Chapter 2 CBD Microscopic Simulation Model

2.1 Introduction

An area composed of Kivukoni and Mchafukoge is a center of the business activity (called Central Business District: CBD) in Dar es Salaam, where there are about 100 thousands job opportunities. According to the trip generation survey, office use including government offices, public agencies and private companies concentrate in the eastern part of CBD which is divided by India St. and Upanga Rd. In this area, some urban regeneration projects such as Mafta House (Maktaba St.), Bank of Tanzania (Shaaban Robert Rd.), NHC House (Samora Ave.) and EXIM Tower (Ghana Ave.) are underway as of late 2007 (Figure 2.1.1).



From left to right, Mafta House, Bank of Tanzania, NHC House and EXIM Tower

Figure 2.1.1 New Office Buildings in the City Center

These will be additional employees working at these new office buildings and at the same time these new buildings attract many visitors in near future. While, the western part of the city center is dominated by small retail shops and residential buildings. Most of these buildings are aged low-rise buildings, however, some redevelopment projects including some high-rise commercial residences are progressing in this area also.

It is generally expected that there will be a need for some form of high-order public transport system accordance to cope with such increasing demand in the city center. One of such systems is Bus Rapid

Transit (BRT). BRT Phase 1 has been committed by the Government of Tanzania, and which will open to public by the end of year 2009. This system runs on Morogoro road from the fish market, through Ubungo, up to Kimala. Since CBD is an already congested area, it is very important to assess the impact of BRT Phase 1 and develop a proper traffic circulation plan to avoid anticipated chaotic congestion problems.

2.2 Outline of the Micro Simulation for CBD

2.2.1 Model Area and Intersections

The modeling area for the microscopic simulation is defined that, a part of the central business district (CBD) called the "city center" which is bounded by Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. and Ohio St. as shown in Figure 2.2.1.



Figure 2.2.1 Modeling Area and Intersections for Microscopic Simulation

2.2.2 The Target Year and Base Case

The base case of the microscopic simulation for the city center is the year 2009 when BRT Phase 1 starts its service. The base case road network and traffic management plan is based on the proposed street direction prepared by LOGIT for DART in 2007.

2.2.3 Modeling Software

Table 2.2.1 shows major microscopic simulation tools in the world. These models are commercial products and some of them have a compatibility with strategic model software. Compatibility among these microscopic simulation models is not guaranteed, that is, data transfer from a certain microscopic

model to another one is difficult.

VISSIM is used for this analysis due to its excellent graphical capabilities and its ability to model traffic signal through user-defined parameters.

| Model | Developer | Compatibility with Strategic model software | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cube Dynasim | Citilabs, USA | Cube families (TRIPS, | | | |
| Dracula (Dynamic Route Assignment Combining User Learning and Micro-simulation) | Institute for Transport Studies, University of Leeds, UK | SATURN | | | |
| PARAMICS (PARAllel MICroscopic Simulation) | The Edinburgh Parallel Computing Centre and SIAS Ltd, UK | - | | | |
| TSIS-CORSIM (Traffic Software Integrated System / CORridor microscopic SIMulation) | Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), USA | - | | | |
| VISSIM (Verkehr In Städten – SIMulation) | PTV System Software and Consulting GMBH, Germany | VISSUM | | | |

Source: JICA Study Team

The traffic flow model used by VISSIM is a discreet, stochastic, time step based microscopic model, with driver-vehicle-units (DVU) as single entities. The model contains a psycho-physical car following model for longitudinal vehicle movement and a rule-based algorithm for lateral movements (lane changing). The car-following model is based on the continuous work of Wiedemann (1974, 1991).

Vehicles follow each other in an oscillating process. As a faster vehicle approaches a slower vehicle on a single lane, it has to decelerate. The action point of conscious reaction depends on the speed difference, distance, and driver-dependant behavior. On multi-lane links, moved up vehicles check whether they can improve their position by changing lanes. If so, they check the possibility of finding acceptable gaps on neighboring lanes. Car following and lane-changing together form the traffic flow model, comprising the basis of VISSIM.

2.3 Existing Condition and Issues

2.3.1 Road Inventory

The first step in defining a network is to describe the network geometry. VISSIM uses the concept of links and connectors to define the road network. Links are one-directional segments of streets, and connectors are usually the intersection of two or more links. In the case of a two-way street, each roadway block would consist of two one-directional links. Existing road geometric and regulation and operation information was collected by field observation survey.

Figure 2.3.1 shows the current road condition as of July 2007 for VISSIM model in the city center.



Figure 2.3.1 Current Street Condition in Modeling Area

2.3.2 Traffic Signal

The existing conditions survey involved coding of traffic signal phasing and timing in case of traffic signal is operated. This traffic signal information is input into the VISSIM model to simulate the operation of existing signalized intersections. The existing condition of the intersection controlled manually by traffic police was observed, accordingly these information was also input into the VISSIM model. Most of the intersections in the city center are not signalized, thus a priority rule is adopted.

Figure 2.3.2 shows location of traffic signals including not-working ones at present, and Figure 2.3.3 shows the current pattern of operating traffic signals by the field observation survey. The traffic signals in operation are located only major intersections along the Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. and there are no traffic signals in operation in the city center. All signals except Uhuru intersection are same phase and split in morning and evening.







G: Green in second, Y: Yellow, AR: All Red.



2.3.3 Traffic Volume

In VISSIM, two kinds of traffic volume input methods are prepared: One is a static routes and the other is dynamic assignment. In the static routes method, traffic volumes by each routes or proportion of turn movement at the intersection are required. This type of vehicle input method is used for the simulation of simple network such as isolated intersection or corridor including several

intersections. In dynamic assignment, traffic volume should be prepared in a form of OD matrix, and vehicles on the network will search and choice an appropriate route based on the previous travel time and travel cost at every defined periods. For the simulation of the city center, the dynamic assignment was applied.

Traffic flow concerning to the city center consists three types of vehicle namely, passenger car, dala dala and truck. Dala dala is categorized into public transportation in the simulation and traffic volume of dala dala is calculated from route and headway.

Passenger car OD matrix is computed as shown in Figure 2.3.4. Based on the trip generation survey, zonal person trips using passenger car are estimated by each zone. The person trips using passenger car are converted vehicular trips by the total traffic volume collected by traffic count survey and total passenger trip crossing the boundary of the city center. As a result of the calculation, an average vehicle occupancy rate is estimated at 1.53 persons per passenger car in the morning peak 2 hours. The zonal vehicular trip generation of passenger car is estimated based on the car users' person trip generation and average vehicle occupancy rate. For estimation of the OD matrix, fratar method, which is a kind of a present pattern method, is adopted. In addition, with the assumption that the possibility of use of passenger car is in proportion of travel distance, distance between each zones are adopted as the present OD pattern.

For the estimation of the truck OD, fratar method is also used. In this case, vehicular trip generation is calculated in proportion to the total gross floor area of commercial use by each zone.



Figure 2.3.4 Flow of Current Passenger Car OD Matrix Estimation

1) Traffic count survey

A turning movement and inflow / outflow traffic count survey was conducted during June to July 2007 at the study intersections and boundary of modeling area as shown in Figure 2.3.5 in the AM and PM peak hour in weekday.



Source: JICA Study Team



Figure 2.3.6 shows the hourly inbound and outbound traffic volume by type of vehicle. A morning peak of the inbound traffic is observed during 7:30 - 8:30, while, the evening peak of outbound traffic is not remarkable. Accordingly, the microscopic simulation for the analysis of traffic circulation in the city center focuses the morning peak hours.

Hourly fluctuation of dala dala including other buses is not significant, the inbound and outbound traffic volumes in morning and evening are almost steady. The number of trucks entering or outgoing from the city center is quite few.







Figure 2.3.7 and 2.3.8 shows the traffic volume in morning 2 hours from 7:00 - 9:00. The largest inbound traffic volume is observed on Ohio St. and it was dominated by passenger cars. The secondary largest inbound traffic volume is observed at Maktaba St. and half of them is occupied by dala dala including other buses. The outbound traffic in the morning peak is lower than that of the inbound traffic except Gerezani St. and Uhuru St. Especially, Uhuru St. is the only exit route of dala dala and more than half of the outbound traffic volume is occupied by dala dala.



