

|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


N-1 Checking based on 2025_Night_Peak

| Monitored Elements |  |  |  | Base Flow\| | Maximum Flow | Impact | Rate | \% | Contingency |  | Countermeasures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1570 BIYAG-1 | 132.00 | 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.001 | 155.03 | 284.2 | 285.39 | 225 | 126.8\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1570 [BIYAG-1 BUS 1590 [SAPUGA-1 $132.00]$ CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Biyagama - Sapugaukanda |
| 1570 BIYAG-1 | 132.00 | 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.002 | 155.03 | 284.2 | 285.39 | 225 | 126.8\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1570 [BIYAG-1 BUS 1590 [SAPUGA-1 $132.00]$ CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Biyagama - Sapugaukanda |
| 1950 NCHW | 132.00 | 6290 NATTAN | 132.001 | 149.16 | 244.26 | 250.33 | 225 | 111.3\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1950 [NCHW | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: N_Chilaw - Nattandiya |
| 1950 NCHW | 132.00 | 6290 NATTAN | 132.002 | 149.16 | 244.26 | 250.33 | 225 | 111.3 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1950 [NCHW BUS 6290 [NATTAN 132.00 CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: N _Chilaw - Nattandiya |
| 1640 DENIY-1 | 132.00 | 5641 DENIY-T1 | 132.001 | 42.16 | 82.32 | 87.59 | 80 | 109.5\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1640 [DENIY-1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1640 DENIY-1 | 132.00 | 5642 DENIY-T2 | 132.002 | 42.16 | 82.32 | 87.59 | 80 | 109.5\% | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { OPEN LINE FROM BUS } 1640 \text { [DENIY-1 } \\ \text { BUS } 5641 \text { [DENIY-T1 } & \text { 132.00] CKT } 1\end{array}$ | $132.00]$ TO | switching over is effective |
| 1710 TRINC-1 | 132.00 | 6140 GALENB | 132.001 | 65.84 | 103.83 | 107.76 | 100 | 107.8\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1710 [TRINC-1 BUS 6140 [GALENB 132.00 CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1710 TRINC-1 | 132.00 | 6140 GALENB | 132.002 | 65.84 | 103.83 | 107.76 | 100 | 107.8\% | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { OPEN LINE FROM BUS } & 1710 \text { [TRINC-1 } \\ \text { BUS } 6140 \text { [GALENB } & 132.00] \text { CKT 1 }\end{array}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5581 KOTU-DU1 | 1220.00 | 201.53 |  | 265.12 | 250 | 106.0\% | OPEN Transformer Unit1 |  | switching over is effective |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5582 KOTU-DU2 | 2220.00 | 201.53 |  | 265.12 | 250 | 106.0\% | OPEN Transformer Unit2 |  | switching over is effective |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5583 KOTU-DU3 | 3220.00 | 201.53 |  | 265.12 | 250 | 106.0\% | OPEN Transformer Unit3 |  | switching over is effective |
| 1130 POLPI-1 | 132.00 | 6380 ANGUR | 132.001 | 63.49 | 92.87 | 93.85 | 100 | 93.9\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1130 [POLPI-1 BUS 6380 [ANGUR $\quad 132.00$ ] CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | - |
| 1130 POLPI-1 | 132.00 | 6380 ANGUR | 132.002 | 63.49 | 92.87 | 93.85 | 100 | 93.9\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1130 [POLPI-1 BUS 6380 [ANGUR $\quad 132.00$ ] CKT 1 | 132.00] TO | - |
| 1100 LAX-1 | 132.00 | 1120 WIMAL-1 | 132.001 | 44.23 | 88.24 | 90.19 | 100 | 90.2\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1100 [LAX-1  <br> BUS 1120 [WIMAL-1 132.00 CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | - |
| 1100 LAX-1 | 132.00 | 1120 WIMAL-1 | 132.002 | 44.23 | 88.24 | 90.19 | 100 | 90.2\% | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { OPEN LINE FROM BUS } 1100 \text { [LAX-1 } \\ \text { BUS } 1120 \text { [WIMAL-1 } & 132.00 \text { CKT } 1\end{array}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | - |
| 1680 KURUN-1 | 132.00 | 1770 KIRIB-1 | 132.001 | 41.19 | 82.64 | 89.39 | 100 | 89.4 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { OPEN LINE FROM BUS } 1680 \text { [KURUN-1 } \\ \text { BUS } 1770[K I R I B-1 & 132.00] \\ \text { CKT } 2\end{array}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | - |
| 1680 KURUN-1 | 132.00 | 1770 KIRIB-1 | 132.002 | 41.19 | 82.64 | 89.39 | 100 | 89.4\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1680 [KURUN-1 BUS $1770[$ KIRIB-1 $132.00]$ CKT 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 132.00] \mathrm{TO} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |

\footnotetext{
Voltage


## N-1 Checking based on 2025_Day_Peak

| Monitored Elements |  |  |  | Base Flow | ximum Flo | mact | Rate | \% | Contingency |  |  | Countermeasures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.00 | 1870 K_NIYA-1 | 132.001 | 3.61 | 224.22 | 35 | 165 | 135.46 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 1870 [K NIYA-1 | 1590 [SAPUGA-1 $132.00]$ CKT 2 | 132.00] TO | switching off lines: Sapugaskanda - Kelaniya |
| 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.00 | 1870 K_NIYA-1 | 132.002 | 123.61 | 224.22 | 223.35 | 165 | 135.46 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 1870 [K NIYA-1 | 1590 [SAPUGA-1 $132.00]$ CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Sapugaskanda - Kelaniya |
| 1110 N-LAX-1 | 132.00 | 1130 POLPI-1 | 132.001 | 41. | 56.05 | 56.05 | 45 | $124.6 \%$ | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 1130 [POLPI-1 | 1110 [ $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{LAX}-1$ 132.00] CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Laxapana - N Laxapana |
| 1110 N-LAX-1 | 132.00 | 1130 POLPI-1 | 132.002 | 41.98 | 56.05 | 56.05 | 45 | 24.6\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS | $\begin{aligned} & S 1110 \text { [N-LAX-1 } \\ & 132.00 \text { CKT } 1 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Laxapana - N L Laxapana |
| 1710 TRINC-1 | 132.00 | 6140 GALENB | 132.001 | 34.28 | . 99 | 54.27 | 45 | - | OPEN LINE FROM BUS | 1710 [TRINC-1 132.00 CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: TTrincomalee - Galenbindunnuwewa |
| 1710 TRINC-1 | 132.00 | 6140 GALENB | 132.002 | 34.28 | 53.99 | 54.27 | 45 | 120.68 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 6140 GGALENB | 1710 [TRINC-1 $132.00]$ CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: TTrincomalee - Galenbindunnuwewa |
| 1570 BIYAG-1 | 2.00 | 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.001 | 108.05 | 46 | 195.86 | 165 | 18.7\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1 BUS 1590 [SAPUGA-1 | 1570 [BIIAG-1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching off lines: Sapugaskanda - Biyagama |
| 1570 BIYAG-1 | 132.00 | 1590 SAPUGA-1 | 132.002 | 108.05 | 197.46 | 195.86 | 165 | 118.7\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 1590 [SAPUGA-1 |  | 132.00] TO | switching off lines: Sapugaskanda - Biyagama |
| 1680 KURUN-1 | 132.00 | 1770 KIRIB-1 | 132.001 | 25 | 49.77 | 49.34 | 45 | 109.6\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 1770 [KIRIB-1 | 1680 [KURUN-1 32.00] CKT 2 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1680 KURUN-1 | 132.00 | 1770 KIRIB-1 | 132.002 | 25 | 49.77 | 49.34 | 45 | 109.6\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1 BUS 1770 [KIRIB-1 132 | 1680 [KURUN-1 32.00] CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O ~}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1640 DENIY-1 | 132.00 | 5641 DENIY-T1 | 132.001 | 20.96 | 42.02 | 42.81 | 40 | 107.0\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS | $\begin{aligned} & 1640 \text { [DENY- } \\ & 132.00] \text { CKT } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 132.00] TO | switching over is effective |
| 1640 DENIY-1 | 132.00 | 5642 DENIY-T2 | 32.002 | 20.96 | 42.02 | 42.81 | 40 | 107.0\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 5641 [DENIY-T1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1640[\text { DENIY-1 } \\ & \text { 132.00] CKT 1 } \end{aligned}$ | 132.00] TO | switching over is effective |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5581 KOTU-DU1 | 220.00 | 180. | 266.43 | 266.43 | 250 | 106.6\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 5582 [KOTU-DU2 | 1580 [KOTUG-1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5582 KOTU-DU2 | 220.00 | 180.48 | 66.43 | 266.43 | 250 | 106.6\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1 BUS 5581 [KOTU-DU1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1580[\mathrm{KOTUG-1} \\ & 220.00 \mathrm{CKT} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching o |
| 2580 KOTUG-2 | 220.00 | 5583 KOTU-DU3 | 220.00 | 180.48 | 266.43 | 266.43 | 250 | $6.6 \%$ | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 5581 [KOTU-DU1 | 1580 [KOTUG-1 $220.00]$ CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1130 POLPI-1 | 132.00 | 1510 SITHA-1 | 132.001 | 129.94 | 175.23 | 175.23 | 165 | 106.2\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS BUS 5502 [KOSG-1T2 | 1130 [POLPI-1 $132.00]$ CKT 1 | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1670 MATARA-1 | 132.00 | 6010 WELIGA | 132.001 | 90.67 | 165.69 | 5.69 | 165 | 100.4\% | OPEN LINE FROM BUS 1 BUS 6010 [WELIGA $\quad 132$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1670 [MATARA-1 } \\ & 132.00 \text { CKT } 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{132.00] ~ T O}$ | switching over is effective |
| 1670 MATARA-1 | 132.00 | 6010 WELIGA | 132.002 | 90.67 | 165.69 | 165.69 | 165 | 100.4 | OPEN LINE FROM BUS | $\begin{aligned} & 1670 \text { [MATARA-1 } \\ & 132.00] \text { CKT 1 } \end{aligned}$ | 132.00] TO | switching over is effective |
| 1650 GALLE-1 | 132.00 | 1990 BADDE | 132.001 | 88.69 | 161.63 | 64.01 | 165 | 99.4\% |  | - |  | - |

