

I. PROJECT FUNDAMENTALS

I.1 General (1) Code Number : 73110063 (7)Number of Farmers : 1,304 : S. Palakka (2) Name of Irrigation Scheme : Palakka (8) Water Resource River (3) District (Kabupaten) Bone (9) 4,637 Catchment Area (km²) (4) Sub-district (Kecamatan) T. Riantang Barat Completion / Last Rehabilitation Year: 1925/1980 (10)

(5) Registered Area (ha) : 4,633 (6) Technical Level : Technical

I.2 Availability of Reports/Documents & References (A : Available, B : Available but partially, C : Not available/ No plan)

a. Design Reports of Existing System(Full set)	b. Irrigation diagram	 c. As-built drawings 	d. Structure lists & diagram	
В	В	В	В	
e. Rehabilitation plan & its references	 f. Crops and yield data 	g. Cropping Calender	h. WUAs data	
С	A	A	48	

II. SUBJECT AREA FOR REHABILITATION PLAN

Present and Planned Land Use			
Category	Present (ha)	Plan (ha)	Increment (ha)
a. Irrigated paddy field	3,260	3,260	0
b. Rainfed paddy field	0	0	0
c. Upland Field	0	0	0
d. Uncultivated Land	0	0	0
e. Non-irrigable	0	0	0
Total	3,260	3,260	0

III. AGRICULTURE

III.1 Present/Before Project Condition

(1) Irrigation Performance and Crop Production

Season	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field			Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop Production (ton)		(ton)	
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	3,260			3,260	100%	4.0	13,040		
Season II (dry I)				0	0%				
Season III (dry II)	1,048	296		1,344	41%	4.0	4,192	740	
Total/Annual	4,308	296	0	4,604	141%	4.0	17,232	740	0

- (2) Problems and Constraints
 - A. Irrigation & Agriculture Performances
 - Irrigation performances yet to be improved
 - Double cropping of paddy introduced; annual cropping intensity still low; paddy yield levels still low; palawija introduced substantially
 - B. Primary Constraint Identified through the Inventory Survey by the JICA Study
 - Irrigation & Drainage: Water shortage at on-farm level in dry season Palawija Marketing:
 - Agronomic Issues:
 Paddy Marketing
 Extension Services:
 Farmers Organizations:
 Farmers Organizations:
 Most members are not active
 Extension Services:
 Shortage of operation funds of PPLs

III.2 Development Plan

- (1) Development Approaches
 - Ensuring year round irrigation water supply at on-farm level through rehabilitation
 - $Expansion \ of \ double \ cropped \ area \ of \ paddy; \ productivity \ increase \ of \ paddy \ through \ intensification; \ expansion \ of \ palawija \ in \ dry \ season \ II$
 - Strengthening of extension activities tailored to area specific needs; empowerment of farmer groups (KTs) to establish agri-business oriented KTs
- (2) Planned Irrigation Performances and Crop Production

Sagan	Cropp	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field			Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop Production (ton)		(ton)
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	3,260			3,260	100%	5.0	16,300		
Season II (dry I)				0	0%				
Season III (dry II)	2,608	652		3,260	100%	5.0	13,040	3,260	
Total/Annual	5,868	652	0	6,520	200%	5.0	29,340	3,260	0
Annual Increment	1,560	356	0	1,916	59%	1.0	12,108	2,520	0

IV. WIJAs

и	1.1 Existing Con	ndition								
(1) Number	a. Target;	49	b. Established;	49	c. Not yet;	0	Registered		(
	Performance	a. Developed;	0	 b. Under developing; 	22	c. Not yet;	27	Not yet regis	tered	50

(2) Problems and Constraints

☐ Operation ☑ Maintenance ☑ Management

- (3) Causes of Problems and Constraints
 - Lack of public awareness to WUA organization.
 - No WUA membership fee system.

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures
 - Acceleration of WUA federation.
- (2) Development Plan
 - Management training of WUA membership.

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: C (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation)

Water Resources Facility: B Main Canal System: D Secondary Canal System : D

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Headworks

e. Scouring sluice gate : 1 nos. i. Condition: B (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not f. Intake gate b. Type of weir : Fixed weir : 2 nos. c. Length of weir functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) : 40 m g. Settling basin : provided

d. Design intake discharge : 7.0 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : not provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,		
Main	11,092	2,923	14,015	87	7,297	D	B: Partially deteriorated,		
Secondary	15,230	4,174	19,404	101	7,350	D	C: Not functioning well,		
							D: Serious condition for		

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Problem on management for flood/scouring sluice gate(s) operation Difficulty on O&M

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Sedimentation or obstruction of water flow

Difficulty on maintenance of earth canal

Difficulty on O&M

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

Improper maintenance of flood or scouring sluice gate(s) of headworks (no greasing and anti-rust painting) No provision of inspection/access road, no provision of inspection bridge/deck

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Insufficient function of settling basin(sediments), improper management of canal (sediments, water plant) Improper maintenance; insufficient nos. of cross drain, berm width, or catch drain; and/or steep slope of canal Fallen down and collapse of side slope, water plants or weed at inside of canal

No provision or damage of inspection road, difficulty on passing of inspection road due to damage, broken

V.2 Development Plan

(1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems

- Water Resources Facility

Replacement of control system or damaged equipment of flood/scouring sluice gate(s) of headworks Provision of inspection/access road, inspection bridge/deck

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Removal of sediment soil and foreign materials from canal, grass cutting

Redesign of canal section; provision of cross drain, proper width of berm, catch drain, and/or proper slope

Provision of concrete lining

Provision or repair of inspection road with all weather type/pavement

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : minor rehabilitation Intake, civil: minor rehabilitation Intake, mechanical: minor rehabilitation

· minor rehabilitation Settling basin

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Wo	orks	No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	9,811	0	9,811
Canai (III)	Secondary	0	13,583	0	13,583
Structure	Main	0	61	6	67
(nos)	Secondary	0	71	14	85

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 3,260 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 0 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 c. Potential non-paddy field 0 Total 3,260