Source: JICA Study Team





Source: JICA Study Team



2) Zoning and feature of zone

For the microscopic simulation, the city center is divided into 50 internal zones and 7 external zones as shown in Figure 2.3.9. Figure 2.3.9 also shows a gross floor area collected by the land use inventory survey. According to the result of land use inventory survey, the east side of the city center is dominated by office use including private companies and government or public agencies, and the west side is mainly residential and commercial use.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.9 Gross Floor Area by Zone

3) Estimation of vehicular trip generation

Figure 2.3.10 shows the person trip generation unit by type of land use in the morning peak hours: 7:00 - 9:00, that are estimated based on the trip generation survey. Based on the trip generation units and gross floor area by type of land use and by zone, car users (person) trip generations are computed, consequently which are converted into vehicular figures by using the average vehicle occupancy rates. The vehicle occupancy rate by type of vehicle for this analysis is calculated based on the traffic count survey at the cordon line of CBD.

The estimated vehicular trip production (outbound traffic from CBD) of passenger car in the city center is estimated at about 7.6 thousands and trip attraction (inbound traffic to CBD) is about 13.0 thousands in the morning 2 hours from 7:00 - 9:00. Figure 2.3.11 and 2.3.12 shows the vehicular

trip production and attraction volume in the morning 2 hours, which suggests the estimated parking demand of residents and employees in the city center respectively.



Source: JICA Study Team





Source: JICA Study Team





Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.12 Vehicular Trip Attraction in Morning 2 hours

4) Estimation of Origin-Destination Matrix

By employing the conventional fratar method, the year 2007 passenger car OD matrix in 7:00 - 9:00 is estimated. Figure 2.13 summarizes the year 2007 passenger car flow generated in CBD. The inbound passenger car volume in morning 2 hours is about 12.0 thousands vehicles, whilst the outbound traffic is estimated at 6.9 thousands. Internal (within CBD) traffic volume and through traffic is about 1.0 thousands respectively.



Source: JICA Study Team



Figure 2.3.14 shows the 2007 passenger car OD by super zone in CBD, whilst Figure 2.3.15 shows that of trucks. Major traffic flows (travel demands) are observed from Ali Hassan Mwinyi Rd. to several zones along Ohio St. along which there are several high-rise office buildings. Truck travel demand is dominated in the western part of CBD, where there are a lot of shop houses and small retailers.



Source: JICA Study Team



Figure 2.3.14 Estimated Car OD Volume in 7:00 – 9:00





2.3.4 Dala dala Routes and Services

Information of dala-dala routes and services in the year 2007 was given by SUMATRA, which are coded as VISSIM network: a total of 11 routes as the existing conditions model of year 2007. There are approximately 6 bus stops within CBD, which are also coded as part of VISSIM network as shown in Figure 2.3.16.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.16 Dala dala Routes and Services Information used in Simulation

2.3.5 Model Calibration

The route decision model was calibrated using the traffic volume data (screen line traffic volume) collected in the site. Figure 2.3.17 shows estimated traffic by the calibrated microscopic simulation model and actual traffic count data in 7:00 - 9:00 for comparison. As seen in Figure 2.3.17, the model can well explain the current travel behavior of passenger cars.

On the other hands, a slightly big difference is observed in the inbound Dala Dala traffic on Maktaba st., which exceeds more than 500 vehicles per 2 hours. While, there is no significant difference in the outbound traffic.

Another traffic count survey (directional traffic count data at major intersections in 2007 by the JICA study team) suggests that there might be no big difference between the estimated traffic and the actual traffic counts in 2007.







Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.18 Dala dala Traffic Volume in 7:00 – 9:00



Source: JICA Study Team



2.3.6 Evaluation of Current Traffic Management

Table 2.3.1 shows the performance of the existing network with the existing demand. An average travel speed is estimated at 27.7 km/h and about 30% of the travel time is delay time such as stops at intersections.

| Table 2.3.1 Results of Simulation in 7:00 – 9:00 |
|--|
|--|

| Number of Vehicles | 22,424 |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 45,392 |
| Average Distance Traveled (km) | 2.02 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 1,638 |
| Average Travel Time (min) | 4.38 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 27.7 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 485 |
| Average Delay (min) | 1.30 |

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.20 shows the simulated traffic volume from 7:00 to 9:00 (assigned traffics on the network within the simulated two hours). The traffic volumes on Samora Ave., Sokoine Drv., Maktaba St. and Ohio St. are remarkable in the city center.

Figure 2.3.21 shows the simulated average travel speed by road segment from 7:00 to 9:00. Lower travel speeds are simulated on road segments near the major intersections and data data stops along the Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd.

Within CBD, low travel speeds are observed on the approaches to Askari monument roundabout, Clock tower roundabout, and westbound of Sokine drive from the intersection with Station St., which is lower than 15 km/h.



Source: JICA Study Team





Figure 2.3.21 Simulated Average Travel Speeds in 7:00 – 9:00 in 2007

Figure 2.3.22 shows the intersection delay and the level of service (LOS) based on the Highway Capacity Manual as shown in Table 2.3.2. The most congested intersection is Askari Monument Roundabout which shows LOS "E" and the average delay is 40 seconds per vehicle. The LOS "C" intersections are observed at signalized intersections along the Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.3.22 Level of Service of Major Intersections in 7:00 – 9:00 of Current Condition

The microscopic simulation prepared for the year 2007 (exiting) condition and site observations suggest several issues/problems which can be improved immediately (see Figure 2.23).

First, traffic signals installed along the Bibi Titi Mohamed should be fine-tuned in accordance with actual traffic volumes, otherwise traffic police should continue the manual traffic control to meet the changing travel pattern.

The existing dala dala stops along the Bibi Titi Mohamed are located lay-by, however, many dala dala buses make stops in the carriageway for loading and unloading passengers and block other traffics. This phenomena indicates a shortage of lay-by bus stop capacity.

At the dala dala stop in front of the post office called "Posta", a lot of dala dala make stops for loading and unloading by using one lane of Maktaba St. Accordingly the capacity of Maktaba St. is reduced to half.

There are no operating traffic signals inside CBD, therefore, speed reductions and delays are observed at many intersections along artery streets such as Samora Ave.

| Level-of- | Control Delay | (second / vehicle) | Description |
|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Service | Signalized intersections | Unsignalized intersections | |
| А | <u>≤</u> 10.0 | <u>≤</u> 10.0 | Very low vehicle delays, free traffic flow, signal progression extremely favorable, most vehicles arrive during given signal phase. |
| В | 10.1 to 20.0 | 10.1 to 15.0 | Good signal progression, more vehicles stop and experience higher delays than for LOS A. |
| С | 20.1 to 35.0 | 15.1 to 25.0 | Stable traffic flow, fair signal progression, significant number of vehicles stop at signals. |
| D | 35.1 to 55.0 | 25.1 to 35.0 | Noticeable traffic congestion, longer delays and unfavorable signal progression, many vehicles stop at signals. |
| Е | 55.1 to 80.0 | 35.1 to 50.0 | Limit of acceptable vehicle delay, unstable traffic flow, poor signal progression, traffic near roadway capacity, frequent cycle failures. |
| F | >80.0 | > 50.0 | Unacceptable delay, extremely unstable flow, heavy congestion, traffic exceeds roadway capacity, stop-and-go conditions. |

Table 2.3.2 Level-of-Service Definitions for Intersections

Source: Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board, 2000



Figure 2.3.23 Problems of Existing Traffic Flow in 7:00 – 8:00

2.4 BRT Phase 1 Base Case Simulation

2.4.1 Road Network

The VISSIM base case road network including BRT phase 1 operation was prepared based on the proposed road operation by LOGIT for DART (Figure 2.4.1). There are two major features in this network and operation plan as follows:

- Most of the streets in CBD are operated by one-way system, and
- Four missing link (Upanga Rd. Ali Hassan Mwinyi, Ghana Ave. Kisutu St., Jamhuri St. Garden Ave. and BR Magogoni – Shaaban Robert St.) are connected.



Source: Street Direction by LOGIT

Figure 2.4.1 BRT Base Case Network (DART original plan)

2.4.2 Traffic Signal

According to the operation plan of BRT phase 1, the traffic signal at the Morogoro Rd. – Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. intersection will be changed as shown in Figure 2.4.2.

The traffic signal at the Ohio intersection includes an additional phase for Upanga Rd. Concerning other signalized intersections, nothing is changed from the current signal timing in this case.



