

附属資料

---

---

## 附属資料

### 附属資料 A セミナー／ワークショップ・プログラム

#### 農業／SPS 協定に関する知識の向上 《コンポネント 2》

##### 【セミナー (1)】

司会進行：農業省、経済・政策分析課、Mr. Jerome D. Bunyi

<日本における農業、および農産品流通システムに関する協定について>

【第 1 日目—8 月 4 日 (月)】
8:30-8:50 受付
8:50-9:30 開会の言葉： 農業省次官補：Dr. Segfredo R. Serrano JICA フィリピン事務所代表代理 高田裕彦氏 プログラム・講演者の紹介： TA コンサルタントチーム リーダー 田中秀和氏
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション 1</u> (9:30-12:30)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">農業に関する協定の概略</p> 農林水産省、国際経済課 課長補佐 新藤光明氏 9:30-11:00 WTO の最新情報、WTO と日本政府 11:00-11:20 休憩 11:20-12:30 質疑応答 (パネリスト：進藤氏、田中氏) 12:30-13:30 昼食
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション 2</u> (13:30-17:00)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">日本における農産品流通システム</p> 農協流通研究所 調査研究部 主任研究員 木村彰利氏 13:30-14:40 農産品流通システムの概略 野菜と果物の流通システム 14:40-15:00 休憩 15:00-15:50 米と肉類の流通システム 15:50-17:00 質疑応答 (パネリスト：木村氏、BAFPS・Layase 氏、田中氏) 通訳：佐藤氏

【セミナー (2)】

司会進行： 食品開発センター長 Dr.Alicia O. Lustre

＜SPS 協定およびリスクの分析に関する理解促進＞

【第2日目—8月5日（火）】
8:30-9:00 受付 9:00-9:15 第1日目の概略
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション3</u> (9:15-12:00) SPS 適用に関する協定の概略</p> 農林水産省、国際経済課 課長補佐 廣岡亮介氏 9:15-10:30 SPS 協定の概略 10:30-10:45 休憩 10:45-12:00 質疑応答（パネリスト：広岡氏、Bunyi 氏） 12:00-13:15 昼食
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション4</u> (13:15-17:00) 日本における家畜産業と検疫システムの現状</p> 農林水産省 動物検疫所 精密検査部 危険度分析課 岩中麻理氏 13:15-14:15 家畜の健康管理システム、国内における家畜の健康管理の手法 14:15-14:30 休憩 14:30-15:10 家畜検疫とリスク分析 15:10-16:00 質疑応答（パネリスト：岩中氏、BAI・Catbagan 氏、NMIC・Manantan 氏）
【第3日目—8月6日（水）】
8:30-9:00 受付 9:00-9:30 第2日目の概略と SPS に関する補足説明
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション5</u> (9:30-12:00) 日本の植物検疫システム</p> 農林水産省、横浜植物防疫所、調査研究部 企画調整担当 次席調査官 橋本浩明氏 9:30-10:20 最新の植物検疫システムの改善 10:20-10:40 休憩 10:40-11:10 現在の検疫システム 11:10-12:00 質疑応答（パネリスト：橋本氏、Palacpac 氏、BPI・Lacon 氏） 12:00-13:15 昼食
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>セッション6</u> (13:15-17:00) 食料の安全とリスク・アナリシス</p> 独立行政法人食品総合研究所 企画調整部食品衛生対策チーム主任研究官 山本和貴氏 13:15-14:00 食品の安全とリスク・アナリシス

14:40-15:00 休憩

15:00-16:00 日本における食品安全管理

16:00-17:00 質疑応答 (パネリスト: 山本氏、BAFPS・Layase 氏)

17:00-17:30 閉会式

農業省、BPI Director Dr. Blo Umpar Adiong

TA コンサルタントチーム リーダー 田中秀和

## GATS 実施能力向上 《コンポネント 3》

### サービス全般に関するワークショップ

日時：2003年6月9日（月）

場所：マカティ・シャングリラホテル

#### プログラム（敬称略）：

08:30 – 09:00	レジストレーション
09:00 – 09:20	開会挨拶 国家経済開発庁（NEDA）
09:20 – 09:30	JICA フィリピン事務所 挨拶
09:30 – 10:00	GATS 交渉の現状説明
10:00 – 10:15	休憩
10:15 – 11:45	交渉ポジションと交渉戦略の構築 - リクエスト・オファーの公式化 - リクエスト・オファーの評価 Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International（前 WTO サービス貿易部長）
11:45 – 12:00	質疑応答
12:00 – 13:30	昼食
13:30 – 15:00	リクエスト・オファー交渉のマネジメント サービス自由化の影響 Mr. Hartridge
15:00 – 15:15	休憩
15:15 – 16:00	質疑応答
16:00 – 16:15	閉会

## 観光サービスに関するワークショップ

日時：2003年6月10日（火）

場所：マカティ・シャングリラホテル

### プログラム（敬称略）：

08:30 – 09:00	レジストレーション
09:00 – 09:20	観光省（DOT）開会挨拶
09:20 – 09:30	TA チームによる本件協力プログラム説明
09:30 – 10:30	交渉の現状説明 （サービス交渉とその他のアジェンダとの関係、政治的背景の影響等。 観光サービス分野およびモード4の問題を中心に） フィリピンの特定の約束表の現状  Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International（前 WTO サービス貿易貿易部長）
10:30 – 10:50	休憩
10:50 – 11:50	フィリピンとその他のアセアン諸国の観光産業－自由化と競争力」  Mr. Joselito Supangco, TA チームメンバー
11:50 – 12:00	質疑応答
12:00 – 13:30	昼食
13:30 – 14:50	他の WTO 加盟国によるリクエスト・オファーの理解－観光サービス（ホテル、宿泊設備およびレストラン）とモード3、4に焦点を当てて  Mr. Hartridge
14:50 – 15:10	休憩
14:50 – 15:40	セッションの続き 質疑応答
15:40 – 16:00	観光事業における比較調査研の紹介  TA Team
16:00	閉会

## 輸送サービスに関するワークショップ

日時：2003年6月11日（水）

場所：マカティ・シャングリラホテル

### プログラム（敬称略）：

08:30 – 09:00	レジストレーション
09:00 – 09:20	運輸通信省（DOTC）開会挨拶
09:20 – 09:30	TA Team によるプログラムの簡単な説明
09:30 – 10:30	交渉の現状説明 （サービス交渉とその他のアジェンダとの関係、政治的背景の影響等。 観光サービス分野およびモード4の問題を中心に） フィリピンの特定の約束表の現状 Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International（前 WTO サービス貿易貿易部長）
10:30 – 10:50	休憩
10:50 – 11:50	フィリピンの輸送産業－自由化と競争力
11:50 – 12:00	質疑応答
12:00 – 13:30	昼食
13:30 – 14:50	他の WTO 加盟国によるリクエスト・オファーの概観と評価－複合輸送との 関係に焦点を当てて Mr. Hartridge
14:50 – 15:10	休憩
14:50 – 15:40	セッションの続き 質疑応答
15:40 – 16:00	輸送サービス分野に関する比較調査研究の紹介 TA Team
16:00	閉会

## 自由職業サービスに関するワークショップ

日時：2003年11月7日（金）

場所：デュシット・ホテル日航（Camella and Dahlia Function Rooms）

### プログラム（敬称略）：

07:30-08:30	レジストレーション
08:30-09:00	<p>歓迎挨拶 開会の挨拶</p> <p>Ms. Antonieta Fortuna-Ibe, 専門家規制委員会（PRC）議長 プログラムの説明と挨拶</p> <p>TA チーム団長 田中秀和</p>
09:00-10:15	<p>GATS について</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GATS の原則と解釈</li> </ul> <p>Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International</p>
10:15-10:30	休憩
10:30-12:00	<p>GATS について</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 自由職業サービスに関する GATS の規定等 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ 自然人の移動（モード4）</li> <li>➤ 商業拠点（モード3）</li> <li>➤ 相互承認協定</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Mr. David Hartridge フィリピンの専門サービスの現状説明</p> <p>Atty. Abelardo T. Dumondon, PRC Consultant on WTO Matters</p>
12:00-13:00	昼食
13:00-15:30	<p>自由職業サービスの約束に関する技術的事項</p> <p>Mr. David Hartridge</p>
15:30-15:45	休憩
15:45-16:45	<p>自由職業サービスのリクエスト・オファー</p> <p>他の WTO 加盟国の例 自己評価研修 討議</p> <p>Mr. David Hartridge</p>
16:45-17:00	閉会挨拶（NEDA）



## エネルギー・環境サービスに関するワークショップ

日時：2003年11月6日（木）

場所：デュシット・ホテル日航（Camella and Dahlia Function Rooms）

### プログラム（敬称略）：

07:30-08:30	レジストレーション
08:30-09:00	歓迎挨拶（NEDA）
	プログラムの説明と挨拶 TA チーム団長 田中秀和
09:00-10:15	GATS について <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GATS の原則と解釈</li> </ul> Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International
10:15-10:30	休憩
10:30-11:30	GATS について <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• エネルギー・環境サービスに関する GATS の規定等</li> </ul> Mr. David Hartridge
11:30-11:45	フィリピンのエネルギーサービスの現状説明 エネルギー省（DOE）
11:45-12:00	フィリピンの環境サービスの現状説明 環境天然資源省（DENR）
12:00-13:00	昼食
13:00-15:30	エネルギーと環境に関するサービスの約束に関する技術的事項 Mr. David Hartridge
15:30-15:45	休憩
15:45-16:45	リクエスト・オファー <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 他の WTO 加盟国の例</li> <li>• 自己評価研修</li> <li>• 討議</li> </ul> Mr. David Hartridge
16:45-17:00	閉会挨拶（NEDA）

## 観光セクターにおけるフィリピンの競争力に関する調査研究報告会

日時：2004年1月22日（木）

場所：マカティ・シャングリラホテル（Quezon B）

### プログラム（敬称略）：

13:00 – 13:30	レジストレーション
13:30 – 13:35	祈祷・国歌
13:35 – 13:50	開会挨拶 観光省次官 Evelyn B. Pantig
13:50 – 14:00	キャパシティ・ビルディング・プログラムの紹介 TA チーム副団長 石田雅之
14:00 – 14:30	観光サービスにおけるフィリピンの競争力に関する調査研究 Mr. Joselito P. Supangco, TA チーム
14:00 – 15:20	JICA の調査に対するコメント： フィリピン・ホテル・レストラン協会 Ms. Elizabeth dela Fuente フィリピン経済特区庁 Mr. Wilhelm Ortaliz ホテル・レストラン産業労働者同盟 Mr. Daniel Edralin フィリピン大学 アジア観光研究所 Mr. Bien Claraval
15:20 – 15:50	質疑応答
15:50 – 16:00	閉会の挨拶 DOT 代表 Ma. Victoria V. Jasmin

## GATS リクエスト・オファーに関するサービス貿易模擬交渉ワークショップ

日程：2004年1月26～27日（2日間）

場所：マカティ市内、マカティ・シャングリラホテル（3F Pasay B）

### プログラム（敬称略）：

【第1日－1月26日（月）】	
8:30 - 9:00	レジストレーション
9:00 - 9:20	開会の挨拶 国家経済開発庁（NEDA）アシスタント・ダイレクター・ジェネラル、Ms. Margarita R. Songco
9:20 - 9:30	JICA WTO TA コンサルタントチーム団長 田中秀和
9:30 - 10:30	プレゼンテーション： 1) GATS 交渉の現状 2) リクエスト・オファー交渉のプロセス Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International
10:30 - 10:45	休憩
10:45 - 11:45	引き続きプレゼンテーション： 3) GATS 第16条から20条と特定約束表の関連 Mr. David Hartridge, Senior Director, White Case International
11:45 - 12:00	模擬交渉の目的と意義付け TA コンサルタントチーム 副団長 石田雅之
12:00 - 12:20	質疑応答
12:20 - 13:20	昼食
13:20 - 13:30	ワークショップのルールと方法の説明 TA コンサルタントチーム 国松麻季
13:30 - 15:30	ワークショップ1：リクエストの作成 交渉戦略の確認 リクエストの作成 リクエスト提出協議（15:00 - 15:30, Group A vs. B, C vs. D）
15:30 - 15:45	休憩
15:45 - 16:50	ワークショップ2：オファーの作成 リクエストに基づくオファーの変更 交渉戦略の見直しと補強
16:50	追加指示の提示と説明 TA コンサルタントチーム 国松麻季

