Chapter 7

PELIMINARY DESIGN OF REHABILITATION (OR URGENT RECOVERY) OF THE PROJECT ROAD

7.1 BACKGROUND AND PRE-DETERMINED CONDITIONS

The Government of Japan provided Non-Project Grant Aid in the amount of 14,600 Million Yen to the Government of Indonesia. Goods and services to be procured by this fund including fund allocation were agreed on April 11, 2005 by both parties. One of the services (projects) is "Urgent Recover of the West Coast Road Banda Aceh and Meulaboh". Fund allocated to this services (project) is 400 Billion Rp (or 4,705.9 Million Yen) which includes procurement of construction equipment, construction materials and civil work cost for Urgent Recovery of Calang and Meulaboh Section.

The Japan International Cooperation System (JICS) was officially nominated as the agent of the Government of Indonesia for the procurement of goods and services.

The JICA Study Team officially started the support works for the Urgent Recovery of the West Coast Road Banda Aceh and Meulaboh, particularly Calang – Meulaboh Section on May 10, 2005. Following were predetermined as conditions for the JICA Study Team's support work;

- The route follows those restored by the military.
- The project should be completed by the end of December 2005 which was later shifted to by the end of February 2006.
- Procurement of construction equipment, construction materials and steel portable bridges will be done separately from the civil Work contract. The Contractor will be provided these materials and equipment free of charge by the Employer.
- Later, the Ministry of Public Works preferred to procure permanent steel bridges in stead of steel portable bridges. It was also agreed that bridges should not necessarily be steel bridges and concrete bridges are acceptable on the condition that construction can be completed within the specified time.
- It was also agreed that the Contractor for civil works will procure steel bridges by himself, thus the Contractor's construction schedule will not be controlled by the procurement schedule of other agency (JICS).

7.2 BASIC CONCEPT OF PRELIMINARY DESIGN

To complete the project by the target date (originally by the end of 2005 and later shifted to the end of February, 2006) was major focus in the preliminary design, particularly as follows:

- Road right-of-way acquisition shall be avoided.
- Relocation of people shall be totally avoided.

- To achieve above, the alignment will follow existing one. No improvement of horizontal alignment which requires ROW acquisition and resettlement of people is planned.
- Bridge structure will be as simple as possible, but strong against seismic forces.
- Road will be constructed as semi-permanent standards (about 4 years life), since reconstruction by USAID will be completed within 3 years after completion of this recovery road.
- Overlapped sections with USAID alignment (2 sections, L=6.7km) will be also rehabilitated, since this recovered road will be utilized until such time that the reconstruction road is completed.

7.3 SURVEYS UNDERTAKEN

The JICA Study Team members could not undertake the ground survey due to security situation. Video tapes and photographs were taken from a helicopter to assess general conditions of the project road. Video tape images were captured by computer and photo-mosaic was prepared along the project road.

In order to obtain more detailed information on site conditions, local engineers were sent to the project site and undertook the following:

- Photo-taking at 250m interval
- Measure coordinates by portable GPD
- Get information on material sources
- Measure pavement width, shoulder width and bridge length
- Get information on other aspects such as flooding

Information on material sources was collected. Aggregate material sources are limited as shown in **Figure 7.3-1**.

7.4 ROAD ALIGNMENT

Road alignment follows the one restored by the military and is shown in **Figure 7.4-1**. Road alignment consists of the following roads:

| Road Type | Km - Km | Length (km) | Existing Pavement Width (m) |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Original Coastal Road | 155+630 - 195+420 | 39.79 | 5.5 ~ 6.0 |
| Re-aligned Road by Military | 195+420 - 208+600 | 13.18 | No pavement |
| Village Road (1) | 208+600 - 212+150 | 3.55 | 5.5 ~ 6.0 |
| Village Road (2) | 212+150 - 214+500 | 2.35 | 5.0 |
| Original Coastal Road | 214+500 - 217+640 | 3.14 | 5.5 ~ 6.0 |
| Village Road (3) | 217+640 - 259+500 | 41.86 | 3.5 |
| Village Road (4) | 259+500 - 265+350 | 5.85 | 3.5 |
| Village Road (5) | 265+350 - 270+000 | 4.65 | 6.0 |
| | TOTAL | 114.37 | |

URGENT RECOVERY ROAD





Figure 7.4-1 REHABILITATION (URGENT RECOVERY) ROUTE

Note: W is carriageway width of the proposed road.

