APPENDIX F-5 : M/M for Draft Final Report

MINUTES OF MEETING CONCERNING DRAFT FINAL REPORT FOR THE STUDY ON RURAL WATER SUPPLY IN MPIGI, MUBENDE AND KIBOGA DISTRICTS IN THE REPUBLIC OF UGANDA AGREED BY AND BETWEEN THE MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE JICA STUDY TEAM

In Kampala, 7th June, 1996

Mr. Ben Z. DRAMADRI Permanent Secretary, The Ministry of Natural Resources

 \mathbf{I}

Mr. Mitsuru YOSHIKAWA Leader, the Study Team, Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)

und

Yasuo MUKAI Leader, Advisory Team Institute of International Cooperation, JICA

Based on the Scope of Work agreed by and between the Ministry of Natural Resources (hereinafter referred to as "the Ministry") and the Preparatory Study Team of Japan International Cooperation Agency (hereinafter referred to as "JICA") in April, 1995, JICA organized another study team (hereinafter referred to as "the Study Team") and dispatched it to Uganda from September, 1995 to February, 1996 to conduct "the Study on Rural Water Supply in Mpigi, Mubende and Kiboga Districts in the Republic of Uganda" (hereinafter referred to as "the Study").

The Study Team conducted the Stage-One and Two Studies in Uganda and the Stage-Three Study in Japan. Upon the termination of the Stage-Three Study, the Study Team sent Draft Final Report (20 copies each of Main Report and Executive Summary, 10 copies of Supporting Report and 5 copies of Appendices) to the Directorate of Water Development (hereinafter referred to as "the DWD") of the Ministry on 20th May, 1996.

The meeting for explanation and discussion on the contents of the Draft Final Report of the Study was held on 5th and 6th days of June, 1996 at the headquarters of the DWD. The list of attendants of the meeting is given in Appendix-1.

The Study Team explained further procedures for the Final Report and the contents of the Draft Final Report to the DWD side. The DWD side made several inquiries, confirmations and discussions on the plan, evaluation of the project and others to the Study Team. Finally, the DWD side agreed, in principle, on the contents of the Draft Final Report.

The major issues discussed in the meeting were as below:

- (1) The Study Team requested to the DWD side and the DWD side agreed to send, through JICA Kenya Office, its written comments, if any, to the Study Team within 30 days after the date of signing of these Minutes of Meeting.
- (2) The DWD side inquired that the number of copies of the draft final reports to be submitted to DWD is to be 20 copies as prescribed in the Inception Report instead of 20 copies each of Main Report and Executive Summary, 10 copies of Supporting Report and 5 copies of Appendices.

 \mathbf{n}

The Study Team explained to the DWD side and both sides agreed that the number of copies of the report prescribed in the Inception Report showed only the numbers of the main report and executive summary of the Draft Final Report, and thus the Final Report would consist of 50 copies each of Main Report and Executive Summary, 25 copies of Supporting Report and 15 copies of Appendices.

- (3) The DWD side has no objection for the Final Report being open to the public immediately after the Final Report is received by the DWD.
- (4) The DWD side pointed out to the Study Team which agreed to review the incosistencies in the descriptions of facility atlocation in the second paragraph in page 4-3 and the first paragraph in page 4-8 which are to be adjusted.
- (5) As for the equipment to be procured under the project (page 4-19) the DWD side requested the Study Team to agree to change the station wagons to be procured to the districts to pick-up trucks (double cabin type).
- (6) The DWD side inquired from the Study Team as to whether or not the cost of installation of monitoring system is included in the project cost. The Study Team replied that since the cost is small it is to be included in the contingency fees. The DWD side requested and the Study Team agreed to supplement the description on the above into section 4.8 (page 4-28).
- (7) The Study Team promised that the discrepancy in the description in the second and third paragraphs on page 7-2 are to be corrected to "In consideration of various conditions, the project is to be implemented in the priority order of Mpigi, Kiboga and Mubende Districts. The project implementation period would be 48 months in total."

(8) The DWD side pointed out various improper wordings in the report. The Study Peam agreed to correct those wordings.

F - 37

Appendix-1: List of Attendants in the Meeting

JICA:

Mr. Taisuke WATANABE:

Mr. Yasuo MUKAI:

The Study Team Mr. Mitsuru YOSHIKAWA: Mr. Kazuki MUTA:

Ms.Dr. Pla ROCKHOLD:

Mr. Masaki MIKI: DWD: Mr. Moses K. GAVA: Mr. Richard CONG: Mr. Disan K. SSOZI: Mr. Samuel MUTONO: Mr. J. K. ASEKA: Mr. E. DRIBIDU: Mr. A. KABIRIZI: Ms. Eva LWANGA: Mr. Ian AREBAHONA: Mr. W. WAKOOLI: MPIGI DISTRICT: Mr. Fred KATO-SSEMUGERA: Mr. M. BYANTALO: **MUBENDE DISTRICT:** Mr. Nathan MUJERE, Ms. Barriel NABAYUNGA: Mr. M. ERIBANKYA: **KIBOGA DISTRICT:** Mr. Philbert Odong, Mr. Fred MULABYA:

Task Management Planning Division, Planning Dept., JICA Leader, Advisory Team, Institute of International Cooperation, JICA

Team Leader / Groundwater Development Water Supply Facilities/ Operation & Maintenance Social Environment / User's Participation / Hygiene Promotion Coordinator

Ag. Commissioner, RWD Senior Engineer, RWD Senior Engineer, DWD Project Coodinator, RUWASA/DWD Sr. Hydrogeologist, DWD DWD Engineer, DWD Hydrigeologist, DWD Project Coodinator, WES/DWD Statistics and Economy

District Health Inspector, Mpigi Ag. District Water Officer, Mpigi

District Water Officer, Mubende Community Development Officer, Mubende District Health Inspector, Mubende

District Water Officer, Kiboga District Health Inspector, Kiboga

F - 38





