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| 添付資料 10－1 | 電力需要予測および一次エネルギー予測マニュアル |
| :---: | :---: |
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| ADB | Asian Development Bank | アジア開発銀行 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AFC | Automatic Frequency Control | 自動周波数制御 |
| AFTA | ASEAN Free Trade Area |  |
| ASEAN | Association of Southeast Asian Nations | 東南アジア諸国連合 |
| BOD | Board of Directors |  |
| BOM | Board of Management |  |
| BOT | Build－Operate－Transfer |  |
| CC | Combined Cycle | コンバインドサイクル |
| CDM | Clean Development Mechanism | クリーン開発メカニズム |
| C／P | Counterpart | カウンターパート |
| DO | Diesel Oil | ディーゼルオイル |
| DOE | Department of Energy | アメリカ合衆国：エネルギー省 |
| DSCR | Debt Service Coverage Ratio |  |
| DSM | Demand Side Management | 需要側マネジメント |
| DSS | Daily Start and Stop | 1日に1回運転停止 |
| DWT | Dead Weight Tonnage | 積貨荷重トン数 |
| EGAT | Electricity Generating Authority of Thailand | タイ電力庁 |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment | 環境影響評価 |
| EL | Elevation | 標高 |
| EVN | Elctricity of Vietnam | ベトナム電力公社 |
| FO | Furnace Oil | 重油 |
| FPD | Forest Protection Department |  |
| F／S | Feasibility Study | フィージビリティ・スタディ |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product | 国内総生産 |
| GMS | Greater Mekong Sulregaion |  |
| GT | Gas Turbine | ガスタービン |
| HPP | Hydro Power Plant | 水力発電所 |
| IE | Institute of Energy | エネルギー研究所 |
| IEA | International Energy Agency |  |
| IEE | Initial Envelopmental Evaluation | 初期環境影響評価 |
| IES | Initial Envelopmental Study | 初期環境調査 |
| IGA | Inter Goverment Agreement |  |
| IMPACT | Integrated \＆Multi－purpose Package of Advanced Computational Tools for power system engineering |  |
| IPP | Independent Power Producer | 独立発電事業者 |
| JBIC | Japan Bank for International Cooperation | 国際協力銀行 |
| JETRO | Japan External Trade Organization | 日本貿易振興機構 |
| JICA | Japan International Cooperation Agency | 国際協力事業団 |
| LOLE | The Loss Of Load Expectation | 供給支障時間 |
| M／P，MP | Master Plan | マスタープラン |
| MARD | Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development | 農業•地方開発省 |
| MOI | Ministry of Industry | 工業省 |
| MOF | Ministry of Finance |  |
| MONRE | Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment | 資源環境省 |
| MOSTE | Ministry of Science Technology and Environment | 科学技術環境省 |
| MPI | Ministry of Planning and Investment | 計画投資省 |
| NCMPC | Ho Chi Minh Power Company |  |

NEDO ：New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization 新エネルギー・産業技術総合開発機構

NGO（s）：Non－Government Organization（s）
NLDC ：National Load Dispatching Centers
NTFP ：Non－Timber Forest Products
ODA ：Official Development Assistance
OE ：Oil Equivalent
OECF ：The Overseas Economic Cooperation
OJT ：On the Job Training
Pre－F／S ：Preliminary Feasibility Study
P／S
PDPAT II
PECC1
PLN
PP
PSPP
PSS／E ：Power System Simulator for Engineering
RETICS ：Reliability Evaluation Tool for Inter－Connected System
SCADA ：Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SEA ：Strategic Enviromental Assessment
SFR ：Self Financing Ratio
SHM ：Stakeholder Meeting
Son La PMB ：Son La Hydropower Project Management Board
S／S ：Substation
ST ：Steam Turbine
TA ：Technical Asistance
TEPCO ：Tokyo Electric Power Company
TEPSCO ：Tokyo Electric Power Services Co．，Ltd．
T／L ：Transmission Line
TOU ：Time－Of－Use
VEEA ：Vietnam Electricity Engineering Association
WASP ：Wien Automatic System Planning Package
WB ：The World Bank
WSS ：Weekly Start and Stop
WTI ：West Texas Intermediate
WWF ：World Wide Fund for Nature

非政府組織
中央制御所
非木材森林産物
政府開発援助

海外経済協力基金

発電所

インドネシア国電力公社
発電事業者
揚水発電所

連系系統信頼度評価ツール
遠隔監視制御データ収集システム
戦略的環境影響評価

ステークホルダーミーテイング

変電所
蒸気タービン

東京電力（株）
東電設計（株）
送電線

電源計画プログラム
世界銀行
週末起動停止
米国産標準油種
世界自然保護基金

## UNITS

## Prefixes

| $\mu$ | $:$ micro- $=10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| m | $:$ milli- $=10^{-3}$ |
| c | $:$ centi- $=10^{2}$ |
| d | $:$ deci- $=10{ }^{9}$ |
| da | $:$ deca- $=10$ |
| h | $:$ hecto- $=10^{2}$ |
| k | : kilo- $=10^{3}$ |
| M | : mega- $=10^{6}$ |
| G | $:$ giga- $=10^{9}$ |
| Units of Length |  |
| m | : meter |
| km | : kilometer |
| Units of Area |  |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | : square meter |
| $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | : square kilometer |
| Units of Volume |  |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | : cubic meter |
| 1 | : liter |
| kl | : kiloliter |
| Units of Mass |  |
| kg | kilogram |
| t | : ton (metric) |
| DWT | Dead Weight Tonnage |
| Units of Energy |  |
| kcal | : kilocalorie |
| kWh | : kilowatt-hour |
| ktoe | Kilo ton oil equivalent (toe) |
| MWh | : megawatt-hour |
| GWh | : gigawatt-hour |
| Btu | British thermal unit |
| Units of Heating Value |  |
| kcal/kg | : kilocalorie per kilogram |
| Btu/kWh | British thermal unit per kilo watt hour |
| Units of Temperature |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | degree Celsius or Centigrade |
| Units of Electricity |  |
| W | : watt |
| kW | : kilowatt |
| MW | : megawatt |
| GW | : gigawatt |
| A | : ampere |
| V | : volt |
| kV | : kilovolt |
| kVA | : kilovolt ampere |
| MVA | : megavolt ampere |
| MVar | : megavar (mega volt-ampere-reactive) |
| $\Omega$ | ohm |

## UNITS

| Units of Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| s | second |
| min | minute |
| h | hour |
| d | day |
| m | month |
| y | year |
| Units of Flow Rate |  |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | meter per second |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | cubic meter per second |
| Units of Currency |  |
| VND | Vietnam Dong |
| US\$/USD | US Dollar |
| Exchange Rate |  |
| 1 US\$ | VND 15,830 As of May 2005 |
| 1 US\$ | VND 15,825 As of September 2006 |
| 1 US\$ | VND 15,844 As of January 2006 |

## 添付資料

第2章 第5次電力 MP のレビュー

## 添付資料 2－1：1997 年以降の電力料金の推移

## Electricity Tariff

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | nit：dong／k |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customers | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 05 / 97- \\ & 31 / 12 / 98 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01/01/99- } \\ & \text { 30/06/99 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 07/01/99- } \\ & \text { 30/09/99 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01/10/99- } \\ & \text { 28/02/02 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01.03.02- } \\ & \text { now } \end{aligned}$ | Customers | $\begin{aligned} & 15 / 05 / 97- \\ & 31 / 12 / 98 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 01 / 01 / 99- \\ & 30 / 06 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 07 / 01 / 99- \\ & 30 / 09 / 99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 01 / 10 / 99- \\ 28 / 02 / 02 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 01/03/02- } \\ & \text { now } \end{aligned}$ |
| A－Manufacturing |  |  |  |  |  | D－Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| I－Conventional industries |  |  |  |  |  | I－Retail price |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －0－：－100 kWh | 500 | 455 | 455 | 454 | 600 |
| －Normal time | 700 | 636 | 636 | 700 | 785 | －101－：－150 kWh | 650 | 591 | 591 | 640 | 810 |
| －On－peak time | 1150 | 1045 | 1045 | 1240 | 1325 | －151－：－200 kWh | 900 | 818 | 818 | 870 | 1020 |
| －Off－peak time | 400 | 364 | 364 | 340 | 425 | －201－：－300 kWh | 1000 | 909 | 909 | 1060 | 1230 |
| Under 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －above 300 kWh | 1250 | 1136 | 1136 | 1270 | 1400 |
| －Normal time | 730 | 664 | 664 | 730 | 815 | II－Bulk |  |  |  |  |  |
| －On－peak time | 1200 | 1091 | 1091 | 1290 | 1370 | Rural |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Off－peak time | 420 | 382 | 382 | 360 | 445 | －Rural residential | 360 | 327 | 327 | 327 | 390 |
| Under 22 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －Others | 650 | 591 | 591 | 650 | 730 |
| －Normal time | 770 | 700 | 700 | 770 | 860 | Living squares，living areas |  |  |  |  |  |
| －On－peak time | 1250 | 1136 | 1136 | 1340 | 1430 | －Consumers substation | 470 | 427 | 427 | 450 | 570 |
| －Off－peak time | 450 | 409 | 409 | 390 | 480 | －Power company substation | 490 | 445 | 445 | 460 | 580 |
| Under 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －Others | 700 | 636 | 636 | 690 | 770 |
| －Normal time | 810 | 736 | 736 | 800 | 895 | D－Commercial and service |  |  |  |  |  |
| －On－peak time | 1300 | 1182 | 1182 | 1390 | 1480 | Above 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Off－peak time | 480 | 436 | 436 | 410 | 505 | －Normal time | 1200 | 1091 | 1091 | 1220 | 1350 |
| II－Running water，Waste | water，steel， | Apatite， |  |  |  | －On－peak time | 1875 | 1705 | 1705 | 2060 | 2190 |
| Above 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －Off－peak time | 750 | 682 | 682 | 660 | 790 |
| －Normal time |  |  |  |  | 740 | Under 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| －On－peak time |  |  |  |  | 1265 | －Normal time | 1250 | 1136 | 1136 | 1270 | 1410 |
| －Off－peak time |  |  |  |  | 390 | －On－peak time | 1950 | 1773 | 1773 | 2170 | 2300 |
| Under 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －Off－peak time | 780 | 709 | 709 | 680 | 815 |
| －Normal time |  |  |  |  | 770 | E－Foreign customers | Figures sm | maller than 1 | are in dolla |  |  |
| －On－peak time |  |  |  |  | 1310 | I－Production |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Off－peak time |  |  |  |  | 410 | Above 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 22 KV | 720 | 665 | 655 |  |  | －Normal time | 0.075 | 0.068 | 830 | 830 | 830 |
| －Normal time |  |  |  | 710 | 795 | －On－peak time | 0.12 | 0.109 | 1410 | 1410 | 1410 |
| －On－peak time |  |  |  | 1250 | 1350 | －Off－peak time | 0.05 | 0.045 | 440 | 440 | 440 |
| －Off－peak time |  |  |  | 350 | 425 | Under 110 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 6 KV | 760 | 691 | 691 |  |  | －Normal time | 0.08 | 0.073 | 890 | 890 | 890 |
| －Normal time |  |  |  | 750 | 835 | －On－peak time | 0.125 | 0.114 | 1510 | 1510 | 1510 |
| －On－peak time |  |  |  | 1330 | 1420 | －Off－peak time | 0.055 | 0.05 | 480 | 480 | 480 |
| －Off－peak time |  |  |  | 360 | 445 | Under 22 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| B－Agriculture |  |  |  |  |  | －Normal time | 0.085 | 0.077 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| Above 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －On－peak time | 0.13 | 0.118 | 1600 | 1600 | 1600 |
| －Normal time | 630 | 573 | 573 | 573 | 600 | －Off－peak time | 0.06 | 0.055 | 520 | 520 | 520 |
| －On－peak time | 630 | 573 | 573 | 900 | 950 | Under 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Off－peak time | 250 | 227 | 227 | 227 | 240 | －Normal time | 0.09 | 0.082 | 1020 | 1020 | 1020 |
| Under 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  | －On－peak time | 0.135 | 0.123 | 1710 | 1710 | 1710 |
| －Normal time | 660 | 600 | 600 | 600 | 630 | －Off－peak time | 0.065 | 0.059 | 560 | 560 | 560 |
| －On－peak time | 660 | 600 | 600 | 950 | 1000 | II－Commercial and service |  |  |  |  |  |
| －Off－peak time | 260 | 236 | 236 | 236 | 250 | Above 22 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| C－Administration |  |  |  |  |  | －Normal time | 0.105 | 0.095 | 1260 | 1260 | 1260 |
| I－Hospitals，kindergarten | s，schools |  |  |  |  | －On－peak time | 0.16 | 0.145 | 2110 | 2110 | 2110 |
| Above 6 KV | 770 | 700 | 700 | 700 | 780 | －Off－peak time | 0.075 | 0.068 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| Under 6 KV | 810 | 736 | 736 | 736 | 820 | Under 22 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| II－Public lighting |  |  |  |  |  | －Normal time | 0.115 | 0.105 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 |
| Above 6 KV | 770 | 700 | 700 | 770 | 860 | －On－peak time | 0.17 | 0.155 | 2360 | 2360 | 2360 |
| Under 6 KV | 810 | 736 | 736 | 800 | 895 | －Off－peak time | 0.08 | 0.073 | 760 | 760 | 760 |
| III－Administrative offices |  |  |  |  |  | Under 6 KV |  |  |  |  |  |
| Above 6 KV | 770 | 700 | 700 | 790 | 880 | －Normal time | 0.125 | 0.114 | 1530 | 1530 | 1530 |
| Under 6 KV | 810 | 736 | 736 | 820 | 920 | －On－peak time | 0.18 | 0.164 | 2550 | 2550 | 2550 |
| Note：－Since 01．01．2005 there has been no price discrimination for foreign custon <br> －Figures smaller than 1 are in dollar unit |  |  |  |  |  | －Off－peak time | 0.085 | 0.077 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | III－Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Above 22 KV | 0.095 | 0.086 | 0.086 | 1200 | 1200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Under 22 KV | 0.105 | 0.105 | 0.105 | 1330 | 1330 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Under 6 KV | 0.115 | 0.095 | 0.095 | 1470 | 1470 |

（※）なお，首相は，工業省（MOI）が提出していた電気料金におけるベトナム人と外国人との 2 重価格撤廃案に同意し，2005年1月1日からの施行を認可した。この電気料金 2 重価格撤廃は，一連の価格統一計画の 1 つで，これにより外国企業による一層のベトナム投資促進を図ることを目的としている。

工業省（MOI）によると，この 2 重価格撤廃により EVN の収入は約 3000 億ドン（約 20 億円）減少する見込みだとしている。

添付資料 2－2：連結財務諸表
Consolidated Balance Sheet of EVN
（Billion VND）

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non－current assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fixed assets | 23，716 | 30，914 | 45，079 | 49，121 | 56，064 |
| Construction in progress | 20，971 | 15，926 | 8，931 | 10，983 | 12，704 |
| Deferred tax assets ※1 | － | － | － | 609 | 304 |
| Other non－current assets | 4，157 | 4，364 | 4，534 | 5，020 | 5，164 |
| Sub－total | 48，844 | 51，204 | 58，545 | 65，735 | 74，236 |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bank balance and cash | 6，693 | 7，653 | 10，792 | 12，855 | 12，232 |
| Trade and other receivables | 2，619 | 2，665 | 4，074 | 5，748 | 7，395 |
| Inventories ※2 | 1，374 | 1，731 | 2，298 | 2，859 | 3，777 |
| Other current assets | 503 | 670 | 463 | 518 | 797 |
| Sub－total | 11，191 | 12，720 | 17，629 | 21，981 | 24，203 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 60，035 | 63，924 | 76，174 | 87，716 | 98，439 |
| EQUITY \＆LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital | 26，073 | 26，831 | 28，731 | 32，698 | 35，540 |
| Fixed assets revaluation reserve $※ 3$ | － | － | 3，154 | 3，154 | 3，698 |
| Funds and reserves | 1，761 | 1，850 | 2，012 | 1，875 | 1，721 |
| Accumulated profit | 62 | 65 | 256 | （979） | （410） |
| Sub－total | 27，897 | 28，747 | 34，154 | 36，749 | 40，540 |
| Long－term borrowings | 25，565 | 26，601 | 32，640 | 39，349 | 45，308 |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trade and other payables | 5，217 | 6，843 | 7，597 | 8，670 | 8，917 |
| Short－term loans | 68 | 112 | 136 | 146 | 391 |
| Current potion of long－term borrowings | 1，287 | 1，620 | 1，646 | 2，777 | 3，223 |
| Sub－total | 6，572 | 8，576 | 9，380 | 11，595 | 12，533 |
| TOTAL EQUITY \＆LIABILITIES | 60，035 | 63，924 | 76，174 | 87，716 | 98，439 |

## Source）EVN

Note）※12003 年度より税効果会計を導入し，Deferred tax assets（繰延税金資産）を，2003年に609 Billion VND， 2004 年に 304 Billion VND 計上している。ベトナム会計基準では 2005 年度から税効果会計を導入する予定 だが，国際会計基準に基づいて作成している本財務諸表では，これに先立って計上している。
※ 22000 年度に，Inventories の一部を Fixed assets へ組み替えている。
※ 32002 年に，固定資産の内，Hoa Binh Hydro Power Plant について再評価を実施している。

|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net sales | 16,510 | 19,209 | 23,565 | 30,245 | 34,530 |
| Cost of sales | $(13,574)$ | $(15,958)$ | $(19,087)$ | $(21,886)$ | $(26,451)$ |
| Gross profit | 2,936 | 3,250 | 4,477 | 8,358 | 8,078 |
| Selling expenses | $(335)$ | $(405)$ | $(476)$ | $(655)$ | $(747)$ |
| General and administration expenses | $(674)$ | $(904)$ | $(1,092)$ | $(1,302)$ | $(1,501)$ |
| Other operating income | 271 | 552 | 580 | 729 | 892 |
| Other operating expenses | $(250)$ | $(366)$ | $(378)$ | $(4,249)$ | $(1,780)$ |
| Profit from operations | 1,947 | 2,127 | 3,110 | 2,880 | 4,940 |
| Finance cost | $(550)$ | $(587)$ | $(782)$ | $(1,032)$ | $(1,312)$ |
| Net profit before tax | 1,397 | 1,540 | 2,328 | 1,848 | 3,627 |
| Corporate income tax ※1 | $(514)$ | $(541)$ | $(677)$ | $(19)$ | $(296)$ |
| Profit after tax | 882 | 999 | 1,650 | 1,828 | 3,331 |

## Source）EVN

Note）2001年度より利益の表示区分が変更されたことより，2000年は表示区分を調整して記載した。
※1 Corporate income tax の税率は， $25 \%-32 \%$ の間で適用されている。但し，2003年，2004年は税効果会計 が導入されたため，税金支払額と損益計算書計上が異なっている。

|  | 2003 年 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current taxes | 2004 年 |  |
| Deferred taxes | 609 | $(601)$ |
| total | （19） | 304 |
|  |  |  |


|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I Cash flows from operating activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Profit from operations | 1,397 | 1,540 | 3,110 | 2,880 | 4,940 |
| Adjustment for: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,462 | 5,134 | 7,055 | 8,375 | 8,084 |
| Loss from foreign exchange | 153 | 245 | 313 | 3,087 | 1,500 |
| Other | 721 | 491 | (10) | (5) | (56) |
| Sub-total | 6,580 | 7,412 | 10,469 | 14,339 | 14,468 |
| Increase in trade and other receivables | 1,310 | (34) | $(1,374)$ | $(1,643)$ | $(1,628)$ |
| Increase in inventories | (24) | (265) | (600) | (875) | $(1,068)$ |
| (Increase)/Decrease in other current assets | 9 | (166) | (161) | (22) | (67) |
| Increase in trade and other payables | 913 | 1,258 | 1,360 | 844 | 1,004 |
| Increase in non-current assets | (292) | (207) | (170) | (7) | (138) |
| Interest paid | (547) | (577) | (769) | $(1,015)$ | $(1,282)$ |
| Corporate income tax paid | (640) | (678) | (664) | (714) | (633) |
| Sub-total | 730 | (672) | $(2,056)$ | $(3,435)$ | $(3,814)$ |
| Net cash flows from operating activities | 7,311 | 6,739 | 8,412 | 10,903 | 10,654 |
| II Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disbursement for capital assets and construction costs | $(13,869)$ | $(9,218)$ | $(9,928)$ | $(13,347)$ | $(16,297)$ |
| Increase in investment accounts | - | - | - | (192) | 24 |
| Proceeds from disposal of fixed assets | 172 | 11 | 14 | 18 | 41 |
| Net cash flows from investing activities | $(13,696)$ | $(9,206)$ | $(9,913)$ | $(13,522)$ | $(16,232)$ |
| IIICash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Additional loans obtained | 8,865 | 6,131 | 6,507 | 6,336 | 8,184 |
| Repayment of loans | $(1,486)$ | $(3,224)$ | $(2,040)$ | $(1,687)$ | $(3,190)$ |
| Other | 392 | 518 | 173 | 31 | (40) |
| Net cash flows from financing activities | 7,772 | 3,426 | 4,640 | 4,680 | 4,954 |
| IVNet increase in cash | 1,387 | 959 | 3,139 | 2,064 | (623) |
| V Bank balance and cash at beginning of the year | 5,306 | 6,693 | 7,653 | 10,792 | 12,855 |
| VIBank balance and cash at end of the year | 6,693 | 7,653 | 10,792 | 12,855 | 12,232 |

[^0]添付資料 2－3：予測財務諸表
Forecasted income statement

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenue | 1，756 | 1，971 | 2，230 | 2，512 | 2，826 | 3，171 | 3，553 | 3，975 |
| Expenses | 1487 | 1806 | 2073 | 2452 | 2703 | 3131 | 3469 | 3944 |
| Fuel | 384 | 523 | 510 | 598 | 551 | 532 | 504 | 598 |
| Materials | 49 | 49 | 56 | 62 | 67 | 73 | 82 | 92 |
| Repairs | 83 | 97 | 103 | 107 | 122 | 137 | 150 | 160 |
| Salaries | 116 | 127 | 139 | 149 | 160 | 171 | 183 | 195 |
| Electricity purchase | 104 | 320 | 536 | 727 | 981 | 1262 | 1545 | 1694 |
| Depreciation | 514 | 524 | 546 | 609 | 591 | 732 | 818 | 967 |
| Interests | 68 | 82 | 93 | 107 | 135 | 177 | 216 | 270 |
| Natural resource tax | 17 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 25 |
| Other expenses | 152 | 70 | 77 | 84 | 91 | 99 | 110 | 126 |
| Expected decrease of operating expense in member companies |  |  | 2 | 5 | 9 | 69 | 161 | 183 |
| Operating income＊ | 137 | 88 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income tax | 131 | 77 | 135 | 60 | 123 | 40 | 84 | 31 |
| Income tax | 42 | 22 | 38 | 17 | 34 | 11 | 23 | 9 |
| Capital cost | 34 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 43 |  | 45 |  |
| Net income | 55 | 18 | 57 | 1 | 45 | 29 | 16 | 22 |

＊Additional revenue as the result of selling price increase will be transferred to the investment fund
Forecasted cash flow statement

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source of fund | 1,227 | 1,508 | 1,833 | 2,385 | 2,776 | 2,847 | 2,917 | 2,843 |
| Internal fund | 448 | 699 | 877 | 937 | 1,086 | 1,016 | 1,135 | 1,324 |
| Depreciation | 514 | 524 | 546.3 | 609 | 591 | 732 | 818 | 967.3 |
| Internal generation | -267 | 49 | 182 | 163 | 191 | 109 | 24 | 246 |
| Additional revenue due to increase in tariff | 171 | 126 | 62 | 42 | 43 | 0 | 45 | 0 |
| Income from investment activities | 30 | 0 | 36 | 17 | 62 | 84 | 94 | 108 |
| Disposal of fixed assets | 0 | 0 | 50.3 | 106 | 199 | 91 | 154 | 3 |
| Fund from the government | 197 | 23 | 32 | 34 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Borrowings | 582 | 786 | 924 | 1414 | 1663 | 1831 | 1782 | 1518.3 |
| Application of fund | 1227 | 1508 | 1833 | 2385 | 2776 | 2847 | 2917 | 2843 |
| Investments | 1103 | 1293 | 1532 | 1975 | 2254 | 2195 | 2097 | 1781 |
| Generation | 630 | 547.3 | 891.3 | 1319 | 1645 | 1644 | 1595.3 | 1278.3 |
| Network | 472 | 628 | 590 | 592 | 526 | 480 | 463 | 470 |
| Others | 0 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Joint ventures | 0 | 9 | 51 | 64 | 83 | 71 | 39 | 33 |
| Debt payment | 124 | 214 | 300 | 410 | 522 | 652 | 819 | 1061 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 添付資料

第3章 電力需要予測

## 添付資料 3－1 ケース別日負荷曲線予測

## （1）Base Case の予測




（Base 2005，2010，2015，2020，2025 年）
添付図 3－1－1 日負荷曲線



（Base 2005，2010 年）

## （2）High Case の予測






（High 2005，2010，2015，2020，2025 年）

（High 2005，2010年
（3）Low Case の予測

（Low 2005，2010，2015，2020，2025 年）

2005， 2010 Whole Peak Low CASE



（Low 2005，2010 年）

## 添付資料 3－2 地域別日負荷曲線予測

（1）北部地区


North Weekday


North Holiday



添付図 3－2－1 北部 Base Case（単位：MW）
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添付図 3－2－2 北部 High Case（単位：MW）


North Weekday


添付図 3－2－3 北部 Low Case（単位：MW）

## （2）中部地区



添付図 3－2－4 中部 Base Case（単位：MW）


添付図 3－2－5 中部 High Case（単位：MW）


添付図 3－2－6 中部 Low Case（単位：MW）

## （3）南部地区



添付図 3－2－7 南部 Base Case（単位：MW）



South Holiday Low


添付図 3－2－9 南部 Low Case（単位：MW）

## 添付資料

第5章 電源開発計画

添付資料 5－1（1）IEによる電源開発計画（北部地域，水力発電及び輸入）

| Name | Capacity <br> （MW） | Commission <br> Year | Month | Abolition <br> Year | Owner | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOMURA（50MW） | 50 | 2005 | 1 | 2010 | IPP | Purchase |
| Nhap khau TQ－2（40MW） | 40 | 2005 | 1 | 2010 | CSG | Import |
| Nhap khau TQ－3（40MW） | 40 | 2005 | 6 | 2010 | CSG | Import |
| Nhap khau TQ－5（40MW） | 40 | 2006 | 1 | 2010 | CSG | Import |
| Nhap khau TQ－4（40MW） | 40 | 2006 | 1 | 2010 | CSG | Import |
| Nam Dong－Suoi Sap | 51 | 2006 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Nhap khau TQ－6（200MW） | 200 | 2007 | 1 | 2010 | CSG | Import |
| TDN mien Bac1－（M．Luong） | 114 | 2007 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Tuyen Quang \＃1（114MW） | 114 | 2007 | 10 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Tuyen Quang \＃2（114MW） | 114 | 2008 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| THAI AN（44MW） | 44 | 2008 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| TDNMB2（NgoiFat－Tr．Tau1\＆2） | 76 | 2008 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Van Chan（35MW） | 35 | 2008 | 3 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Coc San（40MW） | 40 | 2008 | 3 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Tuyen Quang \＃3（114MW） | 114 | 2008 | 6 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Ban La \＃1（160MW） | 160 | 2008 | 12 | 2009 | EVN | Hydro |
| Thac Muoi（53MW） | 53 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| NGOI BO（35MW） | 35 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Na Le（90MW） | 90 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| ImportFromChina1（Malutang－300MW） | 300 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Hac han－Ban Coc－Huong Son（100MW） | 100 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Ban La（320MW） | 320 | 2009 | 3 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Chu Linh（30MW） | 30 | 2010 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Ban Chat \＃1 | 100 | 2010 | 4 | 2010 | EVN | Hydro |
| Song Hieu（Ban Mong 53MW） | 53 | 2010 | 9 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Cua Dat（97MW） | 97 | 2010 | 9 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Ban Chat 200 MW | 100 | 2010 | 9 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Import From Laos（Nam Mo，2010－，100MW） | 100 | 2010 | 12 | － | IPP | Import |
| Son La 6x400\＃1，2，3 | 1200 | 2011 | 1 | 2012 | EVN | Hydro |
| Khe Bo 96MW | 96 | 2011 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Huoi Quang \＃1（280MW） | 280 | 2011 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| HoaBinh（Sla 6x400－chay tam 2010） |  | 2011 | 1 | 2012 | EVN | Hydro |
| Nho Que 140MW | 140 | 2011 | 4 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Nam Chien（210MW） | 210 | 2011 | 9 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Huoi Quang \＃2（280MW） | 280 | 2011 | 9 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Hua Na （195MW） | 195 | 2012 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Son La 3x400（chay tam 2011） | 1200 | 2012 | 4 | 2012 | EVN | Hydro |
| Son La 3x400 | 1200 | 2013 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |


| Lai Chau\#1\#2 (2x300MW) | 600 | 2013 | 11 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lai Chau\#3\#4 (2x300MW) | 600 | 2014 | 3 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Trung Son (310MW) | 310 | 2015 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| ImportFromLaos(NamThen1-400MW) | 400 | 2015 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| Ban Uon (250MW) | 250 | 2016 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| BacMe (280MW) | 280 | 2016 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Thuy dien nho moi MB 1 | 100 | 2018 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| ImportFromChina2(250MW) | 250 | 2018 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromChina3(250MW) | 250 | 2019 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromChina4(250MW) | 250 | 2019 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| PSPP \#1 (200MW) | 200 | 2020 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| ImportFromChina5(250MW) | 250 | 2020 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromChina6(250MW) | 250 | 2020 | 6 | - | IPP | Import |
| PSPP \#2 (200MW) | 200 | 2021 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| Thuy dien nho moi MB 3 | 100 | 2022 | 1 |  | - | IPP |
| Thuy dien nho moi MB 2 | 100 | 2022 | 1 | - | Hydro |  |
| PSPP \#3 (200MW) | 200 | 2022 | 1 | - | EVN | Pydro |
| ImportFromChina7(250MW) | 250 | 2022 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromChina8(250MW) | 250 | 2023 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| Thuy dien nho moi MB 4 | 100 | 2024 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| PSPP \#4 (200MW) | 2024 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |  |
| PSPP \#5 (200MW) | 2024 | 4 | - | EVN | PSPP |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

添付資料 5－1（2）IEによる電源開発計画（中南部地域，水力発電及び輸入）

| Name | Capacity <br> （MW） | Commission <br> Year | Month | Abolition <br> Year | Owner | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sesan 3A（100MW） | 100 | 2006 | 9 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Sesan 3\＃1（130MW） | 130 | 2006 | 9 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Srok Phu Mieng（54MW） | 54 | 2006 | 10 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Sesan 3\＃2（130MW） | 130 | 2006 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Da Dang Dachamo（16MW） | 16 | 2007 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Tdnho Mien Trung1（Bao Loc，Dan Sach，Tra Xom） | 22 | 2007 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Rao Quan（Quang Tri 70MW） | 70 | 2007 | 6 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| HChan，HMun（27MW） | 27 | 2007 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Dai Ninh \＃1（150MW） | 150 | 2007 | 11 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| PleiKrong（110MW） | 110 | 2007 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Dai Ninh \＃2（150MW） | 150 | 2007 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Bac Binh（35MW） | 35 | 2008 | 5 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Song Ba Ha（250MW） | 250 | 2008 | 6 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Co Bi（48MW） | 48 | 2008 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Buon Kuop \＃1（140MW） | 140 | 2008 | 6 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| A Vuong（210MW） | 210 | 2008 | 6 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Buon Kuop \＃2（140MW） | 140 | 2008 | 9 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| La ngau（38MW） | 38 | 2008 | 12 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Ea Rong Rou（34MW） | 34 | 2008 | 12 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Dong Nai 3 （180MW） | 180 | 2008 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Buon Tua Srah（85MW） | 85 | 2008 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Lagrai（9MW） | 9 | 2009 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Binh Dien（44MW） | 44 | 2009 | 6 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Srepok 3 \＃1（110MW） | 110 | 2009 | 9 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Sesan 4\＃1（110MW） | 110 | 2009 | 9 | － | JV | Hydro |
| An Khe＋Ka Nak（173MW） | 173 | 2009 | 10 | － | JV | Import |
| Dong Nai 4 （340MW） | 340 | 2009 | 11 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Thac Mo Extension（75MW） | 75 | 2009 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Srepok 3 \＃2（110MW） | 110 | 2009 | 12 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Sesan 4\＃2（110MW） | 110 | 2009 | 12 | － | JV | Hydro |
| Dam Bri（72MW） | 72 | 2009 | 12 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Song Tranh 2 （132MW） | 132 | 2010 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| Song Bung 2 （108MW） | 108 | 2010 | 1 | － | EVN | Hydro |
| EaKrong Hnang（65MW） | 65 | 2010 | 1 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Sesan 4\＃3（110MW） | 110 | 2010 | 3 | － | JV | Hydro |
| ImportFromLaos（Xekaman3－260MW） | 260 | 2010 | 3 | － | IPP | Import |
| Dak Rtih（72MW） | 72 | 2010 | 3 | － | IPP | Hydro |
| Song Con 2 （70MW） | 70 | 2010 | 9 | － | IPP | Hydro |


| Dak Drinh 1(100MW) | 100 | 2010 | 9 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Upper Kon Tum \#1(110MW) | 110 | 2011 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Srepok 4 (28MW) | 28 | 2011 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| Upper Kon Tum \#2(110MW) | 110 | 2011 | 9 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Song Bung 4 (165MW) | 165 | 2012 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Dong Nai 5 (100MW) | 100 | 2012 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Dak Mi 1 (210MW) 2020 | 210 | 2012 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Dong Nai 2 (80MW) | 80 | 2012 | 6 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Dak Mi 4 (210MW) | 210 | 2012 | 6 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| ImportFromLaos(Xekaman1-450MW) | 450 | 2013 | 4 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromLaos(Sekong4-450MW)-2016 | 450 | 2014 | 3 | - | IPP | Import |
| Song Bung 5 (85MW) | 85 | 2015 | 1 | - | EVN | Hydro |
| Duc Xuyen (52MW) | 52 | 2015 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| ImportFromLaos(2014Sekong5-250MW) | 250 | 2015 | 6 | - | IPP | Import |
| ImportFromLaos(NamKong1-240MW) | 240 | 2016 | 9 | - | IPP | Import |
| Thuy dien nho moi MT_MN 1 | 100 | 2017 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| ImportFromCPC(LowerSeRepok2-222MW) | 222 | 2019 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| PSPP \#1 (200MW) | 200 | 2020 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#2 (200MW) | 200 | 2021 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| ImportFromCPC(LowerSeSan3-375MW-71)-2014 | 375 | 2021 | 6 | - | IPP | Import |
| Thuy dien nho moi MT_MN 2 | 100 | 2022 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| PSPP \#3 (200MW) | 200 | 2022 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| Thuy dien nho moi MT_MN 3 | 100 | 2023 | 1 | - | IPP | Hydro |
| ImportFromCPC(LowerSeSan2-207MW)-2017 | 207 | 2023 | 1 | - | IPP | Import |
| PSPP \#4 (200MW) | 200 | 2023 | 4 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#5 (200MW) | 200 | 2024 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#7 (200MW) | 200 | 2025 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#6 (200MW) | 200 | 2025 | 1 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#8 (200MW) | 200 | 2025 | 4 | - | EVN | PSPP |
| PSPP \#9 (200MW) | 200 | 2025 | 6 | - | EVN | PSPP |

