E.4.4 DRAINAGE CHANNELS

(1) Drainage Network

Numerous drainage channels exist in the study area, and those connections are very complicated. In the present study, totally 74 km in length for esteros/creeks and 35 km in length for drainage mains have been identified based upon the results of previous studies, especially SEDLMM (2000), and the drainage inventory and maps provided by MMDA. The fieldworks have been also conducted to confirm those connections by the Study Team with the help of MMDA. The results have been summarized as schematic diagram of existing drainage network for the North Manila and South Manila as shown in *Figures E.4.11* and *E.4.12*, respectively. The figures also show the definition of the start and end points of each estero/creek and drainage main. Drainage channels have been further divided to channel sections as shown in *Figure E.4.13*.



Figure E.4.11 Schematic Diagram of Drainage Network in North Manila



Note: The boundary between S01_01 and S01_02 is quite unclear. The stormwater collected through SE13, SE17, SE16, SD12 may be drained by SP05(Libertad Pumping Station).







E - 57

(2) Dimensions of Drainage Channels

1) Estero / Creek

There are 20 esteros/creeks in North Manila and 22 esteros/creeks in South Manila. Among those, longitudinal and cross-sectional profiles of 30 esteros/creeks were surveyed in 2000 in the course of SEDLMM. In the present study, survey on most of the remained esteros/creeks has been conducted supplementary. The current conditions of estero/creeks are summarized using data obtained by both SEDLMM and the Study.

Table E.4.22 summarizes main dimensions of esteros/creeks. The longest is Estero de Tripa de Gallina that is 7600 m in length, and the shortest is Zanzibar Creek in South Manila. The width varies from a few meters to 75 m. Along the esteros/creeks are various bank protection works by means of steel sheet piles, concrete sheet piles and wet masonry, and drainage culverts.

Figure E.4.14 shows longitudinal variations of bed and bank elevations and river width in Estero de Tripa de Gallina, Calatagan Creek I and Estero de Sunog Apog/Maypajo, as examples.

In *Figure E.4.14*, longitudinal variation of bed elevations in Estero de Tripa de Gallina in 1990 and 1995 and the previously planned bed elevation are also shown. The bed elevation in 2004 is higher than those in 1990 and 1995 in general. Aggradation of riverbed is severe, which makes the existing riverbed 1 - 3 m higher than the planned one. It causes reduction of discharge capacity.

Existing Calatagan Creek I is basically shallow and narrow, which leads small discharge capacity. In addition, there is a neck point at the section where the railroad along South Super Highway crosses.

Bed elevation in Estero de Sunog Apog/Maypajo seems to become high compared to before. It is estimated that the previous bed elevation at the outlet of Blumentritt interceptor is about EL. 8 m. However, the bed elevation in 2000 is almost EL. 10.5 m, which makes the outlet of Blumentritt interceptor mostly closed.

The longitudinal profiles of the other esteros/creeks are shown in Annex E.1

Block_ID	Code	Name	Length (m)	Width (m)	Source
	NE01	Estero de Vitas (*1)	1990	15 - 72	а
	NE04	Estero dela Reina	3020	8-21	а
	NE05	Estero de Binondo	1040	15 -32	а
	NE06	Estero de Magdalena	1510	5 - 36	b
N01	NE07	Estero de San Lazaro	2830	3 - 16	b
	NE08	Estero de Kabulusan	690	9	b
	NE09	South Antipolo Open Canal	830	2.5 - 7	b
	NE10	North Antipolo Open Canal	780	3 - 4.5	b
	NE11	Estero de Tutuban	450	2 - 2.5	а
	NE12	Estero de Quiapo	1120	12 - 26	b
	NE13	Estero de San Sebastian	380	6 - 12	b
	NE14	Estero de San Miguel / Uli-Uli	2670	7.5 - 21	b
N/02	NE15	Estero de Alix	650	2.5 - 3	а
N02	NE16	Estero de Aviles	380	4.5 - 11	b
	NE17	Estero de Sampaloc I	720	8 -16	b
	NE18	Estero de Sampaloc II	510	2 -10	а
	NE19	Estero de Calubcob	340	9 - 10	b
N03	NE20	Estero de Valencia	1220	5 - 27	а
104	NE02	Estero de Sunog Apog / Maypajo	4270	5 -72	b
N04	NE03	Casili Creek	2380	2.5 - 7.5	b
	SE09	Estero de Tripa de Gallina (*2)	7640	4 - 30	а
	SE11	PNR canal	2660	1.5 - 3	b
	SE12	Calatagan Creek I	1710	4.5 - 8	b
	SE13	Calatagan Creek II	1000	6 - 8.5	b
	SE15	Zanzibar Creek	330	5 - 7.5	b
S01	SE16	Makati Diversion Channel I	1790	4 - 10	b
	SE17	Makati Diversion Channel II	1990	6.5 - 10	b
	SE18	Dilain Creek / Maricaban Creek I	6320	5 -15	b
	SE19	Maricaban Creek II	4240	6 - 25	b
	SE20	Estero de San Antonio Abad	1220	3 - 6	а
	SE21	Libertad Channel	900	15 - 20	а
<i>S02</i>	SE03	Estero de Balete	550	6 - 12	а
	SE01	Estero de Provisor	1020	15 - 26	а
	SE02	Estero de Tanque	340	5 - 25	а
	SE04	Estero de Santebanez	520	4 - 10	а
<i>S03</i>	SE06	Estero de Paco	2400	6 - 23	b
	SE07	Estero de Concordia	1070	3 - 18	а
	SE08	Estero de Pandacan	4320	3 - 16	а
	SE10	Perlita Creek	920	3 - 4	b
<i>S04</i>	SE05	Santa Clara Creek	1490	3 - 8	а
S05	SE14	Calatagan Creek III	2560	5.5 - 7	b
<i>S06</i>	SE22	Sto Nino Creek	730	N/A	N/A
Source	a: JICA Stud	dy Team. b: SEDLMM database (2000)	•	I.	

Table E.4.22 Main Dimensions of Esteros/Creeks

Note

a: JICA Study Team, b: SEDLMM database (2000)

*1: This is included also in N04. *2: This is included also in S02.



Source: 1) JICA Study Team

- 2) DPWH, JICA, The Study on Flood Control and Drainage Project in Metro Manila, 1990.
- 3) DPWH, Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project (PH-79), Drawings for Estero Improvement, 1991.
- 4) Public Estates Authority, The Study on the Updated Drainage Plan for Section II of Manila Bay Reclamation Area, Pasay City and Paranaque, Metro Manila, 1995.

Figure E.4.14 Longitudinal Profile of Estero/Creek (Tripa de Gallina) (1/3)



Source: JICA, DPWH, MMDA, Study on the Existing Drainage Laterals in Metro Manila in the Core Area of the Philippines, 2000.

Figure E.4.14 Longitudinal Profile of Estero/Creek (Calatagan Creek I) (2/3)



Source: 1) JICA, DPWH, MMDA, Study on the Existing Drainage Laterals in Metro Manila in the Core Area of the Philippines, 2000.

2) DPWH, Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project (PH-79), Drawings for Estero Improvement, 1991

Figure E.4.14 Longitudinal Profile of Estero/Creek (Sunog Apog/Maypajo) (3/3)

2) Drainage Main

There exist 18 and 19 drainage mains in North Manila and in South Manila, respectively. Based upon the database for drainage laterals developed by SEDLMM, the dimensions of the drainage mains can be summarized as shown in *Table E.4.23*.

The length of the drainage mains ranges 130 m to 2980 m. The longest is Blumentritt interceptor in North Manila, whereas the shortest is Kabulusan Sub main in North Manila. Most of the drainage mains consist of one or two box culverts.

In SEDLMM, the deposition depth in the drainage main was also investigated, which clarifies how much the drainage cross-section had been clogged by silt or solid waste at the moment the survey was conducted in 2000. The longitudinal profile of Blumentritt interceptor is shown in *Figure E.4.15* as an example. Deposition fully occupied at the outlet and the middle and upper parts in Blumentritt interceptor. There were the regions where no deposition was observed. However, only one neck point can significantly reduce discharge capacity even if other places have enough cross-sectional area. Thus, the discharge capacity of Blumentritt interceptor was almost zero at the moment the survey was conducted in 2000.

