3,4 Planning of Newly Proposed Projects

3.4.1 Design Criteria

New development projects are proposed to meet urban water demand in the year 2010. Due to the limited data and information on the existing schemes, design factors adopted may be inaccurate particularly in some small urban centres. The present planning, therefore, are subject to further investigation and study to confirm their technical and economic feasibility, to be done in the later stage of the project development.

The water supply projects in the country, in general, are designed in accordance with the MWR 1986 Design Manual. Although the design criteria recommended in the manual are applied in all engineering fields, the Study Team further introduced the following concepts:

(1) Water Quality

The Kenyan drinking water standards will be applied for design of the newly proposed urban water supply project.

(2) Treatment Process

Treatment process will be subject to raw water quality, possible future pollution of the sources, ease of operation and maintenance, staff familiarity with the processes and availability of materials and chemicals.

Preliminary Proposal for Water Treatment Process

Water Source	Treatment Process Recommended	Remarks
River water	Full treatment + chlorination	
Borehole water	Chlorination + aeration	Aeration is recommended when high contents of iron and manganese are expected.
Dug well	Chlorination	When piped water supply

(3) Transmission and Distribution

Gravity supply is recommended, where possible, to reduce the annual cost in operation and maintenance of the schemes. Pumping facilities will be limited to areas where they are essential.

Transmission mains from the treatment works to the storage reservoirs will have a sufficient capacity to allow mean daily flow.

Recommended pipe materials of distribution and transmission pipelines are uPVC, in view of costs and ease of handling and transportation. Steel pipes and ductile iron pipes may be applicable only where animals access are expected and in rocky areas.

(4) Storage Facilities

A peak factor of 2.0 will be applied in planning distribution and storage facilities.

(5) Master and Zonal Meters

They will be installed on outlet pipe from treatment works and inlet mains to the distribution zones to facilitate flow and leakage control.

(6) Metering

All individual and non-individual connections will be metered aiming at reducing un-accounted for water and increasing water sales and revenue.

(7) Water Points and Kiosks

People residing in peripheral urban areas will receive piped water through water points or kiosks to be constructed. When considered reasonable, a supply area will be expanded to serve population in the surrounding rural areas. Service for those population will also be through water points.

3.4.2 Preliminary Design

For the purpose of estimating overall investment requirement for the long-term urban water supply development, preliminary design for typical water supply systems were prepared as shown in Figures - 3.4.1 and 3.4.2. Salient features of the newly proposed projects are summarised in Table - 3.4.1.

(1) Water Source Facilities

1) Large dam and inter-basin transfer system

Design of such source facilities as large dam and inter-basin diversion system requires extensive field surveys and technical feasibility study. Fortunately, NWMP provided general features of the basins and preliminary cost estimates which are quoted in this Study.

2) Run-of-river intake

As intake facilities for the surface water, weir and inlet chambers are proposed. The intake will be located at right angles to direction of flow with inlet velocities of less than 0.1m/s, at a level well above the river bed level. The coarse screen will be installed so that the floatage garbage should not be carried into treatment facilities.

Raw water mains are of uPVC. Length of the pipelines is assumed to be 1 km for small and medium scale schemes, and 5 km for large scale schemes. Flow velocity is assumed to be 1 m/s.

3) Borehole with powered pump

Subsection 2.4.2 of the Main Report evaluates the present operation and maintenance status of existing boreholes. The contemplated urban areas are supplied with electric power by KPLC, and, therefore, electric powered pump is adaptable.

(2) Water Treatment Facilities

Conventional treatment methods are recommended for the schemes that depend on surface water as they substantially reduce levels of suspended matter in water. The table below summarises effectiveness of the treatment methods generally applied for large scale water supply schemes.

Water quality parameters	Treatment Method					
	Chemical Coagulation	Sedimentation	Rapid Sand Filtration	Chlorination		
Dissolved oxygen	=	=		=		
Carbondioxide removal	=	=	+	=		
Turbidity reduction	+++	++	+++	=		
Colour reduction	++	+	+	++		
Taste and odour removal	+	+	+	+		
Bacteria removal	+	++	++	++++		
Iron and Manganese Removal	+	+	++	=		
Organic material removal	+	++	+	+++		
Fluoride removal	=	=	=	=		
Total dissolved solids reduction	=	=	=	=		

Note: + Positive effect, - negative effect, = no effect

Source: International Reference Centre for Community Water Supply and Sanitation (IRC) August 1981

One of the targets set up in this Study is to produce safe and potable water. To achieve this target, disinfection by chlorine is applied to all schemes.

Surface water normally requires treatment. Dosing with chlorine is the final process for disinfection. In planning the chlorine dosing system, careful attention shall be paid to turgidity, not only because it causes aesthetic problems, but also endangers the safety of the water. Suspended particles that may cause turgidity shall be removed to a preferable level before chlorination. Otherwise, chlorine will not act as intended. Chlorine shall have a sufficient contact time with bacteria. There are a lot of examples of the failure in disinfection when turgidity is 5 NTU or more. Turgidity of the pre-treated water shall not exceed 1 NTU, a minimum requirement for chlorine dosage. This value shall be attained at the pre-treatment including coagulation, sedimentation and filtration. According to the literature, when the turgidity is less than 1 NTU at pH 8.0 with sufficient contact time more than 30 minutes and with the residual chlorine, 0.5 mg/l, suspended particles, more than 99%, E-coliforms and parasitic protozoan are removed safely.

The following are excerpts from the MWR 1986 Design Manual. As noted in Remarks, preferable level of turgidity is less than 1 NTU for efficient disinfection. Many water schemes are not even meeting the permissible level, 25 NTU.

Parameter	Unit	Desirable value	Permissible value	Remarks
Colour	True Colour Units (TCU)	15	50	
Turgidity	NTU	5	25	Preferably <1 for disinfection efficiently

Aside from the surface water, underground water abstracted from deep aquifer is usually safe and clean. Because of possible pollution from access by human beings and livestock, however, all schemes shall have equipment for chlorine dosage.

Outline feature of each treatment process is further described below;

1) Coagulation and flocculation

Coagulation and flocculation are assumed to be simple hydraulic mixing and flocculation. Chemicals for coagulation will basically use alum and soda ash which are widely in use in the country.

2) Sedimentation

For convenience of operation and maintenance, it is assumed to apply conventional horizontal flow sedimentation basin. Retention time is set at 4 hrs and surface load in basin is assumed at 1.0 m³/m²/hr.

3) Rapid sand filtration

Rapid sand filters with a rate at 120m/day are assumed. The filter media is backwashed periodically.

4) Disinfection

The chlorine disinfection is a final step to produce safe water. Sanitary safety is secured by the contact time more than 30 minutes as stated above. Disinfection will be by use of chloride of lime or hydrochloride solution, using gravity drip feeds.

(3) Storage Reservoir/Tank

Storage capacity was assumed to correspond to one day average water demand for small scale schemes and 12 hours for medium and large scale schemes.

(4) Distribution

Gravity flow distribution is assumed and uPVC pipes are proposed.

3.6 Operation and Maintenance Strengthening Plan

3.6.1 Establishment of Functional Metering System

Metering is a basic tool for effective management of water supply schemes. Without metering, water production and consumption cannot be measured. Accordingly, tariff will have no base for billing. Flat rate tariff is not an optimal solution. People tends to use water without any restriction. If a functional metering system is established, people suffering from chronicle water shortage may significantly benefit from vast amount of water saved from improved customers' attitude. Eventually water sales and revenue will increase, generating funds for better management and operation of the schemes.

Keeping in mind the vulnerable nature of the metering system which can be easily vandalised by the customers, all schemes shall endeavour to keep and pursue a desirable level of water supply services in terms of quantity and quality, and a close relationship with the customers in their routine operation and management.

At the national level, MWR shall be a key player in cooperation and consultation with NWCPC and MOLA, taking actions for administrative procedures, legislative set-up and national campaign programme. Thus, MWR shall be responsible for creating circumstances so that every water scheme can easily shift and adopt the metering system. To manage and support these activities, the Government shall do the following;

- Mobilise meter readers from existing staff through KEWI courses for the training of trainers, and local training programmes;
- Mobilise accountants for requisite bookkeeping and accounting at District level;
- Mobilise technicians from existing staff for meter calibration and repair through KEWI courses for the training of trainers, and local training programmes;
- 4) Assist DWOs to set-up or refurbish meter repair shops with the necessary equipment;
- Conduct public awareness campaigns for the introduction of the metering system, directly and through the media.

At district level, DWOs, Municipalities, Regional offices of NWCPC shall play a important role in driving all water schemes into introduction of the metering system.

3.6.2 Leakage Control

As described in the foregoing chapters, many waterworks are suffering from a large quantity of water losses particularly at their transmission, distribution, and service pipelines. In these

waterworks, leakage and wastage control are considered effective not only to reduce water losses but to save precious water resources. With a view to the limited water supply sources in the country, MWO shall work out a national programme for leakage and wastage control, that consists of crash and routine sub-programmes. To materialise this programme, the MWR shall take the following actions:

- 1) Establish a short course at KEWI for active leakage control (detection and repair);
- 2) Mobilise technicians from existing staff through KEWI courses for the training of trainers, and through local training programmes;
- Assist DWOs to acquire and install bulk meters at the outlet of each treatment works and storage reservoir, and subsidiary meters to isolate sub-areas of each system;
- 4) Assist DWOs to set up or refurbish the necessary detection and repair facilities and equipment;
- Assist DWOs to prepare the necessary work programmes to cover detection and repair in the distribution network where the majority of leakages occur.

Along with the implementation of the above programmes, agencies such as DWOs, municipalities and NWCPC regional offices shall intensively undertake necessary measures for the wastage control under overall supervision of MWR.

3.6.3 Staff Training

The training needs of the above programmes should be reviewed against the latest Action Plan for the Kenya Water Institute (KEWI) to see if they can be accommodated within the planned implementation schedule. If not, the KEWI resources should be further strengthened in both range of courses and capacity to accommodate larger numbers of trainees. The subjects for which training is required will include the following:

- 1) Meter reading;
- 2) Meter repair and calibration;
- 3) Billing and revenue collection;
- 4) Bookkeeping and accounting, with special reference to the billing and collection system;
- 5) Leakage control and the reduction of UFW;
- 6) Supervisory courses for the above;
- 7) Water and sewage treatment for operators and supervision;
- 8) Personal computing using spreadsheet and database software.

3.6.4 Customer Registration

These measures would not be effective if many consumers exist unregistered. To complement this programme, unregistered consumers shall be traced in the course of the metering system development. Since the consumers are usually reluctant to be registered and metered, the MWR shall take the following measures to accelerate this;

- 1) public campaign on needs of functional metering system, referring to inequity between metered and unregistered consumers,
- 2) rescue people from any duties arising from nonregistration, and
- two step approach by scheduling periods separately for voluntary and compulsory registration.

3.6.5 Chemical Water Treatment

Many waterworks are not dosing with chemicals due to financial constraints and a lack of quest for better quality of water. To produce safe and potable water, chemicals must be acquired, stored, and added to process. This priority is absolutely required and failure will not be tolerated in the interest of public health. To this end, MWR or other related agencies shall take the following actions;

- periodical water sampling and laboratory testing by district or provincial water offices,
- technical guidance to all waterworks for producing potable water and protecting water sources from possible contamination, and
- provide them with necessary equipment and chemical agents required for minimum level of water testing (pH, turbidity, chlorine residuals, etc.).

3.6.6 Technical Assistance to Districts

The above programme of work will need significant improvement in the practice and management of district activities. This will apply to operation and maintenance of water supply systems as well as to regulatory tasks, such as control of water abstraction, pollution and water quality. It is recommended that externally sourced expert engineers should be assigned to groups of MWR Districts including NWCPC schemes and municipality schemes. They will assist in upgrading service delivery and regulation and help implement the these programmes.

3.6.7 Water Tankers at Provincial Offices

The Household Survey indicates that during the dry season, more than 50% of the households are not accessible to water, getting only once a week. This is particularly serious in ASAL areas. Life of many vulnerable people and livestock is reportedly jeopardised due to water scarcity. It is recommended that two or more water tankers should be procured at each provincial office to alleviate this hardship.

3.7 Construction Method and Period

The construction period of the project is subject to scope and natures of works, physical and natural conditions prevailing over the project area, method of construction, etc. The proposed urban water supply development plan is highly diversified in terms of source development (large scale dam, run-of-river intake, borehole) and status (on-going, planned, designed). Taking such

factors into consideration, construction method and period required for the work are discussed below.

Water supply systems proposed above consist of the processes widely applied in Kenya. Use of mechanical equipment is minimised. Contractors in the country have a long term experience in construction of similar treatment works and pipeline networks. Hence, the planned schemes do not require any special skills for construction. Further, work force such as skilled and unskilled labourers are abundant and locally available.

The capital materials and equipment are generally available in the domestic market. Only master meters, large diameter steel pipes, gate valves, and pipe fittings shall be imported. The following are steps required for project implementation.

(1) Feasibility Study, Detailed Design and Financial Arrangement

For the proposed rehabilitation and newly proposed projects, it is absolutely necessary to do a feasibility study and detailed engineering design. The period required is assumed to be two years.

(2) Pre-construction Procedures

Various procedures for prequalification tender, tendering, and contract award are required for the proposed rehabilitation work, planned/designed projects, and newly proposed projects. This period is assumed to extend one year.

(3) Construction Period

Construction period varies depending on status and nature of the projects. The assumed construction period is shown in the table below:

Assumed Construction Period

Type of Project	Assumed Construction Period (Year)		
Rehabilitation Works			
On-going Project			
- Present progress less than 30%	2		
- Present progress more than 30%	1		
Planned/Designed and Newly Proposed Projects	1		
- Project with borehole	1		
- Project with run-of-river intake	2		
- Project with a large dam	4		
- Project with inter-basin transfer	4		

CHAPTER 4 RURAL AND LIVESTOCK WATER SUPPLY DEVELOPMENT PLAN

4.1 Methodology

The situation of the rural water supply is worse than the urban water supply. Rehabilitation and rationalisation is considered most urgent and effective especially for LSRWS. As regards the existing SSRWS, they are usually managed and operated by communities. Under the circumstances, most preferred way is periodical support and guidance by the DWOs and the local authorities concerned so that can lead to sound management.

4.1.1 Small and Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

In case of the small scale rural water supply (SSRWS), mainly water points with some pipe works are supply sources to the public and livestocks. On the contrary, the large scale rural water supply (LSRWS) may have pipe reticulation consisting of trunk, secondary and tertiary mains for distribution and transmission. Individual connections are a major type of service connection. At the peripheral supply areas, schemes may have water points to serve the surrounding rural population. Planning concept for expansion and rehabilitation of LSRWS is similar to those for the urban water supply (UWS) (refer to (1) Urban Water Supply, in this section). The following planning concepts, accordingly, are for constructing new SSRWS.

- 1) Water sources for domestic use may be spring, groundwater (shallow well, boreholes) or riverbed water from a hygienic viewpoint.
- Supply basically from water points with minor piping works to supply water to major institution such as schools and hospitals
- 3) Disinfection is a minimum requirement for all schemes to meet MWR water quality guidelines.
- 4) Installation of a master meter to increase cost recovery, and the application of universal metering, especially at large consumers as minimum requirement.
- 5) Gravity supplies to reduce recurrent costs and pumping being limited to areas where it is essential.
- 6) Community based organisation and management in line with the decentralisation policy set up by the Government.

In planning and locating water sources in the rural areas, the following issues related to project management are considered important for successful operation.

1) Tribal issues: Conflicts between tribes are common in Kenya. Selection of the location shall be decided after a series of dialogues with the people concerned.

- Religion: Due to religion, vandalisation of the constructed facilities is also common. Members of a water user committee will be selected through election by the people concerned.
- Cultural issues: Nomads dependent on livestocks move seasonally from place to place. Provision of water points may cause socio-cultural problems among the people.
- Assessment of public needs for water: People's needs for water will be assessed carefully. Where water supply is planned to be constructed by other undertakers, water point construction is not recommended.
- 5) Formation of water user committee: To ensure sustainability of the developed water supply scheme, a water user committee responsible for operation and management of the scheme will be organised within the community concerned.
- 6) Public involvement and participation: To increase opportunities of the public involvement to the schemes, it is more preferable to ask the community to provide work forces during construction.
- Investment costs to be borne by the beneficiaries: Beneficiaries shall meet part of investment costs.
- 8) Women's involvement in the stage of design, construction and operation: Women play an important role in handling water in their daily lives and should therefore be involved in all stages of the scheme development.
- 9) Hygiene and health education: It is of vital importance to instruct people the needs for safe and potable water, sanitation, water borne diseases related to public hygiene and health through seminar, meetings, movies, etc.
- 10) Tariff: The water user committee will set up tariff levied on all customers. The revenue collected are to cover recurrent costs required for normal operation and maintenance.

Construction of water points in the rural areas poses a lot of issues to be tackled both before and after the project implementation. The current Study, paying attention to the above, intends to prepare design criteria for communal water points in the succeeding sections, identifying areas where water shortage is crucial, and estimating number of water points required on the basis of the available data.

4.1.2 Livestock

Apart from communal water points for domestic water use, water points for livestocks will be designed at strategic points of the study area. Abundant surface water is available in the coastal and western areas and in eastern slope of Mt. Kenya, while the perennial flow is very rare in the arid and semi-arid areas. The following concepts are used to identify needs of and planning the livestock water points:

- 1) Earth pans or small dam are most practical methods to supply water to livestock.
- 2) Despite aerial change in availability of the surface water, estimated incremental water requirements plus 20% of the present water consumption are assumed to be design capacity of water pans for safety.
- In selecting potential areas for construction of the livestock water supply, ASAL areas shall have a priority.
- Storage capacity of the pans shall be determined in view of the loss due to seepage and evaporation. It is tentatively assumed that the pan shall have gross storage capacity of 12,000 m³.
- 5) Earth pans shall be accessible both for livestocks and human-beings. Each pan shall be separated into two basins so that livestocks can easily access to one without polluting the other. Hand pumps shall be constructed near the pans for domestic use.

4.2 Rehabilitation Plan

As same as the urban water supply projects, it is proposed to rehabilitate the existing rural water supply projects so that the quantity of water production can be restored to the originally designed level and water quality can be secured to the standard levels. Characterised by types of the rural water supply projects, two different approaches were applied.

Due to the limited information on the present conditions of the LSRWS, it is assumed that the component facilities are similar to those of the Urban Water Supply. It is also assumed that scope of the rehabilitation works will be the same as that of the UWS.

As discussed in the Subsection 1.3.1 of this Report, 848 small scale rural water supply schemes were established and in operation in the country as of 1995. They are managed by communities, institutions and NGOs.

It is hardly possible to identify the present operation and maintenance status of these schemes. Sample surveys carried out in the current Study suggest that they are facing serious operational

and financial problems. This issue is dealt with in Chapter 11 of the Main Report. In the present Study, it is assumed that no public investment would be required.

From all the above, full scale rehabilitation of these SSRWS is not considered.

4.3 On-going Projects

There are many on-going RWS schemes in the country. As for LSRWS, 250 projects are under implementation and 120 projects are in design stage. Outline scope of these on-going projects, accordingly, are incorporated in the current Study as far as information are available.

4.4 Planning of Newly Proposed Projects

4.4.1 Design Criteria

In principle the same design criteria as stated in Subsection 3.4.1 of this report will be adaptable even for the rural water supply project. It is, however, necessary to be cognisant of the following:

- Protected dug well and/or boreholes are major water sources. All facilities will be designed to be protected completely against possible pollution by human beings and livestocks.
- 2) Hand pumps installation at dug wells may be applicable for schemes that require water production less than 10 m³/day.
- Balancing tanks, where deemed necessary, will have a capacity of one day water demand.
- 4) Roof catchment is considered as one of supply sources to meet institutional water demand including health facilities, churches and schools located especially in the sparse rural areas.

4.4.2 Preliminary Design

The newly proposed rural water supply projects will have the same features as those of the urban water supply projects. Exception is only for the source development. Instead of the surface water, groundwater is a major water source especially for the SSRWS.

One of the objectives of the present Study is to grasp the scale of the projects in monetary term to achieve the long term development target for rural water supply. In order to secure accuracy within an allowable level, several water supply scheme models are developed. The project scale is largely dependent on served population, source facilities and distribution mode. With those factors in mind, the following prototype models are developed.

Typical Water Supply Models

Model Code	Water Supply Capacity	Target Population	Source Facilities	Distribution Type
SS-1	4 m³/day	200	Dug well with hand pump	Point supply
SS-2	10 m³/day	500	Same as above	Same as above
	3	5000	Borehole with chlorination	D-1-4-3-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
LS-1	5,000 h ³ /day		Surface water with full treatment	Point and piped supply
LS-2	2,500 m ³ /day	20,000	Same as above	Same as above

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

From the discussion made in Chapter 7 of the Main Report, some districts are expected to be in short of safe water supply even after completion of the on-going, and planned/designed water supply projects. Theoretically, it is possible to minimise such water deficit by implementing a single or a couple number of the new projects. In selecting one from the above models, population density, magnitude of the water deficit and availability of water resources within the district concerned shall be taken into consideration.

For the purpose of this study, it is tentatively assumed that application method of the models is in accordance with the following:

1) LSRWS

Basically both Models MS-1 and MS-2 will be applicable evenly.

2) SSRWS

Models SS-1/2, SS-3/4 and SS-5 will be applicable in the same proportion.

4.5 Livestock Water Supply Facilities

It is planned that the livestock water supply sources are water pans and/or small dams. A gross storage capacity is assumed 50,000 m³ per unit, in accordance with the previous NWMP. Climatic characteristics varies from districts to districts. It is known that ASAL areas are subject to a relatively long dry period of 5-6 months with two rainy periods in a year. It is supposed the rain water and/or seasonal flow are available during the rainy period so that water storage is required for the rest of the year.

Table - 4.5.1 shows the number of small dams/water pans proposed for the respective district. It is assessed that 597 small dams/water pans are required to meet the long term livestock water demand in total. Figure - 4.5.1 shows a sample drawing of typical water pans.

4.6 Construction Method and Period

The construction period of the project is subject to scope and natures of works, physical and natural conditions prevailing over the project area, method of construction, etc. The proposed rural water supply development plan is highly diversified in terms of source development (large scale dam, run-of-river intake, borehole) and status (on-going, planned, designed). Taking such factors into consideration, construction method and period required for the work are discussed below.

Construction works contained in each SSRWS is actually very minor in terms of work volume. It is common practice that the rural water supply project will be implemented on a basis of district unit, as experienced in Kajiado, South Nyanza and Kakamega Districts. Accordingly it is assumed that the SSRWS will be implemented on district basis. The civil works for construction of water points and storage reservoirs do not require any skilled labourers. It is recommended to use labour forces locally available in communities. Community participation to the project is an essential factor for sound management and effective operation of the schemes after construction. Feasibility study, design and construction may complete in a couple of years. As for the LSRWS, the same construction period as that of UWS is assumed.

Table below presents the construction periods of various types of rural water supply projects.

Assumed Construction Period of Rural Water Supply Projects

Time of Desiret	Construction Period (Year)			
Type of Project	LSRWS	SSRWS		
Rehabilitation Works	1	-		
On-going Project				
- Present progress less than 30%	2	2		
- Present progress more than 30%	1	1		
Planned/Designed and Newly Proposed Projects	'			
- Project with borehole	1			
- Project with run-of-river intake	2	3		
- Project with large pond	2	(for entire district without regard to type of project)		

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

MWR has been mobilising its own resources for construction small dams/water pans. Major works are earth moving/excavation, which does not require a long period. As such a three-month period is allowed for construction of each structure.

CHAPTER 5 PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATES

5.1 General Conditions

The proposed long-term development plan comprises: (a) rehabilitation of existing projects, (b) the on-going projects by the Government, (c) the planned/designed projects by the Government, (d) newly proposed projects, (e) leakage control programme, and (f) metering campaign. Of these, the required investment for categories (b) and (c) are available from the MWR Status Report and the NWCPC Status Report. As to the category f), all the costs for procurement and installation of individual meters shall be owed by beneficiaries as set forth in MWR regulation. Under the Study, therefore, the preliminary cost estimate is made for the rest of the categories.

5.1.1 Unit Cost and Exchange Rate

Unit cost of materials and equipment is estimated at the price level prevailing in February 1998. An exchange rate, US\$1.00 = Kshs 61.1 = Japanese Yen 124.7 (as of 10 February 1998) is applied in estimating unit cost of imported materials and equipment. Materials and equipment locally available are based on market price, the latest quoted price or results of the recent studies carried out in the country.

5.1.2 Construction Cost

The construction cost comprises (a) direct construction cost, (b) land acquisition and compensation, (c) engineering services for studies, design and construction supervision, (c) administration cost by the executing agency and (d) contingencies which are estimated as follows:

- 1) Direct construction cost: sums of costs required to construct the respective facilities including intake, raw water mains, treatment works, storage reservoirs, mechanical equipment, and distribution mains, depending on the schemes.
- 2) Land acquisition and compensation: 5% of the direct construction cost.
- 3) Engineering services: 10% of the direct construction cost.
- 4) Administration cost: 15% of the direct construction cost.
- 5) Contingency: 10% of the sum of Items 1) through 4).

5.1.3 Rehabilitation Cost of Existing Schemes

As the scope and cost of the rehabilitation are clarified in the questionnaire surveys at most urban and rural water supply schemes, these estimated costs are utilised in the current study. The direct construction cost of the rehabilitation works exclusive of the above work items is estimated for the respective items as follows:

Final Report - Supporting Report

Part II Water Supply Development Plan

- Flow meter: on the basis of a price quotation from manufactures and include an allowance of 30% of the procurement cost (CIF, Site) to allow crection, installation and other associated works.
- 2) Chlorination equipment: the same method as above.
- 3) Storage tank: on the basis of the unit construction cost: Kshs 3,137/m³.
- 4) Other miscellaneous works

The rehabilitation works will involve various civil, electrical, mechanical and other miscellaneous works, apart from the above major works. It is estimated by applying unit rate of Kshs 4,257/m³ of water produced.

The summary of estimated rehabilitation cost is presented in Table - 5.1.1.

5.2 Construction Cost of On-going and Planned/Designed Projects

The MWR Status Report and NWCPC Status Report provide information on the construction cost of the on-going and planned/designed projects undertaken by their administration. In this Study they are applied in order to obtain the total investment required to achieve the long-term water supply plan of the respective urban centre. The costs envisaged in the status reports are given in Tables - 5.2.1 and 5.2.2.

Note, the construction cost of the on-going projects shows the investment required to complete the remaining works.

5.3 Construction Cost of Newly Proposed Projects

5.3.1 Urban Water Supply

The direct construction cost of the main structures was estimated in the following manner:

(1) Source Works

Typical sources involves construction of dams and inter-basin transfer system, run-of-river intake facilitates, and boreholes.

- Large dam and inter-basin transfer system: based on the construction cost obtained from NWMP after price escalation for the period form 1992 to 1997.
- 2) Run-of-river intake: based on the following equation.

Cri =
$$US$740,000 \times Qi^{3/4}$$

where,

Cri : Cost of run-of-river intake

Qi : Intake rate, m³/s

A coefficient US\$ 740,000 is referred to the feasibility study of the Seven Towns Water Supply Project.

Borehole with powered pump: based on prevailing local market prices for the three major works i) borehole construction, ii) procurement and installation of borehole pumps with instrument and iii) power receiving.

(2) Raw Water Main

A cost curve (cost vs. design intake rate) is developed from the recent study results. Unit construction costs for raw water mains (uPVC pipes) are estimated utilising the data collected from the local contractors. Estimated unit costs vs. diameter are plotted on a cost curve in Figures - 5.3.1 and 5.3.2.

(3) Treatment Works

A cost curve (cost vs. production capacity) given in Figure - 5.3.3 is utilised in estimation.

(4) Storage Facilities

A cost curve (cost vs. production capacity) given in Figure - 5.3.4 is also utilised in estimation.

(5) Distribution Pipe Network

It is roughly estimated by applying a unit rate of Kshs 14,420/m³ of water distributed. The rate is derived from average of water supply projects in Japan.

Table - 5.3.1 presents the summary of the preliminary construction cost for the newly proposed urban water supply projects.

(6) Estimated Investment to Achieve Planning Targets

It is the sum of construction costs of the rehabilitation works, the on-going projects, the planned/designed projects and the newly proposed projects to achieve the long term urban water supply plan. The estimated investment requirement is US\$ 1,322.2 million as summarised in table below.

(7) Estimated Investment Requirement for Urban Water Supply Plan

Type of Project	Number of Projects	Amount (US\$103)
Rehabilitation of Existing Projects	120	44,500
On-going Projects	21	7,400
Planned/Designed Projects	21	27,400
Newly Proposed Projects	108	1,243,000
Total	270	1,322,200

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

On-going and planned/designed projects are under way under jurisdiction of MWR and NWCPC. These organisations will share the investment accordingly. It is supposed that MWR and NWCPC will be responsible for implementation of the newly proposed projects, though responsible executing agency will definitely be determined in the subsequent study stage. As case may be, local authorities concerned or MOLA will participate in some urban areas.

5.3.2 Rural Water Supply

For SSRWS, the construction cost is at first estimated for the respective typical model. The method of estimate is almost identical to that of the urban water supply project. Unit construction cost of the respective model as described below:

(1) Unit Construction Cost of Model Project

Model Name	Construction Cost (US\$)
SS-1	1,980,000
SS-2	1,980,000
LS-1	1,566,000
LS-2	2,801,000

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

On the basis of the additional water development requirement and application method of the prototype model, the construction cost of SSRWS is estimated.

Table - 5.3.2 presents the construction cost of the rural water supply projects for the respective district. The investment requirement to achieve the long term rural water supply plan is estimated as summarised below.

(2) Estimated Investment Requirement for Rural Water Supply Plan

m .cs.	Number of Projects			Construction Cost (US\$ 103)		
Type of Project	LSRWS	SSRWS	Total	LSRWS	SSRWS	Total
Rehabilitation of Existing Projects	295	0	295	95,100	0	95,100
On-going Projects	239	313	552	54,200	13,500	67,700
Planned/Designed Projects	25	192	217	3,700	5,000	8,800
Newly Proposed Projects	52	51,131	51,183	84,100	101,200	185,400
Total	611	51,636	52,247	237,100	119,700	357,000

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

As the same as the urban water supply, the above investment will be borne by the water undertakers, though further continuous assistance by NGOs are expected to be participated especially in realisation of SSRWS.

5.3.3 Livestock Water Supply

According to the MWR's data, average construction cost of small dam/water pan is US\$ 0.61/m³ of active storage capacity, resulting in initial construction cost US\$ 30,500/small dam/water pan (50,000 m³ x US\$ 0.61).

Table - 5.3.2 also shows the summary of the construction cost for the respective district. The total construction cost is estimated at US\$ 18.2 million for the entire works.

