

CHAPTER 8 PROJECT EVALUATION

8.1 General

The basic assumption for economic evaluation of the Project are summarized as follows:

- 1) The economic useful life of the Project is 50 years,
- 2) All prices are expressed at May 1996 prices in Rupee,
- 3) The exchange rate of US\$1.00 = Rs.34 = Yen 107.9 as of May, 1996,
- 4) A standard conversion factor (SCF) with 0.9 is applied to domestic cost elements such as transport, handling and processing for estimation of economic value,
- 5) The transfer payment such as tax, duty, subsidy and interest are excluded for the estimation of economic costs and prices,
- 6) Economic prices of farm inputs (urea, triple super phosphate and muriate potash) and tradable farm produce (wheat, maize, sugarcane, cotton, cotton seed, and citrus) are estimated on the basis of IBRD projection of world market prices for 2005 in constant 1996 terms. Economic prices of other non-tradable farm outputs and farm inputs are set at same financial prices.
- 7) The part of unskilled labor is converted to the economic value applying the conversion factor of 0.88, and
- 8) The construction components are converted to economic value applying Construction Conversion Factors (CCFs) which are calculated on the basis of proportions of local and foreign costs, transfer payment, unskilled labor and other local costs at the local portion.

8.2 Economic Cost

The economic costs for the project, annual O&M and replacement are calculated applying Construction Conversion Factors (CCFs) to those financial costs as follows.

Item	(Rs'000)	
	Financial	Economic
I. Project Cost	3,119,937	2,345,269
(1) Compensation	3,402	0
(2) Construction	1,985,496	1,794,295
(3) Engineering/Administration	284,236	266,558
(4) Institutional Reform	76,118	68,219
(5) Physical Contingency	234,925	213,206
(6) Price Contingency	535,760	0
II. Annual O&M Cost	22,832	20,549
III. Replacement Cost	794,198	717,718

8.3 Economic Benefit

The direct benefits to be expected from the canal lining are (1) increased production, (2) reduction of tubewell operation cost, and (3) reduction of operation and maintenance cost.

(1) Increased Production

Increased production will be expected from stabilized water supply, application of additional water. In addition, reduction of seepage will improve groundwater table and, hence, results in the mitigation of salinity and waterlogging problem, which contributes to the increase of crop production. For the evaluation, it is assumed that increased irrigation water will be reflected by the increased productivity only and no change in cropping intensity and cropping pattern is assumed. In the Fresh Groundwater Area (FGW), groundwater is used for supplemental irrigation and, accordingly, it can be assumed that available amount of irrigation water will be same even after the implementation of the project. Therefore, benefit from the increased productivity is realized only in the Saline Groundwater Area (SGW). Expected increase in yield is calculated by the present yield multiplied by water saving rate and yield response factor which is estimated by FAO. The net production value of crop production is defined as the difference between "with project case" and "without project case" as summarized below. (See Table 8.3-1)

	SGW (ha)	Without Project	With Project	(Rs.'000) Net Production Increase
Lower Jhelum	56,350	837,342	999,306	161,964
Lower Chenab	108,740	1,304,706	1,577,321	272,585
Central Bari Doab	58,543	285,806	330,274	44,468
Total	193,633	2,427,884	2,906,901	479,017

It is assumed that production increase will be achieved soon after the completion of lining works of each canal. The benefit for all areas will be realized completely from 7th year.

(2) Reduction of Tubewell Operation Cost

In the Fresh Groundwater Area (FGW), groundwater is presently used for irrigation as the supplemental purpose. However, canal lining makes more irrigation water available from surface system and reduces recharging of groundwater. As a result, groundwater presently pumped up for irrigation in FGW will be replaced by surface irrigation water. Therefore, the operation cost of these pumps will not be required after the lining and the saved operation cost will be considered as a benefit. Total amount of saved water per annum is about 163.2 MCM and the portion for FGW is about 31.5 MCM per year. The benefit from reduction of tubewell operation cost is calculated by multiplying saved water in FGW by unit operation cost of tubewell. The unit operation costs for diesel and electronic tubewells are Rs.0.31/m³ and

Rs.0.35/m³, respectively (including depreciation cost). The expected benefit is about Rs.10.1 million per year. (See Table 8.3-2)

(3) Reduction of Operation and Maintenance Cost

As an integrated components of the project, operation and maintenance of distributaries and minors will be transferred to Farmers' Organization (FO). The transfer of the O&M urges rationalization of O&M system and, as a result, the O&M cost will be reduced. This reduction of the cost will be considered as a benefit. The O&M cost for "without project case" is estimated as the cost for maintaining the capacity of the design discharge, and its personnel cost is assumed to be same as present situation. In the case of "with project", personnel cost is estimated by multiplying the number of staff required for FO and their assumed salary (the salary for PID staff is referred). The maintenance and repair cost is calculated based on the necessary cost for maintaining the canal capacity of lined canals. The benefit for the reduction of operation and maintenance cost is defined as the difference between "with project" and "without project" as summarized below.

	(Rs.'000)		
	Without Project	With Project	Benefit
Personnel Expense	11,968	4,525	7,443
M & R Cost*	13,596	16,023	-2,427
Total	2,565	20,549	5,016

*: Maintenance and Repair Cost

Figures are indicated in economic price.

8.4 Economic Evaluation

Based on the flow of project cost and benefits shown in the Table 8.4-1, the economic rate of return is calculated as 19.9%. EIRR is also calculated also for 12 distributaries and the results are as follows.

System	Distributary	EIRR
LJC	Pindi	18.5
	Hujjan	24.1
	Kirana	16.5
LCC	Sarangwala	15.0
	Nasrana	26.6
	Gojra	31.2
	Mungi	22.9
	Janiwala/Hamza	29.7
	Pir Mahal	13.2
	Killianwala	17.3
CBDC	Thamman	11.3
	China	22.6
Overall		19.9

For the sensitivity analysis, (i) 10% and 20% increase in initial investment cost, and (ii) 10% and 20% decrease of crop production are assumed. The EIRR for each case is summarized below.

Benefit Decrease	Cost Increase		
	0%	10%	20%
0%	19.9%	18.1%	16.7%
10%	18.0%	16.4%	15.1%
20%	16.1%	14.7%	13.4%

Considering the results that EIRR of the Project (12 distributaries) is 19.9% and that for each distributary is above 12% except Thamman distributaries, the Project is economically feasible. From the result of the sensitivity analysis, it can be concluded that the Project is not sensitive to the increase of initial investment cost and to the decrease of crop production benefit.

8.5 Financial Analysis

(1) Farm Budget Analysis

Farm budget analysis on different farm size has been made for "with project" and "without project" case for three project areas (See Table 8.5-1). It is expected that the farm income will be increase by 10% on average for all farm sizes after the implementation of the Project.

Farmers' capacity to pay for water charges is assessed by the comparison between farmers' net reserve and estimated water charge after the implementation of the Project. Water charge is computed by dividing the O&M cost and replacement cost (assuming 12% of discount rate) by CCA. The share of water charge against net reserve is less than 3% for all size of farmers, and no serious impact is expected. In case of assumption that farmers will also repay initial investment cost, water charge including amortization will be Rs.1,174/ha in Lower Jhelum, Rs.1,161/ha in Lower Chenab and Rs.1,097/ha in Central Bari Doab. Their shares against net reserves will be not more than 15% for all size of farmers.

(Rs)				
Item	Marginal	Small	Medium	Large
Lower Jhelum				
1) Farm size (ha)	(1.56)	(3.49)	(6.36)	(16.45)
2) Net Reserve	12,600	33,440	74,980	232,500
3) Water charge	291	650	1,185	3,064
3) / 2) (%)	2.3%	1.9%	1.6%	1.3%
4) + Amortization	1,834	4,096	7,470	19,312
4) / 2) (%)	14.6%	12.2%	10.0%	8.3%
Lower Chenab				
1) Farm size (ha)	(1.52)	(3.70)	(6.82)	(13.99)
2) Net Reserve	19,920	54,230	110,170	222,010
3) Water charge	263	642	1,182	2,426
3) / 2) (%)	1.3%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
4) + Amortization	1,763	4,301	7,916	16,247
4) / 2) (%)	8.8%	7.9%	7.2%	7.3%
Central Bari Doab				
1) Farm size (ha)	(1.48)	(3.47)	(6.36)	(16.01)
2) Net Reserve	10,820	34,530	76,870	225,120
3) Water charge	243	572	1,049	2,638
3) / 2) (%)	2.3%	1.7%	1.4%	1.2%
4) + Amortization	1,621	3,810	6,981	17,564
4) / 2) (%)	15.0%	11.0%	9.1%	7.8%

(2) Repayment capability of the Project

The repayment capability of the Project is also studied by preparing cash flow statement. The cash flow statement is prepared on the basis of an annual disbursement schedule of the financial initial investment cost as summarized below.

Item	(Rs. '000)	
	F.C.	L.C.
Initial Investment Cost	1,388,971	1,195,206
Price Contingency	285,222	250,538
Total	1,674,193	1,445,744

It is assumed that the capital cost required for the implementation of the project will be arranged under the following conditions:

- (i) Foreign currency portion of the capital cost is financed by a loan from an international organization.
- (ii) Interest rate of the loan is 2.3% per annum and repayment period is 30 years including 10 years grace period.
- (iii) Local currency portion of the capital cost is financed by the Government Budget without repayment.

According to the above assumptions, the total fund requirements for construction of the project was estimated with its yearly breakdown as shown below.

(Rs. '000)			
Year	International Fund	Governmental Budget	Total
1999	76,602	30,468	107,070
2000	68,114	44,478	112,592
2001	315,383	285,554	600,937
2002	366,983	324,094	691,077
2003	432,352	388,534	820,886
2004	414,760	372,615	787,375
Total	1,674,193	1,445,744	3,119,937

Assuming that repayment of the project cost is arranged by the budget of Government of Pakistan, amortization is estimated at about Rs.76 million in average during the repayment period.

8.6 Indirect Benefits and Socio-economic Impacts

Based on the data collected from the local government offices and the data of 1990's Agricultural Census, the estimated beneficiary is about 134,000 households and about 940,000 farmers. In addition to the tangible benefits mentioned above, following 4 items are considered as the expected indirect benefit and socio-economic impacts.

(1) Improvement of Inequitable Water Distribution

Along the unlined canal, it is often observed that canal bank is broken and excessive water is taken by some farmers at the up-stream and very little of water is available at the down-stream. After the lining of canals, this type of disturbance will be physically impossible. Additionally, institutional reform will make the unfair water utilization be impossible from the social points of view.

(2) Salinity Control

As it is mentioned in section 8.3, expansion of waterlogging and salinity area will be stopped by canal lining. In saline groundwater area, there are some cases that farmers use saline ground water for irrigation when they face extreme water shortage. In this case, soil which is presently in good condition can be affected by salinity and it will turn into unsuitable soil for cultivation. Lining of canals will make farmers have more surface water for irrigation and, as a result, expansion of salinity will be avoided.

(3) Increase of Employment Opportunity

After the implementation of the Project, total labor requirement for farm work will be 12.9 million man-day. Besides, it is expected that increase in agricultural production will activate its

marketing and processing factory and, accordingly, result in the increase of labor requirement at relating factories and agencies.

(4) Securing Stable Food Supply

The project will contribute to the securing of self-sufficiency of agricultural produce. Sufficient supply of food will also make an important contribution to attain economic independence of Pakistan. In addition, the surplus would decrease the annual amount of imports of those produce and thereby save the foreign exchange.

CHAPTER 9 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

9.1 Conclusions

1. The Project broadly comprises two components: (i) physical works including the lining of 540 km of Distributaries and Minors belonging to 12 Distributary systems with a total command area of 241,111 ha and remodelling of related structures and (ii) formulation of farmers organizations in compliance with GOP's institutional reform program. The total cost would be Rs. 3,120 million (US\$ 92 million). The Project would be implemented by PID/PIDA for six years from 1999 to 2004 on a pilot project basis.

2. The study revealed that the Project would create new water resources equivalent to 10.7 % of the authorized discharge and be economically viable with an EIRR of 20 % based on a conservative evaluation. The Project would increase farmers' income by about 10 %. The high economic viability could be attributed to the short distance of Distributaries and Minors per CCA (2.2 m/ha) and the low unit cost (Rs. 12,940/ha or US\$ 380/ha). In general, prerequisites for high economic viability of a canal lining project would be (i) most of the benefitted area falls in SGW zones; (ii) average seepage rate is 6.3 cfs/msf or more; (iii) canal is designed suitable for lining.

3. Judging from the dialogue at farmers meetings, farmers have a strong will and self-confidence to undertake the O&M of Distributaries and Minors. But they are also cognizant of necessity of technical assistance from experts. It is eagerly anticipated that the farmers' capability demonstrated in the management of watercourses will be exerted for Distributaries and Minors.

4. The Project will realize more equitable and rational water management through (i) physical improvement of canal system including the regularization of canal shape and remodelling of outlets and (ii) farmers' direct participation in water management which will effectively suppress illegal abstractions.

9.2 Recommendations

1. The Project is economically feasible and technically sound. It is recommended that GOP/GOPunjab would implement the Project as soon as possible.

2. It is recommended that GOP/GOPunjab would procure technical services of engineers for the detail design, preparation of tender documents and construction supervision of the Project.

3. It is recommended that GOP/GOPunjab would make arrangement to provide technical assistance for farmers organization to implement the participatory O&M including legal framework establishment and formation of organization, registration, transfer of facilities, formation of O&M plan, assessment and collection of water charges.

4. Reports concerned states that land acquisition outside the canal right of way is very difficult and need a long procedure even one year rent. It is recommended that the GOP and GOPunjab would make a comparative study on the original plan and alternative plans as shown below and adopt more paractical ones for the canal reaches where land acquisition is unavoidable in the current design.

- (i) Construction of diversion canal completely within the right of way using portable precast concrete flume to omit land acquisition and reduce diversion earthwork volume which may increase construction cost and require further study of working procedure of the concrete flume:
- (ii) Lining work within the canal closure period using geomembrane and precast concrete pannel to omit land acquisition as well as diversion work.

5. It is recommended that GOP and GOPunjab would execute the following research and tests prior to commencement of construction at certain stretches of canal and incorporate the results of the research and tests in detailed design and construction plan: (i) measurement of seepage rate (pre and post lining condition); (ii) construction speed and soil compaction test; (iii) measurement of required earth volume; (iv) measurement of hydraulic features of lined channel such as roughness coefficient, velocity and discharge; (v) experiment about temporary outlets; (vi) proportionality of each type of outlet; and (vii) improvement of water tightness and reinforcement of concrete at field condition.

6. Activation of agricultural extension activities is very important for dissemination of technologies on effective use of water and adequate farming practice. It is recommended that GOP/GOPunjab would enhance the efficiency of research and developmct, strengthen extension activities, and allocate necessary budgets.

7. Initial environmental examination (IEE) was conducted on 19 items. Environmantally the Project has more beneficial impacts than adverse impacts and possible adverse impacts that could occur. It is recommended that GOP and GOPunjab would take the following mitigative actions:

- (i) Forest plantations in the right of way removed for the construction work should be reforested after the completion of the Project;

- (ii) Drinking water supply should be continued during the construction stage and shallow hand pumps be reinstalled at suitable locations. Tubewell schemes should be monitored for loss in fresh water aquifer potential. If they are affected, alternate schemes should be provided;
- (iii) Model studies should be undertaken to determine salt water intrusion into the fresh water and loss in aquifer potential;
- (iv) The formation of a committee in PIDA to implement the monitoring plan and take corrective action.

8. The Project does not include such components as OFWM, drinking water supply and drainage, of which inclusion in the Project was requested by DOA and other Provincial Departments. The reason is that these items are out of scope of this Study. Nevertheless these items are important for farmers' living and production activities and may be dealt with by FO/WUA. It is proposed that GOP and GOPunjab would implement different projects for these items.

9. It is recommended that GOP and GOPunjab would make adjustments between this Project and NDP-I to avoid overlapping of works and any other inconsistency when detail designs of the latter are started.

9.3 Recommendations for Institutional Reforms

In pursuance of the national policy indicated in the report of the agriculture commission (1988), national conservation strategy 1992, Eighth five years plan (1993) and the recent Punjab Ordinance of May 29, 1997 on reorganization of Irrigation institutions --- the world wide recognition that participation of the beneficiaries in the development of national resources has proved to be more beneficial than public sector unilateral handling, it is a great opportunity to introduce the institutional reforms into this project of lining of distributaries and minors in Punjab on pilot basis. The lining component (hardware) will serve to be a great incentive to the farmers to organize and prepare themselves for this responsibility which has hitherto been considered as an external utility run by the state for which they have been paying service charges. It will also relieve the provincial governments of subsidizing the O&M of the irrigation and drainage system and release its resources for other important social welfare sectors such as education, health and domestic water supply etc. which are starved because of the extreme necessity of diverting resources to keep this life line [irrigation system] of rural Pakistan in reasonable state of operation. It will also improve the investment efficiency on the O&M of the distributaries because of direct and immediate accountability. The greatest social benefit will accrue from the equitable distribution of water among all the farmers irrespective of their geographic position along the length of the distributary. It is our firm belief that if the FOs and

Water Users Association are set up according to our recommendations it will be possible to achieve the same standard of equity as is available on the water courses. The success of these institutional reforms will give a very strong signal, both to the government departments and the farmers, that it is in their interest to replicate the same reforms all over the country. The present skepticism that the farmers are ignorant and they will not be able to handle and operate complicated engineering works - a myth created by interested parties, will be dampened and farmers of other distributaries will come forward with the request for similar reforms. On the analogy of improvement of water courses under OFWM project, there is a strong likelihood of financial participation by the farmers in the future improvement of distributaries in the country. However, the implementation of these reforms in this pilot project is of paramount importance as it is feared that if left to government departments to implement the reforms, the vested interests, the lethargy and the "I know all" attitude of the government officials on one side and the lack of trust of the farmers in the present institutions on the other, there is much less likelihood of its success.

It is, therefore, essential that an independent team as given in the report [technical assistance required for institutional reforms] is organized to handle these reforms at the grass root level. It will interact with the government on behalf of the farmers as a well informed body to plead their cause. The drafting of by laws, rules and regulations, the water supply and financial agreements can not be left to the government departments who, the farmers feel, to be biased against the farmers as the opposite party. The farmers will only trust and confide in a party whom they consider to be speaking on their behalf. It is, therefore, recommended that the institutional reforms to be implemented by an independent body should be made an integral rather an essential component of the project. Without such reforms the investment efficiency even in this project would be doubtful as the outlets will be broken again and even the banks of the distributaries will be breached by vested interests.

