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## CHAPTER 1 ADMINISTRATION, POPULATION AND EMPLOYMENT

### 1.1 Introduction

In this part of the report, the main socio-economic conditions relevant to the study area (the three main river basins ${ }^{1}$ of West Pinatubo) are examined, and any significant changes after the 1991 eruption noted. Also included is some discussion of the future socio-economic framework, and current national and provincial development plans.
Two points should be noted. First, there are regrettably some omissions from the data presented, either because it does not exist, or because it was not accessible despite the best efforts of the study team and DPWH. The second point concerns errors and omissions in published data sources. It is to be hoped that a continual process of data verification and amendment by sources will ultimately reduce the incidence of such errors.

### 1.2 Administration

The administrative structure of the Philippines comprises 16 Regions which are: National Capital Region (NCR), Cordillera Administrative Region (CAR), Autonomous Region in Muslim Mindanao (ARMM), and Regions 1 to 13 . Regions are subdivided into provinces, provinces into cities and municipalities, and cities and municipalities into barangays. The barangay is the smallest administrative unit in the country.
About $95 \%$ of the study area is in Zambales Province in Region 3 (Central Luzon) and is located mostly in the 7 municipalities of Botolan, Cabangan, Castillejos, San Antonio, San Felipe, San Marcelino and San Narciso. About $33 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ of the study area is in the municipality of Iba. The eight municipalities concerned are listed (sourced from Table 1.2.1) in the table below, together with their official administrative areas and the estimated area of the study area in each:

Land Areas of Municipalities in the Study Area

| Municipality | Land Area $\left(\mathrm{km}^{2}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Administrative Area (as stated <br> by Land Management Bureau) | Study Area (\% Administrative Area) |
| Botolan | 614 | $687(<100 \%)$ |
| Cabangan | 239 | $184(100 \%)$ |
| Castillejos | 87 | $95(<100 \%)$ |
| Iba | 153 | $33(<100 \%)$ |
| San Antonio | 205 | $86(<100 \%)$ |
| San Felipe | 104 | $125(100 \%)$ |
| San Marcelino | 441 | $317(<100 \%)$ |
| San Narciso | 72 | $78(100 \%)$ |
| Total | 1,914 | 1,604 |
| Total for Zambales | 3,611 | 1,628 |
| Province |  | 1,711 |
| Overall Total |  |  |

Notes: 1. Due to rounding, totals may not equal the sum of component figures.
2. Land area of the study area was computed by the JICA study team and does not agree exactly with official figures.
3. ( $<100 \%$ ) in column 3 indicates that the Study Area does not cover the entire area of the municipality.

[^0]Figure 1.2 .1 is a map of the study area illustrating the above table.
The Study Area is estimated by the JICA study team to be $1,711 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ in total, of which $1,628 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ is estimated to be in Zambales Province. This area amounts to $45 \%$ of the official land area of the province.

### 1.3 Population and Employment

### 1.3.1 Population

From the 2000 Census of Population \& Households, the Philippines have a population of 76.5 million. Since the 1990 census the total population has increased from 60.7 million by 15.8 million or $26 \%$, equivalent to an annual growth rate of $2.34 \%$. This compares with the almost identical annual growth rate of $2.35 \%$ during the 1980 s when the population increased from 48.0 million in 1980 to the 1990 census total.
In the eight municipalities ${ }^{2}$ largely comprising the Study Area, the 2000 census population was estimated at 228,148 or only $0.3 \%$ of the national population. The average annual population growth rate in the 1990 s (i.e. from the 1990 to the 2000 censuses, and mostly since the eruption) was $1.13 \%$, and in the $1980 \mathrm{~s}, 2.39 \%$, a much higher rate, and higher also than the national average ( $2.34 \%$ ) but lower than the average for Region 3 ( $2.62 \%$ ). In the 1990s, the highest annual average growth rate was achieved by Botolan at $2.78 \%$, while the lowest was $-3.57 \%$ in San Marcelino.
Of the eight municipalities, Botolan is the largest in terms of land area ( $613.7 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and population $(46,602)$, while San Felipe is the smallest in population $(17,702)$ and San Narciso in land area $(71.6$ $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ). Castillejos has the highest population density at 383 persons $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$, compared with San Marcelino which has the lowest at 58 persons $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$. The average for the whole basin is (according to the 2000 census) 119 persons $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$.
More detail is available in Table 1.2 .1 which also shows similar demographic statistics for nine municipalities in the East Pinatubo study area ${ }^{3}$ in 1995 and 1990.
The distribution of urban/rural and male/female population in 1990 is shown in Table 1.2.2. The table gives figures for the nation, Region 3 and the three provinces related to the western river basins of Mount Pinatubo, Zambales, Pampanga and Tarlac. While the male/female split is broadly the same at 50/50 for each administrative level, urban/rural ratios vary greatly. Pampanga has $70 \%$ of its population classified as urban, Tarlac only $30 \%$, and Zambales $65 \%$. These urban figures are likely to have increased in the last 12 years.

### 1.3.2 Labor Force

In 2000, the population aged 15 years and over in Region 3 (national figures are given in brackets after each regional figure) was estimated at 4.965 million ( 48.076 million), amounting to $62 \%$ ( $62 \%$ ) of the total population of 8.031 million ( 76.503 million) as shown in Table 1.2.3. Of this adult population, $61 \%$ (64\%) participated in the labor force market as economically active, and of this labor force, $90 \%$ ( $90 \%$ ) was employed, leaving $10 \%$ unemployed. Only $8 \%$ ( $20 \%$ ) of the employed workforce were reported to be under-employed, surely an under-estimate.

[^1]Table 1.2 .3 shows that neither nationally nor in Region 3 are there significant trends in the above statistics up or down since 1990, except in the case of national employment, where the 2000 figure is $2 \%$ less at $89.9 \%$.
In Region 3 in 2000, agriculture absorbed only $25 \%$ of the total employed labor force (see Table 1.2.3, while services (including trading and community, social and personal services) employed $52 \%$, and industry $23 \%$. National figures show a different employment pattern as follows: agriculture employed $37 \%$ of the total employed labor force, services employed $47 \%$, and industry employed $16 \%$.

Table 1.2.4 sets out the labor force nationally, in Region 3 and in Zambales Province for both males and females in the 2000 census year. Nationally and in Region 3, men and women have very similar levels of unemployment, about $10 \%$ of total labor force. However, in Zambales the position revealed, despite two errors in the 'Not in Labor Force' statistics, is very different. Here, the level of unemployment is nearly four times that in Region 3 and nationally at between 35\% (female) and 40\% (female).

On the other hand, women not in the labor force are fewer in Zambales (49\%) than either Region 3 $(57 \%)$ or the nation ( $52 \%$ ), while Zambales men not in the labor force are more numerous ( $36 \%$ ) than males in either Region 3 ( $20 \%$ ) or the nation ( $20 \%$ ).

## CHAPTER 2 NATIONAL AND REGIONAL ECONOMY

### 2.1 National and Regional Accounts

The smallest administrative unit for which regional accounts are available is the region. For the study area this is Region 3. However, the study area as represented by the eight municipalities of Botolan, Cabangan, Castillejos, Iba, San Antonio, San Felipe, San Marcelino and San Narciso has a population of only 228,000 or $3 \%$ of the Region 3 population. Moreover, its estimated total land area of 1,710 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ is only $9 \%$ of that of Region 3. Despite this, the economic performance of Region 3 is outlined here as the best available indicator of study area economic performance.
Ranked by per capita gross regional domestic product (GRDP), Region 3 has been around the median of the provinces in the Philippines for many years. However, recently the region has been moving down the rankings: in 1995 Region 3 was ranked $8^{\text {th }}$ and its per capita GRDP was $82 \%$ of the national average, while in 1990 it was ranked $7^{\text {th }}$ and its per capita GRDP was $85 \%$ of the national average. In 2000 this indicator was ranked $9^{\text {th }}$ and was estimated to be 30,800 pesos per capita or $73 \%$ of the national average. Details of GRDP for all provinces in these three years are shown in Table 2.1.1 and summarized in the table below.

Summarized Regional GRDPs for 2000

| Region/area | GRDP IN 2000 <br> (billion pesos) | Per Capita GRDP <br> in 2000 <br> $(‘ 000$ pesos) | Ratio to National <br> GDP per capita <br> (Percentage) | Order of GRDP <br> per Capita <br> among 16 <br> Regions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NCR | 1,178 | 117.4 | 279 | 1 |
| CAR | 76 | 50.9 | 121 | 2 |
| Region 3 | 245 | 30.8 | 73 | 9 |
| Region 5 | 90 | 18.1 | 43 | 15 |
| ARMM | 32 | 13.8 | 33 | 16 |
| Philippines | 3,303 | 42.1 | 100 | - |

Gross domestic product (GDP) in the Philippines was 3,303 billion pesos in 2000. This is analyzed into gross value added (GVA) of the main economic sectors in Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3. These sectors can be summarized as follows: 526 billion pesos in the agricultural sector or $15.9 \%$ of GDP, 1,028 billion pesos in the industrial sector or $31.1 \%$ of GDP, and 1,748 billion pesos in the services sector or $52.9 \%$ of GDP. Similar national statistics for 1995 were: for agriculture, 412 billion pesos or $21.6 \%$ of GDP; for industry, 611 billion pesos or $32.1 \%$ of GDP; and for services, 883 billion pesos or $46.3 \%$ of GDP. As Table 2.1.4 shows, per capita GDP was, in 2000 , 42,117 Pesos ( 27,124 Pesos in 1995), equivalent to about US $\$ 940$ (US $\$ 1,050$ in 1995). The fall in the US\$ figure for GDP between 1995 and 2000 reflects the $42 \%$ decline in the exchange rate of the Pesos against the US\$. During the five years from 1995 to 2000, a significant decline in the relative importance of agriculture can be seen, approximately matched by an increase in services' share of GDP.
Again from Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3, The GRDP of Region 3 in 2000 was 245 billion pesos representing $7.4 \%$ of GDP in the Philippines. Gross value added for the main economic sectors were: $18.8 \%$ for agriculture, $32.9 \%$ for industry and $48.3 \%$ for services. In 1995 the figures were: 160 billion for Region 3 GRDP representing 8.4\% of GDP, and agricultural, industrial and services GVA components of Region 3 GRDP were $24.1 \%, 37.1 \%$ and $38.8 \%$ respectively. Between 1995 and 2000, as for national figures, there was a marked shift from agriculture to services accompanied by a relative fall in industrial output also.

Table 2.1 .5 shows national GDP and GRDP in Region 3 at 1985 constant prices by economic sector for 1990, 1995 and 2000. From this table the real growth rates in GDP and Region 3 GRDP (see Table 2.1.6) were computed and real growth of per capita GDP and Region 3 GRDP were obtained (see Table 2.1.7). These tables show that GDP increased in real terms from 721 billion pesos in 1990 to 955 billion pesos in 2000 , an average growth rate of $2.85 \%$ per annum. The five years from 1995 to 2000 showed the faster annual growth of $3.55 \%$ compared with only $2.17 \%$ for the five years up to 1995. All three of the main economic sectors performed better in the second half of the decade with services showing the fastest real growth of $4.38 \%$ per annum. Agriculture grew at the slowest rate in both 5 -year periods, although growth remained positive throughout.
GRDP in Region 3 performed more erratically than national GDP and trends were different. For example,

- growth in the first five years of the decade $(2.83 \%)$ was nearly double that from 1995 to 2000 (1.60\%),
- agriculture grew at a faster rate than nationally in both periods ( $1.71 \%$ and $2.31 \%$ ),
- industrial GVA grew rapidly in the first period ( $4.04 \%$ ) and then declined in the second period ($0.45 \%$ ), to give an overall real growth rate of only $1.77 \%$ per annum during the decade.
Only the services sector grew consistently and improved from the first period (2.09\%) to the second (3.61\%).

As was noted earlier, the net result was a reduction of Region 3's share of both GDP and per capita GDP during the 1990s.

### 2.2 Economic Growth and Investment

Gross regional domestic product (GRDP) of Region 3 is analyzed in the table below, and is compared with national GDP. The table also shows the gross regional domestic expenditure (GRDE). Details of GRDE/GDE at current prices are given in Tables 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

GRDP in 2000 for the nation and Region 3

|  | (Unit: Billion pesos at 2000 current prices) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Item | Region 3 |  | Philippines |  | Share of <br> Region 3 <br> $(\%)$ |
|  |  | Value | $\%$ | Value | $\%$ |

[^2]As already mentioned, services including trading had the largest share ( $48.3 \%$ ) among the major economic sectors and accounted for nearly $7 \%$ of national output in this sector. Industry was the second most important sector with $32.9 \%$ of GRDP and nearly $8 \%$ of national output. However, the region has an excess of imports over exports amounting to 55 billion pesos, or minus $22 \%$ of GRDP. This compares unfavorably with the national performance of a positive net export figure of 202 billion pesos or $6 \%$ of GDP.

### 2.2.1 Foreign Trade

The Philippines' external trade balance has moved from deficit to surplus over the 4 -year period, as summarized in the table below from Tables 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. The improved performance has been due to a combination of increased non-traditional exports particularly manufactured goods, and a relatively small increase in imports.

Summary of Philippines' Foreign Trade (1997-2000)

|  |  | (Unit: FOB value in US\$ billion) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Merchandise <br> exports | 25.23 | 29.50 | 35.04 | 38.08 |
| Merchandise | 36.36 | 29.52 | 30.74 | 31.39 |
| imports | -11.13 | -0.02 | 4.30 | 6.69 |

As shown in Table 2.2.3, the top five exports in 2000 were: (1) electronic, electrical and telecommunications equipment and parts (US $\$ 22.2$ billion), (2) machinery and transport equipment (US\$5.9 billion), (3) Garments (US\$2.6 billion), (4) miscellaneous manufactured articles (US\$0.5 billion), (5) coconut oil (US\$0.5 billion). These five items accounted for US $\$ 31.7$ billion or $83.2 \%$ of the US $\$ 38.1$ of total exports in 2000.
The country's top five imports in 2000 (see Table 2.2.4) were: (1) telecommunication and electrical machinery (US\$7.0 billion), (2) materials/accessories for manufacture (US\$4.2 billion), (3) manufactured goods (excluding consumer durables) (US\$3.2 billion), (4) chemical products (US\$2.6 billion), (5) power generating and specialized machines (US $\$ 2.5$ billion). These five items accounted for US $\$ 19.5$ billion or $62.1 \%$ of the total imports of US $\$ 31.4$ billion in 2000.
The main trading partners for exports in 2000 were the USA (US $\$ 11.4$ billion) and Japan (US $\$ 5.6$ billion) which alone accounted for $44.6 \%$ of all exports. Following the USA and Japan were, in descending order of value, Singapore, Netherlands, Taiwan, Hong Kong, UK, Malaysia and Germany, Thailand and Korea. Exports to these additional nine countries amounted to US $\$ 17.5$ billion or a further $45.9 \%$ of exports. For more detail see Table 2.2.5.
Trading partners for imports were mainly Japan (US\$6.0 billion) and the USA (US\$5.2 billion) followed by Korea, Singapore, Taiwan, Hong Kong, Saudi Arabia and Malaysia. These eight countries accounted for imports to the value of US $\$ 21.0$ billion or $66.9 \%$ of the total.
The remarkable trade imbalance (+ US $\$ 6.2$ billion) with the USA is worthy of mention and accounts for almost all the Philippines' external trade surplus in 2000.

