### 4.3 Proposed Development Schedule

The development schedule of C/D centers has been formulated in accordance with the basic approaches as follows;

## Implementation of Pilot Project

The implementation of Pilot Project or the development of pilot C/D center in San Isidro by renovating PETHOSAM is planned to be synchronized with the development schedule of the new wholesale market and the renovation of the facility is scheduled to be in the later half in 2002(Table A.1.4-6). The operation of the center is to be started from the beginning of 2001. The preparatory period before operation is for about 1.5 years from the middle of 1999 to the end of 2000(Preparatory Stage). The major project activities during the period include: 1) establishment and management of Steering Committee for the development of the center, 2) trial operation of cooperative collection and shipment at PETHOSAM, 3) institutional training to potential users and related personnel and organizations for the period of 1.5 years in the Preparatory Stage, 4) continuous guidance to and organization of users group, 5) arrangement for operation and management of the center and 6) establishment and management of steering committee for operation and management (O\&M) of the center. Such activities should better be coordinated and/or implemented by the sub-project office which is to be established for the development and operation of C/D centers in the valley region. The overall schedule is shown in Table A.1.4-6.

## Development of C/D Centers in Other Major Producing Areas

In accordance with the basic approaches, the operation of C/D centers in other major producing areas is planned to be started in two phases after 2 years of the Preparatory Stage commencing from the beginning of 2000. In the present study, the development schedules in individual major producing areas has been determined by assessing the readiness for the development of C/D center at current stage in the areas. The assessment criteria for the readiness include: 1) existence of facility for use as C/D, 2) production volumes of subject commodities in target collection areas, 3) current marketing system, 4) results of the discussion meeting on the development concepts(intention of representatives of farmers groups expressed etc.), 5) coverage of existing farmers organization, 6) activities of supporting organization and intention of local government, 7) existence of movement for cooperative collection and shipment and 8) construction cost per ton of product collected at the full development stage. In addition, the regional distribution of centers in the 1st phase development is also taken into account. The results of the assessment of the current readiness are presented in Table A.1.4-7 and the proposed development schedule for the individual major producing areas are:

[^0]The proposed development schedules are rather tentative nature based on the assessment of the current status and the revicw of the schedules is to be made during the Preparatory Stage when the institutional training to and organization of users group is envisaged as is the case in the Pilot Project.

### 4.4 Estimation of Target Collection and Distribution Volumes

### 4.4.1 Projection of Production and Marketing Volumes in Valley Region <br> (1) Target Commodities

Among the major crops produced in the major producing areas, cereals and beans generally have established marketing channels or domestically consumed in and around the areas and major commodities being shipped to wholesale markets are potatoes, vegetables and fruits. Accordingly, potatoes, vegetables and fruits are selected as target commodities for collection under the present plan.

## (2) Projection of Production and Marketing Volumes in Major Producing Areas

Future annual production volumes of the target commodities in the individual major producing areas are estimated for the period from 2000 when the construction of Pilot Project will start to 2010 when all C/D centers will reach the full operation stage by applying the following assumptions.

## Production Volumes in 2000

-. As discussed in Section 2.4, assumed that any irrigation development will not be implemented and no appreciable production increase will be realized by 2000 and the current production volumes are taken as the same in 2000.

## Production Volumes from 2001 to 2005

- Assumed that except for the target areas having the on-going irrigation project (Comarapa and Saipina Area), the production levels will remain at the current levels(see Section 2.4).
- The future expansion of production volumes expected from the ongoing irrigation project in Comarapa and Saipina Area are estimated in accordance with the crop production plan of Comarapa-Saipina-San Rafael Irrigation Project as shown in Table A.1.4-8. The irrigation development under the project is shown in Section 2.4 and summarized as follows;

| Major Producing Area | Rehabilitation Area(ha) | Expansion Area(ha) | Total(ha) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Comarapa Area | 194 | 288 | 482 |
| Saipina Area | 1,561 | 302 | 1,863 |
| Total | 1,755 | 590 | 2,345 |

## Production Volume from 2006 to 2010

- Assumed that the small scale irrigation projects in Comarapa and Vallegrande Area will be implemented and the full development will be
achieved in 2008 and 2010, respectively. The irrigation projects are San Juan del Potrero Irrigation Project in Comarapa Area and Torrewayko and Casas Viejas Irrigation Project in Vallegrande Area. The new irrigated areas under the projects are 30 ha, 66 ha and 213 ha, respectively for San Juan del Potrero, Torrewayko and Casas Viejas and Irrigation Project (see Table A.1.1-6). The expansion of production volumes due to irrigation development in the Areas arc estimated as shown in Table A.1.4-9.
- Assumed that in Vallegrande Area where the municipal government has strong intention to promote fruit production the production volume of fruits will increase to $150 \%$ of the current levels by 2010 through: 1) the expansion of fruit growing areas due to expansion of irrigated areas and 2) the impacts of the establishment of a collection and distribution center including the impacts of technical extension provided under the Project.

Assumed for the present plan that in Samaipata Area where the municipal government has strong intention to promote fruit production the production volume of fruits will increase to $150 \%$ of the current levels by 2010 through the impacts of the establishment of a collection and distribution center including the impacts of technical extension provided under the Project.

- Assumed for the present plan that the $10 \%$ increase of productivity of crops from the current levels will be achieved at the 6th year after the start of operation of C/D center in all the major producing areas through the impacts of the establishment of improved collection and distribution systems including the impacts of technical extension provided under the Project.

For the estimation of the future marketing volumes, the commercialization rates of the target commodities are assumed area-wisely and by stage-wise in accordance with the development schedule of C/D centers as follows;

From year 2000 to 2004 Current commercialization rates in each major producing areas.
From 2005 to 2010 . Assumed that the rates will be improved at the 5 th year after the start of operation of C/D center in each major producing areas
The commercialization rates in the individual major producing areas assumed in the present Study are shown in Table A.1.4-10 and summarized as follows;

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Commercialization Rate(\%) in Samaipata, Mairana, Comarapa \& Vallegrande Area } \\
\text { For initial } 4 \text { years of operation: } & \text { Potato } 80 \%, \text { vegetables \& fruits } 85 \% \\
\text { After 5th year of operation: } & \text { Potato } 85 \%, \text { vegetables \& fruits } 90 \% \\
\text { Commercialization Rate(\%) in Pampa Grande, San Isidro \& Saipina Area } \\
\text { For initial } 4 \text { years of operation: } & \text { Potato } 90 \%, \text { vegetables \& fruits } 85 \% \\
\text { After 5th year of operation: } & \text { Potato, vegetables \& fruits } 90 \%
\end{array}
$$

On the basis of the projected production volumes in the major producing areas and by applying the commercialization rates assumed for the areas in each province in the valley region, the production and marketing volumes of the target commodities in the region are estimated/projected as shown in Table A.1.4-11. The same in each province in 2010 are as summarized below.

Projected Production \& Marketing Volumes of Fruits \& Vegetables in 2010
(unit: 1,000t)

|  | Florida Province | Caballero | Vallegrande | Valley Region |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production Volume(t) | 47.4 | 54.1 | 42.8 | 144.6 |
| Markeling Volume(t) | 42.4 | 48.5 | 37.3 | 128.2 |

### 4.4.2 Estimation of Target Collection and Distribution Volumes

The target collection and distribution volumes will increase gradually with the dissemination of the advantage of the use of C/D center for marketing among farmers and the expansion of the number of users through the institutional guidance. While, the present marketing system and spatial distribution of growing areas or irrigated areas in individual target areas for collection will affect the collection volume. Accordingly, the target collection and distribution volumes in the individual target areas for collection are estimated area-wisely by applying the following assumptions;

- Target collection rates are planned to reach at the full scale in the 5th year after the construction of centers, and
- Target collection volumes in each year after start of operation are estimated based on the assumptions on: 1) improvement in commercialization rates, 2) rates of collection by a center to total commercialization volumes of products and 3) target collection rates(commercialization rates x rate of collection); as shown in Table A.1.4-10.

The annual target collection volumes to the full development/operation stage after the start of operation of a C/D center in each target area are estimated in accordance with the development schedule of C/D centers as shown in Table A.1.4-12. The estimated total target collection volumes at the full operation stage and the year when collection volumes reach the full operation level are as shown in the following table.

Estimated Target Collection Volumes at Full Operation Stage

| Collection <br> Area | Volumes(t) | Full Operation in | Collection Area | Volumes(t) | Collection Volumes(t) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| San Isidro | 11,030 | 2006 | Mairana | 7,200 | 2009 |
| Samaipata | 6,350 | 2010 | Pampa Grande | 12,540 | 2009 |
| Vallegrande | 12,420 | 2010 | Comarapa | 7,110 | 2009 |
| Saipina | 14,300 | 2008 |  |  |  |

### 4.5 Organizational Set-up for Development of Collection and Distribution Centers

On the basis of the study on the combination of the developer of products collection and distribution centers( $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center) and the management and administrative organization of them, it is proposed that the development of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers shall be implemented by the Section Municipal Government with the financial support of FDC based on the request of concerned OTBs. Assuming the said financial arrangement for the development and the establishment of Project Office and Sub-Project Office, the proposed organizational setup for the development of the C/D centers which also indicates major functions and
activities of individual institutions is formulated as illustrated in Figure A.1.4-9. The organizational set-up consists of the Project Office, the Sub-Project Office, Steering Committee for Development of C/D, municipal government, FDC, OTBs, producers in the target areas of collection and existing farmers organizations.

## 5 OPERATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN OF PRODUCTS COLLECTION AND DISTRIBUTION CENTERS

### 5.1 Proposed Products Collection and Distribution System

### 5.1.1 Proposed Products Collection and Distribution System

As the cooperative marketing system of fruits and vegetables has never been successfully introduced in the major producing areas and experiences and capability of farmers and farmers organization on the system are limited, the establishment of cooperative collection and distribution system in products collection and distribution center $s(C / D$ centers) should be promote in two stage : 1) Initial Stage for about the first 5 years after the start of operation of the center - introduction of cooperative collection and shipment operated and managed by existing farmers organizations or management body having experiences in marketing of subject commodities and 2) Advanced Stage from about 6th year and on after the start of operation - establishment of cooperative collection, shipment and selling operated and managed by farmers group organized for cooperative marketing purpose and introduction of production planning in order to meet market demand for timing of shipment, volume of commodities and quality and forms of packing of commodities.

