

Table 4.2.16 Summary of Building Damage

|  |  | Case 1 |  | Case 2 |  | Case 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Heavily Damaged Building |  | Heavily Damaged Building |  | Heavily Damaged Building |  |
|  | Locality or <br> Municipality | Number <br> (nos) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ratio } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ | Number (nos) | Ratio (\%) | Number (nos) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Ratio } \\ \text { (\%) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 镸 } \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Usaquén | 11,070 | 2.8\% | 14,697 | 3.5\% | 2,404 | 3.9\% |
|  | Chapinero | 5,771 | 1.4\% | 5,158 | 1.2\% | 481 | 0.8\% |
|  | Santa Fe | 10,418 | 2.6\% | 8,232 | 2.0\% | 1,035 | 1.7\% |
|  | San Cristobal | 43,281 | 10.8\% | 30,561 | 7.2\% | 2,506 | 4.1\% |
|  | Usme | 33,740 | 8.4\% | 27,135 | 6.4\% | 2,305 | 3.7\% |
|  | Tunjuelito | 13,959 | 3.5\% | 12,995 | 3.1\% | 2,144 | 3.5\% |
|  | Bosa | 25,666 | 6.4\% | 28,442 | 6.7\% | 3,466 | 5.6\% |
|  | Kennedy | 46,229 | 11.6\% | 49,964 | 11.8\% | 7,387 | 11.9\% |
|  | Fontibón | 4,153 | 1.0\% | 11,269 | 2.7\% | 2,217 | 3.6\% |
|  | Engativá | 11,873 | 3.0\% | 35,197 | 8.3\% | 6,796 | 11.0\% |
|  | Suba | 16,786 | 4.2\% | 37,336 | 8.8\% | 7,628 | 12.3\% |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 4,854 | 1.2\% | 13,242 | 3.1\% | 2,642 | 4.3\% |
|  | Teusaquillo | 4,089 | 1.0\% | 7,365 | 1.7\% | 1,144 | 1.9\% |
|  | Mártires | 7,500 | 1.9\% | 7,285 | 1.7\% | 937 | 1.5\% |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 7,273 | 1.8\% | 7,153 | 1.7\% | 932 | 1.5\% |
|  | Puente Aranda | 18,575 | 4.7\% | 18,697 | 4.4\% | 2,493 | 4.0\% |
|  | La_Candelaria | 2,122 | 0.5\% | 1,925 | 0.5\% | 287 | 0.5\% |
|  | Rafael Uribe | 38,244 | 9.6\% | 29,062 | 6.9\% | 3,066 | 5.0\% |
|  | Ciudad Bolívar | 55,569 | 13.9\% | 31,870 | 7.6\% | 2,038 | 3.3\% |
|  | Sub Total | 362,072 | 90.7\% | 377,585 | 89.5\% | 51,908 | 84.0\% |
|  | Chia | 3,725 | 0.9\% | 8,014 | 1.9\% | 2,370 | 3.8\% |
|  | Cota | 1,460 | 0.4\% | 2,447 | 0.6\% | 722 | 1.2\% |
|  | Facatativa | 5,078 | 1.3\% | 5,325 | 1.3\% | 1,372 | 2.2\% |
|  | Funza | 1,555 | 0.4\% | 3,329 | 0.8\% | 897 | 1.5\% |
|  | La Calera | 1,746 | 0.4\% | 1,435 | 0.3\% | 71 | 0.1\% |
|  | Madrid | 2,089 | 0.5\% | 4,069 | 1.0\% | 1,240 | 2.0\% |
|  | Mosquera | 1,329 | 0.3\% | 2,436 | 0.6\% | 486 | 0.8\% |
|  | Soacha | 20,330 | 5.1\% | 17,349 | 4.1\% | 2,763 | 4.5\% |
|  | Sub Total | 37,312 | 9.3\% | 44,404 | 10.5\% | 9,921 | 16.0\% |
|  | Total | 399,384 | 100.0\% | 421,989 | 100.0\% | 61,829 | 100.0\% |

D. Discussion
a) Heavily damaged buildings

Due to the estimated damages, the ratios of the heavily damaged buildings are between $45 \%$ and $48 \%$ in the case of near and medium distance earthquakes, of which the building damage ratio is much higher than the results of the previous study.

In the case of near earthquake, the damage ratio is rather high in the southern part of the Bogotá City Area. These are both attributed to the higher earthquake intensity and lower seismic performance defined in this Study than those by the previous study.

## (2) Human casualty

## A. Collected data

As mentioned in (4) collected data for estimation, human casualties have been calculated based on the building data and population data.
B. Methods and procedure

## a) Procedure

The human casualties are estimated based on the flowchart shown in Figure 4.2.19.


Figure 4.2.19 Flowchart of Human Casualty Estimation

## b) Human deaths

This Study defines human deaths in an earthquake as number of victims by building damages.
The relation between the number of deaths and the number of heavily damaged buildings is based on the study by DANE on the 1999 Quindio earthquake. These numbers are in good correlation as shown in Figure 4.2.20. Therefore, following equation is proposed to estimate the human deaths due to the building damages in the Study Area.


Figure 4.2.20 Heavily Damaged Buildings and Death Toll Relationship
$\log \mathrm{Y}=1.3029 \log \mathrm{X}-2.6039$.
Where Y: Number of Deaths.
X: Number of Heavily Damaged Buildings.

## c) Humans Injured

The relationship between number of Deaths and Injured is also obtained from Figure 4.2.20, and expressed in the Figure 4.2.21. Referring to the figure, the relationship between deaths and casualties is formulated by the following equation:
$\log \mathrm{Y}=0.9824 \log \mathrm{X}+0.9031$.
Where $Y$ : Number of Injured.
X: Number of Deaths by heavily damaged buildings.
In this study, the relationship above is adopted for estimation of the number of human casualties due to the building damages.


