Attachment 1

LIST OF ATTENDANTS

Government of Central Equatoria State			
H.E. Alikaya Aligo Samson	Minister of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Emmanuel Matayo Wani	Ag.Director General, Directorate of Housing & Construction, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Lewis Gore George	Director General, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Paulino Doggole Tranguilo	Ag.Director,Directorate of Roads & Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Lowis Sihimo Tombe	Director of Survey Department, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Semaya Kumba Lako	Deputy Administrator of Housing and Construction Dept., Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Lino Schebesta B. Kenyi	Ag.Director, Communication Dept., Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. John B. Lodu	Ag.Director General, Directorate of Roads & Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr.Samwel Taban Laki	Ag.Director of Construction, Directorate of Roads & Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Japan International Cooperation Ager Mr. Naomichi Murooka	ncy (JICA) Senior Program Officer, Urban and Regional Development/Reconstruction Team II		

Mr. Isamu Kikuchi

ODA Advisor, Ministry of International Cooperation, Government of the Republic of the Sudan

<u>JICA Study Team</u> Mr. Kunihiko Sawano

Team Leader / Urban Development Plan

.

Mr. Akio Nakamura

Transport Plan

EMW

K.C.



Minutes of Discussion on the Interim Report for the Emergency Study on the Planning and Support for Basic Physical and Social Infrastructure in Juba Town and the Surrounding Areas in the Southern Sudan among the Government of the Southern Sudan, Government of Central Equatoria State and JICA Study Team

For the purpose of discussion on the Interim Report for the Emergency Study on the Planning and Support for Basic Physical and Social Infrastructure in Juba Town and the Surrounding Areas (the Study), a meeting was held among the Government of the Southern Sudan (GOSS), Government of Central Equatoria State (State Government) and JICA Study Team on November 28, 2006. The attendants are listed in Attachment 1.

After a series of discussion, the following points were agreed upon among GOSS, State Government and JICA Study Team:

- 1) The JICA Study Team formally submitted the Interim Report to GOSS and State Government and made presentation of its contents. The Sudanese side agreed in principle on the contents of the Interim Report.
- 2) Since many similar studies and related projects are being carried out / planned, the Sudanese side emphasized the importance of the coordination with agencies implementing those studies/projects. The Japanese side agreed thereon.
- 3) The Sudanese side also mentioned that the feasibility studies on the proposed projects in the Interim Report should be conducted.
- 4) The Sudanese side will prepare the comments on the Report at the time of the workshop planned to be held on December 4, 2006 and the additional comments if any even after the workshop. The JICA Study Team told the Sudanese side to submit the comments on or before December 15, 2006.
- 5) Both the Sudanese and Japanese sides agreed to have further discussions, especially on the component projects in the proposed infrastructure development plan.

Juba, November 28, 2006

For GOSS

Mr. Silvas Clark Amozay Director General, Ministry of Housing, Lands and Public Utilities, Government of the Southern Sudan

For State Government

Mr. Lewis Gore George Director General Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Central Equatoria State, Southern Sudan For JICA Study Team

awan

Mr. Kunihiko Sawano Team Leader JICA Study Team

Attachment 1

LIST OF ATTENDANTS

Government of the Southern Sudan

Mr. Silvas Clark Amozay	Director General, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities
Mr. Morris Lomodong	Director General, Water and Sanitation, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities
Mr. Chamjok C. Wiitour	Director, Water Supply, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities
Mr. Tom Carter	Urban Management Advisor, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities
Mr. Duku George Aggrey	Engineer, Ministry of Transport, Roads & Bridges
Mr. Wonde Ade Kenyi	Director, Corporate Planninng, Directorate of Air Transport, Ministry of Transport and Roads
Government of Central Equatoria	State
H.E.Mr. Alikaya Aligo Samson	Minister, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. Lewis Gore George	Director General, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. Comelious Goja Lado Kulang	Director of Lands, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. Emmanuel Matayo Wani	Director of Construction, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. Joseph Ebere	Director, Urban Water Corporation, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. Samwel Taban	Chief Engineer, Urban Water Corporation, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Mr. John B. Lodu	Ag. Director General, Roads and Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Paulino Doggale	Director, Roads and Briges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure
Japan International Cooperation	Aconcy (IICA)
and the second sec	Team Director; East Africa Team, Regional Department IV (Africa)
JICA Study Team	
Mr. Kunihiko Sawano	Team Leader / Urban Development Plan
Mr. Akio Nakamura	Transport Plan
Mr. Kenji Isomoto	Design/Construction Supervision of Pilot Project in Transport Sector
Mr. Nobuo Yoneda	Design/Construction Supervision of Pilot Project in Water Supply Sector

Al 9

Jon - &.

Minutes of Discussion on the Draft Final Report for the Emergency Study on the Planning and Support for Basic Physical and Social Infrastructure in Juba Town and the Surrounding Areas in the Southern Sudan among the Government of the Southern Sudan, Government of Central Equatoria State and JICA Study Team

For the purpose of discussion on the Draft Final Report for the Emergency Study on the Planning and Support for Basic Physical and Social Infrastructure in Juba Town and the Surrounding Areas (the Study), a meeting was held among the Government of the Southern Sudan (GOSS), Government of Central Equatoria State (State Government) and JICA Study Team on January 31, 2007. The attendants are listed in Attachment 1.

After a series of discussion, the following points were agreed upon among GOSS, State Government and JICA Study Team:

- 1) The JICA Study Team formally submitted the Draft Final Report to GOSS and State Government and made presentation of its contents. The Sudanese side agreed in principle on the contents of the Draft Final Report.
- 2) Juba Port Improvement Project to be implemented as a component of the Study has been interrupted since October 28, 2006 due to land right problem. The State Government is negotiating with the lease holders of the subject land. Sudanese side promised to hasten to solve the issue so as to start the construction work at the site as soon as possible, hopefully at the beginning of February, 2007.
- 3) The Sudanese side will prepare and submit to the JICA Study Team the comments on the Report in writing on or before the end of February, 2007. The JICA Study Team requested the Sudanese side to integrate the comments into one paper each from GOSS and the State Government or all comments into one.
- 4) Both the Sudanese and Japanese sides agreed that the Final Report will be made available for general use, not limiting the access only to concerned agencies.

Juba, January 31, 2007

For GOSS

Mr. Raymond Pitya Marbe Undersecretary, Ministry of Housing, Land and Public Utilities, Government of the Southern Sudan

For State Government

Mr. Lewis Gore George Director General Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Central Equatoria State, Southern Sudan

For JICA Study Team

awans

Mr. Kunihiko Sawano Team Leader JICA Study Team

Attachment 1

LIST OF ATTENDANTS

Government of the Southern Sudan

Eng. Raymond Pitya MARBE Under Secretary, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities

Mr. Morris LOMODONG	Director General, Water and Sanitation, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities		
Mr. Chol TONG	Director General of Projects, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities		
Mr. Charles Mesegbe LIBO	Engineer, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities		
Mr. Tom CARTER	Urban Management Advisor, Ministry of Housing, Land & Public Utilities		
Mr. Wonde Ade KENYI	Director, Corporate Planninng, Directorate of Air Transport, Ministry of Transport and Roads		
Mr. Nhial BOL	Director of Railways, Ministry of Transport and Roads		
Mr. Manyok S. CHOL	Engineer, River Transport Department, Ministry of Transport and Roads		
Mr. Lado Togun TOMBE	Director, Mechanical Transport Department, Ministry of Transport and Roads		
Government of Central Equatori			
H.E. Mr. Alikaya Aligo SAMSON	Minister, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Lewis Gore GEORGE	Director General, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Comelious G. Lado KULANO	3 Director of Lands, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Lewis Schimo TOMBE,	Director of Survey, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Emmanuel Matayo Wani	Director of Construction, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Semaya Kumba LAKO	Deputy Administrator, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. John B. Lodu	Ag, Director General, Roads and Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Samwel Taban LAKI	Director of Construction, Roads and Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Paulino Doggole	Director, Roads and Bridges, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure		
Mr. Victor KHAMIS	Information Officer, Ministry of Physical Infrastructure $\mathcal{I}.\mathcal{G}$		
Mr. Simon GAMA	Director, Construction Department, Ministry of Education		

Mr. Yuichi Sugano	Team Director, Urban and Regional Development/Reconstruction Team II, Group
	II, Social Development Department
Mr. Naomichi Murooka	Senior Program Officer, Urban and Regional Development/Reconstruction Team II
	Group II, Social Development Department
Mr. Yoshihide Teranishi	Representative, Regional Support Office for Eastern and Southern Africa
Ms. Yuko Dohi	Project Formulation Advisor, Peace Building & Post Conflict Reconstruction,
	Regional Support Office for Eastern and Southern Africa
JICA Study Team	
Mr. Kunihiko Sawano	Team Leader/Urban Development Plan
Mr. Akio Nakamura	Transport Plan
Mr. Kenji Isomoto	Design/Construction Supervision of Pilot Project in Transport Sector
Mr. Wycklife Abok	Coordinator

AF KS

APPENDIX 2

PROJECT PROFILES

Sector	Project No.	Project Name
Transport	TR1~TR5	Road and Bridge Construction Project
Infrastructure	TP-1~TP-3	River Port Project
	TA-1~TA-3	Airport Project
Utilities	WS-1~WS-4	Water Supply Project
	PS-1~PS-3	Power Supply Project
Sanitation	SS-1	Solid Waste Management Project
	SW-1~SW-3	Waste Water Management Project
Public/Social	FE-1~FE-3	Education Facilities Project
Facilities	FM-1~FM-3	Health and Medical Facilities Project

APPENDIX 2 PROJECTS PROFILES

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TR-2, Road Network Development Project, I	Phase-1
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The road network in Juba is excessively underdeveloped in terms of both	Target Beneficiaries:
quantity and quality. Due to insufficient formation of the road network,	• The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
the traffic tends to concentrate on some particular primary roads causing	······ ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ···
the traffic congestion, though it is not so heavy for the moment when	Effects:
traffic demand is not fully developed yet. The road condition, even in	• Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban
primary roads, is generally in poor condition. The sections of asphalt	structure
paved roads such as May Street are deteriorated with many potholes due	 Transport cost savings and travel time reduction
to neglect of maintenance. Many of previously paved roads have	
deteriorated so badly that they have reverted to gravel surfaced roads.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To build an urban road network as the frame of the urban structure as	Economic Viability:
well as the foundation of the sustainable development of the town.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
• To meet the transport demand of people and goods and to activate the	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
social and economic activities.	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel
 To provide the services for non-motorized traffic. 	time reduction.
• To enhance the capacities of road planning, design, construction and	Financial Soundness:
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	 No financial problem is anticipated.
	Environmental Impact:
Location of the Project	Positive Impacts
	Betterment of urban environment
Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas	Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
	residents
	Decrease of traffic accidents
	Negative Impacts
	Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as
	a result of growing traffic volume (This will be mitigated by
	improvement of running condition of roads by the Project)
Score of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Scope of the Project	
Construction of:	 A good peace and order situation is maintained.
Class A: 85km	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
Class B: 69km	sufficient capacity.
Class C:581km	Preconditions
• NMT(Non Motorized Transport): 60km	Road right-of way is secured.
	 Squatters within the right-of-way remove.
	Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation : GOSS/CES	• This projecvt is one of preconditions for the following road
• Operation : CES	transport projects, i.e. "Road Network Development Project,
Maintenance : CES	Phase-2", "Nile River Bridge Construction Project" and
	"Transport Terminal Construction Project".
	• The roads constructed under this Project will provide the
	space for laying transmission/distribution pipes in the water
	supply projects.
Estimated Cost	Roud Network, Plan in Julia
Detailed Design Cost: 7.6mil.USD	
Construction (including construction supervision cost):	
Class A Roads: 42.7mil.USD	
Class B: 27.8mil.USD	
Class C: 174.4mil.USD	12300
NMT: 9.0mil.USD	
• Total Cost: 261.5mil.USD	
Implementation Schodule	
Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Class A	aliter
Class B Class C	
NMT	
lead time (financial arrangement feasibility study basic design detailed design tendering contracting	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, construction implementation	B5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	85 2-250 89
	1,E250m
	42259s A2559a
	Legend
	A2229s B8 A2798e Lagend La
	Uncertaint Uncertaint Vacantiant Vacantiant Vacantiant Vacantiant <t< td=""></t<>
	A2-250sl B Leptone Image: All controls for specific terms Marce field from: Marce field from:<

