

GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT STUDY
IN
THE SOUTH-WESTERN REGION
OF
THE REPUBLIC OF MADAGASCAR

(PHASE II)

FINAL REPORT
VOLUME II
MAIN REPORT

1978

JICA LIBRARY



J 1132255 (9)

ROKUSAI KOGYO Co., Ltd. TOKYO
SAN-YU CONCRETE PANTS, INC. TOKYO

1978
FR
1978

09
1.8
5

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY

**DEPARTMENT OF WATER
MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES
REPUBLIC OF MADAGASCAR**

**GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT STUDY
IN
THE SOUTH-WESTERN REGION
OF
THE REPUBLIC OF MADAGASCAR**

(PHASE II)

**FINAL REPORT
VOLUME II
MAIN REPORT**

August 1996

**KOKUSAI KOGYO Co., Ltd., TOKYO
SANYU CONSULTANTS Inc., TOKYO**

1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)



1132255 (9)



1132255 (9)

1132255 (9)

Preface

In response to a request from the Government of the Republic of Madagascar, the Government of Japan decided to conduct a Study on the Project of Groundwater Development in the South - Western Region (Phase II) and entrusted the study to the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA).

JICA sent to Madagascar a study team headed by Mr. Kunio Fujiwara, Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd. and composed of members of Kokusai Kogyo Co., Ltd. and Sanyu Consultants Inc., on two occasions between April 1995 and June 1996.

The team held discussions with the officials concerned of the Government of Madagascar, and conducted field surveys at the Study Area. After the team returned to Japan, further studies were made and the present report was prepared.

I hope that this report will contribute to the promotion of the project and to the enhancement of friendly relations between our two countries.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to the officials concerned of the Government of the Republic of Madagascar for the close cooperation they extended to the team.

August, 1996



Kimio Fujita
President,
Japan International Cooperation Agency

August 1996

Mr. Kimio Fujita
President,
Japan International Cooperation Agency

Letter of Transmittal

Dear Sir,

We are pleased to submit to you the development study report on the Groundwater Development Project in the South-Western Region (Phase II), in the Republic of Madagascar.


The report contains: study results on the socio-economic condition of the area; an evaluation of groundwater resources; a groundwater development plan, accompanied by the appropriate water supply facilities plan; and an operation and maintenance plan.

The final report consists of four separate volumes : Summary, Main and Supporting reports, and Data Book. The Summary Report states concisely all the study results. The Main Report describes the results of the study with analysis, and is accompanied by a hydrogeological map. The Supporting Report contains the study method and materials produced through the process of analysis and others. The Data Book contains the raw and processed field survey records, and the processed reference materials collected.

We are confident that the implementation of the proposed groundwater development scheme will greatly contribute to improved water supply conditions in the South-Western Region of the Republic of Madagascar.


Lastly, we would like to take this opportunity to express our sincere gratitude to your agency and the Japanese Embassy in Madagascar for their kind guidance and encouragement. We also wish to express our heartfelt thanks to the concerned authorities of the Government of Madagascar, especially to the Ministry of Energy and Mines, for the close cooperation and assistance extended to us during the study period.

Very truly yours,




Kunio Fujiwara
Team Leader
The Team for the Study on
Groundwater Development in the
South-Western Region of Madagascar
(Phase II)

The Study Area



I. MANJA ...		III. MORONDAYA ...		51 Lavaravy Tsimaliha	78 Sarodrano	103 Ankilizato
1 Andranopasy I	25 Befasy	52 Antsakamirohaka	79 Ambonio	104 Mandabe	105 Beronono	106 Malainbandy
2 Andranopasy II	26 Antevamena	53 Androvakely	80 Analalava	107 Anpanotoka	108 Tsimazava	
3 Antaly	27 Mitsitiky	54 Androvabe	81 Malandirano			
4 Darika	28 Andranovorisostr	55 Ampananiha	82 Marofandiliha	V. BELO SUR TSIRIBIHINA.		
5 Befamonty	29 Ankitatamahavelo	56 Antseranambondro	83 Ampataka	109 Tsianaloka	110 Kiboy	111 Croisement Antsoha
6 Ambatobe	30 Bekininy Soarano	57 Tanambao	84 Bosy	112 Tsimafana	113 Mananjaky	
7 Nositonga	31 Beleo	58 Bemanonga	85 Kivalo	VI. MIANDRIVAZO ...		
8 Nosibe	32 Anadabo	59 Marovoay	86 Ampatike	114 Ambalolahy	115 Ankolrototsy	
9 Ankoba	33 Nisokotsa	60 Tandrokosy	87 Ambalo Andrana			
10 Antseranandaka N.	34 Croise. Besotroka	61 Bekonazy	88 Andrahany			
11 Tsaramandroso	35 Amanga	62 Bevoliengo	89 Ankarabato	IV. MAHABO ...		
12 Songary	36 Namakia	63 Kimony	90 Tanambao Fe	94 Ankilivato	95 Ambohibary	96 Bevoay
13 Piste de Bedo	37 Voloe	64 Andranomena Ats.	91 Andranolava	97 Bezezika	98 Tanandava II	99 Ankilimida
14 Tanambahiny	38 Benasy	65 Tanandava	92 Betsiriry	100 Ampanihy	101 Benato	102 Anolotsy
15 Miary	39 Antsamaka	66 Croisement BST	93 Beroboka Alm.			
16 Ambivy I	40 Manomentimay	67 Analaiva				
17 Ambivy II	41 Farateny	68 Betsipolika				
18 Ambahia	42 Ianadabo	69 Amboloando				
19 Besatrohaka	43 Andrananja	70 Ampandra				
20 Marotafika Alm.	44 Belo Sur Mer	71 Besonjo				
	45 Ankilifolo	72 Antevamena II				
II. BEROROA ...		46 Marofihitsa	73 Betobaka			
21 Ambalavato Nord	47 Ambararata	74 Tsinjorano	75 Betsinclo			
22 Andranomena	48 Ankevo	76 Lajjoby Avaratra	77 Ambinda			
23 Warerano	49 Ambivy					
24 Ambondrobc	50 Bevanlaza					

- qh Holocene qp Pleistocene
- n Neogene (Pliocene-Miocene)
- e Eocene
- c' Upper Cretaceous c Lower Cretaceous
- j' Upper Jurassic
- j' Middle Jurassic
- I_{ur} Upper Isalo Group
- I_m Middle Isalo Group
- I_c Lower Isalo Group
- ++++ Substratum (Ante-Jurassique)
Basement Complex (per-Jurassic)



Location Map of the Candidate Villages

ABBREVIATIONS

AfDB	African Development Bank
CNEA	National Committee for Water and Sanitation
CNRE	National Research Center for Environment
EPIC	Public Establishment with Industrial and Commercial Characteristics
IDA	International Development Association
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency
JIRAMA	Jiro sy Rano Malagasy (Electricity and Water)
MEM	Ministry of Energy and Mines
MIEM	Ministry of Industry, Energy and Mines
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
OAES	Operation Water Supply in South
ONE	National Office for Environment
SAMVA	Autonomous Maintenance Service of Antananarivo City
TPOM	Toliara Provincial Office of MEM
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
WHO	World Health Organization
ATP	Affordability to Pay
BHN	Basic Human Needs
DIA	Disease Impact Analysis
EC	Electrical Conductivity
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EIRR	Economic Internal Rate of Return
FMG	Franc Malagasy
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IEE	Initial Environmental Examination
L/C/D	Liters per Capita per Day
LANDSAT TM	Land + Satellite Thematic Mapper
O/M	Operation and Maintenance
PIP	Public Investment Program
SSPA	Sectorial Strategy and Action Plan

SWL, DWL	Static Water Level, Dynamic Water Level
TDS	Total Dissolved Solids
VLF-EM	Very Low Frequency-Electromagnetic (Method)
WID	Women in Development
WTP	Willingness to Pay

0

0

0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface

Letter of Transmittal

Location Map of the Study Area

Location map of the Candidate Villages

Abbreviations

1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 General	1-1
1.2 Outline of the Project	1-2
1.2.1 Background of the Project	1-2
1.2.2 Objectives of the Study	1-3
1.2.3 Study Area	1-3
1.2.4 Study Team	1-3
1.2.5 Scope of the Study	1-6
1.3 Study Description	1-10
1.3.1 Study Schedule	1-10
1.3.2 Technology Applied	1-10
2. GENERAL CONDITION OF THE STUDY AREA	2-1
2.1 Natural Condition	2-1
2.1.1 Topography	2-1
2.1.2 Climate	2-1
2.1.3 Geology and Geological Structure	2-2
2.1.4 Land Use and Vegetation	2-5
2.2 Socio-economic Situation of the Study Area	2-15
2.2.1 Administrative Units of Local Authorities	2-15
2.2.2 Population	2-15
2.2.3 Regional Economic Characteristics	2-16
2.2.4 Infrastructure	2-17
2.2.5 Tribal and Religious Issues	2-17
2.2.6 Education	2-18
2.2.7 Women's Activities	2-18
2.2.8 Sanitary Environment and Health	2-18
3. WATER SUPPLY SECTOR	3-1
3.1 Political Strategy on Water Supply	3-1
3.1.1 Global Objectives (by 2010)	3-1
3.1.2 Operational Objectives	3-1
3.2 Implementation Plan of Policies and Strategies	3-2
3.2.1 Key-Ministries	3-2
3.2.2 Present Contributors to the Sector	3-2

3.3	Administrative Organization and Service Coverage.....	3-4
3.3.1	Urban Water Supply.....	3-4
3.3.2	Rural Water Supply.....	3-4
3.4	Water Supply Condition in the Study Area.....	3-6
3.4.1	Functional and Non Functional Water Supply Systems.....	3-6
3.4.2	Water Sources for Domestic Use.....	3-6
3.4.3	Water Consumption Rate.....	3-6
3.4.4	Water Quality of Existing Water Sources.....	3-6
4.	CATEGORIZATION OF THE CANDIDATE VILLAGES.....	4-1
4.1	Criteria and Procedure for Categorization.....	4-1
4.2	Classification and Prioritization.....	4-6
4.2.1	Classification by Water Requirement.....	4-6
4.2.2	Classification by Socio-Economic Capacities.....	4-6
4.2.3	Total Ranking and Categorization.....	4-8
5.	SURVEY FOR GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT.....	5-1
5.1	Hydrology.....	5-1
5.1.1	Precipitation and Evapotranspiration.....	5-1
5.1.2	River System and Discharge.....	5-2
5.1.3	Springs.....	5-3
5.2	Hydrogeology.....	5-10
5.2.1	General Hydrogeological Features of the Study Area.....	5-10
5.2.2	Local Hydrogeological Features and Aquifer Characteristics.....	5-13
5.2.3	Discharge of Existing Wells.....	5-22
5.2.4	Macroscopic Water Balance and Groundwater Development Potential.....	5-23
6.	SURVEY FOR DETAILED SOCIO-ECONOMY.....	6-1
6.1	Overall Socio-Economic Survey.....	6-1
6.1.1	Objective of the Survey.....	6-1
6.1.2	Basic Profile.....	6-1
6.1.3	Revenue and Expenditure.....	6-1
6.1.4	Water Requirement.....	6-2
6.1.5	Sanitation and Medical Services.....	6-2
6.2	Survey on the Villages for the Pilot Project.....	6-5
6.2.1	Objectives of the Survey.....	6-5
6.2.2	Willingness to Pay and Affordability to Pay.....	6-5
6.2.3	Results of the Analysis.....	6-6
7.	EVALUATION SURVEY ON PHASE-I PROJECT.....	7-1
7.1	Existing Condition of the Phase I Project Facilities.....	7-1
7.2	Lessons for Phase II Study.....	7-3

