Kingdom of Cambodia

# Survey on Rice Husk Power Generation Project in Cambodia (Small and Medium-Sized Enterprise Partnership Promotion Survey)

# Final Report (Summary)

May 2013

Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

EJ Business Partners Co., Ltd. Eight-Japan Engineering Consultants Inc.



#### 1. Project Background and Purpose

#### 1.1 Project Background

Agriculture makes up 32.8% of Cambodia's GDP of approximately 13.2 billion US dollars. Roughly 80% of Cambodia's 14 million people make their living as farmers in the country's agricultural regions. Additionally, 97% of Cambodia's total agricultural land is devoted to rice production, and therefore rice-related industries form an important element in domestic economic development. At the same time, the Cambodian government is striving to activate rice-related industries throughout the country under a national policy of increased rice production and export promotion. However, much of the unhulled rice produced in Cambodia is not milled there, but rather sent directly from domestic farmers to the neighboring countries of Thailand and Vietnam. This situation is an obstructive factor in the execution of the government's policy. Moreover, rice millers in Cambodia must grapple with high power costs that place a serious drag on their businesses. Consequently they continue to have difficulty demonstrating any advantage when procuring unhulled rice in competition with Thailand and Vietnam.

The use of energy from rice husks is looked to as a possible means of alleviating the power cost burden on millers. Among biomass resources, rice husks in particular have the characteristics of uniform qualities and shape that make them highly valuable for use as an energy fuel. However, because introducing and maintaining rice husk power plants requires considerable labor and cost, there are many rice millers who will struggle to adopt the technology on their own. Accordingly, overcoming these problems and promoting the use of rice husk power plants present important challenges for Cambodia.



Figure 1: Electricity tariffs in Cambodia and neighboring countries (US cents/kWh)

Source: Ministry of Industry, Mining and Energy

#### 1.2 Project Purpose

The purpose of this project is to use the BOOT (Build-Own-Operate-Transfer) method to introduce power-generating equipment that uses rice husks discharged from milling plants as fuel in milling business of Cambodia. The project's implementing body, EJ Business Partners Co., Ltd. (hereafter, the Implementing Body) will handle planning, funding, design/construction, and operation of rice husk power plants on behalf of a local rice miller. The Implementing Body will transfer all ownership rights and privileges associated with the rice husk power plants to a targeted rice miller without charge following completion of the BOOT period. Furthermore, in addition to the BOOT contract, the Implementing Body will enter into separate fuel supply agreement (FSA) and power purchase agreement (PPA) with the targeted rice miller. The basic plan of action for the project will be as follows: The targeted rice miller will supply a fixed amount of rice husks discharged from its milling plant to the Implementing Body at a set price, in exchange for which the Implementing Body will supply power generated from the supplied rice husks to the targeted rice miller at a price that is below the current power price.



The basic objective of the project is to make a contribution toward increased domestic rice production and promotion of rice exports and the vitalization of domestic rice millers. This will be achieved by reducing the operational costs of milling plants and thus raising the competitiveness in the procurement of unhulled rice from local farmers with a supply of power that is less expensive than the current power supply to local rice millers. In addition, using the BOOT method will produce benefits for the targeted rice miller. Among them will be the ability to introduce a power plant that uses in-house supplies of rice husks as fuel without the need to outlay expenditure to cover plant introduction, employment of specialized personnel, or maintenance or for time-and labor-consuming operations.

#### **1.3 Project Implementation Strategy**

The power-generation technology to be used in the project will be the boiler-turbine generator (BTG) method. It is a technology that has already been applied in numerous rice-husk power generation projects in other countries and can operate continuously. As for the scale of power generation, the project will set the amount generated at 1,000 kW or more per project case (based on consideration of investment efficiency), and the targeted milling plant that produce more than 100 tons of milled rice per day. In general, the amount of rice husks generated in the milling process is about 22% of the amount of milled rice. If it is considered that the amount of rice husks needed to generate 1,000 kWh is 1.8 tons/hour, a milling plant that produces at least 100 tons of milled rice per day should be able to supply approximately 50% of the rice husks it needs to operate a 1,000 kW power plant continuously for 24 hours a day.