添付資料 5－1（3）IEによる電源開発計画（北部地域，火力発電）

| Name | Capacity | Comissioning year | Month | AboLishing year | Owner | Fuel Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Na Duong TPP \＃1 50MW | 50 | 2005 | 2 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Na Duong TPP \＃2 50MW | 50 | 2005 | 5 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Cao Ngan \＃1 | 50 | 2005 | 10 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Cao Ngan \＃2 | 50 | 2005 | 12 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Diesel mien Bac | 40 | 2006 | 1 | － | EVN | DIESEL |
| Uong Bi（Extension） | 300 | 2006 | 6 | － | EVN | COAL |
| To F6－GE 1 | 35 | 2006 | 10 | － | EVN | OIL |
| To F6－GE 2 | 35 | 2006 | 10 | － | EVN | OIL |
| To F6－GE 3 | 35 | 2006 | 11 | － | EVN | OIL |
| To F6－GE 4 | 35 | 2006 | 11 | － | EVN | OIL |
| Son Dong\＃1 | 98 | 2008 | 4 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Son Dong \＃2 | 98 | 2008 | 9 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Hai Phong TPP \＃1 | 300 | 2008 | 12 | － | JV | COAL |
| Cam Pha I | 300 | 2009 | 3 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Quang Ninh\＃1 | 300 | 2009 | 3 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mao Khe \＃1 | 100 | 2009 | 1 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Mao Khe \＃2 | 100 | 2009 | 4 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Hai Phong TPP \＃2 | 300 | 2009 | 3 | － | JV | COAL |
| Quang Ninh\＃2 | 300 | 2009 | 6 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Quang Ninh\＃3 | 300 | 2009 | 6 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Ninh Binh（extension） | 300 | 2009 | 6 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Uong Bi extension \＃2 | 300 | 2010 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Quang Ninh\＃4 | 300 | 2010 | 4 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Hai Phong II\＃1 | 300 | 2010 | 3 | － | JV | COAL |
| Hai Phong II\＃2 | 300 | 2010 | 7 | － | JV | COAL |
| Cam Pha II | 300 | 2010 | 11 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Vung Ang I\＃1 | 600 | 2010 | 11 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Nghi Son I \＃1 | 300 | 2011 | 9 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Nghi Son I \＃2 | 300 | 2012 | 6 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mong Duong I\＃1 | 500 | 2012 | 12 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mong Duong I \＃2 | 500 | 2013 | 12 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mong Duong II \＃1 | 500 | 2015 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mong Duong II\＃2 | 500 | 2015 | 11 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Mao Khe II \＃1 | 100 | 2016 | 1 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Mao Khe II \＃2 | 100 | 2016 | 1 | － | Vinacoal | COAL |
| Vung Ang I\＃2 | 600 | 2016 | 9 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| Nghi Son II\＃1 | 600 | 2017 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL |
| Nghi Son II \＃2 | 600 | 2017 | 9 | － | EVN | COAL |


| Vung Ang II\#1 | 600 | 2018 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vung Ang II \#2 | 600 | 2018 | 9 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| Vung Ang II \#3 | 600 | 2019 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| Nghi Son III\#1 | 600 | 2019 | 4 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| Nghi Son III \#2 | 600 | 2020 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#1 | 600 | 2020 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#2 | 600 | 2020 | 6 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#3 | 600 | 2021 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#4 | 1000 | 2021 | 4 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#5 | 1000 | 2022 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#6 | 1000 | 2022 | 6 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#7 | 1000 | 2023 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#8 | 1000 | 2023 | 9 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#9 | 1000 | 2024 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#10 | 1000 | 2024 | 11 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#11 | 1000 | 2025 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| New Imp. Coal \#12 | 1000 | 2025 | 9 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |

添付資料 5－1（4）IEによる電源開発計画（中南部地域，火力発電）

| Name | Capacity | Comissioning year | Month | AboLishing year | Owner | Fuel Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phu My 2．2 CC | 720 | 2005 | 1 | － | BOT | GAS |
| Phu My 2．1Ex．GT2x145MW， ST1x160MW | 451 | 2005 | 12 | － | EVN | GAS |
| Phu My ADD | 160 | 2006 | 1 | 2009 | EVN | GAS |
| GT－E9GE 117MW 1 | 107 | 2007 | 1 | 2008 | EVN | OIL |
| GT－E9GE 117MW 2 | 107 | 2007 | 2 | 2008 | EVN | OIL |
| GT－E9GE 117MW 3 | 107 | 2007 | 3 | 2008 | EVN | OIL |
| GT－E9GE 117MW 4 | 107 | 2007 | 4 | 2008 | EVN | OIL |
| Ca Mau GT1＋2 | 480 | 2007 | 4 | 2007 | IPP | GAS |
| Ca Mau ICC | 720 | 2008 | 1 | － | PetroVN | GAS |
| CC－GE I（2x117＋100MW） | 330 | 2008 | 1 | － | EVN | OLL |
| CC－GE II（2x117＋100MW） | 330 | 2008 | 1 | － | EVN | OIL |
| Ca Mau II CC | 720 | 2008 | 6 | － | PetroVN | GAS |
| O Mon I\＃1 ST | 300 | 2009 | 6 | － | EVN | GAS |
| OMon I\＃2 ST | 300 | 2010 | 11 | － | EVN | GAS |
| O Mon II CC GT | 720 | 2011 | 11 | － | IPP | GAS |
| Nhon Trach I\＃1 F9E | 330 | 2011 | 11 | － | IPP | GAS |
| Nhon Trach I\＃2 F9E | 330 | 2012 | 6 | － | IPP | GAS |
| O Mon III \＃1 F9E | 330 | 2012 | 11 | － | EVN | GAS |
| O Mon III\＃2 F9E | 330 | 2013 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃1（Tien Giang I） | 720 | 2013 | 9 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃2（Tien Giang II） | 720 | 2014 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃3（O Mon IV） | 720 | 2014 | 9 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃4（Binh Thuan 1） | 720 | 2015 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃5（Binh Thuan 2） | 720 | 2015 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| South Coal \＃1（Soc Trang1） | 600 | 2016 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| South Coal \＃2（Soc Trang2） | 600 | 2016 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| New CC \＃6（O Mon V） | 720 | 2016 | 6 | － | EVN | GAS |
| New CC \＃7（Cai lay 1） | 720 | 2017 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| Nuclear \＃1－2017 | 1000 | 2017 | 5 | － | EVN | Nuclear |
| New CC \＃8（Cai Lay 2） | 720 | 2018 | 1 | － | EVN | GAS |
| South Coal \＃3（Tra Vinh 1） | 1000 | 2018 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| Nuclear \＃2－2019 | 1000 | 2019 | 4 | － | EVN | Nuclear |
| South Coal \＃4（Tra Vinh 2） | 1000 | 2019 | 1 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| South Coal \＃5（Tra Vinh 3） | 1000 | 2019 | 9 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| South Coal \＃6（Soc Trang 3） | 1000 | 2020 | 7 | － | EVN | COAL－Imp． |
| Nuclear \＃3－2010 | 1000 | 2020 | 1 | － | EVN | Nuclear |
| Nuclear \＃4－2020 | 1000 | 2021 | 1 | － | EVN | Nuclear |


| South Coal \#7 (Soc Trang 4) | 1000 | 2021 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| South Coal \#8 | 1000 | 2022 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| South Coal \#9 | 1000 | 2022 | 1 | - | EVN | COAL-Imp. |
| Nuclear \#5-2021 | 1000 | 2022 | 11 | - | EVN | Nuclear |

## 添付資料

第7章 電カセクター改革と財務分析

添付資料7－1（1）長期投資計画（北部地域）

|  | Mw YYMM | Invesment | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 202 | 202 | 205 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NamDong．Suoisap | 22 Y2006／6 | 3，958 | 676 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nhapkhauro－（40MW） | ${ }_{40}^{40} \mathrm{Y} 20006 \mathrm{~V}^{\text {2 }}$ | 4,996 4.996 | ${ }_{734}^{734}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| NhapkhautT－220kV（200MW） | 200 Y2007／1 | 24,480 | 4，996 | 3.672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TDNNmienBacl－M．LL | $22 \mathrm{Y} 2007 / 6$ | 3，958 | 792 | 676 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TuyenQuang\＃1（114MW） | 114 Y2007／10 | 15，664 | 3，133 | 2，937 | 1，762 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 30 Y2008／3 | 4，551 | 910 | 910 | ${ }^{271}$ | 114 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| TDNMB2（Ngoifat－T．Tau182） | 76 Y2008／1 | 13，672 | 2，734 | 2，734 | 2，051 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| thatan（4amm） | 44 Y200811 | 6，675 | 1，335 | 1，335 | 1，001 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Tuyenouangt2（14MW） | 114 Y20081 | 15，664 | ${ }_{\text {3，133 }}$ | 3，133 | 2，350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Tuyenouang＊3（114MW） | 114 Y2008／6 | 15，664 | ${ }_{3,133}$ | ${ }_{3,133}$ | ${ }_{2,676}^{2,106}$ | 979 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| VanChan（35Mw） | 35 Y2008／3 | ${ }_{6,297}$ | ${ }_{1,259}$ | 1，259 | 997 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| BanLa（320MW） | 320 Y20093 | 43,968 | 8，427 | ${ }_{8,794}$ | 8，794 | 6，962 | 1，099 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hachan－BanC | 100 Y200911 | 13，740 | 2，748 | 2，748 | 2，748 | 2，061 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfromChinal（Maluang－300MW） | 300 Y 200911 | 36，720 | 7,344 | 7,344 | 7,344 | 5，508 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nalegonw | ${ }_{30}^{90}$ Y Y209911 | $\underset{\substack{13,653 \\ 6,297}}{ }$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,731 \\ 1,259}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,731 \\ 1,299}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,731 \\ 1,259}}$ | 2，048 ${ }_{\text {944 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| TDNSecochungho | 21.7 Y2009／1 | ${ }_{3,904}$ | 781 | 781 | ${ }_{781}$ | 586 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| BanChar200Mw | 200 Y 21017 | 27，480 | 3，435 | 4，809 | 5，496 | 5，496 | 4，809 | 2，061 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Chulimin（30MW） | 40 Y2010／6 | 6，068 | 784 | 1，087 | 1，214 | 1，214 | 1，037 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cuadat（97MW） | 97 Y201066 | 14,715 | 1，901 | ${ }^{2}, 636$ | 2，943 | 2，943 | ${ }_{2}, 514$ | 920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{210 \\ 53 \\ 52901013 \\ \text { Y2013 }}}$ | ${ }_{28,854}$ | ${ }_{\substack{4,088 \\ 1,206}}$ | ${ }_{\text {c，530 }}$ | 5，771 | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{5,771}$ | 4，569 | ${ }^{221}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |
| Huoi Uuangtl（280MW） | 280 Y2011／1 | 3， 3,472 | ${ }^{1,847}$ | 5，771 | 7,694 | 7，694 | 7,694 | 5，771 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| HuiiQuang42（280MW） | 280 Y2011／9 | 38，472 | 1，282 | 4，488 | 6，412 | 7，694 | 7，694 | 7，053 | 3，847 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomL aos（NamMo，2010，100MW） | 100 Y2011／6 | 12，240 | 714 | 1，581 | 2，193 | 2，448 | 2，448 | 2，091 | 765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Huana（195Mw） | 180 Y 2012112 | ${ }^{24,332}$ | 0 | 206 | 2，576 | 3，813 | 4，946 | 4，946 | 4，843 | 3，401 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | c， 10,316 <br> 19,236 | $\bigcirc$ | 1,032 <br> 1,43 | ${ }_{2}^{1,547}$ | 2,063 3,607 | ${ }_{\substack{2,063 \\ 3,847}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,063 \\ 3,847}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,547 \\ 3,126}}$ | ${ }_{721}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| Laichautl＋2＋2（2x300Mw） | 600 Y 20139 | 82,40 | 0 |  | ${ }_{2,748}$ | 9，618 | 13，740 | 16，488 | 16，488 | 15，14 | 8,244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sonlabx400 | 2400 Y2013／1 | 297，840 | 0 | 0 | 29，784 | 44，676 | 59，568 | 59，568 | 59，568 | 44，676 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Laichaut3］＊4（2x300NW） | ${ }^{600} \mathrm{Y} 201416$ | 82，40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4，809 | 10，649 | 14，771 | 16，488 | 16，488 | 14，084 | 5，153 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {ImporfFrom Chinaz（250MW）}}$ | 250 Y20151 | 30，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{3,060}$ | 4，590 | ${ }_{6}^{6,120}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,120}$ | ${ }_{6}^{6,120}$ | 4，590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Imporfriomchina3（200MW） Ban Oon（80MW） | 250 80 8020151 Y20169 | 30,600 12,136 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 3,060 0 | 4，590 | ${ }_{\substack{6,120 \\ 1,416}}$ | ${ }_{\text {cher }}^{6,120}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{\substack{6,120}}$ | ${ }_{\text {4，590 }}$ | 2，225 | 1，214 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ImportriomChina4（250MW） | 250 Y20161 | 30，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，060 | ${ }_{4,590}$ | 6，120 | 6,120 | 6，120 | 4，590 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomChina5（250MW） | 250 Y20161 | 30，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，060 | 4，590 | 6，120 | ${ }^{6,120}$ | 6，120 | 4,590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfromLaos（NamThen1－400MW） | $400 \mathrm{Y} 2016 / 4$ | 48，960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，672 | 6，732 | 9，180 | 9，792 | 9，792 | 7，956 | 1，836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Trungon（310MW） Baccerezonw | 320 Y 28016161 | ${ }_{\text {4，}}^{32,4724}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 4，259 | ${ }_{\substack{6,389 \\ 3,87}}^{\text {c，}}$ | 8,7719 5,719 | li，694 | ${ }_{7}^{8,519}$ | ${ }_{7}^{6,694}$ | 5.771 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| Importrom China6（250MW） | 250 Y 201711 | 30，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，060 | 4，590 | 6，120 | 6，120 | 6，120 | 4，590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporffiomCChina7（200MW） | $25092017 / 3$ | 30,600 117900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，550 | ${ }_{4}^{4,335}$ | 5，665 | ¢，120 | ¢，120 | ${ }^{4,845}$ | 765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Thuydiemho | 100 Y 201711 | ${ }^{17,990}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，799 | 2,699 <br> 3060 | 3．598 | ${ }_{\text {c，}}^{3.598}$ | 3，598 | 2，699 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PsPP42 | 200 Y20204 | 15，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | ， | 1，125 | 2，063 | ${ }_{2,113}$ | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，438 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Thuydiemhomom ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 100 Y 220211 | 17，990 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，799 | 2，699 | 3，598 | 3，598 | 3，598 | 2，699 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PsPp＋3 | 200 Y2021／4 | 15，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，125 | 2，063 | 2，813 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，438 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {PSPP44 }}$ | 200 Y2022／6 | 15，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 1，938 | 2，688 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，563 | 938 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Pspp45 }}$ | 200 Y2023／6 | 15，00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 1，938 | 2，688 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，563 | ${ }^{38}$ | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }_{200}^{100} \mathrm{Y} 20232314$ | 17,990 15.000 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 1，799 | 2，699 | ${ }_{\text {2，063 }}^{3,598}$ | 3.5988 2.813 | 3,598 3,000 | 2，699 3 |  |  |  |
| Phalaierpp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 300 Y 20221 | ${ }_{1}^{1,500}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，125 | 2，063 | 2，813 | 3，000 | 0 | 438 | 56 | 0 |
| PhaLiel TPP +2 | 300 Y 200221 | 1，500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | （en 50 Y $2005 / 2$ | ${ }_{6,470}$ | ${ }_{108}^{108}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NaDuongTPP\＃250MW，VinaCoal CaoNgan\＃1 |  | ci470 | ${ }_{971}^{431}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 50 Y200512 | 6，470 | 1，186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DieselmienBac | 40 Y2006／1 | 1，400 | 280 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Uongbi（Extension） | 300 Y200666 | 24，000 | 5，800 | 2，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {Tofec－GE2 }}^{\text {Tofec }}$ | 352200610 35 3 | 1，502 | ${ }_{413}^{413}$ | 225 225 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |
| ToF－GE3 | 35 Y2006／11 | ${ }_{1}^{1,502}$ | 425 | 250 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Tofe－GE4 | ${ }_{98}^{35} \mathrm{Y} 2000611$ | 1,502 12.681 | －425 | 3．804 | ${ }_{2} .85$ | 634 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
|  | ${ }_{98} 9820089$ | ${ }_{12,681}^{12,01}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，959 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{3,004 \\ 3,004}}$ | ${ }_{3,382}^{2,3}$ | ${ }_{1,691}^{64}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| HaiPhongTPP\＃1 | con 300 Y200812 | 35,100 35100 | 7,313 <br> 5850 <br> 8 |  | $\xrightarrow{10,238}$ |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ${ }_{\text {Camphal }}$ CuangSinh＊1 | 300 300 3000993 | 35,100 35,100 | ${ }_{5}^{5,850}$ | ${ }_{\substack{9,945 \\ 9,945}}$ | 10,530 10,530 | ${ }_{\substack{7,605 \\ 7,605}}$ | ${ }_{1,1170}^{1,170}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 100 Y 200911 | ${ }^{\text {12，940 }}$ | ${ }_{2,588}$ | 3，882 | ${ }_{3,882}$ | 2，588 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Maokhe＋2 | 100 Y20094 | 12，940 | 1，941 | 3，559 | 3，882 | 2，912 | 647 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| HaiPhongTPP42 | 300 Y 20093 | ${ }^{35,100}$ | 5，850 | 9，945 | 10，530 | 7，605 | 1，170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| QuangNinht2 RungVinht3 | 300 y 20096 300 Y20096 | 35,100 35100 | ${ }_{4}^{4,095}$ | ${ }_{\substack{9,068 \\ 9,068}}$ | 10,530 10530 | l，483 | 2，925 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Quans ${ }^{\text {Ninhinhtexteresion）}}$ |  | 35,100 29,400 | ${ }_{\substack{4,945 \\ 3,430}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{9,595 \\ 7,595}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{10,530 \\ 8,820}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{8,483 \\ 7,105}}^{\text {8，}}$ | 2， 2,450 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| UongBiexerension（generator） | 300 Y201011 | 35，100 | 3，450 | 7.020 | ${ }_{10,530}^{0.00}$ | 10，530 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{\text {7，020 }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Q }}^{\text {QuangNinhta }}$ | 300 Y 201014 300 Y2010 | 35,100 32580 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 5，265 5 5,430 | ${ }_{\substack{9,653 \\ 9,231}}^{\text {9，3 }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{10,530 \\ 9,774}}$ | 7,898 7059 | 1,755 1,066 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| HaiPhongIIf＋2 | 300 Y20107 | 32，580 | 0 | ${ }_{3,258}^{5,26}$ | ${ }_{8,145}$ | 9，774 | ${ }_{8,145}$ | 3，258 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 300 Y2010／11 | ${ }^{35,100}$ | 0 | ${ }_{1,170}^{1,30}$ | \％ 7.6505 | 10，530 | 9，945 | 5，950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Vung Angifl | 600 Y2010／11 | 70，200 | 0 | 2，340 | 15，210 | 21，060 | 19，890 | ${ }^{11,700}$ | （190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 边 300 Y2011／9 | 35,100 35,100 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }^{2,340}$ | 8,190 4,95 | $\xrightarrow[\substack{10,530 \\ 9,068}]{ }$ | 9,360 10,530 | $\substack{4,680 \\ 8,483}_{\text {a }}$ | 2，925 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |
| MongDuonglı1 | 500 Y 2012112 | 54，300 | 0 |  | 0 | 905 | 11，313 | 16，290 | 15，838 | 9，955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| MongDuong ${ }^{\text {H2 }}$ 2 | 500 Y201312 | 54，300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 905 | 11，313 | 16，290 | 15，838 | 9，955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\underset{\text { Mongbuongilif }}{\text { Mongluongily }}$ | 500 y 20151 500 5021511 | 54,300 54,300 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 0 | 10，860 1,810 | 16,290 11,765 | 16,290 16,290 | 10.860 15,385 | ${ }_{\text {9，050 }}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |
| Maokhelifl | 100 Y 20161 | 12，940 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,588 | ${ }^{3,882}$ | 3，882 | 2，588 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Maokhelily }}{\text { V }}$ | 100 Y 201611 600 Y 20169 | 12,940 70,200 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{4,580}^{2,588}$ | 3，882 | ${ }_{\substack{3,882 \\ 21,060}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,588 \\ 18,720}}^{2}$ | ${ }_{9} 9.36$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nghisonilt 1 | 600 Y 201711 | 70，200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 14，040 | 21，060 | 21，060 | 14，040 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {Nghisonilif2 }}$ | ${ }^{600} \mathrm{Y} 20179$ | 70，200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 4，680 | 16，380 | ${ }^{21,060}$ | 18，720 | ${ }^{9,360}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { Vunganglifl } \\ \text { Vungangilit }}}{\text { a }}$ | 600 Y 20181 600 Y 20189 | 70,200 70,200 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | －14，040 <br> 4,680 | 21,060 10,380 | ${ }_{\text {21，060 }}^{21,060}$ | 14,040 18,72 | 9，360 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |  |
| VungAngiliz | 600 Y 201911 | 70，200 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4，600 | 14，040 | ${ }_{21,060}^{21,00}$ | ${ }^{1,1060}$ | ${ }^{14,040}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nghisonillil | 600 Y201944 | ${ }^{65,160}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9，774 | 17，919 | 19，548 | 14，661 | ${ }^{3,258}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  |  | 65，160 58,800 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | － | 13，032 11,760 | $\xrightarrow{19,548} 1$ | $\underset{\substack{19,548 \\ 11,640}}{1}$ | 13，032 <br> 11,76 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |
| Newlmp．Coallt 2 | 600 Y2020／6 | 58，300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{6,80}^{\substack{1,8,60}}$ | ${ }_{15,190}^{1,560}$ |  | ${ }_{14,210}^{14,60}$ | 4，900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |
| Newlmp．Coalt3 | $600 \mathrm{Y} 2021 / 1$ | 5，8，80 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11，760 | 17，640 | 17，640 | 11，760 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {Newlmp．Coalld }}^{\text {Newlmp Coilt }}$ | （1000 Y2021／4 | 98，000 98,000 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 14，700 0 | 26，950 | 29，400 29,40 | ${ }_{\text {22，050 }}^{29,40}$ | ${ }_{\substack{4,900 \\ 19,600}}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| NewImp．Coaltf | 1000 Y2022／6 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{11,433}$ | 25，317 | 29，400 | 23，683 | ${ }_{8,167}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| Newlmp．Coalt 7 | 1000 1000 Y202331 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {19，600 }}^{633}$ | 29，400 | 29，400 29000 | 19，600 | 13067 | 0 |  |
| Newlmp．Coalts Newwnp．Coalty | 1000 Y20239 | ${ }_{98,000}^{98,000}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  | ${ }_{\substack{22,867 \\ 19,600}}$ | 29，400 29,400 | 26,133 <br> 29,40 | ${ }_{\text {13，067 }}^{19,60}$ | 0 | 0 |
| Newimp．Coalt10 | 1000 Y2024／11 | 9，600 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\text {3，267 }}$ | ${ }_{21,233}^{29,400}$ | 29，400 | $\stackrel{\text { 2，767 }}{ }$ | 16，333 | 0 |
| NewIm．Coalt11 | 1000 Y20251 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 0 |
| Newlmp．Coalt12 | 1000 Y20259 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,533 | 22，867 | 29，400 | 26，133 | 13，067 |
|  |  |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
|  |  | EVN（PP） | ${ }^{375.8}$ | ${ }^{633.1}$ | ${ }^{1272.1}$ | ${ }^{1667.8}$ | ${ }^{1904.3}$ | ${ }^{1827.1}$ | 1739.7 | 1590．4 | ${ }^{1205.4}$ | 1310.7 | ${ }^{1536.4}$ | ${ }^{1706.1}$ | 1727.5 | 1812.0 | 1833.4 | ${ }^{1844.6}$ | 1928.8 | 1714.7 | ${ }^{1226.1}$ | ${ }^{626.3}$ | ${ }^{130.7}$ |
|  | North | ${ }_{\text {IVP }}^{\text {IVP }}$ | ${ }_{272.2}^{427.2}$ | ${ }_{5}^{527.4} 5$ | ${ }_{620.1}^{577.5}$ | ${ }_{491.4}^{454.7}$ | ${ }_{\text {230．9 }}^{231.5}$ | ${ }_{17.3}^{99.6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.5 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$ | 78.7 0.0 0 | ${ }_{\substack{113.6 \\ 0.0}}$ | $\stackrel{131.6}{0.0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{114.7}$ | ${ }_{0}^{63.0} 0$ | 54.0 0.0 | 63.0 0.0 | 63.0 0.0 | ${ }_{\substack{36.0 \\ 0.0}}$ | 36.0 0.0 | 27.0 0.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0} 0$ | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 0.0 0.0 |
| ${ }_{\text {Joint Venture }}^{\text {Imer }}$ |  |  | 147.3 | 126.0 | 95.4 | 79.6 | ${ }_{85} 8$ | 210.6 | 345.3 | 456.5 | 508.5 | 495.7 | 355.0 | 173.9 | 53.6 | 0.0 |  | ${ }_{0} 0$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 <br> 0.0 |
|  |  | Toal | 1222.3 | 1824.6 | 2565.1 | 2693.5 | 2382.3 | 2154.6 | 2118.5 | 2125.6 | 1827.5 | 1938.0 | 2006.1 | 1942.9 | 1835.1 | 1875.0 | 1896.3 | 1880.5 | 1964.7 | 1741.7 | 1226.1 | 62.3 | 130.7 |
|  |  | EVN（PP） |  | 1013.3 | 1023.1 |  | 945.3 | 986.1 | 1113.3 | 1217.0 | 1400.3 | 1727.2 | 2092.2 | 2559.0 | 2970.6 | 3120.7 | ${ }^{3435.3}$ | 3657.2 | 3949.1 | 3390.3 | 2086.2 | 869.8 |  |
|  | C8S | ${ }_{\text {IPP }}^{\text {IP }}$ | 468.7 | 483.7 | ${ }^{364.9}$ | 178.2 | ${ }^{98.9}$ | ${ }^{734.4}$ | ${ }_{81.8}^{81.8}$ | ${ }^{91.9}$ | 88.9 | 67.3 | 70.9 | ${ }^{91.1}$ | 70.9 | ${ }_{81.0}$ | 70.9 | 40.5 | ${ }^{30.4}$ | 0.0 | ${ }_{0}^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ |
|  |  | JV | 84.9 | 105．2 | ${ }_{121.1}$ | 2023 | 203.7 | ${ }^{134.6}$ | 79.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }^{\text {Imp }}$ | 54.1 | 70.2 | 174.3 | 25.1 | 426.9 | 508.1 | 613.4 | 594.4 | 432.2 | 326.4 | 106.5 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 19 |
|  |  | Toal | 1515.7 | 1672.5 | 1683.4 | 1568.2 | 1674.7 | 17023 | 1887.7 | 1903.4 | 1961.4 | 2121.0 | 2269.6 | 2672.6 | 3041.5 | 32017 | 3506.1 | 3697.7 | 3979.5 | 3390.3 | 2086.2 | 869.8 | 1.9 |
|  |  | EVN（PP） | 1283.8 | 1646.4 | 2295.3 | 2603.4 | 2849.6 | 2813.2 | 2853.0 | 2807.4 | 2645.7 | 3037.9 | 3628.6 | ${ }^{4255.1}$ | 4698.2 | 4932.7 | 5268.6 | 5501.8 | 5877.8 | 5105.0 | 3312.3 | 1996.0 | 132.5 |
|  | Whole |  | 896．0 | 1011.1 | 94.4 | ${ }_{6}^{632.9}$ | ${ }^{330.4}$ | ${ }_{152}^{172.8}$ | ${ }^{115.3}$ | 170.7 | 202.5 | 198.9 | 185.6 | 154.1 | ${ }^{124.8}$ | 144.0 | ${ }^{133.8}$ | 76.5 | 66.4 | 27.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 |  |
|  |  |  | 356.9 | ${ }^{643.4}$ | 741.2 | ${ }_{3317}^{693.7}$ | ${ }_{564.5}^{3615}$ | ${ }_{7}^{152.2}$ | 79.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | ${ }^{0.0}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {IToal }}^{\text {Imp }}$ | ${ }_{2733.0}^{201.4}$ | ${ }_{3497.1}^{196.2}$ | ${ }_{4248.5}^{26.6}$ | ${ }_{4261.6}^{3317}$ | ${ }_{\text {4057．1 }}$ | ${ }_{\text {3856．9 }}^{718.8}$ | 958.7 4006.2 | 1050.9 4029.0 | ${ }^{97408.6}$ 378．8 | ${ }_{\text {825．2．}}^{82}$ | ${ }_{4275.7}^{4615}$ | ${ }_{4}^{196.4}$ | 53.6 487.6 | 507．6 | ${ }_{5402.5}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{5578.3}^{0.0}$ | 5944．2 | ${ }_{5132.0}^{0.0}$ | ${ }_{3312.3}^{0.0}$ | 0.0 1496.0 | 13.0 132.5 |
|  | Power Grids |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
|  | 500kV Trans．Line \＆ 500kV Trans．Line \＆ |  | 272.0 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 125.9 | 256.7 | 256.7 | 256.7 | 256.7 | 256.7 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 |
|  |  |  | 182.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 154.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
|  | 110kV Trans．Line \＆ |  | 320.0 | 167.0 | 131.0 | 148.0 | 167.0 | 138.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 300.0 | 400 | 400 | 400 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
|  | $\frac{\text { Distribution System }}{\text { Subotol }}$ |  | 268．0 | $\begin{array}{r}325.0 \\ \hline 72\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}255.0 \\ \hline 66\end{array}$ | 288.0 716 | 326.0 773 | $\frac{269.0}{687}$ | 400.0 926 | 400.0 9 | 400.0 926 | 600．0 1,326 | 600．0 | 600．0 | $\stackrel{80}{1,857}$ | 800 1,857 | $\xrightarrow{800}$ | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，000 | 1，200 | 1,200 2,00 | 1，2000 |

