# 1. Physical - Chemical Environmental Component

# (1) Geography

## 1) Topography

The study area is located in Surabaya municipality and adjacent area. The topographic characteristics of the area in Surabaya municipality is flat condition ranging from 0 m to 20 m altitude spreading to eastern, northern and southern part of the study area. The coastal area of the area is ranging from 1 m to 3 m above the sea level.

The other part of the area has also flat condition ranging from 10 m to 20 m altitude generally be found in the western and southern part of Surabaya which is Sawahan, Karangpilang, Benowo, Lakarsantri and Tandes Districts.

## 2) Geology

The characteristic of soil and rock geology in the study area and surrounding area is comprising some rock formation such as Alluvium, Kabuh, Pacungan, Sonde, Madura and Lidah Formations. The Alluvium formation covers large part of the area and approximately 70 % of Kotamadya Surabaya area. The characteristic and distribution of each formation are as follows:

#### a. Alluvium Formation

This alluvium formation consist of pebble, gravel, clay and shell fragment. This rock distribution obtain in a large part of Surabaya area covers Northern, Southern and Eastern part of the area.

#### b. Kabuh Formation

The Kabuh formation has characteristics such as sand stone and gravel, dark gray color and crease grain, crisscross, conglomerate. This rock formation is distributed in some part of Rungkut District, Wonocolo District, Tenggilis Mejoyo District, Wiyung District, Karang Pilang District, Lakarsantri District, Tandes District, Sukamanunggal District, Benowo District and Dukuh Pakis District.

# c. Pucangan Formation

Characteristics of the Pucangan formation are divided by two part of surface rock layer covers:

# i. Upper surface layer

- -Tuffaceous sand stone
- -Crisscross

# ii. Lower surface layer

- Tuffaceous stand stone, insertion with conglomerate and clay stone
- Mollusks fossil and plankton

This formation can be seen surrounding central, western and southern part of Surabaya which is between Kabuh formation and Lidah formation. Particularly this formation spreads to Dukuh Pakis District, Sawahan District, Sukamanunggal District, Tandes District, Benowo District, Wiyung District, Lokarsantri District, Karangpilang District and Gubung District.

# d. Lidah Formation

The Lidah formation is located in some part of Wonokromo District, Sawahan District, Dukuh Pakis District, Lakarsantri District, Karang Pilang District and Gubung District.

#### e. Madura Formation

This formation is located in Benowo District in Surabaya and Gresik Regency. The characteristics of this rock are:

# i. Upper surface layer

- -Limestone
- -Black or brown color

# ii. Lower surface layer

- -Limestone, consist of moliusks, slightly land
- -Yellowish white color

#### f. Sonde Formation

This formation is seen in around border of Surabaya and Gresik Regency. This rock compose of tuffaceous clay, diatome and scattered of limestone.

# 3) Type of Soil

The type of soil in the study area composes alluvium and greenmosol. The characteristics of each soil type are as follows:

#### a. Alluvium

The alluvium is formed by cause of sedimentation process from river flow with long period. This soil type is appropriate for agriculture due to consist of clay sedimentation mixed with fire sand, grayish black with good permeability. The alluvium is divided by three types which is hydromorph alluvium, dark gray alluvium and gray alluvium.

#### b. Greemosol

The greemosol is formed from natural rock crushing. This soil includes neutral acid, brown and gray, clay texture, poor drainage and porosity and possibility of erosion occurrence. This soil is seen only western and southern Surabaya, Gresik and Sidoarjo. The soil distribution by type in Surabaya and surrounding area is shown in following table.

Table A15.1.1 Soil Type in Surabaya

Type of Soil	Area (ha)	Percentage (%)
Alluvium	26,740.57	81.93
Grey alluvium	16,498.98	50.55
Dark Gray Alluvium	4,377.44	13.41
Hydromorph	5,864.14	17.97
Dark Gray Gremosol	5,896.12	18.07

Source: Regional Planning 2005

# (2) Climate

SMA (Surabaya Metropolitan Area) experiences a tropical climate with the dry season which is generally starting from May to October, and rainy season which is starting from November to April. An annual average temperature is 28.65°C, maximum monthly average temperature is 36.3°C in November and minimum monthly average temperature is 20.5°C in July.

According to the precipitation data, an annual precipitation is 1,270 mm which is about 90% concentrated in the rainy season from November to April. The maximum monthly precipitation is 261.8 mm in January and the minimum 5.6 mm in August.

The northerly monsoon, which prevails from November to February, brings heavy rain during rainy season. The southeast Trade Wind maintains slightly cooler in the dry season carrying milder air from Australian continent. Wind velocity normally ranges from 3 to 20 knots and is relatively constant throughout the year. Only once had 50 knots wind recorded and destructive winds are rare. The

northerly monsoon air stream is modified in Madura Island and the Java coast line.

Table A15.1.2 Temperature, Humidity and Precipitation

Month		erature C)	Precipitation	Hun	nidity (%)	Air Press	sure (Mbs)	Sunshine (%)	Rain Days
	Max	Min	(mm)	Max	Mia	Max	Min	(,-)	
January	34.6	23.7	287	97.0	56.0	1,011.6	1,007.8	62.0	29
February	34.7	24.0	274	97.0	53.0	1,011.4	1,008.0	54.0	23
March	33.2	23.4	375	95.0	58.0	1,013.3	1,007.0	42.0	27
<b>A</b> pril	33.8	24.3	128	97.0	54.0	1,012.4	1,008.9	65.0	10
May	34.3	22.1	8	89.0	42.0	1,013.6	1,009.7	89.0	4
Jun	33.3	21.4	•	89.0	45.0	1,013.8	1,009.4	92.0	1
July	32.8	20.5		89.0	42.0	1,014.3	1,010.9	94.0	
August	33.0	20.7		89.0	37.0	1,015.2	1,011.9	98.0	-
September	34.0	23.0	-	80.0	32.0	1,015.7	1,012.8	93.0	<u>.</u> .
October	36.2	23.2	•	83.0	27.0	1,015.5	1,011.3	85.0	<u>-</u>
November	36.3	25.8	18	83.0	38.0	1,013.4	1,009.5	83.0	8
December	34.8	24.4	180	93.0	52.0	1,013.3	1.009,0	60.0	22
Total		•	1,265	. <b>-</b>		-	•	-	124
Average	34.3	23.0	105	90.0	45.0	1,013.5	1,009.7	76.0	10

Source: Perak II Meteorological Station

Table A15.1.3 Wind Velocity and Wind Direction in Perak II Station

Month	Number of Wind Direction	Average of Wind Velocity (Knot)	Maximum of Wind Direction	Max. Wind Velocity (Knot)	Time in Max (WIB)	Number of Days
January	360	5.00	340	25.00	16:45	7
February	360	5.00	330	25.00	13:50	24
March	090	5.00	030	27.00	14:56	7
April	020	5.00	090	19.00	12:57	27
May	090	5 00	070	25,00	15:34	10
Jun	160	5.00	160	24.00	12:10	22
July	130	5.00	120	25.00	11:00	24
August	130	6.00	090	28.00	13:46	์ เก็
September	130	6.00	130	25.00	14:30	21
October	090	6.00	120	26.00	13:55	23
November	. 090	4.00	100	25.00	10:40	6
December	360	5.00	090	23.00	13:04	3
Average	- :	5.17		24.75		

Source: Perak II Meteorological Station

## (3) Hydrology and water quality

# 1) River System

Three river systems, Brantas river in the south, Bengawan Solo in the north and Lamong river in the west influence directly to the study area.

# **Brantas River**

The Brantas river is the second largest river in Java Island. A total length of main stream is approximately 320 km with 12,000 km² river basin. The annual precipitation in the basin is approximately 2,000 mm which receive about 80% from the rainy season between November to April. In Mojokerto, the main stream is divided into two rivers, Surabaya river and Porong river. The Porong river was constructed as the relief of the Brantas river.

The Surabaya river receives water from Brantas river through the gedeg and wirip sluices as well as Marmojo river which is an origin of Surabaya river.

## Surabaya/Wonokromo River

The Surabaya river has 604.4 km<sup>2</sup> river basin and 100 km length. The river bed slope ranges 1 to 300 in armojo basin to 1 to 4,200 near the Mas river mouth. Marmojo river floods every rainy season due to confluence water of the Kedung Sala river diverted from the Brantas river.

Surabaya river in Wonokromo divides into two rivers, Wonokromo river and Mas river. There is Gunungsari dam in upstream of diversion point which was constructed in 1981 and maintains water levels for 9 irrigations and industrial water intakes.

# Bengawan Solo River

Bengawan Solo river is the largest river in Java Island. The river runs approximately 600 km with approximately 16,100 km<sup>2</sup> basin area. There is two head of the river which are from southern mountain (G. Sewu) with 6,072 km<sup>2</sup> and 3,775 km<sup>2</sup> basin areas respectively up to confluence of the two rivers in Ngawi.

From this point, the Solo river flows to northward through Kendeng ridges to Cepu and then flows to eastward in wide extending alluvial flat reaching to northern Gresik. Finally the Solo river reaches Java Sea in northern Gresik. This river meanders and has a low slope which is only about 100 m above 500 km upstream from the estuary.

The Solo river has a small a flow capacity (500m³/sec) to carry floods from the basin. The river floods every rainy season and an average of 93,600 ha involving 55,000 houses are damaged by the floods. The river dikes in the Solo lower reach were constructed in the Dutch period. The dikes are seen on he right bank from Babad to Sumbayat of 75.6 km and the left bank from Renggel to Laren of 46.8 km.

# **Lamong River**

The Lamong river is located in Lamongan region that the upstream reaches boundary between Gresik and Surabaya in the lower reaches. The river has 830 km² basin area and 4,500 ha irrigation area. The river basin area is low food production area in East Java due to its dependence on rainfall. Although there are 53 water reservoir for irrigation purposes. It is reported that Kebomas area in Gresik and Benowo area in Surabaya area flood every year.

# 2) Local River Canal System

#### Drainage Area

The drainage areas in Surabaya is divided into 5 basins; Wonocolo, Rungkut, Sukolila, Central Tandes, and Karang Pilang. Each area drains to Wonokromo river through Surabaya river. There are 13 major drainage rivers and 7 major irrigation canals.

## Irrigation

Less than 4000 ha were irrigated in 1981 and these are 4 zone; Wonocolo-Rungkut, Sukolila, Northern of Jl. Tandes, and Rowowiyung area (Kedurus river basin). These irrigation canals will become drainage canals in accordance with urbanization.

#### Water Reservoir

Two water reservoirs are currently operated; Morokembangan Boezem and Jeblokan reservoirs. The Morokembangan Boezem is 83 ha and obtains water from Greges river and Jeblokan reservoir takes water from Jeblokan canal and drains to the sea through the Tambakwedi mitre gates.

#### Sea Dike

The sea dikes were constructed to prevent salt water intrusion from sea. Only 17 Km of sea dike is provided from northern to eastern coast of Surabaya. No sea dike has been constructed in western side of Mas River and southern part of Wonokromo river. A total 12 gates are provided in the sea dike including office.

Table A15.1.4 Drainage and Irrigation Canal Kotamadaya Surabaya

River / Canal	Length (Km)	Outlet Control	Main Irrigation Canals	Lengths (Km)
River			Menangal	4.8
1. Lamong	68.0	None	Kebonagung	13.1
2. Surabaya	28.7	Jagir Dam	Karah	3.8
3. Wonekromo	12.3	None	Kalibokor - Keputih	9,0
4. Kedurus	10.8	Jagir Dam	Kali Kepiting	6.4
5. Mas	13.9	Gubeng Dam	Jeblokan	7.7
6. Kadangan	40.0	None	Gunungsari	21.1
Drainage Canals	:		Sub Total	65.9
1. Perbatasan	10.0	None	Sub Irrigation System	Lengths (Km)
2. Wonocolo - Wonorejo	14.3	None	Kebonagung	17.9
3. Kalidami	6.1	Tide Gate	Kalibokor - Keputih	5.9
4. TAmbakwedi	5.7	Tide Gate	Jeblokan	4.0
5, Pegirian	8.4	Tide Gate	Rowo Wiyung Area	5.7
6. Greges	4.9	Tide Gate	Others	0.4
7. Anak	3.9		Sub Total	33.9
8. Simo	10.6	•	41	
9. Balong	4.6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		* +
10. Sememi	7.9	•		
Other Canals				
1. Lesser Canal	86.0	•		
2. Conduits/Ppclines	1,252.0			

# (2) Water Quality

Water quality sampling survey for surface water was carried out in six locations. The locations of water quality sampling are Busem River (II. Gresik), Kali Asemrowo ((II. Dupak), Kali Jemur Sari (II. Jemur Sari), Sungai Surabaya (II. Wonokromo). The measurement parameter covers Suspended Solid (SS), pH, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), BOD and COD. The measurements result were compared with the standard No. 413/1987 from the Governor of East Java. The water quality results from 6 (six) samples are shown in the following table.

Table A15.1.5 Water Quality Sampling Results

	Location	Date	Temperature	Cl (mg/l)	pH	DO (mg/l)	BOD (mg/l)	COD (mg/l)	SS (mg/l)
Class B				600	6-8.5	4	6	6.21	1,500
W5	Surabaya River	Sep.16,96	30	70.56	6.5	3.3	6.21	14.8	230
Class C				0.003	6-9	_		•	2,000
W2	Asem Rowo River (Jl.Dupak)	Sep.19,96	30	: " =	7.8		213.5	403	535
W3	Jemursari River (Jl.Jemursari)	Sep.19,96	30	•	6.8	3.1	6.21	15.7	231
W4	Kebonsari River (Jl.Gayung	Sep.16,96	30	<u>-</u>	6	2.5	9.15	8.1	
Class D	Kebonsari)				6.9				1,000-2,500
WI	Busem River (Jl.Gresik)	Sep.16,96	32		2	-	328.4	611.5	4,670
W6	Benowo River	Sep.17,96	32	•	7.4	2.6	10.22	22.5	1,840

Note. Class B: water treatment, Class C: Fishery and animal husbandry, Class D: Agriculture, Industry and Hydropower (East Java Government Regulation)

# (3) Air Quality

The ambient air quality sampling survey for proposed 5 routes was carried out from December 5 to December 20, 1996 in corroboration with BTKL Surabaya. The results are shown in tables compared with ambient air quality standard (East Java Government Regulation No.179/1996). The parameters required to be studied in the AMDAL study of this road development are Nitrogen Oxide (NOx), Carbon Monoxide

Table A15.1.6 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Location

Route	District Location	Sub District	District	Sampling Date
Route - 1	and all residences of these communications of the residence of the residen			
R1-1	Jl.Raya Surbaya - Gresik (Segoromadu Bridge)	Romokalisari	Gresik	05 December 1996
R1-2	Ji, Raya Sememi	Benowo	Surabaya	05 December 1996
R1-3	Jl. Raya Made Kidul,	Lakarsantri	Surabaya	06 December 1996
R1-4	II. Randengansari	Randengansari	Gresik	06 December 1996
R1-5	Jl. Tenaru	Driyorejo	Gresik	06 December 1996
R 1 - 6	Jl. Sidoarjo - Krian	Trosobo	Sidoarjo	07 December 1996
Route - 2				
R2-1	Jl. Tambak Asri - Jl Gresik	Asemrowo	Surabaya	09 December 1996
R 2 - 2	Jl. Asemrowo Bridge	Asemrowo	Surabaya	10 December 1996
R 2 - 3	II. Mayjen Sungkono	Sukomanunggal	Surabaya	11 December 1996
R 2 - 4	II. Karah Kebon Agung	Jambangan	Surabaya	12 December 1996
R2-5	JI. A. Yani	Gayungan	Surabaya :	13 December 1996
Route - 3				
R 3 - 1	II. Panjang Jiwo	Rungkut	Surabaya	20 December 1996
R 3 - 2	Jl. Wadung Asri	Waru	Sidoarjo	20 December 1996
Route - 4			y .	
R4-1	Ji. Raya Domas	Menganti	Gresik	19 December 1996
R4-2	Jl. Wonokromo	Wonokromo	Surabaya	16 December 1996
R4-3	Jl. Jagir Wonokromo	Wonocolo	Surabaya	17 December 1996
Route - 5				
R 5 - 1	Jl. Raya Kedamen	Kedamen	Gresik	19 December 1996
R5-2	Jl. Jemursari	Wonocolo	Surabaya	18 December 1996

Table A15.1.7 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Result of Route-1

			R	I -1	R1-2	R1-3	R1-4	R	1 - 5	R	1 - 6
Parameter	Unit	STD	7.00 -	18.00 -	12.00	12.00 -	10.00 -	12.00 -	07.00 -	12.00	18,00 -
			8.00	19.00	13.00	13.00	11.00	13.00	08.00	13.00	19.00
Sulfur dioxide	PPM	0.10	0.04800	0.05810	0.00850	0.02060	0.01380	0.02430	0.03220	0.04710	0.03040
(SO <sub>2</sub> )							1 1				
Carbon monoxide	PPM	20.00	4.28000	6.31000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	3.28000	2,16000	1.04000
(CO)	1 1										
Nitrogen oxide	PPM	0.05	0.00640	0.04790	0.00270	0.00018	0.00038	0.00120	0.00930	0.00720	0.00920
(NOx)							1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2				
Dust :	mg/m³	0.26	0.57000	0.81600	0.18200	0.15200	0.30400	0.22800	0.57000	0.65000	0.82800
Lead (Pb)	mg/m³	0.06	0.00037	0.00043	0.00084	0.00590	0,00000	0.00000	0.00046	0.00680	0.00071
Hydrocarbon (HC)	PPM	0.02	0.22000	0.36000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.18000	0.23000	0,40000
Temperature/	°C/%	-	31/66	30/72	31.5 / 62	26/84	30 / 78	28.5 / 88	29.5/74	32/68	28.5/81
humidity	1	5.5			1 .					,	
Wind velocity	knot/-		4.0 - 5.3	0.6 - 3.8	2.2 - 6.5	0.3 - 0.9	0.3 - 1.1	0.5 - 1.3	1.2 - 3.2	0.9 - 2.5	2.8 - 6.1
Wind direction		•	ws	ws	ES	N	s	U.	NW	NW	NW

Table A15.1.8 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Result of Route -2

			1	R 2 -1			R 2 · 2			R2-3	
· Parameter	Unit	Std	7 8.00	12, - 13,	18 19.	7 8.00	12 13.	18 19.	7 8.00	12 13.	18 19.
Sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	PPM	0.10	0.03580	0.04780	0.03090	0.02570	0.02440	0.01520	0.02100	0.02220	0.01580
Carbon monoxide (CO)	PPM	20.00	2.18000	1.36000	2.06000	4.33000	4.08000	5.20000	0.84000	1.32000	1.60000
Nitrogen oxide (NOx)	PPM	0.05	0.01380	0.01060	0.01440	0.05970	0.03300	0.01440	0.01410	0.02050	0.01710
Dust	mg/m³	0.26	0.84300	0.26300	0.39000	1.49800	1.27500	0.13300	0.46300	0.70600	0.15100
Lead (Pb)	mg/m³	0.06	0.00223	0.00199	0.00000	0.00135	0.00133	0.00083	0.00119	0.00152	0.00100
Hydrocarbon (HC)	PPM	0.02	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	0.01850	0.63000	0.38000	0.08000	0.23000	0,40000
Temperature/	°C/%	- :	32/77	27/84	26.5 /	30 / 68	32.5 / 64	26.5 / 84	29 / 84	31.5	25/90
humidity			: ;		88				1	70	ŀ
Wind velocity	knot/-	<b>-</b>	0.2 - 0.6	0.5 -	0.6 -	0.7 -	0.7	0.3 - 1.9	0.5 - 0.7	1.9 - 3.2	0.8 - 6.8
				1.2	1.2	1.6	1,8			٠.	
Wind direction	-	•	W	W	WS	SE	SE	SE	W	W	W

(Continued) R 2 -4 R2-2 7.00 - 8.00 | 12.00-13.00 | 18.00-19.00 7.00 - 8.00 [12.00-13.00 ]18.00-19.00 Parameter Unit Std 0.04590 0.03700 0.01840 Sulfur dioxide (SO2) PPM 0.10 0.03010 0.03450 0.02940 20.00 0.00000 0.00000 3.40000 3.20000 Carbon monoxide (CO) PPM 0.00000 2.80000 Nitrogen oxide (NOx ) 0.05 0.00930 0.00170 0.00990 0.00840 0.01780 0.01330 PPM 0.26000 0.03070 0.19700 0.08700 0.18100 0.04700 Dust mg/m³ 0.26 Lead (Pb) mg/m³ 0.06 0.00330 0.00160 0.00620 0.00470 0.00250 0.00480 0.00000 Hydrocarbon (HC) PPM 0.02 0.00000 0.00000 0.68000 0.35000 0.35000 °C/% 30/68 29.5 / 68 Temperature/ humidity 30 / 68 31/80 32/68 29 / 78 2.6 - 3.5 1.5 - 3.3 1.8 - 4.1 3.0 - 4.5 11.0 - 15.2 0.7 - 1.1Wind velocity knoU-Wind direction NE NE E E E

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

Table A15.1.9 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Result of Route-3