8.	PILOT PROJECT	8-1
8.1	Objectives and Contents of the Pilot Project	8-1
8.2	Activities for the Pilot Project	8-2
8.3	Monitoring of the Pilot Project.....	8-7
8.3.1	Contents of Monitoring.....	8-7
8.3.2	Results of Monitoring in Andranomena.....	8-8
8.3.3	Results of Monitoring in Tsianaloka, Beroboka Sud, Bezezika and Analaiwa.....	8-9
9.	GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT PLAN.....	9-1
9.1	Basic Concept of the Groundwater Development Plan.....	9-2
9.2	Groundwater Development Plan per Village.....	9-2
10.	PLAN ON WATER SUPPLY FACILITIES.....	10-1
10.1	Plan on Unit Supply Amount.....	10-1
10.2	Service Population Plan.....	10-1
10.3	Supply Facility Plan.....	10-2
10.3.1	Types of Supply Facility.....	10-2
10.3.2	Scale of Supply Facility.....	10-2
10.3.3	Standard Design of Supply Facility.....	10-3
10.4	Estimation of Necessary Investment Cost.....	10-13
10.4.1	Construction Cost for 80-villages Supply Facilities.....	10-13
10.4.2	Construction Cost for the Selected 60 villages.....	10-14
11.	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	11-1
11.1	Establishment of Water Associations.....	11-1
11.2	Economic and Institutional Aspects of Operation and Maintenance.....	11-2
11.2.1	Budgetary and Institutional Constraints of MEM.....	11-2
11.2.2	Budgetary and Institutional Constraints of Local Authorities.....	11-5
11.2.3	Budgetary and Institutional Constraints of Water Association of Villages.....	11-6
11.2.4	Demarcation of Responsibilities for Operation and Maintenance.....	11-6
11.2.5	Action Plans for Operation and Maintenance.....	11-8
11.2.6	Future O/M Management Plan for Rural Water Supply.....	11-9
11.3	Estimation of Operation and Maintenance Cost.....	11-10
11.3.1	Operation and Maintenance Cost Covered by MEM.....	11-10
11.3.2	Operation and Maintenance Cost Covered by Water Association.....	11-13
12.	PROJECT EVALUATION.....	12-1
12.1	Economic Evaluation.....	12-1
12.1.1	Methodologies.....	12-1

12.1.2 Parameters for Economic Evaluation.....	12-1
12.1.3 Project Cost.....	12-3
12.1.4 Project Benefit.....	12-5
12.1.5 Economic Internal Rate of Return.....	12-7
12.1.6 Summary and Limitations of Economic Evaluation.....	12-7
12.2 Financial Evaluation.....	12-33
12.2.1 Methodologies.....	12-33
12.2.2 Cost Recovery.....	12-33
12.2.3 Loan Disbursement.....	12-36
12.2.4 Summary and Limitations.....	12-37
12.3 Social Evaluation.....	12-49
12.3.1 Social Impact on Gender.....	12-49
12.3.2 Social Impact on Equity.....	12-49
12.3.3 Other Social Impact.....	12-52
12.4 Overall Project Evaluation.....	12-57
13. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	13-1
13.1 Conclusions.....	13-1
13.2 Recommendations.....	13-6

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Location Map 1	Study Area	
Location Map 2	Candidate Villages	
Fig.1.1	Work Schedule.....	1-13
Fig.1.2	Study Flowchart.....	1-14
Fig.2.1.1	Monthly Max. and Min. Temperature.....	2-7
Fig.2.1.2	General Climatic Condition (Morondava).....	2-8
Fig.2.1.3	General Climatic Condition (Morombe).....	2-9
Fig.2.1.4	Stratigraphic Classification.....	2-10
Fig.2.1.5	Regional Geological Map of Study Area.....	2-11
Fig.2.1.6	Regional Geological Cross Section.....	2-12
Fig.2.1.7	Natural Color Image	2-13
Fig.2.1.8	Land Use Image.....	2-14
Fig.2.2.1	Old Administrative Units of Madagascar	2-21
Fig.2.2.2	New Administrative Units of Madagascar.....	2-22
Fig.2.2.3	Old Administrative Units of the Study Area.....	2-23
Fig.2.2.4	New Administrative Units of the Study Area.....	2-24
Fig.4.2.1	Distribution of Villages by Water Requirement	4-25
Fig.4.2.2	Distribution of Villages by Socio-economic Capacity	4-26
Fig.4.2.3	Distribution of Candidate Villages by Rank of Water Requirement and Socio-economic Capacity.....	4-27
Fig.5.1.1	Hydrological Map	5-5
Fig.5.1.2	Monthly Mean Rainfall of 6 Stations	5-6
Fig.5.1.3	Altitude - Annual Rainfall Curve.....	5-7
Fig.5.1.4	General Climatic Condition (Morondava).....	5-8
Fig.5.1.5	General Climatic Condition (Morombe).....	5-9
Fig.5.2.1	Hydrogeological Cross Section	5-35
Fig.5.2.2	Hydrogeological Vertical Section of Morondava Plain.....	5-36
Fig.5.2.3	Area Map of Macroscopic Water Balance Analysis.....	5-37
Fig.6.2.1	WTP and ATP in Ambararata.....	6-9
Fig.6.2.2	WTP and ATP in Andranomena.....	6-9
Fig.6.2.3	WTP and ATP in Analava.....	6-10
Fig.6.2.4	WTP and ATP in Beroboka Sud.....	6-10

Fig.6.2.5	WTP and ATP in Bezezika.....	6-11
Fig.6.2.6	WTP and ATP in Tsianaloka.....	6-11
Fig.6.2.7	Correlation between WTP and ATP.....	6-12
Fig.6.2.8	Estimated WTP based on 95 % Confidence Interval.....	6-13
Fig.6.2.9	Estimated ATP based on 95 % Confidence Interval.....	6-13
Fig.10.3.1	Standard Well Design for Hand Pumped Well.....	10-8
Fig.10.3.2	Standard Well Design for Motor-pumped Well.....	10-9
Fig.10.3.3	Standard Design of Concrete Pump Base and Communal Faucets.....	10-10
Fig.10.3.4	Schematic Drawing of Water Supply System (with Pumping by Diesel Engine Generator).....	10-11
Fig.10.3.5	Schematic Drawing of Water Supply System (with Solar Energized Pumping).....	10-12
Fig.12.1.1	Mortality Rate in Madagascar.....	12-16
Fig.12.1.2	Impact on Mortality Rate by Project.....	12-17
Fig.12.1.3	Life Expectancy in Madagascar.....	12-18
Fig.12.1.4	Estimated Population Structure (Case A/80 Villages).....	12-19
Fig.12.1.5	Estimated Population Structure (Case B/60 Villages).....	12-20
Fig.12.1.6	Project Benefit (Male)(Case A).....	12-21
Fig.12.1.7	Project Benefit (Female)(Case A).....	12-22
Fig.12.1.8	Project Benefit (Male)(Case B).....	12-23
Fig.12.1.9	Project Benefit (Female)(Case B).....	12-24
Fig.12.1.10	Transition of Cumulative Net Economic Benefit (Case A).....	12-31
Fig.12.1.11	Transition of Cumulative Net Economic Benefit (Case B).....	12-32
Fig.12.2.1	Cost Recovery (Hand Pump Type).....	12-44
Fig.12.2.2	Cost Recovery (Generator Type).....	12-45
Fig.12.2.3	Cost Recovery (Solar Type).....	12-46
Fig.12.2.4	Accumulated Foreign Loan.....	12-47
Fig.12.2.5	Total Payment.....	12-48
Fig.12.3.1	Project Impact on Women's Life Style.....	12-54
Fig.12.3.2	On-set Rates of Waterborne Diseases by Faritany.....	12-55
Fig.12.3.3	On-set Rates of Waterborne Diseases by Project Area.....	12-56

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 2.1.3	Vegetation Coverage (Land Use) of the Study Area	2-6
Table 2.2.1	Comparison between Old and New Administrative Units	2-20
Table 4.1.1	Accessibility and Categorization of Villages (1/4)	4-2
Table 4.2.1	Ranking of Candidate Villages by Water Requirement (1/3).....	4-10
Table 4.2.2	Ranking of Candidate Villages by Economic Capacity (1/3).....	4-13
Table 4.2.3	Ranking of Candidate Villages by Social Capacity (1/3).....	4-16
Table 4.2.4	Ranking of Candidate Villages by Institutional Capacity (1/3).....	4-19
Table 4.2.5	Total Ranking of Candidate Villages (1/3).....	4-22
Table 5.1.1	Location of Meteorological Stations.....	5-1
Table 5.1.2	Results of Discharge Measurement.....	5-4
Table 5.2.1	Result of Test Drilling Wells.....	5-31
Table 5.2.2	Result of Pumping Test Analysis	5-32
Table 5.2.3	Existing Borehole Data at Analaiwa Area.....	5-16
Table 5.2.4	Water Quality of Groundwater (1/2).....	5-33
Table 5.2.5	Factors Used for Water Balance Analysis in Phase I Study.....	5-23
Table 5.2.6	Factors Used for Macroscopic Water Balance Analysis.....	5-24
Table 5.2.7	Macroscopic Water Balance of Morondava Plain.....	5-26
Table 6.1.1	Correlation between Population and Income in Selected Villages.....	6-3
Table 6.2.1	Willingness to Pay (WTP) and Affordability to Pay (ATP) for 6 Pilot Project Villages.....	6-7
Table 6.2.2	Statistical Summary for WTP and ATP in 6 Pilot Project Villages.....	6-8
Table 7.1	Condition of Water Supply in Phase I Project Area.....	7-4
Table 8.2.1	Ratio of Attendants in the Meetings of the Pilot Project.....	8-5
Table 8.2.2	Board Members of the Water Associations.....	8-6
Table 8.2.3	Joining Ratio and the Fund in the Water Associations as of November 1995	8-6
Table 8.3.1	Completion Date of Installation of the Hand pump	8-7
Table 8.3.2	Average Water Consumption Rate in the Pilot Project Villages.....	8-9
Table 8.3.3	Monitoring and Evaluation in the Villages (February 1996)	8-11
Table 8.3.4	Gender of Board Members of the Water Associations (Feb. 1996)...	8-12
Table 8.3.5	Ratio of Participation of the Villagers and Total Amount of the Fund in the Water Associations as of February 1996	8-13

Table 8.3.6	Summary of the Differences among Four Pilot Project Villages.....	8-15
Table 9.2.1	Groundwater Development Plan (1/2)	9-3
Table 10.3.1	Water Supply Facilities by Villages (1/4)	10-5
Table 10.4.1	Facility Construction Cost for 80 Villages.....	10-14
Table 10.4.2	Facility Construction Cost for 60 Selected Villages.....	10-14
Table 10.4.3	Investment Cost (80 Villages).....	10-16
Table 10.4.4	Investment Cost (60 Villages).....	10-16
Table 11.2.1	PIP for the Water Department of MEM in 1994-1996 Financial Year	11-3
Table 11.2.2	Regular Administrative Budget of MEM in Financial Year 1995.....	11-3
Table 11.2.3	Number of Personnel by Kind of Staff in MEM	11-4
Table 11.2.4	Budget of Morondava Department in the Fiscal Year 1994.....	11-5
Table 11.2.5	Demarcation of Responsibilities in Each Party	11-8
Table 11.2.6	Example for Resource Mobilization Table of Each Party.....	11-9
Table 11.3.1	Cost for Well Rehabilitation and Vehicle Replacement.....	11-12
Table 11.3.2	Monthly Operation and Equipment Maintenance Cost for 35 Villages (H/P).....	11-14
Table 11.3.3	Monthly Operation and Equipment Maintenance Cost (Solar Type).....	11-15
Table 11.3.4	Monthly Operation and Equipment Maintenance Cost (E. Generator Type).....	11-15
Table 12.1.1	Standard Conversion Factor from Trade Statistics	12-9
Table 12.1.2	Consumption Conversion Factor from Trade Statistics	12-10
Table 12.1.3	Conversion Factor for Investment, Operation & Management and Replacement Cost	12-11
Table 12.1.4	Financial & Economic Project Cost (Case A/80 Villages)	12-12
Table 12.1.5	Financial & Economic Project Cost (Case A/60 Villages)	12-13
Table 12.1.6	Investment Schedule.....	12-14
Table 12.1.7	Structure of Disease On-set Rates in Madagascar	12-15
Table 12.1.8	Mortality Rate in Madagascar.....	12-16
Table 12.1.9	Impact on Mortality Rate by Project.....	12-17
Table 12.1.10	Life Expectancy in Madagascar	12-18
Table 12.1.11	Estimated Population Structure (Case A/80 Villages)	12-19
Table 12.1.12	Estimated Population Structure (Case B/60 Villages)	12-20
Table 12.1.13	Project Benefit by Mortality Rate Reduction (Case A/ Male)	12-21
Table 12.1.14	Project Benefit by Mortality Rate Reduction (Case A/ Female).....	12-22