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TR-3, Road Network Development Project, I	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The road network in Juba is excessively underdeveloped in terms of both	Target Beneficiaries:
quantity and quality. Due to insufficient formation of the road network, the traffic tends to concentrate on some particular primary roads causing	• The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
the traffic congestion, though it is not so heavy for the moment when	• The whole population of Southern Sudan
traffic demand is not fully developed yet. The road condition, even in	Effects
primary roads, is generally in poor condition. The sections of asphalt	Effects: • Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban
paved roads such as May Street are deteriorated with many potholes due	_
to neglect of maintenance. Many of previously paved roads have	structureTransport cost savings and travel time reduction
deteriorated so badly that they have reverted to gravel surfaced roads. Due	 Enhancement of social and economic activities
to insufficient formation of the road network and poor condition, the	Emilancement of social and economic activities
traffic tends to concentrate on some particular primary roads causing the	
traffic congestion, though it is not so heavy for the moment when traffic	
demand is not fully developed yet.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To build an urban road network as the frame of the urban structure as	Economic Viability:
well as the foundation of the sustainable development of the town.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
• To meet the transport demand of people and goods and to activate the	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
social and economic activities.	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel
• To provide the services for non-motorized traffic.	time reduction.
• To build regional road network as the foundation of the sustainable	Financial Soundness:
development of Southern Sudan.	No financial problem is anticipated. Environmental Impact:
 To enhance the capacities of road planning, design, construction and maintenance through the implementation of the Project. 	Environmental Impact: • Positive Impacts
maintenance unough the implementation of the Project.	Positive Impacts Betterment of urban environment
Location of the Project	Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
J	residents
Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas	Decrease of traffic accidents
	Negative Impacts
	Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as
	a result of growing traffic volume (This will be mitigated by
	improvement of running condition of roads by the Project)
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Construction of:	• A good peace and order situation is maintained.
Urban Highway: 76km	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
Interchange/Intersection: 25	sufficient capacity.
	Preconditions
	Road right-of way is secured.
	• Squatters within the right-of-way remove.
A ' D '11	Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation : GOSS/CES	• "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1" is the
Operation : CES	precondition for this Project
Maintenance : CES	
Estimated Cost	and the second s
Detailed Design Cost: 9.7 mil.USD	Road Network Plan in John
Construction (including construction supervision cost):	
Urban Highway: 61.1 mil.USD	
Interchange/Intersection: 20.0mil.USD	
• Total Cost: 90.8mil.USD	1=100
Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020	
Road Network Development Project, Phase-2	
Urban Highway	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
construction/implementation	all Present C
	1
	B6
	14250au
	Legend
	Last had the T
	Loose that the 0
	This can be a set of the fit of t
	Divising Long Wood Flow (0)

Project Florine	
Project No. and Project Name: TR-4, Nile River Bridge Construction Projec Background of the Project The road network in Juba and regional road network in Southern Sudan is excessively underdeveloped in terms of both quantity and quality. The transport across the River Nile is restricted due to only one bridge ver the River Nile with loading capacity of 30 tonnage, resultingin a partial urbanization on the western bank of the River Nile and undeveloped regional economic activities. For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic activities and to accept the resettlement of returned IDPs and refugees, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba, especially road network, is an absolute necessity. Following the urgent rehabilitation of roads being implemented by GOSS and Phase-1 Project, this Project aims to form a complete road network to cater both urban and regional transport demand.	t Effects of the Project Target Beneficiaries: • The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015 • The whole population of Southern Sudan Effects: • Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban structure • Transport cost savings and travel time reduction • Enhancement of social and economic activities
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
 To build an urban road network as the frame of the urban structure as well as the foundation of the sustainable development of the town. To meet the transport demand of people and goods and to activate the social and economic activities. To meet the transport demand of people and goods and to activate the social and economic activities . To build regional road network as the foundation of the sustainable development of Southern Sudan. To enhance the capacities of road planning, design, construction and maintenance through the implementation of the Project. 	 Economic Viability: Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel time reduction. Financial Soundness: No financial problem is anticipated. Environmental Impact: Positive Impacts Betterment of urban environment Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
Location of the Project	residents
Crossing points of both existing and planned roads over the River Nile	 Negative Impacts Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as a result of growing traffic volume (This will be mitigated by improvement of running condition of roads by the Project)
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
 Construction/reconstruction of 6 bridges including approach roads: Bridge improvement (B1): repleement of existing bridgw L=250m Five bridges according to the development of urban road network B2: new construction L=600m (to connect Juba to the east bank) B3: new construction L=100m (ditto) B4: new construction L=250m (JJuba north to northern Sandbank) B5: new construction L=750m (Juba south to the east bank) B6: new construction L=750m (!uba far-south to the east bank) 	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity. Preconditions Road right-of way is secured. Squatters within the right-of-way remove. Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation : GOSS/CES Operation : CES Maintenance : CES	"Road Network Development Project, Phase-1" is the precondition for this Project
Estimated Cost Detailed Design Cost: 2.5mil.USD Construction Cost(including construction supervision cost): B1: 17.5milUSD B2~B6: 66.5mil.USD Total Cost: 86.5mil.USD Implementation Schedule	Bord Network Fine in Info
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 Nike River Bridges (B1) Image: state of the	

Project Prome	
Project No. and Project Name: TR-5 Terminal Construction Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
Currently bus terminals are formed near the market without specifications	a) Target Beneficiaries :
of bus terminal facility in Juba. Such situation causes traffic congestion	 The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
near the market and inconvenience for the passengers. As for the truck	
terminal no public truck terminal is provided yet and loading and	b) Effects of the Project
unloading activities are performed on the road. Such situation also	• Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban
becomes the impediment of road traffic.	structure
For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic and	 Transport cost savings and travel time reduction
to realize future urban structure, development of infrastructure including	 Enhancement of social and economic activities
terminal facilities as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba is an	
absolute necessity.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To facilitate public transport and to realize efficient freight transport	a) Economic Viability
movement	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
• To alleviate the traffic congestion on the road and reduce the obstacle	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel
for traffic	time reduction.
• To enhance the capacities of road planning, design, construction and	b) Financial Soundness
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	 No financial problem is anticipated.
Location of the Project	c) Environmental Impacts
Juba City and the surrounding area	- Positive Impacts
	• Betterment of urban environment (noise pollution and
	vibration).
	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
	residents.
	Improvement of economic activities
	• Decrease of traffic accidents, resulting from vehicle intrusion
	to town center.
	- Negative Impacts
	None
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Construction of 6 bus terminal and 3 truck terminals	• A good peace and order situation is maintained.
Juba Town Bus Terminal 50 7,500	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
Yei Road Bus Terminal 50 7,500	sufficient capacity.
Airport Bus Terminal 10 1,500	Preconditions
Gumba Bus Terminal 30 4,500	 Road right-of way is secured.
Malakia Bus Terminal 20 3,000	• Squatters within the right-of-way remove.
Others (Road side) 10 -	 Necessary fund is prepared.
Airport North Truck Terminal 5 1,750	
Rajaf Truck Terminal 5 1,750	
Yei Road Truck Terminal 5 1,750	
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: Government of CES	• "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1" is a
Operation: Government of CES	precondition for this Project.
Maintenance: Government of CES	
Estimated Cost	
Detailed Design Cost: 0.06mil.USD	Board Network Man in Jules
Construction Cost (* including construction supervision cost):	
Bus terminals : 0.96mil.USD	
Truck terminals : 0.22mil.USD	
Total Cost : 0.221ml.03D	
Iotal Cost : 1.24mil/USD Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	Barrier Barrier Barrier Barrier
Terminal Construction Project	
Truck Terminal	
Bus Terminal	Notes to the second sec
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	a a a a a a a
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	Lacend
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	Largend Lar
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	BS BS BS
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	Lected

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TP-1 Juba Port Improvement Project (Pilot I	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
In Juba exist an old port new port and a small wharf for small private boats. Out of those ports, the old port has not been used due to the heavy sedimentation.	a)Target Beneficiaries :The whole population in Juba
A temporary river port is located 2km upper stream of the old port on the river bank of the main stream of the River Nile. There is no port facility.	b) Effects of the ProjectTransport cost savings
The River Nile is used as a cheap transport mode for cargos and	 Enhancement of social and economic activities
passengers to/from Juba including resucue supplies, rehabilitation materials and IDPs. Therefore the importance of river port improvement is urgently needed. Transportation of crude oil and oil products to Kenya	
and Uganda is also expected. For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic	
activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba, especially port facility, is an absolute necessity.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
To meet the river transport demand of people and goods and to activate	a) Economic Viability
the social and economic activities.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
• To enhance the capacities of port planning, design, construction and	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and time
C r r r r r r r r r r	reduction.
	b) Financial Soundness
	• No financial problem is anticipated.
Location of the Project	c) Environmental Impacts
Present temporary port	- Positive Impacts
	• Improvement of social and economic activities in Juba and
	surrounding region.
	- Negative Impacts
	• Influence on orchard management (This will be
	compensated.)
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Construction of:	• A good peace and order situation is maintained.
Construction of 35m length berthing facility (Jetty)	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
Provision of Cargo Handling Yard (35mx30m)	sufficient capacity.
Installation of cargo handling machine	Preconditions
Improvement of access road (600m)	• Land right conversion of the site and road right-of way are
	secured.Squatters within the right-of-way and project site remove.
	 Squatters within the right-of-way and project site remove. Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: GOSS/Gov. of CES	• Urban development plan is one of preconditions for this
Operation: River Transport Cooperation	Project.
Maintenance: River Transport Cooperation	• "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1", "Nile River
	Bridge Construction Project" and "Transport Terminal
	Construction Project" will be the essential conditions for
Estimated Cost	river port to fully function.
• Total Cost : 1.7milUSD	
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Juba Port Improvement Project (Pilot Project under this Study)	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	1000
construction/implementation	
	+0.00
	Corportanting free
	Alter and a second s
	90000-0000
	Cross Section of Port Facility

Project No. and Project Name: TP-2 Juba Port Expansion Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
In Juba exist an old port new port and a small wharf for small private	a)Target Beneficiaries :
boats. Out of those ports, the old port has not been used due to the heavy	• The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
sedimentation.	 The whole population of Southern Sudan
A temporary river port is located 2km upper stream of the old port on the	b) Effects of the Project
river bank of the main stream of the River Nile. There is no port facility.	• Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban
The River Nile is used as a cheap transport mode for cargos and	structure
passengers to/from Juba. Transportation of crude oil and oil products to	 Transport cost savings
Kenya and Uganda is also expected. Therefore the importance of river	 Enhancement of social and economic activities
transport will remain in future.	
For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic	
activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable	
development of Juba, especially port facility, is an absolute necessity.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To meet the river transport demand of people and goods and to activate	a) Economic Viability
the social and economic activities.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
• To enhance the capacities of port planning, design, construction and	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and time
	reduction.
	b) Financial Soundness
	 No financial problem is anticipated.
	c) Environmental Impacts
Location of the Project	- Positive Impacts
	• Improvement of social and economic activities in Juba and
Present temporary port	 Improvement of social and economic activities in Juba and surrounding region.
	- Negative Impacts
	• Influence on orchard management (This will be
	-
	compensated.)
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Construction of:	• A good peace and order situation is maintained.
An additional 35-m long pier	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
	sufficient capacity.
	Preconditions
	• Land right conversion of the site and road right-of way are
	secured.
	 Squatters within the right-of-way and project site remove.
	 Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: GOSS/Gov. of CES	• Urban development plan is one of preconditions for this
Operation: River Transport Cooperation	Project.
Maintenance: River Transport Cooperation	• "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1", "Nile River
Estimated Cost	Bridge Construction Project" and "Transport Terminal
Detailed Design Cost : 0.3mil.USD	Construction Project" will be the essential conditions for
 Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): 	river port to fully function.
Port expansion : 10.0mil.USD	
Total Cost : 10.3milUSD	
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Juba Port Expansion Project	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, construction/implementation	
construction information	
	A laster the state
	States and a state of the states
	and the second s
	A DE CHIEF A COMPANY
	and the second sec
	Existing River Port