			R3	1	R3 - 2		
Parameter	Unit	Standard	7.00 - 8.00	12.00 - 13.00	7.00 - 8.00	12.00 - 13.00	
Sulfur dioxide (SO2)	PPM	0.10	0.0159	0.0127	0.0180	0.0089	
Carbon monoxide (CO)	PPM	20.00	0.02	0.040	0.0000	0.0000	
Nitrogen oxide (NOx )	PPM	0.05	0.0093	0.0075	0.0049	0.0053	
Dust	mg/m³	0.26	2.660	1.388	0.187	0.960	
Lead (Pb)	mg/m³	0.06	0.00181	0.00165	0.0014	0.0013	
Hydrocarbon (HC)	PPM	0.02	0,000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Temperature/humidity	°C/%		29.5 / 68	35 / 50	29.5 / 70	35 / 54	
Wind velocity	knoV-	- : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	1.2 - 2.3	3.5 - 9.3	4.5 - 11.4	4.2 - 9.6	
Wind direction		Jan 19	NE	NE	NE	NE	

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

Table A15.1.10 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Result of Route-4

			R4-1		R4-2			R4-3	
Parameter	Unit	Standard	12. 13.00	7.00 - 8.00	12 13.00	18 19.00	7.00 - 8.00	1213.	18 19.
Sulfur dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	PPM	0.10	0.1300	0.0184	0.0345	0.0294	0.0183	0.0298	0.0221
Carbon monoxide (CO)	PPM	20.00	0.0400	3.40	3.20	2.80	0.08	0.051	0.038
Nitrogen oxide (NOx )	PPM	0.05	0.0113	0.0084	0.0178	0.0133	0.0100	0.0060	0.0029
Dust	mg/m³	0.26	0.169	0.087	0.181	0.047	0.190	0.187	0.094
Lead (Pb)	mg/m³	0.06	0.00077	0.0047	0.0025	0.0048	0.00037	0.00040	0.00050
Hydrocarbon (HC)	PPM	0.02	0.0000	0.68	0.52	0.35	0.0000	0.00000	0.0000
Temperature/ humidity	°C/%		30/70	31/80	32/68	29/78	31/62	31/62	26/90
Wind velocity	knot/-	•	2.4 - 4.3	3.0 - 4.5	11.0 -	0.7 - 1.1	1.0 - 1.6	1.2 - 1.8	0.7 - 1.0
Wind direction	-		sw	E	15.2 E	E	E	E	E

Table A15.1.11 Ambient Air Quality Sampling Result of Route-5

			R	5 - 1		R 5 - 2	
Parameter	Unit	Standard	7.00 - 8.00	12.00 - 13.00	7.00 - 8.00	12.00 - 13.00	18.00 - 19.00
Sulfur dioxide (SO2)	PPM	0.10	0.1300	0.1420	0.0096	0.0238	0.0336
Carbon monoxide (CO)	PPM	20.00	0.00	0.000	0.15	0.22	0.34
Nitrogen oxide (NOx )	PPM	0.05	0.0113	0.0078	0.0071	0.0071	0.0105
Dust	mg/m3	0.26	0.169	0,161	0.785	0.810	1,037
Lead (Pb)	mg/m3	0.06	0.00078	0.00065	0.00092	0.00118	0.00277
Hydrocarbon (HC)	PPM	0.02	0.0000	0.0000	0.0400	0.0210	0.0160
Temperature/humidity	°C/%	•	30 / 70	33.5 / 64	29.5 / 68	30/40	27/80
Wind velocity	knot/-	-	2.4 - 4.3	2.2 - 63	1.3 - 1.6	4.0 - 8.8	0.5 - 1.8
Wind direction			SW	w	NE	NE	NE

#### (4) Noise

The noise level measurement in the proposed routes was carried out in corroboration with BTKL Surabaya. These samples have been taken in the same location of air quality sampling. The noise level (dB(A)) was measured for one hour of three times a day such as morning period (7:00-9:00), noon period (12:00-13:00) and evening period (17:00 - 19:00). The results are summarized and shown in following table.

**Table A15.1.12 Noise Sampling Results** 

Annual Control of the			
Sampling	Morning	Noon	Evening
Location	7:00 - 9:00 dB(A)	12:00 - 13:00dB(A)	17:00 - 19:00dB(A)
R 1-1	72 - 86	72 - 85	73 - 86
R 1-2	72 - 86	56 - 66	73 - 86
R 1-3	72 - 86	53 - 55	73 - 86
R 1-4	72 - 86	58 -61	73 - 86
R 1-5	72 - 86	61 - 64	73 - 86
R 1-6	75 - 86	76 - 85	76 - 85
R 2-1	69 - 75	73 · 82	74 - 85
R 2-2	71 - 76	69 - 75	72 - 76
R 2-3	72 - 75	72 - 75	72 - 75
R 2-4	66 - 70	65 - 70	68 - 73
R 2-5	72 - 82	75 - 84	74 - 81
R 3-1	68 - 76	68 - 77	·
R 3-2	65 - 72	<del>-</del>	•
R 4-1	•	60 - 68	•
R 4-2	69 - 73	73 - 77	71 - 74
R 4-3	70 - 75	71 -75	68 - 71
R 5-1	72 - 79	67 - 72	•
R 5-2	67 - 83	69 - 82	69 - 81

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

## 2. Biological Component

#### (1) Flora

Present condition of flora was surveyed and provided information about 4 vegetation groups, Cultivated plant, Garden, Shade tree, Wild tree, based on their use and habitat in the study location. The description of species composition, number of habitat vegetation are shown as follows:

# 1) Cultivated Plant

Cultivated plant habitats are dry field and rice field dependent on rain in the study area. Composition of vegetation species in dry field consist of "palawija crops" (crops planted as second crops in dry seasons), material tree and industrial tree. The material tree is planted for building material, while the industrial tree is planted for industrial raw material.

Table A15.1.13 Diversity and Abundance Species of Vegetation at Dry Field

No.	Indonesian Latin Name Name		Abundance	Note		
1. 2.	Jati Ki hvjan	Tectona grandis Samanea saman	4 3	5 - Abundant (Frequency 80 - 100 %) 4 - Many (Frequency 60 - 79 %)		
3.	Mangga	Mangifera indica	4	3 - Moderate (Frequency 40 - 59 %)		
4.	Johar	Cassia siamea	3	2 - Less (Frequency 20 - 39 %)		
5.	Pilang	Acasia leucophloa	3	1 - Wide (Frequency < 20 %)		
6.	Kapok	Ceiba petandra	3	1 - Wide (stequency < 20 %)		
7.	Bambu haur	Bambusa vulgaris	4	e e		
8.	Mimba	Azandirachta indica	3	• .		
9.	Kesambi	Schleichera oleaceae	: 2			
10.	Lamtere	Leucaena leucocephola	2			
11.	Kawista	Feroniella lucida	3			
12.	Kijaran	•	2			

# 2) The Garden Vegetation

The garden vegetation distributes to residential area composing of fruits, decorated plant and shade tree. The species diversity and abundance of garden vegetation in the study area are shown in following table:

Table A15.1.14 Diversity and Abundance Species of The Garden Vegetation

No	Species	Latin Name	Abundance	Note
1:	Mangga	Mangifera indica	5	5 - Abundant (Frequency 80 - 100 %)
2	Jambu air	Spzygium aqueum	4	4 - Many (Frequency 60 - 79 %)
3	Pisang	Musa paradisiaca	3	3 - Moderate (Frequency 40 - 59 %)
4	Sarikaya	Annona Squamusa	3	2 - Less (Frequency 20 - 39 %)
5	Jambu batu	Psidiun guajava	3	1 - Wide (Frequency < 20 %)
6	Nangka	Artocarpus integra	4	
7	Belimbing	Cicca acida	3	
8	Cereme	Averrhoca corambola	3	
9	Kersen	Muntingia calaboza	3	
10	Sawo duren	Chrysop hyllem cainilao	2	
11	Kawista	Feroniella lucida	1	
12	Kapok	Ceiba petandra	2	

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

# 3) Shade Tree

The shade tree has planted in road shoulder. Results of survey, 23 species are identified with 2500 individual trees. The dominant species is angasana (Pterocarpus indicus), Lamtoro gung (Leucaena leucocephalae), Glodogan tiang (Polyalthia excelsa) and Foumis tree (Acacia auriculiformis).

## 4) Wild Tree

The wild tree habitants distributes in none use land, swamp and river banks. The species diversity is also shown in the table.

## (2) Fauna

The wild animal (fauna) observed in study areas is mainly birds such as Passern montanus, Pycnonotus avrigaster, Streptopelia chinensis, Sturnupostor jala, Egretta ibis invermedia. There are no important or endangered species.

# 3. Socioeconomic and Socio-culture Component

# (1) Demography

# 1) Population, House Hold and Density

Number of population and density in the study area which consists of Benowo, Lakarsantri, Driyorejo and Taman District are shown in following table.

Table A15.1.15 Demographic Condition in the Subject Area

	District	Sub District	Area (Km²)	Number Population	Population Density
Route -1	Surabaya	Benowo	41,04	35,266	859
		Lakarsantri	34,19	58,431	1,709
:	Gresik	Driyorejo	51,30	50,001	975
1. : 1	Sidoarjo	Taman	28,83	110,589	3,836
Route -2			3,31	20,126	6,080
		Suko Manunggal	9,21	75,401	8,187
		Dukuh Pakis	5,99	44,409	7,414
		Wiyung	11,52	33,332	2,893
	÷	Jambangan	3,84	28,627	7,455
Y		Gayungan	6,23	35,207	5,651
Route -3	Surabaya		17,45	51,937	2,976
		Tenggilis Mejoyo	5,90	38,525	6,530
The second second	1	Gunung Anyar	12,36	26,066	2,109
	Sidarjo	Waru	27,72	116,093	4,188
		Sedati	60,57	42,849	6,74
Route 4	Sidarjo	Menganti	-	47,571	
	Surabaya	Lokarsantri	34,19	58,431	1,709
- 1	,-	Suko Manunggal	9,21	75,401	8,187
1, 11		Dukuh Pakis	5,99	44,409	7,414
		Wonokromo	6,90	171,843	2,905
		Wonocolo	6,12	57,311	9,365
		Tenggilis Mejoyo	5,90	38,525	6,530
		Rungkut	17,45	51,937	2,976
Route -5	Gresik	Kedamean	65,96	47,538	721
	Surabaya	Driyorejo	51,30	50,001	975
	20110274	Lakarsantri	34,19	58,431	1,709
	1 .	Wiyung	11,52	33,332	2,893
		Karang Pilang	7,73	44,026	5,696
		Jambangan	3,84	28,627	7,455
- + ± 1. 1		Gayungan	6,23	35,207	5,651
		Wenocolo	6,12	57,311	9,365
	1.5	Tenggilis Mejoyo	5,90	38,525	6,530

Source: Surabaya in Figure 1994, Kabupaten Gresik in Figure 1994 and Kabupaten Sidoarjo in Figure 1994.

# 2) Education

Information of education level in the study area was obtained from interview survey and type and number of education facilities in the study area are also surveyed. Results of the survey are summarized in following tables.

# Table A15.1.16 Education Level

Table A15.1.17 Education Facility

· ·			A Committee of the Comm				
Education Level	No.	%		District	Elementary	Junior	Senior High
oute -1 Not school / Not graduated	3	6.0	-		School	High	School
Elementary school	26	52.0		- In		School	
Junior High School	9	18.0	Route -1	Benowo	28	3	
Senior High School	11	22.0		Lakarsantri	36	10	_
Academy / University	1	2.0		Driyorejo	33	. 6	•
Total	50	100.0		Taman	52	15	12
oute -2 Not School / Not Graduate	4	4.4	-	Total	<del> </del>		
Elementary School	17	18.9	Route -2	Asemrowo	17	4	
Junior High School	14	15.6	:	Suko Manunggai	28	12	
Senior High School	18	53.3		Dukuh Pakis	28	10	5
Academy / University	7	7.8		Wiyung	17	4	3
Total	90	100.0		Jambangan	15	6	2
oute -3 Not School / Not Graduate	4	5.6		Gayungan	16	9	· •
Elementary School	25	34.7		Total	179	67	29
Junior High School	13	18.1	Route -3	Rungkut	23	12	6
Senior High School	24	33.3		Tenggilis Mejoyo	19	6	5
Academy / University	7	8.3		Gunung Anyar	11	6	•
Total	72	100.0		Waru	44	2	8
oute -4 Not School / Not Graduate	10	10.2	•	Sedati	21	5	4
Elementary School	35	35.7		Total	118	39	23
Junior High School	: 18	18.4	Route -4	Menganti	40	5	2
Senior High School	31	31.6		Lakarsantri	36	- 10	4
Academy / University	7	4.1		Suko Manunggal	28	12	7
Total	98	100.0	•	Dukuh Pakis	28	: 10	5
oute -5 Not School / Not Graduate	6	6.7	•	Wonokromo	80	23	10
Elementary School	27	30.0		Wonocolo	29	11	8
Junior High School	14	15.5		Tenggilis Mejoyo	19	6	5
Senior High School	35	38.9		Rungkut	23	12	6
Academy / University	8	8.9		Total	283	89	47
Total	90	100.0	Route -5	Kedamean	37	3	3
Source: Surabaya in Figure 1994, K	abunaten	Gresik in		Driyorejo	33	6	3
Figure 1994 and Kabupaten Sidoarje			* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Lakarsantri	36	10	4
			1	Wiyung	17	4	3
			1 1	Karang Pilang	3	11	
•				Jambangan	29	11	8
				Gayungan	23	12	6
				Wonocolo	29	. 13	8
	-			Tenggilis Mejoyo	19	6	5
•				Total	232	65	28

Source: Surabaya in Figure 1994, Kabupaten Gresik in Figure 1994 and Kabupaten Sidoarjo in Figure 1994.

#### 3) Social Structure

Population in the study area is divided into two groups which is semi urban population and urban population. The semi urban population is population group which stay in outskirts of city or village and people behave lower education. Whereas the urban population group comprise of middle class who stay in luxurious housing complex or in commercial center with more individual life pattern and commercial characteristics.

Certain traditions particularly related to faith and religion are still seen in the part of population of the study area such as ceremonial meal, visiting in sacred places, and good relationship with older and leader or Moslem leader. This aspect is generally seen in the village area.

## 4) Social Group

There exist social organization or group such as PKK, Dharma Wanita, Rukun Kematian, Jimpitan, Arisan, Kerja Bhakti (Gotong Royong), Karang Taruna, Kadarkum, LKMD, Kelompok Simulasi P4, Remaja Masjid and religion groups (recitation of the Koran praying) in the study area. Activity and function of PKK and Dharma Wanita are ladies program for activities of Arisan (social gathering), Posyandu and others. Likewise with other organization or group support existence of inhabitants.

# (2) Economic Activities

Economic activity in Kotamadya Surabaya, Gresik and Sidoarjo Regencies is supported by various business sector. Regarding to GRDP of Kotamadya Surabaya in 1993, the dominant sector is commerce at 22.39 %, industry and processing sector at 19.23 % and Bank and Financing sector 15.60 %. The dominant sector to contribution of GRDP in Gresik Regency in 1992 is industry and processing sector at 31.86 %, mines and excavating sector at 22.25 % and commercial sector 13.13 %. Then, in case of GRDP of Sidoarjo Regency in 1994, the dominant sector is processing industry at 51.49 %, commercial sector at 18.46 % and agriculture sector at 7.40 %.

## 1) Livelihood and Income

Based on interview result in the study area, livelihood aspects are responded as shown in following tables.

**Table A15.1.18 Occupation** 

Table A15.1.19 Income level

•	Compating	Number	%	·	Income (Rp./month)	Number	%
Route -1	Occupation Civil / Military / Retire	INDITION	16.0	Route -1	< 100,000	0	0.0
Koute -1			28.0	Koule -1	100,000 - 300,000	32	64.0
	Private Employee		14.0		300,000 - 600,000	17	34.0
	Entrepreneur		20.0		600,000 - 1,000,000	1	2.0
	Sales / Trade		14.0		1,000,000 - 2,000,000	. 0	2.0
	Farmer Fisherman		0.0		> 2,000,000	0	0
	Labors		8.0		Total	50	100.0
	Total	50	100.0	Route -2	< 100,000	0	0.0
		,	14.5	Route -z	100,000 - 300,000	52	57.8
Route -2	Civil / Military / Retire	13			300,000 - 600,000	28	31.1
	Private Employee	40	44.5	100		20 .	10.0
	Entrepreneur	12	13.3		600,000 - 1,000,000	. 9 1	1.1
	Sales / Trade	21	23.3		1,000,000 - 2,000,000	0	0.0
	Farmer	0	0.0	i i	> 2,000,000	90	100.0
e i Talan Maga	Fishery	0	0.0.		Total		
	Labors	4	4,4	Route -3	< 100,000	0	0.0
<u> </u>	Total	90	100.0	- 1 1	100,000 - 300,000	29	40.3
Route -3	Civil / Military / Retired	0	0.0	i **	300,000 - 600,000	38	528
*	Private Employee	29	40.3		600,000 - 1,000,000	4	5.5
	Entrepreneur	38	52.8		1,000,000 - 2,000,000	1	1.4
	Sales / Trade	4	5.0		> 2,000,000	• 0	0.0
	Farmer	. 1	1.4		Total	72	100.0
a talan in	Fishery	0	0.0.	Route -4	< 100,000	1	1.0
	Labors	0	0.0		100,000 - 300,000	- 61	62.2
1.	Total	72	100.0	: :	300,000 - 600,000	27	27.6
Route -4	Civil / Military / Retire	15	15.3		600,000 - 1,000,000	7	7.1
	Private Employee	29	29,6		1,000,000 - 2,000,000	. 2	2.1
	Entrepreneur	29	29.6		> 2,000,000	0 -	0.0
	Sales / Trade	12	12.2		Total	. 98	100.0
	Farmer	4	4.1	Route -5	< 100,000	6	6.7
	Fishery	0	0.0.		100,000 - 300,000	41	45.6
	Labors	9	9.2		300,000 - 600,000	29	32.2
100	Total	98	100.0		600,000 - 1,000,000	12	13.3
Route -5	Civil / Military / Retire	18	20.0	* .	1,000,000 - 2,000,000	2	2.2
10000	Private Employee	29	32.2		> 2,000,000	ō	0.0
	Entrepreneur	21	23.3		Total	90	100.0
·	Sales / Trade	10	11.1		1 0 001		
1	Farmer	7	7.8				
1		0	0.0.			1	
	Fishery	5	5.6				
	Labor						
	Total	90	100.0		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		

# 2) Ownership Status and Land Price

Land ownership, land price and building condition in the study area were asked on the interview survey. Land ownership in this area is mainly categorized as certificate land ownership, Petok D and HGB shown in following table.

Table A15.1.20 Type of Housing and House Condition

· <del>····</del>	Type of Housing	Number	%	Housing Condition	Number	%
Route -1	Masonry	40	80.0	Good	31	62.0
Konte - I	Half masonry	. 4	8.0	Fair -	18	36.0
	Wood		10.0	Bad	10	2.0
	Bamboo	, J	2.0	Ded	•	2.0
	Total	50	100.0	Total	50	100.0
Route -2	Masonry	84	93.3	Good	68	75.6
***	Half Masonry	5	5.6	Fair -	22	24.4
	Wood	1	1.1	Bad	0	0.0
	Bamboo	: 0	0.0	•		
	Total	90	100.0	Total	90	100.0
Route -3	Masonry	68	94.4	Good	54	75.0
100	Half Masonry	0	0.0	Fair	18	25.0
	Wood	4	5.6	Bad	• • •	0.0
	Bamboo	0	0.0	•		1000
	Total	72	100.0	Total	72	100.0
Route -4	Masonry	77	78.6	Good	67	68.4
	Half Masonry	15	15.3	Fair	31	31.6
	Wood	₹ 5	5.1	Bad	0 .	0.0
	Bamboo	1	1.0			1
	Total	98	100.0	Total	98	100.0
Route -5	Masonry	78	86.7	Good	57	63.3
	Half Masonry	6	6.7	Fair	33	36.7
	Wood	5	5.5	Bad	0	0.0
	Bamboo	1	1.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	1.3
	Total	98	100.0	Total	90	100.0

Table A15.1.21 Land Ownership and Land Price

	Route-1	Route-2	Route-3	Route-4	Route-5
Land Ownership	Petok D 94%	Petok D 45% HGB 24%	Petok D 67% HGB 24%	Petok D 46% HGB 31%	Petok D 48% HGB 23 %
Average Land/ building Area	372m <sup>2</sup> /130 m <sup>2</sup>	225 m <sup>2</sup> /170 m <sup>2</sup>	302 m <sup>2</sup> /168 m <sup>2</sup>	178 m²/98 m²	270 m <sup>2</sup> /117 m <sup>2</sup>
Market Land	50,000 - 250,000	50,000 - 100,000	100,000 - 800,000	50,000-150,000	50,000-150,000
Price in Ro./so.m	1				

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team Note: Petok D: Citified Own Land, HGB: Rented Land from the Government

# (3) Land use

Land use pattern in the study area is classified by 8 (eight) types in accordance with National Land Agency (BPN). The type of land use is shown in following table.

Table A15.1.22 Land Use Type

No.	Land Use Type		Description	
<del></del>	Paddy field	Area of wet agricult	ure land or often flooding.	<del> </del>
2	Village		group which proposed to permanent.	
3	Cemetery		clean seen by gravestone and no ider	
4	Unused land	Uncultivated land		
5	Dry land	Dry agriculture land permanent cultivate.	d which is not irrigated but planted v	with various plant and
6	Industry / Factory	Areal which located	industry or factory building.	
7	Public Services		hopping center and other activities	s which related with
8	Pond / Salt field	services Land which is used (	for salt industries or pond activity.	

The land use data is obtained from map of kali Surabaya phase II and field survey and the area of land utilization is measured by planimeter. The results are shown in following table.

