1.3 Existing Pipe Connection

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

155

124 H 2

150.

80.

------FLOW. YEL. NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S==1/1000===M= 101 H 2 1350. 110. 1820. 173702.9 1.40 1.51 2.74 102 H 2 800. 110. 1820. 45025.4 1.04 1.58 2.88 100 1, 21 101 104 H 2 1350. 110. 1030. 149827.1 1.15 1.18 104 105 H 2 1350. 110. 1900. 146803.7 1.19 1, 10 2.10 105 106 H 2 1200. 147743.1 1.51 2.16 5.40 105. 2500. 105 108 H 2 750. 110. 1440. -8328.2-.22-.10-. 14 -10038.9105 110 H 2 525. 110. 35. -.54-. 77 ~.03 105 16726.6 1.22 150 H 2 450. 110. 1200. 4.18 5.01 150 115 H 2 450. 110. 1300. 7309.3 . 53 . 90 1.17 . 90 107 H 2 1200. 92273.8 106 105. 3420. . 94 3.09 107 143 H 2 375. 80. 1435. 4438.2 . 47 1.57 2.26 146 H 2 107 80. 14938.4 14.85 375. 825. 1.57 12.25 107 237 H 2 1050. 100. 2400. 64166.7 .86 . 97 2.32 237 307 H 2 1050. 54493.8 . 73 .72 1.14 100. 1600. . 79 108 109 H 2 750. 115. 1810. 30158.3 . 95 1.72 108 113 H 2 800. 115. 2280. -41636.0-.96-1.26-2.88109 143 H 2 375. 80. 2315. 7322.3 .77 3.97 9.19 208 H 2 18539. 2 . 76 109 600. 1530. 1.15 1.75 115. 110 111 H 2 525. 115. 1535. -17043.0-.91-1.88-2.89. 99 . 74 110 117 H 2 150. 95. 55. 1512.5 13.54 112 H 2 -. 34 111 825. 115. -22797.1-.49-. 36 940. 114 142 H 2 375. 80. 1220. 2610.5 . 27 . 59 . 72 116 H 2 . 64 115 150. 65. 2000. 983.3 12.32 24.63 118 H 2 104 200. 115. 200. 3023.5 1.11 8.44 1.69 161 H 2 1997.6 . 74 3.92 6.27 118 200. 115. 1600. 162 H 2 1997.6 1.31 15.91 7.95 161 150. 115. 500. 162 H 2 -879.8-.58-10.03-17.04116 150. 65. 1700. -. 34 117 H 2 115 200. 70. -936.2-2.42-5.502275. 115 120 II 2 450. 120. 1000. 41165.9 3.00 18.82 18.82 115 128 H 2 200. 70. 1370. 2532.8 . 93 20.86 15.23 141 H 2 115 200. 70. 700. 6589.2 2.43 89.30 62.51 117 118 H 2 150. 65. 1900. -192.4-.13-.60-1.14120 121 H 2 11459.6 2.70 33.47 37.49 250. 115. 1120. 120 121 H 2 200. 6095.2 33.50 37.52 110. 1120. 2.25 121 124 H 2 200. 110. 1600. 2988.4 1.10 8.96 14.34 120 128 H 2 450. 120. 400. 19895.5 1.45 4.90 1.96 1.52 122 H 2 4133.4 121 200. 100. 1255. 19.48 24.45 151 H 2 122 200. 110. 500. 3388.2 1.25 11.31 5.65 151 152 H 2 200. 110. 300. 1722.8 . 63 3.24 . 97 153 H 2 152 200. 110. 500. 3018.4 1.11 9.13 4.56 . 92 153 123 H 2 150. 95. 1300. 1403.0 11.78 15.31 154 H 2 151 150. 110. 800. 1665.4 1.09 12.33 9.87 153 154 H 2 497.6 . 47 5.78 125. 80. 750. 4.34 123 154 H 2 150. 110. 1200. -1417.8-. 93 -9.16-10.99150. 152 124 H 2 80. -1295.6-. 85 -13.97-16.771200. 123 3.21 155 H 2 150. 80. 1500. 585.2 . 38 4.82

1300.

-2023.1 -1.33

-31.86

-41.42

NODE NODE TYP DIAM CYALUE LENGTH FLOW. VEL. GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S==1/1000===M= 100. -85.6-. 13 125 H 2 75. 545. -.74-. 41 124 -1362.5- 89 -27.60 127 H 2 150. 80. 1800. -15.34124 -. 79 126 H 2 -1203.4-12.19125 150. 80. 1040. -12.68127 H 2 -22.34 -14.52126 150. 80. 650. -1669.9 -1.09127 128 H 2 200. 70. 700. -3777.6 -1.39-31.90-22.33129 H 2 70. 6214.6 1.02 10.57 300. 950. 11.12 128 131 H 2 65. 1050. 2718.9 1.78 80.84 84.88 128 150. 132 H 2 300. 7119.3 1.17 7.39 10.57 128 100. 1430. 129 126 H 2 278.7 . 41 9.99 26.36 100. 60. 2640. 247.6 129 132 H 2 400. 110. 480. . 02 .00 .00 131 H 2 . 25 . 21 130 150. 65. 850. 119.0 . 08 -3453.7 -1.27147 H 2 200. 70. -27,03-9.46130 350. -5107.1 -1.88 -55.73147 141 H 2 200. 70. 600. -33.44147 148 H 2 150. 115. 1600. -1806.1 -1.18 -13.20 -21.12149 H 2 400. -5957.5 -3.90 -120.08-48.03148 150. 115. -5957.5 -2.19149 150 H 2 200. 115. 950. -29.58-28.10129 H 2 -3090.6 -2.02 -102.47-74.29131 150. 65. 725. 133 H 2 7367.1 . 68 1.63 . 33 132 400. 110. 200. 144 H 2 2006.6 . 33 1.37 1.40 133 300. -70.1020. 137 H 2 151.3 . 10 . 39 133 150. 65. 1540. . 59 . 22 145 H 2 334.9 1.68 133 150. 65. 2.92 1740. 1601.3 134 160 H 2 400. 110. 500. . 15 . 10 . 05 160 135 H 2 400. 110. 330. 6577.0 . 61 1.32 . 44 225 H 2 150. 75. 850. -1594.3 - 1.04-23.11156 -19.64. 35 158 H 2 962.2 2.24 137 200. 75. 750. 1.68 157 158 H 2 200. 75. 600. 334.2 . 12 . 32 . 19 158 159 H 2 150. 75. 750. 281.5 . 18 . 93 .70 6823.0 1.12 134 144 H 2 300. 70. 1025. 13.22 13.55 134 146 H 2 400. 110. 1005. -13436.9 -1.24-4.95-4.97224 H 2 134 200. 90. 1160. -1451.8**-.** 53 -3.42-3.96136 H 2 1143.2 . 75 7.99 135 75. 12.49 150. 640. 135 137 H 2 250. 80. 940. 4792.5 1.13 13.06 12.27 138 H 2 9.18 5.51 136 150. 65. 600. 838.7 . 55 -42.43225 H 2 -1919.0 -1.26-14.43136 150. 65. 340. 138 H 2 2.85 137 150. 65. 420. 446.0 . 29 1.20 156 H 2 -484.9-. 18 -.72-.29138 200. 70. 410. .05 . 07 156 H 2 200. 70. 250. 139.1 .02 139 . 29 157 H 2 779.2 1.72 . 60 139 200. 70. 350. -. 61 140 157 H 2 200. 70. 680. -445.1-. 16 -.42-. 90 -23.09139 225 H 2 150. 65. 850. -1381.1-19.63140 159 H 2 150. 65. 1140. 157.8 . 10 . 42 . 48 159 H 2 68.2 .09 145 150. 65. 600. . 04 0.5 201 H 2 22824.2 3.23 11.78 200 525. 115. 3650. 1. 22 202 H 2 22240.4 3.08 11.23 200 525. 115. 3650. 1.19 200 210 H 2 600. 115. 5000. 30910.6 1.27 2.95 14.76 900. 1.07 2.54 2.29 201 203 H 2 525. 115. 20050.5 204 H 2 1.08 2.56 2.48 202 525. 115. 970. 20119.4 205 H 2 17429.4 . 9.3 1.96 . 20 203 525. 115. 100. . 21 .17 :17 205 2286.9 229 H 2 400. 115. 990. .66 207 H 2 14782.3 . 79 1,45 205 525. 115. 460.

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

================ FLOW. NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH VEL. GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S==1/1000===M= 230 H 2 525. 115. 1125. 2564.6 . 14 .06 .06 236 H 2 207 600. 115. 800. 10520.6 . 43 . 40 . 32 -. 24 3000. -5882.4-. 41 236 209 H 2 600. 115. -.14-. 07 400. -6369.7 -. 26 209 210 H 2 600. 110. -.17208 231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. 16005.5 .86 1.67 3.30 218 H 2 450. 110. 2700. 18858.5 1.37 5.22 14.09 210 . 85 210 233 H 2 300. 95. 2920. 5194.9 4.54 13.25 -2320.7-.21211 212 H 2 400. 110. 1890. -.19-. 36 211 233 H 2 300. 95. 2925. -5059.9-.83-4.32-12.64310.3 . 20 . 66 . 75 212 213 H 2 150. 100. 1145. 214 H 2 400. 110. -3188.9-. 29 -. 35 212 1875. -.65212 232 H 2 250. 100. 1895. -689.9-. 16 -.24-, 45215 216 H 2 400. 110. 1435. 4670.9 . 43 .70 1.00 304 H 2 1755.9 . 29 216 300. 100. 2385. . 55 1.32 217 232 H 2 250. 100. 1990. -1603.8-.38-1.14-2.27304 H 2 -296.7-.05217 300. 100. 1655. -.02-.03214 H 2 13695.0 1.26 218 400. 110. 2100. 5.12 10.76 231 H 2 -7028.3-.38-. 37 -. 72 220 525. 115. 1970. 221 222 H 2 300. 90. 645. -5047.0-.83-4.75-3.07. 03 237 221 H 2 300. 90. 1000. 329.9 . 05 . 0.3 237 223 H 2 300. 90. 9343.2 9.29 625. 1.53 14.86 223 224 H 2 300. 90. 2690.9 1.49 1.67 1125. . 44 -1004.6 -. 16 -. 24 224 241 H 2 300. 90. 900. -. 22 224 226 H 2 200. 90. 435. -1443.5-.53-3.38-1.47225 240 H 2 250. 95. 400. -1859.4-. 44 -1.65-. 66 226 240 H 2 250. 95. 675. -1929.3 -.45-1.76-1.19-17902.5 -.87 225 240 H 2 550. 115. 400. -1.64-. 66 240 307 H 2 550. 115. 1675. -29981.3 -1.46-4.26-7.14225 240 H 2 300. 100. 400. -3161.5 -.52-1.65-. 66 100. 240 325 H 2 300. -5342.7 -. 87 -4.35-6.961600. 240 241 H 2 300. 90. 350. 6207.1 1.02 6.97 2.44 241 H 2 90. 3842.7 . 91 240 250. 350. 6.98 2.44 -4069.5-7.76-4.66 135 241 H 2 250. 90. 600. -. 96 241 H 2 160 250. 90. 850. -3197.6-.75-4.97-4.22241 H 2 -1778.1-. 66 -4.97160 200. 90. 850. -4.235.72 312 H 2 225 400. 110. 1245. 12917.1 1.19 4.60 226 234 H 2 250. 100. 1775. -3389.8 : -.80-4.55-8.08228 304 H 2 300. 100. 645. -4358.5-.71-2.98-1.92331 H 2 75. 2956.5 . 31 . 84 300 375. 50. . 04 . 33 310 H 2 70. 1.75 331 250. 2415. 1415.0 4.23 303 H 2 200. 75. 700. -4488.7 - 1.65-38.64302 -27.05303 309 H 2 200. 75. 800. -4920.7 - 1.81-45.80-36.64

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C) CONNECTOR RESULTS.

						D1 000			
NODE	NODE	TYP	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	ross
====	=====	===	=MM=	=====	===M==	- CUM/D= 28501.7 5489.9 -7523.3 1091.6 2430.2 -10720.0	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
304	308	H 2	550.	115.	275.	28501.7	1.39	3.88	1.07
304	309	H 2	300.	100.	420.	5489. 9	. 90	4.57	1. 92
305	306	H 2	375.	75.	600.	-7523.3	79	-4.70	-2.82
305	311	H 2	200.	70.	1415.	1091.6	. 40	3. 21	4.54
305	321	H 2	375.	75.	1475.	2430.2	. 25	. 58	. 86
306	307	H 2	375.	75.	700.	-10720.0	-1.12	-9.06	-6.34
200	322	H 2	150.	65.	850.	1371. 4 27948. 7	. 90	22.79	19.37
308	326	H 2	350.	115.	1100.	27948.7	3.36	33.83	37.21
311	312	H 2	200.	70.	2485.	-130.7 7709.2	05	06	16
312	323	H 2	400.	110.	1050.	7709, 2	.71	1.77	1.86
312	324	H 2	200.	70.	1600.	3465.7	1.28	27. 20	43.52
313	314	H 2	200.	100.	950.	4961.5	1.83	27.31	25, 94
313	330	H 2	400.	110.	1900.	-5171.6	48	85	-1.61
330	323	H 2	400.	110.	1450.	-5171.6	48	85	-1.23
314	315	H 2	200.	100.	1000.	4211.1	1.55	20.16	20.16
317	324	H 2	200.	70.	1365.	-910.8	34	-2.30	-3.13
320	328	H 2	150.	85.	1100.	2528.7	1.66	43.03	47.34
302	328	H 2	150.	85.	1150.	2494.6	1.63	41.97	48.26
328	329	H 2	150.	65.	1100.	2107.2	1.38	50.45	55.49
301			150.	85.	550.	-3778.0	-2.47	-90.45	-49.75
	320		150.	115.	1500.	345.8	. 23	62	. 93
	318		200.	75.	800.	1694.0	. 62	6.37	5.10
	320		350.	115.	1200.	22908.7	2.76	23.42	28.10
320						8365.6			
						-2499.6			
						4039.5			
350						257.8			
						1031.4			
						4033.0			
103	203	H 2	525.	110.	60.	16130.3	. 86	1.84	. 11
106	115	H 2	450	110.	20.	55470.1	4.04	38, 39	. 77
203	236	H 2	600	110.	1100.	17120. 2	. 70	1.07	1, 18
				110.		33523.1			
						37529.4			
213	219	H 2	400.	110	3200	4006.4	37	.53	1.69
101	.112	H 2	825	115.	100	23875.6	52	39	. 04
						42714.7			
100	206	п о	400.	1:10	100.	1748.7			
220	999	п 6	300.	110	100.	6037.6	. 99		. 46
240	921	11 6	900. 900	110.	100.				. 27
207	225	பட	300.	110.	100.				. 20
010	940	11 4	400.	11U.	200.	4378.6			
910	9.10	11 4	JEN.	00. 110	JUU. 150	2840.5	2.01	100.13	07
	001	па	00V.	110.	100.	204V. 0 10702_0	_1 06	.43 _9 10	25
103	204	n 4	040.	119.	100	-19793.0	-1.00	-2.40	. 20

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

NODE	NODE	TYP	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	FOSS
=====	====	s:===	=MM=	=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	== =
103	114	H 2	525.	115.	100.	3137.2	. 17	. 08	.01
214	232	H 2	300.	110.	100.	3813.6	. 62	1.95	. 20
214	215	H 2	400.	110.	100.	5681.7	. 52	1.01	. 10
303	326	H 2	250.	110.	200.	-1593.6	38	94	19

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C) 并在**建筑建筑设置设备设备的设备**中的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备的设备设备设备的设备。

	RESULTS.		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD(M)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
KODE	Nob. Heav (m. /	#5####################################	ENGREEN HERE SERVICES
100	. 800	63.300	-218728.400
200	1. 900	128.400	-75975.160
300	36.600	75. 100	-5796.939
350	60.000	98.500	-4297.331
101	22. 582	60.582	. 320
102	22.448	60.448	2310.698
103	56.606	114.606	525.507
104	36.413	59.413	098
105		57.335	701.067
106	36.984	51.984	793
107	31.923	48.923	8730.495
108	30.871	57.471	3149.507
109	17.768	55.768	2548.126
110	37.362	57.362	5491.563
111	26.913	60.213	5754.057
112.	22.544	60.544	1078.502
113	22.317	60.317	1078.707
114	56.598	114.598	526.697
115	36.224	51. 224	
116	23.992	26.992	1863.042
117	36.629	56.629	
118	34.751	57.751	833.498
120	20.104	32.604	3715.581
121	-12.400	-4.400	10433.020
122		-28.497	745. 208
123	-63.583	-54.583	2235.650
1,24	-22.522	-18.522	1117.816
125	-22. 125	-18.125	1117. 826
126	-10.154	-5.654	745. 213
127	. 643	8.643	745. 210
128	16.666	30.666	
129	14. 238	20.238	2597.693
130	-68.799	-52.799	3334.695
131	-61.506	-53.006 20.236	5928. 514 178
132 133	17. 236 13. 915	19.915	4874.300
134	19. 412	31. 912	6464.348
135	21. 435	31. 435	4710.924
136	13. 071	23. 571	2223. 406
137	13. 332	19.332	3535. 599
138	11.155	18. 155	1769.566
139	11. 961	18,461	462.737
140	12.461	17, 461	287. 292
141	-25. 978	-10.478	1482.069
142	65.890	113.890	
143	20, 699	46.699	11760. 430
144	8.035	18.535	8829.639
145	13.547	17.047	
146	30.819	36.819	1501.525
147	-59.472	-43.472	3459.528
TI			

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

≓	=	=	=	₽	æ	=	∺	×	₽	z:	=	÷.

NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)		SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
148	-45.691	-22.691	4151.378
149	3.674	24.674	. 013
150	40.383	52. 383	3459.734
151	-37.065	-34.065	011
152	-40.020	-35.020	. 004
153	-45.516	-39.516	1117.824
154	-49.774	-43.774	745. 217
155	-65, 316	-59.316	2608. 259
156	11. 943		1248.580
157	11. 369	17.869	011
158	11. 183	17.683	1014.879
159	11. 1995	16.995	507. 445
160	21.864	31.864	034
161	42. 582	51. 582	.000
162	40.756	43.756	1117.826
201	28. 256	116.756	2773.698
202	28. 801	117. 301	2121.027
203	56. 497	114. 497	1631. 218
204	56.851	114. 851	326. 351
205	61.803	114. 303	360. 275
206	21.757	55. 757	1748. 731
207	61.147	113.647	1696. 985
208	18, 535	54.035	2533.678
209	63.735	113.735	487.374
210	43.303	113. 803	487.446
211	31.771	88. 271	7380. 574
212	35. 628	88.628	1247.830
213	33.890	87.890	310. 291
214	40. 566	89.266	1010. 745
215	40.467	89.167	1010. 840
216	50.477	88. 177	2915.031
217	51. 541	86.841	1900. 477
218	56. 888		1157. 128
219	72.726	98. 226	. 003
220	30.068	50.068	990.631
221	20. 593	46, 593	5376. 907
222	29.617	49.617	990.624
223	17. 457	37.457	6652. 222
224	19.811	35.811	3687. 303
225	26. 282	37.782	5111. 970
226	20.758	37. 258	3875. 575
228	50. 979	84.979	4358. 535
229	66.136	114.136	2286.857
230	68. 584	113. 584	2564.634
231	22. 778	50.778	8977. 279
232	40.074	89.074	1519.932
233	20.737	100.737	135. 020
234	23.720	45. 220	1195. 165
236	63. 329	113. 329	. 078
237	24.623	46.623	096
	24.020	40.020	. 000

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

-==========

====	=======		and the second s
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)		SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
240	25. 431	38. 431	421, 402
241	23.024	36.024	·
301	-161.592	-129.092	3778.000
302	-3.832	22.168	1648.252
303	18.842	48.842	2025.659
304	53.575	86.875	638.458
305	18.945	36.445	4001.483
306	20.730	39.230	1825.359
307	26.491	45.491	864.186
308	51.220	85.820	553.032
309	50.182	84.982	569.218
310	48.400	70.900	1414.949
311	15.477	31.977	1222.246
312	24.131	32.131	1611.523
313	12.008	27. 508	210.143
314	-6.072	1.928	750.414
315	-27.945	-17.945	178.043
316	-142.959	-130.459	4033.032
317	-29.363	-13.863	910.834
318	-24.260	16.240	5680, 901
319	36.527	75.027	340.859
320	-12.744	21. 256	10666.300
321	14.600	35.600	2430. 203
322	-3.340	20.160	1371. 362
323	17.799	30.299	2537. 582
324	-27.280	-10.780	2554.837
325	26.289	45.289	3000.677
326	14.028	49.028	3446.335
327	34.798	74.798	2499.591
328	-51.376	-25.376	2916.136
329	-112.051	-80.051	2707.827
330	12.091	29.091	001
331	38.059	75.059	1541. 517
351	-17.031	41.469	3008. 132
352	-33.070	28.430	1031. 395
353	-14.639	46.861	257.803

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH FLOW. VEL. GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S==1/1000=. 37 46322.3 . 13 101 H 2 1350. 110. 1820. . 24 100 102 H 2 800. 110. 1820. 12007.0 . 28 . 25 . 14 101 104 H 2 1350. 110. 1030. 39955.7 . 32 .10 .10 . 10 39149.6 104 105 H 2 1350. 110. 1900. . 32 .18 . 40 105 106 H 2 1200. 105. 2500. 39399.2 . 19 . 47 105 108 H 2 750. 110. 1440. -2221.8-.06-.01-.01105 -2676.8 110 H 2 525. 110. 35. - 14 -.07-00105 150 H 2 450. 110. 1200. 4460.5 . 36 . 32 . 43 150 115 H 2 1300. 1949.2 450. 110. . 14 .08 .10 106 107 H 2 1200. 105. 3420. 24606.9 . 25 .08 . 27 107 143 H 2 375. 80. 1435. 1183.5 . 12 . 14 . 20 107 146 H 2 375. 80. 825. 3983.7 1.29 : 42 1.06 . 23 107 237 H 2 1050. 100. 2400. 17111.4 .08 . 20 .06 237 307 H 2 1050. 100. 1600. 14531.9 . 19 . 10 108 109 H-2 750. 115. 1810. 8041.8 . 21 .08 . 15 108 113 H 2 800. 115. 2280. -11103.5-. 26 -. 11 -.25109 . 20 . 80 143 H 2 375. 80. 2315. 1952.7 . 34 208 H 2 109 600. 1530. 115. 4943.9 . 20 . 10 . 15 111 H 2 **-. 24** 110 525. 115. 1535. -4545.0-.16-.25117 H 2 110 150. 95. 55. 403.3 . 26 1.17 .06 111 112 H 2 825. -6079.6-. 13 -. 03 -.03 115. 940. 142 H 2 114 375. 80. 1220. 696.1 . 07 .05 .06 115 116 H 2 65. 2000. 150. 262.2 . 17 1.07 2.14 104 118 H 2 200. 200. . 73 . 15 115. 806.3 . 30 118 161 H 2 200. 1600. 532.7 . 20 . 34 54 115. 161 162 H 2 150. 115. 500. 532.7 1.38 . 35 69 116 162 H 2 150. 1700. -234.665. -.15-.87 -1.48117 H 2 115 200. 70. 2275. -249.6-.09-.21-.48115 120 H 2 450. 120. 1000. 10977.9 .80 1.63 1.63 115 128 H 2 200. 70. 1370. 675.4 . 25 1.32 1.81 141 H 2 115 200. 70. 700. 1757.2 . 65 7.74 5.42 117 118 H 2 150. 65. 1900. -51.3-.03-.05-. 10 120 121 H 2 250. 1120. 3056.0 . 72 2.90 3.25 115. 120 121 H 2 200. 110. 1120. 1625.5 2:91 3.25 . 60 121 124 H 2 200. 110. 1600. 796.9 . 29 . 78 1.24 . 39 120 128 H 2 450. 120. 400. 5305.6 . 43 . 17 121 122 H 2 200. 100. 1255. 1102.3 . 41 1.69 2.12 122 151 H 2 200. 110. 500. 903.6 . 33 . 98 . 49 151 152 H 2 200. 110. 300. 459.4 . 17 . 28 .08 152 153 H 2 . 30 200. 110. 500. 804.9 . 79 . 40 . 25 153 123 H 2 150. 95. 1300. 374.2 1.02 1.33 .86 444.1 . 29 151 154 H 2 150. 110. 800. 1.07 153 154 H 2 80. 750. . 50 .. 38 125. 132.7 . 13 123 154 H 2 150. 110. 1200. -378.1-. 25 -. 79 -.95 152 124 H 2 150. 80. 1200. -345.5-. 23 -1.21-1.45123 155 H 2 150. . 28 . 42 80. 1500. 156.1 . 10 155 124 H 2 150. 80. 1300. -539.5-, 35 -2.76-3,59

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

====:	=====	====	====						
							VEL.		LOSS
						=CUM/D=	•	=1/1000=	
				75.		-22.8			
	127				1800.			-1.33	
125	126				1040.			-1.06	
126	127		4 1		650.				-1.26
127	128				700.			-2.77	-1.94
128			300.			1657.3			. 92
128	131					725.1			
128	132	H 2	300.	100.		1898.5			
129	126	H 2	100.	60.	2640.	74.3		. 87	
129	132	H 2	400.	110.	480.	66.5	01	.00	.00
130	131	H 2	150.	65.	850.	31.7	.02	. 02	. 02
130	147	H 2	200.	70.	350.	-921.0	34	-2.34	82
147	141	H 2	200.	70.	600.	-1361.9	50	-4.83	-2.90
147	148	H 2	150.	115.	1600.	-481.7	32	-1.14	-1.83
148	149	11 2	150.	115.	400.	-1588.7	-1.04	-10.41	-4.16
149	150	Н 2	200.	115.	950	-1588.7	59	-2.56	-2.44
131	129	H 2	150.	65.	725.	-824.2	- 54	-8.88	-6.44
. 132	133			110.	200.	1964.6	. 18	. 14	.03
133	144			70.	1020.	535.1	09	. 12	. 12
133	137			65.					
133	145				1740				
134	160					427.0			
160	135			110.	330.	1754.0	. 16	. 11	
156	225				850		28	-2.00	
137	158					256.6			
157	158	4.4			600.			. 03	
158	159				750.			. 08	
134	144					1819.5			
134	146				1005.				
	224			90.		-387.2			
	136				640			1.08	
135	137							1.13	
136	138					223.7			
136	225			65.	340.	-511.7		-3.68	-1.25
					420.				. 10
138			200.		410	-129.3		06	03
139				0.0				. 01	.00
139	157					207.8	. 08	. 15	. 05
140	157			70.	680.	-118 7	- 04	05	04
139	225			65.	850.	-368.3	- 21	-2.00	-1.70
140	159			65.	1140.	A2 1	. 03	. 04	. 04
145	159			65.	600		. 01		.00
				4 3 4		6085.9			
200				115. 115.	36 K U	5930.3			. 97
200	202						. 32		1. 28
	210	пС	600.	115. 115.		5346.3	. 29		
201				110.	900.	0040.3 5204.0	. 49	. 46 22	. 20
4	204		020.	115.	910.	5364.6	. 29		
203			525.		100.				. 02
	229			115.	990.	609.8		.01	. 01
205	207	H 2	525.	115.	460.	3942.4	. 21	. 13	.06

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

FLOW. VEL. NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S==1/1000===M= .04 .00 .01 525. 1125. 684.1 207 230 H 2 115. . 03 . 11 800. 2806.1 .03 236 H 2 600. 115. 207 209 H 2 600. 115. 3000. -1567.8-.06-.01-.04236 -1698.2-.01 210 H 2 600. 110. 400. -.07-.01209 208 231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. 4268.3 . 23 . 15 . 29 2700. . 37 210 218 H 2 450. 110. 5029.2 . 45 1.22 . 23 . 39 2920. 210 233 H 2 300. 95. 1385.4 1.15 -618.8 -.06 -. 02 1890. 212 H 2 400. 110. -.03211 -1349.4-.22-. 37 211 233 H 2 300. 95. 2925. -1.10.06 .07 212 213 H 2 150. 100. 1145. 82.7 .05 -850.4-. 08 400. 1875. -.03-.06212 214 H 2 110. -184.0-.04212 232 H 2 250. 100. 1895. ~. 02 -.04.06 .09 1435. 1245.6 .. 11 215 216 H 2 400. 110. . 05 . 08 216 304 H 2 300. 100. 2385. 468.3 . 11 1990. -427.7-.10-.10217 232 H 2 250. 100. -.20304 H 2 300. 1655. -79.2-.01-,00-.00 217 100. . 44 110. . 93 214 H 2 400. 2100. 3652.2 34 218 -1874.2-.10-.03-.06 220 231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. 221 222 H 2 300. 90. 645. -1346.0-.22-.41-. 27 1000. .01 . 00 237 221 H 2 300. 90. 87.9 .00 237 223 H 2 300. 90. 625. 2491.6 . 41 1.29 . 81 223 224 H 2 300. 90. 1125. 717.6 . 12 . 13 . 14 224 241 H 2 300. 90. 900. -267.9-.04-.02-.02 224 -385.0-.29-, 13226 H 2 200. 90. 435. -. 14 225 240 H 2 250. 95. 400. -495.8-.12-.14-.06226 240 H 2 250. 95. 675. -514.5-. 12 -.15-.10-. 23 -. 06 225 240 H 2 550. 400. -4774.1-. 14 115. 240 307 H 2 550. 115. 1675. -7995.1-.39-. 37 -.62240 H 2 400. -843.1-.14- 14 -.06225 300. 100. 240 325 H 2 1600. -1424.7-. 23 -.38-. 60 300. 100. 1655.3 . 60 . 21 240 241 H 2 300. 350. . 27 90. . 21 240 241 H 2 250. 90. 350. 1024.8 . 24 . 61 135 241 H 2 250. 90. 600. -1085.2**-. 26** -. 67 -.40241 H 2 160 850. -852.7-.20-. 43 -. 37 250. 90. 160 241 11 2 200. 90. 850. -474.2-. 17 - 43 - 37 . 40 . 32 225 400. 3444.6 . 50 312 H 2 110. 1245. 234 H 2 250. 1775. -904.0-. 21 -. 39 -.70226 100. 228 304 H 2 300. 100. 645. -1162.3-. 26 - 17 -.19.08 .07 .00 331 H 2 75. 300 375. 50. 788.6 377.3 .09 310 H 2 250. 70. 2415. . 15 . 37 331 302 303 H 2 200. 75. 700. -1197.0- 44 -3.35-2.35303 309 H 2 200. 75. 800. -1312.2-.48-3.97-3.18

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

----CONNECTOR RESULTS.

===	=======================================	=====:	===	= =	:====		1.				
								FLOW.			
===	===		= =	==	=MM=	=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	== ==
5	304	308	H	2	550.	115.	275.	7600.9	. 37	. 34	.09
	304	309	H	2	300.	100.	420.	1464.1	. 24	. 40	. 17
. (305	306	H	2	375.	75.	600.	-2006. 2	21	41	24
	305	311	Н	2	200.	70.	1415.	291.1	. 11	. 28	. 39
	305	321	H	2	375.	75.	1475.	648.1	. 07	. 05	. 07
	306	307	H	2	275	75	700	2858 7	3 0	- 70	 55
	306	322	H	2	150.	65.	850.	365.7	. 24	1.98	1.68
	308	326	H	2	350.	115.	1100.	365. 7 7453. 3	. 90	2.93	3.23
		312			-200.	70.	2485.	-34.9	01	01	01
	312	323			400.	110.	1050.	2055.8	. 19	15	. 16
	312	324			200.	70.	1600.	924.2	. 34	2.36	3.77
		314				100	950	1323.1	. 49	2.37	2.25
	313	330			400.	110.				- 07	14
	330				400.		1450.	-1379.1	13	07	 11
		315				100	1000	1123 0	4.1	1 75	1 75
	317	324			200.	70.	1365.	-242. 9 674. 3	09	- 20	27
	320	328				85.	1100.	674.3	. 44	3.73	4.10
	302	328			150	85.	1150.				4.19
	328	329	Н	2	150	6.5	1.1.0.0	561 0	37	1 37	4.81
	301	329	H	2	150.	85.	550.	-1007. 5 92. 2 451. 7	66	-7.84	-4.31
	302	320	Н	2	150.	115.	1500.	92.2	. 06	. 05	. 08
	320	318			200.	75.	800.	451.7	. 17	. 55	. 44
	326	320			350.	115.	1200.	6109.2	. 73	2.03	2.44
	320				350.	115	1400.	2230.9	. 27	31	44
	327	319	11	2	350.	115.	600.	-666.6	08	03	02
	350	351					4015.	1077.2	. 40		
	350	353			75.			68.7	. 18		4.56
	351					6.5	985.	275.0	. 18	1.17	1. 15
	315	316						1075.5		2.75	
	103	203					60.				
	106	115			450.		20.	14792.1	1.08	3.33	. 07
	203				600.		1100.	4565.9	. 19	. 09	. 10
	236	219					4100.	8940.1			
	219.					110	2500.	10008.5	. 41	. 40	
	218				400.	110.	3200.	1068.4	. 10		. 15
	01	1000					100.	6366.7	. 14	03	. 00
	02	113							. 26		. 01
	09	206					100.	466.2	. 04	. 01	. 00
	220	222			300.		100.	1610.1	. 26	. 40	. 04
	307				300.		100.	1222.7	. 20	. 24	. 02
	307				400.		100.	2225.0	. 20	. 18	. 02
	318	329			150.		500	1167.7		16.93	8.46
	300				350.		150.	757.5	. 09		. 01
	103	204			525.		100.	-5278.3	28		02
		204		4	020.	110.	100.	0210.0			. 02

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

****						· .		The second		
							FLOW.			LOSS
=====	====	==	=	=MM=	=====	===M==	=CUM/D≈	=M/S=	=1/1000=	== { =
103	114	H	Ż	525.	- 115.	100.	835.7	. 04	.01	. 00
214	232	H	2	300.	110.	100.	1017.0	. 17	. 17	. 02
214	215	II	2	400.	110.	100.	1515.3	. 14	. 09	. 01
303	326	Н	2	250.	110.	200.	-425.0	~. 10	- 08	02

