FINAL REPORT

VOLUME III SUPPORTING REPORT

I. FACILITIES DESIGN AND COST ESTIMATES

STUDY ON INTEGRATED WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT IN THE CAÑETE RIVER BASIN IN THE REPUBLIC OF PERU

FINAL REPORT VOLUME III SUPPORTING REPORT

I: Facilities Design

Table of contents

Page 1

Facilities Design	I-1
iminary Design	I-1
Dam	I-1
Cañete D/I Water Conveyance	I-1
Mantaro - Carispaccha Water Conveyance	I-2
Cost Estimate	I-3
iminary Cost Estimate	I-3
Methodology	I-3
Project Cost of CANETE Scheme	I-4
Dam and hydroelectric power	I-4
D/I water conveyance	I-5
Irrigation	I-6
Operation & Maintenance Costs	I-6
Project Cost of Mantaro - Carispaccha Scheme	I-6
Unit Development Cost of Water	I-6
Unit development cost of dam and hydroelectric power	I-7
Unit development cost of Cañete water conveyance system	I-7
	Facilities Designiminary DesignDamCañete D/I Water ConveyanceMantaro - Carispaccha Water ConveyanceCost Estimateiminary Cost EstimateMethodologyProject Cost of CANETE SchemeDam and hydroelectric powerD/I water conveyanceIrrigationOperation & Maintenance CostsProject Cost of Mantaro - Carispaccha SchemeUnit Development Cost of WaterUnit development cost of dam and hydroelectric powerUnit development cost of Cañete water conveyance system

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Cost breakdown of Dam & Hydropower	I-8
Table 2.2	Cost breakdown of Cañete Water Conveyance (Mountain Route)	I-11
Table 2.3	Cost breakdown of Cañete Water Conveyance (Coastal Route)	I-12
Table 2.4	Cost breakdown of Cañete Water Conveyance (Alternative Mountain Route)	I-13
Table 2.5	Unit Price/Construction Cost Analysis for	
	Cañete Water Conveyance	I-14
Table 2.6	Cost breakdown of Irrigation & Agriculture	I-19
Table 2.7	Operation & Maintenance Cost for Water Conveyance	
	(Mountain Route)	I-20
Table 2.8	Cost breakdown of Montaro Water Conveyance	I-21
Table 2.9	Summary of Construction Cost of Mantaro Water Conveyance	I-22
Table 2.10	Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for	
	Mantaro Water Conveyance	I-23

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Proposed Dam, Intake and Water Conveyance Route for	
	Cañete Scheme	I-26
Figure 1.2	Location of Cañete Scheme and Mantaro Scheme	I-27
Figure 1.3	Paucarcocha Dam (Earthfill Dam)	I-28
Figure 1.4	Morro de Arica Dam (RCC Dam)	I-29
Figure 1.5	Auco Dam (RCC Dam)	I-30
Figure 1.6	El Platanal Power Station (G-1)	
	Capillucas Intake Dam& Water Way	I-31
Figure 1.7	San Jeronimo Dam (RCC Dam)	I-32
Figure 1.8	Cañete Water Conveyance Zuniga Intake Dam	
	(Water Supply 5.0m ³ /sec to Lima)	I-33
Figure 1.9	Cañete Wter Conveyance Sections of Main Structure	
	(Wter Supply 5.0m ³ /sec to Lima)	I-34
Figure 1.10	System Diagram for Water Conveyance	
	Alternative Water Conveyance Route	I-35
Figure 1.11	Mantaro Water Conveyance General Plan (Stage -1 & Stage-2).	I-36
Figure 1.12	System Diagram of Mantaro Water Conveyance Stage-1	I-37

Figure 1.13	Mantaro Water Conveyance Section of Main Structure				
	(Water Supply 5.0m ³ /sec to Lima)	I-38			
Figure 1.14	Mantaro Water Conveyance Carispaccha Dam &				
	Marcapomacocha Dam	I-39			
Figure 2.1	Formation of Construction Cost	I-40			

Chapter 1 Facilities Design

1.1 Preliminary Design

Preliminary design was prepared for the engineering works including dams and water conveyance facilities. Location of the works is shown in Figure 1.1. For comparison with project cost of alternative D/I water conveyance scheme (the Mantaro - Carispaccha scheme) to the Cañete scheme, the Study team reviewed the Mantaro - Carispaccha scheme of the SEDAPAL M/P. Location of the schemes is shown in Figure 1.2.

1.1.1 Dam

In the Cañete River basin, a private cement company, Cemantos Lima S.A. has already conducted some design works for dam and hydroelectric power, which are called "El Platanal Project". In this project, it is planned to construct one earth fill dam (Paucarcocha dam), one RCC dam with hydroelectric power plant (Morro de Arica dam, recently the dam type was changed to CFRD) and one intake dam with hydroelectric power plant (Capillucas intake dam, concrete gravity type). Typical features are shown in Figures 1.3, 1.4 and 1.6. On the other hand, the Study team assumed additional dam sites (Auco and San Jeromino dams, both RCC type) for the purpose to enhance regulation capacity of the river runoff and carried out preliminary design as shown in Figures 1.5 and 1.7. Location of the dams is seen in Figure 1.1.

1.1.2 Cañete D/I Water Conveyance

Preliminary design for the facilities of the Cañete D/I water conveyance scheme (Mountain Route-1, total length: 206 km) was carried out for the purpose to review the existing design and preliminary cost estimate in a pre-feasibility study by SEDAPAL in 1995. Zuñiga intake dam is shown in Figure 1.8. Figure 1.9 illustrates main facilities of the water conveyance system to be composed of the following elements:

i)	Open channel	:	125 km
ii)	Pipe line (D=1.6m)	:	53 km
iii)	Siphons (D=1.6m)	:	8 km
iv)	Tunnels	:	18 km
v)	Drop	:	2 km
	Total		206 km

Two alternative mountain and coastal routes of the conveyance system were examined as shown in Figures 1.1 and 1.10, and the original Mountain Route-1 was selected for the study in this stage (see Chapter 2, numeral 2.1.2 (2)).

1.1.3 Mantaro - Carispaccha Water Conveyance

Location of facilities for Mantaro - Carispaccha scheme in Stage-1 and Stage-2 are shown in Figure 1.11. System diagram for Mantaro - Carispaccha scheme in Stage-1 is shown in Figure 1.12. Main structures of the scheme are shown in Figures 1.13 and 1.14.

