Table 4-1 Installed Generating Capacity

As of end of 1994

		Plant Name	Туре	No. of		acity (W)	Generatio		Commission
				Machine	Installed	Dependable Available	Average	Firm	Year
		La Garita	F	2	30	20	162	162	1958
		Rio Macho	P	5	120	90	501	396	1963
		Cachi	·F	3	100	-90	596	565	1966
	ا ا	Arenal	F	3	156	156	601	601	1979
	Hydro	Corobici	F	3	174	174	672	672	1982
		Ventanas Garita	F	2	100	70	434	361	1987
		Sandillal	K	2	32	32	124	124	1993
四		Plantas Menores	-	6	74	37	261	180	
IC I		Generacion Privada			1,2	6	68	20	
		Sub Total			798	675	3,419	3,081	
		Colima	D	6	19.5	14.0	136.6	136,6	1956
		San Antonio	V-G	4	48.1	40.0	170.1	170.1	1954
	'ন্ত্র	Barranca	Gas	2	41.6	30.0	109.3	109.3	1974
1	Thermal	Moin	D Gas	7	140,3	125.0	508.0	508.0	1977
	E	Pto. Jimenez	D	.4	1.3	1.2	9.1	9.1	• ••
		Miravalles	Ge.	1	55	52.3	433.6	433.6	1994
	i	Sub Total			305.5	262.5	1,366.7	1,366.7	:
		ESPH	•	5	2.3	1.2	•	7.3	
ង្គ	Company	JASEC	•	4	22.7	11,3		69.3	
텋	E E	CNFL.	-	19	37.5	18.7		114.7	
₹	ර	Mata Moros	-	7	3.3	1.6	·	9.8	••
		Sub Total			65,8	32.8		201.1	
	 ນີ	Varias	T	1	4	2		12.2	
	5	Varias	M	4	4.5	2.3		19.7	
3	3	Sub Total			8.5	4.3		31.9	
		Total			1,177.8	974,6		4,680.7	

P : Pelton
F : Francis
D : Diesel
V-G : Vapor Gas
Gas : Gas Turbine
D Gas : Diesel Gas
Ge : Geo Thermal
T : Thermal
M :

0

Table 4-2 Major Transmission Lines in Operation

As of end of 1994

				110 Of 1394
	Voltage	Location (From ~ To)	Length (km)	Conductor
		Peñas Blancas (Frontera Nicaragua) ~ Liberia	77.0	DRA
1		Liberia ~ Canas	42.0	DRA
1		Sandillal ~ Corobici	3.0	DRA
		Miravalles ~ Arenal	42.0	DRA
		Arenal ~ Corobici	11.0	DRA
ŀ		Corobici ~ Canas	7.0	DRA
- 1	230 kV	Canas ~ Barranca	70.0	GRO
i	250 K T	Arenal ~ Barranca (2 cct)	68.0	CON
. 1		Arenal ~ Ciudad Quesada	83,0	2 x GRO
		Ciudad Quesada ~ Toro	30.0	2 x GRO
		Toro ~ San Miguel	50.0	2 x GRO
ŀ		Barranca ~ La Caja (2 cct)	62.0	DRA
		Rio Macho ~ San Isidro	65.0	DRA
		San Isidro ~ Rio Claro	110.0	DRA
	;	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	30.0	DRA
├		Rio Claro ~ Progreso (Panama)	880.0	Dia
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Total		Ant
		Guayabal ~ Canas	58.2	ORI
		Canas ~ Colorado	25.0	LIN
		Canas ~ Santa Rita	32.0	CAN
		Canas ~ Cempa	1.2	LIN
		Barranca ~ Ventanas Garita	34.4	LIN
		Ventanas Garita ~ Naranjo	17.3	ORI
		Naranjo ~ Daniel Guetierrez	25.0	GRO
ᇋ		Ventanas Garita ~ El Coco	19.2	GRO
Existent	• .	El Coco ~ La Caja	15.9	GRO
Ĭ Š		Ventana Garita ~ La Caja	21.8	GRO
μ	•	La Caja ~ Heredia	7.9	GRO
	*	Heredia ~ Colima	7.1	GRO
		La Caja ~ Colima	8.5	GRO
		Colima ~ San Miguel	10.0	GRO
	138 kV	San Miguel ~ SBN	6.0	GRO
. 1		SBN ~ Cachi	19.2	GRO
		Colima ~ El Este	8.5	GRO
		La Caja ~ El Este	18.5	GRO -
		El Este ~ Cachi	29.0	GRO
		La Caja ~ Escazu	3.0	GRO
		Escazu ~ Desamparados	17.0	GRO
		Desamparados ~ El Este	10.4	GRO
		La Caja ~ Alajuelita	11.6	GRO
		Alajuelita ~ El Este	19.1	GRO
		El Este ~ Concavas	16.4	GRO
		Concavas ~ Rio Macho	9.1	GRO
		El Este ~ Rio Macho	25.5	GRO
1		Rio Macho ~ Cachi (2 cct)	14.6	DRA
		Cachi ~ PIS	19.2	GRO
	<u> </u>	Leesville ~ PIS	33.0	GRO
ĺ	1	PIS ~ Siguirres	20.0	GRO
		Siquires ~ Moin (2 cct)	41.5	LIN
	•	Cachi ~ Siquires	42.7	GRO
	ł			