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost) (Unit: Million Rp.) On-Farm Project

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	Develop.	Facility	Total	per ha	
1,910	44,794	4,479	6,683	1,570	59,436	18.2	(W.R.F: Water Resources Facility, Develop.: Development)

VI. PROJECT EVALUATION

VI.1 EIRR 15.6%

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation Index		Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	5.0	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	13.0	69.2
System	Urgency	25.0	20.4	Social Problem	15.0	10.5	
	Sustainability	15.0	8.3	Economic Impact	15.0	12.0	

VI.3 Priority Group Group I: First priority group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province 8

Scheme	Palakka	District	Bone
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	4,633 ha Year of Construction 1925/80
SS.18.35			Category Irrigation (Headworks) Structure Intake Condition □ A ☑ B □ C □ D Problems Insufficient diversion water due to sedimentation in free of intake.
SS.18.36			Category Irrigation (Main Canal) Structure Masonry Lined Canal Condition □ A □ B □ C ☑ D Problems Sedimentation; crack or damage on lined canal; leakag from lined canal; deflection of lining toward inside of canal
SS.18.40			Category Irrigation (Main Canal)

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Palakka	District	Bone		(4/4)
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	4,633 ha	Year of Construction	1925/80
SS.18			Structure Division Str Condition A Problems Lower functions and incomplete the condition of the condition	Main Canal) ructure B C tion of division structure do no in front of gate; physica structure; damage on structure;	l operation
	AFP JEST (C)		Category Agriculture Structure KUD Office Condition A Problems		□ D
			Category Agro-econo	omy	
	The same		<u>Activity</u>		
			Condition A Problems	□ B □ C	□ D

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

11

I. PROJECT FUNDAMENTALS

I.1 General (1) Code Number : 73110064 (7)Number of Farmers : 2,572 (2) Name of Irrigation Scheme : Pattiro (8) Water Resource River : Sungai Pattiro (3) District (Kabupaten) Bone (9) 143.0 Catchment Area (km²) (4) Sub-district (Kecamatan) Cina Barebbo, Palakka (10)Completion / Last Rehabilitation Year: 1927

(5) Registered Area (ha) : 4,970 (6) Technical Level : Technical

Availability of Reports/Documents & References (A: Available, B: Available but partially, C: Not available/ No plan)

Trumability of Reports/Documents & References	(11.11 valuable, B. 11 valuable but partially, C. 110t a valuable, 110 plan)					
a. Design Reports of Existing System(Full set)	b. Irrigation diagram	 c. As-built drawings 	d. Structure lists & diagram			
В	В	В	В			
 e. Rehabilitation plan & its references 	f. Crops and yield data	g. Cropping Calender	h. WUAs data			
С	A	A	54			

II. SUBJECT AREA FOR REHABILITATION PLAN

1 Present and Planned Land Use			
Category	Present (ha)	Plan (ha)	Increment (ha)
a. Irrigated paddy field	4,630	4,739	109
 b. Rainfed paddy field 	109	0	-109
c. Upland Field	0	0	0
d. Uncultivated Land	0	0	0
e. Non-irrigable	0	0	0
Total	4,739	4,739	0

III. AGRICULTURE

III.1 Present/Before Project Condition

(1) Irrigation Performance and Crop Production

Season	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field			Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop 1	Production (t	on) 1/	
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	4,630			4,630	100%	4.5	21,108		
Season II (dry I)				0	0%				
Season III (dry II)	3,325	83		3,408	74%	4.0	13,300	263	
Total/Annual	7,955	83	0	8,038	174%	4.3	34,408	263	0

1/: Irrigated & rainfed paddy & palawija

Not yet registered

(2) Problems and Constraints

- A. Irrigation & Agriculture Performances
- Substantially high irrigation performances attained; existing of rainfed field (109ha)
- Double cropping of paddy practiced almost in the entire irrigated area; paddy yield levels low to moderate; palawija introduced limitedly
- B. Primary Constraint Identified through the Inventory Survey by the JICA Study

- Irrigation & Drainage: Water shortage at on-farm level in dry season - Palawija Marketing: Low marketing prices Damage caused by rat - Agronomic Issues: - Farmers Organizations: Most members are not active

- Paddy Marketing Low marketing prices - Extension Services: Implementation of extension programs is limited

III.2 Development Plan

- (1) Development Approaches
 - Expansion of irrigated area & ensuring year round irrigation water supply at on-farm level through rehabilitation & upgrading
 - Double cropping of paddy in the entire scheme; productivity increase of paddy through further intensification; introduction of palawija in dry season I
 - Strengthening of extension activities tailored to area specific needs; empowerment of farmer groups (KTs) to establish agri-business oriented KTs
- (2) Planned Irrigation Performances and Crop Production

Sagan	Cropp	ropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field		Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop	Production ((ton)	
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	4,739			4,739	100%	5.0	23,695		
Season II (dry I)		1,896		1,896	40%			5,878	
Season III (dry II)	4,739			4,739	100%	5.0	23,695		
Total/Annual	9,478	1,896	0	11,374	240%	5.0	47,390	5,878	0
Annual Increment	1,523	1,813	0	3,336	66%	0.7	12,982	5,615	0

IV.1 Existing Condition (1) Number a. Target; 56 b. Established: 54 c. Not vet; Registered 24 Performance a. Developed; 90 c. Not yet;

(2) Problems and Constraints

Management Operation

0 b. Under developing:

- (3) Causes of Problems and Constraints
 - District WRS office is not active.

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures
 - Acceleration of WUA establishment and federation.
 - Improvement of District WRS staff capability.
- (2) Development Plan
 - Capacity building for District WRS staff.

