

CHAPTER - 4

WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>4</b>	<b>Water quality analysis</b>	<b>IV-1</b>
4.1	Introduction	IV-1
4.2	Selection of sampling stations	IV-1
4.3	Analysis	IV-2
4.4	Results	IV-2
4.5	Conclusions	IV-5



## 4. WATER QUALITY ANALYSIS

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION.

This report covers the results of water analysis of the first set of the water samples collected in January 1999. There are two more water samplings to be undertaken in 1999, once every three months. In this report, a table summarizing water quality of some rivers in the Lao PDR and the water standard for drinking water and fishery commonly used are also presented. Although it is impossible to compare the result of two samplings with the those of a long duration monitoring of other rivers, it is however expected that such kind of information might be useful to get the first impression of the water quality collected.

### 4.2 SELECTION OF SAMPLING STATIONS.

As part of the Environmental Assessment Survey, a water quality survey has to be carried out 4 times during the study (on the average, once every 3 months), each time at four sampling stations, thus providing an information representative of an annual hydrologic cycle. Two sampling stations are located upstream of the Nam Ngiep-I dam site and the two remaining stations at the downstream reach.

#### UPSTREAM STATIONS:

- Nam Ngiep at Tha Vieng, within the Upper Reservoir and at about 57.5 Kms upstream of the dam site. The Nam Ngiep-I catchment area at Tha Vieng is about 1,875 Km<sup>2</sup>.
- Nam Siap at Ban Xiengkong, the major tributary that is located upstream of the dam site having a catchment area of 582 km<sup>2</sup>.

#### DOWNSTREAM STATIONS:

- Ban Hat Kham gauging station recently equipped with a water level recorder installed by the Mekong River Commission Secretariat. Discharge at Hat Kham has been measured since 1991 but with long period of interruption. The station is about 5 Kms from the dam site. The catchment area at this station is estimated at 3,720km<sup>2</sup>.
- Muang Mai gauging station, also recently equipped with a water level recorder installed by the Mekong River Commission Secretariat. Discharge at Muang May has been measured since 1987. The catchment area at Muang May is estimated at 4,803 km<sup>2</sup>.

The actual location of the downstream stations could be easily identified as they are at existing gauging stations. In order to facilitate in identifying those in the upstream part, visual mark has been made at sampling sites (see photo).

### 4.3 ANALYSIS.

In accordance with the TOR, analysis of samples should be carried out not later than 1 week after sampling, with appropriate preservation measure for samples. For the purpose of coherence with other existing data on water quality, it is suggested that the Consultant use analytical procedures of the Water Quality Laboratory of Vientiane, already in charge of the Mekong Water Quality Monitoring in the Lao PDR.

In line with the above, the Vientiane laboratory was engaged in training the surveyor on measures to be taken in water sample collection and handling. Analysis was carried out at the above-mentioned laboratory.

Samples were taken at upstream stations were collected on 8 January and 16 March 1999 and handed over to the laboratory two days after the sampling. Samples in the downstream stations were collected 12 January and 19 March 1999. Those at the downstream were collected and handed over to the laboratory on the same day. PH and conductivity were measured on site. The method for analysis is shown in the following table.

**Table I: The Analytical Method**

Parameter	Method
Ca, Mg and Hardness	Complexometry(EDTA)
Alkalinity	Potentiometric method (end point titration)
SO <sub>4</sub>	Mackereth method
Cl	Mercury nitrate method
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	Spectrophotometric method
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Reduction with Cd and diazotation
Si	Spectrophotometric, molybdo silicate method
Total-Fe	Spectrophotometric, phenantroline method after digestion
COD <sub>Mn</sub>	Permanganate oxidation
PH	PH meter
Conductivity	Conductivity meter
TSS	Total nonfiltrable residue dried at 103-105 °C
Faecal coliform test	Membrane filter technic

(note: The water quality laboratory in Vientiane is not able to provide analysis for Sodium and Potassium)

### 4.4 RESULTS

The results of chemical analysis are presented in Table II and III. The water quality of other rivers is given in Table IV.

**Table II: Results of the Water Quality Analysis of Upstream Stations**

Parameter	Unit	Ban Sopsiep				Ban Thavieng				Drinking Water Standard
		8/1/99	16/3/99	22/6/99	24/8/99	8/1/99	16/3/99	22/6/99	25/8/99	
Date		8/1/99	16/3/99	22/6/99	24/8/99	8/1/99	16/3/99	22/6/99	25/8/99	
Temp.	°C	NA	23.1	27	25	NA	22.9	30	24	
PH		8.10	7.39	7.79	8.18	7.61	7.91	7.58	7.68	5.8-8.5
TDS	Mg/l	NA	39	44	40	NA	63	33	40	
DO	Mg/l	NA	7.8	7.56	6.75	NA	8.0	7.5	6.84	
Conduct	ms/m	13.5	7.2	8.03	8.07	9.8	11.2	6.3	8.02	-
Ca	Mg/l	15.16	7.76	0.592	14.4	11.28	13.96	0.421	11.6	-
Mg	Mg/l	8.78	2.00	0.22	3.89	3.91	4.09	0.206	2.7	-
Na	Mg/l	NA	0.03	0.074	1.4	NA	0.035	0.049	2.6	
K	Mg/l	NA	0.01	0.036	0.312	Na	0.009	0.031	0.273	
Cl	Mg/l	0.42	2.00	0.007	0.014	0.28	0.70	0.018	0.011	<250mg/l
SO <sub>4</sub>	Mg/l	1.92	3.74	0.074	0.011	1.06	6.00	0.064	0.019	<400mg/l
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Mg/l	0.001	0.002	0.127	0.068	0.001	0.001	0.126	0.086	<10mg/l
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	Mg/l	0.014	0.020	0.088	0.011	0.011	0.008	0.059	0.019	-
Hardness	Mg/l	64.9	27.8	40.60	52.2	44.5	52.0	31.35	40.4	<300mg/l
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Mg/l	67.9	34.4	37.6	43.4	48.2	53.6	27.1	16.3	<350mg/l
TSS	Mg/l	1	87	658	38	1	20	486	53	-
Tot-Fe	Mg/l	0.152	0.68*	0.256	0.143	0.146	0.36*	0.359*	0.499*	<0.3mg/l
Si	Mg/l	7.3	9.0	4	4	8.8	8	2	5	-
COD <sub>Mn</sub>	Mg/l	0.458	3.8	3.2	0.589	0.866	1.2	5.55	0.568	-
Faecal Coliform	100ml	NA	3	2	2		5	0	1	0

(Note: NA= Not available)

\* = Except the samples taken on 16/3/99 at Ban Sopsiep , the sample taken on 16/3/99 , 22/6/99 and 25/8/99 at Ban Dong

**Table III: Results of the Water Quality Analysis of Downstream Stations**

Parameter	Unit	Ban HatKham				Ban Moug Mai				Drinking Water Standard
		12/1/99	19/3/99	24/6/99	10/9/99	12/1/99	19/3/99	24/6/99	10/9/99	
Date		12/1/99	19/3/99	24/6/99	10/9/99	12/1/99	19/3/99	24/6/99	10/9/99	
Temp.	°C	NA	27.4	24.6	26.8	NA	27.1	25	26.2	
PH		7.84	8.56	7.75	5.56	7.81	8.26	7.52	5.93	5.8-8.5
TDS	Mg/l	NA	56	36	28	NA	57	36	27	
DO	Mg/l	NA	7.3	7.98	7	NA	8.0	7.62	6.8	
Conduct	ms/m	9.9	9.87	6.64	5.9	9.4	10.2	6.64	5.8	-
Ca	Mg/l	11.60	12.18	0.446	7.2	13.94	12.54	0.455	7.1	-

Mg	Mg/l	5.56	3.12	0.193	1.56	4.88	2.98	0.202	1.5	-
Na	Mg/l	NA	0.042	0.052	1.288	NA	0.043	0.077	1.265	
K	Mg/l	NA	0.012	0.045	0.468	NA	0.012	0.033	0.468	
Cl	Mg/l	0.35	1.54	0.016	1.820	1.19	0.84	0.018	1.75	<250mg/l
SO <sub>4</sub>	Mg/l	2.88	2.40	0.078	3.504	2.40	2.98	0.066	3.36	<400mg/l
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Mg/l	0.023	0.018	0.147	0.14	0.314	0.110	0.134	0.138	<10mg/l
PO <sub>4</sub> -P	Mg/l	0.01	0.003	0.018	0.015	0.009	0.003	0.019	0.015	-
Hardness	Mg/l	42.9	43.5	31.95	24.5	47.1	43.9	32.85	24	<300mg/l
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Mg/l	43.1	42.6	28.9	19.4	44	44.0	28.2	19.45	<350mg/l
TSS	Mg/l	2	12	140	196	1	14	88	180	-
Tot-Fe	Mg/l	0.171	0.45*	0.307	0.338	0.244	0.39*	0.606	0.346	<0.3mg/l
				*	*			*	*	
Si	Mg/l	8.9	8.0	6	6	8.6	8.0	6	6	-
COD <sub>Mn</sub>	Mg/l	0.517	1.2	0.95	1	0.521	0.9	0.78	1.2	-
Faecal Coliform	100ml	46	25	5	6	10	40	12	2	0

(Note: NA=Not available)

\* = Except the samples taken on 19/3/99, 24/6/99,10/9/99at Ban Hatkham , the sample taken on 19/3/99 , 24/6/99 and 10/9/99 at Ban Muangmai

The discharges of Nam Ngiap are available only at Ban Mai. The discharges at Thavieng Sop Siep and Ban Hatkham are estimated by assuming the discharges at different stations are in proportion with the catchments.

The results of discharge computation are given below:

Date of Water Sampling	DISCHARGE (M3/sec)			
	Ban Sop Siep	Ban Thavieng	Ban Hat Kham	Ban Mai
08/1/1999	4.4	14.2	29.2	36.4
12/1/1999	4.3	14.1	27.8	36.1
16/3//1999	2.4	7.9	15.6	20.2
19/3/1999	2.3	7.4	14.6	18.9

The results of the water analysis show that:

- ◆ The pH seems to be stable both at the upstream and downstream stations. The water is slightly basic but remains within the standard for drinking water. The values of pH of upstream stations vary from 7.39 to 8.10 and from 7.81 to 8.56 at the downstream stations. Except the Sop Siep station, the value of pH at other stations increases from January to March.
- ◆ The concentration of total salts using conductivity as indicator of all sites is low which is similar to other rivers in the country. The values of conductivity found in the Nam Ngiap, are about half of the median value of the Mekong and much less than several tributaries flowing across the limestone area.
- ◆ The ionic composition of the river in Lao PDR is highly dominated by calcium bicarbonate. The ionic content in the river is usually high during the dry season and low during the wet season, because of dilution with rainwater. The ion content in Nam Ngiap measured in January and March 1999 is also low.
- ◆ Nutrients and organic matter, the nutrients mainly nitrogen and phosphorus compound, as well as organic substances are lost from land and reach the water. The concentration of NO<sub>3</sub>-N and PO<sub>4</sub>-P are representatives of nutrients, are relatively low at the all stations. The yield of organic matter indicated by COD is relatively low. The Silica is an indicator of the level of chemical



weathering of the soils. The concentration ranges between 7.3 to 8.9 mg/L. The iron is an essential element to man and has a relatively low toxicity, the concentration found in Nam Ngiep and its tributary is between 0.146 to 0.244 mg/L. These values are within the standard of 0.3 mg/L.

- ♦ Faecal coliform is the indicator bacterial pollution in water. The bacteria is found in all human beings and indicates faecal pollution. Microbial contamination reduces the water quality with respect to usage as water source for human consumption. We found 46 colonies per 100 milliliter of water sample at Ban Hatkham station and Mounng Mai station 10 colonies per 100 milliliter in January and respectively 25 and 40 colonies per 100 milliliter in March 1999. These numbers are in the range of the guidelines proposed (less than 300 colonies per 100 milliliter of water sample). The two upstream station no sample for test.

Table III: Water Quality of some rivers in the Lao PDR

Median vahus					
N. Ngum Thangone (1)	Sebangfai (2)	Mekong, Luangpraban g (3)	Mekong Vientiane (4)	Mekong Pakse (5)	Nam Xan Thamdin (6)
1985-97	1985-97	1985-97	1985-97	1985-97	30/1/99
7.40	7.80	7.80	7.90	7.80	7.30
11.8	27.60	22.60	22.40	19.30	6.0
15.58	37.96	28.76	28.84	22.56	6.34
2.90	6.35	5.52	5.08	4.02	0.48
2.24	1.16	2.36	5.71	7.42	1.08
7.63	9.26	19.78	19.39	15.02	2.54
0.074	0.124	0.168	0.176	0.119	0.005
0.007	0.011	0.032	0.035	0.030	0.008
51.05	121.30	94.90	93.25	73.15	26.85
48.15	118.20	84.20	85.30	66.45	29.50
16	31	180	252	127	6.00
0.12	0.09	0.12	0.143	0.16	0.306
5.80	4.00	6.30	6.20	5.90	3.90
0.90	0.90	1.10	1.20	1.10	-
80	-	-	410	-	-

- (1): Lat. 1808,1 N; Long. 10237,3 E; Catchment area 16,500 km<sup>2</sup>  
 (2): Lat. 1704,3 N; Long. 10459,1 E; Catchment area 8,560 km<sup>2</sup>  
 (3): Lat. 1953,5 N; Long. 10108,2 E; Catchment area 268,000 km<sup>2</sup>  
 (4): Lat. 1755,7 N; Long. 10234,2 E; Catchmen area 299,000 km<sup>2</sup>  
 (5): Lat. 1507,0 N; Long. 10548,0 E; Catchmen area 545,000 km<sup>2</sup>  
 (6): Lat. 1832,1 N; Long. 10256,3 E; Catchmen area....

Water supply authority (Lao PDR)

#### 4.5 CONCLUSIONS.

According to the data, water of the Nam Ngiep and its tributary has relatively

low concentration of a major constituents, nutrients and organic matters. The pH values are normal.

The analyses carried out so far showed that the quality of water along Nam Ngiep is quite good and not significantly affected by human activities yet.

#### REFERENCES

- APHA (1985). Standard Method for Examination of Water and Wastewater, 16<sup>th</sup> Edition
- Data of water quality in LAO PDR, 1997
- Keobang A. K. Report on Water Quality in Lao PDR, 1989 and 1991. Mekong Committee, Bangkok
- Mekong Secretariat 1992, Assessment of Water Quality in the lower Mekong River, Recommendations on data processing and Management, prepared by Water Quality Institute, Denmark; March 1992
- Water Quality Monitoring Network in the lower Mekong basin. (Wilander A. and edited by Eriksson R.), Mekong Secretariat, Bangkok.
- Water Quality Assessments 1992, A guide to use of biota, sediment and water in environmental monitoring, Chapman & Hall.