For the study of cooperative marketing system to be introduced in the C/D centers, the discussion on the same with municipal government and representatives of farmers and farmers groups and agriculture institutions in the major producing areas have been made. On the basis of the results of the discussion, the collection and distribution systems to be introduced in each stage are proposed as illustrated in Figure A.1.5-1 and as follows;

| Initial Stage: 1st to 5th Year after_Construction/Start of Operation |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Field selection | Preliminary selection at fields and removal of unmarketable <br> products, packing in box, bamboo basket or plastic bag as <br>  <br> revolving containers |
| Shipment to collection center | Shipment to collection center by farmers employing hired <br> truck or own truck |
| Selection/grading | Cooperative selection \& grading at collection center, into 2 to <br> 3 grades as presently practiced or into grades demanded by <br> destination markets |
| Packing | At initial operation stage: packing in box, bamboo basket or <br> plastic bag as practiced presently |
| Shipment to market | Gradual use of containers demanded by destination markets or <br> mutually decided between a C/D center \& markets |
| Selling and delivery | Cooperative shipment employing transporter |
| Terms of transaction | Selling individual goods separately at market under the name <br> of C/D center |
| Cash selling or other methods mutually agreed |  |

Operation/Management Costs | To be financed with fees collected from users(users fees) in |
| :--- |
| principle |

| Advanced Stage: 6th Year and on after Construction/Start of Operation |
| :--- | :--- |

Field selection

Shipment to collection center | Shipment to collection center by farmers by hired truck or |
| :--- |
| products, packing in revolving container |
| own truck |

Selection/grading
Packing
Cooperative selection \& grading at collection center, into
grades demanded by destination markets

### 5.1.2 Major Functions of Products Collection and Distribution Center

The major functions of the C/D centers in the Initial Stage for about 5 years from the start of operation are cooperative collection \& shipment and individual selling, providing market information to users, providing production information to markets and technical and institutional guidance to producers/users as follows;

## Cooperative Collection \& Shipment and Individual Selling

The functions consist of: 1) products collection delivered by producers, 2) counting volumes or measuring weight of products delivered, 3) selection/grading by a C/D center (under the supervision of producers if demanded) and packing into containers currently used or ones demanded by markets. Gradual use of standard containers, improved wooden boxes or revolving containers will be promoted. All the products packed at the center are shipped to destination markets in cooperation by employing transporters. At markets, a representative of a C/D center stationed at the markets or coming to the markets delivers and sell products under the name of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center. Products of individual producers are sold separately to buyers(to wholesalers in general).

## Collection of Users Fee and Accounting

After collection of users fee and deducting transportation costs from sales amount, balances are paid to individual producers upon receipt of payment from buyers.

## Providing Marketing Information to Users

Providing market information received from new wholesale market and other market information collected from other sources to users. In addition to such market information, more practical information obtained through marketing operation of a C/D center will be provided. Such information will include: market preference for quality \& maturity, market preference or demand for grading/size, market demand for timing and volumes etc.

## Providing Production Information to Markets

Aiming at the establishment of close business relation with markets, production information in the target areas for products collection is to be collected by a C/D center and shipped to destination markets. Production information will include monthly cropped area and estimated monthly production volumes.

## Institutional Training and Tectnical Extension

In the proposed strengthening plan for institutional training and technical extension in Section 6, the institutional training by a C/D center after the start of the operation of the same and the operation of demonstration plots and field extension activities by extension personnel stationed in the center are programmed. In addition, day-to-day guidance on marketing aspects will be provided by the center. The center will be utilized as a nuclear place for institutional training and technical extension in the target areas of products collection.

## Maintenance of Properties of C/D Center

Maintenance of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center, vehicles and equipment
In the Advanced Stage from about the 6th year after the start of operation, the following functions are envisaged to be introduced in addition to the above functions.

## Cooperative Selling \& Joint Accounting

Products collected and packed in the center are shipped to destination markets and are sold altogether to buyers under the name of users group(Collection Center Users Cooperative). Sales amount are shared by volume or weight of products of individual producers by employing joint accounting system.

## Introduction of Production Planning

Gradual introduction of production planning is envisaged in the Advanced Stage when the cooperative marketing system is established in a C/D center.

### 5.2 Proposed Operation and Management System and Organization Set-up

In accordance with the proposed stage-wise introduction of the products collection and distribution system in Section 5.1, the operation and management (O\&M) system of C/D centers is proposed to be established in two stages, O\&M system for the Initial Stage and the same for the Advanced Stage; as substantial time required for organizing users group of a C/D center for O\&M by beneficiaries and lack of manpower resources for O\&M within producers and in order to ensure successful initial operation of a C/D center. The
proposed operation and management(O\&M) system of C/D centers and the organization set-up for the same are discussed in the following sections.

### 5.2.1 Proposed Operation and Management System in Initial Stage

Initial Stage for about 5 years after the start of operation of a C/D center, the O\&M of the center is entrusted to existing farmers organizations such as ASOHFRUT and EMCA under the contract between the municipal government and the organizations(he management body) as illustrated in Figure A.1.5-2. The overall organization set-up for the $O \& M$ of the centers covering all the major producing areas formulated on the basis of the discussion with the representatives of municipal government, farmers organizations and related institutions is shown in Figure A.1.5-3.

The major functions and activities of the institutions composing organizational set-up are shown in Table A.1.5-1. The outlines of the same are as follows;

- The management body shall fulfill the functions of the C/D center as discussed in Section 5.1.2 under the guidance and support of the SubProject Office, Steering Committee and municipal government and related institutions providing institutional and technical guidance (CIAT, CAISY and CAICO). In addition, the management shall perform general managerial functions including preparation and submission of an annual operation plan, an annual performance report and basic data for prescription of users fees. The users fees shall be determined taking into account of O\&M costs and amortization costs of the center.
- The Municipal Government through the Steering Committee and under the support of the Sub-Project Office prepare the guidelines for the O\&M of the C/D center, establish the official regulations for users and prescribe users fees to members and non-members. The proposed rules and regulations for users are presented in Table A.1.5-2.
- The Municipal Government as well as the management body shall give guidance to the users on the following points:
a) C/D center will rarely have functions for controlling price fluctuations caused by over supply of products,
b) The more farmers use the center, the more benefit will be realized, and
c) Improvement of products' quality as well as production technology of all the users is essential for successful $O \& M$ of $C / D$ centers.

For the smooth operation of $C / D$ centers immediately after the development and to ensure the introduction of the envisaged cooperative collection and distribution system from an earlier stage, the integrated guidance and support of the related institutions will be essential during the period from 1.5 to 2 years prior to the development of the centers to the beginning of the Initial Stage. Such guidance and support include the same provided by the Sub-Project Office and other related institutions, the institutional training programs proposed in Section 6.2 and technical assistance discussed in Section 6.5 as shown in the proposed integrated approaches for the same illustrated in Figure A.1.5-4.

In addition, the Sub-Project Office, municipal government and the management body should promote the establishment of users cooperative through intensive guidance to users as the institutional training plans proposed in Section 6 during the Initial Stage.

When the users establish a new users' organization with legal personality, the management body shall hand over the O\&M of the C/D center to the new users' organization with the concurrence of the Municipal Government and the O\&M of the same moves to the Advanced Stage.

### 5.2.2 Proposed Operation and Management System in Advanced Stage

In the Advanced Stage after terminating the Initial Stage, the O\&M of the C/D centers is executed by a farmers organization itself established newly and all the functions and activities related with the O\&M of the centers including institutional training and technical extension are to be performed by the organization. Accordingly, it is recommended that the C/D center should be leased to the organization with concessionary terms.

## (1) Organization of Collection Center Users Cooperative

While a farmers organization having the status of a juridical person can be organized in accordance with either the Cooperative Law or the Civil Code, it is recommended that the organization should be organized as a service cooperative of "Collection Center Users Cooperative(tentative name)" under the Cooperative Law because the principal activities of the subject cooperative are the O\&M of a C/D center. In accordance with the Cooperative Law, the following procedures are requisite for the establishment of the Collection Center Users Cooperative;

- General assembly of the promoters (Not less than 10 persons);
- Record of the general assembly signed by the attendants and certified by a notary public, etc.;
- Text of the by-laws.

For the authorization and legal registration, the cooperative is to be qualified by the National Council of Cooperative through the social-economic studies on the conditions, possibilities, working fields and operation plans of the cooperative. The management of the cooperative is performed by the general assembly of the members, the board of directors, the manager and the committee stipulated by-laws.

## (2) Proposed Operation and Management System in Advanced Stage

In the Stage, the O\&M of the C/D centers are executed by the management body established within the Cooperative as shown in Figure A.1.5-2. The functions and activities of the Cooperative are enumerated in Table A.5-1. It is recommended that the economic activities of the cooperative must strictly be limited to the operation of the C/D center only as shown in the Table. Other economic activities such as purchasing and credit should be considered after setting the operation of C/D center on its right path.
While the C/D center is for the members of the cooperative, the utilization of nonmembers should be allowed to certain extent. The proposed rules and regulations for users in the Advanced Stage are presented in Table A.1.5-2.

### 5.3 Operation Plans of Products Collection and Distribution Centers

### 5.3.1 Operation Plans

(1) Assumptions

The operation plans of individual C/D centers have been formulated on the basis of the following assumptions.

## Target Collection and Distribution Volumes

Assumed that the target collection and distribution volumes of the C/D centers will gradually increase from the start of operation and the target collection rates will reach at the full scale in the 5 th year as shown in the estimated target collection and distribution volumes presented in Table A.1.4-12.

## Destination Markets

Assumed that all the products are sold at wholesale markets.

## Net Producers Prices of Products

The net producers prices of products(selling prices at a wholesale market transportation costs) are estimated based on the prevailing selling prices at Abasto Market in 1998 as follows;

Net Producers Prices of Products Assumed

| Products | Prices(US $\$ / t)$ | Products | Prices(US $\$ / \mathrm{t})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Potatoes | 220 | Green Pepper | 205 |
| Tomato | 135 | Beans/Peas | 435 |
| Lettuce | 100 | Peach/Plum | 420 |
| Choclo | 285 | Citrus | 135 |

## Weighted Average Net Producers Prices of Vegetables and Fruits

The weighted average net unit producers prices of vegetables and fruits are estimated on the basis of the target collection volumes in the full operation stage as shown in Table A.1.5-3.

## Estimated Annual Handling Amounts

The annual handling amount of the individual $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers estimated from the target collection and distribution volumes and the net producers prices are presented in Table A.1.5-4. The same in the full operation stage are summarized below.

Annual Handling Amount of C/D Centers at Full Operation Stage (unit: US $\$ 1,000$ )

| C/D Center | Amount | Year | C/D Center | Amount | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| San Isidro | 2,214 | 2006 | Mairana | 1,538 | 2009 |
| Samaipata | 1,392 | 2010 | Pampa Grande | 2,468 | 2009 |
| Vallegrande | 3,622 | 2010 | Comarapa | 1,622 | 2009 |
| Saipina | 2,943 | 2008 | Overall | 15,799 | 2010 |

Remarks: Year when the full operation achieved

## Users Fees

Users fees to be collected by C/D centers are determined based on: 1) currently prevailing commission rates for consignment sales of 5 to $10 \%$, 2) proportion of cooperative selection/grading costs to the overall net unit producers price because selection/grading costs currently born by producers will be saved under the proposed collection and distribution system of the Project and 3) examination of projected cash flows of individual C/D centers; as shown in Table A.1.5-5. Users fees collected at the C/D centers are calculated as follows;

$$
\text { Annual users fees collected }=\text { Annual handling amounts(US\$) } \times \text { Users fees }(\%) / 100
$$

## Management and Administration Costs of C/D Centers

Management and administration costs of C/D Centers are estimated as shown in Table A.1.5.6.