Characteristics of land use in SMA is that urbanization activities has been expanded to north and south axis along the arterial road in the past reaching to Sidarjo, while urbanization trend has recently been growing to the western part reaching to Gresick by large scale of housing development.

Table A15.1.23 Land Use Classification

Land use Type		Route (km²)		Route (km²)		Route - (km²)	3	Route (km²		Route (km²	-
1. Paddy Field		2.00	46%	0.93	28%	0.05	3%	2.15	38%	1.87	41%
2. Residential		0.20	5%	0.78	24%	1.30	67%	1.05	19%	1.30	29%
3, non-use Land	1.5	0.50	11%	0.35	11%	0.06	3%	0.40	7%	0.25	5%
4. Public Services		0.00	0%	0.15	5%	0.15	8%	0.60	11%	0.20	4%
5. Industry	i di	0.08	2%	0.20	6%	0.18	9%	0,09	2%	0.00	0%
6. Cemetery		0.00	0%	0.08	2%	0.12	6%	0.05	1%	0.00	0%
7. Dry Land		0.20	5%	0.28	9%	0.08	4%	0.85	15%	0.78	17%
8. Pond/Salt Field		1.30	30%	0.43	13%	0.00	0%	0.04	1%	0.00	0%
9. Rivers	1	0.10	2%	0.07	2%	0.00	0%	0.40	7%	0.15	3%
Total		4.38	100%	3.27	100%	1.94	100%	5.63	100%	4.55	100%

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

# (4) Traffic Volume

Traffic volume in the study area was surveyed in each proposed routes as same as air quality sampling points. The traffic volume survey is carried out 24 hour period utilizing category of East Java transport mode. Results of the survey was converted to PCU number based on following coefficient

Table A15.1.24 Coefficient for PCU Conversion

Mode	Coefficient
- bicycle	0.5
- public car /motorcycle	1
- truck< 5 ton	2
- truck > 5 ton	2.5
- bus	3
- truck > 10 ton	3
- row engine vehicle	7

Table A15.1.25 Traffic Volume

Route	Location	Point	Vehicle Total (Unit)	Passenger per Car Unit	Average Daily Traffic
Walter Bridge			<u> </u>		(PCU/hr)
Route -1	Surabaya - Gresik	R1-1	29,881	47,904	1,996
1.	Benowo - Tandes	R1-2	11,032	19,534	814
	Malang - Made	R1-3	2,968	4,890	204
	Lakarsantri - Driyorejo	R1-4	6,414	13,268	553
	Krian - Surabaya	R1-5	40,492	68,071	2,806
Route -2	Tambak Asri - Kalianak	R2-1	8,,182	30,688	1,278
100	Gerbang Tol - Dupak	R2-2	77,666	153,661	6,403
	HR. Mohamad - Mayjen Sungkono	R2-3	79,846	105,943	4,414
	Waru - Surabaya	R2-4	53,376	97,842	4,077
	A. Yani - Taman Raya	R2-5	66,175	99,039	4,127
Route -3	Rungkut-Panjang Jiwo	R3-1	80,805	108,712	4,529
	Sedati - Rungkut	R3-2	51,759	99,801	4,158
Route -4	Ringkang-Putat Lor	R4-1	9,252	18,078	753
	Darmo-Wonokromo	R4-2	177,609	215,911	8,996
	Panjang Jiwo-Jagir	R4-3	56,689	75,393	3,141
Route -5	Driyorejo-Bringkang	R5-1	8,162	16,893	704
	Jemursari-Prapen	R5-2	77,133	98,556	4,107

# (5) Public Facilities and Utilities

# 1) Water Supply Network

The water supply is facilitated in the study area by Kodya Surabaya (City Water Supply: PDAM) while a small part of the area uses a well water. The housing complex surrounding the study area such as Pondok Candra Indah, Mulyosari and others is using supplied water from PDAM. However adjacent area of the housing development such as Tabakkoso village, welled water is used for bathing and washing.

## 2) Drainage Network

Growth of urbanization in Surabaya is very fast and causes land use changes from agriculture to other land use such as housings. This area has used existing drainage both agriculture and irrigation drainage.

# 3) Electricity Supply Network

Electricity network from PLN in the study area is already facilitated.

# (6) Archaeological and Cultural Heritage

Each municipality or province has stipulated cultural heritage in the Structure Plan. In Surabaya municipality, there remain historical buildings which were built in colonial era and some of heroism statures or independent activity places when the people were involved during World War II. In addition natural heritage such as beach, river side and gorge are included in the structural plan. The cultural and natural heritage is shown in following table.

Table A15.1.26 List of Cultural Heritage

Category	Title	Location
History of City Development	Kalimas Harbor	North Surabaya
History of Nation Heroes	Building and Location of History Focus and History Fragment I, II & III.	Jembatan Merah ("Red Bridge") Area
Sosio - Culture of Community	Old house of worships. Old cemeteries. Old "Kampung" (Hamlet). Arabic, Chinese Ethnic Group Kampung. Fisherman Kampung. Etc.	Ampel Area. Kembang Kuning Area, Peneleh Cemetery. Kraton, Peneleh, etc. Ampel Area, etc. Kenjeran, Sukolilo.
Science	Mpu Tantular Museum Loka Jala Crana Museum DHD '45 Museum. Etc.	Wonokromo Area, Morokrembangan Area, Mayjen, Sungkono Area,
Nature Tourism.	Kenjeran Beach. Jurang Kupang. River Area. Etc.	Kenjeran Area. Benowo Area. Jl. Kayun Area.

Source: Fakta dan Analisa RTRW Surabaya 2005

## (7) Public Health

#### 1) Major Disease

Based on the major disease information in the study area which recorded by Puskesmas for all age group in Surabaya Municipality in 1994 was obtained. Three (3) main diseases type are identified such as Upper Respiratory Tract (ISPA) at 38.3 %, Muscles System at 13.5 % and Diarrhea at 8.2 %. In Sidoarjo Regency, main disease types are Upper Respiratory Tract (ISPA) at 19.21 %, other ISPA diseases at 10.73 % and Muscle System at 9.40 %. Whereas for Gresik Regency, there is no available data of main diseases.

The information of disease type that ever or often suffered by inhabitants in the study area are explained in follows table:

Table A15.1.27 Type of Suffered Diseases

**Table A15.1.28 Water Supply Source** 

									•
	Kind of Diseases	No.	%	<del></del>	<del></del>	Drink	ing Water	Washi	ng Water
Route -1	Dianhea	0	0.0			No.	%	No.	%
	Dysentery, Typhmus, Cholera	2	4.0	Route -1	PAM	24	48.0	7	14.0
	Skin Diseases	0	0.0	* *	Rain Water	- 0	0.0	0	4.0
	Flu and Throat Diseases	16	32.0		Well	26	52.0	42	84.0
	Others	- 9	18.0		River	0	0.0	0	0.0
	No Diseases	23	46.0		Water Spring	0	0.0	1	2.0
	Total	50	100.0		Total	50	100.0	50	100.0
Route -2	Diarrhea	0	0.0	Route -2	PAM	78	86.7	43	47.8
	Dysentery, Typhus, Cholera	- 1	1.1		Rain Water	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Skin Diseases	- 22	24.4		Well	12	13.3	47	52.2
:	Flu and Throat Diseases	- 35	38.9	1.1	River	0	0.0	0	0.0
•	Others	7	7.8		Water Spring	0	0.0	0	0.0
*	No Diseases	25	27.8		Total	90	100.0	90	100.0
	Total	90	100.0	Route -3	PAM	65	90.3	7	9.7
Route -3	Diarrhea	0	1.4		Rain Water	0	0.0	: 0	0.0
	Dysentery, Typhus, Cholera	9	12.5		Well	7	9.7	65	90.3
	Skin Diseases	15	20.8		River	0	0.0	. 0	0.0
	Flu and Throat Diseases	- 12	16.7		Water Spring	0	0.0	Û	0.0
	Others	7	9.7		Total	72	100.0	72	100.0
A 1 4 4	No Diseases	28	38.9	Route -4	PAM	65	- 66.3	28	28.6
	Total	72	100.0		Rain Water	0	0.0	0	0.0
Route -4	Diarrhea	1	0.1		Well	28	28.6	65	66.3
	Dysentery, Typhus, Cholera	3	1.0		River	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Skin Diseases	6	6.2		Water Spring	5	5.1	5	5.11
	Flu and Throat Diseases	12	12.2	100	Total	98	100.0	90	100.0
	Others	14	14.3	Route -5	PAM	64	71.1	24	26.7
	No Diseases	64	65.3		Rain Water	0	0.0	0	0.0
	Total	: 98	100.0		Well	25	27.8	65	72.2
Route -5	Diarrhea	5	5.5	4.0	River	0	0.0	0	0.0
1	Dysentery, Typhus, Cholera	1	□ 1.1		Water Spring	1.	1,1	1	1.1
- 17	Skin Diseases	6	6.7		Total	90	100.0	90	100.0
-1	Flu and Throat Diseases	15	16.7	Source	e : Environment	al Condi	tion Survey	by JICA S	
	Others	28	31.1						-, -,
<u> </u>	No Diseases	35	38.9		٠				
	Total	90	100.0	+ 1	•	-			

# 2) Sanitation and Waste Disposal Management

Present conditions of sanitation and waste disposal management are surveyed in accordance with interview survey. The results of the survey is summarized in following table.

# 3) Type and Number of Medical Facilities

Type and number of existing health facilities in the study area is shown in following table.

Table A15.1.29 Number of Medical Facilities

				Health Facilities (u	nit)	
Kodya / Kabupaten	7 7.	neral pital	Maternity Hospital	Local Government Clinic	Mother & Child Medical Clinic	Pharmacy
Surabaya		23	28	96	103	189
Gresik		4	3	103	N/A	- 13
Sidoario	. :	6	5	83	6	46

Source: Surabaya in Figure 1994, Kabupaten Gersik in Figure 1994 and Kabupaten Sidoarjo in Figure 1994.

Table A15.1.30 Garbage Management and Sewerage Condition

:	Garbage Management	Number	%	Savage Condition	Number	%
Route -1	Burn	32	64.0	Own	50	100,0
	Garbage Can	15	30.0	Own Neighbor	0	0.0
	Land Fill	3	6.0	Public Sewerage	0	0.0
	Others	0	0.0	Others	. 0	0.0
	Total	50	100.0	Total	50	100.0
Route -2	Burn	1	1.1	Own	84	93,4
:	Garbage / Can	87	96.7	Own Neighbor	4	4.4
	Land Fill	1	1.1	Public Sewerage	. 1	1.1
	Others	1	1.1	Other	1	3.1
	Total	90	100	Total	90	100
Route -3	Burn	18	25.0	Own	70	97.2
	Garbage / Can	46	63.9	Own Neighbor	0	0.0
	Land Fill	8	11.1	Public Sewerage	2	2.8
1 -	Others	. 0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
	Total	72	100	Total	72	100
Route -4	Burn	22	22.4	Own	97	99.0
	Garbage / Can	73	74.5	Own Neighbor	. 0	0.0
	Land Fill	3	3.1	Public Sewerage	1.1	1.0
į.,	Others	. 0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
<u> </u>	Total	98	100	Total	78	100
Route -5	Burn	23	25.6	Own	89	98.9
	Garbage / Can	67	74.4	Own Neighbor	0	0.0
	Land Fill	0	0.0	Public Sewerage	1	1.1
	Others	0	0.0	Other	0	0.0
	Total	90	100	Total	90	100

**Table A15.1.31 Number of Paramedical Facilities** 

Kodya / Kabupaten			Nun	nber		1 1
	Specia-list dr	General dr.	Teeth dr.	Nurse	Midwife	Others
Surabaya	N/A	98	79	144	164	616
Gresik	0	51	20	269	97	56
Sidearjo	43	74	45	432	252	459

Source: Surabaya in Figure 1994, Kabupaten Gersik in Figure 1994 and Kabupaten Sidoarjo in Figure 1994.

# (8) Community Perception

Regarding project perception, approval or disapproval to the proposed projects, reason of disapproval, compensation condition, etc., were asked to inhabitants in the study area. The results are summarized in following table.

Table A15.1.32 Distribution of Project Information and Source of Information

No.		Т	Rou	te-I	Rou	tc-2	Rou	te-3	Rou	te-4	Rou	tc-5
			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1.	Not yet know		18	36.0	62	68.9	34	97,2	54	55.1	54	60.0
2.	Already know, form:	- 1				.1				155	1.1.1	
	a. District / Village		23	46.0	8	8.9	7	9.7	21	21.4	17	18.9
	b. Radio / TV		1	2.0	18	20.0	2	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	c. Neighbor / Friend		8	16.0	2	2.2	29	40.3	23	23.5	17	18.9
	d. News Paper		0	0.0					. 0	0.0	3	2.2
	Total		50	100.0	90	100.0	72	100.0	98	100.0	91	100.0

Table A15.1.33 Public Perception on the Project

No.	Response and Reason	Rou	e - 1	Rou	le-2	Rou	te-3	Rou	te-4	Rou	te-5
		No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1.	Not agree, because :						1				
	a. Father land	3	6.0	1	1.1	0	0.0	- 1	1.1	3	3.3
	b. Not appropriate compensation	2	4.0	1	1.1	1	1.4	1	1.1	5	5.6
	<ul> <li>c. Lose of livelihood / activity place</li> </ul>	2	4.0	0	0.0	3	4.2	1	1.1	. 0	0.0
	d. Difficult to get new place	0	0.0	. 0	0.0	3	4.2	- 8	8.3	5	5.6
2.	Agree, because :						:				
	a. As long as the compensation appreciate with market price	18	36	36	40.0	22	30.5	33	33.7	22	24.5
	b. To improve the area	10	20.0	0	0.0	9	12.5	9	9.3	10	·- 11.1
	c. To support the government program and interest.	3	6.0	8	8.9	15	20.8	23	23.5	10	11.1
	d. To smooth traffic flow and to decrease traffic congestion	3	6.0	10	11.1	17	23.6	6	6.2	6	6.7
3.	No objection, because:  a. Public interest	1	2.0	1	1.1	0	0.0	1	1.1	2	2.2
1	b. Depend on public agreement	8	16.0	, ,	5.6		1.4	6	6.2	13	14.4
	c. Government policy	0	.0.0	11	12.2	, 0	0.0	; 3	3.2	: 10	11.1
	d. Leased (haven't the right of land)	0	0.0	4	4.4	1	1.4	5	5.2	4	4.4
	Total	50	100.0	90	100.0	72	100.0	98	100.0	90	100.0

Table A15.1.34 Expected Compensation

No	<del></del>		Rou	te-1	Rou	te-2	Rou	(e-3	Rou	te-4	Rou	te-5
:			No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1.	Money	1 1	29	58.0	83	92.2	57	79.1	66	67.4	63	70.0
2.	Land	1	3	6.0	0	0.0	1	1.4	7	7.1	2	2.2
3.	House		. 8	- 16.0	3	3.3	2	2.8	6	6.1	5	5.6
4.	Others		: 10	20.0	4	4.5	. 12	16.7	19	19.4	20	22.2
	Total		-50	100.0	90	100.0	72	100.0	98	100.0	-90	100.0

Source: Environmental Condition Survey by JICA Study Team

Table A15.1.35 Expected Relocation Area

No. Location	Rou	te-1	Rou	te-2	Rou	te-3	Rou	te-1	Rou	le-5
	No	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
1. Same Village	38	76.0	18	20.0	43	59.7	39	39.8	43	47.8
2. Same District	1	2.0	18	20.0	2	2.8	38	37.8	37	41.1
3. Same Town	9	18.0	50	5.5	23	31.9	10	10.2	3	3.3
4. Others	2	4.0	4	4.5	4	5.6	11	. 11.2	. 7	7.8
Total	50	100.0	90	0,001	72	100.0	98	100.0	90	100.0

Appendix 16.1 Breakdown of Estimated Construction Costs

Table A 16.1.1 Route -1 : Toll Road

									~	1	-	+	5	101		Nection 1	-	+		Cottoo	
	From STA	•	900		0	*	280	9 5	 	1 1	: 5	. 6	Ė	52		Section 7	7 12	+ ដ	8	Sections	
	To STA	+ !	0 5	+ 1 • -		4 1 2 -	8.5		34.5		2.130	د	4 950		•	2	15.500	-1		ı. I	
	Unit Dail Two	Chantery	Amenul	Cuentary	4 · -	Chantry	E	Supretary	Amount	Quentity:	) heroman	thantify.	Amount (	Cirrecto	Amenut 2n v 1 000	Quantitry	Amount Re x 1 000	Committy	Amount Rp. x 1,000	Quentify (	Amount Rp. v. 1,000
-	Ro F	- -	1000 × 14	-	Rp x 1000	-	Kp x 1 000	-	X 0.4	-   -	905,005,5	-	14,754,899	-	2,52×159	-	47,000,255	-	1,474,176	_	K (25)
1	×	1	101.0		100					-	-	-		-			-	-			
		0 155,439	264.247	44.950	76,415	235,935		o		135,450	230,265	146,025	24X,243	18,143	30.442	200,000	9%6 X54	21,400	94.4	757,342	2,00×
-	200	0	1		0			6	٥	47,934	357,256	20,497	106,502	27.2	314,455	900,00	C.C. 100.	0,87.4		X 7 7 1 C	0.00
	EE		6		0	59,000		0	6	45,63	334,536	70.01	1000	2	076 701	70.00	504 507 4		f	414 X4X	X.010.624
	m3 1X,600	155,439	2,891,173	44,950	136,070	5006	X X X X	6	<b>5</b> 6	r S	200345		74. 64.		1,784,532		XX4,50X		40 ×13	-	11.050.74
+	-		10.840.14			-		-			-			-			_		. !		
_	-7.		020 000 240	16.002	10 805 605	690	27.255.215	22210	055,971,68	9106	11,245,910	00,30	K1,790,205	9,527	11 765 223	194,X52 7	240,641,607	6,919	X,544,965		176,60K
	000000	9	X 96 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		C	0		6	0	o	6	6		0		0	<del>-</del>	3	D (	480	K.
Castin Site Constitte Box Circles			Ó	6	o	0	6	ਰ	o,	0	ó	ā-	ō.	<del>.</del>	67		5	ड र	5 6	5	
٠.	•	ő	-	5	6	•	3	6	0	0	ō	<del>.</del>	<b>.</b>	51	•		5 00 00	> 0	5 6		7, 200
	m2 450,000	124,915	80,334,13H	31.913	17,964,970	0	ō	0	0	0,	0 0	5	0 200 00	0	1,764,771	515	25.404.27.2	,	X 544 965	50.00	09 27 051
_			06,006,146		N 770,674		27.245.215		04.00		11,245,910	1	-0. X	-	11,70,44	1	30,200	-	1		
H	-	۱		-					Į		-		1300.001	202	Cx 1 x2	4	315175	020	181 570	11.20	1,904,034
_	1649,700	00**	407.2X0	ř:	X12,151	5	317,763	× 1	211.77	0/0/	137.	7	000	5	-	,			0	G	
_	m 555,200	0		•	0	ô	0	5 (	> 0	5 0	5 6	• c			0		ď	1000	32.75	1.070	
	-		•		0	0		0	0 13	3 7	200	9	400	,	017.7%	3 000	2 201 000	0.0	75 970	41.670	2.958.570
		¥.	009*1149	7	220,190		531,790	2,0	035,530	3,7	100,001	24.6	375,746			49	X 79 1 85	×	25.105	427	909
	1,472,000		ō	<del>-</del>	77.77		7.738	5 7	5 6	7	, v	3 4	100	· c	C	8	1 074 126	×	6.95	Į.	1,120.4
_		0	õ	<del>.</del>	134,320	3	325	5	254.455	₹	174 037	2	284 (48)	•	136.513	ē	171.949			:	6.772.601
1		+	OKX XXI					-								-					
	-		-	-	:	. 04 440	136 77	•	ō	64,260	80.538	K2.705	107,517	10,02×	13,036	261,433	339,362	11,136	14,477	272,569	35.4,3.9
	200		9 6	5 c	5 6			0	0	XLT S.	712,564	24,K12	947,877	3,00	114,314	7X 30	2,940,331	7,	126,250	177.18	101
	0000			50	9	3	1 077 169	0	Ö	15,606	62×,922	20 000	N09,446	2,435	98,141	63,491	2,558,677	2,610	105.1XT	101.8	366.4.860
-		-	0	6	•	0		ō	ō	0	o	0	•	٥	•	0	0	0	0	5	
	7,000		0	õ	0	20,087	1,592,867	•	•	13,591	1,046,306	17,492	746,892	2,121	163,303	200	7.149.7	8 1	V1.0/1	9 9	
-		18.264	422.776	235	411.439	10343		77.	914,0%	6,364	495,75K	× 191	63×059	Š	2.		3.742.A4N	1,760	27.110		4.902.
_			108 AVY	170	1001	396		Ę,	423,262	1,261	649,763	1.623	836,269	197	101,793	į	100,014	361	2,28	7.7.6	8
_	200		136.423	64 950	\$3.940	3	237,750	72.210	45,65	117,430	140,916	205,320	246,384	5.00	31,716		797.358	096,	35,952	\$	1,019,017
_			2 264 108		68. 17	i ,	\$ 000 \$00		1,424,002		3 777 96K	-	4,927,404		400,262		17,382,125		PXC 07.		0.380
H		-								-		0	•	i			474 7714			5	000
_	17,100	5.760	967 X6	1,860	31,306			1,0XZ	\$1,095	2,580	44,118	050	101,574	ě	12,620	0 ·	000,814	YOX .	1		7 7 7
-	36.000		354 240		114,390	7.490	276 381	0.64	13. TX	8	158,670	06.	165,10	130	A. 387	200	3,14	3	, XX	201	20.0
	:_		0000		24,000	8	30000	6	٥	200	000	<u>့</u>	000'09	8	8	8	159,000	6		1,120	200,400
	7 7 7		1146.400		27.4 400		1 752 660	F4	1.165.320	¢	006,200	<b>6</b> 75	2,316,600	-	2X7,X20	91	7,254,000	=	300,760	7	10,001,160
_	200	_		, ,	\$	182	Š	ĭ	14%	108	621	74X	262	77		775	0.6	214	757	1	-
-			2		076-1	č	¥0×	34. 9	5	5000	44.720	32.175	102 560	×66.	12,792	100,750	322,400	4,815	15,40×	36.765	45. 64X
_		:	OW SA		0,000					Ę	007 207 6	400	5 367 200	00	141 800	1.060	14,223,090	-	•	1110	15,028,160
	<u> </u>	8	2000	2	0wx0+1-	3.0	3	9 6		3	-	;			Ö	0		0	٥	ō.	
			2		5		***	ond r	41.4.20	200	050	0000	470.650	1 230	\$05.05	31 000	34X,500	0	0	40,600	1,766,100
		\$. -	000	3	V 4.	3		00.	Occident	3	900			ì	000 092	200	0000000	0	•	40	3,800.0
-		_	140,000		260,000		3	<b>5</b> (		•	000	- 4	000		3	ì	2000	2	32 100.	16	00100
_	Jon (40,000,000	X.4.	000'sx:	9	200.5	7	224.700	-4	149,400	ra	3	<del></del>	O	5		<u>.</u>	2000	3		1	
	-	_	5.45R 944	_	4 062 659		1 XCX 124	100	XXX		4 661,487		9.421.30		VX . 4. 7		0/27	-	210000		V 10 0
<del> </del>		-	124 277 623		45,954,440		48 927 051	-	06 514 110	1	26,062,039		113,120,401		19,382,554		340 34 T	-	10.70		
t	-	-	12,427,742		4 545 4441	L.	4 100, 700		10,097,471		2,606,204		11,112,089	$\frac{1}{1}$	5		200	-	5		3.6
1								֡													

