

## **Appendix 5. Other Relevant Data**

- 5-1 Technical Memorandum**
- 5-2 Confirmation letter from an organization related**
- 5-3 Results of the Natural Conditions Survey**
- 5-4 Results of Traffic Investigation**
- 5-5 Trchnical Documentation (Results of the Study on the Drainage Structures)**
- 5-6 Basic Design Drawings**

## **Appendix 5-1. Technical Memorandum**


Memorandum

**Subject: Technical note of Design Value to be used for the Basic Design Study on the Project**

The JICA Study Team will propose the following principal standard for the design of captioned project.

Description		Units	Value
Design Speed		Km/hr	60
No. of Lanes		No.	2 [4]
Carriageway Width		m	6.1 [6.7,14.0]
Shoulder width		m	2.0
Maximum Gradient		%	8
Maximum Superelevation		%	6
Fill Slope	Granular soil	Angle	1:1.5~2.0 (depend on soil type)
Cut Slope	Hard Rock	Angle	1:0.5
	Decomposed Rock	Angle	1:0.75
	Other than Rock	Angle	1:1.0~1.5 (depend on soil type)
Design Period		-	10 Years


Note : ( ) = Minimum value, [ ] = Select lane case, and 4 Lane Case

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter Lubambo  
Director

Department of Infrastructure and Support Services  
Ministry of Local Government and Housing (MLGH)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
T. MASUI  
Chief Consultant of JICA Study Team

(Witness)

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Erasmus M. Chilundika  
Acting Director & CEO  
Road Development Agency

THE TECHNICAL NOTES ON THE BASIC DESIGN STUDY(I)  
ON THE PROJECT FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF  
LIVINGSTONE CITY ROADS IN THE REPUBLIC OF ZAMBIA

The following issues were confirmed by Livingstone City Council(LCC), Road Development Agency(RDA) and JICA Study team.

5<sup>th</sup> December 2007


1. The proposed design prepared by JICA Study team after the field survey II in Japan principally follows the existing condition, such as the cross section as shown in the attachment-1, the horizontal curve, the vertical curve, the existing drainage facilities along the road, the existing sidewalk and so on.
2. The proposed design above mentioned shall refer to the memorandum of the joint inspection 26th November 2007 as shown in the attachment-2
3. The design of the project road shall be carried out in accordance with the SATCC design manuals as follows:
  - SATCC Draft Code of Practice for the Geometric Design of Trunk Roads, Sept 1998 (Reprinted July 2001)
  - SATCC Draft Code of Practice for the Geometric Design of Road Pavements, Sept 1998 (Reprinted July 2001)
  - SATCC Draft Code of Practice for the Rehabilitation of Road Pavement, Sept 1998 (Reprinted July 2001)
4. The pavement analysis shall be carried out in accordance with the AASHTO.











KONO TAKUJI  
Design Engineer(I)  
JICA Study Team



GOREEY SINYWIBULULA  
Director of Engineering Service  
Livingstone City Council



LAZAROUS NYAWALI  
Regional Engineer  
Southern Province of  
Road Development Agency



Mosi O'tunya Road  
L=13.22km

CROSS SECTIONS OF THE EXISTIN ROAD

**MEMORANDUM FOR JOINT SITE INSPECTION  
WITH LCC, RDA AND JICA STUDY TEAM  
ON THE PROJECT FOR IMPROVEMENT OF LIVINGSTONE CITY ROADS**

DATE : 26<sup>th</sup> November 2007

TIME : AM8:30 – PM3:00

**PARTICIPANTS :**

Mr. Clement Mutale Chisanga	Director of City Planning (LCC)
Mr. Charles Sichzya	Deputy Director of Engineering Service (LCC)
Mr. Steven Mwiya	Technician of HMS(Highway Management System) of RDA, Southern Province
Mr. KONO Takuji	Road Designer I (JICA Study Team)
Mr. SHIMIZU Nouharu	Road Designer II (JICA Study Team)
Mr. HIROSE Sueo	Natural Condition Surveyor (JICA Study Team)
Mr. ONODA Shin	Construction Planner (JICA Study Team)

**1. Purpose of Joint Inspection**

The joint site inspection was held on 26<sup>th</sup> November 2007 for the purpose of discussing the following the points of view each other on site.

- Actual Situation of Existing Drainage and Subjects to be solved
- Confirmation of Typical Project Components
- Relocation of Utilities

**2. Transverse Drainage**

2-1 It was agreed by LCC, RDA (hereinafter referred as “Local Authority”) that the existing drainage along the project road should be cleaned before commencement of construction.