## Annual Operation Costs

The operation costs of the C/D centers consist of: 1) fixed costs including management and administration costs, institutional training and technical extension costs, operation \& maintenance costs and depreciation costs and 2) variable costs including products loading \& un-loading costs, selection \& packing costs and miscellaneous costs for operation of C/D centers. The estimated annual operation costs of the C/D centers are shown in Table A.1.57.
(2) Operation Plans of Products Collection and Distribution Centers

The operation plans of the individual C/D centers are prepared as presented in Table A.1.5-7 and as summarized in Table A.1.5-8 assuming that the operation of the centers is to be solely financed with the users fees carried collected by levying O\&M fees on users(users fees). However, because of the gradual expansion of the target collection volumes at the centers and the gradual increase of users fees collected, the operation of the centers will suffer deficits in "Users Fees - Operation Costs not including depreciation costs" in the initial years varying from 1 to 4 years; 1 year for San Isidro and Saipina C/D center, 2 years for Vallegrande, Mairana, Pampa Grande and Comarapa C/D center and 4 years for Samaipata C/D center because primarily of limitation in collection volumes in the initial years as shown in Table A.1.5-8. Thereafter, all the centers will be soundly operated and generate surplus from the operation. It is recommended that the initial operation deficits are made up for by the municipal governments under loan arrangement with the C/D centers.

### 5.3.2 Projected Cash Flow

The financial evaluation of the development and operation of C/D centers is made by examining the projected cash flows as shown in Table A.1.5-9 by assuming the operation plans in Section 5.3.1 and by assuming that the initial investment costs(Table A. 1.5-7) and the initial operation deficits will be financed by the outer sources, by municipal governments for the former and under loan arrangement by the same for the latter. Table A. 1.5-8 shows the summary results of the cash flow analyses. Under the said assumption, Vallegrande, Saipina, Pampa Grande and Comarapa C/D centers will be soundly operated and the replacement/reconstruction of the centers will be made with the
reserved funds of annual operation surplus. In case of San Isidro and Samaipata C/D center, the input of other funds will be required to finance apart or most of the replacement costs which will become due earlier because of the use of existing facilities. Similarly, a part of the replacement costs of Mairana C/D center will have to be financed from the other sources as shown in the Tables.

## 6. PROPOSED PLANS FOR INSTITUTIONAL TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EXTENSION IN MAJOR PRODUCING AREAS

### 6.1 Proposed Approaches for Formulation of Strengthening Plans

The provision of intensive institutional training and technical extension to farmers and other related personnel is essential for the introduction and establishment of cooperative collection and distribution system in the major producing areas. In institutional aspects, while the importance and necessity of this Project including the collection centers and the wholesale market have been understood gradually by a part of farmers in the Study area through the field studies, particularly through the implementation of the PCM workshop, demonstration and study tour, the awareness of overwheim majority of farmers is still in low level in terms of cooperative collecting and shipping activities. Because the farmers who joined to the cooperative marketing/shipping in the past have some fear and suspicion to cooperative activities due to their bitter past experience and all the rest farmers are not necessarily show their positive attitude toward participating in such marketing activities. Furthermore, it also become clear through the Study that almost all farmers recognize needs for improvement of production and post-harvest technologies of vegetables and fruits.

To ensure the efficient and effective institution training and technical extension provided to target groups, such training and extension programs should better be provided by establishing a project office responsible for both development and initial operation stages of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers. Accordingly, the present plan is formulated assuming such a project office will be established prior to the commencement of development of the centers.

The proposed approaches for the strengthening of institutional training and technical extension are as follows;

- Institutional training and technical extension should be provided under the project by prefecture government including CIAT, municipal government, ASOHFRUT and NGO including CAISY and through foreign technical assistance, if any,
- A task force team for training and extension should be organized by the project(prefecture government), municipal government and related institutions in each major producing areas and training and extension should be provided to related farmers and personnel prior to the establishment of a products collection and distribution center,
- After the establishment of the center, training and extension should be intensified by placing the center as a central place for the provision of such services,
- Institutional training and technical extension programs should cover aspects of production technologies, quality controls, grading and standardization, cooperative marketing system, market information and demand, institutional strengthening and farmers organization. Institutional training and technical extension should better be executed by placing emphasis on practical training including on-the-job training.
- Effective use of the proposed Pilot Project in San Isidro for on-the-job training of candidate management staff of other C/D centers and of farmers in other major producing areas.
- Technical assistance of a foreign country or countries should better be sought to ensure early realization of the fruits of the proposed strengthening plans.


### 6.2 Proposed Plans for Institutional Training and Technical Extension

### 6.2.1 Proposed Plans for Institutional Training

Aiming at realization of effective and efficient use of C/D centers from the initial sage of operation, the institutional training programs are planned to be held prior to the development of a C/D center and to be implemented in three stages in accordance with the development stage of the center; Preparatory Stage for 1.5 to 2 years prior to the development of the center, Initial Stage for the period of about five yeas after the same and Advanced Stage from 6th year on after the development. The proposed programs for the institutional training include training courses and on-the-job training in the Pilot Project and C/D centers as presented in Table A.1.6-1. The outlines of the programs are as follows;
(1) Institutional Training Programs in Preparatory Stage

The institutional training programs in the Preparatory Stage consist of the following three programs.

## 1) General Training Programs/Mass Guidance

## Objectives

To disseminate necessity of C/D center and merit of its use and to promote effective and efficient use of it through positive participation of vegetables and fruits producers.

Target Groups
All vegetables and fruits producers in target areas of C/D centers

## Subjects of Training

- Necessity of C/D center and the merit of its use.
- Function of $C / D$ center and its operation methods.
- Relation between new wholesale market and C/D center.
- Necessity of Collection Center Users Cooperative.


## Methods of Training

- Preparation and distribution of leaflets etc.
- Explanation meetings and workshops to OTBs concerned.
- Study tours to advanced agricultural marketing area including the Pilot Project in San Isidro.

2) Training Programs

## Objects

To train advanced farmers who will become key personnel to organize and develop Collection Center Users Cooperative.

## Target Groups

Advanced producers of vegetables and fruits nominated by OTBs concerned.

## Subjects of Training

- Related matters of C/D center; necessity and merit of center, functions, etc.
- Related matters of cooperative shipping and selling; concept of cooperative, cooperative's law, legal procedures for establishing cooperative, budget \& accounting of cooperative, right \& duty of members.
- Related matters of cooperative shipping and selling, concept \& merits of cooperative shipping \& selling, procedures $\&$ rules, accounting system etc..


## Methods of Training

- Lecture and practical exercise.
- Study tours to advanced agricultural marketing area including the Pilot Project in San Isidro.

3) On-The-Job Training at Pilot Project

As the availability of manpower resources having experiences in marketing of vegetable and fruits is limited, the recruiting of management staff for C/D centers will be the urgent requirements of the proposed management organization (ASOHFRUT etc.). Accordingly, during the Preparatory Stage of 1.5 to 2.5 years prior to the development of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers, on-thejob training at the Pilot Project in San Isidro of candidate management staff for C/D centers in other major producing areas is programmed in accordance with the development schedule of individual C/D centers as follows;

Objects
To recruit management staff of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers.

## Target Groups

Candidates for management staff of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers.

## Subjects \& Method of Training

All aspects of operation \& management of C/D center through on-the-job training at the Pilot Project for the period of 6 months to 1 year.
(2) Institutional Training Programs in Initial Stage

In addition to the promotion of the establishment of Collection Center Users Cooperative through guidance and training, the recruitment of management staff of the Cooperative is
the primary objective of the institutional training in the Initial Stage. The institutional training in the Stage for about 5 ycars after the development of a C/D center is programmed to be implemented as one of main functions of the C/D center as follows;

1) Mass Guidance

Continuation of mass guidance program to all potential users of a C/D center on need basis with similar objectives, target groups and training methods with the similar program in the Initial Stage.
2) Short Training Programs

Continuation of training programs for advanced farmers on need basis with similar objectives, target groups and training methods with the similar program in the Initial Stage.
3) Recruiting Management Staff of Cooperative

## Objectives

To train management staff of Cooperative in operation and management of the organization.

## Subject of Training

Operation and management of cooperative.
Budget and accounting system of cooperative.
Operation and management of C/D center.

## Method of Training

Training shall be implemented as practically as possible and key staff of the cooperative or candidates for the same should engage in operation of C/D center for a half year or longer together with the management staff of the center before handing over of the management of it in the Advanced Stage.

Lecture and practical exercises.
On-the-job training in C/D center.
(3) Institutional Training Programs in Advanced Stage

The institutional training program in the Advanced Stage will be held on need basis and should be as follows.

## Objectives

To operate and manage C/D center smoothly by the Collection Center Users Cooperative itself and to introduce a full-scale cooperative shipping and selling system as early as possible.

## Target Groups

Members and staff of the Collection Center Users Cooperative

## Subjects of Training

- Full-scale cooperative shipping and selling system and its merit.
- Procedures and rules for full-scale cooperative shipping and selling.
- Accounting system for full-scale cooperative shipping and selling.
- Recording and book keeping system by using computer.

Methods of Training

- Lecture and practical exercise.
- Study tours to advanced agricultural marketing area.


### 6.2.2 Proposed Plans for Technical Extension

The proposed programs include preliminary survey, verification trial, demonstration plot, staff training, farmer training, mass guidance, study tour and periodical and day-to-day guidance and trials by the management of C/D center as presented in Table A.1.6-2. The outlines of major programs are as follows;

## (1) Preliminary Survey

Preliminary survey is to identify constraints for vegetable and fruit production and experimental needs for the same in the subject major producing areas and to be carried out prior to the development of C/D center by CIAT. The findings of the survey are to be used for the review of the overall implementation schedules proposed under the present study.
(2) Verification Trial

Objectives
To test adaptability of recommended technologies to site specific conditions in the subject major producing areas. Findings of the trials are demonstrated to farmers at demonstration plots.

## Subjects of Trial

Trial elements will include variety, seed quality, fertilization, plant protection, crop rotation etc.

## Methods \& Extension Components

The trials are to be carried out in farmers fields. During the trials, farmers field days are to be held to explain and disseminate recommended technologies by inviting representative farmers in the surroundings.
(3) Demonstration Plot

Objectives
Demonstration of recommended technologies/farming practices in fields operated by farmers themselves under the guidance and support of extension personnel.

## Subjects of Demonstration

Technologies/farming practices will be packaged ones or element such as variety, qualified seed and fertilization. Demonstration of cropping pattern is also an essential practices to be demonstrated.

## Methods \& Extension Components

Demonstration plots are to be operated by farmers themselves under the guidance and support of extension personnel. During the demonstration, farmers field days are to be held to explain and disseminate recommended technologies by inviting representative farmers in the surroundings.

Staff Training(Refresher Training)
Objectives
Refresher training of extension personnel assigned at $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers.

## Target Groups

Extension personnel assigned at $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center and other personnel engaged in extension services in major producing areas.

