## CHAPTER 8 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

## 8 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

Various traffic surveys have been carried out in order to analyze existing traffic condition in the study area. Traffic survey location map is shown in Figure 8.1.1.


Figure 8.1.1 Traffic Survey Location Map

### 8.1 Roadside Traffic Count Survey

### 8.1.1 Result of Roadside Traffic Count

Existing traffic volume was counted at 8 locations in total and they were classified into two types; at 5 locations (same as the Roadside Origin-Destination survey locations) over a 12 hour period from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on a weekday, and at 3 independent locations over a 12 hour period on a weekday. Heavy Vehicle Ratio at each survey point is shown in Table 8.1.1.

Table 8.1.1 $\quad 12$ hours Heavy Vehicle Ratio of Roadside Count

| Location <br> Number | Location Name | No. of Heavy <br> Vehicles | Heavy Vehicle <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | San Lorenzo -Capiatá Section | 6,258 | $26.0 \%$ |
| 2 | Capiatá - Itauguá Section | 4,047 | $29.4 \%$ |
| 3 | Ypacarai - Caacupe Section | 3,084 | $40.4 \%$ |
| 4 | Eusebio Ayala - Itacurubi Section | 2,440 | $41.7 \%$ |
| 5 | Caacupé - Piribebuy Detour Section | 1,728 | $43.0 \%$ |
| 6 | San Jose - Cnel. Oviedo Section | 2,071 | $44.3 \%$ |
| 7 | Cnel. Oviedo - Caaguazú Section | 1,728 | $51.3 \%$ |
| 8 | Villarrica - Cnel. Oviedo Section | 596 | $34.5 \%$ |

### 8.2 Roadside OD Survey

### 8.2.1 Present OD Volume

A roadside Origin-Destination (OD) survey was conducted by interviewing drivers at 5 locations over a 12 hour period from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on a weekday. The number of samples checked is tabulated in Table 8.2.1. Vehicle movements by vehicle type obtained from the results of OD survey are illustrated under "Desired Lines" in Figure 8.2.1.


Figure 8.2.1 Desired Lines

### 8.2.2 Present Traffic Generation and Attraction by Vehicle Type

The present traffic generation/attraction volume by traffic zone, which was developed both by the OD survey results, roadside traffic count results, the review of "Estudio del Plan Maestro del Transporte Nacional (1992; JICA)" (hereinafter referred to as ETNA study) and "The Feasibility Study on Arterial Road Development project in the Central Eastern Area in The Republic of Paraguay (1997; JICA)" (hereinafter referred to as PARAGUARI-VILLARRICA study), is tabulated in Table 8.2.2.