Figure 4.2.21 Relationship between Number of Deaths and Injured

## C. Results of estimation

Following table and figure shows the results of estimation.
Table 4.2.17 Results of Estimation

|  |  | Case 1 |  |  |  | Case 2 |  |  |  | Case 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Human Death |  | Human Injury |  | Human Death |  | Human Injury |  | Human Death |  | Human Injury |  |
|  | Locarity or Municipality | Number (persons) | Ratio (\%) | Number (persons) | Ratio <br> (\%) | Number (persons) | Ratio <br> (\%) | Number (persons) | Ratio <br> (\%) | Number (persons) | Ratio <br> (\%) | Number (persons) | Ratio <br> (\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & 0 \\ & 00 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Usaquen | 1,081 | 2.8\% | 7,651 | 2.8\% | 1,564 | 3.9\% | 10,996 | 3.9\% | 148 | 4.5\% | 1,083 | 4.4\% |
|  | Chapinero | 336 | 0.9\% | 2.426 | 0.9\% | 290 | 0.7\% | 2.101 | 0.7\% | 13 | 0.4\% | 101 | 0.4\% |
|  | Santa Fe | 642 | 1.6\% | 4.580 | 1.7\% | 472 | 1.2\% | 3,388 | 1.2\% | 32 | 1.0\% | 238 | 1.0\% |
|  | San Cristobal | 5,104 | 13.0\% | 35,136 | 12.9\% | 3,243 | 8.0\% | 22,507 | 8.0\% | 125 | 3.8\% | 916 | 3.8\% |
|  | Usme | 3,027 | 7.7\% | 21,028 | 7.7\% | 2,279 | 5.6\% | 15,911 | 5.7\% | 92 | 2.8\% | 678 | 2.8\% |
|  | Tunjuelito | 1,544 | 3.9\% | 10,854 | 4.0\% | 1,406 | 3.5\% | 9,904 | 3.5\% | 134 | 4.1\% | 987 | 4.1\% |
|  | Bosa | 2,529 | 6.4\% | 17,629 | 6.5\% | 2,892 | 7.2\% | 20,106 | 7.1\% | 186 | 5.7\% | 1,359 | 5.6\% |
|  | Kennedy | 6,564 | 16.7\% | 44,985 | 16.5\% | 7,263 | 18.0\% | 49.688 | 17.6\% | 602 | 18.4\% | 4,302 | 17.7\% |
|  | Fontibón | 299 | 0.8\% | 2,162 | 0.8\% | 1,097 | 2.7\% | 7.757 | 2.8\% | 132 | 4.0\% | 968 | 4.0\% |
|  | Engativá | 1.014 | 2.6\% | 7.181 | 2.6\% | 4.177 | 10.3\% | 28.859 | 10.2\% | 490 | 15.0\% | 3.516 | 14.4\% |
|  | Suba | 1,460 | 3.7\% | 10,273 | 3.8\% | 4,134 | 10.2\% | 28,582 | 10.2\% | 522 | 16.0\% | 3,743 | 15.4\% |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 213 | 0.5\% | 1,552 | 0.6\% | 788 | 1.9\% | 5,608 | 2.0\% | 97 | 3.0\% | 713 | 2.9\% |
|  | Teusaquillo | 269 | 0.7\% | 1,947 | 0.7\% | 446 | 1.1\% | 3,205 | 1.1\% | 39 | 1.2\% | 296 | 1.2\% |
|  | Mártires | 378 | 1.0\% | 2,727 | 1.0\% | 364 | 0.9\% | 2,627 | 0.9\% | 25 | 0.8\% | 190 | 0.8\% |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 428 | 1.1\% | 3,076 | 1.1\% | 419 | 1.0\% | 3,011 | 1.1\% | 29 | 0.9\% | 222 | 0.9\% |
|  | Puente Aranda | 1.497 | 3.8\% | 10.529 | 3.9\% | 1.510 | 3.7\% | 10.617 | 3.8\% | 109 | 3.3\% | 805 | 3.3\% |
|  | La Candelaria | 115 | 0.3\% | 843 | 0.3\% | 101 | 0.2\% | 744 | 0.3\% | 8 | 0.3\% | 65 | 0.3\% |
|  | Rafael Uribe | 3,848 | 9.8\% | 26,622 | 9.8\% | 2,691 | 6.7\% | 18,733 | 6.7\% | 144 | 4.4\% | 1,053 | 4.3\% |
|  | Ciudad Bolívar | 7,280 | 18.5\% | 49,806 | 18.3\% | 3,528 | 8.7\% | 24,448 | 8.7\% | 98 | 3.0\% | 724 | 3.0\% |
|  | Sub Total | 37,627 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 9 \%}$ | 261,005 | 95.7\% | 38,667 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 6 \%}$ | 268,792 | 95.5\% | 3,026 | 92.7\% | 21,959 | 90.2\% |
| Cundinamarca | Chia | 85 | 0.2\% | 628 | 0.2\% | 230 | 0.6\% | 1,674 | 0.6\% | 47 | 1.4\% | 352 | 1.4\% |
|  | Cota | 23 | 0.1\% | 177 | 0.1\% | 46 | 0.1\% | 343 | 0.1\% | 9 | 0.3\% | 72 | 0.3\% |
|  | Facatativá | 227 | 0.6\% | 1,650 | 0.6\% | 241 | 0.6\% | 1,753 | 0.6\% | 41 | 1.3\% | 309 | 1.3\% |
|  | Funza | 45 | 0.1\% | 334 | 0.1\% | 120 | 0.3\% | 885 | 0.3\% | 22 | 0.7\% | 165 | 0.7\% |
|  | La Calera | 47 | 0.1\% | 349 | 0.1\% | 36 | 0.1\% | 272 | 0.1\% | 1 | 0.0\% | 6 | 0.0\% |
|  | Madrid | 60 | 0.2\% | 443 | 0.2\% | 142 | 0.4\% | 1.040 | 0.4\% | 30 | 0.9\% | 227 | 0.9\% |
|  | Mosquera | 23 | 0.1\% | 175 | 0.1\% | 51 | 0.1\% | 381 | 0.1\% | 6 | 0.2\% | 48 | 0.2\% |
|  | Soacha | 1,112 | 2.8\% | 7,865 | 2.9\% | 905 | 2.2\% | 6,420 | 2.3\% | 83 | 2.5\% | 611 | 2.5\% |
|  | Sub Total | 1,622 | 4.1\% | 11,621 | 4.3\% | 1,771 | 4.4\% | 12,768 | 4.5\% | 239 | 7.3\% | 1,790 | 7.4\% |
|  | Total | 39,249 | 100.0\% | 272,626 | 100.0\% | 40,438 | 100.0\% | 281,560 | 100.0\% | 3,265 | 100.0\% | 24,349 | 100.0\% |