5-2

Table 5-1 Basic Data for Demand Forecast

_
ଚ୍ଚ
8
~
*
83
Tate
exchange ra
Cha
g
eveis
price
the
¥

	SSU GDP USS	SSO	Energy (Generation)	eneration)	Population	ation	GDP/Capita	Capita	Energy	Energy/Capita
X car	(Million)	Rate (%)	(GWh)	Rate (%)	(Thousand)	Rate (%)	(\$SD)	Rate (%)	(kWh)	Rate (%)
1980	4,482	0.81	2,144	12.25	2,296	3.02	1,952	-2.16	934	86'8
1981	4,380	-2.28	2,291	98.9	2,365	3.04	1,852	-5.12	696	3.75
1982	4,061	-7.28	2,292	9.0	2,437	3.04	1,666	-10.04	941	-2.89
1983	4,177	2.86	2,372	3.49	2,511	3.02	1,663	-0.12	945	0.43
1984	4,513	8.04	2,568	8.26	2,578	2.68	1,751	5.17	966	5.40
1985	4,545	0.71	2,708	5,45	2,646	2.61	1,718	-1.83	1,023	2.71
1986	4,796	5.53	2,968	9.60	2,713	2.53	1,768	2.91	1,094	6.94
1987	5,025	4.77	3,246	9.37	2,781	2.53	1,807	2.32	1,167	6.67
1988	5,198	3,44	3,324	2,40	2,851	2.53	1,823	2.71	1,166	-0.08
1989	5,492	5.66	3,493	5.08	2,941	3.13	1,867	0.00	1,188	1.89
1990	5,687	3.55	3,707	6.13	3,015	2.51	1,886	1.78	1,221	2.78
1991	5,816	2.27	3,827	3.24	3,086	2.35	1,885	2.96	1,240	1.56
1992	6,240	7.29	4,079	6.58	3,132	1.49	1,992	2.29	1,302	5.00
1993	6,615	6.01	4,382	7.43	3,199	2.14	2,068	2.84	1,370	5.22
1994	6,922	26.4	4,723	7.78	3,243	1.38	2,134	3.50	1,456	6.28

Table 5-2 Demand Forecast by ICE $1995 \sim 2015$

S
Ō٧
Q,
**
റ്
5
ন্ত
\geq
٠,
015,1
ဌ
×
\mathbf{X}
ኢ
X)
a 1995-201
44
\mathbf{o}
.⊏
- 55
Ø.
កា
7
٠
O.
ប
면
щ
a de Energiz
U
ሟ
- 11
Ħ
ี่
Demand
띩
-చ
Projecciones d
زية
g
.2
- 2
ស
٠,Ξ
_ ×
Λ.