Table 8.2.1 Sample Number of Roadside Origin-Destination Survey

| Loc. | Dir | Survey | Coche | Bus | Truck | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | de Asuncion | Count | 8,724 | 1,230 | 2,032 | 11,986 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 9,128 | 1,317 | 1,679 | 12,124 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | de Asuncion | Count | 4,923 | 715 | 1,336 | 6,974 |
|  |  | OD sample | 672 | 82 | 371 | 1,125 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 13.7 | 11.5 | 27.8 | 16.1 |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 4,813 | 707 | 1,289 | 6,809 |
|  |  | OD sample | 804 | 59 | 283 | 1,146 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 16.7 | 8.3 | 22.0 | 16.8 |
| 3 | de Asuncion | Count | 2,355 | 457 | 1,174 | 3,986 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 2,187 | 480 | 973 | 3,640 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | de Asuncion | Count | 1,748 | 241 | 1,008 | 2,997 |
|  |  | OD sample | 444 | 65 | 354 | 863 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 25.4 | 27.0 | 35.1 | 28.8 |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 1,670 | 247 | 944 | 2,861 |
|  |  | OD sample | 509 | 65 | 241 | 815 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 30.5 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 28.5 |
| 5 | de Asuncion | Count | 1,196 | 154 | 752 | 2,102 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 1,096 | 152 | 670 | 1,918 |
|  |  | OD sample | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Ratio \% | - | - | - | - |
| 6 | de Asuncion | Count | 1,311 | 179 | 873 | 2,363 |
|  |  | OD sample | 435 | 70 | 367 | 872 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 33.2 | 39.1 | 42.0 | 36.9 |
|  | a Asuncion | Count | 1,293 | 177 | 842 | 2,312 |
|  |  | OD sample | 467 | 77 | 304 | 848 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 36.1 | 43.5 | 36.1 | 36.7 |
| 7 | de Cnel. Oviedo | Count | 567 | 72 | 204 | 843 |
|  |  | OD sample | 376 | 31 | 163 | 570 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 66.3 | 43.1 | 79.9 | 67.6 |
|  | a Cnel. Oviedo | Count | 565 | 79 | 241 | 885 |
|  |  | OD sample | 425 | 40 | 146 | 611 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 75.2 | 50.6 | 60.6 | 69.0 |
| 8 | de Asuncion | Count | 819 | 168 | 698 | 1,685 |
|  |  | OD sample | 423 | 39 | 337 | 799 |
|  |  | Ratio \% | 51.6 | 23.2 | 48.3 | 47.4 |
|  |  | Count | 820 | 153 | 709 | 1,682 |
|  |  | OD sample | 441 | 63 | 371 | 875 |
|  | a Asuncion | Ratio \% | 53.8 | 41.2 | 52.3 | 52.0 |