Table 12.1.15	Project Benefit by Mortality Rate Reduction (Case B/ Male)	12-23
Table 12.1.16	Project Benefit by Mortality Rate Reduction (Case B/ Female)	12-24
Table 12.1.17	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case A-1).....	12-25
Table 12.1.18	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case A-2).....	12-26
Table 12.1.19	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case A-3).....	12-27
Table 12.1.20	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case B-1).....	12-28
Table 12.1.21	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case B-2).....	12-29
Table 12.1.22	Economic Internal Rate of Return (Case B-3).....	12-30
Table 12.2.1	Cost Recovery Table (Hand Pump Type).....	12-38
Table 12.2.2	Cost Recovery Table (Generator Type)	12-39
Table 12.2.3	Cost Recovery Table (Solar Type).....	12-40
Table 12.2.4	Project Loan Disbursements and Repayments (Case A)	12-41
Table 12.2.5	Project Loan Disbursements and Repayments (Case B).....	12-42
Table 12.2.6	Project Loan Disbursements and Repayments (Case C).....	12-43
Table 12.3.1	Impact on Women's Time Saving for Fetching Water by Project (1/3)	12-51

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

This is the Final Report on the "Groundwater Development Study in the South-Western Region of the Republic of Madagascar (Phase II)", covering an area of about 39,000 km² situated between the two Tsiribihina and Mangoky Rivers. The Study Area involves 115 candidate villages for the implementation of the water supply project.

The Phase I Study was conducted by the joint study team of the Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) and the MIEM, Ministry of Industry, Energy and Mines (present name: the Ministry of Energy and Mines, MEM), during a two-year period (1990 to 1992), and covered the southern half of the proposed study area, that is, the southern area of the Mangoky River. Based on the study results, the rural water supply project was formulated, and the Japan's Grant Aid Program was extended for the construction of water supply facilities in 50 selected villages during the 1993 to 1995 period.

The Study for the Phase II project was carried out in accordance with the "Scope of Work" agreed upon by the MEM and the JICA in December 1994. The Study commenced at the end of March 1995, and terminated with the submission of this Final Report in July, 1996. Similar to the study of Phase I, a joint study team of JICA and MEM was organized, and the field survey was conducted in the period from April to December 1995.

The study work in Madagascar was divided into two stages. The first stage extended from April to August and dealt with the categorization of the villages from the viewpoint of socio-economic conditions and groundwater potential. The second stage, from September to December, comprised test drilling, detailed socio-economic survey and the project formulation, including the implementation of the pilot project with the villagers' participation.

After further analysis in Japan, a hydrogeological map of the Study Area was prepared and groundwater development plan for each of the proposed villages was established. Also, the Phase II project was formulated accompanied by the facility design for the categorized villages. The period from January to March 1996 was used for above analysis and arrangement as well as preparation of the Draft Final Report.

Discussions on the Draft Final Report was held in June 1996 between the MEM and JICA mission. Taking into account the MEM's comments on the Draft Final Report, this Final Report was prepared and presented to the Government of Madagascar from JICA through diplomatic channel in August 1996.

1.2 Outline of the Project

1.2.1 Background of the Project

Madagascar is a developing agricultural country with cultivation of crops and raising of livestock as its main industry, agriculture employs 80% of the population and provides 80% of the exports.

In Madagascar, a public investment plan, which succeeded the third 5-year plan (1986-1990), is currently in progress, emphasizing on the following points:

- Improvement of the sanitary environment
- Mitigation of impoverished conditions
- Activation and development of rural economy.

The execution strategy focuses on rural development, particularly on the improvement of living standards in rural areas, which concerns over 75% of the total national population.

The improvement of water supply services is believed to be one of the most important factors to achieve this objective, however, the service coverage in rural areas did not exceed 12% in 1991, whereas the service coverage in urban area was about 70%. The south-western region of Madagascar, where annual precipitation is limited to 400 ~ 1,000 mm, has particularly suffered long from severe water supply shortages - service coverage in rural areas is only 2.6%, which is far smaller than the national average.

In order to upgrade such conditions, the Government of Madagascar made a request in 1987 to the Government of Japan for technical and financial assistance for groundwater development in the south-western region, that is the area between Onilahy river and Tsinbihina river.

In response to this request, the Japanese Government sent a JICA study team and a study was conducted from September 1989 to July 1991. After the study, the water supply project was implemented in the prioritized 50 villages between January 1993 and January 1995 under Japan's Grant Aid Program, based on the study results and also in response to the request from the Government of Madagascar for financial assistance. However, the Study and the Project implementation were limited to the southern half of the requested area, that is, between the Onilahy and Mangoky Rivers, because the area concerned was too large and water supply by groundwater development was considered uncertain at the time of the first request.

When the construction works on the 50 water supply systems were near completion, the Government of Madagascar made a new request to the Government of Japan for the execution of the same study in the northern half of the area. In response, the Government of Japan decided to, again, send a JICA study team to conduct a study.

Both governments of Madagascar and Japan recognized this study as the "Phase II Groundwater Development Study in the South-Western Region of Madagascar (the Study)".

1.2.2 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the Study are the following four points:

- 1) To evaluate the groundwater development potential of the area concerned (including preparation of the hydrogeological map)
- 2) To formulate the water supply plan for the candidate villages in the survey area, setting the target year at 2005, as well as to upgrade the rural standard of living in the south-western region of Madagascar through the establishment of public water supply systems
- 3) To formulate a sustainable operation and maintenance plan for water supply facilities, and to encourage the commitment of the inhabitants, particularly women, to participate in operation and maintenance and in keeping a sanitary environment, and
- 4) To transfer technology to the counterpart personnel during the course of the Study.

1.2.3 Study Area

The "Study Area" covers about 39,000 km² and is bordered by the Tsiribihina River to the north and the Mangoky River to the south. Until October 1995, there were 6 prefectures (FIVONDRONAM-POKONTANY) involved in the area: Belo-sur-Tsiribihina, Miandrivazo, Morondava, Mahabo, Manja and Beroroha. Some of them, however, are only partially included in the Study Area: the southern parts of Belo-sur-Tsiribihina and Miandrivazo until the Tsiribihina River, and the northern part of Beroroha until the Mangoky River. 115 villages (FOKONTANY) distributed in the Study Area were surveyed.

In October 1995, through a new national policy for the simplification of local administration, the Study Area was divided into 4 Departments, that is, the 3 departments of Belo-sur-Tsiribihina, Mahabo and Manja of the Menabe region (FARITANY), and the Department of Beroroha, of the Atsimo Andrefana region. Further, the 115 candidate villages became parts of Communes (KAOMININA).

1.2.4 Study Team

The Study was executed by a joint study team composed of JICA Study Team members and MEM personnel. JICA organized a study team consisting of a team leader and 10 members specialized in various fields. The team leader, Mr. Kunio Fujiwara, was responsible for maintaining a close liaison between JICA and MEM and other relevant agencies of the Republic of Madagascar in this Study. As a groundwater development specialist, he was

also responsible for formulating the development plan and for monitoring and managing of the progress of the Study.

MEM organized the counterpart study team headed by Mr. Aubert Robinirina, Director of the Water Department of MEM. For a smooth conduct of the Study and an effective transfer of the technology applied in this Study, the field representative, Mr. Marcel Rakotomavo, and other 9 counterpart personnel were assigned for the first stage field survey with the addition of 30 workers for the second stage field survey.

The team members of JICA and MEM are listed below:

- JICA Study Team

<i>Name</i>	<i>Field of Assignment</i>
Mr. Kunio FUJIWARA	Team Leader, Groundwater Development
Mr. Atsuo KANDA	Assistant Team Leader, Hydrogeology / Natural Environment
Mr. Masatoshi TANAKA	Geophysical Survey / Hydrology / Water Quality Analysis / Well Construction Supervising
Mr. Shuji ARAKAWA	Water Supply Facility Design / Operation and Maintenance Planning
Mr. Hirohisa OOMORI	Social Environment / Promotion of Villager's Participation and Hygiene Education
Mr. Takehiko OGAWA	Economic and Financial Affairs / Project Evaluation
Mr. Hirochika AOKI	Translation and Interpretation / Project Administration
Miss Marie-Line CHARLES	Translation and Interpretation / Pilot Project / Project Administration
Mr. Masaharu ARASHI	Assistance for Project Administration
Miss Sachie OIKAWA	Pilot Project
Mr. Masayuki OGATA	Well Construction Supervising

- MEM Team

<i>Name</i>	<i>Field of Assignment</i>	<i>Organism</i>
Mr. ROBINIRINA Aubert	Team Leader	Director of Water Department
Mr. RANDRIANARISON Justin	Representative from Toliara Region	Director, Toliara Provincial Office of MEM (TPOM)
Mr. RAJOELISAONINA Alfred	Deputy Team Leader	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTOMAVO Marcel	Field Representative/ Hydrogeology	Water Dept.

For the 1st Stage Field Survey:

Mis. RAMILISOA Beby	Social Environment/ Economy	Water Dept.
Mr. ANDRE Jérôme	Social Environment	TPOM
Mis. ANDRIAMALALA Léa	Geophysical Survey	Water Dept.
Mr. RAJERISON Jean-Etienne	Social Environment	Water Dept.
Mr. JACQUIS Josué A.R.	Hydrogeology	Water Dept.
Mr. ANDRIANATOANDRO Désiré	Water Quality Analysis	Water Dept.
Mr. RABENANDRASANA Emmanuel	Geophysical Survey	TPOM
Mr. RAKOTONDRAJAONA Joseph	Project Administration	Water Dept.
Mr. ALPHONSE Thomas	Assistance in Geophysical Survey	TPOM
Mr. GALI GALI	Assistance in Geophysical Survey	TPOM

For the 2nd Stage Field Survey:

Mr. RAJERISON Jean-Etienne	Social Environment	Water Dept.
Mr. JACQUIS Josué A.R.	Leader of TOP-200 Drilling Team	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTONDRAJOANA Joseph	Project Administration	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTOMARIA	Driller	Water Dept.
Mr. RANDRIANASOLO J. Baptiste	Assistant Driller	Water Dept.
Mr. RANDRIAMANANTENA P.J.B	“ “	Water Dept.
Mr. RAVELOSON Philippe	“ “	Water Dept.

