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# SUPPORTING REPORT XIII SOILS, AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION 

## XIII1 Soils

## XIII1.1 Soils in Four Priority Areas

Soil survey was carried out at four priority project areas (7 location). Soil maps in each priority project areas are shown in Figures XIIII.1.1 to XIII1.1.7.

## XIII1.1.1 Soils in N'Fifikh Area

(1) N'Fifikh Upstream Area

The soils of this area develop on the low and middle terraces in the large valley of the N'Fifikh River. Three types of soils mainly lie on the area:
i. Sols Peu Evolués d'Apport Alluvial (Alluvial Soils):

These soils developed from alluvial deposits are situated on the low terrace along the N'Fifikh River. The soils are very deep with silty texture. They are formulated by flooding of the N'Fifikh River. They are basically high land suitability for crops cultivations.
ii. Sols Isohumiques (Isohumic Soils) :

Sols Isohumiques extensively occupy on right bank of the river. The soils are very deep, reddish color and clayey texture. The soils do not have any constraint for irrigation.
iii. Vertisols:

These soils occupy large extent on the left bank of the river. The soils are very deep. They are characterized by clayey texture and low permeability, and have very high water holding capacities.

Locally, the soils present a stony load in middle surface. These soils have high potentialities for the crop production, but these soils located in flat to gentle inclined topography in this area.

Preliminary soil survey was carried out in the areas locating between dam site and N'Fifikh Upstream Area. The soils in the potential areas of irrigation were classified as Sols Peu Evolués d'Apport Alluvial.

These soils are suitable for any cultivation. The descriptions of soil profiles are shown in Data Book.

## N'Fifikh Downstream Area

The soils of this area develop on the low trays of the Meseta coastal. The area distinguishes itself by the dominance of Sols Hydromorphes and Sols Fersiallitiqueses.
i. Sols Hydromorphes (Hydromorphic Soils):

The soils are formed from old deposits of the Quaternary. They are characterized by a discontinuity of very clean profile texture. On the surface soils from 30 to 50 cm in depth, they are shaped by a horizon of coarse texture with strong content in ferruginous concretions (generally more than 50\%). This horizon lies on accurate transition and subsurface soils are very clayey and compact horizon (argilite). This subsurface horizon constitutes an impervious layer that blocks infiltration of water and form water table in case of heavy rains. These soils present a major constraint of texture, discontinuity texture and internal drainage.
ii. Sols a Sesquioxydes der Fer (Fersiallitiqueses) (Red soils):

Sols a Sesquioxydes der Fer (Fersiallitiqueses) Rouge lie on the east borders of the potential area. The soils are deep (from 80 to 120 cm ) and locally fairly deep ( 40 to 60 cm ). Their textures are slightly sandy in surface and become clayey in depth. These soils are generally classified into high land suitability for crop production, but there is a constraint in their micro-topographical condition of undulating.

## XIIII.1.2 Soils in Taskourt Area

There are following major four types of soils in the Taskourt area.
Sols Alluviaux (Alluvial soils):
These soils, which are almost developed from alluvial soils, are situated on the low terraces of the Asif El Mal River and downstream of the project area. Main soils in these soils are Sols per Evolues D'apport allu-colluvial in the areas of upstream and Evolues D'apport alluvial in the areas of downstream. They are very deep soil. Their textures are generally silty.

These soils are apt to inundate in the low terraces locally. Most of these soils are put in high land suitability because of their silty texture. They are capable in all cultures.

Mineraux bruts sols occupy on the riverbed.
(2) Sols Bruns Calcaires: (Brown Calcareous Soils)

These soils as well occupy large extents in strand on left bank and of the river. Soil class of soil is in Calcimagnesiques. These soils are characterized by their shallow soil depth that are rarely 40 cm on thickness of surface soil and stony in surface. The areas located on these soils are also undulating on topographical condition. The major constraints of these soils are topographical condition.

```
Sols Fersialitiques :(Fersialitic Soils)
```

These soils occupy large surface in the area and are concentrate completely on the terraces of upstream and downstream of left bank of the river in the project area. They are characterized by their red color and their fine texture. The soils have constraints of the slope. The shallow soil depth and stony on surface are recognized partly.

## Soils Isohumiques: (Isohumic Soils)

These soils occupy the areas of right bank of the river located in the downstream in the project area. The soils are very deep, reddish color and clayey texture. The soils do not have any constraint for irrigation. They are put in Class I in land suitability.

## XIII1.1.3 Soils in Timkit Area

(1) Ifegh Area

This area is just located on downstream of proposed dam site situated on south of the High Atas Mountains. And proposed irrigable area is also divided into two locations, which are traditional farmland and newly extended area in rather downstream. Major soils in these areas are as follows:

## i. Sols Peu Evolues

These soils are developed from the alluvial deposit. Sols Peu Evolues D'apport alluvial and Sols Peu Evolues D'erosion lie on the areas along the Timkit River. Soil groups of D'apport alluvial and D'apport anthropiques have deep soil and good soil texture. On the other hand, Sols Peu Evolues D'apport anthropiques occupy the south end of extension areas. Soil depths of these soils are relatively deep, but there are many stones and gravels, which are caused by the flooding.

## ii. Sol Isohumiques

These soils are formulated by old alluvial deposit behind the Sols Peu Evolues along the river. These soils have brown color and fine soil texture.

Sol Isohumiques are devided into 3 series in terms of soil depth. Some of these soils contain much stones and gravels and soil depths are shallow, which are classified as Class V in land suitability. Terracing farmlands to be graded and leveled by farmers have been artificially developed in this area. In part of the area dominated by the seguia, soil becomes very shallow and farmers brought earth there to enable irrigated agriculture.
(2) Tinejdad Area

This area occupies a low alluvial terrace. Soils are homogeneous. It is the very deep alluvial soils and silty texture. Dominant soils in this area are Sol peu Evolues and Sols Isohumiques.

## i. Sols peu Evolues

Sols peu Evolues occupy the areas between Toudorah and Ferkla Rivers and southern surroundings of the Toudorah River. These soils are very deep and silty texture. In some locations along the rivers, there are some areas, which are affecting by salt accumulation. Soil depths of these soils are relatively shallow.
ii. Sols Isohumiques

The areas near Tinejdad town are occupied by Sols Isohumiques. These soils are called as Sìerosèms, which have little organic matter and free calcium carbonate. These soils are brown color, sity texture and deep.
iii. Sols Mineraux Bruts

Sols Mineraux Bruts occupy the riverbed of the Toudorah River. Theses soils are formulated by recent alluvial deposit and eroded by flooding water at present condition. Soils are sand and depth of these soils is deep. Most of soils in this Tinejdad area don't present significant constraints for intensification of irrigation.
(3) Chitam Area

Main soils in this area are Sols Mineraux Bruts in north and Sol peu Evoluès in south.

## i. Sols Mineraux Bruts

These soils mainly occupy the northern area of the study area. Surface soils are deposited deeply by strong wind. Soil texture of these soils is sand.
ii. Sols peu Evoluès

Southern part of the study area is occupied by Sols peu Evoluès. These soils are developed from alluvial deposits and have silty texture. There are three series in the difference of sand covering in the profile (no sand covering, $0-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $50-100 \mathrm{~cm}$ ).

## XIII1.1.4 Soils in Azghar Area

This area is occupied a large floor-glaze of Zloul River shaped in the tender schistose marls of the Jurrassique in the north edges of the Middle Atlas.

Dominant soils in the area are deep Sols Isohumiqueses and Sols peu Evolues d'Erosions. Main constrains in this area is only topographical condition.
(1) Sols Isohumiqueses:

These soils occupy terraces where the gentle slope ranges between 2 and $4 \%$. There are two types of soils. One is the brownish Isohumiqueses, which are mainly located at the upstream of the project areas, another is reddish Isohumiqueses located in the downstream of the project area. Their characteristics are similar, very deep, clayey texture, and locally stony. The soils have high fertility with various kinds of crop cultivations. The constraints of the area are in the slope and the micro-relief.
(2) Sols peu Evolues d'Erosions:

These soils situate in the areas where have relatively steep slope of 4 to $8 \%$. The characteristics of soils are good but the depth of soils is little shallow (less than 40 cm ). The main constraints of these areas are topographical condition.

## XIII1.2 Land Suitability

XIIII.2.1 Adaptability of Moroccan Land Suitability Classification
The classification of land suitability in Morocco has mainly established and applied for the large-scale irrigation purpose. The classification is also categorized for the application of gravity and sprinkler irrigations.

Therefore, Moroccan classification of land suitability has severe definition of sloping. In this class, the area of $4 \%$ of slope is classified in to Class V, which is not suitable for gravity irrigation. On the other hands, USBR (United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation Manual) classifies this slope into "Class 2" which is arable area. And the areas, where have less than $8 \%$ of slope, are categorized as "Arable area". In due consideration of proposed irrigation scheme, proposed irrigation plan has been formulated and facilitated on the small-scale irrigation scheme. It is suggested that the areas sloped less than $8 \%$, which is same classes with the sprinkler irrigation scheme can be adaptable for irrigation development plan on this study.

The areas of land suitability of each priority project areas in irrigable areas are summarized in Table XIIII1.2.1. Survey areas are not matched with potential areas of irrigation in some areas. But most of the areas in each priority project areas are covering the area of irrigation development plan except in Tinejdad.

## XIII1.2.2 Land Suitability in Four Priority Project Areas

(1) Land Suitability in N'Fifikh Area

- N'Fifikh Upstream Area

Detail land suitability classification in N'Fifikh upstream area is shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figure XIII1.2.1.

The main constraint in this area is topography (Initial letter "Pe"). Sloping areas ( $2-8 \%$ ) cover the terraces in left bank of the river and soils mainly are Vertisols. But these slopes are not main constraints of the irrigation development.

- N'Fifikh Downstream Area

Land suitability map in N'Fifikh Downstream area are shown in Figure XIIII.2.2.

The main constraints in this are drainage, gravels and topography (Initial letters "D", "Tg" and "Pe").

Most of gravels are concretions formed by poor drainage condition. The areas where occur gravels are also located on the same areas of poor drainage condition. These areas are located on the areas of south and northern east in the project area.

The suitable areas (better than Class III) of irrigation occupy only the north part of railways and southeast part of the survey area. The areas having good soil texture are locating in the north part of railways, but undulating constraint on topography are also found at the same places.

In these areas, the distribution of irrigation facilities may be considered with this topography. The farmers who will able to joint to the cultivation live in north parts in the project area. The development potential in this area will be higher in the northern area of railways than southern part.
(2) Land Suitability in Taskourt Area

Detail land suitability classification in Taskourt area are shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figures XIII1.2.3 (1) and (2).

The major constraints in this area are stony and soil depth (Initial letters "C" and "P").

The areas in Sols Calcimagnesisues have the constraint of soil depth and stony where classified as Class IV. These soils occupy the large extension of midstream of left and right bank in the project area. The shallow soils on the rock or/and stones of limestone cover these areas. In these areas, crop selection should be carefully considered. Presently, farmers are trying to remove these stones by them selves.

Large extend locating on the areas near the river covers suitable soil for the cultivation as Class II. But the soil depth in these areas is partially shallow.

Only the areas locating in right bank of the downstream of the river are classified into Class I but not much area in the potential area.
(3) Land Suitability in Timkit Area

- Ifegh Area

Detail land suitability classification of Ifegh in Timkit area are shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figure XIII1.2.4.

In the traditional cultivated areas along the river in the project, the soils are stony and shallow, which are classified into Classes IV or V. Also the areas classified to same Classes are scattered in extension areas.

On the other hand, the soils along the river in top of the upstream area and scattered areas in the extension areas are put in Classes I or II. The soils classified into Class III lies on the traditional farms and the southern areas in extension.

In Ifegh area, the major constrains are stony and shallow soil (Initial letters "P" and "C"). Farmers are grading and put dressing soil to be leveled and have been artificially developed in this area

- Tinejdad Area

Detail land suitability classification of Tinejdad in Timkit area are shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figure XIII1.2.5.

In the areas in Tinejdad, there are no major constraints. The areas located at the alluvial deposits in northern areas of Todrha River are classified into Class I. In
some spotted areas located along the river, the soils are classified into Classes III or IV and the constraint of these areas is sandy soil texture.

Most of the southern areas of Todrha River are also put in Class I or II which are suitable for cultivation except the areas near Tinejdad town. The areas classified into Class III are located on the southern edges of oasis, where are stony areas (Initial letter "C").

## - Chitam Area

Detail land suitability classification of Chitam in Timkit area are shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figure XIII1.2.6.

The main constraint of this area is sandy soil texture (Initial letter "C"). But most of the proposed development areas are can put in Class I to III and there is no serious constraints. And these soils are very suitable modernized cultivation such as drip and sprinkler irrigations.

The area located in eastern north area is put in Class IV, which is covered by sandy soil. This are should be considered the methods of water application when the cultivation will be carried out.

## Land Suitability in Azghar Area

Detail land suitability classification in Azghar area are shown in Table XIII1.2.2 and map of land suitability is shown in Figures XIII1.2.7 (1) and (2).

Major constraint of this area is only topographical condition (Initial letter "Pe"). Most of soils lied on this area have no serious constraints. They can put in Class II or III. The areas in left band of the Zloul River have the constraints of micro-topographical condition. The lands are undulating and shallow soils are covering.

In northern edges of the project areas where located on the inclined topography, shallow soils (20-60 cm depth) lies on.

In this project area, however a large extends of the areas are locating on the area with major topographical constraint (Class V, 4-8\% slope), these areas are definitely adaptable on the irrigation scheme which will be proposed on this project as mentioned in Section XIII 2.2.1.

XIII1.2.3 Soil Survey
(1) Survey Area

Survey areas in each priority project areas are shown in Figures XIII1.2.8 to XIII1.2.12.

Field survey and analysis
Items of observations, analysis and map interpretations in the fields, and laboratory analysis are shown in the Attachment of this Supporting Report.
(3) Data lent to Soil Survey Company

- Aerial Photograph Observed

Following aerial photographs had been landed to the Soil Survey Company (Societe Centrale pour l'Equipment du Territorire, SCET-MAROC).

| Sites | Photographing Data | Scale |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N'Fifikh | 4-Oct-2000 | $1: 15,000$ |
| Taskourt | 4-Oct-2000 | $1: 15,000$ |
| Timkit | Sep-1993 | $1: 17,500$ |
| Azghar | 4-Oct-2000 | $1: 15,000$ |

- Topographical Map

Following topographical maps had been landed to the Soil Survey Company (Societe Centrale pour l'Equipment du Territorire, SCET-MAROC).

| Sites | Scale | Type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| N'Fifikh | $1: 5,000$ | By computer data |
| Taskourt | $1: 5,000$ | By computer data |
| Timkit | $1: 5,000$ | By maps |
| Azghar | $1: 5,000$ | By computer data |

## XIII2 Agriculture

## XIII2.1 Present Agricultural Condition

XIII2.1.1 Present Land Use and Crop Production
Present land use in the 4 priority project areas are shown in the table on the next page:

The present conditions of agriculture have been analyzed by collecting 5 -year's data from 1995/96 to 99/2000. The average production and yield of each crop were estimated by 3 -year's data excluding maximum and minimum values.

Estimated Crop Occupancy in the 4 Priority Project Areas

| Site | Crop Occupancy |  |  |  |  | Fallow <br> Land | Irrigated <br> Ocu. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cereals | Legumes | Vegetabl <br> e | Fodder | Fruits |  |  |
| N'Fifikh (Up) | $85-90$ | $5 \pm$ | $2 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | 9 | 3 |
| N'Fifikh <br> (Down) | $80-85$ | $1 \pm$ | $2-5$ | $4-8$ | $7 \pm$ | 22 | 5 |
| Taskourt | $>80$ | $<2$ | $5 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | $7 \pm$ | 4 | 32 |
| Ifegh | $75 \pm$ | $3 \pm$ |  | $12 \pm$ | $10 \pm$ | 4 | 95 |
| Tinejdad | $55-60$ | $2 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | $15 \pm$ | $20 \pm$ | 16 | 75 |
| Chitam | 50 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 0 | 86 | 100 |
| Azghar | $75 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | $<2$ | $2-5$ | $15 \pm$ | 18 | 6 |

Source: Estimation of the Study Team
Main crops in these priority project areas are cereals. Fruits and fodders are commonly cultivated in each project areas. Irrigated occupancies in Timkit and Taskourt are higher than other 2 areas. The cultivations in N'Fifikh and Azghar are carried out under rain fed condition. The detail land use in the 4 priority project areas are summarized in below.

## (1) N'Fifikh Area

- N'Fifikh Upstream

The area of N'Fifikh upstream is typical single cultivated area of cereals under rainfed condition. There are 2 commune rurals (Ziaida, Ouled Msdhya) located on this area. The crops productions in these 2 commune rurals are similar trends. Main cereals are soft wheat and hard wheat. Barley and maize are also cultivated in some area. The yields of these cereal crops are very low. The average yields of soft wheat and hard wheat in these 5 years are 1.4 and 1.2 ton/ha respectively. Vegetables are cultivated in the irrigated areas situated near the N'Fifikh River for self-consumption and cash income. Main vegetables are potato, tomato, pumpkin and onion. Legumes (broad bean and green bean), fodders (barley and oat) and tree crops (grape and olive) are cultivated in small areas (Tables XIII2.1.1 and XIII2.1.2). There are almost no productions of cereals, fodders and legumes in 1999/2000 because of the drought. Irrigation water is collected from ground water by traditional methods, but irrigated areas are very limited.

- N'Fifikh Downstream

Single cultivation of cereals under rain-fed condition is also predominant in the area of N'Fifikh downstream. There is only one Commune Rurals (Monsouria) located on this area. Main cereals are soft wheat and barley. Grapes are famous in this are, though their cultivated areas are not so large. Barley and oat are cultivated as fodder production. Vegetables (potato and tomato) and legumes are cultivated in very limited areas for self- consumption and cash income as
referred to Tables XIII2.1.3. Fallow land in the downstream area occupies larger area compared with the upstream area.
(2) Taskourt Area

The Taskourt area is located at the typical agricultural zone in the southeast Moroccan climate, which is relatively warm and low in precipitation. There are 4 Commune Rurals (Guemassa, Majjate, Assif El Mal and Mzouda) located on this are. Assif El Mal and Mzouda are occupied a large area of project area.

Main cereals consist of barley and soft wheat. The yields of these crops are very low. Fodder cultivation (alfalfa) is practiced in Assif El Mal along the river. Tree crops including olive and almond are widely cultivated. Olives are growing in flat lands and almonds, relatively sloppy areas. Main vegetables are melons in Guemassa, Majjate and Mzouda, and potatoes in Assif El Mal as referred to Tables XIII2.1.4 to XIII2.1.7.

The present land use in Taskourt is different in the areas of perennial irrigation area and seasonal/flood irrigation areas. Estimated present land use in Taskourt is shown as follows:

Estimated Present Land Use in Taskourt

| Case | Crop Occupancy (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cereals | Legumes | Vegetable | Fodder | Fruits |
| Total Area | $>80$ | $<2$ | $5 \pm$ | $5 \pm$ | $7 \pm$ |
| Perennial Irrigation <br> Area | $66 \pm$ | 2 | 12 | $5 \pm$ | $15 \pm$ |
| Seasonal and Flood <br> Irrigation Area | $86 \pm$ | $0 \pm$ | $0 \pm$ | $0 \pm$ | $10 \pm$ |

Almost of legumes, vegetable and fodder crops are cultivated in the perennial irrigation area, while the cereal crops are mainly cultivated in seasonal and flood irrigation area.

## (3) Timkit Area

There are three separate areas are in Timkit, Ifegh, Tinejdad and Chitam. These areas in Timkit are rather far away from big commercial areas.
i. Ifegh

Agriculture in this area is typical oasis one (high temperature and no precipitation) with traditional cultivation and small-scale farming. There is only one Commune Rurals (Agbalou-N"Kerdous) located on this area. The area consists by two lots, traditional farmland located near proposed dam site and newly extended area situated downstream of traditional farmland.

The cultivated areas of the commune rural in Ifegh area are shown in below: The farmers themselves consume the most of the present productions.

Main crops are cereals (hard and soft wheat, barley and maize), fodder (alfalfa) and tree crops (dates, olive and almond). Vegetables including potatoes, melons and onions are cultivated in limited area.

Cropped Area in the Ifegh Area

| Crop | ha | Crop | ha | Crop | ha | Crop | Trees |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Cereal | $\underline{1,010}$ | $\underline{\text { Legume }}$ | $\underline{20}$ | Vegetable | $\underline{29}$ | Tree Crop | $\underline{(39,500)}$ |
| Hard wheat | 200 | Broad B | 14 | Carrot | 4 | Dates | 11,990 |
| Soft Wheat | 250 | Green P. | 6 | Turnip | 3 | Almond | 7,890 |
| Barley | 160 |  |  | Onion | 5 | Olive | 5,870 |
| Maize | 260 | Fodder | $\underline{170}$ | Potato | 7 | Others | 13,750 |
| Millet | 140 | Alfalfa | 170 | Tomato | 4 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Others | 6 | Other | (103ha) |

Source: Monographi de la Zone D'Action du CMV 720 D'Aghbalou N'Herdous
ii. Tinejdad

In this area, typical oasis agriculture (high temperature and no precipitation) is practiced by small landholders. There are 3 Commune Rurals (Ferkla el Oulia, Tinejdad and Ferkla es Soufla) located on Tinejdad and Chitam areas. The Tinejdad area has been faced with serious situation for agricultural activities because the ground water has been exhausted.

Main crops are cereals (hard wheat), fodder (alfalfa) and tree crops (dates and olive). The yields of cereal crops are high under irrigated cultivation (2.7 and 3.0 tons/ha in soft wheat and hard wheat respectively). Various vegetables such as tomato, potato, melons, carrot and onion are also commonly cultivated (Table XIII2.1.8).

## iii. Chitam

In this area, the authority is trying to develop a farming area, which was ruined in 1970's due to exhausting of ground water. Small farms are scattered about the area. Cereals and fodder (alfalfa) are main crops in this area and most of the areas are still left as fallow lands ( $86 \%$ of potential land) as shown in below table.

Cropped Area and Occupancy in the Chitam Area

| Crops | Cereals | Alfalfa | Vegetable | Legumes | Fallow | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area (ha) | 40 | 30 | 15 | 10 | $(595)$ | 690 |
| Occupation (\%) | 5.9 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | $(86.2)$ | 100 |

Source: ORMVA Tafilalet
Traditional cultivation has been practiced out in small lands under high temperature and no precipitation. Main crops are cereals (hard wheat) and fodder (alfalfa). Farmers come from surrounding areas such as Tinejdad and Goulmima. They have high-level cultivation technique.

The Azghar area is located in the typical agricultural zone in the northern Moroccan climate, which is relatively cool and high in precipitation.

There are 2 Commune Rurals (Igheznane and Ouled M'Koudou) located on this are. Irrigated area is very limited and most of the crops are cultivated under the rain-fed condition. Main crops in this area are cereals and olive. Main cereals are hard wheat and barley. The yields of hard wheat and barley are 0.6 and 0.7 tons/ha in the average of these 5 years, and these yields are very low. Legumes (broad bean and lentil) are also commonly cultivated. Olive cultivation is commonly practiced in the southeast part of potential area, where topography is undulating. Vegetables and other crops are cultivated for self-consumption. Barley and oat are produced for animal breeding (Table XIII2.1.9).

XIII2.1.2 Land Holding and Land Tenure System
The land holding sizes in N'Fifikh (Downstream), Taskourt, Tinejdad and Ifegh are shown in Figure XIII2.1.1 and Table XIII2.1.10. Averages farmland per farmer of N'Fifikh (Downstream) and Taskourt are 11.3 and 4.6 ha/farmer respectively in 1997. In these areas, the farmers have large areas. The farmers holding less than 5 ha of these areas are $45 \%$ and $62 \%$ of total farmers respectively. On the other hand, the farmers of Ifegh and Tinejdad in Timkit area hold very small farmlands ( 0.65 and 1.91 ha/farmer respectively). In N'Fifikh (Upstream) and Azghar, the data of land holding sizes are not found but the averages of farmlands per farmer are 8.5 and 4.4ha/farmer respectively in 1996.

In the areas of Azghar and Timkit, more than $94 \%$ of farmers are carried out agriculture on their land. On the other hand, 10 to $20 \%$ of farmers are working on the land of tenancy and/or sublease in Taskourt and N'Fifikh (Table XIII2.1.11).

## XIII2.1.3 Present Cropping Pattern

Present cropping patterns of each priority project areas are shown in Figure XIII2.1.2. Cereal crops are cultivated in the winter season. The seasons of potato cultivation are in winter and spring. Tomato and melons are cultivated in spring.

XIII2.1.4 Present Farming Practice and Farm Inputs
Present cultivated cultivars of crops of commune rurals in the 4 priority project areas are shown in Table XIII2.1.12. Improved seeds of wheat are introduced, but their shares are only 5 to $20 \%$ of total consumed seeds. Main cultivars are Achtar and Merchouch. Barleys are almost local cultivars. Many cultivars of vegetables are widely introduced.

Utilization of agricultural inputs such as machineries, chemicals, fertilized and selected (improved) seeds are shown in Table XIII2.1.13 and summarized as follows:

Utilization of Agricultural Inputs by Farmers

|  | Mechanized <br> Cultivation | Mechanized <br> Harvest | Fertilizer | Selected <br> Seeds | Agricultural <br> Chemicals |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N'Fifikh (UP) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| N'Fifikh <br> (Down) | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Taskourt | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| Timkit | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ |
| Azghar |  | $\triangle$ | $\triangle$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\triangle$ |
| $\bigcirc$ O:More than $60 \%$ |  | $\bigcirc: 60-30 \%$ | $\triangle$ : Less than 30\% (Rate of applied farmers) |  |  |

N'Fifikh areas are commonly introduced these inputs. The utilizations of fertilizers by farmers are well extended, but the other inputs such as fertilizers, selected seeds and chemicals are utilized in some farmers.

Table XIII2.1.14 shows the numbers of agricultural machineries in the priority areas. Pumps are used in Timkit for irrigation from wells. Harvesters are only extended in N'Fifikh Upstream area.

The estimated present input values of agricultural machineries and labor forces of major crops in the priority areas are shown in Tables XIII2.1.15 to XIII2.1.19. Input values of wheat cultivation ranged from 1,600 to $2,700 \mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$. Input values of barley cultivations are lower than wheat cultivations. Inputs values of vegetables are more than $9,000 \mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{ha}$.

## XIII2.1.5 Livestock

The numbers of livestock in the priority areas are shown in Tables XIII2.1.20. The main table animals are cattle and sheep are in N'Fifikh, and cattle, sheep and goats in Taskourt and Timkit, mule in Azghar. Camels are breeding only Timkit area. Main draft animal is donkey in N'Fifikh, Taskourt and Timkit, mule in Azghar.

## XIII2.1.6 Agricultural Products Processing

In the priority project areas, there are some facilities for agricultural products processing, but most of these facilities are organized by traditional systems. Major agriculture products processing are olive oil processing, milk collection and apiculture.

In the N'Fifikh Upstream area, since the cultivation of olives was introduced, only 10 years has passed. And there is no facility of oil processing so far. On the other hand, apiculture has been widely carried out in the mountainous area. Total 215 beehives are installed and approximately 650 liters of honey was produced
annually. The productions are selling at the markets nearby. The milk production is also carried out. About 2,800 milk cows including local, crossbred and pedigree are breeding. The average amounts of milk productions are $8,10-12$ and 25 liters/ head /day, respectively.

In N'Fifikh Downstream area, there are no facilities of agricultural product processing. There was a cooperative of milk collection in 1990's, but this cooperative is not operated at the moment. The milk produced from 150 cows has been selling to the buyer from Mohamedia through the private routes.

In the Taskourt area, there are 35 traditional oil wringer machines of olive seeds in 11 Douars. The treatment amount of olive oil is 350 ton/year in average and 1,050 ton/year in maximum. About $35 \%$ of olive seeds produced in this area have been treated in the factories in Marrakech. Apiculture has been seriously damaged by the drought in decade. About 6,000 beehives were installed in this area and 60 tons of honey was produced. There are some cooperatives of milk collection in Assif El Mar and Mejjat. The volume of milk collection is 714,000 liters/year.

There are no facilities of agricultural products processing in Ifegh and Chitam. Apiculture has carried out by using 800 beehives in Tinejdad.