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: D (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) Water Resources Facility: C Main Canal System: D Secondary Canal System : D

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Headworks e. Scouring sluice gate : 1 nos.

i. Condition: C (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not b. Type of weir f. Intake gate : Fixed weir : 2 nos. functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) c. Length of weir g. Settling basin : not provided : 37 m

d. Design intake discharge : 8.1 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : not provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,
Main	9,708	2,900	12,608	36	5,335	D	B: Partially deteriorated,
Secondary	14,804	22,595	37,399	97	2,509	D	C: Not functioning well,
							D: Serious condition for
							anaration)

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Physical O&M problem due to overage facility

Lower strength against design load due to rust, decay of steel materials of flood/scouring sluice gate(s)

Physical operational problem on intake gate(s)

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Sedimentation or obstruction of water flow

Difficulty on maintenance of earth canal

Clogging of barrel of cross drain

Difficulty on O&M

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

Deterioration of weir, no or insufficient rehabilitation due to budget problem

No over coating on flood/scouring sluice gate(s) to prevent rust and decay

Improper design, installation and/or maintenance of intake gate(s); breakdown of hoist, stem, guide frame or leaf

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

No provision of settling basin(sediments), improper management of canal (sediments, water plant)

Fallen down and collapse of side slope, water plants or weed at inside of canal

Improper regular maintenance, insufficient capacity of barrel area against design discharge

No provision or damage of inspection road, difficulty on passing of inspection road due to damage, broken

V.2 Development Plan

(1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems

- Water Resources Facility

Replace and reconstruction of weir

Provision of overcoat or replacement of flood/scouring sluice gate(s) of headworks

Replacement of intake gate(s)

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Removal of sediment soil and foreign materials from canal, grass cutting

Provision of concrete lining

Removal of foreign materials from inside, or provision of screen, blow-off for maintenance

Provision or repair of inspection road with all weather type/pavement

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : replacement or new Intake, civil: replacement or new Intake, mechanical: replacement or new

: replacement or new Settling basin

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Wo	orks	No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	12,608	0	12,608
Canai (iii)	Secondary	0	37,399	0	37,399
Structure	Main	0	36	4	40
(nos)	Secondary	0	97	19	116

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 4,630 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 109 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 c. Potential non-paddy field 0 Total 4.739

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost)

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	On-Farm Develop.	Project Facility	Total	Cost per ha	
8 646	101 667	10 167	9 771	1 570	131 821	27.8	(W.R.F. Water Resources Facility, Develop : I

VI. PROJECT EVALUATIO

VI.1 EIRR 9.4%

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation Index		Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	5.0	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	11.0	69.2
System	Urgency	25.0	22.4	Social Problem	15.0	10.5	
	Sustainability	15.0	11.3	Economic Impact	15.0	9.0	

(Unit: Million Rn.)

VI.3 Priority Group Group I: First priority group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province 8

Scheme	Pattiro	District	Bone	
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	4,970 ha	Year of Construction 1927
SS.19.62			Category Irrigation (Find Structure Scouring Short American Americ	
SS:19:65			design load	
SS 19-31			Category Irrigation (M Structure Check Struct Condition A Problems Lower funct inside of car	

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Pattiro	District	Bone
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	4,970 ha Year of Construction 1927
SS.19.70			Category Irrigation (Main Canal) Structure Masonry Lined Canal Condition □ A □ B □ C ☑ D Problems Sedimentation; crack or damage on lined canal; leakage from lined canal; deflection of lining toward inside of canal.
			Category Irrigation (Secondary Canal) Activity Masonry Lined Canal Condition □ A □ B ☑ C □ D Problems Sedimentation; crack or damage on lined canal; leakage from lined canal; deflection of lining toward inside of canal.
-0.4	So.		<u>Category</u> Agriculture, On-Farm
			Activity Transplanting Condition □ A □ B ☑ C □ D Problems Low density of on-farm canals and farm roads.

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

I. PROJECT FUNDAMENTALS

I.1 General (1) Code Number : 73110066 (7)Number of Farmers : 710 (2) Name of Irrigation Scheme : Unyi (8) Water Resource River : Unyi (3) District (Kabupaten) Bone (9) Catchment Area (km²) (4) Sub-district (Kecamatan) Dua Boccoe (10)Completion / Last Rehabilitation Year: 1934/1984

(5) Registered Area (ha) : 1,310 (6) Technical Level : Technical

I.2 Availability of Reports/Documents & References (A : Available, B : Available but partially, C : Not available/ No plan)

 a. Design Reports of Existing System(Full set) 	 b. Irrigation diagram 	 c. As-built drawings 	 d. Structure lists & diagram
В	A	В	A
e. Rehabilitation plan & its references	 f. Crops and yield data 	g. Cropping Calender	h. WUAs data
С	A	A	24

II. SUBJECT AREA FOR REHABILITATION PLAN

II.1	Present and Planned Land Use			
	Category	Present (ha)	Plan (ha)	Increment (ha)
	a. Irrigated paddy field	1,136	1,136	0
	b. Rainfed paddy field	0	0	0
	c. Upland Field	0	0	0
	d. Uncultivated Land	0	0	0
	e. Non-irrigable	0	0	0
	Total	1,136	1,136	0

III. AGRICULTURE

III.1 Present/Before Project Condition

(1) Irrigation Performance and Crop Production

,	ngaron renormance and crop reduction									
	Season	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field				Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop	Production ((ton)
	Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
	Season I (wet)	1,136			1,136	100%	4.5	5,112		
	Season II (dry I)				0	0%				
ĺ	Season III (dry II)	848	266		1,114	98%	4.5	3,816	213	
Ì	Total/Annual	1,984	266	0	2,250	198%	4.5	8,928	213	0

- (2) Problems and Constraints
 - A. Irrigation & Agriculture Performances
 - High irrigation performances attained; however water shortage in dry season reported
 - Double cropping of paddy introduced; paddy yield levels moderate; palawija introduced substantially
 - B. Primary Constraint Identified through the Inventory Survey by the JICA Study

- Irrigation & Drainage: Water shortage at on-farm level in dry season - Palawija Marketing: Unstable marketing prices - Agronomic Issues: Farmers not following recommended practices - Farmers Organizations: Economic activities are limited

- Paddy Marketing Limited bargaining power of farmers - Extension Services: Implementation of extension programs is limited