## D. Discussion

Approximately forty thousand would be killed by the heavy damage of the buildings in the cases of near and medium distance earthquakes. In the case of near earthquake, high death percentages are in the southern localities.

However, in the case of medium distance earthquake, the casualties are distributed in the whole Study Area, that necessitates urgent countermeasures against earthquake disasters.

## (3) Lifeline

The following 4 types of lifelines are to be estimated:

- Water supply pipelines.
- Gas pipelines.
- Electric power supply cables.
- Telecommunications cables.

The lifeline facilities are to be classified into two major categories, namely, nodes and links. Nodes include facilities such as purification plants and substations. Links include facilities such as pipes or lines for supply and distribution purposes.

## A. Water supply pipeline

a) Collected data

Water pipeline GIS or CAD data for the whole area in Bogotá and urban area in the eight municipalities in Cundinamarca are provided from EAAB. Rural area in Cundinamarca was not studied because the data was not available.

Provided data includes information on service network, mostly including pipe diameter between 1 and 78 inches, and pipe material. However, the data excludes pipelines to individual buildings.

The collected data is classified by material as shown in Table 4.2.18, and by diameter as shown in Table 4.2.19. Details of the data compilation procedure are described in Appendix 4.2.6.

Note that proportion of pipe material type is different between Bogotá and municipalities in Cundinamarca. This difference would come from the pipeline installation age, because Cundinamarca has installed later than Bogotá.

Table 4．2．18 Distribution of Water Pipeline by Material

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\hat{6}}{\frac{6}{2}}$ | 会 | 㜢 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { E. } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{巳}{巳!}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Usaquen | 369,002 | 2，209 | 377 | 75，457 | 11，008 | 5，224 | 0 | 24，977 | 488，25 3 | 7.2 |
|  | Chapinero | 159，138 | 6，62 3 | 25，257 | 47，119 | 1，557 | 4，482 | 1，780 | 7，648 | 253,606 | 3.7 |
|  | Santa Fe | 134．311 | 1，245 | 9，866 | 5，566 | 28，998 | 407 | 0 | 3，511 | 183,903 | 2.7 |
|  | San Cristobal | 278，913 | 3，670 | 15，463 | 64,001 | 26，501 | 418 | 619 | 4，758 | 394.344 | 5.8 |
|  | U sme | 126，166 | 227 | 168 | 29，536 | 5，481 | 0 | 1，932 | 919 | 164，430 | 2.4 |
|  | Tuenjuelito | 145，691 | 1，431 | 4，173 | 6，134 | 6，974 | 0 | 3，596 | 41 | 168,040 | 2.5 |
|  | Bosa | 198，614 | 0 | 802 | 46，226 | 13，995 | 0 | 0 | 1，019 | 260,657 | 3.8 |
|  | Kennedy | 510，429 | 580 | 2，127 | 115，990 | 34，077 | 0 | 2，499 | 3，020 | 668，722 | 9.8 |
|  | Fontibón | 233，865 | 1，196 | 6，378 | 15，979 | 19，435 | 0 | 0 | 3，570 | 280，423 | 4.1 |
|  | Engativá | 509，134 | 1，894 | 8，693 | 61，036 | 27，984 | 0 | 0 | 6，919 | 615，661 | 9.1 |
|  | Suba | 357,034 | 17 | 524 | 301,663 | 21，966 | 5，820 | 0 | 29.889 | 716，915 | 10.6 |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 192，104 | 8，211 | 34，615 | 27，986 | 3，907 | 3，619 | 13 | 2，062 | 272，517 | 4.0 |
|  | Teusaquillo | 181，891 | 7，465 | 32，110 | 17，084 | 17，409 | 939 | 205 | 12，714 | 269，816 | 4.0 |
|  | Mártires | 140，094 | 4，535 | 34，341 | 478 | 9，223 | 299 | 0 | 395 | 189，365 | 2.8 |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 95，520 | 5，066 | 20.829 | 5，565 | 5，658 | 0 | 2，374 | 291 | 135.302 | 2.0 |
|  | Puente Aranda | 345，323 | 9，098 | 15，980 | 23，727 | 21，969 | 0 | 11 | 1，902 | 418，009 | 6.2 |
|  | La Candelaria | 42，089 | 142 | 2，703 | 399 | 8，852 | 15 | 0 | 1，431 | 55，631 | 0.8 |
|  | RafaelUribe | 233，398 | 4，962 | 34，195 | 40，226 | 10，676 | 0 | 9，715 | 518 | 333.689 | 4.9 |
|  | Ciudad Bolivar | 293，808 | 0 | 3，842 | 61,351 | 16，669 | 0 | 689 | 7.803 | 384，162 | 5.7 |
|  | Sub－total（m） | 4，546，525 | 58，571 | 252，443 | 945，522 | 292，340 | 21，222 | 23，432 | 113，388 | 6，253，444 | 92.1 |
|  | （\％） | 72.7 | 0.9 | 0 | 15.1 | 4.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 100.0 |  |
| 哨 | Chia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141，785 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 | 142，361 | 2.1 |
|  | Cota | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15，903 | 15,903 | 0.2 |
|  | Facatativá | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25.697 | 25.697 | 0.4 |
|  | Funza | 26，736 | 172 | 0 | 38，614 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1，436 | 66，958 | 1.0 |
|  | La Calera | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18，661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18，661 | 0.3 |
|  | Madrid | 31，438 | 244 | 0 | 17，564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 623 | 49.869 | 0.7 |
|  | Mosquera | 16，559 | 0 | 0 | 22，406 | 1，723 | 0 | 0 | 2.830 | 43,519 | 0.6 |
|  | Soacha | 119,580 | 0 | 7，478 | 33，505 | 11，234 | 0 | 0 | 1，282 | 173,080 | 2.5 |
|  | Sub－total（m） | 194，314 | 415 | 7，478 | 272，535 | 12，957 | 0 | 0 | 48，349 | 536,048 | 7.9 |
|  | （\％） | 36.2 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 50.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 100.0 |  |
| Total（m） |  | 4，740，839 | 58，987 | 259，921 | 1，218，057 | 305，296 | 21，222 | 23，432 | 161，736 | 6，789，491 | 100.0 |
|  |  | 69.8 |  |  | 17.9 |  | 0.3 |  | 2.4 | 100.0 |  |

