### 2.5 Field Identification

The field identification work was conducted to identify the unclear points in the photo interpretation and to collect the information available only from the field. In addition, the secular changes in the roads and power transmission lines that had been newly constructed were also surveyed.
(1) Preparation of overlays

Polyester-based overlays were attached to the two times enlarged photos used in the photo interpretation and the results of photo interpretation were transferred on the overlays. These overlays were brought to the field for field identification.

1) The administrative boundaries, major terrains, names of rivers, and public facilities were transferred from the existing materials onto the overlays.
2) The categories of road, and the names and categories of villages and hamlets were transcribed.
(2) Field identification
3) Examination of the unclear points in the photo interpretation.
4) Identification of the results of photo interpretation
5) Identification of public and governmental facilities

If the position displayed was unclear, the coordinates were measured using a handy GPS unit.
4) Survey of displayed positions and names of villages

Village names were surveyed using a voice recorder and the spelling checked later.
5) Confirmation of categories of cultivated land and land covered with weeds
(3) Arrangement of the results of field identification

In the field identification, additions, deletions and corrections to the items filled onto the overlays were made and arranged.
(4) Checking and inspection

The field identification maps were checked and inspected to make sure that there was no oversight or loss in each acquired item. The results were compiled into a quality control sheet in the same way as for photo interpretation.

### 2.6 Geoid Survey

In this Study, the survey using the GPS was made. The GPS is configured adopting the earth ellipsoid centering the gravity center of the earth (WGS-84). However, the topographic maps produced in this Study adopted the reference ellipsoid (CLARKE 1880) as specified by Mali. As the latitude and longitude values obtained in the GPS survey were the coordinate values on WGS-84, those values had to be transformed into the coordinate values on the CLARKE 1880 ellipsoid for practical use. For elevation values, topographic survey should have been implemented by direct leveling in the entire area, however the area was too extensive. Thus, the topographic survey was made using the GPS sensor.

For this purpose, the parameters to obtain the orthometric elevations from the coordinate transformation between ellipsoids and the ellipsoidal elevation measured by the GPS were required. The survey to obtain these parameters is called "geoid survey".

### 2.6.1 Transformation of Ellipsoid

To obtain the parameter for transformation of two ellipsoids, the ground control point having the 3-dimensional coordinate values of both ellipsoids are required. In this Study, the point P272 located at approximately 5 km northwest of the Kita City that was used in the 12th parallel survey was adopted as the ground control point for coordinate transformation. The coordinate values of both ellipsoids around the point P272 are shown below.


Fig. 2.6.1 Model diagram of transformation between ellipsoids

The steps to obtain the parameters for transformation between the ellipsoids will be described below.
(1) Obtain the 3-dimensional coordinates of the earth center from the latitude, longitude and ellipsoid elevation as measured on the WGS-84 ellipsoid.
(2) Obtain the 3-dimensional coordinates of the same point from the latitude, longitude and elevation values on the CLARKE 1880 ellipsoid.
(3) Obtain the difference between both 3-dimensional coordinate values, which are the shift values of origins $(\Delta \mathrm{X}, \Delta \mathrm{Y}, \Delta \mathrm{Z})$.

Table 2.6.1 Calculations of parameters for transformation between ellipsoids

| Item | WGS-84 | CLARKE1880 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Semi major axis (m) | 6378137.000 | 6378249.145 |
| Flattering | $1 / 298.257223563$ | $1 / 293.465$ |
| Latitude | $13^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 41.5754{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\circ} 5^{\prime} 40.629^{\prime}$ |
| Longitude | $-9^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 43.2326^{\prime} "$ | $-9^{\circ} 30^{\prime} 38.238^{\prime \prime}$ |
| Elevation (m) | 453.399 | 393.87 |
| X (m) | 6128357.0205 | 6128456.1142 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{m})$ | -1026855.7584 | -1026719.7991 |
| $\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{(m)}$ | 1435733.8248 | 1435563.5443 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{m})$ | 99.094 |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{m})$ | 135.960 |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{(m)}$ | -170.281 |  |

### 2.6.2 Geoid Elevation

As shown in Fig. 2.6.2, the elevation measured by the GPS survey is the height above the ellipsoid. As the ellipsoidal plane is not coincident with the geoidal plane in principle, it is impossible to obtain the orthometric elevation that can be obtained in leveling. Thus, it is necessary to make the geoid survey to know how far the geoidal plane is from the ellipsoidal plane. In this Study, the height above the ellipsoid measured by GPS survey and the elevation measured by leveling are obtained and the undulation on the geoid from the CLARKE 1880 ellipsoid were presumed.

