JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

STATE WATER DIRECTORATE CROATIAN WATERS THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

THE STUDY FOR WATER POLLUTION REDUCTION ON THE SAVA RIVER BASIN IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

FINAL REPORT

Vol. 1 : EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUGUST 2001

CTI ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. IN ASSOCIATION WITH NIHON SUIDO CONSULTANTS CO., LTD.

| SSS |
|----------|
| JR |
| 01 – 111 |

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY (JICA)

STATE WATER DIRECTORATE CROATIAN WATERS THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

THE STUDY FOR WATER POLLUTION REDUCTION ON THE SAVA RIVER BASIN IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

FINAL REPORT

Vol. 1 : EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUGUST 2001

CTI ENGINEERING INTERNATIONAL CO., LTD. IN ASSOCIATION WITH NIHON SUIDO CONSULTANTS CO., LTD.

EXCHANGE RATE

The currency exchange rates used in this Study are:

US Dollar (US\$) 1.00 = Japanese Yen (¥) 116

= Croatian Kuna (Kn.) 8.3

As of February 2001

PREFACE

In response to a request from the Government of the Republic of Croatia, the Government of Japan decided to conduct the Study for Water Pollution Reduction on the Sava River Basin and entrusted the study to the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA).

JICA selected and dispatched a study team headed by Mr. Naohito Murata of CTI Engineering International Co., Ltd., and consisting of members from CTI Engineering International Co., Ltd. and Nihon Suido Consultants Co., Ltd., to the Republic of Croatia three times between October 2000 to July 2001. In addition, JICA set up an advisory committee headed by Mr. Shigeharu Iinoue, Senior Researcher, Urban Development Corporation, between September 2000 and August 2001, which examined the study from specialist and technical points of view.

The team held discussions with the officials concerned of the Government of the Republic of Croatia and conducted field surveys at the study area. Upon returning to Japan, the team conducted further studies and prepared this final report.

I hope that this report will contribute to the promotion of the project and to the enhancement of friendly relationship between our two countries.

Finally, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to the officials concerned of the Government of the Republic of Croatia for their close cooperation extended to the team.

August, 2001

Kunihiko Saito President Japan International Cooperation Agency

August, 2001

Mr. Kunihiko Saito President Japan International Cooperation Agency Tokyo, Japan

Sir:

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

We are pleased to submit herewith the Final Report on the Study for Water Pollution Reduction on the Sava River Basin in the Republic of Croatia.

The study was conducted by CTI Engineering International Co., Ltd. in association with Nihon Suido Consultants Co., Ltd., under contracts with JICA during the period from September 2000 to August 2001. In conducting the study, particular attention was paid to the formulation of a master plan, complying with the required conditions for the country to join the European Union in the future. A feasibility study was also conducted on the urgent sewerage development projects of the priority five (5) towns.

We wish to take this opportunity to express our sincere gratitude to the Government of Japan, particularly, JICA, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, and other offices concerned. We also wish to express our deep appreciation to the State Water Directorate and the Croatian Waters, the Ministry of Environment, and other authorities concerned of the Government of Croatia for their close cooperation and assistance extended to the JICA study team during the study.

Finally, we hope that this report will contribute to the further promotion of the project.

Very truly yours,

村口上人

Naohito Murata Leader, JICA Study Team CTI Engineering International Co., Ltd.

Encl. : a/s



COMPOSITION OF REPORT

Vol. 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Vol. 2 MAIN REPORT

Vol. 3 SUPPORTING REPORT (APPENDIX A TO K)

- APPENDIX A Socio-economy
- APPENDIX B Water Quality and Pollution Mechanism
- APPENDIX C Industrial Wastewater Treatment
- APPENDIX D Sewerage Development (Master Plan Study)
- APPENDIX E Sewerage Development (Feasibility Study)
- APPENDIX F Water Quality Monitoring and GIS Data Base
- APPENDIX G Institutional Aspects
- APPENDIX H Economic and Financial Analysis
- APPENDIX I Environmental Aspects
- APPENDIX J Sewer Maintenance
- APPENDIX K Planning Manual for Small Scale Sewage Treatment System
- Vol. 4 DATA BOOK

ABSTRACT

PART I MASTER PLAN STUDY

1. INTRODUCTION

The Study Area in the basin of the Sava River, a tributary of the Donau, covers the whole administrative area (11,794 km²) of Zagreb City, the capital of Croatia, and the three (3) surrounding counties of Zagrebacka, Sisacko-Moslavacka and Karlovacka. Approximately 1,590,000 people live in the Study Area at present. For location of the Study Area, see the Study Area Map.

The Sava River within the territory of Croatia is much polluted due to the untreated domestic, commercial, public and industrial wastewaters of Zagreb City and the neighboring towns/municipalities. The Government of Croatia undertakes water pollution control of the river by constructing and operating wastewater treatment plants in Zagreb City and these towns/municipalities.

In response to the request of the Government of Croatia, the Japan International Cooperation Agency decided to conduct "The Study for Water Pollution Reduction on the Sava River Basin in the Republic of Croatia" from September 2000 to August 2001. The Study has the following objectives:

- (1) To formulate a master plan for water environmental management of the Sava River Basin including pollution loading reduction up to the target year 2015;
- (2) To conduct the feasibility study on the wastewater treatment of the selected five (5) towns neighboring Zagreb City (Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina and Karlovac); and
- (3) To pursue technology transfer on planning methods and skills to counterpart personnel in the course of the Study.

2. INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT

In this Study, to meet the government regulations, the optimum treatment processes and discharge systems (to sewerage system or directly to river) for the 51 large industries identified as significant pollution sources are proposed. The industries in Zagreb City are excluded since they will be treated under the ongoing Zagreb Sewerage Project. Wastewater of the other small industries is dealt as part of municipal wastewater.

The treated wastewater quantity and pollution load effluent from the industries and their recipients in the future (2015) are shown in the table below compared with the existing ones (1999). Since many large industries will change recipient from river to public sewerage, the industrial pollution load into the sewerage systems will increase and the pollution load into the rivers will decrease. As a result, the total pollution load effluent from the industries will remain at almost the present level even in 2015.

| Paciniant | Number of Industry | | Wastewater Q | uantity (m ³ /d) | BOD Load (kg/d) | | |
|-----------|--------------------|------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------|--|
| Keeplent | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | |
| Sewerage | 26 | 37 | 9,132 | 31,560 | 1,896 | 4,797 | |
| River | 25 | 14 | 36,339 | 43,330 | 3,240 | 769 | |
| Total | 51 | 51 | 45,471 | 74,890 | 5,135 | 5,565 | |

The total construction cost for the improvement of industrial wastewater treatment systems is roughly estimated to be Kn. 128 million at 2001 prices.

3. SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Objective Urban Centers for Sewerage Master Plan Study

Twenty-four (24) urban centers in 22 sewerage systems were selected for the master plan study on sewerage development, based on the policy of the National Water Protection Plan. The selected urban centers are given below. For the locations, see Outline of the Proposed Project.

Zagreb, Sesvete East, Dugo Selo, Sveti Ivan Zelina, Vrbovec, Ivanić Grad–Kloštar Ivanić, Samobor, Zaprešić, Velika Gorica, Jastrebarsko, Sisak, Petrinja, Glina, Topusko, Popovača, Kutina, Lipovljani, Novska, Karlovac–Duga Resa, Ogulin, Plaški, Slunj

3.2 Proposed Sewerage Development Plan

The proposed sewerage system will serve almost all the population of Zagreb City (95% of the future total population). In the other 23 towns/municipalities, it will cover 19,186 ha (174% of the existing urban area) and serve the total population 381,800 people (122% of the future urban population or 70% of the future total town/municipality population).

All the sewerage systems are provided with necessary treatment plants to treat the wastewater to the permissible limits of the regulations. However, the treatment of nutrients is limited to T-P only and that of T-N is deferred to the later stage after 2015.

The main features of the proposed sewerage development are summarized below.

| Urban Center | Service Area (ha) | | Served Population | | Design Wastewater (m ³ /d) (2015) | | | BOD Load (kg/d) | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---|----------|---------|---------------------------|--|
| Center | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | Municipal | Industry | Total | Load (kg/d) | |
| Zagreb | 25,600 | 25,600 | 800,000 | 935,000 | 274,860 | 167,510 | 442,370 | 90,000 | |
| Others | 10,549 | 19,186 | 210,500 | 381,800 | 149,726 | 32,643 | 182,369 | 34,376 | |
| Total | 36,149 | 44,786 | 1,010,500 | 1,316,800 | 424,586 | 200,153 | 624,739 | 124,376 (2,073,000 PE) | |

The total construction cost of the 22 sewerage development projects is estimated to be Kn. 2,739 million, broken down into Kn. 1,365 million for the Zagreb Sewerage Development Project and Kn. 1,374 million for the other 21 sewerage development projects. The total construction cost of the 21 sewerage development projects is further broken down into Kn. 531 million for collectors and Kn. 843 million for treatment plants.

4. EVALUATION OF RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

The river water quality under existing, future without project, and future with project situations was simulated for the river flow rate of 95% probability according to the government standard. The results of simulation of river water quality at the principal river locations are shown below.

| | | | | (Uni | t: BOD, mg/l) | |
|-----------|------------------------------|----------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--|
| | | | Fut | ure | Standard | |
| River | Location | Existing | Without Project | With Project | (Category) | |
| | | | (2015) | (2015) | | |
| Sava Main | Oborovo | 8.8 | 11.6 | 4.6 | ≤8.0 (III) | |
| | Utok Kupe Nizvodno | 5.6 | 7.4 | 3.1 | ≤4.0 (II) | |
| Kupa | Recica | 4.3 | 6.2 | 3.1 | ≤4.0 (II) | |
| | Brest | 3.5 | 4.7 | 2.6 | ≤4.0 (II) | |
| Lonja | K. Lonja Strug (Crnec River) | 27.1 | 49.1 | 7.2 | ≤8.0 (III) | |
| | Struzec (Lonjsko Polje) | 8.5 | 14.6 | 3.4 | ≤4.0 (II) | |
| Kutina | Kutina | 70.0 | 70.0 | 16.0 | ≤4.0 (II) | |

The proposed master plan will improve the river water quality to a large extent. The improved river water quality will satisfy the national standards in the Sava Main, Kupa and Lonja rivers. However, improvement of the Kutina River is limited due to the small dilution effect of natural river flow.

PART II FEASIBILITY STUDY

1. INTRODUCTION

Five (5) sewerage development projects; namely, Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina and Karlovac-Duga Resa, were selected for the feasibility study from among the 22 projects proposed in the master plan. The target year of F/S projects is set at the year 2007, since these projects are the first stage projects of the master plan.

2. PLANNING BASIS

- (1) The proposed sewerage system aims to serve almost all the population living within the existing service area in 2007, in principle. No significant extension of the service area is proposed.
- (2) Necessary transport collectors, main sewers and secondary/tertiary sewers are proposed to attain the objective services. The collector/sewer size is designed to meet the design wastewater flow of the master plan.
- (3) The treatment plant is proposed as the first stage of the master plan. The capacity is designed to treat the wastewater flow in 2007 and the process is applied to meet the requirement of river water quality improvement in 2007.

3. WASTEWATER TREATMENT LEVEL

The water quality of the Sava Main River in 2007 is expected to greatly improve due to the ongoing Zagreb Project. The water quality of the Kupa River will not exceed the standard quality to a serious level even in the case of without-project. Hence, the treatment level of primary sedimentation is applicable for the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa F/S projects.

The Lonja and Kutina rivers are much polluted even at present. Biological treatment is definitely necessary for the Dugo Selo, Vrbovec and Kutina sewerage improvement projects to mitigate the water pollution of the respective rivers to the possible extent.

Hence, the Dugo Selo, Vrbovec and Kutina projects will treat the wastewater to BOD 25 mg/l; whereas, the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa projects will treat the influent BOD by 40%. However, the treatment of T-P will be deferred to the second stage in all the projects in due consideration of priority sequence.