Mr. ALPHONSE Thomas	“ “	TPOM
Mr. GALIGALY Philémon	“ “	TPOM
Mr. MAHAVE Rakoto	“ “	TPOM
Mr. ANDRIANATOANDRO Désiré	Leader of TOP-500 Drilling Team	Water Dept.
Mr. RAZAFINDRATSIRA William	Driller	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTOMALALA	Assistant Driller	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTONANDRASANA Jérôme	“ “	Water Dept.
Mr. RAMILISON Martin	“ “	Water Dept.
Mr. MARAVELO	“ “	TPOM
Mr. RALAY Vincelas	“ “	TPOM
Mr. RAKOTONIRINA Jean	Leader of KOKEN Drilling Team	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTOMAVO Paul	Assistant Driller	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTONDRANAMPY	“ “	Water Dept.
Mr. RAKOTONIRINA Victor	“ “	Water Dept.
Mr. RELAZA Bernardin	“ “	TPOM
Mr. TAHIMANA Edmond	“ “	TPOM
Mr. TSIAVINOLO Vincent	“ “	TPOM

1.2.5 Scope of the Study

The Study period is divided into 3 stages, and the Scope of the Study by stages agreed upon between the JICA and MEM are as follows:

Stage I: Understanding and analysis on present conditions

1. *Collection and analysis of existing data and information on:*

- a. Natural conditions, including:
 - (a) meteorological conditions
 - (b) geological and topographical conditions
 - (c) hydrological and hydrogeological conditions
- b. Social and economic conditions

- c. Conditions of health and hygiene
- d. Environmental conditions
- e. Laws, regulations and policies on water resource development, and water supply services
- f. Existing water supply services, including:
 - (a) water sources
 - (b) water supply system and facilities
 - (c) water quality
 - (d) coverage and level of services
 - (e) water use
 - (f) organisations for operation and maintenance
- g. Institutional aspects of project implementation and monitoring
- h. Ongoing and planned projects relevant to the Study
- i. Other related data and information

2. *Preliminary survey on actual conditions of water resources through:*

- a. Geological reconnaissance
- b. Hydrological investigation including salt-water intrusion
- c. Water quality tests on existing wells and surface water
- d. Hydrogeological observation on:
 - (a) well inventory and production capacity
 - (b) well levelling
 - (c) groundwater level
 - (d) water flow

3. *Survey on actual conditions of water supply services and related aspects, such as:*

- a. Existing water supply facilities with emphasis on the conditions of operation and maintenance
- b. Condition of water use by household
- c. Sanitary conditions such as toilets and other form of waste water disposal
- d. People's awareness on health and hygiene and their willingness to pay for better water supply services
- e. Education on health and hygiene at schools and public health centres

4. Identification of potential areas for groundwater development and plan for detailed field survey

- a. Identification of high potential areas for groundwater development
- b. Selection of areas for detailed field survey
- c. Plan for test drilling, including appropriate methodology
- d. Initial environmental examination (IEE)

Stage II: Analysis and Evaluation of Groundwater Resource Potential

1. Detailed field survey in potential areas and analysis of obtained data

- a. Geological survey
- b. Groundwater levelling
- c. Water quality analysis
- d. Geophysical survey
- e. Test drilling and pumping test
- f. Others

2. Analysis and Evaluation on Groundwater and other water resources potential

- a. Topographical and geological analysis
- b. Hydrological and water balance analysis
- c. Evaluation on groundwater potential
- d. Evaluation on surface water potential

3. Water Demand Projection and Allocation

- a. Forecast of water demand
- b. Water allocation

4. Pilot Project to encourage Inhabitants' Participation

- a. Pilot Facilities construction (hand pump wells)
- b. Technology transfer for facility maintenance
- c. To encourage the inhabitants to carry out autonomous maintenance
- d. To educate the inhabitants on sanitation
- e. To encourage women's participation
- f. Monitoring of the project

Stage III: Formulation of Water Supply Plan

1. Formulation of basic policies and strategies of water supply services

- a. Target coverage rate in terms of number of population served
- b. Target level of services in terms of accessibility
- c. Target level of water quality and quantity
- d. Choice of technology

2. Water supply plan with emphasis on sustainability

- a. Plan for water source including combination of existing and new water sources
- b. Plan for rehabilitation of existing facilities
- c. Preliminary design of water supply facilities
- d. Operation and maintenance plan including maintenance education program.

3. Cost Estimation

4. Monitoring plan of groundwater level and water quality

5. Evaluation

- a. Financial plan and evaluation
- b. Institutional and technical evaluation
- c. Socio-economic evaluation
- d. Environmental Impact Assessment

6. Prioritization of projects

7. Formulation of Implementation Program

The reports to be prepared during the course of the Study are as follows:

- Progress Report in English and Summary in French, at the end of Stage I (August 1995)
- Interim Report in English and Summary in French, at the end of Stage II (December 1995)
- Draft Final Report at the end of Stage III (May 1996), which consists of:
 - Main Report in English and French
 - Summary Report in English and French
 - Supporting Report in English
 - Data Book in English
- Final Report achieved within 1 month after receiving the comments on the Draft Final Report from MEM, and made of the same volumes of the Draft Final Report. The Final Report will be sent from JICA to MEM through diplomatic channel.

1.3 Study Description

1.3.1 Study Schedule

The duration of the Study was 16 months from March 1995 to July 1996 as shown in the work schedule (Fig. 1-1) and the flow chart (Fig. 1-2). The stages of the Study are as follows:

1) Preparatory work in Japan:

15 days around the end of March 1995

2) Study in Madagascar:

About 8 months from April to December 1995. This Study was divided into 2 stages:

2)-1 1st field survey stage: April - August

2)-2 2nd field survey stage: September - December

3) Study in Japan:

Two months and a half from January to March 1996. A one-month counterpart training program in Japan was incorporated in this period.

4) Explanation and Discussion on the Draft Final Report:

Three weeks in June 1996.

Workshop on operation and maintenance was incorporated in this period.

5) Preparation and Presentation of the Final Report.

The reports are to be sent to the Government of Madagascar from JICA through diplomatic channel in August 1996.

1.3.2 Technology Applied

The following technologies have been applied in this Study:

(1) Methods of hydrogeological investigation

(Stage 1 Survey)

- Aerial photograph interpretation (topography and geological structure)

- Geological field reconnaissance


- Geophysical survey (Electric resistivity sounding and trial use of electromagnetic method)

- Review on existing drilling data (lithology and pumping test)

- Inspection and interview survey on groundwater use

- Preparation of preliminary hydrogeological map
(*Stage 2 survey*)
 - Test drilling and geophysical logging
 - Pumping test to determine the hydraulic parameters of aquifers
 - Water quality analysis on chemical components
 - Improvement of the preliminary hydrogeological map based on the results of test drilling
- (2) Method of hydrological survey
- Collection and analysis on meteorological data
 - Discharge measurement of river water flow (dry season)
- (3) Evaluation survey for the Phase-I Project
- Inspection on the water supply system
 - Interview with the villagers on operation and maintenance
- (4) Socio-economic survey
(*Stage 1 Survey*)
- Interview with the administrative staff of the villages
 - Inspection of sanitary environment, water use, etc., in the villages
 - Preparation of village inventory and assessment for their categorization
- (*Stage 2 Survey*)
- Interview survey on household economy
 - Economic analysis according to various methods
 - Review and rearrangement of village categorization
- (5) Pilot Project for case study on O/M of facilities and life style improvement
- Formulation of Water Associations
 - Enlightenment of inhabitants on such matters as sanitation, women's status and others through discussions with villagers
 - Hand pump installation to the drilled wells and concrete base construction using two different methods, that is, construction by the villagers themselves, and construction by the Study Team, and then to compare the two different methods of villagers' participation.

- Monitoring on water use in the pilot project, functioning of water associations and condition of facilities operation and maintenance. Also, comparison was made between the two methods of villagers' participation.



H/G : Hydrogeological V/I : Village Inventory O/M : Operation and Maintenance ■ Actual Site Work

Fig. 1.1 WORK SCHEDULE




Fig. 1.2 THE FLOW CHART OF THE GROUNDWATER DEVELOPMENT STUDY IN THE SOUTH-WESTERN REGION OF MADAGASCAR (PHASE II)

2. GENERAL CONDITION OF THE STUDY AREA

2.1 Natural Condition

2.1.1 Topography

Topographic feature of the area is characterized by coastal plains in the western area, a hilly area in the central part and a mountainous area in the eastern part, which extend in a northeast-southwest direction arching eastward.

The coastal plain, popularly called the Morondava plain, is wide and long, extending along the coast line and arching eastward. Two big deltas, the Mangoky delta on the southern margin and the Tsiribihina delta on the northern margin, form part of this plain.

The plain is composed of sand dunes and swampy areas, consisting mainly of alluvial deposits in the coastal part, and a dried plain of Pliocene and Pleistocene sediments. The width of this plain is around 50 kilometers at the center, becoming narrower toward the north and south (20 kilometers wide). The total area is approximately 6,000 km².

The hills in the central part are composed mainly of the Bemaraha-Tangorombohitri Makay massifs (about 225 km long and 350 to 1,035 m high), the Ankilizato massif (about 125 km long and 400 to 510 m high), and the massif and limestone plateau of Manja (approx. 125 km long and 150 to 560 m high). These massifs and plateau extend in the northeast-southwest direction, arching eastward. They are separated by the Besabora intramountain basin (a wide valley of the Morondava River), the Maharivo River and the branches of the Tsiribihina and Mangoky Rivers. In general, these massifs form a continuous mountain chain or cuesta with a steep cliff on the eastside and a gently inclined westward slope.

The mountainous area of the eastern part of the Study Area is the western edge of the "Central Highland of Madagascar" and extends in the northeast-southwest direction, arching eastward. These mountains consist mainly of Precambrian basement rocks similar to the major central highland, and is separated from the Bemaraha-Tangorombohitri Makay massifs by a wide valley formed by the Sakeny and Matsiatra rivers (the Betsiriry intramountain basin).

2.1.2 Climate

Fig. 2.1.1 gives the monthly maximum and minimum temperatures recorded at six meteorological stations. The average annual maximum and minimum temperature in the coastal area are about 30° C and 11° C, respectively, while those of hilly and mountainous areas are about 33° C and 18° C. The difference between the maximum and minimum

temperature is about 11° C in the coastal area and about 15° C in the hilly and mountainous areas. In general, the period from May to September is called winter, and from October to February is summer.

Fig. 2.1.2 and 2.1.3 show the general climatic conditions such as monthly rainfall, monthly maximum, minimum and average temperatures, mean monthly relative humidity, sunshine hours and potential evaporation at Morombe and Morondava stations.

The Study Area has a five-month rainy season from November to March, and a seven-month dry season from April to October. Annual rainfall varies from 600-800 mm (south-west coastal plain) to 1400 mm (north-east mountainous area).

The monthly sunshine hours presented in the tables are the one decade average value of the 1980's. The average daily sunshine hours by month ranges from 8.56 (Feb.) to 10.50 (Nov.) in Morondava, and from 9.10 (Feb.) to 10.66 (Nov.) in Morombe.

2.1.3 Geology and Geological Structure

(1) Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy of the concerned area is presented in Fig. 2.1.4. Since the established stratigraphy through former geological surveys slightly differs from each other, these stratigraphic classification are also presented in the same figure for reference.

The Precambrian System is composed of hard metamorphic rocks and plutonic rocks and exposed in a limited area at the eastern margin of the Study Area. The Sakoa and Sakamena Groups of the Carboniferous and Permian periods, respectively, are in unconformable or fault contact with the Precambrian System. Of the two groups, the Sakoa Group begins with a basal tillite and is mainly composed of continental deposits, while the Sakamena Group is composed of continental deposits associated with lagoon sediments and marine deposits, indicating that the sedimentary environment changed during the sedimentation of the group.

