HOLDING COMPANY FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER (HCWW) SHARKIA POTABLE WATER AND SANITATION COMPANY (SHAPWASCO)

THE PROJECT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT CAPACITY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR SHAPWASCO IN THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

PROJECT FINAL REPORT (MAIN REPORT)

NOVEMBER 2009

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

YACHIYO ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

GED JR 09-122 HOLDING COMPANY FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER (HCWW) SHARKIA POTABLE WATER AND SANITATION COMPANY (SHAPWASCO)

THE PROJECT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT CAPACITY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR SHAPWASCO IN THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

PROJECT FINAL REPORT (MAIN REPORT)

NOVEMBER 2009

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

YACHIYO ENGINEERING CO., LTD.

Exchange Rate applied in this Report

As of November 2009

US\$ 1.00 = LE 5.43 US\$ 1.00 = JY90.87

LE 1.00 = JPY16.723



Pilot Project Area for UFW Reduction Activities



Model Facilities for SOP Activities

- M & E : Mechanical & Electrical
- **M** : Mechanical only
- E : Electrical only

Open Seminar



Chairman of HWCC and SHAPWASCO make a speech for opening ceremony at Grand Hyatt Hotel in Cairo.(1st Open seminar) (Date: Jun. 10, 2007)



Chairman of SHAPWASCO facilitates the 1st open seminar. (Date: Jun. 10, 2007)



The head of UFW Reduction Team makes a presentation at 1st Open seminar. (Date : Jun. 10, 2007)



The head of SOP Team makes a presentation at1st Open seminar.(Date: Jun. 10, 2007)



Open Workshop



Presentation by counter pert at Military club in Zagazig. (1st Open workshop) (Date: Nov. 25.26, 2007)



Presentation by counter pert at Military club in Zagazig. (1st Open workshop) (Date: Nov. 25.26, 2007)





The situation of listening to presentation by counterpart. (1st Open workshop) (Date: Nov. 25.26, 2007)

Discussion with attendance at Military club in Zagazig. (1st Open workshop) (Date: Nov. 25.26, 2007)



Counterpart makes a presentation of result for water saving campaign at Sharkia Governorate in Zagazig. (2nd Open workshop) (Date: Dec. 16, 2008)

Attendance asks question of counterpart about UFW reduction activity presentation. (2nd Open workshop) (Date: Dec. 16, 2008)

Internal Workshop for UFW



Explanation of project objectives for UFW reduction activity.

(Date: Feb. 24, 2007)

Explanation by Egyptian expert for UFW reduction activity. (Date: Feb. 24, 2007)





Presentation by a counterpart (UFW team member) for UFW reduction activity. (Date: Feb. 24, 2007)

Explanation for water meter reading by specialist of Zagazig City in training room. (Date: Jul. 1, 2007)



Presentation of the investigated result in Zagazig City East by head of Zagazig team. (Date: Sep. 11, 2007)



Counterpart takes Japanese Expert's advice at onsite workshop in Zagazig East City. (Date: Sep. 11, 2007)

Internal Workshop for SOP



Explanation of project objectives for SOP
activity.Gate: Feb. 26, 2007)

Explanation by activity.

Egyptian expert for SOP (Date: Feb. 26, 2007)



Presentation by a counterpart for SOP activity. (Date: Feb. 27, 2007)



Counterpart explains the importance of coordination between facility operation and water quality control in Abbasa W.T.P. (Date: Jun. 25, 2007)



Presentation for well monitoring by head of well monitoring team in training room. (Date: Jun. 26, 2007)



Presentation for water quality & process control in training room. (Date: Oct. 27, 2007)

Water Conservation Campaign



Expert and counter part team visit to primary school in Hihya Markaz. (Date: Oct. 24, 2007)



(Date: Oct. 28, 2007)



Students answer a questionnaire at junior high school in Ibrahimia Markaz. (Date: Nov. 14, 2007)



Students at junior high school in Ibrahimia Markaz visit to Hihya Water Treatment Plant. (Date: Nov. 19, 2007)



UFW Reduction Activity(1/3)



(Date: May.7, 2007)



Minimum night flow survey in Zagazig City (Date: Jun. 7, 2007) East. (Action U2)



Installation of portable flow meter on MNF survey in Zagazig Markaz. (Action U2). (Date: Jun. 30, 2007)



Training for GIS operation with Expert at GIS (Date: May. 14, 2007) center. (Action U3).



Exchange of opinions with Jordan UFW Team. (Action U5). (Date: Jul. 14, 2007)



Investigation of meter error in Zagazig City East. (Action U7&8). (Date: Nov. 10, 2007)

UFW Reduction Activity(2/3)



UFW Reduction Activity(3/3)



Excavation of leakage point found by counterpart team in Hyhya Markaz. (Action U12) (Date: Dec. 13, 2007) Leakage point found by counterpart team in Hyhya Markaz. (Action U12). (Date: Dec. 13, 2007)





Repairing leakage point in Ibrahimiya. (Action U12). (Date: Jul. 24, 2008)

Training and evaluation for equipment in Zagazig West . (Date: Jul. 6, 2008)



Training and evaluation for equipment at New Training Yard in Hihya (1) (Date: Feb. 5, 2009)



Training and evaluation for equipment at New Training Yard in Hihya (2) (Date: Feb. 5, 2009)

SOP Activity(1/3)



Investigation for preparation of P&ID and SOP in Abbsa W.T.P (Action S1.2.4). (Date: May. 31, 2007)



Counter parts prepare the draft P&ID in Abbsa W.T.P (Action S1). (Date: Jun.6, 2007)



Discussion for preparation of P&ID and SOP in Abbsa W.T.P (Action S1.4) (Date: Jun.9, 2007)



Discussion for preparation of P&ID and SOP in Abbsa W.T.P (Action S1.4) (Date: Jun.11, 2007)



Kafr Farag (Action S1.4) (Date: Jun.16, 2007)

Counter parts record the vibration of motor at before maintenance and after maintenance in Abbasa W.T.P. (Action S2.4.6)

(Date: Sep.5, 2007)

SOP Activity(2/3)



Counter parts apply a SOP to pump maintenance in Abbasa W.T.P. (Action S4.6) (Date: Sep.5, 2007)



Counter part measures and records the water pressure in Zagazig W.T.P. (Action S2.6) (Date: Feb.20, 2007)



Counter part uses the SOP documents and makes an entry to unified form in Zagazig W.T.P. (Action S2.6) (Date: Feb.20, 2007)



Counter parts learn about the hydraulic analysis from expert in headquarter office. (Action S5-2) (Date: Aug.21, 2007)



SOP Activity(3/3)



Measurement for well production by portable flow meter in Diarb Nigm Markaz. (Action S10) (Date: Jun.24, 2007)



OJT of filter refreshment in Zagazig W.T.P (Action S6). (Date: June. 24, 2008)





OJT of chlorine control in Abbasa W.T.P (Action S6). (Date: July.17, 2008)

Training of installation of data roger, data reading and data transportation in Abbsa W.T.P (Action S3.5). (Date: July.14, 2008)



Preparation of control procedures for residual chlorine.(Action S4.6) (Date: Oct.12, 2008)

OJT of control procedures for residual chlorine by H/Q counterpart in Abbasa W.T.P (Action S6.7) (Date: Oct.28, 2008)

THE PROJECT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF MANAGEMENT CAPACITY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR SHAPWASCO IN THE ARAB REPUBLIC OF EGYPT

Project Final Report (Main Report)

Table of Contents

Project Area	
Photographs	
Table of Contents	
List of Tables and Figures	
Abbreviations	

SUMM	S-1 S-1		
CHAP'	FER 1 GENERAL		
1.1	Preface		
1.2	Objectives of the Project		
1.3	Project Area 1-2		
1.4	Structure for Project Implementation1-3		
CHAP'	TER 2 DEVELOPMENT OF PDM		
CHAP	TER 3 PROJECT ACHIEVEMENT 3-1		
3.1	Achievement for Overall Goal		
3.2	Achievement for Project Purpose		

CHAPTI	ER 4 TECHNICAL COOPERATION OUTPUTS	
4.1 U	FW Reduction Activities	
4.1.1	Basic Policy	
4.1.2	Action Plan	
4.1.3	Common Actions (Actions U1 to U5)	
4.1.4	Implementation of Pilot Project (Action U6 - U14)	
4.1.5	Formulating Long-Term Pipe Replacement Plan for Preventive Works	
4.1.6	Formulating a Plan for Expanding UFW Reduction Activity to the whole	
	Governorate	
4.1.7	Internal Workshop for UFW Reduction Activities	

4.2	SOP Activitie	s	4-34
4.2.1	Basic Poli	cy	4-34
4.2.2	Action Pla	n	4-38
4.2.3	Each SOP	Action	4-39
4.2.4	Internal W	orkshop for SOP Activities	4-68
4.3	Other Activiti	es	4-78
4.3.1	Water Con	servation Campaign	4-78
4.3.2	Public Rel	ation Activities	4-82
4.3.3	Coordinati	on with Other Donor and Organizations	4-83
СНАР	TER 5 AC	FUAL IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	5-1
5.1	Actual Impler	nentation Schedule for UFW Reduction Activity	5-1
5.2	Actual Impler	nentation Schedule for SOP Activity	5-3
СНАР	TER 6 AC	FUAL OUTPUTS	6-1
6.1 II	puts by Japane	ese Side	6-1
6.1.1	JICA Expe	ert Team	6-1
6.1.2	Counterpa	rt Training in Japan	6-2
6.1.3	Provision	of Equipment	6-9
6.1.4	Expenses	of Project Implementation	6-13
6.2 In	nput by Egyptia	an Side	6-14
6.2.1	Counterpa	rt Team	6-14
6.2.2	Budget Al	location in Egyptian side	6-17
СНАР	TER 7 DE	VICE AND LESSON ON PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND	
	IM	PLEMENTATION	7-1
СНАР	TER 8 EVA	ALUATION OF THE PROJECT	8-1
8.1	Mid-Term Mo	onitoring Study	8-1
8.1.1	Objective	of Mid-term Monitoring Study	8-1
8.1.2	Comments	by Mid-term Monitoring Study Team	8-1
8.1.3	Feedback	of Comments made by Mid-term Monitoring Study Team	8-1
8.2	Terminal Eva	luation	8-2
8.2.1	Objectives	of Terminal Evaluation	8-2
8.2.2	Results of	Terminal Evaluation	8-2
8.2.3	Feedback	of Recommendations made by Terminal Evaluation Team	8-5
8.3	Cost Benefit A	Analysis for UFW Reduction Activity	8-5
СНАР	TER 9 HO	LDING OF VARIOUS MEETINGS	9-1
9.1	Joint Coordin	ating Committee (JCC) Meeting	9-1
9.2	Technical Con	nmittee (TC) Meeting	9-1
9.3	Project Team	Meeting (PTM)	9-1

9.4	Open Seminar	
9.4.1	1 1st Open Seminar (Phase-2)	
9.4.2	2 2nd Open Seminar (Phase-2)	
9.4.3	3 3rd Open Seminar (Phase-4)	
9.5	Open Workshop	
9.5.1	1 1st Open Workshop (Phase-2)	
9.5.2	2 2nd Open Workshop (Phase-3)	
9.5.3	3 3rd Open Workshop (Phase-3)	

CHAP	TER 10	TASK AND RECOMMENDATION	10-1
10.1	Task		10-1
10.2	Recomm	endation	10-1
10.2.	1 UFW	Reduction Activities	10-1
10.2.	2 SOP A	Activities1	10-3

ATTACHMENT

1.	Minutes of Meeting of 1 st JCC Meeting	A1-1
2.	Minutes of Meeting of 2 nd JCC Meeting	A2-1
3.	Minutes of Meeting of 3 rd JCC Meeting	A3-1
4.	Minutes of Meeting of 4 th JCC Meeting	A4-1
5.	Minutes of Meeting of 5 th JCC Meeting	A5-1
6.	Minutes of Meeting of 6 th JCC Meeting	A6-1
7.	Minutes of Meeting of Project Team Meetings for Phase-1	A7-1
8.	Minutes of Meeting of Project Team Meetings for Phase-2	A8-1
9.	Minutes of Meeting of Project Team Meetings for Phase-3	A9-1
10.	Minutes of Meeting of Project Team Meetings for Phase-4	A10-1