4. **PROPOSED SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT**

4.1 Design Bases for Sewerage System and Treatment Plant

The design bases of the sewerage systems and treatment plants for the five (5) projects are summarized below.

| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|--------|------------------------|
| Service Area (ha) | 516 | 422 | 944 | 734 | 1,142 |
| Served Population | 10,300 | 5,900 | 45,400 | 19,600 | 43,800 |
| Served Large Industry (No.) | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Wastewater Quantity (m ³ /d) | 3,605 | 4,539 | 16,973 | 7,678 | 23,285 |
| Municipal Wastewater (m ³ /d) | 3,605 | 1,770 | 15,890 | 6,860 | 15,430 |
| Industrial Wastewater (m ³ /d) | - | 2,769 | 1,083 | 818 | 7,855 |
| Influent BOD Concentration (mg/l) | 211 | 198 | 211 | 190 | 193 |
| Pollution Load (PE) | 12,700 | 14,600 | 59,900 | 24,500 | 74,800 |
| Effluent BOD Concentration (mg/l) | 25 | 25 | 127 | 25 | 116 |

4.2 Proposed Sewer

The main features of the proposed collectors for the five (5) projects are summarized below.

| Urban Center | Transport /Main Collector | | Secondary/Te | rtiary Sewer | Total | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| Ulban Center | Ø (mm) | L (m) | Ø (mm) | L (m) | Ø (mm) | L (m) |
| Dugo Selo | 800-1,200 | 5,490 | 400 | 2,100 | 400-1,200 | 7,590 |
| Vrbovec | 350-400 | 1,880 | 100 | 750 | 100-400 | 2,630 |
| Sisak | 450-1,000 | 6,340 | - | - | 450-1,000 | 6,340 |
| Kutina | 400 | 180 | 100-200 | 9,000 | 100-400 | 9,180 |
| Karlovac-Duga Resa | 300-1,700 | 11,670 | 400 | 1,000 | 300-1,700 | 12,670 |
| Total | | 25,560 | | 12,850 | | 38,410 |

4.3 Proposed Treatment Plant

The main features of the proposed treatment plants of the five (5) projects are summarized below.

| Main Features | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Treatment Process | Activated | Activated | Primary | Activated | Primary |
| Treatment Trocess | Sludge | Sludge | Sedimentation | Sludge | Sedimentation |
| Preliminary Treatment (unit) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Primary Sedimentation Tank (unit) | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Aeration Tank (unit) | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Secondary Sedimentation Tank (unit) | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Belt Press Filter (unit) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

4.4 Construction and Annual O&M Costs

The construction and annual O&M costs of each of the five (5) projects are estimated as follows at 2001 prices.

| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa | Total |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------|-------|--------|------------------------|--------|
| Construction Cost (million Kn) | 50.94 | 39.51 | 68.95 | 41.16 | 129.76 | 330.31 |
| Collector | 15.34 | 3.56 | 20.88 | 8.95 | 61.43 | 110.15 |
| Treatment Plant | 35.60 | 35.95 | 48.08 | 32.21 | 68.33 | 220.16 |
| Annual O&M Cost (million Kn) | 1.59 | 1.53 | 1.98 | 2.52 | 2.33 | 9.95 |

5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The environmental impacts of the proposed projects were assessed on the following items: (i) land acquisition, (ii) noise during construction/operation, (iii) foundation geology of treatment plant, (iv) flora/fauna, (v) dust/odor, (vi) water pollution/water use, and (vii) sludge disposal/groundwater. No significant adverse effects were predicted for all the projects.

6. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

6.1 Implementation Schedule

The proposed projects are assumed to start in 2003 with completion in 2007. The proposed implementation schedules of the five (5) projects are shown below.

| Item | Construction Works | Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Kutina | Sisak, Karlovac-Duga Resa |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Detailed Design and | | 2003 | 2003 |
| Land Acquisition | | | |
| Stage I Construction | Collector, Primary Treatment, Sludge Treatment, etc. | 2004 - Mid 2005 | 2004 - 2006 |
| Monitoring | | Mid 2005 - Mid 2006 | - |
| Stage II Construction | Biological Treatment | Mid 2006 - 2007 | - |

6.2 Financial Evaluation

6.2.1 General

Each municipal service company should perform a sound sewerage business by collecting sewerage charges set within the users' affordability. For this purpose, a considerable amount of financial assistance from the Central Government (including Croatian Waters) is considered necessary for the construction of the proposed projects. The possible financial sources of construction cost are the Central Government (Grant and Water Management Fund Loan) and external loan (ODA).

The required sewerage charge and financial assistance from the Central Government were estimated by analyzing the financial statement of each municipal service company. To ensure financial feasibility of the municipal service companies,

- (1) Annual net income should be mostly positive through the entire period of 25 years;
- (2) Loan liability of the company should be zero in 25 years; and
- (3) Necessary cash should be reserved before the replacement of mechanical/electrical equipment.

6.2.2 Proposed Sewerage Charge and Financial Assistance

The required sewerage charge for each municipal service company to perform a sound sewerage business was estimated under the following assumptions.

(1) The proposed projects mainly benefit the populations downstream and enhance the environment nationwide, so that they are of national importance. Therefore, the financial assistance of the Central Government is set higher than has been usually extended.

- (2) Sixty percent (60%) of the construction cost is provided by the Central Government as Grant. The remaining 40% is financed by an external ODA loan through the Central Government. The loan conditions are assumed as: 2.0% interest and 25-year repayment with a 7-year grace period.
- (3) The loan repayment, and the O&M and depreciation costs of the sewerage systems are to be covered by sewerage charges.
- (4) At present, two (2) kinds of sewerage charges are individually set for each town. One is for domestic user and the other is for other users (institution and small/large industries). The domestic unit sewerage charge will increase in proportion to the growth of per capita GDP. However, the existing ratio between the two (2) unit sewerage charges will be maintained.
- (5) In the Karlovac-Duga Resa sewerage system, the replacement cost of damaged sewers in Karlovac Town is considered apart from the proposed sewerage development cost. The replacement cost is to be covered by both grant from the Local Government and sewerage charges.

The proposed sewerage charges of the five (5) municipal service companies in 2001 are shown below at 2001 prices, along with the existing ones and the internal rate of return (FIRR) of the proposed projects.

| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|--|--------------|---------|-------|--------|------------------------|
| Existing Unit Sewerage Charge (Kn/m ³) | | | | | |
| Domestic User | 0.36 | 0.59 | 1.34 | 4.12 | 1.45 (0.54)* |
| Other Users | 0.74 | 0.81 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 2.67 (0.54)* |
| Proposed Unit Sewerage Charge (Kn/m ³) | | | | | |
| Domestic User | 2.55 | 2.25 | 1.41 | 4.12 | 1.86 |
| Other Users | 5.24 | 3.09 | 4.21 | 4.12 | 2.87 |
| Domestic Charge Rate to Household Income (%) | | | | | |
| Existing | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 1.37 | 0.48 (0.18)* |
| Proposed | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 1.37 | 0.62 |
| FIRR of Proposed Project (%) | 6.58 | 5.98 | 5.48 | Large | 5.91 |

* Values not in parentheses are charges of Karlovac, while values in parentheses are those of Duga Resa

In order to set the sewerage charges within the user's affordability, 60% of the construction cost need to be provided by the Central Government as a Grant and the remaining 40% shall be financed by an external loan through the Central Government as assumed above.

However, it should be noted that the above external loan does not mean the actual amount of loan to be obtained by the Central Government but only the loan amount to be repaid from sewerage charges. In case the financial resources of the Central Government are limited, it may need to obtain more external loan to be able to extend the necessary grant (60% of construction cost) to the municipal service companies.

7. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- (1) The proposed five (5) sewerage development projects consisting of Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina and Karlovac-Duga Resa are technically feasible and financially viable. The early implementation of these projects is necessary to cope with the existing water pollution in the Sava River Basin.
- (2) For this purpose, the Central Government/State Water Directorate/Croatian Waters and the local governments concerned should immediately proceed with the necessary legal procedures and financial arrangements.

- (3) Water pollution of the Lonja River is the worst in the entire Sava River Basin. Early implementation of the Sesvete East and Ivanić Grad-Kloštar Ivanić sewerage development projects is also awaited to attain a satisfactory water pollution control of the Lonja River.
- (4) Since the available data on river water quantity and quality in the Lonja River are limited, necessary monitoring of the river water quantity and quality should be commenced immediately.



Pollution Load Runoff and River Water Quality





Proposed Projects

| Propo. | sed | P rojects | Outline of Project Components | Construction Cost and Beneficiaries |
|----------------------|-----|------------------------|---|--|
| Master Plan * | 1 | Industry | Development of wastewater treatment system of 51 large industries | • 128 million Kuna |
| | 2 | Sewerage | Development of wastewater treatment plants and collectors of 21 Sewerage Systems for 23 urban centers | 1,374 million KunaServed population: 381,800 |
| Feasibility Study | 1 | Dugo-Selo | A wastewater treatment plant with Activated Sludge process and collectors | 50.94 million Kuna Served population: 10,300 |
| | 2 | Vrbovec | A wastewater treatment plant with Activated Sludge process and collectors | 39.51 million Kuna Served population: 5,900 |
| | 3 | Sisak | A wastewater treatment plant with primary sedimentation and collectors | 68.95 million KunaServed population: 45,400 |
| | 4 | Kutina | A wastewater treatment plant with Activated Sludge process and collectors | 41.16 million KunaServed population: 19,600 |
| | 5 | Karlovac- Duga Resa | A wastewater treatment plant with primary sedimentation and collectors | 129.76 million KunaServed population: 43,800 |

OUTLINE OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT



THE STUDY FOR WATER POLLUTION REDUCTION ON THE SAVA RIVER BASIN IN THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA

FINAL REPORT

VOL. 1: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table of Contents

PREFACE LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL Study Area Map Composition of Report Abstract Table of Contents List of Tables List of Figures Abbreviations and Acronyms

PART I MASTER PLAN STUDY

| 1. | INT | RODUCTION | 1 | | | | | | |
|----|-----|---|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | STU | JDY AREA | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Natural Conditions | 1 | | | | | | |
| | 2.2 | Socio-economy | 2 | | | | | | |
| | 2.3 | River Water Use and Water Classification | 2 | | | | | | |
| 3. | IND | USTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Objectives and Scopes of the Study | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 3.2 | Selection of the Objective Large Industries for the Study | 3 | | | | | | |
| | 3.3 | Planning Basis | 4 | | | | | | |
| | 3.4 | Proposed Industrial Wastewater Treatment | 5 | | | | | | |
| 4. | SEV | SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | Objective Urban Centers for Sewerage Master Plan Study | 6 | | | | | | |
| | 4.2 | Existing Sewerage System | 6 | | | | | | |
| | 4.3 | Planning Basis | 6 | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.1 Permissible Quality of Treatment Plant Effluent | 6 | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.2 Wastewater Flow | 6 | | | | | | |
| | | 4.3.3 Wastewater Treatment | 7 | | | | | | |
| | 4.4 | Proposed Sewerage Development Plan | 9 | | | | | | |
| | 4.5 | Cost Estimate | 10 | | | | | | |
| 5. | EVA | EVALUATION OF RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT | | | | | | | |
| | 5.1 | Objective Location for Water Quality Simulation | 10 | | | | | | |
| | 5.2 | Simulation Methodology | 11 | | | | | | |

| 6. | REC | COMME | ENDATIONS FOR FINANCING POLICY | 12 |
|----|-----|-----------------------------------|---|----|
| | | 5.3.2 | Simulated River Water Quality | 12 |
| | | 5.3.1 | Pollution Load Runoff to Main River Without Project | 12 |
| | 5.3 | Simulation of River Water Quality | | |

PART II FEASIBILITY STUDY

| 1. | INT | RODU | CTION | 14 | | | |
|----|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2. | PLANNING BASIS | | | | | | |
| | 2.1 | Desig | n Wastewater Flow | 14 | | | |
| | 2.2 | Waste | water Treatment Level | 15 | | | |
| | 2.3 | Struct | ural Design Principle | 17 | | | |
| 3. | PROPOSED SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | |
| | 3.1 | Desig | n Criteria for Sewerage System and Treatment Plant | 17 | | | |
| | 3.2 | Propos | sed Sewer | 18 | | | |
| | 3.3 | Propos | sed Treatment Plant | 18 | | | |
| 4. | PRO |)JECT | COST | 19 | | | |
| | 4.1 | Constr | ruction Cost | 19 | | | |
| | 4.2 | Opera | tion and Maintenance Cost | 20 | | | |
| 5. | ENV | IRONN | MENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 20 | | | |
| 6. | FIN | ANCIA | L ANALYSIS | 21 | | | |
| | 6.1 | Introd | uction | 21 | | | |
| | 6.2 | Introduction | | | | | |
| | 6.3 | Disbu | rsement Schedule of Construction and O&M Costs | 22 | | | |
| | 6.4 | Reven | ue of Sewerage Charge | 22 | | | |
| | 6.5 | Revenue of Sewerage Charge Financial Evaluation | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | 6.5.1 | General | 23 | | | |
| | | 6.5.1 6.5.2 | General Existing Financing System | 23 24 | | | |
| | | 6.5.16.5.26.5.3 | General Existing Financing System Calculation of Required Sewerage Charge | 23 24 24 | | | |
| | | 6.5.16.5.26.5.36.5.4 | General Existing Financing System Calculation of Required Sewerage Charge Proposed Sewerage Charge and Financial Assistance | 23 24 24 24 26 | | | |

Part I - List of Tables

| Table I-1 | Proposed Wastewater Treatment of Large Pollutant Industries | T-1 |
|-----------|---|-----|
| Table I-2 | Proposed Sewerage Development Plan | T-2 |

Part I - List of Figures

| Fig. I-1 River Systems and Objective Urban Centers in the Study Area | F-1 |
|--|-----|
|--|-----|

