Annex 9
Evaluation of Proposed Well
Construction Sites

Annex 7 Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	① Willingness to VDC	② Willingness to VWC	③ Land Expropriation	④ Water-borne Diseases	⑤ DRWS's Priority	⑥ Geological Conditions	⑦ Water Quality	⑧ Willingness to WPC	⑨ Water Charge	⑩ Access Conditions	⑪ Volunteer Activities	⑫ Platform Construction	⑬ Fence Construction	⑭ Existing Water Supply	⑮ Distance	⑯ Investment Efficiency	Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
1	Dangkao	Chaom Chau	Prey Pring Khang	1-1	212	3	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	48	86%	A	130
2	Dangkao	Chaom Chau	Prey Pring Khang	1-2	212	3	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	47	84%	B	130
3	Dangkao	Chaom Chau	Prey Pring Khang	1-3	211	3	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	47	84%	B	130
4	Dangkao	Chaom Chau	Prey Pring Khang	1-4	211	3	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	47	84%	B	130
5	Dangkao	Chaom Chau	Prey Pring Khang	1-5	211	3	3	3	1	5	1	5	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	45	80%	B	130
6	Dangkao	Kakab	Kakab	2-1	206	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	45	80%	B	130
7	Dangkao	Kakab	Kakab	2-2	205	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	46	82%	B	130
8	Dangkao	Kakab	Kakab	2-3	205	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	45	80%	B	130
9	Dangkao	Kakab	Trapaing Chrey	3-1	177	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	47	84%	B	130
10	Dangkao	Kakab	Trapaing Chrey	3-2	177	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	46	82%	B	130
11	Dangkao	Kakab	Prey Sala	4-1	150	3	3	3	1	5	1	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	2	2	40	71%	C	130
12	Dangkao	Kakab	Prey Sala	4-2	150	3	3	3	1	5	1	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	43	77%	C	130
13	Dangkao	Kakab	Prey Sala	4-3	150	3	3	3	1	5	1	1	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	2	41	73%	C	130
14	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Chamkar Sbeng	5-1	139	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	44	79%	C	130
15	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Chamkar Sbeng	5-2	139	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	44	79%	C	130
16	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Trapaing Thnong	6-1	182	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	2	5	3	2	3	3	2	3	45	80%	B	130
17	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Trapaing Thnong	6-2	182	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	3	44	79%	C	130
18	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Trapaing Thnong	6-3	182	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	3	44	79%	C	130
19	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Trapaing Thnong	6-4	181	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	2	1	3	43	77%	C	130
20	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Kok Prech	7-1	185	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	46	82%	A	130
21	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Kok Prech	7-2	184	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	46	82%	A	130
22	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Tekak Panhor	8-1	148	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	45	80%	B	130
23	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Tekak Panhor	8-2	148	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	45	80%	B	130
24	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Tekak Panhor	8-3	148	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	3	2	46	82%	A	130
25	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sam Rong	9-1	184	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	45	80%	B	130
26	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sam Rong	9-2	183	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	3	44	79%	C	130
27	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sam Rong	9-3	183	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	3	44	79%	C	130
28	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Chak Chrouk	10-1	202	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	1	3	45	80%	B	130
29	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Chak Chrouk	10-2	202	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	A	130
30	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Ork Rumdoul	11-1	116	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	41	73%	C	130