17:00	第一日 終了
-------	--------

【第2日-1月27日(火)】	
9:00 - 9:20	活動予定の説明 TA コンサルタントチーム副団長 石田雅之
9:20 - 10:00	ワークショップ 2 の続き 交渉戦略の確認
10:00 - 10:30	質疑応答
10:30 - 10:45	休憩
10:45 - 12:20	ワークショップ 3 : 模擬交渉(Group A vs. B, C vs. D)
12:20 - 13:20	昼食
13:20 - 14:20	ワークショップ 3 の続き 合意成立 交渉結果と改訂約束表の確認(Group A vs. B, C vs. D)
14:20 - 15:20	ワークショップ 4 : 交渉プロセスと交渉結果の見直し グループごとに評価
15:20 - 15:35	休憩
15:35 - 16:50	グループ発表と討議
16:50 - 17:00	閉会挨拶 (NEDA、TA チーム)

**運輸サービス分野の競争力に関するフィリピンおよび米、欧、タイとの比較調査研究報告会**

日時：2004年1月28日（水）

場所：メトロマニラ、DOTC Central Office（DOTC Board Room）

プログラム（敬称略）：

9:00 – 9:10	レジストレーション
9:10 – 9:30	開会の挨拶 Dir. Ildefonso T. Patdu, Jr., 運輸通信省（DOTC） Transportation Planning Service
9:30 – 10:00	JICA プログラムの紹介 TA チーム団長 田中秀和
10:00 – 10:15	コーヒースタイル
10:15 – 10:45	フィリピンの運輸サービスにおける調査研究報告 Mr. Ernesto S. Gorospe, TA チーム
10:45 – 11:25	米国、EU、タイの輸送サービスにおける調査研究報告 Dr. Primitivo Cal, JICA Consultant
11:25 – 11:45	調査研究報告に対するコメント・討議 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAB</li> <li>• ATO</li> <li>• MARINA</li> </ul>
11:45 – 12:00	閉会挨拶 Asst. Secretary Robert R. Castañares, Planning and Project Dev. Office
12:45 – 1:00	昼食

## サービス貿易自由化と GATS に関するセミナー

日時：2004 年 1 月 29 日（木）

場所：マカティ・シャングリラホテル 1F（Makati A/B）

### プログラム（敬称略）：

8:00 - 8:30	レジスタレーション
8:30 - 8:50	開会の挨拶 国家経済開発庁（NEDA）アシスタントディレクター、Ms. Margarita R. Songco
8:50 - 9:10	挨拶 高田裕彦 JICA フィリピン事務所次長
9:10 - 9:30	キャパシティ・ビルディングに関する報告 TA チーム団長 田中秀和
9:30 - 10:30	サービス自由化、開発および競争性強化 WTO サービス貿易部参事官 Mr. Dale Honeck, Counselor
10:30 - 10:45	質疑応答
10:45 - 11:00	休憩
11:00 - 12:00	WTO と GATS の 8 年間の実績 Mr. David Hartridge, White Case International
12:00 - 12:15	質疑応答
12:15 - 13:30	昼食
13:30 - 15:45	パネルディスカッション「サービス産業強化に向けた GATS 交渉」 運輸サービス分野のフィリピンおよび米・欧・タイとの比較調査研究に関するプレゼンテーション Dr. Primitivo C. Cal, Chairman, Desarrollo Internationale Consult, Inc. (Mr. Ernesto Gorospe, JICA Consultant, TA Team, co-author) リクター：Dr. Eduardo G. Ong – フィリピン商工会議所副会頭 コメンテーター：Mr. Hartridge and Mr. Honeck 共同議長：Ms. Mendoza, Director, NEDA 田中秀和 TA チーム団長
15:45 - 16:45	質疑応答
16:45 - 17:00	閉会の挨拶（NEDA and TA Team）

SG/AD 協定実施能力向上 《コンポネント 4》

【SG/AD ワークショップ】

[第 1 日目 - 7 月 7 日 (月)]	
09:00 - 09:30	受付
09:30 - 09:40	開会挨拶 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>・ Mr. Adrian S. Cristobal, Jr., DTI 次官</li> <li>・ 高田裕彦 JICA フィリピン事務所次長</li> </ul>
<u>セッション 1 (09:40 - 12:00)</u> セーフガード (SG) 及びアンチ・ダンピング (AD) 手続 (1)	
09:40 - 10:00	イントロダクション <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>・ 講師: Leonard Shambon 弁護士 及び Axel Desmedt 弁護士, WC&amp;P</li> <li>- はじめに</li> <li>- 本コースの概要</li> </ul>
10:00 - 12:00	フィリピンにおける SG 及び AD 手続 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>・ 講師: Jeremy Gatdula 弁護士, PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>- フィリピンにおける規則及び実務と WTO 協定の遵守: 国際法と国内法</li> </ul>
10:00 - 10:30	休憩
10:30 - 10:40	休憩
10:45 - 12:00	フィリピン政府の役割とその手段: アンチ・ダンピングとセーフガード概観 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>*各セッション終了後には Q&amp;A の時間が持たれた</li> </ul>
12:00 - 13:30	昼食
<u>セッション 2 (13:30 - 17:30)</u> セーフガード (SG) とアンチ・ダンピング (AD) 手続 (2)	
	: SG と AD における共通概念 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>・ 講師: Shambon 弁護士 及び Desmedt 弁護士, WC&amp;P</li> </ul>
13:30 - 15:30	類似の産品について
15:30 - 15:50	休憩
15:50 - 17:30	損害及び因果関係の審査について <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>公共の利益テストについて</li> </ul>
[第 2 日目 - 7 月 8 日 (火)]	
<u>セッション 3 (09:30 - 12:00)</u> SG 及び AD 各手続の特色 (1)	
	: AD 関連措置 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>・ 講師: Jeremy Gatdula 弁護士, PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> </ul>
09:30 - 10:30	海外調査の実施

10:30 – 10:45	救済措置: 予測的システムと遡及的システム 休憩
10:45 – 12:00	レッサー・デューティー・ルールと新規輸入者の検討
12:00 – 13:30	休憩
<b>セッション 4 (13:30 – 17:30)</b>	
SG 及び AD 各手続の特色(2)	
: SG 措置関連	
・ 講師: Shambon 弁護士及び Desmedt 弁護士, WC&P	
13:30 – 15:00	調査規則と手続 SG 措置の発動 (暫定的/正式/特別) と途上国に対する特別措置
15:00 – 15:20	休憩
15:20 – 17:30	協議手続 国内産業調整の監視とその他調査後の手続

[第3日目-7月9日(水)]

<b>セッション 5 (09:30 – 12:00)</b>	
紛争処理(DS)のケース・スタディ(1)	
・ 講師: Shambon 弁護士及び Desmedt 弁護士, WC&P	
・ アドバイザー: 福永有夏講師, 静岡県立大学	
09:30 – 10:30	WTO-DS 手続の手続と技術
10:30 – 10:50	休憩
10:50 – 12:00	DS のケース・スタディ(ミニ模擬裁判 1)
12:00 – 13:30	昼食
<b>セッション 6 (13:30 – 16:40)</b>	
紛争処理(DS)のケース・スタディ (1)(続き)	
DS のケース・スタディ(2)	
・ 講師: Shambon 弁護士及び Desmedt 弁護士, WC&P	
・ アドバイザー: 福永有夏講師, 静岡県立大学	
13:30 – 14:30	DS ケース・スタディ(ミニ模擬裁判 1)(続き)
14:30 – 16:40	DS ケース・スタディ(ミニ模擬裁判 2)



[第4日目-7月10日(木)]

セッション 7 (09:30 - 12:00)

DS のケース・スタディ(3):

・講師: 福永有夏講師 静岡県立大学

09:30 - 12:00 DS ケース・スタディ(ミニ模擬裁判 3)

12:00 - 13:30 昼食

セッション 8 (13:30 - 16:30)

技術、制度、組織面での能力向上に係る議論

・スピーカー: BIS-DTI/TC 他参加者

・コメンテーター: 福永講師及び Gatdula 弁護士

16:30 閉会

・Edgardo B. Abon 弁護士, 関税委員会委員長

## TBT 協定履行能力向上《コンポネント 5》

### 【第 1 回ワークショップ プログラム】

第 1 日 (2003 年 8 月 20 日)	
08:30 - 09:00	受付
09:00 - 09:20	開会挨拶 (日本側) 高田裕彦氏 (JICA フィリピン事務所次長) (フィリピン側) Mr. Jesus L. Motoomull (Director, BPS)
09:20 - 09:40	セッション 1 準備 (休憩)
<u>セッション 1</u> (09:40-11:40)	TBT 協定の権利と義務
09:40 - 10:55	(1) TBT 協定の理解：範囲と重要条文 講師：小田 正規 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
10:55 - 11:40	(2) TBT 協定が貿易に与える影響 講師：久野 新 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
11:40 - 13:00	昼食休憩
<u>セッション 2</u> (13:00 - 16:00)	TBT 協定の実施
13:00 - 13:45	(1) TBT 通報手続きと照会所の役割 講師：久野 新 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
13:45 - 14:30	(2) TBT 協定に関する紛争事例の紹介 講師：小田 正規 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
14:30 - 14:50	休憩
14:50 - 15:50	(3) フィリピンにおける TBT 協定の実施状況 講師：Ms. Ma. Victoria Campomanes (Chief, Standards Data Center and National WTO TBT Enquiry Point, BPS) (質疑応答を含む)
16:00	第一日目終了

第2日 (2003年8月21日)	
セッション 3 (09:00-10:00)	TBT 協定に関する最新の議論
09:00 - 10:00	TBT 委員会における最新動向 講師：藤代 尚武氏 (経済産業省基準認証ユニット国際チーム長補佐) (質疑応答を含む)
セッション 4 (10:00-16:00)	課題解決型グループ討議
10:00 - 10:30	(1) 課題の説明 講師：小田 正規、久野 新 (TA コンサルタントチーム)
10:30 - 10:50	休憩
10:50 - 12:00	(2) グループ討議 テーマ 1：TBT 協定に関する国内調整委員会の役割 (グループ A 及び B) テーマ 2：通報システムの効率性の強化 (グループ C 及び D) テーマ 3：国内利害関係者のための TBT 協定の活用方法 (グループ E 及び F)
12:00 - 13:00	昼食休憩
13:00 - 14:10	(3) グループ討議 (継続)
14:10 - 14:30	休憩
14:30 - 16:00	(4) グループごとの発表と意見交換 (日本側コメントを含む) 14:30 - 14:45 グループ A 報告 14:45 - 15:00 グループ B 報告 15:00 - 15:15 グループ C 報告 15:15 - 15:30 グループ D 報告 15:30 - 15:45 グループ E 報告 15:45 - 16:00 グループ F 報告
16:00	閉会挨拶 (日本側) 田中 秀和 (TA コンサルタントチーム・リーダー) (フィリピン側) Ms. Cirila S. Botor (Assistant Director, BPS)