2-2 It was confirmed that Local Authority shall carry out a field inspection on the existing drainage situation from maintenance point of view per a month. In addition, Local Authority shall prepare information on the cleaning program/routine maintenance of the drainage facilities on contract base in details.

2-3 It was requested by Local Authority that the diameter of pipes should be applied to 600mm in minimum, 900mm in standard in case of replacements.

2-4 It was confirmed that the existing drainage under good condition would be remained. However, the existing catch-basin along the shoulder should be replaced by new one (size 1.0m\*1.0m) taking account into facilitating maintenance.

2-5 It was confirmed that the existing catch-basin along the road between Kafubu Road and Dry Port should be replaced with a new one. And the transverse drainage shall be newly constructed around Dry Port.

- 2-6 It was confirmed that the corrugated steel pipe and drum steel pipe in town area (from B1 to P10) should be replaced by concrete pipes. And then the existing transverse drainage under pedestrian shall be replaced by the open drain ditch with cover plate instead of the existing pipe. And the inlet/outlet also shall be replaced with new ones, especially the sediment in the inlet shall be constructed soil sump.
- 2-7 It was confirmed that the open drain ditch should be constructed in the median strip in centre town for catchment basin with removable cover.
- 2-8 The open drain ditch with cover plate concrete made shall be constructed at the junction of access road as the case may be necessary.
- 2-9 A ditch shall be constructed along the road from the outlet of P31 to suitable area close to the site.
- 2-10 It was confirmed that the Local Authority do not dump rubbish/debris/silt producing from the cleaning of the existing/proposed drainage facilities beside them anymore. Products removed and should be dumped in a disposal area prepared by Local Authority

### 3. Road Structures

- 3-1 It was confirmed that the beginning of project (Km0+000) was set on the road according to the Tender Drawing Document between Zimba – Livingstone which authorized by the Ministry of Works and Supply.

Accordingly the following data will be prepared by Local Authority at the portion mentioned above;

- Horizontal curve
  - Vertical curve and the elevation at the end of the project
  - Cross section
  - Pavement
  - Others if required.
- 3-2 It was agreed by Local Authority to provide both documents of Urban Development Plan including the community development and SEED Project to JICA Study Team.
- 3-3 It was requested by the Local Authority that the proposed drainage direction should not go to the railway in the border area. In addition, the following were requested by NHCC at the site in the design;
- To utilize the existing drainage conditions of the site
  - To protect the proposed drainage from being damaged by heavy vehicles
  - To improve the Island in the parking space beside the Immigration Office to accommodate Tourists there.
- 3-4 It was agreed by the Local Authority that the access roads for private area shall be paved by chipping.
- 3-5 The width of the proposed pedestrian around border facility section shall be planned from 70cm to 100cm depending on the site condition.

- 3-6 The road structure (mound kerb/flat kerb) from the border facility to the end of the Project shall be maintained as it is actual. However, it should be examined as planned such as the heavy vehicle should not park on the shoulder.
- 3-7 It was agreed by the Local Authority that the existing drainage shall be rehabilitated and land grading along the service road which was requested accordingly by the Local Authority.

#### **4. Existing Bridges**

- 4-1 It was agreed by the Local Authority that the methodology of partial repairing works for existing bridges shall be rust proof treatment for corroded reinforcement after removing concrete.
- 4-2 It was requested by Local Authority that the safety facility shall be planned back and forth of existing bridges.
- 4-3 And it was confirmed by both the Local Authority and the consultant, the substructure of the bridge is still functioned enough.
- 4-4 Local Authority shall inform to the consultant about the design information of the bridge, such as the design life, the design load, the constructed year.

#### **5. Relocation of Public Utilities**

- 5-1 It was agreed by Local Authority to give the unit price of relocation of public utilities to JICA Study Team.
- 5-2 The Local Authority shall prepare the required information to estimate the quantities of them, such as the location map, layout map and the drawings to show their structure details.

#### **6. Others**

- 6-1 It was agreed by the Local Authority that it shall coordinate with related Authorities to obtain clearance and supporting letter for environmental issues (EPB).
- 6-2 Regarding on the items of 4-5(Additional Requests) in MD signed on 21<sup>st</sup> November 2007 in Lusaka, Local Authority shall prepare concrete evidence in detail for clearing the maintenance problems on them.
- 6-3 It was agreed by Local Authority that Local Authority shall give the information concerning place of base camp, disposal area, and borrow pit.
- 6-4 Local Authority will prepare plan/program to use the proposed facilities (Parking Area, Cycling Road, and the others) as requested by them under this project.
- 6-5 Local Authority will prepare suggestions to show the location of the proposed bus stop based on their community development plan to the Study team.
- 6-6 Local Authority will prepare a traffic control/management plan on Livingstone city as a design reference.