		High Case		Base C	Base Case (Middle Case)	(ase)		Low Case		Population	tion
Year	Energy	Power	L.f.	Energy	Power	L.f	Energy (GWP)	Power	L.f.	(Thousand)	Rate (%)
1005	030 4	(w.v.)	8 69	5.046	017	8 29	5 020	912	62.8	3.651	
1006	5,477		3 6	5 3.84	020		5.323	196	62.8	3,732	2.2
1997	5 883	1.069	62.8	5,729	1,041	62.8	5,627	1,024	62.7	3,829	2.6
1998	6309	1.146	62.9	6,082	1,106	62.8	5,933	1,079	62.8	3,917	2.3
1999	6,707	1,216	63.0	6,439	1,171	62.8	6,239	1,135	62.8	4,005	2.2
2000	7,124	1,289	63.1	6,813	1,241	62.7	6,555	1,193	62.7	4,103	7.
2001	7,561	1,368	63.1	7,201	1,311	62.7	068'9	1,254	62.7	4,202	7.
2002	8,021	1,449	63.2	7,602	1,384	62.7	7,237	1,317	62.7	4,312	, ,
2003	8,497	1,532	63.3	8,017	1,459	62.7	7,600	1,382	62.8	4,412	2.3
2004	066'8	1,620	63.4	8,449	1,537	62.8	7,978	1,453	62.7	4,502	2.0
2005	9,504	1,712	63.4	8,885	1,618	62.7	8,359	1,522	62.7	4,558	17
2006	10,023	1,803	63.4	9,320	1,699	62.6	8,735	1,592	62.7	4,587	9.0
2007	10,556	1,898	63.5	9,764	1,778	62.7	9,117	1,660	62.7	4,614	9.0
2008	11,107	1,995	63.6	10,220	1,862	62.7	9,507	1,732	62.7	4,652	0.8
2009	11,670	2,093	63.6	10,681	1,947	62.6	868'6	1,804	62.6	4,723	1.5
2010	12,251	2,195	63.7	11,153	2,031	62.7	10,295	1,875	62.7	4,794	1.5
2011	12,862	2,302	63.8	11,647	2,122	62.7	10,710	1,951	62.7	4,866	
2012	13,505	2,418	63.8	12,165	2,217	62.6	11,142	2,031	62.6	4,939	24
2013	14,182	2,536	63.8	12,705	2,316	62.6	11,591	2,110	62.7	5,013	
2014	14,895	2,660	63.9	13,272	2,417	62.7	12,060	2,197	62.7	5.088	p-4
2015	15 647	2 705	62.0	330 61	7036	1 ()	10.660	1000	5	2712	•

Table 5-3 Demand Forecast by Macro Method

(At the price levels and exchange rate of 1980)

, ,	GDP/	GDP/Capita	Energy/Capita	Capita	Population	ation	GDP (USA)	USA)	Energy 1	Energy Demand	Power
7	(SSD)	Rate (%)	(KWh)	Rate (%)	(Thousand)	Rate (%)	(Million)	Rate (%)	(GWh)	Rate (%)	(MM)
1995	2,206	3.47	1,486	4.13	3,304	1.88	7,289	5.42	4,910	60.9	934
9661	2,282	3.44	1,547	4.10	3,378	2.22	7,709	5.76	5,226	6.43	994
1997	2,360	3.41	1,610	4.07	3,466	2.60	8,180	6.11	5,580	6.77	1,062
1998	2,439	3.38	1,673	3.91	3,545	2.29	8,646	5.70	5,931	67.5	1,128
6661	2,521	3.34	1,738	3.88	3,624	2.24	9,136	5.67	6,298	6.19	1,198
2000	2,604	3.30	1,804	3.80	3,713	2.45	699*6	5.83	869'9	6.35	1,274
2001	2,689	3.26	1,872	3.77	3,803	2.41	10,226	5.76	7,119	6.29	1,354
2002	2,776	3.22	1,942	3.74	3,902	2.61	10,832	5.93	7,578	6.45	1,442
2003	2,864	3.18	2,012	3.60	3,993	2.33	11,436	5.58	8,034	6.02	1,529
2004	2,954	3.14	2,083	3.53	4,075	2.05	12,038	5.26	8,488	5.65	1,615
2005	3,045	3.09	2,156	3.50	4,125	1.24	12,561	4.34	8,894	4.78	1,692
2006	3,138	3.04	2,230	3.43	4,151	0.63	13,026	3.70	9,257	4.08	1,761
2007	3,232	2.99	2,304	3.32	4,176	09.0	13,497	3.61	9,621	3.93	1,830
2008	3,327	2.94	2,379	3.26	4,210	0.81	14,007	3.78	10,016	4.11	1,906
2009	3,423	2.89	2,455	3.19	4,274	1.53	14,630	4.45	10,493	4.76	1,996
2010	3,520	2.84	2,532	3.14	4,339	1.50	15,273	4.40	10,986	4.70	2,090
2011	3,618	2.79	2,609	3.04	4,404	1.50	15,934	4.33	11,490	4.59	2,186
2012	3,717	2.74	2,687	2.99	4,470	1.50	16,615	4.27	12,011	4.53	2,285
2013	3,817	2.69	2,766	2.94	4,537	1.50	17,318	4.23	12,549	4.48	2,387
2014	3,918	2.63	2,845	2.86	4,605	1.50	18,042	4.18	13,101	4.40	2,493
2015	4,019	2.58	2,924	2.78	4,674	1.50	18,785	4.12	13,667	4.32	2,600