添付資料7－1（2）長期投資計画（中南部地域）

|  | Mw YYMM | Invesment | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sesan3\＃1（130MW） | 130 Y 200619 | ${ }^{17,862}$ | 3，275 | 1，786 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | － | 0 |  |
| Sesan3＋2（130MW） | 130 Y2006612 | 17.862 | 3，498 | 2，456 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 86 Y20069 | 11，816 | 2，166 | 1，182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SrokPhuMieng（54MW） | 51 Y2006／10 | 6，982 | 1，309 | 785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DaDangDachamo（16MW） | 16 Y2007／1 | 3，240 | 648 | 486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DaiNinintl（150MW） | 150 Y2007／11 | 20，610 | 4，122 | 3，950 | 2.576 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DaiNinhily（ 150 MW ） | 150 Y2007／12 | 20，610 | 4，122 | 4，036 | 2，834 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| da | 27 Y2007 | 5，468 | 1，094 | 934 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pleikrong（110MW） | 110 Y2007／12 | 15，114 | 3，023 | 2，960 | 2，078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| RaoQuan（QuangTiTMMW） | ${ }^{64}$ Y Y2007／6 | ${ }^{8,762}$ | 1，752 | 1，497 | ${ }_{548}^{548}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mien rungl |  | 11,138 28.85 2， | ${ }_{5}^{2,228}$ | ${ }_{\text {1，903 }}$ | ${ }^{696}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{35}^{210} \mathrm{Y}$ Y2008685 |  | ${ }^{\text {5，771 }} 1$ | ${ }_{\substack{5,771 \\ 1418}}$ | 4，929 | ${ }_{\text {1，803 }}^{154}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Buonkuop\＃1（140MW） | 140 Y2008／6 | 19，236 | ${ }_{\text {l，447 }}$ | ${ }_{3,847}^{1,41}$ | ${ }_{3,286}^{1,26}$ | ${ }_{1,202}^{31,}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| BuonKuopt2（140MW） | 140 Y20089 | 19，236 | 3，847 | 3，847 | 3，527 | 1，924 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| BuonTuasrah（85MW） | 86 Y200812 | 11，816 | 2，363 | 2，363 | 2，314 | 1，625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cові（88MW） | 48 Y20086 | 9，720 | 1，944 | 1，944 | 1，661 | 608 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DongNai3（180MW） | 180 Y200812 | 24，732 | 4，946 | 4，946 | 4，843 | 3，401 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Earongrou（34MW） | 28 Y200812 | 5，670 | 1，134 | 1，134 | 1，110 | 780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Langau（38MW） | 38 Y2008／12 | 7,695 | 1，539 | 1，539 | 1，507 | 1，058 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SongBaha（250MW） | 220 Y20086 | 30，228 | ${ }_{6,046}^{1,103}$ | 6,046 | 5，164 | ${ }_{1,889}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Annhe－KaNak（173MW） | 163 Y209910 | 22，396 | 3，639 | 4，479 | 4，479 | 4，199 | 2，520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }_{72}^{44}$ Y2009911 | ${ }_{\substack{8,910 \\ 9,857}}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,720 \\ 1,720}}^{1}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1,782} 1$ | ${ }_{1,971}^{1,782}$ | 1,337 <br> 1,930 | ${ }_{1.355}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| DonsNait（340MW） | 340 Y200911 | 46,716 | ${ }_{\text {l }}^{1,397}$ | ${ }_{9}^{9,343}$ | ${ }_{9,343}^{1,9}$ | ${ }_{8,954}$ | ${ }_{5,840}^{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomLasos Xekaman－260MW） | 260 Y200912 | 35，100 | 5，411 | 7,020 | 7，020 | 6，874 | 4，826 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Lagrienm | 9 Y2009／1 | 1,823 | 365 | 365 | 365 | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sesan4t1（110MW） | 120 Y20099 | 16，488 | 2，748 | 3，298 | 3，298 | 3，023 | 1，649 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sesanf＋2（110MW） | 120 Y209912 | 16，488 | ${ }^{2}, 542$ | 3，298 | 3，298 | 3，229 | ${ }_{2}^{2,267}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Srepok31（110MW） | 110 Y20099 | 15，114 | 2.519 | 3，023 | 3，023 | 2，771 | 1，511 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Srepoz332（110MW） | 110 Y209912 | 15，114 | 2，330 | 3，023 | 3，023 | 2，960 | 2，078 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DakDrinhl（100MW） | 100 Y 20109 | 13，740 | 1，603 | 2，290 | 2，748 | 2，748 | ${ }_{2,519}$ | 1，374 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DakRith（72MW） | 72 Y2010／1 | 9，957 | 1，479 | 1，971 | 1，971 | 1，971 | 1，479 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Eakrongthang（65M }}{\text { Sesant3（110NW }}$ | 65 Y 20106 120 Y 2010 | 8,899 16,488 | － $1,1,149$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,594 \\ 3,160}}^{1,50}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{1,780 \\ 3,298}}^{\text {，}}$ | ${ }_{2}^{1.511}$ | 556 412 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SongBung2（108MW） | 108 Y2010／11 | 14，839 | ${ }_{1,608}$ | 2，350 | 2，968 | 2，968 | 2，844 | 1，855 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SongCon2（7）MW） | 70 Y20109 | 9，618 | 1，122 | ${ }_{1,603}$ | 1，924 | ${ }_{1,924}$ | ${ }_{1,763}$ | 962 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SongTranh2（132MW） | 160 Y2010／1 | 21,984 | 3，298 | 4，397 | 4，397 | 4，397 | 3，298 | ${ }^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Songeung4（165MW） | 165 Y2011／11 | 33，413 | ${ }_{557}^{557}$ | 3，620 | 5，290 | ${ }^{6,683}$ | ${ }^{6,683}$ | 6，404 | 4，177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 28 Y $2011 / 1$ | ${ }^{5,6,670}$ | ${ }_{567}^{567}$ | ${ }_{8}^{81}$ | ${ }^{1,134}$ | ${ }^{1,1134}$ | ${ }^{1,134}$ | ${ }_{851} 51$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| UpperKonTum $11(110 \mathrm{MW}$ ） | 110 Y2011／1 | 15.114 | 1，511 | 2，267 | 3，023 | 3，023 | 3，023 | 2，267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| （epperKonTummz（110MW） | $110 \mathrm{Y} 2011 / 6$ 210 Y20129 | 15,14 28.854 | ${ }_{8}^{88}$ | 1，952 | ${ }_{\substack{2,708 \\ 3,368}}$ | 3，023 | 3，023 | 2，582 | 945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }_{\text {dakMia }}$ | 210 Y2012／1 | ${ }_{28,854}^{2,84}$ |  | 2，885 | 4，328 | ${ }_{5,771}^{40}$ | ${ }_{5,771}^{5}$ | ${ }_{5,771}^{5}$ | 4，328 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DongNail（80MW） | 78 Y201211 | 10，678 | 0 | 1，068 | 1，602 | 2，136 | 2，136 | 2，136 | 1，602 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| DongNais（170MW） | 173 Y2012／6 | 23，770 | 0 | 1，387 | 3，070 | 4，259 | 4，754 | 4，754 | 4，061 | 1，486 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Wintubinel | $50 \mathrm{Y} 2012 / 1$ | 500 | 0 | 50 | 75 | ${ }^{100}$ | 100 | 100 | 75 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 50 Y 201216 485 Y 20136 | \％${ }_{\text {500 }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | ${ }_{2}^{29}$ | 65 3.819 | ${ }_{8.457}$ | ${ }_{111781}^{100}$ | ${ }_{13,005}^{100}$ | 85 13.095 | ${ }_{11,185}^{31}$ | 4．092 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomL Loss（Xekeman1－450MW） | 488 Y201311 | 65，880 | 0 | 0 | 6，588 | ${ }_{9,882}$ | 13，176 | 13，176 | 13，176 | 9，882 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ducxuyen（52MW） | ${ }^{52} \mathrm{Y} 2014146$ | 10，530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 1，360 | ${ }_{\text {1，887 }}^{1,327}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,106}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,106}$ | 1，799 | ${ }^{658}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| SongBung5（83MW） | 85 Y 20141 | 11，637 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{1,1,164}$ | 1，745 | ${ }^{2}, 237$ | ${ }_{4050}^{2,327}$ | ${ }_{4}^{2,327}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1,745 \\ 3038}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |  |
|  | 100 Y 20141 375 Y2015 | 20,250 50,625 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，025 | 3，0，038 |  | 4.050 <br> 10,125 | 4，050 | 3,038 10,125 | 759 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomLaos（2014sekong5－250MW） | 405 Y 20151 | 54,675 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{5,468}^{503}$ | 8,201 | 10，935 | 10，935 | 10，935 | 8，201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfromLass（NamKong1－240MW） | $240 \mathrm{Y} 2015 / 4$ | 32，400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，430 | 4，455 | 6，075 | 6，480 | 6，480 | 5，265 | 1，215 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfriomCPC（LLoweserepook2－222MW） | 222820167 | 29，970 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 1，499 | 3，746 | 5，245 | 5，994 | 5，994 | 5，245 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ImporfromCPC（LowerseSan2－207MW）－2017 | 207 Y201611 | 27,945 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2，795 | 4，192 | 5，589 | 5，589 | 5，589 | 4，192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\frac{\text { ThaydienthomoiMT＿M }}{\text { Wintubine3 }}$ | $100 \mathrm{y} 2017 / 1$ <br> 50 Y 20171 | 20,50 500 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 2,025 50 | 3，038 | 4,050 100 | 4，050 100 | 4,050 100 | ${ }^{3,038}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | $0$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |  |
| PSPP41（300 MW） | 200 Y 20181 | 15，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，500 | 2，250 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PsPPP 2 （300MW） Psppz（300MW） | 200 Y 201814 | 15,000 15000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，125 | ${ }_{1}^{2,063}$ | 2，813 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，438 | ${ }_{5}^{563}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 2009200194 200 Y22024 | 15，000 15.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 1，125 | 2，063 | ${ }_{\substack{2,813 \\ 2063}}$ | 3,000 2813 | 3，000 3,000 | 2,438 <br> 3000 | ${ }_{5}^{563}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }_{100}^{200}$ Y202020／1 | ${ }_{\text {20，250 }}^{15000}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，025 }}^{1,125}$ | 3，038 | ${ }_{4}^{2,050}$ | ${ }^{\text {4，0050 }}$ | ${ }^{3,050}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,038}}^{2,438}$ | 563 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |  |
| Wintubine | 50 Y 220211 | 1500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 75 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Pspppt5300 ${ }^{\text {P／}}$ | 200 Y $2021 / 1$ | 15,000 15000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }^{1,500}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,250}$ | － | ${ }_{3}^{3,000}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3,000}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,250}$ | $\stackrel{0}{563}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PsPP46（300MW） | $200 \mathrm{Y} 2021 / 4$ $100 \mathrm{Y} 2022 / 1$ | 15，000 20,250 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }^{1,125}$ | ${ }_{2,025}^{2,063}$ | 2， $\begin{aligned} & 2,13 \\ & 3,038\end{aligned}$ | 3,000 4,050 | 3,000 4,050 | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{\substack{\text { 4，388 }}}$ | － $\begin{array}{r}563 \\ 3.388\end{array}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| PSPP\＃7（300MW） | $20092023 / 4$ | 15，000 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1，125 | 2，063 | 2，813 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，438 | 563 | 0 |  |
| PSPPf（3009M） | 200 Y20244 | 15，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 1，125 | 2，063 | 2，813 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2，438 | 563 |  |
| Psprpf（300MW） | 200 Y2025／2 | 15，000 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，375 | 2，188 | 2，938 | 3，000 | 3，000 | 2313 | 188 |
| PhuMy 1 CT1 $\times 240 \mathrm{MW}$ PhuMylGT2 240 MW | $240 \mathrm{Y} 2000 / 1$ | 12，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| PhuMylcrixz200MW | 240 Y2000／1 | 12，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 174.5 Y2001／1 | ${ }^{15,688}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 1090 430 $430001 / 1$ 1 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }_{720}^{430} \mathrm{Y} 200411$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,500 \\ 64,728}}^{2,180}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| PhuMy4（3x150MW） | 450 Y 20048 | 40，455 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | ${ }^{150} \mathrm{Y} 2200418$ | 15，930 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\frac{\text { Vedan－Amata－B }}{\text { DieselimTrung }}$ | 109 Y200411 91 Y200411 |  | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| DieselMNam | 62 Y200411 | ${ }_{2}^{2,170}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 720 Y 20051 | 47，520 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 4519 Y2005512 | ${ }^{29,766}$ | 5，457 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 160 Y 200611 $480 \mathrm{Y} 2007 / 4$ | 10,500 24,000 | ${ }_{\substack{2,112 \\ 7,200}}$ | 5.400 | 1，200 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 720 Y20081 | 47，520 | 14，256 | 14，256 | ${ }^{9,504}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CC－GEI（2x117＋100MW） | （330 Y200811 | 14,157 14,157 | ${ }_{4}^{4,247}$ | ${ }_{4}^{4,247}$ | ${ }_{\substack{2,831 \\ 2831}}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| CC－GEIII2x177 ${ }^{\text {Camaulici }}$ | $330 \mathrm{Y} 2081 / 1$ 720 Y2088／6 | ${ }_{4}^{14,5,50}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{4,24256}$ | ${ }_{\text {2，}}^{11,484}$ | 3，960 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| OMonl｜l｜ST | 300 Y 20096 | 15，000 | 1，750 | 3，875 | 4，500 | 3，625 | 1，250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| OMonlit2ST | 300 Y 2010111 | 15，000 | 0 | 500 | 3，250 | 4，500 | 4，250 | ${ }^{2} 2.500$ |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| $\underset{\text { OMonilccar }}{\text { NTonTrachlif }}$ |  | 4,520 30,330 | 0 | 0 | 1,584 1,001 | $\underset{\substack{\text { c，} 0,296 \\ 6,507}}{ }$ | $\substack{\text { 14，256 } \\ 9,009}$ | $\underset{\substack{13,464 \\ 8,509}}{ }$ | 7,920 5,005 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ |  |
| NhonTrachlif29E | $330 \mathrm{Y} 2012 / 6$ | 30，030 | 0 | 0 |  | ${ }_{\text {3，504 }}$ | 7，758 | 9，009 | 7，257 | 2，503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| OMonilitlife | ${ }^{330} \mathrm{Y} 2012 / 11$ | 30，030 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，001 | ${ }^{6,507}$ | 9，009 | 8，509 | 5，005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 330 Y 20131 | ${ }^{30,030}$ | － | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{\substack{6,006 \\ 3,168}}$ | 9，009 | 9，009 | ${ }_{\substack{\text { c，006 } \\ 12,262}}^{\text {c，}}$ | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| NewCC＋2（Tienciangli） | 720 Y 201411 | 47，520 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 9，504 | 14，256 | ${ }_{14,256}^{12,62}$ | ${ }_{9}^{9,504}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ |  |
| NewCCCH3（OMontv） | 720 Y 20149 | 47，520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，168 | 11，088 | 14，256 | 12，672 | 6，336 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| ${ }^{\text {NewCCA4（Binh }}$（huan1） | 720 Y 20151 | ${ }^{47,520}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 9，504 | 14，256 | 14，256 | 9，504 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| NewCCAF（Bininh huan2） | 720 600 Y20151／1 | （4， $\begin{gathered}4,520 \\ 58.800\end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 9，504 | 14，256 | 14，256 | 9，504 11754 1 | 11760 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Soutcoaill（SocT Tang） | ${ }_{6}^{600} \mathrm{Y}$ Y200161／1 | 55,800 58,800 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{11,760}^{11,700}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {17，640 }}^{17,640}$ | ${ }^{\text {111，760 }} 1$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| NewCCF6（OMonv） | 7209201616 | 47，520 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5，544 | 12，276 | 14，256 | 11，884 | 3，960 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| NewCCH7（ （ailay1） Nucleatt－2017 | 720 Y 201711 $1000 \mathrm{Y} 2017 / 5$ | 47，520 170,000 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 2，${ }^{\text {9，54 }}$ | 14,256 <br> 45,33 | 14,256 51,00 5， | 9，504 39,667 | $\stackrel{0}{11,333}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |  |
| NewCCH8（Cailay2） | 720 Y2018／1 | 47，520 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | － | 0 | 0 | 22，60 | ${ }^{\text {9，504 }}$ | ${ }_{14,256}$ | 14，256 | 9，504 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |  |
| Soutcoall3（TraVinh1） | 1000 Y2018／1 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 50 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
|  | 1000 Y2019／4 1000 Y201911 | 170，000 98,000 | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | 25，500 19,600 | 46,750 29,400 | 51,000 29,400 | 38,250 19,600 | ${ }^{8,500}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 |  |
| Southoall55（TraVinh3） | 1000 Y201999 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6，533 | 22，867 | 29，400 | 26，133 | 13，067 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Southcoaltif（SocTrang3） Nucleart3－210 |  | 98，000 170.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}9,800 \\ 34,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 24，500 51000 | 29，400 51000 | ${ }^{24,500}$ | 9，800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nucleart3－2010 | 1000 Y2020／1 | 170，000 170,000 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 34，000 | 51，000 34,000 | 51，000 51000 | 34，000 51,000 | ${ }_{34,00}^{0}$ | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 |  |
| SouthCoalli）（SocTrang4） | 1000 Y2021／1 | 98，000 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Soutcoallf | 1000 Y2022／1 | 98，000 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Soutcoalt9 | ${ }^{1000} \mathrm{Y} 202221$ | 98，000 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 333 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nucleart5－2021 NewCTHO（MienTrus） | 1000 Y 2022711 720 Y20214 | 170,000 47,520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { 5，667 } \\ 7,128}}$ | $3,8,83$ 13,068 1 | 51,000 14,256 | $\xrightarrow{48,167} 1$ | $\underset{\substack{28,333 \\ 2,376}}{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| SouthCoall10 | 1000 Y2023／1 | 98，00 | 0 | 0 |  | － | － | 0 | 0 | － | － | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Nucleatt6－2022 | 1000 Y2023／6 | 170，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 19，833 | 43，917 | 51,00 | 41，083 | 14，167 |  |  |
|  | 1000 Y 20231 1000 Y202411 | 98,000 98,000 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  | 29，400 <br> 19,600 | 29,400 29,40 | 19,600 29,400 | 19，600 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |
| South oall1 13 | 1000 Y2024／1 | 98，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19，600 | 29，400 | 29，400 | 19，600 |  |  |
| Soutcoalli Nucleart－2022 | 1000 Y2024111 | 98，000 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3，267 | 21，233 | 29，400 | 27，767 | ${ }^{16,333}$ |  |
| Nucleatf7－2022 | 1000 Y 202416 | 170，000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 19，833 | 43，917 | 51，000 | 41，083 | 14，167 |  |
|  | lion 1000 y 202511 | 98，000 170,000 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 |  | 19,600 34,000 | 29，400 51,00 | 29，400 51,00 | 19,600 <br> 34,00 |  |
|  |  |  | $\frac{2005}{2080}$ | ${ }^{2006}$ | ${ }^{2007}$ | ${ }^{2008}$ | 2009 | ${ }^{2010}$ | ${ }^{2011}$ | ${ }^{2012}$ | $\frac{2013}{1403}$ | ${ }^{2014}$ | 2015 | ${ }_{2016}^{20590}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2017}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2018}$ | ${ }^{2019}$ | ${ }^{2020}$ | ${ }^{2021}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2022}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2023}$ |  |  |
|  |  | $\underset{\text { IPP }}{\text { EVV }}$ | 908.0 468.7 | 1013.3 483.7 | ${ }_{\substack{1023.1 \\ 364.9}}$ | ${ }^{935.5} 1$ | ${ }_{\substack{945.3 \\ 98.9}}$ | ${ }_{73.4}^{986.1}$ | $\xrightarrow[\substack{1113.3 \\ 81.8}]{ }$ | 1217.0 91.9 | 1404.3 88.9 | ${ }^{1727.2}$ 67．3 | 2092.2 70.9 | ${ }_{9}^{259.0}$ | ${ }^{2970.6}$ |  | ${ }^{3435.3} 70.9$ | ${ }_{4}^{3657.2} 4$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{869.8} 0.0$ | 1.9 0.0 |
| Import |  | sv | 84.9 | 105.2 | 121.1 | 202.3 | 203.7 | 134.6 | 79.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Joint Venture |  | $\underset{\substack{\text { Imp } \\ \text { Total }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  | 508.1 17023 |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{594.4}$ | ${ }_{\text {432．2 }}^{198}$ | ${ }^{326.4}$ | ${ }^{10659}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0 1.9 |

添付資料 7－2（1）PDP6thにおける運転維持費用算出結果（Base Scenario）（1／2）


添付資料 7－2（2）PDP6thにおける運転維持費用算出結果（Base Scenario）（2／2）

| Norm｜Year | tom．Year | om．Mont｜ | CS | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SOUTH |  |  | 11899 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 11.8 | 14.0 | 17.6 | 17.6 | 19.3 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 22.7 | 24.2 | 25.7 | 28.7 | 29.1 | 30.2 | 31.7 | 33.4 |
| Da Nhim | 1964 |  | 54 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | ${ }_{0} 0.5$ |
| Tri An | 1989 |  | 400 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Suoi Vang | 1995 |  | 10 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Thac Mo | 1995 |  | 150 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Ham Thuan | 2001 |  | 150 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Da Mi | 2001 |  | 86 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Dai Ninh | 2007 | 11，12 | 300 |  |  | 0.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Dong Nai3 | 2008 | 12 | 180 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| Dong Nai4 | 2009 | 11 | 340 |  |  |  |  | 0.6 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Thac Mo Ex． | 2009 | 12 | 75 |  |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Dong Nai 2 | 2012 | 1 | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Dong Nai 5 | 2012 | 6 | 173 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| PSPP（South）\＃1 | 2018 | 1 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（South）\＃2 | 2018 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（South）\＃3 | 2019 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（South）\＃4 | 2020 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（Sout）） 55 | 2021 | 1 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（South）\＃6 | 2021 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（Sout）\＃7 | 2023 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| ${ }^{\text {PSPP（South）\＃8 }}$ | 2024 | 4 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| PSPP（South）\＃9 | 2025 | 2 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.4 |
| Oil thermal |  |  |  | 93.0 | 10.0 | 109.5 | 121.6 | 129.4 | 138.2 | 137.1 | 149.0 | 183.0 | 25.5 | 309.5 | 362.0 | 392.3 | 443.7 | 483.7 | 518.7 | 563.7 | 639.7 | 705.0 | 770.0 | 825.0 |
| Thu Duc \＃1 st | 1966 |  | 33.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Thu Duc \＃4 GT | 1989 |  | 23.0 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Thu Duc \＃5 GT | 1968 |  | 15.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Thu Duc \＃6 GT | 1969 |  | 15.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Thu Duc \＃2 ST | 1972 |  | 66.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Thu Duc \＃3 ST | 1972 |  | 66.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Thu Duc \＃7 GT | 1992 |  | 37.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Thu Duc \＃8 GT | 1992 |  | 37.5 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Can Tho \＃1 | 1975 |  | 33.0 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Can Tho GT | 1999 |  | 150 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| DieselMTrung | 2004 | 1 | 91 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| DieselMNam | 2004 | 1 | 62 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| ｜GT－EgGE17Mm | 2007 | 1，2，3，4 | 428 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC－GEI（4x117＋2x100MW） | 2008 | 1 | 330 |  |  |  | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| CC－GEII（4x117＋2x100MW） | 2008 | 1 | 330 |  |  |  | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| O Mon 1 \＃1 | 2009 | ${ }^{6}$ | 300 |  |  |  |  | 3.9 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| O Mon 1 \＃2 | 2010 | 9 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ba Ria GT \＃1，\＃2 | 1991 |  | 46.8 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Ba Ria CC 1 | 1999 |  | 169 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Ba Ria CC 2 | 2001 |  | 175 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| PM2－1 CC1 | 2003 |  | 430 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 |
| PM2－1 Ext \＃1，\＃2 GT | 1999 |  | 290 | 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phu My 1 | 2001 |  | 1，090 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 32.4 |
| PM2－1 Ext CC | 2005 | 12 | 450 | 2.2 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 |
| Phu My 4 | 2004 | 8 | 450 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 13.4 |
| O Mon 1 \＃1 | 2009 | 6 | 300 |  |  |  |  | 3.9 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| O Mon 1 \＃2 | 2010 | 11 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Phu My AdD | 2006 | 1 | 160 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| Nhon Trach 1\＃1，\＃2 | 2011 | 11 | 660 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.2 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 | 13.1 |
| Nhon Trach 3 CCGT | 2013 | 4 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Nhon Trach 4 CCGT | 2014 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| O Mon III \＃1 ST | 2012 | 11 | 330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| O Mon III \＃2 ST | 2013 | 1 | 330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| NewCCH3（OMoniv） | 2014 | 9 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCCA4（BinhThuan1） | 2015 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCC＊55（BinhThuan2） | 2015 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCCH6（OMonV） | 2016 | 6 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.5 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCC\＃\＃（Cailay1） | 2017 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCCH8（Cailay2） | 2018 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCCA1（TienGiang） | 2013 | 9 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCC＊2（TienGiangl） | 2014 | 1 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| NewCCHF（MienTrung） | 2022 | 4 | 720 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.0 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 21.4 |
| Coal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soc Trang \＃1 | 2016 | 1 | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Soc Trang \＃2 | 2016 | 1 | 600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Tra Vinh \＃1 | 2018 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Tra Vinh \＃2 | 2019 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Tra Vinh \＃3 | 2019 |  | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Soc Trang \＃3 | 2020 | 7 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Soc Trang \＃4 | 2021 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃1 | 2022 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 2022 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃3 | 2023 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃4 | 2023 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal 15 | 2024 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃6 | 2024 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃7 | 2024 | 11 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.0 | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃8 | 2025 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 |
| New Coal \＃9 | 2026 | 1 | ${ }^{1,000}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nuclear |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.9 | 59.8 | 104.7 | 179.4 | 239.2 | 249.2 | 333.9 | 393.7 | 478.4 |
| Newclear 1 | 2017 | 5 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39.9 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 2 | 2019 | 4 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 44.9 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 3 | 2020 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 4 | 2021 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 5 | 2022 | 11 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.0 | 59.8 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 6 | 2023 | 6 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.9 | 59.8 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 7 | 2024 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.9 | 59.8 |
| Newclear 8 | 2025 | 1 | 1，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59.8 |
|  |  |  |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Power Grids |  |  |  | 90 | 101 | 113 | 126 | 142 | 159 | 178 | 199 | 223 | 250 | 280 | 313 | 351 | 393 | 440 | 493 | 552 | 618 | 692 | 775 | ${ }^{868}$ |
|  | 500kV |  |  | 19 | 21.3 | 23.8 | 26.7 | 29.9 | 33.5 | 37.5 | 42.0 | 47.0 | 52.7 | 59.0 | 66.1 | 74.0 | 82.9 | 92.9 | 104.0 | 116.5 | 130.5 | 146.1 | 163.6 | 183.3 |
|  | 220kV |  |  | ${ }^{21}$ | 23.5 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 33.0 | 37.0 | 41.5 | 46.4 | 52.0 | 58.2 | 65.2 | 73.0 | 81.8 | 91.6 | 102.6 | 114.9 | 128.7 | 144.2 | 161.5 | 180.9 | 202.6 |
|  | 110kV |  |  | 31 | 34.7 | 38.9 | 43.6 | 48.8 | 54.6 | 61.2 | 68.5 | 76.8 | 86.0 | 96.3 | 107.8 | 120.8 | 135.3 | 151.5 | 169.7 | 190.0 | 212.8 | 238.4 | 267.0 | 29.0 |
|  | Diatribution |  |  | 19 | 21.3 | 23.8 | 26.7 | 29.9 | 33.5 | 37.5 | 42.0 | 47.0 | 52.7 | 59.0 | 66.1 | 74.0 | 82.9 | 92.9 | 104.0 | 116.5 | 130.5 | 146.1 | 163.6 | 183.3 |

添付資料7－3 PDP6thにおける電力購入費（Base Scenario）

| Power Purchase（million USD |  | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 582 | 649 | 780 | 1，152 | 1，771 | 2，137 | 2，209 | 2，361 | 2，495 | 2，571 | 2，910 | 3，148 | 3，225 | 3，312 | 3，360 | 3，380 | 3，405 | 3，449 | 3，503 | 3，523 | 3，549 |
| Hydro | Capacity | 36 | 64 | 126 | 173 | 306 | 491 | 527 | 547 | 695 | 758 | 1，083 | 1，307 | 1，474 | 1，533 | 1，540 | 1，580 | 1，592 | 1，622 | 1，663 | 1，669 | 1，681 |
| Nam Mu | 11 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Na Loi | 9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Suoi Sap＋Nam Dong | 22 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| TDN mien Bac1－（M．Luong） | 114 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Thai An | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Coc San | 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| Van Chan | 35 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Ngoi Phat | 36 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| Tram Tau | 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ngoi Bo | 35 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Na Le | 90 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
| Hachan－BanCoc－HuongSon | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| TDN Seo Chung Ho | 22 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| Chu Linh | 40 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| Cua Dat | 97 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 |
| Song Hieu | 53 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| Nam Chien | 210 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.9 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 | 31.1 |
| Khe Bo | 96 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 |
| New Small Hydro（N）1 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| New Small Hydro（N）2 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| New Small Hydro（N）3 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 |
| Import（North） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NhapkhauTQ－1 | 40 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 7.7 |
| NhapkhauTQ－2，3 | 80 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.4 |
| NhapkhauTQ－4，5 | 80 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 13.5 | 13.7 | 13.8 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 14.8 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 15.4 |
| NhapkhauTQ－6 | 200 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.2 | 32.5 | 32.8 | 33.1 | 33.5 | 33.8 | 34.1 | 34.5 | 34.8 | 35.2 | 35.5 | 35.9 | 36.2 | 36.6 | 37.0 | 37.3 | 37.7 | 38.1 | 38.5 |
| Import form China1（Malutang） | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 45.0 | 45.4 | 45.9 | 46.3 | 46.8 | 47.3 | 47.7 | 48.2 | 48.7 | 49.2 | 49.7 | 50.2 | 50.7 | 51.2 | 51.7 |
| Import form Lao（Nam Mo） | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 21.7 | 21.9 | 22.1 | 22.3 | 22.6 | 22.8 | 23.0 | 23.3 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 24.0 | 24.2 |
| Import form Lao（Nam Then1） | 400 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.6 | 60.0 | 60.6 | 61.2 | 61.8 | 62.5 | 63.1 | 63.7 | 64.3 | 65.0 |
| Import form China 2 | 250 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 88.0 | 88.8 | 85.5 | 86.4 | 87.3 | 88.1 | 89.0 | 89.9 | 90.8 | 91.7 |
| Import form China 3，4 | 500 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 88.0 | 88.8 | 89.7 | 86.4 | 87.3 | 88.1 | 89.0 | 89.9 | 90.8 | 91.7 |
| Import form China 5，6 | 500 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.4 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 43.6 | 44.1 | 44.5 | 45.0 | 45.4 | 45.9 |
| Import form China 7 | 250 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.0 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 45.8 | 46.2 | 44.5 | 45.0 | 45.4 | 45.9 |
| Import form China 8 | 250 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.9 | 45.3 | 45.8 | 46.2 | 46.7 | 45.0 | 45.4 | 45.9 |
| Se San 3A | 100 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 16.7 |
| H＇Chan | 12 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| H＇Mun | 15 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Da Dan Dachamo | 16 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Co Bi | 48 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 7.6 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| Eak Rong Rou | 34 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Dam Bri | 72 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 11.4 |
| Binh Dien | 44 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 7.1 |
| Lagnai | 9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Ea Krong Hnang | 65 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 7.7 |
| Srepok 4 | 28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Duc Xuyen | 52 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| New Small Hydro（C\＆S）1 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| New Small Hydro（C\＆S）2 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| New Small Hydro（C\＆S）3 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| New Small Hydro（C\＆S）4 | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 15.8 | 15.8 |
| Import（Centre） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Import form Lao（Xekaman3） | 260 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 56.5 | 57.1 | 57.6 | 58.2 | 58.8 | 59.4 | 60.0 | 60.6 | 61.2 | 61.8 | 62.4 | 63.0 |
| Import form Lao（Xekaman1） | 450 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.4 | 91.3 | 92.2 | 93.1 | 94.0 | 95.0 | 95.9 | 96.9 | 97.8 | 98.8 | 99.8 | 100.8 | 101.8 |
| Import form Lao（Sekong4） | 450 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.4 | 95.9 | 96.9 | 97.8 | 98.8 | 99.8 | 100.8 | 101.8 | 102.8 | 103.9 | 104.9 | 105.9 | 107.0 |
| Import form Lao（Sekong5） | 250 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 79.2 | 80.0 | 80.8 | 81.6 | 82.4 | 83.2 | 84.0 | 84.9 | 85.7 | 86.6 | 87. |
| Import form Lao（Nam Kong 1） | 240 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 53.2 | 53.7 | 54.3 | 54.8 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 56.5 | 57.0 | 57.6 | 58.2 |
| Can Don | 72.0 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| Ry Ninh | 8.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Srok Phu Mieng | 54.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 | 8.7 |
| BaoLoc，DanSach，TraXom | 55.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| La Ngau | 38.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Bac Binh | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 9.3 |
| Dak Rtih | 72.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 8.6 |
| Import（South） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ImportFromCPC（LowerSeRepo | 222 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 58.3 | 58.9 | 59.5 | 60.1 | 60.7 | 61.3 | 61.9 | 62.5 | 63.1 |
| ImportFromCPC（LowerSeSan3） | 375 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112.5 | 113.6 | 114.8 | 115.9 | 117.1 | 118.2 | 119.4 | 120.6 | 121.8 | 123.0 | 124.3 |
| ImportFromCPC（LowerSeSan2） | 207 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 42.7 | 43.2 | 43.6 | 44.0 | 44.5 | 44.9 | 45.4 | 45.8 | 46.3 | 46.7 |
| An Khe＋Ka Nak | 163 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.3 |
| Srepok 3 | 180 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 | 51.3 |
| Thermal | Capacity | 546.1 | 584.9 | 653.8 | 978.9 | 1，465．7 | 1，646．5 | 1，681．9 | 1，813．4 | 1，799．7 | 1，813．1 | 1，826．6 | 1，840．2 | 1，751．4 | 1，779．4 | 1，820．1 | 1，800．2 | 1，813．6 | 1，827．0 | 1，840．6 | 1，854．3 | 1，868．1 |
| Hai Phong 1 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 74.9 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Hai Phong 2 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Quang Ninh 1 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.4 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Quang Ninh 2 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Quang Ninh 3 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 65.0 | 65.2 | 65.4 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 66.0 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Quang Ninh 4 | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 69.8 | 70.0 | 70.2 | 70.4 | 70.6 | 70.8 | 71.0 | 71.3 | 71.5 | 71.7 | 66.4 | 66.6 | 66.8 | 67.0 | 67.2 | 67.4 |
| Na Duong IPP | 100 | 23.1 | 30.9 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Cao Ngan IPP | 100 | 5.1 | 30.9 | 35.5 | 35.6 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Song Dong IPP | 196 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.7 | 61.2 | 61.4 | 61.6 | 61.7 | 61.9 | 62.1 | 62.3 | 62.5 | 62.7 | 62.9 | 63.0 | 63.2 | 63.4 | 63.6 | 63.8 | 64.0 | 64.2 |
| Cam Pha IPP | 300 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.7 | 75.1 | 75.4 | 75.6 | 75.8 | 76.0 | 76.3 | 76.5 | 76.7 | 77.0 | 77.2 | 77.4 | 77.7 | 77.9 | 78.1 | 78.4 | 78.6 |
| Mao Khe \＃1 IPP | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.2 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Mao Khe \＃2 IPP | 100 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.4 | 31.3 | 31.4 | 31.5 | 31.6 | 31.7 | 31.8 | 31.9 | 32.0 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 32.4 | 32.5 | 32.6 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| NOMURA IPP | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hiep Phuoc（IPP）\＃1，\＃2，\＃3 ST | 375 | 49.0 | 49.5 | 49.9 | 50.4 | 51.0 | 51.5 | 52.0 | 52.5 | 53.0 | 53.6 | 54.1 | 54.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| VeDan－Amata－BouBon | 109 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 16.4 | 16.6 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| PM 3 Bот | 720 | 200.7 | 202.7 | 232.0 | 206.7 | 208.8 | 210.9 | 213.0 | 215.1 | 217.3 | 219.5 | 221.7 | 223.9 | 226.1 | 228.4 | 230.7 | 233.0 | 235.3 | 237.6 | 240.0 | 242.4 | 244.8 |
| PM2－2 BOT | 720 | 200.7 | 202.7 | 232.0 | 206.7 | 208.8 | 210.9 | 213.0 | 215.1 | 217.3 | 219.5 | 221.7 | 223.9 | 226.1 | 228.4 | 230.7 | 233.0 | 235.3 | 237.6 | 240.0 | 242.4 | 244.8 |
| Ca Mau I | 720 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 206.7 | 208.8 | 210.9 | 213.0 | 215.1 | 217.3 | 219.5 | 221.7 | 223.9 | 226.1 | 228.4 | 230.7 | 233.0 | 235.3 | 237.6 | 240.0 | 242.4 | 244.8 |
| Ca Mau II | 720 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120.6 | 208.8 | 210.9 | 213.0 | 215.1 | 217.3 | 219.5 | 221.7 | 223.9 | 226.1 | 228.4 | 230.7 | 233.0 | 235.3 | 237.6 | 240.0 | 242.4 | 244.8 |
| O Mon 2 CC JV | 720 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.7 | 143.4 | 144.9 | 146.3 | 147.8 | 149.2 | 120.6 | 137.0 | 138.4 | 139.8 | 141.2 | 142.6 | 144.0 | 145.5 | 146.9 |
| Formosa 1 | 150 | 52.3 | 52.8 | 53.3 | 53.9 | 54.4 | 54.9 | 55.5 | 56.0 | 56.6 | 57.2 | 57.7 | 58.3 | 58.9 | 59.5 | 60.1 | 60.7 | 61.3 | 61.9 | 62.5 | 63.2 | 63.8 |
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|  | Name of power station | Location | Gross Capacity （MW） | Type | Province | River system |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Thac Mo extension | S | 75 | H | Binh Phuoc | Dong Nai |
| 2 | Mao Khe |  | 200 | C |  |  |
| 3 | Dambri |  | 72 | H |  |  |
| 4 | Sekaman3 | C | 260 | H |  |  |
| 5 | Ninh Binh ext | N | 300 | C | Ninh Binh |  |
| 6 | Quang Ninh \＃3，4 | N | 600 | C | Quang Ninh |  |
| 7 | O Mon I\＃2 | S | 300 | FO－G | Kien Giang |  |
| 8 | Nghi Son \＃1 | N | 300 | C | Thanh Hoa |  |
| 9 | Serepok 4 | C | 28 | H | Dak lak | Serepok |
| 10 | Eak Rong Hnang | C | 64 | H | Phu Yen | Ba |
| 11 | Song Buong 2 | C | 128（126） | H | Quang Ngai | Vu gia－Thu Bon |
| 12 | Huoi Quang \＃1 | N | 280（270） | H | Lao Cai | Da |
| 13 | Dak Tik | S | 72 | H | Dong Nai | Dong Nai |
| 14 | Song Con 2 | C | 70 | H | Quang Nam | Vu gia－Thu Bon |
| 15 | OMon 2 | S | 720 | G | Kien Giang |  |
| 16 | Nghi Son \＃2 | N | 300 | C | Thanh Hoa |  |
| 17 | Nhon Trach 1 \＃1 | S | 300 | G | Baria－Vuntau |  |
| 18 | Song Buong 4 | C | 165（200） | H | Quang Ngai | Vu gia－Thu Bon |
| 19 | Khe Bo |  | 96（68） | H |  |  |
| 20 | Upper Kontum \＃1，2 | C | 220 | H | Kontum | Se San |
| 21 | Song Hieu | N | 53 | H | Cao Bang | Ky Cung－Bang Giang |
| 22 | Huoi Quang \＃2 | N | 280（270） | H | Lao Cai | Da |
| 23 | Ban Uon | N | 280（250） | H | Thanh Hoa | Ma |
| 24 | Nho Que \＃1 | N | 70 | H |  |  |
| 25 | Nam Chien | N | 210（140） | H | Lao Cai | Da |
| 26 | Nho Que \＃2 | N | 70 | H |  |  |
| 27 | Lai Chau | N | 1200（1100） | H | Lai Chau |  |
|  | Lai Chau（cascade dev．） |  | （1100） |  |  |  |
| 28 | Dong Nai 2 | S | 100（78） | H | Dong Nai | Dong Nai |
| 29 | Dak Mi 4 | C | 210 | H |  | Thu Bon |
| 30 | Nhon Trach 1 \＃2 | S | 300 | G | Baria－Vuntau |  |
| 31 | Nhon Trach 2 | S | 600 | G | Baria－Vuntau |  |
| 32 | OMon 3\＃1 | S | 300 | G | Kien Giang |  |
| 33 | Hon Dat ST | S | 720 | G | Kien Giang |  |
| 34 | Mong Duong | N | 1000 | C |  |  |


| 35 | OMon 3 \#2 | S | 300 | G | Kien Giang |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | Nhon Trach 3 | S | 720 | G | Baria-Vuntau |  |
| 37 | Dak Mi 1 | C | 210 | H | Quang Ngai | Thu Bon |
| 38 | Dong Nai 5 | S | 173(170) | H | Dong Nai | Dong Nai |
| 39 | Duc Xuyen | C | 52(100) | H |  | Serepok |
| 40 | Se Kong 4 | C | 450 | H |  |  |
| 41 | Nhon Trach 4 | S | 720 | G | Baria-Vuntau |  |
| 42 | OMon 4 | S | 720 | G | Kien Giang |  |
| 43 | TBKHH new 1 |  | 720 | G |  |  |
| 44 | Dai Nga |  | 20 | H | Song Be | Dong Nai |
| 45 | Dak Drinh 1 | C | 100 | H |  | Huong |
| 46 | Khe Bo | N | 68 | H |  | Ca |
| 47 | Thac Muoi | N | 53 | H |  | Ca |
| 48 | Buon Tua Srah | C | 85 | H |  | Srepok |
| 49 | Dak Rtih | C | 72 | H | Dak lak | Dong Nai |
| 50 | Chu Linh-Coc San | N | 70 | H | Lao Cai | Hong |
| 51 | Nam Mu | N | 11 | H | Tuyen Quang | Lo-Gam |
| 52 | lagrai | C | 9 | H | Gia Lai | Se San |
| 53 | New CCGT | S | 4320 | G |  |  |
| 54 | Hua Na | N | 195 | H | Thanh Hoa | Chu |
| 55 | Hua Na (cascade dev.) |  | 195 |  |  |  |
| 56 | Bac Quang | N | 115 | H | Tuyen Quang | Lo-Gam |
| 57 | Mong Duong \#2 | N | 500 | C |  |  |
| 58 | layun Thuong | C | 116 | H | Gia Lai | Se San |
| 59 | PSPP \#1 | N | 1200 | P |  |  |
| 60 | Quang Tri CCGT | C | 720 | G | Quang Tri |  |
| 61 | Vung Ang | N | 1000 | C |  |  |
| 62 | PSPP \#2 | N | 1000 | P |  |  |
| 63 | PSPP \#3 | S | 1000 | P |  |  |
| 64 | New coal-fired TPP | N | 2500 | C |  |  |
| 65 | Nuclear | C | 4000 | N | Phu Yen |  |
| 66 | Bac Me | N | 280 | H | Cao Bang | Gam |
| 67 | Ha Tay | N | 600 | C | Ha Tay |  |
| 68 | Dong Nai 6 | S | 180 | H | Dong Nai | Dong Nai |
| 69 | Dong Nai 8 | S | 195 | H | Dong Nai | Dong Nai |
| 70 | Se Kong 1 | C | 190 | H |  |  |
| 71 | Bac Muc | N | 215 | H | Thanh Hoa | Ma |