List of Tables and Figures

Summary

Table S-1	Summary of UFW Reduction Activities for the Project	S-4
Table S-2	Summary of SOP Activities for the Project	S-5
Figure S-1	Overall Schedule and Main Activities	S- 1
Chapter 1		
Table 1-1	Roles of Committees and Teams for the Project	1-3
Table 1-2	Member of Joint Coordinating Committee (JCC)	1-4
Table 1-3	Member of Technical Committee(TC)	1-5
Table 1-4	Member of Project Team	1-5
Table 1-5	Member of UFW Teams	1-6
Table 1-6	Member of SOP Teams	1-7
Table 1-7	JICA Expert Team	1-10
Figure 1-1	Structure for Project Implementation	1-4
Chapter 2		
Table 2-1	Development of PDM and PO	2-1
Table 2-2	PDM0(Original at the time of R/D)	2-2
Table 2-3	PDM1(1 st Revision)	2-4
Table 2-4	PDM2(2 nd Revision)	2-8
Table 2-5	PDM3(3 rd Revision)	2-12
Chapter 3		
Table 3-1	Project Indicators and Achievement Levels	3-2
Table 3-2	PI for UFW Reduction Activity	3-5
Table 3-3	Long-Term Targets of UFW Ratios for SHAPWASCO	3-6
Table 3-4	PI for SOP Activities	3-7
Table 3-5	Result of PI for Power Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009	3-8
Table 3-6	Target of PI for Power Consumption	3-8
Table 3-7	Result of PI for Chlorine Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009	3-9
Table 3-8	Target of PI for Chlorine Consumption	3-9
Table 3-9	Result of PI for Alum Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009	3-10

Table 3-10	Target of PI for Alum Consumption	3-10
Table 3-11	Ratio of Water Production Volume and Water Intake Volume in 2009	3-11
Table 3-12	Target of PI for Efficiency of Water Production	3-11
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1-1	Methodology for Three Countermeasures against UFW Reduction	4-2
Table 4.1-2	Action Plan of UFW reduction activity	4-4
Table 4.1-3	Schedule and Program of Training at Mostrod Training Center	4-6
Table 4.1-4	Schedule and Program of Training at SHAPWASCO Training Yard	4-7
Table 4.1-5	List of Nominated Candidate Areas for Pilot Project Sites	4-9
Table 4.1-6	Results of MNF Survey for Candidate Areas for the Pilot Project	4-10
Table 4.1-7	Selected Pilot Project Sites	4-11
Table 4.1-8	Results of Leakage Survey for Selected Pilot Project Sites	4-12
Table 4.1-9	Training Schedule of GIS Staff by JICA GIS Expert	4-13
Table 4.1-10	GIS Base Maps for Sharkia Governorate	4-14
Table 4.1-11	List of GIS Network Drawings Prepared	4-15
Table 4.1-12	Participants in Action U5	4-16
Table 4.1-13	Schedule for Action U5	4-16
Table 4.1-14	Water Balance Analysis Sheet taking into account Water Supply Service by SHAPAWSCO	4-21
Table 4.1-15	Results of UFW and Leakage ratio in each Pilot Project area	4-25
Table 4.1-16	Information in each Pilot Project area	4-27
Table 4.1-17	Results of leakage survey in each Pilot Project area	4-28
Table 4.1-18	Internal Workshop for UFW Reduction Activities Done	4-32
Figure 4.1-1	Relation among Three Countermeasures for UFW Reduction Activity	4-1
Figure 4.1-2	Position of the Project in the Whole UFW Reduction Activity	4-2
Figure 4.1-3	Benefits of Reducing UFW	4-3
Figure 4.1-4	Flow of Actions for UFW Reduction Activity	4-5
Figure 4.1-5	Method of Measuring Metering Error	4-19
Figure 4.1-6	Flow Meter Installation for Tree Shape Network	4-19
Figure 4.1-7	Flow Meter Installation for Network in Highly-Populated District	4-20
Figure 4.1-8	Ultrasonic Flow Meter	4-20
Figure 4.1-9	Water Pressure Recorder	4-20
Figure 4.1-10	Detecting by Acoustic Rod or Digital Sound Detector	4-22

Work by Leak Detector	4-22
Mechanism of Detecting Leaking Point	4-22
Typical Leak Detector	4-23
Leak Sound Correlator	4-23
Method of Detecting Leakage by Leak Sound Correlator	4-23
Method of Confirming Exact Location of Leak Point	4-24
Pipe & Cable Locator	4-24
Metal Locator	4-24
Pipelines in High Mobarak 1 area in Zagazig City-East	4-30
Location of Broken Pipes in Zagazig City-East	4-31
	Work by Leak Detector

Table 4.2-1	Typical Changes in Present and New Potable Water Standards	4-35
Table 4.2-2	Objectively Verifiable Indicators and Achievements	4-38
Table 4.2-3	Basic Drawings prepared for Abbasa WTP	4-39
Table 4.2-4	Location for Flow Meters at Seven (7) WTPs	4-42
Table 4.2-5	Total Number of SOPs prepared through Phase-3	4-44
Table 4.2-6	Modification Example of run time for filter washing after applying OJT of	
	filter refreshment in Zagazig Water Treatment Plant	4-54
Table 4.2-7	Specification of 4 Monitoring Wells	4-63
Table 4.2-8	Internal Workshop for SOP Activities	4-68
Figure 4.2-1	Implementation Flow	4-37
Figure 4.2-2	Situation of Qenayat FMRP	4-39
Figure 4.2-3	P&ID and Graphs for to calculate the quantity of chemicals for Qenayat	
	FMRP	4-39
Figure 4.2-4	Installation Situation of Bulk Flow Meter in Abbasa WTP	4-41
Figure 4.2-5	Typical Composition of SOP Documents	4-45
Figure 4.2-6	Example for SOP Documents in Arabic(SOP Package)	4-45
Figure 4.2-7	Data Analysis in Hihya	4-47
Figure 4.2-8	Lecture and study on filter refreshment to the plant managers	4-51
Figure 4.2-9	Site Situation of Qenayat Fe/Mn	4-52
Figure 4.2-10	Basic Idea and Function of Chlorine Dose Control in Qenayat Fe/Mn	4-52
Figure 4.2-11	Filter Before Refreshment	4-54
Figure 4.2-12	Filter After Refreshment	4-54
Figure 4.2-13	Typical Composition of Well Inventory and Well List	4-62

Figure 4.2-14	Well Inventory and Contour Map for Groundwater Level	4-65
Table 4.3-1	Methodology of Water Saving Campaign	4-78
Table 4.3-2	Questionnaire to Students in Pre-questionnaire Survey	4-80
Table 4.3-3	Schedule of the Campaign	4-81
Table 4.3-4	Meeting with Other Donor and Organizations	4-83
Figure 4.3-1	Result of Pre-Questionnaire	4-82
Figure 4.3-2	Result of Post-Questionnaire	4-82
Figure 4.3-3	Opening part of Newsletter	4-82
Figure 4.3-4	Public Information Video CD	4-82
Chapter 5		
Figure 5-1	Actual Implementation Schedule for UFW Reduction Activities	5-2
Figure 5-2	Actual Implementation Schedule for SOP Activities	5-4
Chapter 6		
Table 6-1	Dispatch of experts (Phase-1)	6-1
Table 6-2	Dispatch of experts (Phase-2)	6-1
Table 6-3	Dispatch of experts (Phase-3)	6-2
Table 6-4	Dispatch of experts (Phase-4)	6-2
Table 6-5	Summary of Counterpart Training in Japan	6-3
Table 6-6	Training Schedule in Japan for Phase-1	6-4
Table 6-7	Training Schedule in Japan for Phase-2	6-5
Table 6-8	Training Schedule and Program in Japan for UFW for Phase-3	6-7
Table 6-9	Training Schedule and Program in Japan for SOP for Phase-3	6-8
Table 6-10	Equipment for UFW and SOP Activities procured	6-10
Table 6-11	Equipment for Common Activities procured	6-12
Table 6-12	Equipment Status	6-12
Table 6-13	Expense of Project Implementation	6-13
Table 6-14	Transition of Counterpart Team	6-14
Table 6-15	Budget Allocation in Egyptian Side	6-17
Chapter 8		
Table 8-1	Comments made by Mid-term Monitoring Study Team	8-1
Table 8-2	Feedback of Comments made by Mid-term Monitoring Study Team	8-2
Table 8-3	Results and Conclusion of Evaluation according to JICA' Five Evaluation	
	Criteria	8-3

Table 8-4	Feedback of Recommendations made by Terminal Evaluation Team	8-5
Table 8-5	Cost Breakdown of Cost Incurred in 3 Yeas for UFW Reduction Activities	8-6
Table 8-6	Cost-Benefit Analysis for UFW Reduction Activities (For 1 Year in Each Branch)	8-7
Table 8-7	Production Cost of SHAPWASCO(FY2007)	8-7
Table 8-8	Selling Price of SHAPWASCO (FY2007)	8-7
Chapter 9		
Table 9-1	List of Joint Coordinating Committee	9-1
Chapter 10		
Table 10-1	Work Assignment and Number of Staff for SOP Activities	10-3
Figure 10-1	Practicable Approach for UFW Reduction by Establishment of DMA	10-2

Abbreviations

C/P	Counterpart
DT	Distribution Tank
DAPWASCO	Dakahlia Potable Water and Sanitation Company
FY	Fiscal Year
FDWSC	Fayoum Drinking Water and Sanitation Company
GIS	Geographic Information System
HCWW	Holding Company for Water and Wastewater
HQ	Headquarters
IC/R	Inception Report
IWA	International Water Association
JCC	Joint Coordinating Committee
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
LE	Egyptian Pound
L/s	Liter per second
MCM	Million Cubic Meter
M/M	Minutes of Meeting
MNF	Minimum Night Flow
NOPWASD	National Organization for Potable Water and Sanitary Drainage
NRW	Non Revenue Water
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
PDM	Project Design Matrix
РО	Plan of Operation
PTM	Project Team Meeting
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
RW	Revenue Water
SHAPWASCO	Sharkia Potable Water and Sanitation Company
SOP	Standard Operational Procedures
TC	Technical Committed
TPS	Transmission Pump Station
UFW	Unaccounted-for Water
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
WTP	Water Treatment Plant

SUMMARY

1. Overall Schedule and Main Activities

The Project Final Report has been prepared for compiling all the activities and the achievements of for the Project. The Project has been implemented in four (4) phases from November 2006 to October 2009. Overall schedule and main activities are described in Figure S-1 below:



Figure S-1 Overall Schedule and Main Activities

2. Action Plans

The Project consisted of two (2) main activities: UFW reduction activity and SOP (Standard Operational Procedures) activity. Action Plans for these activities were formulated in Phase-1 and approved at Technical Committee (TC) held in March 2007. Contents of actions for each activity are as follows:

UFW Reduction Activity

Action-U1 Conducting training of C/P staff at Mostrod Training Center Conducting leakage (minimum night flow: MNF) survey for candidate areas Action-U2 Action-U3 Determining nine (9) pilot project areas Action-U4 Preparing GIS drawings Action-U5 Learning experiences of Jordan UFW reduction project Action-U6 Making field survey of distribution network Action-U7 Surveying working conditions of water meters and conducting meter readings Action-U8 Measuring metering error for working meters and water wastage in the house Action-U9 Conducting MNF survey Action-U10 Making water balance analysis before repair works Action-U11 Conducting leakage detection survey Action-U12 Repairing leaking parts

Action-U13 Conducting MNF survey (including meter readings) after repair works

Action-U14 Making water balance analysis after repair works and its evaluation

SOP Activity

Action-S1	Preparing basic system drawings		
Action-S2	Preparing unified forms of O&M records and reports		
Action-S3	Measuring intake/production water volume at seven (7) WTPs		
Action-S4	Developing SOPs for Model Facilities		
Action-S5	Examining water distribution control in the network		
S5-1	Pilot project for distribution control in small areas		
S5-2	Hydraulic analysis of water supply and distribution network		
Action-S6	Applying SOPs in O&M		
Action-S7	Developing SOPs for the Remaining Facilities		
Action-S8	Formulating O&M Plans		
Action-S9	Developing water quality control program		
Action-S10	Developing well inventory forms and monitoring wells		

3. Milestones of the Activities

The milestones of each activity for the Project are mentioned below:

(1) General

\triangleright	Dec. 2006	1 st JCC was held and the inception report of the Project was approved
------------------	-----------	---

- > Mar. 2007 1^{st} TC was held and Action Plans were approved.
- ➢ Jun. 2007 2nd JCC was held where PDM1 and PO1 were approved and 1st seminar was held in Cairo.
- Mar. 2008 3rd JCC was held where PDM2 and PO2 were approved and 2nd open seminar was held in Cairo.
- > Jul. 2008 4^{th} JCC was held where PDM3 and PO3 were approved.

Feb. 2009 Terminal evaluation coordination meeting and 5th JCC were held where minutes on joint evaluation report on Japanese technical cooperation for the Project and 5th JCC were signed.