### 2.2.2 Foreign Assistance

Gross receipts of official development assistance (ODA) from OECD, Arab countries and multilateral agencies aggregated to US $\$ 4.11$ billion for the five years to 1998, and averaged US $\$ 0.82$ billion per
year during the period. Generally, receipts have reduced substantially as shown in Table 2.2.6 and summarized in the table below.

Foreign Development Assistance (1994 to 2000)

|  |  |  | (Unit: US\$ billion) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Item | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| Receipt of ODA | 1.06 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.68 | 0.61 |  |  |
| Expenditure of Central | 12.43 | 15.21 | 15.24 | 15.91 | 12.79 |  |  |
| Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Share of ODA (\%) | 8.5 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 4.7 |  |  |

The annual receipts of ODA ranged from a maximum of $8.5 \%$ of annual central government expenditure in 1994 to a minimum of $4.3 \%$ in 1997. Statistics for 1999 and 2000 are expected from NEDA.

### 2.2.3 External Debt

In 1998, total external debt was US $\$ 48$ billion as shown in Table 2.2.7, accounting for $74 \%$ of GDP which was approximately equivalent to US $\$ 65$ billion in 1998 . In 1998 , the outstanding long term debt was US\$39 billion. The total debt service was US\$5.2 billion comprising US\$2.9 billion of principal repayment and US $\$ 2.3$ billion of interest payment. The debt service ratio was $11.8 \%$ in 1998 having decreased from $16.1 \%$ in 1995. 1999 and 2000 figures are expected from NEDA.

### 2.3 Sectoral Economic Profile

As proposed in the previous section, in terms of GRDP, Region 3 best represents the economic characteristics of the study area. Therefore the sectoral economic profiles of the three West Pinatubo river basins can be deduced from those of Region 3 in this section. Additional information is provided at province and Study Area level.

### 2.3.1 Agriculture

In Region 3, the agricultural sector output is slightly more important than in the nation overall but is declining as a proportion of total GRDP, as is agricultural GVA at national level. For example, Table 2.1.3 shows that agricultural GVA in Region 3 as a proportion of GRDP declined from $24.3 \%$ in 1990 to $18.8 \%$ in 2000 while nationally the equivalent figures are $21.9 \%$ and $15.9 \%$. (Despite this fall in GRDP share, agricultural GVA in Region 3 still grew by $2 \%$ per annum in real terms during the decade. However, this growth rate is lower than the rate of population increase ( $2.62 \%$ ) during the period in Region 3.) Considering the labor force, Table 1.2.2 in Chapter 1 shows that in 2000 about $25 \%$ of employed persons were in the agricultural sector, a higher proportion than the sector's share of GRDP.

Production of the major crops in the Philippines and the region is set out in Table 2.3.1. From this table the most important crops in the country in order of their value in 2000, are paddy (rice), corn, coconut, banana, sugar cane, mango, pineapple, cassava and tobacco. This order has not changed much since 1990, except that paddy has become relatively more important (its share of value rising from $18.5 \%$ to $36.2 \%$ of total value of agricultural production) while sugar cane has only increased marginally from $4.6 \%$ to $5.6 \%$. Production of paddy, the staple crop, has increased from 9.3 million tons in 1990 to 12.4 million tons in 2000, equivalent to an average growth of about $2.9 \%$ per annum. Despite this increase, imports of rice have also risen, to a peak of 2.2 million tons in 1998 but have reduced since then to about $20 \%$ of this amount in 2000 .

In Region 3, the major crops were, in order of production value ${ }^{4}$ in 2000, paddy, mango, sugar cane, corn, banana, coconut and pineapple. Paddy had by far the largest share at $83 \%$ (producing 1.89 million tons) followed by mango ( $9 \%$ share) and sugar cane ( $5 \%$ share). The order has changed since 1990 when paddy was again the dominant crop at $83 \%$ (producing 1.91 million tons), but sugar cane ranked second ( $12 \%$ share) followed by mango with a $4 \%$ share. No doubt due to the Mount Pinatubo eruption, paddy production in 1995 fell slightly to 1.76 million tons although, curiously, the area of paddy cultivation reported by $\mathrm{BAS}^{5}$ increased from 521,000 ha in 1990 to 548,000 ha in 1995.
The Mount Pinatubo effect is demonstrated more clearly in Table 2.3.2, which displays paddy production, harvested area and yield in Zambales Province in 1990, 1995 and 2000. Annual total production of paddy decreased from 75,200 tons to 56,500 tons in 1995 (a $25 \%$ fall) due to a $28 \%$ reduction in the area harvested. But by 2000 , production had recovered to 78,000 tons, partly due to an increase in yield to 3.1 tons per hectare. Similar statistics for white and yellow corn are given for 1995 and 2000 in Table 2.3.3. 1990 figures were not available.
Production of mango, now the second most important crop in the region, increased rapidly during the 1990s from 44,000 tons (equivalent to a value of 420 million pesos) in 1990 to 88,000 tons (equivalent to a value of 1.66 billion pesos) in 2000. Sugar cane production, however, fell from 2.18 million tons in 1990 to 1.30 million tons (equivalent value 914 million pesos in 2000 . It may be significant that the mango price per ton doubled over the decade while the sugar cane price only increased by $15 \%$, in current terms.

Annual averages of current farm-gate prices of paddy and two types of corn in the region given in Table 2.3 .4 show a virtually flat trend: between 1996 and 2000, annual growth in all cases averaged less than $1 \%$ per annum.

Table 2.3 .5 shows that neither Zambales Province nor the study area have nationally significant areas of freshwater fishponds. The entire province has less than 100 hectares compared with 25,500 hectares in the region overall, of which 13,900 hectares or more than half are for commercial scale fishponds. In the province there are no commercial scale fishponds and only Castillejos municipality has more than 20 hectares of fishponds. Table 2.3 .6 confirms this by showing that only tilapia production is measured in tons, the annual production ranging between 43 and 59 tons (in 2001).

The livestock and poultry industry is said to be more economically important than inland fishery in the region, but the Study Team were unable to obtain GVA statistics for the industry to confirm this. Table 2.3 .7 shows an inventory of some items of livestock and poultry in Zambales Province from 1996 to 2000. In 2000 there were 32,300 head of cattle, 27,800 carabao, 107,000 swine, 43,300 goats, 829,400 ducks and chicken in the province. As noted elsewhere, agriculture is the least important of the three main economic sectors and is on a reducing trend. This demonstrated by comparing the above statistics for Zambales with a province where agriculture is the leading sector. In 1998, Isabela Province in Region 2 had an inventory of 75,200 head of cattle, 145,300 carabao, 191,800 swine, 23,800 goat, 570,200 duck and $5,120,200$ chickens. Table 2.3 .7 also gives farm-gate prices of some livestock and poultry where these are available. The indicate fairly low rates of price inflation between 1996 and 2000 of around $4.2 \%$ to $5.4 \%$.

Agricultural land use before and after the 1991 eruption is of particular interest to the study team and Table 2.3.8 was designed to provide some pertinent information in 1990 and 2000. Unfortunately, 1990 data was not available to the study team. Meanwhile, the table provides comparative land use data by crop and municipality for 2000 .
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### 2.3.2 Industry

Within the industrial sector, manufacturing contributes by far the largest share to the national economy, accounting for $22.6 \%$ of GDP in 2000 from a total industry share of $31.1 \%$ (see Table 2.1.3). The manufacturing share is, however, trending gradually lower from nearly $25 \%$ in 1990 , although growing in real terms by about $3 \%$ per annum over the five years to 2000 .
In Region 3, the trend is similar (although at a higher level) and for the same reason - the rapid increase in the importance of services. Tables 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 show that manufacturing current price GVAs have increased from 24.4 billion pesos in 1990 to 65.3 billion pesos in 2000, while its share in the regional economy has reduced gradually from $26.6 \%$ to $24.2 \%$ over the same period. Tables 2.1.5 and 2.1.6 show that manufacturing GVA has grown in real terms (i.e. with inflation stripped out) from 1990 to 2000 by $2.45 \%$ per annum, the five years to 1995 showing the faster growth of $3.6 \%$ per annum.
Tables 2.3.9 and 2.3.10 enumerate, respectively, the industrial establishments registered to the DTI in Zambales and the study area from 1997 to 2002, and the assets of manufacturing industry in 1997 nationally and in Region 3. Region 3 had 1,400 manufacturing firms employing 10 or more and less than 10 people registered in 1997, about $10 \%$ of the national total at that time, responsible for 151.2 billion pesos of output and employing a total of 102,700 staff. It is likely that there are more that are unregistered.

According to Region 3 DTI, 507 new manufacturing firms were registered to DTI in Zambales Province since 1997, and 102 in the 8 municipalities of the study area. Iba and Botolan municipalities had 47 of the new firms.
The table below summarizes the main indices of registered industrial establishments in Region 3 and nationally for the year 1997, where the data are available. It is said by NSO that these statistics are now consolidated for all registered industrial establishments. However, it is difficult to believe that there are only 14,700 registered establishments in the entire country.

Selected Indices for Registered Industrial Establishments in the Nation and Region 3 in 1997

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Large \& Medium Scale Manufacturing |  |  |
| Establishments (10 or more employees) |  |  |
| $\quad$ Number of establishments registered (1997) | 14,734 | 1,413 |
| Average annual output (million pesos) | $1,353,842$ | 151,225 |
| Average value added (million pesos) | 558,644 | 61,176 |
| Value added (\%) | 41.2 | 40.5 |
| Ratio of fixed assets to value added | 0.75 | 0.66 |
| Ratio of inventory to value added | 0.49 | 0.49 |

Note: Fixed assets excludes the value of land except for small scale establishments

### 2.3.3 Services

Within the services sector which is showing faster real growth ( $4.7 \%$ per annum from 1995 to 2000) than industry or agriculture, the trading and various services sub-sectors appear to be the most popular industry in the national economy. From data in Table 2.1.3, trading accounted for $14.3 \%$ of GDP in 2000 within the services sector which itself had a $52.9 \%$ share of GDP.
In Region 3, services accounted for $48.3 \%$ of GRDP and trade, some smaller percentage which could not be determined for lack of data. According to Table 2.3.9, 4,300 wholesale and retail trading firms
and 3,700 establishments providing other services ${ }^{6}$ were registered to DTI for business licenses in Zambales Province between 1997 and 2002; the same statistics for the 8 municipalities within the Study Area are 856 trading firms and 466 services firms.
The table below sets out the main indices of registered trading and other services establishments in Region 3 and nationally for 1997 obtained from Tables 2.3.11 and 2.3.12. As for the industry sector in section 2.3.2 above, NSO states that data for small, medium and large scale trading and services enterprises has been consolidated in one category. Again, the small number of these establishments apparently registered in the Philippines and Region 3 should be seriously questioned.

Selected Indices for Registered Service Establishments in the Nation and Region 3 in 1997

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Trading Establishments (10 or more and less |  |  |
| than 10 employees) | 15,237 | 896 |
| Number of establishments registered | 788,687 | 39,616 |
| Annual sales (million pesos) | 99,214 | 3,813 |
| Value added (million pesos) | 12.6 | 9.6 |
| Value added (\%) | 14.2 | 11.0 |
| Ratio of fixed assets to value added | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Ratio of inventory to value added |  |  |
| Services Establishments (10 or more and less |  |  |
| than 10 employees) | 14,775 | 1,396 |
| Number of establishments registered | 795,961 | 42,311 |
| Annual sales (million pesos) | 85,195 | 4,187 |
| Value added (million pesos) | 10.7 | 9.9 |
| Value added (\%) | 22.2 | 20.9 |
| Ratio of fixed assets to value added | 13.7 | 7.5 |
| Ratio of inventory to value added |  |  |

### 2.3.4 Family Income and Expenditure

Living conditions may be deduced from family income and expenditure statistics. Concerning average family income, Table 2.3.13 shows that the national average of 144,000 Pesos at current prices in 2000 was below similar figures for Region 3 ( 151,400 Pesos) but higher than those for Zambales Province ( 123,700 Pesos). After deducting average annual family expenditure, average annual savings in Region 3 ( 31,400 Pesos) and, surprisingly, Zambales Province ( 28,600 Pesos) were well ahead of the national average of 26,000 Pesos. This is in marked contrast to the GDP per capita relationship where that of Region 3 is only $73 \%$ of the national figure (see section 2.1 above).
Examining the Engel coefficient (ratio of food expenditure to total income) in the above three cases gives some apparently conflicting conclusions. For example, the lower income families normally show the higher ratio; in fact the Engel coefficient is a recognized indicator of (relative) poverty. Here, both Region 3 and Zambales Province families save the most and Region 3 has the highest income, but also spend the most on food as a proportion of income: $37.2 \%$ and $39.0 \%$ respectively, compared with $35.7 \%$ for the nation. However, the differences are not large, and Zambales does have the lowest family income.

Similarly, differences in expenditure on housing are relatively small and tend to compensate for the differences in food expenditure. Thus Region 3 and Zambales families that spend more on food than the nation as a whole, spend less on housing items, and considerably less in the case of the family in Zambales Province.
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### 2.3.5 Price Indices and Exchange Rate

Price indices are vital information for the adjustment of past benefits and costs. In the Philippines, indices of consumer prices ( CPI ) and wholesale prices are published monthly. Tables 2.3.14 and 2.3.15 show both indices from 1985 to mid-2001, together with the most recent figures available for 2002.

The table below summarizes both CPI (for nation and Region 3) and WPI (for Metro Manila: General Index and Construction Materials) for 1985, 1990, 1995, and particular months in 2000, 2001 and 2002.

Consumer and Wholesale Price Indices (1985 to 2002)

| Year | CPI (1994=100) |  |  | WPI (1985=100) in Metro Manila |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Philippines | Region 3 |  | General <br> Index | Construction <br> Materials |
| 1985 | 46.1 | n.a. |  | 100.0 | n.a. |
| 1990 | 67.1 | 67.8 |  | 141.8 | 157.5 |
| 1995 | $108 . .0$ | 107.1 |  | 197.1 | 208.2 |
| 2000, December | 157.8 | 157.4 |  | 265.5 | 238.0 |
| 2001, June | 161.6 | 159.5 |  | 264.3 | 243.7 |
| 2002, January | 165.0 | 161.5 |  | 266.7 | 243.2 |
| 2002, August | 167.6 | 163.6 |  | n.a. | n.a. |
| Ratio (2002/1990) | 2.50 | 2.41 |  | 1.88 | 1.54 |
| Average annual | $8.3 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |  | $5.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| inflation rate (IR) |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Average annual inflation rate applies to the years 1990 to mid-2002.

