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## 1. Objectives of the Assessment

The main objectives of the impact assessment report are as follows:

- A first objective of the report is to measure the changes between baseline and endline in terms of number of girls' student enrollment in pilot schools and control schools.
- The second objective of the report is to measure the changes occurred in pilot schools in terms of school environment including facilities, teachers and various activities.
- The third objective is measure the extent the behavior and ways of thinking changed toward school and education among head teachers, teachers and parents in the project area during the last three years by measuring the change between endline questionnaire and baseline questionnaire.
- The fourth objective is to identify which activities are the most useful for girls’ education from the perspectives of head teacher, teachers and parents by comparing their responses.
- Last objective is, to measure the kind of appropriate approaches or activities most effective to increase the number of female students.


## 2. Methodology

For this comparison, BRIDGE Project has collected data from pilot schools and control schools in different period of time. At the project launching period in August 2005, the project has conducted the baseline survey and collected baseline information and enrollment data of the school year 2004/2005. On the other hand, at the project completion period in May and June of 2008, it has conducted the endline survey and collected endline information and enrollment data of the school year 2007/2008 from the same selected schools. The data were collected by using different questionnaires from schools, head teachers, teachers and parents and focus-group interviews with parents and students. The project used similar questionnaires for collecting data in both baseline and end line survey. This impact assessment is done based on the collected information from two surveys.

## 3. Sample Size of the Research

The data used in this analysis come from the JICA BRIDGE project, Yemen. Around 1,428 respondents from 59 pilot schools of 9 sub-districts in 6 districts and approximately 25 control schools of two sub-districts in two districts of Taiz governorate were selected for the analysis. For pilot schools 1 sub-district namely Serbait was selected from the district Same; 3 sub-districts namely Kama'ehrah, Akharak and Al-Awman from the district Mawiyah; 2 sub-districts namely Al-Akhooz and Al-Habaiba from the district Maqbana; 1 sub-district namely Al-Dharifa from the district Al-Waziyah; 1 sub-district namely Al-Gom'ah from Al Makha and lastly, 1 sub-district namely Bany Al-Hakam from Dubab.

One head teacher from each school was interviewed for both school survey and head teacher survey, five teachers from each school were interviewed for teacher survey and for parents’ survey both father and mothers were selected. Among parents, five fathers including two father's council member and three non-council members and five mothers including two mother's council members and three non-council members were selected for the survey.

Table 1 Sample size of the pilot and control school for endline survey

|  | Questionnaire <br> respondents <br> per school | Number of planned schools |  |  | Number of actual respondents |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pilot | Control | Total | Pilot | Control | Total |  |
| School <br> Survey | 1 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 59 | 22 | 81 |
| Head Teacher <br> Survey | 1 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 59 | 22 | 81 |
| Teacher <br> Survey | 5 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 287 | 95 | 382 |
| Parents <br> Survey | 5 | 59 | 25 | 84 | 591 | 261 | 852 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 996 | 400 | 1,396 |

Source: JICA-BRIDGE Project Team.

## 4. Findings of the Analysis

### 4.1.1 Number of Enrollment

(1) Number of Enrollment in Pilot School

Table 2 and 3 indicate the increased female and male enrollment for all grades as a result of the project. First of all, from the tables, it is clear that both male and female student numbers were increased in the three years. In grade one and grade two enrollment rates for female increased 12.1 percent points and 15.8 percent points, while the enrollment rate for male increased 30.7 percent at grade one and 23.3 percent at grade two. Increase rate of the enrollment is higher for male students than female students at these grades. At other grades, the female enrollment increase rate is higher than one of male enrollment. It can be said that though the enrollment in last grade of basic education is less in comparison to grade one for both baseline and endline, enrollment rate in end line increased satisfactorily for all grades and both sexes as compared to that of baseline.

Table 2 Number of female students enrolled in different grades

| Enrolled Female Students | Baseline (2004) | Endline (2007) | Retention Rate (\%) | \% Increased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 1,888 | 2,117 |  | 12.1 |
| Grade 2 | 1,507 $>$ | 1,745 |  | 15.8 |
| Grade 3 | 857 > | 1,698 |  | 98.1 |
| Grade 4 | 622 > | 1,287 | 68.2 | 106.9 |
| Grade 5 | 622 | - 984 | 65.3 | 58.2 |
| Grade 6 | 336 | - 627 | 73.2 | 86.6 |
| Grade 7 | 214 | - 436 | 70.1 | 103.7 |
| Grade 8 | 143 | - 294 | 47.3 | 105.6 |
| Grade 9 | 92 | - 245 | 72.9 | 166.3 |
| Total | 6,281 | 9,433 |  | 50.2 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 3 Numbers of male students enrolled in different grades

| Enrolled Male <br> Students | Baseline (2004) | Endline (2007) | Retention Rate(\%) | \% Increased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 1,870 | 2,444 |  | 30.7 |
| Grade 2 | 1,599 | 1,972 |  | 23.3 |
| Grade 3 | 1,261 | 1,865 |  | 47.9 |
| Grade 4 | 1,341 | 1,519 | 81.2 | 13.3 |
| Grade 5 | 916 | 1,164 | 72.8 | 27.1 |
| Grade 6 | 749 | -1918 | 72.8 | 22.6 |
| Grade 7 | 639 | $\mathbf{1} 971$ | 65.0 | 36.3 |
| Grade 8 | 457 | 41676 | 73.8 | 47.9 |
| Grade 9 | 480 | -574 | 76.6 | 19.6 |
| Total | 9,312 | 12,003 |  | 28.9 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 1 shows the trend of registered female and male students from grade 1 to grade 9.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 1 Trend of enrolled female students
The following Figure 2 and 3 show the percentage of male and female students increased between baseline and endline. Female enrollment in upper grades in BRIDGE Project increased more than boys' enrollment. There log of growth for female shows the continuous growth trends in higher grade. In 2005, the number of female students in grade one was 1,888 which grew up at 2,117 in 2007 , at the same way number of female student at grade four was 622 in base line data which grew up 106.9\% in 2007 endline data.

The remarkable change has been found in grade nine where the number of student increased by 166.3 percentages from the baseline data. It is a clear indication of the success of the BRIDGE Project that girl students in higher grade has responded to the BRIDGE project activities and continued their study to complete grade nine. The focus-group interviews at the end line survey with students also stated that $83 \%$ students want to study up to university. Focus group interviews with students also informed that $91.7 \%$ parents encourage them to go to schools.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 2 Percentage of growth for female students between 2004 and 2007 in each grade within pilot schools

It should be noted that increasing retention rate is remaining issue as shown in Table 2 and 3 in addition to increasing enrollment of male and female students.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Figure 3 Percentage of growth for male students between 2004 and 2007 in each grade within pilot schools

Female to male student ratio (F/M ratio) in total was improved from 0.67 in 2004 to 0.79 in 2007. Figure 4 shows the F/M ratio by grade. F/M ratio was improved in all grades except grade one and grade two. This situation is linked to the higher increase rate of male students at grade one and grade two. Eighteen schools out of the 59 BRIDGE pilot schools received the food program for girls’ students by WFP when the BRIDGE project was started. At these schools,
number of female enrollment was higher than male at the baseline year. Since the BRIDGE project encouraged female students’ enrollment without excluding male students, higher male student increase were observed, and F/M ratio at grade one and grade two were slightly worsened.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Figure 4 Female to male student ratio in each grade within pilot schools

## (2) Number of Enrollment in Control Schools

Table 4 and 5 show the number of male and female enrollment at the control schools collected by BRIDGE project. In both cases, percentage of enrollment changed irregularly, which means, in some cases it increased while others decreased. The female enrollment at endline in grade one, grade two, grade six, grade eight and grade nine are decreased from the baseline data. On the other hand, male enrollment at grade two, grade three, grade six, grade eight and grade nine are decreased instead of increased from the baseline data. Highest percentage of retention rate for female is found in grade six while highest percentage of male retention is found at grade seven.

Comparing the enrollment trend between pilot schools and control schools, it could conclude that enrollment at pilot schools is improved in better way.

Table 4 Numbers of female students enrolled in different grades in control school

| Grade | Baseline | Endline | Retention Rate (\%) | \% Changed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 568 | 341 |  | -40.0 |
| Grade 2 | 485 | 284 |  | -41.4 |
| Grade 3 | 237 | 296 |  | 24.9 |
| Grade 4 | 229 | V 282 | 49.6 | 23.1 |
| Grade 5 | 209 | 235 | 48.4 | 12.4 |
| Grade 6 | 173 | 165 | 69.6 | -4.6 |
| Grade 7 | 106 | 117 | 51.0 | 10.4 |
| Grade 8 | 127 | 115 | 55.0 | -9.4 |
| Grade 9 | 105 | 103 | 59.5 | -1.9 |
| Total | 2,239 | 1,938 |  |  |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 5 Numbers of male students enrolled in different grades in control school

| Grade | Baseline | Endline | Retention Rate (\%) | \% Changed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 380 | 434 |  | 14.2 |
| Grade 2 | $368>$ | 305 |  | -17.1 |
| Grade 3 | 290 | 223 |  | -23.1 |
| Grade 4 | $238>$ | 242 | 63.6 | 1.7 |
| Grade 5 | 222 | 235 | 63.8 | 5.9 |
| Grade 6 | 249 | 179 | 61.7 | -28.1 |
| Grade 7 | 174 | 162 | 68.0 | -6.9 |
| Grade 8 | 154 | 121 | 54.5 | -21.4 |
| Grade 9 | 166 | 138 | 55.4 | -16.9 |
| Total | 2,241 | 2,039 |  |  |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.1.2 Number of Enrollment in Targeted Districts

Figure 5 shows male and female enrollment in baseline and endline by targeted districts of Taiz governorate. Female student enrollment for grade one is very high in Dubab for baseline while male enrollment at endline is very high at Maqbana district. Female student enrollment in Al Makha district increased slowly up to grade three but it decreased sharply from grade four at the endline. In almost all cases in both pictures, enrollment increased at the endline and the curve goes down slowly to the downwards up to grade nine compared to the baseline, which indicates the highest retention rate in all grades at the endline survey.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 5 Change of students enrolled in different grades in selected district
(1) Trend of enrolled female students in each district

Following graphs in Figure 6 show that a greater number of female students enrolled in grade one in all districts except Al Waziyah and Dhubab at endline as compare to baseline. For Al

Makha district, the enrollment goes up till grade three and the enrollment difference between baseline and endline is also high which shows a unique character among all districts.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 6 Trend of enrolled female students
(2) Trend of enrolled male students in each district

Although BRIDGE has not worked for increasing male enrollment, fortunately, male student increased in all districts except Dhubab. Huge difference between baseline and endline has found in Maqbana district.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 7 Trend of enrolled male students

The graphs below tell the high percentage of growth of female enrollment in Al Makha and Same district for upper grade. The growth is low in Dhubab and Al Waziyah district. In Al Makah district the enrollment for grade three, four and five are the highest among all.







Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 8 Percentage of change of female students between baseline and endline
(4) Percentage of change of male students between baseline and endline in each district

The highest number of male students’ enrollment in Maqbana district in all grades is found in the graph below. There is an upward trend in upper grades at all districts except Dhubab and Makah.







Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 9 Percentage of change of male students between baseline and endline

The table and figures below show the female male ratio for all selected districts. In all cases, the ratio goes up in the upper grade as compared to baseline. This trend indicates the comparatively low gap between female and male enrollment in upper grades.

Table 6 Changes of $F / M$ ratio by pilot district between baseline and endline

|  | Same | Mawiyah | Maqbanah | Al Waziyah | Al Makha | Dhubab |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.54 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.84 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | 0.77 | 0.65 | 0.45 | 0.93 | 0.73 | 1.06 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.






Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 10 Female male ratio in each Grade in Pilot Schools

### 4.2 Improvement of School Environment

Table 7 shows the changes made by BRIDGE project in case of teachers and classrooms. Total 192 number teachers increased within the period 2004/5 and 2007/8 among whom 154 are contract teachers and the rest are employed by government. In context of gender, percentage of female teachers raised in comparison to their counterpart. Especially, female contract teachers increased in highest proportion. On the other hand, 62 number classrooms increased within the project period.

Table 7 Numbers of Teachers and Classrooms in different Year

| Changes made by BRIDGE Project: Teachers, Classrooms | Number of Teachers |  |  |  | Classrooms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government |  | Contract |  |  |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |  |
| 2004/5 | 497 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 278 |
| 2007/8 (June 2008) | 515 | 50 | 111 | 57 | 340 |
| Difference: | 18 | 20 | 101 | 53 | 62 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.2.1 Number of Offered Grades

The number of grades offered at the schools was increased after introduction of BRIDGE Project. In 2004, 13 schools out of 59 ( $22.0 \%$ ) offered complete grades of basic education from grade 1 to grade 9, which raised to 20 schools in 2007. Figure 11 shows schools in the selected districts by offering new grades.







Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 11 Change made by BRIDGE Project by offering new grades

### 4.2.2 Improvement of School Facilities

From Table 8, it is revealed that BRIDGE project has contributed significantly to increase school facilities in pilot schools. Total number of all school facilities has increased except textbooks. Numbers of classrooms has increased by 25 percent from baseline to endline while classrooms for female students almost doubled. Toilet for female students increased tremendously which plays an important role for increased female enrollment. Previously there was only one drinking water facility, while with the intervention of BRIDGE 39 drinking water facilities have been introduced. Quantity of other school facilities, including sport field, school fence, desks and chairs for students, blackboards, have also been raised during the endline of the project in compare to the baseline.

Table 8 Available School Facilities and Equipments

| School Facilities | Numbers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline (2004) | Endline (2007) |
| Classrooms | 337 | 420 |
| Classrooms for female students | 44 | 79 |
| School library | 1 | 5 |
| Books in the library | 0 | 310 |
| Laboratory | 2 | 2 |
| Teachers room | 11 | 25 |
| Sport field | 11 | 20 |
| Toilet for male students | 39 | 64 |
| Toilet for female students | 9 | 66 |
| Drinking water | 1 | 39 |
| Electricity | 2 | 1 |
| School fence | 5 | 26 |
| Desks and chairs for students | 2,844 | 5,118 |
| Blackboards | 310 | 377 |
| Text books | 2,061 | 912 |
| Sory |  |  |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.2.3 Opinions of Head Teacher Regarding the Condition of School Facilities

To assess the opinion of head teachers, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this method, the frequency of answer is calculated in percentage. Based on the relative importance of each condition the five point scale is converted from high to low. The percentage of the each answer is then multiplied by each value of weight. In this calculation, "Very Bad" and "Bad" are negative answer and the intensity of "Very Bad" is high than "Bad". In the same way, "Very Good" is more positive than "Good". The "Fair" seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for "Very Bad", "Bad", "Fair", "Good" and "Very Good" responses respectively. Then the percentage of frequency is multiplied by the corresponding given weight to get the score. The explanation is given based on the score. The minimum value of the score is -2 and maximum value is +2 .

Table 9, indicates the opinions of head teacher regarding the condition of school facilities and equipments. Data shows that condition of the most of the school facilities has been improved, such as, classrooms, classrooms for female students, teachers room, toilet for both male and female students, drinking water, school fence, desks and chairs for students, blackboards, etc. It is seen from Table in annex that 22 percent classrooms were in very bad condition and 20 percent in bad condition during baseline of the project, while only 1.69 percent was in very bad and 6.78 percent in bad condition during end line of the project. Data shows that 27 percent
classrooms are found in very good condition and 49 percent in good condition through the intervention of BRIDGE Project. In case of classrooms for female students, most responses are missing, so the actual feature is not revealed. In case of toilet for female students, only 3.7 percent were in good condition during baseline, while in end line 29 percent found in good condition. Condition of desks and chairs for students and blackboards was also improved markedly. Data reveals that condition of only 9 percent desks and chairs for students found in very good condition during baseline, while it rose to 25 percent at the end of the project. Electricity still not provided by the BRIDGE Project. The normalize-weighted score summarized the results of the head teachers' opinion.

Table 9 Opinions of head teacher regarding the condition of school

| School <br> Facilities | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score <br> Endline |  | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Classrooms | -0.25 | 0.93 | There is a significant difference between baseline and endline. <br> The score in the baseline is negative but the endline score is <br> positive. BRIDGE has constructed a lots of class rooms in the <br> selected schools. So a significant change has found between <br> endline and baseline results. It changes from negative to <br> positive. The baseline data in 2004 shows that the selected <br> schools have 337 classrooms which rose to 420 in 2007. The <br> value is very close to one and also got the highest score. <br> Among the all indicators shown here indicates that head <br> teachers are much more satisfied with the condition and <br> facilities of the class rooms. |
| Classrooms <br> for female <br> students | -0.02 | 0.19 | The score in baseline is negative which indicates that the <br> condition of class rooms for female student were insufficient <br> which changed to positive value indicating that head teachers <br> are satisfied with the condition of class rooms for female <br> student. BRIDGE has constructed and repaired a lots of class <br> rooms for girls in the selected schools. Local community with <br> the activities of BRIDGE project regularly cleans up class <br> rooms. So a significant change has found between endline and <br> baseline results. |
| School |  |  |  |
| library |  |  |  |


| School Facilities | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Sports field | 0.04 | -0.05 | BRIDGE had no activities to upgrade sports field. |
| Toilet for male students | -0.02 | 0.41 | BRIDGE has constructed toilets for male students in the selected schools. So a significant change has found between endline and baseline results. It changes from negative to positive value which indicates that at the time of baseline the condition of toilet for male students were bad but now head teachers are quite satisfied with the condition of the male toilets. |
| Toilet for female students | -0.02 | 0.61 | BRIDGE has constructed lots of toilets for girls in the selected schools. So a significant change has found between endline and baseline results. Its change from negative to positive value indicates that at the time of baseline the condition of toilet for female students were bad but now head teachers are quite satisfied with the condition of the female toilets. The value at the endline also indicates that toilets for female are better than male toilets. BRIDGE has especial activities to regularly clean up and maintain and ensure sufficient water in the female toilets. |
| Drinking water | 0.02 | 0.66 | Schools could not use JICA funding for purchasing water. However, local contribution supported providing drinking water. With the activities of the BRIDGE project 39 schools have drinking water facilities. So a significant change has found between endline and baseline results. |
| Electricity | 0.02 | -0.05 | BRIDGE has not done any activities to improve the electricity problem. |
| School fence | 0.02 | 0.58 | BRIDGE has no direct activity to construct school fence but it has indirectly supported to build, repair and maintain school fences while BRIDGE constructed classrooms. At the baseline result, only five schools has school fence which increased to 26 in the endline result. |
| Desks and <br> chairs for <br> students  | 0.11 | 0.68 | With the activities of the BRIDGE Project, almost all selected schools improved or bought desks and chairs for students to ensure sufficient seating facilities for both male and female students. So a significant change has found between endline and baseline results. The score in endline improved more than the baseline which clearly indicates the improvement result. |
| Blackboards | -0.20 | 0.75 | BRIDGE has constructed or bought, repaired and maintained a lot of blackboards to ensure sufficient class room facilities and quality education. So a significant change has found between baseline and end line results. It changes from negative to positive. It has got the second highest score among the end line scores which indicates that head teachers are quite satisfied about the improvement of this facility done by the BRIDGE project during the last three years. |
| Textbooks | 0.24 | 0.14 | BRIDGE has not worked on Textbooks |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.3 Head teacher's Perception Toward School Management

### 4.3.1 Head teacher's Role for School Management

Recognizing that head teacher plays a vital role for school management, BRIDGE project developed a pilot training for selected districts’ head teachers in Year 2 and expanded the training to all the 59 head teachers in Year 3. However, the table below on head teacher's views for better school management at the endline of the project does not show satisfactory changes in compare to the baseline result. According to the survey data, head teachers found active in improving school management in both the cases of baseline and end line of the project. The reason that much changes could not be made was that it takes more time for head teachers to change their behavior on school management, and that after having clearer ideas on head teachers' roles and responsibilities, evaluation standard toward themselves became more severe.

To assess the opinion of head teachers' role, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this method, the frequency of answer is calculated in percentage. Based on the relative importance of each condition the five point scale is converted from high to low. The percentage of the each answer is then multiplied by each value of weight. In this calculation, "None" and "Seldom" are negative answer and the intensity of "None" is high than "Seldom". In the same way, "Always" is more positive than "Often". The "Some Time" seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for "None", "Seldom", "Some Time", "Seldom" and "Always" responses respectively. Then the percentage of frequency is multiplied by the corresponding given weight to get the score. The explanation is given based on the score. The minimum value of the score is -2 and maximum vale is +2 .

Table 10 Head teacher's role for school management

| Initiatives (View) <br> of Head Teacher | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| When problems <br> occur in school, I <br> discuss with <br> teachers about the <br> causes and indicate <br> solutions. | 1.55 | 0.83 | The normalize weighted positive value in <br> both baseline and endline indicates that <br> head teacher set up an annual goal of <br> school management and share it with <br> school members though the result does not <br> show any improvement during end line |
| I talk with teachers <br> who do not come to <br> school everyday to <br> improve their <br> attendance. | 1.75 | 1.59 | The normalize weighted positive value in <br> both baseline and endline indicates that <br> head teacher discuss with other teachers to <br> solve any problem at school. But the result <br> does not show any improvement during <br> end line. |
| I ask school <br> members to clean <br> up school facilities <br> when school got <br> dirty. | 1.66 | 1.54 | The value at the endline is very close to the <br> maximum score (two) and at the same time <br> it is the highest score among the all other <br> school management activities done by head <br> teachers. This indicates that most of the <br> head teacher in the selected school talks <br> with other teachers when they face any <br> problem at school. |


| Initiatives (View) of Head Teacher | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| I look for better school teachers. | 1.72 | 1.07 | The both value here are positive which indicates most of the head teacher works to hire better school teacher. But the result shows better condition during baseline. |
| I encourage teachers to be involved in school improvement. | 1.64 | 1.46 | The value indicates that most of the head teachers encourage teachers to be involved in school improvement. But the end line score does not indicate any improvement on this issue. |
| I discuss on school improvement with school inspectors. | 1.60 | 1.19 | Data at the baseline and endline indicates that most of the head teacher discuss on school improvement with school inspectors. But the decreased score at end line does not show good performance of head teacher in this regard. |
| I listen to parents on what kind of requests parents have on school education. | 1.45 | 1.34 | A good number of head teacher among the selected schools are aware to listen parents request on school education. However, the weighted score decreased for the end line. |
| I discuss with a community leader on how to make the community's participation in school education. | 1.17 | 0.75 | Head teacher in both baseline and endline indicated that they are active to discuss with a community leader on how to make the community's participation in school education. But the decreased score at end line does not show good performance of head teacher in this regard. |
| I request to District Education Office (DEO) to increase the number of female teachers | 1.25 | 0.98 | The data indicates that a good number of head teachers at selected school request District Education Office (DEO) to increase the number of female teachers at both baseline and endline. But the decreased score at end line does not show good performance of head teacher in this regard. |
| I request to DEO to increase school expenditure to improve school environment. | 0.70 | 0.17 | The value at the endline result for this indicator got the lowest score which indicate that only a few head teacher request DEO to increase school expenditure to improve school environment. |
| I share ideas and experiences on school management with other school Head Teachers. | 0.70 | 0.73 | The value at the endline increased from the baseline indicates that head teacher is comparatively better position than baseline in terms of sharing ideas and experiences on school management with other school Head Teachers. They have build up quite a good liaison among themselves to improve the girls' enrollment. |
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### 4.3.2 Practice of School Management Activities

All 59 school head teachers were asked to inform 3 school management activities that BRIDGE made them most helpful and least helpful to practice (Table 11). Since in each case 3 responses were collected the number of total respondent should be 177 in total but some head teacher had less than three opinions. So the number varies from the actual respondent number. The data was analyzed through multiple response option in SPSS. From the table it is seen that around $14.9 \%$ head teacher who discuss with a community leader on how to make the community's participation in school education, found BRIDGE most helpful to practice this. Following this $13.2 \%$ think BRIDGE most helpful to share ideas and experiences on school management with other school Head Teachers. According to $15.6 \%$ of head teachers BRIDGE was least helpful to request to DEO to increase school expenditure to improve school environment. This could be explained because schools have already received enough funding, so that there were no needs to request additional funding to DEOs. Following this, $12.1 \%$ think that BRIDGE did not help head teachers to look for better school teachers and to discuss on school improvement with school inspectors. From this table contribution of BRIDGE Project can be pointed out in case of school management activities.