Oct. 2009 6th JCC was held to confirm the completion of activities in Egypt and submission of the Project Final Report to the Egyptian side in November 2009

(2) UFW Reduction Activity

➢ Dec. 2006	UFW/HQ team was organized at SHAPWASCO headquarters.
▶ Jan. 2007	Existing conditions survey of UFW was completed.
➢ Feb. 2007	UFW teams of the whole governorate were organized and training of UFW/HQ
	team leader was conducted in Japan.
➤ Mar. 2007	Action plan for UFW reduction activity was formulated and approved.

≻ Apr. 2007	Action U1 and U4 started.
≻ May 2007	Action U2 started.
≻ Jun. 2007	Action U5 was conducted.
➤ Jul. 2007	Pilot Project-1 started in Zagazig City-East
≻ Aug. 2007	Action U11 and U12 of Pilot Project-1 started.
➢ Sept. 2007	Internal workshop for training leakage detection technique was held.
➢ Oct. 2007	Water conservation campaigns started for primary school students and junior high school students at Hihya WTP.
≻ Nov. 2007	1 st open workshop for achievements of UFW reduction activity was held in Zagazig.
≻ Nov. 2008	Five (5) pilot projects at Zagazig City (East), Zagazig City (West), Hihya Markaz, Zagazig Markaz and Ibrahimiya Markaz were completed.
➢ Dec. 2008	2 nd open workshop for achievements of UFW reduction activity was held in Zagazig.
➢ Jan. 2009	Construction of SHAPAWASCO training yard for leakage survey was completed at Central Well Station in Hihya City.
➢ Feb. 2009	3 rd open workshop for latest achievements of UFW reduction activity was held in Cairo. In this workshop, UFW activity in Jordan was introduced by the representative of Water Authority of Jordan.
 Sept. to Oct. 2009 	All the pilot projects were completed and long-term UFW targets as performance indicator were set based on the results of the pilot projects.
➢ Oct. 2009	3 rd open seminar was held and the achievements in UFW reduction activities were presented.

(2) SOP Activity

➢ Dec. 2006	SOP/HQ team was organized in SHAPWASCO headquarters.
➢ Jan. 2007	Existing conditions survey for water supply facilities were completed and list of the existing water supply facilities for SHAPWASCO was compiled.
➢ Feb. 2007	SOP/HQ team leader was trained in Japan.
≻ Mar. 2007	Action Plan for SOP activity was formulated and approved.
≻ Apr. 2007	Action S1 started.
➤ May 2007	Actions S2, S3, S5, S9 and S10 started.
➢ Aug. 2007	Activity for development of SOP started and internal workshop was conducted.
≻ Nov. 2007	1 st open workshop for SOP activity was held and discussions were done for sample of SOP.

➢ Feb. 2008	OJT started using SOPs at Abbasa WTP.
≻ Mar. 2008	Measurement of distribution volume by ultra-sonic flow meter started at
	Abbasa WTP.
➤ Jun. 2008	OJT started using SOP at Kafr Farag Fe/Mn removal plant and applying filter
	refreshment started at Zagazig WTP.
≻ Nov. 2008	Revision of SOP was started at Abbasa WTP by SHAPWASCO.
➢ Dec. 2008	OJT started using SOP at Bilbais booster pump station.
➢ Dec. 2008	2 nd open workshop for achievements of SOP activity was held.
➢ Feb. 2009	3 rd open workshop for latest achievements of SOP activity was held in Cairo.
 Mar. to Oct. 2009 	Monitoring data of flow rate and consumption of electricity, chlorine and alum was done. Performance indicators for SOP activities were set based on the
	monitoring results.
≻ Oct. 2009	3 rd open seminar was held and the achievements in SOP activities were presented
	presented.

4. Summary of Activities for the Project

4-1. UFW Reduction Activity

UFW reduction activities for the Project are summarized for each action below:

1	J			5	
		UFW Ratio			
No.	Pilot Project Site	Before Repair	After Repair	Reduction	
		(%)	(%)	(point)	
1	Zagazig City-East	40.8	20.8	20.0	
2	Hihya Markaz	27.5	16.6	10.9	
3	Zagazig City-West	35.4	19.4	16.0	
4	Zagazig Markaz-1	39.2	14.1	25.1	
5	Ibrahimiya Markaz-1	30.0	14.0	16.0	
6	Diarb Nigm Markaz	26.2	18.6	7.6	
7	Abu Hamad Markaz	39.2	29.7	9.5	
8	Bilbais Markaz	21.3	12.8	8.5	
9	Menia Alqamah M.	29.2	23.4	5.8	
10	Zagazig Markaz-2 (Expansion)	30.7	23.0	7.7	
11	Ibrahimiya Markaz-2 (Expansion)	19.9	12.8	7.1	
	Average (Except Area 10,11)	31.3	18.0	12.4	
	Average(All eleven Areas)	31.0	18.8	12.2	
	Target			13	

Table S-1 Summary of UFW Reduction Activities for the Project

* Average is weighted average considering water distribution volume

4.2 **SOP** Activity

SOP activities for the Project are summarized for each action below:

Activity		Achiever	Facilities	
			Applied	
Preparation of	Basic system	Capacity Development of	Capacity	4WTP,
documents for	drawings	preparing/modification	Development of	3FMRP,
SOP activities	> SOP	document	O&M	1BPS and
	➢ P&ID and others			2WPS
	Unified recording	Capacity Development of	Capacity	1WTP,
	sheet	preparing/modification	Development of	1FMRP,
		document	O&M	1BPS and
				1WPS
Applying SOP	O&M of filter	Reduction of water use	Improvement	3WTP and
to O&M by		for filter washing	of performance	2FMRP
OJT			indicator	
Control of chemical		Reduction of chemical	 Improvement 	3WTP and
consumption		consumption	of water	2FMRP
			facilities	
			> Improvement	
			of water quality	
	Control of operation	Improvement of stability	Improvement of	2WTP, 1BPS
	number of main	for water supply and	water supply service	and 1WPS
	pumps	reduction of power		
		consumption	umption	
Other	Well Monitoring	Development for well inventory, Enhancement of		All WPS
Activities		well monitoring		
Water Quality		To become routine work of	2 WTP	
	Control	Development of water quality control program		

Table S-2	Summary	of SOP	Activities	for the	Project
	Summary	U DOI	Activities	ior unc	IIUJUU

Note:

WTP:	Water Treatment Plant,	FMRP:	Iron and Manganese Removal Plant
BPS:	Booster Pump Station,	WPS:	Well Pump Station

Piping & Instrument Diagram O&M: Operation and Maintenance P&ID:

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL

1.1 Preface

Egypt has been experiencing sector reform in the water supply and sanitation sector since late 90s.

In the Sharkia Governorate, the third largest governorate with approximately five million people, the public water supply and sewerage corporation (SHEGAWASD) took over the management of all the water supply and sewerage services in the governorate from the local government in 1995 (in 2004, SHEGAWSD renamed itself as SHAPWASCO).

SHAPWASCO, like other public corporations and firms, is in the red because of low incomes arising from low fare receipts; excessive labor cost due to overstaffing; high cost of inefficient operation of the facilities; high unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio; low ratio of water charge collection; and insufficient management ability due to incapability of obtaining management information (concerning production, water supply management, and customers).

Under such circumstances, in 2003, the Egyptian Government requested the Japanese government to implement a technical cooperation project to assist SHAPWASCO improve its operation and maintenance capacity.

In the Governorate of Sharkia, a new water purification plant was constructed under Japan's grant aid cooperation project in 2007, "the Project for Water Supply Development in Northwest Part of Sharqiya Governorate, so that this project is positioned as a collaborating project for the grant aid cooperation in progress.

Following the request as stated above, JICA discussed the issue with the Egyptian side in May-June 2006, confirmed the relevance and the nature of the request; mutually signed minutes of meeting with the Egyptian side concerning the specific outline of the project; signed Records of Discussions on 20th September 2006; and the JICA expert team commenced their work in Egypt from 29th November 2006.

The 1^{st} Joint Coordinating Committee (JCC) was held on 3^{rd} December 2006. In the 1^{st} JCC, the Inception Report of the Project was explained by the expert team and approved by the members of JCC.

During the Project, PDM (Project Design Matrix) and PO (Plan of Operation) were revised from PDM0 and PO0 to PDM3 and PO3 respectively. In the 2nd to 4th JCC, those revisions of PDM and PO were approved.

The JICA expert team and the counterpart (C/P) team conducted UFW (unaccounted-for water) reduction activities, SOP (standard operational procedures) activities and public campaign for water saving according to PDM and PO and the comments made by the mid-term monitoring study team on 4^{th} March 2008 and the terminal evaluation team on 23^{rd} February 2009.

It was confirmed in the 6^{th} JCC that the activities in Egypt are completed at the end of October 2009 and the final report of the Project will be submitted to the Egyptian side in November 2009.

1.2 Objectives of the Project

The objectives of the Project are summarized as follows:

[Overall Goal]	Management	capacity	of	operation	and	maintenance	of	water	supply
	facilities is improved in Sharkia Governorate.								

[Project Purpose] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas (Project Area-1 and 2 mentioned in section 1.3 below).

The following outputs are expected to be gained from the Project:

[Outputs] > Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project areas.

> Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.

Activities in the Project are focused on the following:

[Activities] ➤ Activity for reduction of unaccounted-for water (UFW)
 ➤ Activity for Improvement of operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities (SOP : Standard Operational Procedures)

The Project Design Matrix (PDM) and Plan of Operation (PO) were reviewed in Phase-2 (the 2nd Year: from April 2007 to March 2008). During Phase-2, original PDM0 and PO0 have been revised into PDM3 and PO3 by the approval in the JCC meeting.

1.3 Project Area

The Project Sites are categorized according to the activities as follows:

<For UFW Reduction Activity>

- > Project Area-1 : Zagazig City and Zagazig Markaz
- Project Area-2 : Hihya Markaz, Diarb Nigm Markaz and Ibrahimiya Markaz
- Project Area-3 : Abu Hamad Markaz, Bilbais Markaz and Menia Alqamah Markaz

<For SOP Activity>

- Water supply facilities (water treatment plant, pumping station, iron/manganese removal plant, well) in the whole Governorate as follows:
 - Water treatment plant
 - Booster pumping station
 - Iron/manganese removal plant
 - Well

1.4 Structure for Project Implementation

The Project was conducted under the following implementation structure:

(1) Formation for Project Implementation

The chairman of Holding Company for Water and Wastewater (HCWW) was assigned to the Project Director and the Chairman of the Joint Coordination Committee (JCC). The Project Manager was played by the chairman of SHAPWASCO. The JCC members included the representative of the NOPWASD, the Governor of Sharkia, Japanese experts and the representatives of JICA Egypt office.

An Unaccounted-For Water reduction team (UFW team) and Standard Operation Procedure for operation and maintenance team (SOP team) was organized at the SHAPWASCO headquarters, both of which performed their activities by closely communicating with personnel in charge in the areas they cover. In addition, since it is essential to cooperate and coordinate with the USAID, the headquarter team constructed a system for regular information exchanges.

Figure 1-1 illustrates the project implementation organization. Since the implementation organization agreed upon in the M/M has a limited frequency of JCC meetings, it was proposed to set up a Technical Committee as a forum for preliminary discussion on technical matters prior to discussion at the JCC that involves the central government. The Technical Committee consisted of SHAPWASCO, HCWW, and UFW and SOP teams from the Sharkia Governorate and JICA-related parties.

The functions of each committee or meeting, in conjunction with the implementation of the Project, are as listed in Table 1-1 below.

Project agency	Roles	Frequency
Joint Coordination Committee (JCC)	Approval of the project annual plan, monitoring/evaluation of the project and annual plan, discussion and advice on the main issues of the project, coordination between Egyptian side and Japanese side	1∼2 times a year
Technical Committee (TC)	Prior consultation with JCC for the project main issues and report of the progress of the project, as required	As required
Project Team Meeting (PTM)	Committee chaired by SHAPWASCO Chairman consisting of JICA expert team and the counterpart team established in Headquarters of SHAPWASCO for supervising the Project	Weekly in principle

Table 1-1Roles of Committees and Teams for the Project



Figure 1-1 Structure for Project Implementation

(2) Joint Coordinating Committee (JCC)

Joint Coordinating Committee (JCC) was formed on 20th September 2006. Chairman of Holding Company for Water and Wastewater (HCWW) has been nominated as the Project Director. The members of JCC are as follows:

	_
Role	Member
- Chairman	Chairman, HCWW
- Members on Egyptian Side	Chairman, SHAPWASCO
	Representative(s), SHAPWASCO
	Representative, NOPWASD
	Representative, Sharkia Governorate
	Others appointed by the Committee
- Members on Japanese side	> Chief Advisor
	> Experts
	Representatives of JICA Egypt Office
	> Other personnel concerned to be dispatched
	by JICA, if necessary

 Table 1-2
 Member of Joint Coordinating Committee (JCC)

Note: Official(s) of the Embassy of Japan may attend the Joint Coordinating Committee as observer(s).