Three access roads included in the project are as follows:

| Access Road (1) | : | Previou L=2.50 | isly Ikm | а | part | of | the | coastal | road. |
|-------------------|------|-------------------|-------------|------|---------|------|-------|---------|-------|
| Access Road (2) | : | Previou L=3.1k | isly a m | par | t of th | e co | astal | road. | |
| Access Road (3) | : | Village | Road | | L = 2 | 2.35 | km | | |
| Total Access Road | Leng | jth = | 7.9 | 95 k | m | | | | |
| Grand Total Road | Leng | th = | 122. | 32 I | ĸm | | | | |

7.5 ROAD DESIGN

A total of 19 typical cross sections were prepared to type with various conditions of existing road. Typical cross sections are shown in **Figure 7.5-1**. Applied road length for each type of typical cross section is summarized in **Table 7.5-1**.

| TABLE 7.5-1 | TYPICAL CROSS | SECTIONS AND | APPLTED ROA | AD LENGTH |
|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|
| INDEE / ID I | THE TONE ON OUD | SECTIONS AND | ALL ELED NO/ | |

| Proposed Pavement Width (m) | Applicable Road | Typical Cross Section Type No. | Major Works to be Implement | Applie Len (k | d Road gth m) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | 1-1 | Overlay + Shoulder | 9.43 | |
| | | 1-2 | Overlay + Embank Protection | 2.71 | |
| | Coastal Road, | 1-3 | Overlay + Shoulder + Side Ditch (urban) | 0 | |
| 6.0 | Village | 1-4 | Shoulder + Side Ditch (urban) | 4.52 | 52.61 |
| | | 2-1 | Shoulder | 31.17 | |
| | Road (1) | 2-2 | Shoulder + Embank Protection | 0.92 | |
| | | 3-1 | Bridge Approach (Low Embank) | 2.25 | |
| | | 3-2 | Bridge Approach (High Embank) | 1.61 | |
| 6.0 | Re- aligned Road by Military | 4 | New Pavement | 13.18 | 13.18 |
| | | 5-1 | Overlay + Shoulder + Side Ditch (urban) | 12.54 | |
| | | 5-2 | Overlay + Shoulder | 8.68 | |
| | Village Road (2), | 6-1 | New Pavement + Side Ditch (urban) | 3.94 | |
| | Village | 6-2 | New Pavement _ Shoulder | 7.33 | |
| 4.5 | Access | 6-3 | Road Re-aligned (near the river) | 0.20 | 52.49 |
| | Access | 6-4 | Shoulder | 5.10 | |
| | Road (3) | 7 | Flood Section (Road elevation raised by about 1m) | 3.46 | |
| | | illage 9-1 Overlay + Shoulder + Side Ditch (urban) | Flood Section (Road elevation raised by about 1.5m) | 1.24 | |
| 5.0 | Village | | Overlay + Shoulder + Side Ditch (urban) | 2.34 | 2.34 |
| | | 9-2 | Overlay + Shoulder | 0 | |
| No V | Nork | - | - | 1. | 70 |
| TO | TAL | - | - | 122 | 2.32 |













7.6 BRIDGE DESIGN

Bridges were planned based on the following factors:

1) Selection of bridge type to allow fast construction

- Bridge structure should be as simple as possible. Bridges which require complicated form works, several procedures and concreting work in a river such as construction of footings should be avoided.
- Bridges should be standardized as much as possible, so that fabrication and construction work can be simplified.
- For construction of bridges along Village Road, utilization of existing bridge as scaffolding was planned, thus time for construction scaffolding was planned, thus time for construction of scaffolding can be saved.
- For steel bridges, local fabrications' experiences were considered in selecting bridge type.
- Utilization of early-strength-cement was planned.

