(Municipalities of Pampl	of Pamplona<2>, Abulug)				
Name of Baranday	v Nagtupacan	Tabba	Tupanna	Santo Tomas	Siguiran
Items		Municipality of Pamplona		Municipa	Municipality of Abulug
1. Population					
a. Number of Household	75	128	111	169	266
b. Average No. of Family Member	4.36	4.36	5.13	4.36	4.02
2. Rural Infrastructure	Deepwell -5	Deepwell -3	Deepwell - 1	Deepwell -3	Deepwell - 4
	Shallowwell -4	Shallowwell -40	Shallowwell - 30	Shallowwell - 80	Shallowwell - 20
	18% electrified	45% electrified	90% electrified	60% electrified	0% electrified
	Mutti-Purpose	Generator - 1 (priv.)	Generator - 1 (priv.)	Ricemill - 1 (priv.)	Rice Mill - 2
	Pavement - 1	Multi-Purpose Pav 1	Solar Dryer - 2	Multi-purpose	Solar Dryer - 2
		Landing Pier - 1	Warehouse - 30(Nipa)	Pavement - 1	
3. Social Infrastructure	Barangay Hall - 1	Barangay Hall - 1	Barangay Hall - 1	Barangay Hall - 1	Primary School - 1
	(with Clinic)	Clinic - 1	(with Clinic)	Elementary School - 1	Elementary - 1
	Day Care Center	Elementary School - 1	Day Care Center - 1	Church - 1	Church - 4
	Church - 2	(w/ Day Care Center)	Church - 2	Basketball Court - 2	Barangay Hall - 1
	Basketball Court - 1	Church - 2	Elementary School - 1		Day Care Center - 1
		Basketball Court - 1	Basketball Court - 1		Clinic - 1
4. Average Annual Income	Less than P 8,044	Less than P 8,044	Data not confirmed	Less than P 8,044	No data available
5. Production (major 2 items)			8 3		61 (1
a. Forestry	1. Nipa	1. Nipa	1. Nipa	1. Nipa	1. Nipa
	2. Beetlenuts	2. Beetlenuts	2. Beetlenuts	2. Beetlenuts	
b. Fishery	1. Maya-maya	1. Crabs/Shrimps	1. Shrimp	1. Malaga	1. Shrimp
	2. Crab	2. Malaga	2. Crab	2. Tilapia	2. Dilis
c. Agriculture	1. Eggplant	1. Rice	1. Rice	1. Vegetable (various)	1. Rice
	2. Stringbeans	2. Corn	2. Coconuts	2. Rice	2. Squarsh
d. Others	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	п.а.	n.a.
6. Major Market/Shopping Place	Sanchez Mira	Sanchez Mira	Sanchez Mira	Brgy, Corba	A.bulug
7. Peoples Organization	Fishermen's Coop.	Farmer's Assoc.	Farmer's Assn.	Fishermen's Assoc.	Muro Multi-Purpose
	Religious Groups (2)	Fishermen's Assoc.	Religious Group(2)	Religious Group	Cooperative
	Sr. Citizen's Group	Religious Groups (2)	Woman's Group	RIC (Woman's Group)	Charity Woman's Lg.
	Bayanihan	Sr. Citizen's Club	Sr. Citizen's Group	Sr. Citizen's Club	PTA
		Woman's Group	PTA	PTA	
		PTCA			
		PYA (PAGASA			
		Youth Assoc.)			
8. Implementation of Legal System					
a. Coastal Environmental Program	None	None	None	None	None
b. No. of Fishpond (Private)	3 (Ave. 0.5ha)	None	3 (Ave. 50 sq.m)	None	4 - 4ha
c. Fishpond Lease Agreement	None	None	None	None	None
d. Mangrove Reforestation Project	None	None	None	None	None
e. Mangrove Stewardship Agmt.	None	None	None	None	None