(3) Technical Committee (TC)

Establishment of the Technical Committee (TC) was proposed by the expert team in the 1st JCC meeting on 3rd December 2007 and approved by JCC members. TC is supposed to be held prior to JCC to discuss or coordinate technical matters or particularities of project activities.

However, TC was held only once during the Project because all the matters were discussed in detail at the project team meeting and the Project Manager (SHAPWASCO Chairman) explained the results of the discussions in PTM to the committee members at JCC. The members of TC are as follows:

Role	Member		
- Chairman	Chairman, SHAPWASCO		
- Member	Representative, HCWW		
	Representative, NOPWASD		
	Head of UFW team at SHAPWASCO headquarters		
	Head of UFW team at SHAPWASCO headquarters		
	Japanese Experts		
	Representative(s) of JICA Egypt Office		

 Table 1-3
 Member of Technical Committee (TC)

(4) **Project Team**

The Project Team has been organized among C/P team and JICA expert team prior to the commencement of the Project. It consists of the following members:

Table 1-4	Member of Project Team
-----------	------------------------

Role	Member
- Project Manager	 Chairman, SHAPWASCO
- Member	> UFW/HQ team member
	> SOP/HQ team member
	> Facilitator
	> JICA experts

(5) Counterparts (SHAPWASCO)

In December 2006, UFW team and SOP team at headquarters of SHAPWASCO were organized. In February 2007, UFW teams for Zagazig City-East, Zagazig City-West and each Markaz were organized. For SOP team, task force for Action Plan formulation was organized in February 2007.

[UFW Team]

UFW team except for headquarters of SHAPWASCO consists of one (1) engineer, three (3) supervisors and 2 workers. The members are listed below:

UFW Team in City/Markaz	Name
Head of UFW/HQ team	Mr. Alae El Din Mohamed (E) Miss. Wala Mohamed Ali (E) Miss. Wala Hamdi (E) Mr. Alaa Abd El Raheem (S)
Zagazig City - East	Mr. Salama Mahmoud Abd El Aal (E) Mr. Mohamed Mohamed Bakr (S) Mr. Medhat Moneir Mahmoud (S) Mr. Mohamed Hafez Lotfy (S)
Zagazig City - West	Mr. Samir Mahmoud Abd El Hameed (E) Mr. Mahmoud Mohamed El Hariry (S) Mr. Nabil Fathy El Sayed (S) Mr. Gorge Abd El Maseeh (S)
Zagazig Markaz	Mr. Mohamed Mohamed Sabry (S) Mr. Ibrahim Bayoumi Mohamed (S) Mr. Ahmed Younis Metwaly (S) Mr. Esam Afifi (S)
Hihya Markaz	Mr. Mahdy Fathy Ahmed (E) Mr. Gamal Mohamed Hussein (S) Mr. El Hady Ahmed El Taher (S)
Ibrahimiya Markaz	Mr. Ramadan Abd Allah (S) Mr. Khairi Abd El Rahman (S) Mr. Mahmoud Mohamed Asar (S) Mr. Abdu Fatehy Mohaned (S)
Diarb Nigm Markaz	Mr. Mohamed El Sayed El Killany (S) Mr. Mohamed Megahed Abd El Aziz (S) Mr. Khairi Eid Talaat (S) Mr. Hamdi El Sayed Abd El Fatah (S)
Awlad Saqr Markaz	Mr. Emad Ahmed Abd El Kader (E) Mr. Bendary Hassan Bendarhy (S) Mr. Hegazy El Sayed Ali (S) Mr. Saeed Abd El Salam Ahmed (S)
Kafr Saqr Markaz	Mr. Fahmy Mohamed Khalaf Allah (E) Mr. Mohamed Ibrahim Mohamed (S) Mr. Mahmoud Awad Abd Alah (S) Mr. Osman mansour Mohamed (S)
Menia Al Qamah Markaz	Mr. Mohamed Abd El Wahab (E) Mr. Adel Saleh (S) Mr. Ibrahim Fathy El Sadany (S) Mr. El Sayed Abd El Habet Ghamry (S)
Mashtool El Sooq Markaz	Mr. Saeed Abd El Rahman Hefny (E) Mr. Mohamed Ahmed Ali Hozayen (S) Mr. Abd El Baset Mostafa Mohamed (S) Mr. Soliman Hassan Soliman (S)
Bilbais Markaz	Mr. Reda Abd El Hameed Abd Allah (S) Mr. Salah Mohamed Kamel (S) Mr. Mahmoud Salem Ibrahim (S) Mr. Mostafa Ali ElSayed (S)

Table 1-5	Member of UFV	V Teams

UFW Team in City/Markaz	Name
Faqus Markaz	Mr. Sebaey Mohamed Rabee (E) Mr. Mostafa Mohamed Sobeeh (S) Mr. El Sayed Abd El Aziz Soliman (S) Mr. Salah El Dien Abbas Farah (S)
Abu Kabier Markaz	Mr. El Saied Abd El Reheem (E) Mr. Abd El Wahab Mohamed Ali (S) Mr. Mahrous Gergis Romees (S) Mr. Mahmoud Mohamed Gebaly (S)
Abu Hamad Markaz	Mr. Taher Mansour Metwalli (E) Mr. Sayed Nasser (S) Mr. Mohamed Mahmoud Radwan (S) Mr. Youssry Abd El Monem Hassan (S)
El Huseinia Markaz	Mr. Salah Abd El Haq (E) Mr. Mohamed Abd El Monem (S) Mr. El Sayed Ibrahim Ali (S) Mr. Mohamed Abd El Aal Mohamed (S)
El Salehia Sector (New Sector Established in January 2008)	Mr. Mohamed Saleem Abd El Salam (E) Mr. Mohamed Mohamed Gomaa (S) Mr. Ibrahim Ali Attia (S) Mr. Abd Alaziz Khalil Mahmoud (S)
GIS center	Mr. Abdel Halim Mahdy Mr. Tarek Yousef Ms. Aliaa El Saeed Ms. Hend Ali Mr. Mohamed Saeed Ms. Nour Abbas Ms. Samar Sameer Ms. Marwa Ahmed Ms. Basma Ibrahim
Water conservation campaign	Ms. Mariam Wageih

[SOP Team]

SOP team at SHAPWASCO headquarters (SOP/HQ team) and the teams at the related water supply facilities for SOP activity are as follows:

Activity	Name	Position
SOP Activity	SOP/HQ team	
	 1- Eng. Abdel Shafi Abdel Aziz 2- Eng. Ibrahim Shaheen 3- Eng. Gamal Abd El Hameed 4- Mr. Mohamed Ali 5- Ms. Heba Mahmoud Mohamed 6- Mr. Abd Allah Sayed 	Head of SOP/HQ Team Electrical Engineer Geologist – Well Depart. Chemist Assistant Assistant
	Members of Task Force of SOP Activity: 1- Mr. Abdel Shafi Abdel Aziz 2- Mr. Nagi Labib Abd El Sayed 3- Mr. Bahaa Abd Allah Badran 4- Mr. Samir Gharieb	Head of SOP/HQ Team Hihya WTP manager Kafr Saqr WTP manager Abbasa WTP manager

Table 1-6Member of SOP Teams

Activity	Name	Position
	 5- Mr. Mohamed Ali 6- Mr. Aly El Mosalemy 7- Mr. Emam Abd El Mawgoud 10- Mr. Gamal Abd El Hameed Morsi 11- Mr. Mohamed El Sayed Abd El Hameed 12- Ms. Naglaa Fatehy 	Head Quarter Water Department Manger Menia Al Qamah FMR plant Well Stations Department Bilbais BPs Data Base- Head Quarter
	SOP team for Abbasa WTP	
	 Eng. Samir Mohamed Ghareib Tech. Hosni Abd El Rahman Hosni Tech. Abd El Hakeem Mohamed Tech. Ashour Ali Ashour Tech. Ismaiel Abd El Hameed Labor. Adel Gamal Mohamed Chemist. Mansour Mohamed Nasaar Chemist. Fayza Mohmed Ghamri 	Abbasa WTP manager Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP Abbasa WTP
	Representative from other facilities: 1- Eng. Mohamed Faried	Zagazig WTP manager
	SOP team for Zagazig WTP 1- Mohamed El Sayed Farid 2- Tech. Abd Allah Mohamed Yousef 3- Tech. Zakaria Mamoud El Sayed 4- Tech. Mohamed Hassan Mohamed 5- Tech. El Sayed Mohamed Hassan 6- Tech. Hani Zaki Fahmy 7- Tech. El Sayed Mohamed Abd El Rahman 8- Chemist: Ahmed Abd El Mohsen 9- Chemist: Mona Abd Alah Mokhtar	Zagazig WTP manger Zagazig WTP Zagazig WTP Zagazig WTP Zagazig WTP Zagazig WTP Zagazig WTP Head of Laboratory Chemist
	SOP team for New Faqus WTP	
	 1- Eng. Ahmed Mohamed El Ghateit 2- Tech. Helal Morsi Mansour 3- Tech. Ashraf Mohamed Basiouni 4- Tech. Samir Ahmed Youssef 5- Chemist. Saad El Dien Abd El Monem 6- Tech. Youssry Mohamed Abd El Rehim Representative from other facilities: 	New Faqus WTP manager New Faqus WTP New Faqus WTP New Faqus WTP New Faqus WTP New Faqus WTP
	1- Eng. Bahaa Ramadan 2- Eng. Nagi Labib	Sangaha (Kafr Saqr) WTP manager Hihya WTP manager
	SOP team for Kafr Farag FMRP	
	 Tech. Imam Abd El Mawgood Tech. Samir El Sayied Hassan Tech. El Sayied Abd El Fatah El Saied Chemist. Abd El Hadi Ali Bassiouni 	Kafr Farag FMRP manager Kafr Farag FMRP Kafr Farag FMRP Kafr Farag FMRP
	Representative from other facilities: 1- Tech. Adel Abu El Yazid Mohamed 2- Tech. Mohamed Fouad Ali Mostafa 3- Tech. El Saied El Shahat El Menshawi 4- Tech. Ahmed Mahmoud Ahmed Nagi 6- Tech. Zareif Saber Mohamed El Saied	Qenayat FMRP Mashtool El Sooq FMRP El Adlia FMRP Melamis FMRP in Menia Al Qamah Abu Metanna in Diarb Nigm
	SOP team for Bilbaise BPS	
	1- Tech. Mohamed El Sayied 2- Tech. Gamal Gaad Ahmed Ibrahim	Bilbais BPS manager Bilbais BPS

Activity	Name	Position
	 3- Tech. Gamal Salem Mohamed 4- Chemist. Saad El Dien Abd El Monem 5- Abd El Hakeem Nabawi <u>Representative from other facilities</u> 1- Tech. Emad El Dien Abd El Hadi 2- Tech. Abd El Aliem Ahmed Mohamed 	Bilbais BPS Bilbais BPS Bilbais BPS Faqus BPS Zagazig BP
	SOP team for Zeraa well station	
	 1- Eng.Ali El Mosalmi 2- Tech. Ibrahim Mohey 3- Tech. Mohamed Abd El Aziz 4- Tech. Attia Bayoumi 5- Tech. Sami Bahi Abd El Gawad 6- Chemist. Gamal Abd El Hameed 	Zeraa well station Zeraa well station Zeraa well station Zeraa well station Zeraa well station Head Quarter
	SOP team for El Aslougi well station	
	 1- Tech. Abd El Aleem Mohamed Ahmed 2- Tech. Salah El Din Afifi Khatab 3- Tech. Abd El Hameed Ibrahim 4- Tech. Ahmed Mohamed Metwaly 	Manger of Water Plants Manger of El Aslougi Station El Aslougi well station El Aslougi well station
	SOP team for Qenayat FMRP (Additional)	
	 1- Tech. Adel Abu El Yazid Mohamed 2- Tech. Saleem Abd Alah 3- Tech. El Sayied Ibrahim 4- Chemist. Attia Goda El Sayed 	Plant Manger of Qenayat FMRP Technician of Qenayat FMRP Technician of Qenayat FMRP Chemist
	SOP team for Abu Metana FMRP(Additional)1- Tech. Adel Abu El Mohamed Abu Warda2- Tech. Hosam El Din Mohamed3- Tech. Alaa Ahmed El Badawi4- Ahmed Ali Abd El Maksoud4- Chemist. Adly Abd El Mageed	Plant Manger of Abu Metana FMRP Technician of Abu Metana FMRP Technician of Abu Metana FMRP Technician of Abu Metana FMRP Chemist
3. Hydraulic Analysis Team	1- Mr. Mahdi Ahmed 2- Ms. Heba Mahmoud	Hihya Branch Head Quarter
4. Well Monitoring	1- Mr. Gamal Abd El Hameed Morsi	Head Quarter
5. Water Quality Control	1- Mr. Mohamed Ali	Head Quarter
6. Auto CAD Operator	 Ms. Heba Mahmoud Ms. Nour Abbas 	Head Quarter