Part II - List of Figures

| Fig. II-1 (1) | Sewerage Development System in Dugo Selo | F-2 |
|---------------|--|------|
| Fig. II-1 (2) | Layout of Wastewater Treatment Plant in Dugo Selo | F-3 |
| Fig. II-2 (1) | Sewerage Development System in Vrbovec | F-4 |
| Fig. II-2 (2) | Layout of Wastewater Treatment Plant in Vrbovec | F-5 |
| Fig. II-3 (1) | Sewerage Development System in Sisak | F-6 |
| Fig. II-3 (2) | Layout of Wastewater Treatment Plant in Sisak | F-7 |
| Fig. II-4 (1) | Sewerage Development System in Kutina | F-8 |
| Fig. II-4 (2) | Layout of Wastewater Treatment Plant in Kutina | F-9 |
| Fig. II-5 (1) | Sewerage Development System in Karlovac-Duga Resa | F-10 |
| Fig. II-5 (2) | Layout of Wastewater Treatment Plant in Karlovac-Duga Resa | F-11 |
| | | |

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AGENCIES/ORGANIZATIONS

JICA Japan International Cooperation Agency

OTHER ACRONYMS/ABBREVIATIONS

| A_2O | Anaerobic-Anoxic-Oxic Activated Sludge |
|----------|--|
| AA | Aeroaccelerator |
| AL | Aerated Lagoon |
| AO | Anaerobic-Oxic Activated Sludge |
| AS | Activated Sludge |
| BOD | Biochemical Oxygen Demand |
| CAST | Cyclic Activated Sludge Technology |
| CODCr | Chemical Oxygen Demand (Chromium) |
| CODMn | Chemical Oxygen Demand (Manganese) |
| DO | Dissolved Oxygen |
| FIRR | Financial Internal Rate of Return |
| F/S, FS | Feasibility Study |
| GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| M/P, MP | Master Plan |
| Ν | Nitrogen |
| No. | Number |
| O&M, O/M | Operation and Maintenance |
| OD | Oxidation Ditch |
| ODA | Official Development Assistance |
| Р | Phosphorus |
| PE | Person Equivalent |
| PS | Primary Settlement |
| TF | Trickling Filter |
| T-N | Total Nitrogen |
| T-P | Total Phosphorous |
| TSS | Total Suspended Solids |
| VAT | Value Added Tax = PDV |

MEASUREMENTS/SYMBOLS

| ha | Hectare |
|-----------------|---------------------|
| km | Kilometer |
| km ² | Square kilometer |
| L | Length |
| m ³ | Cubic Meter |
| mg | Milligram |
| mg/l | Milligram per Liter |
| mm | Millimeter |
| % | Percent |
| °C | Degree Centigrade |
| Ø | Diameter |
| Kn UDV | Croation Kuna |

| Croatian Kuna |
|----------------------------|
| Japanese Yen |
| German Mark, Deutsche Mark |
| United States Dollar |
| |

PART I MASTER PLAN STUDY

1. INTRODUCTION

The Sava River runs a 945 km distance draining a total area of 95,551 km² in the countries of Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia-Herzegovina and Yugoslavia before it joins the Donau as a tributary. The river length and drainage area within the territory of Croatia are 518 km and 25,100 km², respectively.

The population of the Sava River Basin in Croatia is 2,340,000, including the 1,590,000 inhabitants of Zagreb City, the capital of Croatia, and the three (3) surrounding counties of Zagrebacka, Sisacko-Moslavacka and Karlovacka. The Study Area covers the whole administrative area (11,794 km²) of Zagreb City and the above three (3) counties.

The water of Sava River is much polluted due to the untreated domestic, commercial, public and industrial wastewaters of Zagreb City and the neighboring towns/municipalities and cities. The Government of Croatia undertakes water pollution control of the river by constructing wastewater treatment plants in the urban center of Zagreb City and these towns/municipalities.

In response to the request of the Government of Croatia, the Japan International Cooperation Agency conducted "The Study for Water Pollution Reduction on the Sava River Basin in the Republic of Croatia" from September 2000 to August 2001. The objectives of the Study are:

- (1) To formulate a master plan for water environmental management of the Sava River Basin including pollution load reduction up to the target year 2015;
- (2) To conduct a feasibility study on the wastewater treatment of the selected five (5) towns in the suburban area of Zagreb City (Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina and Karlovac); and
- (3) To pursue technology transfer on planning methods and skills to counterpart personnel in the course of the Study.

2. STUDY AREA

2.1 Natural Conditions

(1) Climate and Hydrology

The climate of the Study Area is affected by both the Continental and Mediterranean climates. The monthly average temperature at Zagreb City varies from 1.6°C in December to 22.3°C in July, with a yearly average of 12.2°C. The average monthly rainfall at Zagreb City ranges from 33 mm in February to 125 mm in August, with the yearly average of 921 mm.

However, the water of the Sava River lowers in summer (July-September) and rises in spring (March-April) independently of the rainfall distribution in the Study Area.

(2) River Basin and River System

The Study Area, covering approximately 11,800 km², is drained by the river system consisting of the Sava Main, Kupa and Lonja rivers, as well as their tributaries. The river system and drainage basins are shown in Fig. I-1.

| The latest land use map of the Study Area was prepared by using the satellite digital data |
|--|
| taken in August 2000. The existing land use distribution is summarized below. |

| Land Use Category | Area (km ²) | (%) |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 1. Forest | 5,430 | 46.1 |
| 2. Shrub/Grass Land | 4,640 | 39.3 |
| 3. Pasture/Agricultural Land | 1,154 | 9.8 |
| 4. Built up Area | 286 | 2.4 |
| 5. Water Body/Bare Land | 283 | 2.4 |
| Total | 11,794 | 100.0 |

The nature park "Lonjsko Polje" is located in the downstream reaches of the Lonja River (a flood plain of the Sava River). The park, covering 56,000 ha, is designated as a valuable reserved area of the country. It is characterized as having one of the largest white stork concentrations in Europe.

2.2 Socio-economy

(1)Population

> The existing (1999) and future (2015) populations of the Study Area are estimated based on the latest studies of the departments concerned of the local and central governments, as follows:

| Administrative Unit | 1999 | | | 2015 | | | Ratio |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|
| Administrative Onit | Total (1) | Urban | Rural | Total (2) | Urban | Rural | (2)/(1) |
| Zagreb City | 935,000 | 935,000 | - | 998,000 | 998,000 | - | 1.07 |
| Zagreb County | 313,812 | 123,713 | 190,099 | 352,000 | 140,710 | 211,290 | 1.12 |
| Sisak-Moslavina County | 194,320 | 97,604 | 96,716 | 227,138 | 113,679 | 113,459 | 1.17 |
| Karlovac County | 148,892 | 81,122 | 67,770 | 148,892 | 82,682 | 66,210 | 1.00 |
| Total | 1,592,024 | 1,237,439 | 354,585 | 1,726,030 | 1,335,071 | 390,959 | 1.08 |

(2)Economic Growth of the Country

The growth rate of GDP is assumed based on the latest studies of the Ministry of Finance and the Zagreb Economy Institute as follows: 3.6% for 2000-2005, 5.5% for 2006-2010 and 4.5% for 2011-2015. These growth rates are based on the presumption that the country will join the European Union (EU) by 2010.

No data is available for the future growth rate of the manufacturing industry. However, the Ministry of Finance estimated the current growth rate of manufacturing industry as 2.7% based on the data during January to September 2000. Hence, it is assumed in this Study that the future growth rate is the same as that of GDP.

2.3 **River Water Use and Water Classification**

Existing River Water Use (1)

Water use in the Study Area mostly depends on the groundwater. The consumptive river water use is limited to the municipal and industrial purposes at seven (7) locations. No irrigation water is taken from the river. There are 49 species of fish in the River Basin. However, the number of fish species in the Sava Main, Lonja and Crnec rivers are definitely less than the number in the other tributaries due to water pollution. The Kupa and Korana rivers are the most abundant in fish species.

(2) Water Classification and Standard Water Quality

The watercourses are classified into five (5) categories; namely, Category I to V. The standard river water quality for each category is designated in the Decree as below.

| Parameter/Category | Ι | II | III | IV | V | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|--|
| DO (mg/l) | >7 | 7 - 6 | 6 - 4 | 4 - 3 | <3 | |
| BOD (mg/l) | <2 | 2 - 4 | 4 - 8 | 8 - 15 | >15 | |
| COD-Mn (mg/l) | <4 | 4 - 8 | 8 - 15 | 15 - 30 | >30 | |
| T-P | < 0.1 | 0.10 - 0.25 | 0.25 - 0.60 | 0.60 - 1.50 | >1.5 | |
| T-N | <1 | 1 - 3 | 3 - 10 | 10 - 20 | >20 | |

The major rivers in the Study Area are categorized as follows.

| River | Existing Water Use | Category |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| Sava Main (Upper Reaches) | Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | II |
| Sava Main (Middle Reaches) | Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | III |
| Sava Main (Lower Reaches) | Industrial, Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | II |
| Kupa (Upper Reaches) | Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | Ι |
| Kupa (Middle Reaches) | Municipal, Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | II |
| Kupa (Lower Reaches) | Municipal, Industrial, Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | II |
| Lonja (Entire Reaches) | Recreation, Scenic View, Aquatic Life | II |

3. INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT

3.1 Objectives and Scope of the Study

The major objectives and scope of the industrial wastewater treatment study are:

- (1) To establish the existing discharge system of the industrial wastewater.
- (2) To estimate the existing and future wastewater quantity and quality and to evaluate the pollution load to the sewerage systems and rivers.
- (3) To propose the optimum discharge system, i.e., whether to discharge into the sewerage system with necessary pre-treatment or directly into river with necessary treatment.
- (4) To propose the necessary improvements to the existing treatment systems. Required construction cost is roughly estimated to provide necessary basic data for the preparation of financial policies on the promotion of industrial wastewater treatment.

3.2 Selection of the Objective Large Industries for the Study

Out of a number of industries in the Study Area, 51 large industries discharging more than $100 \text{ m}^3/\text{d}$ were selected for the study on wastewater treatment. The wastewaters of small industries were dealt as part of the municipal wastewater. The industries in Zagreb City (except the Sesvete East Area) were excluded in the Study because they are already included under the ongoing Zagreb Sewerage Project.

Further, 18 large pollutant industries from among the 51 large industries were selected for detailed study. These 18 large pollutant industries share most of the industrial wastewater quantity (approx. 80%) and pollution load (approx. 90% in BOD) in the Study Area. The 18 large pollutant industries are given in Table I-1.

3.3 Planning Basis

(1) Permissible Limits of Industrial Effluent

The permissible limits of major parameters of industrial wastewater discharged into natural receiving waters and public sewerage systems are specified in the Decree, as shown below.

| Parameter/Category | II | III | IV | V | Sewerage |
|------------------------|-----|---------|----------|-----|----------|
| TSS | 35 | 35 - 60 | 60 - 150 | 150 | - |
| BOD (mg/l) | 25 | 25 | 40 | 80 | 250 |
| COD-Cr (mg/l) | 125 | 125 | 200 | 400 | 700 |
| T-P | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 10 |
| T-N | 21 | 31 | 42 | 42 | - |
| Oil and Grease ((mg/l) | 25 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 100 |

(2) Projection of Future Industrial Wastewater Quantity

The future wastewater quantity of the 51 objective large industries in the year 2015 was estimated based on the following assumptions:

- (a) Industrial production will increase in proportion to the growth of GDP.
- (b) However, unit wastewater quantity per production will decrease in the future due to the technological improvement of production processes. The reduction rate is assumed for each industry in due consideration of: (i) existing level of water use quantity, (ii) existing ratio of cooling water use to total use, and (iii) age of existing production equipment.
- (3) Selection of Wastewater Recipient

The industrial wastewater is discharged into public sewerage in principle, except the cases given below, to execute the pollution control of industrial wastewater at the minimum cost. On the other hand, the public sewerage can allocate the necessary cost for industry and as a result, the integral treatment of municipal and industrial wastewater will attain the target at the minimum cost. The exceptions are:

- (a) The industry is already provided with a high-tech treatment system and can easily discharge wastewater into the river with a small improvement as required.
- (b) The wastewater is not much polluted in quality and industry can easily discharge it into the river with a small improvement as required.
- (c) The wastewater quality is not proper for the treatment of public sewage.
- (d) The industry is located far from the sewerage system, requiring a large additional cost.

The wastewater recipient (public sewerage or natural watercourse) of the objective 51 large industries was determined individually in consideration of the above criteria.

(4) Wastewater Treatment Process

The optimum treatment process varies, depending on the wastewater quality and kind of recipient of the effluent. However, the following four (4) typical treatment processes are applied in this master plan study. The adequate process is to be selected by each industry according to its required treatment conditions.

| Treatment Process | Applicable Industry |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Conventional Activated Sludge | Industry which treats BOD and COD with a normal concentration for |
| | discharge into public sewerage or natural water |
| Two Stage Activated Sludge | Industry which treats BOD and COD with a high concentration for |
| | discharge into natural water |
| Chemical Coagulation | Industry which treats TSS, heavy metals, color and insoluble |
| - | BOD/COD for discharge into public sewerage or natural water |
| Conventional Activated Sludge | Industry which treats BOD, COD, TSS, heavy metals, color and oil for |
| + Chemical Coagulation | discharge into natural water |

3.4 Proposed Industrial Wastewater Treatment

(1) Treated Wastewater Quantity and Pollution Load

The treated wastewater quantity and pollution load effluent (BOD) from the industries and their recipients in the future (2015) are summarized below, compared with the existing ones (1999). The 18 large pollutant industries will share 81% in quantity and 87% in BOD load in 2015. The wastewater quantity, pollution load and recipient of the 18 large pollutant industries are individually shown in Table I-1.

