27.4 New North Port

Development direction of New North Port is proposed as follows:

- New North Port shall handle bulk and non-bulk cargoes, and serve mainly for Dong Anh and Soc Son Districts in order to contribute to urban and industrial development expected in these districts.
- Required length of berth shall be 760m.
- Development area for port facilities shall be allocated at the left bank of the Red River, and between Thang Long Bridge and future Nhat Tan Bridge (Hai Boi Commune, upstream of the existing groin KT-1)(see Table 27.4.1, Figure 27.4.1 and Figure 27.4.2).

Evaluating Items	Alternative-1	Alternative-2
	(Vinh Ngoc Commune,	(Hai Boi Commune,
	upstream of Nhat Tan	upstream of existing
	Bridge)	groin KT-1)
Current land use of future port site	Farm area	Farm area
	Brickyard	
Current land use of future access road site	Farm area	Farm area
		Less Populated area
Easiness in keeping water depth	Good	Fair
Required length of access road	Longer	Shorter
Environmental impact on populated are	Negligible	acceptable
Impact on existing groin system	Negligible	Negligible
Impact on the future city view	Considerable	Inconsiderable
Relation with old channel from groin KT1	Blocking	No relation
Overall evaluation	Inferior	Superior

 Table 27.4.1
 Evaluation of Alternatives on New North Port

Source) JICA Study Team

Master plan of New North Port is shown in Table 27.4.2 and Figure 27.4.3.

Item	Description
Port Owner/Investor	MOT (small-scale investment: port operator)
Port Operator	Company (Not yet decided)
Facing IW Corridor	Corridor 1 (Quang Ninh - Hai Phong - Hanoi - Viet Tri)
Hinterland	Primary: Dong Anh and Soc Son Districts
	Secondary: Gia Lam District
Design Capacity	3.2 million tons (Bulk: 2.8, Non-bulk: 0.4)
Length of Waterfront	0.8km
Berth Property	760m@-2.5m, Crown elevation: +12.3m
Land Area	11ha (Storage yard: 4.3ha, Warehouse: 0.6ha)
Handling Equipment	Quayside mobile crane: 15 units (8tons)
	Grab bucket: 12 units (3cu.m), Forklift: 9 units (3tons)
	Shovel loader: 9 units (2cu.m), Bulldozer: 3 units (5tons)
	Dump Truck: 24 units (10tons), Truck: 6 units (7tons)
	Pallet: 1,100 units (1.2mx1.8m)
Access Road	2 lanes to be linked to the Connecting Road between
	Thang Long Bridge North Exit and Highway No.3

Table 27.4.2 Master Plan of New North Port (2020)



Figure 27.4.1 Location of New North Port (2020, Alternative-1)

Source) JICA Study Team



Figure 27.4.2 Location of New North Port (2020, Alternative-2)

Source) JICA Study Team

Figure 27.4.3 Master Plan of New North Port (2020)

27.5 New East Port

Development direction of New East Port is proposed as follows:

- New East Port shall handle non-bulk and bulk cargoes as well as container from the Northern seaports of Cai Lan and Hai Phong, and serve mainly for Gia Lam District (container: for whole city) making full use of its excellent location as a primary gateway of Hanoi from the Northern seaports of Cai Lan and Hai Phong.
- Required length of berth shall be 720m.
- Development area for port facilities shall be allocated at the right bank of the Duong River, and downstream of Phu Dong Bridge.

Master plan of New East Port is shown in Table 27.5.1 and Figure 27.5.1.

Item	Description
Port Owner/Investor	MOT (small-scale investment: port operator)
Port Operator	Not yet decided (candidate: VINALINES+NOWATRANCO)
Facing IW Corridor	Corridor 1 (Quang Ninh - Hai Phong - Hanoi - Viet Tri)
Hinterland	Primary: Gia Lam District
	Secondary: Soc Son, Dong Anh and Thanh Tri Districts
	Container: whole city
Design Capacity	2.2 million tons (Bulk: 1.1, Non-bulk: 1.1)
	Container: 67 thousand TEUs
Length of Waterfront	0.8km
Berth Property	720m@-2.5m, Crown Elevation: +11.0m
Land Area	18ha (Storage yard: 1.8ha, Warehouse: 1.9ha,
	ICD (CY+CFS+DC): 6.7ha)
Handling Equipment	Quayside mobile crane: 15 units (2@30tons+13@8tons)
	Grab bucket: 5 units (3cu.m)
	Forklift: 32 units (4@37tons+28@3tons)
	Shovel loader: 3 units (2cu.m), Bulldozer: 2 units (5tons)
	Dump Truck: 9 units (10tons), Truck: 19Units (7tons)
	Tractor & Trailer: 6 units
	Pallet: 3,400 units (1.2mx1.8m)
Access Road	2 lanes to be linked to Highway No.1 and Dyke road

Table 27.5.1	Master Plar	n of New E	ast Port (2020)
--------------	-------------	------------	-----------------

Figure 27.5.1 Master Plan of New East Port (2020)

27.6 New passenger berth

27.6.1 Service schedule and required passenger boats

As to passenger traffic, the following service routes have potential to be realized. In order to attract passengers on these service routes, it is indispensable to provide a service almost the same as that of bus service in terms of transit time and fare (see **Table 27.6.1** through **Table 27.6.3** and **Figure 27.6.1**).

