CHAPTER 3 PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

3.1 Economic Evaluation

The economic evaluation is made for the components of Flood Control, Water Resources Development and Urban Drainage System Improvement by estimation of economic cost of the project and benefit which will be accrued as a result of project implementation.

3.1.1 Conditions of Evaluation

The economic evaluation is conducted in terms of the Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR), Benefit Cost Ratio (B/C) and Net Present Value (NPV) by using present values of economic cost and benefit of the Project under the following conditions and assumptions:

- (1) Transfer payments such as value added tax (equivalent to 10 % of market prices) are not included in the economic cost and benefit;
- (2) Standard conversion rate of 93.2 % is applied to equipment and materials procured locally, based on export and import statistics in recent years in Indonesia;
- (3) Shadow wages of unskilled laborers are taken as 90 % of their market prices, taking their employment opportunity into consideration;
- (4) Opportunity cost of land to be acquired for the Project is assumed to be 100 % of the market price based on the existing land use in the objective areas; and
- (5) Economic cost and benefit are taken no account of inflation.

Economic life of the Project (hereinafter referred to as the "project life") is taken as 50 years after completion of the construction works, and the benefit and O&M cost of the Project are assumed to accrue every year during the project life.

3.1.2 Economic Cost

Economic cost is estimated based on the above conditions for Flood Control, Water Resources Development and Urban Drainage System Improvement components.

(1) Economic Cost of Flood Control Component

The Flood Control Component consists of West Floodway/Garang River Improvement and the construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam. The economic

cost estimated based on the above conditions are summarized in the table below.

	West Floodway /Garang River	Jatibarang Dam allocated to F/C	Total
Economic Cost (Rp. million)	251,868	112,038	363,906

Note F/C: Flood Control Component

(2) Economic Cost of Water Resources Development

The component of Water Resources Development consists of the construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam and the economic cost of the component is summarized below.

The economic cost of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam allocated to Water Resources Development is Rp. 203,946 million.

(3) Economic Cost of Urban Drainage System Improvement

The economic Cost of the Urban Drainage System Improvement is estimated at Rp. 189,400 million.

3.1.3 Annual Operation, Maintenance and Replacement Cost

The economic operation, maintenance and replacement cost is estimated by work items as follow:

Flood Control Component

West Floodway /

Garang River Improvement

: Rp. 1,051 million

Jatibarang Dam Construction

: Rp. 1,288 million

Water Resources Development

Jatibarang Dam Construction

: Rp. 738 million

Urban Drainage System

Improvement

: Rp. 1,746 million

3.1.4 Economic Benefit

The economic benefit is divided into three (3) categories; (1) direct effect of reduction in the flood and inundation damages to assets, (2) reduction effect of flood damage to economic activities and public facilities, and (3) other socio-economic effects. Firstly, a flood and

inundation damage analysis is made to assets, which are composed of general assets (buildings and household effects). Next, the flood and inundation damages to public facilities and economic activities are estimated as a function of the flood and inundation damages to general assets.

As for the Flood Control Component, flood damages caused by 100-year probability are completely eliminated by the West Floodway/Garang River Improvement and the construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam. Therefore, the flood damages of 100-year probability of Rp. 93,746 million can be converted into the benefit of the project.

The benefit of Water Resources Development is the cost of raw water which will be sold to the Regional Corporation of Potable Water (PDAM). According to an information from PDAM, the raw water price has become at Rp. 318/m³ in 1997 from Rp. 218/m³ in 1992 with an increasing rate of 7.81 % per annum. Raw water will be able to use from the year 2005/06 just after the completion of the said Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam Construction Works. Therefore, an envisaged amount of raw water price of Rp. 1,330/m³ as of 2005/06 assuming to increase its price with the said past trend of 7.81 % per annum and the due consideration of the recent sharp inflation after 1998 is applied for estimation of the economic benefit on Water Resources Development.

According to the design criteria of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam Construction Works, the water volume of 1.46 m³/s will be newly developed for municipal water after reduction of the present intake and maintenance flow of the river. So PDAM might be saved the amount of Rp. 61,237 million per year (= Rp. 1,330/m³ x 1.46 m³/s x 60 second x 60 minutes x 24 hours x 365 days).

As for the Urban Drainage System Improvement, the annual average inundation damages are estimated at Rp. 46,290 million. The expected annual average of reduction by the designed facilities with the design scale of 5-year probability is estimated at Rp. 37,032 million on the condition that damage reduction factor is 0.8.

3.1.5 Economic Evaluation

The economic evaluation of the project in terms of the Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR), Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C), and Net Present Value (NPV) is made and the results are shown below.

(1) Estimate of EIRR, B/C and NPV

The economic evaluation for the project is made by comparing present values of economic cost and benefit using the annual flows of the cost and benefit as presented in Table 3.1. The results are summarized as below.

Component	Design Scale	EIRR	B/C	NPV
	(return period)	(%)		(Rp. 10 ⁶)
Flood Control	100-year	19.77	1.78	72,201
Water Resources Development		22.14	2.08	51,963
Jatibarang Dam Construction Works		18.53	1.66	58,938*
Hydropower Generation Works		11.66	0.97	-339
Drainage System Improvement	5-year	15.13	1.29	15,317
Overall Project		18.81	1.68	139,142

^{*} Note: Since the amount of NPV of Jatibarang Dam Construction Works is sum of the dam portion of Flood Control, Water Resources Development and Hydropower Generation Works components, Rp. 58,938 x 106 is excluded from the amount of Overall Project.

(2) Sensitivity Analysis

A sensitivity analysis for the above EIRR is made for the increase of the economic cost by 10, 20 and 30 %, and the decrease of the economic benefit by 10, 20 and 30 % respectively. The results of the analysis are tabulated in the table below.

	Change	EIRR for Flood Control (%)	EIRR for Water Resources Development (%)	EIRR for Urban Drainage (%)
Base	0%	19.77	22.14	15.13
Cost	+ 10 %	15.68	20.53	13.90
	+ 20 %	14.57	19.14	12.85
	+ 30 %	13.60	17.93	10.91
Benefit	- 10 %	15.55	20.37	13.87
	- 20 %	14.07	18.52	12.38
	- 30 %	12.53	16.58	10.93

3.1.6 Justification of the Project

The EIRR presented above shows that the Project is economically justifiable, because the opportunity cost of capital is estimated to be 10 to 12 % in Indonesia.

3.2 Environmental and Social Impacts

Environmental and social impacts were also reviewed and updated as to obtain the approval from the Central Committee of Environment (KOMPUS) of Indonesian government for the Project.

3.2.1 Environmental Impact Study

The Environmental Impact Study (ANDAL) was carried out in 1993 as part of the feasibility study on Flood Control and Water Resources Development Plan by the former JICA Study Team. However, the study results have to be reviewed in accordance with the new Government Regulation No. 51, 1993 regarding environmental impact assessment, and official approval of KOMPUS is required prior to project implementation. The ANDAL should provide analytical information on what environmental impact could be brought by the Project to the project area, and serve for the preparation of the Environmental Management Plan (RKL) and the Environmental Monitoring Plan (RPL).

(1) Environmental Impacts

(a) West Floodway/Garang River Improvement

So far neither house evacuation nor large-scaled land acquisition is required due to West Floodway/Garang River improvement. The river improvement works include demolition of existing Simongan Weir to be replaced by new one. This old structure constructed in Dutch colonial days deserves to be preserved as an historical monument for future generation. It is recommended that a part of the more than 100-year aged structure be exhibited at a museum. The museum is believed to be constructed in or around the Goa Kreo park.

Since all construction works are to be done within the right-of-way of the existing river course, the environmental impacts due to the improvement works of the river are limited to be negligible and no endangered flora and fauna were found.

(b) Construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam

The total required area for the construction of the dam and the reservoir is estimated at 150 ha of land which is presently used for paddy, upland, small plantation, woods and so on, and no people are living there. In consequence, no

house evacuation is required. According to the tax-related block map issued by Tax Office, there might be 340 land owners involved in the project. They live in four (4) different villages (Kelurahan) such as Kedungpane and Jatibarang on the left bank and Kandri and Jatirejo on the right bank.

It was clarified in the environmental impact assessment that the environmental impacts to the areas concerned due to the construction of the dam is limited to be negligible and there is no endangered species of flora and fauna in the dam site and reservoir areas.

(c) Urban Drainage System Improvement

Since the project area is fully developed as an urban area, there is no natural environment and no environmental impacts on flora and fauna are found. However, one particular thing was found through the environmental assessment that sediment in Semarang, Asin and Baru rivers which shall be dredged and dumped to a spoil bank contains some kind of heavy metals with significant amount. Therefore, dredged material shall be treated properly prior hauling the material to a spoil bank.

The treatment method to mix 7 % of cement with the dredged material is decided after a series of leaching test of the sediment which was conducted by the JICA Study Team.

(2) Environmental Management Plan

Based on the environmental impact study, the environmental management plan was formulated with a view of preventing and mitigating negative impacts as well as enhancing positive strategic impacts. The issues as enumerated below were discussed as basic approach to the establishment of a proper management plan. All fundamental issues on environmental management are summarized in Table 3.2.

(3) Environmental Monitoring Plan

The monitoring works has to be performed periodically for a certain period of time depending on the subject parameters. The impact sources vary according to the project stage. There are number of parameters to be monitored in each stage as tabulated below.

Project Stage	Parameter
(1) Pre-Construction	(a) Any issues arising from flood plain excavation
(2) Construction	(a) Noise level, dust content level, traffic congestion(b) River water quality(c) Sedimentation
	(d) Ground water level along West Floodway/Garang River(e) Aquatic biology (plant and biota)
	(a) Illegal use of dike and flood plain(b) Illegal sand and gravel mining at river bed(c) River water quality
(3) Post- Construction	 (d) Sedimentation by erosion (e) Ground water level along West Floodway/Garang River (f) Solid waste and refuse in the riverbank (g) Project effect and evaluation

Basically, there are four methods to collect relevant data and information: (1) field observation, (2) interview survey, (3) field measurement and (4) sample analysis. The monitoring method is decided in accordance with the subject matter.

Fundamental issues and methods on the monitoring plan are compiled and summarized in Table 3.3.

3.2.2 Social Impact

(1) Project Area

The project area of the West Floodway/Garang River Improvement, Construction of Jatibarang Dam and Urban Drainage System Improvement administratively belongs to Semarang City. Among the project areas mentioned above, the areas at the dam site and the reservoir and small areas on the right bank of West Floodway/Garang River near the river mouth and a pumping station and retarding ponds shall be acquired.

(2) Social Impact of each Project Component

(a) West Floodway/Garang River Improvement

Since the project area is limited to the area within the right-of-way of West Floodway/Garang River, 2.6 ha of land acquisition and two (2) units of house evacuation is necessary. Since only limited area of land acquisition and number of house evacuation are required, any serious social problems are not predicted through the pre-construction, construction and post-construction stages.

(b) Construction of Jatibarang Dam

150 ha of land acquisition is necessary to be acquired for the dam construction

and the reservoir area. The area is covered with paddy, forest, small upland farm and plantation and owned by about 340 owners. However, there is no houses to be evacuated existed in the project area. All of these land owners are living outside the project area and 62 % of them showed positive attitude for the land acquisition with reasonable monetary compensation, while only several % of them expressed negative answer. The rest of the people remain undecided.

(c) Urban Drainage System Improvement

Land acquisition of 4.7 ha is necessary for construction of Asin and Baru retarding ponds. The land is located in West Bandarharjo Area, where is designated as "the conservation area for flood retarding" in the land use plan of Semarang City. Presently the area is reclaimed and utilized for crop cultivation temporarily. As the land owner of the area is the Semarang Harbor Authority, it is necessary to transfer the ownership from the Harbor Authority to the urban drainage administrator, namely Semarang City (refer to Fig. 2.18).

House evacuation of 3 units is necessary for construction of Asin Pumping Station. Land acquisition for Asin Pumping Station is limited to 2.8 ha only. The results of an interview survey of the residents of three houses shows that they prefer cash compensation rather than the preparation of resettlement area for them. (refer to Fig. 2.18)

The land acquisition and house evacuation which are necessary for the project implementation is summarized below;

		Asin	Bandarharjo	Total
1		Pumping	Pumping	
1		Station	Station	
La	and Acquisition	2.8 ha	1.9 ha	4.7 ha
Ho	ouse Evacuation	3 units	0 unit	3 units

By the positive impacts of the project, the project will bring about benefit such as saving of human lives and assets, improvement of public health and enhancement of economic activities, which will compensate all negative impacts. With regard to the perception of the inhabitants who are affected by the construction of Asin Pumping Station, all three families agree to the Project with reasonable cash compensation.

CHAPTER 4 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

4.1 Implementing Method and Time Schedule

4.1.1 Executing System

(1) Executing Agency

The Directorate General of Rural Development for West Floodway/Garang River Improvement and Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam Construction, and Directorate General of Urban Development for Urban Drainage System Improvement, Ministry of Settlement and Regional Development are the government agency responsible for the execution of the Project. Actual executing agencies are entrusted to JRATUNSELUNA Project Office of Central Java Province for West Floodway / Garang River Improvement, Construction of Jatibarang Dam and Semarang Municipal Office for Urban Drainage System Improvement.

(2) Executing Method

The implementation of the Project is expected to be undertaken with the financial assistance by foreign countries or international funding agencies. Therefore, the procedure or the execution of the construction should be referred to the guideline of the foreign government or agencies as well as the laws and regulations of the Government of Indonesia for the procurement of consultants and contractors. In line with the above, the implementation schedule and the acquirement of project fund, which are discussed in the following Section, are prepared.

4.1.2 Procurement Method and Packaging

(1) Procurement Method

The construction works will be undertaken by contractors selected through the formal international tendering, and the engineering services consisting assistance of the responsible government agency for the supervision of the construction works will be conducted by a consultant selected by the Government.