The longitudinal profiles of the other drainage mains are shown in Annex E.1

Many of maintenance holes of drainage mains have been improperly operated and maintained. Some of them have been covered by road pavement or structures and no longer utilized. This would be one of the reasons why the deposits have been accumulating so much in drainage mains.



Longitudinal distance (m)

Note: Condition of deposition in 2000 is shown. Data Source: SEDLMM database (2000)

Figure E.4.15 Longitudinal Profile of Drainage Main

(Blumentritt Interceptor)

							2	i		5.4.5 510	200										
Block_ID	Code	Nane	Length (m)	Location of manhole (m)	Type	Number of Cell	Width (m)	Depth (m) or Diameter (m)	DownStream Condition	Downstream WL Condition	DownStream Water Level (m)	Qcap (m ³ /s) with Deposition (2000)	Qcap (m ³ /s) Original	$A (km^2)$	$Q10 \\ (m^{3}/s)$	05 (m ³ /s)	33 (m ³ /s) 02 (m ³ /s) Judgen Existi	nent Jud, ing Or	gement D _v iginal	clogging Volume (m3)
	ND.07	Dominance Counciliant Cade	toro	44 - 501	Box Culvert	2	2.60 - 2.80	2.40 - 3.36	Latone	Top Elev. of D.M	10.44	26	31	1.85	35.4	30.9	26.7 23	.2 4		2	909
	INTAN	anc wantar warning a	0407	652 - 1037	Box Culvert	I	2.20 - 3.20	2.20 - 2.40	LMETU	Top Elev. of D.M	10.44	4	12	0.49	11.4	10.0	8.6 7.	5 5		1	1017
				09 - 0	Box Culvert	I	2.00	1.30 - 1.52													47
	MP.00	E - C	1.000	166 - 1004	Box Culvert	2	1.50-2.00	1.09 - 1.53		Top Elev. of D.M.	10.21	2.6	8	1.14	23.0	20.1	17.4 15	.2 5		5	1498
	80 <i>UN</i>	Solis-Fecson	1450	1041 - 1359	Box Culvert	I	2.00	1.15 - 1.48	Dramage Main	Top Elev. of D.M	10.21	1.5	6	1.14	23.0	20.1	17.4 15	.2 5		5	370
				1428	Pipe	I	$\left \right $	1.02		Top Elev. of D.M	10.21	1.4	4	0.71	1.6.1	14.1	12.2 10	5		5	388
1004	WD.00	Count Antimula	1 27.0	0 - 1218	Box Culvert	1	3.00-4.50	1.85 - 2.67	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	12.05	0	6	0.59	11.0	9.6	8.3 7.	2 5		3	7261
101	KO UN	South Antipoto	13/0	1375	Box Culvert	1	2.00	2.14	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	12.05	0	0	0.22	4.1	3.6	3.1 2.	7 5		5	358
	NDI0	Kabulusan Sub	140	14 - 142	Box Culvert	I	2.81	2.40	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	10.15	10	44	0.64	9.8	8.6	7.4 6.	4 1		1	(V*) SI.
	II GN	Kabulusan	370	8 - 367	Box Culvert	I	3.35 - 5.80	2.00 - 2.70	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M.	11.30	0	35	0.64	9.5	8.3	7.1 6.	1 5		1	1935
	0.014	E		0-692	Box Culvert	I	2.12-3.10	1.36 - 2.40	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	10.15	0	8	1.41	30.6	26.8	23.2 20	(2 5		5	2921
	71GN	Layuman	0007	875-1303	Box Culvert	1	1.85-1.91	1.48 - 1.89	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	10.15	0	6	0.65	14.9	13.1	11.3 9.	8 5		5	834
			Carl	0 - 365	Box Culvert	2	2.00 - 2.20	1.63 - 2.87	, S	Top Elev. of D.M.	10.99	10	21	0.86	15.8	13.8	11.9 16	4 4		_	915
	NDIS	Pugoso	0/0	470 - 546	Box Culvert	1	2.80 - 3.00	1.50	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M.	10.99	5	12	0.40	7.3	6.4	5.5 4.	8		-	350
	1 and	-		70-220	Box Culvert	I	3.10-3.20	1.60	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	10.31	16	21	0.93	17.6	15.4	13.3 11	.6 2		1	77
	ND14	Severino Reyes	000	332 - 648	Box Culvert	I	2.65 - 3.20	1.60 - 1.77	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M.	10.31	7	11	0.87	16.6	14.5	12.5 10	5		4	243
	ND 15	Lepanto-Gov. Forbes	1160	0911-0	Box Culvert	3	3.40 - 4.00	1.75-3.15	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	11.52	13	25	2.55	36.4	31.6	27.3 23	5 5		4	7817
				0	Box Culvert	s	3.40	2.24			\setminus		\setminus					•			
N02	ND16	Lepanto-Josefina	1060	133 - 223	Box Culvert	2	2.00 - 2.12	2.00 - 2.24	Drainage Main	Top Elev. of D.M.	11.06	21	33	1.31	21.9	1.61	16.5 14	.3 2		_	1290
				916 - 1021	Box Culvert	1	4.10 - 4.30	3.00 - 3.24		Top Elev. of D.M	11.06	13	20	0.94	17.9	15.7	13.5 11	8.		_	144
				0 - 232	Box Culvert	£	2.30 - 3.40	2.25 - 2.70		Top Elev. of D.M	11.06	49	80	0.66	20.9	18.4	15.8 13	1 8.		_	1562
	VD17	Economia	820	555 - 695	Box Culvert	I	1.40 - 1.53	2.20 - 2.70	Drainage Main	Top Elev. of D.M	11.06	4	6	0.20	5.7	5.0	4.3 3.	7 4			469
	ND 18	Washington-P. Margal	210	12 - 167	Box Culvert	I	2.80	2.37 - 2.48	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	11.18	5	26	0.24	6.0	5.3	4.5 3.	9 3		1	485
				2 - 324	Box Culvert	2	2.00	2.00		Top Elev. of D.M	11.46	11	21	1.21	25.4	22.2	19.2 16	8 5		3	610
N03	VD 19	Visayas	670	403 - 573	Box Culvert	2	1.70	1.70	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	11.46	8	15	0.87	18.3	16.0	13.8 12	.I 5		3	493
				673	Box Culvert	1	2.90	1.60		Top Elev. of D.M	11.46	8	13	0.47	9.9	8.6	7.5 6.	5 3		_	0
				10 - 1463	Box Culvert	2	1.20 - 2.62	2.00 - 2.63		Top Elev. of D.M	10.76	0	8	2.62	34.4	29.9	25.9 22	.4 5		5	861
	ND05	Blumentritt Interceptor	2980	2276 - 2840	Box Culvert	2	1.40-2.15	1.57 - 2.25	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	10.76	0	8	1.56	29.5	25.7	22.2 19	.4 5		5	5895
				2978	Box Culvert	I	2.10	1.44		Top Elev. of D.M	10.76	0	6	0.58	12.5	10.9	9.5 8.	3 5		5	3817
FUN				0	Box Culvert	I	2.19	1.44		\setminus	\setminus	\setminus	\setminus	•	•			•			0
-04T	ND 06	Kanlaon	640	328-459	Pipe	1	\setminus	0.61	Drainage Main	Top Elev. of D.M.	12.22	0.2	0.4	0.58	12.5	10.9	9.5 8.	3 5		5	88 (*A)
				483	Box Culvert	I	0.762	0.762		Top Elev. of D.M	12.22	0.2	0.4	0.30	6.5	5.7	4.9 4.	3 5		5	5 (*A)
	VDA		013	125 - 293	Box Culvert	1	2.50 - 3.00	1.93 - 2.40	Balut PS	PSTL	10.70	9	16	0.49	17.3	15.2	13.1 11	.4 S		2	459
	NO TAN	nnuand	010	437 - 511	Box Culvert	1	2.50-3.00	1.66 - 1.67	Balut PS	PSTL	10.70	4	10	0.23	8.0	17	6.1 5.	3 5		-	135
	VDAT	4	1150	0 - 866	Box Culvert	I	4.00 - 4.40	1.60 - 2.72	Manila Bay	TSHM	11.34	6	13	1.13	28.0	24.5	21.2 18	L5 5		5	1879
	INTIN	P acheco	1011	913 - 1041	Box Culvert	I	3.00	0.74 - 1.75	Manila Bay	TSHM	11.34	£	4	0.34	8.5	7.4	6.4 5.	6 5		5	0
N05	VD.01	1 - 1	920	0-212	Box Culvert	1	3.84 - 4.20	2.01 - 2.62	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	1	43	0.62	20.9	18.4	15.8 13	5		1	3507
	70 A N	TAKANANIA	0/0	740-873	Pipe	I	\setminus	1.07	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	0.4	I	0.13	4.4	3.9	3.3 2.	9 5		5	13
	ND03	Zaragosa Sub	430	0 - 429	Pipe	1		0.91	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	0	1	0.23	8.8	7.7	6.6 5.	8 5		5	279
Note	I) Dimen	isions are basically based uopn SEL	DL MM databas.	ve (2000).			Judgment														
	2) PSTL:	· Pump Start Level, MHST: Mean h	figh Spring Tide					1, 2, 3, 4, 5 = The di	charge capacity and p	robable discharge											
	3) *A: D€	eclogging is not proposed in the ma	aster plan.					I = The capacity i	: more than Q10.												

