JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION
MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES
HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL

THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT

FINAL REPORT VOLUME II APPENDICES

AUGUST 1997

JKA LIBRARY

CHUO KAIHATSU CORPORATION

Λ F Λ J R 97-47 JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION
MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES
HIS MAJESTY'S GOVERNMENT OF NEPAL

THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT

FINAL REPORT
VOLUME II
APPENDICES

AUGUST 1997

CHUO KAIHATSU CORPORATION









APPENDICES

CONTENTS

Part A		
1.	S/W and M/M (July 15, 1996)	A-1
2.	M/M on the Inception Report (December 9, 1996)	A-12
3.		
4.		A-20
5.	kan berkeran kan berkeran dia menganan kenangan dan kantan kenangan kenangan berkeran berkerangan berkerangan	A-24
6.		A-31
7.	Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (10 places).	A-53
8.	J J	A-81
9.	Report on Water Availability for Trisuli Irrigation Project	
	from Trisuli Hydro Project	A-83
	. M/M on the Progress Report (March 19, 1997)	A-130
1.1	. "Shifting the Intake Site of Trishuli Irrigation Project"	A-131
12	. "Request for agreement for shifting of intake of TIP"	A-133
13	. M/M on the Draft Final Report (July 24, 1997)	A-134
Part B		
Ap	pendix 2.1 Basic Data on Nepal	B-1
Αμ	opendix 2.4 Related Administrative Organizations	B-8
Λμ	opendix 5.2 Rural Society	В-23
Ap	opendix 5.3 Agriculture	В-62
Λp	opendix 5.4 Agricultural Economy	B- 7 4
Λp	opendix 5.5 Irrigation and Drainage	В-94
Ap	pendix 6.3 Agricultural Development Plan	B-187
	pendix 7.5 Project Cost	
1.4		D 000

Part A

1. S/W and M/M (July 15, 1996)

SCOPE OF WORK
FOR
THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
ON
TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT
IN
THE KINGDOM OF NEPAL

AGREED UPON BETWEEN

DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION

MINISTRY OF WATER RESOURCES

AND

THE JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

KATHMANDU, 15 JULY, 1996

Mr. Y.L.Vaidya

Director General,

)

Department of Irrigation

His Majesty's Government of Nepal

荒木正荣

Mr.Shoei Araki

Leader,

Japanese Preparatory Study Team, The Japan International Cooperation

Agency

I. Introduction

In response to the request of the His Majesty's Government of Nepal (hereinafter referred to as "HMGN"), the Government of Japan decided to conduct the Feasibility Study on the Trishuli Irrigation Project (hereinafter referred to as "the Study"), in accordance with the relevant laws and regulations in force in Japan.

Accordingly, Japan International Cooperation Agency (hereinafter referred to as "JICA"), the official agency responsible for the implementation of technical cooperation programs of the Government of Japan, will undertake the Study in close cooperation with the authorities concerned of HMGN.

The Department of Irrigation, Ministry of Water Resources (hereinafter referred to as "DOI") shall act as counterpart agency to the Japanese study team and also as the coordinating body in relation with other governmental and non-governmental organizations concerned for the smooth implementation of the Study.

The present document sets forth the scope of work with regard to the Study.

II. Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the Study are;

- 1. To formulate the feasibility study report on the Trishuli Irrigation Project(hereinafter referred to as "the Project") utilizing 3m³/s of water from headrace canal of Trishuli Devighat Hydropower Upgrading Project, and
- 2. To carry out technology transfer to the Nepalese counterpart personnel through on-the-job training in the course of the Study

III. Study area

The Study area covers approximately 1,000ha of left and right bank terrace of Trishuli river located in the Nuwakot district.





IV. Scope of the Study

The Study will cover the following:

- 1. Phase I (work in Nepal)
 - 1.1. Review of the existing regional and national development plans related to the Study area
 - 1.2. Collection and analysis of the existing data and information of the Study area;
 - (1)natural condition
 - 1)topography
 - 2)meteorology and hydrology
 - 3)geology
 - 4)pedology
 - 5) water quality of Trishuli river
 - 6) environment and ecology
 - (2)social condition
 - 1)population
 - 2)regional socio-economic condition and economic activities
 - 3)social infrastructure
 - 4)others

Ş.

- (3)agricultural condition
 - 1)land use
 - 2) farming and cropping
 - 3)agro-economy, agricultural credit, processing, and marketing system
 - 4)agricultural supporting system(government institutions, farmers' organizations, supporting organizations, and extension service organizations)
 - 5)others
- (4) present condition of irrigation and drainage
 - 1)pump and pumping station
 - 2) irrigation system and facilities
 - 3)drainage system and facilities
 - 4)water management system



A

- (5) surveying
 - 1)route survey(intake to the Study area)
 - 2)topographic survey(the Study area)
- 1.3.Examination on alternative approach;
- (1) irrigation and drainage systems:route of headrace, combination of gravity irrigation and pumping irrigation, irrigation block, etc.
- (2) irrigation and drainage facilities:standard, construction methods, engineering, technology, materials, farmers' participation, etc.
- (3) cropping pattern
- (4) operation and maintenance
 - 1) farmers' participation and water users association
 - 2) water tariff
 - 3)others
- 1.4. Initial Environmental Examination
- 2. Phase II (work in Japan)
- 2.1. Evaluation on alternative approach;
- (1) irrigation and drainage systems:route of headrace, combination of gravity irrigation and pumping irrigation, irrigation block, etc.
- (2) irrigation and drainage facilities:standard, construction methods, engineering, technology, materials, farmers' participation, etc.
- (3) cropping pattern
- (4) operation and maintenance
 - 1) farmers' participation and water users association
 - 2)water tariff
 - 3)others
- (5) cost estimation
- 2.2. Formulation of a development plan of the Project with the following components:
- (1) Irrigation and drainage with maximum effort on utilization of existing irrigation infrastructure.



- 1)settling basin
- 2) canal (headrace canal, main canal, secondary canal, tertiary canal)
- 3)replacement of pumps and rehabilitation of pumping station
- 4)other minor facilities
- (2) Cropping pattern
- (3) Farmers' organization, water users association and supporting service
- (4) Post harvest and marketing system
- (5) Environmental preservation plan(including land conservation)
- (6) Preliminary design of major structures
- (7) Operation and maintenance plan for major structures
- (8) Project implementation schedule
- (9) Estimation of project cost and benefits
- 2.3 Evaluation of the development plan
- 2.4.Recommendations

V. Study schedule

The Study will be carried out in accordance with the attached tentative work schedule.(ANNEX)

VI.Reports

JICA will prepare and submit the following reports in English to the HMGN.

- 1. Inception Report

 Twenty (20) copies at the commencement of the Phase I field study.
- 2. Progress Report

 Twenty (20) copies at the end of the work in Nepal of the Phase I study.
- 3. Draft final Report



Twenty (20) copies at the end of the Phase II study. HMGN will provide its comments on the Draft Final Report to IICA within one (1) month after receiving the Draft Final Report.

4. Final Report

Fifty (50) copies within two (2) months after the receipt of comments on the Draft Final Report.

VII. Undertakings of HMGN

- 1. To facilitate smooth conduct of the Study, HMGN shall take necessary measures:
- (1) to secure the safety of the Japanese study team;
- (2) to permit the members of the Japanese study team to enter, leave and sojourn in the Kingdom of Nepal for the duration of their assignment therein, and exempt them from foreign registration requirements and consular fees.
- (3) to exempt the members of the Japanese study team from taxes, duties, fees and any other charges on equipment, machinery and materials brought into the Kingdom of Nepal for the conduct of the Study;
- (4)to exempt the members of the Japanese study team from income tax and charges of any kind imposed on or in connection with any emoluments or allowances paid to the members of the Japanese study team for their services in connection with the implementation of the Study;
- (5) to provide necessary facilities to the Japanese study team for the remittance as well as utilization of the funds introduced into the Kingdom of Nepal from Japan in connection with the implementation of the Study;
- (6) to secure permission for entry into private properties or restricted areas for the implementation of the Study as and when necessity arises;
- (7) to secure permission for the Japanese study team to take all data and documents related to the Study including photographs and maps out of the Kingdom of Nepal to Japan; and
- (8) to provide medical services as needed. Its expenses will be chargeable on the members of the Japanese study team.



A-6

- 2. HMGN shall bear claims, if any arises, against the members of the Japanese study team resulting from, occurring in the course of, or otherwise connected with, the discharge of their duties in the implementation of the Study, except when such claims arise from gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the members of the Japanese study team.
- 3. DOI shall, at its own expense, provide the Japanese study team with the following, in cooperation with the Department of Agriculture and authorities concerned;
 - (1) available data and information necessary and related to the Study;
 - (2) counterpart personnel and supporting staff necessary for the Study;
 - (3) suitable office space(s) with necessary equipment in Kathmandu and the Study area and:
 - (4) credentials or identification cards.

VIII. Undertakings of IICA

For the implementation of the Study, IICA shall take the following measures;

- (1)to dispatch, at its own expense, the study team to the Kingdom of Nepal and
- (2)to pursue technology transfer to the Nepalese counterpart personnel in the course of the Study.

IX. Others

JICA and the DOI shall consult with each other in respect of any matter that may arise from or in connection with the Study.





ANNEX

TIENTATIVE WORK SCHEDULE

Month		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Work in Kingdom of Nepal) 3624 					:	. :
Home office work in Japan			÷	C						
Reports	Δ			7			Δ	0	Δ	
	Ic/R	1 1	P/F	₹		71	DI/R		F/	'R

()

(Remarks)

Ic/R: Inception Report
P/R: Progress Report

Df/R: Draft Final Report

F/R: Final Report

O: Comments on DF/R by the Nepali side







List of Participants

1. Nepalese Side

Department of Irrigation, Ministry of Water Resources

Mr.Y.L. Vaidya

Director General

Mr.S.N. Poudel

Deputy Director General,

Planning, Design, Monitoring and Evaluation

Mr.N.N. Vaidya

Superintending Engineer,

Planning, Design, Monitoring and Evaluation

Mr. Yatsuhiro Chiba

Advisor to DOI

Mr.B. Chhatkuli

Engineer,

Central Region Irrigation Directorate

Mr.M.Belbase

Engineer,

Planning Section

2. Japanese Side

Mr.Shoei Araki

Leader of Preparatory Study Team, JICA

Mr.Masashi Nakai

Member of Preparatory Snidy Team, ЛСА

Mr. Akinori Ishikawa

Member of Preparatory Study Team, JICA

Mr.Kunio Nishimura

Member of Preparatory Study Team, JICA

Mr. Noriaki Nagatomo

Member of Preparatory Study Team, JICA

Mr. Atsushi Uchida Assistant Resident Representative,

IICA Nepal Office

Mr. Narendra Gurung

Senior Program Officer,

ЛСА Nepal Office



F

MINUTES OF MEETING
ON
SCOPE OF WORK
FOR
THE FEASIBILITY STUDY
ON
TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT
IN
THE KINGDOM OF NEPA L

The preparatory study team(hereinafter referred to as "the Team") organized by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (hereinafter referred to as "JICA"), and headed by Mr. Shoei Araki, visited the Kingdom of Nepal from 8 to 16, July, 1996 for the purpose of discussing and confirming the Scope of Work for the Feasibility Study on TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT (hereinafter referred to as "the Study").

The Team had a series of discussions with officials concerned of the Department of Irrigation, Ministry of Water Resources(hereinafter referred to as "DOI") on the Scope of Work for the Study. The list of Participants in a series of meetings is attached in the Annex.

As a result of the discussions, DOI and the Team agreed on the Scope of Work for the Study.

The following are the main issues discussed and agreed upon by both sides in relation to the Scope of Work for the Study.

- 1. The Study area covers approximately 1,000ha located in the part of Gerkhutar, Bidur, Maharani Dihi, Battar, Pipaltar, Majhitar, Chandi, Pokhari, Lower Pipaltar and Pokhare Phant in Nuwakot District.
- 2. The existing coordinating committee of DOI and DOA (Department of Agriculture) shall coordinate for the smooth implementation of the Study.
- 3. The DOI shall get a permission for using the aero-photograph covering the Study area and taking it out of the Kingdom of Nepal from the Survey Department and related authorities.

all all

- 4. The DOI shall provide drivers to the Japanese study team.
- 5. DOI requested the counterpart training in Japan.

 The Team promised to convey its request to the Government of Japan.

KATHMANDU, 15 JULY, 1996

Mr. Y.L. Vaidya

Director General,

Department of Irrigation

His Majesty's Government of Nepal

Mr.Shoei Araki

Leader,

Japanese Preparatory Study Team, The Japan International Cooperation

Agency

Part A

1

2. M/M on the Inception Report (December 9, 1996)

MINUTES OF THE MEETING ON THE INCEPTION REPORT FOR

THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT IN THE KINGDOM OF NEPAL

Date:

1

Ţ

December 9, 1996

Venue:

Conference Room of the Department of Irrigation

Participants: Listed in Annex-1

A. SUBMITTING OF INCEPTION REPORT (IC/R)

The Feasibility Study Team (hereafter refer to as "the Study Team") dispatched by the Japan International Cooperation Agency (hereafter referred to as "JICA") has arrived at the Kingdom of Nepal for the purpose of execution of the Field Survey from December 4, 1996 to March 22, 1997.

At commencement of the Field Survey, the Study Team has submitted 20 copies of the Inception reports to Department of Irrigation, Ministry of Water Resources (hereafter referred to as "DOI") of the His Majesty's Government of Nepal (hereafter referred to as "HMO/N") on December 5, 1996.

Mr. Masamitsu Fujioka, Team Leader of the Study Team, explained contents of the IC/R to the concerned officials of DOI.

Through series of discussions, the contents of the Inception Report were in principle accepted by DOI.

The followings are the main issues discussed and agreed upon by both sides in relation to the Inception Report.

- 1. In response to the proposal by the Study Team, HMG/N proposed as shown in section B shown in the following page.
- Counterparts, listed in Annex-2 attached herein, are assigned from DOI to be involved as full time and contact persons of DOI for this Study during the entire period of the Study in Nepal.
- 3. The first workshop/meeting of CCG will be held on December 25, 1996. The possible venue for the CCG workshop/meeting shall be at DPTC, Lalitpur.
- 4. List of agenda/issues to be discussed in the workshop/meeting (CCGs and FLAGs) shall be provided by the Study Team to DOI, one week prior to the workshop/meeting.
- 5. DOI shall have the prime responsibility of coordinating the participants and will take responsibility of requesting the concerned agencies to participate in workshop/meeting of CCGs and FLAGs during the entire Study period

Mit

- 6. Two drivers are to be provided by DOI for the Study Team.
- 7. Two vehicles from JICA will be handed over to DOI after the completion of this Study.

B. HMG/N PROPOSAL TO THE INCEPTION REPORT

HMG/N proposal to the compositions of the Central Coordinating Group (CCG) and Field Level Action Group (FLAG) as agreed by JICA Study Team on page 22 and 23 in the IC/R shall be made by officials of DOI as mentioned in Annex-3(a) and Annex-3(b).

