CHAPTER III

76

MIII.1. EVALUATION AND SUGGESTIONS

Based on the statistical analysis and additional information described in the previous chapter, the following conclusions, evaluations and suggestions can be made:

A. PROCEDURE FOR NOMINATION OF PARTICIPANTS

The information about the Friendship Program for the 21st Century should be more evenly distributed to all the provinces in Indonesia. This suggestion is stated in order to give equal opportunity for all the qualified Indonesian youth to represent their respective provinces in particular and represents Indonesia in an international forum in general. The criteria of the selection process should be more open and specific. Some criteria should also be upgraded, such as the TOEFL score requirement. Besides English skill, knowledge about the Japanese language should also taken into account. An interview and written test should also be conducted as part of the selection process. The selection committee, with the coordination of JICA Representative Office and also the alumni organization could conduct these two tests.

B, PREPARATION FOR PARTICIPATION OF THE PROGRAM

In general, the preparation for participation of the program has been well organized by the committee. Only the time duration for the art-performance preparation and Japanese language session during the pre-departure training should be extended.

C. DURATION AND CONTENT OF EACH SUB-PROGRAMS IN

JAPAN

The homestay program should be extended to 5 days 4 nights. The in-house seminar with the Japanese youth at the countryside should be extended to 4 days 3 nights. The in-house seminar should also include several other activities, such as video presentation.

D. COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PROGRAM

In general, the budget allocation for all the activities of the program has been well prepared and costeffective. The allowance of the participants was well calculated and quite adequate enough to fulfill the need of a one-month expenditure, by simple standard. For example, the allowance isn't adequate to include a visit to the

Tokyo Disneyland, so therefore this visit should be part of the observation tour program in Tokyo.

E. ALUMNI ACTIVITIES AND JICA'S AFTERCARE FOR

EX-PARTICIPANTS

The alumni association should play a bigger role in the post-program activities. The alumni association should conduct programs that relate to cultural events in Japan, social programs, networking expansion and pre-departure training. The alumni association should also function as an information all center to the ex-participants, by distributing and exchanging any opportunities open to them. The alumni association should also arrange the possibility involving the ex-participants of different fields, skills and background to fill the vacancies in JICA projects in Indonesia. This way their skills could be put to use and their attachment towards Japan could be broaden. The alumni association should function as a bridge between the relevant institutions such as JICA, in distributing this information to the ex-participants. With this activity, there will be direct involvement of the ex-participants and clear follow-up of the post-program activities.

MIII.2. GENERAL RECOMMENDATION

A. THE RESPONDENTS' GENERAL OPINION ABOUT THE

PROGRAM

The general recommendations to the program can be concluded from the findings based on the respondents' opinions in the previous chapter. Those findings and recommendations are the following:

• About 108 respondents or 54.3% of the total respondents stated that the selection process of participants was fair, while 13.6% stated that it was not fair and 32.2% did not have any knowledge to comment. Also about 35.8% of the respondents suggest that the selection process should be more open, while the rest felt the need of conducting a written and interview test as part of the selection process.

Recommendation:

The selection process that has been conducted is so far good, but several aspects need to be upgraded, namely in terms of fairness, mechanism and material of the selection process. Other relevant parties should also be directly involved in the selection process, namely JICA Indonesia and representative of the alumni association.

 About 96.9% of from the total respondents stated that the reason they participated in the program was to visit Japan, while 96.5% claimed they wanted to make friends with the Japanese society and 97.5% said because they were interested in international exchange program.

Recommendation:

The main purpose of this program has been the 'heart-toheart' communication aspect. To many participants, the interactions have been the main reason for joining this So this program must facilitate as much program. have opportunity for the participants to that interactions with the Japanese people, as the core foundation for heart-to-heart communication. The two activities that have benefited a lot to this purpose are the homestay and the in-house seminar with Japanese counterparts. Activities that have interaction elements should be extended and added to fill this purpose.

 The majority of the respondents claimed that the most significant benefits from the program were the fact that it could give an opportunity to learn about the society of Japan and promote mutual understanding with Japanese. Also it could bring understanding to the importance of international exchange and learn how to communicate with people of different culture.

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

Recommendation:

This program has benefited immensely in promoting intercultural understanding. This fact can be proven statistically from the answers given above by the respondents. The direct exposure to the Japanese society could catalyze the establishment of mutual understanding in all aspects. This program should also see the possibility to conducting counter-visit of Japanese groups to Indonesia. The direct exposure to Indonesia could have the same positive effect to the Japanese counterpart. This is based on the consideration that mutual understanding must go both ways.

• Most of the respondents claimed that their impression towards Japan have changed after participating in the program. This impression change were mostly positive, about 50.8% of the respondents claimed that their impression have changed much better. While 43.7% claimed that their impression changed considerably better, while just 0.5% or equal to just one respondent feel that the post-program impression was much worse.

Recommendation:

This program has significantly changed most of the participants' impression towards Japan positively. The participants can witness Japan and the Japanese people as

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

a whole, not by blinded partiality. The power of direct interaction has that affect to people and this has been proven through this program. This positive impression change should be further continued with on-going interaction and cooperation. Currently, many on-going personal contacts have been conducted and have brought positive benefits for the two parties, both the exparticipants and the Japanese people they stay in contact with. By promoting and conducting more post-program activities and follow-ups could further develop this effort. The alumni organization with the support of the relevant institutions could take a strong role in realizing this aim, especially in establishing the necessary networking. This is based on the consideration that, "nobody knows about the alumni better than among the alumni themselves". This has been proven in several ASEAN countries and with good results. The alumni association in Indonesia is currently conducting effort in reaching this aim. With the support of the relevant institutions, the impact of this program could be more significant and fruitful in investing for the 21st century.

• Most of the participants still conduct post-program interactions with the different parties. Statistical data

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

©©© CHAPTER III

showed that the majority still keeps in touch with other participants from Indonesia, assumable within their group. Also many of the ex-participants still keeps in touch with their homestay parents. Several post-program interactions are also still conducted but in smaller scale, namely with Japanese counterparts at the in-house seminar and participants from other countries. A big percentage of the respondents also claimed to have maintained contact with many parties.

Recommendation:

As analogy, the friendship program functions as a bridge builder. Once this bridge is built, the traffic of friendship could pass freely without hesitation. The difference only amongst the alumni, their frequency in making use of that bridge differs by individual. In the modern and global atmosphere of today, many steps can be taken in insuring and persuading the extent of these post-program interactions. Currently, JICA has published the "Dear Friends" Newsletter and distributed to the many alumni scattered in the different regions. Although costly, the effort in publishing this must not be stopped but on the contrary must be further intensified. Other media could also be used for this purpose, namely by setting-up a homepage on the Internet and create an

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program In Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

internal e-mail database of the alumni. The information will be distributed much faster and more efficient.

 About 59% of the total respondents favor the fact that this program promotes international exchange, while the other 51% favor the fact that it provides professional knowledge in their respective fields.

Recommendation:

As the proverb goes, "sometimes it's possible to kill two birds with one stone". This program has a significant impact on the fact that it promotes international exchange and also it provides professional knowledge in the participants' respective fields. Both of this fact are beneficial and are in line with the main mission of the program. The main concern should be in the continuation of the program itself. Noting the multiimpact this program can bring, this program should be:

- Extended (in terms of time continuation)
- Intensified (in terms of frequency)
- Developed (in terms of number of participants)
- Up-graded (in terms of program quality and variation)
- Customized (in terms of specific condition of thecountry)

- Supported (in terms of post-program activities)
- And more distributed (in terms of the number of countries and regions participating in this program)
- About 66.5% of the total respondents felt the need to maintain the current balance of the program in Tokyo and local areas in Japan, while 33.5% felt the need to increase the program in local areas and regional cities in Japan.

Recommendation:

The program should be designed based on the post-program evaluation, normally conducted on the last day of the program. Assumable that this effort has been carried-out, the program could be up-graded periodically based on the input given by the participants.

 About 65.2% of the total respondents claimed that they have received their regular issue of "Dear Friends" Newsletter, while 35.8% percent have not received it. Recommendation:

As previously stated, the distribution of "Dear Friends" Newsletter should be further continued and developed. This media is very useful in maintaining contact with exparticipants. Other media should also be explored in line with the distribution of "Dear Friends", namely the Internet facility by establishing a fixed homepage. The

85

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

©©© CHAPTER III

establishment of newsletter by the alumni association should also be supported. This is due to the fact that the "Dear Friends" Newsletter tend to have a global content, while the newsletter published by the alumni association could complement "Dear Friends" by adding a local perspective and specific to the activities within the confine of one country. Similar publication in the ASEAN scope could also be explored by AJAFA-21, preferably given as a supplement to the "Dear Friends" Newsletter. Since the publication of a newsletter takes a lot of financial back up, this effort should be fully supported by the relevant institutions, namely JICA to create a stronger impact from the program.

B. THE RESPONDENTS' EXPECTATIONS

Based on the findings in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that the respondents have the following expectations:

• Concerning the future of this friendship program, all of the respondent hopes that the program will be continued in the future. They also made some additional comments, about 17.1% of the respondents feel the need to conduct special activities for the alumni, such as alumni gathering and seminars. About 14.4% hoped many post-

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

program activities are conducted and only 1.1% felt that the irrelevant activities should be deleted.

- Concerning the role of JICA, about 74.7% of the total respondents hoped for the support of JICA in terms of funding, equipment assistance and information distribution. Meanwhile 12.1% hoped reunion programs with ex-participants and coordinators should be regularly conducted and 10.1% hoped they were given the opportunity to join a scholarship, training, short course and seminars.
- Concerning the role of KAPPIJA-21, about 27% of the respondents hoped for the establishment of cooperation among the members. Meanwhile 23.1% of network the respondents hoped that this alumni association could play many role, namely in establishing cooperation network, conducting international exchange activity with Japan, coordinating activities that relates to the nation's development process and lastly taking part in activities that related to environmental issues. And 10.6% hoped that KAPPIJA-21 could play a combine role in assisting pre-departure training, conducting international the exchange with other alumni association in the ASEAN scope, involving in activities that relates to world peace and coordinating some social activities.

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

C. IMPACT OF THE PROGRAM IN THE RESPONDENTS' LIFE

Based on the findings in the previous chapter, it can be concluded that the program has the impact in the respondents' life:

- About 43.5% of the respondents felt that the program has a positive impact in their respective career, organization, school or university. While 24.5% of the respondent felt that program has positively shaped their personality and 18.4% stated that it has contributed to the development of their human relations skill. Lastly, about 1.4% stated that the program has a combined impact, in terms of personality development and human relation skill.
- About 26.8% of the respondents claimed that the programs has increased their work ethos, discipline and punctuality.