Table 8.2.2 Daily Traffic Generation and Attraction in 1999

| Zone <br> No. | Zone Name | P. Car |  | Bus |  | Truck |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction | Generation | Attraction |
| 1. | PARAGUARI | 445 | 277 | 72 | 34 | 110 | 123 | 627 | 434 |
| 2. | ESCOBAR | 32 | 76 | 4 | 14 | 12 | 77 | 48 | 167 |
| 3. | SAPUCAI | 43 | 65 | 4 | 11 | 11 | 45 | 58 | 121 |
| 4. | ACAHAY | 77 | 90 | 0 | 33 | 18 | 6 | 95 | 129 |
| 5. | CARAPEGUA | 176 | 181 | 24 | 14 | 78 | 43 | 278 | 238 |
| 6. | YAGUARON | 169 | 168 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 128 | 247 | 296 |
| 7. | PIRAYU | 139 | 235 | 21 | 46 | 78 | 100 | 238 | 381 |
| 8. | CABALLERO | 15 | 59 | 16 | 11 | 9 | 17 | 40 | 87 |
| 9. | YBYTIMI | 16 | 57 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 35 | 27 | 105 |
| 10. | TEBICUARY MI | 37 | 32 | 4 | 0 | 26 | 18 | 67 | 50 |
| 11. | LA COLMENA | 104 | 62 | 24 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 139 | 70 |
| 12. | YBYCUI | 45 | 70 | 18 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 73 | 85 |
| 13. | VILLARRICA | 327 | 331 | 71 | 74 | 482 | 456 | 880 | 861 |
| 14. | YATAITY | 105 | 62 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 11 | 129 | 73 |
|  | MBOCAYATY | 158 | 118 | 2 | 2 | 68 | 35 | 228 | 155 |
| 16. | NUMI | 84 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 37 | 143 | 93 |
| 17. | SAN SALVADOR | 49 | 48 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 24 | 62 | 79 |
| 18. | ITURBE | 76 | 64 | 11 | 15 | 41 | 153 | 128 | 232 |
| 19. | BORJA | 66 | 72 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 55 | 75 | 136 |
| 20. | ITAPE | 33 | 39 | 7 | 3 | 29 | 1 | 69 | 43 |
| 21. | CORONEL MARTINEZ | 31 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 46 | 45 | 94 |
| 22. | FELIX PEREZ CARDOZO | 74 | 52 | 0 | 15 | 12 | 11 | 86 | 78 |
| 23. | CAACUPE | 1,148 | 1,500 | 222 | 186 | 731 | 660 | 2,101 | 2,346 |
| 24. | EUSEBIO AYALA | 498 | 388 | 35 | 7 | 352 | 221 | 885 | 616 |
| 25. | PIRIBEBUY | 661 | 513 | 55 | 55 | 245 | 177 | 961 | 745 |
| 26. | ITACURUBI DE LA CORDILLERA | 381 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 288 | 75 | 669 | 236 |
| 27. | VALENZUELA | 43 | 50 | 21 | 15 | 51 | 68 | 115 | 133 |
| 28. | CORONEL OVIEDO | 601 | 563 | 27 | 74 | 1,230 | 985 | 1,858 | 1,622 |
| 29. | NUEVA LONDRES | 91 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 25 | 132 | 107 |
| 30. | SAN JOSE DE LOS ARROYOS | 99 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 156 | 241 | 237 |
| 31. | ASUNCION | 6,478 | 6,715 | 1,498 | 1,388 | 2,061 | 1,384 | 10,037 | 9,487 |
| 32. | CONCEPCION | 140 | 341 | 81 | 80 | 1,704 | 1,448 | 1,925 | 1,869 |
| 33. | SAN PEDRO | 241 | 294 | 98 | 200 | 1,521 | 2,233 | 1,860 | 2,727 |
| 34. | CORDILLERA OESTE | 526 | 581 | 42 | 91 | 189 | 445 | 757 | 1,117 |
| 35. | CORDILLERA ESTE | 343 | 449 | 251 | 154 | 386 | 415 | 980 | 1,018 |
| 36. | GUAIRA | 314 | 150 | 21 | 9 | 310 | 329 | 645 | 488 |
| 37. | CAAGUAZU OESTE | 25 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 616 | 167 | 691 |
| 38. | CAAGUAZU ESTE | 432 | 469 | 24 | 7 | 712 | 849 | 1,168 | 1,325 |
| 39. | ITAPUA | 226 | 205 | 48 | 30 | 297 | 287 | 571 | 522 |
| 40. | CAAZAPA ESTE | 130 | 138 | 19 | 27 | 280 | 365 | 429 | 530 |
| 41. | ITAPUA | 279 | 328 | 4 | 14 | 2,776 | 2,492 | 3,059 | 2,834 |
| 42. | MISIONES | 5,874 | 5,371 | 157 | 226 | 1,750 | 1,976 | 7,781 | 7573 |
| 43. | PARAGUARI SUR | 207 | 140 | 17 | 8 | 282 | 255 | 506 | 403 |
| 44. | ALTO PARANA | 613 | 652 | 192 | 180 | 837 | 721 | 1,642 | 1,553 |
| 45. | CENTRAL NORTE | 2,303 | 2,679 | 85 | 64 | 1,561 | 2,816 | 3,949 | 5,559 |
| 46. | CENTRAL SUR | 682 | 767 | 54 | 57 | 699 | 770 | 1,435 | 1,594 |
| 47. | NEEMBUCU | 62 | 233 | 11 | 50 | 263 | 620 | 336 | 903 |
| 48. | AMAMBAY | 2,303 | 2,679 | 85 | 64 | 1,561 | 2,816 | 3,949 | 5,559 |
| 49. | CANINDEYU | 682 | 767 | 54 | 57 | 699 | 770 | 1,435 | 1,594 |
| 50. | CHACO | 62 | 233 | 11 | 50 | 263 | 620 | 336 | 903 |

### 8.3 Intersection Traffic Count Survey

### 8.3.1 Result of Intersection Traffic Count Survey

Existing intersection traffic volume was counted at 8 major intersections over a 12 hour period from 6:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. on a weekday. Heavy Vehicle Ratio at each survey intersection is shown in Table 8.3.1.