CHAPTER - 5

WILDLIFE, HABITATS, VEGETATION BIOMASS  
AND FORESTRY



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>5.1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>5.2. FIELD WORK ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>5.3. METHODOLOGIES</b> .....	<b>7</b>
5.3.1. WILDLIFE/HABITAT SURVEY.....	7
5.3.2. VEGETATION BIOMASS AND COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES .....	7
5.3.2.1. COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES.....	8
5.3.2.2. VEGETATION BIOMASS.....	8
5.3.3. LAND USE SURVEY .....	8
<b>5.4. RESULTS</b> .....	<b>11</b>
5.4.1. STUDY OF HABITATS.....	11
5.4.1.1. CATCHMENT ANALYSIS .....	11
5.4.1.2. VEGETATION .....	13
5.4.1.3. RECOMMENDATIONS .....	22
MITIGATIONS FOR THE LOSS OR DAMAGE TO VALUABLE HABITATS .....	22
5.4.2. TIMBER VOLUMES AND VEGETATION BIOMASS .....	24
5.4.2.1. COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES.....	24
Findings .....	24
Recommendations.....	25
5.4.2.2. VEGETATION BIOMASS.....	25
Findings .....	26
Recommendations .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
5.4.3. WILDLIFE SURVEY.....	26
5.4.3.1. RESULTS OF TERRESTRIAL VETERBRATE SURVEY .....	26
Findings .....	26
Habitats of Particular Importance for Terrestrial Vertebrates.....	31
5.4.3.2. GENERAL STATUS OF THREATENED SPECIES.....	33
Terrestrial Veterebrates .....	33
5.4.3.3. POTENTIAL IMPACTS ON WILDLIFE.....	35
Logging and clearing.....	35
Inundation.....	35
5.4.3.4. RECOMMENDATIONS .....	37
Mitigation Of Short Term Impacts On Terrestrial Vertebrates .....	37
Mitigation Of Long Term Impacts On Terrestrial Vertebrates.....	37
<b>5.5. GENERAL CAUTION</b> .....	<b>38</b>

### LIST OF FIGURES

TABLE 2: LAND SYSTEMS OF THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT .....	12
TABLE 3: RIVERINE HABITATS .....	12
TABLE 4: LEVEL OF DEGRADATION OF SELECTED LAND SYSTEMS.....	15
TABLE 5: TREES IN THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT.....	15
TABLE 6: COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES.....	24
TABLE 7: DENSITY OF BIOMASS COMPONENTS .....	26
TABLE 8: TOTAL DENSITY OF RAPID AND SLOWLY DEGRADABLE BIOMASS .....	26
TABLE 2: VILLAGER FAUNA OBSERVATIONS .....	29
TABLE 4: MAMMALS AND REPTILES OBSERVED IN THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT .....	93
TABLE 3: BIRDS OBSERVED IN THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT .....	26

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>cu.m</b>	<b>Cubic metres</b>
<b>dbh</b>	<b>Diameter breast height</b>
<b>FSL</b>	<b>Full Supply Level</b>
<b>HPO</b>	<b>Hydropower Office</b>
<b>HZ</b>	<b>Inundation Impact Zone</b>
<b>IR</b>	<b>Infra-red</b>
<b>km</b>	<b>Kilometres</b>
<b>LS</b>	<b>Land System</b>
<b>m</b>	<b>Metres</b>
<b>MOL</b>	<b>Minimum Operating Level</b>
<b>NBCA</b>	<b>National Biodiversity Conservation Area</b>
<b>NGD</b>	<b>National Geographic Department</b>
<b>NGO</b>	<b>Non-Governmental Organisation</b>
<b>NOFIP</b>	<b>National Office of Forest Inventory and Planning</b>
<b>RMR</b>	<b>Resource Management and Research</b>
<b>sq. km</b>	<b>Square Kilometers</b>
<b>sq. m</b>	<b>Square metres</b>
<b>STS</b>	<b>STS Consultants</b>

## 5.1 Introduction

Resource Management & Research (RMR) were engaged by STS Consultants to carry out a wildlife/habitat/vegetation biomass/forestry and land use survey of the proposed Nam Ngiep Hydropower Project. The Terms of Reference described 15 important tasks, namely:

- Basic descriptions of habitat types and distributions within the proposed inundation area
- Assessments of the conditions of these habitat types
- Possible mitigation measures for any serious loss of significant habitats
- Preliminary assessment of commercial timber volumes and vegetation biomass within the Inundation Impact Zone (IIZ).
- Recommendations regarding logging and biomass clearance and approximate costs of such measures.
- A list of all tree species encountered during the survey which occur in the identified habitat types
- Identification of habitats or areas of particular importance for wildlife
- A list of terrestrial vertebrate species which occur in the identified habitat types
- A determination of the status of species of conservation concern
- Any possible migration routes will be identified
- Assessments of project impacts (logging, clearing & inundation) on the terrestrial vertebrate species
- Proposals for mitigating measures for the loss or damage to wildlife populations and approximate costs
- The entire Project Area will be classified into different land use types and the areas of each will be tabulated according to various elevations proposed at full supply levels for the reservoir alternative and for each of the distinct impact zones
- A preliminary land use map will be prepared
- Recommendations for further studies and/or surveys

This final report describes the results of the habitat, floral and faunal, biomass, forestry and land use surveys.

The period 1 January to 21 January was spent analysing river, longitudinal and latitudinal relief profiles using 1:50,000 and 1:100,000 scale maps, Preliminary stratification of the Nam Ngiep catchment was also performed using 1:50,000 and 1:100,000 scale maps.

The field work was carried between 22 January to 20 February and 1 April to 12 April by RMR teams comprising:

Dr. R. M. Watson	Zoologist/Ecologist and Project Manager
Dr. S. P. Watson	Biologist and Site Manager
Mr. A. Watson	Biologist and Deputy Site Manager
Mr. A. Dennis	Field Assistant
Mr. J. Searle	Field Assistant and Tree Expert
Mr. Thongdy Paklay	Local Naturalist & Tree Naming Expert
Mr. Somneuk Vithagna	Interpreter
Mr. Changmai	Interpreter

## 5.2 Field Work Activities

The first period of field work started on the 22 January and finished on 20 February. The areas traversed are shown in Figure 1.

### Day 1

22 January: Met with military escort and Mr Kampseum (HPO representative) in Long Xane. Drove to Ban Palavek and met with District officials. Drove to Ban Sopyouk and arranged accommodation.

### Day 2

23 January: Drove to Ban Soppoun making observations on wildlife, habitats and land use. Daylight boat traverse to Ban Huoaypamon through Land System [LS] 14. Interviewed villagers of Ban Huoaypamon on wildlife, hunting and land-use.

### Day 3

24 January: Interviewed villagers of Ban Huoaypamon on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Separated into two teams. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS's 14 and 10. Team two surveyed flora in LS 14. Prepared a live bait trap.

### Day 4

25 January: Checked live bait trap. Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS's 14 and 20. Team two surveyed flora in LS 14 and 20.

### Day 5

26 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Team One performed a daylight boat traverse in LS14. Team Two surveyed biomass and timber volume in LS14.

### Day 6

27 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Daylight boat traverse to Ban Soppoun in LS14. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot to Ban Sopyouk through LS14 and LS5. Team two interviewed villagers of Ban Soppoun on wildlife, hunting and land-use.

### Day 7



28 January: Ban Sopyouk; Team one examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Interviewed villagers on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Daylight boat traverse downstream of Ban Sopyouk in LS5. Ban Soppoun; Team two examined animal specimens gathered by villagers. Team two surveyed flora in LS14. Team was collected in the evening and driven to Sopyouk.

Day 8

29 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers of Ban Sopyouk. Daylight traverse on foot through LS5 and LS3 to a point 8km south of Ban Sopyouk. Established field camp 1. One member of the RMR team stayed in Sopyouk to purchase bait. Prepared a dead bait (shot deer carcass) IR (Infra Red) trap near an animal watering hole.

Day 9

30 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Checked dead bait IR trap and moved IR trap next to a watering hole. Team two surveyed flora in LS2 and LS3. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS2 and LS3. Team one performed a night traverse on foot through LS2 and LS3. Night surveillance of an animal watering hole in LS2.

Day 10

31 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Checked IR trap and prepared another dead bait IR trap. Team two surveyed flora in LS2 and LS3. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS2 and LS3. Team one performed a night traverse on foot through LS2 and LS3. Night surveillance of an animal watering hole in LS2.

Day 11

01 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Checked dead bait IR trap. Decamped and walked to Ban Soppoun, making observations on wildlife, habitats and land-use.

Day 12

02 January: Awaited delivery of fuel for boats in Ban Soppoun. Night traverse by boat to Ban Houaypamon in LS14.

Day 13

03 January: Daylight traverse by boat to the Nam Mang confluence through LS14 and LS21. Daylight traverse on foot from the Nam Mang confluence to Ban Nakang through LS21.

Day 14

04 January: Interviewed villagers on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS21. Team one prepared two live bait IR traps. Team two surveyed flora in LS21 and LS34.

Day 15

05 January: Checked two live bait IR traps. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS21. Team two surveyed biomass and timber volume in LS21.

**Day 16**

06 January: Checked two live bait IR traps. Daylight traverse on foot through LS21. Daylight traverse by boat north and south of the Nam Mang confluence (LS21 and LS14). Returned to Ban Soppoun.

**Day 17**

07 January: Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS14 and LS16. Team two surveyed biomass and timber volume in LS14.

**Day 18**

08 January: Daylight traverse on foot to Ban Namyouk through LS14 and LS5. Interviewed villagers on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Daylight traverse on foot up the Nam Toum Valley (LS5). Established field camp 2. Prepared one dead bait IR trap and one watering hole IR trap in LS3. Night traverse on foot through LS3. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides.

**Day 19**

09 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS3 and LS5. Team two surveyed flora in LS3.

**Day 20**

10 January: Checked two IR traps. Daylight traverse on foot to Ban Sopyouk. Examined animal specimens gathered by villagers.

**Day 21**

11 January: Daylight traverse by boat, bamboo raft and on foot down the Nam Ngiep valley in LS5. Established field camp 3. Interviewed local guides on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides.

**Day 22**

12 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Daylight traverse on foot further down the Nam Ngiep valley in LS5. Established field camp 4.

**Day 23**

13 January: Daylight traverse on foot further down the Nam Ngiep valley to Ban HuayXayKam through LS5 and LS1. Interviewed villagers of to Ban HuayXayKam on wildlife, hunting and land-use.

**Day 24**

14 January: Daylight traverse on foot up the Houay Soup Noy in LS2. Established field camp 5 at the top of the valley. Prepared one IR trap on an animal trail.

**Day 25**

15 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team one performed a daylight traverse through LS2. Team one set up two dead bait IR traps. Team two surveyed flora in LS2.

**Day 26**

16 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Checked two IR traps. Team one performed a daylight traverse through LS2. Team two surveyed flora in LS2.

#### Day 27

17 January: Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Checked two IR traps. Daylight traverse on foot to Ban HuayXayKam through LS2. Daylight traverse by boat to Ban HatYeun in LS1.

#### Day 28

18 January: Traveled by motorcar to Ban Muang Bo. Team two interviewed villagers on wildlife, hunting and land-use. Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS10 and LS7.

#### Day 29

19 January: Team one performed a daylight traverse on foot through LS10 and LS7. Two IR traps were set up next to a watering hole. Team one also surveyed flora in LS10. Team Two surveyed land use in LS7 and interviewed villagers and contractors working on the Ban Muang Bo resettlement/irrigation development scheme.

#### Day 30

20 January: Examined and collected specimens gathered by villagers. Daylight traverse by tractor and on foot to Ban Tahua through LS7, LS6 and LS1. Daylight traverse by boat to Ban Muang Mai in LS1. Returned to Vientiane by bus from Pakxan.

The period 21 January to 3 March was spent in analysis and identification of data and specimens collected. In addition an interim report was written.

The second period of field work started on the 1 April and finished on 12 April. The areas traversed are shown in Figure 2.

#### Day 31

1 April: Drove to Pakxan. Met with HPO and STS representatives. Drove to Ban Muang Mai - and met village chief. Arranged for guides and a militia escort.

#### Day 32

2 April: Upstream daylight boat traverse to Houay Ngua in LS1. Established field camp 6. Team 1 performed a daylight foot traverse up the Houay Ngua tributary and along elephant trails. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS1. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

#### Day 33

3 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team 1 performed a day foot traverse down to the Nam Ngiep via Houay Dtawn Don and Bong at Houay Pern along elephant trails. Team 1 then performed a daylight boat traverse up the Nam Ngiep to camp 6. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS1. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

#### Day 34

4 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Day boat traverse down to the Nam Ngiep and up the Houay Khingneuk tributary. Day foot traverse up the Houay Khingneuk to camp 7. Team 2 surveyed flora in

LS2. Established field camp 7. Team 1 performed an evening foot traverse up the Houay Khingneuk. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

Day 35

5 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team 1 performed a daylight foot traverse in LS2. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS2. Established field camp 8 near to an elephant watering hole. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

Day 36

6 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team one observed the elephant watering hole (0500-1000) in LS2. Interviewed villagers from Ban Muang Mai. Team one explored a system of caves close to field camp 8. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS2. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

Day 37

7 April: Daylight foot traverse down to the Nam Ngiap. Daylight boat traverse upstream to Ban Hatyeun. Met with village chief and arranged new guides and militia escort.

Day 38

8 April: Daylight foot traverse to field camp 9. Established field camp 9. Team 1 performed a daylight and evening foot traverse in LS1. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS1 and LS6. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

Day 39

9 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Team 1 performed a daylight foot traverse in LS1. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS1. Set up IR camera traps along animal paths.

Day 40

10 April: Checked IR camera traps. Examined animal specimens gathered by local guides. Daylight foot traverse to Ban Hatyeun. Interviewed Ban Hatyeun villagers.

Day 41

11 April: Daylight foot traverse to Ban Thahua. Interviewed Ban Thahua villagers. Team 1 performed a daylight foot traverse to through LS1, LS6 and LS7. Team 2 surveyed flora in LS1 and LS6. Daylight boat traverse downstream to Ban Muang Mai.

Dat 42

12 April: Returned to Vientiane

### **5.3 METHODOLOGIES**

#### **5.3.1 WILDLIFE/HABITAT SURVEY**

Field methods used were more or less standard observational techniques employed in vertebrate and habitat surveys. These are:

Slow and quiet daylight movement on foot along tracks, across open glades and down rivers, making visual identifications using binoculars and aural identification at frequent stopping points.

Slow and quiet night movement on foot along tracks, across open glades and down rivers, making visual identifications using binoculars combined with head-mounted spotlights, and aural identification at frequent stopping points.

Baiting and the laying of scent trails. Baits used were dead pigs and other mammal carcasses, live and dead chickens. These were placed in a range of locations. Baits were visited once per day. IR Camera traps were set up next to dead bait. IR Camera traps were also set up on animal tracks and beside watering holes.

Collection and identification of bones and teeth.

Identification of all animal faeces encountered

Searches of sand, mud and other suitable surfaces for animal spore

Examination of tracks for signs of animal use

Examination of trees, particularly those in fruit, for signs of climbing animals

Interviews with hunters, villagers and farmers, and examination of animal remains in villages.

Commissioning local hunters to collect small vertebrates

Opportunistic collections of small animals by all staff.

The short time available and the need to cover a large area made it inappropriate to mount a systematic trapping programme.

Systematic observation of geology, geomorphology, soil, drainage, erosion processes, vegetation (species, size, demography, "patterns", use & condition) land-use and potential on all traverses made.

Frequent photography of habitats to provide illustrative material.

#### **5.3.2 VEGETATION BIOMASS AND COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES**

It was not possible stratify vegetation in the inundation zone prior to performing the survey because clearance could not be obtained for an overflight.

Two different methods were used to estimate commercial timber volumes and vegetation biomass. Three sampling sites have been surveyed to date (Figure 1).

### 5.3.2.1 Commercial Timber Volumes

For each sampling site, selected a bearing (0-359°) was determined using random numbers. The survey team then marked out 100m on the appropriate bearing. All trees within 20m either side of the transect line were surveyed. Each sampling site surveyed an area of approximately 400m<sup>2</sup>.

For each tree the scientific and local name was recorded. A hand held clinometer was positioned at least 25m from the tree and, if possible, at a point slightly above the horizontal plane of the base. A narrow path was then cut through the low vegetation to afford a clear view of the trees trunk from the clinometer position. Readings of inclination were taken from:

- the base of the trunk
- 1.0m diameter breast height points
- the top cutting position as indicated by the expert tree feller

A standard diameter tape was used to measure diameters at heights of 1.0m. In addition any comments about the expected timber quality were recorded.

### 5.3.2.2 Vegetation Biomass

A stake was placed at each randomly selected sampling site. This has become the Southwest corner of quadrat 1 for each sample. From this stake using compass and tape, a 5x5m square was laid out with North/South and East/West sides.

For each 5x5m square all the vegetation biomass was classified, measured and recorded in distinct categories; litter, low vegetation, dead branches, dead logs, live bamboo, dead bamboo, small trees (dbh >3cm and <20cm), large trees (dbh >20cm), lianas and vines.