The steps for this procedure are as follows:
(1) Make the GPS survey on the benchmark and obtain the 3-dimensional coordinates on WGS-84.
(2) Transform the coordinates from WGS-84 into CLARKE 1880 and obtain the height above the ellipsoid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$ on the CLARKE 1880 ellipsoid.
(3) Obtain the geoid elevation $(\mathrm{N})$ at the point from the difference between the height above the ellipsoid and the orthometric elevation $\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)$ measured by leveling.
(4) Conduct this measurement in the entire target area, plot the geoid elevation at each point on the $1 / 50,000$ topographic map and represent the undulation as the contour line to create the geoid map.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{0}: \quad \text { Orthometric height } \\
& \mathrm{N}: \quad \text { Geoid elevation } \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}: \quad \text { Height above ellipsoid } \\
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}+\mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fig. 2.6.2 Height above ellipsoid and geoid elevation

The actual work was conducted in the following steps:
(1) Reconnaissance of existing benchmark (first-year work)

The railway runs from east to west through the Kita area located at the center of the study area. Along this railroad, the first order leveling route extends and the second order leveling route runs outside the study area in the north, and the third order leveling route passes around Manantali dam in the west of the study area. Therefore, it was decided to set the leveling routes in the north and the south where the road extends to the north from Kita and along the road running in the southwest direction. There was a benchmark Mle103 in the vicinity of the planned leveling route around Kita. As the result of measuring this point and the adjacent benchmark Mle104, the measuring error was only 1 mm . Therefore, the point Mle103 was adopted as the origin for this area. The route bound for Diéma in the north was set as Route 1 and the benchmark 28-T on the leveling route passing through Diéma was set as the end of the route. As the result of measuring the benchmark 28-T and the adjacent benchmark 27-T, the measuring error was 21 mm . The route connecting from Kita to the southwest was set as Route 2 with the end set to the third order benchmark 36 in Bantakoto. The adjacent benchmark 37 to this point 36 was measured, resulting in the measuring error of 7 mm . The route from Kita to Gale in the south was set as Route 3. There was no existing benchmark at the end of this route so that the route could not be enclosed at any benchmark. As this survey was aimed at obtaining the geoid undulation, the benchmark obtained by round-trip survey was adopted.
(2) Monumentation of benchmarks (first-year work)

On Route 1 from Kita to Diéma in the north, 21 benchmarks were established in about $10-\mathrm{km}$ intervals over the distance of $200.1 \mathrm{~km}, 9$ benchmarks in about 10-km intervals over the distance of 55.6 km on Route 2 up to Bantakoto, and 6 benchmarks over the distance of 88.4 km on Route 3 up to Galé. The specifications of monument of stones as the benchmarks are shown as follows. In addition, 4 benchmarks were laid each in Kita City and in the City of Diéma for the purpose of use for the future city development.


Fig. 2.6.3 Index of new and existing benchmarks
（3）Third order leveling（first－year work）
On three routes Route 1，Route 2 and Route 3 on which benchmark stones were laid，the third order leveling was conducted over the total distance of 376.9 km ．


Fig．2．6．4 Leveling

Table 2．6．2 New benchmarks and elevations

| Route | Benchmark | Orthometric Elevation | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Route 1 | Mle103 | 332.845 | Existing benchmarks |
|  | B101 | 314.126 |  |
|  | B102 | 332.548 |  |
|  | B103 | 354.737 |  |
|  | B104 | 330.653 |  |
|  | B105 | 324.403 |  |
|  | B106 | 334.053 |  |
|  | B107 | 290.039 |  |
|  | B108 | 192.043 |  |
|  | B109 | 230.188 |  |
|  | B110 | 268.129 |  |
|  | B111 | 279.962 |  |
|  | B112 | 244.853 |  |
|  | B113 | 255.639 |  |
|  | B114 | 275.066 |  |
|  | B115 | 296.005 |  |
|  | B116 | 292.879 |  |
|  | B117 | 298.416 |  |
|  | B118 | 290.719 |  |
|  | B119 | 273.965 |  |
|  | B120 | 261.771 |  |
|  | B121 | 253.373 |  |
|  | $28-T$ | 252.713 | Existing benchmarks |
| $⿰ ⿱ ⿱ ㇒ 日 \zh20 十 ⿱ ⿱ ⿰ ㇒ 一 十 凵 木 \mid$ |  |  |  |