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

=====			
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
====		=======	***********
100	7, 700	70.200	-58329.340
200	9.400	135.900	-20258.600
300	36.600	75.100	-1546.127
350	60.000	98,500	-1145.988
101	31.965	69.965	088
102	31.953	69.953	616.124
103	76.707	134.707	140.064
104	46.864	69.864	103
105	49.684	69.684	188.416
106	54. 221	69. 221	. 213
107	51. 956	68.956	2328. 313
	and the second s		
108	43.096	69, 696	839.871
109	31.549	69.549	679.004
110	49.686	69.686	1464.883
111	36.633	69.933	1534.595
112	31.962	69.962	287. 088
113	31.942	69.942	287.412
114	76.706	134.706	139.533
115	54.155	69.155	3318.276
116	64.059	67.059	496.825
117	49.623	69.623	205.009
118	46.720	69.720	222. 262
120	55.045	67.545	990.836
121	56.344	64.344	2782. 227
122	58.260	62.260	198.731
123	51.003	60.003	
124	59.123	63.123	298.096
125	59.157	63.157	298.095
126	59.736	64.236	198.729
127	57.472		
128	53.377	67.377	692.764
129	60.475		692.279
130	44. 158	60.158	889. 288
131	51.640		1580. 986
	63.475	66.475	. 348
132 133	60.447		1299.814
	* *	4 4 5 4	the contract of the contract o
134	54. 985	67.485	1723.860
135	57.444	67.444	1256.330
136	56.264	66.764	592.926
137	60.397	66.397	
138	59. 295	66.295	471.909
139	59.822	66.322	123.402
140	61. 235	66. 235	76.610
141	48.318	63.818	395. 234
142	86.645	134.645	696.143
143	42.764	68.764	3136.204
144	55.828	66.328	2354.636
145	62.699	66.199	71.126
146	61.909	67.909	400.419
147	44.964	60.964	922.576
•	•	1986	

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

NODE			SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
====	***********	=======	
148	39.762	62.762	1107.065
149	45.859	66.859	. 002
150	57. 256	69. 256	922, 585
151	58.778	61.778	002
152	56.696	61.696	. 005
153	55. 307	61.307	298.094
154	54. 938	60. 938	198.729
155	53. 594	59. 594	695. 556
156	59.820	66. 320	332.967
157	59.770	66. 270	003
158	59.754	66. 254	270.651
159	61.195	66. 195	135.323
160	57. 481	67.481	004
161	60. 186	69. 186	001
162	65. 510	68.510	298.096
201	46.393	134.893	739.664
202	46.440	134. 940	565.618
203	76.698	134.698	435.030
204	76. 728	134. 728	86.387
205	82. 181	134. 681	95. 574
206	35. 548	69. 548	466.196
207	82. 124	134.624	452. 286
208	33.899	69.399	675.651
209	· ·	134.632	130.425
210	64.138	134.638	129.602
211	75. 929	132. 429	1968. 203
212	79.460	132. 460	332.820
213	78. 396	132. 396	82.747
214	83.815	132. 515	269.585
215	83.807	132. 507	269.626
216 217	94.721 97.005	132. 421 132. 305	777. 379 506. 882
218	90.434	133. 434	308. 567
219	107.790	133. 290	. 047
220	49.055	69.055	264.097
	42.755		1433, 891
221 222	49.017	68.755 69.017	
223	47. 965	67. 965	1773. 982
224	51.822		983. 311
225	56.493	67.822 67.993	1363. 192
226	51.447	67.947	1033. 507
228	98.144		
229		132.144	
230	86.666 89.619	134.666 134.619	609.837 684.057
231	41.117	69.117	2394.055
232	83.498	132. 498	405. 282
233	53. 507	132. 496	36.007
234	47. 136	68.636	318.743
236	84. 597	134.597	366
237	46.758	68.758	. 011
601	40. 100	00.100	. 011

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE C)

*****	=====		
NODE		and the second second second	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
====	===========	222222	
240	5.7		112.302
241	54.841	67.841	
301	81.127	113.627	1007.499
302	100.711	126.711	439.554
303	99.018	129.018	540.170
304	99.008	132.308	170.304
305	50.377	67.877	1067.092
306	49.618	68.118	486.798
307	49.660	68.660	230.324
308	97.617	132.217	147. 598
309	97.344	132.145	151.817
310	52.237	74.737	377. 330
311	50.991	67.491	325.941
312	59.504	67.504	429.737
313	51.604	67.104	56.031
314	56.892	64.892	200.116
315	53.173	63.173	47.482
316	40.940	53.440	1075.507
317	48.026	63.526	242.898
318	85.698	126.198	1514.959
319	36.594	75.094	90.982
320	92.632	126.632	2844.453
321	46.804	67.804	648.076
322	42.969	66.469	365.707
323	54.846	67.346	676.707
324	47.292	63.792	681.311
325	49.642	68.642	800.263
326	94.035	129,035	919.060
327	35.074	75.074	666.572
328	96.599	122.599	777.659
329	85.869	117.869	722. 114
330	50.241	67.241	012
331	38.096	75.096	411.252
351	35.067	93.567	802.192
352	30.939	92.439	275.047
353	32.533	94.033	68.749

1.4 Additional Main Pipe

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

					and the	LDVADU	DI AW	unt	0.0.4	1.000
NODE	NODE	ΤY	P	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	YEL.	GKA.	L022
							=CUM/D=			
100	101	H	Z	1350.	110	1820.	173716.9	1.40	1.01	0.14
100	102	H	Z	800.	110.	1820.	45015.4	1.04	1.58	2.00
101	104	Н.	Z	1350.	110.	1030.	149839.5	1. 21	1.10	1.18
104	105	H	Z	1350.	110.	1900.	146813. Z	1.19	1.10	Z. 1U
105	106	Н	Z	1200.	105.	2500.	148118.4	1.5Z	2.19	5.41
105	108	H	Z	750.	110.	1440.	173716.9 45015.4 149839.5 146813.2 148778.4 -8440.1 -10025.9 15800.0	ZZ	IV	14
105	110	H	Z	525.	110.	35.	-10025.9	54	~. II	~. U3
105	150	H	Z	450.	110.	1200.	15800.0	1.15	3. 76	4. 51
100	115	H	2	450.	110.	1300.	9366. 1 89135. 2 4470. 5 13149. 3	. 68	1.43	1.86
106	107	Н	2	1200.	105.	3420.	89135. Z	91	. 85	2.90
107	143	H	2	375.	80.	1435.	4470.5	. 47	1.59	2.29
107	146	H	2	375.	80.	825.	13149.3	1.38	11.73	9.67
107	601	п	4	1000.	100.	2400.	62784.7 53914.7	. 84	. 93	2. 23
237				1050.		1600.	53914.7	. 72	. 70	1.12
108				750.		1810.	30035.8 -41625.6	. 79	. 94	1. 71
108					115.	2280.	-41625.6	96	-1.26	-2.88
109	143	H	2	375.	80.	2315.	7289.9	. 76	3.94	9.12
109	208	H	2	600.	115.	1530.	18448.9 -17044.5	. 76	1.14	1.74
110	111	H	2	525.	115.	1535.	-17044.5	91	-1.88	-2.89
. 110	117	H	2	150.	95.	55.	1527.0 -22798.5	1.00	13.78	. 76
111	112	H	2	825.	115.	940.	-22798.5	49	36	34
114	142	H	2	375.	80.	1220.	2610.5	. 27	. 59	. 72
115	116	H	2	150.	65.	2000.	2610.5 981.4	. 64	12. 27	24.54
104	118	H	2	200.	115.	200.	3026.3	1.11	8.45	1.69
118				200.	115.	1600.	1999.5	. 74	3.93	6.28
161	162	H	2	150.	115.	500.	1999.5	1.31	15.93	7.97
116	162	H	2	150.	65.	1700.	-881.7	58	-10.07	-17, 11
115	117	H	2	200.	70.	2275.	-951.6	35	-2.49	-5.66
115					120.		18059.7			
115							1217. 2			
115	141	H	2	200.	70.	700.	1437.1	. 53	5.34	3.74
117	118	11	2	150.	65.	1900.	-193.4	13	61	-1.16
120							6527.1			
120	121	H	2	200.	110.	1120.	3471.7	1.28	11.83	13.25
121	124	H	2	200.	110.	1600.	-1794.3	66	-3.49	~5.58
				450.	120.	400.	15656.9	1.14	3.15	1.26
121	122	H	2	200.			1360.0			3.13
122	151	H	2	200.	110.	500.	614.8			. 24
151	152	H	2	200.	110.	300.				. 01
152	153	H	2	200.	110.	500.	1088.9	. 40	1.38	. 69
153				150.	95.	1300.	-115.2			
	154					800.	449.5			. 87
153				125.	80.	750.	86.3	. 08	. 23	. 17
123				150.		1200.	209.4	. 14	. 27	. 32
152					80.	1200.	-923.6	60	-7.47	-8.96
123	155	Ĥ	2	150.	80.	1500.	-651.4	43	-3.92	-5.87
				150.		1300.	-543.4	36	-2.80	-3.64

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

CONNECTOR RESULTS. NODE NODE TYP DIAM CYALUE LENGTH FLOY. VEL. GRA: 220.1 =CUM/D = =M/S = =1/1000 ===M= 124 125 H 2 100. 75. 545. 466.0 .69 17.10 9.32 -494.8 -. 32 127 H 2 150. 80. 1800. -2.35-4.24124 -651.8 -.43 -4.08125 126 H 2 150. 80. 1040. -3.92-1324.8 - 87-9.46126 127 H 2 150. 80. 650. -14.56-1075.8 - 40-3.12-2.19127 128 H 2 200. 70. 700. 5856.0 - .96 9.97 9.47 129 H 2 300. 70. 950. 128 128 131 H 2 150. 65. 1050. 612.3 . 40 5.13 5.38 128 132 H 2 300. 100. 1430. 6796.6 1.11 6.79 9.70 126 H 2 72. 2 129 100. 60. 2640. . 82 2.17 . 11 129 132 H 2 3833.2 . 23 400. 110. 480. . 35 . 49 37 130 131 H 2 562.8 4.39 3.73 150. 65. 850. 130 147 H 2 200. 70. 350. -803.5 -.30-1.82-.64-1299.0 - 48-4.43141 H 2 200. 70. -2.66147 600. 25 148 II 2 387.4 . 77 1.22 147 150. 115. 1600. 149 H 2 -978.9 - .64-4.25-1.70148 150. 115. 400. -2974.3 -1.10-7.77149 150 H 2 200. 115. 950. -8.18131 129 H 2 150. 65. 725. 647.3 . 42 5.68 4.12 . 98 . 64 132 133 H 2 400. 110. 200. 10629.8 3.21 . 72 144 H 2 300. 70. 4386.5 5.84 133 1020. 5.96 133 137 H 2 150. 65. 1540. 703.1 . 46 6.62 10.20 665.8 . 44 5:99 10.42 133 145 H 2 150. 65. 1740. 134 160 H 2 400. 500. 1936.7 110. . 18 . 14 .07 160 135 H 2 400. 110. 330. 6391.8 . 59 1, 25 .41 -. 97 225 H 2 -1476.9-20.06156 150. 75. 850. -17.05158 H 2 . 33 2.00 906.4 137 200. 75. 750. 1.50 157 158 H 2 200. 75. 600. 211.9 .08 . 14 . 08 159 H 2 103.4 .07 158 150. 75. 750. . 15 . 11 4443.2 144 H 2 300. 70. 134 1025. 73 5.98 6.13 -11647.7 -1.07146 H 2 134 400. 110. 1005. -3.80-3.82134 224 H 2 200. 90. 1160. -1196.5 -.44-2.39-2.77135 136 H 2 150. 75. 1096.6 . 72 11.56 7.40 640. 135 137 H 2 250. 80. 940. 4258.0 1.00 10.49 9.86 136 138 H 2 150. 65. 600. 712.2 . 47 6.78 4.07 136 225 H 2 150. 340. -1839.0 -1.20-39.22-13.3365. 137 138 H 2 150. 420. 519.1 . 34 3.78 1.59 65. 138 156 H 2 200. 70. 410. -538.3-. 20 -. 87 -. 36 . 11 . 31 . . 08 139 156 H 2 200. 70. 250. 310.0 .77 139 157 H 2 200. 70. 504.1 . 19 . 27 350. 140 157 H 2 200. 70. 680. -292.2-. 11 -. 28 -.19225 H 2 -1276.8-. 84 -19.97139 150. 65. 850. -16.97159 H 2 4.9 .00 .00 .00 140 150. 65. 1140. 159 H 2 150. 399.1 . 26 2.32 1.39 145 65. 600. 200 201 H 2 525. 115. 3650. 22824.3 1.22 3.23 11.78 200 202 H 2 525. 22240.5 1.19 3.08 11.23 115. 3650. 200 210 H 2 600. 115. 5000. 30910.7 1.27 2.95 14.77 201 203 H 2 525. 900. 20050.6 1.07 2.54 2.29 115. 204 H 2 1.08 2.56 202 525. 115. 970. 20119.5 2.48 203 205 H 2 525. 115. 100. 17429.4 . 93 1.96 . 20

990.

460.

2286.9

14782.3

. 21

.79

. 17

1.45

17

.66

205

205

229 H 2

207 H 2

400.

525.

115.

115.

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

CONNECTOR								
	4.4			LDMOTH	FLOW.	11D1	CDA	LOSS
NODE NODE	ITP	DIAM - DIAM	CVALUE	LENGIN	rion.	YBU.	GRA.	F022
007 000	===	=MW=	115	110C	=CUM/D=	=W\2=	=1/1000=	== 1/1=
201 230	11 6	040.	110.	1140.	2564. 7 10520. 8 -5882. 4	. 14		. 06
201 236	H Z	600.	110.	000	10020.0	. 43	. 40	. 32
236 209	H Z	600.	115.	3000.	~5882.4	~. 24	~. 14	41
	H 2	500.	110.	400.	-6369.7	Zb	11	01
	H 2	525.	115.	1910.	-6369.7 15915.2 18858.7	. 85	1.66	3. 20
The second secon	H 2	450.	110.	2700.	18858.7	1.37	5. ZZ	14.09
	H 2	300.		Z9Z0.	5195.0 -2320.6 -5060.0	. 85	4.54	13. 25
	H 2		110.	1890.	-2320.6	Z1	19	36
	H 2	300.	95. 100.	2925.	-5060.0	- 83	-4.32	-12.64
212 213		150.	100.	1145.	310.3 -3188.9	. 20	. 66	. 75
	H 2		110.	1875.	-3188.9	~. Z9	35	65
	H 2			1895.	-689.8	- 16	24	45
	H 2		110.	1435.	4670.9 1755.9	. 43	. 70	1.00
	H 2			2385.	1755. 9	. 29	. 55	1.32
	H 2		100.	1990.	-1603.8	~. 38	-1.14	-2.27
	H 2	300.		1655.	-296.7	05	02	03
	H 2			2100.	13695.1	1.26	5.12	10.76
and the second s	H 2	525.		1970.	-6937.9	37	36	
	H 2	300.			-4956.8			
	H 2	300.		1000.	420.2	. 07	. 05	. 05
	H 2				8449.8			
223 224	H 2	300.	90.	1125.	1797.5	. 29	. 70	. 79
224 241	H 2	300.			-1754.6			
224 226	H 2	200.	90.	435.	-1331.7	- 49		
225 240	H 2				-1178.2			28
226 240	H. 2	250.	95.	675.	-2346.0	55	-2.53	-1.71
225 240	H 2	550.	115.	400.	-11344.4	55	71	28
240 307	H · 2	550.	115.	1675.	-23078.1	-1.12	-2.63	-4.40
225 240	H 2	300.	100.	400.	-2003.4	33	71	28
240 325	H 2	300.	100.	1600.	-4099.2	67	-2.66	-4.26
240 241	H .2	300.	90.	350.	6104.4	1.00	6.76	2.37
240 241	11 2	250.	90.	350.	3779.2	.89	6.77	2.37
135 241	H 2	250.	90.	600.	-3673.8	87	-6.42	-3.85
160 241	H 2	250.	90.	850.	-2863.1	68	-4.05	-3.44
160 241	H 2	200.	90.	850.	-1592.1	- 59	-4.05	-3.44
					4821.1			
					-2861.3			
			100.				-2.98	
300 331					2956.4			. 04
and the second second	H 2		70.		1415.0			
302 303		200.	75.	700.	-1978.5	- 73	-8.49	-5.94
	H 2	200	75.	800.	-1628.2	- 60	-5.92	-4.74
					-			