CODE	Area Code MunicipalityCode	4	OTO K		Sec				- 100	900		Si-B	33	15				A APR	5	- /2
	Barangay Code	Total	100	100	27-32					94	150	20-18	- 8					88		
30	Sitio/Purok/Zone Code		To to L	55	SBC-2	SBC-3	SBC-4	SBC-5	880.6	1040L	55	LNO-2	LNO-3	LNO-4	LNO-5	PONJ			BSG-1	7-9SB
GENERAL	Average Number of Family Member Dominant Language/Dialect	5.4 Ibanad	A E llocono	3.5   00.900	4.0 llocano	5.5 llocano	6.0 llocano	5.0 llocano	3.0 llocano	5.0 Nocaro	4.9 Ibanad	5.6 lbanag	6.5 Ibanag	5.5 Ibanag	5.0 lbanag	4.0 Ibanag	6.4 Ibanag	5.5 Ibanag	6.7 llocano	5.8 Ibanag
	Average Monthly Income (in Pesos)	4,917.54	5 404 22	9,131.23	3,860.50	8,053.25	4,275.88	4,199.50	3,287.50	3,030.30	5,394.08	6,502.86	5,407.50	2,966.67	3,754.29	3,334.50	5,846.82	6,730.77	4,284.50	6,374.29
MAJOR INCOME SOURCE	Major Source of Income - Fishery Sector (%) - Dominant Products	38.6 Fis	44 C Eish	0.00	25.0 Fish	0.0 n.a.	0.0 Shrimps	0.0 n.a.	0.0 n.a.	100.0 IIIap	29.4 Fis	46.2 Fish	42.9 Fish	30.0 Fish	29.4 Fish	54.5 Fis	25.0 Fish	58.8 Fish	91.8 Fish	82.6 JFIS.
ME SOURCE	Major Source of Income - Agricultural Sector (%)	lsh		i di	**************************************	<u> </u>				15	100		5							
	- Dominant Products	11.3 Rice	o o o	66.7 Rice	75.0 Rice	100.0 Rice	50.0 Rice	80.0 Rice	66.7 Rice	0.0 Mce	0.0 0.0	0.0 n.a.	0.0 n.a.							
	Major Source of Income - Other Sources (%)	50.1	40.5	33.3	0.0	0.0	50.0	20.0	33.3	0.0	70.6	53.8	57.1	70.0	70.6	45.5	75.0	41.2	18.2	17.4
EXPENSES	Average Monthly Expenses (in Pesos)	6,534.68	14 705 00	5 946 80	5,175.00	35,359.63	29,224.50	3,280.38	11,472.00	15,145,00	4,303,44 4,849,60	3,818.57	6,581.38	4,024.33	4,520.19	3,961.33	6,319.42	7,319.16	6,062.67	0,713.54
OTH	Self-consumption of Products (%)	27.8	7 70	30.5	25.0	37.5	32.5	45.0	17.5	30.0	4	7.9	5.6	11.7	22.9	12.5	24.0	19.8	23.3	24.
OTHER ECONOMY	Availability of Loankreddis (%)	29.2	oc u	0.07	00	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	30.0	2002	1.0	0.08	30.0	0.0		40.0	20.0	0.0	500
MY.	Being member of Cooperatives/Organization (%)	26.7	74.4	1000	0.0	100.0	100.0	20.0	50.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	15.9	0.0	0.0
	(%) səsnoң uwo бијveң	97.0	7 20	305	1000	100.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	100.0	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.7	100.0	100.0	828	9 5	1800
LIVING CONDITION	Availability of Electricity from Local Electric Service Coop. (%) Availability of Potable Water (%)	33.0	c	000	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	125	14.3	87.5	299	28.6	33.3	0.08	37.5	33.3	40,4
NOITIO	(%) Sam Anajor Fuel (%)	94.1	7 20	1000	100.0	50.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.00	200.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.7	100.0	100.0	92.3	83.3	200
	Fishpond Lessee (%)	97.5	8	20.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.00	67.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	22.58	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
FIS	59. St. St.	3.5	120	0.0	8	50.0	20.0	100.0	20.0	0.00	00	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0
FISHPOND	Fishpond Owner (%) Intention to have own Fishpond (%)	2.0	15		00	50.0	0.0	50.0		0.00		3.5	100	0.0	0.0	3855		0.00	320	5
	200 0	49.5	7 20	1000	50.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	30.0	125	85.7	37.5	33.3	0.0	50.0	100.0	41.7	833	7