### 2. Survey Outline

#### 2.1 Survey Outline

Name	Survey on Rice Husk Power Generation in Cambodia	
Ordering	Japan International Cooperation Agency	
party		
Accepting	Consortium for the Survey on Rice Husk Power Generation in Cambodia	
party	• EJ Business Partners Co., Ltd. (representative)	
	• Eight-Japan Engineering Consultants Inc. (constituent member)	
Work	September 13, 2012 – May 27, 2013	
period		

#### 2.2 Survey Implementation Strategy

In undertakings involving biomass power generation such as this project, stable procurement of fuel is an important factor. Thus, for this survey, the basic plan of action was to implement a flexible fuel procurement plan that corresponds to actual generated amounts of rice husks. The survey also investigated the environment surrounding Cambodia's rice-milling businesses in order to evaluate the management risk of the targeted plant that will be charged with fuel supply. Moreover, given the need to fully consider the risk of lower power sales prices for the project (i.e., the risk that public power costs in the target regions could fall as a result of the government's policy to develop large-scale power sources and expand the power grid), the survey also studied and arranged data that give a general picture of Cambodia's power sector as well as future development plans.

Survey item		Description
Local	business	System of tax breaks for investment, tax system,
environment		accounting systems, power-related legal system,
		environment-related legal system, rice-milling business
		environment, power business environment, etc.
Project business model		Identification of client, differentiation from competing
		companies, introduced technologies, project site selection
Formulation of	project	Project schedule, basic power plant plan, fuel procurement
plan		plan, power sales plan, incinerated rice husk ash disposal

	plan, operation and maintenance plan, startup cost
	estimates, funding plan, depreciation plan, loan
	repayment plan, forecasted financial statements for the
	project period, project feasibility evaluation
Project risks	Identification of major project risks, assessment of impact
	when risks occur
Environmental and social	Scoping, proposed countermeasures and strategies
consideration	

## 3. Survey Results

#### 3.1 Local Business Environment

## 3.1.1 System of Tax Breaks for Investment

Figure 6: Summary of survey results on the system of tax breaks for investment

Item	Description
Prohibition of investment activity	N/A
Negative list for application of	N/A
investment tax breaks	
Exemption from corporation tax	May be applicable (0% during project period)
Period of exemption from corporate tax	Minimum of 5 years (depending on time of
	occurrence of profit)
Exemption from import duties	May be applicable (0%)
Restriction on foreign investment	N/A (no land ownership)
Investment guarantees	Applicable (freedom of overseas remittance,
	prohibition of price controls, etc.)
Period for acquisition of investment	Minimum of 31 business days from
license	application
	*Need to fully consider risk of delay
Investment license application fee	Approx. 1,800 USD

(applicable conditions for the project)

### 3.1.2 Tax System

Figure 7: Summary of survey results on the tax system (applicable conditions for the

project)

Item	Description
Corporative income tax	Annual rate of 20%
Withholding tax	—
Interest payments (expatriate)	14%
Repatriation of dividends (expatriate)	14%
Service charge payments (expatriate)	14%
Value-added tax	10%
Registration tax (at time of corporate registration)	300 USD
Real estate tax (on real estate valued at 25,000	Annual rate of 0.1%

USD or more, excepting farmland)	
Import duties (equipment, facilities, etc.)	15%

#### 3.1.3 Power-related Legal System

Figure 8: Summary of survey results on the power-related legal system (applicable

Item	Description
Class of power business license	Power Generation
Annual license fee	0.000425 USD/kWh (based on electricity generated)
Power plant performance	Based on general requirements and special
	requirements of power technical standards
	established by the Electricity Law
Period of acquisition of power	Total of 15 months (MIME = 12 months + EAC = 3
business license	months)
Application fee for power	150 USD
business license	