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

=====					1.0					
NODE	NODE	TY	P	DIAM	CAVLAE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
====	====	==	=	_=MM=:	=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
304	308	H	2	550.	115.	275.	9519.1	. 46	. 51	. 14
304	309	H	2	300.	100.	420.	2197.5	. 36	. 84	. 35
305	306	H	2	375.	75.	600.	-3786.0 909.4	40	-1.32	-, 79
305	311	H	2	200.	70.	1415.	909.4	. 34	2.29	3.24
.305	321	H	2	375.	75.	1475.	2430.2 -4560.9	. 25	. 58	. 86
306	307			375.	75.	700.	-4560.9	48	-1.86	-1.30
306	322			150.	65.	850.	236.3	. 15	. 88	. 75
308	326					1100.	8966.1	1.08	4.13	
311	312				70.	2485.	182.3	. 07	. 12	. 29
312	323				110.	1050.	2533.2	. 23	. 23	
312	324				70.		858.7			
313				200.	100.	950.	899.0	. 33	1.16	1.10
313	330			400.	110.	1900.	-5171.6	~. 48	85	-1.61
330	323	H	2	400.	110.	1450.	2611.5	. 24	. 24	. 35
314	315	H	2	200.	100.	1000.	1003.8	. 37	1.42	1.42
317						1365.			-2.30	
320	328	H	2	150.	85.	1100.	1162.6	. 76	10.22	11.24
302	328	H	2	150.	85.	1150.	967.6	63	7. 28	8.37
328	329	Н	2		65.	1100.	-785.9	51	-8.14	-8.95
301	329	Η	2	150.	85.	550.	-199.1	13	39	21
302	320	H	2	150.	115.	1500.	-637.4	42	-1.92	-2.88
320	318	H	2		75.	800.	978.9	. 36	2.31	1.85
326	320	H	2	350.	115.	1200.	7380.9 4834.1	. 89	2.88	3.46
320	318	H	2	350.	115.	1400.	4834.1	. 58	1.32	1.84
327	319	H	2			600.	-2499.6	30	39	23
350	351	H					1540.8			
350	353	H	2	75.	115.	5000.	106.1	. 28	2.04	10.19
351	352	H	2	150.	65.	985.	158.1	. 10	. 42	. 41
315	316	H	2	200.	75.	3600.	766.7	. 28	1.47	5.29
103	203	H	2	525.	110.	60.	16130.6	. 86	1.84	. 11
106	115	H.	2	450.	110.	20.	59643.3	4.34	43.91	. 88
115							21840.6			
120	128	H	2	400.	110.	400.	10529.0	. 97	3.15	1.26
128	127	H	2	400.	110.	700.	10464.6	. 96	3.11	2.18
127	124	II	2	400.	110.	1800.	8975.4	. 83	2.34	4.22
124	155	H	2	300.	110.	1300.	8975.4 4625.1	. 76	2.79	3.63
					110.		1908.8			5.87
115	141	Н	2	400.	110.		13979.8			3.72
					110.				4.41	
130	147				110.		-8494.8	78	-2.12	- 64
130	131					1000.	5400.7	. 88	3.72	3.72
147	148	H	2	200.	110.	1600.	789.6	. 29	. 76	1.22
148	149	H	2	200.	110.	400.	-1995.4	- 74	-4.25	-1.70
304	326	H	2	500.	110.	1100.	22275.5	1.31	4. 25	4.67
					4					

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

====				+ 1					
NODE	NODE	TYP	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
====	====	===	=MM=	=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
326	320	H 2	500.	110.	1200.	18038.3	1.06	2.88	3.45
320	318	H 2	400.	110.	1200.	7139.8	. 66	1.54	1.84
318	329	H 2	400.	110.	300.	7032.7	. 65	1.49	. 45
329	301		400.	110.	500.	3578.9	. 33	. 43	. 21
307	306	H 2	500.	110.	700.	14255. 2	. 84	1.86	1.30
306	305		500.		600.	11833.3	. 70	1.32	. 79
305		H 2	400.		1600.	8278.2	. 76	2.02	3.23
311		H 2	400.	110.	100.	7783.1	. 72	1.80	. 18
306	322				500.	1135.0	. 42	1.49	. 75
323	324				1300.	2607.0	. 61	2.35	3,05
313	314		300.		500.	4062.5	. 67	2.20	1. 10
314	315		300.		1000.	3207.3	. 53	1.42	1.42
315	316		300.	110.	3600.	3266.4	. 53	1.47	5.28
350		H. 2	250.	110.	4015.	2650.4	. 62	2.42	9.72
351		H 2	250.	110.	985.	1025.0	. 24	. 42	. 41
352		H 2	250.	110.	100.	151.6	.04	. 01	.00
203		H 2	600.	110.	1100.	17120.2	. 70	1.07	1.18
236	219	H 2	600.	110.	4100.	33523.4	1.37	3.73	15.27
219	304	H. 2	600.	110.	2500.	37529.8	1.54	4.59	11.48
218		H 2			3200.	4006.4	. 37	. 53	1.69
101	112				100.	23877.3	. 52	. 39	.04
102					100.	42704.4	. 98	1.32	. 13
109	206		400.	110.	100.	1748.8	. 16	. 11	.01
220	222			110.	100.	5947.4	97	4.44	. 44
307	234		300.		100.	4056.4	. 66	2.19	. 22
307	325		400.		100.	7099.9	. 65	1.52	. 15
318		H 2	150.		500.	239.1	. 16	. 90	. 45
300		H 2	350.	115.	150.	2840.5	. 34	. 49	.07
103		H 2	525.	115.	100.	-19793.4		-2.48	25
103		H 2	525.		100.	3136.4	. 17	. 08	. 01
214		H 2	300.		100.	3813.7	. 62	1.95	. 20
214	215		400.	110.	100.	5681.7	. 52	1.01	. 10
303	326	H 2	250.	110.	200.	-2376.0	56	-1.98	40

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

=====	======		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYYE IN)
100	. 800	63.300	-218732.300
200	1. 900	128.400	-75975.470
300	36.600	75. 100	-5796.849
350	60.000	98. 500	-4297. 318
101	22. 582	60.582	. 008
101	22. 449	60. 449	2310.960
102	56.606	114.606	526.336
104	36. 412	59.412	. 010
105	37. 334	57. 334	700. 703
106	36.914	51.914	- 027
107	32.043	49.043	8730.608
108	30.874	57.474	3149.647
109	17. 783	55. 783	2548. 170
110	37.361	57. 361	5491.609
111	26. 912	60. 212	5754.021
112	22. 544	60. 544	1078.865
113	22. 319	60.319	1078.875
114	56. 598	114.598	525.898
115	36.045	51.045	12445.050
116	23.897	26.897	1863.046
117	36.615	56.615	768.773
118	34.748	57.748	833.502
120	34.496	46.996	3715.703
121	25.947	33.947	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
122	26.872	30.872	745.214
123	21.090	30.090	2235.656
124	35. 438	39.438	1117.824
125	26.281	30.281	1117.828
126	29.788	34.288	745. 218
127	35.601	43.601	745.209
128	31.752	45.752	2597.877
129	30.410	36.410	2597.904
130	28.126	44.126	3334.753
131	31,960	40.460	5928.548
132	33.181	36, 181	013
133	29.548	35.548	4874.368
134	23. 219	35.719	6464.341
135	25. 244	35. 244	4710.954
136	17.463	27.963	2223. 414
137	19.521	25. 521	3535. 614
138	16.962	23.962	1769. 569
139	17.889	24. 389	
140	18.937	23. 937	287. 280
141	31.867	47. 367	1482.093
142	65.890	113.890	2610. 481
143	20. 788	46.788	11760.460
144	19. 174	29.674	8829.668
145	21.806	25. 306	
146	33.485	39.485	1501.561
147	28.752	44.752	3459.576

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

=====	======			
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD(M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE	
====				
148	20.551	43.551	4151.374	
149	24. 222	45. 222	. 000	
150	40.878	52.878	3459.571	
151	27.635	30.635	006	
152	25.623	30.623	021	
153	23.943	29.943	1117.830	
154	23.177	29.777	745.221	
155	29.862	35.862	2608.263	
156	17.812	24.312	1248.585	
157	17.624	24. 124	.009	
158	17.544	24.044	1014.884	
159	18.937	23.937	507.458	
160	25.651	35.651	. 013	
161	42. 568	51. 568	. 000	
162	40.728	43. 728	1117.827	
	28. 256	116.756	2773.698	
201		117. 301	2121.025	
202	28.801		1631.550	
203	56.497	114.497	326. 103	
204	56.851	114.851		
205	61.803	114. 303	360. 275	
206	21.772	55.772	1748.771	
207	61.147	113.647	1696.764	
208	18.566	54.066	2533.707	
209	63.735	113.735	487. 314	
210	43.303	113.803	487.347	
211	31. 771	88.271	7380.591	
212	35.628	88.628	1247.839	
213	33.890	87.890	310. 291	•
214	40.566	89.266	1010.836	
215	40.467	89.167	1010.820	
216	50.476	88.176	2915.038	
217	51.541	86.841	1900. 515	
218	56.887	99.887	1157.151	
219	72.725	98.225	. 018	
220	30.150	50.150	990.591	
221	20.787	46.787	5376.960	
222	29.712	49.712	990. 595	
223	19.224	39. 224	6652. 253	
224	22.445	38.445	3687.331	
225	29.596	41.096	5112.205	
226	23. 191	39.691	3875.581	
228	50.978	84.978	4358.543	
229	66.136	114. 136	2286.857	•
230	68.584	113. 584	2564.719	
231	22.842	50.842	8977.305	
2.4.4	40.073	89.073	1519. 969	
232	20.736	100.736	135.021	
233			1195.159	
234	24.008	45.508	.031	
236	63.329	113. 329	.004	** *
237	24.834	46.834	. 004	

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD(M.)	
240	28. 375	41.375	421.688
241	26.041	39.041	.006
301	43.876	76.376	3778.023
302	50.015	76.015	1648.271
303	51.866	81.866	2025.704
304	53.574	86.874	638.376
305	26. 156	43.656	4001.525
306	25.937	44.437	1825. 384
307	26.723	45.723	864.230
308	52.136	86.736	553.052
309	51.727	86.527	569.207
310	48.400	70.900	1414. 951
311	23, 970	40.470	
312	32.185	40.185	
313	23. 210	38.710	210.140
314	29.628	37.628	
315	26.232	36.232	178.050
316	18.532		4033.045
317	18.364	33.864	910.843
318	36.529	77.029	5680.951
319	36.527	75.027	340,896
320	44.845	78.845	10666.380
321	21.812	42.812	2430.213
322	20.202	43.702	1371. 365
323	27.452	39.952	2537.599
324	20.446	36.946	2554.849
325	26.574	45.574	3000.719
326	47. 255	82.255	3446.401
327	34.798	74.798	2499.582
328	41.785	67.785	2916.144
329	44.587	76.587	2707.867
330	23. 293	40.293	. 024
331	38.059	75.059	
351	30.429	88.929	3008.135
352	27.025	88.525	1031.447
353	27.024	88.524	257.736

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

NODE	NODE	TYP	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
=====	===	===	=MM=	=====	==M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
100	101	H 2.	1350.			46326.5		13	24
100			800.			12005.1	. 28	. 14	. 25
101				110.			. 32	. 10	. 10
104	105	H 2	1350.	110.	1900.	39151.6	. 32	. 10	. 18
105	106	H 2	1200.	105.	2500.	39674.9	. 41	. 19	. 47
105.	108	H 2	750.	110.	1440.	-2250.7	06	01	- 01
105	110	H 2	525.	110.	35.	-2673.2	14	07	- 00
105	150	H 2	450.	110.	1200.	4213.4			. 39
150	115	H 2	450.	110.	1300.	2497.7	. 18	. 12	. 18
106	107	H 2	1200.	105.	3420.		. 24		. 25
107	143	H 2	375.	80.	1435.	1192. 2	. 12	. 14	. 20
107	146	II 2	375.	80. 80.	825.	3506.5	. 37	1.02	
107	237	H 2	1050.	100.	2400	16742.9	. 22	. 08	. 19
237	307	H 2	1050.	100.	1600.	14377.5	. 19	. 06	. 10
108	109	H 2	750.	115.	1810.	8009.5	. 21		. 15
108				115		-11100.1			25
109	143	H. 2	375.	80.	2315.	1944.0	. 20		. 79
109				115.	1530.	4919.8	. 20	1.0	. 15
110	111			115.	1535.	-4545.4	24	16	25
:110	117	H 2	150.	95.	55.	407.2	. 27	1.19	. 07
111				115.	940.	-6079.7			03
114	142	H 2	375.		1220.				06
115			150.		2000.		. 17		2.13
104	118				200.	807.0			. 15
	161			115.	1600.		. 20		54
161	162			115.	500.	533.2			.69
116	162	H 2		65.	1700.	-235.1			-1.48
115	117			70.	2275.	-253.8	09		49
115	120	H 2	450.	120.	1000.	4816.1		36	. 36
115	128	H 2	200.	70.	1370.	324.6	. 12	. 34	47
115	141	H 2	200.		700.	383.2	. 14		. 32
117	118	H 2	150.	65.	1900.	-51.6	03	05	10
120	121	H 2	250.	115.	1120.	1740.6	. 41	1.02	1.15
120	121	H 2	200.	110.	1120.	925.8	. 34	1.03	1.15
121	124	H 2	200.	110.	1600.	-478.5	18	30	48
120				120.	400.	4175.3	. 30	. 27	. 11
		H 2	200.	100.	1255.	362.7	. 13	. 22	. 27
122				110.	500.	163.9	.06	. 04	. 02
151				110.	300.	44.1	. 02	00	.00
152	153			110.	500.	290.4	. 11	. 12	.06
153				95.	1300.	-30.7	-: 02		01
151			150.		800.	119.9	. 08		. 08
153			125.		750.		. 02	02	.01
				110.	1200.	55.8	. 04	. 02	. 03
				80.	1200.	-246.3	16		78
123	155	H 2	150.	80.	1500.	-173.7	- 11	34	
155						-144.9			32

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH FLOW. YEL. GRA. LOSS =CUM/D= =M/S= =1/1000= ==M= 100. 545. 124.3 125 H 2 75. . 18 1.48 . 81 124 127 H 2 150. 80. 1800. -132.0-.09 -. 20 -. 37 126 H 2 -173.8125 150. 80. 1040. -. 11 -.34~. 35 126 127 H 2 -353.3-. 23 150. 80. 650. -1.26-.82200. -. 27 127 128 H 2 70. 700. -286.9-. 11 -.19128 129 H 2 . 26 .86 300. 70. 950. 1561.7 . 82 . 11 128 131 H 2 150. 65. 1050. 163.3 . 44 . 47 128 132 H 2 300. 100. 1430. 1812.5 . 30 . 59 . 84 129 126 H 2 19.3 .03 100. 60. 2640. .07 . 19 129 132 H 2 400. 480. 1022.2 .09 .02 110. . 04 .10 . 38 130 131 H 2 150. 65. 850. 150.1 . 32 -. 08 130 147 II 2 200. 70. 350. -214.3-.16-.06 141 II 2 70. 600. -346.4147 200. -.13-. 38 -.23147 148 H 2 150. 115. 1600. 103.3 . 07 .07 . 11 -261.1148 149 H 2 150. 115. 400. -. 17 -. 37 -. 15 -793.2149 150 H 2 -. 29 ÷. 67 200. 115. 950. -. 71 131 129 H 2 150. 65. 725. 172.6 .11 . 49 . 36 133 H 2 2834.6 . 28 .06 132 400. 110. 200. . 26 144 11 2 133 300. 1020. 1169.7 . 19 . 51 .52 70. 133 137 H 2 150. 65. 1540. 187.5 . 12 . 57 .88 133 145 H 2 150. 65. 1740. 177.6 . 12 . 52 . 90 134 160 H 2 400. 500. 516.4 .01 110. .05 .01 135 H 2 160 400. 110. 330. 1704.5 . 16 . 11 .04 -. 26 156 225 H 2 150. -393.9-1.7475. 850. -1.48.09 158 H 2 200. 75. 241.7 . 17 . 13 137 750. 157 158 H 2 200. 75. 600. 56.5 .02 .. 01 .01 158 159 H 2 150. 75. 750. 27.6 . 02 .01 .01 134 144 H 2 300. 70. 1025. 1184.9 . 19 . 52 53 134 146 H 2 1005. 400. -3106.1-.29-. 33 110. -, 33 224 H 2 134 200. 90. 1160. -319.1-.12-. 21 -. 24 . 19 135 136 H 2 150, 75. 640. 292.4 1.00 . 64 . 27 135 137 H 2 250. 80. 940. 1135.5 91 .86 . 12 136 138 H 2 150. 600. 189.9 . 59 . 35 65. 225 H 2 150. 136 340. -490.4-.3265. -3.40-1.16137 138 H 2 150. 138.4 . 09 . 14 65. 420. . 33 -.08 138 156 H-2 200. 70. 410. -143.6-. 05 -.03.03 .03 .01 139 156 H 2 200. 70. 250. 82.7 139 157 H 2 200. 70. 350. 134.4 . 05 .. 07 .02 157 II 2 140 200. 70. 680. -77.9--. 03 -.02-.02139 225 H 2 150. 65. 850. -340.5-. 22 -1.73-1.47159 H 2 .00 . . 00 140 150. 65. 1140. 1.3 .00 . 07 159 H 2 145 150. 65. 600. 106.4 . 20 . 12 . 33 201 H 2 6086.6 28 200 525. 115. 3650. 1.02 200 202 11 2 525. 115. 3650. 5930.9 . 32 . 27 . 97 210 H 2 . 34 200 600. 115. 5000. 8243.2 . 26 1.28 201 . 29 203 H 2 525. 115. 900. 5346.9 . 20 . 22 202 204 II 2 . 29 . 22 525. 115. 970. 5365.4 . 22 203 205 H 2 525. 115. 100. 4647.8 . 25 .17 .02 229 II 2 .06 .01 205 400.115. 990. 609.8 .01 207 H 2 205 525. 115. 460. 3942.4 . 21 . 13 .06