Project Prome	
Project No. and Project Name: TP-3 New Port Construction Project	
Background of the Project In Juba exist an old port new port and a small wharf for small private boats. Out of those ports, the old port has not been used due to the heavy sedimentation. A temporary river port located 2km upper stream of the old port has no port facilities. The River Nile is used as a cheap transport mode for cargos and passengers to/from Juba. Transportation of crude oil and oil products to Kenya and Uganda is also expected. Therefore the importance of river transport will remain in future. For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba, especially port facility, is an absolute necessity.	 Effects of the Project a)Target Beneficiaries : The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015 The whole population of Southern Sudan b) Effects of the Project Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban structure Transport cost savings Enhancement of social and economic activities
 Objectives of the Project To meet the transport demand of people and goods and to activate the social and economic activities. To enhance the capacities of port planning, design, construction and maintenance through the implementation of the Project. 	 Evaluation of the Project a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and time reduction. b) Financial Soundness No financial problem is anticipated.
Location of the Project	c) Environmental Impacts
• Eastern bank of the River Nile is presumed. Location of new port will be studied and determined.	 Positive Impacts Improvement of social and economic activities in Juba and surrounding region. Negative Impacts Iinfluence on orchard management (This will be compensated.)
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
• Construction of: a new river port including Feasibility Study in which roles of temporary and new port will be examined.	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity. Preconditions Land right conversion of the site and road right-of way are secured. Squatters within the right-of-way and project site remove.
	Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible • Project Implementation: GOSS/Gov. of CES • Operation: River Transport Cooperation • Maintenance: River Transport Cooperation Estimated Cost 0.6mil.USD	 Relationship with other projects Urban development plan is one of preconditions for this Project. "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1", "Nile River Bridge Construction Project" and "Transport Terminal Construction Project" will be the essential conditions for river port to fully function. Improvement of competitive regional road network and Juba
 Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): Port Construction: 13.3mil.USD Total Cost: 12.9milUSD 	International airport will affect on the modal share in regional transport by river.
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2014 2017 2018 2019 2020 New Port Construction Project Image: Construction Project	Firsting River Port

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TA-1 Juba International Airport Rehabilitation	
 Background of the Project To the 2km north of old district in Juba Metropolitan area exists Juba International Airport administrated by GOSS. Although a control tower and a terminal building exist, controlling system and improvement of terminal building and equipments are urgently dilapidated. Deterioration of runways is in progress and rehabilitation need is gradually intensified. Also parking space including a hangar for aircrafts is insufficient and needs to be enlarged. Protective fences are not provided on the rim of airport site as a security measure to mark off. It is urgently required to accommodate expanding air transport demand and to secure safe navigation. For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba, especially international airport, is an absolute necessity. Objectives of the Project To meet the domestic and international transport demand of people and goods and to activate the social and economic activities. To enhance the capacities of airport planning, design, construction and maintenance through the implementation of the Project. 	Effects of the Project a)Target Beneficiaries : • The whole population of Southern Sudan b) Effects of the Project • Improvement of air transport safety • Transport cost savings and travel time reduction • Enhancement of social and economic activities Evaluation of the Project a) Economic Viability • Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel time reduction. b) Financial Soundness • No financial problem is anticipated. c) Environmental Impacts • Positive Impacts • Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for residents. • Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related accidents, resulting from facility improvement.
Location of the Project	- Negative Impacts
Existing Juba International Airport	• Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as a result of growing traffic volume
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
 a) Runway and Apron Rehabilitation of existing runways (2500m) b) Terminal Building and Control Tower Renovation of VIP lounge c) Air Navigational Aid Facilities Upgrading and/or new installation of Air Navigational Aid System Doppler VOR (VHF Omni-directional Radio Beacon) DME (Distance Measuring Equipment) NDB (Non-directional Radio Beacon) d) Other Facilities Improvement of car parks, electric power generator and fire-fighting 	A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity. Preconditions Necessary fund is prepared. Relationship with other projects
 Inprovement of car parks, electric power generator and me-righting vehicles Installation of X-ray examination security system Placement of ambulances for airport health facility Construction of protective fence 	• "Road Rehabilitation Project (ERWJ)" and "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1" are preconditions for this Project.
Agencies Responsible	Remarks
 Project Implementation: GOSS Operation: South Sudan Airport Authority Maintenance: South Sudan Airport Authority Estimated Cost Construction Cost (* including construction supervision cost): 1.5mil.USD Total Cost: 1.5mil.USD Implementation Schedule Laboration Project Lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.) construction/implementation 	

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TA-2 Juba International Airport Developmen	t Project
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
To the 2km north of old district in Juba Metropolitan area exists Juba	a)Target Beneficiaries :
International Airport administrated by GOSS.	The whole population of Southern Sudan
Although a control tower and a terminal building exist, controlling system	b) Effects of the Project
and improvement of terminal building and equipments are urgently	• Improvement of air transport safety
dilapidated. Deterioration of runways is in progress and rehabilitation	Transport cost savings and travel time reduction
need is gradually intensified. Also parking space including a hangar for	• Enhancement of social and economic activities
aircrafts is insufficient and needs to be enlarged. Protective fences are not	
provided on the rim of airport site as a security measure to mark off.	Evaluation of the Project
It is urgently required to accommodate expanding air transport demand	
and to secure safe navigation.	a) Economic Viability
For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel
development of Juba, especially international airport, is an absolute	time reduction.
necessity.	b) Financial Soundness
	 No financial problem is anticipated.
Objectives of the Project	c) Environmental Impacts
• To meet the domestic and international transport demand of people and	- Positive Impacts
goods and to activate the social and economic activities.	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
• To enhance the capacities of airport planning, design, construction and	residents.
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	• Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related
-	accidents, resulting from facility improvement.
	- Negative Impacts
Location of the Project	 Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as
	a result of growing traffic volume
Existing Juba International Airport	a result of growing traffic volume
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
a) Runway and Apron	 A good peace and order situation is maintained.
- Apron expansion for 3 berths	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
- Extension of existing runway (2,500 m) to 3,350 m (850m extension)	sufficient capacity.
with 23 m shoulder	
b) Terminal Building and Control Tower	Duran ditions
- Renovation of existing Terminal Building and Control Tower	Preconditions
c) Air Navigational Aid Facilities	 Necessary fund is prepared.
- Aerodrome light	
- Equipment in Control Tower	
(A detailed FS is required)	
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: GOSS	"Road Rehabilitation Project (ERWJ)" is the precondition
Operation: South Sudan Airport Authority	for this Project.
1 1 2	5
Maintenance: South Sudan Airport Authority	• Improvement of other local airports is the precondition for
	the formation of local air transport network.
Estimated Cost	• Improvement of regional road network is the precondition for
Detailed Design Cost : 0.3mil.USD	the effective functioning of the airport.
 Detailed Design Cost . 0.5hill OSD Construction Cost (* including construction supervision cost) : 	
10.0mil.USD	
Total Cost : 10.3milUSD	Dementer
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Juba International Airport	
Development Project	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	ALL STORY CONTRACTOR AND
construction/implementation	
	and the second sec
	A HALL STATE AND A STATE
	and a state of the second seco
	A DE LA DE LA DE LA DE LA DELA DE LA DELA DE
	and a family in the

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: TA-3 New Juba International Airport Constr	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
To the 2km north of old district in Juba Metropolitan area exists Juba International Airport administrated by GOSS.	a)Target Beneficiaries :The whole population of Southern Sudan
Although a control tower and a terminal building exist, controlling system	b) Effects of the Project
and improvement of terminal building and equipments are urgently	Improvement of air transport safety
dilapidated. Deterioration of runways is in progress and rehabilitation	 Transport cost savings and travel time reduction
need is gradually intensified. Also parking space including a hangar for	 Enhancement of social and economic activities
aircrafts is insufficient and needs to be enlarged. Protective fences are not	· Emilancement of social and economic activities
provided on the rim of airport site as a security measure to mark off.	Evaluation of the Project
It is urgently required to accommodate expanding air transport demand	a) Economic Viability
and to secure safe navigation.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
activities, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable	benefits is expected to accrue from transport cost and travel
development of Juba, especially international airport, is an absolute	time reduction.
necessity.	b) Financial Soundness
	No financial problem is anticipated.
Objectives of the Project	c) Environmental Impacts
• To meet the domestic and international transport demand of people and	- Positive Impacts
goods and to activate the social and economic activities.	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
• To enhance the capacities of airport planning, design, construction and	residents.
maintenance through the implementation of the Project.	• Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related
	accidents, resulting from facility improvement.
	- Negative Impacts
Location of the Project	 Increase in traffic nuisance such as noise and air pollution as
Location of the Project	a result of growing traffic volume
• Eastern bank of the River Nile is presumed. Location of new airport	a result of growing traine volume
will be studied and determined.	
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
Construction of:	 A good peace and order situation is maintained.
A new International Airport	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
including Feasibility Study in which roles of existing Juba International	sufficient capacity.
Airport and new airport will be examined.	Preconditions
	 Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: GOSS	Improvement of other local airports is the precondition for
Operation: South Sudan Airport Authority	the formation of local air transport network.
Maintenance: South Sudan Airport Authority	 Improvement of regional road network is the precondition for
F	the effective functioning of the airport.
	-
Estimated Cost	4
Detailed Design Cost : 1.3mil.USD	
Construction Cost (* including construction supervision cost):	
41.8mil.USD	
• Total Cost : 43.1milUSD	
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 New Juba International Airport	
Construction	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.) construction/implementation	

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: WS-1 Emergency Water Supply Project (Pilot Pro	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
Although the rehabilitation of existing waterworks is being implemented through the financing of the GOSS as an urgent rehabilitation project, since the project aims at recovering the original capacity of relevant waterworks, it only	a) Target Beneficiaries :23,000 inhabitants in Munuki area
satisfies the current demand and does not address the increased demand. Under present conditions, the existing water supply facilities will not be able to cope with the huge increase in water demand in 2011.	b) Effects of the Project :Reduction of water-bone disease and infant mortality ratesImprovement of the quality of life
The pilot project is the urgent rehabilitation of basic infrastructure to be implemented in a short time in order to meet the urgent needs.	Evaluation of the Draiget
This project is expected to be a model case of urban-type water supply.	 Evaluation of the Project a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from safe water supply.
 Objectives of the Project To supply safe water before the realization of urban water supply from the River Nile To enhance responsible organization for operation & maintenance 	 b) Financial Soundness Revenue from the safe water supply can be expected, however, some subsidy by the state government will be necessary. c) Environmental Impacts Positive Impacts
Location of the Project	Betterment of urban environment.Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
Munuki area	 residents. Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related accidents, resulting from provision of pedestrian pathways. Negative Impacts None
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
The project includes the following items : • Construction of 2 deep wells with submersible motor pumps and generators • Construction of an elevated water tank	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity.
 Laying of water transmission pipe from the wells to the elevated water tank Laying of water distribution pipe from the elevated water tank to 8 public hydrants Installation of 3 taps each at the hydrant 	Beneficiary-pay principle is accepted.Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: Ministry of Water Resources & Irrigation of GOSS, and State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Operation: Urban Water Corporation	 The GOSS is implementing the Urgent Rehabilitation Project for the present urban water supply system.
Maintenance: Urban Water Corporation Estimated Cost	Remarks
Implementaion/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : 0.96mil.USD	
Total Cost : 0.96milUSD	
Implementation Schedule Implementation Implementation Implementation Implementation	No.23 reviews =73 10 d dm