**Technical Notes**  
**on the Basic Design Study**  
**on the Project for Improvement of Livingstone City Roads**  
**in the Republic of Zambia**

DATE : October 10, 2007  
 VENUE : Department of Infrastructure & Support Services, MLGH

The following issues were confirmed by the Road Development Agency (RDA), Ministry of Local Government and Housing (MLGH), Livingstone City Council (LCC) and JICA Study team.

1. Lusaka Head office of RDA under the Ministry of Works and Supply (MOWS) confirmed that the improvement on the requested section, as shown in the figure below, of T1 (Mosi O'Tunya road) under Japan Grand Aide is based on the request (Overlay) from LCC through MLGH submitted in July of 2006.




Note: KM72.8000 of T1 starting from Zimba to Livingston financed under 9<sup>th</sup> EU Fund

2. Accordingly, the existing weigh bridge was excluded from the improvement section above as the EU will fund works from Zimba to Water tank tower in Livingstone.
3. MLGH confirmed the request prepared by LCC in July of 2006 as shown in the attached Figure-1.

增井 徹 美

---

Masui Tetsumi  
 Chief Consultant  
 JICA Study Team

  
 10/10/07


---

Peter Lubambo  
 Director  
 Department of Infrastructure and Support Services  
 Ministry of Local Government and Housing



---

Daniel Mulonga  
 Acting Manager-Planning & Design  
 Road Development Agency



Road No.	Road Name	Length of Road (km)	Width of Road (m)
1	Kapondo Street	0.3	7
2	Kaunda Road	1.7	7
3	Kafubu Road	2	7
4	Lenda Road	0.9	6.1
5	Botswana Road	1.1	6.1
6	Chipata Road	0.4	7
7	Nahumba Road	0.8	7
8	Mikambo Road	0.3	6.1
9	Sambono Road	1.5	7
10	Balewa Road	0.9	7
11	Obote Avenue	1.3	7
12	Tanzania Road	0.7	6.1
13	Nyansa Road	0.8	6.1
14	Chipembi Road	0.7	6.1
15	Siambelele Road	1.4	7
16	Linda Road	1.3	7
17	Nakatindi Road	0.6	7
18	Ngoma Road	0.5	6.1
19	Chinwerwe Way	1.1	6.1
20	Nehru Way	1.1	0.1x2
21	Mosi O'tunya Road	14.2	7.0-14.0 2 lane to 4 lane
Total of Road Length		33.9	


Figure-1 Requested Roads

THE TECHNICAL NOTES ON THE BASIC DESIGN STUDY(I)  
ON THE PROJECT FOR THE IMPROVEMENT OF  
LIVINGSTONE CITY ROADS IN REPUBLIC OF ZAMBIA

The following issues were confirmed by Road Development Agency(RDA),  
Livingstone City Council(LCC) and JICA Study team.

12<sup>th</sup> October , 2007

1. Southern province regional office of RDA confirmed that the improvement on the requested section, as shown in the figure below, of T1(Mosi O'Tunya road) under Japan Grand Aid is based on the request (Overlay) from LCC through Ministry of Local Government and Housing (MLGH) submitted in July of 2006




2. Accordingly, the existing weighbridge was excluded from the improvement section explained above.
3. LCC confirmed the request prepared by LCC in July of 2006 as shown in the attached Figure-1.

KONO TAKUJI  
Road Design Engineer (I)  
JICA Study Team

CHARLES SICHIZYA  
Depty Director of Engineering  
Service Livingstone City Council

MUBUYAETA KAPINDA  
Regional Engineer  
Southern Province of  
Road Development Agency