### 5.3.3 LAND USE SURVEY

It had been intended to use low level aerial methods to make a proper land use survey of the Nam Gniep catchment. It proved impossible to get the necessary overflight clearances. The use of helicopters was not considered as they would have cost in excess of \$25,000 to make the overflight pattern needed for the survey (as against \$3,750 using RMR's survey aircraft). Cheaper military helicopters were not considered as they were not acceptable to the consultants insurers.

As a way of replacing the up-to-date synoptic view which the overflights would have provided, the consultants hoped to use recently made maps. This strategy was confounded

by two problems. The 1:250,000 scale maps made by the Lao-Swedish Forestry Project using satellite imagery (Handsat) have produced inconsistent and erroneous distinction of woodland and forest categories, mainly because bamboo "crowns" have been frequently classified as tree crowns (RMR 1994<sup>†</sup>). The ground-truthing carried out by RMR in 1994 and 1995, which led to the conclusion that the Forestry Departments 1:50,000 scale land use and vegetation maps were inadequate for planning purposes has recently been confirmed by the GTZ Forestry Mapping Team.

Christoph Feldkötter (pers comm.) has confirmed that these 1993-1994 Forestry Department land use and vegetation maps are not accurate or consistent. Ironically the GTZ land use maps, also produced from handsat imagery, but in this case systematically ground truthed using two "layers" of further information from 1:25,000 scale monochrome stereo aerial photography, and from on the ground visits, are completed, but are not available. They apparently differ too much from the previous maps, (specifically by solving part of the problem of differentiating between bamboo and tree crowns).

As the way has been barred from to acquiring up-to-date and synoptic information the consultants have:

1. Assembled the 1:50,000 scale topographic maps produced by the US army mapping service, based on 1965 and 1966 aerial photography. (Unfortunately the Lao national archive for these maps at the NGD no longer has original map sheets for the whole of the catchment. Some missing maps are available as monochrome copies. These are impossible to use for the vegetation and land use analysis which has to be made. Colour originals could be obtained from the US, UK or France, but this process takes some time.)
2. Super-imposed of the boundaries of the of the L.S.'s on these maps and used a modified line-intersect sampling method to determine the following characteristics for each of the 40 L.S. Units (LSU's):
  - Area under dense forest. This is actually classified as being land over which there is more than 75% of dense vegetation foliage cover. The photo interpreters clearly recognised that they could not determine the nature of the vegetation.
  - Area under open forest. This is classified as being land over which there is 25% to 75% in even and discontinuous cover of vegetation foliage.

---

<sup>†</sup> In 1994 in a report titled "Report on an investigation of Timber Resources of SF6, SF8, Muangkhi and Adjoining Areas", the consultants wrote:

"1- It appears that the forest cover classifications of the forestry departments map are between 1 and 2 classes higher in their cover estimates than those estimated from the aircraft. The lower storey young regenerating trees and bushes, bamboo and plantain cover has probably not been differentiated from the crown cover in the spot image interpretation"

"2 - The distribution pattern of trees is too heterogenous on too small a scale for a mapping at 1:100,000 scale to be useful. Even at 1:50,00 scale the interpretation made from a spot image largely ignores the issue of the actual distribution of the trees. The interpretation has been made essentially at two scales or levels: a high level precise interpretation of land use, in which for example father small rice fields have been identified and mapped (areas as small as 5ha have been marked on the 1:50,000 maps), and a low level less precise interpretation of the vegetation in which areas of 5000ha and much greater have been assigned to a single vegetation classifications. The 1:50,000 Forestry Department maps are useful only at the most preliminary stages of planning forestry development, although they may be more useful for studies of land use"

- Area under plantation. It is unclear on the 1961 map key if this term includes timber plantations and fruit tree orchards.
  - Area under paddy (poldered) rice cultivation.
  - Area under "brushwood". This has been tabulated as scrubland. It probably refers to all the stages of woody regeneration on land previously cleared for hill rice.
  - Area as marsh or swamp.
  - Area where bamboo is the dominant vegetation cover.
  - Area as river water.
  - Area built up in villages and towns
  - Area as roads and tracks
  - Area as permanent or seasonal water body
  - Area subject to seasonal flooding
3. Systematically searched each LSU area on the maps to count and record:
    - Number of villages
    - Number of houses in named villages
    - Number of houses (isolated or in clusters) not in named villages
  4. Tabulated the above information in Table X.
  5. Assembled the 1:100,000 scale topographic maps prepared by the NGD with Soviet assistance based on 1981 aerial photography.
  6. Superimposed the LSU boundaries, and used the method described in paragraph 2 above to determine the following characteristics for each LSU.
    - Area under dense forest (No criteria have been specified by the cartographer)
    - Area under open forest (No criteria have been specified by the cartographer)
    - Area under cleared forest
    - Area under fruit and vegetable gardens
    - Area under paddy (poldered) rice
    - Area under other rice (This may not be a consistent identification of hill rice - some hill rice seems to have been interpreted as grassland)
    - Area as grassland
    - Area as marsh / seasonal or permanent swamp
    - Area where bamboo is the dominant vegetation cover
    - Area as river water
    - Area built up with villages and towns
    - Area as roads and tracks
    - Area as permanent or seasonal water body
  7. Systematically searched each LSU area on the maps to count and record:
    - Number of villages
    - Number of houses in named villages
    - Number of houses not in named villages
    - Number of abandoned villages
  8. Tabulated the above information in Table X.
  9. Analysed the two sets of data which represent the land use situation 18 and 34 years ago and compared it with the limited information collected in 1999 to develop a preliminary picture of contemporary land use in the catchment



## **5.4 Results**

### **5.4.1 Study of Habitats**

#### **5.4.1.1 Catchment analysis**

The catchment was analyzed using 1:50,000 and 1:100,000 scale maps and divided into 40 land systems which are shown in Figure 2. A further catchment analysis has been made to describe the sub-catchments of the Nam Ngiep river. The results are presented in Table 1. A reconnaissance level description of each land system unit has been made from all sources available to the consultants and is set out in Table 2. Riverine habitats encountered during the survey are listed in Table 3.

TABLE 2: LAND SYSTEMS OF THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
1	Nam Ngiep Valley Terrace	236	170	Quaternary deposits of sand, silt & clay.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with silty soils 2-3m deep.	Extensively used for paddy & hill rice, and livestock. Heavy use for forest products.	Grasslands, secondary woodlands & low bushlands, with seasonally flooded swamplands near Mekong River	Many areas can be irrigated using regulated river. Intensive arable use to be expected.
2	Phu Katha Eroded Plateau	320	850	Jurassic & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Very deeply incised valleys cutting plateau remnants. Soils shallow silts, with some rock pavements.	Flatter plateau tops have been cultivated in the past; now apparently abandoned.	Low secondary woodland including Pines, Dipterocarps & evergreens. Grassy glades & clearings.	Highest potential comes from recreational use as a site for scenic & wildlife lodges.
3	Nam Gnok Noi Valley	68	520	Jurassic & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone	Moderately sloping slightly incised valleys with soils 1-2m deep.	Previously extensively cultivated and evidence of increased cropping now.	Low bamboo & secondary woodland; some recently cleared land supporting pasture.	Perennial tree crops and pasture in agro-forestry production system.
4	Phu Nam Gnok Noi Plateau Remnant	20	1000	Jurassic & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Very deeply incised valleys cutting plateau remnants now reduced to hilltops. Soils very shallow silts, with many exposed cliffs.	Previously cultivated on limited areas with less steep slopes; now apparently abandoned.	Low secondary woodland including Pines, Dipterocarps & evergreens. Grassy glades & clearings.	Highest potential comes from recreational use as a site for scenic & wildlife lodges.
Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential

5	Lower Reservoir	48	310	Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Previously extensively cultivated and evidence of increased cropping now.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Pasture lands and clearings. Most of the area is current or fallow cropland.	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development.
6	Say Phou Spur	44	400	Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone	Very steeply sloping elongated whale-backs with medium to shallow soils.	Previously cultivated on limited areas with less steep slopes; now apparently abandoned.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets.	Limited potential for forestry & grazing.
7	Nam Xao Valley Terrace	72	200	Jurassic & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Previously extensively cultivated and evidence of increased cropping now, with small scale irrigation.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Pasture lands and clearings.	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development if water can be brought in.
8	Nam Pou Valley Terrace	40	250	Late Triassic granite intrusives; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; Upper Ordovician - Silurian limestone, conglomerate & schist.	Gently to moderately sloping terrace with silty soils of 1-2m depth.	Previously extensively cultivated, and evidence of an increase in cropping now.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Pasture lands and clearings.	Perennial tree crops and pasture in agro-forestry production system, with some irrigable areas, for which additional water will be required.
Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
9	Phu Huasua Mountain	92	820	Jurassic & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Very steeply and steeply sloping plateau relict with silty generally shallow soils.	Previously cultivated on east facing less steep slopes; now apparently abandoned.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some small stands of older trees.	Limited potential for forestry & grazing.

10	Phu Mun/Kong-kao Mtns.	142	560	Triassic sandstone, siltstone, shale, marl & coal seams.	Deeply dissected plateau remnants with shallow soils. Cliffs and sandstone outcroppings occur.	Previously cultivated on east facing less steep slopes; now apparently abandoned.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some more extensive stands of older trees.	Moderate potential for forestry & grazing in the west. Perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems on the low eastern hills.	
11	Nam Ma Valley Terrace	20	410	Late Triassic Intrusives with Triassic sandstone, siltstone, shale, marl & coal seams.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Previously very extensively cultivated. Some apparent increase in cropping now.	Low secondary woodland, bamboo thickets and some stands of older trees. A few pasture lands and clearings.	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development if water can be brought. In.	
12	Upper Phu Moun Mountain	26	1030	Late Triassic Intrusives.	Moderately sloping mountain top with weakly incised drainages. Soils 1-3m deep with high clay content.	Previously very extensively cultivated. Said still to support some cropping.	Low secondary woodlands and bushed grasslands with bamboo thickets.	Moderate potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established. Water is main constraint.	
	Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
13	Lower Phu Moun Mountain	148	490	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives.	Moderately sloping low hills & lower mountain slopes.	Previously supported a few areas of hill rice; now apparently not used.	Low secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some more extensive stands of older trees.	A few areas could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential	

14	Middle Reservoir	32	330	Late Triassic Intrusives.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with some low hills. Silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Previously moderately cropped. Now extensively cultivated on all terrace land.	A very few old trees. Mostly pasture and cropland (current and fallow)	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development.
15	Upper Phu Samsao Mtn.	96	1140	Antecarboniferous granites.	Moderately sloping mountain top with weakly incised drainages. Soils 1-3m deep with high clay content.	Previously very extensively cultivated. Lower slopes still support hill rice, maize and cassava cropping & livestock.	Medium secondary woodlands, bushed grasslands and open grassland/shrubland. Isolated trees & some bamboo thickets.	Moderate potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established. Water is main constraint.

	Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
16	Lower Phu Samsao Mtn.	124	850	Antecarboniferous granites.	Moderately and steeply sloping mountain lower slopes with moderately incised drainages. Soils 1-2m deep with high clay content.	Previously supported a few areas of hill rice; present use not known except near Nam Gniep River, where there is increased cropping.	Medium secondary woodland and extensive bamboo thickets. Some more extensive stands of older trees.	Areas adjacent to the Nam Gniep & Nam Phouan could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.	

17	North Nam Phouan Valley Side	32	980	Antecarboniferous granites.	Moderately sloping mountain side with weakly incised drainages. Soils 1-3m deep with high clay content.	Previously very extensively cultivated. Present use not known.	Medium secondary wood-lands, bushed grasslands and open grassland/ shrubland. Isolated trees & some bamboo thickets.	Moderate potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established. Water is main constraint.
18	Phadoy Upland Plains	72	980	Devonian-Carboniferous shale, sandstone, lime-stone, rhyolite, tuffs & Silurian-Lower Devonian shale, sandstone limestone, andesite & tuffs.	Flat to moderately sloping infilled terraces with fairly deep soils.	Recently and still heavily used for paddy rice, hill rice, wet season maize & pasture. Many villages and gardens. Apparent expansion of use in progress.	Current and fallow cropland and grasslands.	An area with considerable potential for irrigation development if water use can be managed.
Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
19	Phadoy Uplands	236	1355	Devonian-Carboniferous shale, sandstone, lime-stone, rhyolite, tuffs; Silurian-Lower Devonian shale, sandstone lime-stone, andesite & tuffs; Triassic siltstone, marl, shale, rhyolite, tuffs.	Complex mountain area with moderate to steep slopes & incised drainages. Some karst areas with limestone cliffs & outcroppings. Soils vary from deep to shallow, generally silty.	Recently & still heavily cropped & used for livestock.	Low shrubland with scattered low trees; bamboo thickets on lower slopes. Current and fallow cropland	Areas adjacent to the Phadoy Upland Plains could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.

20	Samlian Eastern Slopes	76	860	Antecarboniferous granites; Triassic siltstone, marl, shale, rhyolite, tuffs.	Moderate to steep slopes and weakly incised drainages. Soils about 2m. with some clay.	Recently extensively used. Use now confined to lower slopes close to Nam Gniep valley.	Medium secondary woodland and extensive bamboo thickets. Some more extensive stands of older trees.	Areas adjacent to the Nam Gniep could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.
----	------------------------	----	-----	---	--	--	---	--

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
21	Upper Reservoir	80	350	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives & Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with some low hills. Silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Recently and still heavily used for paddy rice, hill rice, wet season maize & pasture. Many villages and gardens. Apparent rapid expansion of use in progress, with irrigation projects.	Current and fallow cropland and grasslands. Some low secondary woodland, bamboo thickets and seasonal swamps on lower Nam Mang and a few section of Nam Gniep.	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development and crop intensification.

22	Nong Houd Hills	28	600	Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Low hills with moderate to steep slopes and moderately incised drainages. Soils 2m deep & silty.	Recently extensively used for hill rice production. Current use not known.	Medium secondary woodland and extensive bamboo thickets. Some small stands of older trees.	Areas adjacent to the Nam Giep valley could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.
23	Lower Nam Chian Valley	60	610	Upper Ordovician - Silurian limestone, conglomerate & schist; Antecarboniferous granites.	Narrow valley terrace weakly incised between moderately steep low hills. Soil depths probably medium.	Recently extensively used for hill rice production. Current use not known.	Medium secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some stands of older trees. Current & fallow cropland.	Areas adjacent to the Nam Chian valley could be developed for perennial tree crops and pasture in agroforestry production systems. Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.
Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
24	Phu Nang Phoun Mountain	52	1160	Antecarboniferous granites.	Mountain with steep slopes and moderately to weakly incised drainages. Shallow soils.	Recently partly cropped but now abandoned.	Medium secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some stands of older trees.	Limited potential for forestry & livestock.

25	Phu Xao Mtn. Clearings	52	1310	Antecarboniferous granites; Upper Ordovician - Silurian limestone, conglomerate & schists; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives.	Moderate sloping mountain top with weakly incised drainages.	Recently and still extensively cropped. Many livestock	Open shrub grassland and low bushland, with bamboo thickets and stands of older trees. Current and fallow cropland.	Limited potential for forestry & livestock.
26	Phu Kabo Phu Xao Mtn.	316	1390	Antecarboniferous granites; (Some Upper Ordovician - Silurian limestone, conglomerate & schists; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives).	Mountain sides with moderate to steep slopes, Severely eroded with moderately to weakly incised drainages. Shallow soils.	Recently and still partly cropped, and used for livestock production.	Medium height dense secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some extensive stands of older trees.	Limited potential for livestock; probably suitable for commercial forestry uses.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
27	Upper Nam Ngiep Valley	9	755	Antecarboniferous granites.	Narrow flat valley terrace with variable depth of soils.	Recently growing paddy rice at northern and southern ends. Some expansion of cropping taking place.	Medium secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Open grasslands and current and fallow croplands.	An area with some potential for irrigation development and crop intensification.