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: WS-3 Urgent Water Supply Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
Although the rehabilitation of existing waterworks is being implemented	a) Target Beneficiaries :
through the financing of the GOSS as an urgent rehabilitation project, since the	 The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
project aims at recovering the original capacity of relevant waterworks, it only	
satisfies the current demand and does not address the increased demand.	b) Effects of the Project :
Under present conditions, the existing water supply facilities will not be able to	• Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban structure
cope with the huge increase in water demand in 2011.	(promotion of settlement of IDPs and other refugees)
	 Reduction of water-bone disease and infant mortality rates
Since approximately 20% of the existing deep wells are continuously out of	Improvement of the quality of life
order, the wells will be effectively utilized through rehabilitation.	
,	Evaluation of the Project
Effective utilization of the existing waterworks through the implementation of	a) Economic Viability
training program for staff at the Urban Water Corporation is required.	 Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the
61 6 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits
	is expected to accrue from safe water supply.
	b) Financial Soundness
Objectives of the Project	· ·
• To supply safe water before the realization of urban water supply from the	• Revenue from the safe water supply can be expected, however,
River Nile	some subsidy by the state government will be necessary.
To enhance responsible organization for operation & maintenance	c) Environmental Impacts
	- Positive Impacts
	• Betterment of urban environment.
Location of the Project	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
	residents.
• Juba town and surrounding area where new housing development is taken	• Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related
place and in short of water supply	accidents, resulting from provision of pedestrian pathways.
	- Negative Impacts
	None
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
a) Urgent Water Development	 A good peace and order situation is maintained.
• A total of 129 wells including 8 wells in Juba Town District, 60 wells in	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
Kator District and 60 wells in Munuki District will be constructed.	sufficient capacity.
b) Capacity Building	Preconditions
• During the whole course of the Project, capacity building and technology	Beneficiary-pay principle is accepted.
transfer will be performed to the Southern Sudan personnel.	 Necessary fund is prepared.
· ·	
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: Ministry of Water Resources & Irrigation	• The GOSS is implementing the Urgent Rehabilitation Project
of GOSS, and State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure	for the present urban water supply system.
Operation: Urban Water Corporation	Road project, i.e. "Road Network Development Project,
Maintenance: Urban Water Corporation	Phase-1", will provide the space for laying
Estimated Cost	transmission/distribution pipes in the water supply projects.
Implementaion/Construction Cost	
(including construction supervision cost):	
Urban Water Development : 25.8mil.USD	
Capacity building : 0.9mil.USD	
Total Cost : 26.7milUSD	
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
	Keniarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Urgent Water Supply Project	
Urgent Water Development	
Capacity Building	
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
construction/implementation	
	sentence of plan - Fig an Area in the sentence of the sentence
	1 8 27 18 18
	A state of the sta
	Wall not in Lise (Lologo
	Well not in Use (Lologo

Project Prome	
Project No. and Project Name: WS-4 Urban Water Supply Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The water supply in Juba town and its surrounding area is in severe problem with the beth of poor water quality and little water amount. The present when	a) Target Beneficiaries : The whole population in Jube of 510,000 in year 2015
with the both of poor water quality and little water amount. The present urban water works is old and decrepit due to poor maintenance over the past 30years	• The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015
and serves a population of only 20-30% in the water supply area.	b) Effects of the Project :
The water production of the deep wells in areas with low water table is greatly	 Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban structure
reduced due to poor water recharge especially in dry season.	(promotion of settlement of IDPs and other refugees)
Majority of people living in communities near the White Nile River directly	 Reduction of water-bone disease and infant mortality rates
consumes the row water from the River. This has resulted in water-bone	 Improvement of the quality of life
disease and high infant mortality rates.	
On the other hand, the population in Juba town and its surrounding area is	Evaluation of the Project
expected in future to drastically increase due to high concentration of people to	a) Economic Viability
the capital and resettlement of IDPs and Refugees etc Water demand in Juba	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the
Town and its surrounding area is forecasted to rapidly increase due to the	Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits
population increase and economical and social development. The GOSS	is expected to accrue from safe water supply.
positions the access to safety and enough water as main target in the water	b) Financial Soundness
supply for MDGs and strongly desires the implementation of Urban Water	• Revenue from the safe water supply can be expected, however,
Supply Development project to attain the target for MDGs and enhance the	some subsidy by the state government will be necessary.
settlement of IDPs and Refugees.	c) Environmental Impacts
Objectives of the Project	- Positive Impacts
 To supply safe water To antibility a reliable water supply system 	• Betterment of urban environment.
To establish a reliable water supply systemTo enhance responsible organization for operation & maintenance	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
 To reduce water supply cost 	residents.
- To reduce water supply cost	• Decrease of traffic accidents, especially of human related accidents, resulting from provision of pedestrian pathways.
Location of the Project	- Negative Impacts
• Site for intake facility & treatment plant: The left side shore of the river of	None
 She for index facinity & dealined plant. The fert side shore of the river of 7km upstream of the White Nile River from the intake point for the present 	
water supple system.	
 Site for transmission facility and distribution facility: Whole area in town 	
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
1. Preparation of detailed design documents	A good peace and order situation is maintained.
2. Implementation of bidding	• Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient
3. Construction of intake facility and water treatment plant	capacity.
4. Construction of transmission facility	Preconditions
5. Construction of distribution facility	Beneficiary-pay principle is accepted.
6. Implementation of training program for operating and maintenance staffs of	 Necessary fund is prepared.
the Urban Water Corporation	
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: Ministry of Water Resources & Irrigation of GOSS and State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure	• The GOSS is implementing the Urgent Rehabilitation Project for the present urban water supply system
of GOSS, and State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure	the present urban water supply system.
Operation: Urban Water Corporation Maintenance: Urban Water Corporation	 Road project, i.e. "Road Network Development Project, Phase-1", will provide the space for laying
- mannenance. Orban water Corporation	transmission/distribution pipes in the water supply projects.
Estimated Cost	Remarks
Feasibility Study/Detailed design: 2.5mil.USD	
Implementaion/Construction Cost	
(including construction supervision cost) :	
Urban Water Development : 50.6mil.USD	мы
• Total Cost : 53.1milUSD	M4 M3 25
Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Urban Water Supply Project	12
F/S	
D/D & Bidding Intake Facility & Treatment	
Plant Construction Transmission System & Water	
Tank Installation Installation of Distribution	
Networks and Connection	Military Area
lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.) construction/implementation	
	K3

Project No. and Project Name: PS-2 Power Supply Development Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The Juba generation plant is old. Except five new generators, generators are more than 20 years old and have been poorly maintained. The generation capacity is far below the demand. The transmission network does not cover present whole town area. Some of the transformes are experiencing serious oil leaks. Some governmental facilities, hospital, hotels and major facilities are forced to install diesel generators by themselves to supply their own equipments with electricity. In addition, maintenance works are hampered by shortage of skilled manpower, tools and spare parts. For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic activities and to accept the resettlement of returned IDPs and refugees, development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development of Juba, especially power supply, is an absolute necessity.	 Target Beneficiaries: The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015 Effects: Formation/induction of the planned frame of the urban structure Improvement of living conditions Enhancement of urban security Enhancement of social and economic activities including private investment
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To enhance the electric power capacity to meet future demand	 a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
Location of the Project	benefits and revenue is expected to accrue from economic
Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas	activity efficiency. b) Financial Soundness • Revenue from the electricity supply can be expected,
Scope of the Project	therefore the Project can be viable.
 Extension (1): additional 8 MW of thermal power generators and its distribution facilities by 2011 Extension: additional 22 MW of thermal power generators and its distribution facilities by 2015 	 c) Environmental Impacts Positive Impacts Betterment of urban environment. Improvement of living condition. Negative Impacts Air pollution by thermal generators
Agencies Responsible	Eternal Conditions
Project Implementation : GOSS/CES Operation : National Electrification Corporation Maintenance : National Electrification Corporation	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity.
Estimated Cost	Preconditions
Detailed Design Cost : 0.9mil.USD	Power plant sites are secured. Description power plant sites is accounted.
Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): 35x 1MW generators : 29.1mil.USD	Beneficiary pay principle is accepted.Urban road network for providing utility spaces is constructed .
• Total Cost : 30.0milUSD	 Orban road network for providing unity spaces is constructed . Necessary fund is prepared.
Implementation Schedule	Relationship with other projects
2006 2007 2008 2009 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Power Supply Development Project Image: Construction and the second seco	 Urban road network construction project for providing utility spaces is required. This Project is to cater power demand before the following hydroelectric projects proposed by GOSS to replace thermal power with hydroelectric one.



Existing Generators (1 MW working)



New generators (5 MW)

Project Prome	
Project No. and Project Name: PS-3 Hydroelectric Power Generation Project	t
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The Juba generation plant is old. Except five new generators, generators	a)Target Beneficiaries :
are more than 20 years old and have been poorly maintained. The	The whole population of Southern Sudan
generation capacity is far below the demand. The transmission network	
does not cover present whole town area. Some of the transformes are	b) Effects of the Project
experiencing serious oil leaks.	Improvement of living conditions
Some governmental facilities, hospital, hotels and major facilities are	• Enhancement of social and economic activities including
forced to install diesel generators by themselves to supply their own	private investment
equipments with electricity.	
In addition, maintenance works are hampered by shortage of skilled	
manpower, tools and spare parts.	
For Juba to fully function as a capital as well as a center of economic	
activities and to accept the resettlement of returned IDPs and refugees,	
development of infrastructure as a foundation of sustainable development	
of Juba, especially power supply, is an absolute necessity.	
of Juba, especially power supply, is an absolute necessity.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
To enhance the electric power capacity to meet future demand	a) Economic Viability
• To reduce the environmental effect by thermal power generation	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the
	Project is economically viable because a big amount of
	benefits and revenue is expected to accrue from economic
	activity efficiency.
	b) Financial Soundness
	• Revenue from the electricity supply can be expected,
Location of the Project	therefore the Project can be viable.
• Power transmission network is to cover whole Juba Town and the	c) Environmental Impacts
surrounding areas	- Positive Impacts
	Improvement of living condition.
• Hydropower plants are expected to locate in the River Nile and its	· · ·
tributary	• Betterment of environment especially in term of CO2 emission.
	- Negative Impacts
	Some ecological influence on the River Nile presumed
Scope of the Project	Eternal Conditions
• Construction of hydroelectric dams and its distribution facilities to	• A good peace and order situation is maintained.
supply whole Southern Sudan with electricity. Out of the total power	Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient
generated by the Project, 130MW will be allocated to JUbaand surrounding	capacity.
area to replace all thermal generators after 2016. F/S is required	• Utilization of international river is agreed upon among relevant
	countries.
	Preconditions
	 Power plant sites are secured.
	 Beneficiary pay principle is accepted.
	 Necessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation : GOSS/CES	• This Project is to cater power demand after "Power Supply
Operation : National Electrification Corporation	Development Project" to replace thermal power with
Maintenance : National Electrification Corporation	hydroelectric one.
-	
Estimated Cost	Remarks
• Detailed Design Cost : 14.7mil.USD	
Construction Cost* :	
Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Hydroelectric Power Generation Project	
Project lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.)	
construction/implementation	
	Fire River Nile