Table 11 Contribution of BRIDGE for Head Teacher to practice school management

| School Management Activities | Most helpful |  | Least helpful |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N=59 | Percent | N=59 | Percent |
| I set up an annual goal of school management and share it <br> with school members. | 20 | $11.5 \%$ | 16 | $9.2 \%$ |
| When problems occur in school, I discuss with teachers <br> about the causes and indicate solutions. | 17 | $9.8 \%$ | 16 | $9.2 \%$ |
| I talk with teachers who do not come to school everyday to <br> improve their attendance. | 10 | $5.7 \%$ | 15 | $8.7 \%$ |
| I ask school members to clean up school facilities when <br> school got dirty. | 7 | $4.0 \%$ | 13 | $7.5 \%$ |
| I look for better school teachers. | 8 | $4.6 \%$ | 21 | $12.1 \%$ |
| I encourage teachers to be involved in school <br> improvement. ? (In Arabic Translation: "I encourage teachers <br> to improve their level.") | 17 | $9.8 \%$ | 7 | $4.0 \%$ |
| I discuss on school improvement with school inspectors. | 7 | $4.0 \%$ | 21 | $12.1 \%$ |
| I listen to parents on what kind of requests parents have on <br> school education. | 22 | $12.6 \%$ | 6 | $3.5 \%$ |
| I discuss with a community leader on how to make the <br> community's participation in school education. | 26 | $14.9 \%$ | 7 | $4.0 \%$ |
| I request to District Education Office (DEO) to increase the <br> number of female teachers | 14 | $8.0 \%$ | 18 | $10.4 \%$ |
| I request to DEO to increase school expenditure to improve <br> school environment. | 3 | $1.7 \%$ | 27 | $15.6 \%$ |
| I share ideas and experiences on school management with <br> other school Head Teachers. | 23 | $13.2 \%$ | 6 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Total | 174 | $100.0 \%$ | 173 | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on 2008 Endline Survey
Note: a Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

### 4.3.3 Head teacher's Perception toward Community Participation

Table 12 illustrates head teacher's assessment about the situation of the community changed by the BRIDGE Project. According to 47 percent of the head teacher, level of community aspiration to school education has got much better, and according to 51 percent, the situation got better. In case of degree of parent cooperation in terms of support for the school education and for girls' education, most of head teacher gave opinion that the situation got better due to the activities of BRDGE Project.

Table 12 Head teacher's assessment about the situation of the community

| Head Teacher's assessment about the changed <br> made by BRIDGE during the last three years | Got <br> Worst <br> $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Same <br> $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Got <br> better <br> $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Got much <br> better <br> $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of community aspiration to school education | 0 | 1.7 | 50.9 | 47.4 |
| Degree of parent cooperation in terms of support for <br> the school education | 1.7 | 1.7 | 71.2 | 25.4 |
| Degree of parent cooperation in terms of support for <br> girls' education | 0 | 6.78 | 61.0 | 32.2 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.4 Parent's Perception Toward Children's Education

### 4.4.1 Information about Parents' Education Care at Home

To assess the opinion of parents, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, "None" and "Seldom" are negative answer and the intensity of "None" is high than "Seldom". In the same way, "Always" is more positive than "Often". The "Some Time" seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for "None", "Seldom", "Some Time", "Seldom" and "Always" responses respectively.

Table 13 shows parent's information about the education care at home. Home environment is very important for children for successful learning. It is revealed from the table in annex that 45 percent family members during baseline never helped children to do their homework at home, while at the end line 25 percent always helped, 13 percent often helped, 23 percent sometime helped and 24 percent never helped. So, helping tendency of family members to children education has improved to some extent. Awareness to participate in school meetings has been raised moderately. At the baseline stage, 55 percent parents never attended the meeting, while at the end line of the BRIDGE project 31 percent parents always and 19 percent parents often participated the meetings. Similar kind of positive changes have also been found in other matters related to education care at home. The normalize-weighted score summarized the results of the parent's opinion.

Table 13 Parent's Information about the Education Care at Home

| Initiatives (View) of <br> parents | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score |  | Comments |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |  |
| How often do your <br> family members help <br> children to do their <br> homework at home? | -0.43 | 0.02 | BRIDGE has worked intensively on this issue to <br> change the negative view of parents to the positive <br> view by introducing awareness raising activities like <br> parents’ awareness meeting and focus group discussion <br> and invested 323,600 YR in the past three years to <br> improve the situation. At the baseline, parents' view |  |
| was negative which changed to positive to take care of |  |  |  |  |
| their children to do their home work at home. |  |  |  |  |


| Initiatives (View) of <br> parents | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| How often do you <br> participate in school <br> meetings? | -0.73 | 0.30 | BRIDGE has worked on the awareness raising <br> activities like awareness meeting, focus group <br> discussion and organizes school events to make a good <br> link between parents and schools. The score indicates <br> the view of parents has also improved from negative to <br> positive. |
| How often do you <br> talk with your <br> children's teachers <br> regarding their <br> education and <br> school? | -0.21 | 0.43 | The score indicates the view of parents to talk with <br> their children's teachers regarding their education and <br> school has improved from negative to positive due to <br> the awareness raising activities of BRIDGE. |
| My children's <br> teachers come to <br> listen to me about my <br> request to school. | -0.09 | 0.85 | Among the four variables it has got the highest score <br> which is very nearer to one indicate the huge change of <br> parents view to make a close contact with the school <br> teachers. This happens due to the awareness raising <br> activities of BRIDGE. The score indicates the view of <br> parents has improved a lot from negative to positive. |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.4.2 Parent's Perception Regarding School

Table 14 illustrates parent's perception regarding school. To assess the opinion of parents, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree’. In the same way, 'Fully Agree' is more positive than 'Somehow Agree'. The 'Don't Know' seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree', 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree’ and 'Fully Agree' responses respectively.

It is clearly evident from the table that parents' perception regarding school has been enriched to a greater extent through the awareness raising program of BRIDGE Project. The data from the table clearly state that parents are now very conscious to the improvement of their children's school. Even now they feel the ownership of the school situated at their own community which is a good achievement of BRIDGE Project. It is found from table in the Annex that 91 percent of the parents respect their children's school teachers at the end line, while at the baseline 79 percent were not aware at all about this issue. According to 78 percent of the parents, now they are willing to help school, while 67 percent did not have any idea about this during baseline. BRIDGE awareness raising program has created consciousness among parents about their right on their children's school. For instance, 93 percent of the parents at the end line think that schools should cooperate with communities and parents to improve school education and its management and 93 percent of the parents feel that school at their community is for their selves, while most of them did not have know anything about these matters during baseline.

Table 14 Parent's Perception Regarding School

|  | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| I respect my children's school teachers. | 0.08 | 1.90 | The data of normalize weighted score shows a huge change of parents views to respect school teacher. Among the indicators it has got the highest score which indicates that views of most of the parent in the project area has improved from the .earlier time when BRIDGE has done its baseline survey. The score tells us that there is good understanding between teachers and parents in the selected pilot schools due to all activities. |
| I am happy with school buildings. | 0.85 | 1.63 | Japan has built six new schools in the project area which makes a very good impression in the community. The community recognizes this positive change by positive responding towards the project. |
| I have problems with teachers' using sticks to my children. | 1.03 | -0.83 | BRIDGE has worked on the awareness raising activities like parents meeting, focus group discussion, and school events and disseminates lots of flyers, festoons, leaflets to accelerate the awareness raising activities. As a result parents' and teachers' view changed a lot. According to parents, previously teachers used stick to teach their children but now they agree that teachers do not use stick to their children for teaching. Parents are happy with the teachers' realization that it is not good to bit a student for teaching. This is a violation of universal human right and also the violation of child right. The score has changed from the positive view to the negative views very significantly. |
| I am willing to help school. | 0.07 | 1.77 | The score indicates a huge change of parents view towards helping school. Previously a few parents were agreed to help schools which changed very significantly. Now, most of the parents are willing to help school for its improvement. |
| Schools should cooperate with communities and parents to improve school education and its management. | 0.06 | 1.90 | The normalize weighted score shows a huge change of parents views to cooperate schools with the community to improve school education and its management. Among the indicators it has got the highest score which indicates that perception of most of the parent in the project area has improved regarding this issue in compare to other issues. The value in the base line indicates that only a very few parents had positive view to cooperate with the school committees. The score also tells us that there is good understanding between school committees and parents in the selected pilot schools due to all BRIDGE activities. |
| School at my community is for ourselves | -0.01 | 1.89 | This is the most significant change made by the BRIDGE project through its all activities. The data indicates that most of the parents now believe that school of their community is for themselves. They realize the ownership rights of the school. Now the community group can take initiative to improve their schools. They can make action plan to go forward towards girls' education. |
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### 4.5 Perception Changed regarding Girls' Education

### 4.5.1 Head Teacher's Perception

Table 15 illustrates head teacher's perception about the reasons for females not to come to schools. To assess the opinion of head teachers, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree'. In the same way, 'Fully Agree' is more positive than 'Somehow Agree'. The 'Don't Know' seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree', 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree’ and 'Fully Agree’ responses respectively.

According to the responses of head teachers during baseline main reasons for females absence in schools were - lack of female teacher, lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls, difficulty in transportation, lack of school fence, lack of decent toilets for girls, too much work at home for girls, early marriage, parents inability to afford girls’ education economically, unemployment and farness of school from house. It can be recognized from the data that obstacles for girls' education were in general reduced and shifted from physical conditions of schools to awareness of parents. Lack of parents’ appreciation for girls’ education was increasingly perceived as obstacles for girls' education. It is interesting that not useful lectures and use of sticks were not regarded as obstacles both at baseline and endline survey even though the Project emphasized the importance of making school environment friendly for girls by encouraging not using sticks at school. Obstacles that the BRIDGE project could not tackle including early marriage and economic conditions of families were also reduced. It might be thought that these reduction came from awareness raising activities that emphasized importance of taking actions for changes before complaining about the current life situation.

Table 15 Head Teacher's reasons for females not to come to schools

| Considered <br> Matters | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Endline |  |  |
| revealed that situation has been improved to some extent |  |  |  |
| regarding shortage of female teacher. At the end line |  |  |  |
| survey, some more head teachers than that of baseline |  |  |  |
| believes that lack of female teacher is a matter for girls not |  |  |  |
| to come to school. They believe male teacher also can |  |  |  |
| teach a girls or in a girls schools. |  |  |  |


| Considered Matters | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls’ education | 0.72 | 0.83 | The data proves that head teacher believes that lack of mother appreciation hinder girls not to go to school. Among the fathers and mothers' appreciation to the girls to go to school, the father appreciation got highest at the endline which tells about the greater influence of father appreciation to encourage girls to go to school in the project area. |
| Difficulty in transportation | 0.87 | 0.02 | BRIDGE project has supported activities to transport students by hiring bus and driver. |
| Lack of school fence | 1.19 | -0.37 | BRIDGE has no direct activity to construct school fence but it has indirectly supported to build, repair and maintain school fences. At the baseline result, only five schools has school fence which increased to 26 in the endline result. In the endline result, negative sign indicates that majority of head teacher don't believe that lack of school fence hinder girls not to got to school. |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 1.59 | 0.31 | BRIDGE has constructed a lot of toilets for girls in the selected schools. So a positive change has found at the endline results. Lower score the end line indicates that many of the head teachers agree on the statement that lack of decent toilets for girls is not a reason for female not to come to school. However BRIDGE has taken special activities to regularly clean up and maintain and ensure sufficient water in the female toilets at school. |
| Too much work at home for girls | 1.45 | 0.81 | This value in the endline tells us head teachers perception about the female student. Only a few head teacher believe that too much work obstruct girls not to go to school. |
| Early marriage | 1.30 | 0.76 | BRIDGE project has not worked on this issue. However, it shows that only a few head teacher believes that early marriage is a barrier for girls not to go to school. |
| Parents cannot afford girls’ education economically | 1.60 | 0.49 | Baseline data tells us financial incapability is a strong reason for female not to go to school. But government of Yemen abolished the school fees from 2007. So, at the end line, some of head teacher believe that financial support provide by the government is very helpful to increase girls' education. |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | -0.34 | 0.00 | The head teachers' perception is neutral here. However, BRIDGE has worked on this issue by purchasing teaching materials to improve the quality of education in the selected schools. |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | -0.66 | -0.69 | The endline information from the head teachers shows that teachers' use of stick at school is not a barrier for the girls to go to school. |
| No work after graduation | 1.64 | 0.53 | No work after graduation is not a barrier for the girls to go to school according to some head teacher at the end line. The lower score at end line indicates a positive change in this regard. |
| Lack of textbooks | 0.00 | -0.71 | This activity is not done by BRIDGE Project. However, end line result shows us that there is no shortage of books at school. |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Considered } \\ \text { Matters }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Total Normalize } \\ \text { Weighted Score }\end{array}$ |  | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Endline |  |  |
| some school is very far from house. However the head |  |  |  |$\}$| The school is so |
| :--- |
| far from the |
| house |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Table 16 depicts the head teacher's view regarding girls' education. To assess the opinion of head teachers, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree'. In the same way, 'Fully Agree' is more positive than 'Somehow Agree'. The 'Don't Know' seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as -2 , $-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree', 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree' and 'Fully Agree' responses respectively.

The table shows the remarkable change of head teacher's perception between the endline and baseline of the project to recognize the necessity of girls’ education. It is appreciable that head teachers awareness about gender balance in education has risen through the intervention of BRIDGE project. Data in table of Annex shows that while during baseline of the project only 9 percent of the head teachers thought that male and female have an equal right to receive education and 81 percent fully disagreed this, during end line almost all of them (97\%) fully agree on this. During baseline 67 percent of them fully agreed about the preference of their son going to school to their daughter, while at the end of the project this percentage lowered to only 5\%.

Additionally, it was also asked to them if having son and daughter, whether they do best to make both of their son and daughter to go to schoPDM0uring baseline 85 percent fully disagreed this matter and only 11 percent fully agreed. In contrast, 95 percent respondents fully agreed on this issue at the end line. Females marriage was a hindrance to girls’ education since during baseline it is found that 40 percent of the head teachers fully agreed that females should stay home if get married. It is appreciable that BRIDGE has changed this conventional view as at the end line 48 percent of the respondents fully disagree this view. There is another interesting finding, 63 percent of the head teachers at end line fully disagree that basic skills of reading and writing are enough for females' education, but contradictorily, 68 percent fully agreed on this during the baseline. Having more children was another obstruction to increase female enrollment. Because, 23 percent at the baseline fully agreed that having more children is happiness to females, while at the end line only 5 percent fully agreed on this matter.

Table 16 Head Teacher's Perception Regarding Girls' Education

| Considered Matters | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Male and female have an equal right to receive education | -1.51 | 1.95 | A greater significant change have found between the end line and baseline results regarding head teacher's perception that male and female have equal right on any issues. So they have equal right to go to school and learn. This information also inform us that people in the BRIDGE area realize and are aware about the rights of others, right of a girl student, right of parents and rights of a head teachers. Parents or a head teacher have no problem to send their girls to the schools. The result shows a huge achievement of the community awareness regarding girls’ right to go to school. |
| If having son and daughter, I prefer my son going to school to my daughter | 1.06 | -1.64 | BRIDGE has worked on the awareness raising activities like parents meeting and focus group discussion. As a result head teachers think that a significant change has been found in the community. The perception changed from positive to negative which inform that parents or a head teacher are very aware about the right of children to go to school.. They ensure equal right to their sons and daughters to go to school. Most of the head teachers don't agree with the statement that if having son and daughter, they prefer their son going to school to their daughter. |
| If having son and daughter, I do my best to make both of my son and daughter to go to school | -1.49 | 1.92 | Head teachers' perception about the girls' education is also very much positive. Parents or a head teacher's attitude has changed from negative to positives due to all BRIDGE activities done during the last three years. The majority of head teacher agree with the statement. |
| Females should stay home if get married | 0.60 | -0.64 | The negative value at the endline state that maximum numbers of head teacher don't agree with the statement. |
| Basic skills of reading and writing are enough for females' education | 1.36 | -1.14 | There is a huge change found between endline and baseline in response to the question. The attitude of head teacher during baseline was almost completely opposite with the endline result. Most of the head teachers do not comply with the statement. It means head teacher thinks that girls need more reading and writing skills than basic skills. |
| I support an idea that females have professional careers in a society | -1.23 | 1.49 | The head teacher perception about the female professional careers in the society also changed from negative to positive. Most of the head teachers now think that female can work if they like. |
| Having more children is happiness to females | -0.21 | -0.61 | At the baseline data, it was negative but at the endline it is more negative than baseline information. It represents that majority of the head teacher disagree with the statement. |
| I believe that community participation is necessary to improve school education | -1.28 | 1.80 | A greater positive change is found regarding this statement. It tells us that most of the head teachers now believe that community participation is very necessary to improve the school education. |
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### 4.5.2 Teacher's Perception

Table 17 illustrates teacher's perception about the reasons for females not to come to schools. To assess the opinion of teachers, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree'. In the same way, 'Fully Agree' is more positive than 'Somehow Agree’. The ‘Don't Know’ seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree’, 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree' and 'Fully Agree’ responses respectively.

According to the responses of teachers during baseline main reasons for females absence in schools were - lack of female teacher, lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls, difficulty in transportation, lack of school fence, lack of decent toilets for girls, too much work at home for girls, early marriage, parents inability to afford girls' education economically, unemployment and farness of school from house. It can be recognized that obstacles for girls' education were in general reduced and shifted from physical conditions of schools to awareness of parents. Lack of parents' appreciation for girls’ education was increasingly perceived as obstacles for girls' education. It is interesting that not useful lectures and use of sticks were not regarded as obstacles both at baseline and endline survey. These are the same trend as ones of head teachers.

However, from table in Annex, it is important to note that though 27 percent of the teacher fully disagree about lack of female teacher, still a larger portion of teacher (36\%) fully agree with this. Around 50 percent of the teachers fully agreed with the opinion that there is lack of parents' appreciation for girls' education. Furthermore, 35 percent teachers fully agree transportation problem, 42 percent fully agree pressure of domestic work, 50 percent fully agree early marriage and 37 percent fully agree parents' economic condition as the reasons for females' absence in school. Moreover, despite 25 percent teachers fully disagree with lack of decent toilets for girls at end line, 34 percent fully agree with the problem.

Table 17 Teacher's Reasons for Females not to come to schools

| Considered <br> Matters | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Baseline | Endline | Comments |
| Lack of female <br> teacher | 1.43 | 0.24 | The data indicates that teachers' impression is positive. At <br> the endline survey lower number of teachers as compare to <br> baseline survey believes that lack of female teacher is a <br> matter for girls not to come to school. They believe male <br> teacher also can teach a girls or in a girls schools. However, <br> still a algre number of teachers think that shortage of female <br> teacher is a reason for females not to come to choool. |
| Lack of <br> separated <br> classrooms for <br> boys and girls | 1.54 | 0.50 | This indicator has also positive value. The value indicate <br> that lower number of teachers at the end line survey as <br> compare to baseline survey believes that lack of separated <br> classrooms for boys and girls teachers is a matter for girls <br> not to come to school. They believe that girls can study in <br> the same classes with boys in the same schools. However, <br> still a large number of teachers identified this as a problem. |
| Lack of fathers’ <br> appreciation for <br> girls' education | 0.98 | 1.01 | Most of the teachers at the end line believe that lack of <br> father appreciation hinder girls not to go to school. |


| Considered Matters | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls' education | 0.82 | 0.85 | Teacher believes that lack of mother appreciation hinder girls not to go to school. |
| Difficulty in transportation | 0.83 | 0.20 | BRIDGE project has supported activities to improve the transportation system. |
| Lack of school fence | 0.94 | -0.74 | BRIDGE has no direct activity to construct school fence but it has indirectly supported to build, repair and maintain school fences. The negative score of end line indicate that majority of teachers have not identified lack of school fence as a problem. |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 1.47 | 0.24 | BRIDGE has constructed lots of decent toilets for girls in the selected schools. The lower score at end line indicate that lower number of teachers as compared to baseline think shortage of decent toilets as a problem. The positive value at the endline indicates that many of the teachers agree on the statement that lack of decent toilets for girls is a reason for female not to come to school. |
| Too much work at home for girls | 1.06 | 0.63 | This value in the endline tells us teachers' perception about the female student. The positive value at end line illustrates that larger number of teachers believe that too much work obstruct girls not to go to school. |
| Early marriage | 1.15 | 0.83 | BRIDGE project has not worked on this issue. End line score tells that still a larger number of teachers believe that early marriage is a barrier for girls not to go to school. |
| Parents cannot afford girls’ education economically | 1.41 | 0.78 | Baseline data tells us that financial incapability is a reason for female not to go to school, but government of Yemen abolished the school fees from 2007. So at the endline, some more teachers as compared to baseline believe that financial support provide by the government is very helpful to increase girls education. |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | -0.22 | -0.78 | Teachers' perception is negative here. It means most of the teachers do not comply with this statement. BRIDGE has worked on this issue by purchasing teaching materials to improve the quality of education in the selected schools. |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | -0.56 | -0.71 | The endline information from the teachers shows that teachers' use of stick at school is not a barrier for the girls not to go to school. |
| No work after graduation | 1.36 | 0.52 | No work after graduation is a barrier for the girls not to go to school according to the teachers' information from the endline score. |
| Lack of textbooks | 0.24 | -0.41 | This activity is not done by BRIDGE Project. However, most of the teachers at the end line disagree with this statement. |
| The school is so far from the house | 0.66 | -0.01 | Embassy of Japan has constructed six new school buildings in the project area. Still there is a huge shortage of schools in the selected project area. Most of the area has no school and some school is very far from house. However the head teacher perception about the reasons for female not to come to schoPDM0ue to farness is negative in endline survey because only a few teachers identified it is a problem. Most of the teachers disagree with this statement. |

[^3]
### 4.5.3 Parent's Perception

Table 18 illustrates parent's perception about the reasons for females not to come to schools. To assess the opinion of parent's perception, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree'. In the same way, 'Fully Agree’ is more positive than 'Somehow Agree’. The 'Don't Know' seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree', 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree’ and 'Fully Agree’ responses respectively.

According to the responses of parents during baseline main reasons for females absence in schools were - lack of female teacher, lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls, difficulty in transportation, lack of school fence, lack of decent toilets for girls, too much work at home for girls, early marriage, parents inability to afford girls' education economically, unemployment and farness of school from house. It can be recognized from the data that obstacles for girls’ education were reduced in all the perspectives. Even though the head teachers and teachers increasingly perceived lack of awareness of parents as obstacles, parents did not agree on that point. They believe their awareness was also improved.

Table 18 Parent's reasons for females not to come to schools

| Considered Matters | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Lack of female teacher | 1.76 | 0.61 | The positive value indicates that most of the parents agree on the statement that lack of female teacher is one of the reasons for girls not to come to school. |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls | 1.75 | 0.61 | The positive value both in baseline and endline indicates that lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls is one of the reasons for girls not to come to school. |
| Lack of fathers’ appreciation for girls’ education | 0.71 | 0.33 | The value in both baseline and endline are positive but endline score is lower than baseline which indicates that number of respondent at endline decreased to agree on the statement. |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls’ education | 0.71 | 0.24 | There is a little gap between endline and baseline. The score at the endline is less than baseline which indicates that number of respondent at end line decreased to agree on the statement. |
| Difficulty in transportation | 0.99 | 0.30 | Almost fifty percent parents at baseline believe that difficulty in transportation is one of the reasons for female not to come to school. The score of parents declining at the end line means number of parents decline to agree on the statement. |
| Lack of school fence | 1.00 | -0.31 | BRIDGE Project has not done any direct activities to repair or constrict school fence but they provide some financial support to repair or maintain school fence. At the end line most of the parents disagree with the statement because they think school fence might not a reason for female not to come to school. |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 1.15 | 0.63 | The statement in both baseline and endline are positive which indicates that parents believe lack of decent toilet as one of the reasons that can hinder girls not to come to school. The score of parents declining at the end line means number of parents decline to agree on the statement. |


| Considered Matters | Total Normalize Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Too much work at home for girls | 0.84 | 0.17 | The score of endline is lower than baseline indicates that quite a fewer number of parents believe that too much work at home restrict girls not to go to school. |
| Early marriage | 1.03 | 0.76 | In the baseline, more than fifty percent parents believed that early marriage is a factor which limits girls not to go to school. However the number of respondent agreeing this decreased at the end line than that of baseline. |
| Parents cannot afford girls’ education economically | 1.57 | 0.94 | Earlier most of the parents thought and agreed on the statement that they cannot not send their girls to the school because of financial support. Government of Yemen has abolished the school fees up to grade six in 2007. The respondent in end line supporting the statement declined from the baseline. However, the positive score tells us that still a many parents believe investment to girls' education as a burden for them. The parent of upper grade students still needs to pay the school fees. Parents of all grades needs to pay all other fees, like they need to buy pen, pencil, dress, transportation cost etc. |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | -0.40 | -0.40 | Most the parents have a good understanding about the statement that they do not agree on the statement. |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | -0.42 | -0.79 | Most the parents have a good understanding not to agree the statement. It means they don't believe using stick restrict girls not to go to schools. |
| No work after graduation | 1.18 | 0.03 | At the endline, lower number of parents than that of baseline agreed on the statement. |
| Lack of textbooks | 0.00 | -0.30 | The result at the endline says quite a good number of parents disagree with the statement. |
| The school is so far from the house | 1.00 | 0.36 | A good number of parents agree with the statement and they believe that farness is a reason for girls not to go to school. |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Table 19 depicts the parent's view regarding basic rights related to girls' education. To assess the opinion of parent's perception regarding basic rights, the answer sheet is normalized by weighted method. In this calculation, 'Fully Disagree' and 'Sometime Disagree' are negative answer and the intensity of 'Fully Disagree' is high than 'Sometime Disagree'. In the same way, 'Fully Agree’ is more positive than 'Somehow Agree’. The ‘Don't Know’ seems as neutral answer. The scale is formed by giving weight as $-2,-1,0+1$ and +2 for 'Fully Disagree', 'Sometime Disagree', 'Don't Know', 'Somehow Agree' and 'Fully Agree' responses respectively.

