Table C.4.1 Results of Water Balance Analysis under Future Conditions

	Cross A mad	Annual Average		Nater Reconnes	A	Annual Average Water Demand	Water Deman	-			A Course	(Unit: '000 m	(Unit: '000 m')
Block Name	(ha)	Runoff		Total	Trrigation	Livestock	Municipal 1	Total	Deficit	Surming	1st (1/20)	2nd (1/10)	4th (1/5)
Diock Lyding	1 222	11 414	A DOA	16.310	miguion 0 (41	Lincolor	mainini	10 CTT	NAME OF THE PERSON	Surping 7		킈	0.0
I. Suta	1,2,1	11,414	4,904	10,318	8,041	or °	<b>O</b> (	8,0//	88	,,,,8	,12	453	817
		6	4	13	1	0	0	/	0	9	<b>-</b>	0	0
2. Cap-1**	1,365	38,737	912	39,649	9,237	38	3,156	12,431	37	27,254	581	115	0
		28	-	29	7	0	2	6	0	20	0	0	0
<ol><li>Cucunuba</li></ol>	1,892	4,463	7,330	11,793	9,218	53	0	9,271	9	2,528	120	0	0
		7	4	9	5	0	0	S	0		0	0	0
4. Lenguazaque	2,309	39,572	0	39,572	14,062	99	0	14,127	2,673	28,118	5,252	4,997	4,610
		17	0	17	9	0	0	9	_	12	2	2	2
5. Cap-2	1,582	35,419	3,087	38,506	10,705	45	0	10,750	76	27,853	1,059	411	62
		22	2	24	7	0	0	7	0	18		0	0
6. Mariño	700	70,958	0	70,958	4,263	20	0	4,283	1,403	820,89	2,445	2,444	2,216
		101	0	101	9	0	0	9	2	26	3	3	3
7. Mariño-Ubate	387	68,789	0	68,789	2,357	=	0	2,368	950	67,372	1,425	1,441	1,364
		178	0	178	9	0	0	9	7	174	4	4	4
8. Fuquene	2,537	17,815	9,484	27,299	15,451	71	0	15,522	0	11,776	0	0	0
		7	4	11	9	0	0	9	0	S	0	0	0
9. Honda	809	17,525	1,185	18,710	3,100	14	0	3,114	0	15,597	0	0	0
		34	2	37	9	0	0	9	0	31	0	0	0
10. Susa	563	10,309	1,481	11,790	2,678	16	0	2,694	0	960'6	0	0	0
		18	3	21	5	0	0	'n	0	16	0	0	0
11. Suarez***	8,309	261,430	13,405	274,835	30,320	146	6,312	36,778	0	238,058	0	0	0
		31	2	33	4	0	_	4	0	29	0	0	0
12. Simijaca	417	24,392	0	24,392	1,998	6	0	2,007	121	22,505	1,164	420	168
		28	0	58	5	0	0	5	0	54	3	_	0
13. Old-Suarez	228	272,033	0	272,033	982	9	0	886	0	271,045	0	0	0
		1,193	0	1,193	4	0	0	4	0	1,189	0	0	0
14. Madron	1,359	11,907	0	11,907	5,854	38	0	5,892	2,896	8,910	4,721	4,638	4,522
		6	0	6	4	0	0	4	2	7	3	3	3
15. Merchan	640	294,458	100	294,558	2,757	18	0	2,775	0	291,782	0	0	0
		460	0	460	4	0	0	4	0	456	0	0	0
16. Honda.	349	17,705	0	17,705	2,125	01	0	2,135	207	15,777	696	470	321
Extension		51	0	51	9	0	0	9		45	3	1	
17. Susa.	426	11,500	0	11,500	2,026	12	0	2,038	351	9,813	1,070	926	588
Extension		27	0	27	5	0	0	5	_	23	3	2	1
Total	24,849		41,888	1	125,774	809	9,468	135,850	8,829	:	1		1
Note)	* 1st, 2nd ar	* 1st, 2nd and 4th annual deficit	deficit values	in 20 years (ii	n descending	values in 20 years (in descending order) correspond to 20, 10 and 5 years probable values, respectively	and to 20, 10	and 5 years pr	robable values	s, respectively			

\* 1st, 2nd and 4th annual deficit values in 20 years (in descending order) correspond to 20, 10 and 5 years probable values, respectively.

\*\* Municipal: Ubate, \*\*\* Municipal: Chiquinquira. 1. Figures in lower row mean per ha values.

