



**MINISTRY OF LOCAL DEVELOPMENT
HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL**



**JAPAN INTERNATIONAL
COOPERATION AGENCY**

ACTION PLAN ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

September 2005



Madhyapur Thimi Municipality

Action Plan on Solid Waste Management of Madhyapur Thimi Municipality

Table of Contents

	Pages
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1- 1
1.1 Background of the Study	1- 1
1.2 Objectives of the Study	1- 1
1.3 Study Area	1- 1
1.4 Target of the Study	1- 2
1.5 Organization and Staffing of the Study	1- 2
1.6 Acronym and Slogan of the Study	1- 3
CHAPTER 2 CONDITIONS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MADHYAPUR THIMI MUNICIPALITY	2- 1
2.1 Outline of Madhyapur Thimi Municipality	2- 1
2.2 Waste Generation and Stream.....	2- 1
2.3 Collection and Transportation	2- 4
2.4 Solid Waste Minimization Activities	2- 4
2.5 Final Disposal.....	2- 5
2.6 Social Aspect	2- 6
2.7 Managerial Condition.....	2- 9
2.8 Financial Condition	2-11
2.9 Issues and Constraints on Municipal Solid Waste Management	2-11
CHAPTER 3 FUTURE FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION PLAN	3- 1
3.1 Socio-economic Framework (Projection of Future Population).....	3- 1
3.2 Solid Waste Management Ratio	3- 2
3.3 Projection of Future Generation of Solid Waste.....	3- 3
CHAPTER 4 UMBRELLA CONCEPT FOR FORMULATION OF ACTION PLAN	4- 1
4.1 Umbrella Concept of Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley	4- 1
4.2 Basic Concept for Improvement of Collection and Transportation.....	4- 2
4.3 Basic Concept for Promotion of Solid Waste Minimization	4- 3
4.4 Basic Concept for Improvement of Final Disposal System	4- 5
4.5 Basic Concept for Public Participation and Behavior Change	4- 7
4.6 Overall Facility Plan in the Kathmandu Valley	4- 9
4.7 Overall Equipment Procurement Plan in the Kathmandu Valley	4-14
4.8 Basic Concept for Organizational and Institutional Arrangement.....	4-15
4.9 Basic Concept for Financial Arrangement	4-17
CHAPTER 5 ACTION PLAN ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MADHYAPUR THIMI MUNICIPALITY	5- 1
5.1 Vision and Target.....	5- 1
5.2 Approaches, Strategies and Necessary Activities	5- 1

5.3	Financial Plan	5- 6
5.4	Monitoring Plan of Action Plans	5- 6

APPENDIX 1	Members of Technical Working Group and Task Force
APPENDIX 2	Solid Waste Stream Flow of MTM (Current and Future)
APPENDIX 3	Annual Work Plan of Fiscal Year of 2005/06 (2062/63) Proposed by Task Force (MTM)

List of Figures

Figure 1.5-1	Implementation Organization of the Study	1- 2
Figure 2.2-1	Frequency Distribution of UGR of Household in MTM	2- 3
Figure 2.7-1	New Organizational Structure of MTM SWM Related Sections.....	2-10
Figure 3.2-1	Definition of Waste Management Ratio.....	3- 3
Figure 4.1-1	Overall Framework of the Umbrella Concept of the Kathmandu Valley	4- 2
Figure 4.2-1	Definition of Collection and Transportation	4- 2
Figure 4.4-1	Schematic Presentation of Semi-aerobic System	4- 6
Figure 4.5-1	Behavior Change Stages	4- 8
Figure 4.5-2	Difference between Mass Communication and Interpersonal Communication.....	4- 9
Figure 4.6-1	Facilities Incorporated in the Alternatives 1-3	4-10
Figure 4.6-2	Operation Schedule of Overall Facility in the Kathmandu Valley.....	4-13
Figure 4.7-1	Future Projection of Transportation Requirement	4-15
Figure 4.8-1	Basic Concept for Institutional and Organizational Arrangement as Umbrella Concept	4-16
Figure 5.5-1	Linkage between Action Plan Monitoring System and Annual Work Plan	5- 6

List of Tables

Table 1.5-1	Roles, Tasks and Members of Organizations of the Study.....	1- 3
Table 2.2-1	Result of Waste Generation Quantity Survey at Household (MTM: Dry Season).....	2- 2
Table 2.2-2	Result of Waste Generation Quantity Survey at Household (MTM: Wet Season).....	2- 2
Table 2.2-3	Waste Quality (MTM).....	2- 3
Table 2.4-1	Distribution of Home/ Community-based Composting Activities supported by MTM	2- 4
Table 2.4-2	Composting Chambers in MTM	2- 5
Table 2.6-1	Waste Disposal Practice among HHs Surveyed (MTM).....	2- 6
Table 2.6-2	Availability and Use of Waste Collection Service in MTM.....	2- 7
Table 2.6-3	Perception on Responsibility for SWM in MTM.....	2- 8
Table 2.6-4	Major NGOs/CBOs Working in the Field of SWM in MTM	2- 9
Table 2.8-1	Budget on SWM in MTM.....	2-11
Table 3.1-1	Projected Population of Five Municipalities.....	3- 2
Table 3.2-1	Projected Solid Waste Generation Quantity	3- 4
Table 4.1-1	Components of Action Plans.....	4- 1
Table 4.2-1	Basic Concept for Improvement of Collection and Transportation	4- 3
Table 4.3-1	Composting Activities conducted in the Kathmandu Valley.....	4- 4
Table 4.4-1	Sanitary Landfill Levels.....	4- 7
Table 4.6-1	Alternatives Formulation	4-11
Table 4.6-2	Overall Facility Plan under the Umbrella Concept.....	4-12
Table 4.6-3	Estimated Costs of the Facility Plan (million Rs).....	4-14
Table 4.9-1	Estimated Cost for the Umbrella Concept (million Rs)	4-17
Table 4.9-2	Cost Sharing Concept under the Umbrella Concept	4-18

Table 4.9-3	Cost for SWMRMC and Municipalities (million Rs)	4-19
Table 5.1-1	Target of MTM.....	5- 1
Table 5.2-1	Strategies and Necessary Activities (MTM)	5- 2
Table 5.3-1	Implementation Schedule of Short-Term Activities (MTM).....	5- 4
Table 5.4-1	Ratio of SWM Cost to Municipality Own Revenue (MTM) (million Rs).....	5- 6

Abbreviations

<Organizations>

BKM	Bhaktapur Municipality
CDSS	Community Development and Sanitation Section
CMU	Community Mobilization Unit
HMG/N	His Majesty's Government of Nepal
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
KMC	Kathmandu Metropolitan City
KRM	Kirtipur Municipality
LSMC	Lalitpur Sub-Metropolitan City
MOLD	Ministry of Local Development
MTM	Madhyapur Thimi Municipality
NGO	Non Governmental Organization
SWMRMC	Solid Waste Management and Resource Mobilization Center
T/F	Task Force
TWG	Technical Working Group

<Metric Units>

g	Gram
g/L	Gram per liter
ha	Hectare
kg	Kilogram
kg/day	Kilogram per day
kg/d-capita	Kilogram per day per capita
km	Kilometre
km ²	Square Kilometer
L	Liter
mm	Millimeter
m ²	Square Meter
m ³	Cubic Meter
mg/L	Milligram per liter
m	Meter
°C	Centigrade
t	Ton
t/d	Ton per day

<Currency>

JPY	Japanese Yen
Rs	Nepalese Rupee
US\$	US Dollar

<Others>

A/P	Action Plan
BCC	Behavior Change Communication
CEO	Chief Executive Officer
CKV	Clean Kathmandu Valley
CRC	Community Recycling Center
CSO	Civil Society Organization
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment

FY	Fiscal Year
HH	Household
HRD	Human Resource Development
LF	Landfill
L/T	Long-term
LFS	Landfill site
M & E	Management and Evaluation
OFP	Overall Facility Plan
OVI	Objectively Verifiable Indicators
PSO	Private Sector Organization
PPP	Public-Private Partnership
PR	Public Relations
S/T	Short-term
STV	secondary transportation vehicle
SW-C	Solid Waste Compost
SWM	Solid Waste Management
T/S	Transfer Station
UGR	unit generation rate
VDC	Village Development Committee
WPF	waste processing facility

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Solid waste management (SWM) in The Kathmandu Valley faces great challenges not only in relation to the management system but also in gaining public awareness and participation of the people. In order to improve the current situations, His Majesty's Government of Nepal (HMG/N) and the Government of Japan have launched on a joint study titled "The Study on the Solid Waste Management for the Kathmandu Valley (the Study)" with the technical assistance of the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA). The Study commenced in January 2004 (Magh 2060¹) and ran for a total of 20 months until August 2005 (Bhadra 2062).

1.2 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the Study were;

1. To formulate Action Plans (A/Ps) on solid waste management for five municipalities in the Kathmandu Valley, namely Kathmandu Metropolitan City (KMC), Lalitpur Sub-Metropolitan City (LSMC), Bhaktapur Municipality (BKM), Madhyapur Thimi Municipality (MTM), and Kirtipur Municipality (KRM), and
2. To pursue technology transfer regarding SWM for the Nepalese counterpart (C/P) personnel.

Through the formulation of the A/Ps, which aimed to strengthen management capability for the solid waste of each municipality and encourage public participation for solid waste management, the management ratios² of solid waste are expected to increase, toward the target year of 2015. In particular, capacity development of the Nepalese C/P personnel for planning and management of solid waste was carried out over the study period, which included the implementation of a series of pilot projects.

1.3 Study Area

The Study covered the jurisdiction of the five municipalities in the Kathmandu Valley, namely KMC, LSMC, BKM, MTM and KRM. In addition, "Okharpauwa" where a landfill site is proposed was also covered.

¹ Nepalese Year

² Management ratio is the ratio of "the quantity of waste" that is managed by waste generators or municipalities in the appropriate ways such as source reduction, recycling, appropriate collection, treatment and disposal after it has been generated from the sources to "the total quantity of generated waste".

1.4 Target of the Study

In the Study, solid waste was broadly classified into four categories by generation source, i.e. 1) Municipal solid waste, 2) Industrial solid waste, 3) Medical solid waste, and 4) other solid waste including agricultural and construction waste.

The target solid waste of the Study was mainly municipal solid waste, non-hazardous waste that would be collected by the Municipality. However, the Study also made recommendations for industrial, medical and other solid wastes, but the management of night soil was not included in the Study.

1.5 Organization and Staffing of the Study

The Study established three implementation organizations on the Nepalese side, which are the Steering Committee (ST/C), Technical Working Group (TWG) and Task Force (T/F). The implementation organizations of the Study and their roles, tasks and members are shown in Figure 1.5-1 and Table 1.5-1, respectively.




Figure 1.5-1 Implementation Organization of the Study

Source: JICA Study Team

Table 1.5-1 Roles, Tasks and Members of Organizations of the Study

Organi- zation	Roles	Tasks	Members
ST/C	Coordination of relevant organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To confirm the overall policies and progress of the Study - To confirm and approve the contents of the reports to be submitted to the Nepalese side by the JICA Study Team - To discuss and approve the contents of the action plan to be prepared during the Study and to make a commitment to implement the action plan as policy makers - To coordinate the concerned organizations for SWM in the Kathmandu Valley and exchange information and opinions between the organizations of central and local governments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ministry of Local Development (MOLD) - SWMRMC - Five municipalities - Ministry of Environment, Science and Technology (MOEST) (formerly MOPE) - Ministry of Physical Planning and Works (MOPPW) - Ministry of Industry, Commerce and Supplies (MOICS) - Ministry of Education and Sports (MOES) - Ministry of Agriculture and Cooperative (MOAC) - Ministry of Health and Population (MOHP) - Members of TWG (as observers)
TWG (C/P)	Implementation of capacity development and technology transfer from the JICA Study Team	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To carry out the Study together with the JICA Study Team. - To prepare necessary documents and materials which are to be discussed at the ST/C - To organize and operate a T/F 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - MOLD - SWMRMC - Staff of KMC - Staff of LSMC - Staff of BKM - Staff of MTM - Staff of KRM
T/F	Coordination of opinions within the relevant departments, formulation of action plan, formulation and implementation of pilot projects	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To formulate an Action Plan of the municipality and conduct pilot projects under the support from the JICA Study Team. - To coordinate opinions among the relevant sections of each municipality - To carry out Public Hearings with an aim to collect opinions from the residents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Members of TWG - Planning relevant section - Environmental and Public Relations relevant sections - Community mobilization/development section - Financial section - NGOs/CBOs - Private sectors - Intellectuals

Source: JICA Study Team

1.6 Acronym and Slogan of the Study

Based on the discussions of the TWG members, the Study adopted the acronym “CKV” which stands for “Clean Kathmandu Valley” to make the Study easier to promote and to be identifiable by relevant organizations and residents of the Kathmandu Valley as part of the public relations activities.