4. Voltage $\begin{gathered}220 \mathrm{kV}\end{gathered}$

| Bus | Voltage | Contingency | Countermeasures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nothing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 132kV |  |  |  |
| Bus | Voltage | Contingency | Countermeasures |
| Nothing |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Appendix 18



## Generation Dispatch Schedule

| Site | 2010 |  |  | 2015 |  |  | 2020 |  |  | 2025 |  |  | Year COM |  | Retirement Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NP | DP | OP | NP | DP | OP | NP | DP | OP | NP | DP | OP |  |  |  |
| Old Lazapana | 50 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 50 | 25 | 0 | 50 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| New Laxapana | 100 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 50 |  | 100 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 0 |  |  |  |
| WTimalasurendra | 25 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 |  | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Poppitiya | 75 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 75 | 37.5 |  | 75 | 37.5 | 0 | 75 | 37.5 | 37.5 |  |  |  |
| Canyon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 |  | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Ampara | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 |  | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Samanalawewa | 120 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 60 | 60 | 120 | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Ukuwela | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 19 |  |  |  |
| Bowatenna | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Kelanitissa_GT7 | 115 | 115 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Sapugaskanda Diesel_ 1-4 | 72 | 72 | 72 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | R | $\bigcirc$ |  | r |  |  | 2013 |
| Sapugaskanda Diesel_5-12 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 36 |  |  | 0 |  | r |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 2023 |
| Sapugaskanda Diesel_GT | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 105 | 105 | 0 |  | 2024 |  |
| Kukule | 70 | 35 | 35 | 70 | 35 | 35 | 70 | 35 | 35 | 70 | 35 | 35 |  |  |  |
| Lakdhanavi CEB | R |  | - | T | - | $\square$ |  | $\square$ | < | 0 | 105 | 0 |  | 2024 |  |
| Lakdhanavi IPP | 22.5 | 0 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\bigcirc$ | r |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | < |  |  | 2013 |
| Asia Power (KHD) | 51 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2018 |
| Galle | 105 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 210 | 105 | 0 | 2009(105MW7), 2021(105MW7) |  |  |
| ACE Embilipitiya | 100 | 0 | 0 | r | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | $\square$ | $\square$ | r | r | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 2015 |
| CEE Matara | r | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | < | r |  | r | 105 | 105 | 0 |  | 2024 |  |
| Trincomalee_GT | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 35 |  | 0 |  | 2009 |  |
| Chunnakam | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |  | 70 | 70 |  | 70 | 35 | 3 | 2010(105MWV), 2020(105MW7) |  |  |
| Heladanavi IPP | 100 | 100 | 0 | r | r | R | $\checkmark$ | R | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | R | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 2015 |
| Heladanavi CEB | $\square$ |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ | 105 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 105 |  | 2020(105MW), 2022(105MW) |  |  |
| Paddirippu | 75 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 0 |  | 75 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 75 | 0 |  | 2010 |  |