Chapter 2 Cost Estimate

2.1 **Preliminary Cost Estimate**

2.1.1 Methodology

Existing reports related to the Study listed below were collected for the purpose to review the design, cost data and information including engineer's cost estimates and unit prices:

- a) Pre-Feasibility Study on Cañete River Basin for Water Supply to Lima City 1995, SEDAPAL
- b) Pomacocha Rio Balanco Water Transfer Detailed Study (MARCA-II) 1998, SEDAPAL
- c) Marcapomacocha Water Transfer Detailed Study (MARCA-III) 1997, SEDAPAL
- d) Feasibility Study on Optimization of Rimac River Basin, between Moyopampa and La Atarjea, and the Environmental Impact Study, 1997, SEDAPAL
- e) Feasibility Study on Cañete River Hydroelectric Power Project "EL PLATANAL" 1998, Cementos Lima S.A.
- f) Feasibility Study on EL PLATANAL Hydroelectric Power Plant 1987, Electroperu S.A.

Cost estimate in this study was made in consideration of the following findings summarized from the collected reports:

- a) Unit prices of major component of works were assumed with reference to the records of international bids for schemes of hydroelectric power and water supply development.
- b) For dam and/or hydroelectric power scheme, simple conventional cost estimate equations were adopted to estimate project component cost including direct and indirect costs with input of principal dimensions of the project facilities and assumed unit prices.
- c) For water conveyance schemes, work quantities were reviewed by a design method of the Study Team based on the preliminary design by SEDAPAL Pre-F/S, 1995.
- d) For irrigation scheme, project component cost was worked out as a product of command area and unit price per irrigation area that was gauged with reference to the current cost data of similar schemes in Peru and other countries.

- e) Costs of mobilization works and unmeasured factor were assumed as follows.(see Figure-2-1)
 - Mobilization works: 10% of total amount of civil works
 - Unmeasured factor: 5% of total amount of civil works
- f) In-direct Costs are assumed as follows. (see Figure 2.1)
 - Administration & Engineering Services: 10% of total direct cost
 Physical Contingency: 10% of total direct cost
 Price Contingency 3% of total direct cost
- g) Annual operation and maintenance cost for this study is adopted as follows.

- Open channel:	1.0% of direct cost
- Pumping station:	2.0% of direct cost
- Tunnel:	0.1% of direct cost
- Access road:	0.5% of direct cost
- Pipeline & Siphon:	0.2% of direct cost
- Dam	0.5% of direct cost
- other Facilities	0.5% of direct cost

2.1.2 Project Cost of CANETE Scheme

Main project features and corresponding total project cost are set out below.

(1) Dam and hydroelectric power

For preliminary cost estimate of the dams and hydroelectric power schemes, preliminary design was prepared for each dam (Paucarcocha dam, Morro de Arica dam, Auco dam, Capillucas dam, San Jerónimo dam), as shown in Figures 1.3, 1.4, 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 by reviewing the existing data and information given by SEDAPAL and Cementos Lima. The total project cost is summarized as follows. The cost-breakdowns are shown in Table-2.1 including of operation & maintenance cost.

Name of Dam	Davaaraaaha	Morro de Arica		A 1100	Conillusos	Son Ionónimo	
Name of Dam	Paucarcocha	High Dam	Low Dam	Auco	Capillucas	San Jeronimo	
Dam Type	Center Core Earthfill Dam	RCC Dam	RCC Dam	RCC Dam	Concrete Gravity Dam	RCC Dam	
Dam Vol (m ³)	405,000m ³	2,499,400m ³	1,805,000m ³	6,934,500m ³	76,500m ³	6,635,500m ³	
Dam Height from the Bottom (m)	30m	259m	232m	230m	37m	235m	
Active Storage Vol.(mcm)	55mcm	245mcm	205mcm	300mcm	-	250mcm	
Total Cost (US\$ M	illion)						
Only Dam Construction	16	196	143	535	-	513	
Dam & Hydro electric power	-	239	184	591	164	555	
(Install Capa. MW)		(50MW)	(46MW)	(47MW)	(200MW)	(42MW)	

(2) D/I water conveyance

	Mountain Route				
Route	Proposed (Route-1)	Alternative (Route-2)	Proposed	Coastal Route *	
Length of Water Conveyance (km)	206km	172km	206km	165	škm
Water Supply to Lima (m ³ /sec)	5.0 m ³ /sec	5.0 m ³ /sec	10.0 m ³ /sec	5.0 m ³ /sec	10.0 m ³ /sec
Diameter & Line of Steel Pipe	D=1.6m x 1line	D=2.0m x 1line D=1.6m x 1line	D=1.6m x 2lines	D=1.8m x 1line D=1.6m x 1line	D=1.8m x 2lines D=1.6m x 2lines
Total Cost (US\$ million)	295	365	453	436	739

* 1 Pumping station will be installed on Coastal route.

For preliminary cost estimate, preliminary design was prepared for each water conveyance route as shown in Figures 1.8 and 1.9 by reviewing the design of SEDAPAL Pre-F/S.

It is noted that comparison of alternatives of water conveyance system, the 206 km long mountain route with gravity conveyance (Mountain Route-1) and the 165 km long coastal route with combination of gravity and pumping up, showed the mountain route to be in advantage in cost and operation reliability. The total project cost is summarized as above. The both cost-breakdowns for mountain and Coastal routes in case of water supply to Lima 5.0 & 10.0 m3/sec are shown in Table 2.2 and Table 2.3.

Mountain Route-2 (Figure 1.10) is more costly compared with the proposed Mountain Route-1, though Route-2 is safer against natural disasters such as land sliding because the main component is pipelines and tunnels instead of open channels. The elements of the alternative conveyance line (Route-2) are as follows:

i)	Open channel	:	70.5 km
ii)	Tunnels	:	29.3 km
iii)	Siphons (D=1.6m)	:	19.5 km
iv)	Pipeline(D=2.0m)	:	30.4 km
v)	Pipeline(D=1.6m)	:	19.5 km
vi)	Drop (D=1.6m)	:	2.8 km
	Total		172.0 km

Total project cost for the mountain routes (Route-1 & 2) are summarized as above table. The cost-breakdown of Alternative mountain route (Route 1) are shown in Table 2.4. Unit cost analysis (US\$ mill./km) for the Canete Water Conveyance Mountain & Coastal routes are summarized in Table 2.5.