Table 8.3.1 12 hours Heavy Vehicle Ratio of Intersection Count

| Intersection <br> Number | Intersection Name | No. of Heavy <br> Vehicles | Heavy Vehicle <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | San Lorenzo - Intersection of Roads 1 and 2 | 4,509 | $24.7 \%$ |
| 2 | Capiata - Detour to Aregua | 5,589 | $32.7 \%$ |
| 3 | Ypacarai - Detour to San Bernardino | 2,065 | $39.9 \%$ |
| 4 | Caacupe - Detour to Piribebuy | 2,707 | $44.9 \%$ |
| 5 | Eusebio Ayala - City entry | 1,975 | $38.0 \%$ |
| 6 | Itacurubi - Detour to Valenzuela | 1,753 | $42.1 \%$ |
| 7 | Cnel. Oviedo - Rotonda of Road 7 | 2,904 | $31.1 \%$ |
| 8 | Caaguazu - Intersection of the Road to Yhú | 2,728 | $39.2 \%$ |

### 8.4 Travel Time Survey

### 8.4.1 Result of Travel Time Survey

Travel speed was examined by registering trip distance and travel time at major intersections along the target route between San Lorenzo and Caaguazu on national roads 2 and 7. One surveyor recorded the distance, by using the trip meter in his vehicle, and the time, by using his watch. This survey was carried out 3 times in each direction. The travel time survey results are shown in Figure 8.4.1.
7.Iodoy IBUIL
Feasibility Study for the Improvement of the National Route 2 and Route 7

### 8.5 Axle Load

The purposes of the Axle Load survey are to obtain data to calculate Equivalent Single Axle Load (ESAL) factors used in the design of flexible pavements. The AASHTO method was applied to calculate the ESAL factors.

### 8.5.1 Procedure

Procedure to determine the axle load distribution pattern is shown in Figure 8.5.1. Based on the axle load data, axle load distribution pattern by type of axle (Bus and Trucks ) was established, then the axle load distribution pattern for all types of truck was developed on percentage shares of empty and loaded trucks as well as truck types. This chapter discussed axle load distribution pattern as shown in Figure 8.5.1


Figure 8.5.1 Procedure to Determine Axle Load

### 8.5.2 Axle Load Distribution Pattern by Type of Axles

As shown in Table 8.5.1, the following four(4) types of axle load distribution pattern by type of axle were developed.

Trucks (Loaded / Empty )

- Single axle load distribution pattern for 2-axle load
- Single axle distribution pattern for trucks with 3 more axles
- Tandem axle load distribution pattern

Table 8.5.1 Axle Load Distribution Pattern by Type of Axle

| Vehicle type | Axle Composition | Axle Load Distribution Pattern by Type of Axle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trucks |  |  |
| 2-Axle Truck | 2 Single Axle | single axle load distribution <br> Pattern for 2-axle truck <br> ( 2 single-axle loads combined) |
| 3-Axle Truck | 1 Single Axle | Single axle load distribution |
|  | 1 Tandem Axle | Pattern for Truck with 3 or more axles |
| 4-Axle truck | 2 Single Axles | ( All single axle loads of 3, |
|  | 1 Tandem Axle | 4 \& 5 axle truck combined ) |
| 5-Axle truck | 1 Single Axle | Tandem axle load distribution |
|  | 2 Tandem Axles | Pattern |
|  |  | ( All tandem axle load of 3,4 and |
|  |  | 5 axle trucks combined ) |
| Buses |  | Single axle load distribution pattern |
| All type |  |  |

### 8.5.3 Survey Results

The information collected in the survey forms was tabulated by vehicle type and the weight for each axle was inputted. The axles were then grouped according to the recommended AASHTO weight groupings and the total number of axles in each group was calculated. The average weight of the group was also calculated. Using the AASHTO Traffic Equivalency Factor tables, the prorated factor was obtained for each group. Then the 18kips ESAL was calculated for each group and the ESAL factor for each vehicle type was established. Table 8.5 .2 shows truck factors.