Table A 16.1.2 Route-1 : Arterial Road

۲-		_	_		т-		_		_	.,	-,-	-		-	_							-			-	-	-	_									_							_
TOTAL	Section 7	15 500	Ashumat	X X		502,129	\$5,00	\$57,107	77.7		65,61X,020	2,195,136		19.961	8	2.526,833		2,543,212	031.70	Ç (	20.00		239.616	2,101,24N	1,740,960	0	10.40.4	0.00	3×23	14 91X 772	303 636	2,197,764	0	6,96×,520	4/CV	0.4.4		0	0	970,000	2 2 2	1000	\$ 20° 35°	
TOT	Section	٠.	Quantity	-		39.70	97.01	107,136	CO# 04	T	53,132	6	<del></del>	41,729	Ì	14,890	0	14,1190	00.7	8	ξ,		144,720	\$\$.296	43,200	0 6	106.5	5	615,230		0,0	29, 69	0	5 5	6. V. V	3	6	9	0	<u>•</u>	1	l	T	
	8	0.515	Amount	VA - VAV	-									1				. :							-									_	_	-					1	1		
Section	8 * * 8 %	C = 0.	(huentify	- -	-	0	0	o c	-	<del> </del>	0	57	9 6	<u>-</u>		٥	ó	<del>•</del>	٥.	5 6	<del>-</del> -	<u> </u> 	0	0	ō	<del>-</del>		0	6		-	0	ठ	<del>5</del> c	5 6	5 6	<del>-</del> =	-	0 0	5	-	-	ŀ	
		0	Amount On	1 7 0 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-	502,129	557,107	757,107	177.70	-	65,618,020	2,195,136	0	19,961,907	500	2,526,800	ō	2,543,212	057,190	20,47	33.70		239,616	2,101,24×	,740,960	0 200	120 510	070,797	23,276	4 938 7721	197 575	1,197,764	ė į	0,768,720	214416	0	ō	6	0 00	0.711.849	43 90 636	4 390 664	207.240	
Sub-Tot	Section 7	1. 15 50	Cuantity A	-		295,370		07 136 68 465				3		41,729 19,		14,890		۲۰	_	X 0	_				_	9 6			615,230	1					2005		0	0	0 4			-	15	
		-	Amount Qu					<u>د</u> و			6	5 2	0	₹. 07	7	0	0		-	<b>3</b> (	<b>S</b> C	-	0	Ľ.	<del>-</del>		5 6		6	3	-0		0 (				0	0 (	o c	) ) )	0	0	0	
	200.	L = 061°	:	2	 	3	۰.	<del>.</del>	<u>-</u>		0	<b>5</b> 6	. 0	0			0	0	5	9 0	· .		•	0	0 1		> 0		•	-		0	3 (	5-6		- 0	0		5 C	_	1	-		
L			Cuantin										:	;					:	-									i			:				•			:		ŀ			
946	* * <b>*</b>	4.950	Amount Pr. v. 000	10,545		•		90	, c		39,663,260	<b>-</b>		0.4	ξ	•	0	0	•	-			•		•		1 426 259	660.419	186,984	00	142,742	•	0	> c	9	0	•	0.	111 000	476.642	43. 774 540	4 N77 SKC	51 A51 [5V	
12	± 2	_	Cuantity			•			:	-	32,116	<b>5</b> C	0	•		0	0	Ó	0 0		<del>-</del> -	l	0	3	<del>-</del> •	0,0	1X 300	7	155 X20	-	N.34N	0	0 0	> 0		0	0	00	- <u>-</u>	2				
	£ 6	2150	Amount	2 2 2 2		146,200	207,948	743 X14	1305.910	-	-	<b>5</b> <	0	0.0		729,710	•	7.4,440	30,300	3,5	2117.578		X9,640	7844,420	XIX.	0.000	000	575 769	144,4%0	W. (2000)	55.14X	634,680	0 0	033	61.920	0	•	<b>-</b>	129.000	2,894,1ND	1,500,416	1 186,643	1,051,071	
Section	+ + 2 ×		Cuantity	-		000	066	200		-	0.0	- C	0	0		4,300	8	9	<u>0</u>	<del>-</del> 3	-	-	008'89	30,640	16,125	0 14 14	7.076	1344	120,400	-	33	1,200	5 .	98	19,330	0	0	O , c	- F			-		
		. 680	Amount:	, , ,		•	0 0	0	0		0 6	3 C	0	0	+	0	0	0	0 6		0		0	٥.	ō 7	5 6	63K 165		700	0.50.7.10.4	63.X69	0	0 0			0	ö	<del>0 (</del>	149.400	213,269	141418	141,518	1,556,702	A. C.
Section 4	٠,٠	Ĩ	Cuantity		_	5	5 6		-	_	0.0	2 0	0	<del>-</del>	-	0	0	6	<b>5</b> 6	- a		  -	0	: 67	3 6	5 C	3	E	69,720	1	3,738	°.	0 0	> 0	•	0	o	<b>.</b>			Ĺ			
_			900 F	11.10	-	660	2.5	48,916	o lo		Ç.	9 0	0			1,271,053	0	26.	9 7		Š	_	50,176	16,928	Š	1 829 041			251,004		650,96	1,105,524	0 5	× 5	900	0	0	0 6	9	126	1   X X	S.VA.	3,792	
Section 3	16 + 295	ï	₹.g					1						2		• *	:	;;;	9.0	12	ψ.		_	3.				Ī	•							_				*	37 44	1, 2,74	97.0	
<u></u>	- <u>-</u>	+	S - Summary - S	7.6	٠.		2 2	19 67.146		L	_:_ &`		1	:		7,490				20		1. <u></u>	5,53	4.656	2	23.75	12.32					096.65	- 2		,	0		0 0	: : ·	_		7	.:	
Seution 2	88	0,51	Ro × 1.000	95 29		101,269		768,719	X60 03X		19,785,935			19,961,907		020,020		529,430	20,02	162.812	1,526,626		٠				\$45,739	252.47X	71,4%		39,73x	457,560	00×050 I		44,640				90 %	2,086,500	24 380 VI	V 4 3 3 40	S XOX'AS	
agy .				_		25.00		41,339			5. 2.			Ę.		3.100		8 8						00		•			0. 0.			5. 8.	ر د					5 6					- {	
- 8	3 _ !	4 800	Rp. x 1,000					•	0		0 0		0	00		•	۰.	0 0	56				0		•	:			90		•	0 0		•	•		00	56	. 0		0	3	٥	
Neothern 1	*		ánuan.	=		5 6		٠.	-		o c		0	9	-	0	<del>.</del> .	D 6	9 0	0	-	[ ;	5	<b>)</b> (	• 0	0	0	0	5-	ŀ		<del>-</del>	. 0	0	0	0 (	0 0	0	0	-		-	-	
- <u>-</u>	To STA	+	Spr 750		-	3 6	3		-		235,000 900 KDO	0000	2,450,000	37,000		002.691	207,200	7,000	800	2,626,000	-	-	8	3,5	24.500	7,000	1,900	513,300	3	-	13,100	000	463,000,000	1700	200	13.41×,000	96,50	000,000,56	900,000,00	1	$\frac{1}{1}$	-	1	
Phoen	+			1.8.1	-	E I	ÉÉ	Ê			E E					- ·	:	- E 8		9.7.		-		Ē Ē		:	١.	eg -					354			<del>-</del>	·	6	km 60,0	-	1	-		
				-	•					-													-		•	-	7	-	:		-	-			-	<u>. :</u>		_	-	+	-	1	1	
	DESCRIPTION			GENERAL	EARTHWORK	Communication Communications	West Exception	Bornow Maternal	SUB-TOTAL	CDCKS	Precise Presidence (~Cyrost Precise Reinforced—Beam	Castan Site Concrete Box Guder	Steel Box Garden	S(TH-TOTAL	4 DRAINAGE	Pipe Cultural and an analysis of the	Figure Cultivariation 1.0 m	Payed Duleh	Box Culture (4.5 x 2.5 m)	Box Culvert (3(8: 4,0x2.0 m)	SUB-TOTAL	PAVXMENT	Subgrade Treparation	Uper Subbase	Asphalt Tretmant Buse Coarse	Runder Course	Surface Course	Applied Committee	SUB-TOTAL	4 MISCELLANEOUS	Road Marking	Constitution Cities	Now Lighting	Trees	Sodding	Kelaung Wall	ROW Senior	Toll Cate	Traffic Control Sagna	SUB-TOTAL	TV (O) '	A. J. (PP's 10 70)	GAND TOTAL,	
				-	4					ਤ ਾਂ					ā ⊀			:				2								4				••							1		3	

	ļ						Section 2		A 20, 100	7 4	TOTA	V
:		E	ij o	0 + 0	4 - 1	- 100	1	+ 565	, S	5. + 335	·	}
DESCRIPTION		To STA.	4.	+ 100	+ 1	3 588	• II	335 3.805	+ 4	7 + 190 L + 1.818	11	13.310
	Unit	-5	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
	_	Rp.		Rp. x 1.000		Rp. x 1.000	-	Kp. x 1.000	-	Kp. x 1.000	-	K5. x 1,000
1. GENERAL	3			6.420.593		3,22,001		3,470.43		C. V. C. CO		010,010,01
Common and Goothing	£	1,700	2000	139.400	81.250	138.125	152,200	258.740	72,700	123,590	38X,150	659,855
Common Excavation	3 6			į	36,609	190,367	88,074	457,985	٠٠,	196,747		944,252
West Excavation	2	5,200		- 5	36,609	190,367	8X,074	457,985		18,747		944,252
Borrow Material	ě			•	36,609	680,927	88,074	1,638,176	37,836	703,750	182,097	3,387,004
SUB-TOTAL						1.199,786		2.×12,886		1,220,834		5,935,364
3. BRIDGES	_						•					: ()
Precast Prestressed I-Gurder	172	,.; 	12.735	15,727,725	5,694	7,031,473	2,389	2,949,798	97. 17.	3,952,000	2-0.7	29,660,995
Procest Reinforced-Beam	E -		1,280	1,265,664	0	<u>-</u>	0	0	0 0	• ·	9.°	1,265,664
Castin Site Concrete Box Girder	# 27		0	0	0	<u>-</u>	0	<b>•</b>	5	<b>3</b> •	<b>5</b> (	<b>\$</b>
Steel Box Gurder	Ë	c į			0	0 6	0 0	50	> <		20,60	26.56
Piled Slab	<b>2</b>	000,584	25 206 206	14.251.398	5	572 120 T	^	2 049 79X	<b>&gt;</b>	3.952.000	905,62	45.178.057
SOP INTERIOR	1			21.6					:			
Property of the	E	160 700	2050	\$88 275	3.358	892 695	2783	472.190	818	308,430	10,00%	1.698,273
Pro- Calvet on 10 m	<b>E</b>	444 200			0	0	0	0	Ö	0		0
T-Ditch	ξ.	170,800	8.20	1 400 560	10.940	1.868.552	11,130	1,901,004	7,270	1,241,716	37,540	6,411,832
Payod Ditch	: E	71 000		•	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0
Box Culver (4.5 x 2.5 m)	E E	1.422,000		0	0	0	0	0	.0	·	0	0
Dev Cartes (1) 20 1 20 1 20 1		0.00 9090	5			0	0	0	0	0.	0	·c
SUB-TOTAL	≝ 	000000000		1,748,445		2,438,320		2,373,194		1,550,146	 	8,110,105
S PAVENCE"	ļ											
Saborade Presantion		1,300	27 013		60.151	78.197	113,762	147,891	48,872	63,533	249,798	324,737
Lower Subbase	Ë		:	•		750,567	. 5	1,464,230	-	629,024	82,941	3,151,769
Uper Subbase	33		1			634,677		1,220,100	13,006	524,147	65,784	2,651,0%0
Asphalt Tretmant Base Coarse	5			0	0.	0	0	0	0	•	0	6
Binder Course	to				7	994,139	24,147	1,859,316	10,373	798,749	53,406	4,112,252
Surface Course	5					691,926	12,532	976,215	5,980	465,809		2,734,403
Asphalt Cement	Ę	<u></u>		754,667		748,706	23	1,226,120	927	25.42	6,433	3,314,935
PrincTack Coat	X S	1,200	91,024	109,229	145,614	174,737	209,293	7.165		114,038		049,130
NOB-TOTAL	_		Ī	2.539,018		4,072,94		6,140,020		3,160,742		10,736,533
Control Marking	£	17.00	3,60	000 2.9	65.4	7X 4X9	6 X 49	117118	3,272	54 943	1 04 XI	314649
Control of the Control				0x3 C02	056.9	\$69.00\$	30,440	1 123 236	14 540	925 985	05769	2.561.967
Contract on the	= 6	150,000		0.7700	9	0	( <b>1</b> )		0			0
Charle Links	 	7 897	-	1018 800	· V	00x 9xc c	14	3 \$61 AKD	3	1701180	200	09C X95 0
Summifications	5	•		376	755	700,000		370	3	459	2.440	2 030
Trees		:	. •		2	76.260	. "	207		, C	) S C E Y	A1 4 COC
Potential Wall	3 6	7.7		4.0		5 367 200	200	2,683,600		1.341.800	000	13.418.000
Concrete Sion Protection	£			0	0	0	0			0	0	0
ROW Fence	8			0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Toll Cate	cach	95.6	· .	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Traffic Control Signs	Ę		4	246,000	5.1	306,000	7.6	456,600	: T.	218,100	20.4	1,226,700
SUBLIOTAL		:		6.569.245		8,784,143		x,040,812		3.900,731		27,294,931
7. TOTAL			-	49.224.545		27.055,671		26,819,970		15,875,121		11x,975,307
8. V.A.T (PPN 10 %)	_			4,922,455	7.1	2,705,567		2,681.997		1.587.512		11.897.531
9. GRAND TOTAL				54,147,000		29,761,23K		29,501.967		17.462,633		130.X72.N3X

Table A 16.1.4 Route-3

DESCRIPTION  1. GENERAL 2. EARTHWORK Clearing and Grabbing Common Excavation West Excavation Borrow Material SuB-TOTAL 3. BRIDGES Precent Prostressed I-Girder m2 m3 west Excavation m3 m3 west Excavation m3 m3 For the trees of	E 2		0 + 0 3 + 835	3 + 83 + 22	+ 835 + 220	* + + + + 0	+ 0 + 220 = 8.220
N.  Grabbing avation for ini ist  cssed 1-Girder preed-Beam	E E				220		
Grabbing avaion ion ial cased 1-Girder resed 1-Girder resed 1-Girder resed 1-Girder resed 1-Girder Feam	ja '						l∝l
Grabbing avaion ion ial cased 1-Girder preed-Beam			= 3.835	1	4.385		
Grabbing avation in in last cost 1-Girder preed-Beam	e X	Quantity	Amount Ro. x 1,000	Quantity	Ro x 1.000	Quantity	Amount Ro. x 1,000
Grabbing avaion ion ial cseed I-Girder preed-Beam			1,292,011		1,125,490	-	2,417,501
Cicaring and Grabbing Common Excavation West Excavation Borrow Material SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES Procast Prostnessed I-Citeder Procast Reinforced-Beam	:			1			
West Excavation West Excavation Borrow Material SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES Procart Prestressed I-Citect Precart Reinforced-Beam			81,494	40	93,181	: 3	174,675
West Externation West Endwarderial SUB-TOTAL BRIDGES Procart Prestressed I-Citeer Precart Reinforced-Beam	000		107.007	٠.	125,131		230,818
BRIDGES Procart Prostressed I-Girder Procart Reinforced-Beam	, <u> </u>		107,687	. :	12,131	:	230,818
BRIDGES  Procar Prostressed I—Girder  Procast Reinforced—Boan	009%1	20,702	385.187	23,679	440,429	44.38X	825.617
Precast Prostressed 1—Girder Precast Reinforced—Beam			087,020		7/8'6//		1,501.727
	1,234,000	0 200	008 XXC C		275 275	372.0	3 414 9995
			238 X12	565	622.062		0/4/4/5
30000			O CO	} <	000,000	:	0.70
٠.				o c	> <		
				> c			
A	· ·		3.085.288	> 	1 182 327	1	4267615
4. DRAINAGE							
rt 0 = 0.6 m	169.700	0	0	0	0	0	· .
Pipe Culvert o= 1.0 m	\$55,200	, .	0	0	0		-
	170,800	7,670	1,310,036	8,770	1,497,916	16,44	2,807,952
itch	71,000		0	•	0	<u>:</u>	
(4.5 x 2.5 m)	1,422,000	0 :	0	0	0	0	0
Box Culvert (2(2, 4,0x2.0 m)	2,626,000	0	0	0	0	0	-
SUB-TOTAL			1,310,036		1,497,916		2.807.952
S. PAVEMENT							
Sabgrade Preparation m2	: :	4	54,841	*	62,706		117,546
5)	<u></u>	-	320,606		366,586	٠,	687,192
		0.29'.	309,101	œ	353,431	16.4	662.532
ant Base Coarse			Ō	0	0	0	0
			624,549		714,119	_	1,338,668
		<b>*</b> ₹	315,924	4	361,233		677,157
_	<u></u>		397,063		454,008		851,071
FILING/ LACK COAL	00%	c con	4C7'47	646,511	144,074	046,144	275'007
STORY A TRUE A			4,140,350		4,454,13,		4,000,493
		3.447	10005	73027	547 288	205.4	708 961
	16 900		266 046	_	647.726	•	100000
	-		0		0		0
hting	ş	3.8	1,794,780	4,4	2.052,180	>0	3 846 960
			069	859	789	1,233	1 480
Sodding m2		15,340	49,088	7	56,128	۳,	105,216
Wali	13,418,000	0	0	0	0		-
Concrete Slop Protection m2			0	0	0	0	-
3			0	0	0	0	•
	•		0	•	0	0	0
Traffic Control Nigns	000,000,00	×.	230,100	4.4	263,100	∞	493,200
ì			7,679,7		3.086.908		5.786.633
/. IOIAL			11.215.452		10.126.670		21.342.123
8. V.A.1 (FPA 10 %)		1	1, 121,545		1.012,667	A CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF THE	2,134,212
9. GRAND TOTAL			12,336,99x		11.139.337		23,476,335