Mr. Mahesh Man SHRESTIIA Acting Director General, DOI

John of au Doller

Mr. Masamitsu FUJIOKA Team Leader, JICA Study Team

WITNESSED BY

Mr. Atsushi UCHIDA Assistant Resident Representative, JICA Nepal Office

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

- 1. Mr. Mahesh Man Shrestha
- 2. Mr. Som Nath Poudel
- 3. Mr. Nirjara Nanda Vaidya
- 4. Mr. Sharada Prasad Sharma
- 5. Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma
- 6. Mr. Shital Babu Regmi
- 7. Mr. Mahesh Bahadur Pradhan
- 8. Mr. Prakash Man Shrestha
- 9. Mr. Khila Nath Dahai
- 10. Mr. Yatsuhiro Chiba
- 11. Mr. Masamitsu Fujioka
- 12. Mr. Kuniki Iwata
- 13. Dr. Shiva Kumar Chaudhary
- 14. Dr. Prachanda Pradhan
- 15. Mr. Kiyofumi Tanaka
- 16. Mr. Kazumitsu Tsumura
- 17. Mr. Kentarou Usuda
- 18. Mr. Atsushi Uchida
- 19. Mr. Narenda Gurung

Acting Director General, DOI

Deputy Director General, DOI

Superintending Engineer, DOI

Regional Director, CRID, DOI

Senior Divisional Engineer, DOI

Senior Divisional Engineer, CRID, DOI

Engineer, DOI

Engineer, DOI

Engineering Geologist, DOI

JICA Expert, DOI

Team Leader, JICA Study Team

Irrigation and Drainage, JICA Study Team

Farm Management, JICA Study Team

Farmer Organization, JICA Study Team

Rural Society, JICA Study Team

Facility Design/Cost Estimate, JICA Study Team

Toposurvey Supervision, JICA Study Team

Assistant Resident Representative, JICA Nepal Office

Senior Program Officer, JICA Nepal Office

and the Co

LIST OF COUNTERPARTS

Full Time Counterparts

1 M	e Mahech	Rahadur	Prodbon(Cl	iicf Counterpa	(t)	Engineer, DOI
. I . IVI	r. ivianusn	Danauur	Fraonan(C)	ner Counterpa	uk) :	taignicei, ioo

- 2. Mr. Prakash Man Shrestha Engineer, DOI
- 3. Mr. Khila Nath Dahal Engineering Geologist, DOI
 4. Mr. Nirmal Gadal Agronomist, CRID, DOI
- 5. Mr. H. K. Jha Environmentalist, Environment Section

Contact Persons

<u>Discipline</u>		Name of Counterparts	Position / Agencies
1. Team leader			
	A	Mr. Niciora Nauda Vaidya	Superintending lineinger DO

2. Irrigation and Drainage

- Central Level Mr. Mahesh Bahadur Pradhan Engineer, DOI
- Regional Level Mr. Shital Babu Regmi Senior Divisional Engineer, DOI
- District Level Mr. L. D. Shrestha Office in Charge, DOI

3. Farm Management

- Regional Level Mr. Nirmal Gadal Agronomist, CRID, DOI
- District Level Mr. N. P. Khanal Agriculture Dev. Officer, DOA

4. Farmer Organization

- Central Level Mr. P. R. Dhungana DOI
- District Level Association Organizers, DOI

5. Rural Society

Ms. Sangita Singh Sociologist, CRID, DOI

6. Agro Economy / Cost Evaluation

Mr. D. B. Khanal Agri-Economist, DOI

7. Facility Design / Cost Estimate

Mr. Prakash Man Shrestha Engineer, DOI

8. Environment

Mr. H. K. Jha Environmentalist, Environment Section

9. Toposurvey Supervision

Mr. Prakash Man Shrestha Engineer, DOI

a w

SELECTED MEMBERS OF CENTRAL COORDINATING GROUP (CCG)

Policy Making Group

1. Director General, DOI (Chairman)	Mr. Y. L. Vaidya
2. Deputy Director General, DOI,	
1) Irrigation, Management & Water Utilization Division	Mr. M. M. Shrestha
2) Planning, Design, Monitoring & Evaluation Division	Mr. S. N. Paudel
3) Surface Irrigation Division	Mr. M. Aryal
3. Regional Directors, DOI	
1) Regional Directorate of Irrigation, Central Development Region	Mr. S. P. Sharma
2) Senior Divisional Engineer, CRID	Mr. S. B. Regmi
3) Chief Training & Research Branch	Mr. K. R. Sharma

- 4. Representatives
 - 1) National Planning Commission
 - 2) Department of Agriculture
 - 3) Department of Forestry
 - 4) Department of Soil Conservation
 - 5) Agricultural Development Bank
 - 6) Agricultural Input Corporation
 - 7) Ministry of Population & Environment
 - 8) Ministry of Water Resources
 - 9) Nepal Electricity Authority (NEA): Preferably Project Manager,

Trishuli-Devighat Hydropower Rehabilitation Project

10) Chief, Planning & Feasibility Study, DOI

11) Experts of related Fields

Planning Group (Counterparts arranged by the related agencies)

- 1) Irrigation Management & Water Utilization
- 2) Department Agriculture
- 3) Department of Forestry
- 4) Department of Soil Conversation
- 5) Officers of related Agencies

a

A

M.Se.

爲

PROPOSED MEMBERS OF FIELD LEVEL ACTION GROUP (FLAG)

Concerned Government Agency Group

- 1) District Irrigation Office
- 2) Agriculture Service Center
- 3) Co-operative Society
- 4) Agricultural Development Bank (ADB/N)
- 5) District Agricultural Development Office
- 6) Agricultural Input Corporation (AIC)
- 7) Branch Office of Department of Food & Marketing Services
- 8) Local Development Officer, DDC, Nuwakot
- 9) Manager of NEA (Trishuli-Devignat Hydropower Rehabilitation Project)

Beneficiary Farmer Group

Around 40 Farmers from user beneficiaries selected on the basis of the survey to determine beneficiary farmers

Composition of one ward group: Chairman (1) and Farmer representatives (5-6 nos.)

M. F.

Part A

3. "Request to shift the intake point of the Trishuli Irrigation Project"

To,
The Director General,
Department of Irrigation,
Ministry of Water Resources,
Panipokhari, Kathmandu,
Nepal.

Date: 9/2/1997

Subject:: Request to shift the intake point of the Trisuli Irrigation Project.

Dear Sir,

With regard to the Trisuli Irrigation Project Study, I would like to present following interim recommendations for your consideration.

Considerations for making viable Trisuli Irrigation Project.

Background:

The JICA Study Team has executed the Field Survey since early December, 1996. On the basis of site survey and field information, the Study Team has done comparative study on the main canal alignment and irrigation system development. On the basis of study, following interim recommendation is made for immediate consideration by your Department.

Alternative 1.

As suggested in the Central Coordination Group Meeting of 25 December, 1996, the Alternative I alignment is found to be the viable one for Trisuli Irrigation Development Project. (Detail attached, Annex. I,)

In adopting Alternative I, it is possible to accommodate additional flow of 1.5m³/s as design discharge for TIP through the existing hydropower canal system from No.2 Aqueduct without any modifications and additional works

in the existing structures. (Details attached, Report on Water Availability for TIP from Trisuli Hydel Power Project.)

Interim Conclusion of the Study Team.

The Study Team has come to interim conclusion that the Trisuli Irrigation Project viability would be maintained only by adopting the proposal of Alternative No.1.

Keeping in view the interim conclusion, I would like to request you to take necessary step in order to make possible to shift the intake point from the originally proposed one to Alternative No.1. Early decision on this proposal would greatly help finalize the study.

13)

Sincerely Yours,

M. Fujioka

Team Leader

JICA Study Team.

Part A

4. 1st CCG Workshop Meeting (December 25, 1996)

<u>Date</u> <u>Venue</u>

December 25, 1996 Seminar Hall, DPTC, Lalitpur

IST WORKSHOP FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT

Date:

December 25, 1996

Place:

Seminar Hall, DPTC, Lalitpur

Participants: As Listed in Annex

A. AGENDA

1. Assessment of Availability of Water for Irrigation during Wet and Dry Seasons

- 2. Possibility of Shifting Intake Point from Headrace Canal to;
 - 1) Alternative-1: from downstream point of the Trishuli-Devighat Hydropower Desilting basin, and
 - 2) Alternative-2: from the Tailrace of the Devighat Power Station
- 3. Need of Quantitative Assessment of Intake Flow
- 4. Effectiveness on Agricultural Production Increase by the Supplementary Irrigation during Wet Season

B. WORKSHOP MEETING

After having the reconnaissance field visit / survey for 10 days in proposed Trishuli Irrigation Project area by the JICA Study Team and the DOI counterparts, a Workshop on Trishuli Irrigation Project was held to discuss about the findings and controversial issues on the Feasibility Study of the proposed Trishuli Irrigation Project.

Dr. P. Pradhan, JICA Study Team, moderated the meeting.

Mr. N. N. Vaidya, Superintending Engineer, DOI gave an introductory background of the Project with the implementation of Battar Lift Irrigation Project as a pilot project in 1974/75. He further added that NEA made 3m³/s provision in the Headrace Canal of Aqueduct No.2 during the expansion of Trishuli-Devighat Hydropower rehabilitation Project

Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader highlighted on the purpose of the meeting and the findings and

controversial issues during the recent reconnaissance field visit made by the Study Team. He added that FLAG the meeting was conducted in Nuwakot under the chairmanship of DDC President on December 15, 1996 and the enthusiasm of user beneficiaries focused on whether they are sure to get irrigation water or not. He further added that the discussions should be focused on the assessment of the prerequisite terms and conditions.

Then the floor was open for discussion on the agenda mentioned above.

Mr. R. Sulpya, NEA briefed about the capacity of present sill level at Aqueduct No.2 as 45.6m³/s and above only the discharge will be released for irrigation purpose. Also during rainy season for silt clearance at Headwork site, disruption of water for 3 ~ 4 hours/day will be necessary.

Mr. Y. L. Vaidya, D.G. pointed that with the existing intake at Aqueduct No.2 and proposed Alternative-1, there, is no possibility of round the year irrigation.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan, DOI pointed that if the Intake is constructed at Aqueduct No.2, in addition to the idle length of 8.5km pipe conduits, number of structures such as 2 nos. of aqueducts across the Trishuli river (more than 100m), 1 tunnel across the road, 2 aqueducts in the gorges of military camp and numerous other structure are necessary.

Dr. S. K. Chaudhary, JICA Study Team, explained the agricultural situation in the Project area. Mr. S. Saburi, JICA Expert, DOA inquired whether water requirement of different crops and water moisture content are known.

The discussion led to the following understandings between JICA Study Team and the Participants;

- Based on the data of water discharge from the Trishuli river (1977~1993) and NEA basic policy, it was concluded that virtually these will be no irrigation water during mid January to mid April (3 months) using the proposed Intake point and year round irrigation is not possible.
- It was also discussed and understood that the cost effectiveness be taken into consideration using the proposed intake point at Aqueduct No.2.
- Since, there is no agreement made between DOI and NEA regarding the use of Intake point

suggested as Alternative-1 or Alternative-2, it was felt that such agreement be made.

- 4. It was suggested that detailed cost and benefit analysis be done for all three(3) alternatives.
- 5. It was understood that the situation of water availability for irrigation may be same in case of proposed as well as Alternative-1 Intake point (only wet season irrigation) with the exception that water with less silt may be available in case of using Alternative-1 Intake point. In addition, the cost will also be less as it will shorten the length of canal.
- 6. It was confirmed that water availability will not be constraint, if Alternative-2 is used as an Intake point. However, in this case water has to be lifted, therefore, cost and benefit analysis is necessary.
- 7. It was suggested that further investigation be done to examine the economic viability and impact on agricultural productivity, if irrigation is available only during wet season
- 8. NEA official commented that the discussion for the use of 3m³/s water for irrigation purpose has been made by minister of the Ministry of Water Resources during implementation period, then, NEA had to redesign canal capacy changing from 45m³/s to 48m³/s design discharge upto the Aqueduet No.2. However, no such agreement has made between DOI and NEA. In this case, further inquiry or confirmation should be needed.

9. It was also suggested that the use of 1m³/s to 2m³/s water instead of 3m³/s be also taken into consideration for this Study and suitable and economically more beneficial cropping pattern for crops except paddy should be proposed in consultation with user beneficiaries.

Annex

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

1.	Mr. Y. L. Vaidya	Director General,	DOI
			DOI
8.			
-			DOI
9.	Mr. S. P. Raibhadari		DOI
			NPC
			MOWR
		Senior Officer	MOPE
		Deputy Director	NEA
		Assit, Agricultural Economist	DOA
		JICA Expert	DOA -
19.	Mr. A. P. Gantam	Silviculturist	DOF
		Senior Geologist	Deptment of Soil
		-	Conservation
21.	Mr. M. B. Pradhan	Engineer	DOI
22.	Mr. P. M. Shrestha	Engineer	DOI
23.	Mr. K. N. Dahal	Engineering Geologist	DOI
24.	Mr. N. Gadal	Asst. C. D. Officer, CRID	DOI
25.	Mr. H. K. Jha	Soil Consevation Officer	DOI
26.	Mr. G. B. Bkandain	Engineer	ADB/N
27.	Mr. U. Sautam	Consultant	CMS
			JICA Nepal
			JICA Nepal
			JICA Study Team
36.	Mr. K. Tsumura	Facility Design	JICA Study Team
	2. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10. 112. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 33. 33. 33. 33. 33. 33.	 Mr. N. N Vaidya Mr. M. N. Aryad Mr. P. Paudel Mr. U. L. Malla Mr. J. R. Sharma Mr. S. B. Regmi 	2. Mr. N. N Vaidya 3. Mr. M. N. Aryad 4. Mr. P. Paudel 5. Mr. U. L. Malla 6. Mr. J. R. Sharma 6. Mr. J. R. Sharma 7. Mr. S. B. Regnii 8. Dr. N. K. Lal 9. Mr. S. P. Rajbhadari 10. Mr. S. K. Sharma 11. Mr. L. C. Pradhan 12. Mr. Y. Chiba 13. Mr. S. L. Shrestha 14. Mr. M. P. Bhattarai 15. Mr. M. M. Pokhtal 16. Mr. R. M. Sulpya 17. Mr. R. Adhikari 18. Mr. S. Saburi 19. Mr. A. P. Gantam 20. Mr. B. D. Shrestha 21. Mr. M. B. Pradhan 22. Mr. P. M. Shrestha 23. Mr. K. N. Dahal 24. Mr. N. Gadal 25. Mr. H. K. Jha 26. Mr. G. B. Bkandain 27. Mr. U. Sautam 28. Mr. A. Uchida 29. Mr. N. Grung 30. Mr. M. Fujioka 31. Mr. K. Iwata 32. Dr. P. Pradhan 33. Dr. S. K. Chaudhary 34. Mr. K. Tanaka 35. Mr. N. Toyooka Superintending Engineer, Deputy, Director General, Senior Divisional Engineer Senior Divisional Engineer, CRID Project Manager, Rajapur Irrigation Project Senior Divisional Engineer, Rajapur Irrigation Project Senior Divisional Engineer, Senior Divisional Engineer

()

Part A

5. FLAG Meetings (2 times)

	<u>Date</u>	<u>Venue</u>
1)	December 15, 1996	Meeting Hall, DDC Office, Bidur
2)	Feburuay 28, 1997	Trishuli Hotel, Bidur

RECORD OF MEETING IST FLAG FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT

Date:

December 15, 1996

Venue:

Meeting Hall, District Development Committee Office, Bidur, Nuwakot

Participants: As Listed in Annex

The JICA Study team and the DOI counterpart staffs had a meeting with CDO, LDO, DSP, Chief of DIO, the officers of other line agencies, people representatives and the beneficiaries under the chairmanship of the President, District Development Committee, Nuwakot on December 15, 1996.

The meeting was commenced with a brief introduction of the Project by Mr. L. D. Shrestha, the Chief of DIO. A session of self introduction was followed immediately afterward.

The DOI Chief Counterpart Mr. M B. Pradhan highlighted on the current government policy regarding the involvement of user beneficiaries from the project planning to the operation and the maintenance stage. He briefed about the origin of the proposed Feasibility Study on the Trishuli Irrigation Project by the JICA. On behalf of the DOI, he requested all the line agencies and beneficiaries to cooperate and help the JICA Study team in supplying the relevant data and the information needed during the study period.

The JICA Study Team Leader, Mr. M. Fujioka greeted the attendants. He emphasized on the need of the identification of beneficiaries, and the inclusion of farmers' opinion in the Project Design. He pointed out that the participatory approach is a need for the sustainable development and also the requirement of the present irrigation policy of Nepal. He proposed that the FLAG be held once in every month to incorporate the farmers' opinion in the Project Design. He also strongly emphasized for the clear agreement between NEA and DOI for the minimum I camee discharge during the dry period. He expressed his serious concern about the existing sill level provided for the diversion of the irrigation water at the Aqueduct No 2, where the present water level is about 0.5 m below the sill level. He liked to know whether shifting the Intake point downstream of the present desilting basin of Trishuli Hydropower Project rather than at the present proposed Intake point may be amended or not.

The JICA Study team, Farm Management expert, Dr. S. K. Chaudhary explained the stages of

the Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) methodology, stage I as the identification of beneficiaries and stage II as the full fledged PRA. He explained the commercialization of agriculture through the participatory approach. He also emphasized on the cooperation needed from the concerned line agencies, political leaders and especially the beneficiaries, without which the Study could not be carried out smoothly.

Mr. K. Tanaka, Rural Society expert of the JICA Study team expressed about the program of visiting to the field level, exchange ideas about the present existing condition and about uplifting the economic condition of the disadvantaged farmers as well as to uplifting the women groups in the proposed Project area.

After the formal speeches, the floor was opened for the common discussion on the Project. Exchange of the ideas and queries about the existing Battar Irrigation system and areas covered by the proposed Project were also discussed among the participants. Farmers expressed their willingness to cooperate in building the tertiary canal provided the system is simple enough to manage and maintain with the technical support of the line agencies. Mr. K. Iwata, Irrigation Specialist of the Study team expressed his views that the simple is the best for the sustainability and the easy management.

The user beneficiaries were also curious to know:

- (i) whether Pokhare Phant (Area-B) is included in the Study of 1,000ha of Trishuli Irrigation Project or not,
- (ii) whether the command area of the existing Battar Lift Irrigation Scheme is included or not,
- (iii) whether any alternative source rather than Aqueduct No.2 is proposed in this Study or not.

In response to the above queries, Mr. M. Fujioka replied that the command area of Pokhare Phant (Area-B) and existing command area of Battar Lift Irrigation Scheme are included. He again added alternative source can be finalized only after the Study.