In the Azghar area, olive cultivation has prosperously carried out. There are 26 traditional oil wringer machines in the project area. The treatment volume of these factories ranges from 120 to 140 ton/year in normal year. When the olive production is exceeding the treatment capacity in the site, the olive seeds have been treated by processing factory at the Mahzel. Other major processing facilities are not existing.

## XIII2.1.7 Non-Agricultural Business and Educational Background

The non-agricultural businesses and educational background of farmers in the priority project areas are shown in Tables XIII2.1.21 and XIII2.1.22.

The fields of service, officer and private business are main non-agricultural businesses of farmers. There are many farmers in N'Fifikh and Timkit being engaged in non-agricultural businesses. Main businesses of these farmers are services and officers in N'Fifikh, construction and private business in Timkit. In the N'Fifikh and Timkit, There are many farmers who have the higher background of education (higher than high school education).

## XIII2.2 Agricultural Development Plan

The proposed agricultural development plan has been formulated in consideration of the present land use, actual farming practices, intentions of local authorities and farmers, and the strategies of the Moroccan Government. The development plan has to contribute to the improvement of farmers' living
condition and be appropriate and sustainable. For the formulation of the agricultural development plan, the following basic strategies have been adopted as the general methodologies.
(1) Consideration of present land occupancy and farming practices

1) To introduce crops that farmers have sufficient experience and farming techniques
2) To formulate a plan based on the present cropping occupancy
3) To promote cereal cultivation that is most important for increasing stable income of farmers
4) To introduce crops in due consideration of marketing condition
5) To apply the most suitable crop rotation for annual cultivation
6) To formulate a land use plan with full assistance of the related authorities in view of technical support and marketing arrangement
(2) Consideration of agro-climatic condition and soil condition
7) To introduce crops that farmers have good experience in cultivation and formulation of a land use plan based on the agro-climatic condition
8) To formulate a land use plan to adopt soil conditions in sites
(3) Consideration of high value income under appropriate development
9) To introduce improved seeds of cereals
10) To introduce transplantation for some vegetable cultivation
11) To formulate a plan based on the most appropriate managements of irrigation, fertilization and chemical control
12) To introduce the crops those are considered sustainable for increasing farmers' income
13) To formulate a plan with fodders that are able to increase income from animal breeding
(4) Consideration of the national food security and the strategy of governmental policy
14) To formulate cropping pattern aiming at production of staple food, especially cereals for national food security, which is one of the most important strategies of the Moroccan Government
15) To target small and medium scale farmers as beneficiaries
16) To alleviate poverty, to mitigate rural differentials, and particularly to create job opportunities in the outskirts of main cities
17) To harmonize with other development sectors
18) To formulate land use plans from the economic and financial viewpoints of the project.

XIII2.2.1 The Project Area
Study areas of priority projects are selected from the area used as agricultural land. The total acreage of the Project Areas is 13,755 ha of which 10,860 ha is cultivable minimally. The acreages of each project area are shown below.

Total and Cultivable Area in the Priority Project Area

 not included in soil mapping. However, there areas are nearly classified in cultivable area)
XIII2.2.2 Proposed Crops and Cropping Pattern
Proposed cropping patterns of each project area in due consideration of the basic strategies above mentioned have been proposed and formulated. Selection of crops, crop rotation, and the formulation of proposed cropping pattern and crop intensity have been carried out in consideration of the individual conditions of each project area. As shown in the tables of crop production in each area, the productions have been strongly affected by the meteorological condition. The increase of agricultural productions by the optimum irrigation through the installation of facilities has been suggested by previous projects. In Morocco, the irrigation projects have been developed, which achieved much higher productivity than without project.
(1) Selection of Crops

Selection of crops for adapting the proposed cropping patterns have been carried out in due consideration of the present cultivated crops, agro-meteorological condition, technical level of farmers and social conditions of each project area. As principals of selection of crops, cereals, fodders, legumes, vegetables and fruits (tree crops) have been considered. Basic principals for the selection of crops of each project are has been summarized as follows:
i. N'Fifikh Area
a) Upstream Area

- To promote present main cultivation (soft wheat)
- $\quad$ To extend fodder cultivation (alfalfa, barley)
- To extend vegetable cultivation
- $\quad$ To extend tree crop cultivations (grape, olive)

The alternative studies of different cropping patterns in N'Fifikh Upstream have been carried out to optimize the appropriate agricultural development plan.
Three different cropping patterns have been studied as follows:

- Proposed Cropping Pattern
- $\quad$ Cropping pattern based on the present land use
- Cropping pattern to enhance vegetable cultivation

The alternative cropping patterns studied are shown in Figure XIII2.2.1.
b) Downstream Area

- To promote present main cultivation (soft wheat, barley)
- To extend fodder cultivation (alfalfa, barley)
- To extend vegetable cultivation and introduction of spring cultivation
- $\quad$ To extend high potential tree crop cultivations (grape)
- To attend soil drainage constraint
ii. Taskourt Area
- To promote present main cultivation (soft wheat, barley, alfalfa, tree crops)
- To extend wheat cultivation
- To extend vegetable cultivation
- To promote technical support of the related authorities
- To promote managing support of the related authorities for marketing
- $\quad$ To promote farmers' effort to remove gravels
iii. Timkit Area
a) Ifegh Area
- To promote present main cultivation (hard wheat, barley, alfalfa, tree crops)
- $\quad$ To introduce spring cultivation of vegetables
- To extend vegetable cultivation under oasis cultivation system
- To promote vegetables those are resistant against transportation and storage
b) Tinejdad Area
- Promote present main cultivation (hard wheat, alfalfa, tree crops)
- Introduction of spring cultivation of vegetables
- To extend vegetable cultivations under oasis cultivation system
- To promote vegetables, those are resistant against transportation and storage
c) Chitam Area
- To introduce crops suitable for sandy soils
- To introduce large-scale cereal (hard wheat) cultivation, possibly
- To extend vegetable cultivations under modernized system, possibly
- To promote vegetables those are resistant against transportation and storage
- To introduce tree crops (dates, olive) for production and windbreak purposes
iv. Azghar
- To promote present main cultivation (hard wheat, legumes, olive).
- To introduce alfalfa for livestock
- $\quad$ To extend vegetable cultivations
- $\quad$ To introduce spring cultivation of vegetables
- $\quad$ To introduce crops suitable for the prevailing agro-climatic condition (Fruits)

According to the field survey and statistical data in the each project area, these crops are widely grown, and the farmers in and/or around the project areas have long experience in cultivation.

Profitability and marketability for extended crops such as fruits and vegetables has been carefully considered, hence their introduction into the cropping pattern with a large area is decided in consideration with the present cultivated area.

Their production aiming at cash crops will require comprehensive development measures such as additional farming technologies, development of new markets including foreign countries, etc.

To achieve optimum development, the technical support of the related authorities and the managing support of the related authorities for marketing should be carried out.
(2) Proposed Cropping Pattern and Crop Intensity

Based on the above conditions, the proposed cropping patterns of each priority project areas have been formulated. The estimated present cropping patterns and proposed cropping patters are shown in Figures XIII2.2.2 to XIII2.2.5 in Main Report.

To minimize the water requirement and to maximize the beneficiaries are one of the most important essentials for the formulation of the proposed cropping pattern, hence the crop intensities of the cropping patterns are ranged from $100 \%$ to $110 \%$ as shown in following table.

Proposed Crop Intensity in the Priority Areas

| Site |  | Present (Estimated) | Proposed Crop Intensity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cerelas | Fodder | Regumes | Vegetables | Fruits | Total |
| N'Fifikh | (Up) |  | 91 | 30 | 25 | 30 |  | 15 | 100 |
|  | (Downm) | 78 | 55 | 15 | 15 |  | 15 | 100 |
| Taskourt |  | 96 | 70 | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 100 |
| Timkit | Ifegh | 96 | 65 | 15 | 15 |  | 10 | 105 |
|  | Tinejdad | 84 | 55 | 15 | 15 |  | 20 | 105 |
|  | Chitam | 14 | 75 | 5 | 10 |  | 10 | 100 |
| Azghar |  | 82 | 60 | 10 | 5 | 20 | 15 | 110 |

Source: Study Team
VIII2.2.3 Proposed Farming Practice and Farm Input

## (1) <br> Crop Rotation

Proper crop rotation is one of the most important farming practices due to the following reasons:

- $\quad$ To maintain the reasonable production by yearly cultivation
- $\quad$ To get maximum profit from the same piece of land
- To maintain soil in good physical and chemical conditions,
- Eradication of insects, disease and weeds,
- To increase productivity of the soil, and
- Proper utilization of irrigation water.

The following crop rotation will be recommended for the proper utilization of soils well as getting maximum profit. Based on the occupation of crops, 5 years rotation can be adaptable in the areas of N'Fifikh, Taskourt and Ifegh.

In the areas occupied by large areas of vegetables, legumes and fodder such as Azghar and Tinejdad are possible by 4 years rotation.


Alfalfa should cultivate for more than 3 years continuously. And the areas of vegetable cultivation should be change yearly.

The land use plan is one of the most important plans to optimize the production from farmlands. The distributions of crops to the appropriate location should be carefully considered. Basic components of optimization of land use are topographical and soil conditions.

## i. Topographical Condition

Flat areas can be adaptable for any kinds of crops. The distribution of irrigation can be easily applied to crops and the land preparation can also easily practiced. The mechanization is also adaptable.

Sloped and terrace areas should be considered for the selection of crops. Fruits crops such as olive and almond can be adaptable. The cultivations by sprinkler and drip irrigation systems should be considered on these areas.

## ii. Soil Condition

Vertisols in N'Fifikh upstream area are not recommended for the cultivation of tree crops because of the deep cracking of soil following the cuts of roots. Stony and gravel soils in a part of Taskourt and Ifegh are not recommended for roots crops such as radish and carrot. Hydromorfic soils in N'Fifikh downstream and sandy soil in Chitam should be considered to minimize the application of water using drip irrigation.

## (3) Land Preparation

For the proper seedbed preparation, land should be ploughed with machine and/or animal traction mounted plow once before starting cultivation of crops to pulverize the soil, control weeds and insect/pests and bury residue of the previous crops. After that the clod should break by a tractor mounted hallow, which should be followed by leveling. Before preparation of soil, irrigation should be applied once. In the areas where agriculture has not mechanized or where the machine cannot be adapted in small plots such as oasis farming area, ploughing the land $2-3$ times should carry out for land preparation. It is recommended that deep ploughing should be used every third year to break the hardpan beneath the soil surface.
(4) Fertilizer Application

## i. Chemical fertilizer

According to the present condition, the utilization of fertilizer including manure is rather insufficient due to the poor economic conditions of farmers. In these days, the numbers of farmers' applied chemical fertilizers has been increasing.

The chemical fertilizers are most important factor to get optimum yields and they should be applied to the fields. The most popular fertilizers are compound fertilizer (12-24-12) and TSP (Triple Super Phosphate) as base application and urea as additional dressing. Chemical fertilizer should be applied on the basis of the amount of recommendation.
ii. Manure and compost

Application of manure is also very rare even the most of farmers have livestock. Manure and compost have very important function to maintain the soils in good physical and chemical conditions, to eradicate insects, disease and weeds and to activate the biological condition of the soil. Compost can produce from biproduct material such as wheat straw, dead leaves and dung from livestock. The application of manure and compost is strongly recommended for sustainable crop production.
(5) Agro-chemical Application and Weed Control

A large number of agro-chemicals are recommended for use in various crops depending upon the insect species and other vertebrate pests such as rats and molls, etc. Liquid types of agro-chemicals are mixed with water and sprayed with hand or power sprayer. The dilution of chemicals should be followed the specification. Granule types are applied to the plant parts where insects/pests are hiding while powder forms be either mixed with water and sprayed with hand or power sprayer or broadcasted

Weed control is also very important to get maximum benefit from the crops. Weeds compete with the crops for water, nutrients and lights. Weed control can be done by a) crop rotation, b) mechanical control, c) manual control and d) chemical control. Presently a large number of herbicides are available in the market for weed control.

The present applied chemicals are shown in Table XIII2.2.1. These chemicals are necessary to get optimum yield and diseases and pests control. Therefore, the chemical control should be applied in the crops cultivation.

The present applied chemicals are shown in Table XIII2.2.1. These chemicals are necessary to get optimum yield and diseases and pests control. Therefore, the chemical control should be applied in the crops cultivation.

## (6) Harvesting

In case of wheat and barley, well-ripened crops should be harvested. Threshing should be preferably carried out with a tractor-driven thresher. At the same time, straws should bale by tractor-driven baler into hay bales, and transported for
feeding of livestock. If the mechanized thresher is not available, the traditional thresher or treading the harvest materials with draft animal can be used.

## Storage and Processing

Post harvest loss should be minimized as less as possible. According to the survey in the priority project areas, the threshing of cereals are rather mechanized and post harvest losses are estimated to not much. However, losses of post harvest of vegetables, legumes and fruits are estimated high.

In case of cereal crops, the grain should be dried up to proper moisture contents before storage. The produce should be put in clean bags and stored into pest free and well ventilate rooms on wooded stacks $5-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ above floor surface.

Vegetables, legumes and fruits should be sold as fresh product in the various markets. Transportation is also important. Some of vegetables, legumes and fruits should be stored into cold storage for selling at time when the fresh product is not available.

## Fruits (Tree crop) Cultivation

Income from fruits is one of the important sources for the farmers. However, the cultivation methods in Morocco are generally not unconvincing and extensive.

Olive trees (Olea europaea L) are most suitable on the climate in Morocco. Olives are grown on a wide variety of soils. Therefore there are no doubts to select soil in Morocco. They are tolerant of high pH , salinity, excess boron, and drought, but are sensitive to flooding. Pruning should be carried out. Olive trees need some stimulus each year to produce new fruiting wood for the following year's crop. For this purpose, the fertilization or by light thinning cuts designed to improve light penetration into the center of the crown should be carried out.

Almond trees (Prunus dulcis) are true Mediterranean fruit crop, requiring mild winters, and long, rain-less, hot summers with low humidity. Trees should be harvested when hulls of fruit in the interior of the canopy are open, since these split last. The seed coat turns brown during the drying-out process of maturation. Fruits are hulled and the hulls sold for livestock feed. Nuts should be dried in ovens (starting at 90 C and increasing temp as moisture) in their shells to $10 \%$ moisture content (preferably 5-7\%). Almond kernels can be stored for long periods if dry, or very long periods when frozen (years). Nuts for long-term storage should be fumigated for navel orange worm and kept at cold storage.

Grapes (Vitis spp.) are adapted to a wide variety of soil conditions. However, deep, well-drained, light textured soils are best for wine grapes. Highly fertile soils are unsuited to high quality wine production, since vigor and yield be controlled. Time of harvest should be determined mostly by appearance,
including color and size of berries for table grapes. The stems of the cluster turn a wood or straw color when berries are mature. Individual clusters should be clipped from vines with minimal handling. Clusters are picked into retail containers to minimize damage and handling. Shipping occurs immediately after harvest when possible, but some storage facilities should be necessary for marketing control. Grapes should be pre-cooled in forced-air rooms, where fumigation with $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ occurs.

Date palm trees (Phoenix dactylifera) are one of the main income sources in oasis agriculture in Timkit. Date palms should be fertilized once a year with manure. More importance is the supply of water; enough amount water should be necessary. Date pollen is abundant but is not airborne very far. Therefore, date palms need artificial pollination. The female inflorescences should be thinned out, or some removed entirely at pollinating time, or several weeks later when the stalk has drooped lower, in order to conserve the palm's energy for the following season. Without thinning, fruits would be borne only every other year. During the pollinating operation, a grower should tie the elongating flower stalk to a palm frond. A number of pickings have to be made over a period of several weeks. Soft dates may be picked early while they are still light colored. Semi dry dates may be picked as soon as they are soft and then ripened artificially. Dry dates should be left on the palm until they are fully ripe. Rain, high humidity during the maturing period may cause damages such as fruit drop, splitting of the skin, imperfect maturation, and excessive moisture content, or even rotting. Under such condition, dates must be harvested while still immature and ripened artificially.

## XIII2.2.4 Farm Input

The Ministry of Agriculture, Rural Development and Fisheries have the recommended "Standard" to achieve the anticipated crops yields of crops as shown in Table V2.1.19 in Chapter V. And Estimated input values are shown in Table XIII2.2.2.

According to input requirement by the Moroccan Standard, the manure application is not mentioned. However, the manure applications are very important to get the annual maximum yields. Therefore, the Study Team will strongly recommend the application of manures in the cultivation of cereal crops.

## XIII2.2.5 Anticipated Crop Yield and Crop Production

Ministry of Agriculture prepared the model for target yield and the period for target production for each crop with the introduction of the irrigation facilities by means of accumulation of the previous data. In the formulation of agricultural
development plan in the project, the team respects and follows these data. Ultimate crop yield are summarized in Table XIII2.2.3 and table below, some other anticipated crop yields have been estimated based on the data in Morocco.

Present yields of crops are very low in Morocco compared with any other countries, which have sufficient rain and irrigated areas. However, the data show that the yield of crops will be drastically improved by the proper management and operation. And particularly securing of irrigation water is most important factor to increase the production.

Table Ultimate yield of some selected crops

|  | Target yield by MAF $\underline{\text { / }}$ | Range of anticipated yield $b$ /) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grain cereals (wheat) | 4.0 tons / ha | $3.0-5.0$ tons / ha |
| Straw of cereals | 1,000 UF |  |
| Grain corn | 4.0 tons / ha | $4.0-5.0$ tons/ha |
| Green vegetables | 15.0 tons / ha |  |
| Winter vegetables $\underline{\text { c/ }}$ | 28 tons / ha | 16-29 tons / ha |
| Summer vegetables d/ | 35 tons / ha | 20-40 tons / ha |
| Alfalfa e/ | 10,500/6,500 UF | 80-100 tons / ha |
| Existing olive | 10.0 tons / ha | 12-16 tons / ha |
| Citrus ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 30.0 tons / ha | $20-30$ tons / ha |
| Potato $\mathrm{f} /$ | 31.0 tons / ha |  |
| Melon $\mathrm{f}^{\text {/ }}$ | 31.0 tons / ha |  |
| Tomato f / | 50.0 tons / ha |  |
| Dates $\mathrm{f} /$ | 3.5 tons / ha |  |
| Almond $\underline{\underline{\mathrm{f}}}$ | 3.5 tons / ha |  |
| Grapes $\mathrm{f} /$ | 10.0 tons / ha |  |
| Broad bean $\underline{\mathrm{f}}$ / | 15.0 tons / ha |  |
| a/ Target year at full production $=5$ years <br> b/ Refer to Agricultural Compendium For Rural Development in the Tropical and Subtropics, Elservier |  |  |
| c/ Potato 50\% \& carrot 50\% |  |  |
| d/ Tomato 50\% \& Water melon 50\% |  |  |
| e/ Modified and estimated by the team |  |  |
| $\underline{\mathrm{f}}$ / Estimation from previous maximum yield in Morocco |  |  |

## XIII3 Irrigation Water Demand

## XIII3.1 Basic Considerations

In this country, in order to compute the irrigation water demand in the existing condition, estimate of consumptive use has been based on the reference crop evapotranspiration worked out by the methods of Radiation, Blaney-Criddle, etc.

Recently, the Ministry of Agriculture, Rural Development and Fisheries (MOA) is re-estimating the water demand using the modified Penman method for the appropriate management of irrigation water.

According to the guidelines prepared by FAO (Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56 Crop Evapotranspiration, 1998), the modified Penman method is
recommended to be used as it offers the best results with minimum error of plus or minus $10 \%$ in summer, and up to $20 \%$ under low evaporative conditions. Whilst the Radiation method, in extreme conditions, involves a possible error up to $20 \%$ in summer, and the Blaney-Criddle method should only be applied for a period of one month or longer; in humid, windy, mid-latitude winter conditions.

Estimate of the water demand with project is based on the meteorological information of five stations of Fes (for Zone I), Marrakech and data on temperature recorded at the Sidi Jaber Station (for Zone II), Marrakech (for Zone III), Ouarzazate (for Zone IV) and Errachidia (for Zone V). Reference crop evapotranspiration ( $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$ ) worked out by the modified Penman method is shown in Table XIII3.1.1. It is understood that approximate reference crop evapotranspiration of the four stations is $2,000 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{year}$, and that there are very little differences among the five.

The effect of the crop characteristics on crop water requirement is given by the crop coefficient (kc) which represents the relationship between reference crop evapotranspiration $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ and crop evapotranspiration (ETcrop) or ETcrop $=\mathrm{kc} \cdot$ $\mathrm{ET}_{0}$.

The effective rainfall (Pe) is calculated by analyzing rainfall records and preparing rainfall probabilities. In the Study, the effective rainfall is estimated by the evapotranspiration/precipitation ratio method (FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 25, Effective Rainfall, 1975) at dependable rainfall of 4 out of 5 years. The rainfall data observed at four stations of Ribat Al Kheir, Feddan Taba, Amizmiz, and Errachidia as shown in Tables XIII3.1.2, XIII3.1.3, XIII3.1.4 and XIII3.1.5 are used for estimating the effective rainfalls for the respective areas of Azghar, N'Fifikh, Taskourt and Timkit.

The net irrigation requirement (In) is calculated using the field water balance. The variables include crop evapotranspiration (ETcrop), rainfall (Pe), groundwater contribution $(\mathrm{Ge})$ and stored soil water $(\mathrm{Wb})$, or $\mathrm{In}=$ ETcrop $-(\mathrm{Pe}$ $+\mathrm{Ge}+\mathrm{Wb})$. In the Study, Ge and Wb are disregarded, and hence, $\mathrm{In}=$ ETcrop Pe.

The project efficiency (Ep) in terms of meeting water at field level in quantity and time is determined by both water losses by canal seepage and the way the system is managed and operated. Additional water losses are incurred during field distribution and application. Farm layout, land leveling and irrigation practices greatly affect water use efficiency at field level. The calculation of the project water requirement (V) required for a given cropping pattern and intensity includes the net irrigation requirement (In) and other water needs including conveyance, distribution and application efficiencies of the system. These are
calculated on a monthly basis. Using average supply, the total project acreage can then be determined from the available water resources.

## XIII3.2 Project Water Requirement of the N'Fifikh Upstream Area

XIII3.2.1 Gravity Irrigation of the Upstream Area (Alternative NU1)
The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation for the N'Fifikh upstream area are calculated to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.2.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:
(1) The reference crop evapotranspiration $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ is estimated by the meteorological data observed at the Marrakech Station and modified by using temperature recorded at the Sidi Jaber Station;
(2) The crop coefficient ( kc ) is referred to the standard figures given in the Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the N'Fifikh upstream area which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.1 (No.1);
(3) The crop evapotranspiration (ETcrop) is the product of Items (1) and (2);
(4) The dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability is estimated using the rainfall data observed at the Feddan Taba Station;
(5) The cropped area in each month is based on the proposed cropping pattern;
(6) The effective rainfall ( Pe ) in each month is the product of Items (4), (5) and the fraction obtained by the evapotranspiration/precipitation ratio method;
(7) The net irrigation requirement (In) is the difference between Items (3) and (6);
(8) The project water requirement (V) for the upstream area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - concrete lined canal - feeder canal: 80\%, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: $65 \%$ ); and

Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 780 ha with $80 \%$ probability, whereas 1,000 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.2.2 Gravity Irrigation of the Upstream Area (Alternative NU2)
The project water requirements for the existing cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation for the N'Fifikh upstream area are calculated to examine the utmost irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.2.2. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as above (1) except the followings:
(1) The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern prevailing in the N'Fifikh upstream area, which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.1 (No.2);
(2) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 810 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 1,030 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

Gravity Irrigation of the Upstream Area (Alternative NU3)
The project water requirements for the pattern by means of gravity irrigation in the N'Fifikh upstream area are calculated to examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Tables XIII3.2.3. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as above (1) except the followings:

- The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the ADP Benslimane for the N'Fifikh upstream area, which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.1 (No.3);
- $\quad$ Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 590 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 740 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.2.3 Mechanical/Gravity Irrigation of the Upstream Area (Alternative NU4)
The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of mechanical ( $50 \%$ ) and gravity ( $50 \%$ ) irrigation for the N'Fifikh upstream area are calculated to examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.2.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:

- The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the N'Fifikh upstream area, which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.2 (No.5);
- The project water requirement (V) for the gravity irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: 65\%);
- $\quad$ The project water requirement $(\mathrm{V})$ for the mechanical irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $68 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution (pipeline) + application efficiencies of sprinkler and drip irrigation: $85 \%$ ); and
- Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 900 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 1,170 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.2.4 Gravity Irrigation for the Highlands of the Left Bank by Lifting Water (Alternative NU5)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation for the highlands of the left bank of the N'Fifikh area by lifting water are calculated to examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.2.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:

- The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the N'Fifikh upstream area which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.1 (No.1); and
- $\quad$ Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 780 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 1,000 ha with $20 \%$ probability.


## XIII3.3 Project Water Requirement for the N'Fifikh Downstream Area

XIII3.3.1 Mechanical Irrigation of the Downstream Area by Constructing a Weir for Pumping up Water (Alternative ND1)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of mechanical irrigation (100\%) for the N'Fifikh downstream area are calculated to
examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.3.1.
(1) The reference crop evapotranspiration $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ is estimated by the meteorological data observed at the Marrakech Station and modified by using temperature recorded at the Sidi Jaber Station;
(2) The crop coefficient (kc) is referred to the standard figures given in the Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the N'Fifikh upstream area, which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.2 (2);
(3) The crop evapotranspiration is the product of Items (1) and (2);
(4) The dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability is estimated using the rainfall data observed at the Feddan Taba Station;
(5) The cropped area in each month is based on the proposed cropping pattern;
(6) The effective rainfall (Pe) in each month is the product of Items (4), (5) and the fraction obtained by the evapotranspiration/precipitation ratio method;
(7) The net irrigation requirement (In) is the difference between Items (3) and (6);
(8) The project water requirement $(\mathrm{V})$ for the mechanical irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is 75\% (conveyance efficiency of pipeline: $90 \%$, and application efficiency of sprinkler and drip irrigation: 83\%);
(9) Monthly mean discharge at the Rahal site for a period of 58 years are shown in Table XIII3.3.3;
(10) The base flow of the proposed weir sites (pump station) is estimated based on the dependable discharge of $80 \%$ probability as shown in Table XIII3.3.2; and
(11) Studies on water balance, which are made in Table XIII3.3.2 indicate that the net irrigation area is 210 ha with $80 \%$ probability rainfall, whereas 260 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.3.2 Mechanical Irrigation of the Downstream Area by Constructing a Dam/Reservoir for Pumping up Water (Alternative ND2)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of mechanical irrigation ( $100 \%$ ) for the N'fifikh downstream area are calculated to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.3.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as above (1) except the followings:

- The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the N'Fifikh downstream area, which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.2 (2);
- $\quad$ Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 470 ha with $80 \%$ probability rainfall, whereas 590 ha with $20 \%$ probability.


## XIII3.4 Project Water Requirement for the Taskourt Area

XIII3.4.1 Gravity Irrigation through the Dam with a Capacity of $34 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ (Alternative TA1)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation for the Taskourt area are calculated to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.4.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:
(1) The reference crop evapotranspiration $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ is estimated by the meteorological data observed at the Marrakech Station;
(2) The crop coefficient (kc) is referred to the standard figures given in the Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the Taskourt area that is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2);
(3) The crop evapotranspiration is the product of Items (1) and (2);
(4) The dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability is estimated using the rainfall data observed at the Amezmiz Station;
(5) The cropped area in each month is based on the proposed cropping pattern;

The net irrigation requirement (In) is the difference between Items (3) and (6);
(8) The project water requirement ( V ) is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: $65 \%$ ); and
(9) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 3,530 ha with $80 \%$ probability, whereas 4,500 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.4.2 Mechanical/gravity irrigation through the Dam with a Capacity of $34 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ (Alternative TA2)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of mechanical ( $50 \%$ ) and gravity ( $50 \%$ ) irrigation for the Taskourt area are calculated to examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.4.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as the above (1) except the followings:

- The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the Taskourt area that is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2);
- The project water requirement (V) for the gravity irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: 65\%);
- $\quad$ The project water requirement (V) for the mechanical irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $68 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution (pipeline) + application efficiencies of sprinkler and drip irrigation: $85 \%$ ); and
- $\quad$ Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 4,060 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 5,100 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.4.3 Gravity Irrigation through the Dam with a Capacity of $24 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ (Alternative TA3)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation for the Taskourt area are calculated to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Table XIII3.4.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as above (1) except the followings:
(1) The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the Taskourt area that is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2);
(2) The project water requirement $(\mathrm{V})$ is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: 65\%); and
(3) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 2,500 ha with $80 \%$ probability, whereas 3,150 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

XIII3.4.4 Mechanical/gravity irrigation through the Dam with a Capacity of $24 \mathrm{Mm}^{3}$ (Alternative TA4)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of mechanical ( $50 \%$ ) and gravity ( $50 \%$ ) irrigation for the Taskourt area are calculated to examine the irrigation area and economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XV) as shown in Table XIII3.4.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as the above (1) except the followings:
(1) The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed by the Study Team for the Taskourt area that is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2);
(2) The project water requirement (V) for the gravity irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder
canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: 65\%);

The project water requirement $(\mathrm{V})$ for the mechanical irrigation area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $68 \%$ (conveyance efficiency, water released to the river - main canal - feeder canal: $80 \%$, and distribution (pipeline) + application efficiencies of sprinkler and drip irrigation: 85\%); and
(4) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 2,880 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall, whereas 3,620 ha with $20 \%$ probability.

