JOINT EVALUATION REPORT (TERMINAL EVALUATION)

THE PROJECT ON RURAL LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT IN HAMBANTOTA DISTRICT (SouthCAP)

Colombo, 19 October 2010

不多。

Mr. Takuya Otsuka

Team Leader (Japanese side)

Senior Representative

Japan International Cooperation Agency

Sri Lanka Office

Ms. V.N Preethika Kumudunie

Team Leader (Sri Lanka side)

Deputy Chief Secretary (Planning),

Southern Province Planning Secretariat

Sri Lanka

EVALUATION REPORT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of tal	List of tables		
Acronyms			
1. Outline of the Project			
1.1.	Background of the Project	5	
1.2.	Summary of the Project	5	
2. Evalu	uation framework	8	
2.1.	Objective and approach of evaluation	8	
2.2.	Members of the evaluation team	9	
2.3.	Schedule of the evaluation	9	
2.4.	Methods of the evaluation	9	
2.4.	.1. Data collection	9	
2.4.2	.2. Data analysis	11	
2.5.	Limits and constraints of the evaluation	11	
3. Achie	evement of the project	12	
3.1.	Achievement of the inputs	12	
3.1.	.1. Inputs from Japan	12	
3.	3.1.1.1. Dispatch of Japanese experts	12	
3.	3.1.1.2. Training in Japan and third countries	12	
3.	3.1.1.3. Provision of equipment	13	
3.	3.1.1.4. Expenses provided by Japan	14	
3.1.	.2. Inputs from Sri Lanka	15	
3.	3.1.2.1. Assignment of counterpart personnel	15	
3.	3.1.2.2. Facilities provided by Sri Lanka	16	
3.	3.1.2.3. Expenses provided by Sri Lanka	16	
3.2.	Achievement of the activities	17	
3.2.	.1. Activities for Output 1	17	
3.2.	.2. Activities for Output 2	18	
3.2.	.3. Activities for Output 3	20	
3.2.	.4. Activities for Output 4	22	
3.2.	Other activities for data collection, publicity and coordination	23	
3.3.	Achievement of the outputs	24	
2.2	1 Output 1	0.4	

3.3	3.2. Output 2	25
3.3	3.3. Output 3	29
3.3	.4. Output 4	36
3.4.	Achievement of the project purpose	38
3.5.	Prospect of the achievement of the overall goal	41
3.6.	Issues concerning project implementation and management	43
3.7.	Hindering and promoting factors to the Project	43
3.7	7.1. Hindering factors	43
3.7	7.2. Promoting factors	45
4. Evaluation results .4.		47
4.1.	Relevance	47
4.2.	Effectiveness	49
4.3.	Efficiency	52
4.4.	Impact	53
4.5.	Sustainability	55
5. Conc	clusions	58
6. Reco	ommendations	58
7. Less	sons learned	60
Annex 1	1 Project Design Matrix (PDM)	62
Annex 2	2 Schedule of the Evaluation Study	66
Annex 3	3 Evaluation Grid	69
Annex 4	4 Evaluation Results based on the Evaluation Grid	76
Annex 5	5 Answers to the Questionnaire	81
Annex 6	6 List of the Interviewees in the Key Person Interviews	97
Annex 7	7 List of Equipment Provided for the Project	100
Annex 8	8 Plan of Operation (PO) – planned and actual	102

List of tables

Table 1 Data collection method and source of information	10
Table 2 Dispatch of Japanese experts	12
Table 3 Training in Japan and third countries	13
Table 4 Expenses for project operation	14
Table 5 Types of expertise/ positions of national staff	14
Table 6 Positions, organisations and assignment periods of the counterpart personnel	15
Table 7 Expenses provided by SPC	16
Table 8 Number of JCC, RCC and PIC meetings held	19
Table 9 Examples of the projects in CAP funded by the government	20
Table 10 Training on construction and management of infrastructure works	21
Table 11 Number of construction works implemented	21
Table 12 Training on operation and maintenance of infrastructure works	22
Table 13 Workshops on rural livelihood improvement	22
Table 14 Technical guidance given to the beneficiaries	23
Table 16 Achievement of Output 1	24
Table 17 Numbers of villagers and officials trained for preparation of CAP	
Table 18 Achievement of Output 2	26
Table 19 Numbers of PIC meetings held at each DS Division	26
Table 20 Numbers of participants of PIC meetings at Hambantota	27
Table 21 Numbers of participants of PIC meetings at Sooriyawewa	27
Table 22 Numbers of participants of PIC meetings at Lunugamwehera	28
Table 23 Achievement of Output 3	29
Table 24 Percentages of participants of expected villagers in construction-related training	30
Table 25 Summary of the selected small-scale infrastructure activities in 2008	30
Table 26 Summary of the selected small-scale infrastructure activities in 2009	31
Table 27 Summary of the selected small-scale infrastructure activities in 2010	33
Table 28 Date of certificate of completion issued for the infrastructure work	33
Table 29 Contract amounts and actual amounts for community contracted projects	34
Table 30 Achievement of Output 4	36
Table 31 Numbers and types of CBO's meetings held	37
Table 32 Achievement of the project purpose	39
Table 33 Numbers of CAPs implemented	
Table 34 Prospect of the achievement of the overall goal	41