Many large pollutant industries will change their recipients from river to public sewerage. Hence, the industrial pollution load into the sewerage system will increase, while the pollution load into the rivers will decrease. As a result, the total pollution load of effluent from the industries will remain at almost the present level even in 2015.

| Industry | Recipient | Number of Industries | | Wastewater Quantity (m^{3}/d) | | BOD Load | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|-------|
| | r | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 |
| | Sewerage | 4 | 14 | 3,855 | 22,496 | 1,494 | 4,175 |
| Large Pollutant Industries (18) | River | 14 | 4 | 33,143 | 38,390 | 3,041 | 644 |
| | Sub-total | 18 | 18 | 36,998 | 60,886 | 4,534 | 4,818 |
| | Sewerage | 22 | 23 | 5,277 | 9,064 | 402 | 622 |
| Other Large Industries (33) | River | 11 | 10 | 3,196 | 4,940 | 199 | 125 |
| | Sub-total | 33 | 33 | 8,473 | 14,004 | 601 | 747 |
| | Sewerage | 26 | 37 | 9,132 | 31,560 | 1,896 | 4,797 |
| Total Large Industries (51) | River | 25 | 14 | 36,339 | 43,330 | 3,240 | 769 |
| | Total | 51 | 51 | 45,471 | 74,890 | 5,135 | 5,565 |

(2) Cost Estimate

The total construction cost for the improvement of treatment systems is roughly estimated to be Kn. 128 million at 2001 prices. It is broken down into Kn. 90 million for the 18 large pollutant industries and Kn. 38 million for the 33 other large industries. The construction cost of each of the 18 large pollutant industries is also shown in Table I-1.

The above construction costs include direct construction cost, engineering and administration costs, VAT, Customs Duties and contingency. In this estimate, the currency exchange rate at the end of February 2001 is employed as follows: US1.00 = Kn. $8.3 = JP \pm 116$.

4. SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT

4.1 Objective Urban Centers for Sewerage Master Plan Study

Twenty-four (24) urban centers comprising 22 sewerage systems were selected for the master plan study on sewerage development based on the National Water Protection Plan. The selected urban centers meet either of the following criteria:

- (1) Urban center is expected to discharge wastewater of over 2,000 PE in 2015.
- (2) Urban center is located in an area where drinking water sources may be affected.

The selected urban centers are given below. For the location of selected urban centers, see Fig. I-1

Zagreb, Sesvete East, Dugo Selo, Sveti Ivan Zelina, Vrbovec, Ivanić Grad - Kloštar Ivanić, Samobor, Zaprešić, Velika Gorica, Jastrebarsko, Sisak, Petrinja, Glina, Topusko, Popovača, Kutina, Lipovljani, Novska, Karlovac - Duga Resa, Ogulin, Plaški, Slunj

4.2 Existing Sewerage System

The 22 existing sewerage systems cover a total area of 36,149 ha, serving a total population of 1,010,500 inhabitants, which are mostly served by the Zagreb City sewerage system. The service area and served population in 21 of the sewerage systems (excluding Zagreb City) are 10,549 ha (96% of the existing urban area: 11,006 ha) and 210,500 inhabitants (74% of the existing urban population: 284,700 or 42% of total town/municipal population: 499,500), respectively.

However, only three (3) sewerage systems are provided with treatment plants, treating the wastewater of approximately 60,000 inhabitants. Even Zagreb City has only recently started the construction of a treatment plant. The existing treatment plants are Velika Gorica (biological treatment), Kutina (preliminary treatment) and Ivanić Grad (preliminary treatment).

4.3 Planning Basis

4.3.1 Permissible Quality of Treatment Plant Effluent

The permissible limits of effluent (TSS, BOD, COD-Cr, T-N, T-P) discharged from the sewage treatment plant into the receiving water vary according to the size of the treatment plant and the category of the receiving water, as follows.

| Category | Plant Size | TSS | BOD | COD-Cr | T-P | T-N |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Category | T failt Size | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) | (mg/l) |
| Watercourse II | <10,000 PE | 60 | 40 | 150 | - | - |
| | 10,000 PE - 100,000 PE | 35 | 25 | 125 | 2 | 15 |
| | >100,000 PE | 35 | 25 | 125 | 1 | 10 |
| Watercourse III | <10,000 PE | 120 - 150 | - | - | - | - |
| | >10,000 PE | 35 | 25 | 125 | - | - |

4.3.2 Wastewater Flow

The wastewaters in sewerage systems include domestic, institutional and industrial wastewater, and groundwater infiltration. As mentioned before, the wastewaters of 51 large industries in the

Study Area are estimated individually; whereas, wastewaters of the other smaller industries are dealt as part of the municipal wastewater, as well as domestic and institutional wastewater.

(1) Design Unit Municipal Wastewater Quantity

The existing average unit municipal wastewater quantity (domestic, institutional and small industries: l/capita/day) is estimated from the water consumption data. It varies depending on the population size of town. In this Study, it is classified into two (2) categories: less than 10,000 people and larger than 10,000 people, based on the existing water consumption data in the Study Area. The return rate of consumed water to the sewerage is assumed at 80%.

The groundwater infiltration is also an important factor for the determination of design sewage quantity. The groundwater infiltration ratio to the municipal wastewater quantity (domestic, institutional and small industries) is estimated to be 30%, based on the actual inflow to the Kutina Treatment Plant in the driest period.

On the other hand, the municipal wastewater varies throughout the year. Hence, the treatment plant is designed to meet the daily maximum wastewater. The ratio of daily maximum to daily average is estimated to be 1.30 based on the actual variation data in the Study Area.

The unit municipal wastewater quantity will increase according to the improvement of living standards in the future. The design unit municipal wastewater quantity for the master plan study (target year: 2015) is summarized below.

| Population Size | | <10,000 (l/capita/day) | 10,000 (l/capita/day) |
|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Domestic | 190 | 190 |
| Daily Average | Institutional/Small Industry | 30 | 70 |
| | Groundwater Infiltration | 70 | 70 |
| | Total | 290 | 330 |
| | Domestic | 240 | 240 |
| Daily Maximum | Institutional/Small Industry | 30 | 90 |
| | Groundwater Infiltration | 70 | 70 |
| | Total | 340 | 400 |

(2) Design Unit Pollution Load of Municipal Wastewater

The design unit pollution load of domestic wastewater is set at BOD: 60 g/capita/day by employing the widely used one in Croatia. The design unit BOD load of institutional and small industrial wastewater is determined by assuming the BOD concentration of 200 mg/l.

(3) Design Total Sewerage Wastewater

The wastewater quantity and quality in the large industries are estimated individually. The total wastewater quantity and pollution loads into public sewerage are estimated by adding those of large industries to the municipal ones.

4.3.3 Wastewater Treatment

(1) Treatment of Nutrients (P, N)

According to the government regulations, the treatment plant with a size of more than 10,000 PE shall treat both T-P and T-N when the effluent is to be discharged into a Category II river. The normal biological treatment process (Activated Sludge: AS) can

coincidentally treat nutrients to some extent; however, some advanced treatment processes must be introduced to meet the regulation level. Usually, Anaerobic-Oxic Activated Sludge (AO) is applied for the treatment of T-P and Anaerobic-Anoxic-Oxic Activated Sludge (A_2O) is applied for the treatment of both T-P and T-N. The required costs of the three (3) processes are compared in index as follows.

| Treatment Process | AS | AO | A_2O |
|---------------------|-----|-----|--------|
| Required Land Space | 100 | 111 | 199 |
| Construction Cost | 100 | 108 | 172 |
| O&M Cost | 100 | 104 | 218 |

As shown in the above table, the treatment of T-N requires a large cost. Hence, the treatment of T-N is deferred as a future target after 2015. The proposed master plan will treat only T-P by the Anaerobic-Oxic Activated Sludge (AO).

- (2) Selection of Optimum Treatment Process
 - (a) Applicable Processes

The permissible limits of effluent quality vary according to the plant size for a Category II river, as shown below together with the applicable processes.

| Plant Size | Permissible Limit (mg/l) | | Applicable Treatment Process | |
|--------------|--------------------------|-----|---|--|
| I failt 5120 | BOD | T-P | Applicable Treatment Trocess | |
| <10,000 PE | 40 | - | Activated Sludge (AS) Oxidation Ditch (OD) Aerated Lagoon (AL) Trickling Filter (TF) Aeroaccelerator (AA) | |
| >10,000 PE | 25 | 2 | Anaerobic Oxic Process (AO) Activated Sludge Coagulation (AS + CO) Cyclic Activated Sludge Technology (CAST) | |

(b) Optimum Process for Plant Size <10,000 PE

The construction cost, O&M cost and required land space are compared in index below. In this figure, the required land space includes a drying bed space.



TF and AA are comparatively economical. However, their treatment efficiency is 80% at most and they are not reliable enough. The treatment efficiency of TF and

AL lowers in the winter season, and AL requires a large land space. AS requires a large cost although it can save in required land space.

OD is widely used in small towns or villages due to its simple operation. Hence, OD is proposed in this Study.

(c) Optimum Process for Plant Size >10,000 PE

The construction cost, O&M cost and required land space are compared in index below. In this figure, the required land space includes a mechanical dewatering space.



CAST is the most economical. In this case, however, the operation rule must be changed according to the fluctuation of influent and an automatic control system must be installed for a satisfactory operation. Hence, this system is not recommended. AS + CO requires a high O&M cost and to make matters worse, it will increase sludge volume. Hence, AO is applied in this Study.

(3) Sludge Treatment System

Drying bed is applied for small plants (<10,000 PE) from economical aspects. However, mechanical dewatering system is proposed for large plants (>10,000 PE) to minimize the adverse effects on the surrounding environments.

4.4 Proposed Sewerage Development Plan

(1) Future Service Area and Treatment Plant Site

The future service area is delineated in accordance with the detailed discussions with each local government (town/municipality) along with Croatian Waters. The service area covers not only the existing urban center but also the surrounding rural areas to the possible extent. The location of treatment plant is determined following the existing physical plan of each local government as far as it is not technically difficult.

(2) Sewerage Development Plan

In principle, the central urban areas are served by the combined system, while the surrounding areas are by the separate system.

The proposed sewerage system will serve almost all the population of Zagreb City (95% of the future total population). In the 23 towns/municipalities other than Zagreb City, it will cover 19,186 ha (174% of the existing urban area: 11,006 ha) and serve a total population of 381,800 inhabitants (122% of the future urban population: 313,300 or 70% of the future total town/municipality population: 549,000).

The main features of the proposed sewerage development are summarized below. Those of each sewerage development plan are shown in Table I-2.

| Urban | Service A | rea (ha) | Served D | opulation | Design | Wastewater (| (m^3/d) | BOD |
|--------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Center | Service A | liea (lia) | Serveur | opulation | | (2015) | | Load |
| Center | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | Municipal | Industrial | Total | (kg/d) |
| Zagreb | 25,600 | 25,600 | 800,000 | 935,000 | 274,860 | 167,510 | 442,370 | 90,000 (1,500,000 PE) |
| Others | 10,549 | 19,186 | 210,500 | 381,800 | 149,726 | 32,643 | 182,369 | 34,376 (573,000 PE) |
| Total | 36,149 | 44,786 | 1,010,500 | 1,316,800 | 424,586 | 200,153 | 624,739 | 124,376 (2,073,000 PE) |

4.5 Cost Estimate

The total construction cost for the 22 sewerage development projects is estimated to be Kn. 2,739 million, broken down into Kn. 1,365 million for the Zagreb sewerage development project and Kn. 1,374 million for the other 21 sewerage development projects. The total construction cost of Kn. 1,374 million for the 21 sewerage development projects is further broken down into Kn. 531 million for collector and Kn. 843 million for treatment plant as shown below. The construction cost of each sewerage development project is also shown in Table I-2.

| Item | Cost (million Kn) |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Direct Construction Cost | 853.5 |
| Collector | 337.7 |
| Transport/Main Collector | 153.4 |
| Secondary/Tertiary Sewer | 184.3 |
| Treatment Plant | 515.8 |
| Land Acquisition Cost | 3.6 |
| Indirect Construction Cost* | 345.9 |
| Contingency | 170.7 |
| Total | 1,373.8 |
| | |

* Include engineering, administration, Customs Duties and VAT. Ex. Rate: US\$1.00 = Kn 8.3 = JP¥ 116 at February 2001 prices

5. EVALUATION OF RIVER WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT

5.1 Objective Location for Water Quality Simulation

The existing and future river water quality was simulated at the following locations of the Sava Main River, Kupa River, Lonja River and Kutina River for both cases of without and with project situations. For the objective locations of the simulation, see Fig. I-1.

| River | Location of Simulation |
|-----------------|---|
| Sava Main River | Oborovo (downstream of Zagreb); Utok Kupe Nizvodno (downstream of Sisak) |
| Kupa River | Recica (downstream of Karlovac); Brest (immediately upstream of Petrinja) |
| Lonja River | K. Lonja Strug (before confluence with Cesma River); Struzec (after confluence with Cesma |
| - | River) |
| Kutina River | Kutina (downstream of treatment plant) |

5.2 Simulation Methodology

(1) General

The point pollution load includes municipal wastewater and industrial wastewater; whereas, non-point pollution load includes the wastewater from households (not served by sewerage), livestock and lands. Moreover, point pollution loads run off 100% into the rivers, while non-point pollution loads lose a large portion before entering the rivers, especially in dry period. Both point and non-point loads decrease while they flow down the rivers (tributary and main river) due to self-purification effects.