【第2回ワークショップ プログラム】

第1日 (2003年12月4日)	
08:00 - 09:30	受付
09:30 - 09:50	開会挨拶 (日本側) 中垣 長睦氏 (JICA フィリピン事務所長) (フィリピン側) Ms. Norma C. Hernandez (Chief, Standards Development Division)
09:50 - 10:10	セッション準備 (休憩)
<u>セッション1</u> (10:10-12:30)	TBT協定の更なる理解
10:10 - 11:10	(1) TBT協定に関連するラベリング問題 講師: 小田 正規 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
11:10 - 12:00	(2) 日本における強制規格の作成と TBT協定実施上の省庁間調整 講師: 久野 新 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
12:00 - 13:30	昼食休憩
<u>セッション2</u> (13:30 - 16:00)	相互承認協定 (MRA) の重要性
13:30 - 14:30	(1) MRAの法的・経済学的考察と日本の経験 講師: 久野 新、小田 正規 (TA コンサルタントチーム) (質疑応答を含む)
14:30 - 14:50	休憩
14:50 - 15:35	(2) フィリピン・ASEANにおけるMRAの経験 講師: Mr. Isagani Erna (Supervising Trade-Industry Development Specialist, International Relations, BPS) (質疑応答を含む)
15:35 - 16:00	
16:00	第一日目の講師に対する質疑応答 第一日目終了

第2日 (2003年12月5日)	
<u>セッション 3</u> TBT委員会における最新の議論 (09:00-10:00)	
09:00 - 10:00	TBT委員会における最新動向：第3回三年見直し 講師：藤代 尚武氏（経済産業省基準認証ユニット国際チーム長補佐） (質疑応答を含む)
<u>セッション 4</u> 課題解決型グループ討議 (10:00-16:00)	
10:00 - 10:30	課題の説明 講師：小田 正規、久野 新 (TA コンサルタントチーム)
10:30 - 10:50	休憩
10:50 - 12:00	グループ討議
12:00 - 13:00	昼食休憩
13:00 - 14:10	グループ討議 (継続)
14:10 - 14:30	休憩
14:30 - 16:00	グループ討議報告
16:00	閉会挨拶 (日本側) 田中 秀和 (TA コンサルタントチーム・リーダー) (フィリピン側) Ms. Ma. Victoria Campomanes (Chief, WTO TBT Enquiry Point and Information Services, BPS)

## 附属資料 B セミナー／ワークショッププログラムのアンケート結果

### 農業／SPS 協定に関する知識の向上 《コンポネント 2》

TA コンサルタントチームはそれぞれのセッションごとの参加者を対象にクエスチョネア調査を行った。農業/SPS セミナーは6セッションからなっており、詳細は以下のとおりである。

#### I. セッション議題と回答者数

	議題	回答者数
セッション 1:	農業協定に関する一般理解	83
セッション 2:	日本の農業製品の流通システム	69
セッション 3:	SPS の適用に関する協定の一般理解	62
セッション 4:	日本の家畜産業の現状と動物検疫システム	52
セッション 5:	日本における植物検疫システム	47
セッション 6:	食品安全とリスク分析	53

#### II. セッション評価

##### (1) セッション参加の目的

セッション参加の主な目的は何ですか？

- [A] それぞれのセッションの概要の把握
- [B] 特殊な分野の知識の把握
- [C] 日本の経験を知るため
- [D] 講師や参加者と意見交換をする為
- [E] その他

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	64 70%	53 45%	54 50%	48 52%	40 55%	52 59%
[B]	12 13%	17 14%	13 12%	7 8%	6 8%	7 8%
[C]	10 11%	33 28%	30 28%	28 30%	22 30%	24 27%
[D]	5 6%	15 13%	11 10%	10 11%	5 7%	5 6%
[E]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
Total	91	118	108	93	73	88
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

(2) セッション参加から得られたこと

1) セッションは期待に応えるものでしたか。

はい

いいえ

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	9 12%	19 27%	14 25%	14 26%	12 26%	25 48%
[B]	25 35%	40 58%	32 58%	26 49%	25 54%	22 42%
[C]	38 52%	10 15%	8 15%	11 21%	8 17%	5 10%
[D]	1 1%	0 0%	1 2%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%
[E]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%
合計	73	69	55	53	46	52
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2) セッションを通してどの程度新しい知識を得られましたか。

得られた

全く得られなかった

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	6 8%	23 34%	18 20%	16 30%	12 27%	23 43%
[B]	30 39%	33 48%	31 34%	22 42%	24 53%	24 45%
[C]	40 52%	12 18%	43 47%	14 26%	9 20%	6 11%
[D]	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%
[E]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
合計	77	68	92	53	45	53
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

第2、第5、第6セッション参加者の80%以上がAかBを選択しており、新たな知識を得たという結果が出た。

3) 講義は満足できるものでしたか。

満足

不満

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	9 11%	26 38%	15 24%	17 33%	13 28%	26 49%
[B]	29 37%	32 47%	27 44%	16 31%	22 48%	21 40%
[C]	35 45%	10 15%	18 29%	17 33%	11 24%	6 11%
[D]	5 6%	0 0%	2 3%	2 4%	0 0%	0 0%
[E]	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
合計	79	68	62	52	46	53
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

4) 配布資料は満足できるものでしたか。

満足

不満

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	33 47%	29 41%	30 49%	19 40%	22 48%	30 58%
[B]	27 39%	34 49%	26 43%	22 46%	20 43%	20 38%
[C]	8 12%	6 9%	4 7%	6 13%	4 9%	1 2%
[D]	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	1 2%
[E]	1 1%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
合計	70	70	61	48	46	52
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

第六セッションを担当した山本講師は、流暢な英語での講義の評判が非常に高かった。また、非常にまとまった、そして日本の官僚システムに対する分析を盛り込んだプレゼンテーションに対する評価も非常に高いものであった。木村講師の通訳をつけたプレゼンテーションも、非常に分かりやすく内容が伝わり評価が高いものであった。概して講義自体の評価はさほど高いものではなかったが、プレゼンテーション資料は非常に喜ばれるものであった。



5) フィリピンの現状を考慮した場合、今回のセッションは有意義なものであったと思われませんか。

有意義

有意義でなかった。

	(+) セッション 1	[A] セッション 2	[B] セッション 3	[C] セッション 4	[D] セッション 5	[E] セッション 6	(-)
[A]	27 38%	23 34%	32 46%	20 40%	20 45%	29 57%	
[B]	30 42%	31 46%	32 46%	20 40%	19 43%	16 31%	
[C]	13 18%	13 19%	4 6%	9 18%	5 11%	6 12%	
[D]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	
[E]	1 2%	1 1%	1 1%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	
合計	71	68	69	50	44	51	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

6) 他のトレーニングプログラムと比較して

これまでに WTO 関連のセッションに出席されたことはありますか。

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
はい	22 29%	42 76%	24 46%	30 79%	24 67%	18 38%
いいえ	53 71%	13 24%	28 54%	8 21%	12 33%	29 62%

7) これまでに出席されたトレーニングプログラムと比較して、今回のセッションは有意義なものであったとお考えですか？

有意義

有意義でなかった

	(+) セッション 1	[A] セッション 2	[B] セッション 3	[C] セッション 4	[D] セッション 5	[E] セッション 6	(-)
[A]	10 25%	10 26%	13 35%	11 44%	6 29%	11 39%	
[B]	18 45%	19 50%	13 35%	11 44%	10 48%	14 50%	
[C]	11 28%	9 24%	10 27%	3 12%	5 24%	3 11%	
[D]	0 0%	0 0%	1 3%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	
[E]	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	
合計	40	38	37	25	21	28	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

### III. セッションの成果

#### (1) セッション内容の活用に関して

1) 業務の中で、どの程度今回のセッションで得られた知識が活用できるとお考えですか。

活用できる

全く活用できない

	(+)	[A]	[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	(-)
	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6	
[A]	13 17%	13 20%	24 39%	17 37%	10 22%	21 40%	
[B]	33 44%	32 49%	23 38%	19 39%	18 40%	27 51%	
[C]	26 35%	18 28%	13 21%	10 20%	16 36%	5 9%	
[D]	3 4%	2 3%	1 2%	2 4%	1 2%	0 0%	
[E]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	1 2%	0 0%	0 0%	
合計	75	65	61	49	45	53	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	

2) [D]もしくは[E]を選択された方にお聞きします。セッションから得られたものを活用できない理由は何ですか。

[D]、[E]を選択した参加者は、予算、研究施設、または情報シェアシステムが不十分な為、セッションから得たものを活用することが難しいと答えている。

#### (2) 情報の流布

1) セッションから得た知識をどのように流布できるとお考えですか？

[A] 個人的に同僚、部下に伝える

[B] 講義を行う

[C] トレーニングコースやセッションを企画する

[D] その他：具体的にお書きください

[E] 流布できない

	セッション 1	セッション 2	セッション 3	セッション 4	セッション 5	セッション 6
[A]	51 80%	39 60%	44 72%	36 65%	37 74%	40 63%
[B]	8 13%	18 28%	11 18%	12 22%	9 18%	15 24%
[C]	3 5%	6 9%	6 10%	5 9%	3 6%	8 13%
[D]	2 3%	2 3%	0 0%	2 4%	1 2%	0 0%
[E]	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%
合計	64	65	61	55	50	63
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

2) [E]を選択された方にお聞きいたします。セッションで得たものを流布できない理由は何ですか。

およそ 70%の回答者が、それぞれの組織や地域における情報の流布は、予算制約などの理由によりトレーニング・プログラムのような組織的なものではなく、個人的なものになるであろうと回答している。

#### IV. さらなる能力構築のために

SPS 協定を遵守する上での、さらなる能力向上のために、以下の 2 つの質問がなされた。

(1) どのようなテーマについての知識が必要であるか。

主な回答は以下のとおりである。

WTO ルール履行のための法制度

関税・貿易政策協定

動物検疫関連の問題、食肉検疫システムの先端技術

予測されるペストや果物害虫などに対する有効な感染症などの撲滅プログラム

外国製品に対抗するための、生産施設の増加・改善に必要なバイオテクノロジー

実験施設の認定制度

植物検疫における、関税局や外務省などとのネットワーク化

日本での GMO 規制について

(2) セミナーに対するコメント

参加者は今後の能力構築プログラムのために、以下のようなコメントを寄せた。

トレーニングの継続への取組みと、WTO 関連の問題に随時キャッチアップすること

が必要だ。

レクチャーの開催だけでなく、現場での実地体験などが十分な理解のために役立つのではないだろうか。フィールド・トレーニングも開催されるべきだ。

もっと時間を取ってほしかった。資料は十分に前もって配布されるべきで、十分な理解のために参加者と講演者とのディスカッションの時間がもっと必要だ。

レクチャーは参加者のニーズに合った、よりフィリピンの実情に即したものであるべきである。また、レクチャーは十分な英語コミュニケーション能力を有した人材が行うべきであり、そうでない場合は通訳が必要だ。

JICA とフィリピンは植物検疫における人材交流プログラムを検討すべきだ。

## GATS 実施能力向上 《コンポネント 3》

(a) Workshop on All-Service Sectors (June 9, 2003)

### I. GENERAL

#### 1) Attendees

The following government agencies and private groups were represented in the workshop:

- Department of Trade and Industry (DTI)
- Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE)
- Department of Environment & Natural Resources (DENR)
- Department of Tourism (DOT)
- Department of Foreign Affairs (DFA)
- Board of Investments (BOI)
- Philippine Senate
- House of Representatives
- National Telecommunications Commissions (NTC)
- Technical Education & Skills Development Authority (TESDA)
- Phil Overseas Employment Administration (POEA)
- Professional Regulations Commission (PRC)
- Air Transportation Office (ATO)
- National Commission on Culture and Arts (NCCA)
- Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)
- Maritime Industry Authority (MARINA)
- Commission on Higher Education (CHED)
- Construction Industry Authority of the Philippines (CIAP)
- Insurance Commission

35 participants out of 45 participants submitted the questionnaires.