Table 16.1.5 Route—4

								1	1000	A correct	200	A domest	TOTAL	.AI.
	_		Sect	Section 1	7 uonaes	7 uo	3 5	Section 3	. ×	+ 500	6	+ 100	o	009
		From NIA.	> t			C777 +	1 2	1		100		2 0		
DESCRIPTION		TO SIA	•	3 5	† :		٠, <u>-</u>	i li		0090		7.900		= 27.600
	1,0	Lais Dean		Amount	(mantitu	Amount	Openfity	Amount	Ouantity	Amount	Ouantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount
	5		, mention,	Rr. x 1.000		Rp. x 1,000						Rp. x 1,000		Rp. x 1,000
1. GENERAL	S		-	3,962,541	-	2,164,627	-	259,769		332,627	1	8,046,264	1]	14.765.828
2. EARTHWORK								•	300	V 6 000	93 60	157.250	23. 500	734 250
Clearing and Grabbing	32	1,700	313,000	532,100	0	5 (	5 6	> <		20,400	36.44	22,724	2000	1 143 30x
Common Excavation	Ę	5,200	159,630	830,076		σ̄ c̄	<b>5</b> C	<b>.</b>		047.48 047.48	45,960	23× 902	221 790	1 143 308
West Excavation	Ē	2,200	159,630	×30,076		<b>3</b>	> c	•		301 320		756 758	221 700	4 175 304
Borrow Material	E	18,600	159,630	2,969,118	0	0 0	<b>3</b>	<del>-</del>	. :	515,700		1,490,090	261.134	7.167.160
Sacultar E			1	2.61.01.0								1		
	ć	1,235,000	0	Ó	0	0		0			19,191	23,700,885	19.191	23,700,885
Precast Reinforced-Beam	겉	98x,x00	0	0	0	O	0	•		0	Ō	0	0	0
Castin Site Concrete Box Girder	ä	1,560,000	0		0	0	0	o (		0	3,828	5,967,000	3,825	000,7367
Steel Box Girder	겉	2,450,000	0	0	0 (	0 (	5	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5 0	> <	1,12	4,464,000	30	064.044.
Filed Slab	7 2	483,000	<u>.</u>	0 0	0	э c	>	0	2	0	<b>&gt;</b>	33,894,135	>	33,894,135
	1		1		1	)								
4. DKALYACE		169 700	3,4	1 227 903	27.5	X10.318	0		0	9	2,900	1,340,630	20,500	3,478,850
Pro-Culver of 10 m	£	\$55,200	8	304.111		1×5.576	0			34,978	553	307,026	1,498	831,690
U-Dich	E	170,800	15,650	2,673,020	9,550	1,631,140		0	3,6	614,880	15,800	2,69%,640	44,600	7,617,680
Paved Ditch	8	71,000	٥	0		0		•	0	.:	0	Ö,	0	0
Box Culvert (4.5 x 2.5 m)	E	1,422,000	313	445,086	0	0		۰ : -	0	0.	0	5	313	9%0.03
Box Culvert (2@ 4.0x2.0 m)	ε	2,626,000	313	821,938	0	0	6	0 (	<u>-</u>	0		0	313	852,538
SUB-TOTAL				5.572,057		2,627,033		2		049,838		4,540,470		13.130.4
S. PAVEMENT	17					100				23.400	110 800	144 040	442 200	77.240
Sabgrade Preparation	ŽÍ.	1,300	266,050	345,865	162,350	211,055	> <		1800	008.74	110,000	070		4 406 300
Lower Subbase	3	38,000	0000	2,140,920	32,430	1,306,440	S C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		145.080		861.010		4.458.994
Oper Nuopase	3	005.05	01460	2,177,000	2 0	0	C			0		0	0	0
Pinder Course	5 5	77,000	\$7.00\$	4389.395	34.786	2,678,512				325,710		1,813,119	3-4	9,206,736
Surface Course	Ş	77,900	28.503	2,220,350		2,277,100	11,838		1	430,203		917,156		8,766,998
Asphalt Coment	ton	\$15,300	5,415	2,790,600	4,133	2,129,903		<u>:</u>	Ċ	329,985		1,152,708	13,255	6,830,210
Prime/Tack Coat	3	1,200	485,150	582,180	396,800	476,160	100,750		65,000	78,000	200,400	240,480	1,248,100	1,497,720
SUB-TOTAL				14.613,673	_	10,387,711		1,470,103		1,469,178		5.950.452		33,391,117
6. MINCELLANEOUS	5	8	10.664	100 640	10344	1884	7 000	069.99	3.7%0	64638	× 303	141 973	36.893	630.862
Koad Marking	į e	36 900	47.050	1 732 455	28,650	381.250:				0	(7)	1.361.610	,	4,151,250
Charlest Caro	: E	150,0001	0	ō	0	O				0	480	72,000	687	72,000
Street Lighting	Ę	468,000,000	7.8	3,662,100	8.7	2,234,700		:		0	7.9	3,697,200	20.5	9,594,000
Trees	cach	1,200	1,565	1,878		1,146	:	0			1,318	1,581		4,605
Sodding	겉	3,200	46,940	150,240	28,650	91,680			0 0	0 (	36,900	118,080	112	360,000
Retaining Wall	E'	13,418,000	00	0	0 0	0 (	<b>0</b>		<u>:</u>	00	084 084	0.440,040	) 084 0	040,044
Concrete Mop Protection	Ė	00000	5 6	Š	o c	; ;		:		• •	0	0	0	0
	cach	95 000 000	- <del>-</del>	0	0	0				0	0	0	0	0
l Signs	Ę	000,000,00	7.8	469,500	ж.	481,500	3.3		2.8	168,000	7.9	474,000	29.8	1,788,000
SUB_TOTAL			::	6,196,813		4,043,132		261.690		232.638		12,307,084		23.041,357
7. TOTAL			-	35,506,454		19,222,503	1	1.991.562		3,200,001		66,034,321		125.954,841
x, V.A.T (PPN 10 %)				3,550.645		1,922,250		199,156		320,000		6,503,432		12,595,484
9. GRAND TOTAL				39,057,100		21,144,753		2,190,713		3,520,001		(501.180,27		158,000,001

Table A 16.1.6 Route-5

			33	Section 1	Sec	Section 2	Sec	Section 3	S.	Section 4		AL
NOMATINGOUN		From STA.	<b>&gt;</b> (	+ 300		2.1	2 9	+ 155	္က် န	619	۰ <del>۱</del>	380
DESCRIPTION	·			02.820	9 ,J		) 	3.345	11	# 3.380		
	į	Unit Price Rp.	Quantity	Amount Rp. x 1,000	Quantity	Amount Rp. x 1.000	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount Rp. x 1,000
1. GENERAL	LS		-	3,224,632	1	4,217,367	-	9,127,732	1	212,159	1	16,781,890
2. EARTHWORK	•				•				•	•		•
Cleaning and Orthorng	Z :	1,700	240,450	408 765	314,473	534,608	217,075	159 02x	0 (	5 6	672,000	1,142,400
West Excavation	3 2	5 230		750.204	188,685	981.162		365.274	0	5 5	403,200	2.096,640
Borrow Material	m3	18,600		2.683.422	188,685	3,509,541	70 245	1,306,557	0		403 200	7,499,520
SUB-TOTAL				4.592,595		6,006,473		2,236,133		0		12,835,200
3. BRIDGES												
Procast Prestressed I-Girder	m <sub>2</sub>	1,235,000	0	0	0	5	21,6%0	26,774,800	0	0	21,6%0	26,774,800
Precast Reinforced-Beam	Ë	9xx,x00	ô		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Castin Site Concrete Box Gurder	E C	1,560,000	<u>ه</u> د	0 (	0 (	6	0	0	0 '	0	0 ;	0
Nicel Box Gracer	Ė	2,450,000	9 6	5	0 0	5 6	03/.4	14,112,000	0 0	0 0	2,760	14,112,000
SUB-TOTAL	Ë	443,000	3	<del>5</del> 0	>	50	<b>5</b>	40.8%6.800	5	50	5	40.886.800
4. DKAINAGE							-		ļ-·		-	
Prpe Culvert o= 0.6 m	E	169,700	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0
Pipe Culvert o= 1.0 m	E	555,200	481	266,996	629	349,193	234	130,000	0	0	1,344	746,189
U-Ditch	E	170,800	13	2.346.792	17.970	3.069.276	9.690	1.142,652	0	0	38.400	6.558,720
Paved Ditch	E	71,000		10	0	C				C	0	0
Box Culvert (4.5 x 2.5 m)	E		740	341,920	3.14	447 183	117	166.481	0	0	672	955 584
Box Culvert (2(0) 4.0x2.0 m)	٤	2,626,000	943	631,422	314	825.811		307 439	0		672	1.764.672
SUB-TOTAL			:	3.587.129		4.691.464		1.746,572		0		10,025,165
S. PAVEMENT					-							
Sabgrade Preparation	<b>3</b>	1,300		258,999	260,565	338,735	97,005	126,107	0	0	556,x00	723,840
Lower Subbase	Ę	38,000		2,741,130	94,343	3,585,015	35,123	1,334,655	0	0	201,600	7,660,800
Uper Subbase	E	40,300	46,373	1,868,812	60,649	2,444,145	22,579	909,924	0	0	129,600	5,222,880
Asphalt Tretmant Base Coarse	ton	74,500		0	0	5	0	0		0	0	<u></u>
Binder Course	ğ	77,000		1,269,576	21,564	1,660,428	8,028	618,156	0	0	46,0%0	3,548,160
Surface Course	ton	77,900		642,208	10,782	839,918	4,014	312,691	1,200	93,480	24,240	1,888,296
Asphalt Cement	g	\$15,300	ş	72,183	1,833	944,514		351,630	8	30,918	3,977	2,049,245
Trime/Tack Coat	3) 2	1,200	329,760	395,712	431,280	517,536	160,560	192,672	24,000	28,800	945,600	1,134,720
NUB-IOIAL				7.898,619		10,330,290		3.845.834		153,198		22,227,941
o MINCELLANBOUN	,	t			•							
Koad Juanung	7 :	20.75		123,351	9,434	161,326		60,03	000,	17,935	21,210	362,691
Concrete	Ė	2000	4	1,521,018	55,710	6/7,686,1	<b>7</b>	(%)	000	00+,177	002,121	4,472,280
Create T inhtime	£ .	000000	> *		<b>3</b> :	0 0	3.5	103,000	<b>&gt;</b> (	000	3 5	000,000
Trans	100	-		0,450,540	i l	3,404,900	,	0.20,001.0	1 0	000000	2 0	18,707,200
Sodding	,		•	A 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	7 7 7	7,000		200	3 6	3 36	3 5	848.
Reference Well	į	2,200		7/8/6/1	000.1	010,047	00/07	700,000	000	000,07	000	1021,716
Constituting was	۲ ا	•	0 0	> 6	5 6	5 6	3 3	2,324,000	5 3		3 (	000,785,8
DOW E-15	71	3000		5	⊃ .<	5 6	o (	<b>&gt; </b>	5 6	5	<b>5</b>	3
NOW Felice	E	90000		5	<b>5</b> (	0	5	3	0	0	<u></u>	0
I OII CAR	535	000,000,00		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	3
CITE TOTAL	Ę	000,000,00	69	412,200	9.0	539,100	 	200,700	0.	000'09	20.2	1,212,000
ACCI-TOLAL.	$\int$			X.664,410;		11.331.X37		13.716.297		1.261.195		34,973,739
i. IOIAL				27,967,3%4		22,502,34X		71,559,367		1.626,552		123,655,652
x. v.A.1 (PP. 10 %)	_[			2.796.738		2,250,235		7,155,937		162,655	:	12,365,565
9. GRAND TOTAL				30,764,123		24,752,583		78,715,304	~-	1.789,207		136,021,217]
		!										

Appendix 16.2 Breakdown of Land Acquisition and Compensation Costs

Table A 162.1 Route-1: Toll Road

		1 motoes;			Section	-		Section.	-		Section 4		26	Section 5			Section 6		\$5	Section 7	-	×	Section 8	-	TOTAL	1
	٥	+	8	•	•	. 0	č	+	9	2	•	295	ဌ	£ .	5	*1	کت د	<del>ا</del>	2	*	_	8	8 *			
Description		•		•	•	555	-10	+	5	댎	+ .	785	14	+ 935	2	<u>5</u>	\$. +	XXX	ឧ	8	·	13	÷	-		
	r.	•	4 × km	7		F KI	1,	1	Ex	د	1	2.4.kgm	1,		2.2 km	د	1	O lom	1	0.50	£	ن	- 0.5 k	E	1. = 20 x kg	OKE
	Und Price	Aven	Amount	Unit Hiss	Area.	Amount	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Unit Phoe	A54	Amount Unit Phoe		Area	Amount U	Unit Price	Yuar ·	Amount. L	Usut Price Area	-	Amount Unit Price	eort H	\ <u>4</u>	34	Arae	Amount
	Rp .	m.2	Rp x1 000	R3	É	Rp x1,000	Rp	- ZE	Rp. x1,000		. m2	Rp x1,000	Rp	m2 R	Rp.×1,000	Rp.	£	Rp x1,000	Rp	m2 Rpo	Rp.x1,000	ć.	m? Ro		· ·	Rux 060
Commercial Area	174 000	5	ō	13,000	0	o	175,000	9	0	175,000	ō	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	1,00001	0	ō	0000	0	0	6	
HOLLING Arm	33,000	6		175,000	4,410	771,750	175,000	\$3,X65	9.426.775	3,000	0	•	45,000	0,0	170,100		36,23	1,630,125	100,000	1,890	1 NO 000	100,001	20,000	2,000,000	120.170	14.137.350
Industrial Area	160,000	•		600 000	0	•	160,000	5	0	000 097	0	0	45,000	6	6	45,000	0	0			o	00000			٥	
Rice Field Area	8 *	0	•	× 800	0	6	000	176,015	14.067.235	8 *	•	•	17.500 11	0.91	2,304,225	17,00	111,195	1,945,913	5,000,0	050	WS0.300	900	17,655	129 650	450 49	20.497 417
Fixed Area	77,500	9740	716,100	77.500	33.20	1,714,700	77,500	0	0	22,00	0	Ģ	17,500	0	6	17,500	0	6	0	0	0	Ö		_	44.520	3.450 300
Salt Farm Area	77,500	\$0.76	3,823,463	77,500	3	<u>~</u>	27.500	0	٥	8	•	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	6	0	0	49,335	¥
Figh Ported Aces	X0,000	7,325	1,346,000	000°	036.5	4,636,700	30,000	0	0	10,000	0	6	6	0	0	0	0 :	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	25.25	6.07.300
Portunt Acres	Ö	5	•	0	:	0	•	8	6	0	ò	0	10,000	ō	0	0000	22.765	223.630	0	•	6	ō	٥	0	22.765	3,65
ReadRever	Ġ.	212.500	0	ō	ó	Ö	ō	٥	6	0	2,176	ē	0	ô	0	6	4.0	0	٠	6,615	0	0	c	0	055.54	-6
Total of Tolk Road		34×400	14 500 5		05-60	105. 201 1		1055 622	34.141.00	•	×2,170;	0	Τ,	11,410	2,474,325		0,8411	3,700,683k	<u>ک</u>	0 53 4	039.500		2 5.92	1 059 625	040 01	4X 305 075
	ĺ	1					***************************************					,	1					1			121-02	121-02	121-02	(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(A)(	1,009,000 [3,009,001] 1,009,001 [3,009,001]	1,009,002 (2,009) (2,009) (2,009,000)

# adie a 10.2.2 Kome-1 : Ameriai Koad

		Section	m i		Section.	C 4		Section			Section		:	Section 5			Section 6		100	Section	L	Section 8	X	Ē	TOTAL
	_	•	38		+		_	+	2.0	91	+	395	12	+	2	4	+ 93.5	:	<b>2</b> 1	: 588			\$00		
Description		•		_	+ 9	220			20		<u>+</u>	2	7	+	6	<b>5</b> 1	\$100	-	2	88		+	2		
	,	١	0.0 km	-	1	l A km	-		17.km	L.	1	7 S.km	7	1	2 km	L	•	0 km	ר	■ 0.6 km		• د.	0.00		15 12
	Unit Price	\$ •	<ul> <li>Amount</li> </ul>	t Unit Prio	not.	Amount	it Unit Price	Azea	Amount	UnitPriva	- Area	Amount	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Jaul Price	8e/V	Amount	Unit Price Area	IVE AMOUNT	Ľ	int Phoe Area	Annount	₹	Amount
	R	É	Rp.x1,000	Rp	E	Rp. x1,00	χ. Δ	Ē	Rp.x1,000	χb	Ë	Rp.×1,000	ξ,	ŽĖ.	Rp.x1,000	â	É	Rp.x1,000	ξ2 Ε	m2   Ro x1.000	_	Ě	Ro ×1.000		Rn x 1 000
Smithering Area	175 060	0	0	0 175.0	000	0	0 175,00	3.11	0, 1,925,00	0 175,000		0	0	o	0		ľ	3				2		Ļ	1
DEBING Area	175 000	ø	o	0 (75,0	000	3004	175,00	2,20	00,000,4	000.571 0		0	45,000	ਰ	٥	45,000	2,000	1,035,000		1,200 120	120,000 100,000	8	6	0000	200,000
duntrial Area	160,000	•	0	0	98	0	00.091		-	00000		0	450,090	•	0	450,000	,0	-5	100.000	0	0 1000	8	70		
use Phold Area	35,000		0	5	980	3,400,1	000,25,000	103,60	00'90K'K	000,8% 000	·.	<u>0</u>	17,300	6	o	17,00	70,600	1235500	4 0000	200	126,000 30,0	8		0 200.KD0	12.071.500
M Arm	77 500	ö	0	1	8	6	17.50	9	c	0 77,500		0	17.500	0	0	17,500	0	ō	Ö	0		0	ō	-	_
de Plem Area	7.50	6	0	77	36.	00 2,K52,G	7 50	9	0	77,500		0	0	0	o	ō	0	6	ō	ó	0	0	0	0 76 100	2,842,000
ils Pond Area	80,00	-	0	0,00	8	0	00°0x	2	ं : :	000'0x 0		0	•	0	0	5	0	0	5			-	0	0	
rest Area	*	T	•	•	•	0	0		·	0		0	10,000	0	0	10,000	13,400	138,000	7 000'01	ć	24,000	0		16.200	162,000
md/R.wer		ا	0	0	0	0	0	0	) (	0	14,740	0	0	14,740	0	0	00:00	0		4,200	¢.	0		0 203 060	

Table A 16.2.3 Route-2

		Section 1			Saction ?			Soction 3			Section A			
		1 11011000		•	Security 4	00.	•		270			940	202	
	>	•	_	1	ŀ	2		ŀ	200	^	+		777	<del>_</del>
Description	4	+	001	•	4	565	\$	.+	335	٢	+	190		
	1	: : (a)	4,100	$\Gamma$	11	3.588	L	11	3.805	1	В	1.818	1/=	( <del>)=</del> 13.311
:	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Unit Price	Arca	Amount	Arca	Amount
-	Ro.	m2	Rp. x1,000	Rp.	m2	Rp.x1,000	₽. 20.	m2	Rp.x1,000	83	m2	Rp.x1,000	m2	Rp.N.1.000
Commercial Area	175,000	0	0	175,000	0	0	175,000	0	0	175,000	0	0	0	0
Housing Area	175,000	2,000	350,000	175,000	41,900	7,332,500	175,000	10,300	1,802,500	175,000	14,200	2,485,000	68,400	11.970,000
Industrial Area	160,000	17,000	2,720,000	160,000	0	0	160,000	0	0	160,000	0	0	17,000	2,720,000
Ricc Field Area	85,000	24,150	2,052,750	85,000	0	0	85,000	56,700	4,819,500	85,000	83,200	7,072,000	164,050	13,944,250
Fieled Area	77,000	0	Ö	77,000	0	C	77,000	40,700	3,133,900	77,000	ō	0	40,700	3,133,900
Salt Farm Area	77,000	0	0	77,000	0	0	77,000	0	0	77,000	ō	0	0	0
Fish Pond Area	80,000	37,400	2,992,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	37,400	2,992,000
Foret Area	0	0	<u></u>	ਠ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Road/River	0	18,000	0	0	65,975	0	0	44,500	0	0	1,300	0	129,775	Ō
Total of Route-2		98,550	8.114.750		107,875	7,332,500		152,200	9.755.900		98.700	9.557.000	457.325	34.760.150

Table A 16.2.4 Route-3

		Section 1			Section 2		2	TOTAL
	0	+		т	+	500		
Description	<b>Ω</b>	+	200	<b>∞</b>	+	220		
	L	p	3.500	Ţ	II	4.7	L=8.2	8.2
	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Area	Amount
	Rp	m2	Rp.N. 1,000	Rp.	m2	Rp.x1,000	m2	Rp.N1,000
Commercial Area	250,000, 20,775	20,775	5,193,750	300,000	12,900	3,870,000	33,675	9,063,750
Housing Area	250,000	250,000 11,025	2,756,250	200,000	39.525	7,905,000	50,550	10.661.250
Industrial Area	250,000	50,000 13,950	3,487,500	200,000	6,975	1,395,000,	•	4,882,500
Rice Field Area	175,000	11.775	2.060.625	140,000	6,375	892,500	18,150	2,953,125
Field Area	165,000	0	0		0	0	0	0
Salt Farm Area	0	0	0		0	0	0	
Fish Pond	0	0	0		0	0	0	0
Forest Area	0	0	0		O	6	0	:
Road/river	0	38.350	0		43,850	10	82,200	0
Total		95.875	95.875 13.498.125		109.625	109,625   14,062,500   205,500   27,560,625	205,500	27.560,625