The Evaluation Study on The Youth Invitation Program in Six ASEAN Countries - INDONESIA

APPENDICES

QUESTIONNAIRE

L **IDENTITAS RESPONDEN**

	A REPORT OF A R	AND
01	Nama lengkap saudara	
02	Alamat rumah saudara	
03	Apakah alamat yang tertera di dalam surat ini benar atau salah? (berikan tanda v dalam kotak yang tersedia)	1. Benar 2. Salah
04	Nomor telpon saudara	Rumah Kantor
05	Nomor fax. Saudara	Rumah Kantor
06	Alamat E-mail (jika ada)	
07	Jenis kelamin saudara	1. Laki-laki 2. Perempuan
08	Tanggal lahir saudara (hari/bulan/thn)	
09	Kebangsaan saudara	INDONESIA
10	Profesi saudara sekarang (tuliskan pekerjaan, perusahaan dan posisi/jabatan saudara)	
11	Tahun saudara mengikuti Pogram Persahabatan Abad 21 (The Friendship Programme for the 21 st Century	
12	Group yang saudara ikuti dalam program ini (mis; group pendidikan; group sos.dev, dst)	Single Country : ASEAN Comp. :
L	L	J
	Helaman I	

QUESTIONNAIRE

I. IDENTITAS RESPONDEN

NO.	PERTANYAAN	JAWABAN
01	Nama lengkap saudara	
02	Alamat rumah saudara	
03	Apakah alamat yang tertera di dalam surat ini benar atau salah? (berikan tanda v dalam kotak yang tersedia)	1. Benar
04	Nomor telpon saudara	Rumah Kantor
05	Nomor fax. Saudara	Rumah Kantor
06	Alamat E-mail (jika ada)	
07	Jenis kelamin saudara	1. Laki-laki 2. Perempuan
08	Tanggal lahir saudara (hari/bular/thn)	
09	Kebangsaan saudara	INDONESIA
10	Profesi saudara sekarang (tuliskan pekerjaan, perusahaan dan posisi/jabatan saudara)	
11	Tahun saudara mengikuti Pogram Persahabatan Abad 21(The Friendship Programme for the 21 st Century)	
12	Group yang saudara ikuti dalam program ini (mis; group pendidikan; group sos.dev, dst)	Single Country : ASEAN Comp. :
l		

Halaman I

II. PERTANYAAN PENELITIAN

NO.		
01	Bagaimna saudara mengetahui tentang program ini ? (Jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Melalui surat kabar/majalah Dari kantor pemerintah Dari Kantor Perwakilan JICA Dari tempat kerja Dari mantan perserta /KAPPIJA Lain-lain, sebutkan
02	Menurut saudara, bagaimana proses penyeleksian peserta program ini ? (hanya satu jawaban, berikan tanda V dlm kotak yang tersedia)	1. Jujur (fair) 2. Tidak jujur (not fair) 3. Tidak tahu
	Tuliskan jawaban saudara jika mempunyai saran tentang proses penyeleksiaan peserta program	
03	 Mengapa saudara mengikuti program ini ? (Pilihlah A, B, C atau N untuk setiap pilihan berikut. A=Setuju; B=Raguragu; C=Tidak Setuju; N=Tidak ada jawaban) Mengunjungi Japan Membina persahabatan dengan teman di Jepang Membina persahabatan dengan teman setanah air dari daerah/propinsi lain Tertarik pada program pertukaran internasional Meningkatkan pengetahuan profesional saudara Atas saran tempat kerja/organisasi saudara 	$\begin{array}{c c} A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline A & R & C & X \\ \hline \end{array}$
	 Rasa bangga/prestisius Lain-lain, sebutkan 	
04	 Manfaat apa yang saudara peroleh dari program ini ? (Pilihlah A, B, C atau N untuk setiap pilihan berikut. A=Setuju; B=Ragu-ragu; C=Tidak Setuju; N=Tidak ada jawaban) 1. Belajar tentang masyarakat Jepang 2. Menambah pengetahuan di bidang saudara 3. Membina saling pengertian dengan orang Jepang 4. Memperdalam pengetahuan tentang isu-isu internasional 5. Meningkatkan rasa cinta tanah air 	

Halaman 2

IL PERTANYAAN PENELITIAN

NO.	PERTANYAAN	JAWABAN
01	Bagaimna saudara mengetahui tentang program ini ? (Jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Melalui surat kabar/majalah Dari kantor pemerintah Dari Kantor Perwakilan JICA Dari tempat kerja Dari mantan perserta /KAPPIJA Lain-lain, sebutkan
02	Menurut saudara, bagaimana proses penyeleksian peserta program ini ? (hanya satu jawaban, berikan tanda V dim kotak yang tersedia)	1. Jujur (fáir) 2. Tiðak jujur (not fair) 3. Tiðak tahu
	Tuliskan jawaban saudara jika mempunyai saran tentang proses penyeleksiaan peserta program	
03	 Mengapa saudara mengikuti program ini ? (Pilihlah A, B, C atau N untuk setiap pilihan berikut. A=Setuju; B=Raguragu; C=Tidak Setuju; N=Tidak ada jawaban) Mengunjungi Japan Membina persahabatan dengan teman di Jepang Membina persahabatan dengan teman setanah air dari daerah/propinsi lain Tertarik pada program pertukaran internasional Meningkatkan pengetahuan profesional saudara Atas saran tempat kerja/organisasi saudara Rasa bangga/prestisius Lain-lain, sebutkan. 	A B C X A B C X A B C X A B C X A B C X A B C X A B C X A B C X X X X X
0-1	 Manfaat apa yang saudara peroleh dari program ini ? (Pilihlah A, B, C atau N untuk setiap pilihan berikut. A=Setuju; B=Ragu-ragu; C=Tidak Setuju; N=Tidak ada jawaban) 1. Belajar tentang masyarakat Jepang 2. Menambah pengetahuan di bidang saudara 3. Membina saling pengertian dengan orang Jepang 4. Memperdalam pengetahuan tentang isu-isu internasional 5. Meningkatkan rasa cinta tanah air 	A R F N A R F N

Holamon 2

NO.	TERIANDAAN	JAWABAN
	 Menegrti akan arti pentingnya program pertukaran internasional Merubah cara pikir saudara Belajar bagaimana cara berkomunikasi dengan orang dari latar budaya yang berbeda Belajar bagaimana bersikap dan berperilaku yang baik dalam kelompok (group) Dapat meningkatkan karir saudara 	A R C N A R C N A R C N A R C N A R C N A R C N
05	Menurut saudara, kegiatan mana yang paling memberikan manfaat ? (lingkari pilihan saudara, maksimum 3 pilihan)	 Kuliah umum di Jepang Kuliah menurut bidang peserta Pelajaran Bahasa Jepang Mengunjungi institusi yang relevan dengan ilmu peserta Tour/Observasi Diskusi dalam kelas dengan pemuda Jepang Home stay
06	Sejauh mana tingkat perubahan kesan atau pandangan saudara tentang Jepang setelah mengikuti program ini ? (lingkari pilihan saudara) Bagi yang menjawab 1, 2, atau 3, Dalam hal apa perubahan kesan/pandangan itu terjadi ? tuliskan	 Jauh lebih baik Lebih baik Lebih buruk Lebih buruk Tidak berubah Tidak tahu
07	Apakah saudara masih menjalin hubungan dengan orang yang pernah anda kenal selama program ? Jika ya, dalam bentuk apa hubungan itu ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Tidak pernah menjalin hubungan lagi Peserta dari negara sendiri Peserta dari Jepang yang ikut dalam seminar Peserta dari negara lain Keluarga angkat Orang Jepang yang saudara jumpai selama kunjungan di Jepang (selain dalam seminar dan homestay) Koordinator/panitia program
<u> </u>	l	

.

NO.	PERTANYAAN	JAWABAN
	 Menegrti akan arti pentingnya program pertukaran internasional Merubah cara pikir saudara Belajar bagaimana cara berkomunikasi dengan orang dari latar budaya yang berbeda Belajar bagaimana bersikap dan berperilaku yang baik dalam kelompok (group) Dapat meningkatkan karir saudara 	$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{N} \end{bmatrix}$
05	Menurut saudara, kegiatan mana yang paling memberikan manfaat ? (lingkari pilihan saudara, maksimum 3 pilihan)	 Kuliah umum di Jepang Kuliah menurut bidang pesetta Pelajaran Bahasa Jepang Mengunjungi institusi yang relevan dengan ilmu peserta Tour/Observasi Diskusi dalam kelas dengan pemuda Jepang Home stay
06	Sejauh mana tingkat perubahan kesan atau pandangan saudara tentang Jepang setelah mengikuti program ini ? (lingkari pilihan saudara) Bagi yang menjawab 1, 2, atau 3, Dalam hal apa perubahan kesan/pandangan itu terjadi ? tuliskan	 8. Jaun febih baik 9. Lebih baik 10. Lebih buruk 11. Tidak barubah
07	Apakah saudara masih menjalin hubungan dengan orang yang pernah anda kenal selama program ? Jika ya, dalam bentuk apa hubungan itu ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Tidak pernah menjalin hubungan lagi Peserta dari negara sendiri Peserta dari Jepang yang ikut dalam seminar Peserta dari negara tain Keluarga angkat Orang Jepang yang saudara jumpai selama kunjungan di Jepang (selain dalam seminar dan homestay) Koordinator/panitia program
L	Halaman 3	1

Halaman 3

XO .	TERTANIAAN	
08	Menurut anda, mana yang lebih penting atau lebih baik untuk program ? (Pilihlah a atau b dengan memberi tanda silang 'X' pada kotak yang tersedia) 1. a. Memberikan pengetahuan profesional di bidang peserta	1.
	VS b. Promosi pertukaran internasional (meningkatkan salingg pengertian antara bangsa) 2. a. Menjaga keseimbangan antara program-program di Tokyo dan di daerah-daerah di Jepang VS	8 2.
09	b. Meningkatkan program-program di daerah di Jepang Apakah saudara menerima majalah 'Dear Friends' dua kali setahun ? (berikan tanda 'V' pada kotak yg tersedia)	1. Ya 2. Tidak
	Jika ya, menurut saudara jenis artikel apa yang seharusnya dimuat dalam "Dear Friends"? Tuliskan jawaban saudara pada kotak yg tersedia	
10	Apakah saudara ikut serta dalam kegiatan-kegiatan yang diselenggarakan oleh organisasi alumni/KAPPIJA-21 di negara saudara ?	1. ya, aktif 2. ya, kadang-kadang 3. tidak
	Jika tidak, mengapa ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Karena terlalu sibuk Karena tidak tinggal di ibukota Karena tidak ada informasi tentang organisasi alumni/ KAPPIJA-21 Karena kegiatan KAPPIJA-21 tidak menarik Karena KAPPIJA-21 tertutup bagi peserta program yang baru Lain-lain, sebutkan
1	Menurut saudara, bentuk kegiatan apa yang seharus dilakukan oleh KAPPIJA-21 di masa yang akan datang ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Kegiatan yang dapat membangun jaringan kerja di antara anggota Orientasi untuk peserta program yang baru
	Halaman 4	