Table 5-4 Construction Schedule by ICE 1995 ~ 2015

Escenario de Demanda: Base (Abril 1995) Escenario de Combustibles: Caso Base

							
Año	Energia	Crecim.	Pot.	Crecim.	Proyectos de generación	Año	Mes
7.110	(GWh)	(%)	(MW)	(%)			
1994	4,723		858			1994	
1995	5,046	6,8	917	6.9	P.G. Boca del Pozo (5 MW)	1995	1:
	2,010			·	P.H. Generación Privada (15 MW)		7
					P.H. Toro I (1° etapa, 12 MW)		9
			ĺ		P.H. Daniel Gutiérrez (1° etapa, 14 MW)	:	11
1996	5,384	6.7	979	6.8	P.H. Toro I (2° etapa, 12 MW)	1996	ì
1,330	5,501	0.7	,,,	-11	P.T. Gas (1 x 36 MW)		t
					P.H. Generación Privada (13 MW)	. •	1
1				·	P.H. Generación Privada (6 MW)		6.
1					P.H. Daniel Gutiérrez (2° etapa, 6 MW)		6
1997	5,729	6.4	1,041	6,3	P.H. Toro II (66 MW)	1997	1
''''	3,120	~ '	'," ''	"."	P.H. Generación Privada (30 MW)		1
1					P.H. Generación Privada (20 MW)	1	1
1998	6,082	6.2	1,106	6.2	P.H. Generación Privada (27 MW)	1998	1
1770	0,002	~~	1,,,,,,		P.B. Tejona (1 x 20 MW)	,	3
					P.G. Miravalles II (55 MW)		4
1999	6,439	5.9	1,171	5.9	P.G. Miravalles III (1 x 55 MW)	1999	1
1777	0,132	"	''''		P.H. Angostura (177 MW)		6
2000	6,183	5.8	1,241	6.0		2000	
2001	7,201	5.7	1,311	5.6	P.T. Ciclo Combinado (1 x 108 MW)	2001	1
2002	7,602	5.6	1,384	5.6	***************************************	2002	
2003	8,017	5.5	1,459	5.4	P.H. Pinls (128 MW)	2003	1
2004	8,449	5.4	1,537	5.3	P.G. Tenorio (1 x 55 MW)	2004	1
					P.T. Gas (1 x 36 MW)		1
2005	8,885	5.2	1,618	5.3	P.H. Los Llanos (84 MW)	2005	1
2006	9,320	4.9	1,699	5.0	P.H. Ayil (127 MW)	2006	1
2007	6,764	4.8	1,778	4.6	P.H. Laguna Hule (66 MW)	2007	1
2008	10,220	4.7	1,862	4.7	P.H. Pacuare (156 MW)	2008	1
2009	10,681	4.5	1.947	4.6	P.T. Gas (1 x 36 MW)	2009	1
2010	11,153	4.4	2,031	4.3	P.T. Gas (2 x 36 MW)	2010	1
2011	11,647	4.4	2,122	4.5	P.H. Guayabo (234 MW)	2011	1
2012	12,165	4.6	2 2 1 7	4.5	P.H. Siquirres (1° etapa, 206 MW)	2012	1
2013	12,705	4.4	2,316	5.5	d=14++1+1+1+	2013	
2014	13,272	4.5	2.417	4.4	P.H. Siquirres (2° etapa, 206 MW)	2014	1
					P.T. Gas (3 x 36 MW)		1
2015	13,866	4.5	2,526	4.5		2015	
							2320

- Período:

 Valor presente del plan de expansión : (Milliones de dólares)

- Costo marginal de largo plazo (\$/MWh):

- Nivel de precios:

- Ano base:

- Actualización a:

- Fecha:

1995-2015 1,447.32

1,777.36

58.59

Diciembre 1994

1994

Diciembre 1994

Agosto 1995

Table 5-8 Electric Power Development Schedule

		<u> </u>	Plant	Name	3 1 	
Year	LOGOS		(HW)	by Demand Supply Pro	gram	(MW)
1995	Boca del pozo	P.G.	(5.0)	Boca del Pozo	P.G.	(5.0)
	Generación privada	P.H.	(15.0)	Generación privada	P.H.	(15.0)
	Toro (1°etapa)	P.H.	(12.0)	Toro (1°etapa)	P.H.	(12.0)
	Daniel Gutierrez (1ºetapa)	P.H.	(14.0)	Daniel Gutierrez (1°etapa)	P.H.	(14.0)
1996	Toro I (2°etapa)	P.H.	(12.0)	Toro I (2°ctapa)	P,H.	(12.0)
	Gas	P.T.	(36.0)	Gas	P.T.	(36.0)
	Generación Privada	P.H.	(13.0)	Generación Privada	P.H.	(13.0)
	Generación Privada	P.H.	(6.0)	Generación Privada	P.H.	(6.0)
	Daniel Gutierrez (2°etapa)	P.H.	(6.0)	Daniel Gutierrez (2°etapa)	P.H.	(6.0)
1997	Toro II	P.H.	(66,0)	Toro II	P.H.	(66.0)
	Generación Privada	P.H.	(30.0)	Generación Privada	P.H.	(30.0)
	Generación Privada	P.E.	(20.0)	Generación Privada	P.E.	(20.0)
1998	Generación Privada	Р.Н.	(27.0)	Generación Privada	P.H.	(27.0)
	Tejona	P.E.	(20.0)	Tejona	P.E.	(20.0)
	Miravalles	P.G.	(55.0)	Miravalles	P.G.	(55.0)
1999	Miravalles	P.G.	(55.0)	Miravalles	P.G.	(55.0)
	Angostura	P.H.	(177.0)	Angostura	Р.Н.	(177.0)
2000		L	L			
2001	Ciclo Combinado	P.T.	(108.0)	Ciclo Combinado	P.T.	(108.0)
2002						
2003	Pirrís	P.T.	(128.0)	Pirris	P.H.	(128.0)
2004	Tenono	P.G.	(55.0)	Tenorio	P.G.	(55.0)
	Gas	P.T.	(36.0)	Gas	P.T.	(36.0)
2005	Los Llanos	P.H.	(85.0)	Los Llanos	P.H.	(85.0)
2006	Ayil	Р.Н.	(127.0)	Ayil	P.H.	(127.0)
2007	Laguna Hule	P.H.	(66.0)	Laguna Hule	P.H.	(66.0)
		L		Motor baja	P.T.	(64.0)
2008	Pacuare	P.H.	(156.0)	Pacuare	P.H.	(156.0)
2009	Gas	P.T.	(36.9)	Gas	P.T.	(36.9)
2010	Gas	P.T.	(72.0)	Gas	P.T.	(72.0)
2011	Guayabo	P.H.	(234.0)	Guayabo	P.H.	(234.0)
2012	Siquirres (1°etapa)	P.H.	(206.0)	Siquines (1ºetapa)	P.H.	(206.0)
	Gas	Р.Н.	(108.0)	Gas	P.H.	(108.0)
2013		T				
2014	Siquirres (2°etapa)	P.H.	(206.0)	Siquirres (2ºetapa)	P.H.	(206.0)
	Gas	P.T.	(108.0)	Gas	P.T.	(108.0)
2015						

Table 8-7 Maximum Accelerations for Six Return Periods

(Unit: gal)

				Return Pe	riod (Year)		
	Attenuation Model	50	100	200	500	1000	10000
(1)	C. Oliveira	64.5	81.9	99,2	120.8	135.6	173.6
(2)	R.K. McGuire	157.8	185.8	211.7	242.3	262.2	310.3
(3)	Esteva & Rosenblueth	70.6	89,6	108.4	131.5	147.2	186.6
(4)	T. Katayama	125.3	148.7	169.2	191.8	205.4	234.3