It was decided that the demarcation of the actual irrigation area can be determined only after the Feasibility Study. The actual available field level data from the Ward chairman for the household list also can be verified from the available data from the different line agencies. To resolve the issues on the need and the availability of water during the dry season, it was suggested by Chief District Officer that meeting be held involving the concerned line agencies at the district level.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Line Agencies from Nuwakot

1. Mukunda Raj Sitaula	President of District Development Committee
2. Shyam Sunder Sharma	Chief District Officer
3. Tika Ram Silwal	District Sperintending Police
4. Bharat Dhungana	Member of DDC, Sector 4
5. Narayan Marasini	Acting Chief of AIC
6. Narayan Prasad Khanal	Acting Officer of DAD Office
7. Maheshwar Sharama	Office Acting, LDO
8. Arun Kumar Barwwal	Loan Officer, ADB/Trishuli
9. Bishow Ram	Representative, District Co-coperative Office
10. Hemant Kumar Mahato	Office Acting incharge, Regional Soil Conservation Office
11. Balaram Dhital	District Survey Office
12. Raju Thapa	Representative, Kautipur Publication
13. Bishowa Raj Upadhyaya	Land Revenu Officer
14. Harsha Bahadur Shrestha	Representative, Trishuli Hydropoer Station
15. Lok Dharshan Shrestha	Chief Irrigation Officer, DOI, Nuwakot

Beneficiaries

1.	Bishnu Acharya	Bidur Muninciparity, Ward-5
2.	Shiva Prasad Gasurel	Bidur Muninciparity, Ward-
3.	Janka Bahadur K. C.	Bidur, Inarpati
4.	Janka Prasad Neupane	Bidur, Pipaltar, Ward-7
5.	Hari Krishna Adhikari	
6.	Netra Prasad Adhikari	Khadaga Bhanjyang VDC-4
7.	Mohan Lal	Chairman of WUA, Khadagbhanjyang, Ward-5
8.	Ramhari Gajurel	
9.	Upendra Bahadur Ojha	
10.	Man Bahadur Dangol	Bidur Muninciparity, Pipaltar, Ward-7
11.	Gobinda Lal Chitrakar	Devighat, Thulo Pipaltar
12.	Bal Ram Neupane	Bidur Muninciparity, Inarpati, Ward-6
13.	Prem Prasad Neupane	Bidur Muninciparity, Inarpati, Ward-6
14.	Lal Bahadur	Bidur Muninciparity, Ward-5
15.	Sukrama Shrestaha	Bidur Muninciparity, Ward-4

16. Thakur Prasad Lamichhane

17. Neupane

Bidur Muninciparity, Ward-6

18. Prakash Bhattarai

Counterparts from Kathmandu

1. Mahesh Bahadur Pradhan Chief Counterpart, Engineer, DO!

2. Prakash Man Shrestha Engineer, DOI

3. Khila Nath Dahal Enginering Geologist, DOI

4. Ninnal Gadal Agronomist, CRID, DOI

HCA Study Team

I. Masamitsu Fujioka Team Leader

2. Kuniki Iwata Irrigation And Drainage

3. Dr. Shiya Kumar Chaudhari Agronomist

4. Kiyofumi Tanaka Rural Sociaty

5. Kazumitsu Tsumura Facility Design

6. Kentaro Usuda Toposurvey Supervision

RECORD OF MEETING 2ND FLAG FOR THE FEASIBILITY STUDY ON TRISHULI IRRIGATION PROJECT

Date:

Feburuary 28, 1997

Venue: Trishuli Hotel, Bidur, Nuwakot

Mr. Fujioka intruduced the progress made by JICA study team on Trishuli Irrigation Project. He explained about the water availability only for 9 months during wet season. The other important point was the recommendation for shifting of intake from original one (Aqueduct No.2) to the lower part of balacing reservior of NEA. No desicion from the government side is made yet. The reason for the recommendation of alternative I is due to high cost of implementation from the original intake site.

Mr. Iwala explained the alignment of main canal and design of irrigation system. The main canal will be served by 1.2 meter dimension pipe not the open canal.

The Water Users Associations will be forme on the basis of hydrological boundary. The membership will base on the land ownership or the water users.

After brief presentation on the rogram of the TIP study, discussion revolved around the shifting of intake. Two proposals were brought out;

- (a) to take initiative by CDO to hold the meeting of the local level officials (NEA staff, DIO staff, CDO, LDO and other officials) at the proposed intake site and come out some solution.
- (b) The vice-chairman of Nuwakot District Development Committee suggested that he will tale lead and try to arrange a meeting with the Minister of Water Resources. He wants to have the participantion of JICA study team members also in the meeting.

There was discussion about the alignment in side the Maithali military camp. Discussion take place regarding the appropriate alignment inside the camp. At one point, it was suggested that the pipe lysing showed be from the highway itself. Mr. Iwata suggested that the height of the highway is higher and it will require at least 10 meter deep digging so it has to be from inside the camp.

It was agreed in the meeting that Engineer from DIO, Mr. Iwata from JICA study team and Major from Army caomp would do quick review of the situation inside the camp and come out a tentative alignment.

Major from Army camp suggested that they are positive about the project. However, desiron is to be made at the higher level, might be at C-in-C level. The detail description of the activity is to be inclinded in the proposal to be sent to the higher offical.

Army camp would like to have an outlet for water supply to the proposed swiming pool inside the camp. Army camp is recuit training center so the construction activity inside the camp should be minimum as far as possible so that it will not disturb the training activity in the camp.

The security committee within the sidtrict is composed of CDO, chief of the army camp and DSP of Police. They said that they are positive on the project so they will move the proposal in a positive line.

The other government property which is going to be affected by the alignment is the Horticulture farm of Ministry of Agriculture. The concern of the Manager of Horticulture farm is that it is a reserch farm with different varities of fruit trees. They want to know what will pappen to these trees? They should be informed about the alignment within the Horticulture farm.

It is agreed that all the people who are to be affected by the alignment are to be informed.

List of Participants

Nuwakot District

1.	Shyam Sunder Sharma	Chief District Officer
2.	Tika Ram Silwal	District Superintending Police
3.	Mahendra Bahadur Thing	Vice-Chairman of District Development Committee
4.	Chaturbhuj Prasad Sharma	a Local Development Officer
5 .	Baldev Mahat	Military Major
6.	Suraj K. C.	Inspector (Police)
7.	Lok Dharshan Shresta	Chief Irrigation Officer, DIO

JICA Study Team

1.	Masamitsu Fujioka	Team Leader
2.	Kuniki Iwata	Irrigation and Drainage
3.	Dr. Prachanda Pradhan	Farmer Organization
4.	Kiyofumi Tanaka	Rural Society
5.	Nobuyuki Toyooka	Agro-economy/ Project Evaluation
6.	Kazunitsu Tsumura	Facility Design
7.	Yuki Matsuo	Environment
		· ·

Part A

0

0

6. Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (5 places)

	<u>Date</u>	Venue
1)	January 21, 1997	Chautar near Chandra Jyoti Lower Secondary School,
		Tallo Pipaltar
2)	January 22, 1997	Upper Pipaltar No.2 Pumpu House, Chuhadi, Beltar
3)	January 23, 1997	Laxmi Narayan Temple near Mahalaxmi Primary School,
		Maharani Dihi
4)	January 23, 1997	Shree Ranabhuwaneshwory High School, Phirkep
1,000		Devighat, Pokhariphant
5)	January 26, 1997	Indrayani Chautara, Shimbutar

Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (1) Minutes of Meeting (Upper Pipaltar, Lower Pipaltar and Devighat)

Date & Time:

January 21, 1977 (Tue) 11:00 - 12:30 am

Venue:

Chautara near Chandra Jyoti Lower Secondary School, Tallo Pipaltar

This Farmers' Meeting was organized by Dr. P. Pradhan on the behalf of JICA Feasibility Study Team with the objectives of sharing information on Trishuli Irrigation Project (TIP) with the farmers and learning from the farmers' opinions on TIP and their experiences about Battar Lift Irrigation Project (BLIP). This first meeting was organized for the farmers of Upper Pipaltar (Mathillo Pipaltar), Lower Pipaltar (Tallo Pipaltar) and Devighat. The list of the participants is attached in the Annex.

After Dr. P. Pradhan introduced JICA Team members and the Department of Irrigation (hereinafter referred to as DOI) counterparts, Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of JICA Team, delivered the introductory speech. Mr. M. Fujioka briefed the objectives of the meeting, the general background of TIP and the availability of irrigation water during the dry season and the wet season. He added that JICA Team has requested DOI to negotiate with Nepal Electricity Authority (NEA) for getting the irrigation water during the dry season, because the water availability is only 8 months from the aqueduct No. 2. He requested the farmers to express their opinions frankly which will be valuable inputs to proceed this study.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan, chief counterpart to JICA Team and engineer from DOI, spoke that the project will be launched as a joint management for the irrigation development. He added that, as per the consent with NEA, there is a provision of irrigation water from the aqueduct No. 2 only for 8 months without interruption of electricity, but discussion is still going on between DOI and NEA for making water available in the dry season as well.

Mr. K. Iwata briefed the proposed alignment of the main canal, the proposed intake point at the aqueduct No. 2 and the need for two irrigation systems, namely the gravity irrigation system and the lift irrigation system, for Upper Pipaltar and Majhitar.

Mr. K. Tanaka informed the farmers about the completion of the social survey and expressed his heartfelt thanks for their sincere cooperation in Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA) and household questionnaire surveys. He expressed his hope to learn further from the farmers in the coming days.

Dr. S. K. Chaudhary put into discussion the present agriculture situation, constraints and its prospects in the future both for the year round irrigation and the wet season irrigation.

Dr. P. Pradhan briefed the government irrigation policy, the need for water users' association to operate and maintain the irrigation system, the expected farmers' roles and contributions for TIP.

Mr. N. Toyooka asked two questions on comparison of formal and informal banking systems and constraints on marketing of agricultural inputs and produces.

Similarly, Mr. Y. Matsuo made some environmental queries to the participating farmers.

Finally, Mr. M. Fujioka, JICA Team Leader, expressed his thanks to the farmers on behalf of JICA Team for their participation in this meeting.

The participating farmers made the following comments and suggestions on TIP:

- 1. Since the electricity demand is increasing day by day, there is a doubt that NEA will provide the water for irrigation. Therefore it is better to search for a new independent intake point. (Mr. Ram Krishna Bhatta, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 2. Farmers can make the collective pressure on NEA to get the irrigation water during the dry season from the aqueduct No. 2, once TIP gets materialized and constructed. (Mr. Shiva Prasad Gajurel, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 3. Having had unsuccessful operational experiences in BLIP, the adoption of the lift irrigation system for Upper Pipaltar and Majhitar is questionable, so it was suggested that the other better alternatives be looked for. (Mr. Moti Ram Neupane, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 4. Even though BLIP had previously uplifted the economic conditions of the farmers, discontinuation of BLIP has worsened the living standards of the farmers in this area. The situation will get reversed if there is an irrigation facility. (Mr. Shiva Prasad Gajurel, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 5. We are ready to contribute for TIP as per the government inigation policy. (Mr. Lila Nath Neupane, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 6. Lack of improved seeds, pesticides, fertilizers, agricultural implements, credit facilities, technical supports, trainings, observation tours, etc. are the constraints on agricultural development besides irrigation. (Mr. Man Bahadur Dangol, Lower Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7 and Mr. Ram Krishna Bhatta, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)
- 7. Due to the procedural complications and the provisions of mortgage such as fixed assets (land, gold, etc.) in the formal institutions (bank, etc.) for getting the loan, the farmers are compelled to go to local moneylenders even though their interest rate is too high. (Mr. Man Bahadur Dangol, Upper Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7 and Mr. Samsher Bahadur Dangol, Lower Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 7)

Annex. List of Participants

A. Farmers

No.	Name	Occupation	Ward	Settlement
1	Deepak Gajurel	Farmer	Bicher-5	Upper Pipaltar
2	Hari Prasad Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-5	Upper Pipaltar
3	Ram Sharan Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-5	Upper Pipaltar
4 :	Keshab Neupane	Farmer	Bidur-5	Upper Pipaltar
5	Ram Krishna Bhatta	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
6	Bharat Prasad Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
7	Hem Prasad Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
8	Shiva Prasad Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
9	Surendra Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
10	Tanka Prasad Gajurel	l'amer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
11	Keshab Prasad Nepali	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
12	Lila Nath Neupane	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
13	Moti Ram Neupane	Teacher	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
14	Sambhu Prasad Neupane	Famer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
15	Tanka Prasad Neupane	Farmer	Bidur-7	Upper Pipaltar
16	Ganga Ram Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur-5	Lower Pipaltar
17	A. A. Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
18	Babu Lal Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
19	Badri Man Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
20	Bhakta Dangol	Parmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
21	Bhim Bahadur Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
22	Ganesh Man Dangol	Parmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
23	Gore Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
24	Ktishna Man Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
25	Main Bahadur Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
26	Marich Man Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
27	Pahal Man Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
28	Prahlad Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipattar
29	Sharada Man Dangol	Гатис	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
30	Shayam Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
31	Samsher Bahadur Dangol	Farmer	Bidu-7	Lower Pipaltar
32	Mrs. Sano Maiya Joshi	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
33	Chakra Bahadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
34	Gaj Bir Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
35	Govinda Kumal	Parmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
36	Gyan Prakash Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
37	Juddha Man Kumal	Farmer	Bidu-7	Lower Pipaltar
38	Madhu Lal Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
39	Maithe Kumal	Farmer	Bidor-7	Lower Pipaltar
			and the second second	-

40	Ram Bahadur Kumal	Parmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
41	Ramji Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
42	Samar Bahadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidu-7	Lower Pipaltar
43	Sano Chaure Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
44	Som Balvadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
45	Thulo Chaure Kumal	Farmer	Bicker-7	Lower Pipaltar
46	Tul Balvador Kumal	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
47	Ishwar Bahadur Majhi	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
48	Kanchha Majhi	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
49	Pahai Man Majhi	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
5 0	Min Bahadur Prasaini	Farmer	Bidur-7	Lower Pipaltar
51	Bhun Narayan Chitrakar	Farmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
52	Ganesh Lal Chitrakar	Farmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
53	Govinda Lal Chitrakar	Farmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
51	Krishna Lal Chitrakar	Farmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
55	Suku Narayan Chitrakar	Parmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
56	Surat Bahadur Chitrakar	Parmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
57	Resham Lal Dangol	Farmer	Bidur-7	Devighat
58	Ram Raja Aryal	Farmer	Bidur-5	Majhitar
59	Chandra Dev Thapa	Farmer	Bidur-5	Majhitar

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 Dr. S. K. Chaudary
- 5 K. Tanaka
- 6 N. Toyooka
- 7 Y. Matsiio
- 8 K. Tsumura

C. DOI Counterparts

- i M. B. Pradhan
- 2 Nirmal Gadal
- 3 H. K. Jha

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

1	Lok Darshan Shrestha	District Irrigation Officer
2	R. Risal	Overseer (O.S.)
3 :	Keshab Prasad Adhikari	Association Officer (A.O.)
4	Mod Nath Rimal	Peon

Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (2) Minutes of Meeting (Majhitar, Gangate and Part of Upper Pipaltar)

Date & Time:

January 22, 1977 (Wed) 11:00 - 12:30 am

Venue:

Upper Pipaltar No. 2 Pump House, Chuhadi, Beltar

This Second Farmers' Meeting was organized for the farmers of Majhitar, Gangate and part of Upper Pipaltar (Mathillo Pipaltar) with the objectives of making beneficiary farmers familiar with the feasibility study activities and sharing their opinions and experiences about the lift irrigation system. The list of the participants is attached in the Annex.

The meeting was started by introducing JICA Feasibility Study Team members and the Department of Irrigation (DOI) counterparts by Dr. P. Pradhan. Then, Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of JICA Team, delivered a short introductory speech about the objectives of the meeting and the general background of TIP and informed the participating farmers about the need of the lift irrigation system for Majhitar and Upper Pipaltar. He also requested the farmers to take initiative towards the group formation to work together for the proposed irrigation system.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan, chief of DOI counterparts to JICA Team and engineer, highlighted the need of farmers' participation for the sustainability of the irrigation project. He requested the farmers to cooperate with JICA Team.

Mr. K. Iwata briefed the survey activities and made clear about the need of the lift irrigation system due to the higher elevation of Majhitar and Upper Pipaltar than the proposed intake point at the aqueduct No. 2.

Mr. K. Tanaka expressed his heartfelt thanks to all farmers for their kind cooperation in household questionnaire surveys and Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA) including social mapping, group discussions and key informant interviews. He also requested the participating farmers to encourage women to participate in the forthcoming meetings, because women's participation is crucial for the success of the project.

Dr. S. K. Chaudhary requested the farmers to plan about diversification, intensification and commercialization of agriculture with more focus on high value crops, once irrigation water is made available to them. He also inquired about the major constraints limiting the agricultural productivity.