## Subjects of Training(on need basis)

- Farming technologies, post-harvest \& marketing technologies
- Group dynamics \& extension methods
- Marketing system, production planning etc.


## Methods of Training

The program will consists of lecture and discussion in class and field training and is to be held at RRC. However, as the number of extension personnel is limited at the initial stage of the development of C/D centers, the practical induction training of extension personnel will have to be carried out through intensive on-the-job training by RRC.
(6) Farmers Training

Objectives
Aiming at recruiting farmers in major producing areas who are expected to become leading farmers and cores in filed extension activities in the areas.

Target Groups
Candidates for leading farmers in target areas of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers.
Subjects of 'rraining

- Farming technologies, post-harvest \& marketing technologies
- Group dynamics
- Marketing system, production planning etc.


## Methods of Training

The program will consists of lecture and discussion in class and field practical training including visit to fields of advanced farmers and verification trials and demonstration plots under operation, if any. For farmer training, 2 types of training program, short course of 1 day and normal course 3 days, are proposed. In the former, training on specific issues will be held and in the latter more general training will be carried out.

### 6.3 Proposed Organizational Set-up and Implementation Schedules for Institutional Training and Technical Extension

### 6.3.1 Proposed Organizational Set-up

The proposed system for the implementation of institutional training consists of 1) implementation of the institutional training programs in the Preparatory Stage for 1.5 to 2 years prior to the development of C/D center by the project office and 2 ) the programs in the Initial Stage and Advanced Stage by the C/D center. The proposed system for the implementation of technical extension programs consists of 1) experimental, training and survey activities by CIAT, 2) field extension activities primarily by extension personnel and 3) guidance and trials on marketing aspects by the Project and the management of C/D center. The proposed system and organizational set-up for the implementation are as shown in Figure A. 1.6-1.

As shown in the figure, the experimental activities, training/recruiting of extension personnel and farmers technical training are to be covered by 4 Regional Research Center(RRC) of CIAT under the contract with the project office(prefecture government). The target major producing areas by individual RRCs is proposed as follows;

| RRC | Target Major Producing Areas |
| :--- | :--- |
| RRC Samaipata | Samaipata Area |
| RRC Mairana | Mairana Area |
| RRC San Isidro | Pampa Grande, San Isidro, Comarapa \& Saipina |
|  | Area |
| RRC Vallegrande | Vallegrande Arca |

As the coverage areas of RRC San Isidro and Vallegrande are substantially large, the strengthening of technical/research staff will be required in the centers. The number of new research staff required will be 2 for San Isidro and 1 for Vallegrande.
The field extension activities should be by extension personnel recruited newly for the purposes and should be executed under the guidance of CIAT. The guidance and trials on marketing aspects prior to development of C/D center should be by the project office and after the development by the management of C/D center in cooperation with ASOHFRUT, CAISY, EMCA, CIAT and other related institutions.

### 6.3.2 Proposed Implementation Schedules

The proposed implementation schedules for the institutional training and technical extension programs are illustrated in Table A.1.6-3. Among the proposed programs, some institutional training programs, preliminary survey and induction training of extension personnel should be implemented prior to the development of C/D center. The proposed schedules of technical extension programs are to be reviewed based on the findings of the preliminary survey and the annual programs are to be updated through the annual review of the program schedule.

### 6.4 Estimated Costs for Institutional Training and Technical Extension

The costs required for the proposed institutional training and technical extension include: 1) program costs under institutional training and technical extension and 2) personnel expenses for extension personnel and, 3) personnel expenses for new research staff and administration costs of CIAT. The estimated program costs for the institutional training and technical extension are presented in Table A.1.6-4 and 6-5, respectively. The program costs for the institutional training and technical extension are estimated in accordance with the development schedule of individual C/D centers as shown in Table A.1.6-6. The overall costs of the programs for the period of 10 years from 1999 to 2008 is estimated at about US\$ 1 million as shown in Table A.1.6-7.

The programs by CIAT from the beginning to the 5th year after the start of operation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers should be implemented under the financial support of the Project, while the same from the 6th year should be implemented as the activities of CIAT himself. The program costs for field extension activities for the initial 4 to 6 years until the operation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers generate sufficient surplus to cover the costs are to be born by the project. However, from the 6th year after the start of operation of $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center or when the operation of $C / D$ generate sufficient surplus, the program costs are to be expended from the operation costs of the center. The costs for guidance and trials on marketing aspects by the management of C/D center are to be expended from the operation costs of the center from the beginning. The proposed financial arrangement for the implementation of
the institutional training and technical extension programs are shown in Table A.1.6-6 and summarized below.

| Programs | Development Stages | Financial Sources |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Institutional Training | In Preparatory Stage | Under the project budget |
|  | In Initial \& Advanced Stage | Operation costs of C/D center |
| Extension Programs by CIAT | For initial 6 years | Under the project budget |
|  | From the 7h year | Implemented as CIAT |
|  |  | activities |
| Field Extension Programs | For initial 4 to 6 years | Under the project budget |
|  | After initial years | Operation costs of C/D center |
|  | Operation costs of C/D center |  |

Assuming the said financial arrangement for the implementation of institutional training and technical extension programs, the annual budget requirements by the project for the implementation of the programs for the period of 1999 to 2008 are estimated as shown in Table A. 1.6-8 and the overall program costs for the period to be born by the project are as summarized in the following table.

| Estimated Overall Programs Costs To Be Born by Project |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Programs | Estimated Costs(US\$ 1,000) |
| Institutional Training Programs | 234.9 |
| Technical Extension Programs | 615.4 |
| Total | 850.3 |

### 6.5 Needs for Technical Assistance

In the valley region, marketing of fruits and vegetables has been carried out either by farmers themselves or through small intermediaries and any farmers organizations have no successful experiences in the operation of cooperative marketing to date. While the O\&M of C/D centers will be entrusted to existing farmers organizations in the Initial Stage, these existing organizations also have not any remarkable experiences in agricultural marketing including cooperative collection and shipping of products. Accordingly, to ensure the successful O\&M of C/D centers, the existing farmers organizations should be strengthened and amplified in terms of technical aspects on agricultural marketing by making efficient use of the pilot $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ center in San Isidro. Furthermore, the realization of the following technical assistance to the existing farmers organizations and the users groups( or Collection Center Users Cooperative) to be established is highly desirable for the same purposes, particularly during the Preparatory and Initial Stage of C/D centers.

## (1) Technical Assistance of Experienced Farmers Organizations such as CAISY

CAISY has a lot of experiences in organization and management of cooperative and cooperative marketing. The existing farmers organization and the users groups should ask technical assistance to CAISY to transfer technical know-how for the organization and management of cooperative and the operation of cooperative marketing.

In the Advanced Stage, the C/D centers will introduce the full-scale cooperative shipment and selling system to operate the centers more efficiently and effectively. However, no organizations or nobody in Santa Cruz have knowledge and experiences in the cooperative marketing system at present. It is strongly recommended, therefore, that the Project Office should ask technical assistance of developed countrics to dispatch the following technical advisors on agricultural marketing.

Technical Assistance Required for Management of C/D Centers

| Advisor | Specialty | Number |  | Period |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Short-term Advisor B | Cooperative C/D, Marketing | 1 | $2000-$ | 1 year |  |
| Long-term Advisor D | Cooperative C/D, sales | 1 | $2000-2005$ | 5 years |  |
| Long-term Advisor E | Quality control/ standardization, | 1 | $2000-2002$ | 2 years |  |
|  |  | Cooperative C/D accounting |  |  |  |

Remark:
The necessary number of foreign technical advisors for the Project is 6 (A $\sim$ F in the order of their scheduled assignment). Three of them (B, D \& E) for the C/D centers are shown above.

## 7. OVERALL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

### 7.1 Organizational Set-up for Project Implementation

Under the present Project, the development of C/D centers shall be done by municipal governments and the O\&M of the centers shall be under the jurisdiction of the municipal governments at the Initial Stage and executed by farmers group, Collection Center Users Cooperative, at the Advanced Stage. However, the intensive guidance and support of the prefecture government is inevitable for the development and operation of CD centers. For the purposes, the establishment of the Project Office (Project Office No.1) in Santa Cruz and the Sub-Project Office in the Pilot Project site in San Isidro by the prefecture government is proposed at the initial stage of project implementation. The main function of the project office is to promote the improvement of marketing system of the products in Santa Cruz Department in cooperation with Santa Cruz Municipal Government. The major functions of the sub-project office are: 1) to provide guidance and support for the development and operation of C/D centers and to monitor the same and 2) to provide institutional training and technical extension services in cooperation with CIAT and other related institutions. The project organizations should better be established in 1999 and be operated until 2008; by the time when the $\mathrm{O} \& \mathrm{M}$ of all the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ centers will be handed over to Collection Center Users Cooperative. The proposed organizational set-up of the project office and the sub-project office and the estimated administration costs for the same are as shown in Table A.1.7-1.

The development and operation of C/D centers under the Project are to be performed by several institutions. The related institutions include the Project and Sub-Project Office, municipal government, stecring committee for development and operation \& management of the centers, users/users group and management body and other institutions such as ASOHFRUT, CIAT and others. The overall organizational set-up for the development and operation of C/D centers are summarized as illustrated in Figure A.1.7-1.

### 7.2 Overall Implementation Plan and Estimated Costs

The overall implementation plans which cover the development schedules of C/D centers, the implementation schedules for institutional training and technical extension programs and the schedules for the organizational set-up and indicate the related implementation agencies are formulated in accordance with the stage-wise development of C/D centers as shown in Table A.1.7-2. The chronological major activities or functions to be performed by the related institutions are summarized in Table A.1.7-3.