Project No. and Project Name: SS-1 Improvement of Solid Waste Management	ent
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
There are several issues to be solved in SWM in Juba. Firstly, the lack of discharge	a) Target Beneficiaries:
rules for waste shall be solved. The waste generated in the households is dumped in	 The whole population in Juba of 510,000 in year 2015,
the field, bush and stream and burned by self-disposal. Secondly, the lack of	shops at markets, waste collection workers and hospitals
capability for waste storage and collection carried out by the districts shall be solved.	shops at markets, waste conection workers and nospitals
	b) Effects of the Deciset
Once the discharge rules are established by the government, residents will discharge	b) Effects of the Project:
the waste properly in accordance with the government's instruction. It means that the	• Mitigation of environmental pollution such as smoke,
established discharge rules cause the huge amount of discharged waste; however the	offensive odor, pests by improvement of collection system
current capability of storage and collection of waste is quite poor. Finally, open	and proper disposal of the medical waste.
dumping of collected waste shall be solved. The waste collected by each district is	
dumped in the field on the south side of Jebel Kujur without any regulations. The	
waste dumped in the field without any control results in serious environmental issues	
such as smoke, leachate, fire and pests.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
 To establish proper discharge rules. 	Economic Viability:
To enhance the capability for waste storage and collection.	• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
To establish medical waste disposal site	the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
• To establish sanitary landfill site (This is on going project undertaken by	benefits is expected to accrue from the reduction of
GOSS in 2006.)	external diseconomy.
	Financial Soundness:
Location of the Project	• Total amount of cleaning tax is not enough for SWM;
Establishment of proper discharge rules: whole of Juba	hence subsidy should be provided by the district or state
	ministry.
• Enhancement of the capability for waste storage and collection: whole of	Environmental Impact:
Juba and current workshop	
• Establishment of medical waste disposal site: same location as sanitary	• Betterment of urban environment (Environmental Impact
landfill site	caused by illegal dumping will be mitigated by the
	project.)
Scope of the Project	External Conditions
Implementation of the environmental education	• Intestine war will not happen again.
• Procurement of equipment for the environmental education: 1 set.	
Procurement of waste collection vehicles:	Preconditions
Compactor truck (8m ³) 20nos.	 GOSS and state ministry promote SWM in Juba.
 Procurement of heavy equipment for the landfill site operation: 	
Bulldozer: 1	
Excavator: 1	Relationship with other projects
Dump truck: 2	Construction of disposal site and procurement of collection
Water tanker: 1	vehicle by Ministry of Housing, Lands and Utilities of
Rehabilitation of current workshop	GOSS will be revised.
• Establishment of Solid Waste Management Institution	
Construction of medical waste disposal site	
Agencies Responsible	Remarks
Project Implementation: Ministry of Housing, Lands and Utilities of	
GOSS, and State Ministry of Physical and Infrastructure	
Operation: Juba town, Munuki, Kator and Rajaf districts	
Maintenance: Juba town, Munuki, Kator and Rajaf districts	
Estimated Cost	
Detailed Design Cost : 1.5 mil.USD	
Detailed Design Cost : 1.5 mil.USD Implementation/Construction Cost	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
 Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD 	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
 Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD 	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
 Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule 	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD • Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule <u>2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016</u> Solid Waste Management Development Project	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2014 2015 2016 Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public Education	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public education Public education Construction of Landfil Construction of Landfil	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2014 2015 2016 Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public Education	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2014 2015 2016 Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public Education	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2014 2015 2016 Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public Education	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2014 2015 2016 Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public Education	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Public Education : 0.2mil.USD Collection System Improvement : 2.2mil.USD Construction of Landfil : 1.0mil.USD Total Cost : 4.9milUSD Implementation Schedule Solid Waste Management 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Public education Construction of Landfil	Proposed Sanitary Landfill Site

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: SW-2 Sewerage System Development Project	t
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The general provision of sanitary facilities in Juba is very poor. The practice of defecation in the open bush, rather than the use of latrines, is common in Juba. Improper defecation causes a high incidence of intestinal and gastric disease. Furthermore, it contaminates environment actionally. The term environment for the	 a) Target Beneficiaries : The whole population in Juba and surrounding area and residents on the under stream of the River Nile b) Effects of the Project :
seriously. The term sewerage refers to a water-bone system for the collection and disposal of through a network of piped sewers. Although the amount of waste water is not large at the moment, it is essential to treat waste waster in accordance with the increasing of water supply in the	 Reduction of high incidence of intestinal and gastric diseases Mitigation of environmental pollution caused by human waste and wastewater
foreseeable future in Juba.	• Betterment of urban environment.
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
 To promote public health education To establish sewage system to treat wastewater in accordance with increasing water supply. To decrease public health hazard caused by poor sanitation 	 a) Economic Viability: Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from the reduction of external diseconomy including casualties by diseases. b) Financial Soundness: c) Total summary of a large training training to a summary of a summary of a large training training to a summary of a summary
Location of the Project	• Total amount of cleaning tax is not enough for sewage; hence
Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas	 subsidy should be provided by the district or state ministry. c) Environmental Impact: Positive Impacts Environmental Impact caused by human waste and waste water will be mitigated by the project. Negative Impacts None
Scope of the Project	External Conditions
• Execution of public health education	Intestine war will not happen again.
 Construction of Sewage treatment facility on the River Nile 	
 Installation of sewage trunk pipes and brunch pipes 	Preconditions
 Establishment of sewerage management institution 	GOSS and state ministry promote wastewater management in
	Juba and surrounding area.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
 Project Implementation: Ministry of Housing Lands and Utilities of GOSS, Ministry of Health of GOSS, State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure Operation: State Ministry of Health, Juba town, Munuki and Kator district (payam) 	• The plan of rehabilitation of sewerage system and wastewater stabilization ponds for government offices and ministerial houses is being implemented by GOSS. However the project can exist alone.
• Maintenance: Juba town, Munuki and Kator district (payam)	Remarks
	Map of Juba Town and Surrounding Areas
Estimated Cost • Detailed Design Cost : 1.1 mil.USD • Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Sewerage pipe installation : 149.3mil.USD Construction of treatment facility (sanitation pond) : 1.2mil.USD 151.7milUSD	
Implementation Schedule	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Project Severage Pipe Installation	

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: SW-3 Human Waste Treatment System Deve	lopment Project
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
The general provision of sanitary facilities in Juba is very poor. The practice of defecation in the open bush, rather than the use of latrines, is common in Juba. Improper defecation causes a high incidence of intestinal and gastric disease. Furthermore, it contaminates environment seriously. The term sewerage refers to a water-bone system for the collection and disposal of through a network of piped sewers. Although the amount of waste water is not large at the moment, it is essential to treat waste waster in accordance with the increasing of water supply in the foreseeable future in Juba.	 a) Target Beneficiaries : The whole population in Juba and surrounding area and residents on the under stream of the River Nile b) Effects of the Project : Reduction of high incidence of intestinal and gastric diseases Mitigation of environmental pollution caused by human waste and wastewater Betterment of urban environment.
 Objectives of the Project To promote public health education To establish a human waste treatment system To decrease public health hazard caused by poor sanitation 	 Evaluation of the Project a) Economic Viability: Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits is expected to accrue from the reduction of external diseconomy including casualties by diseases. b) Financial Soundness: Total amount of cleaning tax is not enough for sewage; hence
Location of the Project	subsidy should be provided by the district or state ministry.
Public toilet: Markets, existing schools, communities	 c) Environmental Impact: Positive Impacts Environmental Impact caused by human waste and waste water will be mitigated by the project. Negative Impacts None
Scope of the Project	External Conditions
To promote public health educationTo establish a human waste treatment systemTo decrease public health hazard caused by poor sanitation	 Intestine war will not happen again. Preconditions GOSS and state ministry promote wastewater management in Juba and surrounding area.
Agancias Pasponsibla	Palationship with other projects
Agencies Responsible • Project Implementation: Ministry of Housing Lands and Utilities of GOSS, Ministry of Health of GOSS, State Ministry of Physical Infrastructure • Operation: State Ministry of Health, Juba town, Munuki and Kator district (payam) • Maintenance: Juba town, Munuki and Kator district (payam)	 Relationship with other projects The construction plans of several 6-unit type toilets at public area and human waste treatment ponds are being carried out by GOSS. Those should be integrated into this Project
Estimated Cost	Remarks
 Detailed Cost Detailed Design Cost : 0.4 mil.USD Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost) : Hygiene education : 0.15mil.USD Public toilets construction : 1.8mil.USD Total Cost : 2.3milUSD 	
Implementation Schedule Imman Waste Treatment System Imman Waste Treatment System Implement Project Hygiene Education Treatment Existing Construction Treatment Existing Construction Implement Project Implement Registry Construction Implement Registry Construction Implement Registry Construction Implementation	Human Waste Disposal Site

Project Prome	
Project No. and Project Name: FE-1 Primary School Rehabilitation and Exp	ansion Project
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project
• Many of the existing school buildings have become extremely	a) Target Beneficiaries :
deteriorated over the process of time. The buildings have not been	The whole population in Juba and surrounding area
maintained due to the impact of long civil wars and facility function	
has fallen.	b) Effects of the Project :
• The congested class rooms of existing primary schools in Juba, both of	 Improvement of the public infrastructures for education
government and private ones, have 85 pupils each in average and need	Increase of enrolment ratio
to be rehabilitated immediately for the most part. As rapid increase of	 Improve of the quality of education in schools
population is expected in Juba Town, expansion of class rooms and	
capacity development of teachers are required.	
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project
• To provide appropriate education facilities for all children of school	a) Economic Viability
ages	 Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits from enhancement of economic activities is expected to accrue from capacity building. b) Financial Soundness
Logation of the Duringt	• The Project is not profitable itself; hence subsidy should be
Location of the Project	provided by the state government.
 Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas 	c) Environmental Impacts
	- Positive Impacts
	 Betterment of urban environment. Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for rsidents. Negative Impacts
	None
Scope of the Project	External Conditions
a) Repair of Existing School Buildings	A good peace and order situation is maintained.
 Rehabilitation of Primary School; 92 class-rooms/ 13 schools 	 Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
b) Rebuilding	sufficient capacity.
 Rebuilding of four schools and 17 classrooms 	 Juba will be the capital city of Southern Sudan continuously.
c) Existing Public Primary School Expansion (Enlargement)	- subu win be the cupital exp of Boutlern Budan continuously.
 Expansion of 111 classrooms of 13 primary schools 	Preconditions
d) Enlargement of Toilets	Required land for extension shall be secured by GOSS or
e) Water Supply by Well construction, etc	State Government.
e) which supply by well construction, etc	 Necessary fund is prepared.
	• Recessary fund is prepared.
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects
Project Implementation: State Government of CES	• One primary school equipped with 8 classrooms was
Operation: State Government of CES	constructed funded by UNICEF. Other than Juba Town and
Maintenance: State Government of CES	its surrounding area, 100 schools are scheduled to be built. A
	project that overlaps the proposed Project in this Study is not
Estimated Cost	scheduled.
Detailed Design Cost : 0.06 mil.USD	Remarks
Implementation/Construction Cost	
Implementation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost):	
•	
Primary school expansion: 6.0mil.USD	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule Primary School Rehabilitation and 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule Primary School Rehabilitation and Expansion Project Existing Public Primary School	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule Primary School Rehabilitation and Expansion Project Existing Public Primary School Expansion (Enlargement)	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule Primary School Rehabilitation and Expansion Project Existing Public Primary School Expansion (Enlargement)	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	
Total Cost : 8.5milUSD Implementation Schedule	Temporary Elementary School