(2) Contract Packaging

(a) West Floodway/Garang River Improvement

The Project consists of West Floodway/Garang River Improvement (9.607 km) including the reconstruction of Simongan Weir. The construction works will be divided into three (3) contractual packages for the implementation as tabulated below and delineated in Fig. 4.1.

Package	Project Component	Section No.	Construction Base Cost (Rp.x10 ⁶)	Ratio (%)
1	West Floodway/ Garang River Improvement	-0.628km~4.908km 5.086km~9.157km (9,607 m)	103,521	49.5
2	Reconstruction of Simongan Weir	4.908km~5.086km (178 m)	88,960	42.6
3	Reconstruction of Railway Bridge	3.700 km	16,514	7.9
Total		9,785m	208,995	100.0

Accordingly the contract is divided into the following three (3) packages:

Package 1: West Floodway / Garang River Improvement Works (Section - 0.628 km to +4.908 km and +5.086 km to +9.157 km)

The construction works include dredging riverbed of the low water channel, excavation of the flood plain, embankment of new dikes, revetments, raising and reinforcement of existing flood walls, construction of ground sills, groins, drainage sluices and some river front facilities.

Package 2: Reconstruction of Simongan Weir (Section +4.908 km to +5.086 km)

The existing fixed type Simongan Weir is to be demolished and a new gated weir is reconstructed at the same place so that floods can be discharged smoothly to downstream stretches without dam up in the upper stretches. During the construction works of the new weir, the water level in the upper stream side shall be maintained at the existing level. The construction works include demolition of the existing weir and intake structures on both banks, construction of a new gated weir with a maintenance bridge and new intake structures on both banks, revetment and management office compound. A part of the existing weir structure

will be preserved at a new museum which is to be constructed near the Goa Kreo park as a monument.

Package 3: Raising Railway Bridge (3.700 km)

Since the existing railway bridge does not have enough freeboard underneath the bridge girder, the existing girders will be raised by 70 cm and the raised girders are supported by new substructures.

(b) Construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam

This project consists of the dam body and its related structures including buildings for dam management and a pedestrian bridge to the Goa Kreo park. The construction works will be divided into two (2) construction packages as tabulated below and delineated in Fig. 4.2.

Package	Project Component	Structures	Construction Base Cost (Rp. x10 ⁶)	Ratio (%)
1	Dam Body and Its Related Structures	Dam, Spillway, Intake Facilities, Diversion Tunnel, Hydropower Station	328,588	98.8
2	Buildings and Pedestrian Bridge	Dam Management Office and other buildings, Pedestrian Bridge to Goa Kreo Park	3,945	1.2
Total			332,533	100.0

Accordingly the contract is divided into the following two (2) packages:

Package 1: Dam Body and Related Structures

This package includes construction of a dam body of rockfill type with center core, a spillway, a hydropower station including a substation and transmission line, outlet facilities installed in an outlet tunnel and a diversion tunnel with temporary cofferdam on the up and down stream sides.

Package 2: Dam Management Office Compound and Pedestrian Bridge

This package includes construction of dam management office compound which consists of a dam management office building, stuff houses, a mushola and a guest house and construction of a pedestrian bridge to the Goa Kreo park.

(c) Urban Drainage System Improvement

Packaging of the project has been determined taking into account the location and construction procedure of each work component as follows;

Package	Project Component	Construction Base Cost (Rp×10 ⁶)	Ratio (%)
1	Semarang River Improvement	19,308	12.8
2	Asin Drainage System Improvement	81.610	54.2
3	Bandarharjo Drainage System Improvement	49,613	33.0
total		150,531	100

The construction works involved in each package are as follows (refer to Fig. 4.3):

Package-1 Semarang River Improvement (L= 7,300 m)

Dredging	$V = 72,900 \text{ m}^3$
Dike Raising	L = 3,100 m
Inspection Road	$A = 66,000 \text{ m}^2$

Package-2 Asin Drainage System Improvement (L = 1,300 m)

Dredging	$V = 75,100 \text{ m}^3$
Semarang River Relocation	L = 300 m
Box Culvert ($W = 4$, $H = 2$ m)	L = 200m
Pumping Station ($Q = 9.0 \text{ m}3/\text{s}$)	1 unit
Retarding Pond	A = 1.6 ha

Package-3 Bandarhajo Drainage System Improvement (L = 800m)

Dredging	V = 35,000 m
Box culvert ($W = 2 \text{ m}$, $H = 2 \text{ m}$)	L = 600 m
Secondary Channels	L = 1,500 m
Pumping Station (Q=4.6 m3/s)	1 unit
Retarding Pond	A = 0.8 ha
Additional Dike Construction	L = 10,000 m

4.1.3 Implementation Schedule

(1) Construction Schedule

(a) West Floodway/Garang Rive Improvement

The construction works of Packages 1 to 3 are scheduled to be commenced in the year 2001 and 2002, namely the Improvement of West Floodway and the reconstruction of Simongan Weir will be commenced in the year 2001 and the Improvement of Garang River will be commenced in the year 2002. All works will be completed until the end of the year 2003. The detailed implementation schedule is prepared as shown in Fig. 4.4.

(b) Construction of Jatibarang Dam

The construction works of Packages 1 and 2 are scheduled to be commenced in the year 2001 and 2003, namely Package 1 will be commenced in the year 2001 and Package 2 will be commenced in the year 2002. All works will be completed at the end of 2004, The detailed implementation schedule is prepared as shown in Fig. 4.5.

(c) Urban Drainage System Improvement

The construction works of Packages 1 to 3 are scheduled to be commenced in the year 2001, namely the Semarang River Improvement will be commenced at the end of 2002 and be completed at the end of the year 2003, while the construction of Asin and Baru Pump Drainage Areas will be commenced from the beginning of 2001 and be completed until the end of 2004. The detailed implementation schedule is prepared as shown in Fig. 4.6.

(2) Overall Schedule

The implementation schedule is prepared aiming at a prompt implementation of the construction works of the Project in order to protect growing properties from flood damage and to improve the environmental conditions along West Floodway / Garang River and the central area of Semarang City. The implementation period of the major work items is estimated as shown in the table below.

Major Work Items	Period
Construction Works	
1. West Floodway / Garang River Improvement	
Package 1: Improvement of West Floodway	Apr. 2001 to Nov. 2003
Package 2: Reconstruction of Simongan Weir	Feb. 2001 to Oct. 2003
Package 3: Improvement of Garang River	Apr. 2001 to Oct. 2002
2. Construction of Jatibarang Dam	
Package 1: Dam Body and other Structures	Apr.2001 to Dec. 2004
Package 2 : Dam Management Office	Apr.2002 to Apr. 2004
Compound and Pedestrian Bridge	
3. Urban Drainage System Improvement	
Package 1 : Semarang Rive Improvement	Jan. 2002 to Oct. 2003
Package 2 : Asin Pump Drainage Area	Jan. 2001 to Apr. 2004
Package 3 : Baru Pump Drainage Area	Jan. 2001 to Apr. 2004

4.1.4 Financing

The total project cost is estimated as shown in the table below.

C	FC	LC	Tc	otal
Component	(Rp. million)	(Rp. million)	(Rp. million)	(Yen million)
West Floodway / Garang River	160,309	160,961	321,270	5,320
Construction of Jatibarang Dam	283,016	295,278	578,294	9,576
Urban Drainage System Improvement	110,326	129,316	239,642	3,968
Total	553,651	585,555	1,139,206	18,864

The eligible cost of financial agencies among the project cost is estimated by excluding the non-eligible cost items.

Where, the non-eligible costs are considered for the following categories, but they could be included in the total project cost:

- Land acquisition;
- Compensation
- Taxes and duties as well as government administration cost; and
- Interest during the construction period.

The breakdown of the total project cost and eligible costs are presented in the table below:

CI	West Floo Garang I Improve	River		ction of arang cose Dam		Orainage provement
Cost Item	Project Cost (Rp. 10 ⁶)	Eligible Cost (Rp.10 ⁶)	Project Cost (Rp.10 ⁶)	Eligible Cost (Rp.10 ⁶)	Project Cost (Rp.10 ⁶)	Eligible Cost (Rp.10 ⁶)
1. Construction Base Cost	208,995	208,995	332,533	332,533	150,531	150,531
Engineering Service Cost	18,170	18,170	33,372	33,372	12,019	12,019
3. Compensation Cost	710	0	13,500	0	4,793	0
4. Administration Cost	14,679	0	24,222	0	10,873	0
5. Physical Contingency	13,673	13,630	37,940	36,590	10,041	9,753
5.1 for Construction Base Cost	12,540	12,540	33,253	33,253	9,032	9,032
5.2 for Engineering Service Cost	- 1,090	1,090	3,337	3,337	721	721
5.3 for Compensation Cost	43	0	1,350	0	288	0
6. Price Contingency	37,489	34,749	88,509	79,685	31,302	28,532
6.1 for Construction Base Cost	33,841	33,841	78,272	78,272	27,949	27,949
6.2 for Engineering Service Cost	907	907	1,413	1,413	583	583
6.3 for Compensation Cost	469	0	3,613	. 0	845	0
6.4 for Administration Cost	2,271	. 0,	5,211	0	1,925	. 0
Sub Total	293,716	275,544	530,076	482,180	219,559	200,835
7. Value Added Tax	27,554	0	48,218	- 0	20,083	0
Total	321,270	275,544	578,294	482,180	239,642	200,835

The total eligible cost is Rp. 958,559 million (US\$ 139,224 thousand or Yen 15,873 million) which consists of Rp. 275,544 million (US\$ 40,021 thousand or Yen 4,563 million) for West Floodway Improvement, Rp. 482,180 million (US\$ 70,033 thousand or Yen 7,984 million) for Construction of Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam and Rp. 200,835 million (US\$ 29,170 thousand or Yen 3,326 million) for Urban Drainage System Improvement.

4.2 Engineering Service

4.2.1 Objective and Scope of Works

The objective of the consulting engineering services is to assist the Project Office and the Ministry of Settlement and Regional Development (KIMBANGWIL) in Pre-qualification, tendering and construction supervision for the successful implementation of the Project.

The specific scope of the engineering services covers the following activities:

(1) Pre-construction Stage

- Review the design drawings, cost estimate and prequalification and tender documents, and amend thereof, if any;
- · Appraise the prequalification documents received from the candidates for

tender;

- Attend the pre-tender conference at the KIMBANGWIL, and the site explanation to tenderers; and
- Attend the tender opening, and conduct the evaluation together with preparing the tender evaluation report.

(2) Construction Stage

(a) Construction Supervision

The Consultant shall supervise the construction works and assist the Project Office of KIMBANGWIL in conducting the following undertakings:

- Review and endorse all proposed plans, schedule and documents related to the project implementation and construction works submitted by the Contractor for approval;
- Check to ensure the Contractor adherence to its plan and schedule approved;
- Check and endorse the designs and design calculations prepared by the Contractor;
- Check and inspect the work quality and quantity executed by the Contractor;
- Supervise additional field investigations when required;
- Advise on purchasing schedule and quantity of construction materials such as explosives, steel, cement, etc., to provide the license to the Contractor;
- Advise on method of measurement and computation of the work volume and assisting verification of progress;
- Carrying out factory inspection on manufacturing equipment and materials, when necessary;
- Prepare the reports of inspection, tests and other activities :
- Sign the request of progress payment from the Contractor; and
- Supervise and approve as-built drawing to be prepared and submitted by the Contractor.

(b) Design Modification

The Consultant shall make revision and adjustment of design from time to time when they become necessary due to findings in the field or by comments from the KIMBANGWIL.

(c) Operation and Maintenance Manual

The Consultant shall prepare the system of operation and maintenance of the project facilities, compile the operation and maintenance manuals prepared by the Contractor and prepare the composite operation and maintenance manual of the project facilities.

(3) Transfer of Knowledge

Throughout the execution of the services, the Consultant should make a full effort to transfer his knowledge and skill to the Indonesian Government personnel through both on-the-job training and overseas training.

- On-the-job training includes the project management for the project implementation, the engineering practice for design and construction supervision including construction method, and operation and maintenance method/procedure for the Project.
- Overseas training includes lectures and practice on the engineering aspects of the similar projects covering the planning, designing and construction supervision method, and the observation visit to the similar project construction sites.

4.2.2 Procurement Method of Consultant

The Consultant to undertake the construction supervision for the Project is recommended to be procured through the direct appointment with the consulting firm which has undertook the detailed design of the Project in order to attain a smooth implementation of the Project.