2) Bridges which is strong against earthquake

- For concrete bridges, integral type of bridge (superstructure and substructure are rigidly connected) was selected. Other advantage of this type of bridge is low maintenance cost, since it does not require bearing shoes and expansion joints.
- For steel bridges, retrofitting which prevent superstructure to fall down from substructure was planned.

3) Bridge length and span composition

Bridges along coastal road

- Bridge length before wash-out by tsunami was basically adopted.
- Water velocity of small rivers (width of 20m or les) and at lagoon crossings was judged slow, thus even short span length can be acceptable and will not disturb water flow so much. Span length of 10 ~13.5 m was adopted.
- For medium (over 60m) and large rivers (over 100m), longer span length was judged to be required, span length of 30m was adopted.

Bridges along village road

- Existing bridges are all short bridges ranging from 10m to 30m. Slightly longer bridge length was adopted.
- Existing span length is also short, thus 10 to 12m span length was selected.

4) Bridge Types

Bridge types selected are as follows:

| For 30m span | : | Steel Plate Girder (Indonesian Standard design available) |
|----------------------|---|---|
| For 10 - 13.5 m span | : | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| Abutment | : | Pile bent type for steel bridges, integral type for RC slab bridges |
| Pier | : | Pile bent type for all types of bridges (construction of footings and pier wall / column avoided) |

On the basis of above, bridge length, span length and bridge type was selected for each bridge as shown in **Table 7.6-1**.

Typical steel girder bridge and integral type of slab bridge is shown in **Figure 7.6-1** and **Figure 7.6-2**, respectively.

| | | | | Bridge | Bridge | Propose | d Bridge |
|---------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Road | Serial No. | Bridge Location | Bridge Name | Length Before Tsunami | Length under | Bridge Length (m) | Bridge Type |
| | 1 | 158+470 | - | No Bridge | Causeway with pipes | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral type of RC Slab |
| | 2 | 159+250 | Kuala Meurisi | L = 80m | Bailey Br. L=29m | 3-span x 30m = 90m | Steel Plate Girder |
| | 3 | 163+400 | Krueng Sabe | L = 110m | Bailey Br. L=42m | 4-span x 30m = 120m | Steel Plate Girder |
| Capatal | 4 | 1644+535 | Kabong 1 | Pipe Culvert | Timber Br. L=10.5m | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral type of RC Slab |
| Road | 5 | 165+200 | Kabong 2 | Box Culver (L=6m) | Timber Br. L=13m | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral type of RC Slab |
| | 6 | 166+160 | Kabong 3 | L = 40m | Timber Br. L=13m | 3-span x 13.5m = 40.5 m | Integral type of RC Slab |
| | 7 | 174+905 | Panga | L = 88m | Bailey Br. L=88m | 3-span x 30 m = 9 m0 | Steel Plate Girder |
| | | | Sub-to | tal | | 100.5m 300.0m 400.5m | RC Slab Steel Girder Total |
| | 8 | 218+430 | Krueng Sulak Paribu | RC L=12m Flooded | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 9 | 236+181 | Krueng Wayla | Steel+Timber Deck, L=10m | - | 1-span x 12m = 12m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 10 | 240+374 | Krueng PeuYong | Steel+Timber Deck, L=10m | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 11 | 241+443 | Krueng Peu Yong 2 | Steel+Timber Deck, L=20m | - | 2-span x 12m = 24m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 12 | 243+368 | - | Steel+Timber Deck, L=10m | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 13 | 243+851 | - | Collapsed L=25m | - | 3-span x 10m = 30m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| Village | 14 | 245+255 | - | Steel+Timber Deck, L=10m | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| Road | 15 | 245+918 | - | RC, L=10m Flooded | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 16 | 246+376 | - | RC, L=10m Flooded | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 17 | 246+840 | - | RC, L=10m Flooded | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 18 250+504 Kruang Sabee 1 | | | Steel+Timber Deck, L=20m | - | 2-span x 12m = 24m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 19 | 254+960 | Kruang Masjid Baru | Steel+Timber Deck, L=15m | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | 20 | 255+863 | Kruang Peuyong | - | 2-span x 10m = 20m | Integral Type of RC Slab | |
| | | | Sub-to | tal | | 270m | Integral Type of RC Slab |
| | | | TOTAL | | | 300m 370.5m 670.5m | Steel Girder RC Slab Total |

