrm{kpiece} \end{aligned}$ | Mningar, Marcalay |  |  | Kyaukse (Menctay) |
|  | OatMar | 700-2800kpiece | Pray, Bago, Deta | May-Jun | 1500kpiece | Mormwa (Sagaing) | may | 50akjicee | Magnay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dec | 500-2000kpiee | Nearby Yangon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Now-Dec | 500-700kvieee | Msingara, Mancalay |  |  |  |
| Dragon Fruits |  |  |  | may | 2500 Kliss | China |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Apr | 2000 kjicee | China Thai |
|  |  |  |  | Junuu | 1000-2000kviss | China Popa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flower (white chrysanth) |  |  |  | may | 1500krbande | Psin ULwin (Mancalay) |  |  |  |  |  |  | may | 2100-2500 ${ }^{\text {dibanc }}$ | Pyin ULwin (Mandalay) |  |  |  |
| Rower (Wellow chrysanth) |  |  |  |  |  |  | May | 300 kranande | Minbu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | junsu | 100kNande | Minua |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix-14 Organizational Chart of Governmental Offices Concerned



[^10]DICD Department of Industrial Crops Development
DAR Department of Agriculture Research
MADB Myanmar Agricultural Development Bank
YAU Yezin Agricultural University






## WRUD



## LBVD




Note. Each Disrtict Office has 1) Seed Division, 2) Plant Pretection Division and 3) Land Use Division

DOA Sagaing Region Office


Source. Regional Land Use Division under DOA, Magway
Note. The offices of Region, District and TS are located at the same compound.
DOA Magway RegionOffice


Source. DOA Regional Office, Mandalay

Organization Chart of Land Use Division, under DOA Regiinal Office Mandalay


Source. Regional Land Use Division under DOA, Mandalay
Note. The office is located at the next plot of the DOA's regional office
DOA Mandalay RegionOffice


DOA Myingyan TownshipOffice

| Position | Permitted | Present | Vacancy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Officer | 1 | - | $(-) 1$ |
| Deputy staff officer | 2 | 3 | $(+) 1$ |
| Assistant staff officer | 8 | 4 | $(-) 4$ |
| Deputy assistant staff officer | 25 | 8 | $(-) 17$ |
| Clerk | 1 | 1 | - |
| Worker | 1 | - | $(-) 1$ |
| Security | 1 | 1 | - |
| Total | 39 | 17 | $(-) 22$ |

Source. DOA Magway TS Office
DOA Magway TownshipOffice

| No | Title | Nyaung Oo District |  |  | Nyaung Oo Township |  |  | Total |  |  | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Structure | Appointment Order | $(+) /(-)$ | Structure | Appointment Order | $(+) /(-)$ | Structure | Appoint ment Order | $(+) /(-)$ |  |
| 1 | Assistant Director | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | District Officer |
| 2 | Department Officer | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | - | (-)1 | 2 | 1 | $(-) 1$ |  |
| 3 | Deputy Officer | 5 | 2 | $(-) 3$ | 2 | - | $(-) 2$ | 7 | 2 | (-)5 |  |
| 4 | Upper Division Clerk | 1 | - | $(-) 1$ | - | 1 | (+)1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| 5 | Assistant Officer | 6 | 5 | (-)1 | 8 | 18 | (+)10 | 14 | 23 | (+)9 |  |
| 6 | Deputy Assistant Officer | 4 | 4 | - | 25 | 3 | $(-) 22$ | 29 | 7 | $(-) 22$ |  |
| 7 | Lower Division Clerk | 2 | 1 | (-)1 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 2 | $(-) 1$ |  |
| 8 | Lower Division Typewriter | 1 | - | (-)1 | - | 1 | (+)1 | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| 9 | Driver(4) | - | - | - | - | 1 | (+)1 | - | 1 | (+)1 |  |
| 10 | Worker | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - |  |
| 11 | Watchman | 1 | - | $(-) 1$ | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 1 | (-)1 |  |
| 12 | Driver(5) | 1 | - | (-)1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | $(-) 1$ |  |
| 13 | Office Helper | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | Total | 24 | 15 | (-)9 | 38 | 26 | $(-) 12$ | 62 | 41 | $(-) 21$ |  |

DOA Nyaung U District \& Township Office


[^0]:    Source: DOA Regional Office, Sagaing

[^1]:    Source: DOA Council Area Office, Nay Pyi Taw

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Myanmar Agricultural Statistics (1997/98-2009/10)
    ${ }^{2}$ According to Myanmar Agricultural Statistics, "Cob" means fresh corn with cob for human consumption, whereas "Seed" means animal feed and transacted as grain.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Golden Land of Trade and Investment Opportunities (2010-11)

[^3]:    4 "Explore Myanmar, The Golden Land of Trade \& Investment Opportunities", 2010-11, Vol.1, No.1, Ministry of Commerce

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ The Golden Land of Trade and Investment Opportunities (2010-11)

[^5]:    Source: JICA Study Team

[^6]:    Source: JICA Study Team

[^7]:    Note : *a soil sample in Chauk Township is collected at Mingan village which is a pilot village in "The Development Study on Suitable Agricultural and Rural Development for Poverty Reduction Programme in the Central Dry Zone of the Union of Myanmar: 2006-2010"
    **27 Townships are not included in the 3 target TS, but included in the CDZ.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ General administrative department

[^9]:    1 Disribution of hybrid varieties and HYV 2 Loan program managed by Regional Government 3
    4
    5

[^10]:    MOAI Ministry of Agriculture and Irrigation DAP Department of Agricultural Planning
    DOA Department of Agriculture
    ID Irrigation Department
    AMD Agricultural Mechanization Department
    SLRD Settlement and Land Records Department