(3) Irrigation

Region	Valle de Cañete	Pampas Altas de Imperial	Pampas de Concón-Topará & Chincha Alta	Total
Irrigation Area (ha)	24,052 ha	2,475 ha	27,000 ha	53,527 ha
Irrigation Water (m ³ /sec)	22.3 m ³ /sec	1.7 m ³ /sec	19.5 m ³ /sec	43.5 m ³ /sec
Total Cost (US\$ million)	13	5	147	164

The cost-breakdowns for Irrigation & Agriculture are shown in Table 2.6.

(4) Operation & Maintenance Costs

The Operation & Maintenance costs for water conveyance mountain route (Route-1) in case of water supply to Lima 5.0 & 10.0 m3/sec are shown in Table 2.7. In Table 2.1 are shown O&M costs for dams.

2.1.3 Project Cost of Mantaro - Carispaccha Scheme

Review result indicated that the cost estimate for the Mantaro-Carispacha D/I water conveyance scheme of the SEDAPAL M/P is overestimated reason is because they considered cost as final stage let say for Q=10m³/s instead of Q=5m³/s. The estimate is now 218 million US\$ for construction (6.2 million US\$ for O/M cost) in the case of water supply of $5.0m^3$ /s to Lima. The cost-breakdowns and O&M costs for Mantaro Water Conveyance ($5.0m^3$ /s) are shown in Table 2.8. Summary of construction cost is shown in Table 2.9 and unit cost analysis in Tables 2.10 (1/3) to (3/3).

2.1.4 Unit Development Cost of Water

Unit development cost of water is estimated as summarized below in terms of unit dam facilities cost (per 1 m^3 of active storage) and unit conveyance facilities cost (per 1 m^3 of water conveyance) to compare investment efficiency of prospective alternative dams and water conveyance systems.

Name of Dam	D	Morro dde Arca		A	Comillusos	San
Name of Dam	Paucarcocna	High Dam	Low Dam	Auco	Capinucas	Jerónimo
Dam Type	Center Core Earthfill Dam	RCC Dam	RCC Dam	RCC Dam	Concrete Gravity Dam	RCC Dam
Active Storage Vol.(mcm)	55 mcm	245 mcm	205 mcm	300 mcm	-	250 mcm
Unit Dam Facilities (Cost (US\$ / .	Active Storage	e Vol. 1.0 m ³)			
Only Dam Constriction	0.3	0.8	0.7	1.8	-	2.1
Dam & Hydro- electric power	-	1.0	0.9	2.0	-	2.2
(Install Capa. MW)		(50 MW)	(46 MW)	(47 MW)	(200 MW)	(42 MW)

(1) Unit development cost of dam and hydroelectric power

(2) Unit development cost of Cañete water conveyance system

	(U	S\$ Million)				
		Mountain Route				
Route	Route-1 (A)	Route-2	Route-1 (B)	Coastal Route *		
Length of Water Conveyance (km)	206 km	172 km	206 km	165 km		
Water Supply to Lima (m ³ /sec)	5.0 m ³ /sec	5.0 m ³ /sec	10.0 m ³ /sec	5.0 m ³ /sec	10.0 m ³ /sec	
Unit Conveyance Facilities Cost (US\$ million/ Water Supply to Lima 1.0 m ³ /sec)						
Unit Cost of Water Development	59.0	73.1	45.3	87.2	73.2	

TABLES

Table 2.1Cost Breakdown of Dam & Hydropower (1/3)

CANETE Scheme

Project Cost for Dam & Hydropower

		with]	Hydropower	withou	t Hydropower
	Description	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance
		Amount	Cost per Year	Amount	Cost per Year
	Paucarcocha Dam (Rockfill Dam, H=30m, without Hydropower)				
	Earth fill Dam			5.383	0.027
	Access Road			0.129	0.001
	Diversion Works			6.106	
	Hydropower Structures, Install Capacity - MW			0.000	
	Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)			1.162	
	Generating Equipment & Transmission Line			0.000	
	Sub-total			12. 77 9	
	Administration & Engineering Service (10%)			1.278	
_	Physical Contingency (10%)			1.278	
8	Price Contingency (3%)			0.383	
	Total	/		15.718	0.028
	Morro de Arica Dam (RCC, H=259m, High dam)				
	Dam RCC	143.544	0.718	143.544	0.718
	Access Road	0.857	0.004	0.857	0.004
	Diversion Works	0.524		0.524	
	Hydropower Structures, Install Capacity 50 MW	13.557	0.068		
	Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)	14.493		14.493	
	Generating Equipment & Transmission Line	19.643	0.020		
	Sub-total	193.974		159.418	
	Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	19.397		15.942	
	Physical Contingency (10%)	19.397		15.942	
	Price Contingency (3%)	5.819		4.783	
L	Total	238.588	0.809	196.084	0.722

-

Table 2.1 Cost Breakdown of Dam & Hydropower (2/3)

CANETE Scheme Project Cost for Dam & Hydropower

	with	Hydropower	without Hydropower		
Description	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance	
	Amount	Cost per Year	Amount	Cost per Year	
Morro de Arica Dam (RCC, H=232m, Low dam)					
Dam RCC	104.247	0.521	104.247	0.521	
Access Road	0.857	0.004	0.857	0.004	
Diversion Works	0.524		0.524		
Hydropower structures, Install Capacity 46 MW	12.838	0.064	0.000		
Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)	11.847		10.563		
Generating Equipment & Transmission Line	19.002	0.019	0.000		
Sub-Total	149.314		116.190		
Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	14.931		11.619		
Physical Contingency (10%)	14.931		11.619		
Price Contingency (3%)	4.479		3.486		
Total	183.656	0.609	142.914	0.526	
Auco Dam (RCC, H=216m)					
Dam RCC	392.927	1.965	392.927	1.965	
Access Road	0.857	0.004	0.857	0.004	
Diversion Works	1.654		1.654		
Hydropower structures, Install Capacity 50 MW	23.635	0.118	0.000		
Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)	41.907		39.544		
Generating Equipment & Transmission Line	19.790	0.020	0.000		
Sub-Total	480.770		434.981		
Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	48.077		43.498		
Physical Contingency (10%)	48.077		43.498		
Price Contingency (3%)	14.423		13.049		
Total	591.347	2.107	535.027	1.969	

Table 2.1 Cost Breakdown of Dam & Hydropower (3/3)