III.2 Development Plan

- (1) Development Approaches
 - Ensuring year round irrigation water supply at on-farm level through rehabilitation
 - $Double \ cropping \ of \ paddy \ in \ the \ entire \ scheme; \ productivity \ increase \ of \ paddy \ through \ further \ intensification; \ introduction \ of \ palawija \ in \ dry \ season \ I$
 - Strengthening of extension activities tailored to area specific needs; empowerment of farmer groups (KTs) to establish agri-business oriented KTs
- (2) Planned Irrigation Performances and Crop Production

Sagan	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field				Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop	Production (ton)
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	1,136			1,136	100%	5.5	6,248		
Season II (dry I)		454		454	40%			1,407	
Season III (dry II)	1,136			1,136	100%	5.5	6,248		
Total/Annual	2,272	454	0	2,726	240%	5.5	12,496	1,407	0
Annual Increment	288	188	0	476	42%	1.0	3,568	1,194	0

(2) Problems and Constraints

7	Operation	Maintenance	\Box	Management

- (3) Causes of Problems and Constraints
 - Insufficient water distribution in dry season.
 - Low level of O&M skill of WUA members.

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures
 - Improvement of skill level.
- (2) Development Plan
 - Training by NGO/third party.

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: C (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) Water Resources Facility: B Main Canal System: D Secondary Canal System : D

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Headworks

e. Scouring sluice gate : 1 nos. i. Condition: B (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not f. Intake gate b. Type of weir : Fixed weir : 2 nos. c. Length of weir functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) : not provided : 22 m g. Settling basin

d. Design intake discharge : 2.0 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : not provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,				
Main	9,053	0	9,053	45	0	D	B: Partially deteriorated,				
Secondary	5,734	1,500	7,234	26	0	D	C: Not functioning well,				
Major Problems and Constrains											

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Fallen down, inclined, or washed away of retaining wall of weir Difficulty on water distribution/discharge measurement

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Sedimentation or obstruction of water flow Impassable of inspection road along canal Difficulty on O&M

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

Insufficient quality of concrete or masonry material, over acting earth pressure more than design No provision of water level gauge/measuring facility

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

No provision of settling basin(sediments), improper management of canal (sediments, water plant) Improper routine O&M works due to no or narrow wide of road, slope erosion by rainfall then in flow into canal No provision or damage of inspection road, difficulty on passing of inspection road due to damage, broken

V.2 Development Plan

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems
 - Water Resources Facility

Reconstruction of retaining wall of weir

Provision of water level gauge/measuring facility and equipment

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Removal of sediment soil and foreign materials from canal, grass cutting Provision of inspection road both main and secondary canal with pavement Provision or repair of inspection road with all weather type/pavement

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : minor rehabilitation Intake, civil: minor rehabilitation Intake, mechanical: minor rehabilitation

Settling basin : replacement or new

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

TITTE GULTOTT C.		tea on actare			
Wo	orks	No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	7,876	0	7,876
Canai (III)	Secondary	0	6,294	0	6,294
Structure	Main	0	39	4	43
(nos)	Secondary	0	23	5	27

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 1,136 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 0 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 c. Potential non-paddy field 0 Total 1,136

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost) (Unit: Million Rp.) Project

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	Develop.	Facility	Total	per ha	
2,758	23,151	2,315	2,329	1,260	31,813	28.0	(W.R.F: Water Resources Facility, Develop.: Development)

VI. PROJECT EVALUATION VI.1 EIRR 10.3%

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation 1	Index	Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	5.0	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	11.0	64.2
System	Urgency	25.0	20.4	Social Problem	15.0	10.5	
	Sustainability	15.0	8.3	Economic Impact	15.0	9.0	

Group III: Third priority group VI.3 Priority Group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province 22

Scheme	Unyi	District	Bone
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	1,310 ha Year of Construction 1934/84
			<u>Category</u> Irrigation (Headworks)
	The second secon		Structure
5000	PLIOTO NO. 67		Fixed Weir Condition
	PHOTO NO. 67	No. 12/2004	
			<u>Problems</u> Crack or damage on weir crest; settlement of weir body.
	The state of the s		
	PHOTO NO. 68		
	3		
46	PHOTO NO. 69		
		Direct regular	
	T Transfer		Category Irrigation (Headworks)
			Structure
			Retaining Wall Condition
			ABC
- 100	The second		<u>Problems</u> Fallen down, incline or washed away of retaining wall
MARKE NA			of weir
400 M			
		29A	
8/8			
and the same of	PHOTO NO. 70	05/17/2003	
	Y-A THERESE	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
		- 3-44	<u>Category</u> Irrigation (Main Canal)
		A Contract	<u>Structure</u> Masonry Lined Canal
			Condition
100		Property of	□ A □ B □ C ☑ D
2	100		Problems Sedimentation; crack or damage on lined canal; leakage
			from lined canal; deflection of lining toward inside of canal; no inspection road.
		Alexander of the second	
		05/17-203	
100 TO			

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Unyi	District	Bone		
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	1,310 ha	Year of Construction	1934/84
		5/17/2003	Structure Division Str Condition A Problems Lower funct sedimentation	ructure B C tion of division structure don in front of gate; physica structure; damage on structure;	al operation
		5/17/2003	Condition ☐ A Problems	, On-Farm Upland Crop Cultivation □ B ☑ C v of on-farm canals and far	D m roads.
			Category Agriculture,	, On-Farm	
		5/17/2003	Land Prepar Condition ☐ A Problems	B ☑ C	D m roads.