APM

ARPA Agriculture Research and Production Assistant ASC Agrarian Service Centre CAP Community Action Plan/ Planning CBO Community-based Organisation CCS Community Contract System CP Counterpart Personnel DAC Development Assistance Committee DS Divisional Secretary **FGD** Focus group discussion FY Fiscal year GA Government Agent GN Grama Niladhari* GO Government Organisation HEC Human Elephant Conflict **HPPC** Hambantota Product Promotion Committee JCC Joint Coordination Committee JICA Japan International Cooperation Agency **JIRCAS** Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Science **JOCV** Japan Overseas Cooperation Volunteer MoLGPC Ministry of Local Government and Provincial Councils NGO Non-governmental organisation

Assistant Project Manager

NGO Non-governmental organisation
O&M Operation and Maintenance
ODA Official Development Assistance
OJT On-the-job training

OJT On-the-job training
OVOP One Village One Product
PDM Project Design Matrix

PIC Project Implementation Committee
PMM Project Monitoring Meeting

PO Plan of Operation
R/D Record of Discussions

RCC Regional Coordination Committee
SPC Southern Provincial Council

TrinCAP Agricultural and Rural Development for Rehabilitation and

Reconstruction Through Community Approach in

Trincomalee

VCC Village Coordination Committee
VDP Village Development Plan

^{*} The smallest administrative unit of Sri Lanka, or Head of Grama Niladhari Division

1. Outline of the Project

1.1. Background of the Project

Hambantota District is the largest district in the Southern Province, with the area of 2,609 km². It is divided into 12 DS divisions, which are divided further into 576 Grama Niladhari (GN) Divisions. Major sources of income for the population are agriculture, including paddy rice and field crop production, animal husbandry and fishery. However, agriculture production is not satisfactorily contributing to local economy due to multiple factors: small amount of rainfall; water shortage, low productivity; lack of infrastructure; instability of market prices; unfair profit making activities of middlemen and so forth.

It is generally understood that there have been a number of interventions for development both by national and international development actors in Southern Province. However, the results are not considered as good as they were expected. The thought-less development plans, no-sensitivity to sustainability and lack of coordination among development efforts are identified as common problems, contributing to unsatisfactory effects from these interventions.

A participatory rural development approach, so called "CAP approach" featuring Community Action Planning (CAP) and Community Contract System (CCS) was first developed and introduced by the government of Sri Lanka in the 1980s. CCS has been adopted in many development projects especially for the rehabilitation and reconstruction of the North and East, yet rarely for rural development in Southern Province. In this context, the Project on Rural Livelihood Improvement in Hambantota District (SouthCAP) (hereinafter referred to as "Project") was designed to strengthen the existing rural development system through the effective use of CAP and CCS, thereby contributing to livelihood improvement in the target area.

1.2. Summary of the Project

Project title

The Project on Rural Livelihood Improvement in Hambantota District (SouthCAP)

Executing Agency

Ministry of Local Government and Provincial Councils

Implementing Agencies

1) Southern Provincial Council (SPC)

¹ CAP (Community Action Planning) is a locally initiated, participatory and locally directed planning process, through which community people are encouraged to identify problems, plan, monitor and evaluate their own activities. CCS (Community Contract System) is a mechanism whereby grassroots communities are awarded construction contacts for the development of small-scale infrastructure of the communities. "CAP Approach" in the Project is defined as the participatory process of planning and management of rural development, utilizing methods of CAP as planning and management tool and CCS as a means to implement small-scale infrastructure project.

- 2) Hambantota District Secretariat's Office
- 3) Divisional Secretariat's Office
- 4) Relevant Departments and Institutions

Project Duration

From 19 March 2007 to 18 March 2011 (four years)

Project Area

8 GN Divisions in Hambantota, Sooriyawewa, and Lunugamwehera DS Divisions

Target Group

- The front-line government officials/ institutions, to be directly trained, supported, supervised by the Project
- 2) The target communities, to be directly/ indirectly benefited from CAP formulation and implementation

Overall goal

Income and livelihood of the people in areas within Hambantota and Southern Province will be improved by introducing the community approach programme for participatory rural development, which is acknowledged by stakeholders through the project.

Project Purpose

The existing system and structure for the participatory rural development will be functioning through effective use of institutions and practices (e.g. Community Action Planning and Community Contract System), aiming at improving income and livelihood of the people in the project area.

Output

- Community Action Plans (CAPs) will be prepared in selected villages, then individual projects will be identified.
- 2. Divisional Coordination Committees will be functioning for implementation of CAPs.
- Villager's (CBO's) skills for construction / maintenance works of rural infrastructure are developed through implementation of identified project(s).
- Villager's (CBO's) capacity to implement and manage productive and reproductive activities is enhanced.

Activities

- 1-1. Villagers and field level officials of related governmental organisations will learn the approach and skills that is essential for preparing CAP.
- 1-2. Villagers' meeting will be held, with assistance of field level officials in selected GN Villages, then CAP will be formulated in each village.
- 1-3. Individual activities will be identified based on CAPs.
- 2-1. Functions of the Divisional Coordination Committees will be confirmed and recognized by development stakeholders.
- 2-2. Villagers become aware of existence and functions of coordination committees.
- 2-3. Coordination Committee meetings will be held.
- 2-4. Outline of CAP will be explained at coordination committees and individual projects to be assisted under this cooperation will be identified.
- 2-5. Government officials will indicate candidate projects for governmental assistance.
- 3-1. CBOs will receive the training in construction and management of infrastructure works.
- 3-2. CBOs will implement infrastructure works.
- 3-3. Villagers will receive training(s) in Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure.
- 4-1. Project will hold workshop(s) for the beneficiaries to be educated on better reproductive practices, in cooperation with non-governmental organisations (NGOs) if necessary.
- 4-2. Project will give technical guidance to the beneficiaries on productive and reproductive activities.