NÖ.	PERTANYAAN	JAWABAN
08	Menurut anda, mana yang lebih penting atau lebih baik untuk program ? (Pilihlah a atau b dengan memberi tanda silang 'X' pada kotak yang tersedia) 1. a. Memberikan pengetahuan profesional di bidang peserta VS	1. A
	 b. Promosi pertukaran internasional (meningkatkan salingg pengertian antara bangsa) 2. a. Menjaga keseimbangan antara program-program di Tokyo dan di daerah-daerah di Jepang VS b. Meningkatkan program-program di daerah di Jepang 	8 2. B
09	Apakah saudara menerima majalah 'Dear Friends' dua kali setahun ? (berikan tanda 'V' pada kotak yg tersedia)	1. Ya 2. Tidak
	Jika ya, menurut saudara jenis artikel apa yang seharusnya dimuat dalam "Dear Friends" ? Tuliskan jawaban saudara pada kotak yg tersedia	
10	Apakah saudara ikut serta dalam kegiatan-kegiatan yang diselenggarakan oleh organisasi alumni/KAPPIJA-21 di negara saudara ?	1. ya, aktif 2. ya, kadang-kadang 3. tidak
	Jika tidak, mengapa ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Karena terlalu sibuk Karena tidak tinggal di ibukota Karena tidak ada informasi tentang organisasi alumni/ KAPPIJA-21 Karena kegiatan KAPPIJA-21 tidak menarik Karena KAPPIJA-21 tertutup bagi peserta program yang baru Lain-lain, sebutkan
11	Menurut saudara, bentuk kegiatan apa yang seharus dilakukan oleh KAPPIJA-21 di masa yang akan datang ? (jawaban boleh lebih dari satu)	 Kegiatan yang dapat membangun jaringan kerja di antara anggota Orientasi untuk peserta program yang baru
	Halaman 4	

NO.	PERTANYAAN	JAWABAN
		 Kegiatan pertukaran internasional di Jepang Kegiatan pertukaran internasional dengan organisasi alumni di ASEAN Kegiatan pembanguan di negara saudara Kegiatan untuk perdamaian dunia Kegiatan yg berkaitan dengan isu- isu lingkungan Kegiatan untuk mendukung orang-orang yang tidak mampu Lain-lain, sebutkan
12	Apa yang saudara harapkan dari JICA dalam mendukung organisasi alumni ? Tuliskan jawaban saudara pada kotak yang tersedia	
13	Apa usul atau saran saudara untuk program ini di masa yang akan datang ?	
14	Bagaimana pengaruh program ini terhadap karir atau profesi Saudara ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia !	
15	Bagaiman program ini berpengaruh dalamkehidupan Saudara ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia 1	· ·
16	Selain jawaban pada no. 14 dan 15 diatas, apakah Saudara merasakan dampak positif lain dari program ini ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia !	

Kami mengucapkan terima kasih atas kerjasama saudara !

Halaman S

NO.	PERTANYAAN	JAWAĤAN
		 Kegiatan pertukaran internasional di Jepang Kegiatan pertukaran internasional dengan organisasi alumni di ASEAN Kegiatan pembanguan di negara saudara Kegiatan untuk perdamaian dunia Kegiatan yg berkaitan dengan isu- isu lingkungan Kegiatan untuk mendukung orang-orang yang tidak mampu Lain-lain, sebutkan
12	Apa yang saudara harapkan dari JICA dalam mendukung organisasi alumni ? Tuliskan jawaban saudara pada kotak yang tersedia	
13	Apa usul atau saran saudara untuk program ini di masa yang akan datang ?	
14	Bagaimana pengaruh program ini terhadap karir atau profesi Saudara ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia !	
15	Bagaiman program ini berpengaruh dalamkehidupan Saudara ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia !	
16	Selain jawaban pada no. 14 dan 15 diatas, apakah Saudara merasakan dampak positif lain dari program ini ? Tuliskan jawaban Saudara pada kotak yang tersedia !	

Kami mengucapkan terima kasih atas kerjasama saudara !

CATEGORIZATION OF RESPONDEN ANSWERS FOR OPEN QUESTIONS

NO. DEIES	QUISTION	COB ING	CATEGORY	ADDITIONAL OPPRION INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY
Q.2.1	If you have any suggestions for the selection process of participants, please write.	1 2 3 4 5 6	The distribution of Information to all the provinces should be more even. The selection criterias should be more apecific The selection process should be more open. Written and interview tests should be Conducted. JICA/Alumnl/should be Involved in the selection process of participants. The participants should be come from 27 provinces.	Participants representing each provinces; Nepotism should be abolished; TOEFL score requirement should be upgraded; The perticipants should be relevant to each field, etc The selection process should be more Competitive The perticipants should be more from eastern Indonesis
Q.6.1	in what way did you change your impression ?	1 2 3 4 5 8 7 8	The Japanese Culture The Japanese Economy The perception towards Japan Combination of 1,2 and 3 Comb. 1 and 2 Comb. 1 and 3 Comb. 1 and 3 Comb. 2 and 3	Discipline; work ethos; not arrogant, etc. Development of Japan; wealthy nation, etc Image; the characters of the japanese people; the condition of the country, etc.

CATEGORIZATION OF RESPONDEN ANSWERS FOR OPEN QUESTIONS

NO. QUIES.	QUESTION	COD ING	CATEGORY	ADDITIONAL OPINION INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY
Q2.1	If you have any suggestions for the selection process of participants, please write.	1 2 3 4 5 6	The distribution of information to all the provinces should be more even. The selection criterias should be more specific The selection process should be more open. Written and interview tests should be conducted. JICA/AlumnI/should be involved in the selection process of participants. The participants should be come from 27 provinces	Participants representing each provinces; Nepotism should be abolished, TOEFL score requirement should be upgraded. The participants should be relevant to each field, eto The selection process should be more Competitive The participants should be more from eastern Indonesia
Q.6.1	in what way did you change your impression ?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	The Japanese Culture The Japanese Economy The perception towards Japan Combination of 1,2 and 3 Comb. 1 and 2 Comb. 1 and 3 Comb. 1 and 3 Comb. 2 and 3	Discipline; work ethos; not arrogant, etc. Development of Japan; wealthy nation, etc image; the characters of the japanese people; the condition of the country, etc.

NO. QUES,	QUESTION	COD ING	CATEGORY	ADDITIONAL OPINION INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY
0.9	If yes, what kind of articles do	1	Activities of the alumni association	CATLOOKI
	you livink "Dear Friends" should include 7 (open answer)		(Impression and opinion; atumni success stories, etc.)	
		2	Friendship corner (Other international exchange programs; ; impressions of thehomestay program; the relationship between Japan and the participating countries.)	
		3	Culture comer (Activities from the different prefectures of Japan that was part of the specialized program; information about the apanese culture; an overview of the participating countries.)	
		4	Participants issues (Activities of participants while in Japan; the updated addresses of alumni.)	
		5	Technology news	
		6	Correspondence (letters from participants.)	
		7	JICA (training and scholarship information.)	
Q.12	What do you expect from JiCA in supporting the Alumni Association ? (Open answers)	1	Supporting (Funding; Equipment; Info; etc)	JICA observation to Alumni Association
		2	Scholarship/training/short course/seminar	Directly support Alumni Association
		3	Japanese youth visit to Indonesia	Providing Opportunity to Alumni
:		4	Reunion (Ex-participant/coord., etc)	Association to participate in other kind of international
		5	information about youth activities at the international scope	exchange
		6	As advisor for Atumni Association	Inviting Japanese Alumni Association to ASEAN Countries
Q.13	Please write suggestions or idea for future programs ! (open answer)	1	The activities shouldn't changed	Providing information on business vacancy to alumni
		2	The types of programs should be more variative.	Home stay programme should be extended
		3	Additional programs for other professions.	In house seminar class should be extended
		4	The publication should be more open.	The AVALINESA
		5	The selection process should be more competitive.	Providing for participants to take work placement during the program, in order to know
		6	Standar of behavior (SOB) for participants should be introduced.	directly how Japanese work
		7	Special programs for alumni/post program for alumni	
		8	The program should be extended.	

NO. QUES.	QUESTION	COD ING	CATEGORY	ADDITIONAL OPINION INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY	
		9	Deleting unrelevant program		
		10	Pasca program activities		
		11	Training orientation should be extended		
Q.14	How has this program	1	Personality To be more respected i		
	influence your career or profession ?	2	Career in Organization	society	
		3	Human relation still/net working		
		4	Comb. 1, 2 and 3		
		s	Comb 1 and 2		
		6	Comb. 1 and3		
		7	Comb. 2 and 3		
		8	Increase academic score		
	How has this program influence your life ?	1	To upgrade work ethos	More discipline Work ethos Time awareness	
		2	To improve personality	Way of thinking; increase self confidence	
		3	To add global exposure.		
		4	Comb 1,2,3		
		5	Comb 1,2		
		6	Comb 1,3		
Q16	Besides your answer to number 14 and 15, Do you feel other positive impact from this program ?	1	Cross-cultural understanding	As comparison study	
		2	Learn more about Japan	Broadening horison	
		3	International awareness		
		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	Personal confidence Comb. 1,2 Comb 1,3 Comb 1,4 Comb 2,1 Comb 2,3 Comb 2,3 Comb 2,4 Become interested to study in Japan Adding the network of friends		

F.03 Respondent Address

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
DKI Jakarta		1.00	92	46.0	46.0	46.0
Java except	DKI Jaka	2.00	66	33.0	33.0	79.0
Sumatera		3.00	19	9.5	9.5	88.5
Kalimantan		4.00	9	4.5	4.5	93.0
Sulawesi an		5.00	7	3.5	3.5	96.5
Bali and Nu		6.00	4	2.0	2.0	98.5
Irian Jaya	and Timor	7.00	3	1.5	1.5	100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Maan	1.985	Std err	.094	Medi	an	2.000
Mean Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.324	Vari		1.754
	6.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi		7.000
Range	397.000	CITIII WOUND	1.000	(IGA1		
Sum	397.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	2.000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	200	Missing ca	ases O			
F.10 0	ccupation					
					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
			requestoj	2 02 00110	2020200	
government	emplovee	1.00	94	47.0	47.7	47.7
private emp		2.00	61	30.5	31.0	78.7
student		3.00	30	15.0	15.2	93.9
NGO		4.00	5	2.5	2.5	96.4
Others		5.00	7	3.5	3.6	100.0
		•	3	1.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Меал	1.832	Std err	.072	Medi	an	2.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.014	Vari	ance	1.028
Range	4.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	5.000
Sum	361.000					
Democréile	Value	Davcartil	volue	Daro	antila	Value
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	entile	Value