Table E.4.23 Dimensions of Drainage Mains (1/2)

1.2.3.4.5 - The disclarge capacity and probable discharge
 1 = The capacity is more than Q.0.
 2 = The capacity is Q.10 - Q.3.
 3 = The capacity is Q.9. Q.3.
 4 = The capacity is Q.9. Q.
 5 = The capacity is the state

										ĺ											
Basin_ID	Code	Nane	Length (m)	Location of manhole (m)	Type	Number of Cell	(m) Width (m)	Depth (m) or Diameter (m)	DownStream Condition	Downstream WL Condition	DownStream Water Level (m)	$\begin{array}{c} Qcap \left(m^{3}/s\right) \\ with Deposition \\ \left(2000 ight) \end{array}$	Qcap (m ³ /s) Original	A (km2)	Q10 (m3/s)	Q5 (m3/s)	03 (m3/s)	02 (m3/s)	Judgement Existing	Judgement Original	Declogging Volume (m3)
				5 - 568	Box Culvert	I	3.00 - 5.30	2.50-3.70		Top Elev. of D.M	13.97	27	33	0.72	15.6	13.7	8.11	10.3	1	I	150
	SD 12	SSH-Way	1110	741	Box Culvert	1	2.00	0.95	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	13.97	12	18	0.58	12.7	1.11	9.6	8.3	2	I	161
				900 - 1108	Pipe	I		1.07 - 1.52		Top Elev. of D.M	13.97	4	5	0.43	9.4	8.2	7.1	6.2	5	5	0
	en oo	Zohal Davas	1 160	0 - 725	Box Culvert	1	2.80 - 4.40	1.22 - 2.52	Latono	Top Elev. of D.M	12.36	6	13.5	1.01	17.5	15.2	13.2	11.4	5	3	2026
	6070	SDDet ROXAS	1011	764 - 1157	Pipe	1	\setminus	1.22	CARTO	Top Elev. of D.M	12.36	0.7	1.5	0.79	15.0	13.1	11.3	9.9	5	5	94
	61 US	E meda	04.0	30-453	Box Culvert	2	1.50 - 1.96	0.91 - 1.29	Latom	Top Elev. of D.M	11.54	4	5	0.82	17.0	14.8	12.8	1.11	5	5	51
S0I	0100	r a aaab	070	567 - 821	Pipe	2		0.85 - 1.07	Dialeto	Top Elev. of D.M	11.54	1.5	2	0.63	13.0	11.4	9.8	8.6	5	5	47
	SDII	Pasong Tamo	540	0 - 303	Box Culvert	1	3.50 - 4.05	1.55 - 1.90	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M	12.87	2.5	12	0.49	9.1	7.9	6.8	6.0	5	I	116
	SD 14	Buendia Outfall	1990	15 - 1977	Box Culvert	2	3.50 - 3.80	2.50 - 3.25	Libertad PS	TLSd	9.900	33	56	4.74	57.5	49.8	43.0	36.8	5	2	7152
	SD 15	Libertad Outfall	1800	14 - 1697	Box Culvert	1	3.00 - 4.80	2.35 - 3.13	Libertad PS	TLS4	9.900	11	22	1.36	27.6	24.1	20.8	18.1	5	3	2816
	SD 16	EDSA Outfall	1720	25-911	Box Culvert	2	4.20 - 4.95	2.43 - 3.09	Libertad PS	PSTL	9.900	25	64	6.87	79.8	69.1	59.7	50.9	5	3	10142
	SD 17	Dolores	430	0 - 430	Box Culvert	1	1.80 (*1)	2.50 (*1)	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M				0.09							
	SD13	Vito Cruz	1450	200 - 941	Box Culvert	1	1.52 - 2.00	1.30 - 1.63	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	0	4	0.88	14.8	12.9	11.2	9.7	5	5	521
	SD 18	United Nations Interceptor	170	0 - 150	Box Culvert	1			Estero	Top Elev. of D.M											
C/12	SD07	Onyx	410	6 - 413	Box Culvert	I	2.50 - 2.60	1.30 - 2.15	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M.	12.79	0	0	0.23	5.2	4.5	3.9	3.4	5	5	456
600	SUDE	Rotorda	620	4 - 306	Box Culvert	I	2.50 - 2.83	1.20 - 1.67	Lotano	Top Elev. of D.M	11.60	5	7	0.32	7.1	6.2	5.3	4.7	4	2	538
	0070	12011 0010	120	388	Pipe	1		1.25	013007	Top Elev. of D.M	11.60	0.6	4	0.03	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	4	I	144
S04	SD03	Masukol	130	0 - 130	Box Culvert	1	3.00 (*1)	2.00 (*1)	Estero	Top Elev. of D.M				0.09							
	SD04	Makati Headrace-I	630	0 - 626	Box Culvert	1	4.06 - 5.20	2.30 - 2.50	Makati PS	PLL	11.30	10	26	1.00	18.3	15.9	13.8	12.0	5	I	2280
<i>S</i> 05	SD 05	Makati Headrace-II	390	0 - 265	Box Culvert	1	2.97 - 3.54	1.95 - 2.63	Makati PS	TLSd	11.30	22	40	0.65	14.6	12.8	0.11	9.6	I	I	374
	SD06	Zobel Orbit	1220	0 - 541	Box Culvert	I	4.90 - 5.18	2.97 - 3.10	Pasig River	Top Elev. of D.M	12.24	45	59	2.66	38.1	33.2	28.7	24.9	I	1	505
				0 - 374	Box Culvert	1	3.30 - 3.47	2.30-2.70	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	19	26	0.93	24.9	21.8	18.8	16.4	3	1	375
	SD01	Padre Faura	1160	577 - 650	Box Culvert	1	2.20 - 2.70	2.03 - 2.37	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	14	17	0.78	20.8	18.2	15.7	13.8	4	3	250
SUK				982 - 1070	Box Culvert	1	2.20	1.33 - 1.88	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	5	8	0.45	12.0	10.5	9.1	7.9	5	4	276
0.00				0 - 418	Box Culvert	1	4.40	2.26 - 3.20	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	26	52	0.92	23.2	20.4	17.6	15.4	1	I	1990
	SD 02	Remedios	1350	1082	Box Culvert	I	2.60	1.28	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	2.5	6	0.54	13.6	11.9	10.3	9.0	5	5	828
				1348	Pipe	1		1.22	Manila Bay	MHST	11.34	I	3	0.28	7.0	6.2	5.3	4.7	5	5	375

Table E.4.23 Dimensions of Drainage Mains (2/2)

 Dimensions are basically based uops SEDLMM database (2000).
 FSTL: Pump Start Level, MIST: Nean High Spring Tale
 *1: based upon invertary maps provided by MMD.
 4: basedaging is not proposed in the master plan. Note

Judgment

1.23.4,5 = The disclarge capacity and probable discharge
 1 = The capacity in more than Q1a.
 2 = The capacity in Q1-Q5.
 3 = The capacity in Q2-Q3.
 4 = The capacity is 10 = Q2.
 5 = The capacity is here than Q2.