I - 1

Annex 7　Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	Village Level							Well Construction Sites									Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
						Willingness to VDC	Willingness to VWC	Land Expropriation	Water-borne Diseases	DRWS's Priority	Geological Conditions	Water Quality	Willingness to WPC	Water Charge	Access Conditions	Volunteer Activities	Platform Construction	Fence Construction	Existing Water Supply	Distance	Investment Efficiency				
						①	②	③	④	⑤	⑥	⑦	⑧	⑨	⑩	⑪	⑫	⑬	⑭	⑮	⑯				
31	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Ork Rumdoul	11-2	115																		56		
32	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sre Reacheas	12-1	197	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	44	79%	C	130
33	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sre Reacheas	12-2	197	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	46	82%	A	130
34	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Sre Reacheas	12-3	197	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	46	82%	A	130
35	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Andong Taom	13-1	146	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	1	3	43	77%	C	130
36	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Andong Taom	13-2	146	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	43	77%	C	130
37	Dangkao	Samaraong Kraom	Andong Taom	13-3	146	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	2	3	2	44	79%	C	130
38	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Toch	14-1	202	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	2	3	2	44	79%	C	130
39	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Toch	14-2	202	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	50	89%	A	60
40	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Toch	14-3	201	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	51	91%	A	60
41	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Toch	14-4	201	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	51	91%	A	60
42	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Thom	15-1	194	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	50	89%	A	60
43	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Thom	15-2	193	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	51	91%	A	100
44	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Thom	15-3	193	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	51	91%	A	100
45	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kab Srov Thom	15-4	193	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	52	93%	A	100
46	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Prey Thom	16-1	164	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	50	89%	A	100
47	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Toul Sam Pauv	17-1	148	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	47	84%	B	100
48	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Chum Rov	18-1	168	3	3	3	2	5	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	47	84%	B	100
49	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Chum Rov	18-2	168	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	41	73%	C	130
50	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Thlork	19-1	117	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	41	73%	C	130
51	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Thlork	19-2	116	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	2	45	80%	B	100
52	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Phlou Phaem	20-1	185	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	48	86%	A	100
53	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Phlou Phaem	20-2	185	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	44	79%	C	130
54	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Putrea	21-1	135	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	44	79%	C	130
55	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Putrea	21-2	134	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	42	75%	C	130
56	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Svay Chek	22-1	176	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	44	79%	C	130
57	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Svay Chek	22-2	176	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	44	79%	C	130
58	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Svay Chek	22-3	175	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	44	79%	C	130
59	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kok Rokar	23-1	159	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	41	73%	C	130
60	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Kok Rokar	23-2	158	3	3	3	2	5	1	5	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	46	82%	A	130
						3	3	3	2	5	1	5	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	46	82%	A	130

Annex 7 Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	Village Level							Well Construction Sites									Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
						① Willingness to VDC	② Willingness to VWC	③ Land Expropriation	④ Water-borne Diseases	⑤ DRWS's Priority	⑥ Geological Conditions	⑦ Water Quality	⑧ Willingness to WPC	⑨ Water Charge	⑩ Access Conditions	⑪ Volunteer Activities	⑫ Platform Construction	⑬ Fence Construction	⑭ Existing Water Supply	⑮ Distance	⑯ Investment Efficiency		56		
61	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Angk Takov	24-1	199	3	3	3	2	5	1	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	44	79%	C	130
62	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Trapaing Por	25-1	168	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	49	88%	A	100
63	Dangkao	Kouk Roka	Trapaing Por	25-2	168	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	3	50	89%	A	100
64	Dangkao	P. Chheh Rotch	Koppluk	26-1	185	3	3	3	1	5	3	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	A	60
65	Dangkao	P. Chheh Rotch	Koppluk	26-2	184	3	3	3	1	5	3	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	48	86%	A	60
66	Dangkao	Prey Veaeng	Prey Veng Keut	27-1	171	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	48	86%	A	100
67	Dangkao	Prey Veaeng	Prey Veng Keut	27-2	170	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	49	88%	A	100
68	Dangkao	Prey Veaeng	Prey Veng Keut	27-3	170	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	48	86%	A	100
69	Dangkao	Prey Veaeng	Trapaing Svay	28-1	116	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	46	82%	B	100
70	Dangkao	Prey Veaeng	Trapaing Svay	28-2	116	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	46	82%	B	100
71	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Piam	29-1	191	3	3	3	2	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	47	84%	B	100
72	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Piam	29-2	191	3	3	3	2	5	5	1	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	3	4	43	77%	C	100
73	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Thor Tray	30-1	142	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	1	2	4	48	86%	B	100
74	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Thor Tray	30-2	141	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	B	100
75	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Anlong Kong	31-1	203	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	B	100
76	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Anlong Kong	31-2	203	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	4	45	80%	B	100
77	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Anlong Kong	31-3	203	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	4	45	80%	B	100
78	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Sa Keut	32-1	160	3	3	3	0	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	4	44	79%	C	100
79	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Sa Keut	32-2	160	3	3	3	0	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	46	82%	A	100
80	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Sa Keut	32-3	160	3	3	3	0	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	46	82%	A	100
81	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Thom	33-1	173	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	46	82%	A	100
82	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Thom	33-2	173	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	5	46	82%	B	60
83	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Tituy	34-1	191	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	5	46	82%	B	60
84	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Prey Tituy	34-2	191	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	49	88%	A	100
85	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Momphey Boun	35-1	125	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	49	88%	A	100
86	Dangkao	Prey Sa	Momphey Boun	35-2	125	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	47	84%	A	60
87	Dangkao	Cheung Aek	Prek Pranak	36-1	80	3	3	3	1	6	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	47	84%	A	60
88	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Tear	37-1	158	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	2	1	3	3	3	1	3	1	42	75%	C	100
89	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Tear	37-2	158	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	A	100
90	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Tear	37-3	157	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	48	86%	A	100