TABLES

en e	
	•
그는 지방에는 말한 아이를 가는 물로를 하면 모양을 제공한다고 말한 것 같은 물을 가내고를 만했다.	
는 보다 되었다. 그는 그 사람들이 있는 그의 유럽 그 사람들이 가입니다. 그런 그런 그는 그는 그를 보고 하는데 다음이다. - 1990년 1일	
그렇게 하는 그는 사람들이 가는 아름이 가는 사람들이 가는 사람들이 가는 것이 되었다.	
그리 한글씨는 한 주말이 살아보는 것이 하는데	
그리다 보내는 내는 다음을 살아왔다. 그리는 일이 그는 나는 사람들은 그리다 그들은 그리는 이 모든 것이다.	- 1 V
그렇게 많은 이렇게 되었다. 이렇게 하는 것이 없는 것이 없다.	
그 날씨는 바람이 불다 하면 못한다고 나가 하셨다. 그는 때문에 가는 하는 사람들은 수 있다는 것은 것이다.	
그들은 말은 하는 그들이 그리는 하고를 하고를 보는 것이 되는 것은 사람들이 되었다는 것을 만든다는 것도 없는데	
그들은 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 그리고 있는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	
그는 문화, 하면 얼굴을 하는 것이 되었다. 그들은 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	
그 하면 돌아가는 살통하는 일이 있다. 나는 얼마나 하는 것은 사람들이 나는 사람들이 되었다. 나는 것은 것은 사람들이 되었다. 그는 것은	14 5 V 14 C
는 사용하는 사용 전에 발표하는 것이 많은 사용 전에 있다. 사람들은 그리고 있는 사용을 보고 있는 것을 받은 것은 사용을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것이다. 	
는 있는 것이 들어 가는 이렇게 되었는데 마음이상 (1955년) 전에 되는 것이 되는 것이 되는데 되는데 되었는데 말이 되는데 되었다. 그렇게 되었는데 말이 되었다. - 그 이렇게 하는데 되어 되어 말을 하는데 되었는데 하는데 되었는데 그리고 되었는데 그리고 되었는데 되었다. 그 그리고 말이 되었다. 그 것이 되었다. 그리고 말이 되었는데 되었는데 되었다. 그리고	
는 사용하는 것이 있는 것이 되었다. 이 사용에 가장 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 사용하는 것이 되었다. 그런 그런 것이 되었다. 그런 것이 되었다. 	
는 사람들이 되었다. 이 사람들은 사람들이 되었다. 이 사람들은 사람들에 들어 들었다. 이 전에 되고 있는 모양이라고 하는 사람들이 얼마나 되었다. 그 사람들이 되었다. 	
그 보는 그들 경기 사람들이 못하는 말을 하는 것이 하는 사람들은 사람들이 가장 하는 것이 되었다. 그렇게 하는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이 없다.	
그런 사람이 많은 사람들은 아이들은 사람들이 가장 사람들이 가장 하는데	21 21
는 사람들은 하다. 그리고 있는 것이 되었다는 사람이 되었다는 사람들은 한 사용이 되었다는 것이 없는 것이 되었다. 그런 것이 되었다는 것이 없는 것이 없는 것이다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사용이 있는 것이 되었다는 하나는 것이 되는 것이 되었다면 하는 것이 되었다는 것이 되었다는 것이 되었다.	s, si Li
그렇게 하는 것은 살림을 만들어가 하는 것은 사람들이 되었다. 사람들 보고 있는 하는 사람들이 가득하고 있는 것을 받는 것이다.	23
는 사람이 있는 생각이 많아 된 것 같아. 하는 것도 50분이라고 있는데 되었는데 한 사람들은 하는데 보고 있는데 10분을 하는데 보고 있다. 그는데 10분을 되었다면 하는데 10분을 보고 있다. 	
	iA Si
는 사람이 하는 것이 되는 것이 되었다. 이 등에 함께 함께 되는 것이 되는 것이 되었다. 그런 사람들이 되었다. 그런 사람들이 되었다. 그런 사람들이 함께 함께 함께 함께 함께 함께 함께 함께 함 	
는 사람들은 하는 것이 되었다. 그리고 소설되는 사람들은 사용을 하는 경험을 하는 것이 되었다. 전환	Å. 14.
는 사람들이 되었다. 그리고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 	d.
는 보는 사람들은 사람들이 있는 것이 되었습니다. 그런 사람들이 가장 가장 하는 것이 되었습니다. 그런 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들이 사람들이 되었다. 	ξ.
	ir A
	á.

Table 2.1 CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA AT BMG-SEMARANG STATION

Element	Unit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr May	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Total (/year)	Mean	Data Period
Monthly Rainfall	mm/mon	434	292	274	201	178	100	73	<i>L</i> 9	92	154	228	285	2378	198.2	1968-1996
Pan Evaporation	mm/day	3.3	3.7	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.5	8.4	5.1	5.7	5.5	4.	3.8	1610	4.	1978-1996
Average Temperature	deg. C	26.4	26.4	26.8	27.6	27.9	27.4	27.1	27.2	27.8	28.3	27.8	27.0		27.3	1968-1996
Highest Temperature	deg. C	29.9	30.0	30.4	31.7	32.5	32.4	32.6	33.2	33.7	33.7	32.3	30.8		31.9	- do
Lowest Temperature	deg. C	23.5	23.6	23.9	24.3	24.2	23.2	22.8	22.7	23.0	23.6	23.7	23.7		23.5	- do
Relative Humidity	8	84.4	84.1	83.8	79.8	77.2	74.6	72.2	70.7	70.1	71.7	77.3	81.5	.*	77.3	- do
Rainy Days	days	22	18	18	15	11	7	9	ς.	∞	Ξ	16	19	156	13.0	- op -
Sunshine Duration	82	38	46	52	29	\$	65	75	81	74	70	26	46		9.09	- do
Wind Velocity	m/s	2.0	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6		1.8	- do -

Source: Badan Meteorologi dan Geofisika, Stasiun Klimatologi Semarang

Table 2.2 ANNUAL MAXIMUM DISCHARGE AT SIMONGAN WEIR

	I	Data	Head	Center Portion	Side Portion	Discharge
Year	Date	max. H	h=H-5.6	$Q1 (m^3/s)$	$Q2 (m^3/s)$	Q=Q1+Q2
		(m)	(m)	=1.57*64.6m*h^1.5	=1.8*10.4m*h^1.5	(m^3/s)
1961		7.9	2.3	353.8	65.3	419
1962		7.3	1.7	224.8	41.5	266
1963		9.4	3.8	751.3	138.7	890
1964		6.9	1.3	150.3	27.7	178
1965		7.4	1.8	244.9	45.2	290
1966		· x	x	x	x	x
1967		х	х	x	x	x
1968		6.6	1.0	101.4	18.7	120
1969		7.1	1.5	186.3	34.4	221
1970		7.0	1.4	168.0	31.0	199
1971		7.0	1.4	168.0	31.0	199
1972	·	6.9	1.3	150.3	27.7	178
1973		6.9	1.3	150.3	27.7	178
1974		7.8	2.2	331.0	61.1	392
1975		6.9	1.3	150.3	27.7	178
1976		7.9	2.3	353.8	65.3	419
1977		7.5	1.9	265.6	49.0	315
1978		7.5	1.9	265.6	49.0	315
1979		7.2	1.6	205.3	37.9	243
1980		6.7	1.1	117.0	21.6	139
1981		8.1	2.5	400.9	74.0	475
1982		7.7	2.1	308.6	57.0	366
1983		7.4	1.8	244.9	45.2	290
1984		7.3	1.7	224.8	41.5	266
1985		8.2	2.6	425.2	78.5	504
1986		7.4	1.8	244.9	45.2	290
1987	Dec.21	7.70	2.1	308.6	57.0	366
1988	Mar.25	7.80	2.2	331.0	61.1	392
1989	Feb.05	7.60	2.0	286.9	52.9	340
1990	Jan.26	9.40	3.8	751.3	138.7	890
1991	Jan.09	8.25	2.7	437.5	80.8	518
1992	Jan.09	8.05	2.5	1	71.8	461
1993	Jan.30	9.10	3.5	1	122.6	787
1994	Mar.11	7.50	1.9	•	49.0	315
1995	Nov.29	7.65	2.1	297.7	54.9	353
1996	Dec.02	7.90	2.3	353.8	65.3	419

Note: Max. H means annual maximum water level by watching at site.

Water level data were given by RANTING DINAS (DOLOG PENGGARON)

Gates at side portions are closed even at flood time.

Table 2.3 AREA AND POPULATION IN INDONESIA

	. !		Population		Hous	Households (HHs)	Hs)	Family s	Family size (persons/HH)	ons/HH)	Ant	Annual average	Se	Population
Province	Area	1980	1990	1996	1980	1990	1996				Src	growth rate of	j.	density
	(km²)	(persons	(persons	(persons	(HHs in	(HHs in	(HHs in	1980	1990	1996	pop	population (%)	(9)	as of 1996
	. : :	in 1,000)	in 1,000)	in 1,000)	1,000	1,000)	1,000)				0608.	96,-06,	96,-08,	persons/km ²)
Sumatra island	482,393	28,016	36,502	41,841	5,375	7,474	9,201	5.21	4.88	4.55	2.68%	2.30%	2.54%	87
Dista Aceh	55,390	2,611	3,416	3,945	531	269	832	4.92	4.90	4.74	2.72%	2.43%	2.61%	71
Sumatera Utara	71,680	8,361	10,252	11,306	1,548	2,023	2,367	5.40	2.03	4.78	2.06%	1.64%	1.90%	158
Sematera Barat	42,898	3,407	4,000	4.390	\$	898	1,004	4.84	4.61	4.37	1.62%	1.56%	1.60%	102
Riau	94,561	2,168	3,304	4,057	413	629	923	5.25	4.87	4.40	4.30%	3.48%	3 99%	43
Jambi	53,436	1,446	2,020	2,459	300	438	577	4.82	4.61	4.26	3.40%	3.33%	3.37%	46
Sematera Selatan	109,254	4,630	6,313	7,413	857	1,266	1,631	5.40	4.99	4.55	3.15%	2.71%	2.99%	89
Bengkulu	19,789	768	1,179	1,464	150	252	343	5.12	4.68	4.27	4.38%	3.68%	4,12%	74
Lampung	35,385	4,625	6,018	908.9	872	1,251	1,525	5.30	4.81	4.46	2.67%	2.07%	2.44%	192
Jawa island	127,499	91,270	107,581	116,379	19,623	24,908	28,268	4.65	4.32	4.12	1.66%	1.32%	1.53%	613
DKI Jakarta	6	6,503	8,259	9,341	1,164	1,740	2,117	5.59	4.75	4.41	2.42%	2.07%	2.29%	14,068
Jawa Barat	43,177	27,454	35,384	40,118	6,101	8,180	9,575	4.50	4.33	4.19	2.57%	2.11%	2.40%	929
Jawa Tengah	32,549	25,373	28,521	29,881	5,286	6,414	7,077	4.80	4.45	4.22	1.18%	0.78%	1.03%	816
Di Yogyakarta	3,186	2,751	2,913	2,915	593	729	269	4.64	4.00	3.79	0.57%	0.01%	0.36%	915
Jawa Timur	47,923	29,189	32,504	34.124	6.479	7.845	8,730	4.51	4.14	3.91	1.08%	0.81%	0.98%	712
Nusa Tengara islands	87,744	8,487	10,165	11,133	1,684	2,136	2,480	5.04	4.76	4.49	1.82%	1.53%	1.71%	127
Bali	5,633	2,470	2,778	2,924	485	601	707	5 09	4.62	4.15	1.18%	0.86%	1.06%	519
Nusa Tengara Barat	20,153	2,725	3,370	3,708	594	191	883	4.59	4.39	4.20	2.15%	1.60%	1.94%	184
Nusa Tengara Timur	47,349	2,737	3,269	3,641	496	619	715	5.52	5.28	5.10	1.79%	1.81%	1.80%	77
Timor Timur	14,609	555	748	098	109	149	178	5.09	5.02	4.83	3.03%	2.35%	2.77%	59
Kalimantan island	547,891	6,723	660'6	10,808	1,323	1,942	2,533	5.08	4.69	4.27	3.07%	2.91%	3.01%	20
Kalimantan Barat	146.807	2,486	3,229	3,732	458	640	795	5.43	5.05	4.69	2.65%	2.44%	2.57%	25
Kalimantan Tengah	153,564	954	1,396	1,686	186	306	408	5.13	4.56	4 13	3.88%	3.19%	3.62%	11
Kalimantan Selatan	36,535	2,065	2,597	2,960	44	597	748	4.65	4.35	3.96	2.32%	2.21%	2.28%	81
Kalimantan Timur	210,985	1,218	1,877	2,429	235	399	581	5.18	4.70	4.18	4.42%	4.39%	4.41%	12
Sulawesi island	191,800	10,409	12,521	14,020	1,923	2,558	3,018	5.41	4,89	4.64	1.86%	1.90%	1.88%	73
Sulawesi Utara	27,488	2,115	2,478	2,686	399	549	637	5.30	4.51	4.22	1.60%	1.35%	1.51%	86
Sulawesi Tengah	63.689	1,290	1,711	1.997	233	347	438	5.54	4.93	4.56	2.86%	2.61%	2.77%	31
Sulawesi Selatan	62,483	6,062	6,982	7,693	1,117	1,399	1,605	5 43	4.99	4.79	1.42%	1.63%	1.50%	123
Selawesi Tenggara	38,140	945	1,350	1,643	174	263	339	5.41	5.13	4.84	3.66%	3.33%	3.54%	43
Maluku and Irian Jaya	499,852	2,585	3,507	4,163	445	213	906	5.81	5.18	4.62	3.10%	2.90%	3.02%	œ
Maluku	77,871	1,411	1,858	2,142	229	34	435	6.16	5.40	4.92	2.79%	2.40%	2.64%	28
Irian Jaya	421,981	1,174	1,649	2,021	216	333	465	5.44	4.95	4.35	3.46%	3.45%	3.45%	S
Total in Indonesia	1,937,179	147,490	179,375	198,343	30,373	39,695	46,401	4.86	4.52	4.27	1.98%	1.69%	1.87%	102
Source : Indonesia in Figures (Indonesia	es (Indonesi	a Dalam Angka) 1996	عـ، ا	Central Statistic Bureau of Indonesia	tic Bureau	of Indone	sia.	:						