The Study also put up a slogan, “Sapha Sahar Hamro Rahar” in Nepalese, which means “clean city is our desire”.

Both the acronym and slogan have been spreading gradually by relevant organizations.

CHAPTER 2 CONDITIONS OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MADHYAPUR THIMI MUNICIPALITY

2.1 Outline of Madhyapur Thimi Municipality

Madhyapur Thimi Municipality (MTM) was established in 1998 forming together with five contemporary VDCs. The municipality is spread over an area of about 11 km² and it is divided into 17 wards administratively. Due to very few chances of job opportunities within the municipal area, many of the indigenous people migrated out from MTM to mega cities nearby during the 1980s and early 1990s. But after declaration of MTM as a Municipality, employment opportunity increased within the municipality with the urbanization, and as in KMC and LSMC, more and more people migrated to MTM.

The municipal population was estimated at near 50,000 in the 2001 census with a 2.6% annual growth rate calculated from the 1991 census. But the current population growth rate of the municipality can be considered to be very high as with the rest of the Kathmandu Valley due to the national political situation in the last five years, and this trend will continue at least for the next ten years. Based on the discussions in different talk programs and seminars in Nepal, the scenario of MTM is expected to produce high pressure toward rapid urbanization due to both the proposed new Banepa-Sindhuli corridor and limitation of housing plots remaining in KMC and LSMC. Especially, Wards 15, 16 and 17 recorded remarkable population growth with an increase in the range of 150-370% over ten years.

Most of the lands within the municipality are virgin and are used for farming purposes which accounted for about 80% of the total municipal area as per the 1991 census. But due to rapid urbanization the land use pattern is changing drastically from agricultural to residential. In the last two years, the municipality has declared about 0.15 km² of agricultural land for land pooling to be converted into organized dwelling units.

2.2 Waste Generation and Stream

(1) Waste Quantity

TWG members of MTM summarized the current situation of waste quantity as follows.

Population:	47,751 (2001 census)
Total Waste Generated:	14.36 tons/day (0.3 kg/day-capita)
Monthly Production:	429.7 million tons
Annual Production:	5,157,108 tons

The JICA Study Team conducted a waste quantity survey in MTM, with five samples from households and three samples from commercials and offices. The sampling dates were April 16 and 17, 2004, Friday and Saturday. The result of the survey for households is shown below. About 0.9 L of waste with 170 to 221 g/L of bulk density is generated per capita day on weekdays and weekend respectively.

Table 2.2-1 Result of Daily Waste Generation Quantity Survey of Households (MTM: Dry Season)

Income Level	Weekdays			Weekends		
	Waste generation per person weight (g)	Waste generation per person volume (L)	Bulk density (g/L)	Waste generation per person weight (g)	Waste generation per person volume (L)	Bulk density (g/L)
Average	153	0.9	170	199	0.9	221

Source: JICA Study Team

It was observed that a 0.4 to 2.5 kg/day of waste was generated with 270 to 360 g/L of bulk density from a ward office.

The second waste quantity survey in the wet season was conducted in MTM in September 2004. 50 households and 15 commercials were sampled. The result of this detail waste quantity survey of households in MTM is shown in Table 2.2-2.

Table 2.2-2 Result of Daily Waste Generation Quantity Survey of Households (MTM: Wet Season)

Income Level	Weekdays			Weekend		
	Waste generation per person weight (g)	Waste generation per person volume (L)	Bulk density (g/L)	Waste generation per person weight (g)	Waste generation per person volume (L)	Bulk density (g/L)
High	178	0.8	219	193	0.8	235
Middle	151	0.8	200	150	0.8	193
Low	176	1.0	178	180	1.1	161
Average*	161	0.8	198	165	0.9	192

Note: * This is the average for only the surveyed households, it does not reflect the actual dispersion of income level

Source: JICA Study Team

Figure 2.2-1 shows the frequency distribution of the unit generation rate (UGR) of surveyed households at different income levels. A large peak of unit generation rate for surveyed households for each income level is shown on around 50 to 100 g/day-capita and a small peak on around 250 to 350 g/day-capita. There is no household who generates waste of more than 600 g/day-capita.




Figure 2.2-1 Frequency Distribution of UGR of Households in MTM

Source: JICA Study Team

(2) Waste Quality

Waste quality given by TWG members of MTM and as surveyed by the Study Team is shown in the following table.

Table 2.2-3 Waste Quality (MTM)

Items	Households			Commercial
	MTM data	Study		
			Dry Season	Wet Season
Kitchen waste	74%	74.0% (94.4%)	85.6% (82.6%)	70.5% (73.7%)
Paper	6%	11.7% (0.7%)	5.9% (8.6%)	13.9% (13.1%)
Textile	1%	0.5% (0.3%)	0.8% (0.6%)	5.8% (6.5%)
Wood/leaves	-	2.0% (0%)	1.9% (0.3%)	0.0% (0.8%)
Plastic	5%	6.1% (4.0%)	5.2% (6.2%)	9.2% (5.5%)
Rubber/leather	-	0% (0%)	0.0% (0.0%)	0.0% (0.1%)
Metal	3%	0.5% (0%)	0.2% (0.8%)	0.4% (0.0%)
Glass	2%	5.1% (0.7%)	0.1% (0.6%)	0.0% (0.0%)
Ceramics	-	0% (0%)	0.0% (0.0%)	0.0% (0.0%)
Others	9%	0% (0%)	0.4% (0.2%)	0.2% (0.4%)
Bulk density	-	176 g/L (221 g/L)	198 g/L (192 g/L)	162 g/L (295 g/L)
Water content	-	41% (57%)	60% (65%)	52% (64%)

Note: Lower numerical value in parenthesis is data on weekends and upper on weekdays

Source: JICA Study Team, May 2004 for Dry Season, June 2005 for Wet Season

2.3 Collection and Transportation

MTM does not have any motorized vehicles for solid waste management except four rickshaws and 17 handcarts. A total of 20 municipal sweepers collect waste, about 5 tons per day according to TWG members, from 6:00 a.m. and complete work by 10:00 a.m. everyday from the main streets in the municipality. Due to shifting to night/early-morning collection, municipal sweepers and private sectors collect the waste within the designated collection time since February 2005.

Two private sectors are conducting door-to-door collection without any consultation with MTM in Wards 15, 16 and 17. They charge about Rs 100 per household per month and collect the waste once a day. In addition to these private sectors, since June 2005, two more private sectors have started waste collection services in the municipal area. For this, MTM has recently prepared a draft guideline for the agreement with these private sectors to try to manage their activities appropriately.

2.4 Solid Waste Minimization Activities

(1) Composting

MTM has promoted home and community-based composting activities in which a total of 84 compost bins/drums have been distributed as shown in Table 2.4-1. MTM, with support from NGOs and GTZ/UDLE, has also provided people with training sessions on how to make compost.


Table 2.4-1 Distribution of Home/Community-based Composting Activities supported by MTM

Target	Compost bin/drum	Number	Cost
Women's Group in Ward 1	200 liter drum	10	1,500 Rs/drum
Ward Office	200 liter drum	1	-
Private School	50 liter pottery bin	20	600 Rs/bin
Government School	200 liter drum	11	-
Ward 8	100 liter plastic bin	42	350 Rs/bin (subsidized rate)

Source: MTM Task Force

At the community level, a NGO proposed a composting chamber, which is similar to one installed in Jorpati VDC in KMC. To that end, in March 2003, MTM constructed two composting chambers as briefly described in Table 2.4-2. However, one chamber at Ward 13 operated for only three months and has stopped because of structural defects such as weak beams damaged by load of the waste in the chamber. Another composting chamber between Wards 10 and 11 has been lying idle because of failure to collect separated organic waste due to lack of awareness and understanding of the residents for payment for waste collection service fee to the NGO.

Table 2.4-2 Composting Chambers in MTM

No.	Items	Contents
1.	Composting method	Composting chamber 
2.	Starting time	March 2003
3.	No. of constructed chambers	Two
4.	Dimensions and structure of the chamber	2.5 (width) x 2.5 (depth) x 4 (height), brick structure
5.	Effective capacity	2.5 (width) x 2.5 (depth) x 2.5 (height), About 15 m ³ (volume)
7.	Composting duration	Expected two to three months
8.	Capacity per chamber	For 1,000 persons, About 0.5 t/day
9.	Operation & maintenance	NGO (Plan International)
10.	Selling price	Members of community: free Others: 6 Rs/kg

Source: JICA Study Team

(2) Recycling

It is reported by MTM that there are no kabadi shops within the Municipality. Independent recyclers take away recyclable materials to kabadi shops located outside of MTM.

A NGO, Samyukta Mahila Uthan Samitee (Integrated Women's Upliftment Committee) has been implementing cleaning and improving sanitary activities including sweeping, composting, and segregation and recycling of waste.

2.5 Final Disposal

The waste collected is disposed of by open dumping in open spaces, and along the rivers flowing through the municipality such as the Manahara River bank. Before start of the Pilot Project of collection and transportation, there was no mechanized collection and transport system of the waste (2 tricycles are used) and the collected wastes have been dumped in the vicinity of the living area by the inhabitants and the sweepers. There are about 5-6 main locations where dumping is observed.

2.6 Social Aspects

(1) Major Findings of Household Behavior and Attitude Survey on SWM¹

1) Priorities of Public Services

Waste collection was ranked as the top priority (35% of sample HHs) regarding public services and utilities for improvement in MTM, followed by water supply (30%) and drainage/sewerage (10%). It could be assumed that insufficient collection services or no fixed final disposal site within MTM made the respondents feel seriously concerned about SWM.

2) Waste Disposal and Management

As indicated by almost 60% of sample HHs, open dumping on roads or vacant land, or on the banks of streams and rivers was the most prevalent practice adopted in MTM. Composting (23%) and open combustion (22%) were also popular disposal practices (See Table 2.6-1). About half of those who were dumping waste outside the house responded that they followed this practice because it had been a long practice among their family. Neither door-to-door service nor collection containers were available and this was another reason for open dumping. Female adult members were mostly responsible for handling waste as well as taking out waste for disposal. As 18% of sample HHs reported, children were also involved in handling waste. Regarding taking waste out the house, other members than the female adult also took this role. The majority of HHs disposed of waste once a day or every 2-3 days. A total of 84% of sample HHs stored waste in the backyard and 14% of HHs put it in the kitchen. The nature of the place for waste storage in MTM was very similar to that of KRM. Plastic bags were the most popular containers (almost 60% of sample HHs), followed by baskets (26%).

Table 2.6-1 Waste Disposal Practice among HHs Surveyed (MTM)

Sample HH nos.	74#	147%
Dispose of waste by door-to-door collection service	8	11%
Dispose of waste by putting into Municipal or Communal Container	5	7%
Dispose of waste at Municipality's designated disposal site	13	18%
Dispose of waste by open dumping out side the house	44	59%
Dispose of waste by open combustion	16	22%
Dispose of waste by burying in the ground	5	7%
Dispose of waste by Composting	17	23%
Dispose of waste by giving it for recycling	1	2%
Dispose of waste by using as animal feed	-	0%

Note: Considering more than one method was given by the respondents, the summation of responses exceeds 100%.

Source: JICA Study Team, 2004 "Interview and Questionnaire Survey of Households, Establishments and NGOs/CBOs regarding Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley"

¹ In MTM, 5 out of 17 wards were selected based on the core area, sub-core area and fringe area. A total of 75 sample HHs were selected.

3) Existing Waste Collection Services

The proportion of those who received service and used it remained at a low of 35% of sample HHs. A total of 64% of HHs have expressed their need to have such services. Regarding the mode of waste collection, carrying to a specific site (54% of respondent sample HHs) and door-to-door collection services (31%) were prevailing. All of those who received door-to-door collection services responded that such services were provided by the municipality. Waste collection services were mostly available more than 4 times per week (35% of respondent sample HHs) and daily (42%). A negligible 3% of HHs surveyed responded that they paid for services. It was noted that this proportion was the lowest among the five municipalities. That is because MTM has not introduced a payment system. In addition, there seemed to be a limited number of NGOs which provide collection services with payment.