Source: Signal configuration at SC2 is based on the BRT Phase 1 Design. Signal configuration at SC4 is considered by JICA Study Team based on the current configuration

Figure 2.4.2 Planned Traffic Signal in BRT Phase 1 (AM)

2.4.3 BRT and Re-routed Dala dala

Figure 2.4.3 shows the proposed Dala dala re-routing plan by LOGIT. There is no Dala dala buses on Morogoro road with the BRT Phase 1 operation through Morogoro Rd. to Kivukoni Front. The BRT services are provided with 1 minute headway with significant reduction of Dala dala bus services: 30% of dala dala operation from the current operation in the city center.



Source: Based on the LOGIT Plan

Figure 2.4.3 Re-routed Dala dala Services

2.4.4 Estimation of Year 2009 Car OD

The BRT Phase 1 is scheduled to open to public in March 2009. In order to test the impact of BRT Phase 1 operation, the year 2009 OD matrix is estimated.

The year 2009 car OD matrix is estimated by combining the current passenger car OD (2007) and additional trip generation by the existing under-construction building in the city center. Figure 2.4.4 shows the existing and estimated future gross floor area. The gross floor area of office use in the city center will increase by 119 thousans to 840 thousands sq. meter and residential use will increase by 68 thousands to 554 thousands sq. meter. The increase of gross floor area of commercial use and hotel are lower than office and residence.

A future truck OD matrix (year 2009) is calculated based on the increase of gross floor area of commercial use and the present truck volume. The increase of gross floor area of commercial use is not remarkable in comparison with the office and residence use, accordingly, the future truck traffic is not increase conspicuously.



Figure 2.4.4 Estimated Gross Floor Area in CBD by year 2009

As the results, a total number of passenger car volume concerning the city center increases by 17.8% of the current volume or up to 24.6 thousands vehicular trips in total in the morning 2 hours of 2009. The inbound traffic volume is forecasted to increase to 14.6 thousand vehicles in the morning 2 hours, which means additional 2.6 thousand vehicular trip will add to the current traffic volume. To maintain the current level of service in the city center, therefore, at least one or more additional lanes in both of inbound / outbound direction is required at the boundary of the city center.



Figure 2.4.5 Estimated Passenger Car Trip Generation in the morning peak in 2009



Source: JICA Study Team





Source: JICA Study Team



2.4.5 Evaluation of CBD traffic management with BRT Phase 1

Table 2.4.1 shows performance of the existing case and the BRT phase 1 base case. In the BRT phase 1 simulation case, the proposed road network (original DART plan) cannot accommodate all the traffic demand within the morning 2 hours, which means, the estimated morning peak traffic demand in the 2009 exceeds the ultimate capacity of the CBD network already.

For the consequent analysis, accordingly, 85% of the year 2009 matrix, which is almost equivalent to the existing traffic volume, is used to identify other problems of the proposed network.

As suggested in Table 2.4.1 the performance of the proposed road network and operation plan with BRT Phase 1 may become worse in comparison with the existing condition.

| | Existing Case | BRT phase1 |
|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| | (2007) | Base Case |
| | | (85% volume) |
| Number of Vehicles | 22,424 | 21,037 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 45,392 | 45,851 |
| Average Distance Traveled (km) | 2.02 | 2.18 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 1,638 | 1,905 |
| Average Travel Time (min) | 4.38 | 5.43 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 27.7 | 24.1 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 485 | 730 |
| Average Delay (min) | 1.30 | 2.08 |

 Table 2.4.1
 Results of Simulation in 7:00 – 9:00

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.4.8 shows the two-hour traffic volumes from 7:00 to 9:00 in BRT phase 1 base case (85% volume assignment). The traffic volumes on the Uhuru St. Ave., Zanaki St., Maktaba St. and Ohio St. are remarkable in the city center.

Figure 2.4.9 shows the average travel speeds from 7:00 to 9:00. Some speed reduction segments observed in the existing case are improved such as Askari monument roundabout and Clock tower roundabout. However, it should be noted that the former case is due to the planned reduction of the number of dala dala operation in the city center, while the latter case is due to the change of one-way operation of Samora Ave. The speed reduction at the intersection of Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. and Libya St is caused by right-turn traffic from / to Morogoro Rd. shifted from the intersection with Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. and the traffic generated in the west side of BRT phase 1 route (Morogoro Rd.).



Figure 2.4.8 BRT Phase 1 Original Plan - Traffic Volume in 7:00 – 9:00 with 85% Demand of Year 2009





Figure 2.4.9 Average Travel Speed in 7:00 – 9:00 of 85% Volume on BRT Base Case Network

Figure 2.4.10 shows the level of service and delay at major intersections. The LOS of the intersection of Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. and Morogoro Rd. is "F" and almost other intersections on the Bibi Titi Mohamed are also worsen than the existing situation. Libya St. is highly congested street in the city center. This traffic congestion is caused by the outbound traffic made by residence along this street and the through traffic bound for Morogoro Rd.



Source: JICA Study Team



The major cause of the gridlock problem in the with-BRT Phase 1 original network plan is insufficient capacity of access roads to the CBD and BRT lanes. The traffic demand in 2009 will increase by 18% of the current traffic demand, while, the number of traffic lanes for the inbound traffic at the boundary of the city center decreases as shown in Table 2.4.2. As the results, almost of intersections along the Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. will be over saturated and a considerable number of vehicles will not be able to enter the city center in morning peak hour within an expected time duration.

The total number of lanes at the BRT section is the same with the existing case, while the traffic volume crossing the section will increase in the future, accordingly the volume-capacity ratio will be

worsen than the current situation.

The other problem is introducing of one-way system on major streets in the city center. In general, one-way system enables to increase capacity of streets by increasing travel speeds. However, in case of CBD, which is in fact a small area having narrow streets, one-way system makes uneconomic detour and increase travel distance and travel time. The longer travel distance and travel time means increase of on-street running vehicles, which causes traffic congestion.



Figure 2.4.11 Screen Line in the City Center

| | | Existing Case | | BRT Phase 1 Base Case | | | | |
|----------|------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Number of | Demand | Volume / | Number of | Demand | Volume / | | |
| | lanes (PCU/hour) | | hour / lane | lanes | (PCU/hour) | hour / lane | | |
| Inbound | 13 | 6,648 | 511 | 12 | 7,834 | 652 | | |
| Outbound | 13 | 4,126 | 317 | 14 | 4,303 | 307 | | |

Table 2.4.2 Traffic Demand and Lanes in the Morning Peak Hour at Screen 1

Source: JICA Study Team

|--|

| | | Existing Case | | BRT Phase 1 Base Case | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|--|--|
| | Number of | Demand | Volume / | Number of | Demand | Volume / | | |
| | lanes | (PCU/hour) | hour / lane | lanes | (PCU/hour) | hour / lane | | |
| Eastbound | 7 | 3,531 | 504 | 7 | 3,863 | 552 | | |
| Westbound | 7 | 1,780 | 254 | 7 | 252 | 307 | | |

Source: JICA Study Team

2.5 Minor Improvement Case with BRT Phase 1

2.5.1 Concept and Improvement Point

The most critical problem of with-BRT Phase 1 case is insufficient capacity of roads forming the boundary of the city center: severe traffic congestion at the intersections along the Bibit Titi Mohamed Rd. is anticipated.

In order to improve the road capacity at the peripheral area of the city center and to maintain smooth traffic flow inside of the city center, following minor modifications were considered as the "Minor improvement case":

- Two-lane right-turn intersections to increase inbound traffic capacity on Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. at the intersections with Maktaba St. and Ohio St.
- Turnaround of one-way at Upang St. between Ohio St. and Maktaba St.
- Installation of traffic signals at the intersection of Bibi Titi Mohamed Rd. with Samora Ave.
- Removal of Askari monument roundabout and installation of traffic signal.
- Removal of roundabout at clock tower (non-signalized intersection).
- Installation of traffic signal at the intersection of Maktaba St. with Ghana Ave. and Garden Ave.
- Two-way street operation in the eastside area of Ohio St.