(5) JICA Expert Team

JICA Expert Team consists of the Japanese Team and Egyptian Team as follows:

Expert	Name	
[Japanese Team]		
- Chief Advisor/Water Supply Planning	Mr. Masahiro Takeuchi	
- Expert/UFW Reduction	Mr. Masatoshi Seno	
- Leak Detection	Mr. Akihiko Okazaki	
- Expert/SOP Activity for Water Supply Facilities	Mr. Noboru Saeki	
- Expert/SOP Activity for Water Supply Facilities	Mr. Keizo Kimura	
- Coordinator/Hydraulic Analysis Network	Mr. Mitsuhito Omori	
- Electrical Equipment	Dr. Ashraf Ahamed	
- Hydrogeology	Mr. Nobuyuki Iijima	
- Water Quality Control	Mr. Takashi Hara	
[Egyptian Team]		
- Project Facilitator	Mr. Mohamed Nagi	
- Senior Engineer for UFW Reduction Activity	Dr. Mohamed Sobhy	
- Senior Engineer for UFW Reduction Activity	Mr. Mostafa Moawed	
- Senior Engineer for SOP Activity	Mr. Mahmoud Khalaf	
- Senior Engineer for SOP Activity	Mr. Mahmoud Abu El Naga	

Table 1-7JICA Expert Team

CHAPTER 2 DEVELOPMENT OF PDM

PDM (Project Design Matrix) and PO (Plan of Operation) had been revised during the Project and approved at JCC. Contents of the revisions of PDM are as shown in Table 2-1.

PDM & PO	Version	Revision Date	Approved at	Contents	
PDM0 &	Original	3 rd December 2006	1 st JCC	PDM0 and PO0 was confirmed	
PO0	Version			between the Egyptian side and the	
				Japanese side at the record of	
				discussions (R/D) and approved at	
				1 st JCC.	
PDM1 &	1 st Revision	10 th June 2007	2 nd JCC	Revisions were made taking into	
PO1				account the action plans for UFW	
				reduction activity and SOP	
				activity	
PDM2 &	2 nd Revision	4 th March 2008	3 rd JCC	Revisions were made after the	
PO2				mid-term monitoring survey and	
				by setting targets of UFW and	
				leakage ratios.	
PDM3 &	3 rd Revision	10 th July 2008	4 th JCC	Revisions were made for the	
PO3				targets of UFW and leakage ratios	
				taking into account the actual	
				results in UFW reduction activity	

Table 2-1Development of PDM and PO

PDM0 to PDM3 are shown in Table 2-2 to 2-5 respectively. PO is applied in the implementation plan and shall be referred to Figure 5-1, 5-2 in Chapter 5.

Table 2-2PDM0 (Original at the time of R/D)

Project Design Matrix (PDM0)

Project Title: The Project for Improvement of Management Capacity of Operation and Maintenance for SHAPWASCO

Target Area : Sharkia Governorate, Egypt

Target Group : Staff of SHAPWASCO Final Beneficiaries : People in Sharkia Governorate Duration : FY2006 - FY2009 Date : June 13, 2006

	Narrative Summary		Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
Ma ma imj	Overall Goal magement capacity of operation and intenance of water supply facilities is proved in Sharkia Governorate.	1	Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved for all branches in the Governorate.	SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	
	Project Purpose				
Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas. ⁽¹⁾		1 Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved in target areas. ⁽²⁾		SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	Sector policy of the Egyptian government will not drastically change on management of water supply
		2.	Activities on UFW and SOPs are incorporated into the routine work.	Organogram of SHAPWASCO, Questionnaire survey, interviews	services.
	Outputs				
1.	Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project areas.	1-1	Volume of unaccounted-for water can be properly measured.	Project records	Any change of development assistant policy by other donors to improve the
		1-2	Unaccounted-for water ratio is reduced compared with the baseline data obtained at the beginning of the Project.	Project records	management of water supply service will not adversely affect the Project
2.	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.	2-1	Manuals for management of O&M are developed and updated.	Project records	implementation.
		2-2	The plan for the management of O&M is developed.	Project records	
		2-3	Level of applying knowledge and skills acquired through OJT	Questionnaire survey, interviews	

Note (1) Target areas for output 1 are the pilot project areas specified by the Project in Zagazig City, Zagazig Markaz, Hihya Markaz, Diarb Nigm Markaz and Ibrahimiya Markaz, respectively.

(2) Specific indicators are selected from those in quarterly report to HCWW, such as the percentage of metered connections, the percentage of working meters, etc.

Yachiyo Engineering Co.	F
g Co., Ltd.	

Activities		Inpu	uts	Township & Assessment Street
		Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption
1 1-1	Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project areas. Analyze the current situation on UFW and prepare plan for UFW reduction	 Experts Chief Advisor UFW reduction specialist 	1. Counterparts	Employees who received trainings by the Project will continuously work for
1-2 1-3 1-4 1-5 1-6 1-7	Select pilot project areas Organize UFW reduction teams Prepare pipe network drawings of the pilot project area Survey actual conditions of UFW, analyze contents of UFW and measure UFW ratio in the pilot project area Conduct on-the-job training for SHAPWASCO staff on leakage detection Implement pipe repairing and commercial loss reduction programs	 Leakage detection trainer Water treatment specialist Hydraulic engineer for network analysis Electrical engineer Mechanical engineer Hydro-geologist Water quality control specialist 		Personnel transfer of executive management will not affect the implementation of the Project. Funds from NOPWASD and Sharkia Governorate in related to the Project will be allocated as planned.
1-8 1-9 2 2-1	Conduct public awareness campaign for water saving Conduct post-evaluation of UFW ratio. Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened. Survey current conditions of water supply facilities	 Equipment and materials Trainings 	 Office space and facilities for experts Equipment 	
2-2 2-3	Conduct monitoring of wells Organize standard operational procedures (SOP) team	5. manuel 5	5. Equipment	
2-4 2-5	Establish the system for water quality control Establish the system to measure the quality of water production and transmission	4. Local cost	4. Necessary information	
2-6	Study on optimum water distribution main with network hydraulic analysis and plan district-metering-zone (DMZ)		5. Local cost	
2-7	Prepare SOPs for WTP, Fe/Mn removal plants and pumping stations			
2-8	Prepare textbooks for SOPs training			Pre-Conditions
2-9	Conduct on-the-job training for SHAPWASCO on SOPs			The Hihya Water Treatment
2-10	Conduct workshop/seminars for SOPs			Plant (WTP) is successfully
2-11	Monitor the performance indicator regarding operation and maintenance and achievement level of staff performance			constructed as scheduled.

Table 2-3PDM1 (1st Revision)

Project Design Matrix-1 (PDM1)

Project Title: The Project for Improvement of Management Capacity of Operation and Maintenance for SHAPWASCO

Target Area : Sharkia Governorate, Egypt

Target Group : Staff of SHAPWASCO Final Beneficiaries : People in Sharkia Governorate Duration : FY2006 - FY2009 Date : June 10, 2007

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
[Overall Goal] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in Sharkia Governorate.	 Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved for all branches in the Governorate. 	SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	
[Project Purpose]			
Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas. ⁽¹⁾	 Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved in target areas. ⁽²⁾ 	SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	Sector policy of the Egyptian government will not drastically change on management of water supply services
	 Activities on UFW and SOPs are incorporated into the routine work. 	Project Progress Report Questionnaire survey Organization chart	supply services.
[Outputs]			
 Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project areas. 	1-1 Water balance analysis can be conducted properly for the pilot project areas.	Project Progress Report	
	1-2 UFW ratio is reduced from xx% to xx% in the pilot project areas. (UFW ratio will be set by August 2007)	Project Progress Report	
	1-3 Leakage (real loss) ratio is reduced from xx% to xx% in the pilot project areas. (Leakage ratio will be set by August 2007)	Project Progress Report	
	1-4 At least three (3) members each of UFW team of Zagazig City and Hihya Markaz acquire leakage detection survey technique.	Test by ЛСА Expert Project Progress Report	
	1-5 At least one (1) member each of UFW team of other Markazes related to the pilot project areas acquires leakage detection survey technique.	Test by ЛСА Expert Project Progress Report	

Narrative S
ration and main
er supply facilit

Narrative Summary		Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.	2-1	Basic system drawings of the facilities are prepared and updated at five (5) model facilities (2 WTPs, 1 FMRP, 1 BPS and 1 well station) which represent the facilities of SHAPWASCO.	Project Progress Report	
	2-2	 Manuals for management of O&M are developed and updated as SOPs by the following SOP packages for plant components and for three activity categories, i.e. Operation, Maintenance and Water Quality Control for each model facilities. Not less than twenty (20) SOP packages at WTP Not less than five (5) SOP packages at FMRP, BPS and well stations. 	Project Progress Report	New Faqus WTP is handed over from NOPWASD to SHAPWASCO in due course.
	2-3	For the application of SOPs to the field operation, class room training and OJT to operators are conducted at all the five (5) model facilities.	Project Progress Report	New Faqus WTP is handed over from NOPWASD to SHAPWASCO in due course.
	2-4	Not less than eighty (80) percent of SOP/HQ and SOP/MF members acquire the ability to apply knowledge and skills of SOP.	Test by ЛСА Expert Project Progress Report	
	2-5	O&M Plan is developed at not less than one (1) model WTP.	Project Progress Report	
	2-6	Water quality control system applying the new HCWW regulation is prepared.	Project Progress Report	
	2-7	Well inventory is prepared with a standard form and the first round of investigation is conducted for all the SHAPWASCO well stations.	Project Progress Report	
	2-8	Hydraulic analysis is done for not less than two pilot project areas.	Project Progress Report	

Note (1) Target areas for output 1 are the pilot project areas specified by the Project in Zagazig City, Zagazig Markaz, Hihya Markaz, Diarb Nigm Markaz and Ibrahimiya Markaz, respectively. Target areas for output 2 are all the water supply facilities except compact units.

(2) Following PI has been selected as a specific indicator.

- Percentage of measured water production (%), etc.

Volume of measured production water / total volume of produced water

Volume of measured production water : means total volume of measured produced water in stations equipped with meters working in cubic meter

Yachiyo Engineering Co., Ltd.

2.

1	Anatostating	Inputs		Important Accumption
	Activities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption
1	Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project areas.	1. Experts	1. Counterparts	Employees who received trainings
1-1	General	 Chief Advisor 	- Project director	work for SHAPWASCO
(1)	Analyzing the current situation on UFW	 UFW reduction specialist 	- Project manager	WOR IN STRI WASCO.
(2)	Selecting candidate areas for pilot project areas	 Leakage detection trainer 	- UFW teams	
(3)	Organizing UFW reduction teams	- Water treatment specialist	- SOP teams	
(4)	Formulating an action plan for UFW reduction	- Hydraulic engineer for		
(5) (6)	Conducting water conservation campaign Formulating long-term pipe replacement plan for preventive works	 Electrical engineer Mechanical engineer 		Personnel transfer of executive management will not affect the implementation of the Project.
(7)	Holding workshops and seminars	 Hydro-geologist 		2.4.0.000000000000000000000000000000000
1-2	Actions	- Water quality control		
U1	Conducting training of C/P staff at Mostrod Training Center	specialist		Funds from NOPWASD and SHAPWASCO in related to the
U 2	Conducting leakage (minimum night flow : MNF) survey for candidate areas		the second se	Project will be allocated as planned.
U3	Determining six (6) pilot project areas	2. Equipment and materials	Office space and facilities for experts	
U4	Preparing GIS drawings			
U 5	Learning experiences of Jordan UFW reduction project	3. Trainings	3. Equipment	
U6	Making field survey of distribution network			
U7	Surveying installation conditions of water meters and conducting meter readings	4. Local cost	4. Necessary information	
U 8	Measuring metering error for working meters and water wastage in the house		5. Local cost All the cost for repairing	
U9	Conducting MNF survey		leakage in distribution network of the pilot project areas	
U10	Making water balance analysis before repair works			
U11	Conducting leakage detection survey			
U12	Repairing leaking parts			
U13	Conducting MNF survey (including meter readings) after repair works			
U14	Making water balance analysis after repair works and its evaluation			