Table C.4.2 Hato Dam Water Supply under Future Conditions

*		_		_		10			~		$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	_
)00 m³)*	1/5	3,907	2,221	1,337		45	70	1,271	2,093	851		<u> </u>	1,599
eriod ('(	1/10	4,372	2,653	1,693	0	117	142	2,548	2,820	1,228	0	0	2,177
Return Period ('000 m3)**	1/20	4,591	3,049	2,045	0	175	227	2,590	2,897	1,349	-	7	3,041
	۲6،	424	1,186	901	0	0	6	467	654	312	0	0	3,041
	96,	1,880	1,571	291	0	1117	91	798	891	324	0	0	315
	.65	1,755	953	178	0	0	0	788	492	248	0	0	115
	194	2,361	1,090	530	0	0	53	630	1,033	392	0	0	760
	193	3,466 2,500	1,145	440	0	0	0	906	266	304	0	0	750
	'92		2,148	1,337	0	71	227	2,281	2,480	851	0	0	638
Necessary Release Water from Hato Dam ('000 m³/month)*	16,	2,882	1,499	191	0	0	7	737	755	280	0	0	508
000 m <sup>3</sup> /1	06.	1,452	749	502	0	0	70	1,271	1,702	574	0	0	653
Dam ('(	68,	3,907	1,953	351	0	0	0	417	2,093	1,349	0	0	414 2,177
n Hato	.88	3,642	2,016	1,693	0	45	0	588	1,365	0	0	0	414
ater froi	.87	4,248	2,586	1,651	0	7	0	398	1,313	518	0	0	866
ease W	98,	3,672	1,619	440	0	0	0	462	520	271	0	0	1,825
ary Rel	185	2,561	2,213	992	0	0	0	1,230	1,923	202	0	0	378
Necess	'84	3,877	2,221	1,332	0	0	53	2,548	2,897	1,098	_	2	1,120
	183		3,049 2,221	2,045	0	4	28	2,590 2,548	2,820 2,897	1,228 1,098	0	0	379
	'82	2,073	1,169 755	439	0	0	142	1,270	1,004	691	0	0	1,599
	'81	2,669	1,169	998	0	4	99	955	856	409	0	0	700
	.80	1,290	374	621	0	175	6	822	912	472	0	0	760
	62,	Jan. 2,175 4,372 1,290 2,669 2,073 4,591	2,653	846	0	0	9	872	837	390	0	0	708
	. 78	2,175	949	746	0	0	0	788	Aug. 1,672	577	0	0	892
	Mon.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	Jun.	Jul.	Aug.	Sep.	Dec.	Nov.	Dec.

Note) \* Including irrigation, livestock and Ubate municipal water use. These figures are derived from water balance analysis.

\*\* 1st, 2nd and 4th values in 20 years (in descending order) correspond to 20,10 and 5 years probable values.

Table C.4.3 Results of Optimization Simulation of Fuquene Lake (Future Conditions; Case-1)

										39.56	39.84	40.03	40.21	38.53	38.28	38.17	38.09	19.9	10.5	39.4K	39.77	1000	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	26.43		1 7 0 0	7 × 5 × 5	-	13.5	0P 68	86.68	40.13	38.36	38.05	10.7	22.8	
	3.3												•					٠,		3\$										38							
	2538.3	*								1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39		01	5/1	0171			3/1	210		2		217	1/10	1/20	27	13:	18.8-38.9		
										MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				, Constitution of the cons				MODE	CAV.	MAX			MIN		MODE	CAV.	
		39.65	40.08	40.24	38.33	38.22	38.14	14.9	9.7	39.57	39.84	40.03	40.20	38.54	38.30	38.19	38.12	19.61	10.2	19.47	39.77	10.01		76.00	30.00		38.00	22.2	13.4	39.41	39.02	40.12	38.38	38.10	28.03	22.9	
	2538.5	1/2	1/10	1/20	7.1	1/10	1/20	39.3-39.4		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.2-39.3	: :	Ü	5/1	1000	2 5	3 :	y <b>y</b>		100	39.0-39.1		2 2	01/1	07/1	24	1/10	1/20		
		MAX		Ę	MIN			(r)	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE 36		MAX	1			NEW				MODE 3		MAX			Z Z			CAV.	
		39.65 N	40.09		38.40	38.30	38.22		$\dashv$		39.85	40.03	40.21		38.37	38.27	38.20				39.77	****				10.00	1 4			39.42 h	39.03		18.88	38.15	98.09		
	8.7							2										۲۰,	,	38	1										i in				•		ın (%).
(F	2538.7	2/1 3	1/10	1/20		1/10	1/20	E 39.4-39.			1/5	1/10	1/20		1/5	1/10	1/20	30				1.00			2 2	000	001	98		2/1	Ш	1/20	2 2	01/1	16.8.38		Distributio
vel (El. 1		MAX			MIN			MODE	CAV	MAX		_		MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				Ì				MOD	CAV.	MAX			Z.		MOD	CAV.	ter Level ]
Vater Le		39.66	40.09	40.25	38.49	38.39	38.32	17.2	7.4	39.59	39.86	40.03	40.20	38.68	38.46	38.36	38.29	21.7	7.8	0F 0t	39.73	90.00		07.07	20.00	10.00	18 35	24.5	10.6	39.46	39.95	40.13	38.44	38.18	38.13	21.2	ode of Wa
eration V	2538.9	1/2	1/10	1/20	7/1	1/10	1/20	39,3-39,4		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39 2-39 3	!	C.	<u>.</u>	V1.01		3 6		41.1	201	39.0-39.1		2/1	01/1	8	<u> 2</u>	0 1 1	1/20		MODE: Mode of Water Level Distribution (%)
ason Op		MAX			MIN			MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV	MAX	*******			MA				MODE	CAV	MAX			N N		MODE	CAV	
Rainy Season Operation Water Level (El. m)		39.70	40.09	40.24	38.67	38.52	38.44	19.4	5.7	39.63	39.89	40.06	40.23	38.79	38.58	38.48	38.42	25.9	6.3	39.55	39.83	20.07	40.02	20,17	30.00	20.47	38.31	26.7	9.7								ater Level
	2539.1	1/2	1/10	1/20	7/1	01/1	1/20	39.1-39.2		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39 1-39 2	!	1/2	1/5	917	01/10	27.1	7/1	5/1	1/20	39.0-39.1								i	MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level
	2	MAX		ě	NIIN			1	CAV.	IAX				MIN				MODE 39		MAX	5			M				MODE 39									Annual M
	-	39.76 M	40.14		38.79	2 2	64		1.5 C		33	- 01	76		69	62	38.56			2				_				Ž	ָ ט								Probable
	9.3							_											1.8																		MIN
	2539.3		1/10	1/20	7/1	01/1	1/20	39.3-39.		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20		1/5	1/10	1/20	30																			evel.
		MAX		-	Z Z			MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	L																	m Water I
		39.84	40.19	40.32	39.07	38.79	38.73	31.3	1.0																												Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level.
	2539.5	1/2	1/10	1/20	7/1	1/10	1/20	39.4-39.5																													ble Annua
		MAX			Z Z				CAV.																												AX: Proba
	<u> </u>			ς.	689	57								6	53	7								Ľ	68:	57						6	.8£8	57		,	Note) M
1 .	Case											(u	l.	H)	[ə/	,ə-	113	ate	Μ¹	uo	iter	iə(	ΙO	uo	ses	S.	ΛıC	I.									