Of the Jurassic System, the parts composed mainly of continental deposits are collectively called Isalo Group and gradually shifts into the underlying Sakamena Group. The Lower and Middle Isalo Groups consist mainly of arkose sandstone which is low in solidity and exhibit cross-bedding and conglomerate, but the Upper Isalo Group shows mixed facies of continental and marine origins. The marine Lower Jurassic System shows the contemporaneous and heterogeneous facies of the Upper Isalo Group and is composed mainly of limestone and calcareous sandstone, containing sandstone of continental origin at a considerable rate. The marine Upper Jurassic System is composed of marl (Ankilizato marl), marly limestone and alternating facies of limestone and marl.

The Cretaceous System is divided into the upper and lower subsystems, and no large time gap is inferred between the lower subsystem and the Jurassic System. The Lower Cretaceous System begins with limestone, but is thin. The Upper Cretaceous System occupies the main part of the Cretaceous System and is composed of thick continental sandstone overlain by alternating facies of limestone and marl. The Upper Cretaceous System is interbedded with several basalt beds. Since the strata contacts with basalt beds is generally affected by thermal alteration, the basalt beds are considered to be sheets intruded into the Upper Cretaceous System. The Cretaceous System in the area is unconformably overlain by the Eocene Series.

The lower section of the Eocene Series is composed mainly of limestone and marly limestone being distributed in almost whole Study Area. The Middle and Upper Eocene Series consist of limestone, marly limestone, marl, marly sandstone, sandstone, etc.

The Eocene Series are unconformably overlain by the Neogene System.

The Neogene System is also widely distributed in the area, and is partially exposed in the eastern margin of the Morondava plain. The marine calcareous sediments probably of the Miocene, and continental facies of sandstone probably of the Pliocene are exposed sporadically.

The Quaternary System is composed of sand beds forming new and old sand-dunes, fluvial deposits and clayey deposits including salty mud and sand in the seashore area. Although the existing geological maps have classified sandy veneer beds and rocks as members of the Quaternary System and showed their distributions, the beds and rocks were regarded as surface covering materials and excluded from the hydrogeological map and stratigraphic table prepared in the Study. In particular, the Morondava plain is widely covered by sandy veneer beds and rocks, and geological information of underground is limited to a few areas of Mahabo and Analaiva areas. In this Study, electrical resistivity sounding and test drillings were conducted, and they revealed that the main part of the Morondava plain was formed during the Pleistocene Period and was composed of sandy and muddy materials of marine and continental deposits.

Fig. 2.1.5 represents the geological map (1/100,000) of the Study Area.

(2) Geological Structure

In the past, petroleum prospecting was carried out covering the Study Area and its vicinity using geophysical method of gravity and airborne magnetic surveys, and test drillings to a depth of 1,000-4,000m. Fig. 2.1.6 shows schematic geological cross sections prepared by partial modification of cross sections which had been drawn on the basis of such data obtained from the petroleum surveys. Further, Fig. 5.2.1 and 5.2.2 show hydrogeological cross sections prepared in this Study, based on the results

of the geological field reconnaissance, geophysical survey and test drillings. The geological structure of this region is summarized below.

The upper boundary of the Precambrian basement, widely exposed in the mountainous area of the western ridge of the Central High Land of Madagascar, steeply inclines westward and is overlain by Paleozoic and younger strata of 5,000 - 10,000 meters thick in total. Generally speaking, these strata gently dip westward (1° to 5°), and the zonal structure is disturbed by several fault systems. The area is characterized by existence of following 3 major fault systems.

The first fault system is composed of a group of N-S and NNW-SSE faults developed in echelon at the western margin of the Precambrian basement. Since the displacements by this fault system are limited within the Precambrian basement and the Carboniferous Sakoa and Permian-Triassic Sakamena Groups, it is considered that the main activities of the fault system took place in the Paleozoic Period.

The second fault system is the L'Ilovo-Vohitelo fault which runs through the central part of the Study Area in the direction of NNE-SSW at the southern part and in NNW-SSE at the northern part. The displacement by this fault system reaches up to the Upper Jurassic system.

The third fault system is a group of faults running in the direction of NNE-SSW and NE-SW at the western half of the hilly area. The displacement by this fault system reaches up to the Upper Eocene. The east-west alternating dip-slip faults have formed many horsts and grabens.

In the Study Area many dykes, sheets, necks and stocks of basaltic to gabbroic rocks are present and hot springs have occurred in connection with their volcanic activities. The volcanic activities may belong to the two geologic periods of the Pre-Eocene and the Post-Eocene. In general, the lithologic character of stock-type rocks is gabbroic basalt to gabbro, and its distribution is restricted in the Upper Jurassic System and the Cretaceous System. The distribution of necks and stocks is generally controlled by the third fault system of NNE-SSW and NE-SW directions mentioned above. The basalt dykes are intruded in the NW-SE, NNE-SSW and N-S directions with a width of several meters and an elongation of several kilometers to 35 km. Of the basalt dykes, the dyke of NW-SE direction intrudes all of the geological formations except the alluvial deposits, and is accompanied by hot springs.

2.1.4 Land Use and Vegetation

The land use and vegetation coverage was analyzed for the total area of about 39,000 km² by compiling a natural color image using LANDSAT TM data.

The land use classification was made by the characteristics of color on the natural color image (Fig. 2.1.7) through the digital image processing, image interpretation and by referring to the existing land use map. The land use image is presented in Fig. 2.1.8.

The cultivated land in the Study Area, including fallow, is very limited occupying about 210 km², which is only 0.5 % of the total area.

The vegetation coverage pattern in this area is quite different in accordance with the topographic feature.

In the coastal plain, the forest coverage is nearly 70 %, which is led to good condition for groundwater recharge.

The forest area situated between Andranomena and Morofandilia has been under the management of an American voluntary group since 1983 as a water resource conservation forest.

The hilly area in the central part of the Study Area is characterized by the coverage of grasslands partially accompanied by short bushes. More than 80 % is occupied by this type of vegetation, and sporadic small forests are present sporadically and along the rivers.

The mountainous area at the eastern part is predominated by grasslands and barren land. The forest coverage is limited to the narrow area along rivers. Since the retention capacity is usually small in the grasslands or barreled, the portion of direct run-off from precipitation becomes larger resulting in flooding of the lower reaches, and a low groundwater recharge.

The classified vegetation coverage by automatic classification of the natural colour image is given below.

Table 2.1.3 Vegetation Coverage (Land Use) of the Study Area

Land Use	Area (Km ²)	Portion (%)
Dense forests	4,572	11.7
Sparse forests	4,412	11.3
Mangrove forests	352	0.9
Bush and grass	15,560	39.7
Grassland	12,604	32.9
Paddy field	166	0.4
Farmland including fallow	36	0.1
Plantation	13	(0.03)
Bareland	920	2.3
Swamp	170	0.4
Water body	374	1.0
Not interpreted due to clouds	4	(0)
Total	39,183 km²	

Temperatures max. and min.

	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUN.	JUL.	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.	Ave.
Moronidava max.	31.90	31.80	32.20	31.80	30.40	29.00	28.70	29.10	29.70	30.70	31.60	31.90	30.73
Moronidava min.	23.40	23.20	22.60	20.50	17.00	14.50	14.30	15.30	17.60	20.10	21.60	22.90	19.42
Morombe max.	31.70	31.60	32.00	30.90	29.30	27.60	27.50	28.10	28.80	29.70	30.60	31.20	29.92
Morombe min.	22.90	22.90	21.80	19.70	16.50	14.20	14.00	14.60	16.20	18.50	20.20	22.10	18.63
Mahabo max.	33.97	33.38	34.30	33.94	33.15	30.97	32.04	33.32	34.72	35.94	36.46	35.42	33.97
Mahabo min.	22.33	22.32	21.75	19.36	16.20	13.05	13.00	13.90	15.86	18.79	20.58	21.61	18.23
Manja max.	33.80	33.96	33.50	35.00	32.57	31.30	30.73	31.58	33.33	34.84	35.36	34.20	33.35
Manja min.	21.63	21.55	20.02	20.13	15.57	13.90	14.43	15.20	16.77	18.43	19.66	19.68	18.08
Beroroa max.	33.80	34.58	34.96	34.30	31.30	29.57	30.06	31.63	34.18	35.90	35.88	35.30	33.46
Beroroa min.	22.10	22.20	21.18	19.43	14.78	12.80	12.23	13.53	17.85	19.25	20.70	20.70	18.06
Miandrivazo max.	35.01	34.05	34.56	33.99	32.55	31.26	31.03	33.30	35.41	37.79	36.69	35.20	34.24
Miandrivazo min.	20.90	22.21	21.49	20.99	18.07	15.76	14.96	16.38	18.23	20.33	20.19	21.08	19.22




Fig. 2.1.1 Monthly Max. and Min. Temperature

Station : MORONDAVA Latitude : 20° 17' S Longitude : 41° 19' E Altitude : 7m

YEAR	ITEM	UNIT	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
1961-90	RAIN	(mm)	241.6	200.2	89.5	14.8	11.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	3.6	11.9	20.6	163.3
	TEMP.	Max.	31.9	31.8	32.2	31.8	30.4	29.0	28.7	29.1	29.7	30.7	31.6	31.9
		Min.	23.4	23.2	22.6	20.5	17.0	14.5	14.3	15.3	17.6	20.1	21.6	21.6
		Ave.	27.6	27.5	27.4	26.1	23.7	21.7	21.5	22.2	23.6	25.4	26.6	27.4
	HUN.	(%)	80.0	82.0	81.0	79.0	77.0	74.0	74.0	74.0	76.0	76.0	75.0	78.0
	SUN.	(h & 1/10h)	267.9	239.9	286.6	288.4	301.2	287.5	295.7	308.5	296.5	320.3	315.1	282.0
		(h & 24h/M)	8.9	8.6	9.2	9.6	9.7	9.6	9.5	10.0	9.9	10.3	10.5	9.1
	EVAP.	(mm/M)	143.7	143.7	139.5	124.8	90.0	63.9	64.7	74.9	92.5	127.1	135.0	139.5





Fig. 2.1.2 General Climatic Condition (Morondava)
 Figure 2.1.2 Conditions climatiques générales

Station : MORONBE Latitude : 20° 45' S Longitude : 43° 22' E Altitude : 4m

YEAR	ITEM	UNIT	JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUN.	JUL.	AUG.	SEP.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.
1961-90	RAIN	(mm)	119.4	128.7	51.7	9.9	11.6	4.3	2.0	2.8	2.6	12.6	18.8	108.8
	TEMP.	Max.	31.7	31.6	32.0	30.9	29.3	27.6	27.5	28.1	28.8	29.7	30.6	31.2
		Min.	22.9	22.9	21.8	19.7	16.5	14.2	14.0	14.6	16.2	18.5	20.2	22.1
		Avc.	26.8	27.2	26.9	25.3	22.9	20.9	20.7	21.4	22.5	24.1	25.4	26.6
	HUN.	(%)	80.0	81.0	78.0	77.0	76.0	75.0	74.0	74.0	76.0	76.0	77.0	80.0
	SUN.	(h & 1/10h)	292.9	254.8	299.6	292.5	303.9	289.6	298.3	316.5	306.6	317.8	319.7	297.7
		(h & 24h/NO)	9.5	9.1	9.7	9.8	9.8	9.7	9.6	10.2	10.2	10.3	10.7	9.6
	EVAP.	(mm/M)	135.0	139.5	135.0	114.3	83.5	59.9	60.8	70.1	82.4	109.7	130.0	135.0





Fig. 2.1.3 General Climatic Condition (Moronbe)