Table A 16.2.5 Route-4

		Coction			Nection 2			Section 3			Section 4		:	Section 5			
:	0	- :	.009	7	+	ii ii	13			**	+	200	<u>e</u> ;	<b>(</b>	001	TOTAL	¥.
Description		1.	និ	12	* : + :	0	<b>≊</b> -	+ +	200	61 -		0.6	<b>13</b> 13	) [  -		1,= 27.6	27.6
	Tions Serve	1, A	Tanoury 1	Linit Prograf	Arca	Amount	Unit Price	\range gard	Amount	Unit Price	Arcs		Unit Price	Arca	Amount	Arca	Amount
	25.			Ro	25		₽	E	Rex1,000	Rp	т2	Rp.x1,000	Rp.		Rp.x1,000	m2	Rp.x1,000
		1	١	100000		4-	1000 0x	°	0	1 x0.000	7,000	1,260,000	1000,081	32,500	5,850,000	39,500	7,110,000
Commercial Area		>	5 (	000'08'	٥ <del>٠</del>		00000		-	70 000	32 X50	5.584.500	170 000	58.075	9×72,750	90,925	15,457,250
Housing Area	45,000	0	3	170,000	<b>ວ</b> ົ	ο ¯	000,071	• ·	-				144,000	7.450	000 750	6.450	000 750
Industrial Arce	450.000	0	ō	155,000	O	<u> </u>	155,000	<b>.</b>	<u>-</u>	000 001	>	5	200,00	200	000000	2000	2000
Pice Lield Acre	17 500	121 X00	2 131 500	75 000	0	6	75.000	0	5	75,000	0	5	000,07	007.4	000,000,0	000000	JOC 000'
Wine Fred Mich	000	í -		17.500			72 500	ç	0	72,500	0	0	72,500	0	6	170,x00	2,989,000
Field Area	2000	7700011	300°C	000001	> ·	5 1					-		Č	C	70	c	0
Salt Farm Area	0	0	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	0	o	0			š ?			· c	0	T &	C	
Fish Pond	0	0	5	ō	0	o -	0	0	5	> (	5 (	÷ (	> <			907 00	000,000
Scorest Arms	12,000	20.400	244 800		0	ć	5	0	<u> -</u>	5.	5	5	5	>	>	204,02	200
Road/River	Č			Ĉ	191 000	c	С	217,300	0	10	58,150	c	0	73,925)	0	540.375	٩
Total of Dough A		213 000	4 37.5 3001		191,000	C		217,300	0		000'86	6,X44,500)		245,150	245,150, 22,287,500]	1,064,450	34,497,300
LOCAL OF KOURC-4		WWW.C.)	J		121												

Table A 16.2.6 Route-5

Secretion   T			Section 1			Section 2			Section 3			Section 4		:	
Semption   T   + 170   16   + 155   19   + 500   22   + 155   19   + 500   22   + 155   19   + 500   22   + 155   19   + 500   22   + 155   10   20   20   20   20   20   20   20	•	0	+	300	7	+	170	91	+	155	19	+	200	70 10	TOTAL
Unit Price   Area   Amount   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Area   Amount   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Unit Price   Area   Unit Price   Uni	Dougston	. •	+	120	72	f	155	- 61	•	200	ដ	•	. 088		
Unit Price   Area   Amount   Unit Price   Unit		·	, n	40 km		i	9.0 km	-1	¢i	3.3 km	<u>ر</u> د	*	3.4 km	1	L= 22.6 km
Rp.		Tant Day	1	Amount	I lost Proce	Ance	Amount	Unit Price	Ves	٠	Unit Price	Area	Amount	Area	Amount
Second Area   Color		Do	Ì	Pn v 1 000	Č.	Ca.	Rp.x1.000	Ro	Ę	Rp.x1,000	Rp.	m2	Rp.x1,000	E E	Rp x 1,000
Accelerated 45,000 0 170,000 19,775 3,361,750 170,000 89,150 15,155,500 170,000 170,000 19,775 11,809,875 15,000 170,000 150,000 150,000 171,575 11,809,875 155,000 155,000 150,250 17,500 142,800 24,999,000 159,250 17,550 17,550 17,550 17,08,875 17,500 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,750 17,500 17,750 17	Commence Arms		10	C	200 000	0	0	200,000	0	0	200,000	0	0	0	ō
1,000   0   165,000   165,000	Commercial Acts	9	•		170.000	19.775			89.150	15.155.500	170,000	0	0	108,925	18,517,250
Fig. Area 17,500 142,800 2,489,000 159,250 8,758,750 55,000 30,850 1,696,750 55,000 0 25,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	fadirents Ame	000 030	÷ .		165 000	0			71,575	11,809,875	165,000	0	0	71 575	11,809,875
Area 17,500 97,650 1,708,875 25,000 82,950 2,073,750 25,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 25,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Pice Einfel Ages	17.500	142 800	2 400 000	\$5,000	159 250		55,000	30,850		\$5,000	0	0	332,900	12,954,500
am Avea  ond  Avea  O	Tield Area	17 500	07.650	1 70× ×75	25,000	82.950	:	25 000	0	õ	25,000	0	0	180,600	3,782,625
ond - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Nati Farm Area		0	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
River 50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Fish Pond		ō	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
River 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Forest Area		0	0	ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0
751 751 751 751 751 751 751 751 751 751	Pond/River	<b>G</b>	-	0	ō	0	0	-i-c	10,500	0	10	118,300	O	128,800	0
	Total		740 450	4 207 X75	-	261 975	14,194,250	-	202,075	28.662,125		118,300	C	822,800	47,064,250

# Appendix 17.1 Equations for Vehicle Operating Costs

#### A) Equations of Fuel Consumption

where: Y = Fuel consumption (liter/1,000 Km)

S = Running speed (Km per hour)

Note) Sedan/Van includes Sedan/Van(Private)/Pick-up.

# B) Equations of Engine Oil Consumption

Sedan/Van	Y = 0.000	025 S*S	- 5	0.02664	S	1,44171
Mini/Medium Bus	Y = 0.000	057 S*S	· ·	0.06130	S	3.31753
Large Bus	Y = 0.00	130 S*S	-	0.12968	S -	7.06239
Small/Medium Truck (2-axles)	Y = 0.000	048 S*S		0.05608	S -	3.07383
Large Truck (3-axles)	Y = 0.00	100 S*S	- 2 -	0.11715	$\mathbf{S}$	6,40962

where: Y = Engine oil consumption (liter/1,000 Km)

# C) Equations of Tyre Wear

Sedan/Van	4 1 4	Y = (0.0008848  S - 0.0045333)
Bus		Y = (0.0012356  S - 0.0064667)
Truck		Y = (0.0011553 S - 0.0059333)

where: Y = Total tyre wear of vehicle equated as wear of one tyre per 1,000km

## D) Equations of Maintenance Cost

## (1) Maintenance Cost on Parts

```
Sedan/Van Y = (0.0000064 S + 0.0005567)
Bus Y = (0.0000332 S + 0.0020891)
Truck Y = (0.0000191 S + 0.0015400)
```

where: Y = Maintenance parts equated as the depreciable value of the vehicle per 1,000Km

#### (2) Maintenance Hour of Labour

Sedan/Van			Υ =	(0.00362 S + 0.36267)
Bus	:		Y =	(0.02311 S + 1.97733)
Truck			Y =	(0.01511 S + 1.21200)

where: Y = Hours of maintenance labour per 1,000 Km

# E) Equations of Depreciation

Sedan/Van Y = 1/(2.500 S + 125)Bus Y = 1/(8.756 S + 350)Truck Y = 1/(6.129 S + 245)

where: Y = Depreciation per 1,000 Km, equated as the depreciable value of the vehicle

## F) Equations of Interest

Sedan/Van  $Y = (0.15 \times 1000)/(500 \text{ S})$ Bus  $Y = (0.15 \times 1000)/(2571 \text{ S})$ Truck  $Y = (0.15 \times 1000)/(1714 \text{ S})$ 

where: Y = Interest per 1,000 Km, equated as one half the value of the vehicles (interest rate = 15% per annum).

## G) Equations of Insurance

Sedan/Van  $Y = (0.035 \times 1000 \times 0.5)/(500 \text{ S})$ Bus  $Y = (0.040 \times 1000 \times 0.5)/(2500 \text{ S})$ Truck  $Y = (0.060 \times 1000 \times 0.5)/(1750 \text{ S})$ 

where: Y = Insurance cost per 1,000 Km, equated as one half the value of the vehicle

## H) Equations of Travelling Hours for Wages

Bus Y = 1000/S Truck Y = 1000/S

where: Y = Travelling time per 1,000 Km

## Average Crew Size per Vehicle:

Minibus (Public)	=	Driver:	1.0 . Conductor	:	0.5
Large Bus	= [	Driver :	1.0 , Conductor		1.0
Small/Medium Truck (2-axles)	=	Driver:	1.0 , Assistant	1	1.0
Large Truck (3-axles)	=	Driver:	1.0, Assistant	:	2.0

## 1) Overbead

Bus = 10% of subtotal of A) to H) above Truck = 10% of subtotal of A) to H) above

Parameters		Psg. Car/Van	Bus		Truck
Average Year-Round Speed (	Km/hour)	50	40	'	40
Average Annual Distance Try	elled (Km)	25,000	100,000		70,000
Average Service Life (years)		10	7	. :	7
Life Time Distance Travelled	(Km)	250,000	700,000		490,000

# Appendix 17.2 Project Economic Analysis

Table A17.2.1

## **Economic Cash Flow for Route-1**

EIRR = 26.66%

NPV = 595,023 (Million Rp.)

B/C = 2.27(Discount Rate = 15.00%)

(Million Rp.) Costs Net Benefits Year O/M Total Ċash Total Investment VOC Time Flow Cost Costs Costs Saving Saving -21,116 21,116 21,116 1998 -39.062 39.062 39,062 1999 39,062 -39,062 39,062 2000 251,041 -251,041 251,041 2001 -251,041 251,041 251.041 2002 -254,543 254,543 254,543 2003 123,844 137,940 261,783 4,647 4,647 257,136 2004 280,871 4,647 4,647 276,224 2 2005 132,170 148,701 4,647 4,647 295,312 3 140,496 159,463 299,959 2006 314,399 170,224 319,047 4,647 4,647 4 2007 148,822 4,647 4,647 333,487 5 157,149 180,986 338,135 2008 4.647 352,575 357,222 4,647 165,475 191,747 6 2009 371,663 376,310 4,647 4,647 202,509 7 2010 173,801 4,647 390,751 395,398 4,647 8 2011 182,128 213,271 409,839 4,647 4,647 9 2012 190,454 224,032 414,486 409,450 433,574 (19,476)4,647 24,123 10 2013 198,780 234,794 448,014 4,647 4,647 Ħ 2014 207,107 245,555 452,662 467,102 4,647 4,647 12 2015 215,433 256,317 471,749 486,190 13 2016 223,759 267,078 490,837 4,647 4,647 14 2017 232,085 277,840 509,925 4,647 4,647 505,278 15 2018 240,412 288,601 529,013 4,647 4,647 524,365 2019 244,575 293,982 538,557 4,647 4,647 533,909 16 548,101 4,647 4,647 543,453 2020 248,738 299,363 17 4,647 4,647 552,997 2021 304,743 557,645 18 252,901 4,617 4,617 562,541 19 257,064 310,124 567,188 2022 (19,476)4,647 24,123 552,609 315,505 576,732 20 2023 261,227 4,647 581,629 4,647 21 2024 265,391 320,886 586,276 4,647 4,647 591,173 22 2025 269,554 326,267 595,820 4,647 600,717 23 2026 273,717 331,647 605,364 4,647 610,261 24 2027 277,880 337,028 614,908 4,647 4,647 25 2028 282,043 342,409 624,452 4,647 4,647 619,805 347,790 633,996 4,647 4,647 629,348 26 2029 286,206 4,647 4,617 638,892 27 2030 290,369 353,170 643,540 4,647 648,436 2031 294,533 653,084 4,647 28 358,551 657,980 2032 363,932 662,628 4,647 4,647 29 298,696 4,647 24,123 648,048 2033 672,172 (19,476)30 302,859 369,313 13,957,720 139,420 1,053,712 Total 6,837,667 8,173,766 15,011,433 855,864

EIRR = 26.53%

NPV = 134,108 (Million Rp.)

B/C = 2.31 (Discount Rate = 15.00%)

<del></del>	W		DaneCa		<del></del>			Million Rp.
	Year	1700	Benefits	T-1.1	•	Costs	- m 1	Net
	1 .	VOC	Time	Total	Investment Cost	O/M	Total	Cash
	1009	Saving	Saving		3,926	Costs	Costs 3,926	Flow -3,920
	1998 1999				3,926 19,118		19,118	-3,920 -19,118
	2000		1.3		19,118		19,118	-19,118
	2000				46,678	13000	46,678	-19,118
	2002		:		46,678		46,678	-46.678
	2002				47,291		47,291	47.29
1	2004	19,126	42,568	61,694	41,271	273	273	61,42
2:	2005	21,076	44,274	65,350		273	273	65,076
3	2006	23,026	45,979	69,005		273	273	68,73
4	2007	24,977	47,684	72,660		273	273	72,387
5	2008		49,389	76,315		273	273	76,04.
6	2009		51,094	79,971		273	273	79,69
7	2010	and the second second	52,799	83,626		273	273	
	2010	32,777		83,020 87,281			and the second second second	83,35
8	2012		54,504 56,209	90,937		273 273	273	87,00
	2012		57,914		(F.0.40)	* *	273	90,66
10 11	2013	36,678	59,619	94,592	(5,040)	273	5,313	89,27
12	2014		61,324	98,247		273	273	97,97
13	2013			101,902		273	273	101,62
14			63,029	105,557		273	273	105,28
15	2017 2018	44,478	64,734	109,213		273	273	103,939
15	2019	46,429	66,439	112,868		273	273	112,59
17	2019		67,292	114,696		273	273	114,42
18	2020		68,144	116,523		273	273	116.25
19	2021	49,354	68,997	118,351		273	273	118,07
20	2022	50,329 51,304	69,850 70,702	120,179	15.040	273	273	119,90
21	2023	52,279		122,006	(5,040)	273	5,313	116,69
22	2025	53,254	71,555 72,407	123,834		273	273	123,560
23	2026	54,229	73,260	125,661 127,489		273 273	273	125,38
24	2020	55,204	73,200				273	127,210
25	2028	56,180	74,112	129,317 131,144		273	273	129,01
26	2029	57,155	74,963 75,817	131,144		273	273	130,87
27	2039	58,130	75,617 76,670	134,799		273	273	132,699
28	2031		70,670 77,522	And the second second		273	273	134,520
28 29	2031	59,105 60,080	77,322 78,375	136,627		273	273	136,35
30	2032	61,055	79,227	138,455	/¢ 0.40\	273	273	138,18
. JU	Total	1,305,100		140,282	(5,040)	273	5,313	134,969
	ICIAL	1,303,100	1,916,453	3,221,552	182,809	8,198	206,127	3,015,42

EIRR = 23.93%

NPV = 37,473 (Million Rp.)

B/C = 2.06 : (Discount Rate = 15.00%)

	Year		Benefits			Costs		Net
	1(41	VOC	Time	Total	Investment	O/M	Total	Cash
	1	Saving	Saving		Cost	Costs	Costs	Flow
	1998				704	7 1 1	704	-704
	1999	8	: · · ·		15,159	100	15,159	-15,159
	2000	* * * 1			15,159		15,159	15,159
	2001				8,373		8,373	-8,37.
	2002				8,373		8,373	-8,37.
	2003				8,713		8,713	-8,71
	2004	9,545	10,331	19,877		166	166	19,710
2	2005	9,928	10,930	20,858		166	166	20,69
3	2006	10,311	11,529	21,840		166	166	21,67
4	2007	10,694	12,128	22,822	1	166	166	22,65
5	2008	11,077	12,726	23,804	100	166	166	23,63
6	2009	11,460	13,325	24,786		166	166	24,61
7	2010	11,843	13,924	25,767		166	166	25,60
8	2011	12,226	14,523	26,749		166	166	26,58
9		12,609	15,122	27,731	+,*	166	166	27,56
10	2013	12,992	15,721	28,713	(1,198)	166	1,365	27,34
11	2014	13,375	16,319	29,695	, , ,	166	166	29,52
12	2015	13,758	16,918	30,676	÷ .	166	166	30,51
13	2016	14,141	17,517	31,658		166	166 :	31,49
14	2017	14,524	18,116	32,640		166	166	32,47
15	2018	14,907	18,715	33,622		166	166	33,45
16	2019	15,099	19,014	34,113		166	166	33,94
17	2020	15,290	19,313	34,604	•	166	166	34,43
18	2021	15,482	19,613	35,094		166	166	34,92
19	2022	15,673	19,912	35,585		166	166	35,41
20	2023	15,865	20,212	36,076	(1,198)	166	1,365	34,71
21	2024	16,056	20,511	36,567		166	166	35,40
22		16,248	20,810	37,058		166	166	36,89
23	2026	16,439	21,110	37,549		166	166	37,38
24	2027	16,631	21,409	38,040		166	166	37,87
25	2028	16,822	21,709	38,531		166	166	38,36
26	2029	17,014	22,008	39,022		166	166	38,85
27	2030	17,205	22,308	39,513		166	166	39,34
28	2031	17,397	22,607	40,003	1	166	166	39,83
29		17,588	22,906	40,494		166	166	40.32
30		17,780	23,206	40,985	(1,198)	166	1,365	39,62
	Total	429,980	534,491	964,471	56,481	4,993	65,068	899,40

EIRR = 30.99%

**NPV** = 185,550 (Million Rp.)

B/C = 2.71 (Discount Rate = 15.00%)

<del></del>		<del></del>	D	<del></del>			Costs		Million Rp.) Net
	Year _	1100	Benefits	T-1-1		3 9		Total	Cash
		voc	Time	Total	Investment		O/M Costs	Costs	Flow
1	*000	Saving	Saving	<del></del>	Cost		CUSIS	4,157	-4,157
1.	1998				4,157 18,974			18,974	-18,974
111	1999							18,974	18,974
100	2000			1	18,974	1.		49,416	49,416
	2001				49,416			49,416	-49,416
: '	2002		1	18 24 5 8	49,416				
	2003				50,560		613	50,560	-50,560
l	2004	33,758	58,509	92,267			542	542	91,725
: 2	2005	35,087	59,093	94,180			542	542	93,638
3		36,415	59,678	96,093	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		542	542	95,550
4	2007	37,743	60,262	98,005			542	542	97,463
5		39,071	60,847	99,918	. **		542	542	99,376
6	2009	40,399	61,431	101,831			542	542	101,288
7		41,728	62,016	103,743			542	542	103,201
. ,8	2011	43,056	62,600	105,656		100	542	. 542	105,114
9	2012	44,384	63,185	107,569			542	542	107,026
10	2013	45,712	63,769	109,481	(12,538)		542	13,081	96,401
11	2014	47,040	61,354	111,394	•		542	542	110,852
12	2015	48,368	64,938	113,307			542	542	112,764
. 13	2016	49,697	65,523	115,219			542	542	114,677
14	2017	51,025	66,107	117,132		- 1	542	542	116,590
15	2018	52,353	66,692	119,045			542	542	118,502
16	2019	53,017	66,984	120,001			542	542	119,459
17	2020	53,681	67,276	120,958			542	542	120,415
18	2021	54,345	67,569	121,914			542	542	121,371
19	2022	55,009	67,861	122,870		: '	542	542	122,328
20	2023	55,673	68,153	123,826	(12,538)		542	13,081	110,746
21	2024	56,337	68,445	124,783			542	542	124,240
22	2025	57,002	68,738	125,739	•		542	542	125,197
23	2026	57,666	69,030	126,695			542	542	126,153
24	2027	58,330	69,322	127,652			542	542	127,109
25	2028	58,994	69,614	128,608			542	542	128,066
26		59,658	69,907	129,565	:		542	542	129,022
27	2030	60,322	70,199	130,521		*	542	542	129,978
28		60,986	70,491	131,477			542	542	130,935
29		61,650	70,783	132,433		. 1	542	542	131,891
30		62,314	71,076	133,390	(12,538)	:	542	13,081	120,309
	Total	1,510,819	1,974,453	3,485,272	191,495		16,272	245,382	3,239,890

EIRR = 29.48%

NPV = 194,659 (Million Rp.)