| Route | Benchmark | Orthometric Elevation | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Route 2 | Mle103 | 332.845 | Existing benchmarks |
|  | B201 | 305.620 |  |
|  | B202 | 317.709 |  |
|  | B203 | 281.206 |  |
|  | B204 | 283.408 |  |
|  | B205 | 319.444 |  |
|  | B206 | 292.234 |  |
|  | B207 | 275.831 |  |
|  | B208 | 266.471 |  |
|  | B209 | 266.537 |  |
|  | 36 | 273.027 | Existing benchmarks |
| Route 3 | M1e103 | B301 | 332.845 |
|  | B302 | 302.644 |  |
|  | B303 | 302.435 |  |
|  | B304 | 285.347 |  |
|  | B305 | 322.126 |  |
|  | B306 | 309.257 |  |
|  | B1001 | 331.390 | in Kita City |
|  | B1002 | 329.793 | in Kita City |
|  | B1003 | 352.146 | in Kita City |
|  | B1004 | 352.409 | in Kita City |
|  | B2001 | 251.307 | in Diéma City |
|  | B2002 | 252.416 | in Diéma City |
|  | B2003 | 251.826 | in Diéma City |
|  | B2004 | 251.717 | in Diéma City |

(4) GPS survey on benchmarks and pricking (second-year work)

The GPS survey was conducted on the points where leveling was made to obtain their geoidal elevations and on the existing benchmarks.


Fig. 2.6.5 GPS survey

Table 2.6.3 Benchmarks and heights above ellipsoid (Clarke 1880 ellipsoid) by GPS survey

| Benchmark | X (Easting) | Y (Northing) | Height above Ellipsoid | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BM005 | 299,003.648 | 1,398,294.512 | 263.510 | Existing benchmark |
| BM007 | 309,817.658 | 1,397,209.149 | 257.975 | Existing benchmark |
| BM019 | 319,903.252 | 1,342,724.406 | 463.145 | Existing benchmark |
| BM020 | 320,764.248 | 1,336,809.783 | 401.775 | Existing benchmark |
| BM034 | 374,422.342 | 1,414,248.804 | 230.759 | Existing benchmark |
| BM036 | 379,908.067 | 1,403,535.144 | 273.027 | Existing benchmark |
| BM038 | 368,819.073 | 1,407,485.633 | 219.481 | Existing benchmark |
| BM042 | 364,827.717 | 1,390,501.105 | 227.377 | Existing benchmark |
| BM043 | 363,957.774 | 1,387,061.646 | 237.802 | Existing benchmark |
| BM059 | 323,926.457 | 1,323,090.225 | 339.491 | Existing benchmark |
| BM082 | 404,822.828 | 1,486,859.129 | 179.571 | Existing benchmark |
| BM087 | 419,911.775 | 1,480,196.342 | 218.770 | Existing benchmark |
| BM092 | 429,205.099 | 1,467,682.524 | 297.251 | Existing benchmark |
| BM096 | 434,675.265 | 1,455,541.879 | 291.936 | Existing benchmark |
| BM114 | 475,817.357 | 1,433,537.911 | 329.710 | Existing benchmark |
| B101 | 447,463.541 | 1,450,768.163 | 314.126 | New benchmark |
| B102 | 451,103.641 | 1,459,236.707 | 332.584 | New benchmark |
| B103 | 449,476.682 | 1,466,689.831 | 354.737 | New benchmark |
| B104 | 449,597.482 | 1,476,922.896 | 330.653 | New benchmark |
| B105 | 445,855.506 | 1,485,695,107 | 324.403 | New benchmark |
| B106 | 444,626.701 | 1,493,596.013 | 334.053 | New benchmark |
| B107 | 438,715.000 | 1,501,473.783 | 290.039 | New benchmark |
| B108 | 437,356.805 | 1,514,616.427 | 192.043 | New benchmark |
| B109 | 436,516.819 | 1,522,985.770 | 230.188 | New benchmark |
| B110 | 437,922.484 | 1,532,242.483 | 268.129 | New benchmark |
| B111 | 441,332.017 | 1,541,397.468 | 279.962 | New benchmark |
| B112 | 440,603.188 | 1,546,753.034 | 244.853 | New benchmark |
| B113 | 441,567,200 | 1,554,476.020 | 255.639 | New benchmark |
| B114 | 445,899.778 | 1,561,082.362 | 275.066 | New benchmark |
| B115 | 451,689.547 | 1,570,512.179 | 296.005 | New benchmark |
| B116 | 458,939.194 | 1,576,505.359 | 292.879 | New benchmark |
| B117 | 464,540.427 | 1,582,906.614 | 298.416 | New benchmark |
| B118 | 467,410.629 | 1,587,441.819 | 290.719 | New benchmark |
| B119 | 471,799.722 | 1,593,574.248 | 273.965 | New benchmark |
| B120 | 476,318.524 | 1,594,263,828 | 261.771 | New benchmark |
| B121 | 481,066.384 | 1,601,772.035 | 253.373 | New benchmark |
| B201 | 437,030.491 | 1,440,357.843 | 305.620 | New benchmark |
| B202 | 430,151.678 | 1,440,150.044 | 317.709 | New benchmark |
| B203 | 420,968.604 | 1,434,685.517 | 281.206 | New benchmark |
| B204 | 413,298.857 | 1,434,794.670 | 283.408 | New benchmark |
| B205 | 405,118.900 | 1,433,487.675 | 319.444 | New benchmark |
| B206 | 397,569.363 | 1,423,913.352 | 292.234 | New benchmark |
| B207 | 395,669.188 | 1,416,235.815 | 275.831 | New benchmark |
| B208 | 391,843.092 | 1,413,375.100 | 266.471 | New benchmark |
| B209 | 390,113.591 | 1,400,755.677 | 266.537 | New benchmark |
| B301 | 447,268.479 | 1,433,331.681 | 313.644 | New benchmark |
| B302 | 448,629.920 | 1,425,601.485 | 302.365 | New benchmark |
| B303 | 451,477.742 | 1,417,685.452 | 302.435 | New benchmark |
| B304 | 446,248.038 | 1,408,103.317 | 285.347 | New benchmark |