Figure 2.5.1 Modifications as Minor Improvement

2.5.2 Evaluation of Minor Improvement Case

Table 2.5.1 shows a summary of the simulation of the Minor Improvement Case. An average travel distance in this case is same with the BRT Phase 1 Base case, but the average delay is improved slightly. This minor improvement case shows a slightly better performance in comparison with the BRT Phase 1 Base Case.

| | Existing Case | BRT phase1 Ba | Minor |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | (2007) | se Case | Improved Case |
| | | (85% volume) | (85% volume) |
| Number of Vehicles | 22,424 | 21,037 | 20,407 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 45,392 | 45,851 | 44,649 |
| Average Distance Traveled (km) | 2.02 | 2.18 | 2.18 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 1,638 | 1,905 | 1,833 |
| Average Travel Time (min) | 4.38 | 5.43 | 5.38 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 27.7 | 24.1 | 24.4 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 485 | 730 | 688 |
| Average Delay (min) | 1.30 | 2.08 | 2.02 |

Table 2.5.1 Results of Simulation in 7:00 – 9:00

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team

Because of the capacity enhancement at the two entrance points to the city center, a considerable traffic will flow into the Maktaba St. and Ohio St. As the results, traffic congestion is observed at intersections along these two streets inside CBD.

By applying the Minor Improvements, the traffic congestion points at the boundary of the city center will be improved, however, it can be said that the congested points will just shift from the entrance points to the inside area of the city center. It clearly suggests that the future problem cannot be solved by just enhancing the capacity at the entrance points of the city center, and at the same time, the capacity of the street system inside the city center should be improved.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.5.2 LOS of Major Intersections in 7:00 – 9:00 of 85% Volume on Minor Changed Network

2.6 Traffic Management Improvement Plan

2.6.1 Principal Improvement Concept and Alternatives

Principal concepts in considering the future street and traffic circulation system in the city center with BRT Phase 1 operation are:

- i) To maintain high mobility and accessibility by shorter travel distances for all drivers and avoiding long detour, and
- To achieve the most efficient (capacity increase) and economical utilization of the existing street by some minor improvements such as removal of on-street parking, signalization of intersection and widening of some designated streets.

Based on these two concepts, several test networks for the simulation are prepared. After a million of simulation works of possible ideas, one recommendable idea has been as shown in Figure 2.6.1. The major improved points are;

i) Improvement of Ohio St. intersection with Bibi Titi Mohamed St. including connection of

Upanga St. to the intersection of Ali Hassan Mwinyi Rd.

- ii) Connection Jamhuri St. to Garden Ave.
- iii) Connection BR Magogoni St. to Shaaban Robert St.
- iv) One way system at Railway St. and Algeria St.
- v) Removal of on-street parking and obtain two lane street at India St., Indira Gandhi St., Mosque St. and a part of Sokoine Drive.
- vi) Improvement of intersections with traffic signal as shown in Figure 2.6.2 and 2.6.3.



Figure 2.6.1 Improvement Point



Figure 2.6.2 Intersection Improvement Plan (Morning peak)



Figure 2.6.3 Intersection Improvement Plan (cont'd)

Dala dala route for this case is based on the original BRT phase 1 plan and modified as shown in Figure 2.6.4. The existing Posta dala dala route will touch BRT at the Old Posta station and the existing Kivukoni route at Kivukoni terminal. The operation of these modified routes and other routes are same with the BRT phase 1 original plan.



Figure 2.6.4 Dala dala Basic Routes

2.6.2 Evaluation of the improved case and further consideration

Table 2.6.1 shows results of the microscopic simulation for an improved case and other cases. The improved case can accommodate 100% of the demand in the morning peak hours from 7:00 to 9:00 including BRT, however, the average travel time and delay is longer than the current traffic condition. As shown in Figure 2.6.5, level of service at most of major intersections in western area of CBD are less than level "C".

| | Existing Case | BRT phase1 | Minor | Improved Case |
|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | (2007) | Base Case | Improved Case | (loading 100% |
| | | (loading 85% | (loading 85% | of the demand) |
| | | of the demand) | of the demand) | |
| Number of Vehicles | 22,424 | 21,037 | 20,407 | 24,011 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 45,392 | 45,851 | 44,649 | 49,430 |
| Average Distance Traveled (km) | 2.02 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 2.06 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 1,638 | 1,905 | 1,833 | 2,635 |
| Average Travel Time (min) | 4.38 | 5.43 | 5.38 | 6.58 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 27.7 | 24.1 | 24.4 | 18.8 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 485 | 730 | 688 | 1,371 |
| Average Delay (min) | 1.30 | 2.08 | 2.02 | 3.43 |

Table 2.6.1Results of Simulation in 7:00 – 9:00

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations.

Source: JICA Study Team



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.6.5 LOS of Major Intersections in 7:00 – 9:00 of Improved Network

The result indicate that the passenger car traffic demand should be controlled at the level of 85% of the total passenger car demand in 2009 in order to maintain the current level of services. Otherwise considerable road improvement is required. The implementation of further road improvement such as road widening, however, is very difficult in a short term because of difficulties of land acquisition and other difficult factors in CBD. Therefore, use of (passenger car) traffic demand management technique is desirable with substantial development of public transport systems and associated pedestrian facilities. Detailed discussion on the traffic demand management is available in Technical Report 2.

Chapter 3 Ubungo Intersection Analysis

3.1 Current Traffic Condition

(1) Current Configuration of Intersections and Traffic Signal

Figure 3.1.1 shows the existing configuration of Ubungo intersection and its vicinity. Currently, composition of inflow traffic of each leg is consisted of two straight lanes and one lane for right turn. Dala dala terminal is located in close vicinity to this intersection to/from which the existing dala dala buses run through the Morogoro Rd.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 3.1.1 Existing Configuration of Ubungo Intersection

(2) Traffic Volume

Figure 3.1.2 and 3.1.3 show the current traffic volume at the Ubungo intersection in the morning and evening peak periods respectively. In the morning, major traffic flow is from Kimara to the city center,

however, the traffic flow from the Nelson Mandela Rd. to the city center and from Kimara to the Nelson Mandela are not negligible volume. Sam Nujoma Rd. was under construction when the traffic count survey was conducted, therefore, the traffic volume is not remarkable. In the evening peak hour, the largest traffic flow is from the city center to Kimara, however, the traffic volume is not considerable in comparison with morning peak hour.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 3.1.2 Existing Traffic Volume in Morning Peak Hour



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 3.1.3 Existing Traffic Volume in Evening Peak Hour

(3) Traffic Signal

Traffic flow control of this intersection is conducted by traffic polices in morning and evening peak periods currently. Based on the current traffic volume count data under the traffic police manual operation, a saturation level of this intersection is calculated as shown in Table 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, which suggest under utilization of the intersection capacity. In the morning peak hour, this intersection is almost saturated under the current signal operation consisting of combination of each opposite maneuvers (4 phases). It is suggested to combine a straight and right-turning phase into one phase (see Phase Pattern 2 in Table 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). As calculated in Table 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, the degree of saturation can be decreased by this phasing improvement.

For the further analysis, the existing traffic control is optimized as shown in Figure 3.1.4 as the base case.

| | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| A | h | Sa | m Nujoma | Rd | Ν | lorogoro R | ld. | Nels | on Mandel | a Rd. | Ν | lorogoro R | ld. | | |
| Appro | acn | | | | Fre | om City Ce | nter | | | | Fro | m DAR bo | order | | |
| | | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | | |
| Basic value of satura | ation flow rate | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | | |
| Number of lane | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | |
| Lane width (m) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| and adjustment f | actor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Share of bus (%) | | 22.9% | 19.0% | 34.4% | 11.4% | 57.9% | 24.2% | 50.9% | 34.3% | 28.1% | 24.2% | 19.8% | 37.2% | | |
| and adjustment f | actor | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.94 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.93 | | |
| Share of truck (%) | | 3.2% | 19.0% | 8.9% | 6.2% | 3.1% | 6.8% | 8.4% | 10.2% | 8.8% | 5.4% | 2.5% | 4.8% | | |
| and adjustment f | actor | 0.97 | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.97 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.95 | | |
| Share of left turn (% | (b) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment f | actor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share of right turn (| %) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment | factor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Saturation flow ratio | o | 1668 | 3238 | 1547 | 1658 | 3476 | 1607 | 1507 | 3396 | 1566 | 1630 | 3753 | 1599 | | |
| Total traffic volume | (vehicle/hour) | 188 | 263 | 90 | 211 | 382 | 132 | 214 | 254 | 545 | 149 | 1069 | 417 | | |
| - Passenger Cars | | 135 | 163 | 51 | 174 | 149 | 91 | 87 | 141 | 344 | 105 | 830 | 242 | | |
| - Dala dala and b | ouses | 43 | 50 | 31 | 24 | 221 | 32 | 109 | 87 | 153 | 36 | 212 | 155 | | |
| - 2 axles Trucks | | 5 | 22 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 31 | 8 | 21 | 12 | | |
| - 3 and more axle | es | 1 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 17 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | |
| - Others | | 5 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 42 | 10 | 39 | 9 | 1 | |
| Flow ratio | | 0.113 | 0.081 | 0.058 | 0.127 | 0.110 | 0.082 | 0.142 | 0.075 | 0.348 | 0.091 | 0.285 | 0.261 | λi | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phase1 | | 0.081 | | | | | | 0.075 | | | | | 0.081 | |
| | phase2 | | | 0.058 | | | | | | 0.348 | | | | 0.348 | 0.075 |
| | phase3 | | | | | 0.110 | | | | | | 0.285 | | 0.285 | 0.775 |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 0.082 | | | | | | 0.261 | 0.261 | |
| Required Green | phase1 | | 7 | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | 30 | | | | | | 30 | | | | | |
| | phase3 | | | | | 25 | | | | | | 25 | | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 22 | | | | | | 22 | | |
| Current Cycle Leng | th | | | | | | 1 | 00 | | - | | | | | |
| Capacity | | 1,668 | 227 | 464 | 1,658 | 869 | 354 | 1,507 | 238 | 470 | 1,630 | 938 | 352 | | |
| V/C | | 0.122 | 1.536 | 0.234 | 0.141 | 0.505 | 0.423 | 0.172 | 1.343 | 1.413 | 0.105 | 1.248 | 1.361 | | |
| Phase Pattern 2 | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | - | |
| Flow ratio | | 0.113 | 0.081 | 0.058 | 0.127 | 0.110 | 0.082 | 0.142 | 0.075 | 0.348 | 0.091 | 0.285 | 0.261 | λί | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phase1 | | 0.081 | 0.058 | | | | | | | | | | 0.081 | |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.285 | 0.261 | 0.285 | 0.824 |
| | phase3 | | | | | | | | 0.075 | 0.348 | | | | 0.348 | 0.024 |
| | phase4 | | | | | 0.110 | 0.082 | | | | | | | 0.110 | |
| Required Green | phase1 | | 7 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | | | | 25 | 25 |] | |
| | phase3 | | | | | | | | 30 | 30 | | | | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | |
| Cycle Length | | | | | | | 1 | 00 | | | | | | | |
| Capacity | | 1,668 | 227 | 464 | 1,658 | 869 | 354 | 1,507 | 238 | 470 | 1,630 | 938 | 352 | | |
| V/C | | 0.122 | 1.536 | 0.234 | 0.141 | 0.505 | 0.423 | 0.172 | 1.343 | 1.413 | 0.105 | 1.248 | 1.361 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 3.1.1 Traffic Signal Saturation in Morning Peak Hour

| Period: PM Peak (10 | 5:15 - 17:15) | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | | 4 | | 1 | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | F _0 | I m Nuisma | DJ | N | 4 Aarogoro P | d | Nala | on Mondol | o Dd | N | 4 Iorogoro P | d | | |
| Appro | ach | Sa | in Nujoina | Ku | Erc | m City Co | ntor | INCIS | | a Ku. | Ero | m DAP ho | rdor | | |
| | | LТ | тц | DТ | LT | ли слу се | DT | IТ | тц | рт | LT | TU TU | DT | | |
| Basic value of satura | ation flow rate | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1 | |
| Number of lane | aton now rate | 1000 | 2000 | 1000 | 1000 | 2000 | 1000 | 1000 | 2000 | 1300 | 1000 | 2000 | 1000 | | |
| Lane width (m) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| and adjustment fa | ector | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Share of bus (%) | | 35.4% | 19.7% | 29.3% | 5.5% | 39.9% | 46.9% | 38.0% | 14.6% | 3.7% | 39.5% | 55.6% | 47.8% | | |
| and adjustment fa | actor | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.91 | | |
| Share of truck (%) | | 3.4% | 12.1% | 9.0% | 4.7% | 8.6% | 6.3% | 17.6% | 15.9% | 9.6% | 10.9% | 51% | 8.0% | | |
| and adjustment fa | actor | 0.97 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.96 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.93 | | |
| Share of left turn (% |) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment fa | actor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share of right turn (| %) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment f | actor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Saturation flow ratio | | 1625 | 3434 | 1560 | 1701 | 3412 | 1549 | 1422 | 3354 | 1631 | 1505 | 3424 | 1522 | | |
| Total traffic volume | (vehicle/hour) | 497 | 290 | 222 | 383 | 619 | 64 | 329 | 315 | 219 | 129 | 487 | 251 | | |
| - Passenger Cars | | 164 | 198 | 137 | 344 | 319 | 30 | 146 | 219 | 190 | 64 | 191 | 111 | | |
| - Dala dala and b | uses | 176 | 57 | 65 | 21 | 247 | 30 | 125 | 46 | 8 | 51 | 271 | 120 | | |
| - 2 axles Trucks | | 16 | 28 | 15 | 12 | 36 | 3 | 9 | 26 | 16 | 10 | 19 | 13 | | |
| - 3 and more axle | s | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 49 | 24 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 7 | | |
| - Others | | 187 | 32 | 16 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 30 | 2 | 8 | 1 | | |
| Flow ratio | | 0.306 | 0.084 | 0.142 | 0.225 | 0.181 | 0.041 | 0.231 | 0.094 | 0.134 | 0.086 | 0.142 | 0.165 | λί | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phase1 | | 0.084 | | | | | | 0.094 | | | | | 0.094 | |
| | phase2 | | | 0.142 | | | | | | 0.134 | | | | 0.142 | 0.583 |
| | phase3 | | | | | 0.181 | | | | | | 0.142 | | 0.181 | 0.000 |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 0.041 | | | | | | 0.165 | 0.165 | |
| Required Green | phase1 | | 14 | | | | | | 14 | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | 21 | | | | | | 21 | | | | | |
| | phase3 | | | | | 26 | | | | | | 26 | | | |
| G | phase4 | | | | | | 24 | 0.0 | | | | | 24 | | |
| Current Cycle Lengt | h | 1.000 | | | | | 1 | 00 | 180 | | | | | | |
| Capacity | | 1,625 | 481 | 328 | 1,701 | 887 | 372 | 1,422 | 470 | 343 | 1,505 | 890 | 365 | | |
| V/C | | 0.338 | 0.757 | 0.823 | 0.242 | 0.831 | 0.200 | 0.309 | 0.837 | 0.778 | 0.104 | 0.646 | 0.819 | i i | |
| Phase Pattern 2 | | 0.200 | 0.004 | 0.142 | 0.225 | 0.101 | 0.041 | 0.021 | 0.004 | 0.124 | 0.097 | 0.142 | 0.165 | 1: | 50 |
| Flow ratio | | 0.306 | 0.084 | 0.142 | 0.225 | 0.181 | 0.041 | 0.231 | 0.094 | 0.134 | 0.080 | 0.142 | 0.165 | A1 | Σ٨ |
| Phase rano | phase1 | | 0.084 | 0.142 | | | | | | | | 0.142 | 0.165 | 0.142 | - |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | 0.004 | 0.124 | | 0.142 | 0.105 | 0.103 | 0.623 |
| | phase3 | | | | | 0.191 | 0.041 | | 0.094 | 0.134 | | | | 0.134 | - |
| Paguirad Graan | phase4 | | 21 | 21 | | 0.181 | 0.041 | | | | | | | 0.181 | |
| Required Green | phase? | | 41 | 21 | | | | | | | | 24 | 24 | | |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | 10 | 10 | | 24 | 24 | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | 26 | 26 | | 19 | 19 | | | | | |
| Cycle Length | pliase4 | | | I | · | 20 | 20 | 00 | | I | I | | I | 1 | |
| Capacity | | 1.625 | 481 | 328 | 1 701 | 887 | 372 | 1 422 | 470 | 343 | 1 505 | 890 | 365 | 1 | |
| V/C | | 0.338 | 0.757 | 0.823 | 0.242 | 0.831 | 0.200 | 0.309 | 0.837 | 0.778 | 0.104 | 0.646 | 0.819 | 1 | |
| 110 | | 0.558 | 0.757 | 0.825 | 0.242 | 0.051 | 0.200 | 0.309 | 0.057 | 0.778 | 0.104 | 0.040 | 0.019 | 1 | |

Table 3.1.2 Traffic Signal Saturation in Evening Peak Hour



Figure 3.1.4 Optimized Signal Phase Based on the Current Traffic Volume (AM Peak Hour)