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

	===== ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::								
					LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
					===M==			=1/1000=	
207					1125.			.00	
207			600.	115.	800	2806.1	. 11	. 03	. 03
236		H 2		115.	3000.	-1568.0	- 06	01	04
209		H 2		110.	400	-1698.2	07	- 01	01
208	231	H 2	525	415.	1070	1211	23	1.1	. 28
210		H 2			2700	5029 0	. 37	. 45	1. 22
210		H 2			2920.	1385.3	. 23	. 39	1.15
211		H 2		110.	1000	61R Q	- 06	∩ ?	- 03
211			300.		2925	-1349.3	22	37 . 06 03	-1.10
212	213	H 2	150	100.	1145.	82.7	. 05	. 06	. 07
212	214	H 2	400.	110.	1875.	-850.4	08	03	06
212		H 2			1895.	-184.0	04	02	04
215		H 2			1435.	1245.7	. 11	.06	. 09
216			300.			468.3	. 08	. 05	. 11
217			250.			-427.7	10	10	20
217		H 2			1655.	-79.1	01	00	00
218		H 2			2100.	3652.0	. 34	. 44	. 93
220		H 2			1970.	-79.1 3652.0 -1850.1	:10	03	06
221	222				645.	-1321.8	22	- 40	26
237		H 2			1000.				. 00
237				90.	625.	2253.3			. 67
223		,	300.				. 08	. 06	. 07
224		H 2							05
224		H 2		90.	435.	-355.1	13	25	11
225		H 2			400.		07	- 06	02
226			250.			-625.6			-, 15
225	240	H 2	550.	115.	400.	-3025.0	15	06	02
240	307	H 2					30	23	38
225	240	H 2	300.	100.	400.	-534.2	09	06	
240	325	11 2			1600.		18	23	
240	241	H 2	300.			1627.9	. 27	59	. 21
240	241	H 2	250.	90.		1007.8			. 21
135		H 2				-979.7	23		33
160	241	H 2	250.	90.	850.		18	35	30
160	241	H 2	200.	90.	850.	-424.6		35	30
225				110.		1285.7	. 12	. 06	. 08
226	234	H 2	250.	100.	1775.	-763.0	18	29	51
228	304		300.	100.	645.		19	26	17
300		H 2		75.		788.4	. 08	07	. 00
331	310	H. 2	250.	70.	2415.		.09		. 37
302	303	H 2	200.	75.	700.	-527.6	19		
303	309	H 2	200.	75.	800.	-434.2	16	51	41

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

	CIUK								
	MODE			CHALTE.	LEMOTH	FLOW.	VPI	CDA	LOSS
					===M==			=1/1000=	
	308	1.1		115.	275.			.04	
304	309						. 10	. 07	. 03
305	306		375.		600.				
305	311		200.	70.	1415.	242.5	. 09		. 28
305	321		375.			648.1			. 07
306	307		375.			-1216.2	13		
306	322		150.		850.			. 08	
308	326		350.			2390.9			
311	312		200.		2485.			. 01	
312		H 2			1050.				
312	324		200.		1600.		. 08		. 29
313	314		200.			239.7		. 10	
313	330	H 2	400.	110.		'	13	07	
330	323	H 2	400.	110.	1450.	696.4	06		.03
314	315	H 2	200.	100.		267.7	. 10	. 12	. 12
317	324	11 2	200.	70.	1365.	-242.9	09	20	27
320	328		150.	85.	1100.	310.0	. 20		. 97
302	328	H 2	150.		1150.	258.0		. 63	. 73
328	329				1100.				
301	329				550.	and the second second	03		
302	320				1500.				
320	318				800.		. 10	20	
326	320							25	. 30
320	318						. 16	. 11	
327	319				600.		08		
350 350					4015.			. 21 . 18	. 84
351	352		75.	115. 65.			. 03		
315	316			75.		42. 2 204. 5	0.8	1 2	
103					60.	4301.6		. 16	
	115				20.	15905.3		3.81	
	120					5824.4			. 36
120			400.		400.	2807.8			. 11
				110.		2790.6			19
				110.	1800.	2393.5	. 22		. 37
124	155	H. 2	300.	110.	1300.	1233.4		. 24	. 31
155	123			110.	1500.	509.0	. 19		. 51
115	141	H 2	400.	110.	700.	3728.0	. 34	. 46	. 32
141	147	H 2	400.			3369.6	. 31	. 38	. 23
130	147				300.		21	- 18	06
130	131		300.	110.	1000.	1440.2	. 24	. 32	
147	148		200.	110.	1600.	210.6	.08	. 07	. 11
148	149		200.	110.	400.	-532.1	20	- 37	
304	326	H 2	500.	110.	1100.	5940.1	. 35	. 37	. 41

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D) CONNECTOR RESULTS.

	===== 6010K								
				CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
====	=====	===	=MM=	======	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
326	320	H 2	500.	110.	1200.	4810.2	. 28	. 25	. 30
320	318	H 2	400.	110.	1200.	1903.9	. 18	. 13	. 16
318	329	H · 2	400.	110.	300.	1875.4	. 17	. 13	. 04
329	301	H 2	400.	110.	500.	954.4	.09	. 04	. 02
307	306	H 2	500.	110.	700.	3801.4	. 22	. 16	. 11
306	305	H 2	500.	110.	600.	3155.6	. 19	. 11	. 07
305	311	H 2	400.	110.	1600.	2207.4	. 20	. 17	. 28
311	330	H 2	400.	110.	100.	2075.4	. 19	. 16	. 02
306	322	H 2	200.	110.	500.	302.7	. 11	. 13	06
323	324	H 2	250.	110.	1300.	695.2	. 16	. 20	. 26
313	314	H 2	300.	110.	500.	1083.4	. 18	. 19	. 10
314	315	H 2	300.	110.	1000.	855.3	. 14	. 12	. 12
315	316	H 2	300.	110.	3600.	871.1	. 14	. 13	. 46
350	351	H 2	250.	110.	4015.	706.9	. 17	. 21	. 84
351	352	H- 2	250.	110.	985.		.06	.04	. 04
352	353	H 2	250.	110.	100.	40.4	. 01	. 00	. 00
203	236		600.		1100.	4565.9		. 09	. 10
236	219	H 2	600.	110.	4100.	8939.7	. 37	. 32	1.32
219	304	H 2	600.	110.	2500.	10008.1	. 41	. 40	1.00
218	219	H 2	400.	110.	3200.	1068.4		. 05	. 15
101	112	H 2	825.	115.	100.	6366.7	. 14		.00
102	113	H 2	800.	115.	100.	11387.8			.01
109	206	H 2	400.	110.	100.	466.2			.00
220	222	H 2	300.	110.		1586.0	. 26	. 39	. 04
307	234	H 2	300.	110.	100.	1081.7	. 18	. 19	. 02
307	325	H 2	400.	110.	100.	1893.2			
318	329	H 2	150.	65.	500.	63.8	.04	08	.04
300	319	II. 2	350.	115.	150.	757.5	.09		
103	1	H: 2	525.		100.				
103	114		525.			835.7			
214	232	H 2	300.		100.	1017.0	. 17	17	.02
214	215		400.		100.	1515.3	. 14	. 09	.01
303	326	H 2	250.	110.	200.	-633.6		17	03

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

147

52.596

........... NODE REL. HEAD (M.) HEAD(M.) SUPPLY (CUM. /DAY. -VE IN) ************** ========== ==== 100 7.700 70, 200 -58331.5709.400 200 135.900 -20260,83075.100 300 36.600 -1545,89998.500 -1146.081 350 60.000 69.965 101 31.965 1.630 69.953 102 31.953 617.302 134.707 103 76.707 141.514104 46.864 69.864 -. 443 187.072 105 49.684 69.684 69.215 -.137106 54.215 107 51.967 68.967 2328.174 108 43.096 69.696 839, 949 69.550 679.480 31.550 109 49.686 69,686 1464.970 110 69.933 36.633 1534.372 111 69.962 112 31.962 286.978 113 31.942 69.942 287.646 76.706 134.706 139.510 114 69.140 3318.698 54.140 115 64.051 67.051 496.826 116 117 49.622 69.622 205.017 46.720 69.720 222.271 118 120 56.290 68.790 990.964 67.661 2782.223 121 59.661 67.395 198.726 122 63.395 123 58.327 67. 327 596.193 64.136 68.136 298.096 124 63.344 67.344 298.095 125 67.691 63.191 198.729 126 127 60.496 68.496 198.734 54.682 68.682 692.795 128 129 61.874 67.874 692.796 130 52.541 68, 541 889.304 131 59.724 68.224 1580.989 132 64.854 67.854 .053 133 61.800 67.800 1299.869 134 55.314 67.814 1723.834 67.773 135 57.773 1256.265 136 56.643 67.143 592,926 137 60.932 66.932 942.859 59.797 66.797 138 471.895 139 60.334 66,834 123, 393 140 61.795 66.795 76.633 141 53, 322 68.822 395.222 142 86.645 134.645 696.166 143 42.772 68.772 3136.208 2354.645 56.791 67.291 144 145 63.414 66.914 71.125 68.140 146 62, 140 400.436

922.528

68.596

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

			SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)

118	45.492	68.492	
149	47.636	68.636	. 005
150	57. 299	69. 299	922. 565 020
151	64.375	67.375 67.374	009
152	62.374 61.315	67. 315	•
153 154	61.313	67. 300	198.729
155	61.827	67.827	695. 557
156	60.328	66.828	332. 963
157	60.311	66.811	. 007
158	60.305	66.805	270.640
159	61.795	66.795	135.326
160	57.809	67.809	. 012
161	60.185	69.185	001
162	65.507	68.507	298.094
201	46.393	134.893	739.741
202	46.440	134.940	565. 562
203	76.697	134.697	434.713
204	76.728	134.728	86.605
205	82.181	134.681	95. 574
206	35.549	69.549	466.196
207	82.124	1011021	452.546
208	33.901	69.401	675.685
209	84.631	134.631	130.148
210	64.137	134.637	130.748
211	75. 929	132.429	1968. 223
212	79.460	132.460	332.776
213	78.396	132. 396	82.750
214	83.815	132, 515	269, 349 269, 596
215 216	83.806 94.721	132. 506 132. 421	777.392
217	97.005	132. 305	506.774
218	90.434	133.434	308. 578
219	107.790	133. 290	. 000
220	49.063	69.063	264.138
221	42.772	68.772	1433.902
222	49.025	69.025	264.173
223	48.118	68.118	1773.983
224	52.050	68.050	983.304
225	56.779	68.279	1362.994
226	51.658	68.158	1033.510
228	98.144	132.144	1162.306
229	86.666	134.666	609.837
230	89.618		683, 797
231	41.122	69.122	2393. 991
232	83.498	and the second second	405. 268
233	53.507	133.507	36.008
234	47.161	68.661	318.684
236	84.596	134.596	. 347
237	46.776	68.776	029

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE D)

NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD(M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
====	****		
240	55.304	68.304	112.240
241	55.102	68.102	. 008
301	98.900	131.400	1007.453
302	105.369	131.369	439.554
303	101.875	131.875	540.181
304	99.008	132.308	170.020
305	51.001	68.501	1067.170
306	50.068	68.568	486.760
307	49.680	68.680	231.036
308	97.696	132.296	147.865
309	97.478	132.278	151.774
310	52. 237	74.737	377.330
311	51.725	68.225	325.926
312	60.201	68.201	429.759
313	52.573	68.073	56.059
314	59.980	67.980	200.107
315	57.859	67.859	47.486
316	54.909	67.409	1075.506
317	52.154	67.654	242.898
318	90.957	131.457	1514.916
319	36.594	75.094	90.964
320	97.614	131.614	2844.375
321	47.428	68.428	648.077
322	45,005	68.505	365.711
323	55.681	68.181	676.688
324	51.421	67.921	681.314
325	49.667	68.667	800.158
326	96.909	131.909	919.011
327	35.074	75.074	666.589
328	104.657	130.657	777.658
329	99.418	131.418	722.113
330	51.210	68.210	156
331	38.096	75.096	411.026
351	39.172	97.672	802.189
352	36.137	97.637	275. 182
353	36.137	97.637	68.710

1.5 Setting up Middle Zone

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

VEL. LOSS NODE NODE TYP DIAM CYALUE LENGTH FLOW. GRA. ======= === =MM= ===== ===M== =CUM/D= =M/S = =1/1000 ===M= 101 H 2 1350. 110. 1820. 173709.1 1.40 1.51 2.74 100 45013.2 2.88 102 H 2 800. 1820. 1.04 110. 1.58 100 104 H 2 1350. 110. 1030. 149833.4 1.21 1.15 1.18 101 105 H 2 1350. 110. 1900. 146807.7 1.19 1.10 2.10 104 106 H 2 1200. 105. 2500. 148771.3 1.52 2.19 5.47 105 105 108 H 2 750. 110. 1440. -8440.1-.22-.10-.14-. 77 -10024.8105 110 H 2 525. 110. 35. -.54-.03150 H 2 1200. 15799.4 1.15 3.76 4.51 105 450. 110. 9365.5 150 115 H 2 450. 110. 1300. . 68 1.43 1.86 . 91 106 107 H 2 1200. 105. 3420. 89131.9 . 85 2.90 4470.5 80. 1435. 47 1.59 2.29 107 143 H 2 375. 11.73 107 146 H 2 375. 80. 825. 13148.9 1.38 9.67 237 H 2 1050. 2400. 62782.3 . 84 . 93 107 100. 2.23 .72 .70 237 307 H 2 1050. 100. 1600. 53912.6 1.12 109 H 2 1810. 30034.9 .79 . 94 1.71 108 750. 115. 113 H 2 115. 2280. -41624.1-. 96 -1.26-2.88108 800. . 76 109 2315. 7289.7 3.94 9.12 143 H 2 375. 80. 1.14 109 208 H 2 600. 115. 1530. 18448.5 . 76 1.74 110 111 H 2 115. 1535. -17043.8-.91-1.88-2.89525. 13.78 110 117 H 2 150. 95. 55. 1526.9 1.00 . 76 -. 36 825. -. 34 111 112 H 2 115. 940. -22798.0-.49. 27 .72 114 142 H 2 375. 80. 1220. 2610.5 . 59 2000. 981.4 115 116 H 2 150. 65. 64 12.27 24.54 118 H 2 200. 200. 3026.3 8.45 104 115. 1.11 1.69 118 161 H 2 200. 115. 1600. 1999.5 . 74 3.93 6.28 500. 1999.5 161 162 H 2 150. 115. 1.31 15.93 7.97 162 H 2 150. 65. 1700. -881.6 -. 58 -10.06-17.11116 115 117 H 2 200. 70. 2275. -951.5-. 35 -2.49-5.661000. 18059.3 4.10 115 120 H 2 450. 120. 1.31 4.10 1370. 1217.2 128 H 2 200. 70. . 45 3.93 5.38 115 141 H 2 70. 1437.1 115 200. 700. . 53 5.34 3.74 117 118 H 2 150. 65. 1900. -193.3~. 13 -. 61 -1:15120 121 H 2 250. 115. 1120. 6527.0 1.54 11.81 13.23 121 H 2 200. 3471.6 1.28 11.83 120 110. 1120. 13.25 124 H 2 1600. -1794.3-.66-3.49-5.58121 200. 110. 128 H 2 120 15656.6 3.15 1.26 450. 120. 400. 1.14 122 H 2 1360.0 . 50 121 200. 100. 1255. 2.49 3. 13 122 151 H 2 110. 500. 614.8 . 23 . 48 200. . 24 .06 .04 151 152 H 2 200. 110. 300. 165.3 .01 153 H 2 110. 1088.9 . 40 1.38 152 200. 500. . 6.9 153 123 H 2 150. 95. 1300. -115.2- 08 -.12-.15150. 110. 449.5 1.09 . 87 151 154 H 2 800. . 29 . 23 154 H 2 86.3 .08 153 125. 80. 750. . 17 . 27 154 H 2 1200. 209.4 . 32 123 150. 110. . 14 124 H 2 1200. -923.6-. 60 -7.47152 150. 80. -8.96155 H 2 150. 80. 1500. -651.4- 43 -3.92-5.87123 155 124 H 2 80. 1300. -543.4- 36 -2.80-3.64150.

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

CONNECTOR RESULTS.