Table 2.6-2 Availability and Use of Waste Collection Service in MTM

Sample HH nos.	74#	100%
Service available and used	26	35%
Service available and not used	0	0%
Service not available but required	47	64%
Service neither available nor required	1	1%

Source: JICA Study Team, 2004 "Interview and Questionnaire Survey of Households, Establishments and NGOs/CBOs regarding Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley"

4) Waste Reduction and Recycling

About 30% of sample HHs responded that they have knowledge about separation and actually separate waste, mostly into two categories. Close to 40% of HHs responded that they have knowledge but are not practicing separation. Further, the remaining 30% of HHs neither had knowledge nor practiced source separation of waste. This proportion was the second highest, behind that of KRM. However, there was a relatively positive attitude towards source separation. Around 16% of HHs were willing to cooperate for source separation. Furthermore, 64% of sample HHs responded that they were somewhat willing to do so. Regarding the unwillingness to cooperate, the following reasons were included; a) inconvenient and difficult to separate, b) taking too much time to separate and c) not clear on benefits of recycling system. The disposal practice for recyclable materials in MTM was very similar to that of KRM. More than half of sample HHs responded that they did not sell recyclable materials. Similarly they noted that buyers rarely visited their houses for collecting these materials. Only 16% of sample HHs noted that they sold their recyclable materials to the buyer. A total of 24% of HHs did not sell these materials although buyers visited the house. The major items collected for sale were glass and papers. The kitchen waste was also sold to the buyer who visited the house.

A total of 66% of sampled HHs responded that they have knowledge about making compost. The source of knowledge on compost making was as follows; a) NGOs/CBOs (35%), b) residents themselves (29%), c) the municipality (20%), d) private (10%), and e) others (6%). However, only one fourth of the sampled HHs responded having actual experience in making compost. With regard to the methods for making compost, both using containers/compost bins (47% of respondent sample HHs) and composting in open spaces/organic fields (53%) were reported. It could imply that composting has become popular in MTM through different initiatives taken by various stakeholders. The majority of those who had no

experience have shown a positive attitude towards making compost. In other words, the proportion of those who were not willing to make compost was 22%, which was the lowest among the five municipalities. There seemed to be high interest in compost making among residents in MTM. A total of 12 HHs have illustrated the major reasons for unwillingness to compost as follows; a) inconvenient and difficult to compost (25% of respondent sample HHs), b) taking too much time as we have no time (25%) and c) not clear on necessity of composting (25%).

5) Public and Community Involvement

Close to 50% of sample HHs responded that they themselves should be responsible for SWM. A total of 32% of HHs considered that SWM was the duty of the Municipality. Almost 20% of HHs had the perception that private companies should take responsibility for SWM (See Table 2.6-3). A negligible 1% of HHs actually took initiatives towards SWM. On the other hand, 93% of HHs showed willingness to pay if services are available. It implied that the majority of sample HHs have felt a desperate need for effective collection services. A total of 4% of HHs reported that they have been already paying. A negligible 3% of HHs reported that they were not willing to pay. The majority of HHs could afford to pay Rs 11-30 per month (64% of sample HHs), followed by less than Rs 11 (19% of HHs). Only 16% of sample HHs have participated in CBOs' activities in SWM. However, the majority of HHs surveyed considered that these CBOs' activities related to SWM were necessary or somewhat necessary. Those who showed a positive attitude would like to participate in the following activities; a) any activities related to SWM, b) waste collection in the community, and c) awareness campaign.

Table 2.6-3 Perception of Responsibility for SWM in MTM

Sample HH nos.	74#	100%
Government/Ministry of Local Dev.	2	3%
Municipality	24	32%
Sweepers	1	1%
Yourselves	34	46%
Our Communities/CBOs	0	0%
Private Company	13	18%
NGO	0	0%
Do not know	0	0%
Others	0	0%

Note: Considering more than one answer given by the respondents, the summation of responses exceeds 100%.

Source: JICA Study Team, 2004 "Interview and Questionnaire Survey on Households, Establishments and NGOs/CBOs regarding Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley"

(2) Municipality's programs regarding community mobilization on SWM

Since MTM is one of the newly-established municipalities with a small number of staff, the Community Development and Sanitation Section (CDSS) is partially in charge of the community mobilization for SWM. To date, CDSS has promoted a few small-scale SWM activities with the support of GTZ/UDLE. They include clean up programs, training on composting, and environmental education for school teachers. In 2002, MTM allowed one NGO to construct two community composting chambers and to form two user groups named Community-Based SWM Committees covering Wards 13 and 14, as well as Wards 8 and 10.

Unfortunately, these two chambers did not function four months after construction due to technical problems. There were other reasons as follows; a) lack of technical support for these Committees from this NGO or MTM, b) insufficient social mobilization process including awareness program before constructing chambers, and c) poor communication and coordination among stakeholders.

(3) NGOs/CBOs' Programs regarding Community Mobilization in SWM

Several NGOs/CBOs' activities focusing on awareness, clean up programs and composting have been observed in MTM. The summary of such activities is indicated below.

Table 2.6-4 Major NGOs/CBOs Working in the Field of SWM in MTM

Name of NGOs/CBOs	Year of foundation	Number of staff	Working Areas
Samyukta Mahila Uthan Samittee*	1993	30-35 volunteers	Awareness, composting (210 HHs), Bucket distribution (120 HHs) with support of World Vision, Clean up program in Ward 1
Innovative Idea	2000	10	Paper recycling in collaboration with ECCA
JESIS	NA	NA	Bucket distribution in Ward 7 (135 HHs)
World Vision	2000	150	Bucket distribution through Samyukta Mahila Uthan Samittee in Ward 1 (120HHs), Community-based sanitation activities including distribution of buckets in Wards 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14
Self-help group of chapacho	NA	NA	Clean up in Ward 10
Child club of pobu & woman's group of pobu	NA	NA	Clean up program, plantation after cleaning open disposal site in Ward 9
Nave chatrodarya pustkalaya	NA	NA	Small scale training in SWM
Community-based SWM Committee in Wards 13&14	2003	2	Collection of separated waste, management of community chambers in Wards 13 &14 (Since March 2004, their activities have been halted.)
Community-based SWM Committee in Wards 8&10	2003	2	Collection of separated waste, Management of community chambers in Wards 8&10 (Since March 2004, their activities have been halted.)

Note: * According to MTM, there is a coordination with MTM at a program/activity level.

NA means not available.

Source: JICA Study Team, 2004 "Interview and Questionnaire Survey of Households, Establishments and NGOs/CBOs regarding Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley"

2.7 Managerial Condition

(1) Organizational Structure and Managerial Practices

1) Organizational Structure

MTM has only a short history as a municipality. In 1997, MTM was formed combining five surrounding VDCs. MTM's municipal organizational structure is still in its nascent stages.




Figure 2.7-1 New Organizational Structure of MTM SWM Related Sections

Source: MTM, 2005

In principle, the Community Development and Sanitation Section (CDSS) has a responsibility in regards to sanitation activities within the municipality and manages 20 sweepers. This organizational arrangement may be a reflection of MTM's vision that SWM should be resolved through community level initiatives. Among other activities, CDSS provides community-level training and awareness raising sessions on SWM, with a special focus on composting. It also supports the activities of community level composting chamber committees. Sometimes resource persons from UDLE are invited as community motivators. More and more, the Planning and Technical Section is being involved in SWM issues, especially on formulation of long-term strategies and facilities planning.

More recently, with the support of the Pilot Projects under the Study, a new organogram was introduced where a SWM Sub-Section was established under the CDSS. The new structure is operationally in practice, but awaits official approval from the Municipal Council in July 2005.

2) Managerial Practices

MTM has experienced various community level initiatives to address SWM issues. Aside from the community composting chamber scheme, which was attempted in two communities, CBOs in Wards 1, 10 and 13 promoted composting and recycling. Although the results of these community level activities have been uneven, there appears to be a common appreciation within the municipality for a community-based approach to SWM. Such tendency could be observed at all levels of the municipal hierarchy starting with the former Mayor and other high level officials to field level staff.

Despite this common vision for SWM, the municipality is not equipped to sufficiently support the various community-level activities. CDSS as well as the Planning and Technical Section are grossly understaffed and staff are spread thin over a multitude of responsibilities. Close linkages with the political appointees, and their immediate involvement in operational issues have resulted in taking away authority regarding program planning and operations from operational level staff. This aspect appears to be alleviated under the recent leadership of the CEO.

(2) Human Resources

MTM is suffering from a shortage of human resources. It has only three officers among 85 staff of whom only one is a technical officer.

No specific post exists for SWM technicians; nevertheless, the CDSS Chief and Planning and Technical Section Chief have accepted SWM responsibilities as part of their portfolios. Neither has any specific background in SWM. Within CDSS, a graduate of a UDLE SWM training course has returned from a one-year deputation to a ward level office. With the establishment of the new SWM Sub-Section under CDSS, it is expected that new staff would be recruited, however to date; the same two staff from CDSS are functioning as staff of the SWM Sub-Section.

At the field level, MTM manages 20 sweepers who are responsible for sweeping the main roads. These sweepers provide services to the major roads within MTM and transport waste to dumping sites within the municipality's premises.

2.8 Financial Condition

MTM prepared budget for direct expenditure incurred by SWM services as shown in Table 2.8-1. MTM spent only 2-3 % of total expenditure on SWM.

Table 2.8-1 Budget on SWM in MTM

Fiscal Year	SWM Budget (R)	% in Total Municipal Budget
2001/02 (2058/59)	650,000	3.1%
2002/03 (2059/60)	300,000	2.0%
2003/04 (2060/61)	550,000	2.6%

Source: MTM Task force

2.9 Issues and Constraints on Municipal Solid Waste Management

The analyzed issues and constraints on SWM in MTM are discussed as follows.

(1) Collection and Transportation

- MTM has lacked a collection and transportation system including equipment and human resources. Especially, because there is no waste transportation truck, MTM has no choice but to dispose of the waste once collected by municipal sweepers downhill behind the central city area.

(2) Solid Waste Minimization Activities

- MTM has constructed two compost cambers which are not operated because of lack of waste collection system and technical problems with the cambers.
- MTM has promoted community-based and home composting activities in which MTM has provided a total of 84 compost drums to women's groups, schools and the ward office. However, there are only a few activities for recycling done by NGOs/CBOs and/or supported by MTM.

(3) Final Disposal

- Open waste is scattered throughout the city because of the lack of collection equipment, and hence absence of a collection system. In addition, MTM hasn't maintained the open dumping sites.
- MTM plans to transport its collected wastes to the Taikabu LF or other LF, once it starts operation.

(4) Social Aspects

- The Community Development and Sanitation Section (CDSS) with only two staff have faced considerable difficulties in its efforts at undertaking a variety of community mobilization activities for SWM. In addition, the CDSS has sometimes carried out ad hoc activities since it had neither a clear nor comprehensive strategy regarding the community mobilization and the partnership with other organizations for effective SWM.

(5) Managerial Conditions

- MTM's serious constraint is the shortage of trained and skilled human resources within municipal staff. In other words, the workload of the limited number of technical staff is overwhelming, and thus such staff cannot spend enough time on any given municipal program. Under such circumstances, when conducting human resource development programs, absorption capacities are limited and opportunities to apply new learning is even more difficult.

CHAPTER 3 FUTURE FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION PLAN

3.1 Socio-economic Framework (Projection of Future Population)

In Nepal, the official document which can be an aid to project future socio-economy at the national level is the Tenth Plan (Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper) 2001/02 (2058/59¹)-2006/07 (2059/60), May 2003, National Planning Commission (NPC) of HMG/N. The Tenth Plan is the government's main medium-term strategic planning document which provides sharply focused strategies for poverty alleviation.

This Tenth Plan discussed two alternative scenarios. The Normal Case scenario aims to reduce the overall poverty ratio from 38% estimated at the end of the Ninth Plan (2001/02) to 30% by 2006/07, while an alternative Lower Case scenario was 33%. This lower case scenario was used as the basis for formulating the budget and the Medium Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF) FY2003/04-05/06.

On the other hand, at the Valley level, the Kathmandu Valley Town Development Committee (KVTDC) under the Ministry of Physical Planning and Works (MOPPW) and the Department of Urban Development and Building Construction (DUBB) has a responsibility for preparing a physical development plan of the Kathmandu Valley.

The Kathmandu Valley Town Development Plan (KVTDP) 2020 was prepared in the year 2002 by KVTDC to establish a broad regional framework plan (strategic plan) for the Kathmandu Valley integrating both land use and the urban transportation network, which guides the valley's future growth in a planned manner.

The KVTDP 2020 had estimated that if a gross density of 300 persons per hectare could be achieved within the existing urban areas, the demand for urban land in the 20 years would be slightly over 3,600 ha. However, considering the difficulty in effecting public perception about apartment housing and overturning the current trend of owner occupied single housing, demand for urban land could be much higher than envisaged.

Since the 2001 national census data has become available recently, the JICA Study Team together with the TWG members examined these annual growth rates taking into account the actual growth rate between 1991 and 2001, and has decided to adopt this KVDTC study's rates except for MTM. For MTM, the actual growth rate between 1991 and 2001 was assumed to continue up to 2015 because MTM has relatively large potential for urbanization in land use in the future.

The projected future population based on the 2001 population is shown in Table 3.1-1.