Table 19 shows the change of parent's perception between the end line and baseline of the project to recognize the necessity of girls' education. But it is important to note that parents’ view regarding basic rights related to girls’ education changed a little since the data shows that the situation in baseline was also good though head teachers' information during baseline on the same issue does not present so good result.

Table 19 Parent's Perception Regarding Basic Rights

| Considered Matters | Total Normalize <br> Weighted Score |  | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Baseline | Endline |  |
| Male and female have an <br> equal right to receive <br> education. | 1.80 | 1.91 | The number of respondent increased from the <br> baseline means more parents agree on the statement. <br> BRIDGE project has done a lot of awareness raising <br> activities in the project area. Now parents realize the <br> right of male and female to receive education. |
| If having son and <br> daughter, I prefer my son <br> going to school to my <br> daughter. | -1.33 | -1.63 | The score tells us that most of the parents disagree <br> on the statement. It means they believe both girls <br> and boys have equal right to go to school. |
| If having son and <br> daughter, I do my best to <br> make both of my son and <br> daughter to go to school. | 1.86 | 1.79 | Both baseline and endline score here are positive <br> which indicate that a very good number of parents <br> are agreed on the statement. |
| Females should stay home <br> if get married. | -0.58 | -0.82 | The weighted score tells that most of the parents do <br> not agree the statement that female should stay at <br> home. The number at the endline also shows that the <br> number of respondent increased from the baseline as <br> they realize the value of education. |
| Basic skills of reading and <br> writing are enough for <br> girls' education. | -1.08 | -1.43 | The respondent on this issue is much higher than the <br> baseline which indicates that most of the parents do <br> not agree on the statement. |
| I support an idea that <br> females have professional <br> careers in a society. | 1.37 | 1.54 | The end line score here is positive and is higher than <br> baseline which tells that increased numbers of <br> parents agree on the issue stated here. |
| Having more children is <br> happiness to females. | 1.07 | 0.00 | The result of endline is neutral but at the baseline <br> almost more than fifty percent parents believed that <br> having more children is happiness to females |
| I believe that community <br> participation is necessary <br> to improve school <br> education. | 1.68 | 1.85 | The statement is both positive and high at endline <br> which indicates that most of the parents agreed on <br> the issue that community participation is necessary <br> to improve school education. |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 12 below shows the parents' opinion about the necessity of education for daughters. It shows that 63.3 percent of parents want to give university degree education for their daughters, which is another evidence that parents have strong desire for their daughters' education if conditions are allowed.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 12 Parents' Opinion about the necessity of Education for their daughter

### 4.5.4 Comparison of the Head Teachers, Teachers and Parents' Opinions

This section compares opinions of head teachers, teachers and parents regarding obstacles for girls’ education in the selected topics. BRIDGE Project supported hiring teachers, building and repairing classrooms and toilets. Therefore, perception toward teacher, classrooms and toilets as obstacles for girls' education were reduced among all. However, regarding parents' awareness, even though the Project supported lots of awareness activities at school and community level, head teachers and teachers still think that lack of awareness of parents are the obstacles, and its' tendency is getting stronger. On the contrary, parents themselves reduced their agreement toward lack of parents' awareness as obstacles for girls' education. There are different patterns of tendency observed.However, all of head teachers, teachers and parents reduced their degree of agreement on too much work at home for girls as an obstacle for girls' education. From these, it is thought that awareness of parents has been raised by actually reducing girls’ work at home even though head teachers and teachers do not think that it is not enough.

## (1) Lack of female teacher

Figure 13 shows the opinion of head teachers, teachers and parents regarding the reasons of girls not to come to school. All the opinions, regarding the girls not to come to schools are positive. It indicates all respondent both in baseline and endline are agreed on the statement that lack of female teachers discourage girls not to come to school or vice versa, they don't go to schools because of shortage of female teacher available in the selected schools. Among all, parents both in baseline and end line were the highest complying the statement. Parents scored 0.61 in end line and 1.76 in baseline. It is important to note that in case of all three respondents end line score is lower than that of baseline agreeing lack of female teacher as a reason of girls not to go to school, which is the achievement of BRIDGE.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
Figure 13 Comparison of opinions on lack of separate classrooms

## (2) Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls

The opinion regarding lack of separate classrooms for boys and girls are also positive both in baseline and endline among head teachers, teachers and parents (see Figure 14). In the graph, parents' opinion has got the highest score, means most of the parents are agreed on the statement. On the whole, all three kind of respondents have got lower score in end line than that of baseline agreeing lack of separate classrooms for boys and girls as a reason of girls not to go to school, which is the achievement of BRIDGE.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Figure 14 Comparison of opinions on lack of separate classrooms

## (3) Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls' education

Regarding lack of fathers’ appreciation the graph shows mixed opinions (see Figure 15). In the baseline, head teacher agreed on the statement and it shows nearer to 0.7 score but at the endline it got the score 1.2. End line data of head teacher expresses that lack of fathers appreciation is a strong reason for female not to go to school though parents' data shows that the situation has slightly improved and teacher data shows that the situation remained unchanged.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Figure 15 Comparison of opinions on lack of fathers' appreciation

## (4) Lack of mothers’ appreciation for girls’ education

Regarding lack of mothers’ appreciation the graph shows mixed opinions (see Figure 16). In both baseline and end line both the head teacher and teacher agree the lacking of mothers’ appreciation as the hindrance of girls’ education while parents data shows that they still agree on this matter at the end line but the percentage of parents who support this statement has been decreased as compared to baseline data.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey

Figure 16 Comparison of opinions on lack of mothers' appreciation
(5) Lack of school fence

During baseline many of the respondents agreed that lack of school fence was a reason for females not to go to school (see Figure 17). But at the end line most of the head teacher, teacher and parents do not think it as a problem of female education.


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey
Figure 17 Comparison of opinions on lack of school fences

## (6) Lack of decent toilets for girls

From the figure 18 it is evident that according to most of head teacher, teacher and parents lack of decent toilets was a strong reason for females not to go to school. But the situation seems improved according to the opinion of all kind of respondents. As BRIDGE has supported to build a lot of female toilets in schools females are now encouraged to go to school.


[^4]Figure 18 Comparison of opinions on lack of toilets for girls

The graph shows that head teacher both in baseline and end line think that too much work at home for girls is a hindrance for girls’ education though a fewer percentage of parents at the end line think it as a problem (see Figure 19).


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey
Figure 19 Comparison of opinions on too much work for girls

### 4.6 Most Effective BRIDGE Activities for Girls' Education

### 4.6.1 Introduction of School Activities

BRIDGE project provided funding to schools for implementing school improvement activities. The funding was provided by three different sources as shown in the table below.

Table 20 Summary of BRIDGE Funding Amount by Sources (2005-2007)

|  | $2005($ Year 1) |  | 2006 (Year 2) |  | 2007 (Year 3) |  | Three Year Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Source | Amount (YER) | Share | Amount (YER) | Share | Amount (YER) | Share | Amount (YER) | Share |
| JICA | $27,398,090$ | $92.7 \%$ | $27,893,520$ | $93.5 \%$ | $29,235,800$ | $59.1 \%$ | $84,527,410$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| Taiz Governorate | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | $16,758,259$ | $33.9 \%$ | $16,758,259$ | $15.4 \%$ |
| Local Community | $2,143,437$ | $7.3 \%$ | $1,949,137$ | $6.5 \%$ | $3,503,034$ | $7.1 \%$ | $7,595,608$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| Total | $29,541,527$ | $100.0 \%$ | $29,842,657$ | $100.0 \%$ | $49,497,093$ | $100.0 \%$ | $108,881,277$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Source: Created by JICA-BRIDGE Project Team
In the three years from June 2005 to May 2008, the BRIDGE Project provided almost 108.9 million YER in total for the 59 schools in the six targeted districts in Taiz. The funding came from JICA,, Taiz Governorate and community donations, in which JICA funding is the largest. Taiz Governorate started to provided funding to schools in 2007. Local funding was not required but voluntary contribution was made in each year. In Year 1 and Year 2, 500,000 YER was given to each 59 schools from JICA. In Year 3, due to provision of the governorate funding of 320,000 YER (before taz) to each school, amount of JICA funding was adjusted. For example, schools that still needs to build classrooms receive more JICA funding from the targeted schools within the same district. This adjustment was made by DEOs. In Same district, Al Qods school received 70,000 YER, while Al Nagda school received 300,000YER from JICA.

Table 21 summarizes school activities by allocation of funding. Various activities were financed under BRIDGE project. Among all activities, hiring contracting teachers is the most popular activities in the three years (42,466,827 YER), which is almost $40 \%$ of total funding, followed by constructing classrooms (16,872,561 YER), and repairing classrooms (13,325,371 YER). In Year 1, JICA funding allowed schools to spend money for school uniform, bags, school fee, school furniture, and water for the tank. However, from Year 2, school uniform, bags were not accepted by JICA because these items could be donated from the wealthy family. School fee were abolished in Year 2 by the Government of Yemen, so that school fee were not financed under the BRIDGE from Year 2. School furniture were provided by Taiz GEO from Year 2. Water for the tank was covered by local contribution from Year 2 by considering sustainability.

Table 21 BRIDGE Funding Amount (2005-2007) by School Activities and Sources

| Activities | JICA | Taiz Governorate | Community | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Administration Cost | $2,114,240$ | 85,700 | 398,658 | $2,598,598$ |
| Classroom Construction | $9,788,365$ | $5,747,480$ | $1,336,716$ | $16,872,561$ |
| Repairing Classroom | $8,053,365$ | $4,458,473$ | 813,533 | $13,325,371$ |
| Construction Toilets | $2,769,780$ | 841,792 | 242,569 | $3,854,141$ |
| Repairing Toilets | 612,575 | 139,091 | 74,329 | 825,995 |
| Contracting Teacher | $38,858,496$ | $1,430,600$ | $2,177,731$ | $42,466,827$ |
| Hiring Literacy Trainer | $5,111,950$ | 248,500 | 173,140 | $5,533,590$ |
| Hiring Sewing Trainer | $3,858,020$ | $1,146,650$ | 257,285 | $5,261,955$ |
| Purchasing WaterTank | $2,466,153$ | 588,303 | 319,736 | $3,374,192$ |
| Transporting Student | $1,442,340$ | 80,000 | 162,060 | $1,684,400$ |
| Purchasing Radio | $2,087,930$ | 420,991 | 83,509 | $2,592,430$ |
| Organizing School Events | $1,979,045$ | 374,905 | 332,671 | $2,686,621$ |
| Cleaning Activities | 185,100 | 66,484 | 18,910 | 270,494 |
| Health Care Activities | 409,150 | 132,984 | 45,820 | 587,954 |
| Purchasing Teaching Materials | 347,230 | 191,966 | 28,736 | 567,932 |
| Awareness Meeting | 323,600 | 103,340 | 236,960 | 663,900 |
| Supplying Water | 597,650 | 8,900 | 558,000 | $1,244,550$ |
| Purchasing BlackBoards | 425,000 | 108,900 | 18,900 | 552,800 |
| Training for teachers | 397,000 | 139,000 | 29,000 | 565,000 |
| Purchasing School Furnitures | 480,130 | 299,200 | 43,100 | 822,430 |
| Purchasing School Stationeries | 15,400 | 56,000 | 5,350 | 76,750 |
| Transporting Chairs | 406,820 | 9,000 | 100,380 | 516,200 |
| School Uniform | 698,685 |  | 75,215 | 773,900 |
| School Bags | 776,386 |  | 63,300 | 839,686 |
| School fees | 48,050 |  | 0 | 48,050 |
| Others | 274,950 |  | 0 | 274,950 |
| Total | $84,527,410$ | $16,758,259$ | $7,595,608$ | $108,881,277$ |

Source: Created by JICA-BRIDGE Project Team.
According to Table 22, both in Year 1 and Year 2, the most popular activities at the pilot schools was contracting teachers followed by awareness meeting. In Year 3, awareness raising activities became the most popular activities. In Year 3, additional funding to schools was given by Taiz governorate, so that school acquired the ability to spend extra amount for that purpose in addition to the expenses for classrooms and teacher. Activities responsible to improve the quality of education, including purchasing blackboards, teaching materials, notice board, and school stationeries has also been augmented in high proportion during last year of the project. So it can be stated that the project has markedly contributed to improve school environment, the quality of education as well as to increase parents’ awareness toward children's education.

Table 22 Number of school activities under BRIDGE project

| School Activities | Percentage |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Year } 1(2005 / 6) \\ (\mathrm{n}=56) \\ \text { In } 100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } 2(2006 / 7) \\ (\mathrm{n}=59) \\ \text { In 100\% } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Year } 3(2007 / 8) \\ (\mathrm{n}=59) \\ \text { In } 100 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Awareness meeting (with parents, etc.) | 61.0 | 64.4 | 81.3 |
| Cleaning activities | 42.3 | 61.0 | 55.9 |
| Constructing/repairing classrooms | 42.3 | 40.6 | 59.3 |
| Constructing/repairing toilets | 38.9 | 23.7 | 27.1 |
| Contracting with teachers | 71.1 | 74.5 | 77.9 |
| Health care activities (First aid purchase, health promotion education, etc) | 6.7 | 10.1 | 30.5 |
| Hiring literacy trainers | 20.3 | 45.7 | 54.2 |
| Hiring sewing trainers | 11.8 | 18.6 | 33.9 |
| Organizing school events (competition, award, fun gathering, school trip, etc.) | 25.4 | 47.4 | 64.4 |
| Purchasing blackboards | 16.9 | 22.0 | 28.8 |
| Purchasing school furniture, notice board, etc. | 5.0 | 5.0 | 25.4 |
| Purchasing school radio | 32.2 | 32.2 | 28.8 |
| Purchasing school stationeries (record notebooks, etc.) | 10.1 | 18.6 | 67.8 |
| Purchasing teaching materials | 8.4 | 8.4 | 59.3 |
| Purchasing water tanks/ pipes | 40.6 | 20.3 | 22.0 |
| Supplying water | 54.2 | 54.2 | 49.1 |
| Training for teachers | 3.3 | 13.5 | 74.5 |
| Transporting students | 6.7 | 6.7 | 11.8 |
| Meeting between head teacher and teachers | 66.1 | 69.4 | 77.9 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

### 4.6.2 Most Effective Activities Identified by Head Teacher

Head teachers were asked to indicate 3 items to which BRIDGE was most effective and least effective to improve the situation of female absence in school (Table 23). Since in each case 3 responses were collected, this data was analyzed through multiple response option in SPSS. From the table it is evident that 21 percent head teachers found most effective to enhance mothers' appreciation for girls' education and 20 percent found role of BRIDGE most effective to increase female teacher. BRIDGE also played an important role to enhance fathers’ appreciation for girls' education, to construct decent toilets for girls, to create separated classrooms for boys and girls, etc. On the other hand, according to $12 \%$ head teacher, BRIDGE was least effective to solve the transportation problem. BRIDGE also did not play any role to increase parents' affordability for girls’ education, to lessen work load for girls at home, to provide employment opportunity after graduation, etc.

Table 23 Most and Least Effective BRIDGE Activities for Girls' Education by Head Teachers

| The Reasons | Percent of Cases |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Most Effective | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{5 9}$ | Least Effective | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{5 0}$ |
| Lack of female teacher | $20.2 \%$ | 35 | $6.4 \%$ | 11 |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys and <br> girls | $9.2 \%$ | 16 | $5.8 \%$ | 10 |
| Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls' <br> education | $17.9 \%$ | 31 | $1.2 \%$ | 2 |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls' <br> education | $21.4 \%$ | 37 | $1.7 \%$ | 3 |


| The Reasons | Percent of Cases |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Most Effective | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{5 9}$ | Least Effective | N=50 |
| Difficulty in transportation | $4.0 \%$ | 7 | $12.1 \%$ | 21 |
| Lack of school fence | $.6 \%$ | 1 | $6.4 \%$ | 11 |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | $10.4 \%$ | 18 | $4.0 \%$ | 7 |
| Too much work at home for girls (water <br> gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | $2.3 \%$ | 4 | $10.4 \%$ | 18 |
| Early marriage | $1.2 \%$ | 2 | $7.5 \%$ | 13 |
| Parents cannot afford girls' education <br> economically | $5.2 \%$ | 9 | $11.6 \%$ | 20 |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | $1.7 \%$ | 3 | $6.9 \%$ | 12 |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | $2.9 \%$ | 5 | $4.0 \%$ | 7 |
| No work after graduation | $1.2 \%$ | 2 | $10.4 \%$ | 18 |
| Lack of textbooks | $1.2 \%$ | 2 | $5.2 \%$ | 9 |
| The school is so far from the house | $.6 \%$ | 1 | $6.4 \%$ | 11 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | 173 | $100.0 \%$ | 173 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
a Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1 .

### 4.6.3 Most Effective Activities Identified by Teacher

From Table 24, it is seen that teachers mostly found that BRIDGE is most effective to increase number of female teachers, enhance parents' appreciation for girls' education, construct separated classrooms for boys and girls and construct decent toilets for girls, though in case of parents appreciation the previous figure gives opposite information. According to 12 percent of the teacher, BRIDGE is least effective to reduce the difficulty in transportation. Moreover, BRIDGE does not have strong role to combat early marriage and to support in increasing parents' affordability for girls' education.

Table 24 Most and Least Effective BRIDGE Activities for Girls' Education by Teachers

| Most effective | ${\text { Percent of Cases }{ }^{\text {a }}}^{$$}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Most effective | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 8 7}$ | Least effective | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 8 7}$ |
| Lack of female teacher | $21.9 \%$ | 188 | $4.2 \%$ | 36 |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys <br> and girls | $15.0 \%$ | 129 | $8.0 \%$ | 68 |
| Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls' <br> education | $17.8 \%$ | 153 | $4.0 \%$ | 34 |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls' <br> education | $15.6 \%$ | 134 | $3.3 \%$ | 28 |
| Difficulty in transportation | $3.5 \%$ | 30 | $12.4 \%$ | 105 |
| Lack of school fence | $2.0 \%$ | 17 | $6.6 \%$ | 56 |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | $9.6 \%$ | 82 | $6.1 \%$ | 52 |
| Too much work at home for girls (water <br> gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | $1.9 \%$ | 16 | $7.1 \%$ | 60 |
| Early marriage | $1.6 \%$ | 14 | $10.6 \%$ | 90 |
| Parents cannot afford girls' education <br> economically | $2.9 \%$ | 25 | $9.8 \%$ | 83 |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | $.7 \%$ | 6 | $5.4 \%$ | 46 |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | $1.3 \%$ | 11 | $2.1 \%$ | 18 |
| No work after graduation | $3.5 \%$ | 30 | $8.4 \%$ | 71 |
| Lack of textbooks | $1.3 \%$ | 11 | $6.0 \%$ | 51 |
| The school is so far from the house | $1.4 \%$ | 12 | $6.0 \%$ | 51 |
| Total | $100.0 \%$ | 858 | $100.0 \%$ | 849 |

[^5]
### 4.6.4 Most Effective Activities Identified by Parents

From Table 25, it is evident that 21 percent of the parents found BRIDGE most effective to increase female teacher and $16 \%$ found role of BRIDGE most effective to create separated classrooms for boys and girls. BRIDGE also played an important role to enhance fathers' and mothers’ appreciation for girls' education and to construct decent toilets for girls.

Table 25 Most Effective BRIDGE Activities for Girls' Education by Parents

| Most effective to improve | N = 591 | Responses $\mathrm{N}=591(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of female teacher | 352 | 20.8\% |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls | 275 | 16.2\% |
| Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls' education | 222 | 13.1\% |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls' education | 178 | 10.5\% |
| Difficulty in transportation | 34 | 2.0\% |
| Lack of school fence | 62 | 3.7\% |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 150 | 8.9\% |
| Too much work at home for girls (water gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | 8 | .5\% |
| Early marriage | 6 | .4\% |
| Parents cannot afford girls' education economically | 93 | 5.5\% |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | 25 | 1.5\% |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | 9 | .5\% |
| No work after graduation | 14 | .8\% |
| Lack of textbooks | 36 | 2.1\% |
| The school is so far from the house | 4 | .2\% |
| Schools rehabilitation | 24 | 1.4\% |
| Swing Machines | 23 | 1.4\% |
| School broadcasting tools | 46 | 2.7\% |
| Contracted with literacy teachers | 43 | 2.5\% |
| Provide schools with water tank | 39 | 2.3\% |
| Provide communication to school | 10 | .6\% |
| Provide school with water | 10 | .6\% |
| Maintained school windows | 5 | .3\% |
| Provide rewards and motivations for schools activities | 9 | .5\% |
| Uniforms | 7 | .4\% |
| School kits | 4 | .2\% |
| Cleaning toilets | 4 | .2\% |
| Provide school with stationary | 2 | .1\% |
| Total | 1694 | 100.0\% |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
a Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1.

### 4.7 Relation between BRIDGE Finance and Number of Enrollment

The scatter plot shows the relation between percentage change of enrollment and the amount of money spent in three years in the BRIDGE pilot schools. After data cleaning schools have been selected for this analysis. In the figure below, it is clear that female enrollment increased more than boys' enrollment in selected schools. In Al Gabiri in Maqbana district, female enrollment increased 800 percent from year 2004 to 2007. In 2004, only six students were enrolled in Al Gabiri which rose to 63 in 2007 is the highest growth of female enrollment among all 59 schools. Al-Farooq School in Al Waziyah district has got the lowest increase in female enrollment between 2004 and 2007. In 2004, the number of female enrollment in Al Farooq School was only 73 which rose to 79 in 2007 at a rate of 8 percent enrollment growth which is the lowest among 59 schools.

The highest financial investment was found in Al-Shahead Al-Thoulaih schools in Mawiyah which is 2,291,950 YR in three years and the lowest is in Bab Al-Mandab in Dubab district in three years is only $1,044,550$. As both figures are shown in the same scale, it is clear that female enrollment increased more than boys in each schoPDM0uring the last three years started from 2004. From the first graph, it is evident that more funding school receives; more female enrollment is achieved as the curve shows the upward trend.


Source: JICA-BRIDGE Project (2008).
Figure 20 Relation between BRIDGE finance and enrollment change in each school from 2004 to 2007

### 4.8 Regression A nalysis

The school committee of the BRIDGE Project made a plan with an aim to increase the girls' enrollment at each selected school in the Taiz governorate since 2005/2006 to 2007/2008, which is the first objective of the project. They introduced school improvement plan according to the demand of the school and later they implemented those plan to improve the girls' education. In order to measure the impact of the interventions of the BRIDGE Project in pilot schools, a multiple regression analysis is conducted using the enrollment data collected from the head teacher of the each school and collected by the BRIDGE project.

The total number of female student enrolled in the pilot schools is selected as a dependent variable to develop an impact assessment model. The independent variables include the expenditure of each school activities, number of each school facilities and availabilities of school activities. Expenditure of each school activities and number of each school facilities are continuous variable and availabilities of school activities is dummy variable. Since those schools have almost no fund to perform any activities before the project implementation year, the total investment of last year is considered as the expenditure of each activities change from 2004 to 2007.

