5 OUTLINE OF REVISED TRANSPORT MASTER PLAN BY JUTPI

1) Overview

In the JUTPI Project, the Comprehensive Transport Master Plan for JABODETABEK was revised based on the SITRAMP Study Master Plan taking into account of the updated urban transport demand and the central and local government plans such as PTM and RTRW. The revised plan was submitted to the Indonesian government in 2011 and being evaluated for the approval of the President. This revised plan is the basis of the planning for road-based public transport system in JAPTraPIS. In this chapter, the outline of the revised transport master plan by the JUTPI Project is outlined.

In the revision of the transport master plan, the following major issues are examined:

- Evaluation of Progress of the SITRAMP Study Master Plan
- Socio Economic Changes Between 2002 and 2010
- Future Perspectives and Travel Demand

2) Development Goals and Strategies

The JUTPI Project, after analysis of socio-economic changes from 2002-2010 trends, supports the goals set in previous Transport Master Plans which are outlined as:

- (1) Efficiency in transport system to support economic activities citing the economic loss caused by congestion and improvements to efficiency through managing supply and demand factors
- (2) Equity in transport to all members in society this relates specifically to provide affordable mobility options to vulnerable sections of society.
- (3) Environmental betterment related to transport specifically air pollution and noise are the environmental factor to be considered.
- (4) **Transportation safety and security** specifically raises minimizing accidents of road and rail transport.

Strategies for the development of regional trunk transportation system are also defined as follows:

- Development of Primary Transport System to Support Inter-regional Cargo and Passenger Transport Demand
- East-West Strategic Transport Corridor Development
- Strengthening Accessibility between Urban Centers in Jabodetabek

The JUTPI Project proposes four key urban transport policies and strategies to support the previously outline goals, these policies and strategies are the basis of the Master Plan.

3) Urban Transport Development Scenarios

The revised plan nominates three urban transportation system development scenarios to evaluate their efficiency and appropriateness. These include:

(1) Intensive Highway Network Development Scenario - includes six inner toll roads and non-toll elevated roads with an alternative for intra-urban tollway is East West link between Jakarta Merak Toll Road at Tomang and on the Jakarta Intraurban tollway N-S Link at Cempak Putih.

- (2) Intensive Public Transportation System Development Scenario includes all the proposed rail-based public transportation system including three East West MRT system, with the Monorail Green Line included with its extension to Ragnan Zoo.
- (3) Intensive Highway and Public Transportation Development Scenario which accelerates both highway network development and public transportation system development, and where they overlap are built as an integrated elevated road and an underground MRT, citing a Tokyo example.

4) Future Public Transport Demand

(1) Assumption for Travel Demand Forecast

The future population framework by region was set as shown in Table 5.1. The residential area would spread to outside of DKI Jakarta and work place locations would also spread out, but the highest density would remain in the CBD area.

| Year | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| DKI Jakarta | 9,588 | 9,883 | 10,066 | 10,161 | 10,263 |
| Bogor | 7,484 | 7,983 | 8,432 | 8,828 | 9,247 |
| Tangerang | 5,940 | 6,478 | 6,946 | 7,382 | 7,851 |
| Bekasi | 5,021 | 5,356 | 5,657 | 5,923 | 6,204 |
| BODETABEK | 18,444 | 19,817 | 21,036 | 22,132 | 23,301 |
| JABODETABEK | 28,033 | 29,701 | 31,102 | 32,294 | 33,564 |

Table 5.1 Future Population Framework by Region

Source: Population Census and JUTPI Estimate

Table 5.2 shows the projected GRDP and per capita GRDP in JABODETABEK. JUTPI assumed that GRDP growth rate of JABODETABEK is 5% from 2008 considering the recent trend of GRDP.

| Year | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 | 2030 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| GRDP (bill. Rupiah) | 419,611 | 548,232 | 699,698 | 893,011 | 1,139,734 | 1,454,621 |
| Per Capita GRDP (mil. Rupiah) | 17.7 | 21.7 | 26.1 | 31.9 | 39.2 | 48.2 |

Table 5.2 Projected GRDP and Per Capita GRDP

Source: JUTPI

(2) Demand Forecasting Methodology

JUTPI used conventional 4-step method to develop demand forecasting model for JABODETABEK. JUTPI model has its root from SITRAMP model. Since the 2004 SITRAMP study, there has been a considerable number of changes in trip making and trip pattern in the study area. To capture these changes, JUTPI conducted several surveys such as commuter survey 2010 and person tracking survey 2010 based on which a comprehensive JUTPI model is developed using state-of-the-art software CUBE.

(3) Travel Demand Forecast

Trip Production and Attraction: Figure 5.1 shows total trip production/attraction forecasted for years 2020 and 2030 and that of year 2010.



Figure 5.1 Present and FutureTrips in JABODETABEK

Trip Distribution: Trip OD matrices of JABODETABEK for the base year 2010 and forecast years 2020 and 2030 are developed.

Modal Choice: The modal share for each of the analysis case (scenario) in the study area is estimated. The trips by non-motorized modes are excluded. The modal share for the Case 0 (Do Nothing Scenario) and Case 2 (Highway Moderate and Public Transport Intensive Development Scenario) is show in Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3, respectively. In JAPTraPIS, estimated demand of Case 2 was used for the planning of road-based public transport master plan. The modal share of public transport increases from 27% in 2010 to 34% in 2020.



Figure 5.2 Modal Choice for Case 0 (Do Nothing)

Source: JUTPI

Figure 5.3 Modal Choice for Case 2 (Highway Moderate and Public Transport Intensive)

| | 0% | 20% | 40% | 60 | % 80 | 100% |
|------|-----|----------|---------|--------|------------|-----------|
| 2010 | 20% | | 53 | 3% | | /27%//// |
| 2020 | 24% | 6 | 429 | % | | 34% |
| 2030 | 25% | % | 36% | , o | 39 | 9%/////// |
| | Ca | ar | Motorcy | cle | Ø Public ⊺ | Fransport |

Source: JUTPI

5) Revised Urban Transport Master Plan

(1) Key Project Components

The revised master plan lists a total of 120 projects. It covers entire area of urban transport system categorized as follows:

- Road Network Development
- Improvement of Traffic Control System and Demand Management
- Bus Transport System and Interchange Facility Development
- Railway System Development
- Access to International and Inter-regional Transportation
- · Improvement of Transport Safety and Security
- Environment Betterment
- Measure in Urban Planning
- Institutional Setup and Reform
- Financial Arrangement

(2) Revised Urban Transport Network

The revised master plan proposed backbone network of urban transport system up to target year of 2020 (refer to Figure 5.4 and Figure 5.5). A number of ring roads and radial roads, inner toll roads, non-toll elevated roads, road widening and access roads to station also were proposed in the master plan. As for public transportation network, a number of busway developments, MRT, airport access and monorail were proposed.



Figure 5.4 2020 Road Network by JUTPI Revised Master Plan

Source: JUTPI Revised Master Plan



Figure 5.5 2020 Public Transport Network by JUTPI Revised Master Plan

Source: JUTPI Revised Master Plan

6 DEVELOPMENT GOALS AND STRATEGIES

1) Core Issues

In order to deliver a relevant and appropriate Master Plan and associated recommendations the study identified core issues facing the study area including:

- The challenges of traffic congestion and decreasing mobility
- A transport network approach
- Public transport sustainability
- Specific issues of TransJakarta: including i) performance of the busway system, ii) management and customer service delivery, iii) system speed and iv) system control.
- Development of a city transport system

These included assessment and analysis of the issues to be able to develop a strategic planning framework (how to approach the project planning).

2) Goals and Supporting Strategies for Urban Mobility

A Strategic Planning Framework must 1) identify goals supported by objectives that are sufficiently tangible and realistic to enable all stakeholders to understand clearly what needs to be achieved; and 2) develop strategies and actions and to be able to declare success when goals are reached.

This JAPTraPIS study makes a preliminary list of goals and objectives as follows:

- (1) To make JABODETABEK a prosperous and livable city
- (2) To create a highly efficient transport network
- (3) To reduce car use through supply and demand measures

(4) To create efficient urban transport systems: including i) efficiency in infrastructure, ii) efficiency in transport management and operation, and iii) efficiency delivers sustainability and equity.

(5) To improve system management supported by a sustainable business model

3) Project Approach

Based on the assessment and analysis of the core issues, the strategic project approach was developed describing the areas of project work and outcomes. It was evident that a comprehensive approach was needed, encompassing planning and infrastructure, systems and operations design and effective business and management frameworks. A number of approaches were evaluated and tested which informed the final plan.

Clearly the evaluation showed that the planned MRT is a vital part of the future network but on its own would not deliver the wide network necessary to provide alternative public transport options. Consequently, how to make BRT a mass transit network became a major thrust of the project work.

The project approach was defined to develop the following component:

- BRT Improvement Program
- Jabodetabek Integrated BRT Network up to 2020

- Operational Design Scenarios
- The Business Model and Management Framework
- Institutional Development: developing an effective and sustainable business model and management framework
- Short-term projects

4) BRT Operational Design Standards

The elements of what is need to improve and develop BRT as a mass transit mode including planning failures and common misconceptions was determined. A set of planning principles was identified to guide the planning and design process. The main design principles and elements for a BRT were identified and discussed, including:

- · Shelter capacity and performance
- Traffic priority management options and intersection design
- · Busway design and accommodating BRT on standard road lane layouts
- BRT stations and passenger infrastructure
- Control Centre and its operation
- Fare collection and E-ticketing
- System branding and image
- Bus fleet design
- Bus vehicle emission and fuel propulsion systems





7 INTEGRATED PUBLIC TRANSPORT NETWORK AND SERVICES

1) Network and Service Design

In order to offer seamless travel for passengers and deliver a high level of access, coverage and connectivity, specific service types forming future integrated network including bus types and supporting mobility are determined as follows:

Type 1 - Median BRT: Full BRT along exclusive bus lanes along the road median

Type 2 - Modified BRT: Full BRT but along service roads or curbside lanes, where a median design is not possible (e.g. Corridor 9)

Intermediate bus route: integrated with full BRT lines and operate on standard roadways with priority. They act as feeders to the BRT and also provide cross suburb services (fare integrated)

Area-wide bus route: Line-haul routes may run parallel but offer different service (non-fare integrated)

Neighborhood area service: Short distance feeder services (neighborhood services) operating smaller buses to either the BRT or to the Intermediate bus priority routes (not fare integrated)

Para-transit services: as part of the local neighborhood services to offer feeder services to the trunk route under a local area arrangement

Supporting mobility networks/facilities: Park & Ride facility, integrated/multimodal terminal, safe cycling, walking access and access for disabled and special needs

2) Proposed 2020 BRT Network

A corridor analysis was made to determine suitability and placement of major routes and what service type applied. BRT offers a strong trunk/ feeder type design which is easy for passengers to understand, but because a network serves more than just trunk and feeder, cross suburb travel needs to be catered for. Intermediate bus priority routes that travel cross suburb (perpendicular to BRT) were designed to both feed the BRT and provide O-D links in their own right.

As a planning tool an O-D matrix was developed for every O-D pair with the aim of designing routes to reduce physical transfers. The design of routes is based specifically on reducing unnecessary transfers, and creating more direct travel; fleet efficiency, where multiple routes are designed to travel on more high demand sectors, and area coverage so that the network provide the necessary access to a wide area of the study area.

The proposed 2020 BRT network design is presented in Table 7.1 and Figure 7.1.

| | No. of routes | Route km | Corridor km |
|--------------------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| Full BRT route | 30 | 683 | 429 |
| Intermediate route | 15 | 193 | 188 |
| | | | 1 |

Table 7.1 Proposed 2020 BRT Network

Source: JAPTraPIS





Source: JAPTraPIS

3) Future Traffic Demand on 2020 Network

Figure 7.2 shows the result of traffic demand forecast and assignment on the proposed 2020 public transport network. The proposed BRT network will transport about daily 2.7 million passengers (2.3 million for full BRT and 0.4 million for intermediate route).



Figure 7.2 Traffic Demand and Traffic Volume on 2020 Public Transport Network

Source: JAPTraPIS

Traffic Performance of 2020 Master Plan Network: Traffic demand of the Jabodetabek Area will increase from 66 million trips in 2010 to 74 million trips in 2020. If there is no improvement of urban transport network and services by 2020 (Do-Nothing Case), the modal share of public transport will decrease and traffic situations will be aggravated. However, in the case transport network and services of the proposed master plan is implemented properly, modal share of public transport will increased to 34% by 2020 and traffic situation will be improved.

| | Indiaatora | 2010 | 2020 | 2020 |
|--------------|------------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| indicators | | (Existing) | (Do Nothing) | (Master Plan) |
| Traffic Dema | and (trips) | 66 mil. | 74 mil. | 74 mil. |
| Modal | Car | 20% | 28% | 24% |
| Share | M/C | 53% | 50% | 42% |
| Share | Public Transport | 27% | 22% | 34% |
| Traffic | PCU-km | 150 mil. | 210 mil. | 179 mil. |
| Load | PCU-hour | 10 mil. | 27 mil. | 15 mil. |
| Travel | V/C (daily) | 0.85 | 1.15 | 0.88 |
| Feature | Travel Speed | 23.6 kph | 15.2 kph | 24.0 kph |
| Public | Pax-km/trip | 9.3 km | 9.2 km | 9.2 km |
| Transport | Pax-hour/trip | 0.41 hr | 0.45 hr | 0.40 hr |

Table 7.2 Traffic Performance of Master Plan Network

Source: JAPTraPIS

4) Prioritization of BRT Network Development

The priority evaluation highlighted projects that provide early and significant impact particularly in being implementable, realistic and makes a significant addition to the network (the benefit of each project addition having an effect greater than itself).