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

. Jan /4)	ing Scheme									
				I. PROJE	CT FUND	AMENTAL	S			
(1) (2) (3) (4) (5)	General Code Number Name of Irrigation Scheme District (Kabupaten) Sub-district (Kecamatan) Registered Area (ha) Technical Level		: 73110068 : Jalling : Bone : Awangpor : 1,777 : Technical	ne	(7) (8) (9) (10)	Number of I Water Resor Catchment A	Farmers urce River	: 813 : Lapuse : - r: 1983/1985	5	
1.2	Availability of Reports/Doc a. Design Reports of Exi	sting System(b. 1	(A : Availal rrigation diag		ilable but partially, C: c. As-built drawings B		e/ No plan) cture lists & c	diagram
	e. Rehabilitation plan	n & its referei	nces	f. Cr	ops and yield	l data	g. Cropping Calender A		h. WUAs data	a
			H CHBH	ECT ADEA	EOD DEL		FLOW BY AN			
II.1	Present and Planned Land	Use	II. SUBJ	ECT AREA	FOR REF	IABILITA	ΓΙΟΝ PLAN			
	Category a. Irrigated paddy field		Prese	nt (ha)	Plan	(ha) 1,301	Increment (ha)			
	b. Rainfed paddy field c. Upland Field			0		0	0	_		
	d. Uncultivated Land e. Non-irrigable			0		0	0			
	Total			1,301		1,301	0			
				III.	AGRICUL	TURE				
	Present/Before Project Con- Irrigation Performance and C		n							
(1)	Season	Cropp	ed Area in Ir	rigated Padd		Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield		p Production	` '
	Season I (wet)	Paddy (ha) 1,301	Palawija	Others (ha)	1,301	Intensity 100%		Paddy 5,204	Palawija	Others
	Season II (dry I) Season III (dry II)	557	430		987	0% 76%		2,228	1,075	
	Total/Annual	1,858						7,432		
	B. Primary Constraint Identif - Irrigation & Drainage: - Agronomic Issues: - Paddy Marketing Development Plan Development Approaches	Water shorta	age at on-farr following re	n level in dry commended	season	- Palawija M - Farmers O - Extension	rganizations: Economic a			
(2)	 Ensuring year round irrigati Expansion of double croppe Strengthening of extension a Planned Irrigation Performan 	d area of pade activities tailo	dy; productive red to area sp	ity increase o	of paddy thro	ugh intensific		agri-business	s oriented KT	s
(-)	Season	Cropp	ed Area in Ir	rigated Padd		Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield		p Production	r'
	Season I (wet)	Paddy (ha) 1,301	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha) 1,301	Intensity 100%	(GKG ton/ha) 5.0	Paddy 6,505	Palawija	Others
	Season II (dry I)	1.041	240		0	0%			1.200	
	Season III (dry II) Total/Annual	1,041 2,342	260 260		1,301 2,602	100% 200%		5,205 11,710		
	Annual Increment	484				24%		4,278		
					IV. WUA	s				
	Existing Condition	T	I							
(1)	Number a. Target; Performance a. Developed;		b. Establishe b. Under de			c. Not yet; c. Not yet;	14	Registered Not yet regi	stered	
(2)	Problems and Constraints Operation		Maintenance	e 🗸	Managemen	t				
(3)	Causes of Problems and Cons	straints								
	No O&M plan.Low management of WUA	under Federat	ion.							
	Proposed Countermeasures - Improvement of management									
(2)	Development Plan - WUA management training									

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: C (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) Water Resources Facility: B Main Canal System: C Secondary Canal System : D

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Headworks

e. Scouring sluice gate i. Condition: B : 1 nos. (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not b. Type of weir f. Intake gate : Fixed weir : 1 nos. functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) c. Length of weir g. Settling basin : not provided : 21 m

d. Design intake discharge : 2.7 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : not provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,				
Main	13,516	0	13,516	75	7,150	С	B: Partially deteriorated,				
Secondary	0	3,950	3,950	5	0	D	C: Not functioning well,				
Major Probl	Major Problems and Constrains										

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Lower strength against design load due to rust, decay of steel materials of flood/scouring sluice gate(s)

Physical operational problem on intake gate(s)

Difficulty on water distribution/discharge measurement

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Sedimentation or obstruction of water flow

Leakage from canal Collapse of canal

Difficulty on O&M

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

No over coating on flood/scouring sluice gate(s) to prevent rust and decay

Improper design, installation and/or maintenance of intake gate(s); breakdown of hoist, stem, guide frame or leaf

No provision of water level gauge/measuring facility

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

No provision of settling basin(sediments), improper management of canal (sediments, water plant)

Improper regular maintenance of canal, settlement of canal then insufficient freeboard and overtopping

Improper maintenance; insufficient nos. of cross drain, berm width, or catch drain; and/or steep slope of canal

No provision or damage of inspection road, difficulty on passing of inspection road due to damage, broken

V.2 Development Plan

(1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems

- Water Resources Facility

Provision of overcoat or replacement of flood/scouring sluice gate(s) of headworks

Replacement of intake gate(s)

Provision of water level gauge/measuring facility and equipment

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Removal of sediment soil and foreign materials from canal, grass cutting

Repair of leakage from canal, widen canal wide, recompaction of embankment

Redesign of canal section; provision of cross drain, proper width of berm, catch drain, and/or proper slope

Provision or repair of inspection road with all weather type/pavement

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : minor rehabilitation Intake, civil: minor rehabilitation Intake, mechanical: large rehabilitation

: replacement or new Settling basin

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Wo	orks	No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	9,867	0	9,867
Canai (III)	Secondary	0	2,884	0	2,884
Structure	Main	0	55	5	60
(nos)	Secondary	0	4	1	4

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 1,301 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 0 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 1,301 c. Potential non-paddy field

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost)

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	On-Farm	Project	Total	Cost	
VV .IX.1	migation	ation Bramage	Develop.	Facility	10111	per ha	
2,661	18,270	1,827	2,667	1,260	26,685	20.5	(W.R.F: Water Resources Facility, Develop.: Development)

VI. PROJECT EVALUATIO

VI.1 EIRR

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation Index		Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	5.0	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	13.0	66.3
System Urgency		25.0	19.0	Social Problem	15.0	10.5	
Sustainability		15.0	8.3	Economic Impact	15.0	10.5	

(Unit: Million Rp.)