(3) Discharge Capacity

Drainage network in the study area is very complex and flow direction varies with time in some places. It is necessary to employ hydrodynamic network model to evaluate such a complex system accurately. However, a simple estimation of discharge capacity with some assumptions is also useful to get a fundamental idea for understanding the existing drainage system. In this section, the results of the estimated discharge capacity for esteros/creeks and drainage mains are summarized.

1) Estero/Creek

The following are assumed to estimate discharge capacity for an estero/creek

- Uniform flow
- Bankfull flow
- Resistance law: Manning equation
- Manning's coefficient: 0.030 (Existing condition), 0.025 (Improved condition)
- Energy slope: Average bed slope or planned bed slope

2) Drainage Main

The discharge capacity for a drainage main is determined as the discharge without overflow at any manholes along the drainage main. To estimate it, pressure flow is assumed in the present study, because when large flood comes, the water level becomes almost equal to bank elevation in esteros/creeks. In such situation, pressure flow in drainage main would occur.

The water level at downstream end of drainage main is assumed as follows.

- Manila Bay: Mean High Spring Tide Level (11.34m)
- Estero/Creek: Top level of drainage main
- Drainage Main: Top level of drainage main
- Pumping Station: Pump start level

The following is also assumed.

- Resistance law: Manning equation
- Manning's coefficient: 0.018 (Existing condition), 0.015 (Improved condition)

The estimated discharge capacities for both original condition without deposition and condition with deposition in 2000 are summarized in *Table E.4.23*.

3) Probable Peak Discharge and Discharge Capacity

To evaluate the existing discharge capacity, probable peak discharges for several observation points of esteros/creeks and drainage mains have been estimated. Runoff coefficient based on the future expected landuse was applied. Flow direction was assumed based on mainly originally planned pump drainage basins. Rational method has been applied to estimate probable peak discharge. Time of concentration has been given by summation of time of flow and time of inlet. The time of flow was estimated assuming uniform and bankfull flow against improved or estimated original condition of esteros/creeks and drainage mains. The time of inlet is estimated based upon assumed flow path within a sub-basin. It is noted that the same methodology to calculate time of concentration is applied for hydrodynamic modeling by MOUSE. *Figure E.4.16* shows the location of observation points for estimating probable peak discharges. The estimated

probable peak discharges for several observation points for the existing condition are summarized in *Table E.4.24*. The time of flow and inlet for each reach basin and matrix to calculate contributing reach basin for the discharge observation points are summarized in *Annex E.2*.

Figure E.4.17 shows the existing discharge capacity compared to probable peak discharge. Many channels have the capacity less than 2-years return period peak discharge. The main reason for this is the reduction of cross-sectional area due to heavily accumulated bottom deposits. It is noted that the existence of the informal settlers within channels as obstacles for water flow has not been taken into account directly in this analysis. However, if it is considered, the discharge capacity becomes smaller, although it is difficult to analyze quantitatively. The important finding here is that the reduction of cross-sectional area due to heavily accumulated bottom deposits is already enough to reduce the discharge capacity significantly.

(4) Effect of Dredging and Declogging

Based upon available previous reports and existing observed cross-sectional shapes, the original bed levels for each estero/creek have been estimated. The bed levels to be recovered are then set by considering the connections of each channel. The bed level setting is categorized into the following:

- Original: The bed level is set by following the original plan shown in the previous report.
- Modified: The bed level is set by modifying the original plan (basically higher than the bed level of the original plan).
- New: The bed level is set by estimating the original bed level based on the existing observed cross-sectional shape and connections between channels.
- No: The bed level is kept with existing condition.

The original bank location was estimated using the surveyed cross-sectional shape of the channels. The width of the channel to be dredged is then set using the estimated bank location. The longitudinal profiles of the esteros/creeks are shown in *Annex E.1*.

The above-mentioned categories for the bed levels and estimated dredging volumes for each channel division are summarized in *Table E.4.25*, together with estimated number of structures within esteros. Total volumes to be dredged from esteros/creeks are estimated at about 840,000 m³. On the other hand, estimated declogging volume for each drainage main is shown in *Table E.4.23*. Total volumes to be declogged from drainage mains are estimated at about 80,000 m³.

By conducting the dredging of esteros/creeks and declogging of drainage mains, the discharge capacity can be recovered as shown in *Figure E.4.18*. Discharge capacity for almost all of the esteros directly connecting to pumping stations will recover to the capacity of more than 10-year return period peak discharge. Many drainage mains have the capacity of more than 3-year return period peak discharge. This result clarifies that dredging and declogging can be significantly effective to regain the channel capacity and consequently to reduce inundation in the core area. However, as can also be seen in *Figure E.4.17*, some drainage mains such as Blumentritt interceptor in north Manila and Zobel-Roxas in South Manila have less capacity still even if declogging is executed. The dredging and declogging are fundamental, but additional work is still required in some places.



Figure E.4.16 Location of Discharge Observation Points (1/2)



Figure E.4.16 Location of Discharge Observation Points (2/2)