TABLE 7.6-1 PROPOSED BRIDGES AND BRIDGE TYPE





7.7 CONSTRUCTION PLAN

7.7.1 Characteristics of the Project

Characteristics of the Project are as follows:

- Construction period is quite short and is about 1/3 to 1/4 of normal construction period. The Contractor is required to execute the project by fast-track program. Three to four times of more equipment/plants and workers than normal construction need to be mobilized.
- Rainy season starts from September and continues up to December. Relatively high rainfalls are expected even remaining season.
- There are four flood sections where water level reaches to about 1m from the road surface, and the road becomes impassable for 3 ~ 4 days.
- Aggregate sources which are all along the rivers are limited. When water elevation of a river goes up after heavy rain, aggregate sources become under water.
- Ramadan starts from the beginning of October to beginning of November. During this period, work efficiency will be reduced, particularly Ramadan Holidays at the beginning of November, all works need to be suspended for about 10 days.
- Existing detour bridges along the coast road do not have sufficient length to span over a river and about 2/3 of a river is crossed by a causeway which may be washed out during heavy rain, resulting in suspension of construction activities.
- The contractor will be required to adopt the shift working system from sunrise to sunset.

7.7.2 Contractor's Base camp

In consideration of easy and fast evacuation during emergency, the contractor's base camps are proposed to be located at Calang and Meulaboh and sub-base camps at about km. 195 and km. 240.



7.7.3 Construction Schedule

Construction schedule of road works is shown in **Table 7.7-1**. Construction schedule of bridge works is shown in **Table 7.7-2**.

7.8 CONTRACT PACKAGING

It was decided that number of contract package should be one due to the following reasons:

- 1) In view of quite severe construction period, highly qualified and experienced contractor must be selected. If a contract package size becomes small, small and less qualified contractor may have chance to win a contract.
- 2) Quarry sites are limited. When more than one contractors simultaneously work in the same areas and use the same quarry site, arrangements between (or among) contractors are hard to be agreed, particularly when local contractors are selected.
- 3) Based on the previous experiences, the Ministry of Public Works strongly recommended that the contract package should be one.

7.9 **PREPARATION OF TENDER DOCUMENTS**

Tender documents consisting of the following were prepared:

- Instruction to Tenderers
- Contract Agreement
- General Conditions
- Particular Conditions
- Technical Specifications
- Drawings
- Bill of Quantities and Schedules
- Form of Performance Security
- Letter of Tender and Appendix to Tender

7.10 COST ESTIMATE

Base cost for materials was basically obtained from the published documents. Equipment rental cost was gathered from the previous studies. Labor cost was obtained from the Public Works Office in Banda Aceh. Based on above base costs, a unit price analysis in accordance with the procedure commonly adopted in Indonesia for all pay items and unit prices were determined in due consideration of the characteristics of the project.