MIME: Ministry of Industry, Mining and Energy

EAC: Electricity Authority of Cambodia

## 3.1.4 Environment-related Legal System

Figure 9: Summary of survey results on the environment-related legal system

(applicable conditions for the project)

Item	Description
Obligation to conduct environmental	None
impact assessment	
Environmental standards	Based on plant effluent quality standard
	• Based on management standards for solid
	waste (rice husk ash)
	• Based on plant exhaust release standards
	and plant noise standards

## 3.1.5 Rice-milling Business Environment

Figure 10: Summary of survey results on the rice-milling business environment

Item	Description
Trends concerning	• In total, there are 15,499 rice-milling businesses existing in
rice-milling	Cambodia (as of November 2012).

businesses	• The number of medium and large rice millers (operating
	expense of 50,000 USD or more) is 145. Thus, almost all rice
	millers in Cambodia are small.
	• The Cambodian government announced a policy to increase
	rice production and promote rice exports in 2010. Since then,
	the number of large rice millers newly entering the business
	has been increasing. (The number of businesses taking
	advantage of new tax breaks for investment was zero in 2010.
	2 in 2011, 6 in 2012.)
Use of rice husks	Currently, domestic rice millers in Cambodia can dispose of
	rice hughe by using them as fuel in inchases rice hugh newer
	rice nusks by using them as fuel in in-house rice nusk power
	plants, selling them to other consumers (brick factories, salt
	factories, etc.), etc.
	• In Cambodia, it is common to see power plants that gasify rice
	husks (Indian technology, manufactured in Cambodia)
	installed in medium and large milling plants. At the present
	time, approximately 80% of medium and large milling plants
	have such power plants.
	• Rice millers that have already installed rice husk gasification
	power plants can cover their fuel needs with rice husks that
	are produced at their own milling plants. This can help them
	reduce their power costs significantly.
	• However, it was confirmed that the rice husk gasification
	power plants that are now commonly used present some
	environmental impact problems in terms of managing rice
	husk ash and cleaning water
	nuok asii anu cleaning water.



Rice husk gasification power plant (reacting furnace)



Rice husk gasification power plant (gas engine)



Ash from a rice husk gasification furnace



Drainage water treatment equipment of a rice husk gasification power plant

### **3.1.6 Power Business Environment**

$\mathbf{F}$			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Figure 11. Summary of su	rvey results on the p	ower business	environment

Item		Description
Summary of	the	· In outlying regions, mainly small-scale generation and
power sector		distribution businesses provide power using low-efficiency
		small-scale generators. Power prices are high as a result
		(suburbs of Phnom Penh = $0.15 - 0.21$ USD/kWh; Kampong
		Thom Province and Kampong Cham Province = as high as
		1.00 USD/kWh).
		· Large-scale power sources and regional grids are being
		planned or under development planning for 2020 at the

	latest. These facilities should help resolve power
	shortages and high power prices in Cambodia.
Trends concerning	$\cdot$ At existing rice milling plants, rice husk gasification power
rice husk power	plants that are based on Indian rice husk gasification
businesses	technology are commonly used for in-house power
	generation. A Cambodian company called SME is well
	known domestically as an existing supplier of these plants.
	• As for rice husk power businesses seeking to sell power to
	the public grid, SOMA has begin building a 1.5-MW rice
	husk power plant (Indian gasification technology and GE
	gas generator) in Kampong Cham Province.
	$\cdot$ The Angkor rice husk power plant (2,000 kW) is a
	large-scale rice husk business that is bringing in steam
	power generation technologies utilizing direct firing of rice
	husks. The entire amount of generated power is supplied
	to an adjacent Angkor milling plant. In addition, sales of
	incinerated rice husk ash as soil improvement material and
	cement material are being studied.