## XIII3.5 Project Water Requirement for the Timkit Area

XIII3.5.1 Gravity Irrigation by means of Surface Water from the Timkit Dam and Subsurface Water Recharged with Floods (Alternative TI1)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation using surface water from Timkit Dam and subsurface water recharged with floods are calculated. This is to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility (to be discussed in Chapter XVIII) as shown in Tables XIII3.5.1, XIII3.5.2 and XIII3.5.3. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:
(1) The reference crop evapotranspiration $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ is estimated by the meteorological data observed at the Errachidia Station;
(2) The crop coefficient (kc) is referred to the standard figures given in the Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed for the Timkit area which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2), for the Ifegh area, Figure XIII2.2.4 (2) for the Tinejdad area, and Figure XIII2.2.4 (2) for the Chitam area;
(3) The crop evapotranspiration is the product of Items (1) and (2);
(4) The dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability is estimated using the rainfall data observed at the Errachidia Station;
(5) The cropped area in each month is based on the proposed cropping pattern;
(6) The effective rainfall ( Pe ) in each month is the product of Items (4) and (5), and the fraction obtained by the evapotranspiration/ precipitation ratio method;
(7) The net irrigation requirement (In) is the difference between Items (3) and (6); and
(8) The project water requirement (V) for the Ifegh area is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $58 \%$ (conveyance efficiency of concrete lining canal: $90 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of basin irrigation: 65\%).
(9) The project water requirements (V) for the Tinejdad and Chitam areas by means of subsurface water are estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $60 \%$ (conveyance efficiency: $90 \%$, and application efficiency: 65\%).
(10) The project water requirements (V) for the Tinejdad and Chitam areas by means of surface water from the Timkit Dam are estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $46 \%$ (conveyance efficiency along the river and canal: $72 \%$, and application efficiency: $65 \%$ ).
(11) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 1,110 ha plus 240 ha for the Ifegh area.

XIII3.5.2 Gravity Irrigation by means of Subsurface Water Recharged with Timkit Dam and Floods (Alternative TI2)

The project water requirements for the proposed cropping pattern by means of gravity irrigation using subsurface water recharged with the Timkit dam and floods are calculated to examine the irrigation extent as well as economic feasibility as shown in Tables XIII3.5.1, XIII3.5.2 and XIII3.5.3. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are the same as the above (1) except the followings:
(1) The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed for the Timkit area which is shown in Figure XIII2.2.3 (2), for the Ifegh area, Figure XIII2.2.4 (2) for the Tinejdad area, and FigureXIII 2.2.4 (2) for the Chitam area;
(2) The project water requirements (V) for the Tinejdad and Chitam areas by means of subsurface water are estimated on the assumption that the
overall irrigation efficiency is $60 \%$ (conveyance efficiency: $90 \%$, and application efficiency: 65\%).

Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 1,460 ha plus 240 ha for the Ifegh area.

## XIII3.6 Project Water Requirement of the Azghar Area

XIII3.6.1 Gravity Irrigation of the Azghar Area (Alternative AZ1)
The project water requirements by means of gravity for the Azghar area are calculated as shown in Table XIII3.6.1. In this calculation, data and information used and procedures are as follows:
(1) The reference crop evapotranspiration, $\left(\mathrm{ET}_{0}\right)$ is estimated by the meteorological data observed at the Fes-Sais Station;
(2) The crop coefficient (kc) is referred to the standard figures given in the Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56. The monthly average kc was calculated based on the cropping pattern proposed for the Azghar area that is shown in Figure XIII2.2.5;
(3) The crop evapotranspiration is the product of Items (1) and (2);
(4) The dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability is estimated using the rainfall data observed at the Ribat Al Kheir Station;
(5) The cropped area in each month is based on the proposed cropping pattern;
(6) The effective rainfall (Pe) in each month is the product of Items (4), (5) and the fraction obtained by the evapotranspiration/precipitation ratio method;
(7) The net irrigation requirement (In) is the difference between Items (3) and (6);
(8) The project water requirement (V) is estimated on the assumption that the overall irrigation efficiency is $52 \%$ (conveyance efficiency of concrete lining canal: $80 \%$, and distribution and application efficiencies of furrow, border and basin irrigation: 65\%); and
(9) Studies on water balance and/or optimization of dam scale indicate that the net irrigation area is 2,000 ha with $80 \%$ probability of rainfall.

## XIII3.7 Net and Gross Irrigation Areas

The area that is determined by the water balance study made between the available water resources and the project water requirements estimated based on the dependable rainfall of $80 \%$ probability is defined as the net irrigation area which is commonly used for economic evaluation. However, it is preferable to increase the cultivation area as large as possible in the dry region such as this country where the available water resources are much less to meet the land resources, as the farmers intend to utilize the land to a maximum extent. To cope with this, another project water requirement is calculated on the basis of the dependable rainfall of $20 \%$ probability as shown in the lower part of Tables XIII3.2.1 to XIII3.6.1. It is proposed to determine the project area adopting the latter water requirements instead of the former. It is expected that incremental benefits may be gained when the rainfall exceeds that of the dependability of $80 \%$.

It is understood from the tables that the water demand worked out on the basis of the dependable rainfall of $20 \%$ probability is lower than that calculated on the basis of the $80 \%$ dependability by $20 \%$ to $35 \%$. In other words, the irrigation area estimated by the former will be larger than that estimated by the latter by $20 \%$ to $35 \%$ except Timkit area, where the rainfall is too little to be effective.

It is necessary to determine the area required for infrastructures i.e., canals, ditches, roads, etc, as well as buildings for storage, farming, accommodation, etc. It is a common practice to add 10 to $15 \%$ to the net irrigation area for such structures and buildings.

As a result of the discussions made above, the gross area should be as large as 1.5 to 1.6 times the net irrigation area with some allowance. It is noted that in future the irrigation area be increased by adopting water saving mechanical irrigation method such as sprinkler, drip, etc. The increased area, which is estimated at approximately $30 \%$, can be accommodated in the gross area thus determined. In conclusion, the alignment of irrigation facilities and structures are proposed to cover the entire gross area.

## XIII4 Agricultural Extension and Supporting Services in the Study Area

## XIII4.1 Introduction

The grass level agricultural and livestock extension services are carried out by Work Centers (CTs) and CMVs. In N'Fifikh, Taskourt and Azghar, CTs under DPAs are responsible and in Timkit CMVs under ORMVA are responsible for the grass level extension activities. Sub Centers staffed by technicians provide
extension services. Extension activities aim mainly at utilization of inputs and machinery, loans, irrigation, cooperatives/farmers' associations, women's education etc. The extension workers are not only responsible for extension activities but are also responsible for preparing reports on contact, collecting statistics on the present agricultural situation etc.

## XIII4.2 Extension Activities in the Study Area

## XIII4.2.21 N'fifikh (No.5)

There are two Work Centers (CTs) responsible for the extension activities in the Study Area i.e., the CT of Ben Slimane for up stream and the CT of Bouznika for down stream respectively. The CT of Ben Slimane has four Sub Centers of which one is in Ben Slimane with 7 technicians and is responsible for the extension activities in the communes of Zaida and Malin Ghaba. The CT of Bouznika has 3 Sub-Centers of which one is in Ben Yakhlef with four technicians and one staff for feminine animation. The other Sub Center in Sidi Battach with two technicians specialized in livestock and big farming is responsible for the extension activities in the communes of Sidi Moussa Ben Ali and Sidi Moussa Majdoub). There are about 400 farm households per extension worker. Livestock extension is assisted by a multidisciplinary team of experts in the DPA that provides advice to technicians on livestock extension. The organizational structure of the Work Center (CT) in Bouznika is shown in Figure XIII 4.2.1.

## XIII4.2.2 Taskourt (No. 9)

The extension activities in the Study Area are the responsibility of Work Center in Chichaoua. There are 6 Sub Centers under the CT of which 3 are in the Study Area, i.e., Guemassa, Assif El Mal and Mzouda respectively. The said Sub Centers are staffed by one extension workers each. The average farm households per extension workers exceed more than 2000 households. Concerning livestock extension, there are two livestock extension specialists under DPA and provide livestock extension services. For injection or treatment they have to relay on private veterinarians. The organizational structure of CT is shown in Figure XIII4.2.2. Insufficient extension workers, lack of transportation and communication means were observed in the Study Area.

XIII4.2.3 Timkit (No. 10)
Two CMVs one in Tinejdad and one in Aghbalou under ORMVA/TF are responsible for the extension activities in the Study Area. ORMVA/TF has one Sub Division in Goulmima that supervises the activities of the above CMVs.

There are altogether 5 Sub Divisions and 21 CMVs under ORMVA/TF. In the CMV of Tinejdad there are two extension workers for general agriculture and one for livestock production. In Aghbalou there is only one extension worker specialized in livestock (refer to Figure XIII4.2.3). The extension program consists of mass extension program, demonstration program, individual program etc. Lack of extension staff and lack of transportation means are the main obstacles of extension activities.

## XIII4.2.4 Azghar (No. 17)

There are 4 Work Centers (CTs) in the province of Sefrou. The Work Center (CT) located at Ribot Al kheir is responsible for the extension activities in the Study Area. There are 6 technicians for extension activities and one is in charge of agricultural materials. Among 6 extension workers 2 are specialized in general agriculture, 2 in horticulture and 2 in livestock production respectively (refer to Figure XIII4.4.4). There are about 500 farm households per extension worker.

## XIII4.3 Agricultural Marketing

## XIII4.3.1 Marketing of Agricultural Products

The marketing system for most of the agricultural products have been fully liberalized. The marketing of food crops i.e., cereals, vegetables and fruits as well as livestock is dominated by private small traders. Agricultural marketing is characterized by large number of small traders who operate both from and outside the Study Areas and from nearest big cities i.e., in case of Timkit from Agadhir, Meknes for Azghar from Fees, for Taskourt from Marrakech and for N'fifikh from Casablanca, Mohammedia, Rabat etc.

The major destination of the agricultural products in the Study Area are local Souks. Souks are retail markets. The area of the Souks varies between 2 ha and 8 ha. Either farmers themselves bring the commodities to the market and sale it to the retailers or retailers/jobbers go to the farmers or big markets in town to procure and sale it in retail. Every retailers i.e. traders pay taxes to the local government, occupy the space and sale the commodities. The rules and regulations on transactions are formulated by the respective communes. The commune offices or municipalities are expected to provide services such as cleaning, setting disputes etc.

Cereal sellers sale their commodities at a retail price using a can called Abra or Moud as a standard measure. There are also butchers and slaughter houses in the market. It is difficult to estimate the total volume transacted in the market,
because no any record on transaction is available. Some Souks used by the farmers in and around the Study Area are shown in Table XIII4.3.1.
(1) N'Fifikh (No.5)

Farmers in the Study Area sale their products either to the wholesalers from the big markets from Casablanca, Mohammedia, Rabat etc. or sale in the local markets called Souks. There are five Souks used by the farmers namely, Tlet Zaida, Arba Ben Slimane, Jemaa Fedalette, Khemisse Bouznika and Ahade de Beni Yakhlef.

Taskourt (No.9)
There are four Souks Had M'jjate, Arba Frougma (Guemassa), Sebt Mzouda (Mzouda) Arba Assif El Mal in the Study Area. Agricultural products, livestock, daily use commodities etc. are sold in those weekly markets. Traders from other areas or big markets from Marrakech, usually used those Souks for the procurement of agricultural and livestock products.
Timkit (No.10)

Two Souks, Had Tinjedad and Tlet Gulmima were the main Souks used by the farmers of the Study Area.

Azghar (No.17)
The major destination of the agricultural products in the Study Area is the Souk of Tinin Ribat Al Kheir.

XIII4.3.2 Marketing of Agricultural Inputs
(1) N'Fifikh (No.5)

Owing the good excess to the big cities as Casablanca, Mohammedia or Rabat, It is estimated that about $95 \%$ of the farmers buy agricultural inputs from private traders rather than from the sales points of CTs. It is estimated that the price of inputs in private shops are cheaper by $10 \%$ in average.
(2) Taskourt (No.9)

Farmers of the Study Area procure agricultural inputs from the sales point of CT in Chichaoua. Chemical fertilizers and traditional seeds are also available in weekly markets (Souks) or private shops, but certified seeds are only available in the sales point of CT. It is estimated that the use ratio of certified seeds is about $10 \%$, so the consumption of chemical fertilizers and other agro chemicals is
estimated very low. Agricultural inputs are mainly procured by CT from Casablanca and are sold at the same prices.

> Timkit (No.10)

Farmers of the Study Area buy agricultural inputs from the sales point of CMV or from private shops. SONACOS has a sales point in Errachidia and FERTIMA has four sales points in Errachidia of which one is in Goulmima. Prices are rather low at private shops, so the share of agricultural input supply of private traders is in increasing trend.

Azghar (No.17)
Agricultural inputs, such as seeds, fertilizers, pesticides etc. are available at the sales point of CT at Ribat Al Kheir which lies at a distance of about 4 km from the Study Area. Fertilizers and seeds of traditional varieties are also available in the weekly market at Al Kheir or in private shops. The use of certified seeds are estimated at $7 \%$ and the remaining is traditional. Prices of fertilizers and other inputs are rather low in the private shops than the sales point of CT.

## XIII4.4 Agricultural Credit

The main sources of agricultural credit in the whole Study Area are CLCA and CRCA. Farmers must own land to be eligible for loan. The amount of loan is determined according to the value of land. The maximum amount of loan provided by the CLCA is $120,000 \mathrm{Dh}$ and farmers or agro-industries who need loan more than this ceilings are referred to CRCA that provides maximum amount of $800,000 \mathrm{Dh}$. Loans are provided for short term (less than 12 months), at an interest rate of $8.5 \%$ to $11.0 \%$, medium term ( 2 to 5 years) at an interest rate of $11.0 \%$ and long terms ( 5 years and above) at $12.0 \%$ respectively.

## XIII4.5 Agricultural Subsidy

From Agriculture Development Fund (FDA) subsidies are provided for the purpose of hydro-agricultural facilities construction, land improvement, production of certified cereal seeds, utilization of certified cereal seeds, farming equipment, intensification of livestock production, air freight for exportation of agricultural commodities etc. The rate of subsidies is shown in Table XIII4.5.1.

## XIII4.6 Cooperatives and Farmers' Organizations

The stable irrigation water supply will make it possible to expand the cultivation area and will intensify the use of land and in some places crops will be diversified. Proper management of irrigated water in all parts of the system is essential to obtain the full benefit of the project.

At present there are several cooperatives and farmers' associations, however those cooperatives or associations include only few farmers, and their activities are confined only on few activities such as water use, milk collection and marketing and sheep breeding etc.

## XIII4.6.1 N'Fifikh (No.5)

There are several cooperatives in and around the Study Area and majority of them are milk collection and marketing cooperatives as shown in Table XIII 4.6.1. There are three farmers' associations, N'Fifikh River Water Users' Association (Association des Usagers d'eau d'Oued N'Fifikh), Red Meat Producers' Association (Association des Producteurs de Viande Rouge) and Young Farmers’ Provincial Association (Association Provinciale des Jeunes Agriculteurs; APJA).

XIII4.6.2 Taskourt (No.9)
There are 4 Water Users' Associations in the Study Area, two in Assif El Mal one in Guemassa and one in M'jjate respectively. Those associations were established after 1996 and have altogether 650 households as members and every household pays 100 Dh per annum as membership fees. Thee are 8 cooperatives in the Study Area 3 in the commune of M'jjet, 3 in Assif El Mal, one each in Guemassa and Mzouda respectively. All cooperatives but two are milk collection and marketing cooperatives (refer toTable XIII4.6.2).

XIII4.6.3 Timkit (No. 10)
In the Study Area there are 6 cooperatives of which 5 are in the Commune of Tinejdad and one in Aghbalou. 4 cooperatives are active in sheep breeding, one in apiculture and one in pumping as shown in Table XIII4.6.3.

XIII4.6.4 Azghar (No.17)
There are no cooperatives and farmers' organizations in the Study Area, however some farmers are the members of provincial comparatively large cooperative and association called ASSAS (Association ds Arboriculture de Sefrou) and Red Met producers' Association.

XIII4.7 Household Economy

In the rural areas of Morocco, agriculture is the main source of income and employment. The rural economically active population represents about $50 \%$ of the total economically active population and agriculture sector provides employment to nearly $80 \%$ of the rural employment.

In the whole Study Area agriculture and livestock production is the important source of income. However, due to the successive periods of draught and the degradation of the grazing land, more and more households are engaged in nonagricultural activities to generate income.

## XIII4.7.1 N'Fifikh (No.5)

According to the household interview survey, $52 \%$ households were engaged only in agriculture and livestock keeping, whereas the other $48 \%$ were engaged in agriculture and other activities as labor, small business etc. Owing to the proximity to the big cities, like Casablanca, Mohammedia, Rabat etc., there were more opportunities for employment. The total average annual family income was estimated at $47,900 \mathrm{Dh}$. And the annual total family expenditure was $15,600 \mathrm{Dh}$ (refer to Table XIII4.7.1).

## XIII4.7.2 Taskourt (No.9)

The major economic activities were agriculture, livestock rearing, small business, seasonal labor etc. that generated annual average family income of $27,000 \mathrm{Dh}$. According to the household interview survey, $50 \%$ of the active men labor force found employment in big towns like Marrakech, Agadhir etc., for two to three months during a year which generated about $27 \%$ of the total family income. The total average family expenditure was estimated at 17,100 Dh per annum (refer to TableXIII4.7.1).

## XIII4.7.3 Timkit (No.10)

In the Study Area of Timkit $47 \%$ of the respondent households were engaged in agriculture cum labor, whereas $19 \%$ were engaged only in agriculture and livestock rearing. Because of the draught during recent years, majority of the households were forced to get some source of alternative income. According to the household interview survey nearly two third of the young labor force goes to the cities for seasonal employment. The average annual family income was $32,400 \mathrm{Dh}$ and the annual average family expenditure was $16,100 \mathrm{Dh}$ (refer to TableXIII4.7.1).

In the Study Area, the important source of income is agriculture and animal husbandry. According to the household interview survey $43 \%$ households were engaged only in agriculture and animal husbandry whereas another $57 \%$ were engaged in agriculture/animal husbandry cum other activities like small business, labor etc. The annual average family income in Azghar was estimated at 23,700 Dh and the average annual expenditure was $16,300 \mathrm{Dh}$ (refer to TableXIII4.7.1).

## XIII4.8 Recommendations for the Development of Extension and Supporting Services

## XIII4.8.1 N'Fifikh (No.5)

(1) Extension Services Strengthening

The strengthening proposal of Work Centers (CTs) is directed to the enhancement of the capacity of CTs, Sub-Centers or field extension workers, that are expected to take core functions under the project.

- Logistic support strengthening : provision of motorcycles to the field staffs.
- Capacity building of field extension workers: in-service training. They should be provided in-service training at the province level.
- Strengthening of technical guidance of CTs: train farmers by demonstration of developed farm technology at demonstration plots in farmers' fields.
- Farmers’ Training ( Crop Production): recommended practices, pest control, chemical use etc.
- Demonstration trials: new crops, variety, fertilization rate, recommended package technology, irrigation methods and water management
(2) Formation/Strengthening of Farmers' Associations/Cooperatives

New associations should be established in the Study Area, and they are expected to support production activities, group purchage of farm inputs, joint collection and delivery of vegetables/fruits, agricultural credit etc.

In the Study Area, there are more than 2,000 farm households per extension worker and lack of transportation and communication means is also a serious problem. The following items are recommended to strengthen the extension services in Taskourt.

- Logistic Support Strengthening: provision of mottorcycles to the field level extension workers and 4 wheel vehicles to the CT. And posting of more extension workers to the sub-centers.
- Capacity building of field extension workers: inservice training at provincial level
- $\quad$ Strengthening of technical guidance of CT: establish an adoptive trial farm to train extension workers as well as farmers. Posting an experienced staff in irrigated agriculture in the project area.
- Production Demonstration: production demonstration will be conducted in the farmers' fields to transfer technology effictively. Proved techniques in a similar environment should be demonstrated.
- Farmers’ Training (Production): farmers should get training on harvesting, packing and transport, use of fertilizer and other chemicals etc.
(2) Marketing Support

Consideration have been given to proposals that would enhance market efficiency by bringing together buyers and sellers for marketing cost effective transcations.

- Collection Center: presently farmers do not have collection centers after harvest. Establishment of collection centers of simple structure with roof and concrete floor can be used for group delivery to big markets like Marrakech, Casablanca etc. Local Souks with concrete floor and roof like Haad M'jjate, Arbaa Frouga, Sebt Mzouda may be used as collection center on non market days.
- Market Information: information on market situation should be provided through CT. With adequate market information farmers can plan, what to produce, when to produce and how to produce etc.

In the Study Area, there are six milk cooperatives, and four water users' associations. Water users' associations were created mainly for water distribution, facility management of the existing traditional canals. Farm households are used to cooperatives and associations. After the implementation of the project, new cooperatives for vegetable/fruit marketing will be needed. The purpose of strengthening of water users' associations in the irrigated area is to make them responsible for $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ and to make the irrigation scheme sustinable. Farmers' Associations/Cooperatives are expected to support production activities of the member farmers in group purchase of farm inputs, joint collection and delivery of vegetables/fruits, supply of agricultural inputs etc.

- Training: the discussion meetings will be held with the farmers for the establishment of new organisations in the collaboration with the present agencies in charge of the farmers' organisations i.e., CT and DPA. Training program on cooperatives, farmers' associations will be formulated according to the needs.

XIII4.8.3 Timkit (No.10)
(1) Improvement of Existing Extension System

Basic concept of extension service for crop production is as follows;

- To train farmers by demonstration of improved farming technology at demonatration plots in farmers' fields in Ifegh, Tinejdad and Chitam
- To experiment newly introduced vegetables, legumes, fodder trees
- To collect information of farming from research institutions and private sector and to provide them to the farmers
- Dissemination of information on institutional credit
(2) Marketing System Improvement
- Planning of cropping pattern: after the implementation of the project the cropping season will be adjusted with irrigation water supply, so as to insure the strategic production in the areas, since the price fluctuation of vegetables comes from unstable supply to the market.
- Establishment of Distribution Center: the products of the Study Area goes to Agadhir, Marrakech, Meknes etc. Group shipment through distribution center will strengthen the bargaining power of the farmers.
(3) Organizing and Activation of Farmers' Associations and Cooperatives
- Creation of self-reliant farmers' organisation focussing on the beneficiaries in the proposed irrigation development area. ORMVA will support to the WUA and farmers' cooperatives.
- WUAs are expected to be responsible for the operation and mentenance of the proposed facilities.
- Farmers' cooperatives are expected to support the production activities of the member farmers in joint purchase of farm inputs, joint collection of farm products and supply of agricultural credits.
- Training on expected roles and objective of the newly established organizations.


## XIII4.8.4 Azghar (No.17)

(1) Extension Services Strengthening

The strengthening proposal of Work Centers (CTs) is directed to the enhancement of the capacity of CTs, Sub-Centers or field extension workers, that are expected to take core functions under the project. The proposal exists of

- Capacity building of field extension workers: provide in-service training at the province level.
- Strengthening of technical guidance of CTs: train farmers by demonstration of developed farm technology at demonstration plots in farmers' fields.
- $\quad$ Posting an experienced staff in irrigated agriculture and vegetable crops in the project area
- Demonstration trials : new crops, variety, fertilization rate, recommended package technology, irrigation methods and water management
(2) Improvement of Marketing System:

Collection Centers:
Centers of simple structure but with roof and concrete floor for
collection and group delivery.
(3)

Formation of Farmers' Associations/Cooperatives
Target group: beneficiary farmers of irrigation development

- Program Component: Awareness program for irrigation beneficiaries, WUA formation and guidance to the beneficiaries, workshop for formation of WUA, "learning by doing" under the support of DPA, study tour of representatives of the WUA
- Farmers' Training: training should be provided based on the area specific needs of the area or individual farmers which will be identified through discussions with the farmers. Periodical training will be provided for the leaders of farmers' groups. The objective of the training is to identify the problems in their areas and the solution for such problems, through the discussion among the leaders.