The above table shows that average consumer price inflation from 1990 to mid-2002 for Region 3 $(8.0 \%)$ was very similar to the national figure of $8.3 \%$. But Table 2.3 .14 indicates that CP inflation has decreased significantly from the 1980s and early 1990s to the rest of the 1990s and 2002: for the first six months of 2001 , national rates were between $6.5 \%$ and $6.9 \%$ annually. The same trends can be observed for Region 3, but at a lower level: for the first six months of 2001, CP inflation was between 5.0 and 6.4. The CPI decreased further from mid-2001 to mid-2002 for both Region 3 ( $2.6 \%$ ) and the nation (3.7\%).
Wholesale price inflation in Metro Manila generally and for construction materials is lower than CP inflation, as the above table shows. Details are given in Table 2.3.15.
Table 2.3.16 gives the foreign exchange rate of Pesos per US\$ from 1987 to 2001 at the end of each period and the annual average. During the 15 -year period, the Pesos fell in value from 20.48 Pesos per US\$ to 51.40 Pesos per US\$, a decline of $60 \%$. The rate of decline was highest between 1996 and 2001, but has stabilized since then, partly due to US dollar weakness.

### 2.4 Poverty Incidence

An explanation of the terms used in this section is as follows. First, "poverty incidence" is the number of poor families expressed as a percentage of the total number of families in the population, and will be termed "incidence of poor families". Second, the "poor families" are those families who receive an annual per capita income below the annual per capita poverty threshold, and third, the "annual per capita poverty threshold" is the minimum annual per capita income needed to satisfy basic nutritional requirements and other basic needs. These definitions can also be expressed in population rather than family terms when poverty indicators are generally higher, as can be seen in the table below. Fourth, "subsistence incidence" means the proportion (percentage) of families (as shown in the table below)
which fall below the annual per capita food threshold: this is the minimum annual per capita income needed to satisfy basic nutritional needs only.

In Region 3 the annual per capita poverty threshold was estimated at 14,653 Pesos in 2000; 281,707 families (equivalent to a population of $1,781,782$ ) were included under this threshold ${ }^{7}$. The incidence of poor families was $18.6 \%$ in Region 3. The table below gives incidence of poor family ratios and supporting information nationally and for all the regions. The table shows, for example, that Region 3 has the second lowest incidence of poor families in the country.

Poverty and Subsistence Incidence in 2000

| Area | Annual per <br> capita poverty <br> threshold <br> (Pesos) | Magnitude of <br> poor families | Incidence of <br> poor families <br> $(\%)$ | Order of <br> incidence of <br> poor <br> families | Subsistence <br> incidence of <br> poor families <br> $(\%)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Philippines | 13,916 | $5,215,421$ | $34.2(40.0)$ | - | 16.7 | - |
| NCR | 18,001 | 211,559 | $9.7(12.7)$ | 1 | 1.5 | $[1]$ |
| CAR | 15,706 | 101,562 | $36.9(48.9)$ | 5 | 18.0 | $[6]$ |
| Region 1 | 14,800 | 300,258 | $37.2(43.5)$ | 6 | 15.9 | $[5]$ |
| Region 2 | 12,488 | 173,649 | $30.6(36.3)$ | 4 | 12.4 | $[4]$ |
| Region 3 | 14,653 | 281,707 | $18.6(22.9)$ | 2 | 4.6 | $[2]$ |
| Region 4 | 15,307 | 590,693 | $26.0(31.7)$ | 3 | 10.1 | $[3]$ |
| Region 5 | 13,010 | 617,267 | $56.3(62.8)$ | 14 | 34.0 | $[14]$ |
| Region 6 | 12,646 | 526,072 | $43.4(51.2)$ | 10 | 22.1 | $[8]$ |
| Region 7 | 11,089 | 429,928 | $38.9(43.9)$ | 7 | 22.5 | $[9]$ |
| Region 8 | 10,868 | 316,780 | $43.0(50.5)$ | 9 | 24.8 | $[10]$ |
| Region 9 | 11,046 | 280,823 | $46.5(53.0)$ | 12 | 26.7 | $[12]$ |
| Region 10 | 12,131 | 386,745 | $45.7(52.2)$ | 11 | 26.3 | $[11]$ |
| Region 11 | 12,546 | 465,128 | $41.2(46.3)$ | 8 | 20.0 | $[7]$ |
| Region 12 | 12,247 | 261,850 | $50.9(57.9)$ | 13 | 28.0 | $[13]$ |
| ARMM | 14,017 | 271,399 | $68.8(73.9)$ | 15 | 35.5 | $[15]$ |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, October 2001
Note: Figures in round brackets show poverty incidence by population. Figures in square brackets show order of subsistence incidence in poor families.

Subsistence incidence of poor families is shown in the last column of the above table. Again, Region 3 has the second lowest incidence at $4.6 \%{ }^{8}$, just above NCR at $1.5 \%$ and far below the national average of $16.7 \%$. Since 1985, this ratio for Region 3 has been steadily improving from a high of $11.6 \%$ of families in that year. The highest subsistence incidence (35.5\%) is to be found in ARMM Region, where more than one family in three cannot afford the basic food requirement.
Recent reliable poverty information could not be obtained for Zambales Province or the eight municipalities of the study area.
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## CHAPTER 3 INFRASTRUCTURE

### 3.1 Education

For the 2001-2002 school year, the following educational facilities were in use:

Educational Facilities (2001-2002 School Year)

| Level | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales Province |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-school | 11,643 | 1,573 | 87 |
| Elementary | 40,284 | 3,214 | 220 |
| Secondary | 7,509 | 688 | 41 |
| Tertiary | 1,603 | 153 | 7 |

Note: Both government and private facilities are included at each level

Table 3.1.1 shows the detailed distribution of these facilities. The proportion of government to private institutions varied greatly from level to level. For example, at pre-school and secondary levels, the proportions were similar with the government slightly ahead in most areas. At the elementary level, government schools were far more numerous, outnumbering private schools by a factor of between 5 and 8. At the tertiary level, the opposite is true; private institutions are some four times more numerous than government institutions.

Considering the ratio of elementary schools to population, nationally the figure is 5.27 schools per 10,000 population ( 40,284 schools per 76.5 million population) compared with ratios of 4.02 ( 3,214 schools per 8.0 million population) for Region 3 and 5.07 ( 220 schools per 433,500 population) for Zambales Province. So both regional and provincial ratios for elementary schools are below the national average, especially the regional ratio.
In the case of secondary schools, the national ratio is 0.98 ( 7,509 per 76.5 million population) compared with ratios of 0.86 ( 688 per 8.0 million population) for Region 3 and 0.95 ( 41 per 433,500 population) for Zambales Province. Here, differences are smaller but neither regional nor provincial ratios exceed the national ratio.
Finally, for tertiary education, the ratios are 0.21 nationally, 0.19 for Region 3, and 0.16 for Zambales Province; again both regional and provincial figures are significantly lower than the national ratio.
Table 3.1.1 also details the number of enrolled students and these can also be reviewed in terms of national, regional and provincial population.
For elementary schools, the ratios of enrolled students to 100 population at national, regional and provincial levels were $16.7,16.5$ and 16.2 respectively. For secondary schools, the three ratios were $7.0,7.1$ and 8.4 respectively. For tertiary education, the ratios were $2.7,2.0$ and 1.8 respectively. Thus in elementary and secondary education, Region 3 and Zambales Province perform marginally better compared to the nation, but in only one case, Zambales Province, is the ratio significantly better than the national average.

### 3.2 Medical

Table 3.2.1 shows the distribution of medical facilities such as hospitals, barangay health stations and rural health units, mainly in 2000, in the Philippines, Region 3 and Zambales Province. Facilities are summarized below.

Medical Facilities (2001)

| Facility | Philippines |  | Region 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hospital | $1,712 \quad(81,016)$ | 155 | $(5,464)$ | Zambales Province |
| - Bed capacity per | 10.6 | 7.0 | 12.6 |  |
| 10,000 population |  |  |  |  |
| Barangay Health Station | $14,416^{*}$ | $1,464^{*}$ | 80 |  |
| Rural Health Unit | $2,405 \#$ | $252 \#$ | 35 |  |

Notes: Number of beds appear in brackets. * 1999 data. \# 1997 data.

Although hospitals are managed by both government and private bodies, other facilities such as barangay health stations and rural health units are under the general jurisdiction of LGUs.
In the region, there are 43 government hospitals and 112 private hospitals providing 7.0 beds per 10,000 persons. This figure is exceeded by both the nation and Zambales Province. Nationally, 623 government hospitals and 1,089 private hospitals provided 81,000 beds which amount to 10.6 beds per 10,000 population. Zambales Province has the best performance at 12.6 beds per 10,000 persons.
A comparison of numbers of barangay health stations per 10,000 population gives the ratios $1.9,1.8$ and 1.8 respectively. Again, the regional and provincial coverage is no better than average.

### 3.3 Roads and Bridges

In 2001, according to aggregated regional and district data dated December 2001, there were 201,765 kilometers of roads in all classes nationally, 14,530 kilometers in Region 3, and 4,480 kilometers in Zambales Province. Table 3.3.1 gives this summarized information in terms of road classes and surface type. The table also shows an analysis of these totals by class and surface type, nationally and for Region 3 and Zambales.
The national road density overall was 673 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ including 99 and 407 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ of national and barangay roads respectively. Road density in Region 3 was considerably higher at 835 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ which included 95 and 740 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ of national and local roads respectively. Finally, the road density in Zambales Province overall is 533 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ including 51 meters $/ \mathrm{km}^{2}$ of national road and 482 meters $/ \mathrm{km} 2$ of local roads.
Concrete roads are the most resistant to flood damage. In Region 3 the total length of this road surface was $3,908 \mathrm{~km}$ or a high $25.7 \%$ of the total length (the national ratio is only $14.9 \%$ ). In Zambales, there was only 204 km of concrete road or $10.3 \%$ of total provincial road length. This low proportion suggests that roads in Zambales are more susceptible to flood damage than in the rest of Region 3.
Table 3.3.1 also shows the total length of permanent and temporary bridges in the Philippines (286.8 km ), Region 3 ( 24.1 km ) and Zambales Province ( 3.9 km ).

### 3.4 Water Supply

Water supply systems are classified into three groups: Level 1, which indicates a service from a point sources such as wells, developed springs, rivers, ponds, undeveloped springs and rain collectors; Level 2, which describes a communal faucet system; and Level 3, a piped system connected to individual consumers.
According to Table 3.4.1, the proportion of households served by potable water systems in the Philippines (ranging from point sources and untreated water through to treated piped water to individual households) was $36 \%$ in 1990 (there appears to be no more up to date data), but only $5.6 \%$ were served by a piped system connected to individual houses. Unfortunately, Region 3 and Zambales information was incomplete even for 1990, but the study team were able to determine from the basic
data that coverage by individual connections (Level III) was about $2.4 \%$ for Region 3 and $3.2 \%$ for Zambales Province, both lower figures than the national average.
Concerning the structure of these statistics, there should be a more transparent connection than there seems to be between items 1.a to $1 . \mathrm{h}$ and item 2 (the Level I, II and III totals), so that the derivation of the latter can be confirmed and understood.

### 3.5 Electrification

Electricity is supplied in two main groups: (a) generation and primary power transmission and (b) power distribution and connection to consumers. Services in (a) are provided by the National Power Corporation (NAPOCOR) and in (b) by the individual retailers. In Zambales Province the retailers are ZAMECO I and ZAMECO II which share electricity retailing between them in the province.
Table 3.5.1 shows the coverage, number and proportion of total population in December 2000 at three levels: municipality, barangay and individual connections. Every municipality in Region 3 and the country overall is supplied with electricity. However, at barangay level coverage was less than $100 \%$, although in Region 3, $96 \%$ of barangays were covered, very much better than the national average of $77 \%$. Individual connections showed the same trend: a high $96 \%$ of the Region 3 population were connected while only $68 \%$ of the national population were covered. Rural areas of the country tend to be connected after the urban because of the cost of serving a dispersed population and also the higher risk of flooding and similar problems.

### 3.6 Telecommunications

Telephone service penetration was much smaller than that of electricity as can be seen from Table 3.6.1. This table shows that in Region 3 there were only 2.8 subscribers and 6.4 lines per 100 persons, while nationally there were 4.0 subscribers and 9.1 lines per 100 persons. These figures, as expected, compare unfavorably with those of NCR where there were 14.5 subscribers per 100 persons.
Some of the lack of penetration is due to the success of the cellular phone companies. The table below gives the national position only in December 2000.

Cellular Phone Companies and Subscribers (December 2000)

| Cellular Phone | Number of Subscribers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Company | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales |
| Smart | $2,858,479$ | This information was | This information was |
| Globe Telecom | $2,563,000$ | not disclosed to the | not disclosed to the |
| Piltel | 656,814 | Study Team on | Study Team on |
| Extelcom | 194,452 | grounds of | grounds of |
| Islacom | 181,614 | confidentiality. | confidentiality. |
| TOTAL | $6,454,319$ |  |  |

Between December 2000 and early 2003 the number of companies reduced through a process of rationalization. Currently there are only two cellular phone companies in operation: Smart and Globe Telecom. It is presumed that subscribers have also consolidated into these two companies.