Hanoi - Hung Yen - Thai Binh route (Hanoi - downstream of Red River) Hanoi - Viet Tri - Phu Tho route (Hanoi - upstream of Red River)

It should be noted, however, that current bus fares are set at a low level since vehicles in service are old and uncomfortable in general. According to HNPC, these old and uncomfortable vehicles will be replaced by new and comfortable one by 2007. Once vehicles are replaced, it is quite natural that bus fares will considerably be raised.

Direction	Section	Distan	ce(Km)	Travel	īme(hr)	IWT Demo	and ('000)
		Road	IW	Bus	IW	2010	2020
To South	Ha Noi <> Hung Yen	64	60	2.1	2.1	210	309
	Ha Noi <> Thai Binh	109	101	3.4	3.5	159	224
	Hung Yen <> Thai Binh	45	41	1.3	1.6	32	64
	subtotal					402	597
To West	Ha Noi <> Viet Tri	84	75	2.5	2.6	135	189
	Ha Noi <> Phu Tho	123	115	3.8	4.0	101	141
	Viet Tri <> Phu Tho	39	40	1.4	1.4	3	5
	subtotal					239	335
Total						641	932

 Table 27.6.1
 Potential Passenger Demand from Hanoi

Source) JICA Study Team

Table 27.6.2 Sensitivity Analysis on Passenger Demand from Hanoi

						(%)				
		Waiting Time Difference(IW-Bus)								
		0	0.5	1	1.5	2				
	0	-	-29.6	-51.6	-67.2	-77.9				
Fare	5,000	-27.6	-50.1	-66.0	-77.2	-84.8				
Difference (IW-	10,000	-48.6	-65.0	-76.4	-84.3	-89.4				
B∪s)	15,000	-64.0	-75.7	-83.8	-89.1	-92.8				
	20,000	-74.9	-83.2	-88.8	-92.6	-95.1				

Terminal	Route	Departure	Service	Transit Time	Distance	Average	Fare (VND)	(VND/km)
in Hanoi	(for)	Time	Frequency	(h)	(km)	Speed		
			(departures)	. ,	. ,	(km/h)		
Giap Bat	HCMC	10:00, 15:00	2	43.0	1,719	40.0	185,000	108
Giap Bat	Da Nang	5:30, 7:00	2	16.0	759	47.4	84,000	111
Kim Ma	Yen Bai	6:00 - 15:00	10	5.0	190	38.0	31,000	163
Gia Lam	Yen Bai	5:00, 8:00	2	5.0	190	38.0	31,000	163
Kim Ma	Tuyen Quan	5:30 - 13:30	15	4.0	166	41.5	24,000	145
Gia Lam	Tuyen Quan	6:00 - 14:00	8	4.0	166	41.5	24,000	145
Kim Ma	Cam Pha	6:30 - 13:00	12	4.0	160	40.0	38,000	238
Gia Lam	Cua Ong	6:00 - 8:40	5	4.0	160	40.0	35,000	219
Gia Lam	Cam Pha	6:30, 8:30	2	4.0	160	40.0	38,000	238
Giap Bat	Thanh Hoa	6:00 - 16:30	15	3.5	153	43.7	15,000	98
Kim Ma	Bai Chay	5:30 - 17:30	36	3.5	130	37.1	35,000	269
Gia Lam	Hong Gai	6:00 - 15:00	25	3.5	130	37.1	27,000	208
Kim Ma	Phu Tho	6:00 - 16:30	14	3.0	123	41.0	19,000	154
Giap Bat	Thai Binh	5:00 - 16:30	15	2.5	109	43.6	15,000	138
Kim Ma	Hai Phong	5:00 - 18:45	80	2.5	106	42.4	25,000	236
Gia Lam	Hai Phong	6:00 - 16:30	18	2.5	106	42.4	25,000	236
Giap Bat	Ninh Binh	7:00 - 17:30	12	2.5	94	37.6	14,000	149
Giap Bat	Nam Dinh	5:30 - 17:30	15	2.5	90	36.0	11,000	122
Gia Lam	Hun Yen	6:00 - 16:00	12	1.5	64	42.7	9,000	141
Giap Bat	Phu Ly	5:00 - 17:00	10	1.5	59	39.3	8,500	144
Kim Ma	Son Tay	5:30 - 16:30	24	1.0	42	42.0	10,000	238

Table 27.6.3 Existing Bus Transport Service

Note) There are some route where premium fares (VND3,000 to VND9,000 more expensive than normal fare) are set.

Source) Survey at 3 bus terminals in Hanoi by JICA Study Team in June 2002.