Fig. 2.1.4 Stratigraphic Classification

Geological Map		1/1,000,000		1/500,000		1/250,000				
		Madagascar (1965)		Morondava (1969)	Ampanihy (1970)	Phase I Study (1991)				
Geological Time										
Quaternary	Alluvium		a, d		a, d ¹		a, d ¹			
	Pleistocene		ac, d ¹		ac, d ²		ac, cc, d ² , d ³			
Tertiary	Neogene	Pliocene		n ¹		p ¹		N ¹		
		Miocene		m		m		N		
	Paleogene	Oligocene								
		Eocene	Ludian		e		e ²		e ³	
			Ledian				E ₁ + u			
			Lutetian						e ¹	
Ypresian			E ₁							
Paleocene				e ¹						
Mesozoic	Cretaceous	Upper	Maestrichtian	C ²		C ³⁻⁸		C ³⁻⁸		
			Campanian			Cu				
			Santonian					C ¹		
			Coniacian							
		Lower	Turonian	C ¹		C ⁵⁻³		C ¹⁻³		
			Cenomanian			Cm + l				
			Albian					C ¹		
			Aptian			C _v				
	Jurassic	Upper	Tithonian	J ³		J ⁸⁻⁵		J ⁸		
			Kimmeridgian			Ju				
			Oxfordian					J ⁴⁻²		J ⁴
		Middle	Callovian	J ²		J ¹		J ₁ ¹		
			Bathonian			J _{1-IV}		I _{III} ^Δ		
			Bajocian					I _{III} ¹		J _m
		Lower	Aalenian	(J ¹)		I _{II} ¹		I _{II} ¹		
			Lias			I _{II} ¹		I _{II} ¹		
	Triassic		I _I ¹		I _I ¹		I _I ¹			
	Paleozoic	Permian		K ²		(Sakamena G.) K ⁶ ~K ⁴		(Sakamena G.) K ⁴ , K ³		
Carboniferous		K ^{1*}		(Sakoa G.) K ¹ ~K ²		(Sakoa G.) K ² , K ¹				
Igneous rock (Basalt)	Post Eocene		β ²		β ³		β ³			
	Pre Eocene		β ¹		β ¹ · β ²		β ¹ · β ²			

(Isalo Group)

* Continental facies sediments
 Δ Mixed facies sediments

I. WANJA		I. MORONDAVA		51 Lavaravy Tsimaliha	77 Ambinda	102 Analalotra
1 Andranopasy I	25 Befasy	26 Antevamena	52 Antsakamirohaka	78 Sarodrano	103 Ankilizato	103 Ankilizato
2 Andranopasy I	26 Antevamena	27 Mitsitiky	53 Androvakely	79 Ambonio	104 Mandabe	104 Mandabe
3 Antaly	27 Mitsitiky	28 Andranovorisosotra	54 Androvabe	80 Analalava	105 Beronono	105 Beronono
4 Darike	28 Andranovorisosotra	29 Ankitatamahavelo	55 Ampananiha	81 Malandirano	106 Malaimbandy	106 Malaimbandy
5 Befanantny	29 Ankitatamahavelo	30 Bekininy Soarano	56 Antseranambondro	82 Marofandiliha	107 Ampanotokana	107 Ampanotokana
6 Ambatobe	30 Bekininy Soarano	31 Beleo	57 Tanambao	83 Ampataka	108 Tsimazava	108 Tsimazava
7 Nositonga	31 Beleo	32 Anadabo	58 Bemanonga	84 Bosy		
8 Nosibe	32 Anadabo	33 Misokotsa	59 Marovoay	85 Kivalo		
9 Ankoba	33 Misokotsa	34 Croisement Besetroka	60 Tandrokosy	86 Ampitike		
10 Antseranandaka-Nord	34 Croisement Besetroka	35 Amanga	61 Bekonazy	87 Ambato-Andrano		
11 Tseramandroso	35 Amanga	36 Namakia	62 Bevolienjo	88 Andrahangy		
12 Songary	36 Namakia	37 Voloe	63 Kimony	89 Ankaraoabato		
13 Piste de Bedo	37 Voloe	38 Benasy	64 Andranomena-Atsimo	90 Tanambao Fe		
14 Tanambahiny	38 Benasy	39 Antsanake	65 Tanandava	91 Andranolava		
15 Miary	39 Antsanake	40 Manomentinay	66 Croisement Belo sur Tsiribihina	92 Betsiriry		
16 Ambivy I	40 Manomentinay	41 Farateny	67 Analaiva	93 Beroboka Sud		
17 Ambivy I	41 Farateny	42 Ianadabo	68 Betsipotika			
18 Ambahia	42 Ianadabo	43 Andrananja	69 Amboloando			
19 Besatrohaka	43 Andrananja	44 Belo sur Mer	70 Ampandra			
20 Marolafika	44 Belo sur Mer	45 Ankilifolo	71 Besonjo			
	45 Ankilifolo	46 Marofihitsa	72 Antevamena I			
I. BEROROMA		47 Ambararata	73 Belobaka			
21 Ambalavato Nord	47 Ambararata	48 Ankevo	74 Tsinjorano			
22 Andranomena	48 Ankevo	49 Ambivy	75 Betsinefo			
23 Marerano	49 Ambivy	50 Bevantaza	76 Laijoby			
24 Ambondrobe	50 Bevantaza					

A — A' Profil geologique(Geologic cross section)
 I — I' Profil hydrogeologique(Hydrogeologic cross section)

⊙ Site ayant fait l'objet de forage d'essai en 1995
 Site of test drilling carried out in 1995

- qh Holocene
- qp Pleistocene
- n Neogene (Pliocene-Miocene)
- e Eocene
- c' Upper Cretaceous
- c' Lower Cretaceous
- j' Upper Jurassic
- j' Middle Jurassic
- Im Upper Isalo Group
- Ix Middle Isalo Group
- Il Lower Isalo Group
- Substratum(Ante - Jurassique)
 Basement Complex(per - Jurassic)






Fig. 2.1.5 Carte géologique régionale de la Zone d'Etude
 Fig. 2.1.5 Regional Geological Map of the Study Area



After "Géologie de Madagascar" (partially modified)

Fig. 2.1.6 COUPE GEOLOGIQUE TRANSVERSALE REGIONALE
REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL CROSS SECTION

Image en naturel couleurs
Natural Color Image




Fig.2.1.7 *Image en naturel couleurs*
Natural Color Image

Image d'utilisation des terrains Land Use Image



Légende Legend

	<i>Forêt dense</i> Dense Forest
	<i>Forêt clairsemée</i> Thin Forest
	<i>Mangrove</i> Mangrove Forest
	<i>Arbustes et herbes</i> Bush and Grass
	<i>Terrain herbeux</i> Grassland
	<i>Rizières</i> Paddy field
	<i>Champ (avec jachère)</i> Farmland (including fallow)
	<i>Plantation</i> Plantation
	<i>Terrain nu</i> Bareland
	<i>Marais</i> Swamp
	<i>Plans d'eau</i> Water bodies
	<i>Nuage</i> Cloud
	<i>Ombre de nuage</i> Shadow of cloud

2.2 Socio-economic Situation of the Study Area

2.2.1 Administrative Unit of Local Authorities

The local administration system has been recently revised to a new system in accordance with the national decentralization policy in Madagascar. While Fivondronana, a middle unit of local authority, remains the same just being renamed as Departemanta, ex-Faritany, an upper unit of local authority, was divided into several Faritanys, and several Firaisanas, lower units of local authority, were unified into Kaominina (Commune). Fokontany (village), a minimum administrative unit was abolished, as far as the official administration unit is concerned. In the whole nation, 6 ex-Faritanys (Antananarivo, Antsiranana, Mahajanga, Toamasina, Fianarantsoa and Toliara) are converted into 29 new Faritanys. The old administrative boundaries and the new administrative boundaries of Faritanys are illustrated in Fig. 2.2.1 and Fig. 2.2.2, respectively.

As a result of the new local administration system, the administrative coverage under which the Study Area is commanded was slightly changed. The Study Area, bordered by the Tsiribihina River in the North and the Mangoky River in the South, now belongs to 2 Faritanys (Menabe and Atsimo-Andrefana) instead of Toliara ex-Faritany. The portion of the Study Area in Faritany Menabe includes 5 Departemantas (Morondava, Manja, Mahabo, Belo-Tsiribihina and Miandrivazo) with 46 Kaomininas, and the area in Faritany Atsimo-Andrefana includes 1 Departemanta (Beroroha) with 4 Kaomininas. The details of the new administration coverage in the Study Area are as per Table 2.2.1, and the comparison between the old administrative boundaries and the new administrative boundaries of Departemantas in the Study Area can be done by the 2 figures of Fig. 2.2.3 and Fig. 2.2.4.

Although the new decentralized local administration system has been started, villages remain as the smallest communities under the leadership of unofficially nominated presidents, even if they are not presently official administrative units, implying that the development of groundwater should be planned at the village level.

2.2.2 Population

The population of the Study Area is estimated at 298,948 based on the 1993 population census, as shown below. Since a part of Belo Tshiribihina (40 %) and Miandrivazo (65 %), located to the north of the BeloTshiribihina River, is not included in the Study Area, the total population in the Study Area is estimated at 242,842 in 1992.

The population density in the Study Area is estimated at approximately 6.23 persons

per square km. According to an estimate by the World Bank, the population growth rate in Madagascar was 3.03 % per annum in 1990, and it is projected that it will increase to 3.21 % per annum by 2000. However, since the population growth rate is much higher in urban areas than rural areas, the growth rate in the rural area presumably falls between 2.5 % and 3.0 %. Consequently, the total population in the Study Area is estimated at approximately 273,300 in 1996, taking into consideration the population growth rate of 3.0 % during 4 years from 1992 to 1996.

Population of the Study Area as of 1992

Name of Departemanta	Male	Female	Total
Morondava	29,500	29,751	59,251
Mahabo	33,427	32,375	65,802
Belo Tshiribihina	19,376 (11,626)	19,405 (11,643)	38,781 (23,269)
Miandrivazo	31,728 (11,105)	30,725 (10,754)	62,453 (21,859)
Manja	21,748	21,735	43,483
Beroroaha	14,841	14,337	29,178
Total	150,620 (122,247)	148,328 (120,595)	298,948 (242,842)

Source: 1993 Population Census

Note: The figures in parentheses are the estimated population, which are adjusted by the proportional distribution of the population in accordance with the percentages of the study area in the whole Departemantas.

2.2.3 Regional Economic Characteristics

The major industry in the Study Area is agriculture except for some coastal areas, and a majority of cash income derives from agriculture. It is estimated that half of agricultural production is domestically consumed, and the rest is locally marketed to traders. Since access to markets has been poorly developed and the farmers have no means of transportation except for rudimentary vehicles, they are exploited by traders with better transportation who go to the farmers and offer lower prices. Consequently, farmers in the Study Area receive very little cash income for their produce.

Although some agro-industries such as the sugar factory in Betsipotika, rum brewery in Bezezika, tobacco factory in Malaimbandy and the salt farm in Belo-sur-mer employ wage labours, the number is limited relative to the whole regional economy.

In some villages and the coastal areas, fishery is active. However, the catches are sold only in a local market, and are not transported to big markets such as Antananarivo due to the absence of freezing storage facilities.

With its tropical beaches and its extraordinary landscape, the coastal areas such as Morondava and Belo-sur-mer capture a considerable number of tourists. However, the employment opportunities are limited to only a few specific areas.

Thus, the Study Area remains one of the poorest regions in Madagascar. According to the latest data, GDP per capita in Madagascar is estimated at FMG 532.3 thousand in 1993, which is equivalent to US\$ 133.1 per capita at the current exchange rate. Meanwhile, the socio-economic survey in Chapter 6 reports that the cash income per household per annum in the Study Area is estimated at only FMG 587.8 thousand. The figure indicates that when the agricultural production for domestic consumption is also counted as non-cash income, the production per household per annum is FMG 1175.6 thousand. Consequently, the production per capita per annum in the Study Area is estimated at FMG 195.9 thousand, which is extremely low compared with the national average.