Table 2.4 AREA AND POPULATION IN CENTRAL JAVA

			Population		Households (HHs)	ds (HHs)	Family size	Family size (persons/HH)		Annual average	oge.	Population
Kabupaten/Kotamadya	Area	1980	1990	1995*	1990	1995			!	growth rate of	ōţ	density
(Regency/Municipality)	(Rcm ²)	(persons	(persons	(persons	(HHs in	(HHs in	1990	1995		population(%)	%)	in 1995
		n 1,000)	in 1,000)	in 1,000)	1,000)	1,000)			06,-08,	.90-95	.8095	(persons/km²)
Kabupaten(Regency)		:			**********		-					
Cilacap	2,143	1,344	1,459	1,535	326	348	4.48	4.41	0.82%	1.02%	0.89%	716
Banyumas	1,328	1,228	1,351	1,402	304	317	4 44	4.42	0.96%	0.74%	0.89%	1,055
Purbalingga	778	67.1	756	786	159	165	4.75	4.76	1.20%	0.78%	1.06%	1,010
Banjamegara	1,070	678	773	808	178	176	4.34	4.59	1 32%	0.89%	1.17%	755
Керител	1,283	1,038	1,151	1,182	320	244	3.60	4.84	1.04%	0.53%	0.87%	922
Purworeio	1,035	694	724	733	158	165	4.58	4.43	0.42%	0.26%	0.36%	709
Wonosobo	985	109	671	705	140	146	4.79	4.82	1.12%	0.99%	1.07%	716
Magelang	1.086	935	1,017	1,038	235	238	4.32	4.36	0.84%	0.41%	0.70%	956
Bovolali	1.015	786	870	888	161 :	199	4.55	4.47	1.03%	0.40%	0.82%	875
Klaten	929	1,086	1,180	1,204	250	257	4.72	4.69	0.83%	0.41%	0.69%	1,836
Sukohario	467	605	969	727	140	159	4.96	4.56	1.41%	0.89%	1.24%	1,558
Wonogiri	1.822	953	1,026	1,050	203	213	5.06	4.93	0.74%	0.47%	0.65%	577
Kalanganyar	772	610	707	744	138	162	5.11	4.59	1.49%	1.01%	1.33%	963
Sragen	946	765	845	863	180	61	4.69	4.55	1.00%	0.43%	0.81%	912
Grobogan	1.976	1,020	1,176	1,242	262	300	4.49	4.14	1.44%	1.09%	1.32%	629
Blora	1.794	869	757	788	179	. 185	4.24	4.26	0.82%	0.79%	0.81%	439
Rembang	1,014	443	511	526	115	120	4.43	4.38	1 44%	0.56%	1.15%	518
Pati	1,491	971	1,070	1,110	259	275	4.13	4.03	0.97%	0.74%	0.89%	744
Kudus	425	537	610	635	127	141	4.80	4.51	1.27%	0.83%	1.13%	1,495
Jepara	1,004	701	176	827	190	203	4.08	4.08	1.03%	1.28%	1.11%	824
Demak	897	645	807	824	187	199	4.32	4.29	2.27%	1.15%	1.90%	953
Semarang	982	709	772	763	170	174	4.54	4.38	0.86%	-0.23%	0.50%	777
Temanggung	870	558	909	632	128	136	4.73	4.65	0.84%	0.82%	0.83%	726
Kendai	1,002	701	789	819	181	186	4.35	4.40	1.20%	0.74%	1.04%	817
Batang	789	531	293	919	130	135	4.57	4.58	1.10%	0.79%	1.00%	781
Pekalongan	836	653	701	734	142	146	4.93	20.5	0.72%	0.92%	0.78%	878
Pemalang	1,012	949	1,085	1,147	217	237	5.00	4.83	1.34%	1.13%	1.27%	1,134
Tegal	880	1,103	1,242	1,268	263	272	4.72	4.67	1.19%	0.43%	0.94%	1,441
Brebes	1.658	1,267	1,529	1,546	334	342	4.57	4.52	1.90%	0.23%	1.34%	933
Kotamdya (Municipality)									-			
Magelang	18	123	117	115	26	92	4.54	4.44	-0.47%	-0.36%	-0.43%	6,406
Surakarta	4	459	517	528	113	116	4.58	4.57	1.19%	0.44%	0.94%	12,010
Salatiga	18	80	98	143	28	93	4.92	4.76	0.80%	10.59%	3.97%	7,948
Semarang	374	966	1,147	1,221	251	274	4.58	4.46	1.42%	1.26%	1.37%	3,264
Pekalongan	45	133	235	242	48	. 51	4.87	4.78	5.89%	0.57%	4.08%	5,370
Tegal	34	132	230	230	48	49	4.82	4.67	5.69%	0.04%	3.77%	6,770
Total	32,549	25,402	28,582	29,653	6,311	6,576	4.53	4.51	1.19%	0.74%	1.04%	911
	T	4	01 (-1-1)	1001 00	1001 000	7007	Charles Office	- f Onnature	Tair Daying			

Source: Central Java in Figures (Jawa Tengah Dalam Angka) 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, and 1996, Statistic Office of Central Java Province. (Note) * Modified the data from the Central Java in Figures based on the Statistical Year Book of Indonesia.

Table 2.5 AREA AND POPULATION IN SEMARANG CITY

			Population (persons)	(persons)			Households (HHs)	ds (HHs)		Family	Family size (persons/HH)	ons/HH)	Annual average	Population
Kecamatan (district)	Area												growth rate of	density
	(km ²)	1985	1990	19931)	1996	1985	1990	19931)	1996	1985	1990	1993 1996	population(%)	in 1996
	,												96,-86.	(persons/km ²)
Mijen	57.55	35,364	37,276	32,767	35,726	7,595	5,919	7,954	8,527	4.66	6.30 4.12	12 4.19	2.92%	621
Gunungpati	52.63	38,185	43,946	48,591	54,237	7,862	10,797	11,378	12,907	4.86	4.07 4.27	27 4.20	3.73%	1,031
Semarang Selatan	5.92	196,660	204,491	79,743	79,138	37,852	41,036	17,344	18,076	5.20	4.98 4.0	4.60 4.38	-0.25%	13,368
Banyumanik	27.73	0	0	81,561	93,681			19,025	20,713	:	4	4.29 4.52	4.73%	3,378
Gajahmungkur	10.78	0	0	52,711	54,625			10,941	11,506		4.82	32 4.75	1.20%	5,067
Genuk	27.39	85,417	137,504	48,631	55,872	18,092	31,622	10,777	12,034	4.72	4.35 4.51	51 4.64	4.74%	2,040
Pedurungan	20.72	0	0	98,134	117,770			22,852	27,594		4.29	29 4.27	6.27%	5,684
Gayamsari	5.26	0	0	54,355	61,182			12,327	13,509		4.41	11: 4.53	4.02%	11,632
Semarang Timur	7.12	205,428	201,280	96,260	88,413	46,573	43,162	20,848	20,153	4.41	4.66 4.62	52 4.39	-2.79%	12,414
Candisari	6.80	0	0	76,006	76,640			15,780	16,521		4.82	32 4.64	0.28%	11,271
Tembalang	44.20	0	0	79,148	85,402			16,646	18,386		4.75	75 4.64	2.57%	1,932
Semarang Utara	10.97	169,330	152,457	122,705	129,299	34,366	35,182	27,090	27,816	4.93	4.33 4.53	53 4.65	1.76%	11,787
Semarang Tengah	5.14	72,473	62,756	84,652	81,283	14,669	13,048	20,030	19,247	4.94	4.81 4.23	23 4.22	-1.34%	15,814
Semarang Barat	19.96	248,254	251.707	132,754	139,189	49,876	53,656	28,083	29,519	4.98	4.69 4.73	73 4.72	1.59%	6,973
Ngaliyan	39.97	0	0	68,917	76,753			15,811	16,862		4.	.36 4.55	3.65%	1,920
Tugu	29.38	45,160	55,514	20,627	22,635	8,608	12,663	4,886	5,002	5.25	4.38 4.22	22 4.53	3.14%	770
Total	371.52	1,096,271	371.52 1,096,271 1,146,931 1,177,562	1	1,251,845	225,493	247,085	261,772	278,372	4.86	4.64 4.50	50 4.50	2.06%	3,370
Man 11 The administration uniterbalancing to cause of dietricte had hear revised at the end of 1007	ion unite	helonging to	Seylaral diet	ricte had hee	n revised at	the end of	1007 500	the new ac	So the new administration evetem is used since 1993	n system	is need ei	nce 1993		

⁽Note) 1) The administration units belonging to several districts had been revised at the end of 1992. So the new administration system is used since 1993.

Data Monografi Kotamadya Dati II Semarang 1996, BAPPEDA Kotamadya Dati II Semarang.

^{-:} Lack of data.

n.a.:Not available.

Source: Semarang City in Figures (Kotamadya Semarang Dalam Angka) 1985, 1990, 1993, and 1996, Statistic Office of Semarang City.

Table 2.6 GROSS DOMESTIC PRODUCT IN INDONESIA

A. Gross Domestic Product (GDP)								$(Rp.10^9)$
				Annual	: .			Annual
Industry of origin	GDP	at curren	t price	average	GDP at 1	993-cons	tant price	average
moustry of origin	10.	18 1		growth				growth
	1994 ¹⁾	1995 ²⁾	1996 ²⁾	ratio(%)	1994 ¹⁾	1995 ²⁾	1996 ²⁾	ratio(%)
Agriculture, livestock & fisheries	66,072	77,896	88,041	15.43%	59,291	61,885	63,743	3.69%
Mining & quarrying	33,507	40,195	45,916	17.06%	33,262	35,502	37,569	6.28%
Crude petroleum and natural gas	23,070	25,410	28,120	10.40%	23,720	23,720	24,063	0.72%
Others	10,437	14,785	17,796	30.58%	9,542	11,782	13,506	18.97%
Manufacturing	89,241	109,669	135,581	23.26%	82,649	91,537	102,260	11.23%
Oil and gas manufacturing	10,439	11,399	14,194	16.61%	10,269	9,782	10,864	2.86%
Petroleum refinery	5,855	6,599	8,340	19.35%	5,548	5,392	6,292	6.49%
Liquified natural gas	4,584	4,800	5,854	13.01%	4,721	4,390	4,572	-1.59%
Others	78,802	98,270	121,387	24.11%	72,380	81,755	91,396	12.37%
Electricity, gas & water	4,577	5,655	6,594	20.03%	3,703	4,292	4,841	14.34%
Construction	28,017	34,452	42,025	22.47%	25,858	29,198	32,924	12.84%
Wholesale & retail trade, restaurants & hotels	63,859	75,640	88,878	17.97%	59,504	64,231	69,372	7.97%
Transport & communication	27,353	30,795	34,926	13.00%	25,189	27,329	29,701	8.59%
Banking, insurance & real estate	34,506	39,510	44,371	13.40%	30,901	34,313	37,401	10.02%
Public services	22,755	26,555	29,753	14.35%	22,752	23,046	23,338	1.28%
Private services	12,335	14,127	16,545	15.81%	11,533	12,360	13,272	7.27%
GDP in total	382,222	454,494	532,630	18.05%	354,642	383,693	414,421	8.10%
GDP per capita (Rp.10 ³)	1,988	2,327	2,685	16.21%	1,845	1,965	2,089	6.42%
Population(10 ³)	192,217	195,283	198,343	1.58%	192,217	195,283	198,343	1.58%

B. Share Rate of Gross Domestic Product (% of GDP)

			1.74.4	Annual	1. 3	a e e	- 4	Annua
Industry of origin	GDP	at current	price	average	GDP at 1	993-const	ant price	average
industry of origin			s (1)	growth				growth
	1994	1995	1996	ratio(%)	1994	1995	1996	ratio(%)
Agriculture, livestock & fisheries	17.29%	17.14%	16.53%	-2.21%	16.72%	16.13%	15.38%	-4.08%
Mining & quarrying	8.77%	8.84%	8.62%	-0.83%	9.38%	9.25%	9.07%	-1.69%
Crude petroleum and natural gas	6.04%	5.59%	5.28%	-6.47%	6.69%	6.18%	5.81%	-6.83%
Others	2.73%	3.25%	3.34%	10.62%	2.69%	3.07%	3.26%	10.06%
Manufacturing	23.35%	24.13%	25.46%	4.41%	23.30%	23.86%	24.68%	2.90%
Oil and gas manufacturing	2.73%	2.51%	2.66%	-1.22%	2.90%	2.55%	2.62%	-4.85%
Petroleum refinery	1.53%	1.45%	1.57%	1.10%	1.56%	1.41%	1.52%	-1.49%
Liquified natural gas	1.20%	1.06%	1.10%	4.27%	1.33%	1.14%	1.10%	-8.96%
Others	20.62%	21.62%	22.79%	5.14%	20.41%	21.31%	22.05%	3.95%
Electricity, gas & water	1.20%	1.24%	1.24%	1.68%	1.04%	1.12%	1.17%	5.77%
Construction	7.33%	7.58%	7.89%	3.75%	7.29%	7.61%	7.94%	4.38%
Wholesale & retail trade, restaurants & hotels	16.71%	16.64%	16.69%	-0.06%	16.78%	16.74%	16.74%	-0.12%
Transport & communication	7.16%	6.78%	6.56%	-4.28%	7.10%	7.12%	7.17%	0.45%
Banking, insurance & real estate	9.03%	8.69%	8.33%	-3.94%	8.71%	8.94%	9.02%	1.77%
Public services	5.95%	5.84%	5.59%			6.01%	5.63%	-6.31%
Private services	3.23%	3.11%	3.11%	-1.89%	3.25%	3.22%	3.20%	-0.76%
Sub-total	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	
Course					·			

Source:

¹⁾ Statistical Year Book of Indonesia 1995, Biro Pusat Statistik Indonesia.

²⁾ Statistical Year Book of Indonesia 1996, Biro Pusat Statistik Indonesia.