Road No.	Road Name	Length of Road (km)	Width of Road (m)
1	Kapondo Street	0.18	7
2	Kaunda Road	1.81	7
3	Kafubu Road	2.07	7
4	Lenda Road	0.85	6.1
5	Botswana Road	0.91	6.1
6	Chipata Road	0.44	7
7	Nahumba Road	0.88	7
8	Mikambo Road	0.55	6.1
9	Sambono Road	1.52	7
10	Balewa Road	0.78	7
11	Oboto Avenue	1.32	7
12	Tanzania Road	0.70	6.1
13	Nyansa Road	0.79	6.1
14	Chipembi Road	0.70	6.1
15	Siambelele Road	1.20	7
16	Linda Road	1.36	7
17	Nakatindi Road	0.45	7
18	Ngoma Road	0.68	6.1
19	Chimwenwe Way	0.98	6.1
20	Nehru Way	1.13	6.1x2
21	Mosi O'tunya Road	13.22	7.0-14.0
Total of Road Length		32.32	

Figure-1 Requested Roads


C. J. M. ACT DES

**Appendix 5-2. Confirmation letter from an organization related**



**NATIONAL HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

SOUTH-WEST REGION  
Chishimba Falls Road  
P.O. Box 60124  
Tel: 260-03-323662, Fax: 03-260-323653  
E-mail: nhccsowe@zamnet.zm  
Livingstone -Zambia



Ref: NHCC/CONF/501/01/3

6<sup>th</sup> November, 2007

The Town Clerk  
Livingstone City Council  
P.O. BOX 60029  
LIVINGSTONE

*To be Mr  
Franklyn  
Ref  
10/11/2007*

**ATTN: DIRECTOR CITY PLANNING**

Dear Sir

**RE: REHABILITATION OF MOSI-OA-TUNYA ROAD**

Reference is made to your letter dated 20<sup>th</sup> October 2007 on the above subject wherein you were seeking guidance on how far the walk way should go and the width it should have.

Firstly, we would like to emphasize the fact that the area in question is indeed limited in size and is in the World Heritage Site and any significant excavation would result in the loss of wilderness value. Secondly, on the limited section is the last viewing point which is popular to foreign tourists.

In this regard and as discussed on the phone with you, we suggest that the walkway can go as far as the bridge at the width of 1.3 metres, but we advise that the envisaged excavation work should not reach the boundary wire fence of the National Monument.

Considering that some portion of earth will be scrapped away, we request through your office that the contractor can consider putting a reinforced fence to secure the National Monument boundary after walkway and drainage have been done.

We hope our suggestion will be valuable in your project design.

Yours Sincerely

**NATIONAL HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMISSION**

**MUYUMBWA NDIYOI  
ACTING REGIONAL DIRECTOR  
For/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR**

Cc: Acting Planner  
Cc: Site Manager - VFWHS

# Livingstone City Council

OFFICE OF THE TOWN CLERK

P.O BOX 60029

Telephone: 323847/323790

Fax: 260-3-322149


Telex: LCC ZA 24032



Town Clerk's office  
Civic Centre  
Livingstone  
Zambia

14<sup>th</sup> February 2008

Construction Project Consultants Inc  
YSK Bldg 3-23-1 Takadanobaba Shinjuku-ku  
Tokyo 169-0075  
J A P A N



Attention: Mr Masui

Dear Sir

**RE: IMPROVEMENT OF MUSI -OA- TUNYA ROAD - TREE CUTTING**

As a follow up to the site visit we had with yourselves, we agree that the numbers of trees to be removed are as indicated below:-

1. Junction of Airport with Musi -Oa -Tunya - maximum number of trees to be removed is two (2).
2. Junction of M10 (Nakatindi road) with Musi -Oa- Tunya - maximum number of trees to be removed is four (4).

Yours faithfully  
**LIVINGSTONE CITY COUNCIL**

  
**F.G. KALENGA**  
**TOWN CLERK/CHIEF EXECUTIVE**

cc Town Clerk  
cc Parks Superintendent  
cc Director of Engineering Services

CC/ckb..

ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO BE ADDRESSED TO THE TOWN CLERK



NATIONAL HERITAGE CONSERVATION COMMISSION

SOUTH-WEST REGION  
Chishimba Falls Road  
P. O. BOX 60124  
Tel: 260-03-323662, Fax: 03-260-323653  
E-mail: nhccswr@zamnet.zm  
Livingstone -Zambia

NHCC/501/01/3

13<sup>th</sup> December, 2007

The Town Clerk  
Livingstone City Council  
P.O. Box 60029,  
LIVINGSTONE.

Dear Sir.

**REQUEST TO DRAIN STORM WATER THROUGH THE PROJECTED AREA  
AT THE VICTORIA FALLS BORDER**

Reference is made to your letter referenced LCC/103/29/07 dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 2007 on the above subject.

Your request to use the existing drainage and natural waterways is granted. However, we would like to indicate that the project should make every effort to enhance the natural environment rather than detract from it.