View of the Angkor rice husk power plant



Incinerated rice husk ash packing plant

## 3.2 Project Business Model

	10.	a	0.1	1.	0.1			
Figure	12:	Summary	of the	results	of the	business	model	study
8		~ mining	01 0110	10001100	01 0110	0000000	1110 0101	~~~~~

Item	Description
Identification of	• The following outlines the requirements of the rice milling plant
client, project	to be targeted as a client under the project. These requirements
site selection	are based on information obtained from the local business

	environment survey.
	1. The plant must produce milled rice at a rate of 100 tons/day
	(i.e., the amount capable of supplying approximately 50% of
	the rice husk amount needed for 1-MW power generation) or
	more and have a sufficient supply of excess rice husks
	available.
	2. The plant must not have an in-house power plant that uses
	rice husks as fuel, and must currently be paying high power
	prices (in order to secure power-selling advantage from the
	project).
	3. The milling plant must have at least 2 ha of empty space on
	the company's grounds in order to make new land acquisition
	for the project unnecessary.
	• A comparative study that took the above requirements into
	account was conducted for seven rice milling plants that were
	visited individually during the survey. This study led to the
	selection of Lor Ngor Pheng Rice Mill (hereafter "LNPRM"),
	located in Kampong Cham Province, as the priority client.
Differentiation	• The following outlines differentiators with competitors (i.e., other
from competing	entrants into the rice husk power business) in the project.
companies	1. Use of the BOOT scheme by the project can help the rice miller
	(i.e., the client) avoid the burdens of initial cost, operation
	during design and construction, maintenance, etc.
	2. Providing benefits through introduction of the BOOT scheme
	(e.g., inexpensive power, freedom from maintenance, free
	transfer of plants following contract termination, etc.) will
	create exclusive rice husk trade and secure stable supplies of
	fuel.
	3. The project will meet standards in environment-related laws
	(drainage water quality, atmospheric emissions) and, with
	regard to rice husk ash, employ an incineration management
	method that can produce amorphous silica, which has low
	impact on human health. Thus, the project can reduce the
	risk that the rice miller (the client) will need to take
	environmental measures in the future.
Project	$\cdot$ The following framework will be used in implementing the



## 3.3 Formulation of the Project Plan

Figure 13: Summary of the results of the project plan study

Item	Description
Project schedule	• Commercial operation is to begin in January 2017.
	$\cdot$ Foundation work during the dry season (November to May) is a
	control condition.
Generation	• 1,000 kW (gross), 880 kW (net).
capacity, etc.	$\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Generation capacity will be set based on the yearly amount of rice
	husk that can be realistically anticipated under current conditions
	and the power plant's fuel consumption.
Fuel	• Fuel will be procured at a fixed price of 5 USD/ton from LNPRM,
procurement	which will be the main supplier. Fuel will be procured at a
plan	flexible price of 10 USD/ton x 10%/year (incentive) from another
	rice milling plant near the project site that will serve as the
	sub-supplier.
	• Because the main supplier's portion of the initial procurement
	ratio (main = 77%, sub = $23\%$ ) will be raised by 6.7% each year,
	overall procurement costs will not change, and may actually
	decline.
Power sales	+ 100 kW x 24 hours x 330 days = 792,000 kWh/year will be sold on a
plan	"take or pay" basis to LNPRM at a rate of 0.16 USD/kWh.
	+ 780 kW x 24 hours x 330 days = 6,177,600 kWh/year will be sold on
	a "take and pay" basis to power distribution businesses at a rate of
	0.12 USD/kWh.
Rice husk ash	+ 14,256 tons/year of rice husk fuel x 16% = 2,281 tons of rice husk
disposal plan	ash will be produced.
	$\cdot$ Given that negotiations on terms and conditions for planned sales