Project Profile		
Project No. and Project Name: FE-2 Primary/Secondary Schools Constru	ction Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project	
• Many of the existing school buildings have become extremely	a) Target Beneficiaries :	
deteriorated over the process of time. The buildings have not been	 The whole population in Juba and surrounding area 	
maintained due to the impact of long civil wars and facility function		
has fallen.	b) Effects of the Project :	
• The congested class rooms of existing primary schools in Juba, both	 Improvement of the public infrastructures for education 	
of government and private ones, have 85 pupils each in average and	Increase of enrolment ratio	
need to be rehabilitated immediately for the most part. As rapid	 Improve of the quality of education in schools 	
increase of population is expected in Juba Town, expansion of class		
rooms and capacity development of teachers are required.		
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project	
• To provide appropriate education facilities for all children of school ages	 a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits from enhancement of economic activities is expected to accrue from capacity building. b) Financial Soundness The Project is not profitable itself; hence subsidy should be 	
Location of the Project	provided by the state government.	
5	c) Environmental Impacts	
Whole Juba Town and the surrounding areas	- Positive Impacts	
	 Betterment of urban environment. 	
	• Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for	
	residents.	
	- Negative Impacts	
	None	
Scope of the Project	External Conditions (Important Assumptions)	
a) Primary School Expansion (New Construction)	A good peace and order situation is maintained.	
 Expansion of 1992 classrooms by 2015 for new primary schools 	 Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has 	
 Expansion of 112 classrooms for existing primary schools 	 Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity. Juba will be the capital city of Southern Sudan continuously. 	
• Expansion of TTT classicollis for existing printary schools		
b) Public Secondary School Expansion (New Construction)		
 Expansion of 58 classrooms by 2015 for secondary schools 		
	Preconditions	
	• Required land for extension shall be secured by GOSS or State	
	Government.	
	 Necessary fund is prepared. 	
Agencies Responsible	Relationship with other projects	
Project Implementation: State Government of CES	• Housing development in line with the land use plan will be the	
Operation: State Government of CES	base for new construction of primary schools.	
Maintenance: State Government of CES	• One primary school equipped with 8 classrooms was	
Estimated Cost	constructed funded by UNICEF. Other than Juba Town and its	
Detailed Design Cost : 0.07 mil.USD	surrounding area, 100 schools are scheduled to be built. A	
Implementation/Construction Cost	project that overlaps the proposed Project in this Study is not	
(including construction supervision cost):	scheduled.	
Primary school construction : 107.6mil.USD		
Public secondary school expansion: 3.1mil.USD		
Total Cost : 110.8milUSD		
Implementation Schedule	Remarks	
Implementation Schedule	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016-	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools Construction Project Image: Construc	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools Construction Image: Construction <td>Kemarks</td>	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools Construction Image: Construction <td>Kemarks</td>	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools Construction Image: Construction <td>Kemarks</td>	Kemarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Primary/Secondary Schools Construction Image: Construction Project Image: Construction Project<	Kemarks	

Project Profile		
Project No. and Project Name: FE-3 Teachers Training School Developme	ent Project	
Background of the Project	Effects of the Project	
• Many of the existing school buildings have become extremely deteriorated over the process of time. The buildings have not been maintained due to the impact of long civil wars and facility function	a) Target Beneficiaries :The population in whole Southern Sudan	
has fallen.The congested class rooms of existing primary schools in Juba, both	b) Effects of the Project :Improvement of the public infrastructures for education	
of government and private ones, have 85 pupils each in average and need to be rehabilitated immediately for the most part. As rapid increase of population is expected in Juba Town, expansion of class rooms and capacity development of teachers are required.	Increase of teachersImprove of the quality of education in schools	
toons and capacity development of teachers are required.		
Objectives of the Project	Evaluation of the Project	
To provide appropriate facilities to train teachersTo improve capacity of teachers	 a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits from enhancement of economic activities is expected to accrue from capacity building. b) Financial Soundness The Desiret is not prefitable itselfs here exhibits should be 	
Location of the Project	• The Project is not profitable itself; hence subsidy should be provided by the state government.	
• Existing teachers training school and other site(s) to be determined in Juba Town and the surrounding areas	c) Environmental Impacts - Positive Impacts	
in Juba 10 wir and the surrounding areas	Betterment of urban environment.	
	 Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for residents. 	
	- Negative Impacts None	
Scope of the Project	External Conditions (Important Assumptions)	
 a) Teachers Training Training of 150 to 200 teachers annually b) Existing Facilities Rehabilitation c) Teacher Training School New Construction Floor space: Approximately 630 m2 Classrooms: 4 classrooms (one classroom: approximately 90 m2) 	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity. Juba will be the capital city of Southern Sudan continuously. Preconditions Required land for extension shall be secured by GOSS or State Government. Necessary fund is prepared. 	
Agencies Responsible • Project Implementation: State Government of CES • Operation: State Government of CES • Maintenance: State Government of CES	 Relationship with other projects Vocational training is being provided by JICA, however, no teachers training is scheduled as yet. High educational facilities by donors are presumed in Juba and surrounding area. 	
Estimated Cost • Detailed Design Cost : 0.02 mil.USD • Rehabilitation/Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): The data of the label of t		
Teachers Training School Rehabilitation: 0.27mil.USD Teachers Training School Construction: 0.9mil.USD • Total Cost : 1.2milUSD		
Implementation Schedule	Remarks	
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016- Teacher Training School 2014 2015 2016-		
Improvement Project Existing Teacher Training C then 0 betwill include an		
School Rehabilitation Existing Teacher Training Church Content of		
School Construction lead time (financial arrangement, feasibility study, basic design, detailed design, tendering, contracting, etc.) construction/implementation		
соямистов паркласацион		

ect ries : lation in Juba and surrounding area oject : juality of health and medical services troject
ries : lation in Juba and surrounding area oject : juality of health and medical services
lation in Juba and surrounding area oject : juality of health and medical services
oject : uality of health and medical services
quality of health and medical services
roject
lity
onomic analysis is done, it is expected that
conomically viable because a big amount of
hancement of economic activities is expected
apacity building.
ness
• Revenue from the health and medical services can be
ver, subsidy should be provided by the state
mpacts
f accessibility to social/public facilities for
8
nd order situation is maintained.
ency for operation and maintenance has
ity
gram for Health System Development,
going under GOSS/MDTF(WB), will be
npleted on schedule
other projects
ram for Health System Development, is
implemented under GOSS/MDTF(WB).
• Umbrella Program for Health System Development
/MDTF(WB)); 3 large hospitals, 30 District
PHCCs and 650 PHCUs will be improved or
newly constructed throughout the country by 2011.
COLOR HOUSE ADDRESS
THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL
E AND THE COMPANY

Project No. and I	Project Name: l	FM-2 Distric	t Hospital I	Developm	ent Projec	t
Background of the Project				Effects of the Project		
• Juba town has relatively higher health and medical services than rural				a) Target Beneficiaries :		
area in Southern Sudan, though shortage of access to the services will				 The whole population in Juba and surrounding area 		
	sue in near fut					b) Effects of the Project :
Network struct		,		2	0	Improve of the quality of health and medical services
	alth centres a					Evaluation of the Project
	e to lack of p	olicy and ins	sufficient ca	apacity of	relevant	a) Economic Viability
institutions.						• Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that
						the Project is economically viable because a big amount of
Objectives of the						benefits from enhancement of economic activities is expected
• To improve		the health	and medic	al faciliti	es as a	to accrue from capacity building.
foundation of		1 1 1				b) Financial Soundness
• To provide en	ougn nealth an	a medical sei	vices			• Revenue from the health and medical services can be
						expected, however, subsidy should be provided by the state
						government.
						c) Environmental Impacts
Location of the P	Project					 Positive Impacts Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for
Juba and the surr	-					residents.
succe and the sull	canong area					- Negative Impacts
						None
Scope of the Proj	iect					External Conditions
a) District Hospit		nt				A good peace and order situation is maintained.
b) Construction of			t term and 2	2 in mediu	m term)	 Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has
	or distance mosp.	(2 oo.	t torrin und i			sufficient capacity
	(UPHSD)*	Short	Term	Mediu		
	2006-	2007-	2010-	2012		Preconditions
	2008-	2007-2009	2010-2011	2012		• Implementation of umbrella program for Health System
District	2000					Development
Hospitals		1	1	2		 Necessary fund is prepared.
A	-:1-1-					Deletionellie with ether and is the
Agencies Respon		2000				Relationship with other projects
 Project Implem Operation:		GOSS	of Control T	Innotorio		• Umbrella Program for Health System Development, is
 Operation: Maintenance: 		GOSS/ State GOSS/ State		-		currently being implemented under GOSS/MDTF(WB).Umbrella Program for Health System Development
• Maintenance.	(JUSS/ State		quoterra		(UPHSD: GoSS/MDTF(WB)); 3 large hospitals, 30 District
Estimated Cost						hospitals, 120 PHCCs and 650 PHCUs will be improved or
Detailed Desig	n Cost :		0	.1mil.USE)	newly constructed throughout the country by 2011.
Construction C		onstruction si			,	
• Construction C		Iospital Impro)	
•		Iospital Const				
Total Cost :	District I			7.0milUSE		
Implementation S	Schedule					Remarks
		2008 2009 2010	2011 2012 201	13 2014 201	5 2016-	
District Hospital Developme		2008 2009 2010	2011 2012 201	15 2014 201	2010-	
Project District Hospital improve	ement		-			
Construction and equippi District Unovital	ing for					
District Hospital		-1. The sector is a sector is		a da adara da adara		
	e (financial arrangement, fea ion/implementation	sionity study, basic desi	gu, detailed design, te	auering, contractir	ig, etc.)	
						AUNUKI
						3 NORTH NTERNATIONAL
						Street Contractory of the second
						ABU
						Police Hop. Th
		: District Co	ore			······································
	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$: Existing H	lospital			KATOR
		: Area of 1	.5 km dia. fo	•		1 km
	- CD-	: Area of 1	.5 km dia.	tor future	hospital	

Project Profile	
Project No. and Project Name: FM-3 PHC Center and PHC Unit Developm	
 Project No. and Project Name: FM-3 PHC Center and PHC Unit Developm Background of the Project Juba town has relatively higher health and medical services than rural area in Southern Sudan, though shortage of access to the services will be a serious issue in near future due to rapid increase of population. Network structure of medical services, such as referral system among hospitals, health centres and primary health care units, are not functioned due to lack of policy and insufficient capacity of relevant institutions. Objectives of the Project To improve and develop the health and medical facilities as a foundation of service To provide sufficient secondary (or tertiary) health and medical services 	 ent Project Effects of the Project a) Target Beneficiaries : The whole population in Juba and surrounding area b) Effects of the Project : Improve of the quality of health and medical services Evaluation of the Project a) Economic Viability Although no economic analysis is done, it is expected that the Project is economically viable because a big amount of benefits from enhancement of economic activities is expected to accrue from capacity building. b) Financial Soundness Revenue from the health and medical services can be expected, however , subsidy should be provided by the state government. c) Environmental Impacts Positive Impacts
• Juba and the surrounding area	 Improvement of accessibility to social/public facilities for residents. Negative Impacts None
Scope of the Project	External Conditions
a) Construction of 30 PHC Centers b) Construction of 30 PHC Units (UPHSD)* Short Term Medium	 A good peace and order situation is maintained. Responsible agency for operation and maintenance has sufficient capacity
2006- 2007- 2010- 2012-	
2008 2009 2011 2015	Preconditions
PHC Center781530	 Implementation of umbrella Program for Health System Development Necessary fund is prepared.
PHC Unit 36 39 70 146	
Agencies Responsible • Project Implementation: GOSS • Operation: GOSS/ State of Central Equoteria • Maintenance: GOSS/ State of Central Equoteria Estimated Cost Estimated Cost • Detailed Design Cost : 0.06mil.USD • Construction Cost (including construction supervision cost): PHC Centers: PHC Centers: 32.2mil.USD • Total Cost : 71.9milUSD	 Relationship with other projects Umbrella Program for Health System Development, is currently being implemented under GOSS/MDTF(WB). Umbrella Program for Health System Development (UPHSD: GoSS/MDTF(WB)); 3 large hospitals, 30 District hospitals, 120 PHCCs and 650 PHCUs will be improved or newly constructed throughout the country by 2011.
Implementation Schedule	Remarks
2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2013 2014 2015 2016- PHC Center and PHC Unit Image: Center center of the second	