Annex 7　Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	Village Level							Well Construction Sites									Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
						Willingness to VDC	Willingness to VWC	Land Expropriation	Water-borne Diseases	DRWS's Priority	Geological Conditions	Water Quality	Willingness to WPC	Water Charge	Access Conditions	Volunteer Activities	Platform Construction	Fence Construction	Existing Water Supply	Distance	Investment Efficiency				
						①	②	③	④	⑤	⑥	⑦	⑧	⑨	⑩	⑪	⑫	⑬	⑭	⑮	⑯		56		
91	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Andong	38-1	173	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	49	88%	A	100
92	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Andong	38-2	173	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	49	88%	A	100
93	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Andong	38-3	173	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	49	88%	A	100
94	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Karasang	39-1	184	3	3	3	2	5	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	47	84%	A	60
95	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Karasang	39-2	184	3	3	3	2	5	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	47	84%	A	60
96	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Trapaing Karasang	39-3	184	3	3	3	2	5	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	47	84%	A	60
97	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Khva	40-1	111	3	3	3	0	5	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	42	75%	C	100
98	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Khva	40-2	110	3	3	3	0	5	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	43	77%	C	100
99	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Veal	41-1	186	3	3	3	1	5	1	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	42	75%	C	130
100	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Veal	41-2	185	3	3	3	1	5	1	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	41	73%	C	130
101	Dangkao	Trapaing Krasang	Prey Daun Ok	42-1	143	3	3	3	0	5	1	1	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	41	73%	C	130
102	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Sam Bour	43-1	177	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	43	77%	C	100
103	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Kraing Tapho	44-1	148	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	2	3	40	71%	C	100
104	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Kraing Tapho	44-2	148	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	1	3	39	70%	C	100
105	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Khvet	45-1	162	3	3	3	2	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	3	3	42	75%	C	100
106	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Khvet	45-2	161	3	3	3	2	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	3	3	42	75%	C	100
107	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Khvet	45-3	161	3	3	3	2	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	1	3	42	75%	C	100
108	Dangkao	Sak Sampov	Pou Rolumn	46-1	220	3	3	3	1	5	5	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	1	3	3	44	79%	C	100
109	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Russey	47-1	180	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	3	4	40	71%	C	60
110	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Russey	47-2	179	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	5	40	71%	C	60
111	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Russey	47-3	179	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	5	40	71%	C	60
112	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Mean Chey	48-1	148	3	3	3	1	3	3	1	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	3	38	68%	C	60
113	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Mean Chey	48-2	148	3	3	3	1	3	3	5	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	43	77%	C	60
114	Mean Chey	S. Mean Chey	Mean Chey	48-3	148	3	3	3	1	3	3	5	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	43	77%	C	60
115	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-1	197	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	43	77%	C	60
116	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-2	197	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	2	5	46	82%	B	60
117	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-3	197	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	49	88%	A	60
118	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-4	197	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	5	49	88%	A	60
119	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-5	196	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	1	5	47	84%	B	60
120	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-6	196	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	5	47	84%	B	60