Source) Japanese travel guide book 2002

Note) see Table 27.6.3

Source) Analyzed by JICA Study Team

Figure 27.6.1 Bus Fare (Service Distance: 40 - 200km)

Tentative service schedule for Hanoi - Hung Yen - Thai Binh route are set taking into account current bus service.

Hanoi - Hung Yen - Thai Binh route (see Table 27.6.4)
Departure time: 6:30 - 17:00
Service Frequency: 11 services
Required boat: 10 vessels
Hanoi - Viet Tri - Phu Tho route (see Table 27.6.8)
Departure time: 6:30 - 17:00
Service Frequency: 11 services
Required boat: 11 vessels

Since the passenger transport is one of public transport service, it is necessary to set passenger fare at a lower level as far as viability of operating business is kept. Tentative passenger fares are conceived as follows:

Case-1: IWT fare = Bus fare Case-2: IWT fare = Bus fare + VND10,000 Case-3: IWT fare = Bus fare with 50% raised

Among 3 alternatives, case-3 is considered to be most likely level taking into account vehicle replacement plan of HNPC and the following information is derived:

Hanoi - Hung Yen - Thai Binh	route (see table 27.6.5 through Table 27.6.7)
Require seats of boat:	70seats
Passenger volume:	0.3 million PAX (2010), 0.5 million PAX (2020)
Revenue by fare:	US\$0.4million (2010), US\$0.6 million (2020)
Hanoi - Viet Tri - Phu Tho rou	te (see table 27.6.9 through Table 27.6.11)

Hanoi - Viet Iri - Phu Tho route (see fable 27.6.9fhrough Table 27.6.11)Require seats of boat:50seatsPassenger volume:0.2 million PAX (2010), 0.3 million PAX (2020)Revenue by fare:US\$0.3million (2010), US\$0.5 million (2020)

Before starting operation of passenger boat service, promotion activity of a large scale in order to make passengers shift from bus transport to IWT is recommended since passengers tend to hesitate to change transport mode in general.

Vessel N	ame	Hanoi	Hun	Yen	Thai Binh	(stay)	Vessel N	lame	Thai Binh	Hun	Yen	Hanoi	(stay)
Vessel	1			7.1	8.5		Vessel	2			6.9	9.0	
Vessel	3			8.1	9.5		Vessel	4			7.9	10.0	
Vessel	5	6.5	8.6	9.1	10.5		Vessel	6	7.0	8.4	8.9	11.0	
Vessel	7	7.5	9.6	10.1	11.5		Vessel	8	8.0	9.4	9.9	12.0	
Vessel	9	8.5	10.6	11.1	12.5		Vessel	1	9.0	10.4	10.9	13.0	
Vessel	2	9.5	11.6	12.1	13.5		Vessel	3	10.0	11.4	11.9	14.0	
Vessel	4	10.5	12.6	13.1	14.5		Vessel	5	11.0	12.4	12.9	15.0	
Vessel	6	11.5	13.6	14.1	15.5		Vessel	7	12.0	13.4	13.9	16.0	
Vessel	8	12.5	14.6	15.1	16.5		Vessel	9	13.0	14.4	14.9	17.0	Hanoi
Vessel	1	13.5	15.6	16.1	17.5	Thai Binh	Vessel	2	14.0	15.4	15.9	18.0	Hanoi
Vessel	3	14.5	16.6	17.1	18.5	Thai Binh	Vessel	4	15.0	16.4	16.9	19.0	Hanoi
Vessel	5	15.5	17.6			Hung Yen	Vessel	6	16.0	17.4			Hung Yen
Vessel	7	16.5	18.6			Hung Yen	Vessel	8	17.0	18.4			Hung Yen
No. of Se	ervice	1	1	1	1		No. of Se	ervice	1	1	1	1	

Table 27.6.4 Tentative Service Schedule of Passenger Boat (HN-HY-TB)

Note) Required number of boat = 9 + 1 (for dock) = 10

Note) Cruising speed = 30 km/h, average speed = 29 km/h

Note) Transit Time (hr):

HN-HY	Waiting	HY-TB
2.1	0.5	1.4

Source) JICA Study Team

Table 27.6.5Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue
(HN-HY-TB, Case-1: IWT fare = Bus fare)

