Annex 2 Schedule of the Evaluation Study

1. Overall schedule

| | Date | | | Mr. Otsuka (Leader) (| Mr. Sonoyama Participatory Development/ Evaluation Planning) | Dr. Shuto (Evaluation & Analysis) | | |
|------|------|-----|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Sep | 30 | Thu | | | | 20:45 Arrive in Colombo | | |
| Oct | 1 | Fri | AM | Meeting at JICA Sri Lanka Office | | | | |
| | | | PM | | terview with Ministry of Local Government & Prov | vincial Councils | | |
| Oct | 2 | Sat | AM PM | | | Move to Hambantota Meeting with SouthCAP Experts | | |
| Oct | 3 | Sun | | | | Documentation | | |
| Oct | 4 | Mon | AM PM | | | Interview with SouthCAP Project Team Meeting with Joint Evaluation Team (JET) Members | | |
| Oct | 5 | Tue | | | | Focus Group Discussion with villagers | | |
| Oct | 6 | Wed | | | | Interview with government officials | | |
| Oct | 7 | Thu | | In | terview with Ministry of Economic Development | Focus Group Discussion with villagers, PIC meeting | | |
| Oct | 8 | Fri | | | | Focus Group Discussion with villagers, PIC meeting | | |
| Oct | 9 | Sat | | | | Report writing | | |
| Oct | 10 | Sun | | | | Report writing | | |
| Oct | 11 | Mon | AM | M | ove to Hambantota | PIC meeting, Interview with government officials | | |
| | | | PM | Si | te Visit/Interview with government officials | | | |
| Oct | 12 | Tue | | M | eeting with JET members on 1st draft JER | | | |
| Oct | 13 | Wed | | Si | te Visit/Interview with government officials | | | |
| Oct | 14 | Thu | | Si | te Visit/Interview with government officials | | | |
| Oct | 15 | Fri | AM | Pr | reparation of 2nd draft JER | | | |
| | | | PM | M | ove to Colombo | Report writing | | |
| Oct. | 16 | Sat | | | | Report writing | | |
| Oct | 17 | Sun | | | | Report writing | | |
| Oct | 18 | Mon | AM | Move to Hambantota | | Preparation for RCC meeting | | |
| | | | PM | Meeting with Sri Lankan evaluation tear | m members on 2nd draft JER | | | |
| Oct | 19 | Tue | AM PM | Preparation for RCC meeting RCC meeting, Move to Colombo | | | | |
| Oct | 20 | Wed | AM PM | Meeting with Ministry of Local Govern Finalising JER, Drafting evaluation repo | Government & Provincial Councils | | | |
| Oct | 21 | Thu | AM PM | JCC Meeting Report to JICA Office | II | | | |
| Oct | 22 | Fri | | | 3- | 01:25 Leave Colombo | | |

2. Schedule for the site visits on 5, 7 and 8 October 2010

Date:2010/10/5

Lunugamwehera DS Division

| Time | Activities | | Sector |
|-------|-------------|---|--|
| 9:30 | PIC meeting | 54 | |
| 11:00 | | Discussions in Padawgama | |
| | site visit | Ruhunu chilli self seed production | agriculture |
| 13:00 | Focus Group | Discussions in Punchiappu jandura | The first transfer to the first transfer transfer to the first transfer transfe |
| | site visit | Construction of Causeway, Lift irrigation project for homestead cultivation | institution/agriculture/ infrastructure |
| | | Passion fruit cultivation under micro irrigation facilities | agriculture |
| 15:30 | Focus Group | Discussions in Bogahawewa | |
| | site visit | Milk cooling house construction & management | institution/agriculture/ infrastructure |
| | | Spine guard cultivation | agriculture |
| | | Food processing | income generation |

Date:2010/10/7

Hambantota DS Division

| Time | Activities | | Sector |
|-------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 9:30 | PIC meeting | | |
| 11:00 | Focus Group | Discussions in Koholankala | |
| | site visit | Construction of elephant fence for HEC mitigation | institution/ infrastructure |
| | | Self seed and commercial seed paddy production | agriculture |
| | l . | Micro-homestead development | agriculture |
| | | Handy craft | income generation |
| 14:00 | | Discussions in Keliyapura | |
| | site visit | Restoration of Kapuwatta tank | institution/ infrastructure |
| | | Cattle shed, vegetable farmer and some cattle producers | agriculture |
| 15:30 | Focus Group | Discussions in Ketanwewa | |
| | site visit | Self seed paddy production | agriculture |