| Benchmark | X (Easting) | Y (Northing) | Height above Ellipsoid | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B305 | $447,018.454$ | $1,401,402.809$ | 322.126 | New benchmark |
| B306 | $445,441.261$ | $1,391,404.812$ | 309.257 | New benchmark |

(5) Calculations and indication of geoidal elevations (second-year work)

The results of the GPS survey made on the benchmarks were transformed into the CLARKE 1880 reference ellipsoid as specified by Mali and the geoidal elevations were obtained from the elevation difference between the heights above the ellipsoid and those measured by leveling.

Table 2.6.4 Calculations of geoidal elevations from height difference between height above ellipsoid and leveled elevations

| Benchmark | Height above Ellipsoid | Leveled Elevations | Geoidal Elevations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P272 | 453.399 | 393.870 | 59.529 |
| BM017 | 537.995 | 478.015 | 59.980 |
| BM019 | 523.200 | 463.145 | 60.055 |
| BM020 | 462.159 | 401.775 | 60.384 |
| BM034 | 290.543 | 230.759 | 59.784 |
| BM036 | 332.612 | 273.027 | 59.585 |
| BM038 | 279.261 | 219.481 | 59.780 |
| BM042 | 287.262 | 227.377 | 59.885 |
| BM043 | 297.626 | 237.802 | 59.824 |
| BM82 | 238.742 | 179.571 | 59.171 |
| BM87 | 277.581 | 218.770 | 58.811 |
| BM92 | 356.548 | 297.251 | 59.297 |
| BM96 | 351.384 | 291.936 | 59.448 |
| BM114 | 389.350 | 329.710 | 59.640 |
| B101 | 373.653 | 314.126 | 59.527 |
| B102 | 392.109 | 332.584 | 59.525 |
| B103 | 414.228 | 354.737 | 59.491 |
| B104 | 390.032 | 330.653 | 59.379 |
| B105 | 383.684 | 324.403 | 59.281 |
| B106 | 393.154 | 334.053 | 59.101 |
| B107 | 348.973 | 290.039 | 58.934 |
| B108 | 250.762 | 192.043 | 58.719 |
| B109 | 288.878 | 230.188 | 58.690 |
| B110 | 326.807 | 268.129 | 58.678 |
| B111 | 338.588 | 279.962 | 58.626 |
| B112 | 303.425 | 244.853 | 58.572 |
| B113 | 314.165 | 255.639 | 58.526 |
| B114 | 333.561 | 275.066 | 58.495 |
| B115 | 354.395 | 296.005 | 58.390 |
| B116 | 351.178 | 292.879 | 58.299 |
| B117 | 356.613 | 298.416 | 58.197 |
| B118 | 348.848 | 290.719 | 58.129 |
| B119 | 332.003 | 273.965 | 58.038 |
| B120 | 319.780 | 261.771 | 58.009 |
| B121 | 311.302 | 253.373 | 57.929 |
| B201 | 365.137 | 305.620 | 59.517 |
| B202 | 377.182 | 317.709 | 59.473 |
| B203 | 340.682 | 281.206 | 59.476 |