02	(m ³ /s) Remarks	50.1 Vitas P.S.	23.2	7.5	15.2	10.6	12.8	10.8	8.7	7.2	20.2	9.8	3.7	1.4	34.6	22.0 Binondo P.S.	16.2	6.9	11.6	10.3	5.5 Escolta P.S.	25.2 Quiapo P.S.	8.8	2.0	11.6	29.0 Aviles P.S.	6.7	6.7	23.6	14.3	11.8	13.8	5.0	29.8 Valencia	16.8	93.8 Est Vitas	68.6	27.2		47.6	47.6 29.4	47.6 29.4 21.5	47.6 29.4 21.5 18.3	47.6 29.4 21.5 18.3 8.3	47.6 29.4 29.4 29.4 21.5 8.3 8alut P.S.	47.6 29.4 229.4 21.5 11.5 10.3 Balut P.S. 18.5 11.5 11.3 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11.5 11	47.6 29.4 29.4 18.3 8alut P.S. 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.
03	(m ³ /s)	58.3	26.7	8.6	17.4	12.2	14.8	12.5	10.0	8.3	23.2	11.3	4.2	1.6	40.1	25.7	18.9	7.9	13.5	11.9	6.3	29.1	10.0	2.3	13.3	33.6	7.7	7.6	27.3	16.5	13.5	15.8	5.8	34.2	19.2	110.5	80.2	31.7	55.3		34.2	34.2 24.9	34.2 24.9 21.1	34.2 24.9 21.1 9.5	34.2 24.9 21.1 9.5 11.8	34.2 24.9 21.1 9.5 21.2 21.2	34.2 24.9 21.1 9.5 11.8 15.8
02	(m ³ /s)	67.5	30.9	10.0	20.1	14.1	17.2	14.4	11.6	9.6	26.8	13.1	4.9	1.8	46.4	29.7	21.8	9.1	15.6	13.8	7.4	33.6	11.6	2.7	15.4	38.9	8.9	8.9	31.6	19.1	15.7	18.4	6.7	39.5	22.2	128.2	92.9	36.7	64.0		39.6	39.6 28.8	39.6 28.8 24.4	39.6 28.8 24.4 10.9	39.6 28.8 24.4 10.9 13.7	39.6 28.8 24.4 10.9 24.5 24.5	39.6 39.6 28.8 24.4 10.9 13.7 24.5 18.4
Q10	(m ³ /s)	77.8	35.4	11.4	23.0	16.1	19.7	16.6	13.3	11.0	30.6	14.9	5.5	2.1	53.4	34.3	25.1	10.4	18.0	15.8	8.4	38.6	13.3	3.0	17.6	44.8	10.2	10.1	36.4	21.9	17.9	20.9	7.6	45.3	25.4	148.4	107.3	42.3	73.7		45.5	45.5 33.1	45.5 33.1 27.9	45.5 33.1 27.9 12.5	45.5 33.1 27.9 12.5 15.6	45.5 33.1 27.9 12.5 15.6 28.0	45.5 33.1 27.9 12.5 15.6 28.0 20.9
Areal Reduction	Factor	0.98	0.99	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	0.95	0.96	0.99	0.97		0.99	0.99	0.99 0.99 0.99	0.99 0.99 1.00	0.99 0.99 0.99 1.00	0.99 0.99 0.99 1.00 1.00	0.99 0.99 1.00 1.00 1.00
Time of Concentration	T _c (hour)	1.46	0.81	0.62	0.70	0.55	1.22	1.10	66.0	0.85	0.55	0.40	0.32	0.26	1.23	1.65	1.44	0.41	1.34	0.93	0.41	1.00	0.38	0.26	0.81	1.39	0.58	0.48	1.26	0.91	0.70	0.25	0.25	0.66	0.50	2.40	1.82	1.44	1.39		1.35	1.35	1.35 1.17 0.78	1.35 1.17 0.78 0.50	1.35 1.17 0.78 0.50 0.31	1.35 1.17 0.78 0.50 0.31 0.60	1.35 1.17 0.78 0.50 0.31 0.31 0.31
Runoff Coef.	v	0.746	0.752	0.785	0.737	0.744	0.746	0.748	0.747	0.739	0.695	0.641	0.742	0.705	0.736	0.774	0.762	0.745	0.765	0.768	0.795	0.737	0.716	0.709	0.741	0.715	0.743	0.741	0.709	0.688	0.688	0.738	0.743	0.678	0.646	0.710	0.690	0.751	0.648		0.681	0.681 0.603	0.681 0.603 0.689	0.681 0.603 0.689 0.664	0.681 0.603 0.689 0.664 0.789	0.681 0.603 0.689 0.664 0.789 0.831	0.681 0.603 0.689 0.664 0.789 0.831 0.850
Drainage Area	A (km2)	5.693	1.850	0.494	1.141	0.705	1.282	1.014	0.768	0.592	1.410	0.647	0.189	0.069	3.522	2.562	1.766	0.392	1.197	0.863	0.296	2.293	0.505	0.100	0.926	3.284	0.451	0.410	2.550	1.312	0.943	0.655	0.238	2.370	1.208	15.611	9.781	3.019	6.103		3.444	3.444 2.620	3.444 2.620 1.563	3.444 2.620 1.563 0.579	3.444 2.620 1.563 0.579 0.494	3.444 2.620 1.563 0.579 0.494 1.127	3444 2.620 1.563 0.579 0.494 1.127 0.618
Observation Point	(Reach_ID)	RNE01_02	RND07_01	RND07_02	RND08_01	RND08_02	RNE08_01	RNE09_01	RNE10_01	RND09_01	RND12_01	RND12_02	RNE07_03	RNE07_02	RNE04_03	RNE05_01	RNE04_02	RNE06_01	RNE07_01	RND13_01	RNE04_01	RNE12_01	RNE14_02	RNE13_01	RND14_01	RNE17_01	RNE16_01	RNE14_01	RND15_01	RND16_01	RND16_02	RND17_01	RND18_01	RNE20_01	RND19_01	RNE01_01	RNE02_01	RNE03_01	RNE02_02		KINEUZ_U3	RND05_01	RND05_03 RND05_01 RND05_02	RND05_03 RND05_01 RND05_02 RND06_01	RND05_01 RND05_01 RND05_02 RND06_01 RND04_01	RND05_01 RND05_01 RND05_02 RND06_01 RND04_01 RND01_01	RND05_01 RND05_01 RND05_02 RND04_01 RND04_01 RND01_01 RND01_01 RND02_01
WaterWay	₫	NE01	ND07	ND07	ND08	ND08	NE08	NE09	NE10	ND09	ND12	ND12	NE07	NE07	NE04	NE05	NE04	NE06	NE07	ND13	NE04	NE12	NE14	NE13	ND14	NE17	NE16	NE14	ND15	ND16	ND16	ND17	ND18	NE20	ND19	NE01	NE02	NE03	NE02	NEOD		ND05	ND05 ND05	ND05 ND05 ND05	ND05 ND05 ND06 ND06	ND05 ND05 ND06 ND06 ND04 ND04	ND05 ND05 ND06 ND04 ND01 ND01 ND02
	Basin_ID	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_01	N01_02	N01_02	N01_02	N01_02	N01_02	N01_03	N02_01	N02_01	N02_01	N02_01	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N02_02	N03_01	N03_01	N04_01	N04_01	N04_01	N04_01	10101	N04_01	N04_01 N04_01	N04_01 N04_01 N04_01	N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N04_01	N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N04_02	N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N04_02 N05_01	N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N04_01 N05_01 N05_01
	Block_ID	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N01	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N02	N03	N03	N04	N04	N04	N04		N04	N04 N04	N04 N04 N04	N04 N04 N04 N04	N04 N04 N04 N04 N04	N04 N04 N04 N04 N05	N04 N044 N044 N044 N05 N05

Table E.4.24 Estimated Probable Peak Discharge (1/2)

Note: Observation points are basically located at downstream end of each reach basin.