Source：EAAB
Table 4．2．19 Distribution of Water Pipeline by Diameter


Source：EAAB

## b) Methods and procedures

## Assumptions

Following are the basic assumptions applied for estimation of water supply pipelines and gas pipelines.

A statistical approach for damage estimation of links, i.e. distribution pipes and lines, is applicable only when information on their structures and lengths is available in any given area. This approach was used in the Study.

- Node facilities are not included for damage estimation, such as water purification plant, gas tank, electric power generator, transformer station, telephone station. Individual diagnosis should be made on such node facilities to evaluate safety against earthquakes.
- Damage due to the direct result of ground motion is estimated, such as breakage or disjoint of pipelines. Secondary damages such as the damages caused by landslides or building collapses are not included.
- $\quad$ Results are considered as a statistical representation in a given area.
- Damage estimation method is in principle based on the past damage experiences.
- In cases when proper data is not available, input data are set based on reasonable assumptions. Thus precision of the results is dependent on the quality of the input data.


Figure 4.2.22 Flowchart of Damage Estimation for Water and Gas Pipelines

## c) Damage function

Except for a few quantitative studies, no quantitative studies on seismic damages for lifeline facilities were available in the Study Area.

The characteristics of water supply networks and pipeline structures are considered similar to those of Japan. Although the strength of the pipeline materials is not much different from that of Japan, it is considered that the quality of construction of the joints always leads to problems. Therefore, an analysis method for the damage estimation of water pipelines as well as gas pipelines proposed by Japan Waterworks Association, which is widely used in Japan, was applied to the Study, taking account of the experience in Armenia earthquakes. However, it is considered that the damages will be more serious than those estimated.

In Japan, the standard damage ratio $R(a)$ for water pipeline proposed by Kubo and Katayama (1975) ${ }^{4-2-1}$ has been commonly used to evaluate seismic damages of water pipelines. The damage ratio for pipeline $R_{m}(a)$ is defined as follows:

$$
R_{m}(a)=C_{p} \times C_{d} \times C_{g} \times C_{1} \times R(a) .
$$

Where,
$\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{a})$ : standard damage ratio (damaged points/km).
Cp : correction factor for pipe material.
Cd : correction factor for pipe diameter.
Cg : correction factor for topography and ground.
Cl : correction factor for liquefaction.
A : peak ground acceleration (gal).
Japan Waterworks Association ${ }^{4-2-2}$ (1996) compiled relationship between damage ratio of pipelines and PGA value based upon actual observation of damage for the 1995 Kobe earthquake. They applied average damage ratio, especially in cases of larger PGA. There is a significant difference in damage ratio between the above two procedures, especially for the case of acceleration range from 300 gal to 800 gal .

Kawakami's (1996) ${ }^{4-2-3}$, study shows that 1) service interruption rate after two days from the main shock is about $60 \%$, and 2 ) service interruption rate is $87 \%$ in the case of 2 damaged points $/ \mathrm{km}$.

[^0]According to the damage study on the 1999 Quindio earthquake, the situations are noted as follows:

- In Armenia, recorded PGA was 589 gal , and almost no service was available after two days from the main shock.
- In Pereira, recorded PGA was 291 gal, and almost all services were available after two days from the main shock.


## Standard Damage Ratio Proposed for this Study

If PGA of 589 gal in Armenia earthquake is applied to Japan Waterworks Association's damage curve, damage ratio is estimated at about 0.6 points $/ \mathrm{km}$. However, almost all service interruption after two days indicates that damage ratio would be higher than 0.6 points/km based on Kawakami's work. In addition, it is noted that installation situation is different than that in Japan from the site observation.

Therefore, a higher damage ratio than Japan Waterworks Association's damage curve is proposed and this is shown in Figure 4.2.23.