| Benchmark | Height above Ellipsoid | Leveled Elevations | Geoidal Elevations |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B204 | 342.837 | 283.408 | 59.429 |
| B205 | 378.873 | 319.444 | 59.429 |
| B206 | 351.726 | 292.234 | 59.492 |
| B207 | 335.413 | 275.831 | 59.582 |
| B208 | 326.068 | 266.471 | 59.597 |
| B209 | 326.149 | 266.537 | 59.612 |
| B301 | 373.217 | 313.644 | 59.573 |
| B302 | 361.931 | 302.365 | 59.566 |
| B303 | 361.989 | 302.435 | 59.554 |
| B304 | 344.928 | 285.347 | 59.581 |
| B305 | 381.727 | 322.126 | 59.601 |
| B306 | 368.954 | 309.257 | 59.697 |
| B1001 | 390.969 | 331.390 | 59.579 |
| B2003 | 309.834 | 251.826 | 58.008 |
| B2004 | 309.814 | 251.717 | 58.097 |

It was clear that the geoidal plane in the study area was located 60 m in average above the CLARKE 1880 ellipsoid.
(6) Production of geoid maps (second-year work)

The geoidal elevations obtained as above were plotted on the $1 / 50,000$ topographic maps. The maximum value of the geoidal elevations was 60.384 m and the minimum value was 57.929 m , and the distance between both points was about 280 km . As described above, it was clear that the geoidal undulation in this study area rose gradually by 2.5 m over 280 km , namely about 10 cm in 10 km intervals. Therefore, the contour lines were depicted in 10 cm intervals to complete the geoid map. The heights above the ellipsoid that were obtained by GPS survey could be corrected with the geoidal correction values that were easily obtained by plotting the measuring points and could also be transformed into the elevation values obtained by leveling.


Fig. 2.6.6 Geoidal undulating map (contour lines at 10 cm intervals)

### 2.7 Ground Control Point Survey

The ground control points were set at the adequate positions to conduct the spatial triangulation using the SPOT images and the coordinates of these ground control points were measured by GPS survey. The steps of this work will be outlined below.
(1) Reconnaissance of existing ground control points

As the existing ground control points in this study area, there are the astronomic points and the traversing points set by the 12th parallel survey. However, the astronomic points had a low accuracy so that it was decided not to use them in this Study. The 12th parallel circle survey was conducted jointly by the U.S.A, France and Mali in 1973 in the area along latitude 12 degrees north from the border with Egypt and Sudan to Dakar in Senegal. By combining a traverse survey with astronomical observation, a highly accurate position can be obtained with a probable error of the mean of 0.3 sec . for the latitude, longitude and azimuth. These traverse stations were therefore used. Thus, the benchmarks set in the first-year work were used as part of the ground control points and new ground control points were established in the area of lack of ground control points.
(2) Selection of ground control points

It was planned to distribute the ground control points uniformly in the surrounding area of each block covered by the SPOT image in order to ensure the accuracy of spatial triangulation to be kept so high as to implement the spatial triangulation using the SPOT satellite images. In the control point distribution plan, the ground control points were selected in considering that the GPS survey could be made, that the access to those points by car was easy and that pricking could be made.
(3) GPS survey and pricking

The ground control point survey was made by GPS survey in accordance with the control point distribution plan. The benchmarks capable of pricking were also adopted as the ground control points and 24 new control points were added. The descriptions of points were prepared for the new benchmarks and ground control points, which were pricked on the SPOT images.
(4) Calculations and arrangement

In calculations for the GPS survey, the SKI software made by Leica was used to obtain the positions on WGS-84 and convert these into the latitude and longitude values and the heights above the ellipsoid on the Clarke ellipsoid. The results of calculations were converted into the UTM coordinates and the orthometric heights for use in the spatial triangulation.
(5) Preparation of Quality control sheet

The survey results were evaluated and the points measured several times were compared with each another to check the measuring accuracy. The results of evaluation were arranged in the Quality control sheet.
(6) Preparation of resulting table

The resulting table of ground control points was prepared.