	to cement plants in Cambodia will take place based on actually
	produced rice husk ash, the sales figure is set at 0 USD/ton at the
	present time.
Project	• O&M cost of 149,440 USD/year + SPC operation cost of 37,491
operation plan	USD/year = 186,931 USD/year (project operation cost)
EPC estimate	• Placement of an order with a Thai EPC company at an EPC cost of
	4,027,500 USD is anticipated.
	• Selection will be made based on past experience in the field,
	estimate amount, implementation framework, etc.
	• A Japan-made turbine generator will be used.
Funding plan	• Total fund procurement = 4,510,018 USD (includes EPC cost,
	project preparation expenditure, operation expenditure for initial
	year, and interest during construction)
	• Capital stock = 1,489,740 USD (33%), financing = 3,020,277 USD
	(67%)
Financing	Anticipated use of JICA's overseas financing scheme (yen-based
program	15-year payment plan with 2-year grace period at 4% fixed
	interest)
Result of project	• P-IRR=6.26% (11-year recovery)
feasibility	• E-IRR=10.81% (9-year recovery)
calculation	

a)Profit and Loss Statement						Ani	nual fina	ncial pl	an							
	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Project year	Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Operation year	Year	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Sales	USD	0	0	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032
Power sales	USD	0	0	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032	868,032
Ash sales	USD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost of goods sold	USD	0	0	237,330	236,296	231,544	226,528	221,248	220,720	220,720	220,720	220,720	220,720	220,720	220,720	220,720
Fuel cost	USD	0	0	87,890	86,856	82,104	77,088	71,808	71,280	71,280	71,280	71,280	71,280	71,280	71,280	71,280
O&M cost	USD	0	0	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440	149,440
Gross profit on sales	USD	0	0	630,702	631,736	636,488	641,504	646,784	647,312	647,312	647,312	647,312	647,312	647,312	647,312	647,312
Depreciation and amortization	USD	0	0	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375
Project related cost	USD	0	0	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091	18,091
Company administration cost	USD	0	0	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400	19,400
Others	USD	2,250	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Operating profit	USD	-2,250	0	391,836	392,870	397,622	402,638	407,918	408,446	408,446	408,446	408,446	408,446	408,446	408,446	408,446
Interest payment	USD	120,811	120,811	120,811	111,518	102,225	92,932	83,638	74,345	65,052	55,759	46,466	37,173	27,879	18,586	9,293
Withholding tax (interest)	USD	16,914	16,914	16,914	15,613	14,312	13,010	11,709	10,408	9,107	7,806	6,505	5,204	3,903	2,602	1,301
Property tax	USD	0	0	3,826	3,625	3,423	3,222	3,021	2,819	2,618	2,417	2,215	2,014	1,812	1,611	1,410
Income before tax	USD	-139,975	-137,725	250,285	262,114	277,662	293,474	309,550	320,874	331,669	342,464	353,260	364,055	374,852	385,647	396,442
Profit tax	USD	0	0	0	0	0	0	61,910	64,175	66,334	68,493	70,652	72,811	74,970	77,129	79,288
Net income	USD	-139,975	-137,725	250,285	262,114	277,662	293,474	247,640	256,699	265,335	273,971	282,608	291,244	299,882	308,518	317,154
b)Balance Sheet	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2020
Project veer	Voor	1	2010	2017	2010	5	6	7	2022	2023	10	11	12	13	14	15
	Voor	0	0	1	2	3	0	5	6	7	8	0	10	11	14	13
		4 370 043	4 232 318	4 250 273	4 280 058	4 325 391	4 386 536	4 401 847	4 426 218	4 459 224	4 500 866	4 551 145	4 610 060	4 677 612	4 753 801	4 838 626
Fixed asset	USD	4 027 500	4 027 500	3 826 125	3 624 750	3 423 375	3 222 000	3 020 625	2 819 250	2 617 875	2 416 500	2 215 125	2 013 750	1 812 375	1 611 000	1 409 625
Cash	USD	342 543	204 818	424 148	655 308	902 016	1 164 536	1 381 222	1 606 968	1 841 349	2 084 366	2,336,020	2 596 310	2 865 237	3 142 801	3 429 001
Liabilities	USD	4.370.043	4.232.318	4,250,273	4.280.058	4.325.391	4.386.536	4.401.847	4.426.218	4,459,224	4.500.866	4.551.145	4.610.060	4.677.612	4,753,801	4.838.626
Debt	USD	3.020.277	3.020.277	2,787,948	2.555.619	2.323.290	2.090.961	1.858.632	1.626.303	1.393.974	1.161.645	929.316	696,987	464.658	232.329	0
Paid in capital	USD	1.489.740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1,489,740	1,489,740	1.489.740	1,489,740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1.489.740	1,489,740	1.489.740
Retained earnings	USD	-139.975	-277.700	-27.415	234.699	512.361	805.835	1.053.475	1.310.174	1.575.509	1.849.481	2,132,089	2.423.333	2.723.214	3.031.732	3.348.885
c)Cash flow statement		-	,		,	,	,	, ,			, ,		, ,	, ,		, ,
	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Project year	Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Operation year	Year	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
CF from operating activities	USD	-139,975	-137,725	451,660	463,489	479,037	494,849	449,015	458,074	466,710	475,346	483,983	492,619	501,257	509,893	518,529
Income before tax	USD	-139,975	-137,725	250,285	262,114	277,662	293,474	309,550	320,874	331,669	342,464	353,260	364,055	374,852	385,647	396,442
Depreciation and amortization	USD	0	0	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375	201,375
Profit tax	USD	0	0	0	0	0	0	-61,910	-64,175	-66,334	-68,493	-70,652	-72,811	-74,970	-77,129	-79,288
CF from investing activities	USD	-4,027,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Payment to EPC contractor	USD	-4,027,500	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CF from financing activities	USD	4,510,018	0	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329
Debt financing	USD	3,020,277	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Debt repayment	USD	0	0	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329	-232,329
Equity financing	USD	1,489,740	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Free cash		342,543	-137,725	219,331	231,160	246,/08	262,520	210,086	225,/45	234,381	243,017	201,054	260,290	208,928	2//,564	286,200
Accumulated free Cash	030	342,343	204,818	424,148	000,308	902,016	1,104,030	1,301,222	1,000,908	1,041,349	2,004,300	2,330,020	2,090,310	2,000,23/	J, 142,801	J,429,001

