## Chapter 8 Travel Demand Forecast

### 8.1 General

Traffic forecasts were carried out for year 2020 using the JICA STRADA program. The study area was divided into 52 traffic zones considering the existing and future land use. Results of traffic surveys were used as the basis for making traffic forecast as shown below in Figure 8.1.1. Multiple linear regression models were built for trip generation and attraction by using the year 1998 trip data as dependent variables and 1998 Pop and $\operatorname{Emp}(W)$ data as independent variables. The incremental assignment method was used for traffic assignment.


Figure 8.1.1 Flow Chart for Traffic Demand Forecast

### 8.2 Results

Fig 8.6.1 shows the simulated traffic flow for year 1998. Both, traffic volume and traffic congestion is shown in this figure. Traffic volume (in pcu) is shown by the width of link and traffic congestion by VCR (i.e. Volume Capacity Ratio). As can be seen from this figure that at present no link is congested i.e. VCR is less than 1.00 for all links. This was confirmed during field observation, as no road with traffic congestion was observed. Traffic flow was also forecast for year 1999 i.e. after opening of new central market. It was found that traffic volume on links around the new central market (esp. Teerverchid Street and the Ikh Toymu Street) increases although no traffic congestion occurs.

Figure 8.6 .3 shows traffic flow on the existing road network for year 2020. This can be termed as the "Without Project Case" or "Do Nothing Case". From the figure, it can be seen that traffic congestion will be seen in the western and southem part of the city. This can be explained by the fact that most of the future development is proposed to take place in the western part of the city as per the socio-economic framework for year 2020. Roads in the southern part will become congested because of the development of the satellite town of Ulziit.


Figure 8.6.1 Traffic Flow for Year 1998


Figure 8.6.3 Traffic Flow for Year 2020 (Without Project)

## Chapter 9 Public Transport

### 9.1 Problems of Public Transport

### 9.1.1 Replacement of Aged Vehicles

Use years of current vehicles indicate the timing of vehicle inputs fluctuated in the past 10 years due to economic chaos in the early part of 1990s. Particularly, trolleys purchased in the late 1980s became old and less efficient than those newly acquired ones. In order to sustain the public service, a vehicle replacement program in the coming 5 years or so should be determined, with which the companies, UB city and the Govemment can prepare a funding schedule for the purchase in advance.

### 9.1.2 Trolleys and Buses

Trolleys are less efficient than buses in annual run km and travel speed. Slow travel speed of trolleys is a cause of conflicts with other vehicles on roads. On road running, trolleys have to run under the over-head power lines. Sometimes they encounter power off, and vehicles have to stop and stay on roads. Overhead power lines were constructed 10 years before, requiring rehabilitation on heavily used sections. Trolleys have been operated on 8 routes in the past 10 years. Considering their inefficient operations on roads, it is considered better to phase out by stage during the years up to mid-2010s. Instead buses should be put in.

### 9.1.3 Revenue Shortages

Bus and trolley companies have resulted in a total of deficits Tug 1079 million ( $\$ 1.3$ million) in the first half of 1998, caused by various free riders and non-strict tariff collection by conductors. Governmental compensations for authorized free passengers are virtually none. Urgent actions to reduce the amount of deficits are necessary, with efficient enforcement of laws to support actions of conductors.

### 9.2 Long Term Plan

### 9.2.1 Demand Forecast

Forecast of the total public transport passengers is conducted by referring to the socio-economic frameworks and other factors in future. The growth ratio of passengers on city buses and trolleys is estimated at 1.54 from 1998 to 2020, i.e. $1.97 \%$ per annum in the period. Figures of forecasts are in Table 9.1.1.

Table 9.1.1 Growth of Overall Person Trips, 1998-2020

| Item | 1998 | 1999 | 2005 | 2010 | 2020 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population 1) | 617,500 | 617,500 | 725,000 | 790,000 | 925,000 |
| Person trips per capita | 1.88 | 1.88 | 1.90 | 1.92 | 2.06 |
| Total person trips 2) | $1,160,900$ | $1,221,960$ | $1,377,500$ | $1,516,800$ | $1,905,500$ |
| (Share of public users) 2) | $(81 \%)$ | $(82 \%)$ | $(79 \%)$ | $(77 \%)$ | $(75 \%)$ |
| Public transport users 2) | 935,330 | 996,390 | $1,148,430$ | $1,246,790$ | $1,475,860$ |
| City bus passengers 2) | 888,570 | 888,570 | $1,039,630$ | $1,137,370$ | $1,364,900$ |
| (Ratio of increase) 2) | $(1.00)$ | $(1.00)$ | $(1.17)$ | $(1,28)$ | $(1.54)$ |
| Minibus passengers 2) | 40,940 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 | 102,000 |
| Satellite bus passengers 2) | 5,820 | 5,820 | 6,800 | 7,420 | 8,960 |

Notes: 1) Socio-economic frameworks of the study area.
2) The figures in 1998 is the results of the surveys by the study team, and those in future years are forecasts by the team.

### 9.2.2 Demand on Roads

There are 134 trolleys and 326 buses in regular sizes on city bus routes. They are assigned on route operation. Influence zone of each route are marked, and passengers in future are calculated by using the forecast population in the zone. The overall growth ratio of passengers is adjusted to have a ratio of 1.54 from 1998 to 2020. Forecast passengers of all types of buses are aggregated on road sections for the future years, being shown in Figure 9.2.1. Growth ratios on sections on Enkh Taivan Avenue, for example, are found in the range of 1.4 to 1.8, where larger ratios are on the eastern sections because of the opening of new Central Market.

### 9.2.3 Alternate Plans of City Bus Development

Under steady increases in passenger demand, alternate plans to sustain public transport are proposed in which periodic replacement of depleted vehicles is considered. The assumed service years are 9 years for buses and 12 years for trolleys. In reality, the years depend on the annual-km and mechanical conditions in operation of every vehicles.

## Case 1. Bus and trolleys

Buses and trolleys should be purchased when they come to the end of service life. The shares of vehicle composition among the companies are supposed same as they are at present. New vehicles will increase the service capability because of larger run km and capacities.

## Case 2. Staged phase out of trolleys

Buses will continue their service with vehicle renewal at appropriate timing. Trolleys are less efficient than buses in life travel km and travel speed, while they are generating traffic conflicts with other vehicles on roads. Overhead power lines were installed in 1987-88 and need replacement on heavily used portions. It is proposed trolleys should be terminated gradually by stages. Instead, buses should be put in. The phase out is:


| $1^{\text {st }}$ | 2002 | Routes No. 3 and No. 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 2006 | Routes No. 1, 4 and 5 |
| $3^{\text {dd }}$ | 2014 | Routes No. 2, 7 and 8 |

Some trolleys are necessary to be purchased for use because after 2002 the remaining routes continue the operation by the mid-2010s. Vehicle purchase plans are summarized in 3 phases as Table 9.3.3 (1) and (2).

Table 9.3.3 (1) Vehicle Purchase Plan (Case 1)

|  | Co. 1 | Co. 2 | Co.3 | Trolley | - | Priv. | Total | Trolley | City Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1999-05$ |  | 71 | 69 | 81 | - | 50 | 271 | 81 | 140 |
| $2006-10$ | 100 | 57 | 29 | 53 | - | 18 | 257 | 53 | 186 |
| $2011-20$ | 100 | 128 | 124 | 122 | - | 68 | 542 | 122 | 352 |
| Total | 200 | 256 | 222 | 256 | - | 136 | 1070 | 256 | 678 |

Table 9.3 .3 (2) Vehicle Purchase Plan (Case 2)

|  | Co. 1 | Co. 2 | Co.3 | Trolley | Buses <br> forTrol | Priv. | Total | Trolley | City <br> Bus |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1999-05$ |  | 71 | 69 | 65 | 15 | 50 | 270 | 65 | 155 |
| $2006-10$ | 100 | 57 | 29 |  | 36 | 18 | 240 |  | 222 |
| $2010-20$ | 100 | 128 | 124 |  | 97 | 68 | 517 |  | 449 |
| Total | 200 | 256 | 222 | 65 | 148 | 136 | 1027 | 65 | 826 |

### 9.2.4 Economic Evaluation

Economic evaluation of Case 1 and Case 2 is conducted to find the economic viability in the internal rate of return (EIRR). The cost is composed of vehicle purchase cost and daily vehicle operation cost on routes for years 1999-2020 in terms of prices in mid-1998.

There will be overflowed passengers if new vehicles are not purchased. Number of vehicles will decrease gradually and much more passengers will overflow from the bus and trolley service in the case of no renewal. Those overflowed passengers are supposed to travel by using private cars, where the cost of using cars represents an economic cost to the country. If new vehicles are put in, overflowed passengers can be reduced, resulting in the savings in the economic cost (i.e the economic benefits). The cost and benefits are estimated for the years 1999-2020 and the following economic internal rate of return (EIRR) is calculated:

| Case 1 Economic Cost \$ 114.6 million, | EIRR | $32 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Case 2 Economic Cost $\$ 104.6$ million, | EIRR | $34 \%$ |

Case 2 of the staged phase out plan of trolleys shows a larger return to the economy of Mongolia. The investment cost on vehicle purchase is less in Case 2. If slow moving trolleys are reduced, other vehicles can move smoothly and the road capacity can be used more efficiently. Consequently Case 2 is recommended for the long term planning.

### 9.3 Short Term Vehicle Replacement Plan

### 93.1 Updated Vehicle Retirement Program

TCD and bus/ trolley companies prepared in November 1998 an updated annual vehicle retirement plan from 1999 to 2002, based on their assessment of engine and physical conditions in addition to the use years in the past. The above new data are used for the vehicle replacement program of short term period (the phase 1, 1999-05). Influence of the new data was examined for the long term plan of Case 2, and found little change in the estimate of EIRR.