The costs for the project implementation which should be accommodated in the annual budget of the project office include; 1) institutional training and technical extension programs costs, 2) administration costs of the Project Offices. The estimated overall costs for the project implementation are as estimated as shown in Table A.1.7-4. The same for the period of 10 years from 1999 to 2008 are estimated at US $\$ 2.1$ million.
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Fig. A.1.4-9 Proposed Organizational Set-up for Development of C/D Centers

Initial Stage (for about 5 years after start of operation)
Operation \& Management by Existing Farmers Organizations


Advanced Stage (from around 6 years after start of operation)

- Operation \& Management vie Collection Center Users Cooperative


Fig. A.1.5-2 Organizational Set-up for Operation \& Management of C/D Centers


Fig. A.1.6-1 Proposed Organizational Set-up for Institutional Training and Technical Extension in Major Producing Areas

Table A.1.1-1 Sbares of Santa Cruz Department in Country's Cropped Area and Crop Production

| Crops | 1992-93 |  | 1993-94 |  | 1994-95 |  | 1995-96 |  | 1996-97 |  | Average of 1992/3-1996/97 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Cropped |  | Cropped |  |
|  | Cropped Area (\%) | Production <br> (\%) |  |  | Cropped <br> Area <br> (\%) | Production (\%) | Area <br> (\%) | Production (\%) | Area <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Production } \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Area <br> (\%) | Production (\%) | Area <br> (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Production } \\ (\%) \text { } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 53 | 36 | 54 | 33 | 53 |
| Maize | 29 | 49 | 30 | 51 | 33 |  | 42 | 41 | 49 | 62 | 44 | 57 |
| Wheat | 46. | 67 | 34 | 43 | 44 | 63 | 67 | 82 | 66 | 74 | 68 | 78 |
| Rice | 67 | 74 | 72 | 78 | 68 | 64 | 25 | 64 | 26 | 65 | 22 | 62 |
| Others | 22 | 66 | 16 | 44 | 23 | 64 | 39 | 62 | 42 | 61 | 39 | 61 |
| Sub-total | 38. | 60 | 36 | 56 | 39 | 63 | 39. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Vegetables |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 | 82 | 72 | 85 | 70 | 84 |
| Tomato | 67 | 81 | 69 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Peas | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 48 | 9 | 40 | 10 | 46 | 9 | 43 |
| Sub-total | 7 | 39 | 8 | 40 | 10 | 48 | 9 | 40 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 3. Tuber Crops |  |  |  |  | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 7 |
| Potatoes | 3 | 5 | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | 45 | 55 | 46 | 55 | 47 | 56 | 46 | 54 |
| Cassava | 47 | 50 | 46 | 54 | 45 | 55 | 46 | 52 | 13 | 22 | 12 | 22 |
| Sub-total | 11 | 20 | 11 | 21 | 12 | 24 | 13 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| 4. Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
| Banana/Plantain | 26 | 27 | 28 | 31 | 26 |  | 26 | 26 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Grape | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 26 |
| Sub-total | 24 | 26 | 26 | 29 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 5. Industrial Crops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 98 |
| Soybeans | 96 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 98 100 | 98 | 98 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sunflower | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 81 |
| Sugarcane | 80 | 77 | 80 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 83 | 89 |  | 99 | 99 | 99 |
| Cotton | 98 | 98 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 25 | 27 | 26 |
| Groundnut | 28 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 26 | 23 | 27 | 27 | 25 | 25 | 94 | 84 |
| Sub-total | 90 | 79 | 93 | 83 | 95 | 85 | 95 | 86 | 96 | 85 | 1 | 1 |
| 6. Others | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | i | 1 | I |
| Total | 45 | 60 | 48 | 65 | 55 | 68 | 56 | 68 | 59 | 67 | 53 | 66 |

Table A.1.1-2 Present Land Use by Province

| Province |  | Land Use Category |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cultivated Land |  | Temporary Cultivated Land | Fallow Land | Pasture Land | Others | Total | Irrigated Areas(ha) |
|  |  | Area | Proportion(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Florida | Area(ha) | 13,014 | 4.4 | 11,915 | 1.674 | 12,832 | 50,361 | 77,881 | 1,317 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 16.7 | - | 15.3 | 2.1 | 16.5 | 64.7 | 100 | 14 |
| 2. Caballero | Area(ha) | 4,113 | 1.4 | 3,620 | 1,070 | 26,582 | 10,707 | 42,472 | 3,362 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 9.7 | - | 8.5 | 2.5 | 62.6 | 25.2 | 100 | 36 |
| 3. Vallegrande | Area(ha) | 13,066 | 4.4 | 12,774 | 6,574 | 31,028 | 67,685 | 118,353 | 1,832 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 11.0 | - | 11 | 6 | 26 | 57 | 100 | 19 |
| Valley Region Total | Area(ha) | 30,193 | 10.2 | 28,309 | 9,318 | 70,442 | 128,753 | 238,706 | 6,511 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 12.6 | - | 11.9 | 3.9 | 29.5 | 53.9 | 100 | 69 |
| 4. Cordillera | Area(ha) | 30,868 | 10.4 | 30,478 | 20,120 | 268,084 | 699,765 | 1,018,837 | 2,675 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 3.0 | - | 3.0 | 2.0 | 26.3 | 68.7 | 100 | 28 |
| 5. Chiquitos | Area(ha) | 9,329 | 3.1 | 8,879 | 8,524 | 227,421 | 431,850 | 677,124 | 0 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 1.4 | - | 1.3 | 1.3 | 33.6 | 63.8 | 100 | 0 |
| 6. Ichilo | Area(ha) | 31,270 | 10.5 | 28,848 | 75,196 | 38,693 | 74,924 | 220,083 | 250 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 14.2 | - | 13.1 | 34.2 | 17.6 | 34.0 | 100 | 3 |
| 7. Santiestevan | Area(ha) | 39,198 | 13.2 | 17,319 | 48,676 | 72,616 | 28,513 | 189,003 | 0 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 20.7 | - | 9.2 | 25.8 | 38.4 | 15.1 | 100 | 0 |
| 8. Warnes | Area(ha) | 35,593 | 12.0 | 22,509 | 9,431 | 42,620 | 25,798 | 113,442 | 0 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 31.4 | - | 19.8 | 8.3 | 37.6 | 22.7 | 100 | 0 |
| 9. Andres Ibanez | Area(ha) | 69,480 | 23.4 | 59,550 | 22,744 | 45,115 | 93,144 | 230,483 | 0 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 30.1 | - | 25.8 | 9.9 | 19.6 | 40.4 | 100 | 0 |
| 10. Other provinces Total | Area(ha) | 21,131 | 7.1 | 14,660 | 112,454 | 1,206,906 | 1,264,958 | 2,605,449 | 30 |
|  | Proportion(\%): | 0.8 | - | 0.6 | 4.3 | 46.3 | 48.6 | 100 | 0 |
| Department Total | Area(ha) | 297,255 | 100.0 | 238,861 | 315,781 | 2,042,339 | 2,876,458 | 5,531,833 | 9,466 |
|  | Proportion(\%) | 5.4 | - | 4.3 | 5.7 | 35.9 | 52.0 | 100 | 100 |

Table A.1.1-3 Existing Irrigation Projects in Santa Cruz Department

|  | Province | Municipality | Project Name | Water Resources | Gross Irrigation Command Area(ha) | No. of Beneficiaries | Irrigated Area per Beneficiary(ha) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida | Quintsillas | Quirusillas Irrigation Project | Mataral River | -100 | 67 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Mairana | Filadelfia/Mendiola | Mataral River | 317 | 140 | 2.3 |
|  |  | Pampa Grande | Santa Rosa/Palmasola | Mataral River | 200 | 67 | 3.0 |
|  |  | Pampa Grande Pampa Grande | Valle Hermoso/Algodonal Los Negros \& others | Los Negros River | 700 | 633 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  | Pampa Grande Municipal Total |  | 900 | 700 | 1.3 |
|  | Province Total |  |  |  | 1,317 | 907 | 1.5 |
|  | Caballero | Comarapa | Pulquina Arriba | San Isidro River | 298 | 199 | 1.5 |
| ) |  |  | Moco Moco | San Isidro River | 40 | 27 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | El Turial | San Isidro River | 50 | 33 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | San Isidro | San Isidro River | 589 | 500 | 1.2 |
|  |  |  | Pulquina Abajo | San Isidro River | 150 | 100 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Butron | San Isidro River | 100 | 67 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  | an Isidro Área Total | 1,227 | 926 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  | Comarapa/Rio Arriba | Comarapa River | 194 | 91 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  | La Canada - | Comarapa River | 147 | 98 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | San Juan del Potrero | San fuan River | 150 | 60. | 2.5 |
|  |  |  | Coma | apa Municipal Total | 1,718 | 1,175 | 1.5 |
|  |  | Saipina | Banados . | Comarapa River | 244 | 253 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  | Chilon/Montegrande | Comarapa River | 980 | 759 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  | Saipina/San Rafael | Comarapa River | 420 | 227 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  |  | ina Municipal Total | 1,644 | 1.239 | 1.3 |
|  | Province Total |  |  |  | 3,362 | 2,414 | 1.4 |
|  | Vallegrande | Trigal | Torre Wayckho | Cinega River | 60 | 46 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  | El Trigal | Cinega River | 42 | 28 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | San Juan del Chaco | Cinega River | 125 | 47 | 2.7 |
|  |  |  | Cochabambita | Cinega River | 30 | 20 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Pampa Rendonda | Cinega River | 50 | 33 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  | igal Municipal Total | 307 | 174 | 1.8 |
|  |  | Vallegrande | Casas Viejas | Cinega River | 10 | 46 | 0.2 |
|  |  |  | Mankallpa | Cinga River | 50 | 23 | 2.2 |
|  |  |  | Murillo-San Jronimo | Cinega River | 100 | 30 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  | Vallegrande | Cinega River | 20 | 20 | 1.0 |
|  |  |  | Canada del Sauce | Cinega River | 10 | 13 | 0.8 |
| \% |  |  | Estancia Huayo | Cinega River | 70 | 47 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Huasa Canada | Cinega River | 30 | 20 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Cuevas | Cinega River | 20 | 27 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  | Temporal | Cinega River | 30 | 27 | 1.1 |
|  |  |  | Huantas | Cinega River | 120 | 80 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Guadelupe | Piraipani River | 50 | 30 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  | San Lorenzo | Piraipani River | 35 | 23 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Palmitas. | Piraipani River | 40 | 27 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Naranjos | Mizque River | 10 | 13 | 0.8 |
|  |  |  | Chanara | Mizque River | 40 | 29 | 1.4 |
|  |  |  | Santa Ana | Santa Rosa River | 30 | 60 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | Santa Rosa | Santa Rosa River | 60 | 40 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Piraymini-Minas | Piraimiri River | 160 | 107 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Piraymini Abajo | Piraimiri River | 50 | 33 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Masicuri | Masicuri River | 60 | 40 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | San Blas-Ramada-La Raya | Tembladera River | 80 | 53 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Arenales . | Masicuri River | 50 | 33 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | Penones/Toco-Lajas | Masicuri River | 20 | 6 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  | Bado el Yeso | Masicuri River | 10 | 3 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  | Vallegr | nde Municipal Total | 1,155 | 830 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Moro Moro | Pampa Negra $\quad \vdots$ | Cinega River | 60 | 45 | 1.3 |
|  |  |  | Tunila | Mizque River | 20 | 13 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | - Moro- | oro Municipal Total | 80 | 58 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Pucara | Huertas | Piraipani River | 120 | 40 | 3.0 |
|  |  |  | Salsipuedes | Santa Rosa River | 20 | 6 | 3.3 |
|  |  | - . | Pampilla | Santa Elena . | 150 | 100 | 9.3 |
| \% |  |  |  | cara Municipal Total | $1-290$ | 146 | 2.0 |
|  | Province Total |  |  |  | 1,832 | 1,208 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  |  | Valley Region Total | 6,511 | 4,529 | 1.4 |
|  | Cordillera | - | Parapeti River \& others | $\therefore \quad-$ | 2,675 | 1,486 | 1.8 |
|  | Ichilo | - | Yapacani River | Yapacani River | 250 | 2 | 125.0 |
|  | German Busch | h | Paraguay River | Paraguay River | 30 | 30 | 1.0 |
|  | Santa Cruz T | Total |  | $\cdots$ | 9,466 | 6,047 | 1.6 |
|  | Remarks 1: Project with canal irnigation system; source: Plan General de Riego, Department Santa Cruz, CORDECRUZ, 1994 Remarks 2: "Mairana, Filadelfia/Mendiola" include pumping irrigation area Source: Plan General de Riego, Department Santa Cruz, CORDECRUZ, 1994 and data presented by PRICRUZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.1.1-4 Estimated Current Cropped Areas and Crop Production in Valley Region by Province(1997)

