## CHAPTER 11

## POSSIBLE CAPITAL INVESTMENT FOR THE STUDY AREA

### 11.1 PAST CAPITAL INVESTMENT

Past capital investment for the road sector is shown in Table 11.1-1.

## DPWH-National

- Quite high growth rate for the road sector investment was recorded from 2005 to 2009 at $40.7 \%$ per annum.
- Allocation to Region X, Region XII and Road Project within ARMM

Region X ----------------------------------- 1.8\% - 6.1\% of National Road Budget
Region XII --------------------------------- 0.7\% - 4.3\% of National Road Budget
Road Projects within ARMM ----------- 1.3\% - 3.6\% of National Road Budget

## DPWH-ARMM

- Allocation to infrastructure has been constant and no increase was made from 2006 to 2008.
- Allocation to a road sector from infrastructure budget is decreasing.
- Allocation of infrastructure budget to a road sector of mainland provinces ranged from $36 \%$ to $38 \%$.
TABLE 11.1-1 PAST INVESTMENT FOR ROAD SECTOR
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### 11.2 ESTIMATE OF POSSIBLE INVESTMENT FOR THE STUDY AREA

Based on the past trend of capital investment, capital investment for the Study Area was estimated on the following assumptions;

## DPWH-National

- DPWH-National's capital investment for the road sector will increase 5\% to $10 \%$ per annum.
- Allocation of DPWH-National's road budget to Region XII and ARMM through DPWH-National
Region X 5.1\%
Region XII 4.5\%
Road Projects within ARMM
1.5\%


## DPWH-ARMM

- Infrastructure budget will increase $5 \%$ to $10 \%$ per annum.
- Allocation from infrastructure budget to a road sector will be as follows;

Mainland Provinces ------------------------38
Island Provinces 32\%

Based on the above assumptions, possible investment was estimated as shown in Table 11.2-1.

Adopting conservative assumptions, i.e. DPWH-National's budget will increase at $5 \%$ per annum, and ARMM's infrastructure budget will increase at $5 \%$ per annum , possible investment for a road sector for the Study Area will be as shown in Table 11.2-2.

## TABLE 11.2-1 ESTIMATE OF POSSIBLE INVESTMENT TO THE STUDY AREA BY DPWH-NATIONAL

| Year | DPWH-National Budget |  |  |  | Allocation to Region X <br> 5\% of Budget |  | Allocation to Region XII <br> $4.5 \%$ of Budget |  | Allocation to ARIMM thru <br> DPWH-National <br> $1.5 \%$ of Budget |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Case-1 |  | Case-2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5\% per annum | 5 years Total | 10\% per annum | 5 years Total | Case-1 | Case-2 | Case-1 | Case-2 | Case-1 | Case-2 |
| 2009 | 75,428 |  | 75,428 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 75,500 |  | 75,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 79,300 |  | 83,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 83,200 |  | 91,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 87,400 | 438,100 | 100,500 | 507,100 | 21,900 | 25,300 | 19,700 | 22,800 | 6,600 | 7,600 |
| 2014 | 91,800 |  | 110,500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 | 96,400 |  | 121,600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 101,200 |  | 133,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017 | 106,200 |  | 147,100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 | 111,500 | 559,000 | 161,800 | 816,500 | 27,900 | 40,800 | 25,200 | 36,700 | 8,300 | 12,200 |
| 2019 | 117,100 |  | 178,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2020 | 123,000 |  | 195,800 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 129,100 |  | 215,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2022 | 135,600 |  | 237,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2023 | 142,400 | 713,500 | 260,600 | 1,315,100 | 35,600 | 65,700 | 32,100 | 59,200 | 10,700 | 19,700 |
| 2024 | 149,500 |  | 286,700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2025 | 156,900 |  | 315,400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 11.2-2 ESTIMATED POSSIBLE INVESTMENT FOR ROAD SECTOR
(Unit: Million PhP)

|  |  | 2011-2015 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DPWH- <br> National | Region X | 21,900 | 27,900 | 35,600 |
|  | Region XII | 19,700 | 25,200 | 32,100 |
|  | Projects <br> ARMM | for | 6,600 | 8,300 |
| ARMM <br> Government | Mainland <br> Provinces | 1,433 | 1,829 | 2,334 |

## CHAPTER 12

## ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT SCENARIO

### 12.1 ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT ISSUES

Road network development issues were identified as follows;

## Road Network Development Issues

## 1) Road Density

Road Density is the lowest in the country, only $1 / 2$ of the other areas of the Philippines.

## 2) Pavement Ratio

Pavement ratio is slightly higher than the DPWH-National's level. However, there are still 207 km of national road remains un-paved.
3) Road Condition of Paved Roads

Paved road condition in ARMM is much better than those of DPWH-National, but still 152 km of paved roads are in bad/very bad condition.

## 4) Missing Link

There are 7 missing links, thus wide areas remain inaccessible, and people are forced to make a long detour. Hence, there are many areas with accessibility problems.

## 5) Road Maintenance

Road maintenance needs to be strengthened, but fund is limited.

### 12.2 FUTURE ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT VISIONS

In due consideration of the region's development issues and constraints, the road network development visions were established as follows;

## Region's Development Issues

- Conflict affected area
- The poorest region in the country
- Agri-fishery is the dominant industry, thus the sector's development is the key for overall regional development and poverty reduction.

Road Network Development Visions

1) Establishment of Road Network for Universal Development of all areas which contributes to peace building.
2) Establishment of Road Network for Agro-fishery Development through which poverty reduction will be attained
3) Establishment of Road network which attains reliable and smooth mobility of people and freight for social and economic activities.

Above visions are further explained as follows;

1) Establishment of Road Network for Universal Development of All Areas which contributes to peace building

Road network which attains regional integration, easier access to basic social services and easier coordination among regions

- To provide appropriate road network by eliminating missing links and providing additional roads.
- To provide easy access to hospitals, government centers, etc.

2) Establishment of Road Networks which contributes to agro-fishery development through which poverty reduction will be attained

- To reduce transport cost
- To provide access to potential areas which are not developed yet
- To provide better access to markets and agro-industry centers.
- To achieve better inter-modal transhipment
- To provide reliable means of transportation (harvested agri-products can be transported to markets or other destinations without delay)
- To support on-going and proposed agri-related projects

3) Establishment of Road Networks which attains reliable and smooth mobility of people and freight for social and economic activities

- To provide reliable, fast and comfortable means of transportation
- To reduce transport cost


### 12.3 ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY

Road network development strategies in relation to visions were established as shown below;

| VISION |
| :--- |
| - Road Network for Universal |
| development of all areas |
| which contributes to peace |
| building |
| - Road Network for Agro- |
| fishery development and |
| Poverty Reduction |
| - Road Network for smooth |
| mobility |

## STRATEGY

- Increase Road Density
- Improve Provincial Roads to National Road Standards
- Construction of new roads, but selectively
- Eliminate missing links
- Improvement of gravel/earth roads to paved roads
- Rehabilitation of paved roads in bad/very bad condition
- Preservation of existing road assets by intensified road maintenance
- Improvement of Farm-toMarket roads


### 12.4 ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT TARGETS

Road network development targets were established as shown below;
TARGETS

|  | Present | By 2015 | By 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Road Density (including Provincial Roads upgrading) | 0.076 | $\begin{gathered} 0.085 \\ (+100 \mathrm{~km}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.095 \\ & (+120 \mathrm{~km}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2) Pavement Ratio | 76.8\% | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (+30 \mathrm{~km}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 5 \%} \\ & (+130 \mathrm{~km}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3) Road in Good/Fair Condition | 71.1\% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{8 0 \%} \\ & (+65 \mathrm{~km}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ (+100 \mathrm{~km}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4) No. of Missing Link | 7 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{5} \\ \text { (remove } 2 \text { missing link) } \end{gathered}$ | $\boldsymbol{0}$ (remove 5 missing links) |
| 5) Temporary Bridges/ Bridges Need Replacement | 35 | 25 $(10$ Bridge Replacement) | (25 Bridge Replacement) |

## CHAPTER 13

## ROAD NETWORK DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN

### 13.1 MASTER PLAN FORMULATION PROCEDURE

The master plan formulation procedure is shown in Figure 13.1-1.


FIGURE 13.1-1 MASTER PLAN FORMULATION PROCEDURE

### 13.2 PROPOSED FUTURE ROAD NETWORK

### 13.2.1 Procedure to Develop Future Road Network

Procedure to develop future road network is shown in Figure 13.2.1-1.

| Development of Inter-Regional |
| :--- |
| Network (whole Mindanao Primary |
| Road Network) |
| - Primary Inter-City Road |

Development of Intra-Regional
Road Network (covering ARMM,
Region $X$ and Region XII)

- Regional Primary Road
- Regional Secondary Road



## FIGURE 13.2.1-1 PROCEDURE TO DEVELOP FUTURE ROAD NETWORK

Definition of 3 classes of roads is as follows;

## Primary Inter-City Road

- Major road which connects Mega Urban Centers, Primary Urban Centers, Secondary Urban Centers, and major ports and airports to each other.
- Major road which functions as a logistic corridor in Mindanao Island.
- Major road which provides access to Nautical Highway.


## Regional Primary Road

- Major road which links Primary Inter-city Road each other.
- Important road within the Region to form regional physical framework for even development.


## Regional Secondary Road

- Major road which links Primary Inter-city Roads and Regional Primary Roads each other
- Functions as collector/distributor road.


### 13.2.2 Primary Inter-city Road Network in Mindanao

Urban centers are the places of accumulation of population and commercial, business, industrial and administrative and social activities. Urban centers are the places of traffic generation and attraction.

Urban centers are dependent on each other for their economic and social activities. Inter-Regional Road Network should be planned to connect important urban centers. Urban centers were classified into 3 categories.

Classification of Urban Centers:

| MEGA URBAN CENTER | $:$ | Population over 500,000 with base port <br> and/or port |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PRIMARY URBAN CENTER | $:$ | Population between 200,000 and 500,000 |

Classification of urban centers and their distribution is shown in Figure 13.2.2-1.

Proposed Mindanao primary Inter-city road network is shown in Figure 13.2.2-2.


FIGURE 13.2.2-1 DISTRIBUTION OF URBAN CENTERS


FIGURE 13.2.2-1 MINDANAO PRIMARY INTER-CITY ROAD NETWORK

### 13.2.3 Regional Primary and Secondary Roads

Basic concepts to form Regional Primary and Secondary Road Network are as follows (refer to Figure 13.2.3-1);

- To form flexible road networks around Cotabato City by linking three Primary Inter-city Roads each other.
- To form an alternative route to connect Cotabato City with General Santos City.
- To form major links to connect two Primary Inter-city Roads each other.


### 13.2.4 Proposed Road Network

Proposed road network for ARMM, Region X and Region XII is shown in Figure 13.2.4-1.


FIGURE 13.2.3-1 BASIC CONCEPT OF FORMULATING REGIONAL PRIMARY AND SECONDARY ROADS


FIGURE 13.2.4-1 PROPOSED ROAD NETWORK FOR ARMM,
REGIONS X AND XII

### 13.3 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

### 13.3.1 Project Identification Criteria

Project Identification criteria was established as follows;
ROAD PROJECT IDENTIFICATION CRITERIA


BRIDGE PROJECT IDENTIFICATION CRITERIA

| Existing Road Condition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Temporary Bridge |
| (1-lane wooden/ |
| Bailey Bridge) |

## 13．3．2 Identified Road／Bridge Projects

Based on the results of the road／bridge inventory and condition survey and the proposed road network，road／bridge projects were identified and shown in Table 13．3．2－1．

TABLE 13．3．2－1（1／3）PROJECT LIST：ARMM

| Road Class | Road <br> No． | Road Name |  | Type of Works |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苛 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \text { by } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Engineering Services |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{⿹ 丁 口} \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { 立 } \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F／S | D／D | S／V |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （m） | （Mil．PHP） | 2．00\％ | 4．00\％ | 8．00\％ | （Mii．PHP） | （Mil．PHP） | （Mil．PHP） |
| Primary Inter－City Road | L－1 | lligan－Marawi Road | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 88.8 | 1.8 | 3.6 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 101.2 |
|  | L－2 | Marawi－Marantao Road | 9.3 | （on－going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12－2（2） | Marawi－Marabang Road（Pualas Salindong Sec） | 19.5 | （on－9oing） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L2－2（1） | Marawi－Marabang Road（Malabang．Pualassec） | 36.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.0 | 0.0 | 1，183．7 | 23.7 | 47.3 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 165.7 | 1，349．4 |
|  | MC－1 | Bito－Marawi Road | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 83.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 11.7 | 95.2 |
|  | MC－2 | Marawi－Bacung Road | 3.5 | 0.00.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 68.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 9.6 | 78.2 |
|  | L2－1 | Cotabato－Malabang－Lanao del Note Road | 64.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 310.0 | 1，554．5 | 31.1 | 62.2 | 124.4 | 0.0 | 217.6 | 1，772．1 |
|  | SK－1 | Davao－Cotabato Road | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 179.3 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 204.4 |
|  | SK－2 | WYELength Davao－Cotabato Road | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 8.4 |
|  | SK－3 | Cotabato－Lanao Road | 51.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 46.5 | 410.0 | 1，410．8 | 28.2 | 56.4 | 112.9 | 0.0 | 197.5 | 1，608．3 |
|  | SK－6 | Simuay－Landsan－Parang Road | 12.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 35.0 | 289.5 | 5.8 | 11.6 | 23.2 | 0.0 | 40.5 | 330.0 |
|  | SK－4 | Salimbao－Delta Bridge Road | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 9.8 |
|  | SK－5 | Lamsan－Simuay Jct．Road | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 23.2 |
|  | SK－9 | Marmel－Ala－Cotabato Road | 27.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.2 | 0.0 | 554.2 | 11.1 | 22.2 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 77.6 | 631.8 |
|  | M－1 | Marmel－Ala－Cotabato Road | 32.9 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 240.0 | 853.0 | 17.1 | 34.1 | 68.2 | 0.0 | 119.4 | 972.4 |
|  | M－5 | Kabacan－Pagalungan Road | 13.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 0.0 \\ \hline \mathbf{0 . 0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 300.0 | 496.8 | 9.9 | 19.9 | 39.7 | 0.0 | 69.6 | 566.3 |
|  | Total |  | 290.8 |  | 0.0 | 5.4 | 276.1 | 1，295．0 | 6，799．0 | 136.0 | 272.0 | 543.9 | 0.0 | 951.9 | 7，750．8 |
| Regional Primary Road | L1－3 | Marawi－Masiu Road | 33.0 | （on－going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L2－3 | Masiu－Ganassi Road | 30.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | L1－4 | Mulondo－Wao Road | 75.2 | 5.7 30.4 |  | 0.0 | 39.1 | 30.0 | 1，859．6 | 37.2 | 74.4 | 148.8 | 108.2 | 368.6 | 2，228．2 |
|  | MC－6 | Marawi－Pugaan Road | 7.5 |  |  | 0.0 | 7.5 | 60.0 | 186.1 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 26.0 | 212.1 |
|  | L2p－1 | SK Border－Butig－Lumbayanague Road | 31.0 | 0.0 <br> 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 851.9 | 17.0 | 34.1 | 68.2 | 75.0 | 194.3 | 1，046．1 |
|  | SKp－1 | Parang－Buldon Road | 29.0 | 0.0 <br> 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 | 6.6 | 165.0 | 762.6 | 15.3 | 30.5 | 61.0 | 0.0 | 106.8 | 869.4 |
|  | SK－7 | Landsan－Polloc Road | 3.6 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 65.7 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 74.9 |
|  | SK－8 | Parang Wharf Road | 0.8 | $\frac{0.0}{\text {（on－going）}} 0.0$ |  | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 17.1 |
|  | SK－10 | Awang－Upi－Lebak Road | 38.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M－2 | Awang－Upi－Lebak Road | 30.6 | （on－9oing） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SKn－2 | Matanog－Alamada Road（Matanog－Buldion Sec） | 20.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 20.0 \\ \hline 15.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 595.0 | 11.9 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 60.0 | 143.3 | 738.3 |
|  | SKn－3 | Matanog－Alamada Road（evidon－Alamada Sec） | 15.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 446.3 | 8.9 | 17.9 | 35.7 | 45.0 | 107.5 | 553.8 |
|  | SKn－5 | Kabacan－Midsayap Road | 3.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 595.0 | 11.9 | 23.8 | 47.6 | 60.0 | 143.3 | 738.3 |
|  | M－3 | Dulawan－Marbel Road |  | 0.00.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 215.0 | 284.7 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 39.9 | 324.5 |
|  | M－4 | Kidapawan－Ala Road | 14.3 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 155.0 | 364.6 | 7.3 | 14.6 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 51.0 | 415.6 |
|  | Mp－2 | Datu Saudi Ampatuan Road | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 155.6 | 3.1 | 6.2 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 177.4 |
|  | Mn－1 | Sultan sa Barongis Pagalungan Road | 35.0 | $35.0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1，041．3 | 20.8 | 41.7 | 83.3 | 105.0 | 250.8 | 1，292．1 |
|  | Mp－1 | Maganoy－Sultan sa Barongis Road | 22.2 | $\begin{array}{ll\|} \hline 2 & 0 \\ \hline 2 & 0.0 \\ \hline 0 & 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 7.0 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 403.5 | 8.1 | 16.1 | 32.3 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 460.0 |
|  | Mp－3 | Maganoy－Lebak Road | 31.0 |  | $\frac{0.0}{\text {（on－90ing）} 25.0}$ |  | 4.7 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 885.6 | 17.7 | 35.4 | 70.8 | 75.0 | 199.0 | 1，084．5 |
|  | SKn－7 | Cotabato City East Diversion Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | 460.7 | ${ }^{(00-950 i n g)} 80.4$ |  | 34.1 | 110.4 | 625.0 | 8，512．5 | 170.2 | 340.5 | 681.0 | 528.2 | 1，720．0 | 10，232．4 |
| Regional Secondary Road | L－5 | Marawi－Kapai Road | 12.0 | 0.00.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 11.7 | 0.0 | 185.3 | 3.7 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 211.3 |
|  | Lp－1 | Balindong－Pantao Ragat Road | 8.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 133.2 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 151.9 |
|  | MC－3 | Marawi－Landing Road | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 14.1 |
|  | MC－4 | Marawi－Cadre Road | 0.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline 0.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 14.1 |
|  | MC－5 | Maraw－Msu Road | 1.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 18.3 |
|  | MC－7 | Marawi－Kapai Road | 6.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 110.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 125.7 |
|  | MC－8 | Marawi－Marcos Blvd Road |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 135.0 | 131.6 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 10.5 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 150.1 |
|  | MC－9 | Bito－Marawi－Agus Road | $\frac{1.1}{170}$ | 0.0 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 20.1 |
|  | 12－4 | Ganassi－Tubod Road |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 190.5 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 217.2 |
|  | L2p－2 | Malabang－Marogong－Tubaran－Bayang Road | $\begin{array}{r} 32.0 \\ \hline 6.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.0 | 25.0 | 4.8 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 739.3 | 14.8 | 29.6 | 59.1 | 75.0 | 178.5 | 917.8 |
|  | 12p－3 | Madalum－Munai Road |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 100.1 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 114.1 |
|  | 12n－1 | Parang－Balabagan Road | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ \hline 20.0 \\ \hline 10.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20.010.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 492.3 | 9.8 | 19.7 | 39.4 | 60.0 | 128.9 | 621.2 |
|  | SKn－1 | Parang－Balabagan Road |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 246.2 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 19.7 | 30.0 | 64.5 | 310.6 |
|  | SK－11 | Awang Aiport Road | $\frac{10.0}{0.8}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 12.8 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 14.6 |
|  | SKp－2 | Tamontaka－Tapian Road | 20.1 |  | 0.0 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 160.0 | 447.3 | 8.9 | 17.9 | 35.8 | 0.0 | 62.6 | 509.9 |
|  | SKn－6 | Tapian－Lebak Road |  | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1，230．8 | 24.6 | 49.2 | 98.5 | 150.0 | 322.3 | 1，553．1 |
|  | SKp－3 | Diang－Upi Road | 50.0 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 429.0 | 8.6 | 17.2 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 60.1 | 489.0 |
|  | SKp－5 | Diang－Upi Road Phase II | 21.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 1.6 | 110.0 | 465.8 | 9.3 | 18.6 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 65.2 | 531.0 |
|  | SKp－4 | Pinaring－Simsiman Road | $\begin{array}{\|} \hline 22.5 \\ \hline 20.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.020.0 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.6 | 55.0 | 450.0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 513.0 |
|  | SKn－4 | Manuangan－Parang Road |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 492.3 | 9.8 | 19.7 | 39.4 | 60.0 | 128.9 | 621.2 |
|  | Total |  | $26.0$ | 100.0 | 25.0 | 89.9 | 51.2 | 468.0 | 5，915．0 | 118.3 | 236.6 | 473.2 | 375.0 | 1，203．1 | 7，118．1 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 1，017．6 | 195.7 | 105.4 | 129.4 | 437.7 | 2，388．0 | 21，226．4 | 424.5 | 849.1 | 1，698．1 | 903.2 | 3，874．9 | 25，101．4 |