As discussed with your staff and consultants, the storm water should be allowed to spread and not to be concentrated.

We hope our response will be valuable to the progress of the project.


Yours faithfully,

Muyumbwa Ndiyoi  
Acting Regional Director


Cc. Executive Director  
Act- Director Conservation Services  
Site Manager - VF



**Appendix 5-3. Results of the Natural Conditions Survey**



Subgrade Classification  
 S1 : CBR 1  
 S2 : CBR 1-4  
 S3 : CBR 5-7  
 S4 : CBR 8-14  
 S5 : CBR 15-20  
 S6 : CBR >30



Longitudinal Profile

Elevation (m)

1000  
950  
900  
850

Trial Pit

Depth (m)

0.000

0.500

1.000

Surface  
Base  
Sub Base  
Subgrade

Subgrade CBR by DCP Test

Subgrade Classification

Km

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

TP1

TP2

TP3

TP4

TP5

TP6

TP7

TP8

TP9

TP10

TP11

TP12

TP13

Nahumba Road

Nehru Road

Oboite Road

Obote Road

Airport Road

Hospital Road

Museum

Civic Centre

Nakasindi Road (M10)

Kaluba Road

Railway Station

Railway

Custom Office

Shopping Mall SPAR

Bridge 1

Bridge 2

Zambesi Sun Hotel

Border Office

0+000

1+000

2+000

3+000

4+000

5+000

6+000

7+000

8+000

9+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

0.000

0.500

1.000

S5

S4

S6

S4

S6

S5

S6

S5

S6

S5

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

S4

S6

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000

13+000

10+000

11+000

12+000



13+000

10+000

11+000



12+000



13+000



TP1 0-193 DCP1 EC Section						TP2 0+830 DCP6					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.000						0.000					
0.020	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.020			0.040	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.040		
0.170	Base	Dense gravel (Stabilised)	0.150			0.140	Base	Curushed Stone	0.100		
0.295	Subbase	Dense gravel (Not Stabilised)	0.125			0.270	Subbase	Dense Gravel	0.130		
0.425	Subgrade	Sandy Clay soil	0.130			0.470	Subgrade	Sandy Soil	0.200		
1.000						1.000					
											

TP3 I+812 DCP11 Near New Fair Mount Hotel						TP4 2+416 DCP14 Center of Town					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.000	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.050			0.000	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.115		
0.050	Base	Crushed Stone	0.120			0.115					
0.170	Subbase	Gravel (Stabilised)	0.150								
0.320	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Soil	0.200				Subgrade	Sandy Material (Not Stabilised)	0.450		
0.520						0.565					
1.000						1.000					








TP5 3+490 DCP19 in front of Ocean Basket						TP6 4+803 DCP26 Before SPAR					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.000	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.040			0.000	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.050		
0.040	Base	Crushed Stone	0.140			0.050	Base	Crushed Stone	0.150		
0.180	Subbase	Crushed Stone (Not Stabilised)	0.155			0.200	Subbase	Crushed Stone	0.150		
0.335	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Clay Soil	0.150			0.350	Subbase	Crushed Stone	0.150		
0.650						0.375	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.025		
						0.575	Subgrade	Dense Gravel	0.200		
1.000						1.000					
											

TP7 5+798 DCP31 Near Sichanga Road						TP8 6+798 DCP36					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.000						0.000					
0.100	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.100			0.400	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.040		
0.200	Base	Crushed Stone (Stabilised)	0.100			0.145	Base	Crushed Stone	0.105		
0.475	Subbase	Crushed Stone (Not Stabilised)	0.275			0.422	Subbase	Crushed Stone with Sand	0.277		
0.675	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Clay Soil	0.200			0.449	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.025		
						0.520	Subgrade	Dense Gravel	0.071		
1.000						1.000					
											

TP9 7+794 DCP41						TP10 8+798 DCP46					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.00						0.000					
0.060	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.060			0.050	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.050		
0.180	Base	Crushed Stone (Stabilised)	0.120			0.130	Base	Crushed Stone (Stabilised)	0.080		
0.320	Subbase	Dense Sandy Clay Soil	0.140								
0.460	Subgrade	Crushed Stone	0.140								
						0.680					
						0.690	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.010		
						0.820	Subgrade	Crushed Stone	0.130		
1.000						1.000					
											