The Project for Improvement of Management Capacity of Operation and Maintenance for SHAPWASCO Project Final Report (Main Report)

	Antivitting	Inputs		Important Assumption	
	Activities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption	
2	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened		6. Others - Civil works, electrical works	10.000	
2-1	General		and other necessary works for		
(1)	Surveying current conditions of water supply facilities		 the installation of flow meters Measurement of flow rate by 		
(2)	Selecting Model Facilities (MF)		- Monitoring of wells		
(3)	Organizing SOP/MF teams		- MNF survey and		
(4)	Holding workshops and seminars		countermeasure works for		
2-2	Actions		other areas than the pilot		
S1	Preparing basic system drawings		project areas		
S2	Preparing unified forms of O&M records and reports				
\$3	Measuring intake / production water volume at 7 WTPs				
S4	Developing SOPs for model facilities				
S 5	Examining water distribution control practice in the network				
	S5-1 Pilot project for distribution control in small areas				
	S5-2 Hydraulic analysis of water supply and distribution			Pre-Conditions	
S6	Applying SOPs in O&M				
S7	Developing SOPs for the remaining facilities				
S8	Formulating O&M plans				
S9	Developing water quality control program				
S10	Developing well inventory forms and monitoring well stations				
2-3	Monitoring achievement of SOP				

O&M : Maintenance and operation BPS : Booster pumping station HCWW : Holding Company for Water and Wastewater SHAPWASCO : Sharkia Potable Water and Sanitation Company
 WTP : Water treatment plant
 OJT : On-the-job training

 HQ : Headquarters
 SOP : Standard Operational Procedure

 NOPWASD : National Organization for Potable Water and Sanitary Drainage

Table 2-4PDM2 (2nd Revision)

Project Design Matrix-2 (PDM2)

Project Title: The Project for Improvement of Management Capacity of Operation and Maintenance for SHAPWASCO

Target Area : Sharkia Governorate, Egypt

Target Group : Staff of SHAPWASCO Final Beneficiaries : People in Sharkia Governorate Duration : FY2006 – FY2009 Date : March 4, 2008

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicator	s Means of Verification	Important Assumption
[Overall Goal] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in Sharkia Governorate.	 Performance indicators in the field of man capacity of operation and maintenance are improved for all branches in the Governor 	agement SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW ate.	
[Project Purpose] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas.	 Performance indicators in the field of man capacity of operation and maintenance are improved in target areas. 	agement SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	Sector policy of the Egyptian government will not drastically change on management of water
	 Setting indicators for optimum electricity chemical consumption and manpower star working hours is conducted at model facil SOP activity. 	and Monthly Report for O&M adard Project Progress Report ities for	supply services.
	 Activities on UFW and SOPs are incorpor the routine work. Activities on UFW reduction are expand other sites than the pilot project sites. Activities on SOPs are expanded to other facilities than the model facilities. 	ated into Monthly Report for O&M Project Progress Report led to Questionnaire survey Organization chart	
[Outputs]			
 Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project sites. 	1-1 Water balance analysis can be conducted p for the pilot project sites.	properly Project Progress Report	
	1-2 An average UFW ratio (initial) is reduced 35% to 20% in the pilot project sites.	from Project Progress Report	
	1-3 An average leakage (real loss) ratio (initia reduced from 30% to 15% in the pilot proj	1) is Project Progress Report ject sites.	
	1-4 At least three (3) members of each UFW to Zagazig City and Hihya Markaz acquire le detection survey technique.	eam of Test by JICA Expert eakage Project Progress Report	
	1-5 At least one (1) member of each UFW team other Markazes related to the pilot project leakage detection survey technique.	m of Test by JICA Expert s acquires Project Progress Report	

-	Narrative Summary	1	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
2.	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.	2-1	Basic system drawings of the facilities are prepared and updated at five (5) model facilities (2 WTPs, 1 FMRP, 1 BPS and 1 well station) which represent the facilities of SHAPWASCO.	Project Progress Report	
		2-2	 Manuals for management of O&M are developed and updated as SOPs by the following SOP packages for plant components and for three activity categories, i.e. Operation, Maintenance and Water Quality Control for each model facility. Not less than twenty (20) SOP packages at WTP Not less than five (5) SOP packages at FMRP, BPS and well stations. 	Project Progress Report	
		2-3	For the application of SOPs to the field operation, class room training and OJT to operators are conducted at all the five (5) model facilities.	Project Progress Report	
		2-4	Not less than eighty (80) percent of SOP/HQ and SOP/MF members acquire the ability to apply knowledge and skills of SOP.	Test by JICA Expert Project Progress Report	
		2-5	O&M Plan is developed at not less than one (1) WTP.	Project Progress Report	
		2-6	Water quality control program applying the new HCWW regulation is prepared.	Project Progress Report	
		2-7	Well inventory is prepared with a standard form and the first round of investigation is conducted for all the SHAPWASCO well stations.	Project Progress Report	
		2-8	Hydraulic analysis is done for not less than two pilot project areas.	Project Progress Report	

Note (1) Following PI has been selected as a specific indicator. - Percentage of measured water production (%), etc. Volume of measured production water / total volume of produced water

Volume of measured production water: means total volume of measured produced water in stations equipped with meters working in cubic meter

	A	Inj	puts	Important Accumption	
	Activities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption	
1	Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project sites.	1. Experts	1. Counterparts	Employees who received trainings	
1-1 (1) (2) (3)	General Analyzing the current situation on UFW Organizing UFW reduction teams Selecting candidate areas for pilot project sites	 Chief Advisor UFW reduction specialist Leakage detection trainer Water treatment specialist 	 Project director Project manager UFW teams 	work for SHAPWASCO.	
 (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) 	Formulating an action plan for UFW reduction activity Conducting water conservation campaign Formulating long-term pipe replacement plan for preventive works Formulating a plan for expanding UFW reduction activity to the other Markazes than the pilot project areas Holding workshops and seminars	 Hydraulic engineer for network analysis Electrical engineer Mechanical engineer Hydro-geologist Water quality control specialist 	 Office space and facilities for experts Equipment 	Personnel transfer of executive management will not affect the implementation of the Project.	
1-2 U1	Actions Conducting training of C/P staff at Mostrod Training Center	 Equipment and materials Trainings 	 4. Necessary information 5. Local cost All the cost for repairing 	Funds from NOPWASD and SHAPWASCO in related to the	
U2 U3 U4	Conducting leakage (minimum night flow : MNF) survey for candidate areas Determining six (6) pilot project sites Preparing GIS drawings	4. Local cost	leakage in distribution network of the pilot project areas	Project will be allocated as planned.	
U5 U6	Learning experiences of Jordan UFW reduction project Making field survey of distribution network		 Civil works, electrical works and other necessary works for 		
U7	Surveying working conditions of water meters and conducting meter readings		the installation of flow meters - Measurement of flow rate by		
U8	Measuring metering error for working meters and water wastage in the house		the flow meters Monitoring of wells MNF survey and		
U9	Conducting MNF survey		countermeasure works for		
U10	Making water balance analysis before repair works		other areas than the pilot		
U11	Conducting leakage detection survey		project areas		
U12	Repairing leaking parts		the second se		
U13	Conducting MNF survey (including meter readings) after repair works				
U14	Making water balance analysis after repair works and its evaluation				

-	A	Inj	puts	Turn extent Accounties
	Activities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption
2	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened			
2-1	General			
(1)	Surveying current conditions of water supply facilities			
(2)	Selecting Model Facilities (MF)			
(3)	Organizing SOP/MF teams			
(4)	Formulating an action plan for SOP activity			
(5)	Holding workshops and seminars			
2-2	Actions			
S1	Preparing basic system drawings			
S 2	Preparing unified forms of O&M records and reports			
\$3	Measuring intake / production water volume at seven (7) WTPs			
S4	Developing SOPs for model facilities			
S 5	Examining water distribution control practice in the network			
	S5-1 Pilot project for distribution control in small areas			
	S5-2 Hydraulic analysis of water supply and distribution			Pre-Conditions
S6	Applying SOPs in O&M			
S7	Developing SOPs for the remaining facilities			
S8	Formulating O&M plans			
S9	Developing water quality control program			
S10	Developing well inventory forms and monitoring wells		1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
2-3	Monitoring achievement of SOP			

Abbreviations:

O&M : Maintenance and operation FMRP : Fe/Mn removal plant BPS : Booster pumping station MF : Model facilities HCWW : Holding Company for Water and Wastewater SHAPWASCO : Sharkia Potable Water and Sanitation Company
 WTP : Water treatment plant
 OJT : On-the-job training

 HQ : Headquarters
 SOP : Standard Operational Procedure

 NOPWASD : National Organization for Potable Water and Sanitary Drainage

Table 2-5PDM3 (3rd Revision)

Project Design Matrix-3 (PDM3)

Project Title: The Project for Improvement of Management Capacity of Operation and Maintenance for SHAPWASCO

Target Area : Sharkia Governorate, Egypt

Target Group : Staff of SHAPWASCO Final Beneficiaries : People in Sharkia Governorate Duration : FY2006 - FY2009 Date : 9th July 2008

Narrative Summary	3	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
[Overall Goal] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in Sharkia Governorate.	1.	Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved for all branches in the Governorate.	SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	
[Project Purpose] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas.	1.	Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved in target areas.	SHAPWASCO quarterly report submitted to HCWW	Sector policy of the Egyptian government will not drastically change on management of water
improved in target areas.		Setting indicators for optimum electricity and chemical consumption and manpower standard working hours is conducted at model facilities for SOP activity.	Monthly Report for O&M Project Progress Report	supply services.
	3.	 Activities on UFW and SOPs are incorporated into the routine work. Activities on UFW reduction are expanded to other sites than the pilot project sites. Activities on SOPs are expanded to other facilities than the model facilities. 	Monthly Report for O&M Project Progress Report Questionnaire survey Organization chart	
[Outputs] 1. Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project sites.	1-1	Water balance analysis can be conducted properly for the pilot project sites.	Project Progress Report	
	1-2	An average UFW ratio (initial) is reduced by 13 points in the pilot project sites.	Project Progress Report	
	1-3	An average leakage (real loss) ratio (initial) is reduced by 13 points in the pilot project sites.	Project Progress Report	
	1-4	At least three (3) members of each UFW team of Zagazig City and Hihya Markaz acquire leakage detection survey technique.	Test by JICA Expert Project Progress Report	
	1-5	At least one (1) member of each UFW team of other Markazes related to the pilot projects acquires leakage detection survey technique.	Test by JICA Expert Project Progress Report	

	Narrative Summary		Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Means of Verification	Important Assumption
2.	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.	2-1	Basic system drawings of the facilities are prepared and updated at five (5) model facilities (2 WTPs, 1 FMRP, 1 BPS and 1 well station) which represent the facilities of SHAPWASCO.	Project Progress Report	
		2-2	 Manuals for management of O&M are developed and updated as SOPs by the following SOP packages for plant components and for three activity categories, i.e. Operation, Maintenance and Water Quality Control for each model facility. Not less than twenty (20) SOP packages at WTP Not less than five (5) SOP packages at FMRP, BPS and well stations. 	Project Progress Report	
		2-3	For the application of SOPs to the field operation, class room training and OJT to operators are conducted at all the five (5) model facilities.	Project Progress Report	
		2-4	Not less than eighty (80) percent of SOP/HQ and SOP/MF members acquire the ability to apply knowledge and skills of SOP.	Test by ЛСА Expert Project Progress Report	
		2-5	O&M Plan is developed at not less than one (1) WTP.	Project Progress Report	
		2-6	Water quality control program applying the new HCWW regulation is prepared.	Project Progress Report	
		2-7	Well inventory is prepared with a standard form and the first round of investigation is conducted for all the SHAPWASCO well stations.	Project Progress Report	
		2-8	Hydraulic analysis is done for not less than two pilot project areas.	Project Progress Report	

Note (1) Following PI has been selected as a specific indicator. - Percentage of measured water production (%), etc.