The pollution load generation in the Study Area is estimated for 20 subdivided basins aggregated into six (6) major sub-basins.

(2) Runoff Coefficient

Non-point load runoff is largely affected by rainfall. The runoff coefficients of non-point loads vary depending on the river flow rate and basin topography/geology. The runoff coefficients of non-point loads are estimated, based on the observed river water quantity and quality data. Runoff coefficients of the Krapina and Kupa river basins are graphically shown below.



(3) Self-purification Rate

The self-purification rates of rivers vary depending on the river flow velocity. The rate is estimated based on the analysis of the pollution load reduction between Zagreb and Sisak. The self-purification rates of the tributaries in the left and right bank basins of the Sava Main River are assumed at 0.5% per km and 2% per km, respectively. However, the self-purification rates of the main rivers (Sava Main, Kupa and Lonja rivers) are calculated in more detail by using the Streeter-Phelps Formula.

5.3 Simulation of River Water Quality

5.3.1 Pollution Load Runoff to Main River Without Project

The future pollution load runoff of the six (6) major sub-basins to the main rivers (Sava Main, Lower Kupa and Lonja) without project are summarized below compared with the existing ones.

| | | | | | | | (Unit: B | OD, kg/d) |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|----------|-----------|
| Source | Upper Sava | Middle Sava | Lower Sava | Upper Kupa | Lower Kupa | Lonja | Tot | al |
| Existing (1999) | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 50,594 | 0 | 1,734 | 2,208 | 3,878 | 2,862 | 61,308 | (70%) |
| Industrial | 10,942 | 0 | 159 | 1,639 | 906 | 1,540 | 15,196 | (17%) |
| Non-point | 848 | 22 | 963 | 3,199 | 4,550 | 1,066 | 10,649 | (12%) |
| Total | 62,384 | 22 | 2,857 | 7,046 | 9,334 | 5,468 | 87,153 | (100%) |
| Without (2015) | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 78,141 | 0 | 3,396 | 8,637 | 6,705 | 7,768 | 104,648 | (77%) |
| Industrial | 16,535 | 0 | 216 | 8 | 507 | 485 | 23,752 | (13%) |
| Non-point | 848 | 22 | 963 | 3,199 | 4,550 | 1,066 | 10,649 | (8%) |
| Total | 95,524 | 22 | 4,575 | 11,845 | 11,762 | 9,319 | 133,047 | (100%) |

5.3.2 Simulated River Water Quality

The river water quality of the existing, future without project, and future with project were simulated for the river flow rate of 95% probability according to the government standard. The simulated river water quality at the principal locations is shown below.

| | | | | (U | Init: BOD, mg/l) |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Divor | Location | Existing | Future Without | Future With | Standard |
| Kivei | Location | Existing | Project (2015) | Project (2015) | (Category) |
| Sava Main | Oborovo | 8.8 (8.6) | 11.6 | 4.6 | ≤8.0 (III) |
| | Utok Kupe Nizvodno | 5.6 (5.7) | 7.4 | 3.1 | ≤4.0 (II) |
| Kupa | Recica | 4.3 (4.3) | 6.2 | 3.1 | ≤4.0 (II) |
| | Brest | 3.5 (3.5) | 4.7 | 2.6 | ≤4.0 (II) |
| Lonja | K. Lonja Strug (Crnec River) | 27.1 | 49.1 | 7.2 | ≤8.0 (III) |
| | Struzec (Lonjsko Polje) | 8.5 | 14.6 | 3.4 | ≤4.0 (II) |
| Kutina | Kutina | 70.0 | 70.0 | 16.0 | ≤4.0 (II) |

Note: Values in parentheses are the observed quality.

The proposed master plan will improve the river water quality to a large extent. The improved river water quality will satisfy the national standards in the Sava Main, Kupa and Lonja rivers. For the Kutina River, the improvement to BOD 16 mg/l is the maximum due to the limitation of natural river flow.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FINANCING POLICY

(1) Greater Government Contribution

The proposed master plan project will require a large amount of investment cost: (i) Kn. 530 million for collectors, and (ii) Kn. 840 million for treatment plants (excluding Zagreb City).

Recent financing mechanisms for municipal company sewerage projects have been: equity (20%), water management fund (40%), and local funding (40%).

Since the Water Management Fund is an interest free loan, the municipal service companies have to shoulder 80% of the investment cost. Furthermore, although the sewerage network is of benefit to the local population, wastewater treatment plants benefit the populations downstream and enhance the environment nationwide; therefore, they are of national importance.

It is therefore recommended that consideration be given to a higher level of government subsidy than has been granted in the recent past.

(2) Utilization of the Water Management Fund

The Water Management Financing Act practically limits the financial source for water pollution control to the payments collected through the water protection charge.

Besides, water supply systems are more developed than sewerage systems. Hence, more funds will be required in the sewerage sector in the immediate future.

It is suggested that the water use charge and the water protection charge be combined and made available for water supply and/or sewerage projects. This will make it possible to have a larger source of funds on a priority basis for the particular needs of the sewerage sector.

(3) Financial Arrangements for Sewerage Development

It is important to the success of the project in the Sava River Basin that a policy is developed to enhance the financial capability of the municipal service companies. Of major importance are the collection efficiency of these companies, and the level of tariff for sewerage services.

Source of funds for Croatian Waters is the water protection charge, which could be increased by improved collection efficiency. In addition, the level of the water pollution charge should not be lower than the cost of wastewater treatment in accordance with the Water Management Financing Act. This charge should be determined annually and enforced within the limitations of affordability.

Source of funds for the municipal service companies is the tariff, which should be set to cover the cost of operation, maintenance and development. Realistic tariffs should be set, within the limitations of affordability. The sources of funds to the companies could be increased by improved collection efficiencies.

In addition, loan agreements between Croatian Waters and the municipal companies should include provisions for the attainment of collection efficiency targets, for the setting of tariff levels necessary to meet financial obligations, and others.

(4) Financial Assistance for Industrial Wastewater Treatment

A substantial sum is billed annually by Croatian Waters to industries that pollute. The amount contributed by industry to the water protection charge is allocated for the protection of water resources in general and not specifically returned to industry for investment in prevention of pollution.

Approximately Kn. 130 million (excluding Zagreb) will be required to upgrade the pre-treatment facilities of large industries in the Study Area. It is therefore recommended that soft loans be made available to these industries, through the Water Management Fund, to upgrade their pre-treatment facilities.

PART II FEASIBILITY STUDY

1. INTRODUCTION

Among the 22 projects proposed in the master plan, five (5) sewerage development projects were selected as priority ones for the feasibility study through detailed discussions with the State Water Directorate and the Croatian Waters. These five (5) projects are: (i) the Dugo Selo Sewerage Development Project, (ii) the Vrbovec Sewerage Development Project, (iii) the Sisak Sewerage Development Project, (iv) the Kutina Sewerage Development Project, and (v) the Karlovac-Duga Resa Sewerage Development Project.

The proposed projects will treat a large quantity of industrial wastewater. Since setting a farther target year may cause a significant error in the estimation of industrial wastewater flow because the future economic growth of the country is still uncertain, the target year of the F/S projects, which are the first stage of the master plan, is set at the year 2007.

2. PLANNING BASIS

2.1 Design Wastewater Flow

(1) Design Unit Municipal Wastewater Quantity

The design unit municipal wastewater quantity for the F/S projects is summarized below.

| Po | opulation Size | <10,000 (l/capita/day) | ≥10,000 (l/capita/day) |
|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Daily Average | Domestic | 160 | 160 |
| | Institutional/Small Industry | 20 | 60 |
| | Groundwater Infiltration | 60 | 60 |
| | Total | 240 | 280 |
| Daily Maximum | Domestic | 210 | 210 |
| | Institutional/Small Industry | 30 | 80 |
| | Groundwater Infiltration | 60 | 60 |
| | Total | 300 | 350 |

(2) Design Unit Pollution Load of Municipal Wastewater

In the same way as the master plan study, the design unit pollution load of domestic wastewater is set at BOD: 60 g/capita/day and the design unit BOD pollution load of institutional and small industrial wastewater is set by assuming the BOD concentration at 200 mg/l.

(3) Design Total Sewerage Wastewater

In the same way as the master plan study, the wastewater quantity and quality of large industry is estimated individually. The total wastewater quantity and pollution loads into public sewerage are estimated by adding those of large industries to the municipal ones.

2.2 Wastewater Treatment Level

(1) General

The proposed master plan of all the five (5) sewage treatment plants will treat the wastewater to the level: BOD = 25 mg/l, COD-Cr = 125 mg/l, TSS = 35 mg/l and T-P = 2 mg/l by Anaerobic-Oxic Activated Sludge (AO) treatment system. The AO system consists of: (i) preliminary treatment; (ii) primary sedimentation; (iii) anaerobic process; (iv) aeration process; and (v) secondary sedimentation.

This feasibility study proposes the first stage treatment process of the master plan in due consideration of: (i) required improvement of river water quality; and (ii) required treatment cost.

(2) River Water Quality Simulation

For the above-mentioned purposes, the river water quality at the principal stations in the year 2007 was simulated for the cases of without and with project situations. The simulation was made for the river flow rate of 95% probability according to the government standard.

The point and non-point pollution load runoffs of the six (6) major sub-basins to the main rivers without project in 2007 are estimated as follows in terms of BOD, compared with the existing ones.

| | | | | | | | (Unit: BO |)D, kg/d) |
|-----------------|------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| Source | Upper Sava | Middle Sava | Lower Sava | Upper Kupa | Lower Kupa | Lonja | Tot | al |
| Existing (1999) | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 50,594 | 0 | 1,734 | 2,208 | 3,878 | 2,862 | 61,308 | (70%) |
| Industrial | 10,942 | 0 | 159 | 1,639 | 906 | 1,540 | 15,196 | (17%) |
| Non-point | 848 | 22 | 963 | 3,199 | 4,550 | 1,066 | 10,649 | (12%) |
| Total | 62,384 | 22 | 2,857 | 7,046 | 9,334 | 5,468 | 87,153 | (100%) |
| Without (2007) | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 53,829 | 0 | 2,703 | 5,516 | 5,371 | 4,361 | 71,780 | (66%) |
| Industrial | 23,947 | 0 | 160 | 115 | 538 | 1,656 | 26,411 | (24%) |
| Non-point | 848 | 22 | 963 | 3,199 | 4,550 | 1,066 | 10,649 | (10%) |
| Total | 78,621 | 22 | 3,826 | 8,829 | 10,459 | 7,084 | 108,840 | (100%) |

The river water quality with F/S projects was simulated under the following basic assumptions:

- (a) In the above F/S towns, the industries that directly discharge into the rivers will also treat the wastewater in compliance with the government regulations. However, all the industries in the other towns/municipalities are assumed to maintain their existing conditions of wastewater treatment.
- (b) The ongoing Zagreb sewerage project will treat the wastewater to the permissible limits of effluent (BOD = 25 mg/l, COD-Cr = 125 mg/l, TSS = 35 mg/l).
- (3) Simulated Water Quality of Sava Main and Kupa River

The water quality of the Sava Main and Kupa rivers in 2007 without project was simulated and the results are shown in the table below compared with the existing ones.

(Unit: BOD mg/l)

| | | | | | (Unit. BOD ing/I) |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| River | Location | Existing (1999) | Without (2007) | With F/S (2007) | Remarks |
| Sava Main | Oborovo | 8.8 (8.6) | 10.2 | 4.8* | After Zagreb |
| | Utok Kupe Nizvodno | 5.6 (5.7) | 6.5 | 3.1* | After Sisak |
| Kupa | Recica | 4.3 (4.3) | 5.0 | 4.0 | After Karlovac |
| | Brest | 3.5 (3.5) | 3.9 | 3.5 | Before Petrinja |
| | DIESI | 3.3 (3.3) | 3.9 | 3.3 | Beloie retilija |

Note: Values in parentheses are the observed quality; * including effects of Zagreb Project

The water quality of the Sava Main River in 2007 is expected to greatly improve due to the ongoing Zagreb Project. Besides, the water quality of the Kupa River will not exceed the standard quality to a serious level even in the case of without project as shown in the above table. Hence, the treatment level of primary sedimentation is considered applicable for the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa F/S projects.

The river water quality in 2007 with primary sedimentation (treatment efficiency: 40%) of the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa F/S projects was simulated and the results are shown in the above table as well.