Group III: Third priority group VI.3 Priority Group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province 18

Scheme	Jalling	District	Bone		
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area		Year of Construction	1983/85
			Category Irrigation (He Structure Fixed Weir Condition A Problems Crack or dam	eadworks) ☑ B ☐ C nage on weir crest; settlement	☐ D
	PHOTO NO. 51		design load d		naterial; problem
		5/18/2	Category Irrigation (Masonry Line Condition A Problems Canal is total	ed Canal	▼ D

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Jalling	District	Bone
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	1,777 ha Year of Construction 1983/85
		05/18/2003	Category Irrigation (Secondary Canal) Structure Earth Canal Condition □ A □ B C I Problems Canal is totally damaged.
		05/18/2003	Category Agriculture, On-Farm Condition Paddy for Harvest Condition □ A □ B ☑ C □ D Problems Low density of on-farm canals and farm roads.
		05/18/2003	Category Agriculture, On-Farm Activity Secondary Crops (Maize) Cultivation Condition □ A □ B ☑ C □ D Problems Low density of on-farm canals and farm roads.

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

- WUA management training.

I. PROJECT FUNDAMENTALS I.1 General (1) Code Number : 73110076 (7)Number of Farmers : 422 (2) Name of Irrigation Scheme : Lanca (8) Water Resource River : Lanca (3) District (Kabupaten) Bone (9) Catchment Area (km²) (4) Sub-district (Kecamatan) Completion / Last Rehabilitation Year: 1983/1992 Telu Siattinge (10)(5) Registered Area (ha) 1,084 (6) Technical Level : Semi Technical I.2 Availability of Reports/Documents & References (A: Available, B: Available but partially, C: Not available/ No plan) a. Design Reports of Existing System(Full set) b. Irrigation diagram c. As-built drawings d. Structure lists & diagram В Α В h. WUAs data e. Rehabilitation plan & its references f. Crops and yield data g. Cropping Calender 5 II. SUBJECT AREA FOR REHABILITATION PLAN II.1 Present and Planned Land Use Plan (ha) Category Present (ha) Increment (ha) a. Irrigated paddy field 0 676 676 b. Rainfed paddy field 0 0 0 c. Upland Field 0 0 0 d. Uncultivated Land 0 0 0 e. Non-irrigable 0 0 0 Total 676 676 0 III. AGRICULTURE III.1 Present/Before Project Condition (1) Irrigation Performance and Crop Production Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field Crop Production (ton) 1/ Annual Irrigated Paddy Yield Season (GKG ton/ha) Paddy (ha) Palawija Others (ha) Total (ha) Intensity Paddy Palawija Others Season I (wet) 676 676 100% 4.0 2.704 Season II (dry I) Season III (dry II) 515 119 634 94% 4.0 2,060 298 Total/Annual 1,310 1.191 119 0 194% 4.0 4.764 298 1/: Irrigated & rainfed paddy & palawija (2) Problems and Constraints A. Irrigation & Agriculture Performances - High irrigation performances attained; however water shortage in dry season reported - Double cropping of paddy introduced; paddy yield levels still low; palawija introduced to some extent B. Primary Constraint Identified through the Inventory Survey by the JICA Study - Irrigation & Drainage: Water shortage at on-farm level in dry season - Palawija Marketing: Limited market outlet Farmers not following recommended practices - Farmers Organizations: Economic activities are limited - Agronomic Issues: - Paddy Marketing Low marketing prices - Extension Services: Implementation of extension programs is limited III.2 Development Plan (1) Development Approaches - Ensuring year round irrigation water supply at on-farm level through rehabilitation - Double cropping of paddy in the entire scheme; productivity increase of paddy through further intensification; introduction of palawija in dry season I - Strengthening of extension activities tailored to area specific needs; empowerment of farmer groups (KTs) to establish agri-business oriented KTs (2) Planned Irrigation Performances and Crop Production Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field Irrigated Paddy Yield Crop Production (ton) Annual Season Paddy (ha) Palawija Others (ha) Total (ha) (GKG ton/ha) Intensity Paddy Palawija Others Season I (wet) 100% 5.0 3,380 676 676 Season II (dry I) 30% 1,015 203 Season III (dry II) 676 676 100% 5.0 3,380 Total/Annual 1,352 203 6,760 1.015 0 1,555 230% 5.0 Annual Increment 161 84 0 245 36% 1.0 1,996 717 IV.1 Existing Condition 15 b. Established: 5 c. Not yet; (1) Number a. Target; 10 Registered Performance a. Developed; 5 0 b. Under developing 0 c. Not yet; Not yet registered (2) Problems and Constraints Management Operation (3) Causes of Problems and Constraints - No O&M work plan. - Lack of management skills IV.2 Development Plan (1) Proposed Countermeasures - Improvement of management capability. (2) Development Plan

i. Condition: C

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: D (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation)

Water Resources Facility: C Main Canal System: D Secondary Canal System : D

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Spring Water Intake e. Scouring sluice gate

(A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not b. Type of weir f. Intake gate : 2 nos. functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) c. Length of weir : not provided : 5 m g. Settling basin

V. IRRIGATION FACILITY

d. Design intake discharge : 1.2 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : not provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						=				
Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,				
Main	Main 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 D										
Secondary	1,506	8,127	9,633	51	0	D	C: Not functioning well,				
Major Probl	Major Problems and Constrains										

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Fallen down, inclined, or washed away of retaining wall of intake

Physical operational problem on intake gate(s)

Difficulty on water distribution/discharge measurement

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Sedimentation or obstruction of water flow

Impassable of inspection road along canal

Overage, lower strength of canal

Difficulty on O&M

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

Insufficient quality of concrete or masonry material, over acting earth pressure more than design

Improper design, installation and/or maintenance of intake gate(s); breakdown of hoist, stem, guide frame or leaf

No provision of water level gauge/measuring facility

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

No provision of settling basin(sediments), improper management of canal (sediments, water plant)

Improper routine O&M works due to no or narrow wide of road, slope erosion by rainfall then in flow into canal

Deterioration of canal, no or insufficient rehabilitation due to budget problem

No provision or damage of inspection road, difficulty on passing of inspection road due to damage, broken

V.2 Development Plan

(1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems

- Water Resources Facility

Reconstruction of retaining wall of intake

Replacement of intake gate(s)

Provision of water level gauge/measuring facility and equipment

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Removal of sediment soil and foreign materials from canal, grass cutting

Provision of inspection road both main and secondary canal with pavement

Replace and reconstruction of canal

Provision or repair of inspection road with all weather type/pavement

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : minor rehabilitation Intake, civil: large rehabilitation Intake, mechanical: large rehabilitation

: replacement or new Settling basin

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Wo	orks	No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	0	0	0
Callal (III)	Secondary	0	5,972	1,194	7,167
Structure	Main	0	0	0	0
(nos)	Secondary	0	32	11	43

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 676 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 0 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 c. Potential non-paddy field 676

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost) (Unit: Million Rp.)