*H: Hydropower, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}:$ Coal, ${ }^{*}$ G: Gas, ${ }^{*}$ P: Pumped Storage, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~N}$ : Nuclear
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I PROJECT UNDER CONSTRUCTION STAGE
II PROJECTS AT FEASIBILITY STATUS
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { In Northern area } & \text { 2．2 In central area ：} \\ \text { 1．Nam Chien Hydropower Plant，} & \text { 5．EA Koron Hnang Hydropower } \\ \text { 2．Lai Chau Hydropower Plant } & \text { 6．An Khe Hydropower Plant } \\ \text { 3．Pa vinh Hyyropower Plat．} & \text { 7．Dong nai No } 3 \text { Hydropower pla } \\ \text { 4．Ban Uon Hydropower Plant } & \text { 8．Dong nai No 4 Hydropower Pla }\end{array}$
2． 1 In Northern area：
9．New PPP No 1 JN3
10．PSPP No 2－JN5
11．Huoi Quang Hydropower Plant
11．Huoi Quang Hydropower Plant
12．Cou Linh Coc San Hydropower Plant
2．2 In central area： 10 projects：
22 Dac Mi Hydropower Plant No 1
23 Dac Mi Hydropower Plant No 4
24 ．Extended The
13．Nho Quế No． 1
14．Nho Quề No． 2
24．Extended Thac Mo Hydropower Plan
25．Bung River Hydropoower Plant N o 2
26．Bung River Hydropower Plant No 4
In Southern area ：7 projects：

15．Nho Quế No． 3
26．Bung River Hydropower Plant No
27．PPSP No 3 －JS6 projec
28．Asap Hydropower Plant
17．Bao Lac plant
18．Tuyen Quang Plant
19．Bac Quang Hydropower Plant
29．Tranh River Hydropower Plant No 2 ，
30．Hua Na Hydropower Plant．

| No． | Plant／project <br> code | Project／Plant | Total adverse <br> impact <br> （Construction <br> phase） | Total <br> adverse <br> impact <br> （Operation <br> phase） |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thermal power plant | O Mon No1 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 98 |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ | O Mon No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 95 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | O Mon No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 92 | 107 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{3 2 , 3 5}$ | O Mon No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 102 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | Phu My No 1 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 a d f}$ | Phu My No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{2 a d f}$ | Phu My No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{3 a d f}$ | Phu My No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{4 a d f}$ | South CCGT Thermal Power Plant | 86 | 91 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | Amata Bien Hoa CCGT | 82 | 83 |
| 10 | $\mathbf{4}$ | Nhon Trach No1 Thermal Power <br> Plant | 90 | 97 |
| 11 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | Nhon Trach No 2 Thermal Power <br> Plant | 90 | 97 |
| 12 | $\mathbf{3 0 , 3 1}$ | Nhon Trach No3 Thermal Power <br> Plant | 89 | 96 |
| 13 | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | Nhon Trach No 4 Thermal Power <br> Plant | 89 | 96 |
| 14 | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | Mao Khe Thermal Power Plant | 97 | 106 |
| 15 | $\mathbf{2 / 4 8 , 4 9 a d}$ | Uong Bi Extended Thermal Power <br> Plant | 75 | 109 |
| 16 | $\mathbf{8 a d f}$ | Ninh Binh Extended Thermal Power <br> Plant | 106 | 121 |
| 17 | $\mathbf{5 / 4 0 a d}$ | Nghi Son Thermal Power Plant | 101 | 126 |
| 18 | $\mathbf{8 , 1 6}$ | Mong Duong Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 125 |
| 19 | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 7}$ | Hiep Phuoc Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 114 |
| 20 | $\mathbf{Q}$ | Quang Ninh Thermal Power Plant | 112 | 104 |
| 22 | $\mathbf{6 a d f}$ | Hai Phong Thermal Power Plant | 115 | 136 |
| 23 | $\mathbf{7 a d f}$ | Son Dong Thermal Power Plant | 94 | 101 |


| Hydro power plants |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | Nam Chien Hydropower Station | 82 | 84 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | Hydropower Plant Buon Tua Srah | 123 | 75 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 9}$ | Dakrtih Hydropower Plant | 87 | 70 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Lai Chau Hydropower Plant | 118 | 82 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{9 a d f}$ | Pavinh（Son La）Hydropower Plant | 117 | 112 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Ban Uon Hydropower Plant | 115 | 105 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | EA Krong Hnang Hydropower <br> Plant | 89 | 60 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 0 a d f}$ | Ankhe Kanak Hydropower Plant | 110 | 79 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{1 1 a d f}$ | Dong Nai No3 Hydropower Plant | 103 | 74 |
| 10 | $\mathbf{1 2 a d f}$ | Dong Nai No4 Hydropower Plant | 70 | 64 |
| 11 | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 2}$ | Huoi Quang Hydropower Station | 101 | 95 |
| 12 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Chu Linh－Coc San Hydropower <br> Plant | 86 | 65 |
| 13 | $\mathbf{1 3 a d f}$ | Bao Lac Hydropower Plant | 84 | 83 |
| 14 | $\mathbf{1 4 a d f}$ | Tuyen Quang Hydropower Plant | 90 | 80 |
| 15 | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | Bac Quang Hydropower Plant | 100 | 89 |
| 16 | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | Ban Muc Hydropower Plant | 102 | 91 |
| 17 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | New PSPP No 3 JS6 | 85 | 56 |
| 18 | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | New PSPP No 2 JN5 | 92 | 85 |
| 19 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | New PSPP No 1 JN | 102 | 65 |
| 20 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Nho Que No 1 Hydropower Plant | 89 | 66 |
| 21 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Nho Que No 2 Hydropower Plant | 85 | 70 |
| 22 | $\mathbf{1 5 a d f}$ | Nho Que No 3 Hydropower Plant | 85 | 66 |
| 23 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | Bac Me Hydropower Plant | 94 | 82 |
| 24 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Dakmi No 1．Hydropower Plant | 100 | 85 |
| 25 | $\mathbf{1 6 a d f}$ | Dakmi No 4 Hydropower Plant | 82 | 64 |
| 26 | $\mathbf{1}$ | Extend Thac Mo Hydropower Plant | 79 | 59 |
| 27 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Bung 2 River Hydropower Plant | 101 | 90 |
| 28 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Bung 4 River Hydropower Plant | 115 | 67 |
| 29 | $\mathbf{1 7 a d f}$ | A Sap Hydropower Plant | 96 | 76 |
| 30 | $\mathbf{1 8 a d f}$ | Song Tranh 2 Hydropower Plant | 98 | 65 |
| 31 | $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 5}$ | Hua Na Hydropower Plant | 104 | 74 |
| 32 | $\mathbf{1 9 a d f}$ | Serepok 3 Hydropower Plant | 115 | 69 |
| 33 | $\mathbf{2 0 a d f}$ | Song Hinh Hydropower Plant | 96 | 79 |
| 34 | $\mathbf{2 1 a d f}$ | Can Don Hydropower Plant | 85 | 65 |
| 35 | $\mathbf{4 7 a d}$ | Sesan No 4 Hydropower Plant | 97 | 57 |
| 36 | $\mathbf{3}$ | Dambri Hydropower Plant | 89 | 74 |
| 37 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Con river No2 Hydropower Plant | 83 | 64 |
| Nulear power plant |  | 120 |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 2 a d f}$ | Hoa Tam Nuclear Power Plant | 103 | 89 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | Phuoc Dinh Nuclear Power Plant | 102 | 130 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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## Introduction

Nowadays, when there are great achievements in economic and technological development, the goals of human race are heading to one direction, which is sustainable development. Accordingly, all socio- economic development projects have been considered in the relation of Economic Environmental and Societal factors.
Energy is an important economic sector in any national economy, in which electricity is the blood vessel nourishing socio- economic development. Vietnam is one of the countries that have rapid economic growth in the world with annual GDP growth is about $7-8 \%$ and the annual demand for electricity increases 11-12\% relatively.
The Prime Minister of Vietnam approved Electricity Development Plan Period 2001-2010 in June 2001. It was predicted in the plan that electricity demand would increase to $70-80$ billion KWh in 2010. The Government of Vietnam is pushing the economy to make the country become industrial in 2020 according to the orientation of socio- economic development policies. To achieve this goal, commercial power development planning is the priority of the Government and of all sectors as well as of the foreign investment attraction policy.
Vietnam Electricity General Cooperation is collaborating with foreign partners including Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), to conduct the plan for national energy development period 2005-2015 and orientation to 2025. The main target is to establish the planning of power plants. Nearly hundred of hydro power, thermo and nuclear power plants are proposed; feasibility studies and economic and technological facts are prepared.
The objectives of the report:

- Collect documents related to the power projects in Vietnam period 2006-2015, orientation to 2025.
- Survey and assess the environmental and social conditions of those above-mentioned projects using the JICA check list with the negative impacts of the power plants construction as the main focus.
- Prepare a list of prioritized projects, which has least negative impacts to the environment and society based on the list provided by JICA.


## Methodology and organization:

The report has been made by the group of scientific experts in Faculty of Environmental Sciences, University of Science, Vietnam National University-Hanoi as request by JICA (See included list of participants).
Methodology to make the report: Collection of data and documents related to power projects in the plan for national energy development period 2005-2015 and orientation to 2025; environmental and social assessment is carried out by the common assessment methods (check list, matrix); conducting survey and case studies in different areas (North, Central and South Region) to check the pre-assessment; analysis and selection of prioritized projects (hydro power, thermo, nuclear power plants).
The project implementation has 3 stages:

- Stage 1: Collection of data and documents related to power projects.

This is the longest and most complicated stage since data and documents are kept by many agencies such as members of Vietnam Electricity General Cooperation, ministries, local agencies and appraisal agencies (National Environment Agency in the former Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment; Department of Environmental Appraisal in Ministry
of Natural Resources and Environment; Department of Science, Technology and Environment in Ministry of Investment and Planning etc.). There are also differences in details of projects: some projects have economic and technological facts and environmental impacts assessment reports; some are in feasible studied and lack of environmental information and some are only in idea with project name and the place of establishment has not been decided. In order to have proper information, the research group had approached most related agencies and organization like Vietnam Institute of Energy; Design and Construction Center 1, 2, 3 in Vietnam Electricity General Cooperation; Information department and Department of Environmental Appraisal in Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment. Some data and documents were provided by the local environmental agencies such as document on Son La hydro power plant was provided by Son La Department of Natural Resources and Environment; document on Pha Lai thermo power plant I, II was provided by Hai Duong Department of Natural Resources and Environment. Some documents on thermo power using coal were provided by Vietnam Coal General Cooperation and at last, data and documents also had been collected from Department of Science, Technology and Environment in Ministry of Investment and Planning.

- Stage 2: Environmental and social assessment of power projects

The research group divided into 3 assessment sub-group: thermo, hydro and other power plants. There are 1 or 2 environmental experts, 1 or 2 assistants and 1 secretary in each subgroup. Members of sub-groups had to review project documents and fill in the questionnaires and the check lists provided by JICA. After one week study, members in the sub-groups discussed and agreed with each other on the assessment of each project (especially the assessment of level of impact a, b, c to environmental and social components); the short report was conducted and submitted to the project manager. Working parallel with the assessment sub-groups, other staff prepared maps for each proposed project place. During the process of implementation, experts also went to the site for assessment of case studies to check the pre-assessment and make suggestions for the assessment sub-groups in the workshops. Final assessment report had been discussed in the meeting of assessment subgroups. At this meeting, beside the environmental and social assessment for each power project, members of sub-groups also contributed valuable ideas concerning the importance of environmental and social impacts to the experts for preparing final report.

- Stage 3. Analysis and selection of prioritized projects and conduct final report.

This is the most difficult task and the outcome is the most important in the project. Therefore, most experienced experts were assigned this task. Prioritized projects were selected based on Vietnam's environmental and social legislation and the total score of each project. According to the environmental legislation, socio- economic development projects including power projects violate the Law on Environmental Protection (Article 12, 13,16, 18, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29 etc.) should not be listed as prioritized projects. Projects that did not fall under the abovementioned group would be selected based on total score calculated by the following formula:

The final report had been conducted by the most experienced experts as the request of project manager (See the included list). The English version of final report was edited by Associate Professor. Nguyen Dinh Hoe; Dr. Nguyen Thi Ha and PhD Candidate. Nguyen Thi Anh Tuyet.
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## Section 1 - overview of natural and social environment in site location

### 1.1. THERMAL POWER PLANTS

### 1.1.1. Current operation plants

### 1.1.1.1. In the Northern region NA

### 1.1.1.2. In the Central region NA

### 1.1.1.2. In the South region (4 plants)

1. Phu My No1 thermal power plant
2. Phu My No2 thermal power plant
3. Phu My No3 thermal power plant
4. Phu My No4 thermal power plant

## (1) Phu My No1 thermal power plant

- Location: Phu My town, Tan Thanh dist. Ba Ria-Vung Tau province (172ha: $1^{\text {st }}$ phase: 90ha; $2^{\text {nd }}$ phase 82ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation (1998-2000)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation, ODA (Japan)
- Report:
- Technical specifications:
Technology CCGT
Capacity
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year
Fuel
Water consume
(operating)
Cooling water
(condense tank)
Steam specifications
- Socio-economic and environmental impact
My power plants locate in the middle of Vinakyoei Steel enterprise and Thi Vai river along
51 high way, 75km from Ho Chi Minh city and 40km from Vung Tau. The area of project is
ed clay, poor water conserve/keep.
ing construction and operation phases it have adverse impacts on some pagodas e.g. Dai Tung
pagoda and Church belong Ngoc Ha parish. Impact mainly on mangrove located in the

Noise and land subsidence are occurred by activities of drilling machine and others during construction phase. This is insignificant impact if effectively controls the operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines, during the operation phase the impact will be reduced to b or c depend on management and control.

Significant impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetation cover in project area and surrounding (mangrove along Thi Vai river). However this impact is eliminated in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.

Drinking water is mainly from underground water that majority contaminated by alum (in dry season). Gas emission generated from fuel burning process will cause air pollution. Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause fewer impacts in case they are well controlled and collected/treated.

- Other information

Some information available in report of Phu My No2-2

## (2) Phu My No2-2 thermal power plant

- Location: Phu My town, Tan Thanh dist. Ba Ria-Vung Tau province (Total area: 128ha; Phu My 2-2: 8ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation - Transfer (BOT)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation, ODA (Japan)
- Report: Feasibility study: Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2) 2001
- EIA report: Center for Sustainable development (Southern Branch) -VESDEC in coordination with: Southern Irrigation planning Sub-Institution (MARD); Southern hydrometeorology Station; Tropical Biology institution (VAST) and Aquatic product Growing research Institution No2 (MARD).
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | 715 MW |
| Fuel | Gas: $37-47 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
|  | DO: $2909 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{h}$ <br> $(10800 \mathrm{kCal} / \mathrm{kg})$ |
| Water consume | $3850 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ day |
| (operating) | $17 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Cooling water <br> (condense tank) |  |
| Steam specifications |  |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Phu My power plants locate in the middle of Vinakyoei Steel enterprise and Thi Vai river along No. 51 high way, 75 km from Ho Chi Minh city and 40 km from Vung Tau. The area of project is even and flat terrain, red-yellow soil above graphite curdle plait layer. The plant ground is sand mixed clay, poor water conserve/keep.

During construction and operation phases it have adverse impacts on some pagodas e.g. Dai Tung Lam pagoda and Church belong Ngoc Ha parish. Impact mainly on mangrove located in the middle of project areas and Thi Vai river.

Noise and land subsidence are occurred by activities of drilling machine and others during construction phase. This is insignificant impact if effectively controls the operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines, during the operation phase the impact will be reduced to b or c depend on management and control.
Significant impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetation cover in project area and surrounding (mangrove along Thi Vai river). However this impact is eliminated in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.
Drinking water is mainly from underground water that majority contaminated by alum (in dry season). Gas emission generated from fuel burning process will cause air pollution. Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause fewer impacts in case they are well controlled and collected/treated.

## - Other information

Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (3) Phu My No3 thermal power plant

- Location: Phu My town, Tan Thanh dist. Ba Ria-Vung Tau province
- Project type: Building - Operation (1998-2000). As planned it would be operated by 2003 but not in fact
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation, ODA (Japan)
- Report:
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | 600MW |
| Performance (fine) |  |
| Operating hour per year |  |
| Fuel |  |
| Water consume <br> (operating) | 250m3/day |
| Cooling water <br> (condense tank) |  |
| Steam specifications |  |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Phu My power plants locate in the middle of Vinakyoei Steel enterprise and Thi Vai river along No. 51 high way, 75 km from Ho Chi Minh city and 40 km from Vung Tau. The area of project is even and flat terrain, red-yellow soil above graphite curdle plait layer. The plant ground is sand mixed clay, poor water conserve/keep.

During construction and operation phases it have adverse impacts on some pagodas e.g. Dai Tung Lam pagoda and Church belong Ngoc Ha parish. Impact mainly on mangrove located in the middle of project areas and Thi Vai river.

Noise and land subsidence are occurred by activities of drilling machine and others during construction phase. This is insignificant impact if effectively controls the operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines, during the operation phase the impact will be reduced to b or c depend on management and control.
Significant impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetation cover in project area and surrounding (mangrove along Thi Vai river). However this impact is eliminated in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.
Drinking water is mainly from underground water that majority contaminated by alum (in dry season). Gas emission generated from fuel burning process will cause air pollution. Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause fewer impacts in case they are well controlled and collected/treated.

- Other information

Some information available in report of Phu My No2-2

## (4) Phu My No4 thermal power plant

- Location: Phu My town, Tan Thanh dist. Ba Ria-Vung Tau province
- Project type: Building - Operation (1998-2000). Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation, ODA (Japan)
- Report:
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | $600-900 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| Fuel |  |
| Water consume | Total 6,100m3/day (2002) |
| (operating) |  |
| Cooling water <br> (condense tank) |  |
| Steam specifications |  |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Phu My No4 power plant is constructed (extension of Phu My power plant) to ensure the consumption of natural gas from Con Son mining (already having gas pipeline to the project area). This because Phu My No 2 and 3 have not been operated as planned (by 2002, 2003).

Phu My No4 power plant locates in the middle of Vinakyoei Steel enterprise and Thi Vai river along No. 51 high way, 75 km from Ho Chi Minh city and 40 km from Vung Tau. The area of project is even and flat terrain, red-yellow soil above graphite curdle plait layer. The plant ground is sand mixed clay, poor water conserve/keep.

During construction and operation phases it have adverse impacts on some pagodas e.g. Dai Tung Lam pagoda and Church belong Ngoc Ha parish. Impact mainly on mangrove located in the middle of project areas and Thi Vai river.

Noise and land subsidence are occurred by activities of drilling machine and others during construction phase. This is insignificant impact if effectively controls the operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines, during the operation phase the impact will be reduced to b or c depend on management and control.
Significant impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetation cover in project area and surrounding (mangrove along Thi Vai river). However this impact is eliminated in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.
Drinking water is mainly from underground water that majority contaminated by alum (in dry season). Gas emission generated from fuel burning process will cause air pollution. Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause fewer impacts in case they are well controlled and collected/treated.

- Other information: Annex of EIA report with few information.


### 1.1.2. Current construction plants

### 1.1.2.1. In the Northern region (1 plant)

5. Ninh Binh No. 2 thermal power plant

## (5) Ninh Binh No. 2 thermal power plant

- Location: Thanh Binh precinct, Ninh Binh town, Ninh Binh province (planned operating on 7/2007)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA report: Science and technology institution and energy institution (2004)
- Technical specifications:

Module
Capacity 300MW
Capacity (fine)
Performance (fine) 6132 hours/year (Lifespan: 25 years)
Operating hour per year
Fuel Coal (approximately 824140tones/year), taken from
Hon Gai, Cam Pha (coarse coal 4b and 5) and FO

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Station locates at the delta of Day river, beside Canh Dieu mountain. The temperature varies from 7.1 to $35.9^{0} \mathrm{C}$; the average rainfall is 1820 mm ; solar radiation is $120 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ year; average humidity is $84 \%$. The dominate wind direction is south-east.

Total population of Ninh Binh town is 62605 people, population density is very high, and the number of labor in industry and handicraft field is biggest.

The project in both two phases: building and operating impacts on environment and society. For social aspect: this project creates many socio-economic benefits; adapts increasing demand for electric; gives jobs to local people, Although, there are some disadvantages such as: making harmful effects to human health; generating and enhancing social devils; damaging some production field, for example: agriculture, forestry, aquaculture, For environmental aspect: bad impacts is caused by some activities: transporting and burning fuel, discharging coal ashes, waste water, construction activities,.... Besides, Canh Dieu mountain accelerates such impacts (particularly for air environment). Minimizing methods therefore should be vital and forceful.

- Other information: EIA report


### 1.1.2.2. In the Central region

NA

### 1.1.2.3. In the Southern region

NA

### 1.1.3. Planned construction plants

### 1.1.3.1. In the Northern region ( 7 plants)

6. Quang Ninh thermal power plant
7. Son Dong thermal power plant
8. Hai Phong thermal power plant
9. Mao Khe thermal power plant
10. Mong Duong thermal power plant
11. Uong Bi thermal power plant
12. Nghi Son thermal power plant

## (6) Quang Ninh thermal power plant

- Location: Xom Cho (Bang village), Thong Nhat commune, Hoang Bo district, Quang Ninh province (120ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation - Transfer (BOT)
- Investment: Oxbow International Power corporation (America) and Marubeni corporation (Japan)
- Report: Feasibility study: Raytheon Consultant Company (America)

EIA study: Environmental protection center (EPC) in cooperation with some institutions and centers: IENR (NCNST); CETTIA; institution of Chemistry (NCNST); Institution of Mechanics (NCNST); Quang Ninh DOSTE; Hai Phong Ocean institution (NCNST)

- Technical specifications:
- Capacity: 300 MW
- Fuel: coal (low quality: heating energy value: 3000$3990 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ ): $1.09 \times 10^{6}-1.54 \times 10^{6}$ ton/year; DO: 2500 ton/year
- Steam specifications: $13.03 \mathrm{Mpa} / 538^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Adverse impacts of construction phase are mainly caused by land use, emigration for clear the ground. The area of agriculture land, mangrove and aquaculture is therefore reduced. Number of environmental pollutants generated in this phase also cause bad impacts for people and ecology of locality (project area), in particular the impact on natural ecologies e.g. reduction of forest area, water body of Dien Vong rive mouth and northern coastal of Bai Chay bay.
During operation phase the discharge/emission of wastes (production and domestic) is considered as the major adverse impacts on the environment. Some impacts can be eliminated when control/treatment measures are effectively implemented. The significant impacts may be caused by the ash, coal residues, polluted gases and wastewater (high temperature and oil/grease). These pollutants will directly impact on water ecology and vegetational cover in locality.

## - Other information

Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (7) Son Dong thermal power plant

- Location: Dong Ri mountain village, Thanh Luan commune, Son Dong district, Bac Giang province (10ha excluding water system area and working staff living quarter)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: Feasibility study: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1) 6/2004.

EIA study: Vietnam Electric Corporation in cooperation with Power electric consultant company-No1 and Quang Ninh center for environmental monitoring and analysis, Quang Ninh DoNRE

- Technical specifications:

| Module | $2 \times 100 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | 200 MW |
| Capacity (fine) | $2 \times 90 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| Performance (fine) | $68.49 \%$ |
| Operating hour per year | 6000 |
| Fuel | Coal (low quality) |
|  | Low heat value: $4410 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Adverse impacts of construction phase are mainly caused by land use, emigration for clear the ground. However less environmental impact is assessed because small number of minorities in project area (scattered), only 5 households have to emigrant for plant areas; for dam construction detailed data not available. No cultural heritage in project area but relative significant impacted on the sceneries (forestry) during clear the ground phase. Land can be contaminated by pollutants/solid wastes (domestic and production) and significant impact found during construction phase depends on operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines.

Minor impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) because project area majority is forestry land (90\%). However significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetational covers in project area due to forestry land loss and dam construction. Less impact found on Khe Ro Preservation areas because it is 25 km far from project area.

During operation phase the discharge/emission of wastes (production and domestic) is considered as the major adverse impacts on the environment. Wastewater discharge in operating phase (high temperature and oil/grease contaminated) will also impact water ecology its species, mainly impact to water quality of Dong Ri and Nuoc Vang streams. The impacts will be limited if wastewater is effectively treated before discharge. Gas emission will cause impact during construction and operating phases. However the impact level will be considered as 'less level' in case of all pollutant control and elimination measures are effectively carried out. Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause less impact in case they are well controlled and collected/treated

- Other information: Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)


## (8) Hai Phong thermal power plant

- Location: Tam Hung commune, Thuy Nguyen district, Hai Phong city (120ha including 36ha of site area; 7ha of water system and 87ha of coal residues dump)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: Feasibility study: Institute of Energy, Ministry of Industry.
- Labor Protection Institute; Institute of Ecology and Bio-resource; Hai Phong Center for Environmental consultancy and technical assistance.
- Technical specifications:

| Steam furnace |  | Turbine |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Module | $2 \times 300 \mathrm{MW}$ | Capacity | $2 \times 300 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| Steam productivity(Max) | $2 \times 99 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{h}$ | Speed | 3000 rpm |
| Steam furnace <br> performance | $92 \%$ | Steam <br> specifications | Pressure (input): <br> $166 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ <br> Temperature: <br> $538^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 541^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> Pressure (output): <br> $0.035 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |
| Over-heat steam <br> pressure/medium over- <br> heat | $172 / 40 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / 541^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{C} / 541^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Fuel | Coal (low quality) <br> Low heat value: <br> $4410 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Over-heat steam <br> temperature/medium <br> over-heat | $541^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 541^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

No minorities to be impacted, however local inhabitants ( $\sim 280$ households) have to move for clear the ground. Number of cultural heritage in project area may be impacted, therefore impact on sceneries such as Cau Gia tourist area. Forest decrease is assessed to be impact when project constructed. Significant impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) and aquaculture ( $\sim 47 \mathrm{ha}$ cultivation land and $\sim 66$ ha aquaculture area) have lost for clear the ground.

Adverse impact to water quality of Song Gia lake assessed during Dam construction to supply fresh water for Minh Duc district. In some specific areas the impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies can be higher e.g. fishery, aquaculture or brackish water ecology.

When plant operates different kinds of wastes generated will cause impact on environment and people depend on the implementation of pollutant control and elimination measures. Land can be contaminated by pollutants/solid wastes (domestic and production). Gas emission from fuel burning process during the operation phase with major gas pollutants: ammoniac, hydrafin, oil and grease, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} \ldots$ is considered as major impact for local air environment and somehow impact on forest/natural ecology. Noise and smell pollution cause significant or insignificant impact during construction phase depend on operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines. Solid wastes (production and domestic) containing hazardous components e.g. heavy metals; mineral; This cause less impacts in case they are well collected/treated

- Other information

Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (9) Mao Khe thermal power plant

- Location: Dong Ram hamlet, Binh Khe commune, Dong Trieu district, Quang Ninh province (total area of $47 \mathrm{ha}, 3 \mathrm{~km}$ away from Mao Khe town in the north western).
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report:
- Technical specifications:

| Module | $2 \times 110 \mathrm{MW}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | 220 MW |
| Capacity (fine) |  |
| Performance (fine) |  |
| Operating hour per year <br> Fuel | Coal (low quality) <br>  |
|  | Low heat value: <br> $4450 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The construction site locates near Mao Khe coal mine and Hoang Thach cement factory which are now having high dust concentration, nearby Cau river (a branch of Da river originating from Yen Tu stream) which are now having sign of SS and oil pollution. The landform is quite flat (short hill) with an average altitude of 9 m , nearby Mao Khe mountain which has poor regenerative forest, less densely populated, most of them are farmers; 2 km away from Highroad and national railroad.

Major negative impacts of the project to the environment around area: -) to compensate and remove 22 households in the construction site, to lost 29 ha cultivated land and 1.5 litchi hill; -) the construction may cause air pollution (dust and waste gases from vehicles...), water pollution (SS, sewage from households...), noise and vibration; -) in the process of operating these factories may cause air pollution (dust, NOx, SO2,..), water pollution(SS, oil, thermal pollution...) , discharge 326000 ton/year of solid wastes, consume $1300 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{h}$, affect to fish in Cau and Da Vach river, may impact to people health in hamlets of Binh Khue commune, Dong Trieu district.

## (10) Mong Duong thermal power plant

- Location: Mong Duong precinct, Cam Pha district, Quang Ninh province, planned operating in 2008
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (2004)
- Technical specifications:

Module
Capacity 1500MW (500MW -stage 1)
Capacity (fine)
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year Fuel

Coal (low quality): 2850720tones/year
FO

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Station locates at an un-flat topology area; is affected by both Mong Duong river's hydrological regime; the various range of temperature is large; dominate wind direction is north and south; the average amount of rainfall is $2000 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year.

Total population of Mong Duong is 12628 people, population density is high, in recent years, the number of labor in forestry, aquaculture, industry and handicraft field increases but decreases in agriculture one.

The project in both two phases: building and operating impacts on environment and society. For social aspect: this project creates many socio-economic benefits; adapts increasing demand for electric; gives jobs to local people, Although, there are some disadvantages such as: making harmful effects to human health; generating and enhancing social devils; damaging some production field, for example: agriculture, forestry, aquaculture, For environmental aspect: bad impacts is caused by some activities: transporting and burning fuel, discharging coal ashes, waste water, construction activities,.... Minimizing methods therefore should be vital and forceful.

- Other information: EIA report (2004)


## (11) Uong Bi thermal power plant

- Location: Uong Bi district, Quang Ninh province
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA report by IE (1998)
- Technical specifications:

Module
Capacity 300MW
Capacity (fine)
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year
Fuel
Coal (approximately 828324 tones/year), taken from Vang Danh

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Station locates at un-flat topology area; the various range of temperature is large; the dominate wind direction includes: North - North East and South-South East; the average amount of rainfall is over $1500 \mathrm{~mm} /$ year.

Total population of Uong Bi is around 60000 people, population density is 350 people $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$. The project in both two phases: building and operating impacts on environment and society. For social aspect: this project creates many socio-economic benefits; adapts increasing demand for electric; gives jobs to local people, Although, there are some disadvantages such as: making harmful effects to human health; generating and enhancing social devils; damaging some production field, for example: agriculture, forestry, aquaculture, For environmental aspect: bad impacts is caused by some activities: transporting and burning fuel, discharging coal ashes, waste water, construction activities,.... Minimizing methods therefore should be vital and forceful.

- Other information: EIA report


## (12) Nghi Son thermal power plant

- Location: Nghi Son industrial zone, Tinh Gia district, Thanh Hoa province
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: IEA report is conducted on 9/2004 by Ho Chi Minh University of Technology
- Technical specifications:

Module
Capacity 600MW
Capacity (fine)
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year Fuel

Coal (approximately 1700000 tones/year), taken from
Hon Gai-Cam Pha (coarse coal 5HG) and HFO
(around 60000 tons/year

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Station locates on small valley of Yen Hoa. The gradient of temperature is not height, the average rainfall rounds 2000 mm ; solar radiation is $120 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ year; average humidity is height. The dominate wind direction includes: North, North-East, North West.

For social aspect: this project creates many socio-economic benefits; adapts increasing demand for electric; gives jobs to local people, Although, there are some disadvantages such as: making harmful effects to human health; generating and enhancing social devils; damaging some production field, for example: agriculture, forestry, aquaculture, For environmental aspect: bad impacts is caused by some activities: transporting and burning fuel, discharging coal ashes, waste water, construction activities.

- Other information: EIA report


### 1.1.3.2 In the Central region

## NA

### 1.1.3.3 In the Southern region (11 plants)

13. Nhon Trach No1 thermal power plant
14. Nhon Trach No2 thermal power plant
15. Nhon Trach No3 thermal power plant
16. Nhon Trach No4 thermal power plant
17. Amata Bien Hoa thermal power plant
18. South CCGT thermal power plant
19. Hiep Phuoc thermal power plant
20. Mon No1 thermal power plant
21. Mon No2 thermal power plant
22. Mon No3 thermal power plant
23. Mon No4 thermal power plant
(13) Nhon Trach No1 thermal power plant

- Location: Tay Khanh village, Phuoc Khanh commune, Nhon Trach district, Dong Nai province (20ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA study: Institution of Environment and Sustainable development (Southern branch) in cooperation with Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).


## - Technical specifications:

Option 1
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Technology } & \text { Condense Steam } \\ \text { Module } & 1 \times 600 \mathrm{MW} \\ \text { Capacity } & 600 \mathrm{MW} \\ \text { Performance (fine) } & 43.28 \% \\ \text { Operating hour per year } & 6000 \\ \text { Fuel } & \text { FO or Gas } \\ & \text { Low heat value: }\end{array}$

$$
\mathrm{FO}=9700 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}
$$

$$
\text { Gas }=3,152 \mathrm{mil} \text {. ton } / \text { day }
$$

$$
\left(8832 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}\right)
$$

Water consume $\quad 3,400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day
(operating)
Cooling water
(condense tank)
Steam specifications
$26 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
250 bar $/ 560^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## Option 2

Condense Steam
$2 \times 300 \mathrm{MW}$
600MW
41.79\%

6000
FO or Gas
Low heat value:
$\mathrm{FO}=9700 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$
Gas $=3,152 \mathrm{mil}$. ton/day ( $8832 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}$ )
$26 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
$167 \mathrm{bar} / 538^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 538^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site planned building in Ong Keo industrial zone therefore impact on inhabitants is minority. Sceneries impacted mainly are the surrounding areas like Can Gio biosphere reserve and Vung Tau tourist areas.
Significant impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) and aquaculture because they are majority in project area. Significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetational cover in project area and surrounding (Can Gio mangrove).

Forest decrease is assessed relative significant when project constructed, whereas when it is operating majority adverse impacts due to gas emission ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$; dust...); wastewater, noise. etc. Wastewater (cooling water) discharge to river will affect aqua species due to high temperature and pollutants. However these impacts will be reduced in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.
Another impact should be carefully considered is the risk for environment and people due to the fuel used is FO or gas (burning, explosion and leaking). Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste) cause less impacts in case they are well collected/treated.

## - Other information

Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (14) Nhon Trach No2 thermal power plant

- Location: Tay Khanh village, Phuoc Khanh commune, Nhon Trach district, Dong Nai province (20ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA study: Institution of Environment and Sustainable development (Southern branch) in cooperation with Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | Condense Steam |
| :---: | :---: |
| Module | 1 x 600 MW |
| Capacity | 600MW |
| Performance (steam cycle) | 45\% |
| Operating hour per year | 6000 |
| Fuel | FO or Gas |
|  | Low heat value: |
|  | $\mathrm{FO}=9700 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
|  | Gas $=3,152 \mathrm{mil}$. ton/day ( $8832 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}$ ) |
| Water consume (operating) | $3,400 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day |
| Cooling water (condense tank) | $26 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| Steam specifications | 250bar $/ 560^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 560^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site planned building in Ong Keo industrial zone therefore impact on inhabitants is minority. Sceneries impacted mainly are the surrounding areas like Can Gio biosphere reserve and Vung Tau tourist areas.

Significant impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) and aquaculture because they are majority in project area. Significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetational cover in project area and surrounding (Can Gio mangrove).

Forest decrease is assessed relative significant when project constructed, whereas when it is operating majority adverse impacts due to gas emission ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2} ; \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$; dust...); wastewater, noise. etc. Wastewater (cooling water) discharge to river will affect aqua species due to high
temperature and pollutants. However these impacts will be reduced in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.

Another impact should be carefully considered is the risk for environment and people due to the fuel used is FO or gas (burning, explosion and leaking). Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste) cause less impacts in case they are well collected/treated.

## - Other information

Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (15) Nhon Trach No3 thermal power plant

- Location: Tay Khanh village, Phuoc Khanh commune, Nhon Trach district, Dong Nai province (20ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA study: Institution of Environment and Sustainable development (Southern branch) in cooperation with Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).


## - Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity <br> Performance (steam <br> cycle) | 720 MW |
| Fuel |  |$\quad$|  | Gas or DO: DO $=2,637$ ton/day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gas $=3,032$ mil. ton/day $\left(8832 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}\right)$ |  |
| Water consume <br> (operating) | $2,872 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site planned building in Ong Keo industrial zone therefore impact on inhabitants is minority. Sceneries impacted mainly are the surrounding areas like Can Gio biosphere reserve and Vung Tau tourist areas.

Significant impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) and aquaculture because they are majority in project area. Significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetational cover in project area and surrounding (Can Gio mangrove).

Forest decrease is assessed relative significant when project constructed, whereas when it is operating majority adverse impacts due to gas emission ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2} ; \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}} ;$ dust...); wastewater, noise. etc. Wastewater (cooling water) discharge to river will affect aqua species due to high temperature and pollutants. However these impacts will be reduced in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.