C-T19

CAV.: Rate of Cavitation Period at Chiquinquira Pumping Station (%).

: MAX (1/2) is less than 39.5.

Table C.4.4 Results of Optimization Simulation of Fuquene Lake (Future Conditions: Case-2)

	2538.5																					1.2 39.40		1/20 40:00					390-391 224
	2												r		7	-	-60	***				I MAX			MIN		10.00	100	MODE
	2538.7											MAX 1/2 39.4	7.05 59.7		1/20 40.02		1/5 38.2	38.18	02/1	392-393		MAX 1/2 39.41				\$1		1720	MODE 390-391 234
m)	_	39.61	39.82	39.96	40.09	38.65	38.41	38.31	38.24	14.8	8.7	39.51	39.73	39.88	40.02	38.62	38.39	38.30	38.23	18.8		3941	39.84	40.00			38.28		26.5 N
Rainy Season Operation Water Level (El. m)	2538.9	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.3-39.4		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.2-39.3		1/2	140	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	120	196-066
on Water		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX			NIN				MODE 390-39
n Operati		39.65	39.84	39.96	40.08	38.82	38.59	38.50	38.43	22.8	5.5	39.56	39.79	39.93	40.08	38.78	38.57	38.48	38.42	27.6	0.9	39.47	39.89	40.05	38.61	38.44	38.36	38.31	29.7
ny Seasor	2539.1	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.1-39.2		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.1-39.2		77 27	1/10	1/20	22	1/5	1/10	128	39.0-39.1
Rai		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX			¥		14.		MODE
		39.69	39.88	40.00	40.11	38.98	38.78	38.69	38.63	28.2	1.2	39.63	39.84	39.99	40.12	38.85	38.66	38.58	38.52	30.2	2.7								
	2539.3	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.3-39.4		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.2-39.3									
		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.								
			39.97	40.09	40.20	39.07	38.87	38.78	38.72	30.5	1.0																		
	2539.5	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.4-39.5																			
		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.		•																
(	Case					s.6	523	<u> </u>	(u	1. I	— (E	[9v	.ə-]	et.]			ioi E2		ədo	) t	IOS	eəs A	Dιλ		1.6	E S 7			

MODE: Mode of Water Level Distribution (%). MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level. Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level. MIN: Probable A CAV.: Rate of Cavitation Period at Chiquinquira Pumping Station (%).

AV.: Rate of Cavitation Period at Chiquinquira Pumping

Optimu

: Optimum Operation Rule.

Table C.4.5 Results of Optimization Simulation of Fuquene Lake (Future Conditions: Case-3)

	2538.5																					MAX 112 39.34 1/3 39.38 1/10 39.73 1/20 39.90 MEN 1/2 38.29 1/5 38.06 1/10 37.96 1/20 37.96 MODE 39.0-39.1 20.4 CAV. 19.7	
	2538.7											MAX 1/2 39.45	1/5 39.68	1/10 39.83	1/20 39.98	MIN 1/2 38.46			1720	MODE 39,1-39.2 15,8	CAV. 149	MAX 1/2 39:36  1/5 39:60  1/10 38:76  1/20 39:91  MIN 1/2 38:42  1/10 38:11  1/20 38:05  MODE 39:0-39:1 21:6  CAV. 17:5	MODE: Mode of Water Level Distribution (%).
. m)		39.58	39.78	39.91	40.04	38.62	38.40	38.31	38.24	13.9	9.1		39.70	39.83	36.6£	38.60	38.38	38.29	38.22	10.3		39.33 39.53 39.53 38.24 38.18 13.6 13.6	de of Water I
Rainy Season Operation Water Level (El. m)	2538.9	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	38.9-39.0		1/3		1/10	1/20	7.7	1/5	01/1	1/20	392.393		172 1710 1720 1720 1710 1710 1720 190:39.1	MODE: Mo
on Water		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				M				MODE	CAV.	MAX MIN MODE CAV.	el.
Operation		39.63	39.80	39.91	40.02	38.79	38.56	38.45	38.38	21.6	9.9	39.54	39.75	39.89	40.02	38.77	38.55	38.46	38.39	30.4	6.3	39.45 39.69 39.99 38.42 38.29 31.0	Water Lev
ny Season	2539.1	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.1-39.2		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.1-39.2		172 173 1720 1720 172 176 1716 1720 39 0-39 F	MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level
Rair		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX MODE CAV	able Annual
		39.68	39.85	39.96	40.07	38.98	38.76	38.67	38.60	30.0	2.1	39.61	39.81	39.94	40.06	38.84	38.65	38.57	38.52	31.1	3.4		MIN: Proba
	2539.3	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.3-39.4		1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.2-39.3			
. :		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.	MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.		n Water Lev
		39.77	39.94	40.06	40.17	39.05	38.84	38.76	38.69	30.9	1.0												l Maximun
	2539.5	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.4-39.5													Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level
		MAX				MIN				MODE	CAV.												MAX: Prob
7	Case				2	£.6	E57	7	(11	1 .1	а) 	la/	.ə-	ı Ja		E. 6			ad.		105	Dry Sea 2539.1	Note)

MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level. Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level. MIN: Probable A CAV.: Rate of Cavitation Period at Chiquinquira Pumping Station (%).