	Remarks	Tripa P.S.											Buendia													Libertad		EDSA				Balete P.S.	Paco P.S.		Bandaoan D C		SanAndres P.S.								Sta. Clara P.S.	Makati P.S.	Makati P.S.			
6	(s/cm)	90.2	89.7	30.6	49.5	6.4	42.1	39.6	10.3	11.2	19.6	13.3	36.8	22.0	10.9	11.4	9.9	12.3	0.8	2.8	7.8	6.0	13.7	11.1	8.3	18.1	6.5	44.7	9.7	6.5	7.1	9.3	22.7	5 .4	17.0	4.7	26.2	3.9	18.2	3.4	2.0	11.2	4.5	4.7	20.6	12.0	9.6	24.9	27.0	15.4
ő	(m ³ /s)	104.5	103.8	35.3	56.9	7.3	49.3	46.2	11.8	12.9	22.8	15.2	43.0	25.5	12.6	13.2	11,3	14.3	1.0	3.2	9.1	6.8	15.7	12.8	9.4	20.8	7.4	52.7	11.2	7.5	8.1	10.7	26.0	2.2	19.5	5.3	30.6	4.4	21.2	3.9	2.3	13.0	5.1	5.3	23.6	13.8	11.0	28.7	31.0	18.8 17.6
e e e	(s/ _c m)	120.9	120.1	40.8	65.8	8.4	57.1	53.5	13.7	15.0	26.4	17.6	8 '6 1	5'62	14.6	15.2	13.1	16.5	1.1	3.7	10.5	7.9	18.2	14.8	10.9	24.1	8.6	61.1	12.9	8,6	9,4	12.4	30.1	2'1	22.6	62	35.4	5,1	24.5	4.5	2.6	15.0	5.9	6.2	27.3	15.9	12.8	33.2	35.9	21.8
010	(s/cm)	139.1	138.0	46.8	75.5	9.6	65.9	61.6	15.6	17.2	30.4	20.1	57.5	34.0	16.8	17.5	15.0	19.0	1.3	4.3	12.1	9.1	20.9	17.0	12.5	27.6	9.8	70.7	14.8	9.9	10.7	14.2	34.5	8.2	25.9	2.0	40.8	5.9	28.3	5.2	3.0	17.3	6.8	7.1	31.2	18.3	14.6	38.1	41.2	24.9
Areal Doduction	Factor	0.95	0.96	0.98	0.97	1.00	0.98	0.98	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00	0.98	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.8 8	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	66:0	1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.98	00'	6600	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00 1	1.00	1.00	6.9	6.0	0.99
Time of Concentration	T _c (hour)	1.24	1.13	0.85	0.82	0.57	1.81	1.61	0.59	1.21	1.54	0.35	1.78	1.41	1.21	0.92	0.77	1.21	0.40	0.32	1.07	0.73	0.87	0.74	0.54	0.77	0.41	2.35	0.99	0.76	0.58	1.03	0.72	8	0.68	0.29	1.61	0.49	1.51	0.54	0.26	1.18	0.71	0.57	0.54	0.70	0.54	0.93	0.80	0.52
Bunoff Coaf	o	0.619	0.614	0.646	0.565	0.716	0.740	0.735	0.726	0.694	0.746	0.727	0.740	0.718	0.720	0.722	0.726	0.719	0.850	0.799	0.704	0.683	0.769	0.768	0,797	0.777	0.785	0.743	0.724	0.706	0.639	0.668	0.738	0.7/0	0.628	0.736	0.720	0.747	0.725	0.732	0.830	0.725	0.702	0.715	0.632	0.657	0.715	0.604	0.604	0.806
Drainace Area	A (km2)	11.561	10.839	2.935	5.401	0.439	5.489	4.829	0.715	1.207	2.266	0.724	4.740	2.506	1.120	1.007	0.786	1.272	0.042	0.136	0.772	0.488	1.094	0.819	0.499	1.357	0.351	6.869	0.885	0.528	0.549	0.939	1.740	0,235	1 147	0.233	3.232	0.239	2.124	0.226	0.084	1.146	0.352	0.323	1.569	1.002	0.649	2.657	2.657	0.924
Ohservatino Point	(Reach_ID)	RSE09_01	RSE18_01	RSE18_02	RSE19_01	RSE09_02	RSE09_03 (*1)	RSE16_01 (*1)	RSD12_01 (*1)	RSE16_02 (*1)	RSE17_01 (*1)	RSE13_01 (*1)	RSD14_01	RSE09_06	RSE09_07	RSD09_01	RSD09_02	RSE12 01	RSE11_01	RSE11_02	RSE12_02	RSD11_01	HSE09_05	RSD10_01	RSE11_03	RSD15_01	RSE09_04	RSD16_01 (*1)	RSD13_01	RSD13_02	RSE20 01	RSE03 01	RSE06_01		RSEOR OI	RSE08 02	RSE08 04	RSE08 03	RSE09_09	RSD07_01	RSE06_03	RSE09_08	RSE10_01	RSD08_01	RSE05_01	RSD04_01	RSD05_01	RSD06_01	RSE14_01	RSD02_01
WaterWay	D	SECO	SE18	SE18	SE19	SE09	SE09	SE16	SD12	SE16	SE17	SE13	SD14	SE09	SE09	SD09	SD09	SE12	SE11	SE11	SE12	SD11	SE09	SD10	SE11	SD15	SE09	SD16	SD13	SD13	SE20	SE03	SE06	1010	SEDB	SEO8	SE08	SE08	SE09	SD07	SE06	SE09	SE10	SD08	SE05	SD04	SD05	SD06	SE14	SD02
	Basin_ID	S01_01	S01_01	S01_01	S01_01	S01_01	S01 01	S01_01	S01_01	S01_01	S01 01	S01_01	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01 02	S01 02	S01 02	S01 02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	S01_02	SO BS	S01_03	S01_03	S02_01	S03_01	500	S03 02	S03 02	S03_03	S03_03	S03_03	S03_03	S03_03	S03_03	S03.03	S03_03	S04 01	S05_01	S05_01	S05_02	S05 02	506_01
	Block_ID	S01	S01	S01	S01	S01	Sot	Sot	SOI	SO1	SO1	S01	S01	S01	S01	S	S01	S01	SO1	SOI	SOT	Sol	SOI	SOI	SOI	SOI	SOI	Sof	SOI	Sot	SOI	S02	88	35	SOS	SO3	SCB	S03	S03	Sg	SOB	SO3	SOS	S03	\$04	S05	S05	SO5	So5	800

Table E.4.24 Estimated Probable Peak Discharge (2/2)

Note: Observation points are basically located at downstream end of each reach basin. Note: *1 Stormwater from these reaches is assumed to be drained through EDSA outfall, considering the existing condition.







Figure E.4.18 Discharge Capacity of Drainage Channels after Dredging and Declogging

Table E.4.25	Dredaina	Volume fro	om Estreos/C	reeks (1/2)
			,	

							<u> </u>	<u>, </u>		
Erlaro Coda	Name of Estern	Portion	SEC CODE	(apolà (m)	Beference	Assumed Bed	Dredging Volume	Estimated number of bluidings within channel	Judgement	Judgement After Drotoing
231010 0010	Italia di Estero	1 UIGOI	SCO CODE	Eenges (m)	10000000	Addition Cod	1007	Citatina	ealing	And Didognig
			NEUTON	873		MQDIPIED	/6085	34		
NE01	Estero De Vitas	•	NE0102	171	1	MODIFIED	800	0	3	11
		1	NE0103	948	1	MÓDIFIED	32662	17	3	1
		1	NE0201	606	1	MÓDIFIED	27825	0	1	1
			NE0202	1221	1	MODIFIED	63650	37	5	2
ALC:00	Column De Courses Assert Managia		NE0203	270	1	MODIFIED	11623	2	5	1
NEUZ	Estero De Sonog Apog/ Mayhalo	2	NE0204	263	1	MÓDIFIED	2530	28	5	3
			NE0205	813	0	NEW	5772	39	5	4
		<u> </u>	NE0206	1093	ò	NO	0	3	8	6
MEMON	Entoro De Supon Aport Mermelo	-	NE0951	178	Ň	NO	0	·····		B
NEO201	Estero De Schog Apogr Maypado	<u> </u>	NEO201	110		80	<u> </u>			
WEUZUZ	Estero de Sonog Apogr Maypago		NE0202	433	~	NUT	em=	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
NËOG	Casili Creek		NE0301	901	<u> </u>	INEW				
			NE0302	14/4	V	NŬ	<u> </u>	·	<u> </u>	6
[-	NE0400	172	0	NÜ			6	
			NE0401	538	2	ORIGINAL	9853	0	1	1
			NE0402	258	2	ORIGINAL	4281	0	3	1
NE04	Estero Dela Reina	1	NE0403	352	2	ORIGINAL	1927	0	1	1
			NE0404	312	2	ORIGINAL	3692	12	1	1
			NE0405	489	2	ORIGINAL	761	3	5	3
		2	NE0406	897	2	ORIGINAL	10739	97	5	1
			NE0500	110	0	NO				8
NE05	Estero De Sinondo		NE0504	922		OBIGINAL	7310	A	3	······
			NEOGO	922		MODIFIED	1010			
NE06	Estero De Magdalena		NEUGUS	846	2	MODIFIED	169/0	12		
			NE0602	668	2	MODIFIED	1925	23		1
			NE0701	1012	2	MODIFIED	27788	31	3	1
			NE0702	178	2	MODIFIED	2923	•	5	1
NE07	Estero De San Lazaro	-	NE0703	509	2	NÔ	<u> </u>		. 6	6
			NE0704	428	0	NEW	2142	•	3	з
			NE0705	708	0	NEW	2130	•	5	э
NÉ08	Estero De Kabulusan		NE0801	690	2	ORIGINAL	8371	5	5	э
		· ·	NE0901	182	0	NÔ	0 (*A)	0	8	6
NEO9	South Antigolo Open Canal		NE0902	371	n n	NEW	1834 (*A)	17	5	5
			NE0903	278	n n	NEW	1730 (*A)	42	5	ĩ
			NEIDOS	257	i i	NGW	2351 (44)	0	5	
NE10	North Anlipolo Open Canal		NE1007	592	~	NEW	2783 (14)		3	4
NE(Colore Do Dublica		METUOZ	523	<u> </u>	MO	2100(7)	33		
NE11	Estero De Tutuban	•	NETTO:	450		NO	<u> </u>	•	0	6
		<u> </u>	NE1200	211	<u></u>	NO	0		6	6
NE12	Estero De Quiapo		NE1201	155	0	NEW	3206	4	1	1
			NE1202	219	0	NEW	4003	10	2	1
			NE1203	529	0	NEW	6855	5	1	1
NE13	Estero De San Sebaslian		NE1301	379	0	NEW	1685	5	5	1
		1	NE1401	630	0	NEW	6045	0	6	6
NE14	Estero De San Miguel/ Uli Uli		NE1402	696	0	NEW	12155	24	3	1
ł	-		NE1403	1346	0	NEW	17808	22	1	1
		· ·	NE1501	218	Ó	NO	0		6	6
NE15	Estero De Alix	-	NE1502	270	0	NO	<u>ō</u>		6	6
,		<u> </u>	NE1503	165	ň	NO	1 <u>ö</u>	······································	8	Ř
MELO	Estara Do Aulias		METOOS	246	× ×	NEW	1783	A		
NEIS	ESIOTO DE AVIES		NE1002	340	<u> </u>	NEW	1/00	v	-	
	Entre De Ormania I	<u> </u>	NE1/00	63	<u> </u>	NU				0
NE17	Estero De Sampaioc I		NE1701	123	2	ORIGINAL	2156	0	3	1
·			NE1702	537	2	ORIGINAL	7240	0	5	2
NE18	Estero De Sampaloc II		NE1801	506	0	NEW	4786	1	4	1
NE19	Estero De Calubcob		NE1901	337	0	NEW	1136	4	5	2
		-	NE2000	96	0	NO	0	-	6	6
NE20	Estero De Valencia		NE2001	490	0	NEW	13993	58	5	1
			NE2002	637	0	NEW	4930	72	5	1
Total (Norib Mapita)				<u></u>				700		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	L				I				