At the same time, Mr. Fujioka asked the farmers how they can utilize the wet season irrigation water for increasing agricultural productivity.

Dr. P. Pradhan briefed the government irrigation policy in three points: (a) the need for a joint management system for TIP, (b) the need for water users' associations (WUAs) to operate and

maintain the irrigation systems and (e) the need for participation and contributions of the beneficiary farmers in irrigation projects.

Mr. N. Toyooka placed one question about current problems on rural credits from formal financial institutions and from local money lenders.

Mr. Y. Matsuo shortly explained the environment and soil test activities of the team.

Finally, Mr. M. Fujioka, JICA Team Leader, expressed his thanks to the farmers on behalf of JICA Team for their participation in this meeting.

The participating farmers made the following comments and suggestions on TIP:

- t. Short and untimely supply of chemical fertilizers, lack of improved seeds, trainings, marketing and proper credit facilities are the major problems of agriculture development. (Mr. Ganga Ram Gajurel, Pipaltar, Bidur Ward 5)
- 2. Lack of proper identification of suitable and profitable crops based on the soil and climatic condition and lack of relevant trainings are the main problems of agriculture. (Mr. Lal Bahadur Ojha, Majhitar, Bidur Ward 5)
- 3. We expect the year-round irrigation. However, economic status of the farmers will be changed through paddy cultivation in both upland and lowland by utilizing the eight-month wet season irrigation. (Mr. Bishnu Acharya, Bidur Ward 5)
- 4. Because the banks tack the efficient system of releasing loans in a timely and simple manner, the farmers do not get loans timely and they cannot utilize them purposively (misuse of loans). As a result, they cannot pay back loans on time. Year by year, interests will be accumulated on to the principal amount, ultimately he cannot pay back and his property will go for auction and he will become landless. (Mr. Lal Bahadur Ojha, Majhitar, Bidur Ward 5)
- 5. Before Battar Lift Irrigation Project (BLIP), we had enough groundnuts, blackgrams and soyabeans. But farmers now have completely stopped to grow these crops due to soil problems caused by overuse of chemical fertilizers during BLIP. (Mr. Lal Bahadur Ojha, Majhitar, Bidur Ward 5)
- 7. Lifted water will be expensive for farmers. Therefore, please try your bests to get the alternative water source so that the gravity irrigation system can be adopted for Majhitar and Upper Pipaltar. One of the alternative water sources may be from Tadi River. (Mr. Lal Bahadur Ojha, Majhitar, Bidur Ward 5)
- 8. If the lift irrigation system will be adopted, distribution of the water should be made through the pipe systems for different blocks and WUAs should be formed for each block to assure fair distributions of the water. (Mr. Ganga Ram Gajurel, Bidur Ward 5)

Annex. List of Participants

A. Farmers

No.	Name	Occupation	Ward
1 :	Bishnu Acharya	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
2	Anil Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
3	Bhairab Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
4	Devendra Bahadur Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
5	Hari Sharan Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
6	Ishwor Aryal	Farmer (Bidur Ward 5
7	Kaji Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
8	Krishna Bahadur Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
9 -	Lalit Bahadur Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
10	Raj Kumar Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
11	Rajendra Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
12	Ram Babu Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
13	Ram Chandra Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
14	Ram Sharan Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
15	Rama Raja Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
16	Sai Bahadur Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
17	Shuka Dev Aryal	Teacher, Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
18	Yogendra Aryal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
19	Surya Bahadur	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
20	Ram Bahadur Bhujel	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
21	Man Bahadur Dangol	Parmer	Bidur Ward 5
22	Netra Prasad Dhakal	Parmer	Bidur Ward 5
23	Santa Bahadur Dhital	Parmer	Bidur Ward 5
24	Arjun Gajurel	Farmer	Bidar Ward 5
25	Dil Bahadur Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
26	Ganga Ram Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
27	Hari Prasad Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
28	Lila Nath Gajurel	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
29	Nawa Raj Gajurel	Teacher, Farnier	Bidur Ward 5
30	Prem Bahadur K. C.	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
31	Naryan Bahadur Khanal	Fames	Bidur Ward 5
32	Shiva Koirala	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
33	Antare Kumal	Fanner	Bidur Ward 5
34	Bharat Bahadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
35	Bharat Kumal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
36	Bhim Bahadur Kumal	l'armer	Bidur Ward 5
37	Bishnu Bahadur Kumal	Famer	Bidur Ward 5
38	Hari Bahadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
39	Krishna Bahadur Kumal	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
			*

40	Laxman Kumal		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
41	Ram Bahadur Kumal	:	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
42	Shiva Bahadur Kumal		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
43	Ganga Bahadur Kunwar		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
41	Govinda Bahadur Kunwar		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
45	Man Bahadur Kunwar		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
46	Thal Bahadur Kunwar		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
47	Prodip Lamichhane		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
48	Raju Lamichhane		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
49	Ram Bahadur Ale Magar		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
50	Gopal Majhi	•	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
51	Dabal Bahadur Nepali		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
52	Gopal Nepati	1 1	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
53	Santa Bahadur Nepali	•	Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
51	Umesh Nepali		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
55	Lila Nath Neurane		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
56	Lal Bahadur Ojha		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
57	Bhim Bahadur Pudasaini		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
58	Bijaya Thapa		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5
59	Chandra Bahadur Thapa		Parmer	Bidur Ward 5
60	Pravakar Thapa		Farmer	Bidur Ward 5

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 Dr. S. K. Chaudhary
- 5 K. Tanaka
- 6 N. Toyooka
- 7 Y. Matsuo
- 8 K. Tsumura

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 M. B. Pradhan
- 2 Nirmal Gadal
- 3 H. K. Jha

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

1	Raj Kumar Khakurel	Overseer (O.S.)
2	Keshab Prasad Adhikari	Association Officer (A.O.)
3	Mod Nath Rimal	Peon

Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (3) Minutes of Meeting (Maharani Dihi, Inarpati, Dihigau, Chandipokhari)

Date & Time:

January 23, 1977 (Thu) 11:00 - 12:15 am

Venue:

Laxmi Narayan Temple near Mahalaxmi Primary School, Maharani Dihi

This Third Farmers' Meeting was organized for the farmers of Maharani Dihi, Inarpati, Dihigau and Chandipokhari. The main objectives of this meeting was to explain the beneficiary farmers about JICA Feasibility Study on Trishuli Irrigation Project (TIP) and know their interests, needs and opinions about the proposed irrigation system. The list of the participants is attached in the Annex.

After the introduction of JICA Feasibility Study Team members and the Department of Irrigation (DOI) counterparts by Dr. P. Pradhan, Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of JICA Team, delivered his introductory speech on TIP and informed the farmers that, at present, the water availability from the aqueduct No. 2 in the proposed TIP will be only for the wet season, but discussion is still going on between DOI and National Electricity Authority (NEA) for getting irrigation water for the dry season as well.

- Mr. M. B. Pradhan, chief of DOI counterparts to JICA Team and engineer, welcome all participants on behalf of DOI and emphasized the need of a joint management approach for TIP.
- Mr. K. Iwata explained the survey activities and the proposed irrigation system and also informed the farmers that the gravity irrigation system will be adopted for the area of Maharani Dihi, Inarpati, Dihigau and Chandipokhari.
- Mr. K. Tanaka informed the farmers about the completion of household questionnaire surveys and Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA) exercises and expressed his heartfelt thanks to all farmers for their kind cooperation. He explained that the information obtained from these surveys will be the basis for planning and design of TIP.
- Dr. S. K. Chaudhary inquired the farmers about the crop preferences by the farmers and the major constraints limiting the agricultural productivity.
- Dr. P. Pradhan briefed the government irrigation policy in three points: (a) the need for a joint management system for TIP, (b) the need for water users' associations (WUAs) to operate and maintain the irrigation systems and (c) the need for participation and contributions of the beneficiary farmers in irrigation projects.
- Mr. N. Toyooka inquired about the land tenure system with emphasis on sharecropping system.

Mr. K. P. Adhikari, Association Officer, District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot, explained the procedure to register WUAs.

Finally, Mr. M. Fujioka, JICA Team Leader, expressed his thanks to the farmers on behalf of JICA Team for their good participation (especially women's participation) in this meeting and requested both male and female participants to attend the next meeting. He also added that 20% of women's participation is a must for all WUAs, therefore the success of the project will depend on whether women join the WUAs or not.

The participating farmers made the following comments and suggestions on TIP:

- 1. One sharecropper reported that he has to provide the land owner a half of the total produce of the major crops (rice, maize and wheat) and the land owner bears 50% of costs of the major inputs such as bullock, seeds and fertilizers excluding labors. (Mr. Krishna Bahadur Kumal, Maharani Dihi, Bidur ward 6)
- 2. The wet season irrigation is also useful for us, because now we are depending on the irregular rainfall to start the paddy cultivation. If the rain starts in July, we can get a good yield of rice, but if it delays, the yield will become less. (Mr. Chhetra Bahadur K. C., Maharani Dihi, Bidur Ward 6)
- 3. Women are ready to accept the increased responsibilities and work after irrigation, because children and ourselves will have enough food for home consumption. We are also ready to join WUAs. (Mrs. Thuli Maiya K. C., Maharani Dihi, Bidur Ward 6)

Annex. List of Participants

A. Farmers (Total: 111 farmers consisting of 75 males and 36 females)

No.	Sex		Name		Occupation	Settlement	VDOWard
1	Mr.	India	Bahadur	Koirala	Farmer	Battar	Bidur Ward 4
			÷	(Sharki)			
2	Mrs.	Renuka		Shrestha	Employee	Battar	Bidur Ward 4
3	Mr.	Jhava	Raj	Devakota	Farmer	Dihigau	Bidur Ward 6
4	Mr.	Thira	Nath ·	Neupane	Farmer	Dihigau	Bidur Ward 6
5	Mr.	Madhu	Sudan	Amatya	Farmer	Inarpati	Bidur Ward 6
6	Mr.	Buddhilal		Amatya	Farmer	Inarpati	Bidur Ward 6
7 -	Mr.	Surendra		Jhadawari	Farmer	Inarpati	Bidur Ward 6
8	Mr.	Singha	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer -	Inarpati	Bidur Ward 6
9.	Mrs.	Chinu	Maiya	Kumal	Farmer	lnarpoti	Bidur Ward 6
10	Mr.	Ishwor		Acharya	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
11	Mr.	Ishwor		Acharya	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
12	Mrs.	Indira	:	Adhikari	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bichir Ward 6
13	Mr.	Thulonich	Bahadur	Chhetri	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bichir Ward 6
14	Mr. i	Jivan	Nath	Devakota	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
15	Mr.	Tek	Bahadur	Dhamala	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
16	Mrs.	Mittha		Dhital	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
17	Mrs.	Sani	Maiya	Dhital	Farmer 3	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
18	Mr.	Bashu	* * a	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
19	Mr.	Raju		K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
20	Mr.	Arjun		K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
21	Mr.	Buddhi	Bahadur	K C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
22	Mr.	Shyam	Raja	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
23	Mr.	Shyam	.)	K. C.	Famer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
24	Mr.	Bam	Bahadur	К. С.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
25	Mr.	Ram	Babu	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
26	Mr.	Jhatta	Bahadur	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
27	Mr.	Kama	Bahadur	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
28	Mr.	Kumar	Dip	K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
29	Mr.	Ashok	Kumar	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
30	Mr.	Bahi	Bahadur	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
31	Mr.	Krishna	Baladur	K.C.	Parmer :	Maharani Dihi	Bidar Ward 6
32	Mr.	Tok	Bahadur	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
33	Mr.	Lal	Bahadur	K. C.	Famer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
34	Mr.	Chhetra	Bahwlur	K.C.	Parmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
35	Mrd	Bhagawat		К. С.	Farmer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
36	Mrs.	Shanti		K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
37	Mrs.	Maili	•	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
38	Mr.	Gyani		K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6

39	Mrs. Thuli	Maiya	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
40	Mrs. Kanchhi		K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
41	Mrs. Kamala		K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
42	Mrs. Jamuna		K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
43	Mcs. Maya		K.C.	Famer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
44	Mr. Tulasi		K C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
45	Mrs. Bade	Kumari	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
46	Mrs. Maiya	·	K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
47	Mrs. Indra	Kumari	K. C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
48	Mrs. Saiti	3	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
49	Mr. Ram	Kumar	K. C.	Parmer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
50	Mr. Buddhi	Bahadur	K.C.	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
51	Mrs. Suntali	1	Karki	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
52	Mr. Lova	Bahadar	Khatri	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
53	Mr. Krishna	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
51	Mr. Sanu	Hada	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
55	Mr. Indra	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
56	Mr. Janga	Bahadar	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
57	Mr. Hira	Kaji	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
58	Mr. Ram	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
59	Mr. Bal	Bahadur	Kumal	Parmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
60	Mr. Bharat		Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
61	Mr. Krishna	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
62	Mr. Durge	Maila	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
63	Mr. Raj	Kumar	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
64	Mr. Min	Bahadur	Kumal	Famer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
65	Mr. Amar	Bahadur	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
66	Mr. Ram	Sharan	Kumal	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
67	Mrs. Goma		Pandey	Parmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
68	Mr. Dhruba	Kumar	Paudel	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
69	Mrs. Laxmi		Paudel	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
70	Mr. Ram	Chaudra	Paudel	Parmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidor Ward 6
71	Mr. Ram	Sharan	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
72	Mr. Shyam	Bahadar	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
7.3	Mr. Pradeep		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
74	Mr. Kaji	Man	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
75	Mr. Pang	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
76	Mr. Dan	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidut Ward 6
77	Mr. Ram	Kumar	Sadada	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
78	Mr. Madan	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
79	Mrs. Sabitri		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
80	Mr. Ganga		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
81	Mrs. Man	Kumari	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
82	Mrs. Sival	Kumari	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6

	83	Mrs.	Nima	Kumari	Sadaula	Parmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	81	Mrs.	Kamala		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	85	Mr.	Tanka	Bahadur	Sadauta	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	86	Mr.	Saila		Sadaula	Farnier	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
٠,	87	-	Jagat	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharaoi Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	88	Mr.	Jit	Bahadur	Sadaula	Faroier	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	89	Mr.	Lalit	Bahadar	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	90	Mr.	Dil	Bahadur	Sadada	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	91	Mr.	Netra	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	92	Mr.	Nar	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	93	Mis.	Thuli	Kanchhi	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	94	1	Sanu	Kanchhi	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	95	Mrs.	Sushila		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	96	Mr.	Ganga		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	97		Kanchhi		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	98	Mr.	Dil	Bahadur	Sadaula	Famer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	99	Mr.	Kumar		Sadaula	Famer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
:	100	Mrs	Roj	Kumari	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dibi	Bidur Ward 6
	101	Mrs.	Netra	Kumari	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	102	Mrs.	Usha		Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
		Mr.	Shanta	Bahadur	Sadaula	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
í		Mrs.	Tej	Kumari	Thapa	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
		Mr.	Ram	Bahadur	Thapa	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
1	106				Thapalia	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	107		Bishnu	Bahadur	Timilsina	Famer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	1	Mrs.			Tiwali	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	100	1 1	Bhim	Bahadur	Tiwan	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
		Mrs.		Maiya	Tiwan	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidur Ward 6
	- 1	Mrs.	Kanchhi	*********	Tiwari	Farmer	Maharani Dihi	Bidor Ward 6

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 Mr. M. Fujioka
- 2 Mr. K Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 Dr. S. K. Chaudhary
- 5 Mr. K. Tanaka
- 6 Mr. N. Toyooka

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 Mr. M. B. Fradhan
- 2 Mr. Nirmal Gadal

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

1 Mr. Raj Kumar Khakuret

Overseer (O.S.)

2 Mr. Keshab Prasad Adhikari

Association Officer (A.O.)

3 Mr. Mod Nath Rimat

Peon

Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (4) Minutes of Meeting (Phirkep Devighat, Sickhaligau, Dhodeni and Majhigau)

Date & Time:

January 23, 1977 (Thu) 3:00 - 4:15 pm

Venue:

Shree Ranabhuwaneshwory High School, Phirkep Devighat,

Pokhariphant

This Fourth Farmers' Meeting was organized by JICA Feasibility Study on Trishuli Irrigation Project (TIP) for the farmers of Phirkep Devighat, Sirkhaligau, Dhodeni and Majhigau. The main objectives of the meeting is to inform the farmers about the proposed TIP and know their opinions, ideas and interests. The list of the participants is attached in the Annex.

After the introduction of JICA Study Team members and the Department of Irrigation (DOI) counterparts by Dr. P. Pradhan, Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of JICA Study Team, delivered his introductory speech which informed the farmers about the objectives of this meeting and the progress of the feasibility study on TIP.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan, chief of DOI counterparts to JICA Study Team and engineer, welcome the participants on behalf of DOI and requested the participating farmers to cooperate JICA Study Team. He also suggested that besides bringing the gravity irrigation system from the aqueduct No. 2 to this tail-end area, some alternative ways to irrigate this area may be investigated.