B/C = 2.69

(Discount Rate = 15.00%)

	·					<u> </u>		Million Rp.)
	Үеаг _		Benefits			Costs		Net
	<del></del>	VOC	Time	Total	Investment	O/M	Total	Cash
		Saving	Saving		Cost	Costs	Costs	Flow
1	1998				4,081	1	4,081	4,081
	1999				25,885	1.1	25,885	-25,885
	2000				25,885		25,885	-25,885
	2001		ET A TOTAL		48,514		48,514	-48,514
	2002				48,514	:	48,514	-48,514
	2003	1 1	\$		49,450		49,450	-49,450
1	2004	43,559	44,683	88,242		4 <b>64</b>	461	87,778
2	2005	45,948	45,835	91,784		464	464	91,319
3	2006	48,338	46,988	95,325		464	464	94,861
4	2007	50,727	48,140	98,867	* :	464	464	98,402
- 5	2008	53,116	49,292	102,408	Section 1	464	464	101,944
6	2009	55,506	50,444	105,949		461	464	105,485
7	2010	57,895	51,596	109,491		464 :	464	109,026
8	2011	60,284	52,748	113,032		464	464	112,568
9	2012	62,674	53,900	116,574		464	464	116,109
10	2013	65,063	55,052	120,115	(3,343)	464	3,807	116,308
11	2014	67,452	56,204	123,656		461	464	123,192
12	2015	69,842	57,356	127,198		464	464	126,734
13	2016	72,231	58,509	130,739		464	464	130,275
: 14	2017	74,620	59,661	134,281		464	464	133,816
15	2018	77,009	60,813	137,822		464	464	137,358
16	2019	78,201	61,389	139,593		464	464	139,128
17	2020	79,399	61,965	141,364		464	464	140,899
18	2021	80,593	62,541	143,134		464	464	142,670
19	2022	81,788	63,117	144,905		464	464	144,441
20	2023	82,983	63,693	146,676	(3,343)	464	3,807	142,869
21	2024	84,177	64,269	148,446	• • • •	464	464	147,982
22	2025	85,372	64,845	150,217		464	464	149,753
23	2026	86,567	65,421	151,988		464	461	151,523
24	2027	87,761	65,997	153,759		464	461	153,294
25	2028	88,956	66,573	155,529		464	464	155,065
26	2029	90,151	67,149	157,300		464	464	156,836
27	2030	91,345	67,725	159,071		464	464	158,606
28	2031	92,540	68,301	160,841		464	464	160,377
29	2032	93,735	68,877	162,612		464	464	162,148
30	2033	94,929	69,453	164,383	(3,343)	464	3,807	160,576
	Total	2,202,763	1,772,536	3,975,299	202,329	13,930	226,287	3,749,012

Appendix 17.3 Economic Sensitivity Analysis for Delay of Implementation (Change of NPV (Net Present Value))

	(Change of NPV (Ne	t Present Value))	
			(Unit: Million Rp.)
(1) Route-1	Base Case	Case of	Case of
(1) 11,001,0		One Year	Three Year
		Delay of	Delay of
		Implementation	Implementation
Discounted	1,062,910 (a)	964,496 (d)	787,412 (g)
Benefit	1,002,710 (11)	(a) $\cdot$ (d) = (98,414)	(a) $\cdot$ (g) = (275,498)
Discounted	467,887 (b)	406,722 (e)	307,491 (h)
	407,007 (0)	(b) $-$ (e) $=$ (61,165)	(b) - (h) = (160,396)
Cost	505 022 (-)	557,774 (f)	479,921 (i)
Discounted Net	595,023 (c)		$(c) \cdot (i) = (115,102)$
(Net Present Value)		(c) - (f) = $(37,249)$	$(c) \cdot (i) = (113,102)$
[	T	Case of	Case of
(1) Route-2	Base Case		Three Year
		One Year	
		Delay of	Delay of
		Implementation	Implementation
Discounted	236,369 (a)	213,176 (d)	172,198 (g)
Benefit		(a) - (d) = (23,193)	(a) - (g) = (64,171)
Discounted	102,261 (b)	88,893 (e)	67,213 (h)
Cost		(b) $\cdot$ (e) = (13,368)	(b) - (h) = (35,048)
Discounted Net	134,108 (c)	124,283 (f)	104,985 (i)
(Net Present Value)		(c) - (f) = (9,825)	$(c) \cdot (i) = (29,123)$
(1) Route-3	Base Case	Case of	Case of
		One Year	Three Year
		Delay of	Delay of
		Implementation	Implementation
Discounted	72,872 (a)	65,400 (d)	52,373 (g)
Benefit		(a) - (d) = $(7,472)$	(a) $-(g) = (20,499)$
Discounted	35,399 (b)	30,774 (e)	23,268 (h)
Cost		(b) $\cdot$ (e) = (4.625)	$(b) \cdot (b) = (12,131)$
Discounted Net	37,473 (c)	34,626 (f)	29,105 (i)
(Net Present Value)		(c) - (f) = $(2,847)$	(c) - (i) = (8,368)
(1) Route-4	Base Case	Case of	Case of
		One Year	Three Year
		Delay of	Delay of
		Implementation	Implementation
Discounted	293,960 (a)	259,273 (d)	201,170 (g)
Benefit		(a) - (d) = (34,687)	$(a) \cdot (g) = (92,790)$
Discounted	108,410 (b)	94,195 (e)	
Cost		(b) $\cdot$ (e) = (14,215)	(b) - (b) = (37,191)
Discounted Net	185,550 (c)		
(Net Present Value)		(c) - (f) = (20,472)	(c) - (i) = (55,599)
(C.			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(1) Route-5	Base Case	Case of	Case of
,	la anti-	One Year	Three Year
The second of the		Delay of	Delay of
		Implementation	Implementation
Discounted	309,822 (a)	<del>                                </del>	
Benefit	307,022 (a)	$(a) \cdot (d) = (33,173)$	(a) - (g) = (90,275)
Discounted	115,163 (b)	<del>                                     </del>	
Cost	113,103 (0)	(b) - (e) = (15,043)	$(b) \cdot (h) = (39,462)$
Discounted Net	101 (50 (-)		<del> </del>
	194,659 (c)		1
(Net Present Value)	1	(c) - (f) = $(18,131)$	(c) - (i) = (50,812)

	Appendix 18.1	18.7	Profit and Loss			1						
			("Cost Base Case"		Equity/Loa	and Equity/Loan Ratio : 40%/60%)	(9/60%)				<b>S</b>	(Million Rp.)
	 	¢i	'n	4	S	۰	7	<b>∞</b>	6	2	=======================================	2
Year	. 8661	6661	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
I. REVENUE					:							
1 Toll Revenue		:				:						
(a) Toll Revenue (Gross)					-		98,707	101,670	121,895	125,552	152,495	157,733
(b) Revenue Sharing to JASA MARGA					2.1		0	0	0	0	0	0
(c) Net Toll Revenue ((a) - (b))			Ī				98,707	101.670	121,895	125,552	152,495	157,733
2 Other Income		•					2.000	2,000	2.000	2,000	2.000	2,000
3 Buy Out Revenue			e e				0	0	0	0	ō	0
(REVENUE Total) <1>	-			-			100,707	103,670	123.895	127,552	154,495	159,733
II. EXPENDITURE	:								f			
1 Operational	• .	: :					9,299	9,857	10,443	11,075	11,740	12,444
(a) O/M Costs				- :			(6,980)	(4399)	(7.843)	(8,313)	(8,812)	(9.341)
(b) Overhead Cost							(1.396)	(1.480)	(1.569)	(1,663)	(1,762)	(1,868)
(c) Property Tax							(923)	(626)	(1,037)	(1.100)	(1.166)	(1,235)
(Gross Profit: <1> - <2>)							91,408	93,813	113,446	115,477	142,755	147,289
2 Depreciation <3>				:			60,836	928'09	968'09	90,836	958'09	47,592
(a) (Construction)					 		(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)
(b) (Toll equipement)				:	:		(944)	(944)	(944)	(\$44)	(\$4	9
(c) (Overlay)						:	<u> </u>	ê	<u>©</u>	<u></u>	6	9
(purg) (p)					: '		(12,299)	(12,299)	(12.299)	(12,299)	(12,299)	9
(c) (Interest During Construction)							(3.624)	(3,624)	(3,624)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3.624)
(Operating Profit; <1>-<2>-<3>)							30,573	32,977	52.611	55.642	81,920	969'66
3 Interest for Long-term Loan							74,369	74,369	74,369	70.650	63,213	55,776
4 Interest for Short-term Loan							0	1.552	2,933	999	9.864	13,666
5 Foreign Currency Loss		1					24,799	24,799	24.799	23.559	21.079	18,599
(EXPENDITURE Total)		-					169,302	171,411	173,384	166,783	166,732	148.078
III. Profit Before Tax							-68.594	-67.742	49,489	39,231	-12,237	11,655
IV. Corporate Tax							0	0	0	0	0	0.
V. Profit After Tax							-68.594	-67.742	49,489	-39,231	-12,237	11,655
VI. Accumulated Profit After Tax							-68.594	-136,336	-185.825	-225.056	-237,293	-225,638

	Appendix 18.1		Profit and Loss (Continued-1	103) SSS	innuce-1)		:	:			2	
		~	"Cost Base Case"		and Equity/Loan	Ratio: 40%/60%)	(%0%)				Ī	(Million Rp.)
	13	4	5:	91	17	81	6)	ន	<u>-</u> 1	81	<u>:</u> الم	Ž.
Year	2010	201	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
1. REVENUE							: .	- 		- 1 - 1 - 1		
1 Toll Revenue		•				:			· · · ·	- ;	. ;	- ;
(a) Toll Revenue (Gross)	190,570	169'961	237.998	245,178	295,815	304,220	365,433	375,269	450,815	4 2 2 2 2	529,113	575,886
(h) Revenue Shanne to JASA MARGA	0	0	0	0	14,791	15,211	18,272	18,763	22.84	46,434	55,911	57,589
(c) Nor Toll Revenue ( (a) - (b) )	190,570	169'961	237.998	245,178	281.024	289.009	347.161	356,505	428,274	417.907	503,202	518,298
2 Other Income	2,000	2.000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2.000	2.000	2.000	2,000	2,000	2:000	2,000
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	0	ō	0
(REVENTIR Total)	192,570	169.861	239.998	247.178	283,024	291,009	349,161	358,505	430,274	419.907	505.202	\$20,298
								· .		- 1		
	13,191	13,982	14,821	15,711	16,653	17,652	8.712	19.834	21,024	22.286	23,623	25,040
(a) OM Costs	(10.901)	(10,495)	(11,125)	(11,792)	(12,500)	(13,250)	(14,045)	(14.888)	(15.781)	(16,728)	(17,731)	(18,795)
(h) Overhead Cost	(1.980)	(2,099)	(2,225)	(2,358)	(2,500)	(2,650)	(2.809)	(2.978)	(3.156)	(3,346)	3,546	(3,759)
(v) Oresite Con	(1,310)	(1.388)	(1,471)	(1.560)	(1.653)	(1.753)	(1.858)	(1,969)	(2,087)	(2213)	(2,345)	(2,486)
Control (a)	179 170	184 708	225.176	231.467	266,371	273,357	330,450	338.671	409,250	397,621	481,579	495,257
Office Floring	47 502	47 592	47 592	\$4290	54,290	54,290	54.290	54,290	47,592	47.592	47,592	47,592
tion)	(43.968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43.968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43,968)	(43.968)	(43,968)
(x) (Toll equipment)	9	9	· 6	(169'1)	(1,691)	(1691)	(1691)	(1.691)	6	9	9	6
(c) (Charlot)	: ê	· ê	9	(5.007)	(5,007)	(5,007)	(5,007)	(5,007)	9	9	9	9
(d) (Land)	· •	9	9	<u> </u>	9	9	3	<b>②</b>	<b>©</b>	<u>e</u>	9	9
(e) (Interest During Construction)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3,624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3,624)	(3.624)
(Operating Profit: <1>- <>- <>> >>)	131,787	137,116	177,584	177,177	212,082	219:067	276,160	284,381	361.657	350.029	433,986	447.665
3 Interest for Long-term Loan	48.340	40.903	33,466	26.029	18.592	11.155	3.718	0	0	0	0	Ô
4 Interest for Short-term Loan	15,338	8.943	399	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	°
5 Foreign Currency Loss	16,119	13.639	11,159	8.679	6.200	3,720	1.240	0	0	0	0	٥
(EXPENDITURE Total)	140,580	125,060	107,438	104,709	95,735	86.817	77,960	74,124	28.617	828.69	71,215	72.633
III. Profit Before Tax	51,990	73,631	132,559	142,469	187,290	204,192	271.202	284,381	361.657	350.029	433,986	447,665
	0	10.896	39.768	42,741	56.187	61.258	81.361	85,314	108,497	105,009	130 196	2,300
	51.990	62,735	92,792	99,728	131,:03	142,935	189.841	199:067	253,160	245.020	303.791	313,386
1	-173,648	-110.913	-18.121	81,607	212,710	355.644	545,486	744,552	997.712	1,242,733	1.546.523	1,859,889

	A grace direct		Oracle and I am / Cantinued ?	ر مدد رزیمی	Samed 2)								
	Appenda to.		("Cost Base Case" and Equity/Loan Ratio: 40%/60%)	ase" and E	quity/Loan	Ratio : 40%	(%09/				(M	(Million Rp.)	
	33	36	27	28	29	30	31	32	33.	ä	35	36	
Year	2022	2023	2024	2025	5026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
I. REVENUE		:							<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>		
I Toll Revenue				. :						1		4.4	
(a) Toll Revenue (Gross)	694,348	715,180	860.859	886,679	1,035,953	1,035,953	1,211,032	1,211,032	1,415,858	1,415,858	1,654,912	1,654,912	/66,118,81
(b) Revenue Sharing to JASA MARGA	69,435	71.518	129.129	133,002	155,393	155,393	181,655	242,206	283,172	283.172	330.982	330,982	2,615,550
(c) Net Toll Revenue ( (a) - (b) )	624,913	643,662	731,730	753,677	880,560	880.560	1:029,377	968,826	1,132,687	1.132,687	1,323,930	1,323,930	16,196,407
2 Other Income	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2.000	2,000	2.000	2,000	200	2,000	2,000	5,000	000009
	ö	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥
	626,913	645,662	733,730	755.677	882,560	882.560	1,031,377	970,826	1,134,687	1.134.687	1,325,930	1.325.930	
R. EXPENDITURE			-			- 1			. P		-		:
	26,543	28.135	29,823	31,613	33,509	35,520	37.651	39,910	42,305	<b>4</b>	47.534	50,386	735,166
(a) O/M Costs	(19,923)	(21,118)	(22,385)	(23,728)	(25,152)	(36.661)	(28,261)	(29,957)	(31,754)	(33,659)	(35,679)	(37,820)	
(b) Overhead Cost	(3,985)	(4.224)	(4,477)	(4.746)	(5,030)	(5,332)	(5.652)	(5.991)	(6.351)	(6,732)	(7,136)	€26	
(c) Property Tax	(2,635)	(2,793)	(2,961)	(3,139)	(3,327)	(3.527)	(3,738)	(3.962)	(4,200)	(4,452)	(4,719)	(5.002)	
(Gross Profit : <1> - <2>)	600,370	617.527	703,907	724,064	849,050	847,040	993.726	930.915	1,092,382	1,089,843	1.278.396	1,275,544	
2 Depreciation <3>	47,592	29,586	15,618	15,618	15,618	15,618	3,624	3,624	3,624	3,624	3,624	3,624	1.147.764
(a) (Construction)	(43,968)	(43,968)	<u>e</u>	9	9	9	9	<u></u>	9	9	<u></u>	9	
(b) (Toll equipement)	9	(3,028)	(3.028)	(3,028)	(3,028)	(3,028)	9	6	9	<u> </u>	<u>(</u> )	<u></u>	
(c) (Overlay)	6	(8,966)	(8,966)	(8,966)	(8,966)	(996'8)	<u>©</u>	<u>(</u>	<u> </u>	<b>©</b>	<u> </u>	9	,
(d) (Land)	9	9	©	9	9	9	<u>©</u>	9	9	<u> </u>	9	€	
(e) (Interest During Construction)	(3,624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3.624)	(3,624)	(3,624)	(3,624)	(3.624)	
(Operating Profit: <1>-<>> <3>)	552,778	557,940	688,288	708,446	833,432	831.421	990,102	927.291	1.088.757	1.086,219	1,274,772	1.271.920	
3 Interest for Long-term Loan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ं	٥	\$94,949
4 Interest for Short-term Loan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ত	0	0	53,360
S Foreign Currency Loss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	198,388
(EXPENDITURE Total)	74,135	87.722	45,442	47.231	49.128	51,139	41.276	43,535	45.929	48,468	51,158	54,010	
III. Profit Before Tax	552,778	557.940	688,288	708,446	833,432	831 421	990,102	927.291	1.088,757	1.086,219	1,274,772	1.271.920	
IV. Corporate Tax	165,833	167.382	206.487	212,534	250.030	249.426	297,030	278.187	326,627	325,866	382,431	381.576	4,098,935
V. Profit After Tax	386,945	390,558	481,802	495.912	583,402	581,995	693.071	29.104	762,130	760.353	892,340	890.344	
VI. Accumulated Profit After Tax	2,246,833	2,637,392	3,119,193	3.6:5.106	4.198.508	4,780,503	5.473.574	6,122,678	6,884,808	7,645,161	8,537,501	9,427,845	

Appendix 18.2 Cash Flow

			"Cost Base	("Cost Base Case" and Equity/Loan Ratio: 40%/60%)	Fquity/Loan	Ratio: 40	70/60%)				3	(Million Rp.)
		ra -	60	4	×-	9	-	20	σ.	2	11	ij
Year	1998	6661	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	5006	2007	2008	5003
I. CASH-IN												
1 Financial					-		-			•		
tinoa .	19,083	29.852	31.643	108,088	189,563	0		- 1	:		-	
- Loan	0	0	O	179.968	125.363	370,747			- <del></del> -			
2 Operational		`				,			*			
Toll Revenue (Gross)	0	0	0	0	0	0	98.707	101,670	121.895	125,552	152,495	157,733
- Other Incomes	0	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
(CASB-IN TOTAL)	19.083	29,852	31.643	288.056	314,926	370,747	100,707	103,670	123.895	127.552	154,495	159,733
II. CASH-OUT				7								
1 Financial				<del></del>				:	-			
- Initial Investment	19.083	29,852	31,643	270,221	286,435	308,339						
- Interest During Construction	0	0	0	17,835	28,491	62,408	-					
Loan Principal Repayment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67,608	67,608	67,608
- Loan Interest Payment	0	6	0	0	0	0	74,369	74,369	74,369	70,650	63,213	55.776
- Foreign Currency Loss	0	0	ō	Ó	0	0	24,799	24,799	24,799	23,559	21,079	18,599
- Additional Investment	0	0	0	Ó	Ö	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0
- (Toll Equiment)	9	9	6	9	9	9	6	9	9	9	9	6
- (Overlay)	0	<b>©</b>	9	9	9	9	<u>©</u>	9	9	9	9	6
- Repayment of Short-term Loan	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	7.759	14,665	3,319	49,322	68,331
Payment of Interest of Short-term Loan	0	0	0	Ō	0	O	0	1.552	2,933	<b>8</b>	9.864	13,666
2 Operational		-						-	1			
- O/M Costs							086'9	7,399	7,843	8,313	8,812	9,341
Overhead Cost	- <del>1</del>	:				:	1336	1,480	1,569	1,663	1,762	1,868
- Revenue Sharing to JASA MARGA							0	0	Ó	0	0	0
- Property Tax	<del></del>	-		: .			923	626	1.037	1.100	1,166	1,235
- Corporate Tax	-	<u>-</u> -	:				0	0	0	Ó	ō	0
- 1	19.083	29.822	31,643	288,056	314,926	370.747	108,466	118,335	127.213	176,875	222,826	236.425
III. (CASR-IN) minus (CASH-OUT)	0	ō	0	0	0	0	-7,759	-14,665	-3,319	49,322	-68,331	-76,692
IV. Short-term Loan	0	ō	Ô	0	0	0	7,759	14,665	3,319	49,322	68,331	76.692
V. Net Cash Flow	0	0	0	0	0	į0	0	0	3	ō	õ	٥
VI. Accumulated Cash Flow	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	ō	C

(Continued-1)	
Flow	
CS.	
18.2	
Appendix	

		<b>,</b>	Cost Base	Case" and Equity/Loan Ratio: 40%/60%	quity/Loan	Ratio : 40%	(%09/9)				ν.	(Million Rp.)
	13	4.	15	.91	13	81	61	20	21	ដ	23	ষ
Year	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
I. CASH-IN	:	- <del></del>	:					:	•			
1 Financial		<u> </u>		<del></del>								
- Equity					:.							
Loan												
2 Operational			.::		; ; ; ;	1					-	<del></del>
- Toll Revenue (Gross)	190,570	196,691	237,998	245,178	295,815	304,220	365,433	375,269	450,815	464,341	559,113	575,886
- Other Incomes	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2000	2,000	2,000	5,000	2002	2,000	2,38
(CASH-IN TOTAL)	192,570	169'861	239,998	247,178	297.815	306,220	367,433	377.269	452,815	466.341	\$61,113	577.886
II. CASH-OUT					•	:			:	•		
7 Financial				:				:			•	
· Initial Investment			:						· .			
· Interest During Construction			. :			1		<del>-:-</del>				
- Loan Principal Repayment	67,608	67,608	809'29	67,608	809'19	809'29	809''	0	0	0	0	0
- Loan Interest Payment	48,340	40,903	33 466	26.029	18,592	11,155	3.718	O	0	0	0	0
Foreign Currency Loss	16,119	13,639	11,159	8,679	6,200	3,720	1.240	0	0	0	0	0
- Additional Investment	Ò	0	0	33,487	0	Φ.	0	0	0	0	5	0
- (Toll Equiment)	9	0	9	(8,454)	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	<u></u>
· (Overlay)	<u>e</u>	9	9	(25,033)	<u> </u>	9	9	9	9	<b>②</b>	<u></u>	<u>©</u>
- Repayment of Short-term Loan	76,692	44,717	766	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Payment of Interest of Short-term Loan	15,338	8,943	399	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0
2 Operational	· · · ·				:		<del></del> -					
O/M Costs	9,901	10.495	11,125	11,792	12,500	13,250	14.045	14,888	15,781	16,728	17,731	18,795
- Overhead Cost	1.980	2,099	2,225	2,358	2,500	2,650	2,809	2.978	3,156	3,346	3,546	3,759
- Revenue Sharing to JASA MARGA	0	0	ö	0	14,791	15,211	18,272	18,763	22,541	46,434	55.911	57.589
- Property Tax	1,310	1.388	1.471	1.560	1,653	1,753	1.858	696.	2,087	2213	2345	2,486
- Corporate Tax	0	10,896	39,768	42,741	56.187	. 61,258	81.361	85,314	108,497	105.009	130.196	134,300
(CASH-OUT TOTAL)	237.287	200,688	169,219	194,254	180.030	176,604	190,910	123,912	152,062	173,728	209,730	216,928
III. (CASH-IN) minus (CASH-OUT)	-44,717	-1,997	70.779	52,923	117,785	129,616	176.523	253,357	300,752	292,612	351,383	360,958
IV. Short-term Loan	44.717	1.997	0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	C	0	0
V. Net Cash Flow	0	0	70,779	52,923	117.785	129,616	176.523	253,357	300,752	292,612	351 383	360,958
VI. Accumulated Cash Flow	0	0	70.779	123,702	241.487	371,103	547,626	800,983	1,101,735	1.394.348	1,745,731	2,106,688