(4) Simulated Water Quality of Lonja River

The water quality of the Lonja River with Dugo Selo and Vrbovec F/S projects in 2007 was simulated for the principal river locations: Crnec River at K. Lonja Strug and Lonja River (Lonjsko Polje) at Struzec. In this simulation, two (2) alternatives of treatment level: (i) primary sedimentation (treatment efficiency: 40%) and (ii) biological treatment (effluent BOD: 25 mg/l) were also compared. The results are summarized below.

| | | (Unit: BOD mg/l) |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Treatment Level | K. Lonja Strug | Struzec |
| Existing (1999) | 27.1 | 8.5 |
| Without Project (2007) | 36.3 | 11.1 |
| Primary Sedimentation (2007) | 33.5 | 10.6 |
| Biological Process (2007) | 31.0 | 10.1 |

As shown in the above table, the improvement effects are small. Additional projects may be necessary to attain a significant water quality improvement of the Lonja River.

On the other hand, the implementation of the Sesvete East project has already been approved and the Ivanić Grad-Kloštar Ivanić project will be implemented in the near future. The river water quality of the Lonja River will improve as shown below in relation to the above two (2) projects. The improved river water quality would satisfy the Category III standards for the Crnec River and nearly meets the Category II standards for the Lonjsko Polje.

| | (| Unit: BOD mg/l) |
|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Treatment Level | K. Lonja Strug | Struzec |
| Existing (1999) | 27.1 | 8.5 |
| Without Project (2007) | 36.3 | 11.1 |
| Primary Sedimentation (2007) | 19.4 | 7.9 |
| Biological Process (2007) | 7.6 | 5.2 |
| Standard (category) | ≤8.0 (III) | ≤4.0 (II) |

(5) Simulated Water Quality of Kutina River

The natural flow of the Kutina River is negligible in dry season. All the river water is recharged by the wastewater of the sewerage and factories. Besides, the Petrokemija factory discharges a large quantity of wastewater into the Kutina River with a low BOD concentration, but a high T-N content.

The river water quality with the Kutina F/S project is estimated as follows, compared with the case without project.

| | (Ui | nit: BOD, mg/l) |
|-----------------------------|------|-----------------|
| Treatment | 1999 | 2007 |
| Without | 70 | 70 |
| Primary Sedimentation | - | 50 |
| Biological Treatment | - | 16 |

(6) Proposed Wastewater Treatment Level

The Dugo Selo, Vrbovec and Kutina F/S projects will treat the wastewater to BOD 25 mg/l; while, the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa F/S projects will treat the influent BOD by 40%. However, the treatment of T-P will be deferred to the second stage in all the projects in due consideration of priority sequence.

2.3 Structural Design Principle

- (1) In principle, the proposed sewerage system aims to serve almost all the population within the existing service area in 2007. No significant extension of the service area is proposed.
- (2) Necessary transport collectors, main sewers and secondary/tertiary sewers to attain the above objectives are proposed. The collector/sewer size is designed to meet the design wastewater flow of the master plan.
- (3) The treatment plant is proposed since it is the first stage of the master plan. The capacity is designed to treat the wastewater flow in 2007 and the process is applied to meet the requirement of river water quality improvement in 2007.

3. PROPOSED SEWERAGE DEVELOPMENT

3.1 Design Criteria for Sewerage System and Treatment Plant

The design criteria of the sewerage systems and treatment plants of the five (5) F/S projects are summarized below. The proposed sewerage service areas are shown in Fig. II-1 (1) to Fig. II-5 (1).

| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|---|-----------|---------|--------|--------|------------------------|
| Service Area (ha) | 516 | 422 | 944 | 734 | 1,142 |
| Served Population | 10,300 | 5,900 | 45,400 | 19,600 | 43,800 |
| Served Large Industry (No.) | - | 2 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| Daily Maximum Wastewater Quantity (m ³ /d) | 3,605 | 4,539 | 16,973 | 7,678 | 23,285 |
| Municipal Wastewater (m ³ /d) | 3,605 | 1,770 | 15,890 | 6,860 | 15,430 |
| Industrial Wastewater (m ³ /d) | - | 2,769 | 1,083 | 818 | 7,855 |
| Influent BOD Concentration (mg/l) | 211 | 198 | 211 | 190 | 193 |
| Pollution Load (PE) | 12,700 | 14,600 | 59,900 | 24,500 | 74,800 |
| Effluent BOD Concentration (mg/l) | 25 | 25 | 127 | 25 | 116 |

3.2 Proposed Sewer

The main features of the proposed collectors in the five (5) F/S projects are summarized below. Location of the proposed collectors is shown in Fig. II-1 (1) to Fig. II-5 (1).

| Urban Center | Transport | Collector | Main Sewer | | Secondary/Tertiary | | Total | |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------|--------------------|--------|-----------|--------|
| | Ø (mm) | L (m) | Ø (mm) | L (m) | Ø (mm) | L (m) | Ø (mm) | L (m) |
| Dugo Selo | 800-1,200 | 5,490 | - | - | 400 | 2,100 | 400-1,200 | 7,590 |
| Vrbovec | 350-400 | 1,880 | - | - | 100 | 750 | 100-400 | 2,630 |
| Sisak | 450-1,000 | 6,340 | - | - | - | - | 450-1,000 | 6,340 |
| Kutina | - | - | 400 | 180 | 100-200 | 9,000 | 100-400 | 9,180 |
| Karlovac - Duga | 300-1,700 | 11,670 | - | - | 400 | 1,000 | 300-1,700 | 12,670 |
| Kesa | | | | | | | | |
| Total | | 25,380 | | 180 | | 12,850 | | 38,410 |

3.3 Proposed Treatment Plant

The mechanical dewatering system is proposed for the sludge treatment of all the five (5) F/S projects. The following treatment processes are proposed:

- (1) Biological Treatment (AS) is proposed for the Dugo Selo, Vrbovec and Kutina F/S projects as the first stage. AS is part of the AO process. The remaining part of the AO process will be deferred to the second stage to remove T-P.
- (2) Primary Sedimentation is proposed for the Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa F/S projects as the first stage.

The main features of the proposed treatment plants in the five (5) F/S projects are summarized in the following table. Layouts of the proposed treatment plants are shown in Fig. II-1 (2) to Fig. II-5 (2).

| Main Features | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|
| Treatment Dragog | Activated | Activated | Primary | Activated | Primary |
| Treatment Process | Sludge | Sludge | Sedimentation | Sludge | Sedimentation |
| Preliminary Treatment (unit) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Primary Sedimentation Tank | | | | | |
| Unit | 3 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Width (m) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.5 |
| Length (m) | 12.0 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 |
| Depth (m) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Aeration Tank | | | | | |
| Unit | 3 | 3 | - | 3 | - |
| Width (m) | 5.0 | 5.0 | - | 5.0 | - |
| Length (m) | 20.0 | 22.0 | - | 35.0 | - |
| Depth (m) | 5.0 | 5.0 | - | 5.0 | - |
| Secondary Sedimentation Tank | | | | | |
| Unit | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - |
| Diameter (m) | 12.0 | 13.0 | - | 17.0 | - |
| Depth (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | - | 3.5 | - |
| Belt Press Filter | | | | | |
| Unit | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Width (m) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 2.0 |

Note: Preliminary Treatment includes inlet pump, screen, oil/sand trap.

4. **PROJECT COST**

4.1 Construction Cost

The construction cost of collectors and treatment plants is estimated with the following cost components. In this estimate, the following exchange rates at the end of February 2001 is employed: US1.00 = Kn. $8.3 = JP \neq 116$.

| Item | Remarks |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| (1) Direct Construction Cost | |
| (2) Land Acquisition Cost | |
| (3) Indirect Construction Cost | |
| (a) Engineering Cost | $10\% \times (1)$ |
| (b) Administration Cost | $3\% \times (1)$ |
| (c) Customs Duties | 10% of Mechanical/Electrical Works |
| (d) VAT | $22\% \times \{(1) + (a)\}$ |
| (4) Contingency | $10\% \times (1)$ |

The construction cost of each of the five (5) F/S projects is as estimated below at 2001 prices.

| | | | | | (Unit. | IIIIIIOII KII) |
|----------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|------------------------|----------------|
| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa | Total |
| Direct Construction Cost | 33.51 | 25.69 | 45.52 | 27.12 | 85.39 | 217.22 |
| Collector | 10.42 | 2.42 | 14.18 | 6.08 | 41.73 | 74.83 |
| Transport/Main Collector | 8.77 | 2.10 | 14.18 | 0.18 | 40.86 | 66.09 |
| Secondary/Tertiary Sewer | 1.65 | 0.32 | - | 5.91 | 0.87 | 8.74 |
| Treatment Plant | 23.08 | 23.27 | 31.33 | 21.04 | 43.66 | 142.38 |
| Land Acquisition Cost | 0.19 | 0.20 | - | - | 1.45 | 1.84 |
| Indirect Construction Cost | 13.90 | 11.05 | 18.89 | 11.33 | 34.38 | 89.53 |
| Contingency | 3.35 | 2.57 | 4.55 | 2.71 | 8.54 | 21.72 |
| Total | 50.94 | 39.51 | 68.95 | 41.16 | 129.76 | 330.31 |
| | | | | | | |

(Unit: million Kn)

4.2 **Operation and Maintenance Cost**

The annual operation and maintenance (O&M) costs of the five (5) F/S projects (excluding O&M costs for the existing sewerage systems) are as estimated below at 2001prices.

| | | | | | | (Uni | t: 10^3 Kn) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|------------------------|---------------|
| Facilities | Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa | Total |
| Collector | Maintenance | 79 | 19 | 46 | 107 | 317 | 568 |
| WWTP | | 1,508 | 1,508 | 1,937 | 2,417 | 2,013 | 9,383 |
| | Electric Charge | 350 | 350 | 499 | 666 | 526 | 2,391 |
| | Personnel Expense | 499 | 499 | 499 | 499 | 499 | 2,495 |
| | Mechanical Maintenance | 168 | 168 | 240 | 320 | 252 | 1,148 |
| | Laboratory | 154 | 154 | 220 | 293 | 231 | 1,052 |
| | Others | 336 | 336 | 479 | 639 | 505 | 2,295 |
| Total | | 1,588 | 1,527 | 1,983 | 2,524 | 2,330 | 9,952 |

Note: WWTP means wastewater treatment plant.

5. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The environmental impacts of the proposed projects were assessed as to the following items: (i) land acquisition, (ii) noise during construction/operation, (iii) foundation geology of treatment plant, (iv) flora/fauna, (v) dust/odor, (vi) water pollution/water use, and (vii) sludge disposal/groundwater. The assessment results are summarized below.

| Item | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| Land Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Noise | 0 | 0 | Δ | 0 | 0 |
| Geology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flora/Fauna | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dust/Odor | Δ | Δ | Δ | Δ | Δ |
| Water Pollution/Water Use | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sludge Disposal/Groundwater | Δ | Δ | Δ | Δ | Δ |

Note: O: none or negligible Δ : slight impact but acceptable

- (1) Noise may be caused by the installation of the transport collectors in the Sisak project. However, the impact is slight, temporary and acceptable in the daytime.
- (2) The operation of construction equipment and earth works may produce dust. Although the impacts are temporary, some control measures (such as covering) should be taken. However, the operation of the sewage treatment plant will cause no significant odor since the generated sludge is treated by the mechanical dewatering system and the treatment plants are located more than 250 to 300 m away from the nearest residences.
- (3) Heavy metals were either not detected or negligible in the wastewater effluent of the large industries in the F/S towns. Hence, the quality of sludge generated from the projects is considered normal. On the other hand, the concentration of heavy metals in the sludge of the existing biological treatment plants in the country is lower than the permissible limits of the Croatian Government for sludge disposal.

Accordingly, the dewatered sludge of projects can be disposed on the solid waste disposal sites of the respective towns. The groundwater around the existing solid waste disposal sites in the F/S towns has only been slightly polluted by organic matter. Further, the F/S towns have plans to install a leachate treatment system in the near future. Hence, the sludge disposal on the existing solid waste disposal sites will cause no significant impacts on the surrounding environments.

6. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

6.1 Introduction

The sewerage systems of Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina, Karlovac and Duga Resa are independently operated and maintained by their respective municipal service companies at present. However, the sewerage systems of Karlovac and Duga Resa will be jointly operated and maintained by their service companies in the future.

The financial feasibility of the proposed five (5) sewerage development projects was confirmed through evaluation of the financial statement of each municipal company. Further, it was checked through the calculation of financial internal rate of return (FIRR). The financial analysis was made for both the existing and the proposed integrated sewerage system.

The financial feasibility of the projects much depends on the future growth of GDP. It is because the affordable sewerage charges and the personnel expenses for operation/maintenance will increase in proportion to the growth of per capita GDP and further, the industrial wastewater quantity will also increase according to the growth of GDP.

The industrial activities in the Study Area have not recovered yet and the future economic growth of the country is still uncertain. Hence, in this financial analysis, a lower growth of GDP (half of the assumed growth for the engineering studies) is applied to ensure the reliability of financial evaluation; namely, 1.8% for 2001-2005, 2.75% for 2006-2010 and 2.25% for 2011-2015.