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	On-Farm Develop.	Project Facility	Total	Cost per ha	
2,767	9,569	957	1,386	1,260	15,938	23.6	(W.R.F: Water Resources Facility, Develop.: Development)

VI. PROJECT EVALUA	ATION	
--------------------	-------	--

VI.1 EIRR 11.3%

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation Index		Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	-	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	-	-
System Urgency		25.0	-	Social Problem	15.0	-	
Sustainability		15.0	-	Economic Impact	15.0	-	

Group VI: Development by other category VI.3 Priority Group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province (Subject area is less than 1,000 ha)

Scheme	Lanca	District	Bone		
Technical Level	Semi-technical	Registered Area	1,084 ha	Year of Construction	1983/92
			Structure Intake Condition A Problems Insufficient of structure.	☐ B ☑ C	☐ D imentation in front
		.05/18/2003	Structure Check Struc Condition A Problems Lower funct in front of g	Secondary Canal) eture B C tion of check structure due ate; physical operation prostructure; deterioration of g	blem on structure;
		05/19/2003	Category Irrigation (S Structure Masonry Lin Condition A Problems Not in use	Secondary Canal) ned Canal B C	☑ D

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Lanca	District	Bone		
Technical Level	Semi-technical	Registered Area	1,084 ha	Year of Construction	1983/92
			Category Irrigation (S Structure Earth Canal	Secondary Canal)	
	有数数		Condition ☐ A	□В □С	✓ D
		65/19/2003	Problems Sedimentati difficulty or road.	ion; collapse of canal; leak n maintenance of earth can	age from canal; al; no inspection
		1	Category Agriculture	, On-Farm	
				ration by Hand Tractor	
			Condition ☐ A Problems	□В □С	√ D
	TOTAL STATE OF A STATE	05/19/2003		y of on-farm canals and far	m roads.
			Category Post-harves	t Facility	
			Activity Drying Yard Condition	d	
			□ A Problems	□ B □ C	☑ D
1		05/19/2003			

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

(1/4)

I. PROJECT FUNDAMENTALS

I.1 General (1) Code Number : 73110081 (7) Number of Farmers : 8,228 (2) Name of Irrigation Scheme Sanrego (8) Water Resource River Sanrego (3) District (Kabupaten) Bone (9) Catchment Area (km²) 176.00 (4) Sub-district (Kecamatan) Kahu, Libureng, Patimpeng (10)Completion / Last Rehabilitation Year: 1990

(5) Registered Area (ha) 9,457 (6) Technical Level : Technical

I.2 Availability of Reports/Documents & References (A: Available, B: Available but partially, C: Not available/ No plan)

a. Design Reports of Existing System(Full set)	b. Irrigation diagram	 c. As-built drawings 	d. Structure lists & diagram			
В	В	В	В			
e. Rehabilitation plan & its references	 f. Crops and yield data 	g. Cropping Calender	h. WUAs data			
С	A	A	123			

II. SUBJECT AREA FOR REHABILITATION PLAN

.1 Pr	esent and Planned Land Use			
	Category	Present (ha)	Plan (ha)	Increment (ha)
a	Irrigated paddy field	4,751	5,676	925
b.	Rainfed paddy field	925	0	-925
c.	Upland Field	0	0	0
d.	Uncultivated Land	0	0	0
e. 1	Non-irrigable	0	0	0
To	tal	5,676	5,676	0

III. AGRICULTURE

III.1 Present/Before Project Condition

(1) Irrigation Performance and Crop Production

Season	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field			Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop Production (ton) 1/			
Season	Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
Season I (wet)	4,751			4,751	100%	4.5	23,692		
Season II (dry I)				0					
Season III (dry II)	3,442	908		4,350	92%	4.5	15,489	1,099	
Total/Annual	8,193	908	0	9,101	192%	4.5	39,181	1,099	0

1/: Irrigated & rainfed paddy & palawija

(2) Problems and Constraints

- A. Irrigation & Agriculture Performances
- High irrigation performances attained; existing of rainfed field (925ha); water shortage in dry season reported
- Double cropping of paddy practiced almost in the entire irrigated area; paddy yield levels low to moderate; palawija introduced limitedly
- B. Primary Constraint Identified through the Inventory Survey by the JICA Study
- Irrigation & Drainage: Water shortage at on-farm level in dry season - Palawija Marketing: Low marketing prices Farmers not following recommended practices - Agronomic Issues: - Farmers Organizations: Most members are not active - Paddy Marketing Shortage of operation funds of PPLs Low marketing prices - Extension Services:

III.2 Development Plan

- (1) Development Approaches
 - Expansion of irrigated area & ensuring year round irrigation water supply at on-farm level through rehabilitation & upgrading
 - Expansion of double cropped area of paddy; productivity increase of paddy through further intensification; expansion of palawija in dry season I & II
 - Strengthening of extension activities tailored to area specific needs; empowerment of farmer groups (KTs) to establish agri-business oriented KTs
- (2) Planned Irrigation Performances and Crop Production