F.10 occupation

Valid cases 197 Missing cases 3

F.12 Group Participation

					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value Fre	equency	Percent	Percent	Percent
SC Soc. Dev		1.00	38	19.0	19.4	19.4
SC Education	ń	2.00	40	20.0	20.4	39.8
SC Economy	••	3.00	43	21.5	21.9	61.7
SC Agricult	ure	4.00	24	12.0	12.2	74.0
AC Environm		5.00	7	3.5	3.6	77.6
AC Educatio		6.00	10	5.0	5.1	82.7
AC Social W		7.00	13	6,5	6.6	89.3
AC Health a		8.00	6	3.0	3.1	92.3
AC Public A		9.00	10	5.0	5.1	97.4
AC Economy		10.00	4	2.0	2.0	99.5
AC Press		11.00	1	•2	.5	100.0
AO TICOD		•	4	2.0	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	3,663	Std err	.179	Medi	lan	3.000
Mode	3.000	Std dev	2.507	Vari	Lance	6.286
Range	10.000	Minimum	1.000	Max	Lmum	11.000
Sum	718.000					
	•					_
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Per	centile	Value
25,00	2.000	50.00	3.000	7	5.00	5.000
Valid cases	196	Missing case	s '	4		

E.7 sex

Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 56.5 56.5 56.5 1.00 113 male 43.5 100.0 2.00 87 43.5 female ____ _____ _____ 100.0 200 100.0 Total .035 Median 1.000 Std err 1.435 Mean 1.000 Std dev .497 Variance .247 Mode 2.000 Range 1.000 Minimum 1.000 Maximum ຽເມສີ 287.000 Percentile Value Percentile Value Percentile Value 75.00 2.000 50.00 1.000 1.000 25.00 0 Missing cases Valid cases 200 Year Participation F11 Valid Cum Value Frequency Percent Percent Percent Value Label 20.0 20.0 40 20.0 1.00 1997 2.00 40 20.0 20.0 40.0 1996 20.0 60.0 1995 3.00 40 20.0 20.0 80.0 4.00 40 20.0 1994 20.0 100.0 5.00 40 20.0 <1994 _____ 100.0 100.0 200 Total .100 Median 3.000 3.000 Std err Mean 2.010 1.000 Std dev 1.418 Variance Mode Maximum 5.000 Minimum 1.000 Range 4.000 600.000 Sum * Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown. Percentile Value Percentile Value Percentile Value 75.00 4.000 3.000 50.00 25.00 2.000 Missing cases 0 Valid cases 200

Q.03.1 visit japan

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre disagree	9	1.00 2.00 3.00	190 5 1 4	95.0 2.5 .5 2.0	96.9 2.6 .5 Missing	96.9 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.036 1.000 2.000 203.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.015 .212 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .045 3.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	5,00	1.000
Valid cases	196	Missing ca	ses 4	ł		

Q.03.2 make friends with someone from Japan

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre	e	1.00 2.00 11.00	193 6 1	96.5 3.0 .5	96.5 3.0 .5	96.5 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.080 1.000 10.000 216.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.051 .725 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .526 11.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	5.00	1.000
Valid cases	200	Missing ca	ases ()		

Q.03.3 make friends with someone from your own

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	
agree		1.00	172	86.0	86.4	86.4
		2,00	13	6.5	6.5	
part agree		3.00	13	3.5	3.5	
disagree			5	2.5	2.5	
no answer		4.00			1.0	
		11.00	2	1.0		100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1.312	Std err	.082	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.161	Vari	ance	1,347
Range	10.000	Minimum	1.000		mum	11.000
Sum	261.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
		60.00	1.000	35	.00	1.000
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	15		1.000
Valid cases	199	Missing case	es 1			
Q.03.4 I	nterested i	n internationa	l exchang	e pro		
-		n internationa	-		Valid Percent	
Q.03.4 I Value Label			-	e pro Percent	Percent	Percent
Value Label agree		Value F	requency 194	Percent 97.0	Percent 97.5	Percent 97.5
Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent 97.0 2.0	Percent 97.5 2.0	Percent 97.5 99.5
Value Label agree partly agre		Value F	requency 194	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0
Value Label agree		Value F 1.00 2.00	requency 194 4	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0
Value Label agree partly agre		Value F 1.00 2.00 3.00	requency 194 4 1	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0
Value Label agree partly agre		Value F. 1.00 2.00 3.00	194 194 1 1	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0
Value Label agree partly agre disagree Mean	e 1.030	Value F 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err	requency 194 4 1 1 200 .014	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0 Medi	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0 1.000 .039
Value Label agree partly agre disagree Mean Mode	e 1.030 1.000	Value F. 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev	requency 194 4 1 200 .014 .199	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0 Medi Vari	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0 an ance	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0 1.000 .039
Value Label agree partly agre disagree Mean	e 1.030	Value F 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err	requency 194 4 1 1 200 .014	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0 Medi Vari	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0
Value Label agree partly agre disagree Mean Mode Range	e 1.030 1.000 2.000	Value F. 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev	requency 194 4 1 200 .014 .199	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0 Medi Vari Maxi	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0 an ance	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0 1.000 .039
Value Label agree partly agre disagree Mean Mode Range Sum	e 1.030 1.000 2.000 205.000	Value F 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev Minimum	requency 194 4 1 200 .014 .199 1.000	Percent 97.0 2.0 .5 .5 100.0 Medi Vari Maxi	Percent 97.5 2.0 .5 Missing 100.0 an ance mum	Percent 97.5 99.5 100.0 1.000 .039 3.000

Q.03.5 Improve your professional knowledge

Value Label		Value Fre	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree		1.00	169	84.5	84.9	84.9
partly agree	9	2.00	23	11.5	11.6	96.5
disagree		3.00	5	2.5	2.5	99.0
no answer		4.00	2	1.0	1.0	100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1,196	Std err	.037	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	.519	Vari	ance	.269
Range	3.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	4.000
Sum	238.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	199	Missing case	s 1			

Q.03.6 Advised by your organization.

		_		_	Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
agree		1.00	89	44.5	45.2	45.2
partly agre	e	2.00	30	15.0	15.2	60.4
disagree		3.00	53	26.5	26.9	87.3
no answer		4.00	22	11.0	11.2	98.5
		6.00	1	.5	.5	99.0
		11.00	1	.5	.5	99.5
		12.00	1	.5	.5	100.0
		•	3	1.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	2.157	Std err	.105	Medi	an	2.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.468	Vari	ance	2.154
Range	11.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	12.000
Sum	425.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1,000	50.00	2.000	75	.00	3.000

Q.03.6 Advised by your organization

Valid cases 197 Missing cases 3

Q.03.7 To win honor

Value Label		Value Fi	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre disagree no answer	e	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00	114 26 33 18 9	57.0 13.0 16.5 9.0 4.5	59.7 13.6 17.3 9.4 Missing	59.7 73.3 90.6 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.764 1.000 3.000 337.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.076 1.047 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 1.097 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	3.000
Valid cases	191	Missing case	es 9	₹		

Q.03.8 other reasons

Value Label		Value Fre	quency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Add global exposure Japanese culture		1.00 2.00	2 1 197	1.0 .5 98.5	66.7 33.3 Missing	66.7 100.0
		- Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.333 1.000 1.000 4.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.333 .577 1.000	Vari	Median Variance Maximum	
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	
Valid cases	3	Missing cases	197	!		
0.04.05			•			

Q.04.05 Be proud of your own country

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre disagree no answer	e	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00	170 13 3 13 1	85.0 6.5 1.5 6.5 .5	85.4 6.5 1.5 6.5 Missing	85.4 92.0 93.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.291 1.000 3.000 257.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.056 .795 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .632 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	199	Missing ca	ises 1			

Q.04.1 Learn about the society of Japan

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agree no answer	e	1.00 2.00 4.00	197 2 1	98.5 1.0 .5	98.5 1.0 .5	98.5 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0		
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.025 1.000 3.000 205.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.017 .234 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .055 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	200	Missing ca	ses O			
	dvance your		_		Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
agree partly agree disagree no answer	3	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00	103 59 14 22 2	51.5 29.5 7.0 11.0 1.0	52.0 29.8 7.1 11.1 Missing	52.0 81.8 88.9 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.773 1.000 3.000 351.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.071 .994 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .989 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1,000	50.00	1,000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	198	Missing cas	5es 2			

Q.04.2 Learn professional on your field

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agree disagree no answer	e	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00	154 39 5 1 1	77.0 19.5 2.5 .5 .5	77.4 19.6 2.5 .5 Missing	77.4 97.0 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.261 1.000 3.000 251.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.037 .524 1.000		ian iance imum	1.000 .275 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	5.00	1.000
Valid cases	199	Missing ca	ses 1	L		

Q.04.3 promote mutual understanding with Japane

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre disagree no answer	e	1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 11.00	180 12 2 3 1 2	90.0 6.0 1.0 1.5 .5 1.0	90.9 6.1 1.0 1.5 .5 Missing	90.9 97.0 98.0 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.177 1.000 10.000 233.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.060 .845 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	lance	1.000 .715 11.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	7:	5.00	1.000
Valid cases	198	Missing ca	ases 2	2		

Q.04.4 Deepen interest in international issues

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree		1.00	124	62.0	62.3	62.3
partly agre	e	2.00	30	15.0	15.1	77.4
disagree		3.00	30	15.0	15.1	92.5
no answer		4.00	15	7.5	7.5	100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1,678	Std err	.070	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1,000	Std dev	.988	Vari	ance	.977
Range	3.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	4.000
Sum	334.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	199	Missing case	es 1			
						_

Q.04.6 Understand the importance of int'l excha

Value Label		Value Fr	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree		1.00	187	93,5	93.5	93.5
partly agre	e	2.00	11	5.5	5.5	99.0
disagree		3.00	1	.5	.5	99.5
no answer		4.00	1	.5	.5	100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1.080	Std err	.024	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	.338	Vari	ance	.114
Range	3.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	ແນສ	4.000
Sum	216.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	200	Missing case	s 0			

Q.04.7 Has changed your way of thinking

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree partly agre disagree no answer	e	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 2.00 \\ 3.00 \\ 4.00 \\ . \end{array} $	143 36 14 6 1	71.5 18.0 7.0 3.0 .5	71.9 18.1 7.0 3.0 Missing	71.9 89.9 97.0 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.412 1.000 3.000 281.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.053 .753 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .567 4.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	199	Missing ca	ses 1			

Q.04.8 Learn how to communicate with people fro

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree		1.00	189	94.5	95.0	95.0
partly agre	e	2.00	7	3.5	3.5	98.5
no answer		4.00	3	1.5	1.5	100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1.080	Std err	.029	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	.406	Vari	ance	.165
Range	3.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	4.000
Sum	215.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
	, ui ui		14240	1010		
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	199	Missing cas	ses 1			

Q.04.9 Learn how to act in goog order as a grou

Value Label		Value Fre	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
agree		1.00	178	89.0	89.0	89.0
partly agre	е	2.00	11	5.5	5.5	94.5
disagree		3.00	5	2.5	2.5	97.0
no answer		4.00	4	2.0	2.0	99.0
		11.00	2	1.0	1.0	100.0
		-	****			
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	1.265	Std err	.080	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.127	Vari	ance	1.271
Range	10.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	11.000
Sum	253.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	1.000
Valid cases	200	Missing cases	s 0			