As a result of examination, route implementation schedule was identified as shown in Table 7.3 to Table 7.5 by phase.

| Existing Corridor No. | New Route No. | Route | Description | Comment |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1 | Kota – Blok M | Implement as route 1 | On-going Service Improvement Project and Infrastructure Upgrading |
| 2 3 | 2a | Pulo Gadung – Kalideres via Harmoni | Combined route 2&3 operating East –West | Can be achieved quickly with minimal changes and reduces transfers at Harmoni |
| 4 | 3 | Pulo Gadung – Blok M via Dukuh Atas | Former corridor 4 extended to Blok M as route 3 | Reduces transfers at Dukuh Atas and more direct travel |
| 5 7 | 4 | Kp. Rambutan – Ancol via Kp. Melayu | Combined corridor 5&7 operating as new route 4 | Eliminates the compulsory transfers at Kp. Melayu |
| 6 | 5 | Ragunun – Ancol via Dukuh Atas | Former corridor 6 extended to Kota/Ancol as Route 5 | Reduces transfers at Dukuh Atas and more direct travel to Kota/Ancol |
| 8 | 14 | Lebak Bulus – Bank Ind. Via Grogol | Former corridor 8 | Change of corridor number to route number |
| 9 | 6 | Pluit – Pinang Ranti | Former corridor 9 | Change of corridor number to route number |
| 10 | 7 | Tj. Priok – Cililitan | Former corridor 10 | Change of corridor number to route number |
| 11 | 11 | Pulo Gebang – Dukuh Atas | Extend route to Dukuh Atas | Extend from Kp Melayu to Dukuh Atas with more direct connection opportunities |
| | 16a | Kota – Pulo Gadung | New BRT route | Becomes Route 16 later when extended to Harapan Indah |
| | 2b | Kalideres – Tangerang | New Intermediate route | Commences as intermediate bus but later a |

 Table 7.3
 BRT Route Implementation Schedule (2012-2013)

| | City Mall via Poris Plawad | | full BRT as route 2 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| 25 | Kalideres – Blok M | New BRT route | Operates via route 6 alongside Tol Jen Gatot Subrato |
| 26a | Bekasi Bus Terminal – Pulo Gadung | New Intermediate route | Commences as intermediate bus but later a full BRT as route 26 |

Source: JAPTraPIS

| Table 7.4 | BRT Route Implementation Schedule | (2013-2014) |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------|

| Route Number | Route | Description |
|--------------|--|--|
| 12 | Pluit – Tj Priok | New full BRT route |
| 40 | Pluit – Teluknaga | |
| 44 | Cinere - Blok M | |
| 45 | Tambora – Gaya Motor | New Intermediate routes |
| 46 | Gaya Motor - Cipinang | |
| 48 | Pulo Gadung – Pinang Ranti | |
| 16 | Kota – Harapan Indah via Ancol | Extend from P/ Gadung to Harapan Indah (replaces 16a) |
| 26 | Bekasi Bus Terminal – Pulo Gadung | Replace 26a to full BRT |
| 47 | Kp. Mulayu – Klender Baru | |
| 52 | Bekasi Station – Teluk Pucung | New Intermediate routes |
| 54 | Mustikasari – Bekasi Station | |
| 120 8 12h | Ancol – Kalideres (13a) – Tangerang City | New full BRT route (13a) and intermediate route (13b) -later |
| 130 & 130 | Mall (13b) | extended to BSD as route 13 |
| 15 | Lebak Bulus – Bank Ind. via Tentarapelajar | New full BRT route to provide more direct route from Lebak Bulus |

Source: JAPTraPIS

Table 7.5 BRT Route Implementation Schedule (2015-2020)

| Route Number | Route | Description |
|--------------|--|------------------------------------|
| 2 | Pulo Gadung – Tangerang City Mall via Harmoni | Replaces 2a and 2b with full BRT |
| 27 | Kp. Mulayu – Bank Ind. via Inner Toll Road | New full BRT Route |
| 23 | Dukuh Atas – Ciputat via Kuningan | New full BRT Route |
| 41 | BSD – Ciputat | New Intermediate routes |
| 42 | Parung – Ciputat | New Internetiate routes |
| 24 | BSD – Lebak Bulus via Tol Serpong | New full BRT Route |
| 22 | Ciledug – Cililitan via Blok M | New full BRT Route |
| 8 | Ciledug - Dukuh Atas via Blok M | New full BRT Route |
| 9 | Bekasi Bus Terminal - Cililitan | New full BRT Route |
| 49 | Kalimalang – Pulo Gadung | New Intermediate route |
| 19 | Dukuh Atas – Cibibur via Cililitan | New full BRT Route |
| 28 | Depok Baru - Cawang UKI via Tol Jagorawi | New full BRT Route |
| 43 | Blok M – Depok Baru | |
| 50 | Pinang Ranti – Bekasi Station | New Intermediate routes |
| 51 | Cibibur - Jatiasih | |
| 21 | Pondok Kelapa – Lebak Bulus via Cililitan | New full BRT Route |
| 18 | Dukuh Atas - Jatijajar via Fatmawati | New full BRT Route |
| 10 | Depok Baru – Bank Ind. via Mangerrai | New full BRT Route |
| 13 | Ancol – BSD via Tangerang City Mall | Replaces 13a and 13b with full BRT |
| 30 | BSD – Harmoni via Tol Kbn.Jeruk | New full BRT Route |
| 29 | BSD – Bank Ind via Tol Serpong | New full BRT Route |
| 17 | Bekasi Station – Setu | New full BRT Route |
| 53 | Cileungsi – Jatiasih | New Intermediate route |
| 20 | Pulo Gadung – Bumi Anggrek | New full BRT Route |
| 31 | Bogor (Baranang Siang) – Cililitan via Tol Jagorawi | New full BRT Route |

Source: JAPTraPIS

Proposed 2014 BRT Network: Based on the proposed implementation schedule, BRT route network by 2014 is shown in Figure 7.3. There are 15 full BRT (including 2b and 13b operated as intermediate BRT) routes with route length of 303km on corridor of 227km and 8 intermediate routes with 93 km length of routes and corridors.





The traffic demand forecast and assignment on the proposed 2014 public transport network resulted that BRT transports about daily 1.4 million passengers (1.2 million for full BRT and 0.2 million for intermediate route).



Figure 7.4 Traffic Demand and Traffic Volume on 2014 Public Transport Network

Source: JAPTraPIS

| Ridership (million pax) | 2014 |
|-----------------------------|------|
| Full BRT (16 routes) | 1.2 |
| Intermediate BRT (8 routes) | 0.2 |
| Jabodetabek Rail | 1.0 |
| Source: JAPTraPIS | |

5) BRT Fleet Development

Table 7.6 shows the BRT fleet procurement plan in accordance with the implementation of proposed BRT route network. The number of BRT fleets to be procured in each year is estimated in considering the retirement schedule of the existing bus way fleets. The procurement plan required \$ 635.2 million to procure 1,687 articulated buses and 277 single buses during the period of 2012-2020.

Table 7.6 Fleet Procurement Plan for the Propsoed BRT Network Implementation

| Phase | Articulated Bus | | Sing | le Bus |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------|------|-------------|
| 2012-2014 | 574 | \$192.3 mil. | 0 | - |
| 2015-2020 | 1,107 | \$370.8 mil. | 277 | \$72.0 mil. |
| Total | 1,681 | \$563.1 mil. | 277 | \$72.0 mil. |

Source: JAPTraPIS

Note: 1) Bus life time is set for 7 years, 2) assumed fleet capacity of 70 passengers for single bus and 120 passengers for articulated bus, 3) Assumed fleet price of \$ 260,000 for single bus and \$335,000 for articulated bus.

8 INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

1) BRT Infrastructure and Facility

To develop the proposed 2020 BRT route network, new infrastructure and facilities are required. The infrastructure building program includes 31 separate project packages. The reason for grouping number of projects into a package is because in many instances the projects are interdependent with each other and need to be collectively completed to implement the nominated bus routes. With each completed project package, a set of routes can be implemented. Table 8.1 summarizes these project packages and lists the routes which can be implemented at each stage.

| Project No. | Project / Site | Implement Route |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|
| P1 | A. Traffic Operation around Monas B. Bank Indonesia Shelter Expansion C. Gambir Shelter Modification to Integrate with Rail | 1, 2a, 6, 7, 14 |
| P2 | A. New Pessing ShelterB. New Dukuh Atas ShelterC. Cawan Shelter Pedestrian Bridge Extension | |
| P3 | A. Mangga Dua Shelter Construction B. Kp.Melayu Road Redesign C. Blok M Terminal Modification | 3, 5, 11, 16a |
| P4 | Kalideres Terminal Improvement | 2b, 25 |
| P5 | Kp.Melayu Shelter Modification | 4 |
| P6 | Corridor 1,2&3 Upgrading | |
| P7 | Route12 (Pluit to Tj. Priok) | 12 |
| P8 | (IR: Intermediate Routes) | (40, 44, 45, 46, 48) |
| P9 | Harapan Indah Extension | 16 |
| P10 | Bekasi Extension to Bekasi Terminal (IR) | 26 (47, 52, 54) |
| P11 | Tangerang Extension | 2, 13a, 13b, 2 (after 2015) |
| P12 | Tentrapelajar Link | 15 |
| P13 | Casablanca (T.AKp.Melayu) | 27 |
| P14 | Kyai Maja Link and Wolter Monginsidi to Kuningan | |
| P15 | Ciputat/Pamulang Extension (IR) | 23 (41, 42) |
| P16 | BRT Tol Serpong | 24 |
| P17 | Ciledug Corridor and Cililitan Link | 22, 8 |
| P18 | Cawang UKI Transfer Station | |
| P19 | Kalimarang Corridor (IR) | 9 (49) |
| P20 | JI. Tol Letnan Haryono to Manggarai | |
| P21 | Cibubur to Cawang UKI via Tol | 19 |
| P22 | Depok Baru to Tol Link (IR) | 28 (43, 50, 51) |
| P23 | JI. Raden Ajeng Kartini | 21 |
| P24 | Sukamaju to Gedong | 18 |
| P25 | Depok Baru to JI. Tol Letnan Haryono | 10 |
| P26 | Tangerang to BSD | 13 |
| P27 | BSD to Harmoni via Kbn. Jeruk | 30 |
| P28 | BSD to Bank Ind. via T/Abang new Toll road | 29 |
| P29 | Bekasi Station to Setu (IR) | 17 (53) |
| P30 | Pulo Gadung to Bumi Anggrek | 20 |
| P31 | Bogor (Baranang Siang) to Cililitan | 31 |

| Table 8.1 | Project Packaging for BRT Route Implementation |
|-----------|---|
| | i offere actualing for Division attention attention |

Source: Study Team

The projects are also prioritized according to a schedule of implementation as show in the Figure 8.1. It is possible to rearrange project packages in a different order of implementation but then project packages themselves should remain intact.



Figure 8.1 BRT Project Package and Implementation Schedule

Source: Study Team

Figure 8.2 shows the identified road section for new BRT corridors by 2020 based on the proposed implementation schedule.



Figure 8.2 BRT Corridor Development by 2020

The scale of the proposed BRT network development is summarized by project as show in Table 8.2. The proposed network with 30 full BRT routes requires 257 km busway corridor with 233 shelters. In order to develop new full BRT corridor with dedicated bus lane, 92km (36%) of the new busway corridor needs to be widened to accommodate at least one dedicated bus lane and two lanes for private vehicle by direction.

| BRT Route Development Project | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | New | New | Km Road | Cost | Land |
| | Project No. | corridor | shelter | widening | (mil.Rn) | aquisiton |
| | | (km) | (unit) | (km) | ((φ.) | (ha) |
| 2012 | 1 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 13,524 | 0.0 |
| | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 3 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 25,921 | 0.0 |
| | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 5 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 6 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | Ū | 0.0 |
| 2013-14 | 7 | 11.3 | 11 | 6.6 | 127,351 | 3.4 |
| | 8 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 9 | 10.4 | 11 | 7.2 | 117,208 | 1.4 |
| | 10 | 12.0 | 10 | 10.6 | 135,240 | 3.4 |
| | 11 | 10.6 | 11 | 5.2 | 119,462 | 1.4 |
| | 12 | 5.3 | 4 | 1.3 | 43,329 | 0.8 |
| 2015-20 | 13 | 9.6 | 10 | 0.0 | 65,088 | 0.0 |
| | 14 | 4.6 | 3 | 0.0 | 25,086 | 0.0 |
| | 15 | 5.9 | 6 | 4.9 | 66,493 | 2.1 |
| | 16 | 17.5 | 10 | 0.0 | 75,950 | 0.0 |
| | 17 | 17.6 | 18 | 3.2 | 148,513 | 4.5 |
| | 18 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| | 19 | 13.0 | 13 | 0.0 | 88,140 | 0.0 |
| | 20 | 4.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 27,120 | 0.0 |
| | 21 | 19.8 | 14 | 0.3 | 127,596 | 0.3 |
| | 22 | 5.3 | 5 | 0.0 | 59,731 | 0.0 |
| | 23 | 7.2 | 7 | 0.0 | 81,144 | 0.0 |
| | 24 | 12.9 | 14 | 12.9 | 145,383 | 10.3 |
| | 25 | 15.8 | 17 | 8.3 | 157,412 | 2.5 |
| | 26 | 18.9 | 19 | 9.7 | 213,003 | 7.2 |
| | 27 | 16.0 | 9 | 0.0 | 62,720 | 0.0 |
| | 28 | 10.0 | 6 | 0.0 | 39,200 | 0.0 |
| | 29 | 10.9 | 12 | 10.9 | 122,843 | 11.2 |
| | 30 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 | 127,351 | 13.9 |
| | 31 | 2.5 | 5 | 0.0 | 28,175 | 0.0 |
| | Total | 257.4 | 233 | 92.4 | 2.242.983 | 62.3 |

Intermediate Route Development Project

| | Project No. | (km) | New shelter | (mil.Rp) |
|---------|-------------|-------|-------------|----------|
| 2012 | 1 | | | |
| | 2 | | | |
| | 3 | | | |
| | 4 | | | |
| | 5 | | | |
| | 6 | | | |
| 2013-14 | 7 | | | |
| | 8 | 66.8 | 105 | 27,300 |
| | 9 | | | |
| | 10 | 26.5 | 40 | 10,400 |
| | 11 | | | |
| | 12 | | | |
| 2015-20 | 13 | | | |
| | 14 | | | |
| | 15 | 19.5 | 30 | 7,800 |
| | 16 | | | |
| | 17 | | | |
| | 18 | | | |
| | 19 | 9.3 | 14 | 3,640 |
| | 20 | | | |
| | 21 | | | |
| | 22 | 51.6 | 82 | 21,320 |
| | 23 | | | |
| | 24 | | | |
| | 25 | | | |
| | 26 | | | |
| | 27 | | | |
| | 28 | | | |
| | 29 | 14.5 | 23 | 5,980 |
| | 30 | | | |
| | 31 | | | |
| | TOTAL | 188.2 | 294 | 76,440 |

Source: Study Team Note: Cost for land acquisition is not included.