Referred previous report

1. Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project(PH-79), Supporting Data to Main Engineering Report (Vol.1) for Hydraulic Design, Design of Civil Works, Mechanical and Electrical Works and Sanitary Works and Cost Estimates, 1991

2. Metro Manila Drainage System Rehabilitation Project (PH-66), Drainage Improvement Plans of Estero de Vitas and Other Catchment Areas, Final Report, 1986

3. Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project(PH-79), Drawings for Estero Improvement, 1991

4. The Study on the Updated Drainage Plan for the Libertad Reclamation Area in Pasy City, Metro Manila, 1995

Judgement

1,2,3,4,5,6 = The discharge capacity and probable discharge 1 = The capacity is more than Q10. 2 = The capacity is Q10 - Q5. 3 = The capacity is Q5 - Q3. 4 = The capacity is Q3 - Q2. 5 = The capacity is less than Q2. 6 = Not specified

(Note) *A: Dredging is not proposed in the Master plan.

							Dredging Volume	Estimated number of bluidings within	Judgement	Judgement
Estero Code	Name of Estero	Portion	SEC CODE	Length (m)	Reference	Assumed Bed	(m³)	channel	Existing	After Dredging
SE01	Eslero De Provisor		SE0101	1018	0	NO	0	0	8	6
SE02	Estero De Tanque		SE0201	344	0	NO	0	0	8	6
SE03	Estero De Balele		SE0301	550	0	MO	4601	11	8	2
SEU4	Estero De Santebanez		5E0901	516	0	NO	0	4	8	8
SEOS	Sapta Clara Creek	-	SE0501	487	Ň	NEW	5685	0	5	ă
			SE0502	905	ő	NEW	10431	10	5	Ť
		-	SE0600	127	ō	NÔ	0		8	6
			SE0601	288	0	NEW	2958	1	1	1
\$E06	Eslero De Paco		SE0602	266	0	NEW	3207	0	1	1
			SE0603	1217	0	NEW	11232	209	3	1
			SE0604	499	0	NO	0	68	1	1
SE07	Estero De Concordía		SE0701	1070	0	NEW	24702	0	1	1
		•	SE0800	351	0	NO	0	0	6	6
			SE0801	853	0	NEW	10115	0	5	1
i		1	SE0802	710	0	NEW	6005	25	1	f
SE08	Estero De Pandacan		SE0803	366	0	NEW	1915	26	3	1
		2	SE0804	292	3	CRIGINAL	2446	0	3	1
1		3	SE0805	1657	0	NEW	7148	1	4	1
		•	SE0899	89	0	NO	0	· ·	6	6
		<u> </u>	SE0900	100	0	NO	0		6	6
			SE0901	436	4	MODIFIED	40900	1	5	2
		1	SE0902	305	4	MODIFIED	39352	120	e 0	
			SE0903	2/5		MODIFIED	1033/	120	с а	3
			SECON	897	4	MODIFIED	26870	114	5	, ,
			SE0905	215	<u> </u>	MODIFIED	9379	17	5	†
		2	SE0907	132	4	MODIFIED	3750	22	5	
			SE0908	281	4	MODIFIED	8586	51	6	1
SE09	Estero de Tripa de Gallina		SE0909	355	à	MODIFIED	11865	49	5	Ś
		3	SE0910	533	4	MODIFIED	10184	131	5	2
			SE0911	359	4	MODIFIED	6833	50	6	1
			SE0912	269	4	MODIFIED	4682	9	5	1
		4	SE0913	150	3	ORIGINAL	1698	8	5	1
			SE0914	1054	3	ORIGINAL	10421	72	5	1
		- E	SE0915	135	3	ORIGINAL	1615	3	5	1
1		~	SE0916	313	э	ORIGINAL	3632	12	5	1
			SE0917	926	3	ORIGINAL	9378	5	5	2
SE10	Perina Croek		SE1001	922	0	NEW	3284	12	5	2
			\$E1101	731	0	NEW	1533	6	1	1
SE11	PNB Canal	1	\$E1102	313	0	NEW	1011	1	5	2
0211	111100010		SE1103	839	0	NEW	2428	2	5	2
		2	SE1104	771	0	NEW	1499	105	5	2
SE12	Calatacan Creek I		SE1201	763	0	NEW	6679	6	5	2
			SE1202	948	0	NEW	6483	0	5	3
\$E13	Calalagan Creek li		SE1301	609	0	NO	0	0	2	2
			SE1302	368	0	NO	0	0	1	1
	October October		SE1401	1031	0	NO	0	0	1	1
3614	Cauaragan Creek III		SE1402	504			<u> </u>		1	1
05444	Calataona Graak III		SEI403	023		NO		<u> </u>		•
8E1401	Catalogan Creak		961601	217		NO			6	°
0010	Zenzkyar Creek		SEISOI	540	<u> </u>	NEW	6818		6	5
SE1A	Maketi Elversion Changel I		SE1802	285		NEW	4349	43	5	
		·	SE1603	980	0	NO	0	0	1	<u> </u>
			SE1701	807	ō	NEW	14958		5	i
SE17	Makati Diverson Channel II		SE1702	836	ō	NEW	10535	0	5	i i
			SE1703	550	ò	NEW	2610	0	1	1
			SE1801	115	Ō		0	•	6	6
			SE1802	2151	0	NEW	45823	9	5	5
SE18	Dilain Creek/ Maricaban Creek I		SE1803	478	0	NEW	0	0	5	5
			SE1804	492	0	NO	0	15	5	5
		_	SE1805	3086	0	NO	0	•	6	6
SE16b1	Dilain Creek/ Maricaban Creek I	-	SE18b1	987	0	NO	0		6	8
SE16b2	Dilain Creek/ Maricaban Creek I		SE18b2	395	•	NO	0	•	6	6
SE19	Markaban Creek II	-	SE1901	759	0	NO	0	0	6	5
		ļ	SE1902	3462	0	NO	0		6	6
SE19b1	Maricaban Creek II	<u> </u>	SE19b1	1080	<u> </u>	NO	<u>°</u>		6	6
SE1962	Maricaban Creek II	•	SE19b2	1253	0	NO	<u>8</u>	·		<u>6</u>
5E1963	Mancabáá Gréék II	<u>⊢ :</u>	3E9103	242	<u> </u>				<u>├</u>	<u> </u>
SE20	Estero de Seo Antonío Abad		952001	354	~			<u> </u>	<u>├</u>	
0540	25iero de San Antono Auão	· ·	00000	012	~		<u> </u>	<u></u>	·····	1
H			0=2003	177	~	NO		^v		
SE44	Derted Changel		3=2100 SE0104	014	~			<u> </u>		8
3621			552102	1001	Ň	NO	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	6	6
SE2161	Liberted Channel	<u> </u>	SE2102	2486	Ň	NO	ň	· · ·	6	8
SE2101	Libertad Channel	<u> </u>	SE2162	795	0	NO	ň		6 8	9 A
SE22	Sip Sil- Crask	-	SE2201	169	ň	NO	ň		Å	à
SE99	Paranaoua		SE9901	1994	ŏ	NO	õ	· ·	Ğ	6
				i	† <u>-</u>	1	İ	İ	i -	i -
Couth Long		1	1		1	1		1412		
(Soura wauita)			1		1	1		8		1