APPENDIX 3

COMMUNITY SURVEY DATA

	Community Survey Sheet				
Name of Residential Quarter	Cinema Date : <u>23</u> October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 4000 Number of families : 300				
	Average family size : Husband(1),Wife(1),Grand parents(2_),Children(<u>4</u>),Relatives(<u>4</u>),Total (<u>12</u>)				
Tribe composition	Bari (about 70_%), Acholi (about 20_%), Lokoyami (about 10_%),				
Religion composition	Christian (about <u>90</u> %), Muslim (about <u>10</u> %), Others : <u>%</u> (about <u>%</u>), <u>(about %</u>)				
nformation on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	other places				
	(<u>50</u>)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ? Katigir, Kasava and neighbouring countries				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a) Yes, (b) No, (c) Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees w	ho evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : No idea				
	Where do they live now ? Kasava, Katigiri and Neighbouring Countries				
Policy on acceptance of the	returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons : Residence of Wildlife Soldiers)				
Existing social facilities (number)	Primary schools (<u>3</u>), Secondary schools (0), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>1</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others :				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (<u>10</u> %) common well, (<u>90</u> %) piped water, (<u>100</u> %) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(<u>0</u> %) by other means				
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
inhabitants	$(\underline{\Delta})$ Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, (\underline{x}) Common labor, (\underline{x}) Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, (o)Small scale manufacturing, (<u>x</u>)Vendor, Others (<u>)</u> , (<u>)</u> , (<u>)</u>				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live: Small Businessx				
Existing community organization	Youth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union ((a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improvem	ent of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	$(_)Road, (x_)Water, (_)Electricity, (_)Waste management, (\times)Sewage, (_)School,$				
	$(\underline{\ })$ Health center, $(\underline{\ })$ Dicenterly, $(\underline{\ })$ Waste management, $(\underline{\ })$ bewage, $(\underline{\ })$ Belloo, $(\underline{\ })$ Health center, $(\underline{\ })$ Assembly hall, $(\underline{\ })$ Park, $(\underline{\ })$ Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				
loto : Write by word / figure in					

Community Survey Sheet

		Community Survey Sheet		
Name of Residential Quarter	Tongping	Date : <u>24</u> October 2006		
Population & family size	Population :	Number of families : Not known		
		d parents(<u>2</u>),Children(<u>10</u>),Relatives(<u>6</u>),Total (<u>22</u>)		
Tribe composition	Moros (about 70	%), <u>Jur</u> (about <u>20</u> %), <u>Zande</u> (about <u>10</u> %),		
Religion composition	Christian (about 95%), Muslim (about 5	_%), Others :% (about%),(about%)		
Information on IDPs				
Existing IDPs coming from	other places			
	(<u>90</u>)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)M	ajority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few		
	Where did they come from ? <u>Mundri, Miridi,</u>	Yambio, and Tombra		
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Y	(es, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no		
Resettlement of returnees	who evacuated to other places and want to return			
	Expected number of returnees : No Estimates			
	Where do they live now ? As indicated ab	bove		
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places			
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which			
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which	can be accommodated :)		
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)		
Existing social facilities (numbe	r) Primary schools (<u>2</u>), Secondary schools (0), I	Hospitals ($\underline{0}$), Health centers ($\underline{2}$), Dispensaries ($\underline{0}$), Assembly hall ($\underline{0}$), Park ($\underline{0}$),		
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>			
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (<u>90</u> %) common well	l, (%) piped water, (%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,		
electricity supply	River (10%) by other	er means		
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.			
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None			
inhabitants	()Agriculture, (x)Livestock, ()Fishe	ery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, (\underline{x}) Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of		
	government, (Δ)Small scale manufacturing, (Δ)Vendor, Others (_), (_), (_),			
	Families without income : About%, How			
Existing community organization	Vouth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)			
<i>. . .</i>	Others :			
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants	s themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody		
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody			
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole	repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody		
Development needs for improve	nent of living condition of inhabitants (based on de			
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if			
)Road, ()Water, (_)Electricity, (_)Waste management, (_)Sewage, (_)School,		
)Health center, ()Assembly hall, (_)Park, (_)Public toilet, Others		
		Kind of skill (NONE		
	5	Kind of organization (NONE		
	Others			
Jota - Write hy word / figure in				

Community Survey Sheet

	Community Survey Sneet				
Name of Residential Quarter	ZENDIA Date :4 October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 2000 Number of families :495				
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(1), Grand parents(2_), Children(<u>5</u>), Relatives(<u>6</u>), Total (15_)				
Tribe composition	Nuer (about 40 %), Dinka (about 30 %), Mondari (about 30 %),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 80_%), Muslim (about 15_%), Others : 5% (Neither Christian nor Muslim (about %), (about %)				
Information on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	1 other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ? Kenya, uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a) Yes, (b) No, (c) Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees	who evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : No Idea				
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
Existing social facilities (number	r) Primary schools (<u>2</u>), Secondary schools (0), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others :				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (80%) common well, (0%) piped water, (20%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(0 %) by other means				
electricity suppry	Supply of electricity: 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
inhabitants	(Δ) Agriculture, (\circ) Livestock, $()$ Fishery, (Δ) Common labor, $()$ Skilled work, (Δ) Employment of private firm, (\circ) Employment of				
	government, (Δ) Small scale manufacturing, (Δ) Vendor, Others $()$, $()$, $()$				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live:x				
Existing community organization	1 Youth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union ((a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improve	ment of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	Initial activity of the focussary (very digent),Inite necessary ($^{\circ}$) Road, ($^{\circ}$) Water, ($^{\circ}$) Electricity, ($^{\circ}$) Waste management, (\times) Sewage, ($^{\circ}$) School,Vocational training :($^{\circ}$) Health center. ($^{\circ}$) Assembly hall. ($^{\circ}$) Public toilet. OthersVocational training :Kind of skill ($^{\circ}$) NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE Others				

Community Survey Sheet

Name of Residential Quarter	Thoura Date : <u>24</u> October 2006					
Population & family size	Population : 3000 Number of families :					
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(2), Grand parents(<u>2</u>), Children(<u>4</u>), Relatives(<u>7</u>), Total (16)					
Tribe composition	Lotuko (about 40_%), Pojulu (about 30_%), Lokoro (about 30_%),					
Religion composition	Christian (about 90%), Muslim (about 10%), Others :% (about%),(about%)					
Information on IDPs						
Existing IDPs coming from	other places					
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few					
	Where did they come from ? Katigir, Kartoum-Northern Sudan					
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a) Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no					
Resettlement of returnees w	ho evacuated to other places and want to return					
	Expected number of returnees : No Idea					
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum					
Policy on acceptance of the	returnees who evacuated to other places					
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)					
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)					
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)					
Existing social facilities (number)	Primary schools (<u>2</u>), Secondary schools (0_), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),					
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others :					
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (<u>80</u> %) common well, (<u>0</u> %) piped water, (<u>20</u> %) buying water delivered by water tank truck,					
electricity supply	(<u>0</u> %) by other means					
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.					
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None					
inhabitants	$(_\Delta_)$ Agriculture, $(_\circ_)$ Livestock, $(_)$ Fishery, $(_\Delta_)$ Common labor, $(_)$ Skilled work, $(_\Delta_)$ Employment of private firm, $(_\circ_)$ Employment of					
	government, (Δ) Small scale manufacturing, (Δ) Vendor, Others $()$, $()$, $()$, $()$					
	Families without income : About%, How do they live:x					
Existing community organization	Youth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union ((a)Yes, (b)No),					
	Others :					
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody					
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody					
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody					
Development needs for improvem	ent of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)					
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):					
	(_)Road, ()Water, ()Electricity, (_)Waste management, (\times)Sewage, ($_{\circ}$)School,					
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, (\underline{x}) Public toilet, Others					
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE					
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE					
	Others					

Community Survey Sheet

	Community Survey Sneet				
Name of Residential Quarter	Gusene Date : 23 October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 3000 Number of families : 372				
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(3), Grand parents(<u>4</u>), Children(<u>7</u>), Relatives(<u>6</u>), Total (<u>21</u>)				
Fribe composition	Bari (about 30_%), Acholi (about 20_%), Nyangwara (about 40_%),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 80%), Muslim (about _20%), Others :% (about%),(about%)				
Information on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	1 other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ? Kenya, Uganda and Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees v	who evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : 25% of the population				
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
Existing social facilities (number	r) Primary schools (<u>2</u>), Secondary schools (0), Hospitals (<u>0</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (80%) common well, (15%) piped water, (5%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	$(\underline{0} \underline{\%})$ by other means				
electricity suppry	Supply of electricity: 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
inhabitants	(Δ) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, $(\underline{)}$ Skilled work, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, (Δ) Small scale manufacturing, (Δ) Vendor, Others $()$, $()$, $()$, $()$				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live:x				
Existing community organization	n Youth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union ((a)Yes, (b)No),				
0 0	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improver	ment of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	$(_)Road, (_)Water, (_)Electricity, (_)Waste management, (\underline{\times})Sewage, (\underline{\circ})School,$				
	$(\underline{\ })$ Health center, $(\underline{\ })$ Assembly hall, $(\underline{\ })$ Park, $(\underline{\ })$ Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				
Note - White hy word / figure in	notions				

Community Survey Sheet

	Community Survey Sneet			
Name of Residential Quarter	Game Date : 24 October 2006			
Population & family size	Population : 4500 Number of families : 313			
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(2), Grand parents(1_), Children(<u>12</u>), Relatives(<u>8</u>), Total (<u>24</u>)			
Tribe composition	Lokoro (about 30 %), Lotuko (about 30 %), Acholi (about 10 %),			
Religion composition	Christian (about <u>95</u> %), Muslim (about <u>5</u> %), Others : <u>%</u> (about <u>%</u>), <u>(about %</u>)			
Information on IDPs				
Existing IDPs coming from				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few			
	Where did they come from ? Katigir, Kartoum-Northern Sudan			
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no			
Resettlement of returnees w	vho evacuated to other places and want to return			
	Expected number of returnees : N/A			
	Where do they live now ? N/A			
Policy on acceptance of the	returnees who evacuated to other places			
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)			
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)			
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons : Residence of Wildlife Soldiers)			
Existing social facilities (number)	Primary schools (<u>0</u>), Secondary schools (<u>0</u>), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),			
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others :			
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (0%) common well, (0%) piped water, (100%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,			
electricity supply	$(\underline{0} $ %) by other means			
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.			
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None			
inhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, (\underline{x}) Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of			
	government, (x)Small scale manufacturing, (x)Vendor, Others (), (), (),			
	Families without income : About%, How do they live:x			
Existing community organization	Youth union ((a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union ((a)Yes, (b)No),			
	Others :			
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody			
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody			
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody			
Development needs for improvem	nent of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)			
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, × if not necessary):			
	$()$ Road, $()$ Water, $()$ Electricity, $()$ Waste management, (\times) Sewage, $()$ School,			
	$(\underline{, \circ})$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, $(\underline{\ })$ Public toilet, Others			
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE			
1	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE			
	Others			
Note : Write by word / figure in	notions			