| Kotmale | 130 | 65 | 65 | 130 | 65 | 65 | 130 | 65 | 65 | 130 | 65 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Colombo Power (Barge) | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2015 |
| Upper Kotmale | < |  | < | 150 | 75 |  | 150 | 75 | 0 | 150 | 75 | 0 |  | 2011 |  |
| Victoria | 34.1 | 48 | 91.2 | 83.4 | 89 | 28.5 | 114.2 | 32.4 | 53.6 | 47.4 | 138 | 56.7 |  |  |  |
| Randenigala | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 0 |  |  |  |
| CEE Kelanitissa_CCGT | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 | 165 |  | 165 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 165 | 0 |  |  |  |
| AES Kelanitissa | 163 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  | 2024 |
| Kerawalapitity__CCGT | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 150 | 300 | 300 | 150 | 300 | 300 | 150 | 2008(200MWW), $2009(100 \mathrm{MWW})$ |  |  |
| Kerawalapitiya_GT | 210 | 105 | , | 210 | 105 | 0 | 210 | 105 | , | 315 | 105 |  | 2009(105MW), 2010(105MW), 2022(105MW) |  |  |
| Panripitiya | $\sim$ |  |  | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | < | - | $\zeta$ | 315 | 315 | 105 | 2023(210MW), 2024(100Mm) |  |  |
| ACE Horana | 20 | 20 | 20 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | C | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |  | $\square$ |  |  | 2013 |
| ACE Matara | 0 | 0 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  | < | $\checkmark$ |  | r |  |  | 2012 |
| Chuuakam CEE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | , |  | 2010(35MW), 2020(35MW) |  |  |
| Rantembe | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 |  |  |  |
| Puttalam_Coal | \% | r | $\square$ | 600 | 600 | 550 | 1200 | 900 | 720 | 1800 | 900 | 1080 | 2011(600MWW), 2012/2020/2021/2022/2023(300MWW) |  |  |
| Trincomalee_Coal |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 600 | 600 | 360 | 600 | 300 | 360 | 2017/2018/2019(300MW) |  |  |
| Hambantota_Coal | $\checkmark$ |  | $\square$ | 900 | 600 | 550 | 1200 | 900 | 720 | 2100 | 1800 | 1200 |  |  |  |

## Reexamination of Construction Costs at New Hydro Power Project Sites

The projected construction costs at Moragolla, one of the candidate sites for a new hydro power project for the 2005-2019 long-term generation expansion plan (LTGEP), were reexamined in Chapter 6. Among the other candidate sites for development, Gin Ganga and Uma Oya are at the same pre-F/S survey stage as Moragolla. Although there is some need to reexamine the projected construction costs for these two sites in our survey, on the basis of the latest data, we have not discussed such reexaminations in this text, because we have chosen rather to emphasize the importance of changes in the development plan.

The CEB may, however conduct a comparative examination of construction costs in its internal review of and any resulting alterations to the Master Plan. We are therefore including as a reference the results of our reexamination of the projected construction costs for these two sites conducted as part of this survey.

## (1) Method of Reexamination of Construction Costs

Our reexamination of the projected construction costs for the two sites used the construction cost estimates for the Broadlands site, made by JICA in its Hydro Power Optimization Study (Feb. 2004), in considering construction cost estimates for the two sites in the CEB's 1989 Master Plan survey. Both sites represent larger-scale developments than at the Broadlands site, and basic factors in calculating construction costs (construction methods, unit costs) may therefore differ, but we have not given this any special consideration.