#### 2) Participants' Nature of Work

Generally, the participants represent a significant core of individuals, who deal with the development of policies, policy research and formulation, planning concerns, regulatory functions, executive management, and similar other functions. Some of them have more specific and more relevant responsibilities as to the workshop, such that they are involved in local and international trade-related matters, international and bilateral agreements, etc. The audience composed of people from the government, as well as the private sector, who will highly appreciate the workshop on

GATS Component considering their responsibilities on their respective work.

## **II. EVALUATION**

### 1) Objective of Attending Workshop

The general objective of the participants in attending the workshop was to gain a general knowledge of the GATS. Specifically, the concerns were as follows:

- To learn how to formulate positions and negotiating techniques;
- Further knowledge on agreement formulation, drafting of bilateral/multilateral agreements
- To be able to understand and acquire strategic negotiation skills on GATS
- To know the developments in education and training services/ human capacity building under the GATS
- To know the Philippines' present involvement in GATS negotiation
- To determine the relationship of the GATS with investment-related agreements
- To be able to meet the needs of the industries, vis-à-vis GATS.

### 2) Attainment from the Seminar

#### (a) Expectations met

The workshop has fulfilled the expectations of the participants, with 75% of them who gave A and B replies. Five (5) responds were partly satisfied while only 3 were not.

#### (b) Extent of new knowledge acquired

Majority felt that they have acquired new knowledge on the seminar though not to the fullest. Only 18% felt they did not acquired so much knowledge in the workshop.

#### (c) The most beneficial topic in the seminar

The common response were the steps in assessing requests, formulating offers, developing a negotiation position, and strategies in negotiation. Others found the question and answer portion more beneficial as the respondents give more specific answers to the concerns of the attendees. One participant appreciated the success stories of liberalized countries because it gave him/her the idea whether liberalization does bring about desirable outcome, particularly to developing economies.

#### (d) The usefulness of the workshop

The participants find the workshop very useful for the Philippines in coming up with a national negotiating framework plan. They realize the importance of liberalization to the economy of the country.

### 3) Comparison with other programs

#### (a) Similar Seminars/Workshops

- GATS seminar Conducted by Markus Jelito of NEDA
- GATS Seminar by UNDP, Oct 2002

- Workshop on Financial Services, CIDA, March 2002
- ODA Workshop on Trade-in Financial Services
- GATS Seminar/Workshop conducted by the Canadian Government
- Workshops initiated by PCCI

(b) Impact of this Seminar as compared with other programs

The responses reflected that the seminar had a high impact as compared with the other training programs attended in the past.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE SEMINAR**

1) Utilization

In the respective jobs of the participants to what extent will the knowledge acquired by utilized  
The knowledge acquired in the seminar will be utilized to the fullest according to majority (80%) of the attendees. They find the issues very relevant to their respective job assignments.  
A respondent sees that the knowledge acquired in the workshop may only be utilized during the consultation with industry stakeholders.

2) Diffusion of knowledge acquired from the workshop

The most popular way suggested by the participants on how they can diffuse the knowledge acquired in the workshop was through personal dissemination to co-workers, co-employees, or colleagues. However, giving lectures and planning for training courses and workshops were also considered important, particularly to some participants from higher management level. Some plans to prepare or write policy papers, handouts, and reports on the knowledge gained in the workshop.

A participant's apprehension was specifically mentioned, which said that dissemination of information would not be possible without the cooperation of higher management of concerned offices.

### **IV. FOR BETTER CAPACITY BUILDING**

1) There were only 9 participants who responded considering that they have participated in the January and/or May workshops. Most of them were reluctant to answer due to inadequate information dissemination and focus on the subject matter. The interest level of the participants was not intensified on the first workshops.

To maintain or improve on the understanding of the subject matter, most of them were content with further research through available literatures and the internet.

2) Trainings/workshops suggested by participants

Following are the suggestions and possible topics for training or workshop to further improve capacity on GATS:

- Monitoring and Preparing Assessment of GAT commitments
- Assessing impacts of offers/commitments and determining safeguards
- Assessing GATS's impact on developing countries
- Usefulness and appropriateness of multilateral or bilateral agreements
- Techniques on effective negotiations
- Analyses on specific impacts to the various sectors, whether the WTO membership is beneficial or not to these sectors
- Trade remedies
- Implications of the entry of China to WTO
- Basic knowledge on GATS
- In-depth dimensions of the general provisions and agreements
- Dispute Settlements
- Environmental Issues and concerns
- Trade-in Financial Services
- Non-theoretical type workshops wherein more pragmatic modes of learning are set, such as case studies, analysis of present situation in the Philippines and that of the other countries
- Cross sectoral exchanges or cross-sectoral reciprocity
- Market access conditions re: technology transfer vis-à-vis patent regimes

### 3) Other suggestions

- Workshops to include case studies or discussions on “modal situations” for negotiation strategy.
- U-round table setting type of workshop.
- Private sector should also be invited
- Sectoral workshops with experts
- Include experts from developing countries
- Continuing workshops and training; A one-day workshop may not have a significant impact. There is lack of constant flow of information or participation in the WTO or GATS issues
- Benefits of the GATS should be highlighted. The responses to the questions should be more definite. Experts' responses must be more specific and direct answers to questions.
- Heads or government agencies and organizations must be obliged to participate in these workshops instead of sending representatives.



## (b) Workshop on Tourism Services (June 10, 2003)

### **I. GENERAL**

#### 1) Attendees

The following government agencies and private groups were represented in the workshop:

- Department of Tourism
- Board of Investments
- DOLE Bureau of Local Employment
- Hotels and Restaurants Association of the Philippines
- Individual Hotels
- Hotels, Resorts & Restaurants Association of Cebu
- Alliance of Progressive Labor (APL)
- National Union of Workers, Resources and Allied Industries (NUWRAI)
- Institute of Labor Studies

Out of 28 participants, 14 participants submitted their replies on questionnaire.

#### Participants' Nature of Work

The participants comprise of representatives from the tourism department of the government and its allied agencies, the Department of Labor and Employment, as well as private entrepreneurs and organizations from the hotel and restaurant business, labor groups, and concerned institutions. Almost all of them are involved in promotions, planning, marketing, policy formulation, and management.

### **II. EVALUATION**

#### 1) Objective of Attending Workshop

The general objective of the participants in attending the workshop was to gain a general knowledge of the GATS. Specifically, the concerns were as follows:

- Tourism liberalization
- Impacts of the GATS to the tourism-related businesses and labor manpower
- Opportunities and growth of the tourism sector with the GATS.
- Where and how is the Philippines' stand in the tourism industry as compared to the other ASEAN countries
- Acquire an in-depth knowledge on the government's rules and regulations relevant to tourism
- What other service sectors that the government has had commitments.

## 2) Attainment from the Seminar

### (a) Expectations met

The workshop has fulfilled the expectations of the participants, with 9 out of 14 who gave B responses, 3 for C. Only one participant was not satisfied (D) while another one did not replied.

### (b) Extent of new knowledge acquired

Majority felt that they have acquired new knowledge on the seminar though not to the fullest (“B” responses). Only 3 persons out of the 14 felt they did not acquired so much knowledge in the workshop, with C and D answers.

### (c) The most beneficial topic in the seminar      Reasons

- Philippine situation on tourism as compared to its neighboring ASEAN countries
- It gives a clear picture of the Philippine scenario in the tourism industry; awareness is vital to their businesses; Informative as to the potential markets
- Principles and understanding of the GATS
- Opens their knowledge and understanding on WTO and GATS
- Tourism liberalization
- Studies on Philippine competitiveness
- Serves as benchmark for take-off to meet Philippine targets
- Preparation of Schedules of Commitment and Modes of Supply
- To determine when and what to do for the tourism industry and the country in general

### (d) The usefulness of the workshop

- The participants find the workshop very useful for the Philippines for the following reasons:
- The knowledge in the workshop can be used as the basis for revising government policies and restrictions;
- The process can help the economy;
- It gave the participants the knowledge on what and what not to commit, and the basic principles of GATS, and the strategies for negotiations.

### (e) Comparison with other programs

#### Similar Seminars/Workshops

- WTO-GATS Workshop on Financial Services (March 2003), sponsored by the Canadian Embassy;
- Computer Technology for Hotels through IHRA and WTO; Hotel Accounts Standardization;
- Labor Standards by ILO;
- NEDA sponsored GATS Seminars conducted by WTO experts;
- APL-CW Seminar/Workshop on WTO membership and globalization held in Laguna on

2000;

- FES on China's WTO membership, Singapore 2002

Majority of the participants had neither other seminars nor workshops on these topics, except this one.

- Impact of this Seminar as compared with other programs

For those who had gone to similar workshops in the past, the impact of this workshop is considerably useful to them. However, a few of them could hardly assess the impact compared to the other programs attended in the past.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE SEMINAR**

#### 1) Utilization

In the respective jobs of the participants to what extent will the knowledge acquired be utilized;

The responses were positive, that the knowledge acquired from the workshop can be utilized in their own jobs. However, the responses were somehow on the midstream. This shows that the general view of the participants is that the knowledge acquired may or may not be useful. It only proves that this specific audience did not have a full appreciation yet of the topics imparted to them on the workshop.

In case of "D" or "E" choices, what are the obstacles in utilizing what has been acquired from the workshop?

There was only one "D" response.

#### 2) Diffusion of knowledge acquired from the workshop

The most popular way suggested by the participants on how they can diffuse the knowledge acquired in the workshop was through personal dissemination to co-workers, co-employees, or colleagues. However, giving lectures and planning for training courses and workshops were also considered important, particularly to some participants from higher management level. Some plans to include these as inputs to policy researches of their organization, while others would like to have continuous coordination with the DOT and NEDA in order to have more knowledge on the subject matter.

### **VI. FOR BETTER CAPACITY BUILDING**

1) There was no significant response for this question.

2) Trainings/workshops suggested by participants

- Market access and national treatment limitations;
- Concept of globalization;
- Transfer of technology from other countries;

- Improvement techniques in the tourism industry through GATS;
- Effective implementation of tourism master plans;
- Mapping and establishing linkages with other sectors in negotiations;
- GATS in relation to Philippine Labor Laws;
- Application of GATS to the Hotel Industry and the effects of liberalization to the industry;
- Measurement of actual versus projected benefits of WTO-GATS on developing countries;
- Human Resource Development, Module 4

3) Other suggestions

- More capacity building workshops on WTO/GATS rules and how it relates to other competing negotiations (APEC, NAFTA, bilateral agreements, etc.).
- Encouragement of regional tourism within ASEAN region.
- Seminar/workshops to be conducted for other priority sectors.
- A study be conducted on the impact of previous Philippine government WTO-GATS commitments, whether the Philippines has benefited and to what extent, if any. This can serve an input to future negotiations, with the help of JICA.

(c) Workshop on Transportation Services (June 11, 2003)

**I. GENERAL**

1) Attendees

The following government agencies and private groups from the Transport Sector attended the workshop:

- Department of Transportation and Communications
- Philippine Ports Authority
- Civil Aeronautics Board
- National Economic and Development Authority
- Mactan – Cebu International Airport Authority
- Maritime Industry Authority
- Air Transportation Office
- House of Representatives, Congressional Planning and Budget Office
- DOTC Rail TDO
- Cebu Port Authority
- MAISCOR Holdings, Inc.

24 participants out of 45 participants answered the questionnaire.

### 1) Participants' Nature of Work

The participants consist of representatives from the transport sector of the government, specifically from those concerned with air and water transport. Only one participant came from the private sector.

The most of the participants are from the higher management brackets of their respective offices. Specifically, the nature of work are planning, project evaluation, policies and programs formulation, marketing evaluation, and research.