| | | | | | TABLE 7.7 - 1 | ROAD WORK CONSTRUC | CTION SCHEDULE | 4 | |
|----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|-------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|---|--|
| | | Quality | Output per day | No of Net Days | No of Months, No. of Shifts | | | | No. of Equipment/Plants Required |
| Mobilization | | | | | | | | | |
| Demobilization | | | | | | | | | |
| | Excavation | 79,300 | 300 m ³ (exc) 320 (Bull) | 265 | 17.7 Months 2 shift x 3 3.0 Months | | | | 2 - Excavator 1 - Buldozer |
| | Embankment | 51,600 | 280 m ³ | 185 | 12.3 Months 2 shifts x 2 3.1 Months | | | | 2 - Buldozer 2 - Tire Roller (Road Roller) |
| | Sub-base | 23,400 | 250 m ³ | 8 | 6.3 Months 2 shifts 3.2 Months | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Macadam roller 1 - Tire Roller |
| Section A | Base Course | 3,070 | 150 m ³ | 21 | 1.4 Months 1 shifts 1.4 Months | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Macadam roller 1 - Tire Roller 1 - Vibratory Roller |
| 155+630 | Aggregate Production | 18,000 t | 400 t/day | 45 | • 50 TPH × 0.8 <u>× 12 hr</u> • 1.9 Months 1 set | | | | 1 - Stone Crusher |
| 195+200 | Asphalt Production | ' | 320 t/day | | • 50 TPH x 0.8 x 8 hr [1 set] | | | | 1 - Asphalt Plant |
| L = 39.6 km | ATB | 4,190 m ³ | 100 m ³ (235 t) | 42 | 2.8 Months 2 shift 1.4 Months | | | | 1 - Asphalt Finisher 1 - Road Roller 1 - Tire Roller 1 - Vibrator Roller |
| | AC | 71,700 | 1,200 m ² (141 t) (282 t) | 60 | 4.0 Months 2 shifts 2.0 Months | | | | (Same as above) |
| | Shoulder Marking, etc | 8,000 | 100 m ³ | 80 | 5.4 Months 2 shifts, 2.7 Months | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Road Roller |
| | Excavation | 50,500 | 300 m ³ 320 m ³ | 169 | 11.3 Months 2 shifts x 2 2.8 Months | | | | 1 - Excavator 1 - Buldozer |
| | Embankment | 30,800 | 280 m ³ | 110 | 7.4 Months 2 shifts 2.9 Months | | | | 1 - Buldozer 1 - Tire Roller |
| | Sub-base | 39, 220 | 250 m ³ | 157 | 10.5 Months 2 shifts x 2 2.7 Months | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Macadam Roller 1 - Tire Roller |
| Section B | Base Course | 16,650 | 150 m ³ | 111 | 7.4 Months 2 shifts x 2 1.9 Months | | | | 2 - Motor Grader 2 - Macadam Roller 2 - Tire Roller |
| 195+200 | Aggregate Production | 25,500 | 400 t/day | 64 | 3.2 Months 1 set | | | | 1 - Stone Crusher |
| 225+200 | Asphalt Production | , | 320 t/day | , | • 50 TPH x 0,8 x 8 hr 1 set | | | | 1 - Asphalt Plant |
| L = 30 km | АТВ | 3,230 | 100 m ³ (235 t) | 33 | 2.2 Months 2 shifts> 1.1 Months | | | | 1 - Asphalt Finisher 1 - Road Roller 1 - Tire Roller 1 - Vibratory Roller |
| | AC | 147,000 | 1,200 m2 (141 t) (282 t) | 123 | 8.2 Months 2 shifts> 4.1 Months | | | | (Same as above) |
| | Shoulder Marking, etc | 5,900 | 100 m ³ | 59 | 3.9 Months 2 shifts, 2.0 Months | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Road Roller |
| | Excavation | 76,600 | 300 m ³ 320 m ³ | 256 | 17.1 Months 2 shifts x 3> 2.9 Months | | | | 2 - Excavator 1 - Buldozer |
| | Embankment | 59,800 | 280 m ³ | 214 | 14.3 Months 2 shifts x 3> 2.4 Months | | | | 3 - Buldozer3 - Tire Roller (Road Roller) |
| | Sub-base | 36,300 | 250 m ³ | 146 | 9.8 Months 2 shifts x 2> 2.5 Months | | | | 2 - Motor Grader 2 - Road Roller |
| Section C | Base Course | 7,900 | 150 m ³ | 53 | 3.6 Months 1 shifts> 3.6 Month | | | | 1 - Motor Grader 1 - Road Roller 1 - Vibratory Roller |
| 225+200 | Aggregate Production | 42800 t | 400 t/day 800 t/day | 107 | 5.35 Months (1 set) 2.7 Months 2 set | | | | 2 - Stone Crusher |
| 270+000 | Asphalt Production | , | 320 t/day 640 t/day | , | 2 set | | | | 2 - Asphalt Plant |
| L = 44.8 km | ATB | 9,430 | 100 m ³ (235 t) (470 t) | 95 | 6.4 Months 2 8:4 Months (Separate Team from AC) | | * | | 1 - Asphalt Finisher 1 - Road Roller 1 - Tire Roller 1 - Vibratory Roller |
| | AC | 168,000 | 1,200 m ² (141 t) (282 t) | 140 | 9.4 Months 2 shifts> 4.7 Months | | | | 1 - Asphalt Finisher 1 - Road Roller 1 - Tire Roller 1 - Vibratory Roller |
| | Shoulder Marking, etc | 7,700 | 100 m ³ | 22 | 5.2 Months 1 shifts x 2 2.6 Months | | | | 2 - Motor Grader 2 - Road Roller |