,	I taimed irrigation I errormances and crop i roduction									
	Season	Cropped Area in Irrigated Paddy Field				Annual	Irrigated Paddy Yield	Crop Production (ton)		(ton)
Season		Paddy (ha)	Palawija	Others (ha)	Total (ha)	Intensity	(GKG ton/ha)	Paddy	Palawija	Others
	Season I (wet)	5,676			5,676	100%	5.5	31,218		
	Season II (dry I)		1,135		1,135	20%			1,021	
	Season III (dry II)	4,825	851		5,676	100%	5.5	26,538	5,675	
	Total/Annual	10,501	1,986	0	12,487	220%	5.5	57,756	6,696	0
	Annual Increment	2.308	1 078	0	3 386	28%	1.0	18 575	5 597	0

IV.1 Existing Condition 123 b. Established; (1) Number a. Target: 123 c. Not yet; 0 Registered Performance 9 b. Under developing 114 c. Not yet; 123 a. Developed; 0 Not yet registered

(2)	Problems	and	Constraints
-----	----------	-----	-------------

1 Operation Management

- (3) Causes of Problems and Constraints
 - Rehabilitation of irrigation systems.
 - Lack of government financial support.

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures
 - WUA's involvement in rehabilitation planning.
- (2) Development Plan
 - WUA empowerment training.

V.1 Existing Condition

(1) Overall Irrigation System: C (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) Secondary Canal System : D

Water Resources Facility: B Main Canal System: D

: 46 m

(2) Water Resources Facilty

a. Type of facility : Headworks b. Type of weir : Fixed weir

e. Scouring sluice gate : 2 nos. i. Condition: B (A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not f. Intake gate : 3 nos. functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation) g. Settling basin : not provided

c. Length of weir d. Design intake discharge : 12.9 m3/s h. Inspection bridge : provided (no info.: no information)

(3) Irrigation Canal and Inspection Road

Canal	Lined (m)	Unlined	Total (m)	Structure (nos)	Inspection road (m)	Condition	(A: Functioning well,				
Main	4,000	7,490	11,490	24	11,490	D	B: Partially deteriorated,				
Secondary	2,488	52,605	55,093	339	13,467	D	C: Not functioning well,				
	E										
Major Problems and Constrains											

(4) Major Problems and Constrains

- Water Resources Facility

Fallen down, inclined, or washed away of retaining wall of weir Insufficient diversion water due to sedimentation in front of intake

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Difficulty on maintenance of earth canal Difficulty on water distribution

(5) Causes of Major Problems and Constraints

- Water Resources Facility

Insufficient quality of concrete or masonry material, over acting earth pressure more than design Sedimentation in front of intake

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Fallen down and collapse of side slope, water plants or weed at inside of canal

No provision of water level gauge/facility

V.2 Development Plan

- (1) Proposed Countermeasures for Major Problems
 - Water Resources Facility

Reconstruction of retaining wall of weir

Dredging or flushing of sediment, proper gate operation of headworks and intake

- Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

Provision of concrete lining

Provision of water level gauge/facility

(2) Water Resources Facility

Dam/Headworks body : minor rehabilitation Intake, civil: minor rehabilitation Intake, mechanical: minor rehabilitation

: replacement or new Settling basin

(3) Irrigation Canal and Related Structure

minguilon C.	unian ama neona	tou ou detaile			
Works		No rehabilitation	Rehabilitation	New construction	Total
Canal (m)	Main	0	9,881	0	9,881
Canai (III)	Secondary	0	47,380	0	47,380
Structure	Main	0	21	2	23
(nos)	Secondary	0	292	58	350

(4) On-farm Development (Unit: ha) a. Potential Irrigated paddy field 4,751 d. Non-potential paddy field 0 b. Potential non-irrigated paddy field 925 e. Non-potenttial non-paddy field 0 5,676 c. Potential non-paddy field 0 Total

(5) Rehabilitation Cost (Direct Cost) (Unit: Million Rp.)

W.R.F	Irrigation	Drainage	On-Farm Develop.	Project Facility	Total	Cost per ha	
4,832	95,021	9,502	12,110	2,590	124,055	21.9	(W.R.F: Water Resources Facility, Develop.: Development)

VI. PROJECT EVALUATION	$\overline{0}$
------------------------	----------------

VI.1 EIRR 12.2%

VI.2 Prioritization Scoring

Evaluation Index		Full Score	Score	Evaluation Index	Full Score	Score	Total Score
Irrigation	Utilization of Irrigation Potential	10.0	5.0	Agricultural Productivity	20.0	14.0	69.3
System	System Urgency		21.0	Social Problem	15.0	12.0	
Sustainability		15.0	6.8	Economic Impact	15.0	10.5	

Group I: First priority group VI.3 Priority Group VI.4 Priority Ranking in the Province

Scheme	Sanrego	District	Bone		
Technical Level	Technical	Registered Area	9,457 ha	Year of Construction	1990
SS.23.50			Category Irrigation (F Structure Fixed Weir, Condition A Problems Sedimentati	Upstream View B C	D
SS.23.49			Condition A Problems Fallen down	All and Stilling Basin B C In, incline or washed away of away of stilling basin	☐ D
SS-23			from lined c		☑ D ned canal; leakage oward inside of

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation

Scheme	Sanrego		District	Bone		
Technical Level	Technical		Registered Area	9,457 ha	Year of Construction	1990
SS.23.34		at-		Category Irrigation (N	Main Canal)	
				<u>Structure</u> Inspection F	Road	
Sign (Condition ☐ A	□В □С	✓ D
				Problems Impossible t	for passing	
12	-	المساوكات	-	<u>Category</u> Agriculture,	On-Farm	
				<u>Activity</u> Paddy Field		
	p money min Miller of the	numero o estado	Agrican	Condition A Problems	□В □С	☑ D
			Low density	of on-farm canals and farr	n roads.	
	in the state of th			<u>Category</u> Agriculture,	On-Farm	
					on by Hand Tractor	
X .				Condition ☐ A	□В □С	☑ D
				Problems		

Condition: A: Functioning well, B: Partially deteriorated, C: Not functioning well, D: Serious condition for operation