## II. EVALUATION

### 1) Objective of Attending Workshop

The general objective of the participants in attending the workshop was to gain a general knowledge of the GATS. Specifically, the concerns were as follows:

- To know the relation of the maritime sector with the GATS issues
  - The commitments of the Philippine government to GATS with regards to transport sector
- A respondent specifically pointed out that she expected a brief projection or presentation on the concept / coverage of WTO/GATS.

### 2) Attainment from the Seminar

#### (a) Expectations met

The workshop has fulfilled the expectations of the participants, with 15 out of 20 who gave A and B responses. There were 5 participants who gave "C" answers, which means partial fulfillment, while others did not replied.

#### (b) Extent of new knowledge acquired

Almost 50% of the participants felt that they have acquired enough knowledge about the subject matter. The others have some doubts that they have acquired new knowledge on the workshop.

#### (c) The most beneficial topic in the seminar, and (d) Reasons

Specific Concerns of Maritime Transport and Aviation/ Relevance to the present jobs

- Other countries' request to the Philippine Government/ Disparity of request with Phil. Standards, laws and regulations
- Status Report on Maritime and Air Transport / Gives the scenario on the competitiveness an deficiency of the industry towards liberalization
- GATS as applied to the Airport Service Sector/ Has direct impact on the services/organizations of airport-based companies.
- Overview on current negotiations/review and assessment of requests and offers/ Gives a clear picture on status of the GATS

- Competitiveness of Philippine Air and Maritime Transport Services/Differentiates the functions of the different agencies under the DOTC and defines the issues relevant to GATS/WTO

(e) The usefulness of the workshop

Only 58% of the participants responded to the question. Seven out of 14 who answered thought that the workshop was very much useful for the Philippines. Generally, the reasons why they find the workshop useful are for the country to increase awareness and to have a competitive edge in the global market. The lack of understanding of WTO/GATS issues makes it difficult for the country to adapt to the present situation that other developed countries are enjoying with the benefits gained from WTO/GATS.

3) Comparison with other programs

(a) Similar Seminars/Workshops

There were only few among the participants who had previous seminars/workshops similar or relevant to the WTO/GATS. This was the first workshop on the topic for most of them. Some relevant seminars were as follows:

Updates on Philippine negotiations in the WTO, sponsored by the DTI

Seminar / Workshop on GATS (October 2002)

Seminar on Financial Services, March 2003

(b) Impact of this Seminar as compared with other programs

For those who had gone to similar workshops in the past, the impact of this workshop is considerably useful to them. However, a few of them could hardly assess the impact compared to the other programs attended in the past.

### **III. OUTCOME OF THE SEMINAR**

1) Utilization

In the respective jobs of the participants to what extent will the knowledge acquired be utilized; The responses were neither positive nor negative, as these fell on the midstream. This shows that the general view of the participants is that the knowledge acquired may or may not be useful. It only proves that this specific audience did not have a full appreciation yet of the topics imparted to them on the workshop.

In case of “D” or “E” choices, what are the obstacles in utilizing what has been acquired from the workshop?

The documents given, according to some of them may be useful, but the time limit in presenting the materials was so short that they have not absorbed enough about the WTO/GATS seminar.

2) Diffusion of knowledge acquired from the workshop

The most popular way suggested by the participants on how they can diffuse the knowledge acquired in the workshop was through personal dissemination to co-workers, co-employees, or colleagues. However, a few considered giving lectures and planning for training courses and workshops as equally important, particularly to some participants from higher management level. There were those who hesitate to diffuse the information because they feel that they don't yet have adequate knowledge acquired from the workshop.

#### **IV. FOR BETTER CAPACITY BUILDING**

1) There was no significant response for this question.

2) Trainings/workshops suggested by participants

- Multi-modal transport in line with the WTO/GATS, particularly on maritime safety
- Comparative analysis on negotiations of other WTO members in agriculture, trade, and professional services
- Details of the agreements on the sectors mentioned
- Continuing program on WTO/GATS for the transport sector
- More in-depth seminars/trainings/workshops on GATS; dispute settlements

3) Other suggestions

There were no suggestions from the participants, except for one, which says that workshops and seminars like this should be attended by the higher positions of the government sector, and higher management level for private sector so that they would be more aware and knowledgeable on these issues.

(d) Workshop on Energy and Environment-related Services (November 6, 2003)

#### **1. General questions**

(1) Number of respondents

39 (out of 50 participants)

(2) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

#### **2. Evaluation of the Workshop**

(1) Objective of attending Workshop (multiple answers)

[A] To gain a general knowledge of GATS	35	(89.7%)
[B] To gain a knowledge of specific field	21	(53.8%)

[C] To gain a knowledge of Japanese experience	4	(10.3%)
[D] To exchange views with lecturers and participants	16	(41.0%)
[E] Others	3	(7.7%)
No answer	1	

[B] Specific answer

- Relationship of GATS on Environmental of Services.
- Application of GATS to the Philippine Energy and Environmental Sector
- Agriculture and Environment
- Effects and Procedures
- To know what is expected from the Philippines and what can the country benefit from GATS.

[E] Specific answer

- To learn about expenses of other economic countries on GATS
- To know / learn experiences of other countries
- The Philippine's involvement in GATS on petroleum related issues

(2) Attainment from the Workshop

1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	2	(5.1%)
	[B, 4 point]	17	(43.6%)
	[C, 3 point]	17	(43.6%)
	[D, 2 point]	2	(5.1%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	2	

Average 3.5 point

2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	3	(7.7%)
	[B, 4 point]	22	(56.4%)
	[C, 3 point]	9	(23.1%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	5	

Average 3.8 point



3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think is the most beneficial? (Multiple answers)

• All	2	(5.1%)
• Energy services	9	(23.1%)
• Environmental services	9	(23.1%)
• General principles and understanding of GATS	15	(38.5%)
• Other countries commitments to WTO		
No answer	6	

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	3	(7.7%)
	[B, 4 point]	18	(46.2%)
	[C, 3 point]	9	(23.1%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	(2.6%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		6	
Average 3.7 point			

(3) Comparison with Own Training Program

1) What kind of workshops related to WTO have you attended recently?

(Example)

- Management services and technical
- Engineering
- Workshop on cities and its implementation
- PRC sponsored presentation and seminar
- Workshop on trade and environment issues, sponsored by UNICTAD

No answers 3

31(79.5%) of which 39 respondents have not ever attended workshops related to WTO.

2) How do you think the impact of this workshop compared with your training program?

Very useful	[A, 5 point]	4	(10.3%)
	[B, 4 point]	7	(17.9%)
	[C, 3 point]	3	(7.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	3	(7.7%)
Not useful at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answers		22	

Average 3.7

### 3. Outcome of the Workshop

#### (1) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	6	(15.4%)
	[B, 4 point]	12	(30.8%)
	[C, 3 point]	9	(23.1%)
	[D, 2 point]	4	(10.3%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	8	

Average 3.6 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

- No to tangible discussion of applying GATS
- It is not individuality and not enough experience to compete on the outside
- Finance
- A lot of government regulation
- Need to have policy change or issuance of certain rules concerning GATS in existing laws (administrative and finance) related to GATS
- E-mailed electronic copy which the participants can upload to their website

#### (2) Diffusion

1) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinated personally	31	(79.5%)
[B] Giving lecture	7	(17.9%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshop	6	(15.4%)
[D] Others	8	(20.5%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	3	(7.7%)
	No answer	3

[D] (Specific answer)

- Decision making for new project
- Through promotional reading materials such as brochures, pamphlets, newsletters and CDs

etc

- Discussion and Share the workshop materials and colleagues
- Inform discussion and feedback during office meeting
- Reporting regular RDC
- Specific areas related to soil and water cleaning and related environmental services
- More familiarity with WTO, GATS and other concerned

2) In case you choose [E], what are the obstacles in diffusing what you acquired from the workshop?

- Need to know and understand details of GATS.

#### 4.For the Better Capacity Building

(1) Please answer following questions if you had participated in our workshops held in July.

1) In your job, to what extent do you think you could utilize the knowledge acquired from the previous workshops?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	0	(0.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	2	(5.1%)
	[C, 3 point]	3	(7.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	34	

Average 3.4 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

3) Since the previous workshops, what have you done to maintain or improve the knowledge acquired from the workshop? (Multiple answers)

[A] Attended other workshops/seminars on the related topics	4
[B] Holding workshops with colleagues or counterparts in other organizations	1
[C] Studied further by myself through texts or written materials	1
[D] Others	4
[E] Nothing	0
No answer	31

[D] Specific answers

- To make it in line with mining sector
- Continuance of upgrading on GATS development to keep the posts with the energy issues

related to GATS

- (2) What sort of training or workshop do you need to further improve your/your organizations capacity with regard to the GATS?

What kind of topic do you need to be covered?

- To be known practical steps of accomplishing schedule to be submitted to WTO
- Rules and regulation of GATS and its application and implementation
- Basic knowledge of GATS
  - : Case studies on impact of GATS in developing industrialized countries
  - : Advantages, disadvantages and benefit of GATS in the countries of different economic levels
  - : Scope and definition
- Assessing of effects of GATS on countries
- Regard to national legal limitation imposed by the countries contribution existing laws
- Concentration or focus on a particular sector
- Specific to the private and government sectors environmental services
- The relationship of GATS with the multi-lateral environmental agreements like CITES
- Perception of negotiating skills on capacity building
- Update on request and offers of both developed and developing countries

No answer 13

- (3) Others (any suggestions)

- The utility of this workshop to understand WTO and GATS better.
- Various form of partnership
- Need to detailed discuss and definition on environmental services or the central products
- To provide capacity building on specific technical services like environmental services
- Request for a diskette or CD of the hand outs which the participates can upload to their website

No answer 21

(e) Workshop on Professional Services (November 7, 2003)

## **1. General questions**

- (1) Number of respondents

34 (out of 50 participants)

- (2) Length of involvement

## 2. Evaluation of the Workshop

### (1) Objective of attending Workshop (multiple answers)

[A] To gain a general knowledge of GATS	26	(76.5%)
[B] To gain a knowledge of specific field	17	(50.0%)
[C] To gain a knowledge of Japanese experience	8	(23.5%)
[D] To exchange views with lecturers and participants	14	(41.2%)
[E] Others	1	(2.9%)
No answer	2	

### [B] Specific answer

- To enhance knowledge on WTO and GATS
- Metallurgical and Construction Engineering
- Health related
- Mode IV movement of natural resources
- GATS significant relationship with the teaching professor
- Awareness of service liberalization
- Commitments in professional services
- Offers on professional services
- Professional services in Architecture
- To know more about different supply

### [E] Specific answer

- To see how a literal appointment with Japan will benefit Filipino professionals
- For feasible reason for the less liberal Commitment in Mode IV
- To gain a wider perspective of GATS before submitting affirmative decision
- To gain necessary expertise on the subject(s)

### (2) Attainment from the workshop

#### 1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[B, 4 point]	21	(61.8%)
	[C, 3 point]	7	(20.6%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	(2.9%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	2	

Average 3.9 point

2) To what extent did you acquire now knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[B, 4 point]	18	(52.9%)
	[C, 3 point]	4	(11.8%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	5	

Average 4.0 point

3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think the most beneficial? (Multiple answers)

- GATS on professional services		7	(20.6%)
- Movement of natural persons		6	(17.6%)
: Classify issues			
: Domestic regulation controls entry the professions through qualification or licensing etc			
- Review of GATS		3	(8.8%)
- Mode IV		2	(5.9%)
- Creation of guidelines for mutual recognition agreement		1	(2.9%)
- Commitment and prospect of countries		1	(2.9%)
- Topic on MNP		1	(2.9%)
- All		1	(2.9%)
- Update pros and cons		1	(2.9%)
	No answer	7	

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	10	(29.4%)
	[B, 4 point]	16	(47.1%)
	[C, 3 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	4	

Average 4.2 point

(3) Comparison with Own Training Program

1) What kind of workshops related to WTO have you attended recently?