### 9.3.2 Vehicle Replacement

The replacement program has a total of 248 as under.

| Year | Co 1 | Co 2 | Co 3 | Bus for <br> Trolley | City Bus <br> Total | Trolley | Private | G Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2002 | 0 | 70 | 65 | 15 | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 45 | 248 |

Of those purchases, private vehicles are included in the feasibility study of Phase 1. Their renewal program is based on their judgment on market opportunity, having no relation with the city budget. After estimating the EIRR including private ones, the cost by the public bus/trolley companies without private buses are discussed next.

### 9.3.3 Economic Evaluation

(1) Conditions

1) The evaluation period

The period of analysis in cost and benefit streams for the Phase 1 is set from 1999 to 2014, since all trolleys will terminate the service in that year.
2) Benefits and cost

Methods of passenger demand and benefit estimates in this period are same as the forecast in the long term master plan from 1999 to 2020. Cost of replacement vehicles in Phase 1 is revised by using the updated retirement data.
(2) EIRR

Economic rate of return (EIRR) of Phase 1, in which two trolley routes are changed to bus operation, is calculated. The result presents close values to Case 2 of the master plan.

Phase 1 (1999-05) EIRR 32\%, B/C ratio (at $10 \%$ rate) 1.39

### 9.3.4 Conclusion and Recommendation

The high economic return means the public transport system is vital to the development of economy and community of Ulaanbaatar. It is worthy to be sustained with appropriate vehicle replacement plan. The economic cost of vehicle replacement in Phase 1 is

| Buses of City | 150 vehicles | $\$ 15,000,000$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Trolleys of City | 53 trolleys | $\$ 6,890,000$ |
| Power lines of trolleys on roads of 18 km | $\$ 1,427,000$ |  |
|  | Total economic cost | $\$ 23,317,000$ |

It is an urgent necessity to determine funding sources for this amount. It is recommended bus companies, City and TCD, and Government of Mongolia should work jointly to solve this funding matter immediately.

### 9.4 Other Recommendations

### 9.4.1 Restructure of Organizations

Existing four public corporations were separated from the UB city, without no private fund participation. The all assets provided by the city in 1996 were as under:

Total Assets in 1996
(Tug million in prices of mid-1996)

| Company 1 | 5029 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Company 2 | 2295 |
| Company 3 | 262 |
| Trolley | 865 |
| Total | 8451 |

Although their assets have increased in the past few years, restructure and merger of those companies will be necessary along with the gradual phase out of trolleys.

### 9.4.2 Bus Qualities

Different sizes of bus, such as use of mini and medium buses should be considered on selected routes. Low floor buses, which will be beneficial particularly for aged persons for on and off, is worthy to examine for using although the cost is higher by $30-40 \%$.

### 9.4.3 Changes in Service

## - Express/Shuttle Buses

Currently no shuttle bus service is provided. But, Route No 13 and No. 10 are operated with many frequencies in central area of the city. When demand increases much more in the future, other shuttle service need be supplied in other roads. Express service should be considered also.

## - Monthly pass and discount tickets

The monthly pass system should be extended to daily commuters if they use public bus/trolley daily. If a passenger changes to another route he has to pay another Tug 100 on the second route. It is considered better to study the application of multi-ride ticket system.

### 9.4.4 Financial Restructure

The deficit in the first half of 1998 was Tug 1078 million ( $\$ 0.78$ million) in the total of four corporations. Loan agencies of World Bank and others would not supply funds to sustain city bus corporations, if there are no immediate actions by the city and the government to reduce deficits in financial terms. The following points should be reminded in increasing revenues.

## (1) Revenue Generation

1) Conductors

Number of conductors on the bus should be increased to collect the fare at every door side. It is said non-qualified free riders including children are $20 \%$ or more of daily passengers. Conductors should be empowered legislatively to delete those non-qualified passengers.

There are pocketing a part of the collected revenue by conductors. They should be inspected frequently to recover lost revenues.
2) Aged and handicapped persons

There are aged, handicapped persons and others who would be covered by the welfare policy of the city for free rides. They carry ID card issued by TCD in using the bus. They are around $10 \%$ of daily uses. Bus companies should be compensated by the city for those qualified free passengers.
3) Campaigns through news media

News media of TV, radio, newspaper, etc. should be utilized to know the bus fare should be paid when people use the bus. A market system that users must pay the tariff whenever they receive a transportation service should be known thoroughly.

## (2) Tariff Revision

Bus fares should be increased periodically. An example plan is to increase the fare Tug 100 to Tug 150 in 2000, and again to Tug 200 in 2006. This revision together with other actions for revenue generation will improve the financial position of the companies. (22.1.3 in Chapter 22)

## Chapter 10 Future Road Network Plan

### 10.1 Road Classification

The future road network is formulated based on comprehensive evaluation of the present condition of roads, forecast of future traffic volume and forecast of number of passengers using publịc transport.

At first, the roads were classified into 5 categories with consideration of their role and design standards.

Table 10.1.1 Comparison of Road Classification by Design Standards

| Proposed Standards |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category of Road | Design <br> Speed | No. of <br> Lane | Width |
| S: Asian highway <br> (Inter state highway) | 120 | $4,6,8$ | 3.75 |
| A: Regional road | 80 | 2.4 | 3.5 |
| B: Primary road <br> (City Trunk road) | 60 | 2.4 | 3.25 |
| C: City road <br> (major network road) | 60 | 2.4 | 3.25 |
| D: Residential road <br> (Minor network road) | 40 | 2.1 | 3 |


| Mongolian Standards |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category of Road | Design <br> Speed | No. of <br> Lane | Width |
| Trunk roads: | 120 | $4 \sim 8$ | 3.75 |
| - for high speed |  |  |  |
| - with regulated speed | 80 | $2 \sim 6$ | 3.5 |
| Trunk streets: |  |  |  |
| - of city importance | 100,80 | $4 \sim 8$ | $3.75,3.6$ |
| - of regional import | 70,50 | $2 \sim 4$ | $3.5,(4.1)$ |
| Street \& roads of local |  |  |  |
| - in residence area | 40,30 | $2 \sim 3$ | 3 |
| - in industrial area | 50,40 | $2 \sim 4$ | 3.5 |
| - Park road | 40 | 2 | 3 |
| Access roads: | 40 | 2 | 2.75 |
| - main | 30 | 1 | 3.5 |

Conceptually it will look as follows:


Figure 10.1.1 Definition of Roads and Streets

The above-mentioned categories $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C were included and S and D were not included in the long-term road network.

Basic policy taken for the definition of the long-term road network:

| GUB <br> (Greater <br> Ulaanbaatar) | - A super long-term road network (coded as R1) was established with consideration of roads connecting 6 satcllite towns. At that time these roads are not dead end roads but as ring roads. <br> - 4 ring roads out of 5 were excluded from the long-term road network plan as premature ones. These 4 ring roads should be studied separately for the establishment of a plan after 2020. |
| :---: | :---: |
| UUB <br> (Urbanized <br> Ulaanbaatar) | - Maximum utilization of the existing roads is considered with following study results: <br> - Existing condition of roads and bridges <br> - Traffic survey and future traffic volume <br> - Destruction to environment is to be minimized. <br> - Appearance of the city and harmony of the construction and maintenance costs shall be considered. |

### 10.2 Network Plans

Actual concepts to reduce the future traffic congestion in urban area road network are as follows.

| Places where <br> congestion is <br> expected | Measures for solution | Necessary works |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Enkh Taivan Avenue | Cross point with railway and the road <br> at West part shail be improved. <br> (Central route) | Improvement- expansion at the west <br> end of Enkh Taivan Avenue in <br> connection with regional roads |
| Road parallel to <br> Enkh Taivan Avenue | It shall be improved as a bypass. <br> (called Northern route) | New construction / improvement at the <br> west side of Enkh Taivan Avenue to <br> the third district of Northem route <br> extention |
| Road to the northern <br> ger settlement | The restriction of farther development <br> prevents the negative environmental <br> effect. (Maintenance of the existing <br> roads should be continued.) | The widening of the existing bridge on <br> Tuul river is difficult from cost. <br> Alternative route shold be considered. |
| Road to airport | By withdraw of trolley bus, traffic <br> flow is more smooth. | Enkh Taivan Bridge |
| Teeverchid road due <br> to opening new <br> central market | It is expected to be widened. Connect <br> to the south side of railway through a <br> new fly-over. | New construction/ improvement of <br> missing sections of Southern route and <br> widening of the existing road |
| City center area | Middle ring road is required for <br> dispersing traffic from the city center <br> area. | New construction of missing sections <br> of Ring route and two fly-overs |

Conceptional expression of the above mentioned is shown in the figure below.


Based on the above concept, six alternative long-term road networks for year 2020 (coded as R2 to R7) were formulated by changing lane number and selection of route. Traffic forecasts were carried out for all these networks. Figure 10.4 .2 shows traffic flow for year 2020 for the future road network (R7). This can be termed as "With Project Case". It can be seen from this figure that the construction of new routes will contribute to the reduction of the traffic congestion, especially in the western part of Peace avenue.


Figure 10.4.2 Traffic Flow for Year 2020 (With Project - R7)

The following table is the summary of comparison of 6 altematives called as R2 to R7.

|  | Outline | Environment al affect and Resettiement | Financial cost (MUS§) | Benefit-Cost <br> Ratio B/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R2 | Principal road network plan for long term period by 2020 <br> All main roads are expanded to be 4 lanes | D | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ 246 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D} \\ 1.311 \end{gathered}$ |
| R3 | Reduced the northem route as 2 lanes. New road at the south side of TV stations. Improvement of Rd No 88 about 0.4 km | B | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 228 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ 1.396 \end{gathered}$ |
| R4 | Reduced the southern route as 2 lanes. | A | $\begin{gathered} B \\ 229 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B} \\ 1.402 \end{gathered}$ |
| R5 | Reduced the Naadamchidiin road as 2 lanes | C | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 231 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ 1.336 \end{gathered}$ |
| R6 | Reduced the Naadamchidiin road as 2 lanes <br> Northern route will connect from west Naran to Ardayush by 4 lanes. <br> Expand Teeverchid street to Peace avenue at the west end. <br> The roads of South of PS4 and PS3 are 2 lanes | C | $\begin{gathered} \text { D } \\ 237 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ 1.374 \end{gathered}$ |
| R7 | Modification of R6 <br> Khasbaatar road shall be 2 lanes | B | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 225 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ 1.423 \end{gathered}$ |

Notes: Rank, A; Good, B; Fair, C; Poor, D; Bad

In terms of traffic flow (i.e. average speed and VCR ), no substantial difference was found among the 6 altematives of R2 to R7, so the criteria of traffic flow was not considered for evaluation. From the above, R7 is selected as the best alternative, because of the least cost and the largest B/C ratio, and Fig.10.4.1 shows its routes.