Table 2.7 GROSS REGIONAL DOMESTIC PRODUCT IN CENTRAL JAVA PROVINCE

A. Gross Regional Domestic Product (GRDP)						(Rp.10 ⁹)
Industry of origin	GRDP	at curren	Annual average growth	GRDP at	1993-cons	Annual stant price average growth
	1994	1995	1996 ratio(%)	1994	1995	1996 ratio(%)
Agriculture, livestock & fisheries	8,779	10,635	- 21.14%	7,782	8,211	- 5.51%
Mining & quarrying	452	527	- 16.59%	433	472	- 9.01%
Manufacturing	12,454	14,863	- 19.34%	11,322	12,260	- 8.28%
Electricity, gas & water	272	331	- 21.69%	265	304	- 14.72%
Construction	1,768	1,983	- 12.16%	1,689	1,808	- 7.05%
Wholesale & retail trade, restaurants & hotels	8,002	9,673	- 20.88%	7,581	8,364	- 10.33%
Transport & communication	1,454	1,722	- 18.43%	1,379	1,511	- 9.57%
Banking, insurance & real estate	1,965	2,275	- 15.78%	1,869	1,974	- 5.62%
Public and private services	4,158	4,614	- 10.97%	4,026	4,128	- 2.53%
GRDP in total	39,304	46,623	п.а. 18.62%	36,346	39,032	n.a. 7.39%
GRDP per capita (Rp.10 ³)	1,333	1,570	n.a. 17.81%	1,233	1,315	n.a. 6.66%
Population(10 ³)**	29,485	29,688	29,881 0.67%	29,485	29,688	29,881 0.67%

B. Share Rate of Gross Regional Domestic Product (% of GRDP)	B. Share Rate of	Gross Regional	Domestic Product	(% of GRDP)
--	------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------

		Annual *		Annual
Industry of origin GR	DP at current pric		GRDP at 1993-con	stant price average
		growth		growth
1994	1995 199	96 ratio(%)	1994 1995	1996 ratio(%)
	% 22.81%	- 2.12%	21.41% 21.04%	1.75%
Mining & quarrying 1.15	% 1.13%	1.71%	1.19% 1.21%	- 1.51%
Manufacturing 31.69	% 31.88%	- 0.61%	31.15% 31.41%	- 0.83%
Electricity, gas & water 0.69	% 0.71%	- 2,59%	0.73% 0.78%	- 6.82%
Construction 4.50	% 4.25%	5.45%	4.65% 4.63%	0.32%
Wholesale & retail trade, restaurants & hotels 20.36	% 20.75%	- 1.91%	20.86% 21.43%	- 2.74%
Transport & communication 3.70	1% 3.69%	0.16%	3.79% 3.87%	- 2.03%
Banking, insurance & real estate 5.00	9% 4.88%	2.40%	5.14% 5.06%	1.65%
Public and private services 10.58	9.90%	6.45%	11.08% 10.58%	- 4.52%
Sub-total 100.00	% 100.00%	п.а.	100.00% 100.00%	n.a.

Source: Central Java in Figures 1996 (Jawa Tengah Dalam Angka 1996), Kantor Statistik Provinsi Jawa Tengah. (Note):

^{*} Annual average growth ratio between 1994 and 1995.

^{**} Based on population projection reported in the Statistical Year Book of Indonesia 1996.

[·] Lack of data.

n.a.: Not available.

Table 2.8 CONSTRUCTION BASE COST FOR WEST FLOODWAY/GARANG RIVER IMPROVEMENT

Bill No.	General Summary	1 1	Amount	
•		Foreign Portion	Local Portion	Total
		Rp	Rp	Rp
PACKA	GE 1: WEST FLOODWAY AND GARANG RIVE	R IMPROVEMEN	T WORKS	
A.	General	1 224 236 100	2.556.700.050	2,000,000,000
В.	Channel and Dike Works	1,224,236,100	2,556,700,250	3,780,936,350
C.	Raising the Existing Floodwall	26,440,030,831	17,771,888,767	44,211,919,598
D.		3,164,457,437	4,781,453,016	7,945,910,453
	Protection Works for Riverbank and Riverbed	15,866,931,659	16,086,125,556	31,953,057,215
E.	Ground Sills	4,192,531,264	2,778,984,648	6,971,515,912
F.	Drainage Sluiceway at WF172R+15m	400,701,480	337,712,185	738,413,665
G.	Drainage Outlet Works	228,649,052	228,701,214	457,350,266
H.	River Amenity and Maintenance Facilities	2,171,852,616	2,843,976,179	5,015,828,795
I.	Waterlevel Gauging Station	140,117,812	122,838,306	262,956,118
J.	Supplying Maintenace Equipment	2,092,010,900	91,482,400	2,183,493,300
PACKA	GE 2: RECONSTRUCTION OF SIMONGAN WE	IR		<u> </u>
A.	General	511,635,500	1,136,890,950	1,648,526,450
B.	Preparatory and Temporary Works	3,445,187,330	2,953,498,074	6,398,685,404
. C.	Earth Work	1,479,701,400	1,272,438,500	2,752,139,900
D.	Foundation Piles and Seepage Blocking Sheet Piles	5,351,089,110	981,878,770	6,332,967,880
E.	Concrete Work	5,595,405,321	6,715,589,709	12,310,995,030
F.	Stone and Masonry	1,077,386,961	1,202,767,610	2,280,154,571
G.	Metal Work and Mechanical Work	36,109,875,634	6,300,242,356	42,410,117,990
H.	Road Pavement	37,457,031	57,040,164	94,497,195
I.	Miscellaneous Work	318,468,929	118,806,353	437,275,282
J.	Electrical Work	1,594,590,480	380,644,740	1,975,235,220
K.	Simongan Weir Management Complex	713,871,390	1,424,744,937	2,138,616,327
L.	Preservation of Existing Simongan Weir	8,597,587,535	1,583,477,808	10,181,065,343
PACKA	GE 3: RAISING OF RAILWAY BRIDGE OVER V	VEST WEST FLO	ODWAY	
<u> </u>				
1 -	Box Culvert BH 5 Km. 00+816	103,712,027	237,436,254	341,148,281
2	Box Culvert BH 6 Km, 01+177	143,448,770	177,039,868	320,488,638
3	Raising Railway Bridge BH 10 Km.01+577	4,253,776,445	3,260,876,160	7,514,652,606
4	Double Box Culvert BH 13 Km. 02+ 332	244,022,552	306,069,388	550,091,940
- 5	Track Raising Km. 00+677 - Km. 02+521	1,897,525,580	5,889,793,760	7,787,319,340
	Grand Total	127,396,261,146	81,599,097,924	208,995,359,070

Table 2.9 DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE FOR WEST FLOODWAY/GARANG RIVER IMPROVEMENT

Work Name	Currency	2000	2001	2002	2003	Total
Package-1	Rupiah	0	40,275,563,871	71,002,903,000	29,153,430,717	140,431,897,588
	Converting into Yen	0	666,872,082	1,175,647,196	482,714,757	2,325,234,034
Package-2	Rupiah	0	52,582,094,693	19,290,157,339	45,720,924,153	117,593,176,186
	Converting into Yen	0	870,640,348	319,401,298	757,034,910	1,947,076,556
Package-3	Rupiah	0	1,203,338,179	21,685,777,774	0	22,889,115,953
	Converting into Yen	0 0	19,924,554	359,067,344	0	378,991,898
Administration Cost	Rupiah	0	5,701,675,877	6,753,295,899	4,495,029,881	16,950,001,656
	Converting into Yen	0	94,406,834	111,819,278	74,427,510	280,653,622
Engineering Service Cost	Rupiah	1,109,206,316	7,056,708,425	8,400,934,152	5,617,277,430	22,184,126,324
	Converting into Yen	18,365,943	116,843,102	139,100,435	93,009,387	367,318,867
Compensation Cost	Rupiah		782,704,000	438,916,320		1,221,620,320
	Converting into Yen	0	12,959,805	7,267,460	0	20,227,265
Total	Rupiah	1,109,206,316	107,602,085,045	127,571,984,484	84,986,662,181	321,269,938,027
	Converting into Yen	18,365,943	1,781,646,724	2,112,303,011	1,407,186,563	5,319,502,242

Note: All costs include price and physical contingencies and tax.

Table 2.10 CONSTRUCTION BASE COST FOR JATIBARANG MULTIPURPOSE DAM CONSTRUCTION

Bill No.	General Summary		Amount	
:		Foreign Portion	Local Portion	Total
		Rp	Rp	Rp
Package-	1: Jatibarang Multipurpose Dam including Appur	tenant Structures		
				
A.	General	9,209,740,082	6,605,440,384	15,815,180,466
В.	Water Control	626,734,700	333,325,300	960,060,000
C.	Surface Excavation and Earth Works	22,011,194,000	14,332,972,000	36,344,166,000
D.	Protection Works for Riverbank and Riverbed	9,754,354,800	6,765,758,900	16,520,113,700
E.	Drilling and Grouting	11,321,362,740	4,417,509,800	15,738,872,540
F.	Embankment Construction	54,642,316,000	37,262,413,000	91,904,729,000
G.	Protection and Support of Excavation	1,685,999,000	2,255,106,000	3,941,105,000
H.	Drainage	254,820,100	676,796,100	931,616,200
I.	Concrete Production and Concrete Construction	30,250,975,890	27,751,224,180	58,002,200,070
J.	Road Construction	5,565,586,800	4,223,061,000	9,788,647,800
K.	Furnishing and Installing Metalwork	145,769,400	117,065,600	262,835,000
. L.	Water Control Plant	17,212,975,600	7,942,623,000	25,155,598,600
M.	Instrumentation of Structures	1,126,099,950	182,010,780	1,308,110,730
N.	Generating Plant	27,338,234,600	8,689,025,100	36,027,259,700
O.	Relocation of Power Transmission Line	7,295,071,700	3,139,545,300	10,434,617,000
P.	Miscellaneous Works	2,961,217,712	543,758,440	3,504,976,152
Q.	Building Works	556,165,000	1,391,881,100	1,948,046,100
Package	-2 : Operation and Maintenance Building and Go	a Kreo Bridge		
Α	General	243,179,479	295,142,683	538,322,163
В	Dam Mangement Complex	586,691,300	1,873,622,100	2,460,313,400
С	Construction of Approach Bridge to Goa Kreo	372,115,866	574,076,696	946,192,561
	Grand Total	203,160,604,719	129,372,357,464	332,532,962,183

Table 2.11 DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE FOR JATIBARANG MULTIPURPOSE DAM CONSTRUCTION

						-	
Work Name	Currency	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	Total
Package-1	Rupiah	0	55,879,189,400	86,628,610,591	137,631,892,156	202,195,013,201	482,334,705,348
	Converting into Yen	0	925,232,766	1,434,373,509	2,278,872,288	3,347,891,286	7,986,369,849
Package-2	Rupiah	0	0	1,225,446,496	4,095,545,004	808,744,605	6,129,736,105
	Converting into Yen	0	0	20,290,617	67,812,946	13,390,978	101,494,541
Administration Cost	Rupiah	0	4,407,588,567	5,082,466,112	8,199,107,935	11,744,019,047	29,433,181,661
	Converting into Yen	0	72,979,680	84,154,123	135,758,650	194,454,346	487,346,799
Engineering Service Cost	Rupiah	838,679,050	4,701,203,147	7,391,298,516	11,923,749,804	17,079,022,000	41,933,952,517
	Converting into Yen	13,886,625	77,841,272	122,383,156	197,430,280	282,789,907	694,331,240
Compensation Cost	Rupiah		18,462,842,957				18,462,842,957
	Converting into Yen	0	305,702,846	0	0	0	305,702,846
Total	Rupiah	838,679,050	83,450,824,071	100,327,821,715	161,850,294,898	231,826,798,853	578,294,418,588
	Converting into Yen	13,886,625	1,381,756,564	1,661,201,405	2,679,874,164	3,838,526,517	9,575,245,275

Note: All costs include price and physical contingencies and tax.

Table 2.12 CONSTRUCTION BASE COST FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

Bill No.	General Summary		Amount	· .
		Foreign Portion	Local Portion	Total
		Rp	Rp	Rp
PACKAGI	E 1: SEMARANG RIVER DRAINAGE	SYSTEM IMPRO	VEMENT	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
Α .	GENERAL	409,679,000	983,235,000	1,392,914,000
В	CHANNEL WORKS	5,617,377,200	5,471,053,000	11,088,430,200
C	DIKE RAISING	214,811,150	546,845,560	761,656,710
D	INSPECTION ROAD	1,342,465,300	3,927,349,520	5,269,814,820
E	MISCELLANEOUS WORKS	481,347,140	314,040,930	795,388,070
PACKAGI	E 2: ASIN DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPR	ROVEMENT		
Α	GENERAL	950,550,600	1,954,715,100	2,905,265,700
В	SEMARANG RIVER IMPROVEMENT	9,603,568,355	6,821,048,706	16,424,617,062
C .	ASIN RIVER IMPROVEMENT	13,318,345,112	14,490,110,075	27,808,455,187
D	ASIN PUMPING STATION	17,395,216,760	3,989,929,766	21,385,146,526
Е	ASIN PUMPING STATION GATE	2,536,355,751	1,706,489,322	4,242,845,073
F	BUILDINGS	301,971,000	796,931,800	1,098,902,800
G	ASIN RETARDING POND	3,653,151,740	2,145,346,810	5,798,498,550
Н	MISCELLANEOUS WORKS SUPPLYING MAINTENANCE	52,837,750	211,828,150	264,665,900
I	EQUIPMENT	1,609,525,300	72,262,300	1,681,787,600
PACKAGI	E 3: BANDARHARJO DRAINAGE SY			
				<u> Agriculation de la companya de la </u>
Α	GENERAL	189,327,600	359,744,500	549,072,100
В	BARU RIVER IMPROVEMENT	7,480,013,395	7,045,033,596	14,525,046,991
С	BARU PUMPING STATION	11,453,843,592	2,366,343,226	13,820,186,818
D	BARU PUMPING STATION GATE	1,530,898,680	1,008,416,050	2,539,314,730
E	BUILDINGS	267,783,800	722,598,000	990,381,800
F	BARU RETARDING POND	1,302,214,351	1,732,466,108	3,034,680,459
G	BARU CONVEYANCE CHANNEL BANDARHARJO WEST	4,393,667,144	4,030,785,525	8,424,452,669
Н	SECONDARY CHANNEL BANDARHARJO EAST SECONDARY	1,116,302,872	1,930,880,196	3,047,183,068
1	CHANNEL	624,613,807	658,108,978	1,282,722,785
J	MISCELLANEOUS WORKS	34,592,250	121,489,550	156,081,800
K	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	1,191,670,300	52,111,000	1,243,781,300
	Total of Bills	87,072,129,950	63,459,162,767	150,531,292,718

Table 2.13 DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE FOR URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT

		-				
Work Name	Сипепсу	2000	2001	2002	2003	Total
Package-1	Rupiah	0	0	11,063,148,297	16,699,644,835	27,762,793,133
	Converting into Yen	0	0	183,180,669	276,508,280	459,688,949
Package-2	Rupiah	0	19,579,862,100	56,025,758,738	35,823,218,236	111,428,839,074
	Converting into Yen	0	324,198,152	927,659,622	593,151,326	1,845,009,100
Package-3	Rupiah	0	12,908,890,245	42,034,074,564	12,128,854,209	67,071,819,018
	Converting into Yen	0	213,741,974	695,989,034	200,826,344	1,110,557,352
Administration Cost	Rupiah	0	2,365,258,874	6,551,122,394	3,881,320,934	12,797,702,202
	Converting into Yen	0	39,163,328	108,471,743	64,265,880	211,900,952
Engineering Service Cost	Rupiah	439,648,074	2,239,063,487	7,520,549,914	4,455,674,320	14,654,935,794
	Converting into Yen	7,279,576	37,073,818	124,523,267	73,775,871	242,652,532
Compensation Cost	Rupiah		5,925,988,512			5,925,988,512
	Converting into Yen	0	98,120,943	0	0	98,120,943
Total	Rupiah	439,648,074	43,019,063,217	123,194,653,908	72,988,712,534	239,642,077,734
	Converting into Yen	7,279,576	712,298,214	2,039,824,335	1,208,527,702	3,967,929,827

Note: All costs include price and physical contingencies and tax.