CANETE Scheme Project Cost for Dam & Hydropower

	with Hydropower		without Hydropower		
Description	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance	Construction Cost	Operation & Maintenance	
	Amount	Cost per Year	Amount	Cost per Year	
Cpillucas Dam / EL Platanal HEPP (Concrete Gravity, H=37m)					
Dam RCC	8.562	0.043			
Access Road	0.159	0.001			
Diversion Works	1.272				
Hydropower structures, Install Capacity 205 MW	76.773	0.384			
Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)	8.677				
Generating Equipment & Transmission Line	37.920	0.038			
Sub-Total	133.362				
Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	13.336				
Physical Contingency (10%)	13.336				
Price Contingency (3%)	4.001				
Total	164.035	0.465			
San Jeronimo High Dam (High RCC, H=200, with Hydropower))				
Dam RCC	376.774	1.884	376.774	1.884	
Access Road	0.857	0.004	0.857	0.004	
Diversion Works	1.621		1.621		
Hydropower structures, Install Capacity 72 MW	14.627	0.073			
Mobilization for Civil Works (10%)	39.388		37.925		
Generating Equipment & Transmission Line	17.735	0.018		0.000	
Sub-Total	451.002		417.177		
Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	45.100		41.718		
Physical Contingency (10%)	45.100		41.718		
Price Contingency (3%)	13.530		12.515		
Total	554.732	1.979	513.128	1.888	

Table 2.2 Cost Breakdown of CAÑETE Water Conveyance (Mountain Route)

Route: Mountain Route						
Water Supply: 5.0m3/sec to Lima						(US\$ million)
Description	U	Init Cost	Quant	ity	Amount	Remarks
Water Conveyance (5.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	-	-	-	-	5.200	
2 Open Channel	0.399	US\$ mill./km	124.31	km	49.600	Rectangular Channel
3 Pipe Line	1.473	US\$ mill./km	53.24	km	78.423	D=1.6m x 1 line
4 Tunnel	2.517	US\$ mill./km	18.10	km	45.558	
5 Siphon & Chamber	2.387	US\$ mill./km	8.15	km	19.454	D=1.6m x 1 line
6 Access Road	0.050	US\$ mill./km	206.00	km	10.300	
sub-total					208.534	
7 Mobilization (10.0%)					20.853	
8 Unmeasured (5.0%)					10.427	
sub-total					239.814	
9 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					23.981	
10 Physical Contingency (10%)					23.981	
11 Price Contingency (3%)					7.194	
Total					294.971	

Preliminary Cost Estimate for CAÑETE Water Conveyance

Preliminary Cost Estimate for CAÑETE Water Conveyance

Route: Mountain Route

Water Supply: 10.0m3/sec to Lima						(US\$ million)
Description	U	Jnit Cost	Quant	tity	Amount	Remarks
Water Conveyance (10.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	-	-	-	-	5.200	
2 Open Channel	0.538	US\$ mill./km	124.31	km	66.879	Rectangular Channel
3 Pipe Line	2.913	US\$ mill./km	53.24	km	155.088	D=1.6m x 2 line
4 Tunnel	2.517	US\$ mill./km	18.10	km	45.558	
5 Siphon & Chamber	4.600	US\$ mill./km	8.15	km	37.490	D=1.6m x 2 line
6 Access Road	0.050	US\$ mill./km	206.00	km	10.300	
sub-total					320.515	
7 Mobilization (10.0%)					32.051	
8 Unmeasured (5.0%)					16.026	
sub-total					368.592	
9 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					36.859	
10 Physical Contingency (10%)					36.859	
11 Price Contingency (3%)					11.058	
Total					453.368	

Table 2.3 Cost Breakdown of CAÑETE Water Conveyance (CoastalRoute)

Route: Coastal Route						
Water Supply: 5.0m3/sec to Lima						(US\$ million)
Description	U	Init Cost	Quant	Quantity Amount		Remarks
Water Conveyance (5.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	-	-	-	-	6.050	
2 Open Channel	0.399	US\$ mill./km	28.00	km	11.172	Rectangular Channel
3 Pipe Line						
- D = 1.6m x 1 lines	1.473	US\$ mill./km	25.00	km	36.825	
- D = 1.8m x 1 lines	2.025	US\$ mill./km	94.50	km	191.363	
4 Tunnel	2.517	US\$ mill./km	10.70	km	26.932	
5 Siphon & Chamber	4.600	US\$ mill./km	2.00	km	9.200	Qmax = 5.0m3/sec, $Head = 112m$
7 Pumping Station	-	-	-	-	11.230	
8 Transmission Line	0.130	US\$ mill./km	10.00	km	1.300	
9 Substation and Communication	-	-	-	-	1.500	Based on MANTARO scheme
10 Penstock	-	-	-	-	4.500	Based on MANTARO scheme
11 Access Road	0.050	US\$ mill./km	165.00	km	8.250	
sub-total					308.321	
12 Mobilization (10.0%)					30.832	
13 Unmeasured (5.0%)					15.416	
sub-total					354.570	
14 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					35.457	
15 Physical Contingency (10%)					35.457	
16 Price Contingency (3%)					10.637	
Total					436.121	

Preliminary Cost Estimate for CAÑETE Water Conveyance

Preliminary Cost Estimate for CAÑETE Water Conveyance

Route: CoastalRoute			v			
Water Supply: 10.0m3/sec to Lima						(US\$ million)
Description	U	nit Cost	Quant	ity	Amount	Remarks
Water Conveyance (10.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	-	-	-	-	6.050	
2 Open Channel	0.538	US\$ mill./km	28.00	km	15.064	Rectangular Channel
3 Pipe Line						
- D = 1.6m x 2 lines	2.913	US\$ mill./km	25.00	km	72.825	
- D = 1.8m x 2 lines	3.813	US\$ mill./km	94.50	km	360.329	
4 Tunnel	2.517	US\$ mill./km	10.70	km	26.932	
5 Siphon & Chamber	4.600	US\$ mill./km	2.00	km	9.200	Qmax = 5.0m3/sec, $Head = 112m$
7 Pumping Station	-	-	-	-	16.699	
8 Transmission Line	0.130	US\$ mill./km	10.00	km	1.300	
9 Substation and Communication	-	-	-	-	1.500	Based on MANTARO scheme
10 Penstock	-	-	-	-	4.500	Based on MANTARO scheme
11 Access Road	0.050	US\$ mill./km	165.00	km	8.250	
sub-total					522.648	
12 Mobilization (10.0%)					52.265	
13 Unmeasured (5.0%)					26.132	
sub-total					601.046	
14 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					60.105	
15 Physical Contingency (10%)					60.105	
16 Price Contingency (3%)					18.031	
Total					739.286	