¹ Nepalese Year

Table 3.1-1 Projected Population of Five Municipalities

Municipality	Actual Population	Projected Population	Annual Growth Rate (%)	
	2001 (2058)*	2015 (2072)	2001-2011 (2058-2068)	2011-2015 (2068-2072)
KMC	671,846	1,055,591	3.32	3.18
LSMC	162,991	260,790	3.44	3.35
BKM	72,543	117,380	3.52	3.44
MTM	47,751	83,696	4.09	4.09
KRM	40,835	54,400	2.07	2.07
Total Municipality	995,966	1,571,857	3.34	3.24
VDCs	525,498	603,891	0.95	0.84
Total Valley	1,521,464	2,175,748	2.61	2.54

Note: *Nepalese Year

Source: Draft Kathmandu Valley Development Plan 2020, KVTDC, July 2000
JICA Study Team

3.2 Solid Waste Management Ratio

For clear understanding of the solid waste management, the definition of waste index was proposed as follows and as shown in Figure 3.2-1.

- Generated waste: Material that has become useless and valueless for the owner if it is to keep it at the source
- Discharged waste: Part of generated waste that is discharged out from the owner's territory
- Self treatment waste: Part of generated waste that is treated, disposed of or utilized within the owner's territory
- Collected waste: Part of discharged waste that is collected by the municipality or a private sector operator
- Uncollected waste: Part of discharged waste but not collected and disposed of somewhere
- Disposed waste: Part of collected waste that is disposed of at the designated final disposal site
- Primary Valuables: Materials that become useless but may be valuable for the owner in exchange for cash or for some recyclable collector. The owner intends to separate that material from the waste and bring it directly to recycling dealers for sale or to a municipal/community recycling center. Private recyclable collectors can also visit each generation source to pick up those valuables as their business activity. Returnable bottles for deposit refund are also included in this category.
- Secondary Valuables (Recovered Valuables): Valuable materials that are collected and recovered for reuse and recycling after waste is discharged, from the various stages such as from streets, collection points, transfer stations, waste treatment facilities, or final disposal sites. This includes the recyclable waste collected by recyclable waste pickers.




Figure 3.2-1 Definition of Waste Management Ratio

Source: JICA Study Team

It was proposed to introduce the concept of “Solid Waste Management Ratio” in the Study. Solid Waste Management Ratio can be estimated by the following formula.

$$\frac{(\text{Collected waste quantity} + \text{Source reduced waste quantity})}{\text{Generated waste quantity}}$$

One of the major purposes of solid waste management is to reduce the uncollected waste amount for improvement of the cleanliness, beautification and sanitation of the city. The wastes other than such uncollected waste are the waste reduced at source and that collected from the source. This means that the solid waste is managed by some organization like the municipality, the private sector, or the waste generator itself. Therefore, the “Solid Waste Management Ratio” has been set up as the waste index that collectively shows how much waste can be managed appropriately in various ways.

3.3 Projection of Future Generation of Solid Waste

3.3.1 Future Waste Generation without Measures

Considering the existing data of waste generation quantity in the Kathmandu Valley by various past studies, the result of the waste quantity and quality survey done by the Study, and an assumption of an annual increasing rate of unit generation rate which of 2%, the waste generation quantity of each municipality is estimated to be as shown in the following table.

Table 3.2-1 Projected Solid Waste Generation Quantity

Municipalities	Population		Municipal UGR (kg/d-capita)		Average daily generated quantity (tons/day)	
Year (Nepalese Year)	2004 (2061)	2015 (2072)	2004 (2061)	2015 (2072)	2004 (2061)	2015 (2072)
KMC	741,008	1,055,591	0.416	0.519	308.4	547.9
LSMC	180,397	260,790	0.416	0.519	75.1	135.4
BKM	80,476	117,380	0.316	0.394	25.5	46.2
MTM	53,853	83,696	0.266	0.332	14.3	27.8
KRM	43,424	54,400	0.266	0.332	11.6	18.1
Total 5 municipality	1,099,158	1,571,857	-	-	434.9	775.4

Source: JICA Study Team

3.3.2 Scenario Analysis

The 2% of annual increasing rate of waste unit generation predicted in the previous section is based on the future economic growth in the Kathmandu Valley as well as other country's experiences. In this assumption, total generated waste will be increased up to 1.8 times more than it is at present. Therefore, waste reduction at source such as home composting or material recycling activities is very important to reduce the waste generation. In the Kathmandu Valley, such source reduction activities have just been started promotion together with community development activities, and further waste reduction at source can be expected. Considering these circumstances, the following scenarios for the Study² was proposed and it is expected that for reach municipality will make an effort to reduce the waste at source to shift to Scenario 2 from Scenario 1 by promoting source reduction activities under the concept of waste management ratio.

Scenario 1: without measures (with 2% annual increase of the unit generation rate)

*Scenario 2: with measures for source reduction by home composting and source recycling.
Target reduction ratio in 2015 is around 85% of total generated quantity.*

² Since there is no existing data or record that can be used to estimate the annual increasing rate of waste unit generation in the past, the JICA Study Team suggested that all municipalities to carry out regular waste generation quantity surveys, at least once a year, to get an understanding of the changes of unit generation rate from the practical experience during the Study.

CHAPTER 4 UMBRELLA CONCEPT FOR FORMULATION OF ACTION PLAN

4.1 Umbrella Concept of Solid Waste Management in the Kathmandu Valley

Action plans (A/Ps) of each of the five municipalities should be developed reflecting their characteristics in terms of solid waste flow, waste quality and quantity, collection methods, waste minimization activities and the associated requirements such as promotion of public awareness and behavior change, and organizational and institutional arrangements. However, it is recommended that some activities to be included in the respective A/Ps should be conducted in a valley-wide in order to maximize the effect of these activities. In addition, in terms of facilities and equipment for intermediate treatment or landfill, the developments need to be done taking into consideration potential for inter-municipal coordination and sharing of these facilities and equipment so that development loads as well as investment and O&M costs be minimized. Table 4.1-1 indicates the components of the A/Ps that need to be discussed for each respective municipality or that may be combined for more than one municipality (zone).


Table 4.1-1 Components of Action Plans

Components of A/Ps	Individual Municipality or Zone
1. Primary and secondary collection	Individual municipality
2. Transportation (transfer haul)	Individual municipality or zone
3. Waste minimization (composting and recycling)	Individual municipality or zone
4. Waste disposal	Zone
5. Public participation and behavior change	Individual municipality and zone
6. Organizational and institutional arrangement	Individual municipality and zone

Source: JICA Study Team

In this connection, a basic concept common for all five municipalities, *an umbrella concept of solid waste management in the Kathmandu Valley (Umbrella Concept)*, has been proposed to clarify the administrative responsibilities of each municipality and to show a basic direction (road map) for effective solid waste management.

As parts of the Umbrella Concept, four basic concepts, i.e. improvements of collection and transportation and final disposal system, and promotion of waste minimization and public participation and behavior change have been proposed. In order to achieve these basic concepts, an overall facility plan (OFP) and overall equipment plan (OEP) in the Kathmandu Valley have been discussed. In addition, the directions for financial arrangement as well as organizational and institutional arrangement including the involvement of the private sector regarding SWM have been proposed. The overall framework of the Umbrella Concept is shown in Figure 4.1-1.



* Local-self Governance Act

Figure 4.1-1 Overall Framework of the Umbrella Concept of the Kathmandu Valley

Source: JICA Study Team

4.2 Basic Concept for Improvement of Collection and Transportation

4.2.1 Collection and Transportation Practices and Coverage Improvement

The collection and transport systems are broadly identified in the Kathmandu Valley in the as shown in Figure 4.2-1.




Figure 4.2-1 Definition of Collection and Transportation

Source: JICA Study Team

Accordingly, a basic concept for improvement of collection and transportation are summarized in the Table 4.2-1.

Table 4.2-1 Basic Concept for Improvement of Collection and Transportation

Activity	Basic Concept	Descriptions
Primary Collection	Decrease of street sweepers	Number of municipal sweepers: less than present
	More utilization of private sector	Share of private sector for primary collection: 60% in KMC, LSMC, 100% in KRM.
	Improvement of waste collection point	No direct manual loading point with shovels in the Valley
Direct Collection	Promoting of Door to Door collection	Preparation of private door to door collection service guideline
	Promoting bell collection system	Bell collection in all the collection area except the area of Door to Door collection and container collection
Secondary Collection	Abolishment of municipal tractor	100% of municipal tractors will be replaced by small sized compaction trucks
Secondary Transportation	Procurement of new secondary transportation vehicles	The existing equipment will also be replaced by the same type of new vehicle.
Others	Introduction of source-separated collection	In BKM, all waste to the existing composting facility should be separated at the generation source. In future including other municipalities, at least 50% of waste is separated at the source and the rest is separated at the facility by waste pickers.
	Improvement of mechanical workshop	All the equipment should be maintained appropriately with minimum cost.

Source: JICA Study Team

4.2.2 Collection and Transportation Facilities (Transfer Stations)

The streets of the five municipalities are mostly narrow and cannot accommodate large collection trucks, making smaller collection trucks, tractors and tri-cycles more suitable. These small trucks need to be served by small transfer stations or mini transfer points (depos) and are directly related to the primary collection activities.

As for larger transfer stations, as a basic concept, KMC should push forward with construction of another transfer station in Balaju in addition to the improved Teku T/S, and LSMC should secure the land and construct a temporary transfer station in Afadol and then should utilize a waste processing facility as a transfer station.

4.3 Basic Concept for Promotion of Solid Waste Minimization

4.3.1 Promotion of 3Rs Activities

In order to realize effective SWM, it is important that the residents should promote 3Rs activities as follows:

- Reduce: To minimize generated or discharged waste
- Reuse: To use goods or materials that can still be used a number of times
- Recycle: To recover waste as raw material and use it for reproduction

In order to promote 3Rs activities smoothly, cooperation of the private sector and support from municipalities are needed as shown below:

- 1) Cooperation of Private Sector
 - Produce and sell easy-to-recycle goods
 - Produce and sell long-life goods
 - Minimize packing and packaging for goods
 - Improve quality of recyclable and recycled goods and develop new products
- 2) Support by Municipality
 - Increase awareness for reduction of waste to residents and private sector
 - Establish more recycling centers (places for purchasing recyclable materials)
 - Develop and improve distribution pipeline for recyclable materials
 - Provide subsidies for the private sector involved in recycling activities
 - Develop legislation to establish a recycling-based society

4.3.2 Promotion of Waste Processing and Composting

Since approximately 70% of the generated solid waste is organic, composting has been actively conducted in the Kathmandu Valley. Composting activities can be broadly divided into three types as discussed in Table 4.3-1.

Table 4.3-1 Composting Activities conducted in the Kathmandu Valley

No.	Items	Composting Plant	Community Composting	Home Composting
1	Experience in the Kathmandu Valley	Composting plant in Bhaktapur Old composting plant in Teku	Compost chamber in Thimi 3,000 L compost bins in KMC	100 L compost bins of KMC Vermi-composting
2	Source separation of organic waste	Necessary for plant operation	Necessary for community composting operation	Necessary at each house
3	Waste collection and transportation to facility	Wide collection area and long distance transportation	Limited collection area and short distance transportation	Not necessary
4	Separation of non compostable material at facility site	To be required	To be required	Not necessary
5	Operating labor	Many exclusive operators are required.	Exclusive operators not required.	Household members operate
6	Operation and maintenance technique	Harder than community composting	Harder than domestic composting	Easy
7	Installation area	Large area with public consensus is required.	Limited area with community consensus is required	Small space is required in house
8	Investment cost	Large	Medium	Little
9	Running cost	Medium	Little	Little
10	Advantage for public participation	Getting produced compost or revenue by selling compost	Getting produced compost or revenue by selling compost	Getting produced compost or revenue by selling compost
11	Other related	Selection of installation area Financial balance	Cooperation of community	Expansion of number of cooperative households

Source: JICA Study Team

Considering necessary investment and O&M costs, ease of operation and environmental impact, home composting is considered to be the most practical in the Kathmandu Valley. For community composting, a pit method is recommended because of ease of operation and maintenance. For planning purposes, the suitable method or best combination of the above three types of composting should be examined.

From the viewpoint of reduction of waste transportation cost, a composting plant should be constructed in or near the city area of KMC or LSMC. The windrow method should be adopted because Nepal has experience in operation of the BKM composting plant with this method. This method may be enhanced through:

- Separated waste being received at the composting plant as much as possible.
- At the sorting area, uncompostable materials being removed manually, while compostable materials are piled up at the fermentation yard by using a wheel loader.
- During composting the heap being turned over several times and exposed to air for accelerating fermentation
- After about 60 days, raw compost being screened for the final product to be ready

4.3.3 Considerations to Waste Pickers

Waste pickers, one third of the estimated number of 2,500 who are below 15 years of age, are considered as the primary recyclable waste collectors. The main items salvaged by waste pickers are plastic bags and milk and oil pouches. The government and related authorities need to harness their contribution and efforts.