Table 1.2.1 Municipality Population of the Study Area in 1980, 1990 and 2000

| National / Regional / Province / Municipality | Study Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) | Census Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual Growth Rate (\%p.a) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1980 |  | 1990 |  | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Number of Households | Population | Number of Household | Population | Number of Household | Population | Household <br> Population | Average Size of Household | Density (per km²) | 80-90 | 90-00 |
| Philippines | 294,554.00 |  | 48,098,460 |  | 60,703,206 | 15,274,579 | 76,503,333 | 76,286,062 | 4.99 | 260 | 2.35 | 2.34 |
| Region III | 18,231.00 |  | 4,802,793 |  | 6,199,017 | 1,632,047 | 8,030,945 | 8,015,807 | 4.91 | 445 | 2.57 | 2.62 |
| Zambales (whole province) | 3,611.10 |  | 287,607 |  | 369,665 | 91,613 | 433,542 | 432,729 | 4.72 | 120 | 2.54 | 1.61 |
| Zambales Province (Study Area) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Botolan | 613.70 |  | 27,125 | 6,844 | 35,604 | 9,629 | 46,602 | 46,550 | 4.83 | 76 | 2.76 | 2.78 |
| Cabangan | 239.40 |  | 11,636 | 3,192 | 15,337 | 4,032 | 18,848 | 18,842 | 4.67 | 79 | 2.80 | 2.73 |
| Castillejos | 86.50 |  | 19,154 | 5,453 | 26,753 | 7,238 | 33,108 | 33,038 | 4.56 | 383 | 3.40 | 2.15 |
| Iba | 153.40 |  | 22,791 | 5,621 | 29,221 | 7,260 | 34,678 | 34,487 | 4.75 | 226 | 2.52 | 1.73 |
| San Antonio | 205.00 |  | 22,382 | 5,836 | 26,944 | 6,483 | 28,248 | 28,152 | 4.34 | 138 | 1.87 | 0.47 |
| San Felipe | 103.70 |  | 13,834 | 3,321 | 15,624 | 4,094 | 17,702 | 17,534 | 4.28 | 171 | 1.22 | 1.26 |
| San Marcelino | 440.90 |  | 24,964 | 7,598 | 36,589 | 5,866 | 25,440 | 25,401 | 4.33 | 58 | 3.90 | -3.57 |
| San Narciso | 71.60 |  | 19,119 | 4,868 | 22,891 | 5,319 | 23,522 | 23,499 | 4.42 | 329 | 1.82 | 0.27 |
| Grand Total | 1,914.20 |  | 161,005 | 42,733 | 208,963 | 49,921 | 228,148 | 227,503 | 4.56 | 119 | 2.39 | 1.13 |
| Region III |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pampanga (East Pinatubo) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Angeles City |  | 33,137 | 188,834 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.28 |  |
| Arayat | 119.42 |  | 56,692 | 12,100 | 73,189 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.59 |  |
| Mabalacat | 153.53 | 13,244 | 80,966 | 22,750 | 121,115 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.11 |  |
| Magalang | 138.35 | 5,278 | 34,840 | 7,389 | 43,940 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.35 |  |
| Mexico | 98.97 | 9,155 | 53,491 | 11,234 | 69,441 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.64 |  |
| Sta. Ana | 44.34 | 4,407 | 25,361 | 5,250 | 32,540 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.52 |  |
| Grand Total | 637.96 | 65,221 | 440,184 | 105,144 | 576,910 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.74 |  |
| Total Pampanga | 2,120.40 |  | 992,756 |  | 1,295,929 | 308,445 | 1,618,759 | 1,617,040 | 5.24 | 763 | 2.70 | 2.25 |
| Tarlac (East Pinatubo) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bamban | 143.14 | 3,972 | 26,072 | 6,209 | 35,639 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.18 |  |
| Capas | 61.00 | 3,053 | 18,883 | 4,539 | 25,795 |  |  |  |  |  | 3.17 |  |
| Concepcion | 193.35 | 12,296 | 76,446 | 16,162 | 97,776 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.49 |  |
| Grand Total | 397.49 |  | 123,401 |  | 159,210 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.75 |  |
| Total Tarlac | 3,053.40 |  | 688,457 |  | 859,708 | 215,395 | 1,068,783 | 1,067,484 | 4.96 | 350 | 2.25 | 2.20 |

Source: 1980, 1990, 2000 Census of Population and Housing, NSO
Study on Flood and Mudflow Control for Sacobia-Bamban/Abacan River Draining from Mt. Pinatubo, May 1996

Table 1.2.2 Urban/Rural and Male/Female Population: 1990 Census Year

| Area | Total Population |  |  | Urban Population |  |  | Rural Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. Philippines | 61,559,116 | 30,443,187 | 31,115,929 | 29,440,153 | 14,546,463 | 14,893,690 | 32,118,963 | 15,896,724 | 16,222,239 |
| II. Provinces Related to Study Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 3 | 6,188,716 | 3,118,227 | 3,070,489 | 3,732,073 | 1,863,319 | 1,868,754 | 2,456,643 | 1,254,908 | 1,201,735 |
| Zambales | 561,554 | 278,966 | 282,588 | 366,690 | 178,722 | 187,968 | 194,864 | 100,244 | 94,620 |
| Pampanga | 1,530,073 | 773,513 | 756,560 | 1,079,806 | 542,889 | 536,917 | 450,267 | 230,624 | 219,643 |
| Tarlac | 859,222 | 434,742 | 424,480 | 256,594 | 127,975 | 128,619 | 602,628 | 306,767 | 295,861 |
| Percentage Share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. Philippines | 100.00 | 49.45 | 50.55 | 47.82 | 49.41 | 50.59 | 52.18 | 49.49 | 50.51 |
| II. Provinces Related to Study Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region 3 | 100.00 | 50.39 | 49.61 | 60.30 | 49.93 | 50.07 | 39.70 | 51.08 | 48.92 |
| Zambales | 100.00 | 49.68 | 50.32 | 65.30 | 48.74 | 51.26 | 34.70 | 51.44 | 48.56 |
| Pampanga | 100.00 | 50.55 | 49.45 | 70.37 | 50.28 | 49.72 | 29.43 | 51.22 | 48.78 |
| Tarlac | 100.00 | 50.60 | 49.40 | 29.86 | 49.87 | 50.13 | 70.14 | 50.90 | 49.10 |

Source: 1990 Census of Population and Housing, NSO

Table 1.2.3 Labor Force, Employment Status and Employed Persons by Major Industry Group $(1990,1995,2000)$


Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, October 2001, NSCB
Note: Percentage of total figures are shown in brackets

Table 1.2.4 Labor Force and Employment: 2000 Census Year

|  |  |  |  |  |  | (Unit | 1,000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Household |  | abor Force |  |  | Not |  |
| Area |  | Total | Employed | Un- | oyed | Labor | Force |
|  |  |  |  |  | ab.force |  | \%HНрор |
| Population Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I. Philippines | 48,075 | 30,908 | 27,775 | 3,133 | 10.1\% | 17,167 | 35.7\% |
| Male | 23,944 | 19,236 | 17,258 | 1,978 | 10.3\% | 4,708 | 19.7\% |
| Female | 24,131 | 11,672 | 10,516 | 1,156 | 9.9\% | 12,459 | 51.6\% |
| II. Region III | 4,945 | 3,033 | 2,731 | 302 | 10.0\% | 1,912 | 38.7\% |
| Male | 2,460 | 1,972 | 1,786 | 186 | 9.4\% | 487 | 19.8\% |
| Female | 2,485 | 1,060 | 945 | 115 | 10.8\% | 1,425 | 57.3\% |
| II. Zambales Province | 399 | 232 | 144 | 88 | 37.9\% | 167 | 41.9\% |
| Male | 223 | 128 | 84 | 52 | 40.6\% | 80 | 35.9\% |
| Female | 176 | 104 | 60 | 36 | 34.6\% | 87 | 49.4\% |

Source: Integrated Survey of Household Bulletin Series, NSO, October 2001

Table 2.1.1 GRDP and Per Capita GRDP by Region: 1990, 1995, 2000 (1/3)

|  |  | GDP/GRDP <br> at Current Prices <br> (Million Pesos) | Population | Per Capita <br> GRDP <br> (Pesos) | Ratio to <br> National <br> GDP (\%) | Order of <br> GRDP <br> in Regions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Philippines | $1,077,237$ | $60,703,216$ | 17,522 | 100 | - |
| 1 | NCR | 347,609 | $7,948,402$ | 43,593 | 349 | 1 |
| 2 | CAR | 20,267 | $1,146,191$ | 17,608 | 100 | 3 |
| 3 | Region 1 | 32,501 | $3,550,642$ | 9,246 | 53 | 12 |
| 4 | Region 2 | 23,724 | $2,340,545$ | 9,601 | 55 | 11 |
| 5 | Region 3 | 91,922 | $6,199,017$ | 14,966 | 85 | 7 |
| 6 | Region 4 | 155,817 | $8,263,099$ | 19,255 | 110 | 2 |
| 7 | Region 5 | 31,927 | $3,910,001$ | 7,276 | 42 | 14 |
| 8 | Region 6 | 75,649 | $5,393,333$ | 13,337 | 76 | 8 |
| 9 | Region 7 | 70,766 | $4,594,124$ | 15,331 | 87 | 5 |
| 10 | Region 8 | 28,269 | $3,054,490$ | 8,413 | 48 | 13 |
| 11 | Region 9 | 31,182 | $2,459,690$ | 9,760 | 56 | 10 |
| 12 | Region 10 | 55,120 | $2,197,544$ | 15,248 | 87 | 6 |
| 13 | Region 11 | 74,670 | $4,006,731$ | 17,229 | 98 | 4 |
| 14 | Region 12 | 37,813 | $2,032,958$ | 12,853 | 73 | 9 |
| 15 | Region 13 | - | $4,764,297$ | - | - | - |
| 16 | ARMM | - | $1,836,930$ | - | - |  |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook
Note: 1. The GRDP estimates for the CAR and the ARMM started only in 1987 and 1993 respectively.
Before this the contributions to the economy of the province comprising the CAR were accounted for in Region 1 and 2 while those of the ARMM province were accrued to Regions 9 and 12.
2. Data are as of July 2001.
3. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 2.1.1 GRDP and Per Capita GRDP by Region: 1990, 1995, 2000 (2/3)

|  |  | GDP/GRDP <br> at Current Prices <br> (Million Pesos) | Population | Per Capita <br> GRDP <br> (Pesos) | Ratio to <br> National <br> GDP (\%) | Order of <br> GRDP <br> in Regions |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Philippines | $1,905,951$ | $68,616,536$ | 27,124 | 100 | - |
| 1 | NCR | 623,939 | $9,454,040$ | 68,429 | 252 | 1 |
| 2 | CAR | 38,453 | $1,254,838$ | 28,912 | 107 | 2 |
| 3 | Region 1 | 58,810 | $3,803,890$ | 14,589 | 54 | 12 |
| 4 | Region 2 | 40,374 | $2,536,035$ | 14,882 | 55 | 11 |
| 5 | Region 3 | 159,939 | $6,932,570$ | 22,316 | 82 | 8 |
| 6 | Region 4 | 273,578 | $9,943,096$ | 28,210 | 104 | 3 |
| 7 | Region 5 | 55,885 | $4,325,307$ | 12,447 | 46 | 14 |
| 8 | Region 6 | 132,112 | $5,776,938$ | 21,464 | 79 | 9 |
| 9 | Region 7 | 121,438 | $5,014,588$ | 23,008 | 85 | 6 |
| 10 | Region 8 | 47,854 | $3,366,917$ | 13,568 | 50 | 13 |
| 11 | Region 9 | 52,904 | $2,794,659$ | 18,306 | 67 | 10 |
| 12 | Region 10 | 97,682 | $2,483,272$ | 23,761 | 88 | 5 |
| 13 | Region 11 | 129,205 | $4,604,158$ | 24,508 | 90 | 4 |
| 14 | Region 12 | 54,788 | $2,359,808$ | 22,943 | 85 | 7 |
| 15 | Region 13 | - | $1,942,687$ | - | - | - |
| 16 | ARMM | 18,991 | $2,020,903$ | 9,047 | 33 | 15 |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook
Note: 1. The GRDP estimates for the CAR and the ARMM started only in 1987 and 1993 respectively. Before this the contributions to the economy of the province comprising the CAR were accounted for in Region 1 and 2 while those of the ARMM province were accrued to Regions 9 and 12.
2. Data are as of July 2001.
3. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 2.1.1 GRDP and Per Capita GRDP by Region: 1990, 1995, 2000 (3/3)
$\left.\begin{array}{lllcrcc}\hline \text { Area } & \begin{array}{c}\text { GDP/GRDP } \\ \text { at Current Prices } \\ \text { (Million Pesos) }\end{array} & \text { Population } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Per Capita } \\ \text { GRDP } \\ \text { (Pesos) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Ratio to } \\ \text { National } \\ \text { GDP (\%) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Order of } \\ \text { GRDP }\end{array} \\ \text { in Regions }\end{array}\right]$

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook
Notes: 1. The GRDP estimates for the CAR and the ARMM started only in 1987 and 1993 respectively.
Before this the contributions to the economy of the province comprising the CAR were accounted for in Region 1 and 2 while those of the ARMM province were accounted for in Regions 9 and 12.
2. Data are as of July 2001.
3. Details may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 2.1.2 Gross Regional Domestic Product at Current Prices: 1990, 1995, 2000
(Unit: Million Pesos)

| Economic Sector |  | (Unit. Minion Pesos) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| Gross Domestic Product in Philippines |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 235,956 | 412,198 | 525,873 |
| 1) Crop Production | 130,290 | 244,601 | 307,085 |
| Palay | 36,416 | 64,598 | 88,458 |
| Corn | 16,469 | 21,751 | 24,856 |
| Coconut | 12,515 | 25,084 | 19,093 |
| Sugarcane | 6,962 | 11,971 | 14,472 |
| Others | 57,928 | 121,197 | 160,206 |
| 2) Fishery | 40,833 | 65,338 | 76,670 |
| 3) Livestock \& Poultry | 46,025 | 78,883 | 114,707 |
| 4) Agricultural Activities | 9,901 | 19,243 | 24,028 |
| 5) Forestry | 8,907 | 4,133 | 3,383 |
| 2. Industry | 371,347 | 611,097 | 1,039,017 |
| 1) Mining \& Quarrying | 16,659 | 16,801 | 21,239 |
| 2) Manufacturing | 267,485 | 438,247 | 745,857 |
| 3) Construction | 64,903 | 106,639 | 174,410 |
| 4) Electricity, Gas \& Water | 22,300 | 49,410 | 97,511 |
| 3. Services | 469,934 | 882,657 | 1,743,428 |
| 1) Transportation \& Communication | 53,191 | 88,929 | 198,956 |
| 2) Trade | 154,592 | 261,862 | 473,004 |
| 3) Others | 262,151 | 531,866 | 1,071,468 |
| 4. Total | 1,077,237 | 1,905,952 | 3,308,318 |
| Gross Domestic Product in Region 3 |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 22,360 | 38,532 | 51,482 |
| 1) Crop Production |  |  |  |
| Palay | - | - | - |
| Corn | - | - | - |
| Cocunut | - | - | - |
| Sugarcane | - | - | - |
| Others | - | - | - |
| 2) Fishery | - | - | - |
| 3) Livestock \& Poultry | - | - | - |
| 4) Agricultural Activities | - | - | - |
| 5) Forestry | 19 | 0 | 16 |
| 2. Industry | 34,750 | 59,398 | 80,633 |
| 1) Mining \& Quarrying | 2,252 | 1,248 | 233 |
| 2) Manufacturing | 24,445 | 39,226 | 65,343 |
| 3) Construction | 5,939 | 14,002 | 13,729 |
| 4) Electricity, Gas \& Water | 2,114 | 4,922 | 10,764 |
| 3. Services | 34,813 | 62,009 | 118,392 |
| 1) Transportation \& Communication | 4,827 | 7,904 | 17,161 |
| 2) Trade | 13,634 | 21,339 | 34,570 |
| 3) Others | 16,351 | 32,766 | 66,367 |
| 4. Total | 91,923 | 159,939 | 250,507 |

Source: 2002 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, NSCB

Table 2.1.3 Percentage Distribution of GRDP by Economic Sector: 1990, 1995, 2000

| Economic Sector | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Philippines |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 21.9 | 21.6 | 15.9 |
| 2. Industry | 34.5 | 32.1 | 31.1 |
| - Manufacturing | 24.8 | 23.0 | 22.6 |
| 3. Services | 43.6 | 46.3 | 52.9 |
| - Trade | 14.4 | 13.7 | 14.3 |
| 4. Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 24.3 | 24.1 | 18.8 |
| 2. Industry | 37.8 | 37.1 | 32.9 |
| - Manufacturing | 26.6 | 24.5 | 24.2 |
| 3. Services - Trade | 37.9 | 38.8 | 48.3 |
| 4. Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source : 2001 Philippine Statistical Year Book, NSCB

Table 2.1.4 Distribution of GRDP per Capita at Current Prices: 1990, 1995, 2000

| Item | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| In Pesos | 17,522 | 27,124 | 42,117 |
| $\quad$ Philippines | 14,966 | 22,316 | 30,784 |
| Region 3 | 85 | 82 | 73 |
| $\quad$ Percentage (\%) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 942 |
| In US\$ Equivalent | 716 | 1,051 | 688 |
| $\quad$ Philippines | 612 | 865 |  |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |

Source : 2001 Philippine Statistical Year Book, NSCB

Table 2.1.5 Gross Regional Domestic Product at 1985 Constant Prices: 1990, 1995, 2000

|  |  | (Unit: Million Pesos) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Sector | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| Gross Domestic Product in Philippines |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 160,734 | 172,848 | 190,691 |
| 1) Crop Production | 85,870 | 93,269 | 99,887 |
| Palay | 24,873 | 28,189 | 33,134 |
| Corn | 10,950 | 9,837 | 10,750 |
| Coconut | 7,084 | 7,380 | 6,520 |
| Sugarcane | 3,652 | 3,694 | 4,908 |
| Others | 39,311 | 43,899 | 44,575 |
| 2) Fishery | 30,783 | 34,453 | 36,168 |
| 3) Livestock \& Poultry | 29,069 | 38,890 | 45,258 |
| 4) Agricultural Activities | 7,692 | 7,457 | 8,006 |
| 5) Forestry | 7,320 | 1,779 | 1,372 |
| 2. Industry | 255,548 | 283,858 | 332,258 |
| 1) Mining \& Quarrying | 11,091 | 10,035 | 10,708 |
| 2) Manufacturing | 183,925 | 203,271 | 237,271 |
| 3) Construction | 41,858 | 44,492 | 51,719 |
| 4) Electricity, Gas \& Water | 18,674 | 26,060 | 32,560 |
| 3. Services | 304,408 | 345,518 | 435,462 |
| 1) Transport \& Communication | 41,108 | 47,366 | 68,174 |
| 2) Trade | 107,428 | 123,430 | 152,904 |
| 3) Others | 155,872 | 174,722 | 214,267 |
| 4. Total | 720,690 | 802,224 | 958,411 |
| Gross Regional Product in Region 3 |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 15,849 | 17,258 | 19,590 |
| 1) Crop Production | - | - | - |
| Palay | - | - | - |
| Corn | - | - | - |
| Coconut | - | - | - |
| Sugarcane | - | - | - |
| Others | - | - | - |
| 2) Fishery | - | - | - |
| 3) Livestock \& Poultry | - | - | - |
| 4) Agricultural Activities | - | - | - |
| 5) Forestry | 16 | 0 | 7 |
| 2. Industry | 28,378 | 34,589 | 34,728 |
| 1) Mining \& Quarrying | 1,282 | 592 | 104 |
| 2) Manufacturing | 21,370 | 25,510 | 27,146 |
| 3) Construction | 3,911 | 5,892 | 4,052 |
| 4) Electricity, Gas \& Water | 1,815 | 2,595 | 3,426 |
| 3. Services | 24,022 | 26,639 | 31,812 |
| 1) Transport \& Communication | 3,782 | 4,223 | 5,704 |
| 2) Trade | 10,017 | 11,095 | 12,488 |
| 3) Others | 10,223 | 11,321 | 13,620 |
| 4. Total | 68,249 | 78,486 | 86,130 |

Source : 2002 Philippine Statistical Year Book, NSCB

Table 2.1.6 Real Annual Growth of GRDP by Economic Sector: 1990-2000

| Economic Sector |  |  | (Percentage) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1990-1995$ | $1995-2000$ | $1990-2000$ |
| Philippines |  |  |  |
| 1. Agriculture | 1.46 | 1.98 |  |
| 2. Industry | 2.12 | 3.00 | 1.72 |
| $\quad$ - Manufacturing | 2.02 | 3.14 | 2.56 |
| 3. Services | 2.57 | 4.73 | 2.58 |
| $\quad$ - Commerce | 2.82 | 4.38 | 3.64 |
| 4. Total | 2.17 | 3.55 | 3.59 |
| Region 3 |  |  | 2.85 |
| 1. Agriculture | 1.71 | 2.31 |  |
| 2. Industry | 4.04 | $(0.45)$ | 2.01 |
|  | - Manufacturing | 3.61 | 1.31 |
| 3. Services | 2.09 | 3.61 | 1.77 |
|  | - Commerce | - | - |
| 4. | 2.83 | 1.60 | 2.85 |
| Total |  |  | - |

Source : 2001 Philippine Statistical Year Book, NSCB

Table 2.1.7 Real Growth of GRDP per Capita: 1990-2000

| Economic Sector | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| GRDP per Capita at 1985 Constant Prices (Pesos) |  |  |  |
| Philippines | 11,872 | 11,691 | 12,483 |
| Region 3 | 11,010 | 11,321 | 10,580 |
| Percentage (\%) | 93 | 97 | 85 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Annual Growth Rate (\%) | $\mathbf{9 0 - 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 - 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 - 0 0}$ |
| Philippines | $(0.31)$ | 1.32 | 0.50 |
| Region 3 | 0.56 | $(1.34)$ | 0.40 |

[^6]Table 2.2.1 Gross Regional Domestic Expenditure at Current Prices: 1990, 1995, 2000

|  | (Unit:million pesos) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Sector | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| Gross Domestic Product in The Philippines |  |  |  |
| 1. Personal Consumption | 767,061 | 1,411,904 | 2,335,535 |
| 2. Government Consumption | 108,843 | 217,045 | 422,428 |
| 3. Capital Formation | 260,165 | 427,896 | 589,335 |
| 1. Fixed Capital | 248,954 | 423,197 | 596,644 |
| a. Construction | 129,624 | 183,740 | 280,380 |
| b. Durable Equipment | 101,968 | 209,772 | 271,349 |
| c. Breeding Stock \& Orchard Dev. | 17,362 | 29,685 | 44,915 |
| 2. Changes in Stock | 11,211 | 4,699 | $(7,309)$ |
| 4. Net Export | $(62,133)$ | $(149,121)$ | 202,562 |
| 1) Export | 296,415 | 692,952 | 1,859,441 |
| 2) Less: Import | 358,548 | 842,073 | 1,656,879 |
| 5. Statistical Discrepancy | 3,301 | $(1,773)$ | $(247,271)$ |
| 6. Total | 1,077,237 | 1,905,951 | 3,302,589 |
| Gross Regional Domestic Product in Region 3 |  |  |  |
| 1. Personal Consumption | 90,513 | 158,698 | 252,055 |
| 2. Government Consumption | 5,253 | 11,064 | 24,083 |
| 3. Capital Formation |  |  | 38,000 |
| 1. Fixed Capital | 15,586 | 25,348 | 41,018 |
| a. Construction | 12,983 | 15,940 | 23,735 |
| b. Durable Euipment | 1,102 | 6,830 | 13,067 |
| c. Breeding Stock \& Orchard Dev. | 1,501 | 2,578 | 4,215 |
| 2. Changes in Stock |  | 190 | $(3,017)$ |
| 4. Net Export |  |  |  |
| 6. Total | 91,922 | 159,939 | 245,069 |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, NSCB

Table 2.2.2 Percentage Distribution of GRDE by type of Expenditure: 1990, 1995, 2000

|  | Economic Sector | 1990 | 1995 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Philippines |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Personal Consumption | 71.2 | 74.1 | 70.7 |
| 2. | Government Consumption | 10.1 | 11.4 | 12.8 |
| 3. | Capital Formation | 24.2 | 22.5 | 17.8 |
|  | 1. Fixed Capital | 23.1 | 22.2 | 18.1 |
|  | 2. Changes in Stock | 1.0 | 0.2 | (0.2) |
| 4. | Net Export | (5.8) | (7.8) | 6.1 |
| 5. | Statistical Discrepancy | 0.3 | (0.1) | (7.5) |
| 6. | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Region 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Personal Consumption | 98.5 | 99.2 | 102.8 |
| 2. | Government Consumption | 5.7 | 6.9 | 9.8 |
| 3. | Capital Formation |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Fixed Capital | 17.0 | 15.8 | 16.7 |
|  | 2. Changes in Stock |  |  |  |
| 4. | Net Export |  |  |  |
| 5. | Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

[^7]Table 2.2.3 Exports by Majority Commodity Group : 1997-2000

| Commodities | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL EXPORTS | 25,228 | 29,496 | 35,037 | 38,079 |
| Agro-based Products | 1,373 | 1,361 | 975 | 1,159 |
| Coconut Products | 816 | 816 | 449 | 575 |
| Coconut oil | 673 | 706 | 342 | 478 |
| Dessicated Coconut | 88 | 73 | 89 | 73 |
| Copra \& Copra Meal/Cake | 52 | 36 | 18 | 23 |
| Others | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Sugar \& Products | 99 | 99 | 71 | 57 |
| Centrigual \& Refined | 83 | 80 | 63 | 52 |
| Molasses | 15 | 19 | 8 | 5 |
| Others | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Fruits and Vegetables | 458 | 446 | 455 | 527 |
| Canned Pineapple | 86 | 79 | 82 | 87 |
| Pineapple Juice | 9 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| Pineapple Concentrates | 28 | 33 | 23 | 28 |
| Bananas | 216 | 217 | 241 | 291 |
| Mangoes | 40 | 42 | 32 | 34 |
| Others | 79 | 68 | 68 | 75 |
| Other Agro-based Products | 491 | 506 | 500 | 475 |
| Fish, Fresh or Preserved (Shrimps, Prawns) | 292 | 306 | 287 | 321 |
| Coffee, Raw, not Roasted | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Abaca Fibers | 23 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| Tobacco Unmanufactured | 29 | 22 | 32 | 16 |
| Natural Rubber | 28 | 34 | 25 | 14 |
| Ramie Fibers,Raw or Roasted | - | - | - | - |
| Seaweeds, Dried | 39 | 42 | 33 | 31 |
| Rice | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 79 | 84 | 104 | 75 |
| Forest Products | 45 | 24 | 20 | 44 |
| Logs | - | - | - | - |
| Lumber | 26 | 10 | 13 | 34 |
| Plywood | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Veneer Sheets/Corestocks | 14 | 12 | 5 | 3 |
| Others | - | - | - | 3 |
| Mineral Products | 762 | 592 | 646 | 650 |
| Copper Concentrates | 44 | 25 | 43 | 28 |
| Copper Metal | 231 | 178 | 236 | 234 |
| Gold | 49 | 237 | 213 | 185 |
| Iron Ore Agglomerates | 90 | 60 | 73 | 77 |
| Chromium Ore | 6 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| Nickel | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 342 | 86 | 77 | 123 |
| Petroleum Products | 258 | 129 | 216 | 436 |
| Manufactures | 21,498 | 25,819 | 31,301 | 34,018 |
| Electronic Equipment \& Parts | 13,028 | 17,138 | 21,166 | 22,178 |
| Gaments | 2,349 | 2,356 | 2,267 | 2,563 |
| Textile Yarn/Fabrics | 299 | 242 | 219 | 249 |
| Footware | 170 | 135 | 71 | 60 |
| Travel Goods \& Handbags | 174 | 183 | 154 | 177 |
| Wood Manufactures | 134 | 118 | 129 | 212 |
| Furnitures and Fixtures | 322 | 323 | 354 | 381 |
| Chemicals | 383 | 340 | 294 | 327 |
| Non- Metallic Mineral Manufactures | 105 | 105 | 111 | 133 |
| Machinery \& Transport Equipment | 2,685 | 3,316 | 4,950 | 5,909 |
| Processed Food and Beverages | 365 | 322 | 273 | 286 |
| Iron and Steel | 56 | 80 | 47 | 28 |
| Baby Carriage, Toys, Games \& Sporting Goods | 231 | 224 | 203 | 169 |
| Basketwork, Wickenwork \& other Articles | 125 | 101 | 94 | 85 |
| Miscelleneous Manufactured Articles | 505 | 456 | 455 | 489 |
| Others | 567 | 380 | 514 | 772 |
| Special Transactions | 287 | 331 | 436 | 500 |
| RE-EXPORTS | 514 | 734 | 943 | 797 |
| Source: Foreign trade Statistics of the Philippines, 1997-2000 NSO 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Statistics are taken largely from 2001 PSY with some additi Totals do not always agree with component figures due to |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.4 Imports by Major Type of Goods: 1997-2000

|  | (Unit: FOB Value in US\$ Million) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodities | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 |
| TOTAL IMPORTS | 36,355 | 29,524 | 30,742 | 31,387 |
| Capital Goods | 14,369 | 12,051 | 11,827 | 12,161 |
| Power Genaerating \& Specialized Machines | 3,804 | 2,568 | 2,398 | 2,471 |
| Office and EDP Machines | 1,418 | 1,582 | 1,483 | 1,536 |
| Telecommunication \& Electrical Machinery | 6,437 | 6,870 | 6,891 | 6,973 |
| Land Transport Equipment excluding Passenger Cars | 803 | 445 | 561 | 66 |
| Air Crafts, Ships \& Boats | 1,439 | 196 | 102 | 119 |
| Professional, Scientific, Photographic \& Optical Goods | 468 | 390 | 394 | 401 |
| Raw Materials \& Intermediate Goods | 14,634 | 11,584 | 12,601 | 12,061 |
| Unprocessed Raw Materials | 1,645 | 1,168 | 1,518 | 1,337 |
| Wheat | 423 | 249 | 363 | 353 |
| Corn | 54 | 78 | 35 | 58 |
| Unmilled Cereals excluding Rice \& Corn | 2 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Crude Materials, Inedible | 1,045 | 766 | 1,015 | 821 |
| Pulp and Waste Paper | 75 | 67 | 81 | 93 |
| Cotton | 107 | 60 | 69 | 53 |
| Synthetic Fibers | 110 | 82 | 88 | 87 |
| Metalliferous Ores | 349 | 230 | 328 | 242 |
| Others | 404 | 327 | 449 | 345 |
| Tobacco, Unmanufactured | 121 | 74 | 103 | 102 |
| Semi-Processed Raw Materials | 12,989 | 10,416 | 11,083 | 10,724 |
| Feeding Stuffs for Animals | 311 | 283 | 203 | 269 |
| Animal \& Vegetable Oils \& Fats | 58 | 59 | 101 | 66 |
| Chemical | 2,792 | 2,206 | 2,507 | 2,619 |
| Chemical Compounds | 685 | 559 | 644 | 679 |
| Medicinal \& Pharmaceutical Chem. | 331 | 311 | 364 | 334 |
| Urea | 89 | 68 | 76 | 74 |
| Fertilizer Excluding Urea | 125 | 94 | 81 | 95 |
| Artificial Resins | 867 | 568 | 606 | 695 |
| Others | 695 | 606 | 736 | 743 |
| Manufactured Goods | 3,983 | 2,807 | 3,175 | 3,151 |
| Paper \& Paper Products | 337 | 266 | 322 | 333 |
| Textile, Yarn, Fabrics \& Made-up Articles | 919 | 789 | 844 | 804 |
| Non- metallic Mineral Manufactures | 284 | 144 | 162 | 217 |
| Iron \& Steel | 1,260 | 764 | 947 | 886 |
| Non- ferrous Metals | 374 | 284 | 363 | 386 |
| Metal Products | 511 | 371 | 302 | 290 |
| Others | 298 | 189 | 235 | 235 |
| Embroideries | 357 | 346 | 332 | 310 |
| Materials/Accessories for Manufacture | 5,407 | 4,634 | 4,708 | 4,207 |
| Iron Ore, not Agglomerated | 81 | 81 | 57 | 101 |
| Mineral Fuels \& Lubricant | 3,074 | 2,020 | 2,433 | 3,877 |
| Coal,Coke | 109 | 110 | 95 | 92 |
| Petroluem Crude | 2,458 | 1,433 | 1,998 | 3,050 |
| Others | 507 | 477 | 340 | 734 |
| Consumer Goods | 3,091 | 2,623 | 2,642 | 2,524 |
| Durable | 1,516 | 901 | 1,093 | 1,072 |
| Passenger Cars \& Motorized Cycle | 643 | 235 | 305 | 370 |
| Home Appliances | 142 | 89 | 138 | 160 |
| Miscelleneous Manufactures | 731 | 577 | 650 | 542 |
| Non- Durable | 1,575 | 1,722 | 1,549 | 1,452 |
| Food \& Live Animals Chiefly for Food | 1,435 | 1,603 | 1,430 | 1,322 |
| Daily Products | 405 | 300 | 309 | 390 |
| Fish \& Fish Preparations | 70 | 57 | 75 | 57 |
| Rice | 211 | 586 | 218 | 123 |
| Fruits \& Vegetables | 137 | 108 | 140 | 124 |
| Others | 612 | 552 | 688 | 627 |
| Beverages \& Tobacco Manufactures | 75 | 64 | 69 | 76 |
| Articles of Apparel, Accessories | 65 | 55 | 50 | 54 |
| Special Transactions | 1,187 | 1,246 | 1,239 | 765 |
| Articles Temporarily Imported \& Exported | 869 | 879 | 898 | 426 |
| Others | 318 | 367 | 341 | 339 |