28	Phu Houat Uplands	347	1380	Antecarboniferous granites; (Some Permian limestones with intermediate effusives).	Mountain tops with moderate and a few steep slopes, Severely eroded with weakly and some moderately incised drainages. Medium depth silty-clay soils.	Recently and currently intensively farmed for hill rice, maize and cassava. Considerable livestock populations. Narrow valley terraces are poldered for paddy rice production.	Open shrub grassland and low bushland, with bamboo thickets and stands of older trees. Current & fallow cropland.	Moderate to high potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems. Water is main constraint.
----	-------------------	-----	------	--	---	--	---	---

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
29	Upper Nam Chian Valley	108	1250	Antecarboniferous granites; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives.	A moderate to gently sloping watershed terrace with weakly or non-incised drainages. Soils medium to deep.	Recently and currently intensively farmed for hill rice, maize and cassava. Considerable livestock populations. Most valley terraces are poldered for paddy rice production. Substantial increases in cropping taking place	Open shrub grassland and low bushland. A few bamboo stands, with bamboo thickets and large stands of older trees. Current & fallow cropland.	High potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems. Water is main constraint.
30	Phu Tin Eastern Slopes	120	875	Late Triassic granite intrusives; Triassic sandstone, siltstone, shale, marl & coal seams.	Very steep mountain slopes with moderately incised drainages. Soils shallow to medium.	A few less steep slopes have been cropped in the past; now apparently abandoned.	Medium height dense secondary woodland, with extensive areas of older trees. Bamboo thickets and some glades on old fields.	Low potential for forestry use.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
31	Phu Bia Mountain	100	2430	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; Devonian siltstone, shale, & limestone.	Very steep mountain slopes with weakly to moderately incised drainages. Soils shallow to medium.	One or two small areas on hilltops have been cropped in the past; now apparently abandoned.	Medium and tall dense secondary woodland and forest, with extensive areas of older trees, including Conifers. Bamboo thickets and some glades on old fields.	Medium potential for forestry use.
32	Nam Siam Upper Valley	184	975	Devonian siltstone, shale, & limestone; (Some Permian limestones with intermediate effusives & late Triassic granite intrusives.)	Steep and moderate mountain slopes with moderately incised drainages. Soils shallow to medium.	Recently very intensively used for cropping; now apparently little used.	Open shrub grassland, low bushland & low secondary woodland. A few bamboo thickets. Current & fallow cropland.	Medium potential for livestock and forestry in the west of the unit. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems.
33	Phu Xao Noi Hill	44	580	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives.	Moderately sloping low hills & lower mountain slopes.	Previously supported a few areas of hill rice; now apparently not used.	Dense low secondary woodland and forest with extensive bamboo thickets. Some stands of older trees.	Reasonable forestry & livestock potential.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
34	Nam Pang Valley	52	480	Late Triassic granite intrusives; Triassic sandstone, siltstone, shale, marl & coal seams; Jurassic-Cretaceous red conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone & claystone.	Flat to gently undulating valley terrace with some low hills. Silty-clay soils 2-3m deep.	Recently partly used for growing hill rice, maize & cassava, and for livestock. Now more extensively used for cropping and livestock.	Open shrub grassland, low bushland & low secondary woodland. A few bamboo thickets. Current & fallow cropland.	High potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems.
35	Nam Siam Nam Pot Lower Valleys	240	1075	Devonian siltstone, shale, & limestone; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; late Triassic granite intrusives; Ante-carboniferous granites; Carboniferous-Permian limestone.	Mountain sides with moderate to steep slopes. Severely eroded with moderately to weakly incised drainages. Shallow soils.	Recently and still partly cropped, and used for livestock production.	Medium height dense secondary woodland and bamboo thickets. Some extensive stands of older trees.	Limited potential for livestock, probably suitable for commercial forestry uses.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
36	Nam Pot Valley Floor	28	1120	Carboniferous-Permian limestone, Carboniferous shale, chert, siltstone, limestone, coal seams.	Narrow flat valley terrace with variable depth of soils.	Recently and currently intensively cultivated for paddy rice on poldered fields. Some expansion of cropping taking place. Heavily used by livestock	Open grasslands and current and fallow croplands.	An area with some potential for irrigation development and crop intensification.
37	Phonsavan Plain	88	1120	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; Silurian-Lower Devonian shale, sandstone, limestone, andesite & tuffs; Carboniferous-Permian limestone; (Some Quaternary deposits of sand, silt & clay.	Flat to gently undulating plain, with weakly to very weakly incised drainages.	Recently and currently very heavily used for cultivating rice, maize, vegetable, etc., with large numbers of livestock. Valley floors are frequently poldered. Many villages and gardens. Small scale irrigation schemes widespread.	Open grasslands and current and fallow croplands. Stands of young Pines widespread.	A rich arable area, with considerable potential for irrigation development, and crop/livestock intensification.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
38	Plai Khe Uplands	544	1250	Devonian siltstone, shale, & limestone; Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; late Triassic granite intrusives; Ante-carboniferous granites; Carboniferous shale, chert, siltstone, limestone, coal seams; Devonian-Carboniferous shale, sandstone, limestone, rhyolite, tuffs; Silurian-Lower Devonian shale, sandstone, limestone, andesite & tuffs;	Mountain with moderate and a few steep slopes, Severely eroded with weakly and some moderately incised drainages. Medium depth silty-clay soils. Some areas of karst formations, featuring cliffs and rock outcroppings.	Recently and currently intensively farmed for hill rice, maize and cassava. Considerable livestock populations. Narrow valley terraces are poldered for paddy rice production, with irrigation based on diverted stream flows.	Open shrub grassland and low bushland, with bamboo thickets and stands of older trees, including Pines. Current & fallow cropland.	Moderate to high potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems, particularly where the unit borders the Phonsavan Plain. Water is main constraint.



Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
39	Nam Ngiou Valley Floor	42	1130	Permian limestones with intermediate effusives; Carboniferous-Permian limestone.	A wide flat valley terrace terrace with weakly or non-incised drainages and a few low hills. Soils medium to deep.	Recently and currently intensively farmed for hill rice, maize and cassava. Many villages and gardens. Considerable livestock populations. Most valley terraces are poldered for paddy rice production. Substantial increases in cropping taking place	Open grassland and low bushland. A few bamboo stands. Current & fallow cropland, and groves of fruit trees. Some stands of Pine on side valleys.	High potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems. Water is main constraint.

Land System Number	Land System Name	Area In sq. Km.	Mean Altitude in m.	Geology	Geomorphology & Soils	Recent Land-Use	Vegetation	Land Potential
40	Phu Gaouan Southern Slopes	124	1430	Silurian-Lower Devonian shale, sandstone, limestone, andesite & tuffs; Carboniferous shale, chert, siltstone, limestone, coal seams; Devonian red sandstone, shale, conglomerate; Devonian sandstone, shalo & limestone; (Some Permian limestones with intermediate effusives).	Very eroded, moderately to steeply sloping hills, with deeply incised drainages. Soils medium to shallow.	Recently extensively cropped. Now little cropping taking place, but heavily used by livestock	Dense secondary woodlands and forests with Pines and Ketelaria spp.. A few stands of older trees. Some grassy glades.	High potential for livestock & forestry use. Specialised tree crops could be established, and incorporated into agro-forestry production systems. Water is main constraint.
All		4510						

**TABLE 3: RIVERINE HABITATS**

Habitat Area	Speed of Flow	Riverbed description	Vegetation	Landscape	Fish Species Observed in Habitat Type
<b>LARGE SCALE HABITAT</b>					
1.	Moderate with a few turns. Moderate gradient	Sandbanks and stretches of shallows running over stony beds often emerging as river islands, which are flooded for between a few days to 6 months by fast flowing water to depths which vary from a few cm to several metres,	Islands support a highly specialised hydrophyte flora of low bushes and shrubs including several <i>Capparidaceae</i> spp. Each species is dominant to the point of being the only plant represented in the different linearly arranged zones of submergence depths, paralleling the situation seen in mangrove swamps. Where the current is lower there are considerable filamentous algal growths anchored to stones	River flows over a flood plain.	<i>Luciocyprinus striolalius</i>  <i>Bagarius cf. Yasrelli</i> (Caught by hand in pebbly shallows)
2.	Fast, river runs straight. High gradient	Rocky islands, some very large boulders, and many deep pools.	Less vegetation is generally observed on the rocky islands and sand bars. Again these support a highly specialised hydrophyte flora of low bushes and shrubs including several <i>Capparidaceae</i> spp.	Steeply incised gorge/ valley	<i>Scaphiodonichthys acanthopterus</i> <i>Poropuntius deauratus</i> <i>Labeo behri</i> <i>Pa Lai</i> <i>Garra pingi</i> <i>Pa Langoh</i>
<b>SMALL SCALE HABITAT</b>					
3.	Fast flowing tributary. Very high gradient. (e.g. Houay Soup)	Areas of limestone rock pavement with microsteps and water "sculpting". Water chutes and rock pools.	These fast flowing but permanent streams which are submerged for only short periods support a typical rheophytic flora of Bryophytes, ferns, Aroids, <i>Pinginga</i> spp. palms and Rubiaceae shrubs	Steeply climbing valley.	<i>Clarias butrachas</i> <i>Cirrhinus molitorella</i> <i>Scaphiodonichthys acanthopterus</i> <i>Channa cf. Marulia</i>
4.	Slow. Low gradient. River widens from	The river is very deep. (over 30m)	Fringed by secondary woodland.	The river exits a gorge and onto the	Local guides indicated that crocodile were known to inhabit

	15m across to over 50m for approximately 400m.			Nam Ngiep Valley Terrace.	this pool up until 20 years ago. Dynamite fishing is said to have killed off the population
5.	Slow flowing tributary. Low gradient	Backwaters, where river gradients are slight and the reduced flows have created local very small sediment basins.	Relatively unspecialised vegetation such as water lilies, Aroids, and <i>Nymphoides sp.</i>	Stream flows over flood plain	Large school of <i>Poropuntius deauratus</i> moving from the main river into a tributary.

Note: The mapping of these habitats would require 1:10,000 scale aerial photography to be available.

#### 5.4.1.2 Vegetation

The area surveyed was rather limited when taking into account the size of the catchment. Forty land system types were identified from analysis of 1:50,000 scale maps of which only 12 (LS 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 16, 20, 21 and 34) have been traversed. Due to problems of insecurity, it was not possible for the survey team to traverse any more LS's. This survey will therefore present only a very fragmented view of the Nam Ngiep catchment.

The lower reservoir has small areas of secondary woodland (LS5) all seriously degraded, with extensive areas of land cleared, cultivated and logged. The middle and upper reservoir (LS14 and LS21) though less degraded (least degraded between Ban Houaypamon and the Nam Mang confluence) is still mainly covered with secondary woodland (with large areas of woodland) interspersed with areas of hill rice cultivation. In the upper reservoir the Nam Mang (tributary upon which Ban Nakang is located) most of the valley floor has been cleared for paddy rice cultivation in the last few years. Small scale logging operations are currently working in the lower, middle, and upper reservoir.

In the small areas of LS2, LS3, LS9, LS10, LS16, and LS20 surveyed the steepest slopes and ridge tops tended to have the oldest and most diverse stands of trees. Particular patches of LS2 and LS3 had the most mature stands of trees observed. The riverbanks of the Nam Ngiep in LS1 are heavily cultivated (mainly sugar cane and rainfed rice) and further from the river large areas have been subject to swidden agriculture, logging and the impacts of small to moderate numbers of elephant. Interestingly most of the bamboo flowered and seeded more or less simultaneously in LS1 and LS2 four years ago. The large quantities of dead bamboo which built up then burned in a series of severe forest fires that burnt through the areas in the following two years. Hence the degraded secondary woodland in LS1 and LS2 is characterised by a grassy rather than bamboo understorey.

The northern end of the Nam Xao Valley (LS7) has recently been almost totally cleared for a large irrigation/resettlement development project. The southern end has a mosaic of young secondary woodland interspersed with late stage regeneration on crop fields. Two old

village sites were present in the southern end of the valley. In addition logging over the past 6 years has extracted all of the valuable trees in the valley and on adjacent slopes. The hills overlooking the Nam Xao Valley have all been used by shifting cultivators in the past 10-20 years, and are covered by large areas of bamboo.

The identifications of the trees observed by Mr. Thongdee, an extremely experienced and skilled tree-namer, indicate that the areas of catchment surveyed have low species diversity in comparison to other woodlands surveyed in Lao PDR (surveys in Xaignabouli (SFE6), Vientiane (SFE9) and Attapu (Xe Kaman basin). The level of diversity is comparable to the degraded woodlands of the Nam Leuk catchment. However the forests of the Nam Ngiep catchment surveyed are however generally older than those found in the Nam Leuk Catchment.

The severity and age of degradation of the land systems is presented in Table 4 and a list of trees identified in the respective habitat types is shown in Table 5.

**TABLE 4: LEVEL OF DEGRADATION OF SELECTED LAND SYSTEMS**

LAND SYSTEM	TYPE OF DEGRADATION	SEVERITY OF DEGRADATION	AGE OF DEGRADATION
LS1	Shifting and paddy cultivation	Very Severe	50 - 100 years to present
	Elephant induced	Severe	50 years to present
	Fire Catastrophe	Severe	3 years ago
	Logging	Very Severe	?
LS2	Shifting cultivation	Moderate	20 years to present
	Elephant induced	Severe	50 years to present
	Fire Catastrophe	Severe	2 years ago
	Logging	Moderate	20 years to present
LS3	Shifting cultivation	Severe	40 years to present
	Logging	Severe	20 years to present
LS5	Shifting and paddy cultivation	Very Severe	20 years to present
	Logging	Very Severe	20 years to present
LS7	Shifting and paddy cultivation	Very Severe	20 years to present
	Logging	Very Severe	6 years to present
LS9	Shifting cultivation	Severe	20 years to present
	Logging?	Severe	?
LS10	Shifting cultivation	Severe	20 years to present
	Logging	Moderate	6 years to present
LS14	Shifting cultivation	Very Severe	10 years to present
	Logging	Severe	10 years to present
LS16	Shifting cultivation	Severe	20 years to present
	Logging	Severe	4 years to present
LS20	Shifting cultivation	Severe	40 years to present
	Logging	Moderate	?
LS21	Shifting and paddy cultivation	Very Severe	20 years to present
	Logging	Severe	?

All land systems experience (and have experienced in the past) hunter-gatherer degradation pressures, which can be significant. All ecotypes have probably suffered moderate to severe degradation pressures from large populations of wild elephant until about 60 years ago.