0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 33.3	0.0 76.2	0.0 75.0	0.00 100.0	0.0 33.3	0.0 75.0	0.0 75.0	0.00 100.0	0.0 35.0	0.0 75.0	0.0 25.0	0.0 33.3	0.0 0.0	0.0 33.3	0.0 33.3							
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0:0	0.0	0.0							
96.1	100.0	100.0	77.8	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	90.3	75.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	66.7							
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.9	50.0	100.0	0.0	75.0	75.0	50.0	75.0	100.0	100.0	2'99	66.7	33.3	2.99							
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	75.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0							
10.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	48.3	50.0	50.0	30.0	80.0	30.0	50.0	10.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0							
13.3	20.0	20.0	0.0	28.3	30.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	0.08	0.0	41.7	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	30.0	70.0							
27.5	18.3	24.5	20.0	43.6	33.8	25.0	83.3	8.89	6.3	65.5	85.8	85.0	41.3	81.7	7.97	26.7	83.3							
5,135.77	5,829.23	5,009.46	4,632.20	6,214.11	5,771.63	4,941.50	6,425.43	8,917.00	5,799.50	4,750.75	6,336.13	9,350.63	4,499.75	6,762.53	5,848.17	4,914.33	6,248.67			Simbaluca	Linao	Bisagu	Siguiran	Coboscodo
55.9	31.8	59.2	72.2	48.6	20.0	20.0	50.0	50.0	80.0	42.9	45.2	28.6	63.6	0.0	50.0	50.0	40.0		ay Code	SBC	 9	BSG :	SGR	
4.5 Rice	0.0 n.a.	0.0 n.a.	27.8 Rice	13.5 Rice	60.0 Rice	20.0 Rice	0.0 n.a.	0.0 n.a.	0.0 n.a.	14.3 n.a.	38.1 Rice	57.1 Rice	18.2 Rice	33.3 n.a.	33.3 n.a.	40.0 Rice	60.0 Rice		2. Barangay Code					
ish	lsh	ısh	rabs	37.8 Shrimps	20.0 Fish	Shrimps	rabs	50.0 Shrimps	ısh	42.9 Shrimps	16.7 Shrimps	14.3 Shrimps	18.2 Shrimps	ish	ish	10.0 Shrimps	.a.			ta				
39.6 Fish	68.2 Fish	40.8 Fish	0.0 Crabs	37.8	20.0 F	80.08	50.0 Crabs	50.0	20.0 Fish	42.9	16.7 \$	14.3 8	18.2	66.7 Fish	16.7 Fish	10.0	0.0 n.a.			Sta. Teresi	%parri	ABL: Abulug	Pamplona	
4,704.41	4,766.67	5,212.10	2,929.11	3,117.36	3,066.66	2,658.00	3,976.67	3,998.75	2,587.50	2,145.50	2,855.48	1,501.00	3,478.00	2,747.33	3,658.50	2,529.00	3,463.00	n (Code):	lity Code	STS : \$	APR : A	ABL :	PAM : F	
5.2 llocano	6.5 llocano	4.7 llocano	4.9 Ibanag	5.1 Ibanag	4.8 llocano	4.8 Ibanag	5.7 Ibanag	5.8 Ibanag	5.3 Ibanag	4.0 Ibanag	5.9 Ibanag	4.8 Ibanag	5.5 Ibanag	7.0 Ibanag	6.0 Ibanag	6.3 Ibanag	6.3 llocano	Abbreviation (Code):	1. Municipality Code					
SGR Total	SGR-1	SGR-2	SGR-3	CBG Total	CBG-1	CBG-2	CBG-3	CBG-4	CBG-5	9-9B0	Total	SJB-1	SJB-2	SJB-3	S-BrS	S-BrS	SJB-6							

## (4) Condition on Mangrove Use and Other Environmental Issues

# 1) Implementation of Legal Systems on the Mangrove Use

Barangays in the Municipality of Aparri and Pamplona, selling Nipa leaf is the major sosurce of income. Therefore, the land owners of these Nipa areas are receive permits (valid for 1 year, extendible) from DENR and employ people/laborer to collect/cut Nipa leaves Many fishponds exist in this area which were converted or being converted from Mangrove/Nipa forests, however, most of them are registered under private land permit (Conversion Permit). There is only one (1) existing Fishpond Lease Agreement in the Barangay surveyed. Community-Based Forest Management Program (CBFM) implemented within the jurisdiction of CENRO-Aparri and CENRO-Sanchez Mira. Some cooperatives/ corporation of beneficiaries has been organized, initiated by DENR for resources management, but they are mainly for fruit trees and Gemelina for income-generation purposes and not including Mangrove trees. There are also areas converted by the Integrated Social Forest in the Area, but Mangroves are not included. In June 1997, planting of Mangrove trees was conducted along the coastal line between Abulug and Aparri as windbreak, however, this project was initiated by local government and only for experimental purposes. Aside from this, there is no project for Mangrove plantation, since the re-planting of Mangrove is not considered feasible by the local people. In consideration together with the result of interview with Barangay Chairmen in this Area, it may be concluded that the restriction/prohibition of cutting Mangrove tree is not informed well to the people in this area. There are also minimum activities for the planting of Nipa, but these activities were done by the local people to generate income and not for environmental protection purpose. Therefore, local CENRO offices are not involved in this planting activities.