Table 2.7.1 Coordinate of ground control point and elevation

| Point <br> Name | Latitude |  | Longitude | UTM coordinates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deg.min.sec | Deg.min.sec | Easting | Northing | Elevation |
| B101 | 13726.368 | 93038.238 | $444,646.753$ | $1,447,525.712$ | 393.870 |
| B102 | 13122.282 | 927.904 | $447,463.541$ | $1,450,768.163$ | 314.126 |
| B103 | 13164.820 | 92759.025 | $451,103.641$ | $1,459,236.707$ | 332.584 |
| B104 | 132137.961 | 92755.647 | $449,476.682$ | $1,466,689.831$ | 354.737 |
| B105 | 132623.296 | 9300.637 | $445,855.506$ | $1,47,922.896$ | 330.653 |
| B106 | 133040.420 | 93042.048 | $444,626.701$ | $1,493,596.107$ | 324.403 |
| B107 | 133456.446 | 93359.307 | $438,715.000$ | $1,501,473.783$ | 334.053 |
| B108 | 13424.177 | 93445.543 | $437,358.805$ | $1,514,616.427$ | 192.039 |
| B109 | 134636.557 | 93514.186 | $436,516.819$ | $1,522,985.770$ | 230.188 |
| B110 | 135137.999 | 93428.113 | $437,922.484$ | $1,532,242.483$ | 268.129 |
| B111 | 135636.278 | 93235.222 | $441,332.017$ | $1,541,397.468$ | 279.962 |
| B112 | 135930.560 | 93259.925 | $440,603.188$ | $1,546,753.034$ | 244.853 |
| B113 | 14342.033 | 93228.381 | $441,567.200$ | $1,554,476.020$ | 255.639 |
| B114 | 14717.397 | 9304.389 | $445,899.778$ | $1,561,082.362$ | 275.066 |
| B115 | 141224.741 | 92651.890 | $451,689.547$ | $1,570,512.179$ | 296.005 |
| B116 | 141540.253 | 92250.335 | $458,939.194$ | $1,576,505.359$ | 292.879 |
| B117 | 14198.908 | 91943.708 | $464,540.427$ | $1,582,906.614$ | 298.416 |
| B118 | 142136.668 | 9188.094 | $467,410.629$ | $1,587,441.819$ | 290.719 |
| B119 | 142456.468 | 91541.784 | $471,799.722$ | $1,593,574.248$ | 273.965 |
| B120 | 142519.069 | 91310.896 | $476,318.524$ | $1,594,263.828$ | 261.771 |
| B121 | 142923.613 | 91032.523 | $481,066.384$ | $1,601,772.035$ | 253.373 |
| B201 | 13146.746 | 93450.614 | $437,030.491$ | $1,440,357.843$ | 305.620 |
| B202 | 13139.442 | 93838.967 | $430,151.678$ | $1,440,150.044$ | 317.709 |
| B203 | 125840.743 | 94343.309 | $420,968.604$ | $1,434,685.517$ | 281.206 |
| B204 | 125843.548 | 94757.888 | $413,298.857$ | $1,434,794.670$ | 283.408 |
| B205 | 12580.128 | 95229.237 | $405,118.900$ | $1,433,487.675$ | 319.444 |
| B206 | 125247.587 | 95638.622 | $397,569.363$ | $1,423,913.352$ | 292.234 |
| B207 | 124837.436 | 95740.713 | $395,669.188$ | $1,416,235.815$ | 275.831 |
| B208 | 124710.353 | 95947.274 | $391,843.092$ | $1,413,375.100$ | 266.471 |
| B209 | 124012.835 | 10042.976 | $390,113.591$ | $1,400,755.677$ | 266.537 |
| B301 | 125758.707 | 92910.273 | $447,268.479$ | $1,433,331.681$ | 313.644 |
| B302 | 125347.129 | 92824.610 | $448,629.920$ | $1,425,601.485$ | 302.365 |
| B303 | 124929.582 | 92649.657 | $451,477.742$ | $1,417,685.452$ | 302.435 |
| B304 | 124417.317 | 92944.533 | $446,248.038$ | $1,408,103.317$ | 285.347 |
| B305 | 124039.222 | 92916.569 | $447,018.454$ | $1,401,402.809$ | 322.126 |
| B306 | 123513.628 | 9308.223 | $445,441.261$ | $1,391,404.812$ | 309.257 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Point <br> Name | Latitude | Longitude | UTM coordinates |  | Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Deg.min.sec | Deg.min.sec | Easting | Northing |  |