## (2) Results of Reexamination of Construction Costs

Our reexamination of costs found that construction costs for both projects will increase, but less than the escalation of costs in the 1992 calculations. This indicates that the increase in prices factored into the 1992 calculations was exaggerated relative to the real price base. In addition, local costs are affected by exchange rate fluctuations, and increases are therefore higher than foreign cost increases.

| Construction cost for Ginganga Site (Basic Cost) |  |  | Units: million US\$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year costs were <br> calculated | Foreign | Local | Total |
| 1992 | 76.38 | 16.47 | 92.85 |
| 2003 | 83.21 | 24.43 | 107.64 |
| Difference (\%) | $6.83(+8.4 \%)$ | $7.96(+48.3 \%)$ | $14.79(+15.9 \%)$ |

Note: "Local" represents the cost of the project multiplied by 0.9 .

| Construction cost for Uma Oya site (Basic Cost) |  |  | Units: million US\$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year costs were <br> calculated Foreign Local Total <br> 1992 233.94 53.61 287.55 <br> 2003 244.66 82.11 326.77 <br> Difference (\%) $10.72(+4.6 \%)$ $28.5(+53.2 \%)$ $39.22(+13.6 \%)$ |  |  |  |

The costs of the LTGEP hydro power projects at the candidate sites are shown in the table below. In all cases the basic costs, including interest during the construction period, are lower than the previous estimates.

| Plant | Source | Capacity (MW) | Construction Cost (mnUS\$) |  | Cost Basis | Exchange Rate <br> (Rs/US\$) | New Exchange Rate (Rs/US\$) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Foreign | Local |  |  |  |
| Gin Ganga | [1] | 49 | 83.21 | 24.43 | Sep. 2003 | 96 | 99.64 |
| Broadlands | [2] | 35 | 68.19 | 19.04 | Sep. 2003 | 96 | 99.64 |
| Uma Oya | [3] | 150 | 246.66 | 82.11 | Sep. 2003 | 96 | 99.64 |
| Moragolla | [4] | 27 | 58.69 | 15.89 | Sep. 2003 | 96 | 99.64 |

[1]** Masterplan project repot GING074
[2] Hydro Power Optimization Study,February 2004
[3]** CECE Pre-feasibility Study July 1991
[4]** Masterplan project MAHW263

* updated in June 1992,Refer Page 10-2 of Kukule Feasibility Study Report(Vol-1)
** updated in this study, Refer Hydro Power Opomization Study,February 2004

| Plant | Pure Capital Cost $\quad * *(\mathrm{US} \$ / \mathrm{kW})$ |  |  | Constr. <br> Period | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IDC@10\% } \\ & \text { (\% of pure } \\ & \text { costs) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Cost Input WASP IV |  | Total Cost <br> $* *(U S \$ / k W)$ <br> Incl.IDC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | **(US |  | Incl.IDC |  |
|  | Foreign | Local | Total |  |  | Foreign | Local |  |
| Gin Ganga | 1905.4 | 570.2 | 2475.7 |  | 5 | 23.78 | 2358.5 | 705.8 | 3064.4 |
| Broadlands | 2027.0 | 576.7 | 2603.7 | 4 | 18.53 | 2402.6 | 683.6 | 3086.2 |
| Uma Oya | 1761.1 | 597.5 | 2358.6 | 5 | 23.78 | 2179.9 | 739.6 | 2919.5 |
| Moragolla | 2438.9 | 673.2 | 3112.1 | 4 | 18.53 | 2890.8 | 797.9 | 3688.7 |

## Uma Oya Cost Sheet(1/2)

September. 2003


## Uma Oya Cost Sheet(2/2)

|  | Hydro-Mechanical Works |  |  | 5,691,200 | 633,000 | 6,324,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intake Structure(sum) <br> Total |  |  | 1,546,000 | 172,000 | 1,718,000 |
| 2 | Penstock <br> Total |  |  | $3,956,200$ | 440,000 | 4,396,000 |
|  | Draft Equipment Total |  |  | 189,000 | 21,000 | 210,000 |
| E | Electro-Mechanical Work |  |  | 58,148,000 | 6,461,000 | 64,609,000 |
| F | 132kV Transmission Line | km | 10.0 | 1,018,000 | 255,000 | 1,273,000 |
|  | Grand Total (A to F) |  |  | 203,473,200 | 69,646,000 | 273,119,000 |
|  | Adminstration <br> Engineering Service <br> Contingency | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 2\% of Direct Cost } \\ \text { 13\% of Direct Cost } \\ \text { 10\%:Prep.Env.Civil 5\% H } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 26,452,000 \\ \mathbf{1 6 , 7 3 1 , 0 0 0} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 5,463,000 } \\ & 9,054,000 \\ & 6,971,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 5,463,000 } \\ 35,506,000 \\ 23,702,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  | Grand Total |  |  | 246,657,000 | 91,233,000 | 337,890,000 |