TABLE 13．3．2－1（2）PROJECT LIST：REGION X

| Road Class | Road No． | Road Name |  | Type of Works |  |  |  | 苟00000000 | Engineering Services |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\leftrightarrows} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 000号000332 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F／S | D／D | S／V |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （Mil．PHP） | 2．00\％ | 4．00\％ | 8．00\％ | （Mil．PHP） | （Mil．PHP） | （Mil．PHP） |
| Primary Inter－City Road | P－1（1） | Butuan－Cagayan de Or－lligan－Tubod Road （Butuan－Cagayan de Oro Sec．） | 121.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 121.3 | 2，471．8 | 49.4 | 98.9 | 197.7 | 0.0 | 346.1 | 2，817．9 |
|  | P－1（2） | Butuan－Cagayan de Oro－lligan－Tubod Road （Cagayan de Oro－ligan Sec．） | 81.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 81.2 | 1，654．5 | 33.1 | 66.2 | 132.4 | 0.0 | 231.6 | 1，886．2 |
|  | Pl－1（3） | Butuan－Cagayan de Or－lligan－Tubod Road （lligan－Tubod Sec．） | 68.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 68.7 | 1，399．8 | 28.0 | 56.0 | 112.0 | 0.0 | 196.0 | 1，595．8 |
|  | Pl－2 | Sayre Highway | 136.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 136.9 | 2，893．2 | 57.9 | 115.7 | 231.5 | 0.0 | 405.1 | 3，298．3 |
|  | Pl－3 | Maramag－Kibawe－Kabacan Road | 45.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.1 | 952.2 | 19.0 | 38.1 | 76.2 | 0.0 | 133.3 | 1，085．5 |
|  | Pl－4 | Davao－Bukidnon Road | 59.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 1，252．4 | 25.0 | 50.1 | 100.2 | 0.0 | 175.3 | 1，427．7 |
|  | Pl－5 | lligan－Marawi Road | 22.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 530.4 | 10.6 | 21.2 | 42.4 | 0.0 | 74.3 | 604.7 |
|  | Pl－6 | Tubod－S．N．Dimaporo Road | 23.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 | 481.3 | 9.6 | 19.3 | 38.5 | 0.0 | 67.4 | 548.7 |
|  | P1－7 | Kapatagan－R．Magsaysay Road | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 271.0 | 5.4 | 10.8 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 37.9 | 308.9 |
|  | Pl－8 | Cotabato－Marabang－Lanao Del Norte Road | 27.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 558.7 | 11.2 | 22.3 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 78.2 | 636.9 |
|  |  | Total | 598.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 587.7 | 12，465．4 | 249.3 | 498.6 | 997.2 | 0.0 | 1，745．2 | 14，210．6 |
| Regional Primary | RP－1 | Cagayan de Oro－Talakag－Maramag Road | 165.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 92.6 | 3，562．3 | 71.2 | 142.5 | 285.0 | 0.0 | 498.7 | 4，061．0 |
| Road | RP－2 | Wao－Kiliangan Road | 7.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 132.9 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 10.6 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 151.5 |
|  |  | Total | 172.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 73.2 | 99.7 | 3，695．2 | 73.9 | 147.8 | 295.6 | 0.0 | 517.3 | 4，212．5 |
| Regional Secondary Road | RS1 | Gingoog－Villanueva Road | 71.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 16.9 | 1，381．9 | 27.6 | 55.3 | 110.6 | 0.0 | 193.5 | 1，575．3 |
|  | RS2 | Cagayan de Oro－Manolo Fortich Road | 54.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.6 | 9.1 | 1，064．4 | 21.3 | 42.6 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 149.0 | 1，213．4 |
|  | RS3 | Mindanao East－West Lateral Road | 127.9 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 117.9 | 0.0 | 2，603．3 | 52.1 | 104.1 | 208.3 | 30.0 | 394.5 | 2，997．8 |
|  | RS4 | Tagum－Bukidnon Road | 61.7 | （On going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RS－5 | Kalilangan－Kibawe Road | 56.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.4 | 0.0 | 1，127．5 | 22.5 | 45.1 | 90.2 | 0.0 | 157.8 | 1，285．3 |
|  | RS6 | Cagayan de Oro－Mantic ao Road | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 1，199．9 | 24.0 | 48.0 | 96.0 | 0.0 | 168.0 | 1，367．9 |
|  | RS7 | Kauswagan－Munai－Madalum Road | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 500.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 569.9 |
|  | RS－8 | Ganassi－Tubod Road | 23.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 424.3 | 8.5 | 17.0 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 59.4 | 483.7 |
|  |  | Total | 480.6 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 382.9 | 26.0 | 8，301．2 | 166.0 | 332.0 | 664.1 | 30.0 | 1，192．2 | 9，493．4 |
| Ground Total |  |  | 1，252．3 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 467.2 | 713.5 | 24，461．9 | 489.2 | 978.5 | 1，956．9 | 30.0 | 3，454．7 | 27，916．5 |

TABLE 13．3．2－1（3）PROJECT LIST：REGION XII

| Road Class | Road No． | Road Name |  | Type of Works |  |  |  | 荌0000000 | Engineering Services |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathrm{I}} \\ & \stackrel{4}{\circ} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{n}} \end{aligned}$ | ¢ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F／S | D／D | S／V |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （km） | （Mi．PHP） | 2．00\％ | 4．00\％ | 8．0\％ | （Mi．PHP） | （Mi．PHP） | （Mi．PHP） |
| Pimary Inter－City Road | P－1 | Davao－Cotabato Road | 55.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 1，128．2 | 22.6 | 45.1 | 90.3 | 0.0 | 158.0 | 1，286．2 |
|  | Pl－2 | Cotabato－Digos Road | 58.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.0 | 1，136．7 | 22.7 | 45.5 | 90.9 | 0.0 | 159.1 | 1，295．9 |
|  | Pl－3 | Maramag－Kibawe－Kabacan Road | 48.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.6 | 991.1 | 19.8 | 39.6 | 79.3 | 0.0 | 138.8 | 1，129．8 |
|  | P－4 | Gen．Santos Cotabato Road | 134.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 134.6 | 2，741．8 | 54.8 | 109.7 | 219.3 | 0.0 | 383.9 | 3，125．6 |
|  | Pl－5 | Gen．SantosDigos Road | 39.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.0 | 794.9 | 15.9 | 31.8 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 111.3 | 906.1 |
|  |  | Total | 335.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 335.6 | 6，792．7 | 135.9 | 271.7 | 543.4 | 0.0 | 951.0 | 7，743．7 |
| Regionary Primary Road | $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{P}} 1$ | Libungan－Buldon－Matanog Road | 17.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 404.8 | 8.1 | 16.2 | 32.4 | 0.0 | 56.7 | 461.5 |
|  | RP－2 | Wao－Camen Road | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 809.7 | 16.2 | 32.4 | 64.8 | 0.0 | 113.4 | 923.0 |
|  | RP－3 | Kabuntalan－Midsayap Road | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 301.3 | 6.0 | 12.1 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 42.2 | 343.5 |
|  | Rp－4 | Midsayap－Datumang Road | 13.1 | （On going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RP－5 | Pagalungan－Mamasapano Road | 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 357.4 | 7.1 | 14.3 | 28.6 | 45.0 | 95.0 | 452.4 |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\text {P－6 }}$ | Kdapawan－Ala Road | 48.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.4 | 836.2 | 16.7 | 33.4 | 66.9 | 0.0 | 117.1 | 953.2 |
|  | RP－7 | Buluan－Slan Road | 22.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.7 | 392.6 | 7.9 | 15.7 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 55.0 | 447.5 |
|  | RP－8 | Koronadal－Tacurong－Midsayap Road | 36.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 26.5 | 659.2 | 13.2 | 26.4 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 92.3 | 751.5 |
|  | RP－9 | Gen．SantosGlan－Kalipagan Road | 51.2 | （On going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\text {P}} 10$ | Gen．SantosKiamba－Kalamansig Road | 221.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93.2 | 128.5 | 4，471．0 | 89.4 | 178.8 | 357.7 | 0.0 | 625.9 | 5，096．9 |
|  | RP11 | Islan－Palimbang Road | 75.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.1 | 35.0 | 1，657．8 | 33.2 | 66.3 | 132.6 | 0.0 | 232.1 | 1，889．9 |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{\text {P }} 12$ | Cotabato－Up－KKalamansg Road | 39.0 | （On going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RP13 | Magnoy－LebakRoad | 49.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 49.3 | 0.0 | 1，231．7 | 24.6 | 49.3 | 98.5 | 0.0 | 172.4 | 1，404．2 |
|  |  | Total | 639.5 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 260.2 | 261.0 | 11，121．7 | 222.4 | 444.9 | 889.7 | 45.0 | 1，602．0 | 12，723．7 |
| Regionary Secondary Road | RS1 | Banisilan－Alamada Road | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 500.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 569.9 |
|  | RS2 | Camen－Libuagan Road | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 500.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 569.9 |
|  | RS3 | Matalam－Roxas Road | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 999.9 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 140.0 | 1，139．9 |
|  | RS4 | Kidapawan－Arakan－Davao Road | 75.4 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 45.4 | 0.0 | 1，646．4 | 32.9 | 65.9 | 131.7 | 90.0 | 320.5 | 1，966．8 |
|  | RS5 | Tulunan－Makila Road | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 416.9 | 8.3 | 16.7 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 58.4 | 475.3 |
|  | RS6 | Koronadal－Columbio－Datu Paglas Road | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 500.3 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 70.0 | 570.3 |
|  | RS7 | Padada－Kiblawan－Columbio Road | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 615.4 | 12.3 | 24.6 | 49.2 | 75.0 | 161.2 | 776.5 |
|  | RS8 | LaisAlabel Road | 32.6 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 669.2 | 13.4 | 26.8 | 53.5 | 45.0 | 138.7 | 807.9 |
|  | RS9 | Gen．SantosGlan－Kalipagan Road | 54.3 | （On going） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RS 10 | Saralla－Lake Sebu－Maitum Road | 75.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 45.1 | 30.0 | 1，402．8 | 28.1 | 56.1 | 112.2 | 0.0 | 196.4 | 1，599．2 |
|  | RS 11 | Saltan Kudarat－South Cotabato Link Road | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 1，500．8 | 30.0 | 60.0 | 120.1 | 0.0 | 210.1 | 1，711．0 |
|  | RSn－1 | Tapian－Lebak Road | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 455.7 | 9.1 | 18.2 | 36.5 | 60.0 | 123.8 | 579.5 |
|  |  | Total | 527.5 | 75.0 | 15.0 | 205.5 | 175.0 | 9，207．3 | 184.1 | 368.3 | 736.6 | 270.0 | 1，559．0 | 10，766．3 |
| Ground Total |  |  | 1，502．5 | 90.0 | 15.0 | 465.7 | 771.6 | 27，121．6 | 542.4 | 1，084，9 | 2，169．7 | 315.0 | 4，112．0 | 31，233．7 |

### 13.4 STANDARD DESIGN

### 13.4.1 Design Standard

DPWH-National's minimum design standard is shown in Table 13.4.1-1, which basically defines the standard in accordance with traffic volume.

Under the Master Plan, it is recommended to apply the design standard to each class of roads as follows;

## AADT Range

- Primary Inter-city Road
- Regional Primary Road
- Regional Secondary Road

More than 2,000
1,000-2,000
400-1,000

### 13.4.2 Proposed Typical Cross Sections

Proposed typical road sections by class of road and by type of work are shown in Table 13.4.2-1.
TABLE 13.4.1-1 MINIMUM DESIGN STANDARD PHILIPPINE HIGHWAYS

| ADT AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC ON OPENING | UNDER 200 | 200-400 | 400-1000 |  | 1000-2000 |  | MORE THAN 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MINIMUM | DESIRABLE | MINIMUM | DESIRABLE | MINIMUM | DESIRABLE |
| DESIGN SPEED (km/h) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLAT TOPOGRAPHY | 60 | 70 | 70 | 90 | 80 | 95 | 90 | 100 |
| ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY | 40 | 50 | 60 | 80 | 60 | 80 | 70 | 90 |
| MOUNTAINOUS TOPOGRAPHY | 30 | 40 | 40 | 50 | 50 | 60 | 60 | 70 |
| RADIUS (meter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLAT TOPOGRAPHY | 120 | 160 | 160 | 280 | 220 | 320 | 260 | 350 |
| ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY | 55 | 85 | 120 | 220 | 120 | 220 | 160 | 280 |
| MOUNTAINOUS TOPOGRAPHY | 30 | 50 | 50 | 80 | 80 | 120 | 180 | 160 |
| GRADE (PERCENT) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLAT TOPOGRAPHY | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 |
| MOUNTAINOUS TOPOGRAPHY | 10.0 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 |
| PAVEMENT WIDTH (m) | 4.0 | 5.5;6.0 |  |  |  |  | 6.70 | 7.30 |
| SHOULDER WIDTH (m) | 0.50 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 |  |  |
| RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH (m) | 20 | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |
| SUPERELEVATION ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}$ ) | 0.10 | AX) | 0.10 | MAX) | 0.10 | MAX) |  | MAX) |
| NON-PASSING SIGHT DISTANCE (meter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLAT TOPOGRAPHY | 70 | 90 | 90 | 135 | 115 | 150 | 135 | 160 |
| ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY | 40 | 60 | 70 | 115 | 70 | 115 | 90 | 135 |
| MOUNTAINOUS TOPOGRAPHY | 40 | 40 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 70 | 70 | 90 |
| PASSING SIGHT DISTANCE (meter) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FLAT TOPOGRAPHY | 420 | 490 | 490 | 615 | 560 | 645 | 615 | 675 |
| ROLLING TOPOGRAPHY | 270 | 350 | 420 | 560 | 420 | 560 | 490 | 615 |
| MOUNTAINOUS TOPOGRAPHY | 190 | 270 | 270 | 350 | 360 | 420 | 420 | 490 |
| TYPE OF SURFACING | GRAVEL, CRUSH CRUSHED PRESERVATIVE SINGLE OR DOUB TREATMENT, MACADAM | GRAVEL, OR ONE BIT, REATMENT, bit, SURFACE UMINOUS VEMENT | BITUMINO PAVEMENT, GRADED PLA COURSE, BITUM SURFAC | MACADAM NSE OR OPEN MIX SURFACE Nous concrete COURSE | BITUMINOUS | CRETE SURFACE <br> SE | Bituminous COURSE, P CONCRE | CRETE SURFACE AND CEMENT AVEMENT |

Bureau of Design, DPWH


TABLE 13.4.2-1 (2/5) PROPOSED TYPICAL CROSS SECTION - IMPROVEMENT OF EXISTING GRAVEL/EARTH ROAD

| Primary Inter-City Road | Regional Primary Road | Regional Secondary Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flat Area | Flat Area |
| Rolling and Mountainous Area | Rolling and Mountainous Area | Rolling and Mountainous Area <br> 1. RC Box Culvert ( $3.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ ) :1 pcs $/ \mathrm{km}$ <br> 2. RC Pipe Culvert (Dia. 1.0m) : $3 \mathrm{pcs} / \mathrm{km}$ |

TABLE 13.4.2-1 (3/5) PROPOSED TYPICAL CROSS SECTION - REHABILITATION OF EXISTING PCC PAVED ROAD


TABLE 13.4.2-1 (4/5) PROPOSED TYPICAL CROSS SECTION - RENEWAL OF EXISTING PCC PAVED ROAD

|  | Primary Inter-City Road | Regional Primary Road | Regional Secondary Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flat Area | Flat Area | Flat Area |
| $\underset{\substack{\underset{\sim}{u} \\ \hline}}{ }$ | Rolling and Mountainous Area | Rolling and Mountainous Area | Rolling and Mountainous Area |

TABLE 13.4.2-1 (5/5) PROPOSED TYPICAL CROSS SECTION - NEW CONSTRUCTION OF GRAVEL ROAD


### 13.5 PROJECT COST ESTIMATE

### 13.5.1 Construction Cost Estimate

Unit prices of construction materials, unit prices of equipment, labor cost, and unit prices of major construction items are shown in Tables 13.5.1-1 to 13.5.14, respectively.