**TABLE 5: TREES IN THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT**

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>			
<i>Allospondias lakonensis</i>	Ho	1,2,3,10	COMMON
<i>Bouea burmanica</i>	Phang	1	RARE
<i>Choerospondias axillaris</i>	Meu	14,	RARE
<i>Mangifera spp.</i>	Muang pa	2,3,5,9	RARE

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<i>Melanorrhoea</i> spp.	Na:m kiang daeng	2,3	
<i>Spondius cytherea</i>	Kok	3,10,21,34	RARE
<b>Annonaceae</b>			
<i>Cananga latifolia</i>	Ka: saeng	2	RARE
<i>Polyalthia memoralis</i>	Haek	1,2,3,5,9,14	COMMON
<i>Polyalthia simiarum</i>	Nyang de:n	2,3,5,9,10	COMMON
<b>Apocynaceae</b>			
<i>Alstonia scholaris</i>	Tin pe:t	1,2,3,14	RARE
<i>Wrightia tomentosa</i> var. <i>cochinchinensis</i>	Mu:k muk	1,2,3	RARE
<b>Betulaceae</b>			
<i>Carpinus poilanei</i>	Kiu	1	COMMON
<b>Bignoniaceae</b>			
<i>Millingtonia hortensis</i>	Kang khong	2	RARE
<i>Stereospermum chelnooides</i>	Khae say	2,3	RARE
<i>Stereospermum fimbriatum</i>	Khae foy	2	RARE
<b>Burseraceae</b>			
<i>Canarium kerii</i>	Leuam	2,21,34	
<i>Canarium</i> spp.	Nyang kai:	2,3	COMMON
<i>Protium Serratum</i>	Faen	1	RARE
<b>Combretaceae</b>			
<i>Terminalia belerica</i>	Haen	2,3	RARE
<i>Terminalia catappa</i>	Hu kwang	10	RARE
<i>Terminalia chebula</i>	So:m mo	2,3	RARE
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> var. <i>citrana</i>	So:m mo	1,2,3,5,9	RARE
<i>Terminalia gracillior</i>	Si kha:m	2	
<i>Terminalia myriocarpa</i>	Khaew neua	14	RARE
<b>Coniferae Araucariaceae</b>			
<i>Cunninghamia sinensis</i>	Long leng	2,3	VERY RARE
<b>Datisceae</b>			
<i>Tetrameles nudiflora</i>	Sa: phu:ng	1,2,3,10,14	ABUNDANT
<b>Dilleniaceae</b>			
<i>Dillenia kerrii</i>	San khaeng	2,3,21,34	RARE
<i>Dillenia</i> spp.	San phao	14	RARE
<b>Dipterocarpaceae</b>			
<i>Anisoptera cochinchinensis</i>	Bak	3,2	COMMON
<i>Anisoptera costata</i>	Bak	1,2	COMMON
<i>Anisoptera costata</i> (?)	Bak leuang	1,2	COMMON
<i>Anisoptera robusta</i>	Bak	2,10	COMMON
<i>Dipterocarpus alatus</i>	Nyang	2,10	COMMON
<i>Dipterocarpus costatus</i>	Nyang daeng	2	COMMON
<i>Dipterocarpus intricatus</i>	Nyang sa baeng	2,3	RARE
<i>Dipterocarpus</i> sp.	Nyang si	2	COMMON
<i>Dipterocarpus tuberculatus</i>	Kung	2,3,14	RARE
<i>Dipterocarpus turbinatus</i>	Nyang daeng	2	COMMON

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<i>Hopea ferrea</i>	Khaen hin	2,3,5,9,10	COMMON
<i>Shorea cochinchinensis</i>	Khaen kha: nyom	1	COMMON
<i>Shorea dealbata</i>	Khaen heua	1,2	COMMON
<i>Shorea floribunda</i>	Khaen kha: nyom	2	COMMON
<i>Shorea harmandii</i>	Khaen kha: nyom	2	RARE
<i>Shorea hypochra</i>	Khaen khai:	1,2	COMMON
<i>Vatica cinerea</i>	Si	1,2,3,5,9,10	COMMON
<i>Vatica dyeri</i>	Si kan daeng	1,2	RARE
<b>Ebenaceae</b>			
<i>Diospyros mollis</i>	Keua	2	RARE
<i>Diospyros decandra</i>	Cha:n	2	RARE
<i>Diospyros ehretoides</i>	Heaun kwang	2	RARE
<i>Diospyros embryopteris</i>	Na:ng hao	2,3	RARE
<i>Diospyros mum</i>	Na:ng da:m	2,3	RARE
<i>Diospyros rubra</i>	La:ng da:m	2,3,5,9,10	RARE
<b>Elaeocarpaceae</b>			
<i>Elaeocarpus floribundis</i>	Mu:n	1	RARE
<i>Elaeocarpus integripetalus</i>	Kok don	14	RARE
<i>Elaeocarpus robusta</i>	Bi mi	3,10,14	COMMON
<i>Elaeocarpus siamensis</i>	Mu:n	1,3	RARE
<i>Elaeocarpus spp.</i>	Pi tong	1,2,3	RARE
<i>Elaeocarpus spp.</i>	Som pher:ng	1	RARE
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>			
<i>Aporosa sphaerosperma</i>	Meuat nyai:	2	RARE
<i>Aporosa spp.</i>	Meuat do:ng	1,2,3	RARE
<i>Aporosa villosa</i>	Meuat	1	RARE
<i>Baccaurea oxycarpa</i>	Fai:	1,2,3	COMMON
<i>Bischoffia javanica</i>	Kho:m fat	2,3,5,9	RARE
<i>Chaetocarpus castanocarpus</i>	Bo:k khay	1,2,14	RARE
<i>Croton joufra</i>	Pao:	2,3	RARE
<i>Hura crepitans</i>	Pho tha: le	1,2,3,5,9,14	ABUNDANT
<i>Mallotus cocinchinensis</i>	Lat kua	2,21,34	COMMON
<i>Mallotus philippinensis</i>	Khi mon	2	RARE
<i>Microdesmis casaeriaefolia</i>	Du:k khai	1,2,14	COMMON
<i>Microdesmis spp. (?)</i>	Du:k khao	2,3,5,9	ABUNDANT
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i>	Kham pom	1,2,3	RARE
<i>Trewia nudiflora</i>	Pop	1,10,14	COMMON
<b>Fagaceae</b>			
<i>Castanopsis fleuryi</i>	Ko mong	21,34	RARE
<i>Castanopsis hystrix</i>	Ko deng	2,3	COMMON
<i>Castanopsis laotica</i>	Ko daek	5,9	COMMON
<i>Lithocarpus annamensis</i>	Ko fa	1	RARE
<i>Lithocarpus trimcatus</i>	Ko deauy	2	COMMON
<b>Flacourtiaceae</b>			
<i>Hydnocarpus anthelmintica</i>	Ka bao:	2,3,10,14	COMMON

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<b>Gramineae</b>			
<i>Bambusa nana</i>	Dan khwa:n	1	RARE
<i>Dendrocalamus brandisii</i>	Ho:k	21,34	ABUNDANT
<i>Schizostachyum zollingeri</i>	Hia	2,3,14,21,34	ABUNDANT
<i>Thyrsostachys spp.</i>	Hae	14,21,34	ABUNDANT
UNIDENTIFIED	Haen	2	RARE
UNIDENTIFIED	Kong pi	2,3	RARE
UNIDENTIFIED	Luang khao: khway	2	RARE
<b>Guttiferae</b>			
<i>Calophyllum spp.</i>	Nyang so:ng	1,2,3,5,9,10,14	COMMON
<i>Garcinia ferrea</i>	Kua:k	2	RARE
<i>Garcinia multiflora</i>	Phong	2,3,14	RARE
<b>Hypericaceae</b>			
<i>Cratoxylon polyanthum</i>	Tiu leuang	1,2	COMMON
<i>Cratoxylon prunifolium</i>	Tiu daeng	1,2,3	COMMON
<b>Lauraceae</b>			
<i>Chisocheton spp.</i>	Kuang	1,14	RARE
<i>Cinnamomum iners</i>	Si khai: to:n	1,3	COMMON
<i>Cinnamomum litsaefolium</i>	Chuang	2	COMMON
<i>Lauraceae spp.</i>	Kuang daeng	14	RARE
<i>Litsea glutinosa</i>	Mi tho	2,3	COMMON
<i>Litsea polyantha</i>	Mi do:ng	2,3	RARE
<i>Litsea sebifera</i>	Mi tho	1	COMMON
<i>Litsea spp.</i>	Mi me:n	1,2,14	RARE
<i>Machilus odoratissima</i>	Ka: bo	1	RARE
<i>Nothaphoebe umbelliflora</i>	Ya bong	2	RARE
<b>Lecythidaceae</b>			
<i>Careya sphaerica</i>	Ka: don	1	RARE
<b>Leguminosae</b>			
<i>Adenanthera microsperma</i>	La:m ta khway	1,2,3	COMMON
<i>Adenanthera pavonina</i>	La:m	5,9	RARE
<i>Adenanthera spp.</i>	La:m ta kai:	2,3,5,9	RARE
<i>Albizia lebbekoides</i>	Khang hu:ng	1,14	RARE
<i>Albizia lucida</i>	Sa: khae:	3,10,14	
<i>Dalbergia cambodiana</i>	Du laeng	1,2	RARE
<i>Dalbergia cochinchinensis</i>	Kha: nyu:ng	1,2,10	RARE
<i>Dalbergia cultrata</i>	Ka: bo	2	COMMON
<i>Dalbergia kerrii</i>	Ket	2,3,5,9	RARE
<i>Dalbergia lanceolaria</i>	Ka: bo	1,2	COMMON
<i>Dalbergia nigrescens</i>	Pa: do:ng khao	2,3	RARE
<i>Desmodium gyrans (?)</i>	Du:k khiat	2	RARE
<i>Erythrina ovalifolia</i>	Thong lang	2,3,21,34	COMMON
<i>Leucaena spp.</i>	Ka: nthin	2,5,9,14	COMMON



	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<i>Ormosia cambodiana</i>	Kha khi mu	2,3,5,9,14	ABUNDANT
<i>Parkia streptocarpa</i>	Hua lo:n	21,34	COMMON
<i>Peltophorum dasyrachis</i>	Sa pha:ng	1	RARE
<i>Pithecellobium clypearia</i>	Sa: thon	1,2	RARE
<i>Pterocarpus macrocarpus</i>	Du	1,5,9	RARE
<i>Sindora cochinchinensis</i>	Tae ho	1,2,3	COMMON
<b>Lythraceae</b>			
<i>Lagerstroemia angustifolia</i>	Peuay dok khao	2,3,14	COMMON
<i>Lagerstroemia anisoptera</i>	Khaew neua	2	RARE
<i>Lagerstroemia balanca</i>	Peuay lan	1,5,9,10,14,2 1,34	COMMON
<i>Lagerstroemia calyculata</i>	Peuay dok khao	14	COMMON
<i>Lagerstroemia floribunda</i>	Peuay na:m	14	RARE
<i>Lagerstroemia spp.</i>	Peuay en	1,2,3,14	RARE
<b>Magnoliaceae</b>			
<i>Michelia alba</i>	Cham pi	1,2,3,14,21,3 4	RARE
<b>Mavaceae</b>			
<i>Bombax albidum</i>	Ngiu pa	2,3	RARE
<i>Hibiscus macrofilus (?)</i>	Po khao	1,2	RARE
<b>Meliaceae</b>			
<i>Aglaia euphoriodes</i>	Daeng do:ng	1,2	COMMON
<i>Aglaia merostela</i>	Kong	5,9,14	RARE
<i>Aphanamixis cochinchinensis</i>	Kong ta sua	1,2,14,21,34	COMMON
<i>Aphanamixis polystachya</i>	Ta sua	5,9	RARE
<i>Chukrassia tabularis</i>	Nyo:m khao	1,2	RARE
<i>Melia azedarach</i>	Ka: dao sang	2,3	RARE
<i>Sandoricum indicum</i>	Tong	1	
<i>Toona febrifuga</i>	Nyo:m hom	2,3,10	RARE
<i>Toona sinensis</i>	Nyom fot	1,2,3,10,14	RARE
<b>Moraceae</b>			
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i>	Nong	1,2,3,10,14	RARE
<i>Artocarpus asperula</i>	Hat	1,2,3,14,21,3 4	COMMON
<i>Artocarpus lakoocha</i>	Hat mi	3	RARE
<i>Artocarpus spp.</i>	Hat lai:	2,3	COMMON
<i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i>	Po sa	21,34	RARE
<i>Euphoria sp.</i>	Nya:m nyai: don	1,2	RARE
<i>Ficus callosa</i>	Mi pa	1	RARE
<i>Ficus gibbosa</i>	Hai:	2,5,9	ABUNDANT
<i>Ficus hispida</i>	Deua pong	10	RARE
<i>Ficus racemosa</i>	Deua kiang		RARE
<i>Ficus spp.</i>	Hai: kham	2,3,10,14	ABUNDANT
<i>Streblus asper</i>	So:m pho	14,21,34	RARE
<b>Myrtaceae</b>			

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<i>Eugenia jambos</i>	Chiang	2	RARE
<i>Tristania merguensis</i>	Du khang	1	RARE
<i>Eucalyptus spp.</i>	Si khai: to:n	2	COMMON
<i>Eugenia compongensis</i>	Va khi no:k	1,2	RARE
<i>Eugenia jambolana</i>	Va so:m phu	2,3	COMMON
<i>Eugenia logiflora</i>	Va choy	1,2	RARE
<i>Eugenia spp.</i>	Va kaew	2,3	RARE
<i>Eugenia/syzygium spp.</i>	Va	2	ABUNDANT
<i>Psidium guyava</i>	Si da	1	RARE
<i>Syzygium cumini</i>	Va so:m phu	2	COMMON
<b>Palmae</b>			
<i>Arenga saccharifera</i>	Tao tat	2,3	RARE
<i>Caryota mitis</i>	Tao hang	21,34	RARE
<i>Wallichia gracillis</i>	Tao	2,3	RARE
<b>Platanaceae</b>			
<i>Platanus kerrii</i>	Peuay na:m	14	RARE
<b>Proteaceae</b>			
<i>Helicia balanse</i>	Meuat fay	1	RARE
<b>Rhizophoraceae</b>			
<i>Carallia brachiata</i>	Bo:ng na:ng	2,3,5,9,14,21,34	RARE
<i>Carallia lucida</i>	Bo:ng na:ng	2	RARE
<b>Rubiaceae</b>			
<i>Anthocephalus chinensis</i>	Sa: ko	1,14,21,34	COMMON
<i>Gardenia erythroclada</i>	Muy daeng	1,2	RARE
<i>Gardenia philastreii</i>	Khai: nao:	2	COMMON
<i>Hymenodictyon excelsum</i>	So:m ko:p	1	RARE
<i>Malus doumeri</i>	Leaung	1,2	RARE
<i>Nauclea orientalis</i>	Kan leuang	2	COMMON
<i>Sarcocephalus cordatus</i>	Kan leuang	1,2,5,9	ABUNDANT
<b>Rutaceae</b>			
<i>Zanthoxylum spp.</i>	Khaen kheua	2	RARE
<b>Sapindaceae</b>			
<i>Dimocarpus longan</i>	La:m nyai:	1	RARE
<i>Pometia eximia</i>	Kuang	1,2,3,10,14	RARE
<i>Pometia pinnata</i>	Ko	2,3,10	COMMON
<i>Sapindus rarak</i>	Sa:k pa	1	RARE
<i>Xerospermum laoticum</i>	Kho laen	2,3	RARE
<b>Sapotaceae</b>			
<i>Achras sapota</i>	Mak la:mut	14	RARE
<i>Palaquium spp.</i>	Yang niao	2	RARE
<b>Simarubaceae</b>			
<i>Ailanthus malabarica</i>	Nyo:m pa	1,2,3,5,9	RARE
<i>Ivingia harmandia</i>	Bo:k	1,2,10	RARE
<i>Ivingia malayana</i>	Bo:k	2	RARE

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
<b>Sonneratiaceae</b>			
<i>Duabanga grandiflora</i>	Te:n	1,2,3,10,14,2 1,34	COMMON
<i>Duabanga sonneratioides</i>	Ling ngo	3,14	RARE
<b>Sterculiaceae</b>			
<i>Heritiera javanica</i>	Hao	1,2,3,21,34	COMMON
<i>Pterocymbium dussaudii</i>	Po dan	1,2,14	RARE
<i>Sterculia alata</i>	Po daeng	2,3	RARE
<i>Sterculia spp.</i>	Po tae	2,5,9,14	COMMON
<i>Sterculia thorelii</i>	Po daeng	1,2,3,14	RARE
<b>Ternstroemiaceae</b>			
<i>Anneslea fragrans</i>	Kaem o:n	2,3	COMMON
<i>Schima wallichii</i>	Mi	21,34	RARE
<b>Tiliaceae</b>			
<i>Grewia paniculata</i>	Khom so:m	2,3	RARE
<i>Grewia spp. (?)</i>	Po ka: hok	1,2	RARE
<i>Pentacme burmanica</i>	Si siat	3	RARE
<i>Pentacme siamensis</i>	Phao:	2	RARE
<b>Ulmaceae</b>			
<i> Celtis sp.</i>	Kiu do:ng	14	RARE
<b>Verbenaceae</b>			
<i>Gmelina arborea</i>	So	1,21,34	RARE
<i>Vitex peduncularis</i>	Tin no:k	1,21,34	RARE
<i>Vitex pubescens</i>	Tin no:k	2	RARE
<b>Xanthophyllaceae</b>			
<i>Xanthoxylum glaucum</i>	So:m saeng	2	RARE
<b>UNIDENTIFIED</b>			
	A Lang	2	RARE
	Bon	1,2	RARE
	Bak kay	2	RARE
	Deau kai	1,2	RARE
	Du:k	2,3,10	COMMON
	Duk ngua	1,2,3	COMMON
	Duk sang	2,3	COMMON
	Fa:k kha:m	2,3	ABUNDANT
	Haet	14	RARE
	Ham Xanghong	2,3	RARE
	Ka bao nok	2	COMMON
	Ka bok nam	2	COMMON
	Ka tong	2	RARE
	Khae	2,3,10	COMMON
	Khae daeng	3	COMMON
	Khae nang	3	COMMON
	Khaeng nong	1,2	COMMON
	Kham paep	1,2,3,5,9,10,1	COMMON