Table 3.1 (1/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (Flood Control Works)

ear in				troi works				ed from da	n	Cost		Cas
order	Year	Construc		Cost for	Total	Constructi	on cost	Cost for	7.1	grand	Benefit	bala
Older		F/C	L/C	O/M & R	rotar	F/C	L/C	O/M & R	Total	total		Outa
1	1998/99	0	. 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	1999/00	0	0	0	: 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	2000/01	2,889	3,422	0	6,311	644	702	0	1,346	7,657	0	-7,65
4	2001/02		17,984	. 0	35,118	3,156	4,182	0	7,338	42,456	. 0	-42,45
5	2002/03	-	24,576	0	38,783	4,395	5,362	0	9,757	48,540	0	-48,54
6		16,839	12,457	0	29,296	10,062	9,039		19,101	48,397	. 0	-48,39
7	2004/05		,	457	457	6,816	4,353		11,169	11,626	24,941	13,31
8	2005/06			457	457	0	0	176	176	633	40,759	40,12
9 .	2006/07			457	457	ő	0		176	633	40,759	40,12
10	2007/08			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	
11	2008/09			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,12
12	2009/10		4.	457	457			176				40,12
13	2010/11			457					176	633	40,759	40,12
14					457			176	176	633	40,759	40,12
	2011/12			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,12
15	2012/13			457	457	**	1. 1. 1	176	176	633	40,759	40,13
- 16	2013/14			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
17	2014/15			457	457	1 - 7		176	176	633	40,759	40,1
18	2015/16			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
19	2016/17			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
20	2017/18			457	457	1		176	176	633	40,759	40,1
21	2018/19			457	457		1 11	176	176	633	40,759	40,1
22	2019/20		-	457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
23	2020/21			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
24	2021/22			457	457	1		176	176	633	40,759	40,1
25	2022/23			457	457		* * *	176	176	633	40,759	40,1
26	2023/24			457	457		1.	176	176	633	40,759	40,1
27	2024/25			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
28	2025/26			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
29	2026/27			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
30	2027/28			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
31	2028/29			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
32	2029/30			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
33	2030/31			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
34	2031/32			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
35	2032/33			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
36	2033/34			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
37	2034/35			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
38	2035/36		* .	457	457	. :		176	176	633	40,759	
39	2036/37			457	457			176	176	633		40,1
40	2037/38			457	457	4 2 4		176	176	633	40,759	40,1
41	2038/39			457	457			176	176	633		40,1 40,1
42	2039/40			457	457		:	176	176	633	40,759	
43	2040/41			457	457			176			40,759	40,1
44	2041/42			457	457	* **		176	176	633 633	40,759	40,1
45	2042/43			457	457	:		176			40,759	40,1
46	2043/44			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
47	2044/45			457	457				176	633	40,759	40,1
48	2045/46		1 . 1.	457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
49	2046/47		1. 1.	457	457	4 1 4 4		176	176	633	40,759	40,1
	2040/4/							176		633	40,759	40,1
50				457	457	1		176		633	40,759	40,1
51	2048/49			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
52	2049/50		•	457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1
53	2050/5		. :	457	457		. :	176	176	633	40,759	40,1
54	2051/5.			457	457		*	176		633	40,759	40,1
55	2053/5			457	457		1 14	176	176	633	40,759	40.1
56	2054/53			457	457			176	176	633	40,759	40,1

In the condition of discount rate at 12 %:

Net Present value (NPV):

Internal rate of return (EIRR):

164,331 72,201 19.77%

92,130

Table 3.1 (2/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (Water Resources Development)

(Rp.10⁶)

ear in	V			Jatibarang Dam Cost for		Dare C.	Cash
order	Year _	Construction F/C	L/C	O/M & R	Total	Benefit	balance
1	1998/99	0	0	0	0	0	
2	1999/00	0	Ŏ	0	. 0	0	
3	2000/01	1,173	1,278	0	2,451	0	-2,45
4	2001/02	5,746	7,613	0	13,359	o ·	-13,35
5	2002/03	8,011	9,761	. 0	17,772	o .	-17,77
6	2003/04	18,316	16,454	. 0	34,770	Ö	-34,77
7	2004/05	12,407	7,924	0	20,331	Ö	-20,33
8	2005/06	0	0	321	321	26,700	26,37
9	2006/07	0	0	321	321	26,700	26,37
10	2007/08	· ·		321	321	26,700	26,37
11	2008/09	* .		321	321	26,700	26,37
12	2009/10			321	321	26,700	26,37
13	2010/11			321	321	26,700	26,37
14	2011/12			321	321	26,700	26,37
15	2012/13			321	321	26,700	26,37
16	2013/14			321	321	26,700	26,37
17	2014/15			321	321	26,700	26,37
18	2015/16		÷	321	321	26,700	26,37
19	2016/17			321	321	26,700	26,37
20	2017/18			321	321	26,700	26,37
21	2018/19			321	321	26,700	26,37
22	2019/20			321	321	26,700	26,37
23	2020/21			321	321	26,700	26,37
24	2021/22	100		321	321	26,700	26,37
25	2022/23			321	321	26,700	26,37
26	2023/24			321	321	26,700	26,37
27	2024/25			321	321	26,700	26,37
28	2025/26	1.4		321	321	26,700	26,37
29	2026/27			321	321	26,700	26,37
30	2027/28		·	321	321	26,700	26,37
31	2028/29			321	321	26,700	26,37
32	2029/30			321	. 321	26,700	26,37
33	2030/31			321	321	26,700	26,37
34	2031/32			321	321	26,700	26,37
35	2032/33	开始 化烷基		321	321	26,700	26,37
36	2033/34			321	321	26,700	26,37
37	2034/35	14 g 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	.:	321	321	26,700	26,37
38	2035/36	18-18-50		321	321	26,700	26,37
39	2036/37			321	321	26,700	26,37
40	2037/38			321	321	26,700	26,37
41	2038/39			321	321	26,700	26,37
42	2039/40			321	321	26,700	26,37
43	2040/41		14	321	321	26,700	26,37
44	2041/42			321	321	26,700	26,31
45	2042/43			321	321	26,700	26,37
46	2043/44	eta dipa	1.1	321	321	26,700	26,37
47	2044/45	The state of the s		321	321	26,700	26,37 26,37
48	2045/46			321	321	26,700	
49 50	2046/47			321	321 321	26,700 26,700	26,37 26,37
51	2047/48		100	321 321	321 321	26,700	26,3
52	2049/50	1.5	1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	321	321	26,700	26,3
53	2050/51		1 1	321	321	26,700	26,3
53 54	2051/52			321	321	26,700	26,3 26,3
55	2051/52		100	321	321	26,700	26,3
56	2053/54			321	321 321	26,700	
57	2055/56			321	321	26,700	26,3° 26,3°
	otal	45,653	43,030		104,733	1,335,000	1,230,2
		discount rate at I		16,050	107,133	1,555,000	1,430,4
	sent value (- ~.		48,337	100,299	51,9
	rate of reti					,	22.14

Table 3.1 (3/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT)

ear in			Cost			-	Cash
order	Year	Construction F/C	cost L/C	Cost for O/M & R	Total	Benefit	balance
ı	1998/99	0	. 0 .	0/W & K	0	0	. (
2	1999/00	0	0	0	0	. 0	
3	2000/01	1,869	4,079	0	5,948	0	-5,94
4	2001/02	11,920	20,160	. 0	32,080	- 0	-32,08
5 .	2002/03	14,624	20,844	0	35,468	0	-35,46
6	2003/04	1,789	7,063	0	8,852	0	-8,85
7	2004/05			759	759	16,101	15,34
8	2005/06			759	759	16,101	15,34
9	2006/07			759	759	16,101	15,34
10	2007/08	•	•	759	759	16,101	15,34
11	2008/09			759	759	16,101	15,34
12	2009/10			759	759	16,101	15,34
13	2010/11			759	759	16,101	15,34
14	2011/12			759	759	16,101	15,34
15	2012/13		•	759	759	16,101	15,34
16	2013/14			759	759	16,101	15,34
17	2014/15			759	759	16,101	15,34
18	2015/16			759	759	16,101	15,34
19	2016/17			759	759	16,101	15,34
20	2017/18			759	759	16,101	15,34
21	2018/19		S .	759	759	16,101	15,34
22	2019/20			759	759	16,101	15,34
23	2020/21			759	759	16,101	15,34
24	2021/22			759 750	759	16,101	15,34
25 26	2022/23			759 759	759 759	16,101	15,34
27	2023/24			759 759	759 759	16,101	15,34
28	2024/23			759	759	16,101 16,101	15,34 15,34
29	2025/20	4.	1,1	759	759 759	16,101	15,34
30	2027/28		100	759	759	16,101	15,34
31	2028/29		2.3	759	759	16,101	15,34
32	2029/30			759	759	16,101	15,34
33	2030/31	18 Turn 18 Au		759	759	16,101	15,34
34	2031/32		•	759	759	16,101	15,34
35	2032/33	1.00		759	759	16,101	15,34
36	2033/34			759	759	16,101	15,34
37	2034/35			759	759	16,101	15,34
38	2035/36			759	759	16,101	15,34
39	2036/37		•	759	759	16,101	15,34
40	2037/38			759	759	16,101	15,34
41	2038/39	$\mathbf{v}_{i} = \{v_{i}, \dots, v_{i}\}$		759	759	16,101	15.34
42	2039/40		A	759	759	16,101	15,34
43	2040/41			759	759	16,101	15,34
44	2041/42			759	759	16,101	15,34
45	2042/43			759	759	16,101	15,34
46	2043/44	t te		759	759	16,101	15,34
47	2044/45			7 59	759	16,101	15,34
48	2045/46			759	759	16,101	15,34
49	2046/47			759	759	16,101	15,34
50	2047/48			759	759	16,101	15,34
51	2048/49	er en	•	759	759	16,101	15,34
52	2049/50			759	759	16,101	15,3
53	2050/51			759	759	16,101	15,3
54	2051/52			759	759	16,101	15,3
55	2053/54			759	759	16,101	15,3
56	2054/55		<u> </u>	759	759	16,101	15,3
	Total	30,202	52,146	37,950	120,298	805,050	684,7.
		discount rate at	12%:				rang di di eway
	esent value () al rate of retu				52,425	67,742	15,3
-						Annual Control of the	15.13

Table 3.1 (4/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (Hydropower Generation Works)

1	N	at	4	١
- 1	IN	υι	c	ı

				eneration	works			d from dar	31	Grand		benefit in 2	005/06	
Year in order	Year	Construct F/C	L/C	OMR	Total	Construct F/C	ion cost L/C	OMR	total	total of	Unit value	Annual	D	Ca
oruci		170	ис	cost	10131	r/C	LIC	cost	total	cost	(Rp./kWh)	E. output (mWh)	Benefit	balar
ı	1998/99	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	***********
2 .	1999/00	0	0	0	. 0	0	• 0 .	. 0	0	Ō	0	0	0	
3	2000/01	0	0	0	0	. 3	3	0	6	- 6	0	0	0	
4	2001/02	503	140	0	643	• 14	19	0	33	676	0	0	0	-(
5	2002/03		1,319	0	4,675	20	24	0	44	4,719	0	0	0	-4,
6	2003/04	5,770	2,065	. 0	7,835	45	41	0	86	7,921	. 0	0	. 0	-7,
7	2004/05	7,542	1,495	. 0	9,037	31	20	. 0	51	9,088	0	0	. 0	-9,
8	2005/06	. 0	. 0	426	426	. 0	0	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
9	2006/07	0	0	426	426	. 0	0	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2.
10	2007/08			426	426		1	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	. 2,
11	2008/09	1.		426	426			i	, 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,9
12	2009/10			426	426			. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,9
13	2010/11			426	426			l	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,9
14	2011/12			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,5
15	2012/13			.426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
16	2013/14			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
17	2014/15			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
18	2015/16		-	426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2.
19	2016/17			426	426	•		. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
20	2017/18			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
21	2018/19	4	•	426	426			ı	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2.
22	2019/20			426	426	100		1	î	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
23	2020/21			426	426	*. *		. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
24	2021/22			426	426	1.5		i	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
25	2022/23	1.1		426	426			· 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
26	2023/24	1 1		426	426	1.		- 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
27	2024/25			426	426			i	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
28	2025/26			426	426		4, 44	1	. I	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
29	2026/27			426	426		4.0	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
30	2027/28	- 1 - 1		426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
31	2028/29	4.		426	426			l	ı	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
32	2029/30			426	426	1,	•	· 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
33	2030/31			426	426			1	i	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
34	2031/32			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,9
35	2032/33			426	426			1	l	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
36	2033/34			426	426	3 4		1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
37	2034/35	* *		426	426			ì	- 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
38	2035/36			426	426			- 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
39	2036/37			426	426			. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
40	2037/38			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
41	2038/39		100	426	426			. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
42	2039/40	100		426	426		1	5 I	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
43	2040/41		. 14	426	426		7 5	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
44	2041/42			426	426			. 1	. 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
45	2042/43	1.		426	426	1000		1	. 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
46	2043/44		,	426	426	1000		1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
47	2044/45			426	426		100	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
48	2045/46			426	426		45 1	1	. 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
49	2046/47		1.	426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
50	2047/48			426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
51	2048/49			426	426		1.4	1	. 1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
52	2049/50		-	426	426			. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
53	2050/51			426	426	100	100	3 5 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
54	2051/52	40.00	11	426	426			1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
55 :	2053/54			426	426		•	. 1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
56	2054/55		1 1	426	426			. i	1	. 427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
57	2055/56		· · · · · ·	426	426	4 5 45 1	1 1 1	1	1	427	575	5,790	3,328	2,
T	otal :	17,171	5,019	21,300	43,490	113	107	50	270	43,760			166,379	122,