Table 2.4 Cost Breakdown of CAÑETE Water Conveyance (Alternative Mountain Route)

Route: Mountain Route						
Project Cost for CAÑETE Water Conveyance	' Mount	tain route Alte	rnative	(Rou	te-2)	(US\$ million)
Description	U	Init Cost	Quant	ity	Amount	Remarks
Water Conveyance (5.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	-	-	-	-	5.200	
2 Open Channel	0.399	US\$ mill./km	70.50	km	28.130	Rectangular Channel
3 Pipe Line D=2.0m	2.082	US\$ mill./km	30.40	km	63.293	
Pipe Line D=1.6m	1.473	US\$ mill./km	22.30	km	32.848	
4 Tunnel	2.517	US\$ mill./km	29.30	km	73.748	
5 Siphon & Chamber	2.387	US\$ mill./km	19.50	km	46.547	
6 Access Road	0.050	US\$ mill./km	172.00	km	8.600	
sub-total					258.365	
7 Mobilization (10.0%)					25.836	
8 Unmeasured (5.0%)					12.918	
sub-total					297.120	
9 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					29.712	
10 Physical Contingency (10%)					29.712	
11 Price Contingency (3%)					8.914	
Total					365.457	

Preliminary Cost Estimate

Table 2.5 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance (1/5)

Description		Unit	Quantity	Construction	Unit Cost (US\$ million) Amount	
1. Oper	n Channel (Rectangular Typ)		Unit Cost		
1-1	Case-1					
	Route:	Mountain & Coas	stal route			
	Length of Channel:	Mountain : 124.3	1km, Coastal ro	oute: 28.0km		
	Discharge:	Q = 5.0 m3/sec				
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
	1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	21.20	7.0	148.40	
	2) $\frac{\text{Excavation of Trench}}{(\text{Rock})}$	m3/m	5.40	15.0	81.00	
	3) Concrete	m3/m	0.95	110.0	104 50	
	4) Reinforcing bar	ton/m	0.05	1 000 0	50.00	
	5) Formwork	m2/m	0.05	20.0	1.00	
	6) others (5%)	1112/111	0.05	20.0	16.70	
	Total	Unit	Cost for Constr	uction (US\$/m)	399.05	
	Total	Oint	Cost for Const	US\$ million	0.399	/km
1.0	G A					
1-2	Case-2	Mandalin nanda				
	Route:	Moutain route				
	Length of Channel:	124.31 km -10.0 m $2/a$				
	Discharge:	Q = 10.0 ms/sec				
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
	1) Excavation of Flatform (Weathered/Rock) Excavation of Tranch	m3/m	26.90	7.0	188.30	
	2) $\frac{\text{Excavation of Trenent}}{(\text{Rock})}$	m3/m	8.90	15.0	133.50	
	3) Concrete	m3/m	1.20	110.0	132.00	
	4) Reinforcing bar	ton/m	0.06	1.000.0	60.00	
	5) Formwork	m2/m	0.06	20.0	1.20	
	6) others (5%)				22.69	
	Total	Unit	Cost for Constr	uction (US\$/m):	537.69	
		C IIII	eost for consu	US\$ million	0.538	/km
2. tunn	el					
	Route	Mountain & Coas	stal route			
	Total Tunnel Length:	Mountain : 18.1k	m. Coastal route	e: 10.7km		
	Discharge:	$\Omega = 5.00 \text{ m}^{3/\text{sec}}$,			
	Diameter of Tunnel:	3.0m				
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0007				
	Tunnel Excavation	2	12.00	70.0	002.00	
	(Rock)	m3	12.90	/0.0	903.00	
	2) Concrete	m3	4.87	160.0	779.20	
	3) Reinforcing bar	ton	0.24	1,100.0	264.00	
	4) Straight Formwork	m2	3.00	30.0	90.00	
	5) Curved Formwork	m2	4.70	40.0	188.00	
	6) Grouting	m	0.50	210.0	105.00	
	7) others (10%)				228.81	
		Unit	Cost for Constr	uction (US\$/m):	2,516.86	
				US\$ million	2.517	/km

Table 2.5 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance (2/5)

	Description	Unit	Quantity	Construction Unit Cost	Unit Cost (US Amou	5\$ million) Int
3. Pum	ping Station (Coastal route)					
3-1	Case-1					
	Route:	Coastal route				
	Pumping Head H:	112.1 m				
	Pump-up Capacity Q:	7.50 m3/sec		Total 3unit / 1uni	it (2.5m3/sec) fo	or Stand-by
	Install Capacity P:	10.09 MW (3 un	nits)	P = 0.012 x Qma	x H (MW)	
	1) Civil works	Total Cost (civi	(l) = 0.34 + 0	$53 x (Qmax)^{0.79} =$	2.94	
	2) Equipment	Total Co	ost (Equipment	$P = 1.22 \ x \ P^{0.80} =$	7.75	
	3) others (5%)				0.53	
	Tota	l Construction Cos	st for Pumping	station (US mill.):	11.231 *	
	*: Total Construction Cost					
3-2	Case-2					
	Route:	Coastal route				
	Pumping Head H:	112.1 m				
	Pump-up Capacity Q:	12.50 m3/sec		Total 5unit / 1uni	it (2.5m3/sec) fo	or Stand-by
	Install Capacity P:	16.82 MW (3 un	nits)	P = 0.012 x Qma	x H (MW)	
	1) Civil works	Total Cost (civi	(l) = 0.34 + 0	$53 x (Qmax)^{0.79} =$	4.24	
	2) Equipment	Total Co	ost (Equipment	$P = 1.22 \ x \ P^{0.80} =$	11.67	
	3) others (5%)				0.80	
	Tota	Construction Cos	st for Pumping	station (US mill.):	16.699 *	
	*: Total Construction Cost					
4. Steel	l Pipe					
4-1	Case-1					
	Route:	Mountain & Coa	astal route			
	Pipe Diameter D:	1.6 m x 1 line				
	Discharge:	5.00 m3/sec				
	Length of Steel Pipe	Mountain: 53.23	3km, Coastal: 2	25.00km		
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
	1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	8.0	00 4.0	32.00	
	2) Excavation of Trench (Rock)	m3/m	8.:	50 10.0	85.00	
	3) Backfill	m3/m	6.:	50 4.0	26.00	
	4) Steel Pipe	-	-	US\$ 1,110/m	1,110.00	
	5) Installation of Pipe	-	-	US\$ 150/m	150.00	
	6) others (5%)				70.15	
	Total	Uni	t Cost for Con	struction (US\$/m):	1,473.15	
				US\$ million	1.473	/km