2.2.4 Infrastructure

The road network is poorly developed and has deteriorated over the past decade, with additional damage having been inflicted by Cyclone Geralda in 1994. A considerable number of dirt roads and farm feeder roads in the Study Area are impassable during rainy season. In particular, the access from Morondava to Manja is impossible due to several impassable rivers during the rainy season.

The telecommunication network in the Study Area is also limited, and communication between the Study Area and Antananarivo is very hard due to the lack of lines, which hampers economic activities in the area. A wireless communication network in case of emergency is only available at police stations and other local government agencies.

JIRAMA (the state-owned company for electricity and water supply) is in charge of providing water and electricity services to major towns such as Morondava, Mahabo, Manja and so forth. However, the rural areas are not electrified except for the nearest villages in Morondava.

2.2.5 Tribal and Religious Issues

The people of Madagascar are of mixed African and Malay ancestry. Their forebears migrated across the Indian Ocean and intermingled with coastal Africans. Although Malagasy contains many African words, it is fundamentally a Malay-Indonesian language. It is widely believed that there are 18 ethnic groups in Madagascar. In the Study Area, Sakalava is the major ethnic group, followed by Antandroy, Betsileo, Antaisaka, Bara, Vezo, Mahafany and Antanosy. A majority of villages in the Study Area are composed of a single ethnic group, whereas several ethnic groups coexist in

some villages.

While traditional religion prevails among Sakalava and Antandroy, other ethnic groups mainly are Christian, including Protestant and Catholic.

2.2.6 Education

Although primary school enrollment in Madagascar is now universal in urban areas, and the adult literacy in urban areas was measured by UNICEF at approximately 80%, the people in the Study Area are still poorly educated except in big towns such as Morondava. The 1993 population census reported that the average adult literacy rate and the primary school enrollment rate in the Study Area were only 26.8% and 42.8%, respectively, as shown below. These lower figures are due to the difficult access to primary schools and the fact that children are important labour force for farming and are, therefore, often forced to stay home.

Adult Literacy and Primary School Enrollment in the Study Area

Name of Departemanta	Adult Literacy Rate (%)	Primary School Enrollment Rate (%)
Morondava	50.1	61.9
Mahabo	20.2	36.6
Belo Tshiribihina	29.5	48.8
Miandrivazo	19.7	39.8
Manja	16.3	29.1
Beroroaha	21.6	36.6
Total	26.8	42.8

Source: 1993 Population Census

2.2.7 Women's Activities

As is often the case with the traditional society in developing countries, women's position in the Study Area is relatively low compared with women in urban areas. The fact is that women in the Study Area seldom run for village presidency and fetching water is regarded as women's work. Although there are women's association in some villages, their activities are not so active.

2.2.8 Sanitary Environment and Health

Health conditions in Madagascar are poor, with disease and mortality patterns typical of countries at the early stages of epidemiological transition. In the Morondava area,

the government hospitals are available only in major towns and some populated villages. Although there are a number of medical facilities named health care centers, the facilities have only a few medical assistants instead of a doctor. Due to the bad quality of water, the waterborne diseases such as diarrhea prevail in the Study Area, and hygiene habits such as boiling water before drinking have been poorly taught.

Table 2.2.1 Comparison between Old and New Administrative Units

A. Faritany Menabe		
Departemanta	Kaominina	Firaisana ao anatin'ny
Morondava	CU Morondava Analaiva Befatsy Bemanonga Belo-Amorondriaka	Morondava, Analaiva Befatsy, Lavaravy-Tsamalika Bemanonga, Androvabe, Marofandili Belo Amorondriaka, Manomelimay.
Manja	Manja Beharona Ankiliabo Andranopasy Soaserana Anosibe-Sakalava	Manja Beharona Ankiliabo Andranopasy Soaserana Anosibe-Sakalava
Mahabo	CU Mahabo Ankilivalo Ampanihy Analamitsivalana Befotaka Ankilizato Mandabe Beronono Malaimbandy Tsimazava	Mahabo Ankilivalo Ampanihy Analamitsivalana Befotaka Ankilizato Mandabe Beronono Malaimbandy Tsimazava
Belo-Tsiribihina	CU Belo-Tsiribihina Tsimafana Tsaraotana Masoarivo Ankironroka Manambolo/Andimaky Ankalalobe Ambiky/Ankalalobe Berevo Belinta-Soaserana Beroboka Ambolimena Bemarivo/Ankirondro Antsoha	Belo Tsimafana Tsaraotana Masoarivo Ankironroka Manambolo-Andimaky Ankalalobe Ambiky-Ankalalobe Berevo Belinta-Soaserana Beroboka Ambolimena Bemarivo-Ankirondro Antsoha
Miandrivazo	CU Miandrivazo Bemahatazana Ampanihy Anosimena Isalo Belolo Ankotrofotsy Ambatolahy Soaserana Anteramena Manandaza Manambina	Miandrivazo Bemahatazana Ampanihy Anosimena Isalo, Analambidy Belolo Ankotrofotsy Ambatolahy Soaserana-Anteramena Manandaza Manambina
B. Faritany SUD-OUEST		
Beroroa	CU Beroroa Fanjakana Behitsatsy Marerano	Beroroa Fanjakana Behitsatsy Marerano

Figure 2-2-1 Old Administrative Unit of Madagascar




Figure 2-2-2 New Administrative Unit of Madagascar




Figure 2-2-3 Old Administrative Unit of the Study Area





Figure 2-2-4 New Administrative Unit of the Study Area



3. WATER SUPPLY SECTOR

3.1 Political Strategy on Water Supply

In Madagascar, the national water supply strategy is formulated by the Water and Sanitation Committee (CNEA, Comité National de l'Eau et de l'Assainissement) under the Ministry in charge of Planning, and the coordination, orientation and follow-up of all activities related to this sector. In particular, the CNEA, by means of a restraint unit, prepares the document on national strategy for water and sanitation approved by the government in May 1995. This document stipulates the high priority of water and sanitation.

3.1.1 Global Objectives (by 2010)

To reach a 50% water supply coverage and a 35% sanitary service coverage by implementing the following measures:

- to reinforce the contribution of water sector to the improvement of public health by providing a sufficient volume of water of satisfactory quality, and improving environmental sanitation.
- to reinforce the contribution of water sector to socio-economic development by promoting the participation of the NGOs and private sector, improving coordination and follow-up, and ensuring the control of water in order to preserve the environment.

3.1.2 Operational Objectives

- *Middle-term objectives (2005-2010):*

- Urban areas: to provide with water supply facilities to the towns that are not yet supplied, and to raise the sanitary service coverage up to 60%;
- Rural areas: to raise the present water supply level up to 50%, and sanitary services up to 30%.

- *Short-term objectives (1997-2000):*

- Urban areas: faced with the increasing urban concentration, to ensure the protection of water resources and preservation of the environment;
- Rural areas: to provide the population with water of good quality.

- *For the time being (1995-1997):* to prepare the settling of various reforms planned in the 'Sectorial Strategy and Action Plan for Water and Sanitation (SSPA)', that is:

- to redefine the role and attributions of the different contributors;
- to stimulate the dynamism and initiative of the nation (skills and know-how);
- to spur community participation at every stage of development of the sector.

3.2 Implementation Plan of Policies and Strategies

3.2.1 Key-Ministries

In order to improve technical coordination of actions undertaken in the water sector, the implementation of sectorial strategy shall be planned with the cooperation of key-ministries so as to achieve such actions in cooperative and systematic manner.

The SSPA shall be carried out with :

- the ministry in charge of water sector, that is, the Ministry of Energy and Mines that will lead the sub-sector of water through the Department of Water with the assistance of the Ministry in charge of rural development and all contributors of either the public or the private sector, NGOs, etc. The responsible Ministry will be the technical counterpart of the different contributors, and will be responsible of implementation planning of the sectorial policy and strategy.
- the ministry in charge of urban development through its Department of Urban Planning that will lead the sanitation sub-sector with the support of the Ministry of Health, and the present and future contributors.

3.2.2 Present Contributors to the Sector

The organisms working with the CNEA are:

- On one hand, the ministerial departments and decentralized entities with responsibilities related to this sector:
 - * Departments in charge of :
 - Planning,
 - Financing,
 - Interior affairs and Decentralization,
 - Energy and Water,
 - Agriculture and Rural Development,
 - Meteorology and Hydrology,
 - Public Works and Urban Development,
 - Health
 - Population,
 - Research,
 - Environment, and
 - * Decentralized administrative units.
- On the other hand, the following public organisms:
 - JIRAMA
 - Operation "Water Supply in South" (OAES)

- Operation "Micro-implementation"
- Operation "Micro-Hydraulics"
- National Office for Environment (ONE)
- National Research Center for Environment (CNRE)

Besides these above organizations, there are other contributing entities such as:

- NGOs
- Enterprises in charge of studies and/or works, semi-private or private, national or international.
- Water vendors in urban areas and areas with very poor water services.

In addition, it is planned that a Public Establishment with Industrial and Commercial Characteristics (EPIC) is to undertake the operation and maintenance of the rural water supply in near future, under the control of the Ministry of Energy and Mines.

3.3 Administrative Organization and Service Coverage

3.3.1 Urban Water Supply

JIRAMA (Jiro sy Rano Malagasy), a state-owned company of Madagascar under the control of MEM, is in charge of urban water supply and electricity services mainly in urban areas. The company is financially independent from the governmental budget, and provides water supply services to 1) six major cities such as Antananarivo and Antsirabe and 2) major regional towns with populations of more than 2000. In the Study Area, for instance, JIRAMA extends its services to Morondava, Mahabo, Manja and so forth.

In addition, there are some cases that the decentralized administrative units play a central role to provide water supply services to major regional towns. According to the annual report 1993-1994 of CNEA, the number of cities and towns with water supply services under JIRAMA was 65 in 1991, while the number of cities and towns covered by local authorities was 45.

These 110 cities contain 70% of the urban population, that is, nearly 2 million people are covered by water supply services, 30% of which have house connections and 70% communal faucets.

In addition, there are other 122 cities and towns which are categorized as urban areas with a total population of about 846 thousand people. Fifteen of the 122 are chief towns of Fivondronampokontany. However, these towns are not covered by water supply services.

Consequently, the supply service coverage for urban area is 70%.

3.3.2 Rural Water Supply

The rural water supply in Madagascar has been provided by the public sector and the private sector (mostly NGO). The Department of Water under MEM is mainly in charge of the rural water supply, supported by the overseas development assistance. The following governmental organizations are also providing services to the rural areas.

- 1) Ministry of Welfare supplies water for the promotion of health
- 2) Department of Infrastructure of the Ministry of Agriculture supplies water for integrated rural development

As for the service coverage of the rural water supply for 76.7% of total population, reliable information has not been established, because of the different understandings on the definition of the water supply for the rural area. Some data even includes dug

wells without protection as one type of the supply system, in spite of the bacteria contaminated water, while such wells are excluded as a supply source in other data.

According to the data provided by the DINIKA report, 12% of the rural population (9,300,000) is supplied with varying systems: public faucets, wells with or without pumps, boreholes with working hand pumps, rainpools, springs, etc.. If the rural water supply is severely defined as supplying safe and potable water, this mentioned 12% may presumably become smaller.

3.4 Water Supply Condition in the Study Area

3.4.1 Functional and Non Functional Water Supply Systems

95% of the middle to large size villages in the Study Area have ever possessed a water supply system, for instance shallow boreholes (10-15 m) equipped with hand pump, deep boreholes (15-60 m) with motorized pump and elevated tanks and distribution system, that were provided by a USAID project in 1965.

However, all of these water supply systems, except hand pump wells in the village of Marofandiliha, were broken within 3 to 5 years after construction mainly because of very poor operation and maintenance. The hand pump wells of this village have been periodically repaired by an American voluntary group. Another problem was that most of the wells were progressively abandoned by villagers because the water pumped was salty, thus villagers gradually lost their motivation for proper operation and maintenance of wells.