TABLES

Table 3.1.2-1 (1/4) Meteorological Data

Monthly Mean Temperature (°C) / SARGODHA

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	11.0	13.9	18.3	24.6	29.4	32.5	31.6	31.3	29.2	24.8	19.1	12.8	23.2
1987	13.4	15.9	19.6	26.3	27.0	34.1	32.9	32.7	30.6	24.3	19.1	13.3	24.1
1988	13.3	16.2	18.8	27.2	32.9	33.2	31.2	30.2	29.3	24.6	18.9	13.9	24.2
1989	11.4	13.4	18.9	23.9	31.4	32.9	31.2	30.2	29.3	24.6	18.9	13.9	23.3
1990	13.8	14.5	18.0	24.1	32.6	34.4	29.1	30.8	30.2	24.3	19.2	13.3	23.7
1991	11.6	13.4	18.5	22.9	30.1	33.6	33.6	30.9	29.5	24.1	20.3	15.6	23.7
1992	12.9	14.1	18.3	23.8	29.6	33.3	31.3	30.7	28.6	24.7	18.0	14.9	23.3
1993	11.1	16.6	17.8	25.8	31.5	34.3	30.8	32.7	29.4	24.1	18.9	14.4	24.0
1994	12.7	13.3	20.9	23.8	29.8	35.6	29.7	30.9	28.8	23.5	18.8	13.2	23.4
1995	11.2	14.3	18.8	22.7	32.6	35.2	31.3	30.3	29.9	25.0	18.2	12.8	23.5
Average	12.2	14.6	18.8	24.5	30.7	33.9	31.3	31.1	29.5	24.4	19.0	13.8	23.6

Monthly Rainfall (mm) / SARGODHA

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Total
1986	3.8	42.4	84.3	55.6	19.3	41.9	52.1	85.3	119.9	13.5	3.3	16.5	537.9
1987	6.6	42.4	83.1	14.0	45.5	13.7	103.6	0.0	41.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	350.8
1988	5.6	0.0	45.5	34.0	0.0	5.8	343.9	151.7	38.4	4.1	0.0	54.1	686.1
1989	8.9	3.6	64.5	4.3	0.0	11.9	132.3	151.2	11.9	0.0	3.8	50.0	445.4
1990	9.9	90.9	60.7	30.0	15.7	22.4	99.8	136.1	34.0	10.7	0.0	51.6	561.8
1991	0.0	57.2	46.4	52.3	25.7	81.8	28.4	158.2	33.3	1.0	0.0	5.8	490.1
1992	xx	36.8	68.8	41.2	25.1	40.1	158.2	106.2	151.6	29.2	14.0	6.1	(680.3)
1993	13.5	20.1	31.0	50.5	3.8	31.0	258.6	0.0	60.5	0.0	3.0	0.0	472.0
1994	3.6	18.0	15.2	51.3	9.4	9.4	128.3	55.6	20.3	20.1	0.0	23.1	354.4
1995	1.5	9.9	76.2	42.7	22.4	40.6	68.1	114.6	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	409.3
Average(mm/month)	5.9	32.1	57.6	37.9	20.9	33.2	137.3	96.5	64.0	12.4	2.4	20.7	43.4
Average(mm/day)	0.2	1.1	1.9	1.3	0.7	1.1	4.4	3.1	2.1	0.4	0.1	0.7	1.4

Monthly Humidity(%) 5:00 PM / SARGODHA

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	67.0	61.6	59.2	46.9	35.4	45.2	57.7	66.7	55.8	60.9	70.1	73.9	58.4
1987	64.7	60.1	66.0	55.5	49.4	37.8	48.4	55.9	54.5	60.0	69.5	72.6	57.9
1988	66.2	56.6	52.0	40.3	33.8	40.2	67.0	68.2	65.8	58.3	74.1	75.4	58.2
1989	62.7	45.5	55.9	42.4	38.2	40.9	57.9	66.2	52.5	55.1	66.4	70.5	54.5
1990	69.6	70.2	60.0	48.5	37.6	36.3	51.2	71.1	60.4	58.2	58.1	71.7	57.7
1991	69.3	69.5	66.1	57.9	48.8	44.1	50.9	67.1	61.4	52.8	62.6	69.6	60.0
1992	66.9	61.5	47.5	45.9	40.0	42.8	64.8	67.5	71.7	52.1	62.1	63.9	57.2
1993	55.9	52.0	51.8	42.7	40.8	45.6	63.6	56.0	62.9	43.6	48.3	48.6	51.0
1994	61.0	48.5	42.2	51.1	42.4	44.1	65.4	69.8	60.7	50.2	53.2	58.1	53.9
1995	48.7	46.4	43.3	49.4	47.6	39.7	65.7	72.6	54.9	57.1	53.2	45.6	52.0
Average	63.2	57.2	54.4	48.1	41.4	41.7	59.3	66.1	60.1	54.8	61.8	65.0	56.1

Table 3.1.2-1 (2/4) Meteorological Data

Monthly Mean Temperature (°C) FAISALABAD

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	10.9	13.9	19.0	25.4	29.8	33.5	21.8	31.3	29.2	24.7	19.2	12.4	22.6
1987	13.4	15.9	20.3	26.7	27.3	21.9	33.1	32.9	31.1	25.1	19.3	13.4	23.4
1988	13.4	16.0	19.2	27.3	33.3	34.6	32.6	30.9	29.7	25.2	19.1	14.0	24.6
1989	11.7	13.5	19.0	24.3	30.8	33.3	31.7	30.7	29.9	25.4	18.9	13.9	23.6
1990	13.5	14.7	18.4	24.7	32.5	34.2	31.8	31.4	30.4	24.5	19.6	13.5	24.1
1991	11.8	13.7	18.7	23.9	28.2	33.3	34.8	32.4	29.5	24.2	19.1	14.7	23.7
1992	13.0	13.6	19.0	24.3	29.5	34.0	31.5	31.1	28.9	25.1	18.6	15.3	23.7
1993	11.9	16.9	18.2	26.1	32.9	34.0	31.2	32.6	29.5	24.9	20.2	14.3	24.4
1994	12.3	13.7	21.3	24.7	32.3	35.2	32.7	31.9	28.7	24.1	19.9	13.9	24.2
1995	11.9	15.1	18.5	23.8	31.4	34.1	32.3	30.6	30.5	25.9	19.2	13.5	23.9
Average	12.4	14.7	19.2	25.1	30.8	32.8	31.4	31.6	29.7	24.9	19.3	13.9	23.8

Monthly Rainfall (mm) / FAISALABAD

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Total
1986	4.1	17.6	20.0	10.1	7.3	92.0	89.4	71.4	29.2	2.6	0.0	0.0	343.7
1987	3.6	35.0	47.0	22.3	129.0	17.1	92.3	2.6	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	363.2
1988	4.1	3.0	44.1	4.5	0.0	0.0	134.4	114.3	7.6	0.0	0.0	16.2	328.2
1989	27.9	3.5	24.3	3.8	xx	18.3	105.9	83.6	xx	0.0	0.0	23.8	(358.3)
1990	26.6	79.4	46.3	16.2	0.0	13.0	75.5	101.8	49.4	0.0	0.0	15.7	423.9
1991	0.0	21.0	12.8	114.9	18.7	26.9	3.9	6.3	51.0	1.0	0.0	4.2	260.7
1992	28.9	13.7	8.5	39.9	9.3	18.4	60.9	101.6	85.4	0.0	0.5	0.5	370.6
1993	1.6	7.0	9.7	25.7	5.7	4.3	145.3	14.3	57.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	270.8
1994	3.3	8.9	0.3	11.0	2.5	xx	37.6	49.5	71.0	0.0	1.0	5.5	(220.8)
1995	1.5	10.0	0.5	6.9	xx	82.2	70.7	0.0	xx	xx	0.0	0.6	#REF!
Average(mm/month)	10.2	19.9	21.4	25.5	21.6	30.2	81.6	54.8	45.6	0.1	0.2	6.7	26.5
Average(mm/day)	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.7	1.0	2.6	1.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.9

Monthly Humidity(%) 5.00 PM / FAISALABAD

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	43.0	41.0	38.0	26.0	21.0	28.0	50.0	52.0	43.0	41.0	45.0	45.0	39.4
1987	42.0	43.0	55.0	23.0	34.0	27.0	39.0	48.0	39.0	36.0	41.0	43.0	39.2
1988	42.0	35.0	38.0	22.0	16.0	25.0	54.0	57.0	47.0	40.0	48.0	52.0	39.7
1989	41.0	30.0	41.0	20.0	16.0	31.0	50.0	52.0	42.0	35.0	43.0	56.0	38.1
1990	55.0	53.0	44.0	26.0	24.0	29.0	43.0	58.0	54.0	43.0	49.0	56.0	44.5
1991	39.0	62.0	46.0	41.0	32.0	29.0	39.0	52.0	55.0	42.0	46.0	57.0	45.0
1992	54.0	46.0	43.0	34.0	23.0	25.0	43.0	54.0	50.0	37.0	53.0	56.0	43.2
1993	46.0	39.0	37.0	56.0	21.0	33.0	48.0	45.0	50.0	33.0	52.0	49.0	42.4
1994	48.0	47.0	33.0	23.0	20.0	24.0	53.0	53.0	46.0	36.0	48.0	52.0	40.3
1995	91.0	41.0	37.0	38.0	26.0	33.0	54.0	62.0	49.0	56.0	44.0	43.0	47.8
Average	50.1	43.7	41.2	30.9	23.3	28.4	47.3	53.3	47.5	39.9	46.9	50.9	42.0

Table 3.1.2-1 (3/4) Meteorological Data

Monthly Sunshine Hour / FAISALABAD													xx...missing	()...Estimate
Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Total	
1986	246.0	209.0	256.6	274.0	xx	268.0	286.0	273.3	267.7	286.6	234.1	191.6	(3096.8)	
1987	218.2	216.3	210.6	304.9	264.4	315.6	278.4	260.3	273.5	244.6	256.9	207.5	3051.2	
1988	199.7	232.1	203.6	249.7	326.0	214.8	214.0	273.0	256.6	288.8	243.3	215.1	2916.7	
1989	239.6	xx	213.3	295.4	314.9	xx	xx	278.0	296.4	292.9	242.1	173.6	(3070.3)	
1990	193.3	181.0	260.4	272.1	292.7	224.8	266.3	247.4	261.5	277.1	267.2	189.8	2933.6	
1991	232.4	179.7	243.8	258.5	278.2	301.1	256.5	229.4	241.4	277.8	238.1	185.3	2922.2	
1992	150.5	210.9	214.9	245.9	296.8	265.8	255.6	259.6	231.3	292.7	222.6	210.1	2856.7	
1993	208.7	194.6	244.9	283.8	305.7	259.2	260.1	304.1	xx	285.9	231.1	229.1	(3073.9)	
1994	147.8	180.0	257.4	262.7	317.7	273.0	216.7	224.9	283.6	296.2	233.2	207.5	2900.7	
1995	227.7	193.4	251.7	263.2	338.4	311.1	253.3	232.6	288.6	267.4	250.9	203.9	3082.2	
Average(hr/month)	206.4	199.7	235.7	271.0	303.9	270.4	251.1	258.3	266.7	281.0	241.9	201.4	2990.4	
Average(hr/day)	6.7	7.1	7.6	9.0	9.8	9.0	8.2	8.3	8.9	9.1	8.1	6.5	8.2	

Monthly Mean Temperature (°C) / LAHORE

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	13.1	15.7	20.5	26.5	29.7	33.7	30.5	31.1	29.6	25.5	20.5	13.9	24.2
1987	14.4	17.1	21.0	27.6	27.3	33.6	33.6	32.5	32.3	26.5	20.6	15.4	25.2
1988	14.8	17.6	15.5	29.1	34.7	34.7	31.3	30.5	29.8	25.8	20.5	15.3	25.0
1989	12.9	15.3	20.5	25.9	31.9	33.7	31.7	29.9	30.2	26.1	20.1	14.9	24.4
1990	15.0	15.5	19.2	26.3	32.3	33.9	31.1	30.3	29.9	24.7	20.7	15.1	24.5
1991	13.3	14.6	19.4	24.5	30.4	32.8	33.0	30.9	30.5	24.9	19.9	15.5	24.1
1992	14.2	15.4	20.6	26.4	30.1	33.9	31.1	30.7	29.5	26.3	20.4	16.6	24.6
1993	12.9	18.3	19.5	27.1	33.6	34.3	30.7	33.2	29.6	26.3	21.0	15.7	25.2
1994	14.1	15.8	22.9	25.7	32.7	35.1	31.9	30.5	28.9	25.1	20.8	15.3	24.9
1995	12.8	16.0	20.1	25.1	33.1	35.1	31.5	29.3	30.1	26.7	20.5	15.1	24.6
Average	13.8	16.1	19.9	26.4	31.6	34.1	31.6	30.9	30.0	25.8	20.5	15.3	24.7

Monthly Rainfall (mm) / LAHORE

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Total
1986	5.3	43.0	22.6	14.8	20.5	70.8	222.6	134.4	60.6	7.9	0.3	9.5	612.3
1987	28.7	56.6	40.4	9.7	97.9	61.9	125.8	38.1	2.5	29.2	0.0	0.3	491.1
1988	7.6	19.8	73.0	3.4	0.0	56.2	249.1	237.8	116.3	4.4	2.6	45.1	815.3
1989	48.4	8.3	31.1	7.0	10.8	15.4	255.1	167.8	42.9	0.0	7.5	21.4	615.7
1990	4.2	117.5	96.4	18.9	3.9	12.0	181.5	291.9	184.5	1.9	8.9	33.6	955.2
1991	xx	55.7	18.3	58.9	19.1	93.1	32.0	194.7	42.6	5.7	0.0	xx	(560.5)
1992	61.1	30.4	21.0	16.5	26.4	17.4	88.0	196.4	150.8	5.8	9.7	5.7	629.2
1993	10.6	14.9	40.1	31.8	8.0	28.3	182.9	33.5	24.3	0.0	0.5	0.0	374.9
1994	23.8	21.5	6.0	9.8	36.7	13.6	128.3	154.3	115.5	4.3	0.5	27.5	541.8
1995	28.5	114.4	14.6	17.8	0.8	55.6	147.6	351.2	83.6	4.4	5.0	2.5	826.0
Average(mm/month)	24.2	48.2	36.4	18.9	22.4	42.4	161.3	180.0	82.4	6.4	3.5	16.2	53.5
Average(mm/day)	0.78	1.72	1.17	0.63	0.73	1.41	5.20	5.81	2.75	0.21	0.12	0.52	1.8

Table 3.1.2-1 (4/4) Meteorological Data

Monthly Humidity(%) 5:00 PM/LAHORE

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
1986	41.0	41.0	39.0	27.0	27.0	32.0	61.0	58.0	48.0	47.0	49.0	50.0	43.8
1987	50.0	47.0	53.0	27.0	39.0	26.0	39.0	50.0	38.0	45.0	41.0	51.0	42.2
1988	47.0	42.0	39.0	23.0	19.0	30.0	62.0	63.0	55.0	42.0	51.0	55.0	41.0
1989	44.0	37.0	39.0	20.0	17.0	30.0	50.0	61.0	47.0	32.0	41.0	57.0	39.8
1990	49.0	53.0	44.0	25.0	27.0	30.0	59.0	67.0	61.0	46.0	51.0	57.0	47.4
1991	43.0	47.0	42.0	36.0	27.0	34.0	48.0	61.0	50.0	39.0	45.0	55.0	43.9
1992	58.0	44.0	38.0	30.0	29.0	30.0	55.0	66.0	57.0	42.0	56.0	56.0	46.8
1993	44.0	39.0	43.0	27.0	24.0	31.0	58.0	53.0	60.0	39.0	47.0	48.0	42.8
1994	52.0	47.0	35.0	25.0	26.0	29.0	65.0	67.0	52.0	39.0	53.0	57.0	45.6
1995	53.0	50.0	40.0	36.0	27.0	28.0	63.0	76.0	52.0	46.0	49.0	51.0	47.6
Average	48.4	45.0	41.2	27.6	26.2	30.0	56.0	62.2	52.0	41.7	48.6	53.7	44.4

Wind Velocity (knots/hour) / LAHORE

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean
								xx..missing					()..Estimate
1986	0.8	2.3	2.6	2.2	2.8	2.6	2.2	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.9
1987	1.1	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.0	2.2	1.5	1.4	0.5	0.3	1.8
1988	0.8	1.6	2.1	0.9	1.6	2.8	3.6	1.9	1.9	1.2	0.6	0.9	1.7
1989	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.6	2.1	4.0	2.3	2.3	1.8	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.9
1990	1.5	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.6	xx	2.3	1.8	0.8	0.8	1.6	(2.0)
1991	1.0	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.4	3.3	2.2	1.3	1.1	0.4	0.4	1.8
1992	1.3	1.7	2.7	2.3	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.0	1.4	0.9	0.8	0.5	1.8
1993	1.3	1.6	3.8	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.1	1.5	0.6	0.8	0.5	1.8
1994	1.0	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.7	2.6	1.8	0.8	1.2	0.3	0.7	1.7
1995	0.7	1.1	1.3	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.6	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	1.1
Average(knot/hour)	1.1	1.8	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.0	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.7	1.74
Average(km/hour)	2.1	3.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	4.8	4.9	3.7	2.6	1.8	1.3	1.3	3.22

Monthly Sunshine Hour/LAHORE

Year	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual Total
								xx..missing					
1987	216.0	200.7	204.0	284.3	250.3	317.4	290.6	257.3	265.0	268.3	279.2	205.0	3038.1
1988	206.0	248.1	245.2	278.2	325.4	258.1	174.0	254.2	248.0	301.3	242.5	209.4	2990.4
1989	218.0	232.8	223.9	300.2	311.7	211.6	243.3	256.6	293.4	305.8	251.2	163.8	3012.3
1990	208.3	169.7	261.3	293.0	310.8	264.7	244.6	223.4	242.1	290.8	273.3	209.1	2991.1
1991	234.7	195.9	243.3	277.6	294.3	299.3	278.6	243.7	241.9	280.1	253.0	166.4	3008.8
1992	150.3	225.4	208.0	251.1	307.5	241.9	xx	xx	204.9	286.6	240.9	213.9	2330.5
1993	211.1	202.7	236.2	257.9	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	
1994	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	
1995	xx	xx	xx	xx	xx	329.5	230.2	181.7	226.9	286.5	255.6	195.0	
Average(hr/month)	206.3	210.8	231.7	277.5	300.0	274.6	243.6	236.2	246.0	288.5	256.5	194.7	2966.3
Average(hr/day)	6.7	7.5	7.5	9.2	9.7	9.2	7.9	7.6	8.2	9.3	8.6	6.3	

Table 3.1.2-2 Annual Withdrawals for the Three Irrigation Systems

(Unit: Million Acre-feet)

Year	LJC System			LCC System			CBDC System		
	Kharif	Rabi	Total	Kharif	Rabi	Total	Kharif	Rabi	Total
1985/86	1.79	1.27	3.06	4.27	3.43	7.70	0.81	0.66	1.47
1986/87	1.83	1.29	3.12	4.42	3.64	8.06	0.83	0.67	1.50
1987/88	1.76	1.50	3.26	4.68	3.67	8.35	0.77	0.66	1.43
1988/89	1.76	1.22	2.98	4.28	3.43	7.71	0.83	0.55	1.38
1989/90	1.68	1.13	2.81	4.05	3.30	7.55	0.80	0.65	1.45
1990/91	1.77	1.25	3.02	4.40	3.17	7.57	0.87	0.65	1.52
1991/92	1.82	1.25	3.05	3.97	2.80	6.77	0.85	0.65	1.50
1992/93	1.53	1.02	2.55	4.06	3.07	7.13	0.87	0.62	1.49
1993/94	1.69	1.23	2.92	4.11	3.11	7.22	0.83	0.65	1.48
1994/95	1.80	1.29	3.09	4.07	3.14	7.21	0.72	0.55	1.27
Minimum	1.53	1.02	2.55 (3.15)	3.97	2.80	6.77 (8.56)	0.72	0.55	1.27 (1.57)
Average	1.74	1.25	2.99 (3.69)	4.23	3.28	7.51 (9.27)	0.82	0.63	1.45 (1.79)
Maximum	1.83	1.50	3.33 (4.05)	4.68	3.67	8.35 (10.31)	0.87	0.67	1.52 (1.88)
Ave. depth	1.15 (351)	0.82 (250)	1.97 (601)	1.39 (424)	1.07 (326)	2.46 (750)	1.25 (381)	0.95 (290)	2.2 (671)

Notes : () indicates quantity in million cubic meters.
Average depth is shown in feet. () indicates depth in mm.

Table 3.1.4 - 1 Extent of Salinity during 1995 in the project area

All extents in acres

Canal Circle	Division	Area surveyed (Acres)	(1) Thur Kohna	(2) Thur Panjwala	(3) Thur Nau	(4) Thur Juzvi	(5) Thur Tirk	Thur Recl	Total Saline area (Acres)	Saline area %
Lower Jehlum	Sargodha	511.690	26.473	10.808	11.195	88.095	176	404	137.151	26.8
	Kirana	589.250	5.207	1.817	4.563	88.473	22	393	100.475	17.1
	Shahpur	235.351	3.045	365	459	4.160	26	0	8.055	3.4
	Rasool	238.149	5.336	1.735	1.932	19.650	0	1.125	29.778	12.5
	Sub total	1,574.440	40.061	14.725	18.149	200.378	224	1,922	275.459	17.5
Lower Chenab	Faisalabad	387.735	4.037	3.103	2.815	28.276	260	1,224	39.715	10.2
	Hifzabad	412.606	9.136	10.223	447	34.419	285	1,551	56.061	13.6
	Jhang	692.270	6.489	2.290	1.234	34.871	166	1,671	46.721	6.7
	Khanki	296.181	2.335	32.715	786	12.393	0	1,685	49.914	16.9
	Upper Gojra	701.133	11.701	10.058	2,816	30.316	0	267	55.158	7.9
CBDC	Lower Gojra	566.512	5.550	16.173	14,920	92.244	494	2,687	132.068	23.3
	Burala	588.923	10.971	10.221	3,724	58.986	224	4,426	88.552	15.0
	Sub total	3,645.360	50.219	84.783	26,742	291,505	1,429	13,511	468,189	12.8
	Lahore	703.013	15.467	3,803	3,586	12,583	633	0	36,072	5.1
	Sub total	703.013	15.467	3,803	3,586	12,583	633	0	36,072	5.1
TOTAL		5,922,813	105,747	103,311	48,477	504,466	2,286	15,433	779,720	13.2

Explanation of terminology used in salinity surveys

- (1) Thur Kohna - Ultra alkaline or Non Saline Alkali soils. Never cultivated saline land since the advent of canal irrigation. High in salt content & alkalinity or low salt content & high alkali.
- (2) Thur Panjwala - Highly saline or saline alkali soils. Lands excluded from cultivated for more than 5 years due to salinity. Advance stage of deterioration. pH is 9.0 to 10.0
- (3) Thur Nau - Highly saline or saline alkali soils. Lands excluded from cultivation within 5 years due to salinity. These are mostly saline alkali soils in the northern part of the Indus plains.
- (4) Thur Juzvi - Saline or saline alkali. Saline lands under cultivation bearing visible patches of salts to the extent of above high salinity in patches & alkalinity show progressively increasing.
- (5) Thur Tirk - Lands where salts present in root zone hamper opening of cotton balls. Accumulation of salts is well below in the root zone & salts are not visible over the soil surface.