TP11 9+791 DCP51						TP12 10+832 DCP56					
Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)	Depth (m)	Layer	Material	Thickness (m)	Converted CBR by DCP (%)	CBR (Laboratory) (%)
0.00						0.000					
0.095	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.095			0.040	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.040		
0.215	Base	Crushed Stone	0.120			0.200	Base	Sand Stabilised	0.160		
0.315	Subbase	Dense Sandy Clay Soil	0.100				Subbase	Dense Sandy Clay Soil	0.500		
0.452	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Soil	0.137								
1.000						0.700					
						0.780	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Soil	0.080		
						1.000					
 						  					

TP13 12+848 DCP66					
Depth	Layer	Material	Thickness	Converted CBR by DCP	CBR (Laboratory)
(m)			(m)	(%)	(%)
0.000					
0.035	Wearing	Bituminous Chipping	0.035		
	Base	Crushed Stone	0.200		
0.235					
	Subbase	Dense Sandy Soil	0.170		
0.405					
0.510	Subgrade	Dense Sandy Clay	0.105		
1.000					

## **Appendix 5-4. Results of Traffic Investigation**

Description		Motorcycle and Scooter	Light Motor Vehicles Cars	Micro Bus /Mini Bus Taxis approx. (9-15 seats)	Light Delivery Vehicle	Small Bus (25-40 seats)	Large Bus (50-70 seats)	Rigid Single Unit Truck	Rigid Single Unit Truck	Single Trailer Truck	Single Trailer	Multi Trailer Truck					Other Transport Bicycles, Cart, etc	Total	Total (Vehicle)	Heavy Vehicle	commercial vehicles ratio (%)
												2 axles	3 or 4 axles	2 axles	3 or 4 axles	5 axles					
T1 North Side	1-2/2-1	52	4,562	617	1,671	300	22	218	58	9	29	3	21	41	48	1	66	7,717	7,599	750	9.9
	1-3/3-1	16	3,127	273	667	149	22	147	28	2	18	3	10	30	28	2	30	4,547	4,502	435	9.7
Total		68	7,689	890	2,338	448	44	365	86	11	47	6	31	71	76	3	95	12,263	12,101	1,185	9.8

T1 South Side	1-2/2-1	52	4,562	617	1,671	300	22	63	13	1	8	1	8	15	17	2	13	7,360	7,296	446	6.1
	2-3/3-2	15	1,087	180	436	103	31	53	32	12	10	8	4	16	12	1	26	2,023	1,983	280	14.1
Total		67	5,649	797	2,107	402	53	116	45	13	18	9	12	30	29	3	38	9,383	9,278	726	7.8

T1 Average	1-2/2-1/	67	6,669	843	2,222	425	48	240	66	12	32	7	21	50	52	3	67	10,823	10,689	955	8.9
	2-3/3-2																				
Total		67	6,669	843	2,222	425	48	240	66	12	32	7	21	50	52	3	67	10,823	10,689	955	8.9

% 62.4 7.9 20.8 4.0 0.4 2.2 0.6 0.1 0.3 0.1 0.2 0.5 0.5 0.0




M10	1-3/3-1	16	3,127	273	667	149	22	147	28	2	18	3	10	30	28	2	30	4,547	4,502	435	9.7
	2-3/3-2	15	1,087	180	436	103	31	104	42	14	20	9	8	24	22	1	66	2,159	2,079	376	18.1
Total		31	4,214	453	1,102	251	52	250	70	16	38	12	18	54	49	3	95	6,706	6,580	811	12.3

% 64.0 6.9 16.7 3.8 0.8 3.8 1.1 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.7 0.0

Border	1-2/2-1	10	762	195	216	73	8	42	23	6	19	2	22	30	33	1	41	1,477	1,428	255	17.8
Total		10	762	195	216	73	8	42	23	6	19	2	22	30	33	1	41	1,477	1,428	255	17.8