	Appendix 18.2	Ť	ash Flow	Cash Flow (Continued-2)	(2-p)			1.			?	/4/11/4/ Day	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
			Cost Base	"Cost Base Case" and Equity/Loan Katio: 40%/000%	quity/Loan	Katto: +U%	(01.00/			,		יין איניייי	
	XI	8	23	28.	53	9	3	32	Ç.	<b>+</b>	č.	ş	
Year	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	
I. CASHAIN	-								. 1				
1 Financial				<del></del>			:		!				
Ainba -					- <del></del>							-:	5/8,229
Loan										1			0,0,0,0
2 Operational		:				0	000		040	030 317	2,0,23,1	6107371	2011001
. Toll Revenue (Gross)	694,348	715,180	860,859	886,679	1,035,953	508,580.1	200112.1	200,112,1	00000	000 6	2000	71,6,400,	00009
- Other incomes	2.000	2.000	2,000	2,000	2.000		2.000	222	0000	300	610	2001	
(CASH-IN TOTAL)	696,348	717.180	862.859	888.679	1,037,953	1.037.953	1,213,032	1,213,032	1,417,858	1.417.858	1.656.912	716'900'1	
n. CASH-OUT		•				<del></del>					-,	<u>.</u>	*. *:
1 Financial							:	<u>·</u>	:				
- Initial Investment						· · ·	:						27.00.000
Interest During Construction				<del> :</del>			-	<del></del>	: .		-		108,73
- Loan Principal Repayment	0	0	0	O	0	0	ō	0	0	•	5	<del>o</del> .	676,078
Loan Interest Payment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<del>~</del>	3	<del></del>	594,948
. Foreign Currency Loss	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	5	5	38.38
- Additional Investment	<del>-</del>	59,970	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>	<u></u>	6	107,397	200.854
- (Toll Equiment)	ê	(15,140)	6	0	<u>ê</u>	9	<b>©</b>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u>e</u>	9	(27:14)	
- (Overlay)	9	(44,830)	<u>©</u>	9	9	<u>e</u>	<u>ē</u>	<u></u>	9	<b>©</b>	<u> </u>	(80,283)	
· Repayment of Short-term Loan	Ö	0	0	0	0	<del>~</del>	o	0	6	0 ,	ō (	<del>o</del> (	266,80
· Payment of Interest of Short-term Loan	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	ö	0	
2 Operational		:	1.					-					
- O/M Costs	19,923	21,118	22,385	23,728	25.152	79,97	28,261	29,957	31,784	53,659	6/0,03	27.820	551.61
Overhead Cost	3.985	4.224	4,477	4,746	5,030	5.332	5,652	5,991	6.35	0.732	0.1.7	400000	130.01
- Revenue Sharing to JASA MARGA	69,435	71,518	129,129	133,002	155,393	155,393	\$1,635	242.200	785,172	791177	290,982	330,362	)CC"C10"7
- Property Tax	2,635	2,793	2,961	3,139	3,327	3,527	3,738	3,962	4,200	4,452	4.719	2005	72.98
- Corporate Tax	165,833	167.382	206,487	212,534	250.030	249.426	297,030	278.187	326,627	325,866	382,431	381.576	4,098.93
(CASH-OUT TOTAL)	261,811	327,005	365,439	377.148	438,932	440,339	516,337	560,304	652,104	653,881	760.948	870.341	
III. (CASH-IN) minus (CASH-OUT)	434.537	390,174	497.420	511.531	599,021	597.613	969'969	652,728	765.755	763.978	895,965	786,571	
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	266.80
	434,537	390,174	497.420	511,531	120,662	597,613	969.969	652,728	765,755	763.978	895.965	786,571	
	2541225	2,931,400	3,428,820	3,940,351	4,539,372	5.136,985	5.833,681	6,486,409	7,252,163	8.016,141	8.912.106	9.698.677	
- 1													

FIRR (ROI and ROE) Appendix 18.3

			CONT DOOR	200	Cont Dank Care Blid Light House Address of the Control of the Cont		( ) ( )					
I. ROI:							: :	:				
FTRR = 16.9%		 	3	4	٧.	9	7	90	0	01	=======================================	2
:	1998	6661	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	5000
Investment	19,083	29,852	31,643	270,221	286,435	308,339	0	0	0,	0	0	0
Overational Expenditure	•	0	0		0	.0	9,299	9.857	10,448	11,075	11,740	12,444
Total Bevenie	0	0	0	0	0	0	100,707	103,670	123,895			159,733
Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROI)	-19.083	-29,852	-31,643	-31,643 -270,221	-286,435	-308,339	91,408	93,813	113,446	116,477	142,755	147,289
	:	1 4				*.		•				
(Note) 1) FIRE: Financial Internal Rate of Return		1) "Investme	n" includes	"Initial" and	4) "Investment" includes "Initial" and "Additional" Investments	Investment						

(Note) 1) FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return

5) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".

6) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing", "Other Income" and "Buy Out Revenue"

2) ROI: Return on Investment
3) NPV: Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

					-								6	Willion Rp.)
II. ROE:														
FIRE	16.7%		1	2	3	4	5	ø	7	96	٥	0	=	22
NPV =	65.591	(15.0%)	1998	6661	2000	2001	2002	2003	2002	2005	2006	2007	2008	508
Equity			19,083	29,822	31,643	108,088	189,563	0		:				
Operation	Operational Expenditure		0	0	0	0	0	0	9 299	9,857	10,448	11,075	11,740	12,444
Repaymen	Repayment of Long-term Loan		0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	809.79	809 19	809.79
Payment	Payment of Interest of Long-term Loan	Loan	0	0	0	0	0		74,369	74,369	74,369	70,650	63,213	55,776
Corporate Tax	Tax		.0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0		0	O.
Total Revenue	enuc		0	Ö	0	0	0	0	100,707	103,670	123,895	127,552	154,495	159,733
Net Cash	Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROE)		-19,083	-29.852	-31,643	-108,088	-189,563	0	17,040	. :		-21.781	11.934	23,904
						:								-

(Note) 1) FIRR; Financial Internal Rate of Return

3) NPV: Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

2) ROI; Return on Investment

4) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".

5) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing", "Other Income" and "Buy Out Revenue"

FIRR (ROI and ROE) (Continued-1) Appendix 18.3

"Cost Base Case" and Equity/Loan Ratio: 40%/60%)

(Million Rp.

I. KOI:												
FTRR = 16.9%	13	14	15	91	11	20	. 61 81	ន	77	77	23	\$5
NPV = 118,010 (15.0%)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Investment	0	0	0	33,487	0	0	0			0	0	0
Operational Expenditure	13,191	13,982	14,821							22,286		25,040
Total Revenue	192,570	169.861	239.998		283,024	291,009	349,161	358,505	430,274	419,907	505,202	520,298
Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROI)	179,379	184,708	225,176	197,980			1			397,621		495,257
							,		1			
(Note) 1) FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return	,	4) "Investment" includes "(nitial" and "Additional" Investments	nt" includes	"Initial" and	"Additional"	Investment					-	

5) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".

6) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing". "Other Income" and "Buy Out Revenue"

3) NPV: Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

2) ROI: Return on Investment

25,040 360,958 520,298 (Million Rp.) 24 2021 23,623 130,196 505,202 351,383 ន្ត្រី 292,612 105,009 419,907 2019 ដ 300,752 21.024 108,497 430.274 21 2018 85,314 253,357 358,505 8 2 2 18,712 177,763 67,508 3,718 81,361 349,161 2016 5 291,009 133,336 2015 23 283,024 :6,653 13.592 56.187 23,984 2014 7 26,029 247,178 95,090 809'19 42,741 2013 9 67.608 239,998 2012 7 13,982 809,79 40,903 10,896 65,302 169'86 2011 7 13,191 809,79 48.340 92,570 63,432 2010 13 (15.0%) (Note) 1) FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return Payment of Interest of Long-term Loan Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROE) Repayment of Long-term Loan Operational Expenditure 16.7% 165.59 Total Revenue Corporate Tax FIRR = Nev Equity ROE:

4) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".

5) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing", "Other Income" and "Buy Our Revenue",

2) ROf: Return on Investment

3) NPV: Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

FIRR (ROI and ROE) (Continued-2) Appendix 18.3

					("Cost Base	("Cost Base Case" and Equity/Loan Ratio: 40%/60%)	Equity/Loar	3 Ratio : 40	(%0%)%	:	1		Ć	Million Rp.)
T	. ROI:													
	FIRR = 16.9%		25	97	27	28	53	30	31	32	33	8.	35	35
	NPV = 118,010	(15.0%)	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5029	2030	2031	2032	2033
	Investment		0	59,970	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107,397
	Operational Expenditure		26,543	28,135		31,613	33.509				42,305	44.843		50,386
	Total Revenue		626.913	645,662		- :		882,560	1,031,377	970,826			1,325,930	1,325,930
	Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROI)	(10)	600,370	557.557	703,907		849,050				_		_	- 7
				:								÷		<i>y</i>

(Note) 1) FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return.

2) ROI: Return on Investment
3) NPV: Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

4) "Investment" includes "Initial" and "Additional" Investments
5) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".
6) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing", "Other Income" and "Buy Out Revenue".

Ħ.	. ROE:											1		
١.	FIRR = 16.7%		ม	92	27	28	23	30	31	32	33	8	35	×
	NPV = 65.591 (15)	(15.0%)	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	5029	2030	2031	2032	2033
	Equity		-	<u></u>										
	Operational Expenditure		26,543	28,135	29,823	31,613	33,509	35,520	37,651	39,910	42,305	44.843	47.534	50,386
	Repayment of Long-term Loan		ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	Ö
	Payment of Interest of Long-term Loan		0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	ō
	Corporate Tax		165,833	167,382	206.487	212,534	250,030	249,426	297,030	278,187	326.627	325.866	382,431	381,576
	Total Revenue	<u>-</u>	626.913	645,662	733,730	755,677	882,560	882,560		970,826	1,134,687	1,134 687	1,325,930	~ 4
-1	Net Cash Flow for FIRR (ROE)	-	434,537	450,144	497,420	511,531	599,021	\$97,613		652,728	765,755	763,978	1.1.1	
				<u> </u>	<del>- :</del>			-		:	+			

(Note) 1) FIRR: Financial Internal Rate of Return.

4) "Operational Expenditure" includes "O/M Costs", "Overhead Cost" and "Property Tax".

5) "Total Revenue" includes "Toll Revenue excluding Revenue Sharing", "Other Income" and "Buy Out Revenue".

2) ROI; Return on Investment
3) NPV; Net Present Value at 15% Discount Rate

# Appendix 18.4 Privately-funded Infrastructure Business in Asia and Hedge Against Risks

- 1. Privately-Funded Infrastructure Business Schemes
- 1) Variety of Privately-funded Infrastructure Businesses

There is a great variety of privately-funded infrastructure businesses, such as BOT (Build-Operate-Transfer), BOO (Build-Own-Operate), BTO (Build-Transfer-Operate), BOOT (Build-Own-Operate-Transfer), RTO (Rehabilitate-Transfer-Operate) and ROM (Rehabilitate-Operate-Maintain). The variety is especially large in the Philippines, where the government was forced to introduce IPPs (Independent Power Producers) in order to solve power shortages in the latter half of the 1980<sub>s</sub>. Basically, however, these businesses are classified into two major categories: BOTs in which terms of operation are set and BOOs in which terms of operation are not set.

Because BTOs and RTOs are transferred to host governments without delay after the expiration of the term of operation, they are preferred to lenders since the credit worthiness is enhanced. In particular, foreign governments and international agencies find it easier to use public funds, to extend loans to BTOs and RTOs' businesses other than types of infrastructure businesses. The use of private funds is designed to improve domestic public finance and to curb the increase in official foreign debts. Therefore, the BTOs and RTOs schemes are applied to least developed countries where the risks involved in privately-funded infrastructure businesses are great or countries which have enough room in their quotas for official foreign debts. However, as these schemes transfer risks involved from lenders or borrowers to host governments, governments are averse to using them.

The Philippines has begun to use the ROMs scheme recently in order to rehabilitate power stations belonging to NPC, which is the state-run electric power company, in addition to increasing capacity by introducing IPPs to solve power shortages. Indonesia is also expected to adopt ROM sooner or later, as BOO's capacity is expected to reach approximately 40% of the total capacity by 2003, and there are those who argue for attaching importance on the rehabilitation of PLN's power stations.

2) Buyout Clause for BOT

Because they are going concerns, in principle BOOs are not allowed to buyout during the term of operation. During the term of operation, they can buyout only when there is default due to the responsibility of the government. Because Indonesia and Pakistan use the BOO scheme, there is no buyout clause in principle. In Thailand, the BOOs scheme has been adopted, because of buyout of an expressway BOTs project (the case of Kumagai Gumi) immediately after the completion of the construction, which put investors in a very difficult position. In the BOOs scheme, the operator is assured of profits without the risks of the change of ownership. In practice however, as there are set periods of operation under the BOOs scheme as well, buyout is possible after the expiration of the term of operation, if payments are made. According to the IPP model form, EGAT, which is the state-run electric power company in Thailand, can sell in even before the commencement of operation, if it pays the expenses borne by IPPs for the construction of the power station. This has become a very difficult problem. Because IPPs would suffer losses if promising project are subject to buyout immediately after the completion of construction, European and American IPPs are studying various countermeasures such as demanding penalties in addition to the cost of construction and compensation for future losses.

On the other hand, buyout during the term of operation is possible for BOTs. In the case of the BOT of Pagbilao Power Station in the Philippines, buyout is authorized only after 20 years of the 25-year operation period have elapsed, almost purchasing company has been limited.

A BOT Expressway project in Thailand was to build and operate a 20-km expressway with six lanes (sometimes four lanes) at a cost of 100 billion yen which would be connected to an existing expressway in Bangkok and was called the second Bangkok Expressway. The paid in-capital of BECL, the principle operator, was 20 billion yen (the equity capital-to-debt ratio of 1:4). Investors included Kumagai Gumi, which was the majority investors, the Asian Development Bank, and companies and banks in Thailand. BECL signed a 30-year operation contract with ETA, which is the Thai expressway public corporation, and obtained the right to operate the expressway. Seventy-one percent of the loans were made in the baht by a bank syndicate in Thailand, while the remaining 29% were made in foreign currency (4% by the Asian Development Bank and 25% by a syndicate of foreign banks).

The dispute started because after the completion of the expressway and immediately before the commencement of operation (which would have lasted for 30 years), Kumagai Gumi had to buyout the project to ETA in 1994 because of the opposition from the new regime in

Thailand. The new regime claimed that the BOT did not have the authority to raise the toll in stages, and broke the agreement with the previous regime. During the dispute that followed, the totally unjustified government's claim that a private enterprise did not even have, the right to collect tolls prevailed. Kumagai Gumi had been unilaterally responsible for meeting very severe control on the construction work. Just when it thought it could begin to recover its investment, the chance to do so was taken away from it. It was decided that ETA would buy the expressway and the dispute was settled. Kumagai Gumi claimed that the Thai authorities were violating the expressway operation agreement and that the firm was in a position to demand compensation for future losses, but it had to accept compensation for only actual losses (including repayment of loans before the term of payment and refund of investment).

# 2. BOTs/BOOs and Guarantee by the Government

## 1) Guarantee of Obligation

Originally, the BOTs and BOOs scheme were devised as a means of not increasing official foreign debts of the host government. In recent years, therefore, except for Pakistan, host governments seldom guarantee private foreign obligations of BOTs or BOOs operators. In the Philippines and Vietnam, the host governments merely make performance guarantee (e.g., (1) performance guarantee that the host government will shoulder payments when the local power purchasing companies or fuel supplies fail to perform their obligations, (2) performance guarantee for conversion into foreign exchanges or overseas loans or pays dividends on equity capital raised overseas) and do not extend guarantee of payment obligation of private debt by itself.

In Indonesia. the Finance Minister has issued letters of support to a few IPPs like Paiton 1 project and Tanjun Jati B project. However, as the letters do not mention any legal responsibility of the government of Indonesia with regard to guarantee of obligation for BOOs' debt by itself, they do not constitute guarantee of obligation in any sense. It is stated that the Ministry of Finance will make PLN perform its obligations to make payment when it purchases power from IPPs, but since it is not stated that the government will perform obligations on behalf on PLN, it is interpreted that they do not constitute performance guarantee in a strict sense.

However, under the U.S. and British commercial codes, which attach importance to the Estoppel pricple (the theory that when what is represented and what is reality cannot be distinguished, what is represented has the legal force in order to protect the parties to a deal), the Paiton 1 operator has received BBB credit rating, the same as the government bond of Indonesia, from Standard & Poors, which is a U.S. bond rating organization, because of what the letters of support represented, making it possible for the concern to issue bonds in the U.S. 144A market in 1996. The U.S. 144A bonds are those that stand midway between publicly-placed bonds and privately-placed bonds and could be purchased only by institutional investors. However, they can counter market. S & P's rating report (1996) says, PLN's power purchase contract obligations benefit from a letter of support issued by the Government of the Republic of Indonesia.

Because U.S. institutional investors are not satisfied with the due diligence by investment banks that issue 144A bonds, they have been averse to shouldering the risks involved with the construction of power stations. Therefore, 144A bonds have been used only as a means of refinancing after the completion of the power stations. On the other hand, IPPs often shied away from private bank loans because the longest loan they could take out was about 15 years and spread was large due to the risks involved in construction work. Paiton is a good example of an operator being able to issue bonds because U.S. investors were willing to shoulder the risks involved in construction work and firm was able to obtain a fairly high rating of BBB applied effectively by the letter of support.

The problem associated with the issue of 144A bonds is that it could lead to repayment before the term of payment of other funds, particularly official foreign debts. More problematic is the fact that investors buy 144A bonds not only when the rating is high, but also when they assume that the project is safe because public fund are used in it. However, such cases Enron in India (in which U.S. Ex-Im Bank participated), the Kumagai Gumi in Thailand (ADB participation), and Pagbilao project in the Philippines (Export-Import Bank of Japan) indicate that when risks become realty, the participation of public organizations does not help solved the problem. Unfortunately, parties to the dispute are finding out that public agencies are silent lenders and silent investors.

In China, the Commercial Bank Code and an interpretation of commercial codes by the People's Supreme Court stipulate that the central government does not guarantee obligations of state-run enterprises and private companies. Even if a local government

issues a letter of support concerning guarantee of obligation, it has no legal effect, and even third parties in good faith are not protected.

### 2) Performance Guarantee

Questions arise concerning performance guarantee of power purchasing agreement in the case of denationalization. For example, in Thailand state-run electric power company, EGAT, is scheduled to be listed on the stock exchange. IPPs are studying whether in such case, whether or not the government can extend performance guarantee for power purchasing agreement by EGAT, which is to be denationalized, in order to protect BOT and BOO operators. This is because the government of Thailand does not wish to continue to extend performance guarantee to BOTs and BOOs that the denationalized company will performance its obligation to purchase power.

In the past, the Minister of Finance, representing the government, has issued letters of support to IPPs extending performance guarantee (not guarantee of obligation) that power purchase agreement would be honored. However, in that country, NPC is to be split and denationalized in a year's time at the earliest. In preparation for these steps, discussion are now under way to transfer to IPPs the risks, including performance guarantee, which at present are shouldered by NPC or the government. These risks involve those associated with fuel purchases, conversion into foreign exchange, inflation, remittance of foreign exchanges, land acquisition, and the reduction in foreign debts (both ODA and private-sector). The government of the Philippines wishes to put NPC and IPPs on an equal footing in terms of conditions for competition. These moves need to be watched carefully.

#### 3) Budgetary Measures

Commitments to budgetary measures are confusing in India. Guarantee letters issued by the central government to IPPs are not real guarantee for payment obligations that guarantee that the central government will directly repay in foreign exchanges foreign loans taken out by IPPs in the event of default. These letters merely guarantee that in the event that state power authorities cannot pay for power purchased from IPPs in the domestic currency, the central government will make budgetary measures to give subsidies to the state power authorities in order to enable smooth payments. The subsidies are given by the central government by allocating budget to the state government for the following year specifically

earmarked for payment to a particular BOT. In principle, when the central government makes guarantee of foreign obligations, this is done in the name of the President of India and not under the name of the central government.

In the case of a thermal power station BOT in Dapoli, Maharashtra (the so called Enron project), a new state government abolished the contract signed by the previous government, and wrote a new agreement in 1996 that was less favorable to the IPPs than the original agreement (profitability and the ratio of foreign capital participation were lowered). At that time, disputes arose over the effectiveness of the previous government's guarantee of IPP's private foreign debts (total of 650 million dollars, consisting of 100 million dollars from the U.S. Ex-im bank, 300 million dollars from the U.S. OPIC (Overseas Private Investment Corporation), 100 million dollars from the development Bank India, 150 million dollars in syndicate loans from commercial banks lead managed by Bank America and ABN-AMRO Bank). At the time of the original agreement, the central government had issued a guarantee letter stating that it would guarantee payment on behalf of the local government, if the letter is unable to repay foreign debts incurred by BOT operation. When the new government canceled the IPPs agreement and new negotiations were in progress, the lending parties vigorously demanded repayment, but it turned out that what the central government had done was no more than guaranteeing the above mentioned budgetary measures.

As seen in these cases, it has become increasingly difficult to obtain the guarantee of the host government to hedge various risks in BOTs/BOOs operations. Therefore, it is important for the operating entity to study how to crease a security package designed to hedge risks.