In several places, it was observed that waste pickers help with loading and unloading works. However, sometimes waste picking activities may obstruct waste collection or landfilling works and scatter the waste on the roads. It is apparent that waste pickers are working in a dirty, dangerous and health-hazard environment. Furthermore waste pickers are alienated from social communities and sometimes subject to many forms of discrimination. There is also a specific linkage between waste work and children. As the market for recyclables have increased, a number of children previously involved in begging have turned to rag-picking/scavenging as a means of survival.

For improvement of effective SWM in the Kathmandu Valley, the following consideration should be given to waste pickers.

- Improve working conditions among waste pickers
- Disseminate effectively information targeting waste pickers
- Gradually abolish child labor as waste pickers
- Incorporate waste pickers within new SWM facilities

4.4 Basic Concept for Improvement of Final Disposal System

4.4.1 Landfill System

The new landfills for the valley should be developed under clear standards. Two of these standards; landfill type and landfill level are described hereafter.

(1) Semi-aerobic Landfill Type

Anaerobic decomposition of organic matter produces methane and water, and the decomposition is slow and leachate content is large. On the other hand, under aerobic decomposition, organic matter decomposes into carbon dioxide and water and the decomposition is rapid. Aerobic decomposition requires a supply of oxygen to be pumped into the landfill, but this is a costly system. To cope with these problems, a particular type of semi-aerobic landfill known as “Fukuoka Method” was developed as a joint project of Fukuoka City and Fukuoka University. The semi-aerobic system is schematically presented in Figure 4.4-1.




Figure 4.4-1 Schematic Presentation of Semi-aerobic System

Source: The Fukuoka Method, Fukuoka City Environmental Bureau

It is proposed to develop the landfills using the semi-aerobic system. The Sisdol S/T-LF has been designed under this concept and has started operation since June 2005. The operation of the Sisdol S/T-LF should be carefully monitored to determine the suitability of the semi-aerobic landfill system.

(2) Landfill Level

In past JICA studies in other developing countries, four landfill levels have been discussed as shown in Table 4.4-1. Level 4 offers the best countermeasures for mitigation of impact on the environment and therefore it is proposed that the Nepali decision makers aim to achieve that level in future. However considering the issues of high construction and operation costs for leachate treatment facilities and liner installation, and difficulty in treating the resulting chemical wastes from the leachate treatment, level 3 may be acceptable in the short term.

Table 4.4-1 Sanitary Landfill Levels

Facility	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Description	Controlled tipping	SLF with bund and daily cover	SLF with leachate recirculation	SLF with leachate treatment facilities
Soil cover	O (Periodic)	O	O	O
Embankment		O	O	O
Drainage facility		O	O	O
Gas venting		O	O	O
Leachate collection			O	O
Leachate re-circulation			O	O
Leachate treatment				O
Liners				O

Source: JICA Study Team

In the case of the Sisdol S/T-LFS, it is expected to be operated for only 3-4 years and therefore application of natural liner and leachate re-circulation with natural attenuation treatment was recommended. This may be considered as Level 3 (+).

4.4.2 Post Closure Management of Landfill Sites

Management aspects with respect to closed landfills include collection and monitoring of landfill gas and leachate, landfill settlement, land use and access control and dissemination of information on the use of the site as a landfill.

A detailed mapping of the dump sites along the Bagmati River should be prepared and the priority sections for safe closure identified. For these sites river bank slope reformation, and installation of leachate collection pipes, landfill gas vents and storm water drains are considered.

4.5 Basic Concept for Public Participation and Behavior Change

4.5.1 Public Awareness and Behavior Change for Effective SWM

A successful SWM requires various forms of community mobilization and participation. The following Figure 4.5-1 provides a framework of stages of behavior change of the people. Most people go through these steps, sometimes moving forward or backward and sometimes skipping steps. Even when people adopt new behaviors, they may revert to old behaviors, at least under certain circumstances.




Figure 4.5-1 Behavior Change Stages

Note: The process of changing behaviors and attitudes may happen in the sequence. Most people move back and forth between steps before achieving success. Source: JICA Study Team, adopted from “A manual for communication for water supply and environmental sanitation programs” (UNICEF, 1999), and “How to create effective communication project” (AIDSCAP/FHI/USAID).

Behavior Change Communication (BCC) is considered to be an effective component of a comprehensive SWM program. It can impart information and knowledge regarding environment friendly behaviors and SWM issues and promote essential attitude change. It can also contribute to creating a demand for relevant information and services related to SWM, and to improving skills and sense of self-efficacy, which are required to stimulate behavior change. In order to integrate a BCC component into A/Ps effectively, the following steps¹ need to be taken.

- 1) Identification of the Program’s Goal and Target Group/Audience
- 2) Formative BCC Assessment and Definition of Behavior Change Objectives
- 3) Development of Messages
- 4) Communication Channels
- 5) Pre-testing
- 6) Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation

4.5.2 Mass Communication and Education

Mass communication and education is a useful approach that reaches large groups of people quickly and effectively. It includes mass media, small media including print media, social marketing and public/educational events. However, producing awareness programs on SWM through mass media is relatively expensive. Thus, it requires inter-municipality

¹ These steps have been developed by FHI (“BCC for HIV/AIDS A Strategic Framework, FHI/USAID, 2002) and adopted by a number of BCC programs and projects in the world. Since they can be applied to SWM programs, Interpersonal Communication and BCC Skill Training conducted as part of Pilot Project D-1 for municipal staffs also highlighted and recommended these steps.

coordination among the five municipalities and technical as well as financial support from SWMRMC/MOLD, or other external organizations. On the other hand, since print media such as brochures, posters and flip charts are not so expensive, each municipality can produce them with their own financial resources. Social marketing, which uses similar commercial marketing techniques for stimulating public behavior change, is useful for promoting commodities with effective messages on SWM.

Public events are effective to disseminate basic information on SWM quickly and increase the level of knowledge on SWM among a large number of people. It is expected that all municipalities in coordination with SWMRMC carry out these events at least once a year on an occasion such as Earth Day or Environment Day according to their A/P for SWM.

4.5.3 Interpersonal Communication and Education

An approach using Interpersonal communication and education is recognized as an effective two-way communication channel that encourages the interactive dialogue between individuals or among group members. Figure 4.5-2 illustrates the difference between interpersonal and mass communications. The interpersonal communication and education approach, based on personal communication sources and channels, can disseminate, improve and reinforce the acquired knowledge, skills, attitude and behavior between individuals or among diverse group members.




Figure 4.5-2 Difference between Mass Communication and Interpersonal Communication

Source: JICA Study Team

4.6 Overall Facility Plan in the Kathmandu Valley

4.6.1 Principle for Overall Facility Plan in the Kathmandu Valley

The principles adopted to develop the OFP were threefold:

Principle 1 : Waste Hierarchy; The SWM facilities should contribute to a more balanced SWM system that first works to reduce the waste at source, re-use, recycle and recover, treat and finally dispose of the waste.

Principle 2 : Sustainable Facilities; Facilities should be sustainable both financially and technically and should suit the existing Nepalese conditions.

Principle 3 : Urgent Implementation: To avoid delays in providing needed facilities, a step-wise approach was adopted. The past studies and plans were taken into consideration as much as possible.

4.6.2 Alternative Evaluation of OFP

As a first step, a short list of candidates for long-term landfill sites (L/T-LFSs) was prepared based on the 1998 study by the Department of Mines and Geology (DOMG). It is noted that six years have passed since the implementation of that study and development has been rapidly progressing. The four candidate sites, Pharshidol South, Pharshidol North, Taikabu, and Okharpouwa, for development of long-term sanitary landfill were selected. Ranking of these sites was made taking into account field visits and available information.

The facilities to be incorporated in the formulated alternatives mostly reflect existing plans and nine alternatives, based on the number of landfills, were analyzed. Alternatives 1a, b and c call for one sanitary landfill to serve the whole the Kathmandu Valley with provision of WPFs. Alternatives 2a, b and c call for two landfills to be developed. Alternative 2c is an offshoot of Alt. 2b but without WPFs. Alternatives 3a and b provide three landfills, and once more Alt. 3b is an offshoot of Alt. 3a but without WPFs. Alternative 4 has each individual municipality developing its own SWM facilities in the absence of the Umbrella Concept. Figure 4.6-1 shows the locations of these facilities.




Figure 4.6-1 Facilities Incorporated in the Alternatives 1-3

The developed alternatives are described in the following Table 4.6-1.

Table 4.6-1 Alternatives Formulation

Alt	LF	WPF	T/S	Comments
1a	Okharpauwa	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju Taikabu	<u>Alt. 1a:</u> examines one landfill for the total valley, located outside the valley and the effect of waste reduction by two composting facilities. Three transfer stations are required.
1b	Taikabu	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 1b:</u> locates one landfill within the valley at Taikabu LF candidate site which is under EIA process. WPF is proposed on an unidentified site west of the two cities to reduce transfer haul distances.
1c	Pharsidol	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 1c:</u> locates the sole landfill for the valley at a site proposed in the Pharsidol area, Pharsidol of LSMC, and close to the municipalities.
2a	Okharpauwa Taikabu	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 2a:</u> proposes two landfills, in Okharpauwa outside the valley and Taikabu. Two WPFs are also proposed.
2b	Taikabu Pharsidol	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 2b:</u> proposes two landfills, Taikabu and Pharsidol, both located within the valley, and two composting facilities.
2c	Taikabu Pharsidol		Teku Balaju West	<u>Alt. 2c:</u> is an offshoot of Alt. 2B without WPFs, in order to study the effect of waste reduction.
3a	Okharpauwa Taikabu Pharsidol	West Taikabu	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 3a:</u> proposes three landfills and two WPFs.
3b	Okharpauwa Taikabu Pharsidol		Teku Balaju Afadole	<u>Alt. 3b:</u> is an offshoot of Alt. 3A without the WPFs, in order to study the effect of waste reduction.
4	Okharpauwa Taikabu Pharsidol Thimi Kirtipur	Aletar Afadole Taikabu Thimi Kirtipur	Teku Balaju	<u>Alt. 4:</u> proposes that each municipality achieves its targets through construction of its individual composting facility and sanitary landfill.

Source: JICA Study Team

The main comparison items considered were:

- SWM aspects: How the alternative reflected the proposed waste hierarchy and satisfied the “Proximity Principle”²
- Transportation aspects: The transfer haul costs represent the largest portion of the O&M cost and this is reflected in the ton • km produced by each alternative

4.6.3 Overall Facility Development Plan in the Kathmandu Valley

Since the analysis indicated that two landfills and two WPFs would provide stable and sustainable SWM service for the Kathmandu Valley, Alt. 2b or 2a should be considered.

² The principle whereby waste should be treated and disposed of nearby the generation area as much as possible to nourish responsibility of the waste generator for its management, uphold environmental justice, and decrease transportation costs

In terms of waste transportation and related costs; Alt. 2a would entail an added O&M cost of Rs. 278.9 million over the period of 2007 to 2015 or average Rs.24.9 million annually. On the other hand Alt. 2a holds an edge over Alt. 2b in terms of the site allocation. Out of the two sites required to be identified, EIA for Taikabu site is already in process and barring any unforeseen circumstances is expected to be found suitable for construction of the landfill there. This study has narrowed the candidates for the remaining landfill site to two sites; in Pharsidol and the Banchare Danda site in Okharpauwa.

Pharsidol north site was preferred over Banchare Danda in view of the shorter transport distance and other factors. However three major issues may delay the development of this site. These are the Pharsidol wellfields, the direction of Tribhuvan Airport runway and the close proximity of the culturally important village of Khuipa. A long time may be required to resolve these issues. On the other hand the major advantage that Banchare Danda site has is the commitment of the Central Government to develop this site as a landfill (as underlined in the Government's National Plan). Social and cultural issues are much less critical here and development is expected to be much faster. However the Government needs to carefully discuss with the beneficiaries of the site, namely KMC and LSMC on how to bridge the high haulage costs. The Overall Facility Plan (OFP), therefore, has been developed based on Alt. 2a in order to expedite the process of developing long-term landfill by building on all the effort that has been applied so far and also to clarify the costs incurred.

Accordingly the OFP is discussed in Zone A (KMC, LSMC and KRM) and Zone B (BKM and MTM) as shown in Table 4.6-2.