	Lao Name	Observed in Land System	Abundance
		4	
	Kho leuang	3	RARE
	Ko huat	1	COMMON
	Kon beung	2	RARE
	Kuang si	1	RARE
	Leaung	3,21,34	RARE
	Lin khway	1,10,14,21,34	RARE
	Man meuy	14,21,34	COMMON
	Meaut khay	2	RARE
	Meuat ae:	2	RARE
	Mu:n Dong	1,2	RARE
	Nuat Seua	2	RARE
	Nyang tui	2	RARE
	Pang	14	ABUNDANT
	Phak hai ton	2,3	RARE
	Phut Pa	2	RARE
	Po hu	1,10,21,34	ABUNDANT
	Po Mong	1,21,34	ABUNDANT
	Sa: kham	1,3	RARE
	Saed	2	RARE
	Sang nga	2,3,14	RARE
	Si da pa	2	RARE
	Si kan da:m	2	RARE
	So da:m	1,2,3,14	RARE
	So yuark	2,3	RARE
	So:m sua	21,34	RARE
	Ta khay	5,9,10	RARE
	Tang nok	2,3	RARE
	Tao mui	3,21,34	RARE
	Thong fan	1,2,10,14	RARE
	Thong pa	2,3	RARE
	Tin cham	2,14	RARE
	Va Na:o Nai	2	RARE

#### 5.4.1.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

##### MITIGATIONS FOR THE LOSS OR DAMAGE TO VALUABLE HABITATS

#### Riverine Habitats

The dam will flood approximately 156 sq.km and will result in a gross change in riverine habitat, from a running water environment to a predominantly lacustrine system. The riverine habitats downstream of the dam will also be damaged due to the reduction in flows during the long filling period (21 months), the probable release of anoxic water from the dam after filling, and rapid flow changes which occur during expected power generation periods. For these reasons:

1. It is recommended that a future study should investigate the level of riparian release required to mitigate the damage to riverine habitats downstream during the long filling period. The riparian release arrangement will need a variable level intake to avoid releasing anoxic water. Attention should be paid to the experiences of Nam Theun Hinboun where the riparian release appears to have been inadequate to prevent significant damage to the downstream fishery.

2. It is recommended that a study be undertaken to determine whether it is feasible either: to install a variable level intake, so as to ensure that water is not drawn from below the thermocline, resulting in releases of anoxic water downstream or: install other devices (such as oxygen injectors or surface pumps) to insure the power conduits do not discharge anoxic water.

3. It is recommended that a proper evaluation of the possibilities of improving water quality in the reservoir be made including

- Biomass reduction
- Silt Control
- Oxygen injection and surface pumps

4. It is recommended that a study be undertaken to determine if it is feasible to construct a re-regulating dam of sufficient size to re-regulate flows.

#### **Alternative River Basin Conservation**

The creation of the reservoir will result in a large number of people (6000) having to be resettled in the general vicinity of the reservoir. The project will also result in a significant increase of in-migration into the area. The inevitable development pressures that follow will result in extremely severe degradation of the surrounding terrestrial habitats in addition to a gross change in riverine habitat. For these reasons it is probably impractical to try and conserve the majority of the terrestrial habitats in the vicinity of the reservoir.

The mitigation strategy recommended here is to first identify a river basin with equivalent species and habitat diversity, and conservation significance, approximately 2000 sq. km in size and not too close to the reservoir and work sites. Once identified an environmental management plan should be prepared for the new area in coordination with the relevant government ministries. The approximate cost to identify a suitable area and prepare a environmental management plan would be in the region of \$100,000.

It is recommended that the environmental management plan should outline effective and practical control measures which would have to be put in place. These would include highly motivated and well paid park rangers, checkpoints, access roads, fencing, firebreaks, a tourism development plan and a villager participation programme. The villager participation programme would be designed to mitigate for the loss of use of the forest (hunting and collection of forest products), and to demonstrate the benefits of maintaining the reserve (for example wage earning jobs in the park and tourist industries) The annual budget to protect such an area would be in the region of \$100,000 per annum.

If this approach is effective, the negative impact of damaging an already degraded habitat would be more than balanced by the substantial positive impact of the new reserve.

#### 5.4.2 Timber volumes and vegetation biomass

It has to be noted that it was not possible to stratify the vegetation in the inundation zone prior to performing the survey because clearance could not be obtained for an overflight.

##### 5.4.2.1 Commercial Timber Volumes

Three sampling sites to assess timber volumes have been surveyed to date in the inundation zone (Figure 1). The preliminary results are detailed in the Table 6.

**TABLE 6: COMMERCIAL TIMBER VOLUMES**

Sample Site Number	Land System	Area in sq. km	Total Number of stems per hectare	Number of commercial stems per hectare	Average Stem Diameter Breast Height at 1m	Cu.m Hectare (commercial timber volume)
1	14	32	15	3	33.7	9.7
2	14	32	178	83	54.5	396.3
3	21	80	43	8	51.1	35.0
Mean			79	31	46.4	147.0
Standard Deviation			71	37	9.1	176.6
Mean (excluding 2)			29	6	42.4	22.4
Standard Deviation (excluding 2)			14	3	8.7	12.7

#### Findings

Sample site 2 was in a particularly dense patch of forest. Only about 5% of the inundation zone surveyed is covered by forest of this density. The reservoir-wide density of commercial timber will be between 15 and 35 cu.m/ha.

It has to be cautioned that these results are from a very small number of sampling sites in a limited area of the inundation zone. In addition the lower reservoir LS5 has yet to be surveyed. From visual inspections it seems to have much lower timber volumes due to the large areas under cultivation.

The results to date (excluding sample plot 2) suggest that there could be approximately 40 stems per ha to be extracted with a maximum of 35 cu.m per ha to be removed. This implies a maximum of 6,420,000 stems could be cut and a maximum of 5,460,000 cu.m of timber could be moved out of the inundation zone. Again it has to be stated that the more densely populated areas of the upper and lower reservoir have yet to be surveyed. The defects found in the timber in Lao forests, the lack of a differentiated timber market and the nature of

logging (i.e. untrained subcontractor felling, old equipment etc), make it unlikely that more than 20% of the potentially commercial timber would be extracted from the reservoir area (= c. 1 million cu.m of timber), of which about 500,000 cu.m could reach commercial saw mills.

Logging operations in Laos are unmechanised and not apparently very profitable. The relatively low timber volume density in the reservoir presents almost no opportunities for real profit. In addition small scale logging operations have probably already extracted the most valuable stems from the upper and lower reservoir over the past 3 to 4 years.

Logging could only become a commercially viable as part of a comprehensive biomass clearance programme, if modern management and modern equipment were to be deployed.

Experience with other reservoirs shows that poorly managed logging carries the risk of generating adverse international publicity (NT2, Nam Leuk). The issue will require careful co-ordination of the numerous interest groups which control different facets of logging in the Lao PDR.

### **Recommendations**

It is recommended that additional field work be carried out to establish with more precise magnitude the commercial timber volume in the inundation zone, especially in the upper reservoir in the Tavieng area as a more sound estimate has to be established. In deciding how to carry out a commercial timber volume inventory it is recommended that proper attention is paid to the Nam Leuk experience. The National Office of Forest Inventory and Planning (NOFIP) over-estimated the volume of commercial timber by a factor of 3 and marked up numerous trees which were outside the reservoir area. If NOFIP were commissioned to carry out inventory work, close supervision of their work would be needed. The cost of a professional reservoir timber inventory and a logging plan which integrates with the biomass clearance programme would cost about \$150,000.

It is further recommended that this more precise data be used to formulate an integrated biomass clearance and logging plan which also identifies how the process can be managed to prevent adverse international reactions.

#### **5.4.2.2 Vegetation Biomass**

Three sampling sites to assess vegetation biomass have been surveyed to date in the inundation zone (Figure 1). The results for each sample plot are shown below in Table 7. Each biomass component has been converted to a density of tonnes per hectare undried biomass. Tree stems are shown as numbers per hectare in the large (dbh >20cm) and small (3cm < dbh < 20cm) categories. The individual sampling plots have then been combined to produce the density of undried biomass for the different biomass components and the number of trees per hectare for all the sample plots.

In Table 8 the biomass density information has been combined and analyzed to show undried biomass densities in tonnes per hectare for different decomposition types of biomass, and their total weights for the inundation zone.

**TABLE 7: DENSITY OF BIOMASS COMPONENTS**

Sample Site	LS	Quadrant	Litter tonnes /ha	Low Veg tonnes /ha	Dead Wood tonnes /ha	Live Bamb oo tonnes /ha	Dead Bamb oo tonnes /ha	Lianas tonnes /ha	Tree Stems (* tonnes /ha	Tree Foliage (* tonnes /ha	No. Large Trees	No. Small Trees
1	14	1	6.2	4	0	40.6	14.6	0	21.3	9.3	0	2000
2	14	1	7.0	2.1	0	3.6	4.3	0	334.8	12.8	400	800
3	21	1	5.8	1.8	8.2	0	0	13.2	334.9	11.5	400	400
Mean			6.3	2.6	2.7	14.7	6.3	4.4	230.3	11.2	266.7	1066.7
Standard Deviation			0.5	1.0	3.9	18.3	6.1	6.2	147.8	1.4	188.6	249.4

**TABLE 8: TOTAL DENSITY OF RAPID AND SLOWLY DEGRADABLE BIOMASS**

Land System	Area (Ha)	Rapidly Decomposed Biomass		Slowly Decomposed Biomass		All Biomass	
		Density Tonnes per Ha	Total Weight 1000's Tonnes	Density Tonnes per Ha	Total Weight 1000's Tonnes	Density Tonnes per Ha	Total Weight 1000's Tonnes
ALL	15600 (FSL)	45.5	709.8	233	3634.8	278.5	4344.6

### Findings

The overall undried above ground biomass density of 278.5 tonnes per hectare is comparable to findings of the Nam Leuk Biomass survey (289.8 undried above ground biomass density). It has to be cautioned that these results are from a very small number of samples in a limited area of the reservoir. In addition the lower reservoir LS5 has yet to be surveyed and from initial inspections may have a lower above ground biomass density, due to the large areas under cultivation. Of critical importance in terms of water quality is the rapidly degradable biomass of which there is approximately 45 tonnes per ha (above ground) and from studies performed in the Nam Leuk the fine

### 5.4.2 Wildlife Survey

#### 5.4.3.1 Results of Terrestrial Vertebrate Survey

### Findings



Due to the short time period of the survey it is difficult to draw definite conclusions about the relative abundance of individual species for different habitat types or ecotypes. In addition the area surveyed was rather limited when taking into account the size of the catchment. Forty land system units were identified from analysis of 1:50,000 scale maps of which only 13 (LS 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 16, 20, 21 and 34) have been traversed. Mainly due to problems of insecurity, it was not possible for the survey team to traverse any more of the LS's. This survey will therefore present only a very fragmented view of the Nam Ngiep catchment.

The rate at which animal sounds and/or signs were encountered were much higher than was found in comparable surveys made in the Xe Kaman basin, in Xaignabouli (SFE6) Province, western Vientiane Province (SFE9) and in the Middle Nam Leuk Basin. The areas traversed outside the inundation zone appeared rich in terms of species diversity and high in terms of density and could be described as a "rich community". This "rich community" of fauna may be due in part to the unusual geology of this catchment (Figure 5). It has large areas of eroded intrusive rock from which a particularly fertile soil is weathered, which is probably able to support more abundant and diverse food chains.

A list of species either sighted or hunted by villagers is presented in Table 9. It gives indications of relative abundance of each species and the change in abundance over the last 5 years. A list of birds sighted in the catchment is listed in Table 10. A list of mammals and reptiles sighted in the catchment is presented in Table 11.

The two areas where "rich communities" of fauna are found are highlighted in Figure 4. North of Ban Soppoun up to Ban Nakang is one area. The other area is directly south of Ban Sopyouk in LS2 and LS3 and east of the Nam Ngiep into LS1. LS1 and LS2 appeared particularly rich, with evidence of at least two separate herds of Asiatic Elephant of approximately 12 and 6 individuals respectively. The herd in LS2 (west of the Nam Ngiep river) migrate through the area during the rainy season and the herd in LS1 (East of the Nam Ngiep river) appears to stay in LS1 all year round. However the elephants habitat in LS1 is coming under severe pressure from logging and cultivation and their future prospects in this area do not look promising. The possible migration route of the herd in LS2 is displayed in Figure 4. Both these herds, and possibly others not yet located, would be adversely affected by the construction phase of the project, by the increases in economic activity, and by increased human populations, which the project is likely to induce.



**TABLE 2: VILLAGER FAUNA OBSERVATIONS**

Species Name	English Name	Lao Name	Village	Collection Site Opportunistic (OP); Known General Location (KGL); Specific Weather/Time (SW/T); Known Identical Location (KIL); Seasonal (S); Known Habitat (KHT).	Annual amount collected (kg) for the entire village. PROTEC T= Protected and therefore not hunted.	Closest site (hrs walk)	Furthest Site (hrs walk)	Equipment Used Gun (G); Knife (K); Trap (T); Digging Tool (D). Net (N), Catch by Hand (H), Mine Trap (mT)	Habitat Type S=HILLSIDES; H=HIGH ALT; L=LOW ALT; R=RIVERINE; F=DENSE FOREST; FL=FLOODLAND; N=NONG; C=CAVE; O=OPEN WOODLAND	Findability	Change over Last 5 years
<b>MAMMALS</b>											
<b>Bovidae</b>											
Bos gaurus	Gaur	Meuey	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	0	1.5	3	G	S,H,L,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Hatyeun	KHT	(in 1973) 800	2	3	G	S	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KHT	(in 1975) 2500	1.5	2	G	H,L,F	EASY	HARDER
			Ban Soppoun	OP, KGL	700	0.75	3	G, T	S,F	HARD	HARDER
Capricornis sumatraensis	Serow	Nyeuang	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	20	2	3	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	50	1	4	G	S,H,L	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KIL	18	3		G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	PROTEC T	3	4	G	S,H,F	HARD	EASIER
			Ban Namyok	OP,SW/T	PROTEC T	1	4		H,R	EASY	
			Ban Soppoun	KHT	150	2	4	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Sopyok	OP	800	4	12	G	S	EASY	
<b>Canidae</b>											
Canis aureus	Asiatic Jackal	Ma Jork	Ban Muang Bo	OP, KGL	30	1	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	30	0.25	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	PROTEC T						
Cuon alpinus	Asian Wild Dog	Ma Nai	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	PROTEC T						
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	13	0.25	1.5	G	S,H,L,R,F,FI	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	18	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	PROTEC T						
<b>Cercopithecidae</b>											
Macaca arctoides	Stump-Tailed Macaque	Ling Kung	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	50	0.3	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDER

			Ban Houaypamom	NC					F,II	HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	1	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL		1.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	500	3	4	G	S,H,L,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	PROTEC T						
Macaca assamensis	Asamese Macaque	Ling Sehn	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	1	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	30	1.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	150	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDI UM	EASIER
Macaca mulata	Rhesus Macaque	Ling Vork	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	30	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	6	0.5	1	G	S,H,L	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	100	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	EASY	EASIER
Presbytis cristatus	Silvered Langur	Khang	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	1	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	5	1.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	6	0.5	1	G	S,H,L	MEDI UM	HARDE R
Presbytis melalophos	Banded Langur	Khang	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	180	2	3	G	S,H,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	100	1	3	G	S,H,L,F	EASY	HARDE R
Sp.	Monkeys	Ling	Ban Namyouk	KGL	40	2	10	G	S,F	EASY	
			Ban Sopyouk	OP	70	1	3	G	S	MEDI UM	
<b>Cervidae</b>											
Cervus porcinus	Hog Deer	Gwang	Ban Muang Bo	OP, KGL	250	0.7	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	PROTEC T	1	2	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	EASIER
			Ban Namyouk	KIL,SW/T	PROTEC T	0.5	2		F	MEDI UM	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL,KH T	300	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Sopyouk	KHT	0	1	2	G	S	MEDI UM	EASIER
Cervus unicolor	Sambar	Gwang	Ban Hatyeun	KHT	300	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	1200	0.25	0.5	G	S,H,L,R,F	EASY	HARDE R
Muntiacus feae	Feas Barking Deer	Fahn Mo	Ban Houaypamom	OP	100	0.5		G	R,F	HARD	NO CHANG E
Muntiacus muntjak	Common Barking Deer	Fahn Tong	Ban Houaypamom	OP	100	0.5		G	R,F	HARD	NO CHANG E
			Ban Hatyeun	KHT	100	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	700	0.25	0.5	G	S,H,L,R,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	300	0.25	2	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	HARDE R
			Ban Thahua	KGL	(in 1996) 20	0.5		T	S	EASY	EASIER
Muntiacus sp.	Barking Deer	Fahn	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	300	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	EASY	HARDE R

			Ban Nakang	KGL	60	1	2	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Sopyouk	KGL	250	0.1	2.5	G	S	MEDI UM	EASIER
<b>Cynocephalidae</b>											
<i>Cynocephalus variegatus</i>	Colugo (Malayan Flying Lemur)	Bahng Hok (Bahng Nai)	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	3	0.016	4	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	MEDI UM	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	5	0.016	3	G,C	S,H,L,R,F, FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	3	0.016	1	G	S,H,R,F, L	EASY	EASIER
<b>Elephantidae</b>											
<i>Elephas maximis</i>	Asiatic Elephant	Xang	Ban Hatyeun	KHT	One elephant in 1969	0.5		G	R	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KHT	Two elephants in 1975	0.08	2	G	H,L,F	EASY	HARDE R
<b>Felidae</b>											
<i>Felis temmincki</i>	Asian Golden Cat	Seua Fai (Seua Daeng)	Ban Muang Bo	OP, KGL	0	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypamom	OP	0	30		G	F	HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	OP	50	0.5	4	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	(in 1995) 30	3		G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Namyouk	KIL	30	0.1	10	G	S,F	HARD	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	50	0.25	0.75	G	H,L,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Sopyouk	KGL	35	0.1	2	G	L	HARD	HARDE R
<i>Felis viverrina</i>	Fishing Cat	Seua Pa	Ban Houaypamom	KHT	10	0		G	L,R	HARD	
<i>Felis marmorata</i>	Marbled Cat	Seua Maco	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	15	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	60	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.3	1	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
<i>Panthera tigris</i>	Tiger	Seua Khong	Ban Muang Bo	OP, KGL	0	1.5	2	G	S,H,L,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypaniom						F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Hatyeun	KHT	(in 1975) 200	1.5		mT	R	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	(in 1977) 200	1		G	S,h,L,R,F, FL	HARD	NO CHIANG E
			Ban Soppoun	OP,KGL	0	0.5	2	G	H,L,F	HARD	HARDE R
<b>Hylobatidae</b>											
<i>Hylobates agilis</i>	Agile Gibbon	Thanee Meudamm	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	30	2	3	G	S,H,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	1	4	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	8	1.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	50	2	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
Sp.	Gibbons	Thanee	Ban Namyouk	KGL	PROTEC T	3	12		S,F	EASY	
			Ban Sopyouk	KIL	PROTEC T	1	3		H	HARD	HARDE R

<b>Hystricidae</b>											
Artherurus macrourus	Bush Tailed Porcupine	Horn	Ban Houaypamom	KGL	50	1	4	G	S,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	50	1	3	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	45	1.5	3	G,T	S,H,L,F	MEDI UM	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KHT	10	2	3	G	S,H,L,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Namyouk	KGL	50	2	3	G	S,R	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
Hystrix brachyura	Malayan Porcupine	Menh	Ban Muang Bo	OP, KGL	50	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypamom	KHT	1	0.5		G,D	F,S	HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.5	3	G,T	S,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	50	1.5	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F, FL	MEDI UM	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	15	0.25	1	G	S,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Namyouk	KGL	40	1	3	G	S,F	MEDI UM	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
Ban Thahua	KGL	25	0.5	1	T	S,H,L,R,F, FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R			
<b>Lorisidae</b>											
Nycticebus coucang	Slow Loris	Ling Lom	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	15	0.3	3	G	S,H,L,R,F, FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	15	0.5	3	G	S,H,L,F	EASY	EASIER
Manis javanica	Malayan Pangolin	Linh	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	200	0.25	3	D	L,R,F,FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypamom	KHT	16	0.3		D	F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.16	5	C,T	S,H,L,R,F, FL	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	100	0.25	2	C	S,H,L,R,F, FL	EASY	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	10	0.25	1	D	L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.5	2	D	L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
Ban Thahua	KGL	8	0.5	1	C,T	S,L,F	HARD	HARDE R			
<b>Mustelidae</b>											
Arctonyx collaris	Hog Badger	Mu Leung	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	60	2	3	G	R,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypamom	NC					F	HARD	
Arctonyx collaris	Hog Badger	Mu Leung	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	15	1	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F, FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	(in 1993) 25	1		G	R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Namyouk	OP	20	1	8	G	S,L,F	HARD	
Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R			
Lutra lutra	Common Otter	Nahk	Ban Hatyeun	KGL		0.08	3	G	R,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	4	3	4	G	R,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	5	0	3	G	R	HARD	HARDE R

Melogale personata	Large-toothed Ferret-Badger	Ma Leung	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	8	1	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	18	3	3	G	R,FFL	HARD	HARDE R
Mustela strigidorsa	Back Striped Weasel	Phung Pom	Ban Soppoun	OP, KGL	2	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
<b>Rhizomyidae</b>											
Rhizomys pruinosus	Horay Bamboo Rat	Onn Khaem	Ban Houaypamom	KHT	72	0.1		D	S,F	EASY	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	0.16	4	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	25	2	3	D	S,H,L,F	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	15	0.5	1	D	S,L,R,F,F,L	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.16	1	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Rhizomys sumatrensis	Large Bamboo Rat	Onn Hok	Ban Houaypamom	KHT	72	0.1		D	S,F	EASY	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.16	4	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	20	2	3	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Nakang	KGL	15	0.25	1	D	S,L,R,F,F,L	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.25	1	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	30	0.3	1	D	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Rhizomys sp.	Bamboo Rat	Onn	Ban Namyouk	KGL, SW/T	35	0.5	8	D, G	S	EASY	
<b>Sciuridae</b>											
Callosciurus flavimanus pranis	Belly-banded Squirrel	Ka Hok Bao	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.3	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	35	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	15	0.16	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Callosciurus finlaysoni	Variable Squirrel	Ka Hok Lark Sy	Ban Muang Mai	KGL	50	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	90	0.25	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.16	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	15	0.16	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Petaurista elegans	Lesser Giant Flying Squirrel	Bahng Lua	Ban Nakang	KGL	10	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDE R
Petaurista petaurista	Red Giant Flying Squirrel	Bahng Lua	Ban Muang Mai	KGL	10	2	3	G	S,H,L,F	MEDI UM	HARDE R
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	6	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,F,R	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Houaypamom	NC		2				VERY HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	5	0.5	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDE R
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.3	1	G	S,H,R,F,F,L	HARD	HARDE R
<b>Suidae</b>											
Sus scrofa	Common Wild Pig	Mu Pa	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	800	0.3	3	G	H,L,R,F,F,L	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Houaypamom	OP	150	0.1	1	G	H,L	EASY	

Sus scrofa	Common Wild Pig	Mu Pa	Ban Hatyeun	KHT	100	0.3	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	1500	0.16	0.3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	600	1	2	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Namyok	KGL	900	0.5	10	G	S,L,R,F	MEDIUM	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	500	1	2	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Sopyouk	KGL	640	0.5	1	G	F	MEDIUM	
			Ban Thahua	KGL	400	0.16	0.5	G	S,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>Talpidae</b>											
Talpa micrura	Eastern Mole	Teung	Ban Soppoun	OP	0	2	3	D	S,H	HARD	HARDER
Tragulus javanicus	Lesser Mouse Deer	Kai	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	50	0.3	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Houaypamom	KHT	30	0.1		T	R	HARD	NO CHANGE
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	40	0.5	4	G,T	S,H,L	MEDIUM	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	30	1	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	20	1	2	G,T	L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Namyok	OP	30	0.5	8	G	L,F	MEDIUM	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	30	0.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Tragulus sp.	Mouse Deer	Kai	Ban Sopyouk	OP	28	0.25	1	G	R	EASY	
<b>Tupaiaidae</b>											
Tupaia glis	Common Treeshrew	Ka Tae	Ban Soppoun	KGL	20	0.1	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Tupaia minor	Pygmy Treeshrew	Ka Chorn	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	5	0.08	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	10	0.08	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	2	0.08	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>Ursidae</b>											
Helarctos malayanus	Malayan Sun Bear	Mee Born	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	150	1	3	G	S,H,L,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Houaypamom	OP	25	0.5		G	F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Hatyeun							PROTECTED	
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	26	3		G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
Helarctos malayanus	Malayan Sun Bear	Mee Born	Ban Soppoun	KGL	150	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
Selenarctos thibetanus	Asiatic Black Bear	Meuey	Ban Hatyeun	OP	(in 1988) 100		3	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	(in 1997) 90		3	G	S,H,L,R,F	HARD	HARDER
Sp.	Bear	Mee	Ban Namyok	KGL	50	1	10	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Sopyouk	KIL	150	2	4	G	F,H	HARD	HARDER
<b>Viverridae</b>											
Arctictis binturong	Binturong	Ngen Hang Kho	Ban Muang Bo	KGL	160	2	3	G	S,H,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER



			Ban Houaypamom	KGL	5				F	HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.5	3		S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	70	1.5	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	100	1	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	HARDER
			Ban Namyounk	KGL	40	0.5	10	G	F	MEDIUM	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	80	0.25	1	G	S,H,L	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Sopyouk	KGL	30	0	1	G	H	EASY	
			Ban Thahua	KGL	50	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
Arctogalidia trivirgata	Three Striped Palm Civet	Ngen Omm Na Daen	Ban Houaypamom	KGL	40	0.1	3	G	F	EASY	NO CHANGE
Herpestes javanicus	Javan Mongoose	Phung Pom	Ban Houaypamom	KGL	1				F	HARD	
Paguma larvata	Masked Palm Civet	Ngen Kheua Khow	Ban Namyounk	OP	10	0.1	4	G	F	EASY	
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	320	0.25	1	G	R,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Sopyouk	KGL	4	0.1	2	G	R	HARD	HARDER
Paradoxus hermaphroditus	Common Palm Civet	Ngen Omm Tin Tam	Ban Houaypamom	NC					F	HARD	
Viverra zibetha	Large Indian Civet	Ngen Omm Hang Kam	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	5	0.25	3	G	R,L,F,FL	HARD	HARDER
		Ngen Omm Hang Kam	Ban Muang Mai	KGL	60	0.5	1	G	R,L,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
		Ngen Omm Hang Kam	Ban Nakang	KGL	20	1	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
		Ngen Omm Hang Kam	Ban Thahua	KGL	60	0.5	1	G	R,L,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Viverricula malaccensis	Small Indian Civet	Ngen Faeng	Ban Houaypamom	KGL	10				F	HARD	
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	15	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	30	5	0.16	G	L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Nakang	KGL	30	1	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	HARDER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	6	0.08	1	G	R,L,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
	Civet	Ngen Om	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.5	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	30	0.3	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	10	0.5	1	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>BIRDS</b>											
<b>Ardeidae</b>											
Egretta intermedia	Plumed Egret	Nok Nyang	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.03	1	G,T	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.08	1	G,T	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>Bucerotidae</b>											
Anthracoceros albirostris	Indian Pied Hornbill	Nok Kaeng	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	1	4	G	S,H	EASY	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	2	1.5	3	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	150	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	1	2	G	S,H,F	HARD	HARDER
Rhinoplax vigil	Helmeted Hornbill	Nok Kok	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	4	1	4	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER

			Ban Muang Mai	KGL		2	3	G	S,H	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	6	1	2	G	S,H,L,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	1	2	G	S,H,F	HARD	HARDER
Rhinoplax spp	Hornbill	Nok	Ban Houaypamom			0.2		G		EASY	EASIER
<b>Columbidae</b>											
Ducula badia	Mountain Imperial Pigeon	Nok Moum	Ban Hatyeun	KHL	5	0.16	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KHT	75	1.5	3	G,N,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KHL	10	0.25	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Ireron bicincta	Orange-breasted Pigeon	Nok Pao	Ban Hatyeun	KHL	5	0.16	4	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KHT	75	1.5	3	G,N,T	S,H,L,R,,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KHL	10	1.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>Phasianidae</b>											
Arborophita charltonii	Scaly-breasted Partridge	Nok Kho	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.16	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	20	0.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Gallus gallus	Red Junglefowl	Kai Pa	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	50	0.16	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	150	0.25	3	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	20	0.08	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
Lophura diardi	Siamese Fireback	Nok Khua	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.16	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	40	1.5	3	G,T	S,H,R	MEDIUM	EASIER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	60	2	4	G,T	S,H,L,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	10	0.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
Lophura nycthemera	Silver Pheasant	Nok Khi Oh	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	0.16	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	3	0.25	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
Polyplectron bicalcaratum	Grey Peacock Pheasant	Nok Kong Kort	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.16	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	40	1.5	3	G,T	S,H,R	MEDIUM	EASIER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	50	1	4	G,T	S,H,L,R,F	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.5	1	G,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	EASIER
<b>Rallidae</b>											
Amauornis phoenicurus	White-breasted Waterhen	Nok Kai Nah	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	0.16	1	G,T	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	2	0.08	1	G,T	R,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
<b>REPTILES</b>											
<b>Agamidae</b>											
Physignathus cocincinus	Asian Water Dragon	Kathahng	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	0.3	4	G,C,T	R,FL	EASY	EASIER

			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	15	0.25	3	T,C	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.08	1	G,C	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
<b>Boidae</b>											
Python sp.	Python	Ngoo Leuam	Ban Muang Bo	OP	200	2	3	G,K	L,R,F,FL	EASY	HARDER
			Ban Soppoun	KGL	150	0.15	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDER
			Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.16	4	G,C,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	30	0.25	3	G	S,H,L,R,F,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	20	0.3	1	C	S,H,L,R,F,FL	HARD	HARDER
<b>Testudinidae</b>											
Testudo spp.	Tortoise	Tao	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	10	0.3	4	C,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	45	1	3	C	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	5	0.3	1	C	R,FL	HARD	HARDER
<b>Varanidae</b>											
Varanus bengalensis	Yellow Tree Monitor	Laen	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	30	0.3	4	G,C,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	100	0.25	3	G,C,T	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	150	0.25	1	G,C	S,H,L,R,F,FL	EASY	EASIER
Varanus salvato	Water Monitor	Hea	Ban Hatyeun	KGL	20	0.3	4	G,C,T	R,FL	EASY	EASIER
			Ban Muang Mai	KGL	60	0.25	3	G,C,T	R,FL	MEDIUM	HARDER
			Ban Thahua	KGL	20	0.16	1	G,C	R,FL	HARD	HARDER