Feasibility Study on Water Resources Development in
Rural Area in the

Kingdom of Morocco<br>Final Report<br>Volume IV Supporting Report (2.A)<br>Feasibility Study<br>Supporting Report XIII<br>Soils, Agriculture and Irrigation

Tables

Table XIIII.2.1 The Area of Land Suitability in each Project Area

|  | No. 5 N'Fifikh |  | No. 9Taskourt | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { No. } 10 \\ & \text { Timkit } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\text { No. } 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Upstream b/ | Downstream |  | Ifegh c/ | Tinejdad | Chitam | Azghar |
| I | 384 | 0 | 276 | 17 | 910 | 435 | 18 |
| II | 129 | 66 | 2,854 | 38 | 130 | 0 | 2 |
| Gravity III | 47 | 34 | 630 | 90(79) $\underline{\text { d/ }}$ | 162 | 119 | 766 |
| Irrigation IV | 215 | 186 | 1,579 | 63 | 0 | 136 | 521 |
| V | 73 | 12 | 231 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 621 |
| VI+Others | 0 | 32 | 299 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 105 |
| (Sub-total) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / | 848 | 330 | 5,869 | 131 | 1,476 | 690 | 2,033 |
| I | 518 | 0 | 281 | 17 | 910 | 508 | 690 |
| II | 257 | 132 | 2,860 | 38 | 155 | 0 | 547 |
| Sprinkler III | 73 | 46 | 706 | 90(79) $\underline{\text { d/ }}$ | 137 | 171 | 601 |
| Irrigation IV | 0 | 120 | 1,568 | 63 | 0 | 11 | 73 |
| V | 0 | 0 | 156 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| VI+Others | 0 | 32 | 299 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 105 |
| (Sub-total) | 848.0 | 330.0 | 5868.9 | 131.0 | 1476.4 | 690 | 2032.9 |
| Irrigable by Gravity (less than 8\%) | 848 | 286 | 5,339 | 287 | 1,202 | 690 | 1,911 |
| Potencial by Sprinkler Area | 848 | 298 | 5,414 | 287 | 1,202 | 690 | 1,911 |
| No Mapping | 42 | 0 | 131.1 | (79) $\underline{\mathrm{d} /}$ | 1333 e/ | 0 | 317.1 |
| Gross Irrigation Development Area | 890 | 330 | 6,000 | 300 | 2,835 | 690 | 2,350 |
| Proposed Irrigation Area | 800 | 260 | 4,500 | 200 | 2,130 | 552 | 2,000 |

Note: a/ Sub-tolal including no mapping area
b/ The areas of directly under dam site (360ha) are not included, but approximatedly 280 ha is classified Class I. See data book $\mathrm{d} /$ Most of the no mapping areas will be included in the Class III by field investination.
e/ Most of these areas are located in the south area ot Todrha River.
Source: Land Suitability Map 2001

Table XIII1.2.2 The Classification of Land Suitability in Each Priority Project Area


Table XIII2.1.1: Crop Production of the Commune Rural "Ziaida" in the N'Fifikh Upstream Area

| Crops | 95/96 |  |  | 96/97 |  |  | 97/98 |  |  | 98/99 |  |  | 99/2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product. } \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\text { (ton/ha) }}{\text { Yield }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { ( ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\text { ton } / \text { ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product. } \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | Yield (ton/ha) |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft wheat | 5,000 | 11,000 | 2.2 | 1,800 | 1,440 | 0.8 | 4,500 | 8,550 | 1.9 | 4,700 | 7,050 | 1.5 | 4,800 | 480 | 0.1 |
| Hard wheat | 3,000 | 6,000 | 2.0 | 3,350 | 2,345 | 0.7 | 3,000 | 5,400 | 1.8 | 2,800 | 2,800 | 1.0 | 2,900 | 435 | 0.2 |
| Barley | 400 | 960 | 2.4 | 400 | 400 | 1.0 | 400 | 960 | 2.4 | 400 | 480 | 1.2 | 400 | 60 | 0.2 |
| Maize | 300 | 1,500 | 5.0 | 200 | 220 | 1.1 | 200 | 240 | 1.2 | 300 | 150 | 0.5 | 400 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | 300 | 1,350 | 4.5 | 300 | 600 | 2.0 | 160 | 576 | 3.6 | 200 | 560 | 2.8 | 250 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oat | 300 | 1,200 | 4.0 | 250 | 550 | 2.2 | 490 | 1,960 | 4.0 | 200 | 600 | 3.0 | 150 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad been | 600 | 780 | 1.3 | 550 | 385 | 0.7 | 300 |  | 1.0 | 300 | 240 | 0.8 | 275 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Green peas | 200 | 240 | 1.2 | 100 | 60 | 0.6 | 500 |  | 1.0 | 400 | 400 | 1.0 | 350 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lentil | 100 | 80 | 0.8 | 100 | 60 | 0.6 | 300 |  | 1.2 | 150 | 120 | 0.8 | 150 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato | 50 | 1,400 | 28.0 | 50 | 1,300 | 26.0 | 60 | 1,800 | 30.0 | 100 | 2,800 | 28.0 | 120 | 2,400 | 20.0 |
| Tomato | 10 | 500 | 50.0 | 8 | 288 | 36.0 | 10 | 450 | 45.0 | 15 | 660 | 44.0 | 15 | 750 | 50.0 |
| Pumpkin | 2 | 48 | 24.0 | 4 | 80 | 20.0 | 5 | 110 | 22.0 | 5 | 120 | 24.0 | 4 | 72 | 18.0 |
| Navet | 5 | 100 | 20.0 | 7 | 98 | 14.0 | 5 | 100 | 20.0 | 5 | 100 | 20.0 | 6 | 96 | 16.0 |
| Onion | 10 | 300 | 30.0 | 11 | 286 | 26.0 | 5 | 150 | 30.0 | 10 | 300 | 30.0 | 5 | 125 | 25.0 |
| Others | 10 | 250 | 25.0 | 8 | 144 | 18.0 | 5 | 120 | 24.0 | 5 | 110 | 22.0 | 6 | 108 | 18.0 |
| Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grape | 30 | 240 | 8.0 | 30 | 135 | 4.5 | 40 | 180 | 4.5 | 36 | 238 | 6.6 |  |  | 5.0 |
| Olive | 28 | 182 | 6.5 | 35 | 158 | 4.5 | 48 | 384 | 8.0 | 56 | 392 | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |
| Almond | 12 | 12 | 1.0 | 14 | 8 | 0.6 | 16 | 13 | 0.8 | 20 | 14 | 0.7 |  |  | 0.5 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Fallow Land } & 933 & 1,400 & 1,000 & 1,500\end{array}$
Source: Benslimane DPA, 2000

Table XIIII2.1.2: Crop Production of the
Commune Rural 'Ouled Yahya" in the N'Fifikh Upstream Area

| Crops | 95/96 |  |  | 96/97 |  |  | 97/98 |  |  | 98/99 |  |  | 99/2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\text { ton } / \mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\text { ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft wheat | 4,000 | 9,200 | 2.3 | 2,500 | 1,750 | 0.7 | 2,600 | 4,290 | 1.7 | 2,800 | 3,920 | 1.4 | 2,900 | 290 | 0.1 |
| Hard wheat | 2,000 | 4,400 | 2.2 | 1,500 | 750 | 0.5 | 1,400 | 2,240 | 1.6 | 1,200 | 1,560 | 1.3 | 1,200 | 120 | 0.1 |
| Barley | 300 | 720 | 2.4 | 250 | 200 | 0.8 | 300 | 660 | 2.2 | 350 | 630 | 1.8 | 350 | 53 | 0.2 |
| Maize | 200 | 200 | 1.0 | 200 | 200 | 1.0 | 200 | 240 | 1.2 | 300 | 180 | 0.6 | 450 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Barley | 100 | 460 | 4.6 | 200 | 500 | 2.5 | 300 | 1,080 | 3.6 | 300 | 840 | 2.8 | 350 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oat | 300 | 1,350 | 4.5 | 150 | 330 | 2.2 | 100 | 400 | 4.0 | 300 | 900 | 3.0 | 250 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad been | 300 | 360 | 1.2 | 400 | 280 | 0.7 | 700 | 560 | 0.8 | 350 | 245 | 0.7 | 350 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Green peas | 200 | 240 | 1.2 | 180 | 90 | 0.5 | 160 | 160 | 1.0 | 100 | 90 | 0.9 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Lentil | 170 | 136 | 0.8 | 190 | 152 | 0.8 | 100 | 100 | 1.0 | 200 | 140 | 0.7 | 85 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato | 50 | 1,500 | 30.0 | 40 | 960 | 24.0 | 60 | 1,680 | 28.0 | 60 | 1,560 | 26.0 | 50 | 1,000 | 20.0 |
| Tomato | 10 | 520 | 52.0 | 6 | 228 | 38.0 | 7 | 336 | 48.0 | 10 | 460 | 46.0 | 10 | 500 | 50.0 |
| Pumpkin | 10 | 260 | 26.0 | 6 | 108 | 18.0 | 5 | 120 | 24.0 | 8 | 176 | 22.0 | 5 | 80 | 16.0 |
| Navet | 5 | 110 | 22.0 | 4 | 60 | 15.0 | 7 | 140 | 20.0 | 5 | 90 | 18.0 | 6 | 96 | 16.0 |
| Onion | 5 | 180 | 36.0 | 7 | 196 | 28.0 | 10 | 320 | 32.0 | 10 | 300 | 30.0 | 12 | 300 | 25.0 |
| Others | 4 | 92 | 23.0 | 6 | 96 | 16.0 | 5 | 110 | 22.0 | 5 | 100 | 20.0 | 4 | 72 | 18.0 |
| Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grape | 450 | 4,050 | 9.0 | 500 | 2,250 | 4.5 | 500 | 4,000 | 8.0 | 581 | 4,067 | 7.0 | 581 | 2,905 | 5.0 |
| Olive | 20 | 190 | 9.5 | 24 | 120 | 5.0 | 30 | 270 | 9.0 | 30 | 240 | 8.0 | 47 | 353 | 7.5 |
| Almond | 12 | 13 | 1.1 | 14 | 7 | 0.5 | 18 | 18 | 1.0 | 18 | 14 | 0.8 | 23 | 14 | 0.6 |
| Fallow Land | 120 |  |  | 160 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 130 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 8,256 |  |  | 6,337 |  |  | 6,642 |  |  | 6,757 |  |  | 6,873 |  |  |

Table XIII2.1.3: Crop Production of the Commune
Rural of Monsouria in the N'Fifikh Downstream Area

| Crops | 95/96 |  |  | 96/97 |  |  | 97/98 |  |  | 98/99 |  |  | 99/2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area | Product. | Yield | Area | Product. | Yield | Area | Product. | Yield | Area | Product. | Yield | Area | Product. | Yield |
|  | ( ha) | (ton) | (ton/ha) | ( ha) | (ton) | (ton/ha) | ( ha) | (ton) | (ton/ha) | ( ha) | (ton) | (ton/ha) | ( ha) | (ton) | (ton/ha) |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft wheat | 2,260 | 5,198 | 2.3 | 950 | 665 | 0.7 | 2,000 | 3,200 | 1.6 | 1,900 | 2,470 | 1.3 | 2,100 | 27 | 0.0 |
| Hard wheat | 180 | 252 | 1.4 | 30 | 12 | 0.4 | 70 | 70 | 1.0 | 50 | 35 | 0.7 | 50 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Barley | 250 | 363 | 1.5 | 90 | 45 | 0.5 | 250 | 313 | 1.3 | 250 | 350 | 1.4 | 450 | 9 | 0.0 |
| Maize | 3 | 2 | 0.7 | 10 | 3 | 0.3 | 5 | 2 | 0.3 | 23 | 14 | 0.6 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Oat | - | - | - | - | - | - | 130 | 1,170 | 9.0 | 50 | 600 | 12.0 | - | - | - |
| (Sub-total) | 2,693 |  |  | 1,080 |  |  | 2,455 |  |  | 2,273 |  |  | 2,603 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forage barley | 230 | 1,725 | 7.5 | 100 | 350 | 3.5 | 180 | 720 | 4.0 | 100 | 400 | 4.0 | 30 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Forage oat | 150 | 450 | 3.0 | 60 | 180 | 3.0 | 50 | 175 | 3.5 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Others | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 118 | 260 | 2.2 | 20 | 0 | 0.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 380 |  |  | 160 |  |  | 230 | 895 |  | 318 | 660 |  | 100 | 0 |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad been | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 50 | 5.0 | 10 | 40 | 4.0 | 15 | 0 | 0.0 |
| String bean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34 | 51 | 1.5 | - | - | - |
| (Sub-total) | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato (winter) | 40.0 | 280 | 7 | 40.0 | 360 | 9 | 45.0 | 810 | 18 | 40.0 | 720 | 18 | 40.0 | 720 | 18 |
| Tomato (GH) a/ | 3.5 | 350 | 100 | 4.0 | 400 | 100 | 4.0 | 420 | 105 | 4.5 | 495 | 110 | 4.5 | 473 | 105 |
| Tomato | 2.0 | 80 | 40 | 3.0 | 135 | 45 | 2.0 | 100 | 50 | 2.0 | 100 | 50 | 5.0 | 250 | 50 |
| Potato | 10.0 | 170 | 17 | 15.0 | 225 | 15 | 25.0 | 700 | 28 | 5.0 | 125 | 25 | 5.0 | 150 | 30 |
| Tomato | 2.0 | 80 | 40 | 2.0 | 80 | 40 | 2.0 | 80 | 40 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Melon (GH) a/ | 0.8 | 38 | 50 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | 50 | 50 | 1.0 | 55 | 55 |
| Young marrow | 0.9 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 24 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 36 | 9 | 3.0 | 27 | 9 | 5.0 | 40 | 8 |
| Broad bean (GH) a | 0.8 | 15 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Broad bean | 1.0 | 10 | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green peas | 2.0 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Others | 4.0 | 12 | 3 | 6.0 | 54 | 9 | 2.0 | 20 | 10 | 8.0 | 80 | 10 | 3.0 | 27 | 9 |
| (Sub-total) | 63 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 82 |  |  | 56 |  |  | 61 |  |  |
| Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grapes | 253 | 1,139 | 5 | 253 | 1,265 | 5 | 138 | 759 | 6 | 195 | 1,073 | 6 | 188 | 846 | 5 |
| (Sub-total) | 253 |  |  | 253 |  |  | 138 |  |  | 195 |  |  | 188 |  |  |
| Fallow Land | 587 |  |  | 2,413 |  |  | 1,062 |  |  | 1,235 |  |  | 962 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 3,975 |  |  | 3,973 |  |  | 3,977 |  |  | 4,121 |  |  | 3,928 |  |  |

Note: a/ GH: Greenhouse
Source: Senslimane DPA, 2000

Table XIII2.1.4: Crop Production of the
Commune Rural of Asif El Mal in the Taskourt Area

|  | 95-96 |  |  | 96-97 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | 98-99 |  |  | 99-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ (\text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area <br> (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard wheat | 50 | 55 | 1.1 | 40 | 4 | 0.1 | 100 | 25 | 0.3 | 50 | 10 | 0.2 | 40 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Soft wheat | 150 | 99 | 0.7 | 60 | 0 | 0.0 | 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 50 | 20 | 0.4 | 100 | 60 | 0.6 |
| Barley | 650 | 520 | 0.8 | 700 | 175 | 0.3 | 600 | 150 | 0.3 | 750 | 413 | 0.6 | 660 | 198 | 0.3 |
| (Sub-total) | 850 |  |  | 800 |  |  | 800 |  |  | 850 |  |  | 800 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 20 | 1,440 | 72.0 | 20 | 840 | 42.0 | 20 | 960 | 48.0 | 20 | 1,200 | 60.0 | 20 | 1,200 | 60.0 |
| Berseem | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Sub-total) | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green Peas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Watermelon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Potato | 10 | 80 | 8.0 | 20 | 140 | 7.0 | 15 | 120 | 8.0 | 15 | 120 | 8.0 | 20 | 160 | 8.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 10 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| Fruits a/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olive | 283 | 226 | 0.8 | 283 | 2,264 | 8.0 | 283 | 1,698 | 6.0 | 283 | 57 | 0.2 | 283 | 708 | 2.5 |
| Almond | 1,437 | 42 | 0.03 | 1,437 | 719 | 0.50 | 1,437 | 503 | 0.35 | 1,437 | 575 | 0.40 | 1,473 | 442 | 0.30 |
| (Sub-total) | 1,720 |  |  | 1,720 |  |  | 1,720 |  |  | 1,720 |  |  | 1,756 |  |  |

Note: a/ The cultivated areas of fruits are counted twice with the area of cereals.
Source: Chichaoua DPA, 2000

Table XIII2.1.5: Crop Production of the Commune Rural of Mzouda in the Taskourt Area

| Crops | 95-96 |  |  | 96-97 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | 98-99 |  |  | 99-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area (ha) | Product. Yield (ton) (ton/ha) |  | Area <br> (ha) | Product. Yield (ton) (ton/ha) |  | Area (ha) | Product. Yield (ton) (ton/ha) |  | Area (ha) | Product. Yield (ton) (ton/ha) |  | Area (ha) | Product. Yield (ton) (ton/ha) |  |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard wheat | 200 | 80 | 0.4 | 400 | 100 | 0.3 | 200 | 20 | 0.1 | 100 | 15 | 0.2 | 8 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Soft wheat | 3,450 | 1,725 | 0.5 | 4,200 | 1,260 | 0.3 | 2,200 | 396 | 0.2 | 500 | 150 | 0.3 | 520 | 182 | 0.4 |
| Barley | 4,250 | 2,550 | 0.6 | 2,800 | 1,120 | 0.4 | 3,100 | 620 | 0.2 | 3,500 | 1,225 | 0.4 | 1,912 | 573 | 0.3 |
| (Sub-total) | 7,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Berseem | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Green Peas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Watermelon | 40 | 400 | 10.0 | 35 | 280 | 8.0 | 40 | 240 | 6.0 | 30 | 120 | 4.0 | 10 | 40 | 4.0 |
| Pumpkin | 60 | 900 | 15.0 | 15 | 150 | 10.0 | 15 | 105 | 7.0 | 20 | 60 | 3.0 | 15 | 75 | 5.0 |
| Potato | 30 | 510 | 17.0 | 10 | 120 | 12.0 | 10 | 100 | 10.0 | 10 | 100 | 10.0 | 5 | 30 | 6.0 |
| Carrot | 4 | 40 | 10.0 | 4 | 24 | 6.0 | 3 | 15 | 5.0 | 3 | 12 | 4.0 | 2 | 8 | 4.0 |
| Rape | 5 | 50 | 10.0 | 3 | 18 | 6.0 | 4 | 20 | 5.0 | 4 | 20 | 5.0 | 2 | 9 | 4.5 |
| (Sub-total) | 139 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 72 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| Fruits a/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olive | 600 | 210 | 0.4 | 600 | 60 | 0.1 | 600 | 30 | 0.1 | 600 | 180 | 0.3 | 500 | 100 | 0.2 |
| Almond | 210 | 46 | 0.2 | 210 | 42 | 0.2 | 210 | 63 | 0.3 | 210 | 53 | 0.3 | 210 | 67 | 0.3 |
| (Sub-total) | 810 |  |  | 810 |  |  | 810 |  |  | 810 |  |  | 710 |  |  |

[^0]Table XIII2.1.6: Crop Production of the Commune
Rural of Guemassa in the Taskourt Area

|  | 95-96 |  |  | 96-97 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | 98-99 |  |  | 99-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Product (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { (ha) } \end{gathered}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\text { ton } / \text { ha) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard wheat | 250 | 275 | 1.1 | 600 | 120 | 0.2 | 800 | 320 | 0.4 | 20 | 4 | 0.2 | 100 | 9 | 0.1 |
| Soft wheat | 9,450 | 12,120 | 1.3 | 8,200 | 2,460 | 0.3 | 4,000 | 640 | 0.2 | 630 | 186 | 0.3 | 2,800 | 280 | 0.1 |
| Barley | 4,900 | 5,780 | 1.2 | 4,200 | 1,554 | 0.4 | 2,700 | 216 | 0.1 | 1,300 | 150 | 0.1 | 3,100 | 155 | 0.1 |
| (Sub-total) | 14,600 |  |  | 13,000 |  |  | 7,500 |  |  | 1,950 |  |  | 6,000 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 185 | 19,425 | 105.0 | 185 | 10,425 | 56.4 | 200 | 2,100 | 10.5 | 200 | 2,100 | 10.5 | 200 | 2,100 | 10.5 |
| Berseem | 50 | 6,000 | 120.0 | 20 | 1,440 | 72.0 | - | - | - | 20 | 1,440 | 72.0 |  |  |  |
| (Sub-total) | 235 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 220 |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean | 100 | 600 | 6.0 | 150 | 1,125 | 7.5 | 50 | 375 | 7.5 | 46 | 138 | 3.0 | 100 | 600 | 6.0 |
| Green Peas | 30 | 180 | 6.0 | 40 | 300 | 7.5 | 40 | 300 | 7.5 | - | - | - | 50 | 425 | 8.5 |
| (Sub-total) | 130 |  |  | 190 |  |  | 90 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melon | 60 | 1,500 | 25.0 | 20 | 400 | 20.0 | 36 | 1,080 | 30.0 | 15 | 375 | 25.0 | 50 | 1,250 | 25.0 |
| Watermelon | - | - | - | 10 | 170 | 17.0 | - | - | - | 10 | 100 | 10.0 | 5 | 100 | 20.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 60 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 55 |  |  |
| Fruits a/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olive | 660 | 594 | 0.9 | 660 | 2,640 | 4.0 | 660 | 99 | 0.2 | 660 | 462 | 0.7 | 100 | 50 | 0.5 |
| Apricot | 185 | 3,700 | 20.0 | 185 | 6,475 | 35.0 | 185 | 1,850 | 10.0 | 185 | 1,480 | 8.0 | 185 | 2,960 | 16.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 845 |  |  | 845 |  |  | 845 |  |  | 845 |  |  | 285 |  |  |

Note: a/ The cultivated areas of fruits are counted twice with the area of cereals.
Source: Chichaoua DPA, 2000

Table XIII2.1.7: Crop Production of the Commune Rural of Majjate in the Taskourt Area

| Crops | 95-96 |  |  | 96-97 |  |  | 97-98 |  |  | 98-99 |  |  | 99-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & \text { (ton/ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Area (ha) | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard wheat | 400 | 450 | 1.1 | 250 | 50 | 0.2 | 100 | 40 | 0.4 | 50 | 10 | 0.2 | 50 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Soft wheat | 14,800 | 19,560 | 1.3 | 13,000 | 3,900 | 0.3 | 6,000 | 960 | 0.2 | 1,400 | 403 | 0.3 | 7,500 | 666 | 0.1 |
| Barley | 8,000 | 10,280 | 1.3 | 9,250 | 3,423 | 0.4 | 2,450 | 196 | 0.1 | 3,650 | 420 | 0.1 | 3,750 | 214 | 0.1 |
| (Sub-total) | 23,200 |  |  | 22,500 |  |  | 8,550 |  |  | 5,100 |  |  | 11,300 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 250 | 26,250 | 105.0 | 250 | 26,250 | 105.0 | 250 | 26,250 | 105.0 | 250 | 26,250 | 105.0 | 300 | 31,500 | 105.0 |
| Berseem | 15 | 1,260 | 84.0 | 30 | 2,160 | 72.0 | 50 | 9,000 | 180.0 | 20 | 1,440 | 72.0 | 10 | 720 | 72.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 265 |  |  | 280 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 270 |  |  | 310 |  |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean | 180 | 1,080 | 6.0 | 200 | 1,500 | 7.5 | 100 | 750 | 7.5 | 100 | 300 | 3.0 | 170 | 1,020 | 6.0 |
| Green Peas | 50 | 425 | 8.5 | 100 | 850 | 8.5 | 80 | 600 | 7.5 | 40 | 120 | 3.0 | 150 | 1,275 | 8.5 |
| (Sub-total) | 230 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 320 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melon | 100 | 2,500 | 25.0 | 80 | 1,600 | 20.0 | 70 | 2,100 | 30.0 | 50 | 1,250 | 25.0 | 300 | 7,500 | 25.0 |
| Watermelon | 20 | 400 | 20.0 | 60 | 1,020 | 17.0 | 19 | 380 | 20.0 | 40 | 400 | 10.0 | 20 | 400 | 20.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 120 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 89 |  |  | 90 |  |  | 320 |  |  |
| Fruits a/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olive | 2,000 | 1,800 | 0.9 | 2,000 | 8,000 | 4.0 | 2,000 | 300 | 0.2 | 2,000 | 140 | 0.1 | 500 | 250 | 0.5 |
| Apricot | 185 | 5,550 | 30.0 | 445 | 15,575 | 35.0 | 445 | 4,450 | 10.0 | 445 | 3,560 | 8.0 | 445 | 7,120 | 16.0 |
| (Sub-total) | 2,185 |  |  | 2,445 |  |  | 2,445 |  |  | 2,445 |  |  | 945 |  |  |

Note: a/ The cultivated areas of fruits are counted twice with the area of cereals.
Source: Chichaoua DPA, 2000

Table XIII2.1.8: Crops Production in the Timkit Area (Commune Rural: Ferkla el Oulia, Tinejdad, Ferkla Es Soufla)

| Crops | 95/96 |  |  | 96/97 |  |  | 97/98 |  |  | 98/99 |  |  | 99/2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) }) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Soft wheat | 145 | 435 | 3.0 | 625 | 2,188 | 3.5 | 510 | 1,377 | 2.7 | 149 | 209 | 1.4 | 550 | 1,155 | 2.1 |
| Hard wheat | 1,225 | 4,900 | 4.0 | 892 | 2,854 | 3.2 | 873 | 2,270 | 2.6 | 224 | 448 | 2.0 | 650 | 1,235 | 1.9 |
| Barley | 61 | 110 | 1.8 | 86 | 163 | 1.9 | 72 | 130 | 1.8 | 71 | 78 | 1.1 | 300 | 510 | 1.7 |
| Sub-Total | 1,431 |  |  | 1,603 |  |  | 1,455 |  |  | 444 |  |  | 1,500 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alfalfa | 402 | 18,090 | 45 | 402 | 12,060 | 30 | 418 | 12,540 | 30 | 418 | 18,810 | 45 | 400 | 16,000 | 40 |
| Sub-Total | 402 |  |  | 402 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 418 |  |  | 400 |  |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad been | 37.0 | 55.5 | 1.5 | 26.0 | 26 | 1.0 | 30.0 | 36 | 1.2 | 19.0 | 15.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 100 | 1.0 |
| Green bean | 12.0 | 13.2 | 1.1 | 12.0 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 16.0 | 12.8 | 0.8 | 13.0 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 112 | 7.0 |
| Sub-Total | 49 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 46 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 116 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potato | 8.0 | 256 | 32.0 | 19.0 | 760 | 40.0 | 20.0 | 560 | 28.0 | 14.0 | 196 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 240 | 20.0 |
| Tomato | 13.0 | 780 | 60.0 | 10.0 | 500 | 50.0 | 13.0 | 455 | 35.0 | 7.0 | 126 | 18.0 | 3.0 | 48 | 16.0 |
| Melon | 18.0 | 576 | 32.0 | 14.0 | 980 | 70.0 | 13.0 | 390 | 30.0 | 7.0 | 112 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 45 | 15.0 |
| Water melon | 13.0 | 455 | 35.0 | 8.0 | 640 | 80.0 | 8.0 | 256 | 32.0 | 6.0 | 120 | 20.0 | 3.0 | 60 | 20.0 |
| Carrot | 7.0 | 350 | 50.0 | 12.0 | 420 | 35.0 | 18.0 | 360 | 20.0 | 13.0 | 169 | 13.0 | 15.0 | 825 | 55.0 |
| Onion | 10.0 | 220 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 378 | 18.0 | 26.0 | 468 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 150 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 132 | 11.0 |
| Turnip | 6.0 | 300 | 50.0 | 6.0 | 90 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 180 | 18.0 | 6.0 | 72 | 12.0 | 10.0 | 500 | 50.0 |
| Sub-Total | 75.0 |  |  | 90.0 |  |  | 108.0 |  |  | 68.0 |  |  | 58.0 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1,957 |  |  | 2,133 |  |  | 2,027 |  |  | 962 |  |  | 2,074 |  |  |
|  | Product . Tree | Product | Yield | Product <br> . Tree | Product | Yield | Product <br> . Tree | Product | Yield | Product . Tree | Product | Yield | Product <br> . Tree | Product | Yield |
|  | (trees) | (ton) | (kg/Tre <br> e) | (trees) | (ton) | (kg/Tre <br> e) | (trees) | (ton) | (kg/Tre <br> e) | (trees) | (ton) | (kg/Tre <br> e) | (trees) | (ton) | (kg/Tre <br> e) |
| Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dates | 100,000 | 1,200 | 12 | 68,800 | 688 | 10 | 96,867 | 1,162 | 12 | 60,000 | 1,200 | 20 | 50,000 | 750 | 15 |
| Olive | 18,000 | 252 | 14 | 18,000 | 252 | 14 | 18,000 | 288 | 16 | 18,000 | 90 | 5 | 15,000 | 30 | 2 |
| Almond | 16,000 | 320 | 20 | 18,000 | 360 | 20 | 18,020 | 360 | 20 | 18,000 | 216 | 12 | 15,000 | 75 | 5 |
| Pomegranate | 600 | 12 | 20 | 1,000 | 15 | 15 | 1,000 | 10 | 10 | 10,000 | 150 | 15 | 11,000 | 110 | 10 |

Table XIII2.1.9: Crops Production of the Commune Rural of Ighezrane in the Azghar Area

| Crops | 95/96 |  |  | 96/97 |  |  | 97/98 |  |  | 98/99 |  |  | 99/2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Product. } \\ & \text { (ton) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | Yield (ton/ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha ) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. <br> (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Area } \\ & \text { ( ha) } \end{aligned}$ | Product. (ton) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield } \\ \text { (ton/ha) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Cereals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hard Wheat | 2,300 | 2,415 | 1.1 | 2,050 | 718 | 0.4 | 2,380 | 1,428 | 0.6 | 1,950 | 878 | 0.5 | 246 | 25 | 0.1 |
| Soft Wheat | 290 | 406 | 1.4 | 115 | 46 | 0.4 | 125 | 81 | 0.7 | 150 | 83 | 0.6 | 210 | 32 | 0.2 |
| Barley | 2,450 | 3,675 | 1.5 | 1,970 | 985 | 0.5 | 2,580 | 2,064 | 0.8 | 2,530 | 1,771 | 0.7 | 2,700 | 405 | 0.2 |
| Sub-Total | 5,040 |  |  | 4,135 |  |  | 5,085 |  |  | 4,630 |  |  | 3,156 |  |  |
| Fodders |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fodder Oat | 250 | 1,250 | 5.0 | 165 | 165 | 1.0 | 180 | 207 | 1.2 | 195 | 117 | 0.6 | 110 | 39 | 0.4 |
| Fodder Barley | 200 | 900 | 4.5 | 40 | 36 | 0.9 | 75 | 120 | 1.6 | 110 | 77 | 0.7 | 80 | 32 | 0.4 |
| Sub-Total | 450 |  |  | 205 |  |  | 255 |  |  | 305 |  |  | 190 |  |  |
| Legumes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Broad bean | 300 | 255 | 0.9 | 110 | 17 | 0.2 | 230 | 58 | 0.3 | 100 | 20 | 0.2 | 250 | 25 | 0.1 |
| Lentil | 340 | 204 | 0.6 | 195 | 117 | 0.6 | 310 | 140 | 0.5 | 240 | 72 | 0.3 | 300 | 60 | 0.2 |
| Sub-Total | 640 |  |  | 305 |  |  | 540 |  |  | 340 |  |  | 550 |  |  |
| Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-Total | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Olive | 904 | 452 | 0.5 | 914 | 457 | 0.5 | 914 | 914 | 1.0 | 924 | 1,386 | 1.5 | 1,074 | 1,074 | 1.0 |
| Apple | 75 | 675 | 9.0 | 75 | 975 | 13.0 | 75 | 105 | 1.4 | 75 | 1,125 | 15.0 | 75 | 750 | 10.0 |
| Sub-Total | 979 |  |  | 989 |  |  | 989 |  |  | 999 |  |  | 1,149 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 7,109 |  |  | 5,634 |  |  | 6,869 |  |  | 6,274 |  |  | 5,045 |  |  |