Figure 10.4.1 Required Traffic Lane for Best Alternative R7 of Future Road Network in 2020

## Chapter 11 Study of Design Standards for Road and Bridges

### 11.1 Applicable Design Standards

The Mongolian standards are developed on the basis of Russian ones. Upon discussions with the Mongolian side it was agreed to follow AASHTO and JIS standards mentioned below:

- Geometric Design of Highways and Strects [AASHTO 1984]
- Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges [AASHTO 1992]
- Guide for Design of Pavement Structures [AASHTO 1986]
- Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) [Transportation Research Board, 1985]
- Application of Geometric Design Standard [Japan Road Association 1983]
- Traffic Capacity of Roads [Japan Road Association 1984]
- Specifications for Highway Bridges, [Japan Road Association 1996]

Part 1: Common specifications
Part 3: Concrete Bridges
Part 4: Substructure

### 11.2 Examination of Road Geometric Design Standard

The roads were classified into 5 types and a basic geometric standard for each type of road was established as shown in Table 11.2.1

Table 11.2.1 Basic Geometric Design Standard

| Category | $\begin{gathered} \text { Uni } \\ \mathbf{t} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | S: <br> Highway | A: Regional Road | B: Primary Road | C: City Road | D: <br> Residential |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Terrain |  | flat | flat | flat | flat | flat |
| Design Speed | $\mathrm{km} /$ h | 120 | 80 | 60 | 60 | 40 |
| Stop. Sight Distance | m | 210 | 110 | 110 | 75 | 40 |
| Lane Width | m | 3.75 | 3.5 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3 |
| Number of Lane | no. | 4 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 4,2 | 2,1 |
| Median Width | m | 4 or more | 1 or more | 3 | - | - |
| Inner Shoulder | m | 0.75 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | - |
| Outer Shoulder | m | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | - |
| Cross Slope | \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Min. Radius | m | 700 | 300 | 150 | 150 | 60 |
| Without Transition Curve | m | 2100 | 900 | 500 | 500 | 250 |
| Max. Gradient | \% | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| Min. Vertical Curve Length | m | 100 | 70 | 50 | 50 | 40 |
| Super Elevation | \% | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| V. Clearance | m | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |

Standard cross-section of each road is shown in Figure 11.2.1.


Cross Section for Improvement / Widening

Figure 11.2.1 Typical Cross Section

### 11.3 Examination of Road Traffic Capacities

Road traffic capacities were calculated on the basis of the American HCM (Highway capacity manual), AASHTO and Japanese standards (Road Association: Road Traffic Capacity).

The results are shown below.
Analysis of Traffic Capacity (Multi-lane)

| Description/Road | Highway | Regional <br> Road | Regional <br> Road | City <br> Road | City <br> Road | City <br> Road | City <br> Road |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Number | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |

Here the service level B for Highway, Regional roads and C for City roads is adopted in the column of applicability in the table below.

| Level | Running condition | Applicability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Low traffic volume and free driving at high speed | (Not Applicable) |
| B | Stable traffic flow with speed limited. Passing freedom is left for selection of speed and lane. | Applicable for designing of regional road Highway, Regional |
| C | In the limits of stable traffic flow, but speed and maneuverability are rather restrained due to high traffic volume. | Standard for city road designing <br> Primary, City Road |
| D | Unstable traffic flow, but tolerable speed could be kept. There is, almost, no freedom for driver to act. | (Not Applicable) |
| E | Unstable traffic flow with frequent stops. Rumning condition of road is similar to its traffic capacity condition. | (Not Applicable) |
| F | Low speed with restrained running. Short or even long time stops are occurring. Traffic flow exceeds road traffic capacity. |  |

### 11.4 Pavement Design

Cement concrete pavement was used in UB city in the past, but, because such type of pavement requires large investments in the beginning and its maintenance is not simple, asphalt pavement became the main type of pavement during the recent past years.

However, taking into consideration the durability of the cement concrete pavement, it is reconmendable to investigate its execution method in the extremely cold environment and consider, especially, the utilization of prefab method extensively used in construction of buildings and airport runways.

Almost, there is no permafrost in UUB. There is a fear of appearance of pavement damages due to freezing and thawing process. However, the southern part of UUB is formed from sand and gravel with high perviousness and its northern part from impervious soil both difficult to be
subjected to freezing and melting. Therefore, the consideration of this phenomenon was excluded from the study.

In the case of Mongolia, the main problem related to the pavement is the appearance of shrinkage cracks during the cold period and, still, there is no method for proper handling of this matter.

Therefore, it has decided to design the pavement with an analytical period of 20 years and utilization period of 10 years in accordance with the DNPS-86 program based on AASHTO.

### 11.5 Structural Design

Taking into account the trend towards increase of weight of vehicles, design load for bridges was taken, after comparison of AASHTO, JIS, Mongolian standards, etc., in accordance with the Japanese B-live load (TL-25).

The earthquake load was taken as the grade of 9 according to the Mongolian 12 -mark grading system (coefficient of a horizontal load is 0.1 g ). For the other kind of loads (earth pressure, water pressure, shear force, impact load, etc.), the Japanese standards were used.

## Super Structure

There are no steel bridges in Ulaanbaatar due to difficulty of importing of materials, worsening of the quality in the extremely cold environment and no fabrication system. Therefore, the bridges were decided to be of RC and PC types, and from the execution point of view, unified simple T-type ones are used. It was assumed that 15-20 m standard spans will be used for RCT-type bridges and $20-30 \mathrm{~m}$ spans for PCT-type ones.

Increase of weight of vehicles and traffic turn in the both left and right directions were taken into account during the designing of the fly-overs in 2 places. Their gencral view and standard cross-section are shown on Figure .11.6.1

## Pedestrian bridges

After the investigation of the places with a large number of traffic accidents, it was assumed that the construction of pedestrian bridges are mostly needed at the 3 locations and different options for solving the problem were considered. The construction of underpasses is difficult due to the existence of many underground facilities. It was, also concluded that pedestrian bridges without roof structures do not suit for usage in cold period. However, after the consideration of the utilization condition of the existing 2 pedestrian bridges, in manner of citizens and their priority in regards to construction of the other infrastructure facilities, it was agreed with the Mongolian side not to subject the pedestrian bridges to FS.


| Beam Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | Beam Height $(\mathrm{Hm})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 15 | 0.8 |
| 17.5 | 0.95 |
| 20 | 1.1 |

Reinforced Concrete Beam

| Beam length(m) | Beam Height(Hm) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 22.5 | 1.45 |
| 25 | 1.6 |
| 30 | 1.8 |

Prestressed Concrete Beam

Figure 11.6.1 Typical Cross Section of Super Structure

### 11.6 Car Parking Facilities

Car parking facilities are becoming a problem. At first, it is connected with traffic jams appearing due to parking of cars on the road side near business and commercial centers. The Study Team investigated the number of cars parked at typical places shown on Figure 11.9.1 where congestion is seen and considered necessary to have parking spaces. The necessity will be intensive because of the increase of number of cars in future.

Secondary, it is connected with car parking spaces inside the apartment blocks. It is impossible to keep a car in the open in a country where the temperatures reach (-) $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the winter. There are some concrete garages with heating systems. But, following the increase in the number of cars, the garages are in short supply and there is a strong tendency now to locate container garages in empty spaces without obtaining any approval for their usage. It is becoming a matter of concern that Ulaanbaatar will be filled up with containers used as garages.

It was decided to exclude the car parking problem from being covered by the FS. However, the following 2 points are better to be taken into account for the future:

1) While taking a policy for liquidation of containers located in empty places inside the apartment blocks, a law making the construction of garages underground or first floor obligatory should be adopted.
2) A law to ensure preservation of necessary lands for parking in commercial areas etc. for the future and control the construction of new buildings should be adopted.

### 11.7 Safety Facilities

Many traffic signals in the city do not operate because of breakage and shortage of spare parts and the traffic control function is instead fulfilled by policemen. Although the city main roads are equipped with lighting poles, in many places they are not supplied electric power due to the cost of electricity or breakage.

Road signs and road surface signs are lagging behind the traffic growth. Paint markings used for road surface signs is of short durability and signs disappear quickly. Clear painting of surface signs may significantly change the image of the roads in Ulaanbaatar.

Although the Traffic Police made a strong request for improvement of safety facilities, it was excluded from the $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$ because of limited time for the study. It is necessary for the traffic signal facilities to have a unified linked system and soft programs in the scale of the whole city. Therefore, the Study Team has made a general calculation of expenditures required just for intersection lighting, road signs and road surface signs and a detailed designing of the entire signal system has not been carried out.


### 11.8 Drainage Facilities

UUB main roads equipped with open ditches are the Enkhtaivan and Chingis avenues. The others are not equipped with such ditches. The reasons are:

1) Because the areas near UB are almost bare with no vegetation, the outflow ratio is high. When a little heavy rain have occurs in the summer, the discharged waters from the northern mountains quickly turns into floods and in a short time comes charing down to the roads inside the city. The necessary cross section of the ditch for handling this water should be of very large capacity.
2) On the other hand, annual precipitation is small, less than 300 mm , and, moreover, most parts of it falls during the summer. Therefore, drainage facilities are not designed because the period for which they are required is short. Budget has not been enough to cover the costs.
3) Even where drainage facilities are constructed, there is, usually, no water flowing in them. They are blocked with garbage and, at the crucial moment, they do not function properly.

As a result, when it rains, south-north direction roads turn into ditches and the rushing streams flow down to the low places. Damages are, especially, heavy on the eastern edge of Tolgoit road and require the necessity of installing drainage facilities with a large cross section.