**TABLE 4: MAMMALS AND REPTILES OBSERVED IN THE NAM NGIEP CATCHMENT**

Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting									Observed in Land System	Habitat Type S=HILLSIDES; H=HIGH ALT; L=LOW ALT; R=RIVERINE; F=DENSE FOREST; FL=FLOOD LAND; N=NONG; C=CAVE; O=OPEN WOODLAND
		Faeces	Calls	Pug Mark	Direct sight	Specimen	Scratching post	Trail	Nest	Diggings		
<b>MAMMALS</b>												
<b>Bovidae</b>												
<i>Bos gaurus</i>	Gaur	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	L,F
<i>Capricornis sumatraensis</i>	Serow	2	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2,3,9	S,H,F
<b>Cercopithecidae</b>												
<i>Macaca assamensis</i>	Assamese Macaque	2	0	1	80	1	0	0	0	0	2	S,H,L,R,F
<i>Macaca arctoides</i>	Stump Tailed Macaque	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3,16	S,H,F
<i>Macaca mulata</i>	Rhesus Macaque	0	0	0	>20	0	0	0	0	0	10	S,H,F,N
<i>Presbytis phayrei</i>	Phayre's Langur	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	S,H,F
<i>Spp.</i>	Monkey	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,14	S,H,F
<b>Cervidae</b>												
<i>Cervus unicolor</i>	Sambar	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	1,2,3,5,14	S,H,L,R,F,N
<i>Cervus porcinus</i>	Hog Deer	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	O,F
<i>Muntiacus feae</i>	Fea's Barking Deer	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2,14	S,H,L,R,F,O,N
<i>Muntiacus muntjak</i>	Common Barking Deer	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	2,3,5,14	S,H,L,R,F,O,N
<i>Muntiacus spp.</i>	Barking Deer	1	3	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,3,5,16,21,33	S,H,L,R,F,O,N
<i>Spp.</i>	Deer	4	0	27	0	0	1	0	0	0	3,14,21,33	S,H,L,R,F,O,N
<b>Elephantidae</b>												
<i>Elephas maximus</i>	Asiatic Elephant	38	0	21	0	0	0	7	2	1	1,2	H,L,F,N,O
<b>Felidae</b>												
<i>Felis bengalensis</i>	Leopard Cat	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	Unknown
<i>Felis marmorata</i>	Marbled Cat	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	Unknown
<i>Felis spp.</i>	Cat (Leopard or Fishing)	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	S,H,F,R
					(Trap camera)							
<i>Felis spp.</i>	Cat (small)	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	3,5,14	S,H,L,R,F,O,N
<i>Felis spp.</i>	Cat	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	R,F
<i>Felis temmincki</i>	Asian Golden Cat	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5,14	S,H,R,F
<i>Panthera tigris</i>	Tiger	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	S,H,R,F
<b>Hipposideridae</b>												
<i>Hipposideros lekaguli</i>	Dr. Boonsong's Roundleaf Bat	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	C
<b>Hystricidae</b>												
<i>Artherurus</i>	Bush Tailed	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	2,3,5,14	S,H,L,R,F,FL



<b>Viverridae</b>													
<i>ArctogaliDa trivirgata</i>	Three-Striped Palm Civet	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5,14	S,H,L,R,F,FL	O
<b>UNIDENTIFIED</b>	Civet	5	1	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	2,3,14,21	S,H,L,R,F,FL	N,O
<i>Viverra zibetha</i>	Large Indian Civet	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3,14		F,N
						(Trap camera							
<b>REPTILES</b>													
<b>Agamidae</b>													
<i>Physignathus cocincinus</i>	Asian Water Dragon	0	0	0	1	10	0	0	0	2	1,5,10,14		O,R
<b>Boidae</b>													
<i>Python reticulata</i>	Reticulate python	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2		R,O
<b>Colubrine</b>													
<i>Pytho carinatus</i>	Black Rat Snake	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3,5		R
<i>Rhabdopsis subminiatus</i>	Red-Necked Keelback Snake	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3,7,16		O
<b>Elapidae</b>													
<i>Cobra spp.</i>	Cobra	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2		R,O
<i>Ophiophagus hanah</i>	King Cobra	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7		O
<b>Scincidae</b>													
<i>Mabuya multifasciata</i>	Sun Skink (3)	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2		
<b>Varanidae</b>													R
<i>Varanus bengalensis</i>	Yellow Tree Monitor	0	1	5	3	1	0	0	0	1			
<i>Varanus salvato</i>	Water Monitor	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14		L,R

Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting							Observed in Land System	Observed in Habitat Type
		Direct Call	Pug Sighting	Scratch Marks	Eg Hiding	Feather	Specimen			
<i>Megalaima asiatica</i>	Blue Throated Barbet	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,3,5	Riverine, Forest
<i>Megalaima asiatica</i>	Blue Eared Barbet	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	5,20	Forest
<i>Megalaima chrysopogon</i>	Gold Whiskered Barbet	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	1,5,20,21	Riverine, Forest
<i>Megalaima faiostricta</i>	Green Eared Barbet	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	Riverine, Forest
<i>Megalaima franklinii</i>	Golden Throated Barbet	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Riverine
<i>Megalaima haemacephala</i>	Coppersmith Barbet	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,5	Riverine
<i>Megalaima incognita</i>	Moustached Barbet	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	Forest
<i>Megalaima rafflesii</i>	Red Crowned Barbet	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	Forest
<i>Megalaima spp.</i>	Barbet	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,2	Riverine, Forest
<b>Caprimulgidae</b>										
<i>Caprimulgus indicus</i>	Grey Nightjar	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1,5	Riverine
<b>Chloropseidae</b>										
<i>Chloropsis cyanopogon</i>	Blue Winged Leaf Bird	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	Riverine
<b>Columbidae</b>										
<i>Chalcophaps indica</i>	Green Winged Pigeon	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1,5	Riverine
<i>Ducula badia</i>	Mountain Imperial Pigeon	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1,10	Forest
<i>Streptopelia orientalis</i>	Oriental Turtle Dove	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1,5,10	Forest
<i>Streptopelia tranquebarica</i>	Spotted Dove	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	14	Riverine
<i>Treron bicincta</i>	Orange Breasted Pigeon	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	Forest
<i>Treron curvirostra</i>	Thick Billed Pigeon	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2,20	Forest
<i>Treron vernans</i>	Pink Necked	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	1,2,21	Forest

Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting							Observed in Land System	Observed in Habitat Type
		Call	Direct Sighting	Pug Marks	Scratching	Eggs	Feather	Specimen		
	Pigeon									
<b>Corvidae</b>										
<i>Corvus macrorhynchos</i>	Large-Billed Crow	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Pica pica</i>	Black-Billed Magpie	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2	Forest
<i>Dendrocitta vagabunda</i>	Rufous Treepie	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Cirpsirina temia</i>	Racket-Tailed Treepie	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<b>Cuculidae</b>										
<i>Phaenicophaeus tristis</i>	Green-Billed Malkoha	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2	
<i>Centropus sp.</i>	Coucal	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1, 2	Riverine
<i>Centropus bengalensis</i>	Lesser Coucal	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2, 5	Riverine
<i>Centropus bengalensis</i>	Greater Coucal	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	14	Riverine
<b>Dicruridae</b>										
<i>Dicrurus aeneus</i>	Bronzed Drongo	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	5, 14	Riverine
<i>Dicrurus macrocerus</i>	Black Drongo	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1, 14, 21	Riverine, Forest
<i>Dicrurus paradiseus</i>	Greater Racket Tailed Drongo	6	12	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2, 5	Riverine
<i>Dicrurus remifer</i>	Lesser Racket Tailed Drongo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	Riverine
<b>Eurylaimidae</b>										
<i>Psarisomus dalhousiae</i>	Long Tailed Broadbill	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	14, 20	Riverine, Forest
<b>Hirundinidae</b>										
<i>Delichon dasypus</i>	Asian House Martin	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	1, 14	Riverine
<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	Barn Swallow	0	47	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2, 14	Riverine
<b>Motacillidae</b>										
<i>Motacilla cinerea</i>	Grey Wagtail	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5, 14	Riverine



Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting							Observed in Land System	Observed in Habitat Type
		Direct Call	Pug Sighting	Scratch Marks	Eg Hiding	Feather	Specimen			
<i>Dendronanthus indicus</i>	Forest Wagtail	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	Riverine, Forest
<b>Muscicapidae</b>										
<i>Cyornis unicolor</i>	Pale Blue Flycatcher	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
<b>Nectariniidae</b>										
<i>Aethopyga siparaja</i>	Crimson Sunbird	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5,20	Forest
<i>Nectarinia asiatica</i>	Purple Sunbird	1		0	0	0	0	0	1	
<b>Oriolidae</b>										
<i>Irena puella</i>	Asian Fairy Blue Bird	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	3,5,14	Riverine, Forest
<b>Phasianidae</b>										
<i>Arborophila brunneopectus</i>	Bar-Backed Partridge	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Arborophila davidi</i>	Scaley Breasted Partridge	3	2	0	1	0	0	1	1,14	Forest
<i>Gallus gallus</i>	Red Junglefowl	2	3	0	1	2	0	7	1,2,3,21	Forest
<i>Lophura diardi</i>	Siamese Fireback	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	1,10	Forest
<i>Polyplectron bicalcaratum</i>	Grey Peacock Pheasant	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	2,3,5,14,16,20	Forest
<i>Francolinus pintadeanus</i>	Chinese Francolin	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<b>Pittidae</b>										
<i>Pitta phayrei</i>	Eared Pitta	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	Forest
<i>Pitta cyanea</i>	Blue Pitta	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<b>Psittacidae</b>										
<i>Psittacula alexandri</i>	Red Breasted Parakeet	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	Forest
<i>Psittacula eupatria</i>	Alexandrine Parakeet	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	Riverine
<i>Psittacula finschii</i>	Grey Headed Parakeet	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	Forest
<i>Psittacula roseata</i>	Blossom Headed Parakeet	1	51	0	0	0	0	0	1	Riverine, Forest
<b>Pycnonotidae</b>										
<i>Criniger flaveolus</i>	White Headed Bulbul	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	Riverine
<i>Criniger flaveolus</i>	White Throated	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	Forest

Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting							Observed in Land System	Observed in Habitat Type
		Direct Call	Pug Marks	Scratching	Eggs	Feather	Specimen	Sighting		
	Bulbull									
<b>Pycnonotidae</b>										
<i>Crimiger pallidus</i>	Puff Throated Bulbull	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1,2,14	Riverine
<i>Hypsipetes flavala</i>	Ashy Bulbull	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	1,2,14,21	Forest
<i>Hypsipetes thompsoni</i>	White Headed Bulbull	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	5	Forest
<i>Hysipetes charlottae</i>	Buff Vented Bulbull	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	2,14,20	Riverine
<i>Pycnonotus atriceps</i>	Black-Headed Bulbull	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Riverine, Forest
<i>Pycnonotus eutilotus</i>	Puff Backed Bulbull	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,5	Riverine
<i>Pycnonotus finlaysoni</i>	Stripe Throated Bulbull	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1,14	Riverine, Forest
<i>Pycnonotus flavescens</i>	Flavescent Bulbull	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3,21	Riverine, Forest
<i>Pycnonotus jocosus</i>	Red Whiskered Bulbull	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	Riverine
<i>Pycnonotus melanicterus</i>	Black Crested Bulbull	8	3	0	0	0	0	0	1,3,5,14,20	Riverine, Forest
<i>Pycnonotus simplex</i>	Cream Vented Bulbull	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Riverine
<i>Pycnonotus striatus</i>	Striated Bulbull	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,10	Forest
<b>Rallidae</b>										
<i>Amaurornis phoenicurus</i>	White Breasted Waterhen	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5,14	Riverine
<b>Rostratulidae</b>										
<i>Rostratula benghalensis</i>	Greater Painted Snipe	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	Riverine
<b>Strigiformes</b>										
<i>Ninox scutulata</i>	Brown Hawk Owl	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	Forest
<i>Otus scops</i>	Common Scops-Owl	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Otus spilocephalus</i>	Mountain Scops-Owl	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<b>Sturnidae</b>										

Species Name	English Name	Type of sighting							Observed in Land System	Observed in Habitat Type
		Direct Call	Pug Sighting	Scratch Sighting	Eggs	Feathers	Specimens			
<i>Aplonis panayensis</i>	Philippine Glossy Starling	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Gracula religiosa</i>	Hill Myna	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	1,2	Forest
<b>Sylviidae</b>										
<i>Phylloscopus davisoni</i>	White-Tailed Leaf-Warbler	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<i>Seicercus burkii</i>	Golden Spectacled Warbler	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	14	Riverine
<b>Timaliidae</b>										
<i>Gampsorhynchus rufulus</i>	White Headed Babbler	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	Forest
<i>Garrulax chinensis</i>	Black Throated Laughingthrush	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	Forest
<i>Yuhina gularis</i>	Stripe Throated Yuhina	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Riverine
<i>Yuhina nigrimenta</i>	Black Chinned Yuhina	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Riverine
<i>Garrulax leucolophus</i>	White-Crested Laughingthrush	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1, 2	Forest
<b>Trogonidae</b>										
<i>Harpactes duvaucelii</i>	Scarlet Rumped Trogon	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20	Forest
<b>Turdidae</b>										
<i>Copsychus malabaricus</i>	White Rumped Shama	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1,2,14	Forest
<i>Myiophoneus caeruleus</i>	Blue Whistling Thrush	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	Forest
<i>Zoothera marginata</i>	Dark-Sided Thrush	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Forest
<b>Turnicidae</b>										
<i>Turnix suscitator</i>	Barred Buttonquail	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	Forest
<i>Turnix tanki</i>	Yellow Legged Buttonquail	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,20	Forest

### Habitats of Particular Importance for Terrestrial Vertebrates

Most of the wildlife observed is not over-specialised in terms of the ecotypes available in the Nam Ngiep catchment surveyed. The exceptions are:

### **Giant Flying Squirrels and Phayre's Langur**

These primates need fairly substantial areas of continuous tree canopy. When gaps appear between the crowns, and when the discrete area of continuous crowns decline below threshold levels, these animals cannot survive. We found only small areas of high quality tree canopy during the survey, and none of the area to be inundated can be considered as favourable to canopy primates or giant flying squirrels. An area needs to be located outside the catchment, with high quality canopy, which can be effectively protected. If there were a properly protected primate forest reserve it might be possible to translocate into it pockets of dwindling numbers of canopy species, isolated in deteriorating "canopy islands".

### **Water-Monitor**

These species need permanent or almost permanent rivers and streams. In the extremes of the dry season they will tend to concentrate on the Nam Ngiep and its larger tributaries. At present their specific habitat requirements are not under threat. The conversion of the Nam Ngiep river to a reservoir will have a severe affect on these species, but will not eliminate them from the basin. It is not known how the reservoir, once it reaches biological stability, will perform as a habitat for water monitors.

### **Tiger and other large cats**

The high levels of apparently uncontrollable hunting of the tigers food supply, and of the cats themselves, do not encourage one to consider the Nam Ngiep as a prime big cat habitat. The habitat changes which the reservoir inundation will bring about will not alter this position.

### **Elephant and Gaur**

Both these species require degraded forest, with a balance of herbaceous and woody regeneration. One prime habitat was identified in LS2 through which at least 10 elephant, but possibly up to 100 migrate. The development of the Nam Ngiep Reservoir, and particularly the shallow water draw-down zones, could provide the type of habitat diversity which support elephant and gaur. It is recommended that studies and monitoring be undertaken with a view to determining if it is desirable or possible to use the reservoir and its environs (LS2/LS1) as an elephant and gaur reserve. Unfortunately the need to resettle a large population in the general vicinity of the reservoir will lead to serious difficulties in maintaining a reserve without very effective management. Some studies to see if elephant, at present resident in LS1 and LS2, can be moved into the Phou Khao Khouay NBCA a few kilometers to the west have also been recommended

### **Crocodile**

This species, if it still survives in the Nam Ngiep, is probably limited by the scarcity of suitable egg-laying sites, and competition with visiting fishermen who fish the deep pools in the dry season. An ideal crocodile habitat was identified just below the proposed dam site although the last sighting was approximately 20 years ago. The actual inundation event might easily temporarily reduce the crocodile food supply to levels at which the species (probably almost extinct in the Nam Ngiep) disappears. The new reservoir environment could provide better egg-laying sites and, after reaching biological stability, more food. However the need to resettle a large population in the general vicinity of the reservoir and the inevitable development pressures that follow large infrastructure projects, will probably ensure that the crocodile's future is bleak.