: Optimum Operation Rule, : MAX (1/2) is less than 39.5.

Table C.4.6 Results of Optimization Simulation of Fuquene Lake (Future Conditions: Case-4)

	2538.5																		MAX 1/2 39.28 1/5 39.52	1/10 39.68		ŗ		-		
	2538.7				3.00							1/10 39.76	1/20				87	MODE 391-392 17.2 CAV	1/2 39.31	1/10 39.66	39.79	1/5 38.17		30 0.39 0.00	181	MODE: Mode of Water Level Distribution (%).
. m)		39.55	39.74	39.99	38.60	38.38	38.29	38.22	14.6 9.7	39.46	39.66	39,79	39.01	38.50	38.38	38.29	23 23	9 & C		39.68	39.81	38.30	38.21	38.15	13.2	de of Water
Rainy Season Operation Water Level (El. m)	2538.9	1/2	1/2 1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	38.9-39.0	1/2	175	1/10	1720	172	L/S	1/10	120	E 65-7-65	7.2 7.5	1/10	1/20	15	01/1	1/20 18 9:39 D		MODE: Mo
on Water		MAX			MIN				MODE CAV.	MAX				MIN				E A	MAX		NIN			MODE	CAV	
n Operati		39.59	39.75 39.85	39.95	38.81	38.58	38.49	38.42	22.9 5.7	39.50	39.70	39.82	36.68	38.74	38.54	38.45	38.39	29.4	39.42 39.63	39.76	06.66	38.40	38.32	97.70	11.0	Water Lev
ny Seasor	2539.1	1/2	5/1 1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.1-39.2	1/2	1/5	01/1	120	172	175	7/10	128	39,1-39.2	1/2 1/5	01/1	1720	1/5	0//1	100.00		l Minimum
Rai		MAX			MIN				MODE CAV.	MAX				MIN				MOD Sev	MAX		NIN				CAV	MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level
		39.66	39.81 39.90	40.00	38.98	38.76	38.67	38.60	32.50 2.10	39.59	39.76	39.88	39.99	38.84	38.65	38.57	38.51	31.2 3.3								MIN: Prob
	2539.3	1/2	2/1 1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.3-39.4	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	1/2	1/2	1/10	1/20	39.2-39.3								vel.
		MAX			MIN				MODE CAV.	MAX				ZIM				MODE CAV.								n Water Le
		39.75	39.91 40.01	40.11	39.05	38.85	38.76	38.70	31.3 1.0	\$.																al Maximun
	2539.5	1/2	5/1 1/10	1/20	1/2	1/5	1/10	1/20	39.4-39.5										<u>.</u>							Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level
L		MAX			MIN				MODE CAV.																	MAX: Prot
3	Case			ġ	5.6	223	2	(17	1.4.7									Hoc	mag (	161	1.6	523	Z			Note)
5	ٽ -							(u	(El. 1	lθν	.əŋ	let.	tsV	Λu	oit	elsi	dC	uos	y Sea	DL						

Note) MAX: Probable Annual Maximum Water Level. MIN: Probable Annual Minimum Water Level. CAV.: Rate of Cavitation Period at Chiquinquira Pumping Station (%).

Pumping Station (%).

: MAX (1/2) is less than 39.5.

Table C.5.1 Cost Estimate of Irrigation System Improvement (1/4)

		Work Q	uantity	Unit Price	Amo	unt
Improvement Block	Item	Amount	Unit		(Million Pesos)	
1. Suta. Present	1 Direct construction cost (	naludina /				
1. Suta. Fresch	Direct construction cost (i     I.1 Intake works	neiuding A	(.1.U)			
	(1) Gate (G-ST1)	1	L.S.		276	
	(2) Gate (G-ST2)	1	L.S.		276	
	Sub-total (Intake works)	-	2.0.		552	
	1.2 Ditch works				332	
	(1) Ditch	9,500	m	8.8	84	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		90	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				174	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			726	378
	2. Land acquisition and com	pensation			150	
	3. Engineering and administ	ration cost	s (20 % c	of 1+2)	175	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			88	
	5. Total				1,139	593
2. Suta. Extension	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	LIII			
D. Data. Extension	1.1 Intake works	nerdaing r	1.1.0)			
	(1) Gate (G-ST3)	1	L.S.		276	
	Sub-total (Intake works)	•	ь.о.		276	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	1,000	m	8.8	9	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		33	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				42	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			318	166
	2. Land acquisition and com	pensation			16	
	<ol><li>Engineering and administration</li></ol>		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	67	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			33	
	5. Total				434	226
3. Cap-1. Present	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	.I.U)			
•	1.1 Intake works					
	Sub-total (Intake works)				0	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	15,700	m	26.3	413	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		105	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				518	
	Sub-total (Direct construct				518	270
	2. Land acquisition and com				247	
	3. Engineering and administr		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	153	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			77	
	5. Total				995	518
4. Cap-1. Extension	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	.LU)			
······································	1.1 Intake works					
	Sub-total (Intake works)				0	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	12,300	m	10.0	123	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		135	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				258	
	Sub-total (Direct construct				258	134
4	2. Land acquisition and com				194	
	3. Engineering and administr		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	90	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			45	
	5. Total				587	306