Mr. K. Iwata explained the possible irrigation systems such as the gravity irrigation system through a siphon from Maharani Dihi and the lift irrigation system directly from Trishuli River, and wanted to know the farmers' opinions for these systems.

Mr. K. Tanaka expressed his sincere thanks to all the farmers for their kind cooperation to household questionnaire surveys and Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA) exercises. He also requested the participating farmers to encourage women to participate in the next meeting. He also inquired the sharecropping system in this area on behalf of Mr. N. Toyooka.

Dr. P. Pradhan briefed the government irrigation policy and explained the farmers that a joint management system, formation of water users' associations (WUAs) and labor or each contributions by beneficiary farmers (10% of the total construction cost) are the basic requirements for TIP.

Finally, Mr. M. Fujioka, JICA Team Leader, expressed his thanks to all the farmers on behalf of JICA Team for their participation in this meeting and requested the farmers to attend the next meeting which will be held once a month during the study period.

The participating farmers made the following comments and suggestions on TIP:

- 1. Pokhariphant is the tail end of the proposed irrigation project which plans to bring water from the aqueduct No. 2, therefore I would like to suggest the lifting water from Trishuli river up to Dware Khola or Bhorle Khola from which water can be brought to this area by the existing gravity irrigation system. (Mr. Govinda Chitrakar, Phirkep Devighat, Khadga Bhanjyan Ward 5)
- 2. We can still adopt the lift irrigation system from Trishuli River, by learning the lessons from Battar Lift irrigation project which had failed due to the long pumping distance and installment of the main pump near the river. (Mr. Hari Krishna Adhikari, Amalchaur, Khadga Bhanjyan Ward 4)

(1)

3. Farmers can be organized into a new WUA and 20% females can be involved in this WUA.

Water charge can be also collected through the WUA for the proposed irrigation project. (Mr. Han Krishna Adhikari, Amalchaur, Khadga Bhanjyan Ward 4)

- 4. As a sharecropper, I have to give 50% of the total crop produce to the land owner and I have to bear the costs of all the inputs. Since the landowner is unwilling to rent land more than one year, every year I have to find the new land owner who will allow me to cultivate the land. (A landless farmer)
- 5. Since we have no problem to market our agricultural produce, we can produce more vegetables once irrigation is available during the dry season. Women are already organized in this area, so we are ready to participate in the WUA and contribute whatever we can offer for the proposed irrigation project. (Mrs. Kamala Adhikari, Amalchaur, Khadga Bhanjyan Ward 4)
- 6. In the response to Mr. Iwata's question about the need for rehabilitation of the existing canal, the vice-chairman of the water users' association expressed his opinion that the lift irrigation system up to the existing main canal would be quite enough to supply water for people and livestock, and the rehabilitation in the existing canal system would be carried out by the farmers themselves. (Mr. Govinda Chitrakar, Phirkep Devighat, Khadga Bhanjyan Ward 5)

Annex. List of Participants

A. Farmers (101 fanners consisting of 95 males and 6 females)

No. Sex		Name		Occupation	Settlement	VDC/Ward
1 Mr.	Krishna	Prasad	Aryal	Farmer	Buwanibesi	Charghare Ward4
2 Mr.	Hari	Krishna	Adhikari	Farmer	Amalchaur	KB Ward4
3 Mrs.	Kamala		Adhikari	Farmer	Amalchaur	KB Ward 4
4 Mr.	Puspa	Raj	Gautam	Farmer	Aprethok	KB Ward4
5 Mr.	Shiva	Prasad	Aryal	Farmer	Judi Khola	KB Ward4
6 Mr.	Sita	Ram	Aryal	Farmer	Judi Khola	KB Ward 4
7 Mr.	Baikuntha	Nath	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
8 Mr.	Bala	Ram	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
9 Mr.	Buddhi	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
10 Mrs.	Chet	Kumari	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
11 Mr.	Ishwor	Nath	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
12 Mr.	Ram	Krishna	Adhikari	Farmer :	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
13 Mr.	Shiva	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward 4
14 Mr.	Indra	Bahadur	Karki	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Wad4
15 Mr.	Khem	Bahadur	Karki	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
16 Mr.	Shiva	and the second	Neupane	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
17 Mr.	Sita		Neupane	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward 4
18 Mr.	Bhoj	Raj	Rimal	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
19 Mr.	Dilli	Prasad	Rimal	Farner	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
20 Mr.	Khada	Nanda	Rimal	Employee	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
21 Mr.	Purushottani	1	Rimal	Farmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
22 Mr.	Ram	Prasad	Rimal	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
23 Mr.	Amar	Bahadur	Tamang	Parmer	Pusuntar	KB Ward4
24 Mr.	Bhakta	Bahadur	Bhandari	Pareier		KB Ward4
25 Mr.	Shyam	Bahadur	Osthi	Parmer		KB Ward4
26 Mr.	Amrit	Kumar	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	KB Ward 5
27 Mr.	Babulal		Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	KB Ward 5
28 Mr.	Chandra	Man	Shrestha	Farner	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
29 Mr.	Krishna	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	KB Ward 5
30 Mr.	Mangal		Shrestha	Fanner	Chhotetar Dhođeni	KB Ward 5
31 Mc.	Mohan	Das	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
32 Mc.	Nawa	Raj	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
33 Mr.	Netra	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
34 Mr.	Pancha	Nanayan	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	and the second second
35 Mr.	Ram	lal	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
36 Mr.	Rundra	Lal	Shrestha	Parmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
37 Mr.	Suku	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Chhotetar Dhodeni	
38 Mr.	Buddhi	Prasad	Adhikari	Famer	Majhigau	KB Ward 5
39 Mr.	Hem	Prasad	Adhikari	Parmer	Majhigau	KB Ward 5
40 Mr.	Indra	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer	Majhigau	KB Ward5
41 Mr.	Padam	Nath	Adhikari	Famer	Majhigau	KB Ward 5

42 Mr.	Ram	Hari	Adhikari	Teacher	Majhigau	KB	Ward 7
43 Mrs.	Rohini		Adhikari	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
44 Mr.	Surya	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
45 Mr.	Thakur	Nath	Adhikari	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
46 Mr.	Chaure		Majhi	Farmer	Majhigau	ΚB	Ward 5
47 Mr.	Gunthe		Majhi	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
48 Mr.	Jagat	Bahadar	Majhi 🗀 🔻	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
49 Mr.	Jange		Majhi	Farmer	Majhigau		Ward 5
50 Mr.	Pade	(1	Majhi	Farmer :	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
51 Mr.	Keshar	Bahadur	Paudel	Farmer	Majhigau		Ward 5
52 Mr.	Kale	4	Sarki	Farmer	Majhigau	KB	Ward 5
53 Mr.	Hari	Lal	Shrestha	Farmer	Majhigau		Ward 5
54 Mr.	Rana	Bahadur	Tamang	Farmer	Majhigau	КВ	Ward 5
55 Mrs.	Gecta	1 1 2	Adhikari	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
56 Mr.	Tanka	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
57 Mr.	Tej	Bahadur	Adhikari	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	ΚB	Ward 5
58 Mr.	Bir	Bahadur	Barakoti	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KВ	Ward 5
59 Mr.	Govinda		Chitrakar	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
60 Mrs.	Ram	Maya	Chitrakar	Farmer :	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
61 Mr.	Tara	Nath	Rimai	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	4	Ward 5
62 Mr.	Bhagawati		Rimal	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
63 Mrs.	Bimala		Rimal	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat		Ward 5
64 Mr.	Shambhu		Sharma	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat		Ward 5
65 Mr.	Chatur	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat		Ward 5
66 Mr.	Hira	Lal	Shrestha	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	K8	Want 5
67 Mr.	Mohan	l al	Shrestha	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat		Ward 5
68 Mr.	Mulam	Lal	Shrestha	Farmer	Phirkep Devighat	KΒ	Want 5
69 Mr.	Puma	lal 🖖	Shrestha	Pamer	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
70 Mr.	Shyam	Lal	Shrestha	Farmer :	Phirkep Devighat	KB	Ward 5
71 Mr.	Khadga	Bahadur	Thapa	Parmer :	Phirkep Devighat	КB	Ward 5
72 Mr.	Buddhi	Prasad	Adhikari	Farmer :	Kumaltar	KB	Ward 7
73 Mr.:	Dhana	Nath	Adhikari	Farmer	Kumaltar	KВ	Ward 7
74 Mr.	Gyanendra	Prasad	Aryal	Farmer	Kumaltar	KΒ	Ward 7
75 Mr.	Hira	l al	Shrestha	Farmer :	Kumaltar	KB	Ward 7
76 Mr.	Arjun	Prasad	Aryal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
77 Mr.	Khadga	Prasad	Dhital	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
78 Mr.	Tej	Prasad	Dhungel	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KВ	Ward 7
79 Mr.	Yuddha	Bahadur	Dhungel	Parmer :	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
80 Mr.	Dola	Nath	Nepal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB.	Ward 7
81 Mr.	Purna	Prasad	Nepal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
82 Mr.	Yadu	Nath	Nepal	Farmer -	Sirkhaligau	KВ	Ward 7
83 Mr.	Arjun 💮	Prasad	Rimal	Parmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
84 Mr.	Bishnu	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
85 Mr.	Gecta	Ballav	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
86 Mr.	Hari '	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
87 Mr.	Iswori	Prasad	Rimal -	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB	Ward 7
	and the second second	the second second					

88 Mr.	Kamal	Nath	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward7
89 Mr.	Keshab		Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward7
90 Mr.	Krishna	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward7
91 Mr.	Kul	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sitkhaligau	KB Ward 7
92 Mr.	Makara	Narxla	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward7
93 Mr.	Naryan	Prasad	Rimai	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward7
94 Mr.	Netra	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward 7
95 Mr.	Ram	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward 7
96 Mr	Shankar	Prasad	Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward 7
97 Mr.	Thakur		Rimal	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward 7
98 Mr.	Buddhi	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Sirkhaligau	KB Ward 7
99 Mr.	Gagan	Bahadur	Rai	Farmer	Bhairetar	KB Ward9
100 Mr.	Luta	Man	Shrestha	Farmer	Gauritar	KB Ward9
101 Mr.	Тара	Lal	Shrestha	Farmer	Gauritar	KB Ward9
	•					

Remarks: KB Khadga Bhanjyan

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 Mr. M. Fujioka
- 2 Mr. K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 Mr. K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 Mr. M. B. Pradhan
- 2 Mr. Nirmal Gadal

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

ì	Mr.	Raj Kumar Khakurel		Overseer (O.S.)
2	Mr.	Keshab Prasad Adhikari	1000	Association Officer (A.O.)
3	Mr.	Mod Nath Rimal		Peon

Sub-Project Area-wise Farmers' Meetings (5) Minutes of Meeting (Simbutar)

Date & Time:

January 26, 1977 (Sun) 11:00 am - 0:30 pm

Venue:

Indrayani Chautara, Simbutar

This Fifth Farmers' Meeting was organized for the farmers of Simbutar with the objectives of making beneficiary farmers familiar with the feasibility study activities and sharing their opinions and experiences. The list of the participants is attached in the Annex.

The meeting was started by introducing JICA Feasibility Study Team members and the Department of Irrigation (DOI) counterparts by Dr. P. Pradhan. Then, Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of JICA Team, delivered a short introductory speech about the objectives of the meeting and the general background of TIP. He also requested the farmers to take initiative towards the group formation as per the government irrigation policy to work together for the proposed irrigation system.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan, chief of DOI counterparts to JICA Team and engineer, informed that, at present, the water availability from the aqueduct No. 2 is only for eight months (the wet season) as per the consent between DOI and NEA. He also requested the farmers to cooperate with JICA Team.

Mr. K. Iwata briefed the on-going survey activities and the proposed irrigation canal alignment. He pointed out that the level at the aqueduct No. 2 is equal to the level at Indrayani Chautara where this meeting is taking place, so the gravity irrigation system will cover only the area below the level of this Chautara.

Mr. K. Tanaka expressed his heartfelt thanks to all farmers for their kind cooperation in household questionnaire surveys and Rapid Rural Appraisal (RRA). He also expressed his happiness to know the activities of various self-help groups in this area. He informed that he will come back again after receiving the social survey results from the local consultants. He inquired about the construction of the suspension bridge connecting this area and Ward 10 and 11 (Trishuli Bazaar).

Mr. M. Fujioka asked the farmers how they can utilize the wet season irrigation water for increasing agricultural productivity and how women's work burdens will increase if there is irrigation.

Dr. P. Pradhan briefed the government irrigation policy in three points: (a) the need for a joint management system for TIP, (b) the need for water users' associations (WUAs) to operate and maintain the irrigation systems and (c) the need for participation and contributions of the beneficiary farmers in irrigation projects. He explained that the joint management system will be adopted if this irrigation project is launched.

Finally, Mr. M. Fujioka, JICA Team Leader, expressed his thanks to the farmers on behalf of JICA Team for their participation in this meeting.

The participating farmers made the following comments and suggestions on TIP:

- 1. Due to the snow melt, the water discharge in Trishuli River increases from April. Hence the proposed irrigation water from April onwards will be better for vegetable cultivation, although we do not have much experience in vegetable cultivation. (Mr. Kattak Bahadur Thapa, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 2. The wet season irrigation is useful for the farmers because they now depend on the irregular rainfall to start paddy cultivation. If the rain starts in July, they will get a good yield of rice, but if it delays, the yield will become less. (Mr. Kattak Bahadur Thapa, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 3. Women have the same idea with men about irrigation. In this barren land, if irrigation water is available, women will be quite busy. But in the meantime, women will be able to help to uplift the economic standards of the family, so women will be very happy. (Mrs. Subhadra Thapaliya, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 4. The farmers are, at present, cultivating rice in the wet season and mustard in the dry season with the available moisture contents in November. The farmers have recently started to cultivate mustard, so the yield of mustard is not certain. If there is assured irrigation water, they will be able to cultivate any kind of crops, for example, cabbages, cauliflower, etc. (Mr. Krishna Bahadur Shrestha, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 5. Once irrigation water is available, the farmers would like to have soil testing, technical advice for suitable types of crops, fertilizers, etc. After receiving these services, they will first see their own capability and marketing facility, and accordingly they will grow suitable crops. (Mr. Belram Thapaliya, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 6. Whenever the water users' associations or farmers have to contribute 10% in cash, in kind or in the voluntary labor as per the irrigation policy, they will be quite grateful because at present, they are spending their own funding for the whole irrigation systems through their own organized water users' associations. (Mr. Kattak Bahadur Thapa, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)
- 7. We are tired of waiting for the outsider's assistance, because the politician came and promised irrigation before the election but nothing has materialized so far. So we now decided not to rely on anyone but ourselves. We started our saving groups, and by using the interest of this saving we are now constructing a drinking water tap for community development. (Mr. Kattak Bahadur Thapa, Simbutar, Bidur Ward 1)

Annex. List of Participants

A. Farmers (23 farmers consisting of 17 males and 6 females)

No.	Sex		Name		Occupation	Settlement	VDC/Ward
i	Mr.	Deepak	Raj	Pant	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
2	Mr.	Keshab	Raj	Pant	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
3	Mr.	Shiya	Prasad	Pant	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
4	Mr.	Ram	Bahadur	Rai	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
5	Mr.	Krishna	Bahadur	Shrestha	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
6	Mr.	Kattak	Bahadur	Thapa	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
17	Mr.	Belram		Thapaliya	Farmer ::	Simbutar	Bidur Ward I
8	Mr.	Bishnu	Prasad	Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
9	Mr.	Krishna		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
.10	Mr.	Madhay		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
11	Mr.	Om	Prasad	Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
12	Mr	Prakash .		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
.13	Mr.	Puma		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
14	Mr.	Rabi	Prasad	Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
15	·Mr.	Ram		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
16	Mr.	Ram	Prasad	Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
17	Miss	Rita		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutàr	Bidur Ward 1
18	Mr.	Shiva		Thapaliya	Parmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
19	Mrs.	Sita		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
20	Miss	Šita		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
21	Mrs.	Subhadra		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
22	Mrs.	Tara	Laymi	Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1
23	Mrs.	Yamuna		Thapaliya	Farmer	Simbutar	Bidur Ward 1

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 K. Tanaka
- 5 K. Tsumura

C. DOI Countérparts

1 M. B. Pradhan

Part A

7. Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (10 places)

3	<u>Block</u>	<u>Date</u>	Venue
l) .	A	Feburuay 26, 1997	Indrani Chautara
2)	В	Feburaay 28, 1997	Prithyl Primary School, Bidur
3)	c	Feburuay 28, 1997	Battar Tol Improvement Office, Battar
4)	D	Feburuay 26, 1997	Sundardevi School
5)	E	Feburuay 26, 1997	Laxhimi Naryan Temple
6)	F&G	Feburuay 25, 1997	Athagharae
7)	Н	Feburuay 25, 1997	Prithivi Smarak
· 8)	I	Feburuay 27, 1997	B.P. Srimiti Primary School, Majhitar
9)	J&K	Feburuay 25, 1997	Nagarpalika Gate
10)	L	Feburuay 25, 1997	Chautara





Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (A) Minutes of Meeting (Gerkhutar and Simbutar)

Date & Time:

Februay 26, 1997, 11:00

Venue:

Indreni Chautara, Simbutar

The Eleventh farmers' meeting was organized to exchange the ideas with the farmers of the command area about the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. Fujioka, Team leader greeted the participants of the meeting. He highlighted about the present finding of the survey and the study.