Community Survey Sheet

Name of Residential Quarter	Lologo Date : <u>21</u> October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 4500 Number of families : Not Known				
	Average family size : Husband(1),Wife(1),Grand parents(2_),Children(<u>6</u>),Relatives(<u>5</u>),Total (15_)				
Tribe composition	Letuka (about 70%), Dinka (about 20%), others (about 10%),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 90%), Muslim (about 5 %), Others : 5% (Neither Christian nor Muslim (about %), (about %)				
nformation on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ?Kenya, Uganda and Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees w	vho evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : No specific Number				
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a) Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
existing social facilities (number	Primary schools (<u>0</u>), Secondary schools (0_), Hospitals (<u>0</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>1</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (0%) common well, (0%) piped water, (0%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(<u>100</u> %) by other means River water				
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Aeans of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
nhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, (\underline{x}) Common labor, (\underline{x}) Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, (Δ)Small scale manufacturing, ($\underline{\Delta}$)Vendor, Others (_), (_), (_),				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live: Small Business x				
Existing community organization	Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
ocial activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improven	nent of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	(_)Road, ()Water, ()Electricity, (\circ)Waste management, (\times)Sewage, (_)School,				
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, (\underline{x}) Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				

	Community Survey Sheet				
Name of Residential Quarter	Kator Date : 21 October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 2,987 Number of families Not Known				
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(1), Grand parents(2_), Children(2), Relatives(10), Total (16_)				
Tribe composition	Bari (about 40 %), Zande (about 40 %), others Pujulu (about 20 %),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 80%), Muslim (about _20%), Others :(about%),(about%)				
nformation on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	n other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ?Kenya, Uganda and Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees	who evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : <u>No specific Number</u>				
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
Existing social facilities (numbe	Primary schools (<u>4</u>), Secondary schools (1_), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (80%) common well, (0%) piped water, (10%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(<u>10</u> %) by other means River water				
electricity supply	Supply of electricity: 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
nhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, (\underline{x}) Common labor, (\underline{x}) Skilled work, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, (Δ)Small scale manufacturing, ($\underline{\Delta}$)Vendor, Others (_), (_) _, (_), (_), (_), (_), (_), (_), (_), (_), (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) _, (_) (_) _, (_) (_) (_) (_)				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live: Small Businessx				
Existing community organization	n Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improve	ment of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	$(_)$ Road, $(_)$ Water, $(_)$ Electricity, $(\circ _)$ Waste management, $(_\times)$ Sewage, $(_)$ School,				
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, $(\underline{\)}$ Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				
lote : Write by word / figure in	portions				

Community Survey Sheet

	Community Survey Sheet
Name of Residential Quarter	MUNUKI B Date : <u>21</u> October 2006
opulation & family size	Population : 4000 Number of families : Not known
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(1), Grand parents(<u>2</u>), Children(<u>10</u>), Relatives(<u>10</u>), Total (24_)
Tribe composition	Bari (about 80_%), Nyangwara (about 15_%), Zande (about 5_%)
Religion composition	Christian (about <u>90</u> %), Muslim (about <u>5</u> %), Others : <u>5%(Neither Christian nor Muslim</u> (about <u>%)</u> , (about <u>%</u>)
nformation on IDPs	
Existing IDPs coming from	n other places
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few
	Where did they come from ?Neighbouring Tribes, Countries and Kartoum
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no
Resettlement of returnees	who evacuated to other places and want to return
	Expected number of returnees : No Idea
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places
	(a) Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)
Existing social facilities (numbe	Primary schools (<u>2</u>), Secondary schools (0_), Hospitals (<u>1</u>), Health centers (<u>0</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),
	Public toilet (<u>1</u>), Others : <u>None</u>
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (<u>90</u> %) common well, (<u>0</u> %) piped water, (<u>20</u> %) buying water delivered by water tank truck,
	(<u>10</u> %) by other means River water
electricity supply	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.
Aleans of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None
nhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Employment of
	government, (x_)Small scale manufacturing, ()Vendor, Others (_), (_), (_),
	Families without income : About%, How do they live:x
Existing community organization	n Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)Yes, (b)No),
	Others :
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody
Development needs for improve	ment of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)
1 1	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):
	(_)Road, ()Water, ()Electricity, ()Waste management, (\times)Sewage, ()School,
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, $(_)$ Public toilet, Others
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE

Note : Write by word / figure in _____ portions.

Select the applicable one and encircle it, for the items indicated as (a)----, (b)----, (c)-----.

		Community	Survey Sheet			
Name of Residential Quarter	MAUNA		Date : <u>21</u> October 2006			
Population & family size	Population :		Number of families : Not K	Luown		
	Average family size : Husband(1),Wife(1),	Grand parents(2_)	Children(<u>10</u>),Relatives(<u>10</u>),Total (2	4_)		
Tribe composition		<u>35 %), Bari</u>			(about <u>20</u> %),	
Religion composition	Christian (about 75 %), Muslim (about 2	25_%), Others :	5% (Neither Christian nor Muslim	(about%),	(about%)	
Information on IDPs						
Existing IDPs coming from	other places					
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)	Majority, (c)Less	than half, (d)Few			
	Where did they come from ?Neighbouring T	ribes, Countries ar	d Kartoum			
	Do they want to return to the place of origin	: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)	Partly yes and partly no			
Resettlement of returnees	who evacuated to other places and want to retu	ırn				
	Expected number of returnees : No Idea					
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, C	longo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places					
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees	which can be accor	nmodated :)			
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees w	hich can be accom	modated :)			
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)		
Existing social facilities (number	r) Primary schools (<u>1</u>), Secondary schools (0)_), Hospitals (<u>0</u>)	, Health centers (<u>1</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), F	Park (<u>0</u>),	
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others :					
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (<u>90</u> %) common	well, (<u>0</u> %) pi	ped water, (<u>10</u> %) buying water delive	vered by water tank truck	k,	
	(0 %) by other means					
electricity supply		es are supplied wit				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, ∆:Partial, ×:None					
inhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Livestock, $(\underline{\Delta})$	Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Co	mmon labor, (Δ)Skilled work, (Δ	_)Employment of private	e firm, (Δ)Employment of	
	government, (Δ)Small scale manufacturing, (Δ)Vendor, Others (_), (_)					
	Families without income : About%,					
Existing community organization	Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union	(a)Yes. (b)No).				
	Others :					
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhab	itants themselves.	(b)done by Payam Office. (c)Nobody			
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody					
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, p			am Office, (c)Nobody		
Development needs for improver	nent of living condition of inhabitants (based of			•		
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent),					
)Water, ()Electricity, (x_)Waste	e management, (×)Sew	age, (x)School,	
			enter, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, (\underline{x})			
	Vocational training :		NONE	,		
	Empowerment of community organization :		ization (NONE			
	Others	Time of organ				
Nota · Write by word / figure in	nortions					

	Community Survey Sheet				
Name of Residential Quarter	KUWAIT Date :1 October 2006				
Population & family size	Population : 3500 Number of families : Not known				
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(1), Grand parents(<u>2</u>), Children(<u>7</u>), Relatives(<u>6</u>), Total (<u>17</u>)				
Tribe composition	<u>Bari</u> (about <u>10</u> %), <u>Mundari</u> (about <u>70</u> %), <u>others</u> (about <u>20</u> %),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 90_%), Muslim (about 5_%), Others : 5% (Neither Christian nor Muslim (about %), (about %)				
Information on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	i other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ?Neighbouring Tribes, Countries and Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees w	who evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : No specific Number				
	Where do they live now ? Kenya, Uganda, Congo, Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
Existing social facilities (number	r) Primary schools (<u>1</u>), Secondary schools (0), Hospitals (<u>0</u>), Health centers (<u>1</u>), Dispensaries (<u>0</u>), Assembly hall (<u>0</u>), Park (<u>0</u>),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (90%) common well, (0%) piped water, (5%) buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(<u>5</u> %) by other means River water				
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
inhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, $(x_)$ Small scale manufacturing, (Δ) Vendor, Others $()$, $()$, $()$, $()$				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live: Small Businessx				
Existing community organization	1 Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
Development needs for improven	nent of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	()Road, ()Water, ()Electricity, ()Waste management, ()Sewage, ()School,				
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, (\underline{x}) Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				
ato . Waito hy word / figure in	montions.				

	Community Survey Sheet				
Name of Residential Quarter	Juba Na Bari Date: 21 October 2006				
opulation & family size	Population :7500 Number of families :Not known				
	Average family size : Husband(1), Wife(1), Grand parents(<u>2</u>), Children(<u>12</u>), Relatives(<u>7</u>), Total (23_)				
Tribe composition	Bari (about 80_%), Lotukas (about 10_%), others Moros (about 10_%),				
Religion composition	Christian (about 90_%), Muslim (about 5_%), Others : 5% (Neither Christian nor Muslim (about %), (about %)				
nformation on IDPs					
Existing IDPs coming from	n other places				
	(_)% of total population OR (a)Most, (b)Majority, (c)Less than half, (d)Few				
	Where did they come from ? Eastern Equatoria, Kajo Keji and Kartoum				
	Do they want to return to the place of origin: (a)Yes, (b)No, (c)Partly yes and partly no				
Resettlement of returnees v	who evacuated to other places and want to return				
	Expected number of returnees : <u>No specific Number</u>				
	Where do they live now ? Eastern Equatoria, Kajo Keji and Kartoum				
Policy on acceptance of the	e returnees who evacuated to other places				
	(a)Totally acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(b)Partly acceptable (Number of returnees which can be accommodated :)				
	(c)Not acceptable (Main reasons :)				
Existing social facilities (number	r) Primary schools ($\underline{0}$), Secondary schools ($\underline{0}$), Hospitals ($\underline{0}$), Health centers ($\underline{1}$), Dispensaries ($\underline{0}$), Assembly hall ($\underline{0}$), Park ($\underline{0}$),				
	Public toilet (<u>0</u>), Others : <u>None</u>				
Present Condition of water and	Means of getting water : (20%) common well, (0%) piped water, $(\%)$ buying water delivered by water tank truck,				
electricity supply	(<u>80</u> %) by other means River water				
	Supply of electricity : 0 % of families are supplied with electricity.				
Means of earning livelihood of	:Major, \triangle :Partial, \times :None				
nhabitants	(\underline{x}) Agriculture, (\underline{x}) Livestock, (\underline{x}) Fishery, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Common labor, $(\underline{\Delta})$ Skilled work, (\underline{x}) Employment of private firm, $(\underline{\circ})$ Employment of				
	government, (x_)Small scale manufacturing, (_Δ)Vendor, Others (_), (_), (_),				
	Families without income : About%, How do they live: Small Businessx				
Existing community organization	h Youth union (a)Yes, (b)No), Women's union (a)Yes, (b)No),				
	Others :				
Social activities	Cleaning of public places : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody				
	Ditch cleaning : (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody No Rds				
	Minor repair of roads like removal of small pools, pothole repair, etc.: (a)done by inhabitants themselves, (b)done by Payam Office, (c)Nobody No Roads				
Development needs for improver	ment of living condition of inhabitants (based on desire of the community)				
	Infrastructure (if necessary (very urgent), if necessary, \times if not necessary):				
	$(_)$ Road, $(_)$ Water, $(_)$ Electricity, $(_)$ Waste management, $(\underline{\times})$ Sewage, $(_)$ School,				
	$(, \circ)$ Health center, (\underline{x}) Assembly hall, (\underline{x}) Park, (\underline{x}) Public toilet, Others				
	Vocational training : Kind of skill (NONE				
	Empowerment of community organization : Kind of organization (NONE				
	Others				

Community Survey Sheet

Note : Write by word / figure in _____ portions.

Select the applicable one and encircle it, for the items indicated as (a)----, (b)----, (c)-----.