Figure 4.2.23
Standard Damage Ratio for Pipelines

In the method of Kubo and Katayama, various correction factors are included such as pipe material, pipe diameter, ground, and liquefaction. In this study, these values are maintained, while classifications of pipe materials, pipe diameters, and ground conditions are adjusted to Colombian situation. They are shown in Table 4.2.20 to Table 4.2.23.

Table 4.2.20 Correction Factor for Pipe Materials (Cp)

| Pipe material | Correction factor Cp |
| :--- | :---: |
| Asbest-cement, Reinforced concrete [ACP] | 1.2 |
| Cast iron [CIP] | 1 |
| Polyvinyl-chloride [VP] | 1 |
| Steel, Steel Iron, Galvanized iron [SP] | 0.3 |
| Unknown | 1 |

Table 4.2.21 Correction Factor for Pipe Diameters (Cd)

| Diameter | Correction factor Cd |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\phi 100 \mathrm{~mm}$ or smaller | 1.6 |
| $\phi 100 \mathrm{~mm}-200 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 |
| $\phi 200 \mathrm{~mm}-500 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 0.8 |
| $\phi 500$ or bigger | 0.5 |

Table 4.2.22 Correction Factor for Ground Conditions (Cg)

| Ground | Correction factor Cg |
| :---: | :---: |
| Geotechnical Zone 1,2: good ground | 0.4 |
| Geotechnical Zone 3,4: good ground | 1.1 |
| Geotechnical Zone 5: alluvial plane | 1 |
| Geotechnical Zone 6: soft soil | 1 |
| Geotechnical Zone 7,8: other than above | 1 |

Table 4.2.23 Correction Factor for Liquefaction (Ce)

| Liquefaction potential | Correction factor Cl |
| :---: | :---: |
| None | 1 |
| Possible | 2 |
| Probable | 2.4 |

## d) Results of Estimation

## Case 1: La Cajita

The results of damage estimation by the scenario earthquakes are shown in Table 4.2.24 and in Figure 4.2.24. Damages are concentrated in the southern part of the Study Area, due to the high ground acceleration and liquefaction phenomena, which would enhance the extent of damages. In Bogotá, damage ratio in Usme and Ciudad Bolivar exceeds 2.0 points per km. During the 1999 Quindío earthquake, water service was totally out of service immediately after the earthquake in
the area where damage ratio exceeded 2.0 points per km. The damage ratio in San Cristobal and Soacha is also as high as 1.3 points per km and 1.4 points per km respectively.

Case 2: Guayuriba
The results are shown in Table 4.2.24 and in Figure 4.2.24. The damaged area spreads widely in the Bogotá City Area, though total amount of damage is smaller than that of Case 1. The damage extends in liquefied areas. The area with a high damage ratio is at Tunjuelito, where the value is 0.5 points per km. Total disruption of water service in this case is not likely in any locality in Bogotá or municipality in Cundinamarca.

## Case 3: Subduction

The results are shown in Table 4.2.24 and in Figure 4.2.24. Almost no damage is estimated for this case.

## Remarks

- Damage will be extensive due to the liquefaction areas, where the localities of Kennedy, Puente Aranda, Rafael Uribe and Ciudad Bolivar are located.
- Regarding the pipe material, asbestos cement suffers most, because of the fragility of the material and also the widespread use of the material, whose proportion is about $70 \%$.
Table 4.2.24 Estimated Damage of Water Pipelines (Cases 1, 2, and 3)




## B. Damage estimation of gas pipelines

## a) Collected data

The gas pipeline for low-pressure ( 60 psi ) distribution for Bogotá, Chia and Soacha excluding the pipeline to individual buildings is provided by Natural Gas Company. Other municipalities in Cundinamarca do not have gas pipelines. The distribution of gas pipelines in the Study Area is shown in Appendix 4.2.7.
b) Damage ratio definition

Basic damage ratio for gas pipelines is the same as that of the water supply pipelines. Correction factors for ground type and liquefaction effect are also the same. Regarding pipe material and pipe diameter, the following values currently used in Japan are adopted.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ : Polyethylene pipes (60 psi) 0.1

## c) Result of estimation

Table 4.2.25 shows status and damage points for each locality and municipality due to the three scenario earthquakes.

## Case 1: La Cajita

Most damage is estimated at the southern part of the Study Area, especially in the localities of Ciudad Bolivar, Usme and San Cristobal in Bogotá and the municipality of Soacha in Cundinamarca as shown in Appendix 4.2.7. This is because of high seismic intensity and liquefaction in the area close to the fault. Mid west to northern part of the Study Area will suffer a little damage, but there will not be damage in Chia.

## Case 2: Guayuriba

Damaged area spreads widely in Bogotá, especially in Kennedy, Puente Aranda, Rafael Uribe, Ciudad Bolívar. Damage of at least one point is expected in every locality in Bogotá. Damage is also expected in Chia and Cota in Cundinamarca.

Case 3: Subduction
Almost no damage is expected as liquefaction is not expected in this case.

Table 4.2.25 Estimated Length and Damage of Gas Pipelines

C. Damage estimation of electric power supply cables

## a) Collected data

Printed materials of the cable network and tables for 11 kV intermediate voltage for Bogotá, Cota, Funza, Mosquera and Soacha is provided from CODENSA. Data include overhead and underground cables.

Three different methods according to the network density were used to estimate the cable length distribution for the Study Area. Thus data accuracy varies for each municipality. Details of the collected data and the process of distribution estimation are described in Appendix 4.2.6. Distribution of estimated cable length is shown in Table 4.2.26 and Appendix 4.2.8.