| Ginganga Cost Sheet (1/2) |  |  |  |  |  | September. 2003 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Description |  | Unit | Quantity | Orice (US\$) |  | Amount (US\$) |
|  |  | Foreign |  | Local |  |
| A $\begin{array}{r}\text { A } \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ \\ 3\end{array}$ | Preparatory Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Access Road |  |  |  | 1,716,000 | 1,716,000 |
|  | Brige across the river | L.S | 1 | 1,042,000 | 348,000 | 1,390,000 |
|  | Power Supply | L.S | 1 | 668,000 | 222,000 | 890,000 |
|  | Construction Camp | L.S | 1 |  | 2,080,000 | 2,080,000 |
|  | Total Prepartoty Work |  |  | 1,710,000 | 4,366,000 | 6,076,000 |
| B | Environmental Mitigation $3 \%$ of Civil Works |  |  | 1,106,000 | 368,000 | 1,474,000 |
|  | Total Environmental Mitigation |  |  | 1,106,000 | 368,000 | 1,474,000 |
| C | Civil Works |  |  | 36,837,000 | 12,277,000 | 49,114,000 |
| 1 | Care of River <br> Total |  |  | 844,000 | 281,000 | 1,125,000 |
| 2 | Dam |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 15,345,000 | 5,115,000 | 20,460,000 |
| 3 | Intake |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 621,000 | 207,000 | 828,000 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | Headrace Tunnel |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Intake Tunnel <br> Sub Total |  |  | 278,000 | 92,000 | 370,000 |
| 4.2 | Main Tunnnel Sub Total |  |  | 13,955,000 | 4,652,000 | 18,607,000 |
|  | Total |  |  | 14,233,000 | 4,744,000 | 18,977,000 |
| 5 | Surge Chamber <br> Total |  |  | 1,400,000 | 467,000 | 1,867,000 |
| 6.1 | Penstock |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tunnel <br> Sub Total |  |  | 211,000 | 70,000 | 281,000 |
| 6.2 | Open <br> Sub Total |  |  | 142,000 | 47,000 | 189,000 |
|  | Total |  |  | 353,000 | 117,000 | 470,000 |
|  | Powerhouse <br> Total |  |  | 1,918,000 | 639,000 | 2,557,000 |
| 8 | Tailrace Total |  |  | 2,123,000 | 707,000 | 2,830,000 |

## Ginganga Cost Sheet (2/2)

| D | Hydro-Mechanical Works |  |  | 4,866,000 | 541,000 | 5,407,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Spillway Equipment Total |  |  | 2,629,000 | 292,000 | 2,921,000 |
| 2 | Intake Structure(sum) Total |  |  | 1,060,000 | 118,000 | 1,178,000 |
| 3 | Penstock <br> Total |  |  | 988,000 | 110,000 | 1,098,000 |
| 4 | Draft Equipment Total |  |  | 189,000 | 21,000 | 210,000 |
| E | Electro-Mechanical Work |  |  | 22,073,000 | 2,453,000 | 24,526,000 |
| F | 132kV Transmission Line | km | 23.0 | 2,342,000 | 586,000 | 2,928,000 |
|  | Grand Total (A to F) |  |  | 68,934,000 | 20,591,000 | 89,525,000 |
| Adminstration <br> Engineering Service <br> Contingency |  | 2\% of Direct Cost <br> 13\% of Direct Cost <br> 10\%:Prep.Env.Civil 5\% H |  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{8 , 9 6 1 , 0 0 0} \\ 5,319,000 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,791,000 \\ & 2,677,000 \\ & \mathbf{1 , 9 9 0 , 0 0 0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $1,791,000$ $11,638,000$ $7,309,000$ |
|  | Land Acquisition |  |  |  | 100,000 | 100,000 |
|  | Grand Total |  |  | 83,214,000 | 27,149,000 | 110,363,000 |