Source: DLR/PID

Table 3.2.2-1 Cropping Intensity in the Study Area

Canal	GCA (ha)	CCA (ha)	Seasonal Crops			Annual/Perennial Crop		Cropped Area (ha)	Cropping Intensity (%)
			Kharif (ha)	Rabi (ha)	Sugarcane (ha)	Orchard (ha)			
1 C.B.D.C	284,628	265,648	139,017	169,440	15,542	3,735	327,734	123.4%	
2 LCC (East)	773,294	647,479	363,299	434,019	68,938	11,571	877,827	135.6%	
LCC (West)	724,114	588,560	305,450	374,673	66,241	6,518	752,882	127.9%	
LCC (Total)	1,497,408	1,236,039	668,749	808,692	135,179	18,089	1,630,709	131.9%	
3 LJC	662,958	614,467	309,848	330,576	43,130	68,553	752,107	122.4%	
Study Area	2,444,994	2,116,154	1,117,614	1,308,708	193,851	90,377	2,710,550	128.1%	

Source: Punjab Development Statistics
PID Revenue Department

Table 3.2.2-2 Cultivated Area Classified by Mode of Irrigation

Description	Cultivated Area	Cultivated Area with Irrigation Facilities										Cultivated Area without Irrigation			(Unit: ha)
		Actually Irrigated										not Irrigated	Sailaba	Barani	
		Total	Canal Only	Canal and Tubewell	Tubewell Only	Tank/Bandat Only	Spring/Rodkahi	Karez	Others	Irrigated	Sailaba				
Punjab	9,893,611	7,851,736 79.4%	1,957,291 24.9%	4,142,877 52.8%	1,569,840 20.0%	25,843 0.3%	123,558 1.6%	0 0.0%	32,327 0.4%	168,508	76,430	1,796,922			
1 Lahore	114,294	111,860 97.9%	61,644 55.1%	18,561 16.6%	31,188 27.9%	163 0.1%	0 0.0%	304 0.3%	1,809	102	523				
2 Kasur	299,169	292,710 97.8%	120,825 41.3%	102,969 35.2%	68,242 23.3%	505 0.2%	0 0.0%	169 0.1%	5,703	186	569				
3 Gujranwala	398,685	387,910 97.3%	26,739 6.9%	194,958 50.3%	165,456 42.7%	357 0.1%	0 0.0%	400 0.1%	2,823	6,379	1,574				
4 Sheikhpura	387,278	378,207 97.7%	45,297 12.0%	286,771 75.8%	45,257 12.0%	145 0.0%	190 0.1%	547 0.1%	6,740	272	2,055				
5 Faisalabad	356,059	351,870 98.8%	165,031 46.9%	169,323 48.1%	17,236 4.9%	42 0.0%	0 0.0%	238 0.1%	3,607	32	554				
6 Toba Tek Singh	204,062	200,181 98.1%	75,410 37.7%	112,760 56.3%	11,939 6.0%	72 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	3,870	2	8				
7 Jhang	565,208	521,757 92.3%	65,934 12.6%	288,243 55.2%	162,827 31.2%	1,711 0.3%	50 0.0%	2,992 0.6%	10,433	1,774	31,246				
8 Sargodha	379,849	370,937 97.7%	170,825 46.1%	172,435 46.5%	27,265 7.4%	267 0.1%	19 0.0%	127 0.0%	6,256	1,337	1,322				
Districts related to the Study Area	2,704,604	2,615,432 96.7%	731,704 28.0%	1,346,021 51.5%	529,409 20.2%	3,263 0.1%	259 0.0%	4,776 0.2%	41,241	10,085	37,851				

Source: Census of Agriculture, 1990, p191

Table 3.3.3-1 (1/3) Seepage Measurement Summary for Lower Jhelum Canal System

No.	Name of Disty/Minor	Test Reach(ft)		Inflow (cfs)	Outflow (cfs)	Water loss Av. Perimeter (cfs)	Wetted Surface(msf)	Seepage loss (cfs/msf)	Remarks	Seepage*1 (%)			
		from	to distance										
1	Fatch Pur	24000	41500	17500	41.351	14.563	23.942	2.846	16.323	0.286	9.963	Old & poor brick lining	16.46
2	Lakh	36200	70000	33800	31.096	4.645	25.622	0.829	10.302	0.348	2.381		2.99
3	Biochera	100	6700	6600	2.987	0.713	2.198	0.076	5.348	0.035	2.153	Partially in filling	12.06
4	Dheraza	50	18000	17950	12.524	3.719	7.570	1.235	8.524	0.153	8.072	in filling	2.53
5	Jani	1600	15550	13950	14.234	3.895	10.030	0.309	11.500	0.160	1.926		11.6
6	Pindi	1500	16000	14500	22.727	12.600	7.690	2.437	14.400	0.209	11.671		6.47
7	Old Khatwan	500	24000	23500	20.860	3.700	15.533	1.627	10.460	0.246	6.619		22.05
8	Naurang	15500	61500	46000	98.710	59.380	33.460	5.870	12.500	0.575	10.209		6.27
9	Shergarh	100	14000	13900	9.685	5.210	3.755	0.720	9.635	0.134	5.376	Bank Condition poor	6.76
10	Lachuwana	4000	10000	6000	11.886	7.395	4.330	0.161	6.834	0.041	3.926	Partially in filling	9.81
11	Sobhi	1000	28000	27000	26.402	8.800	15.840	1.762	11.910	0.322	5.479		13.33
12	Fujian	63200	95450	32250	79.300	39.170	33.481	6.649	22.381	0.722	9.212	Bank condition poor	3.87
13	Chokera	43000	67800	24800	89.200	54.988	32.635	1.577	25.210	0.625	2.522		3.68
14	Assian I	133	6800	6667	30.943	22.590	7.920	0.433	13.310	0.089	4.880		14.62
16	Kirana I	62100	96200	34100	241.890	198.640	30.700	12.550	38.322	1.307	9.604	in Filling	6.39
18	Malkana	13400	22000	8600	30.471	16.210	13.130	1.131	22.180	0.191	5.929	in Cutting	5.53
19	Wasuana	50	12000	11950	14.822	6.994	7.382	0.446	9.446	0.113	3.951		20.02
21	Lalian I	102200	142100	39900	144.855	38.012	92.333	14.510	31.500	1.257	11.545	in filling	12.67
23	Kohri	100	15000	14900	18.363	7.154	9.370	1.839	9.285	0.138	13.293	in filling	
	sum	368.733	762.600	393.867	942.306	508.378	376.921	57.007	289.370	6.950	128.711		
	average						15.23				6.77		9.84
15	Assian 2	6900	15200	8300	16.947	10.006	6.701	0.240	9.642	0.080	2.999	Lined Channel	2.26
17	Kirana 2	175000	195000	20000	38.344	8.875	28.785	0.684	17.950	0.359	1.905	Concrete lining	2.9
20	Rodian	400	14200	13800	19.452	8.583	10.704	0.165	10.110	0.140	1.183	Rocky Area	1.74
22	Lalian 2	160000	176400	16400	20.878	8.881	11.836	0.161	9.758	0.160	1.006	Concrete lining	
24	Ramsdana	1000	18000	17000	16.880	8.006	8.250	0.624	10.010	0.170	3.667	Concrete lining	
25	Lalian	168875		1000							7.497	by ponding method	13
26	Kirana	180370		960							9.371	by ponding method	14.26
27	Ramsdana	550		540							9.746	by ponding method	-

Note: Seepage*1 is calculated using head discharge and wetted area of each channel.

Table 3.3.3-1 (2/3) Seepage Measurement Summary for Lower Chenab Canal System

No.	Name of Disty/Minor	Test Reach(ft)		Inflow (cfs)	Outflow (cfs)	Outlets (cfs)	Water loss Av. Perimeter (cfs)	Perimeter (ft)	Wetted Surface(msf)	Seepage loss (cfs/msf)	Remarks	Seepage*1 (%)
		from	to									
1	Vanike	8400	44800	147.853	85.921	55.780	6.152	34.722	1.264	4.868		5.67
2	Jalal pur	500	26500	17.129	6.212	8.949	1.968	13.310	0.346	5.687		10.97
3	Chimot	61000	83000	65.162	44.172	19.879	1.111	22.200	0.488	2.275		4.67
4	Sarangwala	31000	49800	49.753	28.979	18.356	2.418	17.410	0.327	7.388		12.49
6	Suluan Pakhara 1	1000	50000	177.405	135.057	27.692	14.656	39.770	1.949	7.521		6.84
7	Sultan Pakhara 2	60000	89000	125.881	84.658	37.090	4.133	33.000	0.957	4.319		3.24
8	Bhaugu	1000	31000	173.838	124.940	46.510	2.388	38.160	1.145	2.086		
9	Dhaur	31000	71000	261.084	216.503	37.055	7.526	54.690	2.188	3.440		
10	Khewara 1	1000	23500	269.100	213.334	44.062	14.704	59.571	1.340	8.732		
11	Khewara 2	96650	124000	59.539	12.517	42.754	4.268	19.440	0.532	8.027		
12	Aruri	710	17000	68.877	42.376	25.461	1.040	21.050	0.343	3.033		2.89
13	Sialwala	875	12500	13.641	3.657	9.330	0.654	7.400	0.086	7.602		5.24
14	Dijkot 1	32200	63000	227.197	151.328	69.877	5.992	36.930	1.137	5.268		6.47
15	Dijkot 2	77000	101008	91.162	60.864	28.402	1.896	21.100	0.507	3.743		9.83
16	Gajiana 1	675	10600	77.561	73.129	3.246	1.186	33.100	0.329	3.610		9.83
17	Gajiana 2	74500	90500	27.041	22.129	4.262	0.650	15.480	0.248	2.624		4.1
18	Karlan	5224	19240	70.230	63.813	5.465	0.952	28.230	0.396	2.406		24.87
19	Ghour Dour	13140	24500	27.701	19.398	6.476	1.827	14.360	0.163	11.200	in filling	6.43
20	Tarkhani	39250	71800	104.139	59.202	40.573	4.364	35.630	1.160	3.763		12.96
21	Mungi 1	500	34800	173.369	121.768	39.525	12.076	31.850	1.092	11.054		
22	Mungi 2	34800	71400	121.768	68.243	47.737	5.788	27.310	1.000	5.791		5.95
24	Bhalak	1000	26000	180.497	143.829	33.487	3.181	43.600	1.090	2.918		12.73
25	Kilian wala 1	35800	72700	172.065	91.481	69.334	11.250	37.700	1.391	8.087	in filling	
27	Ahmad Nagar	70	10000	5.662	2.739	2.400	0.523	6.500	0.065	8.103		
	sum	607,294	1,217,648	2,707,654	1,876,249	723,702	107,703	692,513	19,541	133,544		
	average							28,855		5,564		8.54
26	Kilian wala 2	101550	114000	12.895	6.547	5.956	0.390	13.640	0.170	2.297	brick lined	3.62
23	Pir Mahal	620	25000	60.413	52.079	6.503	1.831	32.880	0.802	2.284	not running at FSL	5.21
5	Nagrana	50000	72000	85.658	66.017	15.166	4.475	34.350	0.756	5.922	not running at FSL	10.99
28	Bhalak	104140	488								by ponding method	5.52
29	Kilian Wala	2150	500								by ponding at escape	7.49
30	Sumundri	31500	485								by ponding method	
31	Pir Mahal	18300	520								by ponding at escape	14.47

Note: Seepage*1 is calculated using head discharge and wetted area of each channel.

Table 3.3.3-1 (3/3) Seepage Measurement Summary for Central Bari Doab Canal System

No.	Name of Disty/Minor	Test Reach(ft)		Inflow (cfs)	Outflow (cfs)	Outlets (cfs)	Water loss Av. Perimeter (ft)	Wetted Surface(msf)	Seepage loss (cfs/msf)	Remarks	Seepage*1 (%)	
		from	to									
1	Chinna	35200	56450	21250	89.427	34.775	49.691	4.961	24.450	0.520	9.548 in filling	13.43
3	Hjandal	1500	32800	31300	143.274	102.634	27.852	12.788	31.700	0.992	12.888 breaches in bank	21.46
4	Rajh	10650	38800	28150	285.462	212.087	64.912	8.463	49.560	1.395	6.066	9.18
5	Tarman	26500	56000	29500	86.449	65.617	14.945	5.887	27.129	0.800	7.356 banks in poor condition	
6	Athipur	5500	27800	22300	114.765	90.167	20.113	4.485	30.860	0.688	6.517 banks in poor condition	7.89
	sum	79,350	211,850	132,500	719.377	505.280	177.513	36.584	163.699	4.395	42.376	
	average								32.740		8.475	12.99
2	Turkwind	48000	70000	22000	50.661	14.686	34.669	1.306	15.520	0.341	3.825 concrete lining	
7	Vahn	6950		415							1.458 by ponding at escape	1.67

Note: Seepage*1 is calculated using head discharge and wetted area of each channel.

Table 3.3.3-2 (1/3) Seepage Rate of Selected Canals for LJC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	Seepage Rate		Total Wet Surface		Seepage Volume			Water Saving after lining		Remarks	
						un-lined (cfs/msf)	lined (cfs/msf)	Present (msf)	Designed (msf)	(m ³ /s)	(%)	(m ³ /s)	(%)	(m ³ /s)		(%)
						6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Pindi		6.86	0.46	2,285	6.32	1.47	0.23	0.18	0.04	8.95	0.01	1.63	0.03	7.32	
2	Hujan		33.98	5.16	11,329	6.32	1.47	2.99	1.67	0.54		0.07		0.47		
3	Hujan	Arian	5.43	0.28	1,392	6.32	1.47	0.14	0.14	0.03		0.01		0.02		
4	Hujan	Kot Moman	6.78	0.54	2,668	6.32	1.47	0.25	0.18	0.04		0.01		0.04		
5	Hujan	Kot Raja	2.81	0.17	866	6.32	1.47	0.06	0.06	0.01		0.00		0.01		
6	Hujan	Bhikhi	6.34	0.39	1,974	6.32	1.47	0.20	0.16	0.04		0.01		0.03		
7	Hujan	Sabowal	5.76	0.31	1,575	6.32	1.47	0.16	0.15	0.03		0.01		0.02		
8	Hujan	M.Wala	5.87	0.26	1,311	6.32	1.47	0.15	0.15	0.03		0.01		0.02		
9	Hujan	Tangu	4.84	0.29	1,470	6.32	1.47	0.13	0.12	0.02		0.01		0.02		
10	Hujan	Jaspal	8.32	0.54	2,651	6.32	1.47	0.31	0.22	0.05		0.01		0.05		
	sub-total		80.13	5.16	25,236	6.32	1.47	4.38	2.85	0.78	15.18	0.12	2.30	0.66	12.88	
11	Kirana		62.95	10.52	21,374	6.32	1.47	6.71	4.40	1.20		0.18		1.02		
12	Kirana	Saruli	1.59	0.12	606	6.32	1.47	0.03	0.03	0.00		0.00		0.00		
13	Kirana	Hadida	4.11	0.36	1,639	6.32	1.47	0.12	0.11	0.02		0.00		0.02		
14	Kirana	Malkana	10.16	0.73	3,548	6.32	1.47	0.36	0.28	0.06		0.01		0.05		
15	Kirana	Waswana	6.89	0.34	1,731	6.32	1.47	0.18	0.18	0.03		0.01		0.02		
16	Kirana	Tandalian	3.96	0.28	1,304	6.32	1.47	0.10	0.10	0.02		0.00		0.01		
17	Kirana	Rodian	6.04	0.49	2,374	6.32	1.47	0.21	0.16	0.04		0.01		0.03		
18	Kirana	Hunde	4.92	0.37	1,778	6.32	1.47	0.15	0.13	0.03		0.01		0.02		
19	Kirana	Killa	4.10	0.23	1,147	6.32	1.47	0.10	0.10	0.02		0.00		0.01		
20	Kirana	Dhabian	2.41	0.16	822	6.32	1.47	0.05	0.05	0.01		0.00		0.01		
	sub-total		107.13	10.52	36,324	6.32	1.47	8.00	5.52	1.43	13.61	0.23	2.19	1.20	11.43	
	Total		194.12	16.14	63,844			12.61	8.55	2.26	13.98	0.36	2.20	1.90	11.78	

Note:
 7: measured/estimated, 9: calculated based on existing canal profile, 10&12: calculated on 5:7 & 8, 11&13: ratio of 10&12 to 5
 8: average seepage rate at concrete lined portion, 14:10-12, 15: 5*14/100

Table 3.3.3-2 (2/3) Seepage Rate of Selected Canals for LCC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	Seepage Rate		Total Wet Surface		Seepage Volume					Water Saving after lining		Remarks
						un-lined (cfs/msf)	lined (cfs/msf)	Present (msf)	Designed (msf)	un-lined (m ³ /s)	un-lined (%)	lined (m ³ /s)	lined (%)	10	11	12	
1	Sarangwala	2	25.04	1.99	6,627	6.32	1.47	1.35	0.86	0.24	12.14	0.04	1.79	0.21	10.35	16	
2	Nasrana		54.64	7.02	25,094	6.32	1.47	5.07	3.11	0.91		0.13		0.78			
3	Nasrana	Saduana	2.76	0.12	720	6.32	1.47	0.05	0.05	0.01		0.00		0.01			
4	Nasrana	Khillianwa	4.43	0.26	1,293	6.32	1.47	0.11	0.11	0.02		0.00		0.02			
5	Nasrana	Narwala	5.82	0.41	2,139	6.32	1.47	0.19	0.15	0.03		0.01		0.03			
6	Nasrana	Sutiana	3.66	0.20	1,015	6.32	1.47	0.08	0.08	0.01		0.00		0.01			
7	Nasrana	Nathari	4.60	0.38	1,800	6.32	1.47	0.14	0.12	0.03		0.00		0.02			
8	Nasrana	Domra	5.51	0.52	2,616	6.32	1.47	0.20	0.15	0.04		0.01		0.03			
	Sub-total		81.42	7.02	34,677			5.83	3.77	1.04	14.87	0.16	2.23	0.89	12.64		
9	Gojra		15.06	1.64	6,247	6.32	1.47	1.00	0.49	0.18		0.02		0.16			
10	Gojra	Zeera	2.71	0.22	1,193	6.32	1.47	0.06	0.06	0.01		0.00		0.01			
	Sub-total		17.77	1.64	7,540			1.06	0.55	0.19	11.58	0.02	1.40	0.17	10.19		
11	Mungi		36.97	4.05	17,657	6.32	1.47	2.88	1.63	0.52		0.07		0.45			
12	Mungi	Mungi	4.32	0.31	1,504	6.32	1.47	0.12	0.11	0.02		0.00		0.02			
	Sub-total		41.29	4.05	19,161			3.00	1.74	0.54	13.25	0.07	1.79	0.46	11.46		
13	Jamwala/Hamza		10.96	1.31	4,360	6.32	1.47	0.65	0.34	0.12		0.01		0.10			
14	Jamwala	Amirwala	7.62	0.43	2,153	6.32	1.47	0.25	0.20	0.04		0.01		0.04			
	Sub-total		18.58	1.31	6,513			0.89	0.54	0.16	12.23	0.02	1.70	0.14	10.52		
15	Pir Mahal		47.57	3.88	9,902	6.32	1.47	2.92	2.06	0.52		0.09		0.44			
16	Pir Mahal	Thera	4.85	0.19	1,012	6.32	1.47	0.10	0.10	0.02		0.00		0.01			
17	Pir Mahal	Megpeja	9.89	0.37	1,818	6.32	1.47	0.30	0.26	0.05		0.01		0.04			
18	Pir Mahal	Jungejwala	16.08	0.98	4,703	6.32	1.47	0.81	0.47	0.15		0.02		0.13			
19	Pir Mahal	Jandwala	3.74	0.15	807	6.32	1.47	0.07	0.07	0.01		0.00		0.01			
	Sub-total		82.13	3.88	18,242			4.20	2.96	0.75	19.39	0.12	3.17	0.63	16.22		
20	Killianwala		46.05	5.66	19,278	6.32	1.47	3.34	2.36	0.60		0.10		0.50			
22	Killianwala	Minor #3	6.66	0.33	1,741	6.32	1.47	0.19	0.17	0.03		0.01		0.03			
	Sub-total		52.71	5.66	21,019			3.53	2.53	0.63	11.16	0.11	1.86	0.53	9.30		
	Total		318.94	25.55	113,779			19.87	12.94	3.56	13.92	0.54	2.11	3.02	11.81		

Note: 7: measured/estimated, 9: calculated based on existing canal profile, 10&12: calculated on 5:7 & 8, 11&13: ratio of 10&12 to 5