% 53.3 13.6 15.1 5.1 0.5 2.9 1.6 0.4 1.3 0.1 1.5 2.1 2.3 0.0

# Traffic counting Survey Spot



**Appendix 5-5. Technical Documentation (Results of the Study on the Drainage Structures)**





Figure of Plan of Drainage Facilities

**Account of drainage facilities (Check of discharge)**

No.	Location	Catchment area	Discharge (Q)			Type	Coefficient of roughness (n)	Gradient			Area A (m <sup>2</sup> )	Hydraulic mean depth R (m)	Discharge velocity V (m/s)	Discharge capacity Qc (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Evaluation	Remarks			
			(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	(m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Total (m <sup>3</sup> /s)			PH		Slope (I) (%)									
								H1 (m)	H2 (m)										
D- 1	0+450 Left	A-1			0.037	U Drain 300×300	0.015			4.000	0.090	0.900	12.429	1.119	O.K				
2	0+450 Left	A-2			0.093	U Drain 300×300	0.015			4.000	0.090	0.900	12.429	1.119	O.K				
P- 40	0+450 Cross	A-1			0.043	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
1	0+580 Cross	A-3			0.014	Existing Pipe Φ 350	0.015			2.000	0.096	0.087	1.851	0.178	O.K				
36	0+645 Cross	A-4			0.007	Existing Pipe Φ 350	0.015			2.000	0.096	0.087	1.851	0.178	O.K				
2	0+805 Cross	A-5			0.018	Existing Pipe Φ 300	0.015			2.000	0.096	0.087	1.851	0.178	O.K				
4	1+085 Cross	A-6			0.012	Existing Pipe Φ 300	0.015			2.000	0.096	0.087	1.851	0.178	O.K				
5	1+245 Cross	A-7			0.018	Existing Pipe Φ 300	0.015			2.000	0.096	0.087	1.851	0.178	O.K				
B- 1	1+420 Cross	A-8, C-1~C-4	0.360	5.447	5.807	Existing Box 1m×1m	0.015			0.500	1.000	3.000	9.806	9.806	O.K				
P- 6	1+625 Cross	A-9,C-5	0.019	0.396	0.415	Existing Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
7	1+805 Cross	A-10,C-6	0.017	0.693	0.710	Existing Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
8	Designed by SEED Project																		
9	2+160 Cross	A-12,C-8	0.017	1.119	1.136	Pipe Φ 900	0.015			2.000	0.636	0.235	3.590	2.283	O.K				
10	2+345 Cross	A-13,C-9	0.029	1.278	1.307	Pipe Φ 900	0.015			2.000	0.636	0.235	3.590	2.283	O.K				
41	2+495 Cross	A-14			0.024	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
42	2+620 Cross	A-15			0.063	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
43	2+620 Cross	A-15,16			0.089	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
44	2+650 Left	A-15,16,17			0.121	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			2.000	0.283	0.150	2.662	0.753	O.K				
11	2+650 Cross	C-10			1.584	Renewed Pipe Φ 1000	0.015			2.000	0.785	0.250	3.742	2.937	O.K				
45	2+840 Left	C-18			0.030	Renewed Pipe Φ 600	0.015			4.400	0.283	0.150	3.948	1.117	O.K				
46	2+915 Cross	C-18,19			0.042	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
12	3+250 Cross	C-20			0.053	Existing Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
37	3+645 Cross	C-21			0.063	Existing Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
13	3+880 Cross	C-22			0.037	Existing Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
48	4+205 Cross	C-23			0.051	Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
47	3+690 Right	C-24,25			0.151	Renewed Pipe Φ 600	0.015			0.500	0.283	0.150	1.331	0.377	O.K				
D- 3	4+200 Right	C-26,27			0.181	Masonry Drain 1020×600×700	0.03			0.500	0.567	0.275	0.997	0.565	O.K				
P- 49	4+450 Left	C-28			0.040	Renewed Pipe Φ 900	0.015			0.500	0.636	0.235	1.795	1.142	O.K				
50	4+850 Left	C-28,29			0.078	Renewed Pipe Φ 900	0.015			0.500	0.636	0.235	1.795	1.142	O.K				
Discharge Capacity																			
Manning's formula																			
$V=1/n \times R^{2/3} \times I^{1/2}$																			
											A	R							
											Φ 300	0.075	0.071						
											Φ 350	0.096	0.087						
											Φ 600	0.283	0.150						
											Φ 900	0.636	0.235						
											Φ 1000	0.785	0.250						
											Φ 1200	1.131	0.300						
											n : Coefficient of roughness								
											R : Hydraulic mean depth								
											I : Slope								
Qc=A×V																			





**Figure of Abutting Areas**

## Catchment Areas (Adjacent Areas)

No.	Catchment Area (m <sup>3</sup> )	Discharge Coefficient	Discharge of Open Drain		Discharge of Culvert		Remarks
			Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	
C- 1	65,000	0.5	61.8	0.558	71.3	0.644	
C- 2	73,000	0.5	61.8	0.627	71.3	0.723	
C- 3	104,000	0.5	61.8	0.893	71.3	1.030	
C- 4	308,000	0.5	61.8	2.644	71.3	3.050	
C- 5	40,000	0.5	61.8	0.343	71.3	0.396	
C- 6	70,000	0.5	61.8	0.601	71.3	0.693	
C- 7	135,000	0.5	61.8	1.159	71.3	1.337	
C- 8	113,000	0.5	61.8	0.970	71.3	1.119	
C- 9	129,000	0.5	61.8	1.107	71.3	1.277	
C- 10	160,000	0.5	61.8	1.373	71.3	1.584	