Table 14-4 ECONOMIC EVALUATION

							1.3	DIE 14 - 4			ALUATIO					· ·	(Unit: The	ousand US	dollars)
			LANOS H	YDROPO	WER PRO			0.40		TERNA	TIVE			OWER			LDU	40\	ערט ערט
No.	YEAR	Construct.	Transmissa	0 & M	Compen-	(C) TOTAL	Constr.	GAS T	Fuel	Subtotal	Constr.	OW SPE	Fuel	Subtotal		MISSION O & M	Subtotal	(B) TOTAL	(B) - (C)
	<u> </u>	Cost	Line Cost	Cost	sation	COST	Cost	Cost	Cost		Cost	Cost	Cost		Cost	Cost		COST	
						12.000				0	•			0	10		49	49	-12,706
-I 	2000	12,755 25,795				12,755 26,788				0				. 0			290	290	-26,497
2	2002	34,090				36,485	111			111	:			0			159	270	-36,215
3	2003	39,812	1,049			40,892	49,010			49,010	15,195	1		15,195			1,079	65,285	24,393
4	2004	9,929	524	. 1 110	451	10,453	6,258	554	10,800	6,258 11,354	30,028	231	3,108	30,028 3,339	541	32	541 32	36,827 14,724	26,374 13,052
5	2005			1,118 1,118	554 554	1,672 1,672		554	10,800	11,354	:	231	3.108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
7	2007	ł		1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
8	2008			1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354	1	231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
9	2009			1,118 1,118	554 554	1,672 1,672		354 554	10,800 10,800	11,354 11,354		231 231	3,108 3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724 14,724	13,052 13,052
10	2010 2011		 - -	1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354	•	231	801,6	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
12				1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	801,6			32	32	14,724	13,052
13	2013	ĺ	,	1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	801,6			32	32	14,721	13,052
14 1) 2014 2015			1,118 1,118	554 554	1,672 1,672		554 554	10,800 10,800	11,354 11,354		231 231	3,108 3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724 14,724	13.052 13,052
15 1 16 1		<u>.</u>		1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354	:	231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
17 1		,		1,118	554	1,672	111	554	10,800	11.464		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,835	13,162
18 1	2018			1,118	554	1,672	49,010	554	10,800	60,364	:	231 231	3,108			32 32	32 32	63,735 20,982	62,062 19,310
19] L 20] L	5 2019 5 2020	İ		1,118	554 554	1,672 1,672	6,258	554 554	10,800	17,611 11,354		231	3,108 3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
20] 14 21; 1	7 2021	İ		1,118	554	1,672	·	554	10,800	11,354	:	231	801,8			32	32	14,724	13,052
22 1				1,118		1,672		554	10,800		:	231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
23 1	1		<u> </u>	1,118	554	1,672	. !	554 554	10,800 10,800			231 231	3,108 3,108	3,339 3,339		32 32	32 32	14,721 14,721	13,052 13,052
24 2· 25 2	1			1.118	554 554	1,672 1,672		554	10,800			231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
26 2	1		1	1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
27 2				1,118		1,672		554	10,800			231	3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724	13,052
28 2 29 2			,	1,118		1,672 1,672		554 554	10,800 10,800	11,354 11,354	15,195; 30,028;	231 231	3,108 3,108			32	32 32	29,919 44,752	28,247 43,080
29) 2 30 2		1	į .	1,118		1,672		554	10,800		50,020	231	3,108		49	32	80	14,773	13,100
31 2	1		993	1,118	554	2,665		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108			32	322	15,015	12,349
32 2			2,395			4,068	49,010	554 554	10,800	11,464 60,364		231 231	801, C 801, C		159 1,079	32 32	191 1,111	14,994 64,814	10,927 62,092
33 2 34 3			1,049 524	1,118		2,722 2,197	6,258	554	10,800		. ;	231	3,103		541	32	573	21,523	19,326
35 3		1	22.	1,118		1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
36 3				1,118	i i	6,745	1 1	554	10.800			231	3,108			32	32	14,724	7,979
37 3				1,118	554 554	9,933 24,296		554 554	10,800 10,800		i	231 231	3,108 3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724 14,724	- 4,792 -9,572
38 3 39 3				1.118		9,196		554				231	3,108	, ,		32	32	14,724	5,528
	6 2040		1	1,118	554	1,672		554				231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
41 3			1	1,118		1,672		554 554	10,800 10,800			231 231	3,108 3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724 14,724	13,052 13,052
42 3 43 3	8 2042 9 2043			1,118		1,672 1,672		554	10,800			231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
	0 2044			1,118		1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
45 4				1,118		1,672		554	10,800			231	3,108 3,108			32	32 32	14,724 14,724	13,052 13,052
- 1	2 2046 3 2047	1		1,118		1,672 1,672	111	554 554	10,300 10,800			231 231	3,108	, ,		32 32	32	14,724	13,162
1	3 2047 4 2048	1		1,118	1 1	1,672						231	3.108	3,339		32	32	63,735	62,062
	5 2049			1,118	554	1,672			10,800			231	3,108		. }	32	32	20,982	19,310
,	6 2050			1,118		1,672 1,672		: 554 554	10,800			231 231	3,108 3,108			32 32	32 32	14,724 14,724	13,052 13,052
- 1	7 2051 8 2052		-	1,118 1,118		1,672		554	10,800		. :	231	3,108			32	32	14,724	13,052
53 4	9 2053			1,118	554	1,672		554	10,800	11,354		231	3,108	3,339		32	32	14,724	13,052
54 5	0 2054	-24,846	-1.654	1,118	554	-24,827	-36,919	554	10,800	-25,566	,	231	3.108	3,339	-706	32	-675	-22.902	1,926
ΤÒ	TAL	141,045	\$ 270	55 974	27,700	232 939	184,597	27.690	539.990	752 277	90,446	11 530	155.410	257,386	3,532	1,589	5.121	1,014,784	781,845
	t Value	141,043	0.210	, <i>, ,,,</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2,,,,,,,			-,,,,,,			<u> </u>		يددسين						
[= 12		1				99,117											ſ	141,506]	42,389
		1 .			:		4,											N.P.Y. E.I.R.R.	42,389 20.2%
					•		ĺ											B/C	1.43