Another impact should be carefully considered is the risk for environment and people due to the fuel used is FO or gas (burning, explosion and leaking). Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste) cause less impacts in case they are well collected/treated.

- Other information: Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)
(16) Nhon Trach No4 thermal power plant
- Location: Tay Khanh village, Phuoc Khanh commune, Nhon Trach district, Dong Nai province (20ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation
- Report: EIA study: Institution of Environment and Sustainable development (Southern branch) in cooperation with Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity <br> Performance (steam <br> cycle) | 720 MW |
| Fuel |  |$\quad$|  | Gas or DO: DO=2,637 ton/day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gas $=3,032$ mil. ton/day $\left(8832 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{Nm}^{3}\right)$ |  |
| Water consume <br> (operating) | $2,872 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ day |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site planned building in Ong Keo industrial zone therefore impact on inhabitants is minority. Sceneries impacted mainly are the surrounding areas like Can Gio biosphere reserve and Vung Tau tourist areas.

Significant impact on agriculture (cultivation crop) and aquaculture because they are majority in project area. Significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetational cover in project area and surrounding (Can Gio mangrove).

Forest decrease is assessed relative significant when project constructed, whereas when it is operating majority adverse impacts due to gas emission ( $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$; $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$; dust...); wastewater, noise. etc. Wastewater (cooling water) discharge to river will affect aqua species due to high temperature and pollutants. However these impacts will be reduced in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination are effectively carried out.
Another impact should be carefully considered is the risk for environment and people due to the fuel used is FO or gas (burning, explosion and leaking). Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste) cause less impacts in case they are well collected/treated.

- Other information: Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)


## (17) Amata Bien Hoa thermal power plant

- Location: Long Binh Modern Industrial zone (700ha), Tam Hoa commune, Bien Hoa city, Dong Nai province (9ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation - Transfer (BOT)
- Investment: Amata Power limited - Bien Hoa (160 mil USD)
- Report: Economic-Technical theoretical and factual foundation report: Energy center (VEC); EIA report: Center for Environmental Technology and Management (CEFINEA) in coordination with Energy center and Bioresourse center
- Technical specifications:

Technology CCGT
Capacity 212MW (supply electric for Long Binh industrial zone)
Fuel Gas: $37-47 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ DO: 2909ton/h (10800kCal/kg)
Water consume 3850m3/day (operating) Added water: 392 m 3 x 2 tank systems x $24 \mathrm{~h}=18816 \mathrm{~m} 3 / 24 \mathrm{~h}$ Cooling water 11200m3/h (condense tank)

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Amana power plant locates in Long Bin modern industrial zone, along to No1 highway, 30km from Bien Hoa city in the east; 30km from Ho Chi Minh city in the northern east. This plan plays a very important role for the development of key triangle economic zone. The transportation is convenience (road, airway, railway, and waterway).

There is no impact on minorities because small number of ethnic residence (5\% minorities). No cultural heritage/asset and sceneries in project area (area/ground already available) therefore no impact on these aspects. Insignificant impact assessed on agriculture because only $13.11 \%$ population do agriculture, forestry and fishery, mainly are handcraft and light industries and services. Forest decrease is assessed at c level when project constructed (area already available) but at b level when it is operating majority due to gas emission.
During construction and operation phase it cause impact on surface water (Dong Nai river water, Buong river and Ben Go ditch) and underground water (tube well). Significant impact on Terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. vegetation cover in project area and surrounding (Dong Nai river water, Buong river and Ben Go ditch).
Working of drilling machine and others during construction phase cause the noise and land subsidence. In case of implementing the effective control of operating time and number of heavy loading capacity- equipment and machines the impact will be reduced to c depend on management and control. Similar to operation phase b-c level will only attain in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination is effectively carried out.

Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause less impact in case they are well controlled and collected/treated.

- Other information

EIA report: Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (18) South CCGT thermal power plant

- Location: Phu My commune, Chau Thanh dist, Ba Ria-Vung Tau province (2 stages total area: 15-20ha; plant area: 7-12ha; outside/surrounding:8-8ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation
- Investment: VEC, IE
- Report: EIA report: : Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).
- Technical specifications:

| Technology | CCGT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Capacity | $300\left(1^{\text {st }}\right.$ stage) -600 (extend stage) |
| Operating hour per year | $65000 \mathrm{~h}($ Max $)$ |
| Fuel | Gas: $424.7 \mathrm{mil} \mathrm{m} 3 /$ year $-849.6 \mathrm{mil} \mathrm{m3} / \mathrm{year}$ |
|  | DO: 8887 ton/7days -17774 ton/7days |
| Water consume | $3000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{h}-60000 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{h}$ (Cooling water (condense tank)) |
| (operating) | $410 \mathrm{~m} 3 / 24 \mathrm{~h}-800 \mathrm{~m} 3 / 24 \mathrm{~h}$ (Fresh water) |
|  |  |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

South CCGT power plant locates in convenience area in General planning area of BR_VT province, near gas/DO Bach Ho-Thu Duc pipeline and economic zone: Ho Chi Minh city-Bien Hoa -Vung Tau. The infrastructure in project area is good e.g. transportation, water, electric supply,
The project causes little impact on minorities because there are only 2 Thai houses and 2 Khmer households in this area (the rest are Kinh). Though 80\% population of Phu My commune do agriculture (paddy cultivation), the project area locate in General planning area of BR-VT therefore the impact on agriculture is insignificant.
Construction and operation phases cause adverse impact on surface water (Thi Vai river, 1.5km far from project area. Relatively significant impact on terrestrial and aquatic ecologies e.g. Thi Vai river found (mainly for aquatic because no bush in this area). Working of drilling machine and others during construction phase cause noise, land subsidence and air pollution. These impacts can be reduced in case of all measurements for pollutant control and elimination is effectively carried out.

Land can be contaminated by solid wastes (domestic and production). Solid wastes (production and domestic solid waste cause fewer impacts in case they are well controlled and collected/treated

## - Other information

EIA report (1995): Diagram of site area; Detailed design of technology (production diagram; equipment, mass flow, related systems e.g. diagram,); Map and Appendixes (data)

## (19) Hiep Phuoc thermal power plant

- Location: Hiep Phuoc commune, Nha Be dist. Ho Chi Minh city (25km from Ho Chi Minh city in the South, in the bank of Soai Rap canal.
- Project type: Building - Operation - Transfer (BOT)
- Investment:
- Report: EIA report - Tropical Technical center prepared in 1997.


## - Technical specifications:

Capacity: 675 MW (max) two periods:
$1^{\text {st }}$ period: 125MW (3 machine groups)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ period: 300MW additional
Fuel: FO and natural gas

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Plant locates in the area of 40ha, mainly is alluvial soil contaminated alum (soft foundation). Within 5 km from site's area is agricultural land with the population of 12,600. Economic relies mainly on agriculture and fishery.

The air quality is lightly polluted. River water is salt contaminated and lightly polluted. Mangrove ecology in downstream of plant is rich with many symbolic flora and fauna species.

Air pollution by SO2, odor, heat, NOx may cause adverse impact for local community and environment.

Wastewater including cooling water contains oil, grease and high temperature will cause adverse impact for aquatic, mangrove in Soai Rap basin, Nha Be. The direct impact on aquatic fauna and flora include. fish, particularly in case of oil spilling when plant operates.

During construction the waste may impact on the quality of local community livings.

- Other information: EIA report (1997)


## (20) O Mon No1 thermal power plant

- Location: The Loi , Phuoc Thoi commune, O Mon district, Can Tho city (total area of 162ha)
- Project type: Building - Operation (2006-7)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation,
- Report: Final report on EIA O Mon thermal power plant project, Ho Chi Minh city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam -company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project.
- Technical specifications:

Capacity 600MW
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year
Fuel Gas, FO oil
Water consume (operating)
Cooling water (condense tank) Steam
specifications

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site is 18 km from north-eastern to Can Tho city, 150 km to Ho Chi Minh city, nearby 191 highway(about 1 km ) and Hau Giang river (about 2km).
This is a rather flat cultivated land (growing wet-rice) with an altitude of $0.8-1 \mathrm{~m}$.
Geological condition: the site has earth quake of 7 level .As results of drilling holes, the site foundation composes of 5 layers: - Clay layer width $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$; -mud sandy clay width $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$;

- multicolored sandy clay thickness $20-25 \mathrm{~m}$; -sandy clay thickness $33-35 \mathrm{~m}$; and -clayey sand, darkish grey>500-600m.

These site places situates within residential agricultural areas with 3 main economical activities: planting wet rice cultivation, aquaculture in Hau river and water-bird (duck) breeding in interior field. Within a radius of 5 km from the construction site, the population is about 70,000, people concentrate in 3 big administrative units: Phuoc Thoi commune $(18,159)$, Thoi An commune $(25,480)$ and O Mon town $(17,294)$. This site is not near heritage sites, cultural sites and other valuable environmental forests. This region's climate has typical characteristics of southern delta region.
The sources of gas supplementary for these plants are from west-southern gas mines with a projected supply of 120 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ with an average supply of 4 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ year. In the case of using FO petroleum, we need 1,7 billion ton/year. The water resources for cooling purposes and drainage are from Hau Giang river, 2 km away from the plant to the north. The development of the group of thermal power plants depends much on the speed of extraction and transportation gases from west-northern mines to land.

Some of environmental issues must be included in the course of design-construct and operate plant: the ability of subsidence land caused by weak land base when building big industrial projects and installation of heavy equipments; controlling and reducing environmental pollution substances ( $\mathrm{SO} 2, \mathrm{NOx}$, dust..) caused by fuel burning, especially when constructing and operating these plants at the later phase (O Mon 3 - O Mon 4); waste water and water use for cooling purposes cause thermal pollution to Hau Giang river, especially in dry season. The increasing in water temperature also affects to marine ecosystems and marine life as well as aquaculture activities in downstream of Hau river; these impacts can cause changes in air temperature and regional climate; one of the impacts can be predicted:

- negative impact on rice yield in fields in 2 km scope from the center of these factories.
- increase in the number of construction and operation workers will increase the population density and create conflict problems among inhabitants and these workers.
- aquaculture activities and raising domestic fowls are less affected.
- Other information: Final report on EIA OMOM THERMAL POWER PLANT PROJECT, HCM city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project. "General programming for construction site of thermal power plant running by gas" Ho Chi Minh city, 12/2003.


## (21) O Mon No2 thermal power plant

- Location: The Loi , Phuoc Thoi commune, O Mon district, Can Tho city (total area of 162ha).
- Project type: Building - Operation (2010-2012)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation,
- Report: Final report on EIA O Mon thermal power plant project, Ho Chi Minh city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project.
- Technical specifications:

Capacity 720MW
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year
Fuel Gas, FO oil
Water consume (operating)
Cooling water (condense tank) Steam specifications

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site is 18 km from north-eastern to Can Tho city,150 km to Ho Chi Minh city, nearby 191 highway(about 1 km ) and Hau Giang river (about 2km).

This is a rather flat cultivated land (growing wet-rice) with an altitude of $0.8-1 \mathrm{~m}$.
Geological condition: the site has earth quake of 7 level .As results of drilling holes, the site foundation composes of 5 layers: - Clay layer width $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$; -mud sandy clay width $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$;

- multicolored sandy clay thickness $20-25 m$; -sandy clay thickness $33-35 m$; and -clayey sand, darkish grey>500-600m.
These site places situates within residential agricultural areas with 3 main economical activities: planting wet rice cultivation, aquaculture in Hau river and water-bird (duck) breeding in interior field. Within a radius of 5 km from the construction site, the population is about 70,000 , people concentrate in 3 big administrative units: Phuoc Thoi commune $(18,159)$, Thoi An commune $(25,480)$ and O Mon town $(17,294)$. This site is not near heritage sites, cultural sites and other valuable environmental forests. This region's climate has typical characteristics of southern delta region.

The sources of gas supplementary for these plants are from west-southern gas mines with a projected supply of 120 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ with an average supply of 4 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ year. In the case of using FO petroleum, we need 1,7 billion ton/year. The water resources for cooling purposes and drainage are from Hau Giang river, 2 km away from the plant to the north. The development of the group of thermal power plants depends much on the speed of extraction and transportation gases from west-northern mines to land.
Some of environmental issues must be included in the course of design-construct and operate plant: the ability of subsidence land caused by weak land base when building big industrial projects and installation of heavy equipments; controlling and reducing environmental pollution substances ( SO2, NOx, dust..) caused by fuel burning, especially when constructing and operating these plants at the later phase (O Mon 3 - O Mon 4); waste water and water use for cooling purposes cause thermal pollution to Hau Giang river, especially in dry season. The increasing in water temperature also affects to marine ecosystems and marine life as well as aquaculture activities in downstream of Hau river; these impacts can cause changes in air temperature and regional climate; one of the impacts can be predicted:

- negative impact on rice yield in fields in 2 km scope from the center of these factories.
- increase in the number of construction and operation workers will increase the population density and create conflict problems among inhabitants and these workers.
- aquaculture activities and raising domestic fowls are less affected.
- Other information: Final report on EIA OMOM THERMAL POWER PLANT PROJECT, HCM city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project. "General programming for construction site of thermal power plant running by gas" Ho Chi Minh city, 12/2003.


## (22) O Mon No3 thermal power plant

- Location: The Loi , Phuoc Thoi commune, O Mon district, Can Tho city (total area of 162ha).
- Project type: Building - Operation (2012)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation,
- Report: Final report on EIA O Mon thermal power plant project, Ho Chi Minh city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project.
- Technical specifications:

| Capacity | 600MW |
| :--- | :--- |
| Performance (fine) |  |
| Operating hour per year | Gas, FO oil |
| Fuel |  |
| Water consume (operating) |  |
| Cooling water (condense tank) Steam specifications |  |

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site is 18 km from north-eastern to Can Tho city,150 km to Ho Chi Minh city, nearby 191 highway(about 1 km ) and Hau Giang river (about 2km).

This is a rather flat cultivated land (growing wet-rice) with an altitude of $0.8-1 \mathrm{~m}$.
Geological condition: the site has earth quake of 7 level .As results of drilling holes, the site foundation composes of 5 layers: - Clay layer width $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$; -mud sandy clay width $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$;

- multicolored sandy clay thickness 20-25m; -sandy clay thickness 33-35m; and -clayey sand, darkish grey>500-600m.

These site places situates within residential agricultural areas with 3 main economical activities: planting wet rice cultivation, aquaculture in Hau river and water-bird (duck) breeding in interior field. Within a radius of 5 km from the construction site, the population is about 70,000, people concentrate in 3 big administrative units: Phuoc Thoi commune $(18,159)$, Thoi An commune $(25,480)$ and O Mon town $(17,294)$. This site is not near heritage sites, cultural sites and other valuable environmental forests. This region's climate has typical characteristics of southern delta region.

The sources of gas supplementary for these plants are from west-southern gas mines with a projected supply of 120 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ with an average supply of 4 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ year. In the case of using FO petroleum, we need 1,7 billion ton/year. The water resources for cooling purposes and drainage are from Hau Giang river, 2 km away from the plant to the north. The development of the group of thermal power plants depends much on the speed of extraction and transportation gases from west-northern mines to land.
Some of environmental issues must be included in the course of design-construct and operate plant: the ability of subsidence land caused by weak land base when building big industrial projects and installation of heavy equipments; controlling and reducing environmental pollution substances ( SO2, NOx, dust..) caused by fuel burning, especially when constructing and operating these plants at the later phase (O Mon 3 - O Mon 4); waste water and water use for cooling purposes cause thermal pollution to Hau Giang river, especially in dry season. The increasing in water temperature also affects to marine ecosystems and marine life as well as aquaculture activities in downstream of Hau river; these impacts can cause changes in air temperature and regional climate; one of the impacts can be predicted:

- negative impact on rice yield in fields in 2 km scope from the center of these factories.
- increase in the number of construction and operation workers will increase the population density and create conflict problems among inhabitants and these workers.
- aquaculture activities and raising domestic fowls are less affected.
- Other information: Final report on EIA OMOM THERMAL POWER PLANT PROJECT, HCM city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2,

ND2002-02 project. "General programming for construction site of thermal power plant running by gas" Ho Chi Minh city, 12/2003.

## (23) O Mon No4 thermal power plant

- Location: The Loi , Phuoc Thoi commune, O Mon district, Can Tho city (total area of 162ha).
- Project type: Building - Operation (2014)
- Investment: Vietnam Electric Corporation,
- Report: Final report on EIA O Mon thermal power plant project, Ho Chi Minh city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project.
- Technical specifications:

Capacity
720MW
Performance (fine)
Operating hour per year
Fuel
Gas, FO oil
Water consume (operating)
Cooling water (condense tank) Steam specifications

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

The site is 18 km from north-eastern to Can Tho city, 150 km to Ho Chi Minh city, nearby 191 highway(about 1 km ) and Hau Giang river (about 2km).
This is a rather flat cultivated land (growing wet-rice) with an altitude of $0.8-1 \mathrm{~m}$.
Geological condition: the site has earth quake of 7 level .As results of drilling holes, the site foundation composes of 5 layers: - Clay layer width $1-2 \mathrm{~m}$; -mud sandy clay width $10-15 \mathrm{~m}$;

- multicolored sandy clay thickness 20-25m; -sandy clay thickness 33-35m; and -clayey sand, darkish grey>500-600m.

These site places situates within residential agricultural areas with 3 main economical activities: planting wet rice cultivation, aquaculture in Hau river and water-bird (duck) breeding in interior field. Within a radius of 5 km from the construction site, the population is about 70,000, people concentrate in 3 big administrative units: Phuoc Thoi commune $(18,159)$, Thoi An commune $(25,480)$ and O Mon town $(17,294)$. This site is not near heritage sites, cultural sites and other valuable environmental forests. This region's climate has typical characteristics of southern delta region.

The sources of gas supplementary for these plants are from west-southern gas mines with a projected supply of 120 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ with an average supply of 4 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ year. In the case of using FO petroleum, we need 1,7 billion ton/year. The water resources for cooling purposes and drainage are from Hau Giang river, 2 km away from the plant to the north. The development of the group of thermal power plants depends much on the speed of extraction and transportation gases from west-northern mines to land.
Some of environmental issues must be included in the course of design-construct and operate plant: the ability of subsidence land caused by weak land base when building big industrial projects and installation of heavy equipments; controlling and reducing environmental pollution substances ( SO2, NOx, dust..) caused by fuel burning, especially when constructing and operating these plants at the later phase (O Mon 3 - O Mon 4); waste water and water use for cooling purposes cause thermal pollution to Hau Giang river, especially in dry season. The increasing in water temperature also affects to marine ecosystems and marine life as well as aquaculture activities in downstream of Hau river; these impacts can cause changes in air temperature and regional climate; one of the impacts can be predicted:

- negative impact on rice yield in fields in 2 km scope from the center of these factories.
- increase in the number of construction and operation workers will increase the population density and create conflict problems among inhabitants and these workers.
- aquaculture activities and raising domestic fowls are less affected.
- Other information: Final report on EIA OMOM THERMAL POWER PLANT PROJECT, HCM city 1998. Electricity of Vietnam - company of electrical design 2, ND2002-02 project. "General programming for construction site of thermal power plant running by gas" Ho Chi Minh city, 12/2003.


### 1.2.1. Current operation plants

### 1.2.1.1. In the Northern region <br> NA

### 1.2.1.1. In the Central region NA

### 1.2.1.1. In the Southern region NA

1.2.2. Current construction plants
1.2.2.1. In the Northern region (4 plants)

1. Nam Chien Hydropower Plant,
2. Lai Chau Hydropower Plant
3. Pa Vinh Hydropower Plant.
4. Ban Uon Hydropower Plant

## (1) Nam Chien Hydropower plant

- Location: Chien Stream, Muong La district, Son La province, 104 ${ }^{0} 08-104^{0}, 23^{0} 50-$ $23^{0} 59$.
- Project type: expected start work in 12/2003 and end in 3/2007.
- Investment: Song Da corporation.
- Report: Report of Environmental Impact Assessment - establishing counselor office: Song Da company of constructive consultant.


## - Technical specification

- Design capacity: 210 MW
- Annual electric energy: $883.01 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KWh}$
- Lake area (strengthened water level): 4,15 km2.
- Lake capacity: Vtb $=157,75 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}$
- Normal water level: 945m
- Dead water level: 906 m
- Maximum flux: $35,36 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.
- Total investment: $3,624,517.63 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}$


## - Social - economic and environment impact

Start constructing road, building project causes landscape disfigurement, loses about 572.65 ha of land in construction site, and produces amount of solid, liquid and gas wastes and noisy, which affect the environment in the area. Socio-economic is affected in the area, mainly in Ngoc Chien commune, Muong La district, Son La province. 7455 inhabitants should be resettled. Especially it affects traditional customs, lifestyle of ethnic minority.
The forming of big lake with an area of $4.15 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ will lead to the loss of forests, cultivated land and households need to be removed, disturbing their lives, strengthen the risk of erosion, geomotive power activities, seism and stimulating earthquake in the area.

Re-settlement and transport activities after finishing the project increase effect on forest resources, lead to the risk of erosion and degradation of land in the regions around unless we have suitable management.

- Other information: Report of Environmental Impact Assessment updated 10/2003.


## (2) Lai Chau Hydro power plant

- Location: on Da River, Muong Lay district, Dien Bien province.
- Project type: is expected to carry out in period of 2005-2012
- Investment: Electricity of Vietnam.
- Report: Report of Environmental Impact Assessment - establishing counselor office: Institute of Geography, Vietnam Science and Technology Institute.
- Technical specification :

Design capacity: 1200 MW
Annual electric energy: $4.625 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{KWh}$
Lake area (normal water level): 39.63 km 2 .
Lake capacity: Vtb=1,215 $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}$
Normal water level: 295 m
Dead water level: 270 m
Maximum flux: 99.3m3/s.
Total investment: $14,618.74 \times 10^{9}$ VND

- Social - economic and environment impact.

Forming of lake makes flood on 3,963 ha of farm land, forest land and residential area with infrastructure, house, other buildings and seriously affects lives of more 6,071 people because they have to move to new places. This effect can be long if management for resettlement is not good.
The forming of the lake leads the loss of a large of natural forests, affects bio-diversity in the area, especially Muong Ne natural conservation in Nam Cha village, Muong Mo commune. Slope landform strengthens erosion, land slide and deposits lake bed, strengthens risk of stimulating earthquake and other exogenous seism activities.
The progress of construction has great effects on regional environment and lives of people living in the area. Agglomeration of water makes a part or total of 18 ore and mine point.

- Other information: Report of Environmental Impact Assessment updated 12/2004.


## (3) Pa Vinh hydropower plant

- Location: Pa Vinh District Son La Province
- Project type: This project is under construction. Project owner: Electric consultant construction Company No. 1
- Investment: Song Da corporation.
- Report : Hydropower plant Lai Chau and Small Son La
- Technical specification:

Capacity: 2060 MW
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $\mathrm{km}^{2}$
Capacity of dam: $376 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (useful volume: $302.310^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ )
Normal water level rose: 150m (water entrance height: 106m)

Death water level rose: 120m
Max $\mathrm{Q}=215 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

## - Social - economic and environment impact.

Son La hydropower plant with 205m dam's height at Pa Vinh is the biggest scale hydropower project in Viet Nam. This project is expected to have the installed power of 2060 MW at the factory in Pa Vinh dam area, Son La province. This also lets increase generating output capacity of Hoa Binh Hydropower plant near the upper dam.
Some noticeable environmental problems of this project:
Part of rice field and cropland may be lost. 11.183 households ( 63.978 people ), mainly ethnic people ( $88 \%$ are Thai people, $3,5 \%$ is Xa, La Ha : $5,62 \%$ and Khang : $0.35 \%$ ), have to move out of the proposed lakebed area to resettle in various area in two provinces : Son La and Lai Chau.
Poor forest area and production forest of people may be underwater. Although there is no important cultural heritage, but many cultural customs of ethnic people in lakebed area with many assets: houses, transport and non-material properties (graves, non-object culture) may be strongly affected when people have to move.
Aquatic plants and animals are less affected. And up to now, they haven't found the activities of valuable and rare aquatic plants.

Air quality is affected only during construction period. Water quality is strongly affected during construction period and about first ten years in a period of water accumulation. Climatic changes tend to increase humidity and rainfall and there may appear abnormal weather in lakebed area after water accumulation on lakebed. Lakebed area is the sensitive geological structure in Viet Nam. According to prediction that, there may appear 8-level earthquake. Accumulating water to the lake with the volume of over 10 billion $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ may cause stimulating earthquake during first 10 years after operation.

### 1.2.2.2. In the Southern region (4 plants)

5. EA Krong Hnang Hydropower Plant
6. An Khe Hydropower Plant
7. Dong Nai No 3 Hydropower plant
8. Dong Nai No 4 Hydropower Plant;
(5) Ea Krong Hnang hydro power plant

- Location: Ea Kar and Cu Prao communes, Ma Drak district, Daklak province (Krong Hnang river that belong to Ba river system) $12^{0} 45^{\prime}-13^{0} 18^{\prime}$ North; $108^{0} 18^{\prime}-108^{0} 50^{\prime}$ East
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant (4-year construction, operation: 2008)
- Investment: VEC ( $\left.1,238,684 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}\right)$
- Report: Feasibility study: Power electric consultant company-No4 (PECC -4).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 64 MW
Annual electric capacity: $254.4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $13.67 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Capacity of dam: $171.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 255 m
Death water level rose: 242.5 m

$$
\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Q}=72.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}
$$

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Construction of Ea Krong Hnang dam will cause the flood of $13.67 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ including cultivation lands, forest land and residence area, of which there are many primeval forest. Therefore it causes adverse impact on local biodiversity. 133 households have to be moved that cause adverse impact for their livings.
Ea Krong Hnang hydroelectric plant locates in the geological area with some faults (IV, V degrees) characterized by initial belt that is disable in vibration generating. However, it will more create land subsidence and landscape change.
The project will affect biodiversity in the area nearby Ea So natural reserve area (direct impact on No3 region - buffer zone of reserve area).
No cultural heritage, historical or mineral mining's locate in the project area so minor adverse impacts on these aspects.

- Other information: Feasibility study report (10/2003).


## (6) An Khe -Kanak hydro power plant

- Location: An Khe and Kbang districts, Gia Lai province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant (construction: 2004; operation: 2008)
- Investment: Hydroelectric project management unit No 3; VEC (3 161 billion)
- Report: EIA report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 173 MW
Annual electric capacity: $684.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
An Khe:
Area of basin: 1246km2
Capacity: 160 MW
Flow Volume (total): $884 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} 3$
Required Flow: 11.4m3/s
Flow (crest of a flood) with $\mathrm{p}=0.5 \%$ : $4730 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$
Annual electric capacity: $604.7 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Normal water level rose: 427.5 m
Death water level rose: 427 m
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $2.8 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
$\mathrm{Q}($ annual average $)=28 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Ka Nak:
Area of basin: 833km2
Capacity: 13 MW
Flow Volume (total): $588 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} 3$
Required Flow: 11m3/s
Flow (crest of a flood) with $\mathrm{p}=0.5 \%$ : $3590 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$
Annual electric capacity: $55.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Normal water level rose: 515m
Death water level rose: 485m
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $17 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
$\mathrm{Q}($ annual average $)=18.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

An Khe -Kanak hydroelectric plant is the first plant in terraced flow of Ba river; 7 km from An Khe town in upstream side. The plant is nearby Ca stream, Con River's branch in Tay Son district, Binh Dinh province. The output water of above terraced (Ka Nak dam) will be the input water of An Khe dam (below terraced).

No natural protected area in surrounding so no impact on this. KonKaKink and KonChaKang protected areas area far from project area and have no impact. The flooded area mainly is poor forest so cause less impact on ecotourism.
Ethnic people e.g. Banar, Jarai, of which banar is majority just after Kinh people will be significantly impacted when constructing of plant. Total 479households ( 2214 persons) have to move. As planned, resettlement will be in the commune (LoKu, Dong commune and K'roong).
The project cause major impact on agriculture: 1698.7ha cultivation land and 67.9ha forestry land are flooded. Deforestation causes significant impact on terrestrial fauna and flora (lost place for habitation). Cause insignificant impact on aquatic species due to dam construction will change their living conditions. However some good impacts also found. Some adverse impact on air and water environment found during construction phase (local and short time), when operation the impact can be ignored.

- Other information: EIA report.


## (7). Dong Nai No3 hydroelectric plant

- Location: Dac Nong district, Dac Nong province; Bao Lam, Di Linh, Lam Ha, Lam Dong provinces (Dong Nai river)
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant - (2004-2008)
- Investment: VEC $\left(3,597,831 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}\right.$
- Report: EIA study: VEC
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 180 MW
Annual electric capacity: $589 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $56 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Capacity of dam: $1423.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 590 m
Death water level rose: 570 m
Max $\mathrm{Q}=215 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Construction of 5200 ha dam will cause the lost of agriculture land, forestry land and residence area. About 528 households ( 3026 persons) have to be emigrated. Particularly, 1162 graves have to be moved.

The flood of second forest will partly affect to biodiversity, especially aquatic ecology will be significantly changed.
Dam construction causes the variation of flow and hydro mechanism of Dong Nai river. About 4 km far from the dam, water is lost. It may cause the impact on Cat Tien biosphere reserve area, particularly the water regime (water gourds), e.g. Sau gourd will be reduced from 5360ha to 3370ha when flood.
The decomposition of organic matters in the bowel may cause water pollution (in the beginning).
During construction phase, air, water and soil may be polluted due to the wastes generation.

- Other information: Brief EIA report (12/2004).


## (8) Dong Nai No4 hydroelectric plant

- Location: Dac Nong and Lam Dong provinces (Dong Nai river)
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant - (start 2004)
- Investment: VEC $\left(4,912,000 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}\right)$
- Report: Technical design 1: Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 340 MW
Annual electric capacity: $1,103.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): 5600 ha
Normal water level rose: 185 m
Death water level rose: 175 m
Max $\mathrm{Q}=221 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Construction of 5600ha dam will cause the lost of agriculture land, forestry land and residence area. About 43 households ( 229 persons) have to be emigrated of which 23 households (141persons) are minorities.

It causes the flood of 1603ha forestry land; 136ha agricultural land therefore the project will affect to local biodiversity, especially aquatic ecology will be significantly changed.

The decomposition of organic matters in the bowel may cause water pollution (in the beginning). During construction phase, air, water and soil may be polluted due to the wastes generation.

- Other information: Technical design 1 report (11/2004).


### 1.2.3. Planned construction plants

### 1.2.3.1. In the Northern region (11 plants)

9. New PSPP No 1- JN3
10. PSPP No 2-JN5
11. Huoi Quang Hydropower Plant ( on Nam Mu river)
12. Chu Linh Coc San Hydropower Plant
13. Nho Que No. 1
14. Nho Que No. 2
15. Nho Que No. 3
16. Bac Me plant
17. Bao Lac plant
18. Tuyen Quang Plant
19. Bac Quang Hydropower Plant

20 Bac Muc Hydropower Plant

## (9) New PSPP No 1-JN3

- Location : This site is located 20km east from Phu Yen, capital of district, and has a existing road to access to the dam sites. Upper dam/reservoir Son La Province/Phu Yen District/Muong Lang (Dong An) Commune; Lower dam/reservoir Son La Province/ Phu Yen District/Muong Lang Commune (Song Mua River)
- Project type: This is a promising potential site for Pump Storage Power Plant (PSPP) No 1. It has not been built yet. No EIA was conducted, only site investigation and feasibility study were undertaken.
- Investment: Estimated Economic value: 760 million
- Technical specification

Installed Capacity $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{MW}): 1,000$
Design Discharge Qd(m³/s): 230
Effective Head He(m): 560
Peak Duration Time T(hrs): 7
Upper dam: Facing type poundage is suitable for this upper reservoir, which H.W.L. is 880 m based on the topographical condition. There is a mountain composed of limestone in the west side of the plain, which has a very steep slant, it is necessary to build a bank keeping some distance from the skirts of the mountain to avoid the influences of collapse of the mountain.
The lower dam: The elevation of the riverbed at the dam site is 210 m , which is the identical value of the topographical map. Since the river flow in the lower dam site is as little as $0.3-0.4 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ and the catchments area is small, sediment volume is assumed to be little.

## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem at upper and lower dams / reservoirs has already been degraded due to human activities. Some secondary forests are left; they should be protected and recovered. Although the aquatic ecosystem of both areas is not fully understood, the impacts are not significant because of small size of the aquatic ecosystem.

In Upper dam / reservoir: An approach road from the closest national road is planned. The road is long and goes through several villages, which may lead resettlement.
Rice field and grazing land will be lost. An approach road from the closest national road is planned, which may lead lost of agricultural lands.
In Lower dam / reservoir: The rice field of Thang Lang village will be lost. An approach road from the closest national road is planned, which may lead lost of agricultural lands. The entire households of Thung Lang village (c. 37 households) may have to move out from the site. An approach road from the closest national road is planned. The road is long and goes through several villages, which may lead resettlement.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (10) PSPP No 2-JN5

- Location: Upper dam/reservoir : Son La Province/Phu Yen District/Kim Bon Commune (Suoi On River). Lower dam/reservoir: Son La Province/Left Bank Phu Yen District/ Sap Xa Commune Right Bank Bac Yen District/ Hong Ngai Commune (Suoi Sap River)
- Project type: This is a promising potential site for Pump Storage Power Plant (PSPP) No 2. It has not been built yet. No EIA was conducted, only site investigation and feasibility study were undertaken

[^1]The river flow at the upper dam site is less than $0.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ and the catchment's area of the site is as small as about $3.5 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Terrestrial ecosystem at upper and lower dams / reservoirs has already been degraded due to human activities (logging, clearing for agricultural activities), only some secondary forests are left.

Aquatic ecosystem of both areas has not been fully investigated. Especially the aquatic ecosystem of Sap river needs to be studied and necessary mitigation measures should be undertaken.
In upper dam / reservoir: A couple of families of Suoi Let village need to be resettled. Rice field and grazing land will be lost. In lower dam /reservoir Although precise number of the resettling families is not identified yet, resettlement is expected to occur.
An approach road is planned from the closest national road to go through 8 villages, and resettlement may occur. People of Phieng Luong had been moved to this place by Hoa Bin dam project. They may be badly impacted by the project because the village is very close to the dam site. Careful assessment of the impacts and consultation with the people need to be undertaken. Rice field along Sap river will be lost. An approach road is planned from the closest national road to go through 8 villages, which may lead loss of agricultural lands. Secondary impacts by lost of the rice fields need to be carefully assessed. After the project, people are supposed to buy rice, which may lead more cultivation on steep slopes for cash crops. It may lead severe erosion of these slopes.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (11) Huoi Quang hydropower Plant on Nam Mu river

- Location: Muong La district (Son La) and Than Uyen district (Lai Chau).
- Project type: Project status: at feasibility study stage
- Investment: Electricity of Vietnam.
- Report: Report of Environmental Impact Assessment - establishing counselor office: Institute of Geography, Vietnam Science and Technology Institute.
- Technical specification :

Design capacity: 520 MW
Annual electric energy: $1829.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{KWh}$
Lake area (normal water level): $8.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Lake capacity: $\mathrm{V}_{\text {average }}=184.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}$
Normal water level: 370 m
Dead water level: 368 m
Maximum flux: $389.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
Total investment: 7,946.43 $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The construction will have strong affect to environmental ecosystems around the region within 5 years, and will be steady in the time later. Water in the lake may be affected if the reservoir bottom has not been completely cleaned.
The formation of big lake with an area of 8.7 km 2 will lead to the loss of forests, cultivated land and households need to be removed, disturbing their lives, strengthen the risk of landslip, seism and stimulating earthquake in the area

Resettlement and transport activities after finishing the project increase effect to forest resources, lead to the risk of landslide and degradation of land in the regions around unless we have suitable management.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (12) Chu Linh -Coc san hydro power plant

- Location: Lao Cai province
- Project profile: Type: Building Hydroelectric plant.
- Investment: 1,467,372.88 $10^{6}$ VND. Report: Feasibility study: Institution of Irrigation Science
- Technical specifications:

Installed Capacity: 70 MW
Annual electric capacity: $316,389,040 \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): 121ha
Chu Linh:
Capacity: 30 MW
Annual electric capacity: $120,355,677 \mathrm{kWh}$
Normal water level rose: 1275 m
Death water level rose: 1245 m
$\mathrm{Q}=7,2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Investment: 755,136 x $10^{6} \mathrm{VND}$
Coc San:
Capacity: 40 MW
Annual electric capacity: $196,033,363 \mathrm{kWh}$
Normal water level rose: 699m
Death water level rose: 693m
$\mathrm{Q}=9,6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Investment: 508,393,808 $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Construction of Sa Pa dam will cause the flood of 26ha paddy field, 11.5ha cereal cultivation land, 4.5ha forestry and 53ha poor forestry (mainly brushwood). Infrastructure of Sa Pa will be flooded out including 67 households and 255 graves have to be moved. It also causes the flood of section of No4 highway and 35 kV electric line.
Chu Linh-Coc San hydroelectric plant locates in Sa Pa tourist area will create more beautiful landscape for this area; improve the environment and socio-economic development in Sa Pa district. Because the project is small size and no cultural heritage or reserve areas locate in the project area so minor adverse impacts on environment found.