#### 2) Actual Condition on Mangrove Use

Hereunder is the result of aforementioned Interview Survey (interviewing 200 sampled households) pertaining to the use condition and intention toward conservation of Mangrove. (Detailed result is shown on Table-2-2A-8 in this Section, and Figure-2-2-8 to 2-2-14 in the previous Section.)

#### a. Entering Mangrove Area

75.5% of household heads indicated that they have entered the Mangrove area within the year. The figure is quite high compared to the other 2 Study Areas (32.5% in Lamon Bay Area, 49.4% in Ulugan Bay Area). The purposes for entering are as follows, reflecting the percentage of Nipa gathering in the income resources in this Area.

To collect woods	54.0%
To collect shellfish/crab	33.0%
To catch fish	15.5%
To collect other plants	5.0%

#### b. Use Condition of Mangrove

The percentage of people who replied that they are presently using Mangrove trees, and having used mangrove trees within 3 years past is 68.0% and 2.0%, respectively. This percentage on present use, 68.0% is the largest among three Study Areas (12.0% in Lamon Bay Area, 14.7% in Ulugan Bay Area). Likewise, 1.0% of people replied that they have been using Mangrove for more than three years past.

The percentage on Mangrove use by the Barangay are as follows:

Name of Brgy.	<u>Present Use</u>	<u>Use w/in 3 yrs. Past</u>	<u>Use 3 more yrs.</u>
Simbaluca	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Linao	93.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Bisagu	85.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Siguirang	82.0%	4.0%	0.0%
Cabaggan	95.0%	0.0%	0.0%
San Juan	68.0%	1.0%	0.0%

As to the purpose of use, use as the material of firewood is most common, followed by the use as material of house construction/repair and fence. By the field verification by the Study Team revealed that the people in this area, used E. agollocha as the common Mangrove species as fuelwood, which are scattered within the Nipa forest. As for the method to obtain Mangrove trees, 55.0% of people is entering the Mangrove area to cut, 3.2% of people is collecting fallen

trees, and 0.0% of people are buying Mangrove trees. These figures show that the people in this area are obtaining Mangrove trees as the source of fuel by cutting Mangrove when they enter to cut Nipa tree, which is the main source of income in this area.

### c. Knowledge of Use of Mangrove

As for the knowledge on what way mangrove can be utilized (regardless whether they are actually using Mangrove in that way or not), the result is as follows:

Fuel	72.0%
Repair House	s68.0%
Liquor	67.0%
Food	41.0%
Fish Net	35.0%
Tools	32.5%
Spice	19.0%
Furniture	18.0%
Make Boat	17.0%
Dyeing	9.5%
Hair Oil	8.0%
Cloth	3.5%
Tea	3.0%
Paper	0.5%

### d. Future Intention to Use Mangrove

3.2% of households in this Area replied that they have intention to use Mangrove tree in the future. This percentage is the lowest among three Study Areas (10.8% in Lamon Bay Area, 30.0% in Ulugan Bay Area). This low percentage is seemed to have relation with the reason of having negative sense to use Mangrove mentioned below, and with the consideration of the factor that large percentage on present use and few possibility of rapid change of their life style such as change of fuel from firewood to gas or electricity due to the stability of average income in the past ten years, it might be anticipated that the people will continue using Mangrove three in the future as same as the present condition.

For reference, percentage of people having intention to have their own fishponds was 49.5% which is intermediate in three Study Areas (67.5% in Lamon Bay Area, 31.5% in Ulugan Bay Area).