Table 14-5 FINANCIAL EVALUATION

			I ADIC 1			(Unit:	Thousand US	dollars)
******			LOS	LLANOS II	YDRO PROJ	ECT	(B)	THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY
No	o	YEÁR				(C)	POWER	(B) - (C)
			Construct.	Transmissn	0&M	TOTAL	SALES	
****			Cost	Line Cost	Cost	COST	REVENUE	
						!		
-1		2000	14,000	0	0	14,000		-14,000
1		2001	27,788	1,055	0	28,843		-28,843
2	ļ	2002	37,040	2,456	0	39,496		-39,496
3		2003	42,559	1,176	0	43,735		-43,735
4		2004	10,681	588	0	11,269	22.062	-11,269
6	. 1	2005 2006			1,190 1,190	1,190 1,190	22,863 22,863	21,672
7	3	2007		1	1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672 21,672
8	4	2008			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
9	5	2009		·	1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
10	6	2010			1,190		22,863	21,672
11	7	2011	·		1,190	1,150	22,863	21,672
12	8	2012			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
13	9	2013			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
14	10				1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
15	31	2015	,		1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
16	12	2016	'		1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
17	13	2017	i		1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
18	14	2018			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
19	15				1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
20	16		5.4		1,190		22,863	21,672
21	17			·	1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
22	18	l .			1,190	1,190		21,672
23	19				1,190	1,190		21,672
24	20		1		1,190	1,190		21,672
25	21	2025	ļ .		1,190	1,190		21,672
26 27	22			:	1,190	1,190		21,672
28	23 24			•	1,190	1,190		21,672
29	25				1,190 1,190	1,190		21,672
30	26				1,190	1,190 1,190		21,672 21,672
31	27		1	1,055		2,246		20,617
32	28		!	2,456		3,646		19,217
33	29			1,176		2,366		20,497
34	30			588		1,778		21,084
35	31	2035	1		1,190	1,190		21,672
36	32		5,201		1,190	6,391	22,863	16,472
37	33				1,190	9,860	22,863	13,003
38	34	2038	,		1,190	24,705	22,863	-1,843
39	35				1,190	9,221	22,863	13,641
40	36)		1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
41	37		l	·	1,190	1,190		21,672
42	38	2			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
43	39				1,190	1,190		21,672
44	40				1,190		22,863	21,672
45	41				1,190		22,863	21,672
46 47	42	1			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
48	43 44				1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
49	45				1,190 1,190		22,863	21,672
50	46				1,190	1,190 1,190	22,863 22,863	21,672
51	47	1			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672 21,672
52	48	1			1,190	1,190	22,863	21,672
53	49				1,190			21,672
54				-1,053	1,190	-25,815		48,677
			i					
TOT	ΛL		151,532	9,496	59,513	220,540	1,143,125	922,585
						······································		
							F.I.R.R.	12.4%

Table 15-1 Geologic/geotechnic Investigation Planning (1/4)