8: average seepage rate at concrete lined portion, 14:10-12, 15: 5*14/100

Table 3.3.3-2 (3/3) Seepage Rate of Selected Canals for CBDC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	Seepage Rate			Total Wet Surface			Seepage Volume			Water Saving after lining			Remarks
						un-lined (cfs/msf)	lined (cfs/msf)	7	Present (msf)	Designed (msf)	un-lined (m ³ /s)	lined (m ³ /s)	11	12	13	(m ³ /s)	(%)	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
1	Thamman		29.85	7.27	6,599	6.32	1.47	2.55	1.73	0.46		0.07		0.38				
2	Thamman sub-total	Saharan	7.24	0.71	3,217	6.32	1.47	0.31	0.20	0.06		0.01		0.05				
			37.09	7.27	9,816			2.86	1.93	0.51	7.04	0.08	1.11	0.43	5.93			
3	China		25.46	3.60	12,664	6.32	1.47	1.56	1.07	0.28		0.04		0.23				
4	China sub-total	Kale Minor	7.81	0.83	3,726	6.32	1.47	0.36	0.22	0.06		0.01		0.06				
			33.27	3.60	16,390			1.92	1.29	0.34	9.55	0.05	1.49	0.29	8.06			
	Total		70.36	10.87	26,206			4.78	3.22	0.86	7.87	0.13	1.23	0.72	6.64			

Note: 7: measured/estimated, 9: calculated based on existing canal profile, 10&12: calculated on S.7 & 8, 11&13: ratio of 10&12 to 5
 8: average seepage rate at concrete lined portion, 14:10-12, 15: 5*14/100

Table 3.3.5-1 Comparison between Measured and Authorized Discharges

Distributaries and Minors	Total Length (km)	Nos of Watercourses	Total CCA (acre)	Average CCA of Watercourse (acre)	Average Delta (cusec/1000 ac)	Authorized Discharge (cusec)	Actual Measurement (cusec)	Ratio of Actual Measurement over Authorized Discharge (%)		
								Average	Maximum	Minimum
1. LCC										
Mungi - 1	37.0	18	8,595	478	2.96	25.42	33.629	132	227	71
Mungi - 2		26	12,354	475	3.37	41.61	47.530	114	181	55
Kilian wala - 1	46.1	17	8,077	475	2.96	23.94	41.010	171	351	62
Kilian wala - 2		7	3,329	476	2.93	9.76	4.196	43	87	12
Nasarana	54.7	11	6,498	591	2.84	18.45	15.165	82	153	43
Sub-total	137.7	79	38,853	492	3.01	119.18	141.530	108	200	49
2. LJC										
Naurang	52.4	14	9,455	675	2.45	23.18	22.802	98	198	48
Dherana	64.3	3	1,849	616	2.21	4.09	7.570	185	283	110
Kirana - 1	64.3	16	7,934	496	3.05	24.19	29.691	123	174	75
Kirana - 2		9	4,369	485	2.85	12.47	15.911	128	162	101
Pindi	6.9	4	2,345	586	3.28	7.70	7.689	100	159	68
Fujian	34.0	16	8,332	521	2.81	23.41	24.029	103	676	56
Sub-total	221.9	62	34,284	553	2.78	95.04	107.692	123	275	76
3. CBDC										
Thaman	30.9	8	2,793	349	3.01	8.41	11.304	134	310	50
Ashipur	15.9	5	1,937	387	2.88	5.58	8.825	158	425	39
Chinna	25.5	18	8,904	495	3.10	27.59	49.282	179	409	76
Sub-total	72.3	31	13,634	440	3.00	41.58	69.411	157	381	55
Total	431.9	172	86,771	504	2.93	255.80	318.633	129	285	60

**Table 3.3.9-1 Current Status of Lining of
Distributaries and Minors in the Study Area**
(Unit: km)

Name of System	Lining Status (Type)	Length(km)			Percentage lined kind
		Distributaries	Minors	Disty & Minors	
C.B.D.C	<u>unlined</u>	403.86	274.71	678.58	(80)
(Lahore)	Concrete	47.95	25.49	73.44	(44)
	<u>lined</u> Brick	57.17	29.16	86.33	(51)
	Brick(Slope)	0.40	8.00	8.40	(5)
	Total lined	105.52	62.66	168.17	(20)
	Total length	509.38	337.37	846.75	
LCC	<u>unlined</u>	2,331.46	898.78	3,230.25	(85)
(Faisalabad)	Concrete	73.74	45.25	118.98	(21)
	<u>lined</u> Brick	216.63	146.25	362.89	(65)
	Brick(Slope)	57.70	15.21	72.90	(13)
	Total lined	348.07	206.71	554.77	(15)
	Total length	2,679.53	1,105.49	3,785.02	
LJC	<u>unlined</u>	1,253.69	634.43	1,888.12	(95)
(Salgodha)	Concrete	50.28	2.66	52.94	(58)
	<u>lined</u> Brick	3.91	15.81	19.72	(22)
	Brick(Slope)	11.25	7.31	18.56	(20)
	Total lined	65.44	25.78	91.22	(5)
	Total length	1,319.13	660.21	1,979.34	
Total	<u>unlined</u>	3,989.01	1,807.92	5,796.94	(88)
	Concrete	171.97	73.40	245.37	(30)
	<u>lined</u> Brick	277.72	191.23	468.95	(58)
	Brick(Slope)	69.34	30.52	99.86	(12)
	Total lined	519.03	295.15	814.17	(12)
	Total length	4,508.04	2,103.07	6,611.11	

Note: Many portions are lined near town, parent canal or some facilities, which are not included within the length.

Cost data are in lack for considerable numbers of canal and hence undependable.

Table 3.3.9-2 Present Situation of Structures

No.	Name of District	Center (Nos)				Dist (Nos)				Bridge (Nos)								Water Course Cross	Pipe Culvert	Escaped Total											
		Total	Type of Outlet			Total	Type of Bridge			Total				Superannuation																	
		A	B	C	D	E	A	B	C	D	E	AR	DR			VR			FP				S								
		Type of Outlet				Type of Bridge				Total				Superannuation				Total				Superannuation									
		Type of Outlet				Type of Bridge				Total				Superannuation				Total				Superannuation									
		Type of Outlet				Type of Bridge				Total				Superannuation				Total				Superannuation									
1	Pindri	4				6	1	1			4																				
2	Hugan	65				60	8				87	2																			
3	Kirana	9				87	1				97	1																			
LCC subtotal		15				152	10				162	3																			
4	Sarngwala	4				11	2				26																				
5	Naxaruna	11				54	3				71																				
6	Gujra	5				15	1				10																				
7	Munjo	3				17	3				21																				
8	Jarwala/Haraja	5				8	1				7																				
9	Pimahal	5				35	1				45																				
10	Kailanwala	6				10	1				21																				
LCC subtotal		59				200	15				215																				
11	Thamman	15				16	3				31																				
12	China	3				73	11				84																				
CDDC subtotal		18				91	14				105																				
Present Total LCCS		72				353	24				477																				

Legend and Classification

1) Extent of Superannuation

2) Type of Outlet

3) Type of Drop

4) Type of Bridge

A: well maintained and to be repaired B: functioning but to be repaired C/D: damaged/broken and to be replaced

A: Pipe Outlet B: APM Outlet C: AOSM Outlet with Chamber (proposed) D: Open Flume Outlet E: Other Type (not clear or scratchy type)

A: Vertical Drop B: Inclined Drop C: No clear or Other type

AR: Arterial Road Bridge DR: Divisional Road Bridge VR: Village Road Bridge FP: Footpath Bridge RW: Railway Bridge

Table 3.4.1-1

Statement Regarding Yard stick, Demand and Release of Funds for O & M of L.J.C.

(Rs in million)

Year	Yard stick	Demand	Funds Released	Short fall	Comimulative Short fall
1991 - 1992	19.90	19.90	9.74	10.16	10.16
92 - 93	19.90	21.49	7.75	13.74	23.90
93 - 94	19.90	23.21	13.20	10.01	33.91
94 - 95	19.90	25.06	15.77	9.29	43.20
95 - 96	19.90	27.07	3.50	23.57	66.77

Table 3.4.2-1 Statement of Annual Expenditure in 12 Selected Distributaries of L.I.C., L.C.C. & C.B.D.C.

(1994-95)

Title	Pindi Disty	Hujjan Disty & Minors	Kirana Disty & Minors	Sarang wala Disty	Nasrana Disty	Gojra Disty	Mungi Disty	Janiwala/ Hamza Disty	Pir Mahal Disty	Killian wala Disty	Thaman Disty	Chhinna Disty & Minors	Total (%)
a) STAFF:													
Engineering/Maintenance Staff	50,000	1,760,000	2,400,000	388,512	426,276	202,000*	364,980	196,140	413,040	260,036	436,820	488,715	7,386,519 44.0%
Revenue Staff	150,000	1,100,000	1,500,000	287,073	880,812	168,000*	338,160	225,180	338,160	274,920	368,425	412,795	6,043,525 36.0%
Total	200,000	2,860,000	3,900,000	675,585	1,307,088	370,000*	703,140	421,320	751,200	534,956	805,245	901,510	13,430,044 80.0%
b) Annual M & R**	70,000	500,000	800,000	-	144,678	96,000*	-	-	476,549	270,000	947,200	58,872	3,363,299 20.0%
Grand Total (a & b)	270,000	3,360,000	4,700,000	675,585	1,451,766	466,000*	703,140	421,320	1,227,749	804,956	1,752,445	960,382	16,793,343
Annual Assessed Water Charges (1994-95)	370,877	6,884,022	5,823,453	1,072,553	4,672,940	930,707	2,828,064	980,150	2,959,144	3,235,848	593,327	1,546,108	31,897,193

* Estimated.

** Average of two years where available

Table 3.4.2-2

Statement showing G.C.A, C.C.A Area Irrigated with Revenue Assessed in
L.J.C. Circle (1984-1994)

Year	G.C.A Acres	C.C.A Acres	Area Irrigated in Acres			Revenue Assessed in Rupees		
			Kharif	Rabi	Total	Kharif	Rabi	Total
1984 - 1985	1,638,228	1,518,401	855,268	988,649	1,843,917	40,951,315	38,159,627	79,110,942
85 - 86	"	"	874,986	1,015,296	1,890,282	39,412,795	36,971,563	76,384,358
86 - 87	"	"	875,569	1,025,448	1,901,017	41,479,615	37,961,563	79,441,178
87 - 88	"	"	875,218	1,006,746	1,881,964	38,774,333	34,681,616	73,455,949
88 - 89	"	"	896,390	1,004,543	1,900,933	40,136,090	34,825,967	74,962,057
89 - 90	"	"	896,680	1,012,425	1,909,105	39,355,541	34,272,716	73,628,257
90 - 91	"	"	888,451	1,001,141	1,889,592	41,402,048	38,016,026	79,418,074
91 - 92	"	"	893,179	994,014	1,887,193	43,991,207	31,986,993	75,978,200
92 - 93	"	"	888,794	975,814	1,865,608	37,050,585	31,614,044	69,664,629
93 - 94	"	"	876,062	971,317	1,847,379	45,705,643	33,374,850	79,080,493

Table 3.4.2-3 AREA IRRIGATED, ASSESSED AND REMISSIONS OF WATER CHARGES IN TWO TYPICAL DIVISIONS OF LOWER CHANAB CANAL.

BARALA DIVISION

No. of Distributaries = 38

Culturable Command Area = 458789 Acres

Area Irrigated & Assessed (in Acres)				
Years		Area Irrigated	Area Assessed	Remission
1992-93	Kharif	392,817	391,248	1,569
	Rabi	336,329	335,887	442
	Total	729,146	727,135	2,011
1993-94	Kharif	387,524	373,770	13,754
	Rabi	326,888	326,795	93
	Total	714,412	700,565	13,847
1994-95	Kharif	389,810	389,304	506
	Rabi	325,672	325,594	78
	Total	715,482	714,898	584
Average of 3 years	Kharif	390,050.33	384,774.00	5,276.33
	Rabi	329,629.67	329,425.33	204.33
	Total	719,680.00	714,199.33	5,480.67

Average Area Irrigated with Sugar cane counted twice =	782,719.67
Intensity of Irrigation with Sugar cane counted once =	156.87%
Intensity of Irrigation with Sugar cane counted twice =	170.61%
Remission as Percentage of Cropped Area =	0.70%

HAFIZABAD DIVISION

No. of Distributaries = 24

Culturable Command Area = 329290 Acres

Area Irrigated & Assessed (in Acres)				
Years		Area Irrigated	Area Assessed	Remission
1992-93	Kharif	219,883	218,884	999
	Rabi	217,298	217,282	16
	Total	437,181	436,166	1,015
1993-94	Kharif	217,508	217,305	203
	Rabi	211,728	211,724	4
	Total	429,236	429,029	207
1994-95	Kharif	211,465	211,336	129
	Rabi	212,305	211,646	659
	Total	423,770	422,982	788
Average of 3 years	Kharif	216,285.33	215,841.67	443.67
	Rabi	213,777.00	213,550.67	226.33
	Total	430,062.33	429,392.33	670.00

Average Area Irrigated with Sugar cane counted twice =	485,031.00
Intensity of Irrigation with Sugar cane counted once =	130.60%
Intensity of Irrigation with Sugar cane counted twice =	147.30%
Remission as Percentage of Cropped Area =	0.14%

Table 3.4.2-4 Details of C.C.A. Area Assessed, Remission & Water Charges in 12 Selected Distributaries of L.I.C., J.C.C. & C.B.D.C.

Item	Pindi Disty	Hujan Disty & Minors	Kirana Disty & Minors	Sarang wala Disty	Nasrana Disty	Cojra Disty	Mungi Disty	Janiwala/ Hamza Disty	Pir Mahal Disty	Kullian wala Disty	Tharain Disty	Chhinna Disty & Minors	Total
1. Culturable Commanded Area (C.C.A.) in acres	5,646	62,359	89,754	16,374	85,686	18,632	47,347	16,093	46,196	46,196	12,882	40,498	487,663
2. Area Assessed (3 Yrs. Av. (1992-1995))	9,563	106,039	129,268	27,872	121,436	23,301	68,185	25,132	67,471	87,867	15,801	47,411	729,346
3. Remission (3 Yrs. Av. (1992-1995))	-	365	171	-	10	265	969	110	236	741	-	-	2,867
Remission as % of area assessed	0.00	0.34	0.13	0.00	0.01	1.14	1.42	0.44	0.35	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.40
4. Total Water Charges in 1,000 Rs. (1995)	371	6,884	5,823	1,073	4,673	931	2,828	980	2,959	3,236	593	1,546	31,897
5. Water Charges per Cropped Acre (1995)	K 38.66	67.53	52.11	42.82	46.32	47.02	57.11	45.22	61.17	43.50	48.05	36.74	
	R 38.48	61.77	38.22	51.63	30.29	33.88	30.06	31.28	37.15	30.54	30.12	29.20	
6. Water Charges on Flat Rate per Season (1995)	32.84	55.20	32.44	32.75	27.27	24.98	29.87	30.45	32.03	35.02	23.03	19.09	

Table 3.4.5-1 STATEMENT OF ANNUAL EXPENDITURE
LOWER JEHLUM CANAL (Rs.in Millions)

i) STAFF

a) ENGINEERING / EXECUTIVE STAFF :

TITLE	PAY AND ALLOWNCES	UTILITIES, TANSPORT, COMMUNICATION, etc.
CIRCLE OFF	1.18	0.30
SARGODHA DIVISION	10.04	
KIRANA DIVISION	9.28	3.83
SHAHPUR DIVISION	7.78	
RASUL DIVISION	11.28	
TOTAL	39.56	4.13
TOTAL ENGR / EXEC STAFF		43.69

b) REVENUE STAFF :

SARGODHA DIVISION	6.51	
KIRANA DISION	8.62	7.50
SHAHPUR DIVISION	3.17	
RASUL DIVISION	2.92	
TOTAL	21.22	7.50
TOTAL REVENUE STAFF		28.72
GRAND TOTAL (a & b)		72.41

ii) M AND R EXPENSES OF L.J.C

YEAR	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	AVERAGE
DEMAND	19.90	21.50	23.50	25.10	22.50
RELEASE	9.70	7.70	13.20	15.70	11.58

COST OF STAFF AND MAINTENANCE OF WORKS (i & ii)	=	83.99
PERCENT EXPENDITURE ON WORKS	=	13.78%
PERCENT EXPENDITURE ON STAFF	=	86.22%

**Table 3.4.5-2 STATEMENT OF ANNUAL EXPENDITURE
LOWER CHANAB CANAL (Rs.in Millions)**

i) STAFF

a) ENGINEERING / EXECUTIVE STAFF :

TITLE	L.C.C EAST	L.C.C WEST	TOTAL
PAY & ALLOWNCES	28.58	38.63	67.21
UTILITIES etc.	0.98	1.25	2.23
SUB TOTAL	29.56	39.88	69.44

b) REVENUE ASSESSMENT STAFF :

PAY & ALLOWNCES	22.73	18.15	40.88
UTILITIES etc.	2.09	1.95	4.04
SUB TOTAL	24.82	20.10	44.92
G.TOTAL (a & b)	54.38	59.98	114.36

ii) M AND R EXPENSES (WORKS) OF L.C.C

YEAR	1993-94
DEMAND	31.80
RELEASE	5.91

COST OF STAFF AND MAINTENANCE OF WORKS (i & ii)	=	120.27
PERCENT EXPENDITURE ON WORKS	=	4.91%
PERCENT EXPENDITURE ON STAFF	=	95.09%

**Table 3.4.5-3 STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURE AND STAFF STRENGTH
CENTRAL BARI DOAB CANAL**

STAFF STRENGTH

TOTAL STAFF EXCLUDING
TUBE WELL STAFF 696

ANNUAL EXPENDITURE

a) STAFF :

YEAR	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	AVERAGE
ENGR / EXEC	12.27	14.99	12.56	13.27
REVENUE	9.21	8.91	9.59	9.24
TOTAL	21.48	23.90	22.15	22.51

b) WORKS :

EXPENSES	8.00	10.83	9.69	9.51
G.TOTAL (a & b)	29.48	34.73	31.84	32.02

PERCENT COST OF M AND R = 29.69%

PERCENT OF STAFF = 70.31%

**Table 3.4.5-4 STATEMENT OF STAFF STRENGTH
LOWER CHANAB CANAL**

STAFF STRENGTH

a) **ENGINEERING / EXECUTIVE STAFF :**

TITLE	L.C.C EAST	L.C.C WEST	TOTAL
S.E	1	1	2
XEN	3	4	7
A.E	9	9	18
SUB ENGR	38	50	88
SUB TOTAL	51	64	115

b) **OTHER STAFF :**

MAINTENANCE STAFF	966	1188	2154
TOTAL O & M STAFF (a & b)	1017	1252	2269

c) **REVENUE STAFF:**

STAFF	678	554	1232
G.TOTAL (a, b & c)	1695	1806	3501

**Table 3.4.5-5 STATEMENT OF STAFF STRENGTH
LOWER JELUM CANAL**

STAFF STRENGTH

a) **ENGINEERING / EXECUTIVE STAFF :**

TITLE	CIRCLE OFFICE	SARGODHA DIVISION	KIRANA DIVISION	SHAHPUR DIVISION	RASUL DIVISION	TOTAL
S.E	1	-	-	-	-	1
XEN	-	1	1	1	1	4
A.Es	-	3	4	3	2	12
SUB ENGRs	-	14	15	11	10	50
S.TOTAL	1	18	20	15	13	67

b) **OTHER STAFF :**

FIELD STAFF	-	154	141	101	149	545
OFF / MISC	31	181	150	149	236	747
S. TOTAL	31	335	291	250	385	1292
G. TOTAL (a & b)	32	353	311	265	398	1359

c) **REVENUE ASSESSMENT STAFF :**

STAFF	-	202	247	87	96	632
TOTAL L.J.C STAFF (a,b & c)	32	555	558	352	494	1991

Table 5.1.1-1 SUMMARY TABLE OF CANAL LENGTH IN THE STUDY AREA

1. LOWER JHELUM CANAL CIRCLE
 2. LOWER CHENAB CANAL CIRCLE
 3. CENTRAL BARI DOAB CANAL CIRCLE

Zone	Circle	Division	Disturbances				Minors				Irrigation Canal System				
			Length (canal miles)		Number	Total	Length (canal miles)		Un-Lined	Perennial		Non-Perennial			
			Total	Lined**			Un-Lined	Perennial							
1. Sargodha Irrigation Zone, Sargodha	1. Lower Jhelum Canal Circle, Sargodha	1. Kirana Canal Division, Sargodha	18	265.64	24.99	240.65	265.64	54	183.54	166.62	183.54	-	Lower Jhelum		
			15	122.60	-	122.60	122.60	11	26.00	26.00	-	26.00	-	Lower Jhelum	
			33	327.09	17.95	309.14	327.09	27	92.73	92.73	-	92.73	-	Lower Jhelum	
			21	150.24	-	150.24	-	37	130.94	130.94	-	130.94	-	Lower Jhelum	
Sub-total (Sub-total, km)			87	865.57 (1,320)	42.94	822.63	715.33	129	433.21 (661)	16.92	416.29	302.27	130.94		
	2. Faisalabad Irrigation Zone, Faisalabad	1. Lower Chenab Canal, (West) Circle, Faisalabad	23	206.98	17.15	189.83	206.98	33	69.31	14.90	54.41	69.31	-	Lower Chenab	
2. Faisalabad Irrigation Zone, Faisalabad	1. Lower Chenab Canal, (West) Circle, Faisalabad	2. Hafizabad Canal Division, Faisalabad	25	177.37	37.14	140.23	177.37	24	73.67	6.24	67.43	73.67	-	Lower Chenab	
			30	309.38	38.35	271.03	309.38	42	136.15	26.39	109.76	136.15	-	Lower Chenab	
			16	163.10	3.58	159.52	93.22	25	65.87	1.82	64.05	18.79	47.08	47.08	Lower Chenab
			24	856.83	26.22	760.61	786.95	124	345.00	49.35	295.65	297.92	47.08	Lower Chenab	
Sub-total (Sub-total, km)			31	299.77	38.74	261.03	299.77	33	128.35	19.73	108.62	128.35	-	Lower Chenab	
	West Summary	1. Burala Canal Division, Faisalabad	27	295.85	48.34	247.51	295.85	33	124.37	26.83	97.54	124.37	-	Lower Chenab	
3. Lahore Irrigation Zone, Lahore	1. Depaipur Canal Circle, Lahore	3. Upper Gupera Canal Division,	26	305.77	45.09	260.68	305.77	31	127.67	39.73	87.94	127.67	-	Lower Chenab	
			2. Lower Gupera Canal Division, Faisalabad	26	305.77	45.09	260.68	305.77	31	127.67	39.73	87.94	127.67	-	Lower Chenab
			2. Lower Gupera Canal Division, Faisalabad	26	305.77	45.09	260.68	305.77	31	127.67	39.73	87.94	127.67	-	Lower Chenab
			3. Upper Gupera Canal Division,	26	305.77	45.09	260.68	305.77	31	127.67	39.73	87.94	127.67	-	Lower Chenab
Sub-total (Sub-total, km)			84	901.29	132.17	769.12	901.29	97	380.39	86.29	294.10	380.39	0.00		
	East Summary	1. Depaipur Canal Circle, Lahore	178	1,758.22 (2,681)	226.39	1,529.83	1,688.34	221	725.39 (1,106)	135.64	589.75	678.31	47.08		
Sub-total (Sub-total, km)			33	329.14	69.24	259.90	329.14	68	221.37	41.09	180.28	221.37	-	C. B. D	
	3. Lahore Irrigation Zone, Lahore	1. Depaipur Canal Circle, Lahore	1	5.10	-	5.10	5.10	-	-	-	-	-	-	B. S. Link	
Sub-total (Sub-total, km)			34	334.24 (510)	69.24	265.00	334.24	68	221.37 (337)	41.09	180.28	221.37	-		
	Total (Total, km)		299	2,958.03 (4,511)	340.57 (519)	2,617.46	2,737.91	418	1,379.97 (2,104)	193.65	1,186.32	1,201.95	178.02		