| Crops | Florida Province |  |  | Cabailero Province |  |  |  | Vallegrande Province |  |  |  | Total Valley Region |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped Area } \\ & \text { (ha) } \quad \text { Shate(\%) } \end{aligned}$ | Production$(000 \mathrm{t}) \quad$ Share(\%) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cropped Area } \\ & \text { (ha) } \quad \text { Share(\%) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & (000 \mathrm{t}) \quad \text { Share }(\%) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Cropped Area <br> (ha) Share (\%) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Production } \\ (000 \mathrm{t}) \quad \text { Share }(\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Cropped Area } \\ (\mathrm{ha}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & (000 \mathrm{t}) \end{aligned}$ |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maize | $15.000 \quad 47$ | 33.0 | 47 | 2.000 | 6 | 4.4 | 6 | 15,000 | 47 | 33.0 | 47 | 32,000 | 70.4 |
| Wheat |  |  |  | 800 | 24 | 1.0 | 25 | 2,500 | 76 | 3.0 | 75 | 3.300 | 4.0 |
| Beans | $1.000 \quad 67$ | 1.5 | 65 |  |  |  |  | 500 | 33 | 0.8 | 35 | 1,500) | 2.3 |
| Others | $500 \quad 53$ | 0.5 | 50 | 150 | 16 | 0.2 | 20 | 300 | 32 | 0.3 | 30 | 950 | 1.0 |
| Sub-total | $16.500 \quad 44$ | 35.0 | 45 | 2,950 | 8 | 5.6 | 7 | 18,300 | 48 | 37.1 | 48 | 37.750 | 77.7 |
| 2. Vegetabies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Potatoes | 1,300 27 | 15.0 | 28 | 1,470 | 31 | 16.7 | 31 | 2,000 | 42 | 22.0 | 41 | 4,770 | 53.7 |
| Tomato | 50033 | 8.5 | 34 | 750 | 50 | 12.8 | 51 | 250 | 17 | 3.8 | 15 | 1,500 | 25.1 |
| Lettuce | 400100 | 8.0 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 | 8.0 |
| Choclo |  |  |  | 220 | 100 | 1.3 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 220 | 1.3 |
| Others | 550.28 | 4.4 | 28 | 1,040 | 54 | 7.7 | 49 | 350 | 18 | 3.5 | 22 | 1,940 | 15.6 |
| Sub-total | $2.750 \quad 31$ | 35.9 | 35 | 3,480 | 39 | 38.5 | 37 | 2,600 | 29 | 29.3 | 28 | 8.830 | 103.7 |
| 3. Fruits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peach | 250 - 56 | 2.5 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 200 | 44 | 2.0 | 44 | 450 | 4.5 |
| Plum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 100 | 1.0 | 100 | 100 | 1.0 |
| Citrus | $250 \quad 100$ | 2.5 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250 | 2.5 |
| Others | $170 \quad 33$ | 1.7 | 33 | 40 | 8 | 0.4 | 8 | 300 | 59 | 3.0 | 59 | 510 | 5.1 |
| Sub-total | 670 51 | 6.7 | 51 | 40 | 3 | 0.4 | 3 | 600 | 46 | 6.0 | 46 | 1.310 | 13.1 |
| 4. Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tobacco | 550100 | 0.8 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 550 | 0.8 |
| Sugarcane | $100 \quad 18$ | 4.0 | 15 | 250 | 45 | 15.0 | 56 | 200 | 36 | 8.0 | 30 | 550 | 27.0 |
| Sub-total | $650 \quad 59$ | 4.8 | 17 | 250 | 23 | 15.0 | 54 | 200 | 18 | 8.0 | 29 | 1,100 | 27.8 |
| Total | 20,570 | 82.4 | - | 6,720 | - | 59.5 | - | 21,700 | - | 80.4 | - | 48,990 | 222.3 |
| Remarks $1:$ "Others" in 1. Cereals \& Beans include groundnut \& others $\quad$ Remarks 2: "Others" in 2 . Vegetables include green pepper, beans, peas, cabbage, onion, carrot eit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remarks 3: "Others" in 3 . Fruits include plum, grapes, apple, cherimoya |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida: P1 | Plan Participativo de Desarrollo Municipal Sostenible, 1997, Municipal de Samaipata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | presented by ClaT \& | municipal | office, Pamp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caballero: Data presented by PRICRUZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estudio de Pre-factibilidad Proyecto Riego Puluquina Arriba-San Isidro-Puluquina Abajo, 1992, CORDECRUZ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vallegrande: Plan Participativo de Desarrollo Municipal 1998-2002, Municipal de Vallegrande Datá presented by CIAT, Vallegrande |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A.1.1-5 Current Annual Marketing Volumes and Destination Markets of Fruits and Vegetables Produced in Valley Region (1997)


Source: Results of study by HCA Study Team, 1998
Table A.1.1-6 Irrigation Development Plans in Santa Crux Department

|  |  |  |  | Gross Irriga | on Comman | ea(ha) | No. of Beneficiarie |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Province | Municipality | Project | Water Resources | Rehabilitation | Expansion | Total | (households) | Present Status |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida | Mairana | Mairana Irrigation Project | Mairana River | 317 | 1,425 | 1,742 | 74 | assessed viable |
| Caballero | Comarapa \& | Comarapa-Saipina-San Rafael | Comarapa River | 1,755 | 590 | 2,345 | 63 | Construction will |
|  | Saipina | Irrigation Project |  |  |  |  |  | start in Jan. 1999 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Comarapa | San Juan del Potrero Irrigation Project | Comarapa River | 150 | 30 | 180 | 6 | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Comarapa | San Isidro Irrigation Project | San Isidro River | 1,227 | 573 | 1,800 | 970 | assessed viable |
| Province To |  |  |  | 3,132 | 1,193 | 4,325 | 1,670 |  |
| Vallegrande |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vallegrande | Torrewayko Irrigation Project | Cinega River | 60 | 66 | 126 | 5 | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vallegrande | Casas Viejas Irrigation Project | Cinega River | 10 | 213 | 223 | 73 | assessed viable |
| Province To |  |  |  | 70 | 279 | 349 | 12 |  |
| Valley Regio | Total |  |  | 3,519 | 2,897 | 6,416 | 2.53 |  |
| Cordillera |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Taputa Irrigation Project |  | 89 |  | 89 |  | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| . |  | Igmiri - Aymiri İrigation Project |  | 48 |  | 48 |  | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | San Manuel Itrigation Project |  | 180 |  | 180 |  | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Abapo-Izozog Irrigation Project |  | 2143 |  | 2143 |  | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tacuru Irrigation Project |  | 47 |  | 47 |  | assessed viable |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tacuarembo Irrigation Project |  | 108 |  | 108 |  | assessed viable |
| Province To |  |  |  | 2,615 |  | 2,615 | 636 |  |
| Santa Cruz | partment Total |  |  | 6,134 | 2,897 | 9,031 | 3,170 |  |

Table A.1.2-1 Climatic Conditions in Major Producing Areas

| Major <br> Producing Area | Element | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. | Annual | Annual <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Samaipata | Monthly Rainfall (mm) | 193 | 102 | 50 | 100 | 58 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 43 | 101 | 82 | 100 | 850 |  |
| Mairana | Monthly Rainfall (mm) | 194 | 85 | 38 | 86 | 37 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 56 | 113 | 84 | 726 |  |
|  | Maximum Temperture ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 29.9 | 27.7 | 28.9 | 28.8 | 26.5 | 26.1 | 25.8 | 25.7 | 29.2 | 29.2 | 28.5 | 28.8 |  | 27.9 |
|  | Minimum Temperture ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 17.0 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 18.2 |  | 15.2 |
|  | Mean Temperture ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 23.5 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 18.4 | 22.2 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 23.5 |  | 21.6 |
|  | Monttly Sunstine Hours (hrs) | 173 | 181 | 166 | 208 | 214 | 200. | 225 | 169 | 212 | 206 | 195 | 201 | 2,350 | 196 |
| Pampa Grande | Monthly Rainfall (mm) | 105 | 90 | 84 | 29 | 21 | 15 | 12 | 27. | 19 | 44 | 63 | 79 | 588 |  |
| (Los Negros) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comarapa | Monthly Rainfail (mm) | 92 | 153 | 155 | 17 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 39 | 21 | 38 | 20 | 552 |  |
|  | Maximum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 27.2 | 25.8 | 25.5 | 26.2 | 25.4 | 25.7 | 24.0 | 24.9 | 26.3 | 26.1 | 26.0 | 26.6 |  | 25.8 |
|  | Minimum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 14.5 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.5 |  | 11.9 |
|  | Mean Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 20.8 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 226 | 18.9 |
| Saipina | Monthly Rainfall (mm) | 104 | 54 | 70 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 39 | 69 | 385 |  |
| Vailegrande | Monthly Rainfall (mm) | 125 | 132 | 77 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 51 | 32 | 34 | 530 |  |
|  | Maximum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 24.0 | 22.7 | 22.0 | 22.5 | 21.8 | 22.6 | 22.4 | 22.0 | 24.9 | 25.0 | 23.5 | 24.9 |  | 23.2 |
|  | Minimum Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 14.6 |  | 11.7 |
|  | Mean Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | 19.1 | 18.4 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 20.2 |  | 17.8 |

Table A.1.2-2 Features of Major Producing Areas: Sncio-economic Aspects - 1/2



| Pampa Grande Municipality | Capital: | Pampa Grande | Area | 325 km 2 | Populatio | Density: 20.01 km |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population(1992) |  | Education(1992) |  |  | Economic Activity (1992) |  |  |  |
| No. | \% | Illiteracy Rate(\%) |  |  | Total Households |  | No. | \% |
| Urban Population | 0 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 1,904 | 100 |
| Rural Population 6,497 | 100 | - Female |  | 26 | Farm Household |  | 1,809 | 95 |
| Total Population 6,497 | 100 | - Uban - |  |  | Fanily Members per Household Population Employed in Agriculture |  | 3.4 |  |
| Male Population . 3,508 | 54 | -Rural 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female Population 2,989 | 46 | School Attendance Rate(\%) |  |  | - Owner Farmer |  | 2,779 | 61 |
| Distribution by Age <15 | 41 | - Elementary School |  | 80 | - Employed in Agriculture |  | 585 | 13 |
| 15-64 | 55 | - Secondary School |  | 50 | - Others |  | 301 | 7 |
| $>65$ | 4 |  |  |  | - Total |  | 4,591 | 100 |
| Farm Household Economy(unit: Bs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crop Sector | Others | Non- | arm income | Total Income | Expenditures | Economi | Surplus |
| Small Scale Farner (<2.0ha) | 19,830 | 200 |  | 6,119 | 26,149 | 11,082 |  | -15,067 |
| Medium Scale Farmer(2.0-5.0ha) | 27,597 | 25.792 |  | 1,000 | 54,389 | 22,684 |  | 31,705 |
| Large Scale Farner(.5.0ha) | 48,656 | 1,167 |  | 6,333 | 56,156 | 41,632. |  | 14,524 |