#### ROI statement

#### a)Benchmark for Investment

	Value	Remarks
Project-IRR	9%	WACC
Equity-IRR	20%	
Expected return rate of shareholde	20%	
Equity ratio	33%	
Interest	4%	

#### b)Investment Index

	Unit	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Project year	Year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Operation year	Year	0	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Investment costs	USD	-4,510,018	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Project revenues	USD	0	0	572,471	575,007	581,262	587,781	532,653	532,419	531,762	531,105	530,449	529,792	529,136	528,479	527,822
Annual benefits	USD	-4,510,018	0	572,471	575,007	581,262	587,781	532,653	532,419	531,762	531,105	530,449	529,792	529,136	528,479	527,822
Accumulated benefits	USD	-4,510,018	-4,510,018	-3,937,547	-3,362,540	-2,781,278	-2,193,497	-1,660,844	-1,128,424	-596,662	-65,557	464,892	994,684	1,523,820	2,052,298	2,580,120
Project-IRR	%	6.26														
Project-NPV	USD	-720,802														
Project payback period	years	11														
Equity investment costs	USD	-1,489,740	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Equity revenues	USD	0	0	219,331	231,160	246,708	262,520	216,686	225,745	234,381	243,017	251,654	260,290	268,928	277,564	286,200
Annual benefits	USD	-1,489,740	0	219,331	231,160	246,708	262,520	216,686	225,745	234,381	243,017	251,654	260,290	268,928	277,564	286,200
Accumulated benefits	USD	-1,489,740	-1,489,740	-1,270,409	-1,039,249	-792,541	-530,021	-313,335	-87,590	146,791	389,808	641,462	901,752	1,170,680	1,448,243	1,734,443
Equity-IRR	%	10.81														
Equity-NPV	USD	-489,941														
Equity payback period	years	9														