Table C.5.1 Cost Estimate of Irrigation System Improvement (2/4)

		Work Q	uantity.	Unit Price	Amour	
Improvement Block	Item	Amount	Unit	('000 Pesos) (M		
5. Lenguazaque.	1. Direct construction cost (i	including A	A.LU)			
Extension	1.1 Intake works					
	(1) Gate (G-LG1)	1	L.S.		435	
	(2) Gate (G-LG2)	1	L.S.		435	
	(3) Gate (G-LG3)	1	L.S.		553	
	Sub-total (Intake works)	•	2.01		1,423	
	1.2 Ditch works				1,123	
	(1) Ditch	21,400	m	8.8	188	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.	0.0	156	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				344	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			1,767	920
	2. Land acquisition and com				337	
	3. Engineering and administ		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	421	
	4. Physical contingency (10			,	210	
	5. Total	,			2,735	1,424
6. Cap-2. Present	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	A.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works	_				
	Sub-total (Intake works)				0	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	31,800	m	17.5	557	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		374	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				931	
	Sub-total (Direct construct				931	485
	<ol><li>Land acquisition and com</li></ol>				501	
	3. Engineering and administ		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	286	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			143	
	5. Total				1,861	969
7. Mariño. Present	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	(U.I.			
	1.1 Intake works					
	(1) Gate (G-MA1)	1	L.S.		218	
	(2) Turnout (T-MA1)	1	L.S.		18	
	Sub-total (Intake works)				236	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	7,600	m	8.8	67	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		78	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				145	100
	Sub-total (Direct construct	,			381	198
	2. Land acquisition and com		. (20.0/	£112\	120	
	<ul><li>3. Engineering and administration</li><li>4. Physical contingency (10</li></ul>		5 (20 %) (	)1 I+2)	100	
	5. Total	70 OI 1+2)			50 651	220
	5. 10tai				651	339
8. Honda. Extension	1. Direct construction cost (i 1.1 Intake works	ncluding A	.I.U)			
	(1) Gate (G-HO1)	1	L.S.		435	
	Sub-total (Intake works)	1	L.S.		435	
	1.2 Ditch works				433	
	(1) Ditch	9,100	m	8.8	80	
	(2) Ditch structures	7,100 1	L.S.	0.0	132	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)	•	2.0.		212	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			647	337
	2. Land acquisition and com				143	551
	3. Engineering and administration		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	158	
	4. Physical contingency (10			· =/	79	
	5. Total	,			1,027	535
					·,·	

Table C.5.1 Cost Estimate of Irrigation System Improvement (3/4)

		Work Q		Unit Price	Amoun	
Improvement Block	Item	Amount	Unit	('000 Pesos) (Mi	llion Pesos) ('	000 US\$
9. Susa. Present	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	(U.L.A			
. Susui I resent	1.1 Intake works	nordanig i				
	(1) Gate (G-SS1)	1	L.S.		218	
	(2) Pump (P-SS1)	1	L.S.		94	
		ı	L.S.			
	Sub-total (Intake works)				312	
	1.2 Ditch works	44.000				
	(1) Ditch	11,900	m	8.8	105	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		108	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				213	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			525	27
	2. Land acquisition and com	pensation			187	
	3. Engineering and administ	ration cost:	s (20 % e	of 1+2)	142	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			71	
	5. Total				925	48
10. Susa. Extension	1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	A.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works					
	(1) Gate (G-SS2)	1	L.S.		218	
	(2) Gate (G-SS3)	1	L.S.		218	
	Sub-total (Intake works)		L.J.		436	
	1.2 Ditch works				430	
		9 000		8.8	70	
	(1) Ditch	8,900	m	8.0	78	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		114	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				192	
	Sub-total (Direct construct				628	32
	2. Land acquisition and com				140	
	3. Engineering and administ		s (20 % c	of 1+2)	154	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			77	
	5. Total				999	52
<ol> <li>Simijaca. Extension</li> </ol>	on 1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	A.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works					
	(1) Gate (G-SI1)	1	L.S.		276	
	(2) Gate (G-SI2)	1	L.S.		276	
	Sub-total (Intake works)				552	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	9,000	m	8.8	79	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		83	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)	_			162	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			714	37
	2. Land acquisition and com				142	31
	3. Engineering and administ		. (20.9/	of 1±2)	171	
			5 (20 %)	01 1+2)		
	4. Physical contingency (10	% OI 1+2)			86	
	5. Total				1,113	58
2 014 0 75	1. Thinne	131				
2. Old-Suarez. Prese	n 1. Direct construction cost (i	ncluding A	(.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works					
	(1) Turnout (T-OS1)	1	L.S.		18	
	Sub-total (Intake works)				18	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	6,200	m	8.8	55	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		54	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				109	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	ion cost)			127	$\epsilon$
	2. Land acquisition and com	,			98	•
	3. Engineering and administ	-	s (20 % )	of 1+2)	45	
	4. Physical contingency (10		- ( <u>-</u> -0 /01	U. 1 · 2 j	23	
	5. Total	/0 OL 1 1/2)			23 293	15
					<i>در</i> م	L.