CONNECTOR								
*******				LDVATU	DI AW	ÚDI	004	1.000
					FLOW.			
					=CUM/D=			
	H 2		75.		466.0			
					-494.8			
					-651.8		-3.92	
				650.			-14,56	
127 128							-3.12	
					5855.9			
					612.3			
128 132	3 H 2	300.	100.	1430.	6796.4	1.11	6.79	9.70
129 126	5 II 2	100.	60.	2640.	72.2	. 11	. 82	2.17
	2 H 2	400.	110.	480.	3833.1	. 35	. 49	23
					562.8			
130 147					-803.5			64
				600.			-4.43	
	3 H 2				387.4			
					-978.9			-1.70
				950.			-8.18	
					647.2			
					10629.5			
133 144	H 2	300.	70.	1020.	4386.3	. 72	5.84	5.96
					703.1			
					665.8			
					1936.6			
	H 2				6391.7			
	5 H 2			850.			-20.06	
					906.4			
157 158	3 H 2	200.	75.	600.	211.9	. 08	. 14	. 08
158 159	H 2	150.	75.	750.	103.4	07	. 15	. 11
134 144	I H 2,	300.	70.	1025.	4443.1	. 73	5.98	6.13
					-11647.5			
134 224	H 2	200.	90.	1160.	-1196.5	44	-2.39	
135 136	H 2	150.	75.	640.	1096.6	. 72	11.56	7.40
135 137	H 2	250.	80.	940.	4257.9	1.00	10.49	9,86
136 138	H 2	150.	65.	600.	712.2	. 47	6.78	4.07
136 225	H 2	150.			-1838.9		-39.21	-13.33
137 138	H 2	150.	65.			. 34	3.78	1.59
138 156	H 2	200.		410.			87	
139 156	H 2	200.	70.	250.	310.0	. 11	. 31	
139 157		200.	70.	350.	504.1	. 19	. 77	. 27
140 157	H 2	200.	70.	680.	-292.2	11	28	19
139 225	H 2	150.	65.	850.	-1276.8	84	-19.97	-16.97
140 159			65.	1140.	4.9	. 00	. 00	. 00
145 159	H 2	150.	65.	600.	399 1	26	2 32	1.39
200 239	H 2	525.	115.	2400.				8.21
200 239	H 2	525.	115.	2400.	23546.8	1.26	3.42	8.21
239 201			115.	1250.	6110.1	. 10	. 07	. 08
239 202	H 2	525.	115.	1250.	2121.0	. 11	. 04	.05
239 401	H 2	700.	110.	100.	42198.6	1.27	2.69	
200 210	H 2	600.	115.	5000.	7690.4	. 31	. 23	1.13
				1				

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

-------NODE NODE TYP DIAM CYALUE LENGTH FLOW. YEL. 1.088 GRA. ======= === =MM= ===== ===M== =CUM/D= =M/S= =1/1000= ==M= 205 H 2 525. 100. 27682.5 1.48 . 46 115. 4.61 203 990. 229 H 2 400. 2286.8 . 21 . 17 . 17 205 115. 205 207 H 2 525. 460. 25035.6 1.34 3.83 1.76 115. 207 230 H 2 525. 1125. 2564.7 .06 .06 115. . 14 207 236 H 2 600. 115. 800. 20774.2 . 85 1.42 1.13 236 209 H 2 600. 115. 3000. 22078.8 . 90 1.58 4.75 .85 208 231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. 15914.8 1.66 3, 26 218 H 2 110. 2700. 9514.4 69 3.97 235 450. 1.47 210 233 H 2 300. 95. 2920. 7202.9 1.18 8.31 24.26 238 212 H 2 400. 110. 1890. -312.5-. 03 ~. 00 -. 01 211 233 H 2 300. -7067.9 -1.16-8.02-23.4695. 2925. 212 213 II 2 150. 100. 1145. 310.3 . 20 .66 . 75 214 H 2 -1983.0-. 27 212 400. 110. 1875. -. 18 -.14.03 212 232 H 2 250. 100. 1895. 112.1 .01 . 02 216 H 2 400. 1435. 7191.0 . 66 215 110. 1,56 2.23 304 H 2 300. 100. 2385. 4276.0 .70 2.88 216 6.87 217 232 H 2 250. 1990. -3263.4-. 77 -4.24-8.45100. 304 H 2 217 300. 100. 1655. 1363.0 . 22 . 35 . 57 218 214 H 2 400. 110. 2100. 5670.0 . 52 1.00 2.10 -. 37 -.36220 231 H 2 525. 1970. -6937.8- 70 115. 221 222 H 2 300. 90. 645. -4956.4-. 81 -4.60-2.97237 221 H 2 300. 90. 1000. 420.2 .07 .05 . 05 237 223 H 2 300. 90. 625. 8449.6 1.38 12.34 7.71 223 224 H 2 . 70 . 79 300. 90. 1797.5 . 29 1125. 224 241 H 2 300. 90. 900. -1754.6-. 29 -. 67 -.61226 H 2 224 200. 90. 435. -1331.6-. 49 -2.91-1.27225 240 H 2 250. 95. 400. -1178.2-. 28 -.71 -. 28 226 240 H 2 250. 95. 675. -2346.0-. 55 -2.53-1.71225 240 H 2 -11344.3 - 55 -.71- 28 550. 115. 400. 240 307 H 2 -23077.5 - 1.12-2.63550. 115. 1675. -4.40-. 71 -. 28 225 240 H 2 300. 100. 400. -2003.3-. 33 -2.66240 325 H 2 300. 100. 1600. -4099.1-. 67 -4.26240 241 H 2 300. 90. 350. 6104.2 1.00 6.76 2.37 240 241 11 2 . 89 6.77 250. 90. 350. 3779.1 2.37 241 II 2 135 250. 90. 600. -3673.7-. 87 -6.42-3.85160 241 H 2 250. 90. 850. -2863.0-. 68 -4.05-3.44160 241 H 2 200. 90. 850. -1592.0~. 59 -4.05-3.44. 44 . 74 225 312 H 2 400. 110. 1245. 4821.1 92 226 234 H 2 250. 100. 1775. -2861.2-3.33-5.91-. 67 304 H 2 300. -4358.5-2.98-1.92228 100. 645. -, 71 300 331 H 2 375. 75. 50. 2956.3 31 . 84 . 04 331 310 H 2 . 33 1.75 250. 70. 2415. 1414.9 4.22 302 303 H 2 700. 200. 75. -1978.5-. 73 -8.49-5.94

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

		BUIUK								
	HODD	11000	~~~ ~vvn	7111	OBALUB	I DMATH	FLOW.	troi	OD 4	TACC
	NUDE	NODE	H	DIAM	CANTOR	FEMULA	FLUW.	VLL.	GKA.	1.022
	====	=====	===	≈MM≂	E=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	= 112=	=1/1000=	== M=
	303	309	H Z	200.	75.	800.	-1628.2	50	-5.92	-4.74
	304	308	H Z	550.	115.	275.	9519.0	. 46	. 51	. 14
	304	309	H 2	300.	100.	420.	2197.4	36	. 84	. 35
	305	306	H 2	375.	75.	600.	2197.4 -3785.9	40	-1.32	79
	305	311	H 2	200.	70.	1415.	909. 4 2430. 2 -4560. 8	. 34	2. 29	3.24
	305	321	H 2	375.	75.	1475.	2430.2	25	. 58	. 86
		307	H 2	375.	75.	700.	-4560.8	48	-1.86	-1.30
	306	322	II 2	150.	65.	850.	236.3 8965.8	. 15	. 88	. 75
	308			350.	115.	1100.	8965.8	1.08	4.13	4.54
	311			200.	70.	2485.	182.3 2533.1	. 07	. 12	. 29
	312			400.	110.	1050.	2533.1	. 23	. 23	. 24
	312			200.	70.	1600.	858.6	. 32	2.06	3.29
•	313						899.0			
	313	330	H 2	400.	110.	1900.	-5171.6	48	85	-1.61
	330	323	H 2	400.	110.	1450.	2611.4	. 24	. 24	. 35
	314	315	H 2	200.	100.		1003.8			
	317	324	H 2	200.	70.	1365.	-910.8	34	-2.30	-3.13
	320	328	H 2	150.	85.		1162.6	. 76	10.22	11.24
	302	328	H 2	150.	85.	1150.	967.6	. 63	7.28	8.37
	328	329	H 2	150.	65.	1100.	-785.9	51	-8.14	-8.95
	301	329	H 2	150.	85.	550.	-199.1	- 13	- 39	- 21
	302	320	H 2	150.	115.	1500.	-637.3	42	-1.92	-2.88
							978.9			
	326	320	H 2	350.	115.	1200.	7380.7	. 89	2.88	3.46
							4834.1			
	327	319	H 2	350.	115.	600.	-2499.6	30	39	23
	350	351	H 2	200.	115.	4015.	1540.8	. 57	2.42	9.73
							106.1			
	351	352	H 2	150.	65.	985.	158.1	. 10	. 42	. 41
	315	316	H 2	200.	75.	3600.	766.7	. 28	1.47	5.29
	103	203	H 2	525.	110.	60.	-3989.0	21	14	01
	106						59640.8			
	115						21840.1			
	120	128	H 2	400.	110.	400.	10528.8	. 97	3.15	1.26
	128	127	H 2	400.	110.	700.	10464.4	. 96	3.11	2.18
	127				110.			. 83	2.34	4.22
	124			300.			4625.1	. 76	2.79	3.63
				200.			1908.8		3.91	5.87
	115			400.		700.	13979.6		5.32	3.72
			4	400.	110.	600.	12635.7			2.65
	130			400.	110.	300.	-8494.6			64
	130	131	H 2	300	110.	1000	5400.6	. 88	3. 72	
	147	148	H 2	200	110.	1600	789.6	. 29	. 76	1. 22
	•		4	_ ~ ~ .					, . .	

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

	2010K		1					1 T	
				CVALIE	LENGTH	FLOW	VEI.	GRA.	220.1
								=1/1000=	
			200	110					
304	326	H 2	500.	110.	1100.	22275.0	1.31	-4.25 4.25	4.67
326		H 2	500.	110.	1200.	18037.9	1.06	2.88	3, 45
320		H 2		110.				1.54	
318		H 2	400.	110.	300.	7032.7	. 65	1.49	45
329	301	H 2	400.	110.	500	7032.7 3578.9	. 33	. 43	21
307		H 2	500.	110.	700.	14254.8	. 84	1.86	1.30
306	305	H 2	500.	110.	600.	11833.0	. 70	1.32	. 79
305	311	H 2	400.	110.	1600.	8278.1	. 76	2.02 1.80	3.23
311	330	H 2	400.	110.	100.	8278.1 7783.0	.72	1.80	. 18
306	322	H 2	200.	110.				1.49	
323	324	·H 2	250.	110.	1300.	2607.0	. 61	2.35	3.05
400	203	H_2	900.	110.	2800.	63388.5	1.15	1.68	4.71
209	235	H 2	600.	110.	400.	21591.4	88	-1, 65	. 66
235			500.	110.	2900.	12077.1	. 71	1. 37	
218	214	H 2	500.	110.	2100.	10196.7	.60	1.00	2.10
313	314	H 2	300.	110.	500.	4062.5	. 67	2. 20 1. 42 1. 47 2. 42	1.10
314	315	H 2	300.	110.	1000.	3207.3 3266.3	. 53	1.42	1.42
						3266.3	. 53	1.47	5.28
350		H : 2			4015.	2650.4	. 62	2.42	9.72
351		H 2	250.	110.	985.	1025.0	. 24	. 42	41
352	353	II 2	250.	110.	100. 1100.	151.7	. 04	. 42 . 01 3. 05	. 00
203			600.	110.	1100.	30086.0	1.23	3.05	3.35
	219		600.	110.	4100.	28781 5	1.18	2.81	11.52
219		H 2		110.		33349.1	1.37	3.69	9.22
218	219		400.	110.	3200. 100.	4567.8	. 42	. 67	2. 15
	112		825.	115.	100.	23875.6	52		04
	113		800.	115.	100.	42703.0	. 98		
109		H 2	400.	110.	100.	1748.6	. 16		
	222		300.	110.	100. 100.	5947.0	97	4.44	44
307	234		300.	110.	100. 100.	4056.3	. 66	2.19 1.52	22
307	325		400.	110.	100.	7099.8	. 65		
	329		150.	55.	500.	239. 1 2840. 5	. 16	. 90	45
	319		350.	115.	150.	2840.5	. 34		. 07
103		11 2	5 Z 5.	110.	100. 100.	326.3	. UZ	. 00 . 08	00
103	114						. 11	. 08	01
214		H 2			100.			2.84	. 28
214		11 2		110.	100.	8201.9		1.98	. 20
303	326	H 2	250.	110.	200.	-2376.0	56	-1.98	40

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

22222	****		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYYE IN)
100	. 800	63. 300	-218722. 200
200	1, 900	128.400	-54784.060
300	36. 600	75. 100	-5796.832
350	60.000	98.500	-4297.307
400	-5.000	95.000	-63388.480
401	20.022	120.022	42198.610
101	22. 582	60.582	. 102
102	22.450	60.450	2310.187
103	32.334	90.334	526.070
104	36.413	59.413	-, 577
105	37.335	57.335	701.917
106	36.915	51.915	-1.410
107	32.044	49.044	8730.190
108	30.874	57.474	3149.057
109	17.784	55.784	2548. 184
110	37.361	57.361	5491.988
111	26.913	60.213	5754. 202
. 112	22.544	60.544	1077. 578
113	22.319	60.319	1078.918
114	32. 326	90.326	526.173
115	36.046	51.046	12443. 220
116	23.899	26.899	1863.014
117	36.616	56.616	768. 780
118	34.748	57.748	833.497
120	34. 497	46.997	3715.364
121	25.949	33, 949 30, 873	10432.890 745.206
122 123	26.873 21.091	30.073	2235. 625
124	35. 440	39.440	1117.748
125	26. 283	30. 283	1117. 817
126	29. 789	a said a	745. 204
127	35.602	43.602	745.180
128	31.754	45.754	2597.933
129	30.412	36.412	2597.740
130	28.127	44. 127	3334.685
131	31.962	40.462	
132	33.183	36.183	. 019
133	29.550	35. 550	4874.307
134	23.221	35.721	6464.261
135	25.246	35. 246	4710.857
136	17.465	27.965	2223. 361
137	19.524	25. 524	3535.532
138	16.965	23.965	1769. 555
139	17.891	24. 391	462.716
140	18.940	23.940	287. 286
141	31.868	47.368	1482.037
142	41.618	89.618	2610. 459
143	20.789	46.789	11760. 180
144	19.176	29, 676	8829. 459
145	21.808	25.308	266.701

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

=	==	**	**	==	==	==	**	=:	=	=	=	=	

NODE			SUPPLY(CUM./DAYVE IN)
====,	********		=======================================
146	33.487	39.487	1501.375
147	28.753	44.753	3459.473
148	20.552	43.552	4151.333
149	24. 224	45.224	019
150	40.879	52.879	3459.658
151	27.637	30.637	015
152	25.625	30.625	. 001
153	23.944	29.944	1117.818
154	23.778	29.778	745. 218
155	29.864	35.864	2608. 225
156	17.814	24.314	1248.533
157	17.627	24.127	-, 008
158	17.547	24.047	1014.897
159	18.939	23.939	507.441
160	25.653	35.653	107
161	42.569	51.569	. 005
162	40.729	43.729	1117.822
201	31.708	120.208	2773.706
202	31.739	120.239	2120.990
203	32.342	90.342	1631.025
204	32.334	90.334	326.260
205	37.386	89.886	360.077
206	21.773	55.773	1748, 568
207	35.645	88.145	1696.650
208	18, 567	54.067	2533.672
209	32.329	82.329	487.411
210	56.790	127.290	487.494
211	23.710	80.210	7067.926
212	22.417	75.417	1248.087
213	20.679	74.679	310.290
214	26.982	75.682	1010.824
215	26.786	75.486	1010.822
216	35.585	73.285	2915.012
217	31.782	67.082	1900.457
218	34.757	77.757	1157.038
219	50.138	75.638	. 160
220	30.151	50.151	990, 727
221	20.788	46.788	5376, 587
222	29.712	49.712	990.665
223	19.226	39.226	6652.049
224	22.446	38.446	3687.286
225	29.598	41.098	5112. 204
226	23.192	39.692	3875.562
228	30.621	64.621	4358.483
229	41.719	89.719	2286.832
230	43.082	88.082	2564.674
231	22.843	50.843	8977.051
232	26.402	75.402	1519.663
233	23.357	103.357	135.017
234	24.009	45.509	1195. 130
4 1	•	•	

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MAXIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

=====		* -	
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
====	=======================================	=======	******
235	31.677	81.677	137
236	37.026	87.026	. 014
237	24.835	46.835	-, 087
238	18.909	75.409	312. 515
239	20. 288	120.288	. 313
240	28.376	41.376	421.423
241	26.043	39.043	065
301	23.519	56.019	3778.009
302	29.657	55.657	1648.247
303	31.508	61.508	2025.687
304	33. 217	66.517	638.259
305	26.158	43.658	4001.333
306	25.939	44.439	1825.354
307	26.725	45.725	863.364
308	31.779	66.379	553.117
309	31.370	66.170	569.225
310	48.400	70.900	1414.933
311	23.972	40.472	1222. 211
312	32.187	40.187	1611.540
313	23. 211	38.711	210. 133
314	29.630	37.630	750.411
315	26. 233	36.233	178.045
316	18.534	31.034	4033.006
317	18.366	33.866	910.833
318	16.172	56.672	5680.873
319	36. 527	75.027	340.933
320	24. 488	58.488	10666.030
321	21.813	42.813	2430.181
322	20. 204	43.704	1371. 357
323	27.454		2537.566
324	20.448	36.948	2554.826
325	26.575	45.575	3000.754
326	26.898	61.898	3446. 207
327	34.798	74.798	2499.562
328	21. 428	47.428	2916.110
329	24. 230	56.230	2707.845
330	23. 294	40.294	080
331	38.059	75.059	1541.393
351	30.429	88.929	3008. 106
352	27.025	88.525	1031.399
353	27.024	88.524	257.801