(Examples)

- Seminar workshop at the PRC
- Negotiation, dispute
- UAP (United Architects of the Philippines) Conventions  
    ex: PALA (Philippines Association of Landscape Areas)
- JICA -capability building series of 3 seminars
- WTO/GATS Capability buildings
- Workshop attended by PRB's, APO's, officials and other involved agencies

No answers            22

4 (11.8%) of which 34 respondents have not ever attended workshops related to WTO.

2) How do you think the impact of this workshop compared with your training program?

Very useful	[A, 5 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[B, 4 point]	9	(26.5%)
	[C, 3 point]	3	(8.8%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	(2.9%)
Not useful at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answers	15	
Average	4.0		

### 3. Outcome of the Workshop

(1) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	7	(20.6%)
	[B, 4 point]	12	(35.3%)
	[C, 3 point]	8	(23.5%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	(2.9%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	5	

Average 3.9 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

- In making chances

- Giving guidance to bonds
- APOs
- Time and financial constraints
- Difficulty of getting foreign speakers and instructors
- The non-participation in the actual negotiation

(2) Diffusion

1) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinated personally	22	(64.7%)
[B] Giving lecture	6	(17.6%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshop	10	(29.4%)
[D] Others	7	(20.6%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	2	(5.9%)
No answer	2	

[D] (Specific answer)

- Essences building any PRB's and APO's
- Providing valuable information to colleague during CPE seminars
- Informing the members of my association (and profession) in a conventions and seminars and email
- Dissemination upon instruction by commitment
- Participation in meeting on WTO
- The limit of contracts with other CPAs

2) In case you choose [E], what are the obstacles in diffusing what are the obstacles in diffusing what you acquired from the workshop?

No answer

**4.For the Better Capacity Building**

(1) Please answer following questions if you had participated in our workshops held in July.

1) In your job, to what extent do you think you could utilize the knowledge acquired from the previous workshops?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	2	(5.9%)
	[B, 4 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[C, 3 point]	5	(14.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	2	(5.9%)



Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	20	

Average 3.5 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

- Perception of midwifery profession in the Philippines
- Non-participation in actual negotiation

3) Since the previous workshops, what have you done to maintain or improve the knowledge acquired from the workshop? (Multiple answers)

[A] Attended other workshops/seminars on the related topics	5	(14.7%)
[B] Holding workshops with colleagues or counterparts in other organizations	4	(11.8%)
[C] Studied further by myself through texts or written materials	3	(8.8%)
[D] Others	3	(8.8%)
[E] Nothing	1	(2.9%)
No answer	24	

[D] Specific answers

- Assisting in preparing papers / drafting
- Participation in discussion process
- Lecture on specialized topic

(2) What sort of training or workshop do you need to further improve your/your organizations capacity with regard to the GATS?

What kind of topic do you need to be covered?

- More about the credibility of WTO
- Superstructure that can protect the Philippines
- Mode IV
- Other countries commitments
- Engineering services
- Preparing offers and request lists
- Mode on focus on tourism
- Offer in professional services

: Scheduling of commitments for professional services

- : Specific on health movement
  - : Professional development program
  - Prospect for teachers
  - Right and duties of natural plans
- No answer            9

(3) Others (any suggestions)

- WTO regulations, dispute settlement
- Value from commitment
- Some similar services with NGO's civil society
- Improvement of loud system of the venue to be more appreciated
- Active disseminate of information to promote understanding on the topics
- Simultaneous negotiation on the service
- Gathering and analyzing date on training on demographics of professionals
- More clearer presentation

No answer            23

(f) Simulation Workshop on the GATS Request-Offer Approach to Services Trade Negotiations (January 26-27, 2004)

**1. General questions**

(3) Number of respondents

25 (out of 50 participants)

(4) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

3.2 years

**2. Evaluation of the Workshop**

(2) Objective of attending Workshop

- |  |    |
|--|----|
| 1. To lean negotiation skills  | 11 |
| 2. To gain knowledge in GATS request-offer approach to trade negotiations                                  | 8  |
| 3. To gain a general knowledge of GATS   | 5  |
| 4. To improve skill in scheduling commitments  | 1  |
| 5. To complete the whole series of JICA program & refine further knowledge of dynamics in GATS negotiation | 1  |
| 6. Review WTO exercise   | 1  |

(2) Attainment from the Workshop

1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	13	(52.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	12	(48.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	0	(0.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	0	

Average 4.5 point

2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	9	(36.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	15	(60.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	(4.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	0	

Average 4.3 point

3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think is the most beneficial? (Multiple answers)

1. Request and Offer (many answers)
2. Request and offer negotiation process and the simulation workshop
3. Negotiation workshops
4. the simulating exercise
5. Simulation of preparation for and going
6. Development of request and offer
7. The simulation of request and offer process
8. Different modes (1,2,3,4) market access national trade formulation of offers and requests; negotiations
9. Request are offer negotiations; strategy
10. The sample cases of acts
11. the topic on making a request
12. All

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this

field?			
Very much	[A, 5 point]	15	(60.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	9	(36.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	( 4.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	( 0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	( 0.0%)
	No answer	0	

Average 4.6 point

(Reason)

- Inter-Agency Committee members are well registered in this workshop (Although it would be better if more participants could be accommodated, which could serve as understudies of IAC member.
- Need to improve our negotiating position in GATS.
- We have less knowledge on WTO GATS agreement
- This type of workshop is very good for a country that has long been disadvantaged in trade negotiations.
- Our negotiations or potential negotiation from pool of experts.
- Gives continuance to the WTO exercise
- It is very useful considering we are a third world country.

### 3. Outcome of the Workshop

(2) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	9	(37.5%)
	[B, 4 point]	10	(41.7%)
	[C, 3 point]	3	(12.5%)
	[D, 2 point]	2	( 8.3%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	( 0.0%)
	No answer	1	

Average 4.1 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

- My acquired knowledge is not necessary in the insurance industry. Since 1995, the insurance industry is 100% liberalized

- My position in our agency is not one of decisions - making negotiations.

(2) Diffusion

3) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?  
(multiple answers)

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinated personally	16	(64.0%)
[B] Giving lecture	4	(16.0%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshop	7	(28.0%)
[D] Others	5	(20.0%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	0	(0.0%)
No answer	0	

[D] (Specific answer)

- through primers (WTO)

- Though echo seminars with my colleagues.

- Planning are setting politically for implication of GATS to human resource.

2) In case you choose [E], what are the obstacles in diffusing what you acquired from the workshop?

-Nobody answers.

#### 4.For the Better Capacity Building

(4) Please answer following questions if you had participated in our workshops held in July.

1) In your job, to what extent do you think you could utilize the knowledge acquired from the previous workshops?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	7	(28.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	5	(20.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	( 8.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	( 4.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	( 0.0%)
	No answer	10	

Average 4.2 point

2) In case you choose [D] or [E], what are the obstacles in utilizing what you acquired from the workshop?

- The insurance industry is 100% liberalized, I have nothing to offer or suggest.

3) Since the previous workshops, what have you done to maintain or improve the knowledge acquired from the workshop? (Multiple answers)

[A] Attended other workshops/seminars on the related topics	7 (28.0%)
[B] Holding workshops with colleagues or counterparts in other organizations	1 ( 4.0%)
[C] Studied further by myself through texts or written materials	12 (48.0%)
[D] Others	2 ( 8.0%)
[E] Nothing	0 ( .0%)
No answer	3

[D] Specific answers

- Personally consulted those who are experts / more knowledgeable
- Wrote issue papers and validated them in our technical circle.
- Discussing

(5) What sort of training or workshop do you need to further improve your/your organizations capacity with regard to the GATS?

What kind of topic do you need to be covered?

- Comprehensive GATS orientation
- We should know all agreements made by the Phil government to other countries and the intent of other countries
- training on gathering information needed for the negotiations
- training on negotiation skills
- lesson on trade negotiations
- For the sector I am handling, construction and construction- consultancy services, basic or general training on WTO - GATS, implications and benefits.
- Orientation on basic lessons in GATS, GATS articles
- More on negotiation techniques
- I should have attended the basic.
- request are offer negotiations
- Hopefully some more workshops on negotiations of potential disputes, deadlocks, competitions. It would be nice to experience a workshop with NGO's / industry on how to arise their inputs and challenge.
- Updates on the WTO negotiations among countries
- More in dept insist of GATS articles
- Explanation of GATS provisions

- Trainer's training
- Engagement of private sector - similar workshops/training for/ together with representatives from private sector

(6) Others (any suggestions)

- More training/seminar
- Keep all IAC member (informed (thou NEDA) of the status of GATS negotiations, including the timetables for specific activities.
- Can you conduct a training on WTO-GATS for our sector, there are about 300-400 in federal firms and Individuals.
- Any other GATS - related workshop for which need way arise.
- Two days session is quite short, so I suggest longer training schedule; perhaps 3-4 days for better appreciation / absorption.

(g) Simulation Workshop on the GATS Request-Offer Approach to Services Trade Negotiations (January 29, 2004)

**1. General questions**

(1) Number of respondents

46 (out of 90 participants;

(2) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

Average 2.1 years

(3) Attendance the previous workshops held in June and/or November 2003, and/or January 2004

Yes - 12 persons

No or no answer - 15 persons



<To which workshops> (multiple answers)

June Workshop on GATS – 4 persons

June Workshop on Tourism – 1 person

June Workshop on Transportation – 0 persons

November Workshop on Professional - 4 persons

November Workshop on Energy and environment – 0 persons

January Workshop on Request and offer – 6 persons

January session on Tourism – 2 persons

January Session on Transportation – 40 persons

## **2. Evaluation of the Workshop**

(1) Any comments and evaluation to our Program

- The program/seminar is very interesting. Each speaker has necessary explanation regarding the GATS/WTO.
- I think some of the comments are valid and should be pursued. I am just hoping that I could see more on the assessment aspect.
- This is a fruitful program to enhance a full cooperation of the Philippine Government, especially on trade & service.
- Inputs were very useful and relevant.
- Please send more information on stakeholders, sectors.
- This is the first time I attend a seminar on WTO. I hope this should go to the media for fair awareness. This is very enlightening and fruitful. I see the truth of the matter.
- The project is indeed very useful for people like us who are willing to help our maximize its potential but do not know where to start. JICA must be commended for the effort. You have certainly spend a communication share for us in the tourism sector. Your study can certainly help us.
- JICA assistance greatly benefit the country.
- Selection of speakers is quite good. Very informative.
- This has been very informative. Since GATS-WTO pervades our lives, this type of seminar goes along way to enlightening our mind and hopefully to more acceptance than GATS-WTO here to stay. We have been misinformed by our representatives that GATS means inevitable full-liberalization. -This has been clarified to me in this seminar. And, I will disseminate the learning to my colleagues.
- It is very informative. Vital to both the private sector as well as to the governmental regulatory agency.
- It is comprehensive in scope and the service persons have a mastery of the subject matter. The seminar has been conducted very smoothly.
- The program is quite comprehensive which I find appropriate in discussing the matter. If the program should be implemented, result would be beneficial to both the Philippines and its people. I strongly suggest that our good officials attend this level of seminar/program for better understanding how to run this country.
- The program is comprehensive, very informative and enlightening provides insights and inputs.
- Only data were discussed, what we need is commitment of those concerned to some this problem.
- JICA is worthy of commendation. The team has done a very good job in coming up with such an



endeavor.