Table 2.5 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance (3/5)

Description	Unit	Quantity	Construction Unit Cost	Unit Cost (US\$ million) Amount	
4. Steel Pipe					
4-2 Case-2					
Route:	Coastal route				
Pipe Diameter D:	1.8 m x 1 line				
Discharge:	5.00 m3/sec				
Total Length L:	94.5 km				
Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	12.70	4.0	50.80	
$2) \frac{\text{Excavation of Trench}}{(\text{Rock})}$	m3/m	18.00	10.0	180.00	
3) Backfill	m3/m	7.00	4.0	28.00	
4) Steel Pipe	-	-	US\$ 1,500/m	1,500.00	
5) Installation of Pipe	-	-	US\$ 170/m	170.00	
6) others (5%)				96.44	
Total	Uni	t Cost for Constru	uction (US\$/m):	2,025.24	
			US\$ million	2.025	/km
4-3 Case-3					
Route:	Mountain route	(Alternative)			
Pipe Diameter D:	2.0 m x 1 line				
Discharge:	5.00 m3/sec				
Length of Steel Pipe	30.4 km				
Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	8.00	4.0	32.00	
2) (Pock)	m3/m	8.50	10.0	85.00	
3) Backfill	m3/m	6.50	4.0	26.00	
4) Steel Pipe	-	_	US\$ 1.650/m	1.650.00	
5) Installation of Pipe	-	_	US\$ 190/m	190.00	
6) others (5%)				99.15	
Total	Uni	t Cost for Constru	uction (US\$/m):	2,082.15	
			US\$ million	2.082	/km
4-4 Case-4					
Route:	Mountain route	(Alternative)			
Pipe Diameter D:	1.8 m x 1 line				
Discharge:	5.00 m3/sec				
Length of Steel Pipe	94.5 km				
Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	12.70	4.0	50.80	
2) Excavation of Trench (Rock)	m3/m	18.00	10.0	180.00	
3) Backfill	m3/m	7.00	4.0	28.00	
4) Steel Pipe	-	-	US\$ 1,500/m	1,500.00	
5) Installation of Pipe	-	-	US\$ 170/m	170.00	
6) others (5%)				96.44	
Total	Uni	t Cost for Constru	uction (US\$/m): US\$ million	2,025.24 2.025	/km

Table 2.5 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance (4/5)

	Description	Description Unit Quantity Construction Unit Cost		Unit Cost (US\$ million) Amount		
4. Steel	l Pipe					
4-5	Case-5					
	Route:	Mountain & Co	astal route			
	Pipe Diameter D:	1.6 m x 2 lines				
	Discharge:	10.00 m3/sec				
	Total Length L:	Mountain: 53.23	3km, Coastal: 25.	00km		
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
	1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	12.00	4.0	48.00	
	2) $\frac{\text{Excavation of Trench}}{(\text{Rock})}$	m3/m	17.00	10.0	170.00	
	3) Backfill	m3/m	14.00	4.0	56.00	
	4) Steel Pipe	_	US\$ 1.	100/m x 2 pipes	2,200.00	
	5) Installation of Pipe	-	US\$	150/m x 2 pipes	300.00	
	6) others (5%)			I I I	138.70	
	Total	Uni	it Cost for Constr	uction (US\$/m):	2.912.70	
	1000	0		US\$ million	2.913	/km
4-6	Case-6					
	Route:	Mountain route	(Alternative)			
	Pipe Diameter D:	1.8 m x 2 lines				
	Discharge:	10.00 m3/sec				
	Total Length L:	94.5 km				
	Gradient (m/m):	0.0008				
	1) Excavation of Platform (Weathered/Rock)	m3/m	12.70	4.0	50.80	
	2) Excavation of Trench (Rock)	m3/m	18.00	10.0	180.00	
	3) Backfill	m3/m	15.08	4.0	60.31	
	4) Steel Pipe	-	US\$ 1.	$500/m \ x \ 2 \ pipes$	3.000.00	
	5) Installation of Pipe	-	US\$	170/m x 2 pipes	340.00	
	6) others (5%)			I I I	181.56	
	Total	Uni	it Cost for Constr	uction (US\$/m):	3.812.66	
				US\$ million	3.813	/km
5. Siph	on					
5-1	Case-1					
	Route:	Mountain & Co	astal route			
	Discharge:	5.00 m3/sec				
	Length of Channel	Mountain (8.15)	km). Coastal (2.0	km)		
			,,)		
	1) Excavation Soil	m3/m	25.00	4.0	100.00	
	2) Excavation of Rock	m3/m	2.50	10.0	25.00	
	3) Blinding Conrete	m3/m	0.36	100.0	36.00	
	4) Structural Concrete	m3/m	4.11	130.0	534.30	
	5) Reinforcing bar	ton	0.22	1,100.0	245.85	
	6) Formwork	m2/m	3.60	20.0	72.00	
	7) Steel Pipe	-		US\$ 1,100/m	1,100.00	
	8) Installation of Pipe	-		US\$ 150/m	150.00	
	9) others (5%)				113.66	

Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance Scheme

2,386.81

Total

Table 2.5 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for CAÑETE Water Conveyance (5/5)

Description	Unit	Unit Quantity		Unit Cost (US Amou	S\$ million) Int
	Total Constructi	al Construction Cost for Penstock (US\$/mill.):			/km
5-2 Case-2					
Route:	Mountain & Co	oastal route			
Discharge:	10.00 m3/sec				
Length of Channel	Mountain (8.15	ikm), Coastal (2.	0km)		
1) Excavation Soil	m3/m	31.5	0 4.0	126.00	
2) Excavation of Rock	m3/m	3.1	5 10.0	31.50	
3) Blinding Conrete	m3/m	0.72	2 100.0	72.00	
4) Structural Concrete	m3/m	8.2	2 130.0	1,068.60	
5) Reinforcing bar	ton	0.4	5 1,100.0	491.70	
6) Formwork	m2/m	4.54	4 20.0	90.72	
7) Steel Pipe	-	US\$.	1,500/m x 2 pipes	2,200.00	
8) Installation of Pipe	-	US	\$ 170/m x 2 pipes	300.00	
9) others (5%)				219.03	
Total				4,599.55	
	Total Constructi	ion Cost for Pens	stock (US\$/mill.):	4.600	/km