Site/Route	Investigation Methods	General Specifications	Remarks
 Down-stream damsite 	Detailed geologic mapping	 To provide detailed engineering geologic maps to use detailed topographic Detailed topographic map: To cover the damsite and its vicinities 	Detailed topographic map: 1/1000 or more in scale.
	Core drilling and in-hole measurements/tests	 Drillhole PHLL101SP (with all coring) Location: Aprx. EL 490m on the left bank of the down-stream site. 	
		- Length: 50m or more.	
		- Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	A unit length of Lugeon test: 5m
		- Lugeon tests: Covering the full section.	or less.
		Drillhole PHLL 102SP (with all coring)	
		- Location: Aprx. EL 422m on the riverbed of the down-stream site.	:
		Length: 30m or more.	
		- Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	
		Lugeon tests: Covering the full section.	
		 Drillhole PHLL103TA (with all coring) 	
		- Location: Aprx. EL 480m on the intake site of the down-stream damsite.	
		- Length: 30m or more.	
		 Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section. 	
		- Deformation tests: Two (2) points or more around the hole bottom.	

Table 15-1 Geologic/geotechnic Investigation Planning (2/4)

Remarks								A unit length of Lugeon test: 5m	or less.		v				
General Specifications	To provide detailed engineering geologic maps to use topographic maps in scale 1/5,000.	To cover the headrace tunnel route.	Special items to be made sure: To confirm aerophoto lineaments and regional joint patterns.	Drillhole PHLL104LT (with all coning)	Location: Aprx. EL.515m, a spot about 1500m down-stream side from the intake, on the bottom of a ravine.	Length: 80m or more.	Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	Lugeon tests: Covering the full section.	Deformation tests: Two (2) points or more around the hole bottom.	Drillhole PHLL105LT (with all coring)	location: Aprx. EL 525m, a spot about 2500m down-stream side from the intake, on the bottom of a ravine.	Length: 90m or more.	Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	Lugeon tests: Covering the full section.	Deformation tests: Two (2) points or more around the hole bottom.
		!_		<u>9</u>		1	1			•				!_	•
Investigation Methods	Detailed geologic mapping			Core drilling and in-hole	measurements/tests										
Site/Route	 Headrace tunnel route 													•	

Table 15-1 Geologic/geotechnic Investigation Planning (3/4)

Remarks	S	heir						A unit length of Lugeon test: 5m	or less						
General Specifications	To provide detailed engineering geologic maps to use topographic maps 1/5,000 and/or 1/1,000 in scale.	To cover the surgetank site, penstock route and powerstation site and their vicinities.	Special items to be made sure; To confirm on aero photo lineament crossing the penstock route and the boundary of the conglomerate and	Drillbole PHLL106TO (with all coring)	Location: Aprx. EL 510m, at the surge tank site.	Length: 70m or more.	Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	Lugeon tests: Covering the lower 1/3 section.	Deformation tests: Two (2) points or more around the hole bottom.	Drillhole PHLL107TP (with all coring)	Location: Aprx. EL 468m on the penstock route.	Length: 30m or more.	Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	Lugeon tests: Covering the lower half section.	The state of the first terms are an experience (P) and T contact and included the state of the s
	•		6	<u>e</u>	!_			!_		9					
Investigation Methods	Detailed geologic mapping			Core drilling and in-hole	measurements/tests					·					
Site/Route	3. Penstock route and power	station site										1			

Table 15-1 Geologic/geotechnic Investigation Planning (4/4)

Site/Route	Investigation Methods	General Specifications	Remarks
(3. Penstock route	(Core drilling and in-	Drillhole PHLL108TP (with all coring)	A unit length of Lugeon test: 5m
and power station site)	hole measurements/tests)	Location: Aprx. EL 304m on the penstock route.	or less.
		Length: 50m or more	
		Water level measurements: During drilling at the full section.	
	:	Lugeon tests: Covering the lower half section.	
	•	Deformation tests: Two (2) points or more around the hole bottom.	
4. Quarry site for concrete	Detailed geological mapping	To provide detailed engineering geologic maps to use topographic maps 1/1,000 in scale.	
aggregates		To cover an area around the conjunction of Rio Naranjo and Rio Naranjillo, about 700m up-stream from the down-stream damsite.	
		Special items to be made sure; To confirm and trace "Layers of sandstone"	
	Core drilling	Two (2) drillholes with all coring.	
		• Location: Each hole should be decided by the said geological mapping.	
		• Length: 20m or more (each hole).	
	Laboratory tests	 All necessary laboratory tests for concrete aggregates to use drilled cores. 	