# TABLE 11.5.1-1 UNIT PRICES OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS 

| Materials |  | Unit Prices: May 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unit | Unit Price (peso) |
| A. | Cement Concrete Materials |  |  |
| 1 | Portland cement | bags | 220.00 |
| 2 | Fine Aggregates (River Sand) | cu.m | 350.00 |
| 3 | Coarse Aggregates (River Aggregates) | cu.m | 450.00 |
| 4 | Coarse Aggregates (Crushed Stone) | cu.m | 1,050.00 |
| 5 | Admixture (Water Reducing) | $L T$ | 100.00 |
| B. | Re-bar |  |  |
| 6 | Reinforcing Bars, Deformed (Grade 40) | Kg | 36.00 |
| 7 | Reinforcing Bars, Deformed (Grade 60) | Kg | 36.50 |
| C. | Form Materials |  |  |
| 8 | Form Timber (2inch $\times 2$ inch $\times 10$ feet) | $p c s$ | 90.00 |
| 9 | Coco Lumber ( $2 \times 2 \times 12$ ) | $p c s$ | 108.00 |
| 10 | Plywood (t=12mm) | $p \mathrm{cs}$ | 720.00 |
| 11 | Forms(M) | sq.m | 850.00 |
| 12 | Forms(L) | sq.m | 800.00 |
| D. | Pavement Materials |  |  |
| 13 | Straight Asphalt (60/70) | $L T$ | 60.00 |
| 14 | Tack Coat | $L T$ | 60.00 |
| 15 | Prime Coat | $L T$ | 70.00 |
| 16 | Fine Aggregates | cu.m | 350.00 |
| 17 | Coarse Aggregates | cu.m | 450.00 |
| 18 | Hot-mixed Asphalt Material (Wearing) | Ton | 2,580.00 |
| 19 | Hot-mixed Asphalt Material (Binder) | Ton | 2,530.00 |
| 20 | Base Course Material | cu.m | 500.00 |
| 21 | Sub-base Material | cu.m | 400.00 |
| E | Earthwork Materials and so on |  |  |
| 22 | Filling Material (10km) | cu.m | 550.00 |
| 23 | Structural Filling Material (10km) | cu.m | 650.00 |
| 24 | Coblestone | cu.m | 950.00 |
| 25 | Crushed gravel | cu.m | 1,050.00 |
| 26 | River Gravel | cu.m | 800.00 |
| 27 | Boulders | cu.m | 950.00 |
| F. | Drainages |  |  |
| 28 | Reinforced Concrete Pipe Dia 600mm | $m$ | 1,000.00 |
| 29 | Reinforced Concrete Pipe Dia 900mm | $m$ | 2,200.00 |
| 30 | Reinforced Concrete Pipe Dia 1000mm | $m$ | 2,800.00 |
| G. | Others |  |  |
| 31 | Gabion Mattress ( $2 \times 1 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | pcs | 4,900.00 |
| 32 | Joint Filler (t=20mm) | sq.m | 1,940.00 |
| 33 | Filter Cloth | sq.m | 200.00 |
| 34 | Grass Sodd | sq.m | 210.00 |
| 35 | Welding rod | kg | 120.00 |
| 36 | Gl Pipe 100mm | m | 1,450.00 |
| 37 | Paint | gal | 600.00 |
| 38 | Steel (H-Beam, SSp) | ton | 65,000.00 |
| 39 | Propane Gas/Acetelane | Tank | 651.00 |
| 40 | Oxygen ( big tube ) | Tank | 400.00 |
| 41 | Fuel (Gasoline) | Litter | 35.10 |
| 54 | Diesel | Litter | 28.15 |

TABLE 13.5.1-2 UNIT PRICES OF MAJOR EQUIPMENT (HOURLY RATE)

|  | Equipment | Unit | Unit Price (peso) | Fuel/Lubricant (peso) | Operator (peso) | Total (peso) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Crane | hour | 664.57 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 1,400.00 |
| 2 | Bulldozer ( D60) | hour | 1,564.28 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 2,300.00 |
| 3 | Dumptruck, 6.88-9.1 cu.m | hour | 408.75 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 1,100.00 |
| 4 | Vibratory compactor (10 Ton ) | hour | 916.74 | 386.10 | 62.50 | 1,400.00 |
| 5 | Transit mixer ( 5cu.m) | hour | 564.24 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 1,300.00 |
| 6 | Payloader ( 2.29 cu.m) | hour | 771.31 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 1,500.00 |
| 7 | Roadgrader 125 Hp | hour | 1,041.44 | 386.10 | 62.50 | 1,500.00 |
| 8 | Backhoe 1.15 cu.m 148 Hp | hour | 1,464.63 | 579.15 | 62.50 | 2,200.00 |
| 9 | Water Truck | hour | 566.73 | 386.10 | 62.50 | 1,100.00 |
| 10 | Welding Machine ( 300 amp ) | hour | 57.54 | 48.26 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| 12 | Truck | hour | 335.58 | 386.10 | 62.50 | 800.00 |
| 13 | Concrete Vibrator (32mm) | hour | 26.26 | 48.26 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 14 | Plate Compactor | hour | 68.37 | 48.26 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| 15 | Gas Welding | hour | 57.54 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 16 | Bagger Mixer (0.1cu.m) | hour | 39.06 | 48.26 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 17 | Bar Cutter and Bender (32mm) | hour | 167.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| 18 | Chain Saw | hour | 22.44 | 48.26 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| 19 | Tamping Rammer | hour | 148.44 | 193.05 | 0.00 | 400.00 |
| 20 | Submersible Pumps (100mm) | hour | 166.18 | 193.05 | 0.00 | 400.00 |
| 21 | Breaker | hour | 43.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

TABLE 13.5.1-3 MONTHLY, DAILY AND HOURLY LABOR COST

| Code | Category | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Labor Index } \\ 262.62 \end{array}$ | Basic+Allowance |  | Monthly Fringe Benefits |  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL RATE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily | Monthly <br> 25 | SSS | PhilHealth | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ECC (SSS } \\ & +\mathrm{MR}+\mathrm{EC}) \end{aligned}$ | Pag-ibig | 13th Month <br> Pay | Sick \& Vacation Leave | MONTHLY | DAILY | HOURLY $\mathrm{Ph} / \mathrm{Hr}$ |
| L001 | Foreman | 2.15 | 564.63 | 14,116.00 | 999.30 | 125.00 | 36.00 | 282.00 | 1,176.00 | 1,176.00 | 17,910.00 | 716.00 | 90 |
| L002 | Asst. Foreman | 1.98 | 519.99 | 13,000.00 | 928.70 | 125.00 | 33.00 | 260.00 | 1,083.00 | 1,083.00 | 16,513.00 | 661.00 | 83 |
| L003 | Skilled Laborer | 1.74 | 456.96 | 11,424.00 | 822.70 | 125.00 | 29.00 | 228.00 | 952.00 | 952.00 | 14,533.00 | 581.00 | 73 |
| L004 | Semi-skilled Laborer | 1.37 | 359.79 | 8,995.00 | 646.00 | 125.00 | 23.00 | 180.00 | 750.00 | 750.00 | 11,469.00 | 459.00 | 57 |
| L005 | Unskilled Laborer | 1.00 | 262.62 | 6,566.00 | 469.30 | 106.25 | 17.00 | 131.00 | 547.00 | 547.00 | 8,384.00 | 335.00 | 42 |
| L006 | Truck Driver (light) | 1.33 | 349.28 | 8,732.00 | 610.70 | 125.00 | 22.00 | 175.00 | 728.00 | 728.00 | 11,121.00 | 445.00 | 56 |
| L007 | Truck Driver (Heavy) | 1.58 | 414.94 | 10,373.00 | 752.00 | 125.00 | 26.00 | 207.00 | 864.00 | 864.00 | 13,211.00 | 528.00 | 66 |
| L008 | Mason | 1.33 | 349.28 | 8,732.00 | 610.70 | 125.00 | 22.00 | 175.00 | 728.00 | 728.00 | 11,121.00 | 445.00 | 56 |
| L009 | Carpenter | 1.33 | 349.28 | 8,732.00 | 610.70 | 125.00 | 22.00 | 175.00 | 728.00 | 728.00 | 11,121.00 | 445.00 | 56 |
| L010 | Electrician | 1.37 | 359.79 | 8,995.00 | 646.00 | 125.00 | 23.00 | 180.00 | 750.00 | 750.00 | 11,469.00 | 459.00 | 57 |
| L011 | Plumber | 1.37 | 359.79 | 8,995.00 | 646.00 | 125.00 | 23.00 | 180.00 | 750.00 | 750.00 | 11,469.00 | 459.00 | 57 |
| L012 | Painter | 1.33 | 349.28 | 8,732.00 | 610.70 | 125.00 | 22.00 | 175.00 | 728.00 | 728.00 | 11,121.00 | 445.00 | 56 |
| L013 | Steelman | 1.93 | 506.86 | 12,671.00 | 893.30 | 125.00 | 32.00 | 253.00 | 1,056.00 | 1,056.00 | 16,086.00 | 643.00 | 80 |
| L014 | Welder | 1.58 | 414.94 | 10,373.00 | 752.00 | 125.00 | 26.00 | 207.00 | 864.00 | 864.00 | 13,211.00 | 528.00 | 66 |
| L015 | Equipt Optr.Oiler | 1.33 | 349.28 | 8,732.00 | 610.70 | 125.00 | 22.00 | 175.00 | 728.00 | 728.00 | 11,121.00 | 445.00 | 56 |
| L016 | Equipt Optr.Mech | 1.58 | 414.94 | 10,373.00 | 752.00 | 125.00 | 26.00 | 207.00 | 864.00 | 864.00 | 13,211.00 | 528.00 | 66 |
| L017 | Equip Optr( light ) | 1.58 | 414.94 | 10,373.00 | 752.00 | 125.00 | 26.00 | 207.00 | 864.00 | 864.00 | 13,211.00 | 528.00 | 66 |
| L018 | Equip Optr( Medium) | 1.61 | 422.82 | 10,570.00 | 752.00 | 125.00 | 27.00 | 211.00 | 881.00 | 881.00 | 13,447.00 | 538.00 | 67 |
| L019 | Equip Optr( Heavy ) | 1.65 | 433.32 | 10,833.00 | 787.30 | 125.00 | 28.00 | 217.00 | 903.00 | 903.00 | 13,796.00 | 552.00 | 69 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a) Monthly Wages are based on 25 days per month, eight (8) hours per day.
b) SSS = Amount representing employer's contribution, Graduated Scale (as Amended by
Resolution No. 20-dated 15 January 2003, Schedule of Contriib
c) PhilHealth = Amount representing employer's contribution, Graduated Scale
c) PhilHealth = Amount representing employer's conary 2005)
(PhilHealth Advisory, Effective Januar
d) Employee's Compensation = Amount representing employer
d) Employee's Compensation = Amount representing employer's contribution, (Sshedule of
contrbutions, Effective June 2005 )
e) Pag-ibig $=2 \%$ of basic monthly pay below P5,000 and P100 above P5000.
f) 13 th month Pay, Sick Leave and Vacation Leave = Basic Monthly Salary divided by 12 . g) Rate per Day = Total Monthly Salary divided 25 days per month.
National Wages and Productivity Commission
Department of Labor and Employment ( DOLE )
Bureau of Labor and Employment Statistics, SSS,

TABLE 11.5.1-4 UNIT PRICES OF MAJOR CONSTRUCTION ITEMS
Unit Prices: May 2009

| CODE | DESCRIPTION | UNIT | Unit Price (peso) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | SITE CLEARING |  |  |
|  | CLEARING AND GRUBBING | SQ.M | 10 |
| 2. | demolition |  |  |
|  | REMOVAL OF EXISTING PCC PAVEMENT | SQ.M | 300 |
| 3. | DRAINAGES |  |  |
|  | EXCAVATION FOR OPEN DRAINS | Cu.m | 280 |
|  | BACKFILLING USING THE EXCAVATED | cu.m | 400 |
|  | BACKFILLING USING IMPORTED/SELECTED MATERIAL | CU.M | 600 |
|  | CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT ( $\varphi 800 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | M | 5,400 |
|  | CONCRETE PIPE CULVERT ( $\varphi 1000 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | M | 5,700 |
|  | PVC DRAIN PIPES ( $\varphi 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | M | --- |
| 4. | EARTHWORKS FOR ROADWAY |  |  |
|  | REMOVAL OF UNSUITABLE MATERIAL | CU.M | 240 |
|  | excavation | cu.m | 240 |
|  | EMBANKMENT | CU.M | 300 |
|  | SODDING | SQ.M | 435 |
| 5. | SUBGRADE, SUBBASE, BASE COURSE AND GRAVEL WEARING COURSE |  |  |
|  | SUBGRADE PREPARATION | SQ.M | 10 |
|  | SUBBASE ( $C B R>40$ ) | CU.M | 500 |
|  | BASECOURSE (CBR>80) | cu.m | 800 |
| 6. | PAVEMENT |  |  |
|  | PRIME COAT/INVERT BITUMEN EMULSION | SQ.M | 132 |
|  | TACK COAT | SQ.M | 39 |
|  | BITUMINOUS SURFACE TREATMENT | SQ.M | 35 |
|  | BINDER COURSE (HOT MIXED ASPHALT CONCRETE) T=60MM | SQ.M | 680 |
|  | WEARING COURSE (HOT MIXED ASPHALT CONCRETE) T=40MM | SQ.M | 500 |
|  | WEARING COURSE (HOT MIXED ASPHALT CONCRETE) T=60MM | SQ.M | 700 |
|  | PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT T=200MM | SQ.M | 1,220 |
|  | PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE PAVEMENT T=230MM | SQ.M | 1,400 |
| 7. | Structures |  |  |
|  | EXCAVATION OF SOFT MATERIAL FOR STRUCTURES (0-2 m) | cu.m | 150 |
|  | EXCAVATION OF SOFT MATERIAL FOR STRUCTURES ( $2-4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | cu.m | 250 |
|  | EXCAVATION OF SOFT MATERIAL FOR STRUCTURES ( $4-5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | cu.m | 866 |
|  | EXCAVATION OF HARD MATERIAL FOR STRUCTURES | cu.m | 1,050 |
|  | BACKFILLING TO UTILIZING/MATERIAL FROM EXCAVATION | Cu.M | 450 |
|  | BACKFILLING TO UTILIZING/IMPORTED MATERIAL | cu.m | 950 |
|  | FOUNDATION FILLICRUSHED STONE FILL | cu.m | 2,100 |
|  | FOUNDATION CONCRETE LINING | SQ.M | 700 |
|  | MANUFACTURING, SUPPLYING AND DELIVERING PREFABRICATED PILES (RC 40×40cm) | M | 5,600 |
|  | MANUFACTURING, SUPPLYING AND DELIVERING PREFABRICATED PILES (RC 50×50cm) | M | 8,400 |
|  | INSTALLATION OF PREFABRICATED PILES (RC 40×40, DRIVING PILE) (DEPTH: 0-20 m) | M | 3,000 |
|  | INSTALLATION OF PREFABRICATED PILES (RC 50x50, DRIVING PILE) (DEPTH: 0-20 m) | M | 4,000 |
|  | MANUFACTURING, SUPPLYING AND DELIVERING PREFABRICATED PILES (PC 40×40cm) | M | 7,000 |
|  | MANUFACTURING, SUPPLYING AND DELIVERING PREFABRICATED PILES (PC 50x50cm) | M | 9,000 |
|  | INSTALLATION OF PREFABRICATED PILES (PC 40×40, DRIVING PILE) (DEPTH: 0-20 m) | M | 3,000 |
|  | INSTALLATION OF PREFABRICATED PILES (PC 50x50, DRIVING PILE) (DEPTH: 0-20 m) | M | 4,000 |
|  | FORMWORK FOR STRUCTURE | SQ.M | 1,400 |
|  | RE-bAR WORK/MILD STEEL BARS (GRADE 40) | ton | 56,000 |
|  | RE-BAR WORK/HIGH YIELD STRESS STEEL BARS (GRADE 60) | Ton | 56,500 |
|  | CAST IN SITU CONCRETE FOR STRUCTURES/18N | cu.m | 6,700 |
|  | CAST IN SITU CONCRETE FOR STRUCTURES/24N | cu.m | 8,100 |
|  | PLAIN PACKED STONE MASONRY WALLS (CLASS B) | cu.m | 4,000 |
|  | CEMENT-MORTARED STONE MASONRY WALL (CLASS B) | cu.m | 4,775 |

### 13.5.2 Road and Bridge Construction Cost

Based on the unit prices of construction items, road construction cost per km class of road and type of work were estimated as shown in Table 13.5.2-1. Bridge construction cost per m is shown in Table 13.5.2-2.

### 13.5.3 Engineering Cost

Engineering cost was estimated based on the past experiences as shown below;

- Feasibility Study -------------------------- 2\% of Construction Cost
- Detailed Design ---------------------------- 4\% of Construction Cost
- Construction Supervision -------------- 8\% of Construction Cost


### 13.5.4 Cost of ROW Acquisition and Resettlement of Affected Families

Cost of ROW Acquisition and resettlement of affected families was estimated as follows;

- Unit Price of ROW Acquisition and resettlement of affected families $=100$ pesos per square meter
- Width of ROW to be acquired $=30 \mathrm{~m}$

Unit cost per km for ROW acquisition and resettlement of affected families was 3.0 Million Pesos per km.
TABLE 13.5.2-1 (1.2) Distribution of Maior Urban Centers

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nit: $\times 1$ | peso |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Case | Road Class | Area | Cost per KM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engineering Services |  |  | Land Acquisition, Compensation | Subtotal | Total |
|  |  |  | Direct Cost |  |  | Overhead | Profit | Contingency | VAT | Subtotal | F/S | D/D | S/V |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | FC | LC | Total | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 5.00\% | 12.00\% |  | 2.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |  |  |  |
| New Construction | Primary Inter-City Road | Urban | 11,191 | 9,687 | 20,878 | 1,253 | 1,253 | 1,044 | 2,505 | 26,933 | 539 | 1,077 | 2,155 | 3,000 | 6,771 | 33,704 |
|  |  | Flat | 11,229 | 8,894 | 20,123 | 1,207 | 1,207 | 1,006 | 2,415 | 25,958 | 519 | 1,038 | 2,077 | 3,000 | 6,634 | 32,592 |
|  |  | Rolling | 12,422 | 10,329 | 22,750 | 1,365 | 1,365 | 1,138 | 2,730 | 29,348 | 587 | 1,174 | 2,348 | 3,000 | 7,109 | 36,457 |
|  |  | Mt. | 13,418 | 11,112 | 24,530 | 1,472 | 1,472 | 1,227 | 2,944 | 31,645 | 633 | 1,266 | 2,532 | 3,000 | 7,431 | 39,076 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | Urban | 10,630 | 9,128 | 19,758 | 1,185 | 1,185 | 988 | 2,371 | 25,487 | 510 | 1,019 | 2,039 | 3,000 | 6,568 | 32,055 |
|  |  | Flat | 10,306 | 8,164 | 18,470 | 1,108 | 1,108 | 924 | 2,216 | 23,826 | 477 | 953 | 1,906 | 3,000 | 6,336 | 30,162 |
|  |  | Rolling | 11,617 | 9,621 | 21,238 | 1,274 | 1,274 | 1,062 | 2,549 | 27,397 | 548 | 1,096 | 2,192 | 3,000 | 6,836 | 34,233 |
|  |  | Mt. | 12,639 | 10,424 | 23,063 | 1,384 | 1,384 | 1,153 | 2,768 | 29,752 | 595 | 1,190 | 2,380 | 3,000 | 7,165 | 36,917 |
|  | Regional Secondary Road | Urban | 9,014 | 7,741 | 16,755 | 1,005 | 1,005 | 838 | 2,011 | 21,614 | 432 | 865 | 1,729 | 3,000 | 6,026 | 27,640 |
|  |  | Flat | 8,680 | 6,793 | 15,473 | 928 | 928 | 774 | 1,857 | 19,960 | 399 | 798 | 1,597 | 3,000 | 5,794 | 25,754 |
|  |  | Rolling | 9,680 | 7,984 | 17,664 | 1,060 | 1,060 | 883 | 2,120 | 22,787 | 456 | 911 | 1,823 | 3,000 | 6,190 | 28,977 |
|  |  | Mt. | 10,456 | 8,625 | 19,081 | 1,145 | 1,145 | 954 | 2,290 | 24,615 | 492 | 985 | 1,969 | 3,000 | 6,446 | 31,061 |
| Improvement of Existing Earth/Gravel Road | Primary Inter-City Road | Flat | 9,405 | 7,820 | 17,225 | 1,034 | 1,034 | 861 | 2,067 | 22,221 | 444 | 889 | 1,778 | 0 | 3,111 | 25,332 |
|  |  | Rolling | 10,270 | 8,713 | 18,983 | 1,139 | 1,139 | 949 | 2,278 | 24,488 | 490 | 980 | 1,959 | 0 | 3,429 | 27,917 |
|  |  | Mt. | 11,278 | 9,530 | 20,808 | 1,248 | 1,248 | 1,040 | 2,497 | 26,841 | 537 | 1,074 | 2,147 | 0 | 3,758 | 30,599 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | Flat | 8,487 | 7,085 | 15,572 | 934 | 934 | 779 | 1,869 | 20,088 | 402 | 804 | 1,607 | 0 | 2,813 | 22,901 |
|  |  | Rolling | 9,719 | 8,213 | 17,932 | 1,076 | 1,076 | 897 | 2,152 | 23,133 | 463 | 925 | 1,851 | 0 | 3,239 | 26,372 |
|  |  | Mt. | 10,497 | 8,871 | 19,368 | 1,162 | 1,162 | 968 | 2,324 | 24,984 | 500 | 999 | 1,999 | 0 | 3,498 | 28,482 |
|  | Regional Secondary Road | Flat | 6,772 | 5,677 | 12,449 | 747 | 747 | 622 | 1,494 | 16,059 | 321 | 642 | 1,285 | 0 | 2,248 | 18,307 |
|  |  | Rolling | 7,737 | 6,565 | 14,302 | 858 | 858 | 715 | 1,716 | 18,449 | 369 | 738 | 1,476 | 0 | 2,583 | 21,032 |
|  |  | Mt. | 8,418 | 7,085 | 15,503 | 930 | 930 | 775 | 1,860 | 19,998 | 400 | 800 | 1,600 | 0 | 2,800 | 22,798 |
| Rehabilitation of Existing PCC Paved Road (Overlaying) | Primary Inter-City Road | Flat | 8,386 | 6,806 | 15,192 | 912 | 912 | 760 | 1,823 | 19,599 | 392 | 784 | 1,568 | 0 | 2,744 | 22,343 |
|  |  | Rolling | 8,719 | 7,076 | 15,795 | 948 | 948 | 790 | 1,895 | 20,376 | 408 | 815 | 1,630 | 0 | 2,853 | 23,229 |
|  |  | Mt. | 9,061 | 7,325 | 16,386 | 983 | 983 | 819 | 1,966 | 21,137 | 423 | 845 | 1,691 | 0 | 2,959 | 24,096 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | Flat | 7,468 | 5,939 | 13,407 | 804 | 804 | 670 | 1,609 | 17,294 | 346 | 692 | 1,384 | 0 | 2,422 | 19,716 |
|  |  | Rolling | 7,777 | 6,186 | 13,963 | 838 | 838 | 698 | 1,676 | 18,013 | 360 | 721 | 1,441 | 0 | 2,522 | 20,535 |
|  |  | Mt. | 8,098 | 6,414 | 14,512 | 871 | 871 | 726 | 1,741 | 18,721 | 374 | 749 | 1,498 | 0 | 2,621 | 21,342 |
|  | Regional Secondary Road | Flat | 6,662 | 5,299 | 11,961 | 718 | 718 | 598 | 1,435 | 15,430 | 309 | 617 | 1,234 | 0 | 2,160 | 17,590 |
|  |  | Rolling | 6,946 | 5,502 | 12,448 | 747 | 747 | 622 | 1,494 | 16,058 | 321 | 642 | 1,285 | 0 | 2,248 | 18,306 |
|  |  | Mt . | 7,226 | 5,701 | 12,927 | 776 | 776 | 646 | 1,551 | 16,676 | 334 | 667 | 1,334 | 0 | 2,335 | 19,011 |