***: Updated by the JICA Study Team, 1996.

Table S.1.5-1 (1/3) List of Selected Canals for LJC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Outlet (Nos.)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Design Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	WUA (Nos.)	Length of trace by ground Water Quality (km)						Soepage Rate (%)	Lining (unit: km)		Remarks		
									> 3,000 PPM	3,000 - 1,000 PPM	< 1,000 PPM	Length	Location	Length		Location	Length		Location	from (RD)
									9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	Pindi		6.86	10	0.46	0.54	2,285	0			2.34	Tail	4.52	Head	11.60					
2	Hujan		33.98	59	5.16	6.46	11,329	30	4.27	Tail	21.38	T.M	8.33	Head	13.33	109,000	111,473	0.75	Brick	
3	Hujan	Anjan	5.43	6	0.28	0.33	1,392	4			3.21	Tail	2.22	Head	9.84					
4	Hujan	Kot Moman	6.78	12	0.54	0.63	2,668	9			4.73	Tail	2.05	Head	9.54					
5	Hujan	Kot Raja	2.81	5	0.17	0.20	866	2					2.81	Full	8.24					
6	Hujan	Bhikki	6.34	9	0.39	0.46	1,974	3			0.90	Tail	5.44	Head	10.62					
7	Hujan	Sahawal	5.76	7	0.31	0.37	1,575	6			5.76	Full			11.05					
8	Hujan	M.Wala	5.87	5	0.26	0.31	1,311	3			5.87	Full			10.44					
9	Hujan	Tangu	4.84	5	0.29	0.34	1,470	2	4.84	Full					9.49					
10	Hujan	Jaspal	8.32	14	0.54	0.63	2,651	7	8.32	Full					10.71			0.75		
	sub-total		80.13	122	5.16	6.46	25,236	66	17.43		41.85		20.85							
11	Kirana		62.95	112	10.52	12.86	21,374	48	20.13	T.M	29.97	T.M	12.85	Head	14.26(p)	176,100	206,542	9.19	Concrete	
12	Kirana	Saruli	1.59	2	0.12	0.14	606	0					1.59	Full						
13	Kirana	Hadda	4.11	8	0.36	0.41	1,639	5					4.11	Full						
14	Kirana	Maikana	10.16	16	0.73	0.87	3,548	11	7.32	Middle	2.84	T.H								
15	Kirana	Wasuana	6.89	8	0.34	0.41	1,731	3			6.28	Head	0.61	Tail						
16	Kirana	Tandalian	3.96	10	0.28	0.32	1,304	1			3.96	Full								
17	Kirana	Rodian	6.04	11	0.49	0.57	2,374	6			2.11	Tail	3.93	Head						
18	Kimna	Hunde	4.92	10	0.37	0.43	1,778	7			4.92	Full								
19	Kirana	Killa	4.10	7	0.23	0.27	1,147	3			4.10	Full								
20	Kirana	Dhabian	2.41	4	0.16	0.19	822	3			2.41	Full								
	(Chokera and Minors)		30.95				14,441				56.59		23.09		14.62			9.19		#1
	sub-total		138.08	188	10.52	12.86	50,765	87	27.45											
	Total		225.07	320	16.14	19.86	78,286	153	44.88		100.78		48.46					9.94		

Total length for LJC is 184.18 km. #1: not included for lining

Table S.1.5-1 (23) List of Selected Canals for LCC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Outlet (Nos.)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Design Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	WUA (Nos.)	Length of trace by ground Water Quality (km)						Seepage Rate (%)		Lining (unit : km)		Remarks	
									Length > 3,000 PPM	Location	Length 3,000 - 1,000 PPM	Location	Length < 1,000 PPM	Location	Rate	from (RD)	To (RD)	Distance		Kind
									9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
1	Sarangwala	2	25.04	56	1.99	2.46	6,627	6	7.65	Tail	17.41	Middle	0.00	Head	12.49	10,000	11,000	0.20	Side	
2	Nasrana		54.64	131	7.02	8.87	25,094	65	7.93	Tail	46.71	Head			10.99			0.00		
3	Nasrana	Saduana	2.76	3	0.14	0.30	720	2			2.76	Full			4.70			0.00		
4	Nasrana	Khiliana	4.43	5	0.26	0.48	1,293	3			4.43	Full			4.65			0.00		
5	Nasrana	Narwala	5.82	10	0.41	0.87	2,139	7			5.82	Full	0.00		5.64	16,900	19,103	0.67	Brick	
6	Nasrana	Saliyana	3.66	6	0.20	0.23	1,015	6	0.61	Tail	3.05	Head			5.25	0	1,300	0.40	Side	
7	Nasrana	Nathri	4.60	8	0.38	0.44	1,800	6			4.60	Full	0.00		4.70	0	13,000	3.97	Side	
8	Nasrana	Domra	5.51	12	0.52	0.61	2,616	7			5.51	Full	0.00		4.79	15,000	18,069	0.94	Side	
	Sub-total		81.42	175	7.02	8.87	34,677	96	8.54		72.88		0.00					5.97		
9	Gojra		15.06	35	1.64	1.95	6,347	20	7.63	Tail	7.43	Middle				28,500	49,414	1.08	Brick	
10	Gojra	Zeera	2.71	4	0.22	0.25	1,193	3			2.71	Full				8,397	8,897	0.10	Brick	
	Sub-total		17.77	39	1.64	1.95	7,540	23	10.34		7.43		0.00					1.18		
11	Mungi		36.97	88	4.05	5.03	17,657	32	5.80	Head	31.17	Tail				108,300	121,278	3.96	Brick	
12	Mungi	Mungi	4.32	9	0.31	0.36	1,504	1			4.32	Full				0	6,000	1.83	Brick	
	Sub-total		41.29	97	4.05	5.03	19,161	34	5.80		35.49		0.00					5.79		
13	Janiwala/Hamza		10.96	21	1.31	1.59	4,360	11			10.96	Full						0.00		
14	Janiwala	Amirwala	7.62	10	0.43	0.51	2,153	7			5.48	Head						0.00		
	Sub-total		18.58	31	1.31	1.59	6,513	18	2.14		16.44		0.00					0.00		
15	Pir Mahal		47.57	51	3.88	5.24	9,902	9			31.07	Head	16.50	Tail				0.00		
16	Pir Mahal	Thera	4.85	5	0.19	0.23	1,012	0			4.85	Full						0.00		
17	Pir Mahal	Megreja	9.89	12	0.37	0.45	1,818	1			9.89	Full						0.00		
18	Pir Mahal	Junejwala	16.08	24	0.98	1.18	4,703	4			11.53	Head	4.55	M.T				0.00		
19	Pir Mahal	Jandwala	3.74	4	0.15	0.18	807	0			3.74	Full						0.00		
	Sub-total		82.13	96	3.88	5.24	18,242	14	0.00		61.08		21.05					0.00		
20	Kilianwala		46.05	103	5.66	6.96	19,278	38			41.72	Head	4.33	Tail		100,000	151,586	15.73	Brick	
22	Kilianwala	Minor #3	6.66	11	0.33	0.39	1,741	5			6.66	Full						0.00		
	(Kanjawani and Minors Minor #7 & #8)		4.46				6,779													
	Sub-total		57.17	114	5.66	6.96	27,798	43	0.00		48.38		4.33					15.73		*1
	Total		323.40	608	25.55	32.10	120,558	234	34.45		259.11		25.38					28.87		

*1: not included for lining (Length of Kanjiwani Distributary System is not available thus not included herewith)

Total length for LCC is 290.07 km.

Table 5.1.5-1 (3/3) List of Selected Canals for CBDC Area

No.	Name of Distributary	Name of Minor	Length (km)	Outlet (Nos.)	Authorize Discharge (m ³ /s)	Design Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	WUA (Nos.)	Length of trace by ground Water Quality (km)						Lining (unit: km)		Remarks			
									> 3,000 PPM		3,000 - 1,000 PPM		< 1,000 PPM		Seepage Rate (%)	from (RD)		To (RD)	Dist.	Kind
									Length	Location	Length	Location	Length	Location						
1	Thamman	2	29.85	50	7.27	8.29	6,599	6	7.93	H.T	15.67	H.T	6.25	Middle	56,000	66,000	3.02	Brick		
2	Themman (Achipur and Kasur) sub-total		7.24	18	0.71	0.82	3,217	8			7.24	Full								*1
3	China		27.45	68	7.27	8.29	16,061	14	7.93		22.91		6.25					3.02		
4	China sub-total		64.54				25,877													
			25.46	63	3.60	4.33	12,664	19			14.63	Head	10.83	M.T						
			7.81	25	0.83	0.96	3,726	9			7.81	Full	10.83							
			33.27	88	3.60	4.33	16,390	28			22.44		10.83							
	Total		97.81	156	10.87		42,267	42	7.93		45.35		17.08					3.02		

Total length for CBDC is 67.34 km. *1: not included for lining

Table 5.1.6-1 CLASSIFICATION OF CANALS IN FRESH AND SALINE AREAS SEEPAE TEST RESULTS, AND SEEPAE TESTS TO BE DONE

Category	Classification of Canals in Fresh and Saline Areas.			LJC System			LCC System			CBDC System			Total			
	Seepage Test Results, and Seepage Tests to be Done			No.	Length (km)	No.	Length (km)	No.	Length (km)	No.	Length (km)	No.	Length (km)	No.	Length (km)	
A.	Canals in the Study Area			216	1,980.6	399	3,787.4	102	847.3	717	6,615.3					
	A.1	Distributaries		87	1,320.0	178	2,681.2	34	509.7	299	4,510.9					
	A.2	Minors		129	660.6	221	1,106.2	68	337.6	418	2,104.4					
B.	Canals in the Fresh Area			89	851.9	186	1,779.4	36	250.4	311	2,881.7					
	B.1	Distributaries		37	581.5	84	1,262.1	20	210.1	141	2,053.7					
	B.2	Minors		52	270.4	102	517.3	16	40.3	170	828.0					
C.	Canals in the Saline Area			127	1,128.7	213	2,008.0	66	596.9	406	3,733.6					
	C.1	Distributaries		50	738.5	94	1,419.1	14	299.6	158	2,457.2					
	C.2	Minors		77	390.2	119	588.9	52	297.3	248	1,276.4					
D.	Seepage Tests Completed															
	D.1	Distributaries		14	326.4	21	720.9	4	112.8	39	1,160.1					
	D.1.1	Canals in the Fresh Area		3	69.0	7	263.7	1	25.6	11	358.3					
		Canals in the Saline Area		11	257.4	14	437.2	3	87.2	28	801.8					
	D.2	Minors		44	227.3	72	366.8	14	104.7	130	698.8					
		Canals in Fresh Area		12	63.4	26	145.6	2	3.5	40	212.5					
	D.2.2	Canals in Saline Area		32	163.9	46	221.2	12	101.2	90	486.3					
		Distributaries and Minors		58	553.7	93	1,087.7	18	217.5	169	1,358.9					
	D.3	Canals in Fresh Area		15	132.4	33	409.3	3	29.1	51	570.8					
		Canals in Saline Area		43	421.3	60	678.4	15	188.4	118	1,258.1					
	D.3.2.1	Selected at this F/S Stage *1			20	200.7	24	324.0	9	131.6	53	656.3				
		Distributaries		5	104.9	7	237.8	2	56.4	12	399.1					
	D.3.2.2	Minors		17	95.8	17	86.2	7	75.2	41	257.2					
		To be Selected at the Next Stage			13	129.3	1	19.00	6	56.9	20	305.2				
	D.3.2.3	Distributaries		5	79.6	1	19.00	1	30.8	7	147.4					
		Minors		8	31.7	—	—	5	26.1	13	57.8					
	D.3.2.4	Other Projects (ADB)			—	—	17	152.5	—	—	17	152.5				
		Distributaries		—	—	2	82.0	—	—	2	82.0					
	D.3.2.4	Minors		—	—	15	70.5	—	—	—	70.5					
Little Effect of Lining			10	91.4	18	182.9	—	—	28	274.3						
E	Distributaries		3	55.0	4	118.3	—	—	7	175.3						
	Minors		7	36.4	14	64.6	—	—	21	101.0						
Seepage Tests to be Done (C-D.3.2)			84	707.4	153	1,329.6	51	408.5	288	2,445.5						

*1 Number and length of the proposed canals for lining are 45 and 54.1km, respectively, excluding 8 minors and the existing lined sections.

Table 5.1.7 - I Extent of surface salinity in each of the command areas of the selected canals for lining during 1995

Disributory	Minor	Length Kms.	Command area (acres)	Extent (acres) with surface salinity level							Total saline %
				Thur Kohna	Thur Panjasa	Thur Nau	Thur Juzvi	Thur Tick	Thur Recl	Total saline	
Thamman		30.61	21,815	1026	289	144	582	18		2059	9%
Chinna		25.48	35,320	315	199	138	510	32		1194	3%
Nasrana		51.67	59,775	810	131	192	800			1933	3%
Nasrana	Doomra	3.65	6,510	50	19	24	247			340	5%
Nasrana	Khilliana	2.94	2,095	6	3	24	318			351	17%
Nasrana	Narwala	3.86	5,348	16		298	169			483	9%
Nasrana	Nalheri	3.04	4,425			6	113			119	3%
Nasrana	Saiduana	1.82	1,800				26			26	1%
Nasrana	Satiana	2.42	2,840	6		5	123			134	5%
Pir Mahal		47.61	15,593	79	351	414	4067			4911	31%
Pir Mahal	Jandwala	3.75	1,283	10	65	25	450			550	43%
Pir Mahal	Junejwala	37.21	18,818	45	567	169	2270			3051	16%
Pir Mahal	Magneja	9.90	4,353		641	183	960			1784	41%
Pir Mahal	Thera	4.85	2,530	3	294	92	1098			1487	59%
Mungi		37.00	37,773	11	71	105	2057	7		2251	6%
Mungi	Mungi	2.64	4,060		23		221			244	6%
Sarangwala		25.04	24,753	26		450	2478			2954	12%
Janiwala		10.57	10,858	99	120	72	1746			2037	19%
Kilianwala		46.09	57,780	335	84	82	1181	224	305	2211	4%
Kilianwala	Minor #3	6.66	5,018		67	44	307			418	8%
Gojra		15.07	15,868	12	12	5	1118		141	1288	8%
Gojra	Zeera	2.71	2,773		2	5	363			370	13%
Hujan		34.00	28,395	59		25	2038			2122	7%
Hujan	Arianwala	5.43	3,480	47			111			158	5%
Hujan	Bhikhl	6.79	4,778	57			55			112	2%
Hujan	Jaspal	8.33	6,835	211		657	958			1826	27%
Hujan	Kot Momln	6.79	5,950				57			57	1%
Hujan	Kot Taja	2.81	1,335				284			284	21%
Hujan	Mianwala	5.77	3,515		120					120	3%
Hujan	Sahawal	6.34	4,000				147			147	4%
Hujan	Tangu	5.87	4,460	155	20	164	405			744	17%
Kirana		64.11	55,895	280	17	33	2314			2644	5%
Kirana	Dhabian	7.89	4,773		4		234			238	5%
Kirana	Hadda	4.10	4,098	3	4	12	1307			1326	32%
Kirana	Hunda	4.91	4,415				26			26	1%
Kirana	Kifa	4.09	3,293	135		1	184			320	10%
Kirana	Malkana	10.14	8,870	240	435	237	2793			3705	42%
Kirana	Rodian	6.02	5,550		7	7	47			56	1%
Kirana	Sarubi	1.58	1,515				393			393	26%
Kirana	Tandallan	3.95	3,273	67		21	444			532	16%
Kirana	Wasuana	6.87	4,328	98			377			475	11%
Pindi		6.86	5,410	343	18	160	308			829	15%
TOTAL		580.27	505,638	4544	3563	3794	33686	281	446	46309	9%

Explanation of terminology used in salinity surveys

- (1) Thur Kohna - Ultra alkaline or No Saline Alkali soils. Never cultivated saline land since the advent of canal irrigation. High in salt content & alkalinity or low salt content & high alkali.
- (2) Thur Panjasa - Highly saline or saline alkali soils. Lands excluded from cultivation for more than 5 years due to salinity. Advance stage of deterioration. pH is 9.0 to 10.0.
- (3) Thur Nau - Highly saline or saline alkali soils. Lands excluded from cultivation within 5 years due to salinity. These are mostly saline alkali soils in the northern part of the Indus plains.
- (4) Thur Juzvi - Saline or saline alkali. Saline lands under cultivation bearing visible patches of salts to the extent of above high salinity in patches & alkalinity show progressively increasing.
- (5) Thur Tick - Lands where salts present in root zone hamper opening of cotton bolls. Accumulation of salts is well below in the root zone & salts are not visible over the soil surface.