6.2 Implementation Schedule

The proposed projects are assumed to start in 2003 with completion in 2007. The treatment plants of Dugo Selo, Vrbovec and Kutina will be constructed in two (2) stages: Stage I for primary treatment system and Stage II for biological treatment system. The influent/effluent wastewater to/from the primary sedimentation tank will be monitored for one (1) year before the commencement of Stage II works. On the other hand, the treatment plant of Sisak and Karlovac-Duga Resa will be constructed simultaneously since the wastewater is to be treated only by the primary sedimentation process.

| Item | Construction Works | Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Kutina | Sisak, Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Detailed Design and Land Acquisition | | 2003 | 2003 |
| Stage I Construction | Collector, Primary Treatment, Sludge Treatment, etc. | 2004 - Mid 2005 | 2004 - 2006 |
| Monitoring | | Mid 2005 - Mid 2006 | - |
| Stage II Construction | Biological Treatment | Mid 2006 - 2007 | - |

The proposed implementation schedule of the five (5) F/S projects is given below.

6.3 Disbursement Schedule of Construction and O&M Costs

| | | | | | (Unit: 10 ³ H | Kn, 2001 Price) |
|--------------------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Project | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | Total |
| Dugo Selo | 1,058 | 26,414 | 13,643 | 3,566 | 6,261 | 50,941 |
| Vrbovec | 867 | 18,616 | 9,642 | 3,683 | 6,698 | 39,506 |
| Sisak | 1,479 | 8,027 | 29,724 | 29,724 | - | 68,954 |
| Kutina | 705 | 18,753 | 9,729 | 4,225 | 7,744 | 41,157 |
| Karlovac-Duga Resa | 4,228 | 21,867 | 51,830 | 51,830 | - | 129,755 |
| Total | 8,337 | 93,677 | 114,567 | 93,028 | 20,703 | 330,312 |

The disbursement schedules of construction costs are summarized below.

The disbursement schedules of the total O&M cost of existing and proposed sewerage systems are summarized below.

| | | | | | | (Un | it: 10 ³ Kn, 2 | 2001 Price) |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------------------------|-------------|
| Year | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2010 | 2015 - |
| Dugo Selo | 120 | 122 | 696 | 1,280 | 1,291 | 2,387 | 2,428 | 2,520 |
| Vrbovec | 768 | 776 | 1,326 | 1,889 | 1,911 | 3,019 | 3,082 | 3,222 |
| Sisak | 7,308 | 7,388 | 7,469 | 7,596 | 10,307 | 10,458 | 10,771 | 11,470 |
| Kutina | 2,252 | 2,276 | 3,167 | 4,082 | 4,133 | 5,826 | 5,950 | 6,226 |
| Karlovac-Duga Resa | 8,091 | 8,180 | 8,270 | 8,410 | 12,077 | 12,248 | 12,606 | 13,403 |

6.4 Revenue of Sewerage Charge

(1) Existing Unit Sewerage Charge

At present, two (2) kinds of unit charges are set in each town: one is for domestic users and another is for the other users (institutional and small/large industries). The sewerage charges are imposed on the users based on their water consumption. The unit sewerage charges including VAT (22%) of the six (6) towns in 2001 are as estimated below on the basis of wastewater quantity by assuming the sewerage return rate at 80%.

| | (Unit: | Kn/m ³ , 2001 Price) |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Town | Domestic User | Other Users |
| Dugo Selo | 0.36 | 0.74 |
| Vrbovec | 0.59 | 0.81 |
| Sisak | 1.34 | 4.00 |
| Kutina | 4.12 | 4.12 |
| Karlovac | 1.45 | 2.67 |
| Duga Resa | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| Average | 1.40 | 2.15 |

(2) Affordable Unit Domestic Sewerage Charge

The average household income in the six (6) towns is estimated at 3,600 Kn/month. According to the questionnaire survey, the inhabitants' willingness to pay domestic sewerage charges ranges from 18.0 Kn/household/month to 34.0 Kn/household/month, or 24.5 Kn/household/month on the average.

On the other hand, the average domestic wastewater quantity of households is estimated to be 12.0 m^3 /household/month when the family size is assumed at 3 persons.

From the above data and discussions, the rates of existing and affordable domestic sewerage charges to household income are calculated as follows.

| Town | Household (Kn/hous | Domestic Charge sehold/month) | Domestic Charge Rate to Household Income (%) | | |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| | Existing | Willingness to Pay | Existing | Willingness to Pay | |
| Dugo Selo | 4.32 | 28.0 | 0.12 | 0.78 | |
| Vrbovec | 7.08 | 20.0 | 0.20 | 0.56 | |
| Sisak | 16.08 | 18.0 | 0.45 | 0.50 | |
| Kutina | 49.44 | 34.0 | 1.37 | 0.94 | |
| Karlovac | 17.40 | 20.0 | 0.48 | 0.56 | |
| Duga Resa | 6.48 | 27.0 | 0.18 | 0.75 | |
| Average | 16.80 | 24.5 | 0.47 | 0.68 | |
| Note: Estimate | d at 2001 prices | | | | |

As shown in the above table, the affordable ratio of domestic sewerage charge to household income is in the range of 0.5% and 0.9%, or 0.7% on the average.

(3) Wastewater Quantity

The sewerage wastewater quantity is classified into domestic wastewater and other wastewater (institutional, small industries and large industries) corresponding to the classification of sewerage charge collection. The wastewater quantity is assumed to increase at a certain rate until 2007 and thereafter, become constant.

The projects are the first stage of the master plan and the treatment of excess wastewater generated after 2007 is regarded as the second stage project. The annual wastewater quantity of the five (5) sewerage systems is as estimated below. In this estimation, the connection rate is assumed at 90% for domestic users and 100% for other users.

| | | | | (Unit | $: 10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{year})$ |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| Year | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 - |
| Dugo Selo | 742 | 798 | 853 | 908 | 974 |
| Domestic | 520 | 558 | 596 | 634 | 682 |
| Others | 221 | 239 | 257 | 274 | 292 |
| Vrbovec | 348 | 376 | 835 | 1,298 | 1,333 |
| Domestic | 304 | 327 | 350 | 373 | 396 |
| Others | 45 | 49 | 485 | 925 | 937 |
| Sisak | 3,662 | 3,915 | 4,168 | 4,421 | 4,674 |
| Domestic | 2,360 | 2,532 | 2,703 | 2,875 | 3,046 |
| Others | 1,301 | 1,383 | 1,465 | 1,546 | 1,628 |
| Kutina | 1,663 | 1,783 | 1,903 | 2,022 | 2,143 |
| Domestic | 996 | 1,075 | 1,154 | 1,233 | 1,313 |
| Others | 667 | 708 | 749 | 789 | 830 |
| Karlovac-Duga Resa | 4,260 | 4,559 | 4,859 | 5,745 | 6,637 |
| Domestic | 2,126 | 2,325 | 2,524 | 2,723 | 2,922 |
| Others | 2,133 | 2,234 | 2,335 | 3,023 | 3,715 |

(4) Revenue of Sewerage Charge

The annual revenue of sewerage charge is estimated as the product of the annual wastewater quantity and the proposed sewerage charge. In this estimation, the existing collection rate of sewerage charge is assumed to gradually improve to 90% by 2007.

6.5 Financial Evaluation

6.5.1 General

Each municipal service company should be able to perform a sound sewerage business by collecting sewerage charges set within the users' affordability. For this purpose, a certain

amount of financial assistance from the Central Government (including Croatian Waters) is considered necessary for the construction of the proposed projects. The possible financial sources of construction cost are the Central Government (Grant and Water Management Fund Loan) and the external ODA loan to be extended through the Central Government.

In this financial evaluation, the required sewerage charge and financial assistance from the Central Government are estimated by analyzing the financial status of each municipal service company. The financial limitation of the Central Government is not considered.

6.5.2 Existing Financing System

The financing system usually applied for sewerage projects in Croatia is as follows:

- (1) Twenty percent (20%) of the construction cost is provided by the Central Government as Grant.
- (2) Forty percent (40%) of the construction cost is financed from the proceeds of an interest free loan with 50-year repayment from the Water Management Fund through Croatian Waters.
- (3) The remaining 40% of the construction cost is financed with funds from the Local Government/municipal service company.
- (4) The O/M and depreciation costs are fully covered by sewerage charges, in principle.

6.5.3 Calculation of Required Sewerage Charge

(1) General

In the determination of the required sewerage charges, financial statements consisting of income and cash flow statements of the municipal service companies were prepared on the assumption that they satisfy the following conditions under the assumed financial assistance of the Central Government and the external loan.

- (a) Annual net income should be almost positive throughout the entire period of 25 years (2003–2027).
- (b) External loan liability should be zero in 25 years.
- (c) Necessary cash should be reserved before the replacement of mechanical and electrical equipment.
- (2) Preparation of Alternatives

The required sewerage charges vary depending on the conditions of the financial assistance of the Central Government and the external loan. Hence, the following typical four (4) alternatives were prepared for the financial evaluation.

| Case | Financing for Construction Cost | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Central Government Grant | Water Management Fund | External Loan | | | | | | |
| Alternative-1 | 20% | 40% (no interest) | 40% (interest: 2%) | | | | | | |
| Alternative-2 | 20% | 40% (no interest) | 40% (interest: 6%) | | | | | | |
| Alternative-3 | 40% | - | 60% (interest: 2%) | | | | | | |
| Alternative-4 | 60% | - | 40% (interest: 2%) | | | | | | |

In the above alternatives, repayment of the Water Management Fund loan is assumed to be 50 years including a 7-year grace period, and that of the external loan is 25 years also including a 7-year grace period.

(3) Calculated Sewerage Charge

The required sewerage charges of the five (5) municipal service companies under the four (4) alternatives were calculated under the following assumptions:

- (a) The Water Management Fund loan and the external loan are repaid from sewerage charges. Besides, the O/M and depreciation costs are also covered by sewerage charges.
- (b) The unit sewerage charges vary at present and are set by each town individually. The ratio between unit domestic charge and unit other charges also vary town at present and the existing ratio is assumed to be maintained in the future.
- (c) The unit sewerage charge will increase in proportion to the growth of per capita GDP.
- (d) Profit tax is assumed at 20%.
- (e) In Karlovac Town, the replacement of damaged sewers will be implemented during five (5) years (2003-2007), separately from the proposed sewerage development project of Karlovac-Duga Resa. The replacement cost is estimated to be Kn. 58.88 million at the price of 2001. It is assumed that 60% of the cost is to be provided by the Local Government in the sort of grant and 40% is to be covered by sewerage charges.

The calculated sewerage charges in 2001 are shown in the following table at the price of 2001 compared with the existing ones. The values of calculated internal rate of return (FIRR) are also shown in the same table.

| | Dugo Selo | Vrbovec | Sisak | Kutina | Karlovac- Duga Resa |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|------------------------|
| Existing | | | | | |
| Rate to Household Income (%) | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.45 | 1.37 | 0.48 (0.18) |
| Domestic Charge (Kn/m ³) | 0.36 | 0.59 | 1.34 | 4.12 | 1.45 (0.54) |
| Other Charge (Kn/m ³) | 0.74 | 0.81 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 2.67 (0.54) |
| FIRR (%) | | | | | |
| Alternative 1 | | | | | |
| Rate to Household Income (%) | 0.95 | 0.80 | 0.48 | 1.37 | 0.63 |
| Domestic Charge (Kn/m ³) | 2.85 | 2.40 | 1.44 | 4.12 | 1.89 |
| Other Charge (Kn/m ³) | 5.86 | 3.29 | 4.30 | 4.12 | 2.92 |
| FIRR (%) | 4.81 | 4.69 | 3.67 | 24.23 | 4.87 |
| Alternative 2 | | | | | |
| Rate to Household Income (%) | 1.02 | 0.85 | 0.51 | 1.37 | 0.66 |
| Domestic Charge (Kn/m ³) | 3.06 | 2.55 | 1.53 | 4.12 | 1.98 |
| Other Charge (Kn/m ³) | 6.29 | 3.50 | 4.57 | 4.12 | 3.06 |
| FIRR (%) | 6.54 | 6.51 | 6.75 | 24.23 | 6.20 |
| Alternative 3 | | | | | |
| Rate to Household Income (%) | 0.98 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 1.37 | 0.67 |
| Domestic Charge (Kn/m ³) | 2.94 | 2.52 | 1.50 | 4.12 | 2.01 |
| Other Charge (Kn/m ³) | 6.04 | 3.46 | 4.48 | 4.12 | 3.11 |
| FIRR (%) | 5.54 | 5.70 | 5.35 | 40.67 | 5.55 |
| Alternative 4 | | | | | |
| Rate to Household Income (%) | 0.85 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 1.37 | 0.62 |
| Domestic Charge (Kn/m ³) | 2.55 | 2.25 | 1.41 | 4.12 | 1.86 |
| Other Charge (Kn/m ³) | 5.24 | 3.09 | 4.21 | 4.12 | 2.87 |
| FIRR (%) | 6.58 | 5.98 | 5.48 | Large | 5.91 |

Note: Values are estimated at 2001 prices. Values not in parentheses are the existing charges in Karlovac, while those in parentheses are for Duga Resa.