Table 3.1 (5/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (OVERALL PROJECT)

lear in			Cost				
ear in order	Year	Construction	cost	Cost for	Total	Benefit	Cash balance
Oruci		F/C	L/C	O/M & R			outairee
1	1998/99	0	0	0	0	. 0	
2	1999/00	0	0	. 0	0	. 0	
3	2000/01	6,578	9,484	0	16,062	. 0	-16,06
4	2001/02	38,473	50,098	. 0	88,571	0	-88,57
5	2002/03	44,603	61,886	0	106,489	0	-106,48
6	2003/04	52,821	47,119	0	99,940	0	-99,94
7	2004/05	26,796	13,792	1,216	41,804	41,042	-76
8	2005/06			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
9	2006/07			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
10	2007/08		1	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
11	2008/09			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
12	2009/10			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
13	2010/11		•	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
14	2011/12			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
15	2012/13			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
16	2013/14			2,140	2,140	86,888	. 84,74
17	2014/15			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
18	2015/16			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
19	2016/17	* 1		2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
20	2017/18			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
21	2018/19			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
22	2019/20			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
23	2020/21			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
24	2021/22			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
25	2022/23		1 5 5	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
26	2023/24	11 -		2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
27.	2024/25	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
28	2025/26			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
29	2026/27			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
30	2027/28			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
31	2028/29		100	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
32	2029/30			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
33	2030/31			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
34	2031/32			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
35	2032/33			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
36	2033/34		100	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
37	2034/35			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
38	2035/36			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
39	2036/37			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
40	2037/38			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
41	2038/39			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
42	2039/40			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
43	2040/41			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
44	2041/42			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
45	2042/43			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
.46	2043/44 2044/45			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
47 48				2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
49	2045/46 2046/47			2,140 2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
50	2046/47			· ·	2,140	86,888	84,74
51	2047/48	1	100	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
52	2049/50			2,140 2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
53	2050/51			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,74
54					2,140	86,888	84,7
	2051/52		•	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,7
55 56	2053/54			2,140	2,140	86,888	84,7
56 52	2054/55	and the state of		2,140	2,140	86,888	84,7
57	2055/56	160.031	100 070	2,140	2,140	86,888	84,7
	Fotal	169,271 discount rate at 1	182,379	106,076	457,726	4,298,554	3,840,8
			2 70.		, N		
No D~	esent value (l	ייטעני -			205,728	344,963	139,2

Table 3.1 (6/6) CALCULATION OF ECONOMIC INTERNAL RATE OF RETURN (Jatibarang Dam Construction Works)

ear in		Jatibara	ng dam con	struction			nvisaged bene			Cas
in	Year	Construct	ion cost	OMR	Total	Flood	Water	Power	Totai	balane
order		F/C	L/C	cost	cost	control	resources	Generation	benefit	
l I	1998/99	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	
2	1999/00	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	* •
3	2000/01	2,148	2,464	0	4,612	0	. 0	0	0	-4,61
4	2001/02	10,611	14,160	0	24,771	0	. 0	0	0	-24,77
5	2002/03	15,194	18,690	0	33,884	0	. 0	0	0	-33,88
. 6	2003/04	31,666	30,971	0	62,637	0	0	. 0	0	-62,63
7	2004/05	21,551	15,537	0	37,088	. 0	0	. 0	0	-37,08
8	2005/06	0	0	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,78
9	2006/07	0	. 0	497	497	12,550	26,700	. 33	39,283	38,7
10	2007/08			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
11	2008/09			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
12	2009/10			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
13	2010/11			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
14	2011/12			497	497	12,550	26,700	. 33	39,283	38,7
15	2012/13		100	497	497	12,550	26,700	. 33	39,283	. 38,7
16	2013/14		Section 1	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
17	2014/15	•		497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
18	2015/16			497	497	12,550	26,700	- 33	39,283	38,7
19	2016/17			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
20	2017/18	1		497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
21	2018/19	*:	:	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
22	2019/20			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
23	2020/21			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
24	2021/22			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
25	2022/23			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
26	2023/24	2		497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
27	2024/25			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
28	2025/26		* .	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
29	2026/27			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
30	2027/28		Park to the	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38.7
31	2028/29	1	100	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38.7
32	2029/30			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
33	2030/31			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
34	2031/32			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
35	2032/33			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
36	2033/34			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38.7
37	2034/35			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38.7
38	2035/36			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,7
39	2036/37			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
40	2037/38			497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
41	2038/39		100	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
42	2039/40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
43	2040/41			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
44	2041/42	and the second second	5 5 7	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
45	2042/43			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
46	2043/44			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
47	2044/45			497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
48	2045/46		28 (1)	497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
	2045/40				4.7	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
. 49. 50	2040/47			497 497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
51	2048/49			497 497	and the second s	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
10	2049/50		er a	497 497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
52							and the second second	33	39,283	38,
53	2050/51			497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
54	2051/52			497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
55	2053/54			497	and the second second	12,550	26,700			38,
56	2054/55			497		12,550	26,700	33	39,283	38,
57	2055/56)	PH 41 NO	497	497	12,550	26,700	33	39,283	36,

In the condition of discount rate at 12 %:

Net Present value (NPV): 88,629 147,568 58,938

Internal rate of return (EIRR): 18.53%

B/C 1.66

Table 3.2 (1/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (WEST FLOODWAY/GARANG RIVER IMPROVEMENT)

Managing Item	Source of Impact	Measuring Standard of Impact	Managing Approach	Management Location	Managing Agency Concerned
Pre-Construction St	age)				
Social unrest	- Land acquisition	- Compensation	- Negotiations -		Project office
	- Assets evaluation	- Public protest and	- Presidential	river mouth	
		demonstration	decree No.55/1993	(Tanah Mas area)	
Roadside trees	- Dike embankment	- Number of affected	- Temporary transplantation -	Downstream from	Project office
Trouble of the second		trees	- Proper care taking	Simongan weir	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Construction Stage)		en e	10 m		
- Noise	- Operation of heavy	- Noise level : 60 dBA			Project office
	equipment		vehicles/equipment	river and floodway	
			- Working hours/schedule	1. July 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Arguer (1997)
- Air pollution	- Mobilization of	- Air quality standard	- Covering materials	Villages along the	- Project office
	equipment and	KEP decree No. 02/	with sheet	river and floodway	
	materiales	MENI.H/I/1988	- Watering the road		
	- Earth works		- Materials stock yard		
Traffic congestion	- Mobilization of	- Public complaint	- Schedule adjustment for	- Villages along the	- Project office
	equipment and	- Traffic congestion	equipment mobilization	river and floodway	
	materiales	frequency/duration	- Traffic control		
Water quality	- Dike embankment	- Water quality standard	- Effort to minimize spilt	- Garang river and	- Project office
- Water quality of the river	- Dike embankment - Dredging work	according to Gov.	soil into the river		- Project office - Environmental burea
or are five	- Reconstruction of	regulation No.20/1990	- Protection fence at		of Provincial Gov.
	Simongan weir		downstream direction		
	<u> </u>				
- Sedimentation	- Dike embankment	- Soil suspended level			- Project office
	- Dredging work	100 to 250 mg/l	downstream direction - Temporary cofferdam	floodway	
	- Reconstruction of Simongan weir		- Dredging from downstream		
	annongan				eta filosofi
- Road damages	- Mobilization of	- Public complaint			- Project office
	equipment/materials	- Damage level	for road maintenance	the river/floodway	
			and repair	- Access road	
- Aquatic biota	- Dike embankment	- Diversity index of	- Sediment control	- Garang river and	- Project office
	- Dredging work	plankton and benthos	- Effort to preserve	floodway	
	- Reconstruction of		natural ecology		Service of the service
	Simongan weir				
- Existing water	- Dredging work	- Water quality and	Temporary cofferdam to	- Intake facilities	- Project office
intake facilities	- Reconstruction of	quantity	maintain water level		- PDAM
	Simongan weir		- Protection fence around		
			PDAM intake facility		
- Sand quarry opera-	- Dredging work	- Intensity of sand quarry	- Restrictions imposed on	- Downstream portion	- Project office
tion in the river	- River improvement	activities	sand quarry in the river	from Tugu Suharto	
		Diam Acces for suits	D	D. 1-1-1-1	B
- Railway bridge	- Raising river banks - River improvement	- Disturbance for train operation service	- Reconstruction of bridge over west floodway	- Existing railway bridge	- Project office - PJKA
•	* Kivet improvement	operation service	Over west noodway	oriage	-IJKA
- Ferry boat service	- Dike embankment	- Number of sevices	- Schedule control for ferry	- Ferry sevice location	- Project office
	- Dredging work	suspended	services	and route	
(Post-Construction	Stage)				
(Post-Construction	Stage) - Temporary trans-	- Number of trees	- Transportation of trees and	- Road along floodway	- Project office
and the second section of	- Temporary trans- plantation due to	- Number of trees replanted	- Transportation of trees and transplanting techniques	downstream from	- Project office
- Replanting of	- Temporary trans-				- Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees	- Temporary trans- plantation due to embankment	replanted	transplanting techniques	downstream from Simongan weir	
- Replanting of	- Temporary trans- plantation due to embankment	replanted - Water quality standard	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and	- Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees	- Temporary trans- plantation due to embankment	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov.	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out by gate operation	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway	
- Replanting of roadside trees	- Temporary trans- plantation due to embankment	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway	
- Replanting of roadside trees	- Temporary trans- plantation due to embankment	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway	
- Replanting of roadside trees	Temporary transplantation due to embankment Reconstruction of Simongan weir	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir	- Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m ³ /s)	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and	
- Replanting of roadside trees	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway	- Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m ³ /s)	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and	- Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period	- Occasional flush out by gate operation Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation Gate operation	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir	- Project office - Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang river	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - River improvement - Reconstruction of	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Water quality and	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Gate operation - Adjusting water level	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - PDAM water intake	- Project office - Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang river	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - River improvement	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Gate operation - Adjusting water level to facilitate water intake	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - PDAM water intake facility	- Project office - Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang river	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - River improvement - Reconstruction of	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Water quality and	- Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Gate operation - Adjusting water level	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - PDAM water intake	- Project office - Project office
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Water intake facilities	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - River improvement - Reconstruction of Simongan weir	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Water quality and quantity	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Gate operation - Adjusting water level to facilitate water intake	downstream from Simongan weir Garang river and floodway Simongan weir Garang river and floodway Simongan weir PDAM water intake facility Leftbank canal	- Project office - Project office - Project office - PDAM
- Replanting of roadside trees - Water quality - Flow of Garang river	- Temporary transplantation due to embankment - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - Reconstruction of Simongan weir - River improvement - Reconstruction of	replanted - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Water quality and quantity - Flow discharge	transplanting techniques - Occasional flush out by gate operation - Control of domestic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Gate operation - Adjusting water level to facilitate water intake - Gate operation	downstream from Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - Garang river and floodway - Simongan weir - PDAM water intake facility	- Project office - Project office - Project office - PDAM

Table 3.2 (2/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (JATIBARANG MULTIPURPOSE DAM CONSTRUCTION)