5.4 Annual Operation and Maintenance Cost

5.4.1 Water Supply Facilities

The annual operation and maintenance cost is estimated for the on-going, the planned/designed, and the newly proposed projects in order to facilitate the project evaluation.

The cost comprises all expenditures which are required to keep a system in operation and good condition after it is placed on line. They include expense for annual maintenance and repair costs, operation costs (ex., salary, power, fuels, chemicals) and miscellaneous costs. Detailed estimate is presented in Supporting Report II.

(1) Cost for Fixed Asset Costs

The costs for civil works, pipelines and electrical/mechanical works are conservatively assumed to be 1%, 1%, and 5% of the initial construction costs, respectively.

(2) Salary Cost

According to the 1986 Design Manual, the minimum staff requirement of the treatment works is 13. In addition, there must be adequate number of meter readers, administrative staff, supporting staff, etc. The required total staff is therefore estimated as a function of total population served.

(3) Chemical Cost

The unit rate is assumed to be Kshs 0.7 per water production (m³) for chlorine and Kshs 1.3/m³ for alum.

(4) Cost for Power and Fuels

The power requirement varies largely from one scheme to another, characterised mainly by water treatment process and water intake and transmission. It is considered difficult to assess the power requirements on a same basis for all schemes. Power costs are therefore estimated for each works on an adhoc basis.

The estimated annual operation and maintenance costs are as shown in Tables - 5.4.1 and 5.4.2.

5.4.2 Leakage Reduction Programme

It is hardly possible to estimate the cost for this programme because the actual situation varies greatly from one urban centre to the another. Especially in Kenya, this programme is recommended to be implemented as one of the national water supply sector programme comprehensively, covering all existing urban water supply and rural water supply schemes.

Referring to the actual annual expenditure of typical Japanese municipal water supply and taking into account of a difference in price levels between Kenya and Japan, it was estimated at Kshs 3 per water production (m³).

5.4.3 Summary of Annual Cost

The annual operation and maintenance cost is summarised in the table below:

Annual Costs for Urban Water Supply

Type of Project	Amount (US\$103)
On-going Projects	1,676
Planned/Designed Projects	15,358
Newly Proposed Projects	14,234
Leakage Reduction Programme	23,567
Total	54,835

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

Annual Cost for Rural Water Supply

	Amount (US\$103)			
Type of Project	LSRWS	SSRWS	Total	
On-going Projects	13,183	746	13,929	
Planned/Designed Projects	415	617	1,032	
Newly Proposed Projects	1,120	757	1,877	
Leakage Reduction Programme	8,029	-	8,029	
Total	22,747	2,120	24,867	

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

The annual operation and maintenance cost will be shared by the water undertakers concerned.

The leakage reduction programme is expected to be launched as a nation-wide campaign under coordination of every water undertakers.

As for livestock water supply, the proposed small dams/pans will be located in a relatively remote area and of earthfill structure. They are almost free from operation and maintenance and therefore the minimum cost, one percent of the initial cost is allowed as annual operation and maintenance cost.

CHAPTER 6 IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAMME

6.1 Criteria for Project Ranking among Urban Centres and Districts

Reliable data on project status are a few. Under such condition, project ranking in terms of urgency is tentatively made. Major data sources for the study are "Household Survey on Water Use and Sanitation" and "Survey on Urban Water Supply" both carried out under the current Study, "MWR's Project Status Reports", "Questionnaire Survey on Needs of Rehabilitation" by MWR and "Welfare Monitoring Survey II" by World Bank. Careful attention are paid to keeping consistency of these data. It is however essential to make a review of the ranking discussed below, when updated data are obtained. Working procedures for the project ranking comprise of the following four steps;

Selection of factors and parameter setting to estimate rating scores are made separately for UWS, RWS and Livestock Water Supply. For simplification, weighting among factors is not considered. Project ranking is based on the total rates computed for each scheme and district.

6.1.1 Urban Water Supply

As sauce-economic and technical factors, the following eight key factors are considered representing urgency or needs of piped water supply. They are: 1) service coverage by water supply schemes, 2) water supply conditions in the supply areas, 3) health conditions, 4) contribution to industrial and commercial development, 5) contribution to tourism, 6) willingness and affordability of households and 7) cost effectiveness.

(1) Percentage of Served Population to Total Supply Area Population

This implies the service coverage in the supply areas. Although other type of water schemes sometimes exist in the supply areas, this coverage ratio may suggest needs of expansion and augmentation of the UWS.

Service Ratio (Pop Served/Pop in Service Area)	Score
more than 50%	1
50% or less	2

(2) Water Supply Conditions in the Supply Areas

Many people, even those serviced from piped water supply, are suffering from serious water shortage due mainly to significant gaps between the production capacity and the water requirements. Percentage of the production capacity to the 1995 estimated water demand, as considered appropriate, is selected as the factor.

Supply Conditions (W. Production/1995 Water Requirements)	Score
more than 75%	1
75% - 50%	2
50% - 25%	3
25% or less	4

(3) Health Conditions

A provision of safe and clean water will significantly improve the living environment and accordingly public health as seen in many developing countries. The household survey indicates that almost all households despite poor and rich, are suffering from water related diseases. Due to the limited sources, district data on population case of vomit/diarrhoea and fever/malaria are utilised.

Health Conditions Population Case Percentage/Max Percentage (Vomit, Diarrhoea, Fever, Malaria)	Score
Less than 25%	11
25% - 50%	2
50% - 75%	3
More than 75%	4

(4) Contribution to Industrial and Commercial Development

Industrial development, as addressed in Sessional Paper No. 2 and the 8th National Development Plan, is one of the most important goal to create job opportunities to the growing number of the working forces. For the ranking, it is assumed that each district centre has more room for the development than the others.

Factor	Score
District Centres	2
Other Urban Centres	1

(5) Contribution to Tourism

Tourism is one of the most important industries in Kenya. The previous MP indicates that development of reliable, safe and potable water supply is in a urgent need for acceleration of the tourism industry.

Name of Urban Centre	Score
(Nairobi), Malindi, (Mombasa),	2
Lamu, Marsabit, Kericho,	
Nakuru	
Other Urban Centres	1

(6) Willingness and Affordability of Households

According to the Household Survey, people's willingness to pay for water is rather high. Hence, income levels of average households in each district were looked at o determine if the household could afford monthly payment for water bill. The following equation is utilised for the evaluation of affordability.

Monthly Ave. Household Income x 3% > Monthly Ave.

Monthly Water Consumption x water tariff (230 Kshs)

Affordability	Score
(Monthly Average Housebold Income)	
More than > 7,700 Kshs	2
7,700 Kshs or less	1

(7) Cost Effectiveness

Unit production cost of the planned schemes is selected as a factor for the cost effectiveness.

Unit Production Cost	Score
More than > 1,000Kshs/m ³	1
1,000Kshs/m ³	2

6.1.2 Rural Water Supply

Criteria for project ranking for rural water supply (RWS) is basically similar to the one for urban water supply (UWS).

The majority of the rural population do not have any access to safe water. To provide people with safe and potable water, water supply system development is urgently required particularly in the arid and semi-arid areas. As discussed above, severity of water shortage during dry seasons, service coverage, health conditions, contribution to tourism, people's willingness and affordability to pay for water, and cost effectiveness of the planned schemes are considered as key determinant factors for ranking the projects. Instead, contribution to industrial and commercial development is disregarded as any significant difference in the commercial and industrial activities are not observed in the rural areas.

(1) Percentage of Served Population to the Total Population

This is a most fundamental factor that represents the water supply condition in the districts. Although many water schemes are developed throughout the country, service coverage ratio ranges widely between districts. To achieve coverage goals by the year 2010, RWS development is considered most effective. Accordingly, the 1995 service coverage ratio in each districts is selected for the evaluation.

Service Ratio (1995 Pop. Served/District Pop.)	Score
More than 75%	11
75% - 50%	22
50% - 25%	3
25% or less	44

(2) Water Shortage during Dry Season

Many people are suffering from serious water shortage during dry season. People who are dependent on river water in ASAL areas are most miserable. They, spending several hours, collect water and it is very often that they cannot access to any water in severe dry season. Early provision of safe and clean water by construction of RWS may save these people from shortage. Time spent for collecting water by these population is considered as most representative factor for this situation.

Time Spent by Households who don't have safe water sources (Total time spent/maximum) (%)	Score
More than 75%	1
75% - 50%	2
50% - 25%	3
25% or less	4

(3) Health Conditions

A provision of safe and clean water will significantly benefit on improvement of living environment and accordingly public health as seen in many developing countries. Same parameter as in UWS is utilised for the ranking.

Health Conditions Population Case Percentage/Max Percentage (Vomit, Diarrhoea, Fever, Malaria)	Score
Less than 25%	1
25% - 50%	2
50% - 75%	3
More than 75%	4

(4) Contribution to Tourism

Same parameter as in UWS is utilised for the ranking.

Name of Districts	Score
(Nairobi), Kilifi, (Mombasa), Lamu,	2
Marsabit, Kipsigis, Nakuru	
Other Districts	1

(5) Willingness and Affordability of the Customers

Same parameter as in UWS is utilised for the ranking.

Affordability (Monthly Average Household Income)	Score
More than > 7,700Kshs	2
7,700Kshs or less	1

(6) Cost Effectiveness

Same parameter as in UWS is utilised for the ranking.

Unit Production Cost	Score
More than > 1,000Kshs/m ³	1
1,000Kshs/m ³	2

6.1.3 Livestock Water Supply

Nomads in ASAL move from area to area to feed livestock. Without water, their lives are likely to be endangered. Livestock population and climate conditions (rainfall) are essential to assess the project needs. Project ranking is made assuming the following:

Evaluation Item	Classification	Score	
	More than 300,000 heads	2	
Number of Livestock Unit	300,000 heads or less	1	
	Less than 500 mm	4	
	500 - 900 mm	3	
Aunual Rainfall	900 - 1,500 mm	2	
	More than 1,500 mm	1	

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

6.2 Priority Orders of the Schemes

In evaluation, weights of urgencies among the above factors are not considered. Results of the project ranking for urban, rural and livestock water supplies are given in Tables - 6.2.1, 6.2.2 and 6.2.3.

6.2.1 Urban Water Supply

Most of the urban centres have on-going augmentation projects. Some of them do not have any existing water supply schemes, which are usually small in population. The results of the project ranking are also summarised in the table below:

Rank	Existing Facilities					Grand					
	On-g	oing (2)	No Pro	ojects (2)	Total	On-going No l		No Pr	ojects (2)	Total	Total
Α	1	4	1	21	27	0	0	0	2	2	29
В	8	13	1	33	55	1	0	0	7	8	63
С	2	4	6	35	47	0	0	0_	0	0	47
Total	31	21	8	89	129	1	0	0	9	10	139

Results of Project Ranking (UWS)

Note: Nairobi and Mombasa are excluded from the above. (1) implies the scheme will have sufficient capacity to meet the 2010 water demand by on-going project under construction stage or by the existing production capacity and (2) implies not sufficient all the time. No projects mean no expansion or augmentation projects under construction stage are currently undertaken.

Excluding Nairobi and Mombasa, there are 139 urban centres, out of which 29, 63, and 47 centres have a rank of A, B, and C, respectively. There are two urban centres ranked A, which have no existing or on-going projects. They are Lemok (4,405 population) and Simat (7,717 population) in Uasin Gishu district. These two centres are small in terms of population.

Cheptais (3,361 population) in Bungoma district assessed as Rank A operate their water supply schemes which have sufficient production capacity at present to meet the estimated 2010 water requirements.

Other urban centres ranked A, which have no on-going projects (under construction stages) and not sufficient production capacity, include Karuri (18,716 population) in Kiambu, Msambweni (7,247 population) in Kwale, Garsen (4,232 population) and Hola (12,853 population) in Tana River, Tala+Kangundo (14,656 population) in Masaku, Mwingi (5,469 population) in Mwingi, Mtito Andei (4,938 population) in Makueni, Bute (2,543 population), Eldas (2,242 population) and Wajir (26,239 population) in Wajir, Ahero (11,661 population) and Kisumu (231,327 population) in Kisumu, Homa Bay (30,995 population) in Homa Bay, Migori (14,913 population) in Migori, Naymira+Kebirigo (7,130 population) in Nyamira, Narok (19,859 population) in Narok, Kilgoris (7,665 population) in Transmara, Mawalie+Malakisi (3,119 population) in

Bunogma and Luanda (4,246 population), Mbale (3,672 population) and Vihiga/Majengo (5,274 population) in Vihiga District (21 urban centres in total).

Remaining urban centres ranked A, which have on-going projects under construction stages are 5 in number. Out of them, Elwak (8,087 population) and Rhamu (5,144 population) in Mandera, are assessed that design capacities of the on-going projects (under construction stage) are less than 50% of the estimated water deficit (2010 water demand - production capacity of the existing scheme) expected in 2010 (2 urban centres).

In Chapter 7, priority projects will be selected from the above urban centres (2 + 21 + 2) in block letters.

6.2.3 Rural Water Supply

District ranking for development of the RWS are as follows:

Results of Project Ranking (RWS)

ъ. т.	Nos of	On-going Projects (Design Cap.>W. Demand)					
Rank	Districts	Sufficient	Not Sufficient				
Λ	11	0	11				
В	20	2	18				
С	18	3	15				
Total	50	5	45				

Note: Nairobi and Mombasa are excluded.

In all of 11 districts ranked A, on-going projects are not sufficient to meet the estimated 2010 water demand even by completion of on-going projects. They are Kilifi, Kwale, Tana River, Kitui, Makueni, Mandera, Wajir, Migori, Kipsigis, Narok and Transmara districts. These districts are further assessed to select priority districts in Chapter 7.

Of all the districts ranked B and C, only five districts have sufficient scale of the on-going projects. They are Marsabit and Uasin Gishu (2 districts as Rank B) and Taita, Isiolo and Meru (3 districts as Rank C).

6.2.4 Livestock Water Supply

Results of assessment with the estimated 1995 livestock units are presented also in **Table - 6.2.3**. Areas ranked "A" for construction of water pan/dams are six districts including Makueni, Garissa, Mandera, Wajir, Narok, and Baringo.

6.3 Implementation Schedule

Implementation schedule is worked out taking into account several aspects of the schemes including results of the project ranking, scope and volume of proposed works, costs and period required for construction and rehabilitation. The following assumptions were made:

6.3.1 Urban Water Supply

- (1) In case of the large sized urban centres more than 100,000 population, three year period is required for financial arrangement, feasibility studies, detailed design, etc. prior to initiation of the construction for expansion and augmentation. For small urban centres this period is reduced to 2 years. In case rehabilitation work at the urban centres where any expansion work is not required, this period is further reduced to one year.
- (2) Rehabilitation (not including rationalisation) requires one year period in principle.
- (3) Construction period is three years despite size of the urban centres and work volume required for developing the schemes.
- (4) Rationalisation which require a long period of four years at least, is done in parallel with the above rehabilitation and expansion works.

An implementation schedule prepared on each scheme basis is schematically portrayed in Figure - 6.3.1, which reflects the progress of the on-going projects.

It is to be noted that the above implementation schedules are preliminary ones to achieve targets set up in Section 2.1.4 by the year 2010, which shall be reviewed for further amendment and revision.

6.3.2 Rural Water Supply

Assumptions made above for the urban water supply are effective also for the rural water supply. To work out the implementation schedule on a district basis, minor adjustments were made as follows:

- (1) As regards the large scale rural water supplies, two year period is required for financial arrangement, feasibility studies, detailed design, etc. prior to initiation of the construction for expansion and augmentation. For small community based rural water supply schemes, this period is not considered explicitly. Because of scale and number of the schemes, all works for surveys, studies, design and construction are done simultaneously on an adhoc basis.
- (2) Rehabilitation of each scheme (not including rationalisation) requires one year period in principle. Because of number of the schemes in each district, three year period in total is required for all the work in the district.

- (3) Construction period is four or five years, depending on number of schemes planned in each district. Five year period is minimum for developing 1,000 schemes or more in one district. If number of the planned schemes is less than 1,000, four year period is considered appropriate.
- (4) Rationalisation which require a long period of three five years at least, is done in parallel with the above rehabilitation and expansion works.

Figure - 6.3.2 and Figure - 6.3.3 show the implementation schedule prepared for developing the rural water supplies, that reflects the schedule of the on-going projects.

6.3.3 Livestock Water Supply

Construction of the livestock water supply in the districts ranked "A" will precede other areas. With a view to the work volume and number of the schemes to be constructed, two year period is for financial arrangement, studies and detailed design and three year period may be allowed to complete the construction in each district. Figure - 6.3.4 portrays the implementation schedule for development of the livestock water supply.

CHAPTER 7 PRIORITY PROJECTS

7.1 Rehabilitation

7.1.1 Factors Considered

Project ranking made in the preceding sections does not reflect the operational status of the existing schemes. It is necessary to evaluate efficiency of the existing schemes in order to select priority projects for rehabilitation. The following efficiency factors were tentatively selected:

(1) Metered Connection (related to accounted-for water ratio)

Depending on metered or not, subscribers are likely to change their attitudes for water use, according to the previous and current studies. In general, percentage of metered connections to the total connections well represents an efficiency of the water supply, or accounted-for water ratio.

Metered Connections/Total Connections	Score
More than 75%	1
50% - 75%	2
50% or less	3

2) Operational Hour (related to production efficiency)

Many treatment works supply water intermittently. They are usually in operation less than 16 hours a day. This situation is very obvious in the rural water supply. It is a basic requirement to operate treatment facilities on continuous basis. If continuous supply is achieved, water production would increase significantly.

Operational Hours per Day	Score
More than 20 hours	11
15 - 20 hours	2
15 hours or less	3

Chlorine Dosage (related to quality control)

The Household Survey indicates that the majority of the people are not satisfied with the present water supply services. Major reasons for this are low pressure (less water available), poor quality of supplied water, and poor management. As assumed from these replies, all water supply schemes are not always dosing chemicals (or chlorine) on daily basis. Many schemes, particularly small scale schemes, are supplying water without proper treatment.

Frequency of Chlorine Dosage	Score
Daily	1
Not daily	2

In the selection, results of the project ranking in Section 6.2 are also incorporated in addition to the above.

7.1.2 Results of Evaluation

(1) Urban Water Supply

Unit incremental rehabilitation cost shall not exceed unit incremental cost for expansion. Keeping this in mind, evaluation is made only for the schemes ranked "A", which have the existing schemes. For evaluation, technical data obtained from the current Survey on Urban Water Supplies by JICA Study Team and the Survey on Needs of Rehabilitation by MWR are utilised. Any weight between factors are not considered in evaluation. Urban water supply schemes thus evaluated are classified into two: UWS operated at relatively high efficiency and at low efficiency. Results are given in Table - 7.1.1. It is to be noted that the present evaluation is tentative one, based on data available. When more reliable data are obtained, technical efficiency of each scheme shall be re-evaluated.

The following 20 schemes are recommended for urgent rehabilitation.

Prioritised Scheme for Rehabilitation

	District	Code	Name of Urban Scheme
1.	Kiambu	U-3	Karuri
2.	Kwale	U-46	Msambweni
3.	Lamu	U-47	Lamu
4.	Tana River	U-58	Garsen
5.		U-59	Hola
6.	Masaku	U-77	Kangundo
7.	Mwingi	U-91	Mwingi
8.	Garissa	U-104	Garissa
9.		U-110	Rhamu
10.	Wajir	U-113	Bute
11.	1	U-114	Eldas
12.	1	U-116	Wajir
13.	Kisumu	U-119	Ahero
14.	Migori	U-136	Migori
15.	Kajiado	U-141	Kajiado
16.	Transmara	U-174	Kilgoris
17.	Bungoma	U-200	Cheptais
18.	Vihiga	U-213	Maseno/Luanda
19.	7	U-214	Mbale
20.	1	U-215	Vihiga/Majengo

As will be discussed in Subsection 7.2.2, six schemes of the above 20 (Msambweni, Kangundo, Wajir, Kilgoris, Maseno/Luanda and Mbale) are also selected for urgent expansion and rehabilitation. Accordingly, these six schemes are excluded from further discussion.

(2) Rural Water Supply

Although many schemes encounter operational and financial problems, overall features of the schemes are not known. Therefore, analyses for selecting rural schemes were cancelled. It is, however, recommended that rehabilitation of the existing schemes will be carried out in parallel with the expansion and/or on-going projects at all rural districts (refer to Section 7.2).

7.2 Expansion

7.2.1 Factors Considered

Project ranking in the foregoing Sections is based on social and technical factors. Due to a lack of data on scheme basis, social factor evaluation is made utilising district data. Factors selected for the project ranking may not be comprehensive and proper. More in-depth and thorough studies based on reliable and accurate data are required. To minimise such deviation of the project ranking, the selected schemes are further discussed from the following viewpoints;

(1) Development Status of the Scheme

Status of the scheme whether it has on-going projects is an important aspect to identify priority projects.

(2) Water Production to Be Expanded

Magnitude of the project scale in terms of production capacity is not considered in the project ranking. Depending on schemes, shortage of water production varies significantly.

(3) PIP Projects

The Government has prepared PIP, in which priority projects in the water supply sector are identified in a form of the project list. For the present prioritisation, the selected schemes are confirmed in the list.

(4) Impacts on Environment

Development of water supply systems may sometimes entail environmental problems. Particularly construction of the intake and water abstraction from the limited water sources might be influential to the fauna and flora at the construction site and to the other water users in the rivershed.

7.2.2 Selected Priority Projects

(1) Urban Water Supply

Urban centres ranked "A" where production deficits are expected in 2010 can be grouped into three: i) centres that have no water supply schemes at present (2 urban centres), ii) centres that have existing schemes for which augmentation projects are under way (2 urban centres), or iii) centres for which no projects are undertaken (21 urban centres). They are all evaluated from the factors stated above. The results of evaluation as given in Table - 7.2.1 recommends 8 out of 25 urban centres for urgent rehabilitation and augmentation as parallel works.

(2) Rural Water Supply

Eleven districts selected in Chapter 6 are candidates for urgent implementation. Due to financial arrangement and manpower resources required for the project implementation, it is considered desirable to determine most urgent districts out of 11. Although factors are basically same as those for the urban water supply, the following two are considered as key factors in case of the rural water supply.

1) Project status

FINIDA assist communities in developing a number of borehole schemes (community water supply management project) in Kakamega, Bungoma, and Busia Districts in Western Province. There are many small schemes being developed by NGOs and other institutions. Under WRAP project, surveys and studies on the existing rural water supply schemes are carried out in Baringo, Kajiado, Kilifi, Marsabit and West Pokot districts. JICA, in its Study on Water Supply for Seven Towns in Eastern Province, carried out the survey on the existing community based water supply schemes in the study areas. After these studies, no funding sources are identified yet for the next stage development. Hence, merely districts where community water supply schemes are under implementation by FINIDA are excluded from the current selection.

2) Estimated Water Deficit in 2010

The estimated 1995 non-served population of these districts are summarised in Table - 7.2.2, which also gives the estimated water deficits in 2010. It is tentatively supposed that districts where the deficits are large in quantity (more than 10,000 m³/day) will have a higher priority.

Districts assessed highly urgent are the following 6 districts: Kilifi, Kwale, Migori, Kipsigis, Narok and Transmara districts.

(3) Livestock

Based on the evaluation result presented in the previous Chapter 6, the projects of the following 6 districts with high priority were selected as priority livestock water supply projects.

Priority District for Livestock Water Supply

Province	District	Livestock
Eastern	Makueni	1,330,000
North-Eastern	Garissa	1,109,500
	Mandera	253,300
	Wajir	435,300
Rift Valley	Narok	261,900
<u>-</u> 	Baringo	734,200

Source: The Aftercare Study Team, 1998

7.3 Outline Scope of the Proposed Project

7.3.1 Rehabilitation

Urgent rehabilitation is recommended for 20 urban water supply schemes. Out of them, five schemes which are also selected for expansion and rehabilitation are excluded in the following summary table.

Summary of Rehabilitation for UWS

	Name of Urban	Production	I	Scope	of Rehat	oilitation	Works		Estimated
Code	Scheme Capacity (m³/day)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	Rehabilitation Cost (US\$1,000)	
U-3	Karuri	624			Х		х	х	88
U-46	Msambweni	624			Х	х	х	х	142
U-47	Lamu	575			х	Х	Х	х	117
U-58	Garsen	100	х	Х	х	х	Х		57
U-59	Hola	228	х	Х	Х	Х	х	х	105
U-77	Kangundo	441			Х	X	Х	х	95
U-91	Mwingi	300	х	х	х	Х	Х	х	145
U-104	Garissa	1,440	Х	Х	х	х	х	х	353
U-110	Rhamu	140			х	Х	х	х	46
U-113	Bute	202			Х	х	Х		54
U-114	Eldas	65			х	Х	Х		36
U-116	Wajir	48			Х	х	Х		38
U-119	Ahero	23				х	Х		33
U-136	Migori	960			Х	x	Х	х	184
U-141	Kajiado	2,000		х	х	х	Х	Х	533
U-174	Kilgoris	864	х	х	х	х	х	Х	249
U-200	Cheptais	2,400	х	х	Х	х	Х	х	505
U-213	Maseno/Luanda	1,192	х	х	Х	х	х	х	309
U-214	Mbale	950			х	х	х	х	114
U-215	Vihiga/Majengo	63			Х	х	х	х	41
	Total	10,080							3,244

Note:

(1) Intake facilities, (2) Treatment works, (3) Storage tanks, (4) Pipeline, (5) Master meters, and (6) Chlorine dosing

equipment.

Source: The Aftercare Study Team

7.3.2 Expansion

(1) Urban Water Supply

Present status and outline scope of the eight (8) water supply projects are briefed below.

1) Msambweni

Msambweni urban centre had a population of 7,247 in 1995. The existing water supply scheme operated by MWR has production capacity, 624 m³/day, not sufficient to meet the present water demand, 1,704 m³/day. The existing scheme extracts groundwater from the two boreholes. Chlorine is dosed daily for domestic use. No other treatment than chlorination is practised. Due to population growth, water demand is forecast to be more than 3 times the present value.

As for future expansion, river water is assumed as water source. The required capacity of the treatment works is 5,000 m³/day. Total length required for transmission and distribution is estimated at 37 km.

2) Tala+Kangundo

Tala/Kangundo is located in Masaku District. It has a population of 14,656 in 1995. There is no on-going projects. The existing scheme operated by Town Council depends on two boreholes as water sources. Extracted groundwater, pumped up to the storage tank, then boosted to hill tank and are gravitated to the customer. Rapid population growth in the coming 15 years will result in water deficit, 7,490 m³/day in 2010.

As same as Msambweni, river water is supposed as water source for the new treatment works. It has a production capacity, approximately 7,000 m³/day with full treatment.

3) Wajir

Wajir is a district centre in Wajir district. MWR is a responsible agency for operating the existing schemes, which extracts groundwater from shallow wells. Its yield is very limited, 48 m³/day, not sufficient to meet the present water demand. Major customers of this scheme are government offices and small number of houses.

In the vicinity of Wajir, there is no promising water sources other than groundwater. Construction of boreholes are proposed as major water sources. No treatment is required other than chlorination. Treatment works to be constructed will have production capacity of 9,000 m³/day. Pipeline length to supply water to the residents extends to 38 km in total.

4) Kisumu

JICA Study on developing the existing water supply scheme is currently on going. Its operational body is Municipal Council. It has two waterworks, Kajulu and L. Victoria waterworks. The supply capacity of these treatment works is merely one third of the estimated water demand in 1995. Since the present water source is the Lake Victoria, it applies conventional treatment process. Several water sources for future expansion are assessed in the JICA Study.

Tentatively it is assumed that the impounded water of the dam is water source that require full treatment. Production capacity of the treatment works is 61,000 m³/day. Storage tanks to be constructed will have 30,000 m³ storage to supply water continuously. Total pipe length to be installed will be 1,170 km.

5) Homa Bay

Homa Bay has a urban water supply scheme operated by MWR, with a design capacity, 1,500 m³/day. The treatment process applied is conventional type, consisting of coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection. The existing intake on Lake Victoria is choked with water 'hyacinth' causing problems in the supply of water. The existing pumps are also not adequate with no standby arrangements. There is no project underway around the areas.

As for future expansion, it is proposed that surface water is a major water source. Treatment works to be constructed will have a production capacity 12,000 m³/day. Pipeline to be installed will have a total length, 363 km.

6) Narok

The Narok river and spring are water sources of Narok Urban Water Supply Scheme. It produces 1,315 m³/day. The treatment process applied is also conventional type, consisting of coagulation, sedimentation, filtration and disinfection. Service area has a population of approximately 30,000. Due to recent heavy rains, the scheme has been heavily damaged. Distribution mains are washed away and intake chamber are clogged. Rehabilitation and augmentation of the scheme are urgently required.

Narok water supply expansion will depend on impounded water of the dam to be constructed as no water source is available. Conventional treatment process is assumed to treat the raw water. Pipeline length to be constructed extends to 69 km in total.

7) Luanda/Maseno

Maseno water supply was constructed in 1957 and expanded in 1978 and 1987. This scheme operated by MWR serves Luanda/Maseno urban centre and its surrounding rural areas. It takes raw water from the existing open furrow. After full treatment, it supply to approximately 68,400 population. The scheme has been heavily affected by the recent heavy rains with section of the furrow washed away and filters clogged. Some section of distribution system has also been washed away. The estimated water shortage in 2010 is 23,000 m³/day.

Future water source is same as the existing system. Full treatment is required to treat the turbid river water. Production capacity of the expanded treatment works is around 23,000 m³/day. Pipeline length inclusive of transmission and distribution is around 300 km.

8) Mbale

Mbale water supply was commissioned in 1975. Conventional treatment process is applied to clarify the turbid river water. It has production capacity of 960 m³/day, servicing 20,000 population. Approximately 450,000 population reside in its supply area.

Despite its large supply area, Mbale urban centre is small with 3,700 population in 1995. To meet the rapidly growing water demand, it is considered urgent to implement the project.

As the design is currently under way, no accurate information on the design factors are available to the JICA Study Team. According to the MWR Project Status Report, the new treatment works will have a production capacity of 22,500 m³/day.

Total project base cost required for rehabilitation and expansion is estimated at US\$ 149.3 million. out of which US\$ 2.5 million and US\$ 146.8 million are for rehabilitation and expansion of the prioritised urban water supply schemes respectively.