Although the importance to have better drainage systems in the entire city is recognized, we have studied only the drainage facilities for road surface water flows in the Feasibility Study.

## Chapter 12 Cost Estimation of Future Road Network

### 12.1 Basic Conditions

Cost estimation was done under the following conditions

| 1 | Material Cost | - Local material $=$ standard price of Road Department, local construction and design companies. <br> - Fuel and oil for equipment $=$ Standard price of local market <br> - Import materials $=90 \%$ of standard unit price of data book of Japan +(plus) transportation cost, insurance fee and import tax (a $10 \%$ addition) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Labor cost | - Standard price of Road Department, local construction and design companies |
| 3 | Equipment <br> Cost | - Depreciation rate by Japanese standard + (plus) transportation cost, insurance fee and import tax (a $10 \%$ addition) <br> - Equipment cost excludes labor fee of operator, fuel and oil. (Consumption rate for fuel and oil are based on the standards of Japan) |
| 4 | Direct Cost | - Sum of material cost, labor cost \& equipment cost <br> - Efficiency of local labor $=40 \%$ of Japanese labor (except foreman) <br> - Equipment work efficiency = standards of Japan. |
| 5 | Indirect Cost | - Direct cost $\times 35 \%$ |
| 6 | Consulting <br> Cost | - (Direct cost + (plus) Indirect cost) $\times 10 \%$ |
|  | Contingency | - $10 \%$ of the above total |

The indirect cost includes the cost of head office, site office, temporary work, demolition and resettlement, safety measures and quality control.

The land acquisition cost was not estimated because all of land are still belonging to national govermment and there were no record of the payment in ensuring the land for public works.

In this Study, cost was split into two portion i.e. "local currency portion" and "foreign currency portion". The cost to be incurred in local currency (i.e. Tugrug) is termed as local currency portion. Similarly, the cost to be incurred in foreign currency is termed as foreign currency portion.

### 12.2 Unit Cost of Work Items

Based on the above conditions, unit cost of each work item is summarized in Table 12.2.1
Table 12.2.1 Unit Direct Cost of Each Work Items (Unit: US\$)

| Work items |  | Unit | Local Currency | Foreign Currency | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Repair A | See Remarks | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1.60 | 13.74 | 15.34 |
| Pavement Repair B | See Remarks | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1.66 | 13.80 | 15.46 |
| Pavement Repair C | See Remarks | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 4.44 | 31.31 | 35.75 |
| Pavement Repair D | See Remarks | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 7.15 | 44.71 | 51.86 |
| New Pavement | Embank | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 4.85 | 43.93 | 48.78 |
| New Shoulder | $\mathrm{H}=1.040 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 2.81 | 30.25 | 33.06 |
| Block pavement of Sidewalk |  | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 7.14 | 6.39 | 13.53 |
| Curb stone |  | m | 10.24 | 8.52 | 18.76 |
| Boundary Block |  | m | 8.63 | 7.55 | 16.18 |
| Pipe Culvert | $\emptyset 600,360[$ | m | 112.15 | 166.05 | 278.20 |
| Open Ditch | $500 / 2000 \times 500$ | m | 29.66 | 27.84 | 57.50 |
| Road Line | $\mathrm{W}=15 \mathrm{~cm}$ | m | 2.66 | 0.28 | 2.94 |
| Road Sign |  | place | 167.54 | 43.00 | 210.54 |
| Traffic Signal (New) | New | IS | 929. | 176,900. | 177,829. |
| Reflecting Chatter Bar |  | Place | 0.21 | 50.91 | 51.12 |
| Road Lighting | New | IS | 232. | 33,400. | 33,632. |
| Bus Stop | Rehabilitation | Place | 7,146. | 4,6956. | 11,842. |
| Bus Stop | New | Place | 7,207.50 | 6,589.53 | 13,797. |
| Bus Terminal | Rehabilitation | Place | 853,200. | 939,200. | 1,792,400. |
| Rehabili of Car Parking lot |  | Place | 44,400. | 313,100. | 357,500. |
| Pedestrian Bridge |  | Place | 45,900. | 52,600. | 98,500. |
| Pedestrian Under Pass |  | Place | 27,100. | 154,100. | 181,200. |
| Embankment | Borrow | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 0.56 | 17.42 | 17.98 |
| Base Course | $t=220 \mathrm{~mm}$ | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 2.05 | 6.91 | 8.96 |
| Approach Road | Bridge No. 10 | Place | 900. | 5,900. | 6,800. |
| Approach Road | Bridge No. 13 | Place | 1,800. | 11,800. | 13,600. |
| Approach Road | Bridge No. 14 | Place | 1,300. | 8,400. | 9,700. |
| Approach Road | Bridge No. 24 | Place | 1,300. | 8,400. | 9,700. |
| Approach Road | Bridge No. 27 | Place | 3,000. | 19,700. | 22,700. |
| Abutment | Bridge No. 12 | Place | 900. | 6,700. | 7,600. |
| Abutment | Bridge No. 28 | Place | 13,400. | 36,200. | 49,600. |
| Abutment | Bridge No. 29 | Place | 19,300. | 47,700. | 67,000. |
| Abutment | Bridge No. 32 | Place | 700. | 10,500. | 11,200. |
| Abutment | Bridge No. 40 | Place | 1,300. | 12,700. | 14,000. |
| Piers | Bridge No. 10 | Place | 12,800. | 32,700. | 45,500. |
| Piers | Bridge No. 21 | Place | 8,900. | 26,200. | 35,100. |
| Piers | Bridge No. 39 | Place | 1,800. | 5,200. | 7,000. |
| Flyover for Road | $\mathrm{W}=9.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 313,500. | 826,100. | 1,139,600. |
| Flyover for Railway | $\mathrm{W}=19.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 792,500. | 2,304,400. | 3,096,900. |
| Flyover for Railway | $\mathrm{W}=12.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 538,500. | 1,558,400. | 2,096,900. |
| Bridge for River | $\mathrm{W}=9.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 80,100. | 335,400 . | 415,500. |
| Bridge for River | $\mathrm{W}=12.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 96,500. | 373,400. | 469,900. |
| Bridge for River | $\mathrm{W}=19.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | Place | 160,200. | 670,800. | 831,000. |

Remarks: Costs of road repair works were estimated according to the classification of 4 kinds of current road conditions in the following table:

| Categories | Repair work item | Cost estimation subjects/methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pavement Repair A (Good sections) | Installation of missing manhole cover, improvement of pavement gap, repair of pot holes | Estimate cost for overlay ( 5 cm ) against $10 \%$ of total surface area |
| Pavement Repair B (Some amount of repair) | Adding above, partial overlay is necessary for the improvement of pavement conditions | Estimate cost for overlay against $50 \%$ of total surface area |
| Pavement Repair C (Large-scale repair) | Repair works are required from base course to surface judging from the current condition of damage. | Estimate cost for base course (39 cm ) and surface ( 5 cm ) for the total area. Replacing cost shall be included for such work. No replacement of subgrade. |
| Pavement Repair D (Full repait) | Adding above, $50 \%$ of subgrade is expected to be replaced. | Adding above, estimate cost for replacing of $50 \%$ of subgrade $(104 \mathrm{~cm})$ |

Table 12.4.1 shows the cost for all alternatives for long-term road network.
Table 12.4.1 Project Costs of R1-R7 (Unit: 1,000 US\$)

|  |  | Alternative Road Network |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Item | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | R5 | R6 | R7 |
| 1. | Material Cost | 75,632 | 43,182 | 40,133 | 40,312 | 40,704 | 41,669 | 40,148 |
| 2. | Labor Cost | 30,297 | 15,872 | 15,035 | 15,130 | 14,830 | 15,122 | 14,526 |
| 3. | Equipment Cost | 180,651 | 91,713 | 84,646 | 85,349 | 86,101 | 88,776 | 83,766 |
| 4. | Direct Cost | 286,580 | 150,766 | 139,814 | 140,791 | 141,634 | 145,568 | 138,440 |
| 5. | Indirect Cost | 100,303 | 52,768 | 48,935 | 49,277 | 49,572 | 50,949 | 48,454 |
| 6. | Consulting Cost | 38,688 | 20,353 | 18,875 | 19,007 | 19,121 | 19,652 | 18,689 |
| 7. | Contingency | 42,095 | 22,157 | 20,552 | 20,694 | 20,809 | 21,389 | 20,341 |
|  | Total Cost | 467,666 | 246,044 | 228,176 | 229,769 | 231,136 | 237,558 | 225,924 |

Altemative R7 shows the lowest cost among the 7 altematives. However, the total cost is about US\$ 226 million, which is close to the Mongolian national budget of US\$ 267million (1997). Out of the total cost of about US $\$ 226$ million for altemative R7, the share of local currency is about US\$ 62 million ( $27 \%$ ) and that of foreign currency is US $\$ 164$ million ( $74 \%$ ).

Total cost of each work in case of R7 is shown in Table 12.3.1. High cost will be required for Bridge (13\%) Pipe Culvert (18\%), New Pavement (17\%) and rehabilitation of pavement (18\%). Cross drainage pipe culvert is designed at every 50 m intervals to improve the storm drain conditions in UUB.

Table 12.3.1 Financial Costs of Each Work Items (R7)

| Work items | Quantities | Unit | Direct Cost | Total Cost | Ratio (\%) | (Unit: 1,000 US\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Foreign | Local |
| Pavement Repair A | 412,452 | m2 | 633 | 1,034 | 0.46\% | 108 | 926 |
| Pavement Repair B | 693,868 | m2 | 5,363 | 8,761 | 3.89\% | 941 | 7,820 |
| Pavement Repair C | 496,121 | m2 | 17,735 | 28,969 | 12.86\% | 3,598 | 25,371 |
| Pavement Repair D | 47,779 | m 2 | 2,478 | 4,048 | 1.80\% | 558 | 3,490 |
| New Pavement (Embankment $\mathrm{H}=1.040 \mathrm{~m}$ ) | 478,228 | m2 | 23,328 | 38,106 | 16.92\% | 3,789 | 34,318 |
| New Construction of Shoulder | 215,746 | m2 | 7,133 | 11,652 | 5.17\% | 990 | 10,662 |
| Block pavement of Sidewalk | 185,058 | m2 | 2,505 | 4,091 | 1.82\% | 2,158 | 1,933 |
| Curb stone | 160,895 | m | 3,018 | 4,929 | 2.19\% | 2,691 | 2,238 |
| Boundary Block | 254,571 | m | 4,120 | 6,730 | 2.99\% | 3,589 | 3,141 |
| ( $0600,360 \mathrm{deg}$.) | 91,655 | m | 25,499 | 41,652 | 18.49\% | 16,791 | 24,861 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Open Ditch } \\ & (500 / 2000 \times 500) \end{aligned}$ | 224,466 | m | 12,907 | 21,083 | 9.36\% | 10,875 | 10,208 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Road Line } \\ & (\mathrm{W}=15 \mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | 847,108 | m | 2,489 | 4,065 | 1.80\% | 3,681 | 384 |
| Road Sign | 4,093 | place | 862 | 1,408 | 0.62\% | 1,120 | 287 |
| Traffic Signal (New) |  |  | 534 | -872 | 0.39\% | 1,120 | 867 |
| Reflecting Chatter Bar | 600 | Place | 31 | 50 | 0.02\% | 0 | 50 |
| Road Lighting (New) |  | IS | 101 | 165 | 0.07\% | 1 | 164 |
| Rehabilitation of Bus | 142 | Place | 1,682 | 2,747 | 1.22\% | 1,658 | 1,089 |
| Stop (Rehabilitation excluding Curb stone) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,089 |
| New Construction of Bus |  | Place | 938 | 1,533 | 0.68\% | 801 | 732 |
| Stop (New construction excluding Curb stone) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rehabilitation of Bus Terminal |  | Place | 1,792 | 2,928 | 1.30\% | 1,394 | 1,534 |
| (Rehabilitation) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction of |  | Place | 99 | 161 | 0.07\% | 75 | 86 |
| Pedestrian Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Construction of Pedestrian Under Pass |  | Place | 181 | 296 | 0.13\% | 44 | 252 |
| Prime Coat at Shoulders | 719,326 | m2 | 246 | 402 | 0.18\% | 0 | 402 |
| Embankment | 240,054 | m3 | 4,317 | 7,05i | 3.13\% | 220 | 6,832 |
| (Borrow Materials) |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 6,82 |
| Grass on slope | 457,334 | m2 | 1,845 | 3,014 | 1.33\% | 143 | 2,871 |
| Base Course ( $\mathrm{t}=220 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | 68,000 | m2 | 609 | 995 | 0.44\% | 228 | 767 |
| Bridge Construction |  | L.S. | 17,447 | 28,500 | 12.65\% | 6,349 | 22,151 |
|  | Grand Total |  |  | 225,924 |  | 61,993 | 163,931. |

## Chapter 13 Economic Evaluation

### 13.1 General

In the master plan stage of the study, the purpose of economic evaluation is to compare the various altemative long-term road networks and identify the best alternative from the point of economic efficiency. The economic evaluation is carried out by estimating and comparing the benefit-cost ratio ( $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ ) for each alternative road network. The economic benefits derived from road improvement projects consist mainly of savings in Vehicle Operating Costs (VOC) and travel time.

### 13.2 Estimation of VOC

The HDM-VOC computer program was used to estimate VOC. This program predicts the various components of VOC using the input data related to roadway characteristics, vehicle characteristics and unit costs of resources consumed in vehicle operation such as fuel, tire, spare parts etc.
The input data for HDM-VOC was collected through field and road inventory survey. The price of new vehicles was collected through interviews with car dealers and truck companies. The average vehicle utilization in terms of kms per year, hours per year and age of vehicle was estimated by the study team in discussions with Road Department, and is shown in Table 13.5.1. VOC vary considerably depending on the type of vehicle and road surface condition. The three vehicle types and their representative models used for estimation of VOC is shown in Table 13.3.1.

Table 13.3.1 Vehicle Type and their Representative Model

| Vehicle Type | Representative Models |
| :--- | :--- |
| Passenger car | Sonata, a mid-size Korean car |
| Bus | Karosa, a Checko-made bus |
| Truck | Kamaz 53212, a Russian made truck |

The five categories of road surface condition were considered depending on its International Roughness Index (IRI in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{km}$ ) value as follows. Road roughness data was obtained from road inventory survey.
(1) Good $\quad$ (IRI $<=4$ )
(2) Fair $(4<\mathrm{IRI}<7)$
(3) Poor $\quad(7<=\mathrm{IRI}<9)$
(4) Very Poor (IRI $>=9$ )
(5) Very Poor-Unpaved (IRI $>=12$ )

Table 13.5.1 Input Data for Estimating VOC

| S. No | Item | Car | Truck | Bus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Vehicle Characteristics |  |  |  |  |
| 1.1 | No. of axles | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 1.2 | No. of tires | 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 1.3 | Tare weight (kg) | 1250 | 8000 | 10000 |
| 1.4 | Load carried (kg) | 200 | 10000 | 0 |
| 2. Vehicle Utilization |  |  |  |  |
| 2.1 | Annual veh-kms | 15,500 | 29,200 | 87,000 |
| 2.2 | Annual veh-hrs | 375 | 910 | 2,400 |
| 2.3 | Average service life (yrs) | 9 | 12 | 9 |
| 2.4 | Average age (in kms ) | 65,000 | 175,000 | 360,000 |
| 2.5 | Hourly utilization Ratio | 0.6 | 0.85 | 0.67 |
| 2.6 | Average Occupancy | 2.54 | 2.0 | 35 |
| 3. Economic Costs (US\$) |  |  |  |  |
| 3.1 | New vehicle price | 7450 | 21820 | 100,000 |
| 3.2 | Fuel price (per lt) | 0.216 | 0.217 | 0.217 |
| 3.3 | Lubricant price (per lt) | 1.67 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| 3.4 | Single tire price | 50 | 105 | 163.00 |
| 3.5 | Crew time cost (per hr) | 0.21 | 0.82 | 0.40 |
| 3.6 | Passenger delay cost (per hr) | 0.29 | 0.15 | 0.15 |
| 3.7 | Maintenance labor cost (per hr) | 0.88 | 1.10 | 0.20 |
| 3.8 | Annual interest rate (\%) | 10 | 10 | 10 |

The estimated unit VOC by type of vehicle and road surface condition is shown in Table 13.5.2.
Table 13.5.2 Unit VOC by Vehicle Type in Ulaanbaatar City

| Vehicle Type | (US\$ per 1000 veh-km) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Good | Fair | Poor | Very Poor | Unpaved |
| Car | 118.42 | 138.75 | 171.69 | 215.78 | 314.33 |
| Truck | 225.80 | 266.61 | 316.64 | 374.41 | 505.62 |
| Bus | 675.80 | 696.00 | 719.20 | 736.58 | 788.08 |

### 13.3 Economic Evaluation of Alternative Road Networks

Six alternative long-term road networks for the year 2020 (coded as R2 to R7) were formulated in Chapter 10. These alternative road networks are economically evaluated and compared for the purpose of identifying the best alternative from the point of cost performance. The economic evaluation is carried out by estimating and comparing the benefit-cost ratio $(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C})$ of these alternatives in year 2020, which is the target year for the formation of long-term road network plan.

To estimate the economic benefits, the total VOC for whole of the network for year 2020 is estimated for both "without case" and "with case" for all the alternative road networks. The
difference in total VOC for "without case" and "with case" is the VOC benefits for year 2020. The savings in travel time have been included in the savings of VOC.
For economic analysis, it is necessary to estimate economic costs. They are the costs incurred by the society, and are estimated by deducting transfer payments such as taxes and social charges from financial cost. As of July 1, 1998, the value added tax on material and equipment was $10 \%$. The share of income tax, social insurance and employment insurance was estimated to be about $40 \%$ of labor cost. So, economic costs were estimated by deducting $10 \%$ from material and equipment cost and $40 \%$ from labor cost.

From the total economic cost, annual cost (PMT) was estimated by taking the project life to be 20 years and an amnual interest rate of $10 \%$ per annum as follows:
$\mathrm{PMT}=\mathrm{PV} \times \mathrm{r} \times\left[(1+\mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{n}}\right] /\left[(1+\mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right]$
Where,
PMT $=$ Annual Cost
$\mathrm{PV}=$ Total Economic Cost of Road Network Improvement
$r=$ Rate of Interest $=10 \%$ per annum
$\mathrm{n}=$ Economic Life of Project $=20$ years

Economic benefits are estimated as the savings in the total VOC cost for a year. The ratio $B / C$ is tabulated by comparing the benefits of the year and the annualized investment cost.

The results of economic evaluation are summarized in Table 13.6.1. As can be seen from the table, altemative R 7 has the highest $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ ratio at 1.423 and is thus the best alternative in terms of cost performance.

Table 13.6.1 Economic Evaluation of Alternative Road Networks (R2 to R7)
(Costs and Benefits are in US\$)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Future } \\ \text { Road } \\ \text { Network } \end{gathered}$ | Total Economic Cost | Annual Economic Cost | Annual Economic Benefit |  |  | Benefit-Cost <br> Ratio (B/C) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | VOC Savings | Time Savings | Total Savings |  |
| R2 | 236,117,000 | 27,734,214 | 33,259,146 | 3,107,590 | 36,366,736 | 1.311 |
| R3 | 218,855,000 | 25,706,626 | 32,821,302 | 3,069,104 | 35,890,406 | 1.396 |
| R4 | 220,378,000 | 25,885,517 | 33,211,227 | 3,084,807 | 36,296,034 | 1.402 |
| R5 | 221,810,000 | 26,053,719 | 31,825,811 | 2,989,687 | 34,815,499 | 1.336 |
| R6 | 227,979,000 | 26,778,328 | 33,649,703 | 3,152,383 | 36,802,086 | 1.374 |
| R7 | 216,796,000 | 25,464,777 | 33,136,937 | 3,089,819 | 36,226,756 | 1.423 |

## Chapter 14 Funding Sources for Road Development

### 14.1 Current Budget

The central government prepares the budget for roads from the state budget and Road Fund.
In 1997, revenue of the State Budget was 214 billion tugrugs (about US\$ 267 million) and expenditure was 291 billion tugrugs (about US $\$ 366$ million). From that, 4 billion tugrugs (about US\$ 5 million) were allocated as the road budget for $1997,10 \%$ of which or 400 million tugrugs (about US $\$ 0.5$ million) were received by UB city.