-														
Year	O-D		Passenge	r Demand			Sec	tion:		Tot	al Trar	isport	Reve	nue (US\$)
		Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to HY	HY to TB	TB to HY	HY to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
	Hanoi - Hung Yen	210,000	0.0%	48	24	24			24	175,000	60	10,500,000	0.8	135,147
	Hanoi - Thai Binh	159,000	0.0%	36	18	18	18	18	18	106,000	101	10,706,000	1.3	139,418
	Hung Yen - Thai Binh	32,000	0.0%	7	4		4	4		29,333	41	1,202,667	0.5	15,928
2010	Total	401,000	0.0%	92	46	42	22	22	42	310,333		22,408,667		290,493
2010				50		84%	44%	44%	84%					
	Seats			60	Load	70%	36%	36%	70%					
				70	Factor	60%	31%	31%	60%					
				80		53%	27%	27%	53%					
	Hanoi - Hung Yen	309,000	0.0%	71	35	35			35	257,500	60	15,450,000	0.8	198,859
	Hanoi - Thai Binh	224,000	0.0%	51	26	26	26	26	26	149,333	101	15,082,667	1.3	196,413
	Hung Yen - Thai Binh	64,000	0.0%	15	7		7	7		58,667	41	2,405,333	0.5	31,856
2020	Total	597,000	0.0%	136	68	61	33	33	61	465,500		32,938,000		427,128
2020				50		122%	66%	66%	122%					
	5	- ata		60	Load	101%	55%	55%	101%					
	36	ears		70	Factor	87%	47%	47%	87%					
						76%	41%	41%	76%					
Note) Fa	res are set at a level as sar	me as that of	bus.	Fare (US\$) =	11	181	X (Road Dist	ance) +	0	1×	1.0)+	0	1/15.000

Table 27.6.6Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue(HN-HY-TB, Case-2: IWT fare = Bus fare + VND 10,000)

Year	O-D		Passenger	Demand			Sec	tion		Tot	al Trar	nsport	Reve	nue (US\$)
		Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to HY	HY to TB	TB to HY	HY to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
	Hanoi - Hung Yen	210,000	-48.6%	25	12	12			12	89,950	60	5,397,000	1.4	129,432
	Hanoi - Thai Binh	159,000	-48.6%	19	9	9	9	9	9	54,484	101	5,502,884	2.0	107,984
	Hung Yen - Thai Binh	32,000	-48.6%	4	2		2	2		15,077	41	618,171	1.2	18,239
2010	Total	401,000	-48.6%	47	24	22	11	11	22	159,511		11,518,055		255,654
2010				20		108%	56%	56%	108%					
	5		30	Load	72%	37%	37%	72%						
	26012			40	Factor	54%	28%	28%	54%					
				50		43%	22%	22%	43%					
	Hanoi - Hung Yen	309,000	-48.6%	36	18	18			18	132,355	60	7,941,300	1.4	190,450
	Hanoi - Thai Binh	224,000	-48.6%	26	13	13	13	13	13	76,757	101	7,752,491	2.0	152,128
	Hung Yen - Thai Binh	64,000	-48.6%	8	4		4	4		30,155	41	1,236,341	1.2	36,477
2020	Total	597,000	-48.6%	70	35	31	17	17	31	239,267		16,930,132		379,055
2020				20		156%	84%	84%	156%					
	5	- ata		30	Load	104%	56%	56%	104%					
	36	Bais		40	Factor	78%	42%	42%	78%					
						63%	34%	34%	63%					
Note) Ec	res are set at VND10.000 h	ighor lovel th	an that of bur	Earo (115\$) -	11	191	V (Road Dict		0	1 ×	1.0	1+	10,000	1/15.000

 Note)
 Fares are set at VND10,000 higher level than that of bus. Fare (US\$) =
 (
 181 X (Road Distance) +
 0) x
 1.0
) +
 10,000
 //15,000

 Source)
 JICA Study Team
 JICA Study

Table 27.6.7 Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue (HN-HY-TB, Case-3: IWT fare = Bus fare with 50% raised)