Based on the identified scale of the project, each implementation cost are estimated and summarized by Phase. In order to develop the proposed BRT corridors, the total cost of Rp.2,558 billion (or US\$ 284 million) are required by 2020.

Table 8.3 Estimated Cost for BRT Corridor Development by Project and by Phase

| Designations | Cost |
|--------------|----------|
| Project no. | (mil.Rp) |
| P1 | 19,024 |
| P2 | 9,930 |
| P3 | 50,201 |
| P4 | 1,600 |
| P5 | 2,000 |
| P6 | 164,078 |
| P7 | 127,351 |
| P8 | 27,300 |
| P9 | 117,208 |
| P10 | 145,640 |
| P11 | 119,462 |
| P12 | 43,329 |
| P13 | 65,088 |
| P14 | 25,086 |
| P15 | 74,293 |
| P16 | 75,950 |
| P17 | 148,513 |
| P18 | 31,500 |
| P19 | 91,780 |
| P20 | 27,120 |
| P21 | 127,596 |
| P22 | 81,051 |
| P23 | 81,144 |
| P24 | 145,383 |
| P25 | 157,412 |
| P26 | 213,003 |
| P27 | 62,720 |
| P28 | 39,200 |
| P29 | 128,823 |
| P30 | 127,351 |
| P31 | 28,175 |

| | - | | - |
|-----------|-------|----------|---------|
| Estimated | Coeth | 1 Droiad | Crour |
| | COSLD | | . Oroup |

| | Project Group | Cost (mil.Rp) |
|---------|---------------|------------------|
| 2012 | Project 1-5 | 82,755 |
| 2012 | Project 6 | 164,078 |
| | Project 7&8 | 154,651 |
| 2012 14 | Project 9&10 | 262,848 |
| 2013-14 | Project 11 | 119,462 |
| | Project 12 | 43,329 |
| | Project 13 | 65,088 |
| | Project 14-17 | 323,842 |
| | Project 18-22 | 359,047 |
| | Project 23-24 | 226,527 |
| 2015 20 | Project 25 | 157,412 |
| 2015-20 | Project 26&27 | 275,723 |
| | Project 28 | 39,200 |
| | Project 29 | 128,823 |
| | Project 30 | 127,351 |
| | Project 31 | 28,175 |
| | Total | 2 558 311 |

Estimated Cost by Phase

| | Total budget | Ave.annual budget |
|---------|--------------|-------------------|
| 2012 | 148,386 | 148,386 |
| 2013-14 | 678,737 | 339,368 |
| 2015-20 | 1,731,188 | 288,531 |
| Total | 2,558,311 | 284,257 |

Source: Study leam

Note: Cost for land acquisition is not included.

Proposed Plan and Design for the Short-term Projects: Contents of short-term projects (Project 1-6 to be conducted by 2012-2013) are further examined and identified as described in Figure 8.3 and Table 8.4. The alternative designs to improve the corridor are proposed by project as shown in the following figures.





Source: Study Team

| Table 8.4 | Short-term BRT | Corridor Develo | pment Projects |
|-----------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| | | | |

| | Project / Site | Plan | Related Busway |
|----|--|---|--|
| P1 | A. Traffic Operation around Monus | Bank Indonesia to Senen two way BRT, Relocate Balaikota shelter | BRT 2, 10, 14 |
| | B. Bank Indonesia Shelter Expansion | Bank Indonesia as major transfer station | Terminus: BRT 10,14,15,27,29 Through: BRT 1,5 |
| | C. Gambir Shelter Modification to Integrate with Rail | Relocate Gambir 2 shelter | BRT 2, 10, 14 |
| | A. New Pessing Shelter | Pessing shelter | Through BRT 2,13,14,25 |
| P2 | B. New Dukuh Atas Shelter | New shelter Dukuh Atas 1 closer to Surdiman station., pedestrian underpath and escalator, Dukuh Atas 2 extention | D.Atas 1: Through BRT 1 D.Atas 2: Terminus BRT 8, 11,18,19,23, Through BRT 3 |
| | C. Cawan Shelter Pedestrian Bridge Extension | St. Cawan pedestrian improvement | Through BRT 6, 9, 22 |
| | A. Mangga dua Shelter Construction | Busway track, Two new shelters for new corridors | BRT 5,13,16 |
| P3 | B. Kp.Melayu Road Redesign | Redesign to dual direction on Jl.Bekasi Barat Raya, Kebon Pala shelter modification as a transfer station | BRT Route 4,11,27 Intermediate 47 |
| | C. Blok M Terminal Modification | Increase Blok M busway capacity , Blok M pedestrian deck | Terminus: BRT 1,3,25, Intermediate 43, 44, Through BRT 8,22,23 |
| P4 | Kalideres Terminal Improvement | Increase boarding space for Transjakarta and Tangerang | Terminus: BRT 25 Through: BRT 2,13 |
| P5 | Kp.Melayu Shelter Modification | Build new shelters to north-south alignment | Terminus: BRT 27, Intermediate 47 Through BRT 4,11 |
| P6 | Corridor 1,2&3 Upgrading | Track, shelter for articulated and bridge | Corridor 1,2&3 |

Source: Study Team





Figure 8.5 Project 1-B: Bank Indonesia Shelter Expansion



Source: Study Team





Figure 8.7 Project 2-B: New Dukhu Atas Shelter



Source: Study Team



Figure 8.8 Project 2-C: Cawang Shelter Pedestrian Bridge Extension

Source: Study Team





Source: Study Team





Source: Study Team

1) Bus Location System and Control Center

In order to improve the operational efficiency of BRT system by strengthening the function of control center, effective bus location system is to be introduced.

Mounted GPS equipment will send the location information to base station with every few minutes. This data would be utilized at Bus Location System to calculate estimate time of arrival (ETA) to each bus stops and destinations, and inform it to customers by PC, Mobile phone or on top monitors at bus stations.

It can help to identify the real time location of all buses and delayed information. If system sends this information to customers, it can avoid customers' irritation and complains about "When my bus will be arrived?". The customers can check the real time information by PC or mobile phone at any time anywhere, and we can put real time monitor at each bus station to show the information.

On the other hand, BRT Agency (including real operators) would be able to receive not only same data, but also all operational data such as Operation mileage, operational time, operational frequency, etc. through the system.

The development of bus location system will be in accordance with the development of BRT route network. The table below shows the component of the system development, phasing and estimated cost. The development of system requires about US\$13.8 million to cover entire BRT network by 2020.

| Item | Unit Price (US\$) | Qty | Phase | Cost (US\$) (2012-2014) | Cost (US\$) (2015-2020) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Equipment in Bus | @2,000 | 1,100 | 2012-2014 | 2,200,000 | - |
| | | 1,400 | 2015-2020 | - | 2,800,000 |
| 2. LED Indicator inside Bus | @1,000 | 1,100 | 2012-2014 | 1,100,000 | - |
| | | 1,400 | 2015-2020 | - | 1,400,000 |
| 3. Radio system | @1,000 | 1,100 | 2012-2014 | 1,100,000 | - |
| | | 1,400 | 2015-2020 | - | 1,400,000 |
| 4. Information Monitor at Bus Station | @5,000 | 260 | 2012-2014 | 1,300,000 | - |
| | | 180 | 2015-2020 | - | 900,000 |
| 5. Monitor at Control Center | @2,500 | 20 | 2012-2014 | 50,000 | - |
| 6. PC sets | @1,300 | 30 | 2012-2014 | 39,000 | - |
| 7. System Development and Server | | | | 1,500,000 | - |
| Total | | | | 7,289,000 | 6,500,000 |
| | | | | | 13,789,000 |
| | | | | | |

Source: Study Team

Note: Excluding cost of the space for control center and required LAN establishment.

2) Bus Ticketing System

Usage of the Rechargeable Contactless IC Card involves passing it over a card reader on auto gate or touching devices. The card is passed over the card reader when the passenger enter the origin station, which is not deducted the fare at that time. A travel record is stored on the card, then on exit, the card is again passed over the card reader. At this time, the fare is deducted from the remaining balance from the card.

The information about revenue, card usage will be transferred to center system for their data management. Center system also sends master data such as fare information, bus stop information to all sales terminals to synchronize the system network.

The development of the system will be in accordance with the development of BRT route network. The table below shows the component of the system development, phasing and estimated cost. The development of system requires at least US\$20.5 million (excluding optional functions) to cover entire BRT network by 2020.

| Item | Unit Price (US\$) | Qty | Phase | Cost (US\$) (2012-2014) | Cost (US\$) (2015-2020) |
|--|-------------------------|-------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. Automatic Gate at shelter (2 gates/ | @7,500 | 520 | 2012-2014 | 3,900,000 | - |
| shelter) | | 360 | 2015-2020 | - | 2,700,000 |
| 2. Sales Terminal at Shelter | @5,000 | 260 | 2012-2014 | 1,300,000 | - |
| | | 180 | 2015-2020 | - | 900,000 |
| 3. System Development and Server | | | 2012-2014 | 10,000,000 | - |
| 4. Handy Terminal in Intermediate Bus | @4,000 | 160 | 2012-2014 | 800,000 | - |
| | _ | 120 | 2015-2020 | - | 600,000 |
| 5. Software Dev't for intermediate bus | | | 2012-2014 | 250,000 | |
| 6. Wireless LAN Equipment and Server | | | 2012-2014 | 30,000 | - |
| for Intermediate Bus | | | | | |
| Option 1: Auto Recharging Machine | @35,000 | | | | |
| Option 2: Auto Issuing Machine 1/ | @13,000 | | | | |
| Option 3: Simplified Touching Device | @15,000 | | | | |
| 2/ | | | | | |
| Total 3/ | | | | 16,280,000 | 4,200,000 |
| | | 20,48 | 80,000 + optional | | |

 Table 8.6
 Bus Ticketing System Development

Source: Study Team

Note: 1/ selling encoded IC card with fixed price, 2/ require minimum 2 devises per shelter for entrance/exit, 3/ excluding the cost of required LAN establishment

3) Park & Ride Facility

Park and Ride system is one of the supporting measures to promote people to use more public transportation and reduce private vehicle traffic, which helps to alleviate traffic congestion. The following criteria should be used as general guidelines to help where to locate the facilities.

- Outside/around of JORR
- Good public transport service (BRT)
- Easy access to/from residential areas
- · Utilization of existing facilities
- Available space adjacent to the shelter
- Consideration of catchment area

Based on the proposed BRT network and criteria set above, 19 site locations as show in Figure 8.11 are identified for the development of park & ride facility.





Source: JAPTraPIS

Note: At Poris Plawad and Kalideres terminals the P&R facility is available but not utilized yet.

The implementation schedule of park and ride should be synchronized with the implementation of the mass transportation, particularly with BRT network development as shown in Figure 8.12. Also, consultations with owners of current facilities and review of existing development plans (bus terminal, residential development etc.) needs to be conducted.

