

参 考 文 献

References

- GEOQUIMICA DEL POTOPLANO FLORIDA (BRGM, 1982)
- Correlation of Uranium Geology Between South America and Africa Technical Reports Series No. 270,
IAEA 1986
- American Resource Corporation (ARC), Corporation Report (1992, Feb)
- ARCHEAN CRUSTAL EVOLUTIOND evelopments in Precambrian Geologyll, (Edited by K. C. Condie) 1994
ELSEVIER
- CARTA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGAY A ESCALA 1:500,000 (1985)
- CARTA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGAY A ESCALA (1:50,000) FOTOPLANO Puntas del Yerbal
- CARTA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGAY A ESCALA (1:50,000) FOTOPLANO Fuente del Puma
- CONTRIBUCIONES A LA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGUAY No. 3
- CONTRIBUCIONES A LA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGUAY No. 4
- CONTRIBUCIONES A LA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGUAY No. 5
- CONTRIBUCIONES A LA GEOLOGIA DEL URUGUAY No. 9
- Cristallex International Corp. H.P. cristallex. Com DINAMIGE
- INVENTARO MINERO DEL URGUAY, RESULTADOS DE LA PROSPECCION GEOQUIMICA.
- Geological Comparison of Precambrian and Early Paleozoic Terrains Between the Southern West Coast
of Africa and The South-East coast of South America By A. Bernasconi. Precambrian Research,
23(1983)9-31, Elsevier Science Publishers.
- INDICADORES GENERALES DEL URUGUAY-ANUARIO ESTADISTICO (1999)
- Law of Environmental Protection No.16. 466
- Law of Forest No.15. 939
- LAW MINING CPDE 1982
- MAPA DE INTEGRACION GEOLOGIA DE LA CUENCA DEL PLATA Y AREAS ADYACENTES (1:2,500,000)
- Mine Search mrtalseconomics.com
- Ore Deposit Models by R. G. Roberts and PA Sheahan. Geoscience Canada 1988, Mining Journal Vol. 329,
No. 8455, November 1997
- Reglamento de Evaluacion del Impacto Ambiental No.435/994
- Santa Fe Pacific Gold Corporation. Report, Uruguay Portfolio(1995, Oct.)

Uruguay Goldfield Inc. Project Profile (1995)

Uruguay Gold Fields Inc., H.P. uruguaygoldfields. Com

圖表一覽

List of Figures

Fig.1	Location map of the project areas in Uruguay	
Fig.2	Location map of the survey areas in the San Jose and Arroyo Grande area	
Fig. I-1-1	Survey contents and its flow from Phase I to Phase III	3
Fig. I-3-1	Geological map of Uruguay	11
Fig. I-3-2	Geological map of the San Jose and Arroyo Grande area	13
Fig. I-3-3	Schematic stratigraphic column of survey area	15
Fig. II-1-1	Interpretation map of satellite imagery	25
Fig. II-1-2	Composite map of results of the Phase I survey	29
Fig. II-2-1	Location map of the airborne survey area	33
Fig. II-2-2	Magnetic vertical gradient of the survey area	35
Fig. II-2-3	Airborne radiometric map of potassium of the survey area	39
Fig. II-2-4	Composite map of results of the Phase II survey	47
Fig. II-3-1	Geological map of the Phase III survey area	53
Fig. II-3-2	Distribution map of Au anomalies of soil samples	55
Fig. II-3-3	Geophysical survey areas and transmitter dipole in Mahoma Este area	57
Fig. II-3-4	VLF filtered results in Mahoma Este area	59
Fig. II-3-5	Total magnetic intensity and Reduction to the pole in Mahoma Este area	63
Fig. II-3-6	Location map of trenches in the Mahoma Este area	65
Fig. II-3-7	Distribution map of Au anomalies from trench survey in the Mahoma Este area	67
Fig. II-3-8	Composite map of survey results in Mahoma Este area	69
Fig. II-3-9	Schematic model of gold mineralization in the San Jose and Arroyo Grande area	71
Fig. II-3-10	Geophysical survey areas and transmitter dipoles in Andresito area	74
Fig. II-3-11	VLF filtered results in Andresito West area	75
Fig. II-3-12	Total magnetic intensity and Reduction to the pole in Andresito West area	77
Fig. II-3-13	VLF filtered results in Andresito East area	79

Fig. II-3-14	Total magnetic intensity and Reduction to the pole in Andresito East area.....	81
Fig. II-3-15	Location map of trenches in Andresito area.....	85
Fig. II-3-16	Distribution map of Au anomalies from trench survey in Andresito area.....	87
Fig. II-3-17	Composite map of survey results in Andresito area.....	89

List of Tables

Tab. I-1-1	Contents and amounts of works of project.....	5
Tab. II-1-1	Composite table of results of the Phase I survey.....	31
Tab. II-2-1	Amount of airborne survey lines.....	32
Tab. II-2-2	Evaluation of the results by geological and geochemical survey (1)	49
Tab. II-2-3	Evaluation of the results by geological and geochemical survey (2)	50