## 3.4 Project Risks

Figure 14: Summary of the results of the project risk study

Item	Description
Rice husk	• Estimation shows that a cash shortage will not occur even if a
procurement risk	70% shortage in the amount of procured rice husk arises and
(Estimation of the	is covered by purchasing husk at 15 USD/ton.
effect of rising rice	• In the above-mentioned case, the break-even point in the
husk procurement	procurement price (i.e., the point where a cash shortage
costs on the project	occurs) is 30 USD/ton.
plan)	
Power sales risk	$\cdot$ Estimation shows that the break-even point for the power
(Estimation of the	sales price (i.e., the point where a cash shortage occurs) is
effect of falling	when the currently planned price of 0.12 USD/kWh falls by
power sales price on	about 30% to 0.08 USD/kWh (below roughly the price level
the project plan)	that currently exists in Thailand).
Exchange risk	• Under the project plan, only loan repayment will be yen-based
(Estimation of the	(other items will be settled in US dollars), and thus risk of
effect of fluctuations	fluctuations in the dollar-yen exchange rate exists.
in the exchange rate	• Estimation shows that the break-even point in exchange rate
on the project plan)	fluctuation (i.e., the point where a cash shortage occurs) is
	when the current rate of 95 yen to 1 dollar rises $30\%$ to $66.5$
	yen to 1 dollar.

### 3.5 Environmental and Social Consideration

Figure 15: Summary of the results of the environmental and social considerations

study	
Measure	Basic pre-implementation principles concerning the natural and
	living environments
Drainage water	· Drainage water generated by the project (drainage from
	equipment cleaning, site cleaning, etc.) will be partially
	recycled, with the remainder appropriately treated to
	regulatory standards and released.
Waste	• Rice husk ash generated by the project will be appropriately
	managed within a limited area by building a storage space
	equipped with anti-scattering equipment.
Atmospheric	• Exhaust gases generated by the project will be passed

emissions	through a dust collector and appropriately treated to
	regulatory standards before their release.
Noise	Installation of soundproof covers and other countermeasures
	will be taken for highly noisy equipment (e.g., fans, turbine
	generator, etc.). Structural anti-vibration countermeasures,
	such as installation of soundproof material and independent
	machine foundations, will be also studied.
	• In planning the facility layout, noise sources will be arranged
	away from the premises' boundaries so as to produce
	adequate distance decay effect.
Labor environment	• The facilities design will take worker health into account.
	As demanded by the work environment, areas will be
	established where workers must wear protective equipment
	(e.g., the ash storage area, etc.). Drainage water and
	exhaust will be sufficiently monitored.

#### 4. Future Issues (Sales Possibilities for Rice Husk Ash)

The project will use 14,256 tons of rice husk per year as fuel for power generation. This amount will produce 2,281 tons of rice husk ash per year (approximately 16%). When the survey examined the degree to which the previously discussed project feasibility would improve if rice husk ash from the rice husk power plant were sold to other companies, it found that, if the sales price were around 75 USD/ton, the project's E-IRR would be 20.04% and capital stock recovery period would be six years.

In Thailand, where many rice husk power plants exist, rice husk ash is commonly sold. When rice husk ash is sold as cement material, the trading price can reach as high as 100 USD/ton. This suggests that receiving a price of 75 USD/ton is realistic. Moreover, when the Angkor rice husk power plant provided a sample of its rice husk ash to a major cement company in Cambodia, the cement company confirmed that the ash has usefulness as cement material. Consequently, the two sides are engaged in discussions to determine specific terms and conditions for ash sales. Looking forward, the survey team will pay close attention to rice husk ash business negotiations undertaken by the Angkor rice husk power plant, and will reevaluate the project's feasibility when the rice husk ash sales price in Cambodia becomes clear.