Table 4.6-2 Overall Facility Plan under the Umbrella Concept

Facilities		Descriptions
ZONE A – KMC, LSMC and KRM		
1	Sisdol LF	
	(1) Valley 1	Valley 1 will be operated for about 12-14 months
	(2) Valley 2	Valley 2 to be developed and operated for about 12 months
	(3) Post closure	Upon completion of disposal operations at Sisdol proper site closure will be implemented and environmental monitoring will continue until as required
2	Bagmati River Dumping Site	Bagmati River dumping site will cease operation once the new transfer trucks arrive (around Oct. 2005) and all the waste is transported to Sisdol LF. For a couple of years thereafter, safe closure works will be implemented along the Bagmati River banks where waste has been deposited.
3	Banchare Danda L/T-LF	This LF is expected to be developed within the next three years. It will be operated as a Level 3, semi-aerobic landfill.
4	West WPF	A WPF, basically for compost production but that will also include recyclable materials separation facilities to be developed west of KMC and LSMC and within 7-10 Km distance. The facility will be developed in three phases, starting with an input capacity of 100 t/d and reaching 300 t/d. Residues will be transported from the plant to the landfill
5	Teku T/S	Teku T/S has been improved with a capacity of 200 t/d (40 t at peak hour). Tipping at the station will continue to be mixed with some loading by wheel loaders.
6	Balaju T/S	Balaju T/S will be developed on the allocated land within 2006. It will be a split level unloading system without compaction. It will have a capacity of 120 t/d.
7	Afadole Temporary T/S	For the first 2-3 years of the Action Plan period, a temporary T/S will be developed for LSMC waste at Afadole. Upon completion of the waste processing facility the LSMC waste will be transported there.

Facilities		Descriptions
Zone B – BKM and MTM		
1	Hanumante River dumping site	For the next 2-3 years waste will continue to be dumped at Hanumante River bank, with the application of cover soil.
2	MTM temporary LF	The solid waste collected in the central areas will be transported to Teku T/S, while remaining waste will be disposed of a temporary landfill with the application of cover soil.
3	Taikabu LF	The Taikabu LF will be developed within the next 2-3 years as a Level 3, semi-aerobic landfill.
4	Taikabu WPF	Within the same Taikabu LF site, a compost plant will also be developed. The plant will have an initial capacity of 10 t/d and expand to 15 t/d.

Source: JICA Study Team

4.6.4 Facility Operation Schedule and Cost Estimation

Bearing in mind that Sisdol LF has a short life of around 3 years, the operation schedule for the facilities has been prepared. Figure 4.6-2 shows the operation schedule, while the estimated costs for the period of 2005 to 2015 are shown in Table 4.6-3.

YEAR		Short-term			Mid-term			Long-term			
		2005/06	2006/07	2007/08	2008/09	2009/10	2010/11	2011/12	2012/13	2013/14	2014/15
ZONE A - KMC, LSMC and KRM											
1	Sisdol S/T-LF										
	(1) Valley 1										
	(2) Valley 2										
2	Banchare Danda L/T Sanitary LF										
3	West Waste Processing Facility										
	(1) Phase 1 (100 t/d)										
	(2) Phase 2 (200 t/d)										
	(3) Phase 3 (300 t/d)										
4	Teku T/S										
5	Balaju T/S										
6	LSMC Temporary T/S (Afadole)										
ZONE B - BKM and MTM											
1	Hanumante River Dumping Site (BKM)										
2	Temporary LF (MTM)										
3	Taikabu LF										
4	Taikabu WPF										
	(1) Phase 1 (10 t/d)										
	(2) Phase 2 (15 t/d)										

Figure 4.6-2 Operation Schedule of Overall Facility in the Kathmandu Valley

Source: JICA Study Team

Table 4.6-3 Estimated Costs of the Facility Plan (million Rs)

SN	Facility	Investment Costs
1	Improvement/Development of Transfer Station	
	1.1 Teku T/S (Improvement)	2.0
	1.2 Balaju T/S	44.2
	1.3 Afadol Temporary T/S	19.7
	Sub-total 1	65.9
2	Development of Waste Processing Facility	
	2.1 West WPF (including equipment)	219.8
	2.2 Taikabu WPF (including equipment)	80.2
	Sub-total 2	300.0
3	Development/Closure of Landfill	
	3.1 Sisdol LF (Closure of Valley I and development of Valley II)	26.4
	3.2 Banchare Danda LF (including equipment)	906.1
	3.3 Taikabu LF (including equipment)	272.0
	Sub-total 3	1,204.5
4	Closure of Dumping Site	
	4.1 Bagmati River dumping site (Closure)	5.0
	4.2 Hanumante River dumping site (Closure)	0.5
	4.3 MTM temporary LF (Closure)	0.2
	Sub-total 4	5.7
TOTAL		1,576.1

Source: JICA Study Team

4.7 Overall Equipment Procurement Plan in the Kathmandu Valley

4.7.1 Basic Concept of Overall Equipment Procurement Plan

The equipment to be procured for implementing the OFP is mainly divided into the equipment for secondary transportation, LF operation, T/S operation, WPF operation and there is maintenance for that equipment.

Considering that operation has already commenced at Sisdol S/T-LF, the first priority should be given to the procurement of secondary transportation equipment because no suitable large-capacity vehicles are presently available for effective operation. As for the equipment for landfill operation, the current equipment may be utilized in Sisdol S/T-LF. Therefore, the immediate priority for procurement of this equipment is a little bit lower than for the secondary transportation vehicles, although in the near future replacement of that aging equipment will be necessary.

4.7.2 Secondary Transportation Vehicle

The total transportation requirement increases explosively up to about 9,000 ton-km per day when the Sisdol S/T-LF starts accepting all collected waste from KMC and LSMC. The requirement then decreases step by step by shortening the transportation distance or reducing the waste quantity by each facility's operation as shown in Figure 4.7-1. From the second quarter of 2007, the upper curve shows the ton.km produced in case of Banchare Danda LF

while the lower graph depicts the ton.km in case of Pharsidol North LF. In the case of Banchare Danda LF, 25 secondary transportation vehicles (STVs) are required.




Figure 4.7-1 Future Projection of Transportation Requirement

Source: JICA Study Team

4.7.3 Heavy Equipment for Landfill and Transfer Station Operation

The equipment available in KMC and LSMC and required for the landfills to be developed under the OFP, such as compactor (one unit), dozers (two units) and excavator (one unit), are very old and were procured over 16 years ago (with the exception of one dozer procured over 9 years ago). On the other hand wheel loaders, required for the transfer stations and WPFs are relatively new and may be used in the new facilities to be developed under the OFP. Therefore, KMC and LSMC should concentrate on procurement of dozer and compactor for the landfills.

4.7.4 Workshop Equipment

Daily or regular maintenance and minor repair work of light vehicles such as tractor trailer and tippers are implemented at the KMC mechanical workshop, while repair or maintenance work for large vehicles or heavy equipment are carried out outside. However, because the LSMC mechanical workshop is not adequate to maintain all vehicles and equipment, some major maintenance work for LSMC should be considered to be entrusted to KMC. As for STVs, as special devices such as hydraulic parts are not available at the KMC workshop, such special work may be entrusted to the private sector or the STV manufacturers.


4.8 Basic Concept for Organizational and Institutional Arrangement

Institutionalization of the Umbrella Concept is critical in ensuring the sustainability of its operation, and subsequently its implementation. It is worthy to highlight those issues of

lack of institutional mandates and unclear demarcation of responsibilities among SWMRMC, KMC, and LSMC that are some of the major constraints that lead astray policy dialogue on SWM for over a decade. The principles of the Basic Concept for Organizational and Institutional Arrangement for the Umbrella Concept are:

- Institutional/organizational arrangements should build on existing organizational set up.
- There should be clarity in the mandate and terms of reference for each of the institutions.
- Linkages among various levels of institutions should be identified.
- The specific role and mandate of SWMRMC should be determined.

The basic concept is conceptualized in Figure 4.8-1. Institutional and organizational arrangement is divided into four levels, each with specific significance in guaranteeing the smooth implementation of the Umbrella Concept.



* In case of adoption of public-private partnership approach in development and operation of WPF, this WG will be responsible for Supervision and Management of operations.

Figure 4.8-1 Basic Concept for Institutional and Organizational Arrangement as Umbrella Concept

Source: JICA Study Team

For not only monitoring the implementation of the Umbrella Concept but also preparing the A/Ps based on reliable data, formulation of the solid waste data management system should be quite crucial with the following Basic Concept.

- At the commencement stage, a semi-manual system should be introduced, and then it will be gradually upgraded to an online system or other advanced system
- Each municipality and SWMRMC should modify the common database program based on the data that should be managed respectively
- The data at each municipality should be finally concentrated at SWMRMC to monitor the overall SWM progress and to prepare the SWM white paper

4.9 Basic Concept for Financial Arrangement

4.9.1 Estimated Cost to be Allocated for Umbrella Concept

Costs for the Umbrella Concept consist of investment cost and incremental operation and maintenance (O&M) cost which are estimated separately by each zone and summarized in Table 4.9-1. The total cost until FY2014/15 is estimated at Rs 2,559 million; consisting of Rs 1,742 million on investment and Rs 817 million on incremental O&M.

Table 4.9-1 Estimated Cost for the Umbrella Concept (million Rs)

Cost Items	Zone	2005/06 (2062/63)	2006/07 (2063/64)	2007/08 (2064/65)	2008/09 (2065/66)	2009/10 (2066/67)	2010/11 (2067/68)	2011/12 (2068/69)	2012/13 (2069/70)	2013/14 (2070/71)	2014/15 (2071/72)	Total
I. Investment Cost	A	129.0	859.4	141.2	78.8	89.1	18.4	0.0	17.6	13.0	5.0	1351.5
	B	298.3	34.1	18.0	3.8	2.1	3.2	6.4	24.5	0	0	390.4
	Total	427.3	893.5	159.2	82.6	91.2	21.6	6.4	42.1	13.0	5.0	1,742.0
1. Collection & Transportation	A	6.3	59.9			33.8	7.2		8.4		5.0	120.5
	B			17.7	3.8	2.1	3.2	6.4	4.3			37.5
	Total	6.3	59.9	17.7	3.8	36.0	10.4	6.4	12.6	0	5	158.1
2. Transfer Station	A	65.9										65.9
	B											0
	Total	65.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	65.9
3. Waste Processing Facility	A	14.3	150.4		45.9				9.2			219.8
	B	80.2										80.2
	Total	94.5	150.4	0	45.9	0	0	0	9.2	0	0	300.0
4. Landfill (including closure works)	A	34.8	649.1	141.2	32.9	55.3	11.2			13.0		937.6
	B	218.1	34.1	0.3					20.2			272.7
	Total	252.9	683.2	141.5	32.9	55.3	11.2	0	20.2	13.0	0	1210.2
5. Workshop	A	7.8										7.8
	B											0
	Total	7.8										7.8
II. Incremental O & M Cost	A	45.5	56.1	59.2	74.7	78.2	70.2	77.2	78.7	72.1	75.0	686.8
	B	2.8	9.7	13.9	14.7	15.4	14.4	14.8	15.2	14.6	15.2	130.6
	Total	48.3	65.8	73.0	89.4	93.7	84.6	92.0	93.9	86.7	90.1	817.5
1. Collection & Transportation	A	27.8	36.8	43.2	58.7	63.9	57.0	63.6	67.1	60.1	63.0	541.1
	B	2.3	2.6	6.8	7.7	8.4	7.7	8.0	8.5	7.9	8.4	68.2
	Total	30.0	39.4	50.0	66.4	72.2	64.7	71.6	75.6	68.0	71.4	609.3
2. Transfer Station	A	3.2	4.9	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	36.5
	B											0.0
	Total	3.2	4.9	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	36.5
3. Waste Processing Facility	A			-1.6	-1.6	-3.3	-3.3	-3.3	-4.9	-4.9	-4.9	-27.9
	B		-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-5.6
	Total	0	-0.6	-2.3	-2.3	-3.9	-3.9	-3.9	-5.5	-5.5	-5.5	-33.5
4. Landfill	A	12.4	12.4	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.0	12.4	12.0	12.4	12.4	122.3
	B	0.0	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.1	64.3
	Total	12.4	19.6	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.6	19.2	19.6	19.6	186.6
5. Public Awareness /Community Mobilization	A	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	13.5
	B	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	3.0
	Total	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	16.5
6. Institutional/ Organizational Strengthening	A	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3						1.3
	B	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2						0.8
	Total	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0
III. Total (= I + II)	A	174.5	915.5	200.4	153.5	167.3	88.6	77.2	96.3	85.1	80.0	2038.3
	B	301.1	43.8	31.8	18.5	17.6	17.6	21.2	39.7	14.6	15.2	521.1
	Total	475.6	959.3	232.2	172.0	184.9	106.2	98.4	136.0	99.7	95.1	2559.4

Source: JICA Study Team

4.9.2 Concept for Cost Sharing Among the Organizations Concerned

(1) Concept for Cost Sharing between Municipality and Government

The municipalities are continuously facing financial difficulties because the revenue amount is not enough to satisfy the increasing costs of municipality services. In addition, the

municipalities may face serious financial problems when the Local Development Tax fades out by December 2013. Although KMC and LSMC have started to strengthen their revenue systems, much remains to be done. Accordingly the Government (SWMRMC) is required to bear the costs for development of landfill, transfer station, waste processing facility and closure of LF. On the other hand, municipalities should bear the rest of the costs from their own revenues, i.e. equipment procurement and O&M costs. The costs for public participation and behavior change, and institutional and organizational arrangement should also be borne by the respective municipalities.