6.5.4 Proposed Sewerage Charge and Financial Assistance

According to the questionnaire survey, the inhabitants' willingness to pay domestic sewerage charges is in the range of 0.5% to 0.9% of household income, or 0.7% on the average. Hence, the proposed domestic sewerage charge should not exceed 0.9% of household income.

Further, the proposed projects mainly benefit the population downstream, enhance the environment nationwide and are therefore of national importance. Hence, the financial assistance of the Central Government for each of the five (5) projects should be set higher than has been usually extended.

From the above considerations, the sewerage charges in Alternative 4 are proposed; namely, sixty percent (60%) of the construction cost shall be provided by the Central Government as a Grant and the remaining forty percent (40%) is to be financed with funds from an external loan through the Central Government. The external loan conditions are to be 2.0% interest and 25-year repayment including a 7-year grace period.

It should be noted that the above external loan (40% of construction cost) does not mean the actual amount of loan to be obtained by the Central Government but only the loan amount to be repaid from sewerage charges. In case the financial resources of the Central Government are limited, it may need to obtain more external loan to be able to extend the necessary grant (60% of construction cost) to the municipal service companies.

| | | | | (Ur | nit: Kn/m ³ , 2 | 2001 Price) |
|--------------------|---------------|------|------|----------|----------------------------|-------------|
| Project | Existing | | | Proposed | | |
| Tibject | (2001) | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 - |
| Dugo Selo | | | | | | |
| Domestic | 0.36 | 2.55 | 2.64 | 2.74 | 3.14 | 3.51 |
| Others | 0.74 | 5.24 | 5.43 | 5.63 | 6.45 | 7.20 |
| Vrbovec | | | | | | |
| Domestic | 0.59 | 2.25 | 2.33 | 2.42 | 2.77 | 3.09 |
| Others | 0.81 | 3.09 | 3.20 | 3.32 | 3.80 | 4.25 |
| Sisak | | | | | | |
| Domestic | 1.34 | 1.41 | 1.46 | 1.51 | 1.73 | 1.94 |
| Others | 4.00 | 4.21 | 4.36 | 4.52 | 5.18 | 5.79 |
| Kutina | | | | | | |
| Domestic | 4.12 | 4.12 | 4.27 | 4.42 | 5.07 | 5.66 |
| Others | 4.12 | 4.12 | 4.27 | 4.42 | 5.07 | 5.66 |
| Karlovac-Duga Resa | | | | | | |
| Domestic | 1.45 (0.54) * | 1.86 | 1.93 | 2.00 | 2.29 | 2.56 |
| Others | 2.67 (0.54) * | 2.87 | 2.98 | 3.09 | 3.54 | 3.95 |

The proposed sewerage charges will increase according to the assumed growth of GDP in the future, as shown below.

*: Values not in parentheses are existing charges in Karlovac, while those in parentheses are for Duga Resa

7. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

(1) The proposed five (5) sewerage development projects; namely, Dugo Selo, Vrbovec, Sisak, Kutina and Karlovac-Duga Resa are technically feasible and financially viable. Early implementation of the projects is necessary to cope with the existing water pollution in the Sava River Basin.

- (2) For this purpose, the Central Government/State Water Directorate/Croatian Waters and the local governments concerned should immediately proceed with the necessary legal procedures and financial arrangements.
- (3) Water pollution of the Lonja River is the worst in the entire Sava River Basin. Early implementation of the Sesvete East and the Ivanić Grad-Kloštar Ivanić sewerage development projects is also awaited to attain a satisfactory water pollution control of the Lonja River.
- (4) Since the available data on river water quantity and quality in the Lonja River are at present limited, necessary monitoring of the river water quantity and quality should be commenced immediately.

TABLES

Table I-1 Proposed Wastewater Treatment of Large Pollutant Industries

| | | Wastewate | sr Quantity | Wastewat | er Quality | Pollution L | oad | Effluent | Recipient | Construction |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|------------|-------------|-------|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Town | Industry Name | (m | ³ /d) | BOD | (mg/l) | BOD (kg | (þ/ | (Cate | egory) | Cost |
| | | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | (Million Kuna) |
| Sesvete-East | Agroproteinka d.d. | 228 | 377 | 2,230 | 250 | 508 | 94 | Lonja R. (II) | Sewerage | 9.91 |
| | Duma Kože d.o.o. | 509 | 1,222 | 686 | 250 | 349 | 306 | Lonja R. (II) | Sewerage | 2.00 |
| Vrbovec | PIK Vrbovec Mesna Ind. | 2,132 | 3,523 | 186 | 186 | 397 | 655 | Lonja R. (II) | Sewerage | |
| Sisak | Industrija Nafte d.d. Rafinerija | 9,399 | 15,531 | 27 | 25 | 251 | 388 | Kupa R. (II) | Kupa R. (II) | 10.30 |
| | Herbos d.d. | 604 | 939 | 205 | 205 | 124 | 192 | Sewerage | Sewerage | 1.50 |
| | Termoelektrana Sisak | 451 | 745 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | Sava R. (II) | Sava R. (II) | 1.00 |
| | Tvornica Segestica | 204 | 337 | 866 | 250 | 177 | 84 | Sewerage | Sewerage | 7.16 |
| | Zeljezara Poduzece Metaval | 3,182 | 4,949 | 12 | 12 | 39 | 61 | Sava R. (II) | Sava R. (II) | 2.00 |
| | Ljudevit Posavski Mlin i Pekare | 83 | 137 | 1,584 | 250 | 131 | 34 | Sewerage | Sewerage | 5.91 |
| Kutina | Petrokemija (Industry) | 10,388 | 17,165 | 11 | 11 | 115 | 191 | Kutina R. (II) | Kutina R. (II) | 10.00 |
| | Petrokemija (Sanitary) | 663 | 1,063 | 19 | 19 | 12 | 20 | Sewerage | Sewerage | ı |
| Karlovac | Karlovaeka Pipovara d.d. | 2,301 | 3,802 | 456 | 250 | 1,049 | 951 | Sewerage | Sewerage | 16.28 |
| | PPK-karlovacka Industrija Mesna | 348 | 609 | 484 | 250 | 168 | 152 | Kupa R. (II) | Sewerage | 7.46 |
| | Velebit | 248 | 410 | 150 | 150 | 37 | 62 | Kupa R. (II) | Sewerage | ı |
| | Lola Ribar | 307 | 507 | 116 | 116 | 36 | 59 | Mrežnica R. (II) | Sewerage | · |
| | Karlovacka Industrija Mlijeka | 250 | 413 | 147 | 147 | 37 | 61 | Kupa R. (II) | Sewerage | 0.50 |
| Duga Resa | Pamućna Industrija Duga Resa | 2,416 | 3,992 | 120 | 120 | 290 | 479 | Mrzenica R. (II) | Sewerage | 0.10 |
| Zabrešić | PLIVA | 1,928 | 3,186 | 339 | 250 | 654 | 797 | Sava R. (II) | Sewerage | 15.30 |
| Petrinja | Gavrilovic d.d. | 1,357 | 1,979 | 116 | 116 | 157 | 230 | Kupa R. (II) | Sewerage | 1.00 |
| Sub-total (Sev | werage) | 3,855 | 22,496 | | | 1,494 | 4,175 | | | |
| Sub-total (Riv | ver) | 33,143 | 38,390 | | | 3,041 | 644 | | | |
| Total | | 36,998 | 60,886 | | | 4,534 | 4,818 | | | 90.42 |

| Plan |
|------------------|
| Development |
| Sewerage |
| Proposed |
| Table I-2 |

| | Served Pc | pulation | Served Are | sa (ha) | Design | ı Quantity (m ³ | /day) | Person | Treatment | Constructi | on Cost (Mill | ion Kuna) |
|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------|
| Name | 1999 | 2015 | 1999 | 2015 | Domestic | Industry | Total | Equivalent (PE) | Process * | Collector | WWTP | Total |
| 1 Zagreb | 800,000 | 935,000 | 25,600 | 25,600 | 274,860 | 167,510 | 442,370 | 1,500,000 | AS | | | 1,365.0 |
| 2 Sesvete-East | 11,900 | 17,600 | 555 | 837 | 7,040 | 1,599 | 8,639 | 29,600 | AO | 17.2 | 49.3 | 66.5 |
| 3 Dugo Selo | 9,100 | 14,200 | 516 | 1,072 | 5,680 | 0 | 5,680 | 18,500 | AO | 49.7 | 41.3 | 91.0 |
| 4 Vrbovec | 5,000 | 8,400 | 393 | 791 | 2,856 | 3,710 | 6,566 | 20,400 | AO | 36.5 | 41.7 | 78.2 |
| 5 Sisak | 39,400 | 52,400 | 944 | 2,380 | 20,960 | 1,413 | 22,373 | 73,400 | AO | 67.8 | 92.0 | 159.8 |
| 6 Kutina | 16,100 | 24,800 | 549 | 1,303 | 9,920 | 1,063 | 10,983 | 32,900 | AO | 43.7 | 40.2 | 84.0 |
| 7 Karlovac - | 28,200 | 55,800 | 996 | 1,978 | 22,320 | 7,603 | 29,923 | 94,800 | Q | C 221 | 6 761 | 750.0 |
| / Duga Resa | 3,800 | 10,900 | 133 | 205 | 4,360 | 3,992 | 8,352 | 22,200 | 0P | 7.001 | 170.1 | 6.607 |
| 8 Sv. Ivan Zelina | 3,200 | 7,000 | 251 | 569 | 2,380 | 0 | 2,380 | 7,700 | OD | 18.1 | 25.5 | 43.6 |
| Ivanić Grad - | 5,700 | 10,600 | 524 | 863 | 4,240 | 1,283 | 5,523 | 14,300 | Q | 10.0 | 7 C C | V CV |
| y Kloštar Ivanić | 600 | 800 | 138 | 150 | 272 | 0 | 272 | 906 | AU | 10.7 | 54.4 | 4.C4 |
| 10 Samobor | 15,400 | 29,200 | 1,518 | 1,914 | 11,680 | 1,528 | 13,208 | 39,500 | AO | 14.4 | 44.0 | 58.4 |
| 11 Zaprešić | 13,300 | 30,500 | 949 | 1,491 | 12,200 | 6,544 | 18,744 | 61,300 | AO | 29.4 | 76.8 | 106.3 |
| 12 Velika Gorica | 33,500 | 62,400 | 1,453 | 2,011 | 24,960 | 662 | 25,622 | 82,200 | AS | 30.0 | 47.5 | 77.5 |
| 13 Jastrebarsko | 5,300 | 8,100 | 409 | 623 | 2,754 | 180 | 2,934 | 9,600 | OD | 8.4 | 27.7 | 36.0 |
| 14 Petrinja | 10,300 | 13,100 | 419 | 650 | 5,240 | 1,979 | 7,219 | 20,900 | AO | 10.4 | 45.2 | 55.6 |
| 15 Glina | 2,000 | 5,200 | 175 | 480 | 1,768 | 0 | 1,768 | 5,800 | OD | 14.3 | 22.3 | 36.6 |
| 16 Topusko | 500 | 1,600 | 34 | 84 | 544 | 0 | 544 | 1,800 | AL | 3.5 | 3.0 | 6.5 |
| 17 Popovaća | 1,800 | 3,600 | 123 | 179 | 1,224 | 747 | 1,971 | 7,200 | OD | 3.7 | 23.1 | 26.7 |
| 18 Lipovljani | 800 | 3,000 | 09 | 328 | 1,020 | 0 | 1,020 | 3,300 | OD | 11.2 | 17.1 | 28.3 |
| 19 Novska | 4,000 | 9,000 | 380 | 541 | 3,060 | 0 | 3,060 | 9,900 | OD | 9.0 | 28.2 | 37.2 |
| 20 Ogulin | 0 | 10,400 | 0 | 468 | 4,160 | 340 | 4,500 | 13,800 | AO | 8.5 | 34.0 | 42.5 |
| 21 Plaški | 0 | 800 | 0 | 52 | 272 | 0 | 272 | 906 | OD | 3.0 | 9.3 | 12.4 |
| 22 Slunj | 600 | 2,400 | 62 | 219 | 816 | 0 | 816 | 2,700 | OD | 7.8 | 15.7 | 23.4 |
| Sub-total (except Zagreb) | 210,500 | 381,800 | 10,549 | 19,186 | 149,726 | 32,643 | 182,369 | 573,600 | | | | 2,738.8 |
| Total | 1,010,500 | 1,316,800 | 36,149 | 44,786 | 424,586 | 200,153 | 624,739 | 2,073,600 | | 530.8 | 843.0 | 1,373.8 |
| 1): * AS:Activated Sludge, | AO: Anaerobi | c Oxic Activat | ed Sludge, OD | : Oxidation | Ditch, AL: Ac | stated Lagoon | | | | | | |
| 2): Zaprešić includes Brdov | ec | | | | | | | | | | | |

FIGURES





