Q.05 Most beneficial activities of the progra

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Lectures on	Japan	1.00	11	5.5	5.5	5.5
Lecturer on		2.00	7	3.5	3.5	9.0
Japanese la		3.00	8	4.0	4.0	13.0
Visits relat		4.00	25	12.5	12.5	25.5
Observation		5.00	13	6.5	6.5	32.0
In-house Ser		6.00	28	14.0	14.0	46.0
Home stay		7.00	30	15.0	15.0	61.0
Combination	2.4.6.8	8.00	36	18.0	18.0	79.0
combination		9.00	40	20.0	20.0	99.0
all		10.00	2	1.0	1.0	100.0
ull .						
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	6.300	Std err	.168	Medi	an	7.000
Mode	9,000	Std dev	2.372	Vari	ance	5.628
Range	9.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	10.000
	1260.000					
- 12 1 -	** = 1 -= -	Devectedia	Ite June	Dowo	entile	Value
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	value
25.00	4.000	50.00	7.000	75	.00	8.000
Valid cases	200	Missing ca	ses 0	i		

Q.06 Impression of Japan change after program

Value Label		Value Fr	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
much better better worse No change Don't know		1.00 2.00 3.00 4.00 5.00 7.00	100 86 1 5 4 1 3	50.0 43.0 .5 2.5 2.0 .5 1.5	50.8 43.7 .5 2.5 2.0 .5 Missing	50.8 94.4 94.9 97.5 99.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.635 1.000 6.000 322.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.063 .891 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .794 7.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	197	Missing case	es 3			

Q.06.01 The kind of your impression has changed

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
The Japanes	e culture	1.00	44	22.0	25.0	25.0
The Japanes		2.00	16	8.0	9.1	34.1
The percept:		3.00	64	32.0	36.4	70.5
Combination		4.00			3.4	73.9
Combination		5.00	6 3	1.5	1.7	
Combination		6.00	32	16.0	18.2	93.8
Combination		7.00	8		4.5	
COMBINACION	VI E GIIG	8.00	3		1.7	
		•••••	24	12.0		
		•	~~~~~			
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	3.290	Std err	.149	Medi	.an	3.000
Mode	3,000	Std dev	1.980	Vari	ance	3.921
Range	7.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	8.000
Sum	579.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Рего	entile	Value
25.00	1.250	50.00	3.000	75	6.00	5.000
Valid cases	176	Missing cas	es 24			

-

Q.07 Kind of people that you still keep in to

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
no, you are	not in t	1.00	10	5,0	5.0	5.0
participants		2.00	43	21.5	21.6	26.6
Japanese par		3.00	12	6.0	6.0	32.7
Participants		4.00	10	5.0	5.0	37.7
house family		5.00	31	15.5	15.6	53.3
Japanese who		6.00	9	4.5	4.5	57.8
Program staf		7.00	8	4.0	4.0	61.8
combination		8.00	34	17.0	17.1	78.9
Combination		9.00	37	18.5	18.6	97.5
A11	• •	10.00	5	2.5	2.5	100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	5.487	Std err	.203	Medi	an	5.000
Mode	2.000	Std dev	2.862	Vari	ance	8.190
Range	9.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	10.000
	092.000					
				_		
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	2.000	50.00	5.000	75	.00	8.000
Valid cases	199	Missing ca	ses 1			

Q.08.1 More important or better for the program

Value Label	L	Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Providing p Promoting i		1.00 2.00	80 115 5	40.0 57.5 2.5	41.0 59.0 Missing	41.0 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.590 2.000 1.000 310.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.035 .493 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	2.000 .243 2.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	2.000	75	.00	2.000

Q.08.1 More important or better for the program

Valid cases 195 Missing cases 5

Q.08.2 more important or better for program

Value Label		Value Fr	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Maintaining Increasing		1.00 2.00	127 64 9	63.5 32.0 4.5	66.5 33.5 Missing	66.5 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.335 1.000 1.000 255.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.034 .473 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .224 2.000
Percentile 25.00	Value 1.000	Percentile 50.00	Value 1.000		centile	Value 2.000
Valid cases	191	Missing case	s 9	I.		

Q.09 Daer Friends

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
yes no		1.00 2.00	129 69 2	64.5 34.5 1.0	65.2 34.8 Missing	65.2 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	:
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.348 1.000 1.000 267.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.034 .478 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .228 2.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	e Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	2.000

Q.09 Daer Friends

Valid cases 198 Missing cases 2

Q.09.1 Article suggested for "Dear Friends"

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Activities	of the al	1.00	25	12.5	21.4	21.4
Friendship	corner	2.00	22	11.0	18.8	40.2
Culture cor	ner	3.00	29	14.5	24.8	65.0
Participant	s issues	4.00	6	3.0	5.1	70.1
Technology		5.00	5	2.5	4.3	74.4
Corresponde	nce	6.00	4	2.0	3.4	77.8
Scholarship		7.00	10	5.0	8.5	86.3
Already fix	ed	8.00	16	8.0	13.7	100.0
-		•	83	41.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	3.650	Std err	,226	Medi	an	3.000
Mode	3.000	Std dev	2.447	Vari	ance	5.988
Range	7.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	8.000
Sum	427.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	2.000	50.00	3.000	75	.00	6.000
Valid cases	117	Missing cas	es 83			

Q.1 How do you get information of the progra

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
			• •			
Through the	press	1.00	2	1.0	1.0	1.0
Government C	offices	2.00	56	28.0	28.3	29.3
JICA Represe	entative	3.00	5	2.5	2.5	31.8
Work Place		4.00	45	22.5	22.7	54.5
Ex-Participa	ant	5.00	70	35.0	35.4	89.9
Others		6.00	20	10.0	10.1	100.0
		•	2	1.0	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
		_				
Mean	3.934	Std err	.101	Medi		4.000
Mode	5.000	Std dev	1.418		ance	2.011
Range	5.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	6.000
Sum	779.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	2.000	50.00	4.000	75	.00	5.000
Valid cases	198	Missing cas	ses 2			
Q.10 P	articipatin	g in alumni ad	ctivities			
Q.10 P	articipatin	g in alumni ac	ctivities		Valid	Cum
Q.10 P Value Label		-	ctivities Frequency	Percent		Cum Percent
Value Label		-		Percent 12.5		
Value Label yes, active	ly	Value 1	Frequency		Percent	Percent
Value Label	ly	Value	Frequency 25	12.5	Percent 12.6	Percent 12.6
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin	ly	Value 1.00 2.00	Frequency 25 73	12.5 36.5	Percent 12.6 36.9	Percent 12.6 49.5
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin	ly	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00	Frequency 25 73 100 2	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing	Percent 12.6 49.5
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin	ly mes	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00	Frequency 25 73 100	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin	ly mes 2.379	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0 Lan	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin no	ly mes	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000 .490
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin no Mean	ly mes 2.379	Value 1 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi Vari	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0 Lan	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin no Mean Mode	ly mes 2.379 3.000	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050 .700	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi Vari	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000 .490
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin no Mean Mode Range	ly mes 2.379 3.000 2.000	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050 .700	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi Vari	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000 .490
Value Label yes, active yes, sometin no Mean Mode Range	ly mes 2.379 3.000 2.000	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050 .700 1.000	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi Vari Maxi	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000 .490
Value Label yes, active yes, sometim no Mean Mode Range Sum	1y mes 2.379 3.000 2.000 471.000	Value 1.00 2.00 3.00 Total Std err Std dev Minimum	Frequency 25 73 100 2 200 .050 .700 1.000	12.5 36.5 50.0 1.0 100.0 Medi Vari Maxi	Percent 12.6 36.9 50.5 Missing 100.0	Percent 12.6 49.5 100.0 3.000 .490 3.000

Q.10.1 If no, why ?

.

Value Label		Value Fi	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Because you	are too	1.00	20	10.0	15.4	15.4
Because you	do not l	2.00	31	15.5	23.8	39.2
Because the		3.00	39	19.5	30.0	69.2
Because the	activiti	4.00	13	6.5	10.0	79.2
Because the	alumni a	5.00	9	4.5	6.9	86.2
Combination	land 3	6.00	11	5.5	8.5	94.6
Combination	2 and 4	7.00	3	1.5	2.3	96.9
		8.00	4	2.0	3.1	100.0
		•	70	35.0	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	3.192	Std err	.155	Medi	an	3.000
Mode	3.000	Std dev	1.766	Vari	ance	3.118
Range	7.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	8.000
Sum	415.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	2.000	50.00	3.000	75	.00	4.000
Valid cases	130	Missing case	es 70			

Q.11 Alumni activities in the future

Value Label		Value Fr	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Activities	to establ	1.00	54	27.0	27.1	27.1
Orientation		2.00	12	6.0	6.0	33.2
Int'l excha		3.00	6	3.0	3.0	36.2
Int'l excha		4.00	15	7.5	7.5	43.7
Activities		5.00	5	2.5	2.5	46.2
Activities		6.00	7	3.5	3.5	49.7
Activities	for envir	7.00	6	3.0	3.0	52.8
Activities		8.00	7	3.5	3.5	56.3
1,3,5,7,9	••	9.00	46	23.0	23.1	79.4
combination	2,4,6,8	10.00	21	10.5	10.6	89.9
A11		11.00	20	10.0	10.1	100.0
		•	1	.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	5.854	Std err	.273	Medi	an	7.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	3.854	Vari	ance	14.852
Range	10,000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	11.000
	1165.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	7.000	75	5.00	9.000
Valid cases	s 199	Missing case	es 1	L		

Q.12 JICA supporting for Alumni Ass.

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Supporting	(Funding;	1.00	123	61.5	64.7	64.7
Schoolarshi		2,00	20	10.0	10.5	75.3
Japanese yo	uth visit	3.00	1	.5	.5	75.8
Reunion wit	h ex-coor	4.00	23	11.5	12.1	87.9
Information	about yo	5.00	10	5.0	5.3	93.2
As a adviso		6.00	13	6.5	6.8	100.0
		•	10	5.0	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	2.032	Std err	.120	Medi	an	1.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	1.649	Vari	ance	2.719
Range	5.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	6.000
Sum	386.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	1.000	75	.00	2.250
Valid cases	190	Missing cas	es 10			

.