Park and ride facilities can be implemented by government, private and under the scheme of public-private partnership depending on the characteristics of the site conditions. The options for management and operation by implementing body are examined as shown in Table 8.7.

| F | Park&Ride site | Existing | 2012 | 2013-14 | 2015-20 | P&R type | Candidates for the parking lots |
|----|----------------|----------|------|---------|---------|--------------|--|
| 1 | Kalideres | Existing | 90 | | | Bus terminal | not utilized, need good access road |
| 2 | Lebak Bulus | Existing | 25 | | | Bus terminal | Integration with MRT terminal is needed |
| 3 | Ragunan | Existing | 280 | | | Bus terminal | Highly utilized |
| 4 | Kp.Rambutan | Existing | 30 | | | Bus terminal | |
| 5 | Poris Plawad | Existing | 75 | | | Bus terminal | not utilized, Busway is not operated yet |
| 6 | Pluit | | | 200 | | Mixed use | Parkings of Pluit village |
| 7 | Tj.Priok | | | 200 | | Bus terminal | New development adjacent to bus terminal |
| 8 | Ancol | | | 200 | | Mixed use | Parkings of current activities |
| 9 | Pinang Ranti | | 100 | | | Bus terminal | Inside Pinang Ranti terminal and depo |
| 10 | Pulo Gebang | | 100 | | | Bus terminal | Bus terminal is under construction |
| 11 | Bekasi | | | 300~ | | Bus terminal | New development adjacent to bus terminal |
| 12 | Margahayu | | | 300~ | | Mixed use | Parkings of Metropolitan mall |
| 13 | Telukpucung | | | | 300~ | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 14 | Harapan Indah | | 300~ | | | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 15 | Setu | | | | 300~ | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 16 | Setos | | | | 300~ | Mixed use | Parkings of building complex |
| 17 | Cikokol | | | 200 | | Mixed use | Parkings of Tang City Mall |
| 18 | Ciledug | | | | 300~ | Mixed use | Parkings of Ciledug plaza |
| 19 | BSD | | | | 300~ | Mixed use | Parkings of ITC BSD and others |
| 20 | Bintaro | | | | 300~ | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 21 | Ciputat | | | | 200 | Mixed use | Parkings of Ps.Ciputat |
| 22 | Cibubur | | | | 300~ | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 23 | Jatijajar | | | | 200 | Suburban | New development along the corridor |
| 24 | Depok Baru | | | | 300~ | Bus terminal | New development adjacent to bus terminal |

Figure 8.12 Implementation Schedule of Park & Ride Facility Development

Source: Study Team Note: pcu: passenger car unit, Required space is set: 500 pcu (Existing), 2,500 pcu (2014), 5,000 pcu or more (2020)

| Table 8 7 | Ontions for the | P&R Facility | / Manage | ement and C |)neration |
|-----------|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Options for the | Fartacing | y manaye | | peration |

| ltem | Government | Private | PP Partnership |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| Site Characteristic | Bus Terminals Gov't Parkings (Off-/On-street) Public Vacant Lands, Public Parks, Gov't Buildings, Public Facilities, | Commercial Complexes Private Lands/Buildings Private Facilities Private Residential Area | Public and private lands and buildings |
| Planning | Transport Agency plan the site, capacity, and type of service BAPPEDA prepare DED (in some cases handled by Dinas PU | Private company in coordination with the public transport/local transport agency | Gov't plan the site, and private company construct the parking Company plan the site and construct and Gov't support the land and parmit. |
| Construction | Transportation Agency construct the buildings and supporting facilities | Company | Gov't plan the site and construct, but involve company to do O&M |
| Operation/ Maintenance | Parking Management Unit under Transportation Agency | Company | Company |
| Investment | Gov't Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD) | Private Investment | Private Investment |
| Gov't Revenue | To City/District Gov't Cash as a Parking Levy Revenue | Indirectly via tax from business Revenue, land ownership and land utilization | Using contract agreement between Gov't and Private Company |
| Parking Charge | Gov't decide using Local Regulation | Company and following the provision provided by Gov't | Company and following the provision provided by Gov't |
| Suggested Site Type | Bus Terminal P&R Where there's gov't land/building | Mixed-use P&RSuburban P&RHigh demand area | Mixed-use P&RSuburban P&R |

Source: Study Team

4) Integrated/Multimodal Terminals

Integrated/Multimodal Terminal is the place where transfer/transit between deferent transport mode (e.g. train to bus). Terminals with traffic functions and urban functions can also be integrated terminals. Terminals integrated with MRT/railway and busway is the highest potential in terms of urban development/Transit Oriented Development (TOD).

Based on the proposed BRT network and other public transport network such as MRT and Jabodetabek Railway, 20 site locations are identified for the development of future integrated / multimodal terminal.



Figure 8.13 Proposed Location of Integrated/Multimodal Termminals

Source: Study Team



| | | Existing | | Plan | | | Ordinary Bus Frequency / day | | | | Ordinary | | | |
|----|-----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|-------|-------------------------|---|
| | Bus Shelter | Railway (station) | BRT (shelter) | Bus Terminal | MRT | BRT Route (# of route) | BRT Route (# of T'ml route) | Intermediate (# of route) | Patas | Reg. Med. | Small | total | Bus Pax (assumption) | remarks |
| 1 | Kota | ~ | Ś | ~ | NW (2020) | 1 → 5 | 1 → 2 | - | 0 | 349 | 3,531 | 3,880 | 24,635 | BRT 'n railway station: neighboring |
| 2 | Dukuh Atas 1&2 | ٢ | ٢ | | NW (2016) | 3 → 7 | 2 → 5 | - | - | | - | 0 | | BRT 'n Surirman station: 300m PPP proposal, Airport link is planned |
| 3 | Blok M | | Ś | ~ | NW (2016) | 1 → 5 | 1 → 3 | 3 | 479 | 3,845 | 64 | 4,388 | 91,590 | Many medium+small buses stop on the road |
| 4 | Lebak Bulus | ٢ | ٢ | ~ | NW (2016) | 1 → 5 | 1 → 4 | 1 | 73 (88) | 1,003 (113) | 2,427 (468) | 4,172 | 41,625 | *() number is outside of BT, along the street. |
| 5 | Tj. Priok | ٢ | ٢ | ~ | | $1 \rightarrow 2$ | 1 → 2 | 3 | 579 | 1,090 | 2,710 | 4,379 | 52,720 | BRT 'n railway station: neighboring |
| 6 | Gambir | ٢ | ٢ | | | 3 ightarrow 4 | $0 \rightarrow 0$ | - | | | - | 0 | | BRT 'n railway station: 150m |
| 7 | Senen | ~ | ~ | ~ | | $3 \rightarrow 3$ | $0 \rightarrow 0$ | - | 242 | 1,683 | 1,721 | 3,646 | 49,525 | BRT 'n railway station: neighboring |
| 8 | Manggarai | ٢ | ٢ | ~ | | 3 ightarrow 4 | 0 ightarrow 0 | - | - | | - | 0 | | BRT 'n railway station: 350m Airport link railway is planned |
| 9 | Pasar Minggu | ~ | ~ | ~ | | 0 ightarrow 1 | 0 ightarrow 0 | - | 49 (22) | 68 (1,574) | 4,025 (3,702) | 9,440 | 73,605 | *() number is outside of BT, along the street. |
| 10 | Pulo Gebang | | under const | ruction | EW (2020) | 0 ightarrow 1 | $0 \rightarrow 1$ | - | - | - | - | 0 | | 1km to planned Cakung station |
| 11 | Kp.Melayu | | ٢ | ~ | | $1 \rightarrow 3$ | 2 → 1 | 1 | 24 | 1,412 | 4,207 | 5,643 | 49,995 | |
| 12 | Sta.Bekasi | ~ | | | | 0 ightarrow 3 | $0 \rightarrow 3$ | 2 | - | - | - | 0 | | |
| 13 | Terminal Bekasi | | | ~ | | 0 ightarrow 2 | 0 ightarrow 2 | 2 | 440 | 91 (39) | 2,923 (1,032) | 4,525 | 35,575 | *() number is outside of BT, along the street. |
| 14 | Pulo Gadung | ٢ | ٢ | ~ | | 2 ightarrow 5 | $2 \rightarrow 4$ | 1 | 164 | 901 | 4,156 | 5,221 | 43,720 | |
| 15 | Grogol | ~ | ¢ | ~ | | $3 \rightarrow 5$ | $0 \rightarrow 0$ | - | 133 (88) | 244 (1,278) | 358 (878) | 2,979 | 43,250 | BRT 'n railway station: 800m *() number is outside of BT, along the street. |
| 16 | Cawan | ٢ | ٢ | | | $3 \rightarrow 5$ | | - | • | | - | | | BRT is on the flyover |
| 17 | Poris Plawad | * | | ~ | EW (2020) | 0 ightarrow 1 | 0 ightarrow 1 | - | 430 | 170 | 1,710 | 2,310 | 24,850 | BRT is opposite side of the road (200m) Shelter Installation completed at P.Plawad |
| 18 | Rw.Buntu | ~ | | | | $0 \rightarrow 3$ | 0 ightarrow 0 | 2 | - | - | - | 0 | | |
| 19 | Depok Baru | * | | ~ | | 0 ightarrow 2 | 0 ightarrow 2 | 2 | 128 | 201 | 8,715 | 9,044 | 51,435 | BRT 'n railway station: 250m |
| 20 | Kalideres | | ~ | ~ | | $2 \rightarrow 3$ | 1 → 1 | - | 238 | 1,016 | 1,390 | 2,644 | 34,410 | BRT 'n railway station: 250m |

Source: Study Team

9 INSTITUTIONAL DEVELOPMENT

1) Establishment of TransJabodetabek (Regional BRT Agency)

(1) Proposed Functions and Organizations

A regional BRT agency, TransJabodetabek, is a vital factor to expedite and improve public bus transport services across the Jabodetabek region. The BRT agency will be under the structure of the JTA, yet it will be established as autonomous statutory agency to plan, manage and control the delivery of bus services across the Jabodetabek BRT network.

The JTA sets the Strategic Urban Transport Policy (SUTP) across the region and execute programs and projects in the Jabodetabek Transportation Master Plan. The strategic policy guides TransJabodetabek in it operation and to determine "strategic network development plan" and business operation plan. TransJabodetabek will manage and enforce bus operator contracts and contracted bus operators will hire drivers and run buses, so TransJabodetabek itself will not directly carry out bus operations.

TransJabodetabek as the system manager of the BRT system (including intermediate and connected feeder routes) functions as a business with the following responsibilities:

The Outline of TransJabodetabek:

As BRT agency, it is to plan, manage, Source: JAPTraPIS control and deliver services, including intermediate and connected feeder bus routes

- major stakes are owned by the central and/or local governments in the framework of BUMD or BUMN
- Commercially operated in a business-like manner. (Business oriented but not exclusively profit oriented)
- Operating across district/city and provincial boundaries
- · Autonomous agency with incentive to maximize business and operating efficiency
- No operation loss-compensating subsidy, while a "user-subsidy" can exist in a commercial operation as it recognizes the commercial cost of carrying the passenger¹

The Role of TransJabodetabek:

- Plan route network development
- Generate patronage and build revenue
- Ensure financial performance

Figure 9.1 Conceptual Framework of BRT Management and Operation



¹ A "user-subsidy" where the difference between a commercial fare (the total cost of operating the service / number of passengers) and the fare determined by social policy shall be compensated.

- Manage system efficiency and costs
- Manage fare collection and fare policy
- Manage and enforce bus operator contracts

Table 9.1 summarizes the functions of the JTA, TransJabodetabek and the central and local governments.

Table 9.1 Functions of the JTA, TransJabodetabek and Governments

| | | Bus Rapid | Transit (BRT) | Ge | eneral Bus Transp | ort |
|-------------------|--|------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Sector | Sub-sector | T/J Busway | Intermediate Bus connecting Busway | Inter-city between Provinces Bus Service in Jabodetabek | Inter-city Bus Service in Province | Intra-city Bus Service (General) |
| | Strategic transport & urban development planning | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA |
| nning | Planning route networks and development services | T/J | T/J | T/J | L/G | L/G |
| Pla | Strategic service planning, bus/railway integration | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA | L/G |
| | Planning Public Transport Infrastructure Development | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA | L/G |
| c. | License and permit approval | JTA | JTA | DGLT | L/G | L/G |
| Regulation | Administrative & Technical Standards, Norms, Minimum Service Standards and Guidelines | T/J | T/J | T/J | DGLT | DGLT |
| | Fare policy | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA |
| ance | Financial Arrangement for Business Operation (facilitate loan, subsidy) | JTA | - | - | - | - |
| Fin | Financing bus fleet procurement | JTA | OPR | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| tre/ ceting | Development of Fare Collection System (ticketing system) | JTA | JTA | DGLT | L/G (Provincial Govt) | L/G (District/City) |
| Fa Mark | Marketing/Promoting Public Transportation Services | T/J | T/J | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| ture | Financial planning, budgeting and procurement (Procurement can be delegated to L/G and/or T/J) | JTA | JTA | JTA | JTA | L/G |
| astruct /elopm | Infrastructure Development (Construction) (Construction can be delegated to L/G and/or T/J) | C/G L/G | C/G L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| Infr Dev | Construction Supervision & Technical Inspection (Supervision and inspection can be delegated to L/G and/or T/J) | C/G L/G | C/G L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| - | Land | L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| set emen | Base Infrastructure | L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| Ase | Upper Infrastructure (Facility) (bus terminal, bus station, etc) | T/J | T/J | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| 2 | Fleets and Equipments | T/J | OPR | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| Contract | Procurement (contract with bus operator) | T/J | T/J | - | - | - |
| | Operation and Maintenance of the Infrastructure constructed by JTA | | | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| | truck (routine/periodic maintenance, rehabilitation), barrier, marking | T/J | _ | - | _ | _ |
| | - bus station (access pedestrian bridge) | T/J | - | - | - | - |
| 0&M | - control center (intelligent transportation system) | T/J | - | - | - | - |
| Ŭ | Operation and Maintenance/Management of Facilities and Equipments | | | L/G | L/G | L/G |
| | - Fleet maintenance | T/J | OPR | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| | - ITS (intelligent transportation system; bus location system, etc) | T/J | - | - | - | - |
| | Business Operation | T/J | T/J | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| ess ation | - Fare collection | T/J | T/J | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| Busin Opera | - Revenue management (revenue reallocation) | T/J | T/J | - | - | - |
| | Fleet Operation: operating bus | OPR | OPR | OPR | OPR | OPR |
| Evalu ation | Business Operation Performance Evaluation | JTA | T/J | DGLT | L/G (Provincial Govt) | L/G (District/City) |
| Law | Law Enforcement | Police | Police | Police | Police L/G | Police L/G |

Source: JAPTraPIS

Note: TJ = TransJabodetabek = BRT Agency, C/G = Central Government, L/G = Local Government

Physical infrastructure development included in the Jabodetabek Transportation Master Plan will be financed through the JTA; yet, detailed engineering design, procurement of contractors, construction supervision will remain under the responsibilities of respective central and local government agencies, i.e. the JTA limits its function to planning and budget, budget allocation, monitoring and evaluation of public transport projects and operations. The JTA sets the strategic policy direction for TransJabodetabek through the development of the Operation Plan which in turn essentially becomes the business model.