- Other information: Feasibility study repot


## (13) Nho Que No1 hydro power plant

- Location: In the boarder of Pai Lung and Sin Cai communes, Meo Vac dist. Ha Giang province
- Project type: Hydroelectric plant
- Investment: VEC (859,395×10 ${ }^{6}$ VND)
- Report: Revised and Additional Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 41 MW
Annual electric capacity: $172.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: 4223km2
L River: 136.9 km
Flow Volume (total): $1.7 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 480 m
Death water level rose: 475 m
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=129.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Nho Que No1 hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belongs to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam river. Nho Que River is branch of Gam River. Nho Que No1 hydroelectric plant including the dam locates in the lime stone area (geological structure is Cacbon-Pecmi, Bac Son formation). Due to strong development of limestone, likeable for water lost therefore it causes disadvantage for dam construction. It is appropriate to build the pipeline plant type, mainly exploitation of topographical water column.
Topography is strong partitioned, great slope: the highest and lowest places are of 2400 m and 88 m respectively. In Ha Giang province reserve of surface water is large ( 265 km river and 554 km stream). The annual average rainfall is $1400-1600 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Economic relies mainly on agriculture, forestry: within Ha Giang province there is 579,435ha forestry land and 156,350ha agricultural land (natural land in total: 783,520ha).
The flood is insignificant because using mainly water column. The majority concerned for socio-economic is emigration of about 100 households (approx. 470-500 persons). Ratio of ethnics is high in the area with > 20 minorities in Ha Giang province (H’Mong, Dao, Tay, Nung, Cao Lan, Meo, Hoa...).

- Other information: Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)


## (14) Nho Que No. 2 hydro power plant

- Location: Giang Su Phin commune, Meo Vac dist. Ha Giang province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant
- Investment: VEC $\left(1,210,666 \times 10^{6}\right.$ VND)
- Report: Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 68 MW
Annual electric capacity: $285.9 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: 4315km2
L River: 147.7 km
Flow Volume (total): $9.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 430m
Death water level rose: 425m
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=131.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Nho Que No2 hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belongs to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam river. Nho Que river is branch of Gam river. Nho Que No2
hydroelectric plant including the dam locates in the lime stone area (geological structure is Cacbon-Pecmi, Bac Son formation). Due to strong development of limestone, likeable for water lost (hydrophilic) therefore it cause disadvantage for dam construction. It is appropriate to build the plant type pipeline, mainly exploitation of topographical water column.

Topography is strong partitioned, great slope: the highest and lowest places are of 2400m and 88 m respectively; average: $250-1200 \mathrm{~m}$. In Ha Giang province reserve of surface water is large ( 265 km river and 554 km stream). Soil and stone mainly are Paleozoic middle age. The annual average rainfall is $1400-1600 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Economic relies mainly on agriculture, forestry: within Ha Giang province there is 579,435ha forestry land and 156,350ha agricultural land (natural land in total: 783,520ha).
The majority concerned for socio-economic is resettlement of about 100 households (approx. 470-500 persons). The flood is insignificant because using mainly water column. Ratio of minorities is high in the area with more than 20 in Ha Giang province (H’Mong, Dao, Tay, Nung, Cao Lan, Meo, Hoa...).

- Other information

Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)
(15) Nho Que No3 hydro power plant

- Location: Niem Son communes, Meo Vac dist. Ha Giang province
- Project type: Hydroelectric plant
- Investment: VEC (1,628,011×10 ${ }^{6}$ VND)
- Report: Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 144MW
Annual electric capacity: $603.6 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: 4370 km 2
L River: 153.7 km
Flow Volume (total): $4.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 365 m
Death water level rose: 360m
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=130.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Nho Que No3 hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belongs to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam river. Nho Que River is branch of Gam River. Nho Que No3 hydroelectric plant including the dam locates in the lime stone area (geological structure is Cacbon-Pecmi, Bac Son formation). Due to strong development of lime stone, likeable for water lost therefore it cause disadvantage for dam construction. It is appropriate to build the plant type pipeline, mainly exploitation of topographical water column.
Topography is strong partitioned, great slope: the highest and lowest places are of 2400 m and 88 m respectively. In Ha Giang province reserve of surface water is large ( 265 km river and 554 km stream). The annual average rainfall is $1400-1600 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Economic relies mainly on agriculture, forestry: within Ha Giang province there is 579,435ha forestry land and 156,350ha agricultural land (natural land in total: 783,520ha).

The majority concerned for socio-economic is emigration of about 100 households (approx. 470500 persons). The flood is insignificant because using mainly water column. Ratio of minorities is high in the area with more than 20 in Ha Giang province (H'Mong, Dao, Tay, Nung, Cao Lan, Meo, Hoa...).

- Other information: Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)
(16) Bac Me hydro power plant
- Location: Bac Me town (upstream), Vi Xuyen district. Ha Giang province; Bao Lac dist. Cao Bang province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant (as planned: end of 2004)
- Investment: VEC ( $2,174,243 \times 10^{6}$ VND)
- Report: Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 70MW (2 machine groups)
Capacity (ensure): 97MW
Annual electric capacity: $1432.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: $10,980 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
L River: 150km
Flow Volume (total): $1910 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 145-240m
Death water level rose: 190-200m (normal water level rose: 220-230m)
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=493.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Volume (dam): $3050 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (normal water level rose: 235m)

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Bac Me hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belonged to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam river.
Gam River origins from Van Nam mountain- China with the height of $>1600$ m. From VietnamChina boarder Gam River runs following North-South direction. From Ma river junction it runs following Northern East-Southern West then North-South before joining to Lo river in Khe Lau where 9 km from Tuyen Quang town in upstream.
Bac Me dam planned 6 options with normal water level rose from 145-240m. Pre-feasibility study concluded that Bac Me plant construction will cause significant flood therefore it has low economic benefit. In case of normal water level rose of $>200 \mathrm{~m}$, Bao Lac and Bac Mieu town will be completely flooded. When normal water level rose is 230 m , it causes the flood for:
3067households (16,772 persons); 322ha paddy cultivation land; 700ha agricultural land; and 932 ha forestry land.
Main impact on forestry due to land lost therefore will be impact on forest ecological flora and fauna.

Economic relies mainly on agriculture (89\% population of Cao Bang); industry, forestry and service. Cao Bang has great tourist potential but still limited due to infrastructure disadvantages.
Ratio of ethnic people is high in the area with 26 minorities in Cao Bang province (Tay: 45\%, Nung: 31.5\%, Dao: 10.9\%, H’Mong: 6.7\%, Kinh: 4.2\%, San Chi:1.1\%, Ho Lo: 0.1\%...); and >20 minorities in Ha Giang province (H’Mong, Dao, Tay, Nung, Cao Lan, Meo, Hoa...).

## - Other information

Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)

## (17) Bao Lac hydro power plant

- Location: Ly Bon communes, Bao Lac dist. Cao Bang province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant
- Investment: VEC (4,539,050x10 ${ }^{6}$ VND)
- Report: Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 170MW (2 machine groups)
Annual electric capacity: $713.70 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: 10,356km2
$\mathrm{L}_{\text {River: }} 123 \mathrm{~km}$
Flow Volume (total): $910.4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 230 m
Death water level rose: 200 m
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=277.2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Bao Lac dam line is 40 km from Bac Me in the upstream and 2 km from Nho Que river junction in downstream. The 3 km length dam locates in curve river section in low terrain. It is appropriate to build water input entrance and operating overflow.

Bao Lac hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belonged to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam River. A big dam will be built that mainly impact on community livings due to flood. Population of Bao Lac district is 90,055 . According to calculation if water level is from 195 m to $240 \mathrm{~m}, 518-1775$ households; 2786 -8502 persons would be affected. The plan for resettlement, about 700-1700 persons will be locally resettled in Bao Lam and Bao Lac districts; the rest will be moved in concentration zone in 2 these districts.
Economic relies mainly on agriculture (89\% population); industry, forestry and service. Cao Bang has great tourist potential but still limited due to infrastructure disadvantages.
The flood is insignificant because using mainly water column. Ratio of ethnic people is high in the area with 26 minorities in Cao Bang province (Tay: 45\%, Nung: 31.5\%, Dao: 10.9\%, H'Mong: 6.7\%, Kinh: 4.2\%, San Chi:1.1\%, Ho Lo: 0.1\%...).

- Other information

Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)

## (18) Tuyen Quang hydroelectric plant (Lo river)

- Location: Tuyen Quang town, Chiem Hoa district and part of Yen Son, Tuyen Quang province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant (construction completes: 2007)
- Investment: VEC
- Report: Planning report: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 196MW (3 machine groups)
Annual electric capacity: $188 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of basin: $14,972 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
L River: 216.5 km
Flow Volume (total): $2260 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Flow rate: $216.77-265.79 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Normal water level rose: 40m
Area of dam: $89.1 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
$\mathrm{Q}(\max )=750 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Volume (dam): $1356 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (normal water level rose: 50 m )
$2193 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (normal water level rose: 55 m )

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Gam River starts in Van Nam mountain, China with the height of > 1600m. From Vietnam-China boarder Gam River runs following North-South direction. From Ma river junction it runs following Northern East-Southern West then North-South before joining to Lo river in Khe Lau where 9 km from Tuyen Quang town in upstream.
Tuyen Quang is mountainous province in the North with many high mountains (>2000m). Local topography is complex and strong partitioned by high mountain, river and stream, particularly in the north of province. The terrain becomes lower in the south and less partitioned. There are many low mountains and valley along rivers. The annual average rainfall is $1500-1800 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Tuyen Quang hydroelectric plant is one of four cascades belong to general planning for hydroelectric plants in Gam River. It is constructing in Gam River with the normal water level up to 120 m . The dam has flood preventive volume of 1000 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$.

When normal water level rose is 40 m , it causes the flood for: 15600households (100,590 persons); 3301ha paddy cultivation land; 4448ha agricultural land; 4093ha forestry land and 241ha industrial tree land. There is plan for resettlement of 4589 households (22145persons).

Economic relies mainly on agriculture; industry, forestry, and breeding. Chiem Hoa district has 145,575ha agricultural land; 90,907ha forestry land. Main impact on forestry due to land lost therefore will be impact on forest ecological flora and fauna.

- Other information

Planning hydroelectric cascade in Gam river (revised and additional report) (PCCC No1): Map and detail analysis data (topography, cost...)

## (19) Bac Quang hydro power plant

- Location: On Lo river, Ha Giang province.
- Project type: The project was proposed in the ladder plan of Lo-Gam hydropower plant
- Investment: Electric Construction Company No. 1 in May of 2001; No design is ratified yet.
- Technical specifications: Maximum capacity: 115 MW The basin's area: 9330 km 2 . .Normal water level: 100 m .
Dead water level: 87 m . Water output: $\mathrm{Q}_{0}=240 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {design }}=6600 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; Lake surface: $89 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. Water capacity: $1,019 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$; Useful capacity: $684 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

Expected investment: $331 \times 10^{6}$ \$
Coefficient B/C=0.630.

## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The project affects 53,500 people directly, 50,000 others indirectly, mainly ethnic minority.
The project has strong impact on agriculture in the area. Construction process has strong impact on air, water quality. At low level, the project affects terrestrial fauna and flora and bio-aquatic. The project is not prioritized for investment because economic result is low and it has strong effects on the environment and social conditions in the area.

- Other information: Company of electric construction consultant 1.

Commentary of Adjusted and added report of Ladder project of hydroelectric plant on Gam River.

## (20) Bac Muc hydro power plant

- Location: : On Lo river, Ha Giang province
- Project type: The project was proposed in the ladder plan of Lo-Gam hydropower plant
- Investment: Electric Construction Company No. 1 in May of 2001; No design is ratified yet.
- Technical specifications:

Maximum capacity: 215 MW.
The catchments area: $11,770 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Normal water level: 75 m .
Dead water level: 61 m .
Water output: $\mathrm{Q}_{0}=371 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$; $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {design }}=8620 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$;
Capacity: total $2820.10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$; Useful capacity: $2006.10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.
Normal water area: $203 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Annual electric production: $\mathrm{E}_{0}=883.10^{6} \mathrm{KWh}$.
Expected investment: 532.3.10 ${ }^{6}$ \$
Coefficient $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}=0.670$.

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The project has impact on lives of local people: 112,000 people are affected directly and 120,000 people are affected indirectly. The project has strong impact on agriculture and forestry in the area. Construction process has strong impact on air, water quality in the area where damp and lakebed are constructed. At lower level, terrestrial fauna, flora and fish in Lo River are affected. The project isn't prioritized for investment because economic result is low and it has strong effects on social conditions in the area.

- Other information: Company of electric construction consultant 1.Commentary of Adjusted and added report of Ladder project of hydroelectric plant on Gam River.


### 1.2.3.2. In the Central region (10 plants)

21. Con River Hydropower Plant No 2,

22 Dac Mi Hydropower Plant No 1
23 Dac Mi Hydropower Plant No 4
24. Extended Thac Mo Hydropower Plant

25 Bung River Hydropower Plant No 2
26. Bung River Hydropower Plant No 4
27. PPSP No 3-JS6 project
28. Asap Hydropower Plant,

29 Tranh River Hydropower Plant No 2,
30. Hua Na Hydropower Plant.
(21) Con river hydro power Plant

- Location: Con River, a branch of Vu Gia river, Dong Giang dist., Quang Nam province.
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: EVN - Implementation Organization: Central construction Company.
- Technical specifications:

Installed Capacity $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{MW})$

First step
Second step
Maximum Volum Qmax ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ )
First step
4.33

Second step 13.26
Water shape area ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ )
First step
81
Second step 250
Water level
Max Head (m) $\mathrm{H}_{\text {max }}$; Min Head $\mathrm{H}_{\text {min }}$
First step
Second step
Factory size (Bxh) (mxm)
First step
Second step
Reservoir area
54
3
$15 \times 27.4$
$18.5 \times 42$
1976 ha
44.9-26.4
260.4-242.7

## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

This plant will be built on Con River, which is a branch of Vu Gia River. The topography is complicated with high slope and maximum seismic les than 60C with frequency less than 500 years. Biodiversity is not very abundant. RESETTLEMENT. There are 10 resettling households ( 52 persons). LOSS OF ASSETS: 2 classrooms, a 0.5 ha yard is underwater. Water supply system, irrigation system, electrical lines, roads are under water. 88.9 ha of agriculture land, cropland and other areas may be lost.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (22) Dac Mi hydroelectric plant No. 1

- Location: The plant will be constructed on Vu Gia-Thu Bon river basin. No design and adjustment are ratified yet. Phuoc Kim commune, Phuoc Son district, Quang Nam province.


## - Technical specifications:

Designed capacity: 225 MW
The catchment's area: $403 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Normal water level: 820 m .
Dead water level: 770 m .
Water output: $Q_{\text {mean }}=26.6 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; $\mathrm{Qmax}=52.7 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; $\mathrm{Q}_{\text {special }}=16.3 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
Reservoir area: $7.9 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Useful capacity: $223.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.
Annual electric production: $850.10^{6} \mathrm{KWh}$.
B/C=1.29

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

It is a high mountainous region with highly segmental terrain and center of heavy rain in the central of Vietnam. In the area, gravelly soil is old, firm at pre-Cambric age. The area has high biodiversity in Vietnam.

The project has strong impact on lives of local people, mainly ethnic minority.
The project has strong impact on agriculture, biodiversity of terrestrial fauna and flora.
Process of construction damp and lake has strong impact on air, water quality and causes noises. The project site is near a natural reserve of Vietnam.

- Other information: Electricity of Vietnam.

Song Bung (Bung river) hydroelectric plant 4. Pre-feasibility study 1. Main report.

## (23) Dakmi Hydropower Plant No. 4

- Location: In Phuoc Chanh, Phuoc Kim, Phuoc Hiep communes and Kham Duc, Phuoc Son District, Quang Nam province
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant. Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: Administration of hydropower plant Srok Phu Mieng.
- Report: Report prepared by Consultant Organization: Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 2. EIA report was conducted from June, 2005
- Technical specifications:

Installed Capacity P(MW)
Highest water level: 258 m Powerhouse size: (L*W): 54,6* 16,0m

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

In the construction period will cause soil erosion, landslide and sediment in riverbed. Some kind of animal and plan will be affected by loss or lack of habitat and food because of higher water level. There are 4 ethnic minorities in Phuoc Son district: MoNong, Gie Trieng, Nung and Tay. Number of resettling households is 47 . The normal life of these people will be affected in resettling time. Number of affected household is 296 and lost of 86 ha of rice field

- Other information: Feasibility study report (EIA report was conducted from June, 2005).


## (24) Extend Thac Mo hydro power plant

- Location: Thac Mo town, Phuoc Long and Bu Dang districts, Binh Dinh province (Be river)
- Project type: Hydroelectric plant (4 year for design and construction)
- Investment: VEC; JETRO (50.5 Mil USD)
- Report: Final report: Tokyo electric power services Co.Ltd.; Kyushu electric power services Co, Inc.
- Technical specifications (2 options):

|  | Existing Thac Mo | Option 1 | Option 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area of barin | 2200km2 |  |  |
| Capacity | 150MW | 75MW | 75MW |
| Flow Volume (total): |  | 93m3/s | 140m3/s |
| Required Flow | 66m3/s | 81.4m3/s |  |
| Max flow | 186m3/s | 93m3/s |  |
| Flow (crest of a flood) with | 220.8m3/s |  |  |
| Annual electric capacity |  | 52GWh | 43GWh |
| Normal water level rose | 218m | 218m | 218m |
| Water level in downstream | 198m | 113m | 147 m |
| Total of water column | 105m | 106m | 71m |
| Dam volume | $1360 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} 3$ |  |  |
| Lowest water column | 83.4 m | 82.7 m |  |
| Average flow (annual) | 87.4m3/s | 103.6m3/s |  |
| Total investment |  | $50.5 \times 10^{6}$ USD | $54.3 \times 10^{6}$ USD |

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Extended Thac Mo plant locates next to existing Thac Mo power plant in Be River. As planned, two options are proposed in which Duc Hanh dam will be built in upstream or downstream site of existing Thac Mo. However, the option of upstream is rejected due to high cost and less electric capacity. Extended Thac Mo plant will be located near Be river valley's slope base. The infrastructure is good, majority concrete and asphalted road. The plant is 170 km far from Ho Chi Minh City by road.

No natural protected area in project area or close surrounding. Resettlement is unnoticeable because only 5 households have to move. Major occupation in Phuoc Long district is agriculture (8604ha cultivation land) therefore remarkable impacts on agriculture are considered. Fishery relatively developed (Thac Mo dam and Be river). Deforestation (578ha in 2000) cause significant impact on terrestrial fauna and flora (lost place for habitation).
Adverse impacts on air and water environment can be occurred during construction phase (increase turbidity of dam water), during operation phase, the impacts can be neglected, e.g. digging of underground trench will reduce the level of underground water and deep well. Impact only when it is constructed (local and short time)

- Other information: EIA report.


## (25) Bung River hydro power plant No. 2

- Location: My commune, Giang district, Quang Nam province. It is a highly, segmental mountainous region and center of heavy rain in the central of Vietnam. In the area, the gravelly soil is metamorphism pre- Cambric. Biodiversity in the area is high.
- Project type: The project was formed in the project of the Vu Gia-Thu Bon river basin. No design and adjustment are ratified yet.
- Technical specifications:

Designed capacity: 100 MW
The catchment's area: $337 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$.
Water output: Qmean $=20.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$; Qmax $=39.9 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$.
Medium water level: 570m.
Dead water level: 525 m.
Useful capacity of the reservoir: 209.4 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$.
Reservoir's surface: 14.5 million $\mathrm{km}^{2}$.
Annual electric production: 379 million KWh.
B/C: 1.09.

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The project has strong impact on lives of local people, mainly ethnic minority. The project has strong impact on terrain, landscape and biodiversity of terrestrial fauna and flora. The project has strong impact on agriculture and services in the area. The site of project is near by Thanh river natural reserve.

- Other information: Electricity of Vietnam. Project of Song Bung (Bung river) hydroelectric plant. Pre-feasibility study 1. Main report.


## (26) Bung River hydropower plant No 4

- Location: This hydropower plant will be built on Bung river 4, which is a branch of Vu Gia river, in Ta Bhing commune and ZuoiH commune, Nam Giang District, Quang Nam province, about 95 km southeast far away from Da Nang city as crow flies
- Project type: This plan is under preliminary feasibility study. The project owner is EVN. It has not been built yet. EIA has not been conducted.
- Technical specifications:

Installed Capacity P(MW): 165
Catchments area: $1477 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Max Discharge Qmax ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ): 174.09
Maximum Head H(max): 135.92
Normal water level: 230 m
Dead water level: 192.5
Reservoir area with normal water level $\left(\mathrm{Km}^{2}\right): 18.43$
Effective volume: $46810^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem will be directly affected because a large area of forest land and agricultural land will be lost. As consequences, plant and animal.

The aquatic ecosystem Bung river 4 was not fully studied. When the dam is constructed and the aquatic ecosystem will be affected severely by the project. A comprehensive study on the aquatic ecosystem need to be undertaken and mitigation measures should be proposed. In upper dam / reservoir: There is no village or house at the site. No asset will be lost. In lower dam /reservoir:

Although precise number of the resettling families is not identified yet, resettlement is expected to occur. Some families of Ta Lot village need to be resettled.

Rice field and cropland upstream of the dam site will be lost. They belong to Ta Lot village. Sedimentation balance to the downstream will be changed, which may cause impacts to the natural and social environments of the downstream.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (27) PSPP No 3-JS6

- Location: Upper dam/reservoir : Ninh Thuan Province/Bac Ai District / Phuoc Hoa Commune. Lower dam/reservoir : Ninh Thuan Province/Bac Ai District / Phuoc Hoa Commune (Cai River)
- Project type: This is a promising potential site for Pump Storage Power Plant (PSPP) No 3. It has not been built yet. No EIA was conducted, only site investigation and feasibility study were undertaken.
- Technical specifications: Installed Capacity P(MW): 1,000
Design Discharge $\mathrm{Qd}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ : $\quad 350$
Effective Head $\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{m})$ : 360
Peak Duration Time T(hrs) 7
- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem will be directly affected by the project because the area of upper dam / reservoir is well-conserved forests. The aquatic ecosystem of Cai river is not fully studied. At the moment the project is the first one to build a dam for this river, and the aquatic ecosystem will be affected severely by the project. A comprehensive study on the aquatic ecosystem need to be undertaken and mitigation measures should be proposed.
In upper dam / reservoir: There is no village or house at the site. No asset will be lost. In lower dam /reservoir: Although precise number of the resettling families is not identified yet, resettlement is expected to occur. Some families of Ta Lot village need to be resettled.

Rice field and cropland upstream of the dam site will be lost. They belong to Ta Lot village. Sedimentation balance to the downstream will be changed, which may cause impacts to the natural and social environments of the downstream.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (28) Hydropower Plant Asap

- Location: Hong Thai and Hong Ha communes, A Luoi District- Thua Thien Hue Province
- Project type:

Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant on the upstream of Xe Kong river. Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase, will be in operation in 2010.

- Investment: Electricity Company No 2 and Electricity Company No 3
- Report: Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 3 conducted prefeasibility study report
- Technical specifications:
Installed Capacity $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{MW})$ ..... 165
Average Volume $\mathrm{Q}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right)$ ..... 19,78
Height of highest dam ..... 34,4
Average Water level ..... 550
Dead water level ..... 546
An effective capacity of reservoir ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) ..... 278


## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

In upper stream area, Construction of road for transportation of materials can cause erosion, landslides. It also makes easy access to forest. As results local people could hunt and log off trees in the forest. Increase pressure on natural resources and environment. In surrounding reservoir area and reservoir: Landscape is excavated, and ecologically poor; Forest area, terrestrial and aquatic flora and fauna will be decreased; Water quality will be worse because the reservoir contains hazardous and toxic minerals, or plant generates toxic substance when being decayed in water. No cultural, historical sites as well as national park or conservative area in the project area. 19 to 242 households should resettle, corresponding to 114 to 1294 people (depending on normal water level). The normal life of people will be affected. About 18.53 to 356.55 ha of agricultural land and forestland will be lost. Medical center, People council office, border post, road, electricity line etc. will be under water.

- Other information: Pre-feasibility study report.
(29) Tranh river hydro power Plant No. 2
- Project location: in communes: Tra Tanta Doc, Tra Bui, Tra Giac, Tra Don, North Tra Mi district, Quang Nam province.
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant; Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: EVN
- Report: Consultant Organization: Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 1
- Technical specifications
Installed Capacity $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{MW}) \quad 160$

Maximum Volume Qmax (m ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) 209.7
Max Head (m) 180
Average Volume Q (m ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) 106
Height of highest dam (m) 95
Average Water level (m) 175
Dead water level (m) 140
An effective capacity of reservoir $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad 521,1.10^{6}$
Reservoir capacity $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad 733,4.10^{6}$

## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem consists of: Flora: 169 wooden plant species, 86 medical plant species, from that ,there are 15 dear and rare species. Fauna: is rich in species: There are 21 mammal species; 240 bird species, 48 reptile and amphibian species in the proposed project area. The terrestrial ecosystem will be directly or indirectly affected by the project because a large land area will be lost. The aquatic ecosystem of Srepok river consists of phytoplankton species with low density ( 14.512 cells/l), zooplankton species( $36-41 \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ), 10 benthos species, 53 fish species( 2
of those are rare and dear. The aquatic ecosystem will be affected by the project because baseline environment and hydrological regime will be changed due to project construction and operation. Mitigation measures to protect aquatic ecosystem should be proposed There are 717 resettling households belonging to 4 communes: Tra Doc,Tra Bui,Tra Giac, Tra Don. (mainly Kadong people). Firstly, the resettlement will be in difficulties.

There will be from 1218 ha to 2875 ha of land area underwater, $23.3 \%-31.3 \%$ of which is agriculture land. Residential land may be lost from $23,957 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ to $53,087 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. Many roads, electrical systems, and other buildings may be underwater.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (30) Hua Na Hydropower Plant

- Project location: DongVan commune, Que Phong District, Nghe An Province on Chu River
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant; Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: EVN
- Report: Consultant Organization: Consultant Company for electricity construction No.1. Consultant Company conducted pre-feasible report for electricity construction No. 1 since October, 2004
- Technical specifications

Highest water level: 245 m
An effective capacity of reservoir: 470.2 millions $\mathrm{m}^{3}$
Powerhouse size: $\left(\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{~W}^{*} \mathrm{H}\right)$ : $\quad 58,8^{*} 30,5^{*} 40 \mathrm{~m}$
Installed Capacity P(MW) 180
Maximum Volum Qmax (m³/s) 98.9
Area for plant construction: 120 ha

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

In the construction period will cause soil erosion, landslide and sediment in riverbed. Some kind of animal and plan will be affected by loss or lack of habitat and food because of higher water level. There are 8 resettlement areas at 9 commune in the district ensure for 800-1200 resettling households. The normal life of people will be affected in resettling time.

- Other information: Pre-feasible report was conducted by Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 1 since October, 2004.


### 1.2.3.3. In the Southern region ( 7 plants)

31. Srepok Hydropower Plant No 3,
32. Hinh River HydroPower Plant,
33. Can Don HydroPower Plant( on Be river),
34. Sesan River HydroPower Plant No 4,
35. Da M’bri HydroPower Plant (on Dong Nai river),
36. Dakr’tih HydroPower Plant (on Dong Nai river)
37. Buon Tua Srah HydroPower Plant (on Srepok river).

## (31) Srepok Hydropower Plant

- Location: in Daknong province/Cu jut district/Eapo commune and in Dak Lak Province/Buon Don district/Eanol commune.
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant; Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: EVN
- Report: Consultant Organization: Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 2. Full EIA was conducted by EVN with collaboration of experts from Geology and Environment Institute- Vietnam Geology Association, from Geographical Institute and from Ecology and Biological resources Institute- National Science and Technology Institute
- Technical specifications

Installed Capacity $\quad 220$
Maximum Volume Qmax ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) 373.6
Calculative Head (m) 59
Average Water level (m) 272
Dead water level (m) 267
An effective capacity of reservoir $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \quad 75,38.10^{6}$
Reservoir capacity ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ) 222,73. $10^{6}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem consists of: Six conservation areas: Ch- Sang Chin, Ta Dung , Nam Ka, Nam Nung, Chu Hoa, Ho Lac. National park: Yok don Flora: is rich in species (1700 higher plants species) from that many dear and rare species with high economic value( 59 species). Fauna: There are 331 higher species belonged to 4 classes. They consist of 68 mammal species; 184 bird species, 38 reptile species and 21 amphibian species in the proposed project area. The terrestrial ecosystem will be directly or indirectly affected by the project because a large land area will be lost.

The aquatic ecosystem of Srepok River consists of 19 phytoplankton species, zooplankton species, 22 fish species. The aquatic ecosystem will be affected by the project because baseline environment and hydrological regime will be changed due to project construction and operation. Mitigation measures to protect aquatic ecosystem should be proposed.
There are 176 resettling households, 65 from that are ethnic minorities ( Hmong, Ede, Gia Rai). The normal life of people will be affected.

Rice field and cropland of the dam site will be lost. Sedimentation balance to the downstream will be changed, which may cause impacts to the natural and social environments of the downstream.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


## (32) Hinh Hydropower plant

- Location: Song Hinh Nuclear power plant, the Hinh river valley, Song Hinh district, Phu Yen province.
- Project type: Project status: at feasibility stage;
- Investment: Company of electric survey and design I.
- Reports: Environmental Impact Assessment;. Technical design of 1st and 2nd phase.


## - Technical specifications

Maximum capacity is 70 MW
Main dam and sub-dams is soil compressor
Maximum height of main dam is 42 m and sub- dams are 19 m .
Overflowed dam has the valve with threshold of 196 m ,
Maximum overflowed output discharge by design is $6952 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
water surface area of Hinh river is $41 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Normal water level is 209 m .
Minimum of operating water level is 196 m .
Total capacity is $357.10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

## - Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Terrain: low mountain ( $500 \sim 1,000 \mathrm{~m}$ ) along with hills ( $200 \sim 300 \mathrm{~m}$ ).
Geological foundation is Mesozoic - age mainly eruptive sedimentary rock, and is granite in other areas. Loose, thin sediments distribute unsteady on the surface.

Reserve of surface water is large, especially in rainy season (November and December) about 70 $90 \%$. Average rainfall is above $2,500 \mathrm{~m}$ in total of $772 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ area.

Economic activities include agriculture, forestry, breeding, (aquaculture), industry, small-scale industry, etc.

- Other information: Environmental Impact Assessment report.


## (33) Can don hydroelectric plant (Be river)

- Location: Phuoc Long, Loc Ninh districts - Binh Phuoc province
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant (CHPP)
- Investment:
- Report: Feasibility study: Da river construction cooperation. Power electric design and survey company-No2 (PECC -2). EIA Report
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 72 MW
Total volume: $165.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose $=110 \mathrm{~m}$ ): $19 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Normal water level rose $=110 \mathrm{~m}$
Total discharge volume: $4983 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$
Turbine passes volume ( $\mathrm{Q}_{\max }$ ): $136.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Terrain is mountainous and gently slops height of 100-180m from sea-level. The geological foundation is mainly sand-friable aged mezzos, friable sediment alluvium, and thin proluvium, unequal distribution in valley and mountainside.

Reserve of surface water is large, $90 \%$ from rain season (Apr-Nov) and 10\% from dry season (Jan-Mar). The annual average rainfall is $2,100-2,300 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Kinh people count $79.4 \%$. There are 16 minorities counts $20.6 \%$ of which S'Tieng people counts 13.2\%; Khmer 3.4\%.

Economic relies mainly on agriculture, forestry. Industry and handicraft is limited.

- Other information: EIA report.


## (34) Se San No4 hydro power plant

- Location: Yagrai district (Gia Lai province) and Sa Thay district (Kon Tum province) in Se San river. $13^{0} 58^{\prime}-107^{0} 30^{\prime}$
- Project type: Building Hydroelectric plant $-1^{\text {st }}$ level (5-year construction, start: 2005)
- Investment: VEC ( $\left.4,831,741 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{VND}\right)$
- Report: Feasibility study: Power electric consultant company-No1 (PECC -1).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 330 MW
Annual electric capacity: $1,390.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{kWh}$
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose): $58.4 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Capacity of dam: $893.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Normal water level rose: 215 m
Death water level rose: 210 m
$\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Q}=678.5 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

Construction of dam will cause the flood of $\sim 58.41 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$, of which majority forestland and part of agriculture land. Construction phase will cause the change of local view and landscape, burn off land for cultivation, deforestation will be likely to occur and increase of land erosion.
Se San 4 hydroelectric plant locates in the geological area that earthquake is assessed at VII level; therefore excited earthquake can be happened.
No cultural heritage, historical or mineral mining's locate in the project area so minor adverse impacts on these aspects.

The project constructed on Se San River, downstream passes to Cambodia therefore it should be taken into account particularly in term of water resource use.

- Other information: Brief report of Se San hydroelectric plant (19/7/2003).


## (35) Dambri hydro power plant (Dong Nai river)

- Location: Bao Lam, Da The, Da Huoai dist; Lam Dong province (Dong Nai river barin)
- Project Type: Building Hydroelectric plant $-1^{\text {st }}$ level (5year construction, start: 2005)
- Investment: VEC.
- Report: Project document: Feasibility study: Power electric consultant company-No3 (PECC -3).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 70 MW (2x35MW)
Total volume of reservoir: $103.96 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Effective volume: $92.67 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Total overflow volume: 1,725.12m3/s
Highest Head: 425.38 m
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose 620 m ): $4.25 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Catchment's area: $215 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
Normal water level rose 620m
Dead water level: 585
Dam height: 623.5 m
$\operatorname{Max} \mathrm{Q}=20.87 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Terrain is mountainous and gently slops like Bazan highland, height of 400-500m to 700-800m compared to sea-level. The geological foundation oldest is sand layer-friable and Granite stone aged Mezzos, bazan rock aged Kaizozoi is upper layer and covered by weathering bazan red soil as top layer (relative thick) and part of Friable stone (alluvium, and proluvium) aged fourth.
Reserve of surface water and underground water is rich, reaches $180-427.9 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{month}$ during rain season (Apr-Nov) and $40-100 \mathrm{~mm} /$ month during dry season (Dec-Mar). The annual average rainfall is $2,621 \mathrm{~mm}$. Underground water contain large amount of bicarbonate.
Kinh people count approx. 70-80\%, 20-30\% are minorities of which Chau Ma (50\%); K’Ho appxi. $30 \%$. Economic relies on agriculture, forestry, breeding, industry and handicraft, mineral and stone exploitation for construction.

- Other information: Feasibility study report (Volume 1).


## (36) Dakrtih hydro power plant (Dong Nai river)

- Location: Nhan Co commune (Dakr'Lap dist); Quang Thanh commune and Gia Nghia town (DakNong dist.) Dak +Lak province
- Project type: Hydroelectric plant
- Investment:
- Report: Feasibility study report by Eastern Construction Company; Construction cooperation No1 - Ministry of Construction; Power electric consultant company-No2 (PECC -2).
- Technical specifications:

Capacity: 82 MW ( $2 \times 41 \mathrm{MW}$ ) - upper cascade
59 MW (2 x29.5MW) - lower cascade
Total volume of reservoir: $137.09 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (upper cascade);
$1.808 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ (lower cascade);
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose 618 m ): $10.16 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (upper cascade)
Area of dam (correspondence to normal water level rose 415 m ): $10.16 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (lower cascade)
Total overflow volume: $2,360 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (upper cascade); $3,330 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (lower cascade)
$\mathrm{Q}_{\text {max }}: 50 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (upper cascade); $67 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ (lower cascade)
Dead water level: 603 m (upper cascade); 413 (lower cascade)
Catchment's area: $718 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (upper cascade); $1072 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ (lower cascade)

## - Socio-economic and environmental impact

Terrain is mountainous and gently slops like Bazan highland, height of $600-780 \mathrm{~m}$ from sea-level. The geological foundation is mainly sand-friable aged mezzos, bazan rock aged Kaizozoi, covered by weathering bazan red soil as upper layer. Friable sediment alluvium, and thin proluvium, unequal distribution in valley and mount inside.
Reserve of surface water and underground water is large, reaches $180-457.8 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{month}$ during rain season (Apr-Nov) and $15-91.8 \mathrm{~mm} /$ month during dry season (Dec-Mar). The annual average rainfall is $2,490 \mathrm{~mm}$. Kinh people count $60 \%, 40 \%$ are minorities including Tay, Hoa, Muong and Khmer. Economic relies on agriculture, forestry, industrial tree, fishery, industry and handicraft.

