## Appendix 8.4 Single Line Diagrams and Countermeasures of LV Feeders

Followings are list of randomly selected forty LV feeders and their single line diagrams with network reinforcement loss reduction countermeasures.

| EDCO       |                   | JEPCO      |                   | IDECO      |                   |
|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Feeder No. | Figure No.        | Feeder No. | Figure No.        | Feeder No. | Figure No.        |
| E015       | Appendix 8.4 (1)  | J002       | Appendix 8.4 (16) | I004       | Appendix 8.4 (26) |
| E016       | Appendix 8.4 (2)  | J010       | Appendix 8.4 (17) | 1009       | Appendix 8.4 (27) |
| E018       | Appendix 8.4 (3)  | J017       | Appendix 8.4 (18) | I014       | Appendix 8.4 (28) |
| E019       | Appendix 8.4 (4)  | J020       | Appendix 8.4 (19) | I023       | Appendix 8.4 (29) |
| E024       | Appendix 8.4 (5)  | J025       | Appendix 8.4 (20) | I026       | Appendix 8.4 (30) |
| E025       | Appendix 8.4 (6)  | J039       | Appendix 8.4 (21) | I040       | Appendix 8.4 (31) |
| E026       | Appendix 8.4 (7)  | J047       | Appendix 8.4 (22) | I046       | Appendix 8.4 (32) |
| E035       | Appendix 8.4 (8)  | J057       | Appendix 8.4 (23) | I063       | Appendix 8.4 (33) |
| E038       | Appendix 8.4 (9)  | J069       | Appendix 8.4 (24) | I074       | Appendix 8.4 (34) |
| E054       | Appendix 8.4 (10) | J088       | Appendix 8.4 (25) | I084       | Appendix 8.4 (35) |
| E058       | Appendix 8.4 (11) |            |                   | I095       | Appendix 8.4 (36) |
| E063       | Appendix8.4 (12)  |            |                   | I106       | Appendix 8.4 (37) |
| E073       | Appendix 8.4 (13) |            |                   | I117       | Appendix 8.4 (38) |
| E108       | Appendix 8.4 (14) |            |                   | I119       | Appendix 8.4 (39) |
| E110       | Appendix 8.4 (15) |            |                   | I135       | Appendix 8.4 (40) |















Services Co. Lts

50m

Ĕ\_

LAL95X80 DT2,N1

 $\otimes$ 

٧/٧

ŧ



















VIII - 89





VIII - 91













VIII - 97

































## Appendix 8.5 Comparison of Voltage Profile of WASP and Bundled Conductor

A study on the voltage profile was conducted for low voltage feeders with WASP and Bundled conductors (AL95mm<sup>2</sup>, 120mm<sup>2</sup>). The line length of the feeder was 1,000m and load was unified –distributed along the line with the power factor of 0.7 and 1.0.

1. Study cases

Case A : WASP

Case B : MKT (Bundled Conductors of 95mm<sup>2</sup>)

Case C : LBAL120 (Bundled Conductors of 120mm<sup>2</sup>)

2. Conditions of Study

Current at sending end: 140A

Secondary terminal voltage of transformer : 1p.u.

Power factor of unified distributed load: 0.70, 1.00

The outgoing portion from the transformer was modeled as 15m, 185mm<sup>2</sup> underground cable.

## 3. Line Constant

| (1MVA base, %) |
|----------------|
|                |

| _ | Conductor | Resistance | Reactance |  |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
|   | WASP      | 181.786    | 159.149   |  |
| _ | MKT       | 215.45     | 60.232    |  |
| - | LBAL120   | 170.34     | 60.118    |  |

4. Results of the Study

The results are summarized in Figure A8.5-1 and A8.5-2. In case of 70% load power factor, voltage drops at the receiving end in Case A are larger than Case B. On the other hand, Case B turned out to be the worst in the case of 100% power factor. As shown in the line constant table, WASP has smaller resistance and larger reactance than those of Bundle 95mm<sup>2</sup>. Reactance voltage drops become larger as power factor becomes worse as shown in the low power factor case, while resistance voltage drops become smaller as power factor is improved.Power factor of load is improved by installation of a power factor for loss reduction and the difference in voltage reduction between bare and bundled conductor becomes smaller as shown in this study.



Figure 8.5-1: Results of Voltage Drop (PF=70%)

|                                   | Case A | Case B |  |
|-----------------------------------|--------|--------|--|
| drops at the middle of the feeder | 9.1%   | 7.3%   |  |

| Volt | tage drops at the middle of     | 9.1%               | /.3%               | 6.1%              |
|------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|      | the feeder                      |                    |                    |                   |
| Vo   | oltage drops at the end of      | 12.1%              | 9.6%               | 8.1%              |
|      | the feeder                      |                    |                    |                   |
| V    | Oltage at the end of the feeder | 210.58 V< 0.6 deg. | 216.61 V< 3.6 deg. | 220.18 V< 2.5deg. |

Figure 8.5-2: Results of Voltage Drop (PF=100%)



## Voltage Drops (PF=100%)

Case C

|         | Voltage Reduction           | Case A              | Case B              | Case C              |
|---------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Voltage | at the middle of the feeder | 6.7%                | 8.1%                | 6.4%                |
| Diop    | at the end of the feeder    | 8.8%                | 10.8%               | 8.5%                |
| Voltage | at the end of the feeder    | 218.47 V< -5.0 deg. | 213.74 V< -2.0 deg. | 219.12 V< -1.9 deg. |