Ramadhan Holidays

No. of workable days per month = 15 days

Note :

| | _ | ТТ | ABLE 7.7 – 2 (1/3) | СС |)A(| STA | Ĺ | RC |)A[| DE | BR | DC | ΞE | С | DN | ST | RU | СТ | 10 | N S | <u>SCH</u> | ED | UL | E | - | | | | | |
|----|--------------------|--------------------|--|----|-----|-----|--------|--------|-----|----|----|----|----|---|-----------|----------|-----------|--------|----|--------|------------|-------|-----|---|--------|---|---|---|---|----------|
| No | Proposed Bridge | Existing Bridge | Activity | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | (1)Embankment (Abut) ②Embankment (temporary) | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Piling ④Abutment/Pier | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | 5 Scaffolding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Steel | | ⑦Forms | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Girder L=90m | (Bailey) | (8)Slab concreting (9)Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30 X 3 | | MAC Pavement Removal of Forms/Scafolding | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 12Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (1)Approach slab (1)Removal of temporary Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | |
| | | | (15)Abutment Protection (16)Removal of Existing Bridge/Detour | | | _ | - | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | _ | | | | - |
| | | | ①Embankment (Abut) ②Embankment (temporary) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (4) Abutment/Pier (5) Scaffolding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | RC Slab | (Timbor) | (6)Re-bar/concreting (7)Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U | 13.5 X 3 | (Timber) | 8 AC Pavement 9 Removal of Scafolding | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ((Approach siab) ((Abutment Protection) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | WREMOVAL of temporary embankment Removal of Existing Bridge/Detour | | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | L | L | L | | | | L |
| | | | 1)Embankment (Abut) 2)Embankment (temporany) | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | F | | _ | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | ③Piling ④Abutment / Ping | | | | 1 | 4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (4) Abutment/Pier (5) Scaffolding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | _ | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | RC Slab | (Timber) | ©Re-bar/concreting ⑦Railing | | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | E | L | L | L | L | | | | L |
| 5 | 10 X 2 | (Timber) | 8 AC Pavement 9 Removal of Scafolding | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ()Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | Ē | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slap Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | F | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | (1)Removal of temporary embankment (1)Removal of Existing Bridge/Detour | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | _ | - | | | | | _ | | | |
| | | | ()Embankment (Abut) | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (4)Abutment/Pier (5)Scaffolding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | (- | 6 Re-bar/concreting 7 Railing | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | L=20m 10 X 2 | (limber) | 8 AC Pavement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| | | | (1)Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (1)Approach slab (1)Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Removal of temporary embankment ④Removal of Existing Bridge/Detour | | | | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | - | - | | | | | | | |
| | | | ()Embankment (Abut) | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Piling | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (4)Abutment/Pier (5)Scaffolding | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Steel | | ©Erection ⑦Forms | | | | - | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Girder | (Bailey) | 8Slab concreting | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| | 30 X 4 | | (MAC Pavement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (I)Removal of Forms (I)Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (13)Approach slab (14)Removal of temporary Embankment | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | _ | - | - | | | | _ | | | |
| | | | BAbutment Protection | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ł | | | | | | | |
| | | | (1)Embankment (Abut) | | | | t | = | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | L | | | | | | | |
| | | | ③Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (4)Abutment/Pier (5)Scaffolding | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | _ | _ | - | - | | | | _ | | | |
| | Steel | | ©Erection (7)Forms | | | | _ | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Girder | (Bailey) | Slab concreting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | L=90m 30 X 3 | | (9)Railing (1)AC Pavement | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ①Removal of Forms ②Backfilling | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - | - | | - | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab Approach slab Approach slab | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | L | | | | | | | |
| | | | (15)Abutment Protection | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Γ | | | | | | | F |
| | | | Wemoval of Existing Bridge/Detour ①Embankment (Abut) | F | F | | Ⅎ | | | | | | | | | \vdash | \square | | | | | \pm | F | | F | | | | | E |
| | | | ②Embankment (temporary) ③Piling | | | F | \neg | \neg | 7 | | | | | | | | | | 7 | \neg | + | | - | | | | | | | - |
| | | | Abutment/Pier Sectorial | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | | 6 Re-bar/concreting | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | L | | | | | | | |
| 1 | L=20m 10 | (RCPC) | (/)Railing ⑧AC Pavement | | F | | | | | | | | | | L | | H | | | | | | | | L | L | H | | | E |
| | ~ 4 | | (9)Removal of Scafolding 10)Backfilling | | | - | \neg | \neg | 7 | | | | | | \square | | | | 7 | | - | | - | | - | | | | | \vdash |
| | | | Approach slab Approach slab | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of temporary embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | [| | | | | | L |
| | | | (URemoval of Existing Bridge/Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 - | | | | | | | L |