Table E.4.25 Dredging Volume from Estreos/Creeks (2/2)

Referred previous report

1. Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project(PH-79), Supporting Data to Main Engineering Report (Vol.1) for Hydraulic Design, Design of Civil Works, Mechanical and Electrical Works and Sanitary Works and Cost Estimates, 1991

2. Metro Manila Drainage System Rehabilitation Project (PH-66), Drainage Improvement Plans of Estero de Vitas and Other Catchment Areas, Final Report, 1986

3. Metro Manila Flood Control (II) Project(PH-79), Drawings for Estero Improvement, 1991 4. The Study on the Updated Drainage Plan for the Libertad Reclamation Area in Pasy City, Metro Manila, 1995

Judgement

1,2,3,4,5,6 = The discharge capacity and probable discharge 1 = The capacity is more than Q10. 2 = The capacity is Q10 - Q5. 3 = The capacity is Q5 - Q3. 4 = The capacity is Q5 - Q3.

4 = The capacity is Q3 - Q2. 5 = The capacity is less than Q2. 6 = Not specified

E.4.5 NECESSITY OF ADDITIONAL WORK

As have shown in *Chapter E.4.4*, dredging of esteros/creeks and declogging of drainage mains are significantly effective to recover the original function of drainage system in the core area. However, some additional works will be required to improve the inundation condition, especially in severely inundated areas. There are two notable regional inundation areas, one in Aviles, Sampaloc area in North Manila, and the other, San Isidro, San Antonio and Pio del Pilar area in South Manila.

Major causes of the regional inundation in North Manila are assumed as follows:

- Storm water coming from a hilly area in Quezon City is not intercepted by the present drainage system of Blumentritt Interceptor and consequently flows down into the upper catchment of Quiapo-Aviles drainage block.
- Improper function of inlet facilities of existing upper Blumentritt Interceptor due to accumulation of bottom deposits drainage gutter
- Lack of channel flow capacity of Blumentritt interceptor
- Clogging of outlet of Blumentritt interceptor due to accumulation of bottom deposits in the Estero de Maypajo.

Discharge capacity of Blumentritt interceptor now is almost zero. Almost all of stormwater in the drainage area of Blumentritt interceptor can thereby enter the upper catchment of Quiapo-Aviles drainage block. This means that the actual drainage area for Quiapo-Aviles drainage block is much larger than the original plan. Consequently, depth and duration of inundation are also considerably deep and long in the low-lying area of this block. Even if declogging is executed, the discharge capacity of Blumentritt interceptor is still less than the capacity of 2-year return period peak discharge. An additional drainage will be required to keep the function of the interceptor and to prevent overflowing together with some remedial works for existing Blumentritt interceptor.

Major causes of the regional inundation in South Manila are assumed as follows:

- Lack of channel flow capacities of existing connection channels between Calatagan Creek I, PNR Canal, Zobel-Roxas, Makati diversion channel and Estero de Tripa de Gallina due to accumulation of bottom deposits and encroachment of informal settlers
- Lack of connection channels (PNR and South Super Highway as flow barrier)
- Lack of channel flow capacity of Estero de Tripa de Gallina due to accumulation of bottom deposits and encroachment of informal settlers

Main drainage facilities to Manila Bay in this area are drainage pumping stations of Libertad and Tripa de Gallina. However, these pumping stations are not fully operating in the inundation period due to lack of flow capacities of connection or approaching channels, especially at Tripa de Gallina pumping station. Compared with installed drainage pump capacities, flow capacity of the connection channel to Estero de Tripa de Gallina is considerably small. In addition to dredging and declogging, additional works to improve flow capacity for connecting channels to Estero de Tripa de Gallina will be required.

There are some other necessary additional works. These are further discussed in *Chapter E.5*.

E.4.6 PROBLEMS AND CONSTRAINTS IN THE DRAINAGE SECTOR

(1) General

The present drainage system in the core area has been constructed and improved so far. A well-considered system was developed with countless drainage channels of esteros, drainage mains and laterals. Before expansion of urbanization progressed in the last 2 to 3 decades, it was supposed that the drainage system was functioning and consequently, the face of Metropolitan Manila would show open space of water surface and green. It is observed that such valuable drainage channels and facilities are no longer working properly due to illegal activities, encroachment of informal settlers, lack of integrated operation and maintenance system in combination with resident's participation, etc. In this section, problems and constraints in the drainage sector are summarized.

(2) **Problems and Constraints**

Problems and constraints are categorized into the following 3 aspects of structural, non-structural, and supporting system.

1) Structural Aspect

The existing 15 large drainage pumping stations and 8 small drainage pumping stations are working significantly effective in improving drainage situation in the core area of Metropolitan Manila under the administration of MMDA. Also daily operation and maintenance of pump equipment are well being made. However, 10 pumping stations have being operated nearly 30 years since their constructions. Therefore, mechanical superannuating of pump equipment and its appurtenant facilities has been progressed in some pumping stations. Generally, an economic life of pump equipment and appurtenant facilities is considered to be 20 to 25 years. In this sense, mechanical superannuation of pumping stations is one of the remarkable problems. Also spare parts are out of stock due to stop of production. It can be said that the same problems for the other pumping stations will be coming up in the next 10 years.

In the existing countless drainage channels, it can be generally said that original functions of the respective channels are not demonstrated due to various illegal social activities of dumping of solid waste, encroachment of informal settlers, lack of public awareness, various constraints and limitation for daily operation and maintenance, and budget, etc. Channel flow capacities are considerably decreased compared with original design conditions. Declogging and dredging of the channels are needed.

For the regional inundation areas, basic facilities to collect and drain storm water are in shortage against the respective areas in question. In this regard, it is indispensable to carry out additional structural countermeasures.

2) Non-structural Aspect (Floodplain Management)

The non-structure aspect (measures) aims to reduce damageable objects or properties in the inundation-prone areas or to lower vulnerability against repeating disasters by application of the respective systems of floodplain management, disaster preparedness, flood forecasting and warning, etc., and by means of resettlement of informal settlers residing in the drainage channels, etc., supported by legislation and establishment of consensus among the people and the authorities concerned.

Existing systems of EFCOS and Inter-agencies Floodplain Management are available for

flood forecasting and warning, and floodplain management in the core area. Also, Disaster Management System for disaster preparedness is undertaken by Disaster Coordination Committees of National and Regional levels as well as City, Municipality and Barangay levels, and special arrangement of funding allotment is available at the emergency case. These existing systems under government services are applicable to floodplain management as non-structural measures in the core area of Metropolitan Manila.

Meantime, urbanization in the core area has been highly progressed. Open and green spaces including swamps and ponds have been converted into commercial and business complexes. Due to such urbanization, stormwater retention capacity in the core area is decreasing. Also infiltration capacity to underground is remarkably decreasing because of asphalting of ground surface. As a result, runoff coefficient of storm water is still increasing. In this sense, some countermeasures to lower runoff coefficient or to retard storm water temporally (stormwater retention facilities and permeable pavement) are strongly needed in connection with urban development planning in view of effective utilization of present drainage systems and sustainable floodplain management in the core area.

3) Supporting Aspect

In order to sustain the structural and non-structural aspects in effective condition, a setup of powerful supporting system is indispensable. In this regard, the existing supporting system is insufficient at present and for the future. Therefore, the supporting system in this study consists of social education to improve illegal activities of solid waste dumping and encroachment of informal settlers, minimum-scale resettlement to secure necessary flow area of drainage channels, proper operation and maintenance system including resident's participation, funding system to sustain all related activities of drainage improvement, etc.