TABLE 13.5.2-1 (2.2) ROAD CONSTRUCTION COST

| Renewal of Existing PCC Paved Road | Primary <br> Inter-City <br> Road | Flat | 7,866 | 6,281 | 14,147 | 849 | 849 | 707 | 1,698 | 18,250 | 365 | 730 | 1,460 | 0 | 2,555 | 20,805 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rolling | 8,145 | 6,504 | 14,649 | 879 | 879 | 732 | 1,758 | 18,897 | 378 | 756 | 1,512 | 0 | 2,646 | 21,543 |
|  |  | Mt. | 8,431 | 6,705 | 15,136 | 908 | 908 | 757 | 1,816 | 19,525 | 391 | 781 | 1,562 | 0 | 2,734 | 22,259 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | Flat | 7,602 | 6,070 | 13,672 | 820 | 820 | 684 | 1,641 | 17,637 | 353 | 705 | 1,411 | 0 | 2,469 | 20,106 |
|  |  | Rolling | 7,904 | 6,286 | 14,190 | 851 | 851 | 710 | 1,703 | 18,305 | 366 | 732 | 1,464 | 0 | 2,562 | 20,867 |
|  |  | Mt. | 8,186 | 6,510 | 14,696 | 882 | 882 | 735 | 1,764 | 18,959 | 379 | 758 | 1,517 | 0 | 2,654 | 21,613 |
|  | Regional Secondary Road | Flat | 6,164 | 4,882 | 11,046 | 663 | 663 | 552 | 1,326 | 14,250 | 285 | 570 | 1,140 | 0 | 1,995 | 16,245 |
|  |  | Rolling | 6,383 | 5,056 | 11,439 | 686 | 686 | 572 | 1,373 | 14,756 | 295 | 590 | 1,180 | 0 | 2,065 | 16,821 |
|  |  | Mt. | 6,607 | 5,212 | 11,819 | 709 | 709 | 591 | 1,418 | 15,246 | 305 | 610 | 1,220 | 0 | 2,135 | 17,381 |
| New Construction of Gravel Road | Regional Primary | Flat | 3,159 | 2,268 | 5,427 | 326 | 326 | 271 | 651 | 7,001 | 140 | 280 | 560 | 3,000 | 3,980 | 10,981 |
|  |  | Rolling | 3,637 | 2,634 | 6,271 | 376 | 376 | 314 | 753 | 8,090 | 162 | 324 | 647 | 3,000 | 4,133 | 12,223 |
|  |  | Mt. | 3,819 | 2,799 | 6,618 | 397 | 397 | 331 | 794 | 8,537 | 171 | 341 | 683 | 3,000 | 4,195 | 12,732 |
|  | Rural Feeder | Flat | 2,767 | 2,004 | 4,771 | 286 | 286 | 239 | 573 | 6,155 | 123 | 246 | 492 | 3,000 | 3,861 | 10,016 |
|  |  | Rolling | 4,386 | 3,023 | 7,409 | 445 | 445 | 370 | 889 | 9,558 | 191 | 382 | 765 | 3,000 | 4,338 | 13,896 |
|  |  | Mt. | 4,656 | 3,236 | 7,892 | 474 | 474 | 395 | 947 | 10,182 | 204 | 407 | 815 | 3,000 | 4,426 | 14,608 |
|  | Barangay | Flat | 2,057 | 1,465 | 3,522 | 211 | 211 | 176 | 423 | 4,543 | 91 | 182 | 363 | 3,000 | 3,636 | 8,179 |
|  |  | Rolling | 2,375 | 1,699 | 4,074 | 244 | 244 | 204 | 489 | 5,255 | 105 | 210 | 420 | 3,000 | 3,735 | 8,990 |
|  |  | Mt. | 2,660 | 1,903 | 4,563 | 274 | 274 | 228 | 548 | 5,887 | 118 | 235 | 471 | 3,000 | 3,824 | 9,711 |

TABLE 13.5.2-2 BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION COST

| Class | Bridge Length (m) | Cost per (Br.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cost <br> per (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Construction Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Engineering Services |  |  | Land <br> Acqui- <br> sition, <br> Compen <br> sation | Subtotal | Total |  |
|  |  | Direct Cost |  |  | Overhead | Profit | Contin gency | VAT | Subtotal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cost } \\ \operatorname{per}(m) \end{gathered}$ | F/S | D/D | S/V |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | FC | LC | Total | 6.00\% | 6.00\% | 5.00\% | 12.00\% |  |  | 2.00\% | 4.00\% | 8.00\% |  |  |  |  |
| Medium | 210.0 | 73,978 | 49,898 | 123,876 | 7,433 | 7,433 | 6,194 | 14,865 | 159,801 | 760.96 | 3,196 | 6,392 | 12,784 | 0 | 22,372 | 182,173 | 867.49 |
| Small | 60.0 | 30,785 | 17,949 | 48,735 | 2,924 | 2,924 | 2,437 | 5,848 | 62,868 | 1,047.80 | 1,257 | 2,515 | 5,029 | 0 | 8,801 | 71,669 | 1,194.48 |

### 13.6 PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

### 13.6.1 System of the Philippines

## 1) GENERAL

The "Philippine Environmental Policy", enacted as Presidential Decree (PD) 1151, was the Philippine's first policy on environment. It took effect in 1977, and required all national government agencies, government-owned and controlled corporations, as well as private corporations, firms and entities to prepare Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) for every action, project or undertaking that will significantly affect the quality of the environment.

Based on PD 1151's policy statement, Presidential Decree (PD) 1586 was issued on the following year. It formally established the Philippine Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) System. Under this law, no person, partnership or corporation shall undertake or operate any in part such declared ECP (Environmentally Critical Project) and projects within Environmentally Critical Areas (ECAs) without first securing an Environmental Compliance Commitment (ECC). Major categories for ECPs and ECAs were established through Presidential Proclamation No. 2146, series of 1981.

In 1992, the Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) issued Department Administrative Order (DAO) No. 21, which embodied the implementing rules and regulations for environmental impact assessments. To further strengthen the EIS System, DAO 21 was superseded by DAO No. 9637. In 2002, the Office of the President, issued Administrative Order No. 42 (A.O. 42) to rationalize the implementation of the Philippine EIS System to make it a more effective planning tool for sustainable development.

To address deficiencies in the EIA system that hindered its effectiveness as a tool for proper environmental management, another department order was issued--- DAO No. 03 Series of 2003 or DAO 2003-30. Said DAO also aims to institutionalize the incorporation of environmental concerns in the country's effort to hasten national development in the most efficient manner so that neither the environment nor national development is compromised. The corresponding Procedural Manual was prepared by the EMB and implemented in January 2005. In August 2007, EMB updated the guidelines and came up with the "Revised Procedural Manual (RPM) of DAO 200330", which superseded the Procedural Manual issued in 2005.

One of the most significant improvements in procedure is the exclusion from ECC application previous requirements such as submittal of permits, clearances, and the likes from other concerned government agencies. As experienced in the past, such requirements unnecessarily obstruct the EIA evaluation process. Another important feature of the RPM is that it reinforces Malacañang Administrative Order No. 42 which requires Proponents to
conduct simultaneously the Environmental Impact Study and the Feasibility Study (FS). Following this procedure, EIA documents are prepared when prospective proposals are more concrete than mere concept and become available before the project has reached a stage of investment or commitment towards implementation.

On October 1, 2009, the latest EIA guideline took effect through a Memorandum from the Secretary of the DENR, entitled, "New Processing Periods for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) System \& Corresponding Guidelines". Based on the said Memo, processing period for applications for Environmental Compliance Certificates (ECCs) and Certificates of Non-Coverage (CNCs) should be as follows:

ECCs (Projects Covered by EIS System) - 20 Working Days
CNCs (Projects Not Covered by EIS System - 1 Working Day
Processing time starts when application is accepted and proof of payment is presented at the designated processing office.

Based on the same Memo the following rules and regulations were promulgated:
(i) Processing of ECCs and CNCs should concentrate and focus on the environmental aspects of the project that have scientific basis and are verifiable. Socio-economic political and other aspects of the project shall be the responsibility of legally mandated agencies and institutions, especially the Local Government Units.
(ii) Application documents for ECCs and CNCs should be simplified to make them focus on essential information.
(iii) Sectoral scoping guidelines should be issued to guide proponents in the preparation of their Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Studies.
(iv) Presence of DENR-EMB as well as the EIA Review Committee in the proponents conduct of scoping activities should be optional for the proponent rather than required.
(v) Permits, licenses, clearances, endorsements and other similar documents from other National Government Agencies and Local Government Units should no longer be required, as prerequisites for the processing of ECC and CNC applications.
(vi) Requirements involving public participation such as public scoping, socio-economic/perceptions survey, public hearing/consultation and similar activities should no longer be required as prerequisites for and as part of the processing of ECC and CNC applications. However, proponents of Environmental Impact Statement based applications may submit documentations of public scoping and public hearing/consultation activities (if applicable).
(vii) Additional information should no longer be asked from the proponent upon acceptance of the application.
(viii) Internal and other government aspects should be used in the review of ECC and CNC applications. Use of external/private reviewers is strongly discouraged. And
(ix) ALL ECC/CNC applications, corresponding status and decision documents, should be properly and timely recorded into the DENR-EMB online information system

## 2) EIA SYSTEM

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA), as defined under the Philippine EIS System (PEISS), is a process that involves the prediction and evaluation of likely impacts of a project on the environment during the various phases of implementation, i.e., pre-construction, commissioning, operation and abandonment. It also includes an appropriate management plan which aims to prevent, mitigate and enhance measures to protect the environment and the community's welfare. Through the EIA, negative environmental impacts of proposed actions are significantly reduced through a reiterative review process of locational planning, design and other alternatives, followed by the formulation of environmental management and monitoring plans.

After examining the EIA document, the DENR-EMB, decides whether to grant or deny an Environmental Compliance Certificate (ECC). After ECC issuance, the next stage is the application for approvals from other concerned national government agencies as well as Local Government Units (LGUs), after which the project can commence with its implementation.

## a) Policy and Basic Operating Principles

Based on the Procedural Manual of DAO 2003-30, the key operating principles in the implementation of the Philippine EIS System are:
(i) The EIS System is concerned primarily with assessing the direct and indirect impacts of a project on the biophysical and human environment and in ensuring that these impacts are addressed by appropriate environmental protection and enhancement measures.
(ii) The EIS System helps Proponents to incorporate environmental considerations when planning their projects as well as in determining the environment's impact on their project.
(iii) Project Proponents are responsible for determining and disclosing all relevant information necessary for a systematic assessment of the environmental impacts of their projects;
(iv)The review of EIA Reports by EMB are guided by three (3) general criteria namely:

- that environmental considerations are integrated into the overall project planning,
- that the assessment is technically sound and proposed environmental mitigation measures are effective, and
- that the EIA process is based on a timely, informed and meaningful public participation of potentially-affected communities;
(v) Effective review of the EIA Reports depends mainly on timely, full, and accurate disclosure of relevant information by project Proponents and other stakeholders in the EIA process;
b) The EIA Process in Relation to the Project Cycle

Within the project cycle, the most ideal stage to conduct the EIA is during the Feasibility Study (FS) stage because it is at this point wherein the Proponent defines its range of actions and considers project alternatives. The link between the EIA process and the project cycle can be described as follows (Please refer to Figure 13.6.1-1 ${ }^{1}$ ):
i) Between Project Conceptualization and Pre-Feasibility Stage - EIArelated activities include self-screening whether the project is covered or not by the Philippine EIS System. If covered, the Proponent undertakes self-determination of all requirements in preparation for the ECC application. During this stage the Proponent carries out an initial rapid site and impact assessment to determine critical aspects of project location, and have an initial scope of key issues;
ii) During preparation of the Feasibility Study - Proponent commences detailed Environmental Impact Assessment. The formulated Environmental Management Plan and corresponding costs and benefits are inputted into the FS as a basis for decision making regarding final project options, locational planning, and design. It is at this stage when the formal EIA application is started, wherein positive review and evaluation of the submitted EIA documentation is expected to result to an issuance of the Environmental Compliance Commitment, or ECC;
iii) During Detailed Engineering Design (DED) - During this stage, which is post-ECC, generic measures identified during the EIA study at the FS stage are detailed based on the project facility design and operational specifications. Additional baseline monitoring may also be done prior to construction or implementation of the project to provide a more substantive basis for defining the environmental management and monitoring plans;
iv) Project Construction/Operations and throughout the project lifetime During these stages, environmental mitigation measures are fully implemented, and monitoring of the Proponent's environmental performance is continuously done. Findings and lessons learned are fed back into the project cycle for continual improvement of the project, with corresponding updating of the environmental management plans of the project. Major improvements may need new formal applications for DENR approvals, which shall then be related to previous approvals for an integrated environmental management approach of the project.

[^1]

FIGURE 13.6.1-1 EAI PROCESS AND PROJECT CYCLE

## 3) ROW ACQUISITION POLICIES AND PROCEDURE

The following description of R-O-W policies and procedures is based on Philippine Republic Acts along with its corresponding Implementing Rules and Regulations (IRR), Presidential Decrees, Executive Orders, Rules of Court, Ministry/Department Orders, and Department Policies on land ownership and Infrastructure Right-of-Way (IROW) acquisition, and the DPWH Department Order (D.O.) No. 5, Series of 2003. Since the 1930's, laws on ROW acquisition have been amended and repealed several times to improve the procedures, minimize irregularities, and avoid delays in project implementation. Since previous laws have been superseded, only the most current pieces of legislation shall be presented in considerable detail.
a) Right-of-Way Acquisition Policies and Legal Bases

A list of applicable Philippine legislation (Republic Acts, Presidential Decrees, Executive Orders), Implementing Rules and Regulations, and Department Orders are presented in the succeeding table. Please note that although some sections of precedent laws have been amended by succeeding ones, salient provisions that were retained are still included here for these are still in effect and binding.

TABLE 13.6.1-1 GOVERNMENT POLICIES PERTAINING TO LAND ACQUISITION

| Year | Policy | Title/Salient Features |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | LARRIP <br> Policy, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ed. | Land Acquisition, Resettlement, Rehabilitation and Indigenous Peoples' Policy <br> - Includes the DPWH's Indigenous Peoples' Policy based on the Indigenous Peoples’ Rights Act (IPRA) and the National Commission on Indigenous Peoples (NCIP) Administrative Order No. 1, series of 2006, or the Free and Prior Informed Consent Guidelines of 2006 <br> - Covers all Indigenous Peoples (IPs) or Indigenous Cultural Communities (ICCs) whether they are living inside or outside an area covered by a Certificate of Ancestral Domain Title (CADT) or Certificate of Ancestral Land Title (CALT), including those that have pending applications to be declared as ancestral domain <br> - In general no Indigenous Peoples Action Plan (IPAP) is required for projects that are voluntarily solicited or initiated by IPs. Nevertheless, they are still eligible to receive compensation and entitlements mentioned in Chapter III of the LARRIPP <br> - Provides a comprehensive policy on provision of safeguard instruments to IPs/ICCs as described in Chapter IV and Table IV. 2 of the LARRIPP |
| 2003 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { D.O. } \\ & 327 \end{aligned}$ | "Guidelines for Land Acquisition and Resettlement Action Plans (LAPRAPs) for Infrastructure Projects" <br> - LAPRAP document shall describe the project, expected impacts and mitigating measures, socio-economic profile of APs, compensation package, timetable of implementation, institutional arrangements, participation, consultation, and grievance procedures <br> - LAPRAP shall be prepared using inputs from the IROW Action Plan, the census and socioeconomic survey conducted, detailed engineering study, and parcellary survey results <br> - LAPRAP shall be the basis for qualifying and compensating APs for lands, structures and/or improvements, that are partially or fully affected by the Department's infrastructure projects <br> - Provision of resettlement sites shall be the responsibility of the Local Government Units (LGUs) concerned, with assistance from the concerned government agencies tasked with providing housing |