Pile Driver: 3 (4+5+6+7), ③, (1+2)

H Pile-after one month
 Steel Tubular Pile-after two months
 Bridge Girder- after three months

| | | | | | ./ \(| | T C | // (L | | | | | 00 | 111 | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | _ | <u>``</u> | | | | - | | | |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|-------|----------|-----|-------|----------|----------|---|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|---|---|---|---|-----------|---|----------|----------|---|---|---|---|
| No | Proposed | Existing | Activity | | | 1 | | | | 2 | | | : | 3 | | | | 4 | | | ţ | 5 | | | | 6 | | | 1 | 7 | |
| | Bridge | Bridge | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Piling Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | L=20m 10 | Timber Deck) | Superstructure Concreteing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ~ 2 | Deck) | Removal of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | (| Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | L=20m 10 | Timber | Superstructure Concreteing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | × 2 | Deck) | Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Scatolding/Form Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Embankment Piling | — | | - | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | | — | - | | - | | | | - |
| | | | Abutment/Pier | | L | L | | | L | E | L | | L | E | L | ſ | F | L | | | | | | E | L | L | L | | | L | F |
| 10 | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | ∟=24m 12 x 2 | Deck) | Superstructure Concreteing Railing/AC Pavement | | - | - | | | | - | - | | | - | - | 1 | \vdash | | | | | | | - | ├ | | - | | | | - |
| | | | Removal of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Backfilling | | | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | \vdash | \vdash | <u> </u> | | | | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab Abutment Protection | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | - | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | | Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | L=20m 10 | (RC Girder) | Superstructure Concreteing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | × 2 | | Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Scatolding/Form Backfilling | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Decour | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | _ | L | | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | RC Slab | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 × 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Amenced of be | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Aburtment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Aburtment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Bomgual of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Beackfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Bailing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Betour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour Detour Betour Betour Piling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Detour Detour Detour Detour Detour Piling Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Person of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Piling Approach fixiting Bridge Embankment Piling Approach Stab Abutment Piling Approach Slab Abutment Piling Abutment Piling Approach Slab Abutment Approach Slab Abutme | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Bailing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) Collupsed | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 15 14 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) Collupsed | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Sagerstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Scafolding/Form Removal of Scafolding/Form Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 15 14 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=30m 10 x 3 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) Collupsed | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Detour Detour Detour Benoval of Detour Detour Benoval of Detour Detour Benoval of Detour Detour Benoval of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 RC Slab L=20m 10 x 2 | (RC Girder) (RC Girder) (stee+ Timber Deck) Collupsed | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Existing Bridge Embankment Piling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Detour Detour Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection Removal of Detour Detour Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment/Pier Scafolding/Form Superstructure Concreteing Railing Removal of Scafolding/Form Backfilling Approach slab Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| _ | | TA | BLE 7.7 – 2 (3/3) VI | íLL | .AC | ĴΕ | RC |)A[|) E | BRI | DG | ìΕ | CC |)NS | STI | RU | СТ | IO | N S | SCI | ΗE | Dι | JLE | : (2 | 2/2 |) | | | | | |
|----|------------|-------------|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|----|----|----------|----------|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|-----|------|-----|----------|----------|---|----------------|---|----------|