A proposing structure of TransJabodetabek is similar to that of Transjakarta, but more emphases on corporate management and customer oriented operational management based on concrete and tangible business and management model of the BRT system.





Source: JAPTraPIS

The business and management model of the BRT system, TransJabodetabek, underlines the sustainability and performance of the entire BRT operation and influences many of its design features. The business and management model includes 1) business-like approach to BRT management and operation, and 2) operational plan, and deeply interrelated to fair policy, user subsidy and general subsidy.

Commercially-oriented management defines a business-like operation that will survive by winning market share, growing revenue and managing costs efficiently. While the JTA develops the Operational Plan as part of the strategic urban transport policy, TransJabodetabek develops 1) operational efficiency, 2) revenue and marketing development plan, and 3) results-driven campaigns.

(2) Implementation Schedule

The schedule for establishing TransJabodetabek depends largely on the JTA establishment schedule expected in 2012. Once the JTA is established, it is expected to design the institutional structure and prepare necessary budget for 2013, so that TransJabodetabek will be established at the beginning of 2013.

| Benchmark | Agency | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015-20 |
|---|--------|-------|----------------------------|------|---------|
| Jabodetabek Transportation Authority (JTA) is established | | ▼ | | | |
| PerPres of Jabodetabek Transportation M/P is ratified | | ▼ | | | |
| PP for "Vehicles" and "Vehicle Inspection" are ratified | | ▼ Tra | ▼ Transition Period - 2016 | | |
| Other PP scheduled by the MOT are ratified | | ▼ | | | |
| GHG Emission Action Plan Target | | | | | (2020)▼ |
| TransJabodetabek (T/J) | | | | | |
| - Institutional Design of T/J | JTA | | | | |
| - TransJakarta expansion/Bodetabek BRT service starts | | | | | |
| - TransJabodetabek established | T/J | | ▼ | | |
| - BRT with Feeder Bus Service starts | T/J | ▼ | | | |
| - Fare Integration (BRT Trunk & Feeder and Railway) | JTA | ▼ | | | |

Figure 9.3 Implementation Schedule of Establishing TransJabodetabek

Source: JAPTraPIS

2) Reforming General Bus Management System

(1) Classified Contract System Concept

General bus services have largely lost their patronage due to poor service quality, of which some are attributable to their operation and management system. Four variable instruments for improving public transport service are 1) standardizing and enforcing minimum service standards, 2) rejuvenating bus fleet, 3) restructuring general bus license system, and 4) capacity development. Some considerable instruments to realize bus fleet rejuvenation are 1) to reform a periodic motor vehicle inspection along with workshop and automobile mechanic accreditation systems, 2) fleet-age restriction measure, 3) financial supports and incentives and improvements to the business model, and 4) strengthening law enforcement.

General bus operators, typically, are governed under a license or route permit system; however, this is a poor mechanism for the regulators to control bus operators since bus operators must carry the business risks. Presumable approaches in incorporating incumbent operators into the system network with services designated are:

- BRT trunk operations being the trunk route operation, with a high level of infrastructure;
- Intermediate bus routes, acting as cross-suburb routes and feeder to the BRT and fully integrated with BRT through fare integration, and connecting to BRT shelter platforms. Intermediate routes always overlap a section of BRT to allow a shelter transfer;
- Area routes operating under local government additional to the BRT network and not fare integrated (but can serve BRT); and
- Local feeder and community services also not fare integrated but serving local communities to provide local services and access to the BRT.

Figure 9.4 illustrates the service types on a map and Table 9.2 shows the comparison between the BRT/Intermediate routes along major routes, to local area wide service types designed to serve local communities. For the local area services, it may be possible to contract angkot services as feeders to the BRT service.



Figure 9.4 Concept of Bus Lisencing System for General Bus Services

Table 9.2 Concept of "Mixed Lisence" to "Classified Contract System"

| | BRT | Intermediate | Area-wide | Neighborhood |
|--|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| Strategic Network Planning | JTA | JTA | L/G | L/G |
| Contract and Permit Approval | JTA | JTA | L/G | L/G |
| Performance-based Contract | \checkmark | \checkmark | No | No |
| - Form of License | - | - | Route | Area |
| Regulatory Authority | JTA | JTA | L/G | L/G |
| Fare Setting and Approval | JTA | JTA | L/G | L/G |
| Integrated Fare System (smart card, etc) | \checkmark | \checkmark | No | No |
| Infrastructure Development (Base) | JTA | JTA | L/G | L/G |
| Fleet Size | Large | Large | Mid | Small |

Source: JAPTraPIS

(2) Managing Transition Process

The major focus during an operator rationalization program is the issue of compensation. Expectations of a "golden handshake" can distract from meaningful negotiations for a role of current operators in a new system Compensation should be the last area of discussions as the objective is to focus on industry reform and transitioning bus operators to a more viable business model, and set a more positive environment for change.

(3) Contracting Angkot as Feeder to the BRT System

There is a scope for local government to engage more closely with the TransJabodetabek, i.e. TransJabodetabek is to contract with Angkot to provide feeder services to the BRT. Instead of migrating operators into formal business, Angkot can be organized as formal feeder services to the BRT, thereby creating a business for displaced operators. Such a partnership utilizes the natural abilities of paratransit, Angkot, Ojek and Bajaj, to serve local communities Effectively, the smaller feeder operators will be organized at the 'macro' level of a defined scope of business and minimum standards to keep. Figure 9.5 shows the comparison between the traditional method of engaging with operators and this cooperative approach.

Figure 9.5 Comparison between Traditional Method and Cooperative Approach

| Traditional / accepted | Feeder bus partnership | |
|---|---|--|
| methodology | Voluntary/ easy to adapt/ | |
| Migration / formalisation/ | familiar ground | |
| capacity building | Business opportunity (spreads | |
| Similar to resettlement issue | benefit of BRT operation) | |
| Forced/ heavy hand of | Industry can organise itself | |
| regulation | Daily income | |
| Lack of choice | More efficient routes | |
| Compensation / entitlement | Joint objective with BRT for | |
| Suspicion /Resistance | successful outcome | |
| Shareholding does not provide | Equal partnership | |
| daily income | | |
| Source: JAPTraPIS | | |

(4) Implementation Schedule

Figure 9.6 shows the implementation schedule of bus service improvement instruments.

Figure 9.6 Implementation Schedule of Reforming General Bus Management System

| Benchmark | Agency | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015-20 |
|--|----------|-------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Jabodetabek Transportation Authority (JTA) is established | | ▼ | | | |
| PerPres of Jabodetabek Transportation M/P is ratified | | ▼ | | | |
| PP for "Vehicles" and "Vehicle Inspection" are ratified | | ▼ Tra | nsition Pe | riod - 2010 | 5 |
| Other PP scheduled by the MOT are ratified | | ▼ | | | |
| GHG Emission Action Plan Target | | | | | (2020)▼ |
| Minimum Service Standards (SPM) | | | | | |
| - Formulation of SPM for BRT and General Bus Services | DGLT | | | | |
| - Transitional Period | | | Transition | | |
| - Full Application of SPM | JTA/LG | | | ▼ | |
| Bus Fleets Rejuvenation | | | | | |
| - Institutional Design for Periodic Vehicle Inspection (PVI) | DGLT | | | | |
| - Fleet-age Restriction Measure | DGLT/LG | | | | |
| - Amendment of Relevant Traffic Regulations | DGLT/LG | | | | |
| - Transitional Period | | | | Transition P | eriod - 2018 |
| - Full Application of Rejuvenation Instrument | JTA/LG | | | | (2019) |
| Restructure of General Bus Licensing System | | | | | |
| - Lay out New Bus Hierarchy System | JTA | | | | |
| - Reform Business Permit & Bus Route License System | JTA/DGLT | | | | |
| - Amendment of Relevant Traffic Regulations | DGLT | | | | |
| - Transitional Period | | | | Transition P | eriod - 2018 |
| - Full Application of New Bus Service Structure | JTA/LG | | | | (2019) 🔻 |
| Capacity Development Program | | | | | |
| 1. Capacity Building for JTA's Staff | JTA | | | | |
| - Needs Assessment and Training Program Designing | JTA | | | | |
| - Capacity Building Training Implementation | JTA | | | | |
| 2. Capacity Building for DisHubs' Staff | DGLT | | | | |
| - Needs Assessment and Training Program Designing | DGLT | | | | |
| - Capacity Building Training Implementation | DGLT/LG | | | | |

Source: JAPTraPIS

3) Regional Measures and Impact

The proposed BRT network traverses city boundaries improving transport links and spreading the benefits of greater access and connectivity across the region. The institutional regime of JTA and TransJabodetabek resolves the cross-border and political issues of operating the network across the regional boundaries.

The discussion below outlines the relationship of the network to each region in Bodetabek; and discusses Bogor as a specific case as it is geographically separated and more autonomous in planning its own transport within the city of Bogor.

Short term projects (2012) have concentrated on improvements to the BRT in DKI Jakarta to improve capacity. Route 2b is the only cross-border route in 2012 as an intermediate route from Kalideres to Poris Plawad and Tangerang City Mall. This route will initially not be fare integrated but serve as a feeder route to Kalideres.

It is necessary to have in place the JTA, TransJabodetabek and integrated ticketing, a distance-based fare, and e-ticketing before the longer cross border routes can operate. Prioritization of this institutional issue should be at the forefront of development. From 2014 additional routes are developed to the adjoining regions.

(1) Tangerang

In the 2014 network route 2b, 13a and 13b will operate into Tangerang with further routes established 2015 – 2020. While the integrated network operates only to east of the river at Tangerang, the opportunity exists for Kota Tangerang to develop local and intermediate routes to western suburbs to provide good access to the BRT trunk network.

(2) Bekasi

In 2014 Route 16 will operate cross-border to Harapan Indah linked directly to Ancol and Kota. Following this, BRT will be extended to Bekasi Central and Bekasi Bus Terminal, and to St. Klender Baru. Route 26 will be implemented as well as two intermediate routes (52 &54). By 2020 Route 9, 19 and 17 will operate with Intermediate Routes 51 and 53.

(3) Depok

Depok has a rail connection to Jakarta DKI, but after 2015 three BRT routes will be established, being BRT Route 28, 10, 18 to Jakarta and Intermediate Route 43 travelling west from Depok to Lebak Bulus.

(4) Bogor

Kota Bogor has made concerted efforts to upgrade public transport operating the TransPakuan bus service. It currently operates 30 buses on 3 bus routes, but suffers a range of problems through what is essentially a failure of the business model. A current plan is underway to upgrade bus services and reduce the number of Angkots by migrating them into formal bus services, however unless a sustainable business model exists, it is unlikely to gain the confidence of operators to agree to change the status quo.

The bus operators are contracted under a sustainable business model (that covers cost and profit) to provide services that meet set quality standards. The agency has a strong hand to control quality under the contract arrangement, and all services are financially supported. The strategy for Kota Bogor should be to develop BRT as a high quality mass transit option, capable of attracting passengers with a 'more efficient and convenient than car' service level. **Reforming and Rationalizing Angkot Operators:** The rationalization and reduction of angkot in Bogor is a major concern, as to how to manage this undertaking. The options are to incorporate angkot operators into a more formal network, both as route operators and as feeders to the BRT trunk system.

Contracting Angkot as Feeders to the BRT System: This would be developed along the lines of a formal partnership with the agency where the angkot cooperatives are contracted to provide services that comply with minimum standards. It utilizes the natural abilities of angkot to serve local communities.

Transport Connection to Jakarta DKI: The private bus and coach services to Jakarta are not well connected to the Jakarta network because of regulation constraints and many operate illegally to meet demand. This issue should be formally addressed, so that connections to the wider network are improved. These private services although operated under commercial arrangements, are a vital part of the network.

10 EVALUATION OF MASTER PLAN

1) Impact on Fleet and Operation Subsidy

JAPTraPIS has developed a comprehensive Bus Operations Model (BOM) to forecast operational performance for 2014 and 2020 networks under different scenarios such as travel speed and fare setting.

The results show that the improved efficiency of a BRT system, particularly increasing average bus speeds, pays dividends in reducing operational costs. The passenger benefits of reducing travel time have not been factored into the model but could also contribute to improvements in patronage and revenue.

More specifically, the results imply the following:

- The proposed BRT system would improve financial performance to a great deal in comparison with the present TransJakarta business model. Even travel speed and fare sets at the present level, i.e. 20 kph and IDR 3,500, the aggregated financial deficits amount to \$ 506.8 million. If bus fleet could be granted from the government like the TransJakarta business model, operation subsidy would not be required.
- To balance revenue and fleet and operation costs in 2014 at the present fare level, it is suggested to increase average travel speed on the full BRT routes from current 20 kph to over 25 kph.
- Since the year 2020 network is longer and wider and inclusive of less profitable routes rather than the year 2014 network, it is more difficult to keep financial balance without subsidy. To do it, it is suggested to run at 27 kph on the average with an increased fare level of IDR 4,250.

It is a big challenge to provide BRT service without subsidy. The scenario evaluation reveals that average bus speed is a critical factor in the sustainability of the TransJabodetabek business model and should mandate the design features of the busway and traffic priority system. Any loss of system speed will need to be directly compensated by government support (or fares will need to increase).

2) Impact on Road Space Utilization

Since DKI Jakarta started the BRT system in 2004, many disputes have occurred in relation to road space priority. The main point to criticize the BRT system in Jakarta from private car users is that TransJakarta exaggerated road traffic congestion due to its dedicated lanes although its road space utilization in terms of vehicular traffic is low.