Source: Population, Education \& Economic activity: Indicators de Poblacion y Vivienda por Provincia y Seccion Municipal,
Secretaria Departmental de Desarrollo Sostenible, 1996
Farm Household Economy ... Results of Socio-econornic Survey by JICA Study Team, 1998

Table A.1.2-2 Features of Major Producing Areas: Socio-economic Aspects - $2 / 2$


| Saipina Municipality | Capital: | Saipina | Area | 359 km 2 | Populat | Density: $12.3 / \mathrm{km}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population(1992) |  | Education(1992) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No. | \% | Illiteracy Rate(\%) |  |  |  |  | No. | \% |
| Urban Population 0 | 0 | - Male 12 |  |  | Total Households |  | 1,124 | 66.2345 |
| Rural Population 4,421 | 67 | - Female |  | 32 | Farm Household |  | 1,068 | 95 |
| Total Population $\quad 4,421$ | 67 | - Urban |  |  |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Male Population 2,432 | 37 | - Rural 21 |  |  | Population Employed in Agricullure |  |  |  |
| Female Population 1,989 | 30 | School Attendance Rate(\%) |  |  | - Owner Fammer |  | 1,380 | 39 |
| Distribution by Age <15 | 45 | - Elementary School |  | 87 | - Employed in Agriculture |  | 524 | 15 |
| - 15-64 | 52 | - Secondary School |  | 64 | - Others |  | 729 | 20 |
| $>65$ |  |  |  | - Total |  | 2,452 | 68 |
| Farm Household Economy(San Isidro; unit: Bs.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Farm Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crop | Sector |  |  | Others | Non- | arm Income | Total Income | Expenditures | Economi | Surplus |
| Small Scale Farmer ( $<2.0$ ha) | 21,430 | 400 |  | 2,020 | 23,850 | 10,474 |  | 13,376 |
| Medium Scale Farmer(2.0-5.0ha) | 50,344 | 438 |  | 4,888 | 55,670 | 15,294 |  | 40,376 |
| Large Scale Farmer(.5.0ha) | 113,106 | 0 |  | 0 | 113,106 | 50,039 |  | 63,067 |



Source: Population, Education \& Economic activity: Indicators de Poblacion y Vivienda por Provincia y Seccion Municipal,
Secretaria Departmental de Desarrollo Sostenible, 1996
Farm Household Economy --- Results of Socio-economic Survey by JICA Study Team, 1998
Table A.1.2-3 Estimated Existing Irrigated Areas in Major Producing Areas

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Major Producing Areas \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated Irrigated \\
Irrigation Project
\end{tabular} \& Areas by Canal System Irrigation Command Area (gross; ha) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated Irrigated Areas by \\
Pumping \& Other Methods \\
Irrigation Command Area (gross; ha)
\end{tabular} \& Estimated
Net Irrigation
Command Area
(net; ha) \& Source/Remarks \\
\hline Samaipata Area \& - \& - - \& \(\cdots\) \& 340 \& Plan Participativo de Desarrollo Municipal Sostenible, 1997 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mairana Area} \& Filadelfia/Mendiola \& 317
(including pumping areas) \& (estimated equal to canal system) \& 400
(estimated at about 90\%) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Plan General de Riego Departmento \\
Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
Findings of field survey
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \& Quirusilas \& 100 \& - - \& 80
(estimated at about \(80 \%\) ) \& Plan General de Ricgo Departmento Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
\hline Area Total \& \& 417 \& 120 \& 480 \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Pampa Grande Area} \& Los Negros, Valle Hermoso, Algodonal \& others \& 700 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 70 \\
\& \text { (estimated at } 10 \% \text { of canal system) }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
650 \\
\text { (estimated at about 85\%) } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Pian General de Riego Departmento Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
\hline \& Santa Rosa/Palmasola \& 200 \& (estimated at \(10 \%\) of canal system) \& \[
\begin{gathered}
190 \\
\text { (estimated at about } 85 \% \text { ) } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Plan General de Riego Departmento Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
\hline Area Total \& \& 900 \& 90 \& 840 \& \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Comarapa Area} \& Rio-Arriba \& 194 \& (estimated at \(10 \%\) of canal system) \& \[
\begin{gathered}
190 \\
\text { (estimated at about 90\%) } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& Data presented by PRICRUZ \\
\hline \& La-Canada \& 147 \& (estimated at \(10 \%\) of canal system) \& \[
\begin{gathered}
150 \\
\text { (estimated at about } 90 \% \text { ) }
\end{gathered}
\] \& Plan General de Riego Departmento Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
\hline \& San Juan del Potrero \& 150 \& (estimated at \(10 \%\) of canal system) \& \(\stackrel{150}{(\text { (estimated at about 90\%) }}\) \& Data presented by PRICRUZ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\frac{\text { Area Total }}{}\)} \& \& 491 \& 50 \& 490 \& \\
\hline \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Pulquinaa Arriba, \\
Moco Moco, El Tunal, \\
San Isidro, \\
Pulquina Abajo, Butron
\end{tabular} \& 1,227
(including pumping areas) \& - \& 1,100
(estimated at about 90\%) \& Plan General de Riego Departmento Santa Cruz, 1994, CORDECRUZ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{Saipina Area

Area Total} \& Banados \& 244 \& - \& (estimated at about 90\%) \& Data presented by PRICRUZ <br>
\hline \& Chilon \& 290 \& - \& 260
(estimated at about $90 \%$ ) \& Data presented by PRICRUZ <br>
\hline \& Saipina/Monte Grande \& 613 \& - \& 550
(estimated at about $90 \%$ ) \& Data presented by PRICRUZ <br>

\hline \& San Rafaei \& 420 \& - \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 380 \\
& \text { (estimated at about } 90 \% \text { ) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$ \& Data presented by PRICRUZ <br>

\hline \& \& 1,567 \& \& 1,410 \& <br>

\hline Vallegrande Area \& Huantas \& others ( 26 schemes in total) \& $$
1,155
$$ \& - \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
1,000 \\
\text { (estimated at about 85\%) }
\end{gathered}
$$
\] \& Plan General de Riego Departmento Santa Cruz; 1994, CORDECRUZ <br>

\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Total Major Producing Areas} \& $\cdot$ \& 5,660 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table A.1.2-4 Features of Major Producing Areas: Production Aspects - Samaipata Area

1. General $\quad$ Surface Area: $3,060 \quad \mathrm{~km} 2 \quad$ Related Institutions in the Area: CIAT Regional Research Station; ASOHFRUT Samaipata
2. Present Land Use

| Primary Crop Production Areas: | rainfed areas | Major Crops in Rainfed Arens: | maize, beans |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Estimated Ne Irrigated Areas: | 340 ha | Estimated No. of Beneficiarics: | 206 |
| Averaged Irrigated Areas per Beneficiary: | 1.7 ha | Primary Irigation System: | pumping |
| Major Crops in Irigated Areas: | potato, vegetable \& fruit | Secondary Irrigation system: | small canal/pipe |

3. Estiniated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production $\qquad$ | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production $\qquad$ <br> (t) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cereals \& beans) |
| Maize | 2,900 | 6,380 | Potatoes | 470 | 5,170 | - groundnut etc. |
| Beans | 1,100 | 1,650 | Tomato | 70 | 1,050 |  |
| Wheat |  |  | Lettuce | 50 | 1,000 | Ohers(vegetables) |
| Others | 50 | 50 | Others | 30 | 150 | - carrot, onion, peas |
| Sub-total | 4,050 | 8,080 | Sub-total | 620 | 7,370 |  |
| 3. Fruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Mandarin | 70 | 700 | Tobacco | 760 | 1,060 | - grape, plum, apple |
| Orange | 30 | 300 | Others | 80 | 3,200 |  |
| Peach | 30 | 300 | Sub-total | 840 | 4,260 | Others(others) |
| Others | 60. | 600 |  |  |  | - sugarcane etc. |
| Sub-total | 190 | 1,900 | Total | 5,700 | 21,610 |  |


| 4. Prevailing | loppin | Cale |  | Planting:-ッ------ Harvesting: - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. | Remarks |
| 1. Potaloes |  |  |  | - |  | -- |  |  |  | - |  | - - | Irrigation, tractor \& disease restricting cropping season |
| 2. Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - |  |  |  |  |  | - . |
| 3. Lettuce |  |  |  |  | : |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  | Year round production practiced in limited scale |
| 4. Carrot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Mandarin |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lack of storage facilities, unstable |
| 6. Orange |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | market price \& fruit fly present |
| 7. Peach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | constraints |
| 8. Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |
| 9. Beans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ---- |  |  |

5. Climatic Conditions(temperature data at Mairana)


Source: Servicio Nacional de Meteorogia e Hydrogia

Table A.1,2-5 Features of Major Preducing Areas: Production Aspects - Mairana Area

1. General
Surface Area: $\quad 463 \mathrm{~km} 2$ Related Institutions in the Area: $\quad$ CIAT Regional Rescarch Station; ASOHFRUT Mairana
2. Present Land Use

| Primary Crop Production Areas: | rainfed areas | Major Crops in Rainfed Areas: | maize, beans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Net Irrigated Areas: | 480 ha | Estimated No. of Beneficiaries: | 140 |
| Averaged Irrigated Areas per Beneficiary: | 2.3 ha | Primary Irigation System: | pumping |
| Major Crops in Irrigated Areas: | potato, vegetable \& fruit | Secondary lirigation system: | canal system |

3. Estimated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production (1) | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production <br> (t) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cereals \& beans) |
| Maize | 6,200 | 13,640 | Potatoes | 140 | 1,680 | - groundnut etc. |
| Beans | 700 | 1,050 | Tomato | 110 | 1,650 |  |
| Wheat |  |  | Lettuce | 110 | 2,200 | Others(vegetables) |
| Others | 100 | 100 | Others | 100 | 1,000 | - carrot, green pepper |
| Sub-total | 7,000 | 14,790 | Sub-total | 460 | 6,530 |  |
| 3. Fruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Peach | 110 | 1,100 | Tobacco | 400 | 560 |  |
| Citus | 50 | 500 | Others |  |  |  |
| Grape | 90 | 900 | Sub-total | 400 | 560 | Others(others) |
| Others Sub-total | 250 | 2,500 | Total | 8,110 | 24,380 |  |




Source: Servicio Nacional de Meteorogia e Hydrogia

Table A.1.2-6 Features of Major Producing Areas: Production Aspects - Pampa Grande Area