Table XIII2.1.10: Present Farmland Condition of Commune Rurals (s) in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Farm land |  |  |  | Irrigation/Non-irrigation |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Farmer | Farm area | Farm plot | Average farm area | Irrigation | Nonirrigation | Irrigatio n rate |
|  |  |  | (No.) | (ha) | (No.) | (ha/farme | (ha) | (ha) | (\%) |
| 5(1) | N'Fiikh | Ziaida | 1,535 | 12,265 | 5,796 | 8.0 | 346 | 11,919 | 2.8 |
|  | (Upstream) | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 1,113 | 10,269 | 4,407 | 9.2 | 236 | 10,033 | 2.3 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,648 | 22,534 | 10,203 | 8.5 | 582 | 21,952 | 2.6 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh <br> (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 358 | 3,979 | 758 | 11.1 | 216 | 3,763 | 5.4 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 774 | 3,136 | 4,900 | 4.1 | 1,334 | 1,802 | 42.5 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 1,348 | 16,652 | 5,748 | 12.4 | 2,248 | 14,404 | 13.5 |
|  |  | Majjate | 1,577 | 24,748 | 6,595 | 15.7 | 7,504 | 17,244 | 30.3 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 1,837 | 10,448 | 10,031 | 5.7 | 6,350 | 4,098 | 60.8 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 5,536 | 54,984 | 27,274 | 9.9 | 17,436 | 37,548 | 31.7 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 1,046 | 725 | 9,545 | 0.7 | 692 | 33 | 95.4 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 1,374 | 1,416 | 10,745 | 1.0 | 1,175 | 241 | 83.0 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 155 | 93 | 1,342 | 0.6 | 51 | 42 | 54.8 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 944 | 961 | 7,426 | 1.0 | 654 | 307 | 68.1 |
|  |  | Mellab | 1,267 | 1,802 | 9,839 | 1.4 | 1,412 | 390 | 78.4 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 4,786 | 4,997 | 38,897 | 1.0 | 3,984 | 1,013 | 79.7 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 1,643 | 7,420 | 9,558 | 4.5 | 321 | 7,099 | 4.3 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 750 | 3,182 | 5,733 | 4.2 | 327 | 2,855 | 10.3 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,393 | 10,602 | 15,291 | 4.4 | 648 | 9,954 | 6.1 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

Table XIII2.1.11: Land Ownership and Farm Holding of Commune Rurals (s) in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Farm land (ha) |  |  |  |  |  | Farm holding (ha) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Private | Group farm | Habous | Guich | Estate farm | Total | Owner | Tenant | Loan \& work * | Total |
| 5(1) | N'Fiikh (Upstream) | Ziaida <br> Oulad Yahya Loyta <br> (Sub-total) | 12,154 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 91 | 12,265 | 11,012 | 637 | 616 | 12,265 |
|  |  |  | 8,817 | 312 | 24 | 0 | 1,116 | 10,269 | 8,994 | 442 | 833 | 10,269 |
|  |  |  | 20,971 | 331 | 25 | 0 | 1,207 | 22,534 | 20,006 | 1,079 | 1,449 | 22,534 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 3,626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 3,979 | 3,264 | 203 | 152 | 3,979 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 2,022 | 1,078 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 3,136 | 2,867 | 39 | 230 | 3,136 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 2,391 | 13,912 | 19 | 330 | 0 | 16,652 | 15,234 | 114 | 1,304 | 16,652 |
|  |  | Majate | 2,497 | 9,248 | 839 | 12,157 | 7 | 24,748 | 23,002 | 18 | 1,728 | 24,748 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 8,980 | 1,466 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 10,448 | 7,702 | 33 | 2,713 | 10,448 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 15,890 | 25,704 | 895 | 12,487 | 8 | 54,984 | 48,805 | 204 | 5,975 | 54,984 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 721 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 725 | 698 | 11 | 16 | 725 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 1,376 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1,416 | 1,363 | 11 | 42 | 1,416 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 87 | 0 | 6 | 93 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 916 | 41 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 961 | 926 | 9 | 26 | 961 |
|  |  | Mellab | 1,664 | 124 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 1,802 | 1,727 | 15 | 60 | 1,802 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 3,106 | 75 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 4,997 | 4,801 | 46 | 150 | 4,997 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 7,389 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 7,420 | 7,132 | 2 | 286 | 7,420 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 3,128 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 3,182 | 2,810 | 28 | 344 | 3,182 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 10,517 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 17 | 10,602 | 9,942 | 30 | 630 | 10,602 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

Table XIII2.1.12: Cultivated Cultivars and Shares of Crops

| Azghar |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variety | Price | (Unit) | Share (\%) |
| Soft Wheat | Achtar R1 | 360 | (DH/100kg) | 30 |
|  | El Kanz R1 | 360 | (DH/100kg) | 10 |
|  | Achtar R2 | 350 | (DH/100kg) | 50 |
|  | Achtar Ba5 | 310 | (DH/100kg) | 10 |
| Hard Wheat | Isiy R1 | 400 | (DH/100kg) | 4 |
|  | Vitron R2 | 390 | (DH/100kg) | 2 |
|  | Local | 375 | (DH/100kg) | 94 |
| Barley | Local | 360 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Olive | P maso caire | 8 | (DH/Plant) | 100 |
| Almond | Ferraduel | 1 | (DH/Plant) | - |
|  | Ferragnes | 1 | (DH/Plant) | - |
|  | Marcona | 1.4 | (DH/Plant) | - |
|  | Fournat | 1.4 | (DH/Plant) | - |
| Potato | Desiree Imp | 440 | (DH/100kg) | 98 |
|  | Spnta Imp | 565 | (DH/100kg) | 2 |
| Bread Bean | Local | 600 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |

Source: Hearing from DPA Ifrane, Ribat Al Kheyr Work Center, 2001

## N'Fifikh (Benslimane)

|  | Variety | Price | (Unit) | Share (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soft Wheat | Local | 250-350 | (DH/100kg) | 80 |
|  | Achtar | 310-450 | (DH/100kg) | 15 |
|  | Merchouch | 310-450 | (DH/100kg) | 5 |
|  | Kanz | 310-450 | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Hard Wheat | Local | 220-280 | (DH/100kg) | 80 |
|  | Karim | 350-400 | (DH/100kg) | 15 |
|  | Herzak | 350-400 | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Isly | 350-400 | (DH/100kg) | 5 |
| Barley | Local | 200-250 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Olive | Local | 9-12 | (DH/Plant) | 100 |
| Potato | Desiree | 500-750 | (DH/100kg) | - |
|  | Sponta | 500-750 | (DH/100kg) | - |
| Broad Bean | Local | 600-1000 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Fodder Barley | Local | 200-250 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Source: Hearing from DPA Benslimane, Benslimane Work Center, 2001 |  |  |  |  |
| Timkit |  |  |  |  |
|  | Variety | Price | (Unit) | Share (\%) |
| Soft Wheat | Sarif R1 | 350 | (DH/100kg) | 75-80 |
|  | Achtar R1 | 300 | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Local | 250-300 | (DH/100kg) | 20-25 |
| Barley | Local | 200-400 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Watermelon | Selected | 200 | DH/100g | 100 |
| Melon | Selected | 100 | DH/100g | 100 |
| Broad Bean | Local | 200-300 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Alfalfa | Moapa | 70 | DH/kg | - |
|  | Sylvestre | 60 | DH/kg | - |
| Fodder Barley | Local | 200-400 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Olive | Local | 12 | (DH/Plant) | 100 |
| Almond | Improved | - | - | 10 |
|  | Local | 10 | (DH/Plant) | 90 |
| Dates | Khalta boufkouss | 15 | (DH/Plant) | Local (100) |

Source: ORMVA Tafilalet, CMV712 de Tinejdad, 2000

| N'Fifikh | (Bouznika) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Variety | Price | (Unit) | Share (\%) |
| Soft Wheat | Achtar | $350-360$ | (DH/100kg) | $20-25$ |
|  | Massical | $350-360$ | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Local | $260-300$ | (DH/100kg) | $75-80$ |
| Hard Wheat | Vitron, Kurim | $390-400$ | (DH/100kg) | 5 |
|  | Local | $350-400$ | (DH/100kg) | 95 |
| Barley | Local | $200-320$ | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
|  | Plant C | $10-12$ | (DH/Plant) |  |
|  | Marcona | $10-12$ | (DH/Plant) |  |
| Potato | Desica | $500-700$ | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Nikda | $500-700$ | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Lesita | $500-700$ | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Bread Bean | Local | $150-200$ | $(\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{g})$ |  |
| Alfalfa | Moapa | $300-1000$ | (DH/100kg) | 10 |
|  | Local | $15-40$ | (DH/kg) | $\pm 0$ |
| Fodder Barley | Local | $200-220$ | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
| Grape | Muscat | $12-17$ | (DH/Plant) |  |
|  | Muscat Italie | $12-17$ | (DH/Plant) |  |

Source: Hearing from DPA Benslimane, Bouznika Work Center, 2001

|  | Variety | Price | (Unit) | Share (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soft Wheat | Local | 240 | (DH/100kg) | 95 |
|  | Merchouch | 360 | (DH/100kg) | 5 |
|  | Achtar |  | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Hard Wheat | Local | 270 | (DH/100kg) | 90 |
|  | Sebou-Massa | 400 | (DH/100kg) | 10 |
| Barley | Local | 140 | (DH/100kg) | 95 |
|  | Tamellalte | 285 | (DH/100kg) | 5 |
| Olive | Picholine | 7 | (DH/Plant) | 100 |
|  | Marocaine | 7 | (DH/Plant) |  |
| Almond | Feragnes | 5 | (DH/Plant) | 100 |
|  | Geraduel-Touno | 5 | (DH/Plant) |  |
| Potato | Local | 350 | (DH/100kg) | 40 |
|  | Desiree | 600 | (DH/100kg) | 60 |
|  | Spunta | 600 | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Tomato | Local | 500 | (DH/100kg) | 10 |
|  | Hectar | 6,000 | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | T6.56 | 6,000 | (DH/100kg) | 90 |
| Sweet Melon | Arava | 7,500 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
|  | Alma | 7,500 | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Galia | 7,500 | (DH/100kg) |  |
|  | Souihla | 7,500 | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Water Melon | Star Brite | 6,000 | (DH/100kg) | 100 |
|  | Mirage | 6,000 | (DH/100kg) |  |
| Bread Bean | Local | 1,000 | (DH/100kg) | 30 |
|  | Aguadule | 5,000 | (DH/100kg) | 70 |
| Alfalfa | Siliver | - | - | 100 |
|  | Pmoapa | - | - |  |
|  | Pioneer | - | - |  |

Source: Hearing from Extension Workers from each Extension Center in PDAs and CV in ORMVA

Table XIII2.1.13: Utilization of Agricultural Input of Commune Rural(s) in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | No. of <br> Farmer | Utilization of Agricultural Input (Number of applied farmers) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mechanized Cultivation | Mechanized Harvest | Fertilizer | Selected Seeds | Agricultur <br> al |
| 5(1) | N'Fiikh (Upstream) | Ziaida | 1,535 | 1,082 | 1,005 | 1,072 | 569 | 1,055 |
|  |  | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 1,113 | 939 | 925 | 862 | 507 | 852 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,648 | 2,021 | 1,930 | 1,934 | 1,076 | 1,907 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 358 | 189 | 187 | 177 | 134 | 150 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 774 | 238 | 6 | 136 | 1 | 4 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 1,348 | 1,174 | 594 | 35 | 30 | 35 |
|  |  | Majjate | 1,577 | 1,473 | 910 | 122 | 54 | 152 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 1,837 | 1,070 | 302 | 87 | 46 | 94 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 5,536 | 3,955 | 1,812 | 380 | 131 | 285 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 1,046 | 46 | 1 | 853 | 159 | 100 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 1,374 | 333 | 0 | 522 | 271 | 144 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 155 | 24 | 0 | 41 | 15 | 6 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 944 | 446 | 4 | 682 | 247 | 123 |
|  |  | Mellab | 1,267 | 701 | 1 | 917 | 392 | 167 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 4,786 | 1,550 | 6 | 3,015 | 1,084 | 540 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 1,643 | 403 | 164 | 976 | 222 | 591 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 750 | 84 | 11 | 623 | 96 | 549 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,393 | 487 | 175 | 1,599 | 318 | 1,140 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

Table XIII2.1.14: Utilizationof Agricultural Machineries
of Commune Rural(s) in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Number of machineries |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Tractor | Harvestor | Pump |
| 5(1) | N'Fiikh | Ziaida | 63 | 8 | 227 |
|  | (Upstream) | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 98 | 6 | 139 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 161 | 14 | 366 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh <br> (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 35 | 2 | 130 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 3 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 22 | 0 | 52 |
|  |  | Majjate | 56 | 1 | 227 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 82 | 1 | 282 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 1 | 0 | 10 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 25 | 0 | 481 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 0 | 0 | 34 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 9 | 0 | 304 |
|  |  | Mellab | 9 | 0 | 705 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 44 | 0 | 1,534 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 22 | 3 | 29 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 13 | 1 | 46 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 35 | 4 | 75 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

# Table XIII2.1.15: Estimated Present Input of Agricultural Materials and Labor Force for Production in N'Fifikh (Upstream) Area (per Hector) 

| Crops :Soft wheat | Unit |  |  |  |  | Unit Price Input value | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machineries | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |  |  |  |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |  |  |  |
| Harvest | DH/Bale | 2 | 135.0 | 203 |  |  |  |
| Baling | DH/Unit | 2 | 13.0 | 26 |  |  |  |
| Packing of grain | DH/100kg | 5 | 13.0 | 65 |  |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials | DH/100kg | 350 | 2.0 | 700 |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 250 | 0.5 | 125 |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 150 | 0.5 | 75 |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/liter | 75 | 1.0 | 75 |  |  |  |
| Chemicals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |  |  |  |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |  |  |  |
| Treatment/Maintenance |  |  |  | 2,029 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Harvest | DH/ha | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |
| Baling | DH/Bale | 2 | 150.0 | 225 |
| Packing of grain | DH/Unit | 3 | 14.0 | 35 |
| Transportation | DH/100kg | 5 | 14.0 | 70 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |

Crops :Hard wheat Unit Unit Price Input value Cost

| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Harvest | DH/ha | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |
| Baling | DH/Bale | 2 | 135.0 | 203 |
| Packing of grain | DH/Unit | 3 | 18.0 | 45 |
| Transportation | DH/100kg | 5 | 20.0 | 100 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 300 | 2.0 | 600 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| $\quad$ Chemicals | DH/liter | 75 | 1.0 | 75 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,223 |


| Crops :Potato | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 300 | 1.0 | 300 |
| Leveling | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Transportation | DH/ton | 12 | 25.0 | 300 |
| Irrigation | DH/m3 | 1 | 2,400 | 1,200 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 4,000 | 2.2 | 8,800 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Fertilizer (Others) | DH/100kg | 100 | 2.0 | 200 |
| Chemicals | DH/liter | 200 | 3.0 | 600 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 7.0 | 280 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 3.0 | 120 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 16.0 | 640 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 8.0 | 320 |
| Total |  |  |  | 13,310 |


| Total |  |  |  | 1,600 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Crops :Tomato | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Machineries | DH/ha | 300 | 1.0 | 300 |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Leveling | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 0.8 | $5,000.0$ | 4,000 |
| Supporting pole | DH/m3 | 0.5 | 2,400 | 1,200 |
| Irrigation |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials | DH/unit | 300 | 1.0 | 300 |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 250 | 4.0 | 1,000 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 100 | 2.0 | 200 |
| Fertilizer (Others) | DH/liter | 250 | 10.0 | 2,500 |
| Chemicals |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force | DH/day | 40 | 5.0 | 200 |
| Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 8.0 | 320 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 11.0 | 440 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 35.0 | 1,400 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 80 | 3,200 |
| Harvest |  |  |  | 15,460 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Fodder barley |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |  |  |  |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Leveling | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |  |  |  |  |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Baling | DH/Bale | 1.5 | 170.0 | 255 |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation | DH/Bale | 0.3 | 170.0 | 51 |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 200 | 2.5 | 500 |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 250 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (Others) | DH/100kg | 150 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Chemicals | DH/liter | 75 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 3.0 | 120 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,476 |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Broad Bean |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value Cost |


| Crops :Grape |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/Unit | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |
| Transport | ton | 10 | 10.0 | 100 |
| Supporter | Unit | 50 | 10.0 | 500 |
| Treatment | Unit | 500 | 0.5 | 250 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer | DH/100kg | 240 | 3.0 | 720 |
| Chemicals | DH/Unit | 500 | 0.5 | 250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 3.0 | 120 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 8.0 | 320 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 12.5 | 500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Total

[^1]Table XIII2.1.16: Estimated Present Input of Agricultural Materials and Labor Force for Production in N'Fifikh (Downstream) Area (per Hector)

| Crops :Soft wheat |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Crop covering (machine | DH/ha | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |
| Harvest | DH/ha | 400 | 1.0 | 400 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 400 | 2.0 | 800 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 255 | 2.0 | 510 |
| Fertilizer (other) | DH/100kg | 240 | 1.0 | 240 |
| Chemicals | DH/liter |  |  | 0 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 2 | 80 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,740 |


| Crops :Hard wheat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop covering (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 400 | 1.0 | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | $\mathrm{DH} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 400 | 2.0 | 800 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | $\mathrm{DH} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 255 | 2.0 | 510 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (other) | $\mathrm{DH} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 240 | 1.0 | 240 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{liter}$ |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding/ seedling | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment/Maintenance | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 2 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,740 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Crop covering (machine | DH/ha | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
|  | DH/ha | 400 | 1.0 | 400 |
| Harvest |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| SH/100kg | 300 | 1.5 | 450 |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | $\mathrm{DH} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 250 | 1.5 | 375 |
| Fertilizer (others) | $\mathrm{DH} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 200 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 4 | 160 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Fodder barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | Unit | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Crop covering (machine | Unit | 150 | 1 | 150 |
| Baling | Unit | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Other | Unit | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Seed | 100 kg | 480 | 1.5 | 720 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100 kg | 255 | 1.0 | 255 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 0.5 | 20 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 5 | 200 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Potato |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Ridging | DH/ha | 150 | 1 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 4,000 | 2.0 | 8,000 |
| Fertilizer (1) | DH/100kg | 283 | 8.0 | 2,264 |
| Fertilizer (2) | DH/100kg | 185 | 2.0 | 370 |
| Chemicals | DH/liter | 300 | 3.0 | 900 |
| Chemicals | DH/kg | 200 | 3.5 | 700 |
| Fuel | DH/liter | 5 | 128.0 | 640 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 5.0 | 200 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 15.0 | 600 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 12.0 | 480 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 23 | 920 |
| Total |  |  |  | 15,474 |


| Crops :Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | Unit | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Leveling | Unit | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Ridging | Unit | 150 | 1 | 150 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 100 kg | 4,800 | 0.25 | 1,200 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100 kg | 250 | 6.0 | 1,500 |
| Fuel | liter | 5 | 640.0 | 3,200 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 3.0 | 120 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | day | 40 | 100.0 | 4,000 |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 25 | 1,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | 11,610 |

Source: Project de Mise en Valeur en Bour du Perimetre Oued Hassar et Sidi Hajjaj, Fishes Culturales, and Hearing from farmers

# Table XIII2.1.17: Estimated Present Input of Agricultural Materials and Labor Force for Production in Taskourt Area (per Hector) 

| Crops :Soft wheat |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 110 | 0.7 | 74 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 90 | 1.0 | 90 |
| $\quad$ Harvest | DH/ha | 100 | 0.3 | 33 |
| Agricultural input materials     <br> SH/100kg 330 1.0 330  <br> Fertilizer (14-28-14) DH/100kg 230 0.3 78 <br> Fertilizer (Urea) DH/100kg 230 0.3 62 <br> Labor Force     <br> $\quad$ Cultivation DH/day 40 1.7 67 <br> Fertilization DH/day 40 1.0 40 <br> Treatment/Maintenance DH/day 40 5.0 200 <br> $\quad$ Harvest DH/day 40 16 640 <br> Total    1,614 |  |  |  |  |


|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 110 | 0.1 | 10 |
| Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.27 | 19 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 100 | 0.7 | 73 |
| Crop covering (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.3 | 19 |
| Harvest | DH/ha | 240 | 0.5 | 120 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | DH/100kg | 330 | 1.0 | 330 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 230 | 0.4 | 83 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 230 | 0.7 | 168 |
| Chemicals | DH/liter | 35 | 0.2 | 6 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 1.5 | 60 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 0.8 | 30 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 0.5 | 20 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 11.8 | 470 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 14 | 540 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,948 |


| Crops :Barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 100 | 0.4 | 35 |
| Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.25 | 18 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 100 | 0.8 | 75 |
| Threshing | DH/ha | 50 | 0.2 | 8 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Seed | DH/Unit | 220 | 1.0 | 220 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 230 | 0.3 | 69 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 230 | 0.1 | 12 |
| Chemicals (24D) | DH/liter | 35 | 0.0 | 1 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\quad$ Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 2.2 | 88 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.8 | 72 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 0.5 | 20 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 6.7 | 266 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 14.5 | 580 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,463 |


| Crops :Potato |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 1.0 | 70 |
| $\quad$ Crop covering (animal) | DH/ha | 60 | 1.0 | 60 |
| $\quad$ Ridging | DH/ha | 50 | 0.5 | 25 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Seed | DH/100kg | 350 | 7.5 | 2,625 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 230 | 3.4 | 782 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\quad$ Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 13.0 | 520 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 30.4 | 1,216 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 70.0 | 2,800 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 40.0 | 1,600 |
| $\quad$ Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 40.0 | 1,600 |
| Total |  |  |  | 11,298 |


| Crops :Alfalfa |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.2 | 14 |
| Leveling | DH/ha | 60 | 0.2 | 12 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 50 | 0.2 | 10 |
| Harvest (Transport) | DH/ha | 300 | 1.0 | 300 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Seed | DH/100kg | 3,000 | 0.1 | 150 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 230 | 4.5 | 1,035 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 3.0 | 120 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 15.0 | 600 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 12.0 | 480 |
| $\quad$ Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 30.0 | 1,200 |
| Total |  |  |  | 3,921 |


| Crops :Olive (for production tree) |  |  |  |  |  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | DH/100kg | 230 | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Chemicals (24D) | DH/Unit | 120 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 23.4 | 936 |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 19.2 | 768 |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 24.0 | 960 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,745 |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Almond (for production tree) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price | Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (NH4)2SO4) | DH/100kg | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |  |
| Maintenance | DH/Unit | 150 | 2.0 | 300 |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |  |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 7.0 | 280 |  |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 12.5 | 500 |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,460 |  |


| Crops :Broad bean |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price Input value | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 110 | 0.4 | 44 |
| Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.8 | 53 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | 90 | 0.1 | 11 |
| Crop covering (animal) | DH/ha | 70 | 0.9 | 62 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Seed | DH/100kg | 500 | 0.8 | 375 |
| $\quad$ Fertilizer (14-28-14) | DH/100kg | 230 | 1.0 | 230 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  | 0 |
| $\quad$ cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 9.0 | 360 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 7.0 | 280 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 4.0 | 160 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 4.0 | 160 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 18.0 | 720 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,450 |

Source: Second Projet de Developpement de la Petite et Moyenne Hydraaulique, Perometre d'Amezmiz, Etudes de Perimetre Phase, Aituation Actuelle et Developpement Agricole, A1 Situation Actuelle, SCET-Maroc 1995, and hearing from Farmers

Table XIII2.1.18: Estimated Present Input of Agricultural Materials and Labor Force for Production in Timkit Area (per Hector)

| Crops :Cereals (Wheat / Barley) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valui | Cost |  |
| Machineries | hour | 56 | 2 | 112 |
| Plowing | hour | 56 | 1 | 56 |
| Crop covering | hour | 96 | 4 | 384 |
| Harvest |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials | 100 kg | 385 | 1 | 462 |
| Seed | 212 | 1 | 159 |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100 kg | 190 | 1 | 95 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | 100 kg | 190 |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  | 3 | 120 |
| Cultivation | day | 40 | 1 | 40 |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 2 | 80 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | 40 | 10 | 400 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | day | 40 | 10 |  |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 6 | 240 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,148 |


| Crops :Barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Machineries | Unit | Unit Price[nput valu | Cost |  |
| Plowing | hour | 56 | 2 | 112 |
| Harvest | hour | 96 | 4 | 336 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | 100 kg | 180 | 1 | 216 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Seeding/ seedling day 40 2 80 <br> Harvest day 40 18 720 <br> Total    1,464 |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Vegetable (general) |  | Potato |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valuı | Cost |
| Cultivation by machineries \& animal |  |  |  |
| Plowing | hour | 56 3 | 168 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |
| Seed | Unit | 1,500 3 | 4,500 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100kg | 212 3 | 636 |
| Labor Force |  |  | 0 |
| Cultivation | day | $40 \quad 9$ | 360 |
| Fertilization | day | $40 \quad 8$ | 320 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | $40 \quad 15$ | 600 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | day | $40 \quad 28$ | 1,120 |
| Harvest | day | $40 \quad 45$ | 1,800 |
| Total |  |  | 9,504 |


| Crops .Fodder Alala | Unit | Unit Price | valu | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cultivation by machineries \& animal |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing | hour | 56 | 3 | 168 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | Unit | 3,000 | 0.2 | 600 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100 kg | 212 | 1 | 106 |
| Labor Force 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivation | day | 40 | 10 | 400 |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 10 | 400 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | 40 | 5 | 200 |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 65 | 2,600 |
| Total 4,474 |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Dates (Existing Trees) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| from 3rd year | Unit | Unit Price[nput valui | Cost |  |
| Cultivation by machineries \& animal |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100 kg | 212 | 2 | 424 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 4 | 160 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | day | 40 | 12 | 480 |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 31 | 1,240 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 2,304 |  |


| Crops :Olive (Existing Trees) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from 3rd year | Unit | Unit Price[n | valu | Cost |
| Cultivation by machineries \& animal |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing | hour | 56 | 3 | 168 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | Unit | 3,000 | 0.2 | 600 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | 100kg | 212 | 1 | 106 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivation | day | 40 | 10 | 400 |
| Fertilization | day | 40 | 10 | 400 |
| Seeding/ seedling | day | 40 | 5 | 200 |
| Harvest | day | 40 | 65 | 2,600 |
| Total |  |  |  | 4,474 |

Source: Reexamen des Dossieres de Prefactibilite des Perimetre d'Epandage des Eaux de Crue dans le Tafilalet, Piece 4: Perimetre Ait Labzam, Waten s.a. And Hering from Farmers and Extension workers