Table C.5.1 Cost Estimate of Irrigation System Improvement (4/4)

		Work Q	uantity	Unit Price	Amo	unt
Improvement Block	Item	Amount	Unit	('000 Pesos)	(Million Pesos)	('000 US\$)
13. Merchan, Present	1. Direct construction cost (	including A	A.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works	0				
	Sub-total (Intake works)				0	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	1,000	m	8.8	9	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		33	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				42	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	tion cost)			42	22
	2. Land acquisition and com	pensation			16	
	3. Engineering and administ	ration costs	s (20 %	of 1+2)	12	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			6	
	5. Total				76	40
14. Merchan. Extension	o: 1. Direct construction cost (	including A	A.I.U)			
	1.1 Intake works		,			
	(1) Gate (G-ME1)	1	L.S.		1,450	
	Sub-total (Intake works)				1,450	
	1.2 Ditch works					
	(1) Ditch	6,600	m	8.8	58	
	(2) Ditch structures	1	L.S.		91	
	Sub-total (Ditch works)				149	
	Sub-total (Direct construct	tion cost)			1,599	833
	2. Land acquisition and com	pensation			104	
	<ol><li>Engineering and administ</li></ol>		s (20 %	of 1+2)	341	
	4 704 4 4 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	0/ 61.0			170	
	4. Physical contingency (10	% of 1+2)			170	

Ħ
eme
rov.
Imp
Em_
yst
ge
ina
Dra
nd
on 2
gati
Irri
of
Jam.
rogi
II P
atio
ent
lem
Imp
5.2
ਹੋਂ
able
Ë

Item 2002  Detailed Design Stage Construction Stage Irigation 1. Suta. Extension 3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	2003	2004 Phase	2005	2006	2007	Phase II 2008	2009	2010	Total
irage age ension	2003	2004	2003	2006	2007	2008	5009	2010	Total
Construction Stage Irrigation 1. Suta. Present 2. Suta. Extension 3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	765	71.0						•	
Construction Stage Irrigation 1. Suta. Present 2. Suta. Extension 3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	765	700					-		
1. Suta. Present 2. Suta. Extension 3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	765	720							
2. Suta. Extension 3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	765	0/0							876
3. Cap-1. Present 4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present	765		334						334
4. Cap-1. Extension 6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present									765
6. Cap-2. Present 5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present		452							452
5. Lenguazaque. Extension 7. Mariño. Present			550	882					1,432
7. Mariño. Present				,			825	1,279	2,104
							501		501
8. Honda. Extension								790	790
9. Susa. Present						712			712
10. Susa. Extension							768		168
11. Simijaca. Extension					856				856
12. Old-Suarez. Present						225			225
13. Merchan. Present						58			58
14. Merchan. Extension					006	803			1,703
+0								1	
Optimum Operation Rule									
a. Hato Dam Start	anditions -	Incres	Increase of Water Supply	vlad	Start	Future Conditions	litions	1	
b. Fuquene Lake								1	
) (2002)	(200	(2004)	(2005)	(2006)	(2007)	(2008)	(2009)	(2010)	(Total)
2. Engin, and Admi. Cost 2.035		35,1	35	35	1,/36 35	1,798	2,094	2,069	2.315
3. Physical Contingency 0	77	133	1 007	88	176	180	, ,	207	
(O&M Cost) (20	(200	(2004)	(2005)	(2006)	(2007)	(2008)	ĕ	(2010)	$\bar{\mathbb{S}}$
38	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	

Table C.6.1 National Criteria of Portable Water in Colombia

Parameter	Unit	Value	Parameter	Unit	Value
Color	UPC	<15	NO <sub>3</sub>	mg/l	10
Odor or Flavor		Acceptable	Ag	mg/l	0.01
Turbidity	UNT	<5	Pb	mg/l	0.01
Total Solids	mg/l	<500	Se	mg/l	0.01
Conductivity	μ mhos/l	50-1,000	ABS	mg/l	0.5
Floating Substance	mg/l	Absent	T-Trihalomethane	mg/l	0.1
Al	mg/l	0.2	Grease & Oil	mg/l	absent
Sb	mg/l	0.005	Ca	mg/l	60
As	mg/l	0.01	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (Acidity)	mg/l	50
Ba	mg/l	0.5	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (Hydroxyl)	mg/l	<ld< td=""></ld<>
В	mg/l	0.3	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (T-Alkalinity)	mg/l	100
Cd	mg/l	0.003	CI	mg/l	250
CN (Free and Dissolved)	mg/l	0.05	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (T-Hardness)	mg/l	160
Total CN	mg/l	0.1	Total Fe	mg/l	0.30
CHCl <sub>3</sub>	mg/l	0.03	Mg	mg/l	36
Cu	mg/l		Mn	mg/l	0.10
Cr <sup>6+</sup>	mg/l	0.01	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	mg/l	250
Total Phenol	mg/l	0.001	Zn	mg/l	5
Hg	mg/l	0.001	F	mg/l	1.20
Мо	mg/l	0.07	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	mg/l	0.20
Ni	mg/l	0.02	pН	mg/l	6.5-9.0
$NO_2$	mg/l	0.1	Cl <sub>2</sub> Residue	mg/l	0.2-1.0