Result by Barangays is as follows:

Name of Brgy.	Intention to use Mangrove	Intention to have Fishpond
Simbaluca	0.0%	85.7%
Linao	2.0%	43.5%
Bisagu	4.0%	41.7%
Siguirang	6.0%	47.1%
Cabaggan	0.0%	76.2%
San Juan	3.0%	35.0%

## e. Knowledge of Legal System on Mangrove Conservation

38.7% of people in this Area replied that they have negative sense in cutting Mangrove trees, however, majority of people answered the reason of having negative sense as they do not posses the land where they obtain Nipa, and also they have no permission from land owner to do so, and 0.0% of them answered that the legal prohibition is the reason of having negative sense. This figure shows that the people are more sensitive on some fine or penalties imposed by land owner without recognition of legal prohibition, as the people are cutting Nipa tree by receiving from land owners who is given consessional permission from the Government for Nipa.

In addition, only 2.5% of people replied that they remember their opportunities to discuss with the Government official regarding conservation of Mangrove. This figure is remarkably low among three Study Areas (26.0% in Lamon Bay Area, 45.0% in Ulugan Bay Area). 97.5% of people who replied their present use of Mangrove answered that they do not remember such opportunity.

# f. Conservation Sense of Mangrove Forest

For any kind of environmental protection movement (governmental or non-governmental), not limited on Mangrove protection in the area, 34.0% of people are aware of such movement. This percentage is the lowest in three Study Areas

(72.0% in Lamon Bay Area, 92.0% in Ulugan Bay Area). 98.5% of people replied that they find importance in protecting Mangrove, 97.0% of people replied their intention to participate on protection of Mangrove actively, and this percentage is the largest in three Study Areas (88.0% in Lamon Bay Area, 93.6% in Ulugan Bay Area). It is noticeable that many people shows their intention on Mangrove protection though the percentage on present use is the largest in three Study Areas. However, 35.5% of people answered that they grant Mangrove cutting as the way to improve their economic condition, and this percentage is the largest in three Study Areas (11.5% in Lamon Bay Area, 26.4% in Ulugan Bay Area). This factor, in spite of low percentage on future use intention and large percentage on protection intention, showed that people will still continue using Mangrove for the future, as same as present use condition.

## g. Benefit of Mangrove as Disaster Prevention Method

44.5% of the people in this study area replied that they are benefited by Mangrove as the method of protecting their house and possession from high tide. This figure marks second among the three Study Areas (31.0% in Lamon Bay Area, 53.0% in Ulugan Bay Area). Likewise, 64.0% of the people in this area replied that they are benefited by Mangrove for protecting their house and possession from strong wind by Typhoon. This percentage is the largest among three Study Areas (31.5% in Lamon Bay Area, 57.6% in Ulugan Bay Area). This figure includes the people using Mangrove timber to support and reinforce their houses during typhoons and strong winds, while there is awareness on the benefit of Mangrove forest as windbreak in the other two Areas.

Furthermore, among 35.5% of people who grant Mangrove cutting mentioned above, 53.3% of people replied that they are not benefited from Mangrove as natural disaster prevention method.