|  |  | - An Indigenous People's Action Plan (IPAP) shall be formulated for indigenous peoples (IP) if they are affected by the Department's infrastructure projects |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | D.O. 5 | "Creation of the Infrastructure Right of Way and Resettlement Project Management Office (IROW-PMO) and the Implementation of the Improved IROW Process" <br> - Implementing Office (IO) shall ensure that IROW costs are always included in project budgets <br> - The IO shall provide an estimated cost breakdown of each project to the IROW and Resettlement PMO and the CFMS prior to any disbursement of funds. The first priority of the budget for a project shall be all costs prior to construction. <br> - If ROW costs differ from the approved ROW budget after detailed design has been finalized, a budget adjustment shall be approved. <br> - A Land Acquisition Plan and Resettlement Action Plan (LAPRAP) shall be prepared for all projects, whether local or foreign funded, that will require Right-of-Way (ROW) acquisitions, using a standardized compensation package <br> - The determination of Affected Persons (APs) and improvements shall be based on the cutoff date, which is the start of the census of APs and tagging for improvements <br> - The IO shall prepare the final as-built ROW Plan upon completion of the project, for submission to the IROW and Resettlement PMO. |
| 2000 | I.R.R. of R.A. 8974 | "Implementing Rules and Regulations of R.A. 8974 (An Act to Facilitate the Acquisition of Right-of-Way, Site, or Location for National Government Infrastructure Projects and for Other Purposes) <br> - Set the $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ offer for negotiated sale of land (just compensation) as the price indicated in the current zonal valuation issued by the BIR for the area where the property is located <br> - Set the valuation of improvements on the land to be acquired using the "replacement cost method", which is defined as the "amount necessary to replace the improvements/ structures based on the current market prices for materials, equipment, labor, contractor's profit and overhead, and all other attendant costs associated with the acquisition". <br> - Provided for the engagement of government financing institutions or private appraisers to undertake appraisal of the land and/or improvements/structures, to determine its fair market value <br> - Tasked the NHA to establish and develop squatter |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R. A. } \\ & 8974 \end{aligned}$ | "An Act to Facilitate the Acquisition of Right-of-Way, Site, or Location for National Government Infrastructure Projects and for Other Purposes" <br> - Prescribed new standards for the assessment of the value of the land subject of expropriation proceedings or negotiated sale, namely: <br> o The classification and used for which the property is suited <br> o The size, shape or location, tax declaration and zonal valuation of the land <br> o The price of the land as manifested in the ocular findings, oral, as well as documentary evidence presented <br> o The reasonable disturbance compensation for the removal and/or demolition of certain improvement on the land and for the value of improvements thereon <br> o The developmental costs for improving the land <br> o The value declared by the owners <br> o The current price of similar lands in the vicinity; and <br> o Such facts and events as to enable the affected property owners to have sufficient funds to acquire similarlysituated lands of approximate areas as those required from them by the government, and thereby rehabilitate themselves as early as possible <br> - Mandates the BIR to come up with updated zonal valuation for areas subject to expropriation proceedings, within 60 days from the date of expropriation case <br> - Mandated the DPWH (as Chair) and other agencies involved in ROW acquisition to adopt the necessary Implementing Rules and Regulations for the equitable valuation of the improvements and/or structures on the land to be expropriated |
| 1999 | DPWH <br> Policy <br> Framework <br> for LARR | "Policy Framework for Land Acquisition, Resettlement and Rehabilitation" <br> - Government projects must serve the common good <br> - All efforts must be exercised to ensure that: |


|  |  | o Adverse social impacts are avoided, minimized, and/or mitigated <br> o Everybody, including Affected Persons (APs), will benefit from the projects <br> o APs are provided with sufficient compensation and assistance for lost assets which will assist them to improve or at least maintain their pre-project standard of living; <br> o Project stakeholders (which include APs) are consulted regarding the projects' design, implantation, and operation <br> - Only those APs found to be residing in, doing business, or cultivating land or having rights over resources within, the project area as of the date of the census surveys (i.e., cut-off date) are eligible for compensation for lost assets. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | Rule 67, <br> Rules of <br> Civil <br> Procedure | "Rule 67 - Expropriation" <br> - Gives the plaintiff (DPWH) the right to take or enter upon the possession of a real property involved if a deposit is made with an authorized government depositary an amount equivalent to the assessed value of the property for purposes of taxation to be held by such bank subject to the orders of the court |
| 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & 7279 \end{aligned}$ | Urban Development and Housing Act of 1992" <br> - Uplift the conditions of the underprivileged and homeless citizens in urban areas and in resettlement areas by making available to them decent housing at affordable cost, basic services, and employment opportunities <br> - Provide for an equitable land tenure system that shall guarantee security of tenure to Program beneficiaries but shall respect the rights of small property owners and ensure the payment of just compensation |
| 1992 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & 7279 \end{aligned}$ | - Eviction or demolition of informal settlers may be allowed under the following situations: <br> o When persons or entity occupy danger areas such as esteros, railroad tracks, garbage dumps, riverbanks, shorelines, waterways, and other public places such as sidewalks, roads, parks, and playgrounds <br> o When government infrastructure project with available funding are about to be implemented <br> o When there is a court order for eviction and demolition |


|  |  | - If eviction or demolition will involve underprivileged and homeless citizens, as defined in the same law, they should be properly relocated prior to any dismantling of properties <br> - Section 5 of the IRR directs the LGU or the government agency authorized to demolish to create a Task Force on Relocation and Resettlement to ensure smooth and effective implementation of all relocation and resettlement operations <br> - After effectivity of R.A.7279, barangay, municipal ot city government shall prevent construction of any kind of illegal dwelling units or structures within danger areas <br> - LGUs shall prepare a comprehensive land use plan for their respective localities in accordance with the provisions of the Act |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R.A. } \\ & 7160 \end{aligned}$ | "Local Government Code of 1991" <br> - An LGU may exercise the power of eminent domain for public use, purpose, or welfare of the poor and the landless such as for socialized housing, upon payment of just compensation pursuant to the provisions of the Constitution and pertinent laws |
| 1988 | E.O. 239 | "Creating Appraisal Committees in Metropolitan Manila Area" <br> - Created the City Appraisal Committee and Municipal Appraisal Committees in the Metropolitan Manila area for assessment of fair market value of real property in Metro Manila <br> - The government shall deposit $10 \%$ of the amount of just compensation provided under 1533, five (5) days after which the court shall issue Writ of Possession (WOP) <br> - Payment for improvement shall be based on the physical inventory report proposed and certified by an affidavit of the claimant and affidavit of two (2) adjoining landowners |
| 1978 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { P.D. } \\ & 1533 \end{aligned}$ | Establishing Uniform Basis for Determining Compensation <br> - The government is entitled to immediate possession of properties and improvements and the power of demolition upon filing of the petition for expropriation and the deposit of $10 \%$ of compensation amount determined by this decree in the Philippine National Bank (PNB) |
| 1936 | C.A. 141 | "Commonwealth Act 141" <br> - Citizens of the Philippines acquire public land through public auction. Article of free patent is provided for natural born citizen of the Philippines who continuously occupied and cultivated the land since 1926 or before |


|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Note: <br> R.A. - Republic Act <br> Land acquired through this law is subject to a Right-of-Way <br> not exceeding 20 m in width for public use with damages <br> paid for improvements only; This ROW limit is further <br> expanded to 60 m by P.D. 635 |  |
| P. D. - Presidential Decree |  |
| E.O. - Executive Order |  |
| I.R.R. - Implementing Rules and Regulations |  |
| D.O. - Department Order |  |
| Source:DPWH ESSO, 2007. Land Acquisition, Resettlement, Rehabilitation and <br> Indigenous Peoples Policy, 3rd Edition. <br> Herrera, A.N. 2003. IROW Process Design Report. National Roads <br> Improvement and Management Program Phase I. DPWH |  |

## b) Procedures for Owners with Legal Claim to Land (Includes Commercial and Industrial Establishments)

Based on D.O. 5, the basis for the first offer in acquiring land shall be based on estimates computed in the Resettlement Action Plan (RAP). That is, for land, compensation is computed based on the updated BIR zonal valuation, and for improvements, on replacement cost (with no salvage value), as described in the Implementing Rules and Regulations (I.R.R.) of Republic Act (R. A.) 8974.

Property owners who refuse the first offer are given a second offer based on the recommendation of the Appraisal Committee or an Independent Land Appraiser, whichever is lower. It is ideal that prior to negotiations, the Parcellary Survey Report and the RAP have been prepared and duly approved by concerned authorities. In accordance with Section 7 of the IRR for RA 8974, the property owner shall be given 15 days within which to accept the second offer as payment for his property.

Government shall initiate expropriation proceedings in cases where the property owner refuses the second offer. After the refusal of the second offer, a Final Notice of Taking shall be hand carried by the IROW Agent and properly received by the property owner. If said property owner still refused to accept the offer, or have not responded whatsoever within the 15 -day period, as provided for in Section 7 of the IRR for RA 8974, expropriation proceedings shall be initiated.

For negotiated sale, the following procedures shall be carried out:
Step 1 A Contract of Sale (for the land) is executed between the Government and the property Owner. For properties with structures and improvements, an Agreement to Demolish and Remove Improvements (ADRI) shall likewise be prepared.

Step 2 If the owner accepts the offer to acquire their property, the Implementing Office, with assistance from the property owner shall prepare all necessary documents for filing the ROW claim.

Step 3 A Deed of Absolute Sale (DAS) and the Agreement to Demolish and Remove Improvements (ADRI) are then executed between the DPWH and the property owner. These documents shall be duly approved by the concerned DWPH Official as provided for in D.O. No. 5, Series of 2003, and registered with the Register of Deeds of the respective province/city/municipality where the property is located.

## Pre-requisites and Conditions to be Complied With in the Preparation of the Deed of Absolute Sale:

- If the subject property is registered or titled, the vendor must be the registered owner of the said property and should possess a clear and clean title under the Torrens System, free of any lien and encumbrances whatsoever. A photocopy of the title forms part of the Deed;
- If the subject property is unregistered or untitled, the vendor shall submit a certified true copy of the tax declaration and an indemnity bond, which must either be a surety bond or property bond. Either of these bonds shall remain in force until the government obtains the corresponding title to the subject property;
- If the owner of a property is a corporation, a certified copy of the resolution of the governing board of such corporation or partnership, authorizing any of its officers to execute the deed shall be attached to the said deed. In the case of a partnership, the managing partner should execute the deed;
- If the owner is already deceased, the heirs must first consolidate their ownership of the property either thru court proceedings or thru an extra-judicial settlement, subject to the provisions of Rule 74 of the New Rules of Court;
- If the property is under guardianship or administratorship, approval by the proper court of the deed of sale executed by the guardian or administrator/executor shall first be secured. The corresponding Letters of Administratorship and/or Guardianship shall be submitted as an integral part of the Deed;
- If the property being sold was acquired under Commonwealth Act 141, also known as the Public Land Act, the government shall be entitled to a twenty (20) meters strip free under Section 112 of CA 141, or sixty (60) meters strip under P. D. 635 , if the property was acquired by the owner after 1975;
- If it appears that the property is subject to the provisions of Section 4 Rule 74 of the New Rules of Court and the period of two (2) years from the registration of the consolidation or settlement has not yet expired, an indemnity bond (either surety or property bond), conditioned for the payment of any
adverse claim against the property filed within the said period of two (2) years, should be posted;
- If the vendor is represented by an Attorney-In-Fact, the corresponding special power of Attorney should be attached to, and made an integral part of the deed of sale. If the vendor is residing abroad at the time of the sale, such special power of attorney should be duly attested by the Philippine Consulate of the country where the vendor resides;
- Where the subject property is mortgaged, the consent of the mortgager to sale of the said property, or release of the mortgage must first be secured;
- If the property is a conjugal property, a deed of conveyance or sale must be executed in the proper form by the parties concerned, specifically describing the property to be sold. The marital consent of the spouse of the owner-vendor should generally be indicated in the deed; the deed of conveyance must be witnessed by at least two persons and if the vendor affixed his signature by thumb mark, same should be witnessed by two additional persons;
- All Realty Estate taxes due on the property must have been paid as evidenced by a tax clearance certificate issued by the proper authority;
- The accountant concerned should also witness the contract, and his signature shall be considered as constituting a certification that funds for the purpose is available (LOI 968);
- The papers and documents submitted in support of the claim in every case should be carefully verified as to their authenticity and genuineness in order to forestall fraud

Step 4 A Certificate of Availability of Funds (CAF) in the proper form, duly verified by the Auditor concerned, indicating the particular source and nature of the funds to be used in payment of the consideration of the sale, shall be secured and attached to the DAS;

Step 5 The Right-of-Way Engineer, in addition to verifying the ownership of the lot to be purchased, as well as any encumbrance to which such lot may have been subjected to, shall also verify and inspect the actual lot to be purchased to determine whether the classification made by the Assessor is in accordance with the actual use of the property (Section 19, P. D. 464). A certification to this effect shall be issued by the Right-of-Way Engineer;

Step 6 The Deed of Sale is signed by the Owner of the property, and the approving authority of DPWH. Determination of the proper approving authority of the DAS shall be as follows;

- For IROW Costs up to P3M - District Engineer
- For IROW Costs up to P5M - Regional Director or the PMO Director
- For IROW Costs up to P10M - Assistant Secretary
- For IROW Costs up to P15M - Undersecretary
- IROW Costs of any amount shall be approved by the Secretary

Step 7 The signed DAS is brought to the DPWH for approval of the Secretary

Step 8 The DAS is then notarized. To avoid penalties, capital gains tax must be settled on or before the $5^{\text {th }}$ day of the month within which it was notarized. All necessary taxes (i.e., tax declaration and Real Property Tax arrears, if any, and the transfer tax) must be settled prior to payment of capital gains tax

Step 9 Payment of capital gains tax, documentary stamps, shall be made to the Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR). A Certificate Authorizing Registration (CAR) shall then be issued by the BIR.

Step 10 Approved DAS is then registered with the concerned Register of Deeds or at pertinent municipalities where the property is located. The title of the property shall be annotated at the back if only a portion of the property is purchased by the government. If the whole property was purchased by the government, the old title will be cancelled and a new one shall be issued to the government.

Step 11 Payment of Claims
Conditions/Requirements Prior to Release of Payment

- Payment for land should be made only after the corresponding DAS had been registered with the concerned Register of Deeds and Torrens Title to the subject lot is already transferred in the name of the government. For partially affected parcel of lands, payments should be made only after the corresponding DAS had already been annotated at the back of the title of the subject lot;
- If the Deed of Conveyance was not signed by the owner but was signed by his duly and legally constituted agent, the owner should also be notified in writing of the amount due him as payment of his property. Accordingly, the treasury warrant or check for the payment of said property should be drawn in favor of the registered owner;
- Officials or employees responsible for releasing checks or warrants should require positive identification of the payee before releasing these checks or warrants;

Note: District/City and Regional Offices as well as Project Management Offices of the DPWH shall act on the claim within forty eight (48) hours from the time of receipt. Should there be no sufficient funds to pay all claims presented with complete documents and ready for payment, the smaller claims should be given priority in payment; and in case the amount of claim are equal, priority of payment shall be based on the period/date the property/lot was taken by the government.

## 4) RESETTLEMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURE

In accordance with international standards, the most basic and important resettlement policy is to make every effort to avoid any need for land
acquisition or resettlement. However in cases wherein resettlement is inevitable, Resettlement Plans (RP) must be prepared.
a) Procedures for Formulating Resettlement Plans

For infrastructure projects, formulation of Resettlement Plans, whether the requirement is an abbreviated or full RP, is the responsibility of DPWH's Implementing Office. Assistance can be sought from the IROW and Resettlement Project Management Office, the Environmental and Social Services Office (ESSO), or private consulting firms. Resettlement Plans shall be formulated based on the following:

- Initial categorization/screening of road sections based on anticipated impacts from resettlement;
- Disclosure and explanation of policy and legal frameworks for resettlement to Project Affected Families (PAF);
- Consultation with potential PAF to obtain their inputs on avoiding or mitigating involuntary resettlement and determine their concerns, needs and preferences;
- Census and socioeconomic survey of all PAF and complete inventories of their assets, including estimation of compensation for structures and improvements;
- Social impact assessment and validation that the entitlement matrix have covered all resettlement entitlements;
- Consultation meetings with PAF to explain relocation plans and rehabilitation strategy, including income restoration (if required) and improvement of their living conditions;
- Inclusion of itemized budget for all resettlement activities in the total project cost for each road section;
- Formulation of implementation schedule for each RP;
- Detailed and comprehensive procedures for grievance redress mechanism;
- Conceptualization of Institutional Framework for resettlement activities;
- Recommendation of internal and external monitoring program and final evaluation;


## Major Policies on Relocation of Informal Settlers

If the project involves displacement of informal settlers, the following legal frameworks can be used in describing the resettlement plan. These are:
(i) Republic Act 7279 (Urban Development and Housing Act of 1992) and its Implementing Rules and Regulations
(ii) DPWH Department Order No. 5, Series of 2003
(iii) DPWH’s Policy Framework for Land Acquisition, Resettlement, and Rehabilitation

## RA 7279 - Urban Development and Housing Act of 1992

One of the main objectives of this act is to "Provide decent shelter to the underprivileged and homeless citizens in urban areas and resettlement areas whose lives are generally marked by economic insecurities and whose occupancy of land is uncertain"". As such, several guidelines were enacted by various government agencies such as the Housing and Land Use Regulatory Board (HLRB), Housing and Urban Development Coordinating Council (HUDCC), National Housing Authority (NHA), Land Management Bureau (LMB) and the National Mapping and Resource Information Authority (NAMRIA).

One of these is the guideline which directs all city and municipal governments to conduct an inventory of lands, after which sites for socialized housing are delineated. Under this Act, potential socialized housing program beneficiaries must first register with the Barangay Registration Committee (BRC) in their respective areas. It should be noted however, that not all informal settlers are entitled to be resettled in these areas. The following qualifications make applicants eligible to be included in the Master List of underprivileged and homeless citizens:

- Must be a Filipino citizen of legal age;
- The combined family income must fall within the NEDA-defined poverty threshold;
- Must not own any real property whether in the urban or rural areas and must not have been a beneficiary of any government housing program except those in leasehold or rental arrangements;
- Must not be a professional squatter nor a member of a squatting syndicate; and
- Must be the head of the family


## Salient points of DPWH D.O. No. 5, Series of 2003 include:

- It shall be applicable to all foreign-assisted and locally funded projects.
- Implementing Office (IO) shall ensure that IROW costs are always included in project budgets.
- IO shall formulate a IROW Action Plan during the project identification stage. The Action Plan will contain the estimated budget for all IROW costs including inflation and contingencies, schedule of implementation, and the areas to be acquired.
- The IO shall provide an estimated cost breakdown of each project to the IROW and Resettlement PMO and the CFMS prior to any disbursement of funds. The first priority of the budget for a project shall be all costs prior to construction.
- If IROW costs differ from the approved IROW budget after detailed design has been finalized, a budget adjustment shall be approved.
- The Environmental Compliance Certificate (ECC) shall be secured before the detailed design for all projects. However, for projects costing over P300 million, the ECC shall be secured before National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA) / Infrastructure (ICC) approval.
- Parcellary Surveys shall be conducted for all projects in accordance with DO 187 series 2002.
- A Resettlement Action Plan (RAP) shall be prepared for all projects using a standardized compensation package.
- The determination of Project Affected Persons (PAPs) and improvements shall be based on the cutoff date, which is the start of the census of PAPs and tagging for improvements.
- The first mode of acquisition shall be to request donation from the property owner.
- If the property owner does not donate the property, then negotiations for purchase of land and improvements, shall follow based on the provisions of Republic Act 8974 and its IRR. Hence, the first offer shall be the current BIR zonal value for land, and replacement cost for improvements (there shall be no salvage value)
- If the first offer is not accepted, the value of the second offer shall be based on the Resolution of the appropriate Appraisal Committee subject to the approval of the Implementing Office (IO). If the IO does not agree with the Appraisal Committee's Resolution, then the IO shall engage the services of an Independent Land Appraiser to determine the value. The value of the second offer shall be the lower of the two values. In case the property owner refuses the second offer, the IO initiates expropriation proceedings.
- It is the responsibility of the IO to obtain and validate all necessary documents for IROW claims.
- IROW claims shall be screened, fully verified and validated, and the supporting documents authenticated prior to payment.
- Legal Staff in the respective Regional Office shall review Deeds of Absolute Sale (DOAS) up to Php 5 Million. Legal Service in the Central Office shall review Deeds of Absolute Sale over Php 5 Million.
- Valid claims for all lands, structures and other improvements will be paid in full in accordance with government rules and regulations. The IO shall pay all taxes and encumbrances of the property up to the amount in the Deed of Absolute Sale, and shall then deduct the amount of the capital gains tax and encumbrances from the payment due the property owner.
- The IROW and Resettlement PMO shall monitor the releases and disbursement of IROW funds made by the IOs.
- All IOs shall properly liquidate all IROW disbursements and submit quarterly reports of payments made for all claims to the IROW and Resettlement PMO.
- All IROW must be fully acquired and cleared before the issuance of the Notice of Award for the project.
- IO shall properly file all documents pertaining to the acquisition of IROW and shall effect the transfer of titles or other tenurial instruments in the name of the Republic of the Philippines within three months from the perfection of the Deed of Absolute Sale, or in the case of expropriation, from the date of full payment.
- District Offices shall be responsible and accountable for the proper and ensure that encroachments, structures, and informal management of all IROW settlers are not allowed within the IROW limits. All District Engineers through the Regional Directors shall submit monthly reports of the status of IROW to the IROW and Resettlement PMO.
- In the event that a utility company does not comply with the IO notification to relocate the utility within the specified time period, the IO shall issue a final notice to the utility company with a time period specified. If the utility company still does not comply with the final notice, the IO shall clear the utility and bill the utility company accordingly.
- All utilities must be fully cleared from the IROW before the issuance of the Notice of Award for the project.
- The use of IROW for facilities and utilities shall be in accordance with DPWH guidelines and will be strictly enforced by the respective District Office.
- The IO shall prepare the final as-built IROW Plan upon completion of the project, for submission to the IROW and Resettlement PMO.