Table C.6.2 Results of Water Quality Analysis at Intake Point in Suarez River

Parameter	Unit		Rainy	Season			Dry Season	
1 arameter	Om	1999.4.16	1999.5.13	1999.5.14	Average	1999.7.14	1999.7.27	Average
Discharge	m <sup>3</sup> /s	4.0	4.2	5.1	4.4	-	-	-
Water Temperature	$^{\circ}$	17.4	14.0	16.8	16.1	17.1	19.3	18.2
Color		Light Yellow	Light Yellow	Light Brown	•	14.0	120.0	67.0
Odor		Odorless	Odorless	Odorless	-	-	-	-
EC	mS/S	33.6	180.0	180.0	131.2	304.0	362.0	333.0
Turbidity		117.3	34.0	34.0	61.8	55.0	27.0	41.0
pН		7.0	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.7	6.7	6.7
Disslolved Oxygen	mg/l	0.3	-	-	0.3	1.4	3.2	2.3
BOD	mg/l	1.0	2.0	-	1.5	2.0	2.6	2.3
COD	mg/l	62.0	62.0	31.0	51.7	51.8	30.3	41.1
TOC	mg/l	_	-	-	-	5.6	-	5.6
Humic Acid	mg/l	-	-	-	-	11.1	-	11.1
T-N	mg/l	2.9	2.0	-	2.4	2.2	2.8	2.5
NH <sub>4</sub> -N	mg/l	1.2	-	-	1.2	0.3	0.7	0.5
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	mg/l	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	0.0	0.0
T-P	mg/l	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	mg/l	0.0	-	-	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SS	mg/l	83.0	26.0	35.0	48.0	28.6	10.0	19.3
Volatile SS	mg/l	32.0	3.0	18.0	17.7	27.1	0.0	13.6
Phenols	mg/l	ND .	-	-	-	ND	-	-
As	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	-	-
Cd	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	-	-
CN <sup>-</sup>	mg/l	ND	_	-	-	ND	-	-
Cr <sup>6+</sup>	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	-	-
Cu	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	-	_
Hg	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	-	-
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	mg/l	ND	_	_	-	ND	-	-
РЬ	mg/l	ND	-	-	-	ND	_	
Zn	mg/l	ND	_	-	-	ND	-	-
Fe	mg/l	18.3	-	-	18.3	8.7	3.1	5.9
Mn	mg/l	0.3	-	-	0.3	0.3	-	0.3
Coliforms(Total)	mg/l	15×10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	15×10 <sup>2</sup>	30×10 <sup>2</sup>	3.8×10 <sup>2</sup>	17×10 <sup>2</sup>
Coliform (Fecal)	mg/l	9×10 <sup>2</sup>	-	•	9×10 <sup>2</sup>	30×10 <sup>2</sup>	2.0×10 <sup>2</sup>	16×10 <sup>2</sup>

Table C.6.3 Water Intake Quantity and Chemical Consumption at Chiquinquira Purification Plant in September, 1999

Date	(	Q	Al <sub>2</sub> (	SO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	C	<sup>c</sup> aO		$Cl_2$
Date	l/sec	m³/day	mg/l	Kg	mg/l	Kg	mg/l	Kg
1	147.0	12,672	63.1	800.0	5.9	75.0	3.1	39.1
2	138.0	11,448	67.7	775.0	6.6	75.0	3.4	39.1
3	141.0	11,664	68.6	800.0	6.4	75.0	3.4	39.1
4	155.0	13,392	59.7	800.0	5.6	75.0	2.9	39.1
5	170.0	13,464	66.8	900.0	5.6	75.0	2.9	39.1
6	147.0	12,672	59.2	750.0	5.9	75.0	3.1	39.1
7	155.0	13,392	59.7	800.0	5.6	75.0	2.9	39.1
8	150.0	12,960	61.7	800.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
9	150.0	12,960	61.7	800.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
10	150.0	12,960	65.6	850.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
11	155.0	13,392	64.2	860.0	5.6	75.0	2.9	39.1
12	153.0	13,176	65.3	860.0	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
13	153.0	13,176	60.7	800.0	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
14	150.0	12,960	66.4	860.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
15	140.0	12,096	70.3	850.0	6.2	75.0	3.2	39.1
16	150.0	12,960	65.6	850.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
17	142.0	12,240	65.4	800.0	6.1	75.0	3.2	39.1
18	160.0	13,824	57.9	800.0	5.4	75.0	2.8	39.1
19	152.0	13,107	57.2	750.0	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
- 20	150.0	12,960	61.7	800.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
21	135.0	11,684	59.9	700.0	6.4	75.0	3.3	39.1
22	153.0	13,176	56.9	750.0	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
23	153.0	13,176	49.3	650.0	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
24	140.0	12,096	57.9	700.0	6.2	75.0	3.2	39.1
25	150.0	12,960	54.0	700.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
26	150.0	12,960	50.2	650.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
27	153.0	13,176	56.9	749.7	5.7	75.0	3.0	39.1
28	156.0	11,232	57.9	650.3	6.7	75.0	3.5	39.1
29	150.0	12,960	57.9	750.0	5.8	75.0	3.0	39.1
30	143.0	12,312	73.1	900.0	6.1	75.0	3.2	39.1
	149.7	12,774	61.4	783.5	5.9	75.0	3.1	39.1

Table C.6.4 Results of Water Quality Analysis at Chiquinquira Purification Plant in September, 1999