A Master Plan for Improvement of Roads in Mongolia was worked out in 1995 under the assistance of the ADB. It ensures that the road users should pay for the total cost of roads. If this total cost will be paid from vehicle tax, foreign vehicle transit fee and fuel tax (about 14\%), then the economic benefit should exceed the road maintenance cost. The plan estimates the necessary cost for road maintenance for the whole of Mongolia as US $\$ 13.8$ million.

The UB Fund and the Capital City Road Fund are different funds.
With the coming into force the Road Law in Febniary 1998, the local governments including UB city, became able to form independently their own road funds (Fig. 14.1.1). Since February 1997, a fee of 200 tugrugs is collected for the UB Fund from each car entering UB from the west and east edges and it is estimated to total 110 million tugrugs in a year. At present, the UB city administration is considering to transfer this source of revenue to the Capital City Road Fund established in 1998.

At present, Mongolia has received a loan of US\$ 53 million in total from the Kuwait Fund, WB and ADB for road construction and its amortization should start from 2001.


Figure 14.1.1 Formation of Road Funds

### 14.2 Funds in Future

An estimation of the possible road budget for Mongolia was made on the following assumptions:

1) The annual growth rate of the national budget was assumed as 3.0-3.6\% based on its growth rate of $3.6 \%$ / year in the past and forecast of GDP.
2) Taking into account the Government policy giving much importance to road infrastructure, it was assumed that the increasing ratio of the budget for branches other than roads will be kept at a level of $3.0 \%$ per annum. Assuming that the difference between the national budget and the budget mentioned above will be allocated as a road budget, then its increasing ratio will look as shown in Figure 14.4.1.
3) The increasing ratio of expenses for road construction and improvement from the road budget was assumed as $4 \%$ per annum taking those expenses for 1997 as a base.
4) According to the ADB report, the budget required for road maintenance in 1997 was to be US $\$ 13.8$ million, but, in reality, it was just Tg .1 .1 billion (US $\$ 1.36$ million). Therefore, its increasing ratio was taken as $1.0 \%$ to ensure its steady growth up to 2020.
5) Amortization for the present loans which should start from 2001 is shown in Table 14.4.2.

Table 14.4.2 Amortization of Present Road Loan

| Finance Agency (1) | Year of Contract <br> (2) | Anrount of Loan (3) M\$ | Annusl <br> Rate of Interest <br> (4) $\%$ | Redempt ion period (5) yrs | Grace Period <br> (6) yrs | Annusl Anorti zation Ratio (7) $\%$ | Annual <br> Amoni zation Amount (9) $=(3)$ $\mathrm{x}(7) \mathrm{M} \$$ | 2000 | 2001 | 2002-4 | 2005 -7 | 2008 -15 | $\begin{array}{r} 2016 \\ -20 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. IBRD | 1992 | 1.374 | 0.75 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2. IBRD | 1995 | 2.7 | 0.75 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 3. ADB | 1995 | 25. | 1 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 1.0 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| 4. | 1996 | 18.2 | 2.5 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 |  |
| Kuwait |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. IBRD | 1995 | 5.7 | 0.75 | 40 | 10 | 4 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Total |  | 52.974 |  |  |  |  | 3.0 | 0 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 |

6) The balance between 2) and 3) - 5) is an acceptable amortization for future loans (Figure 14.4.2), and having that balance, the amortization will become possible for the first time beyond 2010 .
7) If the grace period is 10 years, then it will be possible to get new loans starting from 2000 , and as Table 14.4 .6 shows, their total amount will be US $\$ 34$ million in 2000 and may increase up to US\$66 million if starting from 2005.

Table 14.4.6 Acceptable Amount of Loan

|  |  |  | 1997 | 2000 | 2005 | 2010 | 2015 | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | Budget for Roads | (M\$) | 5.45 | 11.1 | 19.6 | 26.7 | 31.3 | 35.9 |
| 16 | for Improvements | (M\$) | 4.09 | 4.6 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 9.0 | 10.1 |
| 17 | for Maintenance | (M\$) | 1.36 | 6.5 | 11.2 |  |  |  |
| 18 | for Target Maintenance |  | (13.8) | (138) | (14.5) | 15.2 | 16.0 | 16.8 |
| 19 | for Present Loan | (M\$) |  | ---2 | - 2.8 | 3.0 | 30 | 3.4 |
| 20 | for Future Loans | (M\$) | - | - |  | 1.7 | 3.3 | 5.6 |
| 21 | Cumulative Acceptable Loans (\$million) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Situ.-1 } \\ & \text { Situ.-2 } \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 34 \\ 17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 66 33 | 152 76 |  |  |

In 1998, the Road Department made a request to OECF for a loan of $¥ 3$ billion (US $\$ 25$ million) for the projects for construction of roads under its jurisdiction. This loan is not yet approved. If the implementation of the projects will start in 2000, then the amount of Cumulative Acceptable Loans will be significantly decreased and if will he necessary to look for other sources for the improvement of UUB road network.


Figure 14.4.1 National Budget Forecast


Figure 14.4.2 Road Budget

## Chapter 15 Selection of Projects for Feasibility Study

### 15.1 Outline of the Selection

The most appropriate option for the long-term road network (R7) was selected among 6 alternatives as mentioned in Chapter 10.

This road network includes the roads connecting with the 6 satellite towns and villages making GUB, and the projects for FS are limited in the area of UUB as agreed by the minutes of meeting on August 29, 1997.

For the development of R 7 as the most appropriate long-term road plan, following improvements of the existing roads are to be taken into accounts. And all of these improvements are selected as the targets for Feasibility Study.

|  | Items to be improved and their Conceptions | Route name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The Enkhtaivan avenue, as the central road, can perform the function for a while in its present form. But, because the width of the road in its western end suddenly becomes narrow and, also, crosses over the railway diagonally, it was expected to improve this section. New road construction, road widening, new railway crossing construction works will be carried out. | Central Route |
| 2 | To plan a separate route on the northern side (utilizing as much as possible the existing road to the east of the northern road section of the middle ring road) to ease the congestion expected on the Enkhtaivan avenue and avoid the risk in case of emergency. <br> For that purpose, construction works are required on the following 3 sections: | North Route |
| 2.1 | To expand the NW Tolgoit Road from its 2-lane width to 4-lane w | North-West |
| 2.2 | To construct a new road in the mountainous zone of the central part and connect it with the main road of the 3rd district. | NorthCentral |
| 2.3 | Because the 3rd district road is a daily life service road and traffic restraints will be put there, to construct a new section from Khasbaatar road through the empty space beside the TV tower up to the existing road there, and through the improvement of the latter, to the new section with the 3rd district road. | North-East |
| 3 | To consider the south route based on the existing road and connect the western end of Teeverchid street by fly-over. | South Route |
| 3.1 | To widen urgently Teeverchid street in order to handle the increased 'traffic volume following the opening of the new central market. | South-East |
| 3.2 | The west end of Teeverchid street will be left connected with the Enkhtaivan avenue by the existing route at the first stage. |  |
|  | However in order to ease the congestion on Enkhtaivan avenue, an investigation was carried out for the route where the western end of Teeverchid road goes out to the south over the railway. | South Flyover |
| 3.3 | To widen Dund river road up to Ajilchin street and connect to the flyover on the western end of Teeverchid street. | SouthCentral |
| 3.4 | To improve the existing road from the south of the Power station- 4 to the north of the Power station-3. | South-West |
| 3.5 | To overlay the existing road with damaged pavement from Ajilchin | South-South |


|  | street to Chingis avenue in order to handle the existing traffic. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | To define the middle ring road in order to restrict the traffic in the center of city in future. | Middle Ring Route |
| 4.1 | To investigate the construction of a new road on a missed section by passing the central stadium from the new market to the rotary with the tank monument. This road will, also serve as the route connecting 2 central roads with the south route. | Water Resource Area |
| 4.2 | To investigate the construction of a fly-over over the missing section of the ring road on the intersection of Teeverchid road and the railway (near the bus terminal). With the completion of this fly-over, ease of congestion on Chingis avenue is expected. | Bus <br> TerminalFlyover |
| 4.3 | Even now on the intersection of the existing ring road with Enkhtaivan avenue is arranged in a irregular way (not allowing traffic movement in a straight way) and the congestion observed even now. Further traffic congestion is sure with the completion of the new central market. Therefore, a fly-over here should be considered. | East Intersection Flyover |
| 5 | To investigate the improvement of 2 existing intersections in the city where traffic problems are caused. | Intersection |
| 6 | To investigate the standard cross-section of road drainage facilities in the city | Drainage facilities |
| 7 | To identify locations and approximate cost of construction of parking places to reduce the traffic congestion. | Car parking places |
| 8 | To estimate the cost of and pedestrian bridges, pedestrian underground passes, pedestrian crossings, traffic signs, road surface signs and signals as safety measures. | Crossings and safety facilities |

### 15.2 Projects for the Feasibility Study

Table 15.2.1 shows the route name with roads consisting them and the roads by bold character show the expected works for Feasibility Study to complete the road network "R7".

Figure15.1.1 shows the locations of the projects from 1 to 4 of above table.

Table 15.2.1 Roads \& Bridge Names of Each Group and the Projects for F/S


## Part 2

Feasibility Study of Selected Projects

## Chapter 16 Preliminary Design of F/S Projects

### 16.1 Design Procedures

Design was executed in the following way.