## M odel:

The general conceptual model to assess the impact is total number of female enrollment is a function of expenditure of each activities, number of each school facilities and availabilities of school activities, which can be expressed by the following formula:

$$
Y_{t}=f\left(E_{t 1} \ldots E_{t 2}, F_{t 1} \ldots F_{t 2}, C t 1 \ldots C t 2,\right) \text { where, }
$$

$\boldsymbol{Y}_{\boldsymbol{t}} \quad$ is the total number of female enrollment in 2007 $\boldsymbol{E t}_{\mathbf{t}} \ldots \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{E} 2$ is expenditure of each activities change from 2004 to 2007
$\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{t} 1}$... $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{t} \mathbf{2}} \quad$ is number of each school facilities in 2007
Ct1 .. $\mathbf{C t}_{2}$ availabilities of school activities in 2007

## Regression Result:

The results of the multiple regression model estimated on a set of explanatory variable using the total number of female student enrolled in the selected pilot schools as the dependent variable are presented in table 26 . Three sets of numbers are reported in this table, which are estimated parameter, standard error and their asymptotic t-statistics.

Table 26 Result of the Regression

| Variables | Coefficient | Std. Err | t-statistics |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total finance for school administration activities | -0.005 | 0.001 | -4.76 |
| Total finance for class room constriction | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.85 |
| Total finance for class room repairing | 0.000 | 0.000 | -1.78 |
| Total finance for toilet constriction | 0.000 | 0.000 | -4.21 |
| Total finance for toilet repairing | -0.001 | 0.000 | -2.97 |
| Total finance for contracting teacher | 0.000 | 0.000 | $2.02^{*}$ |
| Total finance for hiring literacy trainer | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.68 |
| Total finance for hiring sewing trainer | 0.000 | 0.000 | -5.62 |
| Total finance for purchasing water tank and pipes | 0.000 | 0.000 | $2.61^{* *}$ |
| Total finance for transporting students | 0.000 | 0.000 | -0.51 |
| Total finance for purchasing radio | -0.003 | 0.001 | -4.48 |
| Total finance for organizing school events | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.93 |
| Total finance for cleaning activities | 0.006 | 0.002 | $2.78^{* *}$ |
| Total finance for health care activities | 0.001 | 0.000 | $3.22^{* *}$ |
| Total finance for purchasing teaching materials | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.28 |
| Total finance for awareness meeting | -0.002 | 0.000 | -4.44 |
| Total finance for supplying water | 0.001 | 0.000 | $4.89^{* * *}$ |
| Total finance for purchasing blackboards | 0.000 | 0.001 | -0.23 |
| Total finance for teacher training | 0.009 | 0.003 | $3^{* *}$ |
| Total finance for purchasing school furniture | -0.004 | 0.001 | -4.49 |
| Total finance for purchasing school stationery | 0.009 | 0.003 | $3.07^{* *}$ |
| Total finance for transporting chairs | -0.001 | 0.000 | -2.31 |
| Total finance for purchasing school uniform | -0.001 | 0.000 | -5 |
| Total finance for purchasing school bags | 0.001 | 0.000 | $4.03^{* * *}$ |
| Total finance for paying school fees | -0.011 | 0.002 | -4.92 |
| Other administrative cost | 0.001 | 0.000 | $2.34^{* *}$ |
| Number of teachers in 2007 | 11.382 | 1.601 | $7.11^{* * *}$ |
| Number of classrooms in 2007 | 10.850 | 4.342 | $2 . *^{* *}$ |
| Number of classroom for female students in 2007 | -16.805 | 7.341 | -2.29 |
| Number of school library in 2007 | 38.105 | 42.281 | 0.9 |
| Number of teachers room in 2007 | -59.079 | 17.005 | -3.47 |
| Number of sport field in 2007 | 155.903 | 24.770 | $6.29^{* * *}$ |
| Number of toilet for male students in 2007 | -19.193 | 9.920 | -1.93 |
| Number of toilet for female students in 2007 | 50.473 | 7.596 | $6.64^{* * *}$ |
| Number of drinking water facilities in 2007 | -120.092 | 19.089 | -6.29 |
| Electricity facility in 2007 | 38.628 | 70.927 | 0.54 |
| School fence in 2007 | -82.188 | 29.717 | -2.77 |
| Number of desk and chairs for students in 2007 | 0.918 | 0.179 | $5.13^{* * *}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |


| Variables | Coefficient | Std. Err | t-statistics |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of blackboard in 2007 | -2.071 | 3.702 | -0.56 |
| Number of text books in 2007 | 0.979 | 0.198 | $4.95^{* * *}$ |
| Awareness meeting (with parents, etc.) in 2007 | -41.174 | 30.908 | -1.33 |
| Cleaning activities in 2007 | 8.252 | 21.823 | 0.38 |
| Constructing/repairing classrooms in 2007 | 33.603 | 19.940 | 1.69 |
| Constructing/repairing toilets in 2007 | 70.215 | 18.584 | $3.78^{* * *}$ |
| Contracting with teachers in 2007 | -13.832 | 25.756 | -0.54 |
| Health care activities (First aid purchase, health <br> promotion education, etc) in 2007 | 29.996 | 21.716 | 1.38 |
| Hiring literacy trainers in 2007 | -72.724 | 20.924 | -3.48 |
| Hiring sewing trainers in 2007 | 117.098 | 35.714 | $3.28^{* *}$ |
| Organizing school events (competition, award, <br> fun gathering, school trip, etc.) in 2007 | 132.812 | 21.401 | $6.21^{* * *}$ |
| Transporting students in 2007 | -7.808 | 50.199 | -0.16 |
| Meeting between head teacher and teachers in <br> 2007 | 16.365 | 24.976 | 0.66 |
| Training for teachers in 2007 | 17.615 | 16.996 | 1.04 |
| constant | 30.177 | 77.671 | 0.39 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
***, ** and *indicates significant at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$ level respectively.
From the result of the regression analysis shown in the table it is found that finance for contracting teacher is significantly positively correlated at 10 percent level with the female student enrollment. It indicates that ensuring more contract teacher increase the female enrollment. Total finance for purchasing water tank and pipes, cleaning activities and health care activities are significantly positively associated with the female student enrollment at 5 percent level of significance. Total finance for supplying water is significantly positively associated with the female student enrollment at 1 percent level of significance. Finance for teacher training and purchasing school stationery is also found significantly effective for enhancing female enrollment.

Number of teaches is highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at 1 percent level. Teachers are one of the most contributing factors to enhance female enrollment in the project area. Number of classrooms in 2007 is positively significantly associated with female enrollment at 5 percent level of significance. Among the school facilities variables, number of sport field, number of toilet for female students, number of desk and chairs for students and number of text books are highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at 1 percent level.

Among the school activities dummy variables, constructing/repairing toilets in 2007 is highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at 1 percent level. Hiring sewing trainers in 2007 and Organizing school events (competition, award, fun gathering, school trip, etc.) in 2007 is found highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at 5 percent and 1 percent level respectively.

## 5. Limitation of the Study

The report has some limitations. The first limitation of this study is missing data, which affects the whole result and made some confusing relationship between endline results and baseline results. Second limitation of this study is contradictory data or wrong information inputted in the data set which misleads the actual situation. Third is time limitation. It is really difficult to show the impact based on the results within this short period. The fourth limitation is use of variables. In the baseline survey data, all teacher survey data could not be used for data analysis since most teacher data was not inputted according to the questionnaire. Due to unavailability of the teacher variable, only a few variables are used in this study, which may not reflect the actual picture of the outcome.

For the financial analysis, the study has used a limited number of independent variables that does not reflect all actual pictures. The study intended to use more independent variables, but finally cannot use due to missing data or wrong information. Different grades and different areas have different problems and needs different strategy to improve that particular grade. In addition, since this study focus on only a few schools of Taiz governorate in Yemen more longitudinal data is required to know the true feature of the girl's education.

## 6. Conclusion

The impact analyses could be concluded as follows:
BRIDGE helped to increase the number of both boys's and girls' attendance at the 59 schools:

- Both female and male enrollment for all grades as a result of the project has increased. Female students are benefited more since female enrollment in pilot schools are increased more than boys' enrollment, especially at higher grades. It is important to note that in control schools percentage of enrollment in endline even decreased in some grades.
- Even though the enrollment of both boys and girls are increased, it should be noted that improving the retention rate is a remaing issue.
- Different enrollment trend has observed among districts. The highest percentage of growth of female enrollment has found at Al Makha. Low percentage of growth of female enrollment has found at Maqbnah and Dhubab. The highest percentage of growth of male enrollment has found at Maqbanah. However, each district has different pattern of increase of female and male enrollment. Low percentage of growth of female enrollment has found at Dhubab. It is difficult to find a common trend of enrollment changes among districts. Further analysis at school level is required.


## BRIDGE helped to improve the school environment

- BRIDGE project has contributed a lot to increase school facilities in pilot schools. Total number of all school facilities has been increased. Numbers of classrooms has increased by $25 \%$ from baseline to endline while classrooms for female students almost doubled. Head teacher proves that condition of the most of the school facilities such as, classrooms, classrooms for female students, teachers' room, toilet for both male and female students, drinking water, school fence, desks and chairs for students, blackboards, has been improved.
- Improvement of school facilities were occurred beyond BRIDGE support. For example, many school fences were built by district local council. It is one of examples that the BRIDGE project attracted other source of supports to school. Even though BRIDGE
- In addition to improving school facilities, with hiring contracted teachers and building and repairing classrooms, schools could offer more grades. At the baseline, only 13 schools had offered from grade 1 to grade 9. At the endline, 20 schools could offer grade 1 to grade 9 for both boys and girls.


## BRIDGE helped to build cooperative relationships between schools and communities

- Head teachers agreed better communication with community leaders, sharing experiences with other head teachers, and listening to parents on their requests were the most helpful contribution by the BRIDGE project.
- Forty-seven percent of head teachers agreed that level of community aspiration to school education got much better by the BRIDGE project.
- Views of parents regarding children's education care at home have changed positively. Parents' perception regarding school has been enriched to a greater extent, which could be explained by implementation of intensive awareness raising program of BRIDGE Project. Parents are now very conscious to the improvement of their children's school. Even now they feel the ownership of the school situated at their own community which is a good achievement of BRIDGE Project.
- Data on head teacher's views for better school management at the endline of the project does not show satisfactory changes in compare to the baseline result. In most cases baseline and end line conditions are similar. Since capacity development program for all the head teachers on school management were started from the second year, it was not enough time for head teachers to change their perception toward their management skills.


## Perception toward girls'education was dramatically changed

- All respondents both in baseline and endline agreed on the statements that lack of female teachers, lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls, and lack of decent toilets for girls mainly discouraged girls not to come to school. However, in case of all three respondents, head teacher, teacher and parents, endline score got lower than those of baseline agreeing lack of female teacher, separate classrooms and decent toilets as obstacles of girls not to go to school. It could mention that these changes among respondents are the achievement of BRIDGE Project because BRIDGE supported these areas intensively.
- Different perception was observed regarding awareness of fathers and mothers toward education between head teachers, teachers and parents. Endline data of head teacher expressed that lack of fathers appreciation was a strong reason for female not to go to school though parents' data showed that the situation slightly improved and teacher data shows that the situation remained unchanged. In both baseline and endline both the head teacher and teacher agree the lacking of mothers’ appreciation as the hindrance of girls’ education while parents data showed that they still agreed on this matter at the end line but the percentage of parents who supported this statement has been decreased as compared to baseline data. Even though head teacher and teachers still feel that lack of parents’ appreciation toward education is one of obstacles for girls’ education, all the respondents decreased their support toward a statement saying "too much work at home for girls", which indicated that due to intensive awareness programs by BRIDGE, in reality parents try to reduce their daughters' work load at home for education.
- There are not much change observed regarding use of sticks and not useful lectures for daughters between baseline and endline. In both surveys, they did not think that these were obstacles for girls' education.


## Most Effective BRIDGE Activities was found as hiriging female teachers

- The results of the multiple regression model estimated on a set of explanatory variable using the total number of female student enrolled in the selected pilot schools as the dependent variable are presented in table 28. Three sets of numbers are reported in this table, which are estimated parameter, standard error and their asymptotic t-statistics.
- From the result of the regression analysis shown in the table it is found that finance for contracting teacher is significantly positively correlated at $10 \%$ level with the female student enrollment. It indicates that ensuring more contract teacher increase the female enrollment. Total finance for purchasing water tank and pipes, cleaning activities and health care activities are significantly positively associated with the female student enrollment at $5 \%$ level of significance. Total finance for supplying water is significantly positively associated with the female student enrollment at $1 \%$ level of significance. Finance for teacher training and purchasing school stationery is also found significantly effective for enhancing female enrollment.
- Number of teaches is highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at $1 \%$ level. Teachers are one of the most contributing factors to enhance female enrollment in the project area. Number of classrooms in 2007 is positively significantly associated with female enrollment at $5 \%$ level of significance. Among the school facilities variables, number of sport field, number of toilet for female students, number of desk and chairs for students and number of text books are highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at $1 \%$ level. Among the school activities dummy variables, constructing/repairing toilets in 2007 is highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at $1 \%$ level. Hiring sewing trainers in 2007 and Organizing school events (competition, award, fun gathering, school trip, etc.) in 2007 is found highly significantly correlated with female enrollment at $5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level respectively
- All head teachers, teachers and parents agreed that BRIDGE contributed the most to improve shortage of female teacher. Head teachers and teachers agreed that lack of fathers and mothers appreciation were highly improved due to BRIDGE project. On the contrary, parents agreed that BRIDGE contributed to improve shortage of separated classrooms and improve fathers' appreciation toward education.
- Even though head teachers and teachers perceived lack of fathers and mothers appreciation toward education are obstacles for girls’ education, it is sure that the BRIDGE project helped to raise awareness and appreciation of parents toward education.

It is evident that without the support of head teachers, teachers and parents schools could not be a place for students to enjoy their studying and their school life. School improvement requires not only improvement of school facilities and introducing school activities, but also strengthening head teachers' management capacity, improving teaching, and promoting community participation. From the findings of this report, it could conclude that BRIDGE project contributed whole school improvement as mentioned above as well as increasing enrolment of both boys and girls even though the regression analysis could not find significant relationships between female enrolment and each activity.
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## Annex: Detailed Tables on Impact Assessment

Table 1 Female Enrollment Comparison by Taiz Governorate

| District | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al Qahira | 1711 | 1611 | 1581 | 1728 | 1590 | 1541 | 1683 | 1600 | 1693 |
| Sa'leh | 1648 | 1586 | 1318 | 1345 | 1331 | 1287 | 1171 | 1068 | 1249 |
| Al Modaffer | 2386 | 2087 | 2084 | 2236 | 2160 | 1941 | 2083 | 2076 | 1879 |
| Al Taizziya | 4770 | 3555 | 3479 | 3333 | 2798 | 2453 | 1087 | 1855 | 1461 |
| Sabir <br> Almawadim | 2721 | 2064 | 2932 | 1840 | 1663 | 1369 | 1117 | 892 | 812 |
| Mashra'a | 499 | 365 | 428 | 368 | 420 | 338 | 370 | 328 | 251 |
| Mawiiya | 2730 | 1947 | 1655 | 1543 | 1229 | 885 | 647 | 431 | 275 |
| Khadeer | 1963 | 1728 | 1631 | 1572 | 1219 | 1110 | 941 | 836 | 632 |
| Same' | 981 | 698 | 756 | 761 | 629 | 560 | 373 | 342 | 270 |
| Al Selow | 1163 | 944 | 954 | 845 | 894 | 798 | 658 | 556 | 476 |
| Hayfan | 1561 | 1206 | 1186 | 1233 | 1073 | 933 | 877 | 849 | 685 |
| Al Misrakh | 1793 | 1435 | 1391 | 1330 | 1244 | 1090 | 942 | 801 | 732 |
| Jabal Habashi | 2639 | 1958 | 1950 | 1954 | 1708 | 1469 | 1201 | 1011 | 992 |
| Al Mawasit | 2320 | 1905 | 2017 | 2035 | 1936 | 1698 | 1440 | 1276 | 1346 |
| Al Ma'fir | 2432 | 1900 | 2033 | 2067 | 1627 | 1361 | 1057 | 752 | 667 |
| Al Shammaitain | 2768 | 2446 | 2426 | 2390 | 2389 | 2060 | 1505 | 1667 | 1490 |
| Maqbanah | 3120 | 2304 | 2275 | 2170 | 1587 | 1261 | 1027 | 737 | 581 |
| Al Rawnah | 2911 | 2138 | 2128 | 2178 | 1891 | 1726 | 1578 | 1410 | 1282 |
| Al Salam | 2320 | 1645 | 1833 | 2049 | 1897 | 1737 | 1685 | 1542 | 1490 |
| Al Makha | 1726 | 1506 | 1154 | 908 | 443 | 385 | 259 | 210 | 149 |
| Mawza' | 715 | 708 | 819 | 539 | 194 | 179 | 131 | 105 | 86 |
| Al Waziiya | 1035 | 683 | 642 | 604 | 295 | 248 | 216 | 125 | 96 |
| Dhubab | 429 | 370 | 322 | 229 | 144 | 113 | 63 | 53 | 39 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 6 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 7 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 6 3}$ |

Source: Taiz Governorate Education Office (2007).

Table 2 Male Enrollment Comparison by Taiz Governorate

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | District | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
|  | Al Qahira | 1671 | 1334 | 1671 | 1821 | 1759 | 1832 | 1934 | 1876 | 2145 |
|  | Sa'leh | 1736 | 1520 | 1365 | 1492 | 1445 | 1387 | 1212 | 1163 | 1479 |
|  | Al Modaffer | 2366 | 1986 | 1926 | 2040 | 1882 | 1497 | 1522 | 1289 | 1858 |
|  | Al Taizziya | 5498 | 4472 | 4419 | 4535 | 4179 | 3820 | 3331 | 2761 | 2530 |
|  | Sabir <br> Almawadim | 3049 | 2394 | 2388 | 2421 | 2290 | 2063 | 2023 | 1757 | 1761 |
|  | Mashra'a | 495 | 407 | 395 | 444 | 452 | 394 | 438 | 406 | 378 |
|  | Mawiiya | 3173 | 2510 | 2320 | 2212 | 2065 | 1774 | 1489 | 1245 | 1066 |
|  | Khadeer | 2287 | 2069 | 1967 | 1836 | 1707 | 1612 | 1383 | 1315 | 1096 |
|  | Same' | 1193 | 908 | 906 | 958 | 787 | 727 | 631 | 550 | 494 |
|  | Al Selow | 1194 | 980 | 1037 | 1006 | 920 | 880 | 808 | 682 | 704 |
|  | Hayfan | 1628 | 1285 | 1334 | 1374 | 1297 | 1220 | 1209 | 1061 | 903 |
|  | Al Misrakh | 1848 | 1552 | 1582 | 1651 | 1497 | 1431 | 1342 | 1143 | 1269 |
|  | Jabal Habashi | 2970 | 2492 | 2347 | 2480 | 2323 | 2184 | 2017 | 1737 | 1666 |
|  | Al Mawasit | 2486 | 1961 | 2164 | 2370 | 2152 | 1957 | 1875 | 1662 | 1528 |
|  | Al Ma'fir | 2605 | 2153 | 2253 | 2342 | 2079 | 1864 | 1649 | 1329 | 1057 |
| $\stackrel{l}{\square}$ | Al Shammaitain | 3079 | 2595 | 2876 | 2838 | 2652 | 2456 | 2376 | 2096 | 1698 |
|  | Maqbanah | 4512 | 3675 | 3316 | 3283 | 3040 | 2687 | 2231 | 1830 | 1543 |
|  | Al Rawnah | 3324 | 2620 | 2650 | 2681 | 2413 | 2259 | 2163 | 1824 | 1900 |
|  | Al Salam | 2573 | 2020 | 1931 | 2126 | 2062 | 1990 | 1861 | 1741 | 1614 |
|  | Al Makha | 1442 | 1243 | 962 | 922 | 632 | 558 | 425 | 381 | 310 |
|  | Mawza' | 839 | 627 | 538 | 471 | 314 | 292 | 253 | 168 | 183 |
|  | Al Waziiya | 828 | 567 | 534 | 479 | 346 | 352 | 277 | 186 | 192 |
|  | Dhubab | 390 | 322 | 270 | 236 | 140 | 119 | 67 | 65 | 75 |
|  | Total | 51186 | 41692 | 41151 | 42018 | 38433 | 35355 | 32516 | 28267 | 27449 |

Source: Taiz Governorate Education Office (2007).

Table 3 Female Enrollment Comparison by District at BRIDGE Project

| District | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Same:Baseline | 161 | 192 | 139 | 91 | 69 | 54 | 45 | 16 | 8 |
| Same:Endline | 208 | 195 | 169 | 167 | 143 | 108 | 77 | 47 | 45 |
| Mawiyah:Baseline | 226 | 238 | 162 | 97 | 77 | 49 | 36 | 21 | 13 |
| Mawyah:Endline | 309 | 289 | 270 | 217 | 168 | 131 | 84 | 60 | 41 |
| Maqbanah:Baseline | 269 | 160 | 123 | 129 | 69 | 56 | 46 | 36 | 19 |
| Maqbanah:Endline | 402 | 300 | 267 | 195 | 127 | 73 | 79 | 51 | 47 |
| Al-Waziyah:Baseline | 338 | 195 | 106 | 102 | 76 | 45 | 26 | 23 | 12 |
| Al-Waziyah:Endline | 308 | 226 | 207 | 155 | 135 | 72 | 66 | 39 | 21 |
| Al-Makha:Baseline | 339 | 337 | 80 | 62 | 39 | 47 | 18 | 9 | 6 |
| Al-Makha:Endline | 439 | 431 | 451 | 294 | 180 | 77 | 27 | 18 | 31 |
| Dhubab:Baseline | 555 | 385 | 247 | 141 | 91 | 85 | 43 | 38 | 34 |
| Dhubab:Endline | 442 | 295 | 334 | 250 | 195 | 148 | 85 | 61 | 51 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey

Table 4 Male Enrollment Comparison by District at BRIDGE Project

| District | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Same:Baseline | 218 | 166 | 171 | 177 | 123 | 126 | 128 | 79 | 63 |
| Same:Endline | 224 | 224 | 197 | 192 | 155 | 155 | 96 | 82 | 107 |
| Mawiyah:Baseline | 328 | 246 | 236 | 255 | 224 | 195 | 137 | 120 | 170 |
| Mawyah:Endline | 362 | 337 | 305 | 249 | 230 | 180 | 211 | 208 | 178 |
| Maqbanah:Baseline | 429 | 350 | 257 | 253 | 234 | 158 | 132 | 94 | 78 |
| Maqbanah:Endline | 715 | 491 | 512 | 371 | 263 | 225 | 220 | 180 | 125 |
| Al-Waziyah:Baseline | 194 | 169 | 114 | 240 | 73 | 46 | 62 | 39 | 40 |
| Al-Waziyah:Endline | 298 | 165 | 176 | 149 | 103 | 100 | 104 | 79 | 38 |
| Al-Makha:Baseline | 284 | 341 | 247 | 250 | 146 | 111 | 96 | 65 | 57 |
| Al-Makha:Endline | 454 | 412 | 372 | 296 | 213 | 126 | 129 | 79 | 65 |
| Dhubab:Baseline | 417 | 327 | 236 | 166 | 116 | 113 | 84 | 60 | 72 |
| Dhubab:Endline | 391 | 343 | 294 | 262 | 182 | 123 | 111 | 39 | 61 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 5 Female Enrollment by School at Endline


|  | Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Al-Zahra | 75 | 65 | 57 | 37 | 37 | 19 | 22 | 17 | 21 | 350 |
|  |  | Al-Methaq | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Faqeed Ahmed Saif | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Fawz | 58 | 64 | 63 | 39 | 45 | 23 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 312 |
|  |  | Al-Najah | 29 | 20 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 83 |
|  |  | Al-Farouq | 17 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 80 |
|  |  | Ghail Bin Ali | 33 | 12 | 14 | 13 |  | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
|  |  | Al-Wehdah | 49 | 29 | 27 | 20 | 22 | 10 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 183 |
|  |  | Al-Shaheed Ali Saif | 47 | 26 | 23 | 18 | 17 | 7 | 6 |  | 0 | 144 |
|  |  | Total | 308 | 226 | 207 | 155 | 135 | 72 | 66 | 39 | 21 | 1229 |
|  |  | Al-Eshaa'a | 63 | 66 | 97 | 73 | 47 | 16 | 8 |  | 13 | 383 |
|  |  | Al-Shaheed Al-Zoubair | 37 | 53 | 51 | 22 | 18 | 27 | 12 | 6 | 15 | 241 |
|  |  | Aasem Bin Thabet | 15 | 19 | 52 | 76 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 215 |
|  |  | Jaber Bin Abdullah | 25 | 60 | 72 | 60 | 27 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 258 |
|  |  | Al-Ershad | 64 | 72 | 49 | 24 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
|  |  | Saeed Bin Joubair | 58 | 22 | 7 | 7 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  | Al-Makha | 7-Jul | 23 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
|  |  | Al-Nassr | 47 | 31 | 24 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| $b$ |  | Al-Fath | 22 | 36 | 17 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |
|  |  | Al-Wehdah | 23 | 19 | 25 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |
| N |  | Al-Homrah | 32 | 25 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 |
|  |  | Al-Faraj | 30 | 17 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
|  |  | Total | 439 | 431 | 451 | 294 | 180 | 77 | 27 | 18 | 31 | 1948 |
|  |  | Al-Shaab | 56 | 41 | 53 | 43 | 42 | 51 | 32 | 28 | 33 | 379 |
|  |  | Bab Al-Mandab | 44 | 34 | 26 | 28 | 18 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 8 | 198 |
|  |  | Al-Amal | 44 | 15 | 25 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 176 |
|  |  | Saad Bin Obadah | 29 | 16 | 17 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 108 |
|  |  | Al-Sahwah | 47 | 25 | 34 | 36 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 181 |
|  |  | Al-Fath | 40 | 50 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 10 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 212 |
|  |  | Al-Doush | 52 | 34 | 17 | 17 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
|  | Dhubab | Kab Bin Malek | 21 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 8 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 85 |
|  |  | Jazeerat Meyoun | 8 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
|  |  | Omar Bin Abdul Azez | 39 | 18 | 24 | 22 | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 114 |
|  |  | Al-Demouh | 20 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
|  |  | Al-Wehdah | 13 | 18 | 15 |  | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
|  |  | Al-Yaqadah | 29 | 10 | 46 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 |
|  |  | Total | 442 | 295 | 334 | 250 | 195 | 148 | 85 | 61 | 51 | 1861 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 6 Male Enrollment by School at Endline


|  | Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Al-Zahra | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Methaq | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 38 |
|  |  | Al-Faqeed Ahmed Saif | 94 | 34 | 44 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Fawz | 34 | 21 | 34 | 22 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 13 | 0 |
|  | iuah | Al-Najah | 33 | 23 | 11 | 12 |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | , | Al-Farouq | 18 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | Ghail Bin Ali | 33 | 24 | 21 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Wehdah | 52 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 15 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Shaheed Ali Saif | 34 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 298 | 165 | 176 | 149 | 103 | 100 | 104 | 79 | 38 |
|  |  | Al-Eshaa'a | 114 | 50 | 66 | 42 | 26 | 28 | 43 | 35 | 32 |
|  |  | Al-Shaheed Al-Zoubair | 56 | 79 | 46 | 50 | 51 | 26 | 35 | 24 | 28 |
|  |  | Aasem Bin Thabet | 27 | 36 | 29 | 45 | 40 | 21 | 19 | 8 | 5 |
|  |  | Jaber Bin Abdullah | 48 | 40 | 41 | 31 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 12 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Ershad | 33 | 58 | 43 | 28 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Saeed Bin Joubair | 46 | 19 | 17 | 19 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Al-Makha | 7-Jul | 22 | 18 | 14 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Nassr | 21 | 27 | 34 | 12 | 21 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| $b$ |  | Al-Fath | 19 | 17 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U |  | Al-Wehdah | 15 | 19 | 7 | 14 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - |  | Al-Homrah | 36 | 33 | 40 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Faraj | 17 | 16 | 20 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 454 | 412 | 372 | 296 | 213 | 126 | 129 | 79 | 65 |
|  |  | Al-Shaab | 66 | 58 | 45 | 46 | 32 | 32 | 30 | 17 | 33 |
|  |  | Bab Al-Mandab | 43 | 26 | 19 | 24 | 19 | 18 | 30 |  | 12 |
|  |  | Al-Amal | 51 | 39 | 24 | 27 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 11 |
|  |  | Saad Bin Obadah | 18 | 18 | 21 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 3 |
|  |  | Al-Sahwah | 37 | 33 | 21 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | Al-Fath | 45 | 45 | 45 | 37 | 38 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Doush | 23 | 25 | 25 | 21 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Kab Bin Malek | 19 | 13 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Jazeerat Meyoun | 10 | 8 | 8 | 11 | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Omar Bin Abdul Azez | 25 | 31 | 29 | 27 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Demouh | 25 | 21 | 20 | 17 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Wehdah | 10 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Al-Yaqadah | 19 | 14 | 19 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 391 | 343 | 294 | 262 | 182 | 123 | 111 | 39 | 61 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 7 Female Enrollment by School at Baseline

| Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same | Al-Nagda | 79 | 95 | 76 | 55 | 51 | 25 | 36 | 11 | 6 |
|  | Al-Eman | 26 | 34 | 23 | 14 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 5 |  |
|  | Al-Fowrkan | 34 | 41 | 13 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 4 |  | 2 |
|  | Saba-Youlyou | 15 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Sayd | 7 | 9 | 19 | 6 | 5 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Mawiyah | Al-Shahead Al-Bahr-Kamahera | 41 | 28 | 26 | 19 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 6 |
|  | O'asead Bin Hoadir- Kamahera | 32 | 44 | 25 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Moath Bin Gabal-Kamahera | 10 | 13 | 11 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Hamza-Kamahera | 9 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Farowk-Akharak | 26 | 13 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |
|  | Al-Tawhead-Akharak | 58 | 26 | 27 | 21 | 23 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Awman -Baha Al-Dean | 31 | 39 | 22 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
|  | Omar Al-Mokhtar-Al-Awman | 9 | 43 | 11 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |
|  | Al-Awman-Al-Shahead Al-Thoulaih | 10 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Maqbana | Al-Shahead Alokia - Al-Akhooz | 11 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 2 |  |  |
|  | Al-Tawhead-Al-Akhooz | 13 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Magd- Al-Akhooz | 12 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Abdullah Bin Rawaha-Al-Akhooz | 6 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Gabiri-Al-Akhooz | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Habaiba-Al-Wahda / Al-Masna | 85 | 52 | 52 | 40 | 30 | 25 | 29 | 18 | 15 |
|  | Al-Salah-Al-Habaiba | 136 | 64 | 58 | 58 | 26 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 4 |
|  | Al-Esha'a-Al-Habaiba | 4 | 5 |  | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Al Waziyah | Al-Fawz-Al-Daraifa | 164 | 99 | 58 | 55 | 53 | 25 | 26 | 16 | 12 |
|  | Al-Nagah-Al-Daraifa | 40 | 20 | 21 | 11 | 12 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Farwak-Al-Daraifa | 39 | 15 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 5 |  | 7 |  |
|  | Gail Bani Ali-Al-Daraifa | 41 | 23 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Wahda-Al-Daraifa | 54 | 38 | 11 | 18 | 7 | 6 |  |  |  |


|  | Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AL-Gomah-Al-Esha'a | 65 | 56 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 6 | 4 |
|  |  | Al-Shahead Al-Zoubair-AL-Gomah | 59 | 40 | 36 | 35 | 15 | 21 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | Aseam Bin Thabeet-AL-Gomah | 95 | 64 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Gabair Bin Abdullah- AL-Gomah | 53 | 57 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Ershad-AL-Gomah | 22 | 23 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saed Bin Gobair-AL-Gomah | 6 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saba Youleou-AL-Gomah | 24 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Nasr-AL-Gomah | 10 | 12 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Fath-AL-Gomah | 1 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AL-Gomah-Al-Wahda | 4 | 13 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bany Al-Hakam-Al-Shab | 55 | 36 | 70 | 28 | 30 | 32 | 24 | 20 | 18 |
|  |  | Bab Al-Mandab-Bany Al-Hakam | 62 | 29 | 52 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
|  |  | Al-Amal-Bany Al-Hakam | 50 | 42 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 8 | 2 |  | 2 |
|  |  | Sud Bin Obada-Bany Al-Hakam | 48 | 17 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 4 |
|  |  | Al-Sahwa-Bany Al-Hakam | 20 | 37 | 21 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Al-Fath-Bany Al-Hakam | 60 | 40 | 22 | 21 | 7 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | Dhubab | Al-Dawsh-Bany Al-Hakam | 30 | 25 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | Dhubab | Kub Bin Malek-Bany Al-Hakam | 18 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |
| $b$ |  | Gazerat Mayown-Bany Al-Hakam | 20 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Amr Bin Abdualaziz-Bany Al-Hakam | 53 | 14 | 14 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| の |  | Al-Twomoh-Bany Al-Hakam | 84 | 25 | 14 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Wahdah-Bany Al-Hakam | 18 | 20 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Yakadah-Bany Al-Hakam | 37 | 69 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1888 | 1507 | 857 | 622 | 421 | 336 | 214 | 143 | 92 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 8 Male Enrollment by School at Baseline

| Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Same | Al-Nagda | 90 | 80 | 64 | 88 | 57 | 67 | 84 | 39 | 41 |
|  | Al-Eman | 51 | 23 | 39 | 33 | 29 | 19 | 19 | 17 |  |
|  | Al-Fowrkan | 42 | 32 | 41 | 39 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 23 | 22 |
|  | Saba-Youlyou | 15 | 20 | 11 | 10 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Sayd | 20 | 11 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Mawiyah | Al-Shahead Al-Bahr-Kamahera | 66 | 33 | 30 | 52 | 53 | 43 | 76 | 60 | 112 |
|  | O'asead Bin Hoadir- Kamahera | 22 | 32 | 22 | 20 | 28 | 18 |  |  |  |
|  | Moath Bin Gabal-Kamahera | 17 | 14 | 7 | 16 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Hamza-Kamahera | 10 | 28 | 26 | 17 | 11 | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Farowk-Akharak | 71 | 37 | 37 | 43 | 32 | 31 | 18 | 27 | 30 |
|  | Al-Tawhead-Akharak | 71 | 42 | 34 | 55 | 38 | 28 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Awman -Baha Al-Dean | 41 | 30 | 46 | 29 | 39 | 26 | 34 | 29 | 28 |
|  | Omar Al-Mokhtar-Al-Awman | 5 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 4 |  |
|  | Al-Awman-Al-Shahead Al-Thoulaih | 25 | 17 | 23 | 8 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Maqbana | Al-Shahead Alokia - Al-Akhooz | 42 | 31 | 21 | 21 | 27 | 17 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Al-Tawhead-Al-Akhooz | 37 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 18 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Magd- Al-Akhooz | 47 | 40 | 26 | 25 | 25 | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | Abdullah Bin Rawaha-Al-Akhooz | 20 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Gabiri-Al-Akhooz | 20 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Habaiba-Al-Wahda / Al-Masna | 100 | 100 | 75 | 84 | 66 | 45 | 49 | 34 | 21 |
|  | Al-Salah-Al-Habaiba | 148 | 105 | 79 | 86 | 80 | 55 | 66 | 53 | 47 |
|  | Al-Esha'a-Al-Habaiba | 15 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Al Waziyah | Al-Methak-Al-Daraifa |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 35 | 40 |
|  | Al-Fakead Ahmed Saif-Al-Daraifa | 70 | 62 | 43 | 166 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Fawz-Al-Daraifa | 40 | 31 | 25 | 25 | 26 | 15 | 17 |  |  |
|  | Al-Nagah-Al-Daraifa | 15 | 14 | 12 | 6 | 13 | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | Al-Farwak-Al-Daraifa | 17 | 23 | 10 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 4 |  |


|  | Id District | Id School | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Gail Bani Ali-Al-Daraifa | 19 | 17 | 12 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Wahda-Al-Daraifa | 33 | 22 | 12 | 20 | 21 | 15 |  |  |  |
|  |  | AL-Gomah-Al-Esha'a | 37 | 49 | 51 | 46 | 53 | 33 | 47 | 26 | 24 |
|  |  | Al-Shahead Al-Zoubair-AL-Gomah | 54 | 68 | 61 | 86 | 50 | 40 | 35 | 26 | 26 |
|  | k | Aseam Bin Thabeet-AL-Gomah | 57 | 60 | 34 | 32 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 13 | 7 |
|  | kha | Gabair Bin Abdullah- AL-Gomah | 44 | 27 | 27 | 31 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Ershad-AL-Gomah | 17 | 21 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saed Bin Gobair-AL-Gomah | 23 | 13 | 12 | 5 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Saba Youleou-AL-Gomah | 23 | 44 | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Nasr-AL-Gomah | 17 | 34 | 23 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Fath-AL-Gomah | 6 | 8 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | AL-Gomah-Al-Wahda | 6 | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Bany Al-Hakam-Al-Shab | 50 | 54 | 71 | 32 | 32 | 44 | 31 | 24 | 30 |
|  |  | Bab Al-Mandab-Bany Al-Hakam | 18 | 36 | 21 | 27 | 12 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 16 |
|  |  | Al-Amal-Bany Al-Hakam | 40 | 33 | 27 | 24 | 15 | 8 | 23 | 14 | 10 |
|  |  | Sud Bin Obada-Bany Al-Hakam | 32 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
|  |  | Al-Sahwa-Bany Al-Hakam | 25 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
|  | Dhubab | Al-Fath-Bany Al-Hakam | 44 | 59 | 25 | 18 | 15 | 11 |  |  |  |
| $b$ | Dhubab | Al-Dawsh-Bany Al-Hakam | 26 | 24 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Kub Bin Malek-Bany Al-Hakam | 14 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 7 |  |  |  |
| $\infty$ |  | Gazerat Mayown-Bany Al-Hakam | 13 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Amr Bin Abdualaziz-Bany Al-Hakam | 27 | 11 | 13 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Twomoh-Bany Al-Hakam | 80 | 26 | 22 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Wahdah-Bany Al-Hakam | 22 | 25 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Al-Yakadah-Bany Al-Hakam | 26 | 25 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 1870 | 1599 | 1261 | 1341 | 916 | 749 | 639 | 457 | 480 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 9 Changed Made by BRIDGE Project by Offering New Grades

| District(\# of total School) | Grade 1 |  | Grade 2 |  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 9 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Same 2004(5) | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Same } \\ & 2007(6) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mawiyah 2004(9) | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mawiyah 2007 (9) | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Makbanah } \\ & \text { 2004(10) } \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Makbanah } \\ & \text { 2007(10) } \end{aligned}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Al Waziya 2004(9) | 9 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Al Waziya } \\ & \text { 2007(9) } \end{aligned}$ | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Al Makha 2004(12) | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Al Makha } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dubab } \\ & 2004(13) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Dubab 2007 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 10 Head Teachers Information about the condition of School Facilities and Equipments

| School Facilities |  | Condition of School Facilities and Equipment (Percentage) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Very Bad |  | Bad |  | Fair |  | Good |  | Very Good |  | Missing value |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=54)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 6 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Classrooms | 22.2 | 1.6 | 20.3 | 6.7 | 18.5 | 15.3 | 24.0 | 49.1 | 12.9 | 27.1 | 2 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Classrooms for female students | 3.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 10.1 | 1.8 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 18.6 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 87 | 57.6 | 100 | 100 |
|  | School library | 1.8 | 5.0 | n.a. | 10.1 | n.a. | 1.6 | n.a. | 5.0 | 1.8 | n.a. | 96.2 | 77.9 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Books in the library | 1.8 | 6.7 | n.a. | 8.4 | n.a. | 1.6 | n.a. | 5.0 | n.a. | n.a. | 98.1 | 77.9 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Laboratory | 1.8 | 3.3 | n.a. | 6.7 | n.a. | n.a. | 1.8 | 1.6 | n.a. | 1.6 | 96.2 | 86.4 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Teachers room | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 10.1 | 3.7 | 18.6 | 3.7 | 6.7 | 81.4 | 54.2 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Sport field | 1.8 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 13.5 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 9.2 | 11.8 | n.a. | 5.0 | 79.6 | 50.8 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Toilet for male students | 5.5 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 6.7 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 7.4 | 23.7 | 1.8 | 15.2 | 74 | 38.9 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Toilet for female students | 1.8 | 3.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 3.7 | 11.8 | 3.7 | 28.8 | n.a. | 20.3 | 88.8 | 33.8 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Drinking water | 1.8 | 3.3 | n.a. | 1.6 | n.a. | 15.2 | 1.8 | 20.3 | 1.8 | 27.1 | 94.4 | 32.2 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Electricity | 1.8 | 10.1 | n.a. | 3.3 | n.a. | n.a. | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 8.4 | 94.4 | 76.2 | 100 | 100 |
|  | School fence | 3.7 | 6.7 | 1.8 | 3.3 | n.a. | 3.3 | 3.7 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 30.5 | 87 | 42.3 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Desks and chairs for students | 9.2 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 3.3 | 24.0 | 22.0 | 20.3 | 27.1 | 9.2 | 25.4 | 27.7 | 18.6 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Blackboards | 14.8 | n.a. | 24.0 | 1.6 | 20.3 | 25.4 | 18.5 | 52.5 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 14.8 | 8.4 | 100 | 100 |
|  | Text books |  | 3.3 | 3.7 | 11.8 | 11.1 | 30.5 | 16.6 | 22.0 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 62.9 | 27.1 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 11 Head Teacher's Role about School Management

| Initiatives(View) of Head Teacher | None |  | Seldom |  | Some time |  | Often |  | Always |  | Missing Value |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=53)$ | End line $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Baseline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=53) \end{aligned}$ | End line $(\mathrm{n}=59)$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=53)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=53) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=53) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=53) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & \text { (n=59) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=53)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=59) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| a) I set up an annual goal of school management and share it with school members. | n.a. | 1.69 | 5.66 | 13.56 | 5.66 | 23.73 | 16.98 | 18.64 | 71.70 | 40.68 | 0 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| b) When problems occur in school, I discuss with teachers about the causes and indicate solutions. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 7.55 | 11.86 | 18.87 | 13.56 | 73.58 | 72.88 | 0 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| c) I talk with teachers who do not come to school everyday to improve their attendance. | 1.89 | п.a. | 1.89 | n.a. | 1.89 | 1.69 | 7.55 | 1.69 | 86.79 | 93.22 | 0 | 3.3 | 100 | 100 |
| d) I ask school members to clean up school facilities when school got dirty. | n.a. | 1.69 | n.a. | n.a. | 7.55 | 8.47 | 15.09 | 15.25 | 75.47 | 71.19 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 100 | 100 |
| e) I look for better school teachers. | n.a. | 8.47 | 1.89 | 3.39 | 1.89 | 16.95 | 18.87 | 11.86 | 77.36 | 57.63 | 0 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| f) I encourage teachers to be involved in school improvement. (In Arabic Translation: "I encourage teachers to improve their level.") | n.a. | 1.69 | n.a. | 3.39 | 5.66 | 10.17 | 20.75 | 13.56 | 71.70 | 69.49 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| g) I discuss on school improvement with school inspectors. | n.a. | n.a. | 1.89 | 5.08 | 3.77 | 18.64 | 26.42 | 22.03 | 67.92 | 50.85 | 0 | 3.3 | 100 | 100 |
| h) I listen to parents on what kind of requests parents have on school education. | 3.77 | 1.69 | 1.89 | n.a. | 7.55 | 13.56 | 15.09 | 28.81 | 69.81 | 54.24 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| i) I discuss with a community leader on how to make the community's participation in school education. | n.a. | 5.08 | 9.43 | 11.86 | 15.09 | 22.03 | 24.53 | 18.64 | 50.94 | 38.98 | 0 | 3.3 | 100 | 100 |
| j) I request to District Education Office (DEO) to increase the number of female teachers | 9.43 | 13.56 | 3.77 | 6.78 | 5.66 | 6.78 | 11.32 | 10.17 | 67.92 | 61.02 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| k) I request to DEO to increase school expenditure to improve school environment. | 16.98 | 32.20 | 3.77 | 5.08 | 16.98 | 8.47 | 16.98 | 18.64 | 45.28 | 33.90 | 0 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |
| l) I share ideas and experiences on school management with other school Head Teachers. | 7.55 | 1.69 | 9.43 | 15.25 | 22.64 | 28.81 | 26.42 | 13.56 | 33.96 | 38.98 | 0 | 1.6 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 12 Parent's Information about Education Care at Home

|  | None |  | Seldom |  | Some time |  | Often |  | Always | Missing |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=540)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ |
| How often do your family members (parents and/or brothers and sisters) help children to do their homework at home? | 45.00 | 24.5 | 2.59 | 13.4 | 19.63 | 22.8 | 8.70 | 13.5 | 20.56 | 25.2 | 3.5 | . 5 | 100 | 100 |
| How often do you participate in school meetings? | 55.37 | 18.8 | 3.33 | 12.4 | 12.41 | 18.4 | 8.89 | 18.6 | 16.30 | 30.6 | 3.7 | 1.2 | 100 | 100 |
| How often do you talk with your children's teachers regarding their education and school? | 38.89 | 14.0 | 5.00 | 11.7 | 17.22 | 20.6 | 8.33 | 24.2 | 26.85 | 29.3 | 3.7 | . 2 | 100 | 100 |
| My children's teachers come to listen to me about my request to school. | 39.07 | 14.2 | 6.48 | 4.7 | 11.11 | 8.6 | 3.70 | 25.5 | 35.93 | 46.4 | 3.7 | . 5 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 13 Parent's Perception Regarding School

|  | Fully Disagree |  | Sometime Disagree |  | Don't Know |  | Somehow Agree |  | Fully Agree |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=540)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ |
| I respect my children's school teachers. | 0.37 | n.a. | 6.67 | 0.51 | 78.89 | 0.85 | 6.11 | 7.28 | 4.44 | 91.37 | 3.5 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| I am happy with school buildings. |  | 2.0 | 17.59 | 3.74 | 26.67 | 0.17 | 2.04 | 17.86 | 50.37 | 76.19 | 3.3 | 0.5 | 100 | 100 |
| I have problems with teachers’ using sticks to my children. | 0.19 | 59.22 | 22.96 | 9.90 | 7.41 | 1.19 | 4.63 | 13.82 | 60.93 | 15.87 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 100 | 100 |
| I am willing to help school. | 0.56 | 0.17 | 14.81 | 0.17 | 67.04 | 0.85 | 3.33 | 20.34 | 10.00 | 78.46 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 100 | 100 |
| Schools should cooperate with communities and parents to improve school education and its management. | 0.19 | 0.509 | 7.04 | 0.34 | 79.81 | 0.34 | 5.37 | 6.112 | 3.89 | 92.7 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 100 | 100 |
| School at my community is for ourselves. | 0.74 |  | 8.15 | 1.188 | 81.48 | 0.509 | 1.30 | 6.621 | 3.89 | 91.68 | 4.4 | . 3 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

| Considered Matters | Fully Disagree |  | Somehow Disagree |  | Don't know |  | Somehow Agree |  | Fully Agree |  | Missing Value |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline | Baseline | Endline |
| Lack of female teacher | 1.89 | 20.34 | 3.77 | 6.78 | n.a. | n.a. | 13.21 | 28.81 | 81.13 | 38.98 | 0 | 5.1 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls | 1.89 | 18.64 | 5.66 | 15.25 | n.a. | 3.39 | 3.77 | 22.03 | 88.68 | 40.68 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls' education | 15.09 | 6.78 | 15.09 | 3.39 | 1.89 | 3.39 | 18.87 | 35.59 | 47.17 | 50.85 | 1.8 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of mothers’ appreciation for girls' education | 13.21 | 15.25 | 16.98 | 5.08 | 1.89 | n.a. | 16.98 | 37.29 | 49.06 | 40.68 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Difficulty in transportation | 13.21 | 27.12 | 9.43 | 16.95 | 1.89 | 3.39 | 24.53 | 28.81 | 49.06 | 22.03 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of school fence | 11.32 | 40.68 | 5.66 | 15.25 | n.a. | n.a. | 11.32 | 25.42 | 67.92 | 16.95 | 3.8 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 5.66 | 23.73 | 3.77 | 10.17 | n.a. | 1.69 | 3.77 | 37.29 | 84.91 | 25.42 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Too much work at home for girls (water gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | 5.66 | 11.86 | 5.66 | 11.86 | n.a. | n.a. | 11.32 | 32.20 | 75.47 | 42.37 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Early marriage | 5.66 | 10.17 | 7.55 | 15.25 | 1.89 | n.a. | 16.98 | 33.90 | 66.04 | 38.98 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Parents cannot afford girls' education economically | 5.66 | 11.86 | 1.89 | 18.64 |  | 3.39 | 7.55 | 33.90 | 83.02 | 28.81 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 100 | 100 |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | 26.42 |  | 32.08 |  | 3.77 | n.a. | 20.75 |  | 15.09 |  | 1.9 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | 37.74 | 42.37 | 32.08 | 25.42 | n.a. | n.a. | 15.09 | 23.73 | 13.21 | 8.47 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| No work after graduation | 1.89 | 13.56 | 5.66 | 15.25 | n.a. | 8.47 | 7.55 | 30.51 | 83.02 | 32.20 | 1.9 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of textbooks | n.a. | 45.76 | n.a. | 20.34 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 27.12 | n.a. | 6.78 |  | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| The school is so far from the house | 20.75 | 33.90 | 9.43 | 22.03 |  | 1.69 | 22.64 | 25.42 | 43.40 | 16.95 | 3.8 | 0 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 15 Head Teacher's Perception Regarding Girls' Education


Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 16 Teacher's Reasons for Females not to Come to School

|  | Fully Disagree |  | Somehow Disagree |  | Don't know |  | Somehow Agree |  | Fully Agree |  | Missing Value |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Baseline } \\ (\mathrm{n}=200) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=287) \end{aligned}$ |
| a) Lack of female teacher | 6.0 | 27.27 | 7.0 | 13.99 | . 5 | 1.75 | 10.5 | 21.33 | 75.5 | 35.66 | . 5 | . 3 | 100 | 100 |
| b) Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls | 4.0 | 18.8 | 6.5 | 13.9 | n.a. | 2.4 | 11.0. | 23.3 | 78.5 | 39.0 | 0 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| c) Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls’ education | 8.0 | 10.1 | 10.5 | 7.19 | 6.5 | 2.4 | 23.5 | 28.42 | 50.5 | 49.8 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 100 | 100 |
| d) Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls’ education | 10.0 | 11.43 | 12.0 | 9.64 | 6.5 | 3.21 | 27.5 | 33.57 | 43.0 | 42.14 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| e) Difficulty in transportation | 18.5 | 26.52 | 8.0 | 15.41 | 1.0 | 4.66 | 16.5 | 18.28 | 55.5 | 35.13 | . 5 | 2.8 | 100 | 100 |
| f) Lack of school fence | 15.0 | 50.72 | 10.5 | 16.19 | 1.5 | 3.24 | 8.5 | 15.83 | 63.0 | 14.03 | 1.5 | 3.1 | 100 | 100 |
| g) Lack of decent toilets for girls | 6.0 | 25.4 | 6.5 | 15.00 | 1.0 | 2.14 | 7.5 | 22.14 | 79.0 | 33.8 | 0 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| h) Too much work at home for girls (water gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | 8.5 | 14.3 | 9.0 | 15.36 | 7.5 | 5.00 | 15.5 | 23.21 | 58.0 | 41.79 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| i) Early marriage | 6.5 | 15.30 | 12.0 | 8.51 | 3.0 | 3.55 | 16.0 | 22.70 | 62.0 | 49.65 | . 5 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| j) Parents cannot afford girls' education economically | 8.0 | 10.43 | 3.0 | 11.15 | . 5 | 5.40 | 15.5 | 35.61 | 72.0 | 37.41 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 100 | 100 |
| k) Not useful lectures for daughters | 26.5 | 51.62 | 27.5 | 15.16 | 5.5 | 6.14 | 17.0 | 13.72 | 21.0 | 13.36 | 2.5 | 3.5 | 100 | 100 |
| l) Teachers' use of stick at school | 41.5 | 45.68 | 22.5 | 20.50 | 2.5 | 4.68 | 12.0 | 17.27 | 19.0 | 11.87 | 2.5 | 3.1 | 100 | 100 |
| m) No work after graduation | 5.0 | 19.22 | 8.0 | 12.10 | 1.5 | 3.20 | 12.5 | 28.47 | 70.5 | 37.01 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 100 | 100 |
| n) Lack of textbooks | 26.5 | 34.41 | 15.0 | 25.81 | n.a. | 2.51 | 23.0 | 20.43 | 34.5 | 16.85 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 100 | 100 |
| o) The school is so far from the house | 17.5 | 31.58 | 11.0 | 17.19 | 3.5 | 2.11 | 20.0 | 19.30 | 46.0 | 29.82 | 2.0 | . 7 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 17 Parent's Reasons for Females not to Come to School

| The reasons | Fully Disagree |  | Sometime Disagree |  | Don't Know |  | Somehow Agree |  | Fully Agree |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=540)$ | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ | Baseline | Endline $(\mathrm{n}=591)$ |
| Lack of female teacher | 4.63 | 26.6 | 0.56 | 5.1 | 0.74 | . 2 | 2.22 | 11.7 | 91.67 | 53.6 | . 2 | 2.9 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of separated classrooms for boys and girls | 4.81 | 26.2 | 0.93 | 4.4 | 0.56 | . 2 | 1.85 | 15.2 | 91.85 | 51.3 | 0 | 2.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of fathers' appreciation for girls’ education | 24.26 | 33.5 | 7.59 | 4.1 | 0.37 | . 3 | 8.33 | 15.9 | 59.26 | 44.0 | . 2 | 2.2 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of mothers' appreciation for girls’ education | 24.63 | 32.7 | 6.85 | 5.1 | 0.56 | 1.2 | 8.70 | 23.0 | 59.26 | 35.7 | 0 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| Difficulty in transportation | 15.37 | 28.9 | 9.81 | 8.5 | 0.56 | 2.4 | 7.96 | 16.8 | 65.74 | 39.6 | . 6 | 3.9 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of school fence | 15.56 | 45.5 | 9.26 | 8.0 | 0.37 | 2.4 | 9.07 | 15.4 | 65.74 | 26.1 | 0 | 2.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of decent toilets for girls | 13.70 | 25.7 | 6.85 | 4.6 | 0.93 | . 5 | 7.59 | 12.7 | 70.93 | 53.3 | 0 | 3.2 | 100 | 100 |
| Too much work at home for girls (water gathering, caring for siblings, etc.) | 17.78 | 29.9 | 9.07 | 12.0 | 0.37 | 3.4 | 16.48 | 18.0 | 56.11 | 35.2 | . 2 | 1.9 | 100 | 100 |
| Early marriage | 14.26 | 15.9 | 7.59 | 6.6 | 1.85 | 9.8 | 13.52 | 17.4 | 62.59 | 48.6 | . 2 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |
| Parents cannot afford girls' education economically | 8.33 | 14.4 | 1.30 | 5.6 | 0.37 | 2.4 | 3.15 | 22.5 | 85.74 | 53.0 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 100 | 100 |
| Not useful lectures for daughters | 32.59 | 43.0 | 13.89 | 10.0 | 27.96 | 4.9 | 10.56 | 17.6 | 14.44 | 19.0 | . 6 | 5.6 | 100 | 100 |
| Teachers' use of stick at school | 33.70 | 54.3 | 25.56 | 12.4 | 4.07 | 1.7 | 20.37 | 14.2 | 15.19 | 13.9 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 100 | 100 |
| No work after graduation | 15.19 | 34.9 | 2.41 | 8.1 | 3.89 | 3.7 | 5.74 | 17.4 | 72.41 | 31.8 | . 4 | 4.1 | 100 | 100 |
| Lack of textbooks |  | 40.9 |  | 10.8 |  | 5.9 |  | 14.9 |  | 24.0 |  | 3.4 | 100 | 100 |
| The school is so far from the house | 17.59 | 25.2 | 6.67 | 13.9 | 0.19 | 1.379 | 8.15 | 15.86 | 66.67 | 42.1 | . 7 | 1.9 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

Table 18
Parent's Perception Regarding Basic Rights

|  | Fully Disagree |  | Sometime Disagree |  | Don't Know |  | Somehow Agree |  | Fully Agree |  | Missing |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The sentences | Baseline $(\mathrm{n}=540)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Baseline | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Endline } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=591) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Male and female have an equal right to receive education. | 3.52 | 0.68 | 1.11 | 0.34 | 0.19 | n.a. | 1.85 | 5.10 | 93.33 | 93.88 | 0 | . 5 | 100 | 100 |
| If having son and daughter, I prefer my son going to school to my daughter. | 77.41 | 88.01 | 6.67 | 2.23 | 0.19 | n.a. | 2.78 | 4.62 | 12.78 | 5.14 | . 4 | 1.2 | 100 | 100 |
| If having son and daughter, I do my best to make both of my son and daughter to go to school. | 1.11 | 3.24 | 1.11 | 0.68 |  | n.a. | 5.00 | 5.80 | 92.41 | 90.27 | . 2 | . 8 | 100 | 100 |
| Females should stay home if get married. | 44.63 | 52.14 | 18.52 | 8.72 | 2.96 | 18.12 | 16.48 | 11.11 | 16.85 | 9.8 | . 6 | 1.0 | 100 | 100 |
| Basic skills of reading and writing are enough for girls' education. | 63.15 | 76.36 | 15.93 | 9.86 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 4.26 | 6.80 | 15.00 | 6.46 | 1.3 | . 5 | 100 | 100 |
| I support an idea that females have professional careers in a society. | 8.70 | 5.40 | 5.74 | 1.4 | 0.93 | 1.71 | 7.04 | 14.6 | 76.30 | 75.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 100 | 100 |
| Having more children is happiness to females. | 10.00 | 30.8 | 8.33 | 13.2 | 4.26 | 8.1 | 17.96 | 16.6 | 58.52 | 28.9 | . 9 | 2.4 | 100 | 100 |
| I believe that community participation is necessary to improve school education. | 2.78 | 1.70 | 0.56 | 0.2 | 3.89 | n.a. | 9.07 | 4.7 | 82.59 | 91.7 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 100 | 100 |

Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.
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## Appendix 10: List of Submitted Reports

| Year | Title of Report | Timing of Submission |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase 1 <br> in the <br> First <br> Year | Inception Report | Approximately one month after starting the project (June-July 2005) |
|  | Project Progress Report (1) (Draft) | At the end of Phase 1 in the first year (October 26, 2005) |
| Phase 2 in the First Year | Baseline Survey Report | Approximately 6 months after starting the project (November 2005) |
|  | Project Progress Report (1) | Approximately 6 months after starting the project (November 2005) |
|  | Project Progress Report (2) | Approximately 12 months after starting the project (May 2006) |
|  | Pilot Activity Progress Report (1) | Approximately 12 months after starting the project (May 2006) |
| Second Year | Project Progress Report (3) | Approximately 18 months after starting the project (November 2006) |
|  | Project Progress Report (4) | Approximately 24 months after starting of the project (March 2007) |
| Third Year | Project Progress Report (5) | Approximately 36 months after starting of the project (September 2008) |
|  | Girls' Education Promotion Guideline in Taiz Governorate (Draft) | Approximately 24 months after starting of the project (August 2007) |
|  | Project Progress Report (6) | Approximately 36 months after starting of the project (March 2008) |
| Fourth Year | Endline Survey Report | Approximately 38 months after starting of the project (September 2008) |
|  | Guidelines for the Whole School Improvement Initiative with a special focus on Increasing Girls’ Attendance in Rural Basic Education Schools in Taiz Governorate (Final) | Approximately 42 months after starting of the project (November 2008) |
|  | Project Completion Report | At the end of the fourth year contract (November 2008) |
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## Appendix 11: List of BRIDGE Awareness Materials

|  | Materials |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | BRIDGE Newsletter Volume 1 to 6 (Arabic and English) |
| 2 | A Cassette on BRIDGE Awareness Messages including Taiz Radio Drama, Project Songs and <br> Preachers Messages (Arabic) |
| 3 | Project Brochure English and Arabic |
| 4 | Pocket Size Message Calendar (2006. 2007 and 2008) |
| 5 | Notebooks with Amal Logo and Project Song Messages |
| 6 | Pens with Amal |
| 7 | Pencils with Project Key Message |
| 8 | Note pads with Amal and project key messages |
| 9 | Project bag made by women at BRIDGE sewing classes |
| 10 | Stickers with Amal and project messages |
| 11 | Paper file with Amal and project message |
| 12 | Girls Voice Brochures (Arabic) |
| 13 | Awareness Message Brochure (Arabic) |
| 14 | BRIDGE Flyer with Amal and Project Message |
| 15 | BRIDGE Desk Top Calender for 2008 |
| 16 | BRIDGE Project DVD |
| 17 | BRIDGE Project Posters (3 designs) |
| 18 | BRIDGE Awareness Religious Message Book |
| 19 | BRIDGE Teacher Guides |



## BRIDGE Awareness Raising Message

## Preface (Arabic Page 1)

JICA-BRIDGE Project in Taiz is pleased to share our awareness raising program, which has been developed over the past three years in the target districts. This religious awareness message has been conveyed to the target school communities by a joint team from JICABRIDGE Project, Girls’ Education and Community Participation Departments in the GEO of Taiz, led by Hashem Sharafaddin (JICA Office) and Abdulaziz Abdulghani (University of Taiz), and with the cooperation of DEOs in the target districts.

The team has been involved in informal, intensive meetings with FC and MC members as well as community leaders in the school communities in an effort to explore reasons behind the lack of girls’ enrollment and to raise awareness on the importance of girls' education, particularly in schools where girls' attendance is very low, compared to boys.

Because the team consists of male and female members, they were able to hold meetings with fathers and mothers separately. Such meetings helped mothers and fathers to express their views, obstacles and concerns about their daughters' education freely in a comfortable environment. At the end of each meeting the parents and community leaders drew an action plan to improve their daughters' access to school.

Based on discussions and debates over the last three years between the team members and communities, the team has developed and compiled this awareness message which has been reviewed and finalized at workshops with twenty preachers in cooperation with the Preaching and Guidance Office in Taiz.

From the experiences of the project, we feel that raising awareness of the school community is crucial in improving girls’ access to school and decreasing the number of drop-outs to the lowest possible level. Furthermore, the importance of awareness raising is essential in changing the people's views and attitudes. In many areas they try to blame the educational authorities for lack of encouragement of girls' attendance and lack of facilities at schools, without giving themselves any role or responsibility to change the status of their daughters' education.

It is worth mentioning that this content has been presented to the communities during the three years of the project in different means such as brochures, leaflets, newsletters, cassettes, seminars and religious meetings.

The content is not limited to religious context but covers social and economical aspects with a focus on the impact of education on eradicating poverty at the family level and the country as a whole, as well as the role of illiteracy passing poverty from generation to generation. What is included in this booklet is a brief summary of the contents of the messages used in our awareness-raising programs.

We are very proud that Taiz governorate is the first in Yemen to implement such a comprehensive awareness-raising program at the community level; conducting meetings with parents and community leaders in their homes, as well as at schools and social gatherings in order to discuss and debate issues related to girls' education.

Furthermore, this program has made a strong contribution in improving girls' access to school. Girls' enrollment ratio in the target schools have improved from $65 \%$ before the project intervention to $79 \%$ in the last year of project.

We are pleased to share these messages with other governorates so that they can add and implement this kind of program in their own communities.

Dr. Mahdi Ali Abdusselam
Director of General Education Office in Taiz

## Girls' Education is A Religious Duty

## Women Before Islam (Arabic Page 2)

## 1- Inheritance:

Women were treated poorly before Islam. She didn't have the right to conduct business and sign contracts.

Woman didn't inherit from her father, brother or husband's wealth. When Islam came it canceled this tradition and allowed woman to do this. Islam obliged men to sponsor women and support them financially.

## 2- Unwanted:

She was not welcomed by her parents as a new baby.
Parents before Islam preferred to have male babies rather than female.

## The Position of Women (Arabic Page 2) in Islam

Needless to say that a woman forms the foundation of the family and the cornerstone of the societal structure; she is the first half of the society and gives birth to the second half. She is the man's mother, his sister, his daughter and his origin.

This explains to us why women were among the first group to declare their Islamic faith and provide their support for it with their own wealth. Qura'an cites examples of women who were good believers.
(Allah cited examples of those who were believers: the wife of Pharaoh when she said, "My Lord! Build for me a home with thee in the garden, and deliver me from Pharaoh and his work, and deliver me from evildoers" (Verse 11), and Mary, daughter of Imran, whose body was chaste but gave birth to a child. God said, "We breathed therein (Mary's womb) a part of our Spirit", and she put faith in the words of her Lord and his scriptures, and was of the obedient (Verse 12).

Khadigah, the Prophet's wife, was the first one to receive the Prophet, coming from the cave frightened and shaking as a result of receiving the first revelation from Heaven. So she stood beside him supporting, encouraging and motivating him, saying to him, "Allah will not let you down as you are the most trustful, bearable and supportive to the truth and I hope you will be the Prophet of this nation".

Thus, she was the closest to his heart .
Islam has honored women and fought against all customs and traditions that discriminate against them.

Qura'an criticizes the ancient Arabs very strongly for feeling upset when they had female babies. They wanted to hide themselves from the people for having female babies describing their predicament as unjust and unfair.
(When if one of them receiveth tidings of the birth of a female, his face remaineth darkened, and he is wroth inwardly (Verse 58). He hideth himself from the folk because of the evil of that whereof he hath had tidings, (asking himself): Shall he keep it in contempt, or bury it beneath the dust? Verily evil is their judgment (Verse 59). )

## The Prophet 's Attitude Towards Daughters

(Arabic Page 3)

The Prophet himself had different attitude towards his daughters from the ordinary people of his time. His first four babies were girls. When his fourth daughter was born, nurses around told him "You have a female baby ". He replied, "She is the rose I can smell."

## The Importance of Girls' Education

## (Arabic Page 3)

Education for a girl is the weapon by which she can protect herself from life's crises. With knowledge a girl is highly valued. She can understand her religious duties and defend her rights. She can understand her duties towards her husband, her children and her parents. An educated woman can lead a happy life whereas an illiterate one leads a miserable life with no virtues or peace.

Who on earth makes education rights restricted to men only?
Girl's education is a religious duty which the family needs to understand and pledge to fulfill without any discrimination against girls.

## Islam Cares for Women's

## Education (Arabic Page 3)

The women at the time of the Prophet were as enthusiastic to learn as men. When they knew that the Prophet Mohammed was giving classes for men they criticized him saying, "Your time has been occupied by men. Why don't you devote one day to teach us?". The Prophet responded positively without denying their rights to education. Thus, he allocated one day to teach them.

Who dares to deprive girls of education after the Prophet himself devoted one day of his time to teach women?

Don't all Quranic verses and the Prophet's sayings, which call for education and encourage us to learn, addressing everyone without gender discrimination? (Professor of Islamic culture, Dr. Hamed Mahmod Ismail)

## Education for Women the Key to Success

## (Arabic Page 4)

Illiterate women can't be successful and efficient members of their community. For this reason we have to fight illiteracy. It is only thorough education a woman can be a successful and efficient member in her family and society. Therefore we have to encourage girls to study.

An educated woman can:
1- Understand her rights and her husband's.
2- Raise and educate her children well.
3- Understand her religious duties and thus can be a good worshiper.
4- Maintain social and political roles as set up in Qura'an
(The Believers, men and women, are protectors of one another; they enjoin what is just, and forbid what is evil.)

## Girl's Education is a Social Necessity

## (Arabic Page 4)

Women need to be trained to be doctors, teachers, nurses, etc, because her community needs her. It is not a personal choice to study. In fact the community needs women to play roles in different aspects of life. She herself needs to earn money for a living. The Prophet addressed women in the following way:
"Allah has permitted you to go out to accomplish your daily tasks".
(Professor of Islamic culture, Dr. Hamed Mahmod Ismail)

## Women During the Prophet's Era

## (Arabic Page 4)

Women made a very significant contribution towards the first big events during the Prophet's era. For example:
-They were members of the first coalition group who approached the Prophet and signed an agreement of support with him promising to defend and provide him with protection.
-Another group of women formed a joint delegation with men who signed an agreement of support with the Prophet.
-Women were members of a group of people who traveled to Ethiopia to escape punishment and torment of the blasphemous people in Mekkah two years after Islam's appearance.

- Another group of women traveled with the Prophet and some men from Mekkah to Madina to escape punishment, blockade and ill treatment exercised on them in Mekkah by the leaders of the tribes.
-Women also participated in the battles with men in Islam including all the Prophet's wives.

These political events contributed a lot into the later political and social development of Islam.
Women in the life of the prophet were not excluded to take part in battles, why do we prevent her from studying at school?

## Seeking Further Knowledge

(Arabic Page 5)
Acquiring as much knowledge as possible is encouraged by Islam. You cannot be a good Muslim without knowledge. Thus Quran explains the Prophet's task as an educator to the people, both men and women to enable them read Quran and comprehend its meanings not just reading without understanding it.

We are urged to think of its meaning and deduce the laws and wisdom hidden behind the lines. This can't be achieved with little knowledge. (It is He who has sent amongst the unlettered an apostle from among themselves, to rehearse to them his signs, to sanctify them, and to instruct them in Scripture and Wisdom, although they had been, before in manifest error) Al-Jumu'a (The Congregation, Friday)

Allah shows us the importance of seeking deep knowledge by asking his
Prophet to pray and call him to help to acquire further knowledge.
("O my Lord! advance me in knowledge".) Ta Ha (Ta-ha) 14

## Depriving Girls of Education Is Unfair (Arabic Page 5)

Parents have big roles to play in pushing their daughters to attend school. Parents who encourage boys and prevent girls from their rights of education are surely considered as unjust and cruel. Such a practice is not in line with the rights which we are urged by Quran to provide for our children. Quran says, "Allah calls for justice and good deeds". The Prophet asks us to be fair by saying, "Fear Allah by treating your children equally."
Discriminating against daughters was a practice which the Prophet fought against. Religiously speaking being fair and just to your daughters and sons is a must. The Prophet says "He who has a daughter and never humiliates and discriminates against her will be rewarded with paradise by Allah."
Therefore educating girls is the family's duty.

## Exemplary Educated Women (Arabic Page 5)

History is full of educated women who helped their societies and their impressive impact and glorious images still exist. For example:

1- Ms. Alshifa Aladwia: she was a teacher for girls in Mekka and she was a skillful writer. Two of the Prophet's wives were taught by her.

2- Ms. Aisha: she was the best ethnographer in her time. She was a good linguist and a poet. She was the best person in memorizing the Prophet's sayings.
The Prophet commands us saying "Take half of your religion from this woman", pointing to his wife, Aisha.

3- Nafisat Al-Elm: she studied hard since she was young. She was not satisfied with her literacy level, so she enriched her knowledge by attending lectures given by the Imam Malik (one of the four greatest Islamic scholars). Then she traveled to Egypt to spread his preachings. She conducted lessons in her home for men and women equally.

4- Ms. Rabea'a Al-Adewiya: she was a very good worshiper and poet.

5- The ancient queen of Yemen named Balquis: she was the queen of Sheba, and she had vast knowledge which was praised in the Holy Quran.

6- Ms. Alkhansa: she was a great poet whose poems were admired by the Prophet.

## Literacy Level Not Enough (Arabic Page 6)

Prophet gave us a good example of increasing one's knowledge rather than being satisfied with literacy. His wife Ms. Hafsa was able to read and write. When she married the Prophet, he asked her teacher to continue educating her.

Ms. Om Aldrda, one of the Prophet's companions was noted for being ambitious in seeking further knowledge till she became a preacher for the women in her time.

She was a lecturer at the mosque in Damascus teaching people. She was so famous at that time that the King Abdulmalik Ibn Marwan said, 'I have to go to the mosque to obtain some of Om Aldrad's knowledge ."

Seeking knowledge is a religious requirement which both man and women are equally encouraged to do. An Arab proverb states, "Even if you devote all your life to seek knowledge, you obtain just a small part of it." This means that knowledge is as wide as the ocean which you cannot obtain all of.

Oh, girls you should all learn from your example Ms. Nafisa who devoted her life for knowledge until she became a scholar. She used her house as a school to teach both men and women.

## Family Duty Towards Girl's Education (Arabic Page 7)

Parents have a religious and moral duty towards girl's education especially the mother who is considered to be the role model for her daughter. If a mother neglects her daughter's right to education, her daughter will behave similarly towards her future daughters.
Thus an Arab poet says, "Mother is a school. If she is prepared, you prepare a good nation".
The family's good faith in education makes parents feed their children with love for education. Consequently, boys and girls grow up loving education and having curious minds.

All the instructions in the holy Quran which call for education are targeting both males and females inclusively.
The Prophet says: "Seeking knowledge is a religious duty for every Muslim man and woman". Therefore, fathers and mothers shoulder a big responsibility to push and encourage their daughters to receive an education.