Table 2.6 Cost Breakdown of Irrigation & Agriculture

Summary of Initial Investment Cost for Irrigation and Drainage Works

	Description	Cost: Million US\$
1. Impr	ovement Works in the Valle de Cañete	
1.1	Improvement of the existing facilities	6.71
1.2	Construction of dams at three lagoons	0.58
1.3	Construction of drains to recover saline area	5.58
	Sub-total	12.87
2. Cons	truction of irrigation facilities for the Pampas de Altas de Imperial	
2.1	Construction of irrigation facilities	4.32
2.2	Construction of one dam at Mallococha logoon	0.39
	Sub-total	<u>4.71</u>
3. Cons Chine	truction of irrigation facilities for the Pampas de Concon-Topara and cha Alta	
3.1	Construction of headworks including intake structure	10.13
3.2	Construction of main canal and tunnels	49.7
3.3	Construction of secondary canals	23.54
3.4	Installation of mechanical irrigation system including pumping station	47.11
3.5	Soil improvement and land grading	8.02
3.6	Miscellaneous works	8.1
	Sub-total	<u>146.6</u>
	TOTAL	164.18

Table 2.7 Operation & Maintenance Cost for Water Conveyance (Mountain Route)

Description	Construction Cost	O/M cost	
	Amount	per Year	
Water Conveyance (5.0 m3/sec to Lima)			
1 Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	5.200	0.052	
2 Open Channel	49.600	0.496	
3 Pipe Line	78.423	0.157	
4 Tunnel	45.558	0.046	
5 Siphon & Chamber	19.454	0.039	
6 Access Road	10.300	0.052	
sub-total	208.534		
7 Mobilization (10.0%)	20.853		
8 Unmeasured (5.0%)	10.427		
sub-total	239.814		
9 Administration & Engineering Service (10	%) 23.981		
10 Physical Contingency (10%)	23.981		
11 Price Contingency (3%)	7.194		
Total	294.971	0.842	

CAÑETE Scheme Project Cost for Water Conveyance

CAÑETE Scheme

Project Cost for Water Conveyance

	Description	Construction Cost	O/M cost
		Amount	per Year
Wa	ter Conveyance (10.0 m3/sec to Lima)		
1	Intake & Desanding Basin (Zuniga)	5.200	0.052
2	Open Channel	66.879	0.669
3	Pipe Line	155.088	0.31
4	Tunnel	45.558	0.046
5	Siphon & Chamber	37.490	0.075
6	Access Road	10.300	0.052
	sub-total	320.515	
7	Mobilization (10.0%)	32.051	
8	Unmeasured (5.0%)	16.026	
	sub-total	368.592	
9	Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	36.859	
10	Physical Contingency (10%)	36.859	
11	Price Contingency (3%)	11.058	
	Total	453.368	1.203

Table 2.8Cost Breakdown and O&M Costs of Mantaro Wate Conveyance

	(US\$ million)			
Description	Construction Cost	O/M cost		
	Amount	per Year		
Water Conveyance (50.0m3/sec to Lima)				
1 Intake	18.000	0.180		
2 Open Channel				
-Type-1	0.520	0.005		
-Type-2	2.401	0.024		
-Type-3	3.683	0.037		
3 Tunnel	27.435	0.027		
4 Pumping Station (Carispaccha to Marcapomacocha)	28.238	0.565		
4-1 Maintenance Cost (Pumping Station Energy Cost)		5.020		
5 Transmission Line	3.000	0.015		
6 Substation and Communication	1.700	0.009		
7 Penstock	9.486	0.019		
8 Upper Aqueduct (Channel & Head Pond)	2.075	0.021		
9 Dam				
-Carispaccha Dam	20.600	0.103		
-Marcapomacocha Dam	25.700	0.129		
10 Access Road	4.560	0.023		
11 Relocation of Marcapomacocha Town	6.682			
sub-total	154.08			
12 Mobilization (10.0%)	15.408			
13 Unmeasured (5.0%)	7.704			
sub-total	177.192			
14 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)	17.719			
15 Physical Contingency (10%)	17.719			
16 Price Contingency (3%)	5.316			
Total	217.946	6.176		

MONTARO Scheme Project Cost for Water Conveyance

Table 2.9 Summary of Construction Cost of Mantaro Water Conveyance

						(US\$ million)
Description	Un	it Cost	Quant	ity	Amount	Remarks
Water Conveyance (5.0m3/sec to Lima)						
1 Intake	3.000 U	S\$ mill./Intake	6.000 1	ios.	18.000	
2 Open Channel						
-Type-1	0.113 U	S\$ mill./km	4.600 1	cm	0.520	
-Type-2	0.207 U	S\$ mill./km	11.600 1	cm	2.401	
-Type-3	0.186 U	S\$ mill./km	19.800 1	cm	3.683	
3 Tunnel	2.517 U	S\$ mill./km	10.900 1	cm	27.435	
4 Pumping Station (Carispaccha to Marcapomacocha	-	-	-	-	28.238 (Qmax=10.0m3/sec
5 Transmission Line	0.200 U	S\$ mill./km	15.000 1	cm	3.000	
6 Substation and Communication	-	-	-	-	1.700	
7 Penstock	-	-	-	-	9.486	
8 Upper Aqueduct (Channel & Head Pond)	-	-	-	-	2.075	
9 Dam						
-Carispaccha Dam	-	-	-	-	20.600 b	y Simple Equation
-Marcapomacocha Dam	-	-	-	-	25.700 b	y Simple Equation
10 Access Road	0.050 U	S\$ mill./km	91.200 1	cm	4.560	
11 Relocation by Marcapomacocha Town					6.682	
sub-total					154.080	
12 Mobilization (10.0%					15.408	
13 Unmeasured (5.0%)					7.704	
sub-total					177.192	
14 Administration & Engineering Service (10%)					17.719	
15 Physical Contingency (10%)					17.719	
16 Price Contingency (3%)					5.316	
Total					217.946	