- The topics are enlightening. However, we need some other topics such as those is energy.
- The capacity building program should also focus on how a developing country protects its economic boundaries by not depriving its handicap in any sector of business and that there should be a balance reciprocity of business between countries that enter into this agreements.
- Well-organized, systematic, truthful, most educational informative useful
- Attendance to the program provides adequate information maybe we need to add a pattern that focuses its advantages to convince more that GATS is indeed helpful to the economy giving particular groups who do not appreciate them, i.e., the GATS.
- Lectures helped we in understanding the fines aspects of GATS & its implication to our economic situation.
- Very informative, productive, enlightening, rated 10, encouraging
- The fundamentals of the agreements were clearly and effectively presented. The resource persons did their part well. Should have invited people from sectors in opposition of the GATS (liberalization) for purposes of balancing the views presented.
- This is a very important program as it enhances the understanding of various stakeholders in the Philippines on GATS and WTO.
- Since it's my first time to attend such program, I can hardly understand the real sense and relevance to our dental profession. But what I can shove is that due to economic crisis in the Philippines.
- Very informative
- The seminar in very informative and timely as well given that we're still in the negotiation table on the WTO commitments. People it would be better if there sitting on representing. The Philippines in the WTO be present also during the seminar to give updates as well as clarify some issues if needed.
- This seminar gave us a clearer understanding how GATS really functions. It was able to achieve its goal - giving us a backgrounds of its goals, achievements etc.
- I'll refer this matter to my superiors in DTI
- In the presentation of Dr. Cals, the comparison is not apple - to - apple. Phili. Transport should be bitted vs ASEAN / ASIAN neighbors.
- Good sources of GATS information.

### **3. For the Better Capacity Building**

(1) Any comments or suggestions

- I suggest more allowed time planned for a longer time, may be two or three days for future seminars for WTO Capacity Building Activities.

- Well presented.
- NEDA or whoever is in charge of negotiating our position must have regular consultations. Let us not wait JICA or WTO to fund this activity.
- Maybe, if time permits, participants could be broken down into groups (small groups) for workshops where discussion could be more in depth. "Other" stakeholders may be insisted, like labor so a different perspective may also be taken into consideration by the seminar.
- Politics matters and media is the means.
- Since we are so politically affected on a country, what about considering an effort to educate our policy makers and our politicians.
- A similar seminar to be sector specific, i.e. construction industry. The private sector need to be made more aware of the WTO/GATS, how it relates to their future. PCA would be willing to assist to bring all sub-sectors to attend.
- There are certain resistances to GATS mainly because it is not understood. They will be more open to GATS if it is explained the way it was expetitive have. You have very good speakers. The food is excellent.
- Perhaps more study/researcher on the different services sector are needed.
- Study on the possible effects and impact of GATS in the Philippines must be included as apart of the capacity building program. Since not much has been send about GATS, there should have been more time for open forum to clarify misconceptions about GATS provisions. I am not sure, if there already a website by which stakeholders can check the status of Philippines position in WTO. Where all details need not be provided, people must be aware of progress of our commitments.
- To better adopt/practice the program it is my opinion to discuss the program in the country setting (I.e. Philippine setting). But generally the program gives an eye opener to the current situation.
- I believe that we should include the law makers and policy makes so that the discussion could be acted upon. Putting them on the spot & could force their commitment on the items and problems on hand.
- I agree that assessments should also be done to other sector on the impact of liberalization specially with the energy sector.
- Factual presentations similar to Dr. Cali presentation will the appreciated. Furthermore, if could quite substance & the topics thus presents. Dr. Ong's reaction is also very relevant. So, mine on comparative studies to give meat & the topics.
- Hope that politics be off-hand on Philippines' commerce, world participants must be committed and dedicated on the country's economy, growth, investments through modern technology. Must have frequency of similar seminars & studies (comparative)

- To sustain WTO related capacity, series of programs should be conducted parallel to the programs of the GATS
- Offer continuing series so as to sustain / increase our knowledge about developments in GATS
- Should have updated report on the Philippines' stand on GATS and its commitments as well as the country's program in live w/ the implementation of GATS (is. support activities for sectors committed by the Philippines and the sectors in live for commitment)
- We will discuss these matters first w/ my superiors in DTI
- Give us more statistics / evidence, substantially convincing, that opening our Health market, to foreigners would benefit the poor sectors of our population.

## SG/AD 協定実施能力向上 《コンポネント 4》

### I. General questions

#### (1) Number of respondents

31 (out of 45 participants)

#### (2) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

Average 4.7 years

### II. Evaluation of the Workshop

#### (1) Objective of attending Workshop (multiple answers)

[A] To gain a general knowledge of SG/AD	22	(81.5%)
[B] To gain a knowledge of specific issues	13	(48.1%)
[C] To exchange views with lecturers and participants	8	(29.6%)
[D] To discuss on specific problems you are facing	7	(25.9%)
[E] Others	0	(0.0%)
No answer	4	

#### (2) Attainment from the Workshop

##### 1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	14	(48.3%)
	[B, 4 point]	14	(48.3%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	(3.4%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	2	

Average 4.4 point

##### 2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	17	(63.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	8	(29.6%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	(7.4%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
	No answer	4	

Average 4.6 point

3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think is the most beneficial? ? (multiple answers)

Safeguard (SG) and Anti-dumping (AD) Proceedings	10 (40.0%)
Case Studies of Dispute Settlement, including Moot Court Exercise	18 (72.0%)
General Framework of the WTO Agreements	7 (28.0%)
No answer	6

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	21	(80.8%)
	[B, 4 point]	4	(15.4%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	(3.8%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		5	

Average 4.8 point

(3) Comparison with Other Training Programs

1) What kind of seminars/workshops related to WTO have you attended recently?

(Example)

- 2nd International Trade & WTO Agreement Implementation
- Countervailing duties (Dec. 2002)
- In- house seminar on SG
- Trade remedy – calculations
- AD margin calculation (2002) - Korea WTO
- AUS-AID-AD mad SM (2000) - Makati, Phil. Settlement
- AD seminar (by WTO in Thailand)
- Safeguards Agreement (by KPMG, Australia)
- AD/CUD seminar (by New Zealand Trade Remedy Office of New Zealand Ministry of Commerce).
- Seminar/workshops on HS

13 (41.9%) of which 31 respondents have not ever attended workshops related to WTO.

2) How do you think the impact of this workshop compared with above seminars/workshops?

Very useful	[A, 5 point]	13	(72.2%)
	[B, 4 point]	4	(22.2%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	(5.6%)

	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not useful at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		13	
Average 4.7 point			

### III. Outcome of the Workshop

#### (1) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	12	(44.4%)
	[B, 4 point]	11	(40.7%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	(7.4%)
	[D, 2 point]	1	(3.7%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	1	(3.7%)
No answer		4	

Average 4.2 point

#### (2) Diffusion

1) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?  
(multiple answers)

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinates personally	23	(76.7%)
[B] Giving lectures	11	(36.7%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshops	7	(23.3%)
[D] Others	4	(13.3%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	2	(6.7%)
No answer	1	

### IV. For the further capacity improvement

(1) What kind of activities do you suppose to be most necessary to further improve your countries' capacity on above matters? (multiple answers)

(2)

[A] Seminar for various audiences	15	(55.6%)
[B] Workshop for particular individual sectors	19	(70.4%)
[C] Joint study/research by public-private sectors	13	(48.1%)
[D] Dissemination of information through Internet or publications	10	(37.0%)
[E] Institutional or Organizational reform	3	(11.1%)

[F] Others	1	(3.7%)
No answer	4	

(2) Others (any suggestion)

(Examples)

- A follow-up workshop (in order to tackle deeper & wider issues concerning the better & proper implementation of trade remedy measures)
- Computation methodology workshop on dumping margin measure and injury
- Scholarship programs sponsored by JICA or members regarding safeguards and anti-dumping
- Similar seminar for local industries (so that they will be made aware of the risks of facing disputes if the investigation is not conducted properly)
- More detailed lecture on product, price difference, or injury & causality

## TBT 協定履行能力向上 《コンポネント 5》

第 1 回ワークショップ参加者に対するアンケート調査結果

### 1. General questions

#### (1) Number of respondents

26 (out of 33 participants)

#### (2) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

Average 4.0 years

### 2. Evaluation of the Workshop

#### (1) Objective of attending Workshop (multiple answers)

[A] To gain a general knowledge of TBT	26	(100.0%)
[B] To gain a knowledge of specific field	9	(34.6%)
[C] To gain a knowledge of Japanese experience	11	(42.3%)
[D] To exchange views with lecturers and participants	16	(61.5%)
[E] Others	3	(11.5%)

#### (2) Attainment from the Workshop

##### 1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	11	(42.3%)
	[B, 4 point]	13	(50.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	(7.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)

Average 4.3 point

##### 2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	9	(36.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	14	(56.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	(8.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		1	

Average 4.3 point



3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think is the most beneficial? ? (Multiple answers)

1-1 Understanding the TBT Agreement: Coverage and Important Articles	16 (76.2%)
1-2 Economic Impact of the TBT Agreement on Trade	10 (47.6%)
2-1 Notification Procedures and the National Enquiry Point of the TBT Agreement	13 (61.9%)
2-2 TBT related Dispute Settlement Cases	8 (38.1%)
2-3 Current situation on Implementation of the TBT Agreement in the Philippines	10 (47.6%)
3 Current Topics in the TBT Committee	9 (42.9%)
4 Group Discussion for implementation of the TBT Agreement	9 (42.9%)
No answer	5

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	16	(64.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	8	(32.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	1	(8.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		1	

Average 4.6 point

(3) Comparison with Own Training Program

1) What kind of workshops related to WTO have you attended recently?

(Example)

- ASEAN MRA for telecom experts
- Workshop on the WTO/SPS Agreement
- Food Packaging and Food Labeling
- SPS and Agriculture Agreement

17 (65.4%) of which 33 respondents have not ever attended workshops related to WTO.

2) How do you think the impact of this workshop compared with your training program?

Very useful	[A, 5 point]	8	(40.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	9	(45.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	3	(15.0%)

	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not useful at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		6	

Average 4.3 point

### 3. Outcome of the Workshop

#### (1) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	13	(50.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	11	(42.3%)
	[C, 3 point]	2	(7.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)

Average 4.4 point

#### (2) Diffusion

1) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?  
(multiple answers)

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinates personally	15	(57.7%)
[B] Giving lectures	13	(50.0%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshops	11	(42.3%)
[D] Others	3	(11.5%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	0	(0.0%)

### 4. For the future workshop

(1) What sort of training or workshop do you need to further improve your capacity?

(Examples)

- In depth understating of TBT by providing a lot of examples of dispute.
- Decisions/ Judgments on TBT/ SPS cases
- Development & adoption of technical regulations, standards and conformity assessment procedures
- Standard setting, formulation/ policy making
- MRA, Suppliers Declaration of Conformity (SDoC)
- Exchange of experiences of some WTO TBT enquiry point

(2) What kind of lecturers do you want to be invited? (Ex. Legal practitioners, private sector)

practitioners, government negotiators, academics, etc.)

Governmental negotiators, private sector practitioners, and legal practitioners are all welcomed.

(3) How long do you think the workshop duration is appropriate?