Table 4.2.26 Distribution of Estimated Electric Power Supply Cable Length

|  | Locarityor Municipality | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Over Head } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Under G round } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Usaquen | 129,791 | 360,202 | 489,993 |
|  | Chapinero | 28,028 | 125,621 | 153,649 |
|  | Santa Fe | 73,894 | 48,649 | 122,543 |
|  | San Cristobal | 108,035 | 658 | 108,693 |
|  | U sme | 214,413 | 5,345 | 219,758 |
|  | Tuenjuelito | 89,990 | 12,765 | 102,755 |
|  | B osa | 111,414 | 7,715 | 119,129 |
|  | Kennedy | 414,611 | 66,868 | 481,478 |
|  | Fontibón | 211,000 | 175,084 | 386,083 |
|  | Engativá | 312,980 | 218,286 | 531,266 |
|  | Suba | 510,471 | 373,249 | 883,720 |
|  | B arrios Unidos | 48,743 | 206,178 | 254,921 |
|  | Teusaquillo | 55,797 | 249,038 | 304,835 |
|  | Mártires | 73,346 | 29,797 | 103,143 |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 61,944 | 5,176 | 67,120 |
|  | Puente Aranda | 195,646 | 46,907 | 242,553 |
|  | La Candelaria | 9,410 | 4,541 | 13,951 |
|  | R afael Uribe | 173,190 | 5,556 | 178,746 |
|  | Ciudad Bolívar | 143,950 | 12,930 | 156,880 |
|  | Sub Total | 2,966,653 | 1,954,565 | 4,921,217 |
|  | C hía | 52,280 | 2,202 | 54,482 |
|  | Cota | 90,491 | 0 | 90,491 |
|  | Facatativá | 68,155 | 0 | 68,155 |
|  | Funza | 141,510 | 0 | 141,510 |
|  | La Calera | 94,364 | 0 | 94,364 |
|  | Madrid | 45,499 | 0 | 45,499 |
|  | Mosquera | 143,033 | 0 | 143,033 |
|  | Soacha | 206,195 | 0 | 206,195 |
|  | Sub Total | 841,528 | 2,202 | 843,730 |
|  | Total | 3,808,180 | 1,956,767 | 5,764,947 |

Source: CODENSA

## b) Methods and procedures

## Overhead

Damage to the electric poles due to the 1995 Kobe Earthquake in Japan is as follows:

- No damage was observed in areas where PGA is less than 380 gal.
- $\quad 0.55 \%$ was broken or collapsed in areas where PGA is greater than 380 gal.

Damage to the electric facilities during the 1999 Quindío earthquake is as follows:

- In Armenia where PGA of 589 gal was observed, $50 \%$ of the damage was recovered in two days after the main shock.
- In Pereira where PGA of 291 gal was observed, almost all damages were recovered in two days after the main shock.
- In Manizales where PGA of 102 gal was observed, no damage was recorded.

The strength of electric poles in the Study Area is assumed to be the same as those in Japan. In this study, damage function is proposed as shown in Figure 4.2.25 in the following manner:


## Figure 4.2.25 Damage Function for Electrical Power Pole

- Damage appears where PGA is greater than 300 gal.
- $\quad$ Same damage as that in Kobe occurs at PGA of 600 gal.

The damage to a pole causes damage to the cable between the poles of the broken pole, that is, half span of the cable is cut at each damaged pole.

## Underground

Damages to underground cables during the 1995 Kobe earthquake in Japan were as follows:

- No damage occurred in areas where PGA is less than 380 gal.
- $\quad 0.3 \%$ was damaged in areas where PGA is over 380 gal.

No damage data for underground cable during the Quindío earthquake is available. The underground cables in the Bogotá City Area are assumed to have the same strength as that of Japan. The damage function is proposed based on the experiences in Japan earthquakes and this is shown in Figure 4.2.26.


Figure 4.2.26 Damage Function for Underground Electrical Power Line

- Damage occurs at PGA higher than 300 gal.
- Damage ratio is $0.3 \%$ at PGA of around 600 gal .
- Damage ratio increases as PGA increases.


## c) Results

The estimated damages for overhead cables, underground cables, and cables in total are shown in Table 4.2.27.

## Case 1: La Cajita

The damage ratio for the whole area is $0.04 \%$. The damages are estimated at the southern part of the Study Area, especially in Usme as shown in Appendix 4.2.8. This is because of high seismic intensity. The peak ground acceleration higher than 500 gal is estimated in some part of Usme, Ciudad Bolívar, San Cristobal, Rafael Uribe, Bosa and Soacha. During the 1999 Quindio earthquake, about $50 \%$ of electricity was cut-off during two days after the main shock in Armenia where 589 gal of peak ground acceleration was recorded. Therefore, service of electricity is very likely to be suspended in above-mentioned areas.

In the northern part of the Study Area except for Soacha, the damage ratio is less than $0.01 \%$, due to the relatively low ground acceleration.

## Case 2: Guayuriba

Damage ratio in the Study Area is $0.02 \%$. Within Bogotá, areas with a damage ratio between $0.01 \%$ and $0.04 \%$ are widely distributed as shown in Appendix 4.2.8; the difference in damage ratios between areas is smaller compared to that in Case 1. Maximum damage ratio of $0.04 \%$ is estimated for Usme and Antonio Nariño.

## Case 3: Subduction

Expected PGA is less than the threshold value of damage occurrence; therefore no damage is expected.