O-D		Passenger	Demand			Sec	tion		Tot	al Trar	nsport	Reve	nue (US\$)
	Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to HY	HY to TB	TB to HY	HY to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
Hanoi - Hung Yen	210,000	0.0%	48	24	24			24	175,000	60	10,500,000	1.2	202,720
Hanoi - Thai Binh	159,000	0.0%	36	18	18	18	18	18	106,000	101	10,706,000	2.0	209,127
Hung Yen - Thai Binh	32,000	0.0%	7	4		4	4		29,333	41	1,202,667	0.8	23,892
Total	401,000	0.0%	92	46	42	22	22	42	310,333		22,408,667		435,739
			50		84%	44%	44%	84%					
Sci		60	Load Factor	70%	36%	36%	70%						
36		70		60%	31%	31%	60%						
			80		53%	27%	27%	53%					
Hanoi - Hung Yen	309,000	0.0%	71	35	35			35	257,500	60	15,450,000	1.2	298,288
Hanoi - Thai Binh	224,000	0.0%	51	26	26	26	26	26	149,333	101	15,082,667	2.0	294,620
Hung Yen - Thai Binh	64,000	0.0%	15	7		7	7		58,667	41	2,405,333	0.8	47,784
Total	597,000	0.0%	136	68	61	33	33	61	465,500		32,938,000		640,692
			50		122%	66%	66%	122%					
Sci	at		60	Load	101%	55%	55%	101%					
36	Seats			Factor	87%	47%	47%	87%					
			80		76%	41%	41%	76%					
	O-D Hanoi - Hung Yen Hanoi - Thai Binh Total Se Hanoi - Hung Yen Hanoi - Thai Binh Hung Yen - Thai Binh Total Se	O-D Yearly Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 32,000 Total 401,000 Seats Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 Hanoi - Thai Binh 224,000 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 Total 597,000	O-D Passenger Yearly Sensitivity Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 0.0% Hung Yen - Thai Binh 32,000 0.0% Total 401,000 0.0% Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% Hanoi - Thai Binh 224,000 0.0% Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 0.0% Total 597,000 0.0%	O-D Yearly Sensitivity Hourly Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% 48 Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 0.0% 36 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 32,000 0.0% 7 Total 401,000 0.0% 92 Servers 50 60 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 71 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 Hanoi - Thai Binh 224,000 0.0% 51 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 0.0% 51 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 597,000 0.0% 51 For Total 597,000 0.0% 50 Seats Seats 50 60	O-D Yearly Sensitivity Hourly One way Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% 48 24 Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% 36 18 Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 0.0% 7 4 Total 401,000 0.0% 92 46 Searts 50 60 1004 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 71 35 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 26 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 26 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 224,000 0.0% 51 26 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 0.0% 136 68 Total 597,000 0.0% 50 60 1004 Seats 50 60 1004 604 604 1004 Factor 60 136 68 60 1004 60 Hanoi - Thai Binh	O-D Image: Passenge: Demand One way HN to HY Yearly Sensitivity Hourly One way HN to HY Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% 48 24 24 Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 0.0% 36 18 18 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 32,000 0.0% 7 4 42 Total 401,000 0.0% 72 44 42 Fordin 401,000 0.0% 92 46 42 Fordin 401,000 0.0% 72 46 42 Fordin 401,000 0.0% 92 46 42 Fordin 401,000 0.0% 70 46 42 Fordin 50 Fordin 53% 53% 53% Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 26 26 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 0.0% 115 7 12% Fordin 597,000	O-D Fassenger Demand Sector Yearly Sensitivity Hourly One way HN to HY HY to TB Hanoi - Hung Yen 210,000 0.0% 48 24 24 Hanoi - Thai Binh 159,000 0.0% 36 18 18 18 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 32,000 0.0% 7 4 4 4 Total 401,000 0.0% 92 46 42 22 Seats 50 Add 60 Load 70% 36% Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 71 35 35 27% Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 26 26 26 Hanoi - Hung Yen 309,000 0.0% 51 26 26 26 Hung Yen - Thai Binh 64,000 0.0% 15 7 7 7 Total 597,000 0.0% 136 68 61 33 Load<	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c } \hline \hline \begin{tabular}$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c } \hline \begin{tabular}{ c c c } \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c } \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c } \hline \begin{tabual}{ c c } \hline \hline \ \begin{tabual}{ c c } \hline \hline \ \ \end{tabual} \hline \hline \end{tabual} \hline \hline \end{tabual} \hline \hline \ \end{tabual} \hline \hline \end{tabual} $	O-DPassengerbernerServitivityHouryOne wayHN to HYHY to TBTB to HYHY to HNPAXHanoi - Hung Yen210,0000.0%448242400.0175,000Hanoi - Thai Binh159,0000.0%366188188188188188188108,000Hung Yen - Thai Binh32,0000.0%92446442222242310,333Total401,0000.0%92446442222242310,333Total401,0000.0%92446442222242310,333Manoi - Thai Binh309,0000.0%7010631%36%70%107Hanoi - Hung Yen309,0000.0%71355351033257,500Hanoi - Thai Binh224,0000.0%15771637149,333366149,333Hung Yen - Thai Binh64,0000.0%1577161716333361465,500Hung Yen - Thai Binh64,0000.0%157716333361465,500Hung Yen - Thai Binh64,0000.0%157716333361465,500Hung Yen - Thai Binh64,00015771636%164%164%16333361465,500Hung Yen - Thai Binh64,000157616%66% <td< td=""><td>O-DPassengerbernandImage: Orgonal of the sector of t</td><td>O-D 1 YearlyParsenge-bernerConcourtNorman<td>O-DVersiteVersiteIII</td></td></td<>	O-DPassengerbernandImage: Orgonal of the sector of t	O-D 1 YearlyParsenge-bernerConcourtNorman <td>O-DVersiteVersiteIII</td>	O-DVersiteVersiteIII

 Note)
 Fares are set at a level as same as that of bus (50% increc Fare (US\$) =
 (
 181 X (Road Distance) +
 0
 x
 1.5
) +
 0
 //15,000