He added that this A block can be irrigated by gravity system in both the cases of the proposed intake and the new proposed intake. He added that this canal system gets water from the NEA reservoir. He said that the availability of the water is only for 9 months during the wet season only.

He said that the proposed intake point is from aqueduct no.2 but after the finding of the study, the study team proposed intake d/s of the balancing reservoir as an alternative. He said that the decision for shifting is not yet decided. He added that the cost is expensive in case of the original proposed intake at Aqueduct no.2.

Mr. K. Iwata explained about the irrigation system. He briefed about the location of the original intake, head race canal of the NEA, Balancing Reservoir, alternative intake.

He added that the study team is designing the canal system from the alternative 1. He informed that in case the water is being taken from the alternate, the main canal will be in the opposite direction just opposite of the trisuli river.

He explained that the water will be brought to this Simbutar area by crossing the pipe across the river. He said that for Gerkhutar, water will be made available from the original intake by means of a small pipe.

Dr. Pradhan informed that the members of the WUA will be either the land owner or the water user. He said that the cost sharing of 10% of the Construction cost will have to borne based on the area of the land rather than the household.

He added that there are two villages namely Simbutar and Gerkhutar and there will be two different intakes for them if alternative one is accepted.

He also briefed about the process of the submission of the report.

Mr.M.B. Pradhan said that as per Water Resources Regulation, WUA is to be formed.

He informed that there will be an agreement between Irrigation office and the WUA about the work, duty and the power before the implementation of the project.

Also he informed that there is the provision that WUA can work amounting Nrs. 10 lakhs with the use of locally available resources as per the provision of the Financial Administrative regulation.

The followings are the outcome of the meeting:

- 1. The user beneficiaie will be more active to bear only 10% of the construction cost as per the irrigation policy simply because they are at present investing 100% for their canal system. Might be the cash but the user beneficiaries are able to do labour volunteer work. It will be better if the construction activities can be done by themselves to complete the work in the scheduled time.
- 2. The main canal system will be by means of pipe system not like an open canal system. In the map there are 2 numbers for this block. One blocks gets water from aqueduct no.2 and the other by the alternate 1.
- 3. There will be another committee for this two blocks and another individual committee.
- 4. For 3 months from Jan to march, irrigation water will not be available. User beneficiaries expressed that it is better to have water for 9 months leaving 3 months.
- 5. If circumstances for electricity improves, it may be possible that water may be available for round the year.
- 6. There will be 2 sources for this block for two different areas if alternative 1 is chosen. At present this irrigation was proposed to irrigate the areas in Batter but in the main time it was proposed to irrigate the areas nearby the canal
- 7. From alternative I water will not be available for Gerkhutar. But survey was already carried out and this area is included as per the demand of the user beneficiaries.
- 8. The general trouble in this area are the quality of soil, water requirement for the red soil is less and there is the drainage trouble in this soil. Let there be no drought adjoining the source like Gerkhutar.

List of Participants Annex:

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name	
1	Katak B. Thapa	20	Balram Thapaliya	
2	Ms.Chinimaiya	21	Naryan P. Thapaliya	
3	Rajesh Pandey	22	Bishnu P. Thapaliya	
4	Shiva Raj Pandey	23	Ms.Sita Thapaliya	
5	Danxxlar Khatewada	24	Rajkumar Bohara	
6	Ms. Sanikanchi	25	Ram B. Rai	
7	Bishnu P. Pandey	26	Krishna P. Thapaliya	
8	Govinda Thapaliya	27	Jaya B. Pandey	
9	Krishna P. Thapaliya	28	Sriram Thapaliya	•
10	Ram Thapaliya	29	Ramhari Pandey	
11	Shyam Thapaliya	30 ·	Amirjung Pandey	:
12	Prem N. Thapaliya	31	Sudarsan Pykurel	
13	Bhopal Mijar	32	Hari P. Thapaliya	
14	Madhay Thapaliya	33	Naryan B. Pandey	
15	Ms.Bhairebi Thapaliya	34	Balram Khatewada	
16	Ms. Yamuna Thapaliya	35	Som Nath Thapaliya	
17	Ms. Sanikanchi	36	Ms. Sita Pant	
18	Ms.Subhadra Thapaliya	37	Ms. Savitci Pant	
19	Namaraj Thapaliya	38	Ms. Kamala Thapaliya	٠,

B. JICA Team Members

- M. Fujioka K. Iwata
- Dr. P. Pradhan
- K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

M. B. Pradhan

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

Keshab Prasad Adhikari Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (B) Minutes of Meeting (Bidur)

Date & Time:

Februay 28, 1997, 8:00

Venue:

Prithivi Primary School, Bidur

The second set of FLAG meeting was organized to make the farmers of the command area aware of the progress made by the study team till this date and possible feed back from the beneficiary farmers to make the proposed irrigation pro more successful in terms of its usage. One of the objective of the meeting was the two way interaction between the study team and the users. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was commenced by the introduction of JICA study team by Mr. P.M. Shrestha, DOI Irrigation Engineer. He mentioned about the current irrigation policy, requirement of the formation of Users' Group as per the hydrological boundary of the command area and requirement of the contribution by users as per the irrigation policy. He, explicitly, informed that the present water availability is only for nine months (the wet season) and not for the dry period.

Mr. K. Tanaka briefed the progress made in planning the irrigation system till this date. He explained the blockwise distribution of the command area, and mainly focussed on the Block B, where the venue of the meeting is located. He requested for the formation of the Users' group in each sub-blocks, and blocks, and eventually the representation of the whole system by the representatives from each blocks. He mentioned that a strong users' committee is a requirement for the successful running of an irrigation system. He explained the requirement of the contribution of the investment cost by the users as per the HMGN Irrigation Policy. Since the present project size falls under the joint managed system as per the irrigation policy, he explained the concept of the joint management between DOI and the users. As the cost reduction is one of the objective of such system, he explained the superiority of the alternative 1 alignment from the balancing reservoir of NEA to the original alignment from the aqueduct no.2 in terms of the investment cost reduction. The water availability being critical during the dry period for the generation of the electricity, the irrigation water will be available for the wet season only.

Mr. K. Iwata explained about the technical matter of the proposed irrigation system. He explained the block and sub-block distribution of the command area. He discussed the comperative explanation of the main alignment through the original intake point near the aqueduct # 2 of NEA and alternative alignment through the tail end of the NEA balancing reservoir. He told that the investment cost will be tremendously reduced with Alternative alignment through the NEA balancing reservoir because the former alignment has to pass through geologically fragile stretch and has to cross the Trishuli river twice through the major aqueducts to reach the command area. He proposed a separate indepedent pumping irrigation system for Pokharephant, Khadgabhanjyang VDC. He explained and displayed the detail planning map and invited the participants for their suggestion for the further improvements.

The presentation was followed by the queries and the suggestions by the user farmers. Farmers had suggested to introduce several chamber tanks within the command area along the main pipe line so as to enable them to lift the water to irrigate the other side of the road where the gravity fed is not possible. One of the concern of the farmers was the bursting of the pipe lines which they had experienced in drinking water supply. Some farmers argued that the investment cost will be tremendously reduced if the water intake is from Sera of Tadi river. The meeting was ended at 9:30 am.

The followings are the outcome of the meeting:

- 1. Users requested several chamber tanks along the command area so that they can lift water for some nearby portion which is not fed by gravity.
- 2. Farmers are conscious of the phenomena of bursting the closed pipes in this area.

Annex: List of Participants

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name	
1	Chandra B. Shrestha	25	Biswesor Rijal	
2	Chandra b. Shrestha	26	Bhim Sen Bohara	
3	Man B. Rai	27	Hem Raj Thapaliya	
4	Kama B. Bogati	28	Nava Raj Thapaliya	
5	Ran Bahadur Shrestha	29	Govinda Thapiliya	Garage State
6	Jitendra Thapa	30	Surya Lai Shrestha	
7	Santa Kumar Shrestha	31	Hari Sundar Thapa	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
8	Purna B. Shrestha	32	Baburani Bogati	
9	Raju Shrestha	33	Purna Man Shrestha	
10	Sabitri Adhikary	34	Suraj Kumar Shrestha	
11	Binod Kumar Bhattarai	35	Hari Krishna Shrestha	
12	Kapil Rijal	36	Krishna L. Shrestha	
13	Purna Lal Shrestha	37	Krishna L Shrestha	
14	Sankha Maya Shrestha	38	Krishna Man Shrestha	
15	Ratna Lal Shrestha	39	Bhim B. Shrestha	
16	Ram kumar Pant	40	Rajendra K. Bhattarai	
17	Hari Bahadur Pant	# 41	Keshar B. Shrestha	ere grade de la companya
18	Raj Kumar Shrestha	42	Hari K. Thapa	
19	Mohan Rana	43	Sukuman Shrestha	
20	Katak bahadur Thapa	44	Ratna K. Shrestha	
21	Ram Prasad Adhikary	45	Krishna B. Bhujel	
22	Chandra L. Shrestha	46	Ramje Khatri	•
23	Dhan B. Shrestha	47	Babu L. Shrestha	
24	Govinda Thapaliya	48	Bhajan Das Shrestha	

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 K. Iwata
- K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 P. M. Shrestha
- 2 N. Gaddal

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (C) Minutes of Meeting (Battar, Apaghari, Sukumbasitol)

Date & Time:

Februay 28, 1997, 8:00

Venue:

Battar Tol Sudhar Pati, Battar Bazar

After introduction of JICA study team members, Mr. Fujioka, Team Leader, JICA study team explained the progress the system planning and survey within the proposed command area. However, he pointed out two important factors in relation to Trisuli Irrigation Project. They are: (a) water in the irrigation system will be available only for nine months during wet season, (b) the original proposed intake site costs higher cost so alternative intake from balancing reservior of Trisuli Hydel Project is recommended to the His Majesty's Government of Nepal. No decision has taken place as yet.

Following Mr. Fujioka's introduction, Mr. Tsumura explained the proposed system for Battar area (Block C). Two sub-blocks are sub divided within Block C along with a lift pump for 15 hectare area. He explained the irrigation system from the recommended alternative intake up to the last point of the system at Devighat.

Mr.P. Pradhan explained the provisions of Irrigation Policy of His Majesty's Government. This system will be a joint-management system. Water users associations are to be formed under hydrological basis, based on the proposed blocks and one central committee with the representatives of the Blocks. The beneficiary is to contribute 10% of the construction cost.

After these presentations, discussions between the farmers and study team members took place.

Question: Why is there water only for nine months?

Answer: Water can be available only for wet season. Due to pressure for more power generation during winter, water will not not be available during winter. This does not mean that the situation will remain the same for ever.

Ouestion: Has there been the survey of alternatives from Tadi and other rivers?

Answer: The Terms of Reference given for this study team is from Aqueduct No.2 of Trisuli Hydel Power canal so we are following our Terms of Reference.

Question: Since the irrigation system will be pipe system, we are afraid that it might break and brust frequently. We have similar problem in drinking water project at Battar.

Answer: It will not happen such problem in the imigation system.

Ouestion: Will there be volumetric measurement of water for distribution?

Answer: Some kind of measurement device will fixed. However, cropping calender is to be fixed by the water users association of the Block.

Question: How much would be the farmers contribution?

Answer: Detail costing is yet to be done. However, the preliminary costing indicates about Rs. 1500-2000 per ropani.

Question: Will it be only cash contribution or labour contribution is also allowed?

Answer: Cash or labour could be contributed.

Question: Can there be provision of loan to the farmers for the payment of farmers contribution?

Answer: This question is to be discussed further. Some of the farmers suggested that it is to be decided by the WUA about the form of payment of farmers contribution.

Question: When will this project be implemented?

Answer: Within one to two years. His Majesty's Government of Nepal has to decide. Once construction starts, water will be delivered within two years.

At the end farmers said that they are willing to do whatever is required for the implementation of the project.

Annex: List of Participants

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Babu Kagi Dongol	41	Keshay B. Shrestha
2	Dhal Nath daladi	45	Lal Bahadur Ojha
3	Govinda Dongol	46	Madhay Pandey
4	Bishnu Bahadur Kumal	47	Birkha Bahadur Kumal
5	Ratua Bahadur Tamang	48	Ram Kumar Pradhan
6	Biswa Ram Giri	49	Bhimsen Kumal
7	Janak Bahadur Kumal	50	Mangeley Sarki
8	Najer Man Shrestha	51	Tilak Bahadur Lawat
9	Chandra Lal Lama	52	Shiya Ram Rimal
10	Basan Lal Shrestha	53	Bal Mukunda Adhikary
11	Rana Bahadur Kumal	51	Indra bahadur Thapa
12	Ganga Ram Gajurel	55	Krishna P. Dhungel
- 13	Surendra Pradhan	56	Bishnu Shrestha
14	Guna Nidhi Paudyal	57	Purna Lal Pradhan
15	Naryan Prasad Rimal	58	Ms. Kalpana Shrestha
16	Indra Bahadur Koirala	59	Ms. Keshari Shrestha
17	Damodar Lal Pradhan	60	Kiranman Dongoi
18	Basant Man Shrestha	61	Chet Nath Neupane
19.	Shyam Sunder Shrestha	62	Jagat Shrestha
20	Bate Kumal	63	Lombodhar Shrestha
21	Madhay Lal Pradhan	61	Himal B. Shrestha
22	Ram Prasad Rimal	65	Bishnu Acharya
23	Tika Prasad Rimal	66	Ananda lal Pradhan
24	Krishna Bahadur Kumal	67	Dhan N. Chitrakar
25	Ganga Lal Shrestha	68	Mangal Lal Fradhan
26	Ghyan Shyam Shrestha	69	Gcrbe Kumal
27	Madan Raj Bhandary	70	Ms. Thuli Tamang
28 -	Rabi Kumal	71	Naryan D. Shrestha
29	Ganga Bahadur Kumai	72	Hari Naupane
30	Amrit bohadur Kumal	73	Kama B. Kumal
31	Bishiiu Prasad Nepal	74	Jeevan Lal Shrestha
32	Keshav Lal Pradhan	75	Gopal Shrestha
33	Madhusudan Adhikary	76	Surendra Shrestha
34	Mahesh Shrestha	77	Ganga Lal Shrestha
35	Chaturman Shahi	78	Jhanka Nath Rimal
36	Srikrishna Shrestha	79	Hem Raj Bajmcharya
37	Tulsiman Dongol	80	Bishnu B. Shrestha
38	Shyam Loho	81	Chuniya Lal Shrestha
39	Bhimsen Koirala	82	Amrit Man Shrestha
40	Navraj Shrestha	83	Hari Prasad Paneru
41	Dase Kumal	81	Sita Ram Rimal
12	Dinesh Dongol	85	Mukunda P. Adikary
43	Bharat Bhattarai	86	Gopi Nath Rimal

B. JICA Team Members

- M. Pujioka K. Tsumura i
- 2
- 3. Dr. P. Pradhan

C. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

Keshab Prasad Adbikari Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (D) Minutes of Meeting (Inarpati, Degoan, Dukuntar, Hasantar, Charghere, Machele and Gharigoan)

Date & Time:

Februay 26, 1997, 8:00

Venue:

Sundaradevi Primary School, Degaun

The Tenth farmers' meeting was organized to exchange the ideas with the farmers of the command area about the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. Fujioka, Team leader greeted the participants of the meeting. He highlighted about the present finding of the survey and the study. He added that the availability of the water is only for 9 months during the wet season only.

He added that the proposed original intake point is from Aquedet no. 2, but after finding of the study, the study team proposed intake the new intake at the d/s of the balancing reservoir to the govrment of Nepal. He added that the new proposed intake is not yet decided. He added that the cost is expensive in case of the original proposed one.

Mr. K. Iwata explained about the irrigation system with the help of the topographical map. He briefed about the proposed intake. He added that the proposed intake is costly and hence the study as well as design are being done from alternative one.

He briefed about the balancing reservoir of NEA, Trisuli Hydropower, Main canal alignment along Bidur, army camp, Incrpati and the Control Chamber and canal system.

He added that the existing canal system does not cover the whole area, hence there will be another canal along the ridge. He added that there will be the main canal for Pipaltar, Tallo Pipaltar, Devighat from the control chamber.