Table XIII2.1.19: Estimated Present Input of Agricultural Materials and Labor Force for Production in Azghar Area (per Hector)

| Crops :Soft wheat |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valut | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 300 | 0.9 | 270 |
| Plowing (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Crop covering (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 150 | 0.9 | 135 |
| Crop covering (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Harvest (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 350 | 0.9 | 315 |
| Harvest (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Baling | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{Bale}$ | 3 | 120.0 | 360 |
| Transportation | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 | 120.0 | 60 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 350 | 1.3 | 455 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 153 | 0.5 | 77 |
| Chemicals (2-4-D) | $\mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{liter}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1 | 40 |
| Total |  |  |  | 2,143 |


| Crops :Hard wheat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valus | Cost |
| Machineries |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | $300 \quad 0.9$ | 270 |
| Plowing (animal) | DH/ha | $70 \quad 0.1$ | 7 |
| Crop covering (machine) | DH/ha | $150-0.9$ | 135 |
| Crop covering (animal) | DH/ha | $70 \quad 0.1$ | 7 |
| Harvest (machine) | DH/ha | $350-0.9$ | 315 |
| Harvest (animal) | DH/ha | $70 \quad 0.1$ | 7 |
| Baling | DH/Bale | 120.0 | 360 |
| Transportation | Dh/100kg | 120.0 | 60 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |
| Seed | Dh/100kg | $390 \quad 1.3$ | 507 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | Dh/100kg | $250 \quad 1.0$ | 250 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | Dh/100kg | $153-0.5$ | 77 |
| Chemicals (2-4-D) | Dh/liter | $40 \quad 1.0$ | 40 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | $40 \quad 1.0$ | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | $40 \quad 1.0$ | 40 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | $40 \quad 1.0$ | 40 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 40 |
| Total |  |  | 2,195 |


| Crops :Barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valut | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 300 | 0.9 | 270 |
| Plowing (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Crop covering (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 150 | 0.9 | 135 |
| Crop covering (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Harvest (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 350 | 0.9 | 315 |
| Harvest (animal) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 70 | 0.1 | 7 |
| Baling | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{Bale}$ | 3 | 120.0 | 360 |
| $\quad$ Transportation | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 1 | 120.0 | 60 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 275 | 1.0 | 275 |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Fertilizer (Urea) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 153 | 0.5 | 77 |
| Chemicals (2-4-D) | $\mathrm{Dh} /$ liter | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{day}$ | 40 | 1 | 40 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,963 |


| Crops :Legume |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valut | Cost |  |
| Machineries <br> Plowing (machine) | DH/ha | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | Dh/100kg | 1,000 | 1.3 | 1,300 |
| Fertilizer (TSP) | Dh/100kg | 220 | 1.0 | 220 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Cultivation | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | DH/day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 3 | 120 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |


| Crops :Fodder barley |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unit | Unit Price[nput valut | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Plowing (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 300 | 1.0 | 300 |
| Crop covering (machine) | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 150 | 1.0 | 150 |
| Harvest | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{ha}$ | 200 | 1.0 | 200 |
| Baling | $\mathrm{DH} / \mathrm{Bale}$ | 4 | 100.0 | 400 |
| Transportation | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 5 | 10.0 | 50 |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Seed | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 275 | 1.5 | 413 |
| Fertilizer (TSP) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 250 | 1.0 | 250 |
| $\quad$ Fertilizer ((NH4)2SO4) | $\mathrm{Dh} / 100 \mathrm{~kg}$ | 200 | 0.5 | 100 |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 1.0 | 40 |
| Seeding/ seedling | $\mathrm{DH} /$ day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Source: Data from Ribat Al Khayr Work Center, DPA Sefrou 2001 |  |  |  |  |


| Crops : Olive (for production tree) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Unit | Unit Price $n$ nput valut | Cost |  |
| Machineries |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation | DH/100kg | 5 | 9.0 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural input materials |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilizer (14-28-14) | Dh/100kg | 250 | 3.0 | 750 |
| Fertilizer ((NH4)2SO4) | Dh/100kg | 170 | 1.0 | 170 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Labor Force |  |  |  |  |
| Fertilization | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Treatment/Maintenance | DH/day | 40 | 2.0 | 80 |
| Harvest | DH/day | 40 | 12 | 480 |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,605 |

Table XIII2.1.20: Number of Livestock of Commune Rurals in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Cattle | Sheep | Goat | Camel | Horse | Mule | Donkey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(1) | N'Fifikh (Upstream) | Ziaida | 4,388 | 12,453 | 780 | 16 | 287 | 463 | 1,356 |
|  |  | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 2,375 | 10,070 | 706 | 34 | 216 | 349 | 753 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 6,763 | 22,523 | 1,486 | 50 | 503 | 812 | 2,109 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh (DownstriEl Mansouria |  | 1,016 | 8,228 | 172 | 21 | 26 | 19 | 145 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 1,232 | 4,877 | 3,391 | 0 | 20 | 120 | 818 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 1,425 | 22,255 | 2,392 | 0 | 17 | 176 | 1,271 |
|  |  | Majjate | 2,479 | 37,349 | 2,980 | 11 | 15 | 73 | 1,468 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 3,472 | 29,236 | 6,450 | 3 | 57 | 281 | 1,851 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 8,608 | 93,717 | 15,213 | 14 | 109 | 650 | 5,408 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 1,415 | 7,779 | 12,293 | 26 | 0 | 394 | 239 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 973 | 13,578 | 15,759 | 404 | 1 | 129 | 496 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 100 | 629 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 15 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 573 | 5,437 | 1,978 | 1 | 0 | 80 | 377 |
|  |  | Mellab | 1,232 | 8,128 | 8,328 | 49 | 1 | 96 | 514 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 4,293 | 35,551 | 38,361 | 480 | 2 | 703 | 1,641 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 2,097 | 20,339 | 16,405 | 6 | 57 | 1,539 | 722 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 980 | 7,493 | 1,935 | 1 | 20 | 674 | 406 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 3,077 | 27,832 | 18,340 | 7 | 77 | 2,213 | 1,128 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

## Table XIII2.1.21: Non-agricultural Activities of Farmers of Commune Rurals in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Agricultur e only | Non-agricultural activities of farmers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fishing | Energy / Industry | Construction | Handy craft | Services | Officer | Private business | Others | Sub-total |
| 5(1) | N'Fifikh (Upstream) | Ziaida | 1,282 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 49 | 49 | 23 | 114 | 252 |
|  |  | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 846 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 89 | 48 | 17 | 63 | 238 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,128 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 7 | 138 | 97 | 40 | 177 | 490 |
| 5(2) | N'Fifikh (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 236 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 5 | 65 | 116 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 697 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 18 | 12 | 3 | 31 | 77 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 1,186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 30 | 39 | 26 | 58 | 162 |
|  |  | Majjate | 1,270 | 1 | 5 | 36 | 20 | 114 | 19 | 12 | 99 | 306 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 1,607 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 23 | 43 | 5 | 42 | 100 | 230 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 4,760 | 3 | 9 | 53 | 59 | 205 | 75 | 83 | 288 | 775 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 784 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 22 | 156 | 251 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 999 | 0 | 8 | 160 | 3 | 64 | 50 | 73 | 17 | 375 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 110 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 44 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 647 | 1 | 4 | 140 | 4 | 26 | 23 | 48 | 49 | 295 |
|  |  | Mellab | 965 | 0 | 4 | 130 | 3 | 24 | 39 | 41 | 61 | 302 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 3,505 | 1 | 17 | 510 | 11 | 127 | 123 | 189 | 289 | 1,267 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 1,455 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 113 | 4 | 39 | 184 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 660 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 34 | 7 | 39 | 90 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,115 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 147 | 11 | 78 | 274 |

Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,
Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

## Table XIII2.1.22: Educational Background of Farmers of Commune Rurals in Priority Project

|  | Priority Project | Commune Rural | Illiteracy | Religious school | Primary school | Secondar y school | High | Universit <br> y | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(1) | N'Fifikh (Upstream) | Ziaida | 1,251 | 73 | 87 | 41 | 25 | 57 | 1,534 |
|  |  | Oulad Yahya Loyta | 822 | 58 | 100 | 30 | 31 | 43 | 1,084 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,073 | 131 | 187 | 71 | 56 | 100 | 2,618 |
| 5(2) | N'Fiikh (Downstream) | El Mansouria | 266 | 14 | 28 | 18 | 10 | 16 | 352 |
| 9 | Taskourt | Assif El Mal | 656 | 79 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 774 |
|  |  | Guemassa | 1,096 | 132 | 76 | 18 | 16 | 10 | 1,348 |
|  |  | Majjate | 1,293 | 160 | 97 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 1,576 |
|  |  | M'zouda | 1,637 | 138 | 53 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1,837 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 4,682 | 509 | 261 | 40 | 21 | 22 | 5,535 |
| 10 | Timkit | Aghbalou N'Kerdous | 728 | 70 | 222 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 1,045 |
|  |  | Ferkla el Oulia | 956 | 138 | 198 | 35 | 29 | 18 | 1,374 |
|  |  | Tinejdad | 106 | 12 | 25 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 154 |
|  |  | Ferkla Es Soufla | 558 | 166 | 171 | 30 | 9 | 8 | 942 |
|  |  | Mellab | 877 | 166 | 170 | 31 | 13 | 10 | 1,267 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 3,225 | 552 | 786 | 115 | 60 | 44 | 4,782 |
| 17 | Azghar | Igheznane | 1,358 | 164 | 69 | 27 | 8 | 13 | 1,639 |
|  |  | Ouled Mkoudou | 648 | 37 | 36 | 15 | 8 | 6 | 750 |
|  |  | (Sub-total) | 2,006 | 201 | 105 | 42 | 16 | 19 | 2,389 |

[^2] Ministere de l'Agriculture de Development Rural et des Peches Maritimes, Janvier 2001

Table XIII2.2.1: Major Agricultural Chemical and Fertilizer

| Crops | Insecticide | Fungicide | Herbicide |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Olive | Chlorpyriphose ethyl | Cupper oxychlolocide | Neant |
|  | Methyl parathion | Zinebe cupper |  |
|  | Dimethoate | Cupper sulphate |  |
| Vegetable | Chlorpyriphon |  |  |
|  | Pyrimicarbe | Sulphur | Trifluraline |
|  | Dicofol | Cupper sulphate |  |
|  | Deltamethrine | Mancozebe |  |
|  | Fenazaquin | Zinebe |  |
|  | Bifenthrine | Manebe |  |
| Legume / | Dicofol | Sulphur |  |
|  | Methomyl | Manebe |  |
|  | Chlorpyriphose ethyl | Benomyl |  |
|  | Deltamethtine | Cupper sulphate |  |
|  | Endosulfan |  |  |
|  | Bifenthrine |  |  |
|  | (No need fro cereals)Tebuconazole Manecozebe | 2.4 D |  |
|  |  | Prochlotazt | $2.4 \mathrm{D}+2.4 \mathrm{MCPA}$ |
|  |  | Cyproconazole | $2.4 \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{MCPP}$ |

Source: HassanII

Table XIII2.2.2: Estimated Input Values for the Cultivation of Major Crops (1/2)

| Cereal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| Seed | 120 | kg/ha |  |  | 4 | DH/kg | 480 | DH/ha |
| Manure | 10 | ton/ha |  |  | 0 | DH/ton | 0 | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 120 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{N}$ | 46\% as Urea | 261 | 272.00 | DH/100kg | 710 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 84 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{P205}$ | 45\% as TSP | 187 | 220.00 | DH/100kg | 411 | DH/ha |
| K20 | 90 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{K2O}$ | $50 \%$ as K2SO4 | 180 | 228.00 | DH/100kg | 410 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals |  | DH/ha |  |  | 50 | DH/ha | 50 | DH/ha |
| $\overline{\text { Mechanization }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 6.0 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH | 239 | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 5.0 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 200 | DH/ha |
| Baler | 4.0 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH/day | 159 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 23 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 920 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 10 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 358 | DH/ha |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grand T |  | 3,936 | DH/ha |


|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed | 2000 | kg/ha |  |  | 3.5 | DH/kg | 7,000 | DH/ha |
| Manure | 30 | ton/ha |  |  | 0 | DH/ton | 0 | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 90 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as N | 46\% as Urea | 196 | 272.00 | DH/100kg | 532 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 90 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as P2O5 | 45\% as TSP | 200 | 220.00 | DH/100kg | 440 | DH/ha |
| K2O | 120 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{K2O}$ | $50 \%$ as K2SO4 | 240 | 228.00 | DH/100kg | 547 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | 200 | DH/ha |  |  | 200 | DH/ha | 200 | DH/ha |
| $\overline{\text { Mechanization }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 13 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH | 497 | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 19 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 760 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 120 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 4,800 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 15 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 2,216 | DH/ha |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grand T |  | 16,993 | DH/ha |



| Tomota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| Seed | 0.5 | kg/ha |  |  | 820 | DH/kg | 410 | DH/ha |
| Manure | 30 | ton/ha |  |  | 0 | DH/ton | 0 | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 92 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as N | 46\% as Urea | 200 | 272.00 | DH/100kg | 544 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 90 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{P2O5}$ | 45\% as TSP | 200 | 220.00 | DH/100kg | 440 | DH/ha |
| K2O | 170 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{K2O}$ | $50 \%$ as K2SO4 | 340 | 228.00 | DH/100kg | 775 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | 600 | DH/ha |  |  | 600 | DH/ha | 600 | DH/ha |
| Mechanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 10 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH | 378 | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 38 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 1,520 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 200 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 8,000 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 20 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 2,533 | DH/ha |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grand |  | 15,200 | DH/ha |


| Water Melon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| Seed | 3 | kg/ha |  |  | 250 | DH/kg | 750 | DH/ha |
| Manure | 5 | ton/ha |  |  | 0 | DH/ton | 0 | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 210 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as N | 46\% as N | 457 | 272.00 | DH/100kg | 1,242 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 210 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as P2O5 | 45\% asP2O5 | 467 | 220.00 | DH/100kg | 1,027 | DH/ha |
| K2O | 150 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as K2O | $50 \%$ as K2O | 300 | 228.00 | DH/100kg | 684 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | 600 | DH/ha |  |  | 600 | DH/ha | 600 | DH/ha |
| $\overline{\text { Mechanization }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 10 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH | 378 | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 21 | day/ha |  |  | 41 | DH/day | 861 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 155 | day/ha |  |  | 41 | DH/day | 6,355 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 15 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 1,784 | DH/ha |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grand T |  | 13,680 | DH/ha |



Table XIII2.2.2: Estimated Input Values for the Cultivation of Major Crops (2/2)


|  |  |  |  | Sub-Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Application Amount |  | Unit Price |  |  |  |
| Seed | kg/ha | 0 | DH/kg | 0 | DH/ha |
| Manure | ton/ha |  | DH/ton |  | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lump sum | kg/ha | 2.46 | DH/kg | 848 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | DH/ha | 150 | DH/ha |  | DH/ha |
| Mechanization |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | hr/ha | 157 | DH |  | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | day/ha |  | DH/day |  | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | day/ha | 41 | DH/day | 1,260 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 10 \% |  | \% | 211 | DH/ha |
|  |  | Grand T |  | 2,319 | DH/ha |


| Citrus Estimation based on Traditional cultivation wiyhout Project |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| Seed | 540 | kg/ha |  |  | 540 | DH/kg | 540 | DH/ha |
| Manure | - | ton/ha |  |  |  | DH/ton |  | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 180 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as N | 46\% as Urea | 543 | 2.72 | DH/kg | 1,478 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 250 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{P205}$ | 45\% as TSP | 300 | 2.53 | DH/kg | 759 | DH/ha |
| K2O | 135 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{K2O}$ | $50 \%$ as K2SO4 | 1000 | 2.53 | DH/kg | 2,530 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | 500 | DH/ha |  |  | 500 | DH/ha | 500 | DH/ha |
| Mechanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 0 | hr/ha |  |  | 157 | DH |  | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 10 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 400 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 160 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 3,200 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 20 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 941 | DH/ha |
|  |  |  |  |  | Grand Total |  | 10,348 | DH/ha |


|  | Application Amount |  |  |  | Unit Price |  | Sub-Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seed | 60 | kg/ha |  |  | 10 | DH/kg | 600 | DH/ha |
| Manure | 20 | ton/ha |  |  | 0 | DH/ton | 0 | DH/ha |
| Fertilizer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N | 33 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ as N | 46\% as Urea | 72 | 272.00 | DH/100kg | 195 | DH/ha |
| P205 | 110 | kg/ha as P205 | 45\% as TSP | 244 | 220.00 | DH/100kg | 538 | DH/ha |
| K2O | 150 | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{as} \mathrm{K2O}$ | $50 \%$ as K2SO4 | 300 | 228.00 | DH/100kg | 684 | DH/ha |
| Agricultural Chemicals | 200 | DH/ha |  |  | 50 | DH/ha | 50 | DH/ha |
| Mechanization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tractor | 9.5 | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH | 378 | DH/ha |
| Animal Traction | 21.0 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 840 | DH/ha |
| Baler |  | hr/ha |  |  | 318 | DH/day | 0 | DH/ha |
| Labor Force | 194 | day/ha |  |  | 40 | DH/day | 7,760 | DH/ha |
| Other (bags, case, etc) | 10 | \% |  |  |  | \% | 1,104 | DH/ha |

Table XIII2.2.3: Expected Increasing of Yield after Installation of Irrigation Facilities (Modern Irrigation Scheme)

|  |  | Unit | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grain cereals (Sprinkle | qx/ha | 10/10/7 c/ | 10/10/7 c/ | 19 | 28 | 34 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 2 | Grain cereals (Gravity) | qx/ha | 10/10/7 c/ | 10/10/7 ¢ / | 17 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 |
| 3 | Straw of cereals | UF/ha | 600 | 600 | 720 | 840 | 920 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 4 | Grain corn | qx/ha | 7/7/6 c/ | 7/7/6 c/ | 17 | 27 | 34 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| 5 | Dry veggies | qx/ha | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ | 8/8/5 c/ |
| 6 | Green veggies | qx/ha | 80 | 80 | 95 | 110 | 130 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 | 150 |
| 7 | Green beans | qx/ha | - | - | 30 | 39 | 46 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 8 | Peppers | qx/ha | - | - | 80 | 93 | 104 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 9 | Winter veggies $\underline{\text { a/ }}$ | qx/ha | 150 | 150 | 189 | 228 | 254 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 | 280 |
| 10 | Summer veggies $\underline{\mathrm{b}}$ / | qx/ha | 250 | 250 | 280 | 310 | 330 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| 11 | Sugar beets | qx/ha | - | - | 300 | 360 | 420 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| 12 | Sugar beet leaves | UF/ha | - | - | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 13 | Bersim | UF/ha | 3,300 | 3,300 | 4,000 | 4,600 | 5,100 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 14 | Alfalfa | UF/ha | 5,200 | 5,200 | 6,200 | 7,200 | 7,800 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| 15 | Fodder Maize | qx/ha | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,600 | 5,000 | 5,300 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 16 | Citrus | qx/ha | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 17 | Existing olive trees | qx/ha | 20 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 18 | Newly planted Apple | qx/ha | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | 80 | 120 | 170 | 200 |
| 19 | Existing Apple | UF/ha | 100 | 100 | 110 | 130 | 170 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| 20 | Unplanted land | UF/ha | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 21 | Dry veggies | UF/ha | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| 22 | Oats | UF/ha | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 |
|  | a/ $50 \%$ of potato and $50 \%$ o <br> b/ $50 \%$ of potato and $50 \%$ o <br> c/ Sebou / Grou / Jemaa Sah | of carrot <br> of water $m$ im |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { qx: } 100 \mathrm{~kg} \\ & \text { UF: Fodder Unit } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Source: The Development of Small and Medium Scale Irrigation Project
Collected data from Rural Engineering Administration, Ministry of Agriculture, Rural Development and Fisheries

Table XIII3.1.1: Reference Crop Evapotranspiration (ETo) For Each Zone (Modified Penman Method)

| Zone | Zone I |  | Zone II |  | Zone III |  | Zone IV |  | Zone V |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Month/Unit | mm | mm/day mm |  | mm/day |  | mm/day | mm | mm/day | mm | mm/day |
| January | 73 | 2.45 | 89 | 2.88 | 86 | 2.87 | 87 | 2.91 | 81 | 2.69 |
| February | 87 | 3.05 | 102 | 3.6 | 102 | 3.59 | 105 | 3.68 | 98 | 3.43 |
| March | 134 | 4.32 | 152 | 4.9 | 155 | 4.99 | 157 | 5.07 | 150 | 4.84 |
| April | 157 | 5.24 | 183 | 6.11 | 184 | 6.13 | 185 | 6.17 | 179 | 5.98 |
| Nay | 196 | 6.32 | 223 | 7.2 | 221 | 7.14 | 252 | 7.48 | 225 | 7.26 |
| June | 233 | 7.77 | 243 | 8.11 | 247 | 8.25 | 256 | 8.52 | 250 | 8.34 |
| July | 261 | 8.42 | 261 | 8.43 | 271 | 8.76 | 261 | 8.43 | 264 | 8.52 |
| August | 223 | 7.2 | 220 | 7.11 | 232 | 7.49 | 222 | 7.15 | 220 | 7.11 |
| September | 174 | 5.79 | 177 | 5.9 | 184 | 6.14 | 180 | 5.99 | 179 | 5.96 |
| October | 141 | 4.54 | 153 | 4.93 | 155 | 4.99 | 156 | 5.03 | 152 | 4.92 |
| November | 94 | 3.13 | 106 | 3.52 | 108 | 3.61 | 106 | 3.52 | 100 | 3.35 |
| December | 70 | 2.25 | 83 | 2.68 | 85 | 2.73 | 84 | 2.71 | 79 | 2.53 |
| Annual Mean | 1,843 | (60.48) | 1,992 | (65.37) | 2,030 | (66.69) | 2,031 | (66.66) | 1,977 | (64.93) |
| Monthly Mean | 154 | (5.04) | 166 | (5.45) | 169 | (5.56) | 169 | (5.56) | 165 | (5.41) |

Notes: (1) ETo for Zone I is calculated using the meteorological data observed at the Fes-Sais Station.
(2) ETo for Zone II is based on the meteorological data observed at the Marrakech Station and modified by using temperature recorded at the Sidi Jaber Station.
(3) ETo for Zone III is calculated using the meteorological data observed at the Marrakech Station.
(4) ETo for Zone IV is calculated using the meteorological data observed at the Ouarzazate Station.
(5) ETo for Zone V is calculated using the meteorological data observed at the Rachidia Station.
(6) Meteorological data used for the calculation of ETo for each zone are 20 years from 1980 to 1999.

Table XIII3.1.2: Monthly Mean Precipitation at Feddane Taba

| Period | $:$ | $1976-2000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Station | $:$ | Feddane Taba (for N'fifikh) |
| Latitude | $:$ |  |
| Longitude | $:$ |  |
| Altitude | $:$ |  |

Period
Station Longitude Altitude
(Unit: mm)

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May. | June. | July. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.1 | 90.1 | 6.7 | 98.9 |  |
| 1977 | 58.2 | 14.1 | 15.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 2.7 | 0.4 | - | 0.6 | 42.2 | 52.8 | 41.3 | 234.3 |
| 1978 | 88.3 | 77.1 | 10.8 | 57.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | - | 0.2 | - | 22.0 | 14.2 | 156.0 | 426.2 |
| 1979 | 155.1 | 97.1 | 131.0 | 21.4 | 3.8 | 0.2 | - | - | 0.1 | 126.6 | 13.2 | 20.0 | 568.5 |
| 1980 | 75.5 | 13.6 | 89.7 | 23.9 | 11.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.8 | 2.8 | 28.4 | 41.1 | 7.1 | 294.1 |
| 1981 | 14.3 | 4.3 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 9.0 | 6.4 | - | - | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 53.9 | 131.3 |
| 1982 | 34.8 | 5.8 | 34.9 | 63.5 | 1.6 | - | 0.2 | - | 2.2 | 14.7 | 43.6 | 47.3 | 248.6 |
| 1983 | 0.3 | 88.5 | 54.5 | 27.6 | 2.3 | - | - | - | 2.8 | 1.5 | 58.0 | 63.4 | 298.9 |
| 1984 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 98.4 | 43.8 | 105.8 | 1.2 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 81.3 | 26.7 | 378.7 |
| 1985 | 84.5 | 31.6 | 2.2 | 17.7 | 18.2 | 0.9 | - | - | 0.3 | - | 61.2 | 54.4 | 271.0 |
| 1986 | 55.4 | 156.0 | 30.2 | 36.8 | 1.1 | 16.8 | - | - | 0.8 | 4.9 | 59.9 | 17.6 | 379.5 |
| 1987 | 86.4 | 81.0 | 12.5 | 13.6 | 6.4 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 31.9 | 83.3 | 110.0 | 430.4 |
| 1988 | 81.9 | 78.7 | 7.6 | 20.3 | 15.3 | 7.4 | - | - | 0.2 | 20.5 | 79.2 | 8.9 | 320.0 |
| 1989 | 27.7 | 30.1 | 55.4 | 76.4 | 1.6 | 0.7 | - | 1.4 | - | 8.0 | 80.3 | 139.0 | 420.6 |
| 1990 | 67.9 | - | 47.5 | 59.0 | 2.9 | 0.9 | - | - | 0.9 | 34.3 | 42.0 | 78.6 | 334.0 |
| 1991 | 45.9 | 125.8 | 93.0 | 19.7 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.2 | - | 55.0 | 111.9 | 7.2 | 21.9 | 481.5 |
| 1992 | - | 33.4 | 31.2 | 89.5 | 3.6 | 24.3 | - | 0.2 | 1.1 | 23.6 | 9.7 | 14.0 | 230.6 |
| 1993 | 14.8 | 21.3 | 45.4 | 34.8 | 12.5 | - | - | - | 4.5 | 25.9 | 110.0 | 14.6 | 283.8 |
| 1994 | 36.8 | 44.1 | 7.8 | 3.2 | 1.0 | - | - | - | 1.0 | 7.2 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 118.7 |
| 1995 | 6.4 | 30.5 | 11.0 | 22.7 | 0.1 | 8.9 | - | 0.4 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 45.7 | 59.7 | 191.5 |
| 1996 | 172.5 | 34.6 | 63.3 | 20.0 | 39.1 | 0.1 | - | 0.1 | 5.1 | 18.0 | 29.0 | 254.0 | 635.8 |
| 1997 | 64.5 | 0.4 | 2.4 | 36.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.0 | - | 6.2 | 21.1 | 60.6 | 32.8 | 231.2 |
| 1998 | 20.5 | 32.8 | 6.8 | 8.8 | 1.2 | 6.1 | - | 0.7 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 77.5 | 162.4 |
| 1999 | 67.6 | - | 61.4 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 14.0 | - | - | - | 46.7 | 39.7 | 11.3 | 256.4 |
| 2000 | 20.2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1291.5 | 1007.8 | 933.8 | 727.2 | 249.8 | 92.3 | 8.2 | 3.9 | 106.4 | 691.7 | 1036.3 | 1409.1 |  |
| Average | 53.8 | 42.0 | 38.9 | 31.6 | 10.9 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 28.8 | 43.2 | 58.7 |  |

Analysis of Rainfall Probability

| Order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 118.7 | 131.3 | 162.4 | 191.5 | 230.6 | 231.2 | 234.3 | 244.4 | 248.6 | 256.4 | 271.0 | 283.8 |
| Probability $(\%)$ | 2.2 | 6.5 | 10.9 | 15.2 | 19.6 | 23.9 | 28.3 | 32.6 | 37.0 | 41.3 | 45.7 | 50.0 |
| Order | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |  |
| Annual rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 294.1 | 320.6 | 334.0 | 378.7 | 379.5 | 420.6 | 426.2 | 430.4 | 481.5 | 568.5 | 635.8 |  |
| Probability $(\%)$ | 54.3 | 58.7 | 63.0 | 67.4 | 71.7 | 76.1 | 80.4 | 84.8 | 89.1 | 93.5 | 97.8 |  |

Table XIII3.1.3: Monthly Mean Precipitation at Amizmiz
Period
Station
1975-2000
Latitude
Longitude
Altitude
(Unit : mm)