Parents who encourage boys and discourage daughters from attending the school breaks God's law which urge us to be just.
The Prophet fought the discriminating view of the family towards daughters so he asked parents to treat boys and girls equally and in a just manner.

Once a child came into the Prophet's reception room, his father kissed him on his forehead and seated him next to him. When his sister came into the room, her father didn't seat or kiss her. So the Prophet looked at the father and said, "You didn't treat them equally".

## References: ( Page 7)

## Holy Qura'an

Qura'an Interpretation, Sheikh Mohamed Sha'arawi
Fath Al-Bari, Dr. Hamid Mahmoud Isma'eel
Stories from The Lives of the Prophet's Female Companions, Dr. Rafat Al-Basha
Gqwqhir Al-Adab, Al- Sayyed Ahmed Al- Hashimi
Yogalitonek Eth Yaqoloon, Dr. Mohammed Sa'eed Ramadan
Daleel Assaeleen, Anes Isma'eel Abu Da'ood

## Conclusion: ( Page 8)

During the field visits for raising awareness the team realized that the community people in the target districts have assumptions and attitudes which were taken for granted. Such attitudes hinder girls’ access to school, and thus the project took action and provided the appropriate response to eliminate these concerns. Here is a summary of the communities’ views and the project's message:

## Project's Awareness Messages



[^6]When a girl grows up, it is not appropriate to study with boys in the same school.

1-Ministry exempted fees.
2- We have to eradicate poverty by schooling our children instead of passing poverty down to them.
3- Ignorance and illiteracy are the sources of poverty.
4- Islam urges us to eradicate poverty by learning skills and crafts.

1- Why do only girls have to be exhausted with such heavy burdens?
2- Islam has urged us to be fair and just in dealing with our children.

We need daughters to herd, farm and do chores.

3- Why don't parents distribute family tasks and duties among sons and daughters equally so that girls can have the chance to attend schools? 4- Islam considers learning as a religious duty for all Muslims males and females.

1- Religious speaking, education is a religious duty for all men and women.
2- Education is not only for getting jobs, it has more important benefits for a prosperous life and hereafter too. 3- There are thousands of female graduates who have been already employed by public and private sectors. 4- Think of your situation, the BRIDGE project has looked for literacy and sewing trainers and qualified school teachers to hire them teach your daughters, sons and mothers but cannot find any from your areas.

1- Allah, has praised some women in the Holy Quran describing them as "True Muslims, believers and worshippers". How can our women be as such without allowing them to acquire enough knowledge?
2- Our daughters should follow the path of the prophet's wife Aisha, who was one of the greatest scholars in different fields including ethnography. The prophet commanded his companions to "take half of their religion from her".
3- Allah commands us all in Quran to seek more of the knowledge and not only a little dose. It is not enough for girls to reach only basic literacy level.
4- During the prophet's era many of the prophet's female companions were well noted as highly knowledgeable scholars.
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## Appendix 12: Related Documents on Hiring Contracted Teachers

## Background Discussion on Contracted Teachers

| Year | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Oct } \end{aligned}$ | BRIDGE Team found that there were strong demands of hiring teachers at the targeted schools and schools and DEOs strongly asserted that girls' education could not be promoted without teachers. |
|  | BRIDGE Team (JICA-GEO) found that there were many government teachers who were registered at schools but did not attend. Taiz GEO took actions to find these ghost teachers and stopped their salaries. |
| Nov | JICA Headquarter approved to hire teachers locally with the school funding if teachers meet the following criteria: <br> - At least completed secondary education <br> - Live in the local community or neighboring community <br> - Hire female teachers if teachers have the same qualification. <br> In Year 1, 145 teachers were contracted with schools ( 65 female). |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2006 \\ & \text { April } \end{aligned}$ | JICA's Project Monitoring Mission agreed on Aide Memoire (see attached) with Ms. Fawzia Noaman, Deputy Minister for Girls’ Education and Mr. Gendary, Deputy Minister for Project and Equipment. The Memoire included: <br> - MOE will provide in-service trainings to the contracted teachers <br> - MOE and GEO will give priority to the contracted teachers when the GEO hire new teachers from 2006/2007. |
| Sept | In Year 2, 185 teachers were contracted with schools ( 60 female). |
|  | 3 contracted teachers were hired by the government in 2006/2007. |
|  | Contracted teachers were not fully invited to the GEO training program. No one was paid. Some of them were allowed to observe. Some of them were refused to attend. |
| $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 2007 \\ \text { Aug } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | In Year 3, 172 teachers were contracted with schools (58 female). |
|  | 5 teachers were hired by the government in 2007/2008. It was found negotiation at the governorate level was difficult. Taiz GEO decided to ask for the MOE's support. |
|  | Since the government training was not fully offered to the contracted teachers, BRIDGE project asked DEOs to organize a 2 day training for teachers. |
| Sept | JICA's mid-term evaluation mission requested to the Government of Yemen in their report, "Yemeni Government shall ensure alternative means to sustain the contracted teachers who are being hired by the BRIDGE block grant. At the same time, GEO should ensure that the necessary training shall be provided to the locally hired teachers." It also emphasized exploring alternative means of deployment of teachers in geographically remote communities. |
| Dec | Based on the JICA’s evaluation, Taiz GEO organized a workshop with MOE (General Education and Girls Educatoin) to discuss on how to hire contracted teachers by the government. At the meeting, Taiz GEO and MOE officials agreed on the following: <br> - By the end of December: Make a list of teachers to be hired in the next year by Taiz GEO <br> - In January: Submit the list of MOE <br> - January to September: Follow up the employment process in the Ministry of Civil Service |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2008 \\ & \text { March } \end{aligned}$ | Taiz GEO visited MOE to discuss the issues on contracted teachers. Mr. Faisal Ahmed Ghale, Director of Personnel Affairs, MOE, who were originally from Ministry of Civil Services, was assigned to follow up the hiring contracted teachers. List of all the 129 qualified teachers was prepared and submitted to MOE with their certificate documents. |


| Year | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| May | Joint Coordination Committee (JCC) meeting discussed about contracted teachers. Meeting <br> Minutes included the followings: <br> $-\quad$ MOE and MOCS will employ all the remaining qualified JICA contract teachers, who <br> have a secondary or higher education qualification. <br> All the contracted teachers should be employed in 2008 and deducted from the Taiz <br> governorate payroll for 2008. MOE will compensate Taiz Governorate in order and <br> add the same number of additional teachers to the payroll of the annual allocation of <br> 2009. |
| Aug | M/M was signed by Ms. Fawzia, Mr. Faithal, Dr. Mahdi and Mr. Sasaki with Minister's <br> approval. |
| JICA's final evaluation mission found that male teachers without diploma could no be hired <br> by the current government rule. JICA mission recommended the followings: <br> Roles and responsibilities of the School Committee, DEO, GEO, MOE and Taiz <br> Governorate for hiring and sustaining local teachers should be discussed and defined <br> from technical, managerial and financial aspects including decision making authority <br> over the contracted teacher recruitment. |  |
| Sept | In 2008/2009, only 4 teachers were hired by the government. |
| Nov | Joint Coordination Committee (JCC) meeting discussed about contracted teachers. At the <br> JCC, MOE promised to hire all the BRIDGE contracted teachers including male teacher <br> without diploma or above degree in 2009. General Education Sector will be responsible for <br> the hiring. |

## Appendix 12



AIDE MEMOIR<br>between the Ministry of Education in the Republic of Yemen and the Broadening<br>Regional Initiative for Developing Girls' Education (BRIDGE) Project in Taiz funded by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

On April $17^{\text {th }}, 2006$, the JICA Project Monitoring Mission conducted a 10 -day visit of the Republic of Yemen. The objective of the visit was twofold: 1) To monitor and evaluate the first phase of the Broadening Regional Initiative for Developing Girls' Education (BRIDGE) Project in the Taiz Governorate; and 2) To seek sustainability of piloted sites after the completion of the Project.

On the first day, members from the JICA Project Monitoring Mission and the JICA BRIDGE Project Team met with representatives from the Yemeni Government. Participants from the Yemeni Government included Mr. Abdulkarim Al-Gendary (Deputy Minister of Project and Supervision), who represented the Minister of Education, along with Ms. Fawaziah Noaman (Deputy Minister of Girls' Education), Ms. Amman Al-Ba'adani (Director of Girls' Education), and Mr. Abdulmaged Alghaberi (Head of Community Participation). Participants from JICA included Dr. Keiko Mizuno (JICA Senior Advisor, BRIDGE Project Monitoring Mission Leader), Mr. Mitsutaka Hoshi (JICA Egypt Office), Mr. Kazuhiro Tambara (JICA Headquarters), Dr. Chiaki Kuranami (Leader of BRIDGE Project), Dr. Keiichi Ogawa (Deputy Leader of BRIDGE Project), and Dr. Hamoud Al-Seyani (Senior Consultant for JICA BRIDGE Project and Head of the Technical Team).

At the meeting, the JICA Mission presented the activities that were implemented during the first phase of the Project, and discussed with the Government the following two issues: 1) How piloted districts, sub-districts and schools would continuously carry over the BRIDGE experience after the Project is completed; and 2) How the BRIDGE experience could be applied to other projects implemented by the Government and other donors.

The following are details on what were discussed at the meeting.



## Activities implemented by the BRIDGE Project during the first phase include:

- Conducted several training courses for the GEO and DEO officers as well as school committee representatives;
- Provided contracts to 146 teachers in the piloted schools;
- Established school committees in piloted schools with the father and mother councils, and provided trainings to father and mother council members;
- Enabled local schools to collaborate on development strategies and action plans with local authorities and father/mother councils. All the strategies and plans that were developed have been approved by the DEO and GEO officials and BRIDGE Project Experts.
- Provided all targeted schools with the first grants to implement their development strategies and action plans. Each school has implemented its activities based on its plan.
- Implemented awareness raising activities to foster mothers' and fathers' initiatives in promoting girls education and community participation.


## Issues raised by the BRIDGE Project team for future operation and sustainability

 include:- The GEO office does not have the budget to support the monitoring fees for the GEO and DEO officials to supervise the BRIDGE Project activities directly. According to the BRIDGE Project Agreement, the monitoring costs were covered by the Government of Yemen.

- It is necessary to provide training to the contracted teachers hired by the Project. Since the majority of these teachers only have secondary education diploma without any pre-service training, there is an urgent need to provide training to them.
- Sustainability of the Project activities after its completion in November 2008 needs to be ensured. It is, therefore, imperative for the government to hire the contracted teachers gradually.
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REPUBLIC OF YEMEN MINISTRY OF EDUCATION MINISTERS OFFICE


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { الجمهورية اليمنية } \\
& \text { وزاوة التربية والتعليم } \\
& \text { مكتب الوزير }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Ministry of Education (MOE) commits to resolving these issues by providing the following interventions:

## Monitoring Costs:

- The MOE will ensure that monitoring costs for the GEO and DEO to supervise the project sites will be included in the MOE Budget for 2007 and that the money will be sent to the Education Office in the Taiz Governorate (Taiz GEO).

Contracted Teachers:

- The MOE will provide in-service trainings to the contracted teachers in the Project sites when they conduct trainings in Taiz Governorate.
- Both the MOE and GOE will give priority to the contracted teachers when the GEO hires new teachers from 2006/7. The selection criteria is as follows:
> Gender of the contracted teachers will be a major consideration (ie., priority will be given to female teachers);
$>$ Performance of the contracted teachers will be one of the major considerations (ie., priority will be given to contracted teachers who perform well. The supervision reports provided by the schools, DEO, and GOE will be used to evaluate the performance of the contracted teachers);
$>$ Characteristics of the targeted schools will also be taken into consideration (i.e., if schools are in very remote and disadvantaged areas, contracted teachers in such schools will have the priority);
Ne $>$ Teachers from local areas will be given priority; and
Academic qualification of the contracted teachers will be a consideration (ie.,
of priority will be given to teachers who have better academic qualification).
This Aide Memoire represents the agreement between the Government of Yemen and the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) on April $25^{\text {th }}, 2006$

H.E. Ms. Fawzia Noaman

Deputy Minister of Girls Education Ministry of Education, Yemen


Dr. Seiko Mizuno
JICA Senior Advisor BRIDGE Project Monitoring Mission Leader

H.E. Mr. Abdulkarim Al-Gendary

Deputy Minister of Project \& equipment
Ministry of Education, Yemen
-


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { البمهرورية النـهنـية } \\
& \text { وزازة التربية والتعليم } \\
& \text { مكتب الوزير }
\end{aligned}
$$

RFPUBLIC OF.YEMEN MINISTRY OF EDUCATION MINISTER'S OFFICE
الــرانـتـت DATE $\qquad$ in
الهجتر م

#  <br> الأخ/ مدير مكتب التربية والمتمنيم م/ تُعز 

تحية طيبة . . وبعد:

كـمـا تعلهـون أن مـشروع توسـيـع الـيـيـارات المحليـة لتـشجيع تعلـيم الضتـاة يـتم

تنتهي المرحلة التجريبية للمشروع

المبالغ اللازممة لمواجهة الأتشطة التي سيتم تقليصها مـن ميزاتية المشروع.
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 و وثلانُمانة ريال .





. وثلاثة وتسعون ألف وتسعمانة وسبعون ريال

 بحيث تكون الميز انية المططوبة لمديرية سامع هي
 ألف وثنانمائة ريان .
ثالها: - مديلرية مـاوية:-



وتسعیائة وخسون ريال






 بحيث تكون ألميز انية المطلوبة لمديرية ماوية هي
 و وتسعهاتة وخمسون ريال •


 وتسعمانـة وخمسون ريال




 مليون وثلانمالة وأربـون ألف وتستعمائة وخمسة وخمسون ألف
 بحيت تكون الميز انية المطلوبة لمدير بية الواز عية هي :( - وتستمائة وخسون ريال


 وخمس مانة ريال
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مليون وأزبعةَ مائة وتسعلة وتُمانون ألفـ وتستعمائة وخمسون ريبال
 بحيث تكون الميزّانية المطلوبة لمديرية مقَبة هي :-
(

 ريال




 منيون وسبعمانة وسبعة ونُمانون ألف وتسعمانة وأربعون ريال . رأبعا:-مساههة المدرسة بو أقَع (

بحيث تكون الميز انية المططوبة نمديرية المخاء هي :-
(

,
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مليون وتسعمانة وستة وثلاهون ألف وتسعمانة وخدسة وثلّالْون ريال
 بحيث تكون ألميز انتية المطلوبة لمديريةَ باب المندب هي ：－
（ －ونُلاثون ألف ومائة وخمسين ريال

وبحيث تكون الميزانية المطلوبة اللمدربات السنة التي يشهلها المشروع الثياباني هي ：－
r．уャ१，r．．
1－مديرية سامع＝
\＆，1．人， 90 ．
Y
؛，1．人， 90 ．
「－بديرية الو از عية＝

0－مديرية المخاء＝
$0,940,10$ ． 7－دـيرِية باب المندب＝

Y．9ry， 60 ．


| moe <br> List \＃ | JICA\＃ | From | $\begin{aligned} & \text { From } \\ & \text { Y2 } \end{aligned}$ | New | District＇s Name | School＇s Name | Name of JICA Year 3 Contracted Teachers | Gender | Education |  |  | ｜اسم المدرسين المتعاقٌ｜معهر | ｜اسم المدرسة | ｜الميرية |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | Mawiiyah | Al－Shahead Al－Thouliah | Amani Udad | Female | BA Degree | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | Mawiilyah | Al－Shahead Al－Thouliah | Wadda | Female | BA Degree | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | 51 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Salah | Salwa Saeed Mohammed | Female | Bachelor | 3 | ｜بكالوبيوس | ｜سلوى سعبر محمد． | 2） | مقبنة |
| 66 | 105 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Saba Youleou | Moharam Qaid Ibrahim | Female | Diploma | 1 | － |  | 7 | 1 |
| 14 | 69 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Zahra | Wafa＇a Abdullah Fara＇a Aldhrafy | Female | Diploma | 2 | ｜عبلوم مكتو |  | 相 | الوازية |
| 15 | 70 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Zahra | Sumia Abdullah Fara＇a Aldhrafy | Female | Diploma | 3 | مبلوم عالي |  | 免 | الوإبازية |
| 98 | 125 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Shab | Eshraq Abdulhafeed Abdulhameed | Female | Diploma | 4 | مبلوم عالكي |  |  | ذ |
| 129 | 134 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Sahwa | Roida Ahmad Ali Al－Zoraiqi | Female | Diploma | 5 | دبلوم عالكي | ｜كروبا أحمد | 宔 | فبابـ |
| 27 | 48 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Wahda | Lamis Abdulgani Ali Ahmad | Female | Diploma | 6 | ｜r |  | ｜الوحدة／／المصنع | مقبنّة |
| 41 | 53 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Salah | Afaf Ahmad Ali | Female | Diploma | 7 | كثانوبة عاهوبة | عهاف احـد | حال101 | مقبّة |
| 13 | 8 | 1 |  |  | Mawiilyah | Al－Farowk | Fathia Abdulbari Hamid Qaid | Female | Diploma | 8 | － |  | ｜الفاروق | ماوية |
| 124 | 150 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Gazerat Mayown | Ibtisam Abdulqawi Qasem | Female | Diploma | 9 | ｜دبولم عوم |  | جزيرّ | فبابـ |
| 90 | 116 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Esha＇a | Yasmin Solaiman Ali | Female | Secondary | 1 | كثانوبة عامية |  | عآلإلا | الها |
| 21 | 81 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Wahda | Rawgia Mohammed Rashed Hankaf | Female | Secondary | 2 | ｜c． | ，رفّبة محمد راثند | \％ | الهواز عبا |
| 99 | 126 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Shab | Sali lbrahim Ahmad | Female | Secondary | 3 |  | ｜ساليّ إبراهير（احمد． | ｜l｜l | نباب |
| 105 | 160 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Twomoh | Balqis Abdulsalam Ghanem Ahmed | Female | Secondary | 4 |  |  | ح家 | فبابـ |
| 7 | 19 |  | 2 |  | Mawiilyah | Al－Shahead Al－Thouliah | Arwa Abdu Thabet Al－Nagashi | Female | Secondary | 5 | ｜بكالوبيوس |  | ｜lالشهر｜الثّاباء | ماوية |
| 11 | 13 |  | 2 |  | Mawiilyah | Moad Bin Gabal | Arwa Abdulsalam Ali | Female | Secondary | 6 |  |  | ｜معاذ بن جبل | ماوبية |
| 12 | 9 |  | 2 |  | Mawiilyah | Al－Farowk | Maimona Ali Mohammed Qaid | Female | Secondary | 7 | كانّي ثانوي | مبهونة علي محمد فائد | ｜الفاروق | ماوية |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | Same | Al Quds | Iman Sharaf Ali Gaanem | Female | Secondary | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 |  |  | 3 | Same | Al－Sayd | Basma Ali Bin Ali | Female | Secondary | 9 |  |  | ｜l｜ | سامع |
| 6 | 5 |  |  | 3 | Same | Al－Sayd | Wafa Qaid Ismail | Female | Secondary | 10 | ． |  | ｜l｜l | سامٌ |
| 63 | 117 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Hamza | Fatema Salman Amer Ghwbz | Female | Secondary | 11 |  |  | ｜ | الدفا |
| 74 | 85 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Shahead Al－Zoubair | Sumia Mohammed Yahya | Female | Secondary | 12 |  | ｜سمبة محمد بحبّ | ｜الشهير｜الزبيري | الم土ا |
| 75 | 86 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Shahead Al－Zoubair | Nasira Mohammed Ayash | Female | Secondary | 13 |  | ｜ناصرةّ محمد عباشّ | ｜الشهر｜الزيبيري | السا |
| 18 | 76 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Farwak | Huda Mohammed Ali | Female | Secondary | 14 |  | هاهى محمد علمي عزيبة | ｜iانفاروق | الواز |
| 20 | 80 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Wahda | Maleka Murshed Abdulrab Mohammed | Female | Secondary | 15 |  |  | 樓 | الوإباز |
| 97 | 127 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Shab | Amani Abdulaziz Yaseen Sultan | Female | Secondary | 16 | كثانوبة عاهِ |  | ｜l｜l｜l｜ | فباب |
| 109 | 130 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Bab Al－Mandab | Safa＇a Hussen Saleh Zaki | Female | Secondary | 17 | كثانوبة عامة | ｜صفاء صسين صالح زيكي | بابـباب المنب | فنبابِ |
| 125 | 138 |  |  | 3 | Dubab | Al－Sahwa | Ali Ibrahim Ghaleb Ahmad | Female | Secondary | 18 | كثانوبة عاهِ | ｜علي ابر／هيهِ غابِ | 1） | فـبابـ |
| 126 | 137 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Sahwa | Amal Abdullah Mohammed | Female | Secondary | 19 | كثانوبة عامة |  | ｜الصحون | فبابـ |
| 127 | 136 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Sahwa | Frial Said Saleh Al－Anbari | Female | Secondary | 20 | كثانوبة عاهِ | فريال سِبج صالح العنبري | ｜il｜ | فبابـب |
| 128 | 135 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Sahwa | Sabrin Khaled Ahmad | Female | Secondary | 21 | كثانوبة عامة | ｜صابرين خالد آحمد | ｜il｜ | فبابـ |
| 42 | 52 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Salah | Naziha Mohammed Abdulrahman | Female | Secondary | 22 | كثانوبة عاهِ |  | 2） | مقبنة |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | Same | Saba Yollyo | Nadia Ali Said Ahmed | Female | Secondary | 23 | كثانوبة عامة | ｜نادية علي سِد احبد | 7 7 | سامٌ |
| 2 | 3 |  | 2 |  | Same | Al－Quds | Hager Abdulwahab Ali Mohammed | Female | Secondary | 24 | كثانوبة عاهِ |  | ｜r｜r｜ | سامٌ |
| 3 | 4 |  | 2 |  | Same | Al－Quds | Hiba Shaif Mohammed Abdulwali | Female | Secondary | 25 | كثاونوبة عامة |  | \％ | سامِع |
| 123 | 148 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Gazerat Mayown | Abdu Hamoud Ahmed | Male | Bachelor | 1 | كبكاريوريور |  | جزيرّ | ذبابـ |
| 26 | 47 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Wahda | Othman Gabah Raweh | Male | Bachelor | 2 | ｜بكاكوريوس |  | ｜لوحدة／المصنع | مقبّة |
| 35 | 63 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Hayah | Tawfiq Ali Said | Male | Bachelor | 3 | ｜ | ｜توفيق علي سِبي | ｜ | مقبنة |
| 62 | 118 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Hamza | Fuad Ismail Abdullah | Male | Diploma | 1 | － |  | ｜الحمزة | ا |
| 69 | 87 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Aseam Bin Thabeet | Nagi Ali Zaid Saleh Alalem | Male | Diploma | 2 | ． | ناجي علي زبد صالح العالم | عاصم بن ثابتا | ما |
| 70 | 88 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Aseam Bin Thabeet | Abduh Ahmed Mahyoob A＇leeoa | Male | Diploma | 3 | ． |  | عاصم بن ثابتا | ما |
| 71 | 89 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Aseam Bin Thabeet | Mohammed Bagash Ali Aldhea＇a | Male | Diploma | 4 | ． | محمد بجاشّ علي | عاصم بن ثابت | اللفا |
| 76 | 94 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Gabair Bin Abdullah | Mohammed AbdullahSalim | Male | Diploma | 5 | ． |  | جبابر بن عب الهُ | الهفا |
| 78 | 93 |  |  | 3 | Al－Mokah | Gabair Bin Abdullah | Ali Qaid Ahmad Hizam | Male | Diploma | 6 | 1－1 |  | جبابر بن عبد الهّ | اللفا |
| 81 | 97 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Ershad | Maged Abdulrahman Ali | Male | Diploma | 7 |  | ｜ماجب بجالرحمن علم | 寿 | المفا |