 Source)
 JICA Sludy Team
 <t

Vessel N	lame	Hanoi	Vie	t Tri	Phu Tho	(stay)	Vessel N	lame	Phu Tho	Vie	t Tri	Hanoi	(stay)
Vessel	1			7.6	9.0		Vessel	2			6.4	9.0	
Vessel	3			8.6	10.0		Vessel	4			7.4	10.0	
Vessel	5	6.5	9.1	9.6	11.0		Vessel	6	6.5	7.9	8.4	11.0	
Vessel	7	7.5	10.1	10.6	12.0		Vessel	8	7.5	8.9	9.4	12.0	
Vessel	9	8.5	11.1	11.6	13.0		Vessel	10	8.5	9.9	10.4	13.0	
Vessel	2	9.5	12.1	12.6	14.0		Vessel	1	9.5	10.9	11.4	14.0	
Vessel	4	10.5	13.1	13.6	15.0		Vessel	3	10.5	11.9	12.4	15.0	
Vessel	6	11.5	14.1	14.6	16.0		Vessel	5	11.5	12.9	13.4	16.0	
Vessel	8	12.5	15.1	15.6	17.0	Phu Tho	Vessel	7	12.5	13.9	14.4	17.0	Hanoi
Vessel	10	13.5	16.1	16.6	18.0	Phu Tho	Vessel	9	13.5	14.9	15.4	18.0	Hanoi
Vessel	1	14.5	17.1	17.6	19.0	Phu Tho	Vessel	2	14.5	15.9	16.4	19.0	Hanoi
Vessel	3	15.5	18.1			Viet Tri	Vessel	4	15.5	16.9			Viet Tri
Vessel	5	16.5	19.1			Viet Tri	Vessel	6	16.5	17.9			Viet Tri
No. of Se	ervice	1	1	1	1		No. of Se	ervice	1	1	1	1	

Table 27.6.8 Tentative Service Schedule of Passenger Boat (HN-VT-PT)

Note) Required number of boat = 10 + 1 (for dock) = 11

Note) Cruising speed = 30 km/h, average speed = 29 km/h

Note) Transit Time (hr):

e (hr):	HN-VT	Waiting
	2.6	0.5

Source) JICA Study Team

Table 27.6.9 Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue (HN-VT-PT, Case-1: IWT fare = Bus fare)

VT-PT

-														
Year	O-D		Passenge	r Demand			Sec	tion		Tot	al Trar	isport	Reve	nue (US\$)
		Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to VT	VT to PT	PT to VT	VT to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	135,000	0.0%	31	15	15			15	112,500	75	8,437,500	1.0	114,030
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	101,000	0.0%	23	12	12	12	12	12	67,333	115	7,743,333	1.5	99,936
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	3,000	0.0%	1	0		0	0		2,750	40	110,000	0.5	1,294
2010	Total	239,000	0.0%	55	27	27	12	12	27	182,583		16,290,833		215,260
2010				30		90%	40%	40%	90%					
	2	o ata		40	Load	67%	30%	30%	67%					
	51	50	Factor	54%	24%	24%	54%							
				60	45%	20%	20%	45%						
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	189,000	0.0%	43	22	22			22	157,500	75	11,812,500	1.0	159,642
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	141,000	0.0%	32	16	16	16	16	16	94,000	115	10,810,000	1.5	139,515
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	5,000	0.0%	1	1		1	1		4,583	40	183,333	0.5	2,157
2020	Total	335,000	0.0%	76	38	38	17	17	38	256,083		22,805,833		301,314
2020				30		126%	56%	56%	126%					
	Seats			40	Load	94%	42%	42%	94%					
				50	Factor	75%	33%	33%	75%					
				60		63%	28%	28%	63%					
Note) Fares are set at a level as same as that of bus.		Fare (US\$) =	()	181	X (Road Dist	ance) +	0) x	1.0) +	0)/15,000		

Table 27.6.10 Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue (HN-VT-PT, Case-2: IWT fare = Bus fare + VND 10,000)

Year	O-D		Passenger	Demand			Sec	tion		Tot	al Trar	isport	Reve	nue (US\$)
		Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to VT	VT to PT	PT to VT	VT to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	135,000	-48.6%	16	8	8			8	57,825	75	4,336,875	1.7	97,161
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	101,000	-48.6%	12	6	6	6	6	6	34,609	115	3,980,073	2.2	74,440
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	3,000	-48.6%	0	0		0	0		1,414	40	56,540	1.1	1,608
2010	Total	239,000	-48.6%	28	14	14	6	6	14	93,848		8,373,488		173,209
2010				15		92%	41%	41%	92%					
		oata		20	Load	69%	31%	31%	69%					
	30013			25	Factor	55%	24%	24%	55%					
				30		46%	20%	20%	46%					
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	189,000	-48.6%	22	11	11			11	80,955	75	6,071,625	1.7	136,026
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	141,000	-48.6%	17	8	8	8	8	8	48,316	115	5,556,340	2.2	103,921
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	5,000	-48.6%	1	0		0	0		2,356	40	94,233	1.1	2,679
2020	Total	335,000	-48.6%	39	20	19	9	9	19	131,627		11,722,198		242,626
2020	2020			15		129%	57%	57%	129%					
	5	o ata		20	Load	97%	43%	43%	97%					
	50	ears		25	Factor	77%	34%	34%	77%					
				30		65%	29%	29%	65%					
Netel Constant at MID10 000 black a level that af level 5			Faure (LICC)		101	V (De est Dist		0	1	1.0	1.	10.000	1/15 000	