Volume of measured production water / total volume of produced water Volume of measured production water: means total volume of measured produced water in stations equipped with meters working in cubic meter

-	4	In	puts	Important Assumption	
10.00	Activities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumption	
1	Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project sites.	1. Experts	1. Counterparts	Employees who received trainings	
1-1	General	- Chief Advisor	- Project director	work for SHADWASCO	
(1)	Analyzing the current situation on UFW	 UFW reduction specialist 	- Project manager	WORK IOT STEAF WASCO.	
(2)	Organizing UFW reduction teams	 Leakage detection trainer 	- UFW teams		
(3)	Selecting candidate areas for pilot project sites	- Water treatment specialist	- SOP teams	and the second sec	
(4)	Formulating an action plan for UFW reduction activity	 Hydraulic engineer for network analysis 		Descent and the of another	
(5)	Conducting water conservation campaign	- Electrical engineer		management will not affect the	
(0)	preventive works	 Mechanical engineer 	the second states	implementation of the Project	
(7)	Formulating a plan for expanding UFW reduction activity to the other Markazes than the pilot project areas	 Hydro-geologist Water quality control 	2. Office space and facilities for experts	Implementation of the Project.	
(8)	Holding workshops and seminars	specialist	3. Equipment		
1.2	Antione	2 Equipment and materials	4 Necessary information		
1-2 111	Conducting training of C/P staff at Mostrod Training	3 Trainings	5 Local cost	Funds from NOPWASD and	
	Center	5. mannings	All the cost for repairing	SHAPWASCO in related to the	
U 2	Conducting leakage (minimum night flow : MNF) survey for candidate sites	4. Local cost	leakage in distribution network of the pilot project	Project will be allocated as planned.	
U 3	Determining nine (9) pilot project sites		areas		
U4	Preparing GIS drawings		6. Others		
U5 U6	Learning experiences of Jordan UFW reduction project Making field survey of distribution network		 Civil works, electrical works and other necessary works for 		
U7	Surveying working conditions of water meters and conducting meter readings		the installation of flow meters - Measurement of flow rate by		
U 8	Measuring metering error for working meters and water wastage in the house		 Monitoring of wells MNF survey and 		
U9	Conducting MNF survey		countermeasure works for		
U10	Making water balance analysis before repair works		other areas than the pilot		
U11	Conducting leakage detection survey		project areas		
U12	Repairing leaking parts				
U13	Conducting MNF survey (including meter readings)				
U14	after repair works Making water balance analysis after repair works and its evaluation				

CHAPTER 3 PROJECT ACHIEVEMENT

3.1 Achievement for Over Goal

The project achievement for the Over Goal for the Project is described in Table 3-1. They are compared with PDM3 as shown in Table 2-5.

3.2 Achievement for Project Purpose

The project achievement for the Project Purpose for the Project is described in Table 3-1. They are compared with PDM3 as shown in Table 2-5.

3.3 Achievement for Outputs

The project achievement for the Outputs for the Project is described in Table 3-1. They are compared with PDM3 as shown in Table 2-5.

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Achievement
[Overall Goal] Management capacity of operation and maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in Sharkia Governorate.	1. Performance indicators in the field of management capacity of operation and maintenance are improved for all branches in the Governorate.	 In this project, following PIs have been set for Overall Goal (refer to section 3.4 for the details): 1) Long-term UFW ratio for the year of 2015 to 2030 2) Power consumption per unit production water volume 3) Chlorine consumption per unit production water volume 4) Alum consumption per unit production water volume 5) Ratio of production water volume to intake water volume
[Project Purpose] Management capacity of operation and	1. Performance indicators in the field of management	PI was set at percentage of the measured production to the total estimated
maintenance of water supply facilities is improved in target areas.	capacity of operation and maintenance are improved in target areas.	production of water treatment plants. PI was improved from 0% to 54% at the end of the Project.
	2. Setting indicators for optimum electricity and chemical consumption and manpower standard working hours is conducted at model facilities for SOP activity	Measurements of water flows and supply have been undertaken and recorded at two model WTPs at Abbasa and Zagazig. As a result, SHAPWASCO was able to obtain sufficient data to set indicators for electricity and chemical consumption. For Zagazig WTP, they were set at 0.28kWh/m3 for electricity, 26.7mg/m3 for aluminum sulfate and 5.37mg/m3 for chlorine respectively. These indicators were then compared to those of the Hihya WTP that was constructed under the Japanese Grant Aid for identification of areas of improvement and setting of optimum indicators. As the indicator related to manpower standard working hours, PI as unit production water volume per person were set. Also, number and category of required staff in each facility was incorporated in SOP document.
	 3. Activities on UFW and SOPs are incorporated into the routine work. ➤ Activities on UFW reduction are expanded to other sites than the pilot project sites. 	As for UFW reduction activity, in addition to the three (3) pilot project areas in Abu Hamad Markaz, Menia Alqamah Markaz and Bilbais Markaz that were added at the time of mid-term monitoring, two (2) more pilot projects in Zagazig Markaz and Ibrahimiya Markaz have been conducted during the project period. Expansion of UFW activity is being fostered in the following:
		 Flow meter installation chambers were constructed with concrete in all candidate sites of the pilot project site in one city and seven Markaz and ready for starting activities Data on leakages in all target areas are being collected and have been put into the database which could be used as a tool to develop pipe

Table 3-1 Project Indicators and Achievement Levels

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Achievement
		 replacement plan All 12 members of core UFW team at HQ, Zagazig East and Hihya Markaz have equipped with sufficient expertise to train other SHAPWASCO staff members Construction of a leakage detection training yard at Hihya Central Station has been initiated by SHAPWASCO and is expected to provide platform of further training not only for all other staff members at target areas but in all over the Governorate.
	- Activities on SOPs are expanded to other facilities than the model facilities.	 Incorporation of SOP activities into work routine and their expansion to other facilities have been confirmed by: 1) Filter washing method has already been incorporated into routine work at two model WTPs and has been started its application at another WTP in Kafr Saqr 2) Monitoring on chemical consumption has been undertaken and data is being regularly collected. Based on the collected data, improvement activity for reduction is started. 3) Monitoring on flow data and the data is being regularly collected at all WTPs except WTPs under rehabilitation. 4) OJT on chlorine usage control was conducted and SOPs were then developed at Qenayat Fe/Mn removal plant. Moreover, HCWW has already distributed a draft copy of SOPs to other water companies which are expected to be used as a reference for preparing O&M manual throughout the country.
[Outputs]		
1. Unaccounted-for water (UFW) ratio is reduced in the pilot project	1-1 Water balance analysis can be conducted properly for the pilot project sites.	Water balance analysis has been done properly for nine (9) pilot project sites and .two (2) sites for the expansion activity.
areas.	1-2 An average UFW ratio (initial) is reduced by 13 points in the pilot project sites.	UFW ratio is reduced by 12.2 points in average for nine (9) pilot project sites and two (2) sites for the expansion activity.
	1-3 An average leakage (real loss) ratio (initial) is reduced by 13 points in the pilot project sites.	Leakage ratio is reduced by 12.1 points in average for nine (9) pilot project sites and two (2) sites for the expansion activity.
	1-4 At least three (3) members of each UFW team of Zagazig City and Hihya Markaz acquire leakage detection survey technique.	 Following members have acquired the technique. UFW/HQ team: 4 Zagazig City UFW team: 3 (Zagazig East) Hihya Markaz: 3
	 1-5 At least one (1) member each of UFW team of other Markazes related to the pilot project areas acquires leakage detection survey technique. 	 Following members have acquired the technique. Zagazig West: 3 Zagazig Markaz: 1 Ibrahimiya Markaz: 2 Diarb Nigm Markaz: 2

Narrative Summary	Objectively Verifiable Indicators	Achievement
		 Abu Hamad Markaz: 1 Bilbais Markaz: 1 and Menia Alqamah Markaz: 1
2. Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened.	 2-1 Basic system drawings of the facilities are prepared and updated at five (5) model facilities (2 WTPs, 1 FMRP, 1 BPS and 1 well station) which represent the facilities of SHAPWASCO. 	Basic system drawings of the facilities have been prepared and updated at five (5) model facilities (2 WTPs, 1 FMRP, 1 BPS and 1 well station).
	 2-2 Manuals for management of O&M are developed and updated as SOPs by the following SOP packages for plant components and for three activity categories, i.e. Operation, Maintenance and Water Quality Control for each model facilities. Not less than twenty (20) SOP packages at WTP Not less than five (5) SOP packages at FMRP, BPS and well stations. 	 SOPs have been developed in the form of the following SOP packages for plant components and for three activity categories. Thirty-five (34) SOP packages at WTP Twelve (20) SOP packages at FMRP Nine (9) SOP packages at BPS Eight (8) SOP packages at WPS
	2-3 For the application of SOPs to the field operation, class room training and OJT to operators are conducted at all the five (5) model facilities.	 SOPs have been applied as follows: Abbasa WTP and Zagazig WTP Kafr Farag and Qenayat Fe/Mn removal plants Bilbais booster pump station Aslougi well station
	2-4 Not less than eighty (80) percent of SOP/HQ and SOP/MF members acquire the ability to apply knowledge and skills of SOP.	Out of 3 staff for SOP/HQ team: 3 staff has acquired the ability. Out of 8 staff for SOP/MF team: To be tested in Phase-4
	2-5 O&M Plan is developed at not less than one (1) model WTP.	O&M plan has been prepared in Abbasa WTP.
	2-6 Water quality control system applying the new HCWW regulation is prepared.	Water quality control program has been prepared for Abbasa WTP and Zagazig WTP. Auditing system for laboratory quality control has been prepared and applied to Abbasa WTP and Zagazig WTP.
	2-7 Well inventory is prepared with a standard form and the first round of investigation is conducted for all the SHAPWASCO well stations.	Well inventory has been prepared and second round of well monitoring is being conducted.
	2-8 Hydraulic analysis is done for not less than two pilot project areas.	Hydraulic analysis has been done for the pilot project areas of Hihya Markaz and Zagazig East.

3.4 Setting Performance Indicators for Overall Goal

3.4.1 UFW Reduction Activity

Performance indicator for UFW reduction activity is set as UFW ratio. The UFW ratios for evaluating improvement of O&M capacity of SHAPWACO have been set for the years of 2015, 2020, 2025 and 2030 according to the results of the pilot projects as follows:

Year	UFW Ratio (%)
2015	27.0
2020	23.5
2025	21.0
2030	19.0

 Table 3-2
 PI for UFW Reduction Activity

Conditions for setting PIs related to UFW reduction activities are as follows:

- It is assumed that 15 branches of SHAPWASCO conduct annually starting from 2012 UFW reduction activity at four districts which have similar house connections to the pilot project of the Project. In other words, UFW teams of SHAPWASCO will conduct activity annually at 60 districts (4 districts x 15 branches). By the activity at this pace, the first round of UFW reduction activity will be completed by the year 2030 in the whole SHAPWASCO service area. The second round will start from 2031.
- Service population at each district is set as 7,200 based on the result of the pilot project.
- Annual population growth is set as 2% which is applied by SHAPWASCO and distributed water volume is also set as 2.0%.
- > Distributed water volume to each district is calculated as follows:

(Distributed water volume in the whole governorate \div Population for the whole governorate) x service population in the district

- > Initial UFW ratio at each district before conducting UFW reduction activity is set as 31.0%.
- UFW reduction point after UFW reduction activity by each UFW team is set as 12.2 points (or 0.122).
- > UFW volume to be reduced is calculated as follows:

Reduction volume of UFW = [Service Pop. at each district] x [Annual distributed water per capita] x [UFW Reduction point: 0.122]

> UFW ratio for each year is calculated as follows:

UFW Ratio in each year = [UFW Ratio before activity : 31.0%] – [Total UFW volume up to the year ÷ Total distribution volume for SHAPAWSCO in the year]

- For the targets of UFW ratio from 2015 to 2030, calculated figures are rounded up to 0 or 5 after the decimal fractions.
- > Taking into account the recurrence of leakage (increase of leakage after UFW reduction activity), each UFW team is required to review the target figure of UFW every 5 years by checking UFW ratio through minimum night flow survey at the districts (4 districts x 5 years = 20 districts) where leakage survey has been finished.

Table 3-3 shows the UFW ratios from 2009 to 2030 which have been calculated according to the above conditions.