Salient Points of DPWH's Policy Framework for Land Acquisition, Resettlement, and Rehabilitation

- All Project Affected Persons (PAPs) residing in, working, doing business, or cultivating land, or having rights over resources within the project area as of the Cut-off Date (i.e., date of the census surveys) are entitled to compensation for their lost assets, incomes, jobs and businesses at replacement cost;
- In cases when the remaining assets of a PAP are not viable for continued use, he will be entitled to full compensation for the entire affected assets;
- When payment is made for an agricultural land acquired by the DPWH, the landowner will be exempted from capital gains tax on the compensation paid to him; In addition, other expenses such as registration fee, transfer taxes, documentary stamp tax, and notional fees will be paid by DPWH for property transfers made through land acquisition;
- Replacement agricultural land, premise/business plot will be as close as possible to the land that was lost and/or acceptable to the PAPs;
- All replacement land for agriculture, residential, and business will be provided with secured tenure status and without any additional cost, taxes, surcharge to the PAPs at the time of transfer;
- The previous level of community services and access to resources will be maintained or improved after the resettlement;
- The general mechanism for compensation of lost residential and commercial land will be through land-for-land or cash compensation at replacement cost.
- Tenants are entitled to assistance to transfer to a new location
b) Entitlements of Project Affected Persons

Based on DPWH's resettlement policy which is embodied in DPWH's Land Acquisition, Resettlement, Rehabilitation and Indigenous Peoples Policy (LARRIPP), $3^{\text {rd }}$ Ed., Series of 2007, the application of legal doctrines with regards to compensation to APs are guided by the following Entitlement Matrix.

## TABLE 13.6.2-1 ENTITLEMENT MATRIX SHOWING MODES OF COMPENSATION FOR PROJECTS IMPLEMENTED BY DPWH (MODIFIED FROM DPWH LARRIPP, $3^{\text {RD }}$ ED. 2007)

| Type of Loss | Application | Entitled Person | Compensation/Entitlements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAND <br> (Classified as Agricultural, Residential, Commercial, or Institutional) | More than 20\% of the total landholding loss or where less than $20 \%$ lost but the remaining land holding become economically unviable | Project Affected Family (PAF) with Transfer Certificate of Title (TCT) or Tax Declaration (TD, which can be legalized to full title) | PAF will be entitled to: <br> - Cash compensation for loss of land and at $100 \%$ replacement cost for structures, at the informed request of PAFs <br> Land valuation shall be, in accordance with Section 5 of RA 8974, computed based on: <br> - Classification and use for which the property is suited; <br> - The development costs for improving the land; <br> - The value declared by the owners; <br> - The current selling price of similar lands in the vicinity; <br> - The reasonable disturbance compensation for the removal and/or demolition of certain improvement on the land and for the value of improvement thereon; |


|  |  |  | - The size, shape, or location, tax declaration and zonal valuation of the land; <br> - The price of the land as manifested in the ocular findings, oral as well as documentary evidence presented; and <br> - Such facts and events as to enable the affected property owners to have sufficient funds to acquire similarly-situated lands of approximate areas as those required from them by the government, and thereby rehabilitate themselves as early as possible <br> - If feasible, land for land will be provided in terms of a new parcel of land of equivalent productivity, at a location acceptable to PAFs <br> - Holders of free or homestead patents and CLOA under CA 141, Public Lands Act will be compensated on land improvements only <br> - Holders of Certificates of Land Ownership Award (CLOA) granted under the Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Act shall be compensated for land at zonal value <br> - Cash compensation for damaged crops at market value at the time of taking <br> - Rehabilitation assistance in the form of skills training and simple financial management equivalent to the amount of P15,000.00 per family, if the present means of livelihood is no longer viable and the PAF will have to engage in a new income activity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAF without TCT | - Cash compensation for damaged crops at market value at the time of taking |


|  |  |  | - Agricultural lessors are entitled to disturbance compensation equivalent to five (5) times the average of the gross harvest for the past three (3) years but not less than P15,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 20\% of the total landholding lost or where the remaining land holding is still viable for use | PAF with TCT or Tax Declaration (TD, which can be legalized to full title) | PAF will be entitled to: <br> - Cash compensation for loss of land and structures at $100 \%$ replacement cost at the informed request of PAFs. <br> Valuation of compensation for land shall be the same as described above for PAFs holding Transfer Certificate of Title (TCT) or Tax Declaration (TD, which can be legalized to full title) <br> - Holders of free or homestead patents and CLOA under CA 141, Public Lands Act will be compensated on land improvements only <br> - Holders of Certificates of Land Ownership Award (CLOA) granted under the Comprehensive Agrarian Reform Act shall be compensated for land at zonal value <br> - Cash compensation for damaged crops at market value at the time of taking |
|  |  | PAF without TCT | - Cash compensation for damaged crops at market value at the time of taking <br> - Agricultural lessors are entitled to disturbance compensation equivalent to five (5) times the average of the gross harvest for the past three (3) years but not less than P15,000.00 |
| STRUCTURES <br> (Classified as Residential/ Commercial/ Industrial) | More than 20\% of the total landholding loss or where less than 20\% lost but the remaining | PAF with TCT or Tax Declaration (TD, which can be legalized to full title) | PAF will be entitled to: <br> - Cash compensation for entire structure at $100 \%$ of replacement cost which is defined as the "amount necessary to replace the |


| Structures no <br> longer function <br> as intended or <br> no longer viable <br> for continued <br> use |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | PAF without TCT | - Cash compensation for affected portion of the structure to be computed based on replacement cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PAFs who own shops and other commercial establishments to cover for their computed income loss | - Computed income loss during demolition and reconstruction of their shops but not to exceed one (1) month period |
| IMPROVEMEN TS | Severely or marginally affected | PAF with or without TCT, Tax Declaration., etc. | PAF will be entitled to: <br> - Cash compensation for the affected improvements at replacement cost |
| CROPS, TREES, PERRENIALS |  |  | PAF will be entitled to: <br> - Cash compensation for crops, trees, and perennials at current market value as prescribed by the concerned LGUs and DENR |

### 13.6.2 EIA Requirements for Horizontal Infrastructure Projects

## STEP 1: Determine if project is located within Environmentally Critical Areas (ECAs) or not. See list below

1. All areas declared by law (NIPAS) as national parks, watershed reserves, wildlife preserves, sanctuaries
2. Areas set aside as aesthetic potential tourist spots
3. Areas which constitute the habitat of any endangered or threatened species of Philippine wildlife (flora and fauna)
4. Areas of unique historic, archaeological or scientific interests
5. Areas which are traditionally occupied by cultural communities or tribes (IPs)
6. Areas frequently visited and/or hard hit by natural calamities (geologic hazards, floods, typhoons, volcanic activity, etc.)
7. Areas with critical slopes
8. Areas classified as prime agricultural lands
9. Recharged areas of aquifers
10. Water bodies characterized by one or any combination of the following conditions: tapped for domestic use, within declared protected areas; which support wildlife \& fishery activities
11. Mangrove areas characterized by: with primary pristine \& dense young growth, adjoining mouths of major rivers, natural buffers against shore erosion, productive fishing grounds
12. Coral reefs characterized by: With $50 \%$ and above live coralline cover; spawning and nursery grounds for fish; act as natural breakwater of coastlines

STEP 2: Determine Project Grouping and Type of EIA document to prepare

| Group I: <br> Environmentally Critical Projects in both | New Roads and Widening | No Critical Slope <br> (gradient < 40\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 20 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | EIS | ECC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmentally Critical Area (ECA) and Non- |  | With Critical Slope (gradient $\geq$ 40\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | EIS | ECC |
| Environmentally Critical Area (Non-ECA) | New Bridges and Viaducts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | EIS | ECC |


| Group II: <br> Non- <br> Environmentally Critical Projects (NECP) in Environmentally Critical Area (ECA) | New Roads and Widening | No Critical Slope (gradient < 40\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length < 2 } \\ & \mathrm{km} \end{aligned}$ | PDR | CNC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ <10 \mathrm{~km} \end{gathered}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <20 \mathrm{~km} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  |  | With Critical Slope (gradient $\geq$ 40\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length < } 2 \\ & \mathrm{~km} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PDR | CNC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <5 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ <10 \mathrm{~km} \end{gathered}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  | New Bridges and Viaducts |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length < } \\ & 80 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | PDR | CNC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \mathrm{~m} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <2 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  | Rehabilitation WITHOUT realignment* |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <20 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 20 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  | Rehabilitation WITH realignment* |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  | Improvement Projects WITHOUT widening* |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <10 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <20 \mathrm{~km} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |
|  | Improvement Projects WITH widening* |  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 2 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L} \\ & <5 \mathrm{~km} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | IEEC | ECC |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \mathrm{~km} \leq \mathrm{L}< \\ & 20 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | IEER | ECC |


| Group III: <br> Non- <br> Environmentally Critical Projects (NECP) in NonEnvironmentally Critical Area (NECA) | Asset Preservation/ Maintenance of Existing Roads* | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length < } \\ & 2 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | Optional CNC application |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \geq \\ & 2 \mathrm{~km} \end{aligned}$ | PDR | CNC |
|  | Rehabilitation WITHOUT realignment* | Regardless of Length | PDR | CNC |
|  | Rehabilitation WITH realignment* |  | PDR | CNC |
|  | Improvement Projects WITHOUT widening* |  | PDR | CNC |
|  | Improvement Projects WITH widening* |  | PDR | CNC |
| Note: * Based on practice only, not explicitly stated in Revised Procedural Manual for AO 2003-30 of DENR |  |  |  |  |

STEP 3: Prepare the necessary EIA document to obtain ECC/CNC

### 13.6.3 Preliminary Environmental Impact Assessment

Preliminary environmental impact assessment of projects is shown in Table 13.5.3-1.

TABLE 13.6.3-1(1/3) PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT : ARMM

| Road Class | Road No. | Road Name | Project Group | Type of Document | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Type } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Permit } \end{array}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Inter-City Road | L1-1 | Iligan-Marawi Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { L2- } \\ & \text { 2(1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Marawi-Marabang Road (MalabangPualas Sec) | III | PDR | CNC | Water Shed |
|  | MC-1 | Bito-Marawi Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | L2-1 | Cotabato-Malabang-Lanao del Norte | III | PDR | CNC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Including SK- } \\ & \text { 2, SK-3, SK- } \\ & \text { 4, SK-5, SK-6 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | SK-9 | Cotabato-General Santos Road | III | PDR | CNC | Including: M-1 |
|  | SK-1 | Davao-Cotabato Road | III | PDR | CNC | Including: M-5 |
| Regional Primary Road | L1-4 | Mulondo-Wao Road | I | EIS | ECC | Water Shed |
|  | L2p-1 | SK Border-Butig-Lumbayanague Road | I | EIS | ECC | $\qquad$ |
|  | SK-7 | Landsan-Polloc | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SK-8 | Parang Wharf Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SKn-2 | Matanog-Alamada Road (MatanogBuldon Sec) | I | EIS | ECC | Including: SKn-3 |
|  | SKn-5 | Kabacan-Midsayap Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | M-3 | Dulawan-Marbel Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | M-4 | Kidapawan-Ala Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | Mp-2 | Datu Saudi Ampatuan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | Mn-1 | Sultan sa Barongis-Pagalungan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | Mp-1 | Maganoy-Sultan sa Barongis Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | Mp-3 | Maganoy-Lebak Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
| Regional Secondary Road | L1-5 | Marawi-Kapai Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | L1p-1 | Balindong-Pantao Ragat Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | MC-3 | Marawi-Landing Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | MC-4 | Marawi-Cadre Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | MC-5 | Marawi-Msu Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | MC-7 | Marawi-Kapai Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | MC-8 | Marawi-Marcos Blvd Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | L2-4 | Ganassi-Tubod Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | L2p-2 | Malabang-Marogong-TubaranBayang Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | L2p-3 | Madalum-Munai Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | L2n-1 | Parang-Balabagan Road | I | EIS | ECC | Including: SKn-1 |
|  | SK11 | Awang Airport Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SKp-2 | Tamontaka-Tapian Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SKn-6 | Tapian-Lebak Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | SKp-3 | Diang-Upi Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SKp-5 | Diang-Upi Road Phase II | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | SKp-4 | Limbo-Pinaring-Manuangan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | SKn-4 | Manuangan-Parang Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |

Note: EIS : Environmental Impact Statement
PDR : Project Description Report
ECC : Environmental Compliance Certificate
CNC: Certificate of Non-Compliance

TABLE 13.6.3-1(2/3) PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT : REGION X

| Road Class | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Road } \\ \text { No. }\end{array}$ | Road Name | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Project } \\ \text { Group }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Type of } \\ \text { Document }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Type of } \\ \text { Permit }\end{array}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Primary } \\ \text { Inter-City } \\ \text { Road }\end{array}$ | PI-1 (1) |  |  |  |  |  | \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Butuan-Cagayan de Oro-Iligan-Tubod <br>

Road (Butuan-Cagayan de Oro Sec.)\end{array}\right)\)

Note: EIS : Environmental Impact Statement
PDR : Project Description Report
ECC : Environmental Compliance Certificate
CNC: Certificate of Non-compliance
TABLE 13.6.3-1(3/3) PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT : REGION XII

| Road Class | Road No. | Road Name | Project Group | Type of Document | Type of Permit | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Inter-City Road | PI-1 | Davao-Cotabato Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | PI-2 | Cotabato-Digos Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | PI-3 | Maramag-Kibawe-Kabacan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | P1-4 | Gen. Santos-Cotabato Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | PI-5 | Gen. Santos-Digos Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
| Regional Primary Road | RP-1 | Libungan-Buldon-Matanog Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RP-2 | Wao-Carmen Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-3 | Kabuntalan-Midsayap Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-5 | Pagalungan-Mamasapano Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RP-6 | Kidapawan-Ala Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RP-7 | Buluan-Islan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-8 | Koronadal-Tacurong-Midsayap Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-10 | Kalamansig-Maitum-Gen. Santos Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-11 | Isulan-Palimbang Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RP-13 | Magnoy-Lebak Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
| Regional Secondary Road | RS-1 | Banisilan-Alamada Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RS-2 | Carmen-Libuagan Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RS-3 | Matalam-Roxas Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RS-4 | Kidapawan-Arakan-Davao Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RS-5 | Tulunan-Makilala Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RS-6 | Koronadal-Columbio-Datu Paglas Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |
|  | RS-7 | Padada-Kiblawan-Columbio Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RS-8 | Lais-Alabel Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RS-10 | Saralla-Lake Sebu-Maitum Road | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RS-11 | Sultan Kudarat-South Cotabato Link Rd. | I | EIS | ECC | - |
|  | RSn-1 | Tapian-Lebak Road | III | PDR | CNC | - |

Note: EIS : Environmental Impact Statement
PDR : Project Description Report
ECC : Environmental Compliance Certificate
CNC: Certificate of Non-compliance

### 13.6.4 SCOPING OF PROPOSED PROJECTS

Proposed projects were grouped into the following types and scoping of each type of project is presented in Annex 13-1.

Type 1: Improvement of existing gravel road to paved road (no ROW acquisition required)

Type 1-1: Do not pass through Protected Area
Type 1-2: Passes through Protected Area
Type 2: Rehabilitation of Existing Pavement (No ROW acquisition required)
Type 2-1: Do not pass through Protected Area
Type 2-2: Passes through Protected Area
Type 3: Elimination of missing link and New road construction. (ROW acquisition and resettlement of PAPs required).

Type 3-1: Do not pass through Protected Area
Type 3-2: Passes through Protected Area

### 13.7 PROJECT PRIORITY AND IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

### 13.7.1 Project Prioritization Criteria

The Study Team had a series of discussions with DPWH-ARMM Counterpart Team and jointly developed the following project prioritization criteria.

1) Items to be evaluated and weight of each item

| Evaluation Items | Weight |
| :--- | :---: |
| a) Road Class | 5 |
| b) Degree of Inconvenience/Problem | 15 |
| c) Economic Return | 25 |
| d) Contribution to Agricultural Development | 15 |
| e) Type of Work | 25 |
| f) Environmental Impact | 5 |
| g) Synergy Effect to Other Related Projects | 5 |
| h) Consistency to Regional Development Plan | 5 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ |

2) Evaluation Method and Weight of Sub-items

## a) Road Class

- Primary Inter-City Road


## Weight

- Regional Primary Road 5
- Regional Secondary Road 4 3
b) Degree of Inconvenience/Problem (DI)

DI $=($ Road Condition $) \times($ DI Factor $) \times$ AADT
whereas;
DI = Degree of Inconvenience
AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic (PCU/day)

## AADT

AADT for the missing link or a new road is that of "with project case", or AADT

To be attracted if a link exists.