Date:2010/10/8

Sooriyawewa DS Division

| Time | Activities | | Sector |
|------|-------------|---|-------------|
| 9:30 | Focus Group | Discussions in Weeriyagama | |
| | site visit | Lift irrigation project and fruit cultivation | agriculture |
| | | Mushroom production | agriculture |
| | | Commercial seed paddy production | agriculture |

| | I |
|---|---|
| ۲ | _ |
| ٦ | 7 |
| | l |

| | | Sewing | income generation |
|-------|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| 13:30 | PIC meeting | | |
| 15:30 | Focus Group | Discussions in Weliwewa | |
| | site visit | Rehabilitation and improvement of Mailaththa Tank | institution/ infrastructure |
| | | Improvement of Karamatiya road | institution/ infrastructure |
| | | Cattle producer group | agriculture |
| | | Passion fruit and pomegranate cultivation | agriculture |
| | | Food processing | income generation |

Annex 3 Evaluation Grid

Lit= Literature review, Q= Questionnaire, Int=Interview, FGD= focus group discussion Ob= Observation

| Criteria | Evaluati | ion questions | Criteria and | Required data | Information source | Data |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|---|------------------|
| | Question | Sub-question | method for judgment | | | collection |
| Relevance | Consistency with development policies of Sri Lanka | Are the overall goal and project purpose aligned with the national and/or regional development policies? Are the national and/or regional policies prioritizing rural livelihood promotion? | Development plans, priority areas | National and regional development policies | Sri Lanka's development policies including "The Economic Policy Framework", "Regaining Sri Lanka"," New Development Strategy", JICA Sri Lankan Office, experts, CP | Lit, Int |
| | Consistency with Japan's foreign aid policy for Sri Lanka | Is the project consistent with Japan's aid policy? | Japan's aid policy at present and at the time of project inception | Japan's aid policies for Sri Lanka | Japan's aid policies (Country Assistance Program, Rolling Plan, etc.), JICA, Japanese Embassy, experts | Lit, Int |
| | Appropriateness of the target group setting | Is the selection of the target groups (Villagers or Local CBO members and field level officers of various governmental organisations) appropriate? | Target groups' size and characteristics | Ex-ante evaluation, mid-term review, JCC minutes, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | Ex-ante evaluation, mid-term review, JCC minutes, reports by experts, target groups, experts and CP | Lit. Int, FGD |
| | Congruency with the needs of the target group | Is the Project catering the needs of the target groups? | The extent of the project's matching needs | Existing study results such as questionnaires, needs analysis, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, experts, CP | Lit. Q, Int, FGD |
| E | Japan's technological advantage | Is Japan's technological advantage well | Comparison with similar services in Sri Lanka | Training materials, questionnaire study results (on target groups), opinions | Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, | Lit. Q, Int, FGD |

| Criteria | Evaluati | on questions | Criteria and | Required data | Information source | Data |
|-----------------|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------|
| - Carlo Control | Question | Sub-question | method for judgment | F: | | collection |
| | | reflected in the services provided by the Project? | = | of the experts and CP | experts, CP | |
| Effectiveness | Appropriateness of setting the project purpose, objectively verifiable indicators, numerical targets, means of verification | Are the project purpose, indicators, and means of verification appropriate? | Logical relations between the project activities and outputs, quality of data obtained from the means of verification | PDM, PO, indicators, opinions of the experts and CP | PDM, PO, indicators, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int |
| | Progress of the Project | Is the Project implemented as scheduled? | Comparison between PO and actual project activities | Project documents, opinions of the experts, CP and target groups | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP, site visit | Lit, Q, Int, FGD, Ob |
| | Achievement of the project purpose | More than 50% of the suggested activities in the formulated CAPs are implemented by the project or other development agencies (GOs and NGOs) | Comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int, |
| 8 6 | | More than 50% of PIC and CBOs members recognise the usefulness of CAPs, as comprehensive development plans | Comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int, FGD |
| | | More than 5 target GN Divisions receive external supports to implement identified individual projects | comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int |