| BM001 | 124045.734 | 105741.038 | 286,991.280 | 1,402,353.800 | 215.3 |
| BM005 | 123836.499 | 10512.037 | 299,003.648 | 1,398,294.512 | 263.510 |
| BM006 | 123045.747 | 105825.669 | 285,505.856 | 1,383,925.461 | 177.880 |
| BM007 | 12383.601 | 10453.486 | 309,817.658 | 1,397,209.149 | 257.975 |
| BM009 | 12261.635 | 105348.656 | 293,808.239 | 1,375,133.502 | 182.070 |
| BM019 | 12832.567 | 103918.115 | 319,903.252 | 1,342,724.406 | 463,145 |
| BM020 | 12520.244 | 103848.459 | 320,764.248 | 1,336,809.783 | 401.775 |
| BM034 | 124729.923 | 10925.096 | 374,422.342 | 1,414,248.804 | 230.759 |
| BM036 | 124141.963 | 10621.660 | 379,908.067 | 1,403,535.144 | 273.027 |
| BM038 | 124348.949 | 101229.869 | 368,819.073 | 1,407,485.633 | 219.481 |
| BM042 | 123435.496 | 101439.519 | 364,827.717 | 1,390,501.105 | 227.377 |
| BM043 | 123243.408 | 10157.802 | 363,957.774 | 1,387,061.646 | 237.802 |
| BM059 | 115754.344 | 10371.225 | 323,926.457 | 1,323,090.225 | 339.491 |
| BM082 | 132657.450 | 95245.274 | 404,822.828 | 1,486,859.129 | 179.571 |
| BM087 | 132322.172 | 94422.835 | 419,911.775 | 1,480,196.342 | 218.770 |
| BM092 | 131635.656 | 93912.764 | 429,205.099 | 1,467,682.524 | 297.251 |
| BM096 | 13100.876 | 93610.008 | 434,675.265 | 1,455,541.879 | 291.936 |
| BM114 | 12586.712 | 91322.688 | 475,817.357 | 1,433,537.911 | 329.710 |
| G001 | 131151.362 | 102611.392 | 344,330.497 | 1,459,302.940 | 165.5 |
| G002 | 14812.430 | 94330.987 | 421,720.139 | 1,562,836.156 | 243.0 |
| G003 | 14816.878 | 94944.592 | 410,518.944 | 1,563,009.921 | 257.8 |
| G005 | 14129.800 | 10824.264 | 376,886.121 | 1,550,643.819 | 210.6 |
| G006 | 13453.832 | 9625.550 | 488,421.891 | 1,520,062.553 | 262.9 |
| G007 | 134823.789 | 95125.192 | 407,370.286 | 1,526,367.549 | 189.8 |
| G008 | 133822.807 | 101256.700 | 368,496.675 | 1,508,070.537 | 132.0 |
| G009 | 132323.074 | 957.723 | 490,745.053 | 1,480,105.922 | 335.7 |
| G010 | 131554.907 | 9441.325 | 491,534.686 | 1,466,339.475 | 335.7 |
| G012 | 131314.988 | 104723.581 | 306,043.233 | 1,462,119.051 | 117.1 |
| G013 | 124048.730 | 91321.734 | 475,818.597 | 1,401,655.632 | 332.0 |
| G014 | 123045.073 | 9718.676 | 486,760.354 | 1,383,107.134 | 327.2 |
| G015 | 123515.610 | 9486.426 | 412,907.697 | 1,391,546.375 | 336.8 |
| G016 | 122729.241 | 94448.996 | 418,824.598 | 1,377,203.332 | 419.7 |
| G017 | 122741.606 | 10339.730 | 384,688.468 | 1,377,699.394 | 365.1 |
| G021 | 121545.262 | 1110.514 | 280,620.853 | 1,356,287.252 | 182.2 |
| G023 | 115416.451 | 103815.878 | 321,628.305 | 1,316,408.795 | 310.3 |
| G025 | 12148.758 | 95731.877 | 395,705.000 | 1,352,687.879 | 388.8 |
| G026 | 132627.653 | 10658.961 | 379,146.430 | 1,486,047.703 | 183.0 |
| G027 | 12263.981 | 84425.809 | 528,203.266 | 1,374,484.217 | 502.7 |
| G028 | 12213.414 | 93118.049 | 443,283.025 | 1,365,293.949 | 348.6 |
| G030 | 123728.103 | 85122.316 | 515,617.428 | 1,395,487.369 | 384.1 |
| G031 | 131152.765 | 95523.512 | 399,961.173 | 1,459,084.459 | 303.3 |
| G262 | 122453.424 | 85242.131 | 513,220.256 | 1,372,306.357 | 428.2 |