3. Estimated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area <br> (ha) | Production <br> (t) | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & \text { (1) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cercals \& beans) |
| Maize | 2,500 | 5,500 | Potatoes | 300 | 3,600 | - groundnat etc. |
| Beans | 500 | 750 | Tomato | 240 | 4,080 |  |
| Wheat |  |  | Leltuce | 240 | 4,800 | Others(vegetabies) |
| Others | 100 | 100 | Others | 420 | 2,100 | - peas, green pepper |
| Sub-total | 3,100 | 6,350 | Sub-total | 1,200 | 14,580 |  |
| 3. Fruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Peach | 50 | 500 | Tobacco |  |  |  |
| Citrus | 80 | 800 | Others | 30 | 1,200 |  |
| Grape |  |  | Sub-total | 30 | 1,200 | Others(others) |
| Others Sub-total | 130 | 1,300 | Total | 4,460 | 23,430 | - sugarcane |


5. Climatic Conditions(lemperature data at Mairana)

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rainfall(mm) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temperature }\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & 30 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | --- | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  | . |  | - |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ------- Avg.Max. Temp. |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual | 0 Rainfall |
| Rainfall(mm) |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |  | 27 | 19 | 44 | 63 | 79 | 588 |  |
|  | 23.5 | 22.3 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 19.4 | 19.6 | 19.7 | 18.4 | 22.2 | 23.2 | 22.8 | 23.5 | 21.6 | Mean Temp.('C) |
|  | 17.0 | 16.9 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 11.1 | 15.2 | 17.2 | 17.1 | 18.2 | 15.2 | Avg.Minimum Temp( ${ }^{(C)}$ |

[^1]Table A, 1.2-7 Features of Major Producing Areas: Producton Aspects • Comarapa Area

1. General
Surface Area; $\quad 1.951 \mathrm{~km} 2$ Related Institutions in the Area: PRICRUZ
2. Present Land Use

| Primary Crop Production Areas: | rainfed areas | Major Crops in Rainted Areas; maize, wheat |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Estimated Net Irtigated Areas: | 490 ha | Estimated No. of Beneficiaries: | 249 |
| Averaged Irrigated Areas per Beneficiary: | 1.5 ha | Primary Irrigation System: | canal system |
| Major Crops in Irrigated Areas: | potato \& vegetable | Secondary Irrigation system: | pumping |

3. Estimated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production <br> ( t$)$ | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production <br> (t) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cereals \& beans) |
| Maize |  |  | Potatocs | 290 | 3,190 | - groundnut etc. |
| Beans |  |  | Tomato | 100 | 1,700 |  |
| Wheat |  |  | Lettuce | $\cdots$ |  | Others(vegetables) |
| Others |  |  | Others | 210 | 1,050 | - carrot, green pepper |
| Sub-total | n.a. | n.a. | Sub-total | 600 | 5,940 |  |
| 3. Eruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Peach |  |  | Tobacco |  |  |  |
| Citrus |  |  | Others |  |  |  |
| Grape |  |  | Sub-total | n.a. | n.a. | Others(others) |
| Others | 40 | 400 |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total | 40 | 400 | Total | 640 | 6.340 |  |


| Prevailing Clopping Calendar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. | Remarks |
| 1. Potatoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - - |  |  |  |  | Mar/Apr - Jun/Jul:Wecha variety |
| 2. Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  |  | year round cultivation practiced indicating major growing season |
| 3. Green Pepper |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | year round cultivation practiced indicating major growing season |
| 4. Choclo |  |  |  |  |  |  | --- |  |  |  |  |  | year round cultivation practiced indicating major growing season |
| 5. Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | string beans, cabbage, cantot |
| 6. Peach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | early maturing variety Late maturing yariety |
| 7. Strawberry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | year round production indicating major growing season |
| 8. Custard Apple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Beans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | generally non-irrigated areas |
| 10. Wheat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5. Climatic Conditions


Source: Servicio Nacional de Meteorogia e Hydrogia

Table A.1.2-8 Features of Major Producing Areas: Production Aspects - San Isidro Area

| 1. General |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Surface Area: $\quad 1,227$ Ra Related Institutions in the Area: CIAT Regional Research Station; ASOHFRUT San Isidro |


| Primary Crop Production Areas: | irrigated areas | Major Crops in Rainfed Areas: | limited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Net Irrigated Areas: | 1,100 ha | Estimated No. of Beneficiaries: | 926 |
| Averaged Irrigated Areas per Beneficiary: | 1.5 ha | Primary Irrigation System: | canal system |
| Major Crops in Irrigated Areas: | potato \& vegetable | Secondary Irrigation system: | pumping |

3. Estimated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production (t) | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Production } \\ & \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cereals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cerenls/beans) |
| Maize |  |  | Potatoes | 500 | 6,000 | - groundnut etc. |
| Bears |  |  | Tomato | 220 | 3,740 |  |
| Wheat |  |  | Choclo | 220 | 1,320 | Others(vegetables) |
| - Others |  |  | Others | 490 | 4,900 | - carrot, green pepper |
| Sub-total | n.a. | n.a. | Sub-total | 1.430 | 15,960 |  |
| 3. Fruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Peach |  |  | Tobacco |  |  |  |
| Citrus |  |  | Others |  |  |  |
| Grape |  |  | Sub-total | n.a. | n.a. | Others(others) |
| Others Sub-total | 0 | 0 | Total | 1,430 | 15,960 |  |



Source: Servicio Nacional de Meteorogia e Hydrogia

Table A.1.2-9 Features of Major Producing Areas: Production Aspects - Saipina Area

1. General

| Surface Area: | 359 km 2 | Related Institutions in the Area: | ASOHFRUT Saipina |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Primary Crop Production Areas: | irrigated areas |  |  |  |
| Estimated Net Irrigated Areas: | $1,410 \mathrm{ha}$ | Major Crops in Rainfed Areas: | limited |  |
| Averaged lrigated Areas per Beneficiary: | 1.4 ha | Estimated No. of Beneficiaries: | 140 |  |
| Major Crops in Irrigated Areas: | potato, vegetable \& sugarcane | Primary Irrigation System: | canal system |  |



| 4. Prevailing C | pping | Planting: - - - --- - Harvesting: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. | Remarks |
| 1. Potatoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sta. |  |  | DEC. | Mar-Jun planting: Weicha variety |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Aug/Sep planting:Holland variety |
| 2. Tomato |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | year round cultivation practiced |
| 3. Onion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : |  |  | major cropping season cultivation in other seasons limited |
| 4. Green Pepper |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | $\cdots$ | -- |  |  | year round cultivation practiced |
| 5. Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Water Melon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | cabbage, carrot, leltuce |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Mango |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Sugarcane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Beans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | rally non-irigated areas |

5. Climatic Conditions(temperature data at Comarapa)

| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Rainfall(mm) } \\ \hline 200 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Temperature( ${ }^{\text {C }}$ ( ${ }^{\text {T }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Annual |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10----- Avg, Max. Temp. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainfall(mm) | 104 | 54 | 70 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 39 | 69 | Anmual 38 | Kainfart |
|  | 20.8 | 19.9 | 19.1 | 17.9 | 16.5 | 16.4 | 16.2 | 17.2 | 19.9 | 20.8 | 20.6 | 21.0 | 18.9 | Mean Temp |
|  | 14.5 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 7.1 | 8.5 | 9.5 | 13.5 | 15.4 | 15.2 | 15.5 | 11.9 | Avg. Minimum Temp. |

Table A.1.2-10. FEATURE S OF MAJOR PRODUCING AREAS: PRODUCTION ASPECTS-VALLEGRANDE AREA

1. General

Stirface Area: $\quad 2,702 \mathrm{~km}^{\circ} \quad$ Related Institutions in the Area: $\quad$ CIAT Regional Research Station; ASOFRUT Vallegrande,
2. Present Land Use

| Primary Crop Production Areas: | rainfed areas | Major Crops in Rainfed Areas: | maize, wheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Estimated Net Irrigated Areas: | $1,000 \mathrm{ha}$ | Fstimated No. of Bencficiaries: | 830 |
| Averaged Irrigated Areas per Bencficiary: | 1.4 ha | Primary Irigation Systen: | canal system |
| Major Crops in Irrigated Areas: | potato, vegetable, fruit \& sugarcane |  |  |

## 3. Estimated Current Annual Cropped Area \& Production

| Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production | Crops | Cropped Area (ha) | Production (t) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Cercals \& Beans |  |  | 2. Vegetables |  |  | Others(cereals \& beans) |
| Maize | 6,200 | 13,640 | Potatoes | 900 | 9,900 | - groundnut ctc. |
| Beans |  |  | Tomato | 100 | 1,500 |  |
| Wheat | 900 | 1,080 | Lettuce |  |  | Others(vegetables) |
| Others |  |  | Others | 50 | 500 | - carrol, green pepper |
| Sub-total | 7,100 | 14,720 | Sub-total | 1,050 | 11,900 |  |
| 3. Fruits |  |  | 4. Others |  |  | Others(fruits) |
| Peach | 120 | 1,200 | Sugarcane | 200 | 8,000 |  |
| Plun | 50 | 500 | Others |  |  |  |
| Apple | 70 | 700 | Sub-total | 200 | 8,000 | Others(others) |
| Others | 110 | 1,100 |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-total | 350 | 3,500 | Total | 8,700 | 38,120 |  |


| 4. Prevalling Cr | piag | alender Planting - - - - - - Harvesting: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crops | JAN. | FEB. | MAR. | APR. | MAY | JUN. | JUL. | AUG. | SEP. | OCT. | NOV. | DEC. | Remarks |
| 1. Potatoes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | high land |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | low land subtropical area |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2. Tomato } \\ & \text { (low land) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - | - - |  | one cropping season frost ristrict cropping season |
| 3. Green Pepper (low land) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - |  | one cropping season frost ristrict cropping season |
| 4. Green Pepper (low land) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | year round cultivation practiced |
| 5. Others |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | peas, cabbage, carrot, Iettuce |
| 6. Peach |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | low land \& high land |
| 7. Plum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Apple |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Ohers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | orange, mandarine |
| 10. Maize |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | generally non-irrigated areas |
| 11. Wheat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5. Climatic Conditions

| Rainfall(mun) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ttemperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  | .... | $\cdots$ | ... |  | ... | -.- |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ - Avg. Max. Temp. |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  | $\square$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{1}$ | Annual | $0 \quad$ Rainfall |
| Rainfall(mm) | 125 | 132 | 77 | 14 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 53 | 51 | 32 | 34 | 530 |  |
|  | 19.1 | 18,4 | 17.4 | 17.7 | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.4 | 15.5 | 18.8 | 19.1 | 18.5 | 20.2 | 17.8 | Mean Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
|  | 13.6 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 9.2 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 9.3 | 11.5 | 12.4 | 13.1 | 14.6 | 11.7 | Avg.Minimum Temp. $\left(^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) |

[^2]File:Tab A.1.2-10


[^0]:    1st Phase Development: Samaipata, Vallegrande \& Saipina C/D Center
    Construction Works: In 2002
    Start of Operation: Beginning of 2003
    2nd Phase Development: Mairana, Pampa Grande \& Comarapa C/D Center
    Construction Works: In 2003
    Start of Operation: Beginning of 2004

[^1]:    Source: Servicio Nacional de Meteorggia e Hydrogia

[^2]:    Source: Servicio Nacional de Metcorogia e Hydrogia