With a wider and denser BRT network proposed in the master plan, increased ridership is anticipated under the year 2020 projection. For instance, 12 corridor sections are selected on the existing and future BRT corridors for a comparison of 2020 BRT ridership and car traffic volume between the "do-nothing" case and master plan case. As a result, in the master plan case all the corridor sections are anticipated more BRT ridership and less car traffic volume than those of the "do-nothing" case. V/C (volume/capacity) ratios of all sections decreased in master plan case. This is significant effect of the anticipated modal shift from private modes to public transport modes to be caused by the intensive development of MRT/BRT network and services.

In conclusion, the proposed BRT network expansion will not only serve for the public

transport demand but also enhance space utilization of both lanes for BRT and cars. Therefore, it is strongly suggested that central and local transport administrations be confident to promote the MRT/BRT development strategy in order to realize more efficient road space utilization towards a sustainable and balanced urban transport system.

3) Environmental and Social Considerations

(1) Major Environmental Considerations

Regarding the process of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) for infrastructure projects, it is considered that the master plan projects are not exceeds the condition of EIA implementation. Because most of the master plan projects require road space adjustment for BRT corridors and park and ride facilities which utilize existing facilities, or, in case some terminal development projects need land acquisition, those necessary areas are almost 1 ha, that is less than the condition for EIA process of 2.5ha.

Besides, the most critical environmental impacts of the master plan projects is emission of Greenhouse Gas (GHG) mainly CO_2 and a number of noxious gases dangerous to human health and considered a cause of global warming and consequently climate change.

The Government of Indonesia has committed to reduce GHG emissions to 26% in 2020¹. They estimate the target volume of emission reduction by transport sector to 38 Mt CO₂equivalent. Of which emission reduction by public transport is estimated to 2.77 Mt CO₂equivalent per year by construction of Mass Rapid Transport (MRT) in Jakarta. However, it doesn't include emission reduction by road-based public transport sector. Therefore, emission reduction of the master plan projects can be additional to the governmental commitment. As the result of estimation, emission reduction by the master plan projects are assumed more than 1Mt CO₂-equivalent from existing condition by master plan with propulsion system by diesel, CNG or electricity which are compliant with EURO standard.

(2) Major Social Considerations

The most critical considerations in social aspect are focused on the employment, and gender and disabled issues.

The master plan projects is expected to reduce the employment for restructured bus system, however, in parallel, it is expected to increase the employment for new BRT system. According to the estimation, the number of drivers and conductors in the master plan projects is estimated to be increased in 2020 because of increase of number of bus routes including BRT/general buses and increase of employment of security guards, fleet maintenance engineers, cleaning crews, and so on which are required to keep the security and comfort of the bus services.

In parallel, the drivers should be trained periodically to improve and keep high level of the driving manner, service and security mind and operation efficiency. And their contract system should be changed from piece work system to fixed salary according to the experience and no accident condition to increase their incentives.

Females and disabled persons are more vulnerable users of public space in general and this affects how they use public transport, because they are easy to be targeted for petty theft or sexual harassment, or faces physical constraints. A survey² results proved that

¹ Presidential Regulation No. 61, 2011 on Action Plan for National Greenhouse Gas Emission Reduction

² Public Transportation Passenger Interview Survey conducted by JAPTraPIS

females concern about security and comfort in vehicles and bus stop facilities, and males concern about service level of operation and terminal facilities. Therefore, the facilities should be designed considering the universal design for both females and disabled persons. In addition, security and comfort of the facilities should be kept in good condition.

4) External Assistance for Smooth Master Plan Implementation

The JAPTraPIS Master Plan intends to upgrade the existing BRT system in terms of service quality and network. External assistance need such as technical assistance and financial assistance is examined by the sub-components. As results, three (3) technical assistance sub-components and three (3) financial assistance sub-components are identified.

| Sub-Component | Estimated Budget | Assistan | ce Need | Organizational Relation | |
|---|---|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| | | Technical | Financial | with mansoabouctaber | |
| Control Center and Bus Location System | \$ 13.8 Million | 1 | 1 | Traffic Police for safety and enforcement | |
| BRT Prioritized Traffic Management | (Negligible) | 1 | | LG Transportation Unit and Traffic Police | |
| Ticketing System | \$ 20.5 Million | 1 | 1 | Participating Bank(s) | |
| BRT Fleet | \$ 635.2 Million inclusive of Bodetabek fleet (\$ 154.5 Million) | | 1 | JTA for budgeting | |
| BRT Infrastructure | \$ 284 Million | | | LG Public Works Unit | |

 Table 10.1
 Sub-Components of the BRT System Development

Source: JAPTraPIS

Provided packaging them into one project, the following implementation arrangement is proposed:

Project Title: Jabodetabek BRT System Development Project

Project Objective: As part of an integrated metropolitan public transport system, the existing Jakarta BRT will be upgraded and expanded. It will be done by two new metropolitan organizations of JTA as a regulator-cum-financer and TransJabodetabek as an operator. The project aims at supporting an advanced BRT system development in the Jabodetabek region.

Executing Agency: JTA or TransJabodetabek

In the case of TransJabodetabek, it must be a state-owned enterprise. When considering internal loan repayment arrangement in Indonesia, JTA seems more suitable to act as EA.

Loan Amount: \$ 192.8 million inclusive of project loan (\$188.8 million) and associated technical service loan (\$4.0 million). The project loan includes control center and bus location system (\$ 13.8 million), ticketing system (\$ 20.5 million) and BRT fleet (\$ 154.5 million for serving Jakarta-Bodetabek connection and within the Bodetabek area only).

Combination of Project Loan (Foreign Loan) and Local Fund: The total project cost of the proposed BRT system development is \$ 953.5 million. Local counter fund amounting to \$ 764.7 million will be used for BRT infrastructure and part of BRT fleet. Thus, the project loan (\$ 188.8 million) accounts for 20% in the overall project cost. The project loan

is not a dominant source but it is allocated for technology advancement and service expansion to the Bodetabek area.

Project Period: 5 years between 2014 and 2018

Project Risk: Both JTA and TransJabodetabek have not been established as of February 2012. DGLT/MOT may request this external assistance project. In that case, a project implementation mechanism must be duly scrutinized in the process of project appraisal prior to a loan agreement.

11 PREFEASIBILITY OF BRT EXTENSION TO TANGERANG CITY

1) Introduction

The JAPTraPIS study has developed a comprehensive 2020 Full BRT network, supported by 'Intermediate BRT' routes and feeder bus service for JABODETABEK. The BRT network development is based on the JUTPI 2020 Transport Master Plan. The travel demand for the master plan showed high growth in the west of Jakarta. The existing public transport services in the west Jakarta and the existing BRT Corridor 3 terminate at Kalideres. Therefore, there is gap in the continuity of public transport in the north-west corridor of JABODETABEK. This limits the accessibility to the north-west of JABODETABEK due to inadequate public transport services to Kota Tangerang area. This high demand corridor from Kalideres to Kota Tangerang has been identified as the corridor for immediate action plan, and selected for the pre-feasibility study as a key component of JAPTraPIS 2020 Master Plan.

2) Corridor Alignment and Station Locations

The pre-feasibility study (PFS) corridor area is depicted below. Alternative alignments were initially considered and taking into account the 'Full BRT' JAPTraPIS 2020 Master Plan network were rejected in favour of the selected route. The proposed route is 10.6km long with 11 proposed stations, including two existing bus terminals (Kalideres & Poris Plawad), eight new stops/ stations and a final stop/ station at Tangerang City Mall.



Figure 11.1 Corridor Alignment and Station Locations

The key objective of the PFS was to prepare an assessment for a stepwise/ direct implementation of a 'Full BRT' system in the corridor as part of JAPTraPIS Action Plan. This involved review of existing work, analysis of JAPTraPIS recent survey data, travel demand forecasts, assessment of route suitability, proposals for station locations & terminal facilities, timing of its integration into the JABODETABEK BRT network, and its operational & financial performance. This has been detailed in the JAPTraPIS Master Plan.

3) Review of Studies, Survey Data Analysis and Scenario Developments

A review of existing and available studies showed that work to date to develop a roadbased mass transit system in the corridor had been taken up, but the outcome is now outdated, and these studies had limited scope to be of use to this PFS. Analysis of JAPTraPIS survey (conducted at Kalideres and Poris Plawad Bus terminals in 2011) data, and travel demand forecast from JUTPI demand model for the corridor showed that a trunk route bus operation is sustainable between Kalideres and Kota Tangerang. For detailed assessment of the corridor travel demand estimates were prepared for three scenarios. The scenarios under assessment provided the details of travel demand required for the 'full' integration of Kalideres-Kota Tangerang corridor into the JAPTraPIS Master Plan BRT network. The Scenarios studied are summarized next:

Scenario 1, 2014 Non-Integrated: 2014 demand forecast for the alignment from Tangerang City to Kalideres - Route 2b; as an 'Intermediate BRT' operating as a non-integrated service between the two stops, and passengers transfer to Route 2a (a new integrated route formed by integrating Jakarta BRT Routes 2 & 3 to operate as a continuous single route form Pulo Gadung to Kalideres via Harmoni) or other routes at Kalideres.

Scenario 2, 2014 Integrated: 2014 demand forecast – Alignment Tangerang City to Pulo Gadung, via Terminal Poris Plawad, Kalideres, Harmoni. Operated as a 'Full BRT' integrated service Route 2, formed by merging Route 2b(Kota Tangerang-Kalideres) and Route 2a (Kalideres-Harmoni-Pulo Gadung). It is also assumed that the remainder of the JAPTraPIS proposed 2014 network is in operation, with full fare integration.

Scenario 3, 2020 Integrated (Full Network): 2020 demand forecast – Corridor served by a 'Full BRT' Route 2, between Tangerang City Mall and Pulo Gadung via, Terminal Poris Plawad, Kalideres, Harmoni. It is also assumed that by then 2020 'Full BRT' network as proposed by JAPTraPIS would operational, with full fare integration and feeder services.

4) Corridor Demand Analysis and Operational Assessment

The demand estimates under Scenario-1, and assessment of existing infra-structure (availability of ten (10) buses with Kota Tangerang City Council for immediate deployment, available bus lane along Benteng Banten & Banten Betawi, terminal facilities and spare capacity at Poris Plawad Terminal) showed that an 'Intermediate BRT' system may start operation in the corridor, after some preliminary preparation as early as mid-2012. This required assessment of two additional scenarios: Scenario-1a & 1b for operational purposes. The full results of travel demand, operational requirements, and financial & operational assessment are summarized in the table below.

| Description | Scn-1a 2012 | Scn-1b 2013 | Scn-1 2014 | Scn-2 2015 20 | Scn-3 2020 > |
|---|----------------|----------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Total Daily Boardings - Passengers | 28,000 | 49,000 | 70,000 | 200,200 | 260,000 |
| Daily Maximum Line Load 1-way, Pax/Day/Direction | 8,960 | 15,680 | 22,400 | 61,000 | 79,500 |
| Peak Demand Pax/Hr/Direction; Maximum Peak Factor 10% | 896 | 1,568 | 2,240 | 6,100 | 7,950 |
| Fleet Size (No of Buses) - Operated Including (5%) Contingency | 10 | 18 | 26 | 41 | 54 |
| Bus Capacity - Peak Crush Load (Change to Artic Bus after 2014) | 85 | 85 | 85 | 150 | 150 |
| Max Peak Headways ~ Minutes | 5.7 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Inter-Peak Period Headways @ 1.5x of Peak Headways (mins) | 8.5 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| Daily Bus*km 2-Way Operated 18 Hours, 72% Load Factor | 3,423 | 5,990 | 8,557 | 13,210 | 17,213 |
| Total Operating Cost & Fix Annual Operational Costs (IDR mill/pa) | 12,691 | 22,695 | 32,699 | 89,805 | 117,997 |
| Fleet Investment Cost per Year - (IDR mill/ pa) | - | 2,297 | 4,594 | 36,049 | 47,479 |
| Annual Revenue @ Fare = 2,500 IDR/Trip (IDR mill/pa) | 19,250 | 33,688 | 48,125 | 137,638 | 178,750 |
| Profit / Loss per Year - US\$ ('000/pa) | 730 | 1,000 | 1,200 | 1,300 | 1,500 |

 Table 11.1
 Financial and Oprational Assessment

Source: JAPTraPIS

The patronage forecast shows a strong demand in the corridor, with maximum demand at terminal stations, and at Poris Plawad Terminus. In the earlier years (before integrated operation) about 10-13 thousand pax are expected to transfer at Kalideres to other routes. Patronage estimate on the opening of service is based on the 2014 demand forecast, and

initial build of demand. It is expected to be 28,000 pax boardings (2-way) per day. The peak line volume is expected to be between Poris Plawad and Poris station, requiring peak headway of about six minutes, or about 11 buses per hour. The demand in the off-peak periods is also considerable, and would require headways to be 9 & 18 minutes respectively. The patronage would build up rapidly, and prior to the corridor integration into the full network, by end-2014/early 2015 the route operation would require peak and interpeak frequencies to be 26 & 18 buses per hour.

The initial fleet of 10 buses would need to be augmented by eight buses per year after the start of the service, requiring a fleet of 26 buses to operate between Kalideres and Tangerang City Mall. After the integration of Rote 2a and 2b into Route 2, for through operation to Pulo Gadung, the high volume of patronage would require route to be served by large articulated buses operating at about 40 Artic-buses an hour in the peak periods.