### 16.2 Road Bridges

If all existing bridges in 2 -lanes on North-West and South-East roads are to be widened, the existing 2 lanes will be continued for use. The new bridge to be constructed in parallel will be designed with 2 lanes. In case of construction of a new bridge, it is recommended to align basically the center of the road with the center of the bridge, although there remains difficult problems in the construction stage. Among the existing bridges, 4 bridges require improvements.

### 16.3 Drainage facilities

At present, pipes and open ditches were installed partially as road drainage facilities inside urban areas of the city. However, their capacity is not enough. Their capacity is decreased further because of inadequate maintenance. There is a standard for roads in the city to be equipped with pipes, but upon discussions with the city authorities, it was agreed to design open ditches in sub-urban areas of the city because of their easiness to clean.

A more critical problem than the handling of the road surface water is the rushing streams coming from the northern mountainous side during rains. UB city government installed large emergency water-ways in city area for the treatment of the rushing streams. However those capacities are still not enough and sometimes the road itself become a large water-way from the northern mountain area to southern Tuul river. In these cases, the roads traffic are obliged to be interrupted for the time being at some areas. One of the reasons of these troubles come from the interruption of water flow by the embankment of railway and roads which are stretching from east to west as a dike. The Study Team proposed to improve especially two openings for water flow where the capacity is in short.

### 16.4 Pavement Design

The pavement design is summarized in Chapter 11 describing the methods. The traffic volume was evaluated as shown below on 4 kinds of roads. With the prediction of the heavy vehicle traffic volume for 10 years starting from 2000 , the following estimation for the design capacity of the pavement was performed.

Table 16.6.1 Heavy Traffic Volume in 2005 and 2020

| Categories | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { ADT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { ADT } \end{aligned}$ | $2005$ <br> Heavy <br> Traffic | Equivalent 18 kip single axle loads number | Design Thickness (cm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Base Course | Binder | Surface |
| 4 lane road A | 18,400 | 15,000 | 3,000 | 492,000 | 28 | 6 | 4 |
| 4 lane road B | 25,200 | 20,000 | 4,000 | 656,000 | 32 | 6 | 4 |
| 2 lane road A | 2,600 | 2,400 | 480 | 78,000 | 35 | - | 5 |
| 2 lane road B | 11,700 | 9,000 | 1,800 | 295,000 | 23 | 6 | 4 |

### 16.5 Improvement of Bus Stops

### 16.5.1 Division of the Bus Stop Area

At present all buses come to the stop area (bus bay and road side) and people move back and forth to catch a bus. In order to reduce the confusion at the crowded bus stops, the stop area should be extended by 10 m to have two separate groups each having its own designated routes. At the side of each group there must be a bus information board showing routes, frequency and other pertinent information. Bus drivers should stop at one designated group area. The following busy bus bays are selected for improvement.

|  | Enkh Taivan Ave. <br> (East Cross Rd)- <br> (Ajilchin Circle) | Ard Ayush Road <br> (Trolley end point in Dist <br> 3/4) - (Ard/Amarasana J) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stop without bay <br> Stop with bus bay | 6 | 1 |
| Total | 15 | 3 |

The total cost on the above 2 sections are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
7 \text { stops } * 0.9 \text { million }=\text { Tug } 6.3 \text { million }=\$ 7,500 \\
18 \text { bays* } 1.5 \text { million }=\text { Tug } 22.5 \text { million }=\$ 26,800 \\
\text { Total } \$ 34,300
\end{gathered}
$$

### 16.5.2 Bus Lanes and Bus Priority Lanes

It is considered no need to enforce immediately rules of giving priority on bus transport since traffic congestion is not so heavy. Need may arise after several years. Accordingly, in the coming years, discussions with other agencies including traffic police should be held to prepare the implementation.

## Chapter 17 Construction Method for F/S Projects

### 17.1 Key points for construction of F/S projects

In general, the followings should be taken into consideration in the construction of roads and bridges in UUB :

1. Construction period is limited from May to October due to severe weather conditions.
2. Asphalt pavement construction period is from June to September, if pavement works are carried out on days of more than plus 5 degrees C .
3. On hauling hot asphalt mixture from mixing plant to site, covering cloths and insulator is necessary for trucks to keep the temperature of the mixture.
4. Early compaction is necessary after laying of hot mixture.
5. Sufficient application of tack coat for the construction joints is necessary.
6. Rocks can be expected during excavation in most areas of UUB. And, normal soil is frozen in early spring and late autumn. As a preparation for excavation of frozen soil, a large size excavator is required.
7. There is a possibility to drive sheet piles into frozen soil or hard stratum where N value is more than 50 . Therefore, auger or breaker is necessary.
8. Temperature keeping facility is required to avoid freezing during the preparation of cement-concrete mixture.
9. Large size truck crane is unavailable in UUB. However, large size rail cranes of Mongolian Railway could be used for construction of fly-over on railways.

### 17.2 Key points on each route

Roads for the Feasibility Study were divided into 4 routes.
Major points to be taken into consideration on each route are summarized as follows:

| Central route | - Measures for the embankment on soft ground stratum <br> - Safety measures at several railway crossings. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Northern route | - West section: Measures for residential people because the route will past through the residential area <br> - Middle section: Slope protection measures against rushing streams during raining on many embankment and excavation areas. <br> - Eastern section: Traffic control facilities are necessary because surrounding areas of the section will transfer to be the city business area. |
| Southern route | - Eastern section: Safety measures due to heavy traffic volumes <br> - Fly-over section: Safety measures for railways <br> - Middle section: Available land is narrow. Safety control required for vehicles. <br> - Western section No special items |
| Middle Ring | - Water resource section: Measures for the water supplied for the construction works. Safety control for trains. |


| Route | Fly-over at East Cross: 'Traffic control |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | - Fly-over at Bus terminal: Safety control for train. Traffic control. |

### 17.3 Construction method based on above conditions

| Road earth works and <br> pavement: | No special problems except work efficiency considering above <br> conditions. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Foundation works of <br> bridge: | Usage of sheet piles shall be decided by prior checking of soils at site <br> about their freezing status and their hardness. |
| Fly-overs: | Two kinds of methods will be proposed, <br> 1) by truck crane <br> 2) by portal crane <br> Taking into account the current condition of equipment (especially of <br> large size truck crane, etc. locally available, it seems necessary to consider <br> the import of equipment. However, truck crane is recommended because <br> of its possibility for various works in the site where minimum number of <br> equipment be prepared, although its operation cost is high. |
| East cross intersectionIt has high traffic volume. <br> Serious discussion with traffic police is essential for designing of <br> temporary facilities and traffic management. Right of way is not enough <br> on the southern side. Open land on the south-east side, despite of its <br> unevenness, may be utilized as temporary fabrication yard. |  |
| Discussions with the railway authorities are essential for designing and <br> construction of fly-overs near the bus terminal and western end of <br> Teeverchid road. |  |

### 17.4 Construction Periods

Construction period for each project is assumed as follows (excluding period for preparation of design and tender documents):

| Central route | 2 years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Northern route | 4 years |
| Southern route | 6 years |
| Middle Ring Route | 3 years |

Table 17. 4. 8 Construction Schedule for All Projects

|  | Cost | Ratio $1^{\text {st }}$ Year |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Year $4^{\text {th }}$ Year $5^{\text {th }}$ Year $6^{\text {th }}$ Year $7^{\text {th }}$ Year $8^{\text {th }}$ Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Route | 5.6 | 5.3\% | $100 \%$ | 35.0\% | 55.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 2.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| North Route | 35.3 | 33.3\% |  |  |  | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 20.0\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.3\% | 3.3\% | 10.0\% | 10.0\% | 6.7\% |
| South Route | 46.7 | 44.1\% |  | $50 \%$ | 10.0\% | 20.0\% | 200\% | 20.0\% | 15.0\% | 10.0\% |
|  |  |  |  | 2.2\% | 4.4\% | 8.8\% | 8.8\% | 8.8\% | 6.6\% | 4.4\% |
| Ring Road | 18.4 | 17.4\% |  |  | 10.0\% | 5.0\% | 35.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | 8.7\% |  |  |
| Total | $\begin{array}{r} 106.1 \\ \text { (MUS\$) } \end{array}$ | 100.0\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% | 9.1\% | 13.0\% | 18.2\% | 27.5\% | 16.6\% | 11.1\% |
| Notes | - D | Design |  |  |  | uction |  |  |  |  |

### 17.5 Necessary Equipment and Utilization of Equipment AIready Supplied

Table 17.5.2 shows main equipment necessary for the execution of $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$ projects and equipment supplied by the previous grant aid projects. Almost all of the equipment supplied are able to be used at F/S project. However, additional equipment such as equipment for concrete works and cranes are required.

Table 17.5.2 Comparison of necessary main equipment and supplied by the grant aid project

| Model | Required numbers <br> in FS project | Supplied numbers <br> in Grant project |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Asphalt finisher | 1 | 1 |
| Back hoe | 2 | 7 |
| Bulldozer | 1 | 3 |
| Motor grader | 1 | 2 |
| Tire roller | 2 | 2 |
| Macadam roller | 1 | 2 |
| Dump truck | 26 | 29 |
| Large hydraulic breaker* | 1 | 1 |
| Concrete mixer | 5 |  |
| Concrete pump | 1 |  |
| Truck crane $(60$ ton) | 2 |  |
| Asphalt plant (60 ton) | 1 |  |
| Note: ${ }^{*}$ For excavating rock and frozen soil |  |  |

## Chapter 18 Cost Estimation for F/S Project

### 18.1 Conditions

Cost estimation for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$ project is done, basically, by using the same unit price (for materials, equipment and labor) and methods as mentioned in Chapter 12 "Cost Estimation for Future Road Network".