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May. | June. | July. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1975 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14.3 | 8.6 | 21.6 | 3.4 |  |
| 1976 | 14.5 | 36.8 | 55.9 | 141.0 | 81.0 | - | - | - | 8.2 | 45.3 | - | 42.1 | 424.8 |
| 1977 | 120.0 | 32.0 | 32.5 | - | 5.0 | - | - | - | 27.0 | 60.3 | 63.0 | 60.0 | 399.8 |
| 1978 | 83.6 | 16.5 | - | 76.2 | 39.3 | 42.0 | 8.5 | 19.0 | 7.7 | 43.8 | 2.7 | 45.9 | 385.2 |
| 1979 | 51.8 | 40.8 | 9.8 | 9.1 | 14.0 | - | - | - | 29.2 | 124.2 | - | 5.8 | 284.7 |
| 1980 | 25.7 | 64.3 | 96.1 | 54.5 | - | - | - | 13.0 | 19.7 | 28.8 | 59.9 | - | 362.0 |
| 1981 | 22.8 | 47.6 | 48.7 | 19.0 | - | - | - | - | 25.5 | 28.4 | - | 13.9 | 205.9 |
| 1982 | 56.2 | 32.6 | 22.4 | 197.0 | 93.4 | - | - | - | 2.0 | 17.0 | 36.9 | 47.0 | 504.5 |
| 1983 | - | 42.6 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 13.8 | - | - | - | - | 6.5 | 36.4 | 10.0 | 138.3 |
| 1984 | 45.1 | - | 65.2 | 43.4 | 36.6 | - | - | - | - | 20.0 | 71.8 | - | 282.1 |
| 1985 | 145.0 | 32.6 | 17.6 | 66.3 | 31.8 | - | - | - | - | - | 34.6 | 32.9 | 360.8 |
| 1986 | 68.0 | 47.7 | 38.2 | 32.8 | 36.3 | 31.3 | - | - | 3.1 | 14.1 | 41.7 | - | 313.2 |
| 1987 | 26.0 | 50.0 | 34.3 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 43.0 | - | 1.0 | 16.0 | 61.1 | 62.5 | 25.0 | 329.6 |
| 1988 | 94.0 | 82.6 | 59.5 | 1.5 | 22.5 | - | 6.0 | - | - | 46.2 | 147.0 | - | 459.3 |
| 1989 | 39.8 | 81.0 | 101.5 | 63.0 | - | - | - | 10.0 | - | 100.2 | 13.0 | 26.5 | 435.0 |
| 1990 | 29.0 | - | 54.7 | 11.0 | 17.5 | 12.0 | - | - | - | 2.5 | 10.0 | 68.9 | 205.6 |
| 1991 | 10.0 | 103.0 | 106.5 | 24.5 | 24.0 | 6.0 | - | - | 32.9 | 11.1 | 13.5 | 21.0 | 352.5 |
| 1992 | - | 76.5 | 80.5 | 83.0 | - | 20.0 | - | - | - | 80.0 | 15.0 | 9.5 | 364.5 |
| 1993 | 27.0 | 36.1 | 11.1 | 34.0 | 3.0 | - | - | - | - | 23.0 | 85.0 | 39.0 | 258.2 |
| 1994 | 102.0 | 47.5 | 83.0 | - | 7.0 |  | - | 47.0 | 4.0 | 17.7 | - | - | 308.2 |
| 1995 | - | 64.5 | 55.5 | 161.0 | - |  | - | - | 8.0 | 16.0 | 18.5 | 29.5 | 353.0 |
| 1996 | 110.0 | 92.3 | 145.5 | - | 27.0 | 44.5 | - | - | 4.0 | 9.5 | 43.6 | 77.6 | 554.0 |
| 1997 | 71.4 | 7.0 | 35.6 | 127.0 | 26.0 | - | - | - | 55.2 | 45.5 | 31.8 | 49.5 | 449.0 |
| 1998 | 72.8 | 16.5 | 26.0 | 50.8 | 42.0 | - | - | - | 17.5 | 38.3 | - | 30.5 | 294.4 |
| 1999 | 92.0 | 65.0 | 116.0 | 2.8 | 7.5 | 15.0 | - | 10.0 | 12.5 | 140.3 | 51.4 | 75.7 | 588.2 |
| 2000 | 11.0 | - | 23.0 | - | 27.8 | 13.9 | - |  |  | 2.8 |  |  |  |
| Total | 1317.7 | 1115.5 | 1334.1 | 1221.1 | 557.0 | 227.7 | 14.5 | 100.0 | 286.8 | 991.2 | 859.9 | 713.7 |  |
| Moyenne 522.7 | 44.6 | 53.4 | 48.8 | 22.3 | 9.9 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 11.5 | 38.1 | 34.4 | 28.5 |  |  |

Analysis of Rainfall Probability

| Order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rainfall(mm) | 138.3 | 205.6 | 205.9 | 258.2 | 282.1 | 284.7 | 294.4 | 308.2 | 313.2 | 329.6 | 337.2 | 352.2 |
| Probability $(\%)$ | 2.1 | 6.3 | 10.4 | 14.6 | 18.8 | 22.9 | 27.1 | 31.3 | 35.4 | 39.6 | 43.8 | 47.9 |
| Order | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| Annual rainfall(mm) | 352.8 | 360.5 | 362.1 | 364.5 | 385.2 | 434.8 | 435.0 | 449.0 | 459.3 | 504.5 | 554.0 | 588.2 |
| Probability $(\%)$ | 52.1 | 56.3 | 60.4 | 64.4 | 68.8 | 72.9 | 77.1 | 81.3 | 85.4 | 89.6 | 93.8 | 99.9 |

Table XIII3.1.4: Monthly Mean Precipitation at Rachidia

| Period | $:$ | $1980-1999$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Station | $:$ | Rachidia (for Timkit) |
| Latitude | $:$ | $3156^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ |
| Longitude | $:$ | $00424^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Altitude | $:$ | 1037.2 Metres |


| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May. | June. | July. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 10.2 | 29.1 | 28.3 | 30.6 | 1.4 | 0 | 0 | 6.2 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 28.5 | 158.8 |
| 1981 | 2.3 | 11 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 2.9 | 9.3 | 0 | 6.5 | 0 | 41.3 |
| 1982 | 32.3 | 6.5 | 1.8 | 34.4 | 23.8 | 13.3 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.1 | 2 | 0 | 116.5 |
| 1983 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 43.4 |
| 1984 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 0 | 17.9 | 1 | 0 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 17.1 | 0.6 | 48.1 |
| 1985 | 13.7 | 25.1 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 9.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10.7 | 3.6 | 16.7 | 31.2 | 116.5 |
| 1986 | 3 | 3.3 | 1.4 | 0 | 8.3 | 3 | 0 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 31.4 | 0.6 | 0 | 58.3 |
| 1987 | 1.5 | 0 | 17.1 | 0 | 8.7 | 22.3 | 1.3 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 0.4 | 36 | 19.3 | 113.4 |
| 1988 | 9.6 | 34 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 8.8 | 24.2 | 37.5 | 0 | 132.5 |
| 1989 | 3.7 | 30.7 | 3 | 8.1 | 0.9 | 17.9 | 2.8 | 7.9 | 8.6 | 50.8 | 30.7 | 47.5 | 212.6 |
| 1990 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 27.1 | 14.6 | 28.3 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 5.7 | 10.8 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 28.3 | 123.4 |
| 1991 | 1.5 | 23.8 | 13.5 | 18.5 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 2 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 10.9 | 0 | 36.3 | 130 |
| 1992 | 0 | 15.6 | 2.3 | 0.8 | 19.9 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 20.1 | 18.5 | 84.6 |
| 1993 | 6.6 | 28.1 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 14.1 | 89.2 | 6.2 | 155.6 |
| 1994 | 31.4 | 1.5 | 1 | 12.8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 71 | 6.5 | 0.2 | 129.8 |
| 1995 | 0 | 0 | 21.3 | 26.2 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 71.2 | 0.1 | 6 | 135.4 |
| 1996 | 19.2 | 56.8 | 37.1 | 4.1 | 2 | 42.2 | 30.7 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 2.8 | 0 | 26.5 | 223.2 |
| 1997 | 29.6 | 0 | 6 | 27.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 1 | 7.3 | 34.6 | 5.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 112.5 |
| 1998 | 13 | 48.9 | 2.7 | 1 | 1.6 | 16.3 | 0 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 100.2 |
| 1999 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 4.7 | - | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0 | 6.4 | 3.4 | 58.6 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 100.1 |
| TOTAL | 199.1 | 320.9 | 181.2 | 193 | 167 | 138.2 | 47.9 | 57.1 | 137.4 | 351.4 | 291 | 252 | 2336.2 |
| MEAN | 10.0 | 16.0 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 6.9 | 17.6 | 14.6 | 12.6 | 117.6 |

Analysis of Rainfall probability

| Annual rainfall (mm) | 11.0 | 12.5 | 24.0 | 75.5 | 107.9 | 164.5 | 192.4 | 219.0 | 233.7 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Probability (\%) | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 | 50 | 80 | 90 | 95 | 99 |

Table XIII3.1.5: Monthly Mean Precipitation at Ribat AI Keir

| Period | $:$ | $1978-2000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Station | $:$ | Ribat al kheir (for Azghar) |
| Latitude | $:$ |  |
| Longitude | $:$ |  |
| Altitude | $:$ |  |

(Unit : mm)

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May. | June. | July. | Aug. | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1978 | 81.1 | 110.0 | 56.6 | 115.2 | 27.6 | 16.5 | - | - | - | 9.9 | 27.3 | 77.8 | 522.0 |
| 1979 | 48.7 | 255.1 | 46.6 | 26.8 | 35.6 | - | - | - | 43.4 | - | 92.0 | 25.5 | 573.7 |
| 1980 | 37.8 | 38.8 | 78.1 | 49.4 | 48.9 | 3.9 | - | 12.4 | - | 3.5 | 118.5 | 39.7 | 431.0 |
| 1981 | 28.0 | 51.8 | 109.2 | 67.5 | 42.8 | 14.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 11.8 | 20.2 |  | 47.3 | 396.0 |
| 1982 | 63.2 | 94.8 | 35.2 | 141.1 | 78.4 | - | 5.3 | 2.8 | 4.5 | 176.4 | 92.6 | 80.8 | 775.1 |
| 1983 | 0.5 | 56.3 | 69.8 | 16.5 | 36.0 | 2.9 | - | 5.7 | - | 4.2 | 100.8 | 56.7 | 349.4 |
| 1984 | 27.6 | 17.5 | 70.5 | 57.5 | 141.9 | 6.3 | 2.0 | - | 6.5 | 3.5 | 121.6 | 20.4 | 475.3 |
| 1985 | 104.3 | 37.1 | 48.8 | 45.8 | 91.9 | - | - | 0.8 | 27.9 | 39.0 | 74.8 | 56.3 | 526.7 |
| 1986 | 104.7 | 116.1 | 119.3 | 82.1 | 16.5 | 36.5 | 20.0 | - | 16.9 | 24.9 | 42.5 | 42.4 | 621.9 |
| 1987 | 96.2 | 154.1 | 8.2 | 12.7 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 7.1 | - | 75.8 | 29.3 | 98.8 | 32.6 | 532.3 |
| 1988 | 109.8 | 92.0 | 43.0 | 35.4 | 69.8 | 7.5 | 0.5 | - | - | 29.7 | 68.4 | 19.0 | 475.1 |
| 1989 | 21.6 | 57.0 | 69.6 | 124.9 | 16.8 | 30.4 | - | 23.2 | 57.0 | 77.4 | 89.9 | 113.5 | 681.3 |
| 1990 | 48.0 | 1.2 | 32.8 | 99.7 | 18.4 | 5.6 | 29.5 | 3.7 | 52.1 | 14.4 | 50.9 | 137.0 | 493.3 |
| 1991 | 21.8 | 113.9 | 194.5 | 35.4 | 17.4 | 4.2 | 16.8 | 19.3 | 63.0 | 67.7 | 36.8 | 39.8 | 630.6 |
| 1992 | 2.3 | 52.5 | 79.1 | 101.1 | 54.0 | 118.5 | 9.0 | 20.5 | - | 52.8 | 18.5 | 35.2 | 543.5 |
| 1993 | 26.0 | 38.5 | 80.0 | 54.0 | 27.3 | 9.7 | - | 2.0 | 5.2 | 24.4 | 116.3 | 30.0 | 413.4 |
| 1994 | 63.3 | 115.6 | 62.2 | 20.0 | 25.3 | 1.0 | - | 0.5 | 30.5 | 27.5 | 21.5 | 5.0 | 372.4 |
| 1995 | 11.5 | 35.7 | 93.8 | 59.5 | 7.5 | 28.5 | - | 3.0 | 38.1 | 25.5 | 41.4 | 139.8 | 484.3 |
| 1996 | 245.3 | 100.7 | 100.9 | 88.4 | 79.8 | 43.9 | 0.9 | - | 48.5 | 34.5 | 18.0 | 216.7 | 977.6 |
| 1997 | 129.9 | - | 6.5 | 108.0 | 53.7 | 15.1 | - | 6.0 | 107.0 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 87.0 | 643.2 |
| 1998 | 70.0 | 48.5 | - | 26.6 | 56.5 | 8.0 | - | 9.5 | 18.0 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 18.0 | 265.1 |
| 1999 | 135.0 | 50.0 | 84.0 | 4.0 | 35.0 | 7.0 | - | 4.0 | 19.0 | 51.0 | 64.0 | 45.0 | 498.0 |
| 2000 | 16.0 | - | - | 42.0 | 60.0 | - | - | - | 29.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1492.6 | 1637.2 | 1488.7 | 1413.6 | 1056.1 | 362.9 | 92.4 | 114.6 | 654.2 | 754.8 | 1395.6 | 1365.5 |  |
| Average | 64.9 | 71.2 | 64.7 | 61.5 | 45.9 | 15.8 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 28.4 | 34.3 | 66.5 | 62.1 |  |

Analysis of Rainfall Probability

| Order | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual rainfall (mm) | 265.1 | 349.4 | 372.4 | 396.0 | 413.4 | 431.0 | 475.1 | 475.3 | 484.3 | 493.3 |
| Probability (\%) | 2.3 | 6.8 | 11.4 | 15.9 | 20.5 | 25.0 | 29.5 | 34.1 | 38.6 | 43.2 |
| Order | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| Annual rainfall(mm) | 522.0 | 526.7 | 532.3 | 543.5 | 573.7 | 621.9 | 630.6 | 643.2 | 681.3 | 795.1 |
| Probability (\%) | 52.3 | 56.8 | 61.4 | 65.9 | 70.5 | 95.0 | 99.5 | 84.1 | 88.6 | 93.2 |

## Table XIII3.2.1: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for the N'fifikh Upstream Area

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unit : |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
|  |  |  |  | (In cas | depen | - |  | 仡 | ears) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 177.0 | 152.8 | 105.6 | 83.1 | 89.3 | 101.7 | 151.9 | 183.3 | 223.2 | 243.3 | 261.3 | 220.4 |  |
|  | 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.41 | 0.15 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |  |
|  | 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1)•(2) | 14.2 | 15.3 | 29.6 | 44.0 | 71.4 | 95.6 | 123.0 | 75.2 | 33.5 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 17.6 | 559.8 |
|  | 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 3.3 | 21.7 | 32.4 | 44.1 | 38.7 | 30.2 | 26.3 | 22.7 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 230.5 |
|  | 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
|  | 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot f$ fraction | - | 3.6 | 12.9 | 32.1 | 28.7 | 20.6 | 17.7 | 15.3 | - | - | - | - | 130.9 |
| $\underset{7}{7}$ | 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 14.2 | 11.7 | 16.7 | 11.9 | 42.7 | 75.0 | 105.3 | 59.9 | 33.5 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 17.6 | 428.9 |
| N | 8. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.52^{* 1}$ | 27.3 | 22.5 | 32.1 | 22.9 | 82.1 | 144.2 | 202.5 | 115.2 | 64.4 | 37.5 | 40.2 | 33.8 | 824.7 |
|  | 9. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.68^{* 2}$ | 20.9 | 17.2 | 24.6 | 17.5 | 62.8 | 110.3 | 154.9 | 88.1 | 49.3 | 28.7 | 30.7 | 25.9 | 630.9 |


| (In case dependable rainfall is 1 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% probability: (9) | 6.1 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 81.5 | 71.6 | 55.9 | 48.7 | 42.0 | 14.5 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 426.4 |
| 11. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | - | 6.6 | 23.9 | 57.5 | 53.2 | 38.2 | 32.9 | 28.0 | 6.7 | - | - | - | 247.0 |
| 12. Net irrigation requirement, In: (11)=(3)-(10) | 14.2 | 8.7 | 5.7 | - | 18.2 | 57.4 | 90.1 | 47.2 | 26.8 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 17.6 | 326.3 |
| 13. Project water requirement, V: $(11) / 0.52^{* 1}$ | 27.3 | 16.7 | 11.0 | - | 35.0 | 110.4 | 173.3 | 90.8 | 51.5 | 37.5 | 40.2 | 33.8 | 627.5 |
| 14. Project water requirement, V: $(11) / 0.68^{* 2}$ | 20.9 | 12.8 | 8.4 | - | 26.8 | 84.4 | 132.5 | 69.4 | 39.4 | 28.7 | 30.7 | 25.9 | 479.9 |

Note: ${ }^{*}$ In case of gravity irrigation.
${ }^{* 2}$ In case of mechanical irrigation.

Table XIII3.2.2: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for the N'fifikh Upstream Area (Alternative NU2)

|  | (unit : mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
|  |  |  |  | (In cas | depen | ble rai | fall is | ut of | years) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 177.0 | 152.8 | 105.6 | 83.1 | 89.3 | 101.7 | 151.9 | 183.3 | 223.2 | 243.3 | 261.3 | 220.4 |  |
|  | 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.82 | 0.99 | 0.86 | 0.49 | 0.13 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.06 |  |
|  | 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 10.6 | 10.7 | 26.4 | 42.4 | 73.2 | 100.7 | 130.6 | 89.8 | 29.0 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 556.9 |
|  | 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 3.3 | 21.7 | 32.4 | 44.1 | 38.7 | 30.2 | 26.3 | 22.7 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 230.5 |
|  | 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.15 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.62 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.15 |  |
|  | 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot f r a c t i o n$ | - | 3.6 | 12.9 | 35.3 | 31.0 | 23.0 | 20.0 | 17.3 | - | - | - | - | 143.1 |
| 当 | 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 10.6 | 7.1 | 13.5 | 7.1 | 42.2 | 77.7 | 110.6 | 72.5 | 29.0 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 413.8 |
| 9 | 8. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.52$ | 20.4 | 13.7 | 26.0 | 13.7 | 81.2 | 149.4 | 212.7 | 139.4 | 55.8 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 25.4 | 796.0 |
|  |  |  |  | (In case | depend | ble rain | fall is 1 | out of 5 | years) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $20 \%$ probability: (9) | 6.1 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 81.5 | 71.6 | 55.9 | 48.7 | 42.0 | 14.5 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 426.4 |
|  | 10. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | - | 6.6 | 23.9 | 65.2 | 57.3 | 42.5 | 37.0 | 31.9 | - | - | - | - | 264.4 |
|  | 11. Net irrigation requirement, In: $(11)=(3)-(10)$ | 10.6 | 4.1 | 2.5 | - | 15.9 | 58.2 | 93.6 | 57.9 | 29.0 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 13.2 | 315.3 |
|  | 12. Project water requirement, V: (11)/0.52 | 20.4 | 7.9 | 4.8 | - | 30.6 | 111.9 | 180.0 | 111.3 | 55.8 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 25.4 | 606.4 |

Table XIII3.2.3: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement

## for the N'fifikh Upstream Area (Alternative NU3)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | unit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 177.0 | 152.8 | 105.6 | 83.1 | 89.3 | 101.7 | 151.9 | 183.3 | 223.2 | 243.3 | 261.3 | 220.4 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 0.69 | 0.56 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.18 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 26.6 | 27.5 | 34.8 | 42.4 | 59.8 | 70.2 | 85.1 | 73.3 | 62.5 | 80.3 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 680.6 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 3.3 | 21.7 | 32.4 | 44.1 | 38.7 | 30.2 | 26.3 | 22.7 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 230.5 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.28 | 0.4 | 0.50 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.42 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot f r a c t i o n$ | - | 6.5 | 12.2 | 28.1 | 24.7 | 15.9 | 13.8 | 13.6 | - | - | - | - | 114.8 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 26.6 | 21.0 | 22.6 | 14.3 | 35.1 | 54.3 | 71.3 | 59.7 | 62.5 | 80.3 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 565.8 |
| 8. Project water requirement , V: $(8)=(7) / 0.52$ | 51.2 | 40.4 | 43.5 | 27.5 | 67.5 | 104.4 | 137.1 | 114.8 | 120.2 | 154.4 | 150.8 | 76.3 | 1,088.1 |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 1 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \%$ probability: (9) | 6.1 | 40.0 | 60.0 | 81.5 | 71.6 | 55.9 | 48.7 | 42.0 | 14.5 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 426.4 |
| 10. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | - | 12.0 | 22.5 | 54.3 | 45.6 | 29.3 | 25.6 | 25.2 | - | - | - | - | 214.5 |
| 11. Net irrigation requirement, In: $(11)=(3)-(10)$ | 26.6 | 15.5 | 12.3 | - | 14.2 | 40.9 | 59.5 | 48.1 | 62.5 | 80.3 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 478.0 |
| 12. Project water requirement, V: (11)/0.52 | 51.2 | 29.8 | 23.7 | - | 27.3 | 78.7 | 114.6 | 92.5 | 120.2 | 154.4 | 150.8 | 76.3 | 919.5 |

## Table XIII3.3.1: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for N'fifikh Downstream Area (Alternative ND1 and ND2)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (unit : mm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 177.0 | 152.8 | 105.6 | 83.1 | 89.3 | 101.7 | 157.9 | 183.3 | 223.2 | 243.3 | 261.3 | 220.4 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.73 | 0.43 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.09 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 14.2 | 15.3 | 27.5 | 41.6 | 66.1 | 87.5 | 110.9 | 78.8 | 37.9 | 34.1 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 554.6 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 3.3 | 21.7 | 32.4 | 44.1 | 38.7 | 30.2 | 26.3 | 22.7 | 7.8 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 230.5 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.86 | 0.85 | 0.88 | 0.62 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.21 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | - | 3.9 | 13.1 | 30.4 | 27.3 | 19.5 | 16.8 | 15.0 | - | - | - | - | 126.0 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 14.2 | 11.4 | 14.4 | 11.2 | 38.8 | 68.0 | 94.1 | 63.8 | 37.9 | 34.1 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 428.6 |
| 8. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.75$ | 18.9 | 15.2 | 19.2 | 14.9 | 51.7 | 90.6 | 125.4 | 85.0 | 50.5 | 45.5 | 27.9 | 26.4 | 571.2 |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 1 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or | 6.1 |  |  |  |  | 55.9 |  |  |  | 53 | 0.5 | 03 |  |
| 10. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | 6.1 | 40.0 7.2 | 60.0 24.3 | 81.5 56.2 | 71.6 50.5 | 55.9 36.1 | 48.7 31.0 | 42.0 27.7 | 14.5 6.7 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 426.4 239.7 |
| 11. Net irrigation requirement, In: $(11)=(3)-(10)$ | 14.2 | 8.1 | 3.2 | - | 15.6 | 51.4 | 79.9 | 51.1 | 31.2 | 34.1 | 20.9 | 19.8 | 329.5 |
| 12. Project water requirement, V: $(11) / 0.75$ | 18.9 | 10.8 | 4.3 | - | 20.8 | 68.5 | 106.5 | 68.1 | 41.6 | 45.5 | 27.9 | 26.4 | 439.3 |

# Table XIII3.3.2: Irrigation Area of N'fifikh Downstream Area <br> Estimate of Base Flow in $\mathbf{1 / 5}$ years Drought 

|  | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. Total/Mean |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Mean Discharge $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$ | 0.149 | 0.173 | 0.415 | 0.629 | 1.239 | 1.453 | 0.801 | 0.685 | 0.319 | 0.229 | 0.090 | 0.087 |
| 2. $1 / 5$ year drought discharge, average $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ | 0.056 | 0.065 | 0.155 | 0.234 | 0.463 | 0.542 | 0.299 | 0.256 | 0.119 | 0.085 | 0.034 | 0.032 |
| 3. 1/5 year drought discharge, $1949 / 50\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ | 0.200 | 0.197 | 0.200 | 0.197 | 0.359 | 0.188 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.117 | 0.117 |
| 4. 1/5 year drought discharge, $1981 / 82\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.194 | 0.487 | 0.427 | 0.413 | 0.870 | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.018 |
| 5. 1/5 year drought discharge, $1944 / 45\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}\right.$ | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.234 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.430 | 0.111 | 0.111 |
| 6. Base flow, the least of 2. To $5\left(\mathrm{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | 54.4 | 64.8 | 62.2 | 391.4 | 606.5 | 383.6 | 375.8 | 375.8 | 90.7 | 64.8 | 54.4 | 46.7 |

## Water Balance Sheet

|  | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total/Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Base flow ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 54.4 | 64.8 | 62.2 | 391.4 | 606.5 | 383.6 | 375.8 | 375.8 | 90.7 | 64.8 | 54.4 | 46.7 | 2,571.1 |
| 2. $1 / 5$ Project water requirement. $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{mm})$ | 19.6 | 15.5 | 20.1 | 15.9 | 48.5 | 90.4 | 128.1 | 85.4 | 50.1 | 46.1 | 47.1 | 27.9 | 594.7 |
| 3.V for 300 ha ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ ) | 58.8 | 46.5 | 60.3 | 47.7 | 145.5 | 271.2 | 384.3 | 256.2 | 150.3 | 138.3 | 141.3 | 83.7 | 1,784.1 |
| 4.Balance (1)-(3) $\left(\times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)$ | (-)4.4 | 18.3 | 1.9 | 343.7 | 461.0 | 112.4 | (-)8.5 | 119.6 | 38.5 | (-)73.5 | (-)86.9 | -)37.0 | 787.0 |
| 5. Supply V.S demand (\%) | 93.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 97.8 | 100.0 | 46.9 | 46.9 | 38.5 | 55.8 | 978.9 |