Consequently, the cost sharing concept under the Umbrella Concept is summarized as shown in Table 4.9-2, but external financial support may be expected for some areas.

Table 4.9-2 Cost Sharing Concept under the Umbrella Concept

Action Plan	Component	Municipality	Government	Ref: External Sources
Transport & Haulage	Vehicles and Container Carrier	Full	-	Expected
	Container	Full	-	-
	O&M	Full	-	-
Transfer Station	Construction	-	Full	-
	Improvement works	-	Full	-
	Equipment	Full	-	Expected
	O&M	Full	-	-
Compost Plant	Land acquisition	-	Full	-
	Construction	-	Full	-
	Equipment	Full	-	Expected
	O&M	Full	-	-
Landfill	Land acquisition	-	Full	-
	Construction	-	Full	Expected
	Equipment	Full	-	Expected
	Closure	-	Full	-
	O&M	Full	-	-
Workshop	Facilities	Full	-	-
	Machinery & equip.	Full	-	-
Public Awareness/community mobilization		Full	-	-
Institutional/organizational strengthening		Full	-	-

Note: Full means full share, and Expected means financial aid to be expected

Source: JICA Study Team

Based on the above concept, the costs to be shared by SWMRMC and the municipalities can be estimated as shown in Table 4.9-3. SWMRMC should bear 56% of the total cost, while 44% should be borne by the municipalities.

Table 4.9-3 Costs for SWMRMC and Municipalities (million Rs)

Zone	Activities	SWMRMC	Municipalities		
		Facilities	Equipment	O&M	Total
A	Transportation	-	120.5	541.1	661.6
	Transfer Station	65.8	-	36.5	36.5
	WPF	203.8	16.0	-27.9	-11.9
	Landfill	892.4	45.2	122.3	167.5
	Workshop	-	7.8	-	7.8
	Public Awareness	-	-	13.5	13.5
	Institutional	-	-	1.3	1.3
	Total	1,162.0	189.5	686.8	876.3
B	Transportation	-	37.5	68.2	105.7
	WPF	38.2	42.0	-5.6	36.4
	Landfill	218.8	53.9	64.3	118.2
	Public Awareness	-	-	3.0	3.0
	Institutional	-	-	0.8	0.8
	Total	257.0	133.4	130.7	264.1
Total		1,419.0	322.9	817.5	1,140.4

Source: JICA Study Team

(2) Concept for Cost Sharing among the Municipalities

In principle, equipment procurement cost and incremental O&M cost become burdens on municipalities. Each municipality has to bear the cost originally generated by the municipality itself. Meanwhile, the costs generated by joint work among municipalities should be principally discussed and decided among the municipalities concerned. However, the costs generated by joint work is proposed to be separated to each municipality concerned on the basis of solid waste amount transported from the municipality to the destinations of transfer station, WPF and landfill.

4.9.3 Concept for Necessary Financial Procurement of Each Municipality

Judging from the actual financial capacity of municipalities, it is difficult to expect municipalities to cover the entire costs. The municipalities need to develop sources of funds as follows:

- 1) Enhancement of revenue generation capability especially on Property Tax
- 2) Utilization of the Reserve Fund
- 3) Other alternatives
 - Introduction of Public Private Partnership on SWM to reduce the SWM cost
 - Introduction of new charges on SWM services

CHAPTER 5 ACTION PLAN ON SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT OF MADHYAPUR THIMI MUNICIPALITY

5.1 Solid Waste Stream for Action Plan

The most elementary but indispensable process to develop the Action Plan (A/P) on solid waste management is “to clarify the solid waste stream” as well as “to clarify the solid waste amount”. Under the Umbrella Concept for the solid waste management in the Kathmandu Valley, there are some remarkable turning points, i.e. facilities development such as waste processing facilities and long-term landfill sites, up to the target year of 2015, which should be taken into consideration in preparation of waste stream flow. In order to formulate the A/P, solid waste flow of MTM was prepared as per attached in Appendix 2. The waste management ratios were set as main targets of the A/Ps based on the solid waste stream flows.

5.2 Vision and Target

The vision of MTM is determined as “**Madhyapur Thimi City Co-existing Sound Environment and Organic Agriculture**”. As for the target, MTM have adopted management ratio in terms of quantity as an objectively verifiable indicator (OVI) aiming to reduce the amount of unmanaged waste as shown in Table 5.2-1.

Table 5.2-1 Target of MTM

Present Situation	Targets		
	Short-term	Mid-term	Long-term
	C: 2005/06 – 2007/08	2008/09 – 2010/11	2011/12 – 2014/15
	N: 2062/63 – 2064/65	2065/66 – 2067/68	2067/68 – 2071/72
Management ratio (amount) : 35% (5 t/d)	Management ratio (amount) : 40% (7 t/d)	Management ratio (amount) : 50% (10 t/d)	Management ratio (amount) : 70% (20 t/d)

Source: MTM Task Force

5.3 Approaches, Strategies and Necessary Activities

The approaches, strategies and necessary activities established by MTM are shown in Table 5.3-1 and implementation schedule of short-term activities is shown in Table 5.3-2.

Table 5.3-1 Strategies and Necessary Activities (MTM)

Approaches	Strategies	Necessary Activities		
		Short-term (2005/06-2007/08)	Mid-term (2008/09-2010/11)	Long-term (2011/12 – 2014/15)
		(2062 Shrawan – 2065 Ashadh)	(2065 Shrawan – 2068 Ashadh)	(2068 Shrawan – 2072 Ashadh)
A. Improvement of Collection and Transportation System	A-1: Procurement of collection vehicles	A-1-S1: Procurement of collection vehicle(s) and assignment of a driver, collectors and loaders	A-1-M1: Procurement of collection vehicle(s)	A-1-L1: Procurement of collection vehicle(s)
	A-2: Extension of collection area	A-2-S1: Setting “depo (s)” at new collection areas	A-2-M1: Setting “depo (s)” at new collection areas	A-2-L1: Setting “depo (s)” at new collection areas
	A-3: Introduction of systematic private sector collection	A-3-S1: Preparation of guidelines for private sector collection	A-3-M1: Introduction of privatized collection system (Wards 15, 16 and 17) as pilot project	A-3-L1: Extension of privatized collection system to other Wards
B. Promotion of Waste Minimization	B-1: Promotion of separation at source	B-1-S1: Training of local people for separation at source	B-1-M1: Continuous training of local people for separation at source	B-1-L1: Continuous training of local people for separation at source
	B-2: Promotion of plastic recycling	B-2-S1: Providing of bags and metal strings (suiros) for separation at source	B-2-M1: Continuous provision of bags and metal strings (suiros) for separation at source	B-2-L1: Continuous providing of bags and metal strings (suiros) for separation at source
	B-3: Promotion of community (tole) composting	B-3-S1: Providing 25 compost drums for communities (toles) B-3-S2: Operating community composting	B-3-M1: Providing additional 25 compost drums for communities (toles) B-3-M2: Operating community composting	B-3-L1: Providing additional 25 compost drums for communities (toles) B-3-L2: Operating community composting
C. Improvement of Final Disposal System	C-1: Discourage of current dumping practices	C-1-S1: Identification and arrangement of a temporary landfill site	C-1-M1: Closure of the temporary landfill site	-
	C-2: Transportation of waste to Taikabu LF	C-2-S1: Conclusion of agreement with BKM for development and utilization of Taikabu LF	C-2-M1: Commencement of transportation of waste to Taikabu LF	C-2-L1: Continuous transportation of waste to Taikabu LF
D. Promotion of Public Participation and Behavior Change	D-1: Promotion of public awareness and education on SWM through mass communication and education	D-1-S1: Raising of public awareness through local radio (FM) and miking D-1-S2: Implementation of public events	D-1-M1: Raising of public awareness through local radio (FM) and miking D-1-M2: Implementation of public events	D-1-L1: Raising of public awareness through local radio (FM) and miking D-1-L2: Implementation of public events
	D-2: Promotion of public awareness and education on SWM through local level activities such as woman’s club and CBOs.	D-2-S1: Development of training tools and promotion materials for community participation D-2-S2: Formation and mobilization of Eco/Nature Clubs at schools. D-2-S3: Formation and mobilization and skills development of community groups for SWM D-2-S4: Implementation of community-based clean up program D-2-S5: Mobilization of youth as city volunteers for SWM	D-2-M1: Development of training tools and materials for community participation D-2-M2: Providing tools and package programs (e.g. incentives) for school children and clubs D-2-M3: Implementation of community-based clean up program	D-2-L1: Development of training tools and materials for community participation D-2-L2: Providing tools and package programs (e.g. incentives) for school children and clubs D-2-L3: Implementation of community-based clean up program
E. Organizational and Institutional Arrangement	E-1: Organizational and institutional restructuring, and strengthening	E-1-S1: Strengthening of SWM Sub-section	E-1-M1: Setting up separate Environment and Sanitation Section	
	E-2: Management of solid waste data by database	E-2-S1: Collection of relating data for SWM E-2-S2: Arrangement of the collected data in the database	E-2-M1: Continuous data arrangement in the database	E-2-L1: Continuous data arrangement in the database

Source: MTM Task Force

Table 5.3-2 Implementation Schedules of Short-Term Activities (MTM)

Strategies	Short-Term Activities	Responsible Section	Related Organizations (Section, NGO/CBO)	2005/2006				2006/2007				2007/2008			
				I (July 16)	II	III	IV (July 16)	I (July 17)	II	III	IV (July 16)	I (July 17)	II	III	IV (July 15)
				2062/2063				2063/2064				2064/2065			
				Shrawan			Ashadh	Shrawan			Ashadh	Shrawan			Ashadh
A-1: Procurement of collection vehicle (s) and assignment of a driver, collectors, and loaders	A-1-S1: Procurement of collection vehicle (s) and assignment of a driver, collectors, and loaders	PTS													
A-2 Extension collection area	A-2-S1: Setting “depo (s)” at new collection areas	PTS	Ward offices, Private sector												
A-3 Introduction of systematic private sector collection	A-3-S1: Preparation of guidelines for private sector collection	PTS	Ward offices, Private sector												
B-1 Promotion of separation at source	B-1-S1: Training of local people for separation at source	CDSS	NGOs/CBOs												
B-2 Promotion of plastic recycling	B-2-S1: Providing of bags and metal strings (Suiros) for separation at source	CDSS	NGOs/CBOs												
B-3 Promotion of community (tole) composting	B-3-S1: Providing 25 compost drums for communities (toles)	CDSS	NGOs/CBOs												
	B-3-S2: Operating community composting	CDSS	NGOs/CBOs												
C-1: Discourage of current dumping practices	C-1-S1: Identification and arrangement of a temporary landfill site	PTS	SWMRMC, BKM												
C-2: Transportation of waste to Taikabu LF	C-2-S1: Conclusion of agreement with BKM for development and utilization of Taikabu LF	PTS	Legal Section, SWMRMC, BKM												
D-1: Promotion of public awareness and education on SWM through mass communication and education	D-1-S1: Raising of public awareness through local radio (FM) and miking	CDSS	PTS												
	D-1-S2: Implementation of public event	CDSS	Relevant sections or units in other municipalities such of CMU of KMC and CDS of LSMC												

Strategies	Short-Term Activities	Responsible Section	Related Organizations (Section, NGO/CBO)	2005/2006				2006/2007				2007/2008			
				I (July 16)	II	III	IV (July 16)	I (July 17)	II	III	IV (July 16)	I (July 17)	II	III	IV (July 15)
				2062/2063				2063/2064				2064/2065			
				Shrawan			Ashadh	Shrawan			Ashadh	Shrawan			Ashadh
D-2: Promotion of public awareness and education on SWM through local level activities such as woman's group and CBOs	D-2-S1: Development of training tools and promotion materials for community participation	CDSS	PTS, Ward offices, Schools												
	D-2-S2: Formation and mobilization of Eco/Nature Clubs at schools.	CDSS													
	D-2-S3: Formation and mobilization and skills development of community groups	CDSS													
	D-2-S4: Implementation of community-based clean up program	CDSS													
	D-2-S5: Mobilization of youth as city volunteers for SWM	CDSS													
E-1: Organizational and Institutional Restructuring, and Strengthening	E-1-S1: Strengthening of SWM Sub-section	Task Force													
E-2: Management of solid waste data by database	E-2-S1: Collection of relating data for SWM	PTS													
	E-2-S2: Arrangement of the collected data in the database	PTS													

Legend

■ : Continuous activities

□ : Intermittent activities

● : Spot activities

PTS : Planning and Technical Section

CDSS : Community Development and Sanitation Section

5.4 Financial Plan

As shown in Table 5.44-1, total SWM cost, summing up current SWM cost and Action Plan cost, amounts to Rs.73 million over the period until the target year of 2014/15. On the other hand, total own revenue, summing up actual revenue and projected revenue increase, amounts to Rs.195 million. Thus, the ratio of total SWM cost to total own revenue results in 37%, which is very much higher than current ratio of 4%. However, obviously, current ratio is too low if compared to other municipalities. Consequently, it is suggested that MTM bear entire Action Plan cost by taking all means available, for instance by reducing other expenditures, applying to donation of Reserved Fund, etc. to cope with growing demand of SWM services.