Fig. 2.7.1 Location map of ground control points

### 2.8 Survey of Supplementary Elevation Points

Since the elevation values in the SPOT images had no sufficient accuracy to depict the 13 m - and the $20 \mathrm{~m}-$ contour lines according to the past research, about 273 additional elevation points (including 24 ground control points) were surveyed in order to enhance the elevation accuracy. Care was taken to distribute these supplementary elevation points uniformly across the entire study area. The results of GPS survey were transformed into the coordinates on the CLARKE ellipsoid and corrected using the geoid map in order to obtain the elevations. The obtained elevations were used as the check data for the contour lines depicted in the digital plotting process.

### 2.9 Spatial Triangulation

The index elements of each SPOT image were obtained to execute the digital plotting using the SPOT images. 20 scenes of stereo images were lent by JICA to the Study Team, of which it was confirmed that 19 scenes could cover the entire study area. Therefore, spatial triangulation for the 19 scenes of SPOT images was executed to determine the index elements of each image and the coordinates of pass points and tie points. The spatial triangulation was conducted in Japan.

The actual work of spatial triangulation was carried out in the following procedure:

## (1) Preparation

First, the density of the satellite images was adjusted to standardize the density of each image scene using the filtering function of the image processing software PHOTOSHOP.

Then, the ground control point file was made up, in which the ground control points were divided into the control points with XYH coordinates obtained in the GPS observation and the benchmarks having only the heights.

## (2) Point selection and observation

From the images for which the density was adjusted, the ground control points and pass points were selected and relative orientation was made using these points. For transcription of the ground control points, the satellite images on which the description of points (GPS points and benchmarks) and pricking were made were referred to. Furthermore, 3 or more tie points were added between courses to strengthen the connections of these.
(3) Adjustment

All the scenes of the satellite images were subject to the absolute orientation using the spatial triangulation software SOCET SET (Leica Helava, Switzerland) for satellite images to perform adjustment calculations.

The result of adjustment was verified and the ground control points with large residual errors were checked and re-measured, and it was verified that the residual errors were within the limits before the adjustment was finished.

The header of the satellite image data records the orbital elements. Some of the 19 scenes were acquired on the same orbit and these are called segments. A total of 11 segments were acquired in this study. Adjustment computation can be conducted for each scene, but the ground control points can be minimized if the same segment (two or more scenes) is treated as one scene. In this study, therefore, aerial triangulation software for satellite images was used to incorporate orbital elements into the adjustment computation.

The number of points used for the adjustment and the overall standard deviations are as follows:

Table 2.9.1 Results of adjustment computation by aerial triangulation

| Input | Number of pass points | 547 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Number of control points on plane | 23 |  |
|  | Number of control points for height | 43 |  |
|  | Number of tie points | 102 |  |
| Output | Standard deviation | X | 9.558 m |
|  |  | Y | 14.228 m |
|  |  | H | 5.095 m |

The table of final results and the quality control sheet were compiled based on these results.

### 2.10 Creation of Digital Terrain Models

The results of spatial triangulation and the SPOT satellite images were used to create the digital terrain models (DTMs) with 100m grids using the Supresoft (China) automatic DTM generation program. The steps to create the DTMs will be described below.
(1) Inner-model measurement

Automatic generation of elevations above the earth's surface was performed by stereo matching for each model. As the points are selected to enable image correlation, the elevation of random points (TIN) is measured.
Points where the image was unclear or the texture uniform were excluded from automatic elevation generation at first because their matching accuracy is low. In wooded areas where the elevation of the tree tops is measured, a 3-dimensional model was generated by a digital plotter and the elevation above the earth's surface was measured and corrected manually.

The parts of the satellite images that could not be subject to 3-dimensional observation due to the smoke of field burning and clouds were supplemented with the 3-dimensional data measured in aerial photography.
(2) DEM data integration

Square grid DEM data were created from the TIN data generated for each model and were merged into one to make a DEM file.
(3) Acquisition of break lines

Geographic lines such as main roads, rivers, ridges and swamp lines were acquired as break lines in order to create contour lines that accurately represent the topographic features.
(4) Creation of DTMs

The 100 m -interval DTMs were created from the merged DEM file, and the break line data was combined to the DTMs to create the topographic data (rivers, contour lines, etc.).