[^8]Table 2.2.5 Exports and Imports by Country : 1996-2000


Table 2.2.6 Official Development Assistance 1995-2000

|  |  | (Unit: US\$ Million) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Item | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilateral | 748.8 | 748.2 | 567.3 | 528.0 |
| Japan | 416.1 | 414.5 | 319.0 | 297.6 |
| USA | 112.0 | 46.0 | 15.0 | 27.3 |
| Germany | 67.6 | 106.6 | 56.6 | 45.4 |
| Italy | 3.0 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Spain | 11.8 | 4.4 | 22.7 | 15.4 |
| Australia | 56.0 | 55.9 | 42.9 | 45.0 |
| Canada | 19.5 | 16.4 | 16.5 | 14.5 |
| Others | 62.8 | 95.7 | 92.5 | 80.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Multilateral | 132.6 | 131.7 | 115.0 | 79.6 |
| ADB | 54.8 | 47.2 | 49.0 | 21.3 |
| Others | 77.8 | 84.5 | 66.0 | 58.3 |
| Arab Countries | 1.6 | -0.8 | -0.9 | -0.9 |
| Total |  |  | 681.4 | 606.7 |

Source: Geographical Distribution of Financial Flows to Aid Recipients, Disbursements Commitments Country Indicators 1995-2000, OECD Development Assistance Committee
Note: Official development assistance is defined as grants and loans, with at least $25 \%$ grant element, administered with the aim of promoting economic or social development. Figures indicate amounts.

## Table 2.2.7 External Debt: 1995-2000

|  | (Unit: US\$ Billion) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 |
| Total Debt Stocks | 37.8 | 40.1 | 45.7 | 47.8 |
| 1. Long Term Debt | 31.8 | 31.8 | 33.0 | 39.1 |
| 2. Use of IMF Credit | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 |
| 3. Short Term Debt | 5.3 | 8.0 | 11.8 | 7.2 |
| Debt Outstanding of Long Term Debt | 31.8 | 31.8 | 33.0 | 39.1 |
| 1. Public and Publicly Guaranteed | 28.3 | 26.9 | 26.2 | 28.2 |
| a. Official Creditors | 22.2 | 20.2 | 18.5 | 20.2 |
| - Multilateral | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.3 | 8.0 |
| - Bilateral | 13.7 | 12.3 | 11.2 | 12.2 |
| b. Private Creditors | 6.1 | 6.7 | 7.7 | 8.0 |
| - Bonds | 4.7 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 6.4 |
| - Commercial Banks | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.2 |
| - Others | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| 2. Private Nonguaranteed | 3.5 | 4.9 | 6.8 | 10.9 |
| Total Debt Service | 5.4 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 5.2 |
| 1. Principal Repayment | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 2.9 |
| a. Long Term Debt | 2.7 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| b. IMF Repurchases | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| 2. Interest Payments | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 |
| a. Long Term Debts | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| b. IMF Charges | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| c. Short Term Debts | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Ratios (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Total Debt Stocks/GNP | 49.7 | 46.5 | 53.3 | 70.1 |
| 2. Debt Service Ratio *1 | 16.1 | 13.4 | 9.3 | 11.8 |

Source: World Debt Tables, 2001, World Bank
Note: Long Term Debt is defined as having original maturity of more than one year.
*1 Debt service is a percentage of earnings from exports of goods and service (including workers' remitances).

Table 2.3.1 Production of Major Crops: 1990, 1995, 2000


Source: (1) 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, NSCB
(2) Data presented by BAS in Manila

Note:

1. Region III values estimated on the basis of regional production applying unit prices calculated from the national average in the above table
2. \% share of value for Philippines is derived from value of all agricultural crop poduction. For Region III, \% share of value is derived from totals of cereals and major crops which appear in this table.

Table 2.3.2 Production, Harvested Area and Yield of Paddy in Zambales Province: 1990, 1995, 2000

| Item | Jan-June |  |  | July -Dec. |  |  | Annual Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Production (tons) | Area <br> Harvested | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Production (tons) | Area <br> Harvested | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Production (tons) | Area <br> Harvested | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1990 Zambales Province | 21,107 | 7,380 | 2.86 | 54,119 | 20,680 | 2.62 | 75,226 | 28,060 | 2.68 |
| Irrigated | 21,107 | 7,380 | 2.86 | 26,147 | 9,490 | 2.76 | 47,254 | 16,870 | 2.80 |
| Rainfed | - | - | - | 27,972 | 11,170 | 2.50 | 27,972 | 11,190 | 2.50 |
| 1995 Zambales Province | 14,001 | 5,320 | 2.63 | 42,531 | 14,920 | 2.85 | 56,536 | 20,240 | 2.79 |
| Irrigated | 14,001 | 5,320 | 2.63 | 22,323 | 6,840 | 3.26 | 36,328 | 12,160 | 2.99 |
| Rainfed | - | - |  | 20,208 | 8,080 | 2.50 | 20,208 | 8,080 | 2.50 |
| 2000 Zambales Province | 27,394 | 8,011 | 3.42 | 50,633 | 17,277 | 2.93 | 78,027 | 25,288 | 3.09 |
| Irrigated | 27,394 | 8,011 | 3.42 | 30,528 | 9,055 | 3.37 | 57,922 | 18,066 | 3.21 |
| Rainfed | - | - | - | 20,105 | 8,222 | 2.45 | 20,105 | 8,222 | 2.45 |

Source: Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Iba, Zambales

Table 2.3.3 Production, Harvested Area and Yield of Corn in Zambales Province : 1990, 1995, 2000


## Source: BAS, Iba, Zambales <br> BAS, Manila

Note: The large increase in white corn production between 1990 and 1995 was apparently financed by Zambali, a local NGO.

Table 2.3.4 Farm-gate Price of Paddy and Corn for Region 3: 1996-2000

| (Unit: Pesos/kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Average |
| Paddy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 8.78 | 9.05 | 8.84 | 9.03 | 9.02 | 8.87 | 8.71 | 8.11 | 6.99 | 7.06 | 6.91 | 7.15 | 8.20 |
| 1997 | 7.58 | 8.09 | 8.12 | 8.04 | 8.14 | 8.23 | 8.58 | 8.54 | 7.88 | 7.48 | 7.30 | 7.72 | 7.92 |
| 1998 | 8.23 | 8.33 | 8.39 | 8.53 | 8.92 | 8.84 | 9.12 | 9.15 | 8.24 | 7.56 | 7.42 | 7.96 | 8.11 |
| 1999 | 8.20 | 8.64 | 8.66 | 8.52 | 8.32 | 8.52 | 8.24 | 7.90 | 7.41 | 7.40 | 7.48 | 7.62 | 7.87 |
| 2000 | 8.08 | 8.61 | 8.66 | 8.84 | 8.87 | 8.93 | 9.28 | 8.93 | 8.23 | 7.96 | 7.78 | 7.97 | 8.48 |
| Corn, Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 6.87 | 6.48 | 6.87 | 6.62 | 6.42 | 5.64 | 5.51 | 5.51 | 5.69 | 5.30 | 5.38 | 5.59 | 6.16 |
| 1997 | 6.64 | 6.65 | 6.62 | 6.75 | 6.39 | 6.16 | 5.69 | 5.59 | 5.59 | 5.40 | 5.29 | 5.60 | 5.97 |
| 1998 | 5.90 | 5.92 | 5.87 | 5.84 | 6.28 | 6.95 | 7.52 | 5.98 | 5.32 | 4.85 | 4.91 | 4.43 | 5.65 |
| 1999 | 5.23 | 5.80 | 5.70 | 5.84 | 5.55 | 5.40 | 4.60 | 4.71 | 4.81 | 5.34 | 5.96 | 6.81 | 5.39 |
| 2000 | 7.49 | 8.19 | 6.88 | 6.81 | 6.94 | 5.57 | 5.49 | 5.42 | 5.76 | 6.09 | 6.07 | 6.27 | 8.42 |
| Corn, White |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 | 8.23 | 8.44 | 8.14 | 8.75 | 8.36 | 7.08 | 5.99 | 6.02 | 5.76 | 5.77 | 5.35 | 5.59 | 6.78 |
| 1997 | 6.72 | 6.63 | 7.26 | 7.51 | 6.66 | 6.26 | 6.25 | 5.95 | 5.75 | 5.88 | 5.57 | 5.47 | 6.17 |
| 1998 | 5.93 | 6.02 | 6.63 | 7.56 | 7.65 | 7.38 | 7.85 | 6.15 | 4.96 | 4.45 | 4.49 | 4.58 | 6.62 |
| 1999 | 5.16 | 5.66 | 6.99 | 6.55 | 6.34 | 6.70 | 6.22 | 6.30 | 6.22 | 6.65 | 6.69 | 7.00 | 6.32 |
| 2000 | 6.75 | 7.78 | 7.45 | 7.74 | 7.80 | 7.03 | 5.98 | 6.30 | 6.65 | 6.74 | 6.87 | 6.72 | 6.98 |

Source: Bureau of Agricultural Statistics, Ben-Lor Bldg, Quezon City

Table 2.3.5 Inventory of Freshwater Fishponds by Municipality in Study Area: 2000

| Region/ <br> Province/Municipality | Freshwater Fishpond (ha) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Backyard | Medium | Commercial |
| 1. Region 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Zambales Province | $25,513.0$ | $2,281.0$ | $9,357.0$ | $13,875.0$ |
|  | 98.1 | 50.8 | 47.3 | 0.0 |
| 3. Study Area |  |  |  |  |
| Zambales Province | 90.1 |  | 43.2 | 0.0 |
| 1 Botolan | 4.9 | 46.8 | 4.8 | 0.0 |
| 2 Iba | 9.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 Cabangan | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4 San Felipe | 10.9 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| 5 Castillejos | 37.6 | 4.4 | 33.2 | 0.0 |
| 6 San Antonio | 5.2 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 San Marcelino | 10.8 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 8 San Narciso | 11.6 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: BAS, Iba, Zambales
Note: Fishpond size is classified as follows:
Backyard: 500 sq.m. and below
Medium scale: More than 500 sq.m. up to 10 ha.
Commercial scale : More than 10 ha.

# Table 2.3.6 Production and Farm-gate Price of Fish Species in Freshwater Fishpond for Zambales Province: 1996-2001 

|  | Year | Tilapia | Carp | Catfish | Mudfish | Gourami | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production (tons) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Zambales Province |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1996 |  | 43 | - | - | $<1$ | - | - |
| 1997 |  | 31 | - | - | $<1$ | - | - |
| 1998 |  | 23 | - | - | $<1$ | - | - |
| 1999 |  | 47 | - | - | <1 | - | - |
| 2000 |  | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farmgate, Wholesale and Retail Price in Aug. 2000 (Pesos/kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) | Farmgate | 45.50 | - | - | 55.60 | - | - |
| 2) | Wholesale | 50.55 | - | - | 60.00 | - | - |
| 3) | Retail | 60.65 | - | - | 65.70 | - | - |
| Source: | BFAR Region 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BAS, Zamb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: | Farmgate price (pesos/kg) depends on the size of tilapia. The bigger the more expensive. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3.7 Inventory and Farm-gate Price of Livestock and Poultry: 1996-2000


Table 2.3.8 Agricultural Land Use by Municipality before and after the Eruption in the Study Area: 1990, 2000

| Municipality | Year | Irrigated Rice |  | Rainfed Rice |  | Sugarcane |  | Cassava |  | Rootcrops |  | Corn |  | Legume |  | Fishpond |  | Commercial Crops |  | Fruit Trees |  | Other Crops |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) | (\%) | (ha) |
| Zambales | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 5,217.59 | 36.70 | 5,026.05 | 35.35 | 22.91 | 16.11 | 254.31 | 1.79 | 929.11 | 6.53 | 136.33 | 0.96 | 165.78 | 1.17 | 90.20 | 0.63 | - | - | 2,375.49 | 16.71 | - | - | 14,217.77 |
| Botolan | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 871.51 | 34.73 | 543.08 | 21.64 | 4.38 | 17.45 | 65.65 | 2.62 | 180.98 | 7.21 | 35.20 | 1.40 | 62.00 | 2.47 | 4.90 | 0.20 | - | - | 741.81 | 29.56 | - | - | 2,509.51 |
| Iba | $1990$ | 678.80 | 44.36 | 416.25 | 27.20 | 4.00 | 0.26 | 17.34 | 1.13 | 22.50 | 1.47 | 8.75 | 0.57 | 5.00 | 0.33 | 9.20 | 0.60 | - | - | 368.23 | 24.10 | - | - | 1,530.07 |
| Cabangan | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 350.01 | 21.57 | 851.17 | 52.45 | 12.54 | 0.77 | 39.48 | 2.43 | 166.33 | 10.25 | 7.38 | 0.48 | 6.33 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | 189.63 | 11.68 | - | - | 1,622.86 |
| San Felipe | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 493.00 | 43.66 | 432.50 | 38.30 | 2.00 | 0.17 | 33.78 | 2.99 | 42.50 | 3.76 | 0.60 | 0.05 | 3.50 | 0.31 | 10.90 | 0.97 | - | - | 110.36 | 9.77 | - | - | 1,129.14 |
| Castillejos | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 296.75 | 18.60 | 660.75 | 41.41 | - | - | 55.85 | 3.50 | 209.00 | 13.10 | 5.25 | 0.33 | 14.00 | 0.86 | 37.60 | 2.36 | - | - | 316.45 | 19.83 | - | - | 1,595.65 |
| San Antonio | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 415.50 | 24.32 | 918.68 | 53.78 | - | - | 14.25 | 0.83 | 120.75 | 7.07 | 43.25 | 2.53 | 42.50 | 2.49 | 5.20 | 0.30 | - | - | 148.05 | 8.67 | - | - | 1,708.18 |
| San Marcelino | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2000 | 971.15 | 54.41 | 226.50 | 12.69 | - | - | 24.13 | 1.35 | 160.00 | 8.96 | 32.38 | 1.81 | 26.35 | 1.48 | 10.80 | 0.61 | - | - | 333.58 | 18.69 | - | - | 1,784.88 |
| San Narciso | $\begin{aligned} & 1990 \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | 1,140.88 | 48.81 | 977.13 | 41.80 | - | - | 3.84 | 0.16 | 27.05 | 1.16 | 3.525 | 0.15 | 6.10 | 0.26 | 11.60 | 0.50 | - | - | 167.38 | 7.16 | - | - | 2,337.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: BAS, Iba, Zambales
Note:

Table 2.3.9 Inventory of Establishments Registered to DTI by Municipality in Zambales and Study Area: From January 1, 1997 to April 23, 2002

|  | Province/ Municipality | Type of Industry | Manufacturing | Wholesale <br> \& Retail Trading | Services |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: $\quad$ Statistical Report dated April 23, 2002, DTI Region 3
Note: $\quad$ This table includes the 8 municipalities that account for about $94 \%$ of the Study Area.