**Table 5.4-1 Ratio of SWM Cost to Municipality Own Revenue (MTM)
(million Rs)**

Items	2005/06 2062/6	2006/07 2063/6	2007/08 2064/6	2008/09 2065/6	2009/10 2066/6	2010/11 2067/6	2011/12 2068/6	2012/13 2069/7	2013/14 2070/7	2014/15 2071/7	Total
I. Own Revenue	18.2	19.1	20.0	20.5	21.0	20.8	20.0	19.4	19.0	17.5	195.4
1. Actual Revenue	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	174.0
2. Projected Revenue Increase	0.8	1.7	2.6	3.1	3.6	3.4	2.6	2.0	1.6	0.1	21.4
II. SWM Cost	1.9	13.9	6.7	7.0	5.8	5.9	6.2	12.4	6.6	6.8	73.3
1. Current SWM	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	7.0
2. Action Plan	1.2	13.2	6.0	6.3	5.1	5.2	5.5	11.7	5.9	6.1	66.3
III. Ratio (= II/I)	11%	73%	33%	34%	27%	28%	31%	64%	35%	39%	37%

Note: 1) Actual revenue is amount of FY 2004/05 which is assumed to continue the same amount, 2) Projected revenue increase consists of Local Development Fee, Gov. subsidy and Property Tax, 3) Current SWM cost is the cost presented in Chapter 3 which is assumed to continue the same amount..

Source: JICA Study Team

5.5 Monitoring and Evaluation Plan for Action Plans

The A/P is a long-term strategic plan to be implemented starting fiscal year 2005/06 (2062/63) to 2014/15 (2071/2072). In order to ensure that the Action Plan is implemented in an effective and sustainable manner, monitoring and evaluation systems need to be put in place that bind together both individual and collective achievements of SWMRMC and the five municipalities. Such systems should be installed both at the municipal level, as well as the Valley level, in line with the institutional arrangements as discussed under the Umbrella Concept.

In the case of the A/Ps, OVIs were identified with target for the year 2015. Through the implementation of the A/Ps, collectively, the municipalities and SWMRMC will aim to increase the total solid waste management rate from existing 76% to 93%. Each municipality's target, solid waste management ratio, is as specified within the respective A/Ps.

Monitoring: Monitoring of A/P implementation should be conducted at two levels. First, the solid waste management ratio should be calculated at individual municipalities, to measure the effectiveness of SWM activities as indicated in the targets of the respective A/Ps. It is suggested that each municipality's benchmark the target solid waste management ratio that they should achieve by the end of short, medium and long term activities of the A/Ps.

Every three or four years, the actual percentage of the solid waste management rate should be measured against the benchmarked target ratio to assess progress.

The second level of monitoring of the A/Ps should be conducted when each municipality formulate their respective annual work plans, which in fact is a breakdown of activities as identified for short, medium, and long term. Based on the existing policy priorities, availability of resources, influences from external factors, and lessons learned from the past implementation of activities, the contents of A/Ps themselves should be reviewed and modified. This process should allow enough flexibility so that the activities stipulated in the A/Ps could be changed, dropped or added insofar as the overall effect of the SWM program would increase the solid waste management ratio. Furthermore, this exercise would serve to update the A/Ps so that it would enhance the relevance of the A/Ps for continued sustainability. The linkage between the Action Plan monitoring system and Annual Work Plan is as illustrated in Figure 5.5-1.




Figure 5.5-1 Linkage between Action Plan Monitoring System and Annual Work Plan

Source: JICA Study Team

Evaluation: During the benchmarked years of 2008 and 2011, which are also the final fiscal years within short and mid terms, respectively, end of term evaluations are recommended to holistically review the A/Ps implementation from the perspectives such as relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, impact and sustainability of municipal activities. In 2015, the final evaluation should be conducted to examine whether the ultimate target of 93% solid waste management ratio was achieved, and to draw best practices and lessons learned for future SWM programs.

For the end of term evaluations, it is envisaged that a joint evaluation team be formed for each municipality among the representatives from municipal T/Fs, SWMRMC, and MOLD. The results of the evaluations should be disclosed and shared with other municipalities at TWG and other forums so that the major lessons learned and recommendations could be shared with a wider audience.

APPENDICES

- APPENDIX 1 Members of Technical Working Group and Task Force
- APPENDIX 2 Solid Waste Stream Flow of MTM (Current and Future)
- APPENDIX 3 Annual Work Plan of Fiscal Year of 2005/06 (2062/63)
Proposed by Task Force (MTM)

APPENDIX 1

Members of Technical Working Group and Task Force

APPENDIX 1 MEMBER OF TECHNICAL WORKING GROUP AND TASK FORCE

Technical Working Group (Total 18 members)

As of July 20, 2005

Organizations	Name	Designation / Organizational Position
MOLD	Mr. Babu Ram Gautam (Mr. Prem Raj Giri up to Nov, 2004)	Under Secretary
SWMRMC	Mr. Surya Man Shakya (Chairperson up to June 23, 2005)	Former General Manager
	Mr. Ashok Shahi (Chair person after June 24, 2005)	Acting General Manager
	Mr. Ram Sharan Maharjan	Civil Engineer
	Mr. Nirmal Darshan Acharya	Civil Engineer
KMC	Mr. Rajesh Manandhar	Chief, Solid Waste Management Section
	Mr. Kiran Ulak	Engineer, Solid Waste Management Section
	Mr. Purusotam Shakya	Chief, Mechanical Section
LSMC	Mr. Rudra Prasad. Gautam	Chief, Public Works Division
	Mr. Pradeep Amatya	Chief, Environment and Sanitation Section
BKM	Mr. Laxman Kisiju	Chief, Planning and Technical Section
	Mr. Moti Bhakta Shrestha	Chief, Social Welfare & Sanitation Section
	Mr. Dinesh Rajbhandari	Sanitation Engineer, Planning and Technical Section
MTM	Mr. Satya Narayan Shah	Chief, Planning and Technical Section
	Ms. Krishna Kumari Shrestha	Assistant, Community Development and Sanitation Section
	Mr. Surendra Shrestha	Junior Engineer, Planning and Technical Section
KRM	Mr. Anuj Pradhan	Chief, Solid Waste Management Unit
	Mr. Gyan Bazra Maharjan	Assistant, Solid Waste Management Unit/Accounting

Task Force of MTM (Total 7 members)



As of July 20, 2005

Name	Designation / Organizational Position
Mr. Satya Narayan Shah	Chief, Planning and Technical Section
Ms. Krishna Kumari Shrestha	Assistant, Community Development and Sanitation Section
Mr. Shiva Man Shrestha	Policy Management / Lawyer
Mr. Kai Prashad Waije	Architect/ Urban Planner
Ms. Shanti Karanjit	Environmentalism
Mr. Krishna Sundar Thapamagar	Sub Accountant, Account Section
Mr. Tulsi Bhakta Tako	Section Chief, Community Development and Sanitation Section

APPENDIX 2

Solid Waste Stream Flow of MTM (Current and Future)

APPENDIX 2 SOLID WASTE STREAM FLOW OF MTM (CURRENT AND FUTURE)



APPENDIX 3




***Annual Work Plan of
Fiscal Year of 2005/06 (2062/63)
Proposed by Task Force (MTM)***

Table A.3 Annual Work Plan of Fiscal Year of 2005/06 (2062/63) Proposed by Task Force (MTM)

SN	Shor-term Activities to be Conducted in FY 2062/63	Responsible Person (Section)	Proposed Budget (NRs)	2005							2006													
				July	August			September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	Jul						
				III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I		
				2062														2063						
Shrawan		Bhadra		Aswin		Kartik		Mangsir		Poush		Magh		Falgun		Chaitra		Baisak		Jestha		Ashadh		
A-1-S1	Procurement of collection vehicle (s) and assignment of a driver, collectors and loaders																							
1	Arrangement of collection vehicle	PTS/Satya	10,000																					
2	Arrangement of collectors with collection equipment	PTS/Satya																						
A-2-S1	Setting “depo (s)” at new collection areas																							
1	Preparation of a plan of depo(s) for collection/transfer	PTS/Satya	10,000																					
2	Public meeting/consultation with local people to discuss the depo development plan	PTS/Satya																						
3	Preparation of design drawing of depo(s) including topo/geological surveys	PTS/Satya	250,000																					
4	Tender for construction	PTS/Satya	10,000																					
5	Construction of depo(s)	PTS/Satya	2,600,000																					
6	Preparation of operation plan of depo(s) and review	PTS/Satya																						
7	Operation of depo(s)	PTS/Satya																						
A-3-S1	Preparation of guidelines for private sector collection																							
1	Review of the established general rules of PPP	CDSS/Tulsi																						
2	Clarification of the existing private collection in Wards 15, 16, and 17	CDSS/Tulsi																						
3	Preparation of own guidelines of MTM for private sector collection	CDSS/Tulsi																						
4	Preparation of individual agreement paper for PPP with the existing private sector	CDSS/Tulsi																						
5	Signing on the agreements	CDSS/Tulsi																						
6	Monitoring of private sector activity	CDSS/Tulsi																						

SN	Shor-term Activities to be Conducted in FY 2062/63	Responsible Person (Section)	Proposed Budget (NRs)	2005						2006										
				July	August	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	July				
				I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I
				2062												2063				
				Shrawan	Bhadra	Aswin	Kartik	Mangsir	Poush	Magh	Falgun	Chaitra	Baisak	Jestha	Ashadh					
B-2-S1	Providing of bags and metal strings (suiros) for separation at source																			
1	Expansion of plastic recycling (50 bags, 50 strings, etc.)	CDSS/Tulsi	60,000																	
2	Training for community (2 groups)	CDSS/Tulsi	150,000																	
B-3-S2	Operating community composting																			
1	Conducting a study for composting chamber operation	CDSS/Tulsi	130,000																	
2	Trial of operation of composting chamber	CDSS/Tulsi	150,000																	
C-1-S1	Identification and arrangement of a temporary landfill site																			
1	Nominating candidates, public consultation and site selection	PTS/Satya																		
2	Preliminary engineering surveys, designing and legal IEE	PTS/Satya	100,000																	
3	Land acquisition and preparation work	PTS/Satya	4,000,000																	
C-2-S1	Conclusion of agreement with BKM for development and utilization of Taikabu LF																			
1	Cooperation and support to BKM/SWMRMC	PTS/Satya, LS/Siva																		
D-1-S1	Raising of public awareness through local radio (FM) and miking																			
1	Broadcasting on local FM on SWM	CDSS/Tulsi																		
2	Miking regarding SWM	CDSS/Tulsi																		
D-1-S2	Implementation of public events																			
1	SWM exhibition (1time for 2 days)	CDSS/Tulsi, Krishna	75,000																	
D-2-S1	Development of training tools and promotion materials for community participation																			
1	Development of training tools and promotion materials	CDSS/Krishna																		
D-2-S2	Formation and mobilization of Eco/Nature Clubs at schools																			
1	Four Eco-clubs formation and mobilization with training and fund	CDSS/Krishna	100,000																	

SN	Shor-term Activities to be Conducted in FY 2062/63	Responsible Person (Section)	Proposed Budget (NRs)	2005												2006																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
				July	August				September				October				November				December				January				February				March				April				May				June				July																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
				I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV	I	II	III	IV																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
				2062																								2063																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
				Shrawan				Bhadra				Aswin				Kartik				Mangsir				Poush				Magh				Falgun				Chaitra				Baisak				Jestha				Ashadh																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
D-2-S3	Formation and mobilization and skills development of community groups for SWM																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
1	Household reuse training (2times, 5days)	CDSS/Tulsi	50,000					●																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			

Legend
 : Continuous activities
 : Intermittent activities
 : Spot activities

PTS: Planning and Technical Section
 LS: Legal Section

CDSS: Community Development and Sanitation Section