Dr. Pradhan informed that the command areas are divided in a separate units. He pointed out that the user beneficiaries need to be active from now onwards for the development of the irrigation.

He emphasised the need of WUA. He added that the command areas are divided into blocks based on hydrological bundaries. He added that the the members will be either the land owner or the water user.

He said that the sudy team had observed some land belonging to school and Guthi Sansthan. He added that the cost sharing by the user beneficiries will be around 10% of the construction cost.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan informed that for 100 - 200 ropani of land, there will be one outlet. He added that there is the provision of the active participation of the user beneficiaries from the very initial stage according to the Irrigation Policy. The farm level irrigation system is to be built by the user beneciaries themselves along with the land for the canal system.

The followings are the outcome of the meeting:

- 1. The study team understood that the system needs secondary and tertiary canal. The study team would like to decide more in the field itself before the construction.
- 2. For irrigating 1380 ropani of land from the branch canal, there will be an additional system.

- 3. The decision already done by the government is to take water from intake no. 2. The opening at the Aqueduct no. 2 was provided during the re-construction of NEA as decided by the government of Nepal.
- 4. Some alternative was taken for the intake point because the proposed intake is expensive.
- 5. WUA is to established before the implementation. WUA can contribute to work as part of the construction cost either by cash or volunteer labour.
- 6. The water will come as a gravity flow for this block. They do not need to bear the cost of lift in the area. They need to bear the cost of construction but not the electrical energy. The user beneficiaries have to decide the type of work they can carry.
- 7. The cost sharing of the user beneficiaries will be high due to high cost of construction in case of the original proposed intake. The study teams are the technicians and had to follow the decision of the government.
- 8. Even the availability of water for 9 months is quite better irrespective of unavailability of water for 3 months in dry season.
- 9. Phokhare Phant won't be irrigating by this system but the package for irrigation is the same. Before it was from the same system but now there will be a separate system for that area,

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Bharat Sadaula	52	Ms.Juthi M. Kumal
2.	Bishno P. Adhikary	53	Ms. Sukumaya Kumal
3	Budhifal Dongol	51	Ms. Rammaya Dongol
4	Ram P. Rimal	55	Ms Suntali Kumal
5	Govinda rai	56	Ms. Budha Maya Kumal
6	Ram B. Rai	57	Ms. Savitri Kumal
7	Lal B. Rai	58	Ms.Suntali M.Kumal
8	Shankar Rai	59	Ms. Khunti Maya Kumal
9	Sahila Rai	60	Ms. Champamaya Kumal
10	Bharat Rai	61	Ms Radha Rimal
11	Surya Rai	62	Ms Durgadevi Malla
12	Govinda Rai	63 ⊕	Ms. Biswa Maya Kumal
13	Ms. Thulimaya Rai	64	Ms. Fulmaya Kumal
14	Narayan B. Rai	65	Ms.Iswari Kumal
15	Krishna B. Rai	66	Ms Indramaya Kumal
16	Dhan B. Rai	67	Ms. Chinumaya Kumal
17	Bom b. Rai	68	Ms.Lalumaya Kumal
18	Bhakta B. Dongol	69	Ms.Khem K. Devkota
19	Krishna B. Dongol	70	Ms.Golfimaya Kumal
20	Mak B. Dongol	71	Ms Sitamaya Kumal
21	Sambhu P.Ghemere	72	Sm. Sarmila Kumal
22	Madhusudan Gheniere	: 73 →	Sm Nilkumari Kumal
23	Naryan P. Rimal	74	Sm. Gyanumaya Kumal
24	Naryan P. Adhikary	75	Dhan B. Kumal
25	Naryan P. Khanal	76	Kale Kumal
26	Chakrapani Adhikary	77	Hom B. Kumal
27	Ram P. Khaoal	78	Ram B. Kumal

28	Bal Ram Dixit	79	Haribhagat Kumal
29	Govinda Kumal	80	Keshar B. Kumal
30	Bharat B. Kumal	81	Poma B. Komal
31	Bom B. Lamechane	82	Haribahadur Kumat
32	Ram P. Devakota	83	Resham B. Kumal
33	Jham P. Devakota	84	Ms. Gautami Kumal
34	Dhai B. Maila	85	Bhirab B. KC
35	Jit B. Kumal	86 -	Bakhat B. Kumal
36	Krishna B. Mudwari	87	Juthey Kumal
37	Suba B. Kumal	88	Som B.Kumal
38	Krishna B. Dongol	89	Ms. Purna Maya Kumal
39	Bhakta B. Dongol	90	Chet P. Timilsina
40	Ram Chandra Adhikary	91	Hari P. Timilsina
41	Madhay Adhikary	92	Krishna P. Timilsina
42	Laxhman Kumal	93	Gyan B. Kumai
43	Krishna B Kumal	94	Khem B. Ghemere
44	Hari P. Kumal	95	Ms. Mithodevi Khanal
45	Julum Kumal	96	Kodar Adhikary
46	Ms.Nani maiya Ghemere	97	Govinda B. Dongol
47	Ms. Bishnu M. Kumal	98	Narayan B. Shrestha
48	Ms. Ram kumari Kumal	99	Ms. Santu Maya Kumal
49	Ms.Shiva M.Kumal	100	Sanu Samser Tamang
50	Ms Januka Kumal	101	Julum Kumal
51	Ms. Kamala Kumal	102	Ram Pd Komal

B. JICA Team Members

- M. Fujioka K. Iwata
- 2
- Dr. P. Pradhan
- K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 M. B. Pradhau
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- Keshab Prasad Adhikari Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (E) Minutes of Meeting (Maharanidi and Chandipokhari)

Date & Time:

Februay 26, 1997, 16:00

Venue:

Laxhmi, Naryan Temple, Maharanidi

The 12th farmers' meeting was organized to exchange the ideas with the farmers of the command area about the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. Fujioka, Team leader greeted the participants of the meeting. He highlighted about the present finding of the survey and the study. He added that this canal system gets water from the NEA canal and the availability of the water is limited only for 9 months during the wet season.

He informed that the proposed intake point is aqueduct no.2 and based on the findings of the study, the study tea planned to shift the intake from aqueduct no.2 to d/s of the regulating reservoir.

He added that the decision for the new proposed intake is not yet made. One of the basic condition of the study team is that the original proposed intake is expensive.

Mr. K. Iwata explained that there are at present 2 intakes as shown in the map. He added that at present the alternative intake is located downstream of the balancing reservoir.

He briefed about the present canal alignment through aqueduct, Bidur, Army camp, Battat, Dihigaun and the control chamber. He added that for this block, water will be delivered by the gravity system.

Dr. Pradhan explained that Water will be made available as per the necessary to irrigate the command area. He added that the block is based upon the hydrological boundary. He said that the members will be either the land owner or the water user and the WUA is necessary for this purpose.

He emphasised the need of WUA is to be established before the implementation and Joint management will be launched as per the irrigation policy for this area. He added that it is necessary that the User should know that the WUA have to bear 10% of the construction cost either by cash or volunteer labour.

He explained that canal itself means that it consitutes both the social and the technical matters. He said that the design will be based in the technical matters and in the meantime the user beneficiaries have to look in the social aspects.

He informed that the area is defineated based on the hydrological boundaries. He added that the system should be lasting and the responsibility lies with the user. He said that there will be the gravity system and hence it will be cheaper here than those using the lift schemes in this system.

Mr. M.B. Pradhan said that for the area upto 10 ha. of land, the farm level canal need to be constructed at the farmer cost. He informed that now the new revised Irrigation policy had come and accordingly it will be carried out.

He added that there is cost sharing by both the Govt and WUA for the construction of the main canal.

The followings are the findings of the meeting:

- 1. The beneficiaries are ready to do all the works and to establish the WUA before implementation of the project. The user beneficiaries were distributing water in the previous Baltar system and are experienced. Still they will be doing the distribution works. They are ready to work because it belongs to them.
- 2. Battar Lift scheme was launched as a pilot project. The agricultural productivity will be tremendous if irrigation water is available, so Govt. should considered for this alternative.
- 3. For irrigating throughout the year, It depends upon the power system in Nepal in the future. At present, the situation is not improved. In case some other power stations are constructed and improved, in that case the water may be available throughout the year.

A. Farmers

No. Name	No. Name
1 Sher B. Sadaula	36 Ms.Kanchi Thapa
2 Bishnu Kumar KC	37 Ms.Thulimaya Sađauli
3 Lala B. Sadaula	38 Thulo Ser B. Sadaula
4 Netra B. Sadaula	39 Bishnu B. Thapaliya
5 Chetra B. Sadaula	40 Ms.Til k. Sadaula
6 Madan B. Sadaula	41 Ms Nanimaiya Sadaula
7 Tek Bahadur Sadaula	42 Ms.Kabita Sadaula
8 Guna B.Sadaula	43 Ms.Binda Tiwari
9 Dan B.Sadaula	44 Ms. Thulo Sidaula
10 Rudra B. Sadaula	45 Ms.Chanchala Sidaula
11 Bom B. Sadada	46 Ms. Goma Pandit
12 Lalit B Sədaula	47 Ms.Tej K.Thapa
13 Jit B.Sadaula	48 Ms Mithu Dhital
14 Dil B Sadaula	49 Ms.Thutimaya Paudyal
E5 Rambabu Sadaula	50 Ramchandra Paudyal
16 Sanubabu Sadaula	51 Dipak Kumal
17 Raju Sadaula	52 Pradip Sadaula
18 Dipak Sadaula	53 Ms Savitri Sadaula
19 Iswar Acharya	54 Ms. Mahili Sadaula
20 Tej B. Sadaula	55 Basu Sadaula
21 Bishnu B.Tiwari	56 Tanka B. KC
22 Shyam Raja KC	57 Navin KC
23 Basu KC	58 Thulobhai KC
24 Navaraj KC	59 Budhilal Amatya
25 Pradip KC	60 Dipak Sadaula
26 Batu KC	61 Budhi B. Sadaula
27 Dipak KC	62 Keshav KC
28 Lava B.Dhital	63 Ms Kanchi Sadaula
29 Santa B Saduala	64 Ms. Thulimaya Sadaula
30 Badri KC	65 Ms.Savitri Sadaula
31 Bhakta B. KC	66 Devendra Thapaliya
32 Ms. Chinmanya Kumal	67 Bhagbat KC
33 Ms.Savitri Khatri	68 Shyam Kumar KC
34 Ms.Mahifi Tiwari	69 Surendra Thapaliya
35 Ms.Misri Acharya	

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- 1 M. B. Pradhan
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- Keshab Prasad Adhikari Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (F and G) Minutes of Meeting (Lambagaicha and Tallo Pipaltar)

Date & Time:

Februay 25, 1997, 10:00

Venue:

Athaghere, Tallo Pipaltar

The seventh farmers' meeting was organized to make the farmers of the command area aware of the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of the study team greeted the participants.

He explained about the two main factors namely

1. Intake point

2. Water availability during wet season only.

He explained about the findings of the survey and study for Trisuli Irrigation Project. He said that the length of the proposed intake from Aqueduct no.2 is long and difficult at different places.

He added that the study team recommended DOI to negotiate to shift the intake to d/s of the balancing reservoir. He added that unless the shifting of the proposed intake, the construction cost will be high.

Mr K. Iwata explained about the proposed canal system and the new proposed intake from d/s of the balancing reservoir of NEA. He added that water will be brought in this block by gravity system without any lift.

Dr. Pradhan explained about the need of WUA to convey the irrigation water in a joint effort. He added that WUA member will be either the water user or the landowner.

He added that as per Irrigation policy for cost sharing, if alternative 1 is followed, the cost sharing will be automatically reduced. He added that areas are divided by blockwise based on hydrological boundaries.

Mr M.B. Pradhan said that available irrigation should be well managed by the farmers.

- 1. The farmers need just irrigation water. The farmers will do all necessary repair works like in the protection of forest in this area to the maximum extent possible.
- 2. Irrigation water will come by gravity system for this block as per the result of the survey.
- 3. When water will be made avialable, it will be for 24 hours in a day.
- 4. WUA need to be organised before the implementation. Basically the use of water, distribution of water and the control need to be done by the user beneficieries.
- 5. The farm level canal is to be constructed but he WUA itself. The farmers need to be united themselves.

- 6. Care should be taken to minimise the water loss. The excess water need to be drained through the existing drainages with the protective measures.
- 7. The farmers would like to grow rice, vegetables etc if irrigation water is made available.

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Man B. Dongol	38	Bir Man Kumal
2	Devi Prasad Panent	39	Gopini Kumal
3	Hastaman Dongol	40	Suku Kumal
4	Rajesh Dongol	41	Feksi Kumal
5	Pahalman Dongol	42	Kanchi Maya Kumal
6	Nakaman Dongol	43	Ninnala Kumal
7	Shyam Man Dongol	44	Kali Kumal
8	Kale Majhi	45	Sante Kumal
9	Dhana Maya Dongol	46	Sahili Kumal
10	Laxlimi Devi Dongol	47	Chayakti Kumai
11	Marich Man majhi	48	Kanchi
12	Ram Lal Dongol	49	Pahalman Majhi
13	Shyam Kumal	50	Maite Kumal
14	Ms. Bhunti Majhi	51	Raje Kumal
15	Lifa Bahadur Kumal	52	Kamal Kumal
16	Ganesh Man Dongol	53	Gajabe Kumal
17	Chakra B. Kumal	51	Gyan Prakash Kumal
18	Lahar Man Kumal	55	Mangate Kumal
19	Ms. Sunita Kumal	56	Sanu Chaure
20	Krishna Maya Kumal	57	Ms. Prithivi Dongel
21	Hiradevi Dongol	58	Ms. Santa Dongol
22	Ram Kumari Dongol	59	Chaure Kumal
23	Lifa Maya Dongol	60	Lalbira Kumal
24	Geeta Dongol	61	Ms. Kanchi Kumal
25	Badri Man Dongol	62	Lai Bahadur Kumal
26	Tile Kumal	63	Ms. Kanchi Kumal
27	Sopey Kumal	64	Mankaji Kumal
28	Madhubane Kumal	65	Gopi Kumal
29	Tulsi Majhi	66	Hari B. Budhathoki
30	Yudha Man Kumal	67	Chakra B. Kumal
31	Bahadure Kumal	68	Gothe Kumal
32	Indra Man Dongol	69	Dhal B. Kumal
33	Rain Bahadur Kumal	70	Ms. Sanumaya Kumal
34	Jhamkumari Kumal	71	Ms.Ujeli Kumal
35	Maite Kumal	72	Ms. Dilmaya Kumal
36	Ram Bahadur Kumal	73	Ms. Binda Kumal
37	Sita . Kumal	1.0	

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- B Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 K. Tanaka

- C. DOI Counterparts
- M. B. Pradhan
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- 1 Keshab Prasad Adhikari Association Officer (Λ.Ο.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (II) Minutes of Meeting (Devighat)

Date & Time:

Februay 25, 1997, 8:00

Venue:

Prithivi Smarak, Devighat

The sixth farmers' meeting was organized to make the farmers of the command area aware of the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of the study team addressed about the progress made during the study for Trisuli Irrigation Project. He said that the length of the proposed intake from Aqueduct no.2 is long and the cost is also high due to topography. He added that the study team recommended DOI to negotiate to shift the intake to d/s of the balancing reservoir. He said that the construction cost for this alternative will be lower than the original proposed intake. He added if the shifting of the intake to d/s of the balancing reservoir is not done, it might be quite difficult to implement the project.

He added that the availability of water is only for the wet season. During dry season water will not be made available and the team is carrying the study according on hydrological boundaries.

Mr. M.B. Pradhan said that the study team is carrying survey from d/s od the balancing reservoir in addition to the previous Aqueduct no.2. He added that Department of Irrigation had informed through District Development Committee that Irrigagation water can be made available only for nine months.

He further added that Superintending Engineer and Divisional Engineer of DOI had recently visited the site and were briefed about the outcome of the surveys.

- Mr. K. Iwata explained about how the system is going to work. He briefed about the proposed canal system with the help of topographical map. He added that water can come in this area by gravity system, in case of battar system, water came by lift scheme after Majhitar.
- Dr. P. Pradhan explained that water conveyance was not efficient while taking by wardwise and now the blocks are developed based on hydrological boundaries. He added that the WUA member will be either the water user or the landowner.

He added that there is a provision of 20% women participation. The investment, operation and maintenance will be based on land coverage. He added that cost sharing by User beneficiaries is 10%. He added that in addition to the user group, there will be another Main committee. There will be pipe system for water distribution and it will be underground. If open canal, there is a chance of damages by the people.

- 1. The proposed intake is long and costly. Water will be available only for nine months.
- 2. Alternative 1 also covers the same command area by gravity system. Hence the cost/ha. of land for the alternative 1 will be cheap.
- 3. Lifting from Tadi is not feasible due to topography for this area.