Discharge capacity

Rationali's formula

$$Q = (1/3.6 \times 10^6) \times C \times \gamma \times a$$

- C : Discharge Coefficient
- $\gamma$  : Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)
- a : Catchment Area

## Catchment Areas (Carriageway)

Location								Length (m)	breadth (m)	Catchment Area (m <sup>3</sup> )	Discharge Coefficient	Discharge of Open Drain		Discharge of Culvert		Remarks	
												Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)		
A- 1	0	+	0	~	0	+	450	Left	450	6	2,700	0.8	61.8	0.037	71.3	0.043	
A- 2	0	+	0	~	0	+	450	Right	450	15	6,750	0.8	61.8	0.093	71.3	0.107	
A- 3	0	+	450	~	0	+	580	Left	130	7	910	0.8	61.8	0.012	71.3	0.014	
A- 4	0	+	580	~	0	+	645	Left	65	7	455	0.8	61.8	0.006	71.3	0.007	
A- 5	0	+	645	~	0	+	805	Left	160	7	1,120	0.8	61.8	0.015	71.3	0.018	
A- 6	0	+	980	~	1	+	85	Left	105	7	735	0.8	61.8	0.010	71.3	0.012	
A- 7	1	+	85	~	1	+	245	Left	160	7	1,120	0.8	61.8	0.015	71.3	0.018	
A- 8	0	+	0	~	1	+	420		1420	16	22,720	0.8	61.8	0.312	71.3	0.360	
A- 9	1	+	420	~	1	+	625	Right	205	6	1,230	0.8	61.8	0.017	71.3	0.019	
A- 10	1	+	625	~	1	+	805	Right	180	6	1,080	0.8	61.8	0.015	71.3	0.017	
A- 11	1	+	805	~	1	+	980	Right	175	6	1,050	0.8	61.8	0.014	71.3	0.017	
A- 12	1	+	980	~	2	+	160	Right	180	6	1,080	0.8	61.8	0.015	71.3	0.017	
A- 13	2	+	160	~	2	+	345	Right	185	10	1,850	0.8	61.8	0.025	71.3	0.029	
A- 14	2	+	345	~	2	+	495	Right	150	10	1,500	0.8	61.8	0.021	71.3	0.024	
A- 15	2	+	380	~	2	+	620		Parking		4,000	0.8	61.8	0.055	71.3	0.063	
A- 16	2	+	495	~	2	+	620	Right	125	10	1,250	0.8	61.8	0.017	71.3	0.020	
A- 17	2	+	495	~	2	+	620	Left	125	16	2,000	0.8	61.8	0.027	71.3	0.032	
A- 18	2	+	650	~	2	+	840	Left	190	10	1,900	0.8	61.8	0.026	71.3	0.030	
A- 19	2	+	840	~	2	+	915	Left	75	10	750	0.8	61.8	0.010	71.3	0.012	
A- 20	2	+	915	~	3	+	250	Left	335	10	3,350	0.8	61.8	0.046	71.3	0.053	
A- 21	3	+	250	~	3	+	645	Left	395	10	3,950	0.8	61.8	0.054	71.3	0.063	
A- 22	3	+	645	~	3	+	880	Left	235	10	2,350	0.8	61.8	0.032	71.3	0.037	
A- 23	3	+	880	~	4	+	205	Left	325	10	3,250	0.8	61.8	0.045	71.3	0.051	
A- 24	3	+	280	~	3	+	690		410	17	6,970	0.8	61.8	0.096	71.3	0.110	
A- 25	3	+	280	~	3	+	690		410	20	8,200	0.25	61.8	0.035	71.3	0.041	
A- 26	3	+	710	~	4	+	200		490	17	8,330	0.8	61.8	0.114	71.3	0.132	
A- 27	3	+	710	~	4	+	200		490	20	9,800	0.25	61.8	0.042	71.3	0.049	
A- 28	4	+	200	~	4	+	450	Left	250	10	2,500	0.8	61.8	0.034	71.3	0.040	
A- 29	4		450		4		850	Left	400	6	2,400	0.8	61.8	0.033	71.3	0.038	