- Other information: Feasibility study report


## (37) Hydropower Plant Buon Tua Srah

- Location: on Kr«ng Kn« river, which is main branch of Srepok river, about 60 km east away from Buon Me Thuot city as the crow flies, Dac Lac Province
- Project type: Project classification: Cascade Hydropower Plant; Project status: in the technical design stage and construction preparation phase
- Investment: EVN
- Report: Consultant Organization: Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 4. Full EIA was conducted by EVN with consultation of experts from Environmental Hydrology Department of Consultant Company for electricity construction No. 4, and with collaboration of experts from Geology and Environment Institute- Vietnam Geology Association, from Geographical Institute and from Ecology and Biological resources Institute- National Science and Technology Institute.
- Technical specifications

Installed Capacity $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{MW}) \quad 86$
Maximum Volume Qmax (m³/s) 204.9
Highest Head Hmax (m) 58.5
Water level at downstream of powerhouse (m) with Qmax 430.29
An effective capacity of reservoir
522.6 millions $\mathrm{m}^{3}$

Powerhouse size: ( $\mathrm{L}^{*} \mathrm{~W}^{*} \mathrm{H}$ ):
$66,6 * 32,4 * 14,53 \mathrm{~m}$

- Socio-economic and environmental impacts

The terrestrial ecosystem consists of: Three conservation areas: Ch- Sang Chin, T $\mu$ §ïng v $\mu$ Nam Ka. Flora: is rich in species (1900 higher plants species, 150 medical plants) from that many dear and rare species with high economic value. Fauna: There are 434 species belonged to 4 classes. They consist of 86 mammals species; 273 bird species, 54 reptile species and 21 amphibian species in the proposed project area. The terrestrial ecosystem will be directly or indirectly affected by the project because a large land area will be lost and the surrounding area of the dam / reservoir are three conservation area: Chu Sang Chin, Ta Dung and Nam Ka
The aquatic ecosystem of Srepok River consists of 19 phytoplankton species, 22 zooplankton species, 14 benthos species and 47 fish species. The aquatic ecosystem will be affected by the project because baseline environment and hydrological regime will be changed due to project construction and operation. Mitigation measures to protect aquatic ecosystem should be proposed.

There are 4 resettlement areas: Thac 11 \& 12, Lach Dong, Phi Dih A and Ro Men with 1348 resettling households, $78 \%$ from that are ethnic minorities (Tay, Nung, Dao, Hmong, Ede, Gia Rai, K'ho). The normal life of people will be affected

Rice field and cropland upstream of the dam site will be lost.
Sedimentation balance to the downstream will be changed, which may cause impacts to the natural and social environments of the downstream.

- Other information: Feasibility study report.


### 1.3. NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS

### 1.3.1. Nuclear power plant in Phuoc Dinh, Ninh Thuan Province

- Location: Phuoc Dinh, Ninh Thuan Province, the area is about 100 ha
- Project type: Nuclear power plant
- Investment: VEC, IE
- Report: Pre-feasibility study including Environmental Impact Assessment.
- Technical specifications:

Maximum capacity is $4,000 \mathrm{MW}$ inclusive basic and extensive additional charges. Used fuel is $2-4 \%$ rich in Uranium. Steam flux into turbine is $6620 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Terrain: coastal Low Mountain. On the flooded part, seaside is sloping, deep and opened directly into The East. Geological foundation is relatively good, mainly on granite. The area is dry and has great radiation.

The Kinh people are about $68 \%$. Ethnic minorities are about 32\%, mainly Cham. Economic activities include agriculture, forestry, cattle-breeding, aquatic-sea fishing and aquaculture.

## - Other information

Existing materials: Environmental Impact Assessment, pre-feasibility report (2005)

### 1.3.2. Nuclear power plant in Hoa Tam, Phu Yen Province

- Location: Hoa Tam, Tuy Hoa district, Phu Yen province (100ha)
- Project type: Nuclear power plant
- Investment: VEC, IE
- Report: Pre-feasibility study including Environmental Impact Assessment.
- Technical specifications:

Maximum capacity is 4,000 MW inclusive basic and extensive additional charges. Used fuel is $2-4 \%$ rich in Uranium. Steam flux into turbine is 66,200 m3

- Socio-economic and environmental impact

Terrain: coastal Low Mountain. On the flooded part, seaside is sloping, deep and opened directly into The East. Lower geological foundation is pre-Cambri old degenerate rock, surface loose sediment is sand with over 40 m in depth, changes, and breaks and faults complexly.

Surface water reserves is large and unsteady, 70-80\% in rainy season causing flood and 20 - 30\% in dry season causing draught.

The number of population is mainly the Kinh and small number of Cham, Ede, and Bana...Economic activities include agriculture, forestry, breeding, aquatic-sea product fishing, industry, tourism, ...

- Other information: Environmental Impact Assessment (2003), Pre-feasibility report (2005).


# Section 2 - Priority evaluation based on natural and social environmental criteria 

### 2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL ASSESSMENT METHODS FOR THE WORLD AND VIET NAM ELECTRICAL ENERGY SOURCES PROJECT

Energy industry including electrical energy is a key economy of every country and the government must control a large rate of investment. Therefore, in the countries which are deficient in energy, sometimes the governments can encourage to develop this industry by a lot of different ways such as tax reducing, reducing level of environmental impact consideration. In the countries which have a great and various reserve of energy, they may make a plan of a long-term development. Viet Nam has a rather large potential of hydro-electricity. We own plentiful sources of charcoal and gas to expand thermo-electricity and can build a lot of power stations such as power houses using wind energy, solar energy and nuclear energy. Programming of electrical development was carried out but the result was not as good as it was expected. We ought to do lots of works to consider and decide which electrical industry is preferred for development and which factory is previously developed... so that it is suitable for growing economy and protecting environment.

Clearly, this work is difficult. Because when we choose a project, we are supposed to give the reason why we do not select another one. Especially in Viet Nam, we do not have enough relevant information. Furthermore, our conditions of manpower and devices are limited.

Hence, in this episode we only give out some approaches of gathering and processing information which are helpful to choose projects that will get given purposes.

### 2.1.1 Gathering information

Gathering information plays a deciding role in choosing a plan. If we do not have an orientation, the information gathered will be weak. It may be both deficient and redundant and difficult to process. So we must plan and discuss widely to make a decision: what kind of information is collected, whether the information is available or we must conduct a survey. The information which serves the program of selecting a project can be taken from many sources but it must definitely be from the following main sources:

- From government agencies
- From scientific research agencies
- From local communities
- From a series of surveys

Goals of development, master development planning and orthodox data system can be got from government agencies. As these are agencies which order and choose a final project, certainly the information sources from these are deciding and have an orientation.

We can gather a lot of relevant information from scientific research agencies, especially the information is a scientific basis of choosing a project. In many cases, this information is not widely announced so that we must make a detail plan to collect.

Locals and communities are also abundant data stores but we should have an appropriate method to collect.

In many cases, we still have to conduct a series of special subject studies in order to check gathered data or to get some useful information for clearing a controversial problem.

When the amount of information is rather sufficient, we can process and bring methods which are useful for choosing a plan.

### 2.1.2 Some methods of processing and providing data for selecting a plan

Now there are a lot of data processing methods but they can be added up into the following ones:

- Statistical processing method
- Expert
- Model

About data providing, many methods have been applied in the world and in Viet Nam. So hereafter we only introduce briefly some of main methods.

### 2.1.2.1. Figure enumerating method

This method is simple, lucid and easy to use. But the information is not adequate and not much directly related to the assessment. However this is one of the popularly used methods.
According to this method, they analyze developing operations, choose a parameter related to environment, enumerate and give the data involved those parameters, hand on the decision makers to consider. The assessors do not analyze deeply and criticize any more. Let the decision makers select the project subjectively after reading enumerated figures.

Figure enumerating method of environmental parameter is simple and cursory, yet very necessary and useful in a preliminary assessment of environmental impact or in case the conditions of experts, figures and expenditures are not adequate for a full assessment.

### 2.1.2.2. Listing method

This is one of the popularly used methods in Environment Impact Assessment (EIA), especially in researching impacts. When using this way, they often divide lists into many kinds based on their characteristics and complications. Lists may be merely enumerating environmental factors which are able to be affected or in a higher level they consider importance levels of each factor and techniques of giving point the impacts of replaced projects. Lists provide us an assessing medium by listing the factors that need to be considered but not provide information for separate figure demands, for assessing the importance of impacts or for assessing the changes of environmental factors. There are some of popularly used lists: Simple List, Question List, and List with the importance levels of impacts.
Besides giving the impact level, there are some important levels of each environmental factor affected. Accompanied by giving this list, we may use the general impact assessment method through the changes of environmental factors. Each environmental factor has a quality norm (for example by point) and an important level. To exemplify this method, we see the multipurpose water resources Pattani Project (Thailand). The experts described environmental factors, gave points of quality both non-project and project, and then estimated the importance of each factor. From that point, we can assess the impact of project by means of Evaluating Impact Unit (EIU). This unit is calculated by the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}=\sum\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}}-\Sigma\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{i}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In there
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}$ : environmental impact
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{1}$ : quality value of the category i environmental parameter with project
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{2}$ : quality value of the category i environmental parameter with non-project
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : the important level of the category i factor

For the multipurpose irrigation project, they divided factors into three kinds: biological factor, physiochemical factor and welfare for human. Each kind has its own compositions. Each composition has different parameters. For instance, biological factor includes terrestrial and aquatic compositions; physiochemical factor includes soil, surface water, ground water and atmosphere; welfare factor includes health, economy - society, culture - aestheticism. The following figure is the result of environmental impact assessment of Pattani Project:
Table 2.1. The matrix of environmental impact assessment of Pattani Project, Thailand

| Factor | Composition | EIU with <br> project | EIU with non- <br> project | EIU <br> change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ecology | Terrestrial | 883 | 693 | -190 |
|  | Aquatic | 484,3 | 721,6 | 237,3 |
| Physiochemical | Soil | Surface water | 518,5 | 368,3 |
|  | Ground water | 535,9 | 341,9 | $-150,2$ |
|  | Atmosphere | 530,8 | 270,6 | $-194,0$ |
| Welfare | Health | 405,6 | 355,3 | $-260,2$ |
|  | Economy - Society | 247,6 | 779 | $-50,3$ |
|  | Culture - Aestheticism | 806,0 | 1586,2 | 731,4 |
|  | 660,5 | 618,2 | 780,2 |  |

When collecting the figures in the above table for each factor, we get the difference of impact unit with non-project and project: for ecology it is 47,3; for physiochemical environment, it is 654,7 ; for economy - society, it is 1260,3 ; and the algebraic sum is 661,9 . This means partially the environmental impact calculated by EIU is 661,9 but the biggest contribution is the welfare for human. Generally, listing method is clear and easily understood. If the assessor is knowledgeable about the content of developing operations, natural and social conditions where the operations take place, this method will give good bases for making decision. However, it has many subjective factors of assessors and depends on impulsive agreements about importance, levels, defined points for each parameter. The subjective estimations of assessors will be mingled when calculating a sum of impacts. It is difficult to analyze. For this reason, collecting all impacts, collating and comparing different projects are limited. This method has two disadvantages: either too general or not adequate. Some impacts are easily repeated, so they are calculated two or many times in collecting the sum of impacts. When using this method, we need to note those disadvantages, repair them suitably and decrease subjective compositions in general assessing result.

### 2.1.2.3. Environmental matrix method

This method enumerates simultaneously the operations of one project (or projects) with the list of conditions or the list of environmental characteristics that are able to be impacted. When combining these lists coordinately, we will have a matrix: in there, horizontal axis is environmental factor and vertical axis is developing operation. Since then we can find more clearly the causal relation between operations and impacts at the same time. The square lying between column and row in matrix is used to show the impact ability. Depending on how to use this square, we can divide environmental matrix into the following kinds: simple matrix, step matrix and quantitative or qualitative matrix.

In quantitative matrix, squares not only mark the impact ability but also show the impact level, kind of impact and the importance of factor, etc. The impact level may be not clear, positive or negative, etc. like the table 3 or it can be quantitative, qualitative like the matrix in table 3. Generally, each square in the qualitative matrix show the impact level and the importance of impact. In Leopold matrix, the qualitative system with levels from 1 to 10 is used for the impact level and the importance of impact. For the impact level, the level is the lowest and the level 10 is
the highest. For the importance of impact, the level 1 is the lowest and 10 is the highest. Leopold established a matrix including 100 impacts and 88 environmental parameters.

Matrix method is simple, easy to use. It does not demand much environmental data but it can analyze clearly the impact of many different activities on the same factor. Here are some detail advantages of this method:

- We use this method in defining and presenting impacts used in many projects. It is because they change easily. Their forms were built and used. The interactive matrix was established to determine and quantify indirect impacts but it is not often used.
- Matrix provides some methods of presenting easily understood impacts

However, this method also has some following disadvantages:

- It is difficult to define secondary impacts except step matrix.
- It does not consider time changing of operations and impacts. So it does not discriminate long impacts or temporary impacts.

Now we attempt to innovate and create many kinds of matrices which can overcome the above disadvantages. Although this method has disadvantages, it is still used widely.

### 2.1.2.4. Method of environmental map overlay

This method uses maps presenting specific environmental characteristics in the research area drawn on the transparent paper. Each map describes the research area with each environmental characteristic determined based on investigation documents in the field. The attribute of environmental characteristics is defined by level. For example, mean pollution area painted with the light color and serious pollution one painted with darker color. The slope of ground for example, could divide into five different level. In order to pass judgment on suitability of land use for some purposes at the research area( e.g. planting tree, etc), we fasten together related maps. The light- colorless and dark level combination or color could fast judge generally to the suitability of each area in the map.
The method of environmental map overlay is simple, clear and easy to understand. The considered results manifest directly by image, which is suitable for the assessment of land use projects. However, the method have some shortcomings as followings: expressing nature and environment are in a stationeries, the measured level of environmental specific characteristics are usually in a generalization, the final assessment about the sum of impacts depend on the subjective point of view of the assessor.
Recent time, based on the principle of map overlay, some countries have used tool of GIS running on the computer to synthesize and compare the combination of environmental and natural conditions at one place with many detail parameters and measured level.
One concrete method of the map overlay spaciously used is the Metropolitan Landscape Planning Model, abbreviated as METLAND. The use of method is divided into three phases: 1) assessing generally landscape, 2) suggesting the ways and means of planning, 3 ) assessing and comparing the ways and means. In part of the generally landscape assessment, which divided including: the landscape assessment, the assessment on the ecology correspondence and the assessment on public services. In part of the landscape assessment divided by the assessment on resources value, the assessment on the environmental harmfulness, the assessment on the suitableness for development. Based on the analysis in turn of the landscape's specific characteristics, the ecology suitableness and the kinds of services, one composes necessary maps to overlay by real image or to overlay by computer. This method also has the same defect as of the general map overlay method. The environmental impact assessment (EIA) has stated that this method requires modern means of calculation because of big data as well as it can not adjusted line if using manpower.

This method is not only used for division of territory to assess but is also used for the classified assessment of the project by level of priority and the suitable level of each affected element.

### 2.1.2.5. Method of extra benefit-cost analysis

The benefit- cost analysis is one method of the economic- effectively project assessment. This method is also applied in the EIA to the case of considering to the cost and benefit obtained from the project. In such case, this method is called as the method of extra benefit-cost analysis.
In the method of economic benefit-cost analysis to the project, the benefits-costs are listed, for example, including:

- $\quad$ The first investment cost, fixed capital
- Mobile capital
- Production Costs
- Turnover from selling products ...

These costs are converted into money by year during the project's longevity. In case of calculating benefit-cost, one counts to the money discounting. It means that the money being got in the future will bear a discounting comparing with the present time. The present time is relatively stated, which usually chosen at the beginning time of the project constructed or the beginning time of the project run.

The benefit- cost analysis must be calculated before the project run, supplying base for the decisive- makers to consider the project should be done or not. This method helps for effective comparison of the economic project which can replace each other at the same place or different ways and means to implement the project.

Factors have usually been used in the benefit-cost analysis including:
(1). Net Present Value

$$
\begin{equation*}
N P V=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{B t}{(1+r)^{t}}-\left[C_{o}+\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}}\right] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which:
Bt: Benefit of the year t ; Ct: Cost of the year t ; Co: First cost
r: Coefficient of discounting; t: Time( year); n: Project’s longevity
So NPV is the accumulate net profit depending on the coefficient of discounting and the time. For the project in the constructed beginning stage, NPV gets negative sign at first( it means that the cost is higher than the profit) and at the certain time NPV will equal to 0 and then get positive sign.

When using NPV to compare the projects, we must pay attention to the first investment capital because in some cases NPV of two projects are the same but the first investment capitals are very different. In case of the consideration to the economic aspect only, the plan has the small first investment must be preceded first.

## (2). Internal Return Rate: K

This coefficient is calculated by following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{B t}{(1+K)^{t}}-\left[C_{o}+\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_{t}}{(1+K)^{t}}\right]=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The project selected to carry out is the one with K getting big value. People usually compare value of K with the interest due to borrowing money from the bank to estimate the obtained economic effect. Therefore, the project with big K will be chosen.
(3). Benefit-cost rate: B/C
$B / C=\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{B t}{(1+r)^{t}} /\left[C_{o}+\sum_{t=1}^{n} \frac{C_{t}}{(1+r)^{t}}\right]$
At the time which $B / C$ equals to 1 , the accumulate profit equals to the accumulate cost. Then this ratio is higher than 1 and increases to a certain bound value.

The use of the above characteristics separately can not answer the project or the plan has high economic benefit. So the usual ways used is to combine these characteristics together.

Thus, in the method of extra benefit-cost analysis, we often consider to all the running time of the project. In fact, there are many projects giving high interest rate in the short time, then may lose interminably. So the benefit- cost calculation for the whole project's longevity can determine the ability of capital restoration as well as the economic effect of the project. This can be done in case of the money's stability. For this reason, this method can just apply to Vietnam for recent years.
The method of benefit-cost analysis uses the money discounting, which corresponds to the market economy and in accordance with the sense of money, especially capital money. By that, if the money is not invested to produce profits, it will lose value with the time. Using the money discounting will encourage manufacturer putting the money in a business to produce having and goods, avoiding the state of stagnant capital. One easily seen image of the money discounting is the interest rate of savings. This is a part of gained profit through the investment of the bank. Besides, coefficient of discounting is also used as a tool in the economic management. For example, banks can define different interest rate of loan for different branches. E.g. some projects, for some branches, will be received a low interest rate is the ones bringing many positive impacts on environment and is useful for many people. On the contrary, for some branches creating much toxic and hazardous wastes or just brings benefits for very few people in the community, of which the project must accept a high interest rate.
If the data is complete and parameters in the extra benefit-cost analysis such as NPV, IPP, B/C can be calculated for each projects, we can classify and sort projects by different purposes aiming to select the preferential projects.

### 2.2. NATURAL AND SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION AND PRIORITY SELECTION METHODOLOGY AND FOR POWER PLANT PROJECTS

Due the lack of detailed information regarding to power project, the major methodology for natural and social environmental evaluation and priority selection is point calculation based on the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(V_{i}\right)_{1} W_{i} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In which:: $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad$ : environmental impact
$\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{1}$ : quality value of the category i environmental parameter with project
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}$ : the importance level of the category i factor
$m$ : sum of factors
Value $\left(V_{\mathrm{I}}\right)_{1}$ of natural and social environmental factors is calculated by impact level ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ) in the Project checklist. For quantitative calculation, the follow numeral is preliminary assumed: a =
$4, \mathrm{~b}=2, \mathrm{c}=1$. In another word, significant impact, less impact, and no impact of project is corresponding to 4,2 and 1 , respectively. For the items which information related is not available (NA - in the Checklist) will be also calculated as 1 (be underlined for distinguish) during priority analysis and final decision making.

The importance level of individual natural and social environmental item in the Checklist $\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ is identified for thermal and hydro power plants. The fuel usage (coal, gas, DO, FO) is also considered. They are assessed as three level 3, 2, 1 (table 2.2).
Table 2.2. Importance level of natural and social environmental aspects

| Environmental impact | Impacted aspect | Impact item | Importance level |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Thermal P P |  | Hydro power plants |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Coal } \\ \text { usage } \end{gathered}$ | Gas and DO/FO usage |  |
| Social | Inhabitant | Minority | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | Weakness | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Resetlement |  | - | - | 3 |
|  | Cultural Heritage/ Asset |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Scenery |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Life | Agriculture | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | Fishery | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Water Utilization | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  |  | Others | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Natural | Terrestrial | Fauna | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  | Flora | 2 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  | Insect / <br> Mic. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Aquatic | Fauna | 3 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  | Flora | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | Insect / Mic. | 2 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Topography |  | 1 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Reserved/Park Area |  | 3 | 3 | 3 |
|  | Meteorology/Climate Change |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Polution | Air Quality |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Water Quality |  | 3 | 3 | 2 |
|  | Soil Contamination |  | 2 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Noise |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Vibration |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Land Subsidence |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Bad Smell |  | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Waste |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |

Total adverse impact on natural and social environmental of power plants is shown in table 2. 3. The caculatation based on fomular (2.5) with the value corresponding with a, b, c (see appendix 2) and inportant levels in table 2.2

Table 23 . Total adverse impact on natural and social environmental of Power plants

| No. | Plant/project | Project/Plant | Total adverse impact |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Construction phase | Operation phase |
| Thermal power plant |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 7 | O Mon No1 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 98 |
| 2 | 15 | O Mon No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 95 |
| 3 | 32,35 | O Mon No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 92 | 107 |
| 4 | 42 | O Mon No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 102 |
| 5 | 1adf | Phu My No 1 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 6 | 2adf | Phu My No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 7 | 3adf | Phu My No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 8 | 4adf | Phu My No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 108 |
| 9 | 43 | South CCGT Thermal Power Plant | 86 | 91 |
| 10 | 4 | Amata Bien Hoa CCGT | 82 | 83 |
| 11 | 17 | Nhon Trach No1 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 97 |
| 12 | 30,31 | Nhon Trach No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 90 | 97 |
| 13 | 36 | Nhon Trach No3 Thermal Power Plant | 89 | 96 |
| 14 | 41 | Nhon Trach No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 89 | 96 |
| 15 | 2/48,49ad | Mao Khe Thermal Power Plant | 97 | 106 |
| 16 | 8adf | Uong Bi Extended Thermal Power Plant | 75 | 109 |
| 17 | 5/40ad | Ninh Binh Extended Thermal Power Plant | 106 | 121 |
| 18 | 8,16 | Nghi Son Thermal Power Plant | 101 | 126 |
| 19 | 34,57 | Mong Duong Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 125 |
| 20 |  | Hiep Phuoc Thermal Power Plant | 91 | 114 |
| 21 | 6 | Quang Ninh Thermal Power Plant | 112 | 104 |
| 22 | 6adf | Hai Phong Thermal Power Plant | 115 | 136 |
| 23 | 7adf | Son Dong Thermal Power Plant | 94 | 101 |
| Hydro power plants |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 25 | Nam Chien Hydropower Station | 82 | 84 |
| 2 | 48 | Hydropower Plant Buon Tua Srah | 123 | 75 |
| 3 | 13,49 | Dakrtih Hydropower Plant | 87 | 70 |
| 4 | 27 | Lai Chau Hydropower Plant | 118 | 82 |
| 5 | 9adf | Pavinh (Son La) Hydropower Plant | 117 | 112 |
| 6 | 23 | Ban Uon Hydropower Plant | 115 | 105 |
| 7 | 10 | EA Krong Hnang Hydropower Plant | 89 | 60 |
| 8 | 10adf | Ankhe Kanak Hydropower Plant | 110 | 79 |
| 9 | 11adf | Dong Nai No3 Hydropower Plant | 103 | 74 |
| 10 | 12adf | Dong Nai No4 Hydropower Plant | 70 | 64 |
| 11 | 12,22 | Huoi Quang Hydropower Station | 101 | 95 |
| 12 | 50 | Chu Linh - Coc San Hydropower Plant | 86 | 65 |
| 13 | 13adf | Bao Lac Hydropower Plant | 84 | 83 |
| 14 | 14adf | Tuyen Quang Hydropower Plant | 90 | 80 |
| 15 | 56 | Bac Quang Hydropower Plant | 100 | 89 |
| 16 | 71 | Ban Muc Hydropower Plant | 102 | 91 |


| 17 | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | New PSPP No 3 JS6 | 85 | 56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18 | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | New PSPP No 2 JN5 | 92 | 85 |
| 19 | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | New PSPP No 1 JN | 102 | 65 |
| 20 | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | Nho Que No 1 Hydropower Plant | 89 | 66 |
| 21 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | Nho Que No 2 Hydropower Plant | 85 | 70 |
| 22 | $\mathbf{1 5 a d f}$ | Nho Que No 3 Hydropower Plant | 85 | 66 |
| 23 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | Bac Me Hydropower Plant | 94 | 82 |
| 24 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Dakmi No 1. Hydropower Plant | 100 | 85 |
| 25 | $\mathbf{1 6 a d f}$ | Dakmi No 4 Hydropower Plant | 82 | 64 |
| 26 | $\mathbf{1}$ | Extend Thac Mo Hydropower Plant | 79 | 59 |
| 27 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Bung 2 River Hydropower Plant | 101 | 90 |
| 28 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Bung 4 River Hydropower Plant | 115 | 67 |
| 29 | $\mathbf{1 7 a d f}$ | A Sap Hydropower Plant | 96 | 76 |
| 30 | $\mathbf{1 8 a d f}$ | Song Tranh 2 Hydropower Plant | 98 | 65 |
| 31 | $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 5}$ | Hua Na Hydropower Plant | 104 | 74 |
| 32 | $\mathbf{1 9 a d f}$ | Serepok 3 Hydropower Plant | 115 | 69 |
| 33 | $\mathbf{2 0 a d f}$ | Song Hinh Hydropower Plant | 96 | 79 |
| 34 | $\mathbf{2 1 a d f}$ | Can Don Hydropower Plant | 85 | 65 |
| 35 | $\mathbf{4 7 a d}$ | Sesan No 4 Hydropower Plant | 97 | 57 |
| 36 | $\mathbf{3}$ | Dambri Hydropower Plant | 89 | 74 |
| 37 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | Con river No2 Hydropower Plant | 83 | 64 |
| Nulear power plant |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 2 a d f}$ | Hoa Tam Nuclear Power Plant | 103 | 120 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | Phuoc Dinh Nuclear Power Plant | 102 | 130 |

The findings show that:

1. For thermal PP:

- In the construction phase, many adverse impacts on natural and social environment found, mainly due to pollutants (emission gases, solid waste and high temperature of waste water).
- Coal used thermal power plants normally cause more serious adverse impact on natural and social environment during operation phase in comparison with Gas or/and DO/FO thermal power plants.
- For the thermal power plant constructed in one location (plants group/zone): the later constructed will generate more adverse impact due to the integrated impacts of pollutants.


## 2. For hydro PP:

- In the construction phase, number of adverse impacts on natural and social environment found. Of which the significant impacts include: land lost; immigrant/resettlement and environmental pollution (water, air).
- The impact of high capacity power plants is increased. However the projects/plants located in Northern East, Central Southern and Highland regions seem to have less impact on natural and social environment. By contrast, their advantage impacts on water equable making in rain season and drought elimination in dry season will be considered for priority selection.

It should be noticed that in table 2.3 some plants/projects listed in 71 plant list and some additional ones (added by JICA team) have not been included due to the information is not available or the plant/projects are located outside Vietnam or even plant/projects are just idea. In fact some project are rejected due to significant environmental impact caused e.g. Dong Nai No8. The details will be in table 2. 4. The detailed analyzed checklist for individual plant is presented in Appendix 2.

Table 24 - List of plant/projects have not been analysed

|  | Name of Power plant | Location | Type | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Sekaman3 | Central | H | In Laos |
| 9 | Serepok 4 | Central | H | In Laos |
| 19 | Khe Bo |  | H | NA |
| 20 | Upper Kontum \#1,2 | Central | H | NA |
| 21 | Song Hieu | North | H | NA |
| 28 | Dong Nai 2 | South | H | Dong Nai No3 and 4 are analysed |
| 33 | Hon Dat ST | South | G | NA |
| 38 | Dong Nai 5 | South | H | Dong Nai No3 and 4 are analysed |
| 39 | Duc Xuyen | Central | H | NA |
| 40 | Se Kong 4 | Central | H | In Laos |
| 44 | Dai Nga |  | H | Be rejected |
| 45 | Dak Drinh 1 | Central | H | Idea |
| 47 | Thac Muoi | North | H | NA |
| 52 | Iagrai | Central | H | NA |
| 56 | Bac Quang | North | H | Replaced by Tuyen Quang HPP |
| 58 | Iayun Thuong | Central | H | NA |
| 60 | Quang Tri CCGT | Central | G | Idea |
| 61 | Vung Ang | North | C | In preparation for pre- feasibility |
| 64 | New coal-fired TPP | North | C | Idea |
| 67 | Ha Tay | North | C | Idea |
| 68 | Dong Nai 6 | South | H | Dong Nai No3 and 4 are analysed |
| 69 | Dong Nai 8 | South | H | Be ofiicially rejected due to significant impact on Cat Tien natural area |
| 70 | Se Kong 1 | Central | H | In Laos |
| 44ad | Vung Ang 300\#1 | North | C | In preparation for prefeasibility |
| 47ad | Vung Ang 300\#2 | North | C | In preparation for prefeasibility |
| 50,51ad | New Coal \#1(Vung Ang 1), \#2(Vung Ang 2) | North | C - Imp | In preparation for prefeasibility |
| 52,53ad | New Coal \#3 (Nghi Son \#3), \#4 (Nghi Son \#4) | North | C | Idea |
| 54,55ad | New Coal \#5 (Vung Ang 3), \#6 (Vung Ang 4) | North | C-Imp | In preparation for pre- feasibility |
| 56,57ad | New Coal \#7 (Nghi Son \#5), \#8 (Nghi Son \#6) | North | C-Imp | Idea |
| 58,59ad | New Coal \#9 (Hai Phong \#3), \#10 (Hai Phong \#4) | North | C | Idea |
| 64,65ad | New CC \#4, \#5 (Binh Thuan 1) | South | G | Idea |
| 66,67ad | South Coal \#1, \#2 (Soc Trang1) | South | C - Imp | Idea |
| 68ad | New CC \#5 (O Mon V) | South | G | Idea |
| 69,70ad | New CC \#6 (Tien Giang 1), \#7 (Tien Giang 2) | South | G - Imp | Idea |
| 72,73ad | South Coal \#3 (Tra Vinh 1), \#4 (Tra Vinh 2), \#5 (Tra Vinh 3) | South | C - Imp | Idea |
| 77ad | South Coal \#6 | South | C - Imp | Idea |
| 79,80ad | South Coal \#7 (Soc Trang 3), \#8 (Soc Trang 4) | South | C -Imp | Idea |
| 83ad | New CC \#8 (Mien Trung) | Central | G - Imp | Idea |


| 45ad | Trung Son Hydropower Plant | North | H | NA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 36ad | Binh Dien Hydropower Plant | Central | H | NA |
| 47ad | Sesan 4 \#3 Hydropower Plant | South | H | NA |
| 62ad | Song Bung \#5 Hydropower Plant | Central | H | Idea |
| 72ad | Thuy dien nho moi MT_MN 1, MN 2, MN 3 | South | H | NA |

*H: Hydropower, *C: Coal,*G: Gas, *P: Pumped Storage, ${ }^{*}$ N: Nuclear

### 2.3. LIST OF PRIORITY POWER PLANTS BASED ON NATURAL AND SOCIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CRITERIA

For thermal power plants, the priority selection is mainly based on the impact during operation phase. The impacts during construction phase are only considered just in case similar previous impact found. For hydro power plants the consideration is based on the impacts during both construction and operation phases due to more significant impact on natural and social environment when it is constructed (see table 2.5).

Table 2.5. Priority selection of power plants based on natural/social environmental criteria

| Plant/project code | Projects/Plants | Priority order | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thermal Power plants |  |  |  |
| 4 | Amata Bien Hoa CCGT | 1 |  |
| 43 | South CCGT Thermal Power Plant | 2 |  |
| 15 | O Mon No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 3 |  |
| 36 | Nhon Trach No3 Thermal Power Plant | 4 |  |
| 41 | Nhon Trach No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 5 |  |
| 17/61ad | Nhon Trach No1 Thermal Power Plant | 6 |  |
| 30,31/62ad | Nhon Trach No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 7 |  |
| 7 | O Mon No1 Thermal Power Plant | 8 |  |
| 7adf | Son Dong Thermal Power Plant | 9 |  |
| 42 | O Mon No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 10 |  |
| 6 | Quang Ninh Thermal Power Plant | 11 |  |
| 2/48,49ad | Mao Khe Thermal Power Plant | 12 |  |
| 32,35 | O Mon No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 13 |  |
| 1adf | Phu My No 1 Thermal Power Plant | 14 |  |
| 2adf | Phu My No 2 Thermal Power Plant | 15 |  |
| 3adf | Phu My No 3 Thermal Power Plant | 16 |  |
| 4adf | Phu My No 4 Thermal Power Plant | 17 |  |
| 8adf | Uong Bi Extended Thermal Power Plant | 18 |  |
| 6adf | Hiep Phuoc Thermal Power Plant | 19 |  |
| 5/40ad | Ninh Binh Extended Thermal Power Plant | 20 |  |
| 34,57 | Mong Duong Thermal Power Plant | 21 |  |
| 8,16 | Nghi Son Thermal Power Plant | 22 |  |
| 6adf | Hai Phong Thermal Power Plant | 23 |  |
| Hydro Power plants |  |  |  |
| 12adf | Dong Nai No4Hydropower Plant | 1 |  |
| 1 | Extend Thac Mo Hydropower Plant | 2 |  |
| 63 | New PSPP No3 JS6 | 3 |  |
| 16adf | Dakmi No4 Hydropower Plant | 4 |  |
| 14 | Con river No2 Hydropower Plant | 5 |  |
| 10 | EA Krong Hnang Hydropower Plant | 6 |  |
| 21adf | Can Don Hydropower Plant | 7 |  |
| 50 | Chu Linh - Coc San Hydropower Plant | 8 |  |
| 15adf | Nho Que No3 Hydropower Plant | 9 |  |
| 47ad | Sesan No4 Hydropower Plant | 10 |  |
| 24 | Nho Que No1 Hydropower Plant | 11 |  |
| 26 | Nho Que No2 Hydropower Plant | 12 |  |
| 13,49 | Dakrtih Hydropower Plant | 13 |  |
| 18adf | Song Tranh No2 Hydropower Plant | 14 |  |
| 3 | Dambri Hydropower Plant | 15 |  |
| 25 | Nam Chien Hydropower Station | 16 |  |
| 59 | New PSPP No 1 JN | 17 |  |
| 13adf | Bao Lac Hydropower Plant | 18 |  |
| 14adf | Tuyen Quang Hydropower Plant | 19 |  |
| 17adf | A Sap Hydropower Plant | 20 |  |
| 20adf | Song Hinh Hydropower Plant | 21 |  |
| 66 | Bac Me Hydropower Plant | 22 |  |


| $\mathbf{6 2}$ | New PSPP No 2 JN5 | 23 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 5}$ | Hua Na Hydropower Plant | 24 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1 a d f}$ | Dong Nai No3 Hydropower Plant | 25 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | Bung 4 River Hydropower Plant | 26 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 9 a d f}$ | Serepok 3 Hydropower Plant | 27 |  |
| $\mathbf{3 7}$ | Dakmi No 1. Hydropower Plant | 28 |  |
| $\mathbf{5 6}$ | Bac Quang Hydropower Plant | 29 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 a d f}$ | Ankhe Kanak Hydropower Plant | 30 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | Bung 2 River Hydropower Plant | 31 |  |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | Ban Muc Hydropower Plant | 32 |  |
| $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 2}$ | Huoi Quang Hydropower Station | 33 |  |
| $\mathbf{4 8}$ | Hydropower Plant Buon Tua Srah | 34 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | Lai Chau Hydropower Plant | 35 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | Ban Uon Hydropower Plant | 36 |  |
| $\mathbf{9 a d f}$ | Pa Vinh (Son La) Hydropower Plant | 37 |  |
| Nuclear power plants |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 5}$ | Hoa Tam Nuclear power plant | 1 |  |
| $\mathbf{2 2 a d f}$ | Phuoc Dinh Nuclear power plant | 2 |  |

Section 3- Overview of Vietnam's legal documents related to environment, culture-society and minorities people

### 3.1. GENERAL OVERVIEW OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS ON SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT, NATURAL PROTECTED AREAS, CULTURAL HERITAGES AND ETHNIC MINORITIES

Energy development by investment in hydropower, nuclear power, gas power and thermo power plants is most important in economic development and improvement of people's living conditions. However, together with energy development, there are negative impacts to the environment, natural resources, cultural and social lifestyles of local communities, especially the ethnic minorities.