However, the following conditions are added due to changes in conditions in the course of $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{S}$ :

| Item | Estimation in this Chapter | Estimation in Chapter 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| New pavement | Total 10 cm (2 layers) according to pavement design | Assumed and estimated as 7 cm (2 layers) in total |
| Embankment of roads | According to the results of the survey, the height of embankment varies between 1.0 m 3.8 m . It was divided into and estimated in 3 groups: a) 1.5 m, b) 2.1 m and c) 3.8 m | All embankments were assumed to be of 1.5 m |
| Cutting work | Cuttings at 2 places on the new construction sections were taken into consideration | Not considered |
| Underground pipe | From the results of current condition survey it was decided to lay at every 100 m | Assumed to lay D60 cm pipe at every 50 m |
| Extension of existing underground pipe | Designing of 4 kinds of pipe (D1.0 m-D3.0 m) in accordance with the expansion of road width | Not considered |
| Slope protection for cutting area | Mortar lining | Not considered |
| Widening of river dike | It should be considered because the road widening in some places affect the river dike | Not considered |
| Gutter | It was designed for all roads in accordance with the Mongolian standard except repairing sections | Not considered |

### 18.2 Summary of Cost

The cost by work items is shown in Table 18.3.1 and by route (group) is summarised in Table 18.3.4. The total cost of all F/S projects is about US $\$ 116$ million as shown in Table 18.3.5.

The indirect cost includes the cost of head office, site office, temporary work, demolition and resettlement, safety measures and quality control. The cost of resettlement and demolition is about US $\$ 1.23$ million and US $\$ 66,800$ respectively, and are included in the indirect cost. The cost of land acquisition was not considered in this Study because the land of existing and planned projects is owned by the government of Mongolia.

The cost of new 16 bridges shows the largest amount and makes up $30 \%$ of the total cost. Then comes new pavement of $270,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ at $20 \%$, embankment of $420,000 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ at $10 \%$, followed by drainage facilities.

Table 18.3.1 Each Work Item Cost (F/S Project)

|  | Financial cost (Unit: 1,000 US\$) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work items | Specification | Quantities | Unit | Direct Cost | Total Cost | Ratio <br> (\%) |
| Pavement Repair A | (Good sections) | 103,882 |  | 803 | 1,312 | 1.13\% |
| Pavement Repair B | (Some amount of repair) | 41,684 | m2 | 1,490 | 2,434 | 2.10\% |
| Pavement Repair C | (Large-scale repair) | 53,163 | m2 | 2,757 | 4,504 | 3.88\% |
| Pavement Repair D-1 | (Full repair Asphalt 100 mm ) | 43,140 |  | 1,725 | 2,818 | 2.43\% |
| Pavement Repair D-2 | (Full repair Asphalt 50 mm ) | 2,481 | m 2 | 78 | 2,818 | 0.11\% |
| New Pavement 1-1 | With embankment $\mathrm{H}=1.040 \mathrm{~m}$ Asphalt 100 mm | 270,352 | m2 | 14,334. | 23,415 | 20.17\% |
| Sidewalk | Concrete Plate Block | 224,824 | m 2 | 3,043 | 4,970 | 4.28\% |
| Curb stone |  | 89,160 | m | 1,672 | 2,732 | 2.35\% |
| Boundary Block |  | 43,484 | m | 704 | 1,150 | 0.99\% |
| Pipe Culvert Pipe Culvert | $\emptyset 600$ | 10,140 | m | 2,821 | 4,608 | 3.97\% |
| Pipe Culvert <br> Pipe Culvert | $\emptyset 1000$ | 265 | m | 189 | 308 | 0.27\% |
| Pipe Culvert <br> Pipe Culvert | $\emptyset 1500$ | 161 | m | 198 | 323 | 0.28\% |
| Pipe Culvert | $\square \square^{\square} 20000$ |  |  | 190 | 310 | 0.27\% |
| Open Ditch | $500 / 2000 \times 500$ | 56,206 | m | 3,232 | 1,137 | 0.98\% |
| Gutter |  | 1,124 |  | +310 | re33 | $4.55 \%$ $0.72 \%$ |
| Road Line | $\mathrm{W}=15 \mathrm{~cm}$ | 173,240 |  | 509 | 831 | 0.72\% |
| Road Sign |  | $\therefore 602$ |  | 127 | 207 | 0.18\% |
| Traffic Signal (New) | New |  | IS | 2,696 | 4,403 | 3.79\% |
| Rehabilitation of Bus Stop | Rehabilitation excluding Curb stone |  |  | 154 | 251 | 0.22\% |
| New Construction of Bus Stop | New construction excluding Curb stone |  |  | 262 | 428 | 0.37\% |
| Embankment | Borrow Materials | 416,233 | m3 | 7,161 | 11,698 | 10.07\% |
| Embankment | Borrow Materials | 10,335 | m3 | 186 | 304 | 0.26\% |
| Mortar lining |  | 23,500 | m2 | 291 | 475 | 0.41\% |
| Excavation |  | 27,451 | m3 | 196 | 320 | 0.28\% |
| Excavation and Disposal <br> Bridge Construction |  | 217,150 | m3 | 2,743 | 4,481 | 3.86\% |
| Bridge Construction |  | 16 | LS | 21,622 | 35,319 | 30.42\% |
| Environmental protection | Grass on slope | 170,827 | m2 | 686 | 1,120 | 0.96\% |
|  | Tree plantation | 1 | LS |  | 15 | 0.01\% |
|  |  |  |  | Total | 116,253 |  |

Table 18.3.4: Summary of Costs for Each Route
Unit: 1000 US $\$$

|  |  | Name of Route  <br>  ItemCentral <br> Route <br> 1. Material Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 18.3.5 : Summary of Costs of F/S Project
Unit: 1000 US\$

|  |  | Unit: 1000 US $\$$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F/S Project | Local <br> Currency <br> Portion | Foreign <br> Currency <br> Portion | Total Cost |

## Chapter 19 Economic Evaluation of F/S Projects

### 19.1 General

In the feasibility stage, economic evaluation was carried out to check the economic viability of project investment and determine their priority from the point of economic efficiency. Economic analysis was done using the standard cost-benefit techniques in which costs are compared with benefits over the project life. Three economic indices were estimated i.e. B/C (Benefit-Cost Ratio), IRR (Internal Rate of Retum) and NPV (Net Present Value).

### 19.2 Methodology of Economic Evaluation

## (1) Grouping of F/S Projects

The F/S projects were grouped into 4 traffic corridors or routes as given in Chapter 15. Each route was evaluated separately and, also all routes taken together were evaluated.

## (2) Estimation of Benefits for Each Route

Construction and improvement of road projects result in following main type of economic benefits to the society.

1) Savings in Vehicle Operating Costs (VOC)
2) Savings in travel time to passengers and freight
3) Increase in road traffic safety
4) Employment generation especially during the construction stage
5) Reduction in environmental pollution
6) Increased opportunities for industrial development

In this study, only the first two types of benefits viz. savings in VOC and savings in travel time were estimated. These two types of benefits constitute the major portion of benefits arising from the road improvement projects. The other types of benefits are difficult to estimate and quantify in monetary terms and thus were not estimated in this study.

To estimate the benefits, the total VOC and the total travel time were calculated for each route in two cases, the "With Project Case" and the "Without Project Case". The difference in total VOC and travel time between these two cases is the total benefit as shown in Figure 19.2.1. Benefits were estimated for year 2010 and year 2020 through traffic assignment and, for other years through interpolation or extrapolation. VOC was estimated by vehicle type and road surface condition


Figure 19.2.1 Sketch Diagram for Project Benefit

## (3) Estimation of Construction Cost of Each Route

For economic analysis, it is necessary to estimate economic costs. They are the costs incurred by the society and, are estimated by deducting transfer payments such as taxes and social charges from financial cost. As of July 1, 1998, the value added tax on material and equipment was $10 \%$. The share of income tax, social insurance and employment insurance was estimated to be about $40 \%$ of labor cost. So, economic costs were estimated by deducting $10 \%$ from material and equipment cost and $40 \%$ from labor cost

## (4) Economic Analysis

Economic analysis was carried out by comparing the costs and benefits for each year over the project life for each route. Following assumptions were made.

1) A social discount rate of $10 \%$ per annum was used. This rate was decided through discussions with the Road Department and, also by referring to similar studies in Mongolia especially by ADB and World Bank.
2) Economic life of 20 years after the completion of construction.
3) Design and construction period of 3 to 7 years depending on route.

Three economic indicators (i.e. B/C, IRR and NPV) were estimated as follows.

1) $\quad B / C$ is the ratio of total discounted benefit and total discounted cost. If it's value is greater than 1 it can be said that the project is economically feasible.
2) NPV is the difference between the total discounted benefit and the total discounted cost. A positive value means that the benefit is greater than the cost and thus the project is feasible.
3) IRR is the economic return generated from an investment, which occurs at regular periods over the project life. IRR is the internal rate of return at which NPV becomes zero. If IRR is greater than the social discount rate (i.e. $10 \%$ ), the project can be said to be economically feasible.

### 19.3 Results of Economic Evaluation

The results of economic evaluation are summarized in Table 19.3.1. It can be seen from this table that all the routes (except the Northern Route) are economically feasible. The economic performance of Central Route is highest followed by the Southern Route. The economical performance of Northern Route is low at B/C of 0.71 and IRR of $6.4 \%$. This is because of its high cost. This route passes through mountainous terrain and there are 6 bridges on this route. The economical performance of all routes taken together is only marginally lower at $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{C}$ of 0.94 and IRR of $9.3 \%$ than the minimum required values of $B / C$ of 1.0 and IRR of $10 \%$ to make it economically viable.

Table 19.3.1 Summarized Results of Economic Evaluation

| Route | Economic Cost <br> (in US\$) | B/C | IRR | NPV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central Route | $4,953,000$ | 1.54 | $14.7 \%$ | 2.1 |
| Northern Route | $31,574,000$ | 0.71 | $6.4 \%$ | -6.7 |
| Southern Route | $41,608,000$ | 1.10 | $11.3 \%$ | 2.9 |
| Middle Ring Route | $16,451,000$ | 1.03 | $10.5 \%$ | 0.4 |
| All Routes | $93,429,000$ | 0.94 | $9.3 \%$ | -3.1 |

B/C : Benefit-Cost Ratio ;IRR : Internal Rate of Return ;
NPV : Net Present Value in million US\$