Note) Fares are set at VND10.000 higher level than that of bus. Fare (US\$) = ((181 X (Road Distance) + 0) x 1.0) + 10.000 |/15.000 Source) JICA Study Team

Table 27.6.11 Required Seats of Passenger Boat and Estimated Revenue (HN-VT-PT, Case-3: IWT fare = Bus fare with 50% raised)

Year	O-D		Passenger	Demand			Sec	tion		Tot	al Trar	isport	Reve	nue (US\$)
		Yearly	Sensitivity	Hourly	One way	HN to VT	VT to PT	PT to VT	VT to HN	PAX	km	PAX-km	Fare	Revenue
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	135,000	0.0%	31	15	15			15	112,500	75	8,437,500	1.5	171,045
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	101,000	0.0%	23	12	12	12	12	12	67,333	115	7,743,333	2.2	149,904
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	3,000	0.0%	1	0		0	0		2,750	40	110,000	0.7	1,941
2010	Total	239,000	0.0%	55	27	27	12	12	27	182,583		16,290,833		322,890
2010				30		90%	40%	40%	90%					
			40	Load Factor	67%	30%	30%	67%						
	26012				50	54%	24%	24%	54%					
					60	45%	20%	20%	45%					
	Hanoi - Viet Tri	189,000	0.0%	43	22	22			22	157,500	75	11,812,500	1.5	239,463
	Hanoi - Phu Tho	141,000	0.0%	32	16	16	16	16	16	94,000	115	10,810,000	2.2	209,272
	Viet Tri - Phu Tho	5,000	0.0%	1	1		1	1		4,583	40	183,333	0.7	3,235
2020	Total	335,000	0.0%	76	38	38	17	17	38	256,083		22,805,833		451,971
2020	2020			30		126%	56%	56%	126%					
		- ata		40	Load	94%	42%	42%	94%					
26QI2				50	Factor	75%	33%	33%	75%					
			60		63%	28%	28%	63%						

Note) Fares are set at a level as same as that of bus (50% increc Fare (US\$) = ((181 X (Road Distance) + 0) x 1.5) + 0)/15,000

27.6.2 Passenger Terminal

Development direction of new main passenger terminal is proposed as follows:

- New passenger terminal shall serve for passenger boats and their passengers plying Hanoi Hun Yen Thai Binh and Hanoi Viet Tri Phu Tho as well as cruising boats and their passengers.
- Development area for new passenger terminal shall be allocated at northern part of Hanoi Port.

Master plan of new passenger terminal is shown in Table 27.6.12 and Figure 27.6.2.

Item	Description
Port Operator	Not yet decided (candidate: HNPC)
Location	Northern part of Hanoi Port
Designed Capacity	0.8 million PAX (+ 0.3 million PAX for tourism)
Length of Waterfront	100m
Length of Berth	100m (2 pontoon)
Total Area	0.7ha
Passenger Terminal Building	0.1ha (see Table 27.6.13)
Parking	0.3ha for bus, car and motorbike
Park & Open Space	0.3ha for passenger and others
Access to the Berth	Bus

 Table 27.6.12
 Master Plan of New Passenger Terminal (2020)

Source) JICA Study Team

Table 27.6.13 Conceptual Dimensions of Passenger Terminal Building

Facility			Parameter	Liner S	ervice	To	ur Crui	se	Total
				HN-HY-TB	HN-VT-PT	(1)	(2)	(3)	
Waiting space	а	sq.m/PAX	Required space per person	1.5	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	
	S	seat	Seats of boat	70	50	150	40	40	
	υ		Waiting space use ratio	1	1	0.7	0.7	0.7	
	d		Simultanious departing ratio	1	1	0.5	0.5	0.5	
	С		Concentrating ratio within a day	1	1	1	1	1	
	f		Fluctuating ratio within a year	1	1	1	1	1	
	А	sq.m	=aSudcf	105	75	63	17	17	277
Ticketing counter		sq.m							20
Tour & information desk		sq.m							10
Office		sq.m							200
Shop		sq.m							50
Restaurant		sq.m							100
Other utilities		sq.m							50
Ground total		sq.m							700

Figure 27.6.2 Layout Image of New Passenger Terminal (2020)

In addition to the main passenger terminal, the following satellite passenger berths provided with small pontoons at major tourist spots in the Hanoi segment are proposed for tourist purpose (see **Table 27.6.14**).