| Criteria | Evaluat | ion questions | Criteria and | Required data | Information source | Data |
|------------|---|--|---|---|--|------------------|
| | Question | Sub-question | method for judgment | 8 | | collection |
| | | 25% of households income is increased in 8 target GN divisions | comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC_ minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int, FGD |
| | Project management system | Are the systems of project monitoring, decision-making, communication within the project members appropriate? | Confirmation of project monitoring activities | Project documents, JCC minutes, opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, experts, CP | Lit, Int |
| | Promoting and hindering factors to the achievement of the project purpose | What are the promoting factors to the achievement of the project purpose? | Influence of the promoting factors on the achievement of the project purpose | Data on project activities (CP's policy directions, project's operation, decision-making), opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGD |
| | | What are the hindering factors to the achievement of the project purpose? | Influence of the hindering factors on the achievement of the project purpose | Data on project activities (CP's policy directions, staff turnover rates, project's finances), opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGD |
| | The influence of external factors (important assumptions) on the achievement of the project purpose | How are the external factors influencing the achievement of the project purpose? How is the project coping with the factors? | The project's reaction to the external factors | Information on external factors (Davao industry cluster plans, SME promotion policies), pinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int |
| Efficiency | Appropriateness of inputs | Inputs from Japan: Japanese experts | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Expertise, numbers of experts, dispatch duration, capacity | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGD |

| Criteria | Evalua | tion questions | Criteria and | Required data | Information source | Data |
|----------|--|---|--|--|--|------------------------|
| | Question | Sub-question | method for judgment | Coc of States of the Asset | | collection |
| | | Inputs from Japan: Equipment / machinery | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | specifications, quantity, units, value, purpose | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, indicators, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, Ob |
| | , e) | Inputs from Japan: Training in Japan and the third countries | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Trainees' affiliations, number of trainees, training periods, contents of the training | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, target groups, trainees, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGI |
| | | Inputs from Japan: Operational cost | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Budget, expenses | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int |
| | | Inputs from Sri Lanka: Assignment of counterpart personnel | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Number of staff, capacity | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, target groups, trainees, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGI |
| | | Inputs from Sri Lanka: Office, work space and relevant facilities | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Conditions of the facility, opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, target groups, trainees, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, Ob FGD |
| | | Inputs from Sri Lanka: Operational cost | Quantity, quality, and timing of the inputs in comparison with the plan | Budget, expenses | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int |
| | The level of outputs in relation to the inputs | Are the inputs producing sufficient levels of outputs? | Confirmation of inputs and outputs | Achievement of targets, opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, records of inputs, indicators, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int, FGD |

| Criteria | Evaluati | on questions | Criteria and | Required data | Information source | Data |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|
| | Question | Sub-question | method for judgment | | | collection |
| | Collaboration with other ODA projects | Is there collaboration with other ODA projects? | Confirmation of collaborations established and/ or collaboration possibilities | Information on other projects | Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Int, FGD |
| | Promoting and/or hindering factors to efficiency | What are the promoting factors to efficiency? | Influence of the promoting factors on efficiency | Data on project activities, _ a_ opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGE |
| | | What are the hindering factors to efficiency? | Influence of the hindering factors on efficiency | Data on project activities, opinions of the experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGD |
| Impact | Prospect of achieving the overall goal | Proportion of households whose income increased in GN divisions formulated CAPs in Hambantota district. | comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the experts and CP | Project documents, target groups | Lit, Int, FGD |
| | | Number of GN Division who formulate CAP in Hambantota district. | comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the experts and CP | Project documents, target groups | Lit, Int |
| | | Proportion of CCS projects formulated and implemented from formulated CAPs. | comparison with the indicator | Indicators, opinions of the experts and CP | Project documents, target groups | Lit, Int |
| | Unintended effects | Are there unintended effects (both positive and negative) the project has brought about? | Confirmation of unintended effects | Opinions of the target groups, experts and CP | R/D, Project documents, reports by experts, JCC minutes, target groups, experts, CP | Lit, Q, Int, FGD |