Table 2.3.10 Assets of Manufacturing Industry: 1997

|  | (Value Units: Million pesos) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Item | Philippines | Region III |
| Large, Medium and Small Scale Manufacturers with Average Total Employment of 10 and More and Less than 10. |  |  |
| 1. Number of Establishments (nos) | 14,734 | 1,413 |
| 2. Employment (Average for the year) |  |  |
| 1) Total (Persons) | 1,109,676 | 102,727 |
| 2) Paid Employees (persons) | 1,097,175 | 101,007 |
| 3) Compensation (Million Pesos) | 101,009 | 7,331 |
| 3. Production and Value Added |  |  |
| 1) Value of Output *1 | 1,353,842 | 151,225 |
| 2) Total Cost | 989,169 | 106,134 |
| 3) Value Added | 558,644 | 61,176 |
| 4. Fixed Assets |  |  |
| 1) Land | 29,903 | 1,903 |
| 2) Building | 97,763 | 8,071 |
| 3) Transport Equipment | 15,402 | 1,262 |
| 4) Machinery | 257,985 | 28,415 |
| 5) Other Fixed Assets | 18,668 | 1,028 |
| Total | 419,722 | 40,678 |
| 5. Inventory |  |  |
| Finished Products | 97,287 | 13,601 |
| Work -in- Process | 35,912 | 6,328 |
| Material Fuel \& Supplies | 128,227 | 10,109 |
| Goods for Resale | 9,721 | 85 |
| Total | 271,147 | 30,123 |

Source: 1997 Annual Survey of Establishments, Vol. III Manufacturing NSO
Note: *1 In producer prices
Detail figures may not add up to totals due to rounding.
Small scale and cottage industry with average total employment of less than 10 was added to figures of large and medium scale manufacturers.

Table 2.3.11 Assets of Wholesale and Retail Trade: 1997
(Value Unit: Million Pesos)
Item Philippines
I. Average Total Employment of $\mathbf{1 0}$ or More, and Less than $\mathbf{1 0}$

| 1. Number of Establishments (nos) | 15,237 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2. Employment (nos) |  | 896 |
| 1) Total | 466,815 | 20,953 |
| 2) Employees | 450,397 | 20,186 |
| 3) Compensation | 35,613 | 1,348 |
| 3. Sale Amount and Value Added |  |  |
| 1) Annual sales | 788,687 | 39,616 |
| Costs |  |  |
| 2) Gross Margin | 139,278 | 5,545 |
| 3) Value Added | 99,214 | 3,813 |
| 4. Fixed Assets |  |  |
| 1) Land | 834 | 46 |
| 2) Building | 5,122 | 160 |
| 3) Transport Equipment | 2,407 | 104 |
| 4) Machinery | 5,364 | 105 |
| 5) Other Fixed Assets | 330 | 3 |
| Total Assets | 14,057 | 418 |
| 5. Inventory |  |  |
| 1) Goods for Resale | 158,096 | 6,165 |
| 2) Materials \& Supplies | 2,583 | 42 |
| 3) Fuels, Lubricants Oils and Greases | 71 | 13 |
| 4) Other Inventories | 346 | 14 |
| Total | 161,096 | 6,234 |

[^9]Table 2.3.12 Assets of Service Industry: 1997
(Value Units: Thousand Pesos)
Item
Philippines $\quad$ Region 3
I. Medium Sized Enterprise with Average Total Employment of More and Less than 10

1. Number of Establishments (nos)
2. Employment (nos)

| 1) Total | 564,989 | 38,359 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2) Employees | 550,753 | 34,899 |
| $3)$ | $45,583,801$ | $1,267,197$ |

3. Sale Amount and Value Added

| $1)$ | Annual sales | $795,960,650$ | $42,311,350$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2) Gross Margin | no data | no data |  |
| 3) Value Added | $85,194,595$ | $4,137,424$ |  |

4. Fixed Assets

| 1) Land | $1,231,833$ | 3,193 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2) | Building | $10,536,752$ |
| $3)$ | 561,697 | 256,637 |
| T) | Machinery | $6,038,017$ |
| 5) | 245,712 |  |
|  | 516,057 | 338,671 |
| Total | $18,884,356$ | 30,869 |

5. Inventory

| 1) | Goods for Resale | $1,709,118$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 2) | Materials \& Supplies | $6,738,047$ |
| 3) Fuels, Lubricants Oils and Greases | 87,062 | 249,458 |
| $4)$ | $3,109,639$ | 7,092 |
| Other Inventories | $11,643,866$ | 2,359 |
| Total | 314,566 |  |

Source: 1997 Annual Survey of Establishments, Vol.VII, NSO, Manila

Table 2.3.13 Family Annual Income and Expenditure at Current Prices: 2000

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. Average Annual Income (Pesos) | 144,039 | 151,449 | 123,667 |
| II. Average Annual Expenditure (Pesos) | 118,002 | 120,003 | 95,054 |
| III. Details of Expenditure (\%) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1 Food | 43.6 (35.7) | 47.0 (37.2) | 50.8 (39.0) |
| - Consumed at home | 38.7 | 40.9 | 46.9 |
| - Consumed Outside the Home | 5.0 | 6.2 | 3.9 |
| 2 Tobacco and Alcohol | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| 3 Clothing, Other Wear | 2.5 | 2.9 | 1.8 |
| 4 Housing Expenses | 25.3 (20.7) | 23.4 (18.5) | 22.0 (16.9) |
| - Fuel, Light \& Water | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.7 |
| - Non-Durable Furnishing | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| - Furniture and Equipment |  | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| - Rental Value of Dwelling Unit | 14.2 | 11.7 | 11.7 |
| - Maintenance and Repairs | 2.3 | 2.7 | 2.5 |
| 5 Taxes Paid | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| 6 Other Expenses | 24.7 (20.2) | 23.2 (18.4) | 21.3 (16.4) |
| - Education | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| - Medical Care | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.1 |
| - Others | 18.6 | 17.1 | 15.9 |
| IV. Annual Savings (Balance) | 26,037 | 31,446 | 28,613 |

Source: 2000 Family Income and Expenditure Survey, Integrated Survey of Households Bulletin Series No. 98, Volume II, February 2002, NSO
Note: Figures in brackets show the ratio of food expenditure to total income.

Table 2.3.14 Consumer Price Index and Inflation Rate: 1985-2001

| Year | Month | Philippines |  | Metro Manila |  | Outside Metro Manila |  | Region 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | CPI | IR (\%) | CPI | IR (\%) | CPI | IR (\%) | CPI | IR (\%) |
| 1985 |  | 46.1 | 23.1 | 39.8 | 20.7 | 48.2 | 23.6 |  |  |
| 1986 |  | 46.4 | 0.8 | 41.9 | 5.3 | 48.2 | 0.0 |  |  |
| 1987 |  | 48.2 | 3.8 | 44.8 | 6.9 | 49.7 | 3.2 |  |  |
| 1988 |  | 52.4 | 8.8 | 49.3 | 10.0 | 53.0 | 6.7 |  |  |
| 1989 |  | 58.8 | 12.2 | 54.0 | 9.6 | 60.0 | 13.1 |  |  |
| 1990 |  | 67.1 | 14.2 | 62.7 | 16.1 | 68.8 | 14.7 | 67.8 | 11.0 |
| 1991 |  | 79.5 | 18.5 | 75.6 | 20.6 | 81.0 | 17.7 | 81.2 | 19.8 |
| 1992 |  | 86.3 | 8.6 | 83.8 | 10.8 | 87.4 | 7.9 | 87.3 | 7.5 |
| 1993 |  | 92.3 | 7.0 | 91.6 | 9.3 | 92.5 | 5.8 | 91.6 | 4.9 |
| 1994 |  | 100.0 | 8.3 | 100.0 | 9.2 | 100.0 | 8.1 | 100.0 | 9.2 |
| 1995 |  | 108.0 | 8.0 | 108.2 | 8.2 | 108.0 | 8.0 | 107.1 | 7.1 |
| 1996 |  | 117.8 | 9.1 | 117.3 | 8.4 | 118.0 | 9.3 | 117.3 | 9.5 |
| 1997 |  | 124.8 | 5.9 | 125.1 | 6.6 | 124.6 | 5.6 | 124.5 | 6.1 |
| 1998 |  | 136.9 | 9.7 | 137.9 | 10.2 | 136.5 | 9.6 | 137.2 | 10.2 |
| 1999 |  | 146.0 | 6.6 | 145.2 | 5.3 | 146.3 | 7.2 | 146.1 | 6.5 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 148.8 | 0.5 | 147.9 | 0.7 | 149.2 | 0.5 | 148.8 | 1.6 |
|  | Feb. | 149.3 | 0.3 | 148.3 | 0.3 | 149.7 | 0.3 | 149.0 | 0.1 |
|  | Mar. | 149.4 | 0.1 | 148.6 | 0.2 | 149.7 | 0.0 | 148.9 | -0.1 |
|  | Apr. | 149.7 | 0.2 | 148.9 | 0.2 | 150.1 | 0.3 | 149.5 | 0.4 |
|  | May | 150.4 | 0.5 | 150.4 | 1.0 | 150.3 | 0.1 | 149.9 | 0.3 |
|  | June | 151.4 | 0.7 | 150.4 | 0.0 | 151.8 | 1.0 | 151.3 | 0.9 |
|  | Jul. | 152.1 | 0.5 | 151.3 | 0.6 | 152.4 | 0.4 | 151.8 | 0.3 |
|  | Aug. | 153.1 | 0.7 | 152.2 | 0.6 | 153.5 | 0.7 | 153.1 | 0.9 |
|  | Sep. | 153.8 | 0.5 | 153.6 | 0.9 | 153.8 | 0.2 | 152.9 | -0.1 |
|  | Oct. | 154.8 | 0.7 | 154.2 | 0.4 | 155.0 | 0.8 | 154.7 | 1.2 |
|  | Nov. | 156.7 | 1.2 | 157.5 | 2.1 | 156.4 | 0.9 | 156.2 | 1.0 |
|  | Dec. | 157.8 | 0.7 | 157.7 | 0.1 | 157.9 | 1.0 | 157.4 | 0.8 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 159.0 | 6.9 | 159.1 | 7.6 | 158.9 | 6.5 | 158.3 | 6.4 |
|  | Feb. | 159.3 | 6.7 | 159.5 | 7.6 | 159.2 | 6.3 | 157.9 | 6.0 |
|  | Mar. | 159.4 | 6.7 | 159.9 | 7.6 | 159.2 | 6.3 | 157.2 | 5.5 |
|  | Apr. | 159.8 | 6.7 | 160.7 | 7.9 | 159.4 | 6.2 | 157.1 | 5.0 |
|  | May | 160.0 | 6.4 | 160.9 | 7.0 | 159.7 | 6.3 | 157.6 | 5.1 |
|  | June | 161.6 | 6.7 | 162.5 | 8.0 | 161.2 | 6.2 | 159.5 | 5.4 |
|  | Jul. | 162.5 | 6.8 | 163.8 | 8.3 | 162.0 | 6.3 | 159.7 | 5.2 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 165.0 | 3.8 | 167.7 | 5.4 | 164.0 | 3.2 | 161.5 | 2.0 |
|  | Aug. | 167.6 | $\sim 3.1$ | 168.8 | $\sim 3.1$ | 167.2 | $\sim 3.2$ | 163.6 | $\sim 2.4$ |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, NSCB
Note: CPI stands for Consumer Price Index (1994=100)
IR stands for Inflation Rate, an annual inflation rate in percent, in each year and month except 2000, when IR is a monthly inflation rate.