Q.13 Suggestions for future programs

Value Label	L	Value Fr	equency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
The program	chould b	1.00	30	15.0	16.6	16.6
The types of		2.00	13	6.5	7.2	23.8
Additional		3.00	 5	2.5	2.8	26.5
The publica		4.00	19	9.5	10.5	37.0
The select		5.00	25	12.5	13.8	
Standar of		6.00	3	1.5	1.7	52.5
Special pro		7.00	31	15.5	17.1	69.6
Program sho		8.00	23	11.5	12.7	82.3
Delete unre		9.00	2	1.0	1.1	83.4
Pasca prog		10.00	26	13.0	14.4	97.8
Training of	rientation	11.00	4	2.0	2.2	100.0
-		•	19	9.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	5.597	Std err	.231	Medi	.an	5.000
Mode	7.000	Std dev	3.102	Vari	ance	9.620
Range	10.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	.mum	11.000
Sum	1013.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	3.000	50.00	5.000	75	.00	8.000
Valid case:	s 181	Missing case	es 19	I		

Q.14 The impacts of the program in your caree

Value Label		Value	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Not signifi	cant	.00	13	6.5	8.8	8.8
Personality		1.00	36	18.0	24.5	33.3
Career in o		2.00	64	32.0	43.5	76.9
Human relat	ion skill	3.00	27	13.5	18.4	95.2
Combination	of 1 and	5.00	2	1.0	1.4	96.6
Combination	of 1 and	6.00	4	2.0	2.7	99.3
		20.00	1	.5	.7	100.0
		•	53	26.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	2.034	Std err	.156	Medi	an	2.000
Mode	2.000	Std dev	1.889	Vari	ance	3.567
Range	20.000	Minimum	.000	Maxi	mum	20.000
Sum	299.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	2.000	75	.00	2.000
Valid cases	147	Missing cas	ses 53			

Q.15 The impacts of the program in your life

					Valid	Cum
Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Percent	Percent
To upgrade \	work etho	1.00	48	24.0	26.8	26,8
To improve]		2.00	46	23.0	25.7	52.5
To add glob		3.00	66	33.0	36.9	89,4
Combination		4.00	6	3.0	3.4	92.7
Combination	• •	5.00	8	4.0	4.5	97.2
Combination		6.00	8 2 3	1.0	1.1	98.3
Combination		7.00	3	1.5	1.7	100.0
		•	21	10.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	2,430	Std err	.094	Medi	an	2.000
Mode	3.000	Std dev	1.263	Vari	ance	1,595
Range	6.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	mum	7.000
Sum	435.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	centile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	2.000	75	5.00	3.000
Valid cases	179	Missing cas	es 21			

Q.16 The other positive impacts of the progra

Value Label		Value F	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Cross-cultu	ral under	1.00	41	20.5	25.5	25.5
Learn more		2.00	20	10.0	12.4	
Internation		3.00	17	8.5	10.6	48.4
Personal co		4.00	31	15.5		
Comb. 1,2		5.00	4	2.0	2.5	
Comb. 1,3		6.00	3	1.5	1.9	
Comb. 1.4		7.00	6	3.0	3.7	
Comb. 2,1		8.00	ĩ	.5	.6	
Comb. 2,3		9.00	2	1.0	1.2	
Comb. 2,4		10.00	4	2.0	2.5	
Willingness	to study	11.00	12	6.0	7.5	
Getting fri		12.00	20	10.0	12.4	
,		•	39	19.5	Missing	
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	4.807	Std err	.312	Medi	an	4.000
Mode	1.000	Std dev	3,960	Vari	ance	15,681
Range	11.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi	ការពា	12.000
Sum	774.000					
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50.00	4.000	75	.00	7.000
Valid cases	161	Missing cas	es 39			

Q.2 Participant Selection Process

Value Label		Value Fi	requency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
Fair Not Fair Do not know		1.00 2.00 3.00	108 27 64 1	54.0 13.5 32.0 .5	54.3 13.6 32.2 Missing	54.3 67.8 100.0
		Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean Mode Range Sum	1.779 1.000 2.000 354.000	Std err Std dev Minimum	.064 .905 1.000	Medi Vari Maxi	ance	1.000 .820 3.000
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Perc	entile	Value
25.00	1.000	50,00	1.000	75	6.00	3,000
Valid cases	199	Missing case	es 1			

Q.2.01 Suggestions for Partricipant Process

Value Label		Value Free	guency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cum Percent
The distribu	tion of	1.00	14	7.0	11.7	11.7
The selectio		2.00	15	7.5	12.5	24.2
The seletion		3,00	36	18.0	30.0	54.2
Written and		4.00	21	10.5	17.5	71.7
JICA /Alumni		5.00	19	9.5	15.8	87.5
Participant		6.00	15	7.5	12.5	100.0
• • • •		•	80	40.0	Missing	
		- Total	200	100.0	100.0	
Mean	3,508	Std err	.138	Medi	ап	3.000
Mode	3.000	Std dev	1.512		ance	2.286
Range	5.000	Minimum	1.000	Maxi		6.000
Sum	421.000					
D	17 - 1		17-1-1-0	Dorr	entile	Value
Percentile	Value	Percentile	Value	Ferd	entile	Varue
25.00	3.000	50.00	3.000	75	5.00	5.000
Valid cases	120	Missing cases	80)		·

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:43:30 RAW DATA ; CROSS TABLE

		F11				Page	1 of 1
	Count Exp Val Row Pct Col Pct Tot Pct	1997	1996	1995 3.00	1994	<1994	Row Total
F.7							
	1.00	19	25	19	27	23	113
male		22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	22.6	56.5%
		16.8%	22.18	16.8%	23.9%	20.4%	
		47.5%	62.5%	47.5%	67.5%	57.5%	
		9.58	12.58	9.5%	13.58	11.5%	
	2.00	21	15	21	13	17	87
female		17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	17.4	43.58
		24.18	17.2%	24.18	14.98	19.5%	
		52.5%	37.5%	52.5%	32.5%	42.5%	
		10.5%	7.5%	10.5%	6.5%	8.5%	
	Column	40	40	40	40	40	200
	Total	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%

F.7 sex by F11 Year Participation

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:43:30 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		.16137			.26661 *1
Cramer's V		.16137			.26661 *1
Contingency Coefficient		.15931			.26661 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.04858	.05089	.93911	
	dependent	.04598	.10042	.44744	
	dependent	.05000	.04132	1.18366	
Goodman & Krusl		• • • •			
with F.7		.02604	.02236		.26913 *2
with F11	dependent	.00651	.00562		.26913 *2
Uncertainty Coe					
symmetric		.01143	.00990	1.15520	.26298 *3
with F.7	dependent	.01915	.01658	1.15520	.26298 *3
with F11	dependent	.00815	.00705	1.15520	.26298 *3
Kendall's Tau-	D	06379	.06335	-1.00682	
Kendall's Tau-	c	08000	,07946	-1.00682	
Gamma		10106	.10011	-1.00682	
Somers' D :					
symmetric		06194	.06151	-1.00682	
with F.7	dependent	05000	.04966	-1.00682	
with Fl1	dependent	08138	.08081	-1.00682	

Eta :

with F.7	dependent	.16137
with F11	dependent	.07132

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 0

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:43:30 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Q.13 Suggestions for future programs by F11 Year Participation

Count	F11				Page	1 of 2
Exp Val Row Pct Col Pct Tot Pct	1997	1996 2.00	1995 3.00	1994 4.00	<1994 5.00	Row Total
Q.13 1.00 The program chou	7 6.0 23.38 19.48 3.98	5 5.8 16.7% 14.3% 2.8%	8 6.0 26.7% 22.2% 4.4%	4 6.0 13.38 11.18 2.28	6 6.3 20.0% 15.8% 3.3%	30 16.6%
2.00 The types of pro	6 2.6 46.28 16.78 3.38	2 2.5 15.48 5.78 1.18	0 2.6 .0% .0%	2 2.6 15.4% 5.6% 1.1%	3 2.7 23.18 7.98 1.78	13 7.28
3.00 Additional progr	1 1.0 20.08 2.88 .68	1 1.0 20.0% 2.9% .6%	2 1.0 40.08 5.68 1.18	1 1.0 20.0% 2.8% .6%	0 1.0 .0% .0%	5 2.88
4.00 The publication	3 3.8 15.88 8.38 1.78	6 3.7 31.6% 17.1% 3.3%	3 3.8 15.8% 8.3% 1.7%	4 3.8 21.1% 11.1% 2.2%	3 4.0 15.8% 7.9% 1.7%	19 10.5%
5.00 The selection pr	6 5.0 24.08 16.78 3.38	5 4.8 20.08 14.38 2.88	5 5.0 20.0% 13.9% 2.8%	5 5.0 20.08 13.98 2.88	4 5.2 16.08 10.58 2.28	25 13.8%
6.00 Standar of Behav	1 .6 33.3% 2.8% .6%	1 .6 33.38 2.98 .68	0 .6 .08 .08 .08	1 .6 33.3% 2.8% .6%	0 .6 .08 .08 .08	3 1.7%
7.00 Special programs	4 6.2 12.9% 11.1% 2.2%	4 6.0 12.98 11.48 2.28	2 6.2 6.5% 5.6% 1.1%	8 6.2 25.8% 22.2% 4.4%	13 6.5 41.98 34.28 7.28	31 17.1%
Column (Continued) Total	36 19.9%	35 19.38	36 19.9%	36 19.9%	38 21.0%	181 100.0%

	unt	F11				Page	2 of 2
Row	> Val Pct Pct	1997	1996	1995	1994	<1994	Row
Tot	Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	
Q.13	.00	4	5	6	3	5	23
Program shou	ild b	4.6 17.48 11.18 2.28	4.4 21.78 14.38 2.88	4.6 26.18 16.78 3.38	4.6 13.08 8.38 1.78	4.8 21.78 13.28 2.88	12.79
S Delete unrel	0.00 Levan	1 .4 50.0% 2.8% .6%	0 .4 .08 .08 .08	0 .4 .0% .0% .0%	0 .4 .0% .0% .0%	1 .4 50.03 2.68 .68	1.19
10				.08	.00	2	20
Pasca progra).00 Am ac	1 5.2 3.8% 2.8% .6%	6 5.0 23.1% 17.1% 3.3%	5.2 34.6% 25.0% 5.0%	5.2 30.8% 22.2% 4.4%	5.5 7.73 5.33 1.18	14.49
11 Training ori	1.00 ienta	2 .8 50.0% 5.6% 1.1%	0 .8 .0% .0%	1 .8 25.0% 2.8% .6%	0 .8 .08 .08 .08	1 .8 25.08 2.68 .68	2.29
	olumn Fotal	36 19.9%	35 19.3%	36 19.9%	36 19.98	38 21.0%	181 100.05

Q.13 Suggestions for future programs by F11 Year Participation

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:45:37 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		.49642			.28429 *1
Cramer's V		.24821			.28429 *1
Contingency Coefficient		.44464			.28429 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.11604	.03698	3,00975	
with Q.13	dependent	.08000	.03617	2.14819	
with F11	dependent	.15385	.05458	2.64226	
Goodman & Krusk					
with Q.13	dependent	.03059	.01014		.05672 *2
with F11	dependent	.06202	.01567		.28249 *2
Uncertainty Coe	fficient :				
symmetric		.07481	.01628	4.53700	.11801 *3
with Q.13	dependent	.06552	.01414	4.53700	.11801 *3
with F11	dependent	.08719	.01922	4.53700	.11801 *3
Kendall's Tau-b		.07328	.05467	1.34044	
Kendall's Tau-c		.07639	.05699		
Gamma		.08719	.06500		
Somers' D :			100000	4101014	
symmetric		.07321	.05462	1.34044	
with 0.13	dependent	.07640	.05699		
with F11	dependent	.07028	.05244	1.34044	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability
*2 Based on chi-square approximation
*3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 19

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:45:37 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