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

	:====:								
				CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEI	GRA.	LOSS
					===M==	=CIIM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
					1820.				. 24
			800.				. 28		. 25
101	4.1			110.	1030	12003.7 39956.5	. 32		
			1350.		1900.	39149.8	. 32	. 10	. 18
105				105.	2500.	39674.2		. 19	. 47
	108	H 2	750	110.	1440.		06	01	
105	110		525	110.	35.		14	07	
			450	110.					. 39
150			450.					. 12	. 16
106			1200.		3420.				
107		H 2				1192.2			
107			375.		825.	3506.6	. 37		
107			1050.		2400.	16743.5	. 22	. 08	
237			1050.	100	1600	14377.8		. 06	
108	109		750.		1810.				.15
108		II 2	800.		2280.				
109				80.		1944.0	26 20	. 34	. 79
	208		600.		1530.			. 10	. 15
110	111		525.		1535.				
	117		150.			407.2	27	1.19	. 07
111	112		825,			-6079.7		03	
114	100		375.		1220.		.07	. 05	. 06
115				65.	2000.			1.06	
104	118	H 2	200.	115.		807.0	. 30		
118		H 2	200.	115.		533.2	. 20		
161		H 2	150.	115.	500.		35		
116	162	H 2			1700.	-235.1	15	87	-1.48
115	117	H 2	200.				09		- 49
115	120	H 2			1000.		. 35	. 36	. 36
115	128	H 2	200.	70.	1370.	324.6	. 12	. 34	. 47
115	141	H 2	200.	70.	700.	383.2	. 14		
117	118	H 2	150.	65.	1900.	-51.6	- 03		
120	121	H: 2	250.	115.	1120.	1740.6	. 41		1.15
120				110.		925.8	. 34	1.03	1.15
121	124	11 2	200.	110.	1600.	-478.5	18	30	- 48
120	128	H 2	450.	120.	400.	4175.3	. 30	. 27	
121	122	H 2						. 22	. 27
122	151	H 2	200.	110.	500.	163.9	.06	. 04	. 02
151	152	H 2	200.	110.	300.	44.1 290.4	.02	. 00	.00
152	153	H 2	200.	110.	500.	290.4	. 11	. 12	06
153	123		150.	95.	1300.	-30.7	02	01	01
151	154	$H\cdot 2$	150.	110.	800.	119.9	.08	.09	. 08
153	154	H 2	125.	80.	750.	23.0	02	. 02	. 01
123	154	H 2	150.	110.	1200	55.8	04	.02	. 03
152	124	H 2	150.	80.	1200.	-246.3	16		78
	155	11 2	150.	80.	1500.	-173.7	- 11	34	51
155	124	11 2	150.	80.	1300.	-144.9	09	24	32

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

====:	=====	===	=====	*======	=======		=====:		. = = = = =
			SULTS.		•.				•
			===== P DIAM		LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL,	GRA.	LOSS
					===M==			=1/1000=	==)/j=
124					545.		. 18	1.48	. 81
124				. 80.	1800.	-132.0	09	20	37
125	126		1		1040.			34	
126					650.				
127	128				700.				
128	129			70.	950.	1561.7	. 26		
128				. 65.					47
128	132			. 100.		1.0			
129						19.3			
129					480.				. 02
130	131				850.			. 38	
130	147				350.				
147	141		2 200	70	600.	-346.4			
147	148					103.3			
148	149				400.				
149	150								
131	129					172.6			
132	133				200.				
133				. 70.			10	51	. 52
				. 70. . 65.		187.5	19	57	
133	137					177.6			
133				. 65.			.05		
134					500.				
160	135					1704.5		. 11	
156	225			. 75.					
137						241.7			
157	158					56.5			. 01
158					750.				
134	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH								
134	and the second								
134									
135	136					292, 4			
135	137				940.				
	138	H	2 150	. 65.	600.	189.9	. 12	. 59	
136					340.	-490.4	32	-3.40	
137				. 65.	420.	138.4	. 09	. 33	
138	156	H	2 200	. 70.	410.	-143.6	~. 05	08	03
139	156	H	2 200	, 70.	250.	82.7	. 03	. 03	. 01
139	157	H	2 200	. 70.	350.	134.4	. 05	. 07	
140	157	H	2 200	. 70.	680.	-77.9			
139	225	H	2 150	. 65.	850.	-340.5	22	-1.73	
140	159	Н	2 150	. 65.	1140.	1. 3	. 00	. 00	
145	159	H	2 150	65.	600.	106.4	. 07	. 20	. 12
200	239	H	2 525	. 115.	2400.	21751.8	1.16	2.95	7.09
200	239	H	2 525	. 115.	2400.	21751.8 21751.8	1.16	2.95	
239	201	H	2 525	. 115.	1250.	739.7	.04	. 01	. 01
220	202	u	2 525	115	1250	565.5	0.3	ሰበ	0.0

100.

5000.

115. 1250.

115.

202 H 2 525.

210 H 2 600.

401 H 2 700. 110.

239

239

200

.03

. 08

1.27

565.5

42198.6

20,50.9

.00

2.69

.02

.00

. 27

. 10

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

331

302

310 H 2

303 H 2

NODE NODE TYP DIAM CVALUE LENGTH FLOW. VEL. GRA. LOSS =M/S==1/1000==CUM/D= == M= . 39 205 H 2 525. 100. 7382.3 115. . 40 . 04 990. 609.9 .06 205 229 H 2 400. 115. .01 .01 207 H 2 115. 460. 6676.4 . 36 205 525. . 33 . 15 207 230 H 2 115. 1125. 683.9 .04 .00 :01 525. . 23 600. 800. 5540.0 . 12 207 236 H 2 115. .10 . 24 236 209 H 2 600. 115. 3000. 5887.9 . 14 . 41 208 . 23 . 14 . 28 231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. 4244.2 235 2700. 2537.3 . 34 218 H 2 450. 110. . 18 . 13 . 31 233 H 2 300. 95. 1920.9 . 72 2.10 210 2920. .00 238 212 H 2 400. 110. 1890. -83.3-.01.00 211 233 H 2 300. 95. 2925. -1884.9-. 31 -.70-2.03213 H 2 150. 100. 82.7 . 05 ..06 . 07 212 1145. -.02212 214 H 2 400. 110. 1875. -528.8-.05-.01,00 212 232 H 2 250. 100. 1895. 29.9 .01 .00 215 216 H 2 400. 110. 1435. 1917.7 . 13 . 18 . 19 216 304 H 2 300. 100. 2385. 1140.3 .19 . 25 . 60 232 H 2 217 250. 100. 1990. -870.3-.21-. 37 -.73 .06 .03 . 05 217 304 H 2 300. 100. 1655. 363.5 . 09 218 214 H 2 400. 110. 2100. 1512.1 . 14 . 18 220 -.06231 H 2 525. 115. 1970. -1850.1-.10-.03221 222 H 2 300. 90. 645. -1321.8-. 22 -. 40 -. 26 . 00 237 221 H 2 90. 1000. .00 300. 112.0 .02 237 223 H 2 300. 90. 625. 2253.3 . 37 1.07 . 67 . 06 . 07 .08 223 224 H 2 300. 90. 1125. 479.4 -. 08 224 241 H 2 300. 90. 900. -467.9-.06 -. 05 224 226 H 2 200. 90. 435. -355.1-.13-.25-. 11 225 240 H 2 250. 95. 400. -314.2-. 07 -.06-.02-. 15 226 240 H 2 250. 675. -625.6-. 15 -. 22 95. 225 240 H 2 550. 115. 400. -3025.2-.15-.06 -.02240 307 H 2 550. 115. 1675. -6154.2-.30-. 23 -. 38 -.06 225 240 H 2 300. -534.2-. 09 -.02100. 400. 240 325 H 2 300. 100. 1600. -1093.1-. 18 -.23-, 37 . 21 240 241 H 2 300. 90. 350. 1627.9 . 27 . 59 240 241 H 2 250. 90. 350. 1007.8 . 24 . 59 . 21 -979.7135 241 H 2 250. 90. 600. -.23-. 56 -. 33 90. -763.5-. 30 160 241 H 2 250. 850. -. 18 -.35-424.6-. 30 90. - 35 160 241 H 2 200. 850. -. 16 110. 1285.8 . 12 . 06 .08 225 312 H 2 400. 1245. 226 234 H 2 250. 100. 1775. -763.0-. 18 -. 29 -. 51 -1162.3-. 19 -. 26 -. 17 228 304 H 2 300. 100. 645. .08 .00 331 H 2 75. 788.2 .07 300 375. 50.

70.

75.

2415.

700.

250.

200.

. . 37

-. 52

. 15

-.74

.09

-. 19

377.3

-527.6

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

	BUIUN								
			DIAM BEEE		LENCTH	FLOW.	VDI	· cn.	LOSS
						-CUM/D=			
303						-434.2			
304				115.		2538.5	. 12		
304		H 2			420.		10		
305			375.		600.	-1009.6			
305				70.	1415.	242.5	: 09	. 20	. 28
305		H 2			1475.	648.1	. 07	05	. 07
306		H 2		75.	700.	-1216.3			
306		Н 2			850.	63.0	. 04		. 06
308			350.	115.		2391.0		36	. 39
311		H 2			2485.	48.6	. 02		
312	323	H 2	400.	110.	1050.	675.7	. 06	02	
312	324	H 2	200.	70.	1600.	229.0	. 08	. 18	. 29
313	314	H 2	200.	100.	950.	239.7	.09	. 10	. 10
313	330	H 2	400.	110.	1900.	-1379.1	13	07	14
330	323	H 2	400.	110.	1450.	696.3	.06	. 02	. 03
314	315	H 2	200.	100.	1000.	267.7	. 10	. 12	. 12
317	324	H 2	200.	70.	1365.	-242.9	09	20	27
320		H 2			1100.			89	. 97
302		H 2	44.0			258.0			. 73
328		Н 2				-209.6		71	
301					550.			03	
	320			115.	1500.	-170.0	11	17	
320		H 2		75	800.	261.0	. 10		
326						1968.3			
320			350.			1289.1			
327						-666.6		- 03	
350					4015.			21	
250	353	н 2	75	115	5000	28.3	0.7	. 18	
251	352	11 2	150	65	-085	42.1	. 03	. 10	
315		H 2				204.5			
						-1062.9		01	
						15904.0			
106	115		400.	110.	1000	10004.0	1.19		
	120		5,00.	110.	1000.	5824.3	. 34	0.7	. 30
120	128	n 4	400.	110.	400.	2807.8 2790.6	. 40	. 41	. 11
128	127	H Z	100.	110.	700.	2190.6	. 26	. 41	. 19
			400.			2393.5			. 37
124		4.4	300.	and the second second		1233.4		. 24	. 31
155					1500.			. 34	
115			400.			3728.0		. 46	
141	7.4				600.				
130			400.	110.	300.	-2265.3	21	- 18	06
			300.	110.	1000	1440.2	. 24	. 32	
147	148	H 2	200.	110.	1600.	210.6	. 08	.07	. 11

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

====	=====	===:	====	•		* 1			
NODE	NODE	TYP	DIAM	CVALUE	LENGTH	FLOW.	VEL.	GRA.	LOSS
52257 	===	===	=MM=	=====	===M==	=CUM/D=	=M/S=	=1/1000=	==M=
148	149				400.	-532.1	20	37	15
304	326	H 2	500.	110.	1100.	5940.2	35	. 37	. 41
326	320	H 2	500.	110.	1200.	4810.3	. 28	. 25	
320	318	II 2	400.	110.	1200	1004-0	. 18	. 13	. 16
318	329	H 2		110.	300.	1875. 4	. 17	. 13	. 04
329	301	11 2	400.	110.	500.	954.4 3801.5	.09	.04	.02
307	306	H 2	500.	110.	700.	3801.5	. 22	. 16	
306	305	H 2	500.	110.	600.	3155.7	. 19	11	. 07
305	311	H 2	400.	110.	1600	2207 6	. 20	. 18	. 28
311	330	H 2	400.	110.	100.	2075.7 302.7	. 19	. 16	. 02
306	322	II 2	200.	110	500.	302.7	. 11	. 16	
323	324	H 2	250.	110.	1300.	695. 2	. 16	. 20	. 26
400	203	H 2	900.	110.	2800.	16903.2	31	. 15	.41
209	235	H 2	600.	110.	400.		. 24	. 14	.06
235	218	H 2	500.	110.	2900.	3220.7 2719.2	. 19	. 12	. 34
218	214	H 2	500.	110.	2100.	2719.2	. 15	. 09	. 18
	314	H 2			500.	1083.4	18	. 19	
314	315	H 2	300.	110.	1000.	855.3	. 14	. 12	
315	316	H 2	300.	110.	3600.	855.3 871.1 706.8 273.3 40.5 8023.2	. 14		. 46
350	351	H 2	250.	110.	4015.	706.8	. 17	21	. 84
351	352	II 2	250.	110.	985.	273.3	. 06	. 04	. 04
352	353	H 2	250.	110.	100.	40.5	. 01	. 00	
203	236	H 2	600.	110.	1100	8023.2	. 33	. 26	
236	219	H 2	600.	110.	4100.	7675.3	. 31	. 24	1.00
	304		600.	110.	2500.	8893.4	35	. 32	. 80
218	219	H 2	400.	110	3200.	1218.1 6366.7	. 11	.06	. 19
101		H 2	825.	115.	100.	6366.7	. 14	. 03	
102	113	H 2	ovv.	IIJ.	100.	11300.4	. 40	. 11	
109	206	H 2	400.	110.	100.	466.7 1586.0 1081.7	04	.01	
220	222	H 2	300.	110.	100.	1586.0	. 26	. 39	. 04
307	234	H 2	300.	110.	100.	1081.7	. 18	. 19	
307		H 2		110.	100.	1893.2		. 13	
318			150.	65.	500.	63.8			. 04
300		H 2		115.	150.	757.4	. 09	. 04	01
103		H 2		115.	100.	87.7 836.6	.00	.00	. 00
103		H 2	525.	115.	100.	836.6	. 04	. 01	
214		H 2			100.				. 02
214		H 2		110	100.	2187.2	. 20	. 17	. 02
303	326	H 2	250.	110.	200.	-633.6	15	17	03

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

NO	UE.	RES	111	2T

NODE	RESULTS.		
====	=======		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
****	7 700		
100	7.700	70. 200	the state of the s
200	9.400	135.900 75.100	
300	36.600 60.000	98.500	
350		100.000	
400	. 000 28. 630	128.630	·
401	31.965	69.965	
101 102	31. 953	69.953	
	41.596	99. 596	138, 659
103 104		69.864	
104	49.684	69.684	185. 497
105	54. 215	69. 215	120
107	51.967	68.967	2328. 078
108	43.096	69.696	840. 181
103	31.550	69.550	
110	49.686	69.686	1464.748
111		69.933	
112	31.962	69.962	
113	31.942	69.942	286.844
114	41. 596	99, 596	140.456
115	54. 140	69.140	
116	64.051	67.051	496.822
117	49.622	69.622	205.014
118	46.720	69.720	222.269
120	56.290	68.790	990.785
121	59.661	67.661	2782. 214
122	63.395	67.395	198, 735
123	58.328	67.328	596, 193
124	64.136	68.136	298.077
125	63.344	67.344	298.094
126	63.191	67.691	198.730
127	60.496	68.496	198.698
128	54.682	68.682	692.862
129	61.874	67.874	692.840
130	52.542	68.542	889.314
131	59.725	68. 225	1580.979
132	64.854	67.854	. 042
133	61.800	67.800	1299.765
134	55.314	67.814	1723. 935
135	57.773	67.773	1256. 278
136	56.644	67.144	592.921
137	60.932	66.932	942.851
138	59.798	66.798	471.892
139	60.334	66.834	123. 397
140	61.795	66.795	76.608
141	53. 322	68.822	395.263
142		99.535	696.148
143	42,772	68.772	3136.172
144	56.792 63.414	67. 292 66. 914	2354.617 71.122
145	05.414	00. 314	11.144

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

	KESULIS.		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD(M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
146	62. 140	68.140	400.393
147	52. 596	68.596	922.463
148	45.492	68.492	1107.049
149	47.636	68.636	003
150	57. 299	69. 299	922. 559
151	64.375	67. 375	031
152	62.374	67.374	009
153	61.315	67.315	298.087
154	61.300	67. 300	198.738
155	61.827	67.827	
156	60. 328	66.828	332. 958
157	60.312	66.812	
158	60. 305	66.805	.008 270.634
159	61.795	66.795	
160	57. 809	67.809	135. 315
161	60. 185	69. 185	. 178
162	65. 507	68.507	.001 298.095
201	40. 389	128, 889	739.719
202	40.392	128.892	565. 534
202	41.597	99.597	434.781
204	41. 596	99. 596	87. 670
204	47. 058	99.558	•
206	35. 549	69.549	96.046
207	46.907		466, 688
201	33. 901	99.407	452.460
209	the state of the s	69.401	675.616
210	48, 904	98.904	129, 976
	65.304	135.804	129. 997
211	75. 232	131.732	
212	45.306	98.306	332, 763
213	44.242	98. 242	82.746
214	49.629	98. 329	269. 557
215	49.612	98.312	269.543
216	60.422	98. 122	777. 361
217	62. 285	97. 585	506.811
218	55.509	98.509	
219	72.825	98.325	.017
220	49.063	69.063	264.135
221	42.772	68.772	1433.826
222	49.025	69.025	264. 189
223	48.118	68.118	1773. 968
224	52.050	68.050	983.302
225	56.780	68. 280	1363.064
226	51.658	68. 158	1033.510
228	63.372	97. 372	1162.304
229		99.543	
230	54.402	99.402	683.931
231	41.123	69.123	2394.022
232	and the second of the second o	98.305	405. 324
233	53.734	133.734	36.009
234	47.161	68.661	318.729

DAR-ES-SALAAM NETWORK ANALYSIS - MINIMUM DEMAND IN 1995 (CASE E)

	KEOOFIO.		
NODE	REL. HEAD (M.)	HEAD (M.)	SUPPLY (CUM. /DAYVE IN)
NODE ====	RED. HEAV(M.)	HEUD (m.)	=======================================
235	48.848	98.848	015
236	49.310	99.310	. 009
237	46.776	68.776	. 330
238	41.805	98.305	83.345
239	28.896	128.896	172
240	55.304	68.304	112.327
241	55.102	68.102	005
301	64.128	96.628	1007. 499
302	70.597	96.597	439.548
303	67.103	97.103	540.201
304	64.236	97.536	170. 201
305	51.001	68.501	1067.123
306	50.068	68.568	486.819
307	49.680	68.680	230.896
308	62.924	97.524	
309	62.706	97.506	151.795
310	52, 237	74. 737	377. 328
311	51.725	68.225	325.844
312	60.201	68. 201	429.691
313	52.573	68.073	
314	59.980	67.980	200. 117
315	57.859	67.859	47. 476
316	54.909	67.409	1075.506
317	52.154	67.654	242.898
318	56. 185	96.685	1514.954
319	36.594	75.094	90.857
320	62.842	96.842	2844.409
321	47.428	68.428	648.064
322	45.005	68.505	365.709
323	55. 681		676.799
324	51. 421	67.921	681, 304
325	49.667	68.667	800.119 919.042
326	62.137	97.137	666.568
327	35.074	75.074	· ·
328	1 1 1	95.885	777.656
329	54. 646	96.646	722.107
330	51. 210	68. 210	. 227
331	38.096	75.096	410.911
351	39.172	97.672	802.188 274.990
352	36. 137	97.637	414. 330

36.137

353

97.637

68.782

D.