3.4.2 Water Sources for Domestic Use

The existing water sources of the concerned villages are dug wells, rivers, ponds, boreholes and irrigation channels. The type of the water source by village is tabulated in Table 4.1.1, and the number of villages using these sources as a main water source are as follows.

-Dug well	:53 villages (41 in the dry season)
-Spring	:14 villages
-River	:13 villages
-Irrigation Channel	:5 villages
-Pond	:4 villages
-Borehole	:1 village

3.4.3 Water Consumption rate

The amount of water consumed for domestic use is generally very small ranging from 2 to 22 liters per capita per day, with an average of about 7 to 8 l/c/d.

3.4.4 Water Quality of Existing Water Sources

93 water samples were collected from the existing water sources for domestic use and analyzed on the basis of the WHO standards using test kits owned by MEM (DREL 2,000 type). A test laboratory was established at Morondava, and the analysis work was performed by an employed analyst under the supervision of a MEM's analyst and a JICA Study Team member.

In this study, 31 items of each sample were examined, comprising of physical and chemical components, toxins and bacteria. The water quality standards, items and methods used in this analysis, and the results are presented in Supporting Report.

Of the 93 source points, 80 are regarded as not potable or not recommended for drinking. The major undesirable elements detected were Hexavalent Chromium (Cr^{6+}), Chlorine (Cl_2) and Nitrate (NO_3). In addition, Zinc (Zn), Fluoride (F^-) and Copper (Cu) were found in some areas, and are undesirable elements, too. Coliform organisms were detected at nearly 30% of the water sources, and the EC (electric conductivity) indicates generally high salinity levels in the coastal area.

More than 40 villages suffer from waterborne diseases caused mainly by drinking water. These villages are located along the coastal area where the EC is relatively high. The relationship between high EC areas and locations with high onset rates of waterborne diseases is given in the Supporting Report.

4. CATEGORIZATION OF CANDIDATE VILLAGES

4.1 Criteria and Procedure for Categorization

In order to decide the development priority for the 115 candidate villages in the Study Area, the categorization of the villages were made through following 3 steps.

Pre-screening is carried out as the first step. This concerns accessibility by 1) four-wheel drive vehicles, assessing the accessibility to villages for survey, and by 2) well drilling machines, assessing the accessibility to villages for well construction. As shown in Table 4.1.1 (1~4), 25 candidate villages are not accessible or were not found in the expected locations, and that 9 candidate villages are not accessible by well drilling machines. Consequently, it was concluded that 81 candidate villages remained for further categorization and prioritization.

The second step is the categorization of all the accessible candidate villages regarding water requirement, where the water requirement of each village is assessed in terms of the kind of existing water sources and the observers' evaluation in the inventory survey. As a result, the villages have been classified into Category A (absolute shortage of water), Category B (shortage of water) and Category C (no shortage of water). This is also tabulated in Table 4.1.1.

The third step is the categorization of all the accessible villages to assess their socio-economic capacities, where the economic capacities of each village are precisely assessed in terms of economic, social and institutional capacities which are evaluated in the inventory survey. As a result, the villages have been classified into Category A (higher economic capacity), Category B (average economic capacity) and Category C (lower economic capacity). This classification is presented in the right hand column of Table 4.1.1.

Paying special attention to the combination of the categorizations by water requirement and socio-economic capacity, the accessible villages were categorized into AA, AB, BA, BB, AC, CA, BC, CB and CC. The inventory data as well as the data from the population census 1993 and the socio-economic survey were employed as the basis for the categorization and prioritization.

Table 4.1.1 Accessibility and Categorization of the Village (1/4)

No.	Village		Population	Accessibility		Existing Water Source Type	Distance to Water Source	Categorization	
	Name			4WD	Drilling Machine			Necessity of Water Development	Economic Capacity for O & M
1	Andranopasy I		623	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Dug well	50 m	B	A
2	Andranopasy II		226	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	700 m	A	C
3	Antaly		327	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Pit on the riverbed	1,200 m	A	B
4	Darika		327	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	300 m	A	C
5	Befamonty		450	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	900 m	A	A
6	Ambatobe		220	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	River	1,500 m	A	C
7	Nositonga		260	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	200 m	A	B
8	Nosibe		600	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	River	600 m	A	B
9	Ankoba		410	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Spring	600 m	A	A
10	Antseranandaka N.		342	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	100 m	A	B
11	Tsaramandroso		237	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	1,000 m	A	C
12	Songary		36	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for whole reform	Spring	500 m	-	-
13	Pisle de Bedo		-	No existence	-	-	-	-	-
14	Tanambahiny		131	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Pit on the riverbed	300 m	B	C
15	Miary		365	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Canal from spring	700 m	B	B
16	Ambivy I		120	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Pit on the riverbed	600 m	A	B
17	Ambivy II		500	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	River	300 m	A	B
18	Ambahia		200	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	0 m	B	B
19	Besatrohaka		210	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Sallow pit	0 m	A	C
20	Marolafika Atm.		500	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Sallow pit	50 m	A	B
21	Ambalavato Nord		Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
22	Andranomena		Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
23	Marerano		1,100	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	200 m	A	A
24	Ambondrobe		Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
25	Befasy		2,000	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	A	A
26	Antevamena		360	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	River	400 m	A	B
27	Mitsitiky		340	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	300 m	A	B
28	Andranovorisosotra		40	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	300 m	A	C
29	Ankitaamahavelo		190	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Borehole	500 m	A	C

Table 4.1.1 Accessibility and Categorization of the Village (2/4)

No.	Village		Population	Accessibility		Existing Water Source Type	Distance to Water Source	Categorization	
	Name			4WD	Drilling Machine			Necessity of Water Development	Economic Capacity for O & M
30	Bekiny Soarano	400	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	30 m	A	C	
31	Beleo	800	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Canal	50 m	A	A	
32	Anadabo	36	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	400 m	C	C	
33	Misokotsa	800	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	0 m	B	B	
34	Croisc. Besotroka	200	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Dug well	10,000 m	A	B	
35	Amanaga	400	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Dug well	300 m	A	C	
36	Namakia	400	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	300 m	B	B	
37	Yolec	144	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for whole reform	Dug well	500 m	--	--	
38	Benasy	180	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for whole reform	Dug well	500 m	--	--	
39	Antsamaka	150	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Pond	1,000 m	A	B	
40	Manomentimay	436	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Protected dug well	300 m	B	A	
41	Parateny	250	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	B	
42	Ianadabo	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	--	--	--	
43	Andrananja	70	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	400 m	A	C	
44	Belo Sur Mer	1,100	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for whole reform	Dug well	0 m	--	--	
45	Ankiliolo	400	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for whole reform	Dug well	500 m	--	--	
46	Marofihitsa	750	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	A	A	
47	Ambararata	500	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Protected dug well	100 m	B	B	
48	Ankevo	300	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	B	B	
49	Ambivy	--	No existence	--	--	--	--	--	
50	Bevantaza	150	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	0 m	B	C	
51	Lavaravy Tsimaliha	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	--	--	--	
52	Antsakamirohaka	1,600	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	0 m	B	A	
53	Androvakely	550	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	0 m	B	A	
54	Androvabe	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	--	--	--	
55	Ampananaha	420	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	150 m	A	B	
56	Antseranambondro	60	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Pond	800 m	A	C	
57	Tanambao	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	--	--	--	
58	Demanonga	1,250	Possible	Possible at present	Protected dug well	500 m	B	A	

Table 4.1.1 Accessibility and Categorization of the Village (3/4)

No.	Village		Population	Accessibility		Existing Water Source Type	Distance to Water Source	Categorization	
	Name			4WD	Drilling Machine			Necessity of Water Development	Economic Capacity for O & M
59	Marovoay		1,247	Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	B	A
60	Tandrokosy		238	Possible but poor in wet season	Possible at present	Canal	150 m	A	B
61	Bekonazy		40	Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	C
62	Revolienzo		100	Possible	Need for whole reform	Pond	500 m	-	-
63	Kimony	Not available		No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
64	Andranomena A.S.		210	Possible	Possible at present	River	200 m	A	B
65	Tanandava		250	Possible	Possible at present	Sallow pit	100 m	A	C
66	Croisement RST		204	Possible	Possible at present	Protected dug well	300 m	B	B
67	Analaiva	1,520		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	A
68	Betsipotika	120		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	B
69	Amboloando	150		Possible	Possible at present	Sallow pit	400 m	A	C
70	Ampandra	600		Possible	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	B	B
71	Besonjo	-		No existence	-	-	-	-	-
72	Antevamena IJ	100		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	B	C
73	Belobaka	250		Possible	Need for whole reform	Dug well	0 m	-	-
74	Tsinjorano	450		Possible	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	B	B
75	Betsincfo	-		No existence	-	-	-	-	-
76	Laijoby Avaratra	150		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	500 m	A	B
77	Ambinda	-		No existence	-	-	-	-	-
78	Sarodrano	-		No existence	-	-	-	-	-
79	Ambonio	270		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	C
80	Analaiva	300		Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	0 m	A	C
81	Malandirano	400		Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	100 m	B	B
82	Marofandiliha	370		Possible	Possible at present	Hand pump	0 m	B	A
83	Ampataka	695		Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Pond	200 m	A	B
84	Bosy	Not available		No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
85	Kivalo	Not available		No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
86	Ampatike	Not available		No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-
87	Ambato Andrana	Not available		No access	No access	Not available information	-	-	-

Table 4.1.1 Accessibility and Categorization of the Village (4/4)

No.	Village Name	Population	Accessibility		Existing Water Source Type	Distance to Water Source	Categorization	
			4WD	Drilling Machine			Necessity of Water Development	Economic Capacity for O & M
88	Andrahany	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	—	—	—
89	Ankaraobato	800	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	0 m	B	A
90	Tatambao Fe	—	No existence	—	—	—	—	—
91	Andranolava	—	No existence	—	—	—	—	—
92	Betsiriry	650	Possible	Need for whole reform	Sallow pit	0 m	—	—
93	Beroboka Atm	783	Possible	Possible at present	River	200 m	A	A
94	Ankivilalo	2,960	Possible	Possible at present	Protected dug well	0 m	B	A
95	Ambohibary	300	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Sallow pit	300 m	A	C
96	Bevoay	521	Possible	Need for whole reform	Dug well	0 m	—	—
97	Bevezika	855	Possible	Possible at present	River	500 m	A	A
98	Tanandava II	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	—	—	—
99	Ankilimida	600	Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	300 m	A	A
100	Ampanihy	742	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Sallow pit	300 m	A	B
101	Benato	500	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Pond	800 m	A	B
102	Anolotsy	300	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Dug well	200 m	A	B
103	Ankilizato	4,200	Possible	Possible at present	River & Water vender	50 m	A	A
104	Mandabe	2,000	Possible	Possible at present	Canal & Water vender	100 m	A	A
105	Beronono	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	—	—	—
106	Malambandy	7,000	Possible	Possible at present	River & Water vender	1,000 m	A	A
107	Ampanotoka	900	Possible but poor in wet season	Need for partial reform of road	Sallow pit	300 m	A	A
108	Tsimazava	Not available	No access	No access	Not available information	—	—	—
109	Tsianaloka	1,000	Possible	Possible at present	Pond	400 m	A	A
110	Kiboy	930	Possible	Possible at present	Pond	300 m	A	A
111	Croisement Antsoha	—	Abandoned	—	—	—	—	—
112	Tsimafana	1,500	Possible	Possible at present	Dug well	100 m	B	A
113	Mananjaky	1,170	Possible	Need for partial reform of road	Protected dug well	0 m	B	A
114	Ambatolahy	800	Possible	Possible at present	River & Water vender	800 m	A	A
115	Ankotrofoisy	908	Possible	Possible at present	River	100 m	A	A