I-5

Annex 7   Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	Village Level							Well Construction Sites									Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
						① Willingness to VDC	② Willingness to VWC	③ Land Expropriation	④ Water-borne Diseases	⑤ DRWS's Priority	⑥ Geological Conditions	⑦ Water Quality	⑧ Willingness to WPC	⑨ Water Charge	⑩ Access Conditions	⑪ Volunteer Activities	⑫ Platform Construction	⑬ Fence Construction	⑭ Existing Water Supply	⑮ Distance	⑯ Investment Efficiency		56		
121	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Khmuonh	49-7	196	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	5	49	88%	A	60
122	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	50-1	207	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	51	91%	A	100
123	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	50-2	207	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	48	86%	A	100
124	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	50-3	206	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	50	89%	A	100
125	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Bunlar Soet	51-1	192	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	50	89%	A	100
126	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-2	192	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	50	89%	A	100
127	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-3	192	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	50	89%	A	100
128	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-4	192	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	4	48	86%	A	100
129	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-5	191	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	2	4	47	84%	B	100
130	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-6	191	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	50	89%	A	100
131	Ruessei Keo	Khmuonh	Sang Raong	51-7	191	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	4	50	89%	A	100
132	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Phnom Penh Thmey	52-1	141	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	49	88%	A	100
133	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Phnom Penh Thmey	52-2	141	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	41	73%	C	60
134	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Phnom Penh Thmey	52-3	141	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	1	3	2	3	3	3	4	43	77%	C	60
135	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Pong Peay	53-1	202	0	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	1	3	2	3	3	2	4	41	73%	C	60
136	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Pong Peay	53-2	202	0	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	44	79%	C	100
137	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Pong Peay	53-3	202	0	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	44	79%	C	100
138	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Pong Peay	53-4	201	0	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	3	3	2	3	3	2	4	42	75%	C	100
139	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Pong Peay	53-5	201	0	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	45	80%	B	100
140	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Dey Thmey	54-1	119	3	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	44	79%	C	100
141	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Dey Thmey	54-2	119	3	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	47	84%	B	100
142	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Roung Chak	55-1	209	3	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	2	2	47	84%	B	100
143	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Roung Chak	55-2	209	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	47	84%	B	60
144	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Roung Chak	55-3	209	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	49	88%	A	60
145	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-1	211	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	48	86%	A	60
146	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-2	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	3	5	49	88%	A	60
147	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-3	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	49	88%	A	60
148	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-4	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	5	48	86%	A	60
149	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-5	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	49	88%	A	60
150	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-6	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	3	5	50	89%	A	60
																						49	88%	A	60