Source: DLR

Table 5.2.5-1 Project Work Volume Summary

No.	Name of Disembuary	Length Lined (km)		Design Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	Earthwork in Prism			Construction Work Volume			Lining Work		Land Compensation (m ²)	Remarks	
		Total (km)	Lined (km)			Excavation (m ³)	Embankment (m ³)	Trimming (m ²)	Excavation (m ³)	Embankment (m ³)	Borrow & Haul (m ³)	Concrete (m ³)	Plaster (m ²)			Joints (Linear M.)
1	Pindi	6.86	0.00	6.86	2,283	2,874.3	17,830.8	33,447.8	22,920.0	5,405.3	18,298.4	29,510.1	1,746.3	22,920.0	7,812.6	13,938.5
2	Hujan	80.13	1.95	78.18	25,236	45,269.4	276,813.9	560,866.4	416,803.3	157,713.2	381,506.9	507,846.2	31,755.9	416,803.3	143,002.0	136,959.1
3	Kirana	107.13	10.64	96.49	36,324	86,021.9	471,143.3	983,768.3	800,068.3	372,383.4	614,634.1	754,375.7	60,968.8	800,068.3	270,667.3	121,568.9
	LCC Total	194.12	12.59	181.53	63,844	134,165.6	765,788.0	1,578,084.4	1,219,791.5	535,501.9	1,014,439.4	1,291,217.0	94,471.0	1,219,791.5	421,481.9	277,566.5
4	Sarangwala	25.04	0.30	24.74	6,627	13,853.8	72,199.5	162,495.1	138,954.5	44,151.3	176,203.2	222,347.5	10,388.0	138,954.5	47,306.7	23,436.7
5	Naraina	81.42	5.65	75.77	34,677	63,068.1	364,533.1	763,555.3	545,287.5	533,637.8	276,423.9	141,808.3	41,580.2	545,287.5	61,170.2	303,211.4
6	Gojra	17.77	2.25	15.52	7,540	8,712.8	48,274.9	99,850.1	82,510.1	36,175.8	61,583.4	76,982.8	6,287.6	82,510.1	29,339.6	16,669.9
7	Mungi	41.29	3.08	37.31	19,161	26,146.3	160,320.2	346,437.0	259,539.6	114,944.9	311,992.6	383,164.4	19,774.2	259,539.6	79,125.8	76,134.7
8	Jamwala/Hanza	18.58	0.00	18.58	6,513	8,405.1	48,315.8	95,381.2	69,849.2	27,789.7	56,288.0	75,563.7	5,221.8	69,849.2	35,332.3	2,639.1
9	Pir Mahal	82.13	0.00	82.13	18,242	30,361.0	306,817.6	638,323.2	461,798.8	328,315.9	445,610.3	448,800.0	35,194.9	461,798.8	155,346.4	100,125.8
10	Killianwala	52.71	15.73	36.98	21,019	35,217.7	217,627.4	454,306.5	328,683.0	140,293.7	589,830.0	686,415.4	25,043.9	328,683.0	110,804.6	192,600.9
	LCC Total	318.94	22.91	296.03	113,779	205,764.7	1,218,088.5	2,560,548.2	1,886,622.6	1,225,109.1	1,017,931.4	2,035,082.1	143,790.5	1,886,622.6	518,445.5	216,838.5
11	Tharman	37.09	3.22	33.87	9,816	27,122.3	144,321.8	312,375.0	223,425.1	156,107.5	173,015.8	184,961.5	17,023.6	223,425.1	82,392.4	209,499.6
12	China	33.27	0.19	33.08	16,390	18,805.0	112,374.4	235,088.4	191,668.2	129,230.0	141,480.5	134,964.5	14,605.3	191,668.2	63,910.7	179,379.7
	CBDC Total	70.36	3.41	66.95	26,206	45,927.3	256,696.2	547,463.4	415,093.3	285,337.4	314,461.1	319,926.0	31,628.9	415,093.3	146,303.0	388,879.2
	Project Total	583.43	43.91	539.52	207,829.77	385,857.6	2,260,877.7	4,686,094.2	3,541,507.1	2,046,148.1	1,246,867.0	1,646,740.1	249,890.4	3,541,507.1	1,086,230.5	1,376,164.3

Table 5.3.2-1 Cropping Pattern and Cropping Intensity

Item	Lower/Bottom Canal			Lower/Center Canal			Central Ban/Deah Canal							
	Pindi	Hujan	Kinna	Overall	Sringwala	Nafara	Gofa	Munji	Janwala/Hamra	Pirmahal	Killianwala	Overall	Thamman	China
	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%	ha	%
CCA	79,236	2.3%	25,226	6,627	34,677	7,540	19,161	6,513	18,242	27,798	42,267	25,877	16,390	
Kharif Season Crops														
Sugarcane	6,898	8.8	3,9	1,47%	5.9	5,330	10.5	19,053	15.8	1,238	18.7	7,181	20.7	7,181
Cotton	3,795	4.8	51	2.2	698	2.8	3,066	6.0	9,604	8.1	73	1.1	1,810	5.2
Rice (Barnati)	2,305	2.9	53	2.3	780	3.1	1,472	2.9	5,410	4.5	128	1.9	381	1.1
Vegetable	776	1.0	15	0.7	102	0.4	660	1.3	1,271	1.1	36	0.5	199	0.6
Mauze	9,026	11.5	288	12.6	1,682	6.7	7,056	13.9	24,041	19.9	1,844	27.8	7,881	22.7
Fodder	16,533	21.1	714	31.3	7,442	29.5	8,376	16.5	17,787	14.8	1,454	21.9	5,806	16.7
Others	2,093	2.7	32	1.4	792	3.1	1,269	2.5	3,536	2.8	28	0.4	1,522	4.4
Subtotal	41,427	52.9	1,242	54.3	12,975	51.4	22,210	53.6	80,722	67.0	4,802	72.5	24,279	71.5
Rabi Season Crops														
Wheat	34,977	44.6	1,270	55.6	9,096	36.0	24,570	48.4	58,765	48.7	3,300	49.8	17,272	49.8
Barley (Barnem)	9,621	12.3	69	3.0	3,917	15.5	5,635	11.1	6,596	5.5	374	5.6	1,675	4.8
Others	2,788	3.6	93	4.1	613	2.4	2,081	4.1	10,440	8.7	471	7.1	3,719	10.7
Subtotal	47,386	60.5	1,432	62.7	13,622	54.0	32,287	63.6	75,801	62.2	4,146	62.6	22,666	65.6
Perennial Crops														
Citrus	13,206	16.9	565	24.7	7,818	31.0	4,823	9.5	4,652	3.9	395	6.0	849	2.4
Subtotal	13,206	16.9	565	24.7	7,818	31.0	4,823	9.5	4,652	3.9	395	6.0	849	2.4
Total	101,078	130	3,238	142	24,620	126	64,319	127	161,174	134	9,592	143	48,228	132
Cropping Intensity														
Kharif	52.9%		54.3%		51.4%		53.6%		67.0%		72.5%		71.5%	
Rabi	60.5%		62.7%		64.0%		62.6%		62.2%		62.6%		65.6%	
Perennial	16.9%		24.7%		31.0%		9.5%		3.9%		6.0%		2.4%	
Overall	130.3%		141.7%		136.4%		126.7%		133.7%		141.0%		139.3%	
Irrigation Intensity	155.0%		170.3%		173.2%		146.7%		153.4%		155.6%		162.4%	

Source: PID, Canal Offices

**Table S.5.1 -I Preliminary evaluation of the magnitude of Impact on items by the project
and the importance of the item in the project**

Item	Magnitude of Impact on item (Note 1)	Magnitude of Importance of item in project (Note 2)	Remarks
1. Land acquisition	- B	D	Construction methods to minimize land acquisition
2. Distribution of inequities	+ A	A	Depends on success of implementation
3. Canal closure	- A	C	Depends on the construction methodology
4. Institutional changes	+A / -A	A	Depends on success of implementation
5. Down stream settlements	- U	E	
6. Impairment of transportation	- C	D	
7. Farmers income & living standards	+A	A	
8. Impediment to Livestock	- A	B	
9. Changes in farming practice	- C	C	
10. Increase use of agrochemicals	- C	B	
11. Health and sanitation	+ C	C	
12. Drinking water quality	A	A	Drinking water quality survey to be carried out
13. Forestry	- A	D	Further details are necessary at next stage
14. Wild life	D	E	
15. Groundwater table/waterlogging	+ B	A	Further details and monitoring plan is necessary
16. Groundwater quality	- B	A	Further details and monitoring plan is necessary
17. Water quantity	+ A	A	Monitoring plan is necessary
18. Soil salinisation	+B / -B	B	Further details and monitoring plan is necessary
19. Salt balance	+C	B	Further study is necessary

Note 1. Magnitude of levels of Impact on the Individual Item by the Project

- A : Relative high magnitude of Impact is expected
- B : Relative medium magnitude of Impact is expected
- C : Relative low magnitude of Impact is expected
- D : No effect is expected
- U : Impact is unknown
- + : Positive impact is expected
- : Negative impact is expected

Note 2. Magnitude of the Importance of the Item in the overall project

- A : Very high importance in the overall project.
- B : High importance in the overall project.
- C : Medium importance on the overall project.
- D : Low importance in the overall project.
- E : No importance in the overall project.

Table 5.5.1-2 Reservation Widths along canals selected for lining in IJC

Distributors	Minor	Length km.	Command area (ha)	Canal section		* Reservation		Remarks
				From - RD	To - RD	Left	Right	
Pindi		6.86	2,164	0	248			Within the reservation of Northern Branch Crown waste land on right side
				248	22,500	55	55	
Hujan		34	11,358	0	21,000	57.75	57.75	
				21,000	23,500	79.75	79.75	
				21,500	43,113	57.75	57.75	
				43,113	69,000	55	55	
				69,000	70,000	77	77	
				70,000	79,500	55	55	
				79,500	81,000	77	77	
				81,000	83,225	55	55	
				83,225	85,500	49.5	49.5	
				85,500	86,000	71.5	49.5	
				86,000	87,500	71.5	71.5	
				87,500	105,000	49.5	49.5	
				105,000	107,500	71.5	71.5	
				107,500	111,492	49.5	49.4	
Arrian	5.41	1,392	0	5,000	33	33		
			5,000	18,000	30.25	30.25		
Bhahi	6.79	1,911	0	5,000	35.75	35.75		
			5,000	14,000	33	33		
Jaspal	8.33	2,724	0	3,000	41.25	41.25		
			3,000	7,000	52.25	52.25		
			7,000	12,500	41.25	41.25		
			12,500	16,000	49.5	49.5		
Kot Momin	6.79	2,380	0	22,000	33	33		
			22,000	15,000	30.25	30.25		
Kot Raja	2.81	534	0	15,000	30.25	30.25		
M. Wala	5.77	1,406	0	1,300	33	33		
Sahawal	6.34	1,600	0	5,000	32.25	17.25		
			5,000	11,500	30.95	15.95		
			11,500	15,000	41.37	26.37		
			15,000	18,700	30.95	15.95		
Tangri	5.83	1,784	0	14,873	30.25	30.25		
			14,873	9,500	101.75	101.75		
Kirana	64.11	22,358	0	9,500	99	99	RD 7800 to 16700 : Crown waste land RD 28200 to 76639 : Crown waste land RD 82264 to 146000 : Crown waste land	
			9,500	27,000	110	110		
			27,000	58,000	88	88		
			58,000	81,500	82.5	82.5		
			81,500	126,000	77	77		
			126,000	132,250	57.75	57.75		
			132,250	146,000				
			146,000	210,972				
Dhabian	7.89	1,909	0	11,000	60.5	60.5	Crown waste land	
			11,000	26,700	55	55		
Hadda	4.1	1,639	0	Tail	Not available			
Hunda	4.91	1,778	0	17,000	55	55		
Killa	4.09	1,317	0	13,700	55	55		
Malkana	10.14	3,548	0	15,000	77	77		
			15,000	24,000	66	66		
			24,000	40,300	60.5	60.5		
			40,300	13,000	46.75	46.75		
Radian	6.02	2,220	0	13,000	66	66		
			13,000	19,500	55	55		
Saruli	1.58	606	0	9,300	55	55		
Waswana	6.87	1,731	0	15,870	60.5	60.5	Crown waste land	
Tandian	3.93	1,309	0	12,929	44.5	29.5		

* Note: The reservation widths to the Left and Right is from the centerline of canal and given in feet

Table 5.5.1- 3 Reservation widths along canals selected for lining in LCC

Distributory	Minor	Length Kms.	Command area (ha)	Canal section		Reservation width		Remarks			
				From - RD	To - RD	Left (ft)	Right (ft)				
Nasrana		54.67	23,910	0	50,000	65	65	Government Waste land on right hand side			
				50,000	100,000	60	60	do			
				100,000	125,000	55	55	do			
				125,000	150,000	50	50	do			
				150,000	170,000	45	45	do			
				170,000	175,000	40	40	do			
				Doomra	3.65	2,604	0	21,000	35	35	do
				Khiliana	2.94	838	0	14,565	35	35	do
				Narwala	3.86	2,139	0	19,200	40	40	do
				Natheri	3.04	1,770					Data not available at Xen office
Sakwana	1.82	720	0	7,900	38.5	38.5					
Saliana	2.42	1,136	0	12,000	30	30	Government Waste land on right hand side				
Pir Mahal		47.61	6,237	0	18,150			Data not available			
				18,150	27,500	64	64	Bhagat Reservoir plantation			
				27,500	29,500	47	86	do			
				29,500	32,500	59	59	do			
				32,500	36,000	58	58	do			
				36,000	36,500	58	87				
				36,500	39,000	47	87				
				39,000	46,000	46	80				
				46,000	61,410	48	83				
				61,410	66,000	86	44	Crown waste land on both sides begin at 62,000			
				66,000	69,000	65	65	Crown waste land on both sides of canal reservation			
				69,000	70,500	64	64	do			
				70,500	78,000	61	61	do			
				78,000	81,000	60	60	do			
				81,000	90,000	58	58	do			
				90,000	130,000	52	52	Crown waste land on right hand side			
				130,000	137,500	49	49	do			
				137,500	156,000	42.5	42.5	do			
				Jandwala	3.75	513	0	10,000	40	40	
							10,000	18,000	38	38	
Junejwala		37.21	7,539	0	11,500	41	41				
				11,500	18,000	39	39				
				18,000	40,000	40	40				
				40,000	60,457	41	41				
Magneja		9.90	1,741	0	20,000	40	40	Crown waste land on both sides			
				20,000	33,500	41	40				
				33,500	36,000	41	41				
Thera		4.85	1,012	0	9,000	41	41				
				9,000	15,600	36	36				
Mungl		37.00	15,109	0	1,500	80	80				
				1,500	51,820	60	60				
				51,820	108,260	55	55				
Mungl		2.64	1,624	0	14,000	40	40				
				14,000	123,000	40	40				
Sarangwala		25.04	9,901	0	43,700	50	50				
				43,700	79,260	45	45				
Jariwala		10.53	4,343	0	42,060	40	40				
				42,060	40	40					
Aminwala		7.63	2,077	0	25,301	40	40				
				25,301	40	40					
Kilanwala		46.09	23,112	0	8,000	60.5	60.5				
				8,000	18,000	66	66				
				18,000	43,000	69.5	68				
				43,000	49,000	67	65				
				49,000	51,000	62	59				
				51,000	63,000	66	66				
				63,000	73,000	65	62				
				73,000	75,000	72	60.52				
				75,000	82,000	60.5	60.52				
				82,000	92,000	47.5	96				
				92,000	96,000	52	94.5				
				96,000	118,760	48.5	94.5				
				118,760	126,674	48	87				
				126,674	140,275	44	44				
140,275	150,910	41	41.5								
Minor #3		6.66	2,019	0	21,480	50	50				
				21,480	20,000	40	40				
Zaera		2.21	1,109	0							

Table 5.5.1- 4 Reservation widths along canals selected for lining in CBDC

Distributory	Minor	Length Kms.	Command area (ha)	Canal section		Reservation		Remarks
				From - RD	To - RD	Left	Right	
Thaman		30.64	8,726	0	1,800	50	50	
				1,800	3,400	50	47	
				3,400	6,000	50	44	
				6,000	10,000	50	40	
				10,000	10,200	47	40	
				10,200	13,600	40	38	
				13,600	16,000	43	36	
				16,000	17,500	40	39	
				17,500	26,000	45	40	
				26,000	29,500	40	29	
				29,500	41,000	45	35	
				41,000	43,500	39	29	
				43,500	51,000	39	31	
				51,000	60,000	36	31	
				60,000	68,500	36	28.5	
				68,500	79,000	33.5	28.5	
				79,000	80,000	32	27	
80,000	97,000	32	27					
								Tail at 97000 RD.
China		25.48	14,128	0	2,730	44	42	
				2,730	19,760	44	35	
				19,760	20,000	45	32.5	
				20,000	23,750	40	32.5	
				50,000	75,000	35	35	
				75,000	79,000	32.5	32.5	
				79,000	85,000	36.5	32.5	
				85,000	99,000	34	32.5	
				99,000	100,600	32	32.5	
Kala Minor		7.82	2,610	0	500	20	20	
				500	6,550	17.5	17.5	
				6,550	7,100	17.5	22.5	
				7,100	8,000	17.5	17.5	
				8,000	11,500	20	20	
				11,500	18,075	15	15	
				18,075	21,500	17.5	17.5	
				21,500	23,000	15	15	
				23,000	24,746	10	10	

Note: The reservation widths to the Left and Right is from the centerline of canal

Table 5.5.1-5 Canalside Forest Plantations - Number of trees on the selected canals

Disributory	Minor	Length kms.	Number of Trees on canal length	
			Number	Remarks
Thamman		30.64	3669	Total on full length on both sides
Chinna		25.48	2085	"
Chinna	Kala Minor	7.82	728	"
Nasrana		54.67	8471	"
Nasrana	Doomra	3.65	481	"
Nasrana	Khilliana	2.94	278	"
Nasrana	Narwala	3.86	414	"
Nasrana	Natheri	3.04	472	"
Nasrana	Saiduana	1.82	105	"
Nasrana	Satiana	2.42	139	"
Pir Mahal		47.61	8722	"
Pir Mahal	Jandwala	3.75	1084	"
Pir Mahal	Junejwala	37.21	2798	"
Pir Mahal	Magneja	9.90	904	"
Pir Mahal	Thera	4.85	0	Not available
Mungi		37.00	4358	Total on full length on both sides
Mungi	Mungi	2.64		Not available
Sarangwala		25.04	2628	Total on full length on both sides
Janiwala		10.57	803	"
Janiwala	Amirwala	7.63	1272	"
Kilianwala		46.09	5806	"
Kilianwala	Minor #3	6.66	645	"
Kilianwala	Minor #7	4.17	982	"
Kilianwala	Minor #8	2.62	374	"
Gojra		15.07	794	"
Gojra	Zecra	2.71	208	"
Hujjan		34.00	5114	"
Hujjan	Arianwala	5.43	950	"
Hujjan	Bhikhi	6.79	1027	"
Hujjan	Jaspal	8.33	834	"
Hujjan	Kot Momin	6.79	699	"
Hujjan	Kot Raja	2.81		Not available
Hujjan	Mianwala	5.77	1240	Total on full length on both sides
Hujjan	Sahawai	6.34	562	"
Hujjan	Tangu	5.87	960	"
Kirana		64.11	7630	"
Kirana	Dhabian	7.89	396	"
Kirana	Hadda	4.10	531	"
Kirana	Hunde	4.91	105	"
Kirana	Killa	4.09	73	"
Kirana	Malkana	10.14	1085	"
Kirana	Rodian	6.02	1430	"
Kirana	Sarubi	1.58	343	"
Kirana	Tandallan	3.95		"
Kirana	Wasuana	6.87	902	"
Pindi		6.86	1017	"
Total		602.51	73148	

Table 5.5.1-6 Results of the drinking water quality tests

No.	Canal	Chak	pH	TDS	Ca	Mg	Total Hardness	Total Alkalinity	SO4	Cl	F	Fe	Conductivity	Nitrate	Nitrite	Bacteriological Result
W.H.O. maximum permissible level (adopted by Public Health Engineering Department, Punjab)																
			6.5-9.2	1500	200	150	500	400	400	600		1				
1	Tamman	Jeevan sinch	8	966	60	22	240	410	260	74	0.4	Nil	1,380	Nil	Nil	Fit
2	Kala Minor	Kot Shaiboo Kahn	8.7	1,610	24	Nil	60	670	580	92	0.3	Nil	2,300	Nil	Nil	Fit
3	Kala Minor	Kot Shajoo Kahn	8.6	2,380	84	35	340	340	615	111	0.3	Nil	3,400	Nil	Nil	Unfit
4	Chinna Dy	Haveli Jhanger	8.2	1,190	28	2	80	760	340	225	0.2	Nil	1,700	Nil	Nil	Fit
5	Pir Mahal Dy.	261/GB	7.6	1,232	28	45	250	540	410	167	0.4	Nil	1,760	Nil	Nil	Unfit
6	Pir Mahal Dy.	688-28	7.5	1,085	52	30	250	540	230	108	0.4	Nil	1,550	Nil	Nil	Unfit
7	Junetwala M	681-22 GB	7.3	371	52	18	200	290	98	27	0.5	Nil	530	Nil	Nil	Unfit
8	Megnala M	665/5	7.4	679	40	30	220	440	178	40	0.5	Nil	970	Nil	Nil	Unfit
9	Samaowala Dy	107/GB	7.6	1,435	100	138	860	800	418	236	0.4	Nil	2,050	Nil	Nil	Unfit
10	Mungi Dy	245/GB	7.6	5,250	132	Nil	140	800	1,320	1,190	0.3	Nil	7,500	Nil	Nil	Unfit
11	Gorra Dy	366/GB	7.8	9,100	104	153	370	710	1,980	1,203	0.3	1	13,000	Nil	Nil	Unfit
12	Janiwala Dy.	162/GB	7.3	420	100	138	800	760	120	113	0.3	Nil	600	Nil	Nil	Unfit
13	Amarwala M	157/GB	7.5	917	80	165	860	620	200	140	0.3	Nil	1,310	Nil	Nil	Unfit
14	Killianwala D	441/GB	7.7	1,470	48	42	290	680	210	117	0.4	Nil	2,100	Nil	Nil	Unfit
15	Killianwala D	458/GB	7.7	1,085	100	58	470	680	245	167	0.3	Nil	1,550	Nil	Nil	Unfit
16	Minor #3	445/GB	7.8	1,085	16	10	80	740	240	59	0.3	Nil	1,550	Nil	Nil	Craft
17	Nasrana Dy.	51/GB	7.7	1,295	152	80	700	940	300	232	0.2	Nil	1,850	Nil	Nil	Unfit
18	Nasrana Dy.	81/GB	7.9	2,240	88	25	320	610	545	481	0.4	Nil	3,200	Nil	Nil	Unfit
19	Doonra M	87/GB	7.6	1,855	84	53	420	630	450	345	0.3	Nil	2,650	Nil	Nil	Unfit
20	Narwala M	67/GB	7.9	2,660	36	25	190	780	660	490	0.5	Nil	3,800	Nil	Nil	Unfit
21	Dherma Dy	68/GB	8.2	1,200	80	Nil	130	550	321	120	0.3	Nil	1,500	Nil	Nil	Unfit
22	Hutian Dy.	Liliani	8.5	840	64	67	430	450	230	115	0.4	Nil	1,050	Nil	Nil	Unfit
23	Jasral M	16/GB	7.9	1,400	56	85	480	560	360	225	0.4	Nil	1,750	Nil	Nil	Fit
24	Kot Momin M	Kot Momin	8.2	1,160	48	60	360	460	291	115	0.3	Nil	1,450	Nil	Nil	Unfit
25	M'wala M	M'wala	8.1	1,520	32	40	240	650	386	198	0.4	Nil	1,900	Nil	Nil	Fit
26	Kirana Dy.	25/GB	7.9	800	36	58	320	280	198	69	0.3	Nil	1,000	Nil	Nil	Fit
27	Kirana Dy.	137/GB	8.2	1,230	36	12	140	410	329	239	0.4	Nil	1,600	Nil	Nil	Unfit
28	Malhana M	104/GB	7.8	6,400	80	175	900	810	1,600	3,028	0.3	Nil	8,000	Nil	Nil	Unfit
29	Rodion M	121/GB	7.5	1,920	128	75	620	130	480	248	0.3	Nil	2,400	Nil	Nil	Unfit
30	Wasana M	114/GB	7.9	4,000	116	72	580	870	970	2,254	0.1	Nil	5,000	Nil	Nil	Unfit
31	Pindi Dy	91/GB	8.2	3,440	56	25	240	1,630	865	1,564	0.4	Nil	4,300	Nil	Nil	Unfit
32	Killianwala Dy	Killianwala Dy	7.6	208	28	20	150	140	Nil	22	0.4	Nil	260	Nil	Nil	Unfit
33	Nasrana Dy.	Nasrana Dy.	7.5	192	36	15	150	130	Nil	18	0.5	Nil	240	Nil	Nil	Unfit
34	Mungi Dy.	Mungi Dy.	7.5	200	36	15	150	160	Nil	36	0.5	Nil	250	Nil	Nil	Unfit

Note: Shaded values indicates the items which are higher than the maximum permissible level for that parameter.