DATA TO CHAPTER 6

1. EFFECT OF METER INSTALLATION ON WATER CONSERVATION

TABLED.1.1 FLUCTUATION OF CONSUMPTION BY METER INSTALLATION (HOUSE-HIGH)

inondoni 34								
No. Number Name Size in house hold Until October September December November Januar inondoni 34 145 Ada Estate 3/4" 10 5.60 5.42 6.68 6.34 6.25 35 34 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 2.59 2.25 1.94 1.51 2.17 36 33 Ada Estate 3/4" 5 1.60 1.92 1.50 1.59 1.59 37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70						Tage		
inondoni 34	Sr.	House	Street	Meter	people	Consump	tion(m3/day)
inondoni 34 145 Ada Estate	No.	Number	Name	Size	in house	Until Octobe	r Decem	ber
34 145 Ada Estate 3/4" 10 5.60 5.42 6.68 6.34 6.25 35 34 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 2.59 2.25 1.94 1.51 2.17 36 33 Ada Estate 3/4" 5 1.60 1.92 1.50 1.59 1.59 37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70					hold	September	November	January
34 145 Ada Estate 3/4" 10 5.60 5.42 6.68 6.34 6.25 35 34 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 2.59 2.25 1.94 1.51 2.17 36 33 Ada Estate 3/4" 5 1.60 1.92 1.50 1.59 1.59 37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70			•		٠.	٠.	•	
35 34 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 2.59 2.25 1.94 1.51 2.17 36 33 Ada Estate 3/4" 5 1.60 1.92 1.50 1.59 1.59 37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	Kino	ndoni	•		٠.		• .	
36 33 Ada Estate 3/4" 5 1.60 1.92 1.50 1.59 1.59 37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	34	145	Ada Estate	3/4"	10	5.60 5.42	6.68 6.34	6.25
37 24 K. Shamba 3/4" 14 3.33 3.34 2.38 2.19 2.61 38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	35	3 4	Ada Estate	3/4"	3	2.59 2.25	1.94 1.51	2.17
38 23 K. Shamba 3/4" 7 5.01 3.81 1.94 1.45 1.63 39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	36	3 3	Ada Estate	3/4"	5	1.60 1.92	1.50 1.59	1.59
39 SIDA C Ada Estate 3/4" 2 0.43 0.55 0.34 0.30 0.42 41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	3 7	24.	K. Shamba	3/4"	14	3.33 3.34	2.38 2.19	2.61
41 63 Ada Estate 3/4" 8 1.17 0.43 1.43 1.51 1.70 42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	38	23	K. Shamba	3/4"	. 7	5.01 3.81	1.94 1.45	1.63
42 60 Ada Estate 3/4" 9 1.24 1.45 1.20 2.24 1.95 43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	39	SIDA C	Ada Estate	3/4"	2	0.43 0.55	0.34 0.30	0.42
43 58 Ada Estate 3/4" 3 0.67 0.62 0.91 0.86 0.70	41	6 3	Ada Estate	3/4"	8	1.17 0.43	1.43 1.51	1.70
	42	60	Ada Estate	3/4"	9	1.24 1.45	1.20 2.24	1.95
No. of House = 9 61 21.6 19.8 18.3 18.0 19.0	43	58	Ada Estate	3/4"	3	0.67 0.62	0.91 0.86	0.70
No. of House = 9 61 21.6 19.8 18.3 18.0 19.0					1		<u> </u>	
No. of House = 9 61 21.6 19.8 18.3 18.0 19.0								
			No. of House	= 9	61	21.6 19.8	18.3 18.0	19.0

TABLED.1.2 FLUCTUATION OF CONSUMPTION BY METER INSTALLATION (HOUSE-MIDDLE)

				No. of		M	lonthly	Avera	ge Wat	er
Sr.	House	Street	Meter	people		C	onsump	tion(m	3/day)	
No.	Number	Name	Size	in hou	se	Until	Octobe	r	Decemb	er
				hold		Septemb	er	Novem	ber	Januar.
		•								
ity	Centre		•	•						
2	1287/84	Zaramo	3/4"		3	0.39	0.37	0.32	0.35	0.28
3	927/17	Zanaki	3/4"		5	0.68	0.65	0.52	0.88	0.63
4	and the second	Market	3/4"		2	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08
- 5	1311/78	Jamhuri	3/4"		4	0.98	0.99	1.01	1.09	1.02
6	819/82	Libya	3/4"		2	0.46	0.45	0.41	0.55	0.50
7	727/797	Market/Asia	3/4"		6	0.92	0.94	0.79	1.04	0.92
8		Mansfield	3/4"		3	0.26	0.34	0.27	0.29	0.16
- 9	724/11	Mkwepu	3/4"		8	0.99	0.89	0.89	0.51	0.45
10	705/24	Mkwepu	3/4"		3	0.91	1.27	1.55	1.24	1.23
11	149	Indira Gandhi	3/4"		6	3.22	4.48	4.07	2.17	1.89
12	1017	Kitumbini	3/4"	-	4	1.21	1.19	1.23	2.06	1.77
13	1017(02	Kitumbini	3/4"		4	1.82	1.89	1.91	2.29	2.05
14	1574	Mosque	3/4"		5	1.07	1.58	2.14	1.19	0.80
15	Karim 3	Mshihiri	3/4"	·. ·	3	0.70	0.91	1.66	1.18	1.21
16	Karim B	Mshihiri/Morogoro	3/4"		1	0.24	0.29	0.24	0.26	0.22
17	2201/14	Libya/Fupi	3/4"		2	0.49	0.42	0.38	0.36	0.32
19	2119	Jamati	3/4"		3	1.58	0.99	0.54	0.73	1.14
20	1973/10	India	3/4"		3	0.52	0.47	0.39	0.47	0.41
- 21	9/A	India	3/4"		5	0.46	0.41	0.37	0.49	0.44
22	465-141	Aggrey/Indira Gandh	3/4"		4	1.80	1.09	0.84	0.88	0.84
	1.1	Indira Gande	3/4"	1	i	4.69	4.64	4.81	4.92	4.85
	And the second									
		No. of House =	21	8	7	23.5	24.4	24.5	23.0	21.2

TABLED.1.3 FLUCTUATION OF CONSUMPTION BY METER INSTALLATION (HOUSE-LOW)

				No. of	Monthly	Average Wa	ter
Sr.	House	Street	Meter	people	Consump	tion(m3/day)
No.	Number	Name	Size	in house	Until Octobe	r Decem	ber
·				hold	September	November	January
		•					. :
llal	. a						4
24	. 29	Moshi	3/4"	18	1.30 1.08	0.23 0.30	0.26
25	90	Tanga	3/4"	11	1.04 1.03	1.28 1.66	0.93
26	28	Lindi	1/2"	10	1.30 1.60	1.80 1.58	1.48
2.7	20	Saadani	3/4	. 8	1.37 0.97	0.68 0.80	1.08
28	19	Saadani	3/4"	. 7	1.36 1.82	2.03 2.01	1.53
29	2 4	Songea	3/4"	7	1.42 1.31	1.23 1.30	1.19
30	10	Nzasa	3/4"	6	3.03 2.69	2.60 1.76	2.30
	_ 1		·				4.
31	akoo 42	Swahili	3/4"	10	1.61 1.84	2.06 2.00	1.83
3 2	A2/81		3/4 1/2"	10	3.15 3.78		
		Matumbi	$\frac{1}{2}$	5	0.95 0.93	2.61 3.46 0.75 0.97	3.24
3 3	NHC F61	•	-		· ·		
44	B 206	Matombo Street	3/4"	10	1.72 1.64	1.43 1.63	
45	E 8	Matombo Street	3/4"	9	1.03 0.95	1.24 1.26	1.23
47	B 300	B (Area)	3/4"	3	1.78 2.18	3.04 3.04	3.71
Miko	cheni	<u> </u>				 :	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
48	Plot 34	Block A	1/2"	10	1.23 1.26	1.18 1.05	1.11
49	Plot 34	Mikocheni A	3/4"	7.	0.83 0.84	1.04 1.28	1.81
50	Plot 41	Block A	3/4"	1 2	1.36 1.32	1.46 1.53	1.51
51	Plot 25	Block A	3/4"	7	0.38 0.36	0.72 0.44	0.42
53	Plot 32	Block A	3/4"	6	0.51 0.80	0.86 0.96	0.96
54	Plot 28	Block A	3/4"	5	0.52 0.58	0.63 0.78	0.49
	Plot 30		3/4"	- 6	2.82 1.12	1.60 1.11	0.95
		Block A	3/4"	8	0.74 0.71	0.92 0.81	0.53
	Plot 35		3/4	6	1.21 1.27	1.10 1.05	1.14
	Plot 35		3/4"	10	2.73 3.39	4.03 4.38	
			-	·			4 1 4 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	4	No. of House =	23	191	33.4 33.5	34.5 35.1	34.5
						a to the second	

TABLED.1.4 FLUCTUATION OF CONSUMPTION BY METER INSTALLATION (YARD) (1)

-					17			********
					No. of		Average Wa	
	Sr.	House	Street	Meter	people		tion(m3/day	
	No.	Number	Name	Size	in house			
_			,		hold	September	November	January
	llal		-					
	1	3 2	Tabora	1/2"	8	0.82 0.94	0.93 0.87	0.79
	2	40	Nzasa	3/4"	17	1.04 1.04	1.13 1.34	0.86
	3	6 9	Bukoba	3/4"	8	0.38 0.44	0.53 0.49	0.42
	. 4	3 3	Arusha	3/4"	13	1.29 1.07	1.68 1.36	0.80
	6	28	Nzasa	3/4"	9	0.58 0.69	0.87 0.89	1.11
	7	6 3	Moshi	3/4"	15	1.58 2.02	2.10 1.86	1.69
	8	5	Moshi/	1/2"	10	0.27 0.18	0.06 0.15	0.06
	9	27	Tringa/Kilwa	1/2"	7	1.07 1.09	0.92 1.47	1.46
	10	21	Aangani	3/4"	10	0.82 0.94	1.01 0.75	0.78
	1.1	17	Arusha	3/4"	10	1.31 0.97	1.32 0.93	1.57
_						1.1		
	Kari	akoo						
	12	4 4	Nyamwezi	1/2"	19	3.05 1.84	1.39 1.84	0.60
	13	10	Swahili/Nyati	1/2"	20	0.99 1.25	1.27 1.85	1.33
	14	16	Faru	3/4"	15	0.78 0.84	0.95 0.92	0.69
	1.5	27	Swahili	1/2"	10	1.32 1.25	1.05 1.14	1.08
	16	22	Kongo	3/4"	25	1.67 1.56	1.47 2.22	2.61
	17	41	Nyamwezi	1/2"	11	1.12 0.96	1.53 1.16	0.87
	8 1	11	Jangwani	3/4"	9	0.50 0.63	0.93 0.57	0.53
	19	31	Kongo	3/4"	10	1.34 1.36	1.58 1.27	1.18
	20	16	Swahili	3/4"	25	2.24 2.52	2.22 2.64	2.47
	21	3 7	Nyamwezi	1/2"	4	0.50 0.62	0.58 0.72	0.56
	23	. 4	Mbuni	1/2"	7	0.89 1.09	1.13 1.18	0.97
	24	- 8	Matumbi	1/2"	20	0.98 0.92	0.88 1.00	0.67
	25	5 2	Kongo	3/4"	13	0.32 0.42	0.39 0.43	0.39
:	26	29	Kongo	3/4"	6	0.97 1.25	1.13 1.75	0.93
	44	•	Mhoro	3/4	30	1.26 1.51	1.36 1.20	1.07
	45		Mhoro	3/4	8	0.49 0.58	0.84 0.39	0.50
	46		Mhoro	3/4	10	0.63 0.66	0.63 0.69	0.50
	47		Jangwani	3/4	10	0.70 0.57	0.55 1.03	0.75
	48		Melerl	3/4	18	2.44 2.17	1.35 2.55	2.09
	49	and the second	Mhoro	3/4	11	1.37 1.37	0.84 0.89	0.77
	50		Jangwani	3/4	15	1.75 1.95	1.75 1.97	1.34
			Congo	3/4	2	0.82 0.81	0.44 0.51	0.54
	51		· .	3/4	20	1.86 2.32	2.04 2.09	1.53
	52		Mhoro			1.18 1.27	1.03 0.81	0.71
	53		Jangwani	3/4	13			
	54	44	Congo	3/4	21	0.95 0.72	0.83 1.16	0.89

TABLED.1.4 FLUCTUATION OF CONSUMPTION BY METER INSTALLATION (YARD) (2)

~		0.	31	No. of	the state of the s	Average Water
Sr.	House	Street	Meter	people		tion(m3/day)
No.	Number	Name	Size	in house	Until Octobe	
			1	hold	September	November January
	omeni	. W	0.449	1.0		0 01 0 00 0 0
27	A 156	Kagera Street	3/4"	13	0.91 0.99	0.91 0.98 0.67
28	D 18	Kapera Street	3/4"	26	3.97 3.81	4.11 4.49 5.04
29	G 16	Chidia Street	3/4"	1 2	0.68 0.60	0.74 0.61 0.62
30	G 40	Chidia Street	3/4"	18	0.67 0.80	0.93 0.90 1.16
31	G 17	Matombo Street	3/4"	10	1.32 1.19	1. 21 0. 86 1. 18
3.3	B 204	Matombo Street	3/4"	11	1.04 1.12	1.04 1.68 1.00
3 5	305	Mkwawa	1/2"	10	0.53 0.50	0.48 0.50 0.74
36	E 14	Chole Street	3/4"	1.5	1.15 1.37	1.47 1.14 1.33
37	F 26	Mengo Street	3/4"	18	0.75 0.75	0.93 0.49 1.33
3.9		Matombo Street	3/4"	16	1.05 1.25	1.35 1.33 1.44
4 1	B 44	Matombo Street	3/4"	2 3	1.19 1.04	1.10 1.07 1.37
5 5	1	Gombero	3/4	8	0.86 0.67	0.97 1.02 1.09
56	153	Gombero	3/4	10	1.59 1.73	2.01 2.18 2.63
5 7	27	Gombero	3/4	20	1.69 1.68	1.67 2.34 1.73
5.8	. 15	Gombero	3/4	19	1.29 0.96	1.07 0.89 1.35
5 9	11	Gombero	3/4	14	1.09 1.23	1.52 1.56 1.29
60	30	Matombo	1/2	16	1.12 1.24	1.43 0.98 1.38
6 1	21B	Gombero	1/2	8	2.96 2.89	3.10 1.66 2.77
62	156	Mkwawa	3/4	28	2.53 2.90	3.24 3.15 3.21
63	17	Gombero	3/4	13	0.91 1.05	1.05 0.59 1.12
64	9	Gombero	1/2	11	0.73 0.67	0.86 0.70 0.88
6 5	19	Gombero	3/4	20	0.74 0.77	1.05 1.27 1.05
66	13	Gombero	3/4	16	1.03 1.07	1.37 1.17 1.33
67	3 3 3	Gombero	3/4	8	0.36 0.27	0.18 0.25 0.37
68	305	Gombero	3/4	12	0.51 0.49	0.47 0.52 0.62
6 9	7	Gombero	1/2	16	1.27 1.26	1.80 2.11 1.81
	4					
Miko	cheni					
		Block A	1/2"	9	0.70 0.78	0.66 0.62 0.64
		No. of House =	62	859	71.9 72.9	75.4 77.1 74.2