Table 8.5.2 Axle Load Equivalency Factors

| Survey <br> Station | Direction | Tuck Factor |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2. Axle <br> Truck | 3.Axle <br> Truck | Trailer | All Trucks |
| Km 66 | 1 | $1.003(54 \%)$ | $0.727(21 \%)$ | $3.730(25 \%)$ | $1.625(51 \%)$ |
|  | 2 | $0.344(60 \%)$ | $2.485(10 \%)$ | $2.735(30 \%)$ | $1.274(49 \%)$ |
|  | 1 | $1.142(48 \%)$ | $2.352(28 \%)$ | $4.013(24 \%)$ | $2.169(47 \%)$ |
|  | 2 | $0.251(41 \%)$ | $2.121(12 \%)$ | $4.511(47 \%)$ | $2.476(53 \%)$ |

### 8.6 Observation on Factors Affecting Traffic Flow

### 8.6.1 Present Traffic Condition on Target Route

Demand forecasting process is based on 24 hour annual average traffic. Then 12 hour traffic volume is required to convert 24 hour annual average traffic volume. In this study, the 12 hour traffic volume was converted by permanent traffic count point data of M.O.P.C-O.P.I.T and survey data of "Paraguarí-Villarrica" study. These data are shown in Table 8.6.1, Figure 8.6.1 and Figure 8.6.2. 24 hour annual traffic volume on target route is shown in Figure 8.6.3.

Table 8.6.1 24h/12h Traffic Volume Ratio on National Road 2 at San Jose

|  | Item | P. Car | Bus | Truck | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both | 24h Volume | 2,495 | 468 | 2,042 | 5,005 |
|  | 12h Volume | 1,726 | 269 | 1,066 | 3,061 |
|  | 24h/12h Ratio | 1.446 | 1.740 | 1.916 | 1.635 |

Source by "Paraguarí-Villarrica study"

by "The Feasibilityu Study on Arterial Road Development Project in the Central Eastern Area in the Republic of Paraguay (1996; JICA)

Figure 8.6.1 24h/12h Traffic Volume Ratio on National Road 2 at San Jose


Figure 8.6.2 Annual Traffic Volume Fluctuation on Target Route


Figure 8.6.3 $\mathbf{2 4}$ hours Annual Average Traffic

### 8.6.2 Characteristics of Present Traffic Conditions on Target Route

Existing traffic could be classified into three types; between San Lorenzo and Ypacarai, Ypacarai and Cnel. Oviedo, Cnel. Oviedo and Caaguazu. The characteristics were as follows.

## (1) San Lorenzo - Ypacarai

The target route is basically an inter city route connecting the metropolitan area and Ciudad del Este. But the traffic in this section is not only inter-city traffic. It includes commuting traffic between CBD area and residential areas. The reason is as follows;

1) Traffic volume is higher than other sections.
2) Heavy vehicle volume is less than other sections.
3) High density residential area has moved from CBD towards San Lorenzo in the last few years.

The daily volume of traffic in the built-up area of San Lorenzo is currently 38,000, and it decreases on the section between San Lorenzo and Ypacaraí, or 28,000. This difference mostly stems from commuter traffic generated and attracted by the built-up area of San Lorenzo. Although the traffic is mainly inter-city in character, in-in and in-out traffic of this zone account for $40 \%$ of the total. This zone contains highly urbanized areas, and further housing developments will lead to an increase in access traffic and access points along the national route.

The peak hour of the inter-city traffic is around seven o' clock in the morning, but the peak rate is actually rather small or $6.3 \%$. The direction distribution during peak hours is 55/45, which means that the traffic flow is characterized as an urban type. On the other hand, however, the truck ratio is found to be high, or $30.1 \%$ for the daily average and $22.6 \%$ during peak hours. These characteristics show that the section can be classified as an inter-city trunk road.

## (2) Ypacaraí - Cnel. Oviedo

The characteristics of this section are moderate between the San Lorenzo - Ypacarai section and the Cnel. Oviedo - Caaguazu section.
The farther away from Asuncion, Ypacaraí - Caacupé, Caacupé- Desvio a Piribebuy, Eusebio Ayala - Itacurubí, Capiatá - Itauguá, and San Jose - Cnel. Oviedo, the lower the traffic volume becomes, from 23,000 to 8,000 . To the contrary, the truck ratio increases from $35 \%$ to $51 \%$. Peak traffic occurs between 2:00 to 4:00 p.m., and the peak rate ranges from $5.8 \%$ to $6.6 \%$. The direction distribution during peak hours is 60/40 in San Jose and 58/42 in Capiatá, and they show a significant disparity. In other areas, however, it is $52 / 48$, the difference is rather small.