Average of drinking water samples

7.86

2009

69

62

390

630

498

445

0.34

2732

**Table 5.5.1-7 Rural Water Supply Schemes of the Public Health Engineers Department
Located on Canal Reservations**

No.	Distributory	RD	Chak served	Population	Source
1	Nasrana	59.7, 60.28	230/RB	6,000	2 tubewells
2	Nasrana	55.97,56.47,56.97	61/JB	12,500	3tubewells
3	Nasrana	63.86, 64.74	62/JB	5,300	2 tubewells
4	Nasrana	68.69	63/JB	5,300	2 tubewells
5	Nasrana	32.35	08/JB	6,265	2 tubewells
6	Nasrana	90.5	67/JB	12,783	2 tubewells
7	Nasrana	93.79	71/JB	8,700	1tubewell
8	Nasrana	54.32	217/RB	7,125	1 tubewell
9	Nasrana	52.82, 53.32	57/RB	5,870	2 tubewells
10	Nasrana	62.38, 62.88	66/JB	16,669	2 tubewells
11	Nasrana	54.47	60/JB	7,175	1 tubewell
12	Nasrana	70.79,80.85	68/JB	6,426	2 tubewells
13	Nasrana	97.24	77/JB	7,276	1tubewell
14	Nasrana	104.98	76/JB	5,500	1tubewell
15	Nasrana	113.092	80/JB	4,432	1tubewell
16	Nasrana	121.552	89/JB	5,580	1tubewell
17	Nasrana	113.10, 115.9	84/JB	5,580	2 tubewells
18	Nasrana	*Yet to be installed	86/JB	4,464	Canal source
19	Nasrana	*Yet to be installed	85/JB	6,100	Canal source
20	Kirana	RD data not available	89/SB	4,415	Tubewells
21	Kirana	RD data not available	128/SB	4,408	Tubewells
22	Kirana	RD data not available	107/SB	9,240	Tubewells
23	Kirana	RD data not available	113/SB	7,432	Tubewells
24	Kirana	RD data not available	127/SB	7,432	Tubewells
25	Kirana	RD data not available	126/SB	8,612	Tubewells
26	Kirana	RD data not available	131/SB	4,410	Tubewells
27	Kirana	RD data not available	90/SB	5,508	Tubewells
28	Kirana	RD data not available	105/SB	3,321	Tubewells
29	Kirana	RD data not available	94/SB	4,810	Tubewells
30	Kirana	RD data not available	98/SB	4,429	Tubewells
31	Kirana	RD data not available	100/SB	3,687	Tubewells
32	Kirana	RD data not available	116/SB,119/SB	5,848	Tubewells
33	Kirana	RD data not available	103/SB	4,097	Tubewells
34	Kirana	RD data not available	112/SB	2,135	Tubewells
35	Kirana	RD data not available	114/SB	3,306	Tubewells
36	Kirana	RD data not available	130/SB	4,140	Tubewells
37	Kirana	RD data not available	84/SB	4,445	Tubewells
38	Kirana	RD data not available	102/SB	4,225	Tubewells
39	Kirana	RD data not available	123/SB, 124/SB	7,078	Tubewells
40	Kirana	RD data not available	110/SB	3,743	Tubewells
41	Kirana	RD data not available	40/SB	4,767	Tubewells
42	Kirana	RD data not available	121/SB	4,390	Tubewells
43	Kirana	RD data not available	129/SB	4,843	Tubewells
44	Kirana	RD data not available	132/SB	5,069	Tubewells
45	Kirana	RD data not available	135/SB	5,463	Tubewells
46	Kirana	RD data not available	92/SB, 93/SB	5,477	Tubewells
47	Kirana	RD data not available	39/SB	3,768	Tubewells
48	Kirana	RD data not available	35/SB	2,836	Tubewells
49	Kirana	RD data not available	95/SB	2,402	Tubewells
50	Kirana	RD data not available	85,88,91/SB, Sadeona	11,785	Tubewells
51	Kirana	RD data not available	101/SB	2,140	Tubewells
52	Hujjan	RD data not available	65/SB	7,171	Tubewells
53	Hujjan	RD data not available	17/SB	5,811	Tubewells
54	Hujjan	RD data not available	13-A/SB	5,477	Tubewells

Table 5.5.2-1 Environmental Management Plan (1/2)

Item	Activity / Parameter	Measures to be taken	Timing	Execution	Super vision	Related Institution
1.	Land requirements					
1.1	Fixing of Right of Way & Clearing					
	(a) Translate Land Plans to ground	Inform public of project and the requirement of the R-O-W	Detail design stage	P.O./CE	PID	
	(b) Removal of farmer encroachers	Inform farmers not to cultivate next season and to move out of R-O-W	One season before construction	CE	PID	
	(c) Removal of other encroachers	Notice to move out of reservation and give any support necessary	One year before construction	CE	PID	Commissioner
	(d) Removal of other structures	Instruct encroachers to find alternate accommodation	One year before construction	CE	PID	Commissioner
1.2	Private Land required for construction					
	(a) Land for diversion canals	(i) Negotiate compensation for usage on crop loss basis & damages (ii) Land to be returned in similar condition as original	Before construction Within 3 months of completion	P.O./CE CE	PID PID	
	(b) Land for borrow areas (if required from outside the reservation only)	(i) Negotiate compensation on the basis of purchase of land (The land not to be an environmental hazard after construction)	One year before construction	CE	PID	
	(c) Land for water supply schemes	(ii) If all possible negotiations fail to acquire under Acquisition Act Negotiate to purchase land or on failing only to acquire	18 months required for activity Detail design stage	CE PHED	PID PHED	LACDC LACDC
2.	Restoration of equitable water rights					
	(a) Shortage of water towards the tail	Equitable distribution of water to all to be made by design and institutional changes. Redistribution of 'lost water'	Detail design With implementation of FO	P.O. PIDA	PIDA Consultant	Ag. Dept.
3.	Institutional changes					
	(a) Taking over of canals by FO	Training of farmers in all aspects of canal maintenance	Before and after formation of FO	PIDA	PIDA	
	(b) Improved canal maintenance	Training of farmers and FO employees	After formation of FO	Consultant	PIDA	
	(c) Allowing FOs to undertake other activities including marketing	Dissimination of information	After formation of FO and this to be a continuous process	PIDA	PIDA	
4.	Farmers income and living standards					
	(a) Improved cropping pattern	Introduction of proper farming systems	After construction	FO/Ag. Dp.		
	(b) Higher disposable income	Monitoring of agricultural and socio economic conditions Periodic evaluation	Regularly after construction Every 2 years after construction	Consultant Consultant	PIDA PIDA	P&D/Ag. Dp. P&D/Ag. Dp.

Environmental Management Plan (2/2)

Item	Activity / Parameter	Measures to be taken	Timing	Execution	Super- vision	Related Institution
5. Canal-side plantations						
(a)	Removal of plantations	Follow procedure to obtain permission from Chief Minister	9 months before construction	P.O./CE/CF	F/D	Chief Minister
(b)	Replanting	Reforestation of full width of ROW, tree selection by Forset Dept	After construction	F/D, FO	PIDA	FO
(c)	Handing over plantation to FO	FO to maintain plantations	After handing over of canals to FO	PIDA/FO	PIDA	FD
6. Drinking water supply						
(a)	Shortage of drinking water due to seepage not reaching Tube wells	(i) Provide drinking water to affected population (ii) Provide new schemes to replace affected ones	During construction	CE	PID	Commissioner
(b)	Loss of quality of drinking water	(i) Where canal water is used, it should be treated and supplied (ii) Monitoring of drinking water quality	Construction period During water scheme construction During and after construction	PHED PHED Consultant	PHED PHED PHED	PHED PHED
7. Impediment to Livestock						
(a)	Reduction of Livestock crossings	Provision of livestock crossings at suitable locations	Detail design	PID	PID	FO
(b)	Elimination of bathing in canal	Provision of sufficient number of ponds on watercourses	Discuss with FO for locations	PID	PID/Ag. Dp	FO/Ag. Dept.
8. Groundwater table						
	Changes of water table	Monitor ground water table at observation wells	June and October	PID/WAPDA	PIDA	WAPDA
		Monitor reduction of seepage from canals lined in the saline zone	After construction	IRI	PIDA	
9. Groundwater quality						
(a)	Increase use of agro chemicals	Introduction of proper farming systems to reduce water pollution	After construction	Ag. Dp/ FO	Ag. Dept.	
(b)	Changes in water quality	Monitor water quality with baseline data of Study	After construction annually	Consultant	PIDA	
10. Water quantity						
(a)	Loss by seepage to saline zone	Monitor quantities available	After construction	PIDA	PIDA	FO
(b)	Excess use of water at head	Monitoring programmes to be developed	After construction	PIDA	PIDA	FO
11. Soil salinisation						
(a)	Use of saline water for irrigation	Discourage use of saline water by providing additional saved water	After construction	PIDA	PIDA	Ag. Dept.
(b)	Insufficient water for leaching	Provide an allocation of saved water for leaching and reclamation	After construction	PIDA	PIDA	DLR/PIDA

Table 5.5.3-1 Environmental Monitoring Plan

Description of Impact	Environmental issue	Methodology	Sampling regime	Frequency	Monitoring agency	Related Institution
1. Deterioration of Water quality (A) Drinking Water	(a) Drinking water quality	Direct observation / Sampling	pH, Odour, Colour, Taste, Turbidity, TDS Ca, Mg, Na, SO ₄ , Cl, Hardness, Alkalinity E. Coli, Coliform on sampling locations fixed for baseline data in study	Half yearly	Consultant/PHED	PHED
	(b) Availability of drinking water	Interviews	Inspection	Monthly during construction	PIDA/PHED	PHED
(B) Ground Water	(a) Ground water quality	Direct observation / Sampling	pH, EC, Na, Ca, Mg, K, CO ₃ , HCO ₃ , Cl, SO ₄ , NO ₃ , on same locations	Yearly	IRI	WAPDA
	(b) Loss of aquifer potential due to reduced recharge	Solute transport modelling / Solute transport modelling / Observation		Once	Consultant/PTDA	
	(c) Salinisation of fresh water zones due to saline water intrusion	Interviews / observations		Once	Consultant/PTDA	
	(d) Farming practice / Agro chemical use	Interviews / observations		Yearly	PIDA	Agri. Dp.
2. Changes in Water table	(a) Water table depth	Direct observation	Water table depth at observation wells	June and October	SMO/WAPDA	
	(b) Ground water extraction by tube wells	Direct observation	Numbers operating and pumpage	Half yearly	PIDA	Agri. Dp.
3. Soil Salinisation	(a) Surface salinity	Direct observation	pH, EC, Na, Ca, Mg, K, CO ₃ , HCO ₃	Yearly	DLR	IRI/Agri. Dp.
4. Increase in availability of canal irrigation water	(a) Reduction in seepage	Direct observation	Seepage volume on selected canals	Yearly	IRI	
	(b) Ensuring availability of fair share of water	Direct observation / interviews	Quantity of water received	Half yearly	Consultant/PTDA	FO
5. Restoration of equal water rights	(a) Ensuring availability of fair share of water	Direct observation / interviews	Quantity of water received	Half yearly	Consultant/PTDA	FO
	(b) Socio - economic conditions	Data collection / interview	Disposable income	Two years	Consultant/PTDA	FO
6. Farmers living standards	(a) Water related diseases	Data collection	Number treated in hospitals for water related diseases	Yearly	PIDA	Health Dp.
	(b) Issues raised by local people	Interviews	Any constraints	Quarterly	PIDA	
	(c) Other issues	As required	As required	When required	PIDA	
7. Others	(a) Water related diseases	Data collection	Number treated in hospitals for water related diseases	Yearly	PIDA	Health Dp.
	(b) Issues raised by local people	Interviews	Any constraints	Quarterly	PIDA	
(c) Other issues	As required	As required	When required	PIDA		

**Table 7.2-1 Financial Cost for
Lining of Distributaries and Minors**

Work Item	Specification	Unit	Unit Cost(Rs.)			Work Volume	Cost(1,000Rs.)			
			Total	F	L		Total	F	L	
I Compensation										
1.1	Compensation for Land, House etc	L.S.					2,421.9	0.0	2,421.9	
1.2	Compensation for Hand Pump etc	L.S.					980.0	0.0	980.0	
	Sub-total						3,401.9	0.0	3,401.9	
II Direct Construction Cost										
1.	Gate and Installation	at Disty's Head	nos	892,000	300,000	592,000	12	10,704.0	3,600.0	7,104.0
2. Earthwork										
2.1	Stripping (0.2 m)	by machine	m3	22.5	17.4	5.1	385857.7	8,681.8	6,713.9	1,967.9
2.2	Excavation for Canal Prism	by machine&manual	m3	38.5	27.0	11.5	2240573	86,262.0	60,495.5	25,766.6
2.3	Embankment and Compaction of Bank	by machine, normal	m3	57.9	44.9	13	4686094	271,324.9	210,405.6	60,919.2
2.4	Borrow & Haulage	Excavation and haul within 500 m	m3	54.6	42.6	12	3646240	199,084.7	155,329.8	43,754.9
2.5	Trimming & Surface Finishing	Manual	m2	9.1	0.0	9.1	3541508	32,227.7	0.0	32,227.7
2.6	Excavation for Diversion Work	by machine	m3	38.5	27.0	11.5	2046149	78,776.7	55,246.0	23,530.7
2.7	Embankment for Diversion Work	by machine	m3	51.5	41.0	10.5	3246867	167,213.7	133,121.6	34,092.1
	Sub-total						834,889.7	614,598.5	220,291.2	
3. Lining Work										
3.1	Mortar Plaster	1" mortar	m2	51.8	12.3	39.5	3541508	183,450.1	43,560.5	139,889.6
3.2	Concrete insitu	3" thick	m3	2,996.2	1,072.3	1923.9	269890.5	808,645.9	289,403.6	519,242.3
3.3	Precast Panel	2" thick	m3	3,300.0	1,200.0	2100		0.0	0.0	0.0
3.4	Joint	Rubber/Bitumen	m	25.0	20.0	5	1086231	27,155.8	21,724.6	5,431.2
3.5	Geomembrane with geotextile	1 mm	m2	340.0	300.0	40		0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sub-total						1,019,251.8	354,688.7	664,563.0	
(New/Replace Installation)										
	Outlet		nos	26000	7800	18200	1038	26,988.0	8,096.4	18,891.6
	VR Bridge		nos	40000	12000	28000	200	8,000.0	2,400.0	5,600.0
	Drop		nos	60000	18000	42000	51	3,060.0	918.0	2,142.0
	Washing Step		nos	60000	18000	42000	84	5,010.0	1,512.0	3,528.0
	Buffalo Wallow		nos	70000	21000	49000	267	18,690.0	5,607.0	13,083.0
	Spillway		nos	70000	21000	49000	1	70.0	21.0	49.0
(Repair Work) 10% of New										
	DR Bridge		nos	8000	2400	5600	37	296.0	88.8	207.2
	VR Bridge		nos	4000	1200	2800	169	676.0	202.8	473.2
	Sub-total						62,820.0	18,846.0	43,974.0	
5.	Miscellaneous Items	3% of Item I-4 above	L.S.					57,830.0	29,752.0	28,078.0
	Direct Cost							1,985,495.5	1,011,485.2	964,010.2
III Administration and Consulting Cost							284,236.3	206672.0	77564.3	
IV Institutional Reform Cost							76,118.2	34544.0	41574.2	
V Physical Contingency (10% of item I, II, III and IV)							231,925.2	126,270.1	108,655.1	
	Base Construction Cost							2,584,177.0	1,388,971.3	1,195,205.7
	Price Escaration							535,760.1	285,222.1	250,538.0
	Total Project Cost							3,119,937.1	1,674,193.4	1,445,743.7

Table 7.2-2 Financial Cost for Each Distributory Systems

No.	Name of Distributory	Length			Design Discharge (m ³ /s)	Command Area (ha)	Construction Cost (Rs. in Million)										Remarks			
		Total (km)	Lined (km)	for Lining (km)			Compen- sation	Gate	Earth- work	Lining	Structure	Other	Direct Cost	Admi. & Conti	Insta- tion	Physical Conti.		Base Cost	Price Condi.	Total Cost
		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
1	Pindi	6.86	0.00	6.86	0.54	2.285	0.05	0.00	5.54	6.61	0.56	0.38	13.09	1.88	0.50	1.55	17.08	3.54	20.62	
2	Hujan	80.13	1.95	78.18	6.46	25.256	0.56	0.00	100.37	120.31	12.14	6.98	239.81	34.34	9.19	28.39	312.30	64.75	377.05	
3	Kirana	107.13	10.64	96.49	12.86	50.765	0.33	0.00	169.59	230.88	11.55	12.36	424.38	60.30	16.30	50.13	551.45	114.33	665.78	
	LJC Total	194.12	12.59	181.53	19.86	78.286	0.94	0.00	275.50	357.81	24.25	19.73	677.29	96.52	26.00	80.08	880.83	182.62	1,063.45	
4	Sarangwala	25.04	0.30	24.74	2.46	6.627	0.14	0.00	36.37	40.10	3.74	2.41	82.62	11.95	3.16	9.79	107.65	22.32	129.97	
5	Nasrana	81.42	5.65	75.77	8.87	34.677	0.72	0.00	105.73	154.36	8.58	8.06	276.73	38.71	10.68	32.68	359.52	74.54	434.06	
6	Cojra	17.77	2.25	15.52	1.95	7.540	0.07	0.00	17.16	23.85	2.01	1.29	44.30	6.27	1.70	5.23	57.58	11.94	69.51	
7	Mungi	41.29	3.98	37.31	5.39	19.161	0.18	1.78	70.01	74.67	3.66	4.50	154.62	22.45	5.90	18.52	201.48	41.77	243.25	
8	Janiwala/Hamza	18.58	0.00	18.58	1.59	6.513	0.03	0.89	16.11	20.45	1.46	1.17	40.08	5.72	1.54	4.74	52.11	10.80	62.91	
9	Pir Mahal	82.13	0.00	82.13	5.24	18.242	0.22	1.78	113.07	133.26	5.68	7.61	261.40	37.61	10.01	30.92	340.16	70.52	410.69	
10	Killianwala	52.71	15.73	36.98	6.96	27.798	0.37	2.68	110.94	94.83	4.18	6.38	219.01	32.53	8.31	26.02	286.24	59.34	345.58	
	LCC Total	318.94	27.91	291.03	32.46	120.558	1.73	7.14	469.38	541.51	29.32	31.42	1,078.77	155.24	41.30	127.70	1,404.74	291.24	1,695.97	
11	Thamman	37.09	3.22	33.87	8.29	25.877	0.39	1.78	50.70	64.64	4.39	3.65	125.16	17.81	4.81	14.82	162.98	33.79	196.77	
12	China	33.27	0.19	33.08	4.33	16.390	0.34	1.78	39.31	55.29	4.86	3.04	104.28	14.66	4.02	12.33	135.63	28.12	163.75	
	CBDC Total	70.36	3.41	66.95	12.62	42.267	0.72	3.57	90.01	119.93	9.25	6.68	229.44	32.48	8.82	27.15	298.61	61.91	360.52	
	Project Total	583.42	43.91	539.51	64.94	241,111.13	3.40	10.70	834.89	1,019.25	62.82	57.83	1,985.50	284.24	76.12	234.93	2,584.18	535.76	3,119.94	