| moe List \＃ | JICA\＃ | From Y1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { From } \\ \text { Y2 } \end{array}$ | New | District＇s Name | School＇s Name | Name of JICA Year 3 Contracted Teachers | Gender | Education |  | ｜لمؤهل｜ | ｜اسم المدرسين المتعاقٌ معهم | ｜اسم المدرسة | ｜الميرية |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | 106 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Nasr | Abdulsalam Hamed Gohr | Male | Diploma | 8 | دبلو متو | ｜عبد السلام حامد جوهر | ر｜l｜l｜ | الد1） |
| 85 | 107 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Nasr | Abdullah Ahmed Suleman | Male | Diploma | 9 | ／دبلوبرم متوسط |  | رنا | الدا |
| 86 | 110 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Fath | Mohammed Saeed Zaid Ahmed | Male | Diploma | 10 | عبلوم منوّوبط |  | حالنا | الد1） |
| 92 | 122 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Farg | Ali Qasem Mahyob | Male | Diploma | 11 | عبلومرم متو | علي فاسم مهيوب | ج | ال1 |
| 93 | 121 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Farg | Abdulahman hamed Ahmed | Male | Diploma | 12 | دبلوم عالي |  | جا | ال1） |
| 19 | 75 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Nagah | Sadam Ali Ahmed Darweesh | Male | Diploma | 13 | عبلوبرم حاسوب | ｜صدام | حالنجا | الواز |
| 24 | 73 |  | 2 |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Fakead Ahmed Saif | Marwan Alkhaiba Ali | Male | Diploma | 14 | ． | ｜مروان الذيبة علمي |  | الواز |
| 25 | 74 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Nagah | Ahmed Muqbel Droash | Male | Diploma | 15 | حبدبرم عالي | ｜حمد مقبل دروش | حا | الإوازبية |
| 94 | 169 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Amal | Nabil Masud Ismail | Male | Diploma | 16 |  |  | W楮 | ذباب |
| 100 | 139 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Fath | Ahmed Awdh Ali Alra＇ay | Male | Diploma | 17 | عبلوبرم متو | ｜احمد عوض علمي الراعيم | ح | فبابـ |
| 101 | 144 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Kub Bin Malek | Mohammed Thabet Ahmed Saegh | Male | Diploma | 18 | دبلورم عالي | محمد ثابت احمد صائن） |  | فبابـ |
| 102 | 145 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Kub Bin Malek | Mahmoud Mohammed Ali Mohammed | Male | Diploma | 19 | دبلورم عاليم | ｜محمود محمد علي محمد | كعب بن ماكـك | ذ |
| 103 | 146 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Kub Bin Malek | Mohammed Bin Mohammed Osailo | Male | Diploma | 20 | دبلور عاليّ | محمد بن محمد عسبلو | كعب بن هاك | نباب |
| 107 | 133 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Sud Bin Obada | Fadl Saleh Abdu | Male | Diploma | 21 | ． | فضضل صالح عبها | سعغ بن باده | نباب |
| 108 | 131 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Bab Al－Mandab | Malek Salem Isa | Male | Diploma | 22 | دقلوم | ｜har | ｜بابـ المنبـ | نـباب |
| 116 | 161 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Wahdah | Abduh Ali Aowadh Ali | Male | Diploma | 23 | دبلوم عالدي | عبده علي عوض علي جـي | 䖝 | نباب |
| 120 | 153 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Amr Bin Abdualaziz | Taha Abdullah Ali Balso | Male | Diploma | 24 | ． |  | عمر بن عبا لعزيز | نباب |
| 121 | 152 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Amr Bin Abdualaziz | Omar Ali Abdullah Osailo | Male | Diploma | 25 | － |  | عمر بن عبر الغزيز | نباب |
| 28 | 56 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Thawra | Ahmad Mohammed Abdu | Male | Diploma | 26 | بكالكاريوري | ｜حمد محمد عبدا | 标 | مقبّة |
| 29 | 57 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Thawra | Ahmad Said Abdu | Male | Diploma | 27 |  | ｜ | 标 | مقبّة |
| 30 | 54 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Thawra | Ahmad Hasan Galeb | Male | Diploma | 28 | صبلو | ｜ | \％ | مقبنة |
| 31 | 55 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Thawra | Nagi Moqbel Abdu | Male | Diploma | 29 | صبلوم | لناجي مقّل عبلم． | \％ | مقبنة |
| 36 | 62 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Hayah | Abdullah Sarhan Qaud | Male | Diploma | 30 |  |  | 寿 | مقبنة |
| 54 | 30 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Tawhead | Sadeq Faid Yahya Ali | Male | Diploma | 31 | دبلوم عالي | صادق فائد يحي | 标 | مقنّة |
| 55 | 27 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Tawhead | Kaid Mohammed Hizam | Male | Diploma | 32 | دبلوم عالي | ｜فانّا محمد حزام | التنوح | مقبنة |
| 61 | 22 | ， |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Yahya Abduh Salem Rageh | Male | Diploma | 33 |  |  | ｜الشّهر｜اللفّة | مقنّة |
| 64 | 119 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Hamza | Abdu Ziad Salem | Male | Secondary | 1 | كانوبية عامة |  | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | اللفا |
| 67 | 103 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Saba Youleou | Abdulmalek Ali Qaeed Gamal | Male | Secondary | 2 | Le | ｜cer | 7 | اللا |
| 68 | 102 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Saba Youleou | Abdulrahman Zaid Ali | Male | Secondary | 3 | دبلوبح |  | 7 | اللا |
| 82 | 108 |  |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Nasr | Nader Shaddad Hamid Thabet | Male | Secondary | 4 | كانوبية عامة | نادر شُداد حمبر ثابت | 年 | اللا |
| 87 | 115 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Wahda | Faisal Mohammed Said | Male | Secondary | 5 | كانوبة عامبة | فيصل محمد سِبل | \％ | الدا |
| 23 | 78 |  | 2 |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Fawz | Sadam Ali Bin Ali | Male | Secondary | 6 | كانوبة عامة | صدام علي بن علّ | النفو | الاولاز عبة |
| 111 | 166 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Yakadah | Ahmad Mohammed Hasan Qanaf | Male | Secondary | 7 | كانوبة عامبة |  | ｜ | فباب |
| 113 | 163 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Wahdah | Sami Ali Omaira Qasem | Male | Secondary | 8 | كانوبة عامبة | ｜ساملمي علي عبرة فاسم |  | فباب |
| 114 | 162 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Wahdah | Alshaik Said Abdullah | Male | Secondary | 9 | كانوبية عامة |  |  | فباب |
| 115 | 164 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Wahdah | Abdullah Mohammed Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 10 | دبلوم عالي |  | 䖝 | فباب |
| 117 | 156 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Amr Bin Abdualaziz | Said Ahmad Mohammed Saleh | Male | Secondary | 11 | ثانوبية عامة | سعيّ احمد محم صالح | عمر بن عبا الغزيز | فباب |
| 118 | 155 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Amr Bin Abdualaziz | Mohammed Said Zaid Liti | Male | Secondary | 12 | ثانوبية عامة |  | ععر بن عبه العزيز | فباب |
| 119 | 154 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Amr Bin Abdualaziz | Ali Ahmad Omar Osailo | Male | Secondary | 13 | وانوبية عامة |  |  | فباب |
| 37 | 61 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Hayah | Yosef Abdulkarim Bagash | Male | Secondary | 14 | ثانوبية عامة | ｜بيو｜re | ｜الحياة | مقبنة |
| 38 | 60 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Hayah | Abdu Mohammed Moqbel | Male | Secondary | 15 | ثانوبية عامة | عبلده محم مقبل | ｜ | مقبنّ |
| 39 | 59 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Hayah | Nabil Abdullah Ahmad | Male | Secondary | 16 | ثانوبية عامة |  | ｜l | مقبنّ |
| 43 | 46 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Gabiri | Mohammed Abdullah Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 17 | ثانوبية عامة |  | ｜الجابري | مقبنّ |
| 53 | 28 |  |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Tawhead | Zaim Abed Abdu Awadh | Male | Secondary | 18 | كانوبية عامة | ¢عبم عابد عبها عوض | 隹 | مقبنّ |
| 8 | 18 |  |  |  | Mawiiyah | Al－Shahead Al－Thouliah | Ammar Mohammed Qaid | Male | Secondary | 19 | جإبحّ | عمار محمد فآيّد مدهض |  | ماوية |
| 65 | 101 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Saba Youleou | Fesal Abdulnoor Ali | Male | Secondary | 20 | كانوبية عامة | ففيصل عبلد النور علي | 7 | الدا |
| 72 | 83 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Shahead Al－Zoubair | Abdulrahman Ahmed Abas | Male | Secondary | 21 | ثانوبة عاهة | ｜عبها الرحمن احد | ｜r｜｜ | الها |


| moe List \＃ | JICA\＃ | From Y1 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { From } \\ \text { Y2 } \end{array}$ | New | District＇s Name | School＇s Name | Name of JICA Year 3 Contracted Teachers | Gender | Education |  | ｜المؤهل | ｜اسم المدرسين المتعاقّا معهر｜ | ｜اسم العدرسة | الـاسيرية |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 73 | 84 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Shahead Al－Zoubair | Naser Khaled Zaid | Male | Secondary | 22 | ثانوية عامة | ｜تاصر خالد زبيد | ｜الشهري الزيبيري | الهـا |
| 77 | 92 |  |  |  | Al－Mokah | Gabair Bin Abdullah | Abdulnor Ali Abdulgalii | Male | Secondary | 23 | كانوّوبة عامة | عبدالنّور على عبدالجبلِ الز غبري |  | الدا |
| 79 | 91 |  |  | 3 | Al－Mokah | Gabair Bin Abdullah | Abdulaziz Abdu Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 24 | ثانوبية عامة | عبدالعزيز عبّه محمد عماري | جبابر بن عبن الشّ | الكا |
| 80 | 99 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Saed Bin Gobair | Sedeq Ali Saeed Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 25 | كانوية عاكهة |  | سعير بن جبير | المخا |
| 83 | 109 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Nasr | Mustafa Abduh Mohammed Suleman | Male | Secondary | 26 | ثانوبية عامة | ｜مصطفى عبه محمد | ر｜ | الكا |
| 88 | 114 |  |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Wahda | Qaid Mohammed Ali Ali Almadah | Male | Secondary | 27 | كانوية عاكهة | قآألد | \％ | الكا |
| 89 | 113 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Wahda | Adeel Mufadal Abdulalim Alnehary | Male | Secondary | 28 | كثانوبة عامة |  | \％ | الها |
| 91 | 123 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Farg | Othman Saif Ali Ali | Male | Secondary | 29 | ثانوية عامية |  | ج | الها |
| 16 | 67 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Zahra | Mohammed Saif Ahmed Mahmoud | Male | Secondary | 30 | ثانوية عامة | ｜محمد سيف احمد محمود الظر إلي |  | الهوازبيّة |
| 17 | 72 |  | 2 |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Fakead Ahmed Saif | Yahya Mohammed Al－Khaiba | Male | Secondary | 31 | ثانوية عامة | بحبّ محمد الخّيبة | ｜in | الاوزازبيّة |
| 22 | 82 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Wahda | Khalil Shaher Saif Ahmed | Male | Secondary | 32 | ثانورية عا | ف大لب شاهر سبف احد | 釈 | الاوازبيّة |
| 95 | 171 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Amal | Nagib Mohammed Ahmad Grf | Male | Secondary | 33 | ثانوية عامة | لنجبب محمد احد | N将 | ذبابـ |
| 96 | 170 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Amal | AbuBakr Salem Hasan Salem | Male | Secondary | 34 | ثانوية عامة | ｜بابوبكر سالم حسن سالم | 咬 | نبابـ |
| 106 | 132 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Sud Bin Obada | Mostashar Abdu Ahmad | Male | Secondary | 35 | كانوية عاكية | ｜مستّثار عباه احد | سmع بن باده | نباب |
| 110 | 167 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Yakadah | Taleb Nagi Mohammed Heindy | Male | Secondary | 36 | ثانوية عامة | ｜طالب ناجي محمد هنـي | 枹 | نباب |
| 112 | 165 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Yakadah | Hamdi Zaid Ahmad Said | Male | Secondary | 37 | ثانوية عامة |  | 枹 | نباب |
| 122 | 151 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Gazerat Mayown | Abdu Anis Abdu Badran | Male | Secondary | 38 | ثانوية عامة |  | جزيرة مبون | نباب |
| 130 | 143 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Dawsh | Radhoan Ibrahim Saleh Qasloq | Male | Secondary | 39 | ثانوية عامة |  | 住爯 | نباب |
| 32 | 66 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Esha＇a | Kamel Ahmad Abdulgabar | Male | Secondary | 40 | ثانوية عامة | كامل احد عبدالجبار |  | مقبنة |
| 33 | 65 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Esha＇a | Khaled Abdullah Abdulwahd | Male | Secondary | 41 | ثانوية عامة |  |  | مقبنة |
| 34 | 64 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Esha＇a | Ahmed Mohammed Bagash | Male | Secondary | 42 | ثانوية عامة | ｜حمد محمد بجاثّ | － | مقبنة |
| 44 | 45 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Gabiri | Mohammed Hael Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 43 | ثانوية عامة | ｜محمد هائلّ كحمد | الجابري | مقبنة |
| 45 | 41 |  |  |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Sadeq Mohammed Ahmad | Male | Secondary | 44 | ثانوية عامة | صصادق محمد آحد | عبا | مقبنة |
| 46 | 40 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Adel Shamlan Hasan | Male | Secondary | 45 | ثانوية عامة | عادل شملان حسن | عبا | مقبنة |
| 47 | 39 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Mohammed Amin Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 46 | ثانوية عامة | ｜محمد امبين محمد |  | مقبنّة |
| 48 | 37 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Shaga＇a Mohammed Qaseem | Male | Secondary | 47 | ثانوبية عامة | ｜＊اجِ محمد فاسم |  | مقنّة |
| 49 | 36 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Mohammed Mohammed Mohsen | Male | Secondary | 48 | ونانوية عامها | － |  | مقبنّ |
| 50 | 38 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Abdullah Bin Rawaha | Mohammed Hameed Hamed | Male | Secondary | 49 | وثانوبية عامة | －محمد حمبر حاهد | عبد الهّ بن رواحها | مقنّة |
| 51 | 32 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Magd | Samer Abdulwaly Muqbel | Male | Secondary | 50 | وثانوية عامة | ｜سمبير عبّ الولى مقلـ | ｜l｜l｜l｜l｜l｜ | مقبنّ |
| 52 | 29 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Tawhead | Ali Hamed Abdullah | Male | Secondary | 51 | وانوبية عامة | علهي حامد عبد المّا | 年 | مقبنة |
| 56 | 26 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Basam Abdulrahman Fares | Male | Secondary | 52 | وثانوية عامة | ｜بسام عبا لالرحمن فارس | الششهر｜اللفية | مقبنة |
| 57 | 25 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Algabry Qassem Haeel Mohammed | Male | Secondary | 53 | وثانوية عامة |  | الشههِ اللفّة | مقبنة |
| 58 | 24 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Gamal Sadik Abdulqader | Male | Secondary | 54 | وثانوية عامة | ｜جمال صادق عبّ الفارد | الشههِ اللفّية | مقبنة |
| 59 | 23 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Muneer Badry Abdulghfar Ali | Male | Secondary | 55 | وثانوية عامة |  | الششهِ اللفقي | مقبنة |
| 60 | 21 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Shahead Alokia | Marwan Badry Abdulghafar | Male | Secondary | 56 | وثانوية عامة | ｜مروان بـري عبد | الششهج اللفّة | مقبنّ |
| 104 | 157 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Twomoh | Zaid Ali Abdullah Aldurain | Male | Diploma |  |  | ｜ | 2－bil | نباب |
|  | 2 | 1 |  |  | Same | Saba Yollyo | Hafida Ahmed Sharaf Aqlan | Female | G11 |  | كانيّي ثانوي |  | 7 7 | سامع |
|  | 7 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | O＇asead Bin Hoadir | Nabilah Hassan Saleh Algumily | Female | Bachelor |  | ． |  | ｜rex | ماوية |
|  | 10 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | Baha Al Dean | Nida Mahmoud Ahmed | Female | G11 |  | ｜كاني | － | ｜بهاء | 囯 |
|  | 11 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | Omar Al－Mokhtar | Sarah Abdullah Abduh Nagi | Female | G11 |  | ｜r |  | ععر المخّار | 囯 |
|  | 12 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | Omar Al－Mokhtar | Hager Abdullah Abduh Nagi | Female | G11 |  | اول ثانوّ | ｜هاجر عبّ الهّ عبهد ناجي | ععر المخّار | 囯 |
|  | 14 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | Al Tawhead | Abeer Hassan Obadi | Female | G11 |  | كانّي ثانوي | عبير حسن عباد | 年 | 囯 |
|  | 15 |  | 2 |  | Mawiiyah | Al Tawhead | Kareema Nagi Saleh Al Hamri | Female | G9 |  | الإناّبع اسِالسي | كربمة ناجي صالح الحمري | 圱 | ماوية |
|  | 16 | 1 |  |  | Mawiiyah | Al Tawhead | Nogat Ali Saeed | Female | G11 |  | كثانوبية عامها | －نجاة علي سِبّ | 年 | ماوية |
|  | 17 |  | 2 |  | Mawiiyah | Al Tawhead | Moshira Ali Dhabwan | Female | G11 |  | كانوبية عاهِ | مـيّبرة علمي دبوان | 吾 | ماوية |
|  | 20 |  |  |  | Mawiiyah | Al－Shahead Al－Thouliah | Latifa Hamud Dabwan | Female | Secondary |  | تانوبية عاهة | ｜تطفيفة حمود دبوان | ｜l｜l｜ | مإوية |
|  | 31 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Magd | Hameed Ahmed Abduh | Male | G11 |  | كثانوية عامة | ｜حمبر احمد عبد． | ｜｜ | مقبنّ |


| MOE List \＃ | JICA\＃ | From Y1 | From Y2 | New | District＇s Name | School＇s Name | Name of JICA Year 3 Contracted Teachers | Gender | Education | ｜ل（1） | ｜اسم المدرسين المتعاقٌ｜معهر｜ | ｜اسم العدرسة | الديرية |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 33 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Magd | Abdu Ahmad Hassan | Male | G11 | كثانوبية عامية | عبجة إحمد حسن | － | مقّبة |
|  | 34 |  |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Magd | Sadeq Ahmad Ghaleb | Male | G11 | ｜كانّي | صادق آحد |  | مقّنة |
|  | 35 |  |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Magd | Hashem Abdu Ghaleb | Male | G10 | ｜ول | هاهاثم عبها غالب | －114 | مقْبٌ |
|  | 42 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Gabiri | Ahmed Saif Ali Qahtan | Male | G9 |  |  | الجابري | مقّنة |
|  | 43 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Gabiri | Nageeb Ali Abdulwahab Mahiob | Male | G9 | ｜ | ｜نجيب علي عبد الو هاب بهيوب | الجابري | مقّنة |
|  | 44 | 1 |  |  | Maqbanah | Al－Gabiri | Abdulalim Zaid Ahmad | Male | G9 | 年 |  | الجابري | مقّنة |
|  | 49 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Wahda | Elham Said Yasin | Female | Secondary | كثانوبية عامة | ｜الهام معجّ ياسبين | ｜لوودة／／المصنع | مقبّة |
|  | 50 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Wahda | Rawia Qaed Ghaleb Zeyat | Female | Secondary | كثانوبية عامها | ｜راوبة فآآد غالب زباط | ｜لوودة／／المصنع | مقبنة |
|  | 58 |  | 2 |  | Maqbanah | Al－Thawra | Hael Said Rageh | Male | G11 | ｜كاني ثانوي | هآلا لـل | \％ | مقّبة |
|  | 68 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Zahra | Manal Hassan Murshed Mohammed | Female | G9 |  |  |  | 樓 |
|  | 71 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Zahra | Saba＇a Abdullah Mohammed Ali | Female | Secondary | كثانوية عامك |  |  | الواز |
|  | 77 | 1 |  |  | Al－Waziah | Gail Bani Ali | Ahmad Abdulwali Maresh | Male | G11 | ｜كانّي | ｜حمد | غيل بن عِّ | إلواز عبا |
|  | 79 |  | 2 |  | Al－Waziah | Al－Fawz | Yahya Ahmad Rashed | Male | G11 | ｜ | ｜بحِّ أحد راثّا | ｜il｜ | 先 |
|  | 90 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Aseam Bin Thabeet | Hana Abdullah Said Mahyob | Female | G11 | ｜ثانّي ثانوي | ｜هناء عبدالهُ سِبل مهيوب | عاصم بن ثابت | －اله |
|  | 95 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Ershad | Mohammed Abdulqawy Ibrahim Abdulnoor | Male | G9 | ｜ |  |  | －$\square_{1}$ |
|  | 96 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Ershad | Abdulnoor Derhem Abdulqawy Bagash | Male | G9 | 年 | ｜عبا اللنور درهم عبر الفّوي بجاشّ |  | －${ }^{1}$ |
|  | 98 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Ershad | Mazen Faisal Gahzar | Male | G10 | ｜ورل ثانوي |  |  | －اله1 |
|  | 100 |  |  |  | Al－Mokah | Saed Bin Gobair | Khaled Ali Hasan Humran | Male | G11 | ｜تاني ثانوي | خالد علي حسن حمران | سعبر بن جيّر | －اله1 |
|  | 104 |  | 2 |  | Al－Mokah | Saba Youleou | Ali Mohammed Solaiman Al－Barq | Male | G11 | ｜تاني | ｜علي محمد سلمّان البرق | 7 7 | ا ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |
|  | 111 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Fath | Radhoan Saeed Fara＇a | Male | Diploma | ｜ |  | （النتا | الدفا |
|  | 112 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Fath | Ali Abdullah Hassan A＇mary | Male | G11 | ｜تانيّ ثانوي |  | ح | الد1） |
|  | 120 | 1 |  |  | Al－Mokah | Al－Hamza | Anisa Mohammed Qaseem Ali | Female | G11 | ｜ | ｜انسّة محمد فاسبر علي | \％${ }^{\text {\％}}$ | الد1） |
|  | 124 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Shab | Nogud Saeed Ahmed Bshara | Female | Diploma | ． |  | ｜in | نبابـ |
|  | 128 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Bab Al－Mandab | Fahima Salem Saleh | Female | Diploma | ． | فهيمة سالم صالح عطبة | بباب المناب | نبابـ |
|  | 129 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Bab Al－Mandab | Asma＇a Abdullah Qaeed | Female | Diploma | ． |  | باباب المنب | ذبابـ |
|  | 140 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Fath | Eqbal Muhssen Sa＇d Tabuzi | Female | Diploma | （1） | ｜فقال محسن علي سِبذ الطبوزي | ح | ذبابـ |
|  | 141 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Fath | Mageda Muhssen Sa＇d Tabuzi | Female | Secondary | كثّانوية عامية |  | ح | ذبابـ |
|  | 142 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Dawsh | Abduh Abdullah Salem La＇is | Male | Diploma | ｜c． |  |  | ذبابـ |
|  | 147 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Gazerat Mayown | Ameer Haeel Abdulrab | Male | Secondary | كثانوية عامية | ｜امبير هإبل عبج الرب | جزيرة | ذبابـ |
|  | 149 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Gazerat Mayown | Zaina Abdullah Yahya | Female | Secondary | كثانوية عامية |  | جزيرّ | ذبابـ |
|  | 158 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Twomoh | Mohammed Said Mohammed | Male | G11 |  | － | حموi｜ |  |
|  | 159 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Twomoh | Fathia Saleh Mosleh | Female | G11 | ｜تانّي ثانوي |  | 2－bil | فـباب |
|  | 168 | 1 |  |  | Dubab | Al－Yakadah | Saleh Ahmad Ahmad Khamog | Male | G9 | 181 | ｜صالح احمد إحمد خورج | 标 | كـباب |
|  | 172 |  | 2 |  | Dubab | Al－Amal | Sahar Omar Ahmad Awad | Female | Diploma | 1－2． | ｜سحر عهر احهد | Joyl | ذنباب |

Yellow
Already hired by GEO
Gray

unqualified（under Secondary）
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Distribution of Year 3 teachers by education ( $\mathrm{N}=172$ )


Year 3 Teachers by Starting Year
( $\mathrm{N}=172$ )



Year 3 Teachers Educational
Background By District ( $\mathrm{N}=172$ )


Year 3 BRIDGE Contract Teachers by District and by Gender ( $\mathrm{N}=172$ )


Year 3 Teachers by Starting Year and by District ( $\mathrm{N}=172$ )


Year 3 Teachers by Starting Year (Female)


Year 3 Teachers by Starting Year (Male)

Year 3 Contract Teachers by starting Year (Male=114)
Year 1 and Year 3, 2,



[^0]:    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

[^1]:    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

[^2]:    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

[^3]:    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

[^4]:    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Dichotomy group tabulated at value 1 .
    Source: Created by BRIDGE Project based on the 2005 Baseline and 2008 Endline Survey.

[^6]:    1- Drop outs start from grades $3 \& 4$. There are drop outs among boys too.
    2- We see boys and girls herding together. Why do they not study together?
    3- School is safer than the pastures.
    4- Our focus is on girls in basic education who are young.
    5- There is a difference between studying