Ratios of nighttime traffic to 12-hour daytime of truck, bus, and auto are relatively high, or $0.91,0.74$, and 0.45 , respectively. This implies that the road improvement plan needs to take into account safety during the night.

Those intersections in urbanized areas contain local traffic and thus show lower truck ratios, ranging from 37 to $50 \%$, than the inter-city sections. However, the absolute number of trucks is still significant. Peak traffic occurs between 3:00 to 5:00pm, and the peak rate is 6.1 to $7.1 \%$.

Since inter-city traffic is usually long-distance, road improvements require considerations for long-distance freight transport.

The cities along National Route 2 are developed mostly on roadside areas. There are many access roads to Route 2 , and they have narrow road widths. The lateral clearance of road traffic is also small. In two-lane roads in CBDs, the traffic capacity at the service level of D is only $1,400 \mathrm{PCU}$. With the existing traffic volume, the capacity is easily saturated, and thus it is necessary to take some measures to resolve this problem.

Roads on rolling terrain do not have large cuts or embankment structures, and their vertical alignments simply follow natural terrain. Because of this, there are sections where topographic features negatively affect the traffic capacity of roads. Currently, this poses little problem, but in future when traffic volume increases, it will be necessary to increase the road capacity.

## (3) Cnel. Oviedo - Caaguazú

The traffic in this section is mainly inter city traffic. The reason is as follows;

1) Traffic volume is lower than in other sections.
2) Heavy vehicle volume is higher than other sections.
3) Cargo vehicle volume ratio is higher in heavy vehicle traffic.

In this section, the daily volume of traffic is between 3,000 to 6,000 , many of which are long-distance trips. The composition of trucks is relatively high and accounts for 40.5 to $57.9 \%$. Peak traffic occurs from 4:00 to 5:00 p.m., and the peak rate is rather low, ranging from $6.7 \%$ to $7.1 \%$.

The direction distribution during peak hours remains at a similar level throughout the section, or 52/48. Like the section between Ypacaraí and Cnel. Oviedo, the ratio of nighttime to daytime traffic is fairly significant, and thus it is necessary to take some measures to insure safety during nighttime as well.

The right-of-way is reserved for about 100 m in width even in urban areas. There are almost no factors that pose constraints to traffic capacity in this section, and it is not difficult to secure the capacity of handling 2,400 vehicles per day. However, the vertical alignment of the sections on rolling terrain has been designed without correcting the natural topography, and there are sections where steep slopes lower the road capacity. It is important, therefore, to prepare for an increase in future traffic volume and insure the safety of long-distance operations of heavy trucks.

At intersections in the cities along Route 7, local traffic is added to inter-city traffic, and the volume reaches 1,100 to 1,500 . This is over three times more than the volume on the
inter-city sections, and many urban places are congested on a daily basis. Peak hours in the cities are between 4:00 to 5:00 p.m., and the rate ranges from $5.9 \%$ to $6.3 \%$. The truck ratio during the peak hours is as high as $31.8 \%$ to $38.7 \%$. It is thus critical to improve those intersections by taking into account large vehicles, traffic accidents, and daily local traffic.

### 8.7 Level of Service by Road Section and Intersection

### 8.7.1 Present Service Level on Target Route

The level of service by road section is almost reasonable excluding some inner city area sections. This has been confirmed by the travel time survey results. The target route is almost able to pass traffic at more than $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The speed is reduced only in some city area sections to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

The level of service at intersections are also not so bad. The main direction is of course along national roads 2 and 7 , but cross traffic is quite low compared to the main direction volume. This has been confirmed by an intersection traffic count survey.