| No | Proposed | Existing | Activity | | T. | 1 | - | | 1 | 2 | | | | 3 | T | | 4 | 1 | | | Ę | 5 | - | | (| 6 | | | 7 | 1 | |
| | Bridge | Bridge | Determ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | | | Detour Removal of Existing Bridge | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| | | | Embankment | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | |
| | | | Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | |
| 12 | L=20m 10 | Timber | Superstructure Concreteing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | x 2 | Deck) | Railing | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Backfilling | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | Approach slap | <u> </u> | | | _ | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | _ | | _ | - |
| | | | Removal of Detour | - | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | _ | | | | | _ | - |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | F | F = | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | L=24m 12 | Timber | Superstructure Concreteing | L | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| | × 2 | Deck) | Railing | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | — | | <u> </u> |
| | | | Removal of Scafolding/Form | <u> </u> | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - | | |
| | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | _ | | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | Approach siab | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - | | | | $ \neg $ | _ | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | |
| | | | Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | _ | |
| | | | Piling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment/Pier | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | |
| 10 | L=20m 10 | Timber | Superstructure Concreteing | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | _ | | | | | | | | | - |
| | x Z | Deck) | | ⊢ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | Removal of Scafolding/Form | <u> </u> | | | _ | - | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | _ | | _ | - |
| | | | Approach slab | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| | | | Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | _ | |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | Embankment | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Piling | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | <i>.</i> | Abutment | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | — | | - |
| 0 | RC Slab | (stee+ | Scafolding/Form | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | - | | - |
| 5 | L-1211112 | Deck) | Superstructure Concreteing | | - | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | - |
| | <u>^</u> ' | Deolo | Removal of Scafolding/Form | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | |
| | | | Backfilling | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | <u> </u> |
| | | | Approach slab | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Existing Bridge | L | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | L | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | щ | | |
| | | | Embankment | ⊢ | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | L | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | L | | | ┝──┤ | | |
| | | | Piling | ┣— | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | \vdash | | | _ | \vdash |
| | DC SIAL | | Abutment/Pier | ⊢ | <u> </u> | | | — | | - | | — | | | | | - | | | | | | | | — | | | | | | |
| 8 | 1 = 20m 10 | (RC Girder) | Scaloluing/Form | ⊢ | - | | - | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | - | $ \dashv$ | _ | |
| Ŭ | x 2 | | Railing | - | 1 | | | | | - | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | $ \rightarrow$ | _ | - |
| | | | Removal of Scafolding/Form | <u> </u> | 1 | | | - | | | | - | | | 1 | | | | _ | | | | | | - | | | | -+ | | |
| | | | Backfilling | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Approach slab | | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | - | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| | | | Abutment Protection | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Removal of Detour | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ł |

3 – Team for Village Road 3 – Pile Driver for Village Road

Chapter 8

TECHNICAL ADVICES TO RELEVANT INDONESIAN GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

The Study was undertaken with close coordination with the Ministry of National Development Planning, the Ministry of Public Works, the Public Works Office of Banda Aceh and the City Government of Banda Aceh. The Study Team has several discussion meeting with the officials of the Ministry of Public Works, particularly on the following:

- > How to select the route for reconstruction
- > Differences in route selection between USAID and the Study Team
- > How to utilize Video Tape Images taken from a helicopter
- Design concepts for urgent recovery
- Bridge type selection
- Preparation of tender documents in consideration of Indonesian characteristics

Through above discussion, necessary advices were made and also Indonesian side suggestions and opinions were incorporated in the Study.