The two (AM & PM) peak periods are estimated to last 7 of the 18 hours of daily operation, with inter peak demand (about 65% of peak) would also last for 7 hours. The remaining 4 hours of off-peak operation would need to operate at about ½ the frequency of peak period. This would achieve 72% daily load factor for comfortable operation (about 60% of all pax would get a seat for their journey). The average operating speed is assumed be not below 25kph for both fully integrated BRT and also during intermediate BRT operation initially in mix traffic with bus lane markings.

5) Financial Assessment and Sustainability

The financial analysis is based on operating cost, which includes: all fix costs of operation (identified and detailed on the Kota Tangerang Mass Transit Study), current fuel costs, including all taxes, and future fleet investment costs. Infrastructure costs are NOT included in the financial analysis. The fare is set at a flat rate of Rp. 2,500 per trip between Kalideres and Tangerang City Mall. In later years, during integrated operation the fare is assumed to be Rp. 500/km. The analysis shows revenue surplus form the opening year operation, could be as much as US\$ 730 thousand per annum excluding investment costs for future bus fleet. The profit is estimated to increase with time as the patronage builds up.

6) Conclusion and Recommendations

The proposed Kota Tangerang – Kalideres corridor is a financially viable BRT corridor, and should be implemented without delay. The analysis shows that route profits are considerable and could sustain future investment costs of the required bus fleet for this corridor section only. However, the financial success of the system is dependent on patronage build up, which would require considerable planning for smooth operation, convenient and safe passenger boarding/alighting and cross platform transfer at Kalideres.

The operation of current mostly small buses (Angkot) need to be rationalized, and these should provide feeder services for the neighborhood to the trunk route BRT operation. In later years the high demand is completely dependent on fully integrated (fares, seamless & convenient transfer between routes, coordinate timetable etc) of JABODETABEK BRT network operation. The patronage estimates are robust and could only be realized if the bus headways are maintained (no delays), operating speed does not fall below 25kph, and the BRT buses have total priority in bus lane and Busway.

Longer term profitability is linked to the implementation of JAPTraPIS fully integrated network in its entirety, supported by intermediate BRT and feeder services.

12 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1) Conclusion

- The study area JABODETABEK is the greater capital region of Indonesia with population of 28 million. In order to sustain functions and roles as a capital region, the current transport system of JABODETABEK needs to be upgraded to support varied social and economic activities.
- The current transport situation in the study area shows chronic traffic congestions due to delay of transport infrastructure development in comparison to the year-by-year increasing traffic demand. Particularly, the development of key urban transport network such as arterial roads and urban rail is very slow, while increase of car and motorcycle use is significant.
- A number of transport master plans formulated by local governments show the absence of consistency between central and local, and have no legal guaranty in its implementation. Therefore, the JUTPI is providing the supports to the government in updating and legalizing the urban transport master plan, and establishing the JTA for the implementation of the master plan.
- The comprehensive urban transport master plan revised by the JUTPI deployed the intensive public transport system development scenario which network has intensive investment focused on the development of rail and BRT system. This will promote a modal shift from cars and motorcycles to public transport and realize the reduction of loss caused by traffic congestions. In the JUTPI, it is estimated that the modal share of public transport will increase from 27% in 2010 to 34% in 2020. In order to transport this increasing public transport demand efficiently, the role of road-based public transport including BRT and general buses studied in the JAPTraPIS is very important and its significant development in accordance with the railway system development is necessary.
- The current busway operation as key system of road-based public transport is partly
 affected by road traffic conditions and obstructed in its high-speed and punctual
 operation in some sections. This causes the decrease of operational frequency and
 long waiting time for passengers. Furthermore, the increase of operational subsidy
 weight on the public finance of DKI Jakarta government. In this way, the current
 busway operation needs to be improved to the BRT standard with high-speed and
 high-frequency operation. Also the extension of the network to the surrounding
 commutable areas in the study area is desired.
- On the other hand, it is pointed out that the issues and problems of general bus services supplementing the key transport system of busway are identified as follows: low operational service level, low quality of bus vehicles by aging and inadequate maintenance, competition between different type of buses, unbalance of demand and supply, lack of law enforcement and so on.
- As previously described, in order to meet with the issues of road-based public transport system in the study area, the hierarchical and integrated bus service network is necessary to develop and a comprehensive master plan is needed formulated.

2) Recommendations

- The JAPTraPIS formulated the road-based public transport master plan for JABODETABEK. The master plan and implementation strategy targets to the year 2020 with intermediate year of 2014. The structure and main components of the master plan is described as follows and the outline and implementation schedule is summarized in Figure 12.1. Outline of the master plan projects are listed in the Appendix 2.
- Integrated Public Transport Network and Services: Development of future BRT network up to 2020 including improvement of the current busway and restructure of the supporting general bus service network are proposed. By 2020, 30 full BRT routes and 15 intermediate bus routes will be developed and the BRT network will transport 2.7 million passengers per day. The proposed BRT and railway network will meet with the increasing future traffic demand projected by JUTPI. In order to implement the proposed BRT network, 1,681 articulated buses and 277 single buses are to be newly procured by 2020.
- Infrastructure Development: In order to develop the proposed road-based public transport network with core network of BRT, the necessary development of related infrastructure up to 2020 is proposed with project scale and implementation schedule. They include the following components: i) BRT corridor development (31 projects), ii) bus location system and control center, iii) bus ticketing system, iv) Park & Ride facility (19 locations), v) integrated/multimodal terminal (20 locations) and vi) cycling and walking facilities.
- Establishment of TransJabodetabek: In order to develop and manage the proposed BRT route network, an establishment of TransJabodetabek as regional BRT management agency under JTA is proposed. The organization and functions, successful business model and implementation schedule of TransJabodetabek are identified.
- **Reforming General Bus Management System:** In order to upgrade the current general bus services more efficiently and more convenient and comfort with passengers, the following institutional reform on the bus management system and implementation schedule are proposed as follows: i) minimum service standards, ii) rejuvenation of bus fleets, iii) restructuring general bus licensing system, iv) institutional development and capacity building and v) other public transport.
- Evaluation of the Master Plan: Since JAPTraPIS is limited to road-based public transport in its study scope, it has not a right position to evaluate its impact in the overall metropolitan transport system. Instead, the proposed JAPTraPIS master plan was evaluated from different viewpoints such as government subsidy, road space utilization and environmental aspects. Those viewpoints are all related to sustainable transport development.
- External Assistance for Master Implementation: the necessity and project package of external assistance is also examined for smooth implementation of the JAPTraPIS Master Plan.
- Formalization of the Master Plan: It is strongly recommended the proposed JAPTraPIS Master Plan shall be formalized by the government as a part of the

Comprehensive Transport Master Plan revised by JUTPI and being formalized as the presidential policy in order to ensure its implementation by various related agencies and stakeholders.

| Componente | Implement | ation Period | Implementing | Cost |
|---|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| Components | 2012-2014 2015-2020 | | Agency | (\$ mil.) |
| 1. Integrated PT Network and Services | | | | |
| A1. Full BRT Routes | 15 routes | 15 routes | ТJ | - |
| A2. Intermediate Routes | 8 route | 7 routes | ТJ | - |
| B1. Articulated Bus for full BRT Routes | 574 buses | 1,107 buses | ТJ | 563 |
| B2. Single Bus for Intermediate Routes | 0 buses | 247 buses | TJ | 72 |
| 2. Infrastructure Development | | | | |
| A. BRT Corridor Development Projects | Project 1-12 | Project 13-31 | LG/TJ | 284 |
| B. Bus Location System and Control Center | 1,100 buses | 1,400 buses | ТJ | 13.8 |
| C. Bus Ticketing System | 260 stations | 180 stations | ТJ | 20.5+a |
| D. Park & Ride Facility | 9 locations | 10 locations | LG/TJ | n.a. |
| E. Integrated/Multimodal Terminal | 8 locations | 12 locations | LG/TJ | n.a. |
| F. Cycling and Walking Facilities | | ► | LG | n.a. |
| 3. Establishment of TransJabodetabek | | | | |
| A. Establishment of JTA | 2012 | - | CG | - |
| B. Institutional design | 2012 | - | JTA | - |
| C. Establishment and operation | 2013 | (operation) | JTA | - |
| 4. Reforming Bus Management System | | | | |
| A. Minimum Service Standards | 2014 —— | ► ► | DGLT/JTA/LG | n.a. |
| B. Rejuvenation of Bus Fleets | 2012(amendment) | 2019 | DGLT/JTA/LG | n.a. |
| C. Restructuring General Bus Licensing | 2013(amendment) | 2019 | DGLT/JTA/LG | n.a. |
| D. Institutional and Capacity Building | 2013 | - | DGLT/JTA/LG | TA |

| Table 12.1 | JAPTraPIS | Master Plan | and Impleme | entation Schedule |
|------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | 0/ a 11 a 10 | maotor i fait | | |

Source: JAPTraPIS

Note: JTA: JABODETABEK Transportation Agency, TJ: TransJabodetabek (Regional BRT Agency under JTA), CG: Central Government, LG: Local Government. TA: Technical Assistance (funded by Official Development Assistance)

<Pre-F/S on BRT Extension to Tangerang City>

- Overview: The high demand corridor from Kalideres to Kota Tangerang has been identified as the corridor for immediate action plan, and selected for the pre-feasibility study (PFS). The key objective of the PFS is to prepare an assessment for a stepwise implementation of a BRT system. This involved review of existing work, analysis of JAPTraPIS recent survey data, travel demand forecasts, assessment of route suitability, proposals for station locations & terminal facilities, timing of its integration into the JABODETABEK BRT network, and its operational & financial performance.
- **Corridor Alignment and Station Locations:** The proposed route is 10.6km long with 11 proposed stations, including two existing bus terminals (Kalideres & Poris Plawad), eight new stops/stations and a final station at Tangerang City Mall.
- **Corridor Demand Analysis and Operational Assessment:** The demand forecast and assessment of existing infrastructure in including available 10 bases, showed that an 'Intermediate BRT' system may start operation in the corridor, after some preliminary preparation as early as mid-2012.
- Financial Assessment and Sustainability: The result of financial analysis shows revenue surplus form the opening year operation, and could be as much as US\$730 thousand per annum, prior to the requirement of investment cost future bus fleet. The profit is estimated to increase with time as the patronage build up. The financial success of the system is dependent on considerable planning for smooth operation, convenient and safe passenger boarding/ alighting and cross platform transfer at Kalideres.

Appendices

APPENDIX 1: Study Organization

Figure A1.1 Members of the Indonesian Side

| STEERING COMMITTEE: |
|---|
| CHAIRPERSON : Director General of Land Transportation, Ministry of Transportation (MOT) |
| DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON: Director of Urban Transportation System Development (BSTP), DGLT, MOT |
| DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON: Secretary of DGLT, MOT |
| DEPUTY CHAIRPERSON: Director of Road Transportation and Traffic (LLAJ). DGLT. MOT |
| MEMBER: |
| 1. Deputy Assistant of Transportation Infrastructure. Coordinating Ministry for Economic Affairs (CMEA) |
| 2 Director of Transportation BAPPENAS |
| 3 Director of Lithan and Rural BAPPENAS |
| 4 Director of Urban Planning, DG of Regional Development (BANGDA) Ministry of Home Affairs |
| 5 Director of Building Techniques (Bina Teknik) DG of Bina Marga, Ministry of Public Works (PU) |
| 6 Director of Metropolitan Lifean DG of Spatial Planning, Ministry of Public Works (PL) |
| 7 Director of Traffic Polda Metro Java |
| 8 Director of Traffic Polda West Jawa |
| 9 Head of BAPPEDA DKI Jakarta Province |
| 10 Head of BAPPEDA West Java Province |
| 10. Head of BADDEDA, Wastan Dravince |
| 12 Head of Transportation Agency NKL Jakarta Province |
| 12. Head of Transportation Agency, Dri Jakaita Flovince |
| 13. Head of Transportation Agency, West Java Fronce |
| 14. nead of transportation Agency, banten Province |
| TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP: |
| CHAIRPERSON : Director of BSTP. DGLT. MOT |
| SECRETARY Subdirector of Urban Transportation Impact BSTP, DGLT, MOT |
| MEMBER: |
| 1. Head of Transportation Agency, DKL Jakarta Province |
| 2. Head of Transportation Agency, Kota Bogor |
| 3 Head of Transportation Agency, Kabupaten Bogor |
| 4 Head of Transportation Agency, Kota Depok |
| 5 Head of Transportation Agency, Kota Tangarang |
| 6. Head of Transportation Agency, Kota Tangerang Selatan |
| 7 Head of Transportation Agency, Kola tangerang Octation |
| I lead of Transportation Agency, Kabupaten rangelang Boad of Transportation Agency Kota Rokaci |
| 0. Head of Transportation Agency, Kola Bekasi |
| |
| Je Children Section: |
| 1. Road transportation Section. |
| Leader. Subdirector of Road Transportation, BSTP, DGLI, MOT |
| Member: Subdirector of Road Transportation, LLAJ, DGET, MOT |
| Head of Subagericy of Bina Transport Business, Transportation Agency, DRI Jakarta Province |
| 2. Transportation Network Section: |
| Leader: Subdirector of orban transportation Network, BSTP, DGET, MOT |
| Member: Head of Subagency of Transportation System, Transportation Agency, West Java Province |
| Subdirector of Policy and Strategy, Metropolitan Urban, DG of Spatial Planning, PU |
| 3. Transportation Mode Integration Section: |
| Leader: Subdirector of Urban Transportation Mode Integration, BSTP, DGLI, MOT |
| Member: Subdirector of Land Transport, BAPPENAS |
| Subdirector of Policy and Strategy, Bina Program, DG of Bina Marga, PU |
| 4. Traffic Section: |
| Leader: Subdirector of Urban Traffic, BSTP, DGLT, MOT |
| Member: Head of Transportation Infrastructure Sector, CMEA |
| Subdirector of Urban, Directorate of Urban, BAPPENAS |
| 5. Transportation Impact Section: |
| Leader: Subdirector of Urban Transportation Impact, BSTP, DGLT, MOT |
| Member: Head of Subagency of Land Transportation, Transportation Agency, Banten Province |
| Subdirector, Directorate of Urban Planning, DG of BANGDA, Ministry of Home Affairs |
| COLINTERDART TEAM: (Coordinator and Staff of DSTD/DCLT) |
| 1 Transportation Notwork |
| 1. It disputdion Network |
| 2. Transportation Wode Integration |
| 3. KOAU TRANSPORTATION |
| 4 113007 |