Annex 7 Evaluation of the Proposed Well Construction Sites

No	District	Commune	Village	Well No.	Beneficiary Population	① Willingness to VDC	② Willingness to VWC	③ Land Expropriation	④ Water-borne Diseases	⑤ DRWS's Priority	⑥ Geological Conditions	⑦ Water Quality	⑧ Willingness to WPC	⑨ Water Charge	⑩ Access Conditions	⑪ Volunteer Activities	⑫ Platform Construction	⑬ Fence Construction	⑭ Existing Water Supply	⑮ Distance	⑯ Investment Efficiency	Total Point	Percentage (%)	Priority Rank	Depth of Well (m)
																							56		
151	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-7	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	1	2	5				
152	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-8	210	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	1	2	5	46	82%	B	60
153	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-9	210	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	49	88%	A	60
154	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-10	210	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	5	48	86%	A	60
155	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-11	210	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	5	49	88%	A	60
156	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Bayab	56-12	210	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	5	48	86%	A	60
157	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Kok Khleang	57-1	211	3	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	1	5	47	84%	B	60
158	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Kok Khleang	57-2	210	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	49	88%	A	100
159	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Kok Khleang	57-3	210	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	3	4	52	93%	A	100
160	Ruessei Keo	Phnom Penh Thme	Trapaing Svay	58-1	156	3	3	3	1	5	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	49	88%	A	100
161	Ruessei Keo	Tuek Thla	Sleng Roleung	59-1	202	3	3	3	2	5	5	5	3	1	5	3	3	3	1	3	3	51	91%	A	100
162	Ruessei Keo	Tuek Thla	Sleng Roleung	59-2	201	3	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	5	3	3	3	3	2	4	48	86%	A	100
163	Ruessei Keo	Svay Pak	Lor Kambao	60-1	173	3	3	3	1	3	5	3	3	1	5	3	2	3	3	2	4	47	84%	B	100
164	Ruessei Keo	Svay Pak	Lor Kambao	60-2	172	3	3	3	1	1	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	43	77%	C	100
165	Ruessei Keo	Svay Pak	Lor Kambao	60-3	172	3	3	3	1	1	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	42	75%	C	100
	Total				29,216	3	3	3	1	1	5	1	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	42	75%	C	100
																									16,300

Modified Evaluation Criteria for Well Construction Sites

Criteria	Evaluation Standard	Point
1. Socio-economic conditions in village level		
① Willingness to form VDC	A: yes (including VDCs already existing) D: no	A: 3 D: 0
② Willingness to form VWC	A: yes D: no	A: 3 D: 0
③ Willingness to offer free land	A: yes B: maybe D: no	A: 3 B: 2 D: 0
④ Prevalence of water-borne disease	A: very common B: common C: rare D: very rare	A: 3 B: 2 C: 1 D: 0
⑤ DRWS's priority	A: high priority B: middle priority C: low priority	A: 5 B: 3 C: 1
⑥ Potentiality of groundwater based on geophysical survey	A: high potential B: middle potential C: low potential	A: 5 B: 3 C: 1
⑦ Water quality of groundwater	A: good B: acceptable C: bad	A: 5 B: 3 C: 1
2. Socio-economic conditions in proposed well construction sites		
⑧ Willingness to form WPC	A: yes D: no	A: 3 D: 0
⑨ Willingness to pay water charge	A: over 1,000 Riel B: 500-1,000 Riel C: less than 500 Riel	A: 3 B: 2 C: 1
⑩ Access condition (modified)	A: good B: acceptable C: bad **D: Impossible**	A: 5 B: 3 C: 1 **D: -3**
⑪ Voluntary participation in land/road preparation	A: yes D: no	A: 3 D: 0
⑫ Willingness to construct platform, if material is supplied by the Project (modified)	A: yes B: yes, but need technical assistance C: no	A: 3 B: 2 C: 0
⑬ Willingness to construct fence around the well	A: yes B: maybe D: no	A: 3 B: 2 D: 0
⑭ Existing drinking water supply facility in dry season	A: Lake/Pond/River/Water Seller B: Dug Well C: Handpump	A: 3 B: 2 C: 1
⑮ Distance to the drinking supply facility in dry season	A: over 200m (including 200m) B: 100-200m (including 100m)/ Water Seller C: less than 100m	A: 3 B: 2 C: 1
⑯ Investment efficiency (newly addition)	**A: D/B < 0.4m** **B: 0.4m < D/B < 0.6m** **C: 0.6m < D/B < 0.8m** **D: 0.8m < D/B < 1.0m** **E: D/B > 1.0m**	**A: 5** **B: 4** **C: 3** **D: 2** **E: 1**

Note: D/B= Drilling depth(m)/Beneficiary population of well

I - 7