(4) Evaluation of the Current Condition

Table 3.1.3 shows a summary of the microscopic simulation for the current traffic condition. Average delay of all vehicles at the intersection is 32.6 seconds per vehicle and LOS is "C". Figure 3.1.5 shows the average travel time and delay by direction. The traffic from Kimara which indicates the largest traffic volume in the morning peak includes considerable delay more than 1 minute because of shortage of green time at the intersection.

| Indicators | Existing Case |
|---|---------------|
| Number of Vehicles (arrived at destination) | 11,071 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 17,850 |
| Ave. Travel Distance (km) | 1.61 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 520 |
| Ave. Travel Time (min) | 2.82 |
| Ave. Network Speed (km/h) | 34.3 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 180.3 |
| Ave. Delay (min) | 0.98 |

 Table 3.1.3
 Network Performance in Existing Case in 6:00 – 9:00

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team



Source: JICA Study Team



3.2 BRT Phase 1 Original Case

(1) Configuration of Intersections and signals

Ubungo intersection will be improved by the BRT Phase 1 project. According to the phase 1 BRT design, configuration of Ubungo intersection will be significantly changed in order to maximize a benefit of BRT. Any right-turn traffics are forced to make left-turn first at the intersection, then make U-turns at the northern or southern signalized u-tern facilities as shown in Figure 3.2.1. Figure 3.2.2 shows the proposed signal timing of three intersections by phase 1 BRT design.



Source: BRT Phase 1 Design, Logit Consultants (2007)





Source: BRT Phase 1 Design, Logit Consultants (2007)

Figure 3.2.2 Signal Timing Plan by BRT Phase 1

(2) Estimation of Traffic Volume

In the future, traffic volume passing this important intersection will significantly increase. Especially, after completion of the construction work of Sam Nujoma Rd. the traffic to/from this road will suddenly and sharply increase. However, for the evaluation of BRT Phase 1 configuration as of this report production timing (December 2007), the existing traffic volume data is used temporarily for the simulation purpose except assumptions of dala dala and other buses.

Table 3.2.1 shows the existing dala dala volume at the Ubungo intersection in the morning peak 3 hours per direction based on the information of dala dala routes with the separately conducted headway and traffic count survey at the field.

In the BRT phase 1 case, the existing dala dala services along the Morogoro Rd. will be removed and replaced by the phase 1 BRT. In addition to the BRT, large buses will provide services as a secondary bus system between Nelson Mandela Rd. and Sam Nujoma Rd. The capacity of a secondary bus fleet is assumed to be twice of the existing dala dala, accordingly vehicular traffic volume of the secondary buses becomes a half of the existing dala dala between Nelson Mandela and Sam Nujoma Rd. in the simulation model.

| (Mwenge) | (City Center) | Rd. (Tazara) | (Kimara) | Terminal | Total | |
|----------|-------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | 123 | 191 | 22 | 74 | 410 | |
| 121 | | 73 | 140 | 114 | 448 | |
| 215 | 291 | | 9 | 289 | 804 | |
| 17 | 275 | 8 | | 0 | 300 | |
| 55 | 223 | 300 | 0 | | 578 | |
| 408 | 912 | 572 | 171 | 477 | 2540 | |
| | 121 215 17 55 408 | 123 121 215 291 17 275 55 223 408 912 | 123 191 121 73 215 291 17 275 55 223 300 408 912 | (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) 123 191 22 121 73 140 215 291 9 17 275 8 55 223 300 0 408 912 572 171 | (minute) (cm/ center) (cm/ radius) (cm/ radius) 123 191 22 74 121 73 140 114 215 291 9 289 17 275 8 0 55 223 300 0 408 912 572 171 477 | |

Table 3.2.1 Estimated Dala dala OD Volume at Ubungo Intersection in 6:00 – 9:00

In stead of dala dala, 108 secondary buses are included in other buses.

| | Duses are in | cluded in other I | buses. | are included in of | her buses. | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| BRT Phase 1 | am Nujoma Rd. (Mwenge) | Morogoro Rd. (City Center) | Nelson Mand Ha Rd. (Tazari) | Morogoro Rd. (Kimara) | Ubungo Terminal | Total |
| Sam Nujoma Rd. (Mwenge) | / | 123 | 0 | 22 | 74 | 219 |
| Morogoro Rd. (City Center) | 121 | | 73 | 0 | 114 | 308 |
| Nelson Mandela Rd. (Tazara) | 0 | 291 | | 9 | 289 | 589 |
| Morogoro Rd. (Kimara) | 17 | 0 | 8 | | 0 | 25 |
| Ubungo Terminal | 55 | 223 | 300 | 0 | | 578 |
| Total | 193 | 637 | 381 | 31 | 477 | 1719 |

Source: JICA Study Team

Evaluation of BRT Phase 1 Configuration (3)

As shown in Table 3.2.2, performance of the simulated network of the BRT Phase 1 configuration becomes worse than the existing one because BRT takes exclusive priority at this intersection. The travel time of through-traffic on the Morogoro Rd., which parallels to BRT, is reduced than the existing as shown in Figure 3.2.3. On the other hand, the delay of other traffic movements become worse, particularly that of the right turn traffic is considerable.

In addition, speed reduction facilities such as humps and safety facilities such as pedestrian crossings will lead to speed reductions of other motor vehicles on Morogoro Rd.

BRT Phase 1 **Existing Case** Base Case Number of Vehicles (arrived at destination) 11,071 10,629 Total Distance Traveled (km) 17,850 18,114 Ave. Travel Distance (km) 1.61 1.70 Total Travel Time (hour) 520 797 Ave. Travel Time (min) 2.82 4.50 Ave. Network Speed (km/h) 34.3 22.7 Total Network Delay (hour) 180.3 383.7 Ave. Delay (min) 0.98 2.17

Table 3.2.2 Network Performance in 6:00 – 9:00

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team



Figure 3.2.3 Composition of Average Travel Time by Direction in 6:00 – 9:00

3.3 Possible Improvement: BRT Phase 1 with 4 phase signal

(1) Configuration of Intersections and signals

Figure 3.3.1 shows one of the alternative intersection configurations and signals. In this case, all right-turn movements at the Ubungo intersection are available in each signal phase. In adition, a signalized intersection at the south of Ubungo intersection is placed for dala dala to/from the Ubungo bus terminal.



Figure 3.3.1 Alternative Configuration of Ubungo Intersection and Signal Timing Plan

(2) Traffic Volume

Assigned traffic volume (OD data) for evaluation of this case is same as that of BRT Phase 1 original plan.

(3) Evaluation

Table 3.3.1 shows the results of simulation. Average delay of "BRT with 4 signal phase case" is shorter than other cases. However, as shown in Figure 3.3.2, a delay time will increase in some movements such as dala dala to/from the Ubungo bus terminal.

| Table 3.3.1 | Network Performance in 6:00 – 9:0 | 00 |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|----|
|-------------|-----------------------------------|----|

| | Existing Case | BRT Phase 1 Base Case | BRT with 4 phase signal |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Number of Vehicles (arrived at destination) | 11,071 | 10,629 | 10,707 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 17,850 | 18,114 | 17,319 |
| Ave. Travel Distance (km) | 1.61 | 1.70 | 1.62 |
| Total Travel Time (hour) | 520 | 797 | 524 |
| Ave. Travel Time (min) | 2.82 | 4.50 | 2.94 |
| Ave. Network Speed (km/h) | 34.3 | 22.7 | 33.0 |
| Total Network Delay (hour) | 180.3 | 383.7 | 126.6 |
| Ave. Delay (min) | 0.98 | 2.17 | 0.71 |

Note: Above figures are taking into account only vehicles that reached their destinations. Source: JICA Study Team



Figure 3.3.2 Composition of Average Travel Time by Direction in 6:00 – 9:00

As shown in Table 3.3.2, the performance of BRT proper in the original case is better than that of 4 phase signal case because priority is given to BRT. The speed of BRT will be reduced from 16.6km in the original case to 16.0km in the 4 phases case and the travel time running the simulated network will increase from 5.7 minutes to 5.9 minutes respectively. Since the difference in the BRT operation performance between the original case and the 4 phases might be small as suggested by the simulation, it can be recommended to apply a simple 4 phases operation with some intersection configuration first. However, this analysis here is made based on the existing traffic volume, further investigation will be necessary by considering future traffic demand passing this intersection.