Table 4.2.27 Estimated Damage of Electric Overhead Cables

| Locarity or <br> Municipality |  | Overhead Cable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Underground Cable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cable in Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  |
|  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 |  | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 |  | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|  | Usaquen |  | 129,791 | 17 | 22 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 360,202 | 47 | 62 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 489,993 | 64 | 84 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | Chapinero | 28,028 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 125,621 | 61 | 28 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 153,649 | 75 | 34 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | Santa Fe | 73,894 | 40 | 16 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 48,649 | 28 | 11 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 122,543 | 68 | 28 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | San Cristobal | 108,035 | 138 | 25 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 658 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 108,693 | 139 | 25 | 0 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | Usme | 214,413 | 1,013 | 88 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 5,345 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 219,758 | 1,038 | 91 | 0 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
|  | Tunjuelito | 89,990 | 50 | 31 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 12,765 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 102,755 | 57 | 36 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Bosa | 111,414 | 46 | 10 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 7,715 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 119,129 | 49 | 11 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Kennedy | 414,611 | 95 | 81 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 66,868 | 15 | 13 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 481,478 | 110 | 94 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | Fontibon | 211,000 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 175,084 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 386,083 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Engativa | 312,980 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 218,286 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 531,266 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Suba | 510,471 | 2 | 47 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 373,249 | 2 | 35 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 883,720 | 4 | 82 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 48,743 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 206,178 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 254,921 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Teusaquillo. | 55,797 | 18 | 16 | 9 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 249,038 | 82 | 73 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 304,835 | 100 | 89 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Martires | 73,346 | 34 | 25 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 29,797 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 103,143 | 47 | 35 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 61,944 | 25 | 23 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 5,176 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 67,120 | 27 | 25 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
|  | Puente Aranda | 195,646 | 61 | 61 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 46,907 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 242,553 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | La Candelaria | 9,410 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 4,541 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 13,951 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Rafael Uribe | 173,190 | 206 | 48 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 5,556 |  | 2 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 178,746 | 212 | 50 | 0 | 0.12 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
|  | Giudad Bolivar | 143,950 | 219 | 16 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 12,930 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 156,880 | 238 | 17 | 0 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Sub-total (points) | 2,966,653 | 1,986 | 591 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1,954,565 | 332 | 358 | 9 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 4,921,217 | 2,319 | 950 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
|  | Chia | 52,280 | 1 | 7 | 9 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 2,202 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 54,482 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Cota | 90,491 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 90,491 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Facatativa | 68,155 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68,155 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Funza | 141,510 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 141,510 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | La Calera | 94,364 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94,364 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Madrid | 45,499 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45,499 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Mosquera | 143,033 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 143,033 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | Soacha | 206,195 | 86 | 23 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 206,195 | 86 | 23 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Sub-total (points) | 841,528 | 90 | 51 | 9 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 2,202 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 843,730 | 90 | 51 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  | Total (points) | 3,808,180 | 2,077 | 642 | 9 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1,956,767 | 332 | 359 | 9 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 5,764,947 | 2,409 | 1,001 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 |

Source: JICA Study Team

## D. Damage estimation for telecommunication cable

## a) Collected data

Summary of collected data is shown as follows:
Table 4.2.28 Summary of Collected Data

| Institutions | Content of Data | Data Form |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| ETB | Location of local control <br> stations and cabinets | GIS |
|  | 6 examples of primary network <br> length of ETB central station | Printed table |
|  | The total pole number in <br> Bogotá plant of Soacha | Interview |
|  | Radio link between overhead <br> cable and underground cable | Interview |
| CAPITEL | Overhead cable network | GIS |
|  | Underground cable network | GIS |
|  | Location of poles | GIS |
|  | No information |  |

Data process
From the interview with ETB, the Study Team assumed that $99 \%$ and $51 \%$ of the primary network and the secondary network respectively is underground in the Study Area. An average distance between two adjacent electric poles is assumed to be 30 m , according to CAPITEL.

Three estimation methods to estimate cable length for ETB are used according to the characteristics of data and that of area. The procedure of acquired data process is described in the Appendix 4.2.6. The estimated distribution of cable length is shown in Table 4.2.29 and in Appendix 4.2.9.

Table 4.2.29 Estimated Distribution of Telephone Cables

|  | Locarityor Municipality | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Over Head } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under G round } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Total } \\ (\mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 否 } \\ & \text { ¢ } \end{aligned}$ | Usaquen | 384,412 | 524,997 | 909,409 |
|  | Chapinero | 251,764 | 372,311 | 624,075 |
|  | Santa Fe | 126,244 | 147,694 | 273,938 |
|  | San Cristobal | 236,409 | 219,587 | 455,996 |
|  | U sme | 164,301 | 154,945 | 319,246 |
|  | Tuenjuelito | 114,149 | 105,321 | 219,470 |
|  | B osa | 221,592 | 239,404 | 460,995 |
|  | Kennedy | 429,220 | 483,137 | 912,358 |
|  | Fontibón | 209,536 | 252,172 | 461,707 |
|  | Engativá | 570,882 | 553,568 | 1,124,449 |
|  | Suba | 558,514 | 641,483 | 1,199,997 |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 298,584 | 326,643 | 625,227 |
|  | Teusaquillo | 175,541 | 205,770 | 381,311 |
|  | Mártires | 188,367 | 198,766 | 387,133 |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 116,377 | 106,859 | 223,236 |
|  | Puente Aranda | 374,512 | 382,335 | 756,847 |
|  | La C andelaria | 36,793 | 59,389 | 96,182 |
|  | R afael Uribe | 277,146 | 256,321 | 533,466 |
|  | C iudad Bolívar | 263,122 | 275,081 | 538,203 |
|  | Sub Total | 4,997,464 | 5,505,781 | 10,503,245 |
|  | C hía | 48,536 | 16,373 | 64,909 |
|  | Cota | 90,491 | 0 | 90,491 |
|  | Facatativá | 68,155 | 0 | 68,155 |
|  | Funza | 141,510 | 0 | 141,510 |
|  | La Calera | 94,364 | 0 | 94,364 |
|  | M adrid | 45,499 | 0 | 45,499 |
|  | M osquera | 143,033 | 3,853 | 146,886 |
|  | Soacha | 326,444 | 218,265 | 544,710 |
|  | Sub Total | 958,03 3 | 238,491 | 1,196,524 |
|  | Total | 5,955,497 | 5,744,273 | 11,699,770 |

Source: ETB and CAPITEL
b) Damage function definition

Same procedure is applied as that of the electric power supply cables for the estimation of overhead and underground telecommunications cables.