Managing Item	Source of Impact	Measuring Standard of Impact	Managing Approach	Management Location	Managing Agency Concerned
Pre-Construction St	age)		•		
Land ownership	- Land speculation	- Land values	- Restriction of land transaction	- Project-affected area	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict
Social unrest	- Land acquisition	- Compensation	- Negotiations	- All project-affected	- Land acquisition
	- Assets evaluation	- Public protest and	- Presidential	villages	committee
:		demonstration	decree No. 55/1993		- Project office - DGWRD
(Ctt (t)	- 1				- DOWKD
Construction Stage)		M 1 4 60 ED 6	0 . 1	7214	D 1 4 55
Noise	- Operation of heavy equipment	- Noise level: 60 dBA	- Control of speed of vehicles/equipment	 Villages along access roads 	- Project office
	equipment		- Working hours/schedule		
			- Equipment operators		
Air pollution	- Mobilization of	- Air quality standard	- Covering materials	- Villages along access	- Project office
	equipment	KEP decree No. 02/	with sheet	roads	
	- Earth works	MENLH/I/1988		- Dam site and borrow	*
			- Selection of spoil site	areas	
- Traffic disturbance	- Mobilization of	- Public complaint		- Villages along access	- Project office
and the first	equipment and	- Traffic congestion	equipment mobilization	roads	
	materials	frequency/duration	- Traffic control		
- Water quality	- All civil works	- Water quality standard	- Effort to minimize spilt	- Dam site and borrow	
of the river	relating to the	according to Gov.	soil into the river	area	- Environmental burea
Note that	project	regulation No.20/1990	- Protection net at downstream direction	- Kreo river - Spill way	of Provincial Gov.
- Sedimentation	- Earth works	Soil suspended level 100 to 250 mg/i	- Installation of sand	- Dam site and borrow area	- Project office
	- Material stock yard	100 to 230 mg/1	settling pond	- Kreo river	
					. <u></u>
- Road damage	- Mobilization of	- Public complaint	- Regular check system	- Village road - Access road	- Project office
	equipment/materials	Damage level	for road maintenance and repair	- Access road	
- Aquatic biota	- All civil works relating to the	- Diversity index of plankton and benthos	- Base camp sanitation againt water pollution	- Dam site - Reservoir and its	- Project office
	-	pinning, and same	- Sediment control	surrounding areas	
	project			Suttounding areas	and the second second
	project		- Effort to preserve	suttounding areas	
	project			surrounding areas	
Post-Construction S			- Effort to preserve	Suttomicing areas	
(Post-Construction S	itage)	- Type of illegal land use	- Effort to preserve natural ecology		- Project office
(Post-Construction S - Illegal land use of reservoir		- Type of illegal land use	- Effort to preserve	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas	- Project office - Chief of village
- Illegal land use	stage) - Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded		- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use	- Dam and reservoir	
- Illegal land use of reservoir	stage) - Land acquisition - Recreational value		Effort to preserve natural ecology Control of illegal land use Effort to gain public	- Dam and reservoir	- Chief of village
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas	stage) - Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded		Effort to preserve natural ecology Control of illegal land use Effort to gain public	- Dam and reservoir	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas	stage) - Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov.	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office
- illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov.	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village
- illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir impounding	- Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir impounding	- Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s)	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir impounding	- Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality	Land acquisition Recreational value due to impounded water Reservoir impounding	- Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s)	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recrea-	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surround-	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recrea-	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park (tourism attraction)	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction - Increase in develop-	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna - Change of land use	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality - Review of future land use	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park (tourism attraction)	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction - Increase in development potentiality	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality - Review of future land use plan	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency - Project office - BAPPEDA
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park (tourism attraction)	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction - Increase in develop-	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna - Change of land use	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality - Review of future land use	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park - Dam reservoir and its surrounding areas such as Jatibarang, Jatirejo, Kandri and	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency - Project office - BAPPEDA
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park (tourism attraction)	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction - Increase in development potentiality	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna - Change of land use	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality - Review of future land use plan - Institutional coordination	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park - Dam reservoir and its surrounding areas such as Jatibarang,	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency - Project office - BAPPEDA
- Illegal land use of reservoir surrounding areas - Water quality - Flow of Garang river - Land slide - Goa Kreo park (tourism attraction)	- Land acquisition - Recreational value due to impounded water - Reservoir impounding - Construction of dam - Fluctuation of water level in reservoir - Change in recreational value due to the dam construction - Increase in development potentiality	- Number of squatters - Water quality standard according to Gov. regulation No.20/1990 - Diversity index of plankton and benthos - Minimum (2.69 m³/s) for drought period - Maximum (790 m³/s) for flood period - Soil test or geotechnical investigation - Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna - Change of land use	- Effort to preserve natural ecology - Control of illegal land use - Effort to gain public comprehension - Land clearing before impounding - Control of dometic waste discharge - Adjusting flow discharge by dam operation - Slope stabilization or protection - Providing facilities and infrastructures - Effort to upgrade environmental quality - Review of future land use plan - Institutional coordination - Environmental impact	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas - Reservoir and its surrounding areas - Uptream areas from the reservoir - PDAM water intake facility - Simongan weir - Reservoir surrounding area - Goa Kreo park - Dam reservoir and its surrounding areas such as Jatibarang, Jatirejo, Kandri and	- Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistrict - Project office - Project office - Project office - Tourism agency

Table 3.2 (3/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN (URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT)

Managing Item	Source of Impact	Measuring Standard of Impact	Managing Approach	Management Location	Managing Agency Concerned
re-Construction Sta	ge)				
-	- Open space remains unutilized	- Squatter's intrusion	- Fencing around acquired land - Strict control	Proposed location of Asin retarding pond	- Project office - Municipality
	- Land acquisition - Assets evaluation	- Public protest and	- Negotiations - Land resettlement - Presidential decree No.55/1993	Proposed location of Asin pumping station	- Project office - Land acquisition committee
Construction Stage)					
Noise	- Operation of heavy equipment		Control of speed of vehicles/equipment Working hours/schedule Equipment operators	- Proposed urban drainage areas	- Project office
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Air pollution	 Mobilization of equipment and materiales Earth works 	KEP decree No. 02/ MENLH/I/1988	- Covering materials with sheet - Watering the road - Materials stock yard	- Proposed urban drainage areas	- Project office
Traffic congestion	- Mobilization of equipment and	- Public complaint - Frequency/duration for	- Schedule adjustment for equipment mobilization	- Proposed urban drainage areas	- Project office
	materiales - Street blockage		- Traffic control		
Sedimentation	- Dredging work - Raising dikes - Soil excavation	- Soil suspended level 100 to 250 mg/l	Dredging from downstream Effort to minimize spilt soil into the river	- Asin, Semarang and Baru rivers	- Project office
Road damages	- Mobilization of equipment/materials	- Public complaint - Damage level	for road maintenance,	- City roads along the rivers - Access road	- Project office
Dredged material	- Dredging work	- Concentration of heavy metals	- Use of water-proof sheet for transportation	- From working site to disposal area	- Project office
		- Public complaint - Generation of stench	- Treated with cement - Disposed in designated land raclamation site	- Land reclamation site	
Railway bridge	- Raising river banks - River improvement	- Disturbance for train operation service	- Reconstruction of railway bridge over Asin river	- Existing railway bridge and its	- Project office - PJKA
				surrounding areas	
Post-Construction S	tage)				
Pump operation	- Solid waste accumu- lation	- Suspension of operation due to solid waste	- Frequent cleaning of retarding pond and	- Pumping station - Retarding pond	- Project office
			pumping station		
Retarding pond	- Industrial waste discharge - Soil brought from	Heavy metal contents Volume of sediment accumulated in retarding	- Periodical dredging - Control of industrial waste discharge	- Asin retarding pond - Baru retarding pond	- Project office
	upper basin	pond			
Land subsidence	- Groundwater abstraction mainly for industrial use	- Area and intensity of subsidence	- Monitoring on land elevation	- Proposed urban drainage area	- Project office
- River mouth morphology	- Change of sediment transport - Pump drainage	- Volume of sediment at river mouth	- River mouth morpho- logical survey - Pump operation	- River mouth - Harbor basin	- Port authority
	system	and the second second	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		

Table 3.3 (1/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN (WEST FLOODWAY/GARANG RIVER IMPROVEMENT)

Monitoring Item	Monitoring Method	Location	Monitoring Frequency	Duration	Monitoring Agency Concerned
Pre-Construction Stage)				
- Land issues and social unrest	- Interview and field confirmation	- Tanah Mas area on the right bank near river mouth	- Monthly	- As long as problems exist	- Land acquisition committee - Project office
Roadside trees along the floodway	- Field Inspection	- Downstream from Simongan weir	- Weekly	- Until embank- ment work is over	- Project office
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · ·			
(Construction Stage)	and the second s				
- Noise	- Measured by noise level meter	- villages along the river and floodway	- Bi-monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Air pollution	- High volume sampling method	villages along the river and floodway	- Bi-monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Traffic congestion	- Traffic congestion frequency/duration	- villages along the river and floodway	- Weekly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Water quality of the river	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Sampling locations selected in EIA	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office - Environment bureau of Provincial Gov.
- Sedimentation	- Field Inspecttion and measurement	- Downstream from Tugu Suharto	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Road damage	- Field Inspection and measurement	- City roads along the river/ floodway	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Aquatic biota	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Sampling locations selected in EIA	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Existing water intake facilities	- Water flow - Water level	- PDAM water intake - Intake to the left bank cannal	- Daily	- Construction period	- Project office - PDAM
- Sand quarry operation	- Field Inspection of sand quarry activity	- Downstream portion from Tugu Suharto	- Weekly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Railway bridge	Field Inspection on risk management	- Railway bridge over west floodway	- Daily	- Construction period	- Project office - PJKA
- Ferry boat service	- Inspection on service frequency	- Ferry service location and route	- Daily	- Construction period	Project office
(Post-Construction Stag	re)				<u> </u>
- Illegal land use	- Field Inspection	- Tanah Mas area (right bank near river mouth)	Bi-monthly	- Min. 2 years	- Project office - Chief of subdistrict
					- Citter of subdistrict
- Water quality	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Sampling locations selected in EIA	- Monthly	- No limit	- Project office - Environment bureau of Provincial Gov.
<u> </u>		F79			
- Flood mitigation	- Inspection on flood frequency/duration	- Flood-prone area along Garang river/floodway	- Every rainy season	- No limit	Project office
- Water intake facilities	- Field inspection	- PDAM water intake - Intake to leftbank canal	- Daily	- No limit	- Project office - PDAM
- River morphology	- Field inspection and measurement	- Downstream portion from Tugu Suharto - River mouth	- Every 6 months	- No limit	- Project office

Table 3.3 (2/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN (JATIBARANG MULTIPURPOSE DAM CONSTRUCTION)

Monitoring Item	Monitoring Method	Location	Monitoring Frequency	Duration	Monitoring Agency Concerned
Pre-Construction Stage)					
Land issues and social unrest	- Interview and field inspection	- All project-affected villages - Proposed dam site and reservoir area	· Monthly	- As long as problems exist	- Land acquisition committee - Project office - DGWRD
Construction Stage)					
Noise	- Measured by noise level meter	- Project-affected villages	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
Air pollution	- High volume sampling method	- Project-affected villages	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
Traffic disturbance	- Traffic congestion frequency/duration	- Project-affected villages	- Weekly	- Construction period	- Project office
Water quality of the river	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Kreo river	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office - Environment burea of Provincial Gov.
Sedimentation	- Field inspection and measurement	- Sand settling pond - Kreo river	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
Road damage	- Field inspection and measurement	- Village road - Access road	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
- Aquatic biota	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Kreo river	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
(Post-Construction Stag	e)				
- Illegal land use	- Field inspection	- Dam and reservoir surrounding areas	- Bi-monthly	- Min. 2 years	- Project office - Chief of village - Chief of subdistric
- Water quality	- Test and analysis of sample water in laboratory	- Reservoir - Kreo river	- Monthly	- No limit	- Project office - Municipality
- Flow of Garang river	- Inspection and measurement	- Kreo river - Garang river	- Weekly	- Min. 2 years	- Project office
- Land slide	- Field inspection	- Reservoir surrounding areas	- Monthly	- Min. 2 years	- Project office
Goa Kreo park	- Number of tourists - Number of terrestrial fauna	- Goa Kreo park	- Monthly	- Min. 2 years	- Project office - Tourism agency
- Land use pattern	- Field inspection	- Jatibarang, Jatirejo, Kandri and Kedungpane	- Every 6 months	- No limit	- Project office
					- City planning ager
- Fish farming	- Field inspection	- Dam reservoir	- Monthly	- No limit	- Project office - Chief of village

Table 3.3 (3/3) ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PLAN (URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT)

(Construction Stage) - Noise - Merlevel - Air pollution - Hig me - Traffic congestion - Traffic	erview and field pection assured by noise rel meter sampling thod affic congestion equency/duration Id inspection d measurement	Proposed location of Asin retarding pond Proposed Asin pumping station Proposed urban drainage areas Proposed urban drainage areas Proposed urban drainage areas	- Monthly - Bi-monthly - Bi-monthly	- As long as problems exist - Construction period - Construction period - Construction	- Land acquisition committee - Project office - Municipality - Project office - Project office
Construction Stage) Noise - Median - Hig me Traffic congestion - Traffic Congestion - Traffic Congestion - Fiel	asured by noise rel meter sh volume sampling shod	Asin retarding pond Proposed Asin pumping station Proposed urban drainage areas Proposed urban drainage areas Proposed urban drainage areas	- Bi-monthly	- Construction period	committee - Project office - Municipality - Project office - Project office
Noise - Mealeve Air pollution - Hig me Traffic congestion - Traffic Sedimentation - Fiel	rel meter gh volume sampling shod affic congestion quency/duration	areas - Proposed urban drainage areas - Proposed urban drainage	- Bi-monthly	period - Construction period	- Project office
Air pollution - Hig me Traffic congestion - Tra free Sedimentation - Fiel	rel meter gh volume sampling shod affic congestion quency/duration	areas - Proposed urban drainage areas - Proposed urban drainage	- Bi-monthly	period - Construction period	- Project office
Traffic congestion - Tra free Sedimentation - Fiel	othod offic congestion quency/duration	areas - Proposed urban drainage		period	
free Sedimentation - Fiel	quency/duration		- Weekly	- Construction	D
				period	- Project office
	u measurement	- Asin, Semarang and Baru rivers	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
	eld inspection d measurement	- City roads along the rivers - Access roads	- Monthly	- Construction period	- Project office
	eld inspection I supervision	From dredging site to disposal area Land reclamation site	- Weekly	 Until dredging work is over 	- Project office
		- Carlo reciamation site			
	eld inspection on k management	- Railway bridge and its affected area	- Daily	- Construction period	- Project office - PJKA
(Post-Construction Stage)					
- Pump operation - Fie	eld inspection	- Pumping station - Retarding pond	- Bi-monthly	- No limit	- Project office
int	eld inspection and terview on flood equency/duration	- Proposed urban drainage area	- Every rainy season	- No limit	- Project office
- Sedimentation and - Fie its disposal site	eld inspection	- Retarding pond - Disposal site	- Monthly	- No limit	Project office
	spection and easurement	- Proposed urban drainage area	- Every 6 months	- No limit	- Project office - Mining agency
	eld inspection and measurement	- River mouth - Harbor basin	- Every 6 months	- No limit	- Port authority