Table 3.3.2 Performance of BRT in 6:00 – 9:00

| | BRT Phase 1 Base Case | BRT with 4 phase signal |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ave. Travel Time (min) | 5.7 | 5.9 |
| Ave. Travel Speed (km/h) | 16.6 | 16.0 |
| Ave. Delay (min) including stopping at stations | 1.26 | 1.47 |

Chapter 4 Tazara Intersection Analysis

4.1 Current Traffic Condition

(1) Current Configuration of Intersection and Traffic Signal

Tazara intersection is located on the crossing of Nyerere Rd. and Nelson Mandela Rd. as shown in Figure 4.1.1. An independent traffic signal system has been installed at this intersection, but without fine-tuning, accordingly it is necessary for traffic polices to control the traffic in peak periods at present.



Source: JICA Study Team



(2) Current Traffic Volume

Figure 4.1.2 and 4.1.3 show the results of turning traffic count survey conducted in July 2007. Passenger cars of direction from the Nyerere international airport to the city center are dominant in

morning peak hours, of which the highest peak is observed during 7:00 - 8:00. The hourly fluctuation of dala dala and other buses is not remarkable but the peak is observed in early morning. The traffic flow of trucks is different from dala dala, traffic volume becomes larger in late morning.

In the evening peak period, the peak of passenger car flow is observed in the opposite direction of the morning peak, that is, traffic from the city center to the airport direction is remarkable. There are no major differences between traffic flow of dala dala in morning peak and evening peak. The traffic volume of trucks decrease as it gets later in the evening.



Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 4.1.2 Current Traffic Volume in Morning Peak Period





(3) Traffic Signal

Table 4.1.1 and 4.1.2 show the performance of Tazara intersection based on the intersection traffic count in the morning peak and evening peak hour in 2007. A flow ratio of intersection in the morning peak is 0.809, and 0.941 in the evening peak. If the traffic signal phasing is improved, that is, combination of straight and right-turn traffic by each approach in applied to the intersection, the flow ratio of intersection in morning peak can be improved to 0.778 in the morning, but it in the evening peak becomes 0.950 as shown in Phase Pattern 2 in the tables.

For the micro simulation purpose, the traffic signal phase shown in Figure 4.4 is applied, which is commonly used signal phasing pattern with this type of intersection.

| Period: AM Peak (7:15 - 8:15) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|------------|------|--------------------|------------|----|-------------|-----------|----|
| | 1 | | | 2 | | | 3 | | | 4 | | |
| Approach | Nelson Mandera | | | Nyerere Rd. | | | Nelson Mandela Rd. | | | Nyerere Rd. | | |
| Approach | From U | bungo Inte | ersection | Fro | om City Ce | nter | F | rom Uhasil | bu | F | rom Airpo | rt |
| | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT |

| | | | 80 | | | | | - | | | | | | 1 | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------------|------------|
| | | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | | |
| Basic value of satura | ation flow rate | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | | |
| Number of lane | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | |
| Lane width (m) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | |
| and adjustment fa | àctor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | l | |
| Share of bus (%) | | 2.6% | 28.2% | 45.6% | 1.6% | 27.8% | 2.5% | 12.5% | 41.8% | 1.0% | 37.9% | 12.1% | 8.8% | | |
| and adjustment fa | àctor | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.92 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.98 | 0.98 | l | |
| Share of truck (%) | | 2.4% | 12.3% | 7.1% | 9.8% | 5.2% | 10.0% | 20.8% | 9.2% | 6.2% | 11.9% | 2.5% | 11.0% | | |
| and adjustment fa | actor | 0.98 | 0.89 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.83 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.98 | 0.90 | 4 | |
| Share of left turn (% | 6) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment fa | àctor | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Share of right turn (| %) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| and adjustment i | factor | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | |
| Saturation flow ratio | 0 | 1749 | 3372 | 1541 | 1633 | 3602 | 1628 | 1453 | 3381 | 1692 | 1495 | 3811 | 1593 | 4 | |
| Total traffic volume | e (vehicle/hour) | 756 | 496 | 425 | 122 | 594 | 120 | 144 | 316 | 97 | 420 | 929 | 227 | | |
| - Passenger Cars | | 682 | 295 | 201 | 108 | 398 | 105 | 96 | 155 | 90 | 211 | 794 | 182 | 1 | |
| - Dala dala and b | buses | 20 | 140 | 194 | 2 | 165 | 3 | 18 | 132 | 1 | 159 | 112 | 20 | | |
| - 2 axles Trucks | | 11 | 24 | 22 | 8 | 27 | 10 | 25 | 19 | 5 | 44 | 22 | 16 | | |
| - 3 and more axle | es | 7 | 37 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 9 | | |
| - Others | | 48 | 24 | 11 | 4 | 26 | 7 | 31 | 94 | 6 | 73 | 228 | 27 | | |
| Flow ratio | | 0.432 | 0.147 | 0.276 | 0.075 | 0.165 | 0.074 | 0.099 | 0.093 | 0.057 | 0.281 | 0.244 | 0.142 | λι | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phasel | | 0.147 | | | | | | 0.093 | | | | | 0.147 | |
| | phase2 | - | | 0.276 | | | | | | 0.057 | | | | 0.276 | 0.809 |
| | phase3 | | | | | 0.165 | 0.054 | | | | | 0.244 | | 0.244 | |
| D 1.10 | phase4 | | 4.0 | | | | 0.074 | | 10 | | | | 0.142 | 0.142 | |
| Required Green | phasel | | 19 | | | | | | 19 | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | 35 | | | | | | 35 | | | | | |
| | phase3 | | | | | 31 | 10 | | | | | 31 | 10 | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 18 | 20 | | | | | 18 | ł | |
| Cycle Length | | 1.740 | 62.4 | 1.10 | 1.622 | 021 | 1 | 20 | 525 | 40.4 | 1.407 | 00.5 | 220 | ł | |
| Capacity | | 1,/49 | 534 | 449 | 1,633 | 931 | 244 | 1,455 | 535 | 494 | 1,495 | 985 | 239 | ł | |
| V/C | | 0.447 | 1.104 | 1.120 | 0.085 | 0.730 | 0.569 | 0.140 | 0.835 | 0.219 | 0.374 | 1.104 | 1.1/5 | 1 | |
| Flase Fattern 2 | | 0.422 | 0.147 | 0.276 | 0.075 | 0.165 | 0.074 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.057 | 0.201 | 0.244 | 0.142 | 2: | V 3 |
| Plow fatto | mbaga1 | 0.432 | 0.147 | 0.276 | 0.075 | 0.105 | 0.074 | 0.099 | 0.095 | 0.037 | 0.281 | 0.244 | 0.142 | AI 0.276 | Δ٨ |
| r nase ratio | phase 1 | - | 0.147 | 0.270 | | | | | | | | 0.244 | 0.142 | 0.276 | |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | 0.002 | 0.057 | | 0.244 | 0.142 | 0.244 | 0.778 |
| | phase 3 | - | | | | 0.165 | 0.074 | | 0.093 | 0.037 | | | | 0.093 | |
| Paguirad Graan | phase4 | | 25 | 25 | | 0.105 | 0.074 | | | | | | | 0.105 | |
| Required Green | phase? | - | 55 | 35 | | | | | | | | 31 | 31 | | |
| | phase3 | - | | | | | | | 12 | 12 | | 51 | 51 | | |
| | phase/ | - | | | | 21 | 21 | | 14 | 12 | | | | 1 | |
| Cycle Length | pnast4 | | I | ļ | ļ | - 21 | 1 | 20 | ļ | ļ | ļ | I | ļ | ł | |
| Capacity | | 1 740 | 534 | 449 | 1 633 | 931 | 244 | 1 453 | 535 | 494 | 1 4 9 5 | 985 | 239 | ł | |
| V/C | | 0.447 | 1 164 | 1 1 26 | 0.085 | 0.730 | 0.560 | 0.140 | 0.835 | 0.210 | 0.374 | 1 164 | 1 1 75 | ł | |
| 110 | | 0.447 | 1.104 | 1.120 | 0.005 | 0.750 | 0.509 | 0.140 | 0.055 | 0.219 | 0.574 | 1.104 | 1.1/5 | 1 | |