5. Transportation Impact

| JICA Indonesia Office | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Mr. MATSUNAGA Akira | Senior Representative, JICA Indonesia Office |
| Mr. HIGUCHI Hajime | Representative, JICA Indonesia Office |
| JICA Study Team | |
| Dr. MASUJIMA Tetsuji | Team Leader/Public Transportation Planning |
| Mr. KUMAZAWA Ken | Transportation Planning/Financial Analysis |
| Mr. Mazhar IQBAL | Bus Operation Planning/Financial Planning |
| Mr. ABE Osamu | Institution/Management |
| Mr. IRIE Tetsushi | Public Transportation Facility Planning |
| Dr. Kov MONYRATH | Bus Demand Analysis |
| Ms. SAKAI Yuko | Environmental and Social Consideration |
| Mr. KOMORI Masaru | Transportation Survey and Analysis |
| Mr. Frits OLYSLAGERS | Busway Planning |
| Mr. IZUMI Sadatoshi | Bus Operation Information System |
| Dr. OKAMURA Makoto | Project Coordination/Training Program |

Figure A1.2 Members of the Japanese Side

APPENDIX 2 : List of JAPTraPIS Master Plan Projects

| | | Cost | | Schedule | • | land an anthra |
|---|--|--------|-------|----------|-------|--|
| Project | : | ('000 | 2012- | 2013- | 2015- | Agencies |
| | | US\$) | 2013 | 2014 | 2020 | Ageneics |
| Integrated PT Network and Service | ces | | | | | |
| P1. Bank Indonesia to Senen two way BRT Relocate Gambir 2 shelter Bank Indonesia Relocate Balaikota shelter | Implement Route 1, 2a, 6, 7, 14 L=30m, W=5m L=120m, W=5m, and footpath (20mx2.5m) L=60m, W=5m | 2,077 | 0 | | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P2. Pessing shelter New shelter D. Atas 1 D. Atas pedestrian underpath D. Atas escalator D. Atas 2 Stasiun Cawan pedestrian improvement | L=60m, W=5m L=60m, W=5m L=40m, W=3.5m, H=2.5m L=60m, W=5m L=32m, W=2.5m | 1,084 | 0 | | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P3. Busway track (JI.Mattraman and Bekasi) Mattraman link new transfer station D.Atas area traffic modification Blok M terminal upgrade Blok M pedestrian deck | Implement Route 3, 5, 11, 16a L=60m, W=5m L=30m, W=10m L=35m, W=180m L=400m, W=5m | 5,482 | 0 | | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P4. • Kalideres shelter improvement | L-40m, W=8m Implement Route 2b, 25 | 175 | 0 | | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P5. • Kp. Melayu shelter modification | L=40m, W=5m (2 unit) Implement Route 4 | 218 | 0 | | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P6. Corridor 1,2&3 upgrading (track) Corridor 1,2&3 upgrading (shelter Corridor 1,2&3 upgrading (bridge floor) | L=34.9km 55 shelters (L=45m, W=5m each) 40 bridged (400m ² each) | 17,917 | 0 | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P7. • Pluit to Tj. Priok | Implement Route 12 | 13,907 | | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P8. • Implement Intermediate | Implement Intermediate Route 40, 44, 45, 46, 48 | 2,981 | | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang District |

Table A2.1 List of JAPTraPIS Master Plan Projects

| P9. Harapan Indah extension | Implement Route 16 | 12,799 | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Bekasi City |
|---|--|--------|---|---|--|
| P10. Corridor 11 extension to Bekasi terminal Imlement Intermediate | Implement Route 26 Implement Intermediate Route 47, 52, 54 | 15,904 | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Bekasi City |
| P11. • Extension Tangerang | Implement Route 13a+ 13b, 2 (after 2015) | 13,045 | 0 | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang City |
| P12. • Tentarapelajar link | Implement Route 15 | 4,731 | 0 | | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P13. Casablanca, Tn.Abang to Kp.Melayu | Implement Route 27 | 7,108 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P14. • Kyai Maja link to Kuningan | | 2,739 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P15.Ciputat extensionImplement Intermediate | Implement Route 23 Implement Intermediate Route 41, 42 | 8,113 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang Selatan City, Depok City |
| P16.BRT Tol. Serpong | Implement Route 24 | 8,294 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang Selatan City |
| P17. Ciledug link and Cililitan link | Implement Route 8, 22 | 16,218 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang City |
| P18. Develop Cawang UKI as main Transfer shelter | L=140m, W=5m | 3,440 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P19. Kalimalang Corridor Implement Intermediate | Implement Route 9 Implement Intermediate Route 49 | 10,022 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Bekasi City |
| P20. JI. Tol Letnan Haryono to Manggarai | | 2,962 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P21.Cibubur to Cawang via TollRoad | Implement Route 19 | 13,933 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Bogor District |
| P22. Depok Baru to Toll link Implement Intermediate | Implement Route 10 Implement Intermediate Route 43, 50, 51 | 8,851 | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Depok City, Bekasi City, Bogor District |

| | | 1 | | | | |
|--|--|---------|---|---|---|--|
| P23. ▪ Jl. Raden Ajeng Kartini | Implement Route 21 | 8,861 | | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta |
| P24. • Sukamajo to Gedung | Implement Route 18 | 15,876 | | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Depok City |
| P25. Depok Baru to Toll. MH Haryonoto | Implement Route 10 | 17,189 | | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Depok City |
| P26. Tangerang to BSD | Implement Route 13 | 23,260 | | | 0 | TJ, Tangerang City, Tangerang Selatan City |
| P27. BSD to Harmoni via Kbn.Jeruk Toll Link | Implement Route 30 | 6,849 | | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang City, Tangerang Selatan City |
| P28. BSD to Bank Indonesia via Tn.Abang new Toll link | Implement Route 29 | 4,273 | | | 0 | TJ, DKI Jakarta, Tangerang Selatan City |
| P29. Bekasi station to Setu Implement Intermediate | Implement Route 17 Implement Intermediate Route 53 | 14,067 | | | 0 | TJ, Bekasi City, Bogor District |
| P30. • Pulo Gadung to Bumi Anggrek | Implement Route 20 | 13,907 | | | 0 | TJ, Bekasi City Bekasi District |
| P31. • Bogor Extension | Implement Route 31 | 3,077 | | | 0 | TJ, Bogor City, Bogor District |
| Articulated Bus for Full BRT Routes | 574 Buses | 192,300 | 0 | 0 | | TJ |
| Articulated Bus for Full BRT Routes | 1,107 Buses | 370,800 | | | 0 | TJ |
| Single Bus for intermediate Routes | 277 Buses | 72,000 | | | 0 | TJ |

| | | Cost | | Schedule | ; | land and an ender a |
|--|------------|------------|--------|----------|------------|---------------------|
| Project | t | ('000 | 2012- | 2013- | 2015- | Implementing |
| | | US\$) | 2013 | 2014 | 2020 | Agencies |
| Infrastructure Development | | | • | • | • | |
| BRT Location System and Control | | | | | | |
| Center | | | | | | |
| Equipment in Bus | 1,100 Sets | | | | | |
| LED indicator inside Bus | 1,100 Sets | | | | | |
| Radio System | 1,100 Sets | | | | | |
| Information Monitor at Bus Station | 260 Sets | 7,289 | 0 | 0 | | TJ |
| Monitor at Control Center | 20 Sets | | | | | |
| PC sets | 30 Sets | | | | | |
| System Development and | | | | | | |
| Server | | | | | | |
| BRT Location System and Control | | | | | | |
| Center | | | | | | |
| Equipment in Bus | 1,400 Sets | | | | | |
| LED indicator inside Bus | 1,400 Sets | 6,500 | | | \bigcirc | TJ |
| Radio System | 1,400 Sets | | | | | |
| Information Monitor at Bus | 180 Sets | | | | | |
| Station | | | | | | |
| Bus Ticketing System | | | | | | |
| Automatic Gate at Shelter (2 | 520 sets | | | | | |
| gates/ shelter) | | | | | | |
| Sales Terminal at Shelter | 260 sets | | | | | |
| System Development and | | | | | | |
| Server | | 16.280 | 0 | 0 | | ТJ |
| Handy Ierminal in Intermediate | 160 sets | , | | | | |
| Software Development for | | | | | | |
| Intermediate Bus | | | | | | |
| Wireless I AN Equipment and | | | | | | |
| Server for Intermediate Bus | | | | | | |
| Bus Ticketing System | | | | | | |
| Automatic Gate at Shelter (2 | 360 sets | | | | | |
| gates/ shelter) | | | | | | |
| Sales Terminal at Shelter | 180 sets | 4,200 | | | 0 | IJ |
| • Handy Terminal in Intermediate | 120 sets | | | | | |
| Bus | | | | | | |
| Park & Ride Facility | Space: | | 1 | 1 | 1 | т |
| Pinang Ranti | 100 | n 0 | \cap | | | DKI lakarta |
| Pulo Gebang | 100 | 11.a. | \cup | | | Bokasi City |
| Harapan Indah | 300~ | | | | | Derasi Cily |

| Park & Ride Facility | Space: | | | | | T |
|---------------------------------|--------|-------|------------|------------|------------|--------------------------|
| | 200 | | | | | IJ, |
| • IJ.Priok | 200 | | | | | DKI Jakarta, |
| • Ancol | 200 | n.a. | | 0 | | Bekası City, |
| • Bekasi | 300~ | | | | | Tangerang |
| • Mergahayu | 300~ | | | | | City |
| ・ Cikokor | 200 | | | | | |
| Park & Ride Facility | Space: | | | | | ті |
| ・ Telukpucung | 300~ | | | | | To, Bokasi |
| ・ Setu | 300~ | | | | | District |
| • Setos | 300~ | | | | | District, Bokasi City |
| • Ciledug | 300~ | | | | | Tangarang |
| • BSD | 300~ | n.a. | | | \bigcirc | |
| Bintaro | 300~ | | | | | City, |
| ・ Ciputat | 200 | | | | | langerang |
| • Cibubur | 300~ | | | | | Selatang City, |
| • Jatijajar | 200 | | | | | Bogor District, |
| Depok Baru | 300~ | | | | | Depok City, |
| Integrated/ Multimodal Terminal | | | | | | |
| • Kota | | | | | | |
| Dukuh Atas 182 | | | | | | |
| Blok M | | | | | | |
| • Lebak Bulus | | na | \bigcirc | \bigcirc | | TJ, |
| Pulo Gebang | | 11.0. | 0 | Ŭ | | DKI Jakarta |
| Pulo Gadung | | | | | | |
| · Grogol | | | | | | |
| • Kalideres | | | | | | |
| Integrated/ Multimodal Terminal | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| · Combin | | | | | | |
| Ganibii | | | | | | TJ, |
| Managanai | | | | | | DKI Jakarta, |
| | | | | | | Bekasi City, |
| Pasar Winggu | | | | | | Tangerang |
| • Kp. Melayu | | n.a. | | | 0 | City, |
| | | | | | | Tangerang |
| Ierminal Bekasi | | | | | | Selatang City, |
| • Cawan | | | | | | Depok City |
| | | | | | | . , |
| • Rw. Buntu | | | | | | |
| Depok Baru | | | | | | |
| Cycle and Walking Facilities | | n.a | \cap | \cap | \bigcirc | All Local |
| | | | | | \bigcirc | Governments |

| | | | Schedule | Implementing | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| Project | ('000 US\$) | 2012- 2013 | 2013- 2014 | 2015- 2020 | Agencies |
| Establishment of Trans Jabodetabek | | | | | |
| Establishment of JTA | na | \cap | | | Central |
| | n.a. | | | | Government |
| Institutional Design | n.a. | 0 | | | JTA |
| Establishment and Operation | n.a. | | 0 | | JTA |

| Project | | Cost | | Schedule | | Implementing |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|----------|------------|--------------|
| | | ('000 | 0 2012- 2013- 2015- | Δαencies | | |
| | | US\$) | 2013 | 2014 | 2020 | Ageneics |
| Reforming Bus Management System | | | | | | |
| Minimum Service Standards | | | | | | DGLT, |
| | | na | | \cap | \bigcirc | JTA, |
| | | n.a. | | | | All Local |
| | | | | | | Governments |
| Rejuvenation of Bus Fleets | ation of Bus Fleets | | | | DGLT, | |
| | | n.a. | 0 | 0 | 0 | JTA, |
| | | | | | | All Local |
| | | | | | | Governments |
| Restructuring General Bus | uring General Bus | n.a. | | | 0 | DGLT, |
| Licensing | | | | | | JTA, |
| | | | | Ŭ | | All Local |
| | | | | | | Governments |
| Institutional and Capacity Building | | | | 0 | | DGLT, |
| | ТА | тл | | | | JTA, |
| | | IA | | | | All Local |
| | | | | | | Governments |

Note: JTA: JABODETABEK Transportation Agency, TJ: TransJabodetabek (Regional BRT Agency under JTA), TA: Technical Assistance (funded by Official Development Assistance)