					- 8 -				-			
Year	UFW Team	No. of UFW Reduction Activity Site	Service Population in Activity Site	Total Service Population in Activity Site (cumulative)	Total Population in Sharkia Gov.	Total Distributed Water for SHAPWASCO (m3/yr)	Water distributed per person per year (m3/cap./yr)	Distributed Water in Activity Site (m3/yr)	Reduction of UFW by volume (m3/yr)	Accumulatio n of UFW Reduction (m3/yr)	UFW after Activity (%)	Long Term Target (%)
2009	3	12	86,400	86,400	5,700,000	246,000,000	43.158	3,728,842	454,919	454,919	30.82	
2010	5	20	144,000	230,400	5,814,000	250,920,000	43.158	6,214,737	758,198	1,213,117	30.52	
2011	10	40	288,000	518,400	5,930,280	255,938,400	43.158	12,429,474	1,516,396	2,729,512	29.93	
2012	15	60	432,000	950,400	6,048,886	261,057,168	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	5,004,106	29.08	
2013	15	60	432,000	1,382,400	6,169,863	266,278,311	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	7,278,700	28.27	
2014	15	60	432,000	1,814,400	6,293,261	271,603,878	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	9,553,293	27.48	
2015	15	60	432,000	2,246,400	6,419,126	277,035,955	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	11,827,887	26.73	27.0
2016	15	60	432,000	2,678,400	6,547,508	282,576,674	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	14,102,481	26.01	
2017	15	60	432,000	3,110,400	6,678,458	288,228,208	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	16,377,075	25.32	
2018	15	60	432,000	3,542,400	6,812,028	293,992,772	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	18,651,668	24.66	
2019	15	60	432,000	3,974,400	6,948,268	299,872,627	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	20,926,262	24.02	
2020	15	60	432,000	4,406,400	7,087,234	305,870,080	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	23,200,856	23.41	23.5
2021	15	60	432,000	4,838,400	7,228,978	311,987,481	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	25,475,449	22.83	
2022	15	60	432,000	5,270,400	7,373,558	318,227,231	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	27,750,043	22.28	
2023	15	60	432,000	5,702,400	7,521,029	324,591,776	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	30,024,637	21.75	
2024	15	60	432,000	6,134,400	7,671,450	331,083,611	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	32,299,230	21.24	
2025	15	60	432,000	6,566,400	7,824,879	337,705,283	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	34,573,824	20.76	21.0
2026	15	60	432,000	6,998,400	7,981,376	344,459,389	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	36,848,418	20.30	
2027	15	60	432,000	7,430,400	8,141,004	351,348,577	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	39,123,011	19.86	
2028	15	60	432,000	7,862,400	8,303,824	358,375,548	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	41,397,605	19.45	
2029	15	60	432,000	8,294,400	8,469,900	365,543,059	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	43,672,199	19.05	
2030	15	60	432,000	8,726,400	8,639,298	372,853,921	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	45,946,792	18.68	19.0
2031	15	60	432,000	9,158,400	8,812,084	380,310,999	43.158	18,644,211	2,274,594	48,221,386	18.32	

 Table 3-3
 Long-Term Targets of UFW Ratios for SHAPWASCO

3.4.2 SOP Activity

Among the water supply facilities for SHAPWASCO such as water treatment plants (WTP), iron/manganese removal plants, booster pump stations and well stations, the facilities in service at which flow meter is installed and working are as follows:

- ➢ Hihya WTP
- Zagazig WTP
- New Faqus WTP
- Kafr Saqr WTP
- Huseinia WTP
- Abbasa WTP

Since the accurate water production volume can be measured only at the above-mentioned WTPs, it is not possible to set performance indicator (PI) for assessment of the whole management of SHAPWASCO to be submitted to HCWW quarterly for the year.

Therefore, in this Project, PIs shall be set for improvement of O&M in 2010 using the monitored data for flow rate, electricity consumption, chlorine consumption and alum consumption. For PIs after the year 2011, monitored data for the previous year shall be applied for setting PIs of the following year for O&M improvement.

PIs have been set for the following:

Table 3-4 PI	for SOP	Activities
--------------	---------	------------

No.	Performance Indicator	Unit
1	Power consumption per unit production water volume (PI-PW)	kWh/m ³
2	Chlorine consumption per unit production water volume (PI-CL)	g/m ³
3	Alum consumption per unit production water volume (PI-AL)	g/m ³
4	Ratio of production water volume to intake water volume (PI-EF)	

(1) Power Consumption per Unit Production Water Volume (PI-PW)

Annual target of PI as power consumption per unit water production volume was set for each WTP using the data from January to September 2009.

The results of PI at each plant for the period from January to September 2009 are as follows:

WTP	PI-PW (kWh/m ³)			Difference from Average	
	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum
Hihya	0.327	0.305	0.315	0.012	0.010
Zagazig	0.322	0.287	0.300	0.022	0.013
NewFaqus	0.369	0.247	0.289	0.080	0.042
Kafr Saqr	0.359	0.009	0.246	0.113	0.237
Huseinia	0.533	0.277	0.439	0.094	0.162
Abbasa	0.485	0.295	0.341	0.144	0.046
Average	0.399	0.237	0.322		

 Table 3-5
 Result of PI for Power Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009

Note: Huseinia WTP is operated under special condition on power supplying. Wattmeter is installed at the place near by water intake facility located 25km away from WTP. Power supply loss arises while supplying electric power for WTP.

Electric power is consumed mainly by main pumps, such as intake pumps and transmission pumps, at water treatment plants in SHAPWASCO. Therefore, operation and maintenance activities for main pumps shall be focused on for improvement of PI-PW value.

Action to reduce power consumption at WTP

Operation number of transmission pumps to maintain an adequate water pressure in the network shall be controlled.

Through the above action to reduce the electric power, annual target of PI as power consumption per unit water production volume is set for each WTP as shown in Table 3-6.

WTP	Annual Target		
Hihya	Not more than 0.30kWh/m ³		
Zagazig	Not more than 0.30kWh/m ³		
New Faqus	Not more than 0.25kWh/m ³		
Kafr Saqr	Not more than 0.25kWh/m ³		
Huseinia	Not more than 0.42kWh/m ³		
Abbasa	Not more than 0.30kWh/m ³		

Table 3-6Target of PI for Power Consumption

(2) Chlorine Consumption per Unit Production Water Volume (PI-CL)

Annual target of PI as chlorine consumption per unit water production volume was set for each WTP for the period from January to September 2009. The results of PI at each plant for the period from January to September 2009 are as follows:

WTP	PI-CL (g/m ³)			Difference from Average	
	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum
Hihya	6.68	4.68	5.43	1.25	0.75
Zagazig	5.01	4.75	4.88	0.13	0.13
New Faqus	8.53	4.35	6.49	2.04	2.14
Kafr Saqr	7.32	5.37	6.16	1.16	0.79
Huseinia	5.07	4.66	5.05	0.02	0.39
Abbasa	5.96	4.08	4.74	1.22	0.66
Average	6.43	4.65	5.46		

Table 3-7Result of PI for Chlorine Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009

Chlorine consumption will be changed depending on raw water quality such as break point. Therefore, target of PI can not be set up as the value common to all the water treatment plant.

Actions to reduce chlorine consumption

- > Residual chlorine control procedures shall be continued after completion of this project.
- Periodical monitoring and review for pre and post chlorine dosing rate and free residual chlorine of treated water shall be done at end of the process.
- Adequate dosing flow rate of chlorine shall be maintained based on chlorine dosing rate and raw water flow rate.

Through the above actions, averaged annual target and monthly target of PI as chlorine consumption per unit water production volume is set for each WTP as shown in Table 3-8.

WTP	Averaged Annual Target	Monthly Target
Hihya	Not more than 4.5 g/m ³	$4.5 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$
Zagazig	Not more than 4.5 g/m^3	$4.5 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$
New Faqus	Not more than 5.0 g/m ³	$5.0 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$
Kafr Saqr	Not more than 5.0 g/m ³	$5.0 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$
Huseinia	Not more than 4.5 g/m ³	$4.5 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$
Abbasa	Not more than 4.5 g/m ³	$4.5 \text{g/m}^3 \pm 0.5 \text{g/m}^3$

 Table 3-8
 Target of PI for Chlorine Consumption

(3) Alum Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume (PI-AL)

Annual target of PI as alum consumption per unit water production volume was set for each WTP for the period from January to September 2009.

The results of PI at each plant for the period from January to September 2009 are as follows:

WTD	PI-AL(g/m ³)			Difference from Average	
** 11	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum
Hihya	26.1	16.2	21.7	4.4	5.5
Zagazig	29.6	22.8	25.1	4.5	2.3
New Faqus	24.6	18.8	22.0	2.6	3.2
Kafr Saqr	22.1	18.9	21.3	0.8	2.4
Huseinia	18.3	12.4	14.4	3.9	2.0
Abbasa	52.2	38.0	41.0	11.2	3.0
Abbasa	27.8	20.3	21.9	6.0	1.6
Total	24.8	21.9	24.3		

 Table 3-9
 Result of PI for Alum Consumption per Unit Water Production Volume in 2009

Alum consumption will be changed depending on raw water quality such as turbidity, pH, algae accounts etc. Therefore, target of PI can not be set up as the value common to all the water treatment plant.

Actions to reduce alum consumption

- > Alum dosing control procedures shall be continued after completion of this project.
- Periodical monitoring and review for alum dosing rate and turbidity of treated water shall be done at end of the process.
- Adequate dosing flow rate of alum shall be maintained based on alum dosing rate and raw water flow rate.
- Concentration of alum solution shall be adjusted according to adequate procedures for making alum solution.

Through the above actions, averaged annual target and monthly target of PI as alum consumption per unit water production volume is set for each WTP as shown in Table 3-10.

	5	-
WTP	Average d Annual Target	Monthly Target
Hihya	Not more than 20g/m ³	$20g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$
Zagazig	Not more than 23g/m ³	$23g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$
New Faqus	Not more than 20g/m ³	$20g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$
Kafr Saqr	Not more than 20g/m ³	$20g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$
Huseinia	Not more than 15g/m ³	$15g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$
Abbasa	Not more than 38g/m ³	$38g/m^3 \pm 2g/m^3$

 Table 3-10
 Target of PI for Alum Consumption

(4) Ratio of Water Production Volume to Water Intake Volume (PI-EF)

Annual target of PI as efficiency of water production was set for each WTP for the period from

January to September 2009.

The results of PI at each plant for the period from January to September 2009 are as follows:

WTD	PI-E	Avorago	
WI	Maximum	Minimum	Avelage
Hihya	0.999	0.710	0.951
Zagazig	0.933	0.853	0.898
New Faqus	0.963	0.628	0.846
Kafr Saqr	0.951	0.895	0.918
Huseinia	0.930	0.853	0.913
Abbasa	0.977	0.882	0.940
Total	0.959	0.804	0.911

 Table 3-11
 Ratio of Water Production Volume and Water Intake Volume in 2009

In view of recycling water from drainage facilities, Hihya WTP differs from other WTPs for SHAPWASCO. Water from drainage facilities retunes to receiving well as recycled water at Hihya WTP.

Therefore, water intake volume can be reduced by the amount of recycled water.

Actions to improve the ratio of water production volume and water intake volume

- a) Backwashing regime and filtration time shall be reviewed by making assessment of filter media.
- b) Filtering load to the filter shall be reduced as much as possible by setting target of clarified water turbidity and residual chlorine.
- c) Periodical inspection of filter media shall be done and filter washing condition and water quality of clarified water shall be monitored.
- d) Leakage from concrete structures, pipes and valves of water treatment facilities such as sedimentation basin, filters, etc., shall be checked and repaired.

Through the above actions, averaged annual target of PI as ratio of water production volume to water intake volume is set for each WTP as shown in Table 3-12.

WTP	Averaged Annual Target	
Hihya	Not less than 0.98	
Zagazig	Not less than 0.93	
New Faqus	Not less than 0.93	
Kafr Saqr	Not less than 0.93	
Huseinia	Not less than 0.93	
Abbasa	Not less than 0.95	

 Table 3-12
 Target of PI for Efficiency of Water Production

2	Ope facil
2-1	Gen
(1)	Surv
(2)	Sele
(3)	Orga
(4)	Form
(5)	Hold

Yachiyo Engineering Co., Ltd.

Activities		Inj	Important Accumptio	
	Acuvities	Japanese Side	Egyptian Side	Important Assumptio
2	Operation and maintenance capacity of water supply facilities is strengthened			
2-1	General			
(1)	Surveying current conditions of water supply facilities			
(2)	Selecting Model Facilities (MF)			
(3)	Organizing SOP/MF teams			
(4)	Formulating an action plan for SOP activity			
(5)	Holding workshops and seminars			
2-2	Actions			
S1	Preparing basic system drawings			
S 2	Preparing unified forms of O&M records and reports			
S3	Measuring intake / production water volume at seven (7) WTPs			
S4	Developing SOPs for model facilities			
S 5	Examining water distribution control practice in the network			
	S5-1 Pilot project for distribution control in small areas			
	S5-2 Hydraulic analysis of water supply and distribution			Pre-Conditions
S6	Applying SOPs in O&M			
S 7	Developing SOPs for the remaining facilities			
S 8	Formulating O&M plans			
S9	Developing water quality control program			
S10	Developing well inventory forms and monitoring wells			
2-3	Monitoring achievement of SOP			

O&M : Maintenance and operation FMRP : Fe/Mn removal plant BPS : Booster pumping station MF : Model facilit HCWW : Holding Company for Water and Wastewater SHAPWASCO : Sharkia Potable Water and Sanitation Company MF : Model facilities

 WTP : Water treatment plant
 OJT : On-the-job training

 HQ : Headquarters
 SOP : Standard Operational Procedure

 NOPWASD : National Organization for Potable Water and Sanitary Drainage