[A] One day	2	(8.0%)
[B] 2-3 days	18	(72.0%)
[C] 3-5 days	4	(16.0%)
[D] One week	1	(4.0%)
[E] More than one week	0	(0.0%)
No answer	1	

(4) Other Comments

- Follow-up seminar. The same participants plus some participants from stakeholders
- More sample cases on TBT
- Philippines expedience in negotiation
- This activity is very useful and has great impact on enhancing trade under the regime of internationalism

## 第2回ワークショップ参加者に対するアンケート調査結果

### 1. General questions

#### (1) Number of respondents

28 (out of 37 participants)

#### (2) Length of involvement for WTO-related job

Average 8.7 years

#### (3) Attendance to the first workshop (August 2003)

14 (out of 28 respondents)

### 2. Evaluation of the Workshop

#### (1) Objective of attending Workshop (multiple answers)

[A] To gain a general knowledge of TBT	25	(89.3%)
[B] To gain a knowledge of specific field	17	(60.7%)
[C] To gain knowledge of Japanese experience	23	(82.1%)
[D] To exchange views with lecturers and participants	22	(78.6%)
[E] Others	4	(14.3%)

#### (2) Attainment from the Workshop

##### 1) Were your expectations of the workshop met?

Fully met	[A, 5 point]	7	(25.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	14	(50.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	7	(25.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not met	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)

Average 4.0 point

##### 2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	5	(17.9%)
	[B, 4 point]	13	(46.4%)
	[C, 3 point]	10	(35.7%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)

Average 3.8 point

3) What kind of topics in the workshop do you think is the most beneficial? ? (Multiple answers)

1-1 Labeling Issues under the TBT Agreement	15 (53.6%)
1-2 Procedures of Technical Regulation Development and Coordination among Government Agencies Handling the TBT Agreement in Japan	13 (46.4%)
2-1 Legal and Economic Background of MRAs and Japanese Experiences	15 (53.6%)
2-2 Philippine's Experiences on MRA with other ASEAN Countries and its Perspective	12 (42.9%)
3 Result of the Third Triennial Review of the TBT Agreement	7 (25.0%)
4 Group Discussion for implementation of the TBT Agreement	16 (57.1%)

4) Do you think the workshop was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	12	(64.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	11	(32.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	5	(8.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)

Average 4.3 point

(3) Comparison with Own Training Program

1) What kind of workshops related to WTO have you attended recently?

(Example)

- ESCAP Trade Policy Course (Bangkok, Thailand)
- UN/ESCAP WTO-TBT Training (Oct. 2002, Vietnam)
- APEC: Implementation of the WTO Agreement on TBT
- SPS/Agriculture Agreement
- DS/AD/SG
- Workshop of ISO/WTO/JISC (February 2002, Bangkok)

14 (50.0%) of which 28 respondents have attended the workshop (1) on the TBT Agreement.

2) How do you think the impact of this workshop compared with your training program?

Very useful	[A, 5 point]	6	(30.0%)
	[B, 4 point]	10	(50.0%)
	[C, 3 point]	4	(20.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)

Not useful at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		8	

Average 4.1 point

### 3. Outcome of the Workshop

#### (1) Utilization

1) In your own job, to what extent do you think you can utilize the knowledge acquired from the workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	7	(25.9%)
	[B, 4 point]	11	(40.7%)
	[C, 3 point]	9	(33.3%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		1	

Average 3.9 point

#### (2) Diffusion

1) In what way do you think you can diffuse the knowledge acquired from the workshop?  
(multiple answers)

[A] Informing my colleagues or subordinates personally	20	(71.4%)
[B] Giving lectures	14	(50.0%)
[C] Planning training courses and workshops	13	(46.4%)
[D] Others	5	(17.9%)
[E] Cannot be diffused	0	(0.0%)

### 4. For the better capacity building

(1) [For the participant to the 1<sup>st</sup> workshop of August 2003] In your own job, to what extent do you think you could utilize the knowledge acquired from the 1st workshop?

Very much	[A, 5 point]	4	(33.3%)
	[B, 4 point]	2	(16.7%)
	[C, 3 point]	6	(50.0%)
	[D, 2 point]	0	(0.0%)
Not at all	[E, 1 point]	0	(0.0%)
No answer		2	

Average 3.8 point

(2) [For the participant to the 1<sup>st</sup> workshop of August 2003] Since the 1st workshop, what have you done to maintain or improve the knowledge acquired from it, except for conducting daily work? (multiple answers)

[A] Attended other workshops/seminars on the related topics	4	(44.4%)
[B] Holding domestic workshops with colleagues or counterparts in other organizations	1	(11.1%)
[C] Studied further by myself through texts or written materials	9	(100.0%)
[D] Others	2	(22.2%)
[E] Nothing	0	(0.0%)
No answer	3	

(3) What sort of training or workshop do you need to further improve your/your organizations capacity with regard to the TBT Agreement? What kind of topic do you need to be covered?

- WTO member countries' experiences in implementing the TBT Agreement (information sharing)
- Negotiation skill
- Training on the certifications in foreign countries

アクションプラン策定《コンポネント 6》

Questionnaire  
on  
Kick-off Seminar for  
WTO Capacity Building Program  
in the Philippines  
March 2003

You are kindly requested to answer the following questions. Your frank opinions and constructive suggestions are welcome and truly appreciated by the Technical Assistance Consulting Team for WTO Capacity Building Program, in order to evaluate this workshop and design further capacity building program.

I. General Questions (please in BLOCK letters)

(1) Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Your Position: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Name of Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

(4) Brief Job Description:

\_\_\_\_\_

(5) Length of Involvement for WTO related task/mission : approx. \_\_\_\_\_ years

(6) Your Contact:

Phone:	Fax:
e-mail:	

II. Evaluation of the Seminar

(1) Objective of Attending Workshop

What was your main objective of attending the Seminar? (Plural Answer is OK)

[A] To review and/or extend knowledge on WTO related issues

[B] To gain knowledge about specific field

Please specify.

\_\_\_\_\_

[C] To gain knowledge about Japanese experience

[D] To exchange views with lecturers and participants

[E] Others



Please specify.

---

(2) Attainment from the Seminar

1) Were your expectations of the Seminar met?

Fully met

Not met

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

2) To what extent did you acquire new knowledge through the Seminar?

Very much

Not at all

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

3) What kind of topics in the Seminar do you think is the most beneficial?

---

Please explain the reason.

---

4) Do you think the Seminar was useful for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much

Not at all

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

Please explain the reason.

---

5) Do you have clearer idea what this JICA program is designed for under the Capacity Building concept?

Very much

Not at all

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

Please explain the reason.

---

6) Do you think the JICA program for the Capacity Building is beneficial for your country considering the present situation of this field?

Very much

Not at all

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

Please explain the reason.

---

(3) Comparison with other programs

1) What kind of Seminars/Workshops about WTO related issues have you attended recently? (if any)

---

---

2) How do you evaluate the impact of this Kick-off Seminar compared with other programs?

Very useful Not useful at all

(+) [A] [B] [C] [D] [E] (-)

Comments (if any)

---

---

III. Outcome of the Seminar (Sharing of knowledge/information)

1) In what way do you think you would share the knowledge acquired from the Seminar?

[A] Sharing informing to your colleagues through daily operation

[B] Organizing internal meetings to share necessary information

[C] Circulate the Seminar materials

[D] Others: please specify

---

[E] Cannot be diffused

2) In case you choose [E], what is the reason?

---

---

IV. For the Better Capacity Building

To consider the sustainable way to improve WTO related capacity, could you kindly give us your frank comments or suggestions on the following?

(Note: Your views and suggestions could be reflected in our recommendation and for future activities.)

(1) What sort of trainings and/or workshop do you need to further improve your and your organizations' capacity with regard to implementation of the WTO Agreements?  
What kind of issues do you need to be covered?

---

---

---

(2) Others (Any suggestions)

---

---

---

---

---

Thank you very much for your kind cooperation.

Questionnaire  
on  
Wrap-up Seminar,  
JICA WTO Capacity Building Program

You are kindly requested to answer the following questions. Your frank opinions and constructive suggestions are welcomed. The result of this questionnaire shall be referred as to consider and design further capacity building program.

I. General Questions

(7) Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_

(8) Your Position: \_\_\_\_\_

(9) Name of Organization: \_\_\_\_\_

(10) Brief Job Description:  
\_\_\_\_\_

## II. Evaluation of the Seminar

The major objectives of this Seminar are:

- 1) Reviews on the programs
- 2) Information sharing among each component counterpart to formulate common perspectives of current stage of its capacity to implement WTO Agreements
- 3) Reviews on recommendation and further issues to be addressed for the next step

**Q1.** Did the Seminar provide you an appropriate opportunity to share the idea and information on the current stage of related agencies' capacity to implement WTO Agreements?

[A] Clearly shared

[B] Fairly shared (some more details may be expected)

[C] Need more information

[D] Not shared

< Comments, if any >: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q2.** Did the presentation from other agencies serve as useful references to foresee and/or consider further activities on capacity building in your agency?

[A] Very much so

[B] Some are useful

[C] Not substantially

[D] No

< on what point(s)? >: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Q3.** Do you observe this kind of Information Sharing opportunities among governmental agencies is beneficial?

[A] Very much so: especially issues/areas such as \_\_\_\_\_

[B] Depends on issues/areas such as \_\_\_\_\_

[C] Not necessary

[D] Others

< Comments, if any>: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q4.** Any comments and suggestions for further capacity building activities?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

**Q5.** Any comments for this Wrap-up Seminar?

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

----- Thank you very much for your cooperation.

附属資料 C 講師リスト

組織	所属・肩書	氏名（敬称略）	セミナー・WS
経済産業省	産業技術環境局基準認証ユニット 国際チーム課長補佐	藤代 尚武	TBT
	通商機構部参事官補佐	吉屋 拓之	キックオフ・セミナー
農林水産省	大臣官房国際経済課 国際専門官	新藤 光明	農業/SPS
	大臣官房国際経済課 国際専門官	廣岡 亮介	農業/SPS
	動物検疫所精密検査部危険度分析課 主任検疫官	岩中 麻里	農業/SPS
	横浜植物防疫所調査研究部企画調整担当 次席調査官	橋本 浩明	農業/SPS
	食品総合研究所企画調整部食品衛生対策チ ーム・食品素材部糖質素材研究室 主任研究官	山本 和貴	農業/SPS
学識者	成蹊大学教授（前 WTO 上級委員）	松下 満雄	キックオフ・セミナー
	静岡県立大学 講師	福永 有夏	AD/SG
日本民間専門家	(社) 農協流通研究所 調査研究部 主任研究員	木村 彰利	農業/SPS
第3国専門家	WTO 事務局サービス貿易部参事官	Mr. Dale Honeck	GATS
	White Case International シニアディレクター (元 WTO 事務局長顧問・前サービス貿 易部長)	Mr. David Hartridge	GATS
	Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering	Atty. Leonard Shambon, Atty. Axel Desmedt	AD/SG
フィリピン専門家	PriceWaterhouse Coopers WMS (Singapore) Pte., Ltd	Atty. Jeremy Gatdula	AD/SG
	Chief, Standards Data Center and National WTO TBT Enquiry Point, BPS/DTI	Ms. Ma. Victoria Campomanes	TBT
	Supervising Trade-Industry Development Specialist, International Relations, BPS/DTI	Mr. Isagani C. Erna	TBT

附属資料 D TA チームコンサルタントメンバーリストと再委託先  
TA チームメンバー構成

氏名	担当
田中 秀和	団長/総括
石田 雅之	副団長/協定実施支援 1 及び 6 (システム・アクションプラン策定)
渡辺 洋行	協定実施支援 1 (システム)
下村 暢子	協定実施支援 2 (農業/SPS)
国松 麻季	協定実施支援 3 (GATS)
志邨 建介	協定実施支援 4 (AD/SG)
小田 正規	協定実施支援 5 (TBT)
高木 博也	協定実施支援 2 (農業/SPS)
木村 ひとみ	協定実施支援 3 (GATS)
小林 献一	協定実施支援 4 (AD/SG)
久野 新	協定実施支援 5 (TBT)
徳永 結子	業務調整
菅原 里織	業務調整
アレックス・B・チェング	協定実施支援 1 (システム)
エドナ・B・ターテル	協定実施支援 2 (農業/SPS)
アーネスト・S・ゴロスペ	協定実施支援 3 (GATS)
ジョセリト・P・スパンゴ	協定実施支援 3 (GATS)

## フィリピン再委託先リスト

コンポーネント	企業名
システム	ADTX Systems, Inc.
GATS	Desarollo Internationale Consult, Inc.
AD/SG	PricewaterhouseCoopers. WMS (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.
TBT	財団法人日本規格協会