Summary of Expansion for UWS

Scheme Name	Production Cap. of the Existing (m ³)	Production Cap. to be Expanded	Water Source	Treatment Process	Storage Tank Cap. (m³)	Length of Distribution Pipes (km)	Estimated (US\$ 1,	
	Lasting (in)				Ì		Reh.	Ехр.
Msambweni	624	4,937	S	F	2,600	37	142	3,796
Kangundo/Tala	441	6,885	S	F	3,500	51	95	5,309
Wajir	48	9,088	G	С	4,700	68	38	9,608
Kisumu	14,565	60,750	D	F	30,200	436	1,172	77,257
Homa Bay	1,500	11,524	S	F	6,000	87	363	8,257
Narok	1,315	9,558	D	F	4,700	69	249	27,242
Maseno/Luanda	1,192	23,174	s	F	11,700	170	309	15,331
Mbale	960	0	s	F	C	0	114	34
Total	20,645	125,915	<u></u>		63,400	918	2,481	146,834

Note: S... surface water, G...groundwater, D...impounded dam, F... full treatment, C... chlorination

Source: JICA Study, 1998

(2) Rural Water Supply

The estimated project base cost includes LSRWS and SSRWS. Investment cost required for rehabilitation and expansion is US\$5.5 and US\$44.4 million in total. If these schemes are implemented as planned, new 11,968 SSRWS will start operation under Phase 1.

Summary of Rehabilitation and Expansion for RWS

	Type of	Nos. of	Production Cap.	Production	Major	Estimated Cos	Is (US\$ 1,000)
Districts	Scheme	Schemes to be Developed	of the Existing (m³/day)	Cap. to be Expanded	Water Sources	Reb.	Exp.
	LS	0	2,416	0	-	1,290	0
Kilifi	SS	2,416	9,592	13,804	G	_ •	4,784
	LS	0	2,160	0		645	0
Kwale	SS	2,080	7,727	11,883	G		4,118
	LS	0	409	0	S	323	0
Migori	SS	3,411	2,539	19,486	G	-	6,754
	LS	0	887	0		645	0
Kipsigis	SS	3,537	5,943	20,199	G	_	196
.,	LS	7	2,049	5,975	G	1,955	12,196
Natok	SS	524	1,443	2,987	G	-	1,038
m	LS	9	25	7,122	S	645	15,328
Transmara	SS	0	400	0	_	_	0
T 4.1	LS	16	7,946	13,097		5,503	27,524
Total	SS	11,968	27,644	68,359		-	16,890

Note: S... surface water, G...groundwater, D...impounded dam, F... full treatment, C... chlorination

Source: JICA Study, 1998

(3) Livestock Water Supply

Total estimated project base cost for construction of the livestock water supply is US\$7.9 million. Under this project, it is planned that 260 livestock water supply facilities will be constructed.

Summary of Livestock Water Supply

Districts	Nos. of Schemes	Total Storage (m³)	Estimated Costs (US\$ 1,000)
Makueni	79	3,950	2,410
Garissa	98	4,900	2,989
Mandera	23	1,150	702
Wajir	39	1,950	1,190
Narok	16	800	488
Baringo	44	2,200	1,342
Total	299	14,950	9,121

Source: JICA Study, 1998

7.3.3 Total Project Cost

Project costs of the prioritised projects including rehabilitation and expansion are summarised in the table below. Total project base costs are summed up to US\$ 208.4 million, out of which US\$ 8.0 million and US\$ 200.4 million are for rehabilitation and expansion, respectively.

Project Base Cost Summary

(Unit: US\$ 1,000)

Type of Scheme	No.	Rehabilitation	Expansion	Total
Urban Water Supply (Reh)	15	2,481		2,481
-ditto- (Exp)+(Reh)	8		146,834	146,834
Rural Water Supply - Large	16	5,503	27,524	33,027
Rural Water Supply - Small	11,968	-	16,890	16,890
Livestock Water Supply	260		9,121	9,121
Total		7,984	200,369	208,353

Source: JICA Study, 1998

- PART II: WATER SUPPLY DEVELOPMENT PLAN -

TABLES

Table - 1.2.1 (1/2) Ongoing Project by District

Province													•	-	
Province		_ _ [Number of On-going schemes	COLLEGE SCHEINGS	Ī		A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A - A -		Opinional Costs	Ocinical Costs Amount required	Design	Population
	District		Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required		Original Costs	5		Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required		Criginal cons	Complete	Population	Served
		<19%		to Complete	20-49%			50-79%		to Complete	280%	///CL = (11)	to Complete	(Nr)	3 3
	-	_	(KShs million)	(KShs million)		(KShs million)	(KShs million)		(KShs million)	(KSAS MILIOR)	Ţ	(North Intition)	(Notice of the Color	100	23 600
Central	Kiambu	4	69.45	20.00	0	00:00	0.00	0	0.00	00:0	0	20.0	00.00	\$0X*101	000
	Kiriyanga	C)	6.30	9.60	CA	8.54	11.82	4	17.09	16,16	7	17.20	2510	00000	030.00
	Muranaa	0	00:00	00:0	77	101.50	00.09	0	0.00	00.00	3	220.00	40.50	275,600,1	190,000
	Number	r	20.75	43.94	0	19.76	9.76	1~	88.6	6.78	4	6.48	1.07	444,468	95,947
	Namous da	, ,	03.051	00 88	\ V	181.00	24.25	<u> </u>	150.46	101.90	14	81.33	5.86	490,184	62,760
	Nycri	5 0	20.07	200		320 00	160.00	٧.	170.00	173.00	0	0.00	0.00	56,420	70,000
	Thika	٥	O.O.	0.00	7	00.047	26.82	٦	747 43	297.84	23	325.01	108.75	2,341,936	463,827
	Sub-total	10	286.10	161.54	2	0.50.80	C0.2.02	1	50.65	86 SV	ľ	70.07	20.24	315,000	131,800
Coustal	Kilifi	Çŧ	4.44	4,49	m	0/.0	/c:c	7 (50.70	00 7	t -	0.63	900	16.000	8.100
	Kwalc	7	3.10	5.47	_	3.60	3.47	.	00.4	00.4	- (886	\$4 \$1\$	20.784
	Line	0	00.0	0.00	۲,	10.80	12.70	n	8.19	14.72	~;		0.00	20000	2000 011
	Mombasa	-6	8.11	8.11	7	0.97	0.97	0	0.00	00'0			2.56	0000	000.41
	Taira Tayora	·		2.82	4	15,09	0.52	6	5.56	32.98	- 15		1.26	77,546	75,650
	Tana Pinas			000	0	00.0	00'0	-	12.60	2.00	1		3.00	25,000	9000
	Cot design	3.		08.00	15		21.23	20	88,48	98.66	25	_	27.06	\$18,061	361,324
-	Triete	· ·		15.00	L		2.92	2	0.62	3,53	0	00.00	00.00	166,067	240,000
EBNEEFD	OIOISI	1 (-	27972	_	17.44	58.96	9	69'9	7.30	7	19.89	17.25	176,449	12,698
	Y In	^ -		200	-	00.00	4 40	<u> </u>	162.86	443.03	<u>د</u>	4.69	00.00	317,637	86,560
	Maachakos		· ·	000		7	9 0		1.90	8.16	17	5.89	0.80	96,815	121,615
	Marsabit	0		00.0		. 6	9	2	22.33	23.30	_		14.89	318,220	251,840
	Meru	٠.		13.23	<u>.</u>	00.15	36.20	-	24 93	21.67			1.30	322,538	98,800
	Makueni	и		33.00	m (158.60	146.10	2 -	16.40	5 6			2.85	59,261	29,637
	Mwingi			96'1	· (66.11	31.6		000	4.40		4	5.00	122,316	13,250
	Tharaka Nithi			5.5.0	7	01.1	7 6	4 -	0.00	1.7	_		00:00	77,400	•
	Mbcere	(1)		27.00		0.00	0.00		50	000			0.00	162,220	34,894
	Nyambene	O C	000	3,000	0 0		- CA 7 CS	Y X	59 020	523,70	ľ	469.66	42.09	1,818,923	889,294
	Sub-total	0.7		14.074			322.00	Ċ	000	00:0	L	1.66	0.30	101,400	63,720
North Eastern Carissa	Carissa	۰ ·		800	. r		3.30	, (c)	32,00	12.80			4.00	14,000	334,000
	Manuera	· ·					88.5	0	00.00	00.00	0	00.00	00'0	39,000	Ì
	Wallf	ľ		5		,	31 121	٦	32.00	12,80	7		4.30	154,400	397,720
	Substotal	7		00.0			39,15	8	5,43	2.59		20.80	2.09	192,898	33
Nyanka Nyanka	i i	> <		000			2.20	_	101	0,40	71	7.40	13.60	56,500	000
	Nisumo C.			000	1 6	200	0 12		0.03	0.01	۳,	0.34	34.02	887,000	
	Naya	_		93:0		02.6	220	_	3.00	08.1	_	00:0	00.00	151,000	165,500
	Homa bay	•		2		20.00	051	_	0.82	0:30		000	00.0	255,000	48,750
	MISORI	+ C		0			000	~ c	2.80	09:1		2.45	0.45	75,000	8,600
	Syamilis	-		00.0			00 511	Ċ	0.00	0.00	_	0.00	00:00	570,150	35,000
	Kachuonyo			200	, =		160 17	2		12.70	12	30.99	50.16	2,187,548	1,024,519
	Sub-total	4		300			1001								

Table - 1.2.1 (2/2) Ongoing Project by District

						Number of On-going Schemes	going Schemes				Ì			-	-
Province	District		Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required		Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required		Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required	_	Original Costs	Original Costs Amount required	Design	Population
		%61×	•	to Complete	20-49%		to Complete	50-79%		to Complete	×80%	•	to Complete	Population	Served
			(KShs million)	(KShs million)		(KShs million)	(KShs million)		(KShs million)	(KShs million)	Ĭ	(KShs million)	(KShs million)	(N)	(N)
Rift Valley	Kajiado	0	00:0	00'0	1	8.00	7.88	3	20,80	26.90	4	81.50	24.89	49.900	5,100
•	Laikipia	4	87.51	125.80	寸	3,81	11.94	m	7.70	12.00	(1	3.20	90'0	381,931	91,308
	Nakuro	0	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0.00	7	125.60	145.63	0	0.00	0.00	25,100	28,204
_	Narok	0	0.00	00:0	N	13.74	16.50	1	4.00	08.0	_	3.73	9.40	34,694	12,650
	Trans Nzoia	-	1.30	2.50	٧,	33.20	54.48	0	00.0	00.0	0	00:00	0.00	647,792	0.0.1
	Casin Gushu		6.00	5.55	7	58.45	46.67	7	245.80	74.69	L4	2.80	•	161,937	74.122
-	Baringo		3,30	1.34	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	•	•	4	86.88	25.12	V S	51,44	80.20	128,243	109,129
	Elgeyo		1.20	1.13		30.00	23.35	4	7.09	3.24	63	8.20	29.0	97,253	23,100
	Nandi	п	25.60	39.00	-	1.68	1.18	4	122.30	42.50	'n	47.62	5.49	88,600	11,950
	Samburu	6	1.43	4,70	4	2.28	2.55	4	5.22	3.90	7	9.37	16.1	32,400	75,000
	Turkana	-	13.00	0.50		2.00	1.13	9	11.74	20.30	∞	11.66	4.56	96,834	27,050
	West Pokoko	0	00:0	00:0	4	0.94	23.52	3	1.46	1.69	3	2.13	0.02	661,500	11.400
	Sub-total	-	139.34	181.42	31	154.10	189.20	46	63	356.77	40	221.65	127.20	2,406,184	470,023
Western	Bomet	7.	8.38	6.70	N	12.70	6.70	٥	00:0	00:0	2	13.21		36,879	-
	Marakwet	-	0.76	•	-	0.08		0	00.0	00:00		21.72	0.40	59,100	2.000
	Keiyo	6	5.90	4.86	₹	310.18	105.43	0	0.00	00:00	rs.	21.88	5.40	17.071	7,820
	Trans Mara	63	2.00	4.80	73	0.50	00'6	0	0.00	0.00	_	1.00	87	33,000	8,500
	Bungoma	6	87.06	79.18	-	09:0	0.81	-	0.21	0.07	~	0.95	-	127,936	11,800
	Busia	9	42.90	95.99	13	86.00	4200.10	3	2.75	3.86	-	0.83	0.58	190,470	74,300
	Kakamega	_	7.00	00.00	_	0.00	0.00	-	2.00	\$.00	0	0.00	00'0	\$,200	4,500
	Vihiga	v	•	140.00	0	0.00	000	-	11.73	09'0	-	•	0.04	3,200	1,500
	Teso	0	00.00	00'0		85.00	225.00	1	0.85	1.50	0	0.00	0.00	89,870	'
	Sub-total	23	157.00	391,53	13	495.06	4547.04	7	17.54	11.03	2	59.59	8.42	572,726	113,420
TOTAL		8	903.59	1235.79	9†1	2837.19	6042.12	158	1407.76	1314.70	166	1175.92	367.98	9.999.778	3,720,127
		1						١			ĺ				

Data source: MWR water supply projects and schemes stnatus repor,1996

Table - 1.2.2 Present Status of Planned Projects

Investi- Planning gation 33 26 5 3 3 26 37 22 0 0 1 1 9 9 40 31 6 6 1 12 9 9	(Investigation, Planning and Design Stages)	ning and Des	sign Stage	(\$;	Stage of the Projects	Projects			Design	Design	Estimated
RWS 33 26 115 8 UWS 5 3 26 115 8 Sub-total 38 29 123 8 C RWS 37 22 89 4 No-data 1 9 10 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 Amunity 6 25 1 Help 10-data 2 3 12 Sub-total 12 9 37 2 Sub-total 12 9 37 2 Sub-total 12 9 37 2	water Suppry	Drojects	Investi-	Planning	Design/	Implement/	No-Data	Total	Population	Capacity	Costs
RWS 33 26 115 8 UWS 5 3 26 115 8 C RWS 37 22 89 4 C RWS 2 0 3 0 No-data 1 9 10 4 RS RWS 10 6 25 1 Amunity RWS 10 6 25 1 Rest RWS 10 6 25 1 Rest No-data 2 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 9 37 2	Agentey	and the second	gation)		Operation				(m^3/d)	(KShs million)
CWWS 5 3 8 0 Sub-total 38 29 123 8 C RWS 37 22 89 4 UWS 2 0 3 0 4 No-data 1 9 10 4 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 1 Amounity 5 10 6 25 1 1 Help 1 6 25 1 1 4 1 Itute 3 3 3 3 1 2 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3 1 3	an	RWS	33	26	115		6	191	5,281,339	571,040	10,625.5
Sub-total 38 29 123 8 RWS 37 22 89 4 UWS 2 0 3 0 No-data 1 9 10 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 Innity RWS 10 6 25 1 elp No-data 2 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 9 37 2 Sub-total 12 9 37 2		SMI	5	(0)	8	0	0	16	433,054	101,060	952.0
RWS 37 22 89 4 UWS 2 0 3 0 No-data 1 9 10 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 Innity RWS 10 6 25 1 elp No-data 2 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 9 37 2	. <u>.</u>	Sub-total	38		123	8	6	207	5,714,393	672,100	
UWS 2 0 3 0 No-data 1 9 10 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 Inity 6 25 1 elp 6 25 1 elp 8 12 1 elp No-data 2 3 12 1 Sub-total 12 9 37 2 Sub-total 12 9 37 2	VCPC	RWS	37	22	68	4	9	158	1,392,385	98,100	က်
No-data 1 9 10 4 Sub-total 40 31 102 8 RWS 10 6 25 1 elp ce		OWS	2	0	C	0	0	5	148,373	11,400	103.4
Sub-total 40 31 102 8	•	No-data	П	6	10	4		24			:
unity elp elp RWS 10 6 25 1 elp RWS 20 25 1 selp RWS 10 6 25 1	,	Sub-total	40	31	102	80	9	187	1,540,758	109,500	3,504.0
unity elp .e .e	HERS	RWS	10		25		2	44	109,048	16,114	283.5
a 2 3 12 1 al 12 9 37 2	Community										,
a 2 3 12 1 al 12 9 37 2	Self-Help										
a 2 3 12 1 all 12 2	Institute										
a 2 3 12 1 al 12 9 37 2	Private										
a 2 3 12 1 al 12 2 al 2	Others										
al 12 9 37 2		No-data	~		12	-	2	20			
The Innernentation		Sub-total	12		37		4	64	109,048	16,114	283.5
Programmes 90 69 262 18 19	ital of Pre-Impler Programm	nentation es	06		262		19	458	7,364.199	797,714	15,365.0

Data source: Water supply projects and schemes status report in 1996, MWR

Table • 1.2.3 Historical Trend of Foreign Assistance to MOWR, 1992/93 • 1996/97 with a Focus on Assistance to Water Supply and Sewerage Development

Donor		1992/93		7.1	1003 04		T	200100											(noillian
Agencies	Losa	Grant	Total	Long	1993,94 Grant			199495	_		1995/96	~~~	1	1995/96			raed To		Share
ADB	1710		10(2)	LONG	COVERE	1003	LOSE	Grant	Total	Losa	Crast	Total	Loan	Grant	Total	C010	Grant	Total	(%)
ADF		·	···						·			!							
Austria	0 500	ł	0 500	2 134			- -	2,000	2 000		1 600	1.600		2 400	2.400		6.000	6.000	1.8
Belgum	0.50	0 800	0.800	2,124	}	2 134	1.500	 	1,500	4.750	<u> </u>	4,750	20 600		20,000	28.884		28.884	8.8
DANIDA	1 258	V 500		0.005	ļ	L			22-12-								0.800	0.800	0.2
EDE/EDC	1 436	<u> </u>	1 258	0.975	·	0.975	2.160	8.465	10.625		3,477	3,477		2 751	2.751	4 3 9 3	14.693	19.086	5.8
FINDA	4613	1 343	5000		<u> </u>			ì—–	ļ		İ	I		1					
	0.175	1 193	5 953	3.361		3 361	3.484	L	3.484	1.315		1315		1		12.770	1 343	14.113	4.3
France FRG	0.175	2 000	2 000		0.300	0300		1 100	1.100		1 300	1 300	i	0 600	0.600	0.175	3.300	3,475	1.1
IDA		3.952	3.952		6 000	6.000	-7:00	6.730	6,730		4 900	4.900		0.700	0.700		20 330	20.330	6.2
IFAD	0.075	0.095		1.459		1 469	4.600		4.600	7.400	L	7.400	13 500	2 935	16.435	26,969	6.887	33.856	10.3
Italy	4.000		0170	0.147	0.025	0.172		1.754	1.754	0.186	0.030	0.266	<u> </u>	0.210	0 210	0.498	2.114	2.522	0.8
	4.000	2 760	6.765	8.084		8 084	35 000	Ì	35,000	33.738		33.738	29.010	L	29.010	109.832	2.760	112.592	34.2
Јаран		3.525	3.525			L	7.600	<u> </u>	7.600	L				2.000	2.000	7,600	3.525	13.125	4.0
Kuwzit			L			l		<u></u>				F		2.496	2.496	1,42,44	2.496	2.496	0.8
Netherlands	1 905		1.905	2 1 1 5		2 115	2 680	7.993	10 673	2 680	7.170	9 850	0.200	13.771	13 971	9.580	28 93 4	38.514	11.7
Saudi Fund					<u>L</u> j	L						i —		2 000	2.000		2 000	2.006	0,6
SIDA	4 200		1 200	3.003	il	3 003	3 050		3.050	4 800		4 800	5 080		5.080	20.133	F-2-0-0	20.133	6.1
Switzerland	l							0.700	0.700		0.758	0.758		0.517	0.517	20.477	1 975	2.975	
LK							0.625		0.625					- 	V.217	0.625	1973	6.625	0.6
UNICEF								0.195	0 195		1 220	1 220	I —	0.880	0 880	0.023	2 295		0.1
WFP							0.868	2 389	3 257		2 370	2 3 70	0 654	V.86V	0.654	1 522	4.759	2.295 6.281	<u>0.7</u> 3.9
TOTAL		3 200	355.87	25.35	(4 Sel.)	33.05	19 8 35		4 (4)	A HOUSE	म्हाक्रे ४ की	5.345		19 (Cha. 19)	V	322	1.739	0.20	
101.1	16.723	14.475	31.190	21,280	6.325	27.613	61.567	35.326	92.373	54.369	22.825	77,694	68.444	31:260	99.704	222.871	104,211	329,102	100.0

Source, Development Estimates and Estimates of Recurrent Expenditure, 1992/93 - 1997/98

Table - 1.2.4 Historical Trend of Foreign Assistance to MOLA, 1992/93 - 1996/97 with a Focus on Assistance to Water Supply and Sewerage Development

Donor		1992/93			1993 94			(994.95			1995/96		$\overline{}$	1995/94	7.11		rand Tel	_	Sjuare
Agencies	Loan	Grant	Total	Loan	Grant	Total	Loan	Grant	Total	Lean	Grant	Total	Loan	Grant		Loss	Grant	Total	
ADB		10 000	10.000		6880	6.880		10.435	10.485		1		-	C) Aut	1003	T-0418			(%)
ADF		18 900	18.900		10 320	10 320		17.741	17.741		11.800	11 800		54.100			27.365	27.365	7.1
Austria			f		1	1,111			11.171		111.003	11 000		34.100	54.100		112.861	112.861	29.1
Belgum					1			 -			 			 — —	L		ļ	Ii	L
DANIDA		,	i – – -		i			3 000	3,000		ļ <u>.</u>	ł-—i		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	i	
EDF/FDC		3 000	3.000		1 500	1 500		2000	2.000					ł···	·		3,000	3.000	0.8
HNIDA						3352		<u> </u>	1		ł ····						4.500	4.500	7.2
France					1								ł - —-	ł·			ŧ	[I	L
RG		6.514	6.514		41.419	41.449		35,860	35,860		6372	6372		10000	l l		ļ		
ĐA		6,000	6.000		8 530	8.530		11 091	11 091		20 200			16.600	16,600		109.795	109.795	28.3
TAD						<u> </u>		11 001	13.071		120 200	20.200		29,000	29,000		74,821	74.821	19.3
ta v					i				-		<u> </u>			ļ.——					
lapao		11.000	11.000		4.000	4.000		1.660	£.660		 		<u></u>		II		<u>! </u>		
Kuwait				~	1.4.4.4	4,000		1.000	1.400					20.000	29.000		36.660	36,660	9.4
Netherlands			·					··	ļ -—- i		ł-—			·					
Saudi Fund		2 000	2 000		0 800	0.800		7,436	7.436		3.700	3.700							
SIDA				—		<u> </u>			2.450		3.702	3.700		5.000	5.000		18 936	18,936	4.9
Switzerland											ł						ļi		
UK.		}					~										L		·
UNICEF					i					ļ —									
W.Σ.b											i						ļ		
IOTAL	2.000	57.414	10.15	2.25	2.0	20.21	e de	4.7 q¥4.7.	104108	₹ #1± £	3336	E # 12 4 7 8 1	135-5-	15. a S. G-	2.2.3	V 1=040 €	N 20 20		
	4.000	10,000	57.414	6.000	76,479	16,479	1 000	17.273	\$7.273	0.000	42.672	42 472	0.000	24.766	124.706	9.000	367.938	207 610	194.6

Source Development Estimates and Estimates of Recurrent Expenditive, 1992/93 - 1997/98









Table - 1.3.1 (1/5) Present Status of Urban Water Supply

	District	Code	Name of Urban	Water Undertaker		Area Covered	Source-Treatment Process	Present Water Production	Consumer		Population in Service Area	Population Served	Population in 2013 (nos)	Future Expansion	Remarks	Pata Source
110		U-1	Sebeme Nairobi	Nairobi City Council	(Km²) 693.00	(Km²) 540.00	Kikuyu Springs , Sasumua, Ruiru, Thika, & Chania Doms /	(m³/day) 364,000	(Meterce) 142,206	7,358	1,950,000	1,784,577	3,023,000		The extreme western parts of the City experiences low pressure thus sewre water shortages.	WSSS
	Ciambu	U - 2		MWR	2.00		Conventional Full Treatment. Borehole / No Treatment	300	439	Na		8,000	12,062		Existing Cahunguri water supply has outlived its original design horizon and the whole system needs to be improved and	W555
		U-3	Kanvi	Municipal Council of Karuri	0.93	8.00	Borchole / No Treatment	520	Na	396	30,000	15,000	40,535		extended. Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened and all consumers metered.	WSSS
		U-4	Kiambu	Kiambu Municipal Council	2.59	2.00	Pipeline offtake & Bosehole / No Treatment	490	1,580	Na		8,958	21,356		The current supply is not adequate and sinking of more boreholes has been identified as a viable source for future.	WSSS, Status 96
ĺ		1/-5	Kkuyu	MAR	1.78	8.00	Borehole / Disinfection by chlorine	1,278	1,131	Na	23,892	9,586	20,677		At present there is no disinfection being carried as result of breakdown of dosing equipment	WSSS
		U-7 U-8	Nduraberi Ruiru	MWR	1 25 18.79	2.00	Ruiru River / Conventional Full Treatment.	761	650	Ni	100,000	60,000	20,579 70,142		Ruins urban is one of the fast growing industrial towns and the existing water supply is not adequate to meet the demand	WSSS, MWR
		U-9	Taka	Trika Municipal Council	1.25	80.00	Thika & Chania Rivers /Conventional Full Treatment.	24,000	320	6,175	149,448	120,000	190,350		Talks water supply is adequate to meet the anticipated demand until year 2005.	WSSS, MOLA
-	Sub-total		<u> </u>		28.59	101.00		27,369	4,170	6,571	303,340	220,644	375,701			
	Kirisyaga	U- 12	Kerugoya	MWR	1.96		Rutui River / Cooventional Full Treatment.	1,200	3,717	Ni	37,000	8,215	35,966		The existing water supply system is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban population. Kung urban does not have its own water. There is a pipe line	WZSZ
		U- 12	Kutus	NWCPC			Pipeline offtake (Ndia Water Supply) / Conventional Full Treatment.	246	250	Nā	9,550	1,234	i j		offtake from Nda water supply Scheme.	W.282
		U - 16	N.sogan	MWR	0.68		Thibs River / Conventional Full Trestment.	80			46,550	667			The existing Scheme has not been operating for the last 5 years due to major rehabilitation works being carried out.	WSSS
	Sub-total Murang'a	U - 19	Makuyu	Punda Milia Farmers Co- operative	2.64 1.33	8.00 6.00	Borehole / No Treatment	1,526 360		140	24,000			Final Design for an alternative source (earth dam) was carried in 1991 but the project has not been applemented.	Makuyu urban does not have it's own source.	WSSS
		U - 20	Maragua	MWR	83.00		Borehole / No Treatment	15	14	169	12,000	6,200	79,924		The existing borehole should be abandoned since yield is very little and it is in uneconomical state to rehabilitate.	WSSS
		U - 21	Muranga	MWR	11.66	1	Mathioya & Kayahwe Rivers / Conventional Full Treatment.	2,000		L	56,000	<u> </u>			The existing water Supply System is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban population.	WSSS
	Sub-total			Nyahururu Municipai	95.99			2,375		1		 	1	Alternative source has been identified on eastern	The existing source is a dequate for future planning but is	WSSS
240	Nyandarua	U - 28	Nyabururu Ot kalou	Council	17.00		River / Conventional Full Treatment Boreboles / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)_	3,000	 	 	150,000	 	ļ	side of Town for 1995 requirement.	polluted. The existing supply comprises of 4 No. boreholes of which	WSSS
	2.1	0.2	0,1200		21.58	8.80		3,2%	2.64	<u> </u>		1			2No.are not equipped.	
250	Seb-total Nyeri	U- 32	Endarasha	SH	0.84		Kamigogo River		1	1	100,000		7,564			NWMP
		U - 36	Karatina Nyeri	MWR Nyeri Municipal Council	2.00	37.00	Raggii Rives / Conventional Full Treatment. Chania Rives / Conventional Full Treatment.	1,300 5,940			100,000	14533		Feasibility Studies have been carried out by a firm of consulting Engineers for 2010 requirement.		WSSS MOLA
		U · 37	Othaya	NWCPC	1.33	ļ	Gakira River & Borehole / Disinfection by Calorine (TCL)	700	ļ	 		 	16,981	Of COURTING SUSPENSES 101 7010 15d memor	The scheme was designed for 2000 demand of 11000m ³ /day.	WSSS
					171.1			7,94	<u> </u>		2 117,50					
310	Sub-total KRIZG	U · 38	KHIS	NWCPC	8.18		Pipeline Offake (Maina Springs) / Conventional Full Treatment.	4,30	1					Preliminary Design has been carried out for 2020 requirement.	As present water supply is irregular due to frequent breakdown at the T. Works as well as along Sabaki pipeline.	wsss
		U · 39	Majengo		1.2				<u> </u>	1			5,549			
		U - 40	Malindi	NWCPC	35.50	5.0	Sabaki River / Conventional Full Treatment.	15,98	5 4,81	· · · · · ·	 	141,29	 	L location of boreholes has been identified for	The existing supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the gowing Liban population.	WSSS
		U - 41	Mambrui	Local Community	7.2	2 20	Well / No Treatment	20	7 N	15	3,00	ļ	 	2008 requirement.	The existing water supply is not adequate to meet the demand	
		U - 42	Mariskani	NWCPC	5.00		Pipetine Officke (Sabaki Pipe line) / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)	1,20			1	9 12,60 6,37	1	requirement	of the growing urban population.	M222
	Sub-total	U-43	Watamu	SWCPC	39.2		Pipeline offtake / Conventional Full Treatment.	21,69	3,25 2 9,80							
320	Kwale	U- 44	Kwale	NWCPC	7.7		O Pipeline Officiale from Marere Spring / Obinfection by chlorine	52		52 N			1 —	done under Second Mombasa and Coastal Water Supply Project.	n Studies carried out by Consultants in 1995 indicate that unacounted for water (LFW) is approximate 81% which is very high.	ļ
		U - 45	Langa Lunga	MWR	3.3	5 2.0	O Borehole / N o Treatment	22	xo :	28 N	38	1,83	33 22,28	Location of boreholes has been identified for 20 requirement.	maintenance.	n 5555
	1	U - 46	Msambweni	MWR	3.4	4.0	0 Wells / Disinfection by chlorine	52	20 2	ю в	25,00	00 12,00	20,74	1	There was a proposal to construct a dam for 1997 requirement which was never implemented.	wzss
	Sub total		<u> </u>		14.5	9 10.0	0	1.25	20 7.	10	0 29,30	00 16,83	38 56,26	0		
330	La mu	Ŭ - 47	Lamu	MWR	1.0	×	Shallow Wells / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)	57	75 1,3	15 N	ir 20,00	oo 5,∞	29,61	8	The existing water supply system is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban population. At present majority or residents resort to the numerous contaminated shallow wells.	
1		U - 49	Mokowe T.C		4.1			20			3.00					MWR
340	Sub-total Mombasa	U - 52	Mombasa	NWCPC	282.0	0.0	0 10 Sabaki River & Mzima Springs / Conventional Full Treatment.	18,3			0 23.00 0 138,30			Preliminary Design has been parried out for 202	The existing water supply system is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Population. At present the supply is \$18200m ³ /day while the demand is \$5000m ³ /day.	Second Mombasa Report

Table - 1.3.1 (2/5) Present Status of Urban Water Supply

50 Taite Sub-te			Name of Urban Scheme	Water Undertaker	Area of U.C. (Km²)	Area Covered (Km²)	Source/Treatment Process	Present Water Production (m²/day)	(Metered)	Consumer (Unmelered)	Population in Service Area	Population Served	Population in 2010 (nos)	Future Expansion	Remarks	Data Source
Sub-to	2	U - 54	Tavets	NWCPC	7.93		Njoro springs / Disinfection by chlorine	1,600	879	Na	43,790	4,400	25,433			WSSS, MOLA
Sub-ti		U - 55	Voi	NWCPC	16.05	9,00	Pipeline offtake (Mzima pipe tine) / Disinfection by chlorine	2,700	1,594	NB	4,800	20,300	35,159		The existing supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	WSSS, MOLA
Sub-t		U - 56	Wundanyani	MWR	1.71	12.00	River / Conventional Full Treatment.	1,233	1,001	Na.		8,000				WSSS, MOLA
	-totat				25.69	21.00		5,532	3,474	9	48,590	32,700	68,935			· · ·
60 Tana	a River	U-58.	Carsen	MWR	3.15		Tana River / Cooventional Full Treatment.				19,000	10,000	10,119		Garsen water supply system was swept away by floods in 1989 and no rehabilitation works has been carried out since then.	WSSS, MWR, Status 96
		U - 59	Ном	MWR	13.40	4.00	Taga River / Conventional Full Treatment.	228	410	NE	15,000	9,000	36,818		Operation and Maintenance cost is far much higher than the revenue generated from the sales of water. The scheme experiences chemical shortages during rainy seasons due to	W535
L								228	410		25,000	19,000	46,928		inaccessible roads.	
Sub-1 110 Embu	-total bu	U - 60	Embu	NWCPC	16.55 24.00		Pipeline Offiake (Embu Water Supply) / Conventional Full Treatment	4,058			55,000	35,000		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		WSSS, MOLA
		U-61	Rusyesjes	Municipal council of	3.06	15.00	Ena River / No Treatment	135	Ni	840	4,500	4,284	5,329		All consumers should be metered in order to control wastage of	WSSS, Status 96
-		0-0,	Kill. ye tije s	Runyenjes.	27.06	46.00		4,193	<u> </u>	3 363	59,500	39,284	97,543		water and enhance revenue collection.	· ·
1 .	- total						Pipeline Offiake from Ewaso Nyiro Water Supply / Conventional	1	1		40,000	36,000			The existing source is not adequate to meet the demand of the	WSSS
120 Esiolo	lo L	U - 63	ls iolo	MWR	12.82	18.00	Full Treatment.	4,35	4///		40,000	30,000	1 8,00		growing Urban population.	
		U- 64	Modo Gashe	Local Community	2.20		Gaa Gof River / No Treatment	ļ			,		6,074		This is an old community mamaged water supply scheme which is currently being rehabilitated.	WSSS
		U-65	Merti	Local Community	6.48	3.00	Borebole / No Treatment	69	Nã.	1 230	6, 248	1,173	19,553		The current water supply serves a very small section of the community & residents depend on individual shallow wells.	WSSS, Status 96
Sub-	-total			<u> </u>	21.50	21.00		4,42	2.77	1 230	46,248	37,172	3 109,067			
130 Kitui	บโ	U - 68	Kini	MWR	6.00	10.00	Borehole / No Treatment	800	900	0 250	25,000	11,000	37,781	Plan are underway to connect the urban supply with Masinga Kirui water supply Scheme.	Water test carried out indicate that the borehole water require disinfection.	m222
140 Masa	saku	U - 69	Athi River	Mavoko Municipal Council	14.53	35.00	Pipeline offtake / Conventional Full Treatment.	2,000	1,20	o Ni	50,000	12,500	0 48,441	Feasibility study for a concrete dam on Mbagathi River are being carried by consultants	The existing supply is not adequate to meet demand of the growing Urban population	wsss
		U - 71	Machakos	NWCPC	723.00	22.50	Dam on maruba River / Conventional Full Treatment.	2,66	0		120,000	80,000	0 407,822		Majority of the meters are not working or are buried. 85% of the consumers are billed on estimates thus loss of revenue.	WSSS, Status 96
	-	U - 74	Matuu	MWR	1.30	 	Yetta Canal	28	3		5,200	10,000	0 7,947			MWR
- 1	Ī	U - 7/	Kangundo	Kangundo-Tala Town	26.5	4.00	Borehole / Disinfection by chlorine (TCL)	34	31	120	9,500	4,500	37,848		Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened.	WSSS
- 1	ŀ		 	Council Kangundo-Tala Town	ļ	 	, , ,			 			1		Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened for	we ac
		U - 77	Tala	Council		5.00	Borehole / No Treatment	10		0 33		<u>!</u> _			efficient running of the Scheme.	WSSS
Sub-	5-total				771.3	7 76.50		5,38	2 1,59	6 440	197,700	117,00	O 502,058	Lawson of Spraholas and may be a base	The existing Source is not adequate to meet the demand of the	
450 Mars	ırsabit	U - 79	Kargi	Local Community	1.8		Borehole / No Treabment	16	6 N	i <u>a</u> (8,00	<u> </u>	Location of Boreboles and pans have been identified for 2023 requirement.	local Community. The scheme comprises of 3No shallow well which are equipped	WSSS, MOLA
- 1	i	IU - 80	Korr		1.90	3.00	Shallow well / No Treatment					8,00	0 24,816		with handpumps.	m 333
		U - 82	Marsabit	MWR	6.0:	5	8akuli Spring / Conventional Full Treatment	13	6 64	3 3'	28,000	19,75	0 37,785	Planning for an alternative source (Dam) for 202 requirement are underway.	The existing water supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population. The capacity of the dam has been reduced considerably as a	WSSS
	ļ	U - 83	Moyale	MWR	5.7-	3,00	Moyale Dam / Disinfection by chlorine (TCL)	6	.2		20,00	10,00	0 23,231		result of solution. Depth reduced from 10m to 1m.	WSSS, MWR
		U-84	North Hort	Local Community	2.1	8 0.50	Shallow well / No Treatment	2	n N	:	9,69	2,50		The design year (1989) for future development o shallow wells and pans has already been surpassed.	The suitaine Source is not adequate to meet the demand of the	W535
	-	U · 85	Sololo	Local Community and	13.7	\$ 8.00	Wells equipped with Handpumps / Disinfection by chlorine (TCL)	2	25		9,88	5 6,50	X) 12,12:		Sololo does not have a formal water supply and residents depend on water from shallow wells which are not protected	WSSS, Status 96
-	<u> </u>		 		31.4	9 14.5		36	9 6		67,57	5 54,79	50 108,07	_	and are prone to contamination.	
460 Mer	5-total eru	U - 86	Meru	MAR	10.5		Gatabora Spring & Kathita River / Conventional Full Treatment	4,73					7	Another source on Kathita river has been proposed including convention full treatment with a capacity of 10280m ³ /day for 2010 requirement	High level zone experiences severe water shortages as a result of situation at Kathina intake	wsss
i S		U-87	Nkubu	MWR	3.3	0	Thingithu River / Coovertional Full Treatment	32	S 30	xo 2	3 15,000	5,000	0 19,26	Another source on Kingwa Ndegwa ther has been proposed including convention full treatmen with a capacity of \$160m ² /day for 2010 requirement.	it The existing Scheme is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban centre	WSSS, MWR
Sut	ab-total				13.8	120	0	5,0:	59 2,5	47 29	s 100.00	21.3	356,69	8		4
470 Nya	yambene	U-89	Маца	MWR	1.5	os S	Mboone stream / Conventional Full Treatment	10	54	0 46	5 8,00	0 2,3	72 12,14	A new source on Ura River has been identified including conventional full treatment with a capacity of 1590m ³ /day for 2000 requirement	The system is designed for convention full treatment however at present only disinfection by chlorine is carried out.	wsss
486 Th:	haraka Nihi	U-90	Chuka	MWR	6.9	3	Tungu River / Districction by Chlorine (TCL)	3	55 5	90	6 8,00	7,50	60 12,72	A new source on Ruguti River has been identified but detailed survey has not been carried out.	4	wsss
490 Mu	· .	U - 91	Mwingi	MWR	3.7	29 1.5	O Tyaa River / Disinfection by Chiorine	3	00	15 29	6 15,00	12,00	1	supply with Kambere Water Supply Project.	The existing source is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Liban population.	wsss
4A0 Mai	lakveni	U-93	Kibwezi	MAR	1.3),5			,]			J	7,70			WSSS, MWR
	l	U - 98	Milio Andei	MAR	1.3		0 Umanyi Springs / No Treatment	3	34 3	26	25 35,00 25 35,00				 	mass, sink

Table - 1.3.1 (3/5) Present Status of Urban Water Supply

rde	District	Code	Name of Urban Scheme	Water Undertaker	Area of U.C. (Km²)	Arca Council (Km²)	Source-Treatment Process	Present Water Production (m ³ /day)	Consumer (Metered)		Population in Service Area	Population Served	Population in 2010 (nos)	Future Expansion	Remuks	Data Source
510 (Garissa	U - 104	Garissa	MWR	40.32	35.00	Tana Rives / Conventional Full Treatment.	1,440	958	1,909	57,93 0	34,758	115,126		Due to high demand and inefficient filtration units sustreated water is supplied to consumers.	¥555
		U - 165	Liboi	MWR	10.38			123				6,180	6,850			S R 96
[Sub-total				50.70	35.00		1,563	958	1,909	57,930	40,938	121,976			
520	Mandera	U - 168	Awak	Local Community	165.00		Borebole / Disinfection by Chlorine						15,805		The DWE provide the local community with Chlorine but not on regular basis due to funds and transport constraints.	w'SSS
		U - 109	Mandera	MWR	25.58		Borchole / Disinfection by Chlorine	500	Ni	1,600		8,160	51,680	Location of Borcholes has been identified for 2020 requirement.	The existing Scheme comprises of 6No.shallow Boteholes of which water from 2No. Boreholes is saline and contuminated.	WSSS
	ļ	U-110	Rhamu	Local Community	66.00		Borehole / Disinfection by Chlorine	140			15,000				The DWE provide the local community with Chlorine but not on regular basis due to funds and transport constraints.	WSSS
	Sub-total				259.58	0.00		540	<u> </u>	1,600	16,000	16,160	77,519		There is no formal water supply Scheme in Bute. Residents	
530	W,s <u>i</u> t.	U- 113	Bute	MWR	16.05	0.50	Borebole / No Treatment				i		5,491		depend on individual shallow wells which are not protected and are prone to cootamination.	
l	ł	U - 114	Eldas	MWR	3.18		Borehole	69			6,000	5,600	5,185			MWR
			Waji	MWR	133.00	1.50	Shallow Wells / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)_	×	N	7.4		1,500			Operation and Maintenance cost is far much higher than the revenue generated from the sales of water. The supply serves Government offices and houses only.	WSSS
	Sub-total				152.23	2.00		85		7:	6,000	6,500	76,738		Day a East Day in a share a said and beautiful of	<u></u>
610	Gusii	U - 117	Kisii	NWCPC	34.00	18.00	Gucha River / Conventional Full Treatment	3,520	3,07	620	74,000	45,000	120,615		Due to frequent situation at the intake works and breakdown of raw water pumps the Treatment Works production is lower than its design capacity.	WSSS, MOEA
620	Kisumu	U- 119	Ahero	Abero Catholic Church	3.15	0.50	Borchole / No Treatment		9	ı Ni		464	24,135		The existing water supply system managed by Ahero Catholic Church and is not adequate to meet the demand of the local Community.	wsss
		U - 120	Kisumu	Kisumu Municipal Counc	143.00	180.00	Lake Victoria & Kibos River / Conventional Full Treatment	14,565	11,19	2 369	280,845	280,845	561,029	A master plan to Augment Water Supply System for the Township is under preparation by JICA.	Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened including customer survey to identify location of customers and their physical addresses since there no records available/kept on service connection.	WSSS, MOLA
- 1		U · 121	Maseno	NWCPC	6.42			N/4				30,000	8,054			S R 96
		U - 122	Muhoroni	MWR	5.48	2.00	Nyando River / Conventional Full Treatment.	600	N	2 12	10,000	5,000	28,231		Production is hindered due to frequent breakdown of pumps.	wsss.
	~				156.05	182.50		15,16	11.28	3 505	290,843	316,309	621,449			
630	Sub-total Sizya	U - 123	Astro	<u> </u>	0.81			1	1			30.,1	9,373			
	,-	U - 125	Sisya	NWCPC	12.03	120	Abura Dam on Nyamwin River / Conventional Full Treatment	77	1,71	0 184	20,36	11,34	47,503	Preliminary Design for alternative Source to 2000 requirement completed	The existing Scheme is primarily a pumping Scheme and the operational cost is much more than the revenue earned. The water supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	WSSS, MOLA
	Sub-total		<u> </u>		12.8	12.0		77	1,71	0 15	20,36	11,34	56,876		L	
640	Homa Bay	U-129	Homa Bay	MAR	18.00	40.0	Lake Victoria ,Conventional Full Treatment	1,23	1 1,49	8 10	d	43,000		for development.	The existing intake is choked with water hyacinch causing problems in the supply of water. There no records available kept on production, service	WSSS
		U - 130	Kendu Bay	MWR	1.44	s	Awach River / Conventional Full Treatment	1	1			10,000	0 7,534	·	connections and finances.	#555, Status 96
	1	U - 132	Mbita		0.6	7							11,53			
	İ	U - 133	Oyugis	MWR	2.44	4.0	Awach River / Conventional Full Treatment		4			30,00	0 12,21:	There are plan to change the Scheme no pumps, system to gravity system.	There no records available/kept on production, service connections and finances.	WSSS, Status 96
	Sub-total		1	1	22.5	3 44.0	0	1,33	0 1,49	8 1	0	0 83,00	0 103,14			
650	Migori	U · 134	Aweado	MWR	4.1	4	No existing Water supply System						16,25	There is no existing water supply system in Awendo. Proposed water supply with conventional full treatment and eiver Sare as source is under design.		usss
		U - 135	Keuhacha	MWR	3.4	3	Orawe Dam / No Treatment	4	H		9,00	G 3,80	6 5,00		Construction of a composite fittration unit is in progress.	wsss
		U - 136	Migori	MWR	7.5	6	Borehole / Disinfection by chlorine (TLC)	22	4:	50 38	ю	4,23		Preliminary Design for rehabilitation and expansion of the scheme for 2018 requirement has been done.	Operation and maintenance require to be strengthened including repairing of meters and billing of consumers.	# <i>222</i>
		U-137	Nyabikaye	107	2.0			45	,		 	4,14	8,34 2 8,01			S.R96
	Sub-total	U - 138	Rongo	MWR	18.4		d	70		50 35	9,00		72,31	3		
660	Nyamira	U - 139	Keroka	MWR	1.0		Chirichiri River(1KB)		34				5,34			S.R.56
		U- 140	Nyamira	MWR	2.6		Eyaka River / Conventional Full Treatment	4		02 25					The existing Water Supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	WSSS
710	Sub-total Kajjado	U - 141	Kajja Jo	NWCPC	31.0		O Notturesh pipeline offtake & Borehole / Disinfection by chlorine (TCL).			92 <u>25</u> 50 75	1				The existing supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population. All consumers should be metered increfer to control wastage of water and to enhance revenue collection.	#222
	1	U - 142	Magadi	Magadi Soda Factory	0.5	59 20	O Olobor river / Conventional Full Treatment.	1,3	54		10,00	10,00	00 \$5,47	3		WSSS
		U-143		MWR	4.1		00 Oldonyo Orok springs / Disinfection by chlorine (TCL).	3	1	57 4		1		Location of Roseholes has been identified for	The existing supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population. All consumers should increde to	WSSS, MWR

Table - 1.3.1 (4/5) Present Status of Urban Water Supply

Code	District	Code	Name of Uban Scheme	Wales Undertaker	Area of U.C. (Km²)	Area Covered (Km²)	Squice-Treatment Process	Present Water Production (m ³ /day)	Consumer (Melered)	Consumer (Ubmetered)	Population in Service Area	Population Served	Population in 3010 (nos)	Future Expansion	Remarks	Elista Source
	Cajiado cont'é)	U-144	Ngong	MWX	3.86	19.00	Borehole / No Treatment	1,260	300	1,776	45,000	6,000	41,207		inorder to control wastage of water and to enhance revenue collection.	wses
		U+ 145	Ledokitek	NWCPC	22.56	40.00	Not Turesh Springs Preventive Chrolination (TCL)	1,440	1,491	NE		20,000	31,353		The existing supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population. All consumers should be metered inorder to control wastage of water and to enhance revenue collection.	WEES
1 L		U - 146	Ongata Longai	MWR	9.45		Mbagathi Riser	978 5,833	2.198		15,000 91,000	7,300 52,700	\$1,185 227,427			MWR
	sub totat Kipsigis	U · 148	Kericho	Kericho Municipal Council	71.64 8.60	133.00 66.00	Timble River / Conventional Full Treatment	5,832 5,325	4,616		58,723	58,723			Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened, inorder to enhance revenue collection, control wastage of water and ensure equitable distribution of water to more consumers.	WSSS, MOLA
		U - 149	Kipketion	мит	6.07		River / Conventional Full Treatment	48	108	Na	20,000	1,500	6,738		chemical dosing is rarely carried out.	222 W
		U- 151	Loodiani	MWR	6.59		River / Conventional Full Treatment	169	190	34	15,000	4,000	13,852		The Scheme is currently supplying uniterated water to consumers due breakdown of dosing equipment and lack of chemicals.	N228
		U - 152	Sotik	NWCPC	2.16		Kipsonoi River & Pipeline Offtake / Conventional Full Treatment	<u>. </u>			L	l	10,259	Preliminary Design for alternative source for 2020 demand completed.	The existing scheme has been dilapidated over the years and is uneconomical to rehabilitate.	WSSS
730	Sub-total	U - 153	Nanyuki	Nanyuki Municipal Council	22.82 26.65	66.00	Liki River / Conventional Full Treatment	5,542 2,730		3:	96,723 53,100	64,223 43,100	3 181,371 97,975		River Liki has been identified as the source for future, but no comprehensive study has been carried out. There are no records available / kept on water production and service connections.	WSSS, NWMP
		U- 154	Rumaruti	Laikipia County Council	9.26	6.00	Borehole / Convectional Full Treatment		Ni	237	_	3,200	<u>`</u> _	Alternative source with Conventional Full Treatment has been identified on Ewaso River, for 2020 requirement.	The existing spring source is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	WSSS, NWCPC
}¦	Sub-retal				35,91	1		2,720	-	23:	53,100	T	1	Į.	Present supply not adequate to meet the demand of the area	WSSS
740	Nakuru	U - 155	Elburgon	NWCPC	4.53		Boreboles / Disinfection by Tropical Chloride of Lime (TCL)	ļ	ļ <u>-</u>			 -	 	-	Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened in order	WSSS, MOLA
		U - 156	Gilgi	NWCPC	3.87		Murandizi & Malewa rivers / Conventional Full Treatment Nguso springs & Boreholes / Disinfection by Tropical Chloride of	760	50:	 	ļ	 	 		to enhance revenue collection	WSSS
i		U - 157	Molo	NWCPC	4.13	0.80	Lime (TCL)	775	60:	6:	30,000	10,000	 		Present supply not adequate to meet the demand of the area	
		U - 158	Nahasha	NWCPC	9.89	3.00	Boreholes / Disinfection by Tropical Chloride of Lime (TCL)	761	1,53	1 410	5 48,00	46,00	0 168,903	Feasibility Study in progress for 2020 requiremen	Present supply not adequate to meet the demand of the area	#222
		U - 159	Nakuru	Municipal Council of Nakuru	108.00	44.10	Mereroni & Malewa rivers, Kabatini & Baharini boreholes / Conventional Full Treatment	41,120	19,22	3 N	475,00	304,56	760,23	Feasibility Study in progress for 2020 requiremen	Operation and Maintenance require to be strengthened inorder to control wastage of water and enhance revenue collection	WSSS, NWCPC
		U - 160	Njero	NWCPC	6.8	2.00	Boreholes / Disinfection by Tropical Caloride of Lime (TCL)	22	20	9	s 20,00	5,00	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Present supply not adequate to meet the demand of the area	WSSS
	Sub-total Narok	U - 163	Narok	Narok Municipal Council	137.3	52.90	Narok river & spring / Conventional Full Treatment	1,31:		1		0 379,56			Present Water Supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the area. Existing spring source require to be rehabilitated to supplement the supply	wsss
760	Teans Nzoja	U - 164	Kitałe	Kitale Municipal Council	91.8	3	Nzoia River / Conventiona) Full Treatment.	9,00	4,00	0	0 70,00	0 60,00	229,32	Mount Elgon spring has been proposed as an ahernative source including conventional full breatment with a capacity of 7500m ³ . Jday for 2000 requirement.	Frequent pump breakdowns have resulted in intermittent functioning of the T/Works and water supply interruption.	wsss
770	Uasia Gisbu	U - 165	Burnt Forest	MWR	3.0	0 11.0	O Dam & Borchole / No Treatment	50	9 4	2 12	5,00	0 1,81	5,98	9	The existing water supply system is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban population.	wsss
		U - 166	Eldoret	Eldoret Municipal Council	89.2	7 150.0	O Kaptagat, Eldoroto, Elligiriai Rivers & Dam on Moben River / Conventional Full Treatment.	28,85	0 7,50	6 7	220,00	90,00	450,62	9	Edoret Municipal Council does not have any major problems with its water supply system for the next 10 years.	WSSS, MOLA
		U - 167	Lemok		7.7	3							11,04		Operation and maintenance cost is far much higher than the	
[U - 169	Mois Bridge	MWR	1.2		Nzola River / Conventional Full Treatment.	10	5	ļ	4,20	0 1,01			revenue generated from the sales of water	W282
		U - 170	Siznat Turbo	MWR	0.6		O Confluence of Sergot & Sosiani River / Conventional Full Treatment.	30	0 24	15 N	ii 4,60	0 2,45	20,46 50 9,17		Frequent pump breakdowns have resulted in intermittent functioning of the T/Works and water supply interruption.	wsss
-	Sub-total		1'2	MWR	114.1 3.4		X inpounded reservoir stream	29,75		3 19	5 233,80 10,00					MWR
790 810	Transmara Bareigo	U - 174 U - 178	Kilgoris Ekia Ravine	NWCPC	4.6		mpounded reservoir stream O Chemissus River / Conventional Full Treatment.	2,46		90 13		20,00				WSSS
		U - 179	Karbarpet	NWCPC, DWE	7.4	15	Kapcherouswo Dam & Boreholes / No Treatment	2,04	12 56	96 15	54 250,00	00 127,50	00 32,30	53 Kirandich dam is under construction	The existing scheme is supplied from 2Nos boreholes with a production capacity of 90m ³ /day. Upon completion of Kirandich Dam water supply will improve by augmenting the future demand by 14000m ³ /day.	WSSS, NWCPC, WRA
1		U - 180	Maji Mazuri	MWR	2.3	5.0	O Kapkor River / No Treatment	9	×6)	G 9	98 10,0	2,0	00 22,15	53	Absence of any form of treatment of the raw water makes it susceptible to water borne diseases.	WSSS, Status 96
		U · 181	Marigat	MWR	3.:	90	Perekera River / Conventional Full Treatment.	3:	54 1	Si 2.	39	1,2	19 10,7-	16	Records on population and finances are not available kept. All consumers are on flat rate and they should be metered inorder to enhance revenue collection.	WSSS

Table - 1.3.1 (5/5) Present Status of Urban Water Supply

Code	District	Code	Name of Urban Scheme	Water Undertaker	Area of U.C.	Area Covered (Km²)	Source Treatment Process	Present Water Production (m ³ -day)	Consumer (Metered)		Population in Service Area	Population Served	Population in 2010 (nos)	Future Expansion	Remarks	Data Source
	oniq) ringo	U · 182	Mogotio	MWR, DWE	5.36		Molo River & Borchole / No Treatment	686	580	ИZ	15,000	5,000	10,554		All consumers should be metered inorder to ensure equitable distribution of water to more consumers and enhance revenue collection.	WSSS, Status 96, WRAI
S	ib-total				23.18	15.00		5,438	1.656	609	275,000	155,719	98,430			<u> </u>
820 E	geyo arakwet	U - 183	tte n	MWR	2.51		Kamariny Springs & Borcholes / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)	460	572	37	13,000	1,900	14,951		The existing sources have a potential of 600m ³ /day and if fully exploited the served area can be extended.	WSSS
830 N	andi	U - 185	Kapsabet	NWCPC	14.00	3,00	Kabutie River / Conventional Full Treatment.	1,100	840	190	20,000	7,000	44,693		The Kapsabet water supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban popuration.	WSSS
840 S	undan	U - 188	Maralal	MWR	13.58	6.00	Nundoto Earth Dam / Conventional Full Treatment	529	415	11		2,173	34,381	There are plans to construct another dam for 2020 requirements.	The existing spring source is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	W202
1	Ī	U - 189	Wamba	MWR	43.00	1.00	Culorine(TCL)	181	Nit	126	10,000	10,000	13,572		The existing spring source is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Utban population.	WSSS, MWR
s	ab-total			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	56.58	7.00		710	415	137	10,000	12,173	47,953			
850 T	urkana	U- 190	Kakuma T.C	MWR	3.12		1 shallow well, 2 boreholes	260			30,000	20,000				MWR MWR
i	1	U-191	Kalokot	MWR. Community	4.27	{	Shallow wells and infiltration gallery	8			5,000	2,000	6,437			MWK
		U - 194	Lodwar	MWR	6.53	3.00	Boreholes / Disinfection by Chlosine (TCL)	1,506	1,653	2.5	40,000	30,000	45,315		As a result of delays in purchase/ delivery of chemicals, quite often water is supplied to consumers without being treated	WSSS
- 1	ľ	U- 195	Lokitaung	MWR	3.15	1	Shallow wells and infiltration gallecy	24			10,000	4,000	10,882			MWR
s	ub-total				17.07	3.00		1,797	1,652	2.5	85,000	56,000	69,608			Į.
860 V	'est pokot	U- 197	Карепдий	MWR	6.58	2.00	Kapolet River / Conventional Full Treatment.	247	21	536	6,500	5,500			Kapenguria water supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing urban population.	WSSS
L		U - 198	Makutago	MWR	0.61		River Kapenguria / Conventional Full Treatment.	262		349	6,500	5,200	23,493		The existing pumps are old and require replacement.	WSSS
	ub-total				7.19	43.00		509	128	885	13,000	10,700		<u></u>		
910 E	lungoma	U - 199	Bungoma	- NWCPC	35.00	ł	Kuywa River & Berchole / Conventional Full Treatment	2,620	2,830	205	57,600	36,000	114,086	There are plans to rehabilitate and expand the existing scheme to meet 2020 requirements.		WSSS
ı		U - 200	Cheptais	MWR	3.61	1.00	Malikisi River / Cogventional Full Treatment						7,930		There no records available / kept on water production, service connections etc.	WSSS
		U - 202	Kimitii	MWR	4.00		River / Conventional Full Treatment	4,12	670	1,090	113,100	93,800	25,327	<u></u>	The existing Water Supply is not adequate to meet the demand of growing Urban population.	WSSS
		U - 203	Malakisi	MWR	4.91	45.00	River / Conventional Full Treatment		500	400			8,436		Records on population, finances and production not available kept.	WSSS
	Ì	€F - 205	Webuye	MWR	12.50	6	River / Conventional Full Treatment	1,700	1,552	554	52,000	40,000	120,647	<u> </u>	Power supply interruption and inadequate chemical supply adversely affect water supply to consumers.	WSSS
į,	ub-total		†	 	60.10	0 46.00	i i	8,44	5,553	2,249	222,700	169,800	276,436			
920	Busia	U - 206	Busia	MWR	2.11	3.00	Sio River & Borcholes / Conventional Full Treatment.	2,07	22		50,000	17,26	103,635	Augmenting the existing treatment works to capacity of \$100m ³ /day for 2005 requirement.	Operation and maintenance require to be strengthened for efficient operation of the scheme.	wsss
		U - 207	Malaba Town	 	1.2	8			1			l	8,770)		
		U - 208	Nambake	MWR	1.2		O Boreboles / No Treatment	15	*			1,32	7,925	•	Most consumers do complain about the quality of water being supplied. Water borne diseases are common in this area.	wsss
-	Sub-total		 	1	4.7	5.0	d	2,23	1 7	1 0	50,000	18,59	2 120,335	5		
930	Kakamega	U - 209	Butere	MWR	2.4		O Borehole / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)	26		99		5,00	6,526	5	The Scheme experiences frequent power interruption which sometimes cause damage to submersible pumps. Quite often water is supplied to consumers without being treated.	wsss
		U - 210	Kakamega	NWCPC	48.0	0 3.0	O River & Borebole / Conventional Full Treatment	7,00	0 5,42	3 34		27,82	6 202,510	6	Production/imancial details are not available/kept by the Scheme Manager.	WSSS, NWCPC
	'	U - 211	Mumias	MMX	8.9	2 6.0	O Lusumu River & Boreboic / Conventional Full Treatment	1,49	8 81	130	20,00		1	0	The existing water supply is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population.	SZZW
	Sub-total	 	1		58.4	0 12.0	od	8,76	2 6.44	26:	20,00	0 45,26	6 274,19	2		↓
	V Aiga	U - 213	Maseno/ Luanda	MWR	1.6		io Open Furrow / Conventional Full Treatment	1,19			71,40	0 68,40	0 11,13	8 Alternative Source for 2018 requirement	The existing Scheme has been adversely affected by the recent beavy rains with section of the furrow intake washed away, filters clogged, and sections of the distribution system washed away.	WSSS
		U - 214	Mbale	1	0.8	19	Surface Water	88	9		450,00	0 20,00	7,68	オー		MAR
		U- 215	Vhiga/ Majeago	MWR	3.1		DO Spring / Disinfection by Chlorine (TCL)			s 6		1			The existing Water Supply System is not adequate to meet the demand of the growing Urban population. Alternative source with conventional full treatment should be considered.	W555
			1	1	1		, i		1	1						
	Sub-total	<u> </u>	 		6.3	30 2.5	50	2,14	14 93	5 1,06	536,40	0 100,40	0 31,62	s		<u> </u>

Data Source:

WSSS; Water Supply Sector Survey
MWR; Project Rebabilitation Survey by the Ministry of Water Resources
Status 96; Project Status Report 96; Ministry of Water Resources, Dec. 1997
NWCPC; Brief on National Water Conservation and Pipeline Corporation's Activities and Present Status, National Water Conservation & Pipeline Corporation, Sep.
NWMP; The Study on the National Water Master Plan, Sectoral Report (D), Domestic and Industrial Water Supply, Japan International Cooperation Agency, July
WRAP; District Water Development Plan, Water Resources Assessment & Planning
MOLA; Ministry of Local Anthority



Table - 1.3.2 (1/5) Present Status of Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

àx le	District	Project Name	Code	ocation Name	Water Source	Design Capacity (m3/day)	Water Production (m3/day)	Population Served (Nos)	Management Agency	Data Soc
210	Kiambu	Limuru	213.1.	Limore, Meiya	Borchole	1.538	1.538	12,000	MOWR	Status 9
		Karai	216.3	Karai	Borehole	527	527	15,000	MONR	Status 90
		Limuru Uptands	217.1	Lari	Borchole	196	196	6,500	MONR	Status 9
		Neecha	213.3	Neccha	Borchole, Gitangu	Nıl	Na	5,000	MONR	Status 9
		Ikinu Rioki	215.2	lkunu		Nif.	Nii	16,400	NWCPC	Status 9
		Korio		:		R NA	R NA	5,000	NWCPC	Status 5
		Gitiha		I		R NA	RNA		NWCPC	Status 5
		Kanunga		:	į į	_1			NWCPC	Status 5
		Kiana			l i	RNA	RNA ^j		NWCPC	Status 5
		Kiu South		•		R.NA	RNA		NWCPC	Status 5
		Thiririka	212.3	, Kigasjo	Thiririka River	7,005	7,005		MOWR	Status 5
		Gatundu	2123	, roganjo	Pipeline offtake	411	411		Nairobi City	JICA
		Calendo		ļ	(Ngetha Water Supply)	***			Council	,,,,,
		1			River gatamaiyo	432	4,290		MOWR	MOWE
	:	Komoina		ŀ	Borehole	9.264	204		MOWR	MONT
		Uplands	517.1	Lari, Limuru	River Bathi	106.440	2.732		MOWR	MONT
	•	Bathi	217.1.	Ean, comuni		3,605	158			MOWE
		Karimenu			Karimeno River				MOWR	
	1	Ithanga		l.,,	Thika River	1,200	2,268		MOWR	MOWE
	}	Ndarugu	2125	Mgamin	Ndarugu River	5.568	5,497		MOWR	MONT
	Sub-total			<u> </u>	1200 2 1200 200	136,186	24,826	353,157	1400m	100
220	Kirinyaga	Ngariama	223.1	Ngariama	Kiri and Kive Rives	1.018	1.018		MOWR	Status 1
	1	Kanare	223.3	Baragwi	Thiba River	1.602	1,602		MOWR	Status 1
	ļ	Karuti W/P		I		4,310	4,310		NWCPC	Status
	i	Kiangombe S.H		1	1	800	800		NWCPC	Status
	1	Kianguenyi Women		:	[219	219		NN'CPC	Status
	Í	Teithia Teith S.H		!		1 262	1.262		NWCPC	Status
	1	Mutungara S.H		i	1	383	383		NWCPC	Status
	İ	Ndia Ph A		ì	1	8.188	8,138		NWCPC	Status
	l .	Ph B		1			4		MOWR	Status
	1	Ph C		1		-	4		MONR	Status
	i	Sarana	222.5	Kiine South	River Ragati	624	617	21,300	MOWR	MOW
	í	Kahara Rural		1	Thiba River	5.400	2,959	16,530	MOWR	MOW
	}	Neariama Rural	223.1	Ngariama	Kiye and Kiri River	783	757	48,900	MOVR	MOW
	i	Kirinyaga			Thiba River	168	158		MOWR	MOW
	Sub-total	1		1		24,757	22,273	325,696		
230	Merang'a	Sahasaha		1	Sabasaba River	45	45	9,327	MOWR	Status
	1	Gatango	231.2	Gatanga	Take off from Gatango	2,640	2,640	7,813	MOWR	JICA
	i	Kigumo	232.2	Kigamo	River Irati	8,640	8,540	150,000	MOWR	JICA
	1	Kandara		1	Thika river	14,640	14,640		NWCPC	JICA
	1	Kahuti			S Mathioya / Maragua	12,910	12,910		NWCPC	JICA
	i				rivers	i				1
	Sub-total			1	1	38,875	38,875	305,621		<u> </u>
240	Nyandarua	Ngorika		i	i	247	247,	15,000	SH	Status
• 40		Mawingo		i		1,090	1,090		NWCPC	Status
	1	Mogitiri		1	1	648	648		NWCPC	Status
	1	Gitel		i		612	612		NWCPC	Status
	}	Kinangop R.M.			1	4,473	4.471		MOWR	Status
	i	Ol-J Kangui		1		37	37		MOWR	Status
		Kirima		!	Protected well	254	254		MOWR	MOW
	i	Kini			1 lorected wen	3,785	3.785		MOWR	MOW
		Non		 	+	11,145	11.145	76,386		1,340,10
250	Sub-total	'Zaina		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	586			MOWR	Status
20	Nyeri	1 - 1	255.2.	Tety Thegenge	Zaina River	4,608			MOWR	MOW
	1	Tetu Thegenge	253.3	Gikondi	Served by Mukrweini	197	197		MOWR	MOW
	[Mukurweini	23.3	i Singular		17/	19"	0,000	1	1
		Make and the Court	2522	Gikondi	Rural Chinga dam	5,916	4,290	45,000	MOWR	MOW
	1	Mukorweini Rusal	253.3	OIKOHO!	Chines natu	9,808	8,624	107,000		1000
	Sub-total	T.n. Date	313.2,	Tena Pate		313			NWCPC	Status
310	Kilifi	Tezo-Roka	213.4	Tezo Roka					NWCPC	Status
	ì	Mazeras/Jaribuni		i	1	2,005			NWCPC	Status
	1	Mackinon Road		1	Tabage B	55			NWCPC/	JICA
	i	Pidmango	ł	1	Take off Baricho	42	j *2	0,435		ACA
	I		 		pipeline			30.545	Community	
	Sub-total		<u> </u>		+	2,416		30,647		MOW
320	Kwalc	Vanca		V.A	0	432			MOWR	
	!	Kikonent	324.7	Kskoneni	Dam, Mkanda River	1,728			MOWR	MOW
	Sub-total		!	1.0	100.0	2,160		16,500		
330	اه التحلي	Central W/S	3321	Mkummbi	Wells	1.085			GTZPC	Status
	Sub-total		1	1	1	1 1,035		7,600		 !
340	Mombasa	Mtongwe-Mwenza	344.2	Miongwe	piped	400			NWCPC	Status
	1	Mrima Flats Ext.	I	į	1	160			NWCPC	Status
	•	Vyemani Line Ext.	i			160			NWCPC	Status
	1	Soweto/Mwatsalafu	1	!		183			NWCPC	Status
	L	Kwa Jomyu Miritini	!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	150			NWCPC	Status
	Sub-total		<u></u>	l	<u>i</u>	1,053		31,000		
350	Taita	Chongoni	1]	•	39			NWCPC	Status
	ł	Вига	354.2			2,599			NWCPC	Status
		Wundanyi	353.4	Wundanyi	1	751			MOWR	Status
		Maungo Buguta		i		603	603	7,000	NWCPC	Status
		National wis	İ	İ		65		12.200		Status
	!	Mwakiki		1	Sangunyi and				2 Commonity	JICA
	Sub-total	T-1111640-7	 	_1		4,056	4,056	61,01		1
	Tana River	Bura W/S	3623	Bura		5.200			MOWR	Status
	C GDA PJYCI	1			1					
360	}	Ngao	364.4	Ngao	River Tana	1.200	46	6.71	MOWR	MON

Table - 1.3.2 (2/5) Present Status of Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

Code	District	Project Name		v. sapor	Water Source	Design	Water	Population	Management	Data Sour
Ì		,	Code	Name		Capacity (m3/day)	Production (m3/May)	Served (Nos)	Agrecy	
1				<u> </u>		(1013/035)	(mojuay)	[1405]		<u> </u>
10	Emhu	Ena-Siakago		!	River Ena	1,562	1,562		MOWR	Status 96
1		Stakago		1	Pipeline Officike	196	196		MOWR	JICA
- 1		Nganđeri A			Rupingazi and	19,200	16,570	20,000	MOWR	MOWR
-		Nganderi B			Kaningazi River. Thambana River	6,840	4,856	20,000	MOWR	MOWR
-		Kyeni Rural	414.1,	Kyeni North,	River	3,552	3,503		MOWR	MOWR
i			414.2	Kyeni South		1,11	1		[
-		Ishiara	412.4	Evurore	River Thuchi	670	369	5.572	MOWR	MOWR
- 5	Sub-total				Ĭ.	32,020	27,056	124,072		1
420	Isiolo	Garhatula	422 1	Garhatula	Borchole	128	128		Community	Status 96
ŀ		Ngaremara W/S		<u>:</u>		128	128		Community	Status 96
	Sub total			<u>:</u>		256 27.	256 27	10,918	MOWR	· Course 104
430	Kitui	Mwingi Kabati		:	·2 no. Borcholes	21	21		Community	Status '96 DICA
i		Mutito-Mui	432.1	Mutito	Springs	120 ⁱ	46		MOWR	MOWR
1	Sab-total			!	1	147	73	29,643		133.50
	Masaku	Bombe		i	1	80	80	4,000	NWCPC	Status 96
- 1		Muthetheni	443.5	Muthetheni	İ	•	-		NWCPC	Status 96
- 1		Katheka Kithayoni)			. j.		NWCPC	Status 96
		Vyulya		L		13	13		NWCPC	Status 96
- 1		Muthetheni Dam Meltilingi	443 5	Muhthetheni	Dam on Kyethiyu	100 124	100 124		Community Community	JICA JICA
ŀ		Uzapi		1	Mekingili river Pipeline Offiake	124 na	124		Local Community	JICA
1		Wamonyu	443.4	·Wamunvu	Athi River	312	522		MOWR	MOWR
		Siathani		1	Borehole Surface from	2	2		MOWR	MOWR
				!	Mia river				1	
- 1		Moiuni	443.1	Mciuni Ω4	Surface(Ashi River)	15	15		MOWR	MOWR
•		Kibauni	443.6	Kibauni	Athi river	2,050	22		MOWR	MOWR
		Kathiani	/	ļ	- Muoni Dam	26,400	384		MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total	'C- + 31	-	!		29,096 137	1,261 137	102,700	NWCPC	Status 96
450	Marsabit	South Horr Godoma	456.3	Godoma		20	20		NWCPC	Status 96
i		Uran	455.1	Uran	Borchole	39	39		NWCPC	Status 96
ĺ		Maikona Wells	451.3	Maikona	Wells	68	68		MOWR/NWCPC	Status 9
- 1		Bubisa	451.4	Butisa		21	21		NWCPC	Status 90
- 1		Loyangalasi	452.1	Loyangalani	Springs	82	82		NWCPC	Status 90
į		Dabel	456 3	Godoma	Borehole	52	52		FHINWCPC	Status 96
1		Bori		1	1	11,	11		NWCPC	Status 90
ì		Walda Drib-Gombo B H	455.1 454.3	Uran Sagante	Borehole Sorebole	137 49	137 49.		NWCPC	Status 96 Status 96
i		Laisamis	453.2	Logologo	Borchole	27	27		MOWR	Status 96
- 1		Logiogo	453.2	Logologo	Borchole	137,	137		MOWRINACPC	Status 90
		Ngurenit		1		3	3		MOWRNWCPC	Status 90
	Sub-total					783	783	95,100		<u> </u>
460	Меги	Mittenguru	463.7	Mitungore	River Thingith	480	438		MOWR	MOWR
i		Mwimbi Nkabune	463 5	Igoji	Mt. Kenya Forest	3,000 600	4,290 195		MOWR MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total	'MESCRUS			Kathita River	4,080	4,923	330,00		MOWR
	Nyambene	(Kaweru		 		1,630	1,630		NWCPC	Status 90
		Amwamba		İ	-	389	389		NWCPC	Status 90
		Murimi		ì	ļ	294	294		NWCPC	Status 90
•		K.K. Mwethe		1		1,499	1,499		NWCPC	Status 90
		Kamberwa		İ		155	155		NWCPC	Status 90
•		Riaki(Kaongose)			<u> </u>	900	900	5.00		Status 9
		Gitwe Kithetu Miori		!	!	1.000	1,000 500		NWCPC NWCPC	Status 9
1		Miathene		1	1	500	. ~~		NWCPC	Status 9
i		Tigania			Spring	4,104	3,755		MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total			1	1	[0,46]	19,112	199.50		1
	Tharaka Nithi	Merugi Megumango		1	!	706	706	20,00	NWCPC	Status 9
- 1		Мадивопі			1	2,191	2.191		NWCPC	Status 9
- 1		Karingani	431.6	Kanjuki	Tango River	3,600			MOWR	MOWR
1		Mwimbi Chogorta			Mutonea River	2.400			MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total Mwinei	Ngomeai W/S	492.3	Ngomeri	Rock Catchments	8,896 Nil	10,303 Nil	94,00 10.00	MOWR	Status 9
430	1-1 = (IIE)	Migwani	492.3	Migwani	Borehole	243	243		NWCPC	Status 9
	Sub-total		1675 F	1	1	243	243	29.00		1
	Makucai	Wote	4A3.1	Makseni	Borehole	75	75	5,50	MOWR	Status 9
		Makindu	4.A.4.1	Makindu	Makindu springs	480	395	12,00	MOWR	MOWR
		Kikumbulyu Rurat	4.4.4	Kikumbulyu	Umani springs at the	720	728	9,40	o mowr	MOWR
		i			foot of Chyulu hills.				!	Ì
		Ena-Siakago		<u> </u>	River Ena	2,400			MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total			1	<u> </u>	3.675		35,70		10
510	Garissa .	Masalani Masalani	515.4 511.3	Masalani Mbalambala	River Tana	55	55		MOWR	Status 9
		Mhalamhala Modogashe	511.3 513.1	Meatameata Modogashe	Shallow Wells	08	58 38		OMOWR Smowr	Status 9 Status 9
		Modogasne Dadaab	518.0	Dadaab	Borchole	22	82		SMOWR	Status 9
	İ	Alijugur	¥ 4 4.0	1	- Constitute	38 82 25 23	25		MOWR	Status 9
ļ		Shanta-ahak		I	Borchole	23	23		MOWR	Status 9
	L	Sjara	515 2	ljara	Pans	na			Local Community	
	Sub-total			;		292	292	34.74		T

Table - 1.3.2 (3/5) Present Status of Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

xte İ	District	Project Name	L	ocation	Water Source	Design	Water	Population	Management	Data Sea
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Code	Name	j	Capacity (m3/day)	Production {m3/day}	Served (Nos)	Agency	1
1			J			(m3,02y)	(105,025)			<u>i</u>
20 1	Mandera	;Wargadad 1	523.3	Wargadud	Borchole	80	80		MOWR	Status 96
		B.H. Eleven	- 1			80	\$0 ₁		MOWR	Status 96
ì		S Fatures	l		į	80	80		MOWR	Ştarus 96
- 1		Kutulo	523.2	kotulo	Borchole	15(15		MOWR	Status 9
- 1		Kalaliyo		Kalatiyo	River Daua	79(79¦		MOWR	513105 9
- !		Neboi (Army		Neboi	River Dana	160	160	5,000	MOWR	Starus 9
1	Sub-total			F272 707	1	494	494	27,500		<u> </u>
20		Kutu!o			River Daua	68	68,	5,000	MON'R	Status 9
30 j	Waiir		531.3	Sahuli	1.044.644	110	110		MONR	Status 9
- 1		Sahuli	331.3	Sapun	1	151	151		MOWR	Status 9
		Abakore			l i	100	100		MOWR	Status 9
		Sarif	1		l		293	16,000	MOWR	MOWR
		Arbajahan		Arbajahan	Borchole	141		10,000	MOWR	MOWR
		Habaswein		H3haswein	Borchole	168	142	20,000	MONK	
		i Waiir Minor	533.2	Wajir-Bot	Well	240	178		MONR	MOWR
		Khozof Hakar	533.1	Khorof Hakar	Borehole	192	107		MOWR	MOWR
	i	Kujulo			Borehole	192	71;		MONR	MOWR
	Į	Damras	Î		Borchole	216	9		MOWR	MOWR
	ì	Hadado	535.5,	Hadado	Borchole	101	1,790¦	5,000	MOWR	MOWR
		110000		North South			i			ì
	<u> </u>	, n. 1	333.0	150/01.5040	Borchole	126	142	20,000	MOWR	MOWR
	L	Biyamadhow 1			† Contract	1,805	3,165	131,000		
	Sub-total	1010	£18.3	Maribari	; 	5,005	۹.		MOWR	Status 5
10	Gusii	Geteri/Gesusu		Nyaribari	Chinain Pinn	2,812	2,812		MOWR	Status
	1	Birongo	613.1	Nyanban	Chinchire River	490	490		MOWR	Status
	‡	Gionsen	612.4	Bassi Masige	Spring		60		MOWR	JICA
	i	Mosocho Geseni		1	Ria Modito spring	60,				
	1	Tahaka		1	Bombure Spring	100	100		MOWR	JICA
		Keroka		l	Chirichiri River	960	150		MOWR	JJCA.
	Sub total				<u> </u>	4.428	3,618	87,801		
20	Krsumu	M Kombewa		<u></u>	i		1		NWCPC	Status
	1000	Nyakach W/S			1	- 4	4		NWCPC	Status
	ł	Kenasia W/S			1 ;	28	28	5,000	NWCPC	Status
	1	Othoo			1		4	10.000	NWCPC	Status
	1				Orinde Springs	720	126	10,000	MOWR	MOW
	}	Nyahera(Gazetted		1	Stream Spring	720	710		MOWR	MOW
	1	Kibigori				192	93,		MOWR	MOW
		Koru/Mnara W/S	626.1	Koru	Kipcherian Stream	1,992			MOWR	MOW
	•	Kisumu Rural W/S		ì	Lake Victoria				MOWR	MOWI
	1	Ovugis		Í	River Awach	8,640				
	1	West Karachuonyo		I	Lake Victoria	1.920			MOWR	MONT
	<u></u>	Kendu Bay	L	<u> </u>	River	1,080			MOWR	MOW
	Sub-total			<u> </u>		15,292	2,330	399,000		
630	Ślaya	Bondo	632.4	West Sakwa	River Yata	296			MOWR	Status
		Yala Township	633.2	East Gem	River Yala	548			MOWR	Status
	1	Uyoma	631.1	East Uyoma	Lake Victoria	1,080	1,080		Community	J!CA
	i	Mauna Dam	636.2	Ukwala	Mauna Dam and spring	156	166	12,77:	MOWR	JICA,
		Ukwala	636.2	Ukwala	Borchole	na	214,	13,000	MOWR	JICA.
	ļ	North Sakwa	632.2	North Sakwa	River Yala	0		8,91	MOWR	MOW
	1		632.1	South Sakowa	Lake Victoria	ĺ			MOWR	MOW
	1	South Sakwa		1.	Borchole	288		6.00	MOWR	MOW
		Ugunia	635.2	Uholo		336			MOWR	MOW
	i	Sega	636.3	North Ugenva	1 Borchole	3,480			MONR	MOW
	ļ	Sidindi Macanga	632.2	North Sakwa	Yala River				MOWR	MOW
	1	Uranga Ramula	633.2	East Gem	Stream	648	: 1			
	ŧ	Bar Ober	635.2	Uholo	Spring	0			MOWR	MOM
	1	Yenga/Sirawga	1		Yenga Dam	960			MOWR	MOW
	i	Sigornute	635.2	Uholo	Borehole	600			MOWR	MOM
	Sub-total	-	T	1	1	8,402		220,25		
640	Нотва Вау	Kochia	641.3	Kochia	1) N.E			MOWR	States
9 +0	1 Sound Day	West Rachoonyo	1		<u> </u>	17	17		MOWR	Status
	Sub-total	Ter And Temestrate (1225)	1	1		17		132,00		1
650		Noncare	1	1		409			PC/NGO	Status
650	Migori	Nyasare	+	+	-t 	405		6,50		1
	Sub-total	184	1 6/12	black Pierre	Stream	429			OMOWR	Status
660	Nvamira	Nyambana	663.2	North Kitutu	1	260			MOWR	Status
	ì	Nyansiongo	662.1	Nyansiongo	Dam S				OMOWR	MOM
	1	Manga w/s	663.3	Central Kitutu	Spring	96	្		OMOWR	MOW
	i	Tombe w/s	663.3	Centra! Kitutu	Spring	432				MOW
	L	Mocheawa w/s	663.1	East Kitytu	Spring	130			O MOWR	NOW.
	Sub-total		<u>i </u>	<u> </u>	1	1,341		35,00		1
710	Kajiado	Kiserian	7123	Neone	Spring	641			0 NWCPC	Status
	1	Lower		ţ	i	353			0 NWCPC	Status
	1	iGbiko	i	i	į	459	459		0 NWCPC	Status
	1		1	i		21		3.80	ONWERC	Status
	1	Olchorinyod	1	1	i	100			ONWCPC	Status
	1	Oloosees	1	1	l Rasubolis		i š		OMOWR	MOW
	ĺ	Bissel	713.B	Lorongoswa	Borehole	3.600			OMOWR	MOW
	L	Rongai	. }	<u> </u>	Mbagathi River					
	Sub-total		!			5,384		55,10		15.45
720	[Kipsigis	Chesinende	1	1	1	100			O Community	Status
	1	Sosiot	1	I	River	78			O MOWR	MOM
	Sub-total	100000	-1	T	1	88	7. 580	18,66		
		Doldol water supply	1 732.2	Mukogondo	Borchole	2	1 21	5.00	O MOWR	MOW
730	(La:kipia									

Table - 1.3.2 (4/5) Present Status of Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

inde	District	Project Name	Çode	Ocation Name	Water Source	Design Capacity (m3/day)	Water Production (m3/day)	Population Served (Nos)	Management Agency	Data Sor
10	Nakoro	Lanci	749.3	Lanet	River Mentroni	57	57		MOWR	MOWR
	ŀ	Nyamamishi				RNA	R.NA		NWCFC	Status 9
	į	West acre			River	259	341]		MOWR	MOWR
	Ì	Crater Stream			Crater River	864	36		MOWR	MOWR
	•	Olenguruone			Arap Mzee River	65	19	3,500	MOWR	MOWR
	:	Lake Nakoru 8kvck 4	749.5	Lake Nakuru	Byrehole	166	95		MOWR	MOWR
		Lake Nakoru Block 2	749.5	Lake Nakoro	Borehole	103	37	6,000	MOWR	MONR
	Sub-total			1	<u> </u> i	1,514	584	84,700		1
40	Natok	Angata Baragoi		!	1	8	8		MONR	Status 9
	i	Kitgotis		ł	Inkituaak River	1,315	1.315		MOWR	JICA
		Lemek	753.3	Lemek	Lemek borchole	100	100	3,066		JICA
	!	Mulot	753.1	Mulot	Mara river	300	300	12,775	MONR	JICA
	•	Olofunga	753 2	Ololunga	Ewaso Nyiro river	119	110	4,650	Community	JICA
	:	Rmashanan		Í	Spring	216	216 ^f	9.198	MOWR	JICA
	Sub-total			1	!	2,049	2,049	37,189	,	1
70	Uasin Gishu	Ainabkoi	774.9	Ainabkoi	1	3,111	3,111	41,770	NWCPC	Status
	i	Sosian	772.4	Sugoi	Borchole	36	36	4,000	MOWR	Status "
	!	Kaptagat	7742	Kaptagat	1	60,	60 ⁱ	6,800	MOWR	Status
		Moi Barracks			River Sergoig	1,173	1,173	14,000		Status 5
	ĺ	Kapunda			1	980	980		NWCPC	Status :
		Yamumbi'			Sosiani river	980	980		Passenga Sec.	JICA
	i			1		1	733	-1001	school	Status 9
	:	Moi University			Samet rives	1600	1600 ⁱ	4 263	Moi University	JICA
		Arangai		•	River Rongai	,000	1000			JICA
	Sub-total	27.7.512675		1	4	6,340	6,340	113,133	Community	(320)
180	Bornet	Sigor Longisa	782.3	Longisa	 	473	473		MOWR	Stalus
œ	Cosuct		102.3	Federing	None Pierre					
	-	Bornet water supply		İ	Nyanuores River	1,200	7		MOWR	MOWE
	<u> </u>	Chepalungo			River	1.200	473		MOWR	MOWE
	Sub-total	i		1012-0	15.1	2,873	954	19,000		1
90	Transmara	Angata Baragoiw/s	792.3	Siria Central	Spring	10,	10		MOWR	Status
	1	Lotgorien W/S	792 1	Siria East	Stream	15	15′,		MOWR	Status
	Sub-total		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2.5	25	2,500		1
10	Baringo	Narusura		I	1 i	750	750	33,000	NWCPC	Status
	•	Chemeion	i	ì	i i	15	55		MOWR	Status
	1	Torongo	ļ		Perennial Stream	480	10	8,000	MOWR	MOW
	i	Oikolowe	i	<u> </u>	I - Burehole	166	49	4,000	MOWR	MOWE
	Sub-total		!	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1,411	825	40,000	,	7
120	Elgeyo Maraky	vet Kpatarakwa	821.7	Mosop	1	538	538		MOWR	Stalus 1
	i	Nerkwo		İ	River	5	5.		Community	JICA
	}	Kapsowar		1	Emsten river	1,600	3,600		MOWR	JICA
	İ	Kaptaraku a	8237	Mosop	Small Stream	840	0		MOWR	MONT
	;	Chepkorio	823.5	Marichor	Kipksen river	240	355		MOWR	MOWT
	Sub-total		i	!	1	3,23	2,498	26,38		1
130	Nandi	Nandi Hills	831.3	Nandi Hill	1	263	263		MOWR	Status 1
	1	Lelmokwo	833.6	Leimokwo	Dam	312	312		MOWR	Status
	1	Kemeloi Kobujoi Ph	834.1,	Kaptumo South,		517	517		MOWR	Status
			841.4	Mararat Urban	1	3	31/1	20,000) " V " K	33,0133
	Sub-total		1-3213-	1	†i	1,092	1,092	29,00		
840	Sambura	Londongekwe	8423	Londongokwe	Berehole 1	192	192		MOWR	Status
,-0) Saltite all a	Kisima	841.7	Kisima	Dam	192	192		MOWR	Status
	ì	Baragoi 1 Borebole	843.8	Saragor .	Borehole	60			MOWR	MOW
		Baragoi 2 Borchole	843.8	Baragoi	Borehole	3t	84			
		1			1 1		43		MOWR	MOW
	ì	Sugula marmar water	841.1	Segula marmar	Spring	1,200	118	3,00	MOWR	MOM
	-	supply		i.,,	l			1	J 	t
	1	Archers post	844.1	Waso	Borehole, Buffalo	864	1,184		MOWR	MOM
	i	South Horr water	Į.	į	Spring	1,200	296		MOWR	MOW
		Loikas water supply	-	<u> </u>	Borehole	133	187		MOWR	MOW
	Sub-total		<u> </u>	1	1	3,872	2.295	63,00):	
350	Terkana	Katibu	854.1	Katilo	Borchole	138	138		MOWR	Status
	1	Lekori	855.3	Nubilee	Borehole	197	397	7,00	MOWR	Status
	+	Kainuk	854.4	Lobokat	Shallow wells	72	72		MOWR	JICA
	İ	Lokichogio	851.1	Lokichogio	Shallow wells near	Data N/A	Data N/A		Community/NGO	
	İ	1	ĺ	_	Ewaso River	1	.]		1	1
	į	Loarewgak	852.3	Neissiger	Borehole	144	39 [†]	7.00	MOWR	MOW
	į	Lokichar	854.2	Lokicher	Borehole	120	18,		O MOWR	MOW
	Sub-total		Γ	1	7	671	465	40,79		1
560	West Pokot	Tartac Keringet	861.4	Младеі	1	301	301		OMOWR	Status
		Ortum W/S	852.3	Batei	Streams	548	548.		OMOWR	Status
	İ	Chepareria w.'s	862.3	Kipkomo	Streams	685	685		OMOWR	Status
	ļ	LiProg. B.h (90	GO 2. 1	100 m 300		R.NA	RNA			
	!				ļ .	, AVINOR	V.L.V.	10,43	MOWR	Status
	1	Drilled, 75 Installed)			ID	أسمو	أسره		J	
	10.1	Kacheliba	864.1	Suan	Perennial River (Swam)	197	197		OMOWR	MOW
	Sub-total	347 40 40 40 40	0:0:	"No. to fact	<u> </u>	1,732	1,732	44,06		10-
	Benevina	Naitiri Health Centre	915.1	Naitici	1	3.			0 МОН	Status
910	ř.	Bokoli Health Centre	915.1	Bekeli	i	44	44		NOH O	Status
910	ř.	Kabuchai Health	1	}	1	41	F1(9 MOH	Status
910		Machwele	1	+		22	22		O NWCPC	Status
910	1	Kibabü Complex	ŀ	<u> </u>		85	85		0 NWCPC	Status
910	-		i	1	1	R/NA	R/NA		0 NWCPC	Status
910		Luthina	1	Kapsokwony		296	296		O NWCPC	Status
910	1		! 91R 1				48		O NWCPC	Status
910	4	Kapsokwony	918.1 918.2		i i			7,40	U, 1741 CE C	JH 4195
910		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito	918.1 918.2	Kaptama		48 731		17/00	n'inverse	(C
910		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube			Marinet -	721	721		O NWCPC	
930		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube Ndivisi-Makuselwa			Kibiy river	721 2,736	721 2,691	60,00	O MOWR	MOW
930		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube Ndivisi-Makusebwa Boiloli / Kibicitori			River Kuywa	721 2,736 1,680	721 2,691 1,657	60,00 50,00	0 MOWR 0 MOWR	Status MOW MOW
910		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube Ndivisi-Makusebwa Boiloli / Kibicitori Old Kibicitori	918.2	Kaptama	River Kuywa River Kuywa	721 2,736 1,680 480	721 2,691 1,657 473	60,00 50,00 25,00	O MOWR O MOWR O MOWR	MOM MOM
930		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube Ndivisi-Makuselwa Boikoli / Kibicitori Old Kibicitori Chwele	918.2		River Kuywa River Kuywa Borehole	721 2,736 1,680 480 2,040	721 2,691 1,657 473 32	60,00 50,00 25,00	0 MOWR 0 MOWR	MOW
910		Kapsokwony Kaptama Chesito Chepkube Ndivisi-Makusebwa Boiloli / Kibicitori Old Kibicitori	918.2	Kaptama	River Kuywa River Kuywa	721 2,736 1,680 480	721 2,691 1,657 473	60,00 50,00 25,00 5,00	O MOWR O MOWR O MOWR	MOW MOW MOW

Table - 1.3.2 (5/5) Present Status of Large Scale Rural Water Supply Schemes

Code	District	Project Name	1	Acation	Water Source	Design ,	Water	Population	Management	Data Source
ave	District	Transfer to and	Code	Name		Capacity (m3/day)	Production (m3/Jay)	Served (Nos)	Agency	
920	Busia	Funyula Bumala	922 3.	West Bukhayo,	i	426	426	29,000	MOWR	Status 96
,,,,		1	923.3.	North Samia,	!		}			}
	1	Wathungu	926.1 923.1	West Marach North Samia,	River Walhungu	1	1	\$,550	MOWR	Status 96
	Į		921 2	South Samia	Lake Victoria	Not	Nit	4,717	MOWR	Status 96
		Sio Pen	923.2	South Samia	Borehold	30	30	4,000	MOWR	Status 96
	1	Amukura Complex	925.2	South Teso	Bosene	30	30	4,000	MOWR	Status 96
		Amukura Port Victoria	924.2	North Maragoli,	Lake Victoria	600	426	10,000	MOWR	MOWR
		1	924.1 924.1	South Maragola West Maragoli	Lake Victoria	\$40	734	15,000	MOWR	MOWR
		Busia Hills	923.1	North Samia	Spring	250	352	7,900	MOWR	MOWR
	i	Fenyula - Nangina Fibumata	A73:1	North Samua	Sio River	1,001	639		MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total			† -	1	3,178	2,638	92,06		
930	Kakamega	Bukura W.S			1	316	316		MOA	Status 96
930	K-AKADDC E4	Shikusa W/S				92	92		мон	Status 96
	i	Lumakanda	936.4	Lumakanda		Nil	Nil		MOWR	Status 96
	1	Soy	936 2	Sov		N.E.	N.E		Community	Status 96
	1	300N W/P		1		N.E	N.E.		Community	Status 96
	i	Linie Nzona		i	Dam	4,992	3,551		MOWR	MOWE
		Malaya		1	Protected Springs - 2No	96	24		MOWR	MOWR
	Sub-total	1,111,111,111		T	<u> </u>	5,496		106,01		Status 96
940	Vihiga	Bumbo Shamakhokh	942.4	Shamakhokho		50			RDF	Status 96
210	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Matiodi/Sirulo		1		j 50%			RDF	Status 96
		Macui-Buyani				200			0 RDF	Status 96
		Moi Girl's Vokeli			1	49			0; Instit. 0: MOWR	JiCA
	Į	Kaimosi	Ì			1,440				MOWR
	İ	Sosiani			Sosiani spring	720		94.52	OMONR	MONK
	Sub-total			<u> </u>	<u>.l</u>	2,509		4,454.04		
TOTAL			i	1	!	112,865	234,434	4,464,0-		

Source: IICA; Field Survey by the Study Team

MOWR; Project Rehabilitation Survey by the Ministry of Water Resources Status 96, Project Status Report 96, Ministry of Water Resources, Dec. 1997

NOTE: Design capacity is adopted as water production for water supply schemes reffered to Status Report 96.

Water production is adopted as design capacity for water supply schemes reffered to the field survey result by

IICA Study Team in case design capacity is not available.

Table - 1,3.3 Operation and Maintenance and Financial Management for Municipal Council Water Supply Systems

	Parameters	Unit	Eldoret	Kericho	Nyeri
1-a	Area covered by the municipality Estimated total population within	sq.km	147	66	200
ь	the area of jurisdiction Estimated population served	nt	220,000	58,723	100,000
c	by water supply network	DE	90,000	58,723	40,000
đ	Estimated population served by sewer network	n1	70, 0 00	30,000	16,000
e	Nunber of water connections receiving solid collection service	ıa	n/a	2,041	2,833
2	Total number of permanent staff in WSD	nr 	159	152	186
	Total number of registered water connections	nr	9,596	4,647	4,782
	Total metered connections	UL .	9,596	4,616	4,488
		Dr	0	0	2
	Total number of water disconnections	nr	** 48	835	215
	Total number of meters operational	D.F.		4,593	3,761
1 I	Total number of meters serviced/repaired Number of communal water points,	nı	** 39	25	82
g	kiosks, standpipes etc	nr	160	397	3
_	Number of new water connections made	ut	** 75	24	14
	Number of sewer connections made	Γſ	0	0	2
5-a	Total number of connections billed for water	nr	7,518	3,377	4,620
b	Total number of connections billed for sewer	ric	5,540	1,662	1,900
¢.	Total number of connections billed for refuse Total volume of raw water received	nf	**	1,969	
6-a	for treatment	cu.m	942,660	172,190	-
ь	Total volume of water produced	ເບ.ກາ	832,070	159,210	178,200
c	Total volume of water billed	cu,m	614,410	100,370	114,243
ď	6-c/6-b Number of samples taken for free residual	%	73.8	63 .0	64.1
7-a	chlorine test		1		
	- at treatment units	ກເ	279	7	240
	- on endline samples Number of samples for free residual chlorine	ut	10	7	8
b	less than 0.2 ppm		! !		:
	- at treatment units	មរ	0	0	0
	- on endline samples	ut	1	0	0
c	No. of sewage effluent samples then for BODS tests	ut	2	12	2
	- test samples recording greater than 20 mg/l	ut	2	12	
8	Total quantity of chemicals used - Alum	kg	57,412	5,090	1
	- Soda ash	kg	2,325	1,250	
	lime	kg	4,216	455	1,930
9	Revenue collected during the month (average of Jan to Jun)	Kshs	5,518,295	2,718,703	4,588,976
	Expenditure during the month				
10	(average of Jan to June)	Kshs	5,518,295	2,612,121	3,750,937

Source: Biannual records of MOLG/GTZ - UWASAM in 1997

Expenditure includes chemical/power costs, plant/whicle O/M costs, Repair/maintenance costs of pipelines, payroll, capital expenditure, renewal fund, etc.

^{**} Aaverage of Jan to May 1997

Table - 1.3.4 (1/5) Operation Hours in Existing Water Supply Systems

nde	District	Name of Water Supply	Code	Orsign Capacity (m.h)	Ocsign Capacity (m ² inco)	Design Capacity (m/5eac)	Actual Capacity (m ² b)	Actural Capacity (m 'mon)	Actual Capacity (m ³ /year)	Actual Capacity (m)day)	Activation Operation (hiday)	No. of Pep in Scheme Arci	No. of Pop. Served	Water Scion e
10 K		Когновы					181.35	120,500	1,566,000	1,2%	24,00	75,000 40,000		River gatamaiyo Borebile
		Githongori Kikuya	U-3 U-3	18.06	\$40	5,434	9.50 (10.00	285 3,300	3,436	9 108	24 (X)	14 (0.0)		Borrholes
		Uplands		386.00	386	4,632	20.00	6,200	74, NX	204	24.00		14,000	Bore bole
-1		Karasi	Ų-3				49.60	36,160	149,000	1,206	24,00	13,000		Born boles
- 1		Linne	Q.6	120.00	86,400	\$,636,500 \$ 506,600	27,00 94,00	19,446 32,246	233,280 997,206	639 2,732	28.00 12.00	130,000		Bon Boles River Bathi
- 1		Pathi Karimopa		4435.00 150.21	133,050 108,150	1,596,500 1,297,800	200.00	144,000	57,600	158	24.00	1.00,000		Karimeso River
- [Thricks water supply		100.00	216,000	2.592.0X	9428.00	226,282	2 715,382	7,439	24 (X)	80,000		Theirika river
ı		Ruiru water supply	U.8	33.00	23,760	285,120	33.00	21,760	285, 120	781	24.00	100,500	60,000	
- 1		Rhanga	i I	50.00	36,000	452,000 2,006,300	96.00 231.00	69,000 167,192	828,000 2,005,300	2,268 5,447	24,00 14,06	\$2,000 60,000		Thika River Ndaroga River
30		Néarugu Sagasa		232 00	167,192 188	2.250,860	26.01	18,755	225,060	617	24 (6)	26,000		River Ragati
• [Kabara Resat	Ιl	235.00	162,000	1,941,000	125.00	90,000	1,680,000	2.959	24 (8)	40,000	16,530	Thiba River
-		M, 5025141	U-16	250.00	22,500	270,000	Outral	1		0		10,50X		National Impation Canal
ļ		Ngariama Rerai		32.63	23,490	261,890 382,164	32 00 44.00	23,040 31,842	276,480 383,134	757 1,047	24.00 24.00	10,281 43,730		Niye and Kiri River Ratul Rivet
1		Keruguya Urban Kirinyaga	บเร	## 00 7.00	31,842 5,640	60.48	9.00	4,806	52,672	158	18.00	26,000	-	Thiba River
30	tarabg's	Kiguno	1							0	24.00			Kigumo sabeme mainhoe "a
-	-	Kigumo(Rursi)		4503.60	3,350,000	40,210,000	368.80	259,000	31,140,000	85,335	24.00			Irati River-Aberdam Innest
- 1		Saha Saha		40.60 9.30	12,000 2,232	144,000 26,784	34.00 140	1,023 726	\$2,276 8,640	34 24	12.00 12.00			Saha Saha diver Butebule
-1		Maragua (Muzang'a water supply	0-31 0-31	250.00	180,000		133.00	4,123,000	495,500	1,358	24,00			Kayabwe River
- 1		Catango	1	75.00	22.5IX	•	75.(X)	2,250	27,000	74	24.00			River Mathioga North
_		Mathioya	 	244.00	7,320	89_06/4	244.00	2,320	81.060	244	24.00			River Borrhole
10 P	Nyandarua	Olkahu Kirima	U-30				\$3,30 258,00	369 7,740	4,428 92,880	12 254	18.00	27,800		Entered will
- 1		Kitini			ļ		26.50	21,800	1,381,600	3.783	12.00	1	3,900	
- 1		Ollondock	υ.,				1240.00	15,000	180,000	\$ 93	12.68			Dam
4	·-·.,		<u> </u>		<u> </u>			1			144.4	10,000		River
50	Nycni	Karatina Urbao W.S	U-33	104.20	75,000 130,000	900,000	54.20 150.00	39,000 108,000	468,000 1,296,000	1,282 3,551	24.0X	30,000 98,000		River ragati Zaina Rives
- 1		Teta Thegeoge Makuweini		167 00	1.57,000	E, HART, JAK	8.00		72,000	397	24.04	1		Sened by Makraeini Russ
ı		Mokueweini Rurat	1	309.00	150,000	1,800,000	181.00	130,000	1,565,851	4,2%	24 OK			Chinga dam
_			1	ļ			21.00	17_374	214,490	588	24.0	+		Kilogrino Statum Bombile
120	Kwale	Vacga	1	18.00 15.00	9,7 <u>2</u> 1	116,640 54,000	18.00 15.00	1	32,400 21,600	89 59	and in the con-	1		River
- 1		Shimba Hills Mkongani		11 00	3,966		1100		15,840	43	2 32 33			Boxbok
		Kikeneut	1	72 00	29,430	353,160	50.00	2,006		66				(Cap
		Lungalonga	U 45	8.50	3,060	1	3.50		25,200	69			5,000 13,000	Henre beile Well
		Msambweni	U-45	26.00	10,140			 	93,600	256		1		Hand dag wells and
330	Lame	Molowe T C	U-49	5.00		1	1200	7,930	95,040	266	3717.371			Skacholes 3 No Wells
- 1		Kiunga Mkokoni Laon	U-47	50.00 50.00	1		25.00	18,000	216,000	593	5 1. 2. 2	- 1	"	Wells
350	Taita Taveta	Mwanjika Teni	10.0	2.30			2.2	1.584	19,008	5.		Ose 1 50(4)	3,000	Sircams
		Wuodao}i	₩.56	1					276,4%	757		Over 1700	1	Simaso
		Dembua wusi	1	4.9	3,20		4_30 47.00		37,200 16,92t	16	+		+	Streams River Taba
360	Tana River	Hola Gerson	U-59				ı].	. 17,3.1	`		16,50	1	River Tapa
		Ngso		50.00				1,430	16,920		6.6	0 10,000	6,530	Risket Taba
		Nganduni Bigoperated by	1					ļ		ļ	lewist.			1
410	Emba	NGAGAKA W. Associative)	1	285.00					1					Hambina River
		Kycai Rurat	1	148 00	106,56	e 1,278,72\	148.00	106,560	1,278,720	3,50	24.0	9 35,000	28.00	Riser Rupingeri and
		Ngarduni'A" (operated by NWChC)	1	900.00	576.00	0 6,912,00	700.00			1		5		() Kapingalis Koler
		bhisra	—	27.93										2 River Thochi
120	Isiota	Isiolo	U 63	182.00	134,04	0 1.572.48	1900	0 336,837	9,610		•			2] Isioko River 6 [Bosebote
130	Kitui	Ikasga Kitui	υ.κε	139.00	4,17	50,040	27.0	(19,24)			10.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1		ti Borebeles
		Metomo(Not operated)	1		1				i		۶)	1		P Borr bele
	ļ <u>.</u>	Mutito-Mui	\bot	5.0	1.26	0 14,400	2.0	i. 1,478	16,903	-	24.0	0 10,00	8,00	Springs Bring served from
440	Misaka	Kiima Kim≠c	1		1]	1	1	1	,		1	1	Sol Turesh
		Wашируш —		13.0	0 8,93	to 107,640	23.0	15.870	190,44	52	23.0	e 11,00	(= 1,50	L Athi River
		Si at hani		1			5.0	J	500		2 63	.] o 5,00	d 1,00	Bombele Surface to Inon Missister
		Mhinai			1		0.6				and the second	- 1		() Surface(Athi River)
		Matuu	U.74	20.0	6 14.4	K- 172,848	0.63	0 8.5%	102,50	n 28			1	C Yatta Canal
	1	Kibausi		85.4	- 1	1			1					(Arbi river (Muesi Dan
		Kathiani	U-7										_	
,,,,	W **		11.00			410.20	1 "	1		l .				Shallow wells and
450	Marsahit	Marsaltil	0.8		i				(i 22.32	ା	1 64	iol 20,00	UL 19,00	infilication galkey
		Marsabit Meyale	0.80	341.0	0 12 O								ri arn	the Piner Things A.
450 460	Marsahit Menu	Marsabit Meyale Mitungura	0.8	34.0 20.0	0 12 0 0 14.48	X: 172.80	C 19.0	13,32	\$ \$59,90	(43	1 1 1 1 1	30,00		D River Thiogith O Mt. Keaya Forest
		Marsabit Meyale	UK	341.0	e 12.00 0 14.40 0 90.00)(* 172.80 (c) 1.095.75	(† 13),0 († 179,0	(i) 13,325 (i) 430,425	5 \$59,90 8 1,565,85	(t 43 (t 4,29	6 31,6	00 30,00 00 60,00	0 32,00	
		Macabii Meyale Mitunguru Mwimbi	UK	34.0 20.0 125.0	0 12-0: 0 14-4: 0 90.0: 0 4.0	00 172,80 00 1,095,75 13 48,15	(* 19.0 (* 179.0 4 5.0	0 13,32 0 430,42 0 3,96	5 359,90 K 1,565,85 C 47,51	() 43 () 4,29 5 13 () 19	6 34,6 0 24,6 5 34,6	00 20,00 00 60,00 00 7,80 00 20,00	0 32,00 0 3,63 0 7,00	O Mt. Kenya Forest C Mt. Kroya forest X Kathira River
		Manabit Meyale Mitunguru Mwimbi Timan	0.8	34,0 20,0 (25,0 5,5	0 12.00 0 14.46 0 90.00 0 4.0)(* 172.80)(* 1.095.75 13 48.15 62 219.15	(* 19.0 (4 179.0 (4 5.0 (4 8.5	13,32 10 \$30,42 10 3,96 10 5,91	5 359,90 8 1,565,85 0 47,53 7 71,00	() 43 () 4,29 5 13 () 19	6 34,6 0 24,6 5 34,6	00 30,00 00 60,00 00 7,80 00 20,00	0 32,00 0 3,63 0 7,00	C. Mt. Kenya Forest K. Mt. Kroya forest K. Kathina River M. River
		Marsabit Meyale Mitunguru Mwinbi Eman Skabane Kanyekine		34.0 26.0 (25.0 5.5 25.0 12.5	0 12.0 0 14.4 0 90.0 0 4.0 0 13.3 0 9.0	X: 172,80 X: 1,095,75 13 48,15 62 219,15 00 108,00	6 19.0 6 179.0 2 5.0 6 8.7 C 30.0	0 13,32 0 830,42 0 3,96 0 5,91 t: 7,31	5 \$59,90 8 1,565,85 0 47,51 7 71,00 4 87,77	0 43 0 429 5 13 0 19 0 24	6 34,6 0 34,6 5 34,6 6 34,6	00 30,00 % 60,00 % 7,80 % 20,00 % 16,80	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,00 0 1,53	O Mt. Kenya Forest C Mt. Kroya forest X Kathira River
		Marsebit Meyale Mitunguru Mwimbi Timan Nkabune	U.8 U.8	34.0 26.0 025.0 5.5 25.0 12.5 6 605.0	0 12.0 0 14.4 0 90.0 0 4.0 0 13.3 0 9.0	X: 172,80 X: 1,095,75 13 48,15 62 219,15 00 108,00	6 19.0 6 179.0 2 5.0 6 8.7 6 10.0	13,32 (c) 430,42 (c) 3,96 (c) 5,91 (c) 7,31 (c) 144,85	\$ \$59,90 \$ 1,565,85 0 47,51 7 71,00 4 87,77	0 43 6 429 5 13 0 19 0 24	6 24.6 0 24.6 5 24.6 6 24.6 4 24.6	00 20,000 6 60,000 7,80 30 20,00 00 16,80 00 \$5,09	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,00 0 1,53 9 50,00 0 5,00	(† Mt. Kenya Forest († Mt. Kroya forest († Kashina River († River River Kashina and († Casabura springs († River (hingista
		Marsabii Meyale Mitungura Mexinde Timaa Stabune Kanyekine Mena	U.S	34.0 20.0 (25.0 5.5 25.0 12.5 6 605.0 7	0 12.00 0 14.40 0 90.00 0 4.0 0 13.0 0 18.0	00 172,80 00 1,095,75 13 48,15 62 219,15 00 108,00 50 217,80	G 19,0 G 179,0 G 5,6 G 8,7 G 194,0 G 194,0 G 194,0 G 14,0 G 14,0	13.32 10 \$30,42 10 \$.96 10 \$.91 11 \$1.00 141.85 10 \$1.00 18.17	5 159,90 1,565,85 47,51 7 70,00 4 87,77 8 1,702,30 0 120,00	(f 43) (f 42) (f 42) (f 17) (f 17) (f 24) (f 4,66) (f 25) (f 25)	6 34,6 0 24,3 5 24,6 6 34,6 4 24,1 9 19,6	107 30,000 108 50,000 109 7,80 109 20,00 16,80 16,80 15,00 15,00 12,00	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,00 0 1,53 9 50,00 0 5,00	(1) Mt. Keaya Forest (2) Mt. Kroya forest (3) Krice (3) River (3) River (4) Gatabuta springs (4) River thingiba (6) River (6) River (7) River (8) River (8) River (8) River (8) River (8) River (8) River
‡50 470	Meru Nyambene	Marsabii Meyale Mitunguni Meimbii Taman Nkabune Kanyekine Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu	U.\$	34.0 20.0 (25.0 5.5 25.0 12.5 6 605.0 7	0 12.0 0 14.4 0 90.0 0 4.0 0 13.3 6 9.0 6 18.3 6 10.2 8 125.0	06 172,80 06 1.095,75 1.095,75 1.0 48,15 62 219,15 06 108,00 56 217,80 20 122,64 60 1,500,00	C 193.0 4 179.0 4 5.0 6 8.1 6 194.0 6 194.0 6 186.0	90 13,32 90 \$30,42 90 5,93 7,24 90 144,85 90 19,00 90 8,47	\$ 1,565,85 0 47,51 7 71,00 4 87,77 8 1,702,30 6 100,00 8 98,14 8 1,370,50	0 4.29 5 83 6 24 6 24 6 3.7 6 3.7 6 3.75	6 34,6 0 24,6 5 34,6 0 34,6 4 24,6 9 89,6 9 24,6 5 24,6	107 20,000 108 60,000 20,00 20,00 20,00 16,80 16,80 15,00 15,00 12,00	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,00 0 1,53 9 \$0,00 0 5,00 8 12,00 88,00	C Mt. Kenya Forest C Mt. Kroya forest Kathina River S River Kathina and River Kathina and River Kathina and River Sathina prings River shingliba River Shingliba S River Spring
460	Жеву	Marsabii Meyale Milangura Meinobi Fanasi Skabane Kanyekine Mera Skaba Mera Tisabia Kangani	U.\$	34.0 20.0 (25.0 5.5 25.0 12.5 6 605.0 7	0 12.0 0 14.4 0 90.0 0 4.0 0 13.3 6 9.0 6 18.3 6 10.2 8 125.0	06 172,80 06 1.095,75 1.095,75 1.0 48,15 62 219,15 06 108,00 56 217,80 20 122,64 60 1,500,00	C 193.0 4 179.0 4 5.0 6 8.1 6 194.0 6 194.0 6 186.0	90 13,32 90 \$30,42 90 5,93 7,24 90 144,85 90 19,00 90 8,47	\$ 159,90 \$ 1,565,85 \$ 47,51 7 71,00 4 87,77 \$ 1,702,30 6 120,00 \$ 95,14 \$ 1,370,50	0 4.29 5 83 6 24 6 24 6 3.7 6 3.7 6 3.75	6 34,6 0 24,6 5 34,6 0 34,6 4 24,6 9 89,6 9 24,6 5 24,6	107 20,000 108 60,000 20,00 20,00 20,00 16,80 16,80 15,00 15,00 12,00	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,00 0 1,53 9 \$0,00 0 5,00 8 12,00 88,00	6 Mt. Kenya Forest 6 Mt. Kenya furest 8 Kathina Rives 9 River River Kathina and 10 Catabusa springs 4 River shimpiba 60 River 80 Spring 81 Funga River 81 Funga River
‡50 470	Meru Nyambene	Marsabii Meyale Mitunguni Meimbii Taman Nkabune Kanyekine Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu Meni Nkubu	U.\$	34.0 20.0 (25.0 5.5 25.0 12.5 6 605.0 7	0 12.0 0 14.4 0 90.0 0 4.0 0 13.3 6 9.0 6 18.3 6 10.2 8 125.0	06 172,80 06 1.095,75 1.095,75 1.0 48,15 62 219,15 06 108,00 56 217,80 20 122,64 60 1,500,00	C 193.0 4 179.0 4 5.0 6 8.1 6 194.0 6 194.0 6 186.0	00 13.322 00 430,423 00 5.93 00 5.93 00 144,85 00 19,60 00 8,47 01 142,50 01 142,50	\$ 159,90 1,565,85 47,51 7 74,00 4 87,77 8 1,702,30 6 159,00 8 98,14 8 1,370,50 9 1,148,23	6 43 6 429 5 13 0 24 0 24 0 32 0 32 0 32 0 32	6 24,8 6 24,8 5 24,8 6 24,9 14 24,9 19,9 19,9 19,9 19,5 24,5 16,74,6	20,000 (60,000 (7,80)(7,80)(7,	0 32,60 0 3,63 0 7,60 1,53 0 50,00 5,00 12,00 145,00	C Mt. Kenya Forest C Mt. Kroya forest Kathina River S River Kathina and It Gatabuta springs It River thingiba River Spring River Spring C Spring

Table - 1.3.4 (2/5) Operation Hours in Existing Water Supply Systems

s)e	Diones	Name of Water Supply	Code	Design Capacity (m ² h)	Design Capacity (m/mon)	Design Capacity (m ² /year)	Acteal Capacity (m² b)	Actulat Capacity (m [*] noon)	Amust Capacity (m ² /year)	Artest Capacity (m du))	Actual Operation (bills)	No. et flig is Schime Ana	No. of Pap. Served	Water Source
0	Steingi	Norme bi								G				
		Migwast Migwasi					14 460 8 560	1,440 3,456	17,820 41,470	49 114	12.00	3,000		Borchele Borchele
		Kyum	1 1				6.40	308	3,690	10	B .(0.)			nick catchine at
		Maingi	11.91	9.00			9,0(1	2180	26.140	72		10,000	15,000	
_		Ngoni Tezin	<u> </u>							(1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
(i	Makurui	Mhozihusi	U-97				18.00	670	8,040	35	5 (X	3,000		Kinze Dam
		SB25	17.62				0.30	200	2.4(10	, ,7	24.(K)	2,000		Spring .
		Wise	₽.164	30.24	13.60	14100	11 48	2,430	28,920	7y	7.00	19,000		Bomboles
-		ModacM	1 1	291,00	12,000	144,000	20.00	12,000	144,000	395	20 00	2.5(%)	12,000	Makindo springs Umani springs at the
-		Kikambulyu Rerat	1 1	30.00	21,630	259.3X	30.90	22,145	265,736	728	3100	20,006	9.400	foot of Chyulu hills
		Milito Andry	U-98	125.00	90,000	1,480,000	14.42	10,167	122,006	334	24 (%)	22,840	22,500	Umani springs at the free of Chyptu hills
		For Sinkago	11	100.00	14.4X	172,500	106.00	76,302	915.624	2,509	24.00	219,112		River Fus
ā	Manden	Mandera	U-104	120.00	43.280	513,400	100.00	48,000	576,000	1.578	16 00	35,000	39,000	dere betes
0	Wajir	Arkajaban	1 1	9.40	6,810	61,720	12.60	9,672	108,864	298	1900	17,000		Sombole
		Hatasweit	1	7,00	3,780	45,350	8 (00	4,300	51,840	143		20,000		Boschole
		Wajir Masor Bidas	V-111	10 00 2 70	5,4x1 1,950	64,800) 23,400	10.00 2.90	5.400 2.688	64,800 25,056	178 69	15.00	5,000 6,000		Well Burebole
		Nhoral Hakar	[""]	8.00	2.880	34,560	6.00	3,240	38,880	107	18.00	\$0,000		Son hote
		Kajata	1 1	8 OX:	2,880	34,550	4.00	2.160	25,900	71	19.00	9,000	7,000	Sarchole
		Dames		9.00	1,514	58,326	9.00	4,550	3.240	9	38.00			Surc≱olir
		Bule	U m		360	4,320	3.50	3,260	15,12%	41	19.00	5,000		Bombole
		Ciuras HadisJo		1.70 4.20	612 3,024	7,344 36,288	1.50 1.56	540 5,443	£ \$5.14.	18 1,790	14.00	1,000 7,000		Borrhole Borrhole
:	1	Biyamadbow		7.00	3,780	26.2% 45,360	8.00	5,440 8,320	653,184 51,840	140		29,000		Borehole Borehole
		Nyahera	1							1,3	45.0	2,		
K))Cisumu	(Gazetted Rural W S)		30.00	[4,4%	172.90	16.00	3,840	46,089	126		15,800		Orinde Springs
	l	Muborooi Water Supply	1.122		18,000	246,000	25.00	18,000	210,000	575	24.00	15,000		River Nyaodo
	l	Kibigoni Koru Maara W.S		30 (0)	21,600	1	30.06	21,600	259,200 33,530	710	24.00	39,000	t .	Stream Spring
	1	Koru Maara W.S.		16.54	260	69,120	14.00 4.00	2,820	33.540	93	1630	\$9,000 2,000	>,000	Kipeberiao Steram Borrbole
		Kisumu Rumi W S	1	83.00	60,000	720,00x	42.00	30,240	362,8%	594		50,000	25.000	Lake Victoria
		Mkendwa Kanyahwar	1					i		"	RANG.	2.05		
		(Gazetted Rural W \$)		29.76	7,110		16 (%)		45,060	326			2,000	Oriade Springs
		Osieko-Nambo	1	7.00 360.00	1,850 163,000		7.00 131.00		58,200	159	 Add Survey 			Ealer Victoria
		Oyogis Kanya'od	1	40.00	19.200		1341.00	3,936	47,160	129	\$.00	73,540 60,000	32,000	River Awach River Awach Tende
	}	West Karachungyo	1 i	80.00	26,150	1	\$0.00	400	4,80	13	00.00	120,000	130 000	Lake Victoria
		Kendu Bay		45.00	21.600		15.00		86,400	207		17,000		River
		Wang Chicag		\$60,00	2,000	24,000	L	l			1000	50,000	l .	River Awar's Kobusa
10	Siaya	Marigae Marigae		6.75	1.230		5 50	L	11.880		3.00	3,000		Lake Victoria
	ł	Asembo Bay		25 OI:	7,560	2,800,000	25 (0)	7,560	2,800,000	7.671	52.30	5.000		Lake Victoria
	ł	Nooh Sakua Souh Sakua	1			t		1	l		13.0			River Yula
	1	Ugunja		32.00	5,760	69,124	6.40	5,540	60,480	364	20.00	10,000		Lake Victoria Bosebole
	1	3codo	U-124		17.550		315 ()		313,400	1	3.50	22,000		River Yafa
	1	Berndo	0.124		9,720		19.00		1	226	12.0	28,000	10,000	River Yala
	1	Sega		24.00	10,080	120,960	11.50	8,2%	99,360	272	21.00	22,000	\$6,000	1 Bosebak
	1	tlan ala	U-125		11,528		1	7,568		1	1 /20 miles - 1 miles			3 Boreboles
	ļ	(Par	U-128	Ł	16.00		16.00	14,530	136.240	Ł	# C 023 37-8 C	1		River Vala
	l	Sidiodi Macanga	1	145.50	74,34						12.00	1		Yata River
		Uyoma Musa	1	30,66 8.64	900 3,094	30,800 37,150	16 (X) 6.90	4,8(4)	57,600 15,000	5	4,254 (1997)	1		Lake Victoria Rivez
	l	Manca Dam		110			7.00	8,250 5,640		1	1000000	1	,	Dam
	1	Umage		6.9X	1,091	1	200	630	7,200		19 12 23 24 24		•	Son table
	1	Sisaga		1				1		[73073558	456	450	Sixam
	İ	Collise				1]	}			300		Lake Victoria
	1	Chianda	1	100.00		360,00	50.01	3,000	36,900	ı	1 11 13 20 20	5,000		Lake Victoria
	l .	Ramogi Resoarch Station		7,06			1	1	1		The Conference of	l	1,000	
	!	Cranga Ramuta Bar Ofer		27.0X	12.96	155.53	1			1		1		Stream
	1	Yenga Sirawga		40.00	24,600	. 45.60.	,] ;	3 5,377,93	35,00		Spring Yenga Dam
	[Sira Nyawita	1	l ~~~	20,000]	1	ŀ				23,114	3,000	Borchole
		Aram	1	22.01	5.2%	63,360	6.00	2.49	17,280			12.00k	2,00	Lake Victoria
	i	Lwak	1	l .	l	1	I	1		7	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,500		Late Victoria
	1	Signmun	1		l .	1	1		219,000	600	1	1	10,00	Borchole
_	l	Randa Ndori	1		ļ	 	 -	 	l	ļ		↓	<u> </u>	Lake Victoria
i.	Homa Bay	Oters	l	5.75				1			1.6		1	River Riana
		Home Bay NGSive	U-129 U-132		1						4500 6 76 16			1 ake Victoria 1 River Kowungda
		Notice a	10.132	35.00		ı				I .	12 10 10 10 10 10			River Kownooda 2 Direct Lake Saction
		Get Kejewi	1	5.54				1			4.5 Sept 2.77			7 Bore bole
•	Nyamira	Nyamita wis	U 141			+	+		+		+			Eyaka River
		leo'ga w s	1	9.00	1	5				3	1. 11.34	1		Protected Society
		Manga Wis	1	¥3,\$	1.93	L .		600	7.2%	2	0 28.09		8,50	Spring
		Fumbers	1	16.0	1	1				1	1 1 100 1 A 1 A 1		4,00	Spring
		Makeusus	1	\$.#	L	1					125 (4.55)			Spring
	1	Emistrie wis	1	11.4	I .				E		1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			fi Riagumbe Dam
		Ny apointe gravity wis	1	1.8	t	1								Spring
		Elternaty organisty wils	l	6.45 7.50	1	1					1 10 1 10 1			C Spring C Dam
		Menjepya wis Njandongo wis	1	17.00	1	1		E .	1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			() Dam () Gesabei Dam
		STATE SECURITION TO SE		F 2.632	م∵ا.ت	7:,7.1	. 53.15	3,100		. 11			or 2,300	1 1 2 T SAIGE VALUE TO

Table - 1.3.4 (3/5) Operation Hours in Existing Water Supply Systems

Coure	Diena	Name of Water Supply	Code	Design Capacity	Design Capacity	Design Capacity	Actual Capacity	Actoral Capacity	Actual Capacity	Actosi Capacity	Actual Operation	Sa. of Pop in Scheme	No. of Fop.	Water Source
		Sobome 305		(m ² %) 20:00	(m m/s)	(m ³ lycar) 5,760	(m ³ 5) 15 (X:	(46 ⁵ /10-16)	(m ² /ycar) £55,539	(m²/day) 426	(b)day3 24.00	Arra R/NO	Served 3,000	Boschole
"		Olichia		6.00	4,330	51,840	5 (x)	3,6/8	43,200	118	24 (9)	2.5(X)	1.02	Ban bolt
- 1		Bull-ul		5.00	3,600	43,2(X)	5 00	3,600	43,200	118	24.00	6,000		Bara Solo
		Olepolos		22 (0)	7,930	95,046	6.00	4,320	51.R4:	142	24.06	3.500		Bordolic Control
		Olken Rural		18 00	£2,960	(55,520	18 00 8 00	\$2.963 249	155.500 2,880	45k	24.60 25.00	SAXX		Bittole Bonchele
		Bissel Namanga	0.343	- 1			3 (A)	10,360	121,600	341	24.00	26,000		Spring from Namanga bill
- 1		Ruogai	0.11	150.00	168,000	1,296,000	41.36	29,756	356,832	976	.6.00	15,000		Mhagaibi Risca
- 1		Ngong Main	U-144	5.00	3,600	43,500	4.00	120	1,344	1	14.00	5,000		Bute bode
		Olkeri		25,00	18,000	236,000	20.00	(3,370	172.800	473		6,900		Bun bile
		Rungai	ध्यम	- 1			R. Vo	5,997	71,964	197	20.00	E500		Bote befe Billiote
		Roogai	Ų-I#	5.(4)	2,406 5,400	29,900 64,900	3,60 22,50	2,460 5,400	25,930 64,800	71 178	8.00	18,000	4.0(%)	Rivet
736	Kipsigis	Leadiasi Sosiet	1 1	22.50 32.80	23,628	283,540	20 00	14,6X	175,200	4:40	8.00		35,000	
		Kipkelins	1	21.50	7,234	86,832	19.00	3,618	43,416	119	0.00		15,000	River
		Doldel water supply	1 1	-	\$	1,684	2 10	674	7,560		8,00	10,000		Sombole
740	Nakoru	Kersip		-	-		13.64	109	5,010	14	2.00	8.(XX)		Boarbelt
		Wester	1 1	10.50	3,741	92,951	11.4	10,368	124,436	341	1.00	10,000		River Crater River
1		Crater Stream		36.00	1.0%	(2,96)	36 (k) 29 (b)	1,080 20,880	12.960 250.560	56 666	1.1.4	\$5,07\$ 7,000		Chapia River
		Babati Chania	1 1	32.85 2.70	23,650 465	263,824 4,860	29.00 2.40	576	6,912	19	100	1		Amp Mor River
		Olenguravne Klijstve	1 1	2.70	***	-,	0.50	360	2,330)	12	40 00 000			longijongi Rivet
		Surva		206.04	287,200	302,400	87.00	10,440	125,250	343	4.00		2,500	Borbites
- 1		kake Natura block 4	1	6.96	3,312	39,744	6.00		34,580	9:	200	9		BoteSele
		Lake Nakum Block 2		4.30	4,300	50,400	3.80		98.0	37		1		Borchole Constants
		Lake Nakura Block I	1	21.00	1,980	23,760	9,00		48,600	133	20.10			Boarbole River Meriavai
-		Nature	U-159	2/00,00	30,000	360,004	58.00	T	20,890	. 57				Ground Software-
750	Nan-k	Lemek		18.00	540	6.4%	E (14		9,000	24				The staffact where dried up
		Namek water supply	(V-16)	3 (Cim.3 da)	36,345		75.00 15.00	•	540		21.00	1	15,000	Sueface(Fogure Narish) Sueface water
		Ofkurto		20.60 2.10	14,400 3,500	1	0.60	1		1:	6.00 (0.00)		5.000	Mara River
		Mulet			3,200	20,000	V	1 ~		l	1000			Surface Ground water n.h.
		Munjo Loita	1	3.20,	-1.00		30.00	j	i '	i :	5.0 1 8.0		654 2,504	
	Trans Naoin	Rmashariani Sahoti	-	40.00 26.00	28,800 7,300		15.00		R,6-W	2				Spring
768	113 as N2012	Kwanzal Kofongold	1	35.00	12,600	151.200	29.01	1	16,704	\$	10.77		900	
770	Uzsia Gisbu	Kipkabus	+				30.00	2.529	30,240	8			1	Cam
		Terbo	U-172	l	ļ.		38.00		133.530	- 31	1977/00000			River Sovizai
		Sostani	1	i	i		3.20		4,764		1.00			Porchole River, Borehole
	1	Berni Forest	U-165		1	ŀ	6.4 29.0	1		10	100	. 4	1	River Nzoia
l		Moi's Bridge	U-169	l			15.0			'š	120 110 110			Riva
Ì		Kaptagat Toi W.S		10.00	34,000	288,000				78	11. 10. 10. 10. 10.			River
780	Sonet	Signe water supply	1	\$5.00			40.0	0 1,200	14.400	3	all all all all all all all all all all			Nyragol River
	1	Enogica water supply		10.00						4	.2.734.44			Protected Serias
	1	Bomet water supply	U-173		1				1	1	7 12.0 3 18.0	1		Nyamores River (* River
	<u> </u>	Оверхнядо	1,,,,,	50.00 36.00	24,00 57		30.0 25.0		+	-	5 6 16.5			
796	Transmara	Kilgois Angata Baragoi	U-174		22			223	1		7 656	2.00		Spring
l		Nkararo	U-176	15.9K		1			F		1 5.0	0 3,00	2.00	Spring
ļ.	ļ	Emarti	1	15:9K	7.20	86,400	• -		ļ	•	0 234	4.50		Mara River
l			U-175	ŀ	1	į.	5.1] 2] 174	1 2,093	.[6 10	0 2,00	1.00	Seasocal stream, Impounded Dam
l	L	ಓ್ಯಾಣಗತಿ ಠ	Tu-te	1		1	3.6				8 9 E.			1 '
l	ŀ	Sacto	ı	43.fx	14.40	0 172,500	1				0 2 6)			() Spring
l	1	Kilgoris		36.00	57	6 13.446	25.0				5 16.C			
819	Banego	Ngramoi		9.fX	1			1			7 24			O Spring
l	1	Kipkaech	l l	9,04	1		1		1	•	9 2(8 4,			6 Spring 4 2 Springs
l		Kapkong		69.0	1		1				19 4.1			2 Sombok
1	1	Chemo Marigat	U-18	9.00		1	1				IO 81		4	O River
1		Chementon	1	51.64	1						200	1	ş g	Uam Dam
i	-	Gangulhei		9.00			9.0				11 5.9		1	3 Borebole
ı	1	Kampi ya Samati		14 (H		1			4	1	17 4.9			to Lake
l	1	Serciuşia	1	24.0	1				0 58,100		K) 6,	2.87 2,58		K) Ste 200 K Ban Solt
Į	1			20			P Not opera 0 10.0		0 43,20		D			e Spring
1	1	Pagkawanin Bartolimo		13.0		L		•			(1 3)	• •		të Stream
1	1	Kaplek W.S		32.7		1	12.5	•			J1 6.	1	9 29	Borchole
	I	Kabarionje		79.0		252.00		(1		94 : 87			K Stecam
	1	Barrabu a Dam	1	1			1		1		0	3.49	1	Pam
ļ	1	fatai	1	18.0		1					57 S			Spring
1	l	Timbulywa		6.8						1	89 74. Vo 3	4		Spring S Spring
1	1	Pemwai m.a		120 183		1			L .	1	Sy 24	• 1		re Spring Sel Springs
ì	!	Kahasis Tiri nin	1	14.0		L				1	9 1.	. 1		C Spring
1	1	Tri nin Teogra	1	24.0	•					L .	59 8.		4	Na Steram
ļ		Magatio	V-18		1						21 24	7 B	5,0	Molo River
1		Kisagasa	1	11.6		38 16,56					10 5.	• •		(t) Borchole
		Ngewdafel	ŀ	9.1							4 1	(6) 9		C Bonk &
	1	Chritera		51							16 24			(C) Stream (C) Percapial Storam
1	1	Ictor 20	1	30.0	•						10 15 19 5	06 4,5		(f. 1 - Borebele
1	1	Otholiwic Rodod	1	6,9 34.0	1	1			100	1	[]	1 7		River
i	1	n~~~			l .	1								Governd water
1	i	Nd467%		31.7			•					00 1.5		01 BH. 059 C 4916
1	1	Maji Moto	I	6.0	1.4	41 17.25	<u> </u>	9 1.4	11 24.35		36. 8	90 1,2	1	(4-Spring



Table - 1.3.4 (4/5) Operation Hours in Existing Water Supply Systems

Ende	District	Name of Water Supply	Code	Design Capacity (m°b)	Pesign Capacity (m ² mont)	Design Capacity (m ¹ 5car)	Actual Capacity (m ³ /b)	Artefal Capacky (m [*] fmost)	Actual Capacity (m ² /year)	Actual Capacity (měday)		No. of Pep is Schouer Area	No. of Pop. Served	Water Scorer
}	Floryo		\vdash			 								
820	Marakwet	C'bi bilbai		22.61 7.00	16.277 1,680	195.333 20,160	26,34 5.00	\$4,650 1,260	15,4%	6 40	6 00	\$00 1,500	200 900	Spring Riser
				285.00	1.920	-"."	6.00	'	•.,	0	5.00	1		River
		Kapuwar	ii	22.63	16,292	195,210	21.89	12,785		U	24.00		8,000	River
			l	285.00	1.950		A.(X:		3.65	() 14:	506			
		Tamback Obspagne cheptibe wis	0.184	15.00 824.00	450 34,730	5,8K 296,640	10,FK+ 400,000+	1.00	3,60% (41,20%)	30 387	36.00 24.00			Small Spring River
		Kapkoi		8.(N:	240	2.760	5.00	150	E,800		12.00			River (Ton & river)
1		Kanturukwa		35.00		•	30,00	<u> </u>		(·	22 00			Small Stream
		Chepkinis	 	10,00	7.200	86,411	15.00	10,80	124,600	355	24.06			River
830	रीक् नं कपूर्य	Mosterbor Kapterno		30,00 9,00	500 1,800	1,83 21,60	90,0	1,260	18,000	() #5	4.00	4,000 3,000		Sigam
830	Naodi	Kracki Kobijei	1	60.00	1,500	21,600	60.00	7,2(%)	86,840	217	- 974.00	31,000	•	Onto River
		Nasdi Hitts	Ustra	60,00	10.500	190,000	43.00	6,450	77,100	212	5.00	5,000	2,500	Tafto River
		Lelmokwa		30 00	10,000	120,000	30.00	9,000	108,000	2%	30.00		-	Olan Dayokie (Kipkarreadam)
		Cheptil Lessos	1	30 00 18 00	7,2% 4,3%	\$6,\$70 \$1,840	30.00 8.00	240 240	2,850 2,880	\$ \$	8.00 8.00	1	.800	Chepiil dam Dam (Lexsos)
	<u> </u>	Samo		39.00	246		0.34		-,	·				Samu dam
		Chepterani					12.00	2.890	34,560	95	150mm	2.5(K)		Earth dam
840	Samboru	Sangai i Bou lok	Ι ΄	2.50	536	t I	3.55	2,556	30,672	54		4,0(X)		Berebole
		Baragol 2 Bon bole		1.34)	39		180	3.296	15.552	43	8.00			Borchole
		Wamba Wamba	U-189 U-189	4.76 3.78	1,140 907	13,769 10,886	6.80 5.40	3,632 3,838	19,584 46,656	54 128	5.00 5.00			Bombile Spring
	[Soguta marniar	,]	i				4.275	Į.	l	-
		water supply		50 (1)	12,000	1	40.00			118	3.00			Spring
		Anders post Tuora water supply		36,90 6,90	8,5% 8,440		\$0.50 14,00	36,000 10,0%	492,506 130,966	9,984 3,31	8.00 6.00			Bombele Spring
		Maralal water supply	U-158		12.41		45.0K-	13.500	162,000	444	16 O.			Neadola Dam
	1	Shorts Heat water sumply	1	50.00	18,600		36.00	9,006	108,000	296	10.01	1		Spring
		Sirata Oimbi Bombole	1	1 54	376		2.30	1,5%2	19,008	53	5.00		2,000	Sorrhille
		Nondolo Bombek		\$ 30	1,008		600		\$1.540	342	8.00	1	•	Bore bole
		Loikes water supply Opinal Borchele	1	\$ 35	1,321	\$5,926	7.9(5,600	68,236	187	1.00	34),000 1.5(4)	ľ	Bombole Bombole is dry
		Loijek Berebek			İ	1	i	j		Č		1,000		Continue is my
		Loikas water supply		5.53	1,327	15,926	7.90	5,588	68,256	187		30,000	30,000	Bosebole
		Opinst Son bote			İ	ł		1		0	19 18 11 15	1,500	4	Serebule is dry
		Luijek Borr Sele			731			3.00	31,584	0	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,600	1	Borbok
		Baswa Borcbole Kisima water sopply		3.00 46.00	9,600	1	4,35 30.00	1	21,500	87 59				Surface Dam
		Pore water project	1	10.30	2.4%	1	3.00	1		24				Surface Dam
		Masekita Bombok	1	3.64	974	10,485	5.20	1,245	14.976	#1			0,000	Botchole
		Lesidan Phase E	•	3.85	¥16	1,3%	\$-50	165	1.580	5	5.0X	2,900	2,000	Borchele
		Lansvil Bosebole Water project		8.10	2,016	24,192	12.00	8.640	103,680	284	8.00	1,500	1,500	Borr bole
		Kowol Bombelr		3.40	336	4.032	200	1.440	17,280	47	5.00	soc	800	Borchele
		Mani Bombele		3.70	7.2%		1.3				100 mm 100 mm	1	2.00	1
į		Ledusgrikus Bombole Lesata Bombole		2.90	685 384	E	4.16 2.36			97 54	1.57	4		Botchole
	 -		 	i	i -	1		1			0.00.000			Shafters wells and
850	fultaga	Kalokol	U-19:	3.00	244	1	# CX	240	2,890	,	6.00	5,000	2,000	infeltration gallery Shafter wells and
i	}	Letitung	U-195	1						24	17,1000,000			infiltration gallery
1		Katilia t Namadak	U-193	4.50 4.50	1,084 5%	•	1.30 3.50		4		6.00			Bombok Bombok
		Katha 2	U-193	5.06	1,2%		3.00		1	24	12d et	1		Berebok
		Lodwar	U-194		54,000	1	71.00		1	1,621	100000	1		Botchole's
	{	Kaprado	U-190	4.00	78	8.44	3.50	. 840	10,080	28	8.00	5,000	200	Shallow wells and infiltration gallery
•			1	5.(h:	l	1	ļ					1	1	Shallow # clb and
•		Kalquk Kibish		7,50	1,50		1				CH 35/21 13			infiltration gallery Bombole
1	ļ	Kaaleng		12.00	2.16				1	1		-		Borchole
		Leanwgak		6.00	1,44	27,280	5.00	1,200	14,400	39	80	10,000	7,00	Bott Sele
		i.en-ken	1	19.00	2,40	20,846	8.00	1,440	17,280		6.0	R 4,000	1.50	Shallim with and Hightwhen gallery
		Lekkhar	i	5.00	1.29	14,44	3.00	. 54F	6,4%	15	6.00	8,no		Borr belle
1		Lekoi	1	4.1X	9%	1	3.00	1						Bore & &
1	1	Kokum	1	4_(K	96	4			1			- 1		Skallow well
1	1	Katobeyel Kataboj	1	\$2 FK	2.88	1	10.00		1					* Berekele • Shaflow well
		Katuma		45,04	10.80		37.54		1	L		1		1 stallow well, 2 bure beles
1860	West Police	Makutano	U-19:		1		25,04						_	Kotorok Perenial River
ŀ			1	.					406,080	J	1 4 1		٠,	Perconial River
Ī	-	Ywafateke Mortius			l '		47.0x	33,84	- ACATT, (12)41	1.112	34.0	5,000	1 ~"	(Msiywop) Ferencial Raver
1	1	Karas		1			25.00	6,648	72.(X)I	197	8.0	9 8,100	80	(Aposipos river)
1		Orpanida	0.19				33.04	23,764	285,039	780	24.0	0 2,500	1.80	Perennial River (Kosulul river)
1		Kapi nguria	U-19				20,00		1		1 . A M 100	i i		Kapcaguña River
1		1	1							J		J	1	Perconial River
1		Kachriiha	1				\$1,00	6,6x	72.00	197	30.0	ei intro	1 300	(Swam) Perenaial River
1		Fastar Keringe t					25.00	0 7,5 r	90,000	24"	1:0	() 5 ,000	2.00	(Kapraguda)
1		Sigor				1 .	N5.04	05 46,800	561,60	8,535	24.0	0 1,30	1 120	Percuaial River (Weiwei siver)
1	}	1			1			1	i	1			1	Percusial River
L	1	Orthan	Ш.	25,00	18,00	6 216JIO	20.00	14.4×	£72.500	47.	24.0	() 1,474	4 1.20	Kendus mer)





Table - 1.3.4 (5/5) Operation Hours in Existing Water Supply Systems

ಿಯೇ	District	Name of Water Supply	Ceste	Design Copacity (m b)	Pesigo Capacity (mimos)	Design Capacity (m ² .)car)	Actual Capacity (m ² h)	Activati Capacity (m ³ mon)	Actual Capacity (m ³ year)	Actual Capacity (m ³ /day)	Astesi Operation (h/day)	No. of Pag in Schame Area	No. of Pop. Served	Water Soutce
910	Buognera	Ndivisi-Makuselwa		11470	\$1,340	982,080	13100	81,340	982.0%	2,691	24.60	90,000	60,000	Kibis river
,,,		Webuse	0.305	75.00	54,000	649,000	75 Ot -	54,000	64,830	17S	24 (K)	3 0,0(X)	30,000	River Nidia
		Bolinti / Kibicitori	1	70.00	50,4(1)	604,800	70.00	50,400	634,833	1.657	24 (00)	82,000	50,000	River Kuywa
		Old Kilvicitori	1 1	26.00	14.4%	172,800	20.00	14.400	172.8%	3 73	24.00	60,000	25,900	River Kuywa
	į.	Obserle	1 3	85.00	2.040	24.4%	4.00	950	11,534	32	90.8	10,000	5,000	Flore bulle
		Orsibki		96,34	69,365	830,000	ESERV	\$6,904	1,643,849	2,857	24.00	148.000	SR (10)	Rivet Malirkisi
u~1	Basia	Nambale	U-208		10.8(8)	129,600	3.00	5,761	69,339	185	24.00	10.5(0	3,000	Porchife (2No)
	1,0.1,2	Pen Victoria	C	25.00	18,000	216.000	18.00	12,960	155,530	426	24.06	(5,000	160,000	Lake Victoria
		Suria Mats	1	35.00	96.942	1 (62.08)	31.00		267,840	734	24 00	35,000	15,0¢x	Lake Victoria
	4	Say Fort	1 '	12.50	3 OE N	30.000	5.00		144,600	395	. .	3,500	-	Lake Victoria
	1	Satula	i i	10.00	2,600		3.50		15,120	ì	B 96	6,000	1,200	2Na Rorefistes
		Musepa	1	16.70	12/64		14.26	4 1	51,364	1	10.56	7.500	2,850	Dam
	ļ.	Wathous		6.25	1,500		3.75		10,500			3,000		River Watchings
	i	Function Nancina		10.40	7,488		11.90		128,568	ľ		8,500	7,000	Spring
	1	Fiburala Fiburala	Į	41.70	30,024		27.00		233,280	t	•	33,000		SioRiver
		Busia - Muadika	i	112.50			74.50		1927,634)		24.00	50,000	30,000	River Sin
			1	980	78	1			2,412		14.00	5,000	3,000	Birchile
	Į.	Anukura		, ,,,	· "	'l '''	0.70		2,017			2,060	1,000	Spring
	1	Arragoro Rock catchocut		l			•	1		į į	1		1	i
	1	water supply	1	ļ.		l	ł	1	1		·	400		Rock care brocks
930	Kakamega	Mumias	0.20	90.00	65.40	784,800	60.00	43,200	513,400	1,420	24.00	30,000		Risci Lusumu
,		Sudem	0.20		2	77,760	5.44	3.883	46,656	128	12.00	35,000	15,000	3No Borcholes
	1	Lumakanda	1	8,00		1	7.00	1 S(K)	54,000	140	8.0	1.00x	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Strc am
	1	Little Nzona		206.00		1,800,000	150.90	198,000	1,296,000	3,55	24.50	126,300	15,000	Dama.
		Malaya	1	4.00			12.00	360	8,54	3:	9.00	20,000		Protected Springs - 2No
940	Vibiga	Kamosi	 	50.0X		+	60.00	32,400	388,90	1,065	35.00	400,000	\$5,000	Dam
	1	Vales	U-21:	1	1	1		7 XK	79.IO	218	12.N	30,000	15,00	A protected spring
	1	Hamisi	1	7.00		1	1	2.700	32.400	B*	18.0	30,000	2.00	Spring
		Mhale	0-21				ı	2.70X	324,000	887.6	7 13.0	450,000		Surface water
	l l	Mascan	-21	60.00				48,600	583,3X	1,597.6	24.0	900,000	20,00) Sorface
	1	Soviani	1	30.00	1		30.0			532.6	is.e	altour	16,00	(Sasiani spring

Source: MWR Water supply schemes operation status (1997)

Table - 1.3.5 (1/2) Drinking Water Quality Standards in Kenya

		Constituent of	Desirable	Permissible
Parameter	Unit	Health	Aesthetic	Aesthetic
r arameter	Onk	Significance	Quality	Quality
Heavy metal and Harmuful Substance		Significance	Quality	Quality
Arsenic	mg/ℓ	0.05		
Asbestos	mg/l	0.05		
Asoesios Barium		-		
	mg/l	-		
Beryllium	mg/ℓ	0.005		
Cadmium	mg/ℓ	0.005		
Chlomium	mg/ℓ	0.05		
Cynide	mg/ℓ	0.1		
Fluoride	mg/l	1.5		
Lead	mg/ℓ	0.05		
Mercury	mg/ℓ	0.001		
Selenium	mg/ℓ	0.01		
Aluminium	mg/ℓ		0.2	0.2
Copper	mg/ℓ		1.5	1.5
Iron	mg/ℓ		0.3	1
Mangnese	mg/ℓ		0.1	0.5
Zinc	mg/l		5.0	15.0
Chloride	mg/ℓ		250	600
Sodium	mg/ℓ		200	200
Total dissolved solid	mg/l		1,000	1,500
Sulphate	mg/ℓ		400	400
Hardness (as CaCO ₃)	mg/ℓ		500	500
рН	_		6.5 - 8.5	6.5 - 9.2
Turbidity	NTU		5	25
·			Preferable <1 for D	risinfection
1			Efficiency	
Colour	TCU	1	15	50
Taste and odour			,	
	<u> L</u>			

Source: Design Manual for Water Supply in Kenya

Arranged: JICA Study Team

Table - 1.3.5 (2/2) Drinking Quality Standards in Kenya

		Desirable	Permissible	
Parameter	Unit	Aesthetic	Aesthetic	Remarks
		Quality	Quality	
A. Piped Water Supply 1. Treated water entering		•		
the distribution system		_		m tare assessed to the desired
Faecal Coriforms	N/100 mℓ	0		Turbidity 1NTU: for disinfection
				with chlorine, pH preferable<0.8,
				free chlorine residual 0.2-0.5 mg/l
Coliform Organisms	N/100 mℓ	0		following min (min.) contact.
0.71				
2. Untreated water entering the distribution system				
Faecal Coliforms	N/100 mℓ	0		
Coliform Organisms	N/100 mℓ	0	1	In 98% of samples examined through-
Contoini Oiganishis	100 nic			out the year for large supplies with
!				sufficient samples examined
0.355 0	N/100 ref	3		In occasional samples but not in
Coliform Organisms	N/100 RR	,		consecutive samples.
3. Water in				consecutive samples.
the Distribution System	ļ		1	
Faecal Coliform	N/100 mℓ	0		
Coliform Organisms	N/100 mℓ	0		In 95% of samples examined through-
5				out the year for large supplies with
				sufficient samples examined.
Coliform Organsms	N/100 mℓ	3		In occasional sample but not in
John Tiganin	1			consecutive samples.
				•
B. Unpiped Water Supply				
Faecak Coliform	N/100 mℓ	0		
Coliform Organisms	N/100 mℓ	10		Not occurring repeatedly. Repeated
				occurrence and failure to improve
	1			sanitary protection, alternate source
				to be found if possible

Source: Design Manual for Water Supply in Kenya

Аптange: The Aftercare Study Team

Table - 2.2.1 Population Projection by District, 1991 - 2010

(Unit: 1000)

														,	- ;		1	:	t	- Q -		(000)
Province	Code	District	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995 :	1996 !	1997 -	1995	1999	2000	2001	2003	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Naŭuki	110	Nain-bi	1.564	1.635	1,708	1,782	1,857	3,932	2,009	2,086	2,164	2,243	2,322	2,402	2,451	2.560	2,639	2.718	2,796	2,873	2,949	3,023
Çenira)	210	Krambu	1,044	1,072	1,100	1,128	1,156	1,182	1,209	1,234	1,259	1,283	1,307	1.330	1,352	1,373	3,394	1,413	1,431	1,447	1,463	1,476
	220	Kirinyaga	237	426	435	444	453	451	459	477	454	491	497	504	509	515	519	524	527	531	533	535
	230	Murang'a	915	935	954	972	990	3,006	1,023	1,038	1,052	1,066	1,079	090	1,101	LHU	3,119	3,326	3,133	3.138	1.141	1,844
	240	Nyundarea	358	368	378	388	398	408	417	426	435	444	453	461	469	437	435	492	498	505	511	516
	250	Nyeri	668	680	692	764	715	725	735	744	752	760	767	773	178	782	786	789	790	793	791	791
Colast	310	Kihii	659	677	696	714	732	750	767	784	801	817	633	845	863	878	891	905	917	929	540,	95X:
	320	Kwule	426	439	45 0	460	471	451	491.	501	510	519	528	537	545	552	559	566	572	578	583	588
	330	Lamu	65	67	69	71	73	75	76	78	80	82	84	85	87	88	90	91	93	94	95	97
	340	Mombasa	517	531	545	559	573	586	600	612	625	637	649	661	672	633	693	702	712	720	728	736
	350	Taita	215	220	225	230	235	240	244	249	253	251	261	265	268	271	274	277	280	282	284	286
	<u>`</u>	Tana River	147	152	157	161	156	171	175	180	154	188;	193	197	201	206	209	213	217;	221	224	227
Eaviern		Embu }	402	414	#25	436	413	458	469	430	490	500	510	520	529	533	546	555	563	570	577	583
	420	Isiolo }	78	81	85	88	911	54	98	121	105	168	112	115	118	122	125	129	132	135	i	(4)
	430	Kitui t	437	450]	462	475	487	500	512	524	536	547	559	570	580	590	600	610	619	628	636	643
	440	Marake	743	763	783	804	823	642	862	880	899	916	934	950	966	982	996	1.011	1,024	1,036	1,043	1,058
	450	Massabit	143	147	150	154	457	161	:64°	167	170	173	176	178	151	183	136	188	190	192	193	195
	450	More	516	532	547	562	517	592	607	631,	636	650	664	617	590	703	715		738	749	759	768
	470	Nyambone	490	505	519	534	548.	562	576	590	504	617	630	643	656 380	668	679 393	690	70 t	711	721	730
	450	:Tharaka Nithi	284	292	301	309	317	326	334	342	350	357	1	372	371	387	384 384	: 1	406 396	412	417	423 411
	490	Mwingi	279 805	287	296 849	304 830	312 892	319 913	327 933	335 954	342 973	350 993	357 1.011	364 1,030	1.047	378 1.064	1.090		1,109	401 1.323	406 1,135	1.247
North-Eastern	510	Makueni Garissa	198	827. 203	209	215	220	226	231	236	241	246	251	256	261	265	269	273	277	281	284	288
MORD-Eastern	520	Mandera	190	198	206	215	223	231	240	248	257	266	274	283	292	300	309	317	326	334	343	350
	530	Wajir	197	202	206	210	214	217	221	224	227	230	233	235	238	240	24)	243	244	246		247
Nyanza	610	'Gusã	1,398.	1,233	1.268	1,303	1.337.	1 371	1,405	1,437	1,469	1,501		1.563	-	1,621	1,648	1,674	1.700	1,723	1,746	1.765
. Tyding a	620	Kisuma	792	814	336	857	878.	899	919	939	958	977	996	1,614	1,031	1,047	1,063	1,078	1,092	1,105	1,118	1.128
	630	Sava	698	711	722	733	744	754	763	771	779	786	792	797	60)	805	807	808	809	809	809	809
	640	Hinma Bay	802	825	848	871	893	915	937	958	979	999	1,020	1,039	1,057	1,076	1,093	1,110	1,125	1,140	1,153	1,166
	650	Migori	558	574	590	605	621	636	651	666	680	694	708	722	735	747	759	771	782	793	802	811
	660	Nyamira	263	271	278	286	294	301	308	316	323	330	336	343	350	356	362	368	373	378	383	388
Rift Valley	710	Kajiadu	284	297	320	324	338	352	366	381	396	431	426	441	456	471	485	500	515	530	543	557
	720	Kipsigis	543	562	582	602	622	542	651	681	761	721	741	760	780	799	817	836	853	870	837	904
	730	Lakipis	247	257	268	279	291	302	313	325	337	349	361	373	394	396	408	419	431	442	454	464
	740	Nakare	947	987	1,008	1.069	1,111	1,154	1,196	1,240	1,283	1,327	1,370	1,414	1,458	1.501	1,544	1,586	1,628	1,670	1,709	1,750
	750	Narek	281	295	310	326	342	358	374	391	408	426	443	460	478	495	513	531	548	566	583	601
	760	· Trans Nzeia	447	454	451	199	516	534	551	569	587	604	622	640	657	674	691		724	739	755	770
	770	Uwin Gobu	509	528	547	566	585	604	623	643		i	699	718	5	755	•		808	824	840	ţ
	780	Bornet	521	540	559	578	597	616	!	655		693	712	731	1	767	<u> </u>	į.	;	836	852	868
	790	Transmara	151	159	167	175	184	193		211	2	239	238	245	1	267	276	i	295	305	314	323
	\$19	Baringo	326	336	347	. [357	377	387	397	i	416	424	433	1	451	460	468	476		491	497
	830	Elgeyo Marakwet	239	247	255	262	270	278	()	293	300	307	314	322	i	335	•	1	355	361	366	372
	830	Nundi	494	511	528	1	562	579	į.	613	630	647	663	-	í	712		1	757			
	840	Samburu	122	126	130	134	137	141	345	148	152	155	159	162	165	169	:	:	178		183	
	850	Turkana	192	195	197	199	201	203	1	205	ì	206	:	207			i	i	207	•	207	1
		Wast Pokot	252	259	267	275	282	289	297	364	311	318		332		345	351	357	362	368		378
Western	910	Bungoma	827 435	. 858 . 446	889 458	920 469,	951	982 491		1.045 512	1.076 522	1.108	:		>	1,231 568	1.260 576		1.318 591		ľ	:
	920	Besis	1			1	450	1	1							1	2	i	ĺ	. 597	603	•
	930 940	-	1,632 556	1,065 573	1,098 191	1,130	3,162° 626°	1,195 643	1,227	1,258 677	1.289	1.320	, 727 , 727	1.381		1,438 774			1,518 818	1,543 831	1,567 844	1.589
TOTAL	749	Vihiga	 			26,762																
IUIAL			24,4/8	42,236	TO LOCAL	29,702	21,320	-0-500	17,11	7.7+3	30.470	27.35/	. 29,091	7:090	- 22,239	-3,7/2		. 22,175	22.112	20.244	20,302	27.4

Source: Kenya Population Census 1989, Analytical Report Volume VII, April 1996.

Note: Projection for each district from 2001 to 2010 is estimated by the Aftercare Study Team on the basis of the total

population of the country projected in the Analytical Report.

Table - 2.2.2 (1/4) Population Projection by Urban Centres

Province	Code : District	Code Urban Centre Name	Population in 1989	1/00/	1995	ban Population (2 2000	2005	2010
	110 32 33	U-1 Nairobi	II 1989 1,324,570	1990	1.857.000	2,343,000	2,639,600	3,023,00
îrobi nical	110 Nairobi 210 Kiambu	U-2 Githunguri	3.673	3,518	4.706	7,354.	10.558	12.6
1/11/ JI	210 Idianio	U-3 Karuri	14,029	15,238	13,716	27,229	37,113	40,5
		U-4 Kiambu	6,522	6,230	8,239	13,001	18,605	21.3
		U-5 Kakuyu	6,247	6,023	7,962	32,623	18,058	20,6
		U-6 Limacu	1.742	1,663	3,958	2,874 12,336	3,964 17,883	4,3. 30,5
	1	U - 7 Ndomberi	5,763	5,767 26,348	7,759 32,302	46,943	64,180	70.1
		U-8 Raira	23,316 57,603	55,502	73.718	116,313	166,252	190,3
		U-9 (Thika Sub-Total	119.795	120,280	155.361	238,672	336,613	380.0
	220 Kirinyaga	U - 10 Baricho	940	915	1,110	1,601	2.202	2,4
	210 (01111)324	U-11 Kagumo	498	436	602	903	1,261	1.3
		U - 12 Keregoya Kulus	9,585	10,215	13,784	21.479	31,142	35,9
		U - 13 Kianyaga	406	398	493	691	973	1.0
		U - 14 Kimenye	823	802	995	1,492	2,084	2,3
		U - 15 Sagana	2,546	2,459.	2,569	3,189	4,277 8,255	4,6 8,7
		U - 16 i	3,093	3,044	3,956 23,514	35,468	50,193	<u>-</u> 56,3
		Sub-Total	17,891	18.319 567:	540	962	1,249;	1.3
	230 Muranga	U - 17 Kandara	582 1,277	3,276	1,491	2,097	2,797	3,0
	l i	U - 18 Kangema U - 19 Makuyu	2,607	2,638	3 355	5,007	7,615	7.
	 	U - 20 Maragea	30,931	33,452	39,411,	55,259	74,091	79.
		U - 21 Muranga	21.650	20,851	26,376	39,680	55,613	62.
	1	Sub-Total	57,047	58,604	71,273	103,666	140,765	154.
	240 Nyandarea	U · 12 Engineer Town	446	435	539	808	1,130	1
	1 '	U - 23 Mairo Inya	1,473	1,465	1,846	2,843	3,856	4,
		U - 24 Miharati	1,658	1,032	1,279	1,918	2,680'	2.
	1	U - 25 Murungara	743	724	899.	1,347	1,882	2, 3,
	1 :	U - 26 į Ndunyu Njeru	1,[41	1,113	1,330] 1,530]	2,068 2,293	2,890° 3,096;	3
		U - 27 [Njabini	1,265 14,829	1,233 14,126	19,446	32,764	50,393	60
		U - 28 Nyahururu U - 29 Ol Joro Orok	646	643	792	1,192	1,547	1
		U - 30 Ol Kakou	2,546	2,742	3,840	6,101	8,945	9
	1	U - 31 Wanjobi	825	804	998	1,495	2,089	2
		Sub-Total	24,972	24.317	32,549	53,069	73,509	90
	250 Nyeri	U - 32 , Endarasha	2,358	2,321	3,016	4,661	6,661	7
		U - 33 Karatina	5,554	5,434	7,299	11,313	16,695	19
	1 .	U - 34 Mweiga	1,557	1,518	1.883	2.822	3.969	\$ 3
		U - 35 Naro Mora	1,379	1,345	1,668	2,500	3,750 282,940	331
		U - 36 Nyeri	91,258	93,165	123,508 6,379	191,728 9,832	14,546	16
		U - 37 (Othaya Sub-Total	4,811 106,917	108,616	143,753	222,856	328,562	383
astal	330 (Kilis	U - 38 Kilifi	14,545	14,092	20,5553	32,943	46,767,	57
25/31	320 1000	U - 39 Majengo	1,984	1,934	2,399	3,596	5,025	5
	I	U - 40 Malindi	34,047	33,101	43,227	77,339	114,547	134
		U-41 Mambrut	2,951	2.935	3,859	5.696	8,014	8
		U - 42 Marikani	8,372	8,599	12,496	20,044	29,761	34
	1 1	U - 43 Watamu	2,089	1.985	2,845	\$,574	6.767	
		Sub-Total	63,588	62,647	90,383	144,193	212,879 11,627	246 13
	320 Kwak	U - 44 Kwak	3,510	3,460	4,590,	8,198	20,656	22
		U - 45 Lungalunga	7,926 5,680	7,687 5,426	9,329 7,247	15,118 12,858	18,251	20
		U - 46 Msambweni Sub-Total	17.116	3,426 16,572	21,167	35,175	50,534	<u></u>
	330 Lamu	U - 47 : Lame	8,959	8,443	11,437	£7,401;	25,453	2
	550 Caint	U - 48 Matendon T.C	1,728	1.685	2,090	3,132	4,376	
		U - 49 Mokewe 7.C	1,842	1,796	2,328	3.339	4,665	:
	1	U - 50 Mpeketoni T.C	631	1	763	1,144	1.598	
)	U - 51 iWitu	1,200	1,154	1,563	2 395	3,485	
	i	Sub-Total	14,360	13,698	18,081	27,410	39,587	4
	340 - Mombasa	U - 52 Mombasa	461.753	489,377	573,000	637,000	693,000	73
	350 Taita	U - 53 Mwafate	1,659	1,613	2,006	3,907	4,202 23,689	2
		U - 54 Taveta	10,378		13,223 15,772	17,845 22,676	31,353	3
	1 '	U - 55 Voi	13,202		3,733	5,331	7.369	,
		U - 56 Wundanyi Sub-Total	2,764 28,603	28,960	34,734	41,852	66,633	7
	360 Tana River	U - 57 Bura & Madego	608		735	3,102	1,540	
	2007 Land Kirkli	U - 58 Garson	3,186	Ł	4,232	6,698	9,253	1
		U - 59 Hola	9,508		12.853	22,421	32.235	3
		Set-Total	13,302	13.142	17,820	3(1,220)	43,029	4
usice#	410 Eminu	U-60 Embu	26,525		34,309	55,102	79,735	4
		U-61 Runyenjes	1,975		2,346	3,455	1,740	
		Seb-Total	2K,5kH		36,654	58,556	84,476	
	43) Isiolo	U - 62 Garbutula	1,077		1.565	2,345	3,497	*
	1	U - 63 Isialo	16,824		26,968	45,606	70.131 5.588	
		U+64 Madegash	2.010		2,571	3,973	5 <u>.58</u> 8 17.288	1
		U-65 Merá	4,950		7.779 38.883	(1.988 64.63)	95,505	11
		Sul-Total	24,862		38,883	1.031	1.44	
	430 Kitul	U - 66 Kavari	569		671	1866	1,496	
		U+67 Kalungu U+68 Kitoli	555 9,305		13.261	22 131	32.485	3

Table - 2.2.2 (2/4) Population Projection by Urban Centres

Province	Code	District	Code Usban Centre Name	Population in 1989	1990	Projected U	rban Population (2 2000	30s.) 2005	2010
astern	440	Masaka	U - 69 "Auhi River	13,672	13,302	18,304	28,602	41,713.	48,44
			U - 70 Kathiani T.C U - 71 Machakos	854 116,293	833 111,992	1,633 154,066	1,548 240,703	2,163 351,071	2,38 497,83
	1		U - 72 Masii T.C	669	652	809	1,213	1,694	1,87
	1		U - 73 Masinga	753	734	911	1,365	1,907	2,10
			U - 74 Matou	2,849	2,804	3,644 792 °	5,631	7,555	7.94
			U - 75 Mituboni U - 76 Syathani	655 791	639 771	79 <u>1</u> 557	1,187 1,434	1,633 1,775	1,74 1,80
	1 .		U - 27 Tala + Kangundo	10,880	10,795	14,656	22,680	32,858	37,84
	1		U - 78 Warnenyu	906	883	1.096	1.642	2.295	2.53
	1.0		Sub-Total	147,722	143,406.	896,206! 6,215	306,003	441,663	514,53
	450	Marsabit	U - 79 Kargi U - 80 Korr	4,055 5,161	4,065 5,368	7,382	9,064 10,057	32,724 13,599	14.51 14.81
			U-81 Labamis	1,215	1,185	1,469	2,202	3,077	3,39
	1		U - 82 Marsabii	31,113	10,553	16,084	23,441	33,116	37,7
	1 :		U - 83 Moyale U - 84 North Horr	7,049 2,080	6,478 2,037	9,853 2,805	14,354 3,808	20,360 5,182	23,23 5,59
			U-85 Soloko	3,640	3,431	5,146	7,539	10,651	12,13
			Sub-Total	34,313	33,136	48,955	70,455	98,748	111,40
	460	Моге	U - 86 (More	94,947	89,444	124,412	199,692	290,856	337,4
	1		U - 87 - Nkubu Sub-Totat		5,097 94,541	7,059	211.049	16,549 307,406	356,69
	170	Nyambune	U-88 Lare	1,270	1,238	1,536	2.302	2,943	3,3
	1 :	•	U - 89 Maua	4,175	4,209	5.349	7,879	10,920	12.1-
	1	77 h http://	Sub-Total	5,445	5,447	6.885	10,181	13,863	15,3
	480	Tharaka Nithi Mwingi	U-90 Chuka U-91 Mwingi	4,258 3,742	3,859	5,607 5,469	9,221	11,446 13,527;	12.7
	4.40	Makaeni	U - 92 Kambu T.C	186	181	225	337	471	5.
			U-93 KRwczi	2,432	2,329	3,116	4.702	6,739	7,74
			U - 94 Kikima	1,879 611	1,832 596	2,272 739	3,406	4.343	4,6
			U - 95 Kitala Market U - 96 Machinery T.C	390	380	472	1,108. 707	1,547 988	3,70 3,01
		!	U - 97 Mbumbumi Market	248	242	300	450	628	6
			U - 98 Miño Andei	3,854	3,693	4,938	7,451	10,679	12,2
			U - 99 Suhan Hamud U - 100 Tawa Market	1,529 755	1,464 736	1,833 913	2,604 1,369	3,614 1,912	3,9 2,1
			U - 101 Wetc	1,294	1,252	1,731	2,705	3,946	4.5
		·	Sub-Total	13.178	12.713	16,539	24,837	34,866	39.2
North Eastern	510	Garissa	U - 102 Dadaab	1,228 573	1,183 559	1.338 693	2,178	2,941	3.1
			U - 103 Damajaro U - 104 Carissa	31,319	31,245	40,000	1,039 72,261	1,336 101,498	1,5 115,1
			U - 165 Lihot	2,380	2,343	3,044	4,204	6,049	6.8
		! :	U - 106 Medo Gaste	1,004	979	3,170	1,910	2,560	2,7
	520	Mandera	Sub-Total U - 107 Bannisa	36,504 723	36.308 705	46,245° 874°	82.092 1,311	114,385	129.4
		,via.karva	U - 108 Elwak	7,473	2,478	8,087	10,977	14,578	15,8
			U - 109 Mandera	22,699	19,940	22.856	32,775	45,389	51.6
	1	•	U - 110 Rhamu	4.878	4,801	5,144	7.015	9,319	30,0
			U - 111 Takaba Sub-Total	1,873 37,646	1,826 34,751	2,265 39,227	3,395 55,473	4,515 ³ 75,632	84,2
	530	Wajir	U - 112 Buna	846	825	989	1,396	1,979	2,1
			U - 113 Butc	2,593	2,128	2,543	3,621	4,969	5.4
			U - 114 Eklas	1,854 734	1.808 716	2,242 888	3 361	4,695	5,1
		i	U - 115 j Wagalla U - 116 j Wajir	19,382	20.144	26,239	1,330 39,542	1,859 57,066	2,0 66,0
			Sub-Total	25,409		32,901	49.249	70,509	80.9
Syanza	630	Gusii	U - 117 Kisii	44,119	42.853	50,604	77,666	107,195	120,6
	1		U - 118 Ogembo Su8-Total	45,048	43.729	978 ¹ 51.581	1,383 79,049	1,883	122.6
	620	Kisumu	U - 119 Abers	9,097	9,929	11.561	15,197	21,939	24.1
		:	U - 120 Kisuma	192.733	183.217	231.327	344,450	489,348	561.0
	1		U - 124 Maseno	3,331	3,328	3,890	5,470	7,409	8,0
			U - 122 Muboreni Sub-Potal	9,53× 214,699	9,236	11,594 258,572	17,389 383,517	24,721 543,468	2×,7 621,4
	630	Siaya	U - 123 Asiro	2.922	2.876	3,737	5,776	8.255	9.3
		•	U - 124 Bondo	1,936	2,096	2.348	3.244	4.308	4,6
	1		U - 125 Siaya	16.163	17,340	20,762	30.281	42,662	47.5
	1		U - 126 Ukwata U - 127 Usenge T.C	1.083 1.248	1,047 1,217	1,127 1,509	1.593 2.262	2,075 3,161	2.3 3.4
			U - 128 Yata	2.141	2.245	2.552	3,418	4,616	4.5
	<u> </u>		Sub-Tetal	25,433	26,822	32,935	45,574	64,477	72
	640	Homa Bay	U - 129 Homa Bay	23 335	24,061	30,995	\$4,4 <u>2</u> 8	54,065	71.
	1		E - 130 Kendu Bay	2,694	1	3,262	4,843	6,693	7.
	1		U - 131 Mbita	4,497	4,426	5.751	8,889	11.820	b1.
	1		U - 132 Ndhiwa U - 133 Oyogis	1,611 4,933	1,574 5,141	1,945 6,467	2,920 8,525	3,696 11.399	3, 12.
			Sub-Total	37.670	37,712	48.124	7) 604	97,673	13. 106.
	650	Mgerá	U-134 Awendo Sare	6,982	6,828	8.213	11.456	15.174	
		£	U - 135 Rehineha	2,082		2,528	3,495	4,674	5.
			U+136 Migori	12.274	13,600	14,913	22,316	30,831	34
			U - 137 Nyabikaye	3,656	3,507	4,184	5,871	7,81.7	8.
	ŀ		U - 138 Rooge	3,491	3,348	3.982	5,677	7.371	8.
	<u> </u>		Sch-Total	28,395	27,390	33,820	48,814	65,856	72.
	(460	Syamuria	U+139 Kureka	2.321	2.353	2,640	3,695	4,931	\$.
	1		U - 140 Nyamira + Kebirigo Sab-Total	6,338	6,027 8,380	7,130 9,769	11.435	15,693	17,

Table • 2.2.2 (3/4) Population Projection by Urban Centres

Province	Code District	Code Urban Centre Name	Population _ in 1989	1990	1995	an Population (N 2600	3005	2610
iù Valley	710 Kajiado	U - 141 Kajiodo	6,326	6,309	9,434	16,916	27,010	32,64
ite vancy		U - 142 Magadi	3,135	2,969	4,398	8,019	12.782	15,47
		U - 143 Namanga	4,710	4,791	7,262	13.035]	20.821	25,36
		U - 144 Ngong	8,775	8,725	12.730	22,070	34.577	41,20 31,3:
		U • 145 Qipitakitaki	5,922	5,936	8,955	16,437 43,481	25.817 68.122	83,1
	1 1	U - 146 Ongala Longai	17,288	17,189	67,860	119,658	189 129	227,4
		Sub-Total	45,160	45,819! 348	432	647,	904	ÿ
		U - 147 Keduwa	357 43,511	46,593	55,108	91,603	132,237	152,5
	1	11 - 148 Kericho U - 149 Kipketion	2,319	2,068	2,472	4.035	5.893	6,7
		U - 150 Litein	1,575	1,536	1,905	2,855	3,796	3.9
	1 ;	U - 151 Londiani	3,988	3,606	4,346	7,101	19,190	31,6
	1	U - 152-Sotik	3,725	4,008	4,509	6,771	9,335	10,2
		Sub-Total	60,475	58,159	69,772	113,010	162,354	186,
	730 Laškipis	U - 153 Nanyuki	24,076	22.995	31,559	53,207	81,989	97.9
		U - 154 Romaruti	2,434	2,368	2.941	4,596	6,591	7.
		Sub-Total	26,504	25,363	34,501	57,802	88,580	105,5
	740 Nakuru	U - 135 El Burgon	12,072	11,632	16,693	28,954	45,399	54.
	1 i	ប - 136 Gilgii	14,304	13,910	19.960	34,627	54,234	65,4 52,3
	1 1	U - 157 Melo	11,175	11,136	15,940	27,708	43,459 140,157	168,
		U - 158 Nah asha	34,519	35,921	51,442	89,460 402,560	630,866	760.
		U - 159 Nakuru	163,927	161.637	231.687 12.635	21,909	34,394	41.
		U = 160 Njore	9,026	8,804 885	1.098	1,646	2,300	2.
		U - 161 Rongai	908	243,974	349,455	606,863	950.808	1.145
	<u> </u>	Sub-Total	245,931 543	506:	799	1.295;	1.977,	2
	750 Narok	U - 162 Nairagie (Enkarc)	11,629	11,619	19,859	37,753	62,377	77,
		U - 163 Narok Sub-Total	12,172	12,125	20,658	39,043	64,354	79.
	TO T November	U 164 Kitak	56,218	55,786	73,956	128,530	193,913	229
	760 Trans Nxvix 770 Vasin Gishu	U - 165 Burn Forest	2,641	1,994	2,463	3,724	5,308	5
	770 Casin Olsad	U - 166 Elderet	111,882	112,285	148,204	247,485	378,415	450
		U - 167 Lemok	3,444	3,390	4,405	6,807	9,729	11
		U - 168 Magoon	566	552	684	1,026	1,433	1
		U - 169 Mors Bridge	2,833	2,925	3,556	5,428	7,852	8
		U - 170 Simut	5,732	5,736,	7,717	12.270	17,787	20
	· ·	U - 171 Soy	1,037	1,002	1.156	1,766	2.619	2
		U - 172 Turbo	3,0%	3,028)	3,703	5.658	8,103	
		Sub-Total	130,631	130,912	171.889	784,165	431.2473	510
	780 Bornet	U+173 Bornet	765	746	925	1.337	1,783	23
	790 Transmara	U - 174 Kilgoris	5,059	5.063	7,665	12,888	(9,862) 4,072	4
	1	U - 175 Lelgerian	1,608	1.568	1,945 782	2,915 1,173	1,639	1
		U - 176 Nkararo	647	631	10,392	15,976	25,573	25
		Sub-Total	7,314	7,261 683	843	1.271	1,775	
	810 Baringo	U - 177 Arabal	701 6,831		8.272	13,142	19,393	22
		U - 178 Eldama Ravino	9,268		11,804	18,812	27,776	33
		U - 179 Kahamet	6,815	4 1	8,083	12,858	19,077	23
	1	U - 180 Maji Mazori U - 181 Marigat	3,887	1 i	4,707	6,86\$	9,655	10
		U - 182 Mogotio	3,182	ł .	3,808	6.097	9,038	10
		Sub-Total	30,584		37,522	59,044	85,714	100
	820 Elgeyo Marakwe		4,658	4,999	6,034	9,654	13,473	1-
	420 Eigeye Manaya	U - 184 Tambach	999		1,294	2.071	2,890	
		Sub-Total	5,657	6,071	7.329	11,725}	16,363	<u>)</u>
	830 Nandi	U - 185 Kapsabet + Baraton	10,537	11,278	14,604	25,747	38,277	4
		U - 186 Nandi Hells	1,317	1.266	1,491	2.329	3,300	
		Sub-Total	11.854		16,095	28.076	41.577	4
	840 Samburu	U - 187 Baragoi	795	•	3,006	1,660	2,531	3
		U - 188 Marabil	8,96		D.860	19,101	29.012	
	1	U - 189 Wamba	3,53		4,618	2,560	11,503 43,045	<u>-</u> 5
		Son-Total	13.28		17.284	28,321 4,297	6,143	
İ	850 Turkona	U - (9) Kakoma T.C	2.17-		2.780	4,297	141,4 11E,6	
1		U - 191 Kalekol	2,48		3.178	2,429	3,394	
		U - 192 Kapendo T.C	1,34		1,621 865	1.296	3,374	
İ		U - 193 Katilu T.C	71.	1	865 15 554	28,353	34,841	
		U - 194 IJwar	13.61		\$5,588 5,373	8,304	10,678	
l		U-195 Lokationg	4,20		5,373 _. 29,465	49,590	68,131	
		Sub-Total	24,53		836	1.3(6)	1.837	
1	850 West Pokot	U-195 Chepareria	76		10.201	16,846	25,266	
1		U - 197 Kapenguria	7,72		30,214 8,096	13,370	20 (7:1	
		U - 198 Maketono						

Table - 2.2.2 (4/4) Population Projection by Urban Centres

Province	Code	District	Code Urban Centre Name	Population		Projected L	irhan Population	(Nos.)	
province	Line	District	Code Gran Centre Name	in 1989	\$990	1995	2000	2005	2010
Western	910	Bungsima	U - 199 Bungoma	26,805	25,339	39,679	65,103	97,172	114,08
			U - 200 Chapatais	2,467	2,385	3,361	5,057	7,091	7,93
			U - 201 Kapsakwony	592	577	795	1,205	1,695	1,91
			U - 202 Kikibis	5,483	5.633	8,812	14,482	21,637	25,32
	1		U - 203 Mawalic + Malakisi	2,271	2,202	3.119	5,415	7,572	8,43
	ĺ	:	U - 204 Sirista	911	958	1,389	2,010	2.894	3,19
	1		U - 205 (Wekaye	27,758	26,791	41,935	68.887	102,762	120,64
		:	Sub-Total	66,320	63,896	99.089	162,157;	240,824	281.54
	920	Busia	U - 206 Busia	20,781	21,125	32.441,	60,049	89,110	103,63
			U - 207 Malaba Town	2,734	2,691	3,497	5,404	7,723	8,77
	1		U - 208 Nambale	2,284	2.236	3,680	5,154	7,222	7,92
			Sub-Total	25,799	26,053	39,018	70,607	104,056	120,33
	930	Kakamega	U - 209 Butere	2,369	2,401	2,947	4,385	6,020	6,52
	l.		U - 210 Kakamega	58,862	57.093	77,306	123,558	177,064	202,51
		i .	U - 211 Mumias	23,668	23.825	29,626	43,681	59.682	65,15
			Sub-Total	84,899	83,319	109,879	171,624	242,766	274,19
	940	Vihiga	U - 212 Chavakali	420	410	508	761	1,012	1,05
			U - 213 Luanda	3,361	3,131	4,246	6,765	9,759	11,13
			U - 214 Mhale	2,871	2,826	3,672	5,675	7,546	7.88
	1	1	U - 215 Viliga + Majengo	4,335	4,230	5,274	8,499	11,591	12,60
<u> </u>			Sub-Total	10,987	10,600	13,699	21,700	29,908	32.68
TOTAL				3,955,543	4,070,000	5,280,000	7,440,000	10,010,000	11,500,00

Source: Kenya Population Census 1989

The National Water Master Plan Study 1992

Note: Projection of urban population was made on the basis of the urban population growth rate estimated in the

National Water Master Plan Study 1992, with adjustment made in accordance with the total urban population

projected in the Eighth National Development Plan 1997-2001.

Table - 2.2.3 Estimated Population Served in 1995

Province	District	Popul	ation (x 1,0	(000	Service			ulation (x 1,0		Non-served	Data
•••	: T	Total	Urban	Rural	Coverage	Total	by UWS	by LSRWS	by SSRWS	(x 1.000)	Source
lairobi	Nairobi	1,857	1.857	٥	96.1	1,785	1,785	0	0	72	1)
entral	Kiamou	1,156	153	1,003	60.1	695	221	353	121	461	2)
	Kirinyaga	453	18	435	76.6	347	10	326	11	106	3)
	Muranga	990	69	921	79.8	790	31	306	454	200	4)
	Nyandarua	398	23	375	63.0	251	59	76	115	147	2)
	Nyeri	715	140	575	61.1	437	69	107	261	278	2)
Coastal	Kilifi	732	90	642	67.2	492	192	31	269	240	2)
.035(4)	Kwale	473	21	450	45.5	219	17	17	186	252	2)
	Lamu	73	14	59	60.7	44	7	7	30	29	2)
	Mombasa	573	573	0	95.4	547		31	145	26	2)
	Tana	235	33	202	62.1	145		61	52	\$9	2)
	Tana River	166	17	149	24.1	40			7	126	4)
Eastern	Embu	448	37	411	53.8	211				207	2)
Eastern	1	++3 91	37	54	73.9	67					4)
	Isiolo			474	17.8	87				1	2)
	Kitui	487	13			320					Z)
	Masaku	823	191	633		320 351					3)
	Marsabit	157	47	110	1					1	2)
	Meni	577	131	446	4	361				E .	3)
	Nyambene	548	5	543		276				1	2)
	Tharaka Nithi	317	6	312	•	107				1	4)
	Mwingi	312	5	306	1					t	2)
	Makueni	892	8	884		,					
Nonh-Eastern	Garissa	220	43	177	E .	128					
	Mandera	223	36	187	1					1	'
	:Wajir	214	31	183		157		13			3)
Nyanza	, Gusii	1,337	51	1,287		1			-		
	Kisumu	878	259	619							, ,
	Siaya	744	24	720						7 512	
	Homa Bay	893	46	84	•						
	Migori	621	34	53		E			-	2 580	
	Nyamira	294	10	28-	43.0	12					+
Rift Valleey	Kajiado	338	68	270	72.5	24	6 5			L .	
Ť	Kipsigis	622	67	55-	44.0	27	4 6		5 20		_ ′
	Laikipia	291	35	250	5 29.3	8	5 4	-		4 200	'
	Nakure	1,111	348	76.	3 74.5	82	8 38	0 8	5 36	1	1 '
	Narok	342	20	32	2 26.€	8	9 1	-		.0 25	'
	Trans Nzoia	516	74	44	2 39.6	5 20	4 6	-	0 14	•	
	Uasin Gishu	585	170	41	5 58.4	34	2 9	5 11			
	Bornet	59	, 0	59	7 29.4	5 17	7		9 15		· · · /
	Transmara	18-	ı s	17	6 11.4	0 2	0	8	8	5 16	,
	Baringo	36			0 64.0	23	5 15	6 4	-	13	
	Elgeyo Marakwet	270		26	4 29.0	0 7	8	2 2	6 5	50 19	,
	Nandi	56			7 36:	8 20	7	7 2	9 17	71 35	5 2)
l	Samburu	13	_			4 9	3 1	.2	3 1	8 4	
1	Turkana	20				3 11	9 5	6 4	1	22 8	2 2)
l l	West Pokot	28			1	-		14	H	23 20	1 1)
Western	Bungoma	95							55	99 32	7 2)
Thesican	Busia	48						-		09 16	0 2
l .	1	1,16	-		1			-		51	
I	Kakamega Vibim	62		-				-		34 24	
	Vihiga TOTAL	27,52							39 5,4	35 12,88	ñ.

Note:

⁽¹⁾ Service coverage ratio for each district is obtained from Welfare Monitoring Survey and/or the 1996 Project Status Report. The coverages underlined are from the 1996 PSR.

⁽²⁾ ESWS and SSWS imply Large Scale Water Supply and Small Scale Water Supply respectively.

⁽³⁾ Data source:

¹⁾ Coverage from WMSII

²⁾ Coverage from WMS while served population from Status Report and/or Survey Results. Further adjusted to balance SSWS.

³⁾ Coverage and served population from Status Report and/or Survey Results

⁴⁾ Coverage from WMS while served population from Status Report and/or Survey Results.

⁵⁾ As served population exceeds district population, the figure was adjusted.