## c) Result of estimation

The damage to telephone overhead cables, underground cables, and cables in total is shown in Table 4.2.30. The damage distribution of telephone cables in total for case 1 and case 2 is shown in Appendix 4.2.9.

Table 4.2.30 Estimated Damage of Telephone Overhead Cable

| Locarity or Municipality |  | Overhead Cable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Underground Cable |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cable in Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  | Length (m) | Damage (m) |  |  | Damage ratio (\%) |  |  |
|  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 |  | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 |  | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 |
|  | Usaquen |  | 384,412 | 40 | 64 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 524,997 | 51 | 92 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 909,409 | 91 | 156 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Chapinero | 251,764 | 104 | 57 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 372,311 | 145 | 89 | 0 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 624,075 | 249 | 146 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Santa Fe | 126,244 | 93 | 31 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 147,694 | 126 | 37 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 273,938 | 219 | 68 | 0 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | San Cristobal | 236,409 | 254 | 55 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 219,587 | 244 | 52 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 455,996 | 498 | 107 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Usme | 164,301 | 746 | 60 | 0 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 154,945 | 726 | 58 | 0 | 0.44 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 319,246 | 1,471 | 118 | 9 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.0 |
|  | Tunjuelito | 114,149 | 58 | 35 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 105,321 | 54 | 33 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 219,470 | 112 | 68 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Bosa | 221,592 | 105 | 20 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 239,404 | 136 | 23 | 9 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 460,995 | 241 | 43 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Kennedy | 429,220 | 96 | 84 | 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 483,137 | 110 | 97 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 912,358 | 206 | 181 | 9 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Fontibon | 209,536 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 252,172 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 461,707 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Engativa | 570,882 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 553,568 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 1,124,449 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Suba | 558,514 | 3 | 57 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 641,483 | 4 | 74 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 1,199,997 | 7 | 131 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Barrios Unidos | 298,584 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 326,643 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 625,227 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Teusaquillo. | 175,541 | 57 | 48 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 205,770 | 70 | 56 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 381,311 | 127 | 104 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Martires | 188,367 | 77 | 60 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 198,766 | 79 | 62 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 387,133 | 156 | 122 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Antonio Nariño | 116,377 | 45 | 40 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 106,859 | 41 | 37 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 223,236 | 86 | 77 | 0 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Puente Aranda | 374,512 | 100 | 109 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 382,335 | 98 | 111 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 756,847 | 198 | 220 |  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | La Candelaria | 36,793 | 36 | 12 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 59,389 | 57 | 19 | 0 | 0.16 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 96,182 | 93 | 30 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Rafael Uribe | 277,146 | 303 | 74 | 0 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 256,321 | 281 | 69 | 0 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 533,466 | 584 | 143 | 9 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.0 |
|  | Gudad Bolivar | 263,122 | 371 | 28 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 275,081 | 377 | 31 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 538,203 | 747 | 58 | 0 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Sub-total (m) | 4,997,464 | 2,489 | 982 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 5,505,781 | 2,599 | 1,101 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 10,503,245 | 5,088 | 2,083 |  | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.0 |
|  | Chia | 48,536 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 16,373 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 64,909 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Cota | 90,491 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 90,491 | 0 | 4 | g | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Facatativa | 68,155 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 68,155 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Funza | 141,510 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 141,510 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | La Calera | 94,364 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 94,364 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Madrid | 45,499 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 45,499 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Mosquera | 143,033 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,853 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 146,886 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 |
|  | Soacha | 326,444 | 278 | 43 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 218,265 | 213 | 37 | 0 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 544,710 | 492 | 80 | 0 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Sub-total (m) | 958,033 | 282 | 67 | 9 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 238,491 | 213 | 39 | 9 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,196,524 | 495 | 106 | 9 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.0 |
|  | Total (points) | 5,955,497 | 2,771 | 1,049 | 9 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 5,744,273 | 2,813 | 1,140 | 9 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 11,699,770 | 5,583 | 2,189 | 9 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.0 |

Case 1
The damage ratio for the Study Area is $0.05 \%$. The damage is expected to concentrate in the southern part of the Study Area, especially in Usme. This is because of a high seismic intensity. The peak ground acceleration higher than 500 gal exists in some part of the localities of Usme, Ciudad Bolívar, San Cristóbal, Rafael Uribe, Bosa and Soacha.

In the northern part of Bogotá and municipalities in Cundinamarca except for Soacha, the damage ratios are less than $0.01 \%$, due to the relatively low ground acceleration.

## Case 2

The damage ratio in the whole Study Area is $0.02 \%$. Within Bogotá, areas with a damage ratio between $0.01 \%$ and $0.04 \%$ are widely distributed; the difference in damage ratios between areas is smaller compared to that in case 1 . The maximum damage ratio of $0.04 \%$ is estimated at the locality of Usme.

Case 3
The estimated PGA is less than the threshold value of damage to be incurred; therefore no damage is estimated.


[^0]:    ${ }^{4-2-1}$ Japan Water works Association (Nov. 1998 Damage estimation of water supply pipeline due to earthquake).
    ${ }^{4-2-2}$ K. Kubo \& T. Katayama (1975 Damage estimation of underground water supply pipeline).
    ${ }^{42-3}$ E. KAWAKAMI (1996 Relation between shape of road traffic system and establishment of connection)