## DI Factor

i) Paved Road

## DI Factor

Good/Fair Condition 1
Bad Condition 5
Very Bad Condition 10
ii) Un-paved Road

Good/Fair Condition 5
Bad Condition 10
Very Bad Condition 15
iii) Missing Link and New Road

Missing Link
Detour Distance over 100 km 30
Detour Distance 50-100 km 25
Detour Distance less than 50 km 15
New Road
Detour Distance over 100 km 25
Detour Distance 50-100 km 20
Detour Distance less than 50 km 15

## DI for Existing Road

$\mathrm{DI}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{1} \times 1+\mathrm{L}_{2} \times 5+\mathrm{L}_{3} \times 10+\mathrm{L}_{4} \times 5+\mathrm{L}_{5} \times 10+\mathrm{L}_{6} \times 15\right) / \mathrm{L} \times$ AADT

## DI for Missing Link

$\mathrm{DI}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{7} \times 30\right.$ or 25 or 20$) \times \mathrm{AADT} / \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{D}_{1}$

## DI for New Road

$\mathrm{DI}_{3}=\left(\mathrm{L}_{8} \times 25\right.$ or 20 or 15$) \times$ AADT $/ \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{D}_{1}$
where;
$\mathrm{L}_{1}=$ segment length of paved road in good/fair condition
$\mathrm{L}_{2}=$ segment length of paved road in bad condition
$\mathrm{L}_{3}=$ segment length of paved road in very bad condition
$\mathrm{L}_{4}=$ segment length of unpaved road in good/fair condition
$\mathrm{L}_{5}=$ segment length of unpaved road in bad condition
$\mathrm{L}_{6}=$ segment length of unpaved road in very bad condition
$\mathrm{L}_{7}=$ missing section
$\mathrm{L}_{8}=$ new road length
$\mathrm{L}=$ road length in km

## Range of DI Value

Over 30,000 15
$10,000-30,000 \quad 12$
$5,000-10,000 \quad 9$
$1,000-5,000 \quad 6$
$500-1,000 \quad 3$
Less than $500 \quad 2$

## c) Economic Return (ER)

ER = DI/ Construction cost per km in Million Pesos

## Range of ER

Over 1,000

## Weight

800-1,000
25.0

600-800
22.5

400-600
20.0

200-400
17.5

100-200
15.0

Less than 100
12.5
10.0
d) Contribution to Agricultural Development
CAD $=$ (Agricultural Land Area served by the Road) / Road Length
where;

- Agricultural Land Area in sq. Km
- Road Length in km
Range of CAD Weight
Over 12 ..... 15.0
9-12 ..... 12.5
7-9 ..... 10.0
5-7 ..... 7.5
3-5 ..... 5.0
Less than 3 ..... 2.5
e) Type of Work
Type of Work
Weight
- Rehabilitation/Upgrading of Paved Road ..... 25
- Upgrading of Provincial Road to National ..... 25Road Standard
- Improvement of Un-paved Road ..... 22
- Elimination of Missing Link ..... 22
- Construction of a New Road ..... 15
- Widening of Traffic Lanes ..... 10
f) Environmental Impact
Social Impact ..... 3
- No ROW acquisition and no families affected ..... 3
by the Project
- ROW Acquisition and Resettlement of ..... 1Families Required
Impact on Natural Environment ..... 2
- Negligible impact on Natural Environment ..... 2
- Project passes thru protected or Reserved Area ..... 1
g) Synergy Effect of Other Related Projects
- There is a proposed irrigation project ..... 5
- No proposed irrigation project ..... 3


## h) Consistent to the Regional Development Plan/ DPWH Medium Plan

- Included
- Not Included 3


### 13.7.2 Implementation Priority of Projects

Based on the established project priority criteria, al projects were evaluated their implementation priority each concerned region. Implementation priority of projects is shown in Table 13.7.2-1. Road section names are shown in Figure 13.7.2-1.


FIGURE 13.7.2-1 ROAD SECTION AND ROAD NAME
TABLE 13.7.2-1 (1/3) Implementation Priority of Projects: ARMM

Table 13.7.2-1 (2/3) Implementation Priority of Projects: Region X

Table 13.7.2-1 (3/3) Implementation Priority of Projects: Region XII


### 13.7.3 Implementation Schedule

Implementation schedule of all projects was prepared based on the following considerations and shown in Table 13.7.3-1 and Figures 13.7.3-1 to 13.7.3-4;

- Implementation priority of projects
- On-going projects
- Road projects of which a feasibility study is on-going
- Implementation schedule of a road which connect 2 or 3 regions (i.e. ARMM and Region X or ARMM and Region XII, etc.,) is so planned that road sections in both Regions is constructed at the same time.

Table 13.7.3-1 (1/3) Implementation Schedule of Road Projects: ARMM


Table 13.7.3-1 (2/3) Implementation Schedule of Road Projects: Region X


Table 13.7.3-1 (3/3) Implementation Schedule of Road Projects: Region XII



FIGURE 13.7.3-1 ON-GOING PROJECTS AND FEASIBILITY STUDY ON-GOING ROADS


FIGURE 13.7.3-2 PROPOSED SHORT-TERM PROJECTS (2011-2015)


FIGURE 13.7.3-3 PROPOSED MEDIUM TERM PROJECTS (2016-2020)


FIGURE 13.7.3-4 PROPOSED LONG TERM PROJECTS (2021-2025)

### 13.8 IMPROVEMENT OF TRAFFIC CONDITION UNDER THE MASTER PLAN

### 13.8.1 Traffic Assignment Results Under the Master Plan

Traffic assignment was undertaken for each stage of Master Plan under the following conditions and shown in Figures 13.8.1-1 to 13.8.1-4.

| Master Plan Road <br> Network | Traffic Demand | Figure No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year 2011 | Year 2011 | Fig. 13.8.1-1 |
| Year 2015 | Year 2015 | Fig. 13.8.1-2 |
| Year 2020 | Year 2020 | Fig. 13.8.1-3 |
| Year 2025 | Year 2025 | Fig. 13.8.1-4 |



FIGURE 13.8.1-1 TRAFFIC CONDITION IN YEAR 2011 under the Master Plan


FIGURE 13.8.1-2 TRAFFIC CONDITION IN YEAR 2015 under the Master Plan


FIGURE 13.8.1-3 TRAFFIC CONDITION IN YEAR 2020 under the Master Plan


FIGURE 13.8.1-4 TRAFFIC CONDITION IN YEAR 2025 under the Master Plan

### 13.8.2 Improvement of Traffic Condition under the Master Plan

Traffic conditions under each stage of the Master Plan were summarized in
Table 13.8.2-1.
TABLE 13.8.2-1 TRAFFIC CONDITION UNDER EACH STAGE OF MASTER PLAN

| Indications |  | 2011 | 2015 | 2020 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Travel Distance (1,000 km x pcu) | With | 10,041 | 14,144 | 17,894 | 21,975 |
|  | Without | 10,132 | 14,317 | 17,963 | 22,726 |
|  | With/without | 0.99 | 0.99 | 1.0 | 0.97 |
| Total Travel Time (1,000 hrs x pcu) | With | 193.4 | 224.3 | 253.8 | 331.0 |
|  | Without | 195.2 | 270.1 | 286.7 | 363.6 |
|  | With/without | 0.99 | 0.83 | 0.88 | 0.91 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Capacity } \\ & \text { Distance } \\ & (1,000 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{pcu}) \end{aligned}$ | With | 147,969 | 156,286 | 165,787 | 175,142 |
|  | Without | 146,404 | 146,404 | 146,404 | 146,404 |
|  | With/without | 1.01 | 1.07 | 1.13 | 1.20 |
| Average Travel Speed (km/hr) | With | 51.9 | 63.1 | 64.5 | 66.4 |
|  | Without | 51.9 | 51.0 | 50.5 | 49.8 |
|  | With/without | 1.00 | 1.24 | 1.28 | 1.33 |
| Demand Capacity Ratio | With | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.13 |
|  | Without | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
|  | With/without | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.00 | 0.93 |

When the Master Plan is realized, major improvement in traffic condition will be made on the following:

- Travel time improvement

In 2025, travel time will be saved by 32,600 hours per day.

- Total capacity

In 2025, traffic capacity of roads increased by 1.20 times.

- Average Travel Speed

In 2025, average travel speed will be improved by 1.33 times.

### 13.9 ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF THE MASTER PLAN

### 13.9.1 Evaluation Methodology and Assumptions

The Master Plan projects was evaluated from the economic viewpoint, following a cost-benefit analysis, of which procedure is shown in Figure 13.9.1-1.

Economic cost is a monetary expression of goods and services to be actually consumed for implementation of a project. All the transfer costs (taxes and subsidies) are deducted from the financial costs measured in market price. In addition, shadow wage rates (SWRs) were applied to unskilled labor costs included in the project cost. The same process is taken to estimate unit costs of vehicle operation which were used to estimate economic benefits, by excluding all taxes and applying the SWRs to labor cost of mechanics and crews.

Economic benefit is defined as the amount saved in travel costs due to a project. Travel costs consist of two components, vehicle operating cost (VOC) and travel time cost (TTC). These are the benefits most direct and comparatively easy to quantify. It is obvious that there exist other benefits, such as safety improvement, inducement of urban development, and mitigation of traffic congestion. In this study, however, those kinds of benefits were difficult to quantify and thus excluded in order to avoid an arbitrary evaluation.

Benefits of a project were measured through so-called "with" and "without" comparison. Using the results of traffic assignment to a network with the project and also to the same network but without the project, total VOC and TTC of each case were calculated. The benefit is regarded as the difference between "with" and "without cases.


FIGURE 13.9.1-1 WORK FLOW FOR ECONOMIC EVALUATION

Economic cost and benefit were compared through a discount cash flow analysis. The discount rate (DR) adopted is $15 \%$ which is widely used in the Philippines. As evaluation indicators, internal rate of return (IRR), benefit/cost ratio (B/C) and net present value (NPV) were calculated. They are defined as below:

- Internal Rate of Return(IRR):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum \frac{B_{n}}{(1+r)^{n}}=\sum \frac{C_{n}}{(1+r)^{n}} \\
\sum \frac{B_{n}-C_{n}}{(1+D R)^{n}} \\
\sum \frac{B_{n}}{(1+D R)^{n}} \div \sum \frac{C_{n}}{(1+D R)_{n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Net Present
- B/C

Pro-forma cash flow of a project to be evaluated is prepared for the period of 2009 to 2030

Although the physical life of an infrastructure project is 50 to 60 years, economic life is assumed to be 20 years, taking into account future rapid urban growth and changes of socioeconomic conditions. Thus, every investment is not completely depreciated within the analytical period until 2030. Therefore, residual value of each project in 2031 is calculated and added to the benefit stream.

### 13.9.2 Estimation of Vehicle Operating Cost

Vehicle operating cost (VOC) is one of the main sources of economic benefit. The operating cost per unit distance is estimated by type of vehicle, such as motor-tricycle, car, van, jeepney, bus and truck.

In the Philippines, DPWH has been periodically updating VOC data in order to use as an input to the HDM Model for the appraisal of highway development and maintenance projects. The VOC estimates in this Study depended on the basic information and assumptions of the DPWH‘s data.

## (1) Basic Vehicle Operating Cost

The basic vehicle operating costs refer to those costs incurred while driving on an ideal paved road with a smooth surface (International Roughness Index (IRI) at arund 2.5), straight alignment, with no horizontal curves and roadside friction, and under smooth traffic flows.

The unit VOC and TTC provided by DPWH are shown in Table 13.9.2-1 and Table 13.9.2-2.

Table 13.9.2-1 Unit VOC by Vehicle Type as of September 2006

| Velocity <br> (km/hour) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Motor- <br> tricycle | 2. Passenger <br> Car | 3. Passenger <br> Utility | 4. Good <br> Utility | S. Small <br> Bus | 6. Rigid <br> Truck 2ax |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 2.98 | 10.56 | 8.80 | 10.09 | 19.66 | 20.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 2.48 | 9.09 | 7.40 | 8.34 | 16.65 | 17.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 2.15 | 8.02 | 6.40 | 7.07 | 14.47 | 15.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | 2.03 | 7.47 | 5.91 | 6.44 | 13.36 | 15.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 | 2.03 | 7.21 | 5.72 | 6.15 | 12.83 | 14.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | 2.10 | 7.13 | 5.71 | 6.07 | 12.62 | 14.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 | 2.20 | 7.16 | 5.82 | 6.15 | 12.59 | 14.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90 | 2.29 | 7.25 | 6.01 | 6.31 | 12.64 | 14.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 2.36 | 7.36 | 6.23 | 6.50 | 12.72 | 15.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | 2.40 | 7.46 | 6.43 | 6.69 | 12.79 | 15.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 120 | 2.42 | 7.54 | 6.61 | 6.84 | 12.81 | 15.07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: DPWH
Table 13.9.2-2 Unit TTC by Vehicle Type as of September 2006 (Pesos/person/hour)

| Reprersentative <br> Vehicle Type | Working Time | Non-Working Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Passenger Car | 400 | 100 |
| Motor-tricycle | 100 | 25 |
| Public Utility |  |  |
| Bus |  |  |

Source: DPWH
The unit VOC and TTC used in this study were updated as shown in Table 13.9.2-3 and Table 13.9.2-4, using inflation rates during 2006 to 2009.

Table 13.9.2-3 Updated Unit VOC by Vehicle Type as of 2009

| Velocity <br> (km/hour) | 1. Motor- <br> tricycle | 2. Passenger <br> Car | 3. Passenger <br> Utility (Jeepny) | 4. Good <br> Utility | 5. Small <br> Bus | 6. Rigid <br> Truck 2ax |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 20 | 4.01 | 13.12 | 9.36 | 12.06 | 15.80 | 29.46 |
| 50 | 2.61 | 9.28 | 6.16 | 7.55 | 10.40 | 19.35 |
| 80 | 2.75 | 8.77 | 5.98 | 7.02 | 9.88 | 18.08 |
| 100 | 2.93 | 8.94 | 6.37 | 7.32 | 10.08 | 18.23 |
| 120 | 3.00 | 9.11 | 6.74 | 7.64 | 10.19 | 18.23 |

Table 13.9.2-4 Updated Unit TTC by Vehicle Type as of September 2009
(Psesos/person/hour)

| Presentative <br> Vehicle Type | Travel Time Cost |
| :--- | :---: |
| Passenger Car | 419 |
| Motor-tricycle | 105 |
| Public Utility |  |
| Bus |  |

## (2) Vehicle Operating Cost by Road Condition

As previously stated, the Basic VOC is the cost on an ideal paved road with a smooth surface. Where road conditions are worse, VOC becomes higher. Data shown in Table 13.9.2-5 are prepared for the adjustment of unit VOC according to various road conditions. The VOC coefficient in the table is multiplied to the unit VOC. For a road in a hilly area and mountainous area, the estimated unit VOC is readjusted by multipling 1.1 and 1.2, respectively.

TABLE 13.9.2.5 VOC ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

| Road | Condition | VOC Coefficient |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface |  | Passenger Cars | Trucks |  |
| Paved Road | Bad | 1.40 |  | 1.60 |
| Gravel Road | Bad | 1.60 | 1.90 |  |

Source: DPWH
Note: For a road in a hilly area and mountainous area, the estimated unit VOC is readjusted by multiplying1.1 and 1.2 , respectively

### 13.8.3 Project Economic Cost

## (1) Initial Cost

Project costs estimated in Section 13.5.2 are so-called financial costs of the projects, where the prices are measured in market price. Those costs were converted into economic costs through the following procedures:

1) The estimated financial cost includes the value added tax (VAT) of $12 \%$. This national tax was excluded, because it is a transfer cost and not a part of the project input of goods and services.
2) According to the home page of the Philippines Central Bank, the unemployment in the Philippines ranges from 7 to $8 \%$. According to the formula proposed by J. Haveman, the economic value of the wage paid to unskilled labor under such a high employment rate is never as high as the wage determined in the market. The shadow wage of unskilled labor is usually from $85 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the legally stipulated minimum wage.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Shadow Wage } & =\text { Market Wage } \times(1.25-\text { Unemployment Rate } / 0.2) \\
& =\text { Market Wage } \times(0.85 \sim 0.90)
\end{aligned}
$$

The economic cost through the conversion with above-mentioned 1) and 2 ) is shown in Table 13.9.3-1. The ratio to a financial cost of an economic cost, it might be called a standard conversion coefficient: SCF, became 0.90 . Economic cost by project is shown in Table 13.9.3-2.

Table 13.9.3-2 Economic Costs of the Project

| (Mil. PHP) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Financial Cost (A) | Ec onomic Cost (B) | (B)/(A) |
| ARMM | $19,704.3$ | $17,832.9$ | 0.91 |
| Region X | $24,435.0$ | $22,023.9$ | 0.90 |
| Region XII | $26,136.7$ | $23,595.6$ | 0.90 |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 0 , 2 7 6 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 , 4 5 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 9 0}$ |

The investment amount according to the annual implementation schedule is shown in Figure 13.9.3-2.


FIGURE 13.9.3-2 Annual Investment Schedule (in Economic Cost)

Table 13.8.3-2 (1/2) Financial Costa and Economic Cost by Project (ARMM)

| Region | Road Class | Road No. | Road Name |  |  | th <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (km) | (Mi. PHP) | (Mi. PHP) |
| ARMM | Primary InterCity Road | L1-1 | lligan-Marawi Road | 4.2 | 101.2 | 91.1 |
|  |  | L1-2 | Marawi-Marantao Road | 9.3 | - | - |
|  |  | L2-2(2) | Marawi-Marabang Road (Pualas-Balindong Sec) | 19.5 | - | - |
|  |  | L2-2(1) | Marawi-Marabang Road (Malabang-Pualas Sec) | 36.5 | 1,349.4 | 1,215.3 |
|  |  | MC-1 | Bito-Marawi Road | 4.1 | 95.2 | 85.8 |
|  |  | MC-2 | Marawi-Bacung Road | 3.5 | - | - |
|  |  | L2-1 | Cotabato-Malabang-Lanao del Norte Road | 64.5 | 1,772.1 | 1,596.6 |
|  |  | SK-1 | Davao-Cotabato Road | 8.8 | 204.4 | 184.1 |
|  |  | SK-2 | WYE Length Davao-Cotabato Road | 0.4 | 8.4 | 7.6 |
|  |  | SK-3 | Cotabato-Lanao Road | 10.8 | 603.5 | 544.3 |
|  |  | SK-6 | Simuay-Landsan-Parang Road | 12.9 | 330.0 | 297.3 |
|  |  | SK-4 | Salimbao-Delta Bridge Road | 0.4 | 9.8 | 8.8 |
|  |  | SK-5 | Lamsan-Simuay J ct. Road | 1.0 | 23.2 | 20.9 |
|  |  | SK-9 | Marbel-Ala-Cotabato Road | 27.2 | 631.8 | 569.1 |
|  |  | M-1 | Marbel-Ala-Cotabato Road | 32.9 | 972.4 | 876.3 |
|  |  | M-5 | Kabacan-Pagalungan Road | 13.7 | 566.3 | 510.6 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 249.7 | 6,667.8 | 6,007.8 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | L1-3 | Marawi-Masiu Road | 33.0 | - | - |
|  |  | L2-3 | Masiu-G anassi Road | 30.0 | - | - |
|  |  | L1-4 | Mulondo-Wao Road | 7.8 | 186.2 | 167.7 |
|  |  | MC-6 | Marawi-Pugaan Road | 7.5 | - | - |
|  |  | ᄂ2p-1 | SK Border-Butig-Lumbayanague Road | 25.0 | 922.9 | 840.3 |
|  |  | SKp-1 | Parang-Buldon Road | 29.0 | 869.4 | 784.5 |
|  |  | SK-7 | Landsan-Polloc Road | 3.6 | 74.9 | 67.5 |
|  |  | SK-8 | Parang Wharf Road | 0.8 | 17.1 | 15.4 |
|  |  | SK-10 | Awang-Upi-Lebak Road | 38.2 | - | - |
|  |  | M-2 | Awang-Upi-Lebak Road | 30.6 | - | - |
|  |  | SKn-2 | Matanog-Alamada Road (Matanog-Buldon Sec) | 20.0 | 738.3 | 672.2 |
|  |  | SKn-3 | Matanog-Alamada Road (Buldon-Alamada Sec) | 15.0 | 553.8 | 504.2 |
|  |  | SKn-5 | Kabacan-Midsayap Road | 20.0 | 738.3 | 672.2 |
|  |  | M-3 | Dulawan-Marbel Road | 3.5 | 324.5 | 292.7 |
|  |  | M-4 | Kidapawan-Ala Road | 14.3 | 415.6 | 374.6 |
|  |  | Mp-2 | Datu Saudi Ampatuan Road | 9.0 | 177.4 | 159.8 |
|  |  | Mn-1 | Sultan sa Barongis-Pagalungan Road | 35.0 | 1,292.1 | 1,176.4 |
|  |  | Mp-1 | Maganoy-Sultan sa Barongis Road | 22.2 | 460.0 | 414.6 |
|  |  | Mp-3 | Maganoy-Lebak Road | 6.0 | 161.6 | 145.8 |
|  |  | SKn-7 | Cotabato City East Diversion Road | 11.8 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 362.3 | 6,932.2 | 6,288.0 |
|  | Regional Secondary Road | L1-5 | Marawi-Kapai Road | 12.0 | 211.3 | 190.3 |
|  |  | Llp-1 | Balindong-Pantao Ragat Road | 8.0 | 151.9 | 136.9 |
|  |  | MC-3 | Marawi-Landing Road | 0.8 | 14.1 | 12.7 |
|  |  | MC-4 | Marawi-Cadre Road | 0.7 | 14.1 | 12.7 |
|  |  | MC-5 | Marawi-Msu Road | 1.0 | 18.3 | 16.5 |
|  |  | MC-7 | Marawi-Kapai Road | 6.6 | 125.7 | 113.2 |
|  |  | MC-8 | Marawi-Marcos Blvd Road | 1.8 | 150.1 | 135.4 |
|  |  | MC-9 | Bito-Marawi-Agus Road | 1.1 | - | - |
|  |  | L2-4 | Ganassi-Tubod Road | 11.0 | - | - |
|  |  | L2p-2 | Malabang-Marogong-Tubaran-Bayang Road | 7.0 | 141.2 | 127.4 |
|  |  | L2p-3 | Madalum-Munai Road | 6.0 | 114.1 | 102.7 |
|  |  | L2n-1 | Parang-Balabagan Road | 20.0 | 621.2 | 566.5 |
|  |  | SKn-1 | Parang-Balabagan Road | 10.0 | 310.6 | 283.2 |
|  |  | SK-11 | Awang Airport Road | 0.8 | 14.6 | 13.2 |
|  |  | SKp-2 | Tamontaka-Tapian Road | 20.1 | 509.9 | 460.1 |
|  |  | SKn-6 | Tapian-Lebak Road | 50.0 | 1,553.1 | 1,416.2 |
|  |  | SKp-3 | Diang-Upi Road | 21.5 | 489.0 | 441.4 |
|  |  | SKp-5 | Diang-Upi Road Phase II | 20.5 | 531.0 | 479.2 |
|  |  | SKp-4 | Limbo-Pinaring-Manuangan Road | 22.2 | 513.0 | 463.0 |
|  |  | SKn-4 | Manuangan-Parang Road | 20.0 | 621.2 | 566.5 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 241.1 | 6,104.2 | 5,537.2 |
|  | Total |  |  | 853.1 | 19,704.3 | 17,832.9 |