Table 8.1-1 Financial and Economic Farm Gate Prices of Agricultural Inputs and Outputs

Item	Outputs		Input				
	Unit	Financial Price	Economic Price	Item	Unit	Financial Price	Economic Price
Cereals				Seed/seedling			
Wheat	Rs./kg	4.30	6.59	Wheat	Rs./kg	5.29 =	5.29
Rice (Basmati)	Rs./kg	5.27	5.52	Rice (Basmati)	Rs./kg	6.17 =	6.17
				Maize	Rs./kg	6.93 =	6.93
Pulses				Mungbeans	Rs./kg	15.77 =	15.77
Maize	Rs./kg	5.20	5.69	Mustard	Rs./kg	13.58 =	13.58
Mungbeans	Rs./kg	12.89 =	12.89	Sugarcane	Rs./marla	18.51 =	18.51
Oil Seeds				Seed Cotton	Rs./kg	17.43 =	17.43
Mustard	Rs./kg	11.46 =	11.46	Vegetables (Watermelon)	Rs./kg	100.40 =	100.40
				Citrus	Tree	6.77 =	6.77
Sugarcane	Rs./kg	0.90	0.51	Sorgham (Maize fodder)	Rs./kg	12.60 =	12.60
				Berseem	Rs./kg	85.50 =	85.50
Seed Cotton	Rs./kg	18.90	18.30	Fertilizer			
Other Crops				N	Rs./kg	14.41	16.26
Vegetables (Watermelon)	Rs./kg	2.51 =	2.51	P	Rs./kg	19.57	14.50
				K	Rs./kg	18.00	9.05
Fruits				Manure	Rs./40kg	2.72 =	2.72
Citrus	Rs/ton	17,500	17,784	Agro-chemicals			
				Insecticide	Rs./kg	44 =	44
Fodders				Pesticide	Rs./kg	290 =	290
Sorgham	Rs./kg	0.84 =	0.84	Labor	Rs./day	72.00	63.36
Berseem	Rs./kg	0.57 =	0.57	Machinery and Animal Power			
By-Products				Land preparation by Tractor	hours	74.65	67.18
Wheat Straw	Rs./kg	0.40 =	0.40	Land preparation by bullocks	days	69.98	62.99
Rice Straw	Rs./kg	0.18 =	0.18	Interculture by bullocks	days	69.98	62.99
Sugarcane	Rs./kg	0.41 =	0.41	Harvesting	maunds	64.15	57.74
Maize	Rs./kg	0.35 =	0.35				
Mung	Rs./kg	0.30 =	0.30				
Cotton	Rs./kg	0.27 =	0.27				

Table 8.3-1 (1/3) Crop Production Benefit

Crop	Kureha (1,372 ha)																
	Subsoil					Topsoil					Incremental						
	Area (ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Profit (M\$)	Value (M\$)	Yield (t/ha)	Profit (M\$)	Value (M\$)	Yield (t/ha)	Profit (M\$)	Value (M\$)	Area (ha)	Yield (t/ha)	Profit (M\$)	Value (M\$)	Yield (t/ha)	Profit (M\$)	Value (M\$)
Subsoil	60	40.98	2,459	805	44.58	2,675	945	1,188	40.98	48,698	15,935	47.31	56,224	20,756	6.33	7,527	4,800
Supernode	34	142	48	563	1.51	611	798	562	1.42	798	9,311	1.58	885	10,764	0.16	87	1,654
Conure	36	118	48	53	1.28	46	69	627	1.18	928	8.35	1.36	853	3,512	0.18	115	584
Base (Barman)	30	19.85	199	307	21.65	215	409	82	19.85	1,621	2,986	27.00	507	5,077	2.81	230	567
Vegetable	190	148	266	351	1.54	308	467	1,353	1.48	2,003	2,459	1.65	2,234	3,890	0.17	232	1,453
Wheat	479	12.84	6,153	1,363	13.08	6,558	1,863	5,994	12.84	76,831	16,274	14.33	85,733	34,259	1.49	8,906	7,477
Podder	21	0.51	11	4.97	0.54	12	10.7	837	0.51	354	2,916	0.58	397	3,461	0.07	43	548
Other	331							10,433		21,828							
Subsoil	432	22.6	1,927	8,204	2.43	2,608	9,704	0.17	22.6	16,238	70,401	2.55	18,685	84,330	0.29	2,130	11,930
Wheat	46	30.71	1,417	329	32.28	1,491	356	33,448	30.71	96,735	22,448	33.44	105,446	26,613	2.77	8,721	4,145
Podder	62	1.43	89	9,052	1.52	95	563	48	1.43	705	4,098	1.60	767	5,283	0.17	82	647
Oil Seeds	291							10,039		20,232							
Subsoil	379	9.99	3,669	13,223	10.36	3,924	14,497	0.67	9.99	60,891	219,462	10.87	68,542	257,291	1.19	7,451	38,329
Wheat	322							8,286		219,462							
Podder	57							6,250		365,233							
Total	2,129							31,279		641,635							
Subsoil	1,238	40.98	50,717	16,546	44.07	37,016	20,680	7,181	40.98	294,264	91,299	47.20	338,923	134,984	6.22	44,637	28,684
Supernode	73	142	104	1,298	1.55	1,113	1,358	1,810	1.42	2,571	30,004	1.57	2,145	34,625	0.15	276	4,621
Conure	128	118	152	100	1.33	170	283	181	1.18	450	594	1.36	519	916	0.18	68	352
Base (Barman)	36	19.85	715	1,317	22.11	798	1,510	199	19.85	1,944	7,206	22.01	4,493	8,617	2.76	548	1,352
Vegetable	1,864	148	2,785	3,535	1.62	2,966	4,344	7,881	1.48	11,645	14,325	1.65	12,992	22,698	0.17	1,327	824
Wheat	1,654	12.84	10,074	4,077	14.04	20,414	5,593	5,066	12.84	74,547	16,275	14.50	83,027	31,492	1.46	8,480	7,217
Podder	28	0.51	14	139	0.56	16	149	1,352	0.51	775	6,944	0.57	872	8,241	0.06	98	1,277
Other	4,802							24,780		321,650							
Subsoil	3,000	22.6	7,462	31,799	2.49	8,234	36,761	17,273	22.6	39,022	196,245	2.55	43,988	198,499	0.29	4,936	32,254
Wheat	374	30.71	11,698	2,670	32.95	12,529	3,047	1,675	30.71	51,439	33,483	33.43	55,991	14,104	2.72	4,551	2,135
Podder	471	1.43	678	3,917	1.56	717	4,407	63	1.43	5,318	30,898	1.59	5,923	35,440	0.16	603	4,782
Oil Seeds	4,156							22,662		202,112							
Subsoil	395	9.99	3,628	11,799	10.64	4,204	15,792	849	9.99	8,238	29,655	10.85	9,216	34,752	1.16	988	5,096
Wheat	323							842		29,655							
Podder	72							2,802		29,655							
Total	2,943							15,884		410,468							

Table 8.3-1 (3/3) Crop Production Benefit

	LCC (\$/ha/ha)				FCC (\$/ha/ha)				CRP (\$/ha/ha)				Total (\$/ha/ha)			
	Without		With		Without		With		Without		With		Without		With	
	Area	Prod'n Value	Prod'n Value	Incremental	Area	Prod'n Value	Prod'n Value	Incremental	Area	Prod'n Value	Prod'n Value	Incremental	Area	Prod'n Value	Prod'n Value	Incremental
(ha)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(ha)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(ha)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(ha)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	(\$/000)	
Small Cattle																
Soybeans	4,874	199,257	63,347	27,822	83,361	27,822	83,361	101,482	65,210	62,311	103,002	33,706	111,678	48,823	4,874	16,319
Corn	2,653	3,772	44,918	4,147	50,221	12,235	162,281	1,279	30,439	1,787	1,027	11,987	1,083	12,865	25,720	17,035
Rice (thruout)	1,078	1,942	2,462	2,264	3,183	9,460	4,737	813	4,231	6,331	3,026	3,790	3,248	4,707	17,499	9,338
Vegetable	583	30,777	19,280	12,149	23,254	2,501	23,301	50,328	3,012	1,228	9,467	18,173	10,671	20,119	864	1,846
Wheat	6,466	9,303	12,534	10,374	17,288	982	6,054	54,923	20,726	5,213	4,919	6,941	5,226	7,999	89,481	4,072
Flax	12,172	196,275	34,318	173,126	48,264	16,831	14,146	45,732	18,766	13,963	70,639	15,422	75,181	19,233	66,061	4,802
Mary	1,511	799	6,914	663	8,116	1,202	7,926	1,633	2,479	162	33	299	36	330	9,598	2,433
	29,272	342,283	142,283	236,625	306,303	50,204	150,303	139,250	37,220	37,220	82,413	115,002	115,002	252,802	252,802	1,010,182
Large Cattle																
Wheat	24,978	36,237	239,474	62,837	292,310	6,590	42,876	130,746	1,943	35,011	32,035	174,348	34,210	190,012	190,012	13,945
Flax	7,025	215,722	50,110	233,023	35,643	18,201	8,532	14,507	6,756	9,190	116,697	27,104	122,804	29,133	30,938	513,144
(a) Seeds	1,423	2,922	10,344	3,118	16,724	296	2,330	3,963	1,144	3,633	1,998	11,610	2,126	12,575	29,112	14,327
	33,426	353,925	309,728	339,712	342,623	106,622	162,622	110,123	10,123	67,824	123,008	212,008	212,008	252,802	252,802	1,112,052
Domestic Cattle																
Curva	9,042	94,300	347,080	107,452	454,512	11,152	57,831	10,371	23,206	1,506	3,865	21,243	6,249	23,506	21,820	21,820
	2,852	36,080	262,080	89,512	351,672	1,512	15,630	1,463	2,206	1,506	21,243	21,243	21,243	23,506	23,506	514,392
	21,395	83,142	309,360	166,964	399,360	166,964	166,964	27,334	59,626	59,626	263,609	330,274	330,274	330,274	330,274	2,627,854
Total																
	142,820	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	6,571,000	142,820	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	1,428,200	5,142,800
	142,820	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	6,571,000	142,820	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	5,142,800	1,428,200	1,428,200	5,142,800

Table 8.3-2 Benefit from Saved Pump Operation Cost

	Authorized Discharge (m ³ /s)	Total Discharge (m ³ /year)	Water Saving after Lining		% of Pump Type		Unit Pumping Cost		Saved Pumping Cost			
			Total Saved Water (%)	FGW* Saved Water in FGW (%)	Diesel (%)	Electric (%)	Diesel (Rs/m ³)	Electric (Rs/m ³)	Diesel (Rs'000)	Electric (Rs'000)	Total (Rs'000)	
LJC												
Pindi	0.46	13,314,240	7.32	974,602	32.91	80.1	19.9	0.31	0.35	80	22	102
Hujjan	5.16	149,351,040	12.88	19,236,414	19.60	80.1	19.9	0.31	0.35	936	263	1,199
Kirana	10.52	304,490,880	11.43	34,803,308	31.99	80.1	19.9	0.31	0.35	2,764	777	3,541
Sub-total	16.14	467,156,160		53,014,324						3,780	1,062	4,842
LCC												
Sarangwala	1.99	57,598,560	10.35	5,961,451	0.00	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	0	0	0
Nasrana	7.02	203,186,880	12.64	25,682,822	0.00	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	0	0	0
Gojra	1.64	47,468,160	10.19	4,837,006	0.00	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	0	0	0
Mungi	4.05	117,223,200	11.46	13,433,779	5.04	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	167	48	215
Janiwala/Hamza	1.31	37,916,640	10.52	3,988,831	0.00	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	0	0	0
Pir Mahal	3.88	112,302,720	16.22	18,215,501	34.22	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	1,537	447	1,984
Killianwala	5.66	163,823,040	9.3	15,235,543	16.59	79.5	20.5	0.31	0.35	623	181	805
Sub-total		739,519,200		87,354,931						2,328	576	2,904
CBDC												
Tharman	7.27	210,422,880	5.93	12,478,077	30.82	40.6	59.4	0.31	0.35	484	800	1,284
China	3.6	104,198,400	8.06	8,398,391	35.07	40.6	59.4	0.31	0.35	371	612	983
Sub-total		314,621,280		20,876,468						855	1,412	2,267
Total		1,521,296,640		163,245,723						6,963	3,151	10,114

*: Fresh Groundwater Area

Table 8.3-3 Benefit from the Reduction of O & M Cost

Financial	Name of Distributaries	Without Project			With Project			(Rs.'000)		
								Incremental		
		Staff	M&R	Total	Staff	M&R	Total	Staff	M&R	Total
LCC										
	Pindi	143	192	335	315	226	541	172	34	206
	Hujjan Disty	1,666	2,189	3,855	480	2,580	3,059	-1,187	391	-796
	Kirana Disty	2,227	2,702	4,929	529	3,184	3,713	-1,698	482	-1,216
	sub-total	4,036	5,083	9,119	1,323	5,990	7,313	-2,713	907	-1,806
LJC										
	Sarang wala	521	693	1,214	364	816	1,180	-157	123	-34
	Nasrana	1,693	2,122	3,815	480	2,500	2,980	-1,214	378	-835
	Gojra	370	435	805	348	512	860	-23	77	55
	Mungi	858	1,045	1,903	397	1,231	1,628	-461	186	-275
	Janiwala/	387	520	907	348	613	961	-40	93	54
	Pir Mahal	1,708	2,300	4,008	480	2,710	3,190	-1,229	410	-818
	Killian wala	1,239	1,035	2,274	430	1,220	1,650	-809	185	-624
	sub-total	6,776	8,150	14,926	2,845	9,604	12,449	-3,931	1,454	-2,477
CBDC										
	Thaman	1,795	948	2,743	480	1,118	1,597	-1,316	170	-1,146
	Chhinna	691	926	1,617	381	1,092	1,472	-311	166	-145
	sub-total	2,486	1,874	4,360	860	2,209	3,069	-1,626	335	-1,291
	Total	13,298	15,107	28,405	5,028	17,804	22,832	-8,270	2,697	-5,573

Economic	Name of Distributaries	Without Project			With Project			(Rs.'000)		
								Incremental		
		Staff	M&R	Total	Staff	M&R	Total	Staff	M&R	Total
LCC										
	Pindi	129	173	302	283	204	487	154	31	185
	Hujjan Disty	1,499	1,970	3,470	432	2,322	2,753	-1,068	352	-716
	Kirana Disty	2,004	2,432	4,436	476	2,866	3,342	-1,528	434	-1,094
	sub-total	3,632	4,575	8,207	1,191	5,391	6,582	-2,442	817	-1,625
LJC										
	Sarang wala	469	624	1,093	328	735	1,062	-141	111	-30
	Nasrana	1,524	1,910	3,434	432	2,250	2,682	-1,092	341	-752
	Gojra	333	392	725	313	461	774	-20	69	49
	Mungi	772	941	1,713	357	1,108	1,465	-415	168	-247
	Janiwala/	348	468	816	313	552	865	-36	84	48
	Pir Mahal	1,537	2,070	3,607	432	2,439	2,871	-1,106	369	-736
	Killian wala	1,115	932	2,047	387	1,098	1,485	-728	167	-561
	sub-total	6,098	7,335	13,433	2,561	8,644	11,204	-3,538	1,309	-2,229
CBDC										
	Thaman	1,616	853	2,469	432	1,006	1,437	-1,184	153	-1,031
	Chhinna	622	833	1,455	342	982	1,325	-279	149	-130
	sub-total	2,237	1,687	3,924	774	1,988	2,762	-1,463	302	-1,162
	Total	11,968	13,596	25,565	4,525	16,023	20,549	-7,443	2,427	-5,016

Table 8.4-1 Cost and Benefit Flow

(Rs. 000)

No	Year	Cost						Benefit						Total	B - C				
		Without			With			Incremental			Comp Production					Pumping cost			
		Capital	Op&M	Rep.	Total	Capital	Op&M	Rep.	Total	W/O	With	Incremental	W/O			With	Incremental	W/O	With
1	1999	25,565	25,565	25,565	114,490	88,926	0	88,926	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-88,926
2	2000	25,565	25,565	25,565	116,332	90,787	0	90,787	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-90,825
3	2001	25,565	25,565	25,565	470,450	495,922	470,450	993,822	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8,638
4	2002	25,565	25,565	25,565	549,689	525,259	-1,134	99,505	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-461,719
5	2003	25,565	25,565	25,565	629,018	605,749	-2,295	10,273	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-413,974
6	2004	25,565	25,565	25,565	586,002	564,097	3,660	21,904	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-380,174
7	2005	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-204,049
8	2006	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
9	2007	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
10	2008	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
11	2009	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
12	2010	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
13	2011	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
14	2012	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
15	2013	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
16	2014	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
17	2015	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
18	2016	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
19	2017	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
20	2018	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
21	2019	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
22	2020	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	358,859	379,408	2,427,884	2,427,884	358,859	358,859	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
23	2021	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
24	2022	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
25	2023	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
26	2024	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
27	2025	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
28	2026	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
29	2027	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
30	2028	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
31	2029	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
32	2030	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
33	2031	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
34	2032	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
35	2033	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
36	2034	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
37	2035	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
38	2036	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
39	2037	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
40	2038	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
41	2039	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	358,859	379,408	2,427,884	2,427,884	358,859	358,859	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
42	2040	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
43	2041	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
44	2042	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
45	2043	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
46	2044	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
47	2045	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
48	2046	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
49	2047	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146
50	2048	25,565	25,565	25,565	20,549	20,549	0	0	2,427,884	2,427,884	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-494,146

Economic Internal Rate of Return = 19.9%

Table 8.5-1 Farm Budget by Farm Size

Item	Marginal (1.56)		Small (3.49)		Medium (6.36)		Large (16.45)	
	without	with	without	with	without	with	without	with
Lower Jhelum (Rs.)								
A. Gross farm income from crop production	35,080	37,410	78,340	83,550	142,870	152,370	369,350	393,910
B. Crop production cost	10,140	10,240	22,630	22,860	41,280	41,690	106,710	107,770
C. Net farm income from crop production (A-B)	24,940	27,170	55,710	60,690	101,590	110,680	262,640	286,140
D. Other Income	33,070	33,070	34,630	34,630	44,930	44,930	58,960	58,960
E. Living expense	47,640	47,640	61,880	61,880	80,630	80,630	112,600	112,600
F. Net reserve (C+D-E)	10,370	12,600	28,460	33,440	65,890	74,980	209,000	232,500
Lower Chenab (Rs.)								
Item	Marginal (1.52)		Small (3.70)		Medium (6.82)		Large (13.99)	
	without	with	without	with	without	with	without	with
A. Gross farm income from crop production	38,670	40,290	94,360	98,320	173,660	180,940	356,390	371,340
B. Crop production cost	10,280	10,360	25,090	25,270	46,180	46,520	94,770	95,460
C. Net farm income from crop production (A-B)	28,390	29,930	69,270	73,050	127,480	134,420	261,620	275,880
D. Other Income	37,630	37,630	43,060	43,060	56,380	56,380	58,730	58,730
E. Living expense	47,640	47,640	61,880	61,880	80,630	80,630	112,600	112,600
F. Net reserve (C+D-E)	18,380	19,920	50,450	54,230	103,230	110,170	207,750	222,010
Central Bari Doab (Rs.)								
Item	Marginal (1.48)		Small (3.47)		Medium (6.36)		Large (16.01)	
	without	with	without	with	without	with	without	with
A. Gross farm income from crop production	35,190	36,070	82,720	84,790	151,560	155,360	381,310	390,870
B. Crop production cost	10,400	10,470	24,450	24,610	44,790	45,090	112,690	113,450
C. Net farm income from crop production (A-B)	24,790	25,600	58,270	60,180	106,770	110,270	268,620	277,420
D. Other Income	32,860	32,860	36,230	36,230	47,230	47,230	60,300	60,300
E. Living expense	47,640	47,640	61,880	61,880	80,630	80,630	112,600	112,600
F. Net reserve (C+D-E)	10,010	10,820	32,620	34,530	73,370	76,870	216,320	225,120