Environmental protection is the responsibility of each citizen, organization including foreign companies. This responsibility has been legalized by legal documents such as laws, decrees and standards etc.

Legal documents include: (1) Constitution, (2) Laws and state laws; (3) Decrees, Decisions, Implementation Guidance documents, Regulations (4) Environmental Standards

### 3.1.1. Legal documents on environment and resources

The country Constitution 1992 defines: "All governmental agencies, army units, socio-economic organizations and individuals must conform state laws and regulations concerning the proper use of natural resources and environment. Any activity that harms the environment and resource should be strictly prohibited. (Article 29)

### 3.1.1.1. Law on Environmental Protection (2003)

The Law on Environmental Protection was approved by the Parliament of Socialist Republic of Vietnam on December $27^{\text {th }} 1993$ and took effect on January $10^{\text {th }} 1994$. This law is the demonstration of environmental and resource protection defined by the Constitution. It helps improving state administration and responsibilities of army units, socio-economic organizations and individuals in environmental protection to ensure the good health of citizens and the civil rights to live in the good environment.
Up to now, the Law on Environmental Protection 1993 is still the umbrella law and the most comprehensive legal base relating to many environmental issues such as prevention and mitigation of environmental degradation, pollution and environmental hazards.

The aim of this law is to prevent and mitigate the environmental consequences caused by nature and human activities, to adjust the exploration and utilization of environmental components to achieve sustainable development and protect the health of people.
There are 7 chapters and 55 articles in this law:

- Chapter I General provisions (Article 1 to Article 9): defines the objects, effecting sphere and responsibilities and rights of organizations and individuals in environmental protection.
- Chapter II (Article 10 to Article 29) Prevent environmental degradation, environmental pollution and environmental hazards relating to the use of environmental components (soil, water, air, biodiversity, ecologies, genetic sources and landscape): This chapter defines the general principles, which are specified in other legal documents on environmental and resource protection. In Article 18, it is mentioned that Environmental Impact Assessment Reports should be carried out by organizations and individuals before constructing, upgrading or developing socio-economic development projects.
- Chapter III (Article 30 to Article 36): Remedies of environmental degradation, pollution and environmental hazards. In this chapter, responsibilities and rights of organization and individuals in taking remedies for environmental degradation, pollution and environmental hazards are defined. Enterprises are responsible for waste treatment by using proper technology. All methods should be done to treat radioactivity, electromagnetic and ionization. Payment and compensation for environmental hazards is also mentioned.
- Chapter IV (Article 37 to Article 44) defines state administration on environmental protection. Mandate and functions of governmental agencies in environmental protection are defined. Besides, functions and tasks of state inspection on environmental protection are specified.
- Chapter V (Article 45 to Article 48) International relation in environmental protection.
- Chapter VI (Article 49 to Article 52) Rewards and punishments.
- Chapter VII (Article 52 to Article 55) Implementation provisions.

To improve the Law on Environmental Protection 1993, the Government is making adjustment. The draft has been reviewed by the Parliament and public opinions are asked.

### 3.1.1.2. Decree No 175/CP

To specify general provisions in the Law on Environmental Protection 1993, Decree No 174/CP dated October $18^{\text {th }} 1994$ on Guidance for enforcement of the Law on Environmental Protection and Decree No $143 / 2004 / \mathrm{N} \S-C P$ dated July $12^{\text {th }} 2004$ on adjustment and amendment Article 14, Decree No 175/CP were promulgated by the Government.

Decree No 175/CP includes 7 chapters, 40 articles and 5 appendices such as follow:

- General provisions (Chapter I)
- Responsibility assignment on state administration on environmental protection (Chapter II)
- Environmental impact assessment (Chapter III)
- Prevention and mitigation environmental degradation, pollution and hazards (Chapter IV)
- Finance for environmental protection (Chapter V)
- Inspection on environmental protection (Chapter VI)
- Implementation provisions (Chapter VI).

In Chapter III, with respect to environmental impact assessment (EIA), there are definitions of objects, contents, implementation steps and assessment methods. Moreover, organization for environmental impact assessment should be sufficient in terms of human resources, knowledge and material base; environmental assessment should follow Vietnamese standards and appraisal procedures (files for EIA appraisal, appraisal agencies, list of EIA reports reviewed by the Parliament, responsibilities of governmental agencies in EIA appraisal etc.).

## Decree No 143/2004/N§-CP on adjustment and amendment Article 14-Decree No 175/CP

Concerning the appraisal of EIA reports, the Government establish Decree No 143/2004/N§-CP, on adjustment and amendment Article 14, Decree No 175/CP. Accordingly, since August $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ 2004, Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment has reviewed the EIA reports of the following projects: construction of infrastructure in the third ranking urban areas and upward (with the population of 100000 people and upward); industrial parks and high tech parks with the area more than 150 ha; economic and commercial sites with the area more than 1000 ha;
construction of permanent bridges with the length more than 1000 m ; sea harbor for ships with capacity over 50000 DWT, petrol chemistry and filtration, oil exploration, alcohol factories with annual capacity over 1000000 liters of products and breweries and beverages with the annual capacity over 10000000 liters of products etc.

### 3.1.2. Legal documents related to environmental components

There is a close relation and supporting link among the provisions of the Law on Environmental Protection and other legal documents on exploration, utilization and protection of natural resources. Social relations in this aspect are regulated by those legal documents.

### 3.1.2.1. Land

## Law on Land 2003

Land is the most important components of the environment. It is a special resources. On December $26^{\text {th }} 2003$, the Parliament of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam approved the new Law on Land, which replaces Law on Land 1993. Land administration and utilization is defined in this new law.

There are 7 chapters and 146 articles in the Law on Land :

- Chapter I : General provisions (Article 1 to Article 15)
- Chapter II : State rights of land and land administration (Article 16 to Article 65)
- Chapter III : Regulation on utilization of different types of land (Article 66 to Article 104)
- Chapter IV : Rights and responsibilities of the land users (Article 105 to Article 121)
- Chapter V : Administrative procedures on land management and utilization (Article 122 to Article 131)
- Chapter VI : Inspection, resolutions for conflicts, complaints, accusations and violations of the law (Article 132 to Article 144)
- Chapter VII : Implementation provisions (Article 145 to Article 146).

The Law defines that land belongs to all citizens and the State is representative owner (Article 5). Based on purposes of use, land can be classified in 3 categories : (a) agricultural land (land for perennial plants, production and protection forests, special forests and aquaculture etc.) ; (b) nonagricultural land (residential land in urban and rural areas, office and construction land etc.) ; (c) unused land including unspecified purpose land - Article 13. Two important types of land in environmental, ecological and biological protection are protection forest land and special forest land - Chapter III : Regulation on utilization of different types of land (Article 76 and Article 77). In the Law on Land 2003, all acts including transgression, un-use or use for wrong purposes, violation of public plans and planning, land deterioration and inproper conformation of the law with respect to the rights and responsibilities of land users are strictly prohibited. (Article 15).

### 3.1.2.2. Water resource and environment

Water is an extremely important resource, the essential component of life and the environment. On one hand, it determines the existence and sustainable development of the country. On the other hand, it can create damages and disasters to human and the environment. Energy development based on water resource has many effects on the environment.

The Law on Water Resource dated August $\mathbf{2 0}^{\text {th }} 1998$ defines the management, protection, exploration and utilization of water resources and prevention, mitigation damages and disasters caused by water.
The Law on Water Resource 1998 includes 10 chapters and 75 articles.

Chapter I-General provisions (Article 1 to Article 9) define water resource ownerships, objects and effecting sphere of the law. There are also explanation of terms, water management protection, exploration and utilization of water resources and prevention, mitigation damages and disasters caused by water. Water resource development policies are mentioned in Article 6. Financial policies in water resource are defined in Article 7. Article 8 is about international relations in water resource and prohibited acts are described in Article 9.

Chapter II-Water resource protection (Article 10 to 19) defines responsibilities in water resource protection. All organizations and individuals when carrying out the construction of water resource development projects should explore, utilize and obey the regulations on prevention water degradation properly.

Wastewater discharge to water sources should be permitted by the relevant governmental agencies and should be based on the receiving capacity of the water sources (Article 18). Strictly prohibited acts include discharge of hazardous waste into water, discharge of untreated wastewater or improper treated wastewater and especially the discharge of wastewater and pollutants to the protective area of water for domestic use.

Chapter III-Exploration and utilization of water resource (Article 20 to 35). Main issues in this chapter are regulation and distribution of water resource (Article 20); transference of water from one river basin to another (Article 21); rights of organizations and individuals in exploration and utilization of water resource (Article 22); responsibilities of organizations and individuals in exploration and utilization of water resource (Article 23); permission for water resource exploration and utilization (Article 24); water resource exploration and utilization in domestic activities, salt production, aquaculture, agriculture, industry, mining, hydro power, transportation and other uses (Article 25 to 31).

The Government encourages development of hydropower projects. However, the construction and operation of hydro power plants should follow the planning of river basin, law on environmental protection and water regulation procedures appraised by relevant governmental agencies.

- Decree No $179 / 1999 / \mathrm{N} §-C P$ dated December $30^{\text {th }} 1999$ on the implementation of the Law on water resource
- Directive No 02/2004/CT-BTNMT of Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment in strengthening the underground water resource management
According to this Directive dated June $2^{\text {nd }} 2004$, it is necessary to carry out inspection and checking of exploration of underground water, banning illegal exploration of organizations and individuals who are not capable in skills, technology and do not protect the water resource.


### 3.1.2.3. Forest and biological resource

Forest is not only the precious resource but also the most important element of the ecology. Thus, the Law on Forest Protection and Development was promulgated to increase the forest cover and quality, prevent the forest destruction, fires; socialize forest protection and development; stably delegate forest and forest land to improve the living conditions of people and develop the economy in mountainous areas; increase economic value of forest, maintain and protect the existing forest areas and plant, explore forest following the national and local forest planning.

There are specific definitions of forest protection in the Law on Environmental Protection 1993. For example, in Article 12, it is stressed that forest exploration should follow the planning and regulations of the Law on Forest Protection and Development such as management of protection forest, special forest, production forest and protection of the rare species.

## The Law on Forest Protection dated December $3^{\text {rd }} 2004$ includes $\mathbf{8}$ chapters and $\mathbf{8 8}$ articles:

- $\quad$ Chapter I: General provisions (Article 1-12),
- Chapter II: Government authority on forest protection and development (Article 13-35);
- $\quad$ Chapter III: Forest protection (Article 36-44);
- $\quad$ Chapter IV: Forest development and utilization (Article 45-58);
- $\quad$ Chapter V: Rights and responsibilities of forest owners (Article 59-78);
- $\quad$ Chapter VI: Forest guarding (Article 79-83);
- $\quad$ Chapter VIII: Resolutions for conflicts and punishment for violations of the Law (Article 84-86) and
- $\quad$ Chapter VIII: Implementation provisions (Article 87-88).

Specifications of the international conventions that were ratified by Vietnam are also in the Law on Forest Protection and Development 2004. For instance, international conventions have been mentioned such as biodiversity convention, convention on protection of the world's natural and cultural heritage, RAMSAR convention on the international important wetlands, especially the wetlands which are habitats of water birds, international convention on trade of endangered wild species, convention on prevention of desertification, ASEAN agreement on control of Tran boundary smoke and dust pollution etc.
In the chapter of forest protection, there are regulations on (a) forest ecologies, (b) fauna and flora, (c) fire and harmful species prevention and extinguishment and (d) trade, transport, import and export; temporary export and re-import of fauna and flora. Forest exploration and hunting should follow the regulations. Rare species and genetic sources should be protected and managed under special conditions. Environmental impact assessment reports should be carried out in the case of projects that have potential effects on forest ecologies.
Forest development and utilization is defined clearly in the Law. Forest exploration and utilization must be done parallel with forest development, environmental and biodiversity protection. According to the law, forest can be classified into 4 categories, in which the most 2 important categories include protection forests (upstream forests, wind and sand shield forests, wave shield forests and environmental protection forests) and special forests (for nature conservation, standardization for national forest ecology, genetic resource, for scientific research, tourism, entertainment and protection). Special forests are also can be divided into national forests, nature parks (nature conservation and species conservation), landscape parks (conservation of historical and cultural heritage forests); research and experimental forests.

There are three main principles in development and utilization of special forests: (a) to ensure the natural development and protection of biodiversity and landscape; (b) national parks and nature conservation parks should be defined into strictly protected areas, ecological rehabilitation areas, service and administration areas ands buffer zones; (c) all activities in special forests must be permitted by forest owners and follow forest management regulations.
Decree 14/CP dated December $5^{\text {th }} 1992$ of the Government defines punishments of violations of forest protection and management regulation. There are 14 violation acts that should be punished such as forest destruction and illegal exploration, violation of fire prevention and extinguishment; damages to the forest environment, illegal buying and storage of forest products etc.
In Decree 26/CP dated April $26^{\text {th }} 1996$ on punishment of violation of the law on environmental protection, 2 violation acts related to forest protection had been mentioned such as violation of biodiversity and nature conservation protection and violation of exploration and trade rare species (Article 7 and Article 8).

On June $25^{\text {th }}$ 2004, the Government established Decree No 139/2004/N§-CP on punishment of administrative violation in forest protection and management and forest products management. This Decree has taken effect since July $21^{\text {st }} 2004$. According to the Decree, the highest punishment fees are 30 million VND and the prescription is one year since the violation act takes place. Punishments include warning, maximum punishment fees 30 million VND, revoking of permission, confiscation of forest products, means and payment for afforestation.

### 3.1.2.4. Nature and biodiversity conservation parks

Establishment of protected parks is an effective measure in biodiversity conservation. Nature conservation parks (special forests, wetlands protection areas and marine conservation areas etc.) are established under the Law on Environmental Protection, Law on Land, Law on Forest Protection and Development and Law on Aquaculture.
Article 4, 7, 15, 49 and 50 in the Law on Forest Protection and Development define the establishment and management of special forest.

- Decision No 192/2003/QD-TTg on the appraisal of the strategy on management of nature conservation systems in Vietnam to 2010
This Decision was promulgated by the Prime Minister on September $17^{\text {th }} 2003$. Development, activities and management mandate of nature conservation systems in Vietnam are defined in the Decision. In 2003, list of established nature conservation parks to 2010 was developed by Forest Guarding Agency-Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and submitted to the Government. If the list is approved, number of special forests in Vietnam will be 121, in which there will be 27 national parks, 57 nature conservation areas and 37 historical and cultural areas with the total area of 2518339 ha.
- Wetlands protection areas

Wetlands have particular ecologies, high biodiversity and function as the retention areas for water resources and maintain ecological balance.

## Decree No 109/2003/ND-CP on protection and sustainable development of wetlands

Based on Law on Environmental Protection (1993), Law on Land (1993, 1998 and 2001), Law on Water Resources (1998), Law on Forest Protection and Development (1991) and State Law on Protection and Development of aquatic products (1989), the Government established Decree No 109/2003/ND-CP on protection and sustainable development of wetlands on September $23^{\text {rd }} 2003$ and followed by Circular No 18/2004/QD-BTNMT dated April $2^{\text {nd }} 2004$ of Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment providing guidance for enforcement of Decree No 109/2003/ND-CP.

In Decree No 109/2003, organizations and individuals have activities in the wetlands should obey regulations on protection and sustainable development of wetlands defined in this Decree.

Article 12 defines that protected areas of wetlands should be determined. Wetland protection areas should be established such as RAMSAR parks, nature conservation parks etc. Wetland protection areas should be strictly protected; people migration from outside is prohibited; construction of projects that have large effects on the protected areas should be banned (Article 14).

According to this Decree, the prohibited acts include forest destruction; activities that change and damage nature, ecology; pollution and degradation of wetlands, exploration of aquatic products at the breeding and nursing young off springs; construction and exploration at the young warp where wetland forest regenerates naturally; discharge of wastes containing hazardous substances into the wetland areas and activities that harm the communities at wetlands and surrounding areas.
In Circular No 18/2004/QD-BTNMT dated April $2^{\text {nd }} 2004$ of Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment providing guidance for enforcement of Decree No 109/2003/ND-CP, it defines that
there should be management regulations for each wetland protection area including prohibitions, management and development measures, development of functional areas and buffer zones, management of tourism, scientific research and other activities in the wetland protection areas.

To 2006, inventory and planning of protection and sustainable development of wetlands in the whole country should be completed. Besides, it is necessary to make zoning of protected wetlands and establish new wetland protection areas for national and international endangered wetlands and adjust the boundary of existing protection areas.

- Conservation areas of inland water and marine

To manage the aqua environment, the Government made planning of conservation areas of inland water and marine (national parks and conservation areas) - Law on Aquaculture No 17/2003/QH11, approved by the Parliament on November $26^{\text {th }} 2003$ ).

### 3.1.2.5. Air and radiation safety

## State law on radiation control and safety 1996

Radiation safety is an important issue in utilization of nuclear power and ionization. To improve radiation safety, the country Chairman had signed State law on radiation control and safety on June $25^{\text {th }} 1996$.

The state law includes 8 chapters and 38 articles:

- Chapter I: General provisions
- Chapter II: Radiation safety
- Chapter III: Responsibilities in radiation incidents
- Chapter IV: Information, registration and permission
- Chapter V: Administration on radiation control and safety
- Chapter VI: Inspection on radiation control and safety
- Chapter VII: Punishments and rewards
- Chapter VIII: Implementation provisions


### 3.1.3. Environmental protection in economic sectors

### 3.1.3.1. Electricity

Law on Electricity 2004, approved on December $3^{\text {rd }} 2004$
In this law, electricity planning and investment, electricity saving, market, rights and responsibilities of organization and individuals in electricity development and utilization, protection of electric equipment, construction and safety are defined.

There are 10 chapters and 70 articles in the law. Chapter I - General provisions; chapter IIDevelopment planning and investment in electricity; chapter III - Saving in electricity generation, transference, distribution and utilization; chapter IV - Electricity market; chapter V - Permit for electricity activities; chapter VI - Rights and responsibilities of electricity agencies and customers; chapter VII - Protection of electric equipment, construction and safety; chapter VIII Electricity for rural, mountainous and island areas; chapter IX - State administration on electricity activities and utilization; chapter X - Implementation provisions.
Concerning electricity activities and the environment, Article 7, Term 8 defines that all acts violating the protection of national grid corridor and the safe distance of electric lines and stations should be strictly prohibited. Article 11, Term 2 defines that electricity project developers are responsible for conformation of investment, construction and environmental protection legislation. One condition for granting operation permit is that the environmental impact assessment (EIA)
should be carried out and EIA reports are approved by the relevant governmental authorities (Article 33, Term 3).

### 3.1.3.2. Construction

- Law on Construction 2003

Law on Construction which consists of 9 chapters and 123 articles was approved by the Parliament of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam on November $26^{\text {th }} 2003$. The law defines regulations on construction activities, rights and responsibilities of organization and individuals who invest and carry out construction activities.

According to Article 4, Term 1, major principle in construction is to ensure the construction follow planning and design, ensure aesthetic view, environmental protection and landscape protection, suit with natural and social conditions at the local areas. It is strictly prohibited all acts transgress protection corridor of transport, irrigation works, dykes, energy works and historical, cultural heritages. It is also prohibited to construct at the area having land slide or floods.

- Ordinance on environmental protection in construction sector: Decision No 29/1999/QDBXD dated October $22^{\text {nd }} 1999$ of Minister of Construction in establishment of Ordinance on environmental protection in construction sector.
- Circular of Ministry of Construction No 10/2000/TT-BXD dated August $8^{\text {th }} 2000$ : Guidance on making EIA reports for construction planning projects.
- Joint Circular No 01/2001/TTLT-BKHCNMT-BXD: Guidance on environmental protection for site selection, construction and operation of landfills.


### 3.1.3.3. Transport and tourism

- Ordinance on environmental protection in transport sector: Decision No 2242QD/KHKTPC dated September $9^{\text {th }} 1997$ of Minister of Transport in establishment of Ordinance on environmental protection in transport sector.
- Ordinance on environmental protection in tourism sector: Decision No 02/2003/QDBTNMT in establishment of Ordinance on environmental protection in tourism sector.

On July 29 ${ }^{\text {th }} 2003$, Minister of Natural Resources and Environment issued Decision No 02/2003/QD-BTNMT in establishment of Ordinance on environmental protection in tourism sector. According to this Decision, construction of tourism sites should be harmonized with the landscape and surrounding environment. Organizations and individuals should reserve a proper green areas and water surface in the tourism site. It is prohibited to cause erosion, land slide and spilling of construction materials during the construction of tourism sites at the sea, beaches, lakes, rivers and streams.

### 3.1.3.4. Aquaculture

- Law on Aquaculture, No 17/2003/QH11 dated November $26^{\text {th }} 2003$

Objects and effecting scope of the Law on Aquaculture include aquaculture activities of organizations and individuals at mainland, islands, internal water, sea territories, economic privilege areas and continent bench of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam. The law consists of 10 chapter and 62 articles, in which the protection and development of aquatic products are mentioned in Article 7 to 10, chapter II. Closely related to the Law on Environmental Protection, Article 7 defines that all organizations and individuals are responsible for protection of the habitats of aquatic species, activities affecting the habitats, migration and breeding of aquatic species should conform the Law on Environmental Protection and the Law on Water Resources and EIA reports should be carried out for those activities.

### 3.1.4. Health, culture and society

### 3.1.4.1. Law on Protection of citizens' health NA

### 3.1.4.2. Cultural heritages

The Law on Cultural Heritages, 26-9-2001, includes 7 chapters and 74 articles. Cultural heritages consist of material and immaterial heritages. They are the spiritual and material products that have great value in culture and history passing from one generation to another.
Based on historical, cultural and scientific value, historical and cultural heritages and famous sight seeing are assessed and ranked as local, national and international class. Ranked heritages should be protected. The Law on Cultural Heritage prohibits all acts that distort, destroy or endanger cultural heritages and transgress the heritage land. (Article 13).

### 3.1.4.3. Migration and minorities' people (?)

### 3.1.5. Environmental Standards, tax and fees

### 3.1.5.1. Vietnam Environmental Standards

On June $25^{\text {th }}$ 2002, former Minister of Science, Technology and Environment established Decision No 35/2002/QD-BKHCNMT in publishing a list of obligatory Environmental Standards. There are 31 Standards concerning air quality, water quality, soil quality, noise and vibration. Permitted levels of gas emissions, wastewater, noise by traffics, noise at the public and residential areas, vibration and shake in construction and industrial production, pesticide contamination in soil etc. were set.

### 3.1.5.2. Environmental Standards in Construction Sector

Decision No 27/2002/QD-BXD dated 23/9/2002 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards 282-2002: Air at working place; Standards for dust and air pollutants in industry and asbestos products.
Decision No 35/2001/QD-BXD dated 26/129/2001 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards 261- 2001: Landfill- Design Standards.

Decision No 22/1999/QD-BXD dated 28/7/1999 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards for selection criteria of surface and underground water for domestic use.

### 3.1.5.3. Vietnam Red Book 1992

Vietnam Red Book is a national document and has international importance. In this book, there are lists of rare species, which are in danger of degradation or extinction that need to be protected, rehabilitated and developed. It is the scientific base and legal base for recommendation of protection and rehabilitation measures for mentioned species and for punishment of destruction activities.

### 3.1.5.4. State law No 05/1998/PL-UBTVQH10 on resource tax

In 1998, in order to renovate the economic management mechanisms for protection, exploration and utilization resources properly, for environmental protection and ensure the income of State budget, Standing Committee of the national assembly issues State law No 05/1998/PLUBTVQH10 on resource tax (adjustment). This is the resource policy in operation in Vietnam. The main contents of the law are described below.

Objects of resource tax are organizations and individuals who explore natural resources at mainland, islands, internal water, sea territories, economic privilege areas and continent bench of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (including metal resources, non metal resources, soil, mineral
water, natural hot streams, oil and gas, natural forest products, natural aquatic products, natural water and other resources). Resource tax is calculated based on the actual explored resource commodities productivity.

Resource tax income is distributed based on percentage of different levels of the local budget. Resource tax income from oil and gas contributes to the state budget.
By establishing resource tax, the positive effects on resource exploration are created. Moreover, resource users also show positive changes in their behaviors since the tax is internalized in resource prices. Resource tax helps encouraging saving and proper exploration of resources and creates an income for state budget to reinvest in environmental and resource protection and development.

### 3.1.5.5. Decree 36 on wastewater fees

Fees are the income of state budget for compensation of expenses in construction, buying, maintenance and management of properties, resources or national sovereignty to serve organizations, individuals in non-productive activities and public activities. Charges are the income of state budget for state administration and judiciary according to the relevant regulations (State law 38/2001).

## Wastewater fees

Legal base for economic instruments in general and environmental protection fees includes: Law on Environmental Protection (1993), Decree 175/ND-CP Guidance for Enforcement of the Law on Environmental Protection (Article 8, 32, 33, 34), State law on fees and charges No 38/2001/PL-UBTVQH of Standing Committee of National Assembly (28/8/2001) and Decree No $57 / 2002 /$ ND-CP of the Government $(3 / 6 / 2002)$ on specifications in fees and charges enforcement.
In the State law on fees and charges No 38/2001/PL-UBTVQH of Standing Committee of National Assembly (28/8/2001) and Decree No 57/2002/ND-CP, lists of environmental protection fees are defined including wastewater fees. Followed Decree No 57/2002/ND-CP, on June $13^{\text {th }} 2003$, Decree No $67 / 2003 /$ ND-CP on environmental protection fees for wastewater including 3 chapters and 18 articles was established. On December $18^{\text {th }} 2003$, Ministry of Finance and Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment had issued joint Circular No 125/2003/TTLT-BTC-BTNMT guidance for enforcement of Decree No 67/2003/ND-CP.

The main contents of Decree No 67/2003/ND-CP and Circular No 125/2003/TTLT-BTCBTNMT is specifications of objects and effecting sphere and the fees rates for wastewater.

Table 3.1. Fees rates for industrial wastewater based on pollutants

| No | Pollutants in wastewater |  | Fees rate <br> ( $\mathrm{VND} / \mathrm{kg}$ of pollutants in wastewater) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name |  | RE A | RE B | RE C | RE D |
| 1 | Biochemical oxygen demand | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {BOD }}$ | 300 | 250 | 200 | 100 |
| 2 | Chemical oxygen demand | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {COD }}$ | 300 | 250 | 200 | 100 |
| 3 | Total suspended solids | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {TSS }}$ | 400 | 350 | 300 | 200 |
| 4 | Mercury | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Hg}}$ | 20000000 | 18000000 | 15000000 | 10000000 |
| 5 | Lead | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Pb }}$ | 500000 | 450000 | 400000 | 300000 |
| 6 | Arsenic | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {As }}$ | 1000000 | 900000 | 800000 | 600000 |
| 7 | Cadmium | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {Cd }}$ | 1000000 | 900000 | 800000 | 600000 |

* RE: Receiving environment

Objects and effecting sphere: industrial and domestic wastewater excluding wastewater from hydro power plants, salt production, domestic wastewater from households that have subsidies
from the Government, domestic wastewater in rural areas and areas without clean water supply. Industrial wastewater is the wastewater that comes from industrial enterprises such as food factories, agricultural, forest and aquatic products processing factories, slaughter houses, breweries and beverages factories, leather tanning and reprocessing factories etc.
Relating to the fees rates, wastewater is classified into 2 categories and fees rates based on (a) For domestic wastewater, fees are calculated based on percentage of the price of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ clean water (maximum rate should not be more than $10 \%$ of the water supply price excluding Value Added Tax). At the areas without clean water supply, the fees are based on average consumption per capita and the price of clean water in communes and wards; (b) For industrial wastewater, the fees are calculated based on pollutants in wastewater including BOD, COD, TSS, $\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{As}$ and Cd.

Total amount of wastewater is estimated in a certain period of time (one day, one month, a year). Fees rates also depend on receiving environment. According to joint Circular, receiving environment can be classified into 4 categories (A, B, C, D), based on the ranking of urban areas (Class I to IV) and rural areas.

Decree No 121/2004/ND-CP dated 12-5-2004 of the Government on punishment of administrative violation in environmental protection
According to Decree No 121/2004/ND-CP dated 12-5-2004 of the Government on punishment of administrative violation in environmental protection, the maximum punishment fees is up to 70 million VND applied for wastewater discharge, gas emissions discharge and solid waste disposal, transport and treatment of wastes containing radio activity that exceeds the permitted levels.
Violation of regulations on registration for meeting environmental standards will be warned or punished by paying the fees from 500 000-1000 000 VND, the violation inside industrial parks can be punished by paying 3 to 5 million VND.
Punishment fees range from 2 to 5 million VND for activities that make noise and vibration exceed the permitted levels from 22p.m to 6a.m.
Punishment fees range from 10 to 20 million VND for importing toxic technology and chemicals, genetic modified organisms and banned products.
Punishment fees range from 15 to 30 million VND for exploration at the nature conservation areas.

The Decree also defines punishment frame for emergency cases and unexpected incidents or the violation of unconscious individuals.

Decree No 34/2005/ND-CP dated 17/3/2005 of the Government on punishment of violation of the regulations on water resources.

### 3.2. LIST OF LEGAL DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE ENVIRONMENT

### 3.2.2. Legal documents in environmental sectors

- Law on Environmental Protection issued on 21/12/1993
- National Environmental Protection Strategy to 2010 and orientation to 2020 and Decision No 256/2003/QD-TTg dated 02/12/2003 of the Government in appraisal of National Environmental Protection Strategy to 2010 and orientation to 2020
- Strategy on Management of Vietnam Nature Conservation Systems to 2010 and Decision No 192/2003/QD-TTg dated 17/9/2003 of the Government in appraisal of Strategy on Management of Vietnam Nature Conservation Systems to 2010
- Vietnam's Action Plan on Biodiversity (approved by Decision No 845-TTg dated 22/12/1995 of the Prime Minister)
Decree No 109/2003/ND-CP dated 23/9/2003 of the Government in conservation and development of wetlands and the Circular guidance for enforcement of Directive No 359/1996/CT-TTg dated 29/5/1996 of the Prime Minister on emergency measures for protection and development of wildlife
- Law on Land promulgated on 26/11/2003
- Law on Forest Protection and Development, amended on 14/12/2004

Decree No 18/HDBT dated 17/1/1992 of the Ministerial Council on list of rare fauna and flora and protection mechanism

- Law on Water Resources

Decree No 34/2005/ND-CP dated 17/3/2005 of the Government on punishments of violation of the law on water resources

Decree No 149/2004/ND-CP dated 27/7/2004 of the Government on permission grant for exploration, utilization and discharge into water sources.

- Law on Mineral Resources (20-3-1996) and the amended Law on Mineral Resources dated 27/6/2005
- Decree No 68-CP dated 01-11-1996 of the Government on enforcement of the Law on Mineral Resources.

Decree No 63/2000/QD-BCN of Minister of Industry on conditions of organizations and individuals exploring mineral resources.
Joint Circular No 01/2003/TTLT-BCN-BTNMT dated 29/10/2003 of Ministry of Industry and Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment providing guidance for transference of state administration on mineral resources.

Directive No 10/2005/CT-TTg dated 15/4/2005 of the Prime Minister on strengthening of state administration on exploration, procession and export of mineral resources.

- Law on Oil and Gas 06/7/1993 and amended law 09/6/2000

Decree No 48/2000/ND-CP dated 12/9/2000 of the Government on enforcement of Decision No 116/2001/QD-TTg dated 02/8/2001 of the Prime Minister on incentives in foreign investment in oil and gas activities. Decree No 34/2001/ND-CP on Regulations of bidding of oil and gas exploration projects

- Law on Protection of Citizens' health
- Decree No 68/2005/ND-CP dated 20/5/2005 on chemical safety
- Decree No 1329/2002/BYT/QD dated 18/4/2002 of Minister of Health on Foods Hygiene Standards
- $\quad$ State law on radiation safety and control (25-6-1996)
- Circular No 2891-TT/KCM dated 19/12/1996 of the former Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment on environmental protection at Ha Long Bay.


### 3.2.2. Legal documents in economic sectors

## Law on Construction 26/11/2003

- Decision of Minister of Construction No 29/1999/QD-BXD dated 22/10/1999 in establishment of Ordinance on Environmental Protection in Construction sector.
- Decision No 27/2002/QD-BXD dated 23/9/2002 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards 282-2002: Air at working place; Standards for dust and air pollutants in industry and asbestos products.
- Circular of Ministry of Construction No 10/2000/TT-BXD dated August $8^{\text {th }} 2000$ : Guidance on making EIA reports for construction planning projects.
- Joint Circular No 01/2001/TTLT-BKHCNMT-BXD: Guidance on environmental protection for site selection, construction and operation of landfills.
- Decision No 35/2001/QD-BXD dated 26/129/2001 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards 261- 2001: Landfill- Design Standards.
- Decision No 22/1999/QD-BXD dated 28/7/1999 of the Minister of Construction in establishment Vietnam Construction Standards for selection criteria of surface and underground water for domestic use.


## Law on Electricity 03/12/2004

- Decree No 95/2001/QD-TTg on appraisal of Vietnam's electricity development planning period 2001-2010 orientation to 2020
- Decree No 45/2001/N§ -CP on electricity activity and utilization


## Law on Oil and Gas 06/7/1993 and amended law 09/6/2000

- Decree No 48/2000/ND-CP dated 12/9/2000 of the Government on enforcement of Decision No 116/2001/QD-TTg dated 02/8/2001 of the Prime Minister on incentives in foreign investment in oil and gas activities.
- Decree No 34/2001/ND-CP on Regulations of bidding of oil and gas exploration projects

Transport sector

- Decision No 35/20052005/QD-BGTVT dated 21/7/2005 of Minister of Transport in quality and safety checking and environmental protection for imported cars
- Ordinance on Environmental Protection in Transport sector
- Decision No 2242QD/KHKT-PC dated September $9^{\text {th }} 1997$ of Minister of Transport in establishment of Ordinance on environmental protection in transport sector.
- Joint Circular No 12/2005/TTLT-BTM-BTNMT-BGTVT dated 08/7/2005 providing guidance for marine safety in oil supply for ships.
- Decision No 34/2005/QD-BGTVT dated 21/7/2005 of Minister of Transport in quality, safety checking and environmental protection in car production and assembly
- Decision No 3155/1999/QD-BGTVT dated 12/11/1999 in temporary technical and environmental standards for vehicles and equipment used in construction of road


## Agricultural sector

- State law of Standing Committee of National Assembly on protection and quarantine of vegetations dated 25/7/2001
- Decision No 17/2001/QD-BNNPTNT dated 06/3/2001 of Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development in list of permitted, restrict used and banned pesticides in Vietnam
- Decree No 78/1996/ND-CP of the Government on punishment of violation of regulations of protection and quarantine of vegetations


## Aquacultural sector

- Directive No 01/1998/CT-TTg dated 02/1/2998 of the Prime Minister on prohibition of explosives, electricity and toxic substances in fishing
- Decree No 187-TS/QD dated 27/6/1991 of Minister of Aquaculture on protection of aquatic products.
- Decision No 02/2003/QD-BTNMT of Minister of Natural Resources and Environment in establishment of environmental protection ordinance in tourism.


## International conventions on environmental protection ratified by Vietnam

- Convention on wetlands having international importance (RAMSAR) (20/9/1988).
- Supplement Protocol on wetlands having international importance and being habitats of water birds, Paris, 1982.
- Convention on protection of natural and cultural heritages
- Convention on trade of endangered species (20/1/1994)
- Convention on prevention of pollution caused by ships MARPOL (29/8/1991).
- United Nations’ Convention on Environmental changes (26/8/1980).
- Vienna Convention on protection of the ozone layer, 1985 (26/4/1994).
- Basel Convention on control of Tran boundary transport and disposal of hazardous wastes (13/5/1995).
- United Nations’ Frame Convention on Climate change, 1992 (16/11/1994).
- Convention on Biodiversity, 1992 (16/11/1994).
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[^0]:    Source) EVN

[^1]:    - Technical specification Installed Capacity P(MW) : 1000 Design Discharge Qd(m³/s) : 240 Effective Head He(m):525 Peak Duration Time T(hrs) : 7

