

4. FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR SELECTED THREE GRANARY SCHEMES

4.1 Kerian Scheme

4.1.1 Agricultural Improvement Plan

(1) Cropping Schedule

The original cropping schedule in Kerian scheme is divided into 3 schedules (Fig. IV-10), which was set up on the basis of the transplanting cultivation method. However direct seeding methods are already being widely practiced in the scheme in order to overcome shortage of farm labor and save production cost and is increasing the rate. Therefore, the actual cropping schedule becomes different from the original one by changing the planting method.

In Kerian scheme, the irrigation schedule is adjusted for every crop season by Planting Schedule Committee which is composed of the representatives of concerned organizations like DID, DOA, MARDI and LPN. The farmers' request is also reflected in determining the irrigation schedule. Now, three different kinds of planting methods are practiced in Kerian scheme, namely transplanting, dry direct seeding and wet direct seeding. This makes the irrigation management more complicated. The unification of the planting method is desirable for the simplification of irrigation water management. From the viewpoint of cost saving, time and manpower, it is essential that manual transplanting should be replaced by direct seeding.

A land consolidation project is being carried out to improve drainage conditions in the Kerian scheme. After the completion of the project, the adoption of direct seeding methods will become possible in the whole Kerian scheme. The proposed cropping schedule is decided taking into account the water availability and rainfall condition during the harvesting period, etc. as shown in Fig. IV-10.

Considering the result of water balance study in the Kerian scheme, dry direct seeding methods will be introduced at 100% in off-season and wet direct seeding methods will be introduced in main-season except for organic soil areas, which occupy about 17% of the total area. The annual cropping intensity is proposed at 200% in the Kerian scheme. The areas demarcated for three schedules are summarized in the following table:

Compartment	Area (Unit: ha)
Schedule 1 (Block 1) A, B, C	10,346
Schedule 2 (Block 2) D, E, F	8,403
Schedule 3 (Block 3) G, H	4,793

(2) Planting method and Mechanization

A major problem which the Kerian scheme faces is the non-adherence to cropping schedule. The majority of farmers in compartment F, G and H adhere the planting schedule, while it is difficult for many farmers in Kerian Laut especially compartment A, B and C to follow the planting schedule. The summary of the past planting scedule records are shown in Fig. IV-11. In those areas, land preparation is still done by manually, since mechanization is still limited due to low bearing capacity. Hence, the mechanization ratio in these areas still remains at low level. Farmers living in ill-drainage areas where are not accessible by machines, can not apply direct seeding method, since direct seeding requires a thorough land preparation and leveling by tractors. The non-adherence to the planting schedule is caused by the difficulty to carry out the manual land preparation and manual transplanting on time due to labor shortage. However, steps are being taken under the land conservation programme conducted by IADP Kerian/Sg. Manik to improve the load-carrying capacity of the soils in the whole Kerian and Sg. Manik Schemes by the construction of field bunds and drain ditches (Pembinaan Batas dan Parit Ladang). The programme which is fully funded by IADP Kerian-Sg. Manik, commenced in 1984 and will be completed by the year of 2000. These field bunds and drain ditches will improve in-field water management efficiency and effectiveness which helps in the formation of plough (hard) pan in the soil required for mechanization.

The full mechanized farming should be introduced into the whole Kerian scheme. Utilization of some small/medium size 4 W tractor (30 hp class) and harvester (3-4 ton class) will also be considered during the transition period by the time when the bearing capacity reaches the sufficient level in the organic soil areas. At present, the number of 4W tractors in the area is estimated at 189 units as shown in Table IV-31, which are hold by the Farmers Mechanization Center and contractors, and they are used for the contract farm work. After implementation of the project, it is estimated that 263 4W tractors will be necessary at a peak period during the cropping season. Assuming that the existing number of 4W tractors be kept in the future, the farmers' groups will own deficient numbers between peak requirement and availability. The harvesting work is being conducted with the contract basis and will also be done by the contract work with combine harvesters owned by contractors and FMC in future. The farmers' groups will own chopper spreader attached on the outlet of the combine harvester considering working efficiency of land preparation. The chopper spreader is used commonly for chopping and spreading the residue. The farmers' groups will also own some necessary managing implements for fertilizing and chemical application. The managing implements include light weight 4W tractor (10-20 hp class) for management and implements (attachments) such as broad caster, power blower, boom sprayer carpet duster, etc.

As mentioned above, small/medium size 4 W tractor (30hp class) and harvester (3-4 ton class) will be introduced in the organic soil areas which are characterized by low bearing capacity. These machinery will be own by the farmers' groups. The FMC will also own about one third of the necessary numbers of small/medium size 4 W tractor and harvester in accordance with the basic operation policy.

In order to estimate the number of the agriculture machinery and equipment, the estimated working efficiency as shown in the Table IV-32 is applied. The necessary number

of the machinery and equipments based on the mechanization plan is given in the Table IV-33 and summarized in the following table.

Machinery/equipment	Necessary	Availability	Purchase	(Unit: Nos.)
I. 4W tractor				
1. 60hp class	263	189*	74	
2. Management tractor (10-20hp class)	145		145	
II. Implements				
Lime sower	42		42	
Rotavator	161	87	74	
Paddy harrow	60		60	
Rear bucket or Land roller	41		41	
Granule applicator/Broadcaster	96		96	
Boom sprayer	44		44	
Carpet duster	28		28	
III. Combine harvester (6t class)	45	45		
chopper spreader	45		45	
Low bearing capacity area				
I. 4W tractor				
1. 30hp class	95	32	63	
2. Management tractor (10-20hp class)	41		41	
II. Implements				
Lime sower	18		18	
Rotavator	68	5	63	
Paddy harrow				
Rear bucket or Land roller	17		17	
Granule applicator/Broadcaster	29		29	
Boom sprayer	9		9	
Carpet duster	9		9	
III. Combine harvester (6t class)	19	6	13	
chopper spreader	19	6	13	

*: 20 by FMC Kerian, 169 by contractors (including farmer), source: FMC Kerian and IADP Kerian

The proposed mechanization farming system by integrated work is as shown below.

Mechanization system for Wet seeding

Two times of Land preparation (tractor + rotavator) → Paddling (tractor + paddy harrow) → Seeding (tractor + power blower/granule applicator or broadcaster) → Fertilizing and chemical application (tractor + granule applicator/carpet duster/boom sprayer) → Harvesting (combine harvester)

Mechanization system for Dry seeding

Land preparation (tractor + rotavator) → Seeding and pressing after seeding (tractor + power blower/granule applicator or broadcaster and rear bucket or land roller) → Fertilizing and chemical application (tractor + granule applicator/carpet duster/boom sprayer) → Harvesting (combine harvester)

Under the Wet direct seeding system, land preparation should be done two times and paddling should be done one time after land preparation. These operations are effective in weeds control and land leveling. Two times of land preparation and pressing after seeding should be adopted for dry direct seeding. The pressing after seeding under dry seeding system is not common farming practice now. However, it is reported by MADA that pressing after seeding under the dry seeding system is effective in germination and establishment of paddy.

The 4W tractor for management will run on the fixed way (tramline) which be set up in the paddy field in 10m interval. The tramline in low bearing capacity area like the organic soil areas shall improve soil conditions by using soil amendment input. The management tractor will be able to run on the tramline under the submerged paddy field. Application of fertilizer and chemicals will be done using the suitable implements like broadcaster, granule applicator, boom sprayer, etc. as the attachments with the management tractor.

(3) Paddy varieties and management practices

(a) Paddy varieties

MR84 and MR185 should be introduced as recommendable varieties. According to the result of the field test done by MADA, the most suitable number of paddy plants is estimated at 150-180 number per square meter. The seeding amount of paddy is decided between 60 - 80 kg/ha on the presumption that one thousand grain weight is 26g and the average germination rate is 60-65% based on the data of MADA experiments as shown below.

Estimated number of germination of paddy:

(Unit: Nos.)

Seed rate (kg/ha)	germination rate (%)	
	60	65
60	138	150
70	162	175
80	185	200

(b) Fertilizer application

Most of farmers in Kerian area depend on the subsidized fertilizer only. A majority of farmers apply fertilizer twice a season, and some farmers apply fertilizer only once a season. The significant of scheduled fertilizing activities should be stressed onto the farmers. The fertilizer application method according to the MARDI recommendation should be adopted in Kerian area.

The majority of soils in Kerian scheme are classified as suitable soil for paddy cultivation as shown Table IV-4. With the exception of the organic rich soils, most of the soils do not face fertility problems. In general, it can be said that the Kerian coastal plain where compartment A, B and C are located, is a fertile area and has the potential to support good plant growth with good yields. In the organic areas, strong acid conditions exist as

indicated by the low pH values. In these areas, the exchangeable aluminum in the soils is very high and is one of the causes of the acidity. The organic rich soils experience high acidity and high conductivity especially at depth. The aluminum content is also high and may exert toxic effects on plant growth. However, this can be easily corrected with proper lime application. The higher rate of fertilizer application amount with lime would be recommended in these areas. At the same time, the apply of not only NPK but also minor elements dressing should also be considered by introducing DRIS as mention in the Master Plan. The proposed fertilizer amount and apply timing is as follows:

- Amount and rate of fertilizer : N:P (P₂O₅):K (K₂O)= 100:40:30 (kg/ha)

- (i) N : 1st; 1/4, 2nd; 1/4 and 3rd; 1/2 on 15 - 21 day after sowing (HST),
45 - 50 HST and panicle initiation stage respectively
- (ii) P : 15 - 21 HST } together with N
- (iii) K : 15 - 21 HST } together with N

The additional elements (Ca) application is recommended for the organic soil area located in Kerian.

Calcium fertilizer (GML) : 2.5 ton/ha

- (i) before first land preparation

(d) Pest and Weed Management

As for the weed control, two times of herbicide applications for wet direct seeding and three times of herbicide applications for dry direct seeding are recommended. Regarding the insect, the damage of Brown Hopper occurred sometimes in the past. It is important to pay attention to the occurrence of this harmful insect. Chemical application to control the weed, pest and disease should be carefully done with special attention to the environmental aspect. For the pest and weed control, proper measure should be made in line with DOA recommendations. IPM (Integrated Pest Management) method should be accelerated in the Kerian scheme.

The proposed farming practice for Kerian is shown in Table IV-34.

4.1.2 Agro-economy Improvement Plan

(1) Marketing

Improvement of marketing system basically consists of (i) improvement of harvesting methods, (ii) group arrangement of harvesting machinery and group purchase/sale, (iii) blanket purchase and sale through PPK. This procedure will also be followed in other two schemes. As peculiarities of the marketing condition in Kerian scheme, following 3 points should be considered.

- existence of middlemen in the marketing channel
- Guni-sack Handling is still applied in some area.
- Expected production will exceed present milling capacity of the Kerian area.

(a) Improvement of harvesting methods

Guni-sack handling is still applied in Kerian scheme. There are two reasons for farmers to use Guni-sack handling as their harvesting method. One reason is inadequate farm road that lorry cannot access the field. The other reason is farmers' conservativeness in applying new method. In this regard, improvement of farm road and dissemination of the knowledge regarding the advantages of bulk handling method should be promoted in order to shift the harvesting method to bulk handling.

(b) Integration/elimination of middlemen from the marketing channel

Because of involvement of middlemen in the process of farm input purchase and paddy sale, farmers often do not have choice in selecting sale destination or purchasing source. Besides, since arrangement of input and machinery is made according to the schedule of middlemen, it sometimes conflicts with the cropping schedule set by IADP staff. Therefore, it is necessary to establish direct relationship between farmers' groups and relating agencies (both for input supply and paddy sale). However, it should be considered that, in some cases, middlemen themselves are farmers and well functioning in the present situation. In this case, these middlemen should be integrated into the group system rather than just eliminating. And if these middlemen are not functioning well in the farmers' group, they would be replaced by the member farmers according to the frame-work of group system.

(c) Diversification of Sale Destination

In future, when the target yield (5.5t/ha) is achieved and cropping intensity is improved to 200%, it is estimated that the paddy production will exceed present milling capacity by 40,000 ton. Therefore, sale destination should be enhanced and diversified more to the outside of scheme, especially to the neighboring area such as Pulau Pinang.

By taking into account these points, following procedure should be taken for improvement of marketing system.

(i) Paddy Marketing

As to paddy marketing, it should be shifted into group marketing by following the procedure stated below. In the transition period, integration of middlemen should be carefully treated by governmental staff especially DOA. Improvement of infrastructure (farm road) is also important component for the improvement of harvesting system.

1st Stage

- In addition to the existing groups, Farmers' Group should be formed at the area where farmers are not organized yet.
- Middlemen should be integrated into the frame work of group system so that farmers are able to choose their sale destination.
- Harvesting system should be changed from guni-sack handling to bulk handling.

2nd Stage

- Arrangement of combine harvester should be done by group.
- Paddy should be sold by group, but return from paddy sale is paid to individual farmers.
- PPK's Information should be utilized by farmers' group in selecting mills.

3rd Stage

- Paddy should be sold by group and return from paddy sale is paid to group account rather than to individual farmers.

(ii) Input Marketing

Group purchasing is the basic principle for input marketing. It should be proceed with the following steps.

1st Stage

- In addition to the existing groups, Farmers' Group should be formed at the area where farmers are not organized yet.
- Dissemination of knowledge on group purchase should be done regarding the advantages of group purchase.
- Middlemen should be integrated into the frame work of group system so that farmers are able to choose their sale destination.

2nd Stage

- Bank Account should be prepared for farmers' groups. Fund for input purchase will be collected from member farmers.
- Bulk purchase should be started by group level with the assistance of PPK or DOA.

3rd Stage

- Bulk purchase should be done through PPK based on the report from each group.
- Input cost should be deducted from paddy sale.

For the establishment of group account, the Besut case can be referred, where the account is prepared under the name of multiple representatives of a group and the book is kept by IADP office. Group fund can be used only for group's expenditure and requires consultation with IADP staff.

(2) Rural Credit

The credit needs to be expected in the future would be (i) loan for establishment of farmers' group, (ii) loan for purchase of farm input, and (iii) loan for procurement of agricultural machinery. Basically, short term loan and paddy loan presently provided by PPK and BPM will be intensified by applying to farmers' group in addition to individual farmers. Besides, demand for agricultural machinery loan is expected to increase as the mechanization proceeds. In Kerian scheme, it is estimated that RM31.1 million will be necessary for the procurement of machinery. BPM or PPK should prepare to have enough fund to cover this

amount. As to the loan scheme, FOA's KPPP loan or BPM's agricultural machinery loan should be utilized for this purpose. Each farmers will pay rental fee of machinery and this fee will be collected by group basis and be allotted for the repayment of loan and O&M cost.

4.2 Ketara (Besut) Scheme

4.2.1 Agricultural Improvement Plan

(1) Cropping Schedule

The existing irrigation schedule and the original one of Besut scheme is summarized as shown in Fig. IV-12. The original irrigation schedule was sifted to the existing one in order to avoid the shortage of water supply in compartments 3 and 4. However, the existing schedule is risky for flood damage, if land preparation be delayed. Usually, flood happens in Besut scheme on November and December, and damages paddy plants. It results in postponing the cultivation schedule and missing the coming off-season cultivation. The original irrigation schedule is recommended in order to avoid the risk of flood. In the schedule, the first season crops is defined as off-season crop which lasts from March until September. The second season crop is called as main-season crop with a cultivation period between September and March. The proposed cropping schedule should be determined considering the water availability, flood effected period and harvesting period. The wet direct seeding method is presently common in the Besut scheme, and this method would be applied principally, however the partial introduction of the dry direct seeding (for approximately 20% of the planted area in off-season) will also be recommended in order to avoid high peak water demand during pre-saturation period in off season. The 175% of cropping intensity will be obtained by the improvement cropping pattern. The phase-wise planted areas are summarized as follows:

		(Unit: ha)
Compartment		Area
Phase 1 1, 4 and part of 2		3,439.9
Phase 2 3 and part of 2		1,723.4

(2) Planting Method and Mechanization

At present, the land preparation is generally done under submerged soil condition. The majority of the farmers conduct two times of land preparation. The first ploughing is done by the 4 wheels tractor on the contract basis. Second land preparation is done by the 2 wheels tractor owned by farmers. The utilization of 2 wheels tractor for land preparation is popular in the Besut scheme, however 2 wheels tractor is not suitable for effective mechanization in future, considering the work efficiency and the introduction of the large scale mechanized farming. Indeed, the existing 2 wheel tractors are used only for second ploughing. The utilization of the 4 wheel tractors should be recommended as the improvement plan for mechanization. 4 wheels tractors should gradually take place of existing 2 wheel tractors according to the renovation of them. At present, the peak 4 W tractor requirement for 1st land

preparation is estimated at around 110 numbers. The necessary 4W tractors are provided by IADP Ketara, the contractors and FMCs in and around the Besut, and 2nd land preparation depends on more than 600 numbers of 2 W tractors owned by individual farmers.

After the introduction of 4W tractor operation system, the tractor requirement for land preparation under the project condition is estimated at about 129 at the peak period. The farm mechanization will be partially supported by the private sector (contractors) in future. Farmers Mechanization Center (FMC) in Besut area will provide their own tractors and arrange the mobilization from other FMCs. The farmers' groups will own the deficient numbers between peak requirement and availability. The farmers' groups will also own some necessary managing implements for fertilizing and chemical application. The managing implements include light weight 4W tractor (10-20 hp class) for management and implements (attachments) such as broad easter, power blower, boom sprayer carpet duster, etc. Harvesting work will be done on the contract basis with combine harvesters owned by contractors and FMC in future. The farmers' groups will own chopper spreader attached on the outlet of the combine harvester considering working efficiency of land preparation. The chopper spreader is used commonly for chopping and spreading the residue.

Based on the formulated detailed plan for mechanization, the necessary numbers of machinery and implements are estimated as Table IV-35 and summarized as below.

Machinery/equipment	Necessary	Availability	Purchase	(Unit: Nos.)
I. 4W tractor				
1. 60hp class	129	74*	55	
2. Management tractor (10-20hp class)	42		42	
II. Implements				
Lime sower	16		16	
Rotavator	82	27	55	
Paddy harrow	31		31	
Rear bucket or Land roller	3		3	
Granule applicator/Broadcaster	35		35	
Boom sprayer	14		14	
Carpet duster	14		14	
III. Combine harvester (6t class)	23	23		
chopper spreader	23		23	

*: 20 by FMC Besut, 14 by IADP Besut, 10 by contractors (including farmer) and 30 by FMC Kelantan,
source: FMC Besut and IADP Besut

The proposed mechanization farming system by integrated work is as shown below.

Mechanization system for Wet seeding

Land preparation (tractor + rotavator) → Paddling (tractor + paddy harrow) → Seeding (tractor + power blower/granule applicator or broadcaster) → Fertilizing and chemical application (tractor + granule applicator/carpet duster/boom sprayer) → Harvesting (combine harvester)]

Mechanization system for Dry seeding

Land preparation (tractor + rotavator) → Seeding and pressing (tractor + power blower/granule applicator or broadcaster and rear bucket or land roller) → Fertilizing and chemical application (tractor + granule applicator/carpet duster/boom sprayer) → Harvesting (combine harvester)

Under the Wet direct seeding system, land preparation should be done two times and paddling should be done one time after land preparation. These operations are effective in weeds control and land leveling. Two times of land preparation and pressing after seeding should be adopted for dry direct seeding. The pressing after seeding is recommended, because it is reported by MADA that pressing after seeding under the dry seeding system is effective in germination and establishment of paddy.

(3) Paddy varieties and management practices

(a) Paddy varieties

MR84 and MR185 should be introduced as recommendable varieties. According to the result of the field test done by MADA, the most suitable number of paddy plants is estimated at 150-180 number per square meter. The seeding amount of paddy is decided between 60 - 80 kg/ha on the presumption that one thousand grain weight is 26g and the average germination rate is 60-65% based on the data of MADA experiments.

(b) Fertilizer application

Majority of the farmers apply the subsidized fertilizer of about 80kgN/ha only. Most farmers apply fertilizer 2 times, but some farmers still apply all subsidized fertilizer one time. The MARDI fertilizer recommendation should be basically adopted to whole the scheme. The significant of scheduled fertilizing activities should be stressed onto the farmers.

The soils in Besut scheme are marginal for paddy cultivation because of the low pH, the low fertility and flood hazard as shown in Table IV-5. The danger of the flood can be avoided by the introduction of the proposed clopping schedule. Hence, the usage of additional fertilizer is effective in order to increase the paddy yield. More fertilizer application such as N:P (P₂O₅):K (K₂O)= 120:40:40 (kg/ha) will be recommended to lower fertility areas. Lime should be added to the low pH soil areas to prevent the ferrous poisoning effect and cure the soil acidity. Furthermore, as Magnesium (Mg) seems to be effective for paddy production based on the result of the DRIS pilot project in Besut scheme, application of Mg is recommended. Application of Ca and Mg will be adopted in the low pH areas which cover about 75% of the scheme. The area-wise optimum rate and amount of fertilizer will be obtained through the practice of DRIS.

The proposed fertilizer amount and apply timing is as follows:

- Amount and rate of fertilizer : N:P (P₂O₅):K (K₂O)= 100:40:30 (kg/ha)

(i) N : 1st; 1/4, 2nd; 1/4 and 3rd; 1/2 on 15 - 21 day after sowing (HST),
45 - 50 HST and panicle initiation stage respectively

(ii) P : 15 - 21 HST } together with N

(iii) K : 15 - 21 HST } together with N

The additional elements (Ca) application is recommended for the low pH area located in Ketara (Besut).

Calcium fertilizer (GML) : 2.5 ton/ha

(i) before first land preparation

Magnesium fertilizer : 130 kg/ha

(ii) before first land preparation

(4) Pest Management

As for the weed control, two times of herbicide applications for wet direct seeding and three times of herbicide applications for dry direct seeding are recommended. Chemical application to control the weed, pest and disease should be carefully done with special attention to the environmental aspect. For the pest and weed control, proper measure should be made in line with DOA recommendations. Regarding the rat damage, in Besut area, more rat damages occurred in the past in comparison with two other areas. It is important to pay attention to the occurrence of this problem. IPM (Integrated Pest Management) method should be accelerated in the area. The biological management system, especially the use of owls should be increased for biological rat control.

The proposed farming practice for Kerian is shown in Table IV-36.

4.2.2 Agro-economy Improvement Plan

(1) Marketing

As peculiarity of the marketing condition in KETARA (Besut) scheme, following 3 points should be considered.

- all the farmers belong to farmers' group
- group purchase is partly practiced by farmers with using groups' bank account
- expected production will exceed present milling capacity of Besut area.

(a) Enhancement of Group Purchase / Selling

In Besut area, farmers' groups are already rearranged based on the irrigation system and all the farmers belong to these groups. Besides, groups are promoted to open group account and to practice group purchase by using this account. Therefore, this promotion should be continued so that all the groups will practice group purchase. On the other hand, farmers' preference to the particular brand name of farm input prevents members from having consensus on group purchase. In this regard, dissemination of knowledge

regarding the farm inputs, especially their ingredients and effects, should be made so that farmers can reach consensus more easily.

(b) Diversification of Sale Destination

In future, when the target yield (5.5t/ha) is achieved and cropping intensity is improved to 175%, it is estimated that the paddy production will exceed present milling capacity by about 20,000 ton. Therefore, sale destination should be enhanced and diversified more to the neighboring area such as KADA and area where the milling capacity is large enough such as Pulau Pinang.

By taking into account these points, following procedure should be taken for improvement of marketing.

(i) Paddy Marketing

Since farmers' group is well established in Besut, group marketing should be promoted as early as possible by utilizing these groups.

1st Stage

- Group Arrangement of combine harvester should be enhanced.
- Group sale of paddy should be promoted, but return from paddy sale is paid to each farmer.
- Information provision system should be encouraged, especially on rice mills locates outside of area..

2nd Stage

- Group sale of paddy should be promoted, and return from paddy sale should be paid to group account so that input cost can be deducted.

(ii) Input Marketing

The bases for the group purchase is already established in this area. Group purchasing presently done should be more encouraged. However, the difficulty in the collection of repayment for input cost is a main problem. Therefore, group selling integrated with loan collection, i.e. direct deduction from the sale, should be promoted in accordance with the promotion of group purchase.

1st Stage

- Enhancement of group purchase using group account.

2nd Stage

- Input cost should be deducted from paddy sale.
- Bulk purchase should be done through PPK based on the report from each group.

(2) Rural Credit

As in the case of other two areas, the credit needs to be expected in future would be (i) loan for purchase of farm input and (ii) loan for procurement of agricultural machinery. Short term loan and paddy loan presently provided by PPK and BPM will be intensified by applying to farmers' group in addition to individual farmers. As to the procurement of agricultural machinery, it is estimated that RM10.1 million will be necessary. BPM or PPK should prepare to have enough fund to cover this amount.

4.3 Pulau Pinang Scheme

4.3.1 Agricultural Improvement Plan

(1) Cropping Schedule

The present cropping schedule in the Pulau Pinang scheme is divided into 4 schedules as shown Table IV-37, however the area where is put in schedule 4, is being distributed among the other 3 schedules as shown in Fig. IV-13. The revised cropping pattern is recommendable judging from the water balance and rainfall condition during the harvesting time. The first season crops is defined as off-season crop which lasts from March until September. The second season crop is called as main-season crop with a cultivation period between September and February. The areas to be adopted the revised schedule are shown Table IV-38 and summarized below.

Schedule	Area (Unit: ha)
Schedule 1	3,312
Schedule 2	3,223
Schedule 3	3,066

(2) Planting method and Mechanization

The wet direct seeding method is common in Pulau Pinang scheme. The dry direct seeding method is done only in the small area in Sungai Muda. The wet direct seeding method is recommended in the Pulau Pinang scheme in both seasons. This wet direct seeding method is favorable for weed control. At present, the land preparation is normally done twice or 3 times before seeding. The first and second ploughing are done by the 4 wheels tractor on the contract basis. The utilization of 2 wheels tractor for land preparation is popular in the Pulau Pinang area. 2 wheels tractor is used for the last land preparation after first or second land preparation. The existing number of 4W tractor in the Pulau Pinang area is 43 which are owned by FMC and IADP Pulau Pinang. Additionally, the number of 4W tractors which are owned by private sector like contractors and farmers is estimated at about 80. It is estimated that 123 units can be used together.

After implementation of the project, full mechanized farming should be established in the Pulau Pinang scheme. It is estimated that 214 units of 4W tractors will be necessary at a

peak period during the cropping season. The farm mechanization will be partially supported by the private sector (contractors) in future. Assuming that the existing number of 4W tractors be kept in the future, the farmers' groups will own 91 units of tractors for the difference in number between peak requirement and availability. The farmers' groups will also own some necessary managing implements for fertilizing and chemical application. The managing implements include light weight 4W tractor (10-20 hp class) for management and implements (attachments) such as broad easter, power blower, boom sprayer carpet duster, etc. Harvesting work is being conducted on the contract basis and will also be done by the contract work with combine harvesters owned by contractors and FMC in future. The farmers' groups will own chopper spreader attached on the outlet of the combine harvester considering working efficiency of land preparation. The chopper spreader is used commonly for chopping and spreading the residue.

The proposed mechanization farming system by integrated work is as shown below, and given in the Table IV-39.

Machinery/equipment	Necessary	Availability	Purchase	(Unit: Nos.)
I. 4W tractor				
1. 60hp class	214	123*	91	
2. Management tractor (10-20hp class)	91		91	
II. Implements				
Lime sower	4		4	
Rotavator	153	62	91	
Paddy harrow	57		57	
Rear bucket or Land roller				
Granule applicator/Broadcaster	78		78	
Boom sprayer	26		26	
Carpet duster	26		26	
III. Combine harvester (6t class)	43	43	43	
chopper spreader	43		43	

*: 33 by FMC Pulau Pinang, 10 by DOA, 80 by contractors (including farmer), source: Farm machinery services for farmers

The proposed mechanization farming system by integrated work is as shown below.

Mechanization system for Wet seeding

Land preparation (tractor + rotavator) → Paddling (tractor + paddy harrow) → Seeding (tractor + power blower/granule applicator or broadcaster) → Fertilizing and chemical application (tractor + granule applicator/carpet duster/boom sprayer) → Harvesting (combine harvester)}

Under the Wet direct seeding system, land preparation should be done two times and paddling should be done one time after land preparation. These operations are effective in weeds control and land leveling. The 4W tractor for management will run on the fixed way (tramline) which is set up in the paddy field in 10m interval.

(3) Paddy varieties and management practices

(a) Paddy varieties

MR84 and MR185 should be introduced as recommendable varieties. According to the result of the field test done by MADA, the most suitable number of paddy plants is estimated at 150-180 number per square meter. The seeding amount of paddy is decided between 60 - 80 kg/ha on the presumption that one thousand grain weight is 26g and the average germination rate is 60-65% based on the data of MADA experiments.

(b) Fertilizer application

Most of farmers in the Pulau Pinang area depend on the subsidized fertilizer of about 80kg/ha only. The significant of scheduled fertilizing activities should be stressed onto the farmers. The fertilizer application method according to the MARDI recommendation should be adopted in the Pulau Pinang scheme. The paddy cultivation management package recommended by MARDI and DOA is considered. The proposed fertilizer amount and apply timing are as follows:

- Amount and rate of fertilizer : N:P (P₂O₅):K (K₂O)= 100:40:30 (kg/ha)

- (i) N : 1st; 1/4, 2nd; 1/4 and 3rd; 1/2 on 15 - 21 day after sowing (HST),
45 - 50 HST and panicle initiation stage respectively
- (ii) P : 15 - 21 HST } together with N
- (iii) K : 15 - 21 HST } together with N

A detailed soil investigation survey has not been done in the Pulau Pinang scheme. According to the "Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Pinang and Province Wellesley", the majority of soils in Pulau Pinang scheme are classified as class I or II of soil suitability class for agriculture as shown Table IV-3. In general, it can be said that the Pulau Pinang scheme has the potential to support good plant growth with good yields. However, some cases of copper deficiency are reported. The apply of minor elements dressing should also be considered. The area-wise optimum rate and amount of fertilizer will be obtained through the practice of DRIS.

(4) Pest Management

As for the weed control, two times of herbicide application for wet direct seeding is recommended. Regarding the decease, the damage of Tungro virus sometimes occurred in the past. This decease is carried by Green Hopper. It is important to pay attention to the occurrence of this harmful insect. Chemical application to control the weed, pest and decease should be carefully done with special attention to the environmental aspect. For the pest and weed control, proper measure should be made in line with DOA recommendations. IPM (Integrated Pest Management) method should be accelerated in the Pulau Pinang scheme.

The proposed farming practice for Pulau Pinang is shown in Table IV-40.

4.3.2 Agro-economy Improvement Plan

(1) Marketing

Since labor shift to non-agriculture sector is significant in Pualu Pinang, it is important and urgent to reduce the burden of farming work from the individual farmers by promoting group work. For this purpose, PPK should be utilized as a coordinator between farmers' groups and relating agencies. The role of PPK, in this context, is to arrange contractor, farm input and sale destination according to the request from farmers' groups. In this system, what the farmers' groups have to do is just to request the arrangement to PPK. In addition, it is preferable for the farmers' groups to shift to bulk purchase and sale through PPK or mini estate in an early stage.

With the consideration on the points mentioned above, following procedure should be taken for improvement of marketing.

(a) Paddy Marketing

The steps to be followed would be as follows.

1st Stage

- In addition to the existing groups, Farmers' Group should be formed at the area where farmers are not organized yet.

2nd Stage

- Arrangement of combine harvester should be done by group through DOA or PPK.
- Paddy should be sold by group, but return from paddy sale is paid to each farmer.
- PPK's Information should be utilized by farmers' group in selecting mills.

3rd Stage

- Paddy should be sold by group and return from paddy sale is paid to group account rather than to each farmer.

In the future, the coordinating role of PPK or DOA should be operated under one agency, as the farmers' group be rearranged based on the irrigation system and integrated under PPK supervision.

(b) Input Marketing

As in the paddy marketing, input purchasing also should be done by utilizing coordinating agency. As far as farmers accepts, group purchase should immediately shift to the bulk purchase through PPK so that the burden of each farmers' group is reduced.

1st Stage

- Formation or rearrangement of Farmers' Group
- Dissemination of knowledge on group purchase should be done regarding the advantages of group purchase.

2nd Stage

- Bank Account should be prepared for farmers' groups. Fund for input purchase will be collected from member farmers.
- Bulk purchase should be started by utilizing coordinating agency (PPK or DOA).

3rd Stage

- Bulk purchase should be done through PPK based on the report from each group.
 - Input cost should be deducted from paddy sale.
- Input purchase as well as paddy marketing should be transformed group farming and mini-estate by following the example of mini-estate which exist within the area already.

(2) Rural Credit

As in the case of other two areas, the credit needs to be expected in future would be (i) loan for establishment of farmers' group, (ii) loan for purchase of farm input, and (iii) loan for procurement of agricultural machinery. Short term loan and paddy loan presently provided by PPK and BPM will be intensified by applying to farmers' group in addition to individual farmers. As to the procurement of agricultural machinery, it is estimated that RM18.1 million will be necessary. BPM or PPK should prepare to have enough fund to cover this amount. Besides, it is necessary to prepare a loan scheme which supports the upgrading of farming system into mini estate. Loan scheme such as BPM's Estate Development Loan should be utilized for this purpose by adjusting its qualification or eligibility.

TABLES

Table IV-1 Population by Ethnic Group and Sex

	Number of Household	Average Family Size	(Persons)						Total
			Malay Pop. (%)	Chinese Pop. (%)	Indian Pop. (%)	Other Pop. (%)	Male (%)	Female (%)	
IADP Pulau Pinang	34,544	5.01	129,969 75.0	33,601 19.4	8,544 4.9	1,079 0.6	85,180 49.2	88,013 50.8	173,193
Kerian/Sungai Manik	38,528	4.87	118,175 62.9	37,325 19.9	16,563 8.8	15,670 8.3	91,888 48.9	95,845 51.1	187,733
Kerian	34,103	4.91	104,646 62.5	37,316 22.3	16,546 9.9	8,931 5.3	81,933 48.9	85,506 51.1	167,439
Sungai Manik*	8,743	4.71	20,644 50.1	9,553 23.2	3,400 8.2	7,643 18.5	20,347 49.4	20,873 50.6	41,220
Seberang Perak	2,791	5.10	14,038 98.7	12 0.1	7 0.0	165 1.2	7,254 51.0	6,968 49.0	14,222
Kemasin Semerak	47,863	5.15	240,377 97.6	3,115 1.3	86 0.0	2,761 1.1	119,623 48.6	126,716 51.4	246,339
Besut	9,756	5.16	48,573 96.5	904 1.8	54 0.1	820 1.6	24,712 49.1	25,639 50.9	50,351

* Including Changkat Jong
Source: Population Census, 1991

Table IV.2 Population by Scheme and Occupation (Age15~64)

	Total (persons)					
	Professional, Technical Pop. (%)	Adm.., Service, etc. Pop. (%)	Agriculture and related Pop. (%)	Prod'n and transport, etc. Pop. (%)	Others Pop. (%)	Total (%)
IADP Pulau Pinang	5,117	8.2	13,988	22.5	6,706	10.8
Kerian/Sungai Manik	3,955	6.0	11,555	17.5	31,411	47.5
Kerian	3,351	6.1	9,710	17.8	24,862	45.6
Sungai Manik	604	5.2	1,845	15.9	6,549	56.5
Seberang Perak	271	7.2	463	12.3	2,653	70.4
Kemasin Semerak	5,626	8.7	14,080	21.7	28,860	44.5
Besut	1,243	9.8	2,699	21.3	5,681	44.9

Table IV-3 Soil Classification and Suitability for Paddy in Pulau Pinang

Soil Type and Series	Area (ha)	Rate (%)
Class I		
1 Rengam Series	13,740.0	5.37%
2 Selangor Series	2,800.0	1.09%
3 Selangor-Kangkong Association	800.0	0.31%
4 Sedak Series	3,140.0	1.23%
5 Bakau Series	6,040.0	2.36%
6 Serong Series	3,940.0	1.54%
7 Kundor-Tulang Association	15,040.0	5.88%
8 Briah Series	120.0	0.05%
9 Rantau Series	2,400.0	0.94%
Sub-total	48,020.0	18.76%
Class II		
1 Rengam-Bukit Temiang Association	13,220.0	5.16%
2 Akob-Telemong Association	7,380.0	2.83%
3 Manik-Sogomana Association	11,220.0	4.38%
4 Kuala Kedah-Permatang Association	6,480.0	2.53%
5 Sintok Series	5,100.0	1.99%
Sub-total	43,400.0	16.95%
Class III		
1 Seremban Series	1,360.0	0.53%
2 Lunas-Holyrood Association	14,720.0	5.75%
3 Minik-Lunas Association	12,560.0	4.91%
4 Holyrood-Colluvium Association	2,780.0	1.09%
5 Local Alluvium-Colluvium Association	16,080.0	6.28%
6 Telok-Selangor Association	20,920.0	8.17%
Sub-total	68,420.0	26.73%
Class IV		
1 Kranji Series	3,760.0	1.47%
2 Kranji-Linau Association	17,800.0	6.95%
3 Linau-Permatang Association	9,660.0	3.77%
4 Permatang Series	520.0	0.20%
Sub-total	31,740.0	12.40%
Class V		
1 Urban Land	15,080.0	5.89%
2 Steep Land	47,220.0	18.45%
Sub-total	62,300.0	24.34%
Others		
1 Rivers	2,120.0	0.83%
Sub-total	2,120.0	0.83%
Total	256,000.0	100.00%

Source: Reconnaissance Soil Survey of Penang and Province Wellesley, Soil Science Division Research Branch
Division of Agriculture

Class I: no limitations

Class II: few minor limitations

Class III: at least one serious limitation

Class IV: more than one serious limitation

Class V: at least one very serious limitation

Table IV-4 Soil Classification and Suitability for Paddy in Kerian

Soil Type and Series	Suitability for Paddy	Area (ha)	Rate (%)
I. Marine Alluvial Soils			
Soil Series			
1 Serong	suitable	9,754.0	27.72%
2 Bakau	suitable	6,170.1	17.54%
3 Sabrang	suitable	1,093.5	3.11%
4 Piandang	marginal	749.3	2.13%
5 Sedaka	-	245.8	0.70%
6 Chenan	-	123.1	0.35%
7 Keranji	unsuitable	11.7	0.03%
Sub-total		18,147.5	51.58%
II. Brackish Water Deposits			
Soil Series			
1 Beriah	suitable	9,225.9	26.22%
2 Brown Clay	suitable	1,705.0	4.85%
3 Sedu	-	180.2	0.51%
4 Jawa	-	64.8	0.18%
5 Udang	-	13.4	0.04%
Sub-total		11,189.3	31.80%
III. High Organic Matter Soils			
Soil Series			
1 Linau	marginal	4,390.0	12.48%
2 Organic Clay	marginal	1,452.0	4.13%
Sub-total		5,842.0	16.60%
IV. Others			
1 Residential	-	7.3	0.02%
		35,186.1	100.00%

Source: Semi-detailed Soil Survey of the Padi Growing Areas in the Krian District Perak, DOA

	Area (ha)	Rate (%)
Suitable	27,948.5	79.43
Marginal	6,591.3	18.73
Unsuitable	11.7	0.03
ND	634.6	1.80

Table IV-5 Soil Classification and Suitability for Paddy in Ketara (Besut)

Soil Type and Series	Suitability for Paddy	Area (ha)	Rate (%)
I. Riverine Alluvium			
Soil Series			
1 Tek Yong	2 marginal	3,998.2	33.75%
2 Kg Chempaka	2 marginal	2,143.2	18.09%
3 Holyrood	3 unsuitable	294.6	2.49%
4 Kg. Lating	2 marginal	661.7	5.59%
5 Sg. Jabil	2 marginal	349.2	2.95%
6 Batu Hitam	2 marginal	36.4	0.31%
7 Kg. Tepus	2 marginal	635.3	5.36%
8 Pasir Puteh	3 unsuitable	1,136.7	9.60%
9 Local Alluvium	3 unsuitable	28.7	0.24%
10 Kg. Binjai	3 unsuitable	437.9	3.70%
Sub-total		9,722.0	82.07%
II. Organic Soils			
Soil Series			
1 Brown Clay	3 unsuitable	76.5	0.65%
2 Peat	3 unsuitable	217.7	1.84%
Sub-total		294.2	2.48%
III. Mixed Riverine and Marine Alluvium			
1 Lubok Itec	3 unsuitable	63.5	0.22%
IV. Marine Alluvium			
Soil Series			
1 Rosila	3 unsuitable	44.5	0.38%
2 Redua	3 unsuitable	230.7	1.95%
Sub-total		275.2	2.32%
V. Shale			
1 Malacca Munchong Ass.	3 unsuitable	407.5	3.44%
2 Durian-Malacca Ass.	3 unsuitable	157.8	1.33%
Sub-total		565.3	4.77%
VI. Granite and Granodiorite			
1 Jerangau	3 unsuitable	29.1	0.25%
2 Jerangau-Rangam Ass.	3 unsuitable	768.9	6.49%
Sub-total		798.0	6.74%
VII. Miscellaneous			
1 Steepland	3 unsuitable	128.3	1.08%
		11,846.6	100.00%

Source: Semi-detailed Soil Survey of the Padi Growing Areas in the Krian District Perak, DOA

	Area (ha)	Rate (%)
suitable	0	0.00
marginal	7,824.1	66.04
unsuitable	4,022.5	33.96

Table IV-6 Paddy Planted Area and Cropping Intensity

	Pulau Pinang	Kerian			Sungai Manik			Seberang Perak			Kemasi Semerak			Ketara (Besut)				
		Annual		Main	Annual		Main	Annual		Main	Annual		Main	Annual		Main	Annual	
		Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main
1985	Planted area	13,866	6,794	7,072	40,803	23,267	17,536	7,966	6,070	1,896	9,423	5,782	3,641	0	6,048	2,716	3,332	
	Cropping Intensity	113%	56%	58%	172%	98%	74%	126%	96%	30%	108%	66%	42%	0%	117%	53%	65%	
1986	Planted area	16,021	7,859	8,162	35,976	21,812	14,164	11,269	5,313	5,956	7,627	5,610	2,017	0	5,591	2,119	3,472	
	Cropping Intensity	131%	64%	67%	152%	92%	60%	178%	84%	94%	88%	64%	23%	0%	108%	4%	67%	
1987	Planted area	18,959	8,981	9,978	44,013	23,498	20,515	12,260	5,954	6,306	9,105	3,508	5,597	13,654	4,561	9,093	6,671	3,813
	Cropping Intensity	155%	73%	82%	186%	99%	87%	194%	94%	100%	105%	40%	64%	128%	43%	85%	129%	74%
1988	Planted area	20,216	10,209	10,007	41,498	23,582	17,916	12,059	6,145	5,914	11,718	4,918	6,800	5,475	5,259	216	5,889	4,184
	Cropping Intensity	165%	83%	82%	175%	100%	76%	191%	97%	94%	135%	57%	78%	51%	49%	2%	114%	81%
1989	Planted area	20,275	10,168	10,107	36,395	21,542	14,853	9,575	3,480	6,095	15,078	7,465	7,613	5,794	4,968	826	7,756	3,910
	Cropping Intensity	166%	83%	83%	154%	91%	63%	152%	55%	96%	173%	86%	87%	54%	47%	8%	150%	76%
1990	Planted area	21,805	10,275	11,530	38,974	23,293	15,681	12,086	5,978	6,108	17,130	8,505	8,625	3,916	3,899	17	7,975	4,199
	Cropping Intensity	178%	84%	94%	165%	98%	66%	191%	95%	97%	197%	98%	99%	37%	37%	0%	154%	81%
1991	Planted area	22,334	11,427	10,907	39,259	22,747	16,512	12,166	6,104	6,062	17,094	8,531	8,563	4,379	4,191	168	8,116	4,233
	Cropping Intensity	182%	93%	89%	166%	96%	70%	193%	97%	96%	196%	98%	98%	41%	39%	2%	157%	82%
1992	Planted area	23,918	11,723	12,195	42,492	19,512	22,980	11,929	5,916	6,013	15,476	7,185	8,291	5,302	4,955	347	7,945	4,221
	Cropping Intensity	195%	96%	100%	180%	82%	97%	189%	94%	95%	178%	83%	95%	50%	46%	3%	154%	82%
1993	Planted area	20,412	9,491	10,921	40,219	22,732	17,487	12,031	5,975	6,056	16,610	7,972	8,638	7,520	6,413	1,107	9,263	4,819
	Cropping Intensity	184%	95%	89%	170%	96%	74%	190%	95%	96%	191%	92%	99%	71%	60%	10%	179%	93%
1994	Planted area	18,864	9,183	9,681	32,974	17,130	15,844	12,085	6,069	6,016	16,944	8,599	8,345	9,168	7,381	1,787	8,868	4,894
	Cropping Intensity	190%	92%	97%	139%	72%	67%	191%	96%	95%	195%	99%	96%	86%	69%	17%	172%	95%
1995	Planted area	19,278	9,552	9,726	36,503	21,939	14,564	12,114	6,027	6,087	17,134	8,591	8,543	7,481	6,447	1,034	9,522	4,949
	Cropping Intensity	194%	96%	98%	154%	93%	62%	192%	95%	96%	197%	99%	98%	70%	60%	10%	184%	96%

Source: Paddy Statistics of Malaysia, 1995
IADP Kerian/Sungai Manik Office

Table IV-7 (1/3) Planting Method-wise Cropped area in Kisan

Irrigation	Cropping Calender	Block	Irrigable Area (ha)	Planted Area	Direct Seeding		Load preparation by tractor	Machine Harvesting	Harvested Area (Unit: ha)
					W.D=64				
94									
	Feb. '94 - Sept. '94	A	2,402	2,001	78	412	670	1,861	
		B	4,001	3,809	137	755	1,321	3,061	
		C	3,961	3,870	260	1,490	1,058	2,014	
				97.7%	6.6%	37.6%	26.7%	52.0%	
		Sub-total	10,364	9,683	473	2,657	3,049	6,939	
				93.4%	4.6%	25.6%	29.4%	71.7%	
94/95									
	Sep. '94 - Apr. '95	A	2,402	2,166	122	786	1,540	2,066	
		B	4,001	3,828	376	1,531	2,470	3,828	
		C	3,961	3,862	476	1,933	2,523	3,862	
				97.5%	12.0%	48.8%	63.7%	100.0%	
		Sub-total	10,364	9,856	974	4,250	6,533	9,756	
				95.1%	9.4%	41.0%	63.0%	99.0%	
95									
	1 April '95 - Sept. '95	A	2,402	2,305	87	1,981	1,460	2,253	
		B	4,001	3,773	242	1,616	1,210	3,773	
		C	3,961	3,894	428	2,060	1,700	3,894	
				98.3%	10.8%	52.0%	42.9%	100.0%	
		Sub-total	10,364	9,972	757	5,657	4,370	9,920	
				96.2%	7.3%	54.6%	42.2%	99.5%	
95/96									
	20 Nov. '95 - Nov. '95 - Jan. '96	A	1,389	1,345	65	1,066	1,067	1,195	
		B	4,001	3,699	389	1,869	1,819	3,699	
		C	3,961	3,920	649	2,401	1,484	3,919	
				99.0%	36.4%	60.6%	37.5%	100.0%	
		Sub-total	9,351	8,964	1,103	5,336	4,370	8,813	
				95.9%	11.8%	57.1%	46.7%	98.3%	
96									
	20 Nov. '96 - Nov. '95 - Jul. '95	A	1,389	1,345	65	1,068	1,067	1,195	
		B	4,001	3,699	389	1,869	1,819	3,699	
		C	3,961	3,894	428	2,060	1,700	3,894	
				98.3%	10.8%	52.0%	42.9%	100.0%	
		Sub-total	9,351	8,938	832	4,997	4,586	8,788	
				95.6%	9.4%	53.4%	49.0%	98.3%	
96/97									
	21 Jul. '96 - Jul. '96 - Jan. '97	A	1,389	1,360	1,230	1,325	1,140	1,185	
		B	4,001	3,715	507	2,005	2,928	3,715	
		C	3,960	3,925	759	2,465	2,993	3,624	
				99.1%	19.2%	62.2%	75.6%	92.3%	
		Sub-total	9,350	9,000	2,496	5,795	7,061	8,524	
				96.3%	26.7%	62.0%	75.5%	94.7%	
Average		A	7,206	4,050	1,360	3,179	3,274	3,575	
		B	24,006	22,523	2,040	9,645	11,567	21,775	
		C	23,765	23,365	3,000	12,409	11,458	21,207	
				98.3%	12.6%	52.2%	48.2%	90.8%	

Table IV-7 (2/3) Planting Method-wise Cropped area in Krian

Irrigation	Cropping Calender	Block	Irrigable Area (ha)	Planted Area	Direct Seeding		Land preparation by tractor	Machine Harvesting	Harvested Area Plant/Harv.	(Unit: ha)
94										
	Feb. '94 - Jul. '94	D	3,363	3,031	1,248	1,390	2,332	2,974		
		E	2,344	2,311	1,010	1,309	1,911	2,341		
		F	2,696	2,289	2,053	2,041	1,951	2,129		
				84.9%	76.1%	75.7%	72.4%	93.0%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,664	4,311	4,740	6,224	7,447		
				91.2%	51.3%	56.4%	74.1%	97.2%		
94/95										
	Sep. '94 - Feb. '95	D	3,363	2,563	1,408	1,780	697	1,356		
		E	2,344	2,226	1,217	1,835	1,715	2,078		
		F	2,696	2,431	2,260	2,325	1,964	2,129		
				90.2%	83.8%	86.2%	72.8%	87.6%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,220	4,885	5,940	4,376	5,563		
				85.9%	58.1%	70.7%	52.1%	77.0%		
95										
	1 Jan. '95 - 15 Jan. '95 - Sept. '95	D	3,363	2,972	1,406	1,956	1,989	2,821		
		E	2,344	2,281	1,183	1,935	1,808	2,214		
		F	2,696	2,037	1,812	2,003	1,952	2,037		
				75.6%	67.2%	74.3%	72.4%	100.0%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,290	4,401	5,894	5,749	7,072		
				86.8%	52.4%	70.1%	68.4%	97.0%		
95/96										
	15 Aug. '95 - Oct. '95 - Jul. '96	D	3,363	2,702	1,527	2,702	2,253	2,552		
		E	2,344	2,103	1,615	1,908	1,803	2,101		
		F	2,696	2,563	2,452	2,515	2,512	2,561		
				95.1%	90.9%	93.3%	93.2%	99.9%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,368	5,594	7,125	6,573	7,214		
				87.7%	66.6%	84.8%	78.2%	97.9%		
96										
	1 Mar. '96 - Sep. '96	D	3,363	2,702	1,728	1,825	2,253	2,702		
		E	2,344	2,103	1,608	2,023	1,551	2,101		
		F	2,696	2,563	2,492	2,513	2,521	2,561		
				95.1%	92.4%	93.2%	93.5%	99.9%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,368	5,828	6,361	6,325	7,364		
				87.7%	69.4%	75.7%	75.3%	99.9%		
96/97										
	1 Sept. '96 - Feb. '97	D	3,363	2,555	1,945	2,135	1,530	1,774		
		E	2,344	2,103	1,620	2,093	1,893	2,103		
		F	2,696	2,561	2,482	2,561	2,342	2,561		
				95.0%	92.1%	95.0%	86.9%	100.0%		
		Sub-total	8,403	7,219	6,047	6,789	5,765	6,438		
				85.9%	72.0%	80.8%	68.6%	89.2%		
Average		D	20,178	16,525	9,262	11,788	11,054	14,179		
		E	14,064	13,160	8,253	11,103	10,716	12,941		
		F	16,176	14,444	13,551	13,958	13,242	13,978		
				89.3%	83.8%	86.3%	81.9%	96.8%		

Table IV-7 (3/3) Planting Method-wise Cropped area in Krian

Irrigation	Cropping Calender	Block	Irrigable Area (ha)	Planted Area	Direct Seeding	Land preparation by tractor	Machine Harvesting	(Unit: ha)	
								Harvested Area	Plant/Harv
94									
Feb. '94 - Jul. '94		G	2,143	2,140	1,445	1,854	1,253	2,102	
		H	2,650	2,640	1,999	2,235	1,793	2,631	
		Sub-total	4,793	4,780	3,444	4,089	3,046	4,733	
Aug. '94 - Feb. '95		G	2,143	2,135	1,243	1,933	1,187	1,892	
		H	2,650	2,643	2,215	2,383	2,195	2,683	
		Sub-total	4,793	4,778	3,458	4,316	3,382	4,575	
15 Feb. '95 - Jul. '95		G	2,143	2,135	1,243	1,939	1,187	1,892	
		H	2,986	2,986	2,215	2,383	2,195	2,638	
		Sub-total	5,129	5,121	3,458	4,322	3,382	4,530	
1 Oct. '95 - Jul. '96		G	2,143	2,060	1,232	1,921	1,794	2,057	
		H	2,986	2,639	2,397	2,370	2,415	2,639	
		Sub-total	5,129	4,699	3,629	4,291	4,209	4,696	
1 Apr. '96 - Sep. '96		G	1,807	1,794	1,460	1,727	1,530	1,768	
		H	2,986	2,965	2,873	2,850	2,811	2,723	
		Sub-total	4,793	4,759	4,333	4,577	4,341	4,491	
1 Oct. '96 - Mar. '97		G	1,668	1,647	1,498	1,521	1,582	1,694	
		H	3,125	3,100	2,972	3,058	2,805	2,902	
		Sub-total	4,793	4,747	4,470	4,579	4,387	4,596	
Average		G	12,047	11,911	8,121	10,895	8,533	11,405	
		H	17,383	16,973	14,671	15,279	14,214	16,216	

Table IV-8 Detailed Paddy Planted Area in Ketara (Besut)

Farmers Group (Kumpulan Petani)	Net Area (ha)	Main season				Off season			
		93/96		96/97		95		96	
		Area (ha)	CI (%)	Area (ha)	CI (%)	Area (ha)	CI (%)	Area (ha)	CI (%)
Compartment 1 (Phase I)									
1 TLK	408.1	346.7	85.0%	260.5	63.8%	346.0	84.8%	286.0	70.1%
2 TLA	155.5	155.5	100.0%	155.5	100.0%	155.5	100.0%	155.5	100.0%
3 TN/GL	144.2	144.2	100.0%	144.2	100.0%	144.0	99.9%	144.2	100.0%
4 Gong Kulim	68.7	68.7	100.0%	68.7	100.0%	58.0	81.4%	68.7	100.0%
5 TA Kubong Depu	99.2	98.4	99.2%	98.4	99.2%	99.0	99.8%	98.4	99.2%
6 TA Kayu Kefat	78.7	78.7	100.0%	78.7	100.0%	78.0	99.1%	78.7	100.0%
7 TA Pulau Ribu	96.8	96.8	100.0%	96.8	100.0%	96.0	99.2%	96.8	100.0%
8 TA Pulau Panjang	183.6	183.6	100.0%	183.6	100.0%	183.0	99.7%	183.6	100.0%
Sub-total	1,234.8	1,172.6	95.0%	1,086.4	88.0%	1,159.5	93.9%	1,111.9	90.0%
Compartment 2 (Phase I and II)									
9 TPB	301.4	301.5	100.0%	301.5	100.0%	301.0	99.9%	301.5	100.0%
10 TA Awek	270.7	270.8	100.0%	270.8	100.0%	100.0	36.9%	207.8	76.8%
11 Sri Masin	50.6	50.6	100.0%	50.6	100.0%	0.0	0.0%	20.6	40.7%
12 R-S	209.5	209.3	99.9%	209.3	99.9%	209.0	99.8%	189.5	90.5%
13 S-T	297.4	297.2	99.9%	297.2	99.9%	297.0	99.9%	297.2	99.9%
Sub-total	1,129.6	1,129.4	100.0%	1,129.4	100.0%	907.0	80.3%	1,016.6	90.0%
Compartment 3 (Phase II)									
14 M-N	137.6	137.8	100.1%	137.8	100.1%	137.0	99.6%	137.8	100.1%
15 N-O	152.9	153.0	100.1%	153.0	100.1%	140.0	91.6%	153.0	100.1%
16 O-O1	278.3	278.4	100.0%	278.4	100.0%	271.0	97.4%	278.4	100.0%
17 Q-Q1	158.7	158.8	100.1%	158.8	100.1%	150.0	94.5%	0.0	0.0%
18 Q2a	92.1	92.2	100.1%	92.2	100.1%	82.0	89.0%	0.0	0.0%
19 Q2b	116.0	116.0	100.0%	116.0	100.0%	54.3	46.8%	0.0	0.0%
20 Q2c	141.0	141.0	100.0%	100.0	70.9%	0.0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
21 PPI	229.8	229.8	100.0%	229.8	100.0%	229.0	99.7%	40.0	17.4%
Sub-total	1,306.4	1,307.0	100.0%	1,266.0	96.9%	1,063.3	81.4%	609.2	46.6%
Compartment 4 (Phase I)									
22 M1b	150.3	150.3	100.0%	150.3	100.0%	150.0	99.8%	150.3	100.0%
23 M1a	166.7	166.7	100.0%	166.7	100.0%	166.7	100.0%	166.7	100.0%
24 M-M1	331.6	331.6	100.0%	171.1	51.6%	201.1	60.6%	171.1	51.6%
25 GH	119.9	119.9	100.0%	119.9	100.0%	119.0	99.2%	119.8	99.9%
26 III	218.6	218.6	100.0%	218.6	100.0%	0.0	0.0%	150.0	68.6%
27 IJKL	286.3	286.3	100.0%	286.3	100.0%	286.0	99.9%	315.0	110.0%
28 F-G	83.5	79.7	95.4%	79.7	95.4%	76.0	91.0%	76.2	91.3%
29 Kuala Kenak	29.1	28.9	99.3%	0.0	0.0%	28.9	99.3%	0.0	0.0%
30 TG	117.7	117.6	99.9%	117.6	99.9%	117.0	99.4%	117.6	99.9%
Sub-total	1,503.7	1,499.6	99.7%	1,310.2	87.1%	1,144.7	76.1%	1,266.7	84.2%
Besut Scheme	5,174.5	5,108.6	98.7%	4,792.0	92.6%	4,274.5	82.6%	4,004.4	77.4%

Note:

i. This data prepared by Agriculture Component, IADP KETARA.

Table IV-9 Detailed Paddy Planted Area in Kemasin/Semerak

BLOCK	PADDY PLOT	EXTENT (ha)	INVOLVED VILLAGE	EXTENT OF CULTIVATED AREA	EXTENT OF NON CULTIVATED	REASON FOR NOT CULTIVATING		
				LMI 96 (ha)	MU 96/97 (ha)	LMI 96 (ha)	MU 96/97 (ha)	
I. KEMASIN HILIR								
A	Kuan	150	1. Kuan Hulu 2. Tebing Roboh 3. Keloat 4. Kelrek 5. Pengkalan Cina	150	60	10	130	Main Season - Farmer's main focus on tobacco - 10% of the area (highland) will be cultivated with tobacco - 60ha are cultivated with first main season cultivation
B	Kuan	12	Kuan Hilir	10	-	2	12	Main Season / Off Season - Highland, vegetable cultivation & short term plants - Low-lying valley bottom - Tractors cannot go in - Complete irrigation - Animal / Enemy (livestock/Rat) Palm swamp land (original extent are 24 ha - after additional land leveling 1 ha) 2 ha of swampy area
C	Badak	25	Badak / Kenudi	16	16	9	9	- Palm swamp land (original extent are 24 ha - after additional land leveling 1 ha) - Animal / Enemy (livestock/Rat)
D	Tek Belian	37	Tek Belian	35	35	2	2	- 2 ha of swampy area
E	Pengkalan Petah	60	1. Pengkalan Petah 2. Kelupang 3. Kemasin	50	-	10	60	- MU - farmers focused on tobacco Tobacco also cultivated at highland and normally near to the gate
Sub-Total		324		291	311	33	213	
Cropping Intensity				89.81%	34.26%			

BLOCK	PADDY PLOT	EXTENT (ha)	INVOLVED VILLAGE	EXTENT OF CULTIVATED AREA	EXTENT OF NON CULTIVATED	REASON FOR NOT CULTIVATING		
				LMI 96	MU 96/97	LMI 96	MU 96/97	
I. Jelawat Rusa								
A	Rusa, Majur, Ktg. Keladi, Nenen, Tek Ewa	384	1. Rusa 2. Majur 3. Kubang Keladi 4. Nenen 5. Tek Ewa	365	165	219	219	- Gelam (Melia leucadendron) butt - 50 % - Low lying land - 40 % - Drainage - 10 %
B	Kok Lintang, Permatang Pasir, Telaga Ara	467	1. Kok Lintang 2. Permatang Pasir 3. Tek Ngah 4. G. Jelutong 5. Telaga Ara 6. Sungai Bator 7. G. Teris	285	285	182	182	- Gelam (Melia leucadendron) butt - 50 % - Irrigation - 20 % - Animal / Enemy (livestock/rat) - 60 %
C	Seneng, Alor Gau, Gong Chengal, Gelang Badak	533	1. Seneng 2. Alor Gau 3. Tempoyak 4. Tokel 5. Kok Kelik 6. Gelang Badak 7. Gelang Gelanggang 8. Gong Chengal	182	190	351	343	- Gelam (Melia leucadendron) - 53 % - Drainage - 15 % - Livestock - 8 % - Vacant (plant with 'tanaman kontan' once a year)

Source: IADP Kemasin/Semerak

Table IV-10 Paddy Production and Average Yields

	Ketara (Besut)																	
	Kerian						Sungai Manik						Seberang Perak					
	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off	Annual	Main	Off			
1985 Production	31,673	14,594	17,079	123,156	66,783	56,374	20,892	15,899	4,992	20,516	10,645	9,871	0	19,542	8,846	10,696		
Average Yields	2.28	2.15	2.42	3.02	2.87	3.21	2.62	2.62	2.63	2.18	1.84	2.71	0	3.23	3.26	3.21		
1986 Production	42,489	19,946	22,543	116,121	68,953	47,167	32,840	15,704	17,137	18,101	13,750	4,351	0	13,987	6,376	7,611		
Average Yields	2.65	2.54	2.76	3.23	3.16	3.33	2.91	2.96	2.88	2.37	2.45	2.16	0	2.50	3.01	2.19		
1987 Production	50,605	25,740	24,865	139,390	74,268	65,123	34,189	17,534	16,654	24,041	10,121	13,920	35,440	11,489	23,951	21,806	13,281	8,525
Average Yields	2.67	2.87	2.49	3.17	3.16	3.17	2.79	2.94	2.64	2.64	2.89	2.49	0	2.60	2.52	2.63		
1988 Production	41,900	22,286	19,614	102,389	54,677	47,712	21,168	13,428	7,740	29,183	12,693	16,490	11,858	11,544	314	16,158	11,410	4,748
Average Yields	2.07	2.18	1.96	2.47	2.32	2.66	1.76	2.19	1.31	2.49	2.58	2.43	0	2.17	2.20	1.46		
1989 Production	51,131	23,559	27,572	95,126	57,016	38,109	20,714	8,842	11,873	46,087	20,073	26,014	12,839	11,789	1,050	23,803	12,684	11,119
Average Yields	2.52	2.32	2.73	2.61	2.65	2.57	2.16	2.54	1.95	3.06	2.69	3.42	0	2.22	2.37	1.27		
1990 Production	35,869	20,488	15,381	102,759	57,875	44,884	25,929	12,288	13,641	70,511	35,381	35,130	6,532	6,507	25	25,523	14,025	11,498
Average Yields	1.65	1.99	1.33	2.64	2.48	2.86	2.15	2.06	2.23	4.12	4.16	4.07	1.67	1.67	1.48	3.20	3.34	3.05
1991 Production	49,614	22,237	27,377	113,078	67,388	45,690	31,882	15,963	15,919	67,226	36,922	30,304	13,288	12,879	409	24,840	14,896	9,944
Average Yields	2.22	1.95	2.51	2.88	2.96	2.77	2.62	2.62	2.63	3.93	4.33	3.54	3.03	3.07	2.17	3.06	3.52	2.56
1992 Production	62,843	31,465	31,378	121,994	47,636	74,358	31,514	14,312	17,202	48,669	23,481	25,188	15,344	14,161	1,183	18,428	9,848	8,580
Average Yields	2.63	2.68	2.57	2.87	2.44	3.24	2.64	2.42	2.86	3.15	3.27	3.04	2.89	2.86	3.41	2.32	2.33	2.30
1993 Production	59,578	28,682	30,896	130,505	74,627	55,878	39,324	21,771	17,553	58,763	29,472	29,291	22,544	19,393	3,151	31,538	15,695	15,843
Average Yields	2.92	3.02	2.83	3.24	3.28	3.20	3.27	3.64	2.90	3.54	3.70	3.39	3.00	3.02	2.85	3.41	3.26	3.57
1994 Production	58,627	34,115	24,512	75,908	43,955	31,953	40,219	22,069	18,150	62,656	26,038	36,618	24,567	20,659	3,908	28,832	17,423	11,409
Average Yields	3.11	3.72	2.53	2.30	2.57	2.02	3.33	3.64	3.02	3.70	3.03	4.39	2.68	2.80	2.19	3.25	3.56	2.87
1995 Production	62,708	32,295	30,413	121,856	63,468	58,368	41,101	20,913	20,188	56,952	29,837	27,115	19,748	18,509	1,239	35,296	19,281	16,015
Average Yields	3.25	3.38	3.13	3.34	2.89	4.01	3.39	3.47	3.32	3.32	3.47	3.17	2.64	2.87	1.20	3.71	3.90	3.50

Source: Paddy Statistics of Malaysia, 1995
IADP Kerian/Sungai Manik Office

Table IV-11 Result of Paddy Production Survey in Kerian

Block Mukim	Comp.	(Unit: Clean kg/ha)									
		91/92	92/93	93/94	94/95	95/96	96/97	92	93	94	95
1 Semanggol	H	2,517	3,394	3,234	3,410	3,878	2,725	2,801	3,685	3,509	3,848
2 Semanggol	H	3,263	3,724	2,459	3,655	4,340	4,186	3,506	3,614	3,671	4,111
3 Semanggol	H	2,691	3,652	3,278	3,636	4,104	3,479	2,116	3,059	3,639	3,700
							<u>3,425</u>				<u>3,438</u>
4 Selinsing	G	3,119	2,969	3,813	2,739	3,404	3,300	3,656	3,608	3,244	2,693
5 Selinsing	G	2,593	3,680	2,978	2,129	3,183	3,417	4,108	3,586	2,737	3,437
6 Selinsing	G	2,944	3,741	3,293	3,042	3,436	3,160	2,996	2,686	3,187	4,200
							<u>3,163</u>				<u>3,345</u>
7 B. Serai	F	3,600	3,139	1,788	1,829	2,552	2,755	3,889	2,942	1,666	2,479
8 B. Serai	F	2,481	3,267	3,650	3,201	3,719	3,511	2,579	3,442	2,449	3,565
9 B. Serai	F	2,581	2,293	2,835	2,105	2,982	1,852	2,540	1,936	1,643	2,986
							<u>2,786</u>				<u>2,676</u>
10 Beriah	E	3,175	3,069	2,785	2,466	2,436	2,160	3,730	2,211	2,709	2,855
11 Beriah	E	2,778	3,757	2,039	2,818	2,811	2,213	3,997	2,459	2,348	3,244
12 B. Serai	E	2,089	3,131	3,098	3,557	3,167	2,849	4,053	2,563	1,239	2,768
							<u>2,800</u>				<u>2,818</u>
13 B. Serai	D	1,158	2,731	2,278	2,624	2,899	2,911	3,342	2,444	307	3,041
14 K. Kurau	D	1,012	2,299	1,890		2,662	2,211	2,312	1,809		2,287
15 K. Kurau	D		3,550			4,382	4,851	4,662	2,716		
16 BT/PB	D	921	2,009	1,795	759	2,291	3,106	2,376	1,536	1,296	1,947
							<u>2,417</u>				<u>2,313</u>
17 K. Kurau	C		2,341			2,840	3,500	2,567	2,728	3,703	1,240
18 K. Kurau	C		3,275			2,693	4,315	4,293	3,223	4,054	3,026
19 T. Piandang	C		3,997			4,720	5,160	4,869	4,555	4,467	3,997
20 T. Piandang	C		3,788			4,155	4,070	5,464	4,431	4,100	3,757
							<u>3,878</u>				<u>3,868</u>
21 T. Piandang	B		4,331			4,267		2,938	4,565	5,039	3,512
22 BT/PB	B	2,737	3,756		3,841	3,663	4,074	2,998	4,352	4,032	5,005
23 BT/PB	B	2,394	3,479		3,074	2,926	3,799	3,924	4,005	2,900	4,205
24 BT/PB	B	1,949	2,852		2,722	3,098	2,984	3,471	3,467	1,913	3,113
25 BT/PB	B	2,750	3,832		3,030	3,300	4,534	3,708	3,441	2,205	4,301
26 BT/PB	B	2,371	3,084		3,240	2,995	2,702	2,407	3,099	1,659	3,002
							<u>3,240</u>				<u>3,511</u>
27 Sg. Acheh	A	1,733	4,499		4,079	3,137	2,568	1,929	4,197	4,236	4,216
28 Sg. Acheh	A	1,766	3,517		4,169		3,671	1,583	4,487		3,882
							<u>3,238</u>				<u>3,504</u>
Kerian		2,392	3,327	2,748	3,109	3,400	3,282	3,292	3,311	2,491	3,766
											3,144

Source: IADP Kerian

Table IV-12 Results of Paddy Production Survey in Ketara (Besut)

ISU	(Unit: Wet kg/ha)				
	Main season			Off season	
	94/95	95/96	96/97	95	96
Compartment 1	4,118	5,508	4,003	4,134	5,108
1 Pulau Panjang	4,730	5,175	4,569	4,410	4,900
2 Telaga Nibong	4,312	5,680	3,430	4,275	5,250
3 Kayu Kelat	4,060	5,550		3,890	5,300
4 Pulau Ribu	4,420		2,573	3,210	
5 Lubuk Agu	3,060	4,900		3,810	4,700
6 Lubuk Kawah	4,115	5,900	5,734	4,170	5,200
7 Kubang Depu	3,940	5,845	6,025	4,380	5,300
8 Gong Kulim	4,310		1,688	4,930	
Compartment 2	4,204	5,457	5,126	3,808	4,981
9 Padang Baloh	4,266	5,483	4,297	4,005	5,200
10 Awek	4,210	4,890	4,961	3,560	4,700
11 RS	3,945	5,860	6,756	3,845	5,050
12 ST	4,393	5,595	4,490	3,823	4,975
13 Sri Masin					
Compartment 3	4,447	5,209	5,035	4,125	5,027
14 MN	4,097	5,425	6,163	4,235	5,100
15 NO	4,955	5,630	4,744	4,200	
16 OOI	4,745	4,733	5,670	4,010	4,900
17 PPI	4,151	5,547		3,710	5,057
18 QQ1	4,275	5,575	3,565	4,215	5,050
19 Q2a	4,580		5,174	4,380	
20 Q2b	4,580	4,410	4,893		
21 Q2c	4,195	5,140			
Compartment 4	4,336	5,472	4,539	4,098	5,108
22 MM1	4,195	5,810		4,390	5,050
23 M1a	4,183	5,410	3,822	3,790	5,450
24 M1b	4,340	5,610	4,758	4,360	4,900
25 GH	4,365		5,381	4,420	
26 III	4,493	5,740	5,376	4,253	4,916
27 Kuala Kenak		4,560		3,560	
28 IJKL	4,244	5,465	3,356	4,070	4,882
29 FG	4,330			4,420	
30 TG	4,535	5,710		3,620	5,450
Besut Scheme	4,287	5,402	4,639	4,072	5,067

Note:

1. The source of the data from crop cutting survey carried out by the DOA.
2. Vacants indicate that paddy was not planted on the plot chosen
3. This data prepared by Agriculture Component, IADP KETARA.
4. Average Yield of Wet Paddy

Table IV-13 Results of Paddy Production Survey in Pulau Pinang

No.	Block	Main season				Off season				(Unit: Wet kg/ha)
		94/95	95/96	96/97	Ave.	94	95	96	Ave.	
Sungai Muda										
1 M1		3,245	5,389	5,396	4,677	3,499	4,533		4,016	
2 M2		3,337	4,540	2,580	3,486	3,893	2,416		3,154	
3 M3		3,715	5,106	2,536	3,786	4,404			4,404	
4 M4			4,151	5,094	4,623	2,913	4,774		3,844	
5 M5		3,368	4,550	4,451	4,123	2,212	3,515		2,863	
6 M6		2,363	3,949	2,596	2,969	1,913			1,913	
Pinang Tunggal										
1 P1		3,612	6,089	4,183	4,628		4,287		4,287	
2 P2		3,612	2,791	3,259	3,221		3,660		3,660	
Sungai Kulim										
1 K1			3,497		3,497	2,500			2,500	
2 K2		4,044	3,083	3,917	3,681	3,500	3,892		3,696	
3 K3		4,685	5,439	3,900	4,675	4,000	4,676		4,338	
4 K4		4,170	4,662	4,017	4,283	3,000	3,067		3,033	
Sungai Jarak										
1 Potok Tampang		2,016			2,016		3,742		3,742	
2 Padang Menora		2,016			2,016		3,475		3,475	
Pulau Pinang		3,615	4,437	3,812		3,183	3,869			

Source: IADP Pulau Pinang, DOA Pulau Pinang

Yield of Wet Paddy

Table IV.14 Summary of Farm Inputs in the Study Area

DAS: Days after seeding

**** 2: Government Subsidy fertilizer**

Table IV-15 Estimated Farm Inputs and Labour Requirements

(Unit: per ha)

Items	Unit	Direct Seeding							
		Plan Farming		Kerian		Sungai Mink	Seberang Perak	Besut	Kearasir Sempak
		DS	TP	exc. EUR CRA					
1. Seed Material	(kg)	80	80	25	100	100	80	50	
Fertilizer									
1. Subsidy									
NPK	(kg)	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200
Urea	(kg)	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
NPK									
2. Additional									
NPK	(kg)								
Urea									
Compost	(kg)								
2. Agrochemicals									
Insecticide	(kg/ha)		0.75	0.5					
	(lit./ha)		1	0.85	0.63		2.47	10	
	(lit./ha)				1.25		0.12		
Fungicides	(kg/ha)						19.77		
	(lit./ha)	0.1							
Herbicides	(kg/ha)		0.75	1.65		0.04	2.47	2.5	
	(lit./ha)	6	8.7	6.6	7.5	1.65	4.9		
Rat control	(lit./ha)				0.63	0.80	0.6		
	(kg/ha)	1						1	
3. Machinery (Contract)									
Tractor	(times)	3	2		3	3	2	3	
Combine	(times)	1	1		1	1	1	1	
Transport	(times)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
4. Labour									
Land Prep.	(md)			10.3			0.4 *		
Nursery	(md)			2.7					
Planting	(md)								
Direct Seeding	(md)	2.7	0.6		0.8	3.2	0.5	3.0	
Transplanting	(md)			14.5					
Replanting	(md)		3.7	1.3	2.1		2.5		
Fertilizer Application	(md)	2.3	1.6	2.3	2.1	6.5	4.6	5.0	
Chemical Application	(md)	5.4	1.8	2.0	2.3	6.9	2.4	5.4	
Manual Weeding	(md)		1.0	1.2					
Water Management	(md)	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.9	2.2	2.5	12.0	
Crop Watching	(md)								
Harvesting	(md)			21.5					
Processing	(md)								
Total	(md)	13.0	10.9	58.0	10.3	18.8	12.9	25.4	
	(mh)	104.0	86.8	464.3	82.3	150.4	103.6	203.2	

Remarks: Figures were revised and up-dated by the Consultancy Team, based on the collected data and result of field survey.

1 man-day = 8 man-hrs

*: 2W tractor

Table IV-16 Capacity of Rice Mills and Paddy Production by Scheme

Scheme	No.	Ownership	Unit Capacity (mt/hr)	Daily Capacity*1 (mt/day)	Yearly Capacity*2 (mt/year)	Paddy Production in Scheme*3 (mt/year)
Pulau Pinang	1	BERNAS	4.8	77	19,200	
	2	SWASTA*4	3.2	51	12,800	
	3	SWASTA	6.0	96	24,000	
	4	SWASTA	3.5	56	14,000	
	5	SWASTA	6.4	102	25,600	
	6	SWASTA	6.0	96	24,000	
	7	SWASTA	6.0	96	24,000	
	8	SWASTA	3.5	56	14,000	
	9	SWASTA	8.0	128	32,000	
	10	SWASTA	3.0	48	12,000	
	11	SWASTA	2.1	34	8,400	
	12	SWASTA	8.0	128	32,000	
	13	SWASTA	8.0	128	32,000	
	14	SWASTA	5.0	80	20,000	
Sub-total				1,176	294,000	58,674
Kerian	1	BERNAS	4.5	72	18,000	
	2	SWASTA	4.5	72	18,000	
	3	SWASTA	4.7	75	18,800	
	4	SWASTA	6.0	96	24,000	
	5	SWASTA	10.0	160	40,000	
	6	SWASTA	10.0	160	40,000	
	7	SWASTA	5.0	80	20,000	
	8	SWASTA	5.0	80	20,000	
	9	SWASTA	2.0	32	8,000	
	10	SWASTA	3.3	53	13,200	
Sub-total				880	220,000	112,668
Sungai Manik	1	BERNAS	5.0	80	20,000	36,808
Seberang Perak	1	BERNAS	10.0	160	40,000	58,853
Kemasin Semerak	1	BERNAS	5.0	80	20,000	19,098
Besut	1	BERNAS	5.0	80	20,000	
	2	SWASTA	1.3	21	5,250	
	3	SWASTA	1.0	16	4,000	
Sub-total				117	29,250	27,787
Total				2,493	623,250	313,888

*1: Daily working hour is assumed to be 16 hours.

*2: Annual working days is assumed to be 250 days.

*3: Paddy production in granary of 5years average from 1991-95.

*4: SWASTA; Non-BERNAS private rice millers.

Source: MOA, BERNAS

Table IV-17 Types and Conditions of Loan by BPM

1. Type of Loan

- Term Loan (for paddy, machinery & other loan)
- Revolving Credit (for other loan only)
- Trade Financing (for other loan only)
- Islamic Financing (for other loan only)

2. Interest Rate

Varies from types of project and source of fund;

- Service Charge: RM 5.00 / RM 100 loan (only for paddy loan)
- Flat Rate: 6.50% per annum (for new machinery loan)
 8.00% per annum (for 2nd hand machinery loan)
- Special Rate: 4.00% per annum calculated on yearly loan balances (for other loan)
 6.50% per annum calculated on yearly loan balances (for other loan)
- Commercial Rate 0% to 4.00% per annum above Base Lending Rate (BLR is 10.25% as of December 1997) (for other loan)

3. Conditions for Application

- Loan Amount: 100% financing or RM 350.00 per acre and the maximum loan per borrower is RM 5,000.00 (for paddy loan only)
 80% financing from the project cost (for machinery and others loan)
- Loan Period: 6 months (for paddy loan only) to 5 years (for machinery & others loan)
 Above 5 years (for other loan)
- Loan Repayment: *Mode*;
 cash, cheque, standing instruction etc.
 Installment;
 lump sum (for paddy loan only)
 monthly, quarterly, half yearly, yearly etc.
- Collateral fixed deposit, land, listed shares, 2 guarantors (for paddy loan) etc.

Table IV-18 Total Disbursement and Recovery of BPM's Credit by Loan Type

	Pulau Pinang			Kerian			Sungai Manik			Seberang Perak			Kemasiin Semerak			Besut		
	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	Recov. (RM mil)	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	Recov. (RM mil)	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	Recov. (RM mil)	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	Recov. (RM mil)	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	Recov. (RM mil)	Disburse (RM mil)	Recovery Rate (%)	
Short-term																		
1992	2.17	2.15	65	8.22	6.46	52	3.93	2.97	77	0.00	0.00	0	2.53	1.91	0.92	0.60	46	
1993	2.40	2.30	66	4.48	6.20	48	4.28	4.22	80	0.29	0.17	94	0.96	1.12	0.95	0.84	48	
1994	2.35	2.49	72	5.36	3.40	53	3.51	4.27	76	0.98	0.57	98	0.73	0.84	17	0.94	54	
1995	2.47	2.43	90	7.63	7.25	64	3.96	3.01	75	1.61	1.13	78	0.66	0.73	20	1.01	58	
1996	2.53	2.51	96	6.93	6.59	64	3.36	3.27	73	2.02	1.74	86	0.43	0.54	43	0.67	50	
Medium-term																		
1992	0.23	0.02	40	0.04	0.03	30	0.45	0.13	14	1.01	0.03	100	0.21	0.57	15	0.52	31	
1993	0.57	0.06	86	0.16	0.04	31	0.13	0.21	20	0.36	0.27	61	0.37	0.41	12	0.13	40	
1994	0.04	0.12	75	0.13	0.04	29	0.04	0.27	22	0.05	0.24	33	0.05	0.45	13	0.08	36	
1995	0.22	0.13	68	0.03	0.05	29	0.44	0.21	22	0.00	0.20	21	0.24	3.14	53	0.26	38	
1996	0.51	0.17	63	0.08	0.08	40	0.04	0.38	39	0.00	0.16	17	0.36	0.52	16	0.16	51	
Others																		
1992	7.96	2.24	26	4.62	2.93	31	5.78	3.80	49	0.93	0.28	100	43.94	44.61	67	33.82	74	
1993	5.18	3.63	35	5.16	3.03	29	3.72	5.80	56	1.18	0.64	91	36.87	37.48	62	28.41	69	
1994	7.48	4.49	36	2.16	2.93	32	3.18	4.98	53	0.46	0.79	72	23.11	24.62	47	27.94	4	
1995	20.91	6.23	42	6.09	3.81	38	4.40	4.30	46	0.86	0.89	60	41.54	42.28	63	39.88	70	
1996	18.10	9.98	51	6.40	5.60	45	6.16	5.54	54	3.33	2.79	78	48.35	49.42	67	40.94	73	
Total																		
1992	10.36	4.41	37	12.88	9.42	43	10.16	6.90	55	1.94	0.31	100	46.68	47.09	62	35.26	72	
1993	8.15	5.99	43	9.80	9.27	39	8.13	10.23	64	1.83	1.08	82	38.20	39.01	56	29.49	68	
1994	9.88	7.10	44	7.65	6.37	32	6.73	9.52	59	1.49	1.60	67	23.89	25.91	42	28.96	63	
1995	23.60	8.79	50	13.75	11.11	51	8.89	7.52	53	2.47	2.22	58	42.44	46.15	60	41.15	69	
1996	21.14	12.66	56	13.41	12.27	54	9.56	9.19	58	5.35	4.69	72	49.14	50.48	64	41.77	72	

1. Short term refers to Paddy Loan.

2. Medium term refers to Agricultural Machinery Loan.

3. Others refer to other types of loan.

4. Recovery Rate is calculated by BPM based on their formula.

Source: Agricultural Bank of Malaysia.

Table IV-19 Financing System in Malaysia relating to Paddy Production

Financing System	Purposes	Procedure	Terms & Condition	Advantages	Disadvantages
1. BPM-SPKP Credit Scheme (SPKP : Special Credit Scheme for Agriculture)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of fishermen, livestock farmers and fishermen who venture into agricultural industries 	<p>SPKP Special Committee (MOA)</p> <p>↑ BPM</p> <p>Credit Coordination Cm.</p> <p>Relevant Dep't/Agency</p> <p>↑ AFO or AFA</p>	<p>1) \leq RM10,000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Farmers, fishermen & livestock owner whose gross household income is \geq RM500/month • max. 5 yrs <p>2) $>$ RM10,000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • extra interest • max. 12 yrs <p>Agricultural Cooperatives</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • guarantee or collateral needed • long process of application • high risk on bad-debt • very thorough and strict scrutiny of application, especially for the U/C interest loan 	
2. FOA-KPP Loan Fund (KPP : Farmers' Organization Loan Fund)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to provide extension services • training facilities • to expand agricultural production projects • to provide farm supplies, farm machinery and service • to provide marketing services • to provide transport for market • to provide social services 	<p>Board</p> <p>↑ FOA MJO Financing Corp.</p> <p>NAFAS State FOA Fin Corp</p> <p>AFO SFO</p> <p>↑ Farmers' Unit Member Unit</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interest rate is 4% or 6% in certain cases • no collateral or collateral is recalled on case by case 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • limited fund available • confined to FOs and agro-based cooperatives only • using high risk on bad-debt • project cannot be implemented on schedule • long process of application for non-emergency loan • legal action against debtors is very costly, difficult and might damage FOA's image 	
3. FOA-SSPF Leasing Scheme (SSPF : Zero Interest Leasing Scheme)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to help FOs in acquiring commercial goods vehicles for boosting up its capacity and increase competitiveness 	<p>FOA Management</p> <p>↑ Agr. Industries Dev'l Div.</p> <p>State FOA</p> <p>↑ Application from FOs</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any FO that involves in viable marketing/business/agro-based industries, and having a commitment in working capital/working flow including high gearing ratio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interest • flexible payment ((1/4, 1/2, 1 year)) • option to purchase on settlement if last payment • purchase price of vehicle is lower than the dealers' • Vehicle is registered in the owner's name • no collateral claim is lodged 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • weight of vans or trucks limited to 5.5 metric ton only • limited fund available • confined to FOs faced with cash flow problems • legal action against debtors is too costly, difficult and might damage FOA's image
4. AFO Loan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to provide small amount mainly for small project • to provide credit based purchase service for farm supplies and grocery 	<p>Board of Directors</p> <p>↑ Credit & Saving Committee</p> <p>Indvl. / Group Application (AFO members, farmers, etc.)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • interest rate is 4%, usually 4% for interest for credit have purchase of farm supplies & grocery • Large amount is referred to BPM-SPKP or FOA KPP 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • speedy approval with minimum paper work • farm supplies and grocery supplies credits are available • low interest on farm supplies and grocery items • low interest on agricultural project, \leq 6% • no collateral is needed but guarantor is required 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • confined to member farmers only • taking high risk on bad-debt • affordable only small amount of credit • the fixed credit policy spelled out • legal action against debtors would damage FOA's image • certain farmers will sell their paddy to private millers without the knowledge of AFO • underestimation of prices for services provided by private entrepreneurs • AFO is obligated not to collect or it would delay in collection whenever there is crop failure or disaster. Thus the AFO cash flow will be affected. • AFO has to depend entirely on cooperation of all farmers to handover their land for implementation
5. Tanjong Karang AFO-SSLT (SSLT : Supervised Farm Credit Scheme)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • to provide farm supplies the member farmers 	<p>Board of Directors</p> <p>↑ Credit & Saving Committee</p> <p>Paddy Min-Estate Division Group of Farmers</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • farmers enjoy better yield • farmers' average annual income increased • generates other business activities such as grocery supplies, marketing, transportation, advanced technology in harvesting and ploughing applied • the system provides guaranteed market for farmers' produce • provides advances such as cash and grocery supplies farmers who are in need before harvesting 	
				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • minimum of 4% will be imposed on the production cost • management fee 	

Table IV.20 Results of the Farm Survey on Rural Credit

(1) Utilization of Credit		Yes		No		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Kemasin Semerak	6	9.4	58	90.6	64	100.0	
Ketara (Besut)	9	15.0	51	85.0	60	100.0	
Pulau Pinang	37	49.3	38	50.7	75	100.0	
Seberang Perak	29	35.4	53	64.6	82	100.0	
Kerian / Sungai Manik	78	39.0	122	61.0	200	100.0	
Sb. Perak (share system)	1	5.6	17	94.4	18	100.0	
Overall	160	32.1	339	67.9	499	100.0	

(2) Source of Credit		PPK		Coop		Middlemen		Friend		Relatives		Others		Total		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Kemasin Semerak	5	83.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	11	16.7	0	0.0	6	100.0		
Ketara (Besut)	4	44.4	4	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	11.1	9	100.0		
Pulau Pinang	35	94.6	2	5.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	37	100.0		
Seberang Perak	23	79.3	1	3.4	1	3.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	13.8		
Kerian / Sungai Manik	61	75.3	13	16.0	1	1.2	2	2.5	1	1.2	2	2.5	1	1.2		
Sb. Perak (share system)	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0		
Overall	129	79.1	20	12.3	2	1.2	2	1.2	1	0.6	3	1.8	6	3.7		
													163	100.0		

(3) Problems in Obtaining Institutional Credit		Complicated Procedure		Long Time for Realization		High Interest Rate		Others		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Kemasin Semerak	2	11.1	2	11.1	1	5.6	13	72.2	18	100.0	
Ketara (Besut)	11	37.9	10	34.5	1	3.4	7	24.1	29	100.0	
Pulau Pinang	2	15.4	1	7.7	2	15.4	8	61.5	13	100.0	
Seberang Perak	2	22.2	4	44.4	2	22.2	1	11.1	9	100.0	
Kerian / Sungai Manik	19	30.2	19	30.2	13	20.6	12	19.0	63	100.0	
Sb. Perak (share system)	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	
Overall	37	27.6	36	26.9	20	14.9	41	30.6	134	100.0	

Table IV-21 Crop Budget for Each Granary Area

Gross Income	Pulau Pinang			Ketam			Sungai Mank			Seberang Perak			Kenmin Semerak			Result			Changkat Long				
	Unit	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	Qty	Price	Cost	
I. Paddy production	kg	3,090	0.7442	2,299.6	2,990	0.7442	2,235	2,990	0.7442	2,225	3,320	0.7442	2,619.6	2,770	0.7442	2,061	3,460	0.7442	2,574.9	7,952	0.7442	5,918	
Production Cost																							
I. Material Cost																							
1) Seed	kg	80	1.0	80	100	1.0	100	100	1.0	100	100	1.0	100	60	1.0	60	50	1.0	50	100	1.0	100	
2-1) Fertilizer (Subsidised)	kg	200	0.48	96	150	0.48	120	200	0.48	96	200	0.48	96	200	0.48	96	200	0.48	96	200	0.48	96	
17.5:15.5:10	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
35:31:20	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
12:12:17	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
15:15:15	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
Urea	kg	100	0.54	54	40	0.54	21.6	100	0.54	54	100	0.54	54	120	0.54	64.8	75	0.54	40.5	100	0.54	54	
2-2) Fertilizer (Non-Subsidised)																							
16:16:16	kg	150	0.48	72	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
15:15:15	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
12:9:22.3	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
Urea	kg	0.54	0	0	0.54	0	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0.54	0	0	
Bahan Makmur	kg	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0.48	0	0	
3) Agro-chemical																							
Pesticide	lit	41	92.1	3,883.2	103.1	90	90	86.8	90	90	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	
Weedicide	lit	41	92.1	3,883.2	103.1	90	90	86.8	90	90	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	
II. Labor Cost																							
Land preparation	md	2.5	20	50	20.0	0	2.12	144	20.0	0	20.0	0	20.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	
Sowing	md	0.1	20	2	0.6	20.0	12	0.6	20.0	12.5	0.6	20.0	12	3	12.0	36	3.3	12.0	39.6	0.6	20.0	12	
Replacing	md	2.5	20	50	3.7	20.0	74	20.0	74	0	20.0	0	20.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	20.0	0	
Fertilizer Application	md	3.7	20	74	1.6	20.0	32	1.9	20.0	37.5	1.9	20.0	38	5	12.0	60	8	12.0	96	3.55	20.0	71	
Chemical Application	md	2.4	20	48	2.8	20.0	55	2.5	20.0	50	2.5	20.0	50	14	12.0	168	7.5	12.0	90	4.25	20.0	85	
Irrigation	md	2.2	20	44	2.2	20.0	44	2.2	20.0	44	2.2	20.0	44	12.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	12.0	0	20.0	0
III. Machinery																							
Tractor	times	2	199	185	181.25	181.25	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	
Sprayer	hrs																						
Combine	times	1	249	1	445	2.99	53.0	445	3.52	53.0	524	53	60	31.8	40.7	6.0	244.24	7.95	45.0	1,184*			
Transport	times	1	56	41	1.2	49.2	2.99	24.8	74.152	3.52	24.8	87.296	53	0.4	21.2	3.46	30.0	103.8	7.95	35.0	278.31		
IV. Miscellaneous																							
Net Return																							
With Subsidized Fertilizer		1,165	1,006	972	1,006	886	886	1,006	886	886	1,006	886	886	1,006	886	1,006	886	1,006	886	1,006	886	1,006	
Without Subsidized Fertilizer		1,015																					

Table IV-22 Estimated Fixed Cost and the Disbursement Schedule

(1) Estimated Fixed Cost				(RM)
Definition	Quantity (ha)	Unit Cost	Total	
Infrastructure				
1. Surveying	1,000	504	504,146	
2. Designing of all infrastructure	1,000	336	336,098	
3. Machine mobilization	1,000	157	157,413	
4. Const'n of drain. & irrig. canal	1,000	1,578	1,578,382	
5. Const'n of culv. & water cont'l struc.	1,000	631	630,715	
6. Cutting and clearing	1,000	2,155	2,154,854	
7. Leveling of land	1,000	2,103	2,102,737	
8. Road construction	1,000	1,787	1,786,848	
9. Infrastructure maintenance	1,000	1,261	1,261,430	10,512,622
Machinery and Equipment	(Unit)			
1. Tractors	6	85,088	510,528	
2. Trailer	2	10,636	21,272	
3. Harvesting machine	1	106,360	106,360	
4. Office building	1	127,632	127,632	
5. Store	1	21,272	21,272	
6. Pump house	1	300,000	300,000	1,087,064
Total			11,599,686	11,599,686

(2) Disbursement Schedule				(RM)
Definition	Disbursement Schedule			Replacement Cost Useful Life Cost (RM)
	Year 0	Year 1	Year 2	
Infrastructure*1				
1. Surveying	504,146			504,146
2. Designing of all infrastructure	336,098			336,098
3. Machine mobilization	59,030	59,030	39,353	157,413
4. Const'n of drain. & irrig. canal	591,893	591,893	394,596	1,578,382
5. Const'n of culv. & water cont'l str	236,518	236,518	157,679	630,715
6. Cutting and clearing	808,070	808,070	538,713	2,154,854
7. Leveling of land	788,526	788,526	525,684	2,102,737
8. Road construction	670,068	670,068	446,712	1,786,848
9. Infrastructure maintenance	473,036	473,036	315,357	1,261,430
Machinery and Equipment*2	4,467,386	3,627,142	2,418,095	10,512,622
1. Tractors	510,528			510,528
2. Trailer	21,272			21,272
3. Harvesting machine	106,360			106,360
4. Office building	127,632			127,632
5. Store	21,272			21,272
6. Pump house	112,500	112,500	75,000	300,000
Total	899,564	112,500	75,000	1,087,064

Table IV-23 Crop Budget of Rompin Paddy Estate

Item	Unit	Unit Price	Quantity	Cost/ha
1) Land Preparation				313
Pre planting Spraying				
Material	RM/lit	8.25	1.6	13
Labor	RM/ha	14.8	1.0	15
Ploughing				
Round 1	RM/ha	115	1.0	115
Round 2	RM/ha	85	1.0	85
Round 3	RM/ha	85	1.0	85
2) Planting				106
Seed preparation	RM/ha	0.55	1.0	1
Seed	RM/kg	1.3	70.8	91
Labour	RM/ha	14.8	1.0	15
3) Weeding				28
Round 1	RM/ha	95	1	95
Round 2	RM/ha	35	0.1	4
4) Pest and Diseases				370
Pest control - round 1&2 (Granular)				
Material	RM/kg	4.5	70	315
Labour	RM/ha	3.8	2	8
Pesticide - round 3				
Material	RM/ha	5.7	0.2	1.1
Labour	RM/ha	3.8	0.2	0.8
Pesticide - round 4				
Material	RM/ha	5.7	1	6
Labour	RM/ha	3.8	1	4
Fungicide - round 1				
Fuel	RM/ha	4.2	1	4
Material	RM/ha	15.8	1	16
Labour	RM/ha	1.25	1	1
Fungicide - round 2				
Fuel	RM/ha	4.2	0.1	0.4
Material	RM/ha	15.8	0.1	1.6
Labour	RM/ha	1.25	0.1	0.1
Rat Control				
Round 1				
Material	RM/ha	0.1	2	0.2
Labour	RM/ha	0.8	2	1.6
Round 2				
Paddy Grain	RM/ha	0.1	2	0.2
Material	RM/ha	2.7	2	5.4
Labour	RM/ha	0.8	2	1.6
5) Manuring				362
Round 1 (NPK : 40:30:30)				
Material	RM/kg	0.8	350	280.0
Labour	RM/ha	20	1	20.0
Round 2 (Urea)				
Material	RM/kg	0.7	30	21.0
Labour	RM/ha	4.7	1	4.7
Round 3 (Urea)				
Material	RM/kg	0.7	45	31.5
Labour	RM/ha	4.7	1	4.7
6) Irrigation (Irrigation)	RM/ha	32	1	32.0
7) Harvesting	RM/ha	224	1	224.0
8) Machinery Maintenance	RM/ha	15	1	15.0
9) Land Rent	RM/ha	24.71	1	24.7
Total				1,545

Table IV:2A Inflow and Outflow Statements (Case 1)

90% SOC₃ were recovered by RMD12 after two years.
Source: Li et al. (2011).

Table IV-25 Inflow and Outflow Statements (Case 2)

Table IV-26 Inflow and Outflow Statements (Case 3)

General Assumptions		Inflow Statement										Outflow Statement										
Item	Value	1 Year	2 Year	4 Year	5 Year	6 Year	7 Year	8 Year	9 Year	10 Year	11 Year	12 Year	13 Year	14 Year	15 Year	16 Year	17 Year	18 Year	19 Year	20 Year	21 Year	
Physical Area (ha)	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	
Area I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Unit Production (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Total Production	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	
2. Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Unit Production (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Total Production	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	437.5	
4. All Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Physical Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Production	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875
6. Production	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875	875
7. Sale Value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cash Flow and Financial Analysis																						
Years	0 Year	1 Year	2 Year	3 Year	4 Year	5 Year	6 Year	7 Year	8 Year	9 Year	10 Year	11 Year	12 Year	13 Year	14 Year	15 Year	16 Year	17 Year	18 Year	19 Year	20 Year	21 Year
CASH INFLOW																						
Ground production income																						
1. Area I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Planted Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Planted Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	0.875	
Planted Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4. All Area	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Physical Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6. Sale Value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CASH OUTFLOW																						
Initial Cost																						
1. Infrastructure Cost																						
- Design of all infrastructure																						
- Maritime mobilization																						
- Creation of drainage and irrigation canal																						
- Cleaning of channels																						
- Leveling of land																						
2. Road Construction																						
3. Infrastructure maintenance																						
4. Machine and equipment																						
Sustainable Capital																						
1. Land Preparation																						
2. Ploughing																						
3. Weeding																						
4. Fertilizer																						
5. Irrigation																						
6. Harvesting																						
7. Land rental																						
Other Expenses																						
1. Sanitation																						
2. Insurance Premium																						
3. Management*																						
4. Housing Allowance																						
5. KNSP (operational funds)*																						
6. KNSP (construction funds)*																						
KNSP Capital Expenditure																						
Net Profit																						
Net Profit Margin																						
* Management cost increase by KARIMERDAN.																						
** KNSP cost increase by RM 1.00 per unit.																						
*** SUCID 12% increase by RM 1.00 per unit.																						
Source: LAPP Pahang Sdn. Bhd.																						

Table IV-27 Inflow and Outflow Statements (Case 4)

卷之三

O. S. SIEGMUND

CASH FLOW FROM INVESTMENT		CASH FLOW FROM FINANCING		CASH FLOW FROM OPERATIONS	
1. Infrastructure Cost					
• Supplying					
- Delays in all infrastructure					
- Actual time methodologies					
- Capital of crude oil and investment channel					
• Growth of culture					
• A wireless network					
• Cultural and educational					
• Learning of land					
• Future Connection					
• Infrastructure maintenance					
2. Maintenance and equipment					
• Vehicles Cost					
- Land performance					
- 1. Land performance					
- 2. Performance					
- 3. Worksite					
- Power Del					
- Remodel					
- Irrigation					
- Harvesting					
- K. Machine maintenance					
- V. Land rental					
- Other Expenses					
- Staff Related					
- Insurance Premiums					
- Management					
- G. Housing Allowances					
- H. APSW (Corporate Fund)***					
3. Net Cash Flow from the Project	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410
4. Net Cash Flow from the Project	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410	1,079,205,410

- Management can increase my KPIs/Revenue,
 - o e.g. KPIs can increase by RM1.35/year,
 - o e.g. SOCSD cost increases by RM11.2 every two years.
- KPIs can be used to monitor performance.

Table IV-28 Inflow and Outflow Statements (Case 5)

General Assumptions		Capital Expenditure Cost												Operating and Maintenance Expenses												
Item	Value	1 Year	2 Year	3 Year	4 Year	5 Year	6 Year	7 Year	8 Year	9 Year	10 Year	11 Year	12 Year	13 Year	14 Year	15 Year	16 Year	17 Year	18 Year	19 Year	20 Year	21 Year				
Physical Area (ha)	0	300	600	900	1,200	1,500	1,800	2,100	2,400	2,700	3,000	3,300	3,600	3,900	4,200	4,500	4,800	5,100	5,400	5,700	6,000	6,300	6,600	6,900		
Area I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Customer Territory	2000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000		
Planned Area (ha)	2	200	400	600	800	1,000	1,200	1,400	1,600	1,800	2,000	2,200	2,400	2,600	2,800	3,000	3,200	3,400	3,600	3,800	4,000	4,200	4,400	4,600	4,800	
Area I	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Production	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000		
2. Area II	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Planned Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Area III	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. Total above are given of clean supply.																										
5. Total above are given of clean supply.																										
Capital Flow and Financial Analysis		1 Year	2 Year	3 Year	4 Year	5 Year	6 Year	7 Year	8 Year	9 Year	10 Year	11 Year	12 Year	13 Year	14 Year	15 Year	16 Year	17 Year	18 Year	19 Year	20 Year	21 Year	22 Year	23 Year	24 Year	
Item	Value	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW	CASH IN/OUT FLOW		
Estimated production revenue																										
1. Area I	0	2,500	5,000	7,500	10,000	12,500	15,000	17,500	20,000	22,500	25,000	27,500	30,000	32,500	35,000	37,500	40,000	42,500	45,000	47,500	50,000	52,500	55,000	57,500	60,000	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Planned Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2. Area II	0	2,500	5,000	7,500	10,000	12,500	15,000	17,500	20,000	22,500	25,000	27,500	30,000	32,500	35,000	37,500	40,000	42,500	45,000	47,500	50,000	52,500	55,000	57,500	60,000	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Planned Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3. Area III	0	2,500	5,000	7,500	10,000	12,500	15,000	17,500	20,000	22,500	25,000	27,500	30,000	32,500	35,000	37,500	40,000	42,500	45,000	47,500	50,000	52,500	55,000	57,500	60,000	
Unit Yield (t/ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Planned Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
4. Total Area (ha)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5. Total Production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Project	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6. Sales Value	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Gross Output Flow		Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost	Land Cost
1. Infrastructure Cost	50,146	100,292	150,438	200,584	250,730	300,876	350,022	400,168	450,314	500,460	550,606	600,752	650,898	700,104	750,150	800,206	850,262	900,318	950,374	100,430	105,486	110,542	115,598	120,654	125,710	130,766
- Design of all infrastructure	30,000	60,000	90,000	120,000	150,000	180,000	210,000	240,000	270,000	300,000	330,000	360,000	390,000	420,000	450,000	480,000	510,000	540,000	570,000	600,000	630,000	660,000	690,000	720,000	750,000	
- Machine installation	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Owner's office	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Warehousing	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Cleaning and cleaning	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Land leveling	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Leveling of land	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Road Construction	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,000	80,000	90,000	100,000	110,000	120,000	130,000	140,000	150,000	160,000	170,000	180,000	190,000	200,000	210,000	220,000	230,000	240,000	250,000	
- Infrastructure maintenance	10,000	20,000	30,000	40,000	50,000	60,000	70,																			

Table IV-29 Proposed Cropping Intensity based on the Proposed Cropping Pattern

IADP	Irr. area (ha)	Pl/Seed		Main		Pl/Seed		Off		Pl/Seed		Total	
		Method	Area	%	Method	Area	%	Method	Area	%	Method	Area	%
Pulau Pinang	9,601	WD	9,601	100.0%	WD	9,601	100.0%	WD	19,202	200.0%			
		DD			DD			DD			DD		0
		Sub-Total	9,601	100.0%	Sub-Total	9,601	100.0%	Sub-Total	19,202	200.0%			
Kerian	23,560	WD	19,555	83.0%	WD	0	0.0%	WD	19,555	83.0%			
		DD	4,005	17.0%	DD	23,560	100.0%	DD	27,565	117.0%			
		Sub-Total	23,560	100.0%	Sub-Total	23,560	100.0%	Sub-Total	47,120	200.0%			
Sungai Manik	6,318	WD	6,318	100.0%	WD	6,318	100.0%	WD	12,636	200.0%			
		DD			DD			DD			DD		
		Sub-Total	6,318	100.0%	Sub-Total	6,318	100.0%	Sub-Total	12,636	200.0%			
Seberang Perak	8,708	WD	8,708	100.0%	WD	8,708	100.0%	WD	17,416	200.0%			
		DD			DD			DD			DD		
		Sub-Total	8,708	100.0%	Sub-Total	8,708	100.0%	Sub-Total	17,416	200.0%			
Kemasin/Semerak	6,895	WD	6,895	100.0%	WD	6,895	100.0%	WD	13,790	200.0%			
		DD			DD			DD			DD		
		Sub-Total	6,895	100.0%	Sub-Total	6,895	100.0%	Sub-Total	13,790	200.0%			
Ketara (Besut)	5,164	WD	5,164	100.0%	WD	3,098	60.0%	WD	8,262	160.0%			
		DD			DD	775	15.0%	DD	775	15.0%			
		Sub-Total	5,164	100.0%	Sub-Total	3,873	75.0%	Sub-Total	8,262	175.0%			
5 Granaries (Study Area)	60,246	WD	56,241	93.4%	WD	34,620	57.5%	WD	90,861	150.8%			
		DD	4,005	6.6%	DD	24,335	40.4%	DD	28,340	47.0%			
		Sub-Total	60,246	100.0%	Sub-Total	58,955	97.9%	Sub-Total	119,201	197.9%			

WD: Wet Direct Seeding

DD: Dry Direct Seeding (germination depend on irrigation waer)

Table IV-30 Proposed Farming Practices

Activities	Kind and Amount of Inputs		(Unit: ha)	
	Machinery and Implements	Man-hr	Wet	Dry
I. Land preparation				
Wet direct seeding				
1 1st Rotavation	Tractor + Rotary	1 round	2.0	
2 2nd Rotavation	Tractor + Rotary	1 round	1.8	
3 Puddling	Tractor + Paddy Harrow	1 round	1.4	
Dry direct seeding				
1 1st Rotavation	Tractor + Rotary	1 round	1.9	
2 2nd Rotavation	Tractor + Rotary	1 round	1.7	
II. Seeding				
Wet Seeding	Seed rate Tractor + Power Blower/Granule applicator or Broadcaster	60 - 80kg/ha	1.0	
Dry Seeding	Tractor + Power Blower/Granule applicator or Broadcaster		1.0	
	Pressing	Tractor + Roter bucket/Land Roller		1.0
III. Fertilizing				
Lime or MgO Application (if necessary)	Tractor + Lime sower		2.0	2.0
Lime		2.5ton/ha		
MgO		130kg/ha		
1 1st Top dressing	Tractor + Granule applicator/Power Brower or Broadcaster	1.2	1.2	
2 2nd Top dressing		1.2	1.2	
3 3rd Top dressing		1.2	1.2	
Fertilizer	N P2O5:K2O=100 - 120:30-50:30-40 Subsidy: Mixture 200kg, Urea 100kg Additional: Mix: 100kg Urea 40kg			
IV. Pest and Weed control				
1 Weed control				
Wet direct seeding	Tractor + Granule Applicator, Carpet Duster, Boom Sprayer			
2 times		2.4		
Dinelpiperate/Bensulfuron-methyl (Yukamate/Push)		30-40kg/ha		
2,4PA (2,4-D amine)		30-45kg/ha		
Dry direct seeding	Tractor + Granule Applicator, Carpet Duster, Boom Sprayer		3.1	
3 times				
Thiobencarb (Saturn)		6000-12000ml/ha		
Thiobencarb (Saturn)		30-40kg/ha		
2,4PA (2,4-D amine)		30-45kg/ha		
2 Pest Control	Tractor + Granule Applicator, Carpet Duster, Boom Sprayer			
2 times				
BPMC (fenobucarb)		30kg/ha	1.9	1.9
Buprofezin		600cc/ha		
3 Rat control	Tractor			
2 times	Drot	250ml/ha	1.3	1.3
V. Harvesting				
1 Harvesting	Combinharvester with chopper		1.0	1.0
2 Transportation	Lorry			
VI. Preparatory Work				
VII. Others				
Total			30.4	30.3

Table IV-31 Number of Machineries in Kerian

Block	Ownership	Harvestor	(Unit: numbers)	
			4W Tractor	2W Tractor
A PPK/FMC				
Private				
Ahli		1	1	
B PPK/FMC				
Private				
Ahli		4	4	5
C PPK/FMC				
Private		3	10	
Ahli			3	
D PPK/FMC				
Private				
Ahli		2	9	1
E PPK/FMC				
Private		3	14	5
Ahli				
F PPK/FMC				
Private		47	38	
Ahli			22	
G PPK/FMC			12	
Private		3	68	
Ahli				
H PPK/FMC		4	8	
Private				
Ahli				
Total		67	189	11

Source: PPK Kerian

Ahli: Contractor

Table IV-32 Estimated Working Efficiency of Mechanization Farm Works

Working Efficiency of Land Preparation

Dry Direct Seeding

Operation	Implement *1	Working width (m)	Working rate (ha/hour)	Working rate (ha/day)
First tillage	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.54	4.32
Second tillage	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.58	4.64
Seeding	Drillseeder	2.0	1.00	8.00
Pressing	Rear bucket	2.0	1.04	8.32

*1: All implements are mounted on tractor

Recent advances in Malaysian Rice Production

Wet Direct Seeding

Machine	Operation	Implement *1	Working width (m)	Working rate (ha/hour)	Working rate (ha/day)
Tractor	First tillage *1	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.50	4.00
	Second tillage *1	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.55	4.40
	Puddling *2	Paddy Harrow	2.5	0.70	5.60
Power tiller *3	First tillage	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.15	1.20
	Second tillage	Rotary tiller	1.6	0.18	1.44
	Puddling	Rotary tiller	2.0	0.28	2.24

*1: Using rotary tiller, Howard AR60

*2: Using wide rotary tiller, Nipro HB2500B

*3: Result by field work survey of 10 cases

Recent advances in Malaysian Rice Production

Working Efficiency of Management Work

Machice	Implement *1	Working width (m)	Inputs	Working rate (ha/hour)	(Working rate) ha/day
Light Weight Tractor for Management					
1. MGA *1		10.0	fertilizer, seed and Chemical	1.54	12.31
2. Boom sprayer		10.0	fertilizer and Chemical (liquid)	1.54	12.31
3. Carpet Duster		100.0	fertilizer, seed and Chemical	3.03	24.24
4. Jet Broad cester on Turn Table *2		50.0	fertilizer and seed	3.33	26.67
		25.0	chemical	1.54	12.31

*1 MGA: Multipurpose Granule Applicator

*2: reference data

Combine harvester

7.5 - 8.0 ha/day

Table IV-33 (1/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment A, B and C) (under Wet Direct Seeding)

		Days																													
		Jan			Feb			Mar			Apr			May			June			July			Aug			Sept			Oct		
		1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
(i) 364.00																															
41																															
Main Out		10.114.00	100.0%	0.0%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	28.4%	
Farm Works																															
Land Preparation																															
B. Lime Application		60hp	LS																												
B. 1st Rehabilitation		60hp	RT																												
B. 2nd Rehabilitation		60hp	RT																												
W. Pudding		60hp	PH																												
W. Seeding		20hp	G/ABC																												
D. Seeding																															
D. Dressing																															
Fertilizer Application																															
1st Top Dressing		20hp	G/ABC																												
2nd Top Dressing		20hp	G/ABC																												
3rd Top Dressing		20hp	G/ABC																												
Weed Control																															
1st Application		20hp	ES																												
2nd Application		20hp	CD																												
3rd Application		20hp	G/ABC																												
Pest control																															
1st Application		20hp	CD																												
2nd Application		20hp	RS																												
Harvesting																															
Harrowing																															
Lorry																															
Cap.																															
1m-Delay		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2m-Delay		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3m-Delay		45°	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
H																															
Lime Spreader (LS)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rearractor (RO)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Paddy Harrow (Ph)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rear Bucket (RB)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grain/ Application/ Broadcast (G/ABC)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Bush Sprayer (BS)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cultivator (CD)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table IV-33 (2/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment A, B and C) (under Dry Direct Seeding)

Area (ha)	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.		
													1	2
Main Off	101.14.00	0.00%	100.00%	2014.42%	250								1	2
Perim. Works													1	2
Land Preparation													0.00	0.00
B. Line Application	50hp LS	X	21.07 ac/14.45 ac/21.07										0.00	0.00
D. In Rodation	60hp RT	4	42.61 ac/25.76 ac/42.61										0.00	0.00
B. 2nd Rodation	60hp RT	4	76.62 ac/76.62 ac/76.62										0.00	0.00
W. Puddling	60hp PH	6												
W. Seeding	75hp G/ARC	12												
D. Seeding	20hp G/ARC	12	15.70 ac/19.20 ac/13.70										0.00	0.00
D. Pressing	50hp RB	8	20.46 ac/40.52 ac/20.26										0.00	0.00
Fertilizer Application													0.00	0.00
1st Top Dressing	20hp G/BC	12	27.30 ac/39.27 ac/27.30										0.00	0.00
2nd Top Dressing	20hp G/BC	12	11.91 ac/13.91 ac/13.91										0.00	0.00
3rd Top Dressing	20hp G/BC	12	13.76 ac/27.39 ac/13.70										0.00	0.00
Wind control													0.00	0.00
1st Application	20hp RS	12	13.70 ac/39.27 ac/13.70										0.00	0.00
2nd Application	20hp CD	24											0.00	0.00
3rd Application	20hp G/ARC	12											0.00	0.00
Pest Control													0.00	0.00
1st Application	20hp CD	24											0.00	0.00
2nd Application	20hp RS	12											0.00	0.00
Harvesting													0.00	0.00
Harvester	CH	7	0.00											
Cart														
Land Sower (LS)	0	21	42 ac/21 ac/0										0	0
Rotavator (RO)	0	42	14 ac/14 ac/14 ac										0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0 ac/0 ac/0 ac										0	0
Raw Buctel (RB)	0	0	0 ac/20 ac/41 ac										0	0
Grainule Application/Straw Center (G/ABC)	0	0	0 ac/27 ac/55 ac										0	0
Bucket Spreader (BS)	0	0	0 ac/0 ac/14 ac										0	0
Catch Doctor (CD)	0	0	0 ac/0 ac/0 ac										0	0

Table IV-33 (3/II) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment D, E and F) (under Wet Direct Seeding)

Firm Works	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	New			
								1	2	3	1
Main Off	5,203.00 100.0%	200.00 0.0%	0.00 0.0%	0.00 0.0%	0.00 0.0%	0.00 0.0%	0.00 0.0%	10.86	21.88	21.88	10.86
H. Lime Application	N/Op	LS	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	21.68	45.56	45.56	21.68
B. 1st Rehabilitation	N/Op	KTC	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	39.42	19.52	19.52	39.42
B. 2nd Rehabilitation	N/Op	KTC	4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.97	30.97	30.97	30.97
W. Puddling	N/Op	PH	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	44.09	44.09	7.05
W. Sodding	S/Op	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
D. Sowing	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
D. Pressing	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
Fertilizer Application	200hp	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
1st. Tint Dressing	200hp	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
2nd Tint Dressing	200hp	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
3rd Tint Dressing	200hp	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.09	14.09	14.09	14.09
Weed control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.58	7.15	7.15	3.58
1st Application	200hp	GS	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	14.09	14.09	7.05
2nd Application	200hp	CD	3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	14.09	14.09	7.05
3rd Application	200hp	GA/IC	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	14.09	14.09	7.05
Pest control	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.58	7.15	7.15	3.58
1st Application	200hp	CD	24	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	14.09	14.09	7.05
2nd Application	200hp	GS	12	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7.05	14.09	14.09	7.05
Harvesting	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Harrower	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Land Survey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
(in-day)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(in-day)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
200hp	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lime Spreader (LS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rubber Tyre (RT)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rear Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grain Auger/Breakdown (GA/IC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Brown Sprayer (BS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cultivator Discer (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV-33 (4/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment D, E and F) (under Dry Direct Seeding)

Table IV-33 (5/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment G and H) (under Wet Seeding)

4.703.00	Main Off	4.143.00 100.0%	4.143.00 0.0%	4.703.00	Main Off	4.143.00 100.0%	4.143.00 0.0%	Days																	
								Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug			
Farm Works																									
Landscape Preparation				LS	X																				
B. Lime Application	10hp	10hp	10hp	KT		0.00	0.00	0.00																	
B. 1st Rounding	10hp	10hp	10hp	KT		0.00	0.00	0.00																	
B. 2nd Rounding	10hp	10hp	10hp	KT		0.00	0.00	0.00																	
W. Ploughing	10hp	10hp	10hp	PH		0.00	0.00	0.00																	
W. Seeding	10hp	10hp	10hp	GAVBC		0.00	0.00	0.00																	
D. Nesting																									
D. Plowing																									
Fertilizer Application																									
1st Top Dressing	20hp	GA/BC	12			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
2nd Top Dressing	20hp	GA/BC	12			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
3rd Top Dressing	20hp	GA/BC	12			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
Weed Control																									
1st Application	20hp	BS	0			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
2nd Application	20hp	CD	2			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
3rd Application	20hp	GA/BC	12			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
Pest control																									
1st Application	20hp	CD	2			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
2nd Application	20hp	BS	12			0.00	0.00	0.00																	
Harvesting																									
Harvester																									
Lorry																									
Wp																									
(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	(m-Unit)	
H																									
Lime Sprayer (LS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ketavau (RC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Kerb Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Grain Applicator/Breaker (GAVBC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Barn Sprayer (BS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Cult. Driver (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table IV-33 (6/1) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Compartment G and H) (under Dry Seeding)

Table IV-33 (7/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerion

Table IV-33 (8/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Organic Soil Area in Compartment A, B and C) (under Dry Direct Seeding)

Firm Works	Area (ha)	Main Off	100.0%	250	Kerian												
					Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June	July	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Landscape Preparation																	
B. Line Application	30hp	LS	6	0.60	1.30	1.30	0.60							0.60	1.30	1.30	0.60
B. LS. RotoTiller	30hp	RT	1	1.30	2.70	2.70	1.30							1.30	2.70	2.70	1.30
B. 2nd Rehabilitation	30hp	RT	1		2.50	2.50	2.50							2.50	2.50	2.50	
W. Ploughing	20hp	PH	4														
W. Seeding	20hp	GA/BC	12		0.30	0.60	0.60	0.30						0.30	0.60	0.60	0.30
D. Seeding	20hp	GA/DC	12		0.30	0.60	0.60	0.30						0.30	0.60	0.60	0.30
D. Dressing	30hp	RB	6		0.60	1.40	1.40	0.60						0.60	1.40	1.40	0.60
Fertiliser Application																	
1st Top Dressing	30hp	GA/DC	12		0.60	0.60	0.60							0.60	0.60	0.60	
2nd Top Dressing	30hp	GA/AC	12											0.60	0.60	0.60	
Not Top Dressing	20hp	GA/BC	12											0.60	0.60	0.60	
Weed control																	
1st Application	20hp	BS	12		0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14						0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14
2nd Application	20hp	CD	12		0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14						0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14
3rd Application	20hp	GA/BC	12		0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14						0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14
Pest control																	
1st Application	20hp	CD	24		0.7	0.40	0.40	0.17						0.17	0.34	0.34	0.17
2nd Application	20hp	BS	12											0.14	0.34	0.34	0.14
Harrowing																	
Harvester	12hp		6	1.48													
Loam																	
Max.																	
(m-d/day)	0 - 2	4	71	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(m-d/day)	0	0	0	1	3	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(m-d/day)	1	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H																	
Lime Sower (LS)	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rotovator (RO)	0	1	3	5	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Row Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grain Application/Husk Turner (GA/BC)	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Soil Spreader (BS)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cartel Duster (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV-33 (9/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Organic Soil Area in Compartment D, E and F) (under Dry Direct Seeding)

Area (ha)	Main Off	100% Manure	100% Off	1,000	Month												Man	Cult.	Sowing	Manure	Manure	
					Jun	July	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May						
Farm Works																						
Land Preparation																						
B. Lime application	300m	LS	6																			
B. I.R. Preparation	300m	PT	7																			
B. 2nd Reakation	300D	RT	3																			
W. Pudding	300m	PR	4																			
W. Seeding	200m	G/ABC	12																			
D. Seeding	200m	G/ABC	12																			
D. Preseeding	100m	RB	6																			
Manure Application																						
1st Top Dressing	200m	G/ABC	12																			
2nd Top Dressing	200m	G/ABC	12																			
3rd Top Dressing	200m	G/ABC	12																			
Weed control																						
1st. I.A. Application	200m	BS	12																			
2nd Application	200m	CD	12																			
3rd Application	200m	G/ABC	12																			
Pest control																						
1st. Application	200m	CD	12																			
2nd Application	200m	BS	12																			
Harrowing																						
Harvester	CL	6	12(10)(10)(10)																			
Lorry																						
(middle)																						
100		0	0	27	51	96	76	49	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	53	46	76	49	0
200		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
H	(middle)	451	105	191	310	510	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	101	191	61	0	0	0
Lime Spreader (LS)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rubber Tyre (RT)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rope Bucket (RB)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grainule Application/Breaker (GABC)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Human Spreader (BS)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cartel Dumper (CD)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV-33 (10/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Organic Soil Area in Compartment G and H) (under Dry Direct Seeding)

Table IV-33 (11/11) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Kerian (Organic Soil Area)

Tractor	Dry Direct Seeding										Implement									
	Compartment A, B and C					Compartment D, E and F					Compartment G and H					Compartment I				
NoP	0	2	4	7	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NoP Compartment A, B and C (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NoP Compartment D, E and F (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NoP Compartment G and H (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NoP Total	0	2	4	7	6	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24P	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24P Compartment A, B and C (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24P Compartment D, E and F (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24P Compartment G and H (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24P Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment A, B and C (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment D, E and F (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment G and H (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48P	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48P Compartment A, B and C (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48P Compartment D, E and F (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48P Compartment G and H (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48P Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment A, B and C (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment D, E and F (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Compartment G and H (Individual)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24Pn Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ground Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lime Sower (LS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rouavator (RO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Raw Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granule Application/Broadcaster (GABC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truen Sprayer (TS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cement Dealer (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Compartment G and H	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lime Sower (LS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rouavator (RO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Raw Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granule Application/Broadcaster (GABC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truen Sprayer (TS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cement Dealer (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ground Total	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lime Sower (LS)	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rouavator (RO)	0	1	3	5	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Raw Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Granule Application/Broadcaster (GABC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Truen Sprayer (TS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cement Dealer (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV-34 Proposed Farming Practices for Kerian

Days after Sowing	Activities	Input	Dry Direct Seeding		Days after Sowing	Activities	Input	Wet Direct Seeding	
			Remark	Seeding				Remark	Seeding
-23	Lime Application Fused magnesium phosphate	Machinery GSL Lime 4W Tractor + Lime Spreader (Organic Soil and low pH soil) approximately 4,300t/ha or 17%	depend on the soil condition	-23	Lime Application Fused magnesium phosphate	GSL Lime 4W Tractor + Lime Spreader (Organic Soil and low pH soil) approximately 4,300t/ha or 17%	depend on the soil condition		
-18	1st Land Preparation	4W Tractor + Rotovator		-18	1st Land Preparation	4W Tractor + Rotovator	15 - 17cm		
-7	Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drill 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not	-7	Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drill 125 ml	5 - 8cm		
-5	2nd Land Preparation and Land Levelling	4W Tractor + Rotovator		-4	Puddling and levelling	4W Tractor + Rotovator			
-2	Selecting Seeds			-2	Selecting and Seeding Seeds				
0	Seed Sowing and pressing Seeding	4W Tractor + Rear Bucket & Land Roller Seed rate 60-80kg/ha Power Blower/Granule Applicator or Broadcast		0	Sowing Sprouting Seeds	4W Tractor + Seed rate 60-80kg/ha Power Blower/Granule Application or Broadcast			
0-4	Herbicide application before sowing (1)	Thiobencarb (Saturn) 6000-12000ml/ha 4W Tractor + Boom Sprayer		0-4	Herbicide application before sowing (1)	Dimisipate/Bensulfuron-methyl (Yuktamite/Push) 30-40g/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator			
5	Water supply	(5 - 8 cm)		15	1st Fertilizer Application	N P2O5 K2O= 4 times fertilizer application for 40-30 kg/ha 2nd N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast 3rd N 20kg/ha 4th N 20kg/ha low fertility area	4W Tractor + Boom Sprayer	4W Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	
15-20	Herbicide application after sowing (2)	Thiobencarb (Saturn) 30-45kg/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator (5 - 10 cm)		Pest control (1)	BPMC (Buprofezin) 30kg/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator				
15-21	1st Fertilizer Application	N P2O5 K2O= 4 times fertilizer application for 40-30 kg/ha 2nd N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast 3rd N 20kg/ha 4th N 20kg/ha low fertility area		25 - 30	Herbicide application after sowing (2)	2,4PA (2,4-D amine) 30-45g/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator (5 - 10 cm)			
Pest control (1)	BPMC (Buprofezin) 30kg/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator			35-50	2nd Fertilizer Application	N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast			
30-35	Herbicide application after sowing (3)	2,4PA (2,4-D amine) 30-45g/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator (5 - 10 cm)		75 (PIS)	3rd Fertilizer Application	N 20kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast			
45-50	2nd Fertilizer Application	N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast		Pest control (2)	Buprofezin 600ml/ha Tractor + Boom Sprayer				
75 (PIS)	3rd Fertilizer Application	N 20kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast		Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drill 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not			
Pest control (2)	Buprofezin 600ml/ha Tractor + Boom Sprayer			110	Drainage				
Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drill 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not		125	Harvesting	Harvester + Levy			
110	Drainage								
125	Harvesting	Harvester + Levy							

Source: IADP Kerian, MARDI and DOA Recommendation

Table IV-35 (1/2) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Beson (under Dry Direct Seeding)

	Area (ha)	Rate of Application (kg/ha)	Rate of Tractor (hp)	Rate of Implement (hp)	Month												New
					Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	
Muan	5,163.30	0.054	15.0K	2.5K	24												
Off																	
Farm Work																	
Land Preparation																	
B. Line application	45hp	LS															
B. In Rodabenon	45hp	RT															
B. In Rodabenon	45hp	RT															
B. In Rodabenon	45hp	RT															
W. Pudding	45hp	TH															
W. Soaking	20hp	GA/HC															
D. Seeding	20hp	GA/HC															
D. Dressing	60hp	KR															
Harvester Application																	
1st Top Dressing	20hp	GA/HC	12														
2nd Top Dressing	20hp	GA/HC	12														
3rd Top Dressing	20hp	GA/HC	12	0.00													
Weed control																	
1st Application	20hp	BS															
2nd Application	20hp	CD															
3rd Application	20hp	GA/HC															
Pest control																	
1st Application	20hp	CD															
2nd Application	20hp	BS															
Herbicide																	
Hunger																	
Land																	
45hp																	
20hp																	
II																	
Lime Shower (LS)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rainwater (RO)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Paddy Harrow (PH)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rice Harvester (RH)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Granule Application/Breakdown (GA/HC)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Brown Sprayer (BS)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Crop Disease (CD)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table IV-35 (2/2) Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Résult (under Wet Direct Seeding)

Table IV-36 Proposed Farming Practices for Ketara (Besut)

Days after Seeding	Activities	Input	Dry Dugout Seeding Remark	Days after Seeding	Activities	Input	Wet Direct Seeding Remark
-23-24	Lime Application MgO Application	GML Lime 130kg/ha 4W Tractor + Lime Spreader	2 Stacks depend on the soil condition (Organic Soil and low pH soil)	-23-24	Lime Application MgO Application	GML Lime 130kg/ha	depend on the soil condition (Organic Soil and low pH soil)
-12-13	1st Land Preparation	4W Tractor + Rotavator		-19-18	1st Land Preparation	4W Tractor + Rotavator	15 - 10cm
-7	Rodent/Rat Control (1)	Check or Dial 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not	-15	Water Supply		5 - 8cm
-5	2nd Land Preparation and Land Leveling	4W Tractor + Rotavator		-4-2	Puddling and levelling	4W Tractor + Rotavator Paddy Harrow	
-1	Selecting Seeds			-3-2	Selecting and Sealing Seeds		
0	Seed Sowing and pressing Seeding	4W Tractor + Power Blower/Granule Applicator or Broadcast Applicator	Seed rate 60-80kg/ha Power Blower/Granule Applicator or Broadcast Applicator	0	Sowing Sprouting Seeds	4W Tractor + Power Blower/Granule Applicator or Broadcast Applicator	Seed rate 60-80kg/ha
	Pressing	4W Tractor + Rear Bucket/Land Roller		0-4	Herbicide application before sprouting (1)		
0-4	Herbicide application before sprouting (1)	Thiobencarb (Salam) 4W Tractor + BoomSprayer	6000-12000ml/ha	15	1st Fertilizer Application	N P2O5 K2O= 40-30-20 kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast Applicator	4 times fertilizer application for 2nd N40kg/ha 3rd N20kg/ha 4th N 20kg/ha low fertility area
5	Water supply		(5 - 8 cm)			BPMC (fenbutucarb) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	as required
15-20	Herbicide application after sprouting (2)	Thiobencarb (Salam) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	30-45kg/ha (5 - 10 cm)		Post control (1)		
13-24	1st Fertilizer Application	N P2O5 K2O= 40-30 kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast Applicator	4 times fertilizer application for 2nd N 40kg/ha 3rd N 20kg/ha 4th N 20kg/ha low fertility area	25 - 30	Herbicide application after sprouting (2)	2,4PA (2,4 D amine) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator (5 - 10 cm)	30-45kg/ha
	Pest control (1)	BPMC (fenbutucarb) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	as required	45-50	2nd Fertilizer Application	N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast	
30-35	Herbicide application after sprouting (3)	2,4PA (2,4 D amine) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	30-45kg/ha (5 - 10 cm)	75 (PIS)	3rd Fertilizer Application	N 20kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast	
45-50	2nd Fertilizer Application	N 40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast			Pest control (2)	Buprofezin 600ml/ha Tractor + Boom Sprayer	as required
75 (PIS)	3rd Fertilizer Application	N 20kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcast			Rodent/Rat Control (2)	Check or Dial 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not.
	Pest control (2)	Buprofezin 600ml/ha Tractor + Boom Sprayer	as required	110-115	Drainage		
	Rodent/Rat Control (2)	Check or Dial 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not.	125-130	Harvesting	Harvester + Lorry	
110-115	Drainage						
125-130	Harvesting	Harvester + Lorry					

Source: IADP Ketara (Besut), MARDI and DOA Recommendation

Table IV-37 Present Cropping Schedule In Pulau Pinang

Off Season													
	Number of Date												
	0	5	10	13	15	20	30	50	70	105	120	Harvest	
Schedule													
I	15/3	20/3	25/3	28/3	30/3	5/4	20/4	5/5	25/5	30/6	15/7	5/8	
II	25/3	30/3	5/4	8/4	10/4	15/4	30/4	15/5	5/6	10/7	25/7	15/8	
III	5/4	10/4	15/4	18/4	20/4	25/4	10/5	25/5	15/6	20/7	5/8	25/8	
IV	15/4	20/4	25/4	28/4	30/4	5/5	20/5	5/6	25/6	30/7	15/8	5/9	

Main Season													
	Number of Date												
	0	5	10	13	15	20	35	50	70	95	110	120	Harvest
Schedule													
I	1/9	5/9	10/9	13/9	15/9	20/9	5/10	20/10	10/11	5/12	20/12	10/1	
II	10/9	15/9	20/9	23/9	25/9	30/9	15/10	30/10	20/11	15/12	30/12	20/1	
III	20/9	25/9	30/9	3/10	5/10	10/10	25/10	10/11	30/11	25/12	10/1	30/1	
IV	30/9	5/10	10/10	13/10	15/10	20/10	5/11	20/11	10/12	5/1	20/1	10/2	

0	Start Pre saturation Supply
5	
10	Stop Presaturation Supply
13	Field Dry up
15	Start Sowing
20	Finish Sowing
20-50	1st Critical Supply
Main 70-95	2nd Critical Supply
Off 70-105	2nd Critical Supply
Main 95	Stop Supply
Off 105	Stop Supply
Main 95-110	Dry up
Off 105-120	Dry up

Irrigation Activity													
	0	10	20	35									
Off	[]	[]	[]	[]									
Main	[]	[]	[]	[]									
	Presaturation	Pre-Supply			Normal Supply					Stop Supply	110	Dry up	
	No.												

Farming Activity													
	0	10	20	35	40	65	70	80	95	105	110	120	1
Off	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
Main	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	[]	
	Land Preparation	Hervisides	Fertilizer			Fertilizer							
	Sowing	Application	Application			Application							
	No.												
	0		20	25		50	55	65	75	85	95	105	1
			35	40		65	70	8	9	100	110	120	
	15												

Table IV-38 Distribution of Irrigation Areas under Present and Revised Schedule

Block		Irrigation Schedule (Present)				(Unit: ha)
Sungai Muda	Net Irrigation area	1	2	3	4	Total
1. M1	1,490.00	577.14	252.40	660.46		1,490.00
2. M2	752.00	210.24	310.93	230.83		752.00
3. M3	1,390.00	422.22	440.45	527.33		1,390.00
4. M4	360.00		206.00	50.00	104.00	360.00
5. M5	1,978.00		680.56	544.64	752.80	1,978.00
6. M6	918.00		237.92	294.24	385.34	918.00
sub total	6,888.00	1,209.60	2,128.26	2,307.50	1,242.64	6,888.00
Block		Irrigation Schedule (Present)				
Pinang Tunggal	Net Irrigation area	1	2	3	4	Total
7. P1A	206.00			206.00		206.00
8. P2	732.00	329.00	267.86	135.14		732.00
sub total	938.00	329.00	267.86	341.14	0.00	938.00
Block		Irrigation Schedule (Present)				
Sungai Kulim	Net Irrigation area	1	2	3	4	
9. K1B	348.00	348.00				348.00
10. K2B	347.00	112.10	110.70	124.20		347.00
11. K3	397.00	113.00	147.00	137.00		397.00
12. K4	295.00	86.95	134.55	73.50		295.00
sub total	1,387.00	660.05	392.25	334.70	0.00	1,387.00
Block		Irrigation Schedule (Present)				
Sungai Jarak	Net Irrigation area	1	2	3	4	Total
13. Pokok Tampang	173.00		131.00	42.00		173.00
14. Padang Menora	215.00		124.00	91.00		215.00
sub total	388.00	0.00	255.00	133.00	0.00	388.00
Ground Total	9,601.00	2,198.65	3,043.37	3,116.34	1,242.64	9,601.00
(peninsular)						
Block		Revised Irrigation Schedule (Estimation)				Total
Sungai Muda	Net Irrigation area	1	2	3	4	
1. M1	1,490.00	577.14	252.40	660.46		1,490.00
2. M2	752.00	210.24	310.93	230.83		752.00
3. M3	1,390.00	422.22	440.45	527.33		1,390.00
4. M4	360.00	360.00				360.00
5. M5	1,978.00	752.80	680.56	544.64		1,978.00
6. M6	918.00		623.76	294.24		918.00
sub total	6,888.00	2,322.40	2,308.10	2,257.50	0.00	6,888.00
Ground Total	9,601.00	3,311.45	3,223.21	3,066.34	0.00	9,601.00

Source: IADP Pulau Pinang

Figures are estimated by the JICA Study Team based on the data from IADP Pulau Pinang.

Table IV-39 Estimation of the Necessary Number of Tractors and Implements for Pulau Pinang

Month	Area (ha)	Crop	Fertilizer Application	Land Preparation	Harvesting	Lime Spreader	Cultivator (RO)	Paddy Huriw (PM)	Kur Bucket (RB)	Grain Application/Breaking (GA/BC)	Broom Sprayer (BS)	New			Old		
												Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	June
Area (ha)	400 (1,000) 100 (250) 100 (250)											2.00 40.00	4.00 80.00	4.00 80.00	2.00 40.00	2.00 40.00	2.00 40.00
CI (Man)	100 (250) 100 (250)											8.00 16.00	8.00 16.00	8.00 16.00	8.00 16.00	8.00 16.00	8.00 16.00
CI (Off)	200 (500) 200 (500)											16.00 32.00	16.00 32.00	16.00 32.00	16.00 32.00	16.00 32.00	16.00 32.00
Farm Works																	
Lime Spreading (100/ha)																	
Land Preparation																	
1st Fertilizer Application (100/ha)																	
1st Rehabilitation																	
2nd Rehabilitation																	
Puddling																	
Seeding																	
Seedling																	
Replanting																	
Vertification																	
1st Top Dressing																	
2nd Top Dressing																	
3rd Top Dressing																	
Weed control																	
1st Application																	
2nd Application																	
3rd Application																	
Pest control																	
1st Application																	
2nd Application																	
3rd Application																	
Harvesting																	
Lime																	
(Man/day)																	
10th class	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20th class	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cultivator/Tractor	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lime Spreader (LS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cultivator (RO)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paddy Huriw (PM)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kur Bucket (RB)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grain Application/Breaking (GA/BC)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Broom Sprayer (BS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cultivator (CD)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV-40 Proposed Farming Practices for Pulau Pinang

Days after Seeding	Activities	Input	Wet Direct Seeding Remark
-18	1st Land Preparation	4W Tractor + Rotavator	15 - 10cm
-15	Water Supply		5 - 8cm
-7	Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drai 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not.
-4	Puddling and levelling	4W Tractor + Rotavator Paddy Harrow	
-2	Selecting and Soaking Seeds		
0	Sowing Sprouting Seeds	4W Tractor + Power Blower/Granule Applicator or Broadcaster	Seed rate 60-80kg/ha
0 - 4	Hervicide application before sprouting (1)	Dimepiperate/Bensulfuron-methyl (Yukamate/Push) 30-40kg/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	
15	1st Fertilizer Application	N:P2O5:K2O= 40:30:20 kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcaster	4 times fertilizer application for 2nd N:40kg/ha 3rd N:20kg/ha 4th N:20kg/ha low fertility area
	Pest control (1)	BPMC 30kg/ha (fenobucarb) Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	as required
25 - 30	Hervicide application after sprouting (2)	Water Control 2,4PA (2,4-D amine) 30-45kg/ha Tractor + Carpet Duster or Granule Applicator	
45-50	2nd Fertilizer Application		N:40kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcaster
75 (PIS)	3rd Fertilizer Application		N: 20kg/ha Tractor + Granule Applicator/Broadcaster
	Pest control (2)	Buprofezin 600ml/ha Tractor + Boom Sprayer	as required
	Rodent/Rat Control	Check or Drai 125 ml	depend on location, either there are rat attack happen or not.
95-100	Drainage		
120-125	Harvesting	Harvester + Lorry	

Source: IADP Pulau Pinang, Agricultural Component, MADRI and DOA recommendation