Table XIII3．3．3：Monthly Discharge
BASIN AREA at ZIAIDA SITE ： $395 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
unit： $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$

| Year | Sep． | Oct． | Nov． | Dec． | Jan． | Feb． | Mar． | Apl． | may | Jun． | Jul． | Aug． | mean | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.170 | 0.164 | 0.732 | 0.706 | 2.890 | 3.201 | 1.464 | 1.513 | 0.507 | 0.524 | 0.164 | 0.164 | 1.008 | 49 |
| $1940 /$ | 0.177 | 0.172 | 0.762 | 0.737 | 3.014 | 3.338 | 1.525 | 1.575 | 0.527 | 0.546 | 0.172 | 0.172 | 1.044 | 50 |
| 19411 | 0.122 | 0.122 | 0.326 | 0.617 | 0.838 | 1.367 | 0.948 | 0.820 | 0.413 | 0.221 | 0.122 | 0.122 | 0.498 | 32 |
| 19421 | 0.204 | 0.197 | 0.525 | 0.990 | 1.349 | 2.198 | 1.521 | 1.317 | 0.669 | 0.359 | 0.197 | 0.197 | 0.801 | 43 |
|  | 0.081 | 0.080 | 0.082 | 0.080 | 0.221 | 0.071 | 0.064 | 0.066 | 0.064 | 0.066 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.074 | 3 |
| 1944145 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.234 | 0.148 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.430 | 0.111 | 0.111 | 0.173 | 0 |
|  | 0.119 | 0.113 | 0.309 | 0.583 | 0.797 | 1.303 | 0.898 | 0.779 | 0.393 | 0.214 | 0.113 | 0.113 | 0.472 | 29 |
|  | 0.422 | 0.414 | 0.422 | 0.414 | 1.150 | 0.376 | 0.337 | 0.345 | 0.337 | 0.345 | 0.046 | 0.048 | 0.388 | 23 |
| 19411 | 0.129 | 0.123 | 0.331 | 0.628 | 0.858 | 1.396 | 0.965 | 0.836 | 0.426 | 0.224 | 0.123 | 0.123 | 0.510 | 33 |
| 401 | 0.121 | 0.115 | 0.314 | 0.592 | 0.810 | 1.324 | 0.913 | 0.792 | 0.399 | 0.218 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.480 | 31 |
|  | 0.200 | 0.197 | 0.200 | 0.197 | 0.359 | 0.188 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.182 | 0.185 | 0.117 | 0.117 | 0.192 | 12 |
| 15001 | 0.153 | 0.148 | 0.398 | 0.746 | 1.019 | 1.659 | 1.149 | 0.996 | 10.505 | 0.269 | 0.148 | 0.148 | 0.605 | 37 |
| ， | 0.427 | 0.420 | 0.427 | 0.420 | 1.165 | 0.381 | 0.342 | 0.350 | 0.342 | 0.350 | 0.047 | 0.047 | 0.393 | 24 |
|  | 0.464 | 0.449 | 0.464 | 0.449 | 1.249 | 0.404 | 0.366 | 0.381 | 0.366 | 0.381 | 0.045 | 0.045 | 0.422 | 27 |
| 1554 ， | 0.191 | 0.184 | 0.492 | 0.927 | 1.261 | 2.054 | 1.423 | 1.231 | 0.627 | 0.338 | 0.184 | 0.184 | 0.750 | 41 |
|  | 0.175 | 0.171 | 0.457 | 0.861 | 1.171 | 1.909 | 1.322 | 1.142 | 0.579 | 0.310 | 0.171 | 0.171 | 0.695 | 39 |
|  | 0.259 | 0.050 | 1.112 | 1.076 | 4.400 | 4.872 | 2.226 | 2.300 | 0.771 | 0.797 | 0.251 | 0.251 | 1.517 | 54 |
|  | 0.217 | 0.214 | 0.217 | 0.214 | 0.401 | 0.202 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.207 | 14 |
|  | 0.129 | 0.124 | 0.340 | 0.641 | 0.878 | 1.428 | 0.986 | 0.857 | 0.437 | 0.232 | 0.124 | 0.124 | 0.519 | 34 |
|  | 0.473 | 0.459 | 0.473 | 0.459 | 1.288 | 0.418 | 0.376 | 0.390 | 0.376 | 0.390 | 0.049 | 0.049 | 0.433 | 28 |
|  | 0.152 | 0.147 | 0.389 | 0.736 | 1.006 | 1.633 | 1.130 | 0.978 | 0.498 | 0.266 | 0.147 | 0.147 | 0.598 | 36 |
|  | 0.121 | 0.115 | 0.313 | 0.591 | 0.808 | 1.320 | 0.910 | 0.790 | 0.398 | 0.217 | 0.115 | 0.115 | 0.479 | 30 |
| 1502 | 0.211 | 0.204 | 0.543 | 1.024 | 1.398 | 2.273 | 1.571 | 1.363 | 0.692 | 0.374 | 0.204 | 0.204 | 0.829 | 44 |
|  | 0.182 | 0.176 | 0.781 | 0.756 | 3.095 | 3.425 | 1.565 | 1.617 | 0.542 | 0.561 | 0.176 | 0.149 | 1.069 | 51 |
| 1904 | 0.233 | 0.227 | 0.606 | 1.140 | 1.553 | 2.526 | 1.749 | 1.513 | 0.770 | 0.41 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.926 | 47 |
| 1955 ， | 0.405 | 0.389 | 0.405 | 0.389 | 1.093 | 0.356 | 0.324 | 0.332 | 0.324 | 0.332 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.369 | 21 |
| 1 1000， | 0.419 | 0.411 | 0.419 | 0.411 | 1.143 | 0.373 | 0.335 | 0.343 | 0.335 | 0.343 | 0.046 | 0.046 | 0.386 | 22 |
| 1507 | 0.2 | 0.28 | 0.287 | 0.287 | 0.797 | 0.25 | 0.223 | 0.2 | 0.22 | 0.239 | 0.032 | 0.032 | 0.266 | 18 |
| 1900 | 0.189 | 0.186 | 0.496 | 0.936 | 1.273 | 2.072 | 1.436 | 1.243 | 0.633 | 0.341 | 0.1 s6 | 0.186 | 0.760 | 42 |
|  | 0.188 | 0.180 | 0.805 | 0.778 | 3.181 | 3.523 | 1.609 | 1.665 | 0.558 | 0.577 | 0.180 | 0.180 | 1.102 | 52 |
| $19^{7} 0$ | 0.153 | 0.149 | 0.399 | 0.748 | 1.021 | 1.662 | 1.151 | 0.998 | 0.506 | 0.269 | 0.149 | 0.149 | 0.606 | 38 |
| 19711， | 0.229 | 0.223 | 0.987 | 0.954 | 3.904 | 4.324 | 1.976 | 2.042 | 0.683 | 0.707 | 0.223 | 0.223 | 1.353 | 53 |
| 19゙っ1， | 0.200 | 0.196 | 0.522 | 0.113 | 1.340 | 2.176 | 1.506 | 1.306 | 0.662 | 0.357 | 0.196 | 0.196 | 0.724 | 40 |
| 19ア01 | 0.228 | 0.228 | 0.228 | 0.228 | 0.570 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.114 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.171 | 9 |
|  | 0.233 | 0.227 | 0.606 | 1.141 | 1.554 | 2.527 | 1.750 | 1.514 | 0.771 | 0.413 | 0.227 | 0.227 | 0.922 | 46 |
| 1910 | 0.217 | 0.214 | 0.217 | 0.214 | 0.401 | 0.202 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.194 | 0.197 | 0.120 | 0.120 | 0.207 | 14 |
|  | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.041 | 0.103 | 0.051 | 0.253 | 0.549 | 0.649 | 0.249 | 0.036 | 0.019 | 0.610 | 0.166 | 8 |
|  | 0.015 | 0.599 | 0.046 | 0.994 | 2.026 | 2.533 | 0.192 | 0.089 | 0.069 | 0.076 | 0.046 | 0.040 | 0.550 | 35 |
| 1970， | 0.023 | 0.063 | 0.108 | 0.276 | 1.166 | 4.394 | 0.077 | 0.271 | 0.131 | 0.028 | 0.008 | 0.005 | 0.519 | 34 |
| 1ップ， | 0.050 | 0.120 | 0.096 | 1.808 | 4.645 | 10.521 | 1.304 | 0.480 | 0.314 | 0.291 | 0.246 | 0.153 | 1.612 | 55 |
| 19001 | 0.118 | 0.828 | 0.217 | 0.180 | 0.555 | 0.211 | 2.412 | 0.223 | 0.169 | 0.067 | 0.020 | 0.002 | 0.421 | 26 |
| 19011， | 0.055 | 0.104 | 0.474 | 0.085 | 0.079 | 0.051 | 0.225 | 0.062 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0.103 | 5 |
| 19021 | 0.021 | 0.025 | 0.024 | 0.194 | 0.487 | 0.427 | 0.113 | 0.870 | 0.035 | 0.025 | 0.021 | 0.018 | 0.186 | 11 |
| 19831 | 0.020 | 0.028 | 0.103 | 0.121 | 0.050 | 0.512 | 0.089 | 0.071 | 0.058 | 0.038 | 0.034 | 0.027 | 0.093 | 4 |
| 1984 1 | 0.020 | 0.026 | 0.277 | 0.354 | 0.035 | 0.024 | 0.381 | 0.269 | 0.509 | 0.028 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.163 | 7 |
|  | 0.011 | 0.011 | 1.603 | 0.111 | 0.576 | 0.131 | 0.028 | 0.015 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.009 | 0．010＇ | 0.211 | 15 |
| 19061 | 0.030 | 0.008 | 0.452 | 0.092 | 0.319 | 1.615 | 0.123 | 0.209 | 0.011 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.228 | 16 |
|  | 0.001 | 0.004 | 1.720 | 0.031 | 0.158 | 1.274 | 0.030 | 0.022 | 0.004 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.261 | 17 |
|  | 0.015 | 0.095 | 0.394 | 0.976 | 0.962 | 2.134 | 0.390 | 0.042 | 0.035 | 0.030 | 0.010 | 0.002 | 0.418 | 25 |
| 190\％， | 0.007 | 0.032 | 0.241 | 0.025 | 0.221 | 0.317 | 0.605 | 0.866 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.193 | 13 |
| 1990 ， | 0.002 | 0.012 | 0.923 | 1.688 | 0.768 | 0.041 | 0.125 | 0.031 | 0.019 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.001 | 0.305 | 19 |
| 19911， | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.085 | 0.784 | 0.051 | 1.019 | 1.333 | 0.982 | 0.058 | 0.040 | 0.023 | 0.019 | 0.366 | 20 |
| 19921 | 0.138 | 0.250 | 0.056 | 0.138 | 0.051 | 0.113 | 0.104 | 0.637 | 0.134 | 0.107 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.161 | 6 |
| 19931 | 0.010 | 0.100 | 0.098 | 0.057 | 0.048 | 0.015 | 0.316 | 0.049 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 0.063 | 2 |
| 19941 | 0.019 | 0.026 | 0.041 | 0.103 | 0.051 | 0.253 | 0.549 | 0.649 | 0.249 | 0.036 | 0.019 | 0.010 | 0.166 | 8 |
| 1995， | 0.004 | 0.005 | 0.049 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.006 | 1 |
|  | 0.003 | 0.007 | 0.428 | 0.902 | 5.215 | 1.249 | 2.507 | 0.110 | 0.156 | 0.051 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.893 | 45 |
|  | 0.007 | 0.014 | 0.052 | 5.553 | 4.855 | 0.196 | 0.143 | 0.474 | 0.053 | 0.034 | 0.020 | 0.013 | 0.966 | 48 |

[^3]| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 179.7 | 155.9 | 105.9 | 84.0 | 87.3 | 105.0 | 157.2 | 185.1 | 231.9 | 255.6 | 261.3 | 221.7 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.43 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.49 | 0.22 | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.16 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 21.60 | 17.10 | 24.30 | 36.10 | 59.40 | 90.30 | 125.80 | 90.70 | 51.00 | 48.60 | 52.30 | 35.50 | 652.7 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 9.0 | 31.2 | 26.2 | 23.4 | 43.2 | 36.6 | 43.8 | 40.0 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 282.9 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.46 | 0.87 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 0.27 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, Pe:(6)=(4).(5) fraction | - | 4.7 | 9.0 | 15.3 | 29.2 | 24.7 | 29.9 | 28.5 | 10.0 | - | - | - | 151.3 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 21.6 | 12.4 | 15.3 | 20.8 | 30.2 | 65.6 | 95.9 | 62.2 | 41.0 | 48.6 | 52.3 | 35.5 | 501.4 |
| 8. Project water requirement , V: $(8)=(7) / 0.52$ | 41.5 | 23.8 | 29.4 | 40.0 | 58.1 | 126.1 | 184.4 | 119.6 | 78.8 | 93.5 | 100.6 | 68.3 | 964.1 |
| 9. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.68^{* *}$ | 31.8 | 18.2 | 22.5 | 30.6 | 44.4 | 96.5 | 141.0 | 91.5 | 60.3 | 71.5 | 76.9 | 52.2 | 737.4 |
| (In case dependable rainfall is 1 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or 20\% probability: (9) | 14.2 | 49.1 | 41.1 | 36.7 | 67.9 | 57.5 | 68.9 | 62.9 | 28.8 | 11.7 | 0.8 | 5.0 | 444.6 |
| 11. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | 2.2 | 7.4 | 14.2 | 23.9 | 45.8 | 38.8 | 47.0 | 44.8 | 15.8 | - | - | - |  |
| 12. Net irrigation requirement, In: $(11)=(3)-(10)$ | 19.4 | 9.7 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 13.6 | 51.5 | 78.8 | 45.9 | 35.2 | 48.6 | 52.3 | 35.5 | 412.8 |
| 13. Project water requirement, V: $(11) / 0.52{ }^{* 1}$ | 37.3 | 18.7 | 19.4 | 23.5 | 26.2 | 99.0 | 151.5 | 88.3 | 67.7 | 93.5 | 100.6 | 68.3 | 794.0 |
| 14. Project water requirement $\mathrm{V}:(11) / 0.68$ | 28.5 | 14.3 | 14.9 | 17.9 | 20 | 75.7 | 116 | 67.5 | 51.8 | 71.5 | 76.9 | 52.2 | 607.1 |

[^4]
# Table XIII3.5.1: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for Ifegh Area in Timkit 

| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 178.8 | 152.4 | 100.4 | 28.5 | 80.7 | 98.0 | 150.0 | 179.4 | 225.1 | 250.2 | 264.1 | 220.4 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.71 | 0.84 | 0.75 | 0.47 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.16 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1)•(2) | 34.0 | 41.1 | 39.2 | 40.0 | 57.3 | 82.3 | 112.5 | 84.3 | 54.0 | 47.5 | 42.3 | 35.3 | 669.8 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 4.2 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 71.7 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.91 | 0.65 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.28 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot f r a c t i o n$ | - | 3.1 | 4.4 | 5.4 | - | 6.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 19.5 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 34.0 | 38.0 | 34.8 | 34.6 | 57.3 | 75.7 | 112.5 | 84.3 | 54.0 | 47.5 | 42.3 | 35.3 | 650.3 |
| 8. Project water requirement , V: $(8)=(7) / 0.58$ | 58.6 | 65.5 | 60.0 | 59.7 | 98.8 | 130.5 | 194.0 | 145.3 | 93.1 | 81.9 | 72.9 | 60.9 | 1,121.2 |


| (In case dependable rainfall is 1 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or 20\% probability: (9) | 9.7 | 24.1 | 20.5 | 17.7 | 14.0 | 22.4 | 12.8 | 14.3 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 3.4 | 4.1 | 164.5 |
| 10. Effctive rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(10)=(9) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | - | 7.2 | 10.1 | 12.7 | 9.5 | 15.1 | 8.9 | 9.8 | - | - | - | - | 73.3 |
| 11. Net irrigation requirement, In: (11)=(3)-(10) | 34.0 | 33.9 | 29.1 | 27.3 | 47.8 | 67.2 | 103.6 | 74.5 | 54.0 | 47.5 | 42.3 | 35.3 | 596.5 |
| 12. Project water requirement, V: $(11) / 0.58$ | 58.6 | 58.4 | 50.2 | 47.1 | 82.4 | 115.9 | 178.6 | 128.4 | 93.1 | 81.9 | 72.9 | 60.9 | 1,028.4 |

## Table XIII3.5.2: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement For Tinejdad Area in Timkit

| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 178.8 | 152.4 | 100.4 | 78.5 | 80.7 | 98.0 | 150.0 | 129.4 | 225.1 | 250.2 | 264.1 | 220.4 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.38 | 0.47 | 0.64 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 41.1 | 44.2 | 38.2 | 36.9 | 51.6 | 76.4 | 111.0 | 89.7 | 63.0 | 57.5 | 52.8 | 44.1 | 706.5 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 4.2 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 71.7 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.66 | 0.95 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.93 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.38 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, Pe:(6)=(4).(5).fraction |  | 3.6 | 4.4 | 5.5 |  | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20.1 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 41.1 | 40.6 | 33.8 | 31.4 | 51.6 | 69.8 | 111.0 | 89.7 | 63.0 | 57.5 | 52.8 | 44.1 | 686.4 |
| 8.1 Project water requirement, $\mathrm{V}:(8)=(7) / 0.75{ }^{* 1}$ | 54.8 | 54.1 | 45.1 | 41.9 | 68.8 | 93.1 | 148.0 | 119.6 | 84.0 | 76.7 | 70.4 | 58.8 | 915.2 |
| 8.2 Project water reuirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.45{ }^{* 2}$ | 89.3 | 88.3 | 73.5 | 68.3 | 112.2 | 151.7 | 241.3 | 195 | 137 | 125 | 114.8 | 95.9 | 1492 |
| A. By surface water from Timkit dam |  | 88.3 | 73.5 | 68.3 | 112.2 | 151.7 | 241.3 | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| B. By sub-surface water | 54.8 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 120 | 84 | 76.7 | 70.4 | 58.8 | 464.7 |

[^5]
## Table XIII3.5.3: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for Chitam Area in Timkit

| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 178.8 | 152.4 | 100.4 | 78.5 | 80.7 | 98.0 | 150.0 | 129.4 | 225.1 | 250.2 | 264.1 | 220.40 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.11 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1).(2) | 19.7 | 16.8 | 23.1 | 35.3 | 58.1 | 89.2 | 129.0 | 93.3 | 47.3 | 37.5 | 31.7 | 24.2 | 605.2 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 4.2 | 10.7 | 8.9 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 9.8 | 5.5 | 6.2 | 5.1 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.8 |  |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.48 | 0.92 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.23 | 0.20 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, Pe:(6)=(4)•(5)•fraction | - | 1.6 | 3.2 | 5.3 | - | 7.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17.1 |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: $(7)=(3)-(6)$ | 19.7 | 15.2 | 19.9 | 30.0 | 58.1 | 82.2 | 129.0 | 93.3 | 47.3 | 37.5 | 31.7 | 24.2 | 588.1 |
| 8.1 Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.75$ | 26.3 | 20.3 | 26.5 | 40.0 | 77.4 | 109.6 | 172.0 | 124.4 | 63.1 | 50.0 | 42.3 | 32.3 | 784.1 |
| 8.2 Project water requirement V: $(8)=(7) / 0.45$ * | 42.8 | 33.0 | 43.3 | 65.2 | 126.3 | 178.7 | 280.4 | 202.8 | 102.8 | 81.5 | 68.9 | 52.6 | 1278.5 |
| A. By surface water from Timkit dam | - | 33.0 | 43.3 | 65.2 | 126.3 | 178.7 | 280.4 | - | - | - | - | - | 726.9 |
| B. By sub-surface water | 26.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 124.4 | 63.1 | 50.0 | 42.3 | 32.3 | 338.4 |

[^6]
# Table XIII3.6.1: Calculation Sheet for Project Water Requirement for Azghar Area 

| Factors in estimating water requirement | Sep. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In case dependable rainfall is 4 out of 5 years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Reference crop evapotranspiration, ETo: (1) | 173.7 | 140.7 | 93.9 | 69.8 | 73.5 | 86.5 | 133.9 | 157.2 | 195.9 | 233.1 | 261.0 | 223.2 |  |
| 2. Crop coefficient, kc: (2) | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.86 | 0.92 | 77.00 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 0.12 |  |
| 3. Crop evapotranspiration, ETcrop (3): (1)•(2) | 17.4 | 12.7 | 23.5 | 32.1 | 49.2 | 73.6 | 123.2 | 121.0 | 76.4 | 32.6 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 622.4 |
| 4. Dependable rainfall 4 out of 5 years or $80 \%$ probability: (4) | 22.5 | 27.2 | 50.3 | 49.2 | 51.4 | 56.4 | 51.3 | 48.7 | 36.4 | 12.5 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 413.8 |
| 5. Cropped area: (5) | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.70 | 0.26 | 0.23 |  |
| 6. Effective rainfall, $\mathrm{Pe}:(6)=(4) \cdot(5) \cdot$ fraction | 3.4 | 4.5 | 18.5 | 35.1 | 38.6 | 40.6 | 36.6 | 34.7 | 27.0 | 6.6 | - | - |  |
| 7. Net irrigation requirement, In: (7)=(3)-(6) | 14.0 | 8.2 | 5.0 | - | 10.6 | 33.0 | 86.6 | 86.3 | 49.4 | 26.0 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 379.8 |
| 8. Project water requirement, V: $(8)=(7) / 0.52$ | 26.9 | 15.8 | 9.6 | - | 20.4 | 63.5 | 166.5 | 166.0 | 95.0 | 50.0 | 65.2 | 51.5 | 730.4 |


| 9. Dependable rainfall 1 out of 5 years or $20 \%$ probability: (9) | 34.3 | 41.5 | 76.7 | 75.0 | 78.3 | 86 | 78.2 | 74.2 | 55.5 | 19.0 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 630.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10. Effctive rainfall, Pe: (10) =(9).(5).fraction | 5.1 | 6.8 | 28.2 | 53.4 | 58.7 | 61.9 | 55.7 | 52.9 | 41.2 | 10.0 |  |  | 373.9 |
| 11. Net irrigation requirement, In: (11)=(3)-(10) | 12.3 | 5.9 |  |  |  | 11.7 | 67.5 | 68.1 | 35.2 | 22.6 | 33.9 | 26.8 | 284.0 |
| 12. Project water requirement, V: (11)/0.52 | 23.7 | 11.3 |  |  |  | 22.5 | 129.8 | 131.0 | 67.7 | 43.5 | 65.2 | 51.5 | 546. |

Table XIII4.3.1: Weekly Markets in the Study Area (1/2)


Table XIII4.3.1: Some Weekly Markets in the Study Area (2/2)


Note: 1) Cereals include hard and soft quality wheat, barley, maize etc. and seeds
2) Abra: There are different kinds Abras, and the Abras for tax collecion here are approximitely 30 kg .
3) Sources of agricultural commodities are not stable and vary due to the weather condition
4) Origin of the traders varies due to the weather condition. If there is lot of rain the percentage of local traders is high
5) At the time of the survey the major source of vegetable supply was the whole sale market of Marrakech.

Source: Hearing survey with the respective Commune offices and the traders in the market, November, 2000

Table XIII4.5.1: FDA Subsidy Ratio for Some Items

| Particulars | Subsidy Ratio |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individual Companies | Cooperatives |  |
| Agricultural Equipment |  |  |  |
| -Tractor less than 40 horse power | 25\% | 25\% |  |
| -Tractor more than 40 horse power | 0\% | 30\% |  |
| -Ploughs, chizels, rotary harrows fertilizers and seed drills | 35\% | 35\% |  |
| -Materials of treatment and mowing | 25\% | 30\% |  |
| -Threshing machines with rotary cultivators | 25\% | 25\% |  |
| -Sugar cane/beets harvester | 10\% | 20\% |  |
| -Small equipment and harness | 50\% | 50\% |  |
| Hydro-Agricultural Equipment |  |  | Maximum |
| -Wells sinking | 30\% | 30\% | 2 million Dh/farm |
| -Equipment of irrigation: sprinklers | 10\% | 10\% | 2 million Dh/farm |
| -Materials of irrigation: local | 30\% | 30\% | 2 million Dh/farm |
| -Stone removing | 30\% | 30\% | 2 million Dh/farm |
| Breeding |  |  |  |
| Genetic Improvement |  |  |  |
| -Animal reproduction: Cattle Male (Dh/head) | 1200 | 1300 |  |
| Cattle Female (Dh/head) | 1500 | 1600 |  |
| Ovine Female (Dh/head) | 500 | 700 |  |
| Ovine Male (Dh/head) | 400 | 450 |  |
| Cattle for meat ( $\mathrm{Dh} /$ heifer) | 1000 | 1000 |  |
| -Queens' production of selected bees ( $\mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{beehive} \mathrm{)}$ | 250 | 300 |  |
| -Buildings and materials for breeding | 20\% | 25\% |  |
| Equipment |  |  |  |
| -Cold storage | 10-15\% | 10-15\% | Maximum 2 million <br> Dh/unit |
| -Seed storage | 10-20\% | 15-20\% | Maximum 2 million Dh/unit |
| -Vegetable/fruits packing stations | 10\% | 15\% |  |

[^7]Table XIII4.6.1: Cooperatives in the Study Area (No. 5 N'Fifikh)

| Name of the <br> Cooperatives | Date of <br> Establish- <br> ment | No. of <br> Members | Capital <br> (Dh) | Activities |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Sahb Lassel | 1977 | 165 | 62,200 Milk collection/marketing |  |
| El Wafa | 1977 | 174 | 42,200 Milk collection/marketing |  |
| Ennasr | 1979 | 135 | 48,400 Milk collection/marketing |  |
| Mabrouka | 1992 | 22 | 42,000 Milk collection/marketing |  |
| B. Yakhlef | 1980 | 65 | 25,500 Breeding/improvement |  |
| El Alamia | 1972 | 27 | 80,000 Agrerian Reform |  |
| El Hassania I | 1971 | 30 | 120,000 Agrerian Reform |  |
| El Manssouria | 1972 | 12 | 65,000 Agrerian Reform |  |
| Source DPA Ben Slimane |  |  |  |  |

Source: DPA Ben Slimane

Table XIII4.6.2: Farmers Associations/Cooperatives in the Study Area (No. 9 Taskourt)

| (1) Associations <br> name of the <br> Association Commune | Date of <br> Establish- <br> ment | No. of <br> Members | Membership <br> Fee <br> (Dh) | Activities |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Izdihar | Assif El Mal | 1996 | $400100 \mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{ha}$ | Water Distribution |
| Al Baraka | Assif El Mal | 1997 | $200100 \mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{ha}$ | Water Distribution |
| Lalla Sfia | Guemassa | 1997 | $26100 \mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{ha}$ | Water Valorization |
| Belkantaoui | M'jjate | 1998 | $23100 \mathrm{Dh} / \mathrm{ha}$ | Water facilities Management |

Source: CT in Chichaoua

| Name of the Cooperatives | Commune | Date of Establish- ment | No. of Members | $\begin{gathered} \text { Capital } \\ (\mathrm{Dh}) \end{gathered}$ | Activities | Collected Quantity (liter) ${ }^{1)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Amount } \\ (\mathrm{Dh}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frougma | Guemassa | 1978 | 61 | 28,000 | Milk C/M ${ }^{2)}$ | 452,777 | 1,314,974 |
| El M'jjatia | M'jjate | 1990 | 84 | 36,900 | Milk C/M | 290,318 | 845,269 |
| Al Izdihar | Mzouda | 1995 | 83 | 19,000 | Milk C/M | 128,761 | 376,040 |
| Mabrouka | Assif El Mal | 1997 | 62 | 9,600 | Milk C/M | 106,841 | 309,000 |
| Najah | Assif El Mal | 1996 | 18 | 22,400 | Milk C/M | 75,141 | 221,863 |
| Albaamrania | M'jjate | 2000 | 86 | 27,700 | Milk C/M | - | - |
| Tazrdaht | Guemassa | on process |  |  | Milk C/M | - | - |
| Sidi Said Amhil | M'jjate | 1984 | 24 | 12,000 | Service | - | - |
| Annabdouria | Assif El Mal | 2000 | 20 | 2,000 | Goat | - | - |

Note: 1) from January 1, 2000 to June 30, 2000
2) $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{M}$ : Collection and Marketing

Source: CT in Chichaua
Table XIII4.6.3: Cooperatives in the Study Area (No. 10 Timkit)

| Name of the <br> Cooperatives | Commune | Date of <br> Establish- <br> ment | No. of <br> Members | Activities |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Essada | Tinejdad | 1986 | 46 Sheep breeding |  |
| Lalla Mimouna | Tinejdad | 1990 | 30 Sheep breeding |  |
| Chifa | Tinejdad | 1997 | 42 Bee keeping |  |
| Tamounte | Tinejdad | 1997 | 30 Sheep breeding |  |
| El Anal | Tinejdad | 1997 | 8 Pumping |  |
| Aghbalou | Aghbalou | 2000 | 39 Sheep breeding |  |
| Soure |  |  |  |  |

[^8]Table XIII4.7.1: Major Economic Activities and Family Income in the Study Area

|  | N'fifikh (No.5) | Taskourt (No.9) | Timkit (No.10) | Azghar (No.17) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particulars Economic Activities | Household $N=63$ <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Household } \\ \mathrm{N}=75 \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Household $\mathbf{N}=77$ <br> (\%) | Household $\mathrm{N}=44$ <br> (\%) |
| -Agricultural Activities Only | 52.0 | 37.0 | 19.0 | 43.0 |
| -Agricultural and Other Side Jobs | 48.0 | 63.0 | 77.0 | 57.0 |
| (Employment, Commerce/Small Business etc.) |  |  |  |  |
| -Non Agricultural Activities Only | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |


| Annual Average Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N'fifikh <br> DH/Annum | Share (\%) | Taskourt DH/Annum | Share $(\%)$ | Timkit DH/Annum | Share $(\%)$ | Azghar DH/Annum | Share (\%) |
| -Agricultural Income Including Non Agricultural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -Remittance from Migrant Workers | 15,100 | 31.5 | 6,200 | 23.0 | 19,800 | 61.1 | 7,200 | 30.4 |
| Total | 47,900 | 100.0 | 27,000 | 100.0 | 32,400 | 100.0 | 23,700 | 100.0 |
| Annual Average Family Expenditure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15,600 |  | 17,100 |  | 16,100 |  | 16,300 |  |
| Reserve | 32,300 |  | 9,900 |  | 16,300 |  | 7,400 |  |

Note: Agricultural activities/income include livestock production/income
Source: Household Interview Survey on Socioeconomic Conditions of Beneficiary Areas, 2000


[^0]:    Note: a/ The cultivated areas of fruits are counted twice with the area of cereals.
    Source: Chichaoua DPA, 2000

[^1]:    Source: Projet de Mise en Valeur en Bour du Perimetre de Ziaida Province de Benslimane, Fishes Culturales, and Hearing from Farmer

[^2]:    Source: Recensement General de l'Agriculture, Resultsts par Commune,

[^3]:    | Mean | 0.149 | 0.173 | 0.415 | 0.629 | 1.239 | 1.453 | 0.801 | 0.685 | 0.319 | 0.229 | 0.090 | 0.087 | 0.517 |
    | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^4]:    Note: $\quad{ }^{*}$ In case of gravity irrigation.
    *2 In case of mechanical irrigation.

[^5]:    Note ${ }^{* 1}$ In case that the water source is from ground water
    ${ }^{* 2}$ In case that the water source is from the Timkit Dam

[^6]:    Note ${ }^{* 1}$ In case the water source is from ground water.
    ${ }^{\text {42 }}$ In case that the water source is from the Timkit Dam.

[^7]:    Source: Bulletin D'information et de Laision du Pntta, Transfert de Technologie en Agriculture |MADRPM/DERD, No. 56, Mai, 1999.

[^8]:    Source: ORMVA/TF, Errachidia