Table 13.9.3-2 (2/2) Financial Cost and Economic Cost by Project
(Region X \& XII)

| Region | Road Class | Road No. | Road Name |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (km) | (Mi. PHP) | (Mi. PHP) |
| Region X | Primary InterCity Road | Pl-1(1) | Butuan-Cagayan de Oro-lligan-Tubod Road (Butuan-Cagayan de Oro Sec.) | 121.3 | 2,817.9 | 2,538.0 |
|  |  | Pl-1(2) | Butuan-Cagayan de Oro-lligan-Tubod Road (Cagayan de Oro-lligan Sec.) | 81.2 | 1,886.2 | 1,698.8 |
|  |  | Pl-1(3) | Butuan-Cagayan de Oro-lligan-Tubod Road (lligan-Tubod Sec.) | 68.7 | 1,595.8 | 1,437.3 |
|  |  | Pl-2 | Sayre Highway | 136.9 | 3,298.3 | 2,970.6 |
|  |  | Pl-3 | Maramag-Kibawe-Kabacan Road | 45.1 | 1,085.5 | 977.7 |
|  |  | Pl-4 | Davao-Bukidnon Road | 59.3 | 1,427.7 | 1,285.9 |
|  |  | Pl-5 | lligan-Marawi Road | 22.1 | 604.7 | 545.3 |
|  |  | Pl-6 | Tubod-S.N. Dimaporo Road | 23.6 | 548.7 | 494.2 |
|  |  | Pl-7 | Kapatagan-R.Magsaysay Road | 13.3 | 308.9 | 278.3 |
|  |  | Pl-8 | Cotabato-Marabang-Lanao Del Norte Road | 27.4 | 636.9 | 573.7 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 598.8 | 14,210.6 | 12,799.7 |
|  | Regional Primary Road | RP-1 | Cagayan de Oro-Talakag-Maramag Road | 165.8 | 4,061.0 | 3,661.8 |
|  |  | RP-2 | Wao-Kililangan Road | 7.1 | 151.5 | 136.5 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 172.9 | 4,212.5 | 3,798.3 |
|  | Regional <br> Secondary <br> Road | RS-1 | Gingoog-Villanueva Road | 71.9 | 1,575.3 | 1,421.4 |
|  |  | RS-2 | Cagayan de Oro-Manolo Fortich Road | 54.7 | 1,213.4 | 1,095.0 |
|  |  | RS-3 | Mindanao East-Wesr Lateral Road | 127.9 | - | - |
|  |  | RS-4 | Tagum-Bukidnon Road | 61.7 | - | - |
|  |  | RS-5 | Ka lilangan-Kibawe Road | 56.4 | 1,285.3 | 1,160.3 |
|  |  | RS-6 | Cagayan de Oro-Manticao Road | 60.0 | 1,367.9 | 1,234.8 |
|  |  | RS-7 | Kauswagan-Munai-Madalum Road | 25.0 | 569.9 | 514.5 |
|  |  | RS-8 | Ganassi-Tubod Road | 23.0 | - | - |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 480.6 | 6,011.9 | 5,425.9 |
|  | Total |  |  | 1,252.3 | 24,435.0 | 22,023.9 |


| Region XII | Prima ry InterCity Road | Pl-1 | Davao-Cotabato Road | 55.4 | 1,286.2 | 1,158.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pl-2 | Cotabato-Digos Road | 58.0 | 1,295.9 | 1,167.2 |
|  |  | Pl-3 | Maramag-Kibawe-Kabacan Road | 48.6 | 1,129.8 | 1,017.6 |
|  |  | Pl-4 | Gen. Santos-Cotabato Road | 134.6 | 3,125.6 | 2,815.2 |
|  |  | Pl-5 | Davao-Digos Road | 39.0 | 906.1 | 816.2 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 335.6 | 7,743.7 | 6,974.6 |
|  | Regionary Primary Road | RP-1 | Libungan-Buldon-Matanog Road | 17.5 | 461.5 | 416.6 |
|  |  | RP-2 | Wao-Camen Road | 35.0 | 923.0 | 833.2 |
|  |  | RP-3 | Kabuntalan-Midsayap Road | 15.0 | 343.5 | 310.1 |
|  |  | RP-4 | Midsayap-Datumang Road | 13.1 | - | - |
|  |  | RP-5 | Pagalungan-Mamasapano Road | 15.0 | 452.4 | 412.6 |
|  |  | RP-6 | Kidapawan-Ala Road | 48.4 | 953.2 | 858.5 |
|  |  | RP-7 | Buluan-Islan Road | 22.7 | 447.5 | 403.1 |
|  |  | RP-8 | Koronadal-Tacurong-Midsayap Road | 36.5 | 751.5 | 677.3 |
|  |  | RP-9 | Gen. Santos-Glan-Kalipagan Road | 51.2 | - | - |
|  |  | RP-10 | Gen. Santos-Kiamba-Kalamansig Road | 221.7 | - | - |
|  |  | RP-11 | Isulan-Palimbang Road | 75.1 | 1,889.9 | 1,704.5 |
|  |  | RP-12 | Cotabato-Upi-Kalamansig Road | 39.0 | - | - |
|  |  | RP-13 | Magnoy-Lebak Road | 49.3 | 1,404.2 | 1,267.6 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 639.5 | 7,626.7 | 6,883.4 |
|  | Regionary Secondary Road | RS-1 | Banisilan-Alamada Road | 25.0 | 569.9 | 514.5 |
|  |  | RS-2 | Camen-Libuagan Road | 25.0 | 569.9 | 514.5 |
|  |  | RS-3 | Matalam-Roxas Road | 50.0 | 1,139.9 | 1,029.0 |
|  |  | RS-4 | Kidapawan-Arakan-Davao Road | 75.4 | 1,966.8 | 1,784.1 |
|  |  | RS-5 | Tulunan-Makilala Road | 25.0 | 475.3 | 428.0 |
|  |  | RS-6 | Koronadal-Columbio-Datu Paglas Road | 30.0 | 570.3 | 513.6 |
|  |  | RS-7 | Padada-Kiblawan-Columbio Road | 25.0 | 776.5 | 708.1 |
|  |  | RS-8 | Lais-Alabel Road | 32.6 | 807.9 | 733.6 |
|  |  | RS-9 | Gen. Santos-Glan-Kalipagan Road | 54.3 | - | - |
|  |  | RS-10 | Saralla-Lake Sebu-Maitum Road | 75.1 | 1,599.2 | 1,442.4 |
|  |  | RS-11 | Saltan Kudarat-South Cotabato Link Road | 90.0 | 1,711.0 | 1,540.9 |
|  |  | RSn-1 | Tapian-Lebak Road | 20.0 | 579.5 | 528.9 |
|  |  |  | Sub-Total | 527.5 | 10,766.3 | 9,737.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## (2) Operating and Maintenance Costs

The operating and maintenance costs of a road are influenced by the type and defect of pavement. And, even if we try to conduct desirable operating and maintenance, we have also restrictions of budget.

The desirable operating and maintenance costs which is estimated by the type and defect of pavement are shown in Table 13.9.3-3

Table 13.9.3-3 Estimated O\&M Costs by Type and Defect of Pavement (Without Budget Constraint)

| Type and Defect of Pavement | O\&M Cost <br> (Pesos/km/year) | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gravel \& Earth | 799,403 | Durable Period: <br> 5-7years |
| Concrete Pavement (Good Condition) | 374,863 | Durable Period: <br> around 20years |
| Concrete Pavement (Fair Condition) | 454,379 | 5 |
| Concrete Pavement (Bad Condition) | 561,809 |  |
| Concrete Pavement (Very Bad <br> Condition) | 775,568 |  |

On the other hand, actually budgeted amount of the O\&M cost of the national road of the ARMM area in the 2009 fiscal year was $110,581,600$ pesos.

Table 13.9.3-5 Maintenance of Infrastructure under the DPWH-ARMM Maint. \& Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) Budget in the GAA, in Php

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total GAA for ARMM | $6,691.2 \mathrm{M}$ | $8,644.3 \mathrm{M}$ | $8,331.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $9,249.0 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Out of which: Total MOOE | $180,609,000$ | $210,214,000$ | $215,230,000$ | $221,701,000$ |
| Of which: Maintenance of <br> Infrastructure (Net) | $171,320,000$ | $188,452,000$ | $188,452,000$ | $188,452,000$ |
| 1. National Roads | $\underline{110,581,600}$ | $\underline{110,581,600}$ | $\underline{110,581,600}$ | $110,581,600$ |
| 2. Portshore Protection | $12,983,300$ | $16,645,400$ | $16,645,400$ | $16,645,400$ |
| 3. Flood Control | $14,900,400$ | $19,103,200$ | $19,103,200$ | $19,103,200$ |
| 4. Office Buildings | $10,616,400$ | $13,610,900$ | $13,610,900$ | $13,610,900$ |
| 5. School Buildings | $20,137,900$ | $25,818,000$ | $25,818,000$ | $25,818,000$ |
| 6. Water Supply | $2,100,400$ | $2,692,000$ | $2,692,000$ | $2,692,000$ |

Source: GAAs for 2006 to 2009; and DPWH-ARMM, October 2008
The unit price of actual operating and maintenance of roads can be obtained by comparing the above-mentioned budgeted amount with estimated O\&M costs without the budget constraint of the national roads in ARMM area as shown below.


Table 13.9.3-5 and Figure 13.9.3-2 indicate O\&M expense by 2025 in the study area estimated taking account of that practical O\&M unit price. Even if road length is extended, annual O\&M expense will decrease because the unpaved road's length will go to decrease.

Table 13.9.3-5 Estimation of O\&M Expense

| Year | Road Length (km) |  | Operating \& |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Paved | Unpaved |  | Maintenance Expense |



Figure 13.9.3-2 Estimation of O\&M Expense

### 13.9.4 Economic Evaluation

## (1) Economic Benefit

The following three items are considered as economic benefits in this M/P.

- Saving in Vehicle Operating Cost (VOC)
- Saving in Travel Time Cost (TTC)
- Saving in O\&M Expense


## 1) VOC Saving

The unit VOC by vehicle type and operating speed calculated in Section 13.9.2 is multiplied by the estimated traffic volume by section, and then total VOC of the whole road network is computed by summarizing them. Since estimated traffic volume is composed of passenger cars and trucks, unit VOC is also aggregated into these two vehicle types. The passenger car comprises a passenger car, jeepney and small bus. The rigid truck 2AX is adopted as a representative of the trucks as shown below;
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Vehicle Type of Unit VOC } & \text { Vehicle Type For Traffic } \\ \text { Calculation } & \text { Assignment }\end{array}$

1. Motor-Tricycle
2. Passenger Car
3. Jeepney
4. Good Utility
5. Small Bus

6. Rigid Truck 2Axle

Since the passenger cars include three types of a vehicle (Passenger Car, Jeepney and Small Bus), the unit VOC for the passenger cars was weightaveraged by the ratio of Passenger Car 67.6\%, Jeepney 27.4\%, and Small Bus $5.0 \%$ that was the ratio of vehicle type of the traffic volume survey in the study area (excluded part of the islands). Table 13.9.4-1 shows the weighted unit VOC by vehicle type.

Table 13.9.4-1 Unit VOC by Vehicle Type as of 2009

| (Pesos per veh-km) |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Velocity (km/hour) | Passenger Car | Truck |
| 20 | 10.89 | 29.46 |
| 30 | 9.06 | 24.71 |
| 40 | 7.81 | 21.91 |
| 50 | 7.15 | 19.35 |
| 60 | 6.84 | 18.61 |
| 70 | 6.73 | 18.25 |
| 80 | 6.74 | 18.08 |
| 90 | 6.82 | 18.19 |
| 100 | 6.92 | 18.23 |
| 110 | 7.02 | 18.23 |
| 120 | 7.10 | 18.23 |

A total VOC of the whole road network was calculated with this unit VOC and estimated traffic volume. Then, the benefit of the projects in 2015, 2020, and 2025 was computed by the comparison of "with" case and "without" case. At that time, the correction factor by type of pavement described in Table 13.9.2-5 was taken into consideration as well as the unit VOC by operating speed. The road network and OD table of a "with" case and "without" case in each target year are shown below.

Table 13.9.4-2 Outline of With and Without Cases

|  | $\mathbf{\| c \|} 2015$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2025 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| With <br> Case | OD | 2015OD | 2020OD | 2025OD |
|  | Network | Proposed Short- <br> term Projects | Proposed Medium- <br> term Projects | Proposed Long- <br> term Projects |
| Without <br> Case | OD | 2015OD | 2020OD | 2025OD |
|  | Network | Existing Network <br> + Ongoing <br> Projects | Existing Network + <br> Ongoing Projec | Existing Network <br> + Ongoing Projec |

## (2) Travel Time Saving

According to the DPWH data of the fiscal year 2008, the time value per work hour is 419 pesos among those who are on a passenger car and 105 pesos among the others. From the roadside OD survey result, of the total person trips in the study area, business trips account for $69.6 \%$, commuting to work places and commuting back home $6.5 \%$. By assigning $100 \%$ of the time value mentioned above to trips during work hours and $50 \%$ to the time spent for commuting, it is reasonable to assume that the average time value during travel comes to $72.9 \%$ for the total person trips.

The time value was estimated as shown below from the assumptions above. The reduction of travel time was obtained for each mode from the traffic assignment, and the economic benefit was calculated by multiplying the total reduction by the time value.

| Passenger Car | $419 \times 0.729 \times 2.75=840.0 \mathrm{pesos} / \mathrm{hour} / \mathrm{veh}$. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Others | $105 \times 0.729 \times 8.94=684.3 \mathrm{pesos} / \mathrm{hour} / \mathrm{veh}$ |
| All Passenger Cars | $840.0 \times 0.338+684.3 \times 0.662=736.9$ pesos $/ \mathrm{hour} /$ veh. |

Where, 419, 105: Unit TTC by vehicle type
2.75, 8.94: Average number of passenger by vehicle type $0.338,0.662$ : Vehicle composition by vehicle type

## (3) Saving of Operating and Maintenance Expense

The O\&M expense of gravel or earth roads is high by about 1.8 times compared with that of concrete pavement. The saving of the O\&M expense in the Master Plan is calculated by the reduction amount in the annual O\&M expense by paving gravel or earth roads.

The amount of benefits from (1) to (3) mentioned above is arranged and shown in Table 13.9.4-3.

Table 13.9.4-3 Amount of Economic Benefits in Each Year

|  | VOC Saving |  |  | TTC Saving |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | O \& M Costs <br> Saving | Total |  |
| 2015 | $6,060.20$ | $11,232.72$ | 161.82 | $17,454.74$ |
| 2020 | $4,879.12$ | $14,464.11$ | 199.36 | $19,542.59$ |
| 2025 | $15,526.36$ | $24,641.01$ | 439.84 | $40,607.21$ |

## (2) Evaluation Result

Table 13.9.4-4 shows the economic cash flow over the project period for calculating economic internal rate of return (EIRR). The overall EIRR was estimated at $24.2 \%$. According to NEDA's criteria, the threshold value to judge the economic feasibility of a project is $15 \%$ in the Philippines. Thus, this Master Plan is concluded to be highly feasible from the economic point of view.

Table 13.9.4-4 Cash Flow of Economic Cost and Benefit


| 日RR | $24.2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $N P V(R=15 \%)$ | $7,026.5$ |
| $B / C(R=15 \%)$ | 1.34 |

## (3) Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analyses were conducted to check the impact of changes in the input conditions of this economic evaluation. The analyses were made concerning the following two conditions:
a) Project cost is increased by $10 \%$ and $20 \%$.
b) Project benefit is lessened by $10 \%$ and $20 \%$.

Table 13.9.4-5 presents the results of the above sensitivity tests. The project will remain feasible even under the condition where the estimated project cost increases by $20 \%$ or the project benefit is lessened by $20 \%$. The project will become unfeasible only when these conditions happen to occur simultaneous. For example, the case of $20 \%$ benefit-down and $10 \%$ cost-up will make the project unfeasible. It seems rather sensitive to the benefit. However, besides the benefits accounted in this section, there are undoubtedly other significant benefits (i.e., rise of land value, improvement of accessibility to public facilities, development of reliable road network against a natural disaster, impact on commodity prices and etc). That is, the sensitivity analysis proves that the feasibility of the Project is quite stable against the project cost and the project benefit.

Table 13.9.4-5 Sensitivity Analysis
EIRR

|  | Change in Benefit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Base Case | $-10 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |  |
| Change in Cost | Base Case | 24.2 | 20.5 | 16.9 |
|  | $+10 \%$ | 21.7 | 18.3 | 14.9 |
|  | $+20 \%$ | 19.4 | 16.3 | 13.2 |

NPV
(Mil.PHP)

|  |  | Change in Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Base Case | $-10 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Change in Cost | Base Case | $7,026.5$ | $4,260.1$ | $1,493.7$ |
|  | $+10 \%$ | $5,462.7$ | $2,696.3$ | -70.1 |
|  | $+20 \%$ | $3,898.9$ | $1,132.5$ | $-1,633.9$ |

B/C

|  |  | Change in Benefit |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Base Case | $-10 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Change in Cost | Base Case | 1.34 | 1.21 | 1.07 |
|  | $+10 \%$ | 1.25 | 1.12 | 1.00 |
|  | $+20 \%$ | 1.16 | 1.05 | 0.93 |


[^0]:    Source: Note-1 : Planning Service, DPWH-National
    Note-2 : DPWH-ARMM

[^1]:    1 Taken from Fig. 1-1 of the Revised Procedural Manual of DENR Administrative Order (DAO) 200330, prepared and issued by the DENR Environmental Management Bureau (EMB).