Table 2.3.15 Wholesale Price Index of all Items and Construction Materials in Metro Manila: 1985-2000

| Year | Month | Wholesale Price Index |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | General Index (1985=100) |  |  | Construction Materials in Metro Manila (1985=100) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | All Items | Crude <br> Materials <br> Ex. Fuel | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Manufac- } \\ \text { tured } \\ \text { Goods } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | All Items | Cement | Sand, Stone \& Gravel | Rein- <br> Forced Steel | Lumber Products | Fuel \& Lubricant | Machinery \& Equipment Rental |
| 1985 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1986 |  | 97.5 | 96.3 | 103.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1987 |  | 105.4 | 115.3 | 109.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| 1988 |  | 118.5 | 143.2 | 119.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1989 |  | 129.9 | 157.0 | 130.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1990 |  | 141.8 | 154.3 | 144.8 | 157.5 | 157.9 | 221.9 | 171.3 | 176.2 | 104.8 | 182.8 |
| 1991 |  | 166.1 | 181.9 | 165.4 | 182.6 | 193.0 | 258.3 | 182.7 | 208.5 | 152.1 | 182.8 |
| 1992 |  | 172.2 | 192.5 | 172.6 | 189.3 | 200.7 | 265.4 | 187.6 | 221.2 | 131.6 | 182.8 |
| 1993 |  | 172.0 | 180.2 | 174.1 | 191.4 | 176.1 | 281.7 | 189.3 | 236.0 | 127.5 | 254.8 |
| 1994 |  | 186.9 | 223.4 | 179.8 | 200.6 | 179.8 | 300.9 | 188.5 | 264.9 | 126.7 | 254.8 |
| 1995 |  | 197.1 | 217.8 | 181.6 | 208.2 | 196.0 | 312.0 | 198.5 | 268.0 | 124.5 | 254.8 |
| 1996 |  | 214.7 | 249.8 | 186.2 | 214.5 | 214.7 | 330.5 | 207.0 | 270.4 | 133.0 | 254.8 |
| 1997 |  | 215.8 | 238.4 | 190.4 | 219.5 | 198.3 | 343.5 | 208.6 | 277.7 | 140.8 | 254.8 |
| 1998 |  | 240.9 | 285.9 | 196.6 | 227.1 | 182.9 | 365.9 | 224.8 | 284.9 | 145.4 | 254.8 |
| 1999 |  | 254.8 | 315.9 | 198.8 | 229.0 | 174.7 | 380.1 | 224.4 | 284.3 | 153.7 | 296.9 |
| 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 259.8 | 300.7 | 201.6 | 231.4 | 190.4 | 386.5 | 221.9 | 286.6 | 170.0 | 296.9 |
|  | Feb. | 258.0 | 273.2 | 201.5 | 231.5 | 190.9 | 386.6 | 221.9 | 286.6 | 175.7 | 296.9 |
|  | Mar. | 257.7 | 267.6 | 201.3 | 232.4 | 199.1 | 389.5 | 221.9 | 286.6 | 180.9 | 296.9 |
|  | Apr. | 254.2 | 259.0 | 201.3 | 232.6 | 199.6 | 389.5 | 221.9 | 286.6 | 183.3 | 296.9 |
|  | May | 256.0 | 257.8 | 201.7 | 232.9 | 198.6 | 389.5 | 221.9 | 286.6 | 182.9 | 296.9 |
|  | June | 254.0 | 236.9 | 201.5 | 233.1 | 199.7 | 389.5 | 221.9 | 286.4 | 188.5 | 296.9 |
|  | Jul. | 258.3 | 222.5 | 201.3 | 233.4 | 199.7 | 359.5 | 221.9 | 286.4 | 195.9 | 296.9 |
|  | Aug. | 257.5 | 220.4 | 201.3 | 233.5 | 199.5 | 389.5 | 221.9 | 286.4 | 200.6 | 296.9 |
|  | Sep. | 260.4 | 207.1 | 201.4 | 234.0 | 201.1 | 391.8 | 224.0 | 286.4 | 204.3 | 296.9 |
|  | Oct. | 261.4 | 212.3 | 201.8 | 235.8 | 201.9 | 391.8 | 224.0 | 288.2 | 217.8 | 296.9 |
|  | Nov. | 270.9 | 219.9 | 202.4 | 237.2 | 203.8 | 391.8 | 232.7 | 288.2 | 218.0 | 296.9 |
|  | Dec. | 265.5 | 206.8 | 203.2 | 238.0 | 207.4 | 392.9 | 232.7 | 288.2 | 230.0 | 296.9 |
| 2001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 265.5 | 209.1 | 204.3 | 240.6 | 209.7 | 395.8 | 237.5 | 292.9 | 226.3 | 296.9 |
|  | Feb. | 262.3 | 201.5 | 204.6 | 241.3 | 215.3 | 395.8 | 237.5 | 292.9 | 223.6 | 296.9 |
|  | Mar. | 260.1 | 200.2 | 204.9 | 242.5 | 215.4 | 402.0 | 237.5 | 296.5 | 223.6 | 296.9 |
|  | Apr. | 260.4 | 203.8 | 205.0 | 242.9 | 217.5 | 402.0 | 237.5 | 295.9 | 223.6 | 296.9 |
|  | May | 263.2 | 208.1 | 205.4 | 243.1 | 219.8 | 403.8 | 237.5 | 295.9 | 222.8 | 296.9 |
|  | June | 264.3 | 215.9 | 205.9 | 243.7 | 227.6 | 403.8 | 237.5 | 295.9 | 225.2 | 296.9 |
|  | Jul. |  |  |  | 244.0 | 229.6 | 403.8 | 237.5 | 295.9 | 225.1 | 296.9 |
| 2002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Jan. | 266.7 | 244.4 | 204.2 | 243.2 | 228.0 | 403.1 | 239.8 | 296.2 | 200.9 | 296.9 |
|  | July | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 251.4 | 229.7 | 432.6 | 239.1 | 315.6 | 220.7 | 296.9 |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, October 2001,NSCB

Table 2.3.16 Foreign Exchange Rate of the Peso versus the US Dollar: 1987-2001


Source: Data presented by Central Bank
International Financial Statistics, IMF
NSO Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, May 2001, NSO
Note: Italic figures were obtained from "NSO Monthly Bulletin of Statistics, May 2001, NSO".

Table 3.1.1 Inventory of Educational Facilities: 2000-2001 School Year

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Schools |  |  |  |
| Pre-School | 11,643 | 1,573 | 87 |
| Government | 6,450 | 953 | 80 |
| Private | 4,593 | 620 | 7 |
| Elementary School | 40,284 | 3,214 | 220 |
| Government | 36,013 | 2,609 | 217 |
| Private | 4,271 | 605 | 3 |
| Secondary School | 7,509 | 688 | 41 |
| Government | 4,336 | 311 | 23 |
| Private | 3,173 | 357 | 18 |
| Tertiary School | 1,603 | 153 | 7 |
| Government | 389 | 20 | 4 |
| Private | 1,214 | 133 | 3 |
| Numbers of Enrolled |  |  |  |
| Pre -School | 592,227 | * | 661 |
| Government | 308,667 | * | 389 |
| Private | 283,560 | * | 272 |
| Elementary School | 12,759,900 | 1,316,719 | 70,020 |
| Government | 11,832,611 | 1,154,576 | 67,853 |
| Private | 927,289 | 162,143 | 2,167 |
| Secondary School | 5,378,735 | 564,729 | 36,448 |
| Government | 4,157,889 | 393,293 | 22,574 |
| Private | 1,220,846 | 171,436 | 13,874 |
| Tertiary School | 2,067,965 | 167,567 | 7,652 |
| Government | - | 65,523 | 4,063 |
| Private | - | 102,044 | 3,589 |
| Source: 2001 Philippin | ,NSCB |  |  |
|  | ucation Cultur | ), Provincial | Region 3 |
| Note: In general, the 2 years for pre and 4 years for <br> * No reliable | ndance for res imary school; period of tertia | ols are as fo econdary sch pends on the | study. |

Table 3.2.1 Inventory of Hospitals, Barangay Health Stations and Rural Health Units: 2000

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales Province |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Hospital | 1,712 | 155 | 13 |
| Public | 623 | 43 | 6 |
| Private | 1,089 | 112 | 7 |
| Bed Capacity | 81,016 | 5,654 | 549 |
| Bed Capacity per 10,000 population | 10.6 | 7.0 | 12.6 |
| 2. Barangay Health Station | 14,416* ${ }^{1}$ | 1,464 ${ }^{* 1}$ | 80 |
| 3. Rural Health Unit | 2,405*2 | $252^{* 2}$ | 35 |

Source: 2001 Statistical Yearbook, NSCB
Statistical Yearbook, 2001, NEDA Region 3
Department of Health (DOH), Regional Division
Note: *1 1999 data
*2 1997 data

Table 3.3.1 Inventory of Roads and Bridges: December 2001

|  | Item | Philippines | Region 3 | Zambales |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1. Road by System Classification (km) |  |  |  |  |
| a. National | 29,844 | $1,738.22$ | 188.00 |  |
| b. Provincial | 27,076 | $13,481.74^{* 1}$ | $1,789.78^{* 1}$ |  |
| c. Municipal and City | 22,856 |  |  |  |
| d. Barangay | 121,989 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 201,765 | $15,219.96$ | $1,977.78$ |

2. Road by Surface Type (km)

Total

| a. Concrete | 30,024 | 3,908.42 | 204.13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b. Asphalt | 13,462 | 1,435.12 | 351.02 |
| c. Gravel | 101,542 | 7,269.44 | 970.65 |
| d. Earth | 56,737 | 2,606.99 | 451.97 |
| Total | 201,765 | 15,219.97 | 1,977.77 |
| National Roads |  |  |  |
| a. Concrete | 11,971 | 879.50 | 27.27 |
| b. Asphalt | 6,868 | 711.45 | 160.72 |
| c. Gravel | 10,571 | 130.44 | - |
| d. Earth | 434 | 16.83 | - |
| Total | 29,844 | 1,783.22 | 188.00 |
| Provincial Roads |  |  |  |
| a. Concrete | 3,564 | 3,028.92* ${ }^{1}$ | $176.86 *^{1}$ |
| b. Asphalt | 2,164 | $723.67 *^{1}$ | 190.30* ${ }^{1}$ |
| c. Gravel | 18,108 | 7,139.00* ${ }^{1}$ | 970.65*1 |
| d. Earth | 3,240 | 2,590.16* ${ }^{1}$ | $451.97 *^{1}$ |
| Total | 27,076 | 13,481.74*1 | $1789.78{ }^{1}$ |

Municipal and City Roads
a. Concrete 7,632
b. Asphalt 3,266
c. Gravel 8,494
d. Earth 3,463 Total 22,856
Barangay Roads
a. Concrete 6,858
b. Asphalt 1,163
c. Gravel 64,368
d. Earth 49,600 Total 121,989
3. Road Density (m./sq.km) Land Area (Sq.km.)
a. National

| 300,000 | 18,231 | 3,714 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 99 | 95 | 51 |
| 90 | $740^{*^{1}}$ | $482^{*^{1}}$ |
| 76 |  |  |
| 407 |  |  |
| 673 | 835 | 533 |

4. Bridge

| Length of Bridges $(\mathrm{m})$ | 286,784 | 24,060 | 3,898 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| - | Permanent ${ }^{*} 2$ | 262,298 | 24,038 |
| - | Temporary *3 | 24,485 | 22 |

[^10]Table 3.4.1 Number of Households by Type of Water Supply System: 1990, 1997

| Item | Philippines 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Region } 3 \\ 1997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Zambales Province** } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Number of Households by Main Source of Potable Water in 1990 census Year (Unit: 1000) |  |  |  |
| a. Own Use, Faucet, Community Water System | 2,572.4 | 277.4* | 36.7 |
| b. Shared, Faucet, Community Water System | 2,169.7 | 174.6* | 18.5 |
| c. Own Use, Tubed/Piped, Deep Well | 967.4 | 221.8* | 19.6 |
| d. Shared,Tubed/Piped, Deep Well | 1,696.1 | 244.2* | 13.4 |
| e. Tubed/Piped, Shallow Well | 920.2 | 184.8* | 16.3 |
| f. Dug Well | 1,566.2 | 29.4* | 6.3 |
| g. Spring, Lake, River, Rain, etc. | 1,314.0 | 16.3* | 4.3 |
| h. Peddler | 201.2 | 14.7* | 0.4 |
| Total | 11,407.3 | 1,163.2* | 115.6 |
| 2. Household Served by Potable Water Systems (Unit: 1000) |  |  |  |
| Level I *1 | 3,395.2 |  |  |
| Level II *2 | 28.0 |  |  |
| Level III *3 | 634.2 |  |  |
| Total | 4,057.4 |  |  |
| 3. Coverage of Household Served (\%) |  |  |  |
| Population (1000) | 60,559.1 | 7,371.9*4 | 563.0 |
| Households (1000) | 11,407.3 | 1,163.2* | 115.6 |
| Coverage by Level I, II, \& III (\%) | 35.6 |  |  |
| Coverage by Level III | 5.6 | 2.4* | 3.2 |


| Source: | (1) 1990 Census of Population and Housing, NSO |
| :--- | :--- |
| Notes: | * 1997 data |
|  | ** Figures are estimates on a 10\% sample and include Olongapo City |
|  | *1 Level I is a point source, consisting of shalllow wells, deep wells and dug wells, |
|  | developed springs, river/ponds/undeveloped springs and rain collectors. |
|  | *2 Level II is communal faucet system, generelly suitable for rural areas. |
|  | *3 Level III is a piped system with individual house connections, suitable for |
|  | densely inhabited urban areas. |
|  | *4 Estimated by interpolation to give 1997 figure |

Table 3.5.1 Electrification Program: Coverage, Number and Percentage Served : December 2000

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Municipality Level |  |  |
| Program Coverage (nos) | 1,454 | 92 |
| Number Energized (nos) | 1,454 | 92 |
| Percent Served (\%) | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Barangay Level |  |  |
| Program Coverage (nos) | 36,075 | 2,097 |
| Number Energized (nos) | 27,879 | 2,021 |
| Percent Served (\%) | $77 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| 3. Total Connection |  |  |
| Program Coverage (nos) | $7,784,000$ | 700,000 |
| Number Energized (nos) | $5,300,056$ | 662,151 |
| Percent Served (\%) | $68 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook,NSCB

## Table 3.6.1 Telephone Service Penetration by Operation by Operator Category : 2000

| Item | Philippines | Region 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Telephone Distribution |  |  |
| - Telephone |  |  |
| Lines | $6,905,962$ | 513,626 |
| Exchanges | $992^{* 1}$ | 110 |
| - Subscribers | $3,061,387$ | 222,915 |
| - Population (1000) | 76,503 | 8,031 |
| - Telephone Density per 100 Persons |  |  |
| Lines | 9.0 | 6.4 |
| Subscribers | 4.0 | 2.8 |

[^11]
# The Study on Sabo and Flood Control for Western River Basins of Mount Pinatubo 

 in the Republic of the PhilippinesFinal Report
Supporting Report



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bucao, Maloma and Sto.Tomas.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Botolan, Cabangan, Castillejos, Iba, San Antonio, San Felipe, San Marcelino and San Narciso
    ${ }^{3}$ Sourced from The Study of Flood and Mudflow Control for Sacobia-Bamban/Abacan River Draining from Mt. Pinatubo, May 1996, funded by JICA.

[^2]:    Note: Region 3 total GRDE (Row 10) has been made equal to total GRDP by introducing a statistical discrepancy in Row 9 of -14.6 . The total GRDE as reported by the Economics Statistics Office, NSCB was 259.7.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Due to Region 3 price information not being available, national values computed from Table 2.3.1 have been used to generate approximate production values for Region 3 crops. It is expected that actual price information will be used for the Draft Final Report.
    ${ }^{5}$ Bureau of Agricultural Statistics in Manila

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ For example, transport, communications, storage, finance, private and government services.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ According to the 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook.
    ${ }^{8}$ This means that $4.6 \%$ of all families in Region 3 cannot afford the food needed to maintain basic minimum health.

[^6]:    Source : 2001 Philippine Statistical Year Book, NSCB

[^7]:    Source: 2001 Philippine Statistical Yearbook, NSCB
    Gross Regional Domestic Expenditure 1996-1998,July 2000, NSCB
    JICA Study Team for Percentage Computations

[^8]:    Source: Foreign Trade Statistics of the Philippines, 1997-2000

[^9]:    Source: 1997 Annual Survey of Establishments, Vol.VII Wholesale and Retail Trade, NSO

[^10]:    Source: (1) Data presented by DPWH, Region 3
    (2) Data presented by DPWH, Head Office (Planning Service)

    Note: *1 All local roads
    *2 Concrete, steel, and similar materials
    *3 Bailey, timber, coconut, and similar materials
    Due to rounding, totals may not exactly equal the sum of individual figures

[^11]:    Source: '(1) 2001 Statistical Yearbook, NSCB
    '(2) 2000 Census of National, Regional and Provincial Population
    Note *1 For 1999
    *2 As a reference, the telephone density in NCR, was 29.6 of lines and 14.5 of subs
    *3 Telephone lines were managed by PLDT and DIGITEL in Region 3