- 4. Water availability is the same both for the proposed intake and the alternative 1 which will be available only for nine months.
- 5. To minimise the waterloss, conflict etc, water management will be done by the user beneficiaries themselves after discussion.
- 6. costs sharing of 10% according to Irrigation Policy is to be done by the WUA but all the works of the farm level canal is to be done by WUA.
- 7. Underground pipe system is generally followed for the main canal to minimise theft of water, damages of the canal etc.
- 8. There will be one Central Committee which will be represented by the user beneficiaries of each block.
- 9. The gate system will be managed and controlled by the user beneficiaries in consultation with DIO, Main committee. Main control will be generally done by providing Tank.
- 10. WUA is to be established before the implementation of the project. Related training will help to built the farmers capability.

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Surat B. Chitrakar	20	Ganeshlal Chitrakar
2	Bharat P. Khatauda	21	Balram Gajurel
3	Ranabahadur Chitrkar	22	Man B. Dongol
4	Sete Chitrakar	23	Ramlal Chitrakar
5	Chet B. Shrestha	24	Reshni Chitrakar
6	Bhuban N. Chitrakar	2.5	Pumachandra Karanjit
7	Ratna B. Chitrakar	26	Sukunaryan Chitrakar
8	Dhansundar * *	27	Ms. Rama Rimal
9	Chandralal Chitrakar	28	Bharatlal Chitrakar
10	Birman Chitrakar	29	Ramyakwal Yadav
11	Ratna B. Chitraker	30	Tika Prasad Adikary
12	Ms Maya Rimal	31	Ram B, Chitrakar
13	Punya Dongol	32	Ram B. Chitrakar
14	Ms.Gyanmaya Chitrakar	33	Ratnaman Chitrakar
15	Ms.Chandrabati "	34	Samser B. Dongol
16	Samber Lai Chitrakar	35	Reshamlal Chitrakar
17	Ms. Himdevi Rimal	36	Sahila Chitrakar
18 =	Ms. Sabitri Chitrakar	37	Krishna P.Sapkota
19	Sambu P. Rimal	. 38	Lumadi P. Gajurel

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

1 M. B. Pradhan

D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot

I Keshab Prasad Adhikari

Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (I) Minutes of Meeting (Majhitar, Beltar and Dhansar)

Date & Time:

Februay 27, 1997, 8:00

Venue:

B.P. Srimiti Primary School, Majhitar

The second set of FLAG meeting was conducted in view of presenting the basic design commenced by the study team till this date and to incorporate the suggestions by users in the design procedures. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was commenced with the introduction of the JICA Study Team members and DOI counterparts by Dr. P. Pradhan. He requested the users for their suggestions as it is a need of the two way interaction. He pointed out that the formation of users' association is necessary as the present project falls under the joint management of HMG and the users as per The Irrigation Policy. He mentioned that each blocks of the system should be considered as a separate block and the cost sharing may be different in different blocks because of the technological difference in the conveyance of water in different blocks in this project. He also made aware of the fact that as per HMG Irrigation Policy the users should be involved in the contribution of a portion of the investment cost during the construction and the implementation phase.

Mr. M. Fujioka mentioned that the basic design is now completed. He pointed out two basic conditions for the successful implementation of the project:

- Water availability for 9 months only. (April to december)

- Position of the intake point.

He told that the original intake from the NEA aqueduct no. 2 being long, costly and has to pass through a stretch of a geologically fragile area, the team has recommended a new intake at the downstream of the NEA balancing reservoir.

Mr. K. Iwata briefed the whole irrigation net works and the blocks in the map and particularly the block I. He discussed the technological findings of the study team and recommended the alternative intake at the downstream of the NEA reservoir. He mentioned that the option of the original intake at the NEA aqueduct no. 2 is costly because the alignment has to pass through a very fragile geological formation and has to cross the Trishuli river twice through the major aqueducts. He mentioned that the study team has recommended a lift irrigation at Majhitar (Block I) because it located at the higher elevation. He invited all the user participants for the discussion and suggestions for the better usage of the proposed system.

Farmers were quite curious about any option of gravity irrigation to the presently proposed lift system. The main concern of the farmers were the timely inavailability of the spare parts of the pump. But, it has been mentioned by the study team that there will be support and training of the pump operator. As per the suggestions of the farmers the Dhansar has been shifted from Block I to Block D. The meeting was over by 9:30 am.

The followings are the outcome of the meeting:

As per the users' suggestions, Dhansar has been shifted to Block D from Block I.

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Bishnu Acharya	27	Harka B. Rai
2 .	Shiyabari Gajurel	28	Dal Bahader Kumal
3	Rajendra Aryal	29	Bhim B. Majbi
4	Rajaram K.C.	30	Krishna B. Dangol
5	Harikrishna Chitrakar	31	Yadunath Acharya
6	Ram B. Aryal	32	Bishnu P. Acharya
7	Prem B. KC	33	Janaki P. Rimal
8	Ram Saran Lamechane	34	Dinesh Achaiya
9	Vikas Lamechane	35	Bhim B. Pudasaini
10	Untesh Nepali	36	Upendra Ojha
11	Suman Nepali	37	Saligram Rimal
12	Santa B. Nepali	: 38	Purusottam Aryal
13	Mohan B. Aryal	39	Bachu Majhi
14	Dhruba Dhakal	40	Raj Kumar Acharya
.15	Kama B. Kumal	. 41	Náva Raj Aryal
16	Shyam B. Kumal	42	Krishnath Kuwar
17	Keshar Kumal	43	Gokul Pudasaine
18	Chandra B. Nepali	44	Sundarman Duwal
19	Harisaran Aryal	45	Harikrishna Shrestha
20	Shyam Kaji Aryal	46	Ganesh Achaiya
21	Ram Chandra Aryal	47	Ram Raja Aryal
22	Ram Shrestha	48	Ms. Min K. Alemagar
23	Govinda Karanjit	49	Man Bahadur Kuwar
24	Chandra B. Thapa	50	Gopal Acharya
25	India B. Aryal	51	Iswar B. Acharya
26	Uday Shrestha	52	Krishna B. Aryal

B. JICA Team Members

- M. Fujioka K. Iwata
- 2
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- K. Tanaka
- 5 K. Tsumura

C. DOI Counterparts

- P. B. Shrestha
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- Keshab Prasad Adhikari

Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (J and K) Minutes of Meeting (Pipaltar)

Date & Time:

Februay 25, 1997, 16:00

Venue:

Nagarpalika Gate, Pipaltar

The Ninth farmers' meeting was organized to make the farmers of the command area aware of the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. Fujioka, Team leader greeted the participants of the meeting. He highlighted about the present finding of the survey and the study.

He added that the this canal system gets water from the NEA canal. The availability of the water is only for 9 months during the wet season only.

He added that the proposed original one may be quite expensive and the imolementation may be difficult. So Jica study team strongly recommended to shift d/s of the reservoir. He added that final decision is to made by the government of Nepal for the implementation. If shifting is not possible, such cooperation for economic grant from Japan is not easy because the cost of the project seems too high. So the project implementation depend upon the government of Nepal.

Mr.K. Iwata briefed about the basic planning of the irrigation system. He briefed about the Intake point, Main canal alignment, He briefed about the command area of K and J blocks, location of Devighat Hydropower, DIO office, Pumping station of Battar lift system.

He also briefed about the alignment of the main branch canal and conveyance of water by Battar lift system. He informed that the existing canal will be used to the maximum extent.

He explained about the new canal system. He said that after pumping from P2, the water will be delivered by the gravity system. The cost of the energy for the pumping will be around Rs 474/ropani.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan said that for this area lift as mentioned P2 in Chwadi is the only alternative. During Battar lift scheme, it was a combined area. But right now in consideration of the operation and maintenance and the past experience, it will be a separate system from P1 pumping station with leeser area than before.

- 1. The proposed alternative will minimise 3.4 km length. Due to cost sharing the beneficiaries have to look for low cost and quick return. The cost incurred from aqueduct no.2 will burden twice e.g more cost sharing and the electricity cost.
- 2. The beneficiaries are ready to meet any cost and even to sell their land and to push the government for the implementation of the project.
- 3. There should not be any sand coming. Only 2 crops not 3 crops will be possible during 9 months availability of water.

- 4. The shifting of the intake and the availability of water for more than 9 months will be decided by the government of Nepal.
- 5. According to Irrigation policy, 10% of the total construction cost is to bome by the WUA. If the canal is from Aqueduct no.2, the cost is around Rs 3000/rop. If the canal is from Alternative 1, the cost will be around Rs. 2000/rop.
- 6. The quantity of water availability will be enough for all the areas for this block.
- 7. During the procurement of pumps, spareparts of 10-20 yeas will be done. For small amendment of the pumps, there will be the provision of training to the mechanics. They will be trained by mechanic coming from japan during implementation. In this case there will be no wear and tear due to silt and some stabiliser will be provided to prevent from coil burning.

List of Participants Annex:

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name	
1	Ram Bahadur Bhatt	28	Krishna P.Ghemere	
2	Ram Bahadur Kumal	29	Devi P. Neupane	
3	Loknath Bhatt	30	Bhatimaraj Gajrel	•
4	Madhav Pd. Pandey	31	Chandra Gajrel	
.5	Hitendra Gajurel	32	Shiva P. Neupane	
6	Krishnahari Gjurel	33	Rajan P.Neupane	
7	Pokharaj Bhatt	34	Krishna Neupane	
8	Krishna P.Gjurel	35	Ram C. Neupane	
9	Sitaram Bhatt	36	Ms.Kamala Nepane	
10	Krishna P. Gajurel	37	Sukre Kumal	
11	Ram C. Pudasine	38	Mrs.Gajmati Kumal	
12	Tanka P.Neupane	39	Madhav P. Gajurel	
13	Gopinath Neupane	40	Motiram Kumal	
14	Surendra Gjurel	41	Shyam B. Kumal	
15	Balbhadra Neupane	42	Maghanath Paneru	
16	Sukdev Paneru	43	Ganga P Gajurel	
17	Bhairab Ghemere	44	Krishna P. Gajurel	
18	Chranjibi Gajurel	45	Krishna P. Neupane	
19	Kashinath Gjurel	46	Shiva P. Gajurel	
20	Madhay Gajurel	47	Bhakta P. Neupane	
21	Mrs.Savitri Dungel	48	Krishna P. Neupane	
22	Chatra Raj Dongol	49	Ramkrishna Kumal	
23	Tulsi Gajurel	50	Bishnu Kumal	
24	Ram Krishna Bhatt	51	Keshav Gajurel	
25	Tilak P. Gajurel	52	Kedar Nepali	
26	Ram P. Gajurel	53	Tula B. Kumal	
27	Balram Gajurel	54	Bishnu P. Gajurel	

B. JICA Team Members

- M. Fujioka K. Iwata
- 2 Dr. P. Pradhan
- K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- M. B. Pradhan
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- Keshab Prasad Adhikari

Association Officer (A.O.)

Block-wise Farmers' Meetings (L) Minutes of Meeting (Majgoan and Pokhephant)

Date & Time:

Februay 25, 1997, 13:00

Venue: Chautara, Pokhephant

The Eighth farmers' meeting was organized to make the farmers of the command area aware of the progress and outcome made by the study team till this date and possible feed back during the interraction with the beneficiary farmers to make the irrigation system more successful in terms of its usage. The list of participants is attached in the annex.

The meeting was started by introducing the study team members by Dr. Pradhan.

Mr. M. Fujioka, Team Leader of the study team greeted the participants. He explained that the study team had listened their opinions in the previous meeting.

He added that the proposed intake is from Aqueduct no. 2 of NEA Hydropower. He added that the opinion of the study team is that some of the main canal alignment along this route is not good and is expensive. He informed that that the study team plan to provide irrigate to this block by Pumping system. He added that a separate pumping system was hence proposed for this block.

He added that the cost of operation and maintenance for the pumping system will be quite expensive compared to gravity system in terms of money. He added that Trisuli Irrigation Project came as a package including this block not as a isolated one.

Mr K. Iwata explained about the canal system for this area and the pumping scheme. He explained about the lifting head as 66m. He added that the tentative energy required is 264 KW. He added that the pumping cost will be around Rs 756/ropani for electricity charge. The proposed cost is for 12 hrs/day, 25 days in a month and it is for 6 months.

He added that during the survey, some of the beneficiaries wanted to lift the water to some higher elevation with the help of diesel pumps.

Mr. M. B. Pradhan said that the lifting will be able to provide irrigation facilities for 12 months than that of the proposed intake through gravity system at present. He added that whenever there is no need of water due to rain etc, the user beneficiaries will be able to reduce the electricity.

Dr. Pradhan explained about the formation of WUA. He added that according to the Irrigation Policy, 10% cost sharing is to be done by the user beneficiaries and the user beneficiaries will be taking the responsibility for the operation and the maintenance of the project.

- 1. There are a lot of Kholas and Kholsi along the terrain in this area and taking canal upto the far end is difficult. The user beneficiaries can construct the branch canals but the main canal is to be constructed by the government.
- 2. About the pumping cost more study is needed for the cost of operation and maintenance.
- 3. Upto the the middle in the difficult terrain just upstream of sirkhali, they need the canal. Rest they can built themselves for the earthen canal.
- 4. To minimise the cost of electricity, there should be two seperate lift systems namely

- a. one for the low lying 70% areas which is only 25m lift.
- b. second is for the upland which need 65m lift.
- 5. The pump operators will be the local beneficiaries with experienceas mentioned below:

Name	Experience
1. Mr. Ram Hari Rimal	35 years
2. Mr. Bal Ram Adhikari	7 years
3. Mr. Narayan Rimal	7 years
4. Mr. Ram Saran Rimal	5 years

- 6. There are so many user groups belonging to the same beneficiaries for different sector such as forest, water supply. They will co-ordinate for the good function of the Water Use organisation. Unanimously the member of the executive committee will be selecting the most capable leaders from different users and outside. The quality and the experience of leadership so far gained will be equally useful for the WUA.
- 7. WUA will be responsible for the system management. So far surveyed, the women group are good for the saving of the money. Hence to keep management for the payment of electricity charge, the beneficiaries will then be keeping women in the post of treasure. They have different community development group to collect the money, to expense the money, banking system, saving group.
- 8. The 10% cost sharing in cash may be difficult for the beneficiaries because the cost incurred in the lift scheme will be mostly the capital cost of the pumps.

A. Farmers

No.	Name	No.	Name
1	Mohan Lal Shrestha	34	Ms. Radhika Rimal
2	Indra Prasad Adhikary	35	Ms. Sushila Adhikary
. 3	Krishna P. Adhikary	36	Ms. Radha Rimal
4 ,	Keshav Rimal	37	Ms.Chet K.Adhikary
5.	Ram P. Rimal	38	Ms. Menuka Rimal
6 :	Chabi P. Rinval	39	Ms. Gyan K. Rimal
7	Shiva P.Neupane	40	Ms. Maiya Rimal
8	Ram B, Dhungel	41	Madhusudan Rimal
9	Indra P. Adhikary	42	Shyam Lal Shrestha
10	Tej P. Dhungel	43	Som Lal Shrestha
11°	Govindalal Chitrakar	44	Prakashjung Saha
12	Tika P. Adhikary	45	Yam B. Majhi
13	Hari P. Adhikacy	46	Tek P. Adhikary
14	Shiva P. Rimal	47	Ms. Bhawani Rimal
15	Shiva P. Acharya	48	Ms.Deuti Rimal
16	Achyut Adhikary	49	Ms. Anjira Dahal
17	Bhabanath Adhikary	50	Ms. Durga Rimal
18	Iswari Rimal	51	Ms. Sita Rimal
19	Bhismanath Adhikary	52	Ms. Sabitri Nepal
20	Chetnath Rimal	53	Ram Hari Sharma
21	Purna P. Nepal	54	Ms. Hem K. Rimal
22	Ms. Kamala Adhikary	55	Ms.Gangadevi Rimal
23	Ms. Nanimaiya Gautam	56	Shiva P. Adhikary
24	Ms. Saraswati Sharma	57	Dilli P. Rimal
25	Ms. Lila Adhikary	58	Padma N. Rimal
26	Ms.Saraswati Adhikary	59	Ram P. Rimal
27	Ms. Bhawani Rimal	60	Ms. Devaki Rimal
28	Ms.Sabitri Adhikary	61	Ms. Indra K. Rimal
29	Ms. Min K. Adhikary	62	Ms. Durga Rimal
30	Ms.Shanta Majhi	63	Ms. Sita Rimal
31	Ms. Ganga Adhikary	64	Keshav P. Rimal
32	Ms. Bhim Kumari Rimal	65	Bishnu P. Rimal
33	Ms. Shita Rimal		·

B. JICA Team Members

- 1 M. Fujioka
- 2 K. Iwata
- 3 Dr. P. Pradhan
- 4 K. Tanaka

C. DOI Counterparts

- M. B. Pradhan
- D. District Irrigation Office (DIO), Nuwakot
- 1 Keshab Prasad Adhikari Association Officer (A.O.)