| Period: PM Peak | (16:45 - 17:45) | | 1 | | | 2 | | 1 | 3 | | | 4 | | T | |
|-----------------------|------------------|--------|------------|-----------|-------|------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | Ne | elson Mand | era | | Nverere Rd | | Nels | on Mandel | a Rd. | | Nverere Ro | | | |
| Appro | bach | From U | bungo Inte | ersection | Fre | om City Ce | nter | F | rom Uhasi | bu | 1 | From Airpo | rt | | |
| | | LT | TH | RT | LT | TĤ | RT | LT | TH | RT | LT | TH | RT | | |
| Basic value of satur | ration flow rate | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | 1800 | 2000 | 1800 | t | |
| Number of lane | | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Ì | |
| Lane width (m) | | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | Î | |
| and adjustment i | factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | |
| Share of bus (%) | | 3.4% | 33.8% | 43.7% | 3.9% | 10.5% | 3.0% | 8.4% | 24.7% | 0.0% | 39.0% | 14.2% | 11.4% | İ | |
| and adjustment i | factor | 0.99 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.93 | 0.97 | 0.98 | | |
| Share of truck (%) | | 12.1% | 17.7% | 8.2% | 13.0% | 3.7% | 7.0% | 17.5% | 15.9% | 12.2% | 8.3% | 10.3% | 15.8% | Î | |
| and adjustment i | factor | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.85 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.92 | 0.91 | 0.86 | | |
| Share of left turn (% | %) | | | | | | | | | | | | | Î | |
| and adjustment i | factor | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Share of right turn | (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | Ι | |
| and adjustment | factor | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Saturation flow rati | 0 | 1595 | 3184 | 1529 | 1581 | 3777 | 1672 | 1507 | 3289 | 1604 | 1541 | 3527 | 1520 | Ι | |
| Total traffic volume | e (vehicle/hour) | 174 | 464 | 389 | 154 | 1282 | 299 | 263 | 554 | 98 | 503 | 662 | 184 | Ι | |
| - Passenger Cars | 8 | 130 | 225 | 187 | 128 | 1100 | 269 | 195 | 329 | 86 | 265 | 500 | 134 | | |
| - Dala dala and l | buses | 6 | 157 | 170 | 6 | 134 | 9 | 22 | 137 | 0 | 196 | 94 | 21 | | |
| - 2 axles Trucks | | 20 | 52 | 27 | 17 | 47 | 14 | 26 | 40 | 12 | 33 | 65 | 26 | | |
| - 3 and more axl | les | 1 | 30 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 20 | 48 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 3 | | |
| - Others | | 23 | 85 | 16 | 12 | 56 | 3 | 31 | 30 | 6 | 74 | 46 | 12 | | |
| Flow ratio | | 0.109 | 0.146 | 0.254 | 0.097 | 0.339 | 0.179 | 0.175 | 0.168 | 0.061 | 0.326 | 0.188 | 0.121 | λί | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phase1 | | 0.146 | | | | | | 0.168 | | | | | 0.168 | |
| | phase2 | | | 0.254 | | | | | | 0.061 | | | | 0.254 | 0.041 |
| | phase3 | | | | | 0.339 | | | | | | 0.188 | | 0.339 | 0.741 |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 0.179 | | | | | | 0.121 | 0.179 | |
| Required Green | phase1 | | 19 | | | | | | 19 | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | 28 | | | | | | 28 | | | | | |
| | phase3 | | | | | 38 | | | | | | 38 | | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | | 20 | | | | | | 20 | | |
| Cycle Length | | | | | | | 1 | 20 | | | | | | | |
| Capacity | | 1,595 | 504 | 357 | 1,581 | 1,196 | 279 | 1,507 | 521 | 374 | 1,541 | 1,117 | 253 | ļ | |
| V/C | | 0.123 | 1.302 | 1.316 | 0.117 | 1.170 | 1.175 | 0.230 | 1.375 | 0.306 | 0.418 | 0.703 | 0.899 | l | |
| Phase Pattern 2 | | - | - | - | | - | | - | - | - | | - | | | - |
| Flow ratio | | 0.109 | 0.146 | 0.254 | 0.097 | 0.339 | 0.179 | 0.175 | 0.168 | 0.061 | 0.326 | 0.188 | 0.121 | λi | Σλ |
| Phase ratio | phase1 | | 0.146 | 0.254 | | | | | | | | | | 0.254 | 1 |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | | | | 0.188 | 0.121 | 0.188 | 0.950 |
| | phase3 | | | | | | | | 0.168 | 0.061 | | | | 0.168 | |
| | phase4 | | | | | 0.339 | 0.179 | | | | | | | 0.339 | |
| Required Green | phase1 | | 28 | 28 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | phase2 | | | | | | | | | | | 21 | 21 | | |
| | phase3 | | | | | | | | 19 | 19 | | | | | |
| | phase4 | | | | | 38 | 38 | | | | | | ļ | ļ | |
| Cycle Length | | | | | | | 1 | 20 | | | | | | ļ | |
| Capacity | | 1,595 | 504 | 357 | 1,581 | 1,196 | 279 | 1,507 | 521 | 374 | 1,541 | 1,117 | 253 | ļ | |
| V/C | | 0.123 | 1.302 | 1.316 | 0.117 | 1.170 | 1.175 | 0.230 | 1.375 | 0.306 | 0.418 | 0.703 | 0.899 | 1 | |

Table 4.1.2 Traffic Signal Saturation in Evening Peak Hour



Figure 4.1.4 Signal Configuration for the Simulation

4.2 Evaluation of Current Condition

Figure 4.2.1 shows the results of microscopic simulation at Tazara intersection by 2007 turning traffic volume. By optimizing traffic signal, average travel speed will be able to increase to 42.4 km/h from 33.0 km/h. In 2007, a effectiveness of fly-over is not remarkable.

| | Current Signal Configuration | Optimized Signal Case (Base Case) | with Fly-Over Case |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Number of vehicles assigned | 12,872 | 12,895 | 12,879 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 30,020 | 29,988 | 30,008 |
| Total Travel Time (h) | 908 | 708 | 700 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 33.04 | 42.37 | 42.86 |
| Total Network Delay (h) | 339 | 136 | 131 |
| Average Travel Distance (km) | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 |
| Ave. Travel Time (min) | 4.23 | 3.29 | 3.26 |
| Ave. Delay (min) | 1.58 | 0.63 | 0.61 |

 Table 4.2.1
 Results of Microscopic Simulation

4.3 Fly-over case

(1) Configuration of Intersections

Figure 4.3.1 shows the configuration of Tazara intersection with Fly-over. In future, BRT occupy two lanes of Nyerere Rd. therefore, traffic signal pattern is considered as shown in Figure 4.3.1.



Figure 4.3.1 Configuration of Tazara Intersection with Fly-over

(2) Estimation of Future Traffic Volume

Based on the strategic model, daily directional traffic volume at Tazara intersection is forecasted as shown in Figure 4.3.2. For the purpose of evaluation of fly-over, traffic demand in peak hour should be required. Future traffic demand in morning peak hour is assumed based on the passenger car O-D consists of home-to-work place and home-to school trip only. Figure 4.3.3 shows the estimated directional passenger car demand in morning peak hour.



Note: excluding BRT





Figure 4.3.2 Traffic Demand in Morning Peak Hour in 2015 (left) and 2030 (right)

(3) Evaluation of Fly-over

Table 4.3.1 shows the results of microscopic simulation at Tazara intersection in morning peak 3 hours. With fly-over case is able to reduce average delay to 4.45 minutes from 7.44 minutes in 2015, and effectiveness by fly-over is confirmed remarkably.

| | 2015 without F/O | 2015 with F/O | 2030 without F/O | 2030 with F/O |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Number of vehicles assigned | 15,752 | 19,961 | 15,952 | 21,190 |
| Total Distance Traveled (km) | 37,585 | 47,745 | 38,026 | 50,845 |
| Total Travel Time (h) | 2,670 | 2,385 | 2,604 | 2,252 |
| Average Network Speed (km/h) | 14.08 | 20.02 | 14.60 | 22.58 |
| Total Network Delay (h) | 1,954 | 1,480 | 1,880 | 1,288 |
| Average Travel Distance (km) | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.38 | 2.40 |
| Ave. Travel Time (min) | 10.17 | 7.17 | 9.80 | 6.38 |
| Ave. Delay (min) | 7.44 | 4.45 | 7.07 | 3.65 |
| Number of vehicles (not assigned) | 14824 | 10723 | 40137 | 35059 |

 Table 4.3.1
 Results of Microscopic Simulation