- ODE	Area Code MunicipalityCode  PRGY. Code	A Total		A STS SBC			8 3		8	aras Sura		A APR LNO			55 7		96 36		- 33	A APR BSG		20 00	3
	Sitio/Purok/Zone Code	tal		- 1	SBC-1	SBC-2	SBC-3	SBC-4	SBC-5	SBC-6	SBC-7		LNO-1	LNO-2	LNO-3	LNO-4	LNO-5	9-ON7	LNO-7	99	BSG-1	BSG-2	BSG-3
ENTER	Entering Mangrove Area in the Past Year (%)	75.5		0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	93.5	62.5	85.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	85.4	100.0	78.6	87.5
ENTER/COLLECT	Collecting Mangrove Trees as the Reason to Enter (%)	99	5.	85.7		20.0	100.0	100.0	् ।	20.0	~	- 10	- 18		8 4	1	42.9	100.0	-	60.4	2'99	2.09	50.0
5	Having Negative Reaction to Collection of Mangrove Trees (%)	38.7	П	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0	3	43.0	14.0	63.0		(28)	83.0	60.0	38.5	17.0	32.0	20.0
품	Utilization of Mangrove Trees (%)	98.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			93.5	62.5	100.0	100.0	~	85.7	100.0	100.0	85.4	100.0	78.6	4000
PRESENT USE AND METHOD	By Cutting and Gathering (%)	67.5		85.7	-	20.0	100.0	100.0	100.0			78.3	62.5	85.7	-	33.3	57.1	100.0	~			2.09	75.0
A B	By Collecting fallen trees and driftwoods (%)	41.5		28.6	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	100.0	45.7	50.0	28.6	50.0	16.7	42.9	100.0	20.0	41.7	100.0	35.7	50.0
D MET	(%) gaiyud ya	0.0		0.0	00	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00
유	Purpose of Use	Firewood		10	0.0 Fence	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Post	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Fence	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Housing	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Firewood	Firewood	0.0 Firewood							
PAS	Raving used Mangrove Trees within Past Three(3) Years (%)	1.0		0.0			0.0	0.0			┙		0.0				0.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PAST / FUTURE	Raving used mangrove Trees for more than Three (3) Years (%)	0.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	ve.	3000		:	0.0		8 /		0.0	0.0	100	0.0		0.0	00
묎	Having Intention Future Use (%)	3.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.0		ि	0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	7.0	00
	Fish Mursery (%)	0.6		28.6	0.0	0.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	2.2		0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0		8	16.7	7.1	0.0
BENEFIT	Windbreak (%)	64.0		64.3	0.0	50.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	43.5	87.5	28.6	50.0	16.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	62.5	50.0	71.4	37.5
	(%) spersant (%)	44.5		64.3	0.0	50.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	50.0	100.0	34.8	87.5	14.3	50.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	60.4	100.0	60.7	0.30
	Finding importance in maintaining Mangrove Forest (%)	98.5		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		2.1	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	93.8	100.0	92.9	4000
SENSE	Wallingness to protect Mangrove Forest actively (%)	97.0		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		95.7	87.5	100.0	100.0	100.0	71.4	100.0	100.0	87.9	100.0	96.4	4000
	as cutting so Mangrove cutting as source of Livelihood (%)	35.5		28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	50.0	54.3	8	71.4	87.5	50.0	28.6	66.7	80.0	31.3	33.3	35.7	00

13.7	50.0	3.3	0.0	71.4	75.0	100.0	66.7	50.0	75.0	50.0	25.0	0.0	75.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0
96.1	100.0	93.3	100.0	95.2	100.0	100.0	2.99	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
52.9	25.0	0.09	66.7	28.6	50.0	0.0	33.3	25.0	25.0	50.0	10.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
90.2	58.3	100.0	100.0	6.19	75.0	25.0	33.3	20.0	100.0	100.0	20.0	50.0	50.0	33.3	100.0	33.3	33.3
13.7	8.3	10.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6.0	0.0	7.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	25.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	00	0.0	0.0	0.0
0.0 Firewood	0.0 Housing	0.0 Housing	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Firewood	0.0 Firewood												
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
43.1	33.3	53.3	22.2	38.1	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	40.0	25.0	50.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0
72.5	91.7	60.0	88.9	81.0	50.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.00 100.0	100.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.99	0.0	0.0
82.4	100.0	73.3	88.9	85.2	75.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0'09	50.0	100.0	100.0	33.3	2'99	0.0
31.7	33.0	40.0	22.0	36.2	25.0	0.0	67.0	50.0	75.0	0.0	68.3	100.0	10.0	67.0	100.0	100.0	33.0
70.6	75.0	70.0	66.7	71.4	50.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	35.0	25.0	50.0	66.7	33.3	33.3	0.0
58.8	0.0	73.3	88.9	2'58	50.0	75.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0'56	100.0	100.0	100.0	2'99	100.0	100.0
	SGR-1	SGR-2	SGR-3		CBG-1	CBG-2	CBG-3	CBG-4	CBG-5	CBG-5	3	SJB-1	SJB-2	S.B.3	S-BrS	SJB-5	SJB-6
SGR	3.			9 <b>8</b> 0				(3)	<i>P</i> (	(8476)	Bis	3.50	- 34	100	7 5		72
ABL	- 35			MAG					26		MAG		33			2 2	
⊲	-23	-		<0				-	32		⊲(	-111	- 23	- 2			

Abbreviation (Code):

2. Barangay Code
SBC: Simbaluca
LNO: Linao
BSG: Bisagu
SGR: Siguiran
CBG: Cabaggan
SJB: San Juan

Municipality Code
 STS : Sta. Teresita
 APR : Aparri
 ABL : Abulug
 PAM : Pamplona