- Chem (Red km+6 Right bank)
- Bo De (Red km+20 Left bank)
- Bat Trang (Red km+30 Left bank)
- Phu Dong (Duong km+16 Left bank)

Alternative	River	Description
Allemanive	Km+ Bank	Description
Chem Communal	Red	Chem Communal House dedicated to worshipping Ly
House	+6 R	Than, a commander of An Duong Vuong living in the 2^{nd}
(100m from bank)		century B.C., with wooden sculptures and status dating
		back to 18 th century. Festival held in middle of summer
		participated by several villages along the Red river with
		many traditional ritual activities.
Bo De pagoda	Red	Built at the end of Tran Dynasty (15 th century). Beautiful
(50m from bank)	+20 L	view and unique architecture.
Bat Trang ceramic	Red	Traditional ceramic producing village (dating back from
village	+30 L	earlier than 15 th century), with household objects, votive
(next to bank)		objects and ornaments on sale.
Dai Lo Temple	Red	Dai Lo Temple and Dam Temple, renown all over the
and	+43 R	Southern area of Hanoi, dedicated to the cult of "Four
Dam Temple		beautiful Ladies" (members of the Song Royal family in
(500m from bank)		13 th century), Lieu Hanh and other Holy Mothers.
		Festival held in February of lunar year with "lên dông"
		(mediumistic communication with deities) being its
		unique particularities attracting many people.
Tu Nhien Alluvial	Red	Immense sand ground in Tu Nhien village. Merry festival
Ground	+50 R	re-enacting the story of Saint Chu Dong Tu (living in the
(river bank)		dynasty of Kinh Hung Vuong XVIII) held in April of lunar
		year.
Da Hoa Temple	Red	Temple worshipping love, dedicated to Chu Dong Tu
(next to bank)	+50 R	and his 2 wives (Tien Dung and Tay Sa), fine architectural
		structure restored late 19 th century with beautiful
		scenery. Festival held in January of lunar year with
		procession in the river and dragon dance.

Table 27.6.14 Major Tourist Attractions in and around Hanoi Segment

Kien So pagoda in	Duong	Kien So pagoda, an antique religious building,					
Phu Dong village	+16 L	established in 9 th century when Buddhism was					
(1km from bank)		propagated to Vietnam. Here kept the statues of Vo					
		Ngon Thong (who founded Vietnamese Zen) and King Ly					
		Thai To (founder of Thang Long capital).					
Giong Temple	Duong	Giong Temple built in 1010 by Kinh Ly Thai To, spacious					
and Mau Temple	+16 L	and beautiful view with many statues and objects of					
in Phu Dong		feudal dynasties. Mau Temple built in 1693 dedicated					
village		to the mother of Saint Giong. Festival held in April of					
(1km from bank)		lunar year, re-enacting Giong's victory over the An					
		enemy.					
But Thap Pagoda	Duong	Beauty spot with panoramic view, ancient architectures,					
	+28 R	statues (Kwan-Yin: 3.7 m high, 11 heads, thousand hands					
		and eyes – made in 17 th century) and ornaments.					

Source) Historical & cultural sites around Hanoi, The Gioi Publishers, 2000

27.7 Chem Berths

Although owner/operator of Chem Berths is not MOT/company under MOT but the Construction Material Trading and Exploitation Company under Red River Construction Corporation under MOC as well as private companies, the Study Team proposes preliminary desirable features of Chem Berths taking into account their importance in terms mainly of bulk cargo handling for Tu Liem District (see **Table 27.7.1**). Since the area of Chem Berths is limited to some 4ha, the area use ratio of cargo which is linked to required numbers of handling equipment will also be limited, namely a large part of cargoes will have to be delivered directly to the hinterland without storage.

Item	Description
Port Owner/Investor	Construction Material Trading and Exploitation Company
	under Red River Construction Corporation under MOC as
	well as private companies
Port Operator	ditto
Facing IW Corridor	Corridor 1 (Quang Ninh - Hai Phong - Hanoi - Viet Tri)
Hinterland	Tu Liem District
Design Capacity	2.5 million tons (Bulk: 2.1, Non-bulk: 0.4)
Length of Waterfront	0.8km
Berth Property	0.8km
Land Area	about 4ha (Storage yard: 2 - 3ha)
Handling Equipment	Quay-side mobile crane: 15 units (8tons)
	Grab bucket: 12 units (3cu.m), Forklift: 4 units (3tons)
	Shovel loader: 4 units (2cu.m), Bulldozer: 2 units (5tons)
	Dump Truck: 11 units (10tons), Truck 4 units (7tons)
Access Road	Linked to Dyke road

Table 27.7.1 Preliminary Desirable Features of Chem Berths (2020)

Source) JICA Study Team

Suppose that the improvement of Chem Berths is conducted with almost the same way as New North Port, the direct cost is preliminarily estimated to some US\$ 21 million (cargo berth: US\$ 13 million, cargo terminal: US\$ 1 million, cargo handling equipment: US\$ 7 million). Even if the investment scale for the improvement of Chem Berths is limited in reality, the safety in vessel navigation and mooring as well as cargo handling, crane operation in particular, shall be made sure.

The improvement of Chem Berths is desirable to be conducted before 2010. In addition, since plural port operators exist in Chem Berths, regular meeting within port operators is proposed in order to realize safe operation and to avoid any negative environmental impact.