1 161 2 144 3 138 4 110 5 100 6 62 6 62	Sed.		1														
	22	Out	In	Out	In	Sed.	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out	In	Out
		6	0.1015	0.0316	6.5	5.3	5.3	9.14	0.34	11.16	3.61	94	19	17.6	7.8	1.77	0.3
	23	10	0.1042	0.0319	6.5	5.3	5.3	8.86	0.31	12.65	3.55	06	53	17.4	0.6	1.84	0.4
	25	10	0.1085	0.0366	6.4	5.2	5.2	8.44	0.21	14.18	3.49	88	46	17.6	8.3	1.95	0.4
	28	10	0.1092	0.0384	6.4	5.0	5.0	8.62	0.31	16.19	3.33	06	45	17.5	8.5	2.00	0.3
	30	10	0.1112	0.0390	6.4	5.0	5.0	8.11	0.31	17.64	3.12	73	44	17.5	8.7	2.00	0.4
	36	13	0.1155	0.0462	6.4	4.9	4.9	8.94	0.32	19.98	2.71	54	42	17.8	9.7	2.04	0.4
	45	14	0.1161	0.0484	6.3	5.0	5.0	9.76	0.36	19.90	2.82	71	50	17.7	9.6	2.12	0.3
	48	8	0.1160	0.0436	6.3	5.1	5.2	9.88	0.34	18.71	2.90	89	52	17.9	9.1	1.93	0.3
6 191	12	9	0.1163	0.0381	5.8	5.0	5.0	11.87	0.30	17.94	2.95	92	26	17.4	8.3	2.55	0.3
191 01	10	9	0.1275	0.0313	5.8	5.0	5.0	11.22	0.36	13.61	2.38	79	09	19.6	8.9	2.31	0.4
11 174	10	9	0.1280	0.0224	5.9	5.0	4.9	10.71	0.37	9.22	2.11	84	54	24.1	9.7	1.96	0.4
12 186	6	6	0.1287	0.0132	9	5.0	4.8	10.88	0.47	6.56	1.68	100	61	23.9	9.2	1.88	0.3
13 191	10	10	0.1291	0.0146	5.8	5.0	4.7	10.91	0.39	7.45	2.19	122	99	25.7	9.4	1.90	0.2
14 212	16	7	0.1234	0.0201	5.7	5.1	5.0	17.32	0.37	6.74	2.40	120	29	24.6	9.1	1.78	0.3
15 231	16	8	0.1241	0.0232	5.6	4.9	4.0	14.30	0.42	7.28	2.21	112	99	27.8	9.3	1.92	0.4
16 242	14	9	0.1229	0.0204	5.4	4.8	4.3	89.6	0.47	6.41	1.85	86	64	24.3	9.1	2.15	0.5
17 210	12	9	0.1234	0.0213	6.1	5.7	5.0	19.61	0.43	7.40	2.34	94	62	20.1	8.8	1.99	0.3
18 89	4	9	0.0967	0.0231	6.4	5.7	5.6	3.07	0.41	8.02	7.73	40	49	16.1	9.4	1.41	0.3
19 85	4	4	0.0971	0.0230	6.4	5.6	5.6	3.23	0.40	8.10	7.45	45	47	17.0	8.6	1.69	0.4
20 86	4	9	0.0962	0.0227	6.4	5.6	5.6	3.15	0.39	8.06	7.62	42	48	18.6	9.6	1.48	0.4
21 62	5	5	0.0968	0.0336	6.4	6.1	6.0	3.30	0.34	7.20	6.50	42	47	12.9	15.8	2.10	0.3
22 47	2	3	0.0932	0.0314	6.4	5.9	5.8	2.68	0.25	7.10	7.01	31	51	18.0	11.0	1.79	0.3
23 48	3	3	0.0926	0.0321	6.3	0.9	5.9	2.84	0.30	9.28	8.35	40	48	17.3	12.3	1.90	0.4
24 64	5	4	0.0745	0.0236	6.4	6.1	5.7	2.92	0.28	10.49	9.62	38	42	19.4	14.8	1.94	0.3
25 66	4	4	0.0785	0.0264	6.4	6.1	5.8	3.11	0.21	11.45	9.64	41	42	20.1	11.9	1.85	0.3
26 67	4	3	0.0733	0.0241	6.4	6.1	5.8	3.03	0.23	11.12	9.71	44	43	19.7	11.3	1.93	0.4
27 68	4	3	0.0712	0.0238	6.4	6.1	5.9	3.14	0.22	10.37	8.15	48	42	18.1	10.1	2.01	0.5
28 61	4	3	0.0754	0.0246	6.4	0.9	5.9	3.48	0.23	10.69	8.44	49	45	17.6	9.4	1.92	0.4
29 70	5	4	0.0699	0.0248	6.2	0.9	5.7	3.33	0.29	10.91	4.34	52	43	18.4	8.9	1.78	0.4
30 82	5	4	0.0721	0.0220	6.3	6.1	5.8	3.45	0.30	11.42	7.03	48	44	19.3	10.3	1.88	0.3
6.811	14.0	6.7	0.1031	0.0285	6.2	5.5	5.3	7.30	0.33	11.24	4.91	69.1	52.1	19.4	6.6	1.93	0.4

Table C.6.5 Implementation Program of Water Supply Improvement

								(Unit: million Pesos)	Pesos)	
	G/G		Phase I	se I			Phase II	se II		
Item	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	Total
Detailed Design Stage	pe as chairs on the second									
Construction Stage										
1. Pumping Station										
		130.1								130.1
2. Purification Plant										
			155.0	165.0	150.0					470.0
Suarez River Improvement										
	Start									•
Optimum Operation Rule										
a. Hato Dam	Present Conditions	nditions					Future Conditions	nditions	<b>A</b>	
	Start		Incre	Increase of Water Supply	pply	Start			•	
b. Fuquene Lake										
	Start									
(Investment Cost)										
1. Direct C/C + Land A.	0.0	130.1	155.0	165.0	150.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	600.1
2. Engin. and Admi. Cost	0.09	13.0	15.5	16.5	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	120.0
<ol><li>Physical Contingency</li></ol>	0.0	13.0	15.5	16.5	15.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.09
4. Total	0.09	156.1	186.0	198.0	180.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	780.1

Note) 1. Figures under bar chart mean Direct Construction Cost and Land Acquisition.

2. Additional O&M cost is negligible.

. .