		F11				Page	1 of 1
	Count Exp Val Row Pct Col Pct	1997	1996	1995	1994	<1994	Row
	Tot Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	Total
Q.10	1.00	10	6	7	1	1	25 12.6%
yes,	actively	4.9	5.1 24.0% 15.0%	5.1 28.08 17.58	5.1 4.08 2.58	4.9 4.08 2.68	12.08
		25.68	3.08	3.58	.58	.58	
yes,	2.00 sometimes	12 14.4 16.4% 30.8% 6.1%	19 14.7 26.0% 47.5% 9.6%	15 14.7 20.58 37.58 7.68	14 14.7 19.28 35.08 7.18	13 14.4 17.88 33.38 6.68	73 36.9%
no	3.00	17 19.7 17.08 43.68 8.68	15 20.2 15.08 37.58 7.68	18 20.2 18.0% 45.0% 9.1%	25 20.2 25.0% 62.5% 12.6%	25 19.7 25.0% 64.1% 12.6%	100 50.5%
	Column Total	39 19.7%	40 20.28	40 20.28	40 20.28	39 19.7%	198 100.0%

Q.10 Participating in alumni activities by F11 Year Participation

Page 1 of 1

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:46:19 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

1
1
1
2
2
-
3
3
3
* * *

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 2

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:46:19 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

F.10 occupation by F11 Year Participation

		F11				Page	1 of 1
	Count	}					
	Exp Val	ł					
		1997	1996	1995	1994	<1994	
	Col Pct						Row
	Tot Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	Total
F.10							
	1.00	15	11	18	25	25	94
governme	ent emplo	18.6	18.6	18.6	19.1	19.1	47.78
		16.0%	11.78	19.1%	26.68	26.68	
		38.5%	28.28	46.28	62.5%	62.5%	
		7.6%	5.6%	9.1%	12.78	12.7%	
	2.00	11	16	14	9	11	61
private	employee	12.1	12.1	12.1	12.4	12.4	31.0%
F		18.0%	26.2%	23.08	14.8%	18.0%	
		28.2%	41.08	35.9%	22.5%	27.5%	
		5.6%	8.18	7.18	4.68	5.6%	
	3.00	12	9	5	3	1	1 30
student		5.9	5.9	5.9	6.1	6.1	15.28
		40.0%	30.0%	16.78	10.0%	3.3%	
		30.8%	23.1%	12.8%	7.5%	2.58	
		6.1%	4.6%	2.58	1.5%	.5%	
	4.00	0	0	0	3	2	5
NGO		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	2.5%
		.08	.08	.0%	60.0%	40.0%	
		.0%	.0%	.08	7.58	5.0%	
		.08	.08	.0%	1.5%	1.0%	
	5,00	1	3	2	0	1	7
Others		1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	3.6%
		14.38	42.98	28.68	.08	14.38	
		2.68	7.78	5.1%	.08	2.58	1
		.58	1.5%	1.0%	.0%	.58	
	Column	39	39	39	40	40	197
	Total	19.8%	19.8%	19.8%	20.3%	20.3%	100.0%

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:47:15 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		, 42510			.00329 *1
Cramer's V		. 21255			.00329 *1
Contingency Coe	fficient	.39122			.00329 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.09231	.03701	2.41116	
with F.10	dependent	.04854	.04921	.96452	
with F11	dependent	.12102	.03916	2.96126	
Goodman & Krusk		• • •			
with F.10	dependent	.05343	.02110		.00041 *2
with F11	dependent	.04527	.01226		.00340 *2
Uncertainty Coe					
symmetric	1	.07051	.01836	3.75176	.00101 *3
with F.10	dependent	.08197	.02081	3.75176	.00101 *3
with F11	dependent	.06186	.01649	3.75176	.00101 *3
Kendall's Tau-b		20711	.05823	~3.56010	
Kendall's Tau-D		18688	.05249	-3.56010	
Gamma		28305	.07871	-3.56010	
Somers' D :		. 20000		0.00010	
symmetric		20602	.05793	-3.56010	
with F.10	dependent	18688	.05250	-3.56010	
with F11	dependent	22953	.06479	-3.56010	

•

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 3

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:47:15 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

F.7 sex by F.10 occupation

Page 1 of 1 F.10 Count Exp Val Row Pct Col Pct Tot Pct governme private student NGO Others Row nt emplo employee 4.00 5.00 Total 1.00 2.00 3.00 F.7 2 111 33 4 1.00 61 11 3.9 56.3% 53.0 16.9 2.8 34.4 male 1.8% 9.9% 3.6% 55.0% 29.7% 36.7% 28.6% 64.9% 54.1% 80.0% 1.0% 2.0% 31.0% 5.6% 16.8% 86 2.00 33 28 19 1 5 43.78 3,1 26.6 13.1 2.2 female 41.0 5,8% 1.2% 38.4% 22.1% 32.6% 35.1% 45.9% 63.3% 20.0% 71.4% 16.8% 14.28 9.6% .5% 2,5% 197 7 94 30 5 61 Column 100.0% 2.5% 3.6% 47.7% 31.0% 15.2% Total

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:48:25 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		.23601			.02686 *1
Cramer's V		.23601			.02686 *1
Contingency Coe	fficient	.22970			.02686 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.05820	.03082	1.82359	
with F.7	dependent	.12791	.06605	1.82359	
with F.10		.00000	.00000		
Goodman & Krusk					
with F.7		.05570	.03167		.02750 *2
with F.10	dependent	.01713	.01225		.00937 *2
Uncertainty Coe					
symmetric		.02970	.01734	1,70265	.02533 *3
with F.7	dependent	.04117	.02417	1.70265	.02533 *3
with F.10	dependent	.02322	.01353	1.70265	.02533 *3
Kendall's Tau-b		.17316	.06565	2.63062	
Kendall's Tau-c		.19604	.07452		
Gamma		.29784	.10843		
Somers! D :		.27704	.10043	2.03002	
symmetric		.17147	.06501	2.63062	
with F.7	dependent	.15049	.05707		
with F.10	dependent	.19925	.07565	2.63062	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 3

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:48:25 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

F.12 Group Participation by F11 Year Participation

Count	- F11				Page	1 of 2
Exp Val Row Pct Col Pct Tot Pct	1997 1.00	1996 2.00	1995 3,00	1994 4.00	<1994 5.00	Row Total
F.12 1.00 SC Soc. Dev	7 7.8 18.48 17.58 3.68	9 7.4 23.78 23.78 4.68	9 7.4 23.78 23.78 4.68	9 7.8 23.7% 22.5% 4.6%	4 7.8 10.5% 10.0% 2.0%	38 19.4%
2.00 SC Education	5 8.2 12.5% 12.5% 2.6%	9 7.8 22.5% 23.7% 4.6%	6 7.8 15.0% 15.8% 3.1%	7 8.2 17.5% 17.5% 3.6%	13 8.2 32.5% 32.5% 6.6%	40 20.48
3.00 SC Economy	9 8.8 20.9% 22.5% 4.6%	17 8.3 39.5% 44.7% 8.7%	7 8.3 16.3% 18.4% 3.6%	10 8.8 23.38 25.08 5.18	0 8.8 .0% .0%	43 21.98
4.00 SC Agriculture	7 4.9 29.28 17.58 3.68	0 4.7 .08 .08 .08	10 4.7 41.78 26.38 5.18	6 4.9 25.0% 15.0% 3.1%	1 4.9 4.28 2.58 .58	24 12.2%
5.00 AC Environmental	2 1.4 28.6% 5.0% 1.0%	0 1.4 .08 .08 .08	1 1.4 14.38 2.68 .58	2 1.4 28.6% 5.0% 1.0%	2 1.4 28.68 5.08 1.08	- 7 3.6%
6.00 AC Education	3 2.0 30.0% 7.5% 1.5%	1 1.9 10.0% 2.6% .5%	1 1.9 10.08 2.68 .58	1 2.0 10.0% 2.5% .5%	4 2.0 40.0% 10.0% 2.0%	10 5.1%
7.00 AC Social Walfa	2 2.7 15.48 5.08 1.08	1 2.5 7.78 2.68 .58	2 2.5 15.4% 5.3% 1.0%	0 2.7 .08 .08 .08	8 2.7 61.5% 20.0% 4.1%	13 6.68
Column (Continued) Total		38 19.4%	38 19.4%	40 20.4%	40 20.48	196 100.0%

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:49:00 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

F.12 Group Participation by F11 Year Participation

		F11				Page	2 of 2
	Count						
	Exp Val						
	Row Pct	1997	1996	1995	1994	<1994	
	Col Pct						Row
	Tot Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	Total
F.12							
	8.00	3	0	0	3	0	6
AC	Health and Me	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	3.18
		50.0%	.08	.08	50.0%	.08	
		7.5%	.08	.0%	7.5%	.08	
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	
	9.00	1	0	0	1	8	10
AC	Public Admini	2.0	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	5.18
		10.0%	.08	.0%	10.0%	80.0%	
		2.5%	.08	.08	2.5%	20.0%	
		.5%	.08	.08	.58	4.1%	
	10.00	1	1	1	1	0	4
AC	Economy	.8	.8	.8	.8	.8	2.0%
	4	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	.0%	
		2.5%	2.68	2.68	2.5%	.08	
		.58	.5%	.58	.58	.08	
	11.00	0	0	1	0	0	1
AC	Press	.2	.2	.2	.2	.2	.58
		.0%	.03	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
		.0%	.0%	2.68	.0%	.08	
		.08	.0%	.5%	.08	.0%	
	Column	40	38	38	40	40	, 196
	Total	20.4%	19.48	19.48	20.4%	20.4%	100.0%

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:49:00 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approxima Significa	
Phi		.70580			.00000	*1
Cramer's V		.35290			.00000	*1
Contingency Coe	fficient	.57664			.00000	*1
Lambda :						
symmetric		.16828	.03958	4.03350		
with F.12	dependent	.10458	.03388	2.98693		
with F11	dependent	.23077	.05734	3.64796		
Goodman & Krusk						
with F.12	dependent	.06066	.01148		.00000	*2
with F11	dependent	.12485	.02046		.00000	*2
Uncertainty Coe	fficient :					
symmetric		.15049	.01914	7.61496	.00000	*3
with F.12	dependent	.13437	.01679	7.61496	.00000	*3
with F11	dependent	.17102	.02246	7.61496	.00000	*3
Kendall's Tau-b		.07800	.06082	1.28050		
Kendall's Tau-c		.08017	.06261	1.28050		
Gamma		.09346	.07273	1.28050		
Somers' D :			• • • • • • •			
symmetric		.07797	.06079	1.28050		
with F.12	dependent	.08019	.06262	1.28050		
with F11	dependent	.07587	.05907	1.28050		

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 4

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:49:00 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Q.1 How do you get information of the progra by F.10 occupation

Count	F.10				Page	1 of 1
Col Pct Tot Pct	governme nt emplo 1.00	employee	student 3.00	NGO 4.00	Others 5.00	Row Total
Q.1 1.00 Through the pres	1 .9 50.0% 1.1% .5%	1 .6 50.08 1.68 .58	0 .3 .08 .08	0 .1 .0% .0% .0%	0 .1 .0% .0%	2 1.0%
2.00 Government Offic	31 25.9 56.48 33.78 15.98	14 17.2 25.5% 23.0% 7.2%	8 8.5 14.58 26.78 4.18	1 1.4 1.88 20.08 .58	1 2.0 1.8% 14.3% .5%	55 28.2%
3.00 JICA Representat	1 2.4 20.0% 1.1% .5%	3 1.6 60.0% 4.9% 1.5%	1 .8 20.08 3.38 .58	0 .1 .0% .0%	0 .2 .0% .0%	5 2.6%
4.00 Work Place	31 21.2 68.98 33.78 15.98	9 14.1 20.08 14.88 4.68	2 6.9 4.4% 6.7% 1.0%	3 1.2 6.7% 60.0% 1.5%	0 1.6 .08 .08 .08	45 23.1%
5.00 Ex-Participant	21 32.1 30.98 22.88 10.88	30 21.3 44.18 49.28 15.48	13 10.5 19.1% 43.3% 6.7%	0 1.7 .0% .0%	4 2.4 5.98 57.18 2.18	68 34.9%
6.00 Others	7 9.4 35.0% 7.6% 3.6%	4 6.3 20.08 6.68 2.18	6 3.1 30.0% 20.0% 3.1%	1 .5 5.0% 20.0% .5%	2 .7 10.0% 28.6% 1.0%	20 10.3%
Column Total	92 47.2%	61 31.38	30 15.4€	5 2.6%	7 3.6%	195 100.0%

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:49:37 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		.43838			.01026 *1
Cramer's V		.21919			.01026 *1
Contingency Coe	fficient	.40150			.01026 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.10435	.05157	1.96585	
with Q.1	dependent	.10236	.05533		
with F.10		.10680	.06805		
Goodman & Krusk					
with Q.1		.05925	.01847		.00002 *2
with F.10	dependent	.07168	.02602		.00003 *2
Uncertainty Coe					
symmetric		.07796	.02070	3.68575	.00447 *3
with Q.1	dependent	.07205	.01944	3.68575	.00447 *3
with \tilde{F} .10	dependent	.08492	.02233	3.68575	.00447 *3
Kendall's Tau-b		.19879	.06192	3.20348	
Kendall's Tau-c		17219	.05375		
Gamma		.28032	.08587	3.20348	
Somers' D :					
symmetric		.19845	.06182	3.20348	
with Q.1	dependent	.21065	.06554		
with F.10	dependent	.18759	.05868		

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 5

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:49:37 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

		ε.10				Page	1 of 1
Cou	nt	1					
Exp	Val						
Row		governme	private	student	NGO	Others	
Col	Pct	nt emplo	employee				Row
Tot	Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	Total
Q.2 ———							
	00	56	35	10	2	3	106
Fair		50.6	32.8	16.1	2.7	3.8	53.8%
		52.8%	33.08	9.48	1.9%	2.8%	
		59.68	57.48	33.3%	40.08	42.98	
		28.4%	17.8%	5.18	1.0%	1.5%	
2.	00	13	6	6	1	1	27
Not Fair		12.9	8.4	4.1	.7	1.0	13.78
		48.18	22.2%	22.28	3.78	3.78	
		13.8%	9.8%	20.08	20.0%	14.3%	
		6.6%	3.0%	3.0%	.5%	.58	
3.	00	25	20	14	2	3	64
Do not know		30.5	19.8	9.7	1.6	2.3	32.5%
		39.1%	31.3%	21.9%	3.1%	4.78	
		26.6%	32.8%	46.7%	40.0%	42.9%	
		12.7%	10.2%	7.18	1.0%	1.5%	
Col	ատո	94	61	30	5	7	197
	tal	47.7%	31.0%	15.2%	2.5%	3.6%	100.0%

Q.2 Participant Selection Process by F.10 occupation

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:50:05 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic	Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi	.20474			.40869 *1
Cramer's V	.14477			.40869 *1
Contingency Coefficient	.20058			.40869 *1
Lambda :				
symmetric	.02062	.02493	.81788	
with Q.2 dependent	.04396	.05264	.81788	
with F.10 dependent	.00000	.00000		
Goodman & Kruskal Tau :				
with Q.2 dependent	.02677	.01834		.23209 *2
with F.10 dependent	.01406	.01002		.20060 *2
Uncertainty Coefficient :				
symmetric	.01950	.01317	1.47726	.39563 *3
with Q.2 dependent	.02195	,01486	1.47726	.39563 *3
with F.10 dependent	.01755	.01184	1.47726	.39563 *3
Kendall's Tau-b	.14229	.06264	2.26258	
	.13188	.05829		
Kendall's Tau-c	.22495	.09642	2.26258	
Gamma	. 2 2 4 9 3	.03042	2120200	
Somers D:	.14209	.06255	2.26258	
symmetric		.05927		
with Q.2 dependent	.13498 .14999	.06635	2.26258	
with F.10 dependent	.14999	.00033	2,20230	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 3

Q.1 How do you get information of the progra by F.10 occupation

Count	F.10				Page	1 of 1
Exp Val Row Pct	governme	private	student	NCO	Others	
Col Pct	nt emplo		scudent	NGO	others	Row
Tot Pct	1.00		3.00	4.00	5.00	
Q.1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······		
1.00	1	1	0	0	0	2
Through the pres	.9	.6	.3	.1	.1	1.0%
	50.08	50.0%	.08	.0%	.0%	
	1.18	1.68	.08	.08	.0%	1
	.58	.58	.08	.08	.08	
2.00	31	14	8	1	1	55
Government Offic	25.9	17.2	8.5	1.4	2.0	28.28
	56.4%	25.5%	14.5%	1.8%	1.8%	
	33.7%	23.0%	26.7%	20.08	14.38	1
	15.9%	7.28	4.1%	.58	.5%	
3.00	1	3	1	0	0	5
JICA Representat	2.4	1.6	.8	.1	.2	2.6%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20.0%	60.08	20.08	.0%	.0%	
	1.1%	4.98	3.3%	.0%	.0%	
	.5%	1.5%	.5%	.0%	.08	
4.00	31	9	2	3	0	45
Work Place	21.2	14.1	6.9	1.2	1.6	23.1%
	68.9%	20.0%	4.48	6.78	.0%	
	33.78	14.8%	6.78	60.03	.0%	:
	15.9%	4.6%	1.0%	1.5%	.0%	
5.00	21	30	13	0	4	68
Ex-Participant	32.1	21.3	10.5	1.7	2.4	34.98
	30.9%	44.18	19.18	.0%	5.9%	•••••
	22.8%	49.28	43.3%	.08	57.18	
	10.8%	15.4%	6.78	.08	2.18	
6.00	7	4	6	1	2	20
Others	9.4	6.3	3.1	.5	.7	10.3%
~ citor o	35.0%	20.0%	30.0%	5.0%	10.0%	10.00
	7.68	6.68	20.0%	20.0%	28.6%	
	3.68	2.18	3.1%	.5%	1.0%	
Column	92	61	30	5	7	195
Total	47.28	31.38	15.4%	2,68	3.68	100.08
iotai	6 2 4 L V	~1.~~	70+1V	2100	31 70	100.00

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:50:43 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic	~ ~ ~ ~ ~	Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Significance
Phi		.43838			.01026 *1
Cramer's V		.21919			.01026 *1
Contingency Coe	fficient	.40150			.01026 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.10435	.05157	1,96585	
with Q.1	dependent	.10236	.05533		
with F.10		.10680	.06805		
Goodman & Krusk					
with Q.1		.05925	.01847		.00002 *2
with F.10		.07168	.02602		.00003 *2
Uncertainty Coe					
symmetric		.07796	.02070	3.68575	.00447 *3
with Q.1	dependent	.07205	.01944	3.68575	.00447 *3
with F.10	-	.08492	.02233	3.68575	.00447 *3
Kendall's Tau-b		.19879	.06192	3,20348	
Kendall's Tau-c		.17219	.05375		
Gamma	•	.28032	.08587	3.20348	
Somers' D :		120032	100007	5120510	
symmetric		.19845	.06182	3,20348	
with 0.1	dependent	.21065	.06554		
with F.10	dependent	.18759	.05868	3.20348	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 5

Fage 20

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:50:43 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

. •

Q.2 Participant Selection Process by F.10 occupation

	F.10				Page	1 of 1
Count					-	
Exp Val						
Row Pct	governme	private	student	NGO	Others	
Col Pct		employee				Row
Tot Pct	1.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	Total
Q.2]
1,00	56	35	10	2	3	106
Fair	50.6	32.8	16.1	2.7	3.8	53.8%
	52.8%	33.0%	9.45	1.9%	2.8%	ļ
	59.68	57.48	33.38	40.0%	42.98	
	28.4%	17.8%	5.1%	1.0%	1.5%	
2.00	13	6	6	1	1	27
Not Fair	12.9	8.4	4.1	.7	1.0	13.78
	48.1%	22.2%	22.25	3.7%	3.7%	
	13.8%	9.8%	20.0%	20.0%	14.3%	
	6.6%	3.0%	3.0%	.5%	.58	
3.00	25	20	14	2	3	64
Do not know	30.5	19.8	9.7	1.6	2.3	32.5%
	39.18	31.35	21.93	3.18	4.78	
	26.6%	32.8%	46.78	40.0%	42.98	
	12.7%	10.2%	7.18	1.0%	1.5%	
Column	94	61	30	5	7	197
Total	47.78	31.0%	15.2%	2.5%	3.6%	100.0%

12 Jan 62 APPENDIX 3 18:51:19 RAW DATA : CROSS TABLE

Statistic		Value	ASE1	Val/ASE0	Approximate Signíficance
					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Phi		.20474			.40869 *1
Cramer's V		.14477			.40869 *1
Contingency Coefficient		.20058			.40869 *1
Lambda :					
symmetric		.02062	.02493	.81788	
with Q.2	dependent	.04396	.05264	.81788	
with F.10		.00000	.00000		
Goodman & Krus)					
	dependent	.02677	.01834		.23209 *2
with F.10	-	.01406	.01002		.20060 *2
Uncertainty Coe	efficient :				
symmetric		.01950	.01317	1.47726	
with Q.2	dependent	.02195	.01486	1.47726	
with F.10	dependent	.01755	.01184	1.47726	<b>.</b> 39563 *3
Kendall's Tau-1	2	.14229	.06264	2.26258	
Kendall's Tau-c		.13188	.05829	2.26258	
Gamma	•	.22495	.09642	2.26258	
Somers' D :					
symmetric		.14209	.06255	2.26258	
with 0.2	dependent	.13498	.05927	2.26258	
with F.10	dependent	.14999	.06635	2.26258	

*1 Pearson chi-square probability *2 Based on chi-square approximation *3 Likelihood ratio chi-square probability

Number of Missing Observations: 3

.

: . .

.

. .

·

.

.

.