MANTARO Water Conveyance

	(Construction Cost	/ Unit Cost for			
	Description	Unit	Ouantity	Construction Un	it Cost (US\$)	Remarks
	Description	Chit	Quantity	Unit Cost	Amount	
1. Op	en Channel (Trapezoidal Type)					
1-1 Ch	annel Type -1					
Sec	ction	Yanacanch	na Intake - Richi	s Intake (4.6 km)		
Dis	scharge:	Qmax < 1.	.50 m3/sec			
Gra	adient (m/m)	0.0008				
1)	Excavation of Platform (Soil / Weathered)	m3/m	6.00	4.0	24.00	
2)	Excavation of Trench (Weathered / Rock)	m3/m	2.60	10.0	26.00	
3)	Concrete	m3	0.45	110.0	49.50	
4)	Reinforcing bar:	ton	0.009	1,000.0	9.00	
5)	Others (5%)				4.98	
	Total	Un	it Cost for Cons	truction (US\$/m)	113.48	
				US\$ million	0.113	/km
1-2 Ch	annel Type -2					
Sec	ction	Shoclay In	itake - Morada I	ntake - Carispaccha	a Dam (11.6 kn	n)
Dis	scharge:	Qmax < 4.	.90 m3/sec			
Gra	adient (m/m)	0.0010				
1)	Excavation of Platform (Soil / Weathered)	m3	11.70	4.0	46.80	
2)	Excavation of Trench (Weathered / Rock)	m3	5.90	10.0	59.00	
3)	Concrete	m3	0.71	110.0	78.10	
4)	Reinforcing bar:	ton	0.014	1,000.0	14.20	
5)	Others (5%)				9.20	
	Total	Un	it Cost for Cons	truction (US\$/m)	207.30	
				US\$ million	0.207	/km
1-3 Ch	annel Type -3					
Sec	ction	Taprasa - A	Atapa —Macuri	- CarispacchaDam	ı (19.8 km)	
Dis	scharge:	Qmax < 3.	20 m3/sec			
Gra	adient (m/m)	0.0004				
1)	Excavation of Platform	m3	6.60	4.0	26.40	
	(Soil / Weathered)					
2)	Excavation of Trench	m3	5.90	10.0	59.00	
	(Weathered / Rock)					
3)	Concrete	m3	0.71	110.0	78.10	
4)	Reinforcing bar:	ton	0.014	1,000.0	14.20	
5)	Others (5%)				8.18	
	Total	Un	it Cost for Cons	truction (US\$/m)	185.88	
				US\$ million	0.186	/km

Table 2.10 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for Mantaro Water Conveyance (1/3)

	Constru	ction Cost / Unit Cost for	or		
	MANTARO	Water Conveyance Sc	heme		
Description	Unit	Quantity	Construction Unit	Remarks	
			Unit Cost	Amount	
2. Tunnel					
Total Tunnel Length:	10.9 km				
Discharge:	Qmax = 5.00	m3/sec			
Diameter of Tunnel:	3.0 m				
Gradient (m/m):	0.0007				
1) Tunnel Excavation (Rock)	m3	12.90	70.0	903.00	
2) Concrete	m3	4.87	160.0	779.20	
3) Reinforcing bar	ton	0.24	1,100.0	267.85	
4) Straight Formwork	m2	3.00	30.0	90.00	
5) Curved Formwork	m2	4.70	40.0	188.00	
6) Grouting	m	0.50	120.0	60.00	
7) Others (10%)				228.81	
		Unit Cost for C	onstruction (US\$/m)	2,516.86	
			US\$ million	2.517	/km
 3. Pumping Station (Carispaccha to Pumping Head H: Pump-up Capacity Qmax: Install Capacity P: 1) Civil Works 2) Equipment 3) Others (5%) 	Marcapomaco 257 m 12.50 m3/sec 38.55 MW (5 <i>Total Cost (c.</i> <i>Total Cost (E</i> Total Constr	(Units) P=0.012 x Quite $(V_{ivil}) = 0.34 + 0.53 x (Q_{ivil}) = 0.34 + 0.53 x (Q_{ivil}) = 1.22 x P^{0.3}$ (Units) Second se	max x H (MW) max) ^{0.79} = ⁸⁰ = g Station (US\$/mill.)	4.24 22.66 1.34 28.238	*
4. Penstock (Carispaccha to Marcap Pipe Diameter D: Total Length L:	o macocha) 2.0 m 1.81 km				
Head H:	257 m				
1) Excavation of Soil / Weathered	m3	130,000.0	4.0	520,000.00	
2) Excavation of Rock	m3	160,000.0	10.0	1,600,000.00	
3) Backfill	m3	260,000.0	4.0	1,040,000.00	
4) Concrete	m3	5,500.0	110.0	605,000.00	
5) Formwork	m2	6,950.0	30.0	208,500.00	
6) Reinforcing bar	ton	110.0	1,100.0	121,000.00	
7) Steel Penstock	ton	1,235.0	4,000.0	4,940,000.00	

Table 2.10 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for Mantaro Water Conveyance (2/3)

*: Total Construction Cost

8) Others (5%)

Total

Total Construction Cost for Penstock (US\$/mill.)

451,725.00

9.486 *

9,486,225.00

Table 2.10 Unit Price / Construction Cost Analysis for Mantaro Water Conveyance (3/3)

	MANTARO	Water Conveyance Sci	heme				
Description	Unit	Unit Quantity		Cost (US\$)	Remarks		
			Unit Cost	Amount			
5. Upper Aqueduct (Channel & H	leadpond)						
Section:	Head pond to	Head pond to Marcapomacocha Dam					
Discharge:	10.0 m3/sec	10.0 m3/sec					
Туре	Rectangular	Channel					
Gradient (m/m):	0.0020						
1) Excavation of Soil	m3	57,000.0	4.0	228,000.00			
2) Excavation of Rock	m3	3,100.0	10.0	31,000.00			
3) Concrete	m3	5,700.0	130.0	741,000.00			
4) Reinforcing bar	ton	285.0	1,100.0	313,500.00			
5) Formwork	m2	19,100.0	30.0	573,000.00			
6) Others (10%)				118,650.00			
Total				2,075,150.00			
	Total Constru	ction Cost for Upper A	queduct (US\$/mill.)	2.075	*		

Construction Cost / Unit Cost for MANTARO Water Conveyance Schem

*: Total Construction Cost

FIGURES









JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY