# SUPPORTING REPORT

1

1

N: CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE

### Supporting Report N: Construction Plan and Cost Estimate

### **Table of Contents**

N :	CO	NSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE	N.1
	1.	CONSTRUCTION PLAN	N.1
		1.1 General	N.1
		1.2 Basis of Construction Plan	N.1
		1.3 Construction Plan	N.2
	2.	BASIS OF COST ESTIMATE	N.5
	3.	UNIT PRICES/COST	N.6
		3.1 Unit Price	N.6
		3.2 Unit Construction and Land Costs	N.6
	4.	PROJECT COST	N.8
	5.	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	N.9

### List of Tables and Figures in Supporting Report N

Table N.3.1	Labour Wages	N.T.I
Table N.3.2	Material Unit Price	N.T.1
Table N.3.3	Hourly Cost of Main Equipment	N.T.2
Table N.4.1	Project Costs	N.T.3
Table N.4.2	Annual Disbursement Schedule (Master Plan)	N.T.4
Table N.4.3	Annual Disbursement Schedule (Priority Project)	N.T.5
Table N.4.4	Annual Disbursement Schedule (Rest of Master Plan Project)	N.T.6
Figure N.5.1	Construction Schedule	N.F.1

# SUPPORTING REPORT N: CONSTRUCTION PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE

### 1. CONSTRUCTION PLAN

### 1.1 General

The construction works for the Master Plan and Priority Project consist of mainly excavation, embankment, revetment, diversion weir, control gate, drainage sluice, ground sill, intake gate, bridge, rural road and telemeter system. Stretches to be improved are the Lower Reaches (River mouth - El Delirio), Middle Reaches (El Delirio ñ Urbina bridge) of the San Miguel River, and Olomega diversion channel and Olomega drainage.

### 1.2 Basis of Construction Plan

The construction plan for the execution of Master Plan and Priority Project was prepared on the basis of following assumption and consideration:

- Construction Period: Construction period of the Master Plan was assumed to be 10
  years in due consideration of quantity of works, funding and realization of flood
  control effects at early stage. Construction of the Priority Project will be carried out
  for 5 years at the initial stage of the Master Plan.
- 2) Procurement of Works: Construction works were assumed to be procured through a package contract system by international tendering, since the construction should be carried out during a limited period.
- 3) Annual Workable Days: Annual workable days were assumed to be 220 days based on the rainfall records and current national holidays as follows:
  - Total days in a year : 365 days
  - Sundays : 52 days
    National holidays : 22 days
  - Suspended days due to rainfall : 71 days (Daily rainfall > 10 mm)
  - Workable days(1-2-3-4) : 220 days
- 4) Daily working hour is assumed to be eight (8) hours.
- 5) All the construction works except the excavation of rock layer are basically carried out by the conventional methods and equipment. This will facilitate equipment maintenance and supply of spare parts. Since the quantity of work is large, major works are planned to be carried out by mechanical power, however, in order to

enhance employment opportunity man power will be utilized to the maximum extent whenever possible.

### 1.3 Construction Plan

According to the preliminary facility design in SUPPORTING REPORTs- L(Floodwater Storage Plan) and M (River Improvement Plan), the major quantities of works are as presented bellow respectively for the Master Plan, Priority Project and rest of the Master Plan.

Items	Master Plan	Priority Projects	Rest of MP
Earth excavation	14,353,000 m <sup>3</sup>	7,883,000 m <sup>3</sup>	6,470,000 m <sup>3</sup>
Excavation of rock layer	603,000 m³	152,000 m <sup>3</sup>	451,000 m <sup>3</sup>
Embankment	1,843,000 m³	1,173,000 m³	670,000 m³
Revetment	6,000 m	6,000 m	-
Ground sill	4 sites	4 sites	4 sites (renovation)
Sluice	15 sites	1 site	14 sites
Diversion weir	l site	1 site	l site(renovation)
Control gate	1 site	1 site	-
Bridge	5 sites	3 sites	2 sites

Major quantities of works for respective work divisions of the lower reaches, middle reaches, Olomega diversion/retarding facilities and reaches around San Miguel City are presented below respectively for the Priority Project and the rest of the Master Plan project.

### **Priority Project**

1) Lower Reach (River mouth ñ El Delirio): Construction from 2001 to 2004

• Earth excavation(1) : 575,000 m³ (with hauling of 1 km long)

• Earth excavation(2) : 3,906,000 m³ (Jocotal area: no hauling)

Rock excavation : 69,000 m³
 Embankment : 506,000 m³
 Revetment : 3,700 m
 Ground sill : 2 sites

2) Middle Reach (El Delirio & Aramuaca): Construction from 2003 to 2005

Excavation(1) : 2,034,000 m³ (with hauling of 1 km long)

Rock excavation : 83,000 m³
 Embankment : 531,000 m³
 Revetment : 1,100 m

Ground sill : 2 sites
Drainage sluice : 1 site
Intake gate : 1 site
Bridge : 1 site

3) Olomega Diversion / Retarding Facilities: Construction from 2001 to 2003

• Excavation(1) : 1,368,000 m<sup>3</sup> (with hauling of 1 km long)

Embankment : 136,000 m³
 Revetment : 1,200 m
 Control gate : 1 site
 Diversion weir : 1 site
 Bridge : 2 sites

### Rest of Master Plan Project

Ţ,

1) Lower Reach (River mouth & El Delirio): Construction from 2006 to 2009

Earth excavation(1) : 2,870,000 m³ (with hauling of 1 km long)
 Earth excavation(2) : 2,360,000 m³ (Jocotal area: no hauling)

Rock excavation : 451,000 m³
 Embankment : 670,000 m³

• Ground sill : 2 sites (widening)

Drainage sluice : 14 sites
Bridge reconstruction : 2 sites

2) Middle Reach (El Delirio ñ Aramuaca): Construction from 2009 to 2010

• Earth excavation(1) : 406,000 m³ (with hauling of 1 km long)

• Ground sill : 2 sites (widening)

3) Olomega Diversion / Retarding Facilities: Construction from 2009 to 2010

Diversion weir : 1 site (heightening and widening)

4) Reaches around San Miguel City: Construction in 2010

• Excavation : 834,000 m³ (with hauling of 1 km long)

Construction method was discussed so that work quantities mentioned above would distribute uniformly throughout the construction period. As a result, the following construction method and work period were proposed:

- 1) Earth excavation: Excavation is carried out by bulldozer (21 ton) and backhoe (0.7 m<sup>3</sup>).
- 2) Disposal of excavated materials: The excess excavated materials could be utilized effectively to reclaim the low-lying lands and swampy areas along the river except

the Jocotal area. The excavated materials are hauled to the final disposal areas by dump truck (11 ton). The hauling distance was assumed to be 1 km on an average. As the volume of excavation is large, it is recommended to utilize it for useful purpose like land reclamation in depressed area. It is possible to find such depressed land in the vicinity of the contracution area. The excavated materials in the Jocotal area are mounded up on the discontinuous alignment along the river.

- 3) Rock excavation: Excavation of rock layer is carried out by blasting in combination with ripper bulldozer (21 ton) and backhoe (0.7 m<sup>3</sup>) with ripper.
- 4) Embankment: Embankment works are carried out by bulldozer (21 ton) and backhoe (0.7 m<sup>3</sup>). A part of the excavated materials is used for the embankment.
- 5) Revetment works: Revetment works are planned for the low-water channel. The revetment works are executed principally by manpower. Stone pitching type with concrete frame is planed.
- 6) Bridge: Post pre-stressed concrete beam type is planned for bridge. The piers and abutments are built on the pile foundation.

**N.4** 

### 2. BASIS OF COST ESTIMATE

The project cost consists of costs for the following items:

- 1) Construction works
  - · Channel works (earth and rock excavation, embankment and revetment)
  - Structure works (diversion weir, control gate, drainage sluice and ground sill)
  - Appurtenant works (intake gate, bridge, rural road and telemeter system)
- 2) Land acquisition and house compensation
- 3) Administration
- 4) Engineering service
- 5) Contingency
  - Physical contingency
  - Price contingency

The project cost was estimated based on the following conditions and assumptions:

- 1) The estimates are made on the assumption that all the construction works are executed by general contractors under the contract through international tendering.
- 2) All the base costs are expressed based on the fixed price as of December 1996.
- 3) The exchange rate: US\$1.00 =  $\emptyset$  8.75 is assumed according to the prevailing exchange rate during the study period.
- 4) The cost is classified into foreign and local currency portions.
- 5) Administration cost is assumed at 5% of the total costs of construction works and land acquisition and house compensation.
- 6) Engineering service cost is assumed at 15% of the total costs of construction works and land acquisition and house compensation.
- 7) A physical contingency is assumed to be 10% of the total costs of construction works, land acquisition and house compensation, administration and engineering service.
- 8) Annual price escalation rate is assumed to be 3 % for foreign currency portion and 6 % for local currency portion.

### 3. UNIT PRICE/COST

### 3.1 Unit Price

The unit prices of labor wages, construction materials and equipment are shown in Tables N.3.1, N.3.2 and N.3.3 based on the actual similar projects in El Salvador.

### 3.2 Unit Construction and Land Costs

### 1) Unit Construction Cost

Standard unit construction costs applied to the cost estimate are as follows:

٠	Excavation(1):	¢ 45 / m³ (hauling=1km) except for Jocotal area
•	Excavation(2):	¢ 20 / m³ (hauling=0km) for Jocotal area
•	Rock excavation:	¢ 173 / m³
•	Embankment:	¢ 42 / m³
•	Revetment:	¢ 5,700 /m(stone pitching type with concrete frame)
•	Bridge:	¢ 13,400,000 (PC post tension type, span=35m x 3)
		¢ 12,600,000 (PC post tension type, span=30m x 3)
		¢ 7,000,000 (PC post tension type, span=40m x 1)
٠	Drainage sluice:	¢ 426,000 for Type-A (1.25m x 1.25m)
		¢ 586,000 for Type-B (1.75m x 1.75m)
		¢ 754,000 for Type-C (2.5m x 2.5m)
•	Diversion weir:	¢ 10,900,000 for Master Plan
		¢ 10,500,000 for Priority Project
٠	Control gate:	¢ 9,400,000
•	Groundsill:	¢ 18,269 /m
•	Rural road:	¢ 160 /m
•	Telemeter system:	€ 8,100,000 (including control office)

Within the above unit construction costs, 22% of the indirect cost such as site expenses, contractor's overhead, profit and tax are included.

### 2) Land Acquisition Cost and Compensation Costs

The land acquisition and house compensation costs were estimated based on the following unit prices which were obtained from the offices concerned:

### Land acquisition

•	River mouth - Limon River	¢ 2.15 / m²
•	Usulutan area	¢ 5.72 / m²
•	Vado Marin area	¢ 2.57 / m <sup>2</sup>
•	Jocotal area	¢ 0.72 / m²
•	Olomega - Aramuaca area	¢ 3.58 / m <sup>2</sup>
•	San Miguel area	¢ 7.15 / m²

### House compensation

• House ¢ 12,000 / house

### 4. PROJECT COST

The project cost is estimated for the Master Plan and Priority Project at the fixed price as of December 1996 as follows:

Items	Master Plan	Priority Project
Construction works	¢ 807.5 miltion	¢ 433.7 million
Land acquisition	¢ 23.8 million	¢ 19.2 million
Administration	¢ 41.6 million	¢ 22.6 million
Engineering service	¢ 124.7 million	¢ 67.9 million
Physical contingency	¢ 99.8 million	¢ 54.3 million
Total	¢ 1,097.4 million	¢ 597.7 million

Breakdown of cost estimate is shown in Table N.4.1 for the Master Plan and Priority Project.

Disbursement schedule for the Master Plan and Priority Project were estimated as shown in Tables N.4.2 and N.4.3 according to the implementation schedule presented in Chapter 5. Total funds required for the implementation of the project were also estimated in the table. The required funds including the price contingency during the construction period are as follows:

Items	Master Plan	Priority Project
Total fund required	¢ 1,577.6 million	¢ 775.9 million
Foreign currency portion	¢ 727.4 million	¢ 347.6 million
Local currency portion	¢ 850.2 million	¢ 428.3 million

The operation and maintenance cost at full operation stage of facilities after the completion of construction works was assumed to be annually 0.5 % of the total construction cost as shown in Tables N.5.2 and N.5.3.

### 5. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

Implementation schedule of the Priority Project and the rest of the Master Plan was prepared as shown in Fig.N.5.1 based on the following assumptions:

### **Priority Project:**

- 1) Loan agreement will be completed by the end of 1998.
- 2) Detailed design including topographical and geological survey will be carried out for one(1) year from the beginning of 1999.
- 3) Tendering procedures of the construction works will be started at the beginning of 2000 and completed for one(1) year.
- 4) Construction works will be carried out for five(5) years from the beginning of 2001 to the end of 2005.
- Prior to the execution of the construction works, land acquisition and house compensation will be started at the beginning of 2000 and completed by the end of 2003.

### Rest of Master Plan Project:

- Feasibility study for the rest of the Master Plan project by 2002.
   Loan agreement will be completed by the end of 2003.
- 2) Detailed design including topographical and geological survey will be carried out for one(1) year from the beginning of 2004.
- 3) Tendering procedures of the construction works will be started at the beginning of 2005 and completed for one(1) year.
- 4) Construction works will be carried out for five(5) years from the beginning of 2006 to the end of 2010.
- Prior to the execution of the construction works, land acquisition and house compensation will be started at the beginning of 2005 and completed by the end of 2006.

. 4

Table N.3.1 LABOUR WAGES

Description	Unit	F.C.	L.C.	C.
Foreman	m.d	0	125	
Heavy equipmennt operator	m.d	0	123	
Assistant operator	m.d	0	123	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	112	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Mason	Mason	m.d	0	96
Concrete worker	m.d	0	96	
Common labour	m.d	0	65	
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	65
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	m.d	0	85
Common labour	m.d	0	85	
Common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo truck driver	m.d	0	114	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed mixed common labour	m.d	0	85	
Cargo mixed mix				

# Table N.3.2 MATERIAL UNIT PRICE

1

		Price	Unit o	price	Component	กลกร
Trons	Unit	at site	FC	ن ا	F. C.	ပ ပ
		(8)	(3)	( <del>c</del> )	(%)	(%)
Sand	cu.m	29	0	19	0	100
Gravel	ე ე	142	0	142	0	8
Cobble & rubble stone	Cu. m	.98		98	0	100
Crushed stone	cr. m	122		122	0	8
Sand for concrete	cu.	151	0	151	0	100
	sack	35	~	82	20	8
Mortar	€n.	975	49	976	S	95
Ready mixed concrete (210kg/cm2)	cu.	975	49	976	S	92
concrete	en.	1,057	53	1,004	S	95
	er.	1,140	57	1 083	S	95
concrete	lin.m	370	0	370	0	8
	ton	3,370	34	3, 336		g G
Steel plate	ton	6,670	4,002	2, 668	9	49
	lin m	1,000	009	400	09	40
slide	ton	10,000	4,000	6,000	40	8
frame	ton	10,000	4,000	6,000	40	9
Hoisting	set	10,000	4,000	6,000	6	8
wooden plate/beam	cu. m	2.270	2,270	0	001	0
Plywood wateClroof(1.2mx2.4mx12mm)	sheet	26	97	0	001	0
Asphalt concrete	ton	650	26	553	5	82
Bearing pad 406x280x46mm	DQ.	69,000	69,000	0	300	0
	ىد.	27,891	20,918	6,973	75	25
PC cable anchor	ပ္	263	171	85	65	35
Diesel oil	ltr	က		m	0	001
Gasolino	ltr	ഹ	0	ın	0	001
Floatricity	kwh		0		င	00:

# Table N.3.3 HOURLY COST OF MAIN EQUIPMENT

					Depre-	Manage-		Maintenance and repair cost	nd repair	cost			
Depreciation		Basic	Life	Hour	ciation	ment	Total	1,	Component#4	nent#4	J.	J.	Total
	,	price			Cost#1	Cost#2	Ratio#3 Amount	Amount	F.C	L.C			
Equipment	Capacity	(010)	(year)	(hr/yr)	(c/hr)	( ¢ /hr)	(%)	( & /hr)	( ¢ /hr)	( & /hr)	( & /hr)	(ru/ p)	( c /hr)
		Ξ	9	ල	(4)	(S)	(9)	6	8)	6	(10)	(11)	(12)
Bulldozer	21 ton	2110	5	2000	190	74	06	190	190	0	380	74	454
Swamp Bulldozer	18 ton	1550	5	2000	140	54	06	140	140	0	280	54	334
Bulldozer	1 ton	1060	5	2000	86	38	06	86	86	0	196	38	234
Bulldozer with Ripper	21 ton	2338	5	2000	210	82	06	210	210	0	420	82	502
Bckhoe	0.4 m <sup>3</sup>	026	5	2000	87	34	06	87	87	0	174	34	208
Bckhoe	0.7 m <sup>3</sup>	1623	\$	2000	146	57	06	146	146	0	292	57	349
Swamp Backhoe	0.4 m	1059	5	2000	95	37	06	56	56	0	190	37	227
Crawler loader	1.4 m <sup>3</sup>	016	5	2000	82	32	06	82	82	0	164	32	196
Crawler loader	1.8 m <sup>3</sup>	1437	5	2000	129	20	06	129	129	0	258	90	308
Dozer Shovel	2.2 m <sup>3</sup>	1721	5	2000	155	90	06	155	155	0	310	09	370
Clamshell/Dragline	0.6 m <sup>3</sup>	1987	5	2000	179	70	06	179	179	0	358	70	428
Dump Truck	11 ton	864	5	2000	78	30	06	78	78	0	156	30	186
Ordinary Truck	6 ton	329	5	2000	30	12	06	30	30	0	09	12	72

#1: Salvage value of 10% is applied.

#2: Yearly management cost of 7% is applied by local currency component.

The management cost is composed of the insurance, tax, interest and other expenses for equipment management.

#3: The ratio of maintenance and repair cost against the Basic price are decided refering to the values stipulated in the data book

#4: F.C portion of 100% & L.C portion of 0% are applied based on the other Project's data in El Salvadol. for construction equipment, ministry of construction, Japan and other data in El Salvadol.

%0x(L) = (6)(7) = (1)x(6)/((2)x(3))(8) = (7)x100% (4) = (1)x(0.9)((2)x(3))(5) = (1)x7%(3)

(11)=(5)+(9)

(10)=(4)+(8)

(12)=(10)+(11)

Table N.4.1 PROJECT COSTS

			Ma	ster Plan l	Project		P	iority Pr		
		Unit -	Quantity	Ame	unt(# I	<u>0^`)</u>	Quantity	Λm	ount( ¢	10")
Items	Unit	Cost(Col.)	` '	Total	L.C.	F.C.		Total	L.C.	F.C.
1. Construction works		_						2000		
1.1 Channel works					306 2	398.9		366.9	167.5	199.4
Earth excavation(1)	m³	45	8,087,000	363.9	149.2	214.7	3,977,000	179.0	73.4	105.6
Earth excavation(2)	m³	. 20	6,266,000	125.3	51.4	73.9	3,906,000	78.1	32.0	46.1
Rock excavation	m³	173	603,000	1043	42.8	61.5	152,000	26.3	10.8	15.5
Embankment	m³	42	1,843,000	77.4	31.7	45.7	1,173,000	49.3	20.2	29.1
Revelment	ារ -	5,700	6,000	342	31.1	3.1	6.000	34 2	31.1	3.1
	:	-								
1.2 Structure works				34.7	25.6	9.1		24.7	18.8	5.9
Diversion weir	ls.			10.9	9.4	1.5		10.5	9.0	1.5
Control gate	ls.			9.4	5.8	3.6		9.4	5.8 0.4	3.6 0.2
Drainage sluice			_	8.0	5.0	3.0	0	0.6 0.0	0.4	0.2
T) pe-A	nos	426,000	7	3.0 3.5	1.9	1.1	ĭ	0.6	0.4	0.0
T) pe-B	nos	586,000 754,000	6 2	3.3 1.5	0.9	0.6	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Туре-С	nos	18,269	348	6.4	5.4	1.0	229	42	3.6	0.6
Ground sill	.m	10,207	O+C	0.4	J. •	1.0	,			
13 Appurtenant works		-		67.7	37.5	30.2		42.1	24.0	18.1
Intake gate(Type-B)	nos :	586,000	1	0.6	0.4	0.2	1	0.6	0.4	0.2
Bridge	]			58 2	30.3	27.9		33.0	17.2	15.8
Bridge(105m)	nos	13,400,000	1	13.4	7.0	6.4	1	13.4	7.0	6.4
Bridge (90m)	nos	12,600,000	3	37.8	19.7	18.1	1	12.6	6.6	60
Bridge(40m)	กอร	7,000,000	ì	7.0	3.6	3.4	1	7.0	3.6	3.4
Rural road	m	160	5,140	0.8	0.8	0.0	2.640	0.4	0.4	0.0
Telemetering system	ls.			8.1	6.1	2.0		8.1	6.1	2.0
1015111 151111 152.222				807.5	369.3	438 2		433.7	210 3	223.4
(Sub-total: 1.1+1.2+1.3)				807.5	307.3	430 2		433.1	2103	22,7.3
2 Land and house				23.8	23.8	0.0		19.2	19 2	0.0
	$10^3 \mathrm{m}^2$	2,150	728	1.6	1.6	0.0	728	1.6	1.6	0.0
Land acquisit (1)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup>		845	4.8	4.8	0.0	815	4.8	4.8	0.0
Land acquisit (2)	10 m	5,720				0.0	1,067	2.7	2.7	0.0
Land acquisit.(3)		2,570	1,067	2.7	27		4	12	1.2	0.0
Land acquisit (4)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup>	-	1,695	1.2	1.2	0.0	1,695	•		
Land acquisit (5)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup>	3,580	3,181	11.4	11,4	0.0	2,424	8.7	8.7	0.0
Land acquisit (6)	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup>		270	1.9	. 1.9	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
House compensat.	house	12,000	20	0.2	0.2	0.0	20	02	0.2	0.0
3. Administration	ls.	- ·		41.6	41.6	0.0		22.6	226	0.0
4 Engineering service	Is.			124.7	46.1	78.6		67.9	25.1	42 8
5. Physical contingency	l.s.			99.8	48.1	51.7		54.3	27.7	266
(Sub-total : 1+2+3+4+5)	İ			1,097.4	528.9	568.5		597.7	304.9	292 8
6. Price contingency	ls.			480 2	321.3	158.9		178.2	123.4	54.8
Total				1,577.6	850 2	727.4	<u> </u>	775.9	428 3	347.6

Table N.4.2 ANNUAL DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE (MASTER PLAN)

		Total					Ann	Annual Disbursment (million colons	Sment (mi	llion colo	OS)				
		cost	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	5009	2010	2011
1. Construction Cost	Total	807.5	0.00	0.00	86.74	86.74	86.74	86.74	86.74	74.76	74.76	74.76	74.76	74.76	00.0
	L.O.	369.3	0.00	0.00	42.06	42.06	42.06	42.06	42.06	31.80	31.80	31.80	31.80	31.80	0
	F.C.	438.2	0.00	0.00	44.68	44.68	44.68	44.68	44.68	42.96	42.96	42.96	42.96	42.96	0
2. Land Acquisition	Total	23.8	00.0	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	00.00	2.30	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	ij	23.8	0.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	00.0	2.30	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
	F.C.	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	0
3. Administration	Total	41.6	00.00	0.24	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.34	4.45	3.85	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.77	0
,	ij	41.6	0.00	0.24	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.34	4.45	3.85	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.77	0
	F.C.	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0
4. Enginecring Cost	Total	124.7	20.37	5.43	8.42	8.42	8.42	25.55	12.99	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	0.00
	<u>ပ</u>	46.1	7.53	2.01	3.11	3.11	3.11	9.41	4.79	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	0
	F.C.	78.6	12.84	3.42	5.31	5.31	5.31	16.14	8.20	4.42	4.42	4.42	4,42	4.42	0
5. Physical Contingency	Total	8'66	2.04	1.05	10.45	10.45	10.45	11.66	10.65	8.79	8.55	8.55	8.55	8.59	0
	r. O	48.1	0.75	0.71	5.46	5.46	5.46	5.58	5.36	4.06	3.83	3.81	3.81	3.84	0
	FC	51.7	1.28	0.34	2.00	2.00	2.00	80.9	5.29	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.76	0
6. (Sub-total)	1'ota	1,097.4	22.41	11.52	114.99	114.99	114.99	128.29	117.13	96.73	94.07	94.07	94.07	94.15	ं
(1+2+3+4+5)	.၂ ပ	528.9	8.28	7.75	60.00	00.00	90.09	61.39	58.97	19.4	41.96	41.96	41.96	42.01	0
	P.C.	568.5	14.12	3.77	54.99	54.99	54.99	06.99	58.16	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.11	\$2.13	0
7. Price Contingency	Tota	480.2	1.88	1.83	22.65	29.05	35.78	46.30	50.53	46.64	51.10	57.71	99.49	72.02	0
	i.	321.3	1.02	1.48	15.75	20.30	25.11	30.92	35.02	30.76	33.18	37.69	42.47	47.60	0
	T.	158.9	0.86	0.35	9.90	8.76	10.67	15.38	15.52	15.88	17.92	20.02	22.19	24.43	0
8. (Total)	Total	1,577.6	24.29	3.35	137.64	144.04	150.77	174.59	167.66	143.37	145.17	151.78	158.73	166.17	0
(1+2+3+4+2+1)	r C	850.2	9.31	9.23	75.75	80.30	85.12	92.31	93.98	75.37	75.14	79.65	84.42	19.68	0
	J.	727.4	14.98	4.12	61.89	63.74	65.66	82.28	73.68	68.00	70.04	72.14	74.30	76.56	0
O & M cost	L.C.		0	0.00	00.0	0.48	96.0	1.43	16.1	2.39	2.80	3.21	3.62	4.03	4,44
Price Contingency	L. C.		0	000	000	0.16	0.40	0.72	1.13	1.64	2.21	2.88	3.66	4.57	5.60
Total	L.C.		0	0.00	0.00	0.64	1.35	2.15	3.04	4.03	5.01	60'9	7.28	8.60	10.04

....

Table N.4.3 ANNUAL DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE (PRIORITY PROJECT)

		Total			Annual	Annual Disbursment (million colons)	t (million co	olons)	:	
		Cost	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
1. Construction Cost	Total	433.7	0	00.0	86.74	86.74	86.74	86.74	86.74	0
		210.3	0	0.00	42.06	42.06	42.06	42.06	42.06	0
	F.C.	223.4	0	0.00	44.68	44.68	44.68	44.68	44.68	0
2. Land Acquisition	Total	19.2	0	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	00.00	0.00	0
•	<u>ن</u> ن	19.2	0	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	0.00	0.00	0
	F.C.	0.0		0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0
3. Administration	Total	22.6	0	0.24	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.34	4.29	ō
	r. O	22.6	0	0.24	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.34	4.29	O
	ι. O	0.0	0	0.00	00.0	00.0	00:00	00.0	00.0	0
4. Engineering Cost	Total	62.9	20.37	5.43	8.42	8.42	8.42	8.42	8.42	0
•		25.1	7.53	2.01	3.11	3.11	3.11	3.11	3.11	0
	편. C.	42.8	12.84	3.42	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	5.31	0
5. Physical Contingency	Total	54.3	2.04	1.05	10.45	10.45	10.45	56.6	06.6	0
	ľ.	27.7	0.75	0.71	5.46	5.46	5.46	4.95	4.93	0
	F.C.	26.6	1.28	0.34	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	4.98	0
6. (Sub-total)	Total	597.7	22.41	11.52	114.99	114.99	114.99	109.45	109.36	0
(1+2+3+4+5)	ľ.O.	304.9	8.28	7.75	00.09	60.00	00:09	54.46	54.39	0
	н. С.	292.8	14.12	3.77	54.99	54.99	54.99	54.99	54.97	0
7. Price Contingency	Total	178.2	1.88	1.83	22.65	29.05	35.78	40.07	46.96	0
	L.C.	123,4	1.02	1.48	15.75	20.30	25.11	27.43	32.30	0
	F.C.	54.8	0.86	0.35	6.90	8.76	10.67	12.64	14.66	0
8. (Total)	Total	775.9	24.29	13.35	137.64	144.04	150.77	149.51	156.32	0
(1+2+3+4+5+7)	ľ.	428.3	9.31	9.23	75.75	80.30	85.12	81.89	86.69	0
	F.C.	347.6	14.98	4.12	61.89	63.74	65.66	67.63	69.63	0
O & M cost	L.C.		0	00.0	00.0	0.48	0.95	1.43	1.91	2.39
Price Contingency	ĽĊ.		0	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.40	0.72	1.13	1.64
Total	L.C.		0	0.00	0.00	0.64	1.35	2.15	3.04	4.03

Table N.4.4 ANNUAL DISBURSEMENT SCHEDULE (REST OF MASTER PLAN PROJECT)

		Total					A 20.0	Amaina Dicharement (million colons)	ement (m	Mice colo	1.00				
			1000	0000	.000	10000	11111	DOST TO	Salicine (III)	202 1011	13/				
		Sost	1999	2000	7007	7007	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
1. Construction Cost	Total	373.8	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	74.76	74.76	74.76	74.76	74.76	0
•	L.C.	159.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.80	31.80	31.80	31.80	31.80	0
-	P.C	214.8	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	42.96	42.96	42.96	42.56	42.96	0
2. Land Acquisition	Total	4.6	0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.30	2.30	0.00	00.00	00.0	0.00	0
	Ľ.C.	4.6	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	2.30	2.30	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0
	F.C.	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
3. Administration	Total	19.0	0	00:00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.16	3.85	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.77	0
	L. C.	19.0	5	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.16	3.85	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.77	0
	F.C.	0.0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0
4. Engineering Cost	Total	8.98	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.13	4.57	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	7.02	0
	r. S	21.0	8.0	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	6.30	1.68	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.60	0
	F.C.	35.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.83	2.89	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.42	0
5. Physical Contingency	Total	45.5		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.71	0.74	8.79	8.55	8.55	8.55	8.59	0
	ပ္ပဲ	20.4		0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.63	4.0	4.06	3.81	3.81	3.81	3.84	0
	F.C.	25.1		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.08	0.31	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.76	0
6. (Sub-total)	Total	499.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	18.84	7.77	96.73	54.07	94.07	94.07	94.15	0
(1+2+3+4+5)	Ö.	224.0		000	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.93	4.58	19,44	41.96	41.96	41.96	42.01	0
	F.C	275.7	١	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.91	3.20	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.11	52.13	0
7. Price Contingency	Total	301.9	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.23	3.57	46.64	\$1.10	17.73	64.66	72.02	0
	i.c	197.9	8.	000	0.00	0.0	0.00	3.49	2.72	30.76	33.18	37.69	42.47	47.60	0
	F.C.	104.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.74	0.85	15.88	17.92	20.02	22.19	24,43	0
8. (Total)	Total	801.6	0.00	000	0.00	00.0	0.00	25.07	11.34	143.37	145.17	151,78	158.73	166.17	0
(1+2+3+4+5+7)	J J	421.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00'0	10.42	7.29	75.37	75.14	79.65	84,42	89.61	0
	.) .)	379.7	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00	14.65	4.05	00'89	70.04	72.14	74.30	76.56	0
O & M cost			0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.41	0.82	1.23	1.65	2.06
Price Contingency	ر د د		0	000	000	0.00	0.00	00:0	0.00	0.00	0.33	0.74	1.25	1.86	2.59
lotal	ن		0	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	1.56	2.48	3.51	4.65

							Хеаг						
Description	1998	6661	2000	2001	2002	2002	2004	2005	2006	2002	2008	2005	2010
PRIORITY PROJECT													
1. Loan Process		,					-						
2. Lund Acquisition					ļ								
3. Construction						34) 1000	4	Secretary sole				-	
Lower Roach (River mouth - El Delirio)						$oldsymbol{\dagger}$	Ì		1				
Middle Reach (El Delino - Aramusca)					<b>Ji</b>								
Olomoga Diversion / Retarding					I								
Tolemotoring System													
4. Engineering Services										-			
Detailed Design													
Assistance in Tendering									,				
Supervision		-			1		l						
REST OF MASTER PLAN PROJECT													
1. Foundity Study													
2. Loan Process						I				,			
3. Lund Acquisition							ı						
4. Construction								11					
Lower Reach (River mouth - El Delino)												ومحوصية	
Middle Reach (El Dolino - Aramuaca)													
Reach around San Miguel City													
5. Engineering Services													
Detailed Design						<b>.I</b>							
Assistance in Tendenng													
Supervision													I

Figure N.5.1 CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

# **SUPPORTING REPORT**

1

O: PROJECT EVALUATION

## Supporting Report O: Project Evaluation

### **Table of Contents**

0:	PRO	DIECT EVALUATION	0.1
	ł.	CONDITION AND METHODOLOGY OF THE EVALUATION	0.1
		1.1 General	0.1
		1.2 Condition of Economic Evaluation	0.2
	-	1.3 Methodology of Estimating the Flood Control Benefit	0.3
		1.3.1 Objects of Flood Damage Estimated	0.3
		1.3.2 General Formula for Estimating Flood Damage	0.3
		1.3.3 Number of General Assets and Area of	
		Agricultural Fields in Flood Prone Area	0.4
		1.3.4 Appraisal Values of Assets	0.6
		1.3.5 Rate of Flood Damage to Assets	0.6
	2.	ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF PROJECTS FOR MASTER PLAN	0.7
		2.1 General	0.7
		2.2 Economic Benefit	0.7
		2.3 Economic Cost	0.8
		2.4 Economic Evaluation	0.9
		2.4.1 Economic Effects of the River Improvement Projects	0.9
		2.4.2 Economic Effect of the Multipurpose Dam Project	0.10
	3.	FEASIBILITY STUDY OF PRIORITY PROJECT	0.11
		3.1 General	0.11
		3.2 Economic Evaluation	O.12
		3.2.1 Economic Benefit	0.12
		3.2.2 Economic Cost	0.13
		3.2.3 Economic Evaluation	0.14
		3.3 Consideration of Financial Aspects	0.15
		3.3.1 Raising of the Project Fund	O.15
		3.3.2 Renayment of External Debt	0.16

### List of Tables and Figures in Supporting Report O

Table O.1.1	Estimate of Standard Conversion Rate (SCR)	O.T.1
Table O.1.2	Average Appraisal Values of Assets in Flood Prone Area (at the 1996 Prices)	О.Т.2
Table O.1.3	Inundation Damage Rate of Assets	O.T.3
Table O.2.1	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by Implementing the Project	О.Т.4
Table O.2.2	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by Implementing the Project	О.Т.5
Table O.2.3	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by Implementing the Project	О.Т.6
Table O.2.4 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	О.Т.7
Table O.2.4 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	О.Т.8
Table O.2.5 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	О.Т.9
Table O.2.5 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.10
Table O.2.6 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.11
Table O.2.6 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.12
Table O.2.7 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.13
Table O.2.7 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.14
Table O.2.8 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.15
Table O.2.8 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost	O.T.16
Table O.2.9	Economic Analysis	O.T.17
Table O.2.10	Economic Analysis	O.T.18
Table O.2.11	Personal Per	O.T.19
Table O.2.12	Economic Analysis	О.Т.20
Table O.2.13	Economic Analysis	O.T.21
Table O.2.14	Economic Analysis of Multi-Purpose Dam Project	O.T.22

E

Table O.3.1	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by  Implementing the Project	23
Table O.3.2	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by  Implementing the Project	24
Table O.3.3	Reduction in Number and Area of Inundation Assets Expected by  Implementing the Project	25
Table O.3.4 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.3	26
Table O.3.4 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.2	27
Table O.3.5 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.2	28
Table O.3.5 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.2	29
Table O.3.6 (1/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.	30
Table O.3.6 (2/2)	Estimate of Economic Cost O.T.:	31
Table O.3.7	Economic Analysis O.T.	32
Table O.3.8	Economic Analysis O.T.:	33
Table O.3.9	Economic Analysis O.T.	34
Table O.3.10	Repayment Schedule of External Debt O.T.	35
Table O.3.11	Reduction in Average Annual Flood Damage Expected by Implementing the	
	Priority Project O.T.	36
Table O.3.12	Average Annual Flood Damage O.T.	36



### O: PROJECT EVALUATION

### 1. CONDITION AND METHODOLOGY OF THE EVALUATION

### 1.1 General

1

The Study Area covers 2,247 square km ranging the four Departments; Usulutan, San Miguel, Morazan and La Union in the Region IV. In the Study Area, the flood prone area, which is caused by river water of the Rio Grande de San Miguel, is estimated at approximately 180 square km in the three Departments of San Miguel, Usulutan and La Union.

The present study would be aiming to formulate a project so as to reduce the flood damage by executing the project, and its effect is evaluated from the economic, financial and environmental points of view. Among them, the economic and financial evaluations would be made in the present Supporting Report-O. The environmental impact would be assessed based on an initial environmental examination as shown in the Supporting Report -F.

The economic evaluation would be made by comparing the two present values of economic benefit and economic cost of the project. The financial evaluation would be examined about raise and refund of the construction fund of the project, not to carry out a comparison between cost and revenue, because the project have no financial revenue.

The project evaluation is made in two stages; the master plan and the feasibility study. In the master plan (Chapter 2), the economic evaluation is carried out for the whole flood prone area, three alternatives of the priority project, and the remaining projects; where the priority project contains three areas of Olomega, Jocotal and Usulutan, except San Miguel area, and it is prepared as the three alternatives of 2-, 5- and 10-year flood control plans. The remaining projects are defined as the difference between the master plan and the priority project (see the Supporting Report -M).

In addition to them, an economic evaluation would be made for a multipurpose dam, which includes irrigation and power generation projects together with the flood control project, with a view to comparing the economic effect between two flood control projects of dam construction and river improvement.

In the feasibility study, the economic evaluation of the priority project is made including two sub-projects of the priority project. Between the sub-projects, one includes the Jocotal and Usulutan areas, except Olomega area, and the other is only the Usulutan area. In addition, a sensitivity test would be carried out to confirm the economic feasibility of the priority project, and the financial aspects of the priority project also would be examined at the feasibility study stage (Chapter 3).

### 1.2 Condition of Economic Evaluation

An economic investment effect of the project is evaluated by an economic difference between "with-project" and "without-project" situations, by means of Economic Internal Rate of Return (EIRR) together with Net Present Value (NPV) and Benefit-Cost Ratio (B/C). The economic cost and economic benefit of the project are estimated using the economic prices under the conditions and assumptions as shown below:

^

- (a) Transfer payments such as value added tax, income tax and corporation tax are excluded from cost and benefit of the project;
- (b) A standard conversion rate (SCR), which will be applied to equipment and materials procured locally, is assumed to be approximately 96 % (Table O1.1);
- (c) Opportunity cost of wages for unskilled laborers is assumed to be 90 % of the existing cost, taking unemployment situations in recent years into consideration;
- (d) Opportunity cost of land to be acquired for the project is assumed to be 90 % of the existing cost, taking into consideration the vacant condition of the land; and
- (e) Inflation factor is taken no account for the economic evaluation.

Further, the following conditions are added for estimating the cost and benefit of the project:

Economic life of the project (hereinafter referred to as the "project life") is taken as 30 years after completion of the construction work;.

- (2) The benefit of the project and the OM cost (operating and maintenance cost) for construction facilities are expected to accrue every year during period of the project life after completion of the construction work; and
- (3) Partial benefit and OM cost for the construction period are assumed to accrue in proportional to progress of the construction work, i.e. the benefit and the OM cost are approximately estimated by a ratio of the invested construction cost to the total construction cost.

### 1.3 Methodology of Estimating the Flood Control Benefit

### 1.3.1 Objects of Flood Damage Estimated

The major economic benefit of the flood control project could be presented as an expected reduction effect in flood damage by implementing the project. The major flood damage to be reduced is composed of the damage to assets and the damage to economic activities.

In the present study, the assets are represented by buildings, household effects, livestock, public facilities and agricultural field crops. The buildings and household effects are called the "general assets" herein. The general assets consist of residences (three kinds of medium, low and poor classes), stores and other houses. Each household in the residence keeps some livestock such as pigs and chickens.

The public facilities contain facilities of transport, agriculture, electricity, water supply, drainage, etc. The agricultural field crops are limited to major crops such as annual crops, sugar cane and pasture, where the annual crops are represented by maize.

### 1.3.2 General Formula for Estimating Flood Damage

The flood damages to the general assets and livestock could be estimated by using (a) number of the assets to be inundated by flood, (b) appraisal values of the assets, and (c) damage rate of the assets inundated. It can be expressed by an equation as follows:

$$D_i = N_i \cdot A_i \cdot R_i$$

where i: Kind of buildings,

Di: Flood damage to general asset and livestock for i-kind of building,

Ni: Number of i-kind of buildings,

Ai: Average appraisal values per general asset and livestock for i-kind of building,

Š

and

Ri: Average damage rate of general assets and livestock for i-building.

On the other hand, the flood damage to the agricultural field crops could be estimated by using (a) inundation areas in the agricultural crop fields, (b) production of a unit area, and (c) the damage rate of the agricultural field crops inundated, and it can be expressed by the following equation:

$$D_j = A_j \cdot V_j \cdot R_j$$

where j: Kind of Agricultural field crops,

Di: Flood damage to j-crop,

Aj: Planted area of j-crop,

Vj: Average unit price of j-crop, and

Ri: Average damage rate for j-crop.

The flood damage to pasture is represented by reduction in meat and milk produced in the pasture land, using the area of pasture land inundated, and production of meat and milk per unit area of pasture land, and damage rate of meat and milk caused by inundation.

1.3.3 Number of General Assets and Area of Agricultural Fields in Flood Prone Area Number of buildings and area of agricultural fields to be inundated by floods are studied by return period of probable flood using land use maps and aerial photographs, on the basis of hydraulic and hydrological analyses.

These number and area are firstly counted by inundation depth for each situation of "with-project" and "without-project". Finally, those are given as a difference between "with-project" and "without-project" situations, i.e. the difference indicates number of assets and area of agricultural field crops which the flood damage will be reduced by implementing the project.

The number of buildings in the flood prone area is estimated under the following conditions and assumptions:

- (a) Out of the number of buildings, the number of residential houses is estimated using family size and population density, assuming that it will be nearly equal to the number of households.
- (b) The family size and population density would apply the projected values in 2010, as an average for the period from 2005 to 2015, when the construction works of various alternative projects will be completed.
- (c) These values would be estimated using the population projection in Municipalities related to the flood prone area, because there are not available data in Cantons. In 2010, these are projected to be 4.0 persons/hh for the family size and 405 persons/square kilometer for the population density (see Table C2.7, Supporting Report -C). Under the conditions above, the number of residential houses is estimated at 1.0125 houses/ha, and it would be adopted as a material for estimating the flood damage.
- (d) The total number of residential houses in the flooded land would be estimated by multiplying the above number per square kilometer with an area of flooded land.
- (e) The number of residential houses in the flooded area would be divided into three classes; medium, low and poor, at a ratio of 22.8, 53.1 and 24.1, according to a result of the flood damage survey in the flood prone area. In addition, the number of buildings of stores and others is assumed to be approximately 2.0 % of the total number of residential houses based on the said survey.
- (f) Under the condition and assumption above, the number of buildings to be saved from the flood damage could be calculated by alternative plan of flood control project, return period and water depth of inundation. The results are described in the succeeding Chapters 2 and 3.

### 1.3.4 Appraisal Values of Assets

An interview survey was carried out to obtain the present appraisal values of buildings, household effects and livestock for residences, shops and other houses in the flood prone area, and available samples of 227 were collected. These average appraisal values are listed according to categories of buildings, as shown in Table O1.2.

With regard to the agricultural field crops, values such as production (tons/ha), prices (Cols./ton) and yield (Cols./ha) at the farm gate were estimated on the basis of agricultural production statistics and the result of questionnaire survey to the agencies and farmers concerned. Value of pasture was converted into production, price and yield of meat and milk of cattle raised in the pasture land. These data together with the appraisal values of the general assets are given in the said table.

### 1.3.5 Rate of Flood Damage to Assets

The rate of flood damage to assets in the flood prone area is estimated by water depth of inundation under the following conditions:

- (a) The flood damage rate of the general assets is based on data of similar condition in the tropical zone; Guatemala, Bolivia, Indonesia, etc., because it was difficult to estimate an available damage rate from the results of interview survey to people in the flood prone area. The damage rates of livestock and agricultural crops are estimated using the results of interview survey and data of the MAG (Table O1.3)
- (b) In the present study, the damage to the public facilities is assumed to be 34 % of the damage to general assets, in accordance with similar projects in the South-east Asian countries, because it was difficult to estimate the flood damage to these facilities using the past flood damage records in the flood prone area.
- (c) The economic losses in the business activities are caused by suspensions of business activities and road traffic in and around the inundation area. Actually, inhabitants and enterprises in and around the flooded area have been obliged to suspend all or a part of their business and productive activities during some periods in and after flooding. However, it is very difficult to grasp accurately these economic losses from records of the past flood damage. Therefore, in the present study, these losses are assumed to be approximately 6 % of the flood damage to general assets, in accordance with other similar projects.

### 2. ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF PROJECTS FOR MASTER PLAN

### 2.1 General

The flood prone area is divided into four zones of Usulutan, Jocotal, Olomega and San Miguel. In the present chapter, an economic evaluation of the flood control project is made for a master plan which covers these all zones. The master plan has been formulated for a project with 10-year flood control plan, taking account of flood conditions in the past, the situation of land use and financial aspect of the project.

Following the master plan study, some alternative plans were evaluated economically, for the purpose of selecting a priority project; where the alternative plans have been prepared for three kinds of probability floods with 2-, 5- and 10-year.

### 2.2 Economic Benefit

Based on a difference of two inundation areas between without-project and with-project situations, number and area of assets to be saved from flood damage are given by category of assets, return period of flood and water depth, as listed in Tables O2.1, O2.2 and O2.3.

The damage reduced is estimated for each return period by using the tables above, under conditions and assumptions shown in Chapter 1. The following tables give reduction amount of damage expected by implementing the projects for the master plan (M/P), and the priority projects (P/P) with 10-year and 2-year flood control plans:

Reduction	in Flood	Damage	(Cals	Million
ACHICIAN	113 6'16 N.H.	LJAHIAYC I	it Juis.	TARREST PARTY

Return Period	M/P	P/P	P/P
(Year)	(10-year)	(10-year)	(2-year)
1	46.46	45.97	38.50
2	112.45	112.22	76.14
5	145.54	143.90	65.48
10	170.72	168.62	88.25
20	196.03	193.94	109.45
50	173.95	171.84	107.83
100	116.60	114.15	116.52

Using the reduction in flood damage above, an expected Average Annual Benefit would be estimated as follows:

	M/P	P/P	P/P
	(10-year)	<u>(10-year)</u>	<u>(2-year)</u>
Average Annual Benefit:	156.87	155.54	105.42
(Cols. Million)			

The average annual benefit of an alternative priority project with 5-year flood control plan is estimated at approximately Cols. 124.21 Million by an interpolation using the two benefits of the alternative priority projects indicated above. Regarding the remaining Project, which is defined as a difference between M/P and P/P, its average annual benefit would become Cols. 51.45 Million. These benefits would be transferred to Tables O2.9 to O2.13 respectively, to make the economic analyses of the projects.

### 2.3 Economic Cost

The economic costs are obtained by converting from the project costs, under the conditions and assumptions described in Chapter 1 as well as the following conditions:

- (a) Commodity and unskilled labor costs included in the local currency portion (L.C.) of the construction cost are assumed to be a ratio of approximately 40: 60.
- (b) The foreign currency portion (F.C.) in the project cost is regarded as a tax-free.

Tables O2.4 through O2.8 give the economic costs which were converted form the financial costs of the projects for alternative plans. The total amount of the economic and financial costs for the alternative plans are listed below:

Alternative Projects	Constru	ction Cost	Annual	OM Cost
	Financial	Economic	Financial	Economic
1. M/P	1,577,56	998.29	10.04	4.03
2. P/P (2-Year)	775.98	540.15	4.03	2.15
3. Alt.1 of P/P (5-Year)	1,033.62	724.87	5.44	2.92
4. Alt.2 of P/P (10-Year)	1,355.63	540.15	4.03	2.15
5. Rest of M/P Project	801.56	458.14	4.65	1.88

The annual flows of the economic costs and the economic OM costs are transferred to Tables O2.9 to O2.13, for the purpose of the economic analyses of the projects.

### 2.4 Economic Evaluation

### 2.4.1 Economic Effects of the River Improvement Projects

The economic feasibility of each project is examined using cash flows of the economic cost and benefit shown in Tables O2.9 to O2.13. As a result, EIRR of the project for the master plan (M/P) indicates 14.6 %, supporting that the project is economically feasible, in view of the opportunity cost of capital (approximately 12 %) in El Salvador. In addition, NPV of Cols. 99.51 Million and B/C of 1.2 at a discount rate of 12 % support the economic feasibility of the project.

The priority project with 2-year flood control plan shows the EIRR of 18.1 %, which is higher rate than the M/P. Besides, the EIRR for two alternative plans were 15.9 % for the 5-year flood control plan and 15.2 % for the 10-year flood control plan. It shows that the projects for these alternative plans also are feasible economically, though somewhat lower percentage than the P/P on the EIRR. While, the remaining project indicates a relatively low EIRR of 10.1 %. This percentage is expected to have the economic feasibility, subject that the project will have a valuable intangible effects.

As a result of EIRR, it shows that the priority project with 2-year flood control plan will have the highest feasibility economically, among alternative projects. The results of evaluation indices are summarized as follows:

Alternative Projects	EIRR	NPV	B/C
	(%)	(Cols. Milli	on)
1. M/P	14.56	99.51	1.20
2. P/P (2-Year)	18.11	161.31	1.49
3. Alt.1of P/P (5-Year)	15.93	136.42	1.31
4. Alt.2 of P/P (10-Year)	15.17	143.77	1.25
5. Rest of M/P Project	10.09	22.51	0.86

### 2.4.2 Economic Effect of the Multipurpose Dam Project

Economic effect of a dam multipurpose project, which has three purposes of flood control, irrigation and power generation, is evaluated herein, for the purpose of comparing with the effect of river improvement project on the flood control plan.

### (1) Economic Benefit

Economic benefit of the dam construction project would be given as the total of three benefits which accrue from flood control, irrigation and power generation. The average annual benefit of the flood control project is estimated at Cols. 156.87 Million by applying the benefit for the master plan shown in Table O2.9.

Regarding the irrigation project, the benefit of the San Miguel area in "Proyecto de Riego Usulutan-San Miguel 1975" would be adopted, taking account of an average annual inflation rate of 15.26 % for the period 1975-1996 and the VAT of 13 %. As a result, the annual economic benefits at the 1996 price level, which will accrue after completion of the dam construction, are estimated as follows:

Annual Benefits
(Cols. Million)
02.020
93.830
172.536
224.489
276.128
290.240
345.322
350.183
351.193

In the present study, an alternative facility cost method would be applied to estimate of the economic benefit of the hydropower project. The benefit is composed of costs of two sorts to

be saved; one is the construction cost of thermal power plant, and the other is the annual cost which contains the OM cost of thermal plant facilities and the energy cost of fuel spent for operating it..

As a result, the economic benefit of the power generation is estimated at Cols. 71.054 Million for the construction of plant and Cols. 22.565 Million for the annual cost. As is obvious from these values, the power generation benefit is very low compared with the benefits of flood control and irrigation sectors, because of the hydropower plant of a relatively small size.

### (2) Economic Cost

The project consists of construction of a dam body and its incidental facilities, a partial improvement of river facilities for flood control, and construction of irrigation facilities. The economic cost is estimated at Cols. 2,805.31 Million in total for the construction cost and Cols. 11.77 Million for the annual OM cost. Table O2.14 gives the annual disbursement of these costs together with annual flow of the economic benefits. The project life is taken as 50 years after completion of the dam construction.

### (3) Economic Evaluation

EIRR of the multipurpose dam construction project would be estimated at 10.3 % as shown in Table O2.14. This rate is low by 4.3 % compared with EIRR (14.6 %) for the master plan in the present study.

### 3. FEASIBILITY STUDY OF PRIORITY PROJECT

### 3.1 General

As a result of the master plan study, it has been recognized that the priority project with 2-year flood control plan, among alternative plans, had the highest feasibility economically. The economic evaluation of this priority project (Alt. I) was carried out for the whole zone of Olomega, Jocotal and Usulutan.

So, an economic effect of the project for each zone would be examined herein, taking account that the project area may be divided by zone at the implementation stage, caused by limitation

on budget, regional conditions, etc. The evaluated areas would be limited to two areas of a combined zone of Jocotal and Usulutan (Alt. II) and single zone of Usulutan (Alt. III).

While, single zone of each Olomega and Jocotal, and a combined zone of Olomega and Jocotal would be omitted from the economic evaluation, because there is a danger of expanding the flood damage in the lower basin of river by improving the upper reaches.

Regarding the economic evaluation of the priority project, a sensitivity of EIRR would be tested, and further the indirect effect would be evaluated. The financial aspects of the project also would be discussed in this Chapter.

### 3.2 Economic Evaluation

### 3.2.1 Economic Benefit

Based on a difference of two inundation areas between without-project and with-project situations, number and area of assets to be saved from flood damage are given for Alts. II and III of the priority project, together to the assets of the priority project (Tables O3.1, O3.2 and O3.3).

The damage reduced by implementing the project is estimated for each return period by using the tables above, under the same conditions and assumptions as shown in Chapter 2. The results are summarized as follows:

Reduction in Flood Damage (Cols. Million)

Return Period	P/P(Alt. I)	Alt. II	Alt. III	
(Year)	(O+J+U)	(J+U)	(U)	
1	38.50	21.68	2.04	
2	76.14	40.34	12.27	
5	65.48	49.09	12.22	
10	88.25	54.20	13.21	
20	109.45	59.41	14.04	
50	107.83	64.39	16.71	
100	116.52	68.94	19.61	

Using the reduction in flood damage above, an expected Average Annual Benefit would be estimated as follows:

	P/P	Alt. II	Alt. III
	<u>(O+J+U)</u>	(J+U)	<u>(Ū)</u>
Average Annual Benefit:	105.42	61.13	11.89
(Cols. Million)			

These benefits would be transferred to Tables O3.7 to O3.9 respectively, for the purpose of the economic analyses of the projects.

### 3.2.2 Economic Cost

The economic costs are obtained by converting the project costs, under the same conditions and assumptions as described in Chapter 2 (see Tables O3.4 to O3.6). The annual flows of the economic costs are transferred to Tables O3.7 to O3.9, to make the economic analyses, and the total amount of the economic and financial costs together with their OM costs are summarized below:

Alternative Projects	Constru	ction Cost	Annual C	OM Cost
	Financial	Economic	Financial	Economic
1. P/P (Alt. I)	775.98	540.15	4.03	2.15
2. Alt. II (J+U)	305.44	211.97	1.56	0.83
3. Alt. III (U)	89.75	62.16	0.43	0.23

### 3.2.3 Economic Evaluation

### (1) Direct Effects

The economic feasibility of each project is examined using cash flows of the economic cost and benefit shown in Tables O3.7 to O3.9. As a result, EIRR of the projects is estimated at 18.1 % for the priority project (Alt. I), 26.3 % for the Alt. II and 17.5 % for the Alt. III.

These percentage show that all alternative projects are economically feasible, in view of the opportunity cost of capital (approximately 12 %) in El Salvador. NPV and B/C at a discount rate of 12 % are summarized below:

Alternative Projects	EIRR (%)	NPV (Cols. Million)	B/C
1. P/P (Alt. I)	18.11	161.31	1.49
2. Alt. II (J+U)	26.31	155.61	2.20
3. Alt. III (U)	17.52	17.09	1.45

Next, on the priority project, an EIRR sensitivity would be tested under the conditions of the increase in 5 % and 10 % of the economic cost and the decrease in 5 % and 10 % of the economic benefit. The result is summarized in the following table:

As is obvious from figures in table, the priority project would indicates a high feasibility economically, maintaining the EIRR of 14.6 %, even in the unfavorable case where the increase in cost and the decrease in benefit are both 10 %.

]	EIRR Sensitivity T	<u>est (%)</u>	
Decrease in	Incre	ease in Cost	
Benefit	0 %	5 %	10 %
0 %	18.1	17.5	17.0
5 %	17.5	16.9	15.8
10 %	16.8	15.7	14.6

### (2) Indirect Tangible Effects

After completion of the flood control project, the most expected indirect effect will be an utilization of an unused land. It is expected that an unused land caused by flood will be converted into an effective land such as agricultural land and residential area.

For example, in case used as an agricultural land, it is expected that the project will produce an agricultural land area of approximately 3,300 ha, consisting of 1,500 ha for the maize plantation and 1,800 ha for the sugar cane plantation, based on the land classification maps in the flood prone area.

Net economic benefit produced by these lands would be estimated at Cols. 6.5 Million per annum, i.e. it would be to rise by approximately 0.5 % as to the BIRR of the project.

### (3) Indirect Intangible Effects

The following indirect intangible effects could be expected by implementing the flood control project:

### (a) Improvement of social environment

- i) Increase in a favorable communication in the region owing to reductions in traffic suspension caused by flood;
- ii) Decrease in malignant infectious diseases due to improvement of sanitary condition; and
- iii) Improvement of the fauna situation due to stabilization of water quality of the lake Jocotal.

### (b) Activation of regional economy

- i) Increase in agricultural production due to increase of arable lands;
- ii) Promotion of regional economic development by investing a huge construction fund;
- iii) Increase in employment opportunity;
- iv) Stabilization of fishery at the lake Olomega, by keeping a preferable water level of the lake; and
- v) Acceleration of a sustainable economic development through soil erosion control in the river basin.

# 3.3 Consideration of Financial Aspects

# 3.3.1 Raising of the Project Fund

In the present section, a consideration would be given on raising of the construction fund, in order to examine a financial viability of the project.

According to estimates of the project cost described in "Supporting Report - N", the construction cost of the priority project is estimated at Cols. 775.9 Million (equivalent to US\$ 88.67 Million) in total, in accordance with the annual disbursement schedule shown in Table O3.10.

The construction fund is assumed to be raised from two sources of self-fund and external debt, under conditions as follows:

- (1) The external debt is assumed to be 75 % of the project cost, and the remaining 25 % would come to a self-fund;
- (2) A repayment schedule for the external debt is assumed to take the loan terms of 30 years including the grace period of 10 years, and the interest rate of 6 % per annum, based on the actual condition of the external debt of El Salvador.
- (3) During the grace period, only the interest is paid, and repayment of the debt with the interest is made after the grace period.

Under the conditions above, the total fund required, US\$ 88.67 Million, is composed of US\$ 66.50 Million for the external debt and US\$ 22.17 Million for the self-fund. In accordance with the construction schedule, the fund required every year during the period from 1999 to 2005 is estimated as follows:

	Total		<u>Annual</u>	Disbu	sement			
	<b>Fund</b>	1999	<u>2000</u> <u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	2004	<u>2005</u>	
Self-fund	22.17	0.70	0.38	3.93	4.11	4.31	4.27	4.47
External Debt	66.50	2.08	1.14 11.80	12.35	12.92	12.82	13.39	
Total	88.67	2.78	1.52	15.73	16.46	17.23	17.09	17.86

Unit: US\$ Million

Of the annual disbursement, the maximum disbursement would come to US\$ 17.86 Million in total and US\$ 4.47 Million for the self-fund in 2005. The respective amounts correspond to 3.1% and 0.8% of the expected average annual public investment of the Central Government for the period from 1995 to 1999 (see Table C5.3, Supporting Report -C). These rates appear to be not so much share in the governmental finance.

### 3.3.2 Repayment of External Debt

Table O3.10 gives a tentative schedule of repayment for the external debt. The annual maximum repayment would amount to US\$ 7.32 Million in 2009 (the eleventh year from the

commencement of the project). This repayment amount consists of US\$ 3.33 Million for the capital and US\$ 3.99 Million for the interest.

According to the repayment statistics of the external debt of El Salvador (Table C6.1, Supporting Report-C), the average annual repayment amounted to US\$ 263.7 Million for the period 1990-1995, and it was trending toward increase at an annual rate of 6.3 %. The annual maximum repayment amount of US\$ 7.32 Million in 2009 for the present project will be less than 3 % of the total annual repayment of El Salvador for the said period.

In conclusion, if the fund schedule is executed under the said conditions, raising of the construction fund and repayment of the external debt seem to be possible, judging that the maximum annual disbursement is nearly 3 % of the average annual disbursement of public investment expected for the period 1995-1999, and the maximum annual repayment of the external debt is less than 3 % of the annual debt service of the Government for the period 1990-1995.



(S)

TABLE O1.1 ESTIMATE OF STANDARD CONVERSION RATE (SCR)

Items	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1995 Average
Imports (Colones Million) 12,133 15,522 Import Duty (Colones Million) 786 840	12,133	15,522	18,670	22,522	29,334	19,636
Total Rate of Import Duty (%)	12,919 6.5	12,919 16,362 6.5 5.4	19,552 4.7	23,758 5.5	31,115	20,741
Exports (Colones Million) Export Duty (Colones Million)	5,774 225	30	8,979	10,932 0	14,537 0	6,469
Total	5,549	6,630	8,970	10,932	14,537	6,416
SCR (%)	97.0	96.5	6.96	96.4	96.1	9.96

Average SCR of El Salvador: 96 %

3

# AVERAGE APPRAISAL VALUES OF ASSETS IN FLOOD PRONE AREA (AT THE 1996 PRICES) TABLE 01.2

2. Agricultural Field Crops

1. Buildings, Household Effects & Livestock

		7	Unit: Colo	Unit: Colones/household			ı		
Š	No. Kind of Buildings Buildings	Buildings	House- hold	House- hold livestock**	ģ	Crops	Production	Prist Prist	Price
1			Effects*		-		(Tons/ha)	(Tons/ha) (Cols/ton) (Cols/ha)	(Cols/ha)
	Residence				7-4	1 Sugar cane	96.43	193.54	18,663
	(1) Medium Class	53,755	31,087	1,417	73	Maize	2.09	2,577.65	5,387
	(2) Low Class	27,405	12,338	1,417	'n	3 Pasture***			
	(3) Poor Class	9,905	3,845	1,417		(I) Natural	•	•	6,790
64	Store & Others***	52,333	139,498	1		(2) Improved		•	8,570
						(3) Average			7.680
		•							

Source: MAG data and interview survey in field.

Note: \* Household effects include equipment and materials.

\*\* Livestock is pig and chicken.

\*\*\* Store & others give an average price of store and other buildings and these equipment and materials.

\*\*\* Store & others give an average price of store and other buildings and these equipment and materials.

\*\*\*\* Price of pasture is estimated based on production of meat and milk of cattle raised in pasture.

TABLE 01.3 INUNDATION DAMAGE RATE OF ASSETS

			I	Damage Rate	O		
Š	No. Inundation	General Assets		Livestock		Agricultural Field Crops	Crops
	Depth (cm.)	Buildings	Buildings Household Effects		Sugar cane	Maize	Pasture
r-4	0-25	0.140	0.111	690'0	0.548	0.214	0.181
7	25 - 50	0.198	0.127	0.206	0.642	0.457	0.280
m	50 - 100	0.355	0.254	1.000	0.926	969.0	0.561
4	100 - 150	0.452	0.325	1.000	0.973	0.910	0.841
S	150 - 200	0.453	0.343	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Source: Results of interview survey in field.

### REDUCTION IN NUMBER AND AREA OF INUNDATION TABLE 02.1 ASSETS EXPECTED BY IMPLEMENTING THE PROJECT

1.	11	Į,	
----	----	----	--

715	1 64.3	 Return	Period.
,,,	1 V.	 CLINI II	1 ((100)

	Water		N	in ber et B	uldags			A	eri. Situ	al Crops (	ha)
No	Deeth		Reside	KV.		Stores &	Fotal	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	letal
	(m)	Modium	Lea	Poor	Total	o5हीo			cane		
-1	00-025	113	251	120	4:17	10	507	115	200	832	1 224
2	0 25-0 5	131	307	139	577	12	589	50	59	303	412
3	0.5-1.0	173	404	183	760	15	715	91	159	692	942
4	1.0-1.5	49	114	52	215	4	219	9	71	233	363
_	over 15	126	294	133	553	- 11	564	13	113	482	608
-	Total	592	1.333	627	2,602	52	2,654	305	602	2,647	3,554

### 1. M/P

421	2.	Vese	Return	Perint

	Water		N	umber of B	uildings			Αį	ericu)tut	al Crops (	ha)
No	Deeth	<del></del>	Reside	oce.		Stores.	[otal	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Los	Peer	Tetal	Lite.			cane		
$\overline{}$	0 0-0 25	324	757	311	1.425	29	1,454	185	227	1,009	1,421
2	0 25-0 5	239	557	253	1,049	21	1.070	143	183	814	1,149
3	0.5-1.0	312	7:39	363	1,504	30	1,534	212	266	1.227	1,705
4	1.0-1.5	125	293	133	551	11	562	73	138	586	797
3	1.5-20	171	398	181	750	15	765	39	150	650	839
	Total	1.201	2.804	1,274	5,279	106	5,385	652	964	4,286	5,902

1. MP (3) 5-Year Return Period

Wat	ter		N	umber of B	undings			A	gricultus	al Crops (	ha)
No. Deg	√ih —		Reside	THE C		Sixes,	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
(n)	ı)	Mediam	Low	Poor	lotal	Eic.			cane		
1 00-0	25	353	825	374	1,552	31	1.583	194	229	1,012	1,435
2 0 25-	05	300	699	317	1,316	26	1,312	153	190	841	1,184
3 05-	10	444	1.037	471	1,952	39	1,991	245	328	1,446	2,020
4 10-	15	189	411	200	830	17	847	102	156	749	1,007
5 15-2	20	235	549	249	1.033	21	1,054	58	183	824	1,065
Tot	 [3]	1.521	3.551	1,611	6,683	134	6,817	753	1,085	1,872	6,711

### LMP

### (4) 10-Year Return Period

Water		N	uniber of B	aildings			Α	cricultur	al Crops (	ha)
No. Depth		Reside	nce		Stores,	Tota!	Maize	Sugar	Pastore	Total
(m)	Medium	Low	Peer	Total	Etc.		_	cane		
1 00-025	495	941	428	1,777	36	1,813	188	193	855	1,236
2 0 25-0 5	336	785	356	1,477	30	1,507	171	208	913	1,292
3 05-10	520	1,211	551	2 285	45	2,331	273	354	1,555	2 182
4 10-15	240	559	254	1,053	21	1,074	126	203	900	1,229
5 15-20	281	655	297	1,233	25	1,258	76	189	939	1,204
Total	1,782	4.157	1,886	7,825	157	7,982	834	1,147	5,162	7,143

### L M-P

### (5) 20-Year Return Period

Water		N	uncer of B	uildings			A	Sucation	al Crops (	ba)
No. Depth		Reside	OCC		Stores.	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	Lic.			cane		
1 00-025	372	868	394	1,631	33	1,567	182	159	732	1,073
2 0 25-0 5	374	872	396	1.642	33	1.675	177	199	860	1,236
3 0.5-1.0	59\$	1,396	634	2.628	53	2,681	320	419	1,820	2.559
4 10-15	394	710	322	1.336	27	1,363	168	263	1,145	1,576
5 15-20	325	759	344	1,428	29	1,457	165	235	1,174	1,515
fetal	1.973	4.635	2.090	8,668	173	8,841	953	1,275	5,731	7,959

1. M.P (6) 50-Year Return Period

Water		N	umber of B	uildings			A	ខ្លាំបន់ដែរ	al Crops (	ha)
No. Depth	· · · · · ·	Reside	D.C		Stores.	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
(m)	Medium	low	Poor	Total	Ek			caec		
1 00-025	129	301	137	567	11	578	103	0	6.3	165
2 0 25-0 5	227	529	240	995	20	1.016	104	37	270	431
3 05-10	462	1.077	489	2.028	41	2,069	232	238	1.101	1,571
4 10-15	356	831	377	1.564	31	1,595	188	282	1.245	1.716
5 15-20	383	894	406	1.683	34	1,717	147	301	1,495	1,943
Tetal	1 557	3.632	1.619	6.833	137	6,975	774	858	4.175	5.807

### 1. MP

(7) 100-Year Return Period

	Waler		N	amber of B	uddings			A	รูก เซ้าแร	al Crops (	ha)
No	Depth		Resido	n.c		Stores,	Total	Maize	Sugar	Payture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	Etc.			cane		
1	0.0-0.25	31	72	33	136	3	139	41	0	8	52
2	0 25-0 5	118	315	157	651	13	661	43	0	0	43
3	05-10	384	896	406	1,686	31	1,720	168	115	594	877
4	10-15	345	8/16	366	1.517	3-)	1,517	203	258	1,165	1.626
5	1 5-2 0	112	262	119	493	10	503	0	203	920	1,123
	Letal	1,020	2,182	1.081	1.183	90	1,573	458	576	2.697	3,721

# TABLE 02.2 REDUCTION IN NUMBER AND AREA OF INUNDATION ASSETS EXPECTED BY IMPLEMENTING THE PROJECT

### 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	Water		Nu	raber of Be	ddings			Λgı	icultural	l Crops th	3)
No.	Depth		Resider	K.G		Steves &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Postare	lotal
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			care		
1	00-025	61	142	61	267	5	273	139	- 210	876	1.225
2	0 25-0 5	81	139	86	356	7	363	39	57	242	333
3	0.5-1.0	114	267	121	502	10	512	76	155	643	874
4	10-1.5	36	132	60	247	5	252	17	75	3.7)	491
5	15-20	109	255	116	480	Ю	484	52	127	572	751
•	Total	421	93.1	447	1.852	37	1.839	323	624	2.642	3.589

# 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	Water		Nu	mber of Ba	ı Mings			Agi	icultural	Crops (n	3)
No.	D; eth		Resider	кe		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	letal
	(m)	Medium	l.ow	Poor	Total	others			C3!1C		
1	0 0-0 25	167	330	177	734	15	74)	137	144	633	914
2	0.25-0.5	134	314	143	592	12	603	111	134	573	818
3	0.5-1.0	198	462	210	870	17	883	166	223	949	1,338
4	10-15	95	221	100	415	8	423	64	115	486	665
5	15-20	138	322	146	606	12	618	73	169	719	952
	Total	732	1,709	776	3,217	64	3,282	551	776	3,350	4.687

### 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	Water	-	No	inter of Bu	iddings			Ag <sup>,</sup>	icultural	Crops (h	3)
No	Decth		Resider	904°		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	िल्य
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			cane		
ī	0.0-0 25	195	454	206	855	17	872	160	170	750	1,080
2	0.25-0.5	155	361	161	679	14	693	133	159	684	976
3	0.5-1.0	201	168	213	882	18	900	198	261	1.095	1.554
4	10-15	41	97	44	183	4	186	60	94	377	531
5	1.5-20	90	211	96	393	8	496	39	98	425	562
	Total	682	1.592	722	2,996	60	3.056	590	782	3.331	4,703

### 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	<del></del>	ar Return P		- :							
	Water		Nu	moer of Ba	uldings			Agr	ก่อเสียกล่	Crops (n	3)
No.	Decth		Resider	oce .		Stores &	Tetal	\$13170	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			cane		
$\overline{}$	0 0-0 25	237	552	251	1,040	21	1.060	173	174	780	1.127
2	0.25-0.5	208	484	220	912	18	930	156	186	801	1.143
3	0.5-10	288	673	305	1,266	25	1.292	253	336	1.410	1.999
4	10-15	81	190	85	357	7	364	92	143	58)	815
5	15-20	102	239	109	419	9	458	44	011	470	624
	Total	916	2,133	970	4,024	80	4,105	718	949	4.041	5.703

# 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan (5) 28-Year Return Period

	Water		N	umber of B	eidings.			Age	ricultura	Crossil	2)
No.	Deeth		Reside	nce		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sogar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	low	Poor	Total	others			cane		
<u> </u>	0.0-0 25	244	558	258	1,070	21	1 092	159	126	5%	881
2	0 25-0 5	247	577	262	1,086	22	1.107	173	200	866	1,239
3	0.5-10	363	847	334	1,591	32	1,626	309	415	1.749	2,464
4	1.0-1 5	129	300	136	564	11	575	136	209	861	1,206
5	1.5-20	119	217	126	522	10	533	58	146	614	818
	Total	1,191	2 569	1,165	4,836	97	4.933	835	1,076	1,677	6668

### 2. Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	(6) 50-Ye	ar Return P	eriod								
	Waler		Nu	mber of B	aldings			Ago	ncultural	Crops (h	3)
No.	Deeth		Resider	10e		Stores &	fetal	Maire	Sugar	Pasture	र्वेद रेएत
	(m)	Medium	lox	Poor	Tetal	others			C3DC		
<u> </u>	0.0-0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	37
2	0.25-0.5	244	570	258	1,072	21	1.034	73	0	22	95
3	05-10	375	874	397	1,615	33	1.678	330	428	1.7%	2.551
4	10-15	168	392	178	738	15	753	166	242	₹.008	1,416
5	1.5-20	147	344	156	647	13	660	85	263	841	1.129
	Total	934	2,179	989	4,102	82	3.184	691	873	3.667	5.231

### 2. Alt. 3(O+J+U)-2-year Hood Control Plan

	- <del>3</del>	ear Return			7.5						<del>-</del>
No.	Water Depth		Reside	umber of B		Stores &	Total	Maize		Crops the	a) Total
-	(m)	Modium	Los	Peor	Total	others			eane		
ī	0.0-0 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	0	37
2	0 25-0 5	263	612	278	1,152	23	1.175	37	0	0	37
. 3	0.5-10	410	958	435	1.803	36	1.839	338	418	1.765	2 522
4	10-15	190	412	201	83.1	\$7	850	183	241	1.026	1.450
5	15-20	162	377	171	70)	14	721	75	96	8-13	1.974
_	Total	1.024	2,338	1.034	4.417	90	4.587	670	855	1,595	5,120

# TABLE 023 REDUCTION IN MUMBER AND AREA OF INCOMATON ASSETS EXPECTED BY IMPLEMENTING THE PROJECT

# 3. Alt I'(O+J+U)-10-year Flood Control Plan 40-1-85-Year Dobum Parks 1

	Water		Nuc	aber of B	nMings.			Λg	ricultura	l Crops (l	6 <b>a</b> )
No	Depth		Resider	xc		Store.	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medaun	Lon	Poor	Total	Lit.			cane		
ŧ	0.0 0 25	113	264	120	497	10	507	142	200	882	1.224
2	0.25() 5	126	293	133	552	- 11	553	19	59	303	411
3	0.5-1.0	158	392	178	733	15	753	90	158	667	915
4	10-15	10)	111	52	215	4	219	9	71	284	364
5	1 5-2 0	126	299	133	558	11	559	- 13	113	482	608
	Total	582	1.362	616	2.5(4)	51	2,611	303	601	2.618	3,522

### 3. Alt.I'(O+J+I')-10-year Flood Control Plan

	(2) 2-Yea	r Return Pe	iriod								
	Water		Nu	inter of B	uildings			Λ <sub>έ</sub>	ricultura	l Crops (l	ha)
N. 3	Depah		Reside	RCC.		Store.	Tetal	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	I GIL	Poor	Total	Fie			cane		
	0.0-0.25	339	745	338	1.492	28	1.430	181	227	995	1,406
2	0.25-0.5	239	557	253	1,049	21	1,070	142	183	801	1,126
.3	0.5-1.0	342	799	363	1.504	30	3,534	211	266	1.227	1,704
4	10-15	125	293	133	551	- 11	552	72	138	581	791
5	15-20	171	398	181	750	15	765	38	150	650	838
	[লঃ]	1.196	2.792	1.268	5.256	105	5.361	647	964	4,254	5,865

### 3. McF(O+3+U)-10-year Flood Control Plan

	(3) 5-1 e2	r Return P	eriod								
	Water		Nu	after of B	addings			Ag	ricultura	Crops (	ha)
No.	Depth		Reside	nce.		Store,	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Leu	Poor	Total	Etc.			cane		
ī	0 0-0 25	353	825	374	1.552	31	1,583	194	229	1,008	1,431
2	0 25-0 5	360	699	317	1,316	26	1,312	153	190	838	1,181
3	0.5-1.0	444	1.037	471	1.952	39	1.991	246	328	1.438	2,012
4	10-15	185	431	196	812	16	828	100	156	749	1.005
5	1 5-2 0	227	531	241	999	20	1.019	57	182	793	1,032
	[otal	1.509	3,523	1.599	6.631	133	6,764	750	1,085	4,826	6,661

### 3. Mcl'(O+3+U)-10-year Flood Control Plan

(4) 10-1 (	ar Return I	Period								
Water		Nυ	mber of B	uildings			Ag	ก่อนในเล	l Crops (i	na)
No. Depth		Reide	nce		Sec.	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pastere	Total
(m)	Medium	los	Poor	रिनंश	Ftc.			cane		
1 0 9-0 25	405	944	428	1,777	.36	1,813	188	193	851	1,232
2 0 25-0.5	336	785	356	1,477	30	1,507	171	208	907	1,286
3 0.5-1.0	520	1 214	551	2.285	46	2.331	273	354	1 549	2,176
4 10-15	249	559	251	1.053	21	1,074	126	203	893	1,222
5 15-20	265	618	281	1.164	23	1,187	72	189	959	1,170
Total	1,766	4,120	1.870	7,756	155	7.911	830	1.147	5.109	7.086

### 3. Alt.l'(O+3+U)-10-year Hood Control Flan (5) 20-Year Return Period

	Waler		No	inter of B	oildings			Ag	ricultura	l Crops (1	ta)
No	Depth		Reside	oce.		Store,	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(a)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	Ftc.			cane		
- 1	00-025	372	363	391	1.634	33	1.667	181	159	716	1.056
2	0.25-0.5	374	872	396	1.642	33	1.675	177	199	859	1.235
3	05-19	598	L396	634	2,628	53	2,681	320	419	1,811	2,550
4	10-15	304	710	322	1.336	27	1,363	168	263	1,139	1.570
5	1 5-2 0	309	722	328	1.359	27	1,386	103	235	1,136	1.474
	Fotal	1.957	4.568	2.074	8 593	172	8.771	949	1 275	5,661	7,885

### 3. Alt F(O+J+U)-10-year Flood Control Plan

	Water		Nu	mber of B	uildags			Ag	ricultura	1 Crops (1	13)
No	Depth		Resider	n:c		Store.	Total	Maize	Segar	Pastere	Total
	(ri)	Medium	Lon	Poor	lotal	Exc.			cane		
-	0.0-0.25	129	301	137	567	İl	578	102	0	42	144
2	0.25-0.5	227	529	240	996	20	610,1	104	37	261	492
3	0.5-1.0	462	1,077	489	2.028	41	2.069	231	238	1,086	3.555
4	1 0-1.5	356	831	377	1.564	31	1,595	188	282	1,238	1.708
5	1 5-2 0	367	857	389	1.613	32	1,645	144	301	1.454	1,899
	Total	1,541	3.595	1.632	6.768	135	6,903	769	858	4,681	5,708

### 3. Alt F(O+J+U)-10-year Flood Control Plan

	(7) 100-Y	ear Return	Period								
	Water		No	ader.of B	uddings			Ag	neultura	Crops (	13)
No.	Depth		Resido	nce		Store.	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	lou	Por	Total	Fic.			csus		
T	0 0-0 25	27	64	29	120	2	122	41	ő	0	41
2	0.25-0.5	147	141	156	647	13	660	41	0	0	41
3	05-10	383	891	405	1.682	31	1,716	166	155	576	857
4	10-15	311	801	365	1.513	.30	1 543	203	258	1,157	1.618
- 5	1 5-2 0	97	225	102	424	8	432	0	203	876	1.079
	ित्रव	903	2 331	1.657	4.386	88	4.474	451	576	2.609	3.636

•	inancial Cost 1999	ι	hit : Cols.	Million	12	-	conomic Cost 1999		Unit : Cols		_
<del>-</del>	Specification	L.C.	F.C	Total	N	o.	Specification	E.C	ł (°	100	_
	Construction Cost	() (=)	0.60	0 00		1	Construction Cost	(+00	0.00	ii (u)	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.60		2	Land Acquisition	() en()	Ð 90	1,4)1,	
	Administration Cost	0.60	0.00	0.00			Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1	Engineering Fee	7 53	1281	20 37			Engineering Fee	6.66	12.84	19 50	
5	Physical Continuous	0.75	1 28	2 04			Physical Contingency	0.67	1 28	1 95	
	Seb-total	8 28	14 12	55 43			Seb-tetal	7.33	14 12	21 45	
6	Price Contingency	1 02	0.86	1 88		6	Poce Contingency	0(0)	0.00	0 (0	
	Total	930	14 63	24 29	OM Cost		Tou)	7.33	14 12	21.45	OMC
					0.60						
							** 70			N.E.W.	
	2000		Just : Cols.				2000		Unit : Cols		-
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C	Total	. <u>N</u>		Specification	<u>l.C.</u>	FC	िल्ल () (व)	-
	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00			Construction Cost	0(0)	0.00		
	Land Acoustion	4 80	0.00	4.80			Land Acquisition	367	0.00	3.67	
	Administration Cost	0.24	0.00	0.24			Administration Cost	0.21	0.00	0.21	
	Engineering Fee	201	3.42	5.43			Engineering Fee	178	3.42	5 20 0 91	
5	Physical Contingency	0.71	0.34	1 05			Physical Contingency	0.57	0.34	9.9)	
	Sub-total	7.76	3.76	11 52			Sub-total	6.23	3.76		
6	Price Contingency	I 48	0.35	1.83	0110	D	Price Contingency	0.03	0(0	0.00	
	िरती	924	4.11	13.35	OM Cost		Total	6.23	3.76	947	OME
					. 000 _						-
	ን/ብ1		Lhit : Cols.	Million			2001	1	Unit : Cols	Million	
	2001 Exercises	LC.	F.C.	Total	N	ю.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	•
	Specification Cost	12.06	4163	86 74	<u> </u>		Construction Cost	33 59	41.68	78 27	-
-	Construction Cost	42 00	44.63	4.80			Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3.67	
	Land Acquisition	4 58	000	1 53			Administration Cost	4.05	0.00	4 0 5	
	Administration Cost		531	8.42			Engineering Fee	2.75	5.31	8.06	
	Engineering Fee	3.H 5.16					Physical Contingency	441	5.00	9.41	
>	Physical Contingency	5.46	5.00 51.00	10.45		•	Sub-total	48 47	54.99	103.46	
	Sub-total	60.01	51.99 6.00	114 99 22 65		٨	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	15 75 25 76	6.90		OM Cost	U	Total	48.47	54 99	103.46	OM
	Total	75.76	61.89	137.04	0.00		1 (4a1	40.47	V-9 77	********	UNIT
	<del>- ,</del>		·		0.00						-
	2002		Unit : Cols.	Million			2002		Unit : Cols	Mulion	_
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	N	lo.	Specification	I.C.	F.C.	Letal	-
-	Construction Cost	42 06	44.68	86.74		1	Construction Cost	33.59	1168	78 27	-
	Land Acquisition	4 80	0.00	4.80		2	Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3 67	
	Administration Cost	4 58	0.00	4.58			Administration Cost	4.05	0.00	4 05	
	Engineering Fee	341	5.31	8.42		4	Engineering Fee	2 75	5.31	8 06	
	Physical Contingency	5.45	5.00	10.45			Physical Confingency	4 41	5.00	9.41	
•	Sub-total	60.01	54.59	114.99			Sub-total	43.47	54 99	103.46	
6	Price Contingency	20.30	3 76	29.06		6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
•	Total	89.31	63.75		OM Cost		Total	48 47	51,99	103.45	
					064 _						_
	****		n.s. c	h J.C* :			2003		Unit : Cels	Million	
	2003		Unit : Cols.		- <del>-</del> N	io.		L.C.	F.C	Tetal	-
No.	Specification Cont	12.06	1.C.	10tal 86.74			Specification Construction Cost	13 59	41.68	78 27	-
	Construction Cost	42 06	44 68 0 00	4.60			Land Acquisition	367	0.00	367	
	Land Acquisition	1.80					Administration Cost	4 05	0.00	1.05	
	Administration Cost	458	0.00	4.58			Figireering Fee	275	5.31	8 06	
	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8.42			Physical Contingency	4.41	5 00	9.41	
>	Physical Contingency	5,46	5.00 \$1.00	10.45		•	Sub-total	18.47	5499	193.46	
,	Sub-total  Brice Continues	60.01	54.99 10.69	114.99		6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	25 11	10 68 65 67	35.79	OM Cost		Total	48.47	5499	103.46	OM
	Total	85 12	03 01	130 10	1.35		- 4.743	20.77	2477		· · · · · ·
											-
	2004		Unit : Cols.	Million	_		2004		Unit : Cols	. Million	-
No.	Specification	LC.	FC.	Total	N	io	Specification	ſ.C.	F.C.	fetal	_
	Construction Cost	42 06	44 68	86.74	-	ı	Construction Cost	33 59	41 68	78 27	
	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.60	0.00		2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0 (0)	0.00	
	Administration Cost	434	0.00	434		3	Administration Cost	384	0.00	381	
	Engineering Fee	9.41	16.14	25 55		4	Engineering Lee	8 33	16 14	24.47	
	Physical Coolingency	5.58	6.68	1166			Physical Contingency	4.58	6.08	10 66	
		61 39	66 90	128 29			Seb-total	50.33	66.90	117.23	
•	200-10:21	30.92	15.38	45 30		6	Price Contingency	0 00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total Price Contingency	30.72			OM Cost		Total	50.33	66.90	117.23	OMO
	Price Contingency Total	92 31	82 28		2 15		,				_
	Price Contingency		82 28								
	Price Contingency Total	92 31					3506		Olide Co. T	14.00	
6	Price Contingency Total 2005	92 31	Unit : Cols			1	2005		Unit : Cols		-
6 No.	Price Contingency Total  2005 Specification	9231 LC.	Unit : Cols. F.C.	Total	<u> </u>	lo.	Specification	1.0	F.C.	Total	-
6 No.	Price Contingency Total  2005 Specification Construction Cost	92 31 L.C. 42 06	Unit : Cols. F.C. 4168	Total 86.74	<u> </u>	1	Specification Construction Cost	1. C 33 59	F.C. 4168	Total 78 27	-
6 No. 1 2	Price Contingency Total  2005 Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition	92 31 L.C. 42 06 2 30	Unit : Cols F.C. 44 68 0 00	Total 86.74 2.30	<u> </u>	1 2	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition	1. C 33.59 1.76	F.C. 4168 0.00	Total 78 27 1.76	-
6 No. 1 2 3	Price Contingency Total  2005  Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost	9231 LC. 4206 230 445	Unit : Cols. F.C. 44 68 0 00 0 00	Total 86.74 2.30 4.45	<u> </u>	1 2 3	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost	1. C 33 59 1.76 3 94	F.C. 4168 0.00 0.00	Total 78 27 1.76 3 9 1	-
6 No. 1 2 3	Price Contingency Total  2005 Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition	92 31 L.C. 42 06 2 30 4 45 4.79	Unit : Cols. F.C. 41 68 0 00 0 00 8 20	Total 86.74 2.30 4.45 12.90	<u> </u>	1 2 3 4	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee	1.C 33.59 1.76 3.94 4.24	F.C. 4168 0.09 0.00 8.20	Total 78 27 1.76 3 94 12 41	-
No. 1 2 3 4	Price Contingency Total  2005  Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost	92 31 L.C. 42 06 2 30 445 4.79 5 36	Unit : Cole F.C. 41 68 0 00 0 00 8 20 5 29	Total 86.74 2.30 4.45 12.90 10.65	<u> </u>	1 2 3 4	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency	1.C 33.59 1.76 3.94 4.24 4.35	F.C. 4168 0.00 0.00 8.20 5.29	Total 78 27 1.76 3 94 12 44 9 64	-
No. 1 2 3 4	Price Contingency Total  2005  Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee	92 31 L.C. 42 06 2 30 4 45 4.79 5 36 58 96	Unit : Cole F.C. 44 68 0 00 0 00 8 20 5 29 53 17	Total 86.74 2.30 4.45 12.90 10.65 117.13	<u> </u>	1 2 3 4 5	Specification Censtruction Cest Land Acquisition Administration Cest Engineering Fee Physical Centingency Sub-total	1.C 33.59 1.76 3.94 4.24 4.35 47.88	F.C. 4168 0.00 0.00 8.20 5.29 58.17	Total 78 27 1.76 3 94 12 44 9 64 106 04	-
No. 1 2 3 1 5	Price Contingency Total  2005  Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency	92 31 L.C. 42 06 2 30 445 4.79 5 36	Unit : Cole F.C. 41 68 0 00 0 00 8 20 5 29	Total 86.74 2 30 4 45 12 90 10.65 117.13 50 54	OM Cost	1 2 3 4 5	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency	1.C 33.59 1.76 3.94 4.24 4.35	F.C. 4168 0.00 0.00 8.20 5.29	Total 78 27 1.76 3 94 12 44 9 64	-

MP	1.	ABEF O	2.4 (2/2)	ESTIMATE	OF ECONOMIC COST				
l) Financial Cost					(2) Economic Cost				
2006	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Unit Col	s. Million	_	2006	, <u></u>	Unit: Col		_
o Specification	1. C.	FC.	lotal	_	No. Specification	<u> </u>		total	_
1 Construction Cost	31 80	42.96	74 76	•	Construction Cost	25.39	42 %	68 35	
2. I and Acquisition	230	0.00	2 30	ļ.	2 Land Acquisition	1.76	0.00	1 76	
3 Administration Cost	3.85	0.00	3 85		3 Administration Cost	3.41	0.00	341	
4 Trigincering Fee	2(0)	4.42	7 02		4 Engineering Fee	2 30	4 42	672	
5 Physical Contingency	4 06	4.74	879		5 Physical Contingency	3 29	474	8 02	
Sub-total	4161	52.12	96 72		Sub-total	35 15	52 12	88 27	
6 Price Confingency	30.76	15.88	45 64		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	75.37	68 00		OM Cost	Total	36.15	52 12		OMC
				403					-
2007		Unit : Col	. 1400.00	_	2007	-	Unit : Col	. M.Hisa	
o. Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-		t.c.	E.C.	Total	-
1 Construction Cost	31 80	12.96	7176	_	No. Specification	25 39		68.35	•
					I Construction Cost		42 95		
2 Land Acquivition	0.00	0.00	0.00		2 Lend Acquisition	900	0.00	0.00	
3 Administration Cost	374	0.00	3.74		3 Administration Cost	3 31	0.00	331	
4 Engineering Fee	2 60	4 42	7.02		1 Figureering Fee	2 30	4.42	6.72	
5 Physical Contineency	3 81	4 74	8 55		5 Physical Contingency	3 10	4.74	7 84	
Sub-total	41 95	52 12	94.07		Sub-total	34.11	52 12	86 22	
6 Price Contingency	33.18	17.92	51.10		<ol><li>Price Contingency</li></ol>	9 00	0.00	0.00	
Total	75 13	70.04	145.17	OM Cost	Total	34 11	52 12	86 22	OMC
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			- 5.01					-
2008		Unit : Cols		_	2003		Unit : Col		-
Specification	L.C.	FC.	Total	_	No. Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_
L Construction Cost	31 80	42 96	74 76		1 Construction Cost	25.39	42 96	63.35	
2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		2 Land Acquisition	60.0	0.00	0.00	
3 Administration Cost	3.74	0.00	3.74		3 Administration Cost	3.31	0.00	331	
4 Engineering Fee	2.60	4.42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	2 30	4.42	6.72	
<ol> <li>Physical Contingency</li> </ol>	3.81	4 74	8 55		5 Physical Contingency	3 10	4 74	7.84	
Sub-total	41.95	52 12	94.07		Sub-total	34.11	52 12	86 22	
6 Price Contingency	37.69	20 02	57.71		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	79.64	72 14	151.78	OM Cost	Total	34 11	52 12		OMIC
		<del></del>		- 609					_ ;
2009		Unit : Cols	. Million		2009		Unit : Cols	. Million	
o. Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-	No. Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
1 Construction Cost	31.80	42 %	74.76	-	1 Construction Cost	25 39	12.96	68 35	-
2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0 00	000	
3 Administration Cost	3.74	0.00	3.74		3 Administration Cost	3.31	0.00	331	
4 Engineering Fee	2 69	4.42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	2 30	4.42	6.72	
5 Physical Contingency	381	4.74	8 55				474		
Sub-total					5 Physical Contingency	3.10		7.84	
	41 95	52 12	94.07		Sub-total	34.11	52 12	86 22	
6 Price Contingency	42 47	22 19	64.66		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	84 42	74 31	158 73	OM Cost 7 28	Total	34.11	52.12	86 22	OM C
		<del></del> -		- '20			<u> </u>		-
2010 Specification		Unit : Cols		<del>-</del>	2010	70	Unit : Cols		-
	LC.	F.C.	Total	-	No. Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
L Construction Cost	31 80	42.96	74 76		1 Construction Cost	25.39	42 96	68 35	
2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0 00		2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3 Administration Cost	377	0.00	3,77		3 Administration Cost	3 34	0.00	3 34	
Engineering Fee	2 60	4 42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	2 39	4 42	6.72	
5 Physical Contingency	3 82	4.74	8 56		5 Physical Contingency	3.10	4 74	7.84	
Sub-total	41 99	52 12	94.11		Sub-total	34 14	52 12	85 25	
6 Price Contingency	47.60	24.43	72.03		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	89.59	76 55		OM Cost	Total	34.14	52 12		омс
				8 60					. 3
		Unit : Cols	Million	_	Total		Unit : Cols	Million	_
	LC.	F.C.	Total		No. Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
. Specification	·		807.50	-	1 Construction Cost	294 92	438 20	733.12	•
. Specification	369.30	438 20				18 20	0.00	18 20	
Specification  Construction Cost	·	438 20 0 00	23.80		2 Land Acquisition		V VV		
Specification  1 Construction Cost  2 Land Acquisition	369.30								
Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost	369.30 23.50 41.61	0 00 0 00	41.61		3 Administration Cost	35 82	0.00	36 82	
Specification     Construction Cost     Land Acquisition     Administration Cost     Frgincering Fee	369.30 23.50 41.61 46.07	0 00 0 00 78 63	41.61 124.70		3 Administration Cost 4 Engineering Fee	35 82 40.77	0.00 78 63	36 82 119.40	
Specification     Construction Cost     Land Acquisition     Administration Cost     Frgincering Fee     Physical Contingency	369.30 23.50 41.61 46.07 48.08	0 00 0 00 78 63 51 68	41.61 124.70 99.76		3 Administration Cost 4 Engineering Fee 5 Physical Contingency	35 82 40.77 39.07	0.00 78 63 51 68	36 82 119.40 90 75	
Specification     Construction Cost     Land Acquisition     Administration Cost     Fragineering Fee     Paysical Contingency     Sub-total	369.30 23.50 41.61 46.07 48.08 523.86	0 00 0 00 73 63 51 68 568 51	41.61 124.70 99.76 1,097.37		3 Administration Cost 4 Engineering Fee 5 Physical Contingency Sub-total	35 82 40.77 39.07 129.78	0.00 78 63 51 68 568 51	36 82 119.40 90 75 998 29	
Construction Cost     Land Acquisition     Administration Cost     Frgincering Fee     Physical Contingency	369.30 23.50 41.61 46.07 48.08	0 00 0 00 78 63 51 68	41.61 124.70 99.76 1,697.37 480.19	OM Cost	3 Administration Cost 4 Engineering Fee 5 Physical Contingency	35 82 40.77 39.07	0.00 78 63 51 68	36 82 119.40 90 75	oke.

•••	٠.	 	•	_	٠,	•
/Es	ı:	 as i	.,	1	~	

	1979	Unit : Cols Million				
No.	Specification	I C.	FC	Total		
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	•	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4	Engineering Fee	7.53	12 84	20 37		
5	Physical Contingency	0.75	1.28	2 04		
	Sub-total	8 28	14.12	22 41		
6	Price Contingency	1.02	0.85	1 58		
	Total	9.30	1498	24 29	OM Cost	
					0.00	

### (2) Feonomic Cost

	1999	Unit Cols Milico			
No	Specification	I C	TC.	[c43]	
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00		•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0 (4)	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.0)	000	
4	Engineering Fee	6 65	1281	1950	
5	Physical Contingency	0.67	1 28	195	
	Sub-total	7.33	14 12	21 45	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	9 00	0.00	
	Total	7.33	14 12	21.45	OM Cost
					0.00

	2000	. 1	Unit : Cols.	Million	_
No.	Specification	LC.	FC	Total	•
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	4 80	0.00	4.80	
3	Administration Cost	0 24	000	0.24	
\$	Engineering Fee	2.01	3.42	5.43	
5	Physical Contingency	0.71	0.31	1 05	
	Sub-total	7.76	3.76	11 52	
6	Price Contingency	1 48	0.35	183	
	Total	9 24	4.11	13.35	OM Cost
					0 00

	2000	ı	Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C	F.C.	Total	
ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0.90	9.00	
2	Land Acquisition	3.67	60.0	3.67	
3	Administration Cost	0.21	0.09	0.21	
4	Fagincering Fee	1.78	3.42	5.20	
5	Physical Cootingency	0.57	0.34	0.41	
	Sub-total	6.23	3.76	9.99	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	6.23	3.76	99)	OMices
					90

	2001		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	1.C.	F.C.	Total	_	
<u>l</u>	Construction Cost	42 06	44.68	86.74		
2	Land Acquisition	4.80	0.00	4.80		
3	Administration Cost	4 58	0.00	4 58		
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8 42		
	Physical Contingency	5.45	5.00	10.45		
	Sub-total	60.01	54.99	114.99		
6	Price Contingency	15.75	6.90	22 65		
	Total	75.76	61.89	137.64	OM Cost	
					0 00	

	2001	1	Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
~~ĩ	Construction Cost	33 59	4163	78 27	•
2	Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3 67	
3	Administration Cost	4 65	0.00	4 05	
4	Engineering Lee	2.75	5.31	8 06	
5	Physical Contingency	4.41	5.00	9.41	
	Sub-total	48.47	54.99	103.46	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	48 47	5199	103.46	OM Cost
					6.00

	2002	1	Unit : Cols.	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
	Construction Cost	42 06	1168	86 74	_
2	Land Acquisition	4.80	0.00	4 80	
3	Administration Cost	4 53	0.00	4 58	
4	Engineering Fee	3 11	531	8.42	
5	Physical Contingency	5.46	5 00	10.45	
	Sub-total	60.01	5199	114.99	
6	Price Contingency	20.30	8 76	29.06	
	Total	80.31	63 75	144.05	OM Cost
					0.64

	2002		_		
No.	Specification	IC	FC.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	33 59	4168	78 27	-
2	Land Acquisition	3 67	0.00	3 67	
3	Administration Cost	4 05	0.00	4 05	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	5 31	\$ 06	
	Physical Contingency	4.41	5.00	9.11	
	Sub-total	48.47	54.99	103.46	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	48 47	51.99	103.46	OM Cost
					0.43

	2003		Unit: Cols.	Melion	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	42 06	44.63	86.74	_
2	Land Acquisition	4.80	0.00	4 80	
3	Administration Cost	4 58	0.00	4.58	
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5 3 1	8.42	
5	Physical Contingency	5.46	5.00	10.45	
	Sub-total	60.01	54.99	114.99	
6	Price Contingency	25.11	10.67	35.78	
	Total	85.12	65.66	150.77	OM Cos
					135

2003	Unit : Cets. Milbert			_
Seccification	L.C.	F.C	Total	
Construction Cost	33 59	4168	78 27	-
Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3 67	
Administration Cost	4 65	0.00	4 05	
Engineering Fee	2 75	5.31	8 96	
Physical Contingency	441	5.00	341	
Sub-total	43 47	519)	103,46	
Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	48.47	5199	103.46	OM Cost
				0.86
	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency Sub-total Price Contingency	Specification         L.C.           Construction Cost         33 59           Land Acquisition         3.67           Administration Cost         4.05           Engineering Fee         2.75           Physical Contingency         4.41           Sub-total         43.47           Price Contingency         0.00	Specification         L.C.         F.C.           Construction Cost         33.59         44.68           Land Acquisition         3.67         0.00           Administration Cost         4.05         0.00           Engineering Fee         2.75         5.31           Physical Contingency         4.41         5.09           Sub-total         43.47         54.92           Price Contingency         0.00         0.00	Specification         L.C.         F.C.         Total           Construction Cost         33.59         44.68         78.27           Land Acquisition         3.67         0.00         3.67           Administration Cost         4.05         0.00         4.05           Engineering Fee         2.75         5.31         8.96           Physical Contingency         4.41         5.09         9.41           Sub-total         48.47         54.92         103.46           Price Contingency         0.00         0.00         0.00

2004		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	1. C.	T.C	Total	~
1	Construction Cost	42 06	4168	86 74	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	4.34	0.00	4 34	
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8.42	
5	Physical Contingency	4 95	5.00	9.95	
	Sub-total	54.46	54 99	109.45	
6	Price Contingency	27.43	12.64	40.07	
	Total	81.89	67.63	149 52	OM Cost
					2.15

2004			Unit: Cols Million		
No.	Socification	I.C	FC	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	33.52	44 63	73 27	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	3.84	0.00	3 34	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	5 31	8.06	
5	Physical Contingency	4 02	5.00	9.02	
	Sub-total	11.20	5199	9).19	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	44 20	51 99	92.19	OM Cost
					1 29

2005		!			
No	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Tetal	_
1	Construction Cost	42 06	11.68	85.74	•
2	and Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	4 29	0.00	4 29	
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8.42	
5	Physical Contingency	4.95	5.00	9.95	
	Sub-total	54.41	54 99	199.40	
6	Price Contingency	32 30	14.66	4696	
	Total	86 71	69.65	156.36	OM Cost
					3 04

2005			Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_	
1	Construction Cost	33 59	4163	78 27	_	
2	Land Acquisation	0.00	0.00	000		
3	Administration Cost	3.80	0.00	3.80		
- \$	Engineering Fee	2 75	5.31	806		
5	Physical Contingency	4.01	5 (9)	961		
	Sub-total	44.15	54 99	9911		
6	Pike Contingeray	0.00	0.00	υM		
	Total	4115	54 99	9211	OM Cost	
					1 72	

### TABLE 02.5 (2.2) ESTIMATE OF FCONOMIC COST

If Privity Project-Alt. 1 (O) Jeth-2-year Flood Control Plan (1) Financial Cost

Total		Unit Cols Million			
No	Specification	16	TC	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	210,30	223.49	43370	-
2	Land Acquisition	1920	6 (ið	[9.20]	
3	Administration Cost	22 61	0.00	22 61	
4	Lagracering Lee	25 (6)	42.81	67.90	
5	Physical Contagorery	27 72	26.62	54.34	
	Sub-total	3-14-92	292 83	597.75	
6	Price Contingency	123 39	54.84	178 23	
	l'etal	428.31	347.67	175.98	OMCos
					4 03

(d.	1		Unit: Cels	Million	
\o	Specification	1.0	ľť	Total	-
ŀ	Construction Cost	167.94	223.45	391.34	-
2	Land Acquisition	14.68	<b>0</b> 00	14 68	
3	Administration Cost	20.01	0 (4)	20.01	
1	Engineering Fee	22 20	42 81	6501	
- 5	Physical Contingency	22 48	26 62	49.10	
	Sub-total	247.32	292 83	549.15	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	247.32	292.83	540.15	OM Cos
					2.1

II. Priority Project-Alt. P (C	): J+U)-10-Year Flood Control Plan
(I) Financial Cost	
	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

1929		Unit: Cels Million					
No.	Specification	I.C.	F.C.	Total	_		
	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-		
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00			
	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00			
4	Engineering Fee	13.23	22 50	35 73			
	Physical Contagency	1 32	2 25	3 57			
_	Sub-total	14 55	24.75	39 30			
6	Price Contingency	180	1.51	3 31			
-	Total	16.15	26 26	42 61	OM Cos		
	** :==				0.0		

# (2) Feonomic Cost 1999

(2) F COROLING COST 1999					
No.	Specification	I.C.	FC.	lotal	_
1	Construction Cost	0(0)	9 00	(6)	=
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
-4	Engineering Fee	1171	22 50	34.21	
5	Physical Conlingency	1 17	2 25	3.42	
	Sub-total	12 88	24.75	37 63	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.60	0.00	
	Total	12.88	24.75	37.63	OM Co
	•				0.6

2000		:	_		
No.	Specification	1. C	F.C.	Total	=
ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	5.95	0.00	5 95	
	Administration Cost	0.30	0.00	0.30	
1	Engineering Fee	3 53	6 60	9 53	
	Physical Contingency	0.98	0 60	1 58	
	Sub-total	10.76	6.60	17.36	
6	Price Contingency	2 05	0.61	2 66	
•	Total	12 81	721	20.02	OM Cos
					0.00

2000		:			
Nα	Specification	L.Ç.	F.C.	Total	_
ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0(0)	-
2	Land Acquisition	4.55	0.00	4 55	
	Administration Cost	0.27	0.00	0.27	
4	Engineering Fee	3 12	6 00	9.12	
	Physical Cortingency	0.79	0.60	1.39	
	Sub-tetal	8 73	6.60	15.33	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	8.73	6 60	15.33	OM Cost
					0.00

	2001	Unit : Cols Million			
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_
	Construction Cost	70.78	83 22	154.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	5 95	0.00	5.95	
	Administration Cost	8.00	0.00	8.00	
4	Engineering Fee	5.47	9.30	14.77	
	Physical Contingency	9.02	9.25	18 27	
	Seb-total	99.22	10177	200.99	
6	Price Contingency	26.04	12 77	38 81	
-	Total	125 26	114 54	239 80	OM Cost
	***				0.00

2001			Unit: Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total		
T	Construction Cost	56 52	83 22	139.74	•	
2	Land Acquisition	4 55	0.00	4 55		
3	Administration Cost	7.03	0.00	768		
4	Engineering Fee	484	9.30	14 14		
	Physical Contingency	7.30	9 25	16 55		
	Sub-total	80 29	101 77	182 06		
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00		
•	[otal	89 29	101.77	182 06	OM Cost	
	•				0.0	

2002			_		
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	70.73	83.22	154.00	=
2	Land Acquisition	5.95	0.00	5.95	
	Administration Cost	8 00	0.00	8.00	
4	Engineering Fee	5.47	9.30	14 77	
	Physical Contingency	9.02	9 25	18 27	
	Sub-total	99 22	101.77	200.99	
6	Price Contingency	33 56	16 21	49.77	
-	Total	132.78	117.98	250.76	OM Cost
					1.13

	2002		Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Tetal	<del>-</del> -
1	Construction Cost	56.52	83 22	139.74	_
2	Land Acquisition	4.55	0.60	4 55	
	Administration Cost	7.08	0.00	7.68	
4	Engineering Fee	4 84	9 30	14.14	
	Physical Contingency	7.30	9 25	16.55	
	Sub-total	80.29	101.77	182.05	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	000	
_	Total	80 29	101.77	182 06	OM Cost
					0.73

	2003	Unit : Cols Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
<sub>1</sub>	Construction Cost	70.78	83 22	154 60	-
2	Land Acquisition	5.95	0.00	5.95	
	Administration Cost	8.00	0.00	8 60	
4	Engineering Fee	5 47	9.30	14 77	
	Physical Confingency	9.02	9 25	18 27	
	Sub-total	99 22	101.77	200.99	
6	Price Confingency	41 52	19.75	61 27	
	Total	140.74	121.52	262 26	OM Cost
					2 40

2003		Unit: Cols Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C	Total	•
	Construction Cost	56 52	83 22	139.74	=
2	Land Acquisition	4 55	0.00	4 55	
	Administration Cost	7.68	0.00	7.08	
4	Engineering Fee	1.81	9.30	14 14	
	Physical Contingency	7.30	9 25	16 55	
	Sub-total	80 29	101.77	182 06	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0 (0)	0.00	
	Tetal	80 29	101.77	182 06	OM Cost
					1.54

	2004	Unit: Cols. Million			_
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	70.78	83 22	154.00	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	7.70	0 00	7.70	
4	Engineering Fee	5.47	934)	14.77	
	Physical Contingency	8.40	9 2 5	17.65	
•	Sub-total	92 35	101 77	194.12	
6	Price Contingency	46 51	23.40	69.91	
	Total	133 86	125.17	261 03	OM Cost
					3.82

	2004	Unit: Cels. Million			_
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	=
ī	Construction Cost	55 52	83 22	139.74	='
2	Land Acquisition	0.60	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	5.81	0.00	681	
4	Engineering Fee	484	9.30	14 14	
5	Physical Contagency	6 82	9.25	16.07	
	Sub-total	75.00	101.77	176.77	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	75 00	101.77	176.77	OM Cost
					2.31

2005		Unit : Cels. Million		
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total
<u> </u>	Construction Cost	70 78	83 22	151 00
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Administration Cost	7.71	0.00	7.71
4	Engineering Fee	5.47	9.30	14.77
	Physical Confingency	8.40	9 25	17.65
	Sub-total	92 36	101.77	191.13
6	Price Contingency	54 87	27.15	82 02
-	Total	147.23	128 92	276 15 OM Cost
	• • • • • •			5.40

2905		_		
No. Specification	I.C.	F.C.	Total	_
Construction Cost	56 52	83 22	139.74	
2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3 Administration Cost	6.82	0.00	6.82	
1 Engineering Fee	4 84	9 30	14 14	
5 Physical Contingency	6.82	9 25	16 07	
Sub-total	75 01	101.77	176 78	
6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	75.01	101.77	176.78	OM Cost
2				3.07

### TABLE 02.6 (24) FSHWATE OF FCONOMIC COST

II Priority Project-Alt. 1' (O+J+U)-10-Year Flood Control Plan (I) Financial Cost

Total		Unit : Cols. Million			
No	Specification	LC.	1 C	Tetal	-
1	Construction Cost	353 90	416.10	770.00	_
2	Lard Acquisition	23.80	0.00	23 80	
3	Administration Cost	39.71	0.00	39.71	
4	Engineering Fee	44 11	75 00	119.11	
5	Physical Contingency	46 15	45 11	95 26	
	Sub-total	507.67	540.21	1,047.88	
6	Price Contingency	206.35	101 40	307 75	
	loid	714 02	641 61	1,355 63	OM Cost
					7.16

ીલ્યો		Unit : Cols. Million			
No	Specification	IC.	FC	Total	_
Ĩ	Construction Cost	282 62	416 19	693.72	•
2	Land Acquisition	18 20	0.00	18.20	
3	Administration Cost	35.14	0.00	35 14	
4	Engineering Fee	39.04	75 00	114 04	
5	Physical Contingency	37 50	49 11	86 61	
	Sub-total	412.49	549 21	952 70	
6	Price Confingency	0.90	0.00	0.00	
	Total	412.49	540.21	952 70	OM Cost
					3.84

11. Priority Project-Alt. I" (O+J+	U)-S-Year Flood Control Plan
dis Einenstal Cest	

(1)	1999	Unit: Cols_Million_			
No.	Specification	LC.	f.C.	Total	
	Construction Cost	0.00	0 00	0.00	=
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	10.03	17.13	27 21	
	Physical Contingency	101	171	2 72	
_	Sub-total	11.09	18.84	29,93	
6	Price Contingency	137	1.15	2 52	
·	Total	12 45	19 99	32 45	OM Cost
	•••				0.00

# (2) Economic Cost

1999					
No	Specification	ιc	FC.	Total	-
$-\bar{i}$	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.60	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	8 92	17.13	26 05	
5	Physical Contingency	0.83	1.71	261	
	Sub-total	981	18 34	28 66	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	981	1881	28 66	OM Cost
					0.00

2000			Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total
1	Construction Cost	0 00	0.00	0 00
2	Land Acquisition	4 80	0 (0)	4 80
	Administration Cost	0.24	0.00	0.24
4	Engineering Fee	2 69	4 5 7	7 26
	Physical Contingency	0.77	0.45	1 23
-	Sub-total	8 50	5 0 3	13 53
6	Price Contingency	162	0.47	2.09
~	Total	10-12	5 50	15 62 OM Cost
				0.00

	2000	Unit : Cels, Million			
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Tetal	-
	Censtruction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	3 67	0.00	3 67	
	Administration Cost	0.21	0.00	0.21	
4	Engineering Fee	2.38	4 57	6.95	
5	Physical Contingency	0.63	0.46	1.03	
	Sub-total	6.89	5.03	11.92	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	6 39	5 03	11 92	OM Cost
					0.00

2003	;	L'ait : Cols	Million	
No. Specification	L C.	F.C.	Total	
1 Construction Cost	54 52	62 60	117.12	•
2 Land Acquisition	4 89	0.00	4.80	
3 Administration Cos	t 610	600	6.10	
4 Engineering Fee	4 17	7 03	13 25	
5 Physical Contingen	cv 696	6.97	13 93	
Sub-total	76.55	76 65	153 20	
6 Price Contingency	20 09	9.62	29,71	
Total	96.64	86 27	182 91	OM Cost
				0.70

2001		i	Unit Cels	Million	_
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	43 54	62 60	106 14	_
2	Land Acquisition	3 67	0.00	3.67	
	Administration Cost	5.40	0.00	5.40	
4	Engineering Fee	3.69	7.08	10 77	
	Physical Contingency	5.63	6 97	12 60	
	Sub-total	61 93	76 65	138 58	
6	Price Contingency	6 00	0.00	0.00	
-	Total	61 93	76 65	138 58	OM Cost
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				0.00

	2002	Unit: Cels Million				
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total		
	Construction Cost	54 52	62 60	117.32		
2	Land Acquisition	4 80	0 00	4 80		
3	Administration Cost	610	0.00	5.10		
4	Engineering Fee	4 17	7 08	11 25		
5	Physical Contingency	6.95	697	13.93		
	Sub-total	76 55	76 65	153 20		
6	Price Contingency	25.89	12 21	38 10		
	Tetal	102 44	88.86	191 30	OM Cost	
					0.85	

2002			L'nit : Cols	Milhon	
No.	Specification	LC.	FC.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	13 54	62 60	106 14	=
2	Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3.67	
	Administration Cost	5 10	0.00	5.40	
4	Engineering Fee	3 69	7.08	10.77	
	Physical Contingency	5 63	6.97	12 60	
	Sub-total	61 93	76.65	138 58	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	61.93	76 65	138 58	OM Co.
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				0 :

2003		1			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	•
1	Construction Cost	54 52	62 60	117.12	
2	Land Acquisition	4 80	0.00	4.89	
3	Administration Cost	6 10	0.00	6.10	
4	Engineering Fee	4 17	703	11 25	
5	Physical Contingency	6.96	6.97	13 93	
	Sub-total	76 55	76.65	153 20	
6	Price Contingency	32 03	14 87	45 90	
	Total	103 58	91 52	200 10	OM Cos

2003		Unit: Cols Million				
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Tetal	_	
T	Construction Cost	13.51	6260	106 14	_	
2	Land Acquisition	3 67	0.00	3 67		
3	Administration Cost	5,40	0.00	5.40		
4	Engineering Fee	3.69	7.08	10 77		
5	Physical Contingency	5 63	6.97	12 60		
	Sub-total	61 93	76 65	138 58		
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00		
_	Total	6193	76 65	138 58	OM Cost	
					1 17	

2004					
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
. T	Construction Cost	54 52	62 60	117.12	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	5.85	0.00	5.86	
4	Engineering Fee	4.17	7.08	11 25	
5	Physical Contingency	6.46	6.97	13.42	
	Sub-total	71 01	76.65	147.65	
6	Price Contingency	35 76	17.62	53.38	
	Total	106 77	91 27	201 03	OM Cost
					291

	2001		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total		
1	Construction Cost	43 54	62 60	106 14	<b></b> '	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	Administration Cost	5 19	0.00	5 19		
4	Engineering Fee	3 69	7,08	10 77		
	Physical Contingency	5 24	6 97	12.21		
-	Sub-total	57 65	76 65	134 50		
6	Price Contingency	0.00	6.00	0.00		
	Tetal	57 65	76 65	134 39	OM Cost	
					1 75	

2005		Unit : Cols Milhon			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
- 1	Construction Cost	54 52	62 60	117 12	
2	Land Acquisition	9.00	0.00	0 00	
3	Administration Cost	5.82	0.00	5 8 2	
4	Engineering fee	4 17	7.08	11 25	
	Physical Contingency	6.45	697	13,42	
	Sub-total	70.96	76 65	147 61	
6	Price Contingency	42 16	20 45	62 61	
	Total	113.12	97.10	210 22	OM Cost
					4.11

	2005		Cot : Cel:	s. Million	
No	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	43.51	62 60	106 14	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	5 15	0.00	5 15	
4	Engineering Fee	3 69	7 08	10 77	
5	Physical Contingency	5 24	6 97	12 21	
	Sub-total	57.62	76 65	131 27	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	57.62	76 65	134 27	OM Cost
					2 3 4

### TABLE 02.7 (2/2) ESTIMATE OF FCONOMIC COST

H. Priority Project-Alt. P" (O+J) U)-5-Year Flood Control Plan (I) Financial Cost

lotal		Unit Cols Million			
No	Specification	T Č.	FC	Total	-
ī	Construction Cost	27266	313 00	\$85,60	-
2	Land Acquisition	19 20	0 (0)	1920	
3	Administration Cost	30 22	0.00	30 22	
4	Engineering Fee	33.62	57 10	9072	
5	Physical Cortingency	35 56	37.01	72 57	
	Substotal	391.20	467.11	798.31	
6	Price Confingency	158 92	76 39	235.31	
	foral .	350 12	483 50	1,033.62	OMCo
					5.4

Total			Unit ; Cols Million		
No	Specification	L.C.	E.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	21769	313.00	530 69	•
2	Land Acquisition	1468	0.00	1468	
3	Administration Cost	26 74	9.00	26.74	
4	Figureering Fee	29.75	57 10	86 85	
5	<b>Ehysical Coolingeocy</b>	28 89	3701	65.90	
	Sub-total	317.76	107.11	72487	
6	Price Confingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	317.76	107.11	724.87	OM Cost
					2 92



### III. Remaining Projects (MT-P-P)

(f) Emancial	C	
111		

	1999	i	Unit: Cols Million		
No.	Specification	l.C	F.C.	Tetal	
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0 00	
.3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0 00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.69	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0 00	
6	Price Contingency	0.60	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00 OM Cost	
				0.00	

# (2) Economic Cost 1999

1888 (8) L COROURC C OST			Unit Cob Million			
No	Specification	LC.	FC	10(4)	-	
ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	_	
2	Fand Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00		
1	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00		
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.60	0.00		
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00		
6	Proce Contingency	0.00	0 (0)	0.00		
	Total	0.00	Ø (io	0.00	OMCo	
					a	

	2000	Unit: Cols Million			
No.	Specification	ŁC.	F.C.	Total	•
ï	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.90	0 (0)	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Conferency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Tetal	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0 00

2000		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	L€.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.90	0.00	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Price Confingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Tetal	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0 0×

2001		Unit: Cels. Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00 OM Co	
				0.0	

2001		Unit : Cols. Milhon			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	60,0	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0 00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Fogincering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0.00

2002		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.60	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.60	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0 00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0 00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0.00

2002		Unit : Cels. Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	FC.	Total	
Ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.60	0.00	
4	Fagineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0 00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.03	0.00	0.00 OM €o	
				0	

	2003	1	Unit : Cols.	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	0 00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0 00	0.00	
5	Physical Confedency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0 00	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0.60

	2003		Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
一	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.60	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	0.00	0.00	0.00	
5	Physical Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Sub-total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
6	Price Contrigency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	OM Cost
					0.60

	2004	!	Unit : Cols.	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.60	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	6.30	10.83	17.53	
5	Physical Contingency	0.63	1 68	1.71	
	Sub-total	6.93	1191	18 84	
6	Price Contingency	3.49	2.74	6 23	
	Tetal	10.42	14.65	25.07	OM Cost 0.00

	2004	1	Unit: Cos.	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	
ŀ	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	000	
3	Administration Cost	0 00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	5.58	19.83	16.41	
5	Physical Contingency	0.55	1.68	161	
	Sub-total	613	11.93	18 65	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Tetal	6.13	1191	18.05 ON	1 Cost
					000

	2005		Unit : Cols.	Million
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total
	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0 00
2	Land Acquisition	2 30	0.00	2 30
3	Administration Cost	0.15	0.00	0.16
4	Engineering Fee	163	2 89	4 57
5	Physical Contingency	0.11	0.29	0.70
	Sub-total	4 55	3.18	7.73
6	Price Contingency	2 72	0.85	3 57
	Total	7 2 7	4.03	11 30 OM Cost
				0.00

	2005		Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C	F.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0 00	0 00	
2	Land Acquisition	1 76	0.00	1 76	
3	Administration Cost	0.14	0.00	0.14	
4	Engineering Fee	1 49	2 89	4.38	
5	Physical Contingency	0.34	0.29	0.63	
	Sub-total	3.73	3.18	6 90	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.09	0.00	
	Total	3.73	3.18	6.90 OM 0	je.
					ŋ (

### TABLE 028(2/2) ESHMATE OF ECONOMIC COST

) 1	Inancial Cost 2006		Unit : Cols	Million	(i	) Fronomic Cost		Hair Cal	M.Hina	
_	<del></del>	i c.	F.C.	Total		2006	1. C.	Unit : Col- F C	Total	-
0	Specification Construction Cost	31.80	12 96	71.76	- <u>-</u>	o. Specification  I Construction Cost	25 39	12 96	68 35	-
	Land Acquisition	2,30	0 00	2 30		2 Land Acquisition	176	000	1.76	
	Administration Cost	3 85	0.00	385		3 Administration Cost	341	0 00	3.41	
	Engineering Fee	2.60	4 42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	2 30	142	672	
	Physical Confingency	1 06	4 74	8.79		5 Physical Contingency		4 74	8 02	
-	Sub-total	44.61	52 12	96.72		Sub-total	36.15	52 12	83 27	
6	Price Contingency	30.76	15 88	46 64		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	75 37	68 00		OM Cost	fotal	35.15	52 12	88 27	
					0.00					
						····	···	~		_
	2007		Unit : Cels			2007		Unit : Col	s. Million	_
VO.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_ <u>N</u>	<del></del>	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
	Construction Cost	31 80	42 96	74 76		I Construction Cost	25 39	42.96	68 35	
	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	(6)	
	Administration Cost	3.74	0.00	3.74		3 Administration Cost	3 31	0 00	3.31	
	Engineering Fee	260	4.42	7.02		1 Engineering Fee	230	4,42	6.72	
3	Physical Contingency	3.31	4.74	8 55		5 Physical Contingency		4.74	7.84	
6	Sub-total Price Continuo y	\$195	52 12	94.07		Sub-total	34.11	52.12	86 22	
Q	Price Contingency	33.18	17.92	51.10	OMC	6 Price Contingency Total	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	75.13	70 04	F43.17	OM Cost 0.74	Total	34.11	52 12	85 22	OM
					· ··· ·					-
	2008		Unit: Cels			2008	<del></del>	Unit : Cols		_
Vo.	Specification Constantion Cost	L.C.	F.C.	Tetal	- <u>N</u>	····	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
	Construction Cost	31.89	42 96	74.76		Construction Cost     Lead Administration	25 39	42 96 0.00	68 35	
	Land Acquisition Administration Cost	0.00 3.74	0.00	0.00		2 Land Acquisition 3. Administration Co. 1.	0.00	0.00	0 60	
	Engineering Fee	3.74 2.60	0 00 4 42	3.74 7.02		3 Administration Cost 4 Engineering Fee	331	9.00	331	
	•	381	4.74			~ ~	2 30	4.42	6.72	
,	Physical Contingency Sub-total	41.95	52 12	8 55 94.07		<ol> <li>Physical Contingency Sub-total</li> </ol>	3.10 34.11	4.74 52 12	7.84 86 22	
6	Price Contingency	37.69	20.02	57.71		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
J	fotal	79 64	72.14		OM Cost	Total	34.11	52 12	85 22	ОМ
			<del></del>		1.56	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	2009		Unit : Cols.	Million		2009		Unit : Cols	s. Million	
VO.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	<u> </u>	<del></del>	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
Į	Construction Cost	3180	42 96	74.76		Construction Cost	25 39	42 96	68 35	_
	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.60	0.00		2 I and Acquisition	0 00	0.00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	3.74	0.00	3.74		3 Administration Cost	3.31	0.00	3 31	
	Engineering Fee	260	4.42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	2 30	4.42	6.72	
5	Physical Contingency	381	4.74	8.55		5 Physical Contingency	3.10	4.74	7 84	
	Sub-total	41.95	52 12	94.07		Sub-total	34.11	52.12	86 22	
6	Price Contingency	42.47	22 19	64.66		δ Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	84.42	74 31	158.73	OM Cost 2.48	Total	34.11	52.32	85 22	OM
					. 4.40		<del></del>			-
lo.	2010 Specification	L.C.	Unit : Cols. F.C.	Million Total	<u> </u>	2010	LC.	Unit : Cols		-
1	Construction Cost	3180	12 96	74.76		Specification     Construction Cost	25 39	F.C. 42 96	Total 68 35	-
	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		2 Land Acquisition	0.00	0 00	0.00	
	Administration Cost	3 77	0.00	3.77		2 Land Acquisition 3 Administration Cost	3.34	000	3.34	
	Engineering Fee	2 60	4.42	7.02		4 Engineering Fee	230	4.42	6 72	
_	Physical Contingency	3 82	4.74	8 56		5 Physical Contingency	3.10	4.74	7.84	
	Sub-total	41 92	52 12	94.11		Sub-total	34 14	52 12	86 25	
6	Price Contingency	47 60	24.43	72 03		6 Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	89 59	76 55		OM Cost	Total	34 14	52.12	86 25	ОМ
	-				351			- ·		-
	1		Unit : Cols.	Million	To	ta)		Unit : Cols	. Milion	
	Specification	L C	FC.	Total	N		L.C.	F.C.	Total	•
	Casatanatan Casa	159.00	214 80	373 80		Construction Cost	126.97	214.80	341.77	-
O.	Construction Cost	4 60	0.00	4 60		2 Land Acquisition	3 52	0.00	3.52	
().	I and Acquisition	,	0.00	19.00		3 Administration Cost	16 81	0.00	1681	
io. I 2		19.00	0.00							
1 2 3	I and Acquisition		35 82	56 80		4 Engineering Fee	18 57	35 82	54 39	
1 2 3	I and Acquisition Administration Cost	19.00				4 Engineering Fee 5 Physical Contingency	16 59	35 82 25 66	54 39 41 65	
1 2 3 4 5	I and Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency Sub-total	19.00 20.98 20.36 223.94	35.82 25.06 275.68	56 80 45,42 499,62		<ol> <li>Physical Contingency Sub-total</li> </ol>				
2 3 4 5	I and Acquisition Administration Cost Engineering Fee Physical Contingency	19.00 20.98 20.36	35.82 25.06	56 80 45.42 499.62 301.94		5 Physical Contingency	16 59	25 66	41 65	

1

TABLE 02.9 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Į,	M/P				Unit : Cols. N	Million
	Year	Eco	nomic C	ost	Economic	Net Benefit
		Construction	ОМ	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
1	1999	21.45	0.00	21.45	0.00	-21.45
2	2000	9.99	0.00	9,99	0.00	-9.99
3	2001	103.46	0.00	103.46	0.00	-103.46
4	2002	103.46	0.43	103.89	16.74	-87.15
5	2003	103.46	0.86	104.32	33.48	-70.84
6	2004	117.23	1.29	118.52	50.21	-68.31
7	2005	106.04	1.72	107.76	66.95	-40.81
8	2006	88.27	2.15	90.42	83.69	-6.73
9	2007	86.22	2.53	88.75	98.48	9.73
10	2008	86.22	2.90	89.12	112,88	23.76
11	2009	86.22	3.28	89.50	127.68	38.18
12	2010	86.25	3.66	89.91	142.47	52.56
13	2011	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152,84
14	2012	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
15	2013	0.00	4.03	4.03	156,87	152.84
16	2014	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
17	2015	0.00	4.03	4.03	156,87	152.84
18	2016	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
19		0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
20		0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
21	2019	0.00	4.03	4.03	156,87	152.84
22	2020	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
23		0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
24		0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
25	2023	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
26		0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
27	2025	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
28	2026	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
29	2027	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
30	2028	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
31	2029	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
32	2030	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
33	2031	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
34	2032		4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
35	2033	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
36	2034		4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
37			4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
38			4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
39			4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
40			4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
41			4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
42	2040	0.00	4.03	4.03	156.87	152.84
	Total	998.27	139.72	1,137.99	5,438.68	4,300.69

			EIRR (%)	14,56
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols.Million)
20	0.73	323.48	234.57	-88.91
15	0.97	415.05	402.93	-12.12
12	1.20	489.12	588.63	99.51
. 10	1.42	549.72	780.58	230.86
5	2.38	761.11	1,811.26	1,050.15

TABLE 02.10 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Ħ.	Priorit	y Proj	ject-Alt. l	(O+J+U	)-2-Year P	Unit: Co	ds Million
----	---------	--------	-------------	--------	------------	----------	------------

===	Year	y Project-AH. Eco	nomic C			Net Benefit
		Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
1	1999	21.45	0.00	21.45	0.00	-21.45
2	2000	9.99	0.00	9.99	0.00	-21.43 -9,99
3	2001	103.46	0.00	103,46	0.00	-103.46
4	2002	103.46	0.43	103.89	21.08	-82.81
5	2003	103.46	0.86	104.32	42.17	-62.15
6	2004	99.19	1.29	100.48	63.25	-37.23
7	2005	99.14	1.72	100.86	84.34	-16.52
8	2006	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
9	2007	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
10	2008	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
11	2009	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
12	2010	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
13	2011	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
14	2012	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
15	2013	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
16	2014	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27
17	2015	0.00	2.15	2.15	105,42	103.27
18	2016	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
19	2017	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
20	2018	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27
21	2019	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
22	2020	0,00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
23	2021	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27
24	2022	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
25	2023	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
26	2024	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
27	2025	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
28	2026	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
29	2027	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27
30	2028	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
31	2029	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
32	2030	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
33	2031	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
34	2032	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
35	2033	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
36	2034	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27
37	2035	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27
38 39	2036	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39 40	2037 2038	0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40 41	2039	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
42	2040	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0,00 0.00
-						
	Total	540.15	68.80	608.95	3,373.44	2,764.49

			EIRR (%)	18.11
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols.Million)
20	0.90	241.50	218.32	-23.18
35	1.21	292.16	352.29	60.13
12	1.49	330.34	491.65	161.31
10	1.75	360.10	629.53	269.44
. 5	2.87	456.22	1,309.23	853.01

TABLE 02.11 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

II. I	Priorit	y Project-Alt.l	'(0+J+l	J)-10Year	Unit: Cols. N	dillion
	Year	Ecor	nomic Co			Net Benefit
		Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
ı	1999	37,63	0.00	37.63	0.00	-37.63
2	2000	15.33	0.00	15.33	0.00	-15.33
3	2000	182.06	0.00	182.06	0.00	-182.06
4	2002	182.06	0.77	182.83	31.19	-151.64
5	2002	182.06	1.54	183.60	62.38	-121.22
6	2003	176.77	2.31	179,08	93.57	-85.51
7	2005	176.78	3.07	179.85	124,35	-55,50
8	2006	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
9	2007	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
10	2008	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
11	2009	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
12	2010	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
13	2011	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151,70
14	2012	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
15	2013	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
16	2014	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
17	2015	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
18	2016	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	351.70
19	2017	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
20	2018	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
21	2019		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
22	2020		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
23	2021	0.00	3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
24	2022		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
25	2023		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
26	2024		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
27	2025		3.84	3.84	155,54	151.70
28	2026		3.84	3.84	155,54	151.70
29	2027		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
30	2028		3,84	3.84	155.54	151.70
3 i	2029		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
32	2030		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
33	2031		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
34	2032		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
35	2033		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
36	2034		3.84	3.84	155.54	151.70
37	2035		3.84	3.84	155,54	151.70
38	2036		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39	2037		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40	2038		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
41	2039		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
42	2040		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tota	952.69	122.89	1,075.58	4,977.69	3,902.11

			EIRR (%)	15.17
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)	•	Cost	Benefit (	Cols.Million)
20	0.76	424.82	322.28	-102.54
15	1.01	514.35	519.98	5.63
12	1.25	581.85	725.62	143.77
10	1.46	634.49	929.09	294.59
5	2.40	804.68	1,931.99	1,127.31

TABLE 02.12 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

	Year	Eco	nomie C	ost	Economic	Net Benefit
		Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
1	1999	28.66	0.00	28.66	0.00	-28.66
2	2000	11.92	0.00	11.92	0.00	-11.92
3	2001	138.58	0.00	138.58	0.00	-138.58
4	2002	138.58	0.58	139.16	24.67	-114.49
5	2003	138.58	1.17	139.75	49.77	-89.98
6	2004	134.30	1.75	136.05	74.44	-61.61
7	2005	134.27	2.34	136.61	99.54	-37.07
8	2006	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121,29
9	2007	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
10	2008	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
11	2009	0.00	2.92	2 92	124.21	121.29
12	2010	0.00	2.92	2.92	124,21	121.29
13	2011	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
14	2012	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
15	2013	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
16	2014	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
17	2015	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
18	2016	0.00	2.92	2 92	124.21	121.29
19	2017	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
20	2018	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
21	2019	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
22	2020	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
23	2021	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
24	2022	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
25	2023	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
26	2024	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
27	2025	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
28	2026	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
29	2027	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
30	2028	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
33	2029	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
32	2030	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
33	2031	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
34	2032	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121,29
35	2033	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
36	2034	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
37	2035	0.00	2.92	2.92	124.21	121.29
38	2036	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39	2037	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
10	2038	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
11	2039	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
12	2040	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	724.89	93.44	818.33	3,974.72	3,156.39

			EIRR (%)	15,93
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)	•	Cost	Benefit	(Cols Million)
20	0.80	323.38	257.20	-66.17
15	1.06	391.48	415.05	23.57
12	1.31	442.83	579.25	136.42
10	1.54	482.87	741.72	258.85
	2.52	612 31	1,542 57	930.25

TABLE 02.13 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

II.		ning Projects (						
	Year	Eco	nomie C					
<b></b>		Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)		
1	1999	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
2	2000	0.00	0.00	0.00				
3	2001	0.00	0.00	0.00				
4	2002	0.00	0.00	0.00				
5	2003	0.00	0.00	0.00				
6	2004	18.05	0.00	18.05				
7	2005	6.90	0.00	6.90	0.00	-6.90		
8	2006	88.27	0.00	88.27	0.00	-88 27		
9	2007	86,22	0.38	86.60	10.40	76.20		
10	2008	86.22	0.75	86.97	20.53	-66.44		
11	2009	86.22	1.13	87.35	30.92	-56.43		
12	2010	86.25	1,50	87.75	41.05	-46.70		
13	2011	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
14	2012	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49,57		
15	2013	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49,57		
16	2014	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
17	2015	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
18	2016	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
19	2017	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45			
20	2018	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45			
21	2019	0.00	1.88	1.88				
22	2020	0.00	1.88	1.88				
23	2021	0.00	1.88	1.88				
24	2022	0.00	1.88	1.88				
25	2023	0.00	1.88	1.88				
26	2024	0.00	1.88	1.88				
27	2025	0.00	1.88	1.88				
28	2026	0.00	1.88	1.88				
29	2027	0.00	1.88	1.88				
30	2028	0.00	1.88	1.88				
31	2029	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
32	2030	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
33	2031	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
34	2032	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
35	2033	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
36	2034	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49,57		
37	2035	0.00	1.88	- 1.88	51.45	49.57		
38	2036	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
39	2037	0,00	1.88	1.88	51.45 51.45	49.57		
40	2038	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45 51.45	49.57		
41	2039	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45 51.45	49.57		
42	2040	0.00	1.88	1.88	51.45	49.57		
	Total	458.13	60.16	518.29	1,646.40	1,128.11		

1

			EIRR (%)	10.09
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols.Million)
20	0.52	81.98	42.83	-39.15
15	0.70	122.85	85.49	-37.36
12	0.86	158.67	136.16	-22.51
10	1.01	189.39	190.78	1.40
5	1.65	303.36	500.65	197,29

TABLE 02.14 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF MULTI-PURPOSE DAM PROJECT

						T	N	Unit : Colon	
	Year _	Construction	onomic Co OM	Total (C)	Flood (BI) I	Feonomic I		Total (B)	(B)-(C
ŀ	1999	34,405	0	34,405	0	0	0	0	-34,40
2	2000	175,614	0	175,614	0	0	0	0	-175,61
3	2001 2002	306,437	0	306,437	0	0	Q	0	-306,43 -306,43
5	2002	306,437 306,437	0	306,437 306,437	0	Ö	ő	0	-306,43
6	2004	306,437	ŏ	306,437	ŏ	ŏ	ő	ŏ	-306,43
7	2005	306,437	0	306,437	Ŏ	ŏ	ō	ŏ	-306,43
8	2006	306,437	ŏ	306,437	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	Ŏ	-306,43
9	2007	306,437	ŏ	306,437	ŏ	ō	ō	Ō	-306,4
10	2008	301,724	ō	301,724	Ŏ	ŏ	35,527	35,527	-266,19
11	2009	148,506	ō	148,506	Ö	ō	35,527	35,527	-112,9
12	2010	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	93,830	22,565	273,267	261,49
13	2011	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	172,536	22,565	351,973	340,1
14	2012	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	224,489	22,565	403,926	392,1
15	2013	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	276,128	22,565	455,565	443,7
16	2014	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	290,240	22,565	469,677	457,9
17	2015	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	345,322	22,565	524,759	512,9
18	2016	0	11,774	11,774	155,872	350,183	22,565	529,620	517,8
19	2017	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
20	2018	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	<b>\$3</b> 0,630	518,8
21	2019	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
22	2020	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
23	2021	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	318,8
24	2022	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
25	2023	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	58,092	566,157	554,3
26	2024	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	58,092	566,157	554,3
27	2025	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
28	2026	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	\$30,630	518,8
29	2027	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
30	2028	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
31	2029	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	318,8
32	2030	. 0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	-	\$18,8
33	2031	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	\$18,8
34 35	2032	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565 22,565	530,630 530,630	518,8. 518,8.
36	2033 2034	0	11,774 11,774	11,774 11,774	156,872 156,872	351,193 351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8.
37	2035	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
38	2036	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	513,8
39	2037	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
40	2038	ŏ	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	58,092	566,157	554,3
41	2039	Ō	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	58,092	566,157	554,3
42	2040	Õ	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
43	2041	Ō	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
14	2042	Ŏ	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
45	2043	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
46	2044	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
17	2045	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
48	2046	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
€9	2047	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8
50	2048	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8
51	2049	. 0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	530,630	518,8
52	2050	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8
53	2051	0	11,774	11,774	155,872	351,193	22,565	-	518,8
54 **	2052	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565	-	518,8. 544.2
\$5 \$4	2053	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	58,092	566,157 566,157	554,3 554,3
\$6 51	2054 2055	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193 351,193	58,092 22,565	-	554,3 518,8
57 58	2055	0	11,774 11,774	•	156,872 156,872	351,193 351,193	22,565		518,8
30 59	2057	0	11,774	11,774 11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8
60	2058	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8
61	2059	0	11,774	11,774	156,872	351,193	22,565		518,8:
	2737	U	22,711	11,717	200,07£	201,173	26,000	220,030	J 1 V, O.
	Total	2,805,308	588,700	3,394,068	7,843,600	[6,854,027	1,412,466	26,110,093	22,716,0
		2,200,200	200,000	2,37.,444	.,		_771172111		
								E(RR (%)	19.
	-	Discount	ВĊ			V(Cols. 1,900)		D. C.	NPV
	-	Rate (%)		Cost	81	B2	B3	Benefit 666 060	(Cois, 1,00
		15	0.53	1,250,077	224,583	391,367	51,019	-	-583,1
		12	0.78	1,453,543	374,508	680,847		1,135,688	-317,8:
		10	1.04	1,618,652	545,143	1,020,727		1,678,551	59,9
		5	2.44 3.73	2,198,096 2,549,177	1,674,430 2,915,894	3,379,524		5,372,467 9,507,749	3,174,31 6,958,51
						6,051,637			

O.T.22

# TABLE 03.1 REDUCTION IN NUMBER AND AREA OF INUNDATION ASSETS EXPECTED BY IMPLEMENTING THE PROJECT

Alt. 1(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	Water				Λеι	Agricultural Crops (ba)					
No	Deeth		Reside	ik'¢		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	lool
	(m)	Medium	low	Poor	Total	others			cane		
1	0 0-0 25	61	142	61	267	5	273	139	210	876	1 225
2	0 25-0 5	81	189	85	356	7	36.3	39	57	242	338
3	0.5-1.0	114	267	121	502	10	512	76	155	613	874
-	10-15	56	132	60	247	5	252	17	75	309	401
	15-20	192	255	116	480	Į0	189	52	127	572	751
<u> </u>	Total	121	981	417	1.852	37	1.889	323	624	2,642	3.589

# Mr. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	Water		Nu	moet of Be	uida 28			۸ږه	Agricultural Crops				
No	Deoth		Resider	nce		Stores &	otal	Maize	Sugar Pasture cane		Tetal		
	(m)	Medium	Lew	Poor	lotal	others							
1	0.0-0.25	167	390	177	734	15	749	137	144	6.33	914		
	0.25-0.5	134	314	143	592	12	603	113	131	573	818		
3	05-10	198	462	210	870	17	888	166	223	949	1.338		
4	1.0-1.5	95	221	100	415	8	423	64	115	486	665		
5	15-2.0	138	322	146	606	12	618	73	160	719	952		
	Total	732	1.709	776	3.217	64	3,282	551	776	3,360	1,637		

# Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan (1) C Vear Return Period

	Waler		Nu	mber of Bi	uldings			Agi	Agricultural Crops (ha)			
No.	Deeth		Resider	xe		Stores &		Maize	Sugar	Pastere	fetal	
	(m)	Medium	Los	Poer	Total	others			cane			
1	0.0-0.25	195	454	206	855	17	872	160	170	750	1.030	
2	0.25-0.5	155	351	164	679	14	693	133	159	681	976	
3	05-10	201	468	213	882	18	903	198	261	1,095	1,554	
٤	1.0-1.5	41	97	11	183	4	186	(1)	94	377	531	
5	1.5-20	90	211	96	398	8	406	39	98	425	562	
	Total	682	1.592	722	2,996	60	3.056	590	782	3.331	4.703	

### Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	(4) 10-Ye	ar Return P	eriod				. <del></del>				
	Water		Nu	enber of Ba	uildings	lings			icultura	Crops th	3)
No.	Depth		Residu		Stores &	Total	M31/e	Sugar	Pasture	Cotal	
	(m)	Medium	Lou	Poor	Total	others			cane		
1	0.0-0.25	237	552	251	1,040	21	1,060	173	174	780	1.127
2	0 25-0 5	208	484	220	912	13	930	156	186	801	1,143
3	0.5-1.0	288	673	305	1,266	25	1 292	253	336	1,410	1,9})
4	10-15	81	190	86	357	7	364	92	143	580	815
Š	15-20	102	239	109	449	9	458	41	110	470	624
	Tetal	915	2.138	970	4,024	8)	4,105	718	949	4,041	5.708

# Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan (5) 28-Year Return Period

	Water				Age	icultural	Crops (h	3)			
No.	Depth		Reside	nee		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			Cane		
	0.0-0.25	241	568	258	1,070	21	1.092	159	126	596	881
2	0 25-0 5	247	577	262	1,086	22	1.107	173	200	866	1.239
3	0.5-1.0	363	847	384	1.594	32	1.626	309	415	1,749	2,464
4	1.0-1.5	129	300	136	564	11	575	136	209	851	1,206
5	15-20	119	277	125	522	61	533	53	145	614	818
	Total	1.101	2 569	1.166	1,836	97	4.933	835	1.075	4.677	6.698

### Alt. I(O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	(6) 50-Ye	ar Return P	eriod								
	Water		No	mber of Ba	uldings			Αĝ	โลายร์เมวด	Crops (h	3)
No.	Depth		Residen	ce		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	[ctal
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others	_		cone		
T	0 0 0 25	0	0	0	0	Q	Q	31	0	0	37
-	0 25-0 5	241	570	258	1.072	21	1,054	7.3	0	22	95
_	0.5-1.0	375	874	397	1,645	33	1.678	330	428	1,796	2.554
4		168	392	178	733	15	753	166	242	1.008	3.436
-	15-20	147	344	156	647	13	660	85	203	841	1.129
	Total	934	2.179	989	4,102	82	4.184	691	873	3,667	5.231

### Alt, I(O+J+C)-2-year Flood Control Plan

	(7) 100-1 Water			imber of Bo	ikings			Λgr	icultural	Crees (b.	a)
No.	Decon		Reside	nce		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pa-luse	Tetal
	(m)	Medium	Lon	Poor	Total	others			cane		
ī	00-025	0	0	Ō	0	0	0	37	0	0	37
-	0 25-0 5	263	612	278	1,152	23	1.175	37	0	0	3.7
_	05-10	410	958	435	1,803	36	1,839	338	418	1.766	2.522
-	10-15	199	412	201	813	17	850	183	241	1.026	1,450
5	15-20	162	377	171	700	14	723	75	196	803	1.07-
	[ctal	1.02-1	2 388	1.084	4,497	90	4,587	670	855	3 595	5.120

Alt. II (J+1)

711.5	65.14	az Return	Period.

	Water	-	Nu	moer of 1	Suittings			A	encultur	al Crops (h	13)
No	Depth		Reside	nec .		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medam	loa	Poor	lotal	others			CFUC		
1	00-025	37	86	39	162	3	165	43	39	194	276
2	0 25-0 5	23	55	25	102	2	104	23	30	142	195
3	0.5-1.0	39	92	42	173	3	176	16	46	203	265
4	10-15	35	82	37	154	3	157	6	35	149	190
5	15-20	97	228	194	428	9	437	54	105	483	642
	[otal	232	542	246	1,020	20	1,040	142	255	1,171	1,568

.Mc II (J+U) (2) 2-Year Return Period

	Water		No	aber of I	Residences			A	gricultur	al Crops (I	13)
No	Depth		Reside	ik.c		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medica	los	Peer	Total	others			cane		
1	0 0-0 25	75	174	79	328	7	335	87	55	293	435
2	0 25 0 5	56	131	60	246	5	251	62	45	233	340
3	0.5-1.0	83	194	88	365	7	373	81	73	368	525
4	10-1.5	43	99	45	188	4	191	27	45	207	279
5	1.5-2.0	114	267	121	502	10	512	53	123	557	733
	Total	371	865	393	1,630	33	1,662	313	341	1,658	2,312

AL ILGEU

(3) S-Year Return Period

	Water		Nu	niber of E	Buildings			A	encultur	al Crops (1	ند) (دا
No.	Depth		Reside	re e		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Lou	Peer	Total	others			cane		
1	0.0-0.25	89	203	95	391	8	399	99	59	321	479
2	0 25-0 5	68	159	72	299	6	305	72	43	253	373
3	05-10	[04	242	109	454	9	463	98	80	406	584
4	1.0-1.5	52	120	55	227	5	231	34	52	242	328
5	15-20	142	332	151	624	12	637	60	144	643	852
	Total	454	1,061	481	1,936	40	2,036	353	383	1,870	2,616

Alt. II (J+U)
(4) 10-Year Return Period

	Water		Nu	mber of I	Buildings			Aį	gricultur	al Crops (h	13)
No.	D, թահ		Reside	ace		Stores &	(cta)	Мзіле	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			CEDE		
1	0.0-0-25	99	232	105	436	9	415	113	64	354	531
2	0 25-0 5	76	178	81	335	7	341	82	51	277	410
3	0.5-10	118	274	125	517	10	527	115	86	413	644
4	10-15	57	132	60	249	5	254	43	58	27\$	375
5	1.5-2.0	155	361	164	630	14	693	63	153	688	904
	Ictal	505	1,177	534	2.216	41	2,261	416	412	2,036	2,861

Alt. H (J+U)

(5) 20-Year Return Period

	Water		Nu	mber of I	Buildings			Ą	ericultur	al Crops (l	sa)
N.	Depth	•	Resider	ice		Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			carac		
1	0.0-0 25	109	255	116	480	10	489	124	61	347	532
2	0.25-0.5	78	182	83	3-12	7	349	97	60	322	479
3	0.5-1.0	126	294	134	554	11	565	130	87	461	678
4	1.0-1 5	64	150	68	282	6	288	58	69	330	457
5	15-20	171	398	181	749	15	764	71	176	788	1,035
	Total	548	1,278	580	2,497	49	2,455	480	453	2,248	3,181

Alt. II (J+U) (6) 50-Year Return Period

	Water		Ne	imber of I	Buildings			Λ,	greultur	al Crops (i	a)
No	Depth		Reside	DCC.		Stores &	Total	Маіге	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	lou.	Foor	Total	others			CEDO		
ī	0.0-0.25	109	255	116	480	10	439	142	68	392	602
2	0.25-0.5	81	189	86	356	7	363	109	55	313	477
3	0.5-1.0	139	324	117	610	12	622	142	85	457	681
4	10-15	77	179	81	337	7	344	75	79	383	542
5	15-20	181	422	192	795	16	810	76	194	867	1,137
	Total	587	1 359	622	2 577	52	2 629	545	481	2 417	3 4 4 2

Alt H (J) ()

(7) 100 Year Return Period

	Water		N:	imber of I	Buildings			Agricultural Crops (la)					
No	Depth		Reside	N.C	<u>-</u>	Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total		
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Tetal	others			cane				
1	0 0-0 25	335	316	111	594	12	606	112	69	392	603		
2	0 25-0 5	81	188	85	354	7	361	142	69	392	603		
3	05-10	146	342	155	611	13	657	\$55	8-1	463	702		
4	10-15	83	195	88	366	7	373	99	89	415	634		
5	15-20	191	447	202	840	17	857	73	203	902	1,178		
	િલા	636	1.458	675	2.799	56	2,855	611	514	2,595	3.720		

Alt. III (U)

Water		N	ander of Br	ulbings			Λ;	द्वाद बीखाः	il Crops (h.	<u>)                                    </u>
No Darit		Reside	xe		Steves &	Tetal	Marze	Бидаг	Pastiice	Total
(m)	Medium	103	Poor	Total	others			cane		
1 00-025	14	33	15	62	1	63	30	12	69	111
2 0 25-0 5	7	17	8	32	1	32	17	6	.39	62
3 05-1.0	9	21	10	49	- 1	41	17	7	40	64
4 10-15	2	4	2	8	û	ý	3	2	8	3.3
5 15-20	ō	1	0	1	0	ı	1	1	2	4
Total	32	76	34	142	3	145	63	28	158	254

AR HI (U)

Water	r Return Per		mober of Be	uldings			A <sub>i</sub>	gricultura	al Crops (bo	1)
No. Depth		Resider	NCC.		Stores &	Tetal	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
(m)	Medium	los	Poer	Total	others			cane		
1 0.0-0 25	33	88	40	166	3	169	72	27	151	263
2 0 25-0 5	30	71	32	133	3	136	46	17	104	167
3 05-10	45	105	43	197	1	201	74	27	168	269
4 10-13	13	41	19	78	2	79	35	13	79	127
5 15-20	5	11	5	21	0	21	- 11	3	22	36
Total	135	316	144	595	32	607	238	87	537	862

AICHIGU)

	Water	Number of Buildings						A	Agricultural Crops (ha)			
No.	Depth		Resider	ĸe		Stores &	Total	Marze	Sugar	Pastore	Total	
	(n)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			сале			
	0.0-0.25	48	112	51	211	-1	216	80	29	181	293	
_	0 25-0 5	32	74	3.1	140	3	143	55	20	124	190	
_	0.5-1.0	45	104	47	195	4	199	71	27	164	262	
1	1.0-1.5	15	34	15	64	1	66	28	10	63	101	
3	1.5-2.0	5	11	5	20	0	21	6	3	15	24	
	Total	145	335	152	631	13	644	240	89	550	879	

_	Water	ar Return Pe		imber of Bu	uldings			Λ	gricultura	d Crops (h:	i)
No.			Resider	ке		Stores &	letal	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	(m)	Medium	Low	Poor	Total	others			cane		
<u> </u>	0.0-0 25	60	139	63	261	5	266	98	36	224	358
-	0 25-0.5	38	88	40	166	3	169	66	24	152	242
3	0.5-1.0	50	118	53	221	4	226	85	31	181	3:)7
1	10-15	13	29	13	55	1	56	27	19	61	98
ç	15-20	3	7	3	13	0	13	i	0	3	4
<u> </u>	Total	163	381	172	716	14	730	211	101	631	1000

I

	Water	ar Return Pe		imber of Bi	illings			A	ris altur	of Crops (b)	3)
No.			Resider	NC		Stores &	Total	Мэте	Sugar	Pasture	Total
	((a)	Medium	Low	Poor	Tetal	Cincis			care		
_	00-025	68	158	71	297	6	303	115	42	263	420
-	0 25-0 5	41	97	44	182	4	186	81	30	187	293
_	05-10	55	127	57	239	5	244	101	37	229	367
<u>.</u>	10-15	11	25	11	46	1	47	.12	11	72	115
5	15-20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ð	0
	Total	174	496	181	764	15	730	329	120	751	1.200

Alt III (U)

	Water	Number of Buildings						Agricultural Crops (b3)					
		Residence			Stores &	Total	Maize	Sugar	Pasture	Total			
	(m)	Medium	LON	Poor	Total	others	others	cane					
$\overline{}$	0 0 0 25	81	189	85	356	7	363	132	13	303	431		
•	0 25-0 5	49	114	52	215	4	219	101	37	231	369		
-	05-10	67	155	70	291	6	247	121	45	276	442		
-	1.0-1.5	11	27	12	50	1	51	43	15	98	155		
5	15-20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Total	208	484	219	911	18	930	397	146	908	1.451		

Alt III (U) 471 100-Year Return Period

'	Water		Ns.	imber of B	ailJings			A	gricultus	Crops (b.	)
No	Depth		Resider	N.C	<del></del>	Stores &	Total	Marze	Sugar	Parture	Texal
	(m)	Medium	Low	Peor	Total	others			cone		
1 (	0 0-0 25	106	246	112	464	9	473	132	50	303	485
-	0 25-0 5	53	123	56	232	5	237	133	50	303	485
-	0.5-1.0	78	182	8.1	343	7	350	[\$·)	52	318	510
•	10-15	10	23	- 11	43	ı	41	69	25	156	250
•	15-20	0	0	0	0	0	ð	0	. 0	Û	<u>(</u>
	Total	247	575	261	1.083	22	1.105	474	177	1,680	1.733

### TABLE 03.4(F2) ESTIMATE OF ECONOMIC COST

### Privatiy Project-Alt 1 (0+3+1 )-2-year Flood Control Plan (I) Figureial Cost

	134)	1	Million	
<b></b>	Specification	TÜ	10	Total
1	Codstractora Cost	ŮŧÓ	0.00	0.00
2	Lond Acquistion	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00
- 1	Linguisering Fee	7.53	12.81	20.37
5	Physical Contagency	0.75	1.28	2 04
	Sub-total	8.28	14.12	22.41
6	Price Contingency	1.02	N2 (y	1.88
	Total	9 4)	14.98	2429 OMCost
				0.00

(2)	Feomanic Cos	t
-----	--------------	---

1999		Unit : Cols	Million	
Specification	I.C	FC.	letal	-
Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0 00	•
I and Acquisition	0.00	0.60	0.60	
Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Годовестов Гее	6 66	1281	19 50	
	0.67	1 28	195	
Sub-total	7.33	14 12	21.45	
Price Contineeres	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Total	7.33	14 12	21 45	OM Cost
				0.00
	Specification Construction Cost Land Acquisition Administration Cost Ungoneering Fee Physical Contingency Sub-total Price Contingency	Specification   LC	Specification   L.C.   F.C.	Specification         I.C.         FC.         Total           Construction Cost         0.00         0.00         0.00           Land Acquisition         0.00         0.60         0.60           Administration Cost         0.00         0.00         0.00           Prognetring Fee         6.66         12.81         19.50           Physical Contingency         0.67         1.28         1.95           Sub-total         7.33         14.12         21.45           Price Contingency         0.00         0.00         0.00

	2000				
No.	Specification	1. C	TC	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	000	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	1 3:1	0.00	480	
- 3	Administration Cost	0.24	0.00	0.24	
4	Engineering Fee	201	3.42	5.43	
5	Physical Contingency	0.71	0.34	1 05	
	Sub-total	7.76	3.76	11.52	
6	Price Contingency	1.48	0.35	1.83	
	Lotal	9.24	411	13.35	OM Cost
					0.00

	2000		Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C	Total	-
i	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
2	Land Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3.67	
3	Administration Cost	0.21	0.00	0.21	
4	Engineering Fee	1.78	3.42	5 20	
	Physical Contingency	0.57	0.34	0.91	
	Sub-total	6.23	3.76	9.99	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	[otal	6 23	3 76	9 99	OM Cost
					0.00

	2001	;	Unit: Cols.	Million	
No.	Specification	1 C	L.C	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	42.06	1168	86 74	-
2	Land Acquasition	4 Sv	0.00	4 80	
3	Administration Cost	4.58	0.00	4 58	
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8.42	
- 3	Physical Contingency	5.45	5 00	10.45	
	Sob-total	60.61	54 99	1149)	
6	Price Confineerey	15.75	6.50	22 65	
	lott	75.76	61 89	137.64	OM Cos
					9.00

	2001	1	Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
į	Construction Cost	33 59	4163	78 27	_
2	I and Acquisition	3.67	0.00	3.67	
3	Administration Cost	4.05	0 00	4 05	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	531	8 06	
	Physical Contingency	4.41	5 00	9.41	
	Sub-total	48 47	5199	103.46	
6	Price Contingency	0 (0)	0.60	0.00	
	Total	48 47	5199	103.45	OM Cost
					0.00

	2002	Unit: Cols Million			
No.	Specification	iC.	T.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	42.06	44.68	85.74	
2	Land Acquisition	4.80	0.00	4.80	
3	Administration Cost	4.58	0.00	4.58	
1	Engineering Lee	3.11	531	8.42	
5	Physical Contingency	5 45	5 (0	10.45	
	Sub-total	6001	\$1.99	1(49)	
6	Price Contingency	20.30	8 76	29 06	
	Total	80.31	63.75	111.05 OM Cost	
				0.64	

	2002		Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	33 59	44 63	78 27	-
2	Land Acquisition	367	0.00	3 67	
	Administration Cost	4 0 5	0.00	4 05	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	531	8.06	
5	Physical Contingency	4.41	5.00	9.41	
	Sub-total	48 47	54.93	103.46	
6	Price Centingency	0.00	0,00	0.00	
	Total	48 47	54.99	103 46	OM Cost
					0.43

	2003		Unit: Cols.	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	FC.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	42 06	44.63	86.74	_
2	Land Acquisition	4.89	0.00	480	
3	Administration Cost	4.58	0.00	4.58	
4	Engagering Fee	3.11	531	8.42	
5	Physical Contagency	5.45	5.00	10.45	
	Sub-total	(-) O (	54.93	114.99	
6	Price Contingency	25 []	19.67	35.78	
	[હ્યા	85 12	65.66	150 77	OM Cos
					1.35

	2003	1	Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
t	Construction Cost	33 59	4168	78 27	_
2	Land Acquisition	3 67	0.00	3.67	
3	Administration Cost	4 0 5	0.00	4 05	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	5 31	8 06	
5	Physical Contingency	4.41	5 00	9.41	
	Sub-total	48.47	54 99	103.46	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	48.47	54 99	103.46	OM Cost
					0.86

	2004	Usit: Cols Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	FC.	Tetal	-
ī	Construction Cost	42 06	4168	86 74	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.60	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	4.34	0.00	4.34	
4	Engineering Fee	3.11	5.31	8 42	
5	Physical Contingency	4 95	5.00	995	
	Sub-total	54.46	54 99	100 45	
6	Price Contingency	27.43	12 64	40 07	
	lolal	81.89	67.63	149 52	OM Cos
					2 15

	2004		_		
No.	Specification	L.C.	r.c.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	33.59	44.68	78 27	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.60	000	0.00	
- 3	Administration Cost	3 84	0.00	3.84	
4	Engineering Fee	2 75	531	8 06	
5	Physical Contingency	4.02	500	9.02	
	Sub-total	44 20	54 99	99.19	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
•	Total	44 20	54 99	99.19	OM Cost
					1.28

	2005	Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	1. C.	F.C.	Total	-
Ī	Construction Cost	42 06	41.68	86 74	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	4 29	0.00	4 29	
4	Logorocrang Fee	341	5.31	8.42	
5	Divisical Contingency	4 95	5.00	9 95	
	Sub-total	54.41	51.99	109.40	
₹.	Price Contingency	32.30	1466	46.96	
	Total	86.71	69 65	156.36	OM Cos
					304

	2005	1	Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	f.C.	Total	•
<del></del> -	Construction Cost	33.59	4468	78 27	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	3.80	0.00	3 80	
4	Engineering Fee	2.75	531	8 05	
	Physical Contingency	4.01	5 00	901	
	Sub-total	44.15	54.99	99.14	
6	Price Confingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fotal	41 15	54 99	99.14	OM Cost
					173

### TABLE 03.1(1/2) ESTIMATE OF FCONOMIC COST

Priority Project-Alt. I (O+J+U)-2-year Flood Control Flan (I) Financial Cost

Total		Unit : Cols Million			_
No.	Specification	ī.C.	F.C.	Total	
1	Construction Cost	210 30	223.40	433 70	
2	Land Acquisition	19 20	0.00	19 20	
	Administration Cost	22 61	0.00	22 61	
4	Engineering Fee	25.09	42 81	67.90	
5	Physical Contingency	27.72	26 62	5434	
	Sub-total	304 92	292 83	\$97.75	
6	Price Contingency	123 39	54 84	178 23	
	Total	128 31	347 67	775.93	OM Cost
	=				4 0 3

(2) E	connic	Cost
-------	--------	------

Tota	st.		Unit: Cels	Million	
No	Specification	I. C	FC.	Total	
	Construction Cost	167 94	223.40	391.34	•
2	Land Acquisition	1468	0.00	1478	
3	Administration Cost	20.01	0.00	20 01	
4	Engineering Fee	22.20	42.81	65 01	
	Physical Costingency	22 48	26 62	49 (0)	
	Sub-total	247.32	292 83	549.15	
5	Price Conference	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	247.32	292.83	549 15	OM Cost
					2.1

(1)	CONTRACTOR A LOST					
	1999	Unit : Cols. Million				
No	Specification	1. C.	FC.	Tetal	•	
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	•	
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0 (0)	0.00		
1	Lingingering Lee	2 97	5.04	8.01		
5	Physical Contingency	0.30	0.50	0.80		
	Sub-total	3 27	5.54	881		
6	Price Contingency	0.40	0.34	0.74		
	Leta)	3.67	5.83	9.55	OM Cos	
					0.00	

(2) Feonomic Cost	
-------------------	--

	1999		Unit: Cols	Million	
No	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	leiol	•
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
4	Engineering Fee	2 63	5.04	7.67	
5	Physical Contingency	0.26	0.50	0.77	
	Sub-total	2 89	5.54	8.44	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	2 89	5 54	844	OM Cost
					0.00

	2000	1			
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C	Total	•
1	Construction Cost	000	0.00	000	-
2	Land Acquisition	263	0.00	2 63	
3	Administration Cost	0.13	0.00	0.13	
4	Engineering Fee	0.79	1.34	2.13	
5	Physical Contingency	0.35	0.13	0.49	
	Sub-total	3.91	1.47	5.38	
6	Price Contingency	0.75	0.14	0.89	
	Total	4.66	1.61	6 27	OM Cos
					0.00

	2000		Unit : Cols	. Million
Nο.	Specification	1 C.	FC	fotal
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	Land Acquisition	201	0.00	2 01
3	Administration Cost	0.12	0.00	0.12
4	Engineering Fee	0.70	1.34	201
5	Physical Contingency	0.28	0.13	0.42
	Sub-total	311	1.47	4 58
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Tetal	3.11	1.47	4.58 OM Cost
				0.00

_	2001					
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-	
ī	Construction Cost	16 36	17.18	33 54	_	
2	Land Acquisition	2.63	0.00	2 63		
3	Administration Cost	1.81	0.00	181		
-\$	Engineering Fee	1.23	2 08	3.31		
5	Physical Contingency	2 20	1.93	4 13		
	Sub-total	24 23	21 19	45.42		
6	Price Contingency	6 35	2 66	9.02		
	Total	30 59	23 85	54.44	OM Co	
					0.0	

2001		Unit: Cols. Million		
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total
1	Construction Cost	1306	17.18	30.24
2	I and Acquisition	2 0 1	0.00	2.01
3	Administration Cost	160	0.00	1.60
4	Engineering Fee	1.09	2.08	3 17
5	Physical Contingency	1.78	193	3.70
	Sub-total	19 54	21 19	40.73
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	19 54	21 19	49.73 OM Cost
				0.0

2002		002 Unit : Cols. Million			
No	Specification	I.C	F.C.	Tetal	_
1	Construction Cost	16 36	17.18	33 54	_
2	Land Acquisition	263	0.90	2 63	
3	Administration Cost	181	0.00	1.81	
4	Engineering Fee	1.23	2 08	3.31	
5	Physical Contingency	2 20	1.93	4.13	
	Sub-total	24 23	21.19	45.42	
6	Price Contingency	8.19	3.38	11 57	
	Total	32 42	24 57	56.99	OM Cos
					0.25

2002		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
- 1	Construction Cost	13.06	17.18	30 24	-
2	Land Acquisition	201	0.00	201	
3	Administration Cost	160	0.00	1.60	
4	Engineering Fee	1 09	2 08	3.17	
5	Physical Contingency	178	193	3.70	
	Seb-total	19.54	21 19	40.73	
6	Price Contingency	0 00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	19.54	21 19	49.73	OM Cost
					0.17

	2003	Unit : Cols. Million			
No	Specification	L.C.	FÇ.	Total	-
ī	Construction Cost	16 36	17.18	33.54	-
2	Land Acquisition	2 63	0.00	2 63	
3	Administration Cost	181	0.00	1.81	
4	Engineering Fee	123	2 08	3.31	
5	Physical Contingency	2 20	1 93	4.13	
	Sub-total	24 23	21.19	45.42	
6	Price Corongency	19.14	4.11	14 25	
	total	34 37	25 30	59.67	OM Co-t
					0.52

2003		Unit : Cols. Million			
No.	Specification	1.C.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	13 06	17.18	30 24	-
2	Land Acquisition	201	0.00	2 0 1	
3	Administration Cost	1 60	0.00	1 60	
4	Engineering Fee	1.09	2.08	3.17	
5	Physical Contingency	1 78	1.93	3.70	
	Sub-total	19.54	21.19	40.73	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	19.54	21 19	40.73	OM Cost
					633

2004		Unit : Cels, Million			
No.	Specification	LC.	FC.	Total	_
Ī	Construction Cost	16.35	17.18	33 54	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
- 3	Administration Cost	168	0.00	163	
-1	Ingineering Fee	1.23	2 03	3.31	
5	Physical Countries	1.93	193	3.85	
	Sub-total	21 20	21 19	42 38	
6	Price Contingency	10.67	487	15 54	
	Total	31 87	26.06	57.92	OM Cost
					0.83

2004			Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
ı	Construction Cost	13 06	17.18	30 24	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.69	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	1.49	0.00	1.49	
4	Engineering Fee	1.69	2 08	3.17	
5	Physical Contingency	1.56	193	3.49	
	Sub-total	17 20	21 19	38 39	
6	Price Contingency	0.60	0.00	0.00	
	Total	17 20	21.19	38 39	OM Cost
					0.50

2005		_	Unit : Cois. Milition				
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	_		
-1	Construction Cost	16.36	17.18	33 54	_		
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0 00	0.00			
3	Administration Cost	167	0.00	1 67			
4	Engineering Fee	1 23	208	3 31			
5	Physical Costingency	1.93	1.93	3 85			
	Sub-total	21 19	21.19	12 37			
6	Price Contingency	12 57	5 66	18 23			
	िराजी	33 76	26 85	60.60	OM Cos		
_					1 18		

	2005		ปีกัน : Cols	Millson.	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	-
j	Construction Cost	13.06	17.18	30 24	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	1.43	0.00	1.43	
4	Engineering Fee	1.09	2 08	3.17	
5	Physical Contingency	1.56	1.93	3.49	
	Sub-total	17.19	21 19	38 38	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	17.19	2119	18 18	OM Cost
					0.67

O.T.28

### TABLE 03.5 (2-2) ESHMATE OF FCONOMIC COST

Priority Project-Alt. II (BU)

(I) F	inancial Cost				(2)	Feenende Cost				
Tota	t	_	Unit: Cols	Million	Tota	al .		Unit: Col-	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	No.	Specification	I C	i c	Tetal	-
1	Construction Cost	81 80	85 90	167.70	1	Construction Cost	65.32	8590	151 22	_
2	Land Acquisition	10.52	0.00	10 52	2	Land Acquisition	8 04	0.00	8 ()4	
- 3	Administration Cost	891	0.00	8.91	3	Administration Cost	7.83	0.00	7.88	
4	Engineering Fee	9.91	16 78	26.69	4	Engineering Fee	8 77	16.78	25 55	
5	Physical Contagency	11.11	10 27	21.38	5	Physical Contingency	9.00	10 27	19 27	
	Sub-total	122 25	112 95	235 20		Sub-total	93.02	112 95	211 97	
6	Price Contingency	49.08	21 16	70 24	6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	171.33	134.11	305.44 OM Cost		Total	99 02	112.95	211 97	OM Cost
				1 56						0.83

	(9)9	Unit Cols Milhon				
No	Specification	1.C	F.C	[ctal		
3	Construction Cost	4) (3)	9 00	0.60		
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00		
1	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00		
4	Engineering Fee	0.87	1.50	2 37		
5	Physical Contingency	0.09	0.13	0.24		
	Sub-fold	0.96	165	261		
6	Price Contingency	0.12	0.10	0 22		
	Total	1.68	1.75	2.83 OM Cost		
				0.00		

# (2) Feenomic Cost

	1999		Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C	Total	-
ī	Construction Cost	0.00	0(0)	0.00	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	
- 4	Fregineering Fee	0.77	1.50	2 27	
5	Physical Contingency	0.08	0.15	0.23	
	Sub-total	0.83	1 65	2 50	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Fotal	0.85	1 65	2.50	OM Cost
					0.00
					-

	2000	Unit: Cols. Million				
No.	Specification	1. C.	F.C.	Total		
ì	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0 00	-	
2	Land Acquisition	1.60	0.00	160		
3	Administration Cost	6,68	0.00	0.08		
- 1	Engineering Fee	0.23	0.40	0.63		
5	Physical Contragency	0.19	0.04	0.23		
	Sub-total	2 10	0.41	2 54		
6	Price Contineency	0.40	0.04	0.11		
	fetal	2 50	0.43	2 98	OM Cost	
					0.00	

	2000		Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Tota!	_
1	Construction Cost	0.00	0.00	0.00	_
2	Land Acquisition	1 22	0.00	1 22	
- 3	Administration Cost	0.67	0.00	0.07	
4	Engineering Fee	0.20	0.40	0.60	
5	Physical Contingency	0.15	0.04	0.19	
	Sub-total	165	0.44	2 09	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	1 65	0.44	2 09	OM Cost
					0.00

	2001	Unit : Cols Million				
No.	Specification	I.C.	F.C.	Tetal	-	
Ī	Construction Cost	4.32	490	9 22		
2	Land Acquisition	1.60	0.60	1.60		
3	Administration Cost	0.54	0.00	0.54		
4	Engineering Fee	0.36	0.62	0 98		
5	Physical Configency	0.63	0.55	1 23		
	Sub-total	7.50	6.07	13.57		
6	Price Contingency	197	0.76	2.73		
	Tetal	9.17	6.83	16.30	OM Cost	
					0.00	

	2001		Unit: Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	3.45	4.90	8 3 5	-
2	Land Acquisition	1 22	0.00	1 22	
3	Administration Cost	0.48	0.00	0.48	
4	Engineering Fee	0.32	0.62	0.94	
5	Physical Contingency	0.55	0.55	1.10	
	Sub-total	6.02	6 07	12 09	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	6.02	6 07	12.09	OM Cost
					0.00

	2602		Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	1 12	490	9 22	•
2	Land Acquisition	1.60	0.00	1 60	
3	Administration Cost	0.51	0.00	0.51	
4	Engineering Fee	0.36	0.62	0.98	
5	Physical Contingency	0.68	0.55	1 23	
	Sub-total	7.50	6 07	13.57	
6	Price Contingency	2.54	097	3 51	
	Total	10.04	7.04	17.68	OM Cos
					0.03

	2002		Unit : Cols	. Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Tetal	-
1	Construction Cost	3,45	4 90	8.35	-
2	Land Acquisition	1 22	0 00	1 22	
3	Administration Cost	0.48	0 00	0.48	
4	Engineering Fee	0.32	0 62	0.94	
5	Physical Contingency	0.55	0.55	1.30	
	Sub-total	6.02	6 07	12.09	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	6.02	6.07	12 09	OM Cost
					0.05

	2003	1	Unit : Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Tetal	-
1	Construction Cost	4.32	190	9 22	•
2	Land Acquisition	1.60	0.60	1 60	
- 3	Administration Cost	0.54	0.00	0 54	
1	Engineering Fee	0.36	0.62	0.98	
5	Physical Contingency	0.68	0.55	1 23	
	Sub-total	7.50	6 07	13.57	
6	Price Contingency	3.14	1 18	432	
	Icul	10.64	7.25	17.89	OM Cost
					0 14

	2003		Unit : Cels	Melion	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	[O.a]	-
1	Construction Cost	3.45	4.90	8 35	•
2	Land Acquisition	1.22	0.00	1 22	
3	Administration Cost	0.48	0.00	0.48	
4	Engineering Fee	0.32	0.62	0.91	
5	Physical Contingency	0.55	0.55	1.10	
	Sub-total	6.02	6.07	12 09	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	6.02	6.07	12 09	OM Cost
					0.0

	2004	1	Unit: Cols	Million
No	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total
- 1	Construction Cost	1.12	4.90	9 22
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	00)
3	Administration Cost	0.45	0.00	0.46
4	Engineering Fee	0.35	0.62	0 98
5	Physical Confingency	0.51	0.55	1 07
	Sob-total	5.65	6 0 7	11.73
6	Price Contingency	2 8 5	1.40	4 25
	fotal	8 50	7.47	15 98 OM Cost
				0.23

	2004		Unit : Cols	. Melion	
No.	Specification	i.C.	F.C.	Total	-
1	Construction Cost	3.45	490	8 35	-
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.41	0.00	041	
4	Engineering Fee	0.32	0.62	0.94	
5	Physical Contingency	0.42	0.55	0 97	
	Sub-total	4.59	6.07	10 67	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	4 59	6.07	10 67	OM Cost
					0.14

	2005		Unit : Cols.	Melion	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	Total	-
j	Construction Cost	4.32	1 80	9 22	•
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0.44	0.00	041	
4	I nemerong Fee	0.35	0.62	0 98	
5	Physical Coringency	0.51	0.55	166	
	505-1-431	5 63	6 07	11 70	
6	Price Confingency	3.37	161	4 93	
	ीलबी	9 (0	7 68	16 68	OM Cos
					0.32

	2005		Unit : Cois	Million	
No.	Specification	L.C.	F.C.	Total	_
1	Construction Cost	3.45	4.90	8 3 5	_
2	Land Acquisition	0.00	0.00	0.00	
3	Administration Cost	0 39	0.00	0.39	
4	Engineering Fee	0.32	0.62	094	
5	Physical Contingency	0.42	0.55	0.97	
	Sub-total	4 57	6.97	10 65	
6	Price Contingency	0.60	0.00	0.00	
	Total	4 57	6 07	10.65	OM Cost
					0.18

### TABLE 03.6 (2-2) FSHMALF OF FCONOMIC COST

Priority Project-Att. III (U) (I) Financial Cost

Total		1	Unit: Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	LC.	F.C.	fotal	_
T	Construction Cost	21 60	24.50	46.10	•
2	Land Acquisition	6.40	0.00	6.49	
3	Administration Cost	260	0.00	260	
-\$	Engineering Fee	290	5.00	7.90	
5	Physical Contingency	3 35	2 95	6 30	
	Sub-total	35 85	32.45	69 30	
6	Price Contingency	14.39	6 06	20.45	
	lotal	51.24	38 51	89.75	OM Cos
					0.43

(2) Feonomic Cost

Cia	il		Uax, Cols	Million	
No.	Specification	LC	TC.	lotal	_
ŀ	Construction Cost	17.25	24 50	41.75	-
2	Land Acquisition	489	(100	489	
3	Administration Cost	2.30	0.00	2.30	
- 4	Figureering Fee	2 57	500	7 57	
5	Physical Contingency	2.70	2 95	5 65	
	Sub-tetal	29 71	32.45	62 [6	
6	Price Contingency	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	2971	32.45	62 16	OM Cost
					0.23

TABLE 03.7 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

	Priority Project-Alt.1 (O+J+U)				Unit: Cols. Million		
	Year				Economic Net Benefi		
		Construction	<u>OM</u>	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)	
1	1999	21.45	0.00	21.45	0.00	-21.45	
2	2000	9.99	0.00	9.99	0.00	-9.99	
3	2001	103.46	0.00	103.46	0.00	-103.46	
4	2002	103,46	0.43	103.89	21.08	-82.81	
5	2003	103.46	0.86	104.32	42.17	-62.15	
6	2004	99,19	1.29	100.48	63.25	-37.23	
7	2005	99.14	1.72	100.86	84.34	-16.52	
8	2006	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
9	2007	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
10	2008	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
11	2009	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
12	2010	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
13	2011	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
14	2012	0,00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
15	2013	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
16	2014	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
17	2015	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
18	2016	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
19	2017	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
20	2018	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
21	2019	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27	
22	2020	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
23	2021	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
24	2022	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
25	2023	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
26	2024	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27	
27	2025	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
28	2026	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103,27	
29	2027	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
30	2028	0.00	2.15	2,15	105.42	103.27	
31	2029	0.00	2.15	2.15	105,42	103.27	
32	2030	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
33	2031	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
34	2032	0.00	2.15	2.15	105,42	103.27	
35	2033	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
36	2034	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
37	2035	0.00	2.15	2.15	105.42	103.27	
38	2036	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
39	2037	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
40	2038	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
41	2039	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
42	2040	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	Total	540,15	68.80	608.95	3,373.44	2,764.49	

			EIRR (%)	18,11
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols.	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols Million)
20	0.90	241.50	218.32	-23.18
15	1.21	292.16	352.29	60.13
12	1.49	330.34	491.65	161.31
10	1.75	360.10	629.53	269.44
5	2.87	456.22	1,309.23	853.01

TABLE 03.8 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

	Priority Project-Alt.II(J+U)			Unit : Cols. Million		
	Year		nomi <b>c C</b>			Net Benefit
		Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
1	1999	8.44	0.00	8.44	0.00	-8.44
2	2000	4.58	0.00	4.58	0.00	-4.58
3	2001	40.73	0.00	40.73	0.00	-40.73
4	2002	40.73	0.17	40.90	12.52	-28.38
5	2003	40.73	0.33	41.06	24.30	-16.76
6	2004	38.39	0.50	38.89	36.83	-2.06
7	2005	38,38	0.67	39.05	49.35	10.30
8	2006	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
9	2007	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
10	2008	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
11	2009	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
12	2010	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
13	2011	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
14	2012	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
15	2013	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
16	2014	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
17	2015	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
18	2016	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60,30
19	2017	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
20	2018	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
21	2019	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
22	2020	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
23	2021	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
24	2022	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
25	2023	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
26	2024	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
27	2025	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
28	2026	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
29	2027	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
30	2028	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60 30
31	2029	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
32	2030	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
33	2031	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
34	2032	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
35	2033	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
36	2034	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
37	2035	0.00	0.83	0.83	61.13	60.30
38	2036	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
39	2037	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
40	2038	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
41	2039	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
42	2040	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	211.98	26.57	238.55	1,956.90	1,718.35

		-	<b>EIRR (%)</b>	26.31
Discount	B/C	PV(Cols	Million)	NPV
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols Million)
20	1.33	95.09	126.85	31.77
15	1.78	114.92	204.61	89.68
12	2.20	129,86	285.47	155.61
10	2 58	141.50	365.47	223.97
5	4.24	179.04	759.73	580.70

TABLE 03.9 ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

	Priority Project-Alt. III(U) Year Economic Cost			Unit: Cols. Million		
	n ear					Net Benefit
	<u> </u>	Construction	OM	Total (C)	Benefit (B)	(B)-(C)
ì	1999	2.50	0.00	2.50	0.00	-2.50
2	2000	2.09	0.00	2.09	0.00	-2.09
3	2001	12.09	0.00	12.09	0.00	-12.09
4	2002	12.09	0.05	12.14	2.58	-9.56
5	2003	12.09	0.09	12.18	4.65	-7.53
6	2004	10.67	0.14	10.81	7.23	-3.58
7	2005	10.65	0.18	10.83	9.30	-1.53
8	2006	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
9	2007	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
10	2008	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
H	2009	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
12	2010	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
13	2011	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
14	2012	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
15	2013	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
16	2014	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
17	2015	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
18	2016	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
19	2017	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
20	2018	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
21	2019	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
22	2020	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
23	2021	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
24	2022	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
25	2023	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
26	2024	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
27	2025	0.00	0.23	0.23	17.88	11.65
28	2026	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
29	2027	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
30	2028	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
31	2029	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
32	2030	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
33	2031	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
14	2032	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
35	2033	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
16	2034	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
17	2035	0.00	0.23	0.23	11.88	11.65
8	2036	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
9	2037	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
0	2038	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
H	2039	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	2040	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total	62.18	7.36	69.54	380.16	310.62

			EIRR (%)	17.52
Discount B/C		PV(Cols.	NPV	
Rate (%)		Cost	Benefit	(Cols.Million)
20	0.87	28.24	24.64	
15	1.17	34.01	39.73	5.72
12	1.45	38.34	55.44	17.09
10	1.70	41.71	70.97	29.26
5	2.81	52.53	147.56	

Table 0.3.10 REPAYMENT SCHEDULE OF EXTERNAL DEBT

**Unit: US\$ Million** Year **External Debt** Repayment Year Annual Cumulative rincipal Interest in Total Order Debt Debt 1 1999 2.08 2.08 0.12 0.12 2 2000 3.22 0.19 1.14 0.19 3 2001 11.80 15.02 0.90 0.90 2002 4 12.35 27.37 1.64 1.64 5 2003 12.92 40.29 2.42 2.42 6 2004 12.82 53.11 3.19 3.19 7 2005 13.39 66.50 3.99 3.99 8 2006 66.50 3.99 3.99 9 2007 66.50 3.99 3.99 10 2008 66.50 3.99 3.99 2009 66.50 3.99 11 3.33 7.32 63.18 3.33 12 2010 3.79 7.12 59.85 13 2011 3.33 3.59 6.92 14 2012 56.53 3.33 3.39 6.72 15 2013 53.20 3.33 3.19 6.52 49.88 16 2014 3.33 2.99 6.32 46.55 17 2015 3.33 2.79 6.12 43.23 18 2016 3.33 2.59 5.92 39.90 19 2017 3.33 2.39 5.72 36.58 20 2018 3.33 2.19 5.52 21 2019 33.25 3.33 2.00 5.32 29.93 22 2020 3.33 1.80 5.12 26.60 23 2021 3.33 1.60 4.92 24 2022 23.28 3.33 1.40 4.72 25 2023 19.95 3.33 1.20 4.52 26 2024 16.63 3.33 1.00 4.32 27 2025 13.30 3.33 0.80 4.12 28 2026 9.97 3.33 0.60 3.92 29 2027 6.65 3.33 0.40 3.72 30 2028 3.33 0.20 3.32 3.52 31 2029 0.0066.50 66.50 Total 66.32 132.82

note:interest 6%

# EXPECTED BY IMPLEMENTING THE PRIORITY PROJECT

						Unit : Cols	1,000
			Ass	sets			
Item	Bulldings	Household Effects	Livestock	Agricultural Crops	Public Facilities	Business Activities	Total
Reduction in Damage Ratio (%)	36,351 34.5	19,013 18.0	4,516 4.3	23,392 22.2	•	3,322 3.2	105,418 100.1

Table O.3.11 REDUCTION IN AVERAGE ANNUAL FLOOD DAMAGE

# Table 0.3.12 AVERAGE ANNUAL FLOOD DAMAGE

Year		Unit : Cols. 1,000 Priority Project		
	Without-Project (A)	With-Project (B)	Reduction in Damage (C)=(A)-(B)	
2010	155,